VERMILION
Oil & Gas
Australia Pty. Ltd.

VERMILION OIL & GAS AUSTRALIA

WANDOO FIELD GEOTECHNICAL AND GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY ENVIRONMENT PLAN

AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0014

Revision	Date	Originator	Checkers	Approver
4	12 January 2026	Xodus	Environmental Advisor Well Construction Manager Engineering Manager	Managing Director

Revision History

Revision	Date	Description	Originator	Checkers	Approver
0	24 March 2025	For NOPSEMA	Xodus	Environmental Advisor Well Construction Manager Engineering Manager	Managing Director
1	28 April 2025	Updated to Address NOPSEMA Completeness Check	Xodus	Environmental Advisor Well Construction Manager Engineering Manager	Managing Director
2	6 August 2025	Updated to Address NOPSEMA RFFWI #1	Xodus	Environmental Advisor Well Construction Manager Engineering Manager	Managing Director
3	7 October 2025	Updated to Address NOPSEMA OMR #1	Xodus	Environmental Advisor Well Construction Manager Engineering Manager	Managing Director
4	12 January 2026	Updated to Address NOPSEMA OMR #2	Xodus	Environmental Advisor Well Construction Manager Engineering Manager	Managing Director

Distribution List

No.	Location/Position	Hard copy	Electronic copy
1	VOGA Perth Office Document Control		X
2	Wandoo B Platform		X
3	VOGA Intranet		X
4	VOGA Managing Director		X
5	VOGA Well Construction Manager		X
6	VOGA HSE Manager		X
7	VOGA Engineering Manager		X
8	Manager Assessment and Compliance NOPSEMA – Perth		X
9	Wandoo Control Room	X	

Table of Contents

Revision History **2**

Distribution List **3**

Table of Contents **5**

Abbreviations and Definitions **13**

Section 1 – Introduction **18**

1.1 Background 18

1.2 Environment Plan Summary 18

1.3 The Proponent 19

1.4 Scope and Purpose of the Environment Plan 20

1.5 Corporate Environmental Performance Philosophy 21

1.6 Applicable Legislation and Codes 21

1.6.1 Commonwealth Requirements 21

1.6.2 Western Australian Legislation 37

1.6.3 Codes of Practice 39

Section 2 – Description of Activity **40**

2.1 Background and Objectives 40

2.2 Location 40

2.2.1 Operational Area 41

2.3 Survey Duration and Timing 43

2.4 Survey Design 43

2.5 Geotechnical Survey 44

2.5.1 Seabed Sampling 44

2.5.2 Geotechnical Boreholes 44

2.6 Geophysical Survey 46

2.6.1 Multibeam Echo Sounder 46

2.6.2 Side-scan Sonar 46

2.6.3 Sub-bottom Profiler 46

2.6.4 Magnetometer 47

2.7 Other Survey Techniques 47
2.7.1 Ultra-short Baseline Positioning System 47
2.7.2 Doppler Velocity Log and Inertial Navigation Systems 47
2.7.3 Remotely Operated Vehicles/Autonomous Underwater Vehicles 47
2.8 Support Activities 47
2.8.1 Vessel Operations 47

Section 3 – Description of the Environment 49

3.1 Overview 49
3.1.1 Project Areas 49
3.1.2 Relevant Receptors 52
3.2 Regional Context 53
3.2.1 Marine Regions 53
3.2.2 IMCRA Bioregion 54
3.3 Physical Environment 56
3.3.1 Climate 57
3.3.2 Ambient Water Quality 57
3.3.3 Ambient Sediment Quality 58
3.3.4 Ambient Air Quality 59
3.3.5 Ambient Noise 59
3.3.6 Ambient Light 59
3.4 Ecological Environment 59
3.4.1 Key Benthic Habitats 59
3.4.2 Key Coastal Communities 63
3.4.3 Key Marine Fauna 66
3.4.4 Biologically Important Areas and Critical Habitat 90
3.5 Social and Economic Environment 91
3.5.1 Fisheries and Aquaculture 92
3.5.2 Commercial Shipping 103
3.5.3 Defence 104
3.5.4 Other Users 105
3.6 Protected and Significant Areas 107
3.6.1 World Heritage Properties 107
3.6.2 National Heritage Places 108
3.6.3 Underwater Cultural Heritage 110
3.6.4 Australian Marine Parks 112
3.6.5 State Marine Protected Areas 116
3.6.6 Wetlands of International Importance 118
3.6.7 Key Ecological Features 118

3.6.8 Threatened Ecological Communities 122
3.7 First Nations 122
3.7.1 Methodology to Identify Cultural Values and Sensitivities 122
3.7.2 Recognition of First Nations Groups 123
3.7.3 Native Title 123
3.7.4 Indigenous Protected Areas 127
3.7.5 Aboriginal Cultural Heritage 127
3.7.6 Cultural Values and Sensitivities 127

Section 4 – Risk Assessment Methodology 134
4.1 Overview 134
4.2 Framework 135
4.3 Impact Assessment 137
4.3.1 Cumulative Impacts 137
4.4 Determination of Likelihood 137
4.5 VOGA Risk Ranking 138
4.6 Determining Acceptability 141
4.7 Demonstrating ALARP of Impact and Risk 141
4.7.1 Demonstration of ALARP 141
4.7.2 Concept Evaluation ALARP Demonstration 142
4.8 Evaluating Acceptability of Residual Impacts or Risks 145
4.9 Environmental Performance Outcomes, Environmental Performance Standards and Measurement Criteria 146
4.10 Hazard Report Template 147

Section 5 – Hazard Assessment 148
5.1 Planned: Physical Presence – Interaction with Other Marine Users 150
5.1.1 Hazard Report 150
5.1.2 Description of Hazard 150
5.1.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation 151
5.1.4 Risk Ranking 153
5.1.5 ALARP Demonstration 153
5.1.6 Acceptability Demonstration 154
5.2 Planned: Seabed Disturbance 155
5.2.1 Hazard Report 155
5.2.2 Description of Hazard 155
5.2.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation 156

5.2.4 Risk Ranking 157
5.2.5 ALARP Demonstration 158
5.2.6 Acceptability Demonstration 160
5.3 Planned: Noise Emissions 160
5.3.1 Hazard Report 160
5.3.2 Description of Hazard 161
5.3.3 Noise Modelling 163
5.3.4 Impact and Risk Evaluation 167
5.3.5 Risk Ranking 172
5.3.6 ALARP Demonstration 172
5.3.7 Acceptability Demonstration 175
5.4 Planned: Atmospheric and GHG Emissions 176
5.4.1 Hazard Report 176
5.4.2 Description of Hazard 176
5.4.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation 176
5.4.4 Impact Assessment 177
5.4.5 Risk Ranking 178
5.4.6 ALARP Demonstration 178
5.4.7 Acceptability Demonstration 179
5.5 Planned: Light Emissions 180
5.5.1 Hazard Report 180
5.5.2 Description of Hazard 180
5.5.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation 181
5.5.4 Risk Ranking 184
5.5.5 ALARP Demonstration 184
5.5.6 Acceptability Demonstration 187
5.6 Planned: Routine Discharges 188
5.6.1 Hazard Report 188
5.6.2 Description of Hazard 188
5.6.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation 190
5.6.4 Risk Ranking 193
5.6.5 ALARP Demonstration 193
5.6.6 Acceptability Demonstration 195
5.7 Unplanned: Introduction of Invasive Marine Species 196
5.7.1 Hazard Report 196
5.7.2 Description of Hazard 196
5.7.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation 198
5.7.4 Risk Ranking 199
5.7.5 ALARP Demonstration 199
5.7.6 Acceptability Demonstration 201

5.8 Unplanned: Physical Presence – Interaction with Marine Fauna 202
5.8.1 Hazard Report 202
5.8.2 Description of Hazard 202
5.8.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation 203
5.8.4 Risk Ranking 205
5.8.5 ALARP Demonstration 205
5.8.6 Acceptability Demonstration 207
5.9 Unplanned: Accidental Overboard Loss of Waste and Solid Objects 208
5.9.1 Hazard Report 208
5.9.2 Description of Hazard 208
5.9.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation 208
5.9.4 Risk Ranking 210
5.9.5 ALARP Demonstration 210
5.9.6 Acceptability Demonstration 212
5.10 Unplanned: Minor Spills 213
5.10.1 Hazard Report 213
5.10.2 Description of Hazard 213
5.10.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation 213
5.10.4 Risk Ranking 214
5.10.5 ALARP Demonstration 214
5.10.6 Acceptability Demonstration 216
5.11 Unplanned: Accidental Release of MDO 217
5.11.1 Hazard Report 217
5.11.2 Description of Hazard 217
5.11.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation 223
5.11.4 Risk Ranking 264
5.11.5 ALARP Demonstration 264
5.11.6 Acceptability Demonstration 267
5.12 Unplanned: Environmental Impacts of Oil Spill Response 267
5.12.1 Hazard Report 267
5.12.2 Description of Hazard 268
5.12.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation 269
5.12.4 Risk Ranking 271
5.12.5 ALARP Demonstration 271
5.12.6 Acceptability Demonstration 274
5.13 Environmental Performance Outcomes 274

Section 6 – Implementation Strategy 291

6.1 Overview 291

6.2 HSE Management System 292
6.2.1 Overview 292
6.2.2 Structure 292
6.2.3 HSE Policy 293
6.2.4 Contents 293
6.2.5 Review 295
6.3 Contractor Management 295
6.4 Key Roles and Responsibilities 296
6.5 Training and Competency 298
6.5.1 Induction 299
6.6 Chemical Assessment Process 299
6.7 Biofouling Risk Assessment Process 300
6.8 Implementation of Performance Standards 302
6.8.1 Overview 302
6.8.2 EP Commitment Register 302
6.9 Management of Performance Deviation 305
6.10 Monitoring and Review 305
6.10.1 Assurance 305
6.10.2 Environmental Monitoring Strategy 306
6.10.3 Monitoring Impacts from Oil Pollution 307
6.10.4 Review of the Environment Plan 308
6.10.5 Annual Performance Review 308
6.11 Management of Change 308
6.11.1 Overview 308
6.11.2 Process 309
6.11.3 Regulatory Compliance 309
6.11.4 Continuous Improvement 311
6.12 Emergency Management 311
6.13 Oil Pollution Response 312
6.13.1 Overview 312
6.13.2 Spill Management Arrangements 312
6.13.3 Interface with Other Plans 313
6.13.4 Approach to Response Planning 314
6.13.5 Incident Management Process 317
6.13.6 Assurance and Capability Management 320

Section 7 – Reporting 324

7.1 Routine Reporting 324
7.1.1 Statutory Reporting 324
7.1.2 Routine Internal Reporting 325
7.1.3 Routine External Reporting 325
7.2 Internal Incident Reporting 325
7.3 External Incident Reporting 326
7.3.1 Reportable Incidents 326
7.3.2 Recordable Incidents 327
7.3.3 Management of Non-Conformances 327

Section 8 – Relevant Person Consultation 329

8.1 Overview 329
8.2 Consultation Approach 329
8.2.1 OPGGS(E)R Consultation Requirements 329
8.2.2 NOPSEMA Consultation Guideline 330
8.2.3 Government and Industry Guidance 330
8.2.4 Applicable Case Law and Guidance 331
8.2.5 Consultation Summary 331
8.3 VOGA's Consultation Methodology and Process 332
8.3.1 Overview 332
8.3.2 Identifying Relevant Persons 336
8.3.3 Public Awareness Campaign and Self-identification Opportunities 337
8.3.4 Identification and Consultation with First Nations People and Organisations 338
8.3.5 Relevant Persons Consultation Process 346
8.3.6 Provision of Sufficient Information 355
8.3.7 Providing a Reasonable Period for Consultation 356
8.3.8 Approach to Consulting Relevant Persons 356
8.3.9 Consultation Opportunities/Channels 356
8.3.10 Unplanned Event 357
8.3.11 Ongoing Consultation 357

References 363

Appendices 385

Appendix A VOGA Health, Safety and Environment (HSE) Policy 387
Appendix B Oil Spill Modelling Report (GOC367176) 388
Appendix C Protected Matters Search Report 389
Appendix D Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Inquiry System (ACHIS) Report 390

Appendix E Exploration and Survey Operations Oil Pollution Emergency Plan (OPEP) [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016] 391

Appendix F Consultation Records 392

Abbreviations and Definitions

ABARES	Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Sciences
ACHIS	Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Inquiry System
AEP	Australian Energy Producers
AFC	Anti-fouling coatings
AFMA	Australian Fisheries Management Authority
AFZ	Australian Fishing Zone
AIS	Automatic Identification System
ALARP	As low as reasonably practicable
AMOSC	Australian Marine Oil Spill Centre
AMOS Plan	Australian Marine Oil Spill Plan
AMSA	Australian Maritime Safety Authority
ANZECC/ARMCANZ	Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council and Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand
AMP	Australian Marine Park
API	American Petroleum Institute
AUV	Autonomous underwater vehicle
BBG	Bowman Bishaw Gorham
BIA	Biologically Important Area
BMIEA	Burrup and Maitland Industrial Estates Agreement
BP	Boiling point
BRS	Bureau of Rural Sciences
BTEX	Aromatic Hydrocarbons
BWMS	Ballast Water Management System
CBA	Cost benefit analysis
CCT	Corporate Command Team
CHARM	Chemical Hazard and Risk Management
cm, cm^2	Centimetres, square centimetres
CMS	Competency Management System
CO_2	Carbon dioxide
cP	Centipoise
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
Cth	Commonwealth
DAFF	Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry
dB	Decibel
DBCA	Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions
DCCEEW	Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water

DEMIRS	Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety
DGV	Default Guideline Value
DoT	Department of Transport
DP	Dynamic positioning
DPIRD	Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development
DRA	Deviation Risk Assessment
EC50	Median Effective Concentration
EEMIS	Event and Environment Management Information System
EEZ	Exclusive Economic Zone
EHS	Environmental, Health and Safety
EMBA	Environment that May Be Affected
EP	Environment Plan
EPO	Environmental Performance Outcome
EPS	Environmental Performance Standard
ERP	*Emergency Response Plan*
EPBC Act	*Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*
ESC	Environmental and Scientific Coordinator
ESD	Ecologically Sustainable Development
g	Grams
g/m^2	Grams per square metre
GDS	Global Dispersant Stockpiles
GHG	Greenhouse gas
HF	High frequency
HMA	Hazard Management Agency
HSE	Health, Safety and Environment
HSE MS	Health, Safety and Environment Management System
HQ	Hazard Quotient
Hz, kHz	Hertz, kilohertz
IAP	Incident Action Plan
IAPP	International Air Pollution Prevention
IC	Incident Commander
ICT	Incident Command Team
IFC	International Finance Corporation
IMCRA	Integrated Marine and Coastal Regionalisation of Australia
IMO	International Maritime Organisation
IMS	Invasive Marine Species
IPA	Indigenous Protected Area
KEF	Key Ecological Feature
kg	kilograms

km, km²	Kilometre, square kilometres
KPI	Key performance indicators
LAT	Lowest Astronomical Tide
LC50	Lethal Concentration 50
LF	Low frequency
LOR	(Laboratory) limit of reporting
m, m², m³	Metres, square metres, cubic metres
m/s	Metres per second
MARPOL	International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships
MARS	Maritime and Aircraft Reporting System
MBES	Multibeam echo sounder
MDO	Marine diesel oil
MEER Unit	Maritime Environmental Emergency Response Unit
MF	Mid-frequency
MGPS	Marine Growth Prevention Systems
MGO	Marine gas oil
mm	Millimetres
MNES	Matters of National Environmental Significance
MO	Marine Order
MoC	Management of Change
MODU	Mobile Offshore Drilling Unit
MOP	Marine Oil Pollution
MPA	Marine Protected Area
Nat Plan	National Plan for Maritime Environmental Emergencies
NEPM	National Environment Protection Measure
NGER Act	*National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act 2007*
nm	Nautical miles
NOPSEMA	National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority
NOx	Nitrous oxides
NT	Northern Territory
NTRB	Native Title Representative Body
NWMR	North-West Marine Region
NWS	North-West Shelf
NWXA	North-West Exercise Area
OCNS	Offshore Chemical Notification Scheme
ODS	Ozone depleting substances
OH&S	Occupational Health & Safety
OPEP	Oil Pollution Emergency Plan
OPGGSA	*Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage Act 2006*

OPGGS(E)R	Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023
OPP	Oil Pollution Plan
OSR	Oil Spill Response
OSRA	Oil Spill Response Agency
OSRL	Oil Spill Response Limited
OSRO	Oil Spill Response Organisations
OSM BIP	Operational and Scientific Monitoring Bridging Implementation Plan
OSPAR	Oslo and Paris Conventions
OSTM	Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling
OWR	Oiled Wildlife Response
PAH	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
PBC	Prescribed Bodies Corporate
PCPT	Piezocone Penetration Tests
PEC	Predicted effect concentration
PIC	Person in Charge
PK	Peak pressure
PLONOR	Pose Little or No Risk to the Environment
PMS	Preventative Maintenance System
PMST	Protected Matters Search Tool
PNEC	Predicted no effect concentration
POB	Persons on board
ppb	Parts per billion
ppm	Parts per million
PTS	Permanent threshold shift
QRA	Quantitative Risk Assessment
Ramsar	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat 1971
RATSIB	Representative Aboriginal/Torres Strait Islander Bodies
RNTBC	Registered Native Title Body Corporate
ROV	Remotely operated vehicle
SBP	Sub-bottom profiling
SDS	Safety Data Sheet
SEEMP	Ship Energy Efficiency Management Plan
SEL, SEL_{24h}	Sound Exposure Levels, Frequency-weighted accumulated SEL
SEMC	State Emergency Management Committee
SIMA	Spill Impact Mitigation Assessment
SIMAP	Spill Impact Mapping Analysis Program
SIMOPs	Simultaneous Operations
SMEERC	State Marine Environmental Emergency Response Committee
SMPEP	Shipboard Marine Pollution Emergency Plan

SOLAS	International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea
SOPEP	Shipboard Oil Pollution Emergency Plan
SPL	Sound pressure level
SSS	Side-scan sonar
TEC	Threatened Ecological Community
TPH	Total petroleum hydrocarbon
TTS	Temporary threshold shift
UCH Act	*Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018*
UKOOA	United Kingdom Offshore Operators Association
USBL	Ultra-short Baseline Positioning Systems
UXO	Unexploded ordnance
VHF	Very high frequency
VOC	Volatile organic compound
VOGA	Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia Pty Ltd
WA	Western Australia
WBM	Water-based muds
WGS	World Geodetic System

Section 1 – Introduction

1.1 Background

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia Pty Ltd (VOGA) currently operates the Wandoo Field within WA-14-L and is planning near field exploration drilling. To support this exploration drilling, geotechnical and geophysical data is required. This Environment Plan (EP) has been prepared to allow for survey activities to acquire this geotechnical and geophysical data.

Geotechnical and geophysical surveys are proposed within an Operational Area around seven prospects near the existing facilities. The surveys will likely take a total of 15 days for each prospect.

WA-14-L is located in Commonwealth waters in the Carnarvon Basin off the northwest coast of Western Australia (WA), approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island (Figure 1-1).

Figure 1-1: Wandoo Field location



1.2 Environment Plan Summary

A Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP Summary will be prepared based on the material provided in this EP. The summary will consist of the content in Table 1-1 as required by Regulation 35(7) of the Commonwealth Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023 (OPGGS(E)R).

Table 1-1: Summary of material requirement for an EP

Material requirement	Section of EP containing material requirement
The location of the activity	Section 2.2
A description of the receiving environment	Section 3
A description of the activity	Section 2
Details of the environmental impacts and risks of the activity	Section 5
The control measures for the activity	Section 5.13
The arrangements for ongoing monitoring of the titleholder's environmental performance	Section 6, Section 7
Response arrangements in the oil pollution emergency plan	Section 6.13 Appendix E
Consultation already undertaken and plans for ongoing consultation	Section 8 Appendix F
Details of the titleholders nominated liaison for the activity	Section 1.3

1.3 The Proponent

VOGA is the titleholder of production licence WA-14-L. VOGA is a wholly owned subsidiary of Vermilion Energy Inc., a Canadian-based oil and gas exploration and production company. VOGA acquired operatorship of the permit at the beginning of 2005, after purchasing the share of the permit from the previous operator, ExxonMobil.

VOGA titleholder details are:

Ryan Carty
Managing Director
Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia Pty Ltd
Australian Company Number (ACN): 113 023 591
Level 5, 30 The Esplanade
Perth, Western Australia 6000

Phone: +61 (08) 9215 0300
Fax: +61 (08) 9215 0333
Email: info.australia@vermilionenergy.com

VOGA's nominated liaison person details are:

Barry Goodin
Engineering Manager
Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia Pty Ltd
Level 5, 30 The Esplanade
Perth, Western Australia 6000

Phone: +61 (08) 9215 0300
Fax: +61 (08) 9215 0333
Email: voga.environment@vermilionenergy.com

1.4 Scope and Purpose of the Environment Plan

The purpose of this EP is to document the potential environmental impacts and risks, and planned mitigation and management measures associated with geotechnical and geophysical surveys within Australian Commonwealth waters in production licence WA-14-L in the Wandoo Field, approximately 80 km north-northwest of Dampier, WA.

The activities covered in this EP include:

- Geotechnical survey, including seabed grab sampling and borehole/Piezocone Penetration Tests (PCPT)
- Geophysical survey, including Multibeam Echo Sounder (MBES), Side Scan Sonar (SSS), Sub-bottom Profiling (SBP), and magnetometer.

Activities excluded from the scope of this EP are:

- Seismic surveys
- vessel operations within Port Boundaries or State waters given they are managed under the *Shipping and Pilotage Act 1967* (WA) as administered by the relevant Port Authority under the *Port Authorities Act 1999* (WA)
- Vessel operations within Commonwealth waters outside of the Operational Area, given they are managed under the *Navigation Act 2012* (Cth) as administered by the Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA).

The EP details the arrangements in place for ensuring that the potential environmental impacts and risks associated with survey activities are reduced to as low as reasonably practicable (ALARP) and are of an acceptable level. This EP has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the OPGGS(E)R.

The implementation strategy contained in this EP will ensure that the survey campaigns comply with all statutory requirements and the requirements of the VOGA Health, Safety and Environment Management System (HSE MS). To ensure its continued suitability, a review of this EP will be undertaken in the event that the activities do not occur continuously and a period of 12 months or more passes before activities are to recommence (see Management of Change (MoC) process outlined in Section 6.11). Any significant departure from the activity, environment, risks, control measures, etc., detailed in the EP, will be identified and assessed. If

required, the EP will be revised and resubmitted in accordance with Regulation 39 of the OPGGS(E)R.

Figure 4-1 illustrates how this EP addresses each of the key requirements for the content of an EP, ensuring fulfilment of Part 4 of the OPGGS(E)R.

1.5 Corporate Environmental Performance Philosophy

VOGA is committed to minimising the adverse environmental impacts of its operations and to meeting all regulatory requirements associated with those operations. As stated in the Company Health, Safety and Environment (HSE) Policy, VOGA will:

- Accept responsibility and accountability for providing leadership, visible commitment, direction and resources to meet HSE performance targets
- Maintain a strong HSE MS to identify and manage risks
- Integrate HSE into business objectives
- Make a positive contribution to the protection of the environment in which it operates
- Respond promptly and effectively to emergencies
- Focus on continual improvement in HSE performance
- Communicate openly and fairly on a timely basis with fellow employees and contractors, the public, governments, management and other relevant persons.

A copy of the VOGA HSE Policy is included in Appendix A.

1.6 Applicable Legislation and Codes

This section details the requirements that apply to the activity including relevant legislation, codes, other approvals and conditions. The Commonwealth *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage Act 2006* (OPGGSA) controls exploration and production activities. The OPGGS(E)R stipulate the requirements for EPs to ensure that petroleum activities are carried out in an acceptable manner. VOGA carries out a review of applicable legislation each year, including recovery plans, conservation advices and management plans.

1.6.1 Commonwealth Requirements

The Operational Area lies within Commonwealth waters. Commonwealth legislation and other requirements relevant to the activity area are described in Table 1-2. Related international conventions are included.

This EP considers the impacts to Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES) protected under Part 3 of the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act). Table 1-3 summarises relevant species conservation advices and recovery plans issued by the Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW).

Table 1-2: Relevant Commonwealth legislation and other requirements

Legislation	Scope	Related international conventions	Administering authority
Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Protection Act 1984 (DCCEEW, 2023a)	The Act enables the Australian Government to protect important Indigenous areas and objects under immediate threat. Areas and objects protected under this Act are included in the National Heritage List and Commonwealth Heritage List. **Application to activity**: Areas or objects protected under this Act may be present within the Project Areas.	-	DCCEEW
Assessing and Managing Impacts to Underwater Cultural Heritage in Australian Waters – Guidelines on the application of the *Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018* (DCCEEW, 2024a)	Provides guidance on applying the *Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018* in relation to assessing impact and mitigation measures of offshore developments. **Application to activity**: The guidelines will inform impact assessment and mitigation strategies required in this EP.	-	DCCEEW
Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements (DAWE, 2020)	The Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements set out the obligations on vessel operators with regards to the management of ballast water and ballast tank sediment when operating within Australian seas. **Application to activity:** Provides requirements on how vessel operators should manage ballast water when operating within Australian seas to comply with the *Biosecurity Act 2015*.	International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments (adopted in principle in 2004 and in force on 8 September 2017)	Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF) (formerly DAWE)
Australian Biofouling Management Requirements (DAFF, 2023a)	The Australian biofouling management requirements set out vessel operator obligations for the management of biofouling when operating vessels under biosecurity control within Australian waters. **Application to activity**: Provides requirements on best practice for biofouling management and applies to all operators of vessels subject to biosecurity control.	-	DAFF

Legislation	Scope	Related international conventions	Administering authority
*Australian Maritime Safety Authority Act 199*0 (AMSA, 2014)	This Act facilitates international cooperation and mutual assistance in preparing and responding to a major oil spill incident and encourages countries to develop and maintain an adequate capability to deal with oil pollution emergencies. Requirements are affected through AMSA who administers the National Plan for Maritime Environmental Emergencies (NatPlan). **Application to activity**: AMSA is the designated Control Agency for oil spills from vessels in Commonwealth waters.	International Convention on Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response and Cooperation 1990 Protocol on Preparedness, Response and Co-operation to Pollution Incidents by Hazardous and Noxious Substances, 2000 International Convention Relating to Intervention on the High Seas in Cases of Oil Pollution Casualties 1969 Articles 198 and 221 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea 1982	Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA)
Biosecurity Act 2015 Biosecurity Regulations 2016 (DAFF, 2023b)	This Act is the primary legislation for the management of the risk of diseases and pests that may cause harm to human, animal or plant health, the environment and the economy. The objects of this Act are to: a) manage biosecurity risks; human disease; risks related to ballast water; biosecurity emergencies and human biosecurity emergencies; b) give effect to Australia's international rights and obligations, including under the International Health Regulations, the Sanitary and Phytosanitary Agreement and the Biodiversity Convention. **Application to activity**: The Biosecurity Act and regulations apply to 'Australian territory' which is the airspace over and the coastal seas out to 12 nm from the coastline. For the activity the Act regulates vessels entering Australian territory regarding ballast water and hull fouling.	International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments (adopted in principle in 2004 and in force on 8 September 2017)	DAFF

Legislation	Scope	Related international conventions	Administering authority
Climate Change Act 2022 (DCCEEW, 2022)	This Act outlines Australia's greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets and codifies Australia's net 2030 and 2050 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reductions targets under the Paris Agreement. It also requires the minister to prepare an annual climate change statement and requires the Climate Change Authority to give the minister advice in relation to the annual statement and future emissions reductions targets. **Application to activity:** While industry is not directly subject to these obligations, this Act legislates Australia's emissions net zero targets.	Paris Agreement on Climate Change 2015 (ratified by Australia)	DCCEEW
Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act) (DCCEEW, 2024b)	The EPBC Act applies to actions that have, will have or are likely to have a significant impact on matters of national environmental or cultural significance. The Act protects MNES and provides for a Commonwealth environmental assessment and approval process for actions. There are nine MNES: • World Heritage Areas • National Heritage Places • Ramsar wetlands • Listed Threatened species and communities • Listed Migratory species under international agreements • Nuclear actions • Commonwealth marine areas • Great Barrier Reef Marine Park • Water resources for coal seam gas and coal mining developments. **Application to activity**: Petroleum activities are excluded from within the boundaries of a World Heritage Area (Sub regulation 10A(f)). The activity is not within a World Heritage Area.	1992 Convention on Biological Diversity and 1992 Agenda 21 Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora 1973 Agreement between the Government and Australia and the Government of Japan for the Protection of Migratory Birds and Birds in Danger of Extinction and their Environment 1974 Agreement between the Government and Australia and the Government of the People's Republic of China for the Protection of Migratory Birds and their Environment 1986	DCCEEW

Legislation	Scope	Related international conventions	Administering authority
	The EP must describe matters protected under Part 3 of the EPBC Act and assess any impacts and risks to these. The EP must assess any actual or potential impacts or risks to MNES from the activity.	Agreement between the Government of Australia and the Government of the Republic of Korea on The Protection of Migratory Birds 2006 Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat 1971 (Ramsar) International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling 1946 Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (Bonn Convention) 1979	
Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2000 (DCCEEW, 2024c)	Part 8 of the regulations provide distances and actions to be taken when interacting with cetaceans. **Application to activity**: The interaction requirements are applicable to the activity in the event a cetacean is sighted.	-	DCCEEW
Environment Protection (Sea Dumping) Act 1981 (DCCEEW, 2024d)	This Act provides for the protection of the environment by regulating dumping matter into the sea, incineration of waste at sea and placement of artificial reefs. **Application to activity**: May be triggered if equipment remains on the seabed following decommissioning.	-	DCCEEW
Fisheries Management Act 1991 (DAFF, 2024)	This Act provides for the protection of Australia's offshore commercial fish resources from 3 nm to the extent of the Australian Fishing Zone (200 nm). There are no fisheries within the Permit Area, however, there are several within the Environment that May Be Affected (EMBA).	-	Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA) DAFF

Legislation	Scope	Related international conventions	Administering authority
	Application to Activity: Fishermen in Commonwealth-managed fisheries will be informed by VOGA (via AMSA) of program activity as required by legislation.		
Marine Pest Plan 2018–2023: National Strategic Plan for Marine Pest Biosecurity (DAWR, 2018)	Australia's national strategic plan for marine pest biosecurity. It outlines a coordinated approach to building Australia's capabilities to manage the threat of marine pests. It represents agreed priorities and actions of governments, marine industries, and other stakeholders to achieve a common purpose: to manage the risks posed by marine pests and minimise their potential harm to marine industries, communities and the environment. The implementation period for Marine Pest Plan 2018-2023 concluded on 30 June 2023 and a formal review of the document is underway. Until a new document or strategy is published, this document will continue to be consulted. **Application to activity**: Applying the recommendations within this document and implementing effective biofouling controls can reduce the risk of the introduction of Invasive Marine Species (IMS).	-	DAFF
Minamata Convention on Mercury (DCCEEW, 2023c)	Australia ratified the Minamata Convention on 7 December 2021. It is an international treaty that seeks to protect human health and the environment from anthropogenic emissions and releases of mercury and mercury compounds. The Convention includes controls on: • Mercury mining • The manufacture and trade of mercury and products containing mercury • Disposal of mercury waste • Emissions of mercury from industrial facilities. Countries that have ratified the Convention are bound by international law to put these controls in place.	Minamata Convention on Mercury	DCCEEW

Legislation	Scope	Related international conventions	Administering authority
	Application to activity: Provides requirements for how mercury waste must be treated and disposed.		
National Biofouling Management Guidelines for the Petroleum Production and Exploration Industry (MPSC, 2018)	The guidance document provides recommendations for the management of biofouling risks by the petroleum industry. **Application to activity**: Applying the recommendations within this document and implementing effective biofouling controls can reduce the risk of the introduction of IMS.	International Maritime Organisation (IMO) Guidelines for the Control and Management of Ships Biofouling to Minimize the Transfer of Invasive Marine Species (2023)	DAFF
National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act 2007 (NGER Act) National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Regulations 2008 National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting (Measurement) Determination 2008 (DCCEEW, 2024e)	This Act introduces a single national reporting framework for the reporting and dissemination of information about the greenhouse gas emissions, greenhouse gas projects, and energy use and production of corporations. Under the NGER Act, businesses who are very large emitters of greenhouse gases will be required by law to measure and report their emissions to the government. National Pollutant Inventory reporting is covered by this Act.	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) 1992 Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer 1985 and the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer 1987	DCCEEW/Clean Energy Regulator
National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife (DCCEEW, 2023d)	The Guidelines outline the process to be followed where there is the potential for artificial lighting to affect wildlife. **Application to activity**: Applying the recommendations within this document and implementing effective controls can reduce the impact of light to sensitive receptors.	-	DCCEEW
National Strategy for Reducing Vessel Strike on Cetaceans and other Marine Megafauna (DCCEEW, 2017)	The overarching goal of the strategy is to provide guidance on understanding and reducing the risk of vessel collisions and the impacts they may have on marine megafauna. **Application to activity**: Implementing the recommendations and controls can reduce the risk of vessel collisions with megafauna.	-	DCCEEW

Legislation	Scope	Related international conventions	Administering authority
Native Title Act 1993 *Native Title Legislation Amendment Act 2021* (AGD, 2021)	The main objects of this Act are: a) to provide for the recognition and protection of native title b) to establish ways in which future dealings affecting native title may proceed and to set standards for those dealings c) to establish a mechanism for determining claims to native title d) to provide for, or permit, the validation of past acts intermediate period acts, invalidated because of the existence of native title. **Application to activity:** Native Title may be present within the EMBA.	-	Attorney-General's Department
Navigation Act 2012 (AMSA, 2023a)	This Act regulates ship-related activities and invokes certain requirements of the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL) relating to equipment and construction of ships. Several Marine Orders (MOs) are enacted under this Act relating to offshore petroleum activities, including: • MO 21: Safety of navigation and emergency arrangements • MO 30: Prevention of collisions • MO 31: Vessel surveys and certification. **Application to activity**: The relevant vessels (according to class) will adhere to the relevant MO regarding navigation and preventing collisions in Commonwealth waters.	Certain sections of MARPOL International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS) 1974 Convention on the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea 1972 (COLREGs) United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea 1982 (UNCLOS)	AMSA
Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage Act 2006 (OPGGSA)	The Act addresses all licensing, health, safety, environmental and royalty issues for offshore petroleum exploration and development operations extending beyond the 3 nm limit. Part 4 of the OPGGS(E)R specifies that an EP must be prepared for any petroleum activity and that activities are undertaken in an ecologically sustainable manner and in accordance with an accepted EP.	-	National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA)

Legislation	Scope	Related international conventions	Administering authority
Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023 (OPGGS(E)R)	**Application to activity**: The OPGGSA provides the regulatory framework for all offshore petroleum exploration and production activities in Commonwealth waters, to ensure that these activities are carried out: • consistent with the principles of ecologically sustainable development as set out in section 3A of the EPBC Act • so that environmental impacts and risks of the activity are reduced to ALARP • so that environmental impacts and risks of the activity are of an acceptable level.		
Protection of the Sea (Prevention of Pollution from Ships) Act 1983 (AMSA, 2023b)	This Act regulates Australian regulated vessels with respect to ship-related operational activities and invokes certain requirements of the MARPOL Convention relating to discharge of noxious liquid substances, sewage, garbage, air pollution, etc. **Application to activity**: All ships involved in petroleum activities in Australian waters are required to abide to the requirements under this Act. Several MOs are enacted under this Act relating to offshore petroleum activities, including: • MO 91: Marine Pollution Prevention – Oil • MO 95: Marine Pollution Prevention – Garbage • MO 96: Marine Pollution Prevention – Sewage • MO 97: Marine Pollution Prevention – Air Pollution.	Various parts of MARPOL Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter, 1972 (also known as the London Protocol)	AMSA
Protection of the Sea (Harmful Antifouling Systems) Act 2006	Under this Act, it is an offence for a person to engage in negligent conduct that results in a harmful anti-fouling compound being applied to or present on a ship. The Act also provides that Australian ships must hold 'anti-fouling certificates', provided they meet certain criteria.	International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-fouling Systems on Ships 2001	AMSA

Legislation	Scope	Related international conventions	Administering authority
	Application to activity: All ships involved in offshore petroleum activities in Australian waters are required to comply with Act. The MO 98: Marine Pollution Prevention – Anti-fouling Systems is enacted under this Act.		
Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018 (DCCEEW, 2022)	Protects the heritage values of shipwrecks, sunken aircraft and relics (older than 75 years) in Australian Territorial waters from the low water mark to the outer edge of the continental shelf (excluding the State's internal waterways). The Act allows for protection through the designation of protection zones. Activities/conduct prohibited within each zone will be specified. **Application to activity**: In the event of removal, damage or interference to shipwrecks, sunken aircraft or relics declared to be historic under the legislation, activity is proposed with declared protection zones, or there is the discovery of shipwrecks or relics.	Agreement between the Netherlands and Australia concerning old Dutch Shipwrecks 1972	DCCEEW

Table 1-3: Recovery plans and species conservation advices relevant to the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP

Relevant plan/advice	Applicable threats or management advice	Addressed in EP section
Threat Abatement Plan for the Impacts of Marine Debris on Vertebrate Wildlife of Australia's Coasts and Ocean (DEE, 2018)	The objectives of the plan include seeking to understand the scale of marine plastic and microplastic impact on key species, ecological communities and locations.	Section 5.9
Birds		
Approved Conservation Advice for *Anous tenuirostris melanops* (Australian lesser noddy) (TSSC, 2015)	Conservation advice provides management actions that can be undertaken to ensure the conservation of the Australian lesser noddy. **Threats**: • Marine pollution: evaluate risk of oil spill impact to nest locations and, if required, appropriate mitigation measures are implemented.	Section 5.11
Approved Conservation Advice for *Calidris canutus* (Red Knot) (DCCEEW, 2024f)	Conservation advice provides management actions that can be undertaken to ensure the conservation of the red knot. **Threats**: • Marine pollution: evaluate risk of oil spill impact to nest locations and, if required, appropriate mitigation measures are implemented.	Section 5.11
Approved Conservation Advice for *Calidris tenuirostris* (Great knot) (DCCEEW, 2024g)	Conservation advice provides management actions that can be undertaken to ensure the conservation of the great knot. **Threats**: • Habitat loss and degradation: prevent destruction of key breeding and migratory staging sites. • Marine pollution: evaluate risk of oil spill impact to nest locations and, if required, appropriate mitigation measures are implemented.	Section 5.11
Approved Conservation Advice for *Calidris frruginea* (Curlew sandpiper) (DCCEEW, 2023e)	Conservation advice provides management actions that can be undertaken to ensure the conservation of the curlew sandpiper. **Threats:** • Habitat loss and degradation: maintain undisturbed feeding and roosting habitat at sites on the northwest coast used during migration for the species.	Section 5.11
Approved Conservation Advice for *Charadrius mongolus* (Lesser sand plover) (TSSC, 2016)	Conservation advice provides management actions that can be undertaken to ensure the conservation of the lesser sand plover. **Threats**: • Habitat loss and degradation from pollution, changes to water regimes and invasive plants.	Section 5.9

Relevant plan/advice	Applicable threats or management advice	Addressed in EP section
Approved Conservation Advice for *Ptodroma mollis* (soft-plumaged petrel) (TSSC, 2015a)	Conservation advice provides management actions that can be undertaken to ensure the conservation of the soft-plumaged petrel. **Threats**: • Not applicable.	N/A
Approved Conservation Advice for *Papasula abbotti* (Abbott's booby) (TSSC, 2020)	Conservation advice provides management actions that can be undertaken to ensure the conservation of Abbott's booby. **Threats**: • Loss of breeding habitat on Christmas Island.	Section 5.11
Approved Conservation Advice for *Sternula nereis nereis* (Fairy Tern) (TSSC, 2011)	Conservation advice provides management actions that can be undertaken to ensure the conservation of the fairy tern. **Threats**: • Marine pollution: evaluate risk of oil spill impact to nest locations and, if required, appropriate mitigation measures are implemented.	Section 5.11
National Recovery Plan for albatrosses and petrels (2022) (DCCEEW, 2022)	The recovery plan is a co-ordinated conservation strategy for albatrosses and petrels. **Threats:** • Marine pollution • Marine debris • Marine infrastructure interactions (including lighting).	Section 5.5 Section 5.9 Section 5.11
Wildlife Conservation Plan for Migratory Shorebirds – 2015 (DoE, 2015b)	**Threats**: • None identified.	N/A
Wildlife Conservation Plan for Seabirds (CoA, 2022)	**Threats:** • Light pollution.	Section 5.5
Fish, sharks and rays		
Approved Conservation Advice for *Pristis zijsron* (green sawfish) (DEEWHA, 2008b) Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan: (*Pristis, Pristis zijsron*, *Pristis clavata*, *Glyphis* and *Glyphis garricki*) (DoE, 2015c)	Conservation advice provides management actions that can be undertaken to ensure the conservation of the green sawfish. **Threats**: • Habitat loss and degradation.	Section 5.11

Relevant plan/advice	Applicable threats or management advice	Addressed in EP section
Approved Conservation Advice for *Pristis clavata* (dwarf sawfish) (DEWHA, 2009) Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan: (*Pristis pristis*, *Pristis zijsron*, *Pristis clavata*, *Glyphis glyphis* and *Glyphis garricki*) (DoE, 2015c)	Conservation advice provides management actions that can be undertaken to ensure the conservation of the dwarf sawfish. **Threats**: • Habitat loss and degradation.	Section 5.11
Approved Conservation Advice for *Rhincodon typus* (whale shark) (TSSC, 2015b)	Conservation advice provides management actions that can be undertaken to ensure the conservation of the whale shark. **Threats**: • Habitat loss and degradation from pollution, changes to water regimes and invasive plants. • Boat strike from large vessels and habitat disruption from mineral exploration, production and transportation. • Marine debris.	Section 5.11 Section 5.8 Section 5.9
Recovery Plan for the Grey Nurse Shark (*Carcharias Taurus*) west coast population (DoE, 2014)	The recovery plan considers the conservation requirements of the grey nurse shark across its range and identifies the actions to be taken to ensure the species long-term viability.	N/A
Recovery Plan for the White Shark (*Carcharodon carcharias*) (DSEWPC, 2013)	The overarching objective of this recovery plan is to assist the recovery of the white shark in the wild throughout its range in Australian waters. **Threats**: • None identified.	N/A
Marine mammals		
Approved Conservation Advice for *Balaenoptera borealis* (Sei Whale) (TSSC, 2015c)	Conservation advice provides threat abatement activities that can be undertaken to ensure the conservation of the sei whale. **Threats**: • Noise interference: evaluate risk of noise impacts to cetaceans and, if required, appropriate mitigation measures are implemented. • Vessel disturbance: evaluate risk of vessel strikes and, if required, appropriate mitigation measures are implemented.	Section 5.3 Section 5.8

Relevant plan/advice	Applicable threats or management advice	Addressed in EP section
Approved Conservation Advice for *Balaenoptera physalus* (Fin Whale) (TSSC, 2015d)	Conservation advice provides threat abatement activities that can be undertaken to ensure the conservation of the fin whale. **Threats**: • Noise interference: evaluate risk of noise impacts to cetaceans and, if required, appropriate mitigation measures are implemented. • Vessel disturbance: evaluate risk of vessel strikes and, if required, appropriate mitigation measures are implemented.	Section 5.3 Section 5.8
Conservation Management Plan for the Blue Whale, 2015-2025 (DoE, 2015)	The long-term recovery objective for blue whales is to minimise anthropogenic threats to allow for their conservation status to improve so that they can be removed from the EPBC Act threatened species list. **Threats**: • Noise interference: evaluate risk of noise impacts and, if required, appropriate mitigation measures are implemented. • Vessel disturbance: evaluate risk of vessel strikes and, if required, appropriate mitigation measures are implemented.	Section 5.3 Section 5.8
National Recovery Plan for the Southern Right Whale (*Eubalaena australis*) (DCCEEW, 2024)	The recovery plan provides threat abatement activities that can be undertaken to ensure the conservation of the southern right whale. **Threats**: • Habitat degradation: including offshore development. • Anthropogenic underwater noise: including vessel noise. • Collision: including vessel strikes.	Section 5.2 Section 5.3 Section 5.8
Recovery plan for the Australian Sea Lion (*Neophoca cinereal*) (DSEWPC, 2013)	The long-term recovery objective for the Australian sea lion is to minimise anthropogenic threats to allow for their conservation status to improve so that they can be removed from the EPBC Act threatened species list. **Threats**: • Noise interference: evaluate risk of noise impacts and, if required, appropriate mitigation measures are implemented. • Vessel disturbance: evaluate risk of vessel strikes and, if required, appropriate mitigation measures are implemented.	Section 5.3 Section 5.8

Relevant plan/advice	Applicable threats or management advice	Addressed in EP section
Marine reptiles		
Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia, 2017-2027 (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017)	The long-term recovery objective for marine turtles is to minimise anthropogenic threats to allow for the conservation status of marine turtles to improve so that they can be removed from the EPBC Act Threatened species list. **Threats**: • Chemical and terrestrial discharge/marine debris • Light pollution • Habitat modification • Vessel strike/disturbance • Noise interference.	Section 5.9 Section 5.5 Section 5.11 Section 5.8 Section 5.3
Approved Conservation Advice for *Dermochelys coriacea* (Leatherback Turtle) (DEWHA, 2008c)	See above for Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia, 2017-2027.	As above.
Approved Conservation Advice for short-nosed sea snake (*Aipysurus apraefrontalis*) (DSEWPC, 2011)	Conservation advice provides management actions that can be undertaken to ensure the conservation of the short-nosed sea snake. **Threats**: • Degradation of reef habitat: no anthropogenic disturbance in areas where the short-nosed sea snake occurs.	Section 5.11

1.6.2 Western Australian Legislation

Whilst the scope of this activity is limited to the Operational Area within Australian Commonwealth waters, there are a number of State legislative requirements relevant to the potential impact of an oil spill in State jurisdiction. Table 1-4 summarises the principal, relevant WA legislative requirements.

Table 1-4: Relevant Western Australian legislation

Legislation	Scope	Application to activity	Administering authority
Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972	Protects and manages Aboriginal heritage by requiring approval for activities that may impact or cause harm.	Sites and objects protected under the Act may be present in the EMBA.	Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH)
Maritime Archaeology Act 1973	Provides for the preservation of the remains of ships lost before 1900.	May be triggered in the event of impacts to a known or previously un-located shipwreck.	WA Museum
Pollution of Waters by Oil and Noxious Substances Act 1987	Provides for the protection of the sea from pollution by oil and other noxious substances. Gives effect to MARPOL in state waters.	Triggered in the event of vessels operating in state waters.	Department of Transport (DoT) Relevant Port Authority
Western Australian Marine Act 1982	Regulates navigation and shipping.	Triggered in the event of vessels operating in state waters.	DoT

1.6.3 Codes of Practice

The Australian Energy Producers (AEP) (formerly APPEA) Code of Environmental Practice (APPEA, 2008) is the most relevant Code of Practice for offshore survey activities. Specific requirements of the AEP Code of Environmental Practice include:

- Compliance with applicable laws, regulations, standards and guidelines and, in their absence, adopting the most practical means to prevent or minimise adverse environmental impacts.
- Ensuring that waste management practices minimise the potential impact on the environment. Practices are based on the prevention, minimisation, recycling, treatment and safe disposal of wastes
- Providing adequate training to enable employees and contractors to adopt environmentally responsible work practices.
- Developing emergency plans and procedures so that incidents can be responded to in a timely and effective manner.
- Developing and maintaining management systems to identify, control and monitor risks.

VOGA is an AEP member and, when undertaking its projects and activities, adheres to the provisions of its Code of Environmental Practice.

Additionally, the International Finance Corporation (IFC) Environmental, Health, and Safety (EHS) Guidelines for Offshore Oil and Gas Development (June 2015) are technical reference documents with general and industry-specific examples of Good International Industry Practice.

Both the AEP Code of Environmental Practice and the IFC EHS Guidelines were key references in preparing for the environmental risk assessment process associated with this EP and in the development of the performance outcomes contained within it.

Section 2 – Description of Activity

2.1 Background and Objectives

VOGA currently operates the Wandoo Field within WA-14-L and has performed numerous in-field development drilling campaigns. VOGA are planning near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of WA-14-L. Geotechnical and geophysical data is required for Mobile Offshore Drilling Unit (MODU) foundation assessments to support these activities.

The objective of the survey is to acquire additional geotechnical and geophysical data required to evaluate the environment at the planned drilling locations and confirm suitability for a MODU. The survey will specifically aim to:

- Acquire and assess geotechnical data and soil samples to support the safe placement of the MODU's jack-up legs
- Identify sub-seabed features and hazards that may impact on the exact positioning of the MODU
- Define any potential hazards or factors of operational significance for drilling rig emplacement
- Identify geohazards and geological conditions relating to drilling of the top-holes
- Assist with future wellsite planning.

2.2 Location

Permit WA-14-L is situated approximately 80 km northwest of the port of Dampier with water depths ranging from approximately 50–60 m below Lowest Astronomical Tide (LAT). Indicative coordinates for the exploration prospects are provided in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1: Indicative geographical coordinates of the exploration prospects (GDA2020 Zone 50S)

Prospects	X (Easting)	Y (Northing)	Latitude	Longitude
Kullingal	437843.0	7769379.0	20.171952275° S	116.405154118° E
Mottlecah	439263.0	7773031.5	20.138993472° S	116.418865226° E
Jinjulu	435158.7	7769128.6	20.174126078° S	116.379457185° E
North of Wandoo 1	441024.0	7777050.0	20.102736571° S	116.435844178° E
North of Wandoo 2	442025.0	7778592.0	20.088833157° S	116.445468311° E
Jurassic North	438556.0	7777506.0	20.098539052° S	116.412251940° E
NW Jurassic	431796.0	7777285.0	20.100308831° S	116.347583269° E

2.2.1 Operational Area

For the purposes of this EP, an Operational Area has been defined as a subset of Permit WA-14-L to encompass potential exploration prospects and allow environmental impact assessment. All planned activities (as described in Section 2) will occur within the Operational Area.

The Operational Area is defined based on 4 x 4 km squares around each prospect location. These Prospect Areas for North of Wandoo 2 and Kullingal are smaller than 4 x 4 km to ensure they are limited to the permit area. For the purposes of using the EPBC Act Protected Matters Search Tool (PMST), developing the existing environment description and some impact assessment, the Operational Area encompasses the Prospect Areas and additional areas between the sites to give a conservative total area. The proposed survey Operational Area is shown in Figure 2-1.

All seabed survey activities will take place within the Operational Area, though seabed surveys will not be undertaken over the full Operational Area. The Operational Area has been developed to provide flexibility for well locations as planning progresses.

Figure 2-1: Operational Area



2.3 Survey Duration and Timing

Each seabed survey campaign will encompass a geotechnical scope and geophysical scope at a maximum of two Prospect Areas. The EP allows for up to seven campaigns to be completed; one campaign for each Prospect Area. For each campaign the geotechnical survey is expected to take up to 10 days to complete and the geophysical survey is expected to take up to 5 days per Prospect Area. The total, maximum days expected for all campaigns is estimated to be 105 days.

The above durations do not include vessel transit times, potential delays caused by ocean conditions, weather downtime, standby and equipment failure or other delays relative to the survey plan, as these factors are difficult to predict or quantify. It is unlikely that these factors will increase vessel time in the Operational Area. Should additional time be required to complete the survey objectives, VOGA will assess the situation in accordance with the VOGA MoC Procedure (Section 6.11).

Seabed survey activities are planned to commence in the first half of 2026; however, exact start dates are subject to vessel availability. For contingency purposes, this EP allows for the activities to occur within five years of EP approval. Activities within each campaign will be undertaken on a continual 24 hours per day basis and may occur 7 days a week at any time of the year.

2.4 Survey Design

The scope of the seabed surveys is to obtain a range of geotechnical and geophysical data to enable the identification of any geohazards and provide data to ensure completion of the required assessments for the MODU, which will conduct drilling under a separate exploration drilling EP.

The seabed survey activities may be performed across an area of up to 16 km^2 centred on each of the seven proposed locations (Table 2-1) within the Operational Area. Each campaign will encompass geotechnical and geophysical scopes for a Prospect Area.

The scope of work is compliant with ISO 19905-1:2016 (Natural gas industries – Site-specific assessment of mobile offshore units – Part 1: jack-ups).

The activities to be undertaken under this EP may include the following:

- Geotechnical survey scope comprising of:
  - Seabed grab sampling
  - Geotechnical boreholes:
    - piston/gravity cores and vibrocores
    - drilling core holes
    - piezocone penetration tests (PCPT).
- Geophysical survey scope comprising of:
  - Multibeam echo sounder (MBES)
  - Side scan sonar (SSS)
  - Sub-bottom profiling (SBP)

  - Magnetometer.
- Other survey techniques:
  - Ultra-short Baseline Positioning System (USBL)
  - Doppler velocity log and inertial navigation systems
  - Remotely Operated Vehicles (ROVs)/Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs).

Helicopters will not be used.

2.5 Geotechnical Survey

The geotechnical surveys will use standard industry equipment and will be carried out within the 10-day campaign at each Prospect Area.

2.5.1 Seabed Sampling

Samples of seabed sediments will be collected to validate and ground truth the geophysical survey data. Grab samples will be collected using a Shipek (or similar) grab sampler deployed using either a crane or winch on board the survey vessel. The grab sampler will be lowered to the seabed where it will trigger shut upon contacting the seabed. Upon triggering it retains approximately 0.13 m^3 of sediment. The sample is then brought back to the vessel where it is logged and stored for further analysis.

At each proposed well location up to 16 samples will be taken, depending on the variability of the seabed within the Operational Area. The footprint of each sample on the seabed will be less than 1 m^2.

2.5.2 Geotechnical Boreholes

One geotechnical borehole and/or several PCPTs may be completed at each proposed well location. The main purpose of this geotechnical survey is to obtain adequate soil data to assess jack-up rig spud can footing penetration and punch through analysis. The exact work will depend on the soil conditions encountered with a combination of boreholes and PCPT measurements acquired near the MODU location, to characterise the seabed to safely support the MODU.

Geotechnical investigation will extend to a maximum depth of 50 m below the seabed. The boreholes will be drilled and/or penetrometer tests performed using subsea coring equipment operated from a survey vessel. If PCPTs are carried out, a maximum of three tests will be performed per proposed Prospect Area (one for each of the potential future jack-up spud can positions). Geotechnical boreholes will either be piston/gravity cores or drilling (rotary) cores.

The duration to complete each borehole or PCPT will be approximately one day. Upon completion of the geotechnical boreholes/PCPT all equipment will be retrieved back to the vessel with nothing left on the seabed.

The exact locations for sampling and testing will depend on the geophysical data interpretation and preliminary engineering analysis but will be within the Prospect Areas.

2.5.2.1 Piston/Gravity Cores and Vibrocores

Piston coring involves a steel sample tube of approximately 85–105 mm diameter, penetrating the seabed whilst freefalling under its own weight, which results in a footprint of <15 m^2. No subsea hydraulic systems or electrical connections are required for the operation of a piston corer.

A vibrocore system has a vibration unit mounted to the top, generally driven by electric motors powered by a subsea cable connected to the survey vessel. No hydraulic systems are required for operation. The vibrocore system also utilises a sample tube of approximately 85–105 mm diameter.

Upon completion of each vibrocore, the complete vibrocore system will be retrieved to the vessel, leaving no equipment on the seabed.

2.5.2.2 Drilling (Rotary) Cores

Rotary borehole sampling using an open-centred drill bit may be required to sample seabed sediments and rock. The exact sampling system to be used is not yet known; if a seabed sampling system is selected, it will comprise of a seabed base frame which will have a footprint of approximately 14 m^2. Power and control to the seabed sampling system will likely be provided by a subsea electrical cable.

Upon completion of each core, the complete sampling system will be retrieved to the survey vessel, leaving no equipment on the seabed. The coring system is approximately 100 mm in diameter.

Cuttings consisting of inert material will be generated by coring operations. The volume of the material to be produced will be minimal as the majority of the core will be retrieved to the survey vessel for analysis. Any remaining cuttings is expected to settle in close proximity to the sampling locations. Cuttings will range in size from very coarse to very fine particles with up to 3.5 m^3 of cuttings per hole.

Fluid is required to lubricate the face of the drill bit used for coring, which keeps the boreholes clean (free from cuttings) and prevents the borehole from collapsing during the coring process. Seawater is the primary constituent of fluids used for geotechnical investigation. One or more chemically inert Water-based Muds (WBM) may be added to seawater if challenging boring conditions are encountered. As the survey is still in the design stage fluids to be used are yet to be confirmed; however, all fluids will be selected as per Vermilion's chemical selection process. The volume of WBM to be used will depend on the drilling depth achieved, based on the maximum target depth of 50 m, the volume of drilling fluid for each borehole is estimated to be approximately 3 m^3.

It should be noted that all seabed sediment sampling proposed within the scope of this EP is for geotechnical purposes only; no drilling of petroleum bearing reservoirs is proposed as part of the scope of this EP.

2.5.2.3 Piezocone Penetration Tests

All PCPT methods are similar in that a penetrometer (probe) is pushed into the seabed at a constant rate while continuously measuring the resistance, friction and water pressure. Although the exact equipment is not yet known, the system type will depend on sediment types encountered.

Typical penetration testing systems consist of a seabed frame and a hydraulic powered drive unit which push a probe into the sediment. The frame has a footprint on the seabed of approximately 3 m^2. The closed-loop hydraulic system will contain approximately 50 litres of fluid.

Upon completion of each test, the complete penetration testing system will be retrieved to the vessel, leaving no equipment on the seabed. The probe is approximately 40 mm in diameter.

2.6 Geophysical Survey

A range of geophysical systems may be used depending on seabed soil conditions. All techniques employed are industry accepted and will be performed within the five-day campaign for each Prospect Area.

2.6.1 Multibeam Echo Sounder

MBES surveys will enable the collection of bathymetry data and the correlation of depth information. This type of survey uses a sonar system to transmit short pulses of sound energy, analysing the return signal from the seafloor or other objects. MBES systems are usually hull mounted, pole mounted or ROV mounted and generally operated at a speed of 3–4 knots. MBES surveys transmit at frequencies above approximately 200 kHz. Indicative sound output at the source is equipment dependent and may range from 210 to 234 dB re 1 µPa@1m (Seiche, 2020).

2.6.2 Side-scan Sonar

Use of SSS methods will enable VOGA to identify seabed obstructions or features. This type of survey is a hydro-acoustic technique, comprising a set of transducers mounted on either side of a towed vehicle. The vehicle will be towed approximately 10–15 m above the seabed at a distance of about 150–200 m behind the vessel, at a speed of ~4 knots. The transducers produce high frequency pulses which reflect seabed features. The frequency is likely to be higher than 110 kHz. Indicative sound output at source may range from 200 to 234 dB re 1 µPa@1m (Seiche, 2020).

2.6.3 Sub-bottom Profiler

Acoustic SBP systems are based on ‘ping and chirp’ type equipment, used to determine the physical properties of the sea floor and to image and characterize the geological formations below the sea floor. The SBP equipment will either be hull mounted or towed at a distance of 50–100 m from the vessel depending on conditions and vessel capability. This equipment is typically low frequency (2–16 kHz (Beach, 2023)) with an indicative sound output at source ranging from 161 to 230 dB re 1 µPa@1m (Seiche, 2020).

2.6.4 Magnetometer

To check for the presence of any metal objects on the seabed a magnetometer will be attached to either a hull mounted or towed on a cable behind the vessel, approximately 10 m behind. The magnetometer measures the Earth's magnetic field and does not emit any sound pulses.

2.7 Other Survey Techniques

The following techniques may also be used during either the geotechnical survey or the geophysical survey.

2.7.1 Ultra-short Baseline Positioning System

USBL positioning systems are used for underwater positioning. The USBL system has a footprint of approximately 10 cm^2 and is dropped to the seabed then retrieved when no longer needed. It emits a signal with a frequency of approximately 30 kHz and source levels approximately 184–202 dB re 1µPa (SPL) at 1 m.

2.7.2 Doppler Velocity Log and Inertial Navigation Systems

These systems measure velocity relative to the sea floor. They emit sound beams with a frequency of approximately 400–600 kHz. The systems are typically mounted to the ROVs/AUVs.

2.7.3 Remotely Operated Vehicles/Autonomous Underwater Vehicles

An ROV or AUV is a tethered or autonomous underwater vehicle deployed from a vessel. ROVs are unoccupied, highly manoeuvrable and operated by a crew aboard the vessel. The ROV will be equipped at a minimum with a camera and lights. No wet parking of ROVs is planned.

2.8 Support Activities

2.8.1 Vessel Operations

The geotechnical and geophysical scopes may require separate survey vessels if no suitable vessels are available to undertake both scopes. Only one survey vessel, completing the geophysical or geotechnical scopes will be in the Operational Area at any one time.

The survey vessel/s will use Marine Gas Oil (MGO)/Marine Diesel Oil (MDO) fuel. During the geotechnical scope the vessel will be stationary and keep on location using Dynamic Positioning (DP). Vessel speeds during geophysical survey data acquisition are expected to be low (typically <5 knots). The vessels may be anchored in the Operational Area for weather contingencies or other unforeseen circumstances.

Due to the relatively short duration of each survey campaign (approximately 30 days in total at up to two Prospect Areas), vessel refuelling, and crew changes are not anticipated to be required while the vessels are in the Operational Area, but are included as a contingency. The survey vessel/s are expected to be mobilised from Dampier but may be sourced from international waters.

The survey vessel contractor and exact vessel details are yet to be confirmed. The contractor will be selected in accordance with the VOGA contractor management requirements described in Section 6.3. To allow for impact assessment, the specifications in Table 2-2, based on proxy vessels, have been assumed. The vessels used will not exceed the values provided.

Table 2-2: Vessel specifications

Vessel function	Persons on Board (POB)	Proxy vessel name	Largest single fuel tank size
Geotechnical survey	60	MV Fugro Voyager	144 m^3
Geophysical survey	28	MV Offshore Guardian	60 m^3

Section 3 – Description of the Environment

3.1 Overview

The OPGGS(E)R define 'environment' as the ecosystems and their constituent parts, natural and physical resources, qualities and characteristics or areas, the heritage value of places and includes social, economic and cultural features of those matters. In accordance with the Regulations, the Description of the Environment describes the ecological and social components of the environment relevant to the activity and achieves the following:

- Provides adequate information about the Environment that May Be Affected (EMBA) by the activity in sufficient detail to inform the evaluation of environmental impacts and risks. This includes the environment that may be affected by planned components of the activities, and the area that may be exposed during unplanned events such as a hydrocarbon spill.
- Adequately defines the environment that may be exposed to hydrocarbons in the event of a significant incident and the geographic extent of response and monitoring activities.
- Provides sufficient detail regarding relevant threatened or migratory species and their defined Biologically Important Areas (BIA) and/or habitat critical for species survival.
- Identifies all values and sensitivities (if any) within the environment including matters protected under Part 3 of the EPBC Act.
- Enables relevant persons and members of the public to understand the environmental values and sensitivities that may be affected by the activity.

Environmental hazards have the potential to result in impacts or risks to environmental receptors if they are present within the spatial or temporal boundaries of the environmental hazard. Receptors are defined as any component of the EMBA by the proposed activities.

By using the defined Project Areas below (Section 3.1.1), it is possible to identify receptors which may typically be impacted, depending on behaviour. The details of receptors within the existing environment of each Project Area provided in this section is sufficient to undertake the impact assessment.

3.1.1 Project Areas

Project Areas are the different areas defined for this EP as areas of potential hazard or exposure to receptors. The existing environment within the Project Areas are described in this section. The nature and scale of the information provided in the description of the environment depends on the potential environmental hazards which may occur within that Project Area, and their scope for exposure to affected receptors.

For this EP, the following Project Areas have been defined:

- **Operational Area** – The Operational Area (Figure 2-1) is within Permit WA-14-L, which is located in Commonwealth waters in the Carnarvon Basin off the northwest coast of WA,

approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. Refer to Section 2.2.1 for more information on the Operational Area.

- **Hydrocarbon Area** – The Hydrocarbon Area has been defined to include the worst-case extent of predicted hydrocarbon exposure from planned and unplanned activities at exposure values that may have ecological impacts (Figure 3-1). The Hydrocarbon Area has been defined based on the combined outcomes of stochastic modelling for the loss of MDO scenario (i.e. cumulative extent of a total of 100 model simulations per season) using moderate exposure values for each of the modelled oil components (10 g/m^2 floating, 50 ppb dissolved, 100 ppb entrained, 100 g/m^2 shoreline). These are the threshold levels anticipated to result in behavioural changes and sub-lethal and lethal effects to biota and includes all probabilities of exposure.
- **EMBA** – The EMBA for the Wandoo Field survey activities has been defined by a spatial area within which a change to the ambient environmental conditions may occur as a result of planned or unplanned activities (Figure 3-1). It is noted that changes in ambient conditions, as defined for the EMBA, does not imply that an adverse impact will occur, but at these thresholds, there may be social or socio-economic impacts. The EMBA for survey activities within the Wandoo Field extends approximately 170 km northeast of the coast of Port Hedland, and south to approximately 115 km east of Frazer Island, in the Ningaloo Reef area.

  The EMBA is defined based on the combined outcomes of stochastic modelling for the loss of MDO scenario (i.e. cumulative extent of a total of 100 model simulations per season) using low exposure values for each of the modelled oil components (1 g/m^2 floating, 10 ppb dissolved, 10 ppb entrained, 10 g/m^2 shoreline). These threshold levels are used to establish the range of socio-economic effects and establish planning area for scientific monitoring based on potential for exceedance of water quality triggers.

Figure 3-1: Environment that May Be Affected (EMBA)



3.1.2 Relevant Receptors

A separate search using the EPBC Act PMST was undertaken for the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA (Appendix C). For each receptor or receptor group known to occur within the defined Project Areas, their presence/absence is identified in Table 3-1. This, combined with the understanding of how each receptor is affected by environmental hazards guides the nature and scale of information provided throughout Section 3.

Table 3-1: Receptor presence or absence within Project Areas

Receptor group	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA
Physical environment	• Climate • Ambient water quality • Ambient sediment quality • Ambient air quality • Ambient noise • Ambient light.	• Climate • Ambient water quality • Ambient sediment quality • Ambient air quality • Ambient noise • Ambient light.	• Climate • Ambient water quality • Ambient sediment quality • Ambient air quality • Ambient noise • Ambient light.
Key benthic habitats	• Subtidal soft sediment and benthic fauna.	• Subtidal soft sediment and benthic fauna • Corals • Seagrasses • Macroalgae.	• Subtidal soft sediment and benthic fauna • Corals • Seagrasses • Macroalgae.
Key coastal communities	None	• Mangroves • Sandy beaches • Rocky shorelines/ intertidal reef platforms • Intertidal beaches/ mudflats.	• Mangroves • Sandy beaches • Rocky shorelines/ intertidal reef platforms • Intertidal beaches/ mudflats.
Key marine fauna	• Benthic invertebrates • Marine reptiles • Birds • Fish, sharks and rays • Marine mammals.	• Benthic invertebrates • Marine reptiles • Birds • Fish, sharks and rays • Marine mammals.	• Benthic invertebrates • Marine reptiles • Birds • Fish, sharks and rays • Marine mammals.
Social and economic environment	• Fisheries and aquaculture • Commercial shipping • Other users.	• Fisheries and aquaculture • Commercial shipping • Defence areas • Other users.	• Fisheries and aquaculture • Commercial shipping • Defence areas • Other users.
Protected and	None	• Underwater cultural heritage • Australian Marine Parks	• World Heritage properties • National Heritage places

Receptor group	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA
significant areas		• State Marine Protected Areas • Key Ecological Features (KEFs).	• Underwater cultural heritage • Australian Marine Parks • State Marine Protected Areas • KEFs.
First Nations	None	• Marine Park Cultural Heritage Values.	• Registered Aboriginal Cultural Heritage sites • Marine Park Cultural Heritage Values.

3.2 Regional Context

The Operational Area and EMBA overlap one marine region and five Integrated Marine and Coastal Regionalisation of Australia (IMCRA) provincial bioregions, as displayed in Figure 3-2. Descriptions of these regions are provided in the sections below.

Figure 3-2: IMCRA provincial bioregions



3.2.1 Marine Regions

3.2.1.1 North-west Marine Region

The Operational Area lies within the North-west Marine Region (NWMR). The NWMR lies within Commonwealth waters from the border of WA and the Northern Territory (NT) to Kalbarri, covering approximately 1.07 million km^2 of tropical and sub-tropical waters. The region is

characterised by shallow-water tropical marine ecosystems and high species richness, thought to be associated with the diversity of habitats available (DSEWPC, 2012a).

Sixty-one percent of the seafloor within the region is continental slope, of which extensive terraces and plateaux make up a large proportion. A majority of shallow waters are focused adjacent to the Pilbara and Kimberly regions (~30% of the total region) with the narrowest shelf margin of the region and Australia located at Ningaloo Reef. A majority of the region is relatively shallow with over 50% having water depths of less than 500 m. The sections of the Argo and Cuvier plains which lie within the region make up 10% of its total area and are the deepest sections of the region reaching depths of almost 6,000 m (DEWHA, 2008).

3.2.2 IMCRA Bioregion

The Integrated Marine and Coastal Regionalisation of Australia (IMCRA) is a biogeographic regionalisation of oceanic waters within Australia's Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ). IMCRA further classifies Australia's marine regions into smaller scale bioregions based on fish, benthic habitat and oceanographic data at a scale that is useful for regional conservation planning and management (DEWHA, 2008).

The Operational Area is located within the North-West Shelf (NWS) province. The EMBA overlaps a further four provincial bioregions:

- Northwest Transition
- Northwest Province
- Central Western Transition
- Central Western Shelf Transition.

3.2.2.1 North-West Shelf Province

The Operational Area is located within NWS Province. The NWS Province lies predominantly on the continental slope, extending from the North-West Cape to Cape Bougainville, covering an area of 238,759 km^2. The width of the bioregion varies along its length from approximately 50 km at the Exmouth Gulf to more than 250 km off Cape Leveque. About half the bioregion has water depths between 50 m and 100 m, with maximum depths reaching 200 m.

The bioregion is a dynamic oceanographic environment and is influenced by cyclonic storms, strong and internal tides and long period swell. Its waters derive from the Indonesian Throughflow and are warm, oligotrophic and circulate throughout the bioregion via branches of the South Equatorial and Eastern Gyral currents.

Fish communities in the bioregion are diverse, comprising of both benthic and pelagic fish. Humpback whales migrate through the area with the Exmouth Gulf considered an important resting area, particularly for mothers and calves on their southern migration. Several important breeding sites for seabirds are located within the region (outside Commonwealth waters), including Eighty Mile Beach and the Montebello, Barrow and Lacepede islands.

Industries that utilise the resources found in bioregion include the petroleum industry, commercial fishers and shipping, with nationally significant ports of Dampier and Port Hedland present (DEWHA, 2008).

3.2.2.2 Northwest Transition

The Northwest Transition Province covers an area of 184,424 km^2 and predominantly occurs on the continental slope (52%), with smaller areas in the north-west located on the Argo Abyssal Plain and continental rise (DEWHA, 2008). Water depths vary, generally ranging from 200 m depths at the shelf break to more than 1,000 m over the continental slope with a maximum depth of 5,980 m.

The seafloor topography of the bioregion is complex with a range of features including carbonate banks, submerged terraces, pinnacles, sand banks and reefs. The pinnacles and carbonate banks of the Joseph Bonaparte Gulf are distinctly different in morphology and character to other parts of the Region and are believed to support a high diversity of marine species.

Biological communities of the region are typical to that of Indo-west Pacific tropical flora and fauna. Marine environments include a range of soft bottom and hard substrate habitats. The WA population of humpback whales mate and give birth in the inshore waters off the Kimberley. In addition, the NWS Transition is important for commercial fisheries, defence, and the petroleum industry (DEWHA, 2008).

3.2.2.3 Northwest Province

The Northwest Province lies offshore between Exmouth and Port Hedland, entirely on continental slope. The region covers an area of 178,651 km^2 with water depths ranging from 1,000–3,000 m.

The dominant geomorphic feature is the Exmouth Plateau. The Montebello Trough and Swan Canyon are also important features of the region. The Exmouth Plateau contains the steepest shelf break in the Marine Region along the Cape Range Region near Ningaloo Reef. Dominant surface flow of the region includes circulation and recirculation (via the South Equatorial Current) of the Indonesian Throughflow. As a result of the predominantly southward moving surface waters, waters consolidate along the narrow shelf break adjacent to Cape Range Peninsular to form the Leeuwin Current which is a significant feature for the bioregion and areas further south.

Canyons in the bioregion are thought to aid in the channelling of water onto the Exmouth Plateau and certainly into the shelf along Ningaloo Reef, which aids in enhancing localised biological production. The Northwest Province represents the beginning of a transition between tropical and temperate marine species with high endemism in demersal fish communities on the slope evident in this bioregion. Commercial fishing and petroleum are important industries in some parts of the bioregion (DEWHA, 2008).

3.2.2.4 Central Western Transition

The Central Western Transition Province is located between Shark Bay and North-West Cape covering 162,891 km^2 of continental slope and abyss. Almost half of the region has water depths of more than 4,000 m, with maximum recorded water depths within the region of 5,330 m. As a result of the proximity of deep ocean areas to the continental slope and shelf, distinctive biological communities may have developed. The major geomorphic features of the bioregion

are Carnarvon Terrace, Wallaby Saddle, the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Cloates and Cape Range Canyons.

The Leeuwin Current, flowing south along the slope, is the dominant oceanographic feature in the region. Interactions between the Leeuwin Current, Leeuwin Undercurrent and the nearshore Ningaloo Current facilitate vertical mixing of water layers and are thought to be linked with bursts in productivity (particularly during summer). This bioregion also lies within the biogeographic transition between tropical and temperate marine species. The level of endemism within demersal fish communities on the slope is less than that in bioregions further north. Major industries in the bioregion are commercial fishing and petroleum (DEWHA, 2008).

3.2.2.5 Central Western Shelf Transition

Of all the provincial bioregions, the Central Western Shelf Transition is the smallest, covering an area of 9,698 km^2, located entirely on the continental shelf between the North-West Cape and Coral Bay. The maximum water depth in the region is 100 m.

This bioregion is strongly influenced by the Leeuwin Current and Leeuwin Undercurrent interacting with the northward flowing Ningaloo Current. It lies within a significant biogeographic transition between tropical and temperate species. The bioregion is largely covered by the Ningaloo Marine Park and Ningaloo Reef. Ningaloo Reef is an area of high biodiversity with over 200 species of coral and more than 460 species of reef fish. Other fauna which may occupy the reef environments within the region include marine turtles, dugongs and dolphins with the potential for whale sharks and manta rays to occupy outer reef areas. Commercial fishing and petroleum are important industries in some parts of the bioregion (DEWHA, 2008).

3.3 Physical Environment

Table 3-1 identifies that the physical environment includes receptors within the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA that may be relevant to aspects of the survey activities. The descriptions below provide sufficient details to assess all impacts and risks to these receptors.

The Operational Area lies on the North West Shelf in waters approximately 50-60 m deep (Figure 3-3).

Figure 3-3: Seabed bathymetry



3.3.1 Climate

The climate of the Pilbara region of WA is classified as arid tropical with two distinct seasons: a hot, wet summer (October to April); and a mild, dry winter (May to September) (Bureau of Meteorology, 2024).

Based on long-term climatic data from the nearest Bureau of Meteorology weather station at Karratha Airport (Station 004083), approximately 73 km south-southeast of the Operational Area, the mean annual rainfall since 1972 is 288.5 mm. The mean maximum temperatures range between 26.5°C in July and 36.2°C in December, and average above 30°C for much of the year (Bureau of Meteorology, 2024). Minimum temperatures range between 13.9°C in July and 26.9°C in January.

Winds vary seasonally, with a tendency for westerly winds in summer and southerly winds in winter (Pearce et al., 2003). There are often distinct ‘transition’ periods between the summer and winter regimes, which are characterised by calmer periods. Rainfall is low and unpredictable, with most rainfall occurring between January and May, often associated with the passage of tropical cyclones (Pearce et al., 2003).

3.3.2 Ambient Water Quality

Marine water quality within the EMBA is expected to be representative of the typically pristine and high water quality found in offshore WA waters. Variations to this state (e.g. increased turbidity) may occur in coastal regions that are subject to large tidal ranges, terrestrial runoff or anthropocentric factors (i.e. ports, industrial discharges, etc.).

Water quality sampling data is available within Pilbara coastal waters, within the EMBA. Water quality surveys undertaken in February and June of 2003 and October 2024 (Worley, 2025) show that:

- The concentration of total recoverable hydrocarbons in the end of the pipe sample onboard the Wandoo Facility was 6,842 µg/L but this rapidly diluted in receiving waters (Worley, 2025)
- There were slightly elevated levels of zinc recorded at Wandoo Facility with a value of 5 µg/L (above the guideline value of 3.3 µg/L)
- Water quality sampling in waters surrounding the Wandoo Facility indicated that copper and zinc were elevated above the Default Guideline Value (DGV) but only within 70 m of the facility (Worley, 2025)
- Water quality profiles showed warm saline, low turbidity surface layer, with no evidence of thermoclines or upwelling/downwelling within surveyed layers of water (Worley, 2025)
- Water quality in the coastal waters of the NWS is generally of very high quality (Worley, 2025; Wenziker et al., 2006).

3.3.3 Ambient Sediment Quality

Several surveys have been undertaken within the vicinity of the Operational Area. In addition to the Bowman Bishaw Gorham (BBG) (1996) baseline sediment survey, a post-commissioning sediment survey was undertaken by Sinclair Knight Merz (SKM) in 1996. BBG (1996) found the sediments were comprised of a mixture of fine, medium, coarse and very coarse sands. This is consistent with sediments found throughout the NWS Province where sediment is dominated by sand (Baker et al., 2008). Particle size data from the study undertaken by SKM in 1996 indicated that sediments are typically comprised of unconsolidated to coarse sands. This shift towards a coarser grain size is indicative of discharge received from drilling at Wandoo.

Sediment metal, petroleum hydrocarbon and Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) levels were also tested during both studies (BBG, 1996; SKM, 1996). Sediment metal levels have increased since the baseline survey; however, they were within the trigger values outlined in the former ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) sediment quality guidelines which have since been revised in the Water Quality Guidelines (ANZG, 2018). These metal concentrations reflect background levels of the region (Long et al., 1995). Petroleum hydrocarbons and PAH levels were below detection limits in all samples collected during the surveys (BBG, 1996; SKM, 1996).

An additional baseline survey for the Wandoo Facility was undertaken in 2015 and in 2024 to assess changes that may have occurred since the original baseline and post-commissioning surveys undertaken in the 1990s (Operational Baseline Survey 2015 Report Ref: 246043-001-REP-NM-001, August 2016, Worley 2025). Hydrocarbons in sediments at all sites were below the laboratory Limit of Reporting (LOR) or below the available Sediment Quality Guideline for the highest level of protection in the former ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines. Sediment metal/metalloid concentrations at all sites were below the former respective trigger values, or where no guidelines existed lower or similar to previous studies with the exception of Barium. This was likely due to the presence of residual drilling muds (containing barium) at the time of the post-commissioning survey.

There was an overall increase in sediment metal concentrations compared to the baseline survey 20 years ago (BBG, 1996), however, no trend has been identified in direction or distance from the Wandoo B platform. Furthermore, of the metals that have increased in sediments since the baseline survey, none are currently at concentrations exceeding the recommended guideline for the 99% species protection level.

In 2015 and 2024, sediment infauna abundance and species richness were significantly higher than during the baseline survey in 1996 and the post-commissioning survey in 1998.

3.3.4 Ambient Air Quality

The majority of the offshore NWS Province region is relatively remote and therefore air quality in the Operational Area is expected to be high. Anthropogenic sources (e.g. vessels, industry developments) would contribute to local variations in air quality. However, results of previous monitoring within the region suggest that the concentration of air quality parameters remains low. Measured levels of nitrogen dioxide and ozone during a Pilbara air quality study were found to be below the National Environment Protection Measure (NEPM) standards (DoE, 2004).

More recently, Chevron published results of air quality monitoring on Barrow Island (within the EMBA, 107 km southwest of the Operational Area) which showed no values that exceeded the NEPM standards for nitrogen oxide (NO_2), ozone (O_3), sulphur dioxide (SO_2), carbon monoxide (CO), hydrogen sulphide (H_2S) or Aromatic Hydrocarbons (BTEX) (Chevron Australia, 2022). Chevron's monitoring showed exceedances of PM10 levels (particulate matter less than 10 µm) around some facilities on the island, however, these were attributed to localised unsealed road dust and regional events (Chevron Australia, 2022).

3.3.5 Ambient Noise

The Operational Area is relatively remote and therefore ambient noise levels are expected to be low. Ambient noise within the NWS Province region is expected to be dominated by natural physical (e.g. wind, waves, rain) and biological (e.g. echo location and communication noises generated by cetaceans and fish) sources. Anthropogenic noise sources that are also likely to be experienced in the area include low-frequency noise from vessels.

3.3.6 Ambient Light

The Operational Area is relatively remote and therefore ambient light levels are expected to be low. Ambient light within the NWS Province region is expected to predominantly be from solar/lunar luminance. However, artificial light sources associated with anthropogenic activities also exist, including both permanent (e.g. onshore/offshore developments) and temporary (e.g. vessels) light sources.

3.4 Ecological Environment

3.4.1 Key Benthic Habitats

Table 3-1 identifies that key benthic habitats are receptors within the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA that may be relevant to aspects of the survey activities. Four key marine habitats are described below. The description provides sufficient details to assess all

impacts and risks to key marine habitats. Figure 3-4 shows benthic biota and benthic substrate within the EMBA.

Figure 3-4: Key benthic habitats



3.4.1.1 Subtidal Soft Sediment and Benthic Fauna

ROV surveys on the NWS, at similar water depths to those in the Operational Area, indicate the sea floor is comprised of fine silt/sand substrates (RPS, 2012 unpublished data). The sediments were variously bioturbated, however benthic communities were generally sparse with low densities of organisms (e.g. crustaceans, molluscs, and polychaetes). According to the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) Marine Benthic Substrate Database (CSIRO, 2015), the sea floor sediment type within the Operational Area is calcareous gravel, sand and silt (Figure 3-4).

Unconsolidated sediments support diverse benthic fauna living both in the sediments (infauna) and on the sediment surface (epifauna). Infauna species consist predominantly of mobile burrowing species including molluscs, crustaceans (crabs, shrimps and smaller related species), polychaetes, sipunculid and platyhelminth worms, asteroids (sea stars), echinoids (sea urchins) and other small animals. Epifauna species include small crustaceans and molluscs, echinoderms and larger sessile organisms such as sponges, corals, sea whips and sea squirt (DEC, 2006).

Soft sediment habitats that support infauna are widespread in deeper offshore areas and in more protected environments throughout the region (CALM and MPRA, 2005; DEC, 2006). The sediments range from clays to silts and fine sands. The depths of the Argo Abyssal Plain support sparsely distributed sessile organisms such as filter-feeding and deposit-feeding species (DEWHA, 2008). The spatial and temporal distribution and density of infauna depends not only on sediment composition but also on factors such as season, water depth, water temperature and wave-induced currents (Ward and Rainer, 1988; Rainer, 1991; Kinhill, 1997). Communities in shallower areas (less than 30 m deep) are likely to be dominated by sessile filter-feeding species

such as sponges, sea pens and ascidians. Sediments in deeper water are typically finer (muddier) and the benthic community is dominated by benthic scavengers and deposit feeders including polychaete worms, crustaceans, molluscs and echinoderms (DEWHA, 2008).

The sea floor of the deeper waters of the NWS is primarily a soft sediment habitat that can support scavengers, benthic filter feeders and epifauna communities (Brewer et al., 2007). Any areas of exposed hard substrate are likely to be colonised by deep water filter-feeding organisms, such as hydroids and sponges.

A baseline survey undertaken in 2024 using box coring samples showed benthic infauna increases in species richness and abundance at sites further away from the Wandoo Facility, which may be influenced by sediment composition and particle size distribution with higher proportions of silt and clay at sites 500 m or more from the platform (Worley, 2025). Benthic infauna composition was considerably different from previous surveys with amphipods being the most abundant infauna group. In comparison, a 1996 survey showed the most abundant taxon was crustaceans, polychaete was the most abundant taxon in a 1998 survey, and nematodes the most dominant group in a 2015 survey (Worley, 2025).

3.4.1.2 Corals

No natural coral reef communities are found in the Operational Area. The nearest areas of significant coral reef to the Operational Area are the Glomar Shoals (approximately 40 km northeast), Dampier Archipelago (approximately 35 km southeast), Barrow/Montebello Islands (approximately 85 km southwest) and Ningaloo Reef (approximately 280 km southwest). Coral reef communities are widespread throughout the EMBA.

Corals are generally divided into two broad groups: the zooxanthellate (‘reef-building’, ‘hermatypic’ or ‘hard’) corals and the azooxanthellate (‘ahermatypic’ or ‘soft’) corals. The hard corals contain symbiotic microalgae (zooxanthellae) that enhance growth and allow the coral to secrete large amounts of calcium carbonate. The soft corals are generally smaller and often solitary (Tzioumis and Keable, 2007). Hard corals are generally found in shallower (<50 m) waters while the soft corals are found at most depths, particularly those below 50 m (Tzioumis and Keable, 2007).

Hard corals are widespread within the EMBA, with significant coral reefs occurring at a number of sites including within the Ningaloo Marine Park near Exmouth (Section 3.6.4), the Montebello/Barrow/Lowendal islands, Muiron island, the Dampier Archipelago, Glomar Shoals and Rankin Bank. The upwelling of cooler, nutrient-rich water from the Indian Ocean along the continental shelf break provides preferential conditions for the development of hard corals (DEWHA, 2008). In the NWS region, coral reefs in clearer, offshore waters typically have higher coral density and diversity than reefs associated with turbid near-shore waters (Woodside, 2006).

The Ningaloo Reef is unique in that it is a mainland fringing coral reef, the largest of its kind in Australia (CALM and MPRA, 2005). The Ningaloo Reef extends over 260 km and is the only large reef in the world found so close to the western coast of a continental land mass (within 100 m at closest point). The Reef includes intertidal and deeper sub-tidal corals, with over 300 species representing 54 genera recorded (UNESCO, 2011).

In addition to the larger coral reefs that have regional significance, smaller reefs and individual coral colonies are found throughout areas where water quality and benthic substrate are conducive to coral survival. Intertidal hard corals also occur within the EMBA, typically as individual colonies on rocky shores. These intertidal corals do not form a large habitat class within the region, with beaches/mudflats and mangroves the most common shoreline habitat.

Significant coral spawning occurs in autumn for a number of species, although some taxa such as *Porites* and *Acropora* spp. may spawn in spring and summer (Baird et al., 2011; Rosser and Gilmour, 2008). Spawning events have been observed throughout the Dampier Archipelago in March and April (Stoddart and Gilmour, 2005) and along the Ningaloo Coast during March (Simpson et al., 1993).

3.4.1.3 Seagrasses

Seagrasses are widespread throughout the shallower areas of the EMBA. No seagrasses are present within the Operational Area (Figure 3-4).

Seagrasses are marine flowering plants, with about 30 species found in Australian waters (Huisman, 2000). Seagrass generally grows in soft sediments within intertidal and shallow subtidal waters where there is sufficient light and are common in sheltered coastal areas such as bays, lees of islands and fringing coastal reefs (McClatchie et al., 2006; McLeay et al., 2003). Seagrasses are important primary producers in tropical in-shore waters as they provide energy and nutrients for detrital grazing food webs. Seagrass meadows are important in stabilising seabed sediments, and providing nursery grounds for fish and crustaceans, and a protective habitat for the juvenile fish and invertebrates species (Huisman, 2000; Kirkman, 1997). Seagrasses also provide important habitat for fish and dugongs within the NWS Province (DEWHA, 2008).

Seagrass species within the EMBA exhibit seasonal trends in abundance and distribution (Orth et al., 2006). Natural disturbance events such as cyclones and dugong grazing can also affect local seagrass distribution and abundance.

Ideal conditions for seagrasses include clear waters, low nutrients, protection from heavy seas and swells, and sandy substrate. Many seagrass species are perennial (grow all year round) and cover extensive areas, such as those of Shark Bay and Exmouth Gulf. Seagrasses can also be found adjacent to offshore islands, including Dampier Archipelago and the Montebello and Barrow islands (CALM and MPRA, 2005; DEC, 2006).

Coastal shallow-water seagrass habitats account for only 0.2% of Australia's coastlines (Duke et. al., 2010). Montebello and Barrow Islands contain sparse seagrass habitat (McMahon et al., 2017), however, the closest known key areas of seagrass habitat to the Operational Area are the Exmouth Gulf and Ningaloo Reef area.

3.4.1.4 Macroalgae

Macroalgae are not found within the Operational Area, however, are widespread within shallower areas within the EMBA (Figure 3-4). Macroalgae are generally found where hard substrates occur; although macroalgae such *Caulerpa, Halimeda, Udotea* and *Penicillus* can anchor in soft sediments or attach to shell fragments or rubble. Subtidal macroalgae often occur with coral reefs, colonising dead coral and coral rubble for attachment. Intertidal macroalgae

may also occur throughout the EMBA, as there is widespread intertidal hard substrate and intertidal rock platforms suitable for macroalgal growth. Macroalgae are important primary producers and support diverse and abundant fauna of small invertebrates that are the principal food source for many in-shore fish species.

A large number of tropical macroalgal species have been recorded from the region, with over 200 species documented in the Pilbara alone (Huisman, 2004; Huisman and Borowitzka, 2003). Macroalgal habitat within the region may occur in subtidal or intertidal areas of hard substrate where sufficient light is available for photosynthesis. Such areas are likely to include shallow (<25 m) subtidal reefs and rocky intertidal shores and be widespread throughout the region.

Due to the widespread nature of macroalgal habitat within the EMBA, there are no identified areas of significant environmental value.

3.4.2 Key Coastal Communities

Table 3-1 identifies that key coastal communities are receptors within the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA that may be relevant to aspects of the survey activities. The description below provides sufficient details to assess all impacts and risks to key coastal communities.

3.4.2.1 Mangroves

No mangrove communities are found within the Operational Area. The closest regionally significant mangroves to the Operational Area occur within the Dampier Archipelago. Other areas of mangrove habitat occurring within the EMBA include Barrow Island, and the Montebello Islands (Figure 3-5) (EPA, 2001).

WA does not support any unusual, endemic or restricted mangrove species. All mangrove species within WA are common and widespread elsewhere, either in northern Australia or in the Indo-Pacific region near northern Australia.

Mangroves are recognised as significant because they are productive coastal forest systems, providing habitat and shelter for infauna, epifauna, gastropods, crustaceans, fish and other marine species. Mangroves are important nursery areas for fish, lobster and prawn species, some of which are targeted by recreational and commercial fishers (Nagelkerken et al., 2008; DEC, 2007a). Mangroves may also provide shelter for other species such as juvenile turtles (DEC, 2007a). Ospreys (*Pandion haliaetus*) and white-bellied sea eagles (*Haliaeetus leucogaster*) roost in mangroves, while brahminy kites (*Haliastur indus*) and a range of smaller birds nest in them (DEC, 2007a). Mangroves are also recognised for their capacity to protect coastal areas from erosion due to storms and storm surge.

3.4.2.2 Saltmarsh

No saltmarsh communities are found within the Operational Area. Saltmarsh habitat is common within tidal flats or wetland habitats along the Pilbara coast but is present within the EMBA only on Barrow Island (Figure 3-5).

Saltmarshes are terrestrial halophytic (salt-adapted) ecosystems that mostly occur in the upper-intertidal zone. They are typically dominated by dense stands of halophytic plants such as herbs, grasses and low shrubs. The diversity of saltmarsh plant species increases with increasing

latitude (in contrast to mangroves). The vegetation in these environments is essential to the stability of the saltmarsh, as they trap and bind sediments. The sediments supporting saltmarsh are generally sandy silts and clays and can often have high organic material content. Saltmarshes provide a habitat for a wide range of both marine and terrestrial fauna, including infauna and epifaunal invertebrates, fish, and birds (DEE, 2016).

Figure 3-5: Key coastal communities



3.4.2.3 Intertidal Beaches/Mudflats

No intertidal beaches or mudflats are found within the Operational Area. While they are widespread in the region, due to limited shoreline contact they only occur on Barrow Island within the EMBA (Figure 3-6). Intertidal beaches and mudflats host a range of infauna, including molluscs and polychaetes that are likely to be an important food source for wading birds (DoE, 2015b).

One intertidal beach/mudflat area of international conservation significance occurs within the EMBA: Bandicoot Bay. These habitats are recognised as an important resource for a diverse range of migratory bird species, the majority of which feed in the area after migrating from the northern hemisphere (DoE, 2015b). Bandicoot Bay is a Conservation Reserve within the Montebello/Barrow Islands Marine Management Area.

3.4.2.4 Rocky Shoreline/Intertidal Reef Platforms

Rocky shorelines and intertidal reef platforms are present within the EMBA, occurring on island shores (Figure 3-6). No rocky shorelines/intertidal reef platforms are found within the Operational Area.

Rocky shoreline formations offer habitat to a range of intertidal species including invertebrates and shorebirds. Rocky shorelines are often indicative of high-energy areas (wave action) where

sediment deposition is limited or restricted. They are formed from limestone pavement extending out from the beach into subtidal zones, e.g. along the Ningaloo Coast and North-West Cape. Higher relief platforms (>0.5 m off the high-water mark) are also present at several headlands along the North-West Cape (DEC, 2006).

3.4.2.5 Sandy Beaches

Sandy beaches are found within the EMBA on some islands, including Barrow. No sandy beaches are present in the Operational Area (Figure 3-6).

Sandy beaches are those areas within the intertidal zone where unconsolidated sediment has been deposited by wave and tidal action. Sandy beaches can vary from low to high energy zones which will influence the profile of each beach through varying rates of erosion and accretion. They are generally interspersed among areas of hard substrate (e.g. sandstone) that form intertidal platforms and rocky outcrops.

Sandy beaches provide habitat for a variety of burrowing invertebrates and subsequently provide foraging areas for seabirds. Crested terns are known to nest on sandy beaches. Further detail on breeding and nesting sites for seabirds and shorebirds are provided in Section 3.4.3.3.

Sandy beaches can also provide a turtle nesting habitat, particularly at the Barrow/Montebello/ Lowendal islands and Ningaloo Coast. Further information on turtle nesting areas is provided in Section 3.4.3.6.

Figure 3-6: Shoreline types



3.4.3 Key Marine Fauna

Table 3-1 identifies that key marine fauna are receptors within the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA that may be relevant to aspects of the survey activities. The descriptions below provide sufficient details to assess all impacts and risks to key marine fauna.

3.4.3.1 Plankton

Plankton species, including both phytoplankton and zooplankton, are a key component in oceanic food chains. Phytoplankton are autotrophic planktonic organisms living within the photic zone; and reside at the start of the food chain in the ocean (McClatchie et al., 2006). Phytoplankton communities are largely comprised of protists, including green algae, diatoms, and dinoflagellates (McClatchie et al., 2006). There are three size classes of phytoplankton: microplankton (>20 µm), nanoplankton (2–20 µm) and picoplankton (<2 µm) (Broatas et al., 2022). Diatoms and dinoflagellates are the most abundant of the micro and nanoplankton size classes and are generally responsible for the majority of oceanic primary production (McClatchie et al., 2006). Phytoplankton are dependent on oceanographic processes (e.g. currents and vertical mixing), that supply nutrients needed for photosynthesis. Thus, phytoplankton biomass is typically variable (spatially and temporally), but greatest in areas of upwelling, or in shallow waters where nutrient levels are high.

Zooplankton is the faunal component of plankton, comprised of small protozoa, crustaceans (e.g. krill) and the eggs and larvae of other taxa such as fish, corals, and molluscs. Zooplankton includes species that drift with the currents and those that are motile. Zooplankton within the EMBA may include organisms that complete their lifecycle as plankton (e.g. copepods, euphausiids) as well as larval stages of other taxa such as fishes, corals, and molluscs (CALM and MPRA, 2005). Peaks in zooplankton can occur from mass coral spawning events (typically occurring in March – April and September – November) (Rosser and Gilmour, 2008). Fish larvae abundance occurs throughout the year (Harris et al., 2005; Rosser and Gilmour, 2008; Simpson et al., 1993). Some of these taxa are commercially and recreationally important species in the region.

Primary productivity of the NWMR is generally low and appears to be largely driven by offshore influences (Brewer et al., 2007), with periodic upwelling events and cyclonic influences driving coastal productivity through nutrient recycling and advection. Within the region, peak primary productivity along the shelf edge occurs in late summer/early autumn. Variation in productivity can also be linked to higher biologically productive period in the area (e.g. mass coral spawning events).

3.4.3.2 Benthic Invertebrates

The benthic invertebrates of the NWS region are of low abundance but highly diverse and comprised largely of borrowing polychaete worms and crustaceans (Rainer, 1991). Echinoderms and molluscs are also common on the continental shelf and slope in this region. The diversity and abundance of benthic invertebrates decreases with distance from the coast over most of the NWS – this may be attributed to increasing depth or increasing distance from terrestrial and coastal organic input (SKM, 1996).

A baseline survey, conducted in May 1996 (BBG, 1996) prior to installation of the Wandoo facilities, concluded that the infauna assemblage was depauperate, with low numbers of species

and low abundance. Most of the common species (small crabs, shrimps and polychaetes) are detritivores, feeding on organic matter in the surface layers of the sediment. This survey also found that most areas were bare of epifauna but occasional epifauna mainly sponges and corals were observed.

Subsequent environmental surveys conducted in 1998 (SKM, 1998), 2015 (Aurecon, 2016), and 2024 (Worley, 2025) showed benthic infauna composition that was considerably different, with amphipods being the most abundant infauna group. In the 1996 survey, the most abundant taxon was crustaceans, the 1998 survey showed polychaete was the most abundant taxon, and nematodes the most dominant group in the 2015 survey. The overall abundance and richness of infauna was higher in the 2024 survey than the previous surveys (Worley, 2025). On a regional scale, the infauna community in the vicinity of the Operational Area is also comparable to those at similar sites on the NWS.

It is unlikely that the infauna community would have changed significantly from the baseline survey as the infauna assemblage was depauperate, with low numbers of species and low abundance.

3.4.3.3 Seabirds and Shorebirds

Seabird and shorebird species presence within the Project Areas is shown in Table 3-2. The presence of most species, particularly within the Operational Area are expected to be of a transitory nature only. Table 3-7 identified BIAs for five species that overlap the EMBA and one of those species (the wedge-tailed shearwater) has a BIA within the Operational Area. These species are discussed further below.

Table 3-2: Seabird and shorebird species or species habitat that may occur within the Project Areas

Scientific name	Common name	EPBC Act status			Project Areas		
		Threatened Species	Migratory Species	Listed Marine Species	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA
Actitis hypoleucos	Common Sandpiper	-	✓(W)	✓	MO	KO	KO
Anous stolidus	Common Noddy	-	✓((M)	✓	MO	LO	LO
Apus pacificus	Fork-tailed Swift	-	✓(M)	✓	LO	LO	LO
Ardenna carneipes	Flesh-footed Shearwater	-	✓(M)	✓	-	MO	LO
Ardenna pacifica	Wedge-tailed Shearwater	-	✓(M)	✓	-	BKO	BKO
Bubulcus ibis	Cattle Egret	-	-	✓	-	-	MO
Calidris acuminata	Sharp-tailed Sandpiper	V	✓(W)	✓	MO	MO	KO
Calidris canutus	Red Knot	V	✓(W)	✓	MO	MO	KO
Calidris ferruginea	Curlew Sandpiper	CE	✓(W)	✓	MO	KO	KO
Calidris melanotos	Pectoral Sandpiper	-	✓(W)	✓	MO	MO	MO
Calonectris leucomelas	Streaked Shearwater	-	✓(W)	✓	LO	LO	LO
Chalcites osculans	Black-eared Cuckoo	-	-	✓	-	-	KO
Charadrius leschenaultii	Greater Sand Plover	V	✓(W)	✓	-	-	KO

Scientific name	Common name	EPBC Act status			Project Areas		
		Threatened Species	Migratory Species	Listed Marine Species	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA
Charadrius veredus	Oriental Plover, Oriental Dotterel	-	✓(W)	✓	-	-	MO
Chroicocephalus novaehollandiae	Silver Gull	-	-	✓	-	BKO	BKO
Erythrotriorchis radiatus	Red Goshawk	E	-	-	-	-	MO
Falco hypoleucos	Grey Falcon	V	-	-	-	-	KO
Fregata ariel	Lesser Frigatebird	-	✓(M)	✓	LO	LO	KO
Fregata minor	Great Frigatebird	-	✓(M)	✓	MO	MO	MO
Glareola maldivarum	Oriental Pratincole	-	✓(W)	✓	-	-	MO
Haliaeetus leucogaster	White-bellied Sea-Eagle	-	-	✓	-	LO	KO
Hirundo rustica	Barn Swallow	-	✓(T)	✓	-	MO	MO
Hydroprogne caspia	Caspian Tern	-	✓(M)	✓	-	BKO	BKO
Limnodromus semipalmatus	Asian Dowitcher	V	✓(W)	✓	-	-	KO
Limosa lapponica	Bar-tailed Godwit	-	✓(W)	✓	-	KO	KO
Limosa lapponica menzbieri	Northern Siberian Bar-tailed Godwit	E			-	KO	KO
Limosa lapponica	Bar-tailed Godwit	-	✓(W)	✓	-	KO	MO
Macronectes giganteus	Southern Giant-Petrel	E	✓(M)	✓	MO	MO	LO
Malurus leucopterus edouardi	White-winged Fairy-wren (Barrow Island)	V	-	-	-	-	MO
Merops ornatus	Rainbow Bee-eater	-	-	✓	-	-	MO
Motacilla cinerea	Grey Wagtail	-	✓(T)	✓	-	MO	MO
Motacilla flava	Yellow Wagtail	-	✓(T)	✓	-	MO	KO
Numenius madagascariensis	Eastern Curlew	CE	✓(W)	✓	MO	KO	BKO
Onychoprion anaethetus	Bridled Tern	-	✓(M)	✓	-	BKO	BKO
Onychoprion fuscatus	Sooty Tern	-	-	✓	-	BKO	BKO
Pandion haliaetus	Osprey	-	✓(W)	✓	-	BKO	MO
Papasula abbotti	Abbott's Booby	E	-	✓	-	-	MO
Pezoporus occidentalis	Night Parrot	E	-		-	-	KO
Phaethon lepturus	White-tailed Tropicbird	-	✓(M)	✓	MO	LO	MO
Phaethon lepturus fulvus	Christmas Island White-tailed Tropicbird	E	-	✓	MO	MO	LO
Phaethon rubricauda westralis	Red-tailed Tropicbird (Indian Ocean)	E	-		LO	LO	FLO
Pterodroma mollis	Soft-plumaged Petrel	V	-	✓	-	-	LO
Rostratula australis	Australian Painted Snipe	E	-	✓	-	MO	BKO

Scientific name	Common name	EPBC Act status			Project Areas		
		Threatened Species	Migratory Species	Listed Marine Species	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA
Sterna dougallii	Roseate Tern	-	✓(M)	✓	BLO	BKO	BKO
Sternula albifrons	Little Tern	-	✓(M)	✓	-	MO	BKO
Sternula nereis	Fairy Tern	-	-	✓	-	BKO	BKO
Sternula nereis nereis	Australian Fairy Tern	V	-		BKO	BKO	BKO
Sula leucogaster	Brown Booby	-	✓(M)	✓	-	-	MO
Thalassarche carteri	Indian Yellow-nosed Albatross	V	✓(M)	✓	-	MO	MO
Thalassarche impavida	Campbell Albatross	V	✓(M)	✓	-	-	BKO
Thalasseus bengalensis	Lesser Crested Tern	-	-	✓	-	BKO	BKO
Thalasseus bergii	Greater Crested Tern	-	✓(W)	✓	-	BKO	LO
Tringa nebularia	Common Greenshank	E	✓(W)	✓	-	-	KO

Threatened species:
V Vulnerable
E Endangered
CE Critically Endangered

Migratory species:
M Marine
W Wetland
T Terrestrial

Type of presence:
MO Species of species habitat may occur within area
LO Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
KO Species or species habitat known to occur within area
FMO Foraging, feeding or related behaviour may occur within area
FLO Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
FKO Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
BLO Breeding likely to occur within area
BKO Breeding known to occur within area
RLO Roosting likely to occur within area
RKO Roosting known to occur within area
CKO Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area

Fairy Tern

Breeding BIAs for the fairy tern (*Sterna nereis*) (Figure 3-7) intersect the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. The fairy tern is Marine-listed under the EPBC Act and the subspecies Australian fairy tern (*Sterna nereis nereis*) is listed as vulnerable. The WA breeding population (approximately 5,000–6,000 mature individuals) is dispersed over approximately 2,500 km of coastline (Greenwell, 2021). Within WA, the subspecies comprises a sedentary Pilbara population and a partially-migratory population extending from Exmouth to Point Malcolm. Individuals of the partially-migratory population may occasionally migrate into the southern region of the North-West Marine Region (NWMR) during the winter months.

Figure 3-7: BIAs for the fairy tern



The partially-migratory population is widely distributed and winters primarily around the northern Houtman Abrolhos Islands (Greenwell, 2021). These birds migrate to breeding areas as far south as Point Malcolm on the eastern south coast and as far north as the Ningaloo coast, while others remain within the Houtman Abrolhos Archipelago (Greenwell, 2021).

Within the NWMR breeding occurs in small colonies between June and September on offshore islands, including Simpson Island, Barrow Island, the Montebello Islands, the Lowendal Islands, Thevenard Island, Serrurier Island, the islands in the Dampier Archipelago, Maryanne Shoals and Egret Island (Dunlop, 2018; Johnstone et al., 2013). Colonies tend to occupy areas rather than fixed sites, and nest sites can be abandoned after one or more years, even if they have been successful (Saunders and de Rebeira, 1985).

While information regarding foraging ecology of this species within the NWMR is lacking, the fairy tern has been studied in South Australia. Here, the species typically forages in inshore waters and has been reported to rarely travel beyond 2 km during the breeding season in South Australia (Paton and Rogers, 2009).

Fairy terns are diurnal plunge diving, feeding exclusively on small (<60 mm) surface schooling bait fishes throughout their range. Prey include species of sprats, hardy heads and larval prey of some demersal fish species. Unlike many other terns, fairy terns are not dependent upon large pelagic fishes to drive their prey to the surface (Paton and Rogers, 2009).

Lesser Crested Tern

Breeding BIAs for the lesser crested tern (*Thalasseus bengalensis*) (Figure 3-8) intersect the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. There is no conservation listing for this species.

Figure 3-8: BIAs for the lesser crested tern



Many populations remain sedentary in their breeding areas or disperse locally (del Hoyo et al., 1996), although some are more migratory (Urban et al., 1986). The species breeds in large, dense colonies, or in small groups of fewer than 10 pairs amidst colonies of other species, such as silver gull (del Hoyo et al., 1996). Colonies are located on islands, including those as far offshore as Bedout, Legendre and the Montebello and Lowendal islands (Johnstone et al., 2013). Adult breeders have shown both high site fidelity and also flexibility in their breeding localities, depending upon the spatial and temporal reliability of food resources (Crawford et al., 2002).

Breeding occurs from late March to May (Johnstone et al., 2013). During breeding, crested terns conduct short, diurnal foraging trips close (<40 km) to the colony (Surman and Wooller, 2003; Rock et al., 2007; Mcleay et al., 2010) with most foraging behaviour displayed by individuals at distances >5 km (Mcleay et al., 2010).

The chicks are predominantly fed pelagic fish, a diet that varies among colonies and years (Chiaradia et al., 2002; McLeay et al., 2009). Adults may forage more widely on inshore reef fish (Surman and Wooller, 2003), crustaceans and cephalopods using a plunge diving method (Commonwealth of Australia, 2020).

Lesser Frigatebird

Breeding BIAs for the lesser frigatebird (*Fregata ariel*) (Figure 3-9) intersect the EMBA. The lesser frigatebird is listed migratory under the EPBC Act and BC Act. The species is typically found throughout tropical waters with breeding populations on small, remote tropical and sub-tropical islands, in mangroves or bushes, and on bare ground (Commonwealth of Australia, 2020).

Figure 3-9: BIAs for lesser frigatebird



The lesser frigatebird is observed to remain further out to sea during the day and move to inshore waters during rough weather or in the late evening (Chatto, 2001). The species is known to breed on Bedout island between March and September and may also be present during the non-breeding season (Marchant and Higgins, 1990; Mustoe and Edmunds, 2008). The lesser frigatebird generally forages close to breeding colonies feeding on fish and squid in flight (Jaquemet et al., 2005; Marchant and Higgins, 1990).

Roseate Tern

Breeding BIAs for the roseate tern (*Sterna dougallii*) (Figure 3-10) intersect the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. The roseate tern is listed migratory under the EPBC Act and BC Act. This species is generally sub-tropical in distribution and there are many breeding populations in the NWMR, including Ashmore Reef, Bonaparte Archipelago, Lacepede Islands, Dampier Archipelago and the Lowendal Islands.

The largest roseate tern breeding colony in WA is in the Houtman Abrolhos Islands (Surman and Nicholson, 2009). Large colonies breed within the Lowendal Island and Montebello Island region where there is a stronghold for this species (Higgins and Davies, 1996). A large breeding colony has also been recorded on Goodwyn Island on the Dampier Archipelago (Higgins and Davies, 1996). Peak breeding times across the NWMR area are between May to August.

Figure 3-10: BIAs for the roseate tern



Birds are known to usually move away from breeding colonies following breeding, but their non-breeding range is not well defined (Higgins and Davies, 1996). Roseate terns will forage diurnally, up to 60 km from their colonies and always over deeper shelf waters, rather than shallow coastal areas (Surman and Wooller, 2003). Roseate terns will also readily raft (roost in flocks on the seas surface) after foraging episodes (Commonwealth of Australia, 2020).

Roseate terns predominately eat small pelagic fish taken by plunge diving or surface dipping, typically foraging in dense flocks overflying predatory fishes that push their prey to the surface. Roseate terns may plunge to 20 cm depth.

Wedge-tailed Shearwater

A Breeding BIA for the wedge-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*) (Figure 3-11) intersects with the Operational Area. This BIA is based on buffer areas surrounding the offshore islands (e.g. within Dampier Archipelago) that are used for nesting by this species.

The wedge-tailed shearwater is a pelagic species, listed as migratory under the EPBC Act and has widespread distribution across the Indian and Pacific oceans with a global population of 2.6 million pairs. Of this population, approximately 1 million pairs breed in Australia, predominantly on islands off the coast of WA between Ashmore Reef and Rottnest Island. The largest breeding populations are found on the Houtman Abrolhos (~600,000 pairs) (Surman and Nicholson, 2009) and throughout the NWS region of the NWMR, where large populations exist on Muiron Islands (300,000 pairs) and Serrurier Island (60,000 pairs) (Surman and Nicholson, 2009; 2015).

Figure 3-11: BIAs for the wedge-tailed shearwater



Adults are absent from their breeding colonies during the interbreeding period and return from their tropical Indian Ocean over-wintering grounds from late June onwards to re-excavate their burrows. This species is highly synchronous in timing of breeding; all eggs within a colony are laid within a 10-day period. Once hatched, adults leave the burrows to forage locally during the day returning at night to feed chicks until they are ready to fledge (Nicholson, 2002). Due to the high synchronicity in egg laying, fledging is restricted to the first two weeks of April (Nicholson, 2002).

Following breeding, wedge-tailed shearwaters on the Houtman Abrolhos Islands and Varanus Island migrate 4,500 km northwest to equatorial waters of the Indian Ocean around 90°E (Surman et al., 2018), traversing the NWMR.

3.4.3.4 Fish, Sharks and Rays

Fish, shark and ray species presence within the Project Areas is shown in Table 3-3. Table 3-7 identified BIAs for one species (whale shark) that overlap the EMBA. The whale shark is discussed further below.

Table 3-3: Shark and ray species or species habitat that may occur within the Project Areas

Scientific name	Common name	EPBC Act status			Project Areas		
		Threatened Species	Migratory Species	Listed Marine Species	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA
Sharks and rays							
Anoxypristis cuspidata	Narrow Sawfish	-	✓(M)	✓	LO	LO	KO
Carcharhinus longimanus	Oceanic Whitetip Shark	-	✓(M)	✓	LO	LO	LO
Carcharias taurus (west coast population)	Grey Nurse Shark (west coast population)	V	✓(M)	✓	LO	LO	CKO
Carcharodon carcharias	White Shark	V	✓(M)	✓	MO	MO	KO
Isurus oxyrinchus	Shortfin Mako	-	✓(M)	✓	LO	LO	LO
Isurus paucus	Longfin Mako	-	✓(M)	✓	LO	LO	LO
Lamna nasus	Porbeagle, Mackerel Shark	-	✓(M)	✓	-	-	MO
Mobula alfredi	Reef Manta Ray	-	✓(M)	✓	KO	KO	KO
Mobula birostris	Giant Manta Ray	-	✓(M)	✓	LO	LO	KO
Pristis clavata	Dwarf Sawfish	V	✓(M)	✓	KO	KO	KO
Pristis pristis	Freshwater Sawfish	V	✓(M)	✓	MO	LO	LO
Pristis zijsron	Green Sawfish	V	✓(M)	✓	KO	KO	KO
Rhincodon typus	Whale Shark	V	✓(M)	✓	FKO	FKO	FKO
Sphyrna lewini	Scalloped Hammerhead	CD	-	-	LO	KO	KO
Other							
Acentronura larsonae	Helen's Pygmy Pipehorse	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Bulbonaricus brauni	Braun's Pughead Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Campichthys tricarinatus	Three-keel Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Choeroichthys brachysoma	Pacific Short-bodied Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Choeroichthys latispinosus	Muiron Island Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Choeroichthys suillus	Pig-snouted Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Corythoichthys flavofasciatus	Reticulate Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Cosmocampus banneri	Roughridge Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Doryrhamphus dactyliophorus	Banded Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Doryrhamphus excisus	Bluestripe Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Doryrhamphus janssi	Cleaner Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Doryrhamphus multiannulatus	Many-banded Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Doryrhamphus negrosensis	Flagtail Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Festucalex scalaris	Ladder Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Filicampus tigris	Tiger Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Halicampus brocki	Brock's Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO

Scientific name	Common name	EPBC Act status			Project Areas		
		Threatened Species	Migratory Species	Listed Marine Species	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA
Halicampus grayi	Mud Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Halicampus nitidus	Glittering Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Halicampus spinirostris	Spiny-snout Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Haliichthys taeniophorus	Ribboned Pipehorse	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Hippichthys penicillus	Beady Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Hippocampus angustus	Western Spiny Seahorse	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Hippocampus histrix	Spiny Seahorse	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Hippocampus kuda	Spotted Seahorse	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Hippocampus planifrons	Flat-face Seahorse	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Hippocampus spinosissimus	Hedgehog Seahorse	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Hippocampus trimaculatus	Three-spot Seahorse	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Micrognathus micronotopterus	Tidepool Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Milyeringa veritas	Cape Range Cave Gudgeon	V	-	-	-	-	KO
Ophisternon candidum	Blind Cave Eel	V	-	-	-	-	KO
Phoxocampus belcheri	Black Rock Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Solegnathus hardwickii	Pallid Pipehorse	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Solegnathus lettiensis	Gunther's Pipehorse	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Solenostomus cyanopterus	Robust Ghostpipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Syngnathoides biaculeatus	Double-end Pipehorse	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Trachyrhamphus bicoarctatus	Bentstick Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Trachyrhamphus longirostris	Straightstick Pipefish	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO

Threatened species:
V Vulnerable
E Endangered
CE Critically Endangered

Migratory species:
M Marine
W Wetland
T Terrestrial

Type of presence:
MO Species of species habitat may occur within area
LO Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
KO Species or species habitat known to occur within area
FMO Foraging, feeding or related behaviour may occur within area
FLO Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
FKO Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
BLO Breeding likely to occur within area
BKO Breeding known to occur within area
RLO Roosting likely to occur within area
RKO Roosting known to occur within area
CKO Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area

Whale Shark

A Foraging BIA for the whale shark (*Rhincodon typus*) intersects the Operational Area (Table 3-7, Figure 3-12). However, it is known that the whale sharks are more common migrating along the 200 m depth contour, which is further offshore from the Operational Area (TSSC, 2015b). The whale shark is widely distributed in Australian waters; but Ningaloo Reef is the main known aggregation area in WA. Whale sharks aggregate at Ningaloo between March and July each year to feed. Aggregations occur due to seasonal concentrations of planktonic prey such as krill and zooplankton which are a source of food for the whale shark. The whale shark also aggregates in the coastal waters off Christmas Island between December and January. The whale shark is a filter feeder and feeds at or close to the water's surface by swimming forward with mouth agape, sucking in prey. While the species is generally encountered close to or at the surface, it will regularly dive and move through the water column (DoE, 2024).

An observational study by D'Antonio et al. (2025) investigated the influences of geomorphological features and oil and gas platforms on whale shark movement patterns and habitat connectivity. Natural geomorphological features such as pinnacles, canyons, and seamounts were identified to promote habitat connectivity and strongly influence habitat use by whale sharks across regional and local spatial scales. Offshore oil and gas platforms were also observed to have a similar effect, enhancing habitat connectivity. The offshore oil and gas platforms most visited by whale sharks were located close to the edge of the continental shelf and near natural geomorphological features. Through the study by D'Antonio et al. (2025) it is possible that these offshore oil and gas platforms act like 'stepping-stones' during migration and may increase vessel collision risks.

Figure 3-12: BIAs for the whale shark



3.4.3.5 Marine Mammals

Marine mammal species presence within the Project Areas is shown in Table 3-4. Table 3-7 identified BIAs for three species that overlap the EMBA and one of those species (the humpback whale) has a BIA within the Operational Area and Hydrocarbon Area. These species are discussed further below.

Table 3-4: Marine mammal species or species habitat that may occur within the Project Areas

Scientific name	Common name	EPBC Act status			Project Areas		
		Threatened Species	Migratory Species	Listed Marine Species	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA
Cetaceans							
Balaenoptera acutorostrata	Minke Whale	-	-	-	MO	MO	MO
Balaenoptera bonaerensis	Antarctic Minke Whale	-	✓(M)	-	-	LO	LO
Balaenoptera borealis	Sei Whale	V	✓(M)	-	MO	LO	FLO
Balaenoptera edeni	Bryde's Whale	-	✓(M)	-	MO	LO	LO
Balaenoptera musculus	Blue Whale	E	✓(M)	-	LO	MKO	MKO
Balaenoptera physalus	Fin Whale	V	✓(M)	-	MO	LO	FLO
Delphinus delphis	Common Dolphin	-	-	-	MO	MO	MO
Eubalaena australis	Southern Right Whale	E	✓(M)	-	-	MO	LO
Feresa attenuata	Pygmy Killer Whale	-	-	-	-	MO	MO
Globicephala macrorhynchus	Short-finned Pilot Whale	-	-	-	-	MO	MO
Grampus griseus	Risso's Dolphin	-	-	-	MO	MO	MO
Kogia breviceps	Pygmy Sperm Whale	-	-	-	-	MO	MO
Kogia sima	Dwarf Sperm Whale	-	-	-	-	MO	MO
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's Dolphin	-	-	-	-	MO	MO
Megaptera novaeangliae	Humpback Whale	-	✓(M)	-	BKO	BKO	BKO
Mesoplodon densirostris	Blainville's Beaked Whale	-	-	-	-	MO	MO
Orcaella heinsohni	Australian Snubfin Dolphin	-	✓(M)	-	MO	LO	KO
Orcinus orca	Killer Whale	-	✓(M)	-	MO	MO	MO
Peponocephala electra	Melon-headed Whale	-		-	-	MO	MO
Physeter macrocephalus	Sperm Whale	-	✓(M)	-	-	MO	MO
Pseudorca crassidens	False Killer Whale	-		-	LO	LO	LO
Sousa sahulensis	Australian Humpback Dolphin	-	✓(M)	-	MO	LO	KO
Stenella attenuata	Spotted Dolphin	-	-	-	MO	MO	MO
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped Dolphin	-	-	-	-	MO	MO
Stenella longirostris	Long-snouted Spinner Dolphin	-	-	-	-	MO	MO

Scientific name	Common name	EPBC Act status			Project Areas		
		Threatened Species	Migratory Species	Listed Marine Species	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed Dolphin	-	-	-	-	MO	MO
Tursiops aduncus	Indian Ocean Bottlenose Dolphin	-	-	-	LO	LO	LO
Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations)	Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations)	-	✓(M)	-	LO	KO	KO
Tursiops truncatus s. str.	Bottlenose Dolphin	-	-	-	MO	MO	MO
Ziphius cavirostris	Cuvier's Beaked Whale	-	-	-	-	MO	MO
Sirenia							
Dugong dugon	Dugong	-	✓(M)	✓	KO	KO	BKO

Threatened species:
V Vulnerable
E Endangered
CE Critically Endangered

Migratory species:
M Marine
W Wetland
T Terrestrial

Type of presence:
MO Species of species habitat may occur within area
LO Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
KO Species or species habitat known to occur within area
FMO Foraging, feeding or related behaviour may occur within area
FLO Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
FKO Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
BLO Breeding likely to occur within area
BKO Breeding known to occur within area
RLO Roosting likely to occur within area
RKO Roosting known to occur within area
CKO Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area

Pygmy Blue Whale

A Foraging and Migration BIA for the pygmy blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus*) intersects the EMBA (Figure 3-13).

During the northern migration, the pygmy blue whales are generally around the Perth Canyon area from January to May prior to transiting north past the North-West Cape between April to August. The southern migration typically occurs from October to late-December (DEWHA, 2008c). The migratory path for the pygmy blue whales generally occurs in deeper waters, typically 500–1,000 m (Thums et al., 2022a) with the whales being reported as far as 400 km offshore during their southern migration (McCauley et al., 2018).

Pygmy blue whales appear to feed regularly along their migration route (i.e. at least once per week or more frequently) and are likely to have multiple food caches along their migratory route (e.g. Rowley Shoals and Ningaloo Reef) (ConocoPhillips, 2018).

Recognised feeding areas of significance to this species, include Ningaloo Reef (DoE, 2015). The Ningaloo Reef area has the capacity to offer feeding opportunities to pygmy blue whales through unique biophysical conditions able to support large biomasses of marine species (Double et al., 2014).

Figure 3-13: BIAs for the pygmy blue whale



Surface lunge feeding of pygmy blue whales has been observed at North-West Cape and Ningaloo Reef in June (Double et al., 2014). Outside of the recognised feeding areas, possible foraging areas for pygmy blue whales include the greater region off Exmouth (DoE, 2015a). The steep gradient features tend to stimulate upwelling and therefore increased productivity (seasonally variable) (ConocoPhillips, 2018). Hence, they provide a favourable foraging area.

It is likely that migratory individuals may transit through the Operational Area during the north and south bound migratory seasons. Individuals migrating northbound predominantly display fast and direct travel interspersed with short rest periods of low move persistence indicating foraging (Thums et al., 2022).

Humpback Whale

The humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) has a Migration BIA that intersects the Operational area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA, and a Resting BIA that intersects the EMBA (Figure 3-13). Listing advice details that the humpback whale is no longer listed as vulnerable and has been removed from the threatened species list. However, the species remains a matter of national environmental significance under the EPBC Act as a Migratory listed species (DCCEEW, 2024k).

The species migrates north through the EMBA from their Antarctic feeding grounds around May each year, reaching the waters of the NWMR in early-June (DoE, 2024b). However, the exact timing of the migration period can vary from year to year. From the North-West Cape, northbound humpback whales travel along the edge of the continental shelf passing to the west of the Muiron, Barrow and Montebello Islands, peaking in late July (Jenner et al., 2001).

Figure 3-14: BIAs for the humpback whale



Breeding and calving grounds are estimated to extend south from Camden Sound to at least North-West Cape (Irvine et al., 2018); with breeding and calving occurring between August and September (DEWHA, 2008c). This also coincides with the start of the southern migration. Exmouth Gulf and Shark Bay are both important resting areas for migrating humpbacks, particularly for cow-calf pairs on the southern migration (DoE, 2024b). The southerly migration, from around the Lacepede Islands (north of Broome) extends parallel to the coast on approx. the 20–30 m depth contour (Jenner et al., 2001; DoE, 2024b). Southbound migration is more diffuse and irregular, lacking an obvious peak. An increase in southerly migrating individuals may be observed between the North-West Cape and the Montebello Islands around November (Jenner et al., 2001).

Dugong

Breeding, Calving, Foraging and Nursing BIAs for the dugong intersect the EMBA (Figure 3-15). A significant proportion of the world's dugong (*Dugong dugon*) population occurs in coastal waters from Shark Bay (WA) to Moreton Bay (Queensland) (DoE, 2024c). Shark Bay occurs outside of the EMBA and supports a significant population of dugongs, with an estimated 10,000 individuals (DoE, 2024c). Dugongs are also known to feed and migrate through the NWS Province, including regions within the Exmouth Gulf, around North-West Cape and offshore on the NWS (DoE, 2024c). The Exmouth Gulf dugong population is considered stable and the only one not in decline. Exmouth Gulf is important to this species, as it has been recorded as providing significant breeding and feeding habitat (Jenner and Jenner, 2005). Seagrass meadows are the preferred habitat and food for dugongs; however, they are also known to graze on algae and macroinvertebrates (DoE, 2024c).

Figure 3-15: BIAs for the dugong



3.4.3.6 Marine Reptiles

Marine reptile species presence within the Project Areas is shown in Table 3-5. Table 3-7 identified BIAs for four species that overlap the EMBA and one of those species (flatback turtle) has a BIA within the Operational Area. These species are discussed further below.

Table 3-5: Marine reptile species or species habitat that may occur within the Project Areas

Scientific name	Common name	EPBC Act status			Project Areas		
		Threatened Species	Migratory Species	Listed Marine Species	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA
Marine turtles							
Caretta caretta	Loggerhead Turtle	E	✓(M)	✓	CKO	FKO	BKO
Chelonia mydas	Green Turtle	V	✓(M)	✓	CKO	FKO	BKO
Dermochelys coriacea	Leatherback Turtle	E	✓(M)	✓	LO	KO	FKO
Eretmochelys imbricata	Hawksbill Turtle	V	✓(M)	✓	CKO	FKO	BKO
Natator depressus	Flatback Turtle	V	✓(M)	✓	CKO	FKO	BKO
Sea snakes							
Aipysurus apraefrontalis	Short-nosed Sea Snake	CE	-	✓	LO	KO	KO
Aipysurus duboisii	Dubois' Sea Snake	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Aipysurus foliosquama	Leaf-scaled Sea Snake	CE	-	✓	KO	KP	KO
Aipysurus laevis	Olive Sea Snake	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Aipysurus mosaicus	Mosaic Sea Snake	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Aipysurus tenuis	Brown-lined Sea Snake	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO

Scientific name	Common name	EPBC Act status			Project Areas		
		Threatened Species	Migratory Species	Listed Marine Species	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA
Emydocephalus annulatus	Eastern Turtle-headed Sea Snake	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Ephalophis greyae	Mangrove Sea Snake	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Hydrelaps darwiniensis	Port Darwin Sea Snake	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Hydrophis czeblukovi	Fine-spined Sea Snake	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Hydrophis elegans	Elegant Sea Snake	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Hydrophis kingii	Spectacled Sea Snake	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Hydrophis macdowelli	MacDowell's Sea Snake	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Hydrophis major	Olive-headed Sea Snake	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Hydrophis ornatus	Spotted Sea Snake	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Hydrophis peronii	Horned Sea Snake	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Hydrophis platura	Yellow-bellied Sea Snake	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Hydrophis stokesii	Stokes' Sea Snake	-	-	✓	MO	MO	MO
Crocodile							
Crocodylus porosus	Salt-water Crocodile	-	x(M)	✓	MO	MO	MO

Threatened species:
V Vulnerable
E Endangered
CE Critically Endangered

Migratory species:
M Marine
W Wetland
T Terrestrial

Type of presence:
MO Species of species habitat may occur within area
LO Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
KO Species or species habitat known to occur within area
FMO Foraging, feeding or related behaviour may occur within area
FLO Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
FKO Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
BLO Breeding likely to occur within area
BKO Breeding known to occur within area
RLO Roosting likely to occur within area
RKO Roosting known to occur within area
CKO Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area

Flatback Turtle

The flatback turtle (*Natator depressus*) has Inter-nesting Buffer BIAs that intersect with the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. Mating and Foraging BIAs intersect the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. Aggregation, Inter-nesting, Migration, and Nesting BIAs intersect with the EMBA (Figure 3-16).

The flatback turtle has an Australasian distribution, with all recorded nesting beaches occurring within tropical to sub-tropical Australian waters. One third of the total breeding for the species occurs in WA (Limpus, 2007). The Pilbara stock nests throughout the NWS and is characterised by summer nesting (October to March) (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017). On the NWS, significant rookeries are centred on Barrow Island especially the east coast beaches (DSEWPC, 2012a). Montebello Islands, Thevenard Island, Varanus Island, the Lowendal Islands, King Sound

and Dampier Archipelago are also significant rookeries (Pendoley, 2005; Limpus, 2007; Pendoley Environmental, 2011).

Figure 3-16: BIAs for the flatback turtle



Delambre Island is an important turtle rookery for flatback turtles with an estimated population size of approximately 2,700–3,900 nesting females per season and nesting from late October to mid-February (Thums et al., 2020). There have also been occasional records of nesting by flatback turtles on the Jurabi Coast and Muiron Islands (CALM, 2005). During turtle surveys for Santos, WA flatback turtle nesting was recorded on Bessieres Islands (Astron, 2014), Serrurier, Flat and Table Island in previous surveys (Pendoley Environmental, 2009). Previously, the status of the flatback population(s) was undetermined and although not well quantified, it was estimated to be many thousands of females (Limpus, 2007). However, Pendoley et al. (2014) reported both Barrow Island and Mundabullangana flatback turtles as substantial reproductive populations with estimates of 1,512 and 1,461 nesting females annually respectively.

Flatback turtles are thought to return to the same small beach for successive clutches both within and between seasons (Thums et al., 2020; Bannister et al., 2016). Thums et al. (2020) identified 4,369 sightings of tagged flatback turtles that were sited more than once at Delambre Island during beach monitoring between November and January from 2010 to 2016. About 40% of the turtles identified to revisit Delambre Island occurred during an interval of two years (Thums et al., 2020). Satellite tracking of adult (female) flatback turtles shows they use a variety of inshore and offshore marine areas off the east and west coasts of Barrow Island. Females inter-nest close to their nesting beaches, typically in 0–10 m of water (Chevron, 2008). However, flatback turtles also travel approximately 70 km and inter-nest in shallow nearshore water off the adjacent mainland coast, before returning to Barrow Island to lay another clutch of eggs. The average inter-nesting period is 13–16 days.

From long-term tagging studies on Varanus Island and Pendoley's observations, it appears that the nesting season for flatback turtles' peaks in December and January with subsequent peak

hatchling emergence in February and March. Flatbacks have been observed to nest on Varanus Island between November and February (Chevron, 2008; Pendoley Environmental, 2011, 2013). Population monitoring of flatback turtles on Varanus Island, calculated from 16 seasons, indicates a mean population estimate of 226 (±97). Modelled flatback turtle populations have shown a slight decline from 2008–09 to 2016–17, which is considered to be part of fluctuations in the natural cycle (Astron, 2017). Flatback turtles tend to nest on all beaches on Varanus Island (Astron, 2017). Flatback hatching and emergence success is noted as higher compared to that reported for other WA rookeries (Pendoley et al., 2014).

Green Turtle

The green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) has Foraging, Inter-nesting Buffer and Mating BIAs that intersect with the Hydrocarbon Area and the EMBA. Aggregation, Inter-nesting, Nesting and Migration BIAs intersect with the EMBA (Figure 3-17). No green turtle BIAs intersect the Operational Area.

Figure 3-17: BIAs for the green turtle



The NWS population of green turtles is one of the largest in the world and the most significant rookery is the western side of Barrow Island (Prince, 1994; Limpus, 2008a). Other principal rookeries include the Lacepede Islands, Montebello Islands, Dampier Archipelago, Browse Island and North-West Cape (Prince, 1994; Limpus, 2008a; DSEWPC, 2012d).

Surveys by Waayers (2010) within the Ningaloo Marine Park and Muiron Islands Marine Management Area estimated up to 7,500 female green turtles used these areas. In 2014, Santos commissioned a survey of the islands in the Exmouth Region which found that North and South Muiron Islands were significant nesting sites for green turtles with over 100 green turtles nesting overnight on one beach at North Muiron Island (Astron, 2014). The green turtle is also known to breed in large numbers in the dunes above the extensive beaches found on Serrurier

Island, with counts indicating the island supports the second largest rookery in the Pilbara (Oliver, 1990).

The green turtle is one of the more predominant species nesting in the Pilbara region (Pendoley et al., 2016). In the Pilbara region, the species nest over ~4–6 months with inter-nesting intervals varying among location (Pendoley et al., 2016). Green turtle nesting abundance and timing fluctuates significantly from year to year depending on environmental variables, locality and food availability (Pendoley Environmental, 2011). Nesting activity is primarily located on outer islands away from mainland coastline (Pendoley et al., 2016). Pendoley et al. (2016) recorded 55 nesting green turtles at 36% of all survey locations at Port Hedland, Onslow, Dampier, and Barrow Group between 1992 and 2012.

Nesting of green turtles has been recorded from August to March on Serrurier Island (Woodside, 2002) and from October to February on Varanus Island (Pendoley Environmental, 2011). On Barrow Island, mating aggregations may commence from October with peak nesting from December to January, with hatchlings emerging through summer and early autumn. However, nesting on Barrow Island has been recorded all year round (Chevron, 2005, 2008; Pendoley, 2005). Nesting on the Scott Reef-Sandy Islet and Browse Island has been observed all year round with peaks between December and January (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017).

Green turtles spend the first 5 to 10 years of their life drifting on ocean currents, before moving to reside in shallower benthic habitats, including tropical coral and rocky reefs and seagrass beds. Green turtles have been known to migrate more than 2,600 km between feeding and breeding grounds (Limpus, 2008a).

Hawksbill Turtle

The hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricate*) has Foraging, Inter-nesting Buffer and Mating, BIAs that intersect with the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. Inter-nesting, Migration, and Nesting BIAs intersect the EMBA (Figure 3-18).

Hawksbill turtles (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) have a global distribution throughout tropical and sub-tropical marine waters. The Western Australian stock is concentrated on the NWS (Dampier Archipelago) (Limpus, 2009) and is considered to be one of the largest hawksbill populations remaining in the world. In WA, their nesting range is relatively small and extends from the Muiron Islands to the Dampier Archipelago, a distance of approximately 400 km. The most significant breeding areas, that support hundreds of nesting females annually, are around sandy beaches within the Dampier Archipelago, Montebello Islands, Lowendal Islands and Barrow Island (Pendoley, 2005; Limpus, 2009).

The largest known nesting area for the NWS population is the sandy shoreline of Rosemary Island, within the EMBA, particularly on the northwestern side of the Island. The Rosemary Island rookery may support up to 1,000 nesting females annually (Limpus, 2009). Low density nesting is also known from Barrow Island, Airlie Island, Muiron Islands and North-West Cape/ Ningaloo coast (Cape Range) (Limpus, 2009a). Hawksbill turtles have been observed breeding on the NWS between July and March with peak nesting activity around the Lowendal Islands between October and December (Limpus, 2009a).

Figure 3-18: BIAs for the hawksbill turtle



On Varanus Island, hawksbill turtle nesting activity is predominantly distributed on the island's east coast, including Pipeline, Harriet, and Andersons beaches (Pendoley Environmental, 2019). Individual hawksbill turtles appear to show a strong fidelity to these beaches, often returning to the same beach to nest within the season (Pendoley Environmental, 2019). Between 1986 and 2019, a total of 571 individual hawksbill turtles were tagged on Varanus Island. Recent baseline data was collected at the Montebello and Dampier Australian Marine Parks (AMPs) by Keesing, 2019 showing that only one hawksbill turtle was identified during the survey at the Dampier AMP only. No marine turtle species were identified during the survey at Montebello AMP.

Individuals may migrate up to 2,400 km between their nesting and foraging grounds (DSWEPaC, 2012c), however, a recent tagging study showed that turtles migrating from WA rookeries remain on the continental shelf (<200 m depth) and within Australian waters during their inter-nesting, migrating and foraging phases (Fossette et al., 2021). Satellite tracking of nesting turtles on Varanus Island (32 km) and Rosemary Island has shown adult turtles to feed between 50 km and 450 km from their nesting beaches (DSWEPaC, 2012a).

Adults tend to forage in tropical tidal and sub-tidal coral and rocky reef habitat where they feed on an omnivorous diet of sponges, algae, jelly fish and cephalopods (DSWEPaC, 2012a). Hawksbill turtles are unlikely to spend significant time within offshore waters as it is too deep to act as a feeding ground. However, it is likely they may migrate through those areas. A Foraging BIA was identified within the EMBA; however, the existing BIA does not include the majority of foraging areas calculated (AIMS, 2021). While approximately 23% of the hawksbill turtles foraging distribution occurred within MPAs, the existing BIAs are largely underestimating the important foraging areas for the turtles (AIMS, 2021). This supports the results of a joint study conducted by Fossette et al. (Fossette et al., 2021), which found only 10% of foraging areas utilised by 42 nesting turtles (between 2000 and 2017) were encompassed by the designated foraging BIA. Fossette et al. (2021) found that the highest overlap of individual turtles occurred within the Migratory BIA corridor.

Loggerhead Turtle

An Inter-nesting Buffer and Nesting BIA for the loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*) intersects the Hydrocarbon Area and the EMBA (Figure 3-19). The Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (2017) identifies the Muiron Islands (as a principal rookery), and all waters within a 20 km radius as habitat critical to the survival of loggerhead turtles (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017). The Muiron Islands, within the EMBA, is one of the major nesting locations (Limpus, 2008a).

Figure 3-19: BIAs for the loggerhead turtle



Estimates of up to 5,000 female loggerhead turtles have been predicted within the Ningaloo Marine Park and Muiron Islands Marine Management Area (Waayers, 2010). Loggerhead nesting and breeding occurs from November to March, with a peak in late December/early January (Limpus, 2008b).

Habitat Critical for the Survival of Sea Turtles

Habitat critical to the survival of the green turtle, loggerhead turtle, leatherback turtle and the hawksbill turtles located within the Hydrocarbon Area is described in Table 3-6.

Table 3-6: Habitat critical to the survival of marine turtles occurring within the Hydrocarbon Area

Species	Genetic stock	Nesting locations	Approximate distance and direction of habitat critical buffer from Operational Area (km)	Inter-nesting buffer	Nesting period	Hatching period
Flatback Turtle	Pilbara	Barrow Island, Montebello Islands, coastal islands from Cape Preston to Locker Island	13.7 km SSW	60 km	Oct–Mar (peak: Nov–Jan)	Feb–Mar
		Dampier Archipelago, including Delambre Island and Hauy Island	Overlap			
		Mundabullangana Beach	100 km ESE			
Green Turtle	NWS	Barrow Island, Montebello Islands, Serrier Island and Thevenard Island	53.7 km SSW	20 km	Nov–Mar (peak: Dec–Feb)	Jan–May (peak: Feb–Mar)
		Dampier Archipelago	14.2 km SSE			
		Exmouth Gulf and Ningaloo coast	256.7 km SSW			
Hawksbill Turtle	WA	Cape Preston to mouth of Exmouth Gulf including Montebello Islands and Lowendal Islands	53.7 km SSW	20 km	All year (peak: Oct–Jan)	All year (peak: Dec–Feb)
		Dampier Archipelago, including Delambre Island and Rosemary Island	14.2 km SSE			
Loggerhead Turtle	WA	Exmouth Gulf and Ningaloo coast	256.7 km SSW	20 km	Nov–Mar (peak: Jan)	Jan–May

3.4.4 Biologically Important Areas and Critical Habitat

Table 3-7 summarises BIAs that intersect with the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. The PMST tool applies a grid block system that conservatively identifies areas in which protected species have the potential to occur. The PMST tool identified a BIA for the white-tailed tropicbird and the brown booby. However, the BIAs for these species are located outside of the EMBA and have not been considered in this section.

Table 3-7: BIAs within the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA

Species	BIA presence			Summary description of BIA
	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Seabirds and shorebirds				
Fairy Tern (*Sterna nereis*)	-	br	br	Breeding grounds and buffer area around offshore islands in Gascoyne and Pilbara. Breeding may occur late-July to September.
Lesser Crested Tern (*Thalasseus bengalensis*)	-	br	br	Breeding grounds and buffer area around offshore islands in Gascoyne, Pilbara and Kimberley (including Lowendal Islands and Bedout Island). Breeding may occur March to June.
Lesser Frigatebird (*Fregata ariel*)	-	-	br	Breeding grounds and buffer area around coasts and islands in Kimberley and Pilbara.
Roseate Tern (*Sterna dougallii*)	-	br	br	Breeding grounds and buffer area around offshore islands in Gascoyne, Pilbara and Kimberly. Breeding presence may occur mid-March to July.
Wedge-tailed Shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*)	br	br	br, f	Breeding grounds and buffer area around offshore islands including Bedout Island, Dampier Archipelago, Forestier Islands, Montebello and Lowendal Islands. Breeding presence may occur between mid-August to April (Pilbara) or to mid-May (Shark Bay).
Fish, sharks and rays				
Whale Shark (*Rhincodon typus*)	f	f	f	Aggregation occurs in Ningaloo due to seasonal concentrations (March to July) of krill and other zooplankton which whale sharks forage upon.
Marine mammals				
Pygmy Blue Whale (*Balaenoptera musculus*)	-	-	f, m	Offshore migration corridor, typically along shelf-edge at depths 500–1,000 m, occurring close to the coast around Exmouth. Presence may occur during northern migration past Exmouth area during April to August (whereas January to May past Perth Canyon area). Southern migration presence may occur October to late-December. Foraging along outer continental shelf from Cape Naturaliste to south of Jurien Bay (November to June, with peak in March to May).
Humpback Whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*)	m	m	m, r	Migration corridor extends out to ~50–100 km from the coast. Migration along the WA coast occurs between May and late November.

Species	BIA presence			Summary description of BIA
	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
				Winter resting areas identified within Exmouth Gulf and Shark Bay. Calving ground extending from Camden Sound to North-West Cape.
Dugong (*Dugong dugon*)	-	-	br, c, f, n	Breeding, calving, nursing and foraging grounds within the Exmouth Gulf and North-West Cape regions. Presence may occur throughout the year.
Marine reptiles				
Flatback Turtle (*Natator depressus*)	Ib	Ib, ma, f	a, br, f, i, ib, ma, m, n	Nesting and inter-nesting areas around rookeries, including Barrow and Montebello Islands, Thevenard (and other Pilbara inshore islands) and Dampier Archipelago with the potential for presence during summer. Oceanic foraging area around the inshore islands between Cape Preston and Onslow; and De Grey River and Bedout island.
Green Turtle (*Chelonia mydas*)	-	Ib, ma, f	a, br, r, f, i, ib, ma, n	Nesting and inter-nesting areas and rookeries including Barrow and Montebello Islands, North-West Cape and Dampier Archipelago. Oceanic foraging grounds around the inshore islands between Cape Preston and Onslow; and De Grey River and Bedout Island.
Hawksbill Turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricate*)	-	Ib, ma, f	f, i, ib, ma, m, n	Nesting and inter-nesting areas around rookeries, including Montebello and Lowendal Islands, Ningaloo Coast, Thevenard, Barrow and Dampier Archipelago. Oceanic foraging area around the inshore islands between Cape Preston and Onslow; and De Grey River and Bedout Island.
Loggerhead Turtle (*Caretta caretta*)	-	Ib, n	Ib, n	Nesting and internesting areas around rookeries, including Lowendal and Montebello islands, Ningaloo Coast, Muiron and Dampier Archipelago. Oceanic foraging area between De Grey River and Bedout Island may be used throughout the year by multiple turtle species.

BIAs					
a	Aggregation	ib	Inter-nesting Buffer	c	Calving and/or Nursing
b	Basking	m	Migration	d	Distribution
f	Foraging	ma	Mating	r	Resting
i	Inter-nesting	n	Nesting	n	Nursing
		br	Breeding	p	Pupping and/or Juvenile

3.5 Social and Economic Environment

Table 3-1 identifies that social and economic receptors within the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA may be relevant to aspects of the survey activities. The descriptions below provide sufficient details to assess all impacts and risks to the social and economic environment.

3.5.1 Fisheries and Aquaculture

Table 3-8 identifies the Commonwealth and State managed fisheries that have a defined management area or historical catch data overlapping the Operational area and the EMBA. The description below provides sufficient details to assess all impacts and risks to Commonwealth and State managed fisheries.

Commonwealth fisheries are those managed by the AFMA and typically extend from 3 nm to 200 nm, which is the extent of the Australian Fishing Zone (AFZ). Commonwealth-managed fisheries data includes fishing intensity from 2010 to 2022 provided by the Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Sciences (ABARES) (Summerson, 2024).

There are three Commonwealth managed commercial fisheries that have a defined management area overlapping the Operational Area. Of these, zero have historical fishing intensity intersecting the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area or EMBA.

Western Australian fisheries are managed by the WA Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD). Data on state-managed fisheries in WA includes maximum vessel count over 10 years (2013 to 2023) within 10 nm and 60 nm CAES blocks provided by FishCube Data (DPIRD, 2023a).

The FishCube database indicates there are 11 state managed commercial fisheries that have a defined management area overlapping the Operational Area.

There are a total 12 WA-managed commercial fisheries that have historical fishing effort overlapping the Operational Area. These fisheries are listed below.

Fisheries with active fishing effort recorded within the past 5 year period (2018 to 2023) are displayed in figures from Figure 3-20 to Figure 3-32.

Table 3-8: Commonwealth and WA managed fisheries with management areas overlapping the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA

Commercial fishery	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	Fishing Effort	Figure
Commonwealth managed fisheries					
North-West Slope Trawl Fishery	*X*	*X*	✓	No fishing effort recorded within the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA.	-
Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery	✓	✓	✓	No fishing effort recorded within the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA.	-
Western Deepwater Trawl Fishery	*X*	*X*	✓	No fishing effort recorded within the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA.	-
Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery	✓	✓	✓	No fishing effort recorded within the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA.	-
Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery	✓	✓	✓	No fishing effort recorded within the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA.	-
WA State managed fisheries					
Abalone Managed Fishery	✓	✓	✓	No fishing effort recorded within the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. The management area intersects the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA.	-
Exmouth Gulf Prawn Managed Fishery	*X*	*X* (a)	✓(a)	Up to 7 vessels active between 2013 and 2023 within the 60 nm CAES blocks that intersect the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. The management area intersects the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA.	Figure 3-22
Hermit Crab Fishery*	*X* (a)	*X* (a)	*X* (a)	Up to three vessels active between 2013 and 2023 within the 60 nm CAES blocks that intersect the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA.	-

Commercial fishery	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	Fishing Effort	Figure
				There has been no fishing effort recorded in the 5 year period 2018-2023. There is no defined management area for this fishery.	
Mackerel Managed Fishery	✓(a)	✓(a)	✓(a)	Up to five vessels active between 2013 and 2023 within the 60 nm CAES blocks that intersect the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. The management area intersects the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA.	Figure 3-23
Marine Aquarium Fish Managed Fishery	✓(a)	✓(a)	✓(a)	Up to seven vessels active between 2013 and 2023 within the 60 nm CAES blocks that intersect the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. The management area intersects the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA.	Figure 3-24
Nickol Bay Prawn Fishery*	*X* (a)	(a)	(a)	Up to eight vessels active between 2013 and 2023 within the 60 nm CAES blocks that intersect the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. There is no defined management area for this fishery.	Figure 3-25
Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery	✓(a)	✓(a)	✓(a)	Less than three vessels active between 2013 and 2023 within the 60 nm CAES blocks that intersect the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. Last active in 2021–2022. The management area intersects the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA.	Figure 3-26
Open Access (North Coast, Gascoyne Coast and West Coast Bioregions)	*X*	*X* (a)	*X* (a)	Less than 3 vessels active between 2015-2016 within the 60 nm CAES blocks that intersect the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. The management area does not intersect the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon area or EMBA.	-
Pearl Oyster Managed Fishery*	*X*	*X*	*X* (a)	Less than 3 vessels active between 2014-2016 within the 60 nm CAES blocks that intersect the EMBA. There is no defined management area for this fishery.	-
Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery	✓(a)	✓(a)	✓(a)	Less than three vessels active between 2018 and 2023 within the 60 nm CAES blocks that intersect the Operational Area. The management area intersects the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA.	Figure 3-27

Commercial fishery	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	Fishing Effort	Figure
Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery	✓(a)	✓(a)	✓(a)	Up to four vessels active between 2013 and 2023 in the Operational Area in the 10 nm CAES block. The management area intersects the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon area and EMBA.	Figure 3-20
Pilbara Line Fishery (Condition)	*X* (a)	*X* (a)	*X* (a)	Less than three vessels active between 2013 and 2023 within the 60 nm CAES blocks that intersect the Operational Area. Last active in 2019–2020. There is no defined management area for this fishery.	Figure 3-28
Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery	✓(a)	✓(a)	✓(a)	Three vessels active between 2013 and 2023 within the 60 nm CAES blocks that intersect the Operational Area. The management area intersects the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA.	Figure 3-29
South-west Coast Salmon Fishery	✓	✓	✓	No fishing effort recorded within the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. The management area intersects the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA.	-
Specimen Shell Managed Fishery	✓(a)	✓(a)	✓(a)	Less than 3 vessels active between 2013 and 2023 within the 60 nm CAES blocks that intersect the Operational Area. The management area intersects the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA.	Figure 3-30
Tour Operator*	(a)	(a)	(a)	Less than three licences active in the Operational Area between 2013 and 2023 within in the 10 nm CAES block. Up to seven licences active between 2013 and 2023 within the 60 nm CAES blocks that intersect the Operational Area. There is no defined management area for this fishery.	Figure 3-21
West Coast Deep Sea Crustacean Managed Fishery	✓	✓(a)	✓(a)	Less than three vessels active between 2013 and 2023 within the 60 nm CAES blocks that intersect the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. The management area intersects the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA.	Figure 3-31
West Coast Rock Lobster	*X*	*X*	✓	No fishing effort recorded within the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. The management area intersects the EMBA.	-

Commercial fishery	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	Fishing Effort	Figure
Managed Fishery					
Western Australian Sea Cucumber Fishery	*X* (a)	*X* (a)	*X* (a)	Less than three vessels active between 2013 and 2023 within the 60 nm CAES blocks that intersects the Operational Area. There is no defined management area for this fishery.	Figure 3-32

✓= Management area present within area; X = management area not present within area

(a) = Active fishing intensity recorded between 2018 to 2023

* Does not have a defined management area

Figure 3-20: WA Pilbara Fish Trawl Managed Fishery activity within the EMBA



Figure 3-21: WA Tour Operator activity within the EMBA



Figure 3-22: Exmouth Gulf Prawn Managed Fishery activity within the EMBA



Figure 3-23: WA Mackerel Managed Fishery activity within the EMBA



Figure 3-24: WA Marine Aquarium Fish Managed Fishery activity within the EMBA



Figure 3-25: WA Nickol Bay Prawn Managed Fishery activity within the EMBA



Figure 3-26: WA Onslow Prawn Managed Fishery activity within the EMBA



Figure 3-27: WA Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery activity within the EMBA



Figure 3-28: WA Pilbara Line Fishery (Condition) activity within the EMBA



Figure 3-29: WA Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery activity within the EMBA



Figure 3-30: WA Specimen Shell Managed Fishery activity within the EMBA



Figure 3-31: West Coast deep Sea Crustacean Managed Fishery activity within the EMBA



Figure 3-32: WA Sea Cucumber Fishery activity within the EMBA



3.5.2 Commercial Shipping

Significant commercial shipping activity occurs within the EMBA, the majority of which is associated with the WA oil and gas and mining industries.

AMSA has established a network of shipping fairways for the NWS with the aim to reduce the risk of collision between transiting vessels and offshore infrastructure (AMSA, 2012a). The fairways are intended to direct large vessels such as bulk carriers and LNG ships trading to the major ports into pre-defined routes to keep them clear of existing and planned offshore infrastructure.

The closest AMSA shipping fairway is ~3 km south of the Operational Area (Figure 3-33).

Figure 3-33: Vessel traffic within the EMBA



3.5.3 Defence

There are no defence related uses within the Operational Area (Figure 3-34). The EMBA encompasses military installations near Exmouth, including a naval communication station. The Department of Defence has several offshore training areas, including the North-West Cape which is around 57 km north of the Operational Area at its closest. The EMBA also overlaps the North-West Exercise Area (NWXA) defence area, and Learmonth Air Weapons Range practice and training areas. These areas are used for Defence Force training exercises, including live firing. No Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) potential has been identified within the Operational Area.

Figure 3-34: Defence Areas within the EMBA



3.5.4 Other Users

3.5.4.1 Tourism

Tourism plays a significant role in the NWS region. Popular water-based tourism activities include:

- Whale watching
- Recreational boating and fishing
- Charter fishing
- Snorkelling/diving
- Surfing.

Popular land-based activities include bushwalking, camping, bird watching and four-wheel driving.

Recreational fishing is an integral part of the Pilbara lifestyle. The region's unique coastline includes some of Australia's prime fishing locations and an array of offshore islands, coral reef systems and offshore habitats. These experiences make recreational fishing a key driver of visitation to the region, attracting visitors from around the state and country. Recreational fishing has increased offshore in recent years, as the area is accessed for deepwater fishing by the charter sector and fishers in larger boats. The DPIRD recreational fishing location guide (DPIRD, 2023) names the Wandoo platform as a fishing location.

The Ningaloo Coast tourism precinct occurs within the EMBA. In 2018–19, the Ningaloo region (Ningaloo Reef and the surrounding coastal region Exmouth Gulf, communities of Exmouth and Coral Bay, and adjacent proposed southern coastal reserves and pastoral leases) contributed an

estimated $110 million in value added to the WA economy (DBCA, 2020b). Ningaloo's economic contribution to WA is attributed to four key types of economic activity, tourism expenditure by international, interstate and WA visitors to the Ningaloo region, commercial fishing in the Exmouth Gulf, recreation activity involving the Reef by residents of the Ningaloo region and management and research relating to the Reef (DBCA, 2020b). More than 90% of this value added is attributed to the domestic and international tourists who visit Ningaloo each year (DBCA, 2020b).

3.5.4.2 Offshore Oil and Gas Industry

The petroleum exploration and production industry is a significant stakeholder in the region. Petroleum companies have been undertaking exploration and production activities on the NWS for decades.

Petroleum infrastructure within the Operational Area includes the Wandoo B platform and Wandoo A unmanned monopod. Petroleum infrastructure within the vicinity of the Operational Area includes the Reindeer platform (~7 km northwest), and the Stag platform (~13 km southwest). There are also several submerged pipelines associated with petroleum fields and facilities with onshore processing hubs. Nearby pipelines include the TL1 and TL2 export pipelines from the North Rankin Complex to the Karratha Gas Plant (<1 km from the Operational Area), Devil Creek Pipeline (~3 km from the Operational Area), Pluto LNG gas export pipeline, and the Scarborough export trunkline (~3 km from the Operational Area). Figure 3-35 shows the proximity of oil and gas infrastructure to the Operational Area. Table 3-9 identifies other oil and gas facilities that overlap with the Hydrocarbon Area and the EMBA.

Table 3-9: Offshore oil and gas facilities overlapping the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA

Offshore oil and gas facilities	Project Areas			Distance from Operational Area (km)
	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Angel (Woodside Energy Ltd)	-	-	✓	65 km northeast
Double Island (Santos)	-	-	✓	108 km southwest
Gibson (Santos)	-	-	✓	99 km southwest
Goodwyn Alpha (Woodside Energy Ltd)	-	-	✓	64 km northwest
Gorgon (Chevron)	-	-	✓	157 km southwest
Harriet A (Santos)	-	-	✓	89 km southwest
Harriet B (Santos)	-	-	✓	85 km southwest
Harriet C (Santos)	-	-	✓	87 km southwest
John Brookes (Santos)	-	-	✓	131 km southwest
Linda (Santos)	-	-	✓	78 km southwest
Ngujima-Yin (Woodside Energy Ltd)	-	-	✓	274 km southwest
Ningaloo Vision (Santos)	-	-	✓	271 km southwest
North Rankin Complex (Woodside Energy Ltd)	-	-	✓	59 km northwest
Pyrenees Venture (Woodside Energy Ltd)	-	-	✓	276 km southwest
Reindeer (Santos)	-	✓	✓	7 km northwest

Offshore oil and gas facilities	Project Areas			Distance from Operational Area (km)
	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Simpson A (Santos)	-	-	✓	96 km southwest
Simpson B (Santos)	-	-	✓	96 km southwest
Sinbad (Santos)	-	✓	✓	74 km southwest
Stag (Jadestone Energy)	-	✓	✓	13 km southwest
Varanus Island Marine Export Terminal (Santos)	-	-	✓	91 km southwest
Victoria (Santos)	-	-	✓	101 km southwest
Wandoo A	✓	✓	✓	-
Wandoo B	✓	✓	✓	-
Wheatstone (Chevron)	-	-	✓	97 km west
Wonnich (Santos)	-	-	✓	102 km southwest

Figure 3-35: Oil and gas infrastructure within the EMBA



3.6 Protected and Significant Areas

Table 3-1 identifies that several protected and significant areas within the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA are receptors that may be relevant to aspects of the survey. The descriptions below provide sufficient details to assess all impacts and risks to protected and significant areas.

3.6.1 World Heritage Properties

World Heritage status is awarded by the UNESCO World Heritage Committee to sites that are deemed to possess 'Outstanding Universal Value' cultural and/or natural significance.

There are two World Heritage Properties located within the EMBA (Figure 3-36), as discussed in Table 3-10. No World Heritage Properties overlap the Hydrocarbon Area or Operational Area.

Table 3-10: World Heritage Properties that overlap the Project EMBA

World Heritage Places	Heritage class	Project Areas		
		Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA
Murujuga Cultural Landscape	Criteria (i) (iii) (v)	-	-	✓
Murujuga Cultural Landscape was inscribed to the World Heritage List in 2025. It is a landscape of ancient rocks encompassing the Burrup Peninsula, Dampier archipelago's 42 islands, and nearby marine areas. The site is shaped by First Nations Lore, rules and narratives that were put in place to create Country, and the enduring presence of the Ngarda-Ngarli people who are the Traditional Owners and custodians of the site. This property hold profound cultural and spiritual significance which reflects over 50,000 years of continuous care and use by Traditional Custodians. The site is renowned for its dense concentration of petroglyphs, which provide a record of traditional use by First Nations people over thousands of years. The engravings depict human figures, bird, marine and animal life, including some extinct species. The area also features middens, fish traps, rock shelters, ceremonial places, spiritual and sacred sites, and stone arrangements (UNESCO, 2025).				
Ningaloo Coast	Natural Criterion vii and Criterion x	-	-	✓
The Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Property has been included in the World Heritage list since 2011. spans over 705,015 hectares of marine and terrestrial areas on the western coast of Australia. The marine area features the Ningaloo Reef, one of the longest fringing reefs in the world. An estimated 300 to 500 whale sharks (*Rhincodon typus*) aggregate annually on the reef coinciding with mass coral spawning events. The marine area has a high diversity of habitats which include lagoon, reef, open ocean, and the continental slope and continental shelf. Intertidal systems include rocky shores, sandy beaches, estuaries, and mangroves. The most dominant marine habitat is the Ningaloo Reef. The reef supports both tropical and temperate marine fauna and features annual gatherings of whale sharks and sea turtles. The terrestrial area of this site features an extensive karst system with substantial cave networks, and groundwater streams. Universal values of this site include high terrestrial species endemism and high marine diversity and abundance. Criterion (vii): The landscapes and seascapes of the Ningaloo Coast are mostly intact, large-scale marine, coastal and terrestrial environments. The property supports rare and large aggregations of whale sharks and other fish species, marine mammals and reptiles. The aggregations in Ningaloo follow the mass seasonal coral spawning and nutrient upwelling which leads to increased productivity and the largest gathering of whale sharks in the world. Criterion (x): The Ningaloo reef is home to a high diversity of coral, fish, mollusc, crustacean, algae, sponge, and echinoderm species. Many species that occur in the region are rare or threatened. It is estimated that 10,000 nests of marine turtles occur annually along the coastline. There are rare aquatic species found in the flooded caves on land which are rare, taxonomically diverse and not found anywhere else in the southern hemisphere. The subterranean fauna and flora of the Ningaloo peninsula is highly diverse (IUCN, 2011).				

3.6.2 National Heritage Places

The National Heritage List is Australia's list of natural, historic and Indigenous places of outstanding significance to Australia.

The PMST searches indicated there are two National Heritage places within the EMBA, as discussed in Table 3-11 and shown in Figure 3-36. No National Heritage Places overlap the Hydrocarbon Area or Operational Area.

Table 3-11: National Heritage Places within the EMBA

National Heritage Places	Heritage class	Project Areas		
		Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA
Dampier Archipelago (including Burrup Peninsula)	Indigenous	-	-	✓
Description The Dampier Archipelago is located 1,550 km north of Perth and was listed for inscription in 2007. This site is home to one of the most remarkable collections of rock art in Australia. The archipelago was formed 6,000-8,000 years ago and is made up of islands, reefs, shoals, channels, and straits and spans over approximately 400 km^2. Many significant species of flora and fauna are found in the area. **Values** The Dampier Archipelago is a culturally significant area which has been home to the Ngarda-Ngarlie people for tens of thousands of years. There is great richness and diversity of rock art in the area and culturally significant site types ranging from quarries, middens, fish traps, rock shelters, ceremonial sites, artefact scatters, and stone arrangements. Engravings are the most numerous site types with sites ranging in the potential millions. The Dampier Archipelago (including Burrup Peninsula) national heritage listing criteria a, b, c, d, and f (Commonwealth of Australia, 2007).				
The Ningaloo Coast	Natural	-	-	✓
Description The Ningaloo Coast National Heritage Place consists of the same area included in the Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Property (refer Section 3.6.1) and was established on the National Heritage List in 2010. **Values** The Ningaloo Coast contains one of the best developed near-shore reefs in the world, being home to rugged limestone peninsulas, spectacular coral and sponge gardens and the whale shark (*Rhincodon typus*). The Ningaloo Coast meets the national heritage listing criteria a, b, c, d, and f (Commonwealth of Australia, 2010).				

Figure 3-36: World Heritage Properties and National Heritage Places within the EMBA[1]



*Note: The boundary of the Murujuga Cultural Landscape has been manually digitised and is not spatially accurate.

3.6.3 Underwater Cultural Heritage

Australia's underwater cultural heritage is protected under the *Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018* (Cth). This legislation protects shipwrecks, sunken aircraft and other types of underwater heritage, including Aboriginal underwater cultural heritage (Section 3.7.5 for Aboriginal cultural heritage) in Australian waters, including state and Commonwealth waters.

The remains of vessels and aircrafts located in Commonwealth waters, along with certain associated articles, are automatically protected under the *Underwater Heritage Act 2018* after 75 years. This is applicable whether the existence or location of the article is known or unknown. Remains and relics of any ship that is lost, wrecked, or abandoned in WA waters before 1900 are protected by the *Maritime Archaeology Act 1973* (WA).

Known historical shipwreck sites in WA waters are listed in the WA Maritime Museum Shipwreck Database. Known historical shipwreck sites listed under the *Underwater Heritage Act 2018* in Australian waters are listed in the Australasian Underwater Cultural Heritage Database. These databases only cover known historical sites.

There are 38 shipwreck and shipwreck artefacts identified within the EMBA. There are no shipwrecks or artefacts located within the Operational Area. The closest shipwrecks are located 40.6 km from the Operational Area (*McCormack* and *McDermott Derrick Barge No. 20*) (Table 3-12).

[1] The Murujuga World Heritage listed area is yet to be listed in Australian Government spatial defining software. The spatial location has been digitised according to the nominated listing for the Murujuga Cultural landscape Nominated World Heritage Property listing (CoA, 2023).

Table 3-12: Known shipwrecks and artefacts located within the Project Areas

Shipwreck or artefacts	Year wrecked	Project Areas			Distance from Operational Area (km)
		Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Agnes	1893	-	-	✓	292.3
Beatrice	1899	-	-	✓	292.6
Bell	1893	-	-	✓	292.3
Curlew	1911	-	-	✓	123.2
Dampier	-	-	-	✓	38.2
Elizabeth	1893	-	-	✓	292.3
Ellen	1893	-	-	✓	292.3
Emlyn Castle	1960	-	-	✓	287.7
Fairy Queen	1875	-	-	✓	288.0
Florence	1893	-	-	✓	292.3
Gem	1893	-	-	✓	292.6
Kapala	1964	-	-	✓	292.3
Lady Ann	1982	-	-	✓	261.0
Lamareaux	1893	-	-	✓	292.3
Leave	1893	-	-	✓	292.3
Lily of the Lake	1875	-	-	✓	292.3
Mabel	1893	-	-	✓	292.3
Marietta	1905	-	-	✓	123.2
McCormack	1989	-	✓	✓	40.6
McDermott Derrick Barge No. 20	1989	-	✓	✓	40.6
Mildura	1907	-	-	✓	287.5
Nellie	1893	-	-	✓	292.3
Olive	1893	-	-	✓	625.2
Parks Lugger	Abandoned beginning of World War I	-	-	✓	91.8
Pearl	1896	-	-	✓	253.1
Plym HMS	1952	-	✓	✓	85.6
Ruby	1893	-	-	✓	292.3
Sea Queen	1893	-	-	✓	292.3
Smuggler	1893	-	-	✓	292.3
Tanami	1935	-	✓	✓	103.4
Trial	1622	-	✓	✓	102.6
Tropic Queen	1975	-	-	✓	93.0
Unidentified Lugger	1893	-	-	✓	292.3
Veronica	1928	-	-	✓	263.0

Shipwreck or artefacts	Year wrecked	Project Areas			Distance from Operational Area (km)
		Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Vianen	1628	-	-	✓	123.2
Wild Wave	1875	-	-	✓	292.3
Wild Wave (China)	1873	-	-	✓	123.2
Zelma	1990	-	-	✓	52.0

3.6.4 Australian Marine Parks

AMPs, proclaimed under the EPBC Act in 2007 and 2013, are located in Commonwealth Waters that start on the outer edge of State and Territory waters, generally 3 nm from shore, and extend to the outer boundary of Australia's EEZ, 200 nm from the shore. There are four AMPs within the EMBA, one of which also overlaps the Hydrocarbon Area. There are no AMPs within the Operational Area (Table 3-13) (Figure 3-37). The nearest AMPs are detailed in Table 3-13.

Table 3-13: AMPs within the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA

AMP	Area type	Project Areas			Distance and direction from Operational Area
		Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Dampier	Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI)	-	-	✓	56 km SE

AMP	Area type	Project Areas			Distance and direction from Operational Area
		Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Description The Dampier Marine Park is located approximately 10 km northeast of Cape Lambert and 40 km from Dampier, extending from the WA state water boundary. The Marine Park covers an area of 1,252 km² and a water depth range between less than 15 m and 70 m. **Natural values** The Marine Park includes ecosystems representative of the NWS Province – a dynamic environment influenced by strong tides, cyclonic storms, long-period swells and internal tides. The bioregion includes diverse benthic and pelagic fish communities, and ancient coastline thought to be an important seafloor feature and migratory pathway for humpback whales. The Marine Park supports a range of species listed under the EPBC Act. BIAs within the Marine Park include breeding and foraging habitat for seabirds, inter-nesting habitat for marine turtles and a migratory pathway for humpback whales. **Cultural values** Sea country is valued for Indigenous cultural identity, health and wellbeing. Across Australia, Indigenous people have been sustainably using and managing their sea country for tens of thousands of years. The Ngarluma, Yindjibarndi, Yaburara, and Mardudhunera people have responsibilities for sea country in the Marine Park. The Native Title holders for these people are represented by the Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation and Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation. These Prescribed Body Corporates represent Traditional Owners with Native Title over coastal area adjacent to the Marine Park. The Yamatji Marlpa Aboriginal Corporation is the Native Title Representative Body for the Pilbara and Yamatji regions. **Social and economic values** Port activities, commercial fishing and recreation, including fishing, are important activities in the Marine Park (DNP, 2018).					
Gascoyne	Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI)	-	-	✓	278 km SW
Description The Gascoyne Marine Park is located approximately 20 km off the west coast of the Cape Range Peninsula, adjacent to the Ningaloo Reef Marine Park and the WA Ningaloo Marine Park and extends to the limit of Australia's exclusive economic zone. The Marine Park covers an area of 81,766 km² and water depths between 15 m and 6,000 m. **Natural values** Ecosystems represented in the Marine Park are influenced by the interaction of the Leeuwin Current, Leeuwin Undercurrent and the Ningaloo Current. The Marine Park supports a range of species listed under the EPBC Act. BIAs within the Marine Park include breeding habitat for seabirds, inter-nesting habitat for marine turtles, a migratory pathway for humpback whales, and foraging habitat and migratory pathway for pygmy blue whales. **Cultural values** Sea country is valued for Indigenous cultural identity, health and wellbeing. Across Australia, Indigenous people have been sustainably using and managing their sea country for tens of thousands of years. The Gnulli people have responsibilities for sea country in the Marine Park. The Yamatji Marlpa Aboriginal Corporation is the Native Title Representative Body for the Yamatji region.					

AMP	Area type	Project Areas			Distance and direction from Operational Area
		Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Heritage values • The Ningaloo Coast was listed as an area of outstanding universal value under the World Heritage Convention in 2011, meeting world heritage listing criteria vii and x. The Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Property is adjacent to the Marine Park. • The Ningaloo Marine Area (Commonwealth waters) meets the Commonwealth heritage listing criteria A, B and C. The Ningaloo Marine Area is adjacent to the Marine Park. • The Ningaloo Coast meets the national heritage listing criteria A, B, C, D, and F and is adjacent to the Marine Park. • The Marine Park contains more than five known shipwrecks listed under the *Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018.* **Social and economic values** Commercial fishing, mining and recreation are important activities in the Marine Park (DNP, 2018).					
Montebello	Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI)	-	✓	✓	37 km W
Description The Montebello Marine Park is located offshore of Barrow Island and 80 km west of Dampier extending from the WA state water boundary and is adjacent to the Barrow Island and Montebello Islands Marine Parks. The Marine Park covers an area of 3,413 km² and water depths from less than 15 m to 150 m. **Natural values** The Marine Park includes examples of ecosystems representative of the NWS Province – a dynamic environment influenced by strong tides, cyclonic storms, long-period swells and internal tides. The bioregion includes diverse benthic and pelagic fish communities. A key ecological feature of the Marine Park is the ancient coastline at the 125 m depth contour. The Marine Park supports a range of species listed under the EPBC Act. BIAs within the Marine Park include breeding habitat for seabirds, inter-nesting, foraging, mating, and nesting habitat for marine turtles, a migratory pathway for humpback whales and foraging habitat for whale sharks. **Cultural values** The Yamatji Marlpa Aboriginal Corporation is the Native Title Representative Body for the Pilbara region. Sea country is valued for Indigenous cultural identity, health and wellbeing. Across Australia, Indigenous people have been sustainably using and managing their sea country for tens of thousands of years. As noted in the 'North-west Marine Park Management Plan', there is limited information about the cultural significance of this Marine Park. **Heritage values** The Marine Park contains two known shipwrecks listed under the *Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018*: Trial (wrecked in 1622), the earliest known shipwreck in Australian waters and Tanami (unknown date). **Social and economic values** Tourism, commercial fishing, mining and recreation are important activities in the Marine Park (DNP, 2018).					

AMP	Area type	Project Areas			Distance and direction from Operational Area
		Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Ningaloo	Recreational Use Zone (IUCN IV)	-	-	✓	276 km SW

Description

The Ningaloo Marine Park stretches approximately 300 km along the west coast of the Cape Range Peninsula and is adjacent to the WA Ningaloo Marine Park and Gascoyne Marine Park. The Marine Park covers an area of 2435 km² and a water depth range of 30 m to more than 500 m.

The Marine Park provides connectivity between deeper offshore waters of the shelf break and coastal waters of the adjacent WA Ningaloo Marine Park. It includes some of the most diverse continental slope habitats in Australia, including the continental slope area between North-West Cape and the Montebello Trough. Canyons in the Marine Park are important for sustaining the nutrient conditions that support the high diversity of Ningaloo Reef.

Natural values

Ecosystems represented in the Marine Park are influenced by interaction of the Leeuwin Current, Leeuwin Undercurrent and the Ningaloo Current. The Marine Park supports a range of species listed under the EPBC Act. BIAs within the Marine Park include breeding and or foraging habitat for seabirds, inter-nesting habitat for marine turtles, a migratory pathway for humpback whales, foraging habitat and migratory pathway for pygmy blue whales, breeding, calving, foraging and nursing habitat for dugong and foraging habitat for whale sharks.

Cultural values

Sea country is valued for Indigenous cultural identity, health and wellbeing. Across Australia, Indigenous people have been sustainably using and managing their sea country for tens of thousands of years. The Gnulli people have responsibilities for sea country in the Marine Park. The Yamatji Marlpa Aboriginal Corporation is the Native Title Representative Body for the Yamatji region.

Heritage values

- The Marine Park is within the Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Property, meeting world heritage listing criteria vii and x. The area is valued for high terrestrial species endemism, marine species diversity and abundance, and the interconnectedness of large-scale marine, coastal and terrestrial environments. The area connects the limestone karst system and fossil reefs of the ancient Cape Range to the nearshore reef system of Ningaloo Reef, to the continental slope and shelf in Commonwealth waters.
- The Ningaloo Coast overlaps the Marine Park, meeting the national heritage listing criteria A, B, C, D, and F.
- The Ningaloo Marine Area (Commonwealth waters) meets Commonwealth heritage listing criteria A, B and C. The Ningaloo Marine Area overlaps the Marine Park.
- The Marine Park contains more than 15 known shipwrecks listed under the *Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018*.

Social and economic values

Tourism and recreation, including fishing, are important activities in the Marine Park (DNP, 2018).

Figure 3-37: Australian Marine Parks within the EMBA



3.6.5 State Marine Protected Areas

There are no state marine protected areas that intersect with the Operational Area. The EMBA intersects with three WA State Marine Protected Areas, as described in Table 3-14.

Table 3-14: State Marine Protected Areas within the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA

Protected area name	Area type	Project Areas			Distance and direction from Operational Area
		Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Montebello Islands and Barrow Islands (Jointly Managed)	Marine Park Conservation Park Marine Management Area	-	✓	✓	76 km SW
Description The Montebello Islands Marine Park and Barrow Islands Marine Park is located off the north-west coast of WA, ~1,600 km north of Perth, and cover areas of ~583 km^2, 42 km^2 and 1,147 km^2, respectively. **Conservation values** The Montebello/Barrow islands marine conservation reserves have very complex seabed and island topography, resulting in a myriad of different habitats subtidal coral reefs, macroalgal and seagrass communities, subtidal soft-bottom communities, rocky shores and intertidal reef platforms, which support a rich diversity of invertebrates and finfish. The reserves are important breeding areas for several species of marine turtles and seabirds, which use the undisturbed sandy beaches for nesting. Humpback whales migrate through the reserves and dugongs occur in the shallow warm waters (DEC, 2007).					

Protected area name	Area type	Project Areas			Distance and direction from Operational Area
		Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Ningaloo and Muiron Islands Marine Management area (jointly managed)	Marine Management Area Marine Park	-	-	✓	275 km SW
Description The Ningaloo Marine Park and Muiron Islands Marine Management Area are located off the North-West Cape of WA, ~1,200 km north of Perth, and cover areas of ~2,633 km^2 and 286 km^2, respectively. **Ecological values** Ningaloo Reef is the largest fringing coral reef in Australia. Temperate and tropical currents converge in the Ningaloo region resulting in highly diverse marine life including spectacular coral reefs, abundant fishes and species with special conservation significance such as turtles, whale sharks, dugongs, whales and dolphins. The region has diverse marine communities including mangroves, algae and filter-feeding communities and has high water quality. These values contribute to the Ningaloo Marine Park being regarded as the State's premier marine conservation icon. The Muiron Islands Marine Management Area is also important, containing a very diverse marine environment, with coral reefs, filter-feeding communities and macroalgal beds. In addition, the Islands are important seabird and green turtle nesting areas. **Cultural values** The Ningaloo Reef has a long history of occupancy by aboriginal communities and aboriginal heritage sites. The Jinigudira and Baiyungu people have lived on this region for thousands of years and use coastal areas for fishing, camping and hunting of turtles and dugongs. **Social and economic values** The Ningaloo region has a high number of visitors enjoying the area who come to appreciate nature-based tourism which is brings important economic value to the communities of the area (CALM, 2005).					
Pilbara Islands Nature Reserve (Great Sandy Island, Thevenard Island)	Nature Reserve	-	-	✓	167 km SW
Description Located between the Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Area and the Dampier Archipelago, the Pilbara Islands Nature Reserve includes 174 small islands with a combined area of over 130 km^2 and a total coastline of over 500 km. Islands within this management area are characterised by foredunes surrounding a central depression and less than 12 m in elevation. Great Sandy Island and Thevenard Island nature reserves were identified to be within the EMBA. **Conservation values** The islands provide a refuge for threatened and migratory species including the critically endangered eastern curlew, great knot, curlew sandpiper. The islands provide nesting beaches for the green, flatback, hawksbill and loggerhead turtles. Mangrove thickens are found on some of the islands which provide essential habitat for coastal species and shorebirds. **Cultural values** The Nganhurra Thanadri Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation and the Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation have native title determinations over parts of the planning area. The area holds significant cultural value to First Nations people. Culturally significant sites, places and species are found within the area.					

Protected area name	Area type	Project Areas			Distance and direction from Operational Area
		Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Customary activities including hunting, ceremonies and sharing of traditional knowledge is practiced in the region. Thevenard Island contains one registered site under the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972*, which includes a midden scatter with three baler shell containers. Aboriginal artefacts have been found on some of the islands including a burial site, stone and glass flakes, brunt shell and bone and baler shells. Some of the islands were possibly occupied by First Nations people of the region. **Social and economic values** Visitation to the islands is low and only is by private boat and commercial accommodation is only available at Thevenard Island. Recreational fishing is undertaken around the islands. Thevenard Island previously was utilised as a base for an oil and gas facility but is now being decommissioned (DBCA, 2020).					

3.6.6 Wetlands of International Importance

There are no Ramsar wetlands of international importance that intersect the EMBA, Hydrocarbon Area or Operational Area.

3.6.7 Key Ecological Features

The EMBA intersects six Key Ecological Features (KEFs), as described in Table 3-15. The Operational Area does not intersect any KEFs (Figure 3-38).

Table 3-15: KEFs within the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA

KEF	Project Areas			Distance to Operational Area
	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Ancient coastline at 125 m depth contour	-	✓	✓	60 km N
National and/or regional importance The ancient coastline at 125 m depth contour is defined as a key ecological feature as it is a unique seafloor feature with ecological properties of regional significance. **Location** The shelf of the NWMR contains several terraces and steps which reflect changes in sea level that occurred over the last 100,000 years. The most prominent of these features occurs as an escarpment along the NWS and Sahul Shelf at a depth of 125 m. The spatial boundary of this KEF is defined by depth range 115–135 m in the NWS Province and NWS Transition IMCRA provincial bioregions. **Description and values** The ancient submerged coastline provides areas of hard substrate and therefore may provide sites for higher diversity and enhanced species richness relative to surrounding areas of predominantly soft sediment. Little is known about fauna associated with the hard substrate of the escarpment, but it is likely to include sponges, corals, crinoids, molluscs, echinoderms and other benthic invertebrates representative of hard substrate fauna in the NWS bioregion. The escarpment may also facilitate increased availability of nutrients off the Pilbara by interacting with internal waves and enhancing vertical mixing of water layers. Enhanced productivity associated with the sessile communities and increased nutrient availability may attract larger marine life such as whale sharks (*Rhincodon typus*) and large pelagic fish. Humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) appear to migrate along the ancient coastline, using it as a guide to move through the region (DCCEEW, 2024e).				

KEF	Project Areas			Distance to Operational Area
	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Canyons linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Cape Range Peninsula	-	✓	✓	232 km SW

National and/or regional importance

The Canyons linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Cape Range Peninsula are defined as a key ecological feature as they are unique seafloor features with ecological properties of regional significance, which apply to both the benthic and pelagic habitats within the feature.

Location

The largest canyons on the slope linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and Cape Range Peninsula are the Cape Range Canyon and Cloates Canyon which are located along the southerly edge of Exmouth Plateau adjacent to Ningaloo Reef. The canyons are unusual because their heads are close to the coast of North-West Cape.

Description and values

The canyons on the slope of the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and Cape Range Peninsula are connected to the Commonwealth waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef and may also have connections to Exmouth Plateau. The canyons are thought to interact with the Leeuwin Current to produce eddies inside the heads of the canyons, resulting in waters from the Antarctic intermediate water mass being drawn into shallower depths and onto the shelf; these waters are cooler and richer in nutrients and strong internal tides may also aid upwelling at the canyon heads. The narrow shelf width (approx. 10 km) near the canyons facilitates nutrient upwelling and this nutrient-rich water interacts with the Leeuwin Current at the canyon heads. Aggregations of whale sharks, manta rays, humpback whales, sea snakes, sharks, large predatory fish and seabirds are known to occur in this area and are related to productivity.

The canyons, Exmouth Plateau and Commonwealth waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef operate as a system to create the conditions for enhanced productivity seen in this region (DCCEEW, 2024f).

Commonwealth waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef	-	-	✓	275 km SW

National and/or regional importance

The Commonwealth waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef are defined as a KEF for their high productivity and aggregations of marine life, which apply to both the benthic and pelagic habitats.

Location

Ningaloo Reef extends >260 km along Cape Range Peninsula with a landward lagoon 0.2–6.0 km wide. Seaward of the reef crest, the reef drops gently to depths of 8–10 m; the waters reach 100 m depth, 5–6 km beyond the reef edge. Commonwealth waters over the narrow shelf (10 km at its narrowest) and shelf break are contiguous with Ningaloo Reef and connected via oceanographic and trophic cycling.

Description and values

Ningaloo reef is globally significant as the only extensive coral reef in the world that fringes the west coast of a continent; it is also globally significant as a seasonal aggregation site for whale sharks. The Commonwealth waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef and associated canyons and plateau are interconnected and support the high productivity and species richness of Ningaloo Reef. The Leeuwin and Ningaloo currents interact on the seaward side of the reef, leading to areas of enhanced productivity which support aggregations and migration pathways of whale sharks (*Rhincodon typus*), manta rays (*Manta alfredi*), humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*), sea snakes, sharks, large predatory fish and seabirds. Detrital input from phytoplankton production in surface waters and from higher-trophic consumers cycles back to the deeper waters of the shelf and slope.

KEF	Project Areas			Distance to Operational Area
	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Deepwater biodiversity includes fish, molluscs, sponges, soft corals and gorgonians. Some of these sponge and filter-feeding communities appear to be significantly different to those of the Dampier Archipelago and Abrolhos Islands, indicating that the Commonwealth waters of Ningaloo Marine Park have some areas of potentially high and unique sponge biodiversity. The outer reef is marked by a well-developed spur and groove system of fingers of coral formations penetrating the ocean with coral sand channels in between. The spurs support coral growth, while the grooves experience strong scouring surges and tidal run-off and have little coral growth (DCCEEW, 2024g).				
Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities	-	✓	✓	105 km NW
National and/or regional importance This species assemblage is recognised as a KEF because of its biodiversity values, including high levels of endemism. **Location** This KEF is defined as the area of slope found in the North-West Province and Timor Province provincial bioregions, at the depth ranges of 220–500 m and 750–1,000 m. **Description and values** The diversity of demersal fish assemblages on the continental slope in the Timor Province, the North-West Transition and the North-West Province is high compared to elsewhere along the Australian continental slope. The continental slope between North-West Cape and the Montebello Trough has >500 fish species, 76 of which are endemic, which makes it the most diverse slope bioregion in Australia. The slope of the Timor Province and the North-West Transition also contains >500 species of demersal fish of which 64 are considered endemic. The Timor Province and North-West Transition bioregions are the second-richest areas for demersal fish across the entire continental slope. The demersal fish species occupy two distinct demersal community types (biomes) associated with the upper slope (water depth of 225–500 m) and the mid-slope (750–1,000 m). Although poorly known, it is suggested that the demersal-slope communities rely on bacteria and detritus-based systems comprised of infauna and epifauna, which in turn become prey for a range of teleost fish, molluscs and crustaceans. Higher-order consumers may include carnivorous fish, deepwater sharks, large squid and toothed whales. Pelagic production is phytoplankton based, with hot spots around oceanic reefs and islands. Bacteria and fauna present on the continental slope are the basis of the food web for demersal fish and higher-order consumers in this system. Loss of benthic habitat along the continental slope at depths known to support demersal fish communities may lead to a decline in species richness, diversity and endemism associated with this feature (DCCEEW, 2024h).				
Exmouth Plateau	-	-	✓	213 km W
National and/or regional importance The Exmouth Plateau is defined as KEF as it is a unique seafloor feature with ecological properties of regional significance, which apply to both the benthic and pelagic habitats. **Location** The Exmouth Plateau is located in the North-West Province and covers an area of 49,310 km² in water depths of 800–4,000 m.				

KEF	Project Areas			Distance to Operational Area
	Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Description and values Although the seascapes of this plateau are not unique, it is believed that the large size of Exmouth Plateau and its expansive surface may modify deep-water flow and be associated with the generation of internal tides; both these features may contribute to the upwelling of deeper, nutrient-rich waters closer to the surface. The topography of the plateau (with valleys and channels), in addition to potentially constituting a range of benthic environments, may provide conduits for the movement of sediment and other material from the plateau surface through the deeper slope to the abyss. The Exmouth Plateau is generally an area of low habitat heterogeneity; however, it is likely to be an important area of biodiversity as it provides an extended area offshore for communities adapted to depths of around 1,000 m. Sediments on the plateau suggest that biological communities include scavengers, benthic filter feeders and epifauna. The plateau's surface is rough and undulating; the northern margin is steep and intersected by large canyons (e.g. Montebello and Swan canyons), the western margin is moderately steep and smooth, and the southern margin is gently sloping and virtually free of canyons. Satellite observations suggest that productivity is enhanced along the northern and southern boundaries of the plateau and along the shelf edge, which in turn suggests that the plateau is a significant contributor to the productivity of the region. Whaling records from the 19th century suggest that the Exmouth Plateau may have supported large populations of sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*) (DCCEEW, 2024i).				
Glomar Shoals	-	✓	✓	40 km NE
National and/or regional importance The Glomar shoals are defined as a KEF for their high productivity and aggregations of marine life. **Location** The Glomar Shoals are a submerged littoral feature located approx. 150 km north of Dampier on the Rowley Shelf at depths of 33–77 m. **Description and values** While the biodiversity associated with the Glomar Shoals has not been studied, the shoals are known to be an important area for a number of commercial and recreational fish species such as rankin cod (*Epinephelus multiinotatus*), brown striped snapper (*Lutjanus vitta*), red emperor (*Lutjanus sebae*), crimson snapper (*Lutjanus erythropterus*), bream (*Sparidae*) and yellow-spotted triggerfish (*Pseudobalistes fuscus*). These species have recorded high catch rates associated with the Glomar Shoals, indicating that the shoals are likely to be an area of high productivity. The shoals consist of a high percentage of marine-derived sediments with high carbonate content and gravels of weathered coralline algae and shells. The area's higher concentrations of coarse material in comparison to surrounding areas are indicative of a high-energy environment subject to strong sea-floor currents. Cyclones are also frequent in this area and stimulate periodic bursts of productivity as a result of increased vertical mixing (DCCEEW, 2024j).				

Figure 3-38: KEFs within the EMBA



3.6.8 Threatened Ecological Communities

The EPBC Act provides for the listing of Threatened Ecological Communities (TECs), and these are considered as Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES) under the EPBC Act. There are no coastal EPBC listed TECs that occur within the EMBA, Hydrocarbon Area or Operational Area.

3.7 First Nations

Table 3-1 identifies that First Nations receptors within the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA may be relevant to aspects of the survey activities. The descriptions below provide sufficient details to assess all impacts and risks to First Nations.

3.7.1 Methodology to Identify Cultural Values and Sensitivities

The definition of environment in the OPGGS(E)R includes the people and communities, heritage value of places, and their social, economic, and cultural features. For First Nations peoples, this includes cultural heritage and sea country values which hold a spiritual and cultural connection that may be affected by the Petroleum Activity.

VOGA recognises First Nations Groups and their spiritual and cultural connection to the environment. Identification of First Nations cultural values and sensitivities was developed through consultation with First Nations groups with connection to Sea Country in the Operational Area and EMBA (refer to Section 8.3.4 for VOGA's consultation and methodology process).

3.7.2 Recognition of First Nations Groups

First Nations groups and Traditional Owners and connection to Country is recognised through contemporary legislation such as the Commonwealth *Native Title Act 1993* as well as various State laws such as the WA *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972* (WA).

A review of the statutory laws, rights and recognition conferred to First Nations peoples relevant to the Project is summarised in the below sections.

3.7.3 Native Title

Native title is the formal recognition that Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people continue to have rights to land and waters according to their traditional laws and customs.

The Commonwealth *Native Title Act 1993* has the following objectives:

- Provide for the recognition and protection of Native Title
- Establish a mechanism for determining claims to Native Title
- Establish ways in which future dealings affecting Native Title (future acts) may proceed
- Provide for the validation of past acts and intermediate period acts invalidated because of the existence of native title.

Native Title determination requires First Nation's people to establish and prove an unbroken and current connection to their lands and waters and cultural practices from the time of European settlement.

Native Title can be granted with exclusive or non-exclusive rights to lands and waters. Exclusive rights can only be granted over limited parts of Australia, including areas already held by or for the use of Indigenous Australians, or unallocated/vacant Crown land. Non-exclusive native title can include, for example, the right to live on or hunt in an area co-existing with the rights of other land users. In tidal and sea areas, only non-exclusive native title can be recognised. Exclusive Native Title is considered inconsistent with other common law rights regarding marine access and navigation (NNTT, 2010).

The *Native Title Act 1993* appoints Representative Aboriginal/Torres Strait Islander Bodies (RATSIB) as regional organisations with prescribed functions relating to facilitation and assistance, certification, dispute resolution, notifications and agreement making (NNTT, 2024). The EMBA overlaps the following RATSIB Areas:

- Kimberley: Kimberley Land Council Aboriginal Corporation
- Pilbara: Yamatji Marlpa Aboriginal Corporation
- Gascoyne-Midwest: Yamatji Marlpa Aboriginal Corporation.

The Federal Court of Australia first recognised native title over the sea for the Traditional Owners of Croker Island in Arnhem Land in 1998 (Tribunal File No. DCD 1998/001). Since the Croker Islands Seas native title determination, native title in Sea Country has been recognised along Australia's coastline through numerous claims and determinations under the *Native Title Act 1993.*

The First Nations groups identified as overlapping with the EMBA, or coastally adjacent, have been identified and discussed in Table 3-16 and Figure 3-39. These are the First Nation groups that were identified as relevant for consultation (Section 8.3.4).

Figure 3-39: Native Title determination areas within and coastally adjacent to the EMBA



Table 3-16: Native Title Determinations within and Coastally Adjacent to the EMBA

Native Title Tribunal ID	Native Title Party	Prescribed Body Corporate	Description	EMBA overlap	Coastally adjacent
WCD2005/001	Ngarluma/Yindjibarndi	Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC	The Ngarluma/Yindjibarndi Determination Area overlaps the city of Karratha, shire of Ashburton and town of Port Hedland. It contains several protected areas both onshore and offshore including Millstream Chichester National Park and parts of Murgaroona Range Nature Reserve and Dampier Marine Park.	Yes	Yes
WCD2015/007	Ngarluma People	Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation	The Ngarluma People native title claim overlaps the EMBA, which the Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation is the Registered Native Title Prescribed Body Corporate.	Yes	Yes
WCD2018/006	Yaburara & Mardudhunera People	Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation	The Yaburara and Mardudhunera People determination area is west of Karratha to Mardie extending offshore east of Barrow Island and west and north of the Dampier archipelago.	Yes	Yes
WCD2019/016	Gnulli, Gnulli #2 and Gnulli #3 - Yinggarda, Baiyungu and Thalanyji People	Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation, Yinggarda Aboriginal Corporation	The Gnulli, Gnulli #2 and Gnulli #3 – Yinggarda, Baiyungu and Thalanyji People determination area is located north of Shark Bay along the coast to Exmouth encompassing the North-West Cape, including Learmonth and coastal waters of the Exmouth Gulf past Tent Island, and overlapping Ningaloo Marine Park (Section 3.6.4).	Yes	Yes
WCD2008/003	Thalanyji People	Buurabalayji Thalanyji Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC	The Thalanyji native title claim does not overlap the EMBA. The claim is coastally adjacent to the EMBA, for which BTAC is the Registered Native Title Body Corporate.	No	Yes
WCD2018/015	Kariyarra People	Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC	The Kariyarra native title claim does not overlap the EMBA. The claim is coastally adjacent to the EMBA, for which the Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation is the Registered Native Title Prescribed Body Corporate.	No	Yes

Native Title Tribunal ID	Native Title Party	Prescribed Body Corporate	Description	EMBA overlap	Coastally adjacent
WCD2012/001	Ngarla and Ngarla #2 (Determination Area A0	Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC	The Ngarla and Ngarla #2 (Determination Area 2) determined native title claim does not overlap the EMBA. The determined native title claim is coastally adjacent to the EMBA, which the Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation is the Registered Native Title Prescribed Body Corporate.	No	Yes

3.7.4 Indigenous Protected Areas

Indigenous Protected Areas (IPAs) are areas of land and sea managed by Indigenous groups as protected areas for biodiversity conservation through voluntary agreements with the Australian Government. IPAs are an essential component of Australia's National Reserve System, which is the network of formally recognised parks, reserves and protected areas across Australia. No IPAs are located in the EMBA, Hydrocarbon Area or Operational Area.

3.7.5 Aboriginal Cultural Heritage

The *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972* (WA) was amended and reinstated in 2023. The Act aims to ensure recognition, protection and preservation to all Aboriginal cultural heritage (including sites and objects) within WA. It is an offence to excavate, destroy, damage, conceal or in any way alter Aboriginal heritage under s.17 of the Act.

The WA Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Inquiry System (ACHIS) was searched for the Operational Area, and the EMBA. There are no registered Aboriginal Cultural Heritage sites within the Operational Area or Hydrocarbon Area. There are 52 registered sites present within the EMBA. However, none of these sites will be disturbed by planned activities. The closest sites to the Operational Area are on Rosemary Island, approximately 35 km away. VOGA have consulted with First Nations groups within the EMBA and no feedback or concerns about impacts to specific Aboriginal sites were raised. The full results of the searches are presented in Appendix D.

3.7.6 Cultural Values and Sensitivities

3.7.6.1 Sea Country

'Country' is the term often used by First Nations people to describe the lands, waterways, and seas to which they are connected. The term contains complex ideas about law, place, custom, language, spiritual belief, cultural practice, material sustenance, family, and identity (AIATSIS, 2022). 'Sea Country' (also known as Saltwater Country) extends into the Operational Area, Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA.

Country is a cultural landscape which includes both tangible values (i.e. cultural heritage sites) and intangible values (i.e. creation stories and cultural practices). First Nations cultural concepts are directly connected with Country. Country describes all aspects of place, environment, spirituality, law and identity. Values of Country differ between First Nations groups, and not all First Nations groups and communities in Australia hold the same belief systems or spirituality. Differences can sometimes be attributed to aspects of post-colonialism such as dispossession, genocide and restrictions on cultural practices.

Due to the varied cultures and histories of First Nations groups across Australia and various degrees of dispossession, removal from country, loss of connection and continuation of culture, the responses of First Nations communities to caring for Country can vary widely. As a result, a varied approach to managing cultural (both tangible and intangible) values is required.

A 'cultural landscape' refers to pre-colonial and contemporary interactions between humans and the physical environment including non-human animals, plants, physical structures,

ancestors, song lines, trade routes and other significant cultural connections to Country. Cultural landscapes are reflections of how First Nations people engage with Country, intrinsically connecting the past and the present to people, stories and history.

Sea Country is Country that extends into the ocean. Smyth and Isherwood (2016) describe Sea Country as all estuaries, beaches, bays, and marine areas collectively, within a traditional estate. Sea Country contains evidence of the ancient mystical events by which all geographic features, animals, plants and people were created. Sea Country contains sacred sites and tracks (or 'Songlines') along which mythological beings travelled during the creation period (or 'Dreamtime') (Smyth and Isherwood, 2016). The sea, like the land, is integral to the identity of First Nations groups. Connection to Sea Country is accompanied by a complexity of cultural rights and responsibilities. Coastal areas traditionally were amongst the most densely populated areas due to the abundance of resources available. Formal recognition of Sea Country rights is significantly slower compared to land rights. This could be for a range of reasons including conflicting perspectives and opinions on traditional custodianship of land and how far it extends (Smyth and Isherwood, 2016).

During consultation with First Nations groups, one item of cultural value has been identified (Table 3-17). VOGA will continue to consult with First Nations groups to further identify potential cultural values and interests within and surrounding the EMBA, as described in Section 8.3.11.

Table 3-17: Feedback received via consultation to inform existing environment description

Relevant First Nation Group/ individuals	Description of value/interest
Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation	Value: On Country access – visiting offshore 'Kariyarra Island' at low tide. Has cultural significance to the Kariyarra people for intergenerational knowledge transfer and is a place of cultural importance.
	Value: Cultural obligations to care for Kariyarra Country.
	Feature: Tangible cultural heritage (sites) with engravings on the coast, considered fragile.
	Interest: Co-protecting Kariyarra country in the event of a spill. A ranger program is being developed to address potential environmental impacts of offshore operations.
Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation	Value: Strong connection to tangible cultural heritage, system, and cultural protocols. Cultural connection to the World Heritage-listed Dampier Archipelago, including Whim Creek and Delambre Island.
	Value: Importance of local wildlife, primarily on sea turtle nesting, marine migration, and culturally significant areas, including particular importance of the Maitland River.
	Interest: The Sea Rangers program has been developed, and there is an interest in upskilling for a potential offshore impact event.
Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation	Interest: Particular interest in potential noise impacts on migrating whales and turtle migration patterns.
	Value: Cultural connection to the marine environment and fauna, with stingrays being a significant animal totem to the group. Additional importance is placed on Sharks, octopus, fish and birds.

Relevant First Nation Group/ individuals	Description of value/interest
	Feature: Cultural heritage (sites) with the presence of song lines between three islands within the native title area, which were once accessible on foot.
	Interest: Species of bird of high importance and was impacted on the islands due to a recent cyclone.
	Value: Detailed lore, culture and customs with a strong spiritual connection to the sea country. Protection and management of marine life are significant to the group.
Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation	Feature: Recent World Heritage Listing of importance to the group with cultural heritage sites on the coastline.

3.7.6.2 Country in the North-West Marine Region

Northern Australia was one of the first areas of Indigenous occupation in Australia. Archaeologists have concluded that Indigenous people arrived in the northwest at least 50,000 years ago when sea level was at least 100 m lower than present (Smyth, 2007). As a result, First Nations communities in the NWMR have maintained special links with Sea Country for many thousands of years through occupation, resource utilisation and other cultural practices (including stories, dance, management practices and ceremonies). Pre-colonial use of Australia's oceans by coastal First Nations groups varied over time and between regions. Patterns of use at the time of colonisation included hunting, fishing and gathering as well as extended sea voyages by canoe to exploit resources and manage clan Sea Country, sometimes out of sight of the mainland (Smyth, 2007).

First Nations people of the NWMR continue to assert inherited rights and responsibilities over Sea Country. It is understood that spiritual corridors and Songlines extend from terrestrial areas into nearshore and offshore waters, including a number of marine animals as totems (DNP, 2018).

Indigenous communities own the majority of land adjacent to the NWMR (DEWHA, 2008). Native title determinations in the NWMR recognise in law that native title exists over Sea Country and preserve continuing rights to access sea country to hunt, fish, gather and use the resources of the waters for personal, domestic, communal, cultural and spiritual needs. Traditional Indonesian fishers have also visited and used the northern coast of Australia and its islands and reefs since at least the early eighteenth century. Evidence of this (i.e. grave sites) is found within the Ashmore Reef Marine Park (DNP, 2018).

Indigenous people in the NWMR have continued their traditions of caring for country in a contemporary manner. Contemporary industry activities apply pressure to Sea Country values, including (Smyth, 2007):

- The transit and use of Commonwealth waters by dugongs, turtles and fish important to Aboriginal people
- The transit and control of illegal foreign fishers
- The transit and control of foreign shipping
- The transit and control of unauthorised immigrants

- The management of oil and gas exploration and extraction
- Cultural stories, sites and Songlines/Dreaming lines that may extend into Commonwealth waters
- Knowledge that the flooded countries of ancestors lie beneath Commonwealth waters
- Aboriginal participation in commercial fishing
- Aboriginal involvement in tourism and charter fishing enterprises.

3.7.6.3 Marine Parks

Cultural values within AMPs are broadly defined as living and cultural heritage recognising Indigenous beliefs, practices and obligations for country, places of cultural significance and cultural heritage sites. Table 3-18 lists cultural heritage values identified within State and Commonwealth marine parks within the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA. Cultural heritage values are from the AMPs North-West Network Management Plan (DNP, 2018) unless otherwise stated.

Table 3-18: State and Commonwealth Marine Protected Areas within the EMBA and identified cultural heritage values

Marine Park	Marine Park types	Project Areas			Identified cultural heritage values
		Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Dampier	Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI)	-	-	✓	• The Ngarluma, Yindjibarndi, Yaburara and Mardudhunera people have responsibilities for sea country in the Marine Park. • The Native Title holders for these people are represented by the Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation and Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation. • These Prescribed Body Corporates represent Traditional Owners with native title over coastal area adjacent to the Marine Park are the points of contact for their respective areas of responsibility for sea country in the Marine Park. • Murujuga National Park is Western Australia's 100th national park and the first to be co-managed by Traditional Custodians and the WA Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA). Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation holds the freehold title to Murujuga National Park which overlaps the EMBA. Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation is made up of members from five traditional custodial groups: the Ngarluma, Mardudhunera, Yaburara, Yindjibarndi, and Wong-Goo-Tt-Oo peoples. DBCA staff and Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation National Park Rangers work closely together to jointly manage Murujuga National Park. Murujuga Cultural Landscape was recently named a World Heritage Place by UNESCO (Section 3.6.1).
Gascoyne	Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) National Park Zone (IUCN II)	-	-	✓	Not recorded
Montebello	Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI)	-	✓	✓	Not recorded

Marine Park	Marine Park types	Project Areas			Identified cultural heritage values
		Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
Montebello Islands and Barrow Islands (jointly managed)	Marine Park Conservation Park Marine Management Area	-	✓	✓	
Ningaloo	Recreational Use Zone (IUCN IV)	-	-	✓	• Ningaloo Reef and the adjacent foreshore have a long history of occupancy by Aboriginal communities. The foreshore and hinterland of North-West Cape contain numerous Aboriginal sites such as burial grounds, middens and fish traps that provide a historical account of the early habitation of the area and a tangible part of the culture of local Aboriginal groups. • The earliest Aboriginal groups to inhabit the peninsula were the Jinigudira and the Baiyungu people. The Jinigudira inhabited most of the land adjacent to the reef and northern cape, while the Baiyungu inhabited the southern areas of foreshore adjacent to the reef. The archaeological record of the Cape Range Peninsula is significant in that it provides the earliest confirmed record of Pleistocene marine resource use in Australia. Aboriginal habitation of the North-West Cape and Exmouth is thought to have commenced at least 32,000 years (with some reports of 38,000 years before present and continues up to the present (WA Planning Commission, 2004 as cited in CALM and MPRA, 2005). • Although the majority of local Aboriginal people live in towns such as Carnarvon and Onslow, individuals and families retain strong ties to particular sites. The Jinigudira and the Baiyungu still maintain and associate with the North-West Cape and are recognised as the traditional owners of these lands (Gnulli Park Council, pers. comm.). Cardabia pastoral station surrounding Coral Bay is owned and managed by the Baiyungu community. Despite

Marine Park	Marine Park types	Project Areas			Identified cultural heritage values
		Operational Area	Hydrocarbon Area	EMBA	
					disruptions to traditional life, Aboriginal people seek to retain social, religious and personal bonds with their traditional lands. Current Aboriginal usage of the area includes camping and fishing, as well as limited hunting of turtle and dugong (CALM and MPRA, 2005).
Pilbara Islands Nature Reserve (Little Rocky Island and Thevenard Island)	Nature Reserve	-	-	✓	Not recorded

Section 4 – Risk Assessment Methodology

4.1 Overview

Environmental risk assessment is a key component of the environmental risk management process. Environmental risk is assessed by determining the consequence (impact) of an environmental hazard and the likelihood that the consequence will occur, taking consideration of both existing and additional proposed control measures.

Key terminology used in this section of the EP includes:

- **Acceptable**: a tolerable level of impact or risk (either quantitative or qualitative) when assessed in relation to the principles of Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD), internal and external contextual consideration and other relevant requirements (Section 4.9).
- **Activity**: An activity is a 'Petroleum Activity' as defined within Section 5 of the OPGGS(E)R. Specifically, in the context of this EP, 'Activity' relates to a survey activity.
- **ALARP**: A level of risk that is tolerable, where the cost of reducing it further (e.g. financial, time, effort) is grossly disproportionate to any risk reduction gained (as per VOGA Risk Management Manual VOG-2000-MN-0001). The methodology for demonstrating ALARP is described in further detail below (Section 4.8).
- **Catastrophic Environmental Event (CEE)**: any event which has an environmental impact ranked as "4 – Catastrophic" on the VOGA risk matrix (Section 4.6).
- **Cause**: The cause of a particular environmental impact. The cause may be an 'activity', or the cause could be an unplanned event, e.g. "vessel collision with facility".
- **Consequence ranking**: A measure of the severity of the environmental impact in accordance with the VOGA risk matrix (Section 4.6).
- **Control measures**: A means of reducing environmental risk by prevention, frequency reduction, or impact reduction. Control measures take many forms including systems, procedures, people and equipment.
- **Critical Control**: a control that has a key role in preventing, detecting, controlling or mitigating a CEE (as assessed on the VOGA risk matrix [Section 4.6]).
- **Environment**: is and includes the social, economic and cultural features of:
  - ecosystems and their constituent parts, including people and communities
  - environmental assets such as:
    - natural and physical resources
    - the qualities and characteristics of locations, places and areas
    - the heritage value of places.
- **Environmental hazard**: A situation with the potential for causing an environmental impact.
- **Environmental impact**: Any change to the environment arising from an environmental hazard.

- **Likelihood ranking**: A measure of the chance of an environmental impact occurring (expressed as chance, probability or frequency) in accordance with the VOGA risk matrix (Section 4.6).
- **Measurement criteria**: Tangible indicators, quantifiable where possible, that will be used to evaluate the achievement (or otherwise) of environmental performance standards and objectives.
- **Mitigation controls**: Controls which will be utilised in the event that the environmental hazard, requires steps to be taken to return the activity and/or the environment to an acceptable state, i.e. consistent with the environmental objective.
- **Performance outcome**: A measurable level of performance required for the management of environmental aspects of the activity to ensure that environmental impacts and risks of the activity will be of an acceptable level.
- **Performance standard**: A statement of the performance required of a control.
- **Preventive controls**: Controls which will prevent an environmental impact.
- **Residual risk**: The residual risk rating considering the effectiveness and availability of controls (existing and additional).
- **Risk**: A change to the environment that may occur as a result of an unplanned event.

4.2 Framework

The environmental risk assessment was undertaken in accordance with VOGA Risk Management Manual [VOG-2000-MN-0001] and in line with the requirements of the OPGGS(E)R. The framework adopted by VOGA in compiling this EP is consistent with the methodology described in AS/NZS ISO 31000 and NOPSEMA Guidance Note [N04750-GN1344]. Figure 4-1 depicts this methodology with reference to relevant sections of this EP where the requirements are addressed.

Figure 4-1: Content Requirements of this EP (within the Framework Outlined in AS/NZ ISO 31000)

The scope of activities covered by this EP was confirmed in terms of its timing(s), extent, and the nature of the activities included within it. This scope is reflected in the activities described in Section 1.4.

Potential environmental hazards associated with activities and unplanned events were identified, and impacts determined in a qualitative manner in an environmental hazard review workshop. Existing controls were identified in the same workshop. Each hazard employs a hierarchy of controls which relies on the following (in order of preference):

- Elimination: Refers to the elimination of a hazard, for example the use of a renewable energy source eliminates the emissions associated with power generation.
- Substitution: This refers to scenarios where an alternative arrangement is used to reduce the risk levels. For example, using a lower emission fuel type.
- Prevention: removing the causes of a particular impact or decrease their likelihood. As an example, a simpler plant with fewer leak points.
- Reduction: Limits the scale and consequence of a particular impact. For example, changes to process systems to reduce the size of hazardous inventories.
- Mitigation: Controls in place to respond to an incident, such as oil spill contingency planning.

In the case of complex or high hazard risks, environmental impact modelling was carried out to ensure that the impacts were thoroughly understood.

The severity, frequency, and subsequently the initial risk ranking was allocated to each hazard in accordance with the VOGA Risk Management Manual [VOG-2000-MN-0001]. The risk rankings were reviewed, and additional controls were considered to reduce the residual risk to ALARP and acceptable levels.

The Environmental Performance Outcomes (EPOs), Environmental Performance Standards (EPSs) and measurement criteria associated with the controls were developed in a series of performance standard workshops. The residual risk score for each environmental hazard was assigned considering the risk reduction from both the existing and any additional controls. The implementation strategy (Section 6) describes how the additional controls will be implemented.

4.3 Impact Assessment

An assessment of impact for each identified hazard was conducted by:

- Defining impact assessment criteria
- Quantifying magnitude of the stressor, including where applicable, quantity, concentration of contaminant and level of disturbance
- Consideration of timing and duration of the impact and other factors affecting the impact and risk (depth, temperature, tides, etc.)
- Consideration of environmental features affected either directly or indirectly
- Evaluation of the acceptability of the impact and risk.

4.3.1 Cumulative Impacts

In the context of offshore petroleum activities, cumulative environmental impacts are defined by NOPSEMA as successive, additive, or synergistic impacts of collectively significant activities or projects with material impacts on the environment that have the potential to accumulate over temporal and spatial scales (NOPSEMA, 2024b).

VOGA has assessed the cumulative impacts of the survey activities in relation to other current or foreseeable activities, including other petroleum and greenhouse gas activities, that could realistically result in overlapping temporal and spatial extents. Assessment of these impacts from concurrent or parallel activities has been included within the relevant hazard impact assessments throughout Section 5.

4.4 Determination of Likelihood

The VOGA Environmental Risk Matrix (Table 4-1) provides for the likelihood of an impact (consequence) occurring to be determined on the basis of either chance, probability or frequency.

For higher-order risks, the probability of the impact occurring should be evaluated (where possible) on industry data of previous events that have caused impacts to occur. Where statistical industry data is not available, the frequency of events should be determined via other means such as corporate knowledge.

For lower-order risks, or where historical industry information may be unavailable, either ‘frequency’ or chance’ may be used to determine likelihood of the impact occurring.

The determination of likelihood should only be evaluated using a single evaluation technique, i.e. either probability, frequency or chance in decreasing order of precedence.

4.5 VOGA Risk Ranking

The risk ranking was carried out in accordance with VOGA Risk Management Manual [VOG-2000-MN-0001] and utilised through to Section 5.

Table 4-1 is the VOGA corporate risk matrix representing the environmental consequence evaluation in relation to the frequency rating of the occurrence of the environmental hazard, assuming identified controls are in place. The residual risk level is used to establish the actions required to manage potential impacts and risks to ALARP and acceptable levels, and provides environmental consequence definitions in the context of the nature of receptors potentially impacted by the petroleum activity as described in Section 2 of this EP.

The risk action table defines risk levels and the actions required to reduce the risk (Table 4-2). VOGA considers residual environmental risks to be acceptable when at a Residual Risk (RR) level of RRIV (Low) or RRIII (Medium). Table 4-3 details the criteria for socio-economic impacts.

Table 4-1: VOGA Environmental Risk Matrix

								LIKELIHOOD				
							Chance	Rare	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Almost Certain
							Probability	1 in 10 000 - 100,000	1 in 1000 - 10,000	1 in 100 - 1000	1 in 10 - 100	1 in 1-10
							Frequency	Not known to have occurred, but believed to be a credible scenario	Has occurred within our own industry	Has occurred within Vermilion, or has occurred multiple times per year within our own industry	Has occurred several times within Vermilion	Has occurred typically once or more per year within Vermilion
	People	Environment	Business Loss ($ CAD)	Regulatory	Reputation			A	B	C	D	E
POTENTIAL CONSEQUENCE	Multiple fatalities	Irreversible effects on habitat, ecological communities, land, air or water Persistent reduction in sensitive ecosystem function (extends beyond area abandonment timeframe) Effects extend beyond regional scale and/or operating area/district	>$100MM	Regulator permanently withdraws authority to operate Company officials prosecuted	National or international impact- widespread concern with extensive media coverage, prolonged operating region attention Stakeholder concerns force national / regional shutdown of operations or prevention of future operations	5	Catastrophic					
	Fatality	Persistent but reversible, long-term (>10 years) effects on habitat, ecological communities, land, air or water Effects are widespread within region and/or specific operating area	>$10MM – 100MM	Regulatory and/or legal action taken Specific asset shut in for unknown duration during proceedings	National or international impact- widespread concern with extensive media coverage, prolonged operating region attention Stakeholder concerns lead to regional interruption of operations	4	Major					
	Lost Time Incident	Reversible, medium-term (5-10 years) effects on habitat, ecological communities, land, air or water Effects extend into the immediate surroundings of the operating area/lease and/or localized off-lease	>$1MM –10MM	Regulator temporarily withdraws authority to operate on a specific asset	Local to regional impact – prolonged local to regional area negative attention Stakeholder concerns lead to local interruption of operations	3	Moderate					
	Restricted Work Incident	Reversible, short term (1-5 years) effects on habitat, ecological communities, land, air or water Effect within operating area/lease boundaries or localized off-lease	$250K - 1MM	Regulatory attention resulting in an administrative response, directive, warning, or order Could result in a regulatory consequence	Community/local impact – brief community/local area negative attention Stakeholders have concerns that can be addressed through normal business	2	Minor					
	≤ Medical Treatment	Reversible, short term (<1 year) effects on habitat, ecological communities, land, air or water Effect within operating area and/or contained on-lease	<$250K	Regulatory Notice requiring action No regulatory consequence if addressed	On site communications, limited public awareness, single stakeholder concern	1	Incidental					

Table 4-2: Risk action table

Risk Level (includes inherent risk in the event no safeguards are available)	Inherent Risk Action to Reduce Risk to an Acceptable Level	Residual Risk Action to Reduce Risk to an Acceptable Level
RRI or Extreme	Immediate implementation of temporary safeguard. Stop activities until risk controls/safeguards that will reduce the risk are implemented Implement permanent safeguard to reduce risk to acceptable level.	Review Environment Acceptability criteria (Section 4.6)
RRII or High	Immediate implementation of temporary safeguard. Establish a team for the: a) Evaluation of permanent safeguards b) Implementation of permanent safeguards to reduce risk to an acceptable level.	
RRIII or Medium	Safeguards are re-evaluated to determine suitability and acceptability. Establish a team for the evaluation and maintenance of current safeguards. Evaluate for ALARP. Implement permanent safeguards or accept risk as per defined authority.	
RRIV or Low	Controls are reviewed to ensure effectiveness. No further risk treatment if ALARP.	

Table 4-3: Wandoo-specific supplementary environmental consequence definitions

Term used	Definition in the context of this EP
Geographical extent of impact	
Regional scale	Extent of impact across multiple bioregional provinces (EMBA).
Widespread	Extent of impact beyond the Operational Area (<200 km).
Localised off lease	Extent of impact mostly within the Operational Area with some effect extending beyond the boundaries of the area (<40 km).
Within operating area	Extent of impact limited to the Operational Area (2 km from the well location).
Socio-economic criteria: Environment criteria applies for impact and duration. Below are a range of examples for socio-economic impacts and how they are applied to environment consequence ranking in the context of this EP	
5 Catastrophic	Widespread damage to or exclusion from commercial enterprise or collapse of commercial enterprise.
4 Major	Damage to or long-term exclusion (>10 years) from large proportion of commercial or recreational enterprise (e.g. fishery closure).

Term used	Definition in the context of this EP
3 Moderate	Medium-term (5–10 years) damage to or temporary exclusion from large proportion of commercial or recreational enterprise where recovery is expected to occur within 1 year of the activity stopping.
2 Minor	Temporary or permanent exclusion to minor proportion of commercial or recreational enterprise.
1 Incidental	Very short-term exclusion to minor proportion of commercial or recreational enterprise; or community disturbance impact e.g. low-level noise, vibration, lighting.

4.6 Determining Acceptability

For an environmental hazard to be assessed as acceptable, VOGA considers the following items:

- Principles of Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD) are not compromised
- External context – objects or claims may by external relevant persons considered
- Internal context – VOGA HSE policy/procedures are being met
- Other requirements, e.g. industry notices and guidance
- RR < High (RRII)
- EPO(s) manage impacts to acceptable level(s).

4.7 Demonstrating ALARP of Impact and Risk

4.7.1 Demonstration of ALARP

Demonstrating ALARP has been undertaken in accordance with VOGA's Risk Management Manual [VOG-2000-MN-0001] and NOPSEMA's ALARP Guidance Note N-04300-GN0166 [with the key principles of this Guidance Note (Health and Safety) also applying to Environmental Management]. Demonstrating that risks levels are ALARP is a two-step process. Firstly, residual risk levels must be tolerable, that is not within the "High" or "Extreme" risk area of the VOGA Risk Matrix as per Table 4-2. Secondly, once deemed tolerable further risk reduction measures must be identified and assessed for implementation as described below.

Following the identification of standard industry 'good practice' risk mitigation controls and recovery measures, VOGA reviews the residual risk and assesses whether there are any further measures required to reduce the residual risk to ALARP. For survey activities, risks are considered to have been reduced to ALARP if the risks are within the tolerable region of the VOGA Risk Matrix and have been subject to a detailed assessment process that has concluded that there are no additional reasonably practicable measures that can be implemented to further reduce the level of risk.

When deciding whether risks are managed to ALARP, the following items are considered:

- Duration and regularity of operations
- Risk
- Layers of protection

- Feasibility of additional controls or alternative arrangements
- Practicality of additional controls or alternative arrangements
- Cost of additional controls or alternative arrangements
- Effectiveness of additional controls or alternative arrangements
- Impact on risks from additional controls or alternative arrangements
- Lessons learnt from past campaigns and industry.

This decision is valid where:

- All environmental hazards have been identified and assessed
- Risk levels have been evaluated
- Residual risk levels are tolerable, compliant and ALARP.

EPSs have been defined to ensure that the risks are reduced to ALARP on an ongoing basis. The VOGA risk matrix defines an upper threshold above which no risk is tolerable. Below this threshold is the ALARP region, where risks should be further reduced until the cost of any additional action outweighs the incremental benefit gained.

4.7.2 Concept Evaluation ALARP Demonstration

For evaluation of concepts, a specific ALARP Demonstration Worksheet is completed in accordance with the processes defined in VOGA's Risk Management Manual [VOG-2000-MN-0001]. For concept evaluations, demonstration of ALARP contains elements of the following process:

- Identification and consideration of a range of potential measures for further risk reduction
- Systematic analysis of each of the identified risk reduction measures and a view formed on the HSE benefit associated with each of them
- Evaluation of the reasonable practicability of the identified measures
- The implementation (or planned implementation) of the identified risk reduction measures
- Recording of the process and results.

The United Kingdom Offshore Operators Association (UKOOA) has produced guidelines for assisting with the ALARP decision-making process and for recording and demonstrating the robustness of the decision as depicted in Figure 4-2. These are regarded as good practice internationally and have been adopted globally as the standard guidance for ALARP decision making.

The UKOOA guidelines describe a framework that is intended to help decision-makers identify the various decision factors and establish a basis for the decision based on these. The decision framework assesses the significance to the decision process of codes and standards, good practice, engineering judgement, risk analysis, cost benefit analysis, and company and societal values. They aim to encourage the development of transparent decision-making processes.

Figure 4-2: UKOOA Decision Support Framework



The first step in the decision-making process is to establish the decision context. This is done by assigning a decision context type (A-C), based on the categories and prompts detailed in Table 4-4.

Table 4-4: ALARP decision context type

Decision context	Description
A	Nothing new or unusual Well understood risks Established practice No major stakeholder implications
B	Lifecycle implications Some risk trade-offs/transfers Some uncertainty or deviation from standard or best practice Significant economic implications
C	Very novel or challenging Strong stakeholder views and perceptions Significant risk trade-offs or risk transfer Large uncertainties Perceived lowering of safety standards

The ALARP template provides questions around the decision context and prompts discussion to determine the appropriate context type.

Once the decision context has been established, Table 4-5 is then consulted to determine an appropriate method of decision making. For example, a design which involves nothing new or

unusual, has well understood risks and no major uncertainties or shareholder implications, would be assigned a decision context in the middle of Type A as shown by the band in Table 4-4.

Reading across this band indicates the relative importance of each of the decision-making criteria; that is, the decision should be primarily based on the requirements of codes and standards, then engineering judgement and finally good practice. Quantitative Risk Assessment (QRA) should not be allowed to have a great influence on the decision. Consulting the codes and standards provides an appropriate means to calibrate the decision.

However, if the costs associated with the problem were considerable, or there were significant risk uncertainties, this would push the context towards Type B. In this case, some use of QRA and consideration of the company values would be appropriate.

Table 4-5 provides further explanation of each of the decision-making criteria.

Table 4-5: Decision criteria definitions

Decision criteria	Definition
Codes and standards	Decision basis is to follow the requirements of relevant codes and standards. Codes and standards embody the lessons learnt over past years, and for well understood hazards and situations often provide an appropriate solution.
Good practice	Decision basis is to follow what is generally accepted as current standard or good/best practice. Good practice embodies both the requirements of codes, etc. and other good engineering, analysis and management practices for common situations. Good practice may include solutions that have not yet found their way into codes and standards. What is good practice may differ from situation to situation. Care should be taken to benchmark against the relevant good practice or emerging practice.
Engineering judgement	Decision basis is to follow what sound engineering judgement indicates is the best solution. This would be expected to include a recognition of what is good/best emerging practice, and an understanding and application of sound engineering and scientific principles and methods. It could include: engineering analysis, consequence modelling, deterministic cases for hazard management as well as competent judgment and interpretation of these and other information.
Risk-based analysis (QRA, cost benefit analysis (CBA), etc.)	Decision basis is to make use of the results of probabilistic analyses such as QRA, reliability analysis and CBA to support the decision-making process. The assessment could be qualitative or quantitative. Uncertainties and the resolution of the analysis vs the needs of the decision will be key issues to address.
Company values	Decision basis should take account of the views, concerns and perception of the stakeholders directly affected by the decision/option and the values of the company in terms of its safety commitment, image, etc.
Societal values	Decision basis should take account of the views, concerns and perceptions of all the relevant stakeholders, including society at large.

The ALARP Demonstration Worksheet provides documentary evidence that the above process has been followed and requires a recommendation of the proposed solution that best meets the appropriate criteria.

4.8 Evaluating Acceptability of Residual Impacts or Risks

Regulation 21(5)(c) of the OPGGS(E)R requires demonstration that environmental impacts and risks are of an acceptable level.

VOGA only considers the level of residual impact or risk to be of an acceptable level when (in combination):

- The relevant Principles of ESD have not been compromised
- Both internal and external context requirements have been achieved
- All other requirements have been met
- The predicted level of residual risk is below the level considered as unacceptable and is demonstrably ALARP.

To demonstrate that potential environmental impacts and risk associated with the survey activities are of an acceptable level, the following process has been adopted to establish an acceptable level of residual risk for each aspect of the activity (either qualitative or quantitative) considering:

- The principles of ESD – the activity must be carried out in a manner consistent with the relevant ESD principles, namely:
  - Decision making processes should effectively integrate both long-term and short-term economic, environmental, social and equitable considerations. This principle is inherently applied via the risk assessment methodology, inclusive of the demonstration of ALARP, detailed within this EP. As such, this principle is not evaluated separately within each hazard assessment.
  - If there are threats of serious or irreversible environmental damage, lack of full scientific certainty should not be used as a reason for postponing measures to prevent environmental degradation. This principle applied via the ALARP process in which a precautionary approach may be adopted where residual risks may be high-level or where there is a high degree of uncertainty in the outcomes of the activity.
  - The principle of inter-generational equity — that the present generation should ensure that the health, diversity and productivity of the environment is maintained or enhanced for the benefit of future generations. This principle is inherently applied via the risk assessment methodology, inclusive of the demonstration of ALARP, detailed within this EP. As such, this principle is not evaluated separately within each hazard assessment.
  - The conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity should be a fundamental consideration in decision making. This principle is applied by evaluating residual risks of survey activities to particular values and sensitivities, including matters protected under Part 3 of the EPBC Act, giving consideration to relevant recovery plans and species conservation advices as detailed within Table 1-3.
- Internal context – the activity must be undertaken in a manner consistent with the objectives of the VOGA HSE policy and relevant procedural controls.
- External context – where a relevant organisations' or persons' activity, function or interest may be affected by the survey activity, any objections or claims that have been assessed as

having merit and are relevant to the survey activity should be considered when establishing the acceptable level of residual risk of the activity. The predicted level of residual risk presented within this EP shall be taken as acceptable in the context of external stakeholder expectations when:

  - the level of residual risk is equal to or below existing stakeholder expectations in regard to their function, activity or interest, or
  - where no objection or claim is received from a relevant organisation or person.

- Other requirements – the residual risks associated with the survey activity are considered acceptable in the context of external requirements when they are within the bounds identified in relevant laws, policies, standards, conventions and do not compromise the objectives of relevant recovery plans and species conservation advices as detailed within Table 1-3.

4.9 Environmental Performance Outcomes, Environmental Performance Standards and Measurement Criteria

EPOs, EPSs, and their measurement criteria, are defined for each control to ensure overall environmental performance is maintained at ALARP and acceptable levels.

The EPOs detailed within this EP are consistent with the principles of ESD as detailed in Section 4.8, provide for Matters Protected under Part 3 of the EPBC Act, are relevant to the potential impacts and risks associated with the survey activity and maintain potential impacts and risks to acceptable levels based upon the context described in Section 4.8.

The EPOs detailed within this EP can be measured in various ways depending on whether the acceptable level of impact or risk is quantitative or qualitative.

Quantitative levels of performance embedded within EPOs can be directly monitored and measured either prior to or after an impact has occurred (either planned or unplanned). Qualitative levels of performance can be assessed by validating whether the EPO remains achievable, relevant, or that the EPO has been maintained (or breached).

Within the detailed hazard assessment (Section 5), in order to ensure that control measures have a clear purpose, EPOs were determined for each receptor or receptor group. Each EPO is a measurable level of performance required for the management of environmental aspects of the activity to ensure that environmental impacts and risks of the activity will be of an acceptable level. Where multiple controls protect against the same hazard, they may share a common performance outcome.

Once the performance outcome has been confirmed, a more specific statement on the level of performance required of the control is established – this is the EPS. The EPS may be a quantitative or qualitative statement of the functional requirement of a specific control. Note that for administrative controls such as procedures, EPSs are typically less specific than those for physical equipment.

Once EPOs and EPSs are developed, it is important that the performance of the control can be tracked or monitored in some way to confirm it continues to meet the performance standards. To achieve this, a measurement criterion or means of assurance is specified. The measurement

criterion varies, depending on the nature of the control. VOGA would also accept internal or external audit records, maintenance and testing records, certificates, operations records, purchasing records, material specifications etc. as a means of assurance or measurement criteria.

4.10 Hazard Report Template

The risk assessment section of this EP is structured such that each of the hazards has a dedicated subsection. Each subsection commences with a Hazard Report Table, which is a tabular summary of the hazard, its causes, impacts and risk ranking.

Each Hazard Report Table contains:

- The activity/cause of the hazard
- EP risk number – this is a unique identification number applied to each risk
- The extent of the hazard, e.g. area disturbed, or volume released
- A high-level summary of the impacts to the environment which the hazard may cause
- A summary of the impact and risk evaluation, including residual risk
- Relevant EPO(s).

Section 5 – Hazard Assessment

Planned activities and unplanned events associated with the survey pose a range of different environmental risks. The risks have been assessed using the methodology outlined in Section 4. A matrix of the hazards listed against the activities outlined in Section 2 is provided in Table 5-1. Descriptions of these hazards and how they may occur, along with measures to prevent and mitigate potential environmental impacts, are included in this section.

Table 5-1: Summary of environmental hazards for survey activities

EP risk no.	Hazard	Activity								Residual risk ranking			Impact assessment section
		Geotechnical survey		Geophysical survey			Other survey	Support activities	Oil spill response	Impact/Consequence	Likelihood	Residual risk	
		Seabed Sampling	Geotechnical Boreholes	Multibeam Echo Sounder	Side-scan Sonar	Sub-bottom Profiler	Other Survey Techniques	Vessel Operations					
		2.5.1	2.5.2	2.6.1	2.6.2	2.6.3	2.7	2.8.1					
Planned													
EP-GG-R01	Physical presence – interaction with other marine users							x	x	1	E	RRIII	5.1
EP-GG-R02	Seabed disturbance	x	x					x	x	1	E	RRIII	5.2
EP-GG-R03	Noise emissions			x	x	x	x	x	x	1	E	RRIII	5.3
EP-GG-R04	Atmospheric and GHG emissions							x	x	1	E	RRIII	5.4
EP-GG-R05	Light emissions							x	x	1	E	RRIII	5.5
EP-GG-R06	Routine discharges							x	x	1	E	RRIII	5.6
Unplanned													
EP-GG-R07	Introduction of IMS							x	x	3	A	RRIV	5.7
EP-GG-R08	Physical presence – interaction with marine fauna							x	x	2	B	RRIV	5.8
EP-GG-R09	Accidental overboard loss of waste and solid objects	x	x					x	x	1	B	RRIV	5.9
EP-GG-R10	Minor spills							x	x	1	B	RRIV	5.10
EP-GG-R11	Accidental release of MDO							x	x	3	B	RRII	5.11
EP-GG-R12	Oiled wildlife response								x	2	B	RRIV	5.12

5.1 Planned: Physical Presence – Interaction with Other Marine Users

5.1.1 Hazard Report

Table 5-2 Hazard Report – interaction with other marine users

HAZARD	Interaction with other marine users		
EP risk number	EP-GG-R01		
Activity/cause	Vessel operations		
Extent	Geotechnical and geophysical surveys will be conducted within a subset of the WA-14-L Permit. Only one survey vessel will be in the Operational Area at any time and an exclusion zone is not required for the surveys. Other vessels will be able to pass by the survey vessel with minimal disruption to routes and activities and undertake concurrent activities within the Operational Area.		
Potential impact description	Very short-term impacts to a minor proportion of commercial or recreational users interest area.		
IMPACT AND RISK EVALUATION SUMMARY			
Receptor	**Impact/Consequence**	**Likelihood**	**Residual Risk**
Commercial fishing	Incidental (1)	Almost Certain (E)	Medium (RRIII)
Shipping	Incidental (1)	Almost Certain (E)	Medium (RRIII)
Relevant EPO(s)	EPO-GG-01 Undertake the survey activities in a manner that does not interfere with other marine users to a greater extent than is necessary for the exercise of right conferred by the titles granted.		

5.1.2 Description of Hazard

Vessels within the Operational Area may encounter other marine users while conducting the geophysical and geotechnical surveys. The duration of the geotechnical and geophysical surveys is expected to take up to 10 and 5 days, respectively. Surveys are not planned to be conducted simultaneously.

While conducting geotechnical surveys, vessels will be stationary while at the sample location under DP. It is anticipated borehole or PCPT samples will take approximately one day to complete, which presents the greatest duration for a stationary vessel. Vessels completing geophysical surveys will be operating at low speeds (typically <5 knots).

An exclusion zone will not be required for the surveys, therefore other marine users will be required to apply normal navigational requirements. A stationary vessel completing geotechnical surveys will present the greatest potential impact to other marine users resulting in a short-term impact or cause for slight deviation to avoid the survey vessel.

As all equipment will be removed from the Operational Area immediately following activities, there are no ongoing hazards from vessel operations to other marine users.

5.1.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation

Potential impacts to other marine users and associated values from the survey activities include:

- Potential slight changes to their functions, interests and activities.

The following receptors within the Operational Area may be impacted by the physical presence:

- Commercial fisheries
- Recreational fishing
- Shipping.

Given there are no discernible impacts to tourism, defence and/or oil and gas operators and proponents, due to the nature and scale of activities, the potential physical displacement to these users has not been evaluated further.

5.1.3.1 Impact Assessment

Other Marine Users

Commercial Fisheries and Recreational Fishing

Changes to the Functions, Interests and Activities

Displacement of fisheries and recreational fishing may occur due to the physical presence of survey vessels in the Operational Area. The potential for interaction with other marine users and survey activities will be limited to a single vessel in the Operational Area, as only one vessel will be active in the Operational Area completing the required activities.

As described in Section 3.5.1, 2 WA-managed fisheries with catch-effort were recorded within the 10 nm reporting block overlapping the Operational Area. The Operational Area intersects the Pilbara Fish Trawl fishery, which is managed through designated areas (or zones) restricting the catch method allowed within that area. The Operational Area overlaps the *Trap Fishing only* area, and *Area 1 (trawl and trap fishing allowed)*. The assessment of 10-year fishing effort indicated a maximum number of four vessels were active within the entire fishery. If trawl vessels encounter survey vessels, they may be required to deviate slightly from trawl lines. The likelihood of this would be reduced through on-water communications and consultation, and limited to only the small portion of the fishery management area that overlaps the Operational Area. The survey activities will not require an exclusion zone, therefore no displacement from areas accessed is expected. Short-term and temporary impacts may be expected to the fishery.

Up to three tour operator licence holders recorded effort in the 10 nm CAES blocks overlapping the Operational Area. The Dampier Archipelago (approximately 35 km southeast) and the Glomar Shoals (approximately 40 km northeast) are the closest features where tour-operators are likely to access. As noted in Section 3.5.1, DPIRD's FishCube fishing effort data between 2013 – 2023 notes that there were active fishing Tour Operators within the Operational Area, which correlates with the Wandoo platform being listed as a recreational fishing site by DPIRD (2023) (Section 3.5.4.1) and correspondence from RecfishWest (Sensitive Information Report, reference 2.9). The area is used for deepwater fishing by fishing charters and large private fishing boats. The survey activities will not require an exclusion zone, therefore no displacement

from fishing areas is expected. Short-term and temporary impacts may be expected to the recreational fishing.

Fisheries with recorded catch within the Operational Area at the 60 nm reporting blocks include the:

- Mackerel Managed Fishery
- Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery
- Pilbara Line Fishery
- Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery
- Nickol Bay Prawn Managed Fishery
- Onslow Prawn Managed Fishery
- Marine Aquarium Fish Managed Fishery
- Hermit Crab Fishery
- Specimen Shell Managed Fishery
- West Australian Sea Cucumber.

The Pilbara Trap and Mackerel managed fisheries may be present in the Operational Area. The Pilbara Line and Mackerel managed fisheries operate via line-surface trolling for pelagic species. The Pilbara crab managed fishery operates using hourglass traps, primarily within inshore waters around Nickol Bay (Newman et al., 2023). The Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery uses baited trap gears in depths of ~30–200 m (DCCEEW, 2023c). Interactions with these fisheries from vessel operations are likely to be limited to very-short term impacts to a minor portion of any fishery management area.

The Nickol Bay and Onslow Prawn managed fisheries are managed through spatial closures (designated fishing areas). The Nickol Bay management area does not overlap the Operational Area, therefore planned activities are not likely to interact with the fishery. The Onslow Prawn Managed Fishery is limited to Area 3, which overlaps the Operational Area. Fishing effort is typically targeted in the nearshore and coastal waters, west of the Dampier archipelago (Newman et al., 2023a). Given the distance from suitable and previous targeted areas, it is not considered likely the physical presence of survey vessels could have any interactions with the Onslow Prawn Managed Fishery.

The Marine Aquarium Fish, Hermit Crab, Specimen Shell and West Australian Sea Cucumber fisheries operate in coastal, nearshore waters via hand-catch or dive methods. Given the distance from shorelines and water depths in the Operational Area, impacts from physical presence of vessels are not considered likely.

No Commonwealth managed fisheries were recorded to have previous catch effort in the Operational Area, therefore are unlikely to have any interaction with planned activities.

Shipping

Changes to the Functions, Interests and Activities

Shipping activities are expected to be low, with no shipping fairways crossing the Operational Area. As detailed in Section 3.5.2, established shipping fairways are approximately 3 km south of the Operational Area. Vessel interactions during the activity will be managed in accordance with navigational requirements. Given the short-term duration of activities and lack of exclusion zone, impacts to shipping activities are considered incidental.

Cumulative Impacts

Due to the short-term nature of the activities and lack of exclusion zones, impacts are expected to be localised and not extend beyond the Operational Area. Any other marine user operating within the area will be able to pass by the survey vessel with minimal disruption to routes and activities as per standard navigational requirements. Therefore, there is no potential for additive or cumulative impacts to occur with other operators undertaking nearby concurrent activities.

During the activity, there is no potential for simultaneous operations (SIMOPs) between the geophysical survey and geotechnical surveys, with the surveys planned sequentially with only one survey vessel in the Operational Area at any time. Therefore, no potential cumulative impacts with other marine users from SIMOPs will occur.

5.1.4 Risk Ranking

The Operational Area is characterised by soft, unconsolidated sediments with no known features of significance to fisheries (emergent features, reef or outcrops). Previous fishing effort has remained relatively low (<5 active vessels per year), for fisheries with the potential to interact with the survey activities. Impacts to commercial fisheries from the physical presence of survey vessels will be very-short term and a minor proportion of the wider management area. Therefore:

- The consequence ranking of ‘1’ (Incidental) was assigned to potential interactions with other marine users, and likelihood of ‘E’ (Almost Certain) was considered appropriate, resulting in a risk ranking of ‘Medium’ (RRIII).

5.1.5 ALARP Demonstration

Table 5-3: Demonstration of ALARP – Physical Presence – interaction with other marine users

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
Elimination			
None identified	-	-	-
Substitution			
None identified	-	-	-
Prevention			
Functional communication equipment on board vessels to communicate with commercial and	EPO-GG-01	Communication equipment on board surveys vessels, shall be functional and maintained in accordance	VOGA inspection or audit confirms application of contractor’s PMS. Communication equipment

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
recreational shipping vessels in the vicinity of the activities.		with the contractor's Preventative Maintenance System (PMS).	on board survey vessels are included in the contractor's PMS.
Notification of activities direct to relevant persons.	EPO-GG-01	Relevant persons to be identified and notified as appropriate prior to activity, in accordance with Table 8-6.	Record of relevant person assessment and correspondence.
Vessels to adhere to the navigation safety requirements including the *Navigation Act 2012* and any subsequent Marine Orders.	EPO-GG-01	Vessels compliant with *Navigation Act 2012* and Marine Order 21 (Safety of navigation and emergency procedures) and Marine Order 30 (Prevention of collisions).	Marine assurance inspection records demonstrate compliance with standard maritime safety procedures.
Reduction			
None identified	-	-	-
Mitigation			
None identified	-	-	-
Other			
None identified	-	-	-
Considered control measures	**Assessment of option**		**Decision**
Activities are conducted outside of fishing seasons.	Fishing seasons occur almost all times of the year, so this is not feasible. Fishing effort is low so this control would not reduce impact substantially.		Not adopted.
ALARP summary: The impacts of physical presence to other marine users are ALARP, based on the impact assessment outcomes using VOGA Risk Matrix (as per Table 4-2), the ALARP template to determine the appropriate decision context type (Table 4-4) and VOGA's criteria for demonstrating ALARP (Section 4.7). No reasonably practicable additional controls were identified that would further reduce the impacts without disproportionate sacrifice.			

5.1.6 Acceptability Demonstration

Table 5-4: Acceptability demonstration – Physical presence – interaction with other marine users

ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF RISK DEMONSTRATED	
Principles of ESD not compromised	Relevant Principles of ESD not compromised given proposed controls (Table 5-3).
External context – objections or claims considered	N/A – No external objections or claims received.
Internal context – VOGA HSE policy/procedures met	Yes – Risk managed in accordance with VOGA HSE policy.
Other requirements met	Yes – Ongoing consultation with relevant persons, as requested, within a timely manner (Table 8-6).

	Vessels to adhere to the navigation safety requirements including the *Navigation Act 2012* and any subsequent MO, including: • MO 21: Safety of navigation and emergency arrangements • MO 30: Prevention of collisions.
RR < High (RRII)	Yes – Low (RRIV).
EPO(s) manage impacts to acceptable level(s)	Yes – The following relevant EPOs will be maintained: • EPO-GG-01 See Section 5.13 for further details.
Acceptability summary The potential impacts of the physical presence of the survey vessels interacting with other marine users have been managed to a level that is broadly acceptable based on the demonstration of ALARP (Table 5-3), alignment with industry best practice, and VOGA's process for evaluating acceptability (Section 4.6).	

5.2 Planned: Seabed Disturbance

5.2.1 Hazard Report

Table 5-5: Hazard Report – Seabed disturbance

HAZARD	Seabed disturbance		
EP risk number	EP-GG-R02		
Activity/cause	Geotechnical survey activities		
Extent	Seabed disturbance of 40 m^2 per Prospect Area		
Potential impact description	Localised, reversible and short-term impacts to benthic habitats and assemblages, not affecting ecosystem functioning. Incidental impacts to submerged cultural heritage sites.		
IMPACT AND RISK EVALUATION SUMMARY			
Receptor	**Impact/Consequence**	**Likelihood**	**Residual Risk**
Benthic habitats and assemblages	Incidental (1)	Almost Certain (E)	Medium (RRIII)
Submerged cultural heritage	Incidental (1)	Rare (A)	Low (RRIV)
Relevant EPO(s)	EPO-GG-02 No serious or irreversible changes to seabed which may adversely impact on biodiversity, ecological integrity, social amenity or human health.		

5.2.2 Description of Hazard

Geotechnical survey activities will contact the seabed and include the physical sampling and measurement of features within the marine environment (Section 2.5). The following activities may cause seabed disturbance:

- Seabed sampling – seabed sampling involves a grab sampler that is lowered to the seabed and triggered to shut on contact to collect sediment.

  - Seabed sampling will occur at each Prospect Area, up to 16 samples will be taken, with a footprint of 1 m^2 each.
- Geotechnical boreholes – geotechnical boreholes or PCPT involves drilling or penetrating the seafloor to obtain soil data.
  - One geotechnical borehole will be drilled, with a footprint of up to 15 m^2.
  - Up to three PCPTs will be carried out at each Prospect Area, with a footprint of 3 m^2 each.

The total maximum seabed disturbance from the activity is 40 m^2 per Prospect Area.

A maximum of one vessel will be undertaking surveys at a time. Whilst undertaking activities in the Operational Area the geotechnical vessel will be stationary, using DP to maintain position, not anchors (Section 2.8.1). Anchoring will only be undertaken to account for weather events or unforeseen circumstances.

5.2.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation

Potential impacts to the seabed and its associated features from the survey activities include:

- Change to benthic habitat and assemblages.

Potential risks to the seabed and its associated features from the activity include:

- Change to submerged cultural heritage.

The following receptors within the Operational Area may be impacted by seabed disturbance:

- Benthic habitats
- Benthic invertebrates
- Submerged cultural heritage.

Given there are no discernible impacts to commercially important demersal species at either an individual, or population, level due to the nature and scale of the potential seabed disturbance social and economic impacts on commercial fisheries have not been evaluated.

5.2.3.1 Impact Assessment

Benthic Habitats and Invertebrates

Change to Benthic Habitat

The geotechnical survey may result in change to the benthic habitat within the Operational Area due to seabed sampling, geotechnical boreholes, or PCPT. Benthic habitat may also be impacted in the event vessel anchoring is required. The benthic habitat of the Operational Area has been identified as consistent with the sediments found throughout the NWS Province, consisting of soft, sandy, unconsolidated sediments (Section 3.4.1). No coral, seagrasses, or macroalgae habitats or significant seabed or benthic features were recorded within the Operational Area (Section 3.4).

As discussed in Section 5.2.2, the greatest seabed disturbance footprint will be limited to within the Operational Area with a maximum spatial extent of 40 m^2 expected to be disturbed during activities. Any impact is predicted to be in the immediate vicinity of the anchors, anchor chain or sample locations; therefore, the potential impact is expected to be highly localised. The duration of disturbance is expected to be short term, approximately 10 days in each Prospect Area; therefore, any impact to benthic habitats will be highly localised and temporary in nature.

Benthic invertebrates present within the Operational Area are expected to be typical of the NWS region, of highly diverse species, such as borrowing polychaete worms and crustaceans, in low abundance (Section 3.4.3.2). The diversity and abundance of benthic invertebrates has been identified to decrease with increasing distances from the coast within the NWS region (SKM, 1996). No species or ecological communities listed as threatened under the EPBC Act, critical habitats, sensitive or protected benthic habitat or species, have been identified in the Operational Area. Given the localised nature of the disturbance and the lack of benthic invertebrates found within the Operational Area, any impact to benthic invertebrates from seabed disturbance is expected to be incidental.

Given the localised nature of the disturbance and the lack of significant benthic habitat and assemblages in the region, potential impacts to benthic habitats and assemblages from anchoring are not anticipated to impact marine ecosystem functioning.

Submerged Cultural Heritage

Change to Submerged Cultural Heritage

Seabed disturbance from geotechnical surveys has very low potential to cause a change to submerged cultural heritage sites, given the small areas of seabed disturbance involved. As described in Section 3.6.3, Australia's underwater cultural heritage, such as shipwrecks, sunken aircrafts, Traditional Owners underwater cultural heritage, and other underwater heritage sites are protected under the *Underwater Heritage Act 2018*. No cultural heritage artefacts, such as shipwrecks or registered Aboriginal Cultural Heritage sites, have been identified within the Operational Area (Sections 3.6.3 and 3.7.5). Any impact to submerged cultural heritage is expected to be incidental.

Cumulative Impacts

Due to the short-term nature of the activities, the scarcity of benthic invertebrates found within the Operational Area, and the extent of the impact (a maximum spatial extent of 40 m^2), impacts are expected to be highly localised and not extend beyond the Operational Area. Therefore, there is no potential for additive or cumulative seabed disturbance impacts to occur with other operators undertaking nearby concurrent activities.

5.2.4 Risk Ranking

The seabed of the Operational Area is characterised by soft, unconsolidated sediments with limited sensitive features, benthic assemblages, no known underwater cultural heritage sites. Impacts to these receptors from seabed disturbance will be temporary and considered to be localised and minimal. Therefore:

- The consequence ranking of '1' (Incidental) was assigned to benthic habitats and assemblages, and a likelihood of 'E' (Almost Certain) was considered appropriate, resulting in a risk ranking of 'Medium' (RRII).
- The consequence ranking of '1' (Incidental) was assigned to submerged cultural heritage, and a likelihood of 'A' (Rare) was considered appropriate, resulting in a risk ranking of 'Low' (RRIV).

5.2.5 ALARP Demonstration

Table 5-6: Demonstration of ALARP – Seabed disturbance

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
Elimination			
None identified	-	-	-
Substitution			
None identified	-	-	-
Prevention			
None identified	-	-	-
Reduction			
None identified	-	-	-
Mitigation			
Geotechnical sample locations will be finalised after the geophysical survey data is obtained and interpreted, so that geotechnical sample locations avoid sensitive benthic features.	EPO-GG-02	Geotechnical sample locations will avoid sub-seabed features and hazards including sensitive benthic features to support safe placement of the MODU's jack-up legs in accordance with survey objectives detailed in Section 2.1.	Survey reports provide all sample and investigative locations and depths, demonstrating geotechnical sample locations avoid sensitive benthic features within the Operational Area.
Other			
Unexpected finds of potential underwater cultural heritage sites/features are to be reported.	EPO-GG-02	In the event an underwater cultural heritage site or feature is identified, the Minister will be identified within 21 days through the Australasian Underwater Cultural Heritage Database tool.	Record of relevant person correspondence.
Considered control measures	**Assessment of option**		**Decision**
Eliminate subsea activities.	The subsea activities that result in potential seabed disturbance are essential for the success of the activities and cannot be avoided. The alternative		Not adopted.

	of doing nothing would potentially compromise the integrity and safety of future drilling activities, with increased technical and environmental risks.	
Drill geotechnical samples to shallower depths.	A reduction in the depth of seabed samples taken would result in a significant decrease in the quality of the data obtained. Therefore, the costs to the survey are considered grossly disproportionate to the benefits.	Not adopted.
Monitor the seabed environment before and after the survey to assess impacts to the seabed.	Monitoring of the seabed would have significant additional costs to obtain and analyse data with the spatial resolution required to accurately assess any changes to the seabed habitat. The presence of additional vessels for increased periods of time would be required to undertake the pre- and post-survey seabed environment. This would incur additional impacts and risks to the marine environment.	Not adopted.
Engagement of an underwater archaeologist to assess for any unexpected finds of potential underwater cultural heritage sites/features.	Geotechnical samples will be finalised after the geophysical survey data is obtained and interpreted, so that locations avoid benthic features or large items. <40 m^2 per Prospect Area of seabed disturbance is expected during geotechnical sampling. Therefore, underwater cultural heritage disturbance is considered highly unlikely. Due to the resolution of the geophysical survey data, an underwater archaeologist may be unable to detect small items during a desktop review. Additionally, in the remote likelihood that the seabed or geotechnical boreholes sampling intersect a small artefact, the sampling equipment are likely to move, rather than destroy it. As the potential impact to underwater cultural heritage sites/features is already limited, the benefits of this control are not significant.	Not adopted.
ALARP summary: The impacts of seabed disturbance activities are ALARP, based on the impact assessment outcomes using VOGA Risk Matrix (as per Table 4-2), the ALARP template to determine the appropriate decision context type (Table 4-4) and VOGA's criteria for demonstrating ALARP (Section 4.7). No reasonably practicable additional controls were identified that would further reduce the impacts without disproportionate sacrifice.		

5.2.6 Acceptability Demonstration

Table 5-7: Acceptability demonstration – Seabed disturbance

Acceptable level of impact/risk demonstrated	
Principles of ESD not compromised	Relevant principles of ESD not compromised given proposed controls (Table 5-6).
External context – objections or claims considered	N/A – No external objections or claims received.
Internal context – VOGA HSE policy/procedures met	Yes – Risk managed in accordance with VOGA HSE policy.
Other requirements met	Yes – Ongoing consultation with relevant persons, as requested, within a timely manner (Table 8-6).
RR < High (RRII)	Yes – Medium (RRIII).
EPO(s) manage impacts to acceptable level(s)	Yes – The following relevant EPOs will be maintained: • EPO-GG-02. See Section 5.13 for further details.
Acceptability summary: The impacts of seabed disturbance have been managed to a level that is broadly acceptable based on the demonstration of ALARP (Table 5-6), alignment with industry best practice, and VOGA's process for evaluating acceptability (Section 4.6).	

5.3 Planned: Noise Emissions

5.3.1 Hazard Report

Table 5-8: Hazard Report – Noise emissions

HAZARD	Noise emissions – impulsive and continuous		
EP risk number	EP-GG-R03		
Activity/cause	Geophysical survey activities Geotechnical survey activities Other survey techniques Vessel operations		
Extent	A maximum horizontal distance of 4.57 km, for behavioural impacts to marine mammals from vessel operations.		
Potential impact description	Injury to hearing or other organs of marine fauna. Masking or interfering with biologically important sounds. Disturbance leading to behavioural changes or displacement of fauna. Note: The risk rankings below only relate to change in marine fauna behaviour, other potential impacts were deemed not credible as discussed in Section 5.3.4.1.		
IMPACT AND RISK EVALUATION SUMMARY			
Receptor	**Impact/Consequence**	**Likelihood**	**Residual Risk**
Fish, sharks and rays	Incidental (1)	Almost Certain (E)	Medium (RRIII)
Marine reptiles	Incidental (1)	Almost Certain (E)	Medium (RRIII)
Marine mammals	Incidental (1)	Almost Certain (E)	Medium (RRIII)

Relevant EPO(s)	EPO-GG-03 Impacts to marine fauna from noise emissions will be limited to temporary behavioural change localised to the noise source, with no species population-level impacts.

5.3.2 Description of Hazard

During the survey activities, noise emissions will be generated from:

- Geophysical surveys, such as MBES, SSS and SBP
- Geotechnical surveys
- Other survey techniques
- Vessel operations.

5.3.2.1 Geophysical Surveys

Geophysical sampling instruments, specifically MBES, SSS, SBP, are designed to characterise the seabed topography, bathymetry, potential geohazards, and other seafloor features using impulsive sound sources. The noise emissions produced from the geophysical equipment are high-energy, high-frequency, impulsive noise.

Most commercial SBPs are small, low-powered, high-resolution and shallow-penetrating systems, producing electrical pulses across a range of frequencies (Jiménez-Arranz et al., 2017). MBES and SSS are very high-frequency and high-resolution systems, producing short micro-pulses of sound.

The maximum duration for geophysical surveys is five days at each Prospect Area for a maximum of two Prospect Areas (10 days in total, refer Section 2.6). The anticipated sound sources generated by the relevant geophysical survey equipment are detailed in Table 5-9.

The very high-frequency micro-pulses of noise produced by the MBES and SSS indicates limited horizontal noise propagation outside of the main directional beams of sound with the noise rapidly attenuating outside of the beam (MacGillivray et al., 2013; Zykov, 2013). The high operating frequencies (Table 5-9) of these instruments also places the majority of sound frequencies above the auditory range of most marine fauna species.

Previous studies of SBP indicate limited horizontal noise propagation outside of the main directional beams of sound (MacGillivray et al., 2013; Zykov, 2013; McPherson and Wood, 2017). Noise modelling studies conducted by McPherson and Wood (2017) and Wood and McPherson (2019), prepared for the Beach Energy geophysical and geotechnical seabed survey EP modelled impulsive noise sources of a geophysical survey[2]. These studies are considered an appropriate analogue for the activity because of comparable survey techniques, similar seabed lithology (of cemented calcarenite caprock with coarse carbonite sand), and conservatively

[2] These studies were based on marine mammal injury thresholds current at the time of publication. New, more conservative thresholds for auditory injury (which includes PTS) and TTS have recently been published (NMFS, 2024). Given the low noise emissions expected for this activity, and the very short distances reached by the previous injury thresholds (PTS and TTS), moderate increases in these distances due to the more conservative thresholds is very unlikely to indicate unacceptable impacts to noise-sensitive receptors in or near the Operational Area. See Section 5.3.3 for further details on the updated thresholds.

deeper water depths (minimum of 72 m). These noise modelling studies predict if noise effect criteria (detailed in Section 5.3.3.1) were reached during a geophysical survey. Predictions from these studies found noise effect criteria were reached for plankton, fish, turtles and marine mammals within 10 m of the sound source. Whereas noise effect criteria for invertebrates, lobsters and squid were not reached (McPherson and Wood, 2017; Wood and McPherson, 2019). Consequently, potential impacts are expected to be limited to plankton, fish, turtles and marine mammals within 10 m of the sound source during geophysical surveys. A noise modelling study by Koessler and McPherson (2020) for vessel operations predicted noise effect criteria are reached within 4.57 km (detailed in Section 5.3.3). Given the range for potential impact during geophysical surveys (10 m from the sound source) is significantly lower than the range for vessel operations (4.57 km from the sound source), it is expected that underwater noise generated by geophysical surveys will be largely indistinguishable from survey vessel noise at lower frequencies. Furthermore, given the limited extent of the noise emissions predicted from the geophysical survey equipment, cumulative impacts are not expected. Consequently, the noise produced by geophysical surveys will be considered as part of the noise generated by vessel operations (Section 5.3.2.3).

Table 5-9: Summary of noise emissions source levels and frequencies for geophysical activities

Source	Source levels (sound pressure level – SPL)	Frequency	Reference
MBES	SPL: 210–247 dB re 1 µPa @ 1 m	>200 kHz	Seiche, 2020
SSS	SPL: 200–234 dB re 1 µPa @ 1 m	>110 kHz	Seiche, 2020
SBP	SPL: 161–230 dB re 1 µPa @ 1m	2–16 kHz	Seiche, 2020

5.3.2.2 Geotechnical Surveys

The main noise sources produced during geotechnical surveys are the geotechnical boreholes undertaken at the seabed. The boreholes will be drilled and/or penetrometer tests performed using subsea coring equipment. Noise levels associated with standard penetration testing and small-core drilling were measured in waters off WA (Erbe and McPherson, 2017). The broadband (20 Hz to 24 kHz) source levels for penetration testing were 151–160 dB re 1 uPa^2s SEL at 1 m (equivalent to approximately 160–170 dB re 1 µPa SPL at 1 m), with received levels reducing to approximately 141–146 dB re 1 µPa SPL within 20 m distance from the source (Erbe and McPherson, 2017). The broadband (30 Hz to 2 kHz) drilling source levels for the boreholes were 142–145 dB re 1 µPa SPL at 1 m (Erbe and McPherson, 2017).

These levels are tens of decibels less than the noise emissions produced for the other activities covered within this EP. The underwater noise generated from the geotechnical activities at the seabed (penetrometer tests and borehole sampling) may have the potential to affect marine fauna behaviour. However, these effects will be highly localised (within less than 10 m) and temporary, with noise levels much lower than those required to result in any injury or hearing impairment (Erbe and McPherson, 2017). Furthermore, given the limited extent of the noise emissions predicted from the geotechnical survey equipment, cumulative impacts are not expected. Therefore, the assessment of the noise generated by vessel operations has been considered throughout the noise assessment.

5.3.2.3 Other Survey Techniques

The other survey techniques that may be used during the activities include USBL and doppler velocity log which both emit sound beams to the underwater environment. These activities

produce lower levels of sound emissions compared to the techniques described above (Section 5.3.2.1) and the noise generated by vessels and will produce noise disturbance areas that sit within those assessed for other sound sources. As such, these have not been assessed further and cumulative impacts are not expected.

5.3.2.4 Vessel Operations

Vessel operations will generate continuous noise from propellor cavitation, thrusters, hydrodynamic flow around the hull, and the operations of machinery and equipment.

The maximum duration that the vessels are expected to be present within the Operational Area is 10 days for geotechnical surveys and 5 days for geophysical surveys at each Prospect Area. The activity will require separate survey vessels for the geotechnical and geophysical scopes; however, only one survey vessel will be active within the Operational Area at any time throughout the duration of each campaign (Section 2.7.1).

Whilst undertaking the activities in the Operational Area the geotechnical vessel will be stationary, using DP to maintain position. The geophysical survey vessel will operate at slow transit speeds (typically <5 knots) while data acquisition is occurring (Section 2.8.1).

Vessels typically produce sound levels around 160–180 dB re 1 µPa at 1 m, depending on the vessel size and are generally dominated by low frequencies during transit and drop with reduced speed (Gotz et al., 2009). Noise levels are the highest when vessels are holding position, with the use of thrusters to maintain position (under DP). The noise modelling undertaken by Koessler and McPherson (2020) used low frequency levels for a vessel under DP, 10 Hz to 10 kHz, with broadband values less than 183 dB re 1 µPa m (SPL) (Section 5.3.3).

5.3.3 Noise Modelling

Based on a review of the noise emissions produced for the seabed surveys, it was identified that the continuous noise produced by the vessel operations was the most relevant to the assessment of potential impacts to receptors, due to their operating frequencies and source sound levels which generate the largest area of underwater noise.

VOGA identified a comparable underwater noise modelling study to define the relevant sound EMBAs to determine the spatial extent for impact and risk evaluation. Noise modelling conducted by Koessler and McPherson (2020) for Santos' Dorado Development was considered to provide an appropriate (and conservative) basis for an EMBA for continuous sound associated with vessel operations required for seabed surveys for this EP. This is due to:

- The comparable continuous noise propagation ranges modelled by Koessler and McPherson (2020) are relevant, and conservative, to the sources of continuous noise anticipated for the seabed survey.
- The seabed lithology, a key factor in sound propagation, is described as medium carbonate sand and calcarenite within Koessler and McPherson (2020) which is similar to the sea floor sediment type anticipated to be found within the Operational Area; calcareous gravel, sand and silt, as described in Section 3.4.1.1.
- The water depths of the Operational Area, 50–60 m are less than those modelled by Koessler and McPherson (2020) (90 m) providing a more conservative basis for the seabed

surveys as deeper water typically produces further noise exposures depending on the activity.

5.3.3.1 Noise Effect Criteria

Different species groups perceive and respond to sound differently, and so a variety of exposure criteria for the different types of impacts and species groups are considered. The following continuous noise effect thresholds were used in the impact and risk assessment:

- Frequency-weighted accumulated sound exposure levels (SEL_{24h}) from Southall et al. (2019) for the onset of PTS and TTS in marine mammals
- Un-weighted SPL for behavioural threshold for marine mammals based on NOAA (2024)
- Sound exposure guidelines for fish, fish eggs, and larvae (Popper et al., 2014)
- Frequency-weighted accumulated sound exposure levels (SEL_{24h}) from Finneran et al. (2017) for the onset of PTS and TTS in marine turtles.

Recent Commonwealth guidance has defined "injury to blue whales" as both PTS and TTS hearing impairment, as well as any other form of physical harm arising from anthropogenic sources of underwater sound (DAWE, 2021).

The NOAA (2024) behavioural threshold for marine mammals of a SPL at 120 dB re 1µPa is current best available science. This is based on Southall et al. (2007) and Southall et al. (2021) literature reviews and studies in relation to marine mammal behavioural response to continuous sound emissions.

New, more conservative thresholds for auditory injury (which includes PTS)[3] and TTS to marine mammals have recently been published within the 2024 Update to: Technical Guidance for Assessing the Effects of Anthropogenic Sound on Marine Mammal Hearing (Version 3.0): Underwater and In-Air Criteria for Onset of Auditory Injury and Temporary Threshold Shifts (NMFS, 2024). The updated thresholds now consider both the weighting function shape and the weighted threshold value. As the changes to these auditory weightings are more conservative than those previously proposed by Southall et al. (2019), VOGA anticipates that the updated auditory weighting functions, exposure function parameters, and received level thresholds for auditory injury and TTS may result in larger predicted spatial areas of auditory injury and TTS to marine mammal hearing groups.

Given the very short distances reached by the previous injury thresholds (PTS and TTS) (<1 km), any potential increases to the extent using the new thresholds are not expected to surpass the extent predicted for the behavioural threshold for marine mammals (5 km), which has substantially lower received levels. Furthermore, due to the nature and scale of the activity, the noise exposure extents are expected to only intersect with one BIA for marine mammals; a humpback whale Migration BIA, which has no associated recovery plan or conservation advice (Figure 3-14). Considering there are no regionally significant feeding, breeding or aggregation

[3] The updated technical guidance (NMFS, 2024) details the inclusion of the term "auditory injury (AUD INJ)" to replace "PTS". AUD INJ is defined as 'damage to the inner ear that can result in destruction of tissue, such as the loss of cochlear neuron synapses or auditory neuropathy. Auditory injury may or may not result in a permanent threshold shift (PTS)' (NMFS, 2024). Given the new, more conservative threshold for AUD INJ has not been incorporated into the impact assessment within this EP, the use of AUD INJ instead of PTS has been deemed as inappropriate for the level of assessment within this EP. As such, the term "PTS" has been used throughout.

areas for marine mammals in the Operational Area, impacts are anticipated to be low and likely to be limited to transient individuals only. As such, even in the event that the new NMFS (2024) thresholds were to be modelled the impact evaluation for marine mammals is likely to remain unchanged. The adopted measures in Section 5.3.6 are developed based on the maximum ranges to the behavioural threshold (5 km), therefore, they will continue to protect marine mammals from auditory injury (which includes PTS) and TTS to marine mammals, in doing so meeting EPO-GG-03 and the acceptable level of impact. As such, the use of the updated NMFS (2024) thresholds is very unlikely to indicate unacceptable impacts to noise-sensitive receptors in or near the Operational Area, including migrating humpback whales.

Accomando et al. (2025) has suggested updates to the underwater sound exposure thresholds for marine turtles within the 2024 Criteria and Thresholds for U.S. Navy Acoustic and Explosive Effects Analysis (Phase 4). The proxy model does not use these thresholds. In the absence of predictions against Accomando et al. (2025) exposure thresholds for marine turtles, VOGA have chosen to use the PTS and TTS thresholds for low frequency cetaceans (Table 5-10) as a proxy for potential PTS and TTS for marine turtles. This is considered a highly conservative and precautionary approach, given:

- The PTS and TTS thresholds for low frequency cetaceans are numerically lower than the PTS and TTS thresholds criteria for marine turtles (refer to Table 5-11 and Table 5-12):
  - PTS turtles 220db, PTS LF cetaceans 199db
  - TTS turtles 200db, TTS LF cetaceans 179db
- The weighting function applied is based on the more sensitive broader hearing frequency range of low frequency cetaceans. Generalised hearing ranges are:
  - LF cetacean 7 Hz to 35 kHz
  - Marine turtles below 2 kHz (NOAA, 2024a).

This application of low-frequency cetacean threshold criteria, due to the lower thresholds values and wider frequency weighting range, effectively provides a highly conservative and protective framework for marine turtles in the absence of using Accomando et al. (2025).

Current available literature on the sound exposure impacts to marine invertebrates for continuous noise is limited. Information is only available to define threshold levels for assessment for impulsive sources, where modelling identified that no effect criteria for invertebrates, lobsters and squid were reached (McPherson and Wood, 2017; Wood and McPherson, 2019). Consequently, no impacts are expected for invertebrates and crustaceans from seabed surveys, and they have not been discussed further in this section.

There are no thresholds for underwater sound impacts to either seabirds or shorebirds. Therefore, the impacts to birds from the seabed surveys has not been assessed further.

A summary of the noise effect criteria used in the impact assessment for fish, marine reptiles and marine mammals and the relevant literature is provided in Koessler and McPherson (2020) and below in Table 5-10 to Table 5-12.

Table 5-10: Continuous noise criteria threshold for fish

Receptor	Behaviour	Mortality and potential mortal injury	Impairment		
			Recoverable injury	TTS	Masking
Fish: No swim bladder (particle motion detection)	(N) Moderate (I) Moderate (F) Low	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	(N) Moderate (I) Low (F) Low	(N) High (I) High (F) Moderate
Fish: Swim bladder not involved in hearing (particle motion detection)	(N) Moderate (I) Moderate (F) Low	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	(N) Moderate (I) Low (F) Low	(N) High (I) High (F) Moderate
Fish: Swim bladder involved in hearing (primarily pressure detection)	(N) High (I) Moderate (F) Low	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	170 dB SPL for 48h	158 dB SPL for 12h	(N) High (I) High (F) High
Fish eggs and fish larvae	(N) Moderate (I) Moderate (F) Low	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	(N) High (I) Moderate (F) Low

Source: Popper et al. (2014)
Note: Relative risk (high, moderate, low) is given for animals at three distances from the source defined in relative terms as near (N), intermediate (I), and far (F).

Table 5-11: Continuous Noise Criteria Threshold for Marine Turtles

Receptor	Masking	Behaviour	PTS	TTS
			Weighted SEL_{24h} ($LE,24h$; dB re 1µPa^2s)	
Marine turtle	(N) High (I) High (F) Moderate	(N) High (I) Moderate (F) Low	220	200

Source: Popper et al. (2014); Finneran et al. (2017)
Note: Relative risk (high, moderate, low) is given for animals at three distances from the source defined in relative terms as near (N) – tens of metres, intermediate (I) - hundreds of metres, and far (F) – thousands of metres.

Table 5-12: Continuous noise criteria threshold for marine mammals

Receptor	Hearing group	Behavioural	NMFS (2018)		NMFS (2024)	
			PTS	TTS	AUD INJ	TTS
		SPL (Lp; dB re 1µPa)	Weighted SEL_{24h} (LE,24h; dB re 1µPa^2s)		Weighted SEL_{24h} (LE,24h; dB re 1µPa^2s)	
Marine mammals	Low-frequency (LF) cetaceans	120	199	179	197	177
	High-frequency (HF) cetaceans		198	178	201	181
	Very High-frequency (VHF) cetaceans		173	153	181	161

Source: NMFS (2018; 2024); Southall et al. (2019)
Note: Lp denotes sound pressure level period and has a reference value of 1 µPa.
LE denotes cumulative sound exposure over a 24-hour period and has a reference value of 1 µPa^2s.

5.3.4 Impact and Risk Evaluation

Potential impacts caused by the generation of noise emissions include:

- Change in fauna behaviour, including:
  - masking – interfering with other biologically important sounds, including vocal communication, echolocation, signals, and sounds produced by predators or prey.
- Injury/mortality to marine fauna, such as:
  - recoverable injury
  - mortality or potential mortal injuries
  - TTS
  - PTS.

Potential receptors that may be impacted are:

- Fish, sharks and rays (including eggs and larvae)
- Marine reptiles
- Marine mammals.

5.3.4.1 Impact Assessment

Fish, Sharks, and Rays

Several species of fish, sharks, and rays may be present within the Operational Area and surrounds. Many species of fish, sharks, and rays are expected to be transient individuals due to the absence of critical habitats found within the Operational Area (Section 3.4.3.4). However, the Wandoo A and Wandoo B facilities located within the Operational Area provide artificial hard substrate which can form the basis of relatively high biodiversity communities and have been shown to support more diverse fish assemblages in comparison to the surrounding seabed (McLean et al., 2017; Bond, 2018).

A foraging BIA for the whale shark is overlapped by the Operational Area (Section 3.4.3.4). These species are known to aggregate at Ningaloo between March and July each year to feed. This species is found in coastal and oceanic environments making them potentially vulnerable to noise emissions.

Change in Behaviour

Fish, sharks, and rays have been identified to be susceptible to behavioural change, such as avoidance, in response to continuous noise emissions generated by vessel operations (Chapius et al., 2019; Popper et al., 2014). However, currently, quantitative threshold criteria for behavioural responses of fishes from impulsive sound do not exist (Popper et al., 2014). Majority of the species found within the Operational Area are expected to be highly mobile species and subsequently are expected to actively move away from the noise source.

A foraging BIA for the whale shark was the only BIA for fish or shark species to be overlapped by the Operational Area. The Whale Shark Recovery Plan 2005-2010, which has not been superseded, does not list noise disturbance as a threat to whale sharks (DCCEEW, 2005). Given their generally wide-ranging habitat and known avoidance response to noise emissions, shark species, such as whale sharks, are not expected to exhibit significant behavioural impacts from survey activities. Previous evidence has indicated that behavioural changes for some fish species are temporary and short lived (i.e. nuisance factor) and displacement of pelagic or migratory fish populations is unlikely (McCauley, 1998).

Given the low level of disturbance expected to fish, sharks, and rays, such as the temporarily displacement from the immediate vicinity of a noise source, any behavioural impacts to whale sharks are not expected to affect foraging behaviours within the BIA. Impacts are expected to be localised and short term and not impact population or ecosystem functioning.

Injury/Mortality

The impact of noise emission to fish is based on the classification of fish into two categories based on the presence or absence of a swim bladder, which is known to aid in hearing by transmitting vibrations to the inner ear (Popper et al., 2014). There is limited research that has been conducted on the response of sharks to noise emissions. In comparison to bony fish, sharks lack a swim bladder and therefore are unlikely to respond to acoustical pressure. Due to an overall lack of observational data on impacts to fish from continuous sources, Popper et al. (2014) proposed qualitative indicators of relative risk of effects indicating that 170 dB SPL (48h) has the potential to result in a recoverable injury and 158 dB SPL (12h) has the potential to result in TTS impacts to fish that have a swim bladder involved in hearing (Table 5-10).

The noise modelling conducted by Koessler and McPherson (2020) identified the following:

- The 12h TTS criteria was reached within 0.02 km
- The 48h recoverable injury criteria was not reached.

As no habitats likely to support site-attached fish have been identified within the Operational Area, it is unlikely that fish species would be present within 20 m for a period of 12 hours while the seabed surveys are occurring. Given the transient nature of fish and their ability to move away from noise sources, the potential of individuals experiencing either a recoverable injury or TTS due to continuous underwater noise within the Operational Area is not considered credible.

Marine Reptiles

Several species of marine turtles may be present within the Operational Area and surrounds; however, the inter-nesting BIA buffer for the flatback turtle was the only BIA identified to overlap the Operational Area (Section 4.4.3.5).

Change in Behaviour

The Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia 2017-2027 lists noise disturbance from acute and chronic sources as a threat (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017). Continuous, which is identified as 'chronic noise' within the plan, is considered a threat to marine turtles as it may lead to avoidance of important habitats. The closest nesting locations to the Operational Area are the significant rookeries located on Barrow Island (DSEWPC, 2012a), Montebello Islands, Thevenard Island, Varanus Island, Lowendal Islands, King Sound and Dampier Archipelago (Pendoley, 2005; Limpus, 2007; Pendoley Environmental, 2011), which are located at least 40 km away.

There is a paucity of data regarding how marine turtles respond to underwater noise. Specific data on behavioural response thresholds, such as avoidance or masking, of marine turtles to sound emissions do not exist (Popper et al., 2014). Previous studies have indicated that marine turtles use noise for navigation, to avoid predators and to find prey (Dow Piniack, 2012) and electro-physical studies have indicated that marine turtle hearing is most sensitive to sounds between 100 Hz and 700 Hz (McCauley, 1994).

Therefore, critical behaviours of flatback turtles such as inter-nesting may occur within the Operational Area, however, given the Operational Area is over 40 km from the nearest turtle nesting beach, impacts are not expected to result in population or ecosystem level affects. Furthermore, the Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia states that potential behavioural changes to individual marine turtles are not expected to impact turtle populations (DEE, 2017a).

As the Operational Area does not overlap any foraging BIAs for marine turtles; it is expected that only transient individuals, opportunistically foraging, or the few transiting to nesting beaches are expected to be within the Operational Area. As such, any impact will be short term, with impacts temporary and localised.

Injury/Mortality

Based on the strategy of using low-frequency cetacean threshold criteria (Section 5.3.3.1), PTS is conservatively predicted to be reached within 30m and TTS within 790m of the survey vessel.

The onset of TTS and PTS requires chronic exposure (over 24 hours), but it is unlikely that individual marine turtles will remain within this distance for 24 hours whilst activities are occurring. Given the transient nature of marine turtles and their ability to move away from noise sources, the potential of individuals to experience the onset of TTS and PTS is not reasonably expected. Therefore, an impact from continuous noise emissions associated with the seabed survey is not considered credible.

Marine Mammals

Several species of marine mammals may be present within the Operational Area and surrounds while surveys are being undertaken (Section 3.4.3.5). However, no breeding, foraging or calving areas for EPBC Act listed marine mammals were identified within the Operational Area.

A Migration BIA for the humpback whale was the only BIA identified to overlap the Operational Area (Section 4.4.3.5).

Dugongs may be present within the Operational Area.

Change in Behaviour

Marine mammals are sensitive to noise in the marine environment. Their use of sound for communication, prey capture, predator avoidance, navigation and their physiological features (i.e. large gas-filled organs) make them vulnerable to both disturbance and physiological damage from underwater noise of sufficient magnitude.

Noise produced by vessel operations may interfere with the ability of marine animals to detect natural sounds. This effect is termed 'auditory masking' and has the potential to interfere with animals' communication and socialisation, the detection of predators and prey, and navigation and orientation. For masking to occur, the noise must be loud enough and have a similar frequency to the communication signal, and both must occur at the same time. Therefore, the probability of masking increases with decreasing distance to the noise source due to the higher levels overlap of the vocalisation frequencies. Clark et al. (2009) classified the potential for masking and communication impacts as high near the vessel (within tens of metres), moderate within hundreds, and low within thousands of metres. Therefore, impacts of masking will be highly localised.

Behavioural responses to noise emissions by marine mammals, such as cetaceans are generally highly variable, and dependant on the context and individual. A wide range of behavioural responses to anthropogenic noise emissions have been observed, including avoidance, altered swimming direction, increased swimming speed, 'startle' reactions, and alteration to surfacing, breathing and diving patterns (Erbe et al., 2019). Vessel noise has also been shown to reduce foraging efficiency for specific species, such as sperm whales (Erbe et al., 2019).

The noise modelling conducted by Koessler and McPherson (2020) identified the maximum distance to the behavioural response criteria threshold for marine mammals was 4.57 km.

As such, behavioural impacts to continuous noise emissions may occur within ~5 km of the seabed surveys. This continuous noise exposure is expected to overlap only a comparatively small portion of the Migration BIA for the humpback whale (Figure 3-14), and there is no recovery plan or conservation advice associated with this BIA. Considering there are no regionally significant feeding, breeding or aggregation areas for marine mammals in the Operational Area, change in behaviour impacts are likely to be limited to individuals only.

Given the short duration (i.e. a maximum of 10 days for geotechnical surveys and 5 days for geophysical surveys at up to two Prospect Areas per campaign), the localised extent of potential behavioural changes (e.g. a maximum distance of <5 km), the lack of habitat critical to the survival of the species, the consequence has been evaluated to potentially result in localised

short-term impacts to individuals of conservation value, however, not affect local ecosystem or population functioning.

Injury/Mortality

Literature provides weighted SELs as the primary metric for assessing auditory impairment in marine mammals.

The noise modelling conducted by Koessler and McPherson (2020) identified the maximum distance to reach the 24h TTS criteria threshold for the different marine mammal categories was:

- LF cetaceans – within 0.79 km
- HF cetaceans – within 0.03 km
- VHF cetaceans – within 0.93 km.

The noise modelling conducted by Koessler and McPherson (2020) identified the maximum distance to reach the 24h PTS criteria threshold for the different marine mammal categories was:

- LF cetaceans – within 0.03 km
- VHF cetaceans – within 0.05 km.

The noise criteria effect for the onset of PTS impacts in HF cetaceans was not reached.

To account for the new thresholds for auditory injury and TTS (NMFS, 2024) (Section 5.3.3.1) and scientific uncertainty with regards to using previous injury thresholds (Koessler and McPherson, 2020), the range to behavioural change threshold will also conservatively consider the potential for auditory injury and TTS, i.e. behavioural change, auditory injury and TTS to occur within <5 km of the sound source.

Therefore, it is theoretically possible for TTS and PTS impacts to occur during the seabed survey activities. However, given that the onset of TTS and PTS requires chronic exposure (over 24 hours), it is unlikely that individual marine mammals will remain within these distances (<5 km) for 24 hours whilst activities are occurring. Given marine mammals are highly transient individuals, and the absence of aggregating habitat such as resting or calving areas within the Operational Area, the onset of TTS and PTS impacts from continuous noise generated from vessel activities are not considered credible.

Cumulative Impacts

Third-party activities within the vicinity of the Operational Area with the potential to generate acoustic emissions that may result in cumulative impacts include commercial shipping, commercial fishing, and other petroleum exploration activities, particularly seismic surveys.

Cumulative impacts from impulsive noise emissions from concurrent project activities are not expected due to the noise emissions being concentrated within a radius of a few hundred metres of the noise source. Continuous noise emissions produced from the vessels which may cause behavioural responses have the potential to extend outside the Operational Area, with a maximum predicted range of 5 km.

Significant commercial shipping activity occurs within the EMBA, the majority of which is associated with the WA oil and gas and mining industries (Section 3.5.2). Fishing activity is widespread throughout the region with varied levels of intensity (Section 3.5.1). Commercial shipping and fishing vessels will generate continuous noise emissions due to vessel thruster use, however, the intermittent nature and low intensity of these noise emissions results in a negligible potential for cumulative impacts.

Seismic surveys generate high intensity acoustic emissions and pose the highest risk of cumulative impacts from noise emissions. A search of published and NOPSEMA-accepted EPs has not identified any other concurrent or future seismic survey activities within or in close vicinity of the Project Area. Existing petroleum and greenhouse gas activities that generate noise that have the potential to contribute to cumulative impacts are limited the planned activities at VOGA's Wandoo platform (<2 km east), Santos' Reindeer platform (~7 km northwest) and Jadestone Energy's Stag platform (~13 km southwest). Each of these activities have identified the potential for behavioural response effects on marine mammals within the localised area immediately surrounding the platform and any vessels undertaking periodic re-supply, inspection and/or any maintenance activities (Jadestone Energy, 2025; Santos Ltd, 2020).

Due to the short term nature of these activities and the low sound levels generated by the noise sources, impacts from noise emissions from each of these activities are expected to be highly localised. Given the lack of habitat critical to the survival of noise-sensitive species, any impact from concurrent activities would be limited to a comparatively small overlap of the Migration BIA for the humpback whale. Consequently, an increase of vessels will not increase the impact or result in significant or lasting cumulative noise impacts.

During the survey activity, there is no potential for SIMOPs between the geophysical survey and geotechnical surveys, with the surveys planned sequentially with only one survey vessel in the Operational Area at any time. Therefore, no potential cumulative noise impacts from SIMOPs will occur.

5.3.5 Risk Ranking

Noise emissions are expected to be limited to highly localised and short-term change in behaviour to marine fauna, such as temporary displacement from the immediate vicinity of the noise source. Due to the transient nature of most marine fauna found with the Operational Area, the absence of significant feeding, breeding or aggregation areas for marine fauna, and the short duration of the noise emissions, impacts are expected to be localised and short-term impact to few individuals of conservation value, however, not affect local ecosystem or population functioning. Therefore:

- The consequence ranking of '1' (Incidental) was assigned to fish, sharks and rays, marine reptiles and marine mammals, and a likelihood of 'E' (Almost Certain) was considered appropriate, resulting in a risk ranking of 'Medium' (RRIII).

5.3.6 ALARP Demonstration

Table 5-13: Demonstration of ALARP – Noise emissions

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
Elimination			

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
None identified	-	-	-
Substitution			
None identified	-	-	-
Prevention			
None identified	-	-	-
Reduction			
Vessel engines and power generation equipment maintained to optimise smooth running.	EPO-GG-03	All vessel engines and power generation equipment shall be serviced in accordance with the relevant Contractor's PMS to limit excessive noise generation.	VOGA inspection or audit confirms application of contractor's PMS. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records are up to date.
Vessels operating in the Operational Area must adhere to Part 8 of EPBC Regulation 2000 to minimise exposure of marine fauna to noise impacts.	EPO-GG-03	Compliance with EPBC Regulations 2000 – Part 8 Division 8.1 (Regulation 8.05 and 8.06) which requires that: • A vessel/towed equipment will not travel greater than 6 knots within 300 m of a whale (caution zone) and not approach closer than 100 m from a whale • A vessel/towed equipment will not approach closer than 50 m of a dolphin or 100 m of a whale. • A vessel/towed equipment will not approach closer than 300 m to a calf (whale or dolphin) (the caution zone) • If a calf appears in the caution zone, the vessel including towed equipment must be immediately stopped and must: o turn off the vessel's engines, or disengage the	Records demonstrate no breaches of EPBC Regulations 2000 – Part 8 Division 8.1 Interacting with cetaceans.

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
		gears, or withdraw the vessel from the caution zone at a constant speed of less than 6 knots.	
Mitigation			
None identified	-	-	-
Other			
None identified	-	-	-
Considered control measures	**Assessment of option**		**Decision**
Implementation of shutdown procedures during survey operations if marine mammals are spotted within close distances of the vessel.	Any localised, short-term change in behaviour to marine mammals caused by the survey vessels would not affect feeding, breeding or aggregation activities. Individuals could easily move away from the noise source. These controls would not reduce environmental impact effectively and would be burdensome to implement, extending the activity timeframes.		Not adopted.
Implementation of shutdown procedures for humpback whales if survey activities are undertaken during migration season.	Any localised, short-term change in behaviour to humpback whales caused by the survey vessels would not affect feeding, breeding or aggregation activities. Individuals could easily move away from the noise source. These controls would not reduce environmental impact effectively and would be burdensome to implement, extending the activity timeframes.		Not adopted.
Implementation of pre-start visual observations for whales.	Any localised, short-term change in behaviour to whales caused by the survey vessels would not affect feeding, breeding or aggregation activities. Individuals could easily move away from the noise source. This control would reduce the likelihood of individuals being within proximity of the acoustic source. However, as the potential impact to whales is already limited, the benefits of this control are not significant.		Not adopted.
ALARP summary: The impacts of noise emissions are ALARP, based on the impact assessment outcomes using VOGA Risk Matrix (as per Table 4-2), the ALARP template to determine the appropriate decision context type (Table 4-4) and VOGA's criteria for demonstrating ALARP (Section 4.7). No reasonably practicable additional controls were identified that would further reduce the impacts without disproportionate sacrifice.			

5.3.7 Acceptability Demonstration

Table 5-14: Acceptability demonstration – Noise emissions

Acceptable level of impact/risk demonstrated	
Principles of ESD not compromised	Relevant principles of ESD not compromised given proposed controls (Table 5-13).
External context – objections or claims considered	N/A – No external objections or claims received.
Internal context – VOGA HSE policy/procedures met	Yes – Risk managed in accordance with VOGA HSE policy.
Other requirements met	Yes – including: • Vessels comply with Part 8 of EPBC Regulations 2000 • Approved Conservation Advice for *Balaenoptera borealis* (Sei Whale) (TSSC, 2015c) • Approved Conservation Advice for *Balaenoptera physalus* (Fin Whale) (TSSC, 2015d) • Conservation Management Plan for the Blue Whale, 2015-2025 (DoE, 2015) • National Recovery Plan for the Southern Right Whale (*Eubalaena australis*) (DCCEEW, 2024) • Recovery plan for the Australian Sea Lion (*Neophoca cinereal*) (DSEWPC, 2013) • Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia, 2017-2027 (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017) • Approved Conservation Advice for *Dermochelys coriacea* (Leatherback Turtle) (DEWHA, 2008c).
RR < High (RRII)	Yes – Low (RRIV).
EPO(s) manage impacts to acceptable level(s)	Yes – The following relevant EPOs will be maintained: • EPO-GG-03. See Section 5.13 for further details.
Acceptability summary: The impacts of noise emissions have been managed to a level that is broadly acceptable based on the demonstration of ALARP (Table 5-13), alignment with industry best practice, and VOGA's process for evaluating acceptability (Section 4.6).	

5.4 Planned: Atmospheric and GHG Emissions

5.4.1 Hazard Report

Table 5-15: Hazard Report – Atmospheric and GHG emissions

HAZARD	Atmospheric and GHG emissions		
EP risk number	EP-GG-R04		
Activity/cause	Vessel operations		
Extent	One vessel within the Operational Area during seabed survey activities for approximately 30 days total at up to two Prospect Areas per campaign		
Potential impact description	A localised reduction in air quality due to contribution to global greenhouse gases. A localised reduction in air quality due to particulate matter from diesel combustion.		
IMPACT AND RISK EVALUATION SUMMARY			
Receptor	**Impact/Consequence**	**Likelihood**	**Residual Risk**
Ambient air quality	Incidental (1)	Almost Certain (E)	Medium (RRIII)
Relevant EPO(s)	EPO-GG-04 Air emissions requirements from vessels within the Operational Area are consistent with Marine Order 97 requirements.		

5.4.2 Description of Hazard

The following activities may cause atmospheric emissions:

- Vessel operations.

The use of fuel to power vessels and onboard machinery will result in gaseous emissions of GHG from engine exhausts such as: carbon dioxide (CO_2); methane (CH_4); nitrous oxide (N_2O); and carbon monoxide (CO), along with non-GHG particulate emissions such as sulphur oxides (SOx) and nitrous oxides (NOx). Minimal quantities of sulphur dioxide (SO_2) will be generated because of the use of low sulphur content diesel. Emissions may also contain Ozone Depleting Substances (ODS), particulates and volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

A maximum of one vessel will be undertaking surveys at a time. Whilst undertaking activities in the Operational Area, the geotechnical vessel will be stationary, using DP to maintain position.

5.4.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation

Potential impacts caused by atmospheric and GHG emissions include:

- Localised and temporary decrease in air quality
- Contribution to GHGs and global climate change.

The following receptors within the Operational Area may be impacted by atmospheric and GHG emissions:

- Ambient air quality.

Given rapid dispersion of any air emissions is expected, impacts to fauna such as birds have not been evaluated.

5.4.4 Impact Assessment

Ambient Air Quality

Localised and Temporary Decrease in Air Quality

Gaseous emissions are released from vessel engines and onboard machinery. These emissions may result in a decline in local air quality within the immediate vicinity of the source.

The volume of emissions is low, as there will be a single vessel at any one time during the activities. Atmospheric emissions will be similar to other vessels operating in the region for both oil and gas activities and other activities.

The extent of the area of impact is predicted to be localised to the emission point as offshore winds will rapidly disperse atmospheric emission to background levels while the site survey is undertaken.

As the activity is in a remote location, any temporary change to air quality will not impact coastal towns.

While accidental release of ODS has the potential to contribute to ozone layer depletion, routine maintenance of refrigeration systems and controls make accidental release rare.

Given the low volume of emissions and the offshore location of the Operational Area, biodiversity, ecological integrity and social amenity will not be impacted and any impact to air quality is negligible.

Contribution to Greenhouse Gases

The release of GHG from operating vessels can add to the GHG load in the atmosphere. While the activity will not generate GHG direct emissions, it is expected to produce indirect emissions due to fuel use during vessel operations. The indirect emissions from the survey activity are predicted to be approximately 4,500 t CO_2-e for all seven prospects. Vessel emissions from the survey activities are not significant enough to impact the climate.

Cumulative Impacts

Due to the short-term nature of the activities and the rapid dispersion expected for atmospheric emissions, impacts are expected to be localised and not extend beyond the Operational Area. Therefore, there is no potential for additive or cumulative air quality impacts to occur with other operators undertaking nearby concurrent activities.

During the activity, there is no potential for SIMOPs between the geophysical survey and geotechnical surveys, with the surveys planned sequentially with only one survey vessel in the Operational Area at any time. Therefore, no potential cumulative atmospheric emissions impacts from SIMOPs will occur.

5.4.5 Risk Ranking

The ambient air quality of the Operational Area is expected to be high, but emissions will rapidly disperse to background levels close to the emission source and impacts to fauna are not predicted. Therefore:

- The consequence ranking of '1' (Incidental) was assigned to air quality, and a likelihood of 'E' (Almost Certain) was considered appropriate, resulting in a risk ranking of 'Medium' (RRIII).

5.4.6 ALARP Demonstration

Table 5-16: Demonstration of ALARP – Atmospheric and GHG emissions

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
Elimination			
None Identified	-		
Substitution			
The sulphur content of fuel complies with Regulation 14 of MARPOL 73/78 Annex IV and AMSA Marine Order 97.	EPO-GG-04	Fuel purchased in Australia shall meet Australian standards.	Records of low sulphur fuel usage.
Vessels comply with Regulation 14 of MARPOL 73/78 Annex VI and AMSA Marine Order Part 97.	EPO-GG-04	Marine diesel engines meet NOx emission requirements and limits as set out by MARPOL 73/78, Annex VI, Regulation 13, and have an International Air Pollution Prevention (IAPP) certificate. Onboard incinerators (if present) will meet IMO standards and are identified in the vessels' IAPP certificate. Equipment and systems that contain ODS comply with MARPOL 73/78, Annex VI, Regulation 12, are identified in the vessels' IAPP certificate and an ODS record book is maintained (where applicable). Vessels >400 GT have a SEEMP.	Vessel IAPP certificate. ODS Record Book. Records of Ship Energy Efficiency Management Plan (SEEMP).
Prevention			
None identified	-	-	-
Reduction			
Contractor PMS in place to maintain power generation systems and ancillary diesel engines.	EPO-GG-04	All vessel engines and power generation equipment shall be serviced in accordance with the relevant Contractor's PMS. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records shall be	VOGA inspection or audit confirms application of contractor's PMS. Contractor's servicing and

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
		validated by VOGA to ensure they are up to date.	maintenance records are up to date.
Contractor PMS in place to maintain refrigeration systems that use ODS.	EPO-GG-04	Refrigeration systems shall be maintained in accordance with contractor's PMS to ensure refrigerant emissions are minimised. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records shall be validated by VOGA to ensure they are up to date.	VOGA inspection or audit confirms application of contractor's PMS. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records are up to date.
Mitigation			
None identified	-	-	-
Other			
None identified	-	-	-
Considered control measures	**Assessment of option**		**Decision**
Low emissions vessels	There is limited availability of low emissions vessels (e.g. LNG, or battery powered), or the fuel technology readiness is not adequate (e.g. ammonia or hydrogen), such that it is not practicable to use these technologies.		Not adopted.
ALARP Summary: The impacts of atmospheric and GHG emissions are ALARP, based on the impact assessment outcomes using the VOGA Risk Matrix (as per Table 4-1), the ALARP template to determine the appropriate decision context type (Table 4-4) and VOGA's criteria for demonstrating ALARP (Section 4.7). No reasonably practicable additional controls were identified that would further reduce the impacts without disproportionate sacrifice.			

5.4.7 Acceptability Demonstration

Table 5-17: Acceptability demonstration – Atmospheric and GHG emissions

ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF IMPACT/RISK DEMONSTRATED	
Principles of ESD not compromised	Relevant principles of ESD not compromised given proposed controls (Table 5-16).
External context – objections or claims considered	N/A – No external objections or claims received.
Internal context – VOGA HSE policy/procedures met	Yes – Impact managed in accordance with VOGA HSE policy, PMS and emissions reporting process.
Other requirements met	Yes – MARPOL 73/78, OPGGS(E)R, *Navigation Act 2012* (Cth), Marine Order 97.
RR < High (RRII)	Yes – Medium (RRIII).
EPO(s) manage impacts to acceptable level(s)	Yes – The following relevant EPOs will be maintained: • EPO-GG-04. See Section 5.13 for further details.

ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF IMPACT/RISK DEMONSTRATED
Acceptability summary: The impacts of air and GHG emissions have been managed to a level that is broadly acceptable based on the demonstration of ALARP (Table 5-16), alignment with industry best practice, and VOGA's process for evaluating acceptability (Section 4.6).

5.5 Planned: Light Emissions

5.5.1 Hazard Report

Table 5-18: Hazard Report – Light emissions

HAZARD	Light emissions		
EP risk number	EP-GG-R05		
Activity/cause	Vessel operations.		
Extent	A conservative light emission buffer of 20 km from the Operational Area has been assessed.		
Potential impact description	Disruption to marine fauna, such as disorientation, attraction or repulsion of marine fauna and birds and potential altered foraging and breeding behaviours.		
IMPACT AND RISK EVALUATION SUMMARY			
Receptor	**Impact/Consequence**	**Likelihood**	**Residual Risk**
Plankton	Incidental (1)	Almost Certain (E)	Medium (RRIII)
Fish, sharks and rays	Incidental (1)	Almost Certain (E)	Medium (RRIII)
Marine reptiles	Incidental (1)	Almost Certain (E)	Medium (RRIII)
Seabirds and shorebirds	Incidental (1)	Almost Certain (E)	Medium (RRIII)
Relevant EPO(s)	EPO-GG-05 Impacts to marine fauna from light emissions will be limited to temporary behavioural change localised to the light source, with no species population-level impacts.		

5.5.2 Description of Hazard

Artificial lighting is required for the safe operation of the seabed survey vessels. Vessel deck lighting is kept on 24 hours a day for maritime safety purposes, in accordance with requirements of *the Navigation Act 2012 (*Marine Order Part 30 (Prevention of Collisions)).

The distance that the light emissions will be visible from the source depends on the lighting type and the environmental conditions.

A maximum of one survey vessel will be undertaking surveys at a time within the Operational Area, with surveys taking from 5 to 10 days per Prospect Area for geophysical and geotechnical surveys, respectively (Section 2.8.1).

5.5.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation

Potential impacts caused by light emissions include:

- Disruption to marine fauna.

The following receptors within the Operational Area may be impacted by light emissions:

- Plankton
- Fish, sharks and rays
- Marine reptiles
- Seabirds and shorebirds.

5.5.3.1 Impact Assessment

Artificial lighting has the potential to disrupt marine fauna by altering the use of visual cues for orientation, navigation or other purposes. This results in behavioural responses which can alter migration, foraging and breeding activity. It can also create competitive advantages for some species and reduce reproductive success and/or survival in others.

As per the recommendations of the National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife (DCCEEW, 2023b) a precautionary 20 km buffer from the light source has been used to assess species sensitive to light. This distance is considered conservative and is based on observed effects of sky glow on marine turtle hatchlings demonstrated to occur at 15–18 km and seabird fledglings grounding in response to artificial light 15 km away (DCCEEW, 2023b).

Plankton

The National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife does not identify plankton as sensitive species to light pollution (DCCEEW, 2023b). However, studies have shown zooplankton to descend away from the surface light pollution caused by research vessels at depths of up to 200 m, and up to 200 m horizontally from the light source (Berge et al., 2020). Zooplankton naturally ascend to forage on phytoplankton that are found near the water's surface. Conversely, studies by Meekan et al. (2001) found that some zooplankton species were attracted to light sources. The increase of zooplankton in an area has been shown to result in a subsequent increase in predators (Shaw et al., 2002). Therefore, light emissions produced from the vessel activities has the potential to lead to a localised change in zooplankton density which may lead to indirect impacts to predators and the food chain. Due to the limited duration of the activities, any impact is anticipated to be highly localised and temporary.

Fish, Sharks and Rays

The National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife does not identify fish, sharks or rays as sensitive species to light pollution (DCCEEW, 2023b). Previous studies have found that fish species can be attracted to light sources, similar to zooplankton (Meekan et al., 2001). The attraction of organisms to the light results in an increased food source for marine predators that aggregate around the edges of the visible light. This attraction is considered to be localised and other than some opportunistic predation, it is not considered to represent a significant impact and therefore has not been evaluated further.

Marine Reptiles

The Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017) identifies light pollution as a threat to marine turtles. Artificial lighting along or adjacent to turtle nesting beaches has the potential to alter or disrupt nocturnal behaviours of nesting adult females and emerging hatchlings as they make the passage from the beach to the sea (DCCEEW, 2023b).

Nesting females have been shown to rely on visual cues to select nesting beaches and orient themselves whilst on the beach. Artificial light has been shown to impact these nesting behaviours of adult females (Witherington and Martin, 2003), such as influencing site selection, with females typically selecting beaches not exposed to artificial light (Price et al., 2018).

Studies have shown that hatchlings are particularly vulnerable to artificial light emissions. Hatchlings typically emerge at night and have been shown to utilise brightness cues from the moon as a method to locate the ocean (Pendoley and Kamrowski, 2015). Disorientation of hatchlings as they emerge has the potential to direct hatchlings away from the ocean (Limpus, 2009), leading to mortality from predation, exhaustion, dehydration, or interaction with human activities (Erb and Wyneken, 2019). Hatchlings have been observed to respond to artificial light emission up to 18 km away from the source whilst emerging on the beach (Kamrowski et al., 2014). Artificial lights can also disrupt hatchlings within nearshore waters by attracting hatchlings back to the shoreline (Truscott et al., 2017). Hatchlings have been reported to be found swimming around lights on vessels out at sea (Limpus et al., 2003). In the event the light emissions attract fish, sharks, and rays, there may be a higher level of predation.

The Operational Area and the 20 km light buffer overlaps the flatback turtle Inter-nesting Buffer BIA; which is the designated 60 km buffer established seawards from known flatback turtle nesting sites such as those found on the Montebello Islands, Barrow Island, and Dampier Archipelago (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017). This area is identified as inter-nesting habitat critical to the survival of marine turtles during nesting which occurs between October and March (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017). However, there is currently no evidence, published or anecdotal, to suggest that artificial light from offshore vessels would impact inter-nesting turtles or be a plausible threat based on their biology (Witherington and Martin, 2003).

Given the closest coastline is located approximately 80 km away (Dampier) (Section 2.2), the light buffer will not overlap the shallow coastal waters where biologically important activities occur, such as mating or reproduction, or directly overlap beaches where nesting or hatchlings may occur (Section 3.4.3.6). Turtles transiting in the surroundings of the Operational Area may be disturbed by the lights from the vessel, however, given the large distances typically covered by marine turtles impacts will be limited. Therefore, artificial light from the survey vessels may impact individual marine turtles, but it is not anticipated to result in impacts at a population level or disrupt ecosystem functioning. Any impacts are expected to be highly localised and short term.

Seabirds and Shorebirds

The Wildlife Conservation Plan for Seabirds identifies light pollution as a threat to seabirds (CoA, 2020). Previous studies have shown artificial light to cause disruption to seabird and shorebird behaviours, such as disorientation resulting in collision, entrapment, stranding, grounding, and interference with navigation (DCCEEW, 2023b). There are a range of conflicting reports on the attractiveness of different light wavelengths to seabirds. In general, very bright light, regardless

of colour, has been shown to attract seabirds (Raine et al., 2007), indicating that the light intensity may be a more important cue than colour for seabirds (DCCEEW, 2023b). Bright white lights on vessels have been shown attract seabirds at night, particularly on nights with little moonlight or low visibility (Merkel and Johansen, 2011).

The impact that artificial light has depends on the species and has been known to be exacerbated by the phase of the moon (Deppe et al., 2017), wind direction and strength (Syposz et al., 2018), precipitation, cloud cover, and the proximity of nesting sites or migrating sites to artificial light sources (Rodríguez et al., 2015). Seabird species which are active at night, such as *procellariforms* (i.e. petrels, shearwaters, and albatross species) have been shown to be more vulnerable to impacts from artificial light (DCCEEW, 2023b).

Adult seabirds are less vulnerable to artificial light than fledglings. Adult *procellariforms* are the most vulnerable when returning to and leaving the nesting colony (CoA, 2022). A recent study demonstrated that artificial light disrupts adult nest attendance, subsequently affecting the weight gain and potential survival of the chicks (Cianchetti-Benedetti et al., 2018). Artificial light has been shown to disrupt fledglings sea-finding cues during their emergence from the nesting sites. Studies have shown this disorientation has the potential to lead to seabird fledgling grounding in response to a light source at least 15 km away (Rodriguez et al., 2014).

As described in Section 4.4.3.3, several seabird and shorebirds species may be present within the Operational Area and the light buffer. The light emission buffer overlaps the reproduction BIAs for the roseate tern and the wedge-tailed shearwater. The roseate tern are diurnal, meaning they are active at day and rest at night (DCCEEW, 2024k). Therefore, any impacts from artificial light emissions from the activity is anticipated to be negligible, and impacts have not been assessed further.

The wedge-tailed shearwater is EPBC Act listed as marine and migratory and does not have a recovery plan or conservation advice but is covered by the Wildlife Conservation Plan for Seabirds (CoA, 2020). As a *procellariform* the wedge-tailed shearwater may be active at night. The eyes of the wedge-tailed shearwater are characterised by a high proportion of cones sensitive to shorter wavelengths (Hart, 2001). This species is highly synchronous in timing of breeding; all eggs within a colony are laid within a 10-day period. Once hatched, adults leave the burrows to forage locally during the day returning at night to feed chicks until they are ready to fledge (Nicholson, 2002).

The wedge-tailed shearwater reproduction BIA is based on buffer areas surrounding the offshore islands (e.g. within Dampier Archipelago) that are used for nesting by this species (Section 3.4.3.3). Given the Dampier Archipelago coastline is approximately 80 km away (Section 2.2), light from the survey activities will not be visible at the wedge-tailed shearwater nesting colonies. Therefore, artificial light emissions from the activity have the potential to impact individual seabird species which are foraging or migrating through the area at night. However, no impacts to seabird populations, threatened species biologically important activities, or ecosystem functioning is expected.

Cumulative Impacts

Light emissions produced from the vessels which may cause behavioural responses have the potential to extend outside the Operational Area due to conservative light emission buffer of 20 km from the Operational Area being applied. Third-party activities within the vicinity of the

Operational Area with the potential to generate light emissions that may result in cumulative impacts include commercial shipping, commercial fishing, and other petroleum exploration activities.

Existing petroleum and greenhouse gas activities that may result in cumulative impacts from light emissions are limited to planned activities at VOGA's Wandoo platform (<2 km east), Santos' Reindeer platform (~7 km northwest) and Jadestone Energy's Stag platform (~13 km southwest). Artificial lighting is required 24 hours a day on all three platforms and potentially on support vessels that may be undertaking non-routine operational activities during night-time periods. These light emissions are predicted to be limited to localised, short-term emissions <5 km from the light source (Santos Ltd, 2020; VOGA, 2021; Jadestone Energy, 2025).

Each of these activities have identified the potential for disruption to marine fauna, such as disorientation, attraction, or changes to behavioural patterns. However, impacts from each activity are expected to be limited to the localised area immediately surrounding the platform and any vessels undertaking periodic re-supply, inspection and/or any maintenance activities.

During the survey activity, there is no potential for SIMOPs between the geophysical survey and geotechnical surveys, with the surveys planned sequentially with only one survey vessel in the Operational Area at any time. Therefore, no potential cumulative light impacts from SIMOPs will occur.

5.5.4 Risk Ranking

Potential impacts or risks to threatened species are not anticipated, as important habitats for the threatened EPBC listed species are located more than 20 km away from the Operational Area, as per the recommendations of the National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife (DCCEEW, 2023b). Therefore:

- The consequence ranking of '1' (Incidental) was assigned to marina fauna (plankton, marine reptiles, seabirds and shorebirds) and likelihood of 'E' (almost certain) was considered appropriate, given survey vessel deck lighting has to be kept on 24 hours a day for maritime navigational and safety purposes, resulting in a risk ranking of 'Medium' (RRIII).

5.5.5 ALARP Demonstration

Table 5-19: Demonstration of ALARP – Light emissions

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
Elimination			
None identified	-	-	-
Substitution			
None identified	-	-	-
Prevention			
None identified	-	-	-
Reduction			
Deck lighting will be limited to the minimum required for	EPO-GG-05	Reduce unnecessary vessel deck lighting to	Vessel inspection during survey verifies no

navigational and safety requirements, with the exception of emergency events.		only those required for safe work and navigation use, in accordance with the National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife (DCCEEW, 2023b).	unnecessary light other than usage for safe work and navigation.
Mitigation			
None identified	-	-	-
Other			
None identified	-	-	-
Considered control measures	**Assessment of option**		**Decision**
Reducing lighting levels	Current lighting levels are as required to provide a safe working environment for vessel personnel, as well as to provide for navigational safety in the area. This reduction could be undertaken via limiting or excluding night-time operations. In accordance with the National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife (DCCEEW, 2023b) vessel deck lights are limited to the minimum required for human safety and extinguished when not necessary. There is minimal impact to EPBC Act-listed marine species (e.g. turtles and birds) occurring due to lighting. Given operations are on a 24/7 basis, lighting at night is a requirement for safe working conditions.		Not adopted.
Implement an Offshore Seabird Management Plan	Impacts to threatened species are not anticipated due to the distance (>20 km) the activity is from important habitats. Nesting colonies for the wedge-tailed shearwater are around 80 km away so light from the activity will not be visible. Control considered disproportionate given limited potential benefit.		Not adopted.

Conduct the surveys outside seabird breeding or migration seasons to avoid light impacts to fauna	Bird Breeding BIAs overlap the Hydrocarbon Area with breeding locations occurring around inshore islands throughout the year (Table 3-7). Foraging turtles may be present within the EMBA year round, with nest internesting buffers overlapping the hydrocarbon area with nesting occurring during summer December to March. In accordance with the National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife (DCCEEW, 2023b) vessel deck lights are limited to the minimum required 24/7 for human safety and extinguished when not necessary. Given operations are on a 24/7 basis and may occur at any time during the year, lighting for vessels is a requirement for safe working conditions. Birds and turtles may be present in the operational area and hydrocarbon area throughout the year. Therefore, avoiding periods of important behaviours will not reduce impact significantly. Control is not adopted given there will be no significant environmental benefit.	Not adopted.
Exclude night-time operations	In accordance with the National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife (DCCEEW, 2023b) vessel deck lights are limited to the minimum required for human safety and extinguished when not necessary. There is minimal impact to EPBC Act-listed marine species (e.g. turtles and birds) occurring due to lighting. Given operations are on a 24/7 basis, lighting at night is a requirement for safe working conditions. The potential impact to marine turtles and birds is considered incidental, so excluding night-time operations will not reduce impact significantly. Cost considered disproportionate given no significant environmental benefit.	Not adopted.
Consider Marine Turtle Light Mitigation Toolbox from the National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife (DCCEEW, 2023b)	Implement light management options to reduce the impact of artificial lighting to marine turtles. Review of the options considered that the actions would not reduce the impact significantly, except for the control measure for limiting light to the minimum required for navigational and safety requirements, which has been adopted.	Not adopted.

Reduce light spill from internal vessel light sources.	Indoor light sources on the vessel are not expected to reach any sensitive habitats and are of much lower intensity than those required on the vessel decks for safe working conditions. However, this control from the National Light Pollutions Guidelines for Wildlife (DCCEEW, 2023b) will be implemented as it requires little effort or cost and there may be some environmental gain from reducing the potential for the attraction of marine fauna in close proximity to the vessel.	Adopted (see CM-5.2)
Consider Best Practice Lighting Design from the National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife (DCCEEW, 2023b)	Lighting will be designed in accordance with the relevant Australian and internation standards to ensure that worker and vessel safety is not compromised. Substitute external lighting with light sources that minimise the effects of artificial light on marine turtles (e.g. reduced or filtered-out blue, violet and ultraviolet wavelengths). The potential impact to marine turtles and birds will be low as aggregations are not expected in the operational area and hydrocarbon area, so replacing external lighting with light sources appropriate for wildlife will not reduce impact significantly. Cost considered disproportionate given no significant environmental benefit.	Not adopted.
ALARP summary: The impacts of light emissions activities are ALARP, based on the impact assessment outcomes using VOGA Risk Matrix (as per Table 4-2), the ALARP template to determine the appropriate decision context type (Table 4-4) and VOGA's criteria for demonstrating ALARP (Section 4.7). No reasonably practicable additional controls were identified that would further reduce the impacts without disproportionate sacrifice.		

5.5.6 Acceptability Demonstration

Table 5-20: Acceptability demonstration – Light emissions

ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF IMPACT/RISK DEMONSTRATED	
Principles of ESD not compromised	Relevant principles of ESD not compromised given proposed controls (Table 5-19).
External context – objections or claims considered	N/A – no external objections or claims received.
Internal context – VOGA HSE policy/procedures met	Yes – Risk managed in accordance with VOGA HSE policy.
Other requirements met	Yes – including: • MARPOL 73/78 Annex VI • AMSA Marine Order 97

	• *Navigation Act 2012* • National Recovery Plan for albatrosses and petrels (2022) (DCCEEW, 2022) • Wildlife Conservation Plan for Seabirds (CoA, 2022) • Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia, 2017-2027 (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017) • Approved Conservation Advice for *Dermochelys coriacea* (Leatherback Turtle) (DEWHA, 2008c).
RR < High (RRII)	Yes – Medium (RRIII)
EPO(s) manage impacts to acceptable level(s)	Yes – The following relevant EPOs will be maintained: • EPO-GG-05 See Section 5.13 for further details.
Acceptability summary: The impacts of light emissions have been managed to a level that is broadly acceptable based on the demonstration of ALARP (Table 5-19), alignment with industry best practice, and VOGA's process for evaluating acceptability (Section 4.6).	

5.6 Planned: Routine Discharges

5.6.1 Hazard Report

Table 5-21: Hazard Report – Routine discharges

HAZARD	Routine discharges		
EP risk number	EP-GG-R06		
Activity/cause	Vessel operations Geotechnical survey activities		
Extent	Within 100 m of the discharge		
Potential impact description	A change in water quality, including localised eutrophication of the water column, and localised adverse effect to marine biota, such as a change in marine fauna behaviour and potential injury/mortality to marine fauna.		
IMPACT AND RISK EVALUATION SUMMARY			
Receptor	**Impact/Consequence**	**Likelihood**	**Residual Risk**
Water quality	Incidental (1)	Almost Certain (E)	Medium (RRIII)
Plankton	Incidental (1)	Unlikely (B)	Low (RRIV)
Relevant EPO(s)	EPO-GG-06 No serious or irreversible change in water quality which may adversely impact on biodiversity, ecological integrity, social amenity or human health.		

5.6.2 Description of Hazard

The vessels required to undertake the survey activities will release routine discharges into the marine environment (Table 5-22). Routine discharges will only occur within the Operational

Area. The volume released depends on the duration of the vessel within the Operational Area and the vessel POB.

The maximum duration that the vessels are expected to be present within the Operational Area is 10 days for geotechnical surveys and 5 days for geophysical surveys for each Prospect Area. Each campaign will have an approximate duration of 15 days total per Prospect Area.

The activity will require separate survey vessels for the geotechnical and geophysical scopes; however, only one survey vessel will be active within the Operational Area at any time throughout the duration of this activity (Section 2.8.1). The POB of the vessels may range from 28 to 60 and will depend on the specific vessels acquired during the tendering and procurement process.

See Table 5-22 for a description of the routine discharges that may be released during the survey activities.

Table 5-22: Routine discharge descriptions

Routine discharge	Description	Estimated volume
Sewage, grey water and putrescible waste	Sewage • Sewage will go through an extended aeration system prior to release. Once treated sewage will be discharged into the marine environment. Putrescible waste • Food scraps will be macerated then discharged into the marine environment. • Scraps that cannot be macerated, or are not readily degradable, such as bones, onion peels and orange rinds are bagged and disposed of onshore with the general rubbish. Grey water • Grey water is comprised of potable water, soaps and detergents and is discharged from the vessel to the marine environment.	A maximum of 12 m^3 per day of domestic discharges (based on 200 L/day/person).
Brine	Desalination brine is a discharge resulting from reverse osmosis desalination equipment that produces potable water from seawater for use on the vessels. The salinity of the discharged desalination brine is slightly higher (approximately 10%) than seawater and may contain small quantities of scale inhibitor.	The volume of the discharge is dependent on the requirement for fresh (or potable) water and would vary between vessels and the POB.
Bilge + deck drainage	Small quantities of grease and oil accumulate in operational areas on vessel deck surfaces creating potential slip hazards which are required to be washed down with water and detergent. The wash-down process flushes the accumulations into the vessel contained drain systems. The discharge of contaminated bilge water from vessels is inclusive of deck drainage and may contain water, oil, dispersants, detergents, solvents, chemicals, particles and other liquids, solids or chemicals. Bilge water discharges are regulated	< 1 m^3 at up to 2 Prospect Areas per campaign.

Routine discharge	Description	Estimated volume
	and required to be treated through an oil-in-water separator prior to being discharged to the marine environment.	
Cooling water	Cooling water is seawater that is utilised as a heat exchange medium for the power generation system on vessels. To prevent marine growth in the seawater circulation system, seawater is treated by a Marine Growth Prevention System, which involves producing chlorine electrically and continuous dosing at 2 mg/L. Cooling water is discharged to the marine environment from the cooling system at an elevated temperature of 0.5°C to 3.0°C.	Cooling water is discharged to the marine environment at a rate of 80 m^3/h to 100 m^3/h.
Drill cuttings	The geotechnical seabed coring will result in the indirect discharge of a small quantity of drill cuttings of inert material at the seafloor (refer Section 2.5.2.2). Drilling fluid will consist primarily of seawater and may have small quantities of WBM additives. These WBM additives are considered to be very low toxicity (all fluids will be selected as per Vermilion's chemical selection process) as described in Section 2.5.2.2 and are expected to dilute rapidly upon discharge; as such no toxic effect to biota are expected to occur. All drilling fluids used during the drilling process will be discharged from the borehole at the seabed including small amounts of excess fluid which may be produced. Volumes of excess drilling fluid will be limited as fluids are mixed for use on an as needs basis.	Fine particles with up to 3.5 m^3 per hole.

5.6.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation

Potential impacts from routine vessel discharges include:

- Change in water quality
- Localised toxicity to plankton.

The following receptors within the Operational Area may be impacted by routine discharges:

- Water quality
- Plankton.

5.6.3.1 Impact Assessment

Water Quality

Change in Water Quality

Daily vessel operations and geotechnical borehole drilling (rotary) core activities will result in localised changes to the water quality within the vicinity of routine discharges. Routine discharges may result in increased temperature, chemicals, nutrients or salinity within the water column. All routine discharges will occur within the Operational Area.

Temperature

Following a discharge into the marine environment cooling water is subject to turbulent mixing resulting in a transfer of heat to the receiving environment, thereby increasing the ambient temperature of the surrounding water.

Modelling produced for Woodside found that the temperature of discharged water was <1°C above ambient within 100 m (horizontally) of the discharge point, and 10 m vertically (Woodside, 2014). Therefore, considering the localised nature of the discharge and the open ocean conditions found within the Operational Area, the temperature of the discharge is expected to drop swiftly with increasing distance from the discharge point resulting in a negligible impact to water quality.

Chemicals

Following discharge to the marine environment, chemical contaminants found in bilge water, such as oily fluids, lubricants, cleaning fluids, as well as in the scale inhibitors and biocides used in heat exchange and desalination process, bilge and deck drainage treatment, and drilling fluids have the potential to increase the toxicity of the receiving environment and reduce water quality.

Drilling fluid discharges are expected to increase turbidity and TSS levels above ambient concentrations above the seabed for a short duration during geotechnical drilling. Given the very small volumes discharged short duration and selection of low toxicity (as assessed through Vermilion's chemical selection process), the potential impacts are expected to be negligible.

Modelling by Shell (2009) indicates that upon discharge, hydrocarbon and other chemical concentrations are rapidly diluted within less than 100 m of the discharge point, over a relatively short period of time. Hinwood et al. (1994) further predicted dilution factors in excess of 10,000 within 100 m of the discharge point, and Black et al. (1994) states that potential environmental impacts from deck drainage are considered to be slight given the rates of dilution that are likely offshore.

Given that project chemicals will be selected in accordance with VOGA's Chemical Assessment Process (Section 6.6) to ensure that the ecotoxicity profiles are of an acceptable level, and that the vessels will be on site for short periods of time (e.g. approximately 30 days for up to two Prospect Areas per campaign) discharges with chemical contaminants are unlikely to result in significant changes to water quality particularly where the waters are well mixed, and the volume of discharge is relatively small. Any chemical contaminants discharged from the vessels will be localised and of a short duration, with high dispersion and dilution rates due to the open ocean environment in the Operational Area (i.e. water depth, strong ocean currents and metocean conditions) resulting in a minimal impact to water quality.

Nutrients

The primary concerns related to the discharge of sewage, greywater and putrescible waste (wastewater discharges) are nutrient enrichment of the receiving environment and increased biological oxygen demand. In a study of sewage discharge in deep ocean waters, Friligos (1985) reported no appreciable differences in the inorganic nutrient levels between the outfall area and background concentrations, suggesting rapid uptake of nutrients and/or rapid dispersion in

the surrounding waters. Further, in an open ocean environment, like that of the Operational Area, the effect of the effluent biological oxygen demand on seawater oxygen concentrations is expected to be insignificant (Black et al., 1994).

Given that the vessels will be on site for short periods of time (e.g. approximately 30 days for up to two Prospect Areas per campaign) wastewater discharges are unlikely to result in a significant change to water quality particularly where the waters are well mixed, and the volume of discharge is relatively small. Any wastewater discharged from the vessels will be localised and of a short duration, with high dispersion and dilution rates due to the open ocean environment in the Operational Area (i.e. water depth, strong ocean currents and metocean conditions) resulting in a negligible impact to water quality.

Salinity

Following discharge to the marine environment, the desalination brine, being of greater density than seawater, will sink and disperse in the currents. Therefore, the largest increase of salinity in the receiving environment will be experienced within the immediate vicinity of the discharge point. Near-field dilution is anticipated to occur rapidly, resulting in a return to ambient salinity levels within 10 m of the discharge (Raventos et al., 2006). This assumption is supported by additional studies which also found that elevated salinity declines rapidly close to the discharge, even where simple diffusers are utilised (Fernandez-Torquemada et al., 2005). Therefore, any increase in salinity within the marine environment will be localised, and of a short duration, with high dispersion and dilution rates due to the open ocean environment in the Operational Area (i.e. water depth, strong ocean currents and metocean conditions) resulting in a negligible impact to water quality.

Plankton

Plankton are typically considered more vulnerable to the impacts of routine discharges compared to mobiles species due to the inability to move away from the plume. The potential increase of chemical toxicity within the marine environment from routine discharges has the potential to cause injury, or mortality, to plankton. Planktonic species which drift with the cooling water discharge as it disperses and decreases in temperature may also be sensitive to the thermal changes. As discussed above, routine discharges are anticipated to rapidly disperse and dilute into the surrounding water, and any impact would only occur within the immediate vicinity of the release. Plankton are known to accumulate rapidly and are generally not found to be sensitive to the impacts of contaminants within the water column (Hook et al., 2016).

Given that any change to water quality will be highly localised, the rapid dilution anticipated following the release of the discharge, and anticipated accumulation of plankton following the release, impacts from routine discharges to plankton is unlikely to lead to measurable impacts to plankton populations. Therefore, impacts are expected to be localised and short term and not affect population functioning.

Cumulative Impacts

Due to the short-term nature of the activities, the rapid dilution anticipated following the release of the discharge, impacts are expected to be highly localised and not extend beyond the Operational Area. Therefore, there is no potential for additive or cumulative impacts to occur with other operators undertaking nearby concurrent activities.

During the activity, there is no potential for SIMOPs between the geophysical survey and geotechnical surveys, with the surveys planned sequentially with only one survey vessel in the Operational Area at any time. Therefore, no potential cumulative water quality impacts will occur.

5.6.4 Risk Ranking

Routine discharges are expected to result in highly localised and short-term changes to water quality. Due to the offshore oceanographic nature of the environment, the minimal volume, and low toxicity of the discharges, impacts to plankton present within the immediate vicinity of the discharge release are expected to be localised and short-term and not affect population functioning. Therefore:

- The consequence ranking of '1' (Incidental) was assigned to water quality, and a likelihood of 'E' (Almost Certain) was considered appropriate, resulting in a risk ranking of 'Medium' (RRIII).
- The consequence ranking of '1' (Incidental) was assigned to plankton, and a likelihood of 'B' (Unlikely) was considered appropriate, resulting in a risk ranking of 'Low' (RRIV).

5.6.5 ALARP Demonstration

Table 5-23: Demonstration of ALARP – Routine discharges

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
Elimination			
None identified	-	-	-
Substitution			
Chemical assessment and selection process.	EPO-GG-06	Chemicals that will be discharged to the marine environment are selected in accordance with VOGA's Chemical Assessment Process (Section 6.6).	Completed chemical assessments show chemicals discharged to the marine environment meet the criteria of VOGA's Chemical Assessment Process (Section 6.6).
Prevention			
Engines on board the vessels to be maintained in accordance with manufacturer's specifications.	EPO-GG-06	All vessel engines and power generation equipment shall be serviced in accordance with the relevant Contractor's PMS. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records shall be validated by VOGA to ensure they are up to date.	VOGA inspection or audit confirms application of contractor's PMS. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records are up to date.
Reduction			

Vessels are required to comply with MARPOL 73/78 Annex I, Annex IV, Annex V, and AMSA Marine Orders 91 and 96.	EPO-GG-06	Vessel contractor procedures include the requirements to comply with MARPOL 73/78 (Annex IV; Regulation 8) as required by class: • Equipped with either a sewage treatment plant or sewage comminuting and disinfecting system or a sewage holding tank; • Wastes shall be macerated to <25 mm prior to discharge; and • Untreated sewage will be stored on-board in suitable holding tanks and disposed of onshore at reception facility or to carrier licensed to receive the waste or discharged at distance of more than 12 nm from nearest land.	Vessel international Sewage Pollution Prevention Certificate.
Mitigation			
None identified	-	-	-
Other			
Non identified	-	-	-
Considered control measures	**Assessment of option**		**Decision**
Onshore disposal of food scraps, sewage and grey waste.	All food scraps, sewage and grey waste transported to shore for land-based disposal. This would result in an increase in transportation requirements (and increased atmospheric emissions, safety risk and cost). Onshore disposal would have environmental impacts that may be greater than those created by offshore disposal, e.g. added energy needed (and associated emissions) for sewage and greywater treatment. It also provides an increased exposure to biological health hazards and considered grossly disproportionate to any environmental benefit gained.		Not adopted.
Onshore treatment and disposal of deck drainage and bilge.	Collecting and transporting the water onshore for treatment and disposal was considered but rejected due to the		Not adopted.

	additional potential risks associated with transportation to shore (e.g. lifting and transport and fuel-related emissions). This trade-off was considered undesirable given the limited potential impact of deck drainage to the marine environment and considered grossly disproportionate to any environmental benefit gained.	
Enhanced treatment of deck drainage and bilge offshore prior to discharge.	Considered grossly disproportionate due to the high cost of retrofitting additional treatment packages to the existing drains water treatment systems; and the minor environmental impact of the discharge.	Not adopted.
Alternative supply of potable water.	Means of transportation which would introduce/increase other environmental impact, increased safety risk and cost. This trade-off was considered undesirable and grossly disproportionate given the limited potential impact of desalination brine to the marine environment.	Not adopted.
ALARP summary: The impacts of routine discharges are ALARP, based on the impact assessment outcomes using VOGA Risk Matrix (as per Table 4-2), the ALARP template to determine the appropriate decision context type (Table 4-4) and VOGA's criteria for demonstrating ALARP (Section 4.7). No reasonably practicable additional controls were identified that would further reduce the impacts without disproportionate sacrifice.		

5.6.6 Acceptability Demonstration

Table 5-24: Acceptability demonstration – Routine discharges

ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF IMPACT/RISK DEMONSTRATED	
Principles of ESD not compromised	Relevant principles of ESD not compromised given proposed controls (Table 5-23).
External context – objections or claims considered	N/A – No external objections or claims received.
Internal context – VOGA HSE policy/procedures met	Yes – Risk managed in accordance with VOGA HSE policy.
Other requirements met	Marine Orders 91, 95 and 96
RR < High (RRII)	Yes – Medium (RRII).
EPO(s) manage impacts to acceptable level(s)	Yes – The following relevant EPOs will be maintained: • EPO-GG-06. See Section 5.13 for further details.
Acceptability summary: The impacts of routine discharges have been managed to a level that is broadly acceptable based on the demonstration of ALARP (Table 5-23), alignment with industry best practice, and VOGA's process for evaluating acceptability (Section 4.6).	

5.7 Unplanned: Introduction of Invasive Marine Species

5.7.1 Hazard Report

Table 5-25: Hazard Report – Introduction of IMS

HAZARD	Introduction of Invasive Marine Species (IMS)		
EP risk number	EP-GG-R07		
Activity/cause	Vessel operations		
Extent	Operational Area		
Potential impact description	Changes to habitat structure. Displacement of native marine species or a reduction in abundance from predation, competition or interspecies breeding. Interaction with industry.		
IMPACT AND RISK EVALUATION SUMMARY			
Receptor	**Impact/Consequence**	**Likelihood**	**Residual Risk**
Benthic habitat	Moderate (3)	Rare (A)	Low (RRIV)
Industry	Moderate (3)	Rare (A)	Low (RRIV)
Relevant EPO(s)	EPO-GG-07 No introduction, establishment or spread of a known or potential invasive marine species.		

5.7.2 Description of Hazard

IMS are marine plants or animals that are not native to Australia but have been introduced by human activities such as shipping (DAFF, 2021).

Vessels associated with the activity may be sourced from domestic or international waters. The maximum duration that the vessels are expected to be present within the Operational Area during the activity is 10 days for geotechnical surveys and 5 days for geophysical surveys for each Prospect Area per campaign. The activity will require separate survey vessels for the geotechnical and geophysical scopes; however, only one survey vessel will be active within the Operational Area at any time throughout the duration of each campaign (Section 2.8.1). The physical presence of activity vessels and in-water survey equipment required to undertake the activities associated with the project have the potential to result in the introduction of IMS within the Operational Area.

The two primary vectors for introduction of IMS relevant to the activity are through biofouling and ballast water (DAWR, 2018), which are discussed below.

5.7.2.1 Ballast Water

Ballast water is held in the ballast tanks of vessels and ensures safe operating conditions are maintained by providing stability. When vessels require ballast, water from the surrounding environment is pumped into the ballast tanks. This water has the potential to be contaminated with microorganisms such as bacteria, microbes, small invertebrates, eggs, cysts and larvae of various species. When the weight of the vessel needs to be lightened ballast water is released into the receiving marine environment resulting in the potential introduction of foreign

organisms. It is estimated that up to 30% of all marine pest incursions in Australia have arrived via ballast water (DAWR, 2018).

The Commonwealth Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF) is the lead agency for management of ballast water. Vessels are required to manage ballast water in accordance with IMO Ballast Water Management (BWM) Convention, IMO Guidelines, the mandatory Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements (DAWE, 2020) that is enforced under the *Biosecurity Act 2015*. The Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements set out the obligations on vessel operators with regards to the management of ballast water and ballast tank sediment when operating within Australian seas.

The requirements provide guidance for vessel operators on best practice policies and apply to all vessels operating internationally and domestically in Australia.

- All vessels must carry a valid ballast water management plan, a valid International BWM certificate and maintain a complete and accurate record of all ballast water movements.
- Vessels with a Ballast Water Management System (BWMS) must carry a Type Approval Certificate specific to the type of BWMS installed.

Vessels that are intending to discharge internationally sourced ballast water must submit a Ballast Water Report through the Maritime and Aircraft Reporting System (MARS) at least 12 hours prior to arrival.

Domestic vessels that have been released from biosecurity control are still required to manage the movement of Australian sourced ballast water.

All ballast water should be managed using one of the approved ballast water management options. All ballast water must be managed or receive a low-risk exemption from the department prior to discharge.

5.7.2.2 Biofouling

Biofouling occurs when an accumulation of organisms attach and grow on immersed surfaces (e.g. ship hulls) and/or within internal seawater circulation systems.

DAFF is the lead agency for management of biofouling on vessel hulls, external niche areas and immersible equipment in Australian waters. Under the National Biofouling Management Guidelines for the Petroleum Production and Exploration Industry, IMO Guidelines for the control and management of ships' biofouling to minimise the transfer of invasive aquatic species (resolution MEPC.207(62)) and Australian Biofouling Management Requirements (DAFF, 2023a) a risk assessment approach is applied to manage biofouling. IMO biofouling guidelines are considered 'best practice' for mitigation of transfer of IMS to ALARP.

All contracted vessels are required to complete the VOGA Biofouling Risk Assessment Process described in Section 6.7. The VOGA Biofouling Risk Assessment Process assigns a final risk category of low, moderate, uncertain or high to vessels based on a range of information including last port of call, age of antifouling coating etc. If a risk category of moderate, uncertain or high is scored, a range of management options are available including inspections, cleaning or treatment of internal seawater systems.

5.7.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation

Potential risks from the activity caused by the introduction of IMS include:

- Changes to habitat structure
- Displacement of native marine species or a reduction in abundance from predation, competition or interspecies breeding
- Interaction with industry.

The following receptors within the Operational Area may be impacted by introduction of IMS:

- Benthic habitats and communities
- Offshore infrastructure.

5.7.3.1 Risk Assessment

IMS are organisms that have established within a marine environment outside of their natural area of distribution and impact on local ecosystems. Not all marine species introduced into an area have the potential to establish themselves and become invasive. Species of concern are those that are not native to the region, are likely to survive and establish in the region, and are able to spread by human mediated or natural means. Species of concern vary from one region to another depending on various environmental factors such as water temperature, salinity, nutrient levels and habitat type. These factors dictate their survival and invasive capabilities.

IMS pose a major threat to the Australian environment, economy and social amenity by disrupting ecological processes. Disruption can occur via two pathways:

- Directly – through predation or competing with native marine plants and animals
- Indirectly – through habitat alteration (DAWR, 2018).

Australia's marine tourism industry has an annual value of around $14 billion, while marine industries (e.g. commercial fisheries and aquaculture, and oil and gas extraction) are expected to contribute $100 billion to the national economy each year by 2025 (DAWR, 2018).

Once established, marine pests can rarely be eradicated, and their negative effects are often long lasting.

Benthic Habitats and Communities

It has been found that highly disturbed environments (such as marinas) are more susceptible to colonisation than open-water environments (Paulay et al., 2002). Of the 60 species known to have become established in WA, only 6 are tropical species that occur north of Shark Bay (Wells et al., 2009). Most IMS are concentrated in port areas and relatively few have expanded their range beyond these presumed introductory points (Wells et al., 2009). Further, modelling conducted by the Bureau of Rural Sciences (BRS) of ballast water found that the risk of IMS colonisation decreases with an increased distance to shore (BRS, 2007), with only 2% chance of colonisation at 24 nm from shore or beyond.

Benthic habitats within the Operational Area as described in Section 3.4.1.1, are defined by communities associated with subtidal soft sediments. ROV studies at similar depths within the

NWS found that although sediments were variously bioturbated, benthic communities were generally sparse with low densities of organisms (e.g. crustaceans, molluscs, and polychaetes). Further, no key ecological features, often associated with hard substrate habitats are located within the Operational Area.

The Operational Area is located in a deepwater (50–60 m) open-ocean environment with a lack of hard substrate that is ~35 km (19 nm) from the nearest shoreline (i.e. islands of the Dampier Archipelago). Therefore, it is considered that the Operational Area does not provide a location conducive to marine pest establishment and survival and that there is little potential for invasive organisms to accumulate and multiply.

Industry

Interaction with Industry

The greatest potential for the establishment of IMS resulting from project activities is associated with the potential for colonisation of subsea infrastructure which provide attachment points for sessile invertebrates or marine algae closer to the sea surface where light availability is greater.

Project activities will occur within the WA-14-L permit which currently contains the Wandoo B platform, the Wandoo A unmanned monopod, and a variety of subsea systems associated with 16 production wells. Depending on the Prospect Area, the survey vessels may come within 500 m of existing infrastructure. Further, the Scarborough Export pipeline and the North Rankin to Withnell Bay pipeline both run through parts of the permit. The closest these are located to the proposed well locations are 3 km and 7 km, respectively.

Water depths of the Operational Area range between 50 m and 60 m. Therefore, although subsea infrastructure is present within the vicinity of activities, light availability will be limited. Wandoo A, Wandoo B, the CALM Buoy and floating hose are the man-made emergent features within the Operational Area. In the event of introduction of IMS within the Operational Area and establishment on Wandoo infrastructure, the introduction is likely to remain localised due to the isolation of the facility.

In the event an IMS colonises the environment as a result of activities, a control strategy will be developed and implemented, in consultation with IMS experts and DAFF.

5.7.4 Risk Ranking

The deep offshore open waters of the Operational Area are not conducive to the settlement and establishment of IMS (Geiling, 2014), due to the lack of light and suitable habitat to sustain growth and survival. Therefore:

- A consequence ranking of ‘3’ (Moderate) was assigned to benthic habitats, industry, commercial fishing and recreational fishing, and likelihood of ‘A’ (Rare) was considered appropriate, resulting in a risk ranking of Low (RRIV).

5.7.5 ALARP Demonstration

Table 5-26: Demonstration of ALARP – Introduction of IMS

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria

Elimination			
None identified	-	-	-
Substitution			
None identified	-	-	-
Prevention			
Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements (DAWE, 2020) consistent with the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments (Ballast Water Management Convention) (IMO, 2004).	EPO-GG-07	Vessels will have an approved ballast water management plan and valid ballast water management certificate, unless an exemption applies or is obtained, as specified in the Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements.	Ballast water management plan or record of exemption. Valid ballast management certificate or record of exemption.
Vessel and immersible equipment complete the VOGA Biofouling Risk Assessment Process consistent with National Biofouling Guidelines for the Petroleum Production and Exploration Industry and IMO Guidelines for the control and management of a ships' biofouling to minimise the transfer of IMS.	EPO-GG-07	Vessels will complete a VOGA Biofouling Risk Assessment, identifying a low risk before mobilisation to the Operational Area. Biofouling risk based on a range of information including presence of a biofouling management plan and record book, last port of call, age of anti-fouling coating, etc. If a risk category of moderate, uncertain or high is scored, the process requires an independent IMS expert to be engaged and further risk assessment and/or management measures undertaken.	Records of VOGA Biofouling Risk Assessments maintained for survey vessels and relevant immersible equipment entering the Operational Area demonstrating low risk status. Records of management measures implemented if required, through the IMS vessel risk assessment process.
Vessels comply with the Annex 1 of the International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-Fouling Systems on Ships.	EPO-GG-07	Anti-fouling systems on the survey vessels are maintained in compliance with International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-Fouling Systems on Ships (IMO, 2001): • Prohibits the use of harmful organotins in antifouling paints used on ships and establishes a mechanism to prevent the potential future use of other harmful substances in anti-fouling systems.	Records indicate vessel anti-fouling systems have not used harmful organotins.

Reduction			
None identified	-	-	-
Mitigation			
None identified	-	-	-
Other			
None identified	-	-	-
Considered control measures	**Assessment of option**		**Decision**
Mandatory dry docking of vessels prior to entering field to clean vessel and equipment and remove biofouling.	Practice would ensure that no IMS are present on vessel or associated equipment. Significant cost (grossly disproportionate to the risk); would lead to scheduling delays.		Not adopted.
Restrict vessel operations to using vessels and equipment that have only operated in local, State or Commonwealth waters to reduce potential for IMS introduction.	Would reduce potential for IMS to be transported into area since vessels would not have originated elsewhere. Vessels and equipment suitable for the activity that have only operated in local, State or Commonwealth waters may not be available; therefore, work could not be completed.		Not adopted.
ALARP summary: Application of the described control measures ensures that the risk of introduction of marine species are ALARP, based on the risk assessment outcomes using VOGA Risk Matrix (as per Table 4-2), the ALARP template to determine the appropriate decision context type (Table 4-4) and VOGA's criteria for demonstrating ALARP (Section 4.7). No reasonably practicable additional controls were identified that would further reduce the impacts without disproportionate sacrifice.			

5.7.6 Acceptability Demonstration

Table 5-27: Acceptability demonstration – Introduction of IMS

ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF IMPACT/RISK DEMONSTRATED	
Principles of ESD not compromised	Relevant Principles of ESD not compromised given proposed controls (Table 5-26).
External context – objections or claims considered	N/A – No external objections or claims received.
Internal context – VOGA HSE policy/procedures met	Yes – Risk managed in accordance with VOGA HSE policy.
Other requirements met	Yes – including: • Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements (DAWE, 2020) and the Australian Biofouling Management Requirements (DAFF, 2023a) give effect to the *Biosecurity Act 2015* and associated regulations; International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments (Ballast Water Convention) and relevant guidelines or procedures adopted by the Marine Environment Protection Committee of the IMO.

ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF IMPACT/RISK DEMONSTRATED	
	• National Biofouling Management Guidance for the Petroleum Production and Exploration Industry (MPSC, 2018).
RR < High (RRII)	Yes – Low (RRIV).
EPO(s) manage impacts to acceptable level(s)	Yes – The following relevant EPOs will be maintained: • EPO-GG-07. See Section 5.13 for further details.
Acceptability Summary: The risk of introduction of IMS has been managed to a level that is broadly acceptable based on the demonstration of ALARP (Table 5-26), alignment with industry best practice, and VOGA's process for evaluating acceptability (Section 4.6).	

5.8 Unplanned: Physical Presence – Interaction with Marine Fauna

5.8.1 Hazard Report

Table 5-28: Hazard Report – Interaction with marine fauna

HAZARD	Physical presence – interaction with marine fauna		
EP risk number	EP-GG-R08		
Activity/cause	Vessel operations		
Extent	Operational Area		
Potential impact description	Disruption to marine fauna. Injury/mortality to marine fauna.		
IMPACT AND RISK EVALUATION SUMMARY			
Receptor	**Impact/Consequence**	**Likelihood**	**Residual Risk**
Fish, sharks and rays	Minor (2)	Unlikely (B)	Low (RRIV)
Marine mammals	Minor (2)	Unlikely (B)	Low (RRIV)
Marine reptiles	Minor (2)	Unlikely (B)	Low (RRIV)
Relevant EPO(s)	EPO-GG-08 No injury or mortality to threatened or migratory listed species from vessel collision/strike.		

5.8.2 Description of Hazard

The physical presence of the activity vessels required to undertake the seabed surveys have the potential to interact with marine fauna from causing disturbance or in the unlikely event of a collision.

The maximum duration that the vessels are expected to be present within the Operational Area is 10 days for geotechnical surveys and 5 days for geophysical surveys for each Prospect Area. The activity will require separate survey vessels for the geotechnical and geophysical scopes; however, only one survey vessel will be active within the Operational Area at any time throughout the duration of each campaign (Section 2.8.1).

Whilst undertaking the activities in the Operational Area the geotechnical vessel will be stationary, using DP to maintain position. The geophysical survey vessel will operate at slow transit speeds (typically <5 knots) whilst data acquisition is occurring (Section 2.8.1).

5.8.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation

Potential risks caused by the physical presence of vessels include:

- Disruption to marine fauna
- Injury/mortality to marine fauna.

The following receptors within the Operational Area may be impacted by the physical presence of vessels:

- Fish, sharks and rays
- Marine reptiles
- Marine mammals.

5.8.3.1 Risk Assessment

Slow-moving marine fauna present in surface waters, such as marine turtles, whale sharks, dugongs, and cetaceans, are most at risk to vessel strike due to their proximity to the vessel (hull, propeller or equipment) and their limited ability to avoid vessels (i.e. diving). Vessel collision can cause serious injury and/or mortality to individuals that are impacted. The National Strategy for Mitigating Vessel Strike of Marine Megafauna identifies cetaceans and marine turtles as being the most vulnerable to vessel strikes (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017a). Other marine fauna species, including seabirds, marine mammals (dolphins and seals) and fish species (including sharks and rays), are likely to avoid any moving vessels and are considered at low risk of potential vessel strike.

Fish, Sharks, and Rays

Injury/Mortality to Marine Fauna

Large, slow-moving species, such as whale sharks which routinely feed at the surface, have been identified to be vulnerable to vessel strike. A Foraging BIA for the whale shark is overlapped by the Operational Area (Section 3.4.3.4). These species are known to aggregate at Ningaloo between March and July each year to feed. Whale sharks may also spend time near offshore oil and gas platforms during migration (D'Antonio et al., 2025). This species is found in coastal and oceanic environments making them vulnerable to both collisions with smaller recreational vessels and large ships (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017a).

The National Strategy for Reducing Vessel Strike on Cetaceans and other Marine Megafauna 2017 identifies that the speed of the approaching vessel is the primary concern when considering collision risk (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017a). Large, high-speed vessels travelling at speeds of 35–40 knots have higher correlation with increased collision rates with marine fauna (Weinrich 2004; Ritter 2010). Slower moving vessels provide greater opportunity for marine fauna and the approaching vessel to avoid collision. The National Strategy for Reducing Vessel Strike on Cetaceans and other Marine Megafauna 2017 does not make any

recommendations in relation to a maximum vessel speed, but case studies within the strategy have implemented a 10-knot speed limit in sensitive areas (CoA, 2017a).

As discussed in Section 2.7.1, the movement of the survey vessels within the Operational Area will be slow (<5 knots or less) whilst undertaking data acquisition for geophysical surveys, and stationary for geotechnical surveys; therefore, reducing the likelihood and potential impact of a vessel collision. Vessels contracted by VOGA operating within the Operational Area will also be required to maintain safe distances from any marine fauna sighted and must have procedures that adhere to Part 8 of EPBC Regulation 2000 to minimise exposure of marine fauna (Table 5-28). As a result, it is highly unlikely that there will be any collision with marine species present within the Operational Area, such as whale sharks.

Marine Mammals

Several species of marine mammals may be present within the Operational Area while seabed surveys are being undertaken (Section 3.4.3.5).

Disruption to Marine Fauna

The presence and movement of vessels has the potential to cause temporary and localised displacement to marine fauna due to physical presence resulting in avoidance behaviours. Humpback whales, and other cetaceans, have demonstrated a variety of behaviours in response to approaching vessels (attributed to vessel noise), including longer dive times and moving away from the vessel's path with increased speed (Baker and Herman, 1989; Meike et al., 2004). Given the short duration that survey vessels are present within the Operational Area, disruption of the behavioural patterns of marine fauna is expected to be incidental.

Injury/Mortality to Marine Fauna

Cetaceans typically spend more time at the surface when resting, foraging, nursing or mating (CoA, 2017a). Individuals engaged in these biologically important activities have been noted to be distracted whilst undertaking these activities, subsequently making them less likely to avoid an approaching vessel and more vulnerable to vessel collision (Laist et al., 2001). As identified in Section 3.4.3.5, several species of marine mammals may be present within the Operational Area; however, no breeding, foraging or calving areas for EPBC listed marine mammals were identified within the Operational Area. A Migration BIA for the humpback whale was the only cetacean BIA identified to overlap the Operational Area (Section 3.4.3.5).

Data on vessel strikes of large cetaceans in Australian waters to date is limited. Data compiled from records of vessel collisions occurring from 1997 to 2015 in Australian waters from the International Whaling Commission global database indicated that humpback whales had the highest frequency of collisions (47%) (Peel et al., 2016). An increase in shipping activity in Australia over the last decade, and predicted future escalation (Laist et al., 2001; BITRE, 2014), coinciding with the growth in population size of humpback whale populations, indicates the probability of vessel interactions will also increase. As discussed above, the slow transit speed during the seabed survey campaign (<5 knots) and the control measures that will be implemented by the activity vessels should reduce the potential for a vessel collision.

Dugongs may be present within the Operational Area. Previous studies have identified the vulnerability of dugongs to vessel strikes, indicating that dugongs are often unable to flee or

evade a fast-approaching vessel until an impact is unavoidable (Groom et al., 2004). Dugongs are known to feed and migrate through the NWS Province (DoE, 2024c). The Operational Area does not overlap any BIA for dugongs. Shallow coastal seagrass meadows are the preferred foraging area for dugongs (DoE, 2024c), and where individuals would be most vulnerable to vessel collision. Given the offshore location of the activities, and absence of foraging habitats within the Operational Area, vessel interaction with dugongs is anticipated to be rare.

Marine Reptiles

The Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (CoA, 2017) identifies vessel strike as a threat to marine turtles. Coastal foraging habitats and inter-nesting areas, where there are high numbers of recreational and commercial vessels, are identified as having the highest risk for collision (Hazel and Gyuris, 2006). Several species of marine turtles may be present within the Operational Area; however, the inter-nesting BIA buffer for the flatback turtle was the only BIA identified to overlap the Operational Area (Section 3.4.3.5). The closest nesting locations to the Operational Area are the significant rookeries located on Barrow Island (DSEWPC, 2012a), Montebello Islands, Thevenard Island, Varanus Island, Lowendal Islands, King Sound and Dampier Archipelago (Pendoley, 2005; Limpus, 2007; Pendoley Environmental, 2011). Nesting flatback females may be vulnerable to collision with survey vessels if activities occur during the nesting season (October to March) (CoA, 2017).

Vessel collisions have the potential to impact individual marine turtles as a stand-alone threat, however, the occurrence has not been shown to cause stock level declines for marine turtles (CoA, 2017). Therefore, the consequence may impact individual species of conservation value; however, not affect populations or ecosystem functioning.

5.8.4 Risk Ranking

Vessel movements will occur within the Operational Area, introducing the potential for vessel collisions with marine fauna. However, given the slow transit times, temporary presence of the vessels whilst undertaking the activities, and low presence of marine fauna BIAs found within the Operational Area, any disruption to behavioural patterns and potential injury/mortality to marine fauna is considered unlikely. Therefore:

- The consequence ranking of ‘2’ (Minor) was assigned to marine fauna, with a likelihood of ‘B’ (Unlikely), resulting in a risk ranking of ‘Low’ (RRIV).

5.8.5 ALARP Demonstration

Table 5-29: Demonstration of ALARP – Interaction with marine fauna

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
Elimination			
None identified	-	-	-
Substitution			
None identified	-	-	-
Prevention			
Vessels contracted by VOGA operating in the Operational	EPO-GG-08	Compliance with EPBC Regulations 2000 – Part 8 Division	Records demonstrate no

Area must adhere to Part 8 of EPBC Regulation 2000 to minimise exposure of marine fauna.		8.1 (Regulation 8.05 and 8.06) which requires that: • A vessel/towed equipment will not travel greater than 6 knots within 300 m of a whale (caution zone) and not approach closer than 100 m from a whale. • A vessel/towed equipment will not approach closer than 50 m of a dolphin or 100 m of a whale. • A vessel/towed equipment will not approach closer than 300 m to a calf (whale or dolphin) (the caution zone). • If a calf appears in the caution zone, the vessel including towed equipment must be immediately stopped and must: ○ turn off the vessel's engines, or disengage the gears, or withdraw the vessel from the caution zone at a constant speed of less than 6 knots.	breaches of EPBC Regulations 2000 – Part 8 Division 8.1 Interacting with cetaceans.
Reduction			
None identified	-	-	-
Mitigation			
None identified	-	-	-
Other			
None identified			
Considered control measures	**Assessment of option**		**Decision**
Vessels to adopt measures outlined in Part 8 of EPBC Regulation 2000 to other receptors e.g., marine turtles and whale sharks.	Given the low transit speed of the geophysical survey vessel (typically <5 knots) and stationary position of geotechnical survey vessel during activities, interactions between vessels and marine turtles, and whale sharks are considered to be unlikely. In addition, VOGA has been the operator of Wandoo since 2005 and have no history of vessel strikes. Therefore, the application of caution zones to marine turtles and whale sharks to minimise vessel interaction with marine fauna is not considered to result in a significant environmental benefit.		Not adopted.

ALARP summary: Application of the described control measures ensures that the risk of interaction with marine fauna are ALARP, based on the impact assessment outcomes using VOGA Risk Matrix (as per Table 4-2), the ALARP template to determine the appropriate decision context type (Table 4-4) and VOGA's criteria for demonstrating ALARP (Section 4.7). VOGA will adopt standard industry good practice controls. No reasonably practicable additional controls were identified that would further reduce the impacts without disproportionate sacrifice.

5.8.6 Acceptability Demonstration

Table 5-30: Acceptability demonstration – Interaction with marine fauna

ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF RISK DEMONSTRATED	
Principles of ESD not compromised	Relevant principles of ESD not compromised given proposed controls (Table 5-29).
External context – objections or claims considered	N/A – No external objections or claims received.
Internal context – VOGA HSE policy/procedures met	Yes – Risk managed in accordance with VOGA HSE policy.
Other requirements met	Yes – including: • Compliance with Part 8 of the EPBC Regulation 2000 • Approved Conservation Advice for *Rhincodon typus* (whale shark) (TSSC, 2015b) • Approved Conservation Advice for *Balaenoptera borealis* (Sei Whale) (TSSC, 2015c) • Approved Conservation Advice for *Balaenoptera physalus* (Fin Whale) (TSSC, 2015d) • Conservation Management Plan for the Blue Whale, 2015-2025 (DoE, 2015) • National Recovery Plan for the Southern Right Whale (*Eubalaena australis*) (DCCEEW, 2024) • Recovery plan for the Australian Sea Lion (*Neophoca cinereal*) (DSEWPC, 2013) • Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia, 2017-2027 (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017) • Approved Conservation Advice for *Dermochelys coriacea* (Leatherback Turtle) (DEWHA, 2008c).
RR < High (RRII)	Yes – Low (RRIV).
EPO(s) manage impacts to acceptable level(s)	Yes – The following relevant EPOs will be maintained: • EPO-GG-08. See Section 5.13 for further details.
Acceptability summary: The potential risks from the physical presence of seabed survey vessels of interaction within marine fauna have been managed to a level that is broadly acceptable based on the demonstration of ALARP (Table 5-29), alignment with industry best practice, and VOGA's process for evaluating acceptability (Section 4.6).	

5.9 Unplanned: Accidental Overboard Loss of Waste and Solid Objects

5.9.1 Hazard Report

Table 5-31: Hazard Report – Accidental discharge of waste and solid objects

HAZARD	Accidental overboard loss of waste and solid objects		
EP risk number	EP-GG-R09		
Activity/cause	Geophysical survey activities. Geotechnical survey activities. Vessel operations.		
Extent	Operational Area		
Potential impact description	Localised, reversible and short-term impacts to benthic habitats and assemblages not affecting ecosystem functioning. Injury/mortality to marine fauna. Incidental impacts to submerged cultural heritage sites.		
IMPACT AND RISK EVALUATION SUMMARY			
Receptor	**Impact/Consequence**	**Likelihood**	**Residual Risk**
Benthic habitats	Incidental (1)	Unlikely (B)	Low (RRIV)
Marine fauna	Incidental (1)	Rare (A)	Low (RRIII)
Submerged cultural heritage	Incidental (1)	Rare (A)	Low (RRIV)
Relevant EPO(s)	EPO-GG-09 No unplanned overboard loss of waste or objects to the marine environment.		

5.9.2 Description of Hazard

During vessel operations an accidental loss of waste or solid objects may result from:

- Dropped objects overboard (anchors) from vessels or during
- Dropped equipment during geophysical and geotechnical surveys
- Waste accidentally released to the marine environment.

While there will be no planned transfer of equipment or large items between vessels during the activity, dropped objects may occur during rough seas where they are not able to be retrieved.

The handling and storage of waste on-board survey vessels has the potential for an accidental loss of waste or solid materials overboard. The transfer of waste material will only occur in port for appropriate disposal, however accidental releases may occur from rough seas or high winds.

5.9.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation

Potential risks caused by the accidental loss of waste or solid objects include:

- Impacts to benthic habitats and assemblages
- Injury/mortality to marine fauna

- Impacts to submerged cultural heritage.

The following receptors within the Operational Area may be impacted by accidental loss of waste or solid materials:

- Benthic habitats
- Marine fauna
- Cultural heritage.

5.9.3.1 Risk Assessment

Benthic Habitats

Unplanned disturbance to benthic habitats and assemblages may occur due to dropped objects overboard or during geophysical and geotechnical surveys. These receptors may be smothered or disturbed due to sediment suspension and re-settlement. Benthic habitats within the Operational Area are likely to consist of fine silt and sandy substrates (Section 3.4.1.1). There are no seagrass, coral or macroalgal communities in the Operational Area.

Should the occurrence of dropped objects occur, the impact would be localised with limited disturbance to benthic habitats.

Marine Fauna

Accidental waste release into Commonwealth waters can cause injury or death to marine fauna through ingestion or entanglement. Waste or marine debris encompasses all plastics and other types of debris that can harm vertebrate marine wildlife. This includes plastic garbage (e.g. bags, bottles or ropes) and solid non-biodegradable materials lost or disposed of at sea. These impacts will be limited in exposure and quantity, affecting individual animals rather than population levels.

Threatened and/or migratory species potentially affected by the loss of waste overboard are discussed in Section 3.4.3.5. Recovery plans and species conservation advice for these species including albatrosses and giant petrels, seabirds, marine turtles and whale shark (Table 1-3) identify marine debris as a threat for the species. The Operational Area does not overlap any feeding or foraging areas for seabirds or marine turtles.

The Operational Area overlaps the Foraging BIA for the whale shark. The high-density aggregation area for the species is 276 km southwest of the Operational Area at the Ningaloo Marine Park and they are known to migrate further offshore along the 200 m depth contour. The Operational Area does not feature any upwelling or regions of high productivity. Therefore, whale shark presence in the area is likely to consist of individuals rather than any feeding aggregations.

Any waste to the marine environment will be limited and managed with waste management procedures and compliance with Marine Orders. Should any waste be lost to the sea during the activities, impacts are expected to be short term not affecting species at a population level.

Submerged Cultural Heritage

Dropped objects may disrupt submerged cultural heritage, although there no known cultural heritage artefacts, shipwrecks or registered Aboriginal Cultural Heritage sites within the Operational Area (Section 3.6.3). Therefore, any impact to submerged cultural heritage is expected to be incidental.

5.9.4 Risk Ranking

An accidental loss of waste or solid object is expected to result in highly localised and temporary change to benthic habitats within the immediate vicinity of the release. Any impacts to marine fauna are expected to be short term and not affect species at a population level. Therefore:

- The consequence ranking of '1' (Incidental) was assigned to benthic habitat, and a likelihood of 'B' (Unlikely) was considered appropriate, resulting in a risk ranking of 'Low' (RRIV).

5.9.5 ALARP Demonstration

Table 5-32: Demonstration of ALARP – Accidental discharge of waste and solid objects

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
Elimination			
None identified	-	-	-
Substitution			
None identified	-	-	-
Prevention			
Non-hazardous and hazardous wastes are managed in accordance with contractor's Waste Management Plan.	EPO-GG-09	Hazardous and non-hazardous wastes shall be segregated into recyclable and non-recyclable in accordance with the vessel Waste Management Plan.	Vessel inspection during survey confirms hazardous and non-hazardous wastes are segregated into recyclable and non-recyclable wastes. Compliance records from VOGA's waste management audit.
		Waste shall be stored in clearly marked containers, and hazardous wastes bunded, in accordance with the relevant Safety Data Sheet (SDS) and the vessel Waste Management Plan.	Vessel inspection during survey confirms compliant waste storage.
		Wastes for onshore disposal shall be transported in suitable containers as outlined in the vessel Waste Management Plan.	Vessel inspection during survey confirms waste is transported onshore in relevant containers.
		All non-hazardous (except putrescible waste and wastewater) and hazardous waste shall be transported to	Waste disposal receipts confirm appropriate disposal of wastes (type and volume).

		shore and disposed of in appropriately licensed facilities in accordance with the vessel Waste Management Plan.	
Vessel inductions include control measures for crew in dropped object prevention.	EPO-GG-09	Crew inductions, and job safety analyses where relevant, will include dropped object prevention control measures.	Induction material demonstrates that vessel crew have been inducted in dropped object prevention.
Reduction			
Vessel procedures are compliant with MARPOL Convention Annex V, Prevention of Pollution by Garbage from Ships and Marine Order 95.	EPO-GG-09	All vessels licensed to carry more than 15 persons or over 400 gross tonnage shall have and implement a Waste Management Plan and maintain a Garbage Record Book in accordance with MARPOL Convention Annex V, Prevention of Pollution by Garbage from Ships and Marine Order 95.	VOGA inspection or audit of vessels to ensure compliance with Waste Management Plan. Garbage Record Book details the wastes (type and volume) disposed.
Mitigation			
Recovery of dropped objects where practical to do so.	EPO-GG-09	Any hazardous solid waste dropped to the marine environment will be recovered where safe and practicable to do so. Where safe and practicable for this activity, consider: • Risk to personnel to retrieve object • Whether the location of the object is in recoverable water depths • The object's proximity to subsea infrastructure • Ability to recover the object (i.e. nature of object, lifting equipment or ROV availability, and suitable weather).	Incident reports detail the recovery attempt consideration and status of any hazardous waste lost to the marine environment.
Other			
None identified	-	-	-
Considered control measures	**Assessment of option**		**Decision**
Do not use towed equipment.	The deployment of towed equipment during geophysical and geotechnical surveys is required to meet the objectives of the surveys		Not adopted.

	and to meet the conditions of the production licence WA-14-L.	

ALARP summary:

Application of the described control measures ensures that the risk of dropped objects are ALARP, based on the impact assessment outcomes using VOGA Risk Matrix (as per Table 4-2), the ALARP template to determine the appropriate decision context type (Table 4-4) and VOGA's criteria for demonstrating ALARP (Section 4.7). No reasonably practicable additional controls were identified that would further reduce the impacts without disproportionate sacrifice.

5.9.6 Acceptability Demonstration

Table 5-33: Acceptability demonstration – Accidental discharge of waste and solid objects

Acceptable level of impact/risk demonstrated	
Principles of ESD not compromised	Relevant principles of ESD not compromised given proposed controls (see Table 5-32)
External context – objections or claims considered	N/A – No external objections or claims received.
Internal context – VOGA HSE policy/procedures met	Yes – Risk managed in accordance with VOGA HSE policy.
Other requirements met	Yes – including: • Marine Order 95 • Threat Abatement Plan for the Impacts of Marine Debris on Vertebrate Wildlife of Australia's Coasts and Ocean (DEE, 2018) • Approved Conservation Advice for *Charadrius mongolus* (Lesser sand plover) (TSSC, 2016) • National Recovery Plan for albatrosses and petrels (2022) (DCCEEW, 2022) • Approved Conservation Advice for *Rhincodon typus* (whale shark) (TSSC, 2015b) • Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia, 2017-2027 (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017) • Approved Conservation Advice for *Dermochelys coriacea* (Leatherback Turtle) (DEWHA, 2008c).
RR < High (RRII)	Yes – Low (RRIV).
EPO(s) manage impacts to acceptable level(s)	Yes – The following relevant EPOs will be maintained: • EPO-GG-09. See Section 5.13 for further details.

Acceptability summary:

The impacts of accidental loss of waste and solid objects have been managed to a level that is broadly acceptable based on the demonstration of ALARP (Table 5-32), alignment with industry best practice, and VOGA's process for evaluating acceptability (Section 4.6).

5.10 Unplanned: Minor Spills

5.10.1 Hazard Report

Table 5-34: Hazard Report – Minor spills

HAZARD	Minor spills		
EP risk number	EP-GG-R10		
Activity/cause	Vessel operations		
Extent	Operational Area		
Potential impact description	Reduction in water quality. Localised, reversible and short-term toxicity impacts to marine fauna.		
IMPACT AND RISK EVALUATION SUMMARY			
Receptor	**Impact/Consequence**	**Likelihood**	**Residual Risk**
Water quality	Incidental (1)	Unlikely (B)	Low (RRIV)
Relevant EPO(s)	EPO-GG-10 No unplanned discharge of hydrocarbons or chemicals to the marine environment. EPO-GG-11 Impacts to values and sensitivities are minimised in the event of a loss of hydrocarbons.		

5.10.2 Description of Hazard

Minor spills may occur from the vessel equipment, bulk storage, or package chemical leak (deck spill). The types of fluids on board vessels range from lubricating fluids to hydraulic, fuel and cooling fluids. Maintenance chemicals and lubricating oils are stored in fit-for-purpose containers. Inboard leaks could be generated from any of the wide range of equipment on the survey vessels. The leaks may come from a failure of a mechanical component, fitting or hose. Waste lube oil from mud pumps, compressors, equipment crankcases and drip pans drain into the dirty-oil holding tank.

A minor spill could result from:

- Mishandling of materials
- Damage to storage containers, or
- Mechanical failure.

Leaks from inboard fittings and connections would be contained within bunded areas, which drain to a sump through the closed drain system. Any spill to deck will be cleaned up using absorbents. Therefore, spills into the marine environment are considered highly unlikely. The largest credible spill of this nature would be the volume of a storage drum ~205 litres.

5.10.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation

The accidental release of a minor spill of chemicals or hydrocarbons may result in:

- Change in water quality.

Given the small volumes of hydrocarbons and chemicals that could be released, minor spills are expected to rapidly dissipate and dilute in the high energy environment of the receiving water. Therefore, the potential for toxicity to marine fauna will be extremely rare as the temporary exposure will preclude toxic effects, and therefore impacts to marine fauna have not been assessed further.

See Section 0 for the impact assessment of a larger accidental hydrocarbon spill.

5.10.3.1 Risk Assessment

Water Quality

The accidental release of a minor spill of chemicals and hydrocarbons into the marine environment has the potential to cause a localised reduction in the receiving marine environment. A visible oil sheen on the water surface may also occur in the event of minor hydrocarbon spills. However, the hydrocarbons that may be release, such as hydraulic oil, are highly volatile and will evaporate rapidly after being released, due to natural processes.

The hydraulic fluids used during vessel operations are typically non-persistent, water-based hydrocarbons classified under the Offshore Chemical Notification Scheme (OCNS) as Class D. These hydrocarbons have been used widely in marine environments worldwide with no observed environmental effect. Due to the volume released and anticipated rapid dilution, any toxicity effects will be negligible. See Section 0 for the impact assessment of a larger accidental hydrocarbon spill of MDO.

However, given the small volumes of hydrocarbons and chemicals that could be discharged (a maximum of 205 litres), a minor spill of this nature is expected to rapidly dissipate and dilute in the high energy environment of the receiving waters. Any change in the water quality following a minor spill is anticipated to be highly localised, within the immediate vicinity of the release and result in short-term impacts which rapidly recovers.

5.10.4 Risk Ranking

A minor spill is expected to result in highly localised and temporary change to water quality within the immediate vicinity of the release. Due to the offshore oceanographic nature of the environment, the minimal volume, and low toxicity of the spill, impacts to water quality is expected to be highly localised and temporary, and any impacts to marine fauna will be negligible. Therefore:

- The consequence ranking of ‘1’ (Incidental) was assigned to water quality, and a likelihood of ‘B’ (Unlikely) was considered appropriate, resulting in a risk ranking of ‘Low’ (RRIV).

5.10.5 ALARP Demonstration

Table 5-35: Demonstration of ALARP – Minor spills

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
Elimination			
None identified	-	-	-

Substitution			
None identified	-	-	-
Prevention			
Chemical storage on vessels compliant with vessel contractor's storage requirements to prevent release to marine environment.	EPO-GG-10	All hazardous chemicals shall be stored in bunded areas or below deck to prevent release to marine environment.	Vessel inspection during survey confirms compliant chemical storage.
Contractor's PMS includes hydraulic hose maintenance and replacement schedule.		All hydraulic hoses shall be serviced in accordance with the relevant Contractor's PMS and replacement schedule. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records shall be validated by VOGA to ensure they are up to date.	VOGA inspection or audit confirms application of contractor's PMS. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records are up to date.
Reduction			
None identified	-		-
Mitigation			
Vessels will implement a Shipboard Oil Pollution Emergency Plan (SOPEP)/ Shipboard Marine Pollution Emergency Plan (SMPEP) in the event of a spill.	EPO-GG-11	SOPEP/SMPEP (equivalent to class) procedures to shall be available during survey activities.	VOGA inspection or audit confirms SOPEP/SMPEP (equivalent to class) procedures are available on the vessels during survey activities.
Vessels have equipment to manage small deck spills.		Equipment meeting the requirements of the SOPEP/SMPEP (equivalent to class) shall be available on the vessels during survey activities.	VOGA inspection or audit confirms appropriate spill kits are available during survey activities.
Other			
None identified			
Considered control measures	**Assessment of option**		**Decision**
Reduce the volumes of hydrocarbons and chemicals stored onboard the vessels.	All hydrocarbons and chemicals required for the survey activities are already small in volume. Means of transportation would increase safety risk and cost, and as a result introduce/increase other environmental impact than onboard storage. As such, the costs associated with transport of hydrocarbons and chemicals to the survey vessels on location are considered grossly		Not adopted.

	disproportionate to the environmental benefit gained.	

ALARP summary:

Application of the described control measures ensures that the risk of interaction with marine fauna are ALARP, based on the impact assessment outcomes using VOGA Risk Matrix (as per Table 4-2), the ALARP template to determine the appropriate decision context type (Table 4-4) and VOGA's criteria for demonstrating ALARP (Section 4.7). VOGA will adopt standard industry good practice controls. No reasonably practicable additional controls were identified that would further reduce the impacts without disproportionate sacrifice.

5.10.6 Acceptability Demonstration

Table 5-36: Acceptability demonstration – Minor spills

ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF RISK DEMONSTRATED	
Principles of ESD not compromised	Relevant Principles of ESD not compromised given proposed controls (Table 5-35).
External context – objections or claims considered	N/A – No external objections or claims received.
Internal context – VOGA HSE policy/procedures met	Yes – Risk managed in accordance with VOGA HSE policy.
Other requirements met	Yes – Potential spills to be managed in accordance with SOPEP/SMPEP (equivalent to class).
RR < High (RRII)	Yes – Low (RRIV).
EPO(s) manage impacts to acceptable level(s)	Yes – The following relevant EPOs will be maintained: • EPO-GG-10 • EPO-GG-11 See Section 5.13 for further details.

Acceptability summary:

The potential risks from a minor spill have been managed to a level that is broadly acceptable based on the demonstration of ALARP (Table 5-35), alignment with industry best practice, and VOGA's process for evaluating acceptability (Section 4.6).

5.11 Unplanned: Accidental Release of MDO

5.11.1 Hazard Report

Table 5-37: Hazard Report – Accidental release of MDO

HAZARD	Accidental release of MDO		
EP risk number	EP-GG-R11		
Activity/cause	Vessel operations		
Extent	Extent of the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA		
Potential impact description	Temporary decline in marine water quality. Injury or death of exposed marine fauna. Potential impacts where the spill reaches sensitive marine areas such as coral reefs or sandy/rocky shorelines.		
IMPACT AND RISK EVALUATION SUMMARY			
Receptor	**Impact/Consequence**	**Likelihood**	**Residual Risk**
Water quality	Moderate (3)	Unlikely (B)	Medium (RRIII)
Benthic habitats	Minor (2)	Unlikely (B)	Low (RRIV)
Coastal communities	Moderate (3)	Unlikely (B)	Medium (RRIII)
Marine fauna	Minor (2)	Unlikely (B)	Low (RRIV)
Protected and significant areas	Minor (2)	Unlikely (B)	Low (RRIV)
Social and economic environment	Minor (2)	Unlikely (B)	Low (RRIV)
First Nations	Moderate (3)	Unlikely (B)	Medium (RRIII))
Relevant EPO(s)	EPO-GG-10 No unplanned discharge of hydrocarbons or chemicals to the marine environment. EPO-GG-11 Impacts to values and sensitivities are minimised in the event of a loss of hydrocarbons.		

5.11.2 Description of Hazard

An accidental release of MDO fuel could potentially occur from the collision of the survey vessels with another vessel or Wandoo infrastructure. Vessel collisions typically occur as a result of:

- Mechanical failure/loss of DP system
- Navigational error
- Foundering due to weather.

The maximum duration that the vessels are expected to be present within the Operational Area is 10 days for geotechnical surveys and 5 days for geophysical surveys for each Prospect Area. The activity will require separate survey vessels for the geotechnical and geophysical scopes; however, only one survey vessel will be active within the Operational Area at any time throughout the duration of each survey campaign (Section 2.7.1).

5.11.2.1 Spill Modelling

Stochastic spill modelling was undertaken to determine the trajectory of the worst-case credible accidental release of MDO (RPS, 2024). The spill modelling was carried out using a purpose-developed oil spill trajectory and fates model, SIMAP (Spill Impact Mapping Analysis Program). This model is designed to simulate the transport and weathering processes that affect the outcomes of hydrocarbon spills to the sea, accounting for the specific oil type, spill scenario, and prevailing wind and current circulation patterns (RPS, 2024). Table 5-38 summarises the spill model inputs and parameters.

The maximum credible spill scenario was identified by determining the volume of the largest fuel tank (AMSA, 2013). The maximum volume of the single largest fuel tank (based upon 100% capacity) aboard geotechnical and geophysical survey vessels is 144 m^3 and 60 m^3, respectively (Table 2-2). To ensure a conservative model was applied, a maximum volume of 300 m^3 was modelled.

Table 5-38: Summary of the oil spill model settings used by RPS (2024)

Model settings	Scenario	
Scenario type	Accidental release of MDO	
Location	Kullingal (the Prospect Area closest to Dampier)	
	Latitude*	Longitude*
	20° 10’ 16.32" S	116° 24’ 11.88" E
No. of spill simulations	100 per season	
Period	Summer (October to February) Winter (April to July) Transitional (March, August and September)	
Spill volume	300 m^3	
Oil type	MDO	
Release depth	0 m (surface)	
Release duration	6 hours	
Simulation length	30 days	

**Datum: WGS 1984*

Hydrocarbon Characteristics

MDO is categorised as a group II oil (light-persistent) according to the AMSA (2023) classifications. The classification is based on the specific gravity of hydrocarbons in combination with relevant Boiling Point (BP) ranges. It is important to note that some of the heavier components contained in the MDO (i.e. low volatile and persistent portions) will have a strong tendency to physically entrain into the upper water column in the presence of moderate winds (i.e. >12 knots) and breaking waves but can re-float to the surface if these energies abate. The low viscosity (14 cP) indicates that this oil will spread quickly when released and will form a thin to low thickness film on the sea surface, increasing the rate of evaporation.

Generally, about 4% of the MDO mass should evaporate within the first 12 hours (BP < 180°C); a further 32% should evaporate within the first 24 hours (180°C < BP < 265°C); and an additional

54% should evaporate over several days (265°C < BP < 380°C). Approximately 10% (by mass) of MDO will not evaporate, though will decay slowly over time (RPS, 2024).

Table 5-39 summarises the physical characteristics for MDO.

Table 5-39: Hydrocarbon characteristics for MDO (RPS, 2024)

Hydrocarbon characteristics		MDO	
Hydrocarbon type		Marine Diesel (MDO)	
API		27.4	
Density (kg/m^3)		890.0 (at 15°C)	
Dynamic viscosity (cP)		14.0 (at 25°C)	
Pour point (°C)		-9.0	
Hydrocarbon property category		Group II	
Hydrocarbon property classification		Light persistent	
Hydrocarbon component		Boiling point (°C)	% of total
Volatiles	Non- persistent	<180	4.0
Semi-volatiles		180–265	32.0
Low volatiles		265–380	54.0
Residual	Persistent	>380	10.0

Weathering and Fate

A series of weathering tests were conducted (RPS, 2024) to illustrate the potential behaviour following a 50 m^3 instantaneous surface release of MDO when exposed to:

- 5 knot (2.6 m/s) constant wind speed, 27°C water temperature and currents, and
- Variable wind speeds (1–12 m/s or 2–23 knots), 27°C water temperature and currents.

The first case is indicative of the potential weathering rates under calm conditions that would not generate entrainment, while the second case would be more representative of the moderate winds experienced over the region.

The mass balance forecast for the constant wind case (Figure 5-1) shows that 36.1% of the MDO is predicted to evaporate within 24 hours. The remaining MDO on the water surface will weather at a slower rate and be subject to more gradual decay through biological and photochemical processes (RPS, 2024).

For the variable wind speed case (Figure 5-2), after 24 hours, 80.5% of the mass of MDO will have entrained, with an additional 15.0% expected to have evaporated. Hence, only a <1% of floating oil remains on the water surface. The low volatile and residual compounds are anticipated to entrain beneath the surface under conditions generating wind waves (winds approximately >6 m/s). While the MDO is entrained, it is forecast to decay at a higher rate of 3% per day or 21% after 7 days, attributed to biological and photochemical degradation. This contrasts with a rate of 0.14% per day and a total of ~1% after 7 days for the constant-wind case. Given the proportion of entrained MDO and its tendency to remain mixed in the water column, the remaining hydrocarbons are expected to undergo decay over several weeks (RPS, 2024).

Figure 5-1: Mass balance plot for an instantaneous 50 m³ surface release of MDO subjected to a constant 5 knot (2.6 m/s) wind, currents and 27°C water temperature (RPS, 2024)



Figure 5-2: Mass balance plot for an instantaneous 50 m³ surface release of MDO subjected to variable wind speeds of 2–23 knots (1–12 m/s), currents and 27°C water temperature (RPS, 2024)



Exposure Thresholds

The SIMAP model tracks oil concentrations to very low levels, therefore it is important to define meaningful threshold concentrations for the recording of contact by oil components and determining the probability of exposure at a location (calculated from the number of replicate simulations in which this contact occurred). The thresholds for surface, shoreline, and in-water oil (dissolved and entrained) used in this EP are based on those outlined by NOPSEMA in the Oil Spill Modelling Bulletin (NOPSEMA, 2019), which are summarised in Table 5-40.

Table 5-40: Hydrocarbon threshold levels (NOPSEMA, 2019)

Exposure level	Threshold	Description
Surface oil		
Low	1 g/m^2	Approximates range of socio-economic effects and establishes planning area for scientific monitoring
Moderate	10 g/m^2	Approximates lower limit for harmful exposures to birds and marine mammals
High	50 g/m^2	Approximates surface oil slick and informs response planning
Shoreline oil accumulation		
Low	10 g/m^2	Predicts potential for some socio-economic impact
Moderate	100 g/m^2	Loading predicts area likely to require cleanup effort
High	1,000 g/m^2	Loading predicts area likely to require intensive cleanup effort
Dissolved in-water oil		
Low	10ppb	Establishes planning area for scientific monitoring based on potential for exceedance of water quality triggers
Moderate	50 ppb	Approximates potential toxic effects, particularly sublethal effects to sensitive species
High	400 ppb	Approximates toxic effects including lethal effects to sensitive species
Entrained in-water oil		
Low	10 ppb	Establishes planning area for scientific monitoring based on potential for exceedance of water quality triggers
High	100 ppb	As appropriate given oil characteristics for informing risk evaluation

Modelling Results

Table 5-41 provides a summary of the results from the stochastic modelling report (RPS, 2024; Appendix B) for an accidental release of MDO.

Table 5-41: Summary of the hydrocarbon modelling results for an accidental release of MDO (RPS, 2024)

Exposure values	Summary of worst-case predicted exposure
Surface exposure	
Low (1 g/m^2)	The maximum distance for floating surface hydrocarbon exposure at this threshold from the source was predicted to be 31 km. No exposure was predicted to any receptor(s) at this threshold.

Exposure values	Summary of worst-case predicted exposure
Moderate (10 g/m^2)	The maximum distance for floating surface hydrocarbon exposure at this threshold from the source was predicted to be 18 km. No exposure was predicted to any receptor(s) at this threshold.
High (50 g/m^2)	The maximum distance for floating surface hydrocarbon exposure at this threshold from the source was predicted to be 6 km. No exposure was predicted to any receptor(s) at this threshold.
Shoreline exposure	
Low (10 g/m^2)	The highest probability of hydrocarbon accumulation on any shoreline at or above the low threshold was 24%. The minimum time to shore at or above the low threshold was 91 hours (Barrow Island, Lowendal Islands and Montebello Islands). The maximum total volume of hydrocarbon ashore for a single spill trajectory was 23.2 m^3. The maximum length of hydrocarbon ashore above the low threshold was 14 km (across Barrow Island and Montebello Islands).
Moderate (100 g/m^2)	The highest probability of hydrocarbon accumulation on any shoreline at or above the moderate threshold was 6%. The minimum time to shore at or above the moderate threshold was 110 hours (Montebello Islands). The maximum total volume of hydrocarbon ashore for a single spill trajectory was 20.3 m^3. The maximum length of hydrocarbon ashore above the moderate threshold was 6 km (Montebello Islands).
High (500 g/m^2)	No exposure at this threshold was predicted.
In-water exposure – dissolved	
Low (10 ppb)	The maximum distance for dissolved hydrocarbons at this exposure from the source was predicted to be 169 km. The minimum time to dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at any given receptor(s) was 27 hours (Montebello AMP). The probability of intersect with the Montebello AMP is 7%.
Moderate (50 ppb)	The maximum distance for dissolved hydrocarbons at this exposure from the source was predicted to be 58 km. The minimum time to dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at any given receptor(s) was 51 hours (Montebello AMP). The probability of intersect with the Montebello AMP is 1%.
High (400 ppb)	No exposure at this threshold was predicted.
In-water exposure – entrained	
Low (10 ppb)	The maximum distance for entrained hydrocarbons at this exposure from the source was predicted to be 494 km. The minimum time to dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at any given receptor(s) was 22 hours (Montebello AMP). The probability of intersect with the Montebello AMP is 54%.

Exposure values	Summary of worst-case predicted exposure
High (100 ppb)	The maximum distance for entrained hydrocarbons at this exposure from the source was predicted to be 237 km. The minimum time to dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at any given receptor(s) was 23 hours (Montebello AMP). The probability of intersect with the Montebello AMP is 24%.

5.11.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation

The accidental release of MDO may result in:

- Change in water quality.

The potential risks from a change in water quality caused by the accidental release of MDO include:

- Disruption to marine fauna
- Injury/mortality to marine fauna
- Change in ecosystem dynamics and conservation values
- Changes to the functions, interests, or activities of other marine users
- Change in submerged cultural heritage.

The following receptors within the Operational Area may be impacted:

- Plankton.
- Benthic habitats.
- Coastal communities.
- Marine fauna, such as:
  - plankton
  - benthic invertebrates
  - seabirds and shorebirds
  - fish, sharks and rays
  - marine mammals
  - marine reptiles
- Protected and significant areas.
- Social and economic environment, such as:
  - recreational fishing, fisheries and aquaculture
  - other marine users
- First Nations values.

5.11.3.1 Risk Assessment

Water Quality

The accidental release of MDO into the marine environment as a result of vessel collision will result in a localised reduction in the water quality within the receiving marine environment. A visible oil sheen on the water surface may also occur in the event of a hydrocarbon spill.

As discussed in Section 3.3.2 the marine water quality within the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA is expected to be representative of the typically pristine and high water-quality found in offshore WA waters. Water quality surveys undertaken in 2003 identified no detectable hydrocarbons; with BTEX, PAH and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) below the laboratory LOR (Wenziker et al., 2006). The hydrocarbon modelling predicted that the maximum distance for floating surface hydrocarbon exposure at any threshold would extend out to 31 km, and 169 km and 494 km for dissolved and entrained hydrocarbons, respectively (RPS, 2024). Therefore, changes to water quality will occur outside of the Operational Area in the unlikely event of a vessel collision.

Due to the highly dispersive environment typical of the offshore area, and the rapid weathering expected for the light non-persistent hydrocarbon, with approximately 15–36.1% evaporated within 24 hours depending on the conditions (Section 5.11.2.1), any change to water quality is expected to be short term and will recover to pre-spill state as the hydrocarbon weathers. The consequence ranking of ‘3’ (Moderate) was assigned to a change in water quality due to the extent of the spill outside the Operational Area.

Benthic Habitats

Benthic habitats have been assessed based on the hydrocarbon exposure at the thresholds that have the potential to cause ecological impacts (Section 3.1.1). Therefore, the extent of the hydrocarbon exposure has been defined by using moderate hydrocarbon exposure thresholds for surface, shoreline and in-water (dissolved) and the high hydrocarbon exposure for in-water (entrained) hydrocarbons. This is defined as the Hydrocarbon Area.

Table 5-42 evaluates the potential impact that hydrocarbon spills for this activity may have on benthic habitat receptors found within the Hydrocarbon Area.

Table 5-42: Risk assessment for an accidental release of MDO – benthic habitats and communities

Benthic habitats and communities
Exposure evaluation:
The seafloor in the Hydrocarbon Area is comprised of fine silt/sand substrates with low densities of benthic communities. Coral, seagrass, or macroalgae communities are found in nearshore areas of islands within the Hydrocarbon Area (i.e. Montebello Islands) (Section 3.4.1). The seafloor of the deeper waters of the NWS is primarily a soft sediment habitat that can support scavengers, benthic filter feeders and epifauna communities (Brewer et al., 2007). Any areas of exposed hard substrate are likely to be colonised by deep water filter-feeding organisms, such as hydroids and sponges. Biologically significant coral reef formations are found within the Hydrocarbon Area, such as hard corals found at Montebello Islands. Seagrasses are found through shallower areas of the Hydrocarbon Area. Montebello Island contain sparse seagrass habitat (McMahon et al., 2017), however, the closest known key areas of seagrass habitat to the Operational Area are the Exmouth Gulf and Ningaloo Reef area, outside of the Hydrocarbon Area. Macroalgae is widespread within shallower hard substrate areas within the Hydrocarbon Area. Due to the widespread nature of macroalgal habitat within the Hydrocarbon Area, there are no identified areas of significant environmental value. The maximum distance for dissolved hydrocarbons at moderate exposure (50 ppb) from the source was predicted to be 58 km and 237 km for entrained hydrocarbons at the high threshold (100 ppb).
Predicted impact:
In-water
<u>Corals</u> Exposure of entrained hydrocarbons to shallow subtidal corals has the potential to result in lethal or sublethal toxic effects, resulting in acute impacts or death at moderate to high exposure thresholds (Shigenaka, 2011). Physical effects from entrained hydrocarbons have the potential to coat contacted coral reefs. The phenomena of smothering of exposed coral surfaces or polyps by hydrocarbon spills has only been reported where very large oil spill quantities, or very sticky oil slicks, have been encountered. Contact with corals may lead to reduced growth rates, tissue decomposition, impaired fertilisation and larval settlement, and poor resistance and mortality of sections of reef (NOAA, 2010). In-water exposure (dissolved or entrained) at relevant exposure thresholds is typically only predicted to occur within the upper 0–10 m of the water column, therefore, corals found in water depths below 10 m are not anticipated to be impacted by in-water hydrocarbon exposure. <u>Seagrass</u> In-water exposure (dissolved or entrained) is only predicted to occur within the upper 0–10 m of the water column; therefore, benthic habitat, such as seagrass, within intertidal or shallow nearshore waters has the potential to be exposed.

Benthic habitats and communities
Intertidal and subtidal seagrass ecosystems can be damaged in a number of ways. Direct mortality from smothering can occur, however, sub-lethal impacts from smothering are more likely to occur than lethal impacts because much of seagrasses' biomass is underground in their rhizomes and less likely to be exposed to hydrocarbons (Zieman et al., 1984). However, exposure also can take place via uptake of hydrocarbons through plant membranes and seeds may be affected by contact with oil contained within sediments (NRDA, 2012). Petroleum fractions absorbed into the seagrass tissues, can also lower the organism's tolerance to other stressors and reduce growth rates (Zieman et al., 1984) (Runcie et al., 2010). Studies of offshore benthic seaweeds in the northwest Gulf of Mexico prior to and after the Macondo well blowout at Sackett and Ewing banks (in water depths of 55–75 m) found a dramatic die-off of seaweeds after the spill (60 species pre-spill compared with 10 species post-spill) (Felder et al., 2014). However, these banks are exposed to influences from Mississippi River discharges that vary year to year, so definitive links to the oil spill were not possible. Petroleum residues were observed on Ewing Bank and it is possible that this may have caused localised mortalities. Entrained hydrocarbon within the water column can affect light qualities and the ability of macrophytes, including seagrasses and macroalgae, to photosynthesise. <u>Macroalgae</u> In-water exposure (entrained and dissolved) is only predicted to occur within the upper 0-10 m of the water column; therefore, benthic habitats, such as macroalgae, within intertidal or shallow nearshore waters has the potential to be exposed. Intertidal macroalgal beds are more prone to hydrocarbon spills than subtidal beds because, although the mucous coating of the macroalgae prevents oil adherence, oil that is trapped in the upper canopy may be more persistent, which impacts site-attached species. Additionally, when oil sticks to dry fronds on the shore, they can become heavy and break as a result of wave action (IPIECA, 2002). The physical effects of smothering, fouling and asphyxiation has been documented from oil contamination in marine plants (Blumer, 1971; Cintron et al., 1981). In macroalgae, oil can act as a physical barrier for the diffusion of CO_2 across cell walls (O'Brien and Dixon, 1976). The effect of hydrocarbons, however, is largely dependent on the degree of direct exposure and how much of the hydrocarbon adheres to algae, which will vary depending on the oils physical state and relative 'stickiness'. The morphological features of macroalgae, such as the presence of a mucilage layer or the presence of fine 'hairs' will influence the amount of hydrocarbon that will adhere to the algae. A review of field studies conducted after spill events by Connell et al. (1981) indicated a high degree of variability in the level of impact, but in all instances, the algae appeared to be able to recover rapidly from even very heavy oiling. The rapid recovery of algae was attributed to the fact that for most algae, new growth is produced from near the base of the plant while the distal parts (which would be exposed to the oil contamination) are continually lost. Other studies have indicated that kelp beds oiled by crude oil had a 90% recovery within 3–4 years of impact, however, full recovery to pre-spill diversity may not occur for long periods after the spill (French-McCay, 2004). The toxicity of hydrocarbons to macroalgae varies for the different macroalgal life stages, with water-soluble hydrocarbons more toxic (Van Overbeek and Blondeau, 1954; Kauss et al., 1973; cited in O'Brien and Dixon, 1976). Toxic effect concentrations for hydrocarbons and algae have varied greatly among species and studies, ranging 0.002–10,000 ppm (Lewis and Pryor, 2013). The sensitivity of gametes, larva and zygote stages, however, have all proven more responsive to oil exposure than adult growth stages (Thursby and Steele, 2004; Lewis and Pryor, 2013).

Benthic habitats and communities
Entrained hydrocarbon within the water column can also affect light qualities and the ability of macrophytes, including seagrasses and macroalgae, to photosynthesise.
Predicted impact summary:
Given the restricted range of exposure for benthic communities; restricted to shallow nearshore and intertidal waters only, outside of the main exposure area predicted within 0–10 m of the sea surface, the rapid weathering of the hydrocarbon expected following a spill release (Section 5.11.2.1), and the predicted low concentrations of hydrocarbons expected to reach these waters, any impacts to benthic habitats and associated communities is anticipated to be localised and short-term, with rapid recovery expected.

Coastal Communities

Coastal communities have been assessed based on the hydrocarbon exposure based on the thresholds that have the potential to cause ecological impacts (Section 3.1.1). Therefore, the extent of the hydrocarbon exposure has been defined by using moderate hydrocarbon exposure thresholds for surface, shoreline and in-water (dissolved) and the high hydrocarbon exposure for in-water (entrained) hydrocarbons. This is defined as the Hydrocarbon Area.

Table 5-43 evaluates the potential impact that hydrocarbon spills for this activity may have on coastal receptors found within the Hydrocarbon Area.

Table 5-43: Risk assessment for an accidental release of MDO – coastal communities

Mangroves		
Exposure evaluation:		
A few isolated regionally significant mangrove communities are found within the Hydrocarbon Area, along the coastline of Barrow Island and the Montebello islands (Section 3.4.2.1). The spill modelling identified that the highest probability of hydrocarbon accumulation on any shoreline at or above the moderate threshold (100 g/m^2) was 6% at Montebello Islands. The minimum time to shore was 4.5 days (110 hours) and the maximum total volume of hydrocarbon ashore for a single spill trajectory was 20.3 m^3 (Section 5.11.2.1). Montebello Island also recorded the maximum length of hydrocarbon ashore above the moderate threshold (6 km).		
Predicted impact:		
Surface	**In-water**	**Shoreline**
Mangroves are considered to have a high sensitivity to hydrocarbon exposure. Mangroves can be killed by heavy or viscous oil, or emulsification, that covers the trees' breathing pores thereby asphyxiating the subsurface roots, which depend on the pores for oxygen. The severity of exposure for mangroves depends on the amount and type of oil entering the intertidal zone (Duke, 2016). While heavy oils (high specific gravity) are particularly proficient at coating and smothering small plants and aerial root systems, lighter oils with low specific gravity, such as MDO and condensates, are more toxic to mangroves (Hensel et al., 2014; Connolly et al., 2020). The potential for toxicity effects from hydrocarbons may be reduced by weathering processes that should serve to lower the content of soluble aromatic components before contact occurs.	The change in toxicity levels within the marine environment can penetrate the root surfaces, via the respiratory capabilities of the roots, poisoning the plant. Mangroves can also take up in-water hydrocarbons from contact with leaves, roots or sediments, and it is suspected that this uptake causes defoliation through leaf damage and tree death (Wardrop et al., 1987). Acute impacts to mangroves can be observed within weeks of exposure, whereas chronic impacts may day months to years to detect.	Oil can enter mangrove forests when the tide is high and be deposited on the aerial roots and sediment surface as the tide recedes. This process commonly leads to a patchy distribution of the oil and its effects because different places within the forests are at different tidal heights (IPIECA 1993; NOAA, 2014). The physical smothering of aerial roots by standard hydrocarbons can block the trees' breathing pores used for oxygen intake and result in the asphyxiation of sub-surface roots (International Petroleum Industry Environmental Conservation Association (IPIECA, 1993).

Predicted impact summary:
Isolated coastal mangroves communities that may be exposed to hydrocarbons within the Hydrocarbon Area are located at Montebello Island. Given the non-persistent nature of MDO, as a light non-persistent hydrocarbon, the distance to the nearest mangrove communities from the release location (83 km southwest of the Operational Area), and the anticipated weathering that the hydrocarbon will undergo prior to impact with the minimum time to shoreline accumulation predicted after 4.5 days, any impact to mangroves are anticipated to be highly localised, with hydrocarbons highly weathered prior to exposure. Despite impacts predicted to be limited, given their sensitivity to hydrocarbons, the potential consequence to mangroves is assessed conservatively based on the potential for localised, medium-term impacts, to species or habitats of recognized conservation value or to local ecosystem function.
Saltmarsh
Exposure evaluation:
Saltmarsh habitat is common within tidal flats or wetland habitats within the wider EMBA area (Section 3.4.2.2), with very few isolated saltmarsh habitats present just outside of the Hydrocarbon Area, along the coastline of Barrow Island (Figure 3-6). The probability of shoreline contact for Barrow Island was only predicted at the low threshold, with no contact predicted for moderate thresholds.
Predicted impact:
Shoreline
Saltmarsh is considered to have a high sensitivity to hydrocarbon exposure. Hydrocarbon (in liquid form) will readily adhere to the marshes, coating the stems from tidal height to sediment surface. However, heavy oil coating is unlikely due to the highly volatile nature of the condensate hydrocarbon. Oil can enter saltmarsh systems during the tidal cycles if the estuary/inlet is open to the ocean. Saltmarsh vegetation offers a large surface area for oil absorption and tends to trap oil. Similar to mangroves, this can lead to a patchy distribution of the oil and its effects, because different places within the inlets are at different tidal heights. Evidence from case histories and experiments shows that the damage resulting from oiling, and recovery times of oiled marsh vegetation, are highly variable. In areas of light to moderate oiling where oil is mainly on perennial vegetation with little penetration of sediment, the shoots of the plants may be killed but recovery can be relatively rapid, occurring the following growing season or earlier. However, when oil penetrates the soil and the initial mortality of the vegetation is extensive, recovery to reference conditions may take 3–4 years (Hester and Mendelssohn, 2000).
Predicted impact summary:
Given saltmarsh habitats only occur at Barrow Island within the wider EMBA and that the modelling did not predict shoreline accumulation to occur at Barrow Island at the moderate hydrocarbon threshold, impacts to saltmarshes following the accidental release of MDO are expected to be negligible and have not been assessed further.

Rocky and sandy beaches
Exposure evaluation:
Intertidal beaches and mudflats, sandy beaches, rocky beaches and rocky shorelines and reef platforms occur in island shores within the wider EMBA region (Section 3.4.2). The highest probability of hydrocarbon accumulation on any shoreline within the Hydrocarbon Area was predicted to occur at the Montebello Islands (6%) within 110 hours. The maximum length of hydrocarbon ashore above the moderate threshold was 6 km at the Montebello Islands. As discussed within Section 3.4.2, sandy beaches are a dominant coastal shoreline on the Montebello Islands, with patchy of rocky beaches and rocky limestone outcrops.
Predicted impact:
Shoreline
Sandy Shoreline Hydrocarbons can become concentrated as it strands ashore. However, most of the oil is concentrated along the high tide mark while the lower/upper parts are often untouched (IPIECA, 1995). Sandy beaches provide habitat for a diverse assemblage (although not always abundant) of infauna (including nematodes, copepods and polychaetes); and macroinvertebrates (e.g. crustaceans). A sandy beach may also allow oil to percolate through the sand, thus increasing its ability to hold more oil ashore over tidal cycles and various wave actions than an equivalent area of water; hence oil can increase in thickness onshore over time. The viscosity of the oil will influence how likely it will percolate into the sand. For example, lower viscosity oils, such as condensates and marine diesels, are more like to permeate into sand areas compared to heavier, highly viscous oils. The influence of tidal action and wave washing are expected to lead to increase levels of weathering of any hydrocarbons in the intertidal area and reduce the level of smothering or toxicity effects to exposed fauna along shorelines. Rocky Shoreline Hydrocarbons can become concentrated as it strands ashore. However, most of the oil is concentrated along the high tide mark while the lower/upper parts are often untouched (IPIECA, 1995). The sensitivity of a rocky shoreline to oiling is dependent on a number of factors including its topography and composition, position, exposure to oceanic waves and currents etc. Exposed rocky shorelines have been shown to be less sensitive than sheltered rocky shorelines. Rocky shorelines provide habitats for invertebrates (e.g. sea anemones, sponges, sea-squirts, molluscs), and can also be utilised by pinniped (haul-out sites) and bird species; noting that foraging and breeding/nesting typically occurs above high tide line. The impact of oil on any organism depends on the toxicity, viscosity and amount of oil, on the sensitivity of the organism and the length of time it is in contact with the oil. Even where the immediate damage to rocky shores from oil spills has been considerable, it is unusual for this to result in long-term damage and the communities have often recovered within 2 or 3 years (IPIECA, 1995).

Predicted impact summary:
Given the low probability of the worst-case shoreline exposure (6%) (Section 5.11.2.1), the weathering expected for the light non-persistent hydrocarbon, and the tidal action and constant wave washing on this type of shoreline which would contribute to further weathering of any hydrocarbons in the intertidal shoreline area, any impacts to shorelines from exposure to MDO are anticipated to be localised and short term.

Marine Fauna

Marine fauna has been assessed based on the hydrocarbon exposure based on the thresholds that have the potential to cause ecological impacts (Section 3.1.1). Therefore, the extent of the hydrocarbon exposure has been defined by using moderate hydrocarbon exposure thresholds for surface, shoreline and in-water (dissolved) and the high hydrocarbon exposure for in-water (entrained) hydrocarbons. This is defined as the Hydrocarbon Area.

Table 5-44 evaluates the potential impact that hydrocarbon spills for this activity may have on marine fauna receptors found within the Hydrocarbon Area.

Table 5-44: Risk assessment for an accidental release of MDO – marine fauna

Plankton	
Exposure evaluation:	
Plankton has been identified as a receptor that may be present within the Hydrocarbon Area. As discussed in Section 3.4.3.1, plankton are found in nearshore and open waters beneath the surface and form the basis for the marine food web, including whales and whale sharks which migrate through the Hydrocarbon Area. These organisms migrate vertically through the water column to feed in surface waters at night and, when doing so, may be exposed to surface hydrocarbons and, to a greater extent, hydrocarbons dissolved or entrained in the water column (NRDA, 2012). Plankton species are known to be sensitive to the toxic effects of oil at low concentrations and large numbers of planktonic organisms may be affected in the event of a spill event (ITOPF, 2014). Plankton risk exposure through ingestion, inhalation and dermal contact.	
Predicted impact:	
Surface	**In-water**
Phytoplankton (photosynthetic organisms) can accumulate rapidly, due to their small size and high surface area to volume ratio, therefore populations are typically not sensitive to the impacts of oil (Hook et al., 2016). However, if phytoplankton are exposed to hydrocarbons at the sea surface, their ability to photosynthesise via smothering may be directly affected and would have implications for the next trophic level in the food chain (e.g. small fish) (Hook et al., 2016). In addition, the presence of surface hydrocarbons may result in a reduction of light penetrating the water column, which may again affect the rate of photosynthesis which may inhibit growth, particularly in instances where there is prolonged presence of surface hydrocarbons over an extensive area (Volkman et al., 1994).	Zooplankton (protozoans and animals) are vulnerable to hydrocarbons due to their small size and high surface area to volume ratio. Some zooplankton also have high lipid content, which facilitates hydrocarbon uptake and bioaccumulation (Hook et al., 2016). Water column organisms that come into contact with oil risk exposure through ingestion, inhalation and dermal contact (NRDA, 2012), can cause immediate mortality or declines in egg production, hatching rates and a decline in swimming speeds (Hook et al., 2016). However, any direct effects on plankton communities from spilled hydrocarbons is expected to be localised and temporary, as reproduction by survivors or migration from unaffected areas is likely to rapidly replenish any losses (Volkman et al., 1994). Furthermore, the proximity of nutrient-rich seasonal upwelling events which occur within the vicinity will further assist recovery rates. Once background water quality conditions have re-established, the plankton community may take weeks to months to recover due to short generation times (ITOPF, 2011a), allowing for seasonal influences on the assemblage characteristics.
Predicted impact summary:	
Plankton populations are numerous and widespread and expected to rapidly recover following an accidental release of MDO.	

Due to the highly dispersive environment of the offshore environment, and the rapid weathering expected for the MDO, 15–36.1% within 24 hours, depending on weather conditions (Section 5.11.2.1), any impacts to plankton from exposure to MDO are anticipated to be localised and short term, with rapid recovery expected.
Benthic invertebrates
Exposure evaluation:
Benthic invertebrates may present within the Hydrocarbon Area (Section 3.4.3.2) and may be exposed to hydrocarbons following an accidental release of MDO. The benthic invertebrates within the Hydrocarbon Area are anticipated to be comparable to similar sites within the NWS region, of low abundance but highly diverse species, comprised largely of polychaete worms, crustaceans, echinoderms, and molluscs. (Rainer, 1991; SKM, 1996).
Predicted impact:
In-water
Entrained and dissolved hydrocarbons can have negative impacts on marine invertebrates and associated larval forms. Impacts to some adult species (e.g. crustaceans) is reduced as a result of the presence of an exoskeleton, while others with no exoskeleton and larval forms may be more prone to impacts. Exposure to microscopic oil droplets may also impact aquatic biota either mechanically (especially filter feeders) or act as a conduit for exposure to semi-soluble hydrocarbons (that might be taken up by the gills or digestive tract) (French-McCay, 2009). Toxicity is primarily attributed to water soluble PAHs, specifically the substituted naphthalene (C2 and C3) as the higher C-ring compounds become insoluble and are not bioavailable. Localised impacts to larval stages may occur which could impact population recruitment. If invertebrates are contaminated by hydrocarbons, tissue taint can remain for several months, although taint may eventually be lost. For example, it has been demonstrated that it took 2-5 months for lobsters to lose their taint when exposed to a light hydrocarbon (NOAA, 2002). Other possible impacts from the presence of dispersed and non-dispersed oil include effects of oxygen depletion in bottom waters due to bacterial metabolism of oil (and/or dispersants), and light deprivation under surface oil (NRDA, 2012). Water quality in benthic habitats exposed to entrained hydrocarbons would be expected to return to background conditions within weeks to months of contact. Several studies have indicated that rapid recovery rates may occur even in cases of heavy oiling (National Academies Press, 2003).
Predicted impact summary:
Due to the characteristics of the light, non-persistent hydrocarbons, and associated water depths, coating of benthic assemblages and prolonged exposure to hydrocarbons is considered highly unlikely following a release of MDO. Furthermore, the highly dispersive environment of the offshore environment, and the rapid weathering expected for the MDO, with approximately 15-36.1% within 24 hours (Section 5.11.2.1), any impacts to benthic invertebrates from exposure to MDO are anticipated to be localised and short-term, with rapidly recovery expected.

Seabirds and shorebirds		
Exposure evaluation:		
Several threatened, migratory and/or listed marine species in the region have the potential to be rafting, resting, diving and feeding within the area predicted to be contacted by surface hydrocarbons; diving or foraging within in-water hydrocarbons; and foraging or nesting within shoreline exposure (Section 3.4.3.3). Four breeding BIAs for seabirds intersect the Hydrocarbon Area, including: • Fairy tern (EPBC Act listing as vulnerable) • Lesser crested tern (EPBC Act listing as migratory) • Roseate tern (EPBC Act listing as migratory) • Wedge-tailed shearwater (EPBC Act listing as migratory). Please refer to Section 3.4.4 for further details. Breeding grounds for the fairy tern are located on offshore islands in the Gascoyne and Pilbara with breeding occurring late July to September. Breeding for the lesser crested tern occurs around offshore islands in Gascoyne, Pilbara and Kimberley in March to June. Breeding grounds for the roseate tern occurs around offshore islands in Gascoyne, Pilbara and Kimberly during mid-March to July. Breeding for the wedge-tailed shearwater occurs in inshore islands around Montebello Islands between mid-August to April (Pilbara). The modelling predicted that the maximum distance for floating surface hydrocarbon exposure at the moderate threshold (10 g/m^2) from the source was predicted to be 18 km. The minimum time to shore at or above the low threshold as 110 hours (Montebello Island), with the minimum time to dissolved hydrocarbon exposure and entrained hydrocarbon exposure at any given receptor(s) was 51 hours and 23 hours, respectively, both at Montebello AMP.		
Predicted impact:		
Surface	**In-water**	**Shoreline**
Seabirds rafting, resting, diving or feeding within surface hydrocarbons may be exposed to surface hydrocarbons. Species most at risk include those that readily rest on the sea surface (such as shearwaters) and surface plunging species such as terns. Direct contact with hydrocarbons is likely to foul plumage, which may result in hypothermia due to a reduction in the ability of the bird to thermo-regulate and impaired waterproofing (ITOPF, 2011). Increased heat loss as a result of a loss of water-proofing results in an increased metabolism of food reserves in the body, which is not countered by a	Seabirds could be impacted by in-water hydrocarbon exposure directly (i.e. whilst diving through the water column foraging) or indirectly (i.e. by consuming hydrocarbon-tainted fish, resulting in sub-lethal or toxic impacts).	Shorebird species foraging for invertebrates in intertidal feeding habitats, such as exposed sand and mud flats at lower tides, will be at potential risk of both direct impacts through contamination of individual birds (ingestion or soiling of feathers) and indirect impacts through the contamination of foraging areas that may result in a reduction in available prey items (Clarke, 2010). Any direct impact of oil on terrestrial habitats has the potential to contaminate seabirds present at the breeding sites (Clarke, 2010). Bird eggs may also be damaged if an

corresponding increase in food intake and may lead to emaciation (DSEWPC, 2011). A bird suffering from cold, exhaustion and a loss of buoyancy (resulting from fouling of plumage) may dehydrate, drown or starve (ITOPF, 2011; DSEWPC, 2011; AMSA, 2013). Physical smothering may also result in impaired navigation and flight performance (Hook et al., 2016). Toxic effects on birds, including internal tissue irritation in their lungs and stomachs, may also result where the oil is ingested as the bird attempts to preen its feathers (ITOPF, 2011). The preening process may also spread oil over otherwise clean areas of the body (ITOPF, 2011). Whether this toxicity ultimately results in mortality will depend on the amount consumed and other factors relating to the health and sensitivity of the bird. In a review of 45 marine hydrocarbon spills, there was no correlation between the numbers of bird deaths and the volume of the spill (Burger, 1993).	As seabirds are top order predators, any impact on other marine life (e.g. pelagic fish) from hydrocarbon exposure may disrupt and limit food supply both for the maintenance of adults and the provisioning of young. Impacts are not anticipated at a population level due to the localised and temporary exposure of moderate levels of surface hydrocarbons.	oiled adult sits on the nest. Fresh crude was shown to be more toxic than weathered crude, which had a medial lethal dose of 21.3 mg/egg (Clarke, 2010). Studies of contamination of duck eggs by small quantities of crude oil, mimicking the effect of oil transfer by parent birds, have been shown to result in mortality of developing embryos (French-McCay, 2009). Shoreline accumulation will be concentrated along the high tide mark while the lower/upper parts are often untouched (IPIECA, 1995). As breeding activities of shorebirds and seabirds generally occurs above the high tide mark, exposure to hydrocarbons is considered unlikely to occur. However, oiled bird species may track oil into their nests, which may then have subsequent impacts on any eggs present.
Predicted impact summary:		
Acute or chronic toxicity impacts to seabirds is possible, however, the presence of birds within areas exposed to moderate threshold levels is expected to be limited, due to the transitory nature of foraging individuals, and given the absence of offshore aggregation areas in the area. Breeding BIAs for several bird species intersect the hydrocarbon area at several offshore Pilbara islands within the Hydrocarbon Area, including the Montebello Islands which is a known nesting site for wedge-tailed shearwaters. However, shoreline accumulation is unlikely to impact breeding areas given that shoreline accumulation will be concentrated along the high tide mark, and breeding activities tend to occur above the high tide mark. Furthermore, exposure of any rafting or foraging seabirds to MDO is also expected to be limited due to the predicted rapid weathering and entrainment of MDO (Section 5.11.2.1), limiting the area of surface exposure, for seabirds to come into contact with. There is the potential for indirect impacts to seabirds in offshore waters as a result of hydrocarbon exposure, such as reduced prey abundance. Consequently, the potential impacts and risks to seabirds from a release of MDO following vessel collision event are expected to result in localised, short-term impacts to species of recognised conservation value, however not affecting population or ecosystem functioning.		

Fish, sharks and rays
Exposure evaluation:
Various fish and shark species were identified by the EPBC Protected Matters Search for the wider EMBA area (Section 3.4.3.4). A foraging BIA for the whale shark was identified within the Hydrocarbon Area (Section 3.4.4). Any pelagic fish and shark species that occupy the water column, specifically within the upper 0–10 m of the water column the surface layers of the water column (where in-water hydrocarbon exposure is predicted), are more susceptible to entrained and dissolved hydrocarbons. Since fish, sharks, and rays do not generally break the sea surface, the impacts of surface hydrocarbons to fish and shark species are unlikely to occur. Near the sea surface, fish are able to detect and avoid contact with surface slicks meaning fish mortalities rarely occur in the event of a hydrocarbon spill in open waters (Volkman et al., 1994). There may be demersal species may be susceptible to oiled sediments, particularly species that are site restricted. The maximum distance for dissolved hydrocarbons at moderate exposure (50 ppb) from the source was predicted to be 58 km. The maximum distance for entrained hydrocarbons at this exposure from the source was predicted to be 237 km.
Predicted impact:
In-water
A Foraging BIA for the whale shark intersects the Hydrocarbon Area. Whale sharks are suction filter feeders and are known to congregate in Ningaloo during March to July to feed on the seasonal concentrations of krill and other zooplankton which occur during coral spawning events. The whale shark is generally encountered close to or at the surface spending 40% of their time in the upper 15 m of the water column and 50% of time at depths equal to or less than 30 m. Hydrocarbon spills may pose a threat to whale sharks (DoE, 2024). Fish, sharks, and rays can be exposed to in-water hydrocarbon droplets through a variety of pathways, including: • Direct dermal contact (e.g. whilst swimming through oil or waters with elevated dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations and other constituents, with diffusion across their gills (Hook et al., 2016)) • Ingestion (e.g. directly or via food base, fish that have recently ingested contaminated prey may themselves be a source of contamination for their predators) • Inhalation (e.g. elevated dissolved contaminant concentrations in water passing over the gills). Exposure to hydrocarbons entrained or dissolved in the water column can be toxic to fish. Studies have shown a range of impacts including changes in abundance, decreased size, inhibited swimming ability, changes to oxygen consumption and respiration, changes to reproduction, immune system responses, DNA damage, visible skin and organ lesions and increased parasitism. However, many fish species can metabolise toxic hydrocarbons, which reduces the risk of bioaccumulation of contaminants in the food web (and human exposure to contaminants through the consumption of seafood) (NRDA, 2012). Sub-lethal impacts in adult fish include altered heart and respiratory rates, gill hyperplasia, enlarged liver, reduced growth, fin erosion, impaired endocrine systems, behavioural modifications and alterations in feeding, migration, reproduction, swimming, schooling, and burrowing behaviour (Kennish, 1998). Fish exposed to aromatics in the water have been shown to have a reduced aerobic capacity, which may be a result of the process to eliminate ingested oil from the fish (Cohen et

al., 2005). However, generally these species are highly mobile species, and their patterns of movements makes it unlikely for them to remain within the area long enough to be exposed to hydrocarbons to experience sub-lethal impacts (ITOPF, 2011). The exception would be in areas such as reefs and other seabed features where species are less likely to move away into open waters (i.e. site-attached species).

Pelagic species fish are able to detect and avoid contact with surface slicks meaning fish mortalities rarely occur in the event of a hydrocarbon spill in open waters (Volkman et al., 1994). As a result, wide-ranging pelagic fish of the open ocean generally are not highly susceptible to impacts from surface hydrocarbons. Adult fish kills reported after oil spills, occur mainly to shallow water, near-shore benthic species (Volkman et al., 1994).

Fish are most vulnerable to hydrocarbons during their embryonic, larval and juvenile life stages. Embryos and larvae may sustain mechanical damage to feeding and breathing apparatus from contact with oil droplets, and genetic damage, physical deformities and altered developmental timing from hydrocarbons in water (Fodrie and Heck, 2011). There may also be chronic effects to fish exposed to hydrocarbons in early life stages, such as disruption of predator avoidance behaviour (Hjermann et al., 2007). Eggs and larvae exposed to weathered concentrations of hydrocarbons in water for a prolonged period of time have been shown to be immunosuppressed (Hjermann et al., 2007).

Hydrocarbons in the water column can physically affect fish with high site fidelity. When exposed for an extended duration (weeks to months) coating of gills may lead to lethal and sub-lethal effects from reduced oxygen exchange and coating of body surfaces may lead to increased incidence of irritation and infection. Fish may also ingest hydrocarbon droplets or contaminated food, leading to reduced growth (Volkman et al., 1994).

Recovery of fish assemblages depends on the intensity and duration of an unplanned discharge, the composition of the discharge and whether dispersants are used, as each of these factors influences the level of exposure to potential toxicants. Recovery would also depend on the life cycle attributes of fishes. Species that are abundant, short-lived and highly fecund may recover rapidly. However less abundant, long-lived species may take longer to recover. The range of movement of fishes will also influence recovery. The nature of the receiving environment would influence the level of impact on fishes.

Predicted impact summary:

As identified in Section 3.4.3.4, a number of fish and shark species, including the whale shark may occur in the Hydrocarbon Area. Given the wide distribution of fish species in the region, the widespread foraging area typical of whale sharks, and the nature of potential impacts, impacts to an entire population or population's overall viability is not anticipated. Fish and shark populations are widespread throughout the Hydrocarbon Area, therefore, an accidental release of MDO is not expected to affect population functioning.

As such, due to the highly dispersive environment of the offshore environment, and the rapid weathering expected for the MDO, 15–36.1% within 24 hours depending on the conditions (Section 5.11.2.1), any impacts to fish and shark populations from exposure to MDO are anticipated to be localised and short term, and not expected to affect species populations or general ecosystem functioning.

Marine mammals	
Exposure evaluation:	
Several marine mammal species were identified by the EPBC Protected Matters Search for the Hydrocarbon Area (Section 3.4.3.5), including: • Sei whale (EPBC Act listing as vulnerable) • Blue whale (EPBC Act Listing as endangered) • Fin whale (EPBC Act listing as vulnerable) • Southern right whale (EPBC Act listing as endangered). A Migration BIA for the humpback whale intersects the Hydrocarbon Area. The humpback whale migration corridor extends along the WA coast out to ~50–100 km from the coast. Migration occurs between May and late November. The maximum distance for dissolved hydrocarbons at moderate exposure (50 ppb) and entrained hydrocarbons at the high exposure (100 ppb) was predicted to be 58 km and 237 km (Section 5.11.2.1).	
Predicted impact:	
Surface	**In-water**
Marine mammals may come into contact with surface hydrocarbons when surfacing. However, direct surface oil contact with hydrocarbons is considered to have little deleterious effect on marine mammals, and any effect is likely to be minor and temporary. This may be due to the skin's effectiveness as a barrier to toxicity (Geraci and St Aubin, 1988). Cetaceans have mostly smooth skins with limited areas of pelage (hair covered skin) or rough surfaces such as barnacled skin. Oil tends to adhere to rough surfaces, hair or calluses of animals, so contact with hydrocarbons by cetaceans is expected to cause only minor hydrocarbon adherence. The inhalation of oil droplets, vapours and fumes is a distinct possibility if cetaceans' surface in slicks to breathe. Exposure to hydrocarbons in this way could damage mucous membranes, damage airways, or even cause death. Given the mobility of whales, only a small proportion of a population is anticipated to surface in the affected areas, resulting in short-term and localised consequences, with no long-term population viability effects.	Cetaceans exposed to entrained hydrocarbons can result in physical coating as well as ingestion (Geraci and St Aubin, 1988). Such impacts are associated with 'fresh' hydrocarbon, the risk of impact declines rapidly as the hydrocarbon weathers. The susceptibility to ingested hydrocarbon has also been shown to vary with feeding habits. Specifically, toothed whales and dolphins may be susceptible to ingestion of dissolved and entrained oil as they gulp feed at depth. There are reports of declines in the health of individual pods of killer whales (a toothed whale species), though not the population as a whole, in Prince William Sound after the Exxon Valdez vessel spill (heavy oil) (Hook et al., 2016). Geraci (1988) found little evidence of cetacean mortality from hydrocarbon spills; however, some behaviour disturbance (including avoidance of the area) may occur. Pelagic species have been said to avoid hydrocarbon, mainly because of its noxious odours, but this has not been proven. In the event avoidance was to occur, the potential for physiological impacts from contact with hydrocarbons would be reduced, however, active avoidance of an area may disrupt behaviours such as migration, or displace individuals from important habitat, such as foraging, resting or breeding.

The susceptibility to ingested hydrocarbon has also been shown to vary with feeding habits. Baleen whales (such as blue, southern right and humpback whales) are not particularly susceptible to ingestion of oil in the water column but are susceptible to oil at the sea surface as they feed by skimming the surface. Oil may stick to the baleen while they 'filter feed' near slicks. Sticky, tar-like residues are particularly likely to foul the baleen plates. Habitat modification is identified as threats for the blue whale, southern right whale, and dugong. Activities within this EP will not be inconsistent with the conservation and management priorities outlined in these Conservation Management Plans.	Although, the strong attraction to specific areas for breeding or feeding (e.g. the breeding, calving and nursing grounds within the North-West Cape region for the dugong) may override any tendency for marine mammals to avoid the noxious presence of hydrocarbons. Dolphin populations from Barataria Bay, Louisiana, USA, which were exposed to prolonged and continuous oiling from the Macondo oil spill in 2010, had higher incidences of lung and kidney disease than those in the other urbanised environments (Hook et al., 2016). The spill may have also contributed to unusually high perinatal mortality in bottlenose dolphins (Hook et al., 2016).
Predicted impact summary:	
As highly mobile species, in general it is very unlikely that cetaceans will be constantly exposed to concentrations of hydrocarbons in the water column for continuous durations (e.g. >96 hours) that would lead to chronic toxicity effects (NRC, 2001). The modelling predicted that the hydrocarbons would weather rapidly if released to the environment (Section 5.11.2.1). Relatively fresh hydrocarbons (closer to the release location) are considered to have the greatest potential for impact. Therefore, the potential for environmental impacts would be limited to a relatively short period following the release and would need to coincide with a migration or aggregation event to result in exposure to a large number of individuals. Regardless, such exposure is not anticipated to result in long-term population viability effects. A proportion of the migrating population of whales could be affected for a single migration event, which could result in temporary and localised consequences. Given the wide distribution of marine mammal species in the region and the nature of the potential impacts, impact to an entire population or the population's overall viability is not anticipated. Any impacts to marine mammals from exposure to MDO are anticipated to be localised and short term.	
Marine reptiles	
Exposure evaluation:	
Marine reptiles may be exposed to hydrocarbon when transiting through the in-water hydrocarbons, surfacing to breathe within the surface slick, or nesting on oiled shorelines. BIAs and habitat critical for the survival of marine turtles for 4 species of marine turtles intersect the Hydrocarbon Area (Section 3.4.4 and Section 3.4.3.6)., including: • Flatback turtle (EPBC Act listed as vulnerable) • Green turtle (EPBC Act listed as vulnerable) • Hawksbill turtle (EPBC Act listed as vulnerable) • Loggerhead turtles (EPBC Act listed as endangered).	

Turtle nesting and inter-nesting areas and rookeries for sea turtles in the Hydrocarbon Area including the Montebello islands.

The Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia: 2017–2027 (CoA, 2017) highlights acute chemical discharge as one of several threats to marine turtles.

EPBC Act listed sea snakes that are found within the Hydrocarbon Area include:

- Short-nosed sea snake (EPBC Act listed as critically endangered)
- Leaf-scaled sea snake (EPBC Act listed as critically endangered)
- Dubois' sea snake (EPBC Act listed as marine).

There may be sea snakes present in the area predicted to be exposed to surface hydrocarbon within the Hydrocarbon Area; however, their presence is expected to be of a transitory nature only, and most species are not pelagic and therefore unlikely to be in high numbers within the Hydrocarbon Area.

Probability of shoreline contact for Montebello Islands reaching the moderate threshold is low and only expected during the transitional season (1%) and winter (6%). The maximum distance from the release location to floating oil exposure in moderate thresholds will be 18 km south during winter (Section 5.11.2.1).

Predicted impact:

Surface	**In-water**	**Shoreline**
<u>Marine Turtles</u> Marine turtles make large, rapid inhalations before they dive which may result in inhalation of toxic vapours from hydrocarbons in surface waters (Milton and Lutz, 2003). This can lead to respiratory irritation, inflammation, emphysema or pneumonia (NOAA, 2010). Ingested oil may cause harm to the internal organs of turtles. Visibly oiled turtles showed higher indicators of PAH in tissues, stomach content, colon content and faeces compared to non-visibly oiled turtles (Ylitalo et al., 2017). This exposure pathway may cause an increase in the production of white blood cells and may affect the functioning of their salt gland (Lutcavage et al., 1995). Oiling has the potential to cause mortality depending on the size of the individual and the extent of oiling (DWH	Some individual marine reptiles may come into contact with in-water hydrocarbon exposure while swimming or feeding. <u>Marine Turtles</u> Entrained hydrocarbons can adhere to body surfaces (Gagnon and Rawson, 2010) and can enter cavities such as the eyes, nostrils, or mouth. This can cause an elevated susceptibility to infections (NOAA, 2010). Records of oiled wildlife during spills rarely include marine turtles, even from areas where they are known to be relatively abundant (Short, 2011). An exception to this was the large number of marine turtles collected (613 dead and 536 live) during the Macondo spill in the Gulf of Mexico, although many of these animals did not show any sign of oil exposure (NOAA, 2021). Of	Marine turtles may experience oiling impacts on nesting beaches when they come ashore to lay their eggs. There is potential for contamination of turtle eggs to result in toxic impacts, such as developmental defects in hatchlings, to developing embryos. Studies on freshwater snapping turtles showed uptake of PAHs from contaminated nest sediments, but no impacts on hatching success or juvenile health following exposure of eggs to dispersed weathered light crude (Rowe et al., 2009). However, other studies found evidence that exposure of freshwater turtle embryos to PAHs results in deformities (Bell et al., 2006; Van Meter et al., 2006). Turtle hatchlings may be more vulnerable to smothering as they emerge from the nests and make their way over the intertidal area to the open water (AMSA, 2015). Hatchlings that contact oil residues while crossing a beach can exhibit a range of effects including impaired movement and bodily functions (Shigenaka, 2003).

Natural Resource Damage Assessment Trustees, 2016). <u>Sea Snakes</u> Foraging behaviours which occur near the water surface may increase the vulnerability of individuals to hydrocarbon exposure (Yaghmour et al., 2022).	the dead turtles found, 3.4% were visibly oiled and 85% of the live turtles found were oiled (NOAA, 2021). Of the captured animals, 88% were later released, suggesting that oiling does not inevitably lead to mortality. <u>Sea Snakes</u> Sea snakes have the potential to be directly and indirectly impacted by hydrocarbons. In general, there is limited literature on the impacts of oil spills to sea snakes. A recent study by Yaghmour et al. (2022) is the only study to record lethal impacts to sea snakes following a crude oil spill in the Gulf of Oman. The study identified varying levels of smothering, including over their eyes, snout, mouth, and oesophagus. Due to the hydrocarbon characteristics of the MDO, as a light, non-persistent hydrocarbon, the impact would differ to spills of heavy crude as studied in Yaghmour et al. (2022). Light oils, which are less likely to cause severe external oiling, may expose marine fauna to volatile PAHs during at the surface during inhalations. However, the number of sea snakes that may be exposed is expected to be low due to the offshore location, the lack of BIAs, the extent of exposure above the threshold, and the anticipated rapid weathering of the light-non persistent hydrocarbon. Therefore, potential impact would be limited to individuals, with population impacts not anticipated.	Hatchlings sticky with oily residues may also have more difficulty crawling and swimming, rendering them more vulnerable to predation. Marine pollution is listed as a threat to marine turtle in the Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia, 2017 – 2027, particularly in relation to shoreline oiling of nesting beaches.
Predicted impact summary:		
The number of marine turtles that may be exposed to hydrocarbons during a spill event is expected to be low due to the localised and temporary presence of surface hydrocarbons due to the rapid weathering anticipated (Section 5.11.2.1).		

Shoreline accumulation at nesting beaches within the Hydrocarbon Area was predicted as a very low probability (Section 5.11.2.1). The activity will be conducted in a manner which is not inconsistent with the relevant management actions. Therefore, the potential impact would likely be limited to individual transiting marine turtles, with population impacts not anticipated. Due to the low number of sea snakes anticipated within the Hydrocarbon Area, impacts to sea snakes at both an individual or population level is not anticipated. Due to the highly dispersive environment of the offshore environment, and the rapid weathering expected for the MDO, with approximately 15–36.1% evaporated within 24 hours depending on the conditions (Section 5.11.2.1). Therefore, any impacts to marine reptiles from exposure to MDO are anticipated to be localised and short term, with no impacts to population or ecosystem functioning.

Protected and Significant Areas

Protected and significant areas which have only ecological values have been assessed based on the hydrocarbon exposure based on the thresholds that have the potential to cause ecological impacts (Section 3.1.1). Therefore, the extent of the hydrocarbon exposure has been defined by using moderate hydrocarbon exposure thresholds for surface, shoreline and in-water (dissolved) and the high hydrocarbon exposure for in-water (entrained) hydrocarbons. This is defined as the Hydrocarbon Area.

Protected and significant areas which also include socio-economic value have been assessed based on the most conservative hydrocarbon exposure thresholds, such as those that have the potential to cause socio-economic effects (Section 3.1.1). Therefore, the extent of the hydrocarbon exposure has been defined by using low hydrocarbon exposure thresholds for surface, shoreline and in-water (dissolved and entrained) hydrocarbons. This is defined as the EMBA.

Table 5-45 evaluates the potential impact that hydrocarbon spills for this activity may have on protected and significant areas receptors found within either the Hydrocarbon Area or EMBA.

Table 5-45: Risk assessment for an accidental release of MDO – protected and significant areas

Marine parks
Exposure evaluation:
There is four Australian Marine Parks (AMPs) intersecting the EMBA (Section 3.6.4): • Dampier AMP • Gascoyne AMP • Montebello AMP • Ningaloo AMP. Three State Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) intersects the EMBA (Section 3.6.5): • Montebello Islands and Barrow Islands (Jointly Managed) • Ningaloo and Muiron Islands Management area (jointly managed) • Pilbara Islands Nature Reserve (Great Sandy Island, Thevenard Island). The major conservation values for the above AMPs and State MPAs have been identified in Section 3.6.4 and Section 3.6.5, respectively. In general the AMPs and State MPAs include examples of unique ecosystems with characteristics that support diverse benthic or intertidal habitats, and often a range of species listed under the EPBC Act, often including BIAs, such as breeding and foraging habitat for seabirds, internesting, foraging, mating, and nesting habitat for marine turtles, breeding, calving, foraging and nursing habitat for dugongs, foraging habitats, and migratory pathway for certain marine species, such as humpback whales, pygmy blue whales, whale sharks. Mangrove thickens are found on some of the Montebello Islands and Barrow Islands which provide essential habitat for coastal species and shorebirds (Section 3.4.2.1). Sea Country which overlaps these AMPs and MPAs is valued for Indigenous cultural identity, health and wellbeing. A few AMPs contains known shipwrecks listed under the *Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018*. Tourism, commercial fishing, mining, port activities, and recreation are important activities in some of the AMPs and MPAs (DNP, 2018). These sites and associated receptors may be impacted by exposure to surface, in-water, and shoreline hydrocarbons. No AMPs or State MPAs were exposed to floating hydrocarbon, at, or above, the low threshold for any season (RPS, 2024). The minimum time to dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at the low 10 ppb threshold at any given receptor(s) was 27 hours at the Montebello AMP, which also recorded the highest probability of intersect with at 7%. The highest probability of entrained hydrocarbons at the low 10 ppb threshold was predicted at Montebello AMP at 54% with contact predicted in 22 hours (RPS, 2024). The in-water probability results for the other AMPs to be intersected by these threshold levels included:

- 54% entrained and 7% for dissolved at Montebello AMP.
- 13% entrained and no contact for dissolved at Gascoyne AMP.
- 1% entrained and no contact for dissolved at Dampier AMP.

The in-water probability results for the other MPs to be intersected by these threshold levels included:

- 37% entrained and 1% for dissolved at Montebello Islands and Barrow Islands MP.
- 13% entrained and no contact for dissolved at Ningaloo and Murion Islands MP.
- 7% entrained and no contact for dissolved at Pilbara Islands Nature Reserve MP.

The spill modelling identified that the highest probability of hydrocarbon accumulation on a specific shoreline at or above the low threshold (10 g/m^2) was 24% at the Barrow Island and Montebello Islands shoreline cell, which is a considered a part of the Pilbara Islands Nature Reserve MP. The shoreline cells for Barrow Island, Lowendal Islands and Montebello Islands recorded the minimum time to shore at 3.8 days (91 hours) and the maximum total volume of hydrocarbon ashore for a single spill trajectory was 23.2 m^3. Barrow Island and Montebello Islands recorded the maximum length of hydrocarbon ashore above the low threshold (14 km) (Section 5.11.2.1). The shoreline results for the other AMPs and MPs intersected by this threshold level included:

- 15% at the shoreline component of the Montebello Islands and Barrow Islands State MPA
- 4% at the shoreline component of the Ningaloo and Muiron Islands MPA.

Predicted impact:

In-water	**Shoreline**
The values identified within these Protected Areas (AMPs and MPAs) have the potential to be exposed to entrained hydrocarbons at, or above, the relevant threshold in the event of a spill incident. Marine fauna, such as seabirds, fish and whales, found within the water column are values of the AMPs and State MPAs that may be impacted when exposed to entrained or dissolved hydrocarbons. Exposure of in-water hydrocarbons will be greatest within the upper 10 m of the water column and areas close to the spill source, as such, values on the seafloor (i.e., benthic habitats, shipwrecks and cultural artefacts) are unlikely to be impacted. In-water exposure at relevant thresholds may impact culturally important significant values, such as Sea Country, and result in adverse impacts to activities such as fishing.	Hydrocarbons can become concentrated as it strands ashore. However, most of the oil is concentrated along the high tide mark while the lower/upper parts are often untouched (IPIECA, 1995). Coastal habitats, such as mangroves, and communities which utilise these habitats, such as shorelines and marine turtles, are important and sensitive values of the MPAs. These values can be directly impacted, or have important activities disrupted, if exposed to shoreline hydrocarbons at the relevant thresholds. Visual surface hydrocarbons, or exclusion zones, have the potential to impact and / or displace certain values, such as tourism, recreational activities, and heritage values. Impact to these receptors from direct or indirect exposure to shoreline hydrocarbons may cause a subsequent negative impact to the value of the State MPAs.

Impact to these receptors from direct or indirect exposure to in-water hydrocarbons may cause a subsequent negative impact to the value of Protected Areas.
The spill scenario is expected to be localised and short term, with the MDO rapidly weathering within the first few days. Therefore, recovery of the Protected Areas is expected relatively rapidly.
The predicted impact to the individual values of the Protected Areas have been assessed separately. Refer to:
• Benthic habitats
• Marine fauna:
  • Plankton
  • Benthic invertebrates
  • Seabirds and shorebirds
  • Fish, sharks and rays
  • Marine reptiles
  • Marine mammals.
• Social and economic environment:
  • Tourism and recreational fishing
  • Other marine users (underwater heritage)
  • First Nations.
	The spill scenario is expected to be localised and short term, with the MDO rapidly weathering within the first few days. Therefore, recovery of the Protected Areas is expected relatively rapidly.
	The predicted impact to the individual values of the Protected Areas have been assessed separately. Refer to:
	• Coastal communities
	• Marine fauna:
	  • Seabirds and shorebirds
	  • Marine reptiles
	• Social and economic environment:
	  • Tourism and recreational activities.

Predicted impact summary:	
Given the highly dispersive environment of the offshore environment, the weathering expected for the light non-persistent hydrocarbon (Section 5.11.2.1), and the tidal action and constant wave washing on this type of shoreline which would contribute to further weathering of any hydrocarbons in the intertidal shoreline area, the potential consequences to AMPs and State Marine Parks from exposure to hydrocarbons following a vessel collision has the potential for localised and short-term impacts on habitats and species of recognised conservation value or to local ecosystem functioning.	

World Heritage Properties/National Heritage Places
Exposure evaluation:
There are two World Heritage Properties which intersect the EMBA (Section 3.6.1): • Murujuga Cultural Landscape • Ningaloo Coast. There are two National Heritage Places which intersect the EMBA (Section 3.6.2): • Dampier Archipelago (including Burrup Peninsula) • Ningaloo Coast. The Murujuga Cultural Landscape World Heritage Property is a landscape of ancient rocks encompassing the Burrup Peninsula, Dampier Archipelago Islands and nearby marine areas. The site is renowned for its dense concentration of petroglyphs, which provide a record of traditional use by First Nations people over thousands of years. The property holds cultural and spiritual significance to Traditional Custodians (Section 3.6.1). This site was only recently inscribed to the World Heritage List in 2025, as such there is no spatially defined data for the site. The Ningaloo Coast has been listed as both a National Heritage place and a World Heritage Property. The major conservation values for the Ningaloo Coast include unique marine ecosystems of high productivity and diversity, such as the Ningaloo Reef, one of the longest fringing reefs in the world, coral spawning events, seasonal nutrient upwelling, mangroves, estuaries, and diverse marine fauna, such as: whales, whale sharks, marine turtles, fish, molluscs, crustaceans. The area is also a site of unique terrestrial features, such as groundwater streams, cave networks, and endemic terrestrial species. The major conservation value of the Dampier Archipelago National Heritage Property is related to the cultural significance of the area; being home to the Ngarda-Ngarlie people for tens of thousands of years. The Burrup Peninsula is an area of rich and diverse rock art, with up to a million potential sites. Other culturally significant values, such as the marine area, middens, fish traps, rock shelters, ceremonial sites, and artefacts are prevalent throughout the area. The worst-case results identified for the World Heritage Properties/National Heritage Places to be intersected by in-water (entrained) at the low threshold (RPS, 2024), include: • 14% probability of entrained hydrocarbons at the Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Properties within 204 hours (8.5 days). • 13% probability of entrained hydrocarbons at the Ningaloo Coast National Heritage Place within 226 hours (9.4 days). • 1% probability of entrained hydrocarbons at the Dampier Archipelago (at the WAOMPRMA shoreline segment: WA11.East (317) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (G)) within 162 hours (6.75 days). • 1% probability of entrained hydrocarbons at the Murujuga Cultural Landscape (at the WAOMPRMA shoreline segment: WA11.East (317) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (G)) within 162 hours (6.75 days).

No World Heritage Properties or National Heritage Places were exposed to floating hydrocarbons, in-water (dissolved), or shoreline hydrocarbons, at, or above, the low threshold for any season (Table 5-41; RPS, 2024; Appendix B); therefore, no impacts to the surface, shoreline or terrestrial values are predicted to occur.

Importantly, no impact to any of the culturally significant terrestrial sites are expected, such as the ancient rocks encompassing the Burrup Peninsula, Dampier Archipelago Islands and nearby marine areas and the associated petroglyphs, middens, or artefacts.

The predicted impact to the individual values of the World Heritage Properties/National Heritage Places have been assessed separately. Refer to:

- Benthic habitats
- Marine fauna:
  - Plankton
  - Benthic invertebrates
  - Seabirds and shorebirds
  - Fish, sharks and rays
  - Marine reptiles
  - Marine mammals.
- Social and economic environment:
  - Tourism and recreational fishing
  - First Nations.

Predicted impact:

In-water

Values identified within these World Heritage Properties and National Heritage Places have the potential to be exposed to only entrained hydrocarbons at, or above, the relevant threshold in the event of a spill incident.

Coral reefs, such as the Ningaloo fringing reef found along the Ningaloo Coast and the reefs found off the Dampier Archipelago, and their associated values may be impacted by entrained hydrocarbons. These reefs support both tropical and temperate marine fauna, including a high diversity of coral, fish, mollusc, crustacean, algae, sponge, and echinoderm species and features annual gatherings of whale sharks and sea turtles in high numbers. Many species that occur in the region are rare or threatened. The Ningaloo reef specifically features a high diversity of habitats including lagoons, reef, open ocean, estuaries, mangroves, and the continental shelf. As such, marine fauna, that are values of these World Heritage Properties / National Heritage Places may be impacted when exposed to entrained

hydrocarbons. Impact to these receptors from direct or indirect exposure to in-water hydrocarbons may cause a subsequent negative impact to the value of the Heritage Places/Properties.

In-water exposure at relevant thresholds may also cause adverse impact to intangible culturally important significant values associated with the Murujuga and Damier Archipelago Heritage Sites, such as Sea Country (see Section 3.6.1).

Exposure of in-water hydrocarbons will be greatest within the upper 10 m of the water column and areas close to the spill source, as such, values on the seafloor (i.e. benthic habitats and any cultural artefacts) are not expected to be impacted by hydrocarbons.

Given the hydrocarbon characteristics of the MDO, as a light, non-persistent hydrocarbon, that rapidly weathers (21% expected decay after 7 days), a large proportion of the hydrocarbon is expected to have weathered prior to contact (RPS, 2024), with the spill scenario expected to be short-term and localised.

Predicted impact summary:

The spill modelling predicted no shoreline accumulation to occur at any threshold for any World Heritage Property or National Heritage Place. As such, no impact to the terrestrial culturally significant values of Murujuga Cultural Landscape or Dampier Archipelago are expected (i.e. rock art, middens or artefacts), or any other terrestrial values, such as the diverse habitats found along the Ningaloo Coast (i.e. sandy and rocky beaches).

Given the highly dispersive environment of the offshore environment, the low probability of contact (14% at the Ningaloo Coast and 1% at both the Dampier Archipelago and the Murujuga Cultural Landscape), the minimum times to contact (8.5 days at the Ningaloo Coast and 6.75 days at both the Dampier Archipelago and the Murujuga Cultural Landscape), and the weathering expected for the light non-persistent hydrocarbon (Section 5.11.2.1), the potential consequences to World Heritage Properties and National Heritage Places will be limited to the short-term exposure of entrained hydrocarbons within the water column.

As such, following a vessel collision there is the potential for localised and short-term impacts on habitats and species of recognised conservation value or to local ecosystem functioning.

Key Ecological Features

Exposure evaluation:

The Hydrocarbon Area intersects 4 KEFs as described in Table 3-15. These include:

- Ancient coastline at 125 m depth contour
- Canyons linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Cape Range Peninsula
- Continental slope demersal fish communities
- Glomar Shoals.

The conservation values for these KEFs have been described in Section 3.6.7 and include unique seafloor environments that are considered to be of regional importance for a region's biodiversity or ecosystem function and integrity.

The Ancient coastline at 125 m depth contour KEF is a submerged coastline that provides an area of hard substrate which likely to include sponges, corals, crinoids, molluscs, echinoderms and other benthic invertebrates. The escarpment may also facilitate increased availability of nutrients which attracts larger marine life such as whale sharks, large pelagic fish, and Humpback whales (DCCEEW, 2024e).

Canyons linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Cape Range Peninsula KEF contains unique seafloor features with ecological properties of regional significance, which apply to both the benthic and pelagic habitats within the feature. The KEF features canyons which facilitates nutrient upwelling which attracts aggregations of whale sharks, manta rays, humpback whales, sea snakes, sharks, large predatory fish and seabirds (DCCEEW, 2024f).

Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities is recognised as a KEF because of its biodiversity values, including high levels of endemism. The KEF features a high diversity of demersal fish assemblages, many of which are endemic to the area. The demersal fish species occupy two distinct demersal community types (biomes) associated with the upper slope (water depth of 225–500 m) and the mid-slope (750–1,000 m). The demersal-slope communities rely on bacteria and detritus-based systems comprised of infauna and epifauna, which in turn become prey for a range of teleost fish, molluscs and crustaceans. Higher-order consumers may include carnivorous fish, deepwater sharks, large squid and toothed whales (DCCEEW, 2024h).

The Glomar shoals are defined as a KEF for their high productivity and aggregations of marine life. The shoals are known to be an important area for a number of commercial and recreational fish species and consist of a high percentage of marine-derived sediments with high carbonate content and gravels of weathered coralline algae and shells (DCCEEW, 2024j).

Predicted impact:

In-water

No KEFs were predicted to be exposed to floating surface hydrocarbons at any threshold for any season (RPS, 2024).

The values identified within these KEFs have the potential to be exposed to entrained hydrocarbons at, or above, the moderate threshold.

However, the exposure of entrained hydrocarbons will be greatest within the upper 10 m of the water column and areas close to the spill source. Therefore, the spill is unlikely to intersect with majority of the seafloor values of the KEFs which are concentrated within the water column >10 m deep or along the seafloor at varying water depths.

Hydrocarbon exposure to the key receptors of the KEFs (e.g. seabirds, pinnipeds and cetaceans) may cause a subsequent negative impact to the value of the KEFs, however, is expected to be limited to a small number of individuals, with no impacts to regional populations.

Refer to:

- Benthic habitats
- Marine fauna:
  - Plankton
  - Fish, sharks, and rays

• Seabirds and shorebirds • Marine reptiles • Marine mammals.
Predicted impact summary:
Given the highly dispersive environment of the offshore environment, the weathering expected for the light non-persistent hydrocarbon (Section 5.11.2.1), and the tidal action and constant wave washing on this type of shoreline which would contribute to further weathering of any hydrocarbons in the intertidal shoreline area, the potential consequences to KEFs from exposure to hydrocarbons following a vessel collision has the potential for localised and short-term impacts on habitats and species of recognised conservation value or to local ecosystem functioning.

Social and Economic Environment

Social and economic receptors have been assessed based on the hydrocarbon exposure based on the thresholds that have the potential to cause socio-economic effects (Section 3.1.1). Therefore, the extent of the hydrocarbon exposure has been defined by using low hydrocarbon exposure thresholds for surface, shoreline and in-water (dissolved and entrained) hydrocarbons. This is defined as the EMBA.

Table 5-46 evaluates the potential impact that hydrocarbon spills for this activity may have on social and economic receptors found within the EMBA.

Table 5-46: Risk assessment for an accidental release of MDO – social and economic environment

Commercial fisheries
Exposure evaluation:
Several State-managed fisheries were identified to have management areas that intersect the EMBA. The maximum distance for dissolved and entrained hydrocarbons at a low threshold (100 ppb) from the source was predicted to be 169 km and 494 km, respectively (RPS, 2024). The fisheries that have the potential to interact with the EMBA include 5 Commonwealth Fisheries: • North West Slope Trawl Fishery • Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery • Western Deepwater Trawl • Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery • Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery. However, of these fisheries only one fishery has recorded active fishing based on historical datasets and has the potential to interact with the EMBA: North West Slope Trawl Fishery. 19 WA State Fisheries intersect the EMBA (Section 3.5.1). However, of these fisheries, only 16 fisheries have recorded active fishing in the EMBA between 2018 and 2023 and have potential to interact with the EMBA, including: • Exmouth Gulf Prawn Managed Fishery • Hermit Crab Fishery • Mackerel Managed Fishery • Marine Aquarium Fish Managed Fishery • Nickol Bay Prawn Fishery • Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery • Open Access (North Coast, Gascoyne Coast and West Coast Bioregions) • Pearl Oyster Managed Fishery • Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery • Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery • Pilbara Line Fishery (Condition) • Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery • Specimen Shell Managed Fishery

<table>
<tr><td colspan="2">

Tour Operator
West Coast Deep Sea Crustacean Managed Fishery
Western Australian Sea Cucumber Fishery.

Refer to Section 3.5.1 for a further description on fisheries that intersect the EMBA.
</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">Predicted impact:</td></tr>
<tr><td>Surface</td><td>In-water</td></tr>
<tr><td>Physical displacement of commercial fishers may occur due to the establishment of exclusion zones during the spill response.

Visible surface hydrocarbons (i.e. a rainbow sheen) may have the potential to cause impact public perception of the industry, potentially causing a negative economic impact.

Refer to:
Fish, sharks, and rays.</td><td>As discussed in the relevant sections above (i.e. fish and invertebrates) exposure to in-water hydrocarbons has the potential to impacts species. Due to the sensitivity, a small number of juvenile fish, larvae, and planktonic organisms, may be impacted. This could result in some component or all of a year class being impacted, given that most species have seasonal, pulsed recruitment. This has been identified to pose a significant risk for short-lived species and less for long-lived species (Young et al., 2011). However, despite the potential for localised impacts to population recruitment for commercially targeted species, impacts to an entire population or the population’s overall viability is not anticipated.

In-water hydrocarbon exposure may result in a reduction to individual commercially targeted marine species (i.e. fish and invertebrate species), subsequently having the potential to result in impacts to commercial fishing productivity. Contamination of target species can cause economic impacts to the industry.

Refer to:
Fish, sharks, and rays
Invertebrates.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">Predicted impact summary:</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">Acute impacts to commercially fished species are expected to be limited to a small number of juvenile fish, larvae, and/or planktonic organisms. Depending on the timing of the spill, in the event it overlaps with the timing of a species reproduction event (i.e. a spawning event), there is potential for localised impacts to population recruitment for a commercially targeted species for a year class. However, due to the wide distribution of fish species typical in the region, the typical timing of recruitment events, and the nature of potential impacts from a spill of MDO, impacts to an entire population, or population’s overall viability is not anticipated.</td></tr>
</table>

Due to the highly dispersive environment of the offshore environment, and the rapid weathering expected for the MDO, as a light, non-persistent hydrocarbon, 15–36.1% evaporated within 24 hours depending on the conditions (Section 5.11.2.1), and high anticipated entrainment rates, any exclusion zones are not expected to be long-term and not cause significant impacts to the economic viability of the fishery. Impacts are expected to be limited to localised and short-term exclusion from the area affected.

Tourism and recreational fishing

Exposure evaluation:

The Ningaloo region is a major tourism area that is overlapped by the EMBA. The Ningaloo region includes Ningaloo AMP, Ningaloo World Heritage Area, Ningaloo and Muiron Islands State MP, and Coral Bay and Exmouth communities. These areas are popular for a diverse range of marine-based tourism and recreational activities, such as: whale watching, recreational boating and fishing, charter fishing, snorkelling/diving, and surfing. As noted in Section 3.5.1, DPIRD's FishCube fishing effort data between 2013 – 2023 notes that there were active fishing Tour Operators in the Operational Area, which correlates with the Wandoo platform being listed as a recreational fishing site by DPIRD (2023) (Section 3.5.4.1) and correspondence with RecfishWest (Sensitive Information Report, reference 2.9). The area is used for deepwater fishing by fishing charters and large private fishing boats. With the exception of offshore fishing charters and some offshore recreational fishers, most marine-based tourism occurs in shallower waters. Please see Section 3.5.4.1 for further details.

Predicted impact:

Surface	In-Water	Shoreline
Visible surface hydrocarbons (i.e. a rainbow sheen) have the potential to reduce the visual amenity of the area for tourism and discourage recreational activities. Recreation is also linked to the presence of marine fauna and direct impacts to marine fauna such as whales, birds, and pinnipeds can result in indirect impacts to recreational values. It is important to note that the impact from a public perception perspective may be even more conservative. This may deter tourists and locals from undertaking recreational activities. If this occurs, the attraction is temporarily closed, economic losses to the business are likely to eventuate. The extent of these losses would be dependent on how long the attraction remains closed.	The main source of recreational activities, such as marine-based tourism occur within nearshore waters (Section 3.5.4), with the exception of offshore fishing charters and recreational fishers who visit the Wandoo platform (Section 3.5.4.1). Any impact to receptors that are associated with marine-based tourism (e.g. whales, and whale sharks) may cause a subsequent negative impact to recreation and tourism businesses in the locally affected area. Recreational fishing over the area and Wandoo platform is popular. Precautionary exclusion from impacted areas following a hydrocarbon spill may be implemented until water quality monitoring verifies the absence of residual hydrocarbons. This could result in a temporary disruption to some	Visible hydrocarbons stranded on shorelines have the potential to reduce the visual amenity of the area for tourism and discourage recreational activities. In general, recreational and tourism activities are restricted to shallower coastal waters and shorelines. Precautionary exclusion from shorelines may be implemented by local governments until water quality monitoring verifies the absence of residual hydrocarbons. This could cause disruption to some recreational and tourism activities within that area. Furthermore, visible hydrocarbons along shorelines may impact the aesthetic value for tourism and discourage recreational activities that may be operating within the area.

Refer also to: • Fish, sharks and rays • Seabirds and shorebirds • Marine mammals • Benthic invertebrates.	recreational and tourism activities within and area for the duration of the response (if applicable).	
Predicted impact summary:		
Given the nature of the hydrocarbon, being a light and non-persistent, the low probability of shoreline impacts, and high anticipated evaporation and entrainment rates (Section 5.11.2.1), any impacts to recreation and tourism are expected to be localised and short term.		
Other marine users		
Exposure evaluation:		
Surface hydrocarbons may interact with other marine users, such as • Commercial shipping • Other oil and gas industry • Defence activities • Underwater heritage. The closest AMSA shipping fairway is 3 km south of the Operational Area, which indicates high shipping use within the area and the potential to interact with the EMBA (Section 3.5.2). Several other offshore oil and gas industries and pipelines are located within the EMBA (Section 3.5.4.2). The EMBA encompasses defence activities including an Exmouth naval communication station and several offshore training areas including the North West Exercise Area (NWXA) and Learmonth Air Weapons Range in the EMBA. These areas are used for Defence Force training exercises, including live firing (Section 3.5.3). There are 38 known historical shipwrecks located within the EMBA (see Table 3-12).		
Predicted impact:		
Surface	**In-water**	
Physical displacement of other marine users may occur due to the establishment of exclusion zones during the spill response. However, due to the	In the event of a hydrocarbon spill, other marine users may be impacted by exclusion zones surrounding a spill. These users include: • Commercial shipping	

comparatively small area of exposure, and the fact that exclusion zones are not expected to be long-term, significant impacts are not anticipated.	• Other oil and gas industry • Defence activities. Exclusion zones could reduce access for other marine users for the duration of the response undertaken for spill clean-up (if applicable) meaning vessels may have to take detours leading to potential delays and increased costs. <u>Underwater Heritage</u> In general, in-water exposure is limited to the upper 0 – 10 m of the water column, and not within the deeper areas of the water column where underwater heritage is located. Therefore, impacts are not expected.
Predicted impact summary:	
Given the nature of the hydrocarbon, being a light and non-persistent, the low probability of shoreline impacts, and high anticipated evaporation and entrainment rates (Section 5.11.2.1), any impacts to other marine users are expected to be localised and short term.	

First Nations

First Nations values and sensitivities have been assessed based on the hydrocarbon exposure based on the most conservative thresholds that have the potential to cause socio-economic effects (Section 3.1.1). Therefore, the extent of the hydrocarbon exposure has been defined by using low hydrocarbon exposure thresholds for surface, shoreline and in-water (dissolved and entrained) hydrocarbons. This is defined as the EMBA.

Table 5-47 evaluates the potential impact that hydrocarbon spills for this activity may have on First Nations values and sensitivities found within the EMBA.

Table 5-47: Risk Assessment for an accidental release of MDO – First Nations heritage

First Nations heritage
Exposure evaluation:
The EMBA overlaps four Native Title Determinations: • WCD2005/001 Ngarluma/Yindjibarndi • WCD2015/007 Ngarluma People • WCD2018/006 Yaburara & Mardudhunera People • WCD2019/016 Gnulli, Gnulli #2 and Gnulli #3 - Yinggarda, Baiyungu and Thalanyji People. There are 52 registered sites present within the EMBA. First Nations people are intrinsically linked to Sea Country which encompasses lands, waterways, seas, cultural practices and values to which they are connected (AIATSIS 2022). Country is a cultural landscape which includes both tangible values (i.e. cultural heritage sites) and intangible values (i.e. creation stories and cultural practices). First Nations cultural concepts are directly connected with Country. Country describes all aspects of place, environment, spirituality, law and identity. Values of Country differ between First Nations groups, and not all First Nations groups and communities in Australia hold the same belief systems or spirituality. Sea Country is Country that extends into the ocean. Smyth and Isherwood (2016) describe Sea Country as all estuaries, beaches, bays, and marine areas collectively, within a traditional estate. Sea Country contains evidence of the ancient mystical events by which all geographic features, animals, plants and people were created. Sea Country contains sacred sites and tracks (or 'Songlines') along which mythological beings travelled during the creation period (or 'Dreamtime') (Smyth and Isherwood, 2016). The sea, like the land, is integral to the identity of First Nations groups. Connection to Sea Country is accompanied by a complexity of cultural rights and responsibilities. Coastal areas traditionally were amongst the most densely populated areas due to the abundance of resources available. Formal recognition of Sea Country rights is significantly slower compared to land rights. This could be for a range of reasons including conflicting perspectives and opinions on traditional custodianship of land and how far it extends (Smyth and Isherwood, 2016). An unplanned hydrocarbon spill will impact the waters within Sea Country for a period while the spill disperses and weathers and has the potential to disrupt cultural values of Sea Country. The likelihood of a hydrocarbon spill occurring is assessed as highly unlikely and the actual area that may be affected from any single spill event would be considerably smaller than represented by the EMBA. The sea component of these areas may be contacted from surface, in-water, and shoreline hydrocarbon exposure. Hydrocarbon exposure may have the potential to impact the aesthetic and cultural values of Sea Country by the presence of physical hydrocarbons or the presence of oil spill responders.

Predicted impact:		
Surface	**In-water**	**Shoreline**
Visible surface hydrocarbons have the potential to reduce the visual amenity of known culturally significant values identified within the marine environment, subsequently potentially impacting the value of the site to First Nations people. The Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation identified values, features and interests of cultural value during consultation (Table 3-17). These areas, however, sit outside the EMBA and are unlikely to be exposed to hydrocarbons in the event of a spill. Refer also to: • Seabirds and shorebirds • Marine reptiles • Marine mammals.	First Nations people connection to Sea Country could potentially be impacted by exposure to hydrocarbons. See Section 3.7.6 for further details of the values. In-water exposure at relevant thresholds may impact culturally important significant coastal habitats such as mangroves, and species to First Nations peoples, such as cetaceans, marine reptiles, and fish which may impact the cultural value of the species and cultural obligation to care for Country. The 10 ppb low entrained exposure threshold (used to define the extent of the EMBA) represents the very lowest concentration and corresponds generally with the lowest trigger levels for chronic exposure for entrained hydrocarbons in water quality guidelines, no ecological impacts are anticipated at this threshold. It is considered highly unlikely that there will be long-term impacts to First Nations activities from contact at the low entrained threshold. Furthermore, the spill scenario is expected to be localised and short term, with the MDO rapidly weathering within the first few days. As evaluated above, impacts and risks to First Nations values are not expected to result in widespread long-term impacts to Sea Country, ecosystem functions and integrity, or culturally significant species populations. Refer also to: • Benthic habitats and communities • Marine invertebrates • Mangroves • Cetaceans • Marine reptiles	Visible hydrocarbons along a shoreline have the potential to reduce the visual amenity of known heritage sites along the coastline, subsequently potentially impacting the value of the site to First Nations people. Refer also to: • Coastal habitats • State Marine Parks.

	• Seabirds and shorebirds • State Marine Parks • Commonwealth Marine Parks.	
Predicted impact summary:		
First Nations people's connection to Sea Country could potentially be impacted by exposure to hydrocarbons. However, due to the characteristics of the spill scenario, and the open water conditions of the Operational Area, the MDO is anticipated to rapidly disperse through the mixing of surface waters and near surface waters, driven by tidal current and wave action. Aboriginal Corporations identified a number of values, features and interests of cultural value during consultation (Table 3-17). These areas sit outside the Operational Area, however some of these are located within the EMBA and may be exposed to hydrocarbons in the event of a spill. Consequently, the potential impacts and risks to cultural heritage receptors from an accidental of MDO are expected to result in localised medium-term impacts to socio-economic activities.		

5.11.4 Risk Ranking

Vessel movements will occur within the Operational Area, introducing the potential for vessel collisions resulting in an accidental release of MDO. However, given the hydrocarbon characteristics of MDO as a light non-persistent hydrocarbon, the anticipated rapid weathering of the MDO, and minimal shoreline accumulation, any impact is anticipated to be predominantly highly localised, with short-medium term impacts to individuals, not affecting species populations or general ecosystem functioning. Therefore:

- The consequence ranking of '3' (Moderate) was assigned to a change in water quality, coastal communities, and First Nations values, and a likelihood of 'B' (Unlikely) was considered appropriate, resulting in a risk ranking of 'Low' (RRIV).
- The consequence ranking of '2' (Minor) was assigned to benthic habitats, marine fauna, protected and significant area, and social and economic environment, and a likelihood of 'B' (Unlikely) was considered appropriate, resulting in a risk ranking of 'Low' (RRIV).

5.11.5 ALARP Demonstration

Table 5-48: Demonstration of ALARP – Accidental release of MDO

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
Elimination			
None identified	-	-	-
Substitution			
None identified	-	-	-
Prevention			
Notification of activities direct to relevant persons.	EPO-GG-10	Relevant persons to be identified and notified as appropriate prior to activity, in accordance with Table 8-6.	Record of relevant person assessment and correspondence.
Navigational lights on vessels.		Vessel navigation lighting shall comply with SOLAS and the *Navigation Act 2012*.	VOGA inspection or audit confirms lighting complies with SOLAS and the *Navigation Act 2012*.
Vessels shall be DP capable.		Vessel contract specifies DP-capable vessels.	VOGA inspection or audit confirms vessels are DP capable.
Vessels perform DP trials as required by their PMS.		Vessels are required to conduct DP trials at the commencement of each survey campaign.	DP trials noted in ships log.
Vessels contracted by VOGA have certified masters.		VOGA shall validate that vessel masters meet requirements of their company's Competency Management system (CMS).	Review of contractors CMS.

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
Vessels contracted by VOGA have systems to assist with tracking and identifying vessels in the general area.		VOGA shall validate that vessels are fitted with radar and Automatic Identification System (AIS).	VOGA inspection or audit confirms presence of working radar equipment.
Vessels to adhere to the navigation safety requirements including the *Navigation Act 2012* and any subsequent Marine Orders.		Vessels compliant with *Navigation Act 2012* and Marine Order 21 (Safety of navigation and emergency procedures) and Marine Order 30 (Prevention of collisions).	Marine assurance inspection records demonstrate compliance with standard maritime safety procedures.
Contractor bunkering equipment requirements: • Contractor's PMS includes bulk transfer hose maintenance and replacement schedule to ensure current certification and hoses are in good condition. • Fuel hoses have dry-break couplings and floatation. • Adequate number of appropriately stocked, located and maintained spill kits.		• All transfer hoses shall be maintained and confirmed currently certified in accordance with the relevant Contractor's PMS. • VOGA shall validate Contractor's fuel hoses will have dry-break couplings and floatation. • VOGA shall validate the presence of adequate number of appropriately stocked, located and maintained spill kits in accordance with vessel SOPEP/SMPEP (equivalent to class).	• VOGA inspection or audit confirms application of contractor's PMS. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records are up to date. • VOGA inspection confirms Contractor's fuel hoses have dry-break couplings and floatation. • VOGA inspection confirms presence of adequate number of appropriately stocked, located and maintained spill kits in accordance with vessel SOPEP/SMPEP (equivalent to class).
Contractor bunkering procedure includes: • Controls to prevent collision between bunkering vessel and survey vessel. • Check hoses prior to bunkering. • Monitoring of gauges, hoses, fittings and sea surface during bunkering. • Bunkering only in daylight hours.		Vessels compliant with Contractor bunkering procedure for the prevention of collisions and accidental release of MDO.	Records demonstrate bunkering operations undertaken in accordance with Contractor bunkering procedure.

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
• No bunkering in marginal weather conditions.			
Reduction			
None identified	-	-	-
Mitigation			
Vessels will implement a SOPEP/SMPEP in the event of a spill.	EPO-GG-11	SOPEP/SMPEP (equivalent to class) procedures shall be available during survey activities.	VOGA inspection or audit confirms SOPEP/SMPEP (equivalent to class) procedures are available on the activity vessels during well survey activities.
The NOPSEMA-accepted Oil Pollution Emergency Plan (OPEP) will be implemented in the event of any diesel spill to the marine environment.	EPO-GG-11	Oil spill response strategies shall be implemented in accordance with the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016].	Incident Action Plan (IAP) records.
The NOPSEMA-accepted Operational and Scientific Monitoring Plan (OSMP) will be implemented in the event of any diesel spill to the marine environment.	EPO-GG-11	Oil spill operational and scientific monitoring shall be implemented in accordance with the Wandoo Field Operational and Scientific Monitoring Bridging Implementation Plan [VOG-1100-RG-0002].	IAP records.
Other			
None identified	-	-	-
Considered control measures	**Assessment of option**		**Decision**
No refuelling of vessels in the Operational Area.	Vessel refuelling is not anticipated to be required in the Operational Area. However, refuelling in the Operational Area may be required to be undertaken as a contingency.		Not adopted.
ALARP summary: The impacts and potential risks from an accidental release of MDO are ALARP, based on the impact assessment outcomes using VOGA Risk Matrix (as per Table 4-2), the ALARP template to determine the appropriate decision context type (Table 4-4) and VOGA's criteria for demonstrating ALARP (Section 4.7). No reasonably practicable additional controls were identified that would further reduce the impacts without disproportionate sacrifice.			

5.11.6 Acceptability Demonstration

Table 5-49: Acceptability demonstration – Accidental release of MDO

ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF RISK DEMONSTRATED	
Principles of ESD not compromised	Relevant principles of ESD not compromised given proposed controls (Table 5-48).
External context – objections or claims considered	N/A – no external objections or claims received.
Internal context – VOGA HSE policy/procedures met	Yes – Risk managed in accordance with VOGA HSE policy. Potential spills to be managed in accordance with Wandoo Emergency Response Plan [VOG-2000-RD-0017]/ Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016].
Other requirements met	Yes – Notice to Mariners issued via AMSA in a timely manner. Potential spills to be managed in accordance with SOPEP/SMPEP (equivalent to class).
RR < High (RRII)	Yes – Medium (RRIII).
EPO(s) manage impacts to acceptable level(s)	Yes – The following relevant EPOs will be maintained: • EPO-GG-10 • EPO-GG-11. See Section 5.13 for further details.
Acceptability summary: The impacts and potential risks of an accidental release of MDO have been managed to a level that is broadly acceptable based on the demonstration of ALARP (Table 5-48), alignment with industry best practice, and VOGA's process for evaluating acceptability (Section 4.6).	

5.12 Unplanned: Environmental Impacts of Oil Spill Response

5.12.1 Hazard Report

Table 5-50: Hazard Report – Oil spill response

HAZARD	Environmental impacts from oil spill response activities		
EP risk number	EP-GG-R12		
Activity/cause	Oil spill response activities		
Extent	Extent of the Hydrocarbon Area and EMBA		
Potential impact description	Disturbance to benthic habitat, adjacent vegetation and other environmentally sensitive areas. Scouring of sediments. Waste generation, disposal and management.		
IMPACT AND RISK EVALUATION SUMMARY			
Receptor	**Impact/Consequence**	**Likelihood**	**Residual Risk**
Marine fauna	Minor (2)	Unlikely (B)	Low (RRIV)
Relevant EPO(s)	EPO-GG-11 Impacts to values and sensitivities are minimised in the event of a loss of hydrocarbons.		

5.12.2 Description of Hazard

In the event of an accidental release of MDO, response strategies will be implemented where possible to reduce environmental impacts to ALARP. The selection of strategies will be undertaken through the Spill Impact Mitigation Assessment (SIMA) process, outlined in the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016] (Appendix E).

The acceptability of the potential environmental impacts and risks associated with the following oil spill response strategies have been evaluated in accordance with VOGA's management systems and sub-regulations 13(5) and 13(6) of the OPGGS(E)R.

The following spill response activities have been identified that may be considered for an accidental release of MDO, under the direction of the appropriate Control Agency:

- Source control
- Monitor and evaluate
- Oiled wildlife response.

See the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016] (Appendix E) for justifications for the selected oil spill response strategies.

5.12.2.1 Source Control

Source control activities are effective in minimising the quantity of hydrocarbons lost to the environment and reducing the area of potential exposure.

Potential source control activities include:

- Contain diesel onboard
- Isolating tanks
- Tank lightening (transfer to another tank)
- Vessel separation.

The source control activities will not create any additional impacts on the environment that have not already been assessed within Section 5.1 to 0 of this EP.

5.12.2.2 Monitor and Evaluate

Several methods can be used to monitor and evaluate, including:

- Vessel surveillance
- Aerial surveillance
- Satellite tracking buoys
- Remote (satellite) sensing
- Oil sampling
- Oiled fauna surveys
- Water quality sampling
- Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling (OSTM).

The monitoring and evaluation methods will predominantly not create any additional impacts on the environment that have not already been assessed within Section 5.1 to 0 of this EP. The surveillance of the spill size and tracking of its movement using an aircraft will, however, have an additional impact that has not been previously assessed.

5.12.2.3 Oiled Wildlife Response

Oiled wildlife response has the potential to cause impacts to marine fauna. Activities associated with oiled wildlife response that can cause impacts to marine fauna include:

- Hazing
- Pre-emptive capture
- Search and capture
- Field stabilisation
- Cleaning and rehabilitation
- Termination.

5.12.3 Impact and Risk Evaluation

The potential impacts and risks associated with vessel operations are similar to those already evaluated in Sections 5.1 to 0 of this EP. Due to the nature and scale of these activities, the evaluation is considered appropriate for any impacts and risks that may occur during source control, monitor and evaluate (vessels), and operational and scientific monitoring response activities. Therefore, these have not been considered further.

The potential impacts caused by aircraft operations and oiled wildlife response activities include:

- Disruption to marine fauna
- Injury/mortality to marine fauna.

The following receptors within the Hydrocarbon Area may be impacted by oil spill response activities:

- Marine fauna, such as:
  - seabirds and shorebirds
  - marine reptiles
  - marine mammals.

5.12.3.1 Risk Assessment

Marine Fauna

Disruption to Marine Fauna

Aircraft Operations

Noise emissions generated from an aircraft will be affected by both in-air and underwater propagation processes, in addition to factors such as wind and waves. The level of noise

received underwater depends on source altitude and lateral distance, receiver depth, water depth and other variables. Under calm sea conditions, airborne noise is totally reflected and does not enter the water; however, rough seas may provide suitable angles for airborne sound to penetrate the water surface (Richardson et al., 1985).

Simmonds et al. (2004) measured a helicopter flyover at 305 m at 108 dB re 1 µPa, with a frequency of 45–70,000 Hz, and Richardson et al. (1995) identified that aircraft operations typically produce noise emissions below 500 Hz, which diminishes with increasing altitude and water depth. Studies on a helicopter which was audible in the air for 4 minutes, was detectable underwater for only 38 seconds at 3 m depth, and 11 seconds at 18 m depth.

Due to the expected noise source levels being lower than those assessed within Section 5.3, the risk evaluation that was conducted for the noise emissions produced from vessel operations, is considered appropriate and conservative for aircraft operations.

Oiled Wildlife Response Activities

Oiled wildlife response activities have the potential to disrupt marine fauna. Activities such as hazing involves the use of visual, auditory or sensory deterrents to keep healthy marine fauna away from the hydrocarbon spill. This can lead to disturbance of marine fauna, such as the separation of groups or adults/juveniles, collisions with marine fauna, inadvertent movement of animals into the oiled area, or scattering of oiled animals.

Pre-emptive capture involves the capture and relocation of marine fauna before they become oiled. Potential impacts include relocation to inappropriate areas that will not support the animal's requirements (e.g. habitat, food sources).

Despite there being the potential of impacts to marina fauna from oiled wildlife response, these impacts are likely to be less than the potential impacts and risks posed to marine fauna from the hydrocarbon spill itself, as discussed in Section 5.11.3. Therefore, risk evaluation that was conducted for the accidental release of MDO is considered conservative for oiled wildlife response activities.

Injury/Mortality to Marine Fauna

Aircraft Operations

The movement and operation of any aircrafts undertaking spill response activities has the potential to cause injury or death to fauna in the unlikely event of a collision. This is particularly a concern for seabird that may be present within the area. However, due to the offshore location of the activity and the lack of habitats critical to the survival of seabirds, it is considered unlikely that a collision between an aircraft and seabird will occur. Therefore, the risk evaluation that was conducted for the physical presence of the vessel operations resulting in an interaction with marine fauna, as assessed in Section 5.8, is considered appropriate and conservative for aircraft operations.

Oiled Wildlife Response

Marine fauna have the potential to be injured during search and capture. In the event their physical condition is already poor due to the indirect or direct exposure to hydrocarbons, inexperienced handling can rapidly exacerbate their condition. The goal of search and capture is

to collect as many live oiled animals as quickly as possible and in the best possible condition to maximise survival. Prompt initialisation of an oiled wildlife response that quickly and effectively collects wildlife and provides a means for humane euthanasia, or rehabilitation and release, will minimise suffering. Field stabilisation is required prior to further treatment of oiled animals, to ensure they can cope with the cleaning and rehabilitation process that will follow. Effective field stabilisation requires deployment of adequate handlers to appropriate locations in a timely manner.

Despite there being the potential of impacts to marina fauna from oiled wildlife response, these impacts are likely to be less than the potential impacts and risks posed to marine fauna from the hydrocarbon spill itself, as discussed in Section 5.11.3. In the unlikely event of a vessel spill, there is a chance that some marine fauna will experience lethal impacts from MDO. The risk evaluation that was conducted for the accidental release of MDO is considered conservative for oiled wildlife response activities.

5.12.4 Risk Ranking

In the event of an accidental release of MDO, response strategies may be implemented that have the potential to impact receptors. However, given the scale of the activities as discussed in Section 5.12.3.1, the potential impacts and risks are likely to be less than those assessed for planned activities (vessel operations) and for the spill itself in Section 5.8 and Section 0, respectively. Therefore:

- The consequence ranking of ‘2’ (Minor) was assigned to marine fauna and a likelihood of ‘B’ (Unlikely) was considered appropriate, resulting in a risk ranking of ‘Low’ (RRIV).

5.12.5 ALARP Demonstration

Table 5-51: Demonstration of ALARP – Oil spill response

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
Elimination			
None identified	-	-	-
Substitution			
None identified	-	-	-
Prevention			
None identified	-	-	-
Reduction			
None identified	-	-	-
Mitigation			
Decision making processes support mitigation of environmental impact of spills and assessment of effectiveness of response strategies.	EPO-GG-11	The Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016] shall provide a process for completing an IAP which shall include:	Accepted Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016].

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
		• An environmental impact assessment of the proposed response activities • Selection of the most appropriate response activities (strategies) • Identification of appropriate operational and scientific monitoring activities • Operational and scientific monitoring outputs shall inform the effectiveness of response strategies.	
The Wandoo Field OSCP describes incident management system and interfaces.	EPO-GG-11	• Organisational structure and roles and responsibilities of Incident Control Team (ICT) members are defined in the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP. • Interfaces between the VOGA ICT and the command teams representing State and Commonwealth Oil Spill Response Agencies are described in the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP.	Accepted Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016].
Ensure the most effective response strategies are being applied and environmental impact of the spill and response strategies are measured.	EPO-GG-11	• Monitoring shall be activated from time of spill detection to inform implementation of response strategies. • Preliminary Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling (OSTM) to be requested within 3 hours of a spill being reported. • Satellite tracking buoys to be deployed within 30 minutes of a spill being reported. • Environmental data to support decision making (IAP) and spill impact assessment shall be available prior to impact.	IAP records.
Minimise potential impacts on fauna caused by oiled wildlife response activities.	EPO-GG-11	Induction and training shall cover any special handling requirements to minimise further detrimental impacts to flora and fauna.	Induction material. IAP records.

Control measure	Performance outcome	Performance standard	Measurement criteria
	EPO-GG-11	Wildlife strategy including hazing, if required, shall be developed in consultation with the Department of Transport.	IAP records.
Oil spill response personnel understand and competently perform their response roles.	EPO-GG-11	Oil spill response personnel are competent in their required emergency response roles.	Exercises conducted in accordance with VOGA Emergency Response Schedule [VOG-1100-YH-0001]. HSEMS audits of Element 8 are conducted in accordance with the HSEMS Element 12 – Performance Assurance Manual [VOG-1100-YG-1201.02].
Other			
Current oil spill response arrangements are accessible to all personnel in the event of an oil spill.	EPO-GG-11	Oil spill response documentation is up to date, maintained and readily available within VOGA Information Systems.	HSE MS audits of Element 8 are conducted in accordance with the HSEMS Element 12 – Performance Assurance Manual [VOG-1100-YG-1201.02].
Considered control measures	**Assessment of option**		**Decision**
Application of chemical dispersant activities.	Chemical dispersant unlikely to be of 'net benefit to the environment' given the hydrocarbon characteristics not being susceptible to dispersant. Given the extent, duration and expected weathering and fate of the spill, chemical dispersant likely to cause more harm to the environment.		Not adopted.
Application of mechanical dispersant activities.	Given the extent, duration and expected weathering and fate of the spill, mechanical dispersion may introduce an increased safety risk to response personnel (i.e. volatiles). Not identified as a net benefit.		Not adopted.
ALARP summary: The impacts and potential risks from oil spill response are ALARP, based on the impact assessment outcomes using VOGA Risk Matrix (as per Table 4-2), the ALARP template to determine the appropriate decision context type (Table 4-4) and VOGA's criteria for demonstrating ALARP (Section 4.7). No reasonably practicable additional controls were identified that would further reduce the impacts without disproportionate sacrifice.			

5.12.6 Acceptability Demonstration

Table 5-52: Acceptability demonstration – Oil spill response

ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF RISK DEMONSTRATED	
Principles of ESD not compromised	Relevant Principles of ESD not compromised given proposed controls (Table 5-51).
External context – objections or claims considered	N/A – no external objections or claims received.
Internal context – VOGA HSE policy/procedures met	Yes – Risk managed in accordance with VOGA HSE policy. Potential spills to be managed in accordance with Wandoo Emergency Response Plan [VOG-2000-RD-0017]/Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016].
Other requirements met	Yes – Notice to Mariners issued via AMSA in a timely manner. Potential spills to be managed in accordance with SOPEP/SMPEP (equivalent to class).
RR < High (RRII)	Yes – Low (RRIV).
EPO(s) manage impacts to acceptable level(s)	Yes – The following relevant EPOs will be maintained: • EPO-GG-11. See Section 5.13 for further details.
Acceptability summary: The impacts and potential risks from oil spill response have been managed to a level that is broadly acceptable based on the demonstration of ALARP (Table 5-51), alignment with industry best practice, and VOGA's process for evaluating acceptability (Section 4.6).	

5.13 Environmental Performance Outcomes

Section 21 of the OPGGS(E)R requires an EP to include Environmental Performance Outcomes (EPOs), control measures, Environmental Performance Standards (EPSs) and measurement criteria (Section 4). To ensure environmental performance is maintained to ALARP and acceptable levels, EPOs, control measures, EPSs and measurement criteria have been defined for the activity. EPOs are listed in Table 5-53 and control measures, EPSs and measurement criteria are listed in Table 5-54.

Table 5-53: Environmental Performance Outcomes

Reference	EPO
EPO-GG-01	Undertake the survey activities in a manner that does not interfere with other marine users to a greater extent than is necessary for the exercise of right conferred by the titles granted.
EPO-GG-02	No serious or irreversible changes to seabed which may adversely impact on biodiversity, ecological integrity, social amenity or human health.
EPO-GG-03	Impacts to marine fauna from noise emissions will be limited to temporary behavioural change localised to the noise source, with no species population-level impacts.
EPO-GG-04	Air emissions requirements from vessels within the Operational Area are consistent with Marine Order 97 requirements.

Reference	EPO
EPO-GG-05	Impacts to marine fauna from light emissions will be limited to temporary behavioural change localised to the light source, with no species population-level impacts.
EPO-GG-06	No serious or irreversible change in water quality which may adversely impact on biodiversity, ecological integrity, social amenity or human health.
EPO-GG-07	No introduction, establishment or spread of a known or potential introduced marine species.
EPO-GG-08	No injury or mortality to threatened or migratory listed species from vessel collision/strike.
EPO-GG-09	No unplanned discharge of waste or objects to the marine environment.
EPO-GG-10	No unplanned discharge of hydrocarbons or chemicals to the marine environment.
EPO-GG-11	Impacts to values and sensitivities are minimised* in the event of a loss of hydrocarbons.

* Reduction of the potential extent of the area affected (based on the worst-case scenarios identified in Section 5.11.2) and/or time and sensitivities exposure.

Table 5-54: Control measures, environmental performance standard and measurement criteria

Control measure	Environmental performance standard	Measurement criteria	EPO reference (Table 5-53)
CM-1.1 Functional communication equipment on board vessels to communicate with commercial and recreational shipping vessels in the vicinity of the activities.	PS-1.1.1 Communication equipment on board surveys vessels, shall be functional and maintained in accordance with the contractor's PMS.	MC-1.1.1 VOGA inspection or audit confirms application of contractor's PMS. Communication equipment on board surveys vessels is included in the contractor's PMS.	EPO-GG-01
CM-1.2 Notification of activities direct to relevant persons.	PS-1.2.1 Relevant persons to be identified and notified as appropriate prior to activity, in accordance with Table 8-6.	MC-1.2.1 Record of relevant person assessment and correspondence.	EPO-GG-01 EPO-GG-09
CM-1.3 Vessels to adhere to the navigation safety requirements including the *Navigation Act 2012* and any subsequent Marine Orders.	PS-1.3.1 Vessels compliant with *Navigation Act 2012* and Marine Order 21 (Safety of navigation and emergency procedures) and Marine Order 30 (Prevention of collisions).	MC-1.3.1 Marine assurance inspection records demonstrate compliance with standard maritime safety procedures.	EPO-GG-01 EPO-GG-09
CM-2.1 Geotechnical sample locations will be finalised after the geophysical survey data is obtained and interpreted, so that geotechnical sample locations avoid sensitive benthic features.	PS-2.1.1 Geotechnical sample locations will avoid sub-seabed features and hazards including sensitive benthic features to support safe placement of the MODU's jack-up legs in accordance with survey objectives detailed in Section 2.1.	MC-2.1.1 Survey reports provide all sample and investigative locations and depths, demonstrating geotechnical sample locations avoid sensitive benthic features within the Operational Area.	EPO-GG-02
CM-2.2 Unexpected finds of potential Underwater Cultural Heritage sites/features are to be reported.	PS-2.2.1 In the event an underwater cultural heritage site or feature is identified, the Minister will be identified within 21 days through the Australasian Underwater Cultural Heritage Database tool.	MC-2.2.1 Record of relevant person correspondence.	EPO-GG-02

Control measure	Environmental performance standard	Measurement criteria	EPO reference (Table 5-53)
CM-3.1 Vessel engines and power generation equipment maintained to optimise smooth running.	PS-3.1.1 All vessel engines and power generation equipment shall be serviced in accordance with the relevant Contractor's preventative maintenance system (PMS) to limit excessive noise generation.	MC-3.1.1 VOGA inspection or audit confirms application of contractor's PMS. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records are up to date.	EPO-GG-03
CM-3.2 Vessels operating in the Operational Area must adhere to Part 8 of EPBC Regulation 2000 to minimise exposure of marine fauna to noise impacts.	PS-3.2.1 Compliance with EPBC Regulations 2000 – Part 8 Division 8.1 (Regulation 8.05 and 8.06) which requires that: • A vessel/towed equipment will not travel greater than 6 knots within 300 m of a whale (caution zone) and not approach closer than 100 m from a whale. • A vessel/towed equipment will not approach closer than 50 m of a dolphin or 100 m of a whale. • A vessel/towed equipment will not approach closer than 300 m to a calf (whale or dolphin) (the caution zone). • If a calf appears in the caution zone the vessel including towed equipment must be immediately stopped and must: ○ turn off the vessel's engines, or disengage the gears, or withdraw the vessel from the caution zone at a constant speed of less than 6 knots.	MC-3.2.1 Records demonstrate no breaches of EPBC Regulations 2000 – Part 8 Division 8.1 Interacting with cetaceans.	EPO-GG-03
CM-4.1 The sulphur content of fuel complies with Regulation 14 of MARPOL 73/78 Annex IV and AMSA Marine Order 97.	PS-4.1.1 Fuel purchased in Australia shall meet Australian standards.	MC-4.1.1 Records of low sulphur fuel usage.	EPO-GG-04

Control measure	Environmental performance standard	Measurement criteria	EPO reference (Table 5-53)
CM-4.2 Vessels comply with Regulation 14 of MARPOL 73/78 Annex VI and AMSA Marine Order Part 97.	PS-4.2.1 Marine diesel engines meet NOx emission requirements and limits as set out by MARPOL 73/78, Annex VI, Regulation 13, and have an International Air Pollution Prevention (IAPP) certificate. Onboard incinerators (if present) will meet IMO standards and are identified in the vessels' IAPP certificate. Equipment and systems that contain ozone depleting substances (ODS) comply with MARPOL 73/78, Annex VI, Regulation 12, are identified in the vessels' IAPP certificate and an ODS record book is maintained (where applicable). Vessels >400 GT have a SEEMP.	MC-4.2.1 Vessel IAPP certificate. ODS Record Book. Records of SEEMP.	EPO-GG-04
CM-4.3 Contractor PMS in place to maintain power generation systems and ancillary diesel engines.	PS-4.3.1 All vessel engines and power generation equipment shall be serviced in accordance with the relevant Contractor's PMS. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records shall be validated by VOGA to ensure they are up to date.	MC-4.3.1 VOGA inspection or audit confirms application of contractor's PMS. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records are up to date.	EPO-GG-04
CM-4.4 Contractor PMS in place to maintain refrigeration systems within the use of ODS.	PS-4.4.1 Refrigeration systems shall be maintained in accordance with contractor's PMS to ensure refrigerant emissions are minimised. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records shall be validated by VOGA to ensure they are up to date.	MC-4.4.1 VOGA inspection or audit confirms application of contractor's PMS. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records are up to date.	EPO-GG-04
CM-5.1 Deck lighting will be limited to the minimum required for navigational and safety requirements, with the exception of emergency events.	PS-5.1.1 Reduce unnecessary vessel deck lighting to only those required for safe work and navigation use, in accordance with the National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife (DCCEEW, 2023b).	MC-5.1.1 Vessel inspection during survey verifies no unnecessary light other than usage for safe work and navigation.	EPO-GG-05

Control measure	Environmental performance standard	Measurement criteria	EPO reference (Table 5-53)
CM-5.2 Reduce light spill from internal light sources.	PS-5.2.1 Blinds will be lowered on the vessel portholes and windows at night, in accordance with the National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife (DCCEEW, 2023b).	MC-5.2.1 Induction material demonstrates that vessel crew has been inducted in light spill reduction protocols, especially switching off non-essential lights, and closing of blinds at night.	EPO-GG-05
	PS-5.2.2 Non-essential vessel lighting will be switched off when not in use.	MC-5.2.2 Vessel inspection during survey demonstrates that non-essential vessel lighting is switched off at night.	EPO-GG-05
CM-6.1 Chemical assessment and selection process.	PS-6.1.1 Chemicals that will be discharged to the marine environment are selected in accordance with VOGA's Chemical Assessment Process (Section 6.6).	MC-6.1.1 Completed chemical assessments show chemicals discharged to the marine environment meet the criteria of VOGA's Chemical Assessment Process (Section 6.6).	EPO-GG-06
CM-6.2 Engines on board the vessels to be maintained in accordance with manufacturer's specifications.	PS-6.2.1 All vessel engines and power generation equipment shall be serviced in accordance with the relevant Contractor's PMS. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records shall be validated by VOGA to ensure they are up to date.	MC-6.2.1 VOGA inspection or audit confirms application of contractor's PMS. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records are up to date.	EPO-GG-06

Control measure	Environmental performance standard	Measurement criteria	EPO reference (Table 5-53)
CM 6.3 Vessels are required to comply with MARPOL 73/78 Annex I, Annex IV, Annex V, and AMSA Marine Orders 91 and 96.	PS-6.3.1 Vessel contractor procedures include the requirements to comply with MARPOL 73/78 (Annex IV; Regulation 8) as required by class: • Equipped with either a sewage treatment plant or sewage comminuting and disinfecting system or a sewage holding tank • Wastes shall be macerated to <25 mm prior to discharge • Untreated sewage will be stored on-board in suitable holding tanks and disposed of onshore at reception facility or to carrier licensed to receive the waste, or discharged at distance of more than 12 nm from nearest land.	MC-6.3.1 Vessel international Sewage Pollution Prevention Certificate.	EPO-GG-06
CM-7.1 Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements (DAWE, 2020) consistent with the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments (Ballast Water Management Convention) (IMO, 2004).	PS-7.1.1 Vessels will have an approved ballast water management plan and valid ballast water management certificate, unless an exemption applies or is obtained, as specified in the Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements.	MC-7.1.1 Ballast water management plan or record of exemption. Valid ballast management certificate or record of exemption.	EPO-GG-07

Control measure	Environmental performance standard	Measurement criteria	EPO reference (Table 5-53)
CM-7.2 Vessel and immersible equipment complete the VOGA Biofouling Risk Assessment Process consistent with National Biofouling Guidelines for the Petroleum Production and Exploration Industry and IMO Guidelines for the control and management of a ships' biofouling to minimise the transfer of IMS.	PS-7.2.1 Vessels will complete a VOGA Biofouling Risk Assessment, identifying a low risk before mobilisation to the Operational Area. Biofouling risk based on a range of information including presence of a biofouling management plan and record book, last port of call, age of anti-fouling coating etc. If a risk category of moderate, uncertain or high is scored, the process requires an independent IMS expert to be engaged and further risk assessment and/or management measures undertaken.	MC-7.2.1 Records of VOGA Biofouling Risk Assessments maintained for survey vessels and relevant immersible equipment entering the Operational Area demonstrating low risk status. Records of management measures implemented if required, through the IMS vessel risk assessment process.	EPO-GG-07
CM-7.3 Vessels comply with the Annex 1 of the International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-Fouling Systems on Ships.	PS-7.3.1 Anti-fouling systems on and the survey vessels are maintained in compliance with International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-Fouling Systems on Ships (IMO, 2001): • Prohibits the use of harmful organotins in antifouling paints used on ships and establishes a mechanism to prevent the potential future use of other harmful substances in anti-fouling systems.	MC-7.3.1 Records indicate vessel anti-fouling systems have not used harmful organotins.	EPO-GG-07

Control measure	Environmental performance standard	Measurement criteria	EPO reference (Table 5-53)
CM-8.1 Vessels contracted by VOGA operating in the Operational Area must have procedures that adhere to Part 8 of EPBC Regulation 2000 to minimise exposure of marine fauna.	PS-8.1.1 Compliance with EPBC Regulations 2000 – Part 8 Division 8.1 (Regulation 8.05 and 8.06) which requires that: • A vessel/towed equipment will not travel greater than 6 knots within 300 m of a whale (caution zone) and not approach closer than 100 m from a whale. • A vessel/towed equipment will not approach closer than 50 m of a dolphin or 100 m of a whale. • A vessel/towed equipment will not approach closer than 300 m to a calf (whale or dolphin) (the caution zone). • If a calf appears in the caution zone the vessel including towed equipment must be immediately stopped and must: ○ turn off the vessel's engines, or disengage the gears, or withdraw the vessel from the caution zone at a constant speed of less than 6 knots.	MC-8.1.1 Records demonstrate no breaches of EPBC Regulations 2000 – Part 8 Division 8.1 Interacting with cetaceans.	EPO-GG-08
CM-9.1 Non-hazardous and hazardous wastes are managed in accordance with contractor's Waste Management Plan.	PS-9.1.1 Hazardous and non-hazardous wastes shall be segregated into recyclable and non-recyclable in accordance with the vessel Waste Management Plan.	MC-9.1.1 Vessel inspection during survey confirms hazardous and non-hazardous wastes are segregated into recyclable and non-recyclable wastes. Compliance records from VOGA's waste management audit.	EPO-GG-09

Control measure	Environmental performance standard	Measurement criteria	EPO reference (Table 5-53)
	PS-9.1.2 Waste shall be stored in clearly marked containers, and hazardous wastes bunded, in accordance with the relevant Safety Data Sheet (SDS) and the vessel Waste Management Plan.	MC-9.1.2 Vessel inspection during survey confirms compliant waste storage.	EPO-GG-09
	PS-9.1.3 Wastes for onshore disposal shall be transported in suitable containers as outlined in the vessel Waste Management Plan.	MC-9.1.3 Vessel inspection during survey confirms waste is transported onshore in relevant containers.	EPO-GG-09
	PS-9.1.4 All non-hazardous (except putrescible waste and wastewater) and hazardous waste shall be transported to shore and disposed of in appropriately licensed facilities in accordance with the vessel Waste Management Plan.	MC-9.1.4 Waste disposal receipts confirm appropriate disposal of wastes (type and volume).	EPO-GG-09
CM-9.2 Vessel inductions include control measures for crew in dropped object prevention.	PS-9.2.1 Crew inductions, and job safety analyses where relevant, will include dropped object prevention control measures.	MC-9.2.1 Induction material demonstrates that vessel crew have been inducted in dropped object prevention.	EPO-GG-09
CM-9.3 Vessel procedures are compliant with MARPOL Convention Annex V, Prevention of Pollution by Garbage from Ships and Marine Orders 95.	PS-9.3.1 All vessels licensed to carry more than 15 persons or over 400 gross tonnage shall have and implement a Waste Management Plan and maintain a Garbage Record Book in accordance with MARPOL Convention Annex V, Prevention of Pollution by Garbage from Ships and Marine Order 95.	MC-9.3.1 VOGA inspection or audit of vessels to ensure compliance with Waste Management Plan. Garbage Record Book details the wastes (type and volume) disposed.	EPO-GG-09

Control measure	Environmental performance standard	Measurement criteria	EPO reference (Table 5-53)
CM-9.4 Recovery of dropped objects where practical to do so.	PS-9.4.1 Any hazardous solid waste dropped to the marine environment will be recovered where safe and practicable to do so. Where safe and practicable for this activity, consider: • Risk to personnel to retrieve object • Whether the location of the object is in recoverable water depths • The object's proximity to subsea infrastructure • Ability to recover the object (i.e. nature of object, lifting equipment or ROV availability, and suitable weather).	MC-9.4.1 Incident reports detail the recovery attempt consideration and status of any hazardous waste lost to the marine environment.	EPO-GG-09
CM-10.1 Chemical storage on vessels compliant with vessel contractor's storage requirements to prevent release to marine environment.	PS-10.1.1 All hazardous chemicals shall be stored in bunded areas or below deck to prevent release to marine environment.	MC-10.1.1 Vessel inspection during survey confirms compliant chemical storage.	EPO-GG-10
CM-10.2 Contractor's PMS includes hydraulic hose maintenance and replacement schedule.	PS-10.2.1 All hydraulic hoses shall be serviced in accordance with the relevant Contractor's PMS and replacement schedule. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records shall be validated by VOGA to ensure they are up to date.	MC-10.2.1 VOGA inspection or audit confirms application of contractor's PMS. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records are up to date.	EPO-GG-10
CM-10.3 Navigational lights on vessels.	PS-10.3.1 Vessel navigation lighting shall comply with SOLAS and the *Navigation Act 2012*.	MC-10.3.1 VOGA inspection or audit confirms lighting complies with SOLAS and the *Navigation Act 2012*.	EPO-GG-10

Control measure	Environmental performance standard	Measurement criteria	EPO reference (Table 5-53)
CM-10.4 Vessels shall be DP capable.	PS-10.4.1 Vessel contract specifies DP-capable vessels.	MC-10.4.1 VOGA inspection or audit confirms vessels are DP capable.	EPO-GG-10
CM-10.5 Vessels perform DP trials as required by their PMS.	PS-10.5.1 Vessels are required to conduct DP trials at the commencement of each survey campaign.	MC-10.5.1 DP trials noted in ships log.	EPO-GG-10
CM-10.6 Vessels contracted by VOGA have certified masters.	PS-10.6.1 VOGA shall validate that vessel masters meet requirements of their company's CMS.	MC-10.6.1 Review of contractors CMS.	EPO-GG-10
CM-10.7 Vessels contracted by VOGA have systems to assist with tracking and identifying vessels in the general area.	PS-10.7.1 VOGA shall validate that vessels are fitted with radar and AIS.	MC-10.7.1 VOGA inspection or audit confirms presence of working radar equipment.	EPO-GG-10

Control measure	Environmental performance standard	Measurement criteria	EPO reference (Table 5-53)
CM-10.8 Contractor bunkering equipment requirements: • Contractor's PMS includes bulk transfer hose maintenance and replacement schedule to ensure current certification and hoses are in good condition. • Fuel hoses have dry-break couplings and floatation. • Adequate number of appropriately stocked, located and maintained spill kits.	PS-10.8.1 • All transfer hoses shall be maintained and confirmed currently certified in accordance with the relevant Contractor's PMS. • VOGA shall validate Contractor's fuel hoses will have dry-break couplings and floatation. • VOGA shall validate the presence of adequate number of appropriately stocked, located and maintained spill kits in accordance with vessel SOPEP/SMPEP (equivalent to class).	MC-10.8.1 • VOGA inspection or audit confirms application of contractor's PMS. Contractor's servicing and maintenance records are up to date. • VOGA inspection confirms Contractor's fuel hoses have dry-break couplings and floatation. • VOGA inspection confirms presence of adequate number of appropriately stocked, located and maintained spill kits in accordance with vessel SOPEP/SMPEP (equivalent to class).	EPO-GG-10

Control measure	Environmental performance standard	Measurement criteria	EPO reference (Table 5-53)
CM-10.9 Contractor bunkering procedure includes: • Controls to prevent collision between bunkering vessel and survey vessel. • Check hoses prior to bunkering. • Monitoring of gauges, hoses, fittings and sea surface during bunkering. • Bunkering only in daylight hours. • No bunkering in marginal weather conditions.	PS-10.9.1 Vessels compliant with Contractor bunkering procedure for the prevention of collisions and accidental release of MDO.	MC-10.9.1 Records demonstrate bunkering operations undertaken in accordance with Contractor bunkering procedure.	EPO-GG-10
CM-11.1 Vessels will implement a SOPEP/SMPEP in the event of a spill.	PS-11.1.1 SOPEP/SMPEP (equivalent to class) procedures to shall be available during survey activities.	MC-11.1.1 VOGA inspection or audit confirms SOPEP/SMPEP (equivalent to class) procedures are available on the vessels during survey activities.	EPO-GG-11
CM-11.2 Vessels have equipment to manage small deck spills.	PS-11.2.1 Equipment meeting the requirements of the SOPEP/SMPEP (equivalent to class) shall be available on the vessels during survey activities.	MC-11.2.1 VOGA inspection or audit confirms appropriate spill kits are available during survey activities.	EPO-GG-11
CM-11.3 The NOPSEMA-accepted OPEP will be implemented in the event of any diesel spill to the marine environment.	PS-11.3.1 Oil spill response strategies shall be implemented in accordance with the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016].	MC-11.3.1 IAP records.	EPO-GG-11

Control measure	Environmental performance standard	Measurement criteria	EPO reference (Table 5-53)
CM-11.4 The NOPSEMA-accepted OSMP will be implemented in the event of any diesel spill to the marine environment.	PS-11.4.1 Oil spill operational and scientific monitoring shall be implemented in accordance with the Wandoo Field Operational and Scientific Monitoring Bridging Implementation Plan [VOG-1100-RG-0002].	MC-11.4.1 IAP records.	EPO-GG-11
CM-11.5 Decision making processes support mitigation of environmental impact of spills and assessment of effectiveness of response strategies.	PS-11.5.1 The Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016] shall provide a process for completing an IAP which shall include: • An environmental impact assessment of the proposed response activities • Selection of the most appropriate response activities (strategies) • Identification of appropriate operational and scientific monitoring activities • Operational and scientific monitoring outputs shall inform the effectiveness of response strategies.	MC-11.5.1 Accepted Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016].	EPO-GG-11
CM-11.6 The Wandoo Field OSCP describes incident management system and interfaces.	PS-11.6.1 • Organisational structure and roles and responsibilities of Incident Control Team (ICT) members are defined in the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP. • Interfaces between the VOGA ICT and the command teams representing State and Commonwealth Oil Spill Response Agencies are described in the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP.	MC-11.6.1 Accepted Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016].	EPO-GG-11

Control measure	Environmental performance standard	Measurement criteria	EPO reference (Table 5-53)
CM-11.7 Ensure the most effective response strategies are being applied and environmental impact of the spill and response strategies are measured.	PS-11.7.1 • Monitoring shall be activated from time of spill detection to inform implementation of response strategies. • Preliminary Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling (OSTM) to be requested within 3 hours of a spill being reported. • Satellite tracking buoys to be deployed within 30 minutes of a spill being reported. • Environmental data to support decision making (IAP) and spill impact assessment shall be available prior to impact.	MC-11.7.1 IAP records.	EPO-GG-11
CM-11.8 Minimise potential impacts on fauna caused by oiled wildlife response activities.	PS-11.8.1 Induction and training shall cover any special handling requirements to minimise further detrimental impacts to flora and fauna.	MC-11.8.1 Induction material. IAP records.	EPO-GG-11
	PS-11.8.2 Wildlife strategy including hazing, if required, shall be developed in consultation with the Department of Transport.	MC-11.8.2 IAP records.	EPO-GG-11
CM-11.9 Oil spill response personnel understand and competently perform their response roles.	PS-11.9.1 Oil spill response personnel are competent in their required emergency response roles.	MC-11.9.1 Exercises conducted in accordance with VOGA Emergency Response Schedule [VOG-1100-YH-0001]. HSEMS audits of Element 8 are conducted in accordance with the HSEMS Element 12 – Performance Assurance Manual [VOG-1100-YG-1201.02].	EPO-GG-11

Control measure	Environmental performance standard	Measurement criteria	EPO reference (Table 5-53)
CM-11.10 Current oil spill response arrangements are accessible to all personnel in the event of an oil spill.	PS-11.10.1 Oil spill response documentation is up to date, maintained and readily available within VOGA Information Systems.	MC-11.10.1 HSE MS audits of Element 8 are conducted in accordance with the HSEMS Element 12 – Performance Assurance Manual [VOG-1100-YG-1201.02].	EPO-GG-11

Section 6 – Implementation Strategy

6.1 Overview

The primary goals of the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP implementation strategy is to direct, review and manage operations activities so that environmental impacts and risks are continually being managed to ALARP, and to ensure that performance outcomes and performance standards are being met over the life of the project activities.

The VOGA HSE MS provides the procedures and practices that will be followed to ensure environmental risks of operations are reduced to ALARP.

The following approaches are used to ensure VOGA's HSE MS, practices and procedures are implemented:

- Activities will be undertaken in accordance with VOGA's HSE MS (Section 6.2)
- Activities will be undertaken in accordance with VOGA's HSE Policy (Appendix A)
- Activities will be assessed in accordance with the VOGA Risk Management Manual [VOG-2000-MN-0001] (Section 5)
- Contractors are managed (Section 6.3)
- Roles and responsibilities are outlined (Section 6.4)
- Training and competency are outlined (Section 6.5)
- Chemicals used in offshore applications are appropriately managed (Section 6.6)
- A biofouling risk assessment process is in place and implemented (Section 6.7)
- Performance outcomes, standards and measurement criteria are implemented (Section 6.8)
- Non-conformances and changes are appropriately managed (Section 6.9)
- Monitoring and review are undertaken, including inspection and assurance plan developed and implemented (Section 6.10)
- Opportunities for continual improvement are identified (Section 6.11.4)
- Emergency Response Plans (ERPs) are in place (Section 6.12)
- Oil pollution response arrangements are in place and regularly tested (Section 6.13)
- Environmental performance reporting is undertaken (Section 7).

6.2 HSE Management System

6.2.1 Overview

The HSE MS provides the procedures and practices that will be followed to ensure that the environmental risks associated with its activities are reduced to ALARP.

The HSE MS has been developed to be consistent with the requirements of the following standards:

- ISO 14001: Environmental Management System
- OHSAS 18002:2000 Occupational Health & Safety Management Systems (an international standard equivalent to AS/NZS 4801)
- API 9100 Model Environmental, Health and Safety Management System.

HSE MS is also consistent with AS/NZS 4360:2004 Risk Management although it has not been developed specifically to meet that standard.

6.2.2 Structure

The following section describes the structure of the HSE MS, how it integrates top level management through to activities on the facilities, and how the elements of the HSE MS are linked in a logical manner to all activities. The overall HSE MS documentation hierarchy is illustrated in Figure 6-1.

Figure 6-1: HSE MS framework



6.2.3 HSE Policy

The VOGA HSE Policy applies to all VOGA activities. The written policy statement provides an overall commitment in terms of key principles for managing health, safety and the environment. The Policy sets the overall HSE intentions of the organisation and contains a commitment to continuous improvement. The Policy is the starting point for setting VOGA's HSE objectives and targets. A copy of VOGA's HSE Policy is provided in Appendix A.

6.2.4 Contents

VOGA has developed an HSE MS that consists of three phases as follows:

- Phase 1: Plan
- Phase 2: Implement
- Phase 3: Check and Correct.

As outlined in Figure 6-2, the three-phase structure provides a continual improvement feedback cycle consistent with management system concepts that underlie the various standards. Each phase has one or more component element, and each element has multiple expectations.

Figure 6-2: Outline of HSE MS process – Plan-Implement-Check and Correct



The HSE MS is structured into 12 elements as outlined in Table 6-1; each element has been developed within the overall three-phase HSE MS process (Plan-Implement-Check and Correct). As such, each element connects to one or more of the other elements within the overall continual improvement cycle.

Table 6-1: Elements of HSE MS and objectives

Phase	HSE MS Elements	Objectives
Plan	Element 1: Management, Leadership and Policy	Management provides vision, resources and the environment for HSE MS success. All employees understand and are held accountable for the success of HSE MS. Performance excellence in HSE is recognised as a priority and prerequisite to successful business results.

Phase	HSE MS Elements	Objectives
	Element 2: Corporate and Social Responsibility and Communication with Stakeholders	VOGA is committed to protecting health, safety and the environment, and reducing and managing the HSE risks associated with its operations. In doing so, it is important that VOGA commit to an open dialogue with employees, the communities in which they operate, and other relevant stakeholders with respect to HSE issues associated with VOGA operations.
	Element 3: Risk Management	VOGA will ensure that risks are identified and managed to minimise the potential for incidents and liabilities.
	Element 4: MoC	Risks associated with change to personnel, organisations, procedures, practices, designs, facilities and regulatory requirements are identified, evaluated and managed.
Implement	Element 5: Training and Competency	Personnel are required to have the necessary skills and competencies to carry out their responsibilities in a safe and effective manner. Managers will ensure all employees have the appropriate skills and knowledge and will provide training where necessary.
	Element 6: Operations and Maintenance	HSE practices and procedures are necessary for the construction and operation of each asset. Practices and procedures are prescriptive “how to’s” of job tasks. The purpose of this element is to identify the requirements for practices and procedures necessary for each business/facility to ensure employees, contractors, the general public and the environment are protected from accidents/incidents.
	Element 7: Contractor Management and Procurement	It is important that controls are in place to ensure that activities undertaken by contractors, vendors and service providers are carried out in an efficient, safe and environmentally responsible manner.
	Element 8: Emergency Preparedness Management and Response	All operating areas have the necessary ERPs, skills and equipment to respond quickly to any emergency associated with our operations.
	Element 9: Incident Management	Reporting, investigating, analysing, follow-up and sharing information from incidents (and near misses) are used to minimise future occurrences.
	Element 10: Security Management	VOGA protects its people and assets from security risks and threats.
	Element 11: Documentation and Records	Operating regions ensure relevant documentation and records required to meet regulatory and VOGA business entity internal performance requirements, are maintained, organised and accessible.
Check and Correct	Element 12: Performance Assessment	Continual improvement is assured through regular assessments, audits and reports to management.

6.2.5 Review

To ensure ongoing effectiveness and continual improvement of the HSE MS, VOGA and Vermilion Energy Inc. periodically review the elements of the HSE MS, including Element 12. This review process is intended to provide a mechanism for making changes to the HSE MS as necessary to achieve the organisational goals and meet the expectations of stakeholders. VOGA and Vermilion Energy Inc. Senior Management review VOGA HSE MS performance in order to achieve the following:

- Determine its continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness
- Address possible needs for changes to the HSE Policy, procedures, objectives, targets and other elements of the management system
- Identify opportunities for continual improvement.

VOGA and Vermilion Energy Inc. Senior Management review the effectiveness of the VOGA management system and provide formal feedback to assure continual improvement through:

- An annual review of VOGA HSE MS performance
- Monthly review of HSE Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)
- Incident reports (as required)
- Audit reports (as required).

Checklists detailing content, inputs and outputs of the annual review and monthly review of HSE KPIs are provided in Management System Manual: Performance Assurance Manual [VOG-1100-YG-1201.02].

6.3 Contractor Management

Many of the risks associated with the vessel and survey operations are embedded in processes that are controlled by service or contractor organisations and contractor personnel. VOGA selects contractors and service providers in accordance with the VOGA Contractor and Vendor Selection and Management Manual [VOG-1000-MN-0001]. Each high-risk contractor is assessed to ensure they meet a minimum level of service capability, inclusive of management systems to ensure acceptable Quality HSE performance and personnel competency. If shortcomings are identified during the assessment process, they are reviewed with the contractor in question and, where necessary, management processes are applied to address critical areas of concern.

VOGA requires that:

- The specifications of equipment or materials that have potential Quality HSE impacts are reviewed to verify suitability for the intended use and to mitigate against the introduction of additional risks.
- All requisitions for materials and equipment specify, where relevant, appropriate certification and inspection requirements.
- Induction programs are in place to ensure that personnel are aware of the management systems in use during the operations and to communicate any major HSE risks and their management strategies.

VOGA commissions pre-mobilisation inspections to ensure their compliance with applicable standards and contractor management systems and to confirm that they can operate in a safe and environmentally responsible manner. Further detail on inspections and audits undertaken is provided in Section 7.13.

6.4 Key Roles and Responsibilities

The key roles and responsibilities for the implementation, management and review of the EP have been established. A description of the roles and responsibilities of the key positions are outlined in Table 6-2 in relation to VOGA's HSE MS and HSE performance, which includes this EP and environmental performance.

All VOGA personnel have a duty to carry out their work in accordance with the VOGA HSE MS to effectively manage HSE risks. Responsibility for HSE lies at every level of the organisation, with the ultimate responsibility resting with the managers (Well Construction Manager, Engineering Manager and Finance Manager), and ultimate accountability resting with the VOGA Managing Director.

Table 6-2: EP key roles and responsibilities

Role	Responsibilities
VOGA Managing Director	• Reviews and approves this EP and Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016]. • Ensures that appropriate resource and competence levels are available to deliver safe, efficient and effective operations within VOGA's organisation. • Ensures overall compliance with the VOGA HSE MS. • Ensures overall compliance with the EP and Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016] with advice from the HSES Advisor. • Responsible for facilitating an emergency response strategy in the event of an incident.
Well Construction Manager	• Sets expectations and provides resources for successful implementation of the HSE MS, which include this EP. • Reviews the HSE performance to ensure it meets company objectives and expectations. • Reviews performance against EPSs outlined in this EP. • Ensures all individuals for whom they are responsible are adequately skilled for tasks they are expected to perform, and work processes used are fit for purpose. • Ensures compliance with EP. • Effective review and corrective action tracking in place for all incidents, defects, hazards, inadequacies of procedures and suggested improvements that are escalated. • Ensures that all personnel (including contractors) are aware of their responsibilities in regard to ensuring compliance with the Commitments Register and that processes are in place to meet them. • Oversees all aspects of contracting, procurement, logistics, QHSE, planning, design, execution and review for project activities. • Ensures that effective emergency response systems are in place for operations. • Ensures that VOGA's stated obligations are met during all project activities.

Role	Responsibilities
	• Ensures that the policies and procedures of contractor companies are consistent with the VOGA MS. • Ensures activities specified in the Commitments Register are appropriately closed out. • Ensures that all significant changes that may increase risk to environment are managed via the MoC Process.
Engineering Manager	• Fulfilling emergency response and crisis management roles as defined in the Wandoo ERP [VOG-2000-RD-0017] and Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016]. • Ensures that all significant changes that may increase risk to environment are managed via the MoC Process. • Ensures that VOGA's stated obligations are met during project activities.
Field Superintendent	• Manages coordinating and controlling of all field activities (logistics). • Acts as Permit to Work custodian and ensures that the potential impact of a SIMOPs environment is considered during the preparations for all activities. • Provides leadership and stewardship for environment protection. • Escalate issues to the next level of management when the issue impacts other areas. • Fulfilling emergency response and crisis management roles as defined in the Wandoo ERP [VOG-2000-RD-0017] and Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016]. • NOTE: Upon activation of the Wandoo ERP [VOG-2000-RD-0017], the on-site Field Superintendent has authority. Outside of an emergency situation, the Field Superintendent works in accordance of HSE MS requirements and seeks approvals to for changes in accordance with MoC processes.
Logistics Coordinator	• Ensures appropriate logistical resources are available in the field and in the supply base to deliver safe, efficient and effective operations.
Team Leader/ Supervisor	• Ensuring work processes are fit for purpose. • Develops, reviews and closes out corrective actions in response to lessons learnt, incidents, defects, hazards, inadequacies of procedures and suggested improvements reported within their area of responsibility. • Escalate issues to the next level of management when the issue impacts other areas. • Implementation of leadership requirements as specified in the HSE MS. • Fulfilling emergency response and crisis management roles as defined in the Wandoo ERP [VOG-2000-RD-0017].
HSE Manager/ Environmental Advisor	• Providing advice and guidance on the implementation of the HSE MS, which include this EP. • Assisting in the development of the EP and Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016]. • Preparing and ensuring delivery of HSE content at inductions. • Preparing monthly report to NOPSEMA outlining non-conformances with performance standards outlined in this EP. • Ensuring EP compliance report is prepared and submitted to NOPSEMA. • Maintaining EP commitment register.

Role	Responsibilities
	• Undertaking chemical assessment process. • Leading and participating in incident investigation and analysis. • Promoting, facilitating and driving the implementation and continuous improvement of the HSE MS. • Providing general support for, and coordination of HSE MS related assessments, audits and training activities. • Liaising with regulatory and other government departments as required. • Coordinating incident reporting internally and communicating to external agencies. • The custodianship and administration of HSE MS Element 10 – Security Management. • Fulfilling emergency response and crisis management roles as defined in the Wandoo ERP [VOG-2000-RD-0017] and Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016].
Contract Manager	• Considering HSE and operation performance in the selection of contractors, vendors and service providers and ensures services and products. • Informing all contractors, vendors and service providers of VOGA's HSE and operation expectations including relevant procedures and practices and emergency plans. • Regularly monitoring contractors, vendors and service providers to ensure compliance with VOGA expectations.
All VOGA personnel and contractors	• Following procedures that implement the requirements of the VOGA HSE MS, including this EP. • Following instructions and training and carrying out their work in a manner which does not present a risk to themselves, others or the environment. • Participating in HSE activities and systems. • Reporting all incidents, defects, hazards and inadequacies of procedures so that appropriate review and corrective action can be taken. • Fulfilling emergency response and crisis management roles as defined in the Wandoo ERP [VOG-2000-RD-0017].
Vessel Master	• Ensures that all operations on board the vessel are carried out in accordance with environmental legislative requirements, commitments, conditions and procedures as provided in this EP. • Ensures monitoring is undertaken in accordance with EP requirements. • Report all incidents to the VOGA Field Superintendent.

6.5 Training and Competency

The Well Construction Manager has responsibility for ensuring the competency of personnel involved in survey activities, in accordance with Element 5 of the HSE MS. The competence of VOGA personnel involved in activities is assured through the employee selection processes. Recruitment process records are maintained.

Ongoing training requirements are identified through individual training needs analysis to ensure competency requirements are maintained. Annual performance reviews undertaken on VOGA staff includes a review of HSE performance.

Information sessions are undertaken as required to ensure all employees are kept aware of HSE MS requirements, including compliance with environmental performance standards outlined in this EP.

6.5.1 Induction

All personnel working on activities under this EP will receive an induction that includes the following information:

- VOGA's HSE Policy
- Major project risks and their associated mitigation strategies
- Emergency response
- Regulatory and procedural requirements
- Environmental sensitivities of the area
- Waste management and dropped objects
- Chemical and hydrocarbon spill prevention and spill response measures.

Inductions will be conducted at the following locations:

- Survey vessel – to communicate expectations to personnel and crews, and ensure personnel are aware of relevant EP performance standards and emergency response roles and responsibilities.

6.6 Chemical Assessment Process

VOGA has a chemical assessment and selection process to manage the risks and impacts associated with discharge of chemicals to the marine environment to ALARP during well survey activities.

VOGA uses the chemical ranking scheme developed through the Oslo and Paris Conventions (OSPAR) Commission decision 2000/2 and the OSPAR list of substances considered to Pose Little or No Risk to the Environment (PLONOR) to the environment to determine the risk of discharge of chemicals to the environment in order to select the lowest toxicity chemicals practicable.

Under the OSPAR list, chemicals are ranked using the Chemical Hazard and Risk Management (CHARM) model. The CHARM model calculates the ratio of Predicted Effect Concentration against Predicted No Effect Concentration (PEC:PNEC). This is expressed as a Hazard Quotient (HQ), which is then used to rank the product. HQ is converted to a colour banding to denote its environmental hazard (Table 6-3) which is then published on the Definitive Ranked Lists of Approved Products by the OCNS.

Products not applicable to the CHARM model (i.e. inorganic substances, hydraulic fluids or chemicals used only in pipelines) are assigned an OCNS grouping, A-E. Group A includes products considered to have the greatest potential environmental hazard and Group E the least.

Any chemical that will be discharged to the marine environment during survey activities shall meet at least one of the following criteria:

- The chemical is listed on the OSPAR List of Substances Used and Discharged Offshore which are considered to PLONOR
- The chemical has a HQ banding of Gold or Silver or an OCNS grouping of E or D in accordance with the OCNS Definitive Ranked List of Registered Substances.

If the chemical is not OCNS listed, the ecotoxicity data will be assessed in accordance with the OCNS system. The OCNS system requires bioaccumulation, biodegradation and aquatic toxicity data from relevant species such as algae, crustacea or fish to be assessed. Once appropriate ecotoxicity values are obtained, they will be evaluated against the OCNS grouping data.

An ALARP justification is required for any chemicals not OCNS listed, if listed with a substitution warning, if there is no available ecotoxicity data, or if ecotoxicity is available but:

- LC50 <10 mg/L or EC50 <10 mg/L
- The bioaccumulation octanol-water partition coefficient (log Pow)>3, or
- The percentage biodegradation within 28 days is <20%.

The ALARP justification assesses the chemical requiring approval and available alternative options in accordance with the following factors (in order of priority):

- Health and safety criteria (Dangerous Goods Class and Safe Work Australia risk phases)
- Ecotoxicity criteria (aquatic toxicity, bioaccumulation and biodegradation data for relevant species)
- Cost.

The change of chemical will be risk assessed and documented in a MoC in accordance with the process outlined in Section 6.11.

Table 6-3: OCNS HQ and colour bands

Minimum HQ value	Maximum HQ value	Colour banding	
>0	<1	Gold	Lowest hazard
≥1	<30	Silver	
≥30	<100	White	
≥100	<300	Blue	
≥300	<1,000	Orange	
≥1,000	-	Purple	Highest hazard

6.7 Biofouling Risk Assessment Process

The biofouling risk assessment process is undertaken as part of the process for engaging a new Contractor for activities involving vessel and/or immersible equipment, or for the mobilisation of a previously contracted vessel known to have an increased risk profile since last use (e.g. extended period in Port).

The process excludes ballast water management. Ballast water risk is managed under the *Biosecurity Act 2015*, Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements (DAWE, 2020),

consistent with the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments (Ballast Water Management Convention) (IMO, 2004).

The following steps outline the VOGA Biofouling Risk Assessment Process:

Step 1: Conduct Review of Relevant Contractor Procedures and Documentation:

- Biofouling Management Plan consistent with IMO guidelines.
- Biofouling Record Book.
- Antifouling Coating Certificate.
- immersible equipment management procedures.

Step 2: Conduct Biosecurity Risk Assessment (vessel and/or immersible equipment):

- Gather relevant information (where available).
- Recent inspection(s) – date/location and any corrective actions taken.
- Dry dock/cleaning information – date/location.
- Operational history (e.g. operating out of areas with known IMS).
- Anti-fouling Coatings (AFC) – valid, age, coverage, appropriate for vessel activity profile.
- maintenance and inspection records and biocide dosing information for Marine Growth Prevention Systems (MGPS).
- Vessel operating profile – including any details of vessel operating outside normal profile, extended inactive periods.
- Vessel previously located in high-risk area (vessel sharing with other high or uncertain risk conveyance/platform, ports with established IMS).
- Immersible equipment activity profile and history.
- Immersible equipment cleaning, drying, maintenance and storage practices.
- Planned activity profile for vessel immersible equipment – proximity to Wandoo infrastructure.
- The WA DPIRD 'Vessel Check' may be used to validate the low-risk status of commercial vessels frequenting WA waters.
- Assess risk using the VOGA Risk Management Manual [VOG-2000-MN-0001] based on biofouling risk indicators from the WA DPIRD 'Vessel Check'. Risk indicators will include the following:
  - history of the vessel/facility, including time spent in ports of call since last dry dock, cleaning regime or marine pest inspection results
  - time between a biofouling inspection and mobilisation to an offshore activity to inform exposure risk following the last inspection and justify the relevance of biofouling inspection findings
  - presence of an appropriate biofouling management plan and effective implementation of the plan

- level of existing biofouling and the presence of species of concern (in particular, the presence of marine pests) within biofouling communities on the vessel/infrastructure/ facility associated with the activity (informed by biofouling record books, maintenance records, cleaning results or inspection programs)
- operational profile relevant to biosecurity risk such as operating speed, time alongside a facility and the need for ballast exchanges while engaged in an offshore activity.

Step 3: Further Risk Mitigation Actions:

If a low risk is not identified, an IMS expert will be engaged to review risk assessment and recommend mitigation measures to implement for the vessel risk to be acceptable. Further information must be obtained, and action undertaken to reduce risks to an acceptable level (i.e. low risk or further risk mitigation actions implemented) prior to proceeding with engagement of vessel or equipment. Mitigation measures include:

- Engage independent IMS expert to conduct visual inspection
- Cleaning of biofouling on submerged surfaces to reduce the risk of marine pest transfer
- Additional marine growth prevention measures (e.g. dosing of internal seawater system with biocides, application or re-application of AFC)
- Using alternative vessels or other mobile components or equipment that have a demonstrated low biosecurity risk profile.

6.8 Implementation of Performance Standards

6.8.1 Overview

The process of defining and determining VOGA's performance outcomes, standards and measurement criteria are defined in Section 4. The implementation framework is based on the right-hand side loop of the Performance Standards cycle in Figure 6-3, 'Sustain Performance of Critical Control Measures,' as part of the Ongoing Operations and Risk Management component of the performance improvement process. The framework includes four key areas:

- **Apply and maintain control measures**: Addressed through the implementation of VOGA's HSE MS.
- **Verify and monitor compliance**: Conducted using the EP Commitment Register.
- **Investigate, assess and manage performance deviations**: When a control fails to meet its defined performance standard, the MoC process to assess the change in risk and identify appropriate risk management strategies.
- **MoC**: Changes due to investigation into a performance deviation and changes to performance standards themselves are all assessed through VOGA's MoC process which addresses the potential impact of the change to the environment.

6.8.2 EP Commitment Register

The EP commitment register has been developed to verify compliance with environmental performance outcomes and standards outlined in this EP. The EP commitment register outlines

environmental performance outcomes, performance standards and measurement criteria, the VOGA personnel responsible for monitoring compliance with each environmental performance standard and the EP risk number the environmental performance standard applies to.

The Well Construction Manager will ensure that all personnel (including contractors) are aware of their responsibilities with regard to ensuring compliance with environmental performance outcomes and standards and that processes are in place to meet them.

Verification of compliance with environmental performance outcomes and standards will be undertaken by internal and/or external audits and inspection (Section 6.10.1.1).

Figure 6-3: Performance Standards and Continuous Improvement (adapted from NOPSEMA's Control Measures and Performance Standards' Guidance Notes, 2011)



6.9 Management of Performance Deviation

VOGA recognises that it is important to manage deviations from performance standards to ensure that changes in risk levels are understood and continually managed.

The management of deviations is outlined in VOGA's Risk Management Manual [VOG-2000-MN-0001] and Deviation Risk Assessment [VOG-2000-RD-0014].

The Deviation Risk Assessment (DRA) procedure involves a systematic evaluation of risks associated with a deviation, with the subsequent implementation of mitigation measures where necessary. The process will ensure the identified risks associated with an environmental event assessed to have a catastrophic impact under the VOGA risk matrix remain ALARP.

The EP defines the approved operating envelope which is informed by risk assessments. The purpose of the DRA process is to ensure that VOGA activities are conducted within the safe operating envelope. In the event there is, or expected to be, a deviation from the safe operating envelope, a DRA should be conducted.

Deviations to activities, management controls or environmental risks will be reviewed to confirm that any changes assessed as significant will trigger a revision to the EP.

The DRA process also identifies risk management strategies required to ensure risk levels are tolerable and ALARP.

Deviations are managed by VOGA's DRA Form [VOG-2000-RD-0014.01]. The DRA is a documented risk assessment tool that addresses deviation risk management requirements outlined above. Review and approval of the DRA is obtained from relevant representatives. Where required, implementation of contingency actions is managed by the MoC process (Section 6.11).

6.10 Monitoring and Review

6.10.1 Assurance

The VOGA assurance procedure is outlined in the Performance Assurance Manual [VOG-1100-YG-1201.02]. The assurance process comprises:

- Scheduling
- Preparation and planning
- The audit and/or inspection
- Reporting
- Follow-up and action item close-out.

6.10.1.1 Inspections and Audits

Internal and external inspections and audits will be undertaken to confirm that commitments specified within the EP Commitments Register are being met.

Inspection of equipment and processes are a means by which VOGA collect records pertaining to technical status of contractor equipment and compliance with certain environmental performance standards. The inspection process is similar to, but less formal than, the audit process.

For each survey campaign, an Environmental Advisor and/or HSE Advisor will undertake environmental inspections and audits to ensure compliance with environmental performance outcomes and performance standards (Table 6-4).

Table 6-4: Proposed environmental audits and inspections for each geotechnical and geophysical campaign

Timing	Audit/inspection objective	Frequency	Internal/external
Planning phase	Pre-campaign inspections on vessel to verify preparedness for work including compliance with EP requirements.	Per campaign	Internal
	Pre-campaign EP compliance review to ensure compliance with commitments relating to this phase.	Per campaign	Internal or external
Execution phase	EP compliance audit and vessel inspection during survey.	Per campaign	Internal or external

The audit findings will be outlined in an audit report submitted to the Well Construction Manager. Following receipt of the assurance report, the Well Construction Manager should undertake a review and develop an action plan. The action plan should finalise:

- Action items
- Action parties
- Timeframe for completing action items
- Approver.

The appropriate actions need to be taken by the designated action parties within the agreed timeframe. The process for closing out actions is detailed in the Performance Assurance Manual [VOG-1100-YG-1201.02]. For non-conformances and MoCs, see Section 6.11.

A summary of the environmental audit findings will be included in the annual compliance report submitted to NOPSEMA.

6.10.2 Environmental Monitoring Strategy

6.10.2.1 Overview

VOGA has developed an environmental monitoring strategy based on a review of risk and impact levels identified in Section 5 of this EP.

The strategy takes into consideration the following:

- Identification of the area of interest (the EMBA for each hazard)
- Identification of environmental values within this area of interest, and their current condition

- Identification of the potential environmental impact (the pressure potentially causing an environmental impact)
- The management response.

The strategy also guides management response by:

- Identifying whether baseline conditions have been adequately defined and quantified
- Identifying whether additional data is required to assess whether operation of the Wandoo Facility and associated activities are having a measurable impact on the offshore environment
- Identifying which mitigation or management measures may be required if monitoring identifies a measurable impact.

Given the ecological importance of areas potentially affected by hydrocarbon release (or from an alternate activity that may have been assessed to have the potential to impact the offshore environment), it is important that baseline information regarding the physio-chemical and ecological condition of the marine environment and any sensitive receptors that reside within the EMBA is readily available. An appropriate level of baseline survey data is also required to ensure that significant impacts on ecological values can be measured and recovery of these values can be monitored and remediated.

In this context, monitoring requirements in consideration of a significant hydrocarbon release are considered in detail within the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016]. Monitoring requirements in relation to the activities are considered further in this section.

6.10.2.2 Routine Environmental Monitoring

The undertaking of the survey activities and accidental events associated with the activities pose a range of different environmental risks. The risks have been comprehensively assessed in Section 5 of this EP and a summary of the routine monitoring is as follows:

- Emissions and discharges (Table 7-1).

6.10.3 Monitoring Impacts from Oil Pollution

The process and arrangements for monitoring the impacts from oil pollution are outlined in the Wandoo Field Operational and Scientific Monitoring Bridging Implementation Plan [VOG-1100-RG-0002] as appendices to the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016].

Monitoring may include:

- Operational monitoring (Type I) which provides information of direct relevance to spill response operations, and/or
- Scientific monitoring (Type II) which relates to non-response objectives and includes short term environmental damage assessments, longer-term damage assessments (including remediation), purely scientific studies and all post-spill monitoring activities.

6.10.4 Review of the Environment Plan

A formal review and revision of the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP will be undertaken every five years (Regulation 41). The five-year review will only be required if the survey activities are not finished at that time, which is unlikely.

6.10.5 Annual Performance Review

VOGA undertakes an annual performance review in accordance with the Performance Assurance Manual [VOG-1100-YG-1201.02]. The scope includes the following:

- Compliance auditing results
- Operational results summary
- Reportable and recordable incidents to NOPSEMA
- Oil spill response assurance activities
- Relevant persons consultation activities
- EP and OPEP reviews undertaken.

6.11 Management of Change

6.11.1 Overview

VOGA has implemented Element 4 to manage physical changes, staffing changes, organisational changes and operational changes. MoC is a critical management system process as it ensures that all modifications associated with the survey activities are subjected to appropriate scrutiny, review and assessment.

The expectations of HSE MS Element 4 include:

- A practice exists to assess, approve and manage all changes related to HSE matters, temporary and permanent, affecting personnel, organisations, design parameters, operations, procedures, materials, products, services, and work practices.
- A system exists which identifies and incorporates changes to laws, regulations and non-regulatory requirements into designs, operations, procedures, and work practices.
- Affected personnel understand the impact of changes being made and have appropriate skills and knowledge to manage the associated hazards.
- Risk assessments are updated during any type of change.
- Drawings and other documents verifying changes are identified, current, and accessible.

The MoC form/checklist and the Change Management Process within the Work Management Manual [WPA-7000-YG-0021] are used to ensure that changes are evaluated, approved and documented prior to implementation. Field changes are initiated to resolve an operational problem or to improve safety, environmental performance or efficiency. They include modifications to facilities, changes to

operating conditions and procedures, non-routine critical operations, and major plant and equipment testing.

6.11.2 Process

VOGA manages temporary and permanent changes through the same MoC process which utilises a business/technical approval form (MoC Form) and MoC Checklist.

The MoC Form provides a documented record of the change, including justification for the change, duration of change, engagement and communication, implementation, monitoring and review and authorisation and sign-off.

The MoC Checklist is completed for every proposed change. The checklist includes high-level screening of those changes which may impact on environmental aspects of:

- Regulatory compliance (mandatory for all changes)
- Environmental (review triggered if there are changes in impact or management of emissions or spill hazards)
- Chemical storage, usage and handling (review triggered on introduction of a hazardous good).

Actions required to assess the impact of the change are identified through the MoC Checklist and recorded on the MoC Form.

If a change requires further investigation into the acceptability or impact of an environmental consequence, that investigation is done in accordance with the assessment methodology described in Section 4 and where required compared against the outcomes in Section 5.

The MOC process identifies whether the proposed change requires updates to, or development of, key documentation such as management system procedures or equipment maintenance and inspection plans.

6.11.3 Regulatory Compliance

For those changes which have been assessed as having the potential for environmental regulatory compliance aspects the following questions are addressed:

- Could the change modify an existing environmental performance outcome or standard within the EP?
- Does the change propose significant modification, new stage of activity or are the activities to be carried out different from the activities contemplated in the accepted EP?
- Could the change result in a significant change in the overall level of risk and impacts identified in EP?
- Does the proposal change the HSE MS or an implementation plan within an accepted EP?

Consistent with Regulations 38 and 39 of the OPGGS(E)R 2023, VOGA will revise the EP and submit for regulatory acceptance if there is a proposed change which will result in at least one of the following:

- A new activity
- A significant modification or new stage of the activity
- A new or increased environmental impact or risk, or
- A change of titleholder.

In accordance with the NOPSEMA Guideline – When to submit a proposed revision of an EP (N04750-GL1705) (NOPSEMA, 2024a), VOGA considers the following aspects when determining whether a modification, meaning how the activity is being managed and/or conducted, is significant:

- Continual reduction of the impacts and risks of the activity to ALARP
- The effect the change has on the ability to demonstrate environmental performance outcomes and standards are being met
- The criticality of the aspect being changed (i.e. a management system change is likely more critical because of its coverage of all impacts and risks as opposed to an individual item of equipment)
- The degree of deviation from how the activity was described in the EP
- The effect the change has on meeting legislative obligations, such as the requirement to remove property and equipment from the title area.

A new stage of an activity is considered to be any change to the timing or spatial limits detailed in the accepted EP.

For individual changes, VOGA considers a change to be a “significant change to impact or risk” if:

- There is a resultant step change in overall risk level of an environmental hazard – whether by reassessment with updated information or an actual increase in impact or risk
- There is a change in environmental impact profile from emissions introduces new relevant persons or requires additional communication and agreements with existing relevant persons.

For the changes assessed as “significant”:

- If planned, the change will not be implemented without Regulatory acceptance, or
- If unplanned, e.g. initiated by incident, the change may be managed and implemented prior to resubmission. In this instance, a report and notification to the Regulator will be made in accordance with Section 7. Depending on the situation a resubmission of the EP to the Regulator maybe required post the event. Cumulative impact of minor changes is reviewed as part of the annual reporting process. For these items, changes will have most likely been implemented prior to Regulatory submission.

6.11.4 Continuous Improvement

Continuous improvement is the process of enhancing the VOGA HSE MS to achieve improvements in overall environmental performance. The VOGA HSE Policy and the VOGA HSE MS provides criteria for ongoing assessment of environmental performance. This is achieved through the Performance Assurance Manual [VOG-1100-YG-1201.02], which outlines the monitoring and assessment tools and processes VOGA uses to monitor HSE performance and compliance.

Performance assurance is conducted to monitor effectiveness, provide assurance and identify improvement opportunities. Assessment of HSE performance generally encompasses:

- Monitoring, measurement, analysing and reporting HSE data
- Conducting HSE audits and inspections, according to performance standards, pre-established intervals and ad hoc safety critical situations
- Tracking and close-out of HSE actions
- Conducting management reviews.

Performance monitoring is primarily achieved by establishing and reporting on leading and lagging KPIs. Quarterly HSE performance reports are provided to the HSE Subcommittee of the Vermilion Energy Board in Canada.

HSE MS documents are updated as required to include changes of procedure, corrective actions and new guidelines. The HSE Manager will look at methods of ensuring continual improvement over the duration of operations, focusing on incorporation of lessons learnt.

6.12 Emergency Management

The Wandoo Emergency Response Plan (ERP) [VOG-2000-RD-0017] provides details on the response arrangements and responsibilities VOGA has for the management of emergencies which may occur during survey activities. Incidents are categorised in relation to the response required:

- **Level 1 incidents**: Generally able to be resolved through the application of local or initial resources only (e.g. first strike response).
- **Level 2 incidents**: More complex in size, duration, resource management and risk and may require deployment of jurisdictional resources beyond the initial response.
- **Level 3 incidents**: Characterised by a degree of complexity that requires the Incident Commander to delegate all incident management functions to focus on strategic leadership and response coordination and may be supported by national and international resources.

More detailed response plans have been developed to address particular events (e.g. the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016]).

6.13 Oil Pollution Response

6.13.1 Overview

The implementation strategy must contain an OPEP and provide for updating the OPEP. The OPGGS(E)R outlines the requirements for the OPEP which must include adequate arrangements for responding to and monitoring oil pollution. As part of the implementation strategy, VOGA has developed an OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016].

6.13.2 Spill Management Arrangements

6.13.2.1 Jurisdictional Authority

The jurisdictional authority is the State, Territory or Commonwealth agency with jurisdictional authority for marine pollution in its area of jurisdiction. NOPSEMA is the jurisdictional authority for offshore oil and gas exploration and production activities in Commonwealth waters, while AMSA is the jurisdictional authority for vessel-based activities in Commonwealth waters.

The Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DEMIRS) is the jurisdictional authority for offshore oil and gas exploration and production activities in State waters, while the DoT is the jurisdictional authority for vessel-based activities in State waters and is also the Hazard Management Agency (HMA) for Marine Oil Pollution. In the event of marine pollution in State waters, the HMA (DoT) is the designated jurisdictional authority, regardless of the source.

6.13.2.2 Control Agency

The National Plan describes the Control Agency as the agency or company assigned by legislation, administrative arrangements or within the relevant contingency plan, to control response activities to a maritime environmental emergency. The Control Agency will have responsibility for appointing the Incident Controller (VOGA uses the term ‘Incident Commander’).

VOGA is the Control Agency for oil spills wholly confined to Commonwealth waters from activities in the Wandoo Field, meaning VOGA is responsible for assuming Incident Control and providing an Incident Controller (Commander). In the event that a spill has any potential to enter State waters; the WA DoT will be notified as soon as reasonably practicable. For spills arising from ships and vessels within Commonwealth waters, VOGA may undertake initial response actions and will hand over Incident Control to AMSA.

In accordance with WestPlan – Marine Oil Pollution (MOP), the Controlling Agency for a Level 1 MOP emergency in State waters resulting from an offshore petroleum activity is VOGA. The Controlling Agency for a Level 2/3 MOP emergency in State waters resulting from an offshore petroleum activity is DoT.

Cross jurisdictional response activities are those activities that arise as a result of an incident originating in Commonwealth waters and requiring DoT to exercise its HMA obligations in State waters. A partnership between VOGA and DoT is required to ensure response activities across the entire incident are carried out.

Where State waters are impacted by a Level 2/3 MOP emergency resulting from an offshore petroleum activity in Commonwealth waters, DoT will only assume the role of the Control Agency for that portion of the response activity that occurs within State waters.

Table 6-5: Control Agency by location

Spill response/ impact location	Spill source	Control agency	Relevant OPEP
Commonwealth waters	Ships and vessels	VOGA/AMSA	Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016]/National Plan
State waters	Ships and vessels	DoT	WA DoT OPEP

6.13.3 Interface with Other Plans

6.13.3.1 VOGA Plans

The Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016] interfaces with this EP and the following VOGA plans:

- Wandoo Emergency Response Plan (ERP) [VOG-2000-RD-0017] – This plan describes the immediate 'actions-on' for an unplanned emergency incident at one of VOGA's facilities. The Wandoo ERP is the plan that will be initially put in place to manage the immediate, life-threatening consequences of an emergency (e.g. fire, collision, etc.) and immediately mitigate, as far as possible, the consequences of these actions. The Wandoo ERP will always have primacy over other plans.
- Wandoo Source Control Contingency Plan [WNB-3000-PD-0007] – The Wandoo Source Control Contingency Plan provides a response framework to implement a well construction activity to intercept and plug/kill a well bore in the event of a well blowout. The Source Control Response Team is run independently of the VOGA Oil Spill Incident Command Team (ICT). Both teams interface at the crisis management level of the emergency response structure.
- Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0015] – The Exploration Drilling EP caters for all exploration drilling activities associated with the Permit Area. The EP is developed by VOGA and accepted by the Jurisdictional Authority under the OPGGS(E)R. It identifies the environmental risks and impacts associated with the activities covered within the plan. This OPEP addresses all potential oil spill risks identified in the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP.
- Oil Spill Response Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009] – The report provides a capability review for all oil spill response spill scenarios associated with production and well construction activities within the Wandoo Permit Area WA-14-L. The capability assessment included detailing the specification for each resource requirement (e.g. skills, vessels, equipment) and identifying what contracts and arrangements are in place, or required, to meet the resource requirements. The requirements are ascertained and assessed through workshops, surveys and review of existing contracts.
- Wandoo Field Operational and Scientific Monitoring Bridging Implementation Plan (OSM BIP) [VOG-1100-RG-0002] – This plan has been designed to interface with the Joint Industry Operational and Scientific Monitoring Framework and

associated OSRL Supplementary Agreement. The OSM BIP is informed by the EP through the identification of the sensitive receptors in the Wandoo Field operating environment that could be impacted during an oil spill.

6.13.3.2 Government and Industry Plans

This OPEP interfaces with the following Australian Government, State Government and Industry plans:

Oil Spill Response Organisations (OSRO)

- Oil Spill Response Limited (OSRL)
- Australian Marine Oil Spill Plan (AMOS Plan).

Oil Spill Response Agency (OSRA)

- National Plan for Maritime Environmental Emergencies (National Plan)
- WA State Hazard Plan – Maritime Environmental Emergencies (MEE)
- WA DoT OPEP.

Third Party Vessels

- Shipboard Oil Pollution Emergency Plans (SOPEPs).

6.13.4 Approach to Response Planning

VOGA's oil spill response planning process is based on impact and consequence scenario planning which involves establishing the context and risk; evaluating, demonstrating and defining response strategies and resources; implementation; and first response, as described in Table 6-6.

The process is divided into two phases: planning (Table 6-6) and spill response. The spill response is supported by the incident action planning process. Oil Pollution Plans (OPPs) are initial IAPs based on existing impact assessments for the spill and response activities within the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling EPs.

6.13.4.1 The Planning Phase

As outlined in Table 6-6, preparing for spills involves the following steps to achieve the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP outcomes:

- Step 1: Understanding the hazard profile.
- Step 2: Identifying parameters to assess applicable response strategies and scale of the event.
- Step 3: Identifying suitable response strategies.
- Step 4: Understanding the impacts associated with response strategies.
- Step 5: Ensuring capability and plan supports management of risks to ALARP.
- Step 6: Define the environmental performance standards within the respective EPs.

The outcome of this approach is that:

- Oil spill hazards associated with VOGA's activities are addressed and risks are managed to ALARP.
- Response strategies and resources are based on the nature and scale of the incident.
- OSTM outputs for the loss of well control and vessel collision was undertaken to identify response parameters including:
  - minimum time to impact defined environmental sensitivities
  - probability of shoreline impact to defined environmental sensitivities
  - maximum quantity of oil impact to defined environmental sensitivities
  - maximum length of oil impact to defined shoreline environmental sensitivities.
- Response strategies are risk assessed and management controls outlined in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling EPs are considered in this OPEP.

Table 6-6: Description of steps in oil spill response assessment (planning process)

Response planning steps
Step 1: Oil spill hazard is identified and context for each spill category and season described. This requires understanding the potential events (scenarios) which requires knowledge of: • API type, composition of reservoir/fluids assay • Reservoir modelling of oil type or another geotechnical analysis • Release rate, quantity, duration • Location of activity and potential spill sources • Metocean data matching the location and timing of activity • Location of environmental receptors and method of impact from oil • Toxicity of oil • Timing of spill (season) • Thresholds • EMBA.
Step 2: Evaluate response parameters, is also about consideration of the hazard, as response preparation requires understanding the potential consequence including: • Probability of oiling defined environmental sensitivities • Minimum time to impact defined environmental sensitivities • Quantity of oil to impact defined environmental sensitivities • Length of shoreline impacted • Response operating area.
Step 3: Define response strategies for spill categories, involves designing the most appropriate response plan, such that the impacts, in the event of a spill, are reduced to ALARP. VOGA uses an assessment process for oil spill planning and response, and in doing so consider: • The oil spill hazard • The context for each spill category and season • Operational constraints • Assess the impacts of the hazard and the response activities

Response planning steps
• Assess whether impacts from the hazard and the response activities are ALARP, by considering all alternatives and their relative benefits and costs • Where not determined to be ALARP, the response strategies are adjusted as part of an iterative process. This iterative process considers capability and in doing so looks at the potential benefits and costs of doing more sooner.
As a part of the planning the response, in **Step 4: Assess impacts of spill scenario,** VOGA also assesses the impacts of the spill response. This not only contributes to making choices about the response strategies, but also informs how the response should be undertaken to ensure that the risks and impacts of the response are managed to ALARP. This step involves: • Environmental risk and impact assessment • OSTM of surface, entrained and subsurface oil with and without response strategies • Identification of the controls (including environmental performance outcomes, standards, and measurement criteria) to be implemented as a part of the spill.
To ensure that VOGA has a level of preparedness to implement the response strategy **Step 5: Define the response resources** is undertaken next. In this step, VOGA considers: • Operational limitations (equipment functional capacity/coverage, safety of response personnel) • Constraints of equipment effectiveness • Scale of the spill event • Skill-sets required for specific roles.
Step 6: Define the performance standards.

6.13.4.2 Spill Response Phase

Initial response actions are described in the OPPs. These actions are based on the strategies, resources and capability identified in the planning process. Resources are activated and the outputs from monitoring and evaluation are used to conduct an impact assessment, SIMA, to confirm that the risks associated with response activities are consistent within the two EPs and the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP. If they are, then response effectiveness KPI are developed, and response measures implemented. The incident action planning process provides for the opportunity to determine if response operations are effective and if termination criteria are met.

The incident action planning process used by the ICT allows for the effectiveness of each strategy to be reviewed, adjusted or halted if the objectives of the response are not being met or the environmental impacts were not addressed in the SIMA, thus fulfilling Outcome 3 of the OPEP. If the impact of a response strategy is significantly higher than what is considered in the EP, then this means either impact of the strategy is unacceptable, or an EP revision is required for acceptance. An example of where a revision to an EP may be required is where new technology such as that for in-situ burning or bioremediation becomes available to VOGA.

To support this approach and provide a timely response, OPPs detail an initial IAP enabling response resources to be activated whilst event specific assessments are conducted. Resources are identified and capability to achieve timeframes has been reviewed to ensure first response actions are able to be implemented.

6.13.5 Incident Management Process

6.13.5.1 Response Structure

VOGA has an emergency management response structure that is based around three levels of organisational control: tactical, operational and strategic. The premise behind these levels of control is that those parties within VOGA with the greatest expertise to manage that aspect of the emergency are empowered to do so, with operational or strategic levels stood up to provide support in terms of planning, resources and the management of extraneous issues that while important, are managed at the lower levels of control.

There are three teams within the emergency management response structure including the:

- Corporate Command Team (CCT)
- Incident Command Team (ICT)
- On-site ICT.

The goal of the three teams within the VOGA emergency management response structure is to implement reasonable and proportionate oil spill response strategies until such time as the oil spill response may be terminated.

The teams will do this by implementing a six-step incident response cycle. Prudent over-caution is used by VOGA in responding to oil spills, i.e. CCTs and ICTs will be notified with a view to being stood up for oil spills, then stood down after size and scale have been assessed and verified.

The chain of command for incident response is depicted within the VOGA emergency management response structure, as presented in Table 6-7. Personnel appointed to these functions are selected from within VOGA or, for protracted incidents that run for weeks or months, using trained National Response Team members, AMOSC and the AMOSC Core Group, international oil spill response support organisations, and from within the international resources of VOGA. Where required the DoT Incident Controller has input into the team composition and structure.

Table 6-7: Key ICT functions for oil spill response

Role	Functional responsibilities
VOGA Incident Commander/ICT Leader	First point of contact for Person in Charge (PIC) offshore. Assists PIC to manage the response and calls out the ICT if required. Responsible for ensuring that an effective response is mounted by the On-site Command Team, and the onshore ICT. Approve IAP and where required engage State Maritime Environmental Emergency Coordinator/DoT Incident controller for agreement/endorsement of plan for activities within, or potentially impact, WA waters.
DoT Incident Controller (Hazard Management Agency) and State Maritime Environmental Emergency Coordinator	DoT Incident Controller (Hazard Management Agency) is the State appointed incident controller for oil spill response activities within, or potentially impact, WA waters. The State Maritime Environmental Emergency Coordinator provides overall strategic management of the response and executive level support and guidance to the DoT Incident Controller.

Role	Functional responsibilities
Planning Chief Situation Resources Environment	Supervises the VOGA ICT and leads the IAP process. Records and displays data for information, planning and programming, allocation and justification. Documents and maintain records of all Wandoo Offshore Installation and VOGA ICT actions. Manages critical information requirements.
	Interfaces with State Maritime Environmental Emergency Coordinator or State Environmental and Scientific Coordinator (ESC) for input into IAP for activities impacting state waters.
	The collection, processing and organisation of operational monitoring information, e.g. OSTM, weather, sea state.
	Tracking of the deployment of resources.
	Responsible for the collection and collation of environment data/advice, e.g. obtains environmental data from OSRA and scientific monitoring (DoT ESC and local sources) with support from an Environment Unit Lead role.
Logistics Chief Procurement Services Transport Communications Medical	Develops logistics plan to support operations and provides overall resource support to emergency incident sites. Establishes and maintains lists of personnel, supplies and materials which might be required to support the emergency/disaster. Responsible for establishing any SIMOPs Plan to manage the risk generated by multiple activities.
	Acquisition of personnel and equipment.
	Acquisition of services and facilities, including waste management resources.
	Provision of air, land and sea transport services.
	Communications Sub-Plan and for ensuring the provision of communications services/support.
	Provision of medical services where needed.
Operations Chief Marine Aviation Shoreline Wildlife Occupational Health & Safety (OH&S) Waste management	Assumes responsibility for executing approved Action Plans. Responsible for all tactical command and coordination of in-country incident response assets in the assistance and support of the On-site Commander. Ensures that operational objectives and assignments identified in Action Plans are carried out effectively. Monitors operations; ensures necessary operational support is provided when and where required; allocates resources.
	Coordination and direction of all activities undertaken by waterborne craft and equipment.
	Coordination and direction of all activities undertaken utilising aircraft, e.g. aerial dispersant spraying, aerial surveillance and transport.
	Planning and coordination of shoreline assessment and cleanup activities (in consultation with the DoT, planning, specifically the environmental specialists). Implementation of shoreline cleanup activities.
	Implementation of the WA Oiled Wildlife Plan, i.e. the collection, treatment and rehabilitation of oiled wildlife in consultation with Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) via the DoT ESC.
	Development and implementation of the OH&S Plan.
	Coordination of the containment, storage, transport and disposal of recovered oil and oily waste. Also, instruction in on-site handling, storage and/or separation and treatment.
Finance Chief	Provides monetary, insurance, legal, risk and human resources, related administrative functions to support emergency operations and to preserve vital

Role	Functional responsibilities
	records documenting work performed and associated costs in the event of disaster or major emergency.
Safety Officer	Assesses unsafe situations and develops measures for assuring personnel safety. Confirms safety regulatory authorities and applicable departments have been notified. Ensures implementation of safety measures and monitoring and recording of personnel exposures to hazardous products. Supports accident investigations, recommends corrective action, and prepares accident report.
CCT	Focus of the CCT is on ensuring ICT are responding in accordance with corporate requirements, liability/insurance, business continuity, media/investor relations, and financial management/support of response.
Corporate Command Operations Chief	Provides the interface between the ICT and CCT. Provides updates to the CCT regarding IAPs and communicates any needs for support if required. Responsible for ensuring VOGA's corporate objectives are communicated to the ICT and are also reflected in the IAP.
Stakeholder Liaison Officer	Responsible for managing regulatory engagement and coordinating any regulatory approvals required to implement response strategies. Coordinates engagement of stakeholders who are impacted from the spill or response activities. Coordinates investigation of reportable events. Acts as the functional interface between these various parties. Implements VOGA Communications Plan, providing media information support and serving as the dissemination point for all VOGA media releases.
Liaison Officer (Industry)	Identifies the assisting and cooperating companies and agencies, including communications link and location; provides list to the CCT. Functions as "point of contact" for assisting and cooperating agency representatives. Responsible for ensuring that parties who have agreed to undertake specific functions under the OPEP are undertaking the functions consistent with the oil spill response strategies, performance standards and objectives of the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016].

6.13.5.2 ICT Response Resources

Vermilion engaged a third-party expert to research and provide an appropriate method that could be used for VOGA to determine the level of ICT personnel required to undertake an effective response to VOGA's identified oil spill events. In summary, the research identified that:

- Other emergency management frameworks in Australia:
  - did not have a publicly available method of quantifying the numbers of ICT personnel required to respond to incidents
  - relied on the scalability of the ICS system with a focus on the minimum competence of staff to fill critical positions.
- Spill response case studies provide minimal instruction or value in determining the level of ICT personnel to support an oil spill cleanup because:
  - different jurisdictions have different national response frameworks with some being led by government, others by industry, and others cooperatively
  - often the numbers of ICT staff are reported without any indication of the prior competence or training of personnel

  - no correlations could be drawn between the response strategies employed in a response and the level of ICT staffing required to support a particular strategy
  - there are too many variables (e.g. spill size, location, release point, personnel competence, environment effected, population density, political pressure) to be able to reasonably allocate case study ICT personnel numbers to any other situation.
- The only correlations across case studies could be that:
  - cooperative national frameworks, such as those in Australia, tend to rely on lower overall ICT personnel numbers with a focus on ensuring minimum levels of competence are required for critical roles.
  - spills at locations with higher population densities tended to engage higher numbers of ICT personnel.
- In Australia, the National Plan relies on fewer numbers of highly competent and broadly qualified individuals who can operate in a cooperative national system for its response operations. This is demonstrated by the training syllabus in the AMSA administered national oil spill response qualifications.

Based on this research VOGA has decided that to be consistent with the National system for oil spill response in Australia, a methodology based primarily on ensuring competence personnel are positioned in the critical roles is the most appropriate for its activities.

6.13.6 Assurance and Capability Management

The key performance and assurance activities are:

- Training and competency
- Exercises
- Inspections and audits.

These activities can either be conducted internally or by a third party, including another titleholder, equipment/resource provider or oil spill response agency.

Exercises enable the IAP processes, team interfaces and equipment deployment to be tested to enable continuous improvement of response planning or third-party expertise. Exercises can either be desktop, simulated events or full-scale equipment deployment.

Inspections and audits are conducted to test and provide assurance to assumptions and commitments made within this plan and capability assessment.

6.13.6.1 Training and Competency

Element 5 of VOGA's HSE MS is training and competency and within that element, the Personnel Selection, Placement and Competency Assurance Manual [VOG-1000-MN-0004] outlines how VOGA manage the training of personnel. Onsite Emergency Response personnel are trained in emergency control and leadership to ensure they are suitability prepared for decision-making in an emergency situation.

Training requirements are identified for Onsite Command and Incident Command teams to ensure rotate testing of scenarios and equipment. Records are is kept to track the and completed training of personnel.

Each member of VOGA's ICT will have completed incident management training as outlined in the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016], giving them basic competencies and therefore requisite skills to undertake their required incident response roles. At a minimum, this means a course in ICT management for ICT members, with planning, operations, logistics, finance chief roles, and Incident Commanders having completed a training course in ICT command and control.

6.13.6.2 Exercises

The Wandoo ERP [VOG-2000-RD-0017] ensures that strategies are in place in to manage emergencies. The VOGA Emergency Response Schedule [VOG-1100-YH-0001] addresses the scope and requirements for conducting exercises for the onsite and incident command teams.

Exercises are part of the training standards identified in the VOGA Emergency Response Schedule include:

- Onsite command exercises (Level 1) – An exercise that involves the onsite command team scenario of any severity (may be conducted as a desktop exercise).
- ICT exercises (Level 2) – Exercise of any severity, must involve exercising the ICT with an IAP being generated (may be conducted as a desktop exercise) and may involve one or more organisations or external agencies.
- Crisis Management (Level 3) – An exercise of any severity that involves Corporate Command Team whose focus is on business continuity and media/investor relations. For these exercises, a corporate communications and business continuity plans may be prepared.

VOGA uses exercises to demonstrate the ability to fulfil its roles and responsibilities in terms of emergency response to all incidents including oil spill incidents. The overall aim of exercises is to drive continual improvement through:

- Providing situational experience for ICT personnel and enabling them to be aware of their assigned roles and responsibilities during a response
- Assessing the effectiveness, achievability and timeliness of incident action planning for the duration of expected response
- Testing interfaces between teams and deployment of equipment and resources.

The VOGA Emergency Response Schedule [VOG-1100-YH-0001] provides details regarding the reporting of recommendations arising out of exercises including changes of procedure, corrective actions and new guidelines.

6.13.6.3 Inspections and Audits

Overview

VOGA's auditing schedule includes all elements of VOGA's HSE MS, including environment performance. The auditing schedule includes three types of auditing processes:

- Internal inspections of VOGA's response capabilities and commitments.
- External inspections of VOGA's response capabilities and commitments.
- Inspections of third-party providers.

Inspections of VOGA Commitments

HSE MS compliance audits are conducted regularly to review management standards relating to Management, Leadership and Policy, Risk Assessments and Case to Operate, Management of Change, Training and Competency, Emergency Preparedness (including OPEPs), Management and Response, and Incident Management.

VOGA's auditing schedule includes all elements of VOGA's HSE MS, including environment performance. The auditing schedule includes three types of auditing processes:

- Internal audits are conducted by relevant VOGA stakeholders such as the Managing Director, Operations Manager and/or HSES Advisor and are focused on VOGA systems, processes and resources
- External audits are conducted by a third-party provider and are considered a "deep dive" auditing process that reviews the system design completeness and adequacy, implementation adequacy and effectiveness
- Contractor audits are focused on the activities managed by the contracting party.

Inspections of Third Party Providers

The inspection of third party providers is focused on confirming that systems and processes are in place to meet response expectations within some or all of the following areas:

- Equipment: maintenance management, logistics, training, readiness for activation/deployment
- People: training and competency management, quantity and availability
- Activation process: notification processes, activation and mobilisation of people and equipment, exercises and testing
- Documentation: contracts, agreements, specialist services, authorisation lists, capturing of learning and input into training materials
- Management and organisation: organisational MoC process, lessons learnt, contracts and liability.

The frequency of the inspection is dependent on VOGA's activity, requirements of mutual aid partners and size/capability of the organisation and is provided in the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016].

Section 7 – Reporting

7.1 Routine Reporting

7.1.1 Statutory Reporting

Regulation 22 of the OPGGS(E)R require titleholders to include arrangements in the EP for:

- Maintaining a quantitative record of emissions and discharges to the air, marine, seabed and sub-seabed environment to enable evaluation of performance against performance standards and measurement criteria.
- Recording, monitoring and reporting information about the activity (including information required to be recorded under the OPGGSA, the regulations and any other environmental legislation applying to the activity) sufficient to enable the Regulator to determine whether the environmental performance outcomes and standards in the EP are met.
- Reporting to the Regulator at intervals agreed with the Regulator, but not less than annually.

Specific monitoring and recording requirements for relevant parameters are detailed in Table 7-1.

Table 7-1: Statutory reporting requirements

Parameter	Reporting requirement and KPI	Responsibility
Records of ballasting and biofouling	Bilge and ballast records. Quarantine inspection compliance records.	Vessel Master and VOGA Field Superintendent
Records of complaints from fishermen or other users	Radio logs document interactions with other sea users.	Vessel Master and VOGA Field Superintendent
Vessel spill to marine environment	AMSA – All discharges /spills or probable discharges/spills to the marine environment of oil or oily mixtures, or noxious liquid substances in the marine environment from vessels.	Vessel Master
Vessel strike with cetacean	DCCEEW – online National Ship Strike Database within 72 hours.	Vessel Master
Incident reporting	Incident reports (Section 7.3).	VOGA Field Superintendent
Spill response	Spill logs as per IAP.	VOGA Environment Advisor

VOGA has legal obligations under the OPGGS(E)R to submit routine reports to NOPSEMA as well as notify NOPSEMA of an incident within a specified period, depending on the impact or potential impact to the environment and whether the incident is deemed reportable or recordable under the regulations (Section 7.3).

In addition, VOGA must notify NOPSEMA of a change in the following in accordance with Regulation 23(3):

- The titleholder of WA-14-L
- The titleholder's nominated liaison person for the activity, or
- A change in contact details for either the titleholder or the nominated liaison person.

7.1.2 Routine Internal Reporting

Routine internal reports will be prepared during the activities. These include:

- Daily reports
- Annual reporting.

7.1.3 Routine External Reporting

VOGA reports information on environmental performance to regulators as outlined in Table 7-2.

Table 7-2: External routine reporting requirements

Report	Recipient	Frequency	Content
Annual EP Compliance Report	NOPSEMA	Annual (calendar year), by 28 February each year	Review of compliance with environmental performance standards in the previous calendar year.
Monthly Recordable Incident Reports	NOPSEMA	Monthly, by 15th of each month	Details of recordable incidents that have occurred during survey activities (if applicable).
NPI Report	Department of Environment Regulation	Annual, by 30 September each year	Summary of the vessel emissions to land, air and water for inclusion in the Commonwealth NPI database. Reporting period from 1 July to 30 June.
NGERS Report	Australian Government Clean Energy Regulator	Annual, by 31 October each year	Summary of the vessel emissions. Reporting period from 1 July to 30 June.
Change of titleholder details	NOPSEMA	When change occurs	Change of titleholder, titleholder's nominated liaison person or a change in the contact details for either the titleholder or the liaison person.

7.2 Internal Incident Reporting

The Wandoo Event Management Manual [VOG-2000-MN-0003] outlines the reporting requirements:

- Near misses and incidents – All events that result in a loss or could have resulted in a loss (e.g. injury, spill, process safety, etc.) must be reported using Vermilion's Event and Environment Management Information System (EEMIS). Each operation must use this system to aid in the consistency of reporting, investigation methodology and data management for ongoing corporate wide analyses.
- Hazard identification (unsafe acts/conditions) – Vermilion expects the reporting of uncontrolled hazards (unsafe condition or unsafe acts) using the approved EEMIS.
- Regulatory non-conformances (including enforcement action), audits and inspections – Copies of all inspection reports and non-conformance/compliance are to be submitted to the VOGA HSES Advisor. Non-compliance/conformance must be documented and investigated in the approved EEMIS as an incident. The corrective actions identified in regulatory audits and inspections must be captured and assigned to a specific individual. These action items will be tracked to completion and recorded.
- Public concerns and complaints – Incidents involving the public in the communities near Vermilion operations will be treated as serious and documented in the event reporting system.
- Inspection/observation forms – Data collected through inspections or observations may be entered into Vermilion's EEMIS.

All near-misses, hazard identifications, incidents, regulatory compliance inspections, and associated action items, must be entered into VOGA's event tracking system within 24 hours of the occurrence. All incident investigation reports must be complete within 5 business days for high and extreme risk (potential and actual) events, up to 10 business days for all others.

7.3 External Incident Reporting

7.3.1 Reportable Incidents

The OPGGS(E)R defines a reportable incident as *"an incident relating to the activity that has caused, or has the potential to cause, moderate to significant environmental damage."*

Reportable incidents are identified through the risk assessment process for a reported event and comprise any event with a potential consequence to an ecosystem or environmental asset of 3 (moderate) or greater, i.e. 4 (major) and 5 (catastrophic), regardless of the overall risk level assigned. The consequence ratings are based on VOGA Risk Management Manual [VOG-2000-MN-0001] corporate risk matrix using the ecosystem/environmental asset impact criteria.

For survey activities, these include:

- Vessel collision resulting in MDO spill to sea
- Introduction of IMS.

NOPSEMA, NOPTA and the Department of the responsible State Minister are to be notified of all reportable incidents in accordance with the following requirements of the OPGGS(E)R:

- Notify NOPSEMA orally of a reportable environmental incident as soon as practicable but not later than two hours after the first occurrence of the incident or after the time that the titleholder becomes aware of the incident
- Provide a written record of the notification to NOPSEMA, the Titles Administrator and the Department of the responsible State or NT Minister as soon as practicable after the oral notification
- Provide NOPSEMA a written report of a reportable incident as soon as practicable but not later than three days after the first occurrence of the incident
- Provide a copy of the written report to both the Titles Administrator and the Department of the responsible State Minister within seven days of giving the written report to NOPSEMA.

The written report must contain the following information:

- All material facts and circumstances concerning the reportable incident that the titleholder knows or is able, by reasonable search or enquiry, to find out
- Any action taken to avoid or mitigate any adverse environment impacts of the reportable incident
- The corrective action that has been taken, or is proposed to be taken, to stop, control or remedy the reportable incident.

7.3.2 Recordable Incidents

The OPGGS(E)R defines a recordable incident as *"a breach of an environmental performance outcome for the activity or an environmental performance standard relating to the activity, that is not a reportable incident"*. Recordable incidents are reported to NOPSEMA on a monthly basis.

Written reports of all recordable incidents will be reported to NOPSEMA in compliance with Regulation 50 of the OPGGS(E)R and will:

- Relate to a calendar month
- Be given as soon as practical after the end of the calendar month, and not later than 15 days after the end of the calendar month
- Will include all the information as required under Regulation 50 of the OPGGS(E)R.

7.3.3 Management of Non-Conformances

Non-conformances include near-misses, incidents or other events or information which indicates a lack of conformance with specified objectives or compliance requirements.

VOGA utilises the Vermilion global event recording and action tracking system. This system is used for capturing and following up on near-misses and incidents including

their associated corrective and preventive actions, ensuring that any lessons are learned in the interests of continual improvement.

In addition, and on behalf of the VOGA Managing Director, the VOGA Administration Assistant maintains logs of outstanding actions arising from audits or other actions that do not require entry in event recording and action tracking system, but which nevertheless require some form of follow-up action and confirmation of closure.

Section 8 – Relevant Person Consultation

8.1 Overview

The relevant person consultation process enables VOGA to provide sufficient information and a reasonable period of time to allow each relevant person to make an informed assessment of the possible consequences of the proposed activity on their functions, interests, or activities.

Consultation also ensures the activity is undertaken in a manner consistent with the Environment Regulations to ensure the environmental impacts and risks are As Low As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP) and are acceptable.

Consultation with relevant persons began in October and November 2024 in accordance with Regulation 25 of the OPGGS(E)R. It builds on previous consultation undertaken with relevant persons to support the ongoing activities of VOGA's operations. VOGA's consultation record is at Appendix F.

8.2 Consultation Approach

VOGA's core values of excellence, trust, respect, and responsibility have underpinned the consultation process. In developing its approach to consultation and throughout the process, VOGA has also ensured it responds to:

- Regulation 25 of the OPGGS(E)R
- NOPSEMA guidance, specifically NOPSEMA's latest EP Consultation Guidelines (20 May 2024)
- Government and industry guidance, as described in Section 8.2.3
- Applicable case law (Santos v *Tipakalippa*), as described in Section 8.2.4.

VOGA's approach is also consistent with the principles of the Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD) outlined in Section 3A of the EPBC Act [(a)–(e)].

8.2.1 OPGGS(E)R Consultation Requirements

VOGA has considered the OPGGS(E)R for its consultation program as appropriate.

Regulation 25 of the OPGGS(E)R describes a titleholder's obligations when preparing a new EP or revising an existing plan. The regulations require titleholders to engage with 'relevant persons' including Commonwealth, State, or Territory agencies or authorities; the Department of the responsible State Minister; people or organisations which have functions, interests or activities which may be affected by the titleholder's activities carried out under the EP; and any other people or organisations the titleholder considers relevant.

Regulation 25 requires each relevant person be given sufficient information and a reasonable period for consultation to make an informed assessment of the consequences of the titleholder's activities on their functions, interests or activities.

Additionally, the titleholder must inform each consulted relevant person that they can request certain information to remain private, and such requested information will not be published.

8.2.2 NOPSEMA Consultation Guideline

VOGA's consultation approach, process, and activities have been developed in accordance with relevant regulations, NOPSEMA guidelines, and guidance notes as well as other government and industry guidance as listed below:

- *Consultation in the course of preparing an environment plan* – GL2086 A900179 – 20/5/2024
- *Responding to Public Comment* – GN1847 A662607 – 10/1/2024
- *Consultation with Commonwealth agencies with responsibilities in the marine area* – GL1887 A705589 – 21/11/2024
- *Environment Plan decision making* – GL1721 A524696 – 10/1/2024
- *Environment Plan Content Requirement* – GN1344 A339814 10/1/2024
- *Petroleum activities and Australian Marine Parks* – GN1785 A620236 – 10/1/2024.

8.2.3 Government and Industry Guidance

Consultation approach, process, and activities have also been developed in accordance with other government and industry guidance, including:

- Australian Fisheries Management Authority – Petroleum industry consultation with the commercial fishing industry
- Australian Heritage Commission – Ask First – A guide to respecting Indigenous heritage places and values
- International Association for Public Participation – Principles for Engagement with Communities and stakeholders
- DCCEEW: Sea Countries of the North-West; Literature review on Indigenous connection to and uses of the North-West Marine Region
- DCCEEW: Draft Guidelines for working in the near and offshore environment to protect Underwater Cultural Heritage – 2023
- WA Department of Transport – Offshore Petroleum Industry Guidance Note – Marine Oil Pollution: Response and Consultation Arrangements – July 2020
- WA Department of Transport – WA Incident Management Plan – Marine Oil Pollution, September 2023
- Western Australian Fishing Industry Council – Commercial Fishing Consultation Framework for the Offshore Oil and Gas Sector, July 2023
- Western Australian Fishing Industry Council – Consultation Approach for Unplanned Events
- International Standards Organisation – ISO14001:2015 Environmental Management Systems Environmental management systems – requirements with guidance for use

- International Finance Corporation Performance Standard 7 – 2012.

8.2.4 Applicable Case Law and Guidance

In shaping its approach and process to consultation, VOGA carefully considered judgments handed down in both the Federal Court and the Full Court of the Federal Court, with respect to environmental plans, which underscored the importance of conducting genuine consultations. These judgements reinforced that communication with relevant stakeholders must be open and effective, the process must be transparent, and further anchored in principles of collaboration, inclusiveness, and integrity.

The Full Court's decision in *Santos* v *Tipakalippa*[4] (*Tipakalippa*) has set a clear precedent for titleholders, establishing standards that have since been incorporated into NOPSEMA's current guidelines. *Tipakalippa* affirms that there is no 'one-size-fits-all' approach to consultation. Instead, consultation strategies must be tailored to reflect the specific interests of each relevant party and must go beyond superficial or tokenistic engagement. The judgement also placed particular emphasis on the need for meaningful consultation with First Nations groups, affirming that the right to be consulted is substantive and must be respected as such (Ashurst, 2023).

VOGA has been conscious of these requirements in establishing and implementing its consultation process.

8.2.5 Consultation Summary

VOGA undertook a comprehensive approach to identify relevant persons and implemented a robust consultation process in keeping with the NOPSEMA's guidance which focuses on the instructive reasons outlined in *Tipakalippa*.

The guideline deals with matters that should be considered when designing and implementing consultation processes and provides interpretations contained in Regulation 25 of the OPGGS(E)R. Further, it provides a summary of the Full Court of the Federal Court's interpretation of 'functions', 'activities' and 'interests' to assist titleholders identify relevant persons.

Throughout the preparation of this EP, VOGA has undertaken consultation in compliance with Regulation 25 of the OPGGS(E)R by:

- Consulting with each of the following relevant persons while preparing this EP:
  - each Commonwealth, State or Northern Territory agency or authority to which the activities to be carried out under the environment plan may be relevant
  - if the plan relates to activities in the offshore area of a State—the Department of the responsible State Minister
  - if the plan relates to activities in the Principal Northern Territory offshore area—the Department of the responsible Northern Territory Minister
  - a person or organisation whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by the activities to be carried out under the EP

[4] *Santos NA Barossa Pty Ltd v Tipakalippa* [2022] FCAFC 193

  - any other person or organisation that the titleholder considers relevant (Regulation 25(1) of the OPGGS(E)R).

- Giving each relevant person sufficient information to allow the relevant person to make an informed assessment of the possible consequences of the activity on their functions, interests or activities (Regulation 25(2) of the OPGGS(E)R).
- Allowing the relevant person a reasonable period for the consultation (Regulation 25(3) of the OPGGS(E)R).
- Telling each relevant person that the titleholder consults with, that the relevant person may request that particular information it provides in the consultation note be published and any information subject to such a request is not to be published (Regulation 25(4) of the OPGGS(E)R).

8.3 VOGA's Consultation Methodology and Process

8.3.1 Overview

VOGA has undertaken extensive research to inform its methodology, process and approach, referencing various guidelines, standards, and relevant information on planned activities. VOGA applies guiding principles to its methodology for discharging its obligations under Regulation 25 of the OPGGS(E)R and has developed a consultation methodology for its process. See Table 8-1 for our guiding principles for consultation and Figure 8-1 for an overview of VOGA's consultation methodology and process.

Table 8-1: Guiding principles for consultation

Guiding principle	Key concept
Consultation processes must be designed in the context of the objects of the Environment Regulations (NOPSEMA, 2023).	Consistent with the principles of ecologically sustainable development set out in Section 3A of the EPBC Act. Intended to reduce the environmental impacts and risks from the activity to ALARP and at an acceptable level.
Collaborative and provides opportunities for relevant persons to engage and provide feedback on the EP, through formal and ongoing consultation processes.	Consistent with general principles for effective consultation and literature, and guidelines or guidance issued by relevant persons. Consultation should be undertaken in good faith between titleholders and relevant persons, with a free and open exchange of information to inform appropriate environmental impact assessment (Parliament of Australia, 2023).
A person required to be consulted under Section 25 needs to be more than a member of the public who is generally concerned with or interested in the activity (Parliament of Australia, 2023).	Consultation on offshore petroleum activities is a two-way process where information is shared between titleholders and relevant persons (NOPSEMA, 2023a).
Section 25 requires consultation with relevant persons; however, it does not require consent for an activity to be	Titleholders are not required by law to obtain agreement or consent from relevant persons for their offshore petroleum activities to proceed; however, they are required to demonstrate in their

Guiding principle	Key concept
given by any relevant person (Parliament of Australia, 2023).	environment plan how the concerns, objections or claims raised by relevant persons were considered and demonstrate that their response to that information was appropriate (NOPSEMA, 2023a).
The nature of the person or organisation being consulted, and the function, interest or activity that may be affected, will inform the appropriate manner of consultation with each relevant person (Parliament of Australia, 2023).	What constitutes sufficient information, and a reasonable period of time depends on several factors including the nature of a relevant person's functions, interests and activities (NOPSEMA, 2023a).
What is a reasonable period for consultation will need to be considered on a case-by-case basis (Parliament of Australia, 2023).	The nature, scale, and complexity of an activity, as well as the extent of potential impacts and risks on a relevant person's functions, interests, or activities, may inform what makes a reasonable period for consultation (Parliament of Australia, 2023).
Section 25 places obligations on titleholders but does not place any obligations on relevant persons (Parliament of Australia, 2023).	If a relevant person does not respond to consultation, the titleholder is not required to wait indefinitely for a response (Parliament of Australia, 2023). Titleholders must make reasonable efforts to consult with relevant persons, but the regulations do not require them to get a response to their requests. As long as the titleholder can demonstrate that it has provided sufficient information and a reasonable period for consultation in accordance with subsections 25(2) and (3), the titleholder will have met the consultation requirements.
The consultation process must be practical and reasonable to implement.	There is no requirement in the law for titleholders to pay the costs incurred by relevant persons to be consulted, however they may choose to provide assistance to relevant persons to ensure consultation is carried out efficiently and is robust. This is a matter between the titleholder and relevant persons (NOPSEMA, 2023a). Relevant persons should be aware that while they are free to respond on any matter and raise any concern, this may not be able to be considered if it is outside the scope or purpose of the environment plan and approval process (NOPSEMA, 2023a).
The nature of the person or organisation being consulted, and the function, interest or activity that may be affected, will inform the appropriate manner of consultation with each relevant person (Parliament of Australia, 2023).	What constitutes sufficient information, and a reasonable period of time depends on several factors including the nature of a relevant person's functions, interests and activities (NOPSEMA, 2023a).
What is a reasonable period for consultation will need to be considered on a case-by-case basis (Parliament of Australia, 2023).	The nature, scale, and complexity of an activity, as well as the extent of potential impacts and risks on a relevant person's functions, interests, or activities, may inform what makes a reasonable period for consultation (Parliament of Australia, 2023).

Guiding principle	Key concept
Section 25 places obligations on titleholders but does not place any obligations on relevant persons (Parliament of Australia, 2023).	If a relevant person does not respond to consultation, the titleholder is not required to wait indefinitely for a response (Parliament of Australia, 2023). Titleholders must make reasonable efforts to consult with relevant persons, but the regulations do not require them to get a response to their requests. As long as the titleholder can demonstrate that it has provided sufficient information and a reasonable period for consultation in accordance with subsections 25(2) and (3), the titleholder will have met the consultation requirements.
The consultation process must be practical and reasonable to implement.	There is no requirement in the law for titleholders to pay the costs incurred by relevant persons to be consulted, however they may choose to provide assistance to relevant persons to ensure consultation is carried out efficiently and is robust. This is a matter between the titleholder and relevant persons (NOPSEMA, 2023a). Relevant persons should be aware that while they are free to respond on any matter and raise any concern, this may not be able to be considered if it is outside the scope or purpose of the environment plan and approval process (NOPSEMA, 2023a).

Figure 8-1: VOGA's consultation methodology and process



8.3.2 Identifying Relevant Persons

VOGA acknowledges the importance of consultation and undertook a comprehensive assessment to determine who qualified as relevant persons for the purposes of this EP. VOGA recognises that consultation provides an opportunity for relevant persons to be heard and to provide feedback in keeping with best practice principles as outlined in the International Association for Public Participation – *Quality Assurance Standard for Community* and Stakeholder Engagement, recognising that for each relevant person consultation may differ.

Table 8-2 illustrates how VOGA identified relevant persons for this EP.

Table 8-2: VOGA's process to identify relevant persons and undertake consultation

Process	Activities and considerations
Identifying potentially relevant person categories	Relevant person category identification methodology: • Consider nature and scale of the activity • Describe the petroleum activity • Spatial extent of the EMBA • Environmental impacts • Spatial mapping datasets and analysis of physical receptors • Undertake actions to identify relevant persons categories • Review of relevant person database • Review of public databases • Research activities.

Identifying relevant persons for consultation	Undertake actions to identify relevant persons:
	• Review of relevant persons databases
	• Review of identified relevant persons in publicly available EPs submitted by other titleholders that may be relevant to this proposed activity
	• Desktop research of publicly available information, studies and key word search
	• Review of information previously provided during engagement and consultation activities
	• Review of fishing catch and effort data and fisheries in the EMBA/ Operational Area
	• Review of EMBA overlap (and adjacent) with Native Title registered or determined claims, ILUAs, sacred sites, IPAs and management, to identify First Nations groups
	• Review National Native Title Tribunal website
	• Review public cultural heritage databases relevant to the EMBA
	• Review marine park management plans relevant to the EMBA
	• Review of EMBA overlap with:
	  ○ local government areas
	  ○ petroleum, greenhouse gas and any other NOPTA issued titles
	  ○ area of recreational fishing and areas of interest to charter and tourism operators

Process	Activities and considerations
	○ research institutes, infrastructure operators, historical heritage groups or organisations and local environment or conservation groups with potential activity or presence in the area.
Opportunities for relevant persons to identify themselves if they wish to be consulted through advertising and/or third parties	Undertake actions to identify relevant persons: • Targeted print media advertising campaign to raise awareness of the activity and promote relevant persons to identify themselves and come forward • Geo-targeted social media campaign to raise awareness of the activity, promote self-identification, and direct digital traffic to the consultation website • Distribution of flyers to be displayed at relevant community centres, promoting the activity, self-identification, and listing contact details for VOGA.
Consultation planning and consultation activities	Undertake actions to consult with relevant persons: • Print media advertisement campaigns to seek feedback from relevant persons and detail avenues for providing feedback • Development of consultation materials (information sheets, presentations, etc.) • Draft consultation outreach materials (emails, letters) • Development of a consultation webpage on VOGA's website to host relevant information on the activity, provide contact details, and resources such as a frequently asked questions document • Geo-targeted social media campaign to raise awareness of the activity, promote self-identification, and direct digital traffic to further information hosted on the consultation website.
Consulting relevant persons	Undertake consultation outreach with relevant persons: • Consultation outreach with relevant persons to notify them and receive feedback • Follow ups with relevant persons • Two-way correspondence with relevant persons • Face-to-face meetings (in person and virtually).

8.3.3 Public Awareness Campaign and Self-identification Opportunities

VOGA has undertaken several promotional activities for organisations and individuals to self-identify as potentially relevant persons if they believe their functions, interests, or activities may be affected by the activity. These included print media, community flyers, and social media advertisements with links to a webpage containing information about the proposed activities.

Further details of the public awareness campaign and self-identification opportunities including efforts to ensure self-identification opportunities for First Nations organisations and individuals are described in Appendix F, Section 1.3. A schedule of advertising for both social media and print media is included in Appendix F, Section 1.3.2.

An information flyer was displayed at community centres within and adjacent to the EMBA, outlining the activity and promoting self-identification for consultation purposes. VOGA's

consultation webpage provided fact sheets and other publicly available materials. Further details concerning the community flyer and webpage are included in Appendix F, Section 1.3.3 and Figure 1-16.

These activities and information provided a more than reasonable opportunity to raise public awareness of consultation and for organisations and individuals to self-identify for the purpose of OPGGS(E)R Regulation 25 consultation.

VOGA's process provides a reasonable timeframe for the self-identification of relevant persons, for relevant persons to consider and access consultation information, and for relevant persons to provide feedback for VOGA to consider.

8.3.4 Identification and Consultation with First Nations People and Organisations

VOGA's consultation with First Nations groups was reinforced and in keeping with the *Ask First – A guide to respecting Indigenous Heritage places and values* (Australian Heritage Commission, 2002). VOGA recognises the necessity to maintain heritage values and place, that are a vital part of First Nations groups 'sense of place', cultural identity and well-being. Indigenous heritage is unique and part of Australia's national cultural heritage, requiring recognition and protection for future generations of all Australians.

The *Sea Countries of the North-West – literature review on Indigenous connection to and uses of the North-West Marine Region* (DCCEEW, 2007) was considered when consulting First Nations groups in Commonwealth waters. This literature outlines the strong connection and direct interest First Nations groups have in planning and managing the NRMR.

DCCEEW is responsible for administering the *Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018*, which provides protection from disturbance or adverse impact to archaeological remains located in Australia's near and offshore environment. The department provides guidelines with direction for proponents who undertake activities in Australian waters (DCCEEW, 2023d).

When approaching identification and consultation with relevant First Nations groups, VOGA undertook a broad and tailored approach.

1. Initial Identification

- Developed a list of all Native Title registered claims and determinations along the coastline of WA.
- Reviewed the EMBA and overlap or adjacency to Native Title registered claims and determinations to establish a list of potentially affected First Nations groups.
- Identified First Nations groups as potentially relevant persons, comprising:
  - Native Title Representative Bodies (NTRBs)
  - Registered Native Title Bodies Corporate (RNTBCs – the formal name given to a group once Native Title has been determined)
  - Prescribed Bodies Corporate (PBCs – the legal entity formed by a group of Native Title Claimants during the determination process, but used interchangeably with RNTBCs)
  - Aboriginal Corporations – Aboriginal run or managed businesses, often operating on behalf of, or under a RNTBC

- Land and Sea Management Groups – primarily Ranger Groups, many of whom operate under a RNTBC.

2. Tiered Contact Methodology

Utilising extensive spill modelling, VOGA has conservatively assessed that planned impacts to First Nations relevant persons functions, interests, and activities (including cultural values or features) are unlikely to extend beyond 200 km from the Wandoo Operational Area for this EP.

This was considered a reasonable basis for including a tiered methodology to focus consultation efforts on those relevant persons closest to the planned activities outlined in this EP and those who could provide inputs into cultural features closest to the planned activities.

However, regardless of which tier a group was categorised in, VOGA's overarching approach remained consistent in principle.

Table 8-3 below identifies the relevant First Nations people, groups and organisations categorised into Tier 1 and Tier 2. For the Tier 3 category, Table 8-4 below identifies the First Nations people and organisations determined to be not relevant, but the members are at the periphery of the EMBA or are First Nation people or organisations that can self-identify.

Table 8-3: Approach to consultation with relevant First Nations people and organisations

Contact methodology	Overview of identified First Nations people and organisations	Relevant persons	Consultation efforts
Tier 1	Closest to planned activities - located within 200 km of the Operational Area on the Australian mainland: • Determined Native Title Holders (including relevant Registered Native Title Body Corporate (RNTBC) or Prescribed Body Corporate (PBC)) • Aboriginal corporation providing environmental management functions.	**Representative Aboriginal Corporations** PBCs: • Ngarluma People – Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC • Kariyarra People – Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC • Ngarluma/Yindjibarndi– Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation • Yaburara & Mardudhunera People - Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC • Ngarla and Ngarla #2 (Determination Area A) – Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC. Other: • Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) (*see note in 'consultation efforts').	Precedence was placed on consultation with Tier 1 groups through focused efforts, including attempts to contact them via multiple forms of communication—such as phone calls, text messages, and emails—where such details were available. Where long-standing relationships were not already in place or being actively maintained by the relevant group, efforts were made to initiate and foster enduring connections, consistent with principles of respectful engagement. These efforts were undertaken not only to disseminate information about the EP and the Wandoo Facility, but also to foster meaningful, two-way engagement with appropriate representatives—such as the Board or Chief Executive Officer—in accordance with Regulation 25 OPGGS(E)R and NOPSEMA's *Community Engagement and Consultation Guidance Note (GN1746)*. This engagement sought to gather input on cultural features, values, and potential impacts, and to determine whether additional community meetings were required to satisfy our consultation obligations, beyond the provision of information through advertising. As Tier 1 relevant persons, VOGA may adopt a broader approach to consultation with these stakeholders, during which information about the Wandoo Facility—as well as other Environmental Plans—may be discussed to provide appropriate context and support deeper understanding. This approach reflects VOGA's commitment to transparent communication, mutual respect, and early identification of concerns that may influence the ongoing development or revision of the EP. This approach was also the preference of these stakeholders.

Contact methodology	Overview of identified First Nations people and organisations	Relevant persons	Consultation efforts
			Note: Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) was not initially contacted as a Tier 1 Relevant Person because, at the commencement of our engagement process, the primary focus was on PBCs, as these are the recognised "relevant persons" under the *Native Title Act 1995 (Cth)* for representing First Nations Peoples. At that time, MAC was identified as a Tier 3 significant stakeholder but not a formal "Relevant Person," as it was not known whether MAC held authority to engage on behalf of First Nations Peoples—given that it is not a PBC—and whether it could consult with consideration to the *NOPSEMA Consultation on Offshore Petroleum Environment Plans – Information for the Community* brochure (published May 2023). During engagement with other First Nations groups, MAC's classification was elevated to Tier 1, in recognition of its significant role and influence in the region. As with the other Tier 1 relevant persons, these efforts were undertaken not only to disseminate information about the EP and the Wandoo Facility, but also to initiate engagement with appropriate representatives—such as the Board or Chief Executive Officer—to determine whether additional community meetings are required to meet our consultation obligations.
Tier 2	• Determined Native Title Holders (including relevant representative bodies) (excluding Tier 1 and Tier 3) • Aboriginal corporations providing environmental management functions, who are	**Representative Aboriginal Corporations** PBCs: • Thalanyji People – Buurabalayji Thalanyji Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC	Tier 2 relevant persons were identified as stakeholders whose functions, activities or interests may be affected by the proposed activities, but to a lesser extent than Tier 1 stakeholders. While VOGA's systematic approach prioritised initial contact with Tier 1 persons, engagement with Tier 2 stakeholders commenced at the same time where possible.

Contact methodology	Overview of identified First Nations people and organisations	Relevant persons	Consultation efforts
	coastally adjacent to the planning area (excluding Tier 1 and Tier 3).	• Gnulli, Gnulli #2 and Gnulli #3 – Yinggarda, Baiyungu and Thalanyji People – Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal, Yinggarda Aboriginal Corporation. Native Title Representative Bodies: • Kimberley Land Council (NTRB) • Yamatji Marlpa Aboriginal Corporation (NTRB).	A concerted effort was made to contact Tier 2 stakeholders through multiple available communication methods, including phone calls, text messages, and emails. The aim was to gather input on cultural values, features, and any other matters relevant to the preparation of the EP. Where possible, VOGA sought to establish genuine two-way dialogue with appropriate representatives, such as Boards or Chief Executive Officers, and responded to any requests to enter into consultation agreements regarding the EP.

Table 8-4: Approach to consultation with First Nations people and organisations identified as not relevant

Contact methodology	Overview of identified First Nations people and organisations	Identified and assessed as not relevant	Consultation efforts
Tier 3	• Determined Native Title Holders (including relevant representative bodies) whose members are at the periphery of the Planning Area (excluding Tier 1 and Tier 2). • Aboriginal Corporations – Aboriginal run or managed businesses, often operating on behalf of, or under a RNTBC. • Land and Sea Management Groups – primarily Ranger Groups, many of whom operate under a RNTBC.	**Representative Aboriginal Corporations** PBCs: • Mayala People– Mayala Inninalang Aboriginal Corporation • Bardi and Jawi Bardi Jawi Niimindiman Aborigincal Corporation RNTBC • Warrwa Mawadjala Gadjidgar & Warrwa Combined Part A – Madanann Nada Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC • Bindunbur Nimanburr Aboriginal Corporation, Nyul Nyul PBC Aboriginal Corporation & Gogolanyngor Aboriginal Corporation • Jabirr Jabirr/Ngumbarl – Gogolanyngor Aboriginal Corporation	Tier 3 relevant persons were identified during the stakeholder mapping process but were assessed as not meeting the definition of relevant persons under Regulation 25 of the OPGGS(E)R. As such, they were not directly contacted. However, to ensure transparency and provide a reasonable opportunity for self-identification, a public awareness campaign was implemented. This included clear pathways for interested parties to contact VOGA should they wish to participate in the consultation process. No contact was received from these groups during the consultation period. However, in the event that a Tier 3 stakeholder makes contact or expresses an interest in the EP, VOGA will respond promptly using the communication method provided (e.g. phone call, text message, or email). Where appropriate, and if an email address is supplied, an EP

Contact methodology	Overview of identified First Nations people and organisations	Identified and assessed as not relevant	Consultation efforts
		• Yamatji Nation – Bundi Yamatji Aboriginal Corporation.	Information Sheet will be made available to support informed engagement. Tier 3 relevant persons were identified during the stakeholder mapping and were identified but assessed as not relevant and not directly contacted. A public awareness campaign and self-identification opportunities allowed for stakeholders to contact VOGA if interested in participating in consultation.

3. Cultural Awareness and Understanding Lore and Protocols

VOGA ensured that all staff involved in the consultation process had undertaken cultural awareness training to understand the significance of Indigenous heritage and protocols.

VOGA understands that First Nations people follow lore and customs with respect to land. Cultural protocol establishes who can and cannot 'speak for country' (South West Aboriginal Land and Sea Council, 2025).

Following research and consultation activities, it was understood that not all groups considered themselves responsible for cultural and spiritual care of land and sea to equal or similar degrees. For example:

- NTRBs, including Kimberley Land Council and Yamatji Marlpa Aboriginal Corporation, often provide administration services such as payroll, legal and human resource services to RNTBCs or PBCs who have chosen to use the NTRB as an umbrella organisation under which to function, in addition to their primary role of assisting with matters pertaining to Native Title claims and determinations.
- VOGA engaged with NTRBs to receive advice on groups who could be relevant for consultation and to gather insights about preferred consultation approaches.
- However, the NTRBs do not consider it appropriate to represent the views of the RNTBCs or other groups who use their services, although in some circumstances they operate as a conduit or formal contact point for RNTBCs.

4. Culturally Appropriate Engagement

When engaging with First Nations relevant persons, VOGA aimed to adopt a culturally appropriate and tailored approach.

- VOGA was aware that Traditional Lore time, typically from November to February, is when Indigenous communities engage in cultural activities and ceremonies. These periods are not fixed to specific dates but are instead aligned with natural cycles, seasons, and significant cultural events.
- During Lore time, it is customary for First Nations groups to focus on cultural activities, ceremonies, and the passing down of traditions. As such, it would not be possible for meetings and other formal engagements to be scheduled during these times.
- For this EP, VOGA started an initial outreach in October 2024, understanding that stakeholders may not engage until after the Lore time period. VOGA recognised that early engagement was important to build trust and allow time for meaningful consultation.
- VOGA was prepared to be flexible and while it followed up to arrange engagements, was aware that it may take time for stakeholders to be available and comfortable with meetings (Working with Indigenous Australians, 2020).
- During the period of October 2024 to March 2025, VOGA made multiple attempts to engage with First Nations groups to progress consultation on this EP. Many attempts were made to contact First Nations groups once Lore time was complete and before submission of the EP.

- VOGA continued to engage with First Nations groups on this EP as part of ongoing consultation.
- In June 2025, VOGA sent written communication to First Nations groups advising of its intent to submit this EP and explained that consultation on this EP can continue as part of ongoing consultation. VOGA advised that any feedback would be assessed and if required the EP could be updated through the management of change process.
- Further contact after submission resulted in a number of meetings being arranged in July 2025, enabling First Nations groups to leverage the ongoing consultation process.

At the initial outreach to First Nations groups, VOGA:

- Stated the importance of cultural heritage to the Native Title Holders and committed to ensuring that these values are respected and integrated into project planning
- Sought advice on preferred contact and engagement methods
- Recognised the potential need for formal agreements before consultation
- Wanted to make sure the engagement was tailored to the group's needs and offered a co-design approach, where the agenda for any meeting is mutually agreed and includes input from the group
- Outlined the purpose of the consultation was to give the group an opportunity to provide input to:
  - VOGA's understanding of the current environment and its cultural characteristics
  - how VOGA's activities might affect the existing environment, including cultural aspects
  - potential measures and controls to reduce the environmental impact of the proposed activities on the group's functions, interests, and activities.

Initial outreach emails can be found in Appendix F.

5. Decision Making Process

VOGA understands that chairpersons, workers and other representatives from the First Nations group or community often do not make immediate decisions. Information from an email/phone call, meeting or consultation may need to be taken to other members of the community to discuss and decide, which can take time. As part of ongoing consultation, VOGA will continue to engage with First Nations groups on this EP.

6. Capacity to Engage

VOGA may need to provide tailored and additional resources to First Nations groups to help them engage effectively in the consultation process. This could include funding for attendance at meetings or for independent advisors. For this EP, VOGA has provided both tailored and additional resources to facilitate and fund consultation meetings with First Nations groups.

7. Tailored Communication Methods

VOGA develops tailored communication materials for consultation outreach including a summary information sheet which is shorter with plain English language, more diagrams and images and less technical information (Appendix F, reference 1.3).

VOGA aims to use communication methods that are preferred by First Nations groups. This includes making phone calls, text messages and prioritising face-to-face meetings where possible, or meeting by Microsoft Teams as appropriate. VOGA accommodates preference to be engaged on-Country (or other locations).

When face-to-face or virtual meetings are held with RNTBC's and Aboriginal Corporations, VOGA:

- Researches the group and publicly available consultation material (from consultation with other titleholders) to understand what areas might be of interest to the group.
- Brings printed out consultation material to meetings: enlarged maps with the group's Native Title determination or ILUA, consultation and summary information sheets, and copies of the presentation to the meeting to ensure information is readily accessible.
- Tailors its presentation material and verbal delivery of information to what VOGA considers to be the primary ways the group's functions, interests or activities could be affected. VOGA includes specific information that is more detailed on potential areas of interest. VOGA also tailors the acknowledgement of country and includes a local photo on the slide.
- Aims to conduct its consultation meetings with co-design feedback. During consultation, VOGA has received positive feedback from a NTRB about the level of information and imagery used in the presentation. Based on feedback received from the NTRB, VOGA sought to include more video content at its next meeting of the marine environment at and surrounding Wandoo from its marine monitoring surveys.

Information about the specific consultation activities with First Nations relevant persons can be found at Appendix F, Section 1.3.4.

8.3.5 Relevant Persons Consultation Process

Consultation allows for the free and open exchange of information between a titleholder and any relevant persons who may be impacted by a proposed activity:

- The process provides a genuine opportunity for relevant persons to be heard and provide feedback.
- The process includes mechanisms for titleholders to receive information from relevant persons that they might not have otherwise received.
- The process enables a titleholder to gain better understanding about the environment that may be affected and measures that may be necessary to mitigate the potential environmental impacts and risks associated with either a petroleum or greenhouse gas activity[5] (Parliament of Australia, 2023).
- Consultation does not carry with it any obligation on the titleholder either to seek or reach agreement; nor requires consent on the activity subject to the consultation; however, the

[5] *Santos NA Barossa Pty Ltd v Tipakalippa* [2022] FCAFC 193 (Appeal Decision), paragraphs [49], [54], [57], [89] and [141].

titleholder should be receptive to suggestions from a relevant person, where these may improve the overall environmental outcome[6] (NOPSEMA, 2023a).

The consultation process must be practical and reasonable to implement:

- Consulting with relevant persons and potential relevant persons is a real-world obligation that must be interpreted in a practical and pragmatic way that makes a process both reasonable and workable[7].
- Where communal interests are held, the process of consultation needs to reasonably reflect the characteristics of the communal interests affected and does not necessarily require communications with each and every person who is a member of the relevant community[8].
- The obligation to identify relevant persons for the purpose of consultation must be reasonably capable of discharged (i.e. relevant persons need to be ascertainable) within a reasonable time[9].

Consultation entails providing adequate information about a proposed activity to relevant individuals and allowing them a reasonable period to review and consider the information:

- Information provided to a relevant person should be sufficient to allow them to make an informed assessment of consequence of the proposed activity on their functions, interests or activities.
- The nature, scale, and complexity of a proposed activity, as well as the extent of potential impacts and risks on a relevant person's functions, interests, or activities, is considered when determining a reasonable period for consultation.

Participation in the consultation process by relevant individuals is voluntary:

- Relevant persons are not obligated to respond to a titleholder's request to participate in the consultation process (Parliament of Australia, 2023; NOPSEMA, 2023a).
- A titleholder is not required to wait indefinitely for a response where sufficient information and reasonable period of time has been afforded to the relevant person (Parliament of Australia, 2023).

Figure 8-2 demonstrates VOGA's ongoing consultation process.

Table 8-5 provides a summary of the relevant persons or organisations by category identified by VOGA, the actions taken to identify and consult with them, the consultation process and information provided, and VOGA's determination of what constitutes a reasonable period and sufficient information. This process conducted consistent with Regulation 25(1)(a)(b)(c) of the OPGGS(E)R.

[6] *Santos NA Barossa Pty Ltd v Tipakalippa* [2022] FCAFC 193 (Appeal Decision), paragraphs at [89], [109], [136], [138] and [141].

[7] *Santos NA Barossa Pty Ltd v Tipakalippa* [2022] FCAFC 193 (Appeal Decision), paragraphs [48], [89], [104], [108], [109], [141] and [153].

[8] *Santos NA Barossa Pty Ltd v Tipakalippa* [2022] FCAFC 193 (Appeal Decision), paragraphs [136], [141] and [153].

[9] As relevant to the categories of persons defined in the 25(1) (OPGGS (E) Regulations.

Table 8-5: Relevant persons identified by VOGA – actions taken – consultation process and information provided

Identified relevant persons	Actions taken to identify relevant persons	Consultation process and information provided	Reasonable period and sufficient information
Regulation 25(1)(a) of the OPGGS(E)R: Departments or agencies of the Commonwealth to which the activities to be carried out under the EP may be relevant			
Commonwealth Government Departments and Agencies	• Undertook an audit of Commonwealth government websites and directories to ascertain roles and responsibilities.	• VOGA emailed the Commonwealth government agency/authority and included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation timing and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation. • Consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures.	• A reasonable period of 30 business days (four weeks), subject to the nature and scale of the proposed activity, was provided for feedback. • A consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures which we deem to be sufficient information for each stakeholder. • Additional targeted content via email, where applicable.
Regulation 25(1)(a) and (b) of the OPGGS(E)R: Departments or agencies of WA to which the activities to be carried out under the EP may be relevant			
S State Government Departments and Agencies	• Undertook an audit of state government websites and directories to ascertain roles and responsibilities.	• VOGA emailed the State government agency/authority and included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation timing and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation. • Consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures.	• A reasonable period of 30 business days (four weeks), subject to the nature and scale of the proposed activity, was provided for feedback. • A consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures which we deem to be sufficient information for each stakeholder. • Additional targeted content via email, where applicable.
Regulation 25(1)(d) of the OPGGS(E)R: Persons or organisations whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by the activities to be carried out under the EP			
Commonwealth commercial fishing	• Assessed Commonwealth commercial fishing catch and	• VOGA emailed to introduce its operations and upcoming activities.	• A reasonable period of 30 business days (four weeks), subject to the nature and scale of the proposed activity, was provided for feedback.

Identified relevant persons	Actions taken to identify relevant persons	Consultation process and information provided	Reasonable period and sufficient information
– representative bodies; and Commonwealth commercial fisheries	effort data in the Operational Area. • Assessed fisheries entitled to fish in the EMBA and searched applicable websites.	• Sought representative bodies' input on preferred method of consultation. • VOGA emailed and provided consultation information which included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources. • Consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures. • VOGA provided a commercial fisher information sheet, which included an overview of the proposed activities; key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities; coordinates for operational areas; an activity summary; assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts; and VOGA's impact assessment.	• A consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures. • A commercial fisher information sheet, which included an overview of the proposed activities; key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities; coordinates for operational areas; an activity summary; assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts; and VOGA's impact assessment. which we deem to be sufficient information for each stakeholder. • Additional targeted content via email, where applicable.
State commercial fishing – representative bodies	• Assessed the Western Australian Government commercial fishing catch and effort data in the Operational Area and EMBA.	• VOGA emailed WAFIC and provided consultation information. • The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources. • Consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures.	• A reasonable period of 30 business days (four weeks), subject to the nature and scale of the proposed activity, was provided for feedback. • A consultation information Sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures. • A commercial fisher information sheet, which included an overview of the proposed activities; key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities; coordinates for operational areas; an activity summary; assessment of

Identified relevant persons	Actions taken to identify relevant persons	Consultation process and information provided	Reasonable period and sufficient information
		• VOGA provided a commercial fisher information sheet, which included an overview of the proposed activities; key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities; coordinates for operational areas; an activity summary; assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts; and VOGA's impact assessment.	commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts; and VOGA's impact assessment. which we deem to be sufficient information for each stakeholder. • Additional targeted content via email, where applicable.
State commercial fisheries	• Assessed the Western Australian Government commercial fishing catch and effort data in the Operational Area and EMBA. • Assessed the fisheries entitled to fish in the operational area and EMBA and searched applicable websites. • Entered a fee for service arrangement with the West Australian Fishing Industry Council (WAFIC) to liaise directly with State commercial fisheries. Followed WAFIC's guidance for its preferred approach to consultation with state commercial fisheries (WAFIC, 2023).	• VOGA emailed WAFIC and provided consultation information to distribute to licence holders. • The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources. • Consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures. • VOGA provided a commercial fisher information sheet, which included an overview of the proposed activities; key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities; coordinates for operational areas; an activity summary; assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts; and VOGA's impact assessment. • WAFIC undertook consultation with licence holders on behalf of VOGA and advised of any feedback at the end of the consultation process.	• A reasonable period of 30 business days (four weeks), subject to the nature and scale of the proposed activity, was provided for feedback. • A consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures • A commercial fisher information sheet, which included an overview of the proposed activities; key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities; coordinates for operational areas; an activity summary; assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts; and VOGA's impact assessment. which we deem to be sufficient information for each stakeholder. • Additional targeted content via email, where applicable.

Identified relevant persons	Actions taken to identify relevant persons	Consultation process and information provided	Reasonable period and sufficient information
Recreational fishers and marine users and peak representative bodies	• Assessed potential Operational Area and EMBA overlap with the interest of recreational fishers, marine users, tourism operators and peak representative bodies and searched applicable websites.	• VOGA emailed and sent postal letters providing consultation information. • Emails and letters included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation • Consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures.	• A reasonable period of 30 business days (four weeks), subject to the nature and scale of the proposed activity, was provided for feedback. • A consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures which we deem to be sufficient information for each stakeholder.
Energy industry titleholders and operators	• Assessed the potential presence in the EMBA and searched applicable websites.	• VOGA emailed and provided consultation information. • The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation. • Consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures.	• A reasonable period of 30 business days (four weeks), subject to the nature and scale of the proposed activity, was provided for feedback. • A consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures which we deem to be sufficient information for each stakeholder.
Peak industry titleholders and operators	• Assessed the potential presence in the EMBA and searched applicable websites.	• VOGA emailed and included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation. • Consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures.	• A reasonable period of 30 business days (four weeks), subject to the nature and scale of the proposed activity, was provided for feedback. • A consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures which we deem to be sufficient information for each stakeholder.

Identified relevant persons	Actions taken to identify relevant persons	Consultation process and information provided	Reasonable period and sufficient information
Infrastructure operators	• Assessed the potential presence in the Operational Area and EMBA and searched applicable websites.	• VOGA emailed and included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation. • Consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures.	• A reasonable period of 30 business days (four weeks), subject to the nature and scale of the proposed activity, was provided for feedback. • A consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures which we deem to be sufficient information for each stakeholder.
Traditional Custodians and First Nations nominated representative corporations and NTRBs	• Developed a list of all Native Title registered claims and determinations along the coastline of WA. • Reviewed the EMBA and overlap or adjacency to Native Title registered claims and determinations to establish a list of potentially affected First Nations groups. • Identified First Nations groups as potentially relevant persons, comprising: ○ NTRBs ○ RNTBCs – the formal name given to a group once Native Title has been determined ○ PBCs – the legal entity formed by a group of Native Title Claimants during the determination process, but	• VOGA emailed and telephone called to introduce its operations and seek engagement in a co-design consultation process • VOGA emailed and included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation. • Summary consultation information sheet and links to a detailed consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures. • Virtual or in person meetings with supporting presentation materials and handouts. • Continued emails and telephone calls to groups.	• A reasonable period of 30 business days (four weeks), subject to the nature and scale of the proposed activity, was provided for feedback. • A summary consultation information sheet and links to a detailed consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures • Bespoke maps outlining the EMBA relevant to areas of interest, such as native title boundary. • Virtual or in person meetings with supporting presentation materials and handouts which we deem to be sufficient information for each stakeholder. • Additional targeted content and requests via email.

Identified relevant persons	Actions taken to identify relevant persons	Consultation process and information provided	Reasonable period and sufficient information
	used interchangeably with RNTBC ○ Aboriginal Corporations – Aboriginal run or managed businesses, often operating on behalf of, or under a RNTBC ○ Land and Sea Management Groups – primarily Ranger Groups, many of whom operate under a RNTBC.		
Historical heritage groups or organisations	• Searched public cultural heritage databases relevant to the Operational Area and EMBA.	• VOGA emailed and included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation. • Consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures.	• A reasonable period of 30 business days (four weeks), subject to the nature and scale of the proposed activity, was provided for feedback. • A consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures which we deem to be sufficient information for each stakeholder. • Additional targeted content via email, where applicable.
Local government and recognised local business and community reference/liaison groups or organisations	• Assessed the boundaries of local governments, local business and community reference/liaison groups organisations on EMBA and potential presence in the EMBA and searched applicable websites.	• VOGA emailed and included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation. • Consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures.	• A reasonable period of 30 business days (four weeks), subject to the nature and scale of the proposed activity, was provided for feedback. • A consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures which we deem to be sufficient information for each stakeholder.

Identified relevant persons	Actions taken to identify relevant persons	Consultation process and information provided	Reasonable period and sufficient information
Research institutes	• Searched research institutes websites and publicly available information.	• VOGA emailed and included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation. • Consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures.	• A reasonable period of 30 business days (four weeks), subject to the nature and scale of the proposed activity, was provided for feedback. • A consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures which we deem to be sufficient information for each stakeholder.
Local environment and conservation groups or organisations	• Searched environment and conservation groups websites and publicly available information • Reviewed publicly available EP documents from other titleholders on the NOPSEMA website.	• VOGA emailed and included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation.	• A reasonable period of 30 business days (four weeks), subject to the nature and scale of the proposed activity, was provided for feedback. • A consultation information sheet including an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures which we deem to be sufficient information for each stakeholder.
Individual – self-identified	• Undertook extensive advertising and promotional activities to enable self-identified relevant persons.	• VOGA received a number of voice messages from a self-identified relevant person. • VOGA followed up with several calls and a text message.	• The consultation period during the development of an EP is generally defined by VOGA as being 30 business days (four weeks), subject to the nature and scale of the proposed activity. Where dialogue with a self-identified relevant persons is ongoing after this period, VOGA will continue to consult with these persons until VOGA believes that it has provided sufficient evidence/ justification to close the consultation.

8.3.6 Provision of Sufficient Information

VOGA provided sufficient information to all relevant person categories for the purpose of consultation to allow them to make an informed assessment of the possible consequences of the activity on their functions, interests or activities.

Information provided by VOGA to relevant persons was provided through a number of channels:

- EP Consultation Information Sheet
- Emails
- Letters
- Telephone calls
- Published notices in applicable traditional print media
- Undertook geotargeted advertising on social media platforms, linking to consultation information on the VOGA website
- Distribution of community flyers in regional community centres.

As part of the consultation process emails were sent to relevant persons which included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, advice regarding the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation. VOGA considers provision of information for this EP to relevant persons as sufficient.

8.3.6.1 Consultation Information Sheet

VOGA produced a consultation information sheet for this EP and distributed to relevant persons and organisations. It is also available on VOGA's website. The consultation information sheet was designed to ensure that relevant persons receive sufficient information. It included:

- An overview of the proposed activities; including activity type and description, location, timing, planned schedule and duration, vessels, infrastructure and methods involved
- Maps of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures
- The presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the EMBA based on a review of publicly available information
- An outline of how identified risks and impacts will be managed or mitigated
- Engagement and feedback channels, including contact details for submitting questions or feedback and timeframe for providing input.

8.3.6.2 Additional Targeted and Tailored Information for Relevant Persons

In addition to the consultation information sheet, VOGA develops and provides supplementary targeted content for individual stakeholders or stakeholder groups, based on their known or likely functions, interests, or activities. For this EP, additional information developed included:

- EP Summary Consultation Information Sheet

- EP Commercial Fisher Information Sheet
- Where requested, virtual or in person meetings with supporting presentation materials and handouts
- Additional targeted content to consultation emails
- Bespoke maps and figures.

An overview of the additional targeted information provided to relevant persons is outlined in Table 8-5.

8.3.7 Providing a Reasonable Period for Consultation

In accordance with prescribed regulations regarding a 'reasonable period', VOGA initiated consultation with certain categories of relevant persons in October and November 2024, providing additional time before commencing consultation on the EP for gathering input on preferred methods. VOGA commenced its broad consultation approach on 2 December 2024. To account for the December and January public holidays and ensure relevant persons had adequate time to provide feedback, the consultation period closed on the 17 January 2025. VOGA remains committed to ongoing engagement beyond this deadline to facilitate meaningful dialogue and address any further considerations or feedback on this EP.

The Consultation Period during the development of an EP is generally defined by VOGA as being 30 business days (four weeks), subject to the nature and scale of the proposed activity. Where dialogue with relevant persons is ongoing after this period, VOGA will continue to consult with these persons until VOGA believes that it has provided sufficient evidence/justification to close the consultation.

VOGA considers it has provided a reasonable period to relevant persons for consultation appropriate to the nature and scale of the proposed activity under this EP.

8.3.8 Approach to Consulting Relevant Persons

VOGA adopted a bespoke approach for all relevant person categories, and each group/person was individually considered to ensure they received sufficient material that was appropriate for the recipient and aligned with any guidance or specific requirements.

This included a planned and considered approach to engaging identified relevant persons and interested parties on VOGA's proposed activities. The company undertook a broad consultation process to raise public awareness, encourage feedback, and prompt respectful two-way consultation.

8.3.9 Consultation Opportunities/Channels

VOGA provided consultation opportunities through multiple mediums such as:

- Introductory telephone calls
- Response via email or mail
- Provision of a consultation phone number

- In-person or virtual meetings as appropriate and mutually agreed.

In the instances where no response was received following initial consultation outreach, attempts were made to follow up identified relevant persons via email, letter, telephone calls and text message, as appropriate.

8.3.10 Unplanned Event

VOGA has established procedures should an unplanned event occur.

Within our Exploration and Survey OPEP, VOGA has procedures to ensure relevant persons are notified within 24 hours should a Level 2 oil spill occur. VOGA provides notifications to relevant persons as requested through its consultation process.

VOGA routinely reviews its EPs to ensure all existing environment information is up to date. A current list of commercial fisheries and aquaculture, government departments and First Nations groups that could potentially be impacted is included in this update.

VOGA has prepared and adopted an Oil Spill Monitoring Plan utilising the joint industry framework for operational and scientific monitoring. VOGA is also a member of the OSRL joint industry arrangements for oil spill management which provides capacity to implement the Oil Spill Monitoring Plan.

8.3.11 Ongoing Consultation

VOGA recognises there will be relevant persons unable to provide feedback within the timeframes set out in Regulation 25 of the OPGGS(E)R and the extended consultation period provided by VOGA for the preparation of this EP.

If consultation cannot take place before the EP submission, VOGA will notify the relevant person of the intent to submit the EP and advise that consultation can continue in ongoing consultation, where feedback can be received, assessed and responded to (as appropriate) throughout the life of an EP. Figure 8-2 demonstrates VOGA's ongoing consultation process.

In this way, if a response is received, consultation can commence after the EP is submitted and feedback can be assessed and incorporated through the Management of Change process (Section 6.11). In accordance with Environment Regulation 22(15), ongoing consultation is part of VOGA's implementation strategy. Should consultation feedback be received following acceptance of this EP in which a measure or control which VOGA considers requires implementation or updates to meet the intended outcome of consultation, VOGA will apply its Management of Change process (Section 6.11).

Throughout the consultation process, a number of notification requests were made. These have been identified in Appendix F and listed in Table 8-6.

8.3.11.1 Ongoing Consultation with First Nation Groups

VOGA remains in ongoing consultation with First Nation groups. At the time of EP submission, there are no outstanding issues to resolve as a result of relevant person consultation.

VOGA will continue to seek the opportunity to meet with First Nations groups already consulted on a mutually agreed frequency and agenda, and Tier 1 First Nations groups it is yet to meet with (Appendix F: Table 6). VOGA is developing an Ongoing Engagement Plan with First Nations Groups ("Ongoing Engagement Plan"), to demonstrate VOGA's commitment to ongoing engagement of First Nations. It is designed to evolve with ongoing consultation and feedback from First Nations for all its activities.

The Ongoing Engagement Plan may be in the form of an agreement between two parties, or in the form of an understanding of how feedback can be provided on an ongoing basis to VOGA relating to the possible consequences of an activity to be carried out under an EP on their functions, interests and activities as they relate to cultural values. This feedback will be evaluated in conjunction with First Nation groups and, where necessary, avoidance or mitigation strategies will be developed.

VOGA is committed to continue to receive feedback on cultural values for the life of an EP, the inclusion of new information and the development of avoidance or mitigation strategies in collaboration with First Nations. This information will be recorded and assessed and any potential impacts to the accepted EP evaluated via the MoC process (Section 8.11).

Figure 8-2: VOGA's ongoing consultation process



Table 8-6: Ongoing consultation engagements

Report	Recipient	Purpose	Frequency	Content
Emails/Meetings	Relevant First Nation Groups	Identification, assessment and consideration of cultural values relevant to the Operational Area and EMBA	Ongoing	Assessment of any relevant new information on cultural values will be assessed. VOGA will apply its MoC process, where appropriate (Section 6.11).
Notification (email)	AHO	As requested by AHO during consultation.	No less than 4 weeks prior to commencement.	Date of activity start. Addressed in CM-1.2 (Table 5-54) and Section 5.1.
Updates (email)			As required.	Changes to planned activities.
Notification (email)	AMSA – Marine Safety	As requested by AMSA during consultation.	No less than 4 weeks prior to commencement and at the end of activities.	Date of activity start. Addressed in CM-1.2 (Table 5-54) and Section 5.1.
			Contact AMSA's Rescue Centre (ARC) and Joint Rescue Coordination Centre (JRCC) at least 24–48 hours before operations commence.	
Notification (Australasian Underwater Cultural Heritage Database tool)	DCCEEW – Underwater Cultural Heritage	As requested by DCCEEW – Underwater Cultural Heritage during consultation.	Within 21 days of a discovery.	VOGA will notify the Minister of an Underwater Cultural Heritage discovery through the Australasian Underwater Cultural Heritage Database tool. Addressed in CM-2.2 (Table 5-54) and Section 5.2.
Notification (email)	Director of National Parks (DNP)	As requested by DNP.	Within 24 hours of an unplanned oil spill event.	DNP requested Marine Compliance Duty Officer be notified of any spill or pollution.
Updates (email)			As required.	DNP requested an update should the operational area change.

Report	Recipient	Purpose	Frequency	Content
Notification (email)	DBCA	As requested by DBCA.	As required.	VOGA to contact DBCA's Pilbara regional office in the event of a hydrocarbon release during the activity.
Notification (call and email)	DoT – Marine Pollution	As requested by DoT.	As soon as reasonably practical (within 2 hours).	In the risk of a spill impacting state waters to DoT Maritime Environmental Emergency Response (MEER) Duty Officer via the 24-hour reporting number (08) 9480 9924. The initial verbal notification must be followed up by an email containing a marine pollution report (POLREP), or similar, to marine.pollution@transport.wa.gov.au. As per Guidance Note from July 2020 titled Marine Oil Pollution: Response and Consultation Arrangements.
Notification (email)	Pilbara Ports Authority (PPA)	As requested by PPA.	As soon as practicable.	PPA to be notified if an unplanned event occurs.
Notification (email)	WAFIC	As requested by WAFIC.	No less than 10 days prior to commencement of activities.	Date of activity start. Addressed in CM-1.2 (Table 5-54) and Section 5.1.
			Within 24 hours of an unplanned Level 2 oil spill event.	WAFIC to be notified in the event of a Level 2 oil spill.
Ongoing engagement	Recfishwest	As requested by Recfishwest.	Ongoing.	Project progress update, including date of activity start.
Updates (email)	Shire of Ashburton	As requested by the Shire of Ashburton.	As required.	Project progress update, including date of activity start.
Notification (email)	Other relevant persons	Notification of significant change.	As appropriate.	Notification of significant change.

Report	Recipient	Purpose	Frequency	Content
Emails/Meetings	Persons or organisations who provide feedback to VOGA post EP submission.	Identification, assessment and consideration of feedback, claims and/or objections.	As appropriate.	Assessment of claims and/or objections. VOGA will apply its MoC process, where appropriate (Section 6.11).
Updates (email)	Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation	As requested by Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation	As soon as practicable.	Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation to be notified in the event of an oil spill.
Updates (email)	Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation	As requested by Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation	As soon as practicable.	Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation to be notified in the event of an oil spill.

References

Accomando, A., Finneran, J., Henderson, E., Jenkins, K., Kotecki, S., Martin, C., Mulsow, J., Zapetis, M., and (eds) Carline, K. and Schreher, V. (2025). Criteria and Thresholds for U.S. Navy Acoustic and Explosive Effects Analysis (Phase 4) Revision 2025.1. April 2025.

ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000). Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality. Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council and Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand.

Ashurst (2023). Full Court Tipakalippa decision – stakeholder consultation grows teeth. Written by Denholder, A., Gay, A., Lawrence, C. and Hall, J. Available at https://www.ashurst.com/en/insights/full-court-tipakalippa-decision-stakeholder-consultation-grows-teeth/.

Aurecon (2016). Wandoo Environmental Monitoring Program Operational Baseline Survey 2015. Prepared for Vermillion Oil and Gas Australia.

Australian Heritage Commission (2002). Ask First – A guide to respecting Indigenous Heritage places and values. Available at Ask First: A guide to respecting Indigenous heritage places and values - First Nations Heritage Protection Alliance.

Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies. (AIATSIS) (2022). Welcome to Country. Available at https://aiatsis.gov.au/explore/welcome-country.

Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS) (2021). Hawksbill and green turtle distribution and important areas. As part of the Northwest Shores to Shoals Research Program, supported by Santos. Available at https://northwestatlas.org/nwa/nws2s-megafauna#green_bia.

Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) (2012). The National Plan to Combat Pollution of the Sea by Oil and other Noxious and Hazardous Substances, Protocol for the Register of Oil Spill Control Agents. Australian Maritime Safety Authority, Canberra.

Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) (2012a). Marine Notice 15/2012, Shipping Fairways off the north-west coast of Australia. Australian Maritime Safety Authority, Canberra, Australia.

Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) (2013). Rescuing Oiled wildlife – What can you do! Available at https://www.amsa.gov.au/environment/maritime-environmental-emergencies/national-plan/General-Information/oiled-wildlife/rescuing-wildlife/index.asp.

Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) (2014). *Australian Maritime Safety Authority Act 1990*.

Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) (2015). Technical guidelines for preparing contingency plans for marine and coastal facilities. Available at https://www.amsa.gov.au/sites/default/files/np-gui-012-technical-guidelines-contingency-plans-15082023_0.pdf.

Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) (2023). 'Technical Guideline for the Preparation of Marine Pollution Contingency Plans for Marine and Coastal Facilities Australian Maritime Safety Authority.

Available at https://www.amsa.gov.au/sites/default/files/np-gui-012-technical-guidelinescontingency-plans-15082023_0.pdf.

Australian Petroleum Production and Exploration Association (APPEA) (2008). Code of Environmental Practice – October 2008. RPS Ecos.

ANZG (2018). Australian and New Zealand guidelines for fresh and marine water quality. Australian and New Zealand Governments and Australian state and territory governments. Canberra, Australian Capital Territory. Available at https://www.waterquality.gov.au/anz-guidelines.

Astron Environmental Services (2014). Exmouth Islands Turtle Monitoring Program – January 2014 Field Survey. Rev A, 11 February 2014, unpublished report for Apache Energy Ltd, Perth.

Astron (2017). Quadrant Environmental Monitoring Program Varanus and Airlie Islands Turtle Monitoring Annual Report 2016/17, Prepared for Quadrant Energy Australia Ltd by Astron Environmental Services, Perth, Western Australia, June 2017. Report reference EA-60-RI-10173.

Baird, A.H., Blakeway, D.R., Hurley, T.J. and Stoddart, J.A. (2011). Seasonality of coral reproduction in the Dampier Archipelago, northern Western Australia. *Marine Biology* 158: 275-285.

Baker, C.S. and Herman, L.M. (1989). Behavioural responses of summering humpback whales to vessel traffic: experimental and opportunistic observations. Final Report to the National Park Service. US Department of the Interior, Anchorage, AK.

Baker, C., Potter, A., Tran, M. and Heap, A.D. (2008). Geomorphology and Sedimentology of the Northwest Marine Region of Australia. Geoscience Australia, Record 2008/07. Geoscience Australia, Canberra.

Bancroft, K.P. (2003). A standardised classification scheme for the mapping of shallow-water marine habitats in Western Australia. Marine Conservation Branch, Department of Conservation and Land Management, Report MCB-05/2003. Fremantle, Western Australia.

Bannister, N., Holland, J. and Farrelly, T. (2014). Nest site fidelity of flatback turtles (*Natator depressus*) on Bare Sand Island, Northern Territory, Australia. *Northern Territory Naturalist* 27: 47–53.

Beach Energy Limited (Beach) (2023). Environment Plan: Offshore Gas Victoria. Geophysical and Geotechnical Seabed Survey. Available at https://docs.nopsema.gov.au/A1044228.

Bell, B., Spotila, J.R. and Congdon, J. (2006). High Incidence of Deformity in Aquatic Turtles in the John Heinz National Wildlife Refuge. *Environmental Pollution* 142(3): 457–465.

Berge J., Geoffroy M., Daase M., Cottier F., Priou P., Cohen J.H., Johnsen G., McKee D., Kostakis I., Renaud P.E., Vogedes D., Anderson P., Last K.S. and Gauthier S. (2020). Artificial light during the polar night disrupts Arctic fish and zooplankton behaviour down to 200 m depth. *Communications Biology* 3(1): 102.

Black, K.P., Brand, G.W., Grynberg, H., Gwyther, D., Hammond, L.S., Mourtikas, S., Richardson, B.J., and Wardrop, J.A. (1994). Production Facilities. In: Environmental Implications of Offshore Oil and Gas Development in Australia - The Findings of an Independent Scientific Review.

Blumer, M. (1971). Scientific Aspects of the Oil Spill Problem. *Boston College Environmental Affairs Law Review* 1(1) 54-73.

Bond, T., Partridge, J.C., Taylor, M.D., Langlois, T.J., Malseed, B.E., Smith, L.D. and McLean, D. L. (2018). Fish associated with a subsea pipeline and adjacent seafloor of the North West Shelf of Western Australia. *Marine Environmental Research* 141, 53–65.

Bowman Bishaw Gorham (BBG) (1996). Environmental Baseline Survey Wandoo B. Report prepared for Ampolex Ltd (Ampolex Report Number 17486).

Brewer, D., Lyne, V., Skewes, T. and Rothlisberg, P. (2007). Trophic systems of the North West Marine Region. Report to the Department of the Environment and Water Resources. CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research, Australia.

BRS (2007). Designated Exchange Areas Project – Providing Informed Decision on the Discharge of Ballast Water in Australia (Phase II) Ed. Knight, E., Barry, S., Summerson, R., Cameron S., Darbyshire R. report for the Bureau of Rural sciences.

Bureau of Infrastructure, Transport and Regional Economics (BITRE) (2014). Containerised and non-containerised trade through Australian ports to 2032–33, Report 138, Canberra ACT.

Bureau of Meteorology (2024). Climate Data Online. Available at http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/averages/tables/cw_004083.shtml.

Burger, A.E. and Fry, D.M. (1993). Effects of oil pollution on seabirds in the northeast Pacific, in K Vermeer, KT Briggs, KH Morgan and D Siegel-Causey (eds), The status, ecology, and conservation of marine birds of the North Pacific. Canadian Wildlife Service Special Publication, Ottawa.

Carr, B. and Livesey, N. (1996). Pilbara Mangrove Study. Prepared for the Heritage Council of Western Australia. Murdoch University, Perth.

Challenger, G. and Mauseth, G. (2011). Chapter 32 – Seafood safety and oil spills. In Oil Spill Science and Technology. M. Fingas (ed) 1083-1100.

Chapius, L., Collin, S.P., Yopak, K.E., McCauley, R.D., Kempster, R.M., Ryan, L.A., Schmidt, C., Kerr, C.C., Gennari, E., Egeberg, C.A. and Hart, N.S. (2019). The effect of underwater sounds on shark behaviour. Accessed at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6502882/.

Chatto, R. (2001). Technical report: the distribution and status of colonial breeding seabirds in the Northern Territory, Parks and Wildlife Commission of the Northern Territory, Darwin.

Chevron (2005). Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Review and Management Programme for the proposed Gorgon Development. Chevron Australia Pty Ltd, Perth, Western Australia.

Chevron (2008). Gorgon Gas Development Revised and Expanded Proposal Public Environmental Review Operated by Chevron Australia in joint venture with Gorgon Project. EPBC Referral 2008/4178. Assessment No. 1727. Chevron Australia Pty Ltd, Perth, Western Australia, September 2008.

Chevron Australia (2022). Gorgon Gas Development and Jansz Feed Gas Pipeline Environmental Performance Report 2022. Available at https://australia.chevron.com/-/media/australia/our-businesses/documents/gorgon-gas-development-and-jansz-feed-gas-pipeline-environmental-performance-report-2022.pdf.

Chiaradia, A., Dann, P., Jessop, R. and Collins, P. (2002). The diet of crested tern (*Sterna bergii*) chicks on Phillip Island, Victoria, Australia. *Emu* 102(4): 367-371.

Cianchetti-Benedetti, M., Becciu, P., Massa, B. and Dell'Omo, G. (2018). Conflicts between touristic recreational activities and breeding shearwaters: short-term effect of artificial light and sound on chick weight. *European Journal of Wildlife Research* 64: 19.

Cintron, G., Lugo, A.E., Marinez, R., Cintron, B.B., and Encarnacion, L. (1981). Impact of oil in the tropical marine environment. Technical Publication, Division of Marine Research, Department of Natural Resources, Puerto Rico.

Clark, C.W., Ellison, W.T., Southall, B.L., Hatch, L., Van Parijs, S.M., Frankel, A. and Ponirakis, D. (2009). Acoustic masking in marine ecosystems: Intuitions, analysis, and implication. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 395: 201-222.

Clarke, R.H. (2010). The Status of Seabirds and Shorebirds at Ashmore Reef and Cartier and Browse Islands: Monitoring program for the Montara Well release - Pre-impact Assessment and First Post-impact Field Survey. Prepared on behalf of PTTEP Australasia and the Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts, Australia.

Commonwealth of Australia (CoA). (2017). Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia 2017 – 2027. Commonwealth of Australia 2017. Available at https://www.agriculture.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/recovery-plan-marine-turtles-2017.pdf.

Commonwealth of Australia (CoA). (2017a). National Strategy for Reducing Vessel Strike on Cetaceans and other Marine Megafauna 2017. Commonwealth of Australia 2017. Available at https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/marine/marine-species/cetaceans/national-strategy-reducing-vessel-strike-cetaceans-marine-megafauna.

Commonwealth of Australia (CoA). (2020). Wildlife Conservation Plan for Seabirds. Commonwealth of Australia 2020. Available at https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/biodiversity/publications/wildlife-conservation-plan-seabirds-2022.

Commonwealth of Australia (CoA) (2023). Murujuga Cultural landscape Nominated World Heritage Property. https://whc.unesco.org/document/222348

Connell, D.W., and Miller, G.J. (1981). Petroleum hydrocarbons in aquatic ecosystems –behaviour and effects of sublethal concentrations. CRC Report: Critical Reviews in Environmental Controls.

ConocoPhillips. (2018). Barossa Area Development Offshore Project Proposal. ConocoPhillips, Perth, Western Australia.

Conservation and Land Management (CALM) and Marine Parks and Reserves Authority (MPRA) (2005). Management Plan for the Ningaloo Marine Park and Muiron Islands Marine Management Area 2005–2015. Management Plan No. 52. Department of Conservation and Land Management and Marine Parks and Reserves Authority.

Crawford, R., Cooper, J. Dyer, B., Upfold, L. Venter, A.D., Whittington, P., Williams, A.J. and Wolfaardt, A. (2002). Longevity, inter-colony movements and breeding of Crested Terns in South Africa. *Emu* 102.

CSIRO (2015). Marine Benthic Substrate Database – CAMRIS – Marsed Version 1. CSIRO. Data Collection. Available at https://doi.org/10.4225/08/551485612CDEE.

D'Antonio, B., Ferreira, L., Fisher, R., Thums, M., Pattiaratchi, C.B., Sequeira, A.M.M., Faubel, S., Norman, B., Meekan, M. (2025). Natural and artificial structures influence the movement and habitat connectivity of whale sharks (Rhincodon typus) across seascapes. Diversity and Distributions, 31(1), e13950. https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.13950

Davis, H.K., Moffat, C.F. and Shepherd, N.J. (2002). Experimental Tainting of Marine Fish by Three Chemically Dispersed Petroleum Products, with Comparisons to the Braer Oil Spill. *Spill Science & Technology Bulletin* 7(5–6): 257–278.

Deepwater Horizon (DWH) Natural Resource Damage Assessment Trustees (2016). Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill: Final Programmatic Assessment and Restoration Plan and Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement.

del Hoyo J., Elliot A., Sargatal J. (eds). (1996). Handbook of the birds of the world, vol. 3: hoatzin to auks. Barcelona, Spain: Lynx Ediciones.

Department of Agriculture and Water Resources (DAWR) (2018) Marine Pest Plan 2018–2023: the National Strategic Plan for Marine Pest Biosecurity. Available at https://www.marinepests.gov.au/sites/default/files/Documents/marine-pest-plan-2018-2023.docx.

Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF) (2021). Marine pests. Australian Government, DAFF, Canberra. Available at https://www.agriculture.gov.au/biosecurity-trade/pests-diseases-weeds/marine-pests.

Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF) (2023a). Australian Biofouling Management Requirements – Version 2. Available at https://www.agriculture.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/Australian-biofouling-management-requirements.pdf.

Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF) (2023b). The Biosecurity Act 2015. Available at https://www.agriculture.gov.au/biosecurity-trade/policy/legislation/biosecurity-legislation.

Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF) (2024). *Fisheries Management Act 1991.* Available at https://www.legislation.gov.au/C2004A04237/latest/text.

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) (2020). Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements – Version 8. Available at https://www.agriculture.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/australian-ballast-water-management-requirements.pdf.

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) (2021). Guidance on key term within the Blue Whale Conservation Management Plan. Available at https://www.dcceew.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/guidance-key-terms-blue-whale-conservation-management-plan-2021.pdf.

Department of Agricultural and Water Resources (DAWR) (2018). Marine Pest Plan 2018–2023: National Strategic Plan for Marine Pest Biosecurity. Available at https://www.marinepests.gov.au/sites/default/files/Documents/marine-pest-plan-2018-2023.pdf.

Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) (2020). Pilbara inshore islands nature reserves and proposed additions draft management plan. Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth, Australia.

Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) (2020b). Economic contribution of Ningaloo: one o' Australia's best kept secrets. Deloitte Access Economics. June 2020. 58 pp.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2005). Whale Shark (*Rhincodon typus*) Recovery Plan 2005-2010. Published under Department of the Environment and Heritage. Commonwealth of Australia, 2005.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2007). Sea Countries of the North-West – literature review on Indigenous connection to and uses of the North-West Marine Region. Published under Australian Government Department of the Environment and Water Resources.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2022). Annual Climate Change Statement 2022, Australian Government Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2022b). Sea Country Indigenous Protected Areas Program - Grant Opportunity. Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water. Available at https://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/land/indigenous-protected-areas/sea-country-grant-opportunity.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2023a). The *Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Protection Act 1984*: General guide, Canberra, October. CC BY 4.0.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2023b). National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife, Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water, Canberra, May. CC BY 4.0.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2023c). Assessment of the Western Australian Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery – December 2023. Commonwealth of Australia.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2023d). Guidelines for working in the near and offshore environment to protect Underwater Cultural Heritage, Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water, Canberra, October CC BY 4.0.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2024a). Assessing and Managing Impacts to Underwater Cultural Heritage in Australian Waters – Guidelines on the application of the *Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018*. Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water, Canberra.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2024b). *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*. Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2024c). Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2000. Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2024d). *Environment Protection (Sea Dumping) Act 1981.* Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2024e). Ancient coastline at 125 m depth contour. Key Ecological Feature. Species Profile and Threats Database.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2024f). Canyons linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Cape Range Peninsula. Key Ecological Feature. Species Profile and Threats Database.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2024g). Commonwealth waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef. Key Ecological Feature. Species Profile and Threats Database.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2024h). Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities. Key Ecological Feature. Species Profile and Threats Database.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2024i). Exmouth Plateau. Key Ecological Feature. Species Profile and Threats Database.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2024j). Glomar Shoals. Key Ecological Feature. Species Profile and Threats Database.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2024k). Species Profiles and Threats Database.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2024l). National Recovery Plan for the Southern Right Whale, Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water, Canberra.

Department of Conservation and Land Management (CALM) (2005). Management Plan for the Ningaloo Marine Park and Muiron Islands Marine Management Area 2005 – 2015 Management Plan No. 52. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Perth, Western Australia.

Department of Environment (DoE) (2004). Pilbara Air Quality Study – Summary Report. Department of Environment, Technical Series No. 120.

Department of Environment (DoE) (2015). Conservation Management Plan for the Blue Whale. A Recovery Plan under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*. Department of the Environment. Canberra.

Department of Environment (DoE) (2015b). Wildlife Conservation Plan for Migratory Shorebirds. Commonwealth of Australia.

Department of Environment (DoE) (2015c). Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan. Commonwealth of Australia.

Department of the Environment (DoE) (2024). Whale Shark (*Rhincodon typus*) in Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of the Environment, Canberra. Available at https://www.environment.gov.au/sprat.

Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) (2006). Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow Islands Marine Conservation Reserves, 2007–2015. Management Plan No. 55. Prepared by Department of Environment and Conservation for the Marine Parks and Reserves Authority.

Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) (2007). Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow Islands marine Conservation Reserves. 2007-2017. Management Plan No. 55. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth.

Department of the Environment and Energy (DEE) (2016). Factsheet: Coastal wetlands – Mangroves and saltmarshes. Commonwealth of Australia.

Department of the Environment and Energy (DEE) (2019). Underwater Cultural Heritage Guidance for Offshore Development. Commonwealth of Australia, 2019.

Department of the Environment and Energy (DEE) (2018). Threat Abatement Plan for the impacts of marine debris on the vertebrate wildlife of Australia's coasts and oceans. Commonwealth of Australia, 2018.

Department of Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (DEWHA) (2008). The North-West Marine Bioregional Plan: Bioregional Profile. Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts, Canberra.

Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) (2023a). Fishery Map Data. Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Perth, Western Australia.

Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) (2023b). Recreational fishing location guide. Available at https://library.dpird.wa.gov.au/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1058&context=fr_fop.

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Australian Government (DSEWPC) (2011). Background Paper, Population Status and Threats to Albatrosses and Giant Petrels Listed as Threatened under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*, Commonwealth of Australia, Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, AAD, Hobart.

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Australian Government (DSEWPC) (2012a). Marine bioregional plan for the North-west Marine Region, Australian Government, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory. Available at https://www.dcceew.gov.au/sites/default/files/env/pages/1670366b-988b-4201-94a1-1f29175a4d65/files/north-west-marine-plan.pdf.

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Australian Government (DSEWPC) (2012b). Marine bioregional plan for the South-west Marine Region. Available at

https://www.dcceew.gov.au/sites/default/files/env/pages/a73fb726-8572-4d64-9e33-1d320dd6109c/files/south-west-marine-plan.pdf.

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Australian Government (DSEWPC) (2012c). *Eretmochelys imbricata* – Hawksbill Turtle. Available at http://www.environment.gov.au/cgibin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=1766

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Australian Government (DSEWPC) (2012d). Marine bioregional plans. Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Canberra, ACT. Available at http://www.environment.gov.au/marine/marine-bioregional-plans/about.

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (DSEWPC) (2013). Recovery plan for the Australian Sea Lion (*Neophoca cinereal*). Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Canberra, ACT.

Deppe, L., Rowley, O., Rowe, L.K., Shi, N., McArthur, N., Gooday, O. and Goldstien, S.J. (2017). Investigation of fallout events in Hutton's shearwaters (*Puffinus huttoni*) associated with artificial lighting. *Notornis* 64(4): 181–191.

Director of National Parks (DNP) (2018). North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan 2018, Director of National Parks, Canberra.

Double, M.C. Andrews-Goff, V.K., Jenner, C.S., Jenner, M-N., Laverick, S.M., Branch, T.A. and Gales, N.J. (2014). Migratory Movements of Pygmy Blue Whales (*Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda*) between Australia and Indonesia as Revealed by Satellite Telemetry. *PLOS one* 9(4).

Dow Piniak, W.E. (2012). Acoustic Ecology of Sea Turtles: Implications for Conservation. PhD thesis, Marine Science and Conservation Duke University. pp 136. Available at https://dukespace.lib.duke.edu/dspace/bitstream/handle/10161/6159/Piniak_duke_0066D_11691.pdf?sequence=1.

Duke, N., Wood, A., Hunnam, K., Mackenzie, J., Haller, A., Christiansen, N., Zahmel, K. and Green, T. (2010). Shoreline Ecological Assessment Aerial and Ground Surveys 7-19 November 2009. As part of the Scientific Monitoring Study of the Montara Monitoring Plan. A report commissioned by PTTEP Australasia (Ashmore Cartier) PL, Perth, Western Australia for the Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts, Canberra, ACT.

Dunlop, J. N. (2018). Fairy Tern (*Sternula nereis*) conservation in south-western Australia. Second Edition. Conservation Council (WA): Perth.

Engelhardt, F. (1983). Petroleum effects on marine mammals. *Aquatic Toxicology* 4: 199–217.

Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) (2001). Guidance for the assessment of environmental factors, guidance statement for protection of tropical arid zone mangroves along the Pilbara coastline, No. 1. Environmental Protection Authority, Perth.

Erbe, C. and McPherson C. (2017). Underwater noise from geotechnical drilling and standard penetration testing. *J Acoust Soc Am.* 142(3): EL281.

Erbe, C., Marley, S.A., Schoeman, R.P., Smith, J.N., Trigg, L.E. and Embling, C.B. (2019). The Effects of Ship Noise on Marine Mammals—A Review. Available at https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/marine-science/articles/10.3389/fmars.2019.00606/full.

Erb, V. and Wyneken, J. (2019). Nest-to-surf mortality of loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) hatchlings on Florida's east coast. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 6(271).

Fathom Pacific (2023). ConocoPhillips Cetacean Surveillance Program. Report to ConocoPhillips Australia SH1 Pty Ltd and ConocoPhillips Australia SH2 Pty Ltd by Fathom Pacific Pty Ltd.

Federal Court of Australia (2022). *Santos NA Barossa Pty Ltd v Tipakalippa [2022] FCAFC 193.* Available at https://www.judgments.fedcourt.gov.au/judgments/Judgments/fca/full/2022/2022fcafc0193.

Felder, D.L., Thoma, B.P., Schmidt, W.E., Sauvage, T., Self-Krayesky, S.L., Chistoserdov, A, Bracken-Grissom, H.D. and Fredericq, S. (2014). Seaweeds and Decapod Crustaceans on Gulf Deep Banks after the Macondo Oil Spill. *BioScience* 64: 808–819.

Fernandez-Torquemada, Y., Sanchez-Lizaso, J.L. and Gonzalez-Correa, J.M. (2005). Preliminary results of the monitoring of the brine discharge produced by the SWO desalination plant of Alicante (SE Spain) *Desalination* 182: 395-402.

Finneran, J.J., Henderson, E.E., Houser, D.S., Jenkins, K., Kotecki, S. and Mulsow, J. (2017). Criteria and Thresholds for U.S. Navy.

Fodrie, F.J. and Heck, K.L. (2011). Response of coastal fishes to the Gulf of Mexico oil disaster. *PloS ONE* 6: e21609.

Forrest, B. M., Gardner, J. P. and Taylor, M. D (2009). Internal borders for managing invasive marine species. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 46(1) 46-54.

Fossette, S., Ferreira, L.C., Whiting, S.D., Pendoley, J.K.K., Shimada, T., Speirs, M., Tucker, A.D., Wilson, P. and Thums, M. (2021). Movements and distribution of hawksbill turtles in the Eastern Indian Ocean. Global Ecology and Conservation, 29. e01713.

French, D., Schuttenberg, H. and Isaji, T. (1999). Probabilities of Oil Exceeding Thresholds of Concern: Examples from an Evaluation for Florida Power and Light. In: Environment Canada's Proceedings of the Twenty Second Arctic and Marine Oil Spill Program (AMOP) Technical Seminar. Calgary, Alberta, Canada.

French-McCay, D.P. (2002). Development and Application of an Oil Toxicity and Exposure Model, OilToxEx. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 21(10): 2080–2094.

French-McKay, D.P. (2003). Development and Application of Damage Assessment Modelling: Example Assessment for the North Cape Oil Spill. *Mar Pollut Bull* 47.

French-McCay, D.P. (2004). Oil Spill Impact Modelling: Development and Validation. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 23(10): 2441-2456.

French-McCay, D. (2009). State-of-the-Art and Research Needs for Oil Spill Impact Assessment Modelling. Proceedings of the 32nd AMOP Technical Seminar on Environmental Contamination and Response.

2.Gagnon, M.M. and Rawson, C.A. 2010. Montara Well Release: Report on necropsies from a Timor Sea green sea turtle. Perth, Western Australia, Curtin University, vol. 15.

Friligos, N. (1985). Turnover time of waters in a deep basin in the Aegean Sea. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 21: 879-894.

Gagnon, M.M. and Rawson, C. (2011). Montara Well Release, Monitoring Study S4A – Assessment of Effects on Timor Sea Fish. Curtin University, Perth, Australia.

Geraci, J.R. and St. Aubin, D.J. (1988). Synthesis of Effects of Oil on Marine Mammals, Report to US Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service, Atlantic OCS Region, OCS Study Ventura, California.

Gohlke, J.M. (2011). A Review of Seafood Safety after the Deepwater Horizon Blowout. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 119(8): 1062–1069.

Gotz, T., Hastie, G., Hatch, L., Raustein, O., Southall, B., Tasker, M., Thomsen, F., Campbell, J. and Tasker, M. (2009). Overview of the impacts of anthropogenic underwater sound in the marine environment. OPSAR commission.

Greenwell, C. (2021). Life history, ecology, and population dynamics of the Australian Fairy Tern and implications for their conservation. Research thesis, Murdoch University, Perth, Western Australia.

Groom, R.A., Lawler, I.R. and Marsh, H. (2004) The risk to dugongs of vessel strike in the Southern Bay Islands area of Moreton Bay. Report to Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service.

Harris, P., A Heap, V. Passlow, L. Sbaffi, M. Fellows, R. Porter-Smith, C. Buchanan, and J. Daniell (2005). Geomorphic Features of the Continental Margin of Australia. Record 2003/30. Canberra, Australian Capital Territory: Geoscience Australia. https://www.dcceew.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/nmb-geomorphic-report.pdf.

Hart, N.S. (2001). The visual ecology of avian photoreceptors. *Progress in Retinal and Eye Research* 20: 675–703.

Hazel, J. and Gyuris, E. (2006) Vessel-related mortality of sea turtles in Queensland, Australia. *Wildlife Research* 33: 149-154.

Hjermann, D.Ø., Melsom, A., Dingsør, G.E., Durant, J.M., Eikeset, A.M., Røed, L.P., Ottersen, G., Storvik, G. and Stenseth, N.C. (2007). Fish and oil in the Lofoten–Barents Sea system: synoptic review of the effect of oil spills on fish populations. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 339: 283–299

Higgins, P.J. and S.J.J.F. Davies (eds). (1996). Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds. Volume 3: Snipe to Pigeons. Oxford University Press, Melbourne.

Hinwood, J.B., Potts, A.E., Dennis, L.R., Carey J.M., Houridis, H., Bell, R.J., Thomson, J.R., Boudreau, P. and Ayling, A.M. (1994). Environmental Implications of Shore Oil and Gas Development in Australia – Drilling Activities. The Findings of an independent Scientific Review on Behalf of the APPEA and Energy Research and Development Corporation.

Hook, S., Batley, G., Holoway, M., Irving P. and Ross, A. (2016). Oil Spill Monitoring Handbook. CSIRO Publishing.

Huisman, J. M. (2000). Marine plants of Australia. University of Western Australia Press in association with Australian Biological Resources Study.

Huisman, J.M. and Borowitzka, M.A. (2003). Marine benthic flora of the Dampier Archipelago, Western Australia. In F.E. Wells, D.I. Walker and D.S. Jones (eds.) The Marine Flora and Fauna of Dampier, Western Australia. Western Australian Museum, Perth.

International Association for Public Participation Australasia Limited (IAP2 Australasia) (2023). Quality Assurance Standard for Community and Stakeholder Engagement. Available at https://iap2.org.au/resources/quality-assurance-standard/.

International Finance Corporation (IFC) (2015). Environmental Health and Safety Guidelines for Offshore Oil and Gas Development. World Bank Group, IFC, Washington, D.C. Available at https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/378221479466912449/environmental-health-and-safety-guidelines-for-offshore-oil-and-gas-development.

International Maritime Organisation (IMO) (2004). International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments.

International Union for Conservation (IUCN) (2011). Ningaloo Coast, World Heritage Convention. UNESCO. Available at https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1369/.

International Tanker Owners Pollution Federation (ITOPF) (2011). Effects of oil pollution on the marine environment (Technical Information Paper No. 13). International Tanker Owners Pollution Federation Limited, London.

International Tanker Owners Pollution Federation (ITOPF) (2014). 'Technical Information Paper 2 - Fate of Marine Oil Spills', International Tankers Owners Pollution Federation td, UK.

IPIECA (1995). Biological Impacts of Oil Pollution: Rocky Shores. IPIECA. London.

IPIECA (2002). Guidelines on Biological Impacts of Oil Pollution. International Petroleum Industry Environmental Conservation Association. London.

Jadestone Energy. (2025). Stag Field Enviornment Plan Permit WA-15-L. Available from: https://docs.nopsema.gov.au/A1180740

Jaquemet, S., Le Corre, M., Marsac, F., Potier, M. and Weimerskirch, H. (2005). Foraging habitats of the seabird community of Europa Island (Mozambique Channel). *Marine Biology* 147(3): 573–582

Jimenez-Arranz, G., Glanfield, R., Banda, N. and Wyatt, R. (2017). Review on Existing Data on Underwater Sounds Produced by the Oil and Gas Industry. Submitted to E&P Sound & Marine Life.

Johnson, A. (2018). The Effects of Turbidity and Suspended Sediments on ESA-Listed Species from Projects Occurring in the Greater Atlantic Region. Greater Atlantic Region Policy Series 18-02. NOAA Fisheries Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office. Available at https://www.greateratlantic.fisheries.noaa.gov/policyseries/index.php/GARPS/issue/view/6.

Johnstone, R.E., Burbidge, Allan and Darnell, John. (2013). Birds of the Pilbara region, including seas and offshore islands, Western Australia: distribution, status and historical changes. *Records of the Western Australian Museum, Supplement* 78(2): 343-441.

Jung, J. (2011). Biomarker Responses in Pelagic and Benthic Fish Over One Year Following the Hebei Spirit Oil Spill (Taean, Korea). *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 62(8): 1859–1866.

Kamrowski, R.L., Limpus, C.J., Pendoley, K. and Hamann, M. (2014). Influence of industrial light pollution on the sea-finding behaviour of flatback turtle hatchlings. *Wildlife Research* 41: 421–434.

Keesing, J.K. (Ed.) (2019). Benthic habitats and biodiversity of the Dampier and Montebello Australian Marine Parks. Report for the Director of National Parks. CSIRO, Australia.

Kennish, M.J. (1998). Pollution Impacts on Marine Biotic Communities. Boca Raton, Fla.: CRC Press.

Kinhill (1997). East Spar First Post-commissioning Survey Report. A report to Apache Energy by Kinhill Pty Ltd. October 1997. Report EA-00-RI-9981/B.

Kirkman, H. (1997). Seagrasses of Australia. Canberra: Environment Australia.

Koessler, M.W. and C.R. McPherson (2020). Dorado OPP Acoustic Modelling: Assessing Marine Fauna Sound Exposures. Document 02076, Version 1.1. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for CDM Smith Australia.

Laist, D.W., Knowlton, A.R., Mead, J.G., Collet, A.S. and Podesta, M. (2001). Collisions between ships and whales. *Marine Mammal Science* 17(1): 35-75.

Lewis, M. and Pryor, R. (2013). Toxicities of oils, dispersants and dispersed oils to algae and aquatic plants: Review and database value to resource sustainability. *Env Poll* 180: 345–367.

Limpus, C.J., Miller, J.D., Parmenter, C.J. and Limpus, D.J. (2003). The green turtle, *Chelonia mydas*, population of Raine Island and the Northern Great Barrier Reef. *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* 49: 349–440.

Limpus, C.J. (2007). A biological review of Australian marine turtle species. 5. Flatback turtle, *Natator depressus* (Garman). The State of Queensland. Environmental Protection Agency, Brisbane, Queensland.

Limpus, C.J. (2008a). A biological review of Australian marine turtle species. 2. Green turtle, *Chelonia mydas* (Linneaus). The State of Queensland. Environmental Protection Agency, Brisbane, Queensland.

Limpus, C.J. (2008b). A biological review of Australian marine turtle species. 1. Loggerhead turtle, *Caretta caretta* (Linneaus). The State of Queensland. Environmental Protection Agency, Brisbane, Queensland.

Limpus, C.J. (2009). A biological review of Australian marine turtle species.3. Hawksbill turtle, *Eretmochelys imbricata* (Linneaus). The State of Queensland. Environmental Protection Agency, Brisbane, Queensland.

Long, E.R., MacDonald, D.D., Smith, S.L. and Calder, F.D. (1995). Incidence of adverse biological effects within ranges of chemical concentrations in marine and estuarine sediments. *Environmental Management* 19: 81-97.

Lutcavage, M.E., Lutz, P.L., Bossart, G.D. and Hudson, D.M. (1995). Physiologic and clinicopathological effects of crude oil on loggerhead sea turtles. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* (28): 417–422.

MacGillivray, A.O., Racca, R. and Li, Z. (2013). Marine mammal audibility of selected shallow-water survey sources. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 135(1).

Marchant, S., Higgins, P.J. (1990). Handbook of Australia, New Zealand and Antarctic birds, vol. 1, Ratites to ducks, Oxford University Press, Melbourne.

Marine Pest Sectoral Committee (MPSC) (2018). National biofouling management guidelines for the petroleum production and exploration industry, Department of Agriculture and Water Resources, Canberra, December. CC BY 4.0.

McCauley, R.D. (1998). Radiated underwater noise measured from the drilling rig Ocean General, rig tenders Pacific Ariki and Pacific Frontier, fishing vessel Reef Venture and natural sources in the Timor Sea, Northern Australia. Report to Shell Australia.

McClatchie, S, Middleton, J., Pattiaratchi, C., Currie, D. and Kendrick, G. (2006). The South-west Marine Region: Ecosystems and Key Species Groups. Report to the Department of Environment and Water Resources, 143 pp.

McLean, D.L., Partridge, J.C., Bond, T., Birt, M.J., Bornt, K.R. and Langlois, T.J. (2017). Using industry ROV videos to assess fish associations with subsea pipelines. *Continental Shelf Research* 141: 76–97.

McLeay, L. J., Sorokin, S. J., Rogers, P. J. and Ward, T. M. (2003). Benthic Protection Zone of the Great Australian Bight Marine Park: 1. Literature Review. South Australia Research and Development Institute.

McLeay, L., Page, B., Goldsworthy, S., Paton, D., Teixeira, C., Burch, P. and Ward, T. (2010). Foraging behaviour and habitat use of a short-ranging seabird, the crested tern. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 411.

McMahon, K., Statton, J. and Lavery, P. (2017). Seagrasses of the north west of Western Australia: Biogeography and considerations for dredging-related research: Report of Theme 5-Project 5.1. 2 prepared for the Dredging Science Node.

Meekan, M.G., Wilson, S.G., Halford, A. and Retzel, A. (2001). A comparison of catches of fishes and invertebrates by two light trap designs, in tropical NW Australia. *Marine Biology* 139: 373–381.

Meike, S., Castro, C., Gonzalez, J. and Williams, R. (2004). Behavioural responses of humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) to whale watching boats near Isla de la Plata, Machalilla National Park, Ecuador. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management* 6(1): 63-68.

Merkel, F.R. and Johansen, K.L. (2011). Light-induced bird strikes on vessels in southwest Greenland. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 62: 2330–2336.

Mustoe, S. and Edmunds, M. (2008). Coastal and marine natural values of the Kimberley, WWF-Australia.

Nagelkerken, I., Blaber, S.J.M., Bouillon, S., Green, P., Haywood, M., Kirton, L.G., Meynecke, J-O., Pawlik, J., Penrose, H.M., Sasekumar, A., and Somerfield, P.J. (2008). The habitat function of mangroves for terrestrial and marine fauna: A review. *Aquatic Botany* 89: 155-185.

National Academies Press (US) (2003). Oil in the Sea III: Inputs, Fates, and Effects. National Research Council (US) Committee on Oil in the Sea: Inputs, Fates, and Effects. Washington. Available at https://www.nap.edu/catalog/10388/oil-in-the-sea-iii-inputs-fates-and-effects

National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2024. Update to: Technical Guidance for Assessing the Effects of Anthropogenic Sound on Marine Mammal Hearing (Version 3.0): Underwater and InAir Criteria for Onset of Auditory Injury and Temporary Threshold Shifts. U.S. Dept. of Commer., NOAA. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-OPR-71, 182 p.

National Native Title Tribunal (NNTT) (2010). Native title: an overview. Commonwealth of Australia. Available at http://www.nntt.gov.au/Information%20Publications/Native%20Title%20an%20overview.pdf.

National Native Title Tribunal (NNTT) (2024). Representative Aboriginal/Torres Strait Islander Body Areas. Available at https://www.nntt.gov.au/Maps/RATSIB_map.pdf.

National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) (2022). Guidance Note: ALARP. N -04300-GN0166 A138249. Available at https://www.nopsema.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/N-04300-GN0166%20-%20ALARP%20%28A138249%29.pdf.

National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) (2023). Guideline: Consultation in the course of preparing an environment plan. N-04750-GL1705 A515816. Available at https://www.nopsema.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/Consultation%20in%20the%20course%20of%20preparing%20an%20Environment%20Plan.pdf.

National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) (2023a). Consultation on Offshore Environment Plans – Information for the Community. Available at https://www.nopsema.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/Consultation%20on%20offshore%20petroleum%20environment%20plans%20brochure.pdf.

National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) (2024). Guidance Note: Environment plan content requirement. N-04750-GN1344 A339814. Available at https://www.nopsema.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/Environment%20Plan%20Content%20Requirements%20Guidance%20Note.pdf.

National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) (2024a). Guideline: When to submit a proposed revision of an EP. N-04750-GL1705 A515816. Available at https://www.nopsema.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/When%20to%20submit%20a%20proposed%20revision%20of%20an%20Environment%20Plan%20guideline%2028%20Feb%202024.pdf.

National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) (2024b). Guideline: Environment Plan decision making. N-04750-GL1721 A524696. Available at

https://www.nopsema.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/Environment%20plan%20decision%20making%20guideline.pdf

National Energy Resources (NERA) (2017). Environment Plan Reference Case, Planned discharge of sewage, putrescible waste and grey water. National Energy Resources Australia (NERA), Kensington, WA. Accessed August 2023.

Newman, S.J., Santoro, K.G. and Gaughan, D.J. (eds). (2023). Status Reports of the Fisheries and Aquatic Resources of Western Australia 2022/23: The State of the Fisheries. Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia.

Newman, S.J., Wise, B.S., Santoro, K.G. and Gaughan, D.J. (eds). (2023a). Status Reports of the Fisheries and Aquatic Resources of Western Australia 2021/22: The State of the Fisheries. Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia.

Nicholson, L.W. (2002). Breeding strategies and community structure in an assemblage of tropical seabirds on the Lowendal Islands, Western Australia [PhD thesis]. Perth, Australia: Murdoch University. 327 p.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) (2010). Oil and sea turtles: biology planning and response. US Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Ocean Service, Office of Response and Restoration.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) (2002). Environmental Sensitivity Index Guidelines: Version 3 March 2002, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Washington.

National Research Council (US)(NRC). Committee on Spills of Emulsified Fuels: Risks and Responses. Spills of Emulsified Fuels: Risks and Response. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2001. 3, Ecological Effects: Summary and Evaluation of Available Information. Available at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK223937.

NRDA (2012). April 2012 Status Update for the Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill. A WWW publication accessed at: http://www.gulfspillrestoration.noaa.gov. Natural Resource Damage Assessment.

O'Brien, P.Y. and Dixon, P.S. (1976). The effects of oils and oil components on algae: A review. *British Phycological Journal* 11(2): 115-142.

Oliver, G.A. (1990). Interim Guidelines for Operations – Serrurier Island.

Orth, R.J., Harwell, M.C. and Inglis, G.J. (2006). Ecology of seagrass seeds and dispersal strategies. In A.W.D. Larkum, R.J. Orth and C.M. Duarte (eds.) Seagrasses: Biology, Ecology and Conservation. Springer, Dordrecht.

Paton, D.C. and Rogers, D.J. (2009). Ecology of breeding Fairy Terns *Sternula nereis* in the Coorong. Final report for the Wildlife Conservation Fund. Adelaide University, Adelaide.

Parliament of Australia (2023). Replacement Explanatory Statement, Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulation 2023, 06 November 2023. Available at https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Tabled_Documents/4118.

Paulay, G.K., Lambert, G. and Meyer, C. (2002). Anthropogenic biotic interchange in a coral reef ecosystem: A case study from Guam. *Pacific Science* 56 (4): 403–422.

Pearce, A., S. Buchan, T. Chiffings, N. D'Adamo, C. Fandry, P. Fearns, D. Mills, R. Phillips and C. Simpson (2003). A review of the oceanography of the Dampier Archipelago, Western Australia. In: Wells, F.E., D.I. Walker and D.S Jones (Eds.). The marine flora and fauna of Dampier, Western Australia. Western Australian Museum, Perth, 13-50 pp.

Pedretti, Y.M., and Paling, E.I. (2000). WA Mangrove assessment project 1999-2000. Marine and Freshwater Research Laboratory, Perth.

Peel, D., Smith, J.N. and Childerhouse, S. (2016). Historical data on Australian whale vessel strikes. Presented to the IWC Scientific Committee. SC/66b/HIM/05.

Pendoley, K.L. (2005). Sea Turtles and the Environmental Management of Industrial Activities in North West Western Australia, PhD Thesis, Murdoch University, Australia. 310 pp.

Pendoley, K.L., Schofield, G., Whittock, P.A., Ierodiaconou, D. and Hays, G.C. (2014). Protected species use of a coastal marine migratory corridor connecting marine protected areas. *Marine Biology* 1-12.

Pendoley, K.L., Whittock, P.A., Vitenbergs, A., Bell, C. (2016). Twenty years of turtle tracks: marine turtle nesting activity at remote locations in the Pilbara, Western Australia. *Australian Journal of Zoology* 64: 217-226.

Pendoley, K. and Kamrowski, R.L. (2015). Sea-finding in marine turtle hatchlings: what is an appropriate exclusion zone to limit disruptive impacts of industrial light at night? *Journal for Nature Conservation* 30: 1–11.

Pendoley Environmental (2009). Marine Turtle Beach Survey: Forty Mile Beach Area, North East and South West Regnard Island. Report to Apache Energy Ltd.

Pendoley Environmental (2011). Varanus Island Marine Turtle Tagging Programme 2009 - 2010. Report to Apache Energy Ltd.

Pendoley Environmental. (2019). Varanus Island Turtle Monitoring Report: Annual Report 2018/19. Unpublished report for Santos Ltd.

Popper, A.N., Hawkins, A.D., Fay, R.R., Mann, D., Bartol, S., Carlson, Th., Coombs, S., Ellison, W.T., Gentry, R., Halvorsen, M.B., Lokkeborg, S., Rogers, P., Southall, B.L., Zeddies, D.G. and Tavolga, W.N. (2014). Sound Exposure Guidelines for Fishes and Sea Turtles: A Technical Report prepared by ANSI-Accredited Standards Committee S3/ SC1 and registered with ANSI. Acoustical Society of America and Springer.

Price, J.T., Drye, B., Domangue, R.J. and Paladino, F.V. (2018). Exploring the role of artificial light in loggerhead turtle (Caretta caretta) nest-site selection and hatchling disorientation. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology* 13(2): 415–422.

Prince, R.I.T. (1994). Status of the Western Australian Marine Turtle Populations: The Western Australian Marine Turtle Project 1986–1990. Report prepared for the Queensland Department of Environment and Heritage and Australian Nature Conservation Agency.

Raine, H., Borg, J.J., Raine, A., Bariner, S. and Cardona, M.B. (2007). Light pollution and its effect on yelkouan shearwaters in Malta: causes and solutions, BirdLife Malta, Malta: Life Project Yelkouan Shearwater, 1–54 pp.

Rainer, S.F. (1991). High species diversity in demersal polychaetes of the North West Shelf of Australia. *Ophelia Suppl.* 5: 497-505.

Rawson, C., Gagnon, M.M. and Williams, H. (2011). Montara Well Release Olfactory Analysis of Timor Sea Fish Fillets. Curtin University, Perth, Western Australia, November 2011.

Raventos, N., Macpherson, E., and Garcia-Rubies, A. (2006). Effect of brine discharge from a desalination plant on microbenthic communities in the NW Mediterranean. *Marine Environmental Research* 62: 1-14.

Richardson, W.J., Greene, C.R., Maime, C.I. and Thomson, D.H. (1995). Marine Mammals and Noise Academic Press, San Diego, California.

Ritter, F. (2010). Quantification of ferry traffic in the Canary Islands (Spain) and its implications for collisions with cetaceans. *J. CETACEAN RES. MANAGE.* 11(2): 139–146.

Rodríguez, A., Burgan, G., Dann, P., Jessop, R., Negro, J.J. and Chiaradia, A. (2014). Fatal attraction of short-tailed shearwaters to artificial lights. *PLoS ONE* 9(10): e110114.

Rodríguez, A., García, D., Rodríguez, B., Cardona, E., Parpal, L. and Pons, P. (2015). Artificial lights and seabirds: is light pollution a threat for the threatened Balearic petrels? *Journal of Ornithology* 156: 893–902.

Rosser, N.L., and Gilmour, J.P. (2008). New insights into patterns of coral spawning on Western Australian reefs. *Coral Reefs* 27: 345-349.

Rowe, C.L., Mitchelmore, C.L. and Baker, J.E. (2009). Lack of Biological Effects of Water Accommodated Fractions of Chemically and Physically Dispersed Oil on Molecular, Physiological, and Behavioural Traits of Juvenile Snapping Turtles Following Embryonic Exposure. *Science of The Total Environment* 407(20): 5344–5355.

RPS (2012). OSCP Environmental Sensitivities Summary. Wandoo B Production Facility. Unpublished report prepared for Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia.

RPS (2024). Vermilion Kullingal Oil Spill Modelling. Unpublished report prepared for Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia.

Runcie, J., Macinnis-Ng, C., Ralph, P. (2010). The toxic effects of petrochemicals on seagrassess -literature review. Institute for Water and Environmental Resource Management, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney.

Ryan, K.L., Lai, E.K.M. and Smallwood, C.B. (2022). Boat-based recreational fishing in Western Australia 2020/21. Fisheries Research Report No. 327. Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia.

Santos Ltd (2020). Reindeer Wellhead Platform and Offshore Gas Supply Pipeline Operations Environment Plan WA-41-L and WA-18-PL. Available from: https://docs.nopsema.gov.au/A738138.

Saunders, D.A. and De Rebeira, P. (1985). The Birdlife of Rottnest Island. The Authors. Perth. A.H. & A.W. Reed Ltd, Sydney.

Seiche Ltd. (2020). Review on existing data on underwater sounds produced by the oil and gas industry. Submitted to: E & P Sound & Marine Life, Joint Industry Programme.

Semeniuk, V. (1986). Terminology for geomorphic units and habitats along the tropical coast of Western Australia. Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia.

Shaw R.F., Lindquist D.C., Benfield M.C., Farooqi T. and Plunket J.T. (2002). Offshore Petroleum Platforms: Functional Significance for Larval Fish Across Longitudinal and Latitudinal Gradients. Prepared by the Coastal Fisheries Institute, Louisiana State University. U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region, New Orleans, LA. OCS Study MMS 2002-077. 107 pp.

Shell (2009). Prelude Floating LNG Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

Shigenaka, G. (2011). Effects of Oil in the Environment. *Oil Spill Science and Technology* 985-1024.

Short, M. (2011). Pacific Adventurer Oil Spill: Big Birds, Sea Snakes and a Couple of Turtles. International Oil Spill Conference Proceedings: March 2011.

Simmonds M., Dolman S., and Weilgart L. (eds) (2004). Oceans of noise. A whale and Dolphin Society Science Report, Chipperham, UK. 169 pp.

Simpson, C.J., Cary, J.L. and Masini, R.J. (1993). Destruction of corals and other reef animals by coral spawn slicks on Ningaloo Reef, Western Australia. *Coral Reefs* 12: 185–191.

Sinclair Knight Merz (SKM). (1996). East Spar Gas Field Long Term Environmental Monitoring Program. Pre-production survey. Report prepared by Sinclair Knight Merz for WMC Resources, Perth.

Sinclair Knight Merz (SKM) (1998). Mobil Wandoo Sampling. Prepared by SKM for Mobil Exploration and Producing Australia Pty Ltd.

Smyth (2007). Sea Countries of the North-west: Literature review on Indigenous connection to and uses of the North-west Marine Region. Prepared by Dr. Dermot Smyth for the National Oceans Office Branch, Marine Division, Australian Government Department of Environment and Water Resources.

Smyth, D. and Isherwood, M. (2016). Protecting sea country: Indigenous people and marine protected areas in Australia. Big, Bold and Blue: Lessons from Australia's marine protected areas. 307-325 pp.

Southall, B.L., Finneran, J.J., Reichmuth, C., Nachtigall, P.E., Ketten, D.R., Bowles, A.E., Ellison, W.T., Nowacek, D.P. and Tyack, P.L. (2019). Marine Mammal Noise Exposure Criteria: Updated Scientific Recommendations for Residual Hearing Effects. *Aquatic Mammals* 45(2): 125-232.

South West Aboriginal Land and Sea Council (2025). *Noongar Lore*. Available at https://www.noongarculture.org.au/noongar-lore/.

Stoddart, J.A., and Gilmour, J. (2005). Patterns of reproduction of in-shore corals of the Dampier Harbour, Western Australia, and comparisons with other reefs. In J.A. Stoddart and S.E. Stoddart (eds.) Corals

of the Dampier Harbour: Their Survival and Reproduction During the Dredging Programs of 2004. MScience Pty Ltd, Perth.

Summerson, R. (2024). ABARES Fishery Status Reports Map Data: User guide. Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Sciences, Canberra, March.

Surman C.A. and Wooller R.D. (2003). Comparative feeding ecology of five sympatric terns at a sub-tropical island in the eastern Indian Ocean. *J Zool* 259: 219–230.

Surman, C. A. and Nicholson, L. W. (2009). A survey of the breeding seabirds and migratory shorebirds of the Houtman Abrolhos, Western Australia. *Corella* 33(4): 89-98.

Surman, C. A. and Nicholson, L. W. (2015). Exmouth Sub-basin Marine Avifauna Monitoring Program: Final Report. Unpublished report prepared for Apache Energy Ltd. by Halfmoon Biosciences. 188 pp.

Surman, C.A., Nicholson, L.W. and Philipps, R.A. (2018). Distribution and patterns of migration of a tropical seabird community in the Eastern Indian Ocean. *Journal of Ornithology* 158: 867-877.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (TSSC) (2011). Approved Conservation Advice for *Sternula nereis nereis* (Fairy Tern). Department of the Environment, Canberra.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (TSSC) (2015). Conservation Advice *Anous tenuirostris melanops* (Australian lesser noddy). Department of the Environment, Canberra.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (TSSC) (2015a). Approved Conservation Advice for *Ptodroma mollis* (soft-plumaged petrel). Department of the Environment, Canberra.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (TSSC) (2015b). Approved Conservation Advice for *Rhincodon typus* (whale shark). Department of the Environment, Canberra.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (TSSC) (2015c). Approved Conservation Advice for *Balaenoptera borealis* (Sei Whale). Department of the Environment, Canberra.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (TSSC) (2015d). Approved Conservation Advice for *Balaenoptera physalus* (Fin Whale). Department of the Environment, Canberra.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (TSSC) (2016). Approved Conservation Advice for *Charadrius mongolus* (Lesser sand plover). Department of the Environment, Canberra.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (TSSC) (2020). Approved Conservation Advice for Abbott's booby - *Papasula abbotti*. Department of the Environment, Canberra.

Thums, M., Rossendell, J., Fisher, R., Guinea, M. (2020). Nesting ecology of flatback sea turtles *Natator depressus* from Delambre Island, Western Australia. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 71: 443-451.

Thursby, G.B. and Steele, R. L. (2004). Toxicity of arsenite and arsenate to the marine *macroalga Champia parvula* (rhodophyta). *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 3(3): 391-397.

Truscott, Z., Booth, D.T. and Limpus, C.J. (2017). The effect of on-shore light pollution on sea-turtle hatchlings commencing their off-shore swim. *Wildlife Research* 3(5): 127–134.

Tsvetnenko, Y. (1998). Derivation of Australian tropical marine water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic life from adverse effects of petroleum hydrocarbons. *Environmental Toxicology and Water Quality* 13: 273–284.

Tzioumis, V. and Keable, S. (2007). Description of key species groups in the East Marine Region. Sydney: Australian Museum, nature culture discover.

Underwood, J.N., Smith, L.D., van Oppen, M.J. and Gilmour, J.P. (2007). Multiple scales of genetic connectivity in a brooding coral on isolated reefs following catastrophic bleaching. *Molecular ecology* 16(4): 771-784.

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) (2011). The Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention. UNESCO World Heritage Centre, Paris.

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) (2025). Murujuga Cultural Landscape, World Heritage Listing. https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1709/

Urban, E.K., Fry, C.H. and Keith, S. (1986). The Birds of Africa, Volume II. Academic Press, London.

Van Meter, R.J., Spotila, J.R. and Avery, H.W. (2006). Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons affect survival and development of common snapping turtle (*Chelydra serpentina*) embryos and hatchlings. *Environmental Pollution* 142: 466-475.

Van Overbeek and Blondeau (1954). Mode of Action of Phytotoxic Oils. *Weeds* 3(1): 55 – 65.

VOGA (2021). Wandoo facility Environment Plan. Number: WPA-7000-YH-0007. Revision 16. Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia (VOGA). 21 April 2021. Available from: https://docs.nopsema.gov.au/A779255.

Volkman, J.K., Miller, G.J., Revill, A.T. and Connell, D.W. (1994). Environmental implications of offshore oil and gas development in Australia – oil spills. In: Swan, J.M., Neff, J.M. and Young, P.C. (eds). Environmental implications of offshore oil and gas development in Australia: The findings of an independent scientific review. Australian Petroleum Exploration Association, Sydney.

Waayers, D. (2010). A Holistic Approach to Planning for Wildlife Tourism: A Case Study of Marine Turtle Tourism and Conservation in the Ningaloo Region, Western Australia. PhD Thesis, Murdoch University, Perth.

Ward, T.J. and Rainer, S.F. (1988). Decapod crustaceans of the North West Shelf, a tropical continental shelf of north-western Australia. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 39: 751-765.

Weinrich, M. (2004) A review of worldwide collisions between whales and fast ferries. Report to the Scientific Committee of the International Whaling Commission, SC/56/BC9.

Wenziker, K., McAlpine, K., Apte, S. and Masini, R. (2006). Background quality for coastal marine waters of the North West Shelf, Western Australia (No. 18). NWSJEMS Technical Report.

Western Australian Fishing Industry Council (WAFIC) (2023). Commercial Fishing Consultation Framework for the Offshore Oil and Gas Sector. Available at https://www.wafic.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/Oil-and-Gas-Consultation-Framework.pdf.

Witherington, B. and Martin, R.E. (2003). Understanding, assessing, and resolving light-pollution problems on sea turtle nesting beaches, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission FMRI Technical Report TR-2, Jensen Beach, Florida.

Woodside (2002). WA-271-P Field Development: Environmental Impact Statement. Woodside Energy Ltd., Perth.

Woodside (2006). Pluto LNG Project Public Environmental Review. Woodside Energy Limited, Perth, Western Australia.

Woodside (2014). Browse FLNG Development, Draft Environmental Impact Statement. EPBC 2013/7079. Woodside Energy Ltd, Perth WA.

Worley (2025). Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Wandoo Environmental Survey – Water and Sediment Quality Report. Document no. Rev 0: 411012-00817-EN-REP-01. Prepared for Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia.

Working with Indigenous Australians (2020). First Nations People: Practice Tips. Available at https://www.workingwithindigenousaustralians.info/content/Practice_Implications_8_Practice_Tips.html.

Yaghmour, F., Els, J., Maio, E., Whittington-Jones, B., Samara, F., El Sayed, Y., Ploeg, R., Alzaabi, A., Philip, S., Budd, J. and Mupandawana, M. (2022). Oil spill causes mass mortality of sea snakes in the Gulf of Oman. *Scient of The Total Environment* 835: 154072.

Ylitalo, G.M., Collier, T.K., Anulacion, B.F., Juaire, K., Boyer, R.H., da Silva, D.A.M., Keene, J.L. and Stacy, B.A. (2017). Determining oil and dispersant exposure in sea turtles from the northern Gulf of Mexico resulting from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *Endangered Species Research* 33: 9-24.

Young, J.W., Skewes, T.D., Lyne, V.D., Hook, S.E., Revill, A.T., Condie, S.A., Newman, S.J., Wakefield, C.B., and Molony, B.W. (2011). A Review of the Fisheries Potentially Affected by the Montara Oil Spill off Northwest Australia and Potential Toxicological Effects. Montara Well Release Scientific Monitoring Programme Study S4B. Report to PTTEP Australasia and SEWPAC. CSIRO.

Zieman, J.C, Orth, R.J., Phillips, R.C., Thayer, G.W. and Thorhaug, W. (1984). The effects of oil spills on seagrass ecosystems. In Restoration of Habitats Impacted by Oil Spills. Edited by Carins, J. and Builema, A. Butterworth Publ. Mass.

Zykov, M. (2013). Underwater Sound Modelling of Low Energy Geophysical Equipment Operations. JASCO Document 00600, Version 2.0. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for CSA Ocean Sciences Inc.

Appendices

Appendix A VOGA Health, Safety and Environment (HSE) Policy

HEALTH, SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENT (HSE) POLICY

Vermilion is committed to ensuring we conduct our activities in a manner that protects the health and safety of our employees, our contractors and the public. Our HSE Vision is to consistently apply our Core Values of Excellence, Trust, Respect and Responsibility. This results in a workplace free of incidents and ensures that our proactive culture and behaviours create a high-reliability organization where HSE is fully integrated into our business – it is our way of life.

Vermilion will maintain health, safety and environmental practices and procedures that comply with or exceed regulatory requirements and industry standards.

Our commitments to achieving strong HSE performance include:

- Maintaining an integrated Management System with clear objectives and expectations to identify hazards and manage risks
- Ensuring visible active commitment from leaders at all levels of the organization to meet our HSE performance targets
- Providing every employee and contractor with a safe and healthy workplace
- Ensuring we nurture our ownership culture where all employees and contractors have a high level of responsibility to HSE
- Prioritizing a culture where everyone is empowered to speak up and promote safe behaviours and environmental protection
- Continuously evaluating and improving our management systems, standards and operating practices and procedures
- Making a positive contribution to the protection of the environment and seeking improvements in the efficient use of natural resources
- Providing ongoing training and competency assessments to ensure safe operations
- Ensuring open and timely communication with all stakeholders, and
- Ensuring the resources necessary to support this policy are provided.

Hazard awareness, risk reduction and environmental stewardship comprise an integral part of any job. This is a joint effort that requires continuous support from everyone who works at Vermilion. The protection of health, safety and the environment must be a key part of the planning and execution of every task. All those engaged in work for Vermilion shall be aware of this policy and conduct their duties and behaviours in alignment with these principles.

HSE: Everyone. Everywhere. Everyday.

Dion Hatcher
President

Darcy Kerwin
Vice President, International & HSE

Ryan Carty
Managing Director,
Australia Business Unit

VERMILION ENERGY

2024

Appendix B Oil Spill Modelling Report (GOC367176)

VERMILION KULLINGAL OIL SPILL MODELLING

Report

GOC367176
Final
20 December 2024

rpsgroup.com/mst

Document status					
Version	**Purpose of document**	**Authored by**	**Reviewed by**	**Approved by**	**Review date**
Rev A	Draft issued for internal review	Dr. Larissa Perez	Dr. Ryan Dunn Dr. Sasha Zigic	Dr. Sasha Zigic	16/09/2024
Rev 0	Draft issued for client review			Dr. Sasha Zigic	18/09/2024
Rev 1	Draft issued for client review	Dr. Larissa Perez Dr. Ryan Dunn	Dr. Larissa Perez Dr. Sasha Zigic	Dr. Sasha Zigic	09/10/2024
Rev 2	Draft issued for client review	Dr. Larissa Perez	Dr. Sasha Zigic	Dr. Sasha Zigic	5/11/2024
Final	Issued to client			Dr. Sasha Zigic	20/12/2025

Approval for issue

Dr. Sasha Zigic  20 December 2024

This report was prepared by RPS within the terms of RPS' engagement with its client and in direct response to a scope of services. This report is supplied for the sole and specific purpose for use by RPS' client. The report does not account for any changes relating the subject matter of the report, or any legislative or regulatory changes that have occurred since the report was produced and that may affect the report. RPS does not accept any responsibility or liability for loss whatsoever to any third party caused by, related to or arising out of any use or reliance on the report.

Prepared by:

RPS

Dr Sasha Zigic
General Manager

PO Box 1048,
Robina, QLD, 4230
Lakehouse Corporate Space, Suite 425
Level 2, 34-38 Glenferrie Drive
Robina, QLD, 4226

T +61 7 5553 6900
E Sasha.Zigic@rpsconsulting.com

Prepared for:

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

Meròme Johnson
Decommissioning Environmental Consultant

Level 5, 30 The Esplanade
Perth, WA, 6000

T +61 (08) 9215 0719
E merome.johnson@vermilionenergy.com

Contents

Terms and Abbreviations ..... **xv**

Executive Summary ..... **xvii**
Background xvii
Methodology xvii
Oil Properties xvii
Summary of Modelling Results xviii

1 INTRODUCTION **1**
1.1 Background 1
What is Oil Spill Modelling? 3
1.1.1 Stochastic Modelling (Multiple Spill Simulations) 3

2 SCOPE OF WORK **4**

3 REGIONAL CURRENTS **5**
3.1 Tidal Currents 6
3.1.1 Grid Setup 6
3.1.2 Tidal Conditions 8
3.2 Ocean Currents 8
3.3 Surface Currents 8

4 WIND DATA **11**

5 WATER TEMPERATURE AND SALINITY **14**

6 OIL SPILL MODEL SIMAP **16**

7 THRESHOLDS **18**
7.1 Floating Oil 18
7.2 Shoreline Oil Accumulation 19
7.3 In-water 20
7.3.1 Dissolved Hydrocarbons 21
7.3.2 Entrained Hydrocarbons 21
7.4 Dispersion Coefficients and Mixed Layer Depth 22

8 OIL PROPERTIES **23**
8.1 Weathering Characteristics 24
8.1.1 Wandoo crude 24
8.1.2 Marine Diesel Oil 25

9 RECEPTORS **27**

10 MODEL SETTINGS **48**

11 PRESENTATION AND INTERPRETATION OF MODEL RESULTS **50**
11.1 Stochastic Modelling 50
11.2 Deterministic Modelling 51

12 CALCULATION OF STOCHASTIC MODELLING EXPOSURE RISKS **53**

13 MODELLING RESULTS: LOSS OF WELL CONTROL **54**
13.1 Stochastic Analysis 54
13.1.1 Exposure Areas 54
13.1.2 Floating Oil Exposure 56
13.1.3 Shoreline accumulation 81
13.1.4 In-water exposure 103
13.2 Deterministic Analysis 157
13.2.1 Greatest Number of Receptors with Floating Oil Exposure Within 7 days 157

14 MODELLING RESULTS: VESSEL COLLISION 166
14.1 Stochastic Analysis 166
14.1.1 Exposure Areas 166
14.1.2 Floating Oil Exposure 168
14.1.3 Shoreline accumulation 190
14.1.4 In-water exposure 200

15 REFERENCES 248

Tables

Summary of key results 1
Table 1.1 Coordinates of the oil spill modelling release location. 1
Table 5.1 Monthly average sea surface (0-2 m depth layer) temperature and salinity in the vicinity of the release location. 14
Table 7.1 Summary of the thresholds applied in this study. 18
Table 7.2 The Bonn Agreement Oil Appearance Code. 19
Table 7.3 Floating oil exposure thresholds used in the oil spill modelling study (in alignment with NOPSEMA, 2019). 19
Table 7.4 Shoreline accumulation thresholds used in oil spill modelling study (in alignment with NOPSEMA, 2019). 20
Table 7.5 Dissolved and entrained hydrocarbon exposure thresholds assessed over a 1-hour time step used in the oil spill modelling study (in alignment NOPSEMA, 2019). 22
Table 10.1 Summary of the oil spill model settings used in this assessment. 48
Table 13.1 Maximum distances from the release location to floating oil exposure thresholds from a surface LOWC at Kullingal. Results were calculated from 100 spill simulations per season. 56
Table 13.2 Receptors predicted to be exposed by floating oil following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. Results were calculated from100 spill simulations per season. 57
Table 13.3 Summary of oil accumulation on any shoreline following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. Results were calculated from 100 spill simulations per season. 81
Table 13.4 Summary of shoreline oil accumulation on WAMOPRA shoreline cells following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 82
Table 13.5 Summary of shoreline oil accumulation on WAMOPRA shoreline cells following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 85
Table 13.6 Summary of shoreline oil accumulation on WAMOPRA shoreline cells following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 88
Table 13.7 Maximum distances from the release location to dissolved hydrocarbon exposure thresholds following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. Results were calculated from 100 spill simulations per season. 103
Table 13.8 Receptors predicted to be exposed by dissolved hydrocarbons following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. Results were calculated from100 spill simulations per season. 104
Table 13.9 Maximum distances from the release location to entrained hydrocarbon exposure thresholds following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. Results were calculated from 100 spill simulations per season. 128
Table 13.10 Receptors predicted to be exposed by entrained hydrocarbons following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. Results were calculated from100 spill simulations per season. 129
Table 14.1 Maximum distances from the release location to floating oil exposure thresholds from a vessel collision at Kullingal. Results were calculated from 100 spill simulations per season. 168

Table 14.2 Summary of oil accumulation on any shoreline following a vessel collision at Kullingal. Results were calculated from 100 spill simulations per season. 190
Table 14.3 Summary of shoreline oil accumulation on WAMOPRA shoreline cells following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 191
Table 14.4 Summary of shoreline oil accumulation on WAMOPRA shoreline cells following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 191
Table 14.5 Summary of shoreline oil accumulation on WAMOPRA shoreline cells following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 191
Table 14.6 Maximum distances from the release location to dissolved hydrocarbon exposure thresholds following a vessel collision at Kullingal. Results were calculated from 100 spill simulations per season. 200
Table 14.7 Receptors predicted to be exposed by dissolved hydrocarbons following a vessel collision at Kullingal. Results were calculated from100 spill simulations per season. 201
Table 14.8 Maximum distances from the release location to entrained hydrocarbon exposure thresholds following a vessel collision at Kullingal. Results were calculated from 100 spill simulations per season. 223
Table 14.9 Receptors predicted to be exposed by entrained hydrocarbons following a vessel collision at Kullingal. Results were calculated from100 spill simulations per season. 224

Figures

Figure 1.1 Map of the oil spill modelling release location. 2
Figure 1.2 Examples of four individual spill trajectories (four replicate simulations) predicted by SIMAP for a spill scenario (left pane). The frequency of contact for given locations is used to calculate the probability of impacts during a spill. Essentially, all model runs are overlain (shown as the stacked runs on the right) and the number of times that trajectories contact a given location at a concentration is used to calculate the probability. 3
Figure 3.1 Schematic of ocean currents along the northwestern Australian continental shelf. Image adapted from DEWHA (2008). 5
Figure 3.2 Zoomed in view of the model grid used to generate the tidal currents for the study region. Higher resolution areas are shown by the denser mesh. 7
Figure 3.3 Bathymetry defined throughout the tidal model domain. 7
Figure 3.4 Monthly surface current rose plots adjacent to the release location, derived from the 2010 to 2019 modelled dataset. 9
Figure 3.5 Total surface current rose plots adjacent to the release location, derived from the 2010 to 2019 modelled dataset. 10
Figure 4.1 Spatial resolution of the CFSR modelled wind data used as input into the oil spill model. Note, for ease viewing only every second wind vector is displayed on the map. 11
Figure 4.2 Monthly wind rose plots adjacent to the release location, derived from the 2010 to 2019 modelled dataset. 12
Figure 4.3 Total wind rose plot adjacent to the release location, derived from the 2010 to 2019 modelled dataset. 13
Figure 5.1 Monthly temperature and salinity profiles throughout the water column in the vicinity of the release location. 15
Figure 7.1 Photographs showing the difference between oil colour and thickness on the sea surface (source: adapted from Oil Spill Solutions, 2015). 19
Figure 8.1 Mass balance plot for an instantaneous 50 m^3 surface release of Wandoo crude subjected to a constant 5 knot (2.6 m/s) wind, currents and 27°C water temperature. 24
Figure 8.2 Mass balance plot for an instantaneous 50 m^3 surface release of Wandoo crude subjected to variable wind speeds (1 – 12 m/s or 2 to 24 knots), currents and 27°C water temperature. 25
Figure 8.3 Mass balance plot for an instantaneous 50 m^3 surface release of MDO subjected to a constant 5 knot (2.6 m/s) wind, currents and 27°C water temperature. 26
Figure 8.4 Mass balance plot for an instantaneous 50 m^3 surface release of MDO subjected to variable wind speeds of 2 to 23 knots (1 – 12 m/s), currents and 27°C water temperature. 26
Figure 9.1 Receptor map for Australian Marine Parks and Marine Parks. 29
Figure 9.2 Receptor map of Key Ecological Features (KEF). 30
Figure 9.3 Receptor map of Marine Management Areas (MMA) and Nature Reserves (NR). 31
Figure 9.4 Receptor map for Ramsar wetlands and State and Territory Waters (1 of 2). 32
Figure 9.5 Receptor map for Ramsar wetlands and State and Territory Waters (2 of 2). 33
Figure 9.6 Receptor map for Reefs, Shoals and Banks (1 of 4) 34
Figure 9.7 Receptor map for Reefs, Shoals and Banks (2 of 4) 35
Figure 9.8 Receptor map for Reefs, Shoals and Banks (3 of 4) 36
Figure 9.9 Receptor map for Reefs, Shoals and Banks (4 of 4) 37
Figure 9.10 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (1 of 10). 38
Figure 9.11 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (2 of 10). 39
Figure 9.12 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (3 of 10). 40
Figure 9.13 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (4 of 10). 41
Figure 9.14 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (5 of 10). 42
Figure 9.15 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (6 of 10). 43
Figure 9.16 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (7 of 10). 44
Figure 9.17 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (8 of 10). 45
Figure 9.18 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (9 of 10). 46

Figure 9.19 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (10 of 10). 47
Figure 13.1 Predicted exposure areas following a surface LOWC at Kullingal, presented as an annual assessment. The exposure areas were determined by integrating the results of all 300 spill simulations across low, moderate and high thresholds. 55
Figure 13.2 Predicted zones of floating oil exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 60
Figure 13.3 Predicted zones of floating oil exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 61
Figure 13.4 Predicted zones of floating oil exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 62
Figure 13.5 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 63
Figure 13.6 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 64
Figure 13.7 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 65
Figure 13.8 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 66
Figure 13.9 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 67
Figure 13.10 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 68
Figure 13.11 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 69
Figure 13.12 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 70
Figure 13.13 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 71
Figure 13.14 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 72
Figure 13.15 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 73
Figure 13.16 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 74
Figure 13.17 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 75
Figure 13.18 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 76

Figure 13.19 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 77
Figure 13.20 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 78
Figure 13.21 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 79
Figure 13.22 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 80
Figure 13.23 Maximum potential shoreline oil accumulation following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 91
Figure 13.24 Maximum potential shoreline oil accumulation following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 92
Figure 13.25 Maximum potential shoreline oil accumulation following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 93
Figure 13.26 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 10 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 94
Figure 13.27 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 10 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 95
Figure 13.28 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 10 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 96
Figure 13.29 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 100 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 97
Figure 13.30 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 100 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 98
Figure 13.31 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 100 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 99
Figure 13.32 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 1,000 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 100
Figure 13.33 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 1,000 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 101
Figure 13.34 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 1,000 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 102
Figure 13.35 Predicted zones of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 107
Figure 13.36 Predicted zones of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 108

Figure 13.37 Predicted zones of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 109
Figure 13.38 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 110
Figure 13.39 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 111
Figure 13.40 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 112
Figure 13.41 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 113
Figure 13.42 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 114
Figure 13.43 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 115
Figure 13.44 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 116
Figure 13.45 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 117
Figure 13.46 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 118
Figure 13.47 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 119
Figure 13.48 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 120
Figure 13.49 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 121
Figure 13.50 North-south cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 122
Figure 13.51 North-south cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 123
Figure 13.52 North-south cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 124
Figure 13.53 East-west cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 125

Figure 13.54 East-west cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 126
Figure 13.55 East-west cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 127
Figure 13.56 Predicted zones of entrained hydrocarbon exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 136
Figure 13.57 Predicted zones of entrained hydrocarbon exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 137
Figure 13.58 Predicted zones of entrained hydrocarbon exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 138
Figure 13.59 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 139
Figure 13.60 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 140
Figure 13.61 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 141
Figure 13.62 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 142
Figure 13.63 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 143
Figure 13.64 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 144
Figure 13.65 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 145
Figure 13.66 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 146
Figure 13.67 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 147
Figure 13.68 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 148
Figure 13.69 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 149
Figure 13.70 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 150

Figure 13.71 North-south cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 151
Figure 13.72 North-south cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 152
Figure 13.73 North-south cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 153
Figure 13.74 East-west cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 154
Figure 13.75 East-west cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 155
Figure 13.76 East-west cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 156
Figure 13.77 Predicted low threshold exposure area following a surface LOWC at Kullingal for the deterministic simulation (transitional, run 31) which resulted in the greatest number of receptors with floating oil exposure at or above 1 g/m^2 within 7 days. 161
Figure 13.78 Predicted zones of floating oil exposure and maximum shoreline accumulation following a surface LOWC at Kullingal for the deterministic simulation (transitional, run 31) which resulted in the greatest number of receptors with floating oil exposure at or above 1 g/m^2 within 7 days....162
Figure 13.79 Predicted zones of dissolved oil exposure and maximum shoreline accumulation following a surface LOWC at Kullingal for the deterministic simulation (transitional, run 31) which resulted in the greatest number of receptors with floating oil exposure at or above 1 g/m^2 within 7 days....163
Figure 13.80 Predicted zones of entrained oil exposure and maximum shoreline accumulation following a surface LOWC at Kullingal for the deterministic simulation (transitional, run 31) which resulted in the greatest number of receptors with floating oil exposure at or above 1 g/m^2 within 7 days....164
Figure 13.81 Predicted weathering and fates graph following a surface LOWC at Kullingal for the deterministic simulation (transitional, run 31) which resulted in the greatest number of receptors with floating oil exposure at or above 1 g/m^2 within 7 days. 165
Figure 14.1 Predicted exposure areas following a vessel collision at Kullingal, presented as an annual assessment. The exposure areas were determined by integrating the results of all 300 spill simulations across low, moderate and high thresholds. 167
Figure 14.2 Predicted zones of floating oil exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 169
Figure 14.3 Predicted zones of floating oil exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 170
Figure 14.4 Predicted zones of floating oil exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 171
Figure 14.5 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 172
Figure 14.6 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 173

Figure 14.7 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 174
Figure 14.8 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 175
Figure 14.9 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 176
Figure 14.10 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 177
Figure 14.11 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 178
Figure 14.12 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 179
Figure 14.13 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 180
Figure 14.14 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 181
Figure 14.15 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 182
Figure 14.16 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 183
Figure 14.17 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 184
Figure 14.18 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 185
Figure 14.19 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 186
Figure 14.20 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 187
Figure 14.21 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 188
Figure 14.22 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 189
Figure 14.23 Maximum potential shoreline oil accumulation following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 192
Figure 14.24 Maximum potential shoreline oil accumulation following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 193

Figure 14.25 Maximum potential shoreline oil accumulation following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 194
Figure 14.26 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 10 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 195
Figure 14.27 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 10 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 196
Figure 14.28 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 10 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 197
Figure 14.29 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 100 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 198
Figure 14.30 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 100 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 199
Figure 14.31 Predicted zones of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 202
Figure 14.32 Predicted zones of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 203
Figure 14.33 Predicted zones of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 204
Figure 14.34 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 205
Figure 14.35 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 206
Figure 14.36 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 207
Figure 14.37 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 208
Figure 14.38 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 209
Figure 14.39 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 210
Figure 14.40 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 211
Figure 14.41 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 212
Figure 14.42 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 213

Figure 14.43 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 214
Figure 14.44 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 215
Figure 14.45 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 216
Figure 14.46 North-south cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 217
Figure 14.47 North-south cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 218
Figure 14.48 North-south cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 219
Figure 14.49 East-west cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 220
Figure 14.50 East-west cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 221
Figure 14.51 East-west cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 222
Figure 14.52 Predicted zones of entrained hydrocarbon exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 227
Figure 14.53 Predicted zones of entrained hydrocarbon exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 228
Figure 14.54 Predicted zones of entrained hydrocarbon exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 229
Figure 14.55 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 230
Figure 14.56 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 231
Figure 14.57 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 232
Figure 14.58 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 233
Figure 14.59 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 234

Figure 14.60 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 235
Figure 14.61 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 236
Figure 14.62 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 237
Figure 14.63 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 238
Figure 14.64 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 239
Figure 14.65 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 240
Figure 14.66 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 241
Figure 14.67 North-south cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 242
Figure 14.68 North-south cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 243
Figure 14.69 North-south cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 244
Figure 14.70 East-west cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions. 245
Figure 14.71 East-west cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions. 246
Figure 14.72 East-west cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions. 247

TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Term	Meaning
Actionable oil	Oil which is thick enough for the effective use of mitigation strategies.
AMSA	Australian Maritime Safety Authority
API	American Petroleum Institute gravity. A measure of how heavy or light a petroleum liquid is compared to water.
Bonn Agreement	An agreement for cooperation in dealing with pollution of the North Sea by oil and other harmful substances, established 1983 includes: Governments of the Kingdom of Belgium, the Kingdom of Denmark, the French Republic, the Federal Republic of Germany, the Republic of Ireland, the Kingdom of the Netherlands, the Kingdom of Norway, the Kingdom of Sweden, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the European Union.
BP	Boiling point. The temperature at which the vapor pressure of the liquid is equal to the pressure exerted on it by the surrounding atmosphere
BTEX	Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes
Decay	The process where oil components are changed either chemically or biologically (biodegradation) to another compound. It includes breakdown to simpler organic carbon compounds by bacteria and other organisms, photo-oxidation by solar energy, and other chemical reactions.
Deterministic (single) Oil spill modelling	Oil spill modelling involving a computer simulation of a single hypothetical oil spill event subject to a single sequence of wind, current and other sea conditions over time. Single oil spill modelling, also referred to as “deterministic modelling” provides a simulation of one possible outcome of a given spill scenario, subject to the metocean conditions that are imposed. Single oil spill modelling is commonly used to consider the fate and effects of ‘worst-case’ oil spill scenarios that are carefully selected in consideration of the nature and scale of the offshore petroleum activity and the local environment (NOPSEMA, 2018). Because the outcomes of a single oil spill simulation can only represent the outcome of that scenario under one sequence of metocean conditions, worst-case conditions are often identified from stochastic modelling. It is impossible to calculate the likelihood of any outcome from a single oil spill simulation. Single oil spill modelling is generally used for response planning, preparedness planning and for supporting oil spill response operations in the event of an actual spill
Dynamic viscosity	The dynamic viscosity of a fluid expresses its resistance to shearing flows, where adjacent layers move parallel to each other with different speeds.
Floating oil exposure	Contact by floating oil on the sea surface at concentrations equal to or exceeding defined threshold concentrations. The consequence will vary depending on the threshold and the receptors
HYCOM	Hybrid Coordinate Ocean Model. A data-assimilative, three-dimensional ocean model
HYDROMAP	Advanced ocean/coastal tidal model used to predict tidal water levels, current speed and current direction.
MAHs	Monoaromatic hydrocarbons
NOPSEMA	National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority
PAH	Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons
Pour Point	The pour point of a liquid is the temperature below which the liquid loses its flow characteristics
Shoreline accumulation	Arrival of oil at or near shorelines at on-water concentrations equal to or exceeding defined threshold concentrations. Shoreline accumulation is judged for floating oil arriving within a 2 km buffer zone from any shoreline as a conservative measure.
SIMAP	Spill Impact Model Application Package. SIMAP is designed to simulate the fate and effects of spilled hydrocarbons for surface or subsea releases.
Stochastic (multiple) oil spill modelling	Stochastic oil spill modelling is created by overlaying and statistically analysing the outcomes of many single oil-spill simulations of a defined spill scenario, where each simulation was subject to a different sequence of metocean conditions, selected objectively (typically by random selection) from a long sequence of historic conditions for the study area. Analysis of this larger set of simulations provides a more accurate indication of the areas of potential exposure and indicates which locations are more likely to be exposed (as well as other statistics). Stochastic oil spill

DRAFT

	modelling avoids biases that affect single oil spill modelling (due to the reliance on only one possible sequence of conditions). However, when interpreting stochastic modelling, which is based on a wide range of potential conditions that might happen to occur, it is essential to understand that calculations will encompass a much larger area than could be affected in any single spill event, where a more limited set of conditions will occur. Consequently, it is misleading to imply that the region derived from stochastic modelling indicate the outcomes expected from a single spill event (NOPSEMA, 2018) Stochastic modelling is generally used for risk assessment and preparedness planning by indicating locations that could be exposed and may require response or subsequent impact assessment.

DRAFT

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (VOGA) operates the Wandoo field, located approximately 70 km northwest of Dampier, Western Australia, in waters approximately 50 m deep.

To support the preparation of the Environment Plan (EP) and Oil Pollution Emergency Plan (OPEP) for the Kullingal well exploration drilling activity, a detailed oil spill modelling study was commissioned. This study assessed the following two hypothetical scenarios:

- **Scenario 1:** A 167,800 bbl (26,678 m^3) surface release of Wandoo crude over 35 days following a loss of well control (LOWC) at Kullingal; and
- **Scenario 2:** A 300 m^3 surface release of marine diesel oil (MDO) over 6 hours following a vessel collision at Kullingal.

The potential exposure of surrounding waters and shorelines was assessed and presented for the distinct seasons, summer (October to February), winter (April to July) and transitional (March, August and September). The modelling does not take into consideration any of the spill prevention, mitigation and response capabilities that would be implemented in response to the spill.

Methodology

The modelling study was carried out in stages. Firstly, a 10-year wind and current dataset (2010–2019) that includes the combined influence of large-scale ocean and tidal currents was prepared. Secondly, the currents, local winds and detailed hydrocarbon characteristics were used as inputs in the three-dimensional oil spill model (SIMAP) to simulate the drift, spread, weathering and fate of the spilled oil.

Modelling was conducted using a stochastic (or probabilistic) approach, which involved running 100 spill simulations per season and each simulation had the same spill information (spill volume, duration and composition of hydrocarbons) but randomly selected start times to ensure a range of wind and current conditions were assessed. Once all 100 simulations per season were run, the results were combined to determine the potential exposure to the surrounding waters, shorelines and sensitive receptors based on the thresholds outlined in the NOPSEMA Oil Spill Modelling Bulletin (NOPSEMA, 2019).

Oil Properties

Wandoo Crude (API 19.4) was used for this oil spill modelling study. The unweathered mixture has a density of 937.7 g/cm^3 (at 16°C), a dynamic viscosity of 161 cP and a pour point of -24°C, which ensures that this crude will remain in a liquid state over the annual temperature range observed.

Wandoo Crude is composed of approximately 1.7% (by mass) of volatile hydrocarbons that will evaporate within the first 12 hours. A further 10.2% of the oil is characterised as the semi-volatile compounds that will likely evaporate within the first 24 hours when on the surface and the additional 33.1% represent the low volatiles which typically evaporate over several weeks. A relatively high proportion (55%) of hydrocarbon compounds is persistent, which are unlikely to evaporate and will decay over time. It is categorised as a Group IV (or persistent) oil according to both oil classifications for AMSA (2023).

The MDO has a density of 890.0 kg/m^3 at 15ºC (API of 27.5) and a low pour point of -9.0°C. The low viscosity (14.0 cP at 25ºC) indicates that this oil will spread quickly when released and will form a thin to low thickness film on the sea surface, increasing the rate of evaporation. Generally, about 4% of the MDO mass should evaporate within the first 12 hours (Boiling point (BP) < 180°C); a further 32.0% should evaporate within the first 24 hours (180°C < BP < 265°C); and an additional 54.0% should evaporate over several days (265°C < BP < 380°C). Approximately 10% (by mass) of MDO will not evaporate, though will decay slowly over time. It is categorised as a Group II oil (light-persistent) according to the AMSA (2023) classifications.

DRAFT

Summary of Modelling Results

A detailed summary of the results for all modelled scenarios is provided in the table below.

DRAFT

Summary of key results

Scenario description		Scenario 1 – Surface LOWC	Scenario 2 - Vessel collision
Spill volume		167,800 bbl (26,678 m^3)	300 m^3
Oil type		Wandoo crude	MDO
Release depth		0 m (surface)	0 m (surface)
Release duration		35 days	6 hours
Simulation length		56 days	30 days
Floating Oil Exposure	Maximum distances from the release location to floating oil exposure thresholds	Floating oil concentrations ≥ 1 g/m^2 could extend up to 998 km from the release location. The maximum distances reduced to 473 km and 25 km as the threshold increases to ≥ 10 g/m^2 and ≥ 50 g/m^2, respectively.	Floating oil concentrations ≥ 1 g/m^2 could extend up to 31 km from the release location. The maximum distances reduced to 18 km and 6 km as the threshold increases to ≥ 10 g/m^2 and ≥ 50 g/m^2, respectively.
	Highest probability of floating oil exposure to a receptor at, or above, 1 g/m^2	Mermaid Reef AMP, 97% during winter conditions	NC
	Quickest time before exposure to a receptor at, or above, 1 g/m^2	Montebello AMP, 37 hours during transitional conditions	NC
Shoreline Oil Accumulation	Probability of oil accumulation on **any** shoreline at, or above, 10 g/m^2	100% during winter conditions	24% during winter conditions
	Absolute minimum time for oil to accumulate on shoreline cells at ,or above, 10 g/m^2	WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), 69 hours during winter conditions	WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), 91 hours during winter conditions
	Maximum volume of oil ashore from a single spill simulation at, or above, 10 g/m^2	4,550.0 m^3 during summer conditions	23.2 m^3 during winter conditions
	Highest probability of oil accumulation for a specific shoreline cell at, or above, 10 g/m^2	WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), 92% during winter conditions	WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), 15% during winter conditions
	Maximum volume of oil ashore from a single spill simulation for a specific shoreline cell at, or above, 10 g/m^2	2,570 m^3, WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), during transitional conditions	23.2 m^3, WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), during winter conditions

Dissolved Hydrocarbons	Maximum distances from the release location to dissolved hydrocarbon exposure thresholds	Concentrations ≥ 10 ppb threshold may extend up to 790 km from the release location. As the threshold increases to 50 ppb, the maximum distance decreases to 425 km. No exposure was predicted above 400 ppb.	Concentrations ≥ 10 ppb threshold may extend up to 169 km from the release location. As the threshold increases to 50 ppb, the maximum distance decreases to 58 km. No exposure was predicted above 400 ppb.
	Highest probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure to a receptor at, or above, 10 ppb	Montebello AMP, 89% during winter conditions	Montebello AMP, 7% during winter conditions
	Quickest time before exposure to a receptor at, or above, 10 ppb	Montebello AMP, 26 hours during summer conditions	Montebello AMP, 27 hours during transitional conditions
Entrained Hydrocarbons	Maximum distances from the release location to entrained hydrocarbons exposure thresholds	Concentrations ≥ 10 ppb threshold may extend up to 1,302 km from the release location. As the threshold increases to ≥ 100 ppb, the maximum distance decreases to 1,037 km.	Concentrations ≥ 10 ppb threshold may extend up to 494 km from the release location. As the threshold increases to ≥ 100 ppb, the maximum distance decreases to 237 km.
	Highest probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure to a receptor at, or above, 10 ppb	Montebello AMP, 99% during winter conditions	Montebello AMP, 54% during winter conditions
	Quickest time before exposure to a receptor at, or above, 10 ppb	Montebello AMP, 21 hours during summer and winter conditions	Montebello AMP, 22 hours during winter conditions

NC: No contact to receptor predicted for specified threshold.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (VOGA) operates the Wandoo field, located approximately 70 km northwest of Dampier, Western Australia, in waters approximately 50 m deep.

To support the preparation of the Environment Plan (EP) and Oil Pollution Emergency Plan (OPEP) for the Kullingal well exploration drilling activity, a detailed oil spill modelling study was commissioned. This study assessed the following two hypothetical scenarios:

- **Scenario 1:** A 167,800 bbl (26,678 m^3) surface release of Wandoo crude over 35 days following a loss of well control (LOWC) at Kullingal; and
- **Scenario 2:** A 300 m^3 surface release of marine diesel oil (MDO) over 6 hours following a vessel collision at Kullingal.

The coordinates for the Kullingal well, which was used as the release location for the two scenarios are presented in Table 1.1 and is illustrated in Figure 1.1.

The potential exposure of surrounding waters and shorelines was assessed and presented for the distinct seasons, summer (October to February), winter (April to July) and transitional (March, August and September).

The spill modelling was performed using an advanced three-dimensional trajectory and fates model; Spill Impact Model Application Package (SIMAP). The SIMAP model calculates the transport, spreading, entrainment and evaporation of spilled hydrocarbons over time, based on the prevailing wind and current conditions and the physical and chemical properties. The modelling does not take into consideration any of the spill prevention, mitigation and response capabilities that would be implemented in response to the spill.

The hydrocarbon spill model, the method and analysis applied herein use modelling algorithms which have been peer reviewed and published in international journals. Further, RPS warrants that this work meets and exceeds the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standard F2067-22 "*Standard Practice for Development and Use of Oil Spill Models*".

Table 1.1 Coordinates of the oil spill modelling release location.

Release site	Latitude*	Longitude*	Water Depth (m)
Kullingal	20° 10' 16.32" S	116° 24' 11.88" E	50

*Datum: WGS 1984



Figure 1.1 Map of the oil spill modelling release location.

What is Oil Spill Modelling?

Oil spill modelling is a valuable tool widely used for risk assessment, emergency response and contingency planning where it can be particularly helpful to proponents and decision makers. By modelling a series of the most likely oil spill scenarios, decisions concerning suitable response measures and strategic locations for deploying equipment and materials can be made, and the locations at most risk can be identified. The two types of oil spill modelling often used are stochastic and deterministic modelling.

1.1.1 Stochastic Modelling (Multiple Spill Simulations)

Stochastic oil spill modelling is created by overlaying a great number (often hundreds) of individual, computer-simulated hypothetical spills (NOPSEMA, 2018; Figure 1.2).

Stochastic modelling is a common means of assessing the potential risks from oil spills related to new projects and facilities. Stochastic modelling typically utilises hydrodynamic data for the location in combination with historic wind data. Typically, 100 simulations are run, which sufficiently samples the historic dataset that is most relevant to the season or timing of the project.

The outcomes are often presented as a probability of exposure and are primarily used for risk assessment purposes in view to understand the range of environments that may be affected or impacted by a spill. Elements of the stochastic modelling can also be used in oil spill preparedness and planning.



Figure 1.2 Examples of four individual spill trajectories (four replicate simulations) predicted by SIMAP for a spill scenario (left pane). The frequency of contact for given locations is used to calculate the probability of impacts during a spill. Essentially, all model runs are overlain (shown as the stacked runs on the right) and the number of times that trajectories contact a given location at a concentration is used to calculate the probability.

2 SCOPE OF WORK

The scope of work included the following components:

1. Generate 10 years (2010 to 2019 (inclusive)) of wind and current data. The three-dimensional current data includes the combined influence of ocean and tidal currents;
2. Include the wind data, current data and oil properties into the three-dimensional oil spill model; SIMAP, to model the movement, spreading, entrainment, weathering and potential shoreline accumulation over time;
3. Run 100 simulations per season (300 simulations in total) for each scenario, with each scenario specific simulation having the same spill information (location, volume, duration and crude properties) but randomly varying start times. This ensured that each spill simulation was exposed to unique wind and current conditions;
4. Combine the results from the 100 spill simulations per season for each scenario to determine the potential exposure to the surrounding waters, shorelines and sensitive receptors based on the thresholds outlined in the NOPSEMA Oil Spill Modelling Bulletin (NOPSEMA, 2019); and
5. To inform spill response and Operational and Scientific Monitoring (OSM) Bridging Implementation Plan (BIP) capability requirements, the following deterministic simulation for the LOWC scenario was identified and presented:
    a. Greatest number of receptors with floating oil exposure at or above 1 g/m^2 within the first 7 days.

3 REGIONAL CURRENTS

The area of interest for this study is typified by strong tidal flows over the shallower regions, particularly along the inshore region of the North West Shelf and among the island groups stretching from the Dampier Archipelago to the North West Cape. However, the offshore regions with water depths exceeding 100 m – 200 m experience significant large-scale drift currents. These drift currents can be relatively strong (1 knot – 2 knots) and complex, manifesting as a series of eddies, meandering currents, and connecting flows. These offshore drift currents also tend to persist longer (days to weeks) than tidal current flows (hours between reversals) and thus will have greater influence upon the net trajectory of slicks over time scales exceeding a few hours.

Wind shear on the water surface also generates local-scale currents that can persist for extended periods (hours to days) and result in long trajectories. Hence, the current-induced transport of oil can be variably affected by combinations of tidal, wind-induced, and density-induced drift currents. Depending on their local influence, it is critical to consider all these potential advective mechanisms to rigorously understand patterns of potential transport from a given spill location. A comprehensive description of the circulation patterns of the North West Shelf is provided in a review by Condie & Andrewartha (2008).

A schematic of the ocean currents along the North West Australian continental shelf is shown in Figure 3.1.

While the tidal currents are generally weaker in the deeper waters, their influence is greatest along the near shore, coastal passage regions and, in and around islands. Therefore, to accurately account for the movement of an oil spill, which can move between the nearshore and offshore region, ocean and tidal currents were combined as part of the study.



Figure 3.1 Schematic of ocean currents along the northwestern Australian continental shelf. Image adapted from DEWHA (2008).

3.1 Tidal Currents

The effects of tides were generated using RPS's advanced ocean/coastal model, HYDROMAP. The HYDROMAP model has been thoroughly tested and verified through field measurements throughout the world for over 35 years (Isaji and Spaulding, 1984; Isaji et al., 2001; Zigic et al., 2003; Makarynskyy et al., 2010), whilst being used for a wide variety of disciplines within marine environments (e.g. Zigic et al., 2003; Talouli et al., 2009; Zigic et al., 2009; King et al., 2010; Makarynskyy et al., 2010; 2015). In fact, HYDROMAP tidal current data have been used as input for the OILMAP hydrocarbon spill modelling system, which forms part of the Incident Management System (IMS) operated by Maritime New Zealand (MNZ), Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) and the United Kingdom Maritime and Coastguard Agency, as well as several major oil and gas companies.

HYDROMAP employs a sophisticated sub-gridding strategy, which supports up to six levels of spatial resolution, halving the grid cell size as each level of resolution is employed. The sub-gridding allows for higher resolution of currents within areas of greater bathymetric and coastline complexity, and/or of particular interest to a study.

The numerical solution methodology follows that of Davies (1977a and 1977b) with further developments for model efficiency by Owen (1980) and Gordon (1982). A more detailed presentation of the model can be found in Isaji and Spaulding (1984) and Isaji et al. (2001).

3.1.1 Grid Setup

The tidal model domain has been sub-gridded to a resolution of 500 m for shallow and coastal regions, starting from an offshore (or deep water) resolution of 8 km. The finer grids were allocated in a step-wise fashion to resolve flows more accurately along the coastline, around islands and over regions with more complex bathymetry. Figure 3.2 shows the tidal model grid resolutions.

A combination of datasets was used and merged to describe the shape of the seabed within the grid domain (Figure 3.3). These included spot depths and contours which were digitised from nautical charts released by the hydrographic offices as well as Geoscience Australia database, and depths extracted from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM30_PLUS) Plus dataset (see Becker et al., 2009).



Figure 3.2 Zoomed in view of the model grid used to generate the tidal currents for the study region. Higher resolution areas are shown by the denser mesh.



Figure 3.3 Bathymetry defined throughout the tidal model domain.

3.1.2 Tidal Conditions

The ocean boundary data for the regional model was obtained from satellite measured altimetry data (TOPEX/Poseidon 7.2) which provided estimates of the eight dominant tidal constituents at a horizontal scale of approximately 0.25 degrees. The eight major tidal constituents used were K_2, S_2, M_2, N_2, K_1, P_1, O_1 and Q_1. Using the tidal data, surface heights were firstly calculated along the open boundaries, at each time step in the model.

The TOPEX/Poseidon satellite data have a global resolution of 0.25 degrees and is produced and quality controlled by NASA (National Aeronautics and Space Administration). The satellites equipped with two highly accurate altimeters and capable of taking sea level measurements with an accuracy of ± 5 cm measured oceanic surface elevations (and the resultant tides) for over 13 years (1992–2005). In total, these satellites carried out 62,000 orbits of the planet.

The Topex-Poseidon tidal data have been extensively reported and utilised within the oceanographic community (e.g. Andersen, 1995; Ludicone et al., 1998; Matsumoto et al., 2000; Kostianoy et al., 2003; Yaremchuk and Tangdong, 2004; Qiu and Chen 2010; Amores et al., 2019; Sagnieres et al., 2020; Veng et al., 2021; Zeitlhöfler et al., 2023). As such the Topex/Poseidon tidal data is considered suitably accurate for this study.

3.2 Ocean Currents

Data describing the flow of ocean currents were obtained from HYCOM (Hybrid Coordinate Ocean Model, (Chassignet et al., 2007), which is operated by the HYCOM Consortium, sponsored by the National Ocean Partnership Program (NOPP), as part of the U.S. Global Ocean Data Assimilation Experiment (GODAE). HYCOM is a data-assimilative, three-dimensional ocean model that is run as a hindcast (for a past period), assimilating time-varying observations of sea surface height, sea surface temperature and in-situ temperature and salinity measurements (Chassignet et al., 2009). The HYCOM predictions for drift currents are produced at a horizontal spatial resolution of approximately 8.25 km (1/12th of a degree) over the region, at a frequency of every 3 hours. HYCOM uses isopycnal layers in the open, stratified ocean, but uses the layered continuity equation to make a dynamically smooth transition to a terrain following coordinate in shallow coastal regions, and to z-level coordinates in the mixed layer and/or unstratified seas.

For this study, the HYCOM hindcast currents were obtained for the years 2010 to 2019 (inclusive).

3.3 Surface Currents

Figure 3.4 and Figure 3.5 present the monthly and total current rose plots, respectively, for surface waters adjacent to the release location.

Note the convention for defining current direction throughout this report is the direction the current flows towards. Each branch of the current rose distribution represents the currents flowing to that direction, with north to the top of the diagram. The branches are divided into segments of different colour, which represent the current speed ranges for each direction. Speed intervals of 0.2 m/s are typically used in these current roses. The length of each coloured segment within a branch is proportional to the frequency of currents flowing within the corresponding speed and direction.

The average and maximum current speeds were 0.25 m/s and 2.07 m/s, respectively. Throughout the year, the dominant current directions were northwest and southeast, primarily driven by tidal currents rather than ocean currents, given the release location's proximity to shore and shallow waters.



Figure 3.4 Monthly surface current rose plots adjacent to the release location, derived from the 2010 to 2019 modelled dataset.



Figure 3.5 Total surface current rose plots adjacent to the release location, derived from the 2010 to 2019 modelled dataset.

4 WIND DATA

To account for the influence of the wind on the floating oil, wind data from 2010 to 2019 (inclusive) was sourced from the National Centre for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR; see Saha et al., 2010). The CFSR wind model includes observations from many data sources; surface observations, upper-atmosphere air balloon observations, aircraft observations and satellite observations. The model is capable of accurately representing the interaction between the earth's oceans, land and atmosphere. The gridded wind data output is available at ¼ of a degree resolution (~33 km) and 1-hourly time intervals. Figure 4.1 shows the spatial resolution of the wind field used as input into the oil spill model.



Figure 4.1 Spatial resolution of the CFSR modelled wind data used as input into the oil spill model. Note, for ease viewing only every second wind vector is displayed on the map.

Figure 4.2 and Figure 4.3 illustrate the monthly and total wind rose plots, respectively, adjacent to the release location.

Note that the atmospheric convention for defining wind direction, that is, the direction the wind blows from, is used to reference wind direction throughout this report. Each branch of the rose represents wind coming from that direction, with north to the top of the diagram. Sixteen directions are used. The branches are divided into segments of different colour, which represent wind speed ranges from that direction. Speed ranges of 5 knot intervals are typically used in these wind roses. The length of each segment within a branch is proportional to the frequency of winds blowing within the corresponding range of speeds from that direction.

The average and maximum wind speeds were 12.2 knots and 52.3 knots, respectively. Winds typically blow from the west-southwest during the summer months, while in winter, they predominantly come from the east.



Figure 4.2 **Monthly wind rose plots adjacent to the release location, derived from the 2010 to 2019 modelled dataset.**



Figure 4.3 Total wind rose plot adjacent to the release location, derived from the 2010 to 2019 modelled dataset.

5 WATER TEMPERATURE AND SALINITY

The monthly depth-varying water temperature and salinity profiles for the closest point to the release location were obtained from the World Ocean Atlas 2018 database produced by the National Oceanographic Data Centre (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) and its co-located World Data Center for Oceanography (Levitus et al., 2013). The data are used to inform the weathering, movement and evaporative loss of hydrocarbon spills in the surface and subsurface layers.

Table 5.1 shows that the monthly average sea surface (0-2 m depth layer) temperatures ranged from 24.0°C (September) to 29.6°C (March). Salinity remained consistent throughout the year ranging between 35.2 ppt and 35.4 ppt.

Figure 5.1 presents monthly temperature and salinity profiles throughout the water column in the vicinity of the release location.

Table 5.1 Monthly average sea surface (0-2 m depth layer) temperature and salinity in the vicinity of the release location.

Month	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Temperature (°C)	27.5	27.5	29.6	28.2	28.1	26.4	24.7	24.3	24.0	25.8	26.8	27.8
Salinity (psu)	35.3	35.3	35.2	35.2	35.4	35.4	35.2	35.2	35.2	35.2	35.3	35.2



Figure 5.1 Monthly temperature and salinity profiles throughout the water column in the vicinity of the release location.

6 OIL SPILL MODEL SIMAP

The spill modelling was carried out using a purpose-developed oil spill trajectory and fates model, SIMAP (Spill Impact Model Application Package). This model is designed to simulate the transport and weathering processes that affect the outcomes of hydrocarbon spills to the sea, accounting for the specific oil type, spill scenario, and prevailing wind and current circulation patterns (French et al., 1999; French-McCay, 2003; 2004; French-McCay et al., 2004; 2021; 2022a; 2022b).

SIMAP is the evolution of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) Natural Resource Damage Assessment model (French et al., 1999) and is designed to simulate the fate and effects of spilled oils and fuels for both the surface slick and the three-dimensional plume that is generated in the water column. SIMAP includes algorithms to account for both physical transport and weathering processes. The latter are important for accounting for the partitioning of the spilled mass over time between the water surface (surface slick), water column (entrained oil and dissolved compounds), atmosphere (evaporated compounds) and land (stranded oil). The model also accounts for the interaction between weathering and transport processes.

The physical algorithms calculate transport and spreading by physical forces, including surface tension, gravity as well as wind and current forces for both surface slicks and oil within the water column. The fates algorithms calculate all the weathering processes known to be important for oil spilled to marine waters. These include droplet and slick formation, entrainment by wave action, emulsification, dissolution of soluble components, sedimentation, evaporation, bacterial and photo-chemical decay and shoreline interactions. These algorithms account for the specific oil type being considered.

Entrainment is the physical process where globules of oil are transported from the sea surface into the water column by wind and wave-induced turbulence or be generated subsea by a pressurised discharge at depth. It has been observed that entrained oil is broken into droplets of varying sizes. Small droplets spread and diffuse into the water column, while larger ones rise rapidly back to the surface (Delvigne & Sweeney, 1988; Delvigne, 1991).

Dissolution is the process by which soluble hydrocarbons enter the water from a surface slick or from entrained droplets. The lower molecular weight hydrocarbons tend to be both more volatile and more soluble than those of higher molecular weight.

The formation of water-in-oil emulsions, or mousse, which is termed ‘emulsification’, depends on oil composition and sea state. Emulsified oil can contain as much as 80% water in the form of micrometre-sized droplets dispersed within a continuous phase of oil (Daling & Brandvik, 1991; Bobra, 1991; Daling et al., 1997; Fingas, 1995, Fingas & Fieldhouse, 2004).

Entrainment, dissolution and emulsification rates are correlated to wave energy, which is accounted for by estimating wave heights from the sustained wind speed, direction and fetch (i.e. distance downwind from land barriers) at different locations in the domain. Dissolution rates are dependent upon the proportion of soluble, short-chained hydrocarbon compounds, and the surface area at the oil/water interface of slicks. Dissolution rates are also strongly affected by the level of turbulence. For example, dissolution rates will be relatively high at the site of the release for a deep-sea discharge at high pressure.

Evaporation can result in the transfer of large proportions of spilled oil from the sea surface to the atmosphere, depending on the type of oil. Evaporation rates vary over space and time dependent on the prevailing sea temperatures, wind and current speeds, the surface area of the slick and entrained droplets that are exposed to the atmosphere as well as the state of weathering of the oil. Evaporation rates will decrease over time, depending on the calculated rate of loss of the more volatile compounds. By this process, the model can differentiate between the fates of different oil types.

Decay (degradation) of hydrocarbons may occur as the result of photolysis, which is a chemical process energised by ultraviolet light from the sun, and by biological breakdown, termed biodegradation. Many types of marine organisms ingest, metabolise and utilise oil as a carbon source, producing carbon dioxide and water as by-products.

The SIMAP weathering algorithms include terms to represent these dynamic processes. Technical descriptions of the algorithms used in SIMAP and validations against real spill events are provided in French et al., (1999) and French-McCay (2004).

Input specifications for oil types include density, viscosity, pour-point, distillation curve (volume of oil distilled off versus temperature) and the aromatic/aliphatic component ratios within given boiling point ranges. The model calculates a distribution of the oil by mass into the following components:

- Surface-bound or floating oil;
- Entrained oil (non-dissolved oil droplets that are physically entrained by wave action);
- Dissolved hydrocarbons (principally the aromatic and short-chained aliphatic compounds);
- Evaporated hydrocarbons;
- Sedimented hydrocarbons; and
- Decayed hydrocarbons.

7 THRESHOLDS

The SIMAP model will track oil concentrations to very low levels. Hence, it is useful to define meaningful threshold concentrations for the recording of contact by oil components and determining the probability of exposure at a location (calculated from the number of replicate simulations in which this contact occurred).

The judgement of meaningful levels is complicated and will depend upon the mode of action, sensitivity of the biota contacted, the duration of the contact and the toxicity of the compounds that are represented in the oil. The latter factor is further complicated by the change in the composition of an oil type over time due to weathering processes. Without specific testing of the oil types, at different states of weathering against a wide range of the potential local receptors, such considerations are beyond the scope of this investigation.

It is important to note that the thresholds herein are based on the thresholds outlined in the NOPSEMA Oil Spill Modelling Bulletin (NOPSEMA, 2019), which are summarised in Table 7.1. Their relationship to exposure for the sea surface, shoreline, and water column (entrained and dissolved hydrocarbons) are presented in Sections 7.1 to 7.3. Supporting justifications of the adopted thresholds applied during the study and additional context relating to the area of exposure are also provided.

Table 7.1 Summary of the thresholds applied in this study.

Floating Oil Concentration (g/m^2)	Shoreline Oil Accumulation (g/m^2)	Entrained Hydrocarbons Concentration (ppb)	Instantaneous Dissolved Hydrocarbons (ppb)
1	10	10	10
10	100	100	50
50	1,000		400

7.1 Floating Oil

Floating oil concentrations are relevant to describing the risks of oil coating emergent reefs, vegetation in the littoral zone and shoreline habitats, as well as the risk to wildlife found on the water surface, such as marine mammals, reptiles, and birds. Floating oil is also visible at relatively low concentrations (> ~0.05 g/m^2). Hence, the area affected by visible oil, which might trigger social or economic impacts, will be larger than the area where biological impacts might be expected.

The low threshold for floating oil exposure was set to 1 g/m^2, which equates approximately to an average thickness of 1 µm. It represents the practical limit of observing hydrocarbon sheens in the marine environment. This threshold is considered below levels which would cause environmental harm and is more indicative of the areas perceived to be affected due to its visibility on the sea-surface and potential to trigger temporary closures of areas (i.e., fishing grounds) as a precautionary measure.

Oil of this thickness is described as rainbow sheen in appearance, according to the Bonn Agreement Oil Appearance Code (Bonn Agreement, 2009; AMSA, 2014) (see Table 7.2). Figure 7.1 shows photographs highlighting the difference in appearance between a silvery sheen, rainbow sheen and metallic sheen.

Ecological impact has been estimated to occur at 10 g/m^2 (a film thickness of approximately 10 µm or 0.01 mm) (French et al.,1996; French-McCay 2009) as this level of fresh oiling has been observed to mortally impact some birds through adhesion of oil to their feathers, exposing them to secondary effects such as hypothermia. The appearance of oil at this average thickness has been described as a metallic sheen (Bonn Agreement, 2009). Concentrations above 10 g/m^2 are also considered the lower actionable threshold, where oil may be thick enough for containment and recovery as well as dispersant treatment (AMSA, 2023).

Oil concentrations on the sea surface of 25 g/m^2 (or greater) would be harmful for all birds that have landed in an oil film due to potential contamination of their feathers, with secondary effects such as loss of temperature regulation and ingestion of oil through preening (Scholten et al., 1996; Koops et al., 2004). The appearance of oil at this thickness is also described as metallic sheen (Bonn Agreement, 2009). For this study the high exposure threshold was set to 50 g/m^2 and above based on NOPSEMA (2019). This threshold can also be used to inform response planning. Table 7.3 is a summary of each threshold.

Table 7.2 The Bonn Agreement Oil Appearance Code.

Code	Description Appearance	Layer Thickness Interval (g/m^2 or µm)	Litres per km^2
1	Sheen (silvery/grey)	0.04 – 0.30	40 – 300
2	Rainbow	0.30 – 5.0	300 – 5,000
3	Metallic	5.0 – 50	5,000 – 50,000
4	Discontinuous True Oil Colour	50 – 200	50,000 – 200,000
5	Continuous True Oil Colour	≥ 200	≥ 200,000



Figure 7.1 Photographs showing the difference between oil colour and thickness on the sea surface (source: adapted from Oil Spill Solutions, 2015).

Table 7.3 Floating oil exposure thresholds used in the oil spill modelling study (in alignment with NOPSEMA, 2019).

Threshold level	Floating oil (g/m^2)	Description
Low	1	Approximates range of socio-economic effects and establishes planning area for scientific monitoring
Moderate	10	Approximates lower limit for harmful exposures to birds and marine mammals
High	50*	Approximates surface oil slick and informs response planning

* 50 g/m^2 also used to define the threshold for actionable floating oil.

7.2 Shoreline Oil Accumulation

There are many different types of shorelines, ranging from cliffs, rocky beaches, sandy beaches, mud flats and mangroves, and each of these influences the volume of oil that can remain stranded ashore and its thickness before the shoreline saturation point occurs. For instance, a sandy beach may allow oil to percolate through the sand, thus increasing its ability to hold more oil ashore over tidal cycles and various wave actions than an equivalent area of water; hence oil can increase in thickness onshore over time. A sandy beach shoreline was assumed as the default shoreline type for the modelling in this study, as it allows for the highest carrying capacity of oil (of the available open/exposed shoreline types).

In, previous risk assessment studies, a threshold of 10 g/m^2 was used to assess the potential for shoreline accumulation (French-McCay et al.,2005a; 2005b). This is a conservative threshold used to define regions of socio-economic impact, such as triggering temporary closures of adjoining fisheries or the need for shore clean-up on beaches or man-made features/amenities (breakwaters, jetties, marinas, etc.). It would equate

to approximately 2 teaspoons of hydrocarbon per square meter of shoreline accumulation. The appearance is described as a stain/film. On that basis, the 10 g/m^2 has been selected to define the low threshold.

French et al. (1996) and French-McCay (2009) define a shoreline oil accumulation threshold of 100 g/m^2, or above, would potentially harm shorebirds and wildlife (fur-bearing aquatic mammals and marine reptiles on or along the shore) based on studies for sub-lethal and lethal impacts. This threshold has been used in previous environmental risk assessment studies (see French-McCay, 2003; French-McCay et al., 2004, French-McCay et al., 2011; 2012; NOAA, 2013). Additionally, this threshold is also recommended in AMSA's foreshore assessment guide as the acceptable minimum thickness that does not inhibit the potential for recovery and is best remediated by natural coastal processes alone (AMSA, 2023). This threshold equates to approximately ½ a cup of oil per square meter of shoreline accumulation and is described as a thin oil coat. The 100 g/m^2 has been selected to define the moderate threshold.

Observations by Lin & Mendelssohn (1996) demonstrated that loadings of more than 1,000 g/m^2 of hydrocarbon during the growing season would be required to impact marsh plants significantly. Similar thresholds have been found in studies assessing hydrocarbon impacts on mangroves (Grant et al., 1993; Suprayogi & Murray, 1999). This loading equates to approximately 1 litre of hydrocarbon per square meter of shoreline accumulation and the appearance is described as a hydrocarbon cover. A loading of 1,000 g/m^2 has been selected to define high threshold.

Table 7.4 is a summary of each threshold.

Table 7.4 Shoreline accumulation thresholds used in oil spill modelling study (in alignment with NOPSEMA, 2019).

Threshold level	Shoreline loading(g/m^2)	Description
Low	10	Predicts potential for some socio-economic impact
Moderate	100*	Loading predicts area likely to require clean-up effort
High	1,000	Loading predicts area likely to require intensive clean-up effort

* 100 g/m^2 also used to define the threshold for actionable shoreline oil.

7.3 In-water

Oil is a mixture of thousands of hydrocarbons of varying physical, chemical, and toxicological characteristics, and therefore, demonstrates varying fates and impacts on organisms. As such, for in-water exposure, the SIMAP model provides separate outputs for dissolved and entrained hydrocarbons from oil droplets. The consequences of exposure to dissolved and entrained components will differ because they have different modes and magnitudes of effect.

Entrained hydrocarbon concentrations were calculated based on oil droplets that are suspended in the water column, though not dissolved. The composition of this oil would vary with the state of weathering (oil age) and may contain soluble hydrocarbons when the oil is fresh. Calculations for dissolved hydrocarbons specifically calculates oil components which are dissolved in water, which are known to be the primary source of toxicity exerted by oil.

A complicating factor that should be considered when assessing the consequence of dissolved and entrained oil distributions is that there will be some areas where both physically entrained oil droplets and dissolved hydrocarbons co-exist. Higher concentrations of each will tend to occur close to the source where sea conditions can force mixing of relatively unweathered oil into the water column, resulting in more rapid dissolution of soluble compounds.

7.3.1 Dissolved Hydrocarbons

Laboratory studies have shown that dissolved hydrocarbons exert most of the toxic effects of oil on aquatic biota (Carls et al., 2008; Nordtug et al., 2011; Redman, 2015). The mode of action is a narcotic effect, which is positively related to the concentration of soluble hydrocarbons in the body tissues of organisms (French-McCay, 2002). Dissolved hydrocarbons are taken up by organisms directly from the water column by absorption through external surfaces and gills, as well as through the digestive tract. Thus, soluble hydrocarbons are termed “bioavailable”.

Hydrocarbon compounds vary in water-solubility and the toxicity exerted by individual compounds is inversely related to solubility, however bioavailability will be modified by the volatility of individual compounds (Nirmalakhandan & Speece, 1988; Blum & Speece, 1990; McCarty, 1986; McCarty et al., 1992a; 1992b; McCarty & Mackay, 1993; Verhaar et al., 1992; 1999; Swartz et al., 1995; French-McCay, 2002; McGrath & Di Toro, 2009). Of the soluble compounds, the greatest contributor to toxicity for water-column and benthic organisms are the lower-molecular-weight aromatic compounds, which are both volatile and soluble in water. Although they are not the most water-soluble hydrocarbons within most oil types, the polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) containing 2 – 3 aromatic ring structures typically exert the largest narcotic effects because they are semi-soluble and not highly volatile, so they persist in the environment long enough for significant accumulation to occur (Anderson et al., 1974; 1987; Neff & Anderson, 1981; Malins & Hodgins, 1981; McAuliffe, 1987; NRC, 2003). The monoaromatic hydrocarbons (MAHs), including the BTEX compounds (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes), and the soluble alkanes (straight chain hydrocarbons) also contribute to toxicity, but these compounds are highly volatile, so that their contribution will be low when oil is exposed to evaporation and higher when oil is discharged at depth where volatilisation does not occur (French-McCay, 2002).

French-McCay (2002) reviewed available toxicity data, where marine biota was exposed to dissolved hydrocarbons prepared from oil mixtures, finding that 95% of species and life stages exhibited 50% population mortality (LC_{50}) between 6 and 400 ppb (with an average of 50 ppb) total PAH concentration after 96 hrs exposure. Therefore, concentrations lower than 6 ppb total PAH value should be protective of 97.5% of species and life stages even with exposure periods of days (at least 96 hours). Early life-history stages of fish appear to be more sensitive than older fish stages and invertebrates.

Exceedances of 10, 50 or 400 ppb over a 1-hour timestep (see Table 7.5) were applied in this study to indicate the increasing potential for sub-lethal to lethal toxic effects (or low to high), based on NOPSEMA (2019).

7.3.2 Entrained Hydrocarbons

Entrained hydrocarbons consist of oil droplets that are suspended in the water column and insoluble. Insoluble compounds in oil cannot be absorbed from the water column by aquatic organisms, therefore they are not bioavailable through absorption of compounds from the water. Exposure to these compounds would require routes of uptake other than absorption of soluble compounds. The route of exposure of organisms to whole oil alone include direct contact with tissues of organisms and uptake of oil by direct consumption, with potential for biomagnification through the food chain (NRC, 2003).

Thresholds of 10 ppb and 100 ppb were applied over a 1-hour time exposure (Table 7.5) as per NOPSEMA (2019).

The 10-ppb threshold exposure zone is not considered to be of significant biological impact and is therefore outside the adverse exposure zone. This exposure zone represents the area contacted by the spill.

Table 7.5 Dissolved and entrained hydrocarbon exposure thresholds assessed over a 1-hour time step used in the oil spill modelling study (in alignment NOPSEMA, 2019).

	Exposure level	In-water threshold (ppb)	Description
Dissolved hydrocarbons	Low	10	Establishes planning area for scientific monitoring based on potential for exceedance of water quality triggers
	Moderate	50	Approximates potential toxic effects, particularly sublethal effects to sensitive species
	High	400	Approximates toxic effects including lethal effects to sensitive species
Entrained hydrocarbons	Low	10	Establishes planning area for scientific monitoring based on potential for exceedance of water quality triggers
	Moderate	100	As appropriate given oil characteristics for informing risk evaluation

7.4 Dispersion Coefficients and Mixed Layer Depth

A horizontal dispersion coefficient of 10 m^2/s was used to account for dispersive processes acting on the floating oil on the sea surface that are below the scale of resolution of the current data and is based on typical values for open waters (Okubo, 1971).

A vertical dispersion coefficient (*Dv)* of 91 cm^2/s was used to represent the turbulent mixing and diffusion processes in the wave-mixed layer in the upper water column. It is a site-specific value computed using the 50^{th} percentile wind speed of approximately 12 knots at the operational area derived from the 10-year dataset (at 10 m above the sea surface, W_{10}) based on French-McCay (2004).

The mixed layer depth corresponds to the top layer of the water column, where vertical mixing is strong enough to cause uniform temperature and salinity, and is influenced by factors such as wind, ocean currents and waves. The 50^{th} percentile value at the site was calculated using Copernicus' 10 years (2010 to 2019) monthly averaged mixed layer depth data and was found to be 20 m.

8 OIL PROPERTIES

Table 8.1 and Table 8.2 present the physical properties and boiling point ranges of Wandoo crude and MDO used for Scenario 1 and Scenario 2 modelling, respectively.

Wandoo crude has a density of 937.0 kg/m^3 at 16ºC (API of 19.4) and a low pour point of -24°C, which ensures that this crude will remain in a liquid state over the annual temperature range observed on the North West Shelf. It is also low in wax content, typical of a biodegraded oil.

Generally, <2% of the crude should evaporate within the first 12 hours (Boiling point (BP) < 180°C); a further 10.2% is expected to evaporate within the first 24 hours (180°C < BP < 265°C); and an additional 33.1% should evaporate over several weeks (265°C < BP < 380°C). Additionally, 55% (by mass) of the crude will not evaporate, decaying slowly over time. It is categorised as a Group IV (or persistent) oil according to oil classifications by AMSA (2023). The classification is based on the specific gravity of hydrocarbons in combination with relevant boiling point ranges.

The MDO has a density of 890.0 kg/m^3 at 15ºC (API of 27.5) and a low pour point of -9.0°C. The low viscosity (14.0 cP at 25ºC) indicates that this oil will spread quickly when released and will form a thin to low thickness film on the sea surface, increasing the rate of evaporation. Generally, about 4% of the MDO mass should evaporate within the first 12 hours (Boiling point (BP) < 180°C); a further 32.0% should evaporate within the first 24 hours (180°C < BP < 265°C); and an additional 54.0% should evaporate over several days (265°C < BP < 380°C). Approximately 10% (by mass) of MDO will not evaporate, though will decay slowly over time. It is categorised as a Group II oil (light-persistent) according to the AMSA (2023) classifications.

It's noteworthy that the heavier components of the Wandoo crude and MDO, specifically the low volatile and persistent (residual) portions (i.e., ~88% and 64%, respectively), will have a strong tendency to become entrained into the water column in the presence of winds speeds above 7 knots and in turn breaking waves, however, it can re-surface under calm conditions (less than 7 knots).

Table 8.1 Physical properties for Wandoo crude and MDO.

Properties	Wandoo Crude	MDO
Density (kg/m^3)	937 (at 16 °C)	890.0 (at 15 °C)
API	19.4	27.5
Dynamic viscosity (cP)	161 (at 25 °C)	14.0 (at 25 °C)
Pour point (°C)	-24	-9.0
Hydrocarbon property category	Group IV	Group II
Hydrocarbon property classification	Persistent	Light persistent

Table 8.2 Boiling point ranges for Wandoo crude and MDO.

Characteristic Oil Type	Volatiles (%)	Semi-volatiles (%)	Low Volatiles (%)	Residual (%)
Boiling point (°C)	< 180	180-265	265-380	> 380
	Non persistent			Persistent
Wandoo crude	1.7	10.2	33.1	55.0
MDO	4.0	32.0	54.0	10.0

8.1 Weathering Characteristics

8.1.1 Wandoo crude

A series of weathering tests were conducted to illustrate the potential behaviour following a 50 m^3 instantaneous surface release of Wandoo crude when exposed to:

- 5 knot (2.6 m/s) constant wind speed, 27°C water temperature and currents; and
- Variable wind speeds (1 – 12 m/s or 2 to 24 knots), 27°C water temperature and currents.

The first case is indicative of the potential weathering rates under calm conditions that would not generate entrainment, while the second case would be more representative of the moderate winds experienced over the region.

The mass balance forecast for the constant wind case (Figure 8.1) shows that 13.0% of the crude is predicted to evaporate within 24 hours. The remaining hydrocarbon on the water surface will weather at a slower rate and be subject to more gradual decay through biological and photochemical processes.

In the variable wind speeds test (Figure 8.2), characterised by stronger average winds and breaking waves, there is an increased entrainment of the crude into the water column. Approximately 24 hours into the spill, the forecast indicates that 3.2% of the crude will have evaporated, with additional 93.9% expected to have entrained. Hence, less than 1% of floating oil remains on the water surface 24 hours into the spill.



Figure 8.1 Mass balance plot for an instantaneous 50 m^3 surface release of Wandoo crude subjected to a constant 5 knot (2.6 m/s) wind, currents and 27°C water temperature.



Figure 8.2 Mass balance plot for an instantaneous 50 m³ surface release of Wandoo crude subjected to variable wind speeds (1 – 12 m/s or 2 to 24 knots), currents and 27°C water temperature.

8.1.2 Marine Diesel Oil

A series of weathering tests were conducted to illustrate the potential behaviour following a 50 m³ instantaneous surface release of MDO when exposed to:

- 5 knot (2.6 m/s) constant wind speed, 27°C water temperature and currents; and
- Variable wind speeds (1 – 12 m/s or 2 to 23 knots), 27°C water temperature and currents.

The first case is indicative of the potential weathering rates under calm conditions that would not generate entrainment, while the second case would be more representative of the moderate winds experienced over the region.

The mass balance forecast for the constant wind case (Figure 8.1) shows that 36.1% of the MDO is predicted to evaporate within 24 hours. The remaining MDO on the water surface will weather at a slower rate and be subject to more gradual decay through biological and photochemical processes.

For the variable wind speed case (Figure 8.2), after 24 hours, 80.5% of the mass of MDO will have entrained, with an additional 15.0% expected to have evaporated. Hence, only a <1% of floating oil remains on the water surface. The low volatile and residual compounds are anticipated to entrain beneath the surface under conditions generating wind waves (winds approximately > 6 m/s). While the MDO is entrained, it is forecast to decay at a higher rate of 3% per day or 21% after 7 days, attributed to biological and photochemical degradation. This contrasts with a rate of 0.14% per day and a total of ~1% after 7 days for the constant-wind case. Given the proportion of entrained MDO and its tendency to remain mixed in the water column, the remaining hydrocarbons are expected to undergo decay over several weeks.



Figure 8.3 **Mass balance plot for an instantaneous 50 m^3 surface release of MDO subjected to a constant 5 knot (2.6 m/s) wind, currents and 27°C water temperature.**



Figure 8.4 **Mass balance plot for an instantaneous 50 m^3 surface release of MDO subjected to variable wind speeds of 2 to 23 knots (1 – 12 m/s), currents and 27°C water temperature.**

9 RECEPTORS

A comprehensive receptor database was compiled from multiple sources to evaluate floating oil exposure, in-water column exposure (entrained and dissolved hydrocarbons), and shoreline oil accumulation. These sources include:

- Protected Matters Search Tool (PMST) from Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (https://pmst.awe.gov.au);
- Shoreline cells from the Western Australia Marine Oil Pollution Risk Assessment Protection Priorities (https://www.transport.wa.gov.au/imarine/preparedness-response-resources.asp); and
- Additional receptors, such as Habitat Critical to Turtles from Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (https://fed.dcceew.gov.au).

Table 9.1 summarises the receptors assessed for hydrocarbon exposure and presented in the report. Key receptor categories are presented in Figure 9.1 to Figure 9.19. Exposure risks were calculated separately for each receptor, and results are presented only for those receptors predicted to be exposed at, or above, the minimum thresholds. Additionally, exposure within Western Australian State Waters (WA State Waters) and Northern Territory Waters (NT Waters) was included as part of the study.

Table 9.1 Summary of receptors assessed for floating oil exposure, in-water column exposure (entrained and dissolved hydrocarbons), and shoreline oil accumulation. Results for these receptors have been presented.

Receptor Category	Acronym	Source	Hydrocarbon Exposure Assessment		
			Water Column	Floating oil	Shoreline
Australian Marine Park	AMP	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×
Exclusive Economic Zone	EEZ	http://www.marineregions.org	✓	✓	×
Indigenous Protected Area	IPA	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×
Key Ecological Feature	KEF	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×
Management Agreement Area	MAA	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×
Marine Park	MP	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×
National Parks	NP	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×
Nature Reserve	NR	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×
Ramsar wetland	Ramsar	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×
Reefs, Shoals and Banks	RSB	Digital nautical charts	✓	✓	×
State and Territory Waters	State and Territory Waters	https://www.ga.gov.au/scientific-topics/marine/jurisdiction	✓	✓	×
Western Australia Marine Oil Pollution Risk Assessment Protection Priorities (WAMOPRA)	Shoreline cells	http://www.transport.wa.gov.au	×	×	✓

Additionally, Table 9.2 lists other receptor categories that were assessed but not presented in the report for conciseness. However, these can be provided upon request if needed.

Table 9.2 Summary of receptors assessed for floating oil exposure, in-water column exposure (entrained and dissolved hydrocarbons), and shoreline oil accumulation. Results for these receptors have not been presented but can be provided upon request.

Receptor Category	Acronym	Source	Hydrocarbon Exposure Assessment		
			Water Column	Floating oil	Shoreline
5(1)(g) Reserve	S5G	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×
5(1)(h) Reserve	S5H	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×
Commonwealth Herritage Place Historic	CHPH	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×
Commonwealth Herritage Place Natural	CHPN	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×
Conservation Park	CP	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×
Fish Habitat Protected Area	FHPA	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×
Habitat Critical to Turtles	TUR	https://fed.dcceew.gov.au	✓	✓	×
Indigenous Cultural Heritage - WA	ICH	https://catalogue.data.wa.gov.au/dataset	✓	✓	×
Integrated Marine and Coastal Regionalisation of Australia (Meso-scale)	IMCRA	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×
Nationally Important Wetlands	NIW	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×
Shipwreck Protection Zones	SPZ	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×
World Heritage Properties (Australia Only)	WHP	https://pmst.awe.gov.au	✓	✓	×



Figure 9.1 Receptor map for Australian Marine Parks and Marine Parks.



Figure 9.2 Receptor map of Key Ecological Features (KEF).



Figure 9.3 Receptor map of Marine Management Areas (MMA) and Nature Reserves (NR).



Figure 9.4 Receptor map for Ramsar wetlands and State and Territory Waters (1 of 2).



Figure 9.5 Receptor map for Ramsar wetlands and State and Territory Waters (2 of 2).



Figure 9.6 Receptor map for Reefs, Shoals and Banks (1 of 4)



Figure 9.7 Receptor map for Reefs, Shoals and Banks (2 of 4)



Figure 9.8 Receptor map for Reefs, Shoals and Banks (3 of 4)



Figure 9.9 Receptor map for Reefs, Shoals and Banks (4 of 4)



Figure 9.10 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (1 of 10).



Figure 9.11 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (2 of 10).



Figure 9.12 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (3 of 10).



Figure 9.13 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (4 of 10).



Figure 9.14 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (5 of 10).



Figure 9.15 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (6 of 10).



Figure 9.16 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (7 of 10).



Figure 9.17 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (8 of 10).



Figure 9.18 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (9 of 10).



Figure 9.19 Receptor map for the WAMOPRA shoreline cells (10 of 10).

10 MODEL SETTINGS

Table 10.1 provides a summary of the oil spill model settings for Scenario 1 and Scenario 2. Additionally, Table 10.2 presents the summary of the daily varying oil rate applied for Scenario 1.

The simulation length for each scenario was carefully selected based on extensive sensitivity testing. During the sensitivity testing process, sample spill trajectories are run for longer than intended durations for each scenario. Upon completion of the spill trajectories, the results are carefully assessed to examine the persistence of the hydrocarbon (i.e. whether the maximum evaporative loss has been achieved for the period modelled; and whether a substantial volume of hydrocarbons remain in the water column (if any)) in conjunction with the extent of sea surface exposure based on reporting thresholds. Once there is agreement between the two factors (i.e., the final fate of hydrocarbon is accounted for, and the full exposure area is identified) the simulation length is deemed appropriate.

Table 10.1 Summary of the oil spill model settings used in this assessment.

	Scenario 1	Scenario 2
Description	Surface LOWC	Surface release from vessel collision
Number of spill simulations with randomly selected start times	100 per season	
Period	Summer (October to February) Winter (April to July) Transitional (March, August, and September)	
Spill volume	167,800 bbl (26,678 m^3)	300 m^3
Oil type	Wandoo crude	MDO
Release depth	0 m (surface)	0 m (surface)
Release duration	35 days	6 hours
Simulation length	56 days	30 days
Floating oil (NOPSEMA) thresholds	1 g/m^2, low exposure 10 g/m^2, moderate exposure 50 g/m^2, high exposure	
Shoreline accumulation (NOPSEMA) thresholds	10 g/m^2, low exposure 100 g/m^2, moderate exposure 1,000 g/m^2, high exposure	
Dissolved hydrocarbon (NOPSEMA) thresholds	10 ppb over 1 hour, low exposure 50 ppb over 1 hour, moderate exposure 400 ppb over 1 hour, high exposure	
Entrained hydrocarbon (NOPSEMA) thresholds	10 ppb over 1 hour, low exposure 100 ppb over 1 hour, moderate exposure	

Table 10.2 Summary of the daily varying oil rate applied for the surface LOWC.

Days	Oil Rate (stb/day)
1	5855.8
2	5767.2
3	5680.2
4	5594.6
5	5510.4
6	5427.7
7	5346.3
8	5266.3
9	5187.6
10	5110.2
11	5034.1
12	4959.3
13	4885.7
14	4813.3
15	4752.3
16	4741.1
17	4732.3
18	4723.6
19	4714.9
20	4706.2
21	4697.6
22	4689.0
23	4680.5
24	4672.0
25	4663.5
26	4655.1
27	4646.7
28	4638.4
29	4630.1
30	4621.8
31	4613.5
32	4605.3
33	4597.2
34	4589.0
35	4580.9

11 PRESENTATION AND INTERPRETATION OF MODEL RESULTS

The results from the modelling study are presented in a number of tables and figures, which aim to provide an understanding of the predicted sea-surface and water column (subsurface) exposure, and shoreline accumulation (if predicted).

11.1 Stochastic Modelling

If readers are not fully familiar with how to interpret stochastic modelling outputs, please refer to the relevant NOPSEMA factsheet (NOPSEMA, 2018) before reading this report section.

Predictions for the probability of contact and time to contact by oil concentrations equalling or exceeding defined thresholds for floating and shoreline oil, entrained oil and dissolved hydrocarbons are provided in the following sections to summarise the stochastic results, which are calculated and presented as follows:

a. ***Exposure Areas*** – encompasses the entire area that could be exposed and was derived from the annual stochastic modelling results based on the following thresholds:

   Low threshold Exposure Area (or EMBA)

   i. Floating oil – 1 g/m^2;
   ii. Shoreline oil accumulation – 10 g/m^2;
   iii. Dissolved hydrocarbons – 10 ppb; and
   iv. Entrained hydrocarbons –10 ppb.

   Moderate Threshold Exposure Area

   i. Floating oil – 10 g/m^2;
   ii. Shoreline oil accumulation – 100 g/m^2;
   iii. Dissolved hydrocarbons – 50 ppb; and
   iv. Entrained hydrocarbons –100 ppb.

   High Threshold Exposure Area

   i. Floating oil – 50 g/m^2;
   ii. Shoreline oil accumulation – 1,000 g/m^2; and
   iii. Dissolved hydrocarbons – 400 ppb.

b. ***Cross-sections of entrained and dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations*** – the predicted maximum entrained and dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations within the water column, along east-west and north-south transects in the vicinity of the release location.

c. ***Predicted zones of potential exposure*** – maps of floating oil exposure, shoreline oil accumulation, entrained and dissolved hydrocarbon exposure were generated based on the following thresholds:

   i. Floating oil – 1-10 g/m^2 (Low), 10-50 g/m^2 (Moderate) and ≥50 g/m^2 (High);
   ii. Shoreline oil accumulation – 10-100 g/m^2 (Low), 100-1,000 g/m^2 (Moderate) and ≥1,000 g/m^2 (High);
   iii. Entrained hydrocarbons – 10-100 ppb (Low) and ≥100 ppb (Moderate); and
   iv. Dissolved hydrocarbons – 10-50 ppb (Low), 50-400 ppb (Moderate) and ≥400 ppb (High).

d. ***The probability of oil exposure on the sea surface, in-water or shorelines*** – is calculated by dividing the number of spill simulations passing over a given grid cell at a given threshold, divided by the total number of simulations.

e. ***The minimum time before oil exposure on the sea surface, in-water or shorelines*** – is determined by ranking the elapsed time before sea surface exposure, entrained oil exposure or shoreline accumulation (at a given threshold) to a given location/grid cell for each of the spill simulations.

f. ***The maximum local accumulated concentration averaged over all replicate spills*** – the greatest concentration calculated for any point on the shoreline after averaging over all replicate simulations.

g. ***The maximum local accumulated concentration in the worst replicate spill*** – the greatest accumulation predicted for any point on the shoreline during any replicate simulation, and thus represents an extreme estimate.

h. ***The average volume of oil ashore*** – is determined by averaging the volume of oil ashore across all simulations predicted to make shoreline contact.

i. ***The maximum volume of oil ashore in the worst replicate spill*** – the greatest volume of oil predicted for any point on the shoreline during any replicate simulation, and thus represents an extreme estimate.

The mean and maximum shoreline concentrations indicate the concentrations forecast to potentially accumulate over time on any discrete part of a shoreline; calculated for individual portions of 1 km in length. Accumulated concentrations are calculated by summing the mass of oil that arrives at any concentration (including < threshold) over time at a model cell and subtracting any mass lost through evaporation and washing off, where relevant.

Note that it is possible that oil films arriving at concentrations that are less than the threshold may accumulate over the course of a spill event to result in concentrations that apparently exceed the threshold. Hence, the mean expected, and maximum concentrations of accumulated oil can exceed the threshold applied to the probability calculations for the arrival of floating oil even where no instantaneous exceedances above threshold are predicted. It is important to understand that the two parameters (floating concentration and shoreline concentration) are quite distinct, calculated in different ways and representative of alternative outcomes. The floating probability estimates, and the shoreline accumulative estimates should therefore be treated as independent estimators of different exposure outcomes, and not directly compared.

Readers should note that the contour maps presented in the stochastic modelling results, do not represent the predicted coverage of any one hydrocarbon spill or a depiction of a slick or plume at any instant in time. Rather, the contours are a composite of many theoretical slick paths, integrated over the full duration of the simulations relevant to each scenario. The stochastic modelling contour maps should be treated as indications of the probability of exposure at defined concentrations, for individual locations, at some point in time after the defined spill commences, given the trends and variations in metocean conditions that occur around the study area.

Locations with higher probability ratings were exposed during a greater number of spill simulations, indicating that the combination of the prevailing wind and current conditions are more likely to result in contact to these locations if the spill scenario were to occur in the future. The areas outside of the lowest-percentage contour indicate that contact will be less likely under the range of prevailing conditions for this region than areas falling within higher probability contours. It is important to note that the probabilities are derived from the samples of data used in the modelling. Therefore, locations that are not calculated to receive exposure at threshold concentrations or greater in any of the replicate simulations might possibly be contacted if very unusual conditions were to occur. Hence, we do not attribute a probability of nil to areas beyond the lowest probability contour.

11.2 Deterministic Modelling

While the stochastic modelling results provide an objective indication of all locations that may be exposed or contacted by oil above the reporting thresholds, the approach describes a larger potential area of influence than can be expected from any one single spill event. To inform spill response and OSM BIP capability requirements the following deterministic simulation for the LOWC scenario was identified and presented:

a. Greatest number of receptors with floating oil exposure at or above 1 g/m^2 within the first 7 days.

The following outputs have been presented for the deterministic simulation identified:

Tabulated results

- Minimum times to floating oil exposure for each threshold.
- Minimum times to entrained hydrocarbon exposure for each threshold.
- Minimum time to oil accumulation for each threshold at all shoreline receptors.
- Maximum loading and length of shoreline oil accumulation for each threshold; and
- Maximum shoreline oil accumulated volume for each threshold at all shoreline receptors.

Maps

- Map the outer boundaries of the EMBA derived from the 'low' threshold exposure values for floating oil exposure, in-water (entrained and dissolved) and shoreline accumulation.
- Maps of the predicted zones of floating oil exposure, in-water exposure (entrained and dissolved) and shoreline accumulation over the entire 56-day simulation; and
- Fates and weathering graph for the corresponding single spill trajectory, and a summary of the volumes at the conclusion of the simulation.

12 CALCULATION OF STOCHASTIC MODELLING EXPOSURE RISKS

During each simulation, the SIMAP model records the location (by latitude, longitude, and depth) of particles, which represent a mass of oil, on or, in the water column at regular time steps. For particles that contact the shoreline, the model records the accumulation of oil mass on each shoreline section over time, accounting for any mass lost to evaporation or removal by current and/or wind forces.

The data from all simulations are then analysed by dividing the study region into a three-dimensional grid. For particles classified as floating oil, the concentration of oil in each grid cell is estimated by summing the mass of all oil particles within that cell (factoring in spreading and dispersion) and dividing by the area of the grid cell. For entrained and dissolved oil, concentrations are calculated by dividing the mass of particles by the volume of the grid cell.

These oil concentrations are then examined to determine whether they exceed specific threshold levels over time. The risks are summarised as follows:

- The probability of exposure is calculated by dividing the number of spill simulations in which oil concentrations exceeded the threshold in a grid cell by the total number of simulations. For instance, if oil exposure above the threshold occurred in 21 out of 100 simulations, the probability of exposure for that location is 21%;
- The minimum potential time before exposure is determined by the shortest time it took for oil above the threshold concentration to travel from the release site to a grid cell in any of the simulations;
- Maximum potential oil accumulation on shorelines is calculated as the highest oil mass per square meter (m^2) in any simulation for a shoreline cell during any of the simulations;
- The average of the maximum oil accumulation is calculated as the average of the greatest oil mass per m^2 across all simulations.
- Similar calculations are undertaken for entrained oil and dissolved hydrocarbons.

Thus, the minimum time before oil accumulation on shorelines and maximum accumulation represent the worst case outcomes for each shoreline section, while the average provides a more general outlook of the potential outcomes.

It is important to clarify that each grid cell would be approximately 1 km and with shorelines stretching tens to hundreds of kilometres, that the maximum potential loading reported for any grid cell do not imply that such concentrations will occur uniformly across the entire section. Therefore, multiplying the maximum concentration estimates by the total area of the section would significantly overestimate the expected oil volume on that section. Furthermore, with a grid resolution of 1 km, it is not possible to resolve shorelines <100 m.

13 MODELLING RESULTS: LOSS OF WELL CONTROL

This scenario investigated the potential exposure from a 167,800 bbl (26,678 m^3) surface release of crude over 35 days resulting from a LOWC at Kullingal. The crude was tracked for an additional 21 days to allow the concentrations to decrease below the lowest thresholds. The modelling for this scenario assumed no mitigation efforts are undertaken to collect or otherwise affect the natural transport and weathering.

13.1 Stochastic Analysis

13.1.1 Exposure Areas

Figure 13.1 illustrates the exposure areas for the LOWC scenario, determined by integrating the low, moderate, and high threshold results of all 300 spill simulations.



Figure 13.1 Predicted exposure areas following a surface LOWC at Kullingal, presented as an annual assessment. The exposure areas were determined by integrating the results of all 300 spill simulations across low, moderate and high thresholds.

13.1.2 Floating Oil Exposure

Table 13.1 summarises the maximum distances from the release location to floating oil exposure thresholds for each season. Concentrations exceeding 1 g/m^2 could extend up to 998 km from the release location. The maximum distances reduced to 473 km and 25 km as the threshold increases to 10 g/m^2 and 50 g/m^2, respectively.

Table 13.2 present the receptors predicted to be exposed by floating oil for each season.

The Glomar Shoals KEF (60%), Montebello AMP (79%) and Mermaid Reef AMP (97%) recorded the highest probability of exposure at, or above, 1 g/m^2 during summer, transitional and winter conditions, respectively. The Montebello AMP recorded the fastest time to exposure at 37 hours, for a spill commencing during transitional conditions.

Figure 13.2 to Figure 13.4 illustrate the extent floating oil exposure zones for each season. Figure 13.5 to Figure 13.22 depict the seasonal minimum times before exposure and probability of exposure for assessed thresholds.

Table 13.1 Maximum distances from the release location to floating oil exposure thresholds from a surface LOWC at Kullingal. Results were calculated from 100 spill simulations per season.

Season	Distance and direction travelled	Floating oil exposure thresholds		
		1 g/m^2	10 g/m^2	50 g/m^2
Summer	Maximum distance (km) from release location	647	55	15
	Direction	Northeast	West	East
Transitional	Maximum distance (km) from release location	998	473	25
	Direction	Southwest	West	Northeast
Winter	Maximum distance (km) from release location	741	311	13
	Direction	Northeast	Southwest	West

Table 13.2 Receptors predicted to be exposed by floating oil following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. Results were calculated from100 spill simulations per season.

Category	Name	Summer						Transitional						Winter					
		Probability (%) of floating oil at			Minimum times before floating oil exposure (hours)			Probability (%) of floating oil at			Minimum times before floating oil exposure (hours)			Probability (%) of floating oil at			Minimum times before floating oil exposure (hours)		
		≥ 1 g/m^2	≥ 10 g/m^2	≥ 50 g/m^2	≥ 1 g/m^2	≥ 10 g/m^2	≥ 50 g/m^2	≥ 1 g/m^2	≥ 10 g/m^2	≥ 50 g/m^2	≥ 1 g/m^2	≥ 10 g/m^2	≥ 50 g/m^2	≥ 1 g/m^2	≥ 10 g/m^2	≥ 50 g/m^2	≥ 1 g/m^2	≥ 10 g/m^2	≥ 50 g/m^2
AMP	Argo-Rowley Terrace	2	NC	NC	689	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	708	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	521	NC	NC
	Carnarvon Canyon	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	1,265	NC	NC
	Dampier	32	NC	NC	76	NC	NC	12	NC	NC	261	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	415	NC	NC
	Gascoyne	5	NC	NC	317	NC	NC	23	1	NC	320	1,183	NC	29	1	NC	240	605	NC
	Mermaid Reef	2	NC	NC	925	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	1,286	NC	NC	97	2	NC	38	122	NC
	Montebello	53	4	NC	63	64	NC	79	3	NC	37	108	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
KEF	Ancient coastline at 125 m depth contour	33	NC	NC	173	NC	NC	46	NC	NC	150	NC	NC	56	5	NC	109	335	NC
	Canyons linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Cape Range Peninsula	10	NC	NC	298	NC	NC	27	NC	NC	273	NC	NC	42	NC	NC	182	NC	NC
	Commonwealth waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef	4	NC	NC	381	NC	NC	30	2	NC	298	696	NC	34	1	NC	218	474	NC
	Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities	18	NC	NC	201	NC	NC	42	1	NC	193	1,078	NC	31	3	NC	168	508	NC
	Exmouth Plateau	5	NC	NC	429	NC	NC	9	NC	NC	319	NC	NC	7	NC	NC	415	NC	NC
	Glomar Shoals	60	NC	NC	100	NC	NC	47	NC	NC	109	NC	NC	48	7	NC	63	101	NC
	Mermaid Reef and Commonwealth waters surrounding Rowley Shoals	3	NC	NC	688	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	913	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	639	NC	NC
	Western demersal slope and associated fish communities	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	676	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
MP	Barrow Island	14	NC	NC	199	NC	NC	29	NC	NC	144	NC	NC	38	NC	NC	108	NC	NC

	Eighty Mile Beach	2	NC	NC	573	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	770	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Montebello Islands	18	NC	NC	170	NC	NC	37	3	NC	130	768	NC	72	5	NC	78	570	NC
	Ningaloo	4	NC	NC	381	NC	NC	30	2	NC	298	696	NC	34	1	NC	218	474	NC
	Rowley Shoals	1	NC	NC	719	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	683	NC	NC
NR	Barrow Island	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	420	NC	NC
	Great Sandy Island	2	NC	NC	562	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	657	NC	NC	3	NC	NC	627	NC	NC
	Lowendal Islands	2	NC	NC	906	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	919	NC	NC	19	NC	NC	268	NC	NC
	Thevenard Island	1	NC	NC	743	NC	NC	7	NC	NC	203	NC	NC	9	NC	NC	290	NC	NC
RSB	Australind Shoal	1	NC	NC	759	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	445	NC	NC
	Barrow Island Reefs and Shoals	2	NC	NC	942	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	556	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	589	NC	NC
	Baylis Patches	1	NC	NC	792	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	482	NC	NC
	Brewis Reef	1	NC	NC	720	NC	NC	8	NC	NC	204	NC	NC	9	NC	NC	308	NC	NC
	Clerke Reef	1	NC	NC	796	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Cod Bank	10	NC	NC	377	NC	NC	8	NC	NC	552	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	617	NC	NC
	Combe Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	638	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	381	NC	NC
	Courtenay Shoal	4	NC	NC	190	NC	NC	3	NC	NC	748	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Curlew Bank	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	475	NC	NC
	Dailey Shoal	3	NC	NC	719	NC	NC	12	NC	NC	428	NC	NC	8	NC	NC	263	NC	NC
	Dockrell Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	712	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	1,310	NC	NC
	Fairway Reef	1	NC	NC	709	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	423	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	373	NC	NC
	Flinders Shoal	2	NC	NC	945	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Glennie Patches	1	NC	NC	781	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	3	NC	NC	444	NC	NC
	Glomar Shoal	39	NC	NC	201	NC	NC	28	NC	NC	208	NC	NC	32	NC	NC	108	NC	NC
	Gorgon Patch	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	450	NC	NC
	Hammersley Shoal	20	2	NC	166	207	NC	8	NC	NC	458	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	1,087	NC	NC
	Hastings Shoal	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	342	NC	NC
	Hayman Rock	1	NC	NC	795	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	3	NC	NC	481	NC	NC
	Herald Reef	1	NC	NC	1,051	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Hood Reef	2	NC	NC	672	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	613	NC	NC	6	NC	NC	272	NC	NC
	Imperieuse Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	905	NC	NC
	Inner Northwest Patch	1	NC	NC	769	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	448	NC	NC
	Koolinda Patch	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	475	NC	NC
	Lightfoot Reef	1	NC	NC	1,056	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Little Shoals	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	350	NC	NC
	Locker Reef	1	NC	NC	719	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	625	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	476	NC	NC
	Madeleine Shoals	28	NC	NC	167	NC	NC	9	NC	NC	277	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	528	NC	NC

	Manicom Bank	1	NC	NC	790	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	466	NC	NC
	McLennan Bank	5	NC	NC	713	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	500	NC	NC	9	NC	NC	372	NC	NC
	Meda Reef	1	NC	NC	564	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Mermaid Reef	2	NC	NC	930	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Miles Shoal	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	461	NC	NC
	Montebello Shoals	13	NC	NC	246	NC	NC	30	NC	NC	145	NC	NC	42	NC	NC	123	NC	NC
	Moresby Shoals	1	NC	NC	1,144	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	364	NC	NC
	Nares Rock	1	NC	NC	1,148	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Ningaloo Reef	1	NC	NC	935	NC	NC	6	NC	NC	663	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	North West Reef	5	NC	NC	495	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	572	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	1,307	NC	NC
	O'Grady Shoal	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	617	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	400	NC	NC
	Otway Reef	1	NC	NC	1,148	NC	NC	7	NC	NC	628	NC	NC	7	NC	NC	342	NC	NC
	Outtrim Patches	3	NC	NC	699	NC	NC	9	NC	NC	419	NC	NC	6	NC	NC	283	NC	NC
	Paroo Shoal	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	455	NC	NC
	Penguin Bank	6	NC	NC	409	NC	NC	18	NC	NC	252	NC	NC	24	NC	NC	224	NC	NC
	Poivre Reef	3	NC	NC	455	NC	NC	17	NC	NC	295	NC	NC	16	NC	NC	287	NC	NC
	Rankin Bank	21	NC	NC	321	NC	NC	30	NC	NC	267	NC	NC	47	NC	NC	190	NC	NC
	Ripple Shoals	2	NC	NC	887	NC	NC	3	NC	NC	350	NC	NC	6	NC	NC	306	NC	NC
	Roller Shoal	1	NC	NC	807	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	3	NC	NC	466	NC	NC
	Rosily Shoals	6	NC	NC	424	NC	NC	17	NC	NC	184	NC	NC	26	NC	NC	186	NC	NC
	Saladin Shoal	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	457	NC	NC
	Santo Rock	3	NC	NC	732	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	731	NC	NC	3	NC	NC	456	NC	NC
	South East Reef	2	NC	NC	504	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	890	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	South West Reef	4	NC	NC	503	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	875	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Southwest Patch	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	3	NC	NC	480	NC	NC
	Spider Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	678	NC	NC
	Sultan Reef	1	NC	NC	761	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	305	NC	NC	6	NC	NC	291	NC	NC
	Taunton Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	3	NC	NC	614	NC	NC	6	NC	NC	306	NC	NC
	Tongue Shoals	1	NC	NC	758	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	448	NC	NC
	Trap Reef	2	NC	NC	708	NC	NC	7	NC	NC	285	NC	NC	8	NC	NC	359	NC	NC
	Tryal Rocks	19	NC	NC	125	NC	NC	40	NC	NC	86	NC	NC	65	NC	NC	137	NC	NC
	Ward Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	391	NC	NC
	Web Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	686	NC	NC
	Weeks Shoal	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	327	NC	NC
	West Reef	1	NC	NC	1,048	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
State and Territory Waters	WA	40	4	NC	53	125	NC	52	9	NC	37	52	NC	89	5	NC	31	74	NC

NC: No contact to receptor predicted for specified threshold.



Figure 13.2 Predicted zones of floating oil exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.3 Predicted zones of floating oil exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.4 Predicted zones of floating oil exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.5 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.6 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.7 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.8 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.9 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.10 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.11 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.12 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.13 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m^2 following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.14 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.15 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.16 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.17 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.18 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.19 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.20 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.21 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.22 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.

13.1.3 Shoreline accumulation

Table 13.3 summarizes the predicted oil accumulation on shorelines across different seasons and thresholds. The highest probability of accumulation at, or above, the 10 g/m^2 threshold was recorded during winter, reaching 100%. The shortest time for oil to accumulate on any shoreline at this threshold was 69 hours, also during winter. The maximum volume of oil ashore from a single spill was 4,550.0 m^3, occurring during the summer season.

Table 13.4 to Table 13.6 present the predicted oil accumulation for specific shoreline cells for each season.

The highest probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, the 10 g/m^2 threshold for a specific shoreline cell was forecast for WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), with probabilities of 51%, 61%, and 92% during the summer, transitional, and winter seasons, respectively. During summer conditions, WA11.East (316) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island North Point (F) shoreline cell recorded the fastest oil accumulation at 78 hours. During the transitional (85 hours) and winter (69 hours) conditions, WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A) recorded the quickest time for oil accumulation at, or above, the 10 g/m^2 threshold. Additionally, WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A) registered the highest volume of oil ashore across all shoreline cells, with 2,570 m^3, during a spill simulation that commenced under transitional conditions (see Table 13.5).

The maximum potential shoreline oil accumulation for each season are presented in Figure 13.23 to Figure 13.25. Probabilities of oil accumulation for each of the thresholds and seasons are presented in Figure 13.26 to Figure 13.34.

Table 13.3 Summary of oil accumulation on any shoreline following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. Results were calculated from 100 spill simulations per season.

Shoreline statistics	Summer			Transitional			Winter		
	Shoreline accumulation thresholds			Shoreline accumulation thresholds			Shoreline accumulation thresholds		
	10 g/m^2	100 g/m^2	1000 g/m^2	10 g/m^2	100 g/m^2	1,000 g/m^2	10 g/m^2	100 g/m^2	1,000 g/m^2
Probability of contact to any shoreline (%)	93	79	56	87	75	57	100	99	82
Absolute minimum time to shore (hours)	78	93	158	85	108	167	69	78	111
Maximum accumulated volume (m^3) from a single spill simulation	4,550.0	4,431.1	3,474.1	3,670.2	3,589.5	3,179.4	3,637.2	3,579.2	2,972.3
Average accumulated volume (m^3) across all spill simulations	719.9	668.5	400.0	719.0	688.6	516.3	1208.6	1170.0	901.7
Maximum length of shoreline (km) from a single spill simulation	1,320.0	588.0	122.0	524.0	268.0	102.0	518.0	248.0	85.0
Average length of shoreline (km) across all spill simulations	248.4	97.2	17.3	166.5	65.2	17.0	224.6	105.8	30.0

Table 13.4 Summary of shoreline oil accumulation on WAMOPRA shoreline cells following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.

WAMOPRA shoreline cells	Probability (%) of shoreline oil on receptors at			Minimum time to receptor (hours) for shoreline oil at			Maximum local accumulated concentration (g/m²)		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 10 g/m²		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 100 g/m²		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 1,000 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 10 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 100 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 1,000 g/m²	
	≥ 10 g/m²	≥ 100 g/m²	≥ 1,000 g/m²	≥ 10 g/m²	≥ 100 g/m²	≥ 1,000 g/m²	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate spill	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation
WA06 (199) - Wreck Point - Guilderton	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA07 (195) - Thirsty Point - Booker Valley	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA08 (332) - Bowes River - Broken Anchor Bay (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA08 (333) - Bowes River - Broken Anchor Bay (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA08 (334) - Glenfield Beach - Bowes River (A)	1	NC	NC	1,284	NC	NC	0.1	12	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	1	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA08 (335) - Glenfield Beach - Bowes River (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA08 (336) - Glenfield Beach - Bowes River (C)	1	NC	NC	1,263	NC	NC	0.1	12	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (169) - Cape Inscription - Herald Bay N (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (170) - Steep Point - Quoin Head (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (171) - Steep Point - Quoin Head (C)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (172) - Steep Point - Quoin Head (D)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (173) - Steep Point - Quoin Head (E)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (174) - Kakura Dunes coast - Zuytdorp Point (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (175) - Kakura Dunes coast - Zuytdorp Point (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (176) - Kakura Dunes coast - Zuytdorp Point (C)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (177) - Kakura Dunes coast - Zuytdorp Point (D)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (178) - Nunginjay Spring coast N - Kakura Dunes coast (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (330) - Dorre Island and Bernier Island (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (331) - Dorre Island and Bernier Island (B)	1	NC	NC	1,219	NC	NC	0.1	14	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (120) - Bundegi - Shothole Canyon N (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (121) - Bundegi - Shothole Canyon N (B)	1	NC	NC	1,188	NC	NC	0.1	14	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	1	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (122) - Vlamingh Head - North West Cape	10	3	NC	462	932	NC	12	362	<1	9	<1	7	NC	NC	<1	9	<1	3	NC	NC
WA10 (123) - Low Point - Vlamingh Head (A)	11	4	NC	445	933	NC	8.8	275	<1	17	<1	15	NC	NC	2	15	<1	10	NC	NC
WA10 (124) - Low Point - Vlamingh Head (B)	11	4	NC	919	933	NC	17	636	2	50	2	47	NC	NC	2	19	<1	13	NC	NC
WA10 (125) - Osprey Bay - Low Point	5	4	NC	691	933	NC	15	540	2	51	2	50	NC	NC	<1	16	<1	15	NC	NC
WA10 (126) - Winderabandi Point - Osprey Bay	5	3	NC	651	929	NC	16	659	<1	27	<1	22	NC	NC	<1	18	<1	10	NC	NC
WA10 (127) - Coast Hill - Point Cloates	5	3	NC	813	979	NC	7.3	309	<1	22	<1	18	NC	NC	<1	16	<1	10	NC	NC
WA10 (128) - Point Maud - Coast Hill (A)	3	2	NC	1,047	1,196	NC	4.2	221	<1	5	<1	3	NC	NC	<1	11	<1	1	NC	NC
WA10 (130) - Alison Point - Point Maud	3	NC	NC	1,086	NC	NC	0.6	36	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	5	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (132) - Gnarraloo Bay - Alison Point (B)	1	NC	NC	1,271	NC	NC	0.1	13	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	1	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (133) - Red Bluff - Gnarraloo Bay (A)	3	NC	NC	1,066	NC	NC	0.4	25	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	5	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (134) - Red Bluff - Gnarraloo Bay (B)	3	NC	NC	1,037	NC	NC	0.6	25	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (135) - Red Bluff - Gnarraloo Bay (C)	2	NC	NC	1,182	NC	NC	0.3	25	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (136) - Point Quobba - Cape Cuvier (A)	1	NC	NC	1,171	NC	NC	0.1	13	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	1	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.East (100) - Sherlock coast - Cape Cossigny (B)	20	10	3	409	525	710	84	2,988	7	262	6	260	3	146	4	36	1	31	<1	8
WA11.East (101) - Cape Lambert - Sherlock coast (A)	12	4	2	497	548	1,221	34	1,475	3	89	2	82	<1	29	2	42	<1	25	<1	2
WA11.East (102) - Cape Lambert - Sherlock coast (B)	26	13	3	337	525	694	153	5,573	5	160	5	153	3	131	3	26	<1	14	<1	5
WA11.East (103) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (A)	4	NC	NC	584	NC	NC	1.4	67	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	10	NC	NC	NC	NC

WA11.East (104) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (B)	32	15	4	214	288	652	126	3,379	5	107	4	104	2	73	4	25	<1	11	<1	3
WA11.East (105) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (C)	43	35	27	110	165	204	1,148	18,921	72	1,331	71	1,328	54	1,270	12	45	7	36	2	25
WA11.East (106) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (D)	16	5	NC	196	711	NC	13	264	<1	12	<1	6	NC	NC	3	25	<1	4	NC	NC
WA11.East (107) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (E)	10	4	NC	165	876	NC	6.6	142	<1	12	<1	5	NC	NC	2	22	<1	4	NC	NC
WA11.East (108) - Pelican Point - West Intercourse Island	19	10	4	126	563	737	104	1,963	6	107	5	101	<1	32	4	45	2	21	<1	2
WA11.East (109) - James Point - Cape Preston	18	14	9	318	487	576	159	2,127	8	125	7	116	4	61	4	40	2	24	<1	3
WA11.East (315) - Dolphin Island N point - Cinders Rd coast	34	22	5	215	230	288	315	7,435	10	223	9	222	6	210	3	12	2	10	<1	7
WA11.East (316) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (F)	39	30	21	78	93	158	829	12,152	54	737	52	728	41	638	12	63	5	43	2	17
WA11.East (317) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (G)	34	29	21	84	130	158	1,151	12,791	37	465	35	458	26	358	9	48	4	35	<1	11
WA11.East (95) - Turner River NE foreland - Beebingara Creek coast E (A)	39	21	8	424	470	756	194	2,614	12	154	11	150	4	95	8	28	3	20	<1	5
WA11.East (96) - Turner River NE foreland - Beebingara Creek coast E (B)	35	25	9	395	413	649	203	2,372	12	141	11	136	4	74	7	29	3	18	<1	4
WA11.East (97) - Cape Thouin - Turner River NE foreland	33	25	10	377	399	496	253	2,968	29	304	28	302	16	264	7	29	5	22	<1	16
WA11.East (98) - Cape Cossigny - Cape Thouin	26	20	3	378	400	502	145	3,512	16	381	15	376	9	326	6	30	3	22	<1	15
WA11.East (99) - Sherlock coast - Cape Cossigny (A)	23	15	3	377	449	684	135	3,624	14	383	12	377	8	288	6	55	2	35	<1	14
WA11.West (110) - Mount Salt coast W - James Point	16	11	5	284	499	570	79	1,992	12	319	11	315	5	190	4	48	2	40	<1	12
WA11.West (111) - Peter Creek coast E - Mount Salt coast W	17	10	3	301	326	949	118	3,649	12	398	11	389	7	286	4	55	2	40	<1	13
WA11.West (112) - Weld Island coast S - Peter Creek coast E	6	4	3	307	627	1,055	52	1,859	5	159	5	146	2	54	3	52	<1	30	<1	4
WA11.West (113) - Coolgra Point W - Yardie Landing (A)	6	4	2	299	833	1,050	35	1,219	2	43	2	40	<1	13	<1	14	<1	8	<1	1
WA11.West (114) - Coolgra Point W - Yardie Landing (B)	6	3	NC	596	1,009	NC	23	794	<1	26	<1	21	NC	NC	<1	22	<1	8	NC	NC
WA11.West (115) - Hope Point - Locker Point (A)	11	9	1	565	575	782	57	1,504	2	64	2	60	<1	41	2	31	<1	6	<1	3
WA11.West (116) - Hope Point - Locker Point (B)	6	2	NC	585	1,055	NC	4.9	184	<1	15	<1	8	NC	NC	<1	20	<1	5	NC	NC
WA11.West (117) - Hope Point - Locker Point (C)	3	NC	NC	1,014	NC	NC	1.8	68	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	11	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A)	51	38	22	84	115	401	1,330	20,212	161	1,388	157	1,382	124	1,339	23	67	13	59	4	34
WA11.West (319) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (B)	46	33	8	142	204	801	311	4,969	26	382	25	382	17	368	5	15	3	15	<1	14
WA11.West (320) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (C)	33	21	5	169	196	660	113	1,664	19	240	17	232	5	152	10	56	4	34	<1	11
WA11.West (321) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (D)	40	22	10	173	194	398	188	2,320	20	271	18	269	7	167	9	44	4	33	<1	10
WA11.West (323) - Yardie Landing - Weld Island coast S (A)	13	6	3	275	314	941	138	5,904	7	240	7	240	6	240	<1	7	<1	7	<1	7
WA11.West (324) - Yardie Landing - Weld Island coast S (B)	17	13	4	195	219	805	85	2,055	3	59	3	59	2	59	<1	3	<1	3	<1	3
WA11.West (325) - Coolgra Point W - Yardie Landing (C)	16	11	3	193	499	764	76	1,984	5	103	5	103	<1	47	2	14	2	14	<1	3
WA11.West (326) - Baresand Point - Entrance Point E	27	17	6	406	487	541	203	6,645	18	345	17	342	9	295	4	24	3	23	<1	12
WA11.West (327) - Hope Point - Locker Point (E)	11	8	NC	552	584	NC	33	755	<1	13	<1	13	NC	NC	<1	2	<1	2	NC	NC
WA11.West (328) - Hope Point - Locker Point (F)	9	5	NC	564	580	NC	21	495	<1	22	<1	21	NC	NC	<1	13	<1	9	NC	NC
WA11.West (329) - Locker Point - Baresand Point	25	13	4	376	401	740	92	2,768	11	257	10	253	4	209	5	30	2	23	<1	12
WA12 (308) - Red Bluff - Chimney Rocks (B)	2	NC	NC	1,263	NC	NC	0.3	22	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (309) - Mermaid Reef	11	5	1	804	881	953	72	5,454	2	111	2	111	2	111	<1	2	<1	2	<1	2
WA12 (310) - Clerke Reef	14	5	NC	722	787	NC	23	641	2	30	<1	27	NC	NC	<1	11	<1	6	NC	NC
WA12 (311) - Imperieuse Reef	22	9	3	621	665	826	75	2,553	6	163	5	161	3	143	3	19	<1	16	<1	10
WA12 (312) - Yan Well coast - Condini Landing (C)	39	31	15	433	455	587	277	3,197	7	72	7	72	4	62	2	4	<1	4	<1	2

WA12 (313) - Wattle Well coast - Yan Well coast (C)	43	32	14	445	469	564	371	4,256	10	100	9	100	6	100	2	4	2	4	<1	4
WA12 (314) - Wattle Well coast - Yan Well coast (D)	42	37	18	426	469	520	733	8,861	8	92	8	92	7	92	<1	1	<1	1	<1	1
WA12 (69) - Red Bluff - Chimney Rocks (A)	1	NC	NC	1,227	NC	NC	0.1	12	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (70) - Coulomb Point - Red Bluff (A)	2	NC	NC	1,302	NC	NC	0.2	12	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	1	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (72) - Cape Boileau - Coulomb Point (A)	1	NC	NC	1,298	NC	NC	0.1	12	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (73) - Cape Boileau - Coulomb Point (B)	1	NC	NC	1,298	NC	NC	0.1	12	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (75) - Cape Gourdon - Cape Villaret (A)	2	NC	NC	1,245	NC	NC	0.4	33	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	5	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (76) - Cape Gourdon - Cape Villaret (B)	2	NC	NC	1,127	NC	NC	0.9	87	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	9	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (77) - Saddle Hill - Cape Gourdon (A)	2	NC	NC	1,105	NC	NC	1.1	98	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	8	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (78) - Saddle Hill - Cape Gourdon (B)	3	2	NC	1,067	1,312	NC	2.3	131	<1	12	<1	7	NC	NC	<1	16	<1	5	NC	NC
WA12 (79) - Tryon Point - False Cape Bossut (A)	2	2	NC	1,046	1,201	NC	4.2	265	<1	18	<1	12	NC	NC	<1	28	<1	6	NC	NC
WA12 (80) - Tryon Point - False Cape Bossut (B)	3	2	NC	979	1,235	NC	5	404	<1	27	<1	22	NC	NC	<1	21	<1	12	NC	NC
WA12 (81) - Cape Jaubert - Tryon Point	4	2	NC	878	1,108	NC	4	273	<1	22	<1	18	NC	NC	<1	14	<1	9	NC	NC
WA12 (82) - Samphire bore coast - Cape Jaubert (A)	5	2	NC	921	1,115	NC	5.1	274	<1	12	<1	12	NC	NC	<1	5	<1	5	NC	NC
WA12 (83) - Samphire bore coast - Cape Jaubert (B)	6	2	NC	895	1,031	NC	7	327	<1	19	<1	18	NC	NC	<1	9	<1	8	NC	NC
WA12 (84) - Samphire bore coast - Cape Jaubert (C)	11	3	NC	793	909	NC	9.5	451	2	49	<1	47	NC	NC	2	23	<1	18	NC	NC
WA12 (85) - Cooraidegel Well coast - Eighty Mile Beach Caravan Park NE (A)	17	6	NC	684	853	NC	17	541	2	34	<1	33	NC	NC	2	10	<1	9	NC	NC
WA12 (86) - Cooraidegel Well coast - Eighty Mile Beach Caravan Park NE (B)	17	10	NC	679	773	NC	26	619	3	48	2	47	NC	NC	3	16	<1	14	NC	NC
WA12 (87) - Cooraidegel Well coast - Eighty Mile Beach Caravan Park NE (C)	17	11	NC	633	746	NC	28	569	3	40	2	39	NC	NC	3	14	<1	14	NC	NC
WA12 (88) - Shoonta Well - Cooraidegel Well coast	21	15	NC	563	631	NC	89	976	7	70	6	67	NC	NC	5	25	2	22	NC	NC
WA12 (89) - Condini Landing - Mulla Mulla Creek	27	17	1	508	609	1,195	91	1,834	9	210	8	205	2	114	7	44	3	31	<1	8
WA12 (90) - Yan Well coast - Condini Landing (A)	27	15	1	493	615	1,077	62	2,105	4	106	3	106	<1	65	3	13	<1	13	<1	4
WA12 (91) - Yan Well coast - Condini Landing (B)	37	26	10	422	506	603	279	3,552	21	283	20	280	9	189	9	39	4	28	<1	9
WA12 (92) - Wattle Well coast - Yan Well coast (A)	37	25	10	434	531	885	217	2,500	20	228	19	228	11	189	6	19	3	16	<1	10
WA12 (93) - Wattle Well coast - Yan Well coast (B)	37	23	9	462	514	835	219	2,984	29	326	27	323	14	242	10	34	5	25	<1	12
WA12 (94) - Beebingara Creek coast E - Wattle Well coast	38	28	8	439	533	811	196	2,392	14	156	12	154	4	77	9	34	3	24	<1	4
WA13 (305) - Seringapatam Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA13 (306) - Scott Reef North	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA13 (307) - Scott Reef South	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC

Table 13.5 Summary of shoreline oil accumulation on WAMOPRA shoreline cells following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.

WAMOPRA shoreline cells	Probability (%) of shoreline oil on receptors at			Minimum time to receptor (hours) for shoreline oil at			Maximum local accumulated concentration (g/m²)		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 10 g/m²		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 100 g/m²		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 1,000 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 10 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 100 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 1,000 g/m²	
	≥ 10 g/m²	≥ 100 g/m²	≥ 1,000 g/m²	≥ 10 g/m²	≥ 100 g/m²	≥ 1,000 g/m²	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate spill	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation
WA06 (199) - Wreck Point - Guilderton	1	NC	NC	1,253	NC	NC	0.1	14	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA07 (195) - Thirsty Point - Booker Valley	1	NC	NC	1,322	NC	NC	0.1	13	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	1	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA08 (332) - Bowes River - Broken Anchor Bay (A)	6	1	NC	1,167	1,315	NC	2.6	107	<1	2	<1	2	NC	NC	<1	5	<1	1	NC	NC
WA08 (333) - Bowes River - Broken Anchor Bay (B)	6	NC	NC	1,179	NC	NC	2.3	97	<1	6	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	22	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA08 (334) - Glenfield Beach - Bowes River (A)	7	NC	NC	1,170	NC	NC	2.1	62	<1	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	16	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA08 (335) - Glenfield Beach - Bowes River (B)	6	NC	NC	1,191	NC	NC	2.5	82	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	6	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA08 (336) - Glenfield Beach - Bowes River (C)	5	NC	NC	1,174	NC	NC	1.2	49	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	11	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (169) - Cape Inscription - Herald Bay N (B)	3	NC	NC	869	NC	NC	0.7	34	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (170) - Steep Point - Quoin Head (B)	9	NC	NC	847	NC	NC	2.9	59	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	13	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (171) - Steep Point - Quoin Head (C)	6	NC	NC	860	NC	NC	2.2	70	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	12	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (172) - Steep Point - Quoin Head (D)	6	NC	NC	980	NC	NC	3.2	85	<1	6	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	10	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (173) - Steep Point - Quoin Head (E)	7	2	NC	1,116	1,198	NC	4.8	152	<1	11	<1	2	NC	NC	2	20	<1	1	NC	NC
WA09 (174) - Kakura Dunes coast - Zuytdorp Point (A)	5	NC	NC	1,139	NC	NC	1.8	82	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	11	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (175) - Kakura Dunes coast - Zuytdorp Point (B)	3	NC	NC	1,200	NC	NC	0.6	25	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	6	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (176) - Kakura Dunes coast - Zuytdorp Point (C)	4	NC	NC	1,222	NC	NC	0.6	25	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	5	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (177) - Kakura Dunes coast - Zuytdorp Point (D)	3	NC	NC	1,138	NC	NC	0.4	14	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (178) - Nunginjay Spring coast N - Kakura Dunes coast (A)	1	NC	NC	1,230	NC	NC	0.2	24	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	1	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (330) - Dorre Island and Bernier Island (A)	5	NC	NC	851	NC	NC	0.9	61	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	19	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (331) - Dorre Island and Bernier Island (B)	11	1	NC	862	967	NC	3.3	132	<1	10	<1	2	NC	NC	3	40	<1	1	NC	NC
WA10 (120) - Bundegi - Shothole Canyon N (A)	3	NC	NC	1,045	NC	NC	0.4	15	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (121) - Bundegi - Shothole Canyon N (B)	36	12	NC	461	742	NC	50	956	<1	16	<1	15	NC	NC	2	10	<1	4	NC	NC
WA10 (122) - Vlamingh Head - North West Cape	45	33	4	400	437	777	199	2,927	9	101	8	101	3	96	4	9	2	9	<1	6
WA10 (123) - Low Point - Vlamingh Head (A)	37	20	3	491	593	788	69	1,238	6	74	5	74	<1	13	5	15	2	14	<1	1
WA10 (124) - Low Point - Vlamingh Head (B)	36	15	2	499	507	1,031	63	2,029	7	186	6	186	2	113	5	19	2	19	<1	8
WA10 (125) - Osprey Bay - Low Point	22	8	NC	588	801	NC	16	677	2	25	<1	23	NC	NC	3	15	<1	10	NC	NC
WA10 (126) - Winderabandi Point - Osprey Bay	22	4	NC	637	982	NC	12	171	<1	10	<1	5	NC	NC	3	15	<1	3	NC	NC
WA10 (127) - Coast Hill - Point Cloates	20	7	NC	727	1,014	NC	15	195	<1	5	<1	4	NC	NC	<1	10	<1	2	NC	NC
WA10 (128) - Point Maud - Coast Hill (A)	4	1	NC	1,142	1,335	NC	1.8	121	<1	5	<1	2	NC	NC	<1	11	<1	1	NC	NC
WA10 (130) - Alison Point - Point Maud	2	NC	NC	1,297	NC	NC	0.5	25	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	8	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (132) - Gnarraloo Bay - Alison Point (B)	3	NC	NC	1,222	NC	NC	0.4	25	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	5	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (133) - Red Bluff - Gnarraloo Bay (A)	5	NC	NC	1,115	NC	NC	0.8	35	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	7	NC	NC	NC	NC

WA10 (134) - Red Bluff - Gnarraloo Bay (B)	5	NC	NC	1,169	NC	NC	0.7	36	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (135) - Red Bluff - Gnarraloo Bay (C)	5	NC	NC	1,142	NC	NC	0.9	40	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	9	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (136) - Point Quobba - Cape Cuvier (A)	1	NC	NC	1,245	NC	NC	0.1	13	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.East (100) - Sherlock coast - Cape Cossigny (B)	2	NC	NC	1,222	NC	NC	0.6	42	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.East (101) - Cape Lambert - Sherlock coast (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.East (102) - Cape Lambert - Sherlock coast (B)	3	NC	NC	581	NC	NC	0.4	32	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	1	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.East (103) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (A)	1	1	NC	1,191	1,203	NC	3.2	321	<1	6	<1	5	NC	NC	<1	5	<1	2	NC	NC
WA11.East (104) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (B)	13	3	NC	451	589	NC	9.1	525	<1	38	<1	32	NC	NC	<1	27	<1	12	NC	NC
WA11.East (105) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (C)	23	18	10	196	404	485	283	4,547	13	183	12	177	7	119	5	37	2	22	<1	5
WA11.East (106) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (D)	5	3	NC	557	765	NC	4.7	150	<1	7	<1	6	NC	NC	<1	11	<1	4	NC	NC
WA11.East (107) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (E)	4	NC	NC	716	NC	NC	1.2	48	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	8	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.East (108) - Pelican Point - West Intercourse Island	6	2	1	475	484	517	19	1,592	2	112	2	105	<1	40	<1	40	<1	21	<1	3
WA11.East (109) - James Point - Cape Preston	8	5	1	401	426	627	30	2,189	2	68	<1	66	<1	44	<1	18	<1	7	<1	2
WA11.East (315) - Dolphin Island N point - Cinders Rd coast	15	6	NC	381	418	NC	30	817	<1	17	<1	15	NC	NC	<1	9	<1	4	NC	NC
WA11.East (316) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (F)	22	17	7	251	376	611	346	5,954	23	421	22	412	17	364	5	57	3	32	<1	10
WA11.East (317) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (G)	22	19	7	327	374	589	563	10,808	19	414	19	410	15	345	4	43	2	30	<1	10
WA11.East (95) - Turner River NE foreland - Beebingara Creek coast E (A)	4	2	NC	1,176	1,234	NC	11	579	<1	38	<1	33	NC	NC	<1	26	<1	11	NC	NC
WA11.East (96) - Turner River NE foreland - Beebingara Creek coast E (B)	6	2	NC	1,157	1,202	NC	16	830	<1	50	<1	48	NC	NC	<1	22	<1	15	NC	NC
WA11.East (97) - Cape Thouin - Turner River NE foreland	6	2	1	858	1,172	1,296	20	1,099	3	118	2	117	<1	23	<1	26	<1	21	<1	2
WA11.East (98) - Cape Cossigny - Cape Thouin	5	2	NC	656	1,178	NC	9.4	492	<1	42	<1	39	NC	NC	<1	24	<1	17	NC	NC
WA11.East (99) - Sherlock coast - Cape Cossigny (A)	3	2	NC	642	1,232	NC	2.2	128	<1	9	<1	3	NC	NC	<1	20	<1	2	NC	NC
WA11.West (110) - Mount Salt coast W - James Point	6	2	NC	427	1,013	NC	3	139	<1	9	<1	3	NC	NC	<1	24	<1	2	NC	NC
WA11.West (111) - Peter Creek coast E - Mount Salt coast W	11	3	NC	623	906	NC	7.1	221	<1	11	<1	6	NC	NC	<1	18	<1	3	NC	NC
WA11.West (112) - Weld Island coast S - Peter Creek coast E	3	1	NC	623	903	NC	2.3	104	<1	5	<1	2	NC	NC	<1	13	<1	1	NC	NC
WA11.West (113) - Coolgra Point W - Yardie Landing (A)	4	NC	NC	690	NC	NC	2.4	89	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.West (114) - Coolgra Point W - Yardie Landing (B)	6	3	NC	649	776	NC	7.1	235	<1	4	<1	3	NC	NC	<1	5	<1	1	NC	NC
WA11.West (115) - Hope Point - Locker Point (A)	24	13	NC	458	602	NC	38	585	<1	6	<1	6	NC	NC	<1	11	<1	2	NC	NC
WA11.West (116) - Hope Point - Locker Point (B)	3	NC	NC	896	NC	NC	0.9	57	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.West (117) - Hope Point - Locker Point (C)	4	1	NC	907	1,340	NC	2.1	151	<1	5	<1	2	NC	NC	<1	12	<1	1	NC	NC
WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A)	61	56	47	85	108	167	3,597	22,688	335	2,570	331	2,565	287	2,493	28	65	18	56	7	31
WA11.West (319) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (B)	49	41	21	116	137	202	641	5,979	32	343	32	343	17	338	7	15	5	15	<1	14
WA11.West (320) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (C)	39	18	5	137	176	513	88	1,774	17	251	14	248	3	73	13	56	4	40	<1	6
WA11.West (321) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (D)	46	35	7	141	174	379	248	3,229	32	435	29	427	14	317	15	49	6	38	<1	17
WA11.West (323) - Yardie Landing - Weld Island coast S (A)	10	5	NC	604	641	NC	20	561	<1	12	<1	10	NC	NC	<1	7	<1	4	NC	NC
WA11.West (324) - Yardie Landing - Weld Island coast S (B)	28	15	2	205	229	772	91	1,644	3	48	3	48	<1	48	<1	3	<1	3	<1	3
WA11.West (325) - Coolgra Point W - Yardie Landing (C)	34	22	2	203	205	305	120	1,947	7	93	7	90	<1	57	4	14	2	13	<1	4

WA11.West (326) - Baresand Point - Entrance Point E	54	52	39	211	279	408	1,404	6,843	91	555	90	554	65	525	12	24	9	24	4	18
WA11.West (327) - Hope Point - Locker Point (E)	43	30	NC	290	493	NC	125	946	3	18	3	18	NC	NC	<1	2	<1	2	NC	NC
WA11.West (328) - Hope Point - Locker Point (F)	40	8	NC	326	776	NC	30	723	2	42	<1	41	NC	NC	2	13	<1	12	NC	NC
WA11.West (329) - Locker Point - Baresand Point	55	53	43	224	291	425	1,388	7,009	125	667	123	665	106	654	14	30	9	24	5	22
WA12 (308) - Red Bluff - Chimney Rocks (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (309) - Mermaid Reef	8	3	NC	1,082	1,159	NC	12	612	<1	11	<1	11	NC	NC	<1	2	<1	2	NC	NC
WA12 (310) - Clerke Reef	6	1	NC	656	1,017	NC	5.4	315	<1	10	<1	7	NC	NC	<1	8	<1	3	NC	NC
WA12 (311) - Imperieuse Reef	10	7	1	506	638	1,206	43	1,394	4	99	3	98	<1	51	2	19	<1	19	<1	4
WA12 (312) - Yan Well coast - Condini Landing (C)	9	4	NC	467	820	NC	12	339	<1	9	<1	9	NC	NC	<1	4	<1	4	NC	NC
WA12 (313) - Wattle Well coast - Yan Well coast (C)	6	1	NC	1,173	1,284	NC	2.5	168	<1	5	<1	4	NC	NC	<1	4	<1	2	NC	NC
WA12 (314) - Wattle Well coast - Yan Well coast (D)	6	2	NC	1,067	1,282	NC	5.2	191	<1	2	<1	2	NC	NC	<1	1	<1	1	NC	NC
WA12 (69) - Red Bluff - Chimney Rocks (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (70) - Coulomb Point - Red Bluff (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (72) - Cape Boileau - Coulomb Point (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (73) - Cape Boileau - Coulomb Point (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (75) - Cape Gourdon - Cape Villaret (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (76) - Cape Gourdon - Cape Villaret (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (77) - Saddle Hill - Cape Gourdon (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (78) - Saddle Hill - Cape Gourdon (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (79) - Tryon Point - False Cape Bossut (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (80) - Tryon Point - False Cape Bossut (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (81) - Cape Jaubert - Tryon Point	1	NC	NC	1,187	NC	NC	0.3	35	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (82) - Samphire bore coast - Cape Jaubert (A)	3	NC	NC	1,180	NC	NC	0.7	46	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (83) - Samphire bore coast - Cape Jaubert (B)	3	1	NC	1,178	1,333	NC	2.2	114	<1	4	<1	2	NC	NC	<1	8	<1	1	NC	NC
WA12 (84) - Samphire bore coast - Cape Jaubert (C)	4	2	NC	1,088	1,254	NC	3.6	216	<1	21	<1	14	NC	NC	<1	22	<1	9	NC	NC
WA12 (85) - Cooraidegel Well coast - Eighty Mile Beach Caravan Park NE (A)	4	1	NC	904	1,320	NC	2.4	103	<1	5	<1	2	NC	NC	<1	10	<1	1	NC	NC
WA12 (86) - Cooraidegel Well coast - Eighty Mile Beach Caravan Park NE (B)	4	NC	NC	889	NC	NC	1.1	58	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	12	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (87) - Cooraidegel Well coast - Eighty Mile Beach Caravan Park NE (C)	2	NC	NC	858	NC	NC	0.4	24	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	5	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (88) - Shoonta Well - Cooraidegel Well coast	2	NC	NC	883	NC	NC	0.2	15	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (89) - Condini Landing - Mulla Mulla Creek	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (90) - Yan Well coast - Condini Landing (A)	1	NC	NC	750	NC	NC	0.2	17	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	1	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (91) - Yan Well coast - Condini Landing (B)	2	NC	NC	1,022	NC	NC	0.3	23	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (92) - Wattle Well coast - Yan Well coast (A)	2	NC	NC	1,225	NC	NC	0.3	24	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	6	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (93) - Wattle Well coast - Yan Well coast (B)	3	1	NC	1,167	1,320	NC	1.7	141	<1	7	<1	2	NC	NC	<1	19	<1	1	NC	NC
WA12 (94) - Beebingara Creek coast E - Wattle Well coast	4	2	NC	1,240	1,254	NC	6.3	538	<1	27	<1	21	NC	NC	<1	25	<1	8	NC	NC
WA13 (305) - Seringapatam Reef	2	NC	NC	1,295	NC	NC	0.3	35	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	11	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA13 (306) - Scott Reef North	3	NC	NC	1,290	NC	NC	0.6	35	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	12	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA13 (307) - Scott Reef South	2	NC	NC	1,199	NC	NC	0.8	46	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	7	NC	NC	NC	NC

Table 13.6 Summary of shoreline oil accumulation on WAMOPRA shoreline cells following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.

WAMOPRA shoreline cells	Probability (%) of shoreline oil on receptors at			Minimum time to receptor (hours) for shoreline oil at			Maximum local accumulated concentration (g/m²)		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 10 g/m²		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 100 g/m²		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 1,000 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 10 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 100 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 1,000 g/m²	
	≥ 10 g/m²	≥ 100 g/m²	≥ 1,000 g/m²	≥ 10 g/m²	≥ 100 g/m²	≥ 1,000 g/m²	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate spill	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation
WA06 (199) - Wreck Point - Guilderton	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA07 (195) - Thirsty Point - Booker Valley	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA08 (332) - Bowes River - Broken Anchor Bay (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA08 (333) - Bowes River - Broken Anchor Bay (B)	2	NC	NC	1,081	NC	NC	0.3	14	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	5	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA08 (334) - Glenfield Beach - Bowes River (A)	3	NC	NC	1,084	NC	NC	0.5	35	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	8	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA08 (335) - Glenfield Beach - Bowes River (B)	1	NC	NC	1,103	NC	NC	0.1	14	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA08 (336) - Glenfield Beach - Bowes River (C)	1	NC	NC	1,165	NC	NC	0.4	37	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (169) - Cape Inscription - Herald Bay N (B)	1	NC	NC	1,327	NC	NC	0.1	13	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	1	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (170) - Steep Point - Quoin Head (B)	1	NC	NC	1,208	NC	NC	0.1	13	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	1	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (171) - Steep Point - Quoin Head (C)	2	NC	NC	1,080	NC	NC	0.2	14	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (172) - Steep Point - Quoin Head (D)	1	NC	NC	1,294	NC	NC	0.2	24	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (173) - Steep Point - Quoin Head (E)	3	NC	NC	1,062	NC	NC	0.7	46	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	6	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (174) - Kakura Dunes coast - Zuytdorp Point (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (175) - Kakura Dunes coast - Zuytdorp Point (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (176) - Kakura Dunes coast - Zuytdorp Point (C)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (177) - Kakura Dunes coast - Zuytdorp Point (D)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (178) - Nunginjay Spring coast N - Kakura Dunes coast (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (330) - Dorre Island and Bernier Island (A)	1	NC	NC	1,327	NC	NC	0.1	12	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	1	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA09 (331) - Dorre Island and Bernier Island (B)	2	NC	NC	918	NC	NC	0.3	23	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	5	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (120) - Bundegi - Shothole Canyon N (A)	8	1	NC	420	1,343	NC	2.3	104	<1	4	<1	2	NC	NC	<1	8	<1	1	NC	NC
WA10 (121) - Bundegi - Shothole Canyon N (B)	46	15	2	255	272	540	75	1,338	2	20	<1	17	<1	14	2	10	<1	4	<1	1
WA10 (122) - Vlamingh Head - North West Cape	59	45	5	238	249	413	283	3,476	10	110	9	109	3	107	5	9	3	9	<1	6
WA10 (123) - Low Point - Vlamingh Head (A)	45	16	1	242	295	877	56	1,177	4	71	3	71	<1	13	5	15	<1	14	<1	1
WA10 (124) - Low Point - Vlamingh Head (B)	48	13	3	293	357	607	92	2,706	9	211	8	211	4	160	7	19	2	19	<1	11
WA10 (125) - Osprey Bay - Low Point	26	5	NC	477	599	NC	20	551	2	26	<1	24	NC	NC	3	16	<1	11	NC	NC
WA10 (126) - Winderabandi Point - Osprey Bay	17	4	NC	477	609	NC	14	375	<1	19	<1	13	NC	NC	2	18	<1	6	NC	NC
WA10 (127) - Coast Hill - Point Cloates	14	3	NC	603	640	NC	7.5	251	<1	12	<1	7	NC	NC	<1	13	<1	4	NC	NC
WA10 (128) - Point Maud - Coast Hill (A)	3	NC	NC	781	NC	NC	1.1	83	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	8	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (130) - Alison Point - Point Maud	1	NC	NC	845	NC	NC	0.1	15	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (132) - Gnarraloo Bay - Alison Point (B)	2	NC	NC	849	NC	NC	0.3	15	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	5	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (133) - Red Bluff - Gnarraloo Bay (A)	2	NC	NC	797	NC	NC	0.7	41	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	7	NC	NC	NC	NC

WA10 (134) - Red Bluff - Gnarraloo Bay (B)	2	NC	NC	803	NC	NC	0.3	29	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (135) - Red Bluff - Gnarraloo Bay (C)	1	NC	NC	890	NC	NC	0.4	42	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA10 (136) - Point Quobba - Cape Cuvier (A)	2	NC	NC	849	NC	NC	0.3	16	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.East (100) - Sherlock coast - Cape Cossigny (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.East (101) - Cape Lambert - Sherlock coast (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.East (102) - Cape Lambert - Sherlock coast (B)	1	NC	NC	1,198	NC	NC	0.2	25	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	1	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.East (103) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.East (104) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (B)	6	1	NC	633	1,197	NC	4	170	<1	5	<1	2	NC	NC	<1	9	<1	1	NC	NC
WA11.East (105) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (C)	13	6	2	409	483	1,168	46	1,078	3	56	2	50	<1	12	2	35	<1	16	<1	1
WA11.East (106) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (D)	1	NC	NC	1,318	NC	NC	0.6	64	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	7	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.East (107) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (E)	1	NC	NC	1,315	NC	NC	0.4	36	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	7	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.East (108) - Pelican Point - West Intercourse Island	17	1	NC	399	1,333	NC	6.9	113	<1	4	<1	2	NC	NC	<1	10	<1	1	NC	NC
WA11.East (109) - James Point - Cape Preston	28	17	6	184	206	393	99	1,478	3	26	2	24	<1	16	3	16	<1	4	<1	1
WA11.East (315) - Dolphin Island N point - Cinders Rd coast	4	2	NC	437	789	NC	4.3	188	<1	5	<1	2	NC	NC	<1	8	<1	1	NC	NC
WA11.East (316) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (F)	12	5	1	266	483	1,334	40	1,620	3	122	2	114	<1	73	2	37	<1	15	<1	5
WA11.East (317) - West Intercourse Island - Dolphin Island N point (G)	15	8	1	267	312	1,314	53	3,403	3	181	2	173	2	136	2	41	<1	22	<1	8
WA11.East (95) - Turner River NE foreland - Beebingara Creek coast E (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.East (96) - Turner River NE foreland - Beebingara Creek coast E (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.East (97) - Cape Thouin - Turner River NE foreland	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.East (98) - Cape Cossigny - Cape Thouin	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.East (99) - Sherlock coast - Cape Cossigny (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.West (110) - Mount Salt coast W - James Point	21	5	NC	293	424	NC	13	186	<1	7	<1	5	NC	NC	3	22	<1	3	NC	NC
WA11.West (111) - Peter Creek coast E - Mount Salt coast W	27	10	NC	417	566	NC	62	824	2	14	<1	13	NC	NC	2	19	<1	2	NC	NC
WA11.West (112) - Weld Island coast S - Peter Creek coast E	5	NC	NC	1,043	NC	NC	1.9	77	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	14	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.West (113) - Coolgra Point W - Yardie Landing (A)	10	1	NC	388	1,221	NC	4	137	<1	3	<1	2	NC	NC	<1	5	<1	1	NC	NC
WA11.West (114) - Coolgra Point W - Yardie Landing (B)	10	7	4	336	345	533	64	1,370	<1	15	<1	14	<1	14	<1	6	<1	1	<1	1
WA11.West (115) - Hope Point - Locker Point (A)	39	18	4	271	308	444	120	2,198	6	128	6	124	4	99	3	28	<1	16	<1	6
WA11.West (116) - Hope Point - Locker Point (B)	11	2	NC	292	665	NC	4.7	127	<1	4	<1	2	NC	NC	<1	12	<1	1	NC	NC
WA11.West (117) - Hope Point - Locker Point (C)	7	2	NC	543	853	NC	4.6	202	<1	9	<1	5	NC	NC	<1	17	<1	3	NC	NC
WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A)	92	88	75	69	78	111	7,498	22,738	683	2,377	676	2,371	611	2,319	49	65	33	53	15	31
WA11.West (319) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (B)	89	81	53	102	131	189	1,432	5,992	116	515	115	515	91	515	13	15	10	15	5	15
WA11.West (320) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (C)	79	60	6	127	222	557	321	2,380	53	213	47	208	7	99	29	53	13	39	<1	7
WA11.West (321) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (D)	78	64	14	116	120	318	380	3,010	65	327	59	323	20	216	30	51	14	40	2	15
WA11.West (323) - Yardie Landing - Weld Island coast S (A)	22	12	NC	557	668	NC	32	329	<1	9	<1	8	NC	NC	<1	7	<1	4	NC	NC
WA11.West (324) - Yardie Landing - Weld Island coast S (B)	57	35	7	288	296	335	280	3,514	8	94	8	94	5	94	2	3	<1	3	<1	3
WA11.West (325) - Coolgra Point W - Yardie Landing (C)	59	37	10	175	178	432	242	2,230	16	148	15	148	7	131	6	14	3	14	<1	8

WA11.West (326) - Baresand Point - Entrance Point E	76	70	54	174	175	244	1,648	8,305	102	682	100	681	64	644	16	24	12	24	4	16
WA11.West (327) - Hope Point - Locker Point (E)	61	46	2	236	274	846	179	1,141	4	21	4	21	<1	12	2	2	<1	2	<1	1
WA11.West (328) - Hope Point - Locker Point (F)	49	28	4	277	464	484	155	2,143	4	66	4	64	<1	22	3	13	<1	12	<1	1
WA11.West (329) - Locker Point - Baresand Point	74	68	52	200	214	323	1,836	10,168	141	608	138	601	114	591	19	30	12	25	5	14
WA12 (308) - Red Bluff - Chimney Rocks (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (309) - Mermaid Reef	6	2	NC	878	973	NC	5.6	302	<1	6	<1	6	NC	NC	<1	2	<1	2	NC	NC
WA12 (310) - Clerke Reef	20	4	NC	752	964	NC	11	170	<1	6	<1	2	NC	NC	2	10	<1	1	NC	NC
WA12 (311) - Imperieuse Reef	25	18	6	569	674	944	113	1,579	8	108	7	106	2	42	4	19	2	19	<1	3
WA12 (312) - Yan Well coast - Condini Landing (C)	3	NC	NC	1,185	NC	NC	0.7	45	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	1	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (313) - Wattle Well coast - Yan Well coast (C)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (314) - Wattle Well coast - Yan Well coast (D)	2	NC	NC	1,269	NC	NC	0.2	13	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	1	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (69) - Red Bluff - Chimney Rocks (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (70) - Coulomb Point - Red Bluff (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (72) - Cape Boileau - Coulomb Point (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (73) - Cape Boileau - Coulomb Point (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (75) - Cape Gourdon - Cape Villaret (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (76) - Cape Gourdon - Cape Villaret (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (77) - Saddle Hill - Cape Gourdon (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (78) - Saddle Hill - Cape Gourdon (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (79) - Tryon Point - False Cape Bossut (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (80) - Tryon Point - False Cape Bossut (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (81) - Cape Jaubert - Tryon Point	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (82) - Samphire bore coast - Cape Jaubert (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (83) - Samphire bore coast - Cape Jaubert (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (84) - Samphire bore coast - Cape Jaubert (C)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (85) - Cooraidegel Well coast - Eighty Mile Beach Caravan Park NE (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (86) - Cooraidegel Well coast - Eighty Mile Beach Caravan Park NE (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (87) - Cooraidegel Well coast - Eighty Mile Beach Caravan Park NE (C)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (88) - Shoonta Well - Cooraidegel Well coast	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (89) - Condini Landing - Mulla Mulla Creek	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (90) - Yan Well coast - Condini Landing (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (91) - Yan Well coast - Condini Landing (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (92) - Wattle Well coast - Yan Well coast (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (93) - Wattle Well coast - Yan Well coast (B)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA12 (94) - Beebingara Creek coast E - Wattle Well coast	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA13 (305) - Seringapatam Reef	1	NC	NC	1,328	NC	NC	0.1	12	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA13 (306) - Scott Reef North	1	NC	NC	1,293	NC	NC	0.5	46	<1	4	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	13	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA13 (307) - Scott Reef South	1	1	NC	1,262	1,329	NC	1	103	<1	10	<1	2	NC	NC	<1	30	<1	1	NC	NC



Figure 13.23 Maximum potential shoreline oil accumulation following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.24 Maximum potential shoreline oil accumulation following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.25 Maximum potential shoreline oil accumulation following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.26 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 10 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.27 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 10 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.28 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 10 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.29 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 100 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.30 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 100 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.31 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 100 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.32 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 1,000 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.33 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 1,000 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.34 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 1,000 g/m² following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.

13.1.4 In-water exposure

13.1.4.1 Dissolved Hydrocarbons

Table 13.7 summarises the maximum distances from the release location to the dissolved hydrocarbon exposure thresholds for each season. Concentrations exceeding 10 ppb may potentially extend up to 790 km from the release location. As the threshold increases to 50 ppb, the maximum distance decreases to 425 km. No exposure was predicted above 400 ppb.

Table 13.8 summarises the predicted dissolved hydrocarbon exposure to receptors (either at, or above, receptors in the water column).

The Montebello AMP recorded the highest probabilities of exposure at, or above, 10 ppb during summer (50%), transitional (82%) and winter (89%) conditions. Additionally, the quickest time to exposure was recorded for Montebello AMP at, or above, 10 ppb during summer (26 hours), transitional (29 hours) and winter (27 hours) conditions. The Montebello AMP also recorded the highest concentration at 153 ppb during summer conditions.

Figure 13.35 to Figure 13.37 illustrate the dissolved hydrocarbon exposure zones for the three seasons, whilst Figure 13.38 to Figure 13.49 show the minimum times before exposure and probabilities of exposure at or above, 10 ppb and 50 ppb.

Seasonal cross-sectional transects (north-south and east-west) of the maximum dissolved hydrocarbons in the vicinity of the release site are presented in Figure 13.50 to Figure 13.55.

Table 13.7 Maximum distances from the release location to dissolved hydrocarbon exposure thresholds following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. Results were calculated from 100 spill simulations per season.

Season	Distance and direction travelled	Dissolved hydrocarbon exposure thresholds		
		10 ppb	50 ppb	400 ppb
Summer	Maximum distance (km) from release location	487	253	-
	Direction	West	Southwest	-
Transitional	Maximum distance (km) from release location	790	382	-
	Direction	Southwest	Southwest	-
Winter	Maximum distance (km) from release location	575	425	-
	Direction	Southwest	Southwest	-

Table 13.8 Receptors predicted to be exposed by dissolved hydrocarbons following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. Results were calculated from100 spill simulations per season.

Category	Name	Summer								Transitional								Winter							
		Probability (%) of dissolved concentration			Minimum time to receptor waters (hours) at			Maximum dissolved hydrocarbon concentration (ppb)		Probability (%) of dissolved concentration			Minimum time to receptor waters (hours) at			Maximum dissolved hydrocarbon concentration (ppb)		Probability (%) of dissolved concentration			Minimum time to receptor waters (hours) at			Maximum dissolved hydrocarbon concentration (ppb)	
		≥ 10 ppb	≥ 50 ppb	≥ 400 ppb	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 50 ppb	≥ 400 ppb	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 50 ppb	≥ 400 ppb	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 50 ppb	≥ 400 ppb	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 50 ppb	≥ 400 ppb	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 50 ppb	≥ 400 ppb	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate
AMP	Dampier	4	NC	NC	225	NC	NC	2	43	1	NC	NC	1,076	NC	NC	<1	11	11	1	NC	266	544	NC	4	59
	Gascoyne	1	NC	NC	639	NC	NC	<1	50	11	1	NC	341	364	NC	4	77	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Montebello	50	3	NC	26	67	NC	11	153	82	7	NC	29	58	NC	22	133	89	7	NC	27	38	NC	25	120
KEF	Ancient coastline at 125 m depth contour	7	1	NC	200	307	NC	3	98	20	2	NC	217	263	NC	6	86	21	2	NC	183	408	NC	6	93
	Canyons linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Cape Range Peninsula	2	NC	NC	541	NC	NC	<1	43	17	1	NC	277	321	NC	5	95	16	1	NC	195	419	NC	5	111
	Commonwealth waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef	2	NC	NC	588	NC	NC	<1	43	16	2	NC	346	356	NC	5	69	20	1	NC	231	502	NC	5	58
	Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities	4	NC	NC	309	NC	NC	2	50	11	1	NC	263	392	NC	4	72	13	1	NC	260	502	NC	4	73
	Exmouth Plateau	1	NC	NC	951	NC	NC	<1	11	2	NC	NC	574	NC	NC	<1	21	1	NC	NC	558	NC	NC	<1	20
	Glomar Shoals	19	3	NC	143	279	NC	6	86	23	1	NC	119	197	NC	6	82	11	NC	NC	77	NC	NC	4	47
MP	Barrow Island	3	1	NC	141	956	NC	2	76	17	NC	NC	140	NC	NC	5	43	26	1	NC	121	414	NC	8	103

	Montebello Islands	21	1	NC	97	111	NC	6	69	48	2	NC	80	118	NC	11	90	69	12	NC	71	73	NC	20	116
	Ningaloo	2	NC	NC	588	NC	NC	<1	43	16	2	NC	346	356	NC	5	69	20	1	NC	231	502	NC	5	58
NR	Lowendal Islands	1	NC	NC	874	NC	NC	<1	11	1	NC	NC	1,084	NC	NC	2	12	7	NC	NC	271	NC	NC	3	22
	Thevenard Island	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	878	NC	NC	<1	11	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
RSB	Barrow Island Reefs and Shoals	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	793	NC	NC	<1	12
	Combe Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	1,042	NC	NC	<1	13	2	NC	NC	482	NC	NC	<1	27
	Dailey Shoal	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	442	NC	NC	2	34	5	NC	NC	321	NC	NC	2	16
	Exmouth Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	502	NC	NC	<1	26
	Fairway Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	372	NC	NC	<1	27
	Glomar Shoal	7	NC	NC	243	NC	NC	3	25	5	NC	NC	183	NC	NC	2	46	6	NC	NC	175	NC	NC	2	32
	Hammersley Shoal	2	NC	NC	776	NC	NC	<1	14	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Hood Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	982	NC	NC	2	14	4	NC	NC	365	NC	NC	2	45
	Madeleine Shoals	3	NC	NC	203	NC	NC	2	20	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Montebello Shoals	8	NC	NC	123	NC	NC	3	47	21	NC	NC	124	NC	NC	6	37	44	1	NC	129	433	NC	11	57
	Ningaloo Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	3	NC	NC	397	NC	NC	2	23	6	NC	NC	532	NC	NC	2	34
	North West Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	694	NC	NC	3	23	3	NC	NC	644	NC	NC	2	24
	Otway Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	468	NC	NC	<1	19
	Outtrim Patches	1	NC	NC	746	NC	NC	<1	14	11	1	NC	434	486	NC	5	64	14	NC	NC	212	NC	NC	5	38
	Penguin Bank	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	11	NC	NC	472	NC	NC	4	29	17	NC	NC	186	NC	NC	6	48
	Poivre Reef	2	NC	NC	932	NC	NC	<1	22	4	NC	NC	598	NC	NC	2	18	4	NC	NC	217	NC	NC	3	21
	Rankin Bank	2	NC	NC	357	NC	NC	<1	27	3	NC	NC	363	NC	NC	2	20	2	NC	NC	694	NC	NC	2	15
	Ripple Shoals	1	NC	NC	933	NC	NC	<1	19	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	439	NC	NC	<1	11
	Rosily Shoals	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	1	NC	494	918	NC	3	61	8	1	NC	283	470	NC	4	55
	Spider Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	765	NC	NC	<1	11	1	NC	NC	378	NC	NC	<1	19
	Trap Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	594	NC	NC	2	13	1	NC	NC	510	NC	NC	<1	16
	Tryal Rocks	22	1	NC	181	488	NC	7	54	52	7	NC	95	201	NC	17	96	54	3	NC	65	169	NC	16	74
	Web Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	387	NC	NC	<1	15

State and Territory Waters	WA	41	2	NC	27	86	NC	9	93	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	85	12	NC	44	54	NC	20	135



Figure 13.35 Predicted zones of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.36 Predicted zones of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.37 Predicted zones of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.38 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.39 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.40 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.41 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.42 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.43 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.44 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.45 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.46 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.47 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.48 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.49 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.50 North-south cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.51 North-south cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.52 North-south cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.53 East-west cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.54 East-west cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.55 East-west cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.

13.1.4.2 Entrained Hydrocarbons

Table 13.9 summarises the maximum distances from the release location to entrained hydrocarbon thresholds for each season. Concentrations exceeding 10 ppb may potentially extend up to 1,302 km from the release location. As the threshold increases to 100 ppb, the maximum distance decreases to 1,037 km.

Table 13.10 summarises the receptors exposed to entrained hydrocarbons per season (either at, or above, receptors in the water column).

For spills commencing during the summer months, the Glomar Shoals KEF recorded the highest probability (94%) of exposure to concentrations at, or above, 10 ppb, while the Montebello AMP recorded the highest probability of exposure for transitional (91%) and winter (99%) conditions. The shortest time to exposure at, or above, 10 ppb was recorded for the Montebello AMP with times of 21 hours during summer, 23 hours during transitional conditions, and 21 hours during winter. The highest recorded concentration, 3,007 ppb, occurred at Montebello AMP during winter.

Figure 13.56 to Figure 13.58 illustrate the zones of exposure to entrained hydrocarbons for each season, whilst Figure 13.59 to Figure 13.70 display the minimum exposure times and the probability of exposure to these hydrocarbons.

Seasonal cross-sectional transects (north-south and east-west) of the maximum entrained hydrocarbons in the vicinity of the release site, are presented in Figure 13.71 to Figure 13.76.

Table 13.9 Maximum distances from the release location to entrained hydrocarbon exposure thresholds following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. Results were calculated from 100 spill simulations per season.

Season	Distance and direction travelled	Entrained hydrocarbon exposure thresholds	
		10 ppb	100 ppb
Summer	Maximum distance (km) from release location	1,176	527
	Direction	Southwest	Southwest
Transitional	Maximum distance (km) from release location	1,302	1,037
	Direction	Southwest	Southwest
Winter	Maximum distance (km) from release location	1,224	574
	Direction	Southwest	Southwest

Table 13.10 Receptors predicted to be exposed by entrained hydrocarbons following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. Results were calculated from100 spill simulations per season.

Category	Name	Summer						Transitional						Winter					
		Probability (%) of entrained hydrocarbon concentration		Minimum time to receptor waters (hours) at		Maximum entrained hydrocarbon concentration (ppb)		Probability (%) of entrained hydrocarbon concentration		Minimum time to receptor waters (hours) at		Maximum entrained hydrocarbon concentration (ppb)		Probability (%) of entrained hydrocarbon concentration		Minimum time to receptor waters (hours) at		Maximum entrained hydrocarbon concentration (ppb)	
		≥ 10 ppb	≥ 100 ppb	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 100 ppb	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 100 ppb	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 100 ppb	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 100 ppb	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 100 ppb	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate
AMP	Abrolhos	1	NC	1,081	NC	<1	28	6	1	831	1,043	3	156	3	NC	986	NC	<1	17
	Argo-Rowley Terrace	7	NC	678	NC	2	46	18	NC	624	NC	4	38	15	NC	410	NC	5	59
	Carnarvon Canyon	3	NC	617	NC	<1	18	8	NC	740	NC	3	39	10	NC	762	NC	4	83
	Dampier	47	15	77	166	38	281	22	3	203	274	14	162	19	NC	314	NC	5	51
	Gascoyne	32	2	310	616	13	448	66	35	246	328	120	906	68	43	234	238	166	1,153
	Mermaid Reef	3	NC	1,010	NC	<1	23	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	1,261	NC	<1	13
	Montebello	80	64	21	21	305	1,521	91	82	23	26	519	1,418	99	96	21	24	637	3,007
EEZ	Indonesia	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	2	NC	1,018	NC	<1	16
IPA	Nyangumarta Warrarn	4	NC	722	NC	2	27	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
KEF	Ancient coastline at 125 m depth contour	68	20	161	186	76	1,133	76	46	138	205	163	1,557	90	54	110	125	291	2,369

	Canyons linking the Argo Abyssal Plain with the Scott Plateau	38	7	266	395	27	445	62	44	209	272	126	1,592	1	NC	1,294	NC	<1	11
	Canyons linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Cape Range Peninsula	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	77	50	172	175	237	1,633
	Commonwealth waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef	26	4	319	619	12	392	58	46	257	339	112	752	72	47	219	229	178	1,304
	Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities	67	13	203	484	59	1,349	76	36	145	226	129	1,416	80	46	142	233	166	1,864
	Exmouth Plateau	24	NC	413	NC	6	84	50	6	381	555	22	207	57	12	350	367	32	382
	Glomar Shoals	94	61	73	94	164	732	67	42	80	105	125	608	68	42	63	72	219	1,149
	Mermaid Reef and Commonwealth waters surrounding Rowley Shoals	5	NC	678	NC	2	46	4	NC	749	NC	2	41	8	NC	648	NC	3	53
	Wallaby Saddle	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	1,263	NC	<1	21	2	NC	990	NC	<1	17

	Western demersal slope and associated fish communities	2	NC	778	NC	<1	39	15	1	666	953	4	187	5	NC	879	NC	2	35
MP	Barrow Island	46	11	104	115	30	474	69	42	114	123	91	415	86	46	85	121	126	537
	Eighty Mile Beach	26	NC	410	NC	8	65	5	NC	502	NC	2	28	2	NC	1,185	NC	<1	14
	Montebello Islands	58	35	79	83	208	1,942	76	58	60	66	294	2,062	93	78	57	60	622	2,586
	Ningaloo	26	4	319	619	12	392	58	46	257	339	112	752	72	47	219	229	178	1,304
	Rowley Shoals	3	NC	679	NC	2	33	3	NC	792	NC	<1	31	8	NC	670	NC	3	53
NR	Barrow Island	12	NC	338	NC	4	66	24	NC	196	NC	8	64	51	NC	262	NC	15	61
	Great Sandy Island	11	3	227	855	7	139	11	NC	585	NC	4	42	55	NC	257	NC	18	95
	Lowendal Islands	22	9	149	484	33	588	37	21	134	147	48	314	79	37	153	188	91	351
	Thevenard Island	10	NC	498	NC	4	73	43	9	217	493	22	144	57	2	188	408	21	160
RAM SAR	Eighty-mile Beach	8	NC	646	NC	2	29	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
RSB	Ashworth Shoal	2	NC	1,247	NC	<1	15	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Australind Shoal	8	NC	897	NC	2	34	2	NC	755	NC	2	21	8	NC	301	NC	5	36
	Barrow Island Reefs and Shoals	11	3	226	851	8	147	14	NC	360	NC	4	44	59	NC	256	NC	19	96
	Baylis Patches	4	NC	845	NC	<1	28	23	NC	680	NC	6	34	8	NC	495	NC	4	27
	Bennett Shoal	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	818	NC	2	13

	Beryl Reef	4	NC	909	NC	2	27	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	27	NC	263	NC	8	42
	Brewis Reef	10	NC	807	NC	4	46	43	NC	216	NC	14	81	56	3	175	421	23	114
	Camplin Shoal	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	2	NC	1,320	NC	<1	12	1	NC	757	NC	2	16
	Clerke Reef	2	NC	799	NC	<1	20	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Cod Bank	20	2	112	884	9	114	13	NC	470	NC	4	92	6	NC	620	NC	2	20
	Combe Reef	6	NC	794	NC	3	56	42	3	470	763	25	171	62	NC	235	NC	21	78
	Cooper Shoal	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	1,344	NC	<1	12	1	NC	734	NC	2	18
	Courtenay Shoal	17	NC	153	NC	6	50	12	NC	462	NC	4	47	1	NC	1,193	NC	<1	11
	Dailey Shoal	17	1	485	721	9	106	53	28	292	421	64	346	67	30	208	210	75	365
	Dockrell Reef	5	NC	529	NC	2	32	1	NC	898	NC	<1	19	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Eliassen Rocks	3	NC	527	NC	<1	19	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Exmouth Reef	4	NC	896	NC	2	52	30	NC	508	NC	9	49	46	NC	260	NC	13	50
	Fairway Reef	9	NC	696	NC	3	43	43	3	422	719	20	110	58	NC	211	NC	21	88
	Flinders Shoal	5	1	266	1,091	4	108	5	NC	1,172	NC	2	25	12	NC	399	NC	4	20
	Fortescue Reef	6	NC	527	NC	2	24	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	8	NC	278	NC	3	24
	Glennie Patches	6	NC	890	NC	2	22	1	NC	756	NC	<1	13	4	NC	447	NC	3	41
	Glomar Shoal	77	33	152	222	84	450	51	25	140	154	54	330	45	22	108	148	72	699
	Gorgon Patch	3	NC	1,000	NC	<1	22	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	393	NC	2	35
	Hammersley Shoal	31	11	154	177	24	236	17	NC	325	NC	8	79	3	NC	531	NC	2	30
	Hastings Shoal	3	NC	1,017	NC	<1	14	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	393	NC	2	27
	Hayman Rock	4	NC	832	NC	2	25	11	NC	628	NC	4	16	15	NC	287	NC	6	43

	Hayward Rock	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	848	NC	<1	24
	Herald Reef	3	NC	904	NC	2	61	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	408	NC	2	26
	Hood Reef	13	NC	660	NC	5	48	50	16	409	421	42	276	62	7	209	330	33	269
	Imperieuse Reef	3	NC	680	NC	2	22	3	NC	797	NC	<1	27	6	NC	695	NC	3	53
	Inner Northwest Patch	5	NC	994	NC	2	18	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	414	NC	3	39
	Koolinda Patch	3	NC	1,014	NC	<1	19	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	393	NC	2	32
	Lightfoot Reef	6	NC	280	NC	3	70	4	NC	1,184	NC	2	24	2	NC	941	NC	2	13
	Little Shoals	4	NC	282	NC	4	99	1	NC	1,244	NC	<1	14	5	NC	402	NC	3	25
	Locker Reef	4	NC	796	NC	2	23	14	NC	618	NC	4	18	15	NC	287	NC	7	53
	Madeleine Shoals	46	11	116	166	36	283	20	2	256	310	14	128	19	NC	324	NC	5	41
	Manicom Bank	2	NC	1,019	NC	<1	12	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	528	NC	2	27
	Mardie Rock	3	NC	1,004	NC	<1	17	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	McLennan Bank	13	2	117	897	8	115	6	NC	507	NC	3	57	23	NC	155	NC	10	67
	Meda Reef	6	NC	514	NC	3	57	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	798	NC	2	13
	Mermaid Reef	3	NC	1,010	NC	<1	17	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Miles Shoal	6	NC	901	NC	2	18	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	536	NC	3	26
	Montebello Shoals	46	24	85	103	80	596	61	42	77	115	124	589	93	63	74	91	178	746
	Moresby Shoals	4	NC	319	NC	3	79	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	400	NC	2	28
	Nares Rock	3	NC	893	NC	3	97	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Ningaloo Reef	10	2	586	687	5	144	49	18	384	398	46	372	58	8	257	470	31	372

	North West Reef	17	2	119	505	9	124	50	11	473	583	36	226	60	1	239	581	25	114
	O'Grady Shoal	9	NC	490	NC	3	38	1	NC	909	NC	<1	16	11	NC	399	NC	3	27
	Otway Reef	10	NC	541	NC	4	59	46	11	424	625	39	349	65	7	212	345	36	167
	Outtrim Patches	22	4	367	700	16	259	53	42	258	421	91	312	71	41	206	208	99	551
	Paroo Shoal	4	NC	900	NC	<1	17	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	533	NC	2	20
	Pearl Reef	4	NC	919	NC	<1	16	14	NC	760	NC	4	27	21	NC	283	NC	6	41
	Penguin Bank	25	6	153	431	21	256	58	24	142	245	78	361	77	48	149	172	100	375
	Poivre Reef	19	7	153	166	18	278	55	10	161	524	38	273	75	26	138	219	55	217
	Rankin Bank	66	7	244	435	37	238	71	9	239	309	39	202	80	32	165	359	89	413
	Ripple Shoals	12	3	179	874	8	188	16	NC	306	NC	5	45	50	NC	299	NC	16	78
	Roller Shoal	3	NC	896	NC	<1	12	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	534	NC	2	25
	Rosily Shoals	19	5	166	433	15	172	56	28	163	259	68	376	75	40	160	274	78	233
	Saladin Shoal	2	NC	1,015	NC	<1	13	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	529	NC	2	21
	Santo Rock	7	NC	822	NC	2	33	25	NC	604	NC	7	35	24	NC	326	NC	10	90
	South East Reef	10	NC	526	NC	3	33	3	NC	718	NC	<1	18	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	South West Reef	10	NC	505	NC	3	33	3	NC	532	NC	<1	15	4	NC	533	NC	<1	24
	Southwest Patch	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Spider Reef	6	NC	721	NC	2	30	36	NC	471	NC	10	52	48	NC	286	NC	12	64
	Sultan Reef	8	NC	869	NC	3	55	17	NC	305	NC	5	27	35	NC	189	NC	10	42
	Taunton Reef	10	2	205	998	6	151	21	NC	306	NC	5	30	42	NC	327	NC	13	59
	Tongue Shoals	6	NC	900	NC	2	25	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	408	NC	4	43

	Trap Reef	14	NC	485	NC	7	98	44	13	204	484	44	291	66	2	175	383	30	155
	Tryal Rocks	58	36	81	88	109	782	82	61	70	78	173	791	93	80	51	56	310	2,012
	Vaughan Shoal	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	827	NC	2	24
	Ward Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	394	NC	2	23
	Web Reef	4	NC	757	NC	2	27	30	NC	484	NC	9	51	50	NC	336	NC	12	46
	Weeks Shoal	3	NC	906	NC	2	25	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	527	NC	2	36
	West Reef	4	NC	290	NC	3	100	1	NC	1,218	NC	<1	14	4	NC	399	NC	2	14
State and Territory Waters	WA	71	48	23	24	210	1,942	84	67	36	40	294	2,062	99	96	40	41	622	2,586



Figure 13.56 Predicted zones of entrained hydrocarbon exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.57 Predicted zones of entrained hydrocarbon exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.58 Predicted zones of entrained hydrocarbon exposure following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.59 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.60 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.61 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.62 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.63 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.64 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.65 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.66 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.67 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.68 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.69 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.70 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.71 North-south cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.72 North-south cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.73 North-south cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 13.74 East-west cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 13.75 East-west cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 13.76 East-west cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.

13.2 Deterministic Analysis

13.2.1 Greatest Number of Receptors with Floating Oil Exposure Within 7 days

A spill simulation commencing during transitional conditions (run 31) resulted in the greatest number of receptors with floating oil exposure at or above 1 g/m^2 within 7 days.

Figure 13.77 shows the outer boundaries of the low threshold exposure area (EMBA) for the simulation. Figure 13.78 show the floating oil exposure on the surface and shoreline accumulation. Figure 13.79 and Figure 13.80 show the extent of the entrained and dissolved hydrocarbon exposure, respectively, over the entire 56 day simulation.

Table 13.11 provides a summary of the exposure to receptors during the simulation.

The greatest volume of oil accumulation was 2,570 m^3 for WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), which also revealed the maximum length of oil accumulation on shorelines at the 10 g/m^2 or above threshold (64 km). The minimum time before floating oil exposure was predicted to be 57 hours for the Montebello AMP.

Figure 13.81 depicts the weathering and fates graph for the corresponding simulation. At the end of the 56-day simulation, approximately 29.2% (49,000.5 bbl) of the crude is predicted to have decayed, whilst 22.6% (37,846.1 bbl) will have evaporated and 14.9% (25,011.2 bbl) is predicted to entrain. Approximately 13.8% (23,085.0 bbl) of the total volume of oil is predicted to remain on shorelines at the end of the simulation and 7.4% (12,379.9 bbl) will float on the sea surface.

Table 13.11 Receptors predicted to be exposed by floating oil, shoreline accumulation and in-water hydrocarbons following a surface LOWC at Kullingal for the deterministic simulation (transitional, run 31) which greatest number of receptors with floating oil exposure at or above 1 g/m² within 7 days.

Receptors		Floating oil minimum time to receptor (hours) at			Shoreline accumulation minimum time to receptor (hours) at			Maximum local accumulated concentration (g/m²)	Maximum local accumulated volume (m²)			Maximum length of shoreline (km) at			Entrained minimum time to receptor waters (hours) at		Maximum entrained hydrocarbon concentration (ppb)	Dissolved minimum time to receptor waters (hours) at			Maximum dissolved aromatic hydrocarbon concentration (ppb)
		≥ 1 g/m²	≥ 10 g/m²	≥ 50 g/m²	≥ 10 g/m²	≥ 100 g/m²	≥ 1,000 g/m²		≥ 10 g/m²	≥ 100 g/m²	≥ 1,000 g/m²	≥ 10 g/m²	≥ 100 g/m²	≥ 1,000 g/m²	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 100 ppb		≥ 10 ppb	≥ 50 ppb	≥ 400 ppb	
AMP	Gascoyne	703	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	451	NC	92	991	NC	NC	13
	Montebello	57	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	28	32	1,412	34	61	NC	94
KEF	Ancient coastline at 125 m depth contour	387	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	321	357	321	1,133	NC	NC	18
	Canyons linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Cape Range Peninsula	532	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	383	401	220	1,182	NC	NC	15
	Commonwealth waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef	543	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	406	450	186	967	NC	NC	12
	Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities	598	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	339	682	124	981	NC	NC	13
	Exmouth Plateau	751	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	658	NC	92	NC	NC	NC	5
	Western demersal slope and associated fish communities	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,101	NC	11	NC	NC	NC	<1
MMA	Muiron Islands	510	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	382	504	292	730	NC	NC	16
MP	Barrow Island	144	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	114	123	415	140	NC	NC	32
	Montebello Islands	141	779	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	65	72	2,062	82	118	NC	66
	Ningaloo	497	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	406	450	197	756	NC	NC	20
NR	Barrow Island	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	639	NC	63	NC	NC	NC	<1
	Lowendal Islands	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	326	451	294	NC	NC	NC	7
	Thevenard Island	623	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	635	NC	29	NC	NC	NC	3
RSB	Beryl Reef	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	759	NC	30	NC	NC	NC	<1
	Brewis Reef	635	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	589	NC	32	NC	NC	NC	2
	Camplin Shoal	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,321	NC	11	NC	NC	NC	<1
	Combe Reef	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	711	NC	69	NC	NC	NC	<1
	Dailey Shoal	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	475	689	182	NC	NC	NC	4
	Exmouth Reef	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	737	NC	39	NC	NC	NC	2
	Fairway Reef	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	516	NC	94	NC	NC	NC	<1
	Hood Reef	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	503	685	201	NC	NC	NC	3
	Locker Reef	625	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NC	NC	8	NC	NC	NC	<1
	Montebello Shoals	145	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	83	115	581	124	NC	NC	32
	Ningaloo Reef	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	443	478	161	NC	NC	NC	9
	North West Reef	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	502	NC	92	NC	NC	NC	4
	Otway Reef	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	490	710	168	NC	NC	NC	<1
	Outtrim Patches	683	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	384	826	206	NC	NC	NC	3
	Pearl Reef	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	760	NC	21	NC	NC	NC	<1
	Penguin Bank	536	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	142	587	261	NC	NC	NC	7
	Poivre Reef	524	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	166	524	244	598	NC	NC	18

	Rosily Shoals	603	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	289	610	149	NC	NC	NC	5
	Santo Rock	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,223	NC	13	NC	NC	NC	<1
	Spider Reef	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	698	NC	16	NC	NC	NC	<1
	Sultan Reef	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	624	NC	24	NC	NC	NC	2
	Taunton Reef	614	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	613	NC	18	NC	NC	NC	<1
	Trap Reef	614	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	574	NC	61	NC	NC	NC	2
	Tryal Rocks	393	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	195	405	217	475	NC	NC	15
	Web Reef	NC	NC	NC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	784	NC	17	NC	NC	NC	<1
	WA09 (169) - Cape Inscription - Herald Bay N (B)	NC	NC	NC	1,300	NC	NC	12	<1	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	NC	NC	3	NC	NC	NC	<1
	WA09 (171) - Steep Point - Quoin Head (C)	NC	NC	NC	1,332	NC	NC	12	<1	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	NC	<1
	WA09 (330) - Dorre Island and Bernier Island (A)	NC	NC	NC	1,300	NC	NC	13	<1	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	NC	<1
	WA09 (331) - Dorre Island and Bernier Island (B)	NC	NC	NC	1,313	NC	NC	12	<1	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	NC	<1
	WA10 (120) - Bundegi - Shothole Canyon N (A)	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1,247	NC	23	NC	NC	NC	<1
	WA10 (121) - Bundegi - Shothole Canyon N (B)	NC	NC	NC	521	1,003	NC	194	3	2	NC	3	1	NC	650	NC	67	NC	NC	NC	4
	WA10 (122) - Vlamingh Head - North West Cape	619	NC	NC	470	739	NC	770	42	42	NC	9	9	NC	413	1,179	157	778	NC	NC	15
SHORE-WAMOPRA	WA10 (123) - Low Point - Vlamingh Head (A)	615	NC	NC	499	1,260	NC	607	23	19	NC	13	6	NC	417	464	197	857	NC	NC	12
	WA10 (124) - Low Point - Vlamingh Head (B)	497	NC	NC	499	507	NC	470	28	24	NC	16	9	NC	453	478	192	NC	NC	NC	9
	WA10 (125) - Osprey Bay - Low Point	NC	NC	NC	600	1,039	NC	111	7	3	NC	13	2	NC	482	1,088	113	NC	NC	NC	4
	WA10 (126) - Winderabandi Point - Osprey Bay	NC	NC	NC	876	1,299	NC	120	5	3	NC	12	2	NC	823	NC	33	NC	NC	NC	5
	WA10 (127) - Coast Hill - Point Cloates	NC	NC	NC	1,214	NC	NC	36	2	NC	NC	7	NC	NC	868	NC	23	NC	NC	NC	3
	WA10 (128) - Point Maud - Coast Hill (A)	NC	NC	NC	1,237	1,335	NC	121	5	2	NC	11	1	NC	1,058	NC	27	NC	NC	NC	<1
	WA10 (129) - Point Maud - Coast Hill (B)	NC	NC	NC	1,264	1,335	NC	109	4	2	NC	10	1	NC	1,065	NC	24	NC	NC	NC	<1
	WA10 (130) - Alison Point - Point Maud	NC	NC	NC	1,297	NC	NC	25	2	NC	NC	8	NC	NC	NC	NC	7	NC	NC	NC	<1
	WA10 (131) - Gnarraloo Bay - Alison Point (A)	NC	NC	NC	1,294	NC	NC	25	<1	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	NC	NC	6	NC	NC	NC	<1
	WA10 (132) - Gnarraloo Bay - Alison Point (B)	NC	NC	NC	1,288	NC	NC	25	<1	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	<1
	WA10 (133) - Red Bluff - Gnarraloo Bay (A)	NC	NC	NC	1,293	NC	NC	35	2	NC	NC	7	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	<1

WA10 (134) - Red Bluff - Gnarraloo Bay (B)	NC	NC	NC	1,292	NC	NC	35	<1	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	<1
WA10 (135) - Red Bluff - Gnarraloo Bay (C)	NC	NC	NC	1,278	NC	NC	24	2	NC	NC	9	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	<1
WA10 (136) - Point Quobba - Cape Cuvier (A)	NC	NC	NC	1,293	NC	NC	13	<1	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	<1
WA11.West (113) - Coolgra Point W - Yardie Landing (A)	NC	NC	NC	1,344	NC	NC	16	<1	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	NC	NC	2	NC	NC	NC	<1
WA11.West (114) - Coolgra Point W - Yardie Landing (B)	NC	NC	NC	1,295	NC	NC	30	<1	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	NC	NC	3	NC	NC	NC	<1
WA11.West (115) - Hope Point - Locker Point (A)	NC	NC	NC	601	1,299	NC	177	6	4	NC	11	2	NC	NC	NC	10	NC	NC	NC	<1
WA11.West (116) - Hope Point - Locker Point (B)	NC	NC	NC	1,303	NC	NC	29	<1	NC	NC	4	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	NC	NC	<1
WA11.West (117) - Hope Point - Locker Point (C)	NC	NC	NC	1,272	1,340	NC	151	5	2	NC	12	1	NC	NC	NC	6	NC	NC	NC	<1
WA11.West (118) - Hope Point - Locker Point (D)	NC	NC	NC	1,333	NC	NC	12	<1	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	NC	NC	NC	<1
WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A)	143	779	NC	85	129	329	22,688	2,570	2,565	2,493	64	50	29	81	115	2,062	116	118	NC	66
WA11.West (319) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (B)	166	NC	NC	141	166	458	5,979	187	187	133	15	14	5	127	134	766	451	NC	NC	49
WA11.West (320) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (C)	159	NC	NC	137	530	NC	298	32	23	NC	41	14	NC	131	566	110	NC	NC	NC	6
WA11.West (321) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (D)	149	NC	NC	141	174	NC	637	60	53	NC	41	20	NC	130	176	336	529	NC	NC	25
WA11.West (324) - Yardie Landing - Weld Island coast S (B)	516	NC	NC	613	615	NC	672	8	7	NC	3	1	NC	141	527	526	600	NC	NC	18
WA11.West (325) - Coolgra Point W - Yardie Landing (C)	610	NC	NC	603	624	NC	744	37	36	NC	9	7	NC	314	612	289	NC	NC	NC	10
WA11.West (326) - Baresand Point - Entrance Point E	497	637	NC	357	470	598	6,552	282	281	221	24	21	10	345	499	568	653	NC	NC	28
WA11.West (327) - Hope Point - Locker Point (E)	NC	NC	NC	604	630	NC	525	9	9	NC	2	2	NC	477	696	198	NC	NC	NC	3
WA11.West (328) - Hope Point - Locker Point (F)	1,322	NC	NC	624	1,274	NC	723	42	41	NC	13	11	NC	736	NC	34	NC	NC	NC	<1
WA11.West (329) - Locker Point - Baresand Point	510	NC	NC	377	416	545	4,271	404	400	374	30	21	14	375	430	292	726	NC	NC	18

NA: Not applicable for receptor; NC: No contact to receptor predicted for specified threshold.



Figure 13.77 Predicted low threshold exposure area following a surface LOWC at Kullingal for the deterministic simulation (transitional, run 31) which resulted in the greatest number of receptors with floating oil exposure at or above 1 g/m² within 7 days.



Figure 13.78 Predicted zones of floating oil exposure and maximum shoreline accumulation following a surface LOWC at Kullingal for the deterministic simulation (transitional, run 31) which resulted in the greatest number of receptors with floating oil exposure at or above 1 g/m² within 7 days.



Figure 13.79 Predicted zones of dissolved oil exposure and maximum shoreline accumulation following a surface LOWC at Kullingal for the deterministic simulation (transitional, run 31) which resulted in the greatest number of receptors with floating oil exposure at or above 1 g/m² within 7 days.



Figure 13.80 Predicted zones of entrained oil exposure and maximum shoreline accumulation following a surface LOWC at Kullingal for the deterministic simulation (transitional, run 31) which resulted in the greatest number of receptors with floating oil exposure at or above 1 g/m² within 7 days.



Figure 13.81 Predicted weathering and fates graph following a surface LOWC at Kullingal for the deterministic simulation (transitional, run 31) which resulted in the greatest number of receptors with floating oil exposure at or above 1 g/m^2 within 7 days.

14 MODELLING RESULTS: VESSEL COLLISION

This scenario investigated the potential exposure from a 300 m^3 surface release of MDO over 6 hours resulting from a vessel collision at Kullingal. The MDO was tracked for 30 days from the commencement of the spill to allow the concentrations to decrease below the lowest thresholds. The modelling for this scenario assumed no mitigation efforts are undertaken to collect or otherwise affect the natural transport and weathering.

14.1 Stochastic Analysis

14.1.1 Exposure Areas

Figure 14.1 illustrates the exposure areas for the vessel collision results, determined by integrating the low, moderate, and high threshold results of all 300 spill simulations.



Figure 14.1 Predicted exposure areas following a vessel collision at Kullingal, presented as an annual assessment. The exposure areas were determined by integrating the results of all 300 spill simulations across low, moderate and high thresholds.

14.1.2 Floating Oil Exposure

Table 14.1 summarises the maximum distances from the release location to floating oil exposure thresholds for each season. Concentrations exceeding 1 g/m^2 could extend up to 31 km from the release location. The maximum distances reduced to 18 km and 6 km as the threshold increases to 10 g/m^2 and 50 g/m^2, respectively.

No receptors were predicted to be exposed to floating oil exposure at, or above, 1, g/m^2.

Figure 14.2 to Figure 14.4 illustrate the extent floating oil exposure zones for each season. Figure 14.5 to Figure 14.22 depict the seasonal minimum times before exposure and probability of exposure for assessed thresholds.

Table 14.1 Maximum distances from the release location to floating oil exposure thresholds from a vessel collision at Kullingal. Results were calculated from 100 spill simulations per season.

Season	Distance and direction travelled	Floating oil exposure thresholds		
		1 g/m^2	10 g/m^2	50 g/m^2
Summer	Maximum distance (km) from release location	21	14	5
	Direction	Northeast	Northeast	West
Transitional	Maximum distance (km) from release location	31	17	6
	Direction	East	Northwest	Northwest
Winter	Maximum distance (km) from release location	29	18	3
	Direction	South	South	East



Figure 14.2 Predicted zones of floating oil exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.3 Predicted zones of floating oil exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.4 Predicted zones of floating oil exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.5 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.6 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.7 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.8 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.9 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.10 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.11 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m^2 following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.12 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.13 Minimum time before floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.14 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.15 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.16 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 1 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.17 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.18 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.19 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 10 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.20 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.21 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.22 Probability of floating oil exposure at, or above, 50 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.

14.1.3 Shoreline accumulation

Table 14.2 summarizes the predicted oil accumulation on shorelines across different seasons and thresholds. The highest probability of oil accumulation on any shoreline at, or above, the 10 g/m^2 threshold was recorded during winter, reaching 24%. The shortest time for oil to reach any shoreline at this threshold was 91 hours, also during winter. The maximum volume of oil ashore from a single spill was 23.2 m^3 also occurring during winter.

Table 14.3 to

Table 14.5 present the predicted oil accumulation for specific shoreline cells for each season.

The highest probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, the 10 g/m^2 threshold was forecast for WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), with probabilities of 2%, 6%, and 15% during the summer, transitional, and winter seasons, respectively. During summer, transitional and winter conditions, the same shoreline cell was predicted to experience quickest time before oil accumulation at 152 hours, 136 hours and 91 hours after the spill commencement. Additionally, the same shoreline cell recorded the highest volume of oil ashore with 23.2 m^3, during a spill simulation that commenced under winter conditions (see Table 14.5).

The seasonal maximum potential shoreline oil accumulation are presented in Figure 14.23 to Figure 14.25 and probabilities for each of the thresholds and seasons are presented in Figure 14.26 to Figure 14.30.

Table 14.2 Summary of oil accumulation on any shoreline following a vessel collision at Kullingal. Results were calculated from 100 spill simulations per season.

Shoreline statistics	Summer			Transitional			Winter		
	Shoreline accumulation thresholds			Shoreline accumulation thresholds			Shoreline accumulation thresholds		
	10 g/m^2	100 g/m^2	1,000 g/m^2	10 g/m^2	100 g/m^2	1,000 g/m^2	10 g/m^2	100 g/m^2	1,000 g/m^2
Probability of contact to any shoreline (%)	2	NC	NC	9	1	NC	24	6	NC
Absolute minimum time to shore (hours)	152	NC	NC	136	366	NC	91	110	NC
Maximum accumulated volume (m^3) from a single spill simulation	1.2	NC	NC	5.3	1.6	NC	23.2	20.3	NC
Average accumulated volume (m^3) across all spill simulations	<1	NC	NC	<1	<1	NC	1.0	<1	NC
Maximum length of shoreline (km) from a single spill simulation	5	NC	NC	19	1	NC	14	6	NC
Average length of shoreline (km) across all spill simulations	0.08	NC	NC	0.51	0.01	NC	1.55	0.23	NC

NC: No contact to receptor predicted for specified threshold.

Table 14.3 Summary of shoreline oil accumulation on WAMOPRA shoreline cells following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.

WAMOPRA shoreline cells	Probability (%) of shoreline oil on receptors at			Minimum time to receptor (hours) for shoreline oil at			Maximum local accumulated concentration (g/m²)		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 10 g/m²		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 100 g/m²		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 1,000 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 10 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 100 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 1,000 g/m²	
	≥ 10 g/m²	≥ 100 g/m²	≥ 1,000 g/m²	≥ 10 g/m²	≥ 100 g/m²	≥ 1,000 g/m²	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate spill	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation
WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A)	2	NC	NC	152	NC	NC	<1	50	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	5	NC	NC	NC	NC

Table 14.4 Summary of shoreline oil accumulation on WAMOPRA shoreline cells following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.

WAMOPRA shoreline cells	Probability (%) of shoreline oil on receptors at			Minimum time to receptor (hours) for shoreline oil at			Maximum local accumulated concentration (g/m²)		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 10 g/m²		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 100 g/m²		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 1,000 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 10 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 100 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 1,000 g/m²	
	≥ 10 g/m²	≥ 100 g/m²	≥ 1,000 g/m²	≥ 10 g/m²	≥ 100 g/m²	≥ 1,000 g/m²	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate spill	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation
WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A)	6	1	NC	136	366	NC	3.3	150	<1	4	<1	2	NC	NC	<1	11	<1	1	NC	NC
WA11.West (319) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (B)	1	NC	NC	158	NC	NC	<1	66	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	8	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.West (329) - Locker Point - Baresand Point	1	NC	NC	387	NC	NC	<1	18	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC

Table 14.5 Summary of shoreline oil accumulation on WAMOPRA shoreline cells following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.

WAMOPRA shoreline cells	Probability (%) of shoreline oil on receptors at			Minimum time to receptor (hours) for shoreline oil at			Maximum local accumulated concentration (g/m²)		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 10 g/m²		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 100 g/m²		Maximum accumulated volume (m³) along this shoreline with concentrations ≥ 1,000 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 10 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 100 g/m²		Maximum length of shoreline (km) with concentrations ≥ 1,000 g/m²	
	≥ 10 g/m²	≥ 100 g/m²	≥ 1,000 g/m²	≥ 10 g/m²	≥ 100 g/m²	≥ 1,000 g/m²	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate spill	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation	averaged over all replicate simulations	in the worst replicate simulation
WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A)	15	6	NC	91	110	NC	26	634	<1	23	<1	21	NC	NC	2	14	<1	6	NC	NC
WA11.West (319) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (B)	4	NC	NC	163	NC	NC	1.4	41	<1	2	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	6	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.West (321) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (D)	1	NC	NC	412	NC	NC	<1	22	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	1	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.West (326) - Baresand Point - Entrance Point E	1	NC	NC	265	NC	NC	<1	17	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	3	NC	NC	NC	NC
WA11.West (329) - Locker Point - Baresand Point	4	NC	NC	276	NC	NC	1.4	21	<1	<1	NC	NC	NC	NC	<1	6	NC	NC	NC	NC



Figure 14.23 Maximum potential shoreline oil accumulation following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.24 Maximum potential shoreline oil accumulation following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.25 Maximum potential shoreline oil accumulation following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.26 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 10 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.27 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 10 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.28 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 10 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.29 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 100 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.30 Predicted probability of shoreline oil accumulation at, or above, 100 g/m² following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.

14.1.4 In-water exposure

14.1.4.1 Dissolved Hydrocarbons

Table 14.6 summarises the maximum distances from the release location to the dissolved hydrocarbon exposure thresholds for each season. Concentrations exceeding 10 ppb may potentially extend up to 169 km from the release location. As the threshold increases to 50 ppb, the maximum distance decreases to 58 km. No exposure was predicted above 400 ppb.

Table 14.7 summarises the predicted dissolved hydrocarbon exposure to receptors (either at, or above, receptors in the water column).

The Montebello AMP recorded the highest probabilities of exposure at, or above, 10 ppb during summer (2%), transitional (4%) and winter (7%) conditions. The same receptor recorded the quickest time to exposure during transitional conditions (27 hours). The Montebello AMP also recorded the highest concentration at 41 ppb during winter conditions.

Figure 14.31 to Figure 14.33 illustrate the dissolved hydrocarbon exposure zones for the three seasons, whilst Figure 14.34 to Figure 14.45 show the minimum times before exposure and probabilities of exposure at or above, 10 ppb and 50 ppb.

Seasonal cross-sectional transects (north-south and east-west) of the maximum dissolved hydrocarbons in the vicinity of the release site are presented in Figure 14.46 to Figure 14.51.

Table 14.6 Maximum distances from the release location to dissolved hydrocarbon exposure thresholds following a vessel collision at Kullingal. Results were calculated from 100 spill simulations per season.

Season	Distance and direction travelled	Dissolved hydrocarbon exposure thresholds		
		10 ppb	50 ppb	400 ppb
Summer	Maximum distance (km) from release location	148	32	-
	Direction	East	Northeast	-
Transitional	Maximum distance (km) from release location	155	58	-
	Direction	Southwest	West	-
Winter	Maximum distance (km) from release location	169	31	-
	Direction	Southwest	West	-

Table 14.7 Receptors predicted to be exposed by dissolved hydrocarbons following a vessel collision at Kullingal. Results were calculated from100 spill simulations per season.

Category	Name	Summer								Transitional								Winter							
		Probability (%) of dissolved concentration			Minimum time to receptor waters (hours) at			Maximum dissolved hydrocarbon concentration (ppb)		Probability (%) of dissolved concentration			Minimum time to receptor waters (hours) at			Maximum dissolved hydrocarbon concentration (ppb)		Probability (%) of dissolved concentration			Minimum time to receptor waters (hours) at			Maximum dissolved hydrocarbon concentration (ppb)	
		≥ 10 ppb	≥ 50 ppb	≥ 400 ppb	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 50 ppb	≥ 400 ppb	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 50 ppb	≥ 400 ppb	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 50 ppb	≥ 400 ppb	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 50 ppb	≥ 400 ppb	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 50 ppb	≥ 400 ppb	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate
AMP	Montebello	2	NC	NC	33	NC	NC	<1	29	4	1	NC	31	51	NC	2	56	7	NC	NC	27	NC	NC	2	41
KEF	Glomar Shoals	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	121	NC	NC	<1	16	1	NC	NC	81	NC	NC	<1	18
MP	Montebello Islands	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	NC	79	NC	NC	<1	26	1	NC	NC	79	NC	NC	<1	26
State and Territory Waters	WA	1	NC	NC	26	NC	NC	<1	29	1	NC	NC	42	NC	NC	<1	26	1	NC	NC	42	NC	NC	<1	26



Figure 14.31 Predicted zones of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.32 Predicted zones of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.33 Predicted zones of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.34 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.35 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.36 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.37 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.38 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.39 Minimum time before dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.40 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.41 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.42 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.43 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.44 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.45 Probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 50 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.46 North-south cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.47 North-south cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.48 North-south cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.49 East-west cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.50 East-west cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.51 East-west cross-section transect of dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.

14.1.4.2 Entrained Hydrocarbons

Table 14.8 summarises the maximum distances from the release location to entrained hydrocarbon thresholds for each season. Concentrations exceeding 10 ppb may potentially extend up to 494 km from the release location. As the threshold increases to 100 ppb, the maximum distance decreases to 237 km.

Table 14.9 summarises the receptors exposed to entrained hydrocarbons per season (either at, or above, receptors in the water column).

The Montebello AMP recorded the highest probability of exposure to concentrations at, or above, 10 ppb, during all seasonal conditions, specifically 23%, 51% and 54% during summer, transitional and winter conditions, respectively. The same receptor registered the quickest time to exposure during summer and winter conditions at 22 hours.

Figure 14.52 to Figure 14.54 illustrate the zones of exposure to entrained hydrocarbons for each season, whilst Figure 14.55 to Figure 14.66 display the minimum exposure times and the probability of exposure to these hydrocarbons.

Seasonal cross-sectional transects (north-south and east-west) of the maximum entrained hydrocarbons in the vicinity of the release site, are presented in Figure 14.67 to Figure 14.72.

Table 14.8 Maximum distances from the release location to entrained hydrocarbon exposure thresholds following a vessel collision at Kullingal. Results were calculated from 100 spill simulations per season.

Season	Distance and direction travelled	Entrained hydrocarbon exposure thresholds	
		10 ppb	100 ppb
Summer	Maximum distance (km) from release location	315	101
	Direction	Northeast	East
Transitional	Maximum distance (km) from release location	424	132
	Direction	Southwest	West
Winter	Maximum distance (km) from release location	494	237
	Direction	Southwest	Southwest

Table 14.9 Receptors predicted to be exposed by entrained hydrocarbons following a vessel collision at Kullingal. Results were calculated from100 spill simulations per season.

Category	Name	Summer						Transitional						Winter					
		Probability (%) of entrained hydrocarbon concentration		Minimum time to receptor waters (hours) at		Maximum entrained hydrocarbon concentration (ppb)		Probability (%) of entrained hydrocarbon concentration		Minimum time to receptor waters (hours) at		Maximum entrained hydrocarbon concentration (ppb)		Probability (%) of entrained hydrocarbon concentration		Minimum time to receptor waters (hours) at		Maximum entrained hydrocarbon concentration (ppb)	
		≥ 10 ppb	≥ 100 ppb	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 100 ppb	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 100 ppb	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 100 ppb	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 100 ppb	≥ 10 ppb	≥ 100 ppb	averaged over all replicate spills	in the worst replicate
AMP	Dampier	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	268	NC	<1	27	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Gascoyne	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	4	NC	349	NC	2	23	13	NC	238	NC	4	46
	Montebello	23	3	22	23	12	307	51	22	25	26	57	430	54	24	22	24	62	560
KEF	Ancient coastline at 125 m depth contour	4	NC	153	NC	2	46	8	NC	197	NC	3	41	17	1	115	289	6	117
	Canyons linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Cape Range Peninsula	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	7	NC	262	NC	2	33	16	NC	174	NC	6	90
	Commonwealth waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	352	NC	2	42	13	NC	226	NC	4	53

	Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities	3	NC	284	NC	<1	18	6	NC	269	NC	2	35	11	NC	157	NC	4	57
	Exmouth Plateau	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	2	NC	371	NC	<1	23
	Glomar Shoals	10	NC	87	NC	4	64	12	1	99	110	5	115	5	3	70	75	7	231
MP	Barrow Island	1	NC	251	NC	<1	21	6	NC	121	NC	2	43	13	1	86	183	6	104
	Montebello Islands	5	1	132	150	3	113	24	2	59	66	11	166	37	7	57	67	23	316
	Ningaloo	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	5	NC	352	NC	2	42	13	NC	226	NC	4	53
NR	Great Sandy Island	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	452	NC	<1	12
	Lowendal Islands	1	NC	298	NC	<1	22	2	NC	138	NC	<1	60	7	NC	161	NC	3	86
RSB	Barrow Island Reefs and Shoals	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	406	NC	<1	23
	Combe Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	366	NC	<1	15
	Dailey Shoal	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	3	NC	297	NC	<1	13	5	NC	210	NC	2	29
	Glomar Shoal	5	NC	228	NC	2	30	4	NC	141	NC	2	79	2	NC	117	NC	<1	68
	Hammersley Shoal	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	314	NC	<1	18	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Madeleine Shoals	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	267	NC	<1	27	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
	Montebello Shoals	3	NC	139	NC	<1	37	12	NC	141	NC	4	49	22	NC	81	NC	9	69
	Ningaloo Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	2	NC	395	NC	<1	23	2	NC	512	NC	<1	22
	Otway Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	2	NC	301	NC	<1	15

	Outtrim Patches	1	NC	474	NC	<1	12	4	NC	292	NC	2	25	10	NC	209	NC	3	31
	Penguin Bank	1	NC	217	NC	<1	25	4	NC	170	NC	2	21	6	NC	156	NC	4	64
	Poivre Reef	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	2	NC	141	NC	<1	18	7	NC	139	NC	3	79
	Rankin Bank	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	2	NC	310	NC	<1	22	3	NC	393	NC	2	32
	Ripple Shoals	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	1	NC	459	NC	<1	13
	Rosily Shoals	1	NC	229	NC	<1	15	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	2	NC	169	NC	2	27
	Tryal Rocks	6	NC	180	NC	2	28	23	NC	76	NC	7	56	23	4	51	69	13	125
State and Territory Waters	WA	8	2	16	16	7	324	27	3	38	40	13	266	44	13	39	41	35	406



Figure 14.52 Predicted zones of entrained hydrocarbon exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.53 Predicted zones of entrained hydrocarbon exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.54 Predicted zones of entrained hydrocarbon exposure following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.55 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.56 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.57 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.58 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.59 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.60 Minimum time before entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.61 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.62 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.63 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 10 ppb following a vessel collision at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.64 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.65 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.66 Probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure at, or above, 100 ppb following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.67 North-south cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.68 North-south cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.69 North-south cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.



Figure 14.70 East-west cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent summer conditions.



Figure 14.71 East-west cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent transitional conditions.



Figure 14.72 East-west cross-section transect of entrained hydrocarbon concentrations following a surface LOWC at Kullingal. The results were calculated from 100 spill simulations and represent winter conditions.

15 REFERENCES

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) 2022, 'F2067-22 Standard Practice for Development and Use of Oil-Spill Trajectory Models', ASTM International, West Conshohocken, USA.

Amores, A, Jordà, G & Monserrat, S 2019, 'Ocean eddies in the Mediterranean Sea from satellite altimetry: Sensitivity to satellite track location', *Frontiers in Marine Science*, vol. 6, p.703.

Andersen, OB 1995, 'Global ocean tides from ERS 1 and TOPEX/POSEIDON altimetry', *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, vol. 100, no. C12, pp. 25249–25259.

Anderson JW, Neff JM, Cox BA, Tatem HE & Hightower GM 1974, 'Characteristics of dispersions and water-soluble extracts of crude and refined oils and their toxicity to estuarine crustaceans and fish', *Marine Biology*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 75–88.

Anderson JW, Riley R, Kiesser S & Gurtisen J 1987, 'Toxicity of dispersed and undispersed Prudhoe Bay crude oil fractions to shrimp and fish', Proceedings of the 1987 International Oil Spill Conference, American Petroleum Institute, pp. 235–240.

Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) 2014, 'Identification of oil on water: Aerial observations and identification guide', viewed 4 June 2020, https://www.amsa.gov.au/sites/default/files/2014-01-mp-amsa22-identification-oil-on-water.pdf.

Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) 2023, 'Technical Guideline for the Preparation of Marine Pollution Contingency Plans for Marine and Coastal Facilities Australian Maritime Safety Authority', viewed 14 March 2024, https://www.amsa.gov.au/sites/default/files/np-gui-012-technical-guidelines-contingency-plans-15082023_0.pdf

Becker, JJ, Sandwell, DT, Smith, WHF, Braud, J, Binder, B, Depner, J, Fabre, D, Factor, J, Ingalls, S, Kim, S-H, Ladner, R, Marks, K, Nelson, S, Pharaoh, A, Trimmer, R, Von Rosenberg, J, Wallace, G & Weatherall, P 2009, 'Global bathymetry and evaluation data at 30 arc seconds resolution: SRTM30_PLUS', *Marine Geodesy*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 355–371.

Blum DJ & Speece RE 1990, 'Determining chemical toxicity to aquatic species', *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 284–293.

Bobra, M 1991, 'Water-in-oil emulsification: a physicochemical study', Proceedings of International Oil Spill Conference, American Petroleum Institute, no. 1, pp. 483–488.

Bonn Agreement 2009, 'Bonn Agreement aerial operations handbook, 2009 - Publication of the Bonn Agreement', London, viewed 13 January 2015, http://www.bonnagreement.org/site/assets/files/3947/ba-aoh_revision_2_april_2012.pdf.

Carls, MG, Holland, L, Larsen, M, Collier, TK, Scholz, NL & Incardona, JP 2008, 'Fish embryos are damaged by dissolved PAHs, not oil particles', *Aquatic toxicology*, 88(2), pp.121–127.

Chassignet, EP, Hurlburt, HE, Smedstad, OM, Halliwell, GR, Hogan, PJ, Wallcraft, AJ, Baraille, R & Bleck, R 2007, 'The HYCOM (hybrid coordinate ocean model) data assimilative system', *Journal of Marine Systems*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 60–83.

Chassignet, E, Hurlburt, H, Metzger, E, Smedstad, O, Cummings, J & Halliwell, G 2009, 'U.S. GODAE: Global Ocean Prediction with the HYbrid Coordinate Ocean Model (HYCOM)', *Oceanography*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 64–75.

Condie, SA, & Andrewartha, JR 2008, 'Circulation and connectivity on the Australian Northwest Shelf', *Continental Shelf Research*, vol. 28, pp. 1724–1739.

Daling PJ & Brandvik, PS 1991, 'W/O-emulsion formation and w/o-emulsion stability testing - an extended study with eight oil types', Technical Report IKU-R-02.0786.00/10/90, Institutt for Kontinentalundersoekelser og Petroleumsteknologi A/S, Trondheim (Norway), Norway.

Daling, PS, Aamo, OM, Lewis, A & Strøm-Kristiansen, T 1997, 'SINTEF/IKU Oil-Weathering model: Predicting oils' properties at sea', Proceedings of International Oil Spill Conference, American Petroleum Institute, no. 1, pp. 297–307.

Davies, AM 1977a, 'The numerical solutions of the three-dimensional hydrodynamic equations using a B-spline representation of the vertical current profile', in JC Nihoul (ed), Bottom Turbulence: Proceedings of the 8th Liège Colloquium on Ocean Hydrodynamics, Elsevier Scientific, Amsterdam, pp. 1–25.

Davies, AM 1977b, 'Three-dimensional model with depth-varying eddy viscosity', in JC Nihoul (ed), Bottom Turbulence: Proceedings of the 8th Liège Colloquium on Ocean Hydrodynamics, Elsevier Scientific, Amsterdam, pp. 27–48.

Delvigne, GA 1991, 'On scale modeling of oil droplet formation from spilled oil', Proceedings of International Oil Spill Conference, American Petroleum Institute, no. 1, pp. 501–506.

Delvigne, GAL & Sweeney, C 1988, 'Natural dispersion of oil', *Oil and Chemical Pollution*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 281–310.

Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (DEWHA) 2008, the North-West marine bioregional plan – bioregional profile, viewed 10 March 2015, http://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/2e286b1a-c6e2-4e3d-95cf-c98a8dea60fd/files/bioregional-profile.pdf

Fingas, M, 1995, 'Water-in-oil emulsion formation: A review of physics and mathematical modelling', *Spill Science & Technology Bulletin*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp.55–59.

Fingas, M & Fieldhouse B 2004, 'Formation of water-in-oil emulsions and application to oil spill modelling', *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 107, pp. 37–50.

French, D, Reed, M, Jayko, K., Feng, S, Rines, H & Pavignano, S 1996, 'The CERCLA Type A Natural Resource Damage Assessment Model for Coastal and Marine Environments (NRDAM/CME), Technical Documentation, Vol. I - Model Description', Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance, U.S. Department of the Interior. Washington, D.C.: Contract No. 14-0001-91-C-11.

French, D, Schuttenberg, H & Isaji, T 1999, 'Probabilities of oil exceeding thresholds of concern: examples from an evaluation for Florida Power and Light', Proceedings of the 22nd Arctic and Marine Oil Spill Program (AMOP) Technical Seminar, Environment Canada, Alberta, pp. 243–270.

French-McCay, DP 2002, 'Development and application of an oil toxicity and exposure model, OilToxEx', *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, vol. 21, no. 10, pp. 2080–2094.

French-McCay, DP 2003, 'Development and application of damage assessment modelling: example assessment for the North Cape oil spill', *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, vol. 47, no. 9, pp. 9–12.

French-McCay, DP 2004, 'Spill impact modelling: development and validation', *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, vol. 23, no.10, pp. 2441–2456.

French-McCay, DP 2009, 'State-of-the-art and research needs for oil spill impact assessment modelling', Proceedings of the 32nd Arctic and Marine Oil Spill Program (AMOP) Technical Seminar, Environment Canada, Ottawa, pp. 601–653.

French-McCay, D, Rowe, JJ, Whittier, N, Sankaranarayanan, S, & Etkin, DS 2004, 'Estimate of potential impacts and natural resource damages of oil', *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 107, no. 1, pp. 11–25.

French-McCay, D, Whittier, N, Dalton, C, Rowe, J, Sankaranarayanan, S & Aurand, D 2005a, 'Modeling the fates of hypothetical oil spills in Delaware, Florida, Texas, California, and Alaska waters, varying response options including use of dispersants', Proceedings of the International Oil Spill Conference 2005, American Petroleum Institute, Washington DC, paper 399.

French-McCay, D, Whittier, N, Rowe, J, Sankaranarayanan, S, Kim, H-S & Aurand, D 2005b, 'Use of probabilistic trajectory and impact modeling to assess consequences of oil spills with various response strategies,' Proceedings of the 28th Arctic and Marine Oil Spill Program (AMOP) Technical Seminar, Environment Canada, Ottawa, pp. 253–271.

French-McCay, D, Reich, D, Rowe, J, Schroeder, M & Graham, E 2011, 'Oil spill modeling input to the offshore environmental cost model (OECM) for US-BOEMRE's spill risk and costs evaluations', Proceedings of the 34th Arctic and Marine Oil Spill Program (AMOP) Technical Seminar, Environment Canada, Ottawa.

French-McCay, D, Reich, D, Michel, J, Etkin, DS, Symons, L, Helton, D, & Wagner J 2012, 'Oil spill consequence analysis of potentially-polluting shipwrecks', Proceedings of the 35th Arctic and Marine Oil Spill Program (AMOP) Technical Seminar, Environment Canada, Ottawa.

French-McCay, DP, Jayko, K, Li, Z, Spaulding, ML, Crowley, D, Mendelsohn, D, Horn, M, Isaji, T, Kim, YH, Fontenault, J & Rowe, JJ 2021, 'Oil fate and mass balance for the Deepwater Horizon oil spill', *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, vol. 171, pp. 112681

French-McCay, DP, Frediani, M & Gloekler, MD 2022a, 'Modeling emulsification influence on oil properties and fate to inform effective spill response', *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, vol. 10, p. 908984.

French-McCay, DP, Robinson, H, Bock, M, Crowley, D Schuler, P & Rowe, JJ 2022b, 'Counter-historical study of alternative dispersant use in the Deepwater Horizon oil spill response', *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, vol. 180, p.113778.

Gordon, R 1982, 'Wind driven circulation in Narragansett Bay' PhD thesis, Department of Ocean Engineering, University of Rhode Island.

Grant, DL, Clarke, PJ & Allaway, WG 1993, 'The response of grey mangrove (*Avicennia marina* (Forsk.) Vierh) seedlings to spills of crude oil,' *The Journal of Experimental Marine Biological Ecology*, vol. 171, no. 2, pp. 273–295.

Isaji, T & Spaulding, M 1984, 'A model of the tidally induced residual circulation in the Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank', *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 1119–1126.

Isaji, T, Howlett, E, Dalton C, & Anderson, E 2001, 'Stepwise-continuous-variable-rectangular grid hydrodynamics model', Proceedings of the 24th Arctic and Marine Oil spill Program (AMOP) Technical Seminar (including 18th TSOCS and 3rd PHYTO), Environment Canada, Edmonton, pp. 597–610.

King, B, Brushett, B & Lemckert, C 2010, 'A case study of consensus modelling for tracking oil spills', Proceedings of IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, Vol. 11, no. 1, p. 012025, IOP Publishing.

Koops, W, Jak, RG & van der Veen, DPC 2004, 'Use of dispersants in oil spill response to minimise environmental damage to birds and aquatic organisms', Proceedings of the Interspill 2004: Conference and Exhibition on Oil Spill Technology, Trondheim, presentation 429.

Kostianoy, AG, Ginzburg, AI, Lebedev, SA, Frankignoulle, M & Delille, B 2003, 'Fronts and mesoscale variability in the southern Indian Ocean as inferred from the TOPEX/POSEIDON and ERS-2 Altimetry data', *Oceanology*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 632–642.

Levitus, S, Antonov, JI, Baranova, OK, Boyer, TP, Coleman, CL, Garcia, HE, Grodsky, AI, Johnson, DR, Locarnini, RA, Mishonov, AV, Reagan, JR, Sazama, CL, Seidov, D, Smolyar, I, Yarosh, ES & Zweng, MM 2013, 'The World Ocean Database', *Data Science Journal*, vol.12, no. 0, pp. WDS229–WDS234.

Lin, Q & Mendelssohn, IA 1996, 'A comparative investigation of the effects of south Louisiana crude oil on the vegetation of fresh, brackish and Salt Marshes', *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 202–209.

Ludicone, D, Santoleri, R, Marullo, S & Gerosa, P 1998, 'Sea level variability and surface eddy statistics in the Mediterranean Sea from TOPEX/POSEIDON data', *Journal of Geophysical Research I*, vol. 103, no. C2, pp. 2995–3011.

Makarynskyy, O, Langtry, S, Zapata, M & Rayson, M 2010, 'Validations of Hydrodynamic, Wave, and Dredging Simulations in Nearshore Environments', in Estuarine and Coastal Modeling, pp. 547-565, ASCE Library, Reston, USA.

Makarynskyy, O, Makarynska, D, Rayson, M & Langtry, S 2015, 'Combining deterministic modelling with artificial neural networks for suspended sediment estimates', *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 35, pp. 247-256.

Malins DC & Hodgins HO 1981, 'Petroleum and marine fishes: a review of uptake, disposition, and effects', *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 15, no. 11, pp.1272–1280.

Matsumoto, K, Takanezawa, T & Ooe, M 2000, 'Ocean tide models developed by assimilating TOPEX/POSEIDON altimeter data into hydrodynamical model: A global model and a regional model around Japan', *Journal of Oceanography*, vol. 56, no.5, pp. 567–581.

McAuliffe CD 1987, 'Organism exposure to volatile/soluble hydrocarbons from crude oil spills – a field and laboratory comparison', Proceedings of the 1987 International Oil Spill Conference, American Petroleum Institute, pp. 275–288.

McCarty LS 1986, 'The relationship between aquatic toxicity QSARs and bioconcentration for some organic chemicals', *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, vol. 5, no. 12, pp. 1071–1080.

McCarty LS, Dixon DG, MacKay D, Smith AD & Ozburn GW 1992a, 'Residue-based interpretation of toxicity and bioconcentration QSARs from aquatic bioassays: Neutral narcotic organics', *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry: An International Journal*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp.917–930.

McCarty LP, Flannagan DC, Randall SA & Johnson KA 1992b, 'Acute toxicity in rats of chlorinated hydrocarbons given via the intratracheal route', *Human & Experimental Toxicology*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp.173–117.

McCarty LS & Mackay D 1993, 'Enhancing ecotoxicological modelling and assessment. Body residues and modes of toxic action', *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 27, no. 9, pp. 1718–1728.

McGrath JA, & Di Toro DM 2009, 'Validation of the target lipid model for toxicity assessment of residual petroleum constituents: monocyclic and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons', *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 1130–1148.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) 2013, 'Screening level risk assessment package Gulf state', Office of National Marine Sanctuaries & Office of Response and Restoration, Washington DC.

National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) 2018, 'At a glance: Oil spill modelling', viewed 15 November 2018, https://www.nopsema.gov.au/assets/Publications/A626200.pdf

National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) 2019, 'NOPSEMA Bulletin #1: Oil spill modelling', viewed April 2019, https://www.nopsema.gov.au/assets/Bulletins/A652993.pdf

National Research Council (NRC) 2003, 'Oil in the sea III: Inputs, fates and effects', The National Academic Press, Washington D.C.

Neff JM, Anderson JW 1981, 'Response of marine animals to petroleum and specific petroleum hydrocarbons' United States Department of Energy, United States.

Nirmalakhandan N & Speece RE 1998, 'Quantitative techniques for predicting the behaviour of chemicals in the ecosystem', *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 606–615.

Nordtug, T, Olsen, AJ, Altin, D, Overrein, I, Storøy, W, Hansen, BH & De Laender, F 2011, 'Oil droplets do not affect assimilation and survival probability of first feeding larvae of North-East Arctic cod', *Science of the Total Environment*, 412, pp.148–153.

Oil Spill Solutions 2015, 'Evaluation - The Theory of Oil Slick Appearances', viewed 6 January 2015, http://www.oilspillsolutions.org/evaluation.htm

Okubo, A, 1971 'Oceanic diffusion diagrams', *Deep Sea Research and Oceanographic Abstracts*, vol. 18, no. 8, pp. 789–802.

Owen, A 1980, 'A three-dimensional model of the Bristol Channel', *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 1290–1302.

Qiu, B & Chen, S 2010, 'Eddy-mean flow interaction in the decadally modulating Kuroshio Extension system', *Deep-Sea Research II*, vol. 57, no. 13, pp. 1098–1110.

Redman AD 2015, 'Role of entrained droplet oil on the bioavailability of petroleum substances in aqueous exposures', *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, vol. 97, no. (1–2), pp. 342–348.

Sagnieres, LB, Sharf, I & Deleflie, F 2020, 'Simulation of long-term rotational dynamics of large space debris: A TOPEX/Poseidon case study', *Advances in Space Research*, vol. 65, no. 4, pp. 1182–1195.

Saha, S, Moorthi, S, Pan, H-L, Wu, X, Wang, J & Nadiga, S 2010, 'The NCEP Climate Forecast System Reanalysis', *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, vol. 91, no. 8, pp. 1015–1057.

Scholten, MCTh, Kaag, NHBM, Dokkum, HP van, Jak, R.G., Schobben, HPM & Slob, W 1996, Toxische effecten van olie in het aquatische milieu, TNO report TNO-MEP – R96/230, Den Helder.

Suprayogi, B & Murray, F 1999, 'A field experiment of the physical and chemical effects of two oils on mangroves', *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 221–229.

Swartz RC, Schults DW, Ozretich RJ, Lamberson JO, Cole FA, Ferraro SP, Dewitt TH & Redmond MS 1995, 'ΣPAH: A Model to predict the toxicity of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon mixtures in field-collected sediments', *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, vol. 14, no. 11, pp. 1977–1187.

Talouli, A, Gilbert, T & Monfils Gilbert, R 2009, 'Strategic Environmental Assessment and potential future shoreline impacts of the oil spill from WWII shipwreck Hoyo Maru Chuuk Lagoon-Federated States of Micronesia', Pacific Regional Environment Programme, Apia, Samoa.

Veng, T & Andersen, OB 2021, 'Consolidating sea level acceleration estimates from satellite altimetry', *Advances in Space Research*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 496–503.

Verhaar HJM, Van Leeuwen CJ & Hermens JLM 1992, 'Classifying environmental pollutants', *Chemosphere*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 471–491.

Verhaar HJM, de Jongh J & Hermens JLM 1999, 'Modelling the bioconcentration of organic compounds by fish: A novel approach', *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 33, no. 22, pp. 4069–4072.

Yaremchuk, M & Tangdong, Q 2004, 'Seasonal variability of the large-scale currents near the coast of the Philippines', *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, vol. 34, no., 4, pp. 844–855.

Zeitlhöfler, J, Bloßfeld, M, Rudenko, S, Dettmering, D & Seitz, F 2023, 'Station-dependent satellite laser ranging measurement corrections for TOPEX/Poseidon', *Advances in Space Research*, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 975–996.

Zigic, S, Zapata, M, Isaji, T, King, B, & Lemckert, C 2003, 'Modelling of Moreton Bay using an ocean/coastal circulation model', Proceedings of the 16th Australasian Coastal and Ocean Engineering Conference, the 9th Australasian Port and Harbour Conference and the Annual New Zealand Coastal Society Conference, Institution of Engineers Australia, Auckland, paper 170.

Zigic, S, Makarynskyy, O, Langtry, S & Westbrook, G 2009, 'A numerical modelling study for the proposed increase in Barramundi production, Cone Bay, Western Australia', in Estuarine and Coastal Modeling, pp. 428-446, ASCE Library, Reston, USA.

Appendix C Protected Matters Search Report

EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected. Please see the caveat for interpretation of information provided here.

Report created: 22-Nov-2024

Summary
Details
- Matters of NES
- Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act
- Extra Information

Caveat
Acknowledgements

Summary

Matters of National Environment Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the Administrative Guidelines on Significance.

World Heritage Properties:	None
National Heritage Places:	None
Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar	None
Great Barrier Reef Marine Park:	None
Commonwealth Marine Area:	2
Listed Threatened Ecological Communities:	None
Listed Threatened Species:	25
Listed Migratory Species:	42

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the environment anywhere.

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at https://www.dcceew.gov.au/parks-heritage/heritage

A permit may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

Commonwealth Lands:	None
Commonwealth Heritage Places:	None
Listed Marine Species:	75
Whales and Other Cetaceans:	16
Critical Habitats:	None
Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial:	None
Australian Marine Parks:	None
Habitat Critical to the Survival of Marine Turtles:	3

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have

State and Territory Reserves:	None
Regional Forest Agreements:	None
Nationally Important Wetlands:	None
EPBC Act Referrals:	12
Key Ecological Features (Marine):	None
Biologically Important Areas:	9
Bioregional Assessments:	None
Geological and Bioregional Assessments:	None

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

Commonwealth Marine Area [Resource Information]

Approval is required for a proposed activity that is located within the Commonwealth Marine Area which has, will have, or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment. Approval may be required for a proposed action taken outside a Commonwealth Marine Area but which has, may have or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment in the Commonwealth Marine Area.

Feature Name
Commonwealth Marine Areas (EPBC Act)
Commonwealth Marine Areas (EPBC Act)

Listed Threatened Species [Resource Information]

Status of Conservation Dependent and Extinct are not MNES under the EPBC Act.
Number is the current name ID.

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
BIRD		
Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phaethon lepturus fulvus Christmas Island White-tailed Tropicbird, Golden Bosunbird [26021]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
[Phaethon rubricauda westralis](#) Red-tailed Tropicbird (Indian Ocean), Indian Ocean Red-tailed Tropicbird [91824]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
[Sternula nereis nereis](#) Australian Fairy Tern [82950]	Vulnerable	Breeding known to occur within area
MAMMAL		
[Balaenoptera borealis](#) Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Balaenoptera musculus](#) Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
[Balaenoptera physalus](#) Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
REPTILE		
[Aipysurus apraefrontalis](#) Short-nosed Sea Snake, Short-nosed Seasnake [1115]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
[Aipysurus foliosquama](#) Leaf-scaled Sea Snake, Leaf-scaled Seasnake [1118]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
[Caretta caretta](#) Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area
[Chelonia mydas](#) Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area
[Dermochelys coriacea](#) Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
[Eretmochelys imbricata](#) Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Vulnerable	Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Natator depressus		
Flatback Turtle [59257]	Vulnerable	Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area
SHARK		
Carcharias taurus (west coast population)		
Grey Nurse Shark (west coast population) [68752]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Carcharodon carcharias		
White Shark, Great White Shark [64470]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pristis clavata		
Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Pristis pristis		
Freshwater Sawfish, Largetooth Sawfish, River Sawfish, Leichhardt's Sawfish, Northern Sawfish [60756]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pristis zijsron		
Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Rhincodon typus		
Whale Shark [66680]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Sphyrna lewini		
Scalloped Hammerhead [85267]	Conservation Dependent	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Listed Migratory Species		[Resource Information]
Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Migratory Marine Birds		
Anous stolidus		
Common Noddy [825]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Apus pacificus		
Fork-tailed Swift [678]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phaethon lepturus White-tailed Tropicbird [1014]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817]		Breeding likely to occur within area
Migratory Marine Species		
Anoxypristis cuspidata Narrow Sawfish, Knifetooth Sawfish [68448]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Carcharhinus longimanus Oceanic Whitetip Shark [84108]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Carcharias taurus Grey Nurse Shark [64469]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area
Crocodylus porosus Salt-water Crocodile, Estuarine Crocodile [1774]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Dugong dugon Dugong [28]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Vulnerable	Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area
Isurus oxyrinchus Shortfin Mako, Mako Shark [79073]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Isurus paucus Longfin Mako [82947]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]		Breeding known to occur within area
Mobula alfredi as Manta alfredi Reef Manta Ray, Coastal Manta Ray [90033]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Mobula birostris as Manta birostris Giant Manta Ray [90034]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Vulnerable	Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area
Orcaella heinsohni Australian Snubfin Dolphin [81322]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pristis clavata Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Pristis pristis Freshwater Sawfish, Largetooth Sawfish, River Sawfish, Leichhardt's Sawfish, Northern Sawfish [60756]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pristis zijsron Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Sousa sahulensis as Sousa chinensis Australian Humpback Dolphin [87942]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations)		
Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Migratory Wetlands Species		
Actitis hypoleucos		
Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris acuminata		
Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris canutus		
Red Knot, Knot [855]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea		
Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris melanotos		
Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis		
Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

Listed Marine Species		[Resource Information]
Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Bird		
Actitis hypoleucos		
Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Anous stolidus		
Common Noddy [825]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area overfly marine area
Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area
Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area
Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
[Phaethon lepturus](#) White-tailed Tropicbird [1014]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Phaethon lepturus fulvus](#) Christmas Island White-tailed Tropicbird, Golden Bosunbird [26021]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Sterna dougallii](#) Roseate Tern [817]		Breeding likely to occur within area
Fish		
[Acentronura larsonae](#) Helen's Pygmy Pipehorse [66186]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Bulbonaricus brauni](#) Braun's Pughead Pipefish, Pug-headed Pipefish [66189]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Campichthys tricarinatus](#) Three-keel Pipefish [66192]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Choeroichthys brachysoma](#) Pacific Short-bodied Pipefish, Short-bodied Pipefish [66194]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Choeroichthys latispinosus](#) Muiron Island Pipefish [66196]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Choeroichthys suillus](#) Pig-snouted Pipefish [66198]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Corythoichthys flavofasciatus](#) Reticulate Pipefish, Yellow-banded Pipefish, Network Pipefish [66200]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Cosmocampus banneri](#) Roughridge Pipefish [66206]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Doryrhamphus dactyliophorus Banded Pipefish, Ringed Pipefish [66210]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Doryrhamphus excisus Bluestripe Pipefish, Indian Blue-stripe Pipefish, Pacific Blue-stripe Pipefish [66211]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Doryrhamphus janssi Cleaner Pipefish, Janss' Pipefish [66212]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Doryrhamphus multiannulatus Many-banded Pipefish [66717]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Doryrhamphus negrosensis Flagtail Pipefish, Masthead Island Pipefish [66213]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Festucalex scalaris Ladder Pipefish [66216]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Filicampus tigris Tiger Pipefish [66217]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Halicampus brocki Brock's Pipefish [66219]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Halicampus grayi Mud Pipefish, Gray's Pipefish [66221]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Halicampus nitidus Glittering Pipefish [66224]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Halicampus spinirostris Spiny-snout Pipefish [66225]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Haliichthys taeniophorus Ribboned Pipehorse, Ribboned Seadragon [66226]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippichthys penicillus Beady Pipefish, Steep-nosed Pipefish [66231]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus angustus Western Spiny Seahorse, Narrow-bellied Seahorse [66234]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus histrix Spiny Seahorse, Thorny Seahorse [66236]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus kuda Spotted Seahorse, Yellow Seahorse [66237]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus planifrons Flat-face Seahorse [66238]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus spinosissimus Hedgehog Seahorse [66239]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus trimaculatus Three-spot Seahorse, Low-crowned Seahorse, Flat-faced Seahorse [66720]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Micrognathus micronotopterus Tidepool Pipefish [66255]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phoxocampus belcheri Black Rock Pipefish [66719]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Solegnathus hardwickii Pallid Pipehorse, Hardwick's Pipehorse [66272]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
[Solegnathus lettiensis](#) Gunther's Pipehorse, Indonesian Pipefish [66273]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Solenostomus cyanopterus](#) Robust Ghostpipefish, Blue-finned Ghost Pipefish, [66183]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Syngnathoides biaculeatus](#) Double-end Pipehorse, Double-ended Pipehorse, Alligator Pipefish [66279]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Trachyrhamphus bicoarctatus](#) Bentstick Pipefish, Bend Stick Pipefish, Short-tailed Pipefish [66280]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Trachyrhamphus longirostris](#) Straightstick Pipefish, Long-nosed Pipefish, Straight Stick Pipefish [66281]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mammal		
[Dugong dugon](#) Dugong [28]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Reptile		
[Aipysurus apraefrontalis](#) Short-nosed Sea Snake, Short-nosed Seasnake [1115]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
[Aipysurus duboisii](#) Dubois' Sea Snake, Dubois' Seasnake, Reef Shallows Sea Snake [1116]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Aipysurus foliosquama](#) Leaf-scaled Sea Snake, Leaf-scaled Seasnake [1118]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
[Aipysurus laevis](#) Olive Sea Snake, Olive-brown Sea Snake [1120]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Aipysurus mosaicus as Aipysurus eydouxii](#) Mosaic Sea Snake [87261]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Aipysurus tenuis Brown-lined Sea Snake, Mjoberg's Sea Snake [1121]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area
Crocodylus porosus Salt-water Crocodile, Estuarine Crocodile [1774]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Emydocephalus annulatus Eastern Turtle-headed Sea Snake [1125]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Ephalophis greyae as Ephalophis greyi Mangrove Sea Snake [93738]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Vulnerable	Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area
Hydrelaps darwiniensis Port Darwin Sea Snake, Black-ringed Mangrove Sea Snake [1100]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis czeblukovi Fine-spined Sea Snake [59233]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis elegans Elegant Sea Snake, Bar-bellied Sea Snake [1104]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Hydrophis kingii as Disteira kingii		
Spectacled Sea Snake [93511]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis macdowelli as Hydrophis mcdowelli		
MacDowell's Sea Snake, Small-headed Sea Snake, [75601]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis major as Disteira major		
Olive-headed Sea Snake [93512]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis ornatus		
Spotted Sea Snake, Ornate Reef Sea Snake [1111]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis peronii as Acalyptophis peronii		
Horned Sea Snake [93509]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis platura as Pelamis platurus		
Yellow-bellied Sea Snake [93746]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis stokesii as Astrotia stokesii		
Stokes' Sea Snake [93510]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Natator depressus		
Flatback Turtle [59257]	Vulnerable	Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area

Whales and Other Cetaceans		[Resource Information]
Current Scientific Name	Status	Type of Presence
Mammal		
Balaenoptera acutorostrata		
Minke Whale [33]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Balaenoptera borealis		
Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Current Scientific Name	Status	Type of Presence
Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Delphinus delphis Common Dolphin, Short-beaked Common Dolphin [60]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Grampus griseus Risso's Dolphin, Grampus [64]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]		Breeding known to occur within area
Orcaella heinsohni Australian Snubfin Dolphin [81322]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pseudorca crassidens False Killer Whale [48]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Sousa sahulensis Australian Humpback Dolphin [87942]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Stenella attenuata Spotted Dolphin, Pantropical Spotted Dolphin [51]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Current Scientific Name	Status	Type of Presence
Tursiops aduncus Indian Ocean Bottlenose Dolphin, Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin [68418]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Tursiops truncatus s. str. Bottlenose Dolphin [68417]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Habitat Critical to the Survival of Marine Turtles		[Resource Information]
Scientific Name	Behaviour	Presence
Aug - Sep		
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Nesting	Known to occur
Dec - Jan		
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Nesting	Known to occur
Nov - May		
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Nesting	Known to occur

Extra Information

EPBC Act Referrals			[Resource Information]
Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Controlled action			
Construct and operate LNG & domestic gas plant including onshore and offshore facilities - Wheatston	2008/4469	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Not controlled action			
Drilling of an exploration well Gats-1 in Permit Area WA-261-P	2004/1701	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
'Tourmaline' 2D marine seismic survey, permit areas WA-323-P, WA-330-P and WA-32	2005/2282	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
2D Seismic Survey	2005/2146	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
3D Marine Seismic Survey in WA 457-P & WA 458-P, North West Shelf, offshore WA	2013/6862	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
DAVROS MC 3D marine seismic survey northwaet of Dampier, WA	2013/7092	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Deep Water Northwest Shelf 2D Seismic Survey	2007/3260	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Reindeer gas reservior development, Devil Creek, Carnarvon Basin - WA	2007/3917	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Stag 4D & Reindeer MAZ Marine Seismic Surveys, WA	2013/7080	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Stag Off-bottom Cable Seismic Survey	2007/3696	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Undertake a 3D marine seismic survey	2010/5695	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
West Panaeus 3D seismic survey	2006/3141	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval

Biologically Important Areas		[Resource Information]
Scientific Name	Behaviour	Presence
Marine Turtles		
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Internesting buffer	Known to occur
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Internesting buffer	Known to occur

Scientific Name	Behaviour	Presence
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Internesting buffer	Known to occur
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Internesting buffer	Known to occur
Seabirds		
Ardenna pacifica Wedge-tailed Shearwater [84292]	Breeding	Known to occur
Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817]	Breeding	Known to occur
Sternula nereis Fairy Tern [82949]	Breeding	Known to occur
Sharks		
Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680]	Foraging	Known to occur
Whales		
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]	Migration (north and south)	Known to occur

Caveat

1 PURPOSE

This report is designed to assist in identifying the location of matters of national environmental significance (MNES) and other matters protected by the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth) (EPBC Act) which may be relevant in determining obligations and requirements under the EPBC Act.

The report contains the mapped locations of:

- World and National Heritage properties;
- Wetlands of International and National Importance;
- Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves;
- distribution of listed threatened, migratory and marine species;
- listed threatened ecological communities; and
- other information that may be useful as an indicator of potential habitat value.

2 DISCLAIMER

This report is not intended to be exhaustive and should only be relied upon as a general guide as mapped data is not available for all species or ecological communities listed under the EPBC Act (see below). Persons seeking to use the information contained in this report to inform the referral of a proposed action under the EPBC Act should consider the limitations noted below and whether additional information is required to determine the existence and location of MNES and other protected matters.

Where data is available to inform the mapping of protected species, the presence type (e.g. known, likely or may occur) that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. It is the responsibility of any person using or relying on the information in this report to ensure that it is suitable for the circumstances of any proposed use. The Commonwealth cannot accept responsibility for the consequences of any use of the report or any part thereof. To the maximum extent allowed under governing law, the Commonwealth will not be liable for any loss or damage that may be occasioned directly or indirectly through the use of, or reliance on the contents of this report.

3 DATA SOURCES

Threatened ecological communities

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are generated based on information contained in recovery plans, State vegetation maps and remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been discerned through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, distributions are inferred from either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc.) together with point locations and described habitat; or modelled (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using point locations and environmental data layers.

Where little information is available for a species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc.).

In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More detailed distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions when time permits.

4 LIMITATIONS

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in this report:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered vagrants;
- some recently listed species and ecological communities;
- some listed migratory and listed marine species, which are not listed as threatened species; and
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in Australia in small numbers.

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

- listed migratory and/or listed marine seabirds, which are not listed as threatened, have only been mapped for recorded breeding sites; and
- seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

The breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

Refer to the metadata for the feature group (using the Resource Information link) for the currency of the information.

Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

-Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales
-Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria
-Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania
-Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia
-Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory
-Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland
-Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia
-Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT
-Birdlife Australia
-Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme
-Australian National Wildlife Collection
-Natural history museums of Australia
-Museum Victoria
-Australian Museum
-South Australian Museum
-Queensland Museum
-Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums
-Queensland Herbarium
-National Herbarium of NSW
-Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria
-Tasmanian Herbarium
-State Herbarium of South Australia
-Northern Territory Herbarium
-Western Australian Herbarium
-Australian National Herbarium, Canberra
-University of New England
-Ocean Biogeographic Information System
-Australian Government, Department of Defence
Forestry Corporation, NSW
-Geoscience Australia
-CSIRO
-Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns
-eBird Australia
-Australian Government – Australian Antarctic Data Centre
-Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory
-Australian Government National Environmental Science Program
-Australian Institute of Marine Science
-Reef Life Survey Australia
-American Museum of Natural History
-Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania
-Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania
-Other groups and individuals

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the Contact us page.

© Commonwealth of Australia
Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water
GPO Box 3090
Canberra ACT 2601 Australia
+61 2 6274 1111

Australian Government

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water

EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected. Please see the caveat for interpretation of information provided here.

Report created: 17-Oct-2024

[Summary](#)

[Details](#)

- [Matters of NES](#)
- [Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act](#)
- [Extra Information](#)

[Caveat](#)

[Acknowledgements](#)

Figure 1: Hydrocarbon (moderate) Area

Summary

Matters of National Environment Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the Administrative Guidelines on Significance.

World Heritage Properties:	None
National Heritage Places:	None
Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar	None
Great Barrier Reef Marine Park:	None
Commonwealth Marine Area:	2
Listed Threatened Ecological Communities:	None
Listed Threatened Species:	32
Listed Migratory Species:	57

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the environment anywhere.

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at https://www.dcceew.gov.au/parks-heritage/heritage

A permit may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

Commonwealth Lands:	None
Commonwealth Heritage Places:	None
Listed Marine Species:	93
Whales and Other Cetaceans:	30
Critical Habitats:	None
Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial:	None
Australian Marine Parks:	1
Habitat Critical to the Survival of Marine Turtles:	3

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have

State and Territory Reserves:	6
Regional Forest Agreements:	None
Nationally Important Wetlands:	None
EPBC Act Referrals:	93
Key Ecological Features (Marine):	4
Biologically Important Areas:	22
Bioregional Assessments:	None
Geological and Bioregional Assessments:	None

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

Commonwealth Marine Area [Resource Information]

Approval is required for a proposed activity that is located within the Commonwealth Marine Area which has, will have, or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment. Approval may be required for a proposed action taken outside a Commonwealth Marine Area but which has, may have or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment in the Commonwealth Marine Area.

Feature Name
Commonwealth Marine Areas (EPBC Act)

Commonwealth Marine Areas (EPBC Act)

Listed Threatened Species [Resource Information]

Status of Conservation Dependent and Extinct are not MNES under the EPBC Act.
Number is the current name ID.

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
BIRD		
Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Limosa lapponica menzbieri Northern Siberian Bar-tailed Godwit, Russkoye Bar-tailed Godwit [86432]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Phaethon lepturus fulvus		
Christmas Island White-tailed Tropicbird, Golden Bosunbird [26021]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phaethon rubricauda westralis		
Red-tailed Tropicbird (Indian Ocean), Indian Ocean Red-tailed Tropicbird [91824]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Rostratula australis		
Australian Painted Snipe [77037]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Sternula nereis nereis		
Australian Fairy Tern [82950]	Vulnerable	Breeding known to occur within area
Thalassarche carteri		
Indian Yellow-nosed Albatross [64464]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
MAMMAL		
Balaenoptera borealis		
Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus		
Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Migration route known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus		
Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Bettongia lesueur Barrow and Boodie Islands subspecies		
Boodie, Burrowing Bettong (Barrow and Boodie Islands) [88021]	Vulnerable	Translocated population known to occur within area
Eubalaena australis		
Southern Right Whale [40]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lagorchestes hirsutus Central Australian subspecies		
Mala, Rufous Hare-Wallaby (Central Australia) [88019]	Endangered	Translocated population known to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
[Macroderma gigas](#)		
Ghost Bat [174]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
REPTILE		
[Aipysurus apraefrontalis](#)		
Short-nosed Sea Snake, Short-nosed Seasnake [1115]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
[Aipysurus foliosquama](#)		
Leaf-scaled Sea Snake, Leaf-scaled Seasnake [1118]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
[Caretta caretta](#)		
Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
[Chelonia mydas](#)		
Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
[Dermochelys coriacea](#)		
Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
[Eretmochelys imbricata](#)		
Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
[Natator depressus](#)		
Flatback Turtle [59257]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
SHARK		
[Carcharias taurus (west coast population)](#)		
Grey Nurse Shark (west coast population) [68752]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
[Carcharodon carcharias](#)		
White Shark, Great White Shark [64470]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Pristis clavata		
Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Pristis pristis		
Freshwater Sawfish, Largetooth Sawfish, River Sawfish, Leichhardt's Sawfish, Northern Sawfish [60756]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pristis zijsron		
Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Rhincodon typus		
Whale Shark [66680]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Sphyrna lewini		
Scalloped Hammerhead [85267]	Conservation Dependent	Species or species habitat known to occur within area

Listed Migratory Species		[Resource Information]
Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Migratory Marine Birds		
Anous stolidus		
Common Noddy [825]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Apus pacificus		
Fork-tailed Swift [678]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Ardenna carneipes		
Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [82404]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Ardenna pacifica		
Wedge-tailed Shearwater [84292]		Breeding known to occur within area
Calonectris leucomelas		
Streaked Shearwater [1077]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydroprogne caspia Caspian Tern [808]		Breeding known to occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Onychoprion anaethetus Bridled Tern [82845]		Breeding known to occur within area
Phaethon lepturus White-tailed Tropicbird [1014]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817]		Breeding known to occur within area
Sternula albifrons Little Tern [82849]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche carteri Indian Yellow-nosed Albatross [64464]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Migratory Marine Species		
Anoxypristis cuspidata Narrow Sawfish, Knifetooth Sawfish [68448]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera bonaerensis Antarctic Minke Whale, Dark-shoulder Minke Whale [67812]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Migration route known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Carcharhinus longimanus Oceanic Whitetip Shark [84108]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Carcharias taurus Grey Nurse Shark [64469]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Crocodylus porosus Salt-water Crocodile, Estuarine Crocodile [1774]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Dugong dugon Dugong [28]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Eubalaena australis as Balaena glacialis australis Southern Right Whale [40]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Isurus oxyrinchus Shortfin Mako, Mako Shark [79073]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Isurus paucus Longfin Mako [82947]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]		Breeding known to occur within area
Mobula alfredi as Manta alfredi Reef Manta Ray, Coastal Manta Ray [90033]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Mobula birostris as Manta birostris Giant Manta Ray [90034]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Orcaella heinsohni Australian Snubfin Dolphin [81322]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Physeter macrocephalus Sperm Whale [59]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Pristis clavata		
Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Pristis pristis		
Freshwater Sawfish, Largetooth Sawfish, River Sawfish, Leichhardt's Sawfish, Northern Sawfish [60756]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pristis zijsron		
Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Rhincodon typus		
Whale Shark [66680]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Sousa sahulensis as Sousa chinensis		
Australian Humpback Dolphin [87942]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations)		
Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Migratory Terrestrial Species		
Hirundo rustica		
Barn Swallow [662]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Motacilla cinerea		
Grey Wagtail [642]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Motacilla flava		
Yellow Wagtail [644]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Migratory Wetlands Species		
Actitis hypoleucos		
Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Calidris acuminata		
Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Limosa lapponica Bar-tailed Godwit [844]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952]		Breeding known to occur within area
Thalasseus bergii Greater Crested Tern [83000]		Breeding known to occur within area

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

Listed Marine Species		[Resource Information]
Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Bird		
Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area overfly marine area
Ardenna carneipes as Puffinus carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [82404]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Ardenna pacifica as Puffinus pacificus Wedge-tailed Shearwater [84292]		Breeding known to occur within area
Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area overfly marine area
Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area
Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Chroicocephalus novaehollandiae as Larus novaehollandiae Silver Gull [82326]		Breeding known to occur within area
Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Haliaeetus leucogaster White-bellied Sea-Eagle [943]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Hirundo rustica Barn Swallow [662]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area
Hydroprogne caspia as Sterna caspia Caspian Tern [808]		Breeding known to occur within area
Limosa lapponica Bar-tailed Godwit [844]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Motacilla cinerea Grey Wagtail [642]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area
Motacilla flava Yellow Wagtail [644]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Onychoprion anaethetus as Sterna anaethetus Bridled Tern [82845]		Breeding known to occur within area
Onychoprion fuscatus as Sterna fuscata Sooty Tern [90682]		Breeding known to occur within area
Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952]		Breeding known to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Phaethon lepturus		
White-tailed Tropicbird [1014]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Phaethon lepturus fulvus		
Christmas Island White-tailed Tropicbird, Golden Bosunbird [26021]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Rostratula australis as Rostratula benghalensis (sensu lato)		
Australian Painted Snipe [77037]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area
Sterna dougallii		
Roseate Tern [817]		Breeding known to occur within area
Sternula albifrons as Sterna albifrons		
Little Tern [82849]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Sternula nereis as Sterna nereis		
Fairy Tern [82949]		Breeding known to occur within area
Thalassarche carteri		
Indian Yellow-nosed Albatross [64464]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalasseus bengalensis as Sterna bengalensis		
Lesser Crested Tern [66546]		Breeding known to occur within area
Thalasseus bergii as Sterna bergii		
Greater Crested Tern [83000]		Breeding known to occur within area
Fish		
Acentronura larsonae		
Helen's Pygmy Pipehorse [66186]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Bulbonaricus brauni		
Braun's Pughead Pipefish, Pug-headed Pipefish [66189]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Campichthys tricarinatus		
Three-keel Pipefish [66192]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Choeroichthys brachysoma Pacific Short-bodied Pipefish, Short-bodied Pipefish [66194]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Choeroichthys latispinosus Muiron Island Pipefish [66196]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Choeroichthys suillus Pig-snouted Pipefish [66198]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Corythoichthys flavofasciatus Reticulate Pipefish, Yellow-banded Pipefish, Network Pipefish [66200]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Cosmocampus banneri Roughridge Pipefish [66206]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Doryrhamphus dactyliophorus Banded Pipefish, Ringed Pipefish [66210]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Doryrhamphus excisus Bluestripe Pipefish, Indian Blue-stripe Pipefish, Pacific Blue-stripe Pipefish [66211]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Doryrhamphus janssi Cleaner Pipefish, Janss' Pipefish [66212]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Doryrhamphus multiannulatus Many-banded Pipefish [66717]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Doryrhamphus negrosensis Flagtail Pipefish, Masthead Island Pipefish [66213]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Festucalex scalaris Ladder Pipefish [66216]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Filicampus tigris Tiger Pipefish [66217]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Halicampus brocki Brock's Pipefish [66219]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Halicampus grayi Mud Pipefish, Gray's Pipefish [66221]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Halicampus nitidus Glittering Pipefish [66224]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Halicampus spinirostris Spiny-snout Pipefish [66225]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Haliichthys taeniophorus Ribboned Pipehorse, Ribboned Seadragon [66226]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippichthys penicillus Beady Pipefish, Steep-nosed Pipefish [66231]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus angustus Western Spiny Seahorse, Narrow-bellied Seahorse [66234]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus histrix Spiny Seahorse, Thorny Seahorse [66236]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus kuda Spotted Seahorse, Yellow Seahorse [66237]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus planifrons Flat-face Seahorse [66238]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Hippocampus spinosissimus Hedgehog Seahorse [66239]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus trimaculatus Three-spot Seahorse, Low-crowned Seahorse, Flat-faced Seahorse [66720]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Micrognathus micronotopterus Tidepool Pipefish [66255]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phoxocampus belcheri Black Rock Pipefish [66719]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Solegnathus hardwickii Pallid Pipehorse, Hardwick's Pipehorse [66272]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Solegnathus lettiensis Gunther's Pipehorse, Indonesian Pipefish [66273]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Solenostomus cyanopterus Robust Ghostpipefish, Blue-finned Ghost Pipefish, [66183]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Syngnathoides biaculeatus Double-end Pipehorse, Double-ended Pipehorse, Alligator Pipefish [66279]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Trachyrhamphus bicoarctatus Bentstick Pipefish, Bend Stick Pipefish, Short-tailed Pipefish [66280]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Trachyrhamphus longirostris Straightstick Pipefish, Long-nosed Pipefish, Straight Stick Pipefish [66281]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mammal		
Dugong dugon Dugong [28]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Reptile		

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Aipysurus apraefrontalis Short-nosed Sea Snake, Short-nosed Seasnake [1115]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Aipysurus duboisii Dubois' Sea Snake, Dubois' Seasnake, Reef Shallows Sea Snake [1116]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Aipysurus foliosquama Leaf-scaled Sea Snake, Leaf-scaled Seasnake [1118]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Aipysurus laevis Olive Sea Snake, Olive-brown Sea Snake [1120]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Aipysurus mosaicus as Aipysurus eydouxii Mosaic Sea Snake [87261]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Aipysurus tenuis Brown-lined Sea Snake, Mjoberg's Sea Snake [1121]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Crocodylus porosus Salt-water Crocodile, Estuarine Crocodile [1774]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Emydocephalus annulatus Eastern Turtle-headed Sea Snake [1125]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Ephalophis greyae as Ephalophis greyi Mangrove Sea Snake [93738]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Hydrelaps darwiniensis Port Darwin Sea Snake, Black-ringed Mangrove Sea Snake [1100]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis czeblukovi Fine-spined Sea Snake [59233]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis elegans Elegant Sea Snake, Bar-bellied Sea Snake [1104]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis kingii as Disteira kingii Spectacled Sea Snake [93511]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis macdowelli as Hydrophis mcdowelli MacDowell's Sea Snake, Small-headed Sea Snake, [75601]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis major as Disteira major Olive-headed Sea Snake [93512]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis ornatus Spotted Sea Snake, Ornate Reef Sea Snake [1111]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis peronii as Acalyptophis peronii Horned Sea Snake [93509]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis platura as Pelamis platurus Yellow-bellied Sea Snake [93746]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Hydrophis stokesii as Astrotia stokesii Stokes' Sea Snake [93510]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area

Whales and Other Cetaceans		[Resource Information]
Current Scientific Name	Status	Type of Presence
Mammal		
Balaenoptera acutorostrata Minke Whale [33]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Balaenoptera bonaerensis Antarctic Minke Whale, Dark-shoulder Minke Whale [67812]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Migration route known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Delphinus delphis Common Dolphin, Short-beaked Common Dolphin [60]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Eubalaena australis Southern Right Whale [40]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Current Scientific Name	Status	Type of Presence
Feresa attenuata Pygmy Killer Whale [61]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Globicephala macrorhynchus Short-finned Pilot Whale [62]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Grampus griseus Risso's Dolphin, Grampus [64]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Kogia breviceps Pygmy Sperm Whale [57]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Kogia sima Dwarf Sperm Whale [85043]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lagenodelphis hosei Fraser's Dolphin, Sarawak Dolphin [41]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]		Breeding known to occur within area
Mesoplodon densirostris Blainville's Beaked Whale, Dense-beaked Whale [74]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Orcaella heinsohni Australian Snubfin Dolphin [81322]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Peponocephala electra Melon-headed Whale [47]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Current Scientific Name	Status	Type of Presence
[Physeter macrocephalus](#) Sperm Whale [59]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Pseudorca crassidens](#) False Killer Whale [48]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
[Sousa sahulensis](#) Australian Humpback Dolphin [87942]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
[Stenella attenuata](#) Spotted Dolphin, Pantropical Spotted Dolphin [51]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Stenella coeruleoalba](#) Striped Dolphin, Euphrosyne Dolphin [52]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Stenella longirostris](#) Long-snouted Spinner Dolphin [29]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Steno bredanensis](#) Rough-toothed Dolphin [30]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Tursiops aduncus](#) Indian Ocean Bottlenose Dolphin, Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin [68418]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
[Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations)](#) Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
[Tursiops truncatus s. str.](#) Bottlenose Dolphin [68417]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Ziphius cavirostris](#) Cuvier's Beaked Whale, Goose-beaked Whale [56]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Australian Marine Parks [Resource Information]

Park Name	Zone & IUCN Categories
Montebello	Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI)

Habitat Critical to the Survival of Marine Turtles [Resource Information]

Scientific Name	Behaviour	Presence
Aug - Sep		
Natator depressus		
Flatback Turtle [59257]	Nesting	Known to occur
Dec - Jan		
Chelonia mydas		
Green Turtle [1765]	Nesting	Known to occur
Nov - May		
Eretmochelys imbricata		
Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Nesting	Known to occur

Extra Information

State and Territory Reserves [Resource Information]

Protected Area Name	Reserve Type	State
Barrow Island	Marine Management Area	WA
Montebello Islands	Conservation Park	WA
Montebello Islands	Conservation Park	WA
Montebello Islands	Marine Park	WA
Unnamed WA40828	5(1)(h) Reserve	WA
Unnamed WA41080	5(1)(h) Reserve	WA

EPBC Act Referrals [Resource Information]

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Browse to North West Shelf Development, Indian Ocean, WA	2018/8319		Approval
Gorgon Gas Development	2003/1294		Post-Approval
Project Highclere Cable Lay and Operation	2022/09203		Completed
Controlled action			

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Controlled action			
Construct and operate LNG & domestic gas plant including onshore and offshore facilities - Wheatston	2008/4469	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Development of Angel gas and condensate field, North West Shelf	2004/1805	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Development of Browse Basin Gas Fields (Upstream)	2008/4111	Controlled Action	Completed
Equus Gas Fields Development Project, Carnarvon Basin	2012/6301	Controlled Action	Completed
Gorgon Gas Development 4th Train Proposal	2011/5942	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Light Crude Oil Production	2001/365	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Pluto Gas Project	2005/2258	Controlled Action	Completed
Pluto Gas Project Including Site B	2006/2968	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Pyrenees Oil Fields Development	2005/2034	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Not controlled action			
'Goodwyn A' Low Pressure Train Project	2003/914	Not Controlled Action	Completed
'Van Gogh' Oil Appraisal Drilling Program, Exploration Permit Area WA-155-P(1)	2006/3148	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Carnarvon 3D Marine Seismic Survey	2004/1890	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Construction and operation of an unmanned sea platform and connecting pipeline to Varanus Island for	2004/1703	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Development of Halyard Field off the west coast of WA	2010/5611	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Drilling of an exploration well Gats-1 in Permit Area WA-261-P	2004/1701	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Exploration drilling well WA-155-P(1)	2003/971	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Exploration of appraisal wells	2006/3065	Not Controlled Action	Completed

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action			
Exploration Well in Permit Area WA-155-P(1)	2002/759	Not Controlled Action	Completed
HCA05X Macedon Experimental Survey	2004/1926	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Infill Production Well (Griffin-9)	2001/417	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Klammer 2D Seismic Survey	2002/868	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Maia-Gaea Exploration wells	2000/17	Not Controlled Action	Completed
North Rankin B gas compression facility	2005/2500	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Pipeline System Modifications Project	2000/3	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Project Highclere Geophysical Survey	2021/9023	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Searipple gas and condensate field development	2000/89	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Subsea Gas Pipeline From Stybarrow Field to Griffin Venture Gas Export Pipeline	2005/2033	Not Controlled Action	Completed
sub-sea tieback of Perseus field wells	2004/1326	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Telstra North Rankin Spur Fibre Optic Cable	2016/7836	Not Controlled Action	Completed
To construct and operate an offshore submarine fibre optic cable, WA	2014/7373	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Wanda Offshore Research Project, 80 km north-east of Exmouth, WA	2018/8293	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Western Flank Gas Development	2005/2464	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Wheatstone 3D seismic survey, 70km north of Barrow Island	2004/1761	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
'Kate' 3D marine seismic survey, exploration permits WA-320-P and WA-345-P, 60km	2005/2037	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
'Tourmaline' 2D marine seismic survey, permit areas WA-323-P, WA-330-P and WA-32	2005/2282	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
"Leanne" offshore 3D seismic exploration, WA-356-P	2005/1938	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
2D and 3D seismic surveys	2005/2151	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
2D Seismic Survey	2005/2146	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
2D Seismic Survey Permit Area WA-352-P	2008/4628	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
3D Marine Seismic Survey in Permit Areas WA-15-R, WA-18-R, WA-205-P, WA-253-P, WA-267-P and WA-268-P	2003/1271	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
3D Marine Seismic Survey in WA 457-P & WA 458-P, North West Shelf, offshore WA	2013/6862	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
3D Marine Seismic Surveys - Contos CT-13 & Supertubes CT-13, offshore WA	2013/6901	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
3D Seismic Survey in the Carnarvon Bsin on the North West Shelf	2002/778	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Aperio 3D Marine Seismic Survey, WA	2012/6648	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Babylon 3D Marine Seismic Survey, Commonwealth Waters, nr Exmouth WA	2013/7081	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Balnaves Condensate Field Development	2011/6188	Not Controlled Action (Particular	Post-Approval

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
		Manner)	
Cable Seismic Exploration Permit areas WA-323-P and WA-330-P	2008/4227	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
CGGVERITAS 2010 2D Seismic Survey	2010/5714	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Cue Seismic Survey within WA-359-P, WA-361-P and WA-360-P	2007/3647	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
CVG 3D Marine Seismic Survey	2012/6654	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
DAVROS MC 3D marine seismic survey northwaet of Dampier, WA	2013/7092	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Decommissioning of the Legendre facilities	2010/5681	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Deep Water Northwest Shelf 2D Seismic Survey	2007/3260	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Demeter 3D Seismic Survey, off Dampier, WA	2002/900	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Draeck 3D Marine Seismic Survey, WA-205-P	2006/3067	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Drilling 35-40 offshore exploration wells in deep water	2008/4461	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Eendracht Multi-Client 3D Marine Seismic Survey	2009/4749	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
Effect of marine seismic sounds to demersal fish and pearl oysters, north-west WA	2018/8169	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Foxhound 3D Non-Exclusive Marine Seismic Survey	2009/4703	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Greater Western Flank Phase 1 gas Development	2011/5980	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Grimalkin 3D Seismic Survey	2008/4523	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Harmony 3D Marine Seismic Survey	2012/6699	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Huzzas MC3D Marine Seismic Survey (HZ-13) Carnarvon Basin, offshore WA	2013/7003	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Huzzas phase 2 marine seismic survey, Exmouth Plateau, Northern Carnarvon Basin, WA	2013/7093	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
John Ross & Rosella Off Bottom Cable Seismic Exploration Program	2008/3966	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Julimar Brunello Gas Development Project	2011/5936	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Moosehead 2D seismic survey within permit WA-192-P	2005/2167	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Munmorah 2D seismic survey within permits WA-308/9-P	2003/970	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Ocean Bottom Cable Seismic Program, WA-264-P	2007/3844	Not Controlled Action (Particular	Post-Approval

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
		Manner)	
Ocean Bottom Cable Seismic Survey	2005/2017	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Orcus 3D Marine Seismic Survey in WA-450-P	2010/5723	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Osprey and Dionysus Marine Seismic Survey	2011/6215	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Pomodoro 3D Marine Seismic Survey in WA-426-P and WA-427-P	2010/5472	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Pyrenees-Macedon 3D marine seismic survey	2005/2325	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Reindeer gas reservior development, Devil Creek, Carnarvon Basin - WA	2007/3917	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Santos Winchester three dimensional seismic survey - WA-323-P & WA-330-P	2011/6107	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Stag 4D & Reindeer MAZ Marine Seismic Surveys, WA	2013/7080	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Stag Off-bottom Cable Seismic Survey	2007/3696	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Tidepole Maz 3D Seismic Survey Campaign	2007/3706	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Triton 3D Marine Seismic Survey, WA-2-R and WA-3-R	2006/2609	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
Undertake a 3D marine seismic survey	2010/5695	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Undertake a three dimensional marine seismic survey	2010/5715	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Warramunga Non-Inclusive 3D Seismic Survey	2008/4553	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
West Anchor 3D Marine Seismic Survey	2008/4507	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
West Panaeus 3D seismic survey	2006/3141	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Westralia SPAN Marine Seismic Survey, WA & NT	2012/6463	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Wheatstone 3D MAZ Marine Seismic Survey	2011/6058	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Wheatstone Iago Appraisal Well Drilling	2007/3941	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Wheatstone Iago Appraisal Well Drilling	2008/4134	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Referral decision			
Bianchi 3D Marine Seismic Survey, Carnavon Basin, WA	2013/7078	Referral Decision	Completed

Key Ecological Features [Resource Information]

Key Ecological Features are the parts of the marine ecosystem that are considered to be important for the biodiversity or ecosystem functioning and integrity of the Commonwealth Marine Area.

Name	Region

Name	Region
Ancient coastline at 125 m depth contour	North-west
Canyons linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Cape Range Peninsula	North-west
Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities	North-west
Glomar Shoals	North-west

Biologically Important Areas

[Resource Information]

Scientific Name	Behaviour	Presence
Marine Turtles		
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Internesting buffer	Known to occur
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Nesting	Known to occur
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Foraging	Known to occur
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Internesting	Known to occur
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Internesting buffer	Known to occur
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Mating	Known to occur
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Nesting	Known to occur
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Foraging	Known to occur
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Internesting buffer	Known to occur
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Mating	Known to occur
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Nesting	Known to occur

Scientific Name	Behaviour	Presence
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Foraging	Known to occur
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Internesting buffer	Known to occur
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Mating	Known to occur
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Nesting	Known to occur
Seabirds		
Ardenna pacifica Wedge-tailed Shearwater [84292]	Breeding	Known to occur
Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817]	Breeding	Known to occur
Sternula nereis Fairy Tern [82949]	Breeding	Known to occur
Thalasseus bengalensis Lesser Crested Tern [66546]	Breeding	Known to occur
Sharks		
Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680]	Foraging	Known to occur
Whales		
Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda Pygmy Blue Whale [81317]	Migration	Known to occur
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]	Migration (north and south)	Known to occur

Caveat

1 PURPOSE

This report is designed to assist in identifying the location of matters of national environmental significance (MNES) and other matters protected by the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth) (EPBC Act) which may be relevant in determining obligations and requirements under the EPBC Act.

The report contains the mapped locations of:

- World and National Heritage properties;
- Wetlands of International and National Importance;
- Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves;
- distribution of listed threatened, migratory and marine species;
- listed threatened ecological communities; and
- other information that may be useful as an indicator of potential habitat value.

2 DISCLAIMER

This report is not intended to be exhaustive and should only be relied upon as a general guide as mapped data is not available for all species or ecological communities listed under the EPBC Act (see below). Persons seeking to use the information contained in this report to inform the referral of a proposed action under the EPBC Act should consider the limitations noted below and whether additional information is required to determine the existence and location of MNES and other protected matters.

Where data are available to inform the mapping of protected species, the presence type (e.g. known, likely or may occur) that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. It is the responsibility of any person using or relying on the information in this report to ensure that it is suitable for the circumstances of any proposed use. The Commonwealth cannot accept responsibility for the consequences of any use of the report or any part thereof. To the maximum extent allowed under governing law, the Commonwealth will not be liable for any loss or damage that may be occasioned directly or indirectly through the use of, or reliance

3 DATA SOURCES

Threatened ecological communities

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are generated based on information contained in recovery plans, State vegetation maps and remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been discerned through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, distributions are inferred from either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc.) together with point locations and described habitat; or modelled (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using

Where little information is available for a species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc.).

In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More detailed distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions

4 LIMITATIONS

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in this report:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered vagrants;
- some recently listed species and ecological communities;
- some listed migratory and listed marine species, which are not listed as threatened species; and
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in Australia in small numbers.

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

- listed migratory and/or listed marine seabirds, which are not listed as threatened, have only been mapped for recorded
- seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

The breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

Refer to the metadata for the feature group (using the Resource Information link) for the currency of the information.

Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

-Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales
-Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria
-Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania
-Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia
-Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory
-Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland
-Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia
-Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT
-Birdlife Australia
-Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme
-Australian National Wildlife Collection
-Natural history museums of Australia
-Museum Victoria
-Australian Museum
-South Australian Museum
-Queensland Museum
-Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums
-Queensland Herbarium
-National Herbarium of NSW
-Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria
-Tasmanian Herbarium
-State Herbarium of South Australia
-Northern Territory Herbarium
-Western Australian Herbarium
-Australian National Herbarium, Canberra
-University of New England
-Ocean Biogeographic Information System
-Australian Government, Department of Defence
Forestry Corporation, NSW
-Geoscience Australia
-CSIRO
-Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns
-eBird Australia
-Australian Government – Australian Antarctic Data Centre
-Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory
-Australian Government National Environmental Science Program
-Australian Institute of Marine Science
-Reef Life Survey Australia
-American Museum of Natural History
-Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania
-Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania
-Other groups and individuals

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the Contact us page.

© Commonwealth of Australia
Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water
GPO Box 3090
Canberra ACT 2601 Australia
+61 2 6274 1111

Australian Government

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water

EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected. Please see the caveat for interpretation of information provided here.

Report created: 17-Oct-2024

[Summary](#)

[Details](#)

- [Matters of NES](#)
- [Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act](#)
- [Extra Information](#)

[Caveat](#)

[Acknowledgements](#)

Figure 1: Environment that may be affected (EMBA)

Summary

Matters of National Environment Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the Administrative Guidelines on Significance.

World Heritage Properties:	1
National Heritage Places:	2
Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar	None
Great Barrier Reef Marine Park:	None
Commonwealth Marine Area:	2
Listed Threatened Ecological Communities:	None
Listed Threatened Species:	53
Listed Migratory Species:	65

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the environment anywhere.

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at https://www.dcceew.gov.au/parks-heritage/heritage

A permit may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

Commonwealth Lands:	5
Commonwealth Heritage Places:	2
Listed Marine Species:	105
Whales and Other Cetaceans:	30
Critical Habitats:	None
Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial:	None
Australian Marine Parks:	7
Habitat Critical to the Survival of Marine Turtles:	4

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have

State and Territory Reserves:	30
Regional Forest Agreements:	None
Nationally Important Wetlands:	1
EPBC Act Referrals:	186
Key Ecological Features (Marine):	6
Biologically Important Areas:	41
Bioregional Assessments:	None
Geological and Bioregional Assessments:	None

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

World Heritage Properties		[Resource Information]
Name	State	Legal Status
The Ningaloo Coast	WA	Declared property

National Heritage Places		[Resource Information]
Name	State	Legal Status
Indigenous		
Dampier Archipelago (including Burrup Peninsula)	WA	Listed place
Natural		
The Ningaloo Coast	WA	Listed place

Commonwealth Marine Area	[Resource Information]

Approval is required for a proposed activity that is located within the Commonwealth Marine Area which has, will have, or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment. Approval may be required for a proposed action taken outside a Commonwealth Marine Area but which has, may have or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment in the Commonwealth Marine Area.

Feature Name
Commonwealth Marine Areas (EPBC Act)
Commonwealth Marine Areas (EPBC Act)

Listed Threatened Species		[Resource Information]

Status of Conservation Dependent and Extinct are not MNES under the EPBC Act.
Number is the current name ID.

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
BIRD		
Calidris acuminata		
Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Calidris canutus		
Red Knot, Knot [855]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Calidris ferruginea		
Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Charadrius leschenaultii Greater Sand Plover, Large Sand Plover [877]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Erythrotriorchis radiatus Red Goshawk [942]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Falco hypoleucos Grey Falcon [929]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Limnodromus semipalmatus Asian Dowitcher [843]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Limosa lapponica menzbieri Northern Siberian Bar-tailed Godwit, Russkoye Bar-tailed Godwit [86432]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Malurus leucopterus edouardi White-winged Fairy-wren (Barrow Island), Barrow Island Black-and-white Fairy-wren [26194]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Papasula abbotti Abbott's Booby [59297]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pezoporus occidentalis Night Parrot [59350]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phaethon lepturus fulvus Christmas Island White-tailed Tropicbird, Golden Bosunbird [26021]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Phaethon rubricauda westralis Red-tailed Tropicbird (Indian Ocean), Indian Ocean Red-tailed Tropicbird [91824]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pterodroma mollis Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Rostratula australis Australian Painted Snipe [77037]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Sternula nereis nereis Australian Fairy Tern [82950]	Vulnerable	Breeding known to occur within area
Thalassarche carteri Indian Yellow-nosed Albatross [64464]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Tringa nebularia Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
CRUSTACEAN		
Kumonga exleyi Cape Range Remipede [86875]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
FISH		
Milyeringa veritas Cape Range Cave Gudgeon, Blind Gudgeon [66676]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Ophisternon candidum Blind Cave Eel [66678]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
MAMMAL		

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Migration route known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Bettongia lesueur Barrow and Boodie Islands subspecies Boodie, Burrowing Bettong (Barrow and Boodie Islands) [88021]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Dasyurus hallucatus Northern Quoll, Digul [Gogo-Yimidir], Wijingadda [Dambimangari], Wiminji [Martu] [331]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Eubalaena australis Southern Right Whale [40]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Isoodon auratus barrowensis Golden Bandicoot (Barrow Island) [66666]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Lagorchestes conspicillatus conspicillatus Spectacled Hare-wallaby (Barrow Island) [66661]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Lagorchestes hirsutus Central Australian subspecies Mala, Rufous Hare-Wallaby (Central Australia) [88019]	Endangered	Translocated population known to occur within area
Macroderma gigas Ghost Bat [174]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Osphranter robustus isabellinus Barrow Island Wallaroo, Barrow Island Euro [89262]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Petrogale lateralis lateralis Black-flanked Rock-wallaby, Mororong, Black-footed Rock Wallaby [66647]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Rhinonicteris aurantia (Pilbara form) Pilbara Leaf-nosed Bat [82790]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
REPTILE		
Aipysurus apraefrontalis Short-nosed Sea Snake, Short-nosed Seasnake [1115]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Aipysurus foliosquama Leaf-scaled Sea Snake, Leaf-scaled Seasnake [1118]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Breeding known to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Breeding known to occur within area
Ctenotus zastictus Hamelin Ctenotus [25570]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Vulnerable	Breeding known to occur within area
Liasis olivaceus barroni Pilbara Olive Python [66699]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Vulnerable	Breeding known to occur within area
SHARK		

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Carcharias taurus (west coast population)		
Grey Nurse Shark (west coast population) [68752]	Vulnerable	Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area
Carcharodon carcharias		
White Shark, Great White Shark [64470]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Pristis clavata		
Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Pristis pristis		
Freshwater Sawfish, Largetooth Sawfish, River Sawfish, Leichhardt's Sawfish, Northern Sawfish [60756]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pristis zijsron		
Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Rhincodon typus		
Whale Shark [66680]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Sphyrna lewini		
Scalloped Hammerhead [85267]	Conservation Dependent	Species or species habitat known to occur within area

Listed Migratory Species		[Resource Information]
Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Migratory Marine Birds		
Anous stolidus		
Common Noddy [825]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Apus pacificus		
Fork-tailed Swift [678]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Ardenna carneipes		
Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [82404]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Ardenna pacifica Wedge-tailed Shearwater [84292]		Breeding known to occur within area
Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydroprogne caspia Caspian Tern [808]		Breeding known to occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Onychoprion anaethetus Bridled Tern [82845]		Breeding known to occur within area
Phaethon lepturus White-tailed Tropicbird [1014]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817]		Breeding known to occur within area
Sternula albifrons Little Tern [82849]		Breeding known to occur within area
Sula leucogaster Brown Booby [1022]		Breeding known to occur within area
Thalassarche carteri Indian Yellow-nosed Albatross [64464]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Thalassarche impavida		
Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Migratory Marine Species		
Anoxypristis cuspidata		
Narrow Sawfish, Knifetooth Sawfish [68448]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Balaenoptera bonaerensis		
Antarctic Minke Whale, Dark-shoulder Minke Whale [67812]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera borealis		
Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera edeni		
Bryde's Whale [35]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus		
Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Migration route known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus		
Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Carcharhinus longimanus		
Oceanic Whitetip Shark [84108]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Carcharias taurus		
Grey Nurse Shark [64469]		Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area
Carcharodon carcharias		
White Shark, Great White Shark [64470]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Caretta caretta		
Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Breeding known to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Breeding known to occur within area
Crocodylus porosus Salt-water Crocodile, Estuarine Crocodile [1774]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Dugong dugon Dugong [28]		Breeding known to occur within area
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Vulnerable	Breeding known to occur within area
Eubalaena australis as Balaena glacialis australis Southern Right Whale [40]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Isurus oxyrinchus Shortfin Mako, Mako Shark [79073]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Isurus paucus Longfin Mako [82947]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Lamna nasus Porbeagle, Mackerel Shark [83288]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]		Breeding known to occur within area
Mobula alfredi as Manta alfredi Reef Manta Ray, Coastal Manta Ray [90033]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Mobula birostris as Manta birostris Giant Manta Ray [90034]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Vulnerable	Breeding known to occur within area
Orcaella heinsohni Australian Snubfin Dolphin [81322]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Physeter macrocephalus Sperm Whale [59]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pristis clavata Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Pristis pristis Freshwater Sawfish, Largetooth Sawfish, River Sawfish, Leichhardt's Sawfish, Northern Sawfish [60756]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pristis zijsron Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Sousa sahulensis as Sousa chinensis Australian Humpback Dolphin [87942]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Migratory Terrestrial Species		
Hirundo rustica Barn Swallow [662]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Motacilla cinerea Grey Wagtail [642]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Motacilla flava Yellow Wagtail [644]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Migratory Wetlands Species		
Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Charadrius leschenaultii Greater Sand Plover, Large Sand Plover [877]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Charadrius veredus Oriental Plover, Oriental Dotterel [882]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Glareola maldivarum Oriental Pratincole [840]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Limnodromus semipalmatus Asian Dowitcher [843]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Limosa lapponica		
Bar-tailed Godwit [844]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis		
Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Pandion haliaetus		
Osprey [952]		Breeding known to occur within area
Thalasseus bergii		
Greater Crested Tern [83000]		Breeding known to occur within area
Tringa nebularia		
Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

Commonwealth Lands [Resource Information]

The Commonwealth area listed below may indicate the presence of Commonwealth land in this vicinity. Due to the unreliability of the data source, all proposals should be checked as to whether it impacts on a Commonwealth area, before making a definitive decision. Contact the State or Territory government land department for further information.

Commonwealth Land Name	State
Defence	
Defence - EXMOUTH VLF TRANSMITTER STATION [50122]	WA
Defence - EXMOUTH VLF TRANSMITTER STATION [50123]	WA
Defence - LEARMONTH - AIR WEAPONS RANGE [50193]	WA
Defence - LEARMONTH RADAR SITE - VLAMING HEAD EXMOUTH [50001]	WA
Unknown	
Commonwealth Land - [52236]	WA

Commonwealth Heritage Places [Resource Information]

Name	State	Status
Natural		
Learmonth Air Weapons Range Facility	WA	Listed place
Ningaloo Marine Area - Commonwealth Waters	WA	Listed place

Listed Marine Species [Resource Information]

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Bird		
Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area overfly marine area
Ardenna carneipes as Puffinus carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [82404]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Ardenna pacifica as Puffinus pacificus Wedge-tailed Shearwater [84292]		Breeding known to occur within area
Bubulcus ibis as Ardea ibis Cattle Egret [66521]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area
Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area overfly marine area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area overfly marine area
Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Chalcites osculans as Chrysococcyx osculans Black-eared Cuckoo [83425]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area overfly marine area
Charadrius leschenaultii Greater Sand Plover, Large Sand Plover [877]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Charadrius veredus Oriental Plover, Oriental Dotterel [882]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area
Chroicocephalus novaehollandiae as Larus novaehollandiae Silver Gull [82326]		Breeding known to occur within area
Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Glareola maldivarum Oriental Pratincole [840]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area
Haliaeetus leucogaster White-bellied Sea-Eagle [943]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Hirundo rustica Barn Swallow [662]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area
Hydroprogne caspia as Sterna caspia Caspian Tern [808]		Breeding known to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Limnodromus semipalmatus Asian Dowitcher [843]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area overfly marine area
Limosa lapponica Bar-tailed Godwit [844]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Merops ornatus Rainbow Bee-eater [670]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area
Motacilla cinerea Grey Wagtail [642]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area
Motacilla flava Yellow Wagtail [644]		Species or species habitat may occur within area overfly marine area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Onychoprion anaethetus as Sterna anaethetus Bridled Tern [82845]		Breeding known to occur within area
Onychoprion fuscatus as Sterna fuscata Sooty Tern [90682]		Breeding known to occur within area
Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952]		Breeding known to occur within area
Papasula abbotti Abbott's Booby [59297]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Phaethon lepturus White-tailed Tropicbird [1014]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Phaethon lepturus fulvus Christmas Island White-tailed Tropicbird, Golden Bosunbird [26021]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pterodroma mollis Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Rostratula australis as Rostratula benghalensis (sensu lato) Australian Painted Snipe [77037]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area overfly marine area
Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817]		Breeding known to occur within area
Sternula albifrons as Sterna albifrons Little Tern [82849]		Breeding known to occur within area
Sternula nereis as Sterna nereis Fairy Tern [82949]		Breeding known to occur within area
Sula leucogaster Brown Booby [1022]		Breeding known to occur within area
Thalassarche carteri Indian Yellow-nosed Albatross [64464]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalasseus bengalensis as Sterna bengalensis Lesser Crested Tern [66546]		Breeding known to occur within area
Thalasseus bergii as Sterna bergii Greater Crested Tern [83000]		Breeding known to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
[Tringa nebularia](#) Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area overfly marine area
Fish		
[Acentronura larsonae](#) Helen's Pygmy Pipehorse [66186]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Bulbonaricus brauni](#) Braun's Pughead Pipefish, Pug-headed Pipefish [66189]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Campichthys tricarinatus](#) Three-keel Pipefish [66192]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Choeroichthys brachysoma](#) Pacific Short-bodied Pipefish, Short-bodied Pipefish [66194]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Choeroichthys latispinosus](#) Muiron Island Pipefish [66196]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Choeroichthys suillus](#) Pig-snouted Pipefish [66198]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Corythoichthys flavofasciatus](#) Reticulate Pipefish, Yellow-banded Pipefish, Network Pipefish [66200]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Cosmocampus banneri](#) Roughridge Pipefish [66206]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Doryrhamphus dactyliophorus](#) Banded Pipefish, Ringed Pipefish [66210]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Doryrhamphus excisus](#) Bluestripe Pipefish, Indian Blue-stripe Pipefish, Pacific Blue-stripe Pipefish [66211]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Doryrhamphus janssi Cleaner Pipefish, Janss' Pipefish [66212]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Doryrhamphus multiannulatus Many-banded Pipefish [66717]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Doryrhamphus negrosensis Flagtail Pipefish, Masthead Island Pipefish [66213]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Festucalex scalaris Ladder Pipefish [66216]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Filicampus tigris Tiger Pipefish [66217]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Halicampus brocki Brock's Pipefish [66219]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Halicampus grayi Mud Pipefish, Gray's Pipefish [66221]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Halicampus nitidus Glittering Pipefish [66224]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Halicampus spinirostris Spiny-snout Pipefish [66225]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Haliichthys taeniophorus Ribboned Pipehorse, Ribboned Seadragon [66226]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippichthys penicillus Beady Pipefish, Steep-nosed Pipefish [66231]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Hippocampus angustus Western Spiny Seahorse, Narrow-bellied Seahorse [66234]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus histrix Spiny Seahorse, Thorny Seahorse [66236]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus kuda Spotted Seahorse, Yellow Seahorse [66237]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus planifrons Flat-face Seahorse [66238]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus spinosissimus Hedgehog Seahorse [66239]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus trimaculatus Three-spot Seahorse, Low-crowned Seahorse, Flat-faced Seahorse [66720]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Micrognathus micronotopterus Tidepool Pipefish [66255]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phoxocampus belcheri Black Rock Pipefish [66719]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Solegnathus hardwickii Pallid Pipehorse, Hardwick's Pipehorse [66272]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Solegnathus lettiensis Gunther's Pipehorse, Indonesian Pipefish [66273]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Solenostomus cyanopterus Robust Ghostpipefish, Blue-finned Ghost Pipefish, [66183]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
[Syngnathoides biaculeatus](#) Double-end Pipehorse, Double-ended Pipehorse, Alligator Pipefish [66279]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Trachyrhamphus bicoarctatus](#) Bentstick Pipefish, Bend Stick Pipefish, Short-tailed Pipefish [66280]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Trachyrhamphus longirostris](#) Straightstick Pipefish, Long-nosed Pipefish, Straight Stick Pipefish [66281]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mammal		
[Dugong dugon](#) Dugong [28]		Breeding known to occur within area
Reptile		
[Aipysurus apraefrontalis](#) Short-nosed Sea Snake, Short-nosed Seasnake [1115]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
[Aipysurus duboisii](#) Dubois' Sea Snake, Dubois' Seasnake, Reef Shallows Sea Snake [1116]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Aipysurus foliosquama](#) Leaf-scaled Sea Snake, Leaf-scaled Seasnake [1118]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
[Aipysurus laevis](#) Olive Sea Snake, Olive-brown Sea Snake [1120]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Aipysurus mosaicus as Aipysurus eydouxii](#) Mosaic Sea Snake [87261]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Aipysurus tenuis](#) Brown-lined Sea Snake, Mjoberg's Sea Snake [1121]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
[Caretta caretta](#) Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Breeding known to occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Breeding known to occur within area
Crocodylus porosus Salt-water Crocodile, Estuarine Crocodile [1774]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Emydocephalus annulatus Eastern Turtle-headed Sea Snake [1125]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Ephalophis greyae as Ephalophis greyi Mangrove Sea Snake [93738]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Vulnerable	Breeding known to occur within area
Hydrelaps darwiniensis Port Darwin Sea Snake, Black-ringed Mangrove Sea Snake [1100]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis czeblukovi Fine-spined Sea Snake [59233]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis elegans Elegant Sea Snake, Bar-bellied Sea Snake [1104]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis kingii as Disteira kingii Spectacled Sea Snake [93511]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis macdowelli as Hydrophis mcdowelli MacDowell's Sea Snake, Small-headed Sea Snake, [75601]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Scientific Name	Threatened Category	Presence Text
Hydrophis major as Disteira major Olive-headed Sea Snake [93512]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis ornatus Spotted Sea Snake, Ornate Reef Sea Snake [1111]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis peronii as Acalyptophis peronii Horned Sea Snake [93509]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis platura as Pelamis platurus Yellow-bellied Sea Snake [93746]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hydrophis stokesii as Astrotia stokesii Stokes' Sea Snake [93510]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Vulnerable	Breeding known to occur within area

Whales and Other Cetaceans [Resource Information]

Current Scientific Name	Status	Type of Presence
Mammal		
Balaenoptera acutorostrata Minke Whale [33]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Balaenoptera bonaerensis Antarctic Minke Whale, Dark-shoulder Minke Whale [67812]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Current Scientific Name	Status	Type of Presence
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Migration route known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Delphinus delphis Common Dolphin, Short-beaked Common Dolphin [60]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Eubalaena australis Southern Right Whale [40]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Feresa attenuata Pygmy Killer Whale [61]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Globicephala macrorhynchus Short-finned Pilot Whale [62]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Grampus griseus Risso's Dolphin, Grampus [64]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Kogia breviceps Pygmy Sperm Whale [57]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Kogia sima Dwarf Sperm Whale [85043]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lagenodelphis hosei Fraser's Dolphin, Sarawak Dolphin [41]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]		Breeding known to occur within area

Current Scientific Name	Status	Type of Presence
Mesoplodon densirostris Blainville's Beaked Whale, Dense-beaked Whale [74]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Orcaella heinsohni Australian Snubfin Dolphin [81322]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Peponocephala electra Melon-headed Whale [47]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Physeter macrocephalus Sperm Whale [59]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pseudorca crassidens False Killer Whale [48]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Sousa sahulensis Australian Humpback Dolphin [87942]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Stenella attenuata Spotted Dolphin, Pantropical Spotted Dolphin [51]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Stenella coeruleoalba Striped Dolphin, Euphrosyne Dolphin [52]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Stenella longirostris Long-snouted Spinner Dolphin [29]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Steno bredanensis Rough-toothed Dolphin [30]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Current Scientific Name	Status	Type of Presence
Tursiops aduncus Indian Ocean Bottlenose Dolphin, Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin [68418]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Tursiops truncatus s. str. Bottlenose Dolphin [68417]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Ziphius cavirostris Cuvier's Beaked Whale, Goose-beaked Whale [56]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Australian Marine Parks	[Resource Information]
Park Name	Zone & IUCN Categories
Dampier	Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV)
Gascoyne	Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV)
Dampier	Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI)
Gascoyne	Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI)
Montebello	Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI)
Dampier	National Park Zone (IUCN II)
Ningaloo	Recreational Use Zone (IUCN IV)

Habitat Critical to the Survival of Marine Turtles		[Resource Information]
Scientific Name	Behaviour	Presence
Aug - Sep		
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Nesting	Known to occur
Dec - Jan		
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Nesting	Known to occur
Nov-Feb		

Scientific Name	Behaviour	Presence
[Caretta caretta](#)		
Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Nesting	Known to occur

Nov - May		
[Eretmochelys imbricata](#)		
Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Nesting	Known to occur

Extra Information

State and Territory Reserves		[Resource Information]
Protected Area Name	Reserve Type	State
Airlie Island	Nature Reserve	WA
Barrow Island	Nature Reserve	WA
Barrow Island	Marine Park	WA
Barrow Island	Marine Management Area	WA
Bessieres Island	Nature Reserve	WA
Boodie, Double Middle Islands	Nature Reserve	WA
Bundegi Coastal Park	5(1)(h) Reserve	WA
Cape Range	National Park	WA
Great Sandy Island	Nature Reserve	WA
Jurabi Coastal Park	5(1)(h) Reserve	WA
Lowendal Islands	Nature Reserve	WA
Montebello Islands	Conservation Park	WA
Montebello Islands	Marine Park	WA
Montebello Islands	Conservation Park	WA
Muiron Islands	Nature Reserve	WA
Muiron Islands	Marine Management Area	WA
Ningaloo	Marine Park	WA
North Sandy Island	Nature Reserve	WA
Round Island	Nature Reserve	WA

Protected Area Name	Reserve Type	State
Serrurier Island	Nature Reserve	WA
Thevenard Island	Nature Reserve	WA
Unnamed WA36909	5(1)(h) Reserve	WA
Unnamed WA36910	5(1)(h) Reserve	WA
Unnamed WA36915	Nature Reserve	WA
Unnamed WA40322	5(1)(h) Reserve	WA
Unnamed WA40828	5(1)(h) Reserve	WA
Unnamed WA40877	5(1)(h) Reserve	WA
Unnamed WA41080	5(1)(h) Reserve	WA
Unnamed WA44665	5(1)(h) Reserve	WA
Unnamed WA44667	5(1)(h) Reserve	WA

Nationally Important Wetlands [Resource Information]

Wetland Name	State
Cape Range Subterranean Waterways	WA

EPBC Act Referrals [Resource Information]

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Browse to North West Shelf Development, Indian Ocean, WA	2018/8319		Approval
Gorgon Gas Development	2003/1294		Post-Approval
Ningaloo Lighthouse Development, 17km north west Exmouth, Western Australia	2020/8693		Post-Approval
North West Shelf Project Extension, Carnarvon Basin, WA	2018/8335		Approval
Project Highclere Cable Lay and Operation	2022/09203		Completed
Action clearly unacceptable			
Highlands 3D Marine Seismic Survey	2012/6680	Action Clearly Unacceptable	Completed
Controlled action			
'Van Gogh' Petroleum Field Development	2007/3213	Controlled Action	Post-Approval

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Controlled action			
Construct and operate LNG & domestic gas plant including onshore and offshore facilities - Wheatston	2008/4469	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Develop Jansz-Io deepwater gas field in Permit Areas WA-18-R, WA-25-R and WA-26-	2005/2184	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Development of Angel gas and condensate field, North West Shelf	2004/1805	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Development of Browse Basin Gas Fields (Upstream)	2008/4111	Controlled Action	Completed
Development of Coniston/Novara fields within the Exmouth Sub-basin	2011/5995	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Development of Stybarrow petroleum field incl drilling and facility installation	2004/1469	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Echo-Yodel Production Wells	2000/11	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Enfield full field development	2001/257	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Equus Gas Fields Development Project, Carnarvon Basin	2012/6301	Controlled Action	Completed
Eramurra Industrial Salt Project	2021/9027	Controlled Action	Assessment Approach
Gorgon Gas Development 4th Train Proposal	2011/5942	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Gorgon Gas Revised Development	2008/4178	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Greater Enfield (Vincent) Development	2005/2110	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Greater Gorgon Development - Optical Fibre Cable, Mainland to Barrow Island	2005/2141	Controlled Action	Completed
Light Crude Oil Production	2001/365	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Mardie Project, 80 km south west of Karratha, WA	2018/8236	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Pluto Gas Project	2005/2258	Controlled Action	Completed

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Controlled action			
Pluto Gas Project Including Site B	2006/2968	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Pyrenees Oil Fields Development	2005/2034	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Simpson Development	2000/59	Controlled Action	Completed
Simpson Oil Field Development	2001/227	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Vincent Appraisal Well	2000/22	Controlled Action	Post-Approval
Yardie Creek Road Realignment Project	2021/8967	Controlled Action	Assessment Approach
Not controlled action			
'Goodwyn A' Low Pressure Train Project	2003/914	Not Controlled Action	Completed
'Van Gogh' Oil Appraisal Drilling Program, Exploration Permit Area WA-155-P(1)	2006/3148	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Airlie Island soil and groundwater investigations, Exmouth Gulf, offshore Pilbara coast	2014/7250	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Barrow Island 2D Seismic survey	2006/2667	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Bultaco-2, Laverda-2, Laverda-3 and Montesa-2 Appraisal Wells	2000/103	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Carnarvon 3D Marine Seismic Survey	2004/1890	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Construction and operation of an unmanned sea platform and connecting pipeline to Varanus Island for	2004/1703	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Development of Halyard Field off the west coast of WA	2010/5611	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Development of Mutineer and Exeter petroleum fields for oil production, Permit	2003/1033	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Drilling of an exploration well Gats-1 in Permit Area WA-261-P	2004/1701	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Eagle-1 Exploration Drilling, North West Shelf, WA	2019/8578	Not Controlled Action	Completed

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action			
Echo A Development WA-23-L, WA-24-L	2005/2042	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Exploration drilling well WA-155-P(1)	2003/971	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Exploration of appraisal wells	2006/3065	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Exploration Well (Taunton-2)	2002/731	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Exploration Well in Permit Area WA-155-P(1)	2002/759	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Exploratory drilling in permit area WA-225-P	2001/490	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Extension of Simpson Oil Platforms & Wells	2002/685	Not Controlled Action	Completed
HCA05X Macedon Experimental Survey	2004/1926	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Hess Exploration Drilling Programme	2007/3566	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Improving rabbit biocontrol: releasing another strain of RHDV, sthrn two thirds of Australia	2015/7522	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Infill Production Well (Griffin-9)	2001/417	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Klammer 2D Seismic Survey	2002/868	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Maia-Gaea Exploration wells	2000/17	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Mermaid Marine Australia Desalination Project	2011/5916	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Montesa-1 and Bultaco-1 Exploration Wells	2000/102	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Murujuga archaeological excavation, collection and sampling, Dampier Archipelago, WA	2014/7160	Not Controlled Action	Completed
North Rankin B gas compression facility	2005/2500	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Pipeline System Modifications Project	2000/3	Not Controlled Action	Completed

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action			
Project Highclere Geophysical Survey	2021/9023	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Searipple gas and condensate field development	2000/89	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Spool Base Facility	2001/263	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Subsea Gas Pipeline From Stybarrow Field to Griffin Venture Gas Export Pipeline	2005/2033	Not Controlled Action	Completed
sub-sea tieback of Perseus field wells	2004/1326	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Telstra North Rankin Spur Fibre Optic Cable	2016/7836	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Thevenard Island Retirement Project	2015/7423	Not Controlled Action	Completed
To construct and operate an offshore submarine fibre optic cable, WA	2014/7373	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Wanda Offshore Research Project, 80 km north-east of Exmouth, WA	2018/8293	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Western Flank Gas Development	2005/2464	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Wheatstone 3D seismic survey, 70km north of Barrow Island	2004/1761	Not Controlled Action	Completed
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
'Kate' 3D marine seismic survey, exploration permits WA-320-P and WA-345-P, 60km	2005/2037	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
'Tourmaline' 2D marine seismic survey, permit areas WA-323-P, WA-330-P and WA-32	2005/2282	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
"Leanne" offshore 3D seismic exploration, WA-356-P	2005/1938	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
2D and 3D seismic surveys	2005/2151	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
2D seismic survey	2008/4493	Not Controlled Action	Post-Approval

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
		(Particular Manner)	
2D Seismic Survey	2005/2146	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
2D Seismic Survey Permit Area WA-352-P	2008/4628	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
2D seismic survey within permit WA-291	2007/3265	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
3D marine seismic survey	2008/4281	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
3D Marine Seismic Survey in Permit Areas WA-15-R, WA-18-R, WA-205-P, WA-253-P, WA-267-P and WA-268-P	2003/1271	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
3D Marine Seismic Survey in WA 457-P & WA 458-P, North West Shelf, offshore WA	2013/6862	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
3D Marine Seismic Surveys - Contos CT-13 & Supertubes CT-13, offshore WA	2013/6901	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
3D seismic survey	2006/2715	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
3D Seismic Survey, WA	2008/4428	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
3D Seismic Survey in the Carnarvon Bsin on the North West Shelf	2002/778	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
3D sesmic survey	2006/2781	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
Acheron Non-Exclusive 2D Seismic Survey	2008/4565	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Acheron Non-Exclusive 2D Seismic Survey	2009/4968	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Apache Northwest Shelf Van Gogh Field Appraisal Drilling Program	2007/3495	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Aperio 3D Marine Seismic Survey, WA	2012/6648	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Artemis-1 Drilling Program (WA-360-P)	2010/5432	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Babylon 3D Marine Seismic Survey, Commonwealth Waters, nr Exmouth WA	2013/7081	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Balnaves Condensate Field Development	2011/6188	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Cable Seismic Exploration Permit areas WA-323-P and WA-330-P	2008/4227	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Cerberus exploration drilling campaign, Carnarvon Basin, WA	2016/7645	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
CGGVERITAS 2010 2D Seismic Survey	2010/5714	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Charon 3D Marine Seismic Survey	2007/3477	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Consturction & operation of the Varanus Island kitchen & mess cyclone refuge building.	2013/6952	Not Controlled Action (Particular	Post-Approval

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
compression p		Manner)	
Coverack Marine Seismic Survey	2001/399	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Cue Seismic Survey within WA-359-P, WA-361-P and WA-360-P	2007/3647	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
CVG 3D Marine Seismic Survey	2012/6654	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
DAVROS MC 3D marine seismic survey northwaet of Dampier, WA	2013/7092	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Decommissioning of the Legendre facilities	2010/5681	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Deep Water Drilling Program	2010/5532	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Deep Water Northwest Shelf 2D Seismic Survey	2007/3260	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Demeter 3D Seismic Survey, off Dampier, WA	2002/900	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Draeck 3D Marine Seismic Survey, WA-205-P	2006/3067	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Drilling 35-40 offshore exploration wells in deep water	2008/4461	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Earthworks for kitchen/mess, cyclone refuge building & Compression Plant, Varanus Island	2013/6900	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
Eendracht Multi-Client 3D Marine Seismic Survey	2009/4749	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Effect of marine seismic sounds to demersal fish and pearl oysters, north-west WA	2018/8169	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Enfield M3 & Vincent 4D Marine Seismic Surveys	2008/3981	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Completed
Enfield M3 4D, Vincent 4D & 4D Line Test Marine Seismic Surveys	2008/4122	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Enfield M4 4D Marine Seismic Survey	2008/4558	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Enfield oilfield 3D Seismic Survey	2006/3132	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Exmouth West 2D Marine Seismic Survey	2008/4132	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Exploration drilling of Zeus-1 well	2008/4351	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Fletcher-Finucane Development, WA26-L and WA191-P	2011/6123	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Foxhound 3D Non-Exclusive Marine Seismic Survey	2009/4703	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Gazelle 3D Marine Seismic Survey in WA-399-P and WA-42-L	2010/5570	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Glencoe 3D Marine Seismic Survey WA-390-P	2007/3684	Not Controlled Action (Particular	Post-Approval

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
		Manner)	
Greater Western Flank Phase 1 gas Development	2011/5980	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Grimalkin 3D Seismic Survey	2008/4523	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Guacamole 2D Marine Seismic Survey	2008/4381	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Harmony 3D Marine Seismic Survey	2012/6699	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Harpy 1 exploration well	2001/183	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Huzzas MC3D Marine Seismic Survey (HZ-13) Carnarvon Basin, offshore WA	2013/7003	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Huzzas phase 2 marine seismic survey, Exmouth Plateau, Northern Carnarvon Basin, WA	2013/7093	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
John Ross & Rosella Off Bottom Cable Seismic Exploration Program	2008/3966	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Judo Marine 3D Seismic Survey within and adjacent to WA-412-P	2009/4801	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Judo Marine 3D Seismic Survey within and adjacent to WA-412-P	2008/4630	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Julimar Brunello Gas Development Project	2011/5936	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
Klimt 2D Marine Seismic Survey	2007/3856	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Laverda 3D Marine Seismic Survey and Vincent M1 4D Marine Seismic Survey	2010/5415	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Leopard 2D marine seismic survey	2005/2290	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Macedon Gas Field Development	2008/4605	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Marine reconnaissance survey	2008/4466	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Moosehead 2D seismic survey within permit WA-192-P	2005/2167	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Munmorah 2D seismic survey within permits WA-308/9-P	2003/970	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Ocean Bottom Cable Seismic Program, WA-264-P	2007/3844	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Ocean Bottom Cable Seismic Survey	2005/2017	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Offshore Canning Multi Client 2D Marine Seismic Survey	2010/5393	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Offshore Drilling Campaign	2011/5830	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Offshore Fibre Optic Cable Network Construction & Operation, Port Hedland WA to	2014/7223	Not Controlled Action (Particular	Post-Approval

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
Darwin NT		Manner)	
Orcus 3D Marine Seismic Survey in WA-450-P	2010/5723	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Osprey and Dionysus Marine Seismic Survey	2011/6215	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Phoenix 3D Seismic Survey, Bedout Sub-Basin	2010/5360	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Pomodoro 3D Marine Seismic Survey in WA-426-P and WA-427-P	2010/5472	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Pyrenees 4D Marine Seismic Monitor Survey, HCA12A	2012/6579	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Pyrenees-Macedon 3D marine seismic survey	2005/2325	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Quiberon 2D Seismic Survey, permit area WA-385P, offshore of Carnarvon	2009/5077	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Reindeer gas reservior development, Devil Creek, Carnarvon Basin - WA	2007/3917	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Rose 3D Seismic Program	2008/4239	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Rydal-1 Petroleum Exploration Well, WA	2012/6522	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Salsa 3D Marine Seismic Survey	2010/5629	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
Santos Winchester three dimensional seismic survey - WA-323-P & WA-330-P	2011/6107	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Scarborough Development nearshore component, NWS, WA	2018/8362	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Skorpion Marine Seismic Survey WA	2001/416	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Stag 4D & Reindeer MAZ Marine Seismic Surveys, WA	2013/7080	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Stag Off-bottom Cable Seismic Survey	2007/3696	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Stybarrow 4D Marine Seismic Survey	2011/5810	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Stybarrow Baseline 4D marine seismic survey	2008/4530	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Tantabiddi Boat Ramp Sand Bypassing	2015/7411	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Tidepole Maz 3D Seismic Survey Campaign	2007/3706	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Tortilla 2D Seismic Survey, WA	2011/6110	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Triton 3D Marine Seismic Survey, WA-2-R and WA-3-R	2006/2609	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Undertake a 3D marine seismic survey	2010/5695	Not Controlled Action (Particular	Post-Approval

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Not controlled action (particular manner)			
		Manner)	
Undertake a three dimensional marine seismic survey	2010/5679	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Undertake a three dimensional marine seismic survey	2010/5715	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Vincent M1 and Enfield M5 4D Marine Seismic Survey	2010/5720	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Warramunga Non-Inclusive 3D Seismic Survey	2008/4553	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
West Anchor 3D Marine Seismic Survey	2008/4507	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
West Panaeus 3D seismic survey	2006/3141	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Westralia SPAN Marine Seismic Survey, WA & NT	2012/6463	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Wheatstone 3D MAZ Marine Seismic Survey	2011/6058	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Wheatstone Iago Appraisal Well Drilling	2008/4134	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Wheatstone Iago Appraisal Well Drilling	2007/3941	Not Controlled Action (Particular Manner)	Post-Approval
Referral decision			
3D Marine Seismic Survey in the offshore northwest Carnarvon Basin	2011/6175	Referral Decision	Completed

Title of referral	Reference	Referral Outcome	Assessment Status
Referral decision			
3D Seismic Survey	2008/4219	Referral Decision	Completed
Bianchi 3D Marine Seismic Survey, Carnavon Basin, WA	2013/7078	Referral Decision	Completed
CVG 3D Marine Seismic Survey	2012/6270	Referral Decision	Completed
Enfield 4D Marine Seismic Surveys, Production Permit WA-28-L	2005/2370	Referral Decision	Completed
Rose 3D Seismic acquisition survey	2008/4220	Referral Decision	Completed
Stybarrow Baseline 4D Marine Seismic Survey (Permit Areas WA-255-P, WA-32-L, WA-	2008/4165	Referral Decision	Completed
Two Dimensional Transition Zone Seismic Survey - TP/7 (R1)	2010/5507	Referral Decision	Completed
Varanus Island Compression Project	2012/6698	Referral Decision	Completed

Key Ecological Features [Resource Information]

Key Ecological Features are the parts of the marine ecosystem that are considered to be important for the biodiversity or ecosystem functioning and integrity of the Commonwealth Marine Area.

Name	Region
Ancient coastline at 125 m depth contour	North-west
Canyons linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Cape Range Peninsula	North-west
Commonwealth waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef	North-west
Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities	North-west
Exmouth Plateau	North-west
Glomar Shoals	North-west

Biologically Important Areas [Resource Information]

Scientific Name	Behaviour	Presence
Dugong		
Dugong dugon		
Dugong [28]	Breeding	Known to occur

Scientific Name	Behaviour	Presence
Dugong dugon Dugong [28]	Calving	Known to occur
Dugong dugon Dugong [28]	Foraging (high density seagrass beds)	Known to occur
Dugong dugon Dugong [28]	Nursing	Known to occur
Marine Turtles		
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Foraging	Known to occur
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Internesting buffer	Known to occur
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Nesting	Known to occur
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Aggregation	Known to occur
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Basking	Known to occur
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Foraging	Known to occur
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Internesting	Known to occur
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Internesting buffer	Known to occur
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Mating	Known to occur
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Migration corridor	Known to occur

Scientific Name	Behaviour	Presence
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Nesting	Known to occur
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Foraging	Known to occur
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Internesting	Known to occur
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Internesting buffer	Known to occur
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Mating	Known to occur
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Migration corridor	Known to occur
Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766]	Nesting	Known to occur
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Aggregation	Known to occur
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Foraging	Known to occur
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Internesting	Known to occur
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Internesting buffer	Known to occur
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Mating	Known to occur
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Migration corridor	Known to occur
Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257]	Nesting	Known to occur
Seabirds		

Scientific Name	Behaviour	Presence
Ardenna pacifica Wedge-tailed Shearwater [84292]	Breeding	Known to occur
Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird [1012]	Breeding	Known to occur
Phaethon lepturus White-tailed Tropicbird [1014]	Breeding	Known to occur
Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817]	Breeding	Known to occur
Sternula nereis Fairy Tern [82949]	Breeding	Known to occur
Sula leucogaster Brown Booby [1022]	Breeding	Known to occur
Thalasseus bengalensis Lesser Crested Tern [66546]	Breeding	Known to occur
Sharks		
Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680]	Foraging	Known to occur
Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680]	Foraging (high density prey)	Known to occur
Whales		
Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda Pygmy Blue Whale [81317]	Foraging	Known to occur
Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda Pygmy Blue Whale [81317]	Migration	Known to occur
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]	Migration (north and south)	Known to occur
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]	Resting	Known to occur

Caveat

1 PURPOSE

This report is designed to assist in identifying the location of matters of national environmental significance (MNES) and other matters protected by the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (Cth) (EPBC Act) which may be relevant in determining obligations and requirements under the EPBC Act.

The report contains the mapped locations of:

- World and National Heritage properties;
- Wetlands of International and National Importance;
- Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves;
- distribution of listed threatened, migratory and marine species;
- listed threatened ecological communities; and
- other information that may be useful as an indicator of potential habitat value.

2 DISCLAIMER

This report is not intended to be exhaustive and should only be relied upon as a general guide as mapped data is not available for all species or ecological communities listed under the EPBC Act (see below). Persons seeking to use the information contained in this report to inform the referral of a proposed action under the EPBC Act should consider the limitations noted below and whether additional information is required to determine the existence and location of MNES and other protected matters.

Where data are available to inform the mapping of protected species, the presence type (e.g. known, likely or may occur) that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. It is the responsibility of any person using or relying on the information in this report to ensure that it is suitable for the circumstances of any proposed use. The Commonwealth cannot accept responsibility for the consequences of any use of the report or any part thereof. To the maximum extent allowed under governing law, the Commonwealth will not be liable for any loss or damage that may be occasioned directly or indirectly through the use of, or reliance

3 DATA SOURCES

Threatened ecological communities

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are generated based on information contained in recovery plans, State vegetation maps and remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been discerned through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, distributions are inferred from either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc.) together with point locations and described habitat; or modelled (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using

Where little information is available for a species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc.).

In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More detailed distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions

4 LIMITATIONS

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in this report:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered vagrants;
- some recently listed species and ecological communities;
- some listed migratory and listed marine species, which are not listed as threatened species; and
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in Australia in small numbers.

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

- listed migratory and/or listed marine seabirds, which are not listed as threatened, have only been mapped for recorded
- seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

The breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

Refer to the metadata for the feature group (using the Resource Information link) for the currency of the information.

Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

-Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales
-Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria
-Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania
-Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia
-Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory
-Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland
-Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia
-Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT
-Birdlife Australia
-Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme
-Australian National Wildlife Collection
-Natural history museums of Australia
-Museum Victoria
-Australian Museum
-South Australian Museum
-Queensland Museum
-Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums
-Queensland Herbarium
-National Herbarium of NSW
-Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria
-Tasmanian Herbarium
-State Herbarium of South Australia
-Northern Territory Herbarium
-Western Australian Herbarium
-Australian National Herbarium, Canberra
-University of New England
-Ocean Biogeographic Information System
-Australian Government, Department of Defence
Forestry Corporation, NSW
-Geoscience Australia
-CSIRO
-Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns
-eBird Australia
-Australian Government – Australian Antarctic Data Centre
-Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory
-Australian Government National Environmental Science Program
-Australian Institute of Marine Science
-Reef Life Survey Australia
-American Museum of Natural History
-Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania
-Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania
-Other groups and individuals

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the Contact us page.

© Commonwealth of Australia
Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water
GPO Box 3090
Canberra ACT 2601 Australia
+61 2 6274 1111

Appendix D Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Inquiry System (ACHIS) Report

Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Inquiry System

List of Aboriginal Cultural Heritage (ACH) Register

For further important information on using this information please see the WA.gov.au website's Terms of Use at https://www.wa.gov.au/terms-of-use

Search Criteria

52 Aboriginal Cultural Heritage (ACH) Register in Shapefile - P100489_S00_MDO_EMBA_GDA2020MGAZ50. Warning: Search area complex so results may be inaccurate. Contact DPLH for assistance.

Disclaimer

Aboriginal heritage holds significant value to Aboriginal people for their social, spiritual, historical, scientific, or aesthetic importance within Aboriginal traditions, and provides an essential link for Aboriginal people to their past, present and future. In Western Australia Aboriginal heritage is protected under the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972*.

All Aboriginal cultural heritage in Western Australia is protected, whether or not the ACH has been reported or exists on the Register.

The information provided is made available in good faith and is predominately based on the information provided to the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage by third parties. The information is provided solely on the basis that readers will be responsible for making their own assessment as to the accuracy of the information. If you find any errors or omissions in our records, including our maps, it would be appreciated if you provide the details to the Department via https://achknowledge.dplh.wa.gov.au/ach-enquiry-form and we will make every effort to rectify it as soon as possible.

Copyright

Copyright in the information contained herein is and shall remain the property of the State of Western Australia. All rights reserved. This includes, but is not limited to, information from the Register established and maintained under the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972*.

Location information data licensed from Western Australian Land Information Authority (WALIA) trading as Landgate. Copyright in the location information data remains with WALIA. WALIA does not warrant the accuracy or completeness of the location information data or its suitability for any particular purpose.

For further important information on using this information please see the WA.gov.au website's Terms of Use at https://www.wa.gov.au/terms-of-use

Terminology

ID: ACH on the Register is assigned a unique ID by the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage using the format: ACH-00000001. For ACH on the former Register the ID numbers remain unchanged and use the new format. For example the ACH ID of the place Swan River was previously '3536' and is now 'ACH-00003536'.

Access and Restrictions:

- **Boundary Reliable (Yes/No):** Indicates whether to the best knowledge of the Department, the location and extent of the ACH boundary is considered reliable.
- **Boundary Restricted = No:** Represents the actual location of the ACH as understood by the Department..
- **Boundary Restricted = Yes:** To preserve confidentiality the exact location and extent of the place is not displayed on the map. However, the shaded region (generally with an area of at least 4km²) provides a general indication of where the ACH is located. If you are a landowner and wish to find out more about the exact location of the place, please contact the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage.
- **Culturally Sensitive = No:** Availability of information that the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage holds in relation to the ACH is not restricted in any way.
- **Culturally Sensitive = Yes:** Some of the information that the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage holds in relation to the ACH is restricted if it is considered culturally sensitive information. This information will only be made available if the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage receives written approval from the people who provided the information. To request access please contact via https://achknowledge.dplh.wa.gov.au/ach-enquiry-form.
- **Culturally Sensitive Nature:**
  - **No Gender / Initiation Restrictions:** *Anyone* can view the information.
  - **Men only:** Only *males* can view restricted information.
  - **Women only:** Only *females* can view restricted information.

Status:

- **Register:** Aboriginal cultural heritage places that are assessed as meeting Section 5 of the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972*.
- **Lodged:** Information which has been received in relation to an Aboriginal cultural heritage place, but is yet to be assessed under Section 5 of the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972.*
- **Historic:** Aboriginal heritage places assessed as not meeting the criteria of Section 5 of the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972*. Includes places that no longer exist as a result of land use activities with existing approvals.

Place Type: The type of Aboriginal cultural heritage place. For example an artefact scatter place or engravings place.

Legacy ID: This is the former unique number that the former Department of Aboriginal Sites assigned to the place.

Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Inquiry System

List of Aboriginal Cultural Heritage (ACH) Register

For further important information on using this information please see the WA.gov.au website's Terms of Use at https://www.wa.gov.au/terms-of-use

ID	Name	Boundary Restricted	Boundary Reliable	Culturally Sensitive	Culturally Sensitive Nature	Status	Place Type	Knowledge Holders	Legacy ID
508	POINT MURAT 03	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P07503
563	POINT MURAT 01	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P07501
564	POINT MURAT 02	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P07502
628	CAMP THIRTEEN BURIAL	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Burial	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P07434
873	MONTEBELLO IS: NOALA CAVE.	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden; Rock Shelter	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P07287
926	MONTEBELLO IS: HAYNES CAVE.	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Sub surface cultural material; Artefacts / Scatter; Midden; Rock Shelter	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P07286
966	ROSEMARY IS.11: CHOOKIE BAY	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P07219
967	ROSEMARY IS.12: CHOOKIE BAY	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Quarry	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P07220
968	ROSEMARY IS.13	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Grinding areas / Grooves; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P07221
969	ROSEMARY IS.14	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Grinding areas / Grooves; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P07222
970	ROSEMARY IS.15: AIRSTRIP	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Grinding areas / Grooves; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P07223
971	ROSEMARY IS.16: AIRSTRIP	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden; Quarry	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P07224
972	ROSEMARY IS.17: AIRSTRIP	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Quarry	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P07225
973	ROSEMARY IS.18: DEEP WATER	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P07226
974	ROSEMARY IS.19: CHITON	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P07227
978	ROSEMARY IS.23: WADJURU R/H	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Engraving; Grinding areas / Grooves; Traditional Structure; Midden; Water Source	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P07231
979	ROSEMARY IS.24: HUNGERFORD	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P07232

Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Inquiry System

List of Aboriginal Cultural Heritage (ACH) Register

For further important information on using this information please see the WA.gov.au website's Terms of Use at https://www.wa.gov.au/terms-of-use

ID	Name	Boundary Restricted	Boundary Reliable	Culturally Sensitive	Culturally Sensitive Nature	Status	Place Type	Knowledge Holders	Legacy ID
6311	POINT MURAT.	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Burial; Artefacts / Scatter; Camp; Midden; Other	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06628
6754	OSPREY BAY 6	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06165
6755	OSPREY BAY INTERDUNAL 1	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06166
6757	BLOODWOOD CREEK MIDDEN 1	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06168
6758	BLOODWOOD CREEK MIDDEN 2	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06169
6759	BLOODWOOD CREEK MIDDEN 3	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06170
6760	BLOODWOOD CREEK SHORELINE	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06171
6762	MILYERING MIDDEN	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06173
6764	CAMP 17 SOUTH MIDDENS	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06175
6765	CAMP 17 NORTH MIDDENS	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06176
6782	28 MILE CREEK NORTH 1	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06140
6784	MANDU MANDU CREEK SOUTH	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06142
6785	MANDU MANDU CREEK NORTH	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06143
6790	YARDIE CREEK SOUTH 1	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06148
6799	YARDIE BEACH MIDDEN	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06157
6800	OYSTER STACKS MIDDEN	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06158
6802	OSPREY BAY 1	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06160

Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Inquiry System

List of Aboriginal Cultural Heritage (ACH) Register

For further important information on using this information please see the WA.gov.au website's Terms of Use at https://www.wa.gov.au/terms-of-use

ID	Name	Boundary Restricted	Boundary Reliable	Culturally Sensitive	Culturally Sensitive Nature	Status	Place Type	Knowledge Holders	Legacy ID
6803	OSPREY BAY 2	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06161
6804	OSPREY BAY 3	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06162
6805	OSPREY BAY 4	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06163
6806	OSPREY BAY 5	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P06164
7126	MESA CAMP	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P05792
7254	SANDY BAY NORTH	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P05652
7265	LAKE SIDE VIEW	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P05664
7299	YARDIE CREEK	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P05645
7300	MANDU MANDU CK ROCKSHELTERS	Yes	Yes	Yes	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P05646
7303	TULKI WELL MIDDEN	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P05649
7304	PILGRAMUNNA BAY MIDDEN	No	Yes	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P05650
10381	VLAMING HEAD	Yes	No	Yes	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Ritual / Ceremonial; Creation / Dreaming Narrative	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P01799
11328	GAP WELL	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Engraving	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P00836
11772	ROSEMARY ISLAND 09	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Midden	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P00369
11773	ROSEMARY ISLAND 08	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Engraving; Grinding areas / Grooves; Traditional Structure	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P00370
11775	ROSEMARY ISLAND 06	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Engraving	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P00372
11789	ROSEMARY ISLAND 01	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Artefacts / Scatter; Engraving; Midden; Quarry	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P00386

Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Inquiry System

List of Aboriginal Cultural Heritage (ACH) Register

For further important information on using this information please see the WA.gov.au website's Terms of Use at https://www.wa.gov.au/terms-of-use

ID	Name	Boundary Restricted	Boundary Reliable	Culturally Sensitive	Culturally Sensitive Nature	Status	Place Type	Knowledge Holders	Legacy ID
11820	ENDERBY ISLAND 01	No	No	No	No Gender / Initiation Restrictions	Register	Engraving	*Registered Knowledge Holder names available from DPLH	P00364

Appendix E Exploration and Survey Operations Oil Pollution Emergency Plan (OPEP) [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016]

VERMILION
Oil & Gas
Australia Pty. Ltd.

VERMILION OIL & GAS AUSTRALIA

EXPLORATION AND SURVEY OPERATIONS OIL POLLUTION EMERGENCY PLAN

AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016

Revision	Date	Originator	Checker	Approver
0	24 March 2025	Social Resources	Environmental Advisor	Managing Director

Revision History

Revision	Date	Description	Originator	Checker	Approver
0	24 March 2025	For Use	MW	MJ	RC

Distribution List

Copy no.	Position
1	Master copy – VOGA Document Control
2	VOGA Managing Director
3	VOGA Operations Manager
4	VOGA HSES Advisor
5	Wandoo Field Superintendent
6	VOGA Incident Commander
7	ICT Planning Chief
8	ICT Logistics Chief
9	ICT Finance Chief
10	ICT Operations Chief
11	ICT Safety Officer
12	ICT Stakeholder Liaison Officer
13	ICT Public Information Officer
14	Vermilion Corporate Command Operations Team
15	VOGA Well Construction QHSE Advisor
16	VOGA Environmental Advisor
17	MODU Offshore Installation Manager
18	Manager Assessment and Compliance NOPSEMA – Perth
19	AMOSC
20	DoT Oil Spill Response Coordinator

Table of Contents

Table of Contents **3**

Abbreviations and Acronyms **9**

PART 1: Oil Pollution Emergency Plan Overview **14**

Section 1 – Introduction **15**

1.1 OPEP Aim and Outcomes 15

1.2 Scope 16

1.3 Location 16

1.4 Activity Description 18

1.4.1 Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey Activities 18

1.4.2 Exploration Drilling Activities 19

1.5 Interface with Other Plans 19

1.5.1 VOGA Plans 19

1.5.2 Government and Industry Plans 20

1.6 Response Priorities 22

1.7 Incident Classification 22

Section 2 – Statutory Requirements **24**

2.1 International Conventions 24

2.2 Commonwealth, State or Territory Laws 24

2.2.1 Commonwealth 24

2.2.2 State of Western Australia 25

2.3 Jurisdictional Authority and Control Agency Responsibilities 26

2.3.1 Jurisdictional Authority 26

2.3.2 Control Agency 27

2.3.3 Cross-Jurisdictional Arrangements 28

2.4 Relevant Person Engagement for Planning 30

Section 3 – Approach to Response Planning **32**

3.1 Overview 32

3.2 The Planning Phase 32
3.3 Spill Response Phase 34

Section 4 – Establishing the Risk and Context 36

4.1 Oil Characterisation 36
4.1.1 Oil Types 36
4.1.2 Diesel Fuel Oil 36
4.1.3 Wandoo Crude Oil 36
4.1.4 Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling 37
4.2 Key Sensitivities 41

PART 2: Incident Management Process 43

Section 5 – Emergency and Crisis Management Response 44

5.1 Overview 44
5.2 Response Structure 44
5.3 Command and Control 44
5.4 Response Facilities 48

Section 6 – Incident Response Cycle 49

6.1 Overview 49
6.2 Size-up the Situation 49
6.3 Identify Contingencies 50
6.4 Determine Objectives and Strategies 50
6.5 Spill Impact Mitigation Assessment 50
6.6 Identify Required Resources 53
6.7 Develop an Incident Action Plan 53
6.7.1 Briefings 53
6.8 Take Action 54
6.9 Review Phase 54
6.10 Adjust Phase 55

Section 7 – Response Strategies 56

7.1 Overview 56

7.2 Source Control 59
7.2.1 Loss of Well Control 60
7.3 Monitor and Evaluate 61
7.3.1 Description of Monitor and Evaluate Response Strategy 61
7.3.2 Operational Constraints for Monitor and Evaluate 63
7.4 Chemical Dispersant 63
7.4.1 Description of Chemical Dispersant Application 63
7.4.2 Dispersant Application 64
7.4.3 Operational Constraints for Dispersant Application 69
7.5 Mechanical Dispersion 69
7.5.1 Description of Mechanical Dispersion Operations 69
7.5.2 Operational Constraints for Mechanical Dispersion 70
7.6 Containment and Recovery 70
7.6.1 Description of Containment and Recovery 70
7.6.2 Operational Constraints for Containment and Recovery 72
7.7 Protection and Deflection 73
7.7.1 Description of Protection and Deflection Operations 73
7.7.2 Operational Constraints for Protection and Deflection 74
7.8 Shoreline Cleanup 74
7.8.1 Description of Shoreline Cleanup 74
7.8.2 Determining Worst Case Shoreline Cleanup Scenarios 77
7.8.3 Shoreline Cleanup Personnel and Equipment 79
7.8.4 Operational Constraints for Shoreline Cleanup 82
7.9 Oiled Wildlife Response 83
7.9.1 Description of Oiled Wildlife Response 83
7.9.2 Operational Constraints for Oiled Wildlife Response 83
7.9.3 Response Structure 84
7.9.4 Approach to Response Planning 85
7.9.5 Response Actions 86
7.10 In-situ Burning 95
7.11 Scientific Monitoring 96
7.11.1 1Description of Scientific Monitoring 96
7.11.2 Operational Constraints for Scientific Monitoring 96

PART 3: Performance Management 97

Section 8 – Assurance and Capability Management 98

8.1 Overview 98

8.2 Training and Competency 98
8.3 Capability 99
8.3.1 Determining Requirements 99
8.3.2 Assessment of Capability 99
8.3.3 Worst Case Requirements 100
8.3.4 Maintaining Capability for the Duration of a Response 100
8.3.5 Monitoring of Capability 101
8.4 Assurance Activities 101
8.4.1 Overview 101
8.4.2 Exercises 101
8.4.3 Inspections and Audits 103
8.5 Action Management 104

Section 9 – Continuous Improvement 105

PART 4: Activation of Oil Pollution Emergency Plan – what to do if an oil spill occurs 106

PART 5: Oil Pollution Plans 110

Section 10 – Oil Pollution Plan 1 (Diesel Spill) 111

10.1 Instructions 111
10.2 Initial Incident Action Plan 111
10.2.1 Monitoring and Evaluation Response Plan Strategy 113
10.2.2 Oiled Wildlife Response Strategy 117

Section 11 – Oil Pollution Plan 2 (Loss of Well Control) 122

11.1 Instructions 122
11.2 Initial Incident Action Plan 122
11.2.1 Monitoring and Evaluation Response Plan Strategy 128
11.2.2 Chemical Dispersant Application 133
11.2.3 Mechanical Dispersion Strategy 142
11.2.4 Containment and Recovery Strategy 143
11.2.5 Protection and Deflection Strategy 148
11.2.6 Shoreline Cleanup Strategy 151
11.2.7 Oiled Wildlife Response Strategy 156

Section 12 – Termination and Recovery 161

12.1 Response Strategies Termination Criteria 161

12.1.1 Shoreline Termination Criteria 163
12.2 Relevant Person Engagement in Termination 164
12.3 Post-response Recovery 165

Section 13 – Incident Command Team (ICT) Arrangements 166
13.1 ICT Personnel 166
13.2 Response Facilities 168
13.2.1 ICT Resources and Activation Plan 169

PART 6: Support Plans 176

Section 14 – Waste Management 177
14.1 Waste Management Strategy 177
14.2 Waste Management Activation 177
14.3 Waste Management Basis 178
14.4 Regulatory Approvals 178
14.5 Waste Practices 179
14.6 DoT Waste Reporting Forms and Processes 181
14.7 Key Waste Streams 182
14.7.1 Non-oiled Waste 182
14.7.2 Offshore Oily Waters 182
14.7.3 Onshore Oily Waters 182
14.7.4 Solid Wastes 182
14.7.5 Oily Organics 183
14.7.6 Remote Location/Islands 183
14.7.7 Oil Spill Equipment Cleanup 183
14.8 Waste Assessment 183

Section 15 – Relevant Person Engagement 185
15.1 Relevant Persons 185
15.2 Relevant Persons Engagement Strategy 185
15.2.1 Overview 185
15.2.2 Pre-activity 186
15.2.3 Activity 186
15.2.4 Post-spill/Pre-exposure 186
15.2.5 Post-spill/Post-exposure 187

15.2.6 Termination of Oil Spill Response 187
15.2.7 Roles and Responsibilities 187
15.2.8 Documentation and Record Keeping 188

Section 16 – Operational and Scientific Monitoring 189

Section 17 – Health and Safety 190

Section 18 – Logistics Management 191

Section 19 – Tactical Response Plans 193

References 195

Appendices 197

Appendix A: Wandoo Crude Weathering Trials and Dispersant Efficacy Testing Report

Appendix B: WAN-2000-RD-0001.04 Wandoo Field Operational and Scientific Monitoring Bridging Implementation Plan

Appendix C: Exercise documentation

Appendix D: OSTM Summary

Appendix E: SIMA

Abbreviations and Acronyms

°C	degrees Celsius
AAC	Aerial Attack Coordinator
ADIOS	Automated Data Inquiry for Oil Spills
AIIMS	Australasian Inter-Service Incident Management System
ALARP	as low as reasonably practicable
AMOSC	Australian Marine Oil Spill Centre
AMOS Plan	Australian Marine Oil Spill Plan
AMSA	Australian Maritime Safety Authority
APASA	Asia Pacific Applied Science Associates Pty Ltd
APPEA	Australian Petroleum Production and Exploration Association
BAOAC	Bonn Agreement Oil Appearance Code
bbl	barrels
BER	boom encounter rate
BoM	Bureau of Meteorology
BOP	blowout preventer
CALM Buoy	Catenary Anchor Leg Mooring Buoy
CAMBA	China-Australia Migratory Bird Agreement
CCT	Corporate Command Team
cm	centimetres
DBCA	Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions
DCCEEW	Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water
DEMIRS	Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety
DFAT	Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade
DoF	Department of Fisheries
DOR	dispersant-to-oil ratio
DoT	Department of Transport
EMBA	environment that may be affected
EP	Environment Plan
EPBC Act	*Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*
ERP	Emergency Response Plan
ESC	Environmental and Scientific Coordinator
FWADC	fixed wing aerial dispersant capability
g/m^2	grams per square metre
GIS	Geographic Information System
GSI	Great Sandy Island
HFO	heavy fuel oil

HMA	Hazard Management Agency
h	hour(s)
HSES	Health, Safety, Environment and Security
HSE MS	Health, Safety and Environment Management System
IAP	Incident Action Plan
IBC	intermediate bulk container
IC	Incident Commander
ICC	Incident Command Centre
ICT	Incident Command Team
IMO	International Maritime Organisation
IMT	Incident Management Team
JAMBA	Japan-Australia Migratory Bird Agreement
JHA	job hazard analysis
JIP	Joint Industry Practice
JSCC	Joint Strategic Coordination Committee
kg	kilograms
kg/m^3	kilograms per cubic metre
km	kilometres
KPI	key performance indicator
m	metres
m^2	square metre(s)
m^3	cubic metre(s)
mm	millimetres
MBI	Montebello Islands
MEE	Maritime Environmental Emergencies
MEER Unit	Maritime Environmental Emergency Response Unit (within WA Department of Transport)
MODU	Mobile Offshore Drilling Unit
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
National Plan	National Plan for Maritime Environmental Emergencies
NDVI	Normalised Difference Vegetation Index
NEBA	net environmental benefit analysis
NES	national environmental significance
nm	nautical mile
NOPSEMA	National Offshore Petroleum, Safety and Environmental Management Authority
NRT	National Response Team
NWS	North-West Shelf
OH&S	Occupational Health and Safety
OMP	Operational Monitoring Plan
OPEP	Oil Pollution Emergency Plan

OPGGSA	*Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage Act 2006*
OPGGS(E)R	Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2009
OPICC	Offshore Petroleum Incident Coordination Committee
OPP	Oil Pollution Plan
OPRC	Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response and Cooperation
OPRC	International Convention on Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response and Cooperation 1990
OSCA	Oil Spill Control Agents (Register)
OSM	Operational and Scientific Monitoring
OSM BIP	Operational and Scientific Monitoring Bridging Implementation Plan
OSMP	Operational and Scientific Monitoring Plan
OSR	Oil Spill Response
OSRA	Oil Spill Response Atlas or Agency
OSRL	Oil Spill Response Limited
OSRO	Oil Spill Response Organisation(s)
OSTM	Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling
OWA	Oiled Wildlife Advisor
OWR	Oiled Wildlife Response
OWERP	Oiled Wildlife Emergency Response Plan
OWRP	Oiled Wildlife Response Plan
PEAR	People, Environment, Assets and Reputation
PIC	Person in Charge
POB	persons on-board
POLREP	Pollution Report (Form)
POWRP	Pilbara Region Oiled Wildlife Response Plan
PPE	personal protective equipment
ppb	parts per billion
ppm	parts per million
psi	pounds per square inch = 0.068 atmospheres
P(SL)A	*Petroleum (Submerged Lands) Act 1982*
Ref	reference
ROV	remotely operated vehicle
SCAT	Shoreline Clean-up Assessment Technique
SDS	safety data sheet
SIMA	Spill Impact Mitigation Assessment
SIMOPS	Simultaneous Operations
SITREP	Situation Report (Form)
SMEACS	Situation, Mission, Execution, Administration and Logistics, Command, Control and Communication, Safety
SMEERC	State Marine Environmental Emergency Response Committee
SMOPC	State Marine Oil Pollution Committee

SMP	Scientific Monitoring Plan
SOPEP	Shipboard Oil Pollution Emergency Plan
T	tonnes
TRP	Tactical Response Plan
US	United States
VFR	Visual Flight Rules
VOGA	Vermillion Oil & Gas Australia Pty Ltd
WA	Western Australia
WAMOPRA	Western Australia Marine Oil Pollution Risk Assessment
WAOWRP	Western Australia Oiled Wildlife Response Plan
WestPlan	Western Australian Offshore Petroleum Operations (Exploration and Production) Emergency Management Plan
WestPlan-HAZMAT	Western Australian Hazardous Materials Emergency Management Plan
WestPlan-MOP	Western Australian Marine Oil Pollution Emergency Management Plan

In the event of a spill, go to PART 4

The Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP is divided into six parts:



PART 1: Oil Pollution Emergency Plan Overview

Section 1 – Introduction

1.1 OPEP Aim and Outcomes

The aim of the Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia Pty Ltd (VOGA) Exploration and Survey Operations Oil Pollution Emergency Plan (OPEP) is to ensure that measures are in place to mitigate the oil spill hazards associated with survey and exploration activities.

To achieve this, the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP has established the following outcomes based on the following requirements:

- **Outcome 1:** The Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP is established to mitigate the oil spill hazards identified in the respective Environment Plans (EPs).
- **Outcome 2:** Response strategies provided in the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP are appropriate to:
  - the nature and scale and associated environmental impact of the potential spill hazards
  - the nature and scale and associated environmental impact of the potential spill response strategies
  - the environmental sensitivities and priorities as outlined within the respective EP.
- **Outcome 3:** The Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP describes incident management system and interfaces.
- **Outcome 4:** Decision-making processes support mitigation of environmental impact of spills and assessment of effectiveness of response strategies using:
  - the Incident Action Plan (IAP) which includes a consideration for environmental impact of spill and response
  - operational and scientific monitoring (OSM).
- **Outcome 5:** The Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP shall contain processes to assess, test and maintain arrangements to meet the outcomes of the OPEP through:
  - assurance processes
  - capability assessment
  - review triggers.

These outcomes align with the VOGA Critical Procedure Performance Standard for Element 8 – Oil Spill Response [WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-02 and WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-03] key requirements.

There are six parts to the OPEP:

- Part 1 – an overview of the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP
- Part 2 – the VOGA incident management process
- Part 3 – the management arrangements for the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP
- Part 4 – notification and activation requirements

- Part 5 – Oil Pollution Plans (OPPs) detailing response strategies and arrangements for credible spill scenarios
- Part 6 – spill response support plans.

1.2 Scope

This plan has been established by VOGA to respond to oil pollution associated with survey and exploration drilling activities within Permit Area WA-14-L (Section 1.3).

The Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP includes organisational responsibilities, actions, reporting requirements and resources available to ensure the effective and timely management of the response to an accidental oil spill resulting from exploration activities. OPEP requirements and corresponding sections where these requirements are addressed are outlined in Table 1-1.

Table 1-1: Scope of OPEP

Description of OPGGS(E)R requirements for OPEP	Part in OPEP
The oil pollution emergency plan must include adequate arrangements for responding to and monitoring oil pollution.	Part 5 and 6
The implementation strategy must include arrangements for testing the response arrangements in the oil pollution emergency plan that are appropriate to the response arrangements and to the nature and scale of the risk of oil pollution for the activity.	Part 3
The arrangements for testing the response arrangements must include: (a) a statement of the objectives of testing (b) a proposed schedule of tests (c) mechanisms to examine the effectiveness of response arrangements against the objectives of testing (d) mechanisms to address recommendations arising from tests.	Part 3
The proposed schedule of tests must provide for testing the response arrangements.	Part 3
The implementation strategy must provide for monitoring of impacts to the environment from oil pollution and response activities.	Part 6 and Appendix B
The implementation strategy must include information demonstrating that the response arrangements in the OPEP are consistent with the national system for oil pollution preparedness and response.	Part 1 and 3

1.3 Location

Permit WA-14-L is situated approximately 80 km northwest of the port of Dampier (Figure 1-1) with water depths ranging from approximately 50–60 m below Lowest Astronomical Tide (LAT).

Figure 1-1: Wandoo Field location



Indicative coordinates for the exploration prospects and survey areas are provided in Table 1-2 and illustrated in Figure 1-2.

Table 1-2: Indicative geographical coordinates of the exploration prospects

Prospects	X (Easting)	Y (Northing)	Latitude	Longitude
Kullingal	437843.0	7769379.0	20.171952275° S	116.405154118° E
Mottlecah	439263.0	7773031.5	20.138993472° S	116.418865226° E
Jinjulu	435158.7	7769128.6	20.174126078° S	116.379457185° E
North of Wandoo 1	441024.0	7777050.0	20.102736571° S	116.435844178° E
North of Wandoo 2	442025.0	7778592.0	20.088833157° S	116.445468311° E
Jurassic North	438556.0	7777506.0	20.098539052° S	116.412251940° E
NW Jurassic	431796.0	7777285.0	20.100308831° S	116.347583269° E

Figure 1-2: Operational areas



1.4 Activity Description

This OPEP covers oil spill scenarios from survey and exploration drilling activities within the Permit Area WA-14-L, as described in the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0015] and Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0015].

1.4.1 Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey Activities

The activities covered in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0015] include:

- Geotechnical survey, including seabed grab sampling and borehole/Piezocone Penetration Tests (PCPTs)
- Geophysical survey, including Multibeam Echo Sounder (MBES), Side Scan Sonar (SSS), Sub-bottom Profiling (SBP) and magnetometer.

Activities excluded from the scope of the EP are:

- Seismic surveys
- Vessel operations within Port Boundaries or State waters, given they are managed under the *Shipping and Pilotage Act 1967* (WA) as administered by the relevant Port Authority under the *Port Authorities Act 1999* (WA)
- Vessel operations within Commonwealth waters outside of the Operational Area, given they are managed under the *Navigation Act 2012* (Cth) as administered by the Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA).

1.4.2 Exploration Drilling Activities

The activities covered in the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0015] include:

- Using an approved transit route specialist anchor handling vessels will manoeuvre the drilling rig into place
- The drilling rig will be positioned at sites determined as suitable by the seabed assessments
- Surface hole section will be drilled and cased, and then a riser and Blowout Preventer (BOP) to prevent release of hydrocarbons installed
- The well would then be drilled to reach the reservoir
- Once the exploration wells have been drilled and evaluated, they will be Plugged and Abandoned (P&A) so hydrocarbons cannot be released, and all equipment removed from the seabed.

1.5 Interface with Other Plans

1.5.1 VOGA Plans

This Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP interfaces with the following VOGA plans:

- **Wandoo Emergency Response Plan [VOG-2000-RD-0017]** – This plan describes the immediate 'actions-on' for an unplanned emergency incident at one of VOGA's facilities. The Wandoo Emergency Response Plan (ERP) is the plan that will be initially put in place to manage the immediate, life-threatening consequences of an emergency (e.g. fire, collision, etc.) and immediately mitigate, as far as possible, the consequences of these actions. The Wandoo ERP will always have primacy over other plans.
- **Source Control Contingency Plan** – The Source Control Contingency Plan provides a response framework to implement a well construction activity to intercept and plug/kill a well bore in the event of a well blowout. The Source Control Response Team is run independently of the VOGA Oil Spill Incident Command Team (ICT). Both teams interface at the crisis management level of the emergency response structure.
- **Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0015]** – The Exploration Drilling EP caters for all exploration drilling activities associated with the Permit Area. The EP is developed by VOGA and accepted by the Jurisdictional Authority under the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environmental) Regulations 2009 (OPGGS(E)R). It identifies the environmental risks and impacts associated with the activities covered within the plan. This OPEP addresses all potential oil spill risks identified in the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP.
- **Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0014] –** The Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP caters for all survey activities associated with the Permit Area. The EP is developed by VOGA and accepted by the Jurisdictional Authority under the OPGGS(E)R. It identifies the environmental risks and impacts associated with the activities covered within the plan. This OPEP addresses all potential oil spill risks identified in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP.

- **Oil Spill Response Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009]** – This report provides a capability review for all oil spill response spill scenarios associated with production and well construction activities within the Wandoo Permit Area WA-14-L. The capability assessment included detailing the specification for each resource requirement (e.g. skills, vessels, equipment) and identifying what contracts and arrangements are in place, or required, to meet the resource requirements. The requirements are ascertained and assessed through workshops, surveys and review of existing contracts.
- **Wandoo Field Operational and Scientific Monitoring Bridging Implementation Plan [WAN-2000-RD-0001.04]** – This plan has been designed to interface with the Joint Industry Operational and Scientific Monitoring Framework and associated Oil Spill Response Limited (OSRL) Supplementary Agreement. The Operational and Scientific Monitoring Bridging Implementation Plan (OSM BIP) is informed by the EP through the identification of the sensitive receptors in the Wandoo Field operating environment that could be impacted during an oil spill.

1.5.2 Government and Industry Plans

This OPEP interfaces with the following Australian Government, State Government and Industry plans:

1.5.2.1 Oil Spill Response Organisations (OSRO)

Oil Spill Response Limited (OSRL):

- VOGA has a signed Associate Agreement with OSRL for the Wandoo Production Area
- VOGA is a member of the OSRL Joint Industry Oil Spill Monitoring Supplementary Service
- VOGA has access to the Global Dispersant Stockpile (GDS)
- OSRL has the capacity to provide personnel and equipment to combat Level 3 spills
- Access to international resources is facilitated through the OSRL membership.

Australian Marine Oil Spill Plan (AMOS Plan) (AMOSC, 2021):

- This plan is managed by the Australian Marine Oil Spill Centre (AMOSC), and will be activated by VOGA when the response to an oil spill incident is regarded by VOGA to be requiring resources beyond those of the company
- The plan coordinates the participation of the oil industry in response through the mobilisation of AMOSC personnel and/or equipment, which can be supplemented by personnel and equipment owned directly by other industry companies
- As members of AMOSC, Chevron and Santos will respond with equipment and/or personnel to respond to a VOGA spill impacting on Barrow or Lowendal Islands respectively.

1.5.2.2 Oil Spill Response Agency (OSRA)

National Plan for Maritime Environmental Emergencies (National Plan):

- Administered by the AMSA, the National Plan outlines Australia's arrangements for responding to oil spills in the marine environment, with the aim of protecting against

environmental pollution as a result of oil contamination and, where this is not possible, minimise the effects.

- The National Plan outlines combined stakeholder arrangements designed to allow a rapid and cooperative response to marine oil spills within Australian waters. Once accepted by the National Offshore Petroleum, Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA), the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP is eligible for National Plan support. For the purposes of the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP, the National Plan can be used to provide personnel, equipment and technical resources from the Australian and State governments to VOGA for use during a significant oil spill.
- VOGA is a signatory to the AMSA Support for Oil Spill Preparedness and Response Memorandum of Understanding (MOU). AMSA will coordinate the resources of the National Plan on the formal request of the appointed Incident Commander. A liaison officer from AMSA will sit within the VOGA ICT to facilitate the effective and efficient coordination of National Plan resources.
- The Western Australia (WA) Department of Transport (DoT) is a signatory to the inter-governmental agreement under the National Plan. The Oil Spill Response (OSR) Coordinator (Maritime Environmental Emergency Response Unit; MEER Unit), as well as some members of the State Response Team, are members of the National Response Team and are trained and competent in roles ranging from team leader for equipment operations and shoreline response to ICT roles. The MEER Unit has access to AMSA's National Plan equipment to respond to spills in State waters. This equipment is located in Dampier and Fremantle.

WA State Hazard Plan – Maritime Environmental Emergencies (MEE):

- In accordance with the *Emergency Management Act 2005*, the MEE has been formulated by the Hazard Management Agency (HMA) and approved by the WA State Emergency Management Committee (SEMC).
- The State Hazard Plan – MEE prescribes the management arrangements for the prevention of, preparation for, response to and recovery from a MEE emergency in order to minimise the impacts of marine oil pollution from vessels, offshore petroleum activities and other sources in State waters.
- For the purposes of the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP, State Hazard Plan - MEE describes the response arrangements that the WA State Government will put in place for oil spills from the Wandoo Field, should they enter, or be predicted to enter, State waters and any shorelines or wildlife therein. This includes performing the function of Controlling Agency for response activities in State waters resulting from Level 2/3 incident in Australian Government waters as per the WA DoT Offshore Petroleum Industry Guidance Note Marine Oil Pollution (MOP): Response and Consulation Arrangements (September 2018).

WA DoT OPEP:

- This OPEP describes the activities and actions that the WA DoT will undertake to mount a credible and proportionate response to oil pollution in State waters. For the purposes of the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP, the DoT OPEP is complimentary in that it will be used to assist and guide the actions and activities that take place in State waters by the DoT in response to a spill from the Wandoo Field.

- The DoT MEER Unit will work with the VOGA to determine protection priorities and undertake an initial and ongoing environmental impact assessments to determine the most appropriate response in State waters. These protection priorities determined at the time of a spill may differ from those originally identified in the accepted OPEP. Dispersant use in and around State waters must be approved by either DoT or the Department of Mines and Petroleum – see DoT's Dispersant Use Guidelines for further information.
- The MEER Unit chairs the State Marine Environmental Emergency Response Committee (SMEERC) which has representation from all agencies and authorities involved in marine OSR (refer to WestPlan-MOP for a list of membership). In the event of a spill with any potential to impact State waters, DoT will coordinate the notification of all representatives on the SMEERC. This includes the State Environmental and Scientific Coordinator.

1.5.2.3 Third Party Vessels

Shipboard Oil Pollution Emergency Plans (SOPEPs):

- These plans contain details about the ship, roles and responsibilities in the event of a spill, and spill response equipment on board. MARPOL 73/78 requires that every oil tanker of 150 tonnes gross tonnage and above, and every ship other of 400 gross tonnes and above, carry a SOPEP approved by the Administration. It is the same Shipboard Marine Pollution Plan that is required under the Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response and Cooperation (OPRC) Convention.
- Shipboard Marine Pollution Plans also include noxious liquid substances and should more correctly be called "Shipboard Marine Pollution Emergency Plan". The plans must be prepared in accordance with vessel class and flag State requirements and guidelines as laid down by the International Maritime Organisation (IMO).
- For the purposes of this OPEP, all vessels that are involved in the activity will implement their SOPEP to assist in mitigating any spills from their shipping activities, which contributes to the mitigation of the overall oil spill risk from the petroleum activity.

1.6 Response Priorities

Consistent with the National Plan, the priorities for VOGA in responding to an oil spill will be:

- Human health and safety
- Habitat and cultural resources
- Rare and/or endangered flora and fauna
- Commercial resources (including commercial fisheries, other offshore oil and gas production and exploration facilities in the immediate surrounds)
- Community amenities for social and recreational activities (including recreational fishing, local beaches and culturally sensitive areas).

1.7 Incident Classification

The AMSA National Plan and the WA State Hazard Plan – MEE assign response levels to oil spills according to the criteria listed in Table 1-3.

The Wandoo ERP [VOG-2000-RD-0017] provides details on the response arrangements and responsibilities VOGA has for the management of emergencies which may occur during survey and drilling operations. Consistent with the National Plan, the Wandoo ERP categorises incidents in relation to the response required:

- **Level 1 incidents**: Generally able to be resolved through the application of local or initial resources only (e.g. first strike response).
- **Level 2 incidents**: More complex in size, duration, resource management and risk and may require deployment of jurisdictional resources beyond the initial response.
- **Level 3 incidents**: Characterised by a degree of complexity that requires the Incident Commander to delegate all incident management functions to focus on strategic leadership and response coordination and may be supported by national and international resources.

Table 1-3: Emergency classification levels (AMSA and WA DoT)

Characteristic	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Management			
Jurisdiction	Single jurisdiction	Multiple jurisdictions	Multiple jurisdictions
Delegation	Incident Controller responsible for all functions	Some functions delegated or divisions created	All functions delegated and/or divisions created
Number of agencies	First-response agency	Routine multi-agency response	Agencies from across government and industry
IAP	Simple/outline	Outline	Detailed
Resources	Resourced from within one area	Requires intra-state resources	Requires national or international resources
Type of emergency			
Type of response	First-strike	Escalated	Campaign
Duration	Single shift	Multiple shifts Days to weeks	Extended response Weeks to months
Hazards	Single hazard	Single hazard	Multiple hazards
Resources at risk			
Human	Potential for serious injuries	Potential for loss of life	Potential for multiple loss of life
Environment	Isolated impacts or with natural recovery expected within weeks	Significant impacts and recovery may take months. Remediation required	Significant area and recovery may take months. Remediation required
Wildlife	Individual fauna	Groups of fauna or threatened fauna	Large numbers of fauna
Economy	Business level disruption	Business failure	Disruption to a sector
Social	Reduced services	Ongoing reduced services	Reduced quality of life
Infrastructure	Short-term failure	Medium-term failure	Severe impairment
Public affairs	Local and regional media coverage	National media coverage	International media coverage

Section 2 – Statutory Requirements

2.1 International Conventions

Australia has ratified the following international conventions relating to risk from oil and gas activities:

- International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships 1973, and modified by the Protocol 1978 (MARPOL 73/78)
- International Convention on Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response and Cooperation 1990 (IMO, 1990).

Both conventions compel the Australian Government (and in turn, State Government) to implement legislation that regulates activities in Australian territorial waters. Of relevance to VOGA, is that MARPOL 73/78 states that parties to the convention *"...shall require that operators of offshore units under its jurisdiction have Oil Pollution Plans, which are coordinated with the national system..."*

OPRC 1990, which entered into force on 13 May 1995, provides a global framework for international cooperation and mutual assistance between states and regions when preparing for and responding to major oil pollution. Contracting states are required to establish a national system for responding to oil spills, including a designated national authority, a national operational contact point, and a national contingency plan. This needs to be supported by a minimum level of response equipment, communications plans, regular training and exercises. Ships, offshore units, sea ports and oil-handling facilities are required to carry OPPs which must be coordinated with national systems for responding to oil pollution incidents.

2.2 Commonwealth, State or Territory Laws

2.2.1 Commonwealth

2.2.1.1 Offshore Petroleum Incident Coordination Framework

The Australian Government has agreed that in responding to offshore petroleum incidents originating in Australian Government waters, a central incident coordination committee be convened and chaired by the Department of Industry, Science and Resources. The committee is known as the Offshore Petroleum Incident Coordination Committee (OPICC).

The purpose of OPICC is to effectively coordinate the Australian Government efforts and resources and communicate to the public and affected stakeholders all matters relevant to a significant offshore petroleum incident that originates in Australian Government waters.

OPICC is not a mechanism to deploy Australian Government resources, exercise incident control or implement operational response arrangements. However, it can provide whole-of-government strategic collaboration to resolve conflicts and identify gaps in support of marine oil pollution emergency response activities.

2.2.1.2 Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage Act 2006 (OPGGSA) and Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023

This Act provides the regulatory framework for the activity and is the principal piece of legislation relevant for this OPEP.

The OPGGS(E)R have been made under the OPGGSA and amended in 2014 with the objective of ensuring any petroleum activity is: (1) consistent with the principles of ecologically sustainable development; and (2) undertaken in accordance with an EP that has appropriate environmental performance outcomes and standards as well as measurement criteria for determining whether the outcomes and standards are met. Futher ammendments were made in 2023 and an updated Oil Pollution Risk Management Guidance Note issued by NOPSEMA in October 2024.

This OPEP meets the explicit requirements set out in the OPGGS(E)R 2023 and described in the NOPSEMA Oil Pollution Risk Management Guidance Note N-04750-GN1488 A382148 (07/10/2024).

NOPSEMA manages the regulatory approval and ongoing compliance oversight of the OPGSSA for the Facility.

2.2.1.3 Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act)

The EPBC Act provides a legal framework to protect and manage nationally and internationally important flora, fauna, ecological communities and heritage places defined in the EPBC Act as matters of National Environmental Significance (NES).

Through mechanisms established under the EPBC Act, there are significant environmental sensitivities of types noted above, within the oil spill zone of potential impact for some of the credible spill scenarios from the Facility (for further details, refer to the EP). These are considered as a priority for protection should an oil spill occur.

Under the standing Notice of Exemption granted by the Minister for the Environment, persons acting in accordance with the National Plan are exempted from Part Three of the EPBC Act (requirement for approvals for activities). Once this OPEP has been accepted by NOPSEMA, this exemption includes actions undertaken that are consistent with this OPEP.

The Commonwealth Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) manage any approvals for activities or exemptions under the EPBC Act in relation to the facility and associated activities.

2.2.2 State of Western Australia

Should oil travel inside the 3 nm limit (State waters), a range of WA legislation also affects the response operations that would be undertaken under this OPEP by VOGA. This legislation includes:

- *Pollution of Waters by Oil and Noxious Substances Act 1987*:
  - The purpose of this Act is to protect the sea and other waters from pollution by oil and noxious substances. This Act implements MARPOL 73/78 in the State and details the liabilities and penalties for discharges from ships (and other sources) and enables WA to take measures to respond to spills.

  - For the purposes of this OPEP, It provides a 'head of power' by which the WA DoT is able to undertake legal action to clean up marine pollution within State waters, and places an environmental legal obligation on the WA DoT to respond to oil spills. This has, in effect, the same legal obligation placed upon VOGA for oil spills from survey and drilling activities as per the OPGGSA and the OPGGS(E)R. In practice, it means that two parties have the same legal obligation to respond in the marine environment. VOGA proposes (and the DoT has agreed) to manage this overlapping legal responsibility through the response arrangement outlined in Section 2.3.2 of this OPEP.
  - In relation to oils spilt that have or are likely to head into State waters, the WA DoT will be notified as soon as practicable.
- *Emergency Management Act 2005* and regulations (WA):
  - The DoT is the Hazard Management Agency (*Emergency Management Act 2005*) for all Marine Oil Pollution, regardless of the source, in State waters. The MEER Unit within DoT undertakes work to prevent, prepare, respond and recover from marine oil pollution in State waters. The MEER Unit coordinates the State Response Team, personnel trained and competent at the team leader level for equipment operations, shoreline clean-up and assessment.
  - The purpose of this Act is to outline the manner in which the State of WA will implement emergency management, command, control, response and recovery arrangements during significant emergency incidents that affect the community.
- *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (WA)* and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2018 (WA):
  - This legislation provides coverage for flora and fauna as well as important matters including habitats, communities, threatening processes and weeds. It provides protection for threatened ecological communities and strengthens protection for whales and dolphins. The responsible agency with jurisdictional authority for this Act is the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA).
  - The two objects of the Act are to conserve and protect biodiversity and biodiversity components in the State; and to promote the ecologically sustainable use of biodiversity components in the State.
  - For the purposes of this OPEP, this legislation clearly sets out the legislative roles of DBCA and their primacy in dealing with oiled wildlife. VOGA will manage this legislative mandate during a spill by inviting DBCA to participate in ICT activities to ensure the response is reasonable and that proportionate resources that may assist DBCA are provided.

2.3 Jurisdictional Authority and Control Agency Responsibilities

2.3.1 Jurisdictional Authority

The jurisdictional authority is the State, Territory or Commonwealth agency with jurisdictional authority for marine pollution in its area of jurisdiction. NOPSEMA is the jurisdictional authority for offshore oil and gas exploration and production activities in Commonwealth waters, while AMSA is the jurisdictional authority for vessel-based activities in Commonwealth waters.

The Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DEMIRS) is the jurisdictional authority for offshore oil and gas exploration and production activities in State waters, while the DoT is the jurisdictional authority for vessel-based activities in State waters and is also the Hazard Management Authority (HMA) for Marine Oil Pollution. In the event of marine pollution in State waters, the HMA (DoT) is the designated jurisdictional authority, regardless of the source.

2.3.2 Control Agency

The National Plan describes the Control Agency as the agency or company assigned by legislation, administrative arrangements or within the relevant contingency plan, to control response activities to a maritime environmental emergency. The Control Agency will have responsibility for appointing the Incident Controller (VOGA uses the term 'Incident Commander').

VOGA is the Control Agency for oil spills wholly confined to Commonwealth waters from activities in the Wandoo Field, meaning VOGA is responsible for assuming Incident Control and providing an Incident Controller (Commander). In the event that a spill has any potential to enter State waters, the WA DoT will be notified as soon as reasonably practicable. For spills arising from ships and vessels within Commonwealth waters, VOGA may undertake initial response actions and will hand over Incident Control to AMSA.

In accordance with WestPlan – MOP, the Control Agency for a Level 1 MOP emergency in State waters resulting from an offshore petroleum activity is VOGA. The Control Agency for a Level 2/3 MOP emergency in State waters resulting from an offshore petroleum activity is the DoT.

Cross-jurisdictional response activities are those activities that arise as a result of an incident originating in Commonwealth waters and requiring DoT to exercise its HMA obligations in State waters. A partnership between VOGA and DoT is required to ensure response activities across the entire incident are carried out.

Where State waters are impacted by a Level 2/3 MOP emergency resulting from an offshore petroleum activity in Commonwealth waters, DoT will only assume the role of Control Agency for that portion of the response activity that occurs within State waters.

Table 2-1: Control agency by location

Spill response/ impact location	Spill source	Control agency	Relevant OPEP
Commonwealth waters	VOGA facilities	VOGA	Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016]
	Ships and vessels	VOGA/AMSA	Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP/National Plan
State waters	VOGA facilities	VOGA	Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016]
		DoT	WA DoT OPEP
	Vessels not connected to the facility	DoT	WA DoT OPEP

Dispersant use in and around State waters must be approved by either DoT or the DEMIRS (see DoT's Dispersant Consent Use Guidance Note for further information).

2.3.3 Cross-Jurisdictional Arrangements

In all cross-jurisdictional MOP emergencies beyond Level 1, DoT will establish an Incident Management Team (IMT), and VOGA will be required to provide an appropriate number of appropriately qualified personnel for the DoT IMT. This is an initial 10 personnel in accordance with the DoT Offshore Petroleum Industry Guidance Note – Marine Oil Pollution: Response and Consultation Arrangements (Version 4, September 2018). Figure 2-1 displays how the VOGA ICT Representatives will be integrated within the DoT IMT.

VOGA will conduct initial response actions in State waters as necessary in accordance with their OPEP and continue to manage those operations until incident control can be established by DoT.

Upon establishment of incident control by DoT, VOGA will continue to provide planning and resources in accordance with the OPEP. This will include response assets and contracts specified in their OPEP, such as those pertaining to waste management, transport and personnel as well as response arrangements with the AMOSC and other third-party responders.

In fulfilling its obligations as the Control Agency, the DoT will require VOGA to work in partnership with DoT to ensure an adequate response is provided across the entire incident. DoT and VOGA will determine the most appropriate response in State waters by working together on the IAP, protection priorities and Spill Impact Mitigation Assessment (SIMA) of response activities.

VOGA utilises an Initial SIMA Spreadsheet Tool that provides information on sensitivities at risk, and feasibility and impact of response strategies based on the spill category and season. This and the Initial IAP prepared by VOGA can be provided to DoT at the time of initial notification of a spill potentially entering state waters.

To facilitate this overarching coordination between the two Control Agencies and their respective IMTs, a Joint Strategic Coordination Committee (JSCC) will be established. The JSCC will be jointly chaired by the WA DoT State Maritime Environmental Emergency Coordinator and a VOGA nominated senior representative and comprise of individuals deemed necessary by the chairs to ensure an effective coordinated response across both jurisdictions. The control coordination arrangements for a cross-jurisdictional MEE are outlined in Figure 2-1 and Figure 2-2.

Figure 2-1: VOGA integration into DoT IMT



Figure 2-2: Coordination arrangements for a cross-jurisdictional MEE



2.4 Relevant Person Engagement for Planning

Relevant Person consultation during OPEP preparation was primarily focused on:

- **Legislative roles:** Ensuring that parties with a legislative role in oil spill response agree with the processes and descriptions of activities described herein, and that these response processes and procedures are consistent with the range of Commonwealth and State legislation. In particular, consultation focused on the provision of equipment and resources that can be shared between governments and industry, the 'shared' obligation to respond to oil spills within WA State waters and obligations on the WA State Government and VOGA on wildlife response.
- **Third parties:** Ensuring that other parties with an interest or role in the implementation of the plan have an opportunity to comment and agree to the processes and procedures in the plan. This includes regional oil and gas operators whose operations could be affected; or whose resources may be called upon to assist VOGA implement OSR strategies; and other parties in the Karratha area who could also assist VOGA.
- **Development of call-out contracts for key service providers:** Ensuring that commercial providers for the individual services necessary to implement the spill response strategies had the capacity and capability to do so. A number of Scopes of Work were developed and negotiated with these parties, leading to standby contracts for critical service delivery. This gives assurance that these can be called in within the required response timeframes.

Key relevant persons engaged during the development of the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical and Exploration Drilling EPs included, but are not limited to:

- Commonwealth and State government departments and agencies
- Commonwealth and State commercial fishing
- Recreational fishers and marine users and peak representative bodies
- Traditional Custodians and First Nations nominated representative corporations
- Research institutes
- Local government and recognised local business and community reference/liaison groups or organisations
- Local environment and conservation groups or organisations
- Energy industry titleholders and operators.

The Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EPs present the responses from all relevant persons and responses by VOGA. A full copy of VOGA briefing packages, correspondence and full text of the stakeholder replies are held in the VOGA's Document Control System and provided to NOPSEMA.

During a response to a spill incident, the functions of Stakeholder Liaison Officer and Public Information Officer are responsible for ensuring all relevant persons are informed and involved where appropriate.

NOPSEMA and WA DoT are key regulatory stakeholders that are kept informed of incidents via the notification processes identified in Part 4. WA DoT in their role as HMA takes on the role of ensuring notification and situation reports are provided to the State Marine Oil Pollution Committee (SMOPC) and relevant government agencies. In this way, they act as a single point of contact.

Section 3 – Approach to Response Planning

3.1 Overview

VOGA's oil spill response planning process is based on impact and consequence scenario planning which involves establishing the context and risk; evaluating, demonstrating and defining response strategies and resources; implementation; and first response, as described in Table 3-1 and illustrated in Figure 3-1.

The process is divided into two phases: planning (refer Table 3-1) and spill response. The spill response is supported by the incident action planning process (refer Section 6); Part 5 – Oil Pollution Plans (OPPs) are initial IAPs based on existing impact assessments for the spill and response activities within the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling EPs.

3.2 The Planning Phase

As outlined in Table 3-1, preparing for spills involves the following steps to achieve the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP outcomes (Section 1.1):

- Step 1: Understanding the hazard profile – Outcome 1 of the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP.
- Step 2: Identifying parameters to assess applicable response strategies and scale of the event – Outcome 2 of the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP.
- Step 3: Identifying suitable response strategies – Outcome 2 of the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP.
- Step 4: Understanding the impacts associated with response strategies – Outcome 2 and 3 of the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP.
- Step 5: Ensuring capability and plan supports management of risks to ALARP – Outcome 4 and 5 of the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP.
- Step 6: Define the environmental performance standards within the respective EPs.

The outcome of this approach is that:

- Oil spill hazards associated with VOGA's activities are addressed and risks are managed to as low as reasonable practicable (ALARP).
- Response strategies (Table 7-1) and resources are based on the nature and scale of the incident.
- Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling (OSTM) outputs for the loss of well control and vessel collision was undertaken to identify response parameters, including:
  - minimum time to impact defined environmental sensitivities
  - probability of shoreline impact to defined environmental sensitivities

  - maximum quantity of oil impact to defined environmental sensitivities
  - maximum length of oil impact to defined shoreline environmental sensitivities.

- Response strategies are risk assessed and management controls outlined in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling EPs are considered in this OPEP.

Table 3-1: Description of steps in oil spill response assessment (planning process)

Response planning steps
Step 1: Oil spill hazard is identified and context for each spill category and season described. This requires understanding the potential events (scenarios) which requires knowledge of: • API type, composition of reservoir/fluids assay. • Reservoir modelling of oil type or another geotechnical analysis. • Release rate, quantity, duration. • Location of activity and potential spill sources. • Metocean data matching the location and timing of activity. • Location of environmental receptors and method of impact from oil. • Toxicity of oil. • Timing of spill (season). • Thresholds. • Environment that may be affected (EMBA).
Step 2: Evaluation of response parameters is also about consideration of the hazard, as response preparation requires understanding the potential consequence, including: • Probability of oiling defined environmental sensitivities. • Minimum time to impact defined environmental sensitivities. • Quantity of oil to impact defined environmental sensitivities. • Length of shoreline impacted. • Response operating area.
Step 3: Definition of response strategies for spill categories involves designing the most appropriate response plan, such that the impacts, in the event of a spill, are reduced to ALARP. VOGA uses an assessment process for oil spill planning and response (Figure 3-1), and in doing so consider: • The oil spill hazard. • The context for each spill category and season. • Operational constraints. • Assess the impacts of the hazard and the response activities. • Assess whether impacts from the hazard and the response activities are ALARP, by considering all alternatives and their relative benefits and costs. • Where not determined to be ALARP, the response strategies are adjusted as part of an iterative process. • This iterative process considers capability and in doing so looks at the potential benefits and costs of doing more sooner.

Response planning steps
As a part of the planning the response, in **Step 4: Assess impacts of spill scenario,** VOGA also assesses the impacts of the spill response. This not only contributes to making choices about the response strategies, but also informs how the response should be undertaken to ensure that the risks and impacts of the response are managed to ALARP. This step involves: • Environmental risk and impact assessment. • OSTM of surface, entrained and subsurface oil with and without response strategies. • Identification of the controls (including environmental performance outcomes, standards and measurement criteria) to be implemented as a part of the spill.
To ensure that VOGA has a level of preparedness to implement the response strategy **Step 5: Define the response resources** is undertaken next. In this step, VOGA considers: • Operational limitations (equipment functional capacity/coverage, safety of response personnel). • Constraints of equipment effectiveness. • Scale of the spill event. • Skill-sets required for specific roles.
Step 6: Define the performance standards.

3.3 Spill Response Phase

Initial response actions are described in the Oil Pollution Plans (OPPs). These actions are based on the strategies, resources and capability identified in the planning process. Resources are activated and the outputs from monitoring and evaluation are used to conduct an impact assessment of spill impact mitigation assessment, SIMA (previously referred to as Net Environmental Benefit Assessment [NEBA]), to confirm that the risks associated with response activities are consistent within the two EPs and the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP.If they are, then response effectiveness Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) are developed and response measures implemented. The incident action planning process provides for the opportunity to determine if response operations are effective and if termination criteria are met.

The incident action planning process used by the ICT allows for the effectiveness of each strategy to be reviewed, adjusted or halted if the objectives of the response are not being met or the environmental impacts were not addressed in the SIMA thus fulfilling Outcome 3 of the OPEP. If the impact of a response strategy is significantly higher than what is considered in the EP, then this means either impact of the strategy is unacceptable or an EP revision is required for acceptance. An example of where a revision to an EP may be required is where new technology such as that for in-situ burning or bioremediation becomes available to VOGA.

To support this approach and provide a timely response, OPPs detail an initial IAP (OPEP; Part 5) enabling response resources to be activated whilst event-specific assessments are conducted. Resources are identified and capability to achieve timeframes has been reviewed to ensure first response actions are able to be implemented.

Figure 3-1: Assessment process for oil spill planning and response



Section 4 – Establishing the Risk and Context

4.1 Oil Characterisation

4.1.1 Oil Types

Details of the oil type expected during exporation drilling (Wandoo crude) and used for vessel activities (diesel fuel oil) are presented in Table 4-1 and Table 4-2.

Table 4-1: Details of oil types

Oil type	Oil group	API gravity	Density @ 15°C	Pour point (°C)	Flash point (°C)	Viscosity @ 40°C	Predicted evaporation	Wax content	Asphalt-ene content
Diesel fuel oil	II	38.8	0.83	-36	40	1.0	High	<1%	<1%
Wandoo crude (fresh)	IV	19.5	0.9368	-24	144	~48	15%	Low	Low

Table 4-2: Boiling ranges and chemical characteristics of Wandoo crude

Characteristic	Volatiles (%)	Semi-volatiles (%)	Low volatiles (%)	Residual (%)	Density (kg/m^3)	Aromatic content (%)
Boiling point (°C)	<180	180 – 265	265 – 380	>380		
Wandoo crude	0.0	13	40.7	46.3	936.9 @ 15°C	2.87

4.1.2 Diesel Fuel Oil

Diesel is used by support vessels, plant and equipment associated with daily operations. Diesel is expected to undergo rapid evaporative loss and natural breakdown in the high energy environmental conditions experienced around the Permit Area.

4.1.3 Wandoo Crude Oil

Wandoo crude, having lost the majority of its lighter fractions due to microbial biodegradation in the reservoir, is heavier than most light crude oils typically produced on the North-West Shelf (NWS), and has a low pour point, virtually no paraffin wax, and low asphaltene content. Oils with asphaltene content less than 0.5% are less likely to form stable emulsions are more likely to disperse (ITOPF, 2014a). Laboratory data indicates that 52% of the Wandoo crude is likely to be persistent hydrocarbons (boiling point >375°C).

Weathering data for the two extreme seasonal conditions are provided in Table 4-3. The results in indicated that over half of the crude spilled on the water is likely to evaporate after 10 days of weathering in summer conditions, while 10 days of winter weathering results in over a third of the oil evaporating.

Table 4-3: Summer and winter weathering trial results, ChemCentre (2015)

Time	Summer conditions – loss (w/w%)	Winter conditions – loss (w/w%)
1 day weathered	19%	20%
2 days weathered	29%	23%
3 days weathered	36%	23%
4 days weathered	43%	28%
5 days weathered	48%	29%
10 days weathered	53%	34%
21 days weathered	55%	38%

Results of detailed testing of the efficacy of dispersants using a Mackay-Nadeau-Steelman apparatus indicate that Wandoo crude is amendable to being dispersed by five dispersants: Ardrox, Corexit 9500A, Dasic Slickgone EW, Dasic Slickgone NS, and Finasol OSR 52. The dispersant trials showed good results for all dispersants if used in the first three days, with Slickgone NA and EW both providing 100% dispersant efficacy. Refer to Table 4 in Appendix A for a comparison of dispersant efficacy results.

The spill scenarios and associated volumes identified for the survey and drilling activities are drawn from the risks identified and described in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling EPs.

For the purposes of oil pollution emergency planning, credible scenarios presented in Table 4-4 are based on oil spill hazards identifed in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling EPs, according to the following criterion:

- Type of hydrocarbon
- Instantaneous or ongoing spill
- Volume
- Amenability to similar spill response strategies.

Table 4-4: Summary of credible spill scenarios

Spill type	Possible cause	Credible upper spill volume	VOGA ERP incident level	National Plan incident level
Diesel	Vessel collision	300 m^3	Level 1	1
Wandoo crude	Loss of well control over 35 days	762 m^3/day (26,678 m^3)	Level 3	3

4.1.4 Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling

4.1.4.1 Scope

Two oil spill modelling studies and reports have been undertaken in the area of operation for the vessel and drilling activities. The two reports generated are:

- RPS (2024a) Vermilion Wandoo Exploration OSM (GOC367176)
- RPS (2024b) Vermilion Wandoo B16 OSM (MAQ08852J).

For the scenario outlined in Table 4-4, stochastic and deterministic modelling was undertaken to:

- identify sensitivities at risk from an oil spill, including sensitivities within the zone of potential contact identified by the modelling
- determine the areas over where OSR strategies may be required or implemented.

The Wandoo Exploration and B16 wells are within 7 km of each other. Both have similar credible spill volumes through Loss of Well Control modelled (26,678 m^3 for exploration activities) and (25,555 m^3 for operational well B16). Metocean, wind and regional current data used for both modelling reports are from the same dataset. Dispersant application was modelled in the B16 report with application of dispersant indicating an environmental benefit by reducing floating surface oil exposure and shoreline contact of oil across all seasons. At the end of the simulation for the deterministic trajectory that resulted in the largest volume of oil ashore and longest length of shoreline contacted above 100 g/m^2 (summer conditions), dispersant application indicated a reduction in volume of oil ashore from 5,326 m^3 (unmitigated) to 2,707 m^3 (mitigated).

Key conclusions drawn from both oil spill modelling studies are used as the basis for planning response to the loss of well control and diesel spills. Although OSTM has inherent limitations, this information has been used in the response planning phase and will be used in an actual incident to assist in the SIMA of response strategies as a basis from which to begin the IAP process.

Outputs of the Exploration OSTM are provided in the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP and a summary of key findings over all seasons is presented in Table 4-5.

Table 4-5: Summary of key results for floating oil exposure and shoreline oil accumulation (RPS, 2024a)

Scenario description		Loss of well control	Vessel collision
Spill volume		26,678 m^3	300 m^3
Oil type		Wandoo crude	Diesel
Release depth		0 m (surface)	0 m (surface)
Release duration		35 days	6 hours
Simulation length		56 days	30 days
Floating oil exposure	Maximum distances from the release location to floating oil exposure thresholds	Floating oil concentrations ≥1 g/m^2 could extend up to 998 km from the release location. The maximum distances reduced to 473 km and 25 km as the threshold increases to ≥10 g/m^2 and ≥50 g/m^2 respectively.	Floating oil concentrations ≥1 g/m^2 could extend up to 31 km from the release location. The maximum distances reduced to 18 km and 6 km as the threshold increases to ≥10 g/m^2 and ≥50 g/m^2 respectively.
	Highest probablity of floating oil exposure to a receptor at or above 1 g/m^2	Mermaid Reef AMP, 97% during winter conditions.	NC.
	Quickest time before exposure to a receptor at or above 1 g/m^2	Montebello AMP, 37 hours during transitional conditions.	NC.

Scenario description		Loss of well control	Vessel collision
Shoreline oil accumulation	Probability of oil accumulation on any shoreline at or above 10 g/m^2	100% during winter conditions.	24% during winter conditions.
	Absolute minimum time for oil to accumulate on shoreline cells at or above 10 g/m^2	WA11.West (318) – Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), 69 hours during winter conditions.	WA11.West (318) – Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), 91 hours during winter conditions.
	Maximum volume of oil ashore from a single spill simulation at or above 10 g/m^2	4,550 m^3 during summer conditions.	23 m^3 during winter conditions.
	Highest probablity of oil accumulation for a specific shoreline cell at or above 10 g/m^2	WA.11 West (318) – Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), 92% during winter conditions.	WA11.West (318) – Barrow Island and Montebello Islands(A), 15% during winter conditions.
	Maximum volume of oil ashore from a single spill simulation for a specific shoreline cell at or above 10 g/m^2	2,570 m^2 WA11.WESt (318) Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), during transitional conditions.	23 m^3 WA11.West (318) – Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), during winter conditions.

The thickness of floating oil influences the type of response strategy implemented. For dispersant application, a thickness of 100 g/m^2 is generally used as a minimum for effectiveness. Table 4-6 summarises the maximum distance and direction from the exploration well location of three threshold levels. The thickest areas of floating oil are predicted to be within 25 km of the well location. This provides an indication as to where dispersant application should be prioritised. As the modelling is unable to allocate dispersant to the most effective zone, i.e. in terms of slick thickness and continuity, the trajectory modelling results conservatively estimate the benefit that dispersant application will have on reducing oil contact to environmental sensitivities. However, dispersant application should be a priority response activity considered in the event of a Loss of Well Control event.

Floating oil thickness also influences containment and recovery activities at sea. Containment and recovery efforts become inefficient in thinly spread oil, the area closest to the well site and of thickest coverage will be prioritised for at-sea containment and recovery. Thresholds of 1% are not practical to respond to with containment and recovery, dispersant or shoreline cleanup interventions.

In the exploration drilling modelling, oil is predicted to impact shorelines across all seasons with a high probability and short timeframe (Table 4-7). Winter is the worst season for shoreline accumulation at and above the actionable cleanup threshold of 100 g/m^2. There is a 100% probability of impact with an average shoreline length of 105 km being impacted at 100 g/m^2 and a minimum timeframe of 78 hours. The average volume of oil ashore for this season at 100 g/m^2 is 1,170 m^3 and the worst-case single spill is estimated to be 3,579 m^3.

Table 4-6: Comparison of OSTM results floating oil exposure for summer, transitional and winter seasons without dispersant application (RPS, 2024a)

Oil	Amount	Floating oil exposure threshold	Summer maximum distance (km) and direction from release location	Transitional maximum distance (km) and direction from release location	Winter maximum distance (km) and direction from release location
Marine diesel oil	300 m^3	1 g/m^2	21 km NE	31 km E	29 km S
		10 g/m^2	14 km NE	17 km NW	18 km S
		50 g/m^2	5 km W	6 km NW	3 km E
Wandoo crude	26,678 m^3	1 g/m^2	647 km NE	998 km SW	741 km NE
		10 g/m^2	55 km W	473 km W	311 km SW
		50 g/m^2	15 km E	25 km NE	13 km W

Table 4-7: Comparison OSTM results shoreline accumulation Wandoo crude without dispersant application (RPS, 2024a)

Shoreline statistics	Summer			Transitional			Winter		
	Shoreline accumulation thresholds			Shoreline accumulation thresholds			Shoreline accumulation thresholds		
	10 g/m^2	100 g/m^2	1,000 g/m^2	10 g/m^2	100 g/m^2	1,000 g/m^2	10 g/m^2	100 g/m^2	1,000 g/m^2
Probablity of contact to any shoreline (%)	93	79	56	87	75	57	100	99	82
Absolute minimum time to shore (hours)	78	93	158	85	108	167	69	78	111
Maximum accumulated volume (m^3) from a single spill simulation	4,550	4,431	3,474	3,670	3,589	3,179	3,637	3,579	2,972
Average accumulated volume (m^3) across all spill simulations	719	668	400	719	688	516	1,208	1,170	901
Maximum length of shoreline (km) from a single spill simulation	1,320	588	122	524	268	102	518	248	85
Average length of shoreline (km) across all spill simulations	248	97	17	166	65	17	224	105	30

Table 4-8: Comparison of OSTM results shoreline accumulation diesel (RPS, 2024a)

Shoreline statistics	Summer			Transitional			Winter		
	Shoreline accumulation thresholds			Shoreline accumulation thresholds			Shoreline accumulation thresholds		
	10 g/m²	100 g/m²	1,000 g/m²	10 g/m²	100 g/m²	1,000 g/m²	10 g/m²	100 g/m²	1,000 g/m²
Probablity of contact to any shoreline (%)	2	NC	NC	9	1	NC	24	6	NC
Absolute minimum time to shore (hours)	152	NC	NC	136	366	NC	91	110	NC
Maximum accumulated volume (m^3) from a single spill simulation	1.2	NC	NC	5.3	1.6	NC	23.2	20.3	NC
Average accumulated volume (m^3) across all spill simulations	<1	NC	NC	<1	<1	NC	1	<1	NC
Maximum length of shoreline (km) from a single spill simulation	5	NC	NC	19	1	NC	14	6	NC
Average length of shoreline (km) across all spill simulations	0.08	NC	NC	0.51	0.01	NC	1.55	0.23	NC

4.2 Key Sensitivities

Barrow Island, Montebello Islands and the Dampier Archipelago are identified in the OSTM as key sensitivities contacted by oil. Environmental, sociological and economic sensitivities within the Permit Area WA-14-L and the identified EMBA have been assessed. Key sensitivities within the EMBA are detailed in the EPs, which describe key marine habitats, associated flora and fauna, social and economic values, and areas of environmental significance as outlined below.

The area of coastline that could be affected contains a wide range of environmentally significant sensitivities, including:

- **Key marine habitats** (corals, seagrasses, macro-algae, subtidal soft sediment, mangroves, intertidal beaches/mudflats).
- **Key marine fauna** (birds, marine mammals, marine reptiles, sharks).
- **Social and economic** (National Heritage and Shipwrecks, fisheries and aquaculture, commercial shipping, Defence, other users, World Heritage Areas, Commonwealth Marine Protected Areas, State Marine Protected Areas).

Additional detail is available through the Oil Spill Response Atlas (OSRA) as described in OPP1 and OPP2 task guidance notes.

Determination of environmental sensitivity requires both the identification of resources present and an assessment of the consequence to the resources should they be affected by oil. The 'Hazard Assessment' within the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling EPs detail the potential impact of hydrocarbon on key environmentally sensitive habitats and associated flora and fauna from various spill scenarios.

PART 2: Incident Management Process

Section 5 – Emergency and Crisis Management Response

5.1 Overview

Arrangements for managing emergencies are detailed in the VOGA Wandoo ERP [VOG-2000-RD-0017]. For consistency and alignment with corporate practice, the management of oil spill emergencies described in this OPEP are based on the framework and arrangements in the ERP.

5.2 Response Structure

VOGA has an emergency management response structure that is based around three levels of organisational control: tactical, operational and strategic. The premise behind these levels of control is that those parties within VOGA with the greatest expertise to manage that aspect of the emergency are empowered to do so, with operational or strategic levels stood up to provide support in terms of planning, resources and the management of extraneous issues that while important, are managed at the lower levels of control.

There are three teams within the emergency management response structure including the:

1. Corporate Command Team (CCT).
2. Incident Command Team (ICT).
3. On-site ICT.

Figure 5-1 represents the VOGA emergency management response structure and depicts the three levels of the Calgary CCT, the Perth ICT, and the On-site ICT, including the links between teams.

The goal of the three teams within the VOGA emergency management response structure is to implement reasonable and proportionate OSR strategies until such time as the OSR may be terminated.

The teams will do this by implementing a six-step incident response cycle as described in Section 6. Prudent over-caution is used by VOGA in responding to oil spills, i.e. CCTs and ICTs will be notified with a view to being stood up for oil spills, then stood down after size and scale have been assessed and verified.

5.3 Command and Control

VOGA's ICT runs an incident control system analogous to the Australasian Inter-Service Incident Management System (AIIMS) to which the National Plan is also aligned (AFESACL, 2013).

The chain of command for incident response is depicted within the VOGA emergency management response structure. Personnel appointed to these functions are selected from within VOGA or, for protracted incidents that run for weeks or months, using trained National Response Team members, AMOSC and the AMOSC Core Group, international OSR support

organisations, and from within the international resources of VOGA. Where required, the DoT Incident Controller has input into the team composition and structure.

Table 5-1: Key ICT functions for OSR

Role	Functional responsibilities
VOGA Incident Commander/ICT Leader	First point of contact for Person in Charge (PIC) offshore. Assists PIC to manage the response and calls out the ICT if required. Responsible for ensuring that an effective response is mounted by the On-site Command Team, and the onshore ICT. Approve IAP and where required engage State Maritime Environmental Emergency Coordinator/DoT Incident Controller for agreement/endorsement of plan for activities within, or potentially impact, WA waters.
DoT Incident Controller (Hazard Management Agency) and State Maritime Environmental Emergency Coordinator	DoT Incident Controller (Hazard Management Agency) is the State appointed incident controller for oil spill response activities within, or potentially impact, WA waters. The State Maritime Environmental Emergency Coordinator provides overall strategic management of the response and executive level support and guidance to the DoT Incident Controller.
Planning Chief Situation Resources Environment	Supervises the VOGA ICT and leads the IAP process. Records and displays data for information, planning and programming, allocation and justification. Documents and maintain records of all Wandoo Offshore Installation and VOGA ICT actions. Manages critical information requirements.
	Interfaces with State Maritime Environmental Emergency Coordinator or State Environmental and Scientific Coordinator (ESC) for input into IAP for activities impacting state waters.
	The collection, processing and organisation of operational monitoring information, e.g. OSTM, weather, sea state.
	Tracking of the deployment of resources.
	Responsible for the collection and collation of environment data/advice, e.g. obtains environmental data from OSRA and scientific monitoring (DoT ESC and local sources) with support from an Environment Unit Lead role.
Logistics Chief Procurement Services Transport Communications Medical	Develops logistics plan to support operations and provides overall resource support to emergency incident sites. Establishes and maintains lists of personnel, supplies and materials which might be required to support the emergency/disaster. Responsible for establishing any SIMOPS Plan to manage the risk generated by multiple activities.
	Acquisition of personnel and equipment.
	Acquisition of services and facilities, including waste management resources.
	Provision of air, land and sea transport services.
	Communications Sub-Plan and for ensuring the provision of communications services/support.
	Provision of medical services where needed.
Operations Chief Marine Aviation Shoreline Wildlife	Assumes responsibility for executing approved Action Plans. Responsible for all tactical command and coordination of in-country incident response assets in the assistance and support of the On-site Commander. Ensures that operational objectives and assignments identified in Action Plans are carried out effectively. Monitors operations; ensures necessary operational support is provided when and where required; allocates resources.
	Coordination and direction of all activities undertaken by waterborne craft and equipment.

Role	Functional responsibilities
Occupational Health & Safety (OH&S)	Coordination and direction of all activities undertaken utilising aircraft, e.g. aerial dispersant spraying, aerial surveillance and transport.
Waste management	Planning and coordination of shoreline assessment and cleanup activities (in consultation with the DoT, planning, specifically the environmental specialists). Implementation of shoreline cleanup activities.
	Implementation of the WA Oiled Wildlife Plan, i.e. the collection, treatment and rehabilitation of oiled wildlife in consultation with DBCA via the DoT ESC.
	Development and implementation of the OH&S Plan.
	Coordination of the containment, storage, transport and disposal of recovered oil and oily waste. Also, instruction in on-site handling, storage and/or separation and treatment.
Finance Chief	Provides monetary, insurance, legal, risk and human resources, related administrative functions to support emergency operations and to preserve vital records documenting work performed and associated costs in the event of disaster or major emergency.
Safety Officer	Assesses unsafe situations and develops measures for assuring personnel safety. Confirms safety regulatory authorities and applicable departments have been notified. Ensures implementation of safety measures and monitoring and recording of personnel exposures to hazardous products. Supports accident investigations, recommends corrective action, and prepares accident report.
CCT	Focus of the CCT is on ensuring ICT are responding in accordance with corporate requirements, liability/insurance, business continuity, media/investor relations, and financial management/support of response.
Corporate Command Operations Chief	Provides the interface between the ICT and CCT. Provides updates to the CCT regarding IAPs and communicates any needs for support if required. Responsible for ensuring VOGA's corporate objectives are communicated to the ICT and are also reflected in the IAP.
Stakeholder Liaison Officer	Responsible for managing regulatory engagement and coordinating any regulatory approvals required to implement response strategies. Coordinates engagement of stakeholders who are impacted from the spill or response activities. Coordinates investigation of reportable events. Acts as the functional interface between these various parties. Implements VOGA Communications Plan, providing media information support and serving as the dissemination point for all VOGA media releases.
Liaison Officer (Industry)	Identifies the assisting and cooperating companies and agencies, including communications link and location; provides list to the CCT. Functions as "point of contact" for assisting and cooperating agency representatives. Responsible for ensuring that parties who have agreed to undertake specific functions under the OPEP are undertaking the functions consistent with the OSR strategies, performance standards and objectives of the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP [AUPD24001-VOG-1100-YH-0016].

Figure 5-1: VOGA OSR organisastion structure



5.4 Response Facilities

VOGA's ICT utilise VOGA's Perth office as the primary Incident Command Centre (ICC) for OSR monitoring or incident management activities.

This facility contains information communication technology infrastructure to communicate effectively with the range of parties required in a significant response, private and nearby breakout areas, along with sufficient access controls and logistical support for the ICT to operate over a number of weeks or months. In the event a unified command ICT is established with the DoT, a co-located ICC will be established at mutually agreed location.

VOGA also has access to an alternate ICC should a business continuity event, civil unrest, security or capacity issue impede VOGA's capability to fully exercise incident control from the primary facility.

For spills requiring significant field logistical support, a forward operating command area will be located as close as possible to the spill site, most likely within the Port of Dampier (Pilbara Ports Authority), with this team operating from the Port of Dampier administration building, MoF Road Dampier and the port area.

In addition, depending on spill size a forward operating post may be established. The most likely location will be at the supply base near the Port of Dampier.

An airbase (with manager) will be established at the airport to manage the aerial operations, specifically application of dispersant. Aircraft deployed for aerial surveillance will be managed by the contract holder.

Section 6 – Incident Response Cycle

6.1 Overview

The successful management of an incident relies on the completion of a number of coordinated activities. The VOGA Emergency Response framework for incident management is based on conducting operations within a six-step incident response cycle (Figure 6-1). Initial objectives (tasks to be done), IAP and priorities will be determined through the incident response cycle.

Figure 6-1: VOGA ERP six-step incident response cycle



6.2 Size-up the Situation

The first step of the process is about gathering intelligence and gaining an appreciation of the situation. Using this information, outcomes are predicted and plans put in place to respond to the event. Information collected during this stage includes:

- Up-to-date situational awareness, some of which is collected through the tasks associated with monitoring and evaluation and includes:
  - the oil type, volume, location
  - where it is going (modelling and weather conditions)
  - when will it get there (modelling and weather conditions)
  - what environmental sensitivities are at risk (priorities for protection)

  - what is happening to it (weathering etc.)
  - what is the worst-case credible scenario.
- The adjustments required to the current incident objectives and/or response strategies.
- SIMA for proposed response strategies.

6.3 Identify Contingencies

Predictions of what the situation will be at stages during the incident, and at the final stage, will influence the activities of Operations and Logistics. It is critical that the Planning Chief can explain the basis of the predictions, the probabilities of the outcomes, and the consequences of events unfolding in a different manner.

The Logistics Chief must interpret the predictions and determine the likely future demands on the Logistics Team. This will take place in the ICT meetings, but details are likely to be resolved only through interaction between individuals in the Planning and Logistics teams.

The Incident Commander will use predictions to set priorities, perhaps between competing operational areas, and will advise senior personnel on appropriate control actions.

6.4 Determine Objectives and Strategies

Objectives are statements of the desired outcome or goal for the incident. VOGA's objectives for initial spill response activities as described in the OPPs are to:

- Ascertain extent of spill
- Prevent impact to sensitive resources.

A strategy defines what is going to be done – a broad plan, developed in support of the incident objectives, that is used to combat the incident. Strategies follow on from the objectives and are achieved through successful implementation of tactical plans. For ongoing incidents, strategies are regularly reviewed and new ones developed when necessary, particularly if the control objective is amended.

Strategies for spill scenarios in this OPEP have been identified and risk assessed according to net environmental benefit; and prepared for in terms of resources required to implement the strategy. The SIMA is used to identify and compare the potential effectiveness and impacts of response options, enabling a qualitative determination of the most appropriate response strategy. This process should guide the decision on which strategy is most appropriate to the particular spill each time the plan is reviewed.

6.5 Spill Impact Mitigation Assessment

SIMA is a tool that has been developed to help facilitate the selection of the most appropriate response options to effectively combat an oil spill, it replaces the NEBA process previously used across industry. VOGA has adopted the use of this tool in a strategic sense in this OPEP to select response options and will use the tool in an operational sense in the response planning cycle during by the Planning Chief or Environment Unit Team Leader within the Planning Team.

Like the NEBA, SIMA takes into account the advantages, limitations and added risks associated with individual OSR techniques and strategies, however, it has a broader perspective than environmental considerations and incorporates ecological, socio-economic and cultural aspects. It is a process that provides a means to balancing the trade-offs and selecting the best response option(s). The four stages of a SIMA are set out in Table 6-1.

Table 6-1: Four stages of a SIMA

Stage	Description
1	Compile and evaluate the data for relevant oil spill scenarios including fate and trajectory modelling, identification of resources at risk and determination of feasible response options.
2	Predict outcomes/impacts for the 'no intervention' (or natural attenuation) option as well as the effectiveness (i.e. relative mitigation potential) of the feasibile response options for each scenario.
3	Balance trade-offs by weighing and comparing the range of benefits and drawbacks associated with each feasible response option, including no intervention, for each scenario.
4	Select the best response option(s) to form the strategy for each scenario based on the combination of techniques that will minimise the overall ecological, socio-economic and cultural impacts and promote rapid recovery.

Information requirements for the SIMA process:

- A copy of the OPP for the spill category – refer to Sections 10.2 and 11.2
- Current situation report (SITREP form) that includes details about the spill, weather, currents and tides, action taken to date, forecast situation
- OSTM outputs from the OPEP; and/or forecast OSTM outputs utilising real-time spill and metocean conditions
- Preferred response option/s from Section 7
- Priority protection areas/resources identified in the OPP
- Sensitive receptors at risk from oiling
- Outputs from monitoring and evaluation operations (e.g. aerial surveillance)
- Knowledge of response strategy impacts, advantages, constraints and limitations.

Once oil type, quantity, real-time weather information, and a trajectory pathway are known, the sensitivities within the EMBA need to be identified. The Planning Chief will review the protection priority ranking that has been provided in the OPPs and consider outputs from OSTM analysis, including:

- The probability of impact – will the response strategy reduce the probability of impact of to the sensitive receptor?
- Minimum time to impact (days) – will the response strategy increase the number of days before impact to the sensitive receptor?
- Severity of impact (quantity of oil) – will the response strategy reduce the average and/or total amount of oil to impact the sensitive receptor?
- Impacts associated with the proposed response strategy – will the response operation have more of a negative impact than untreated oil?

- What controls will be put in place to mitigate impacts associated with the proposed response strategy?
- The recovery time of the receptor after exposure to hydrocarbons – is recovery time likely to be short or long term?

A decision must be made as to which sensitive receptors have the highest protection and/or cleanup priority and which response strategy/strategies will minimise the overall ecological, socio-economic and cultural impacts and promote rapid recovery. The VOGA SIMA template (Appendix E) provides guidance as to the prioritisation of receptors. If there are conflicting outcomes for a particular response option, then the receptor with the higher priority becomes the preferred response option.

In the initial phase of a spill, VOGA has prepared an assessment tool to complement the OPPs and assist in identifying sensitive receptors, assessing environmental benefits and impact of response strategies in line with the Survey and Exploration EPs.

VOGA's spill response planning process (Figure 3-1) then requires that the response strategy decision needs to be reviewed to ensure that the risks and impacts associated with the response options are consistent with those identified in the EP. If the risks and impacts are not consistent with those identified in the EP, then the following will occur (as per Figure 3-1):

- Response strategy controls are identified and assessed,
- An alternative response strategy is assessed, or
- An application for approval to implement the response strategy will be made.

During the final stages of an OSR, cleanup activities will take into account five broad questions as suggested in IPIECA (2015):

- Is the remaining oil a potential source of harm to environmentally sensitive receptors?
- Would further cleaning do more harm than good?
- Does the oil interfere with the aesthetic appeal or recreational use of the shoreline?
- Does the residual level of contamination adversely affect economic resources or disrupt economic activities?
- Does the effort involved in further cleaning outweigh environmental or economic benefits that could be achieved?

The SIMA will be completed on the following timeline:

- Within 24 hours of the spill as part of the OPP
- Every 24 hours as part of the IAP cycle
- As required if the situation changes beyond what is planned for and response strategies require evaluation
- Until termination criteria are met for response strategies and ultimately the incident.

6.6 Identify Required Resources

Resources required to implement response strategies have been identified in OPP 1, OPP 2 and the Logistics Management Plan [VOG-7000-RH-0008]. Contracts have been established to support the activation/deployment of these resources within the timeframes identified. The Logistics Chief maintains a record of contracts in place to provide spill response resources and will activate these as required. The contracts agreement and rates that provide minimum resources are reviewed annually to ensure currency.

Current resource allocations and availability is provided through liaison with the Logistics Chief and includes information such as:

- Service providers currently engaged by VOGA being redirected to OSR activities
- Activation of specific OSR/emergency response contracts
- Identification of resources of opportunity.

The ability to meet the resource demand during a spill has been assessed in the OSR Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009].

6.7 Develop an Incident Action Plan

A tactical plan describes how a job will be done – the deployment of resources at an incident to execute all or part of a strategy. Tactics are implemented by team leaders who are responsible for the deployment of resources at an incident or in an incident division or sector. Tactics specify jobs that must be completed within stated parameters (time, size, equipment to be used, standards etc.) in order for the strategy to be carried through.

Tactical plans will include the controls that should be used to ensure the impacts associated with response activities are reduced to ALARP.

Once tactics are in place, the actual work can be allocated to those who will perform it. This is called ‘tasking’. Tasks are the smallest components of the IAP and are generated by team leaders for specific jobs that are required. The achievement of any objective is through the completion of many individual tasks.

6.7.1 Briefings

Briefings are used to communicate the IAP to responders and ICT support personnel. A briefing (verbal and written) should take the form of Situation, Mission, Execution, Administration and Logistics, Command, Control and Communication, and Safety (SMEACS):

- **S**ituation – what has happened, response effort so far
- **M**ission – the overall aim of the response
- **E**xecution – who, how and what will be done in the response
- **A**dministration and logistics – arrangements to support the ICT and responders
- **C**ommand, control and communication – who to report to, who has responsibility for the incident, and how responders and the ICT communicate

- **S**afety – hazards and controls.

6.8 Take Action

The operational phase involves implementing the strategies and executing the tasks identified in the IAP. Throughout this phase, situational awareness should be regularly updated and include a briefing of the IAP.

The Planning Chief goes through a cycle of preparing for meetings, conducting meetings, preparation and modification of the IAP, and addressing issues emanating from the meetings. Information provided to the Logistics Team is to support that team in their work and the development of their components of the IAP.

This cycle may repeat a number of times during the shift. Towards the end of the shift, however, the process changes in that the focus of the IAP becomes one for the incoming shift rather than for the existing incident staff. The planning cycle repeats with extra components such as briefings and handovers. At the end of the shift, the incoming Planning Chief repeats the process.

To assist in the maintenance of situational awareness, the Operations Chief should also be collating information from the response teams to allow the assessment of the effectiveness of the response strategies. This feedback assists in determining effectiveness of response strategies.

Logistics ensures the implementation of response strategies by operations can be achieved through the provision of personnel and equipment.

6.9 Review Phase

Incident parameters evolve and as such, action plans must be revised on a regular basis (at least once per operational period) to maintain consistent, up-to-date guidance across the system. The purpose of the review phase is to ensure that:

- Incident objectives are reviewed to address change in circumstances
- Response strategies are achieving the incident objective
- Performance measures identified are being met
- Resources are effectively allocated.

The review phase involves assessing the effectiveness of the strategies identified in the IAP to assess whether there are any improvements required to the incident strategies. This is done by:

- Considering the information provided via feedback from the Operations Team on the situational awareness
- Reviewing the environmental priorities
- Assessing whether the incident objectives are being met, and if changes are required to address potential changes in the above items.

The Planning Team must also be able to analyse the performance of the response effort as a whole. This should be done during the incident to ensure that the objectives, strategies, tactics are working, and to find out the causes where they are not. To achieve this, each response strategy must have a section on measuring effectiveness.

Particular effort should be made to debrief personnel as they come off shift. Mechanisms to capture information from the field must be put in place prior to the shift change, and personnel made aware of the expectation put on them. Debriefs should not be overly formal and should not delay for avoidably long periods those going off duty to rest. Information gathered at debriefs is recorded and distributed to those who may be affected by it. The Planning Chief is responsible for coordinating this work and providing the assessment and proposed changes to the Incident Commander.

6.10 Adjust Phase

The adjustment phase involves determining the new or revised incident objectives and strategies for the next IAP and assessing if progress towards termination criteria is being made. The Incident Commander's decision making is based on understanding:

- Situational awareness and the potential worst-case credible scenario
- The available response strategies, their benefits and operating limitations
- The environmental priorities utilising
- SIMA to guide the decision-making process (as advised by the Planning Chief in the ICT).

There will be occasions where the resources available to the Incident Commander and the ICT as a whole are not sufficient to carry out all preferred response options. It is the responsibility of the Incident Commander to make the decision about which strategies and tactics receive the resources; they need to prioritise the response to ensure the maximum benefit of the response effort. Managing competing demands for resources and also protection priorities is a key part of the role of Incident Commander, and to do this effectively they require a high level of situational awareness which is obtained from the activities within the Planning Team. The Liaison Officer (Regulatory) needs to be engaged on the proposed changes to ensure the appropriate regulatory approvals are obtained as necessary prior to implementation.

Section 7 – Response Strategies

7.1 Overview

Response strategies which may be appropriate for each spill category have been identified using the systematic approach to planning and the anticipated net environmental benefit of each using a SIMA. These response options are described in Sections 7.2 to 7.8 and are summarised in Table 7-1. An outcome of this process is the creation of two OPPs to assist the ICT and on-site responders in the initial response to an oil spill as a precursor to a formal IAP.

The ongoing response in an incident (IAP) will use the latest available spill information and the following to decide on the most appropriate ongoing response strategies:

- Potential impact of spill and the response strategy
- Operational constraints of the day – primarily safety, sea state and weather, but can also include logistical factors
- First response activities undertaken to combat the incident such as those undertaken in the OPP 1 and OPP 2 (Part 5).

It is important to note that the description of these strategies and application to each scenario does not mean that they will definitely be implemented during a response. They are not certain to occur except for monitoring and evaluation, which applies to all spill incidents.

Table 7-1: Summary of likely response options and environmental impact considerations for each spill scenario

Response consideration	Marine diesel spill	Loss of well control
Upper credible scenario	Single release 300 m^3.	Continuous release over 35 days 26,678 m^3.
Predicted outcomes based on Exploration OSM Report (GOC367176)	Is expected to evaporate rapidly with a low probability of shoreline impact, under 10% except for in winter where there is an estimated probability of 24% for shoreline accumulation at or above 10 g/m^2. The earliest shoreline impact is at 91 hours and the greatest volume ashore is 23 m^3.	Modelling suggests 100% contact to shorelines in winter at 10 g/m^2 threshold 93% in wummer and 87% in Transitional season. The earliest shoreline impact is at 69 hours.
Source control		
Identified as suitable?	Yes.	Yes.
SIMA considerations	Source control activities include, shutting off pumps and transferring fuel to another fuel tank. All strategies would be effective in minimising the amount of hydrocarbons lost to the environment and would reduce the area of potential exposure.	Regaining control of a well may require a relief well to achieve the desired result.

Response consideration	Marine diesel spill	Loss of well control
Monitor and evaluate		
Identified as suitable?	Yes.	Yes.
SIMA considerations	All spills will be monitored and evaluated to assess the natural biodegradation of the hydrocarbons and ensure situational awareness of the spill is maintained by VOGA emergency response teams.	All spills will be monitored and evaluated to assess the natural biodegradation of the hydrocarbons and ensure situational awareness of the spill is maintained by VOGA emergency response teams.
Chemical dispersion		
Identified as suitable?	No.	Yes.
SIMA considerations	Marine diesel is not a persistent hydrocarbon; it has a high natural dispersion and evaporation rate due to the high percentage of volatile components within the oil. A small increase in sea state (wave and wind action) can assist natural biodegradation through entraining diesel in the water column. Shoreline impact is not anticipated.	Dispersants will be assessed as a response option to increase the rate of biodegradation and minimise and the impacts of oil on the environmental sensitivities in the EMBA. Dispersant use could potentially result in an increase in environmental benefit for mangroves, mudflats, marshland, sandy beaches and rocky shores; as well as turtle nesting sites, migratory birds, seabirds and shorebirds. A dispersant test spray run will be undertaken prior to moving to full dispersant application operations to verify its effectiveness. Dispersant application was modelled in the B16 OSM Report. For all seasonal conditions assessed, the modelling demonstrated a reduction in the length of shoreline contact (above 10 g/m^2), when the surface dispersant was applied. For the unmitigated case, the greatest length of shoreline contact at, or above, the low threshold (10 g/m^2) during the summer, transitional and winter seasons was 898 km, 227 km and 233 km, respectively, compared to 691 km, 160 km, 190 km for the mitigated case, or a reduction of 30%, 42% and 22%, respectively. The greatest volume of oil on shore from a single spill trajectory was predicted to reduce from 5,606 m^3, to 2,737 m^3 when the mitigation option was considered. This represented a reduction of 45%.

Response consideration	Marine diesel spill	Loss of well control
Mechanical dispersion		
Identified as suitable?	No.	Yes.
SIMA considerations	Diesel spreads and evaporates rapidly. Mechanical dispersion may inhibit the rate of evaporation and could cause the oil to emulsify.	Mechanical dispersion will be assessed as a response option to enhance dispersion and dilution of oil into the water column which then leads to biodegradation of the oil. Mechanical dispersion can result in an increase in environmental benefit for all ecological sensitivities and for mangroves, marshlands, mudflats, sandy beaches, rocky shores and open waters.
Containment and recovery		
Identified as suitable?	No.	Yes.
SIMA considerations	An instantaneous spill of diesel will spread and evaporate rapidly due to the high proportion of volatile components within the oil and will not be of a sufficient thickness to provide for effective containment and recovery operations.	Containment and recovery can be used to recover oil to prevent it impacting on environmental, social and cultural sensitivities. Containment and recovery may be effective on Wandoo Crude as it is a persistent crude oil with a high specific gravity and viscosity. Depending on metocean conditions, containment and recovery is expected to have a removal rate of 10% to 15% (ITOPF, 2014b). Containment and recovery will be used if metocean conditions are suitable and if oil is of suitable thickness.
Protection and deflection		
Identified as suitable?	No.	Yes.
SIMA considerations	Diesel spreads and evaporates rapidly; it is unlikely that a spill in this category will be of a sufficient thickness to be effectively corralled or deflected by booms. OSTM for this scenario show that there is a probability sensitive marine resources will be impacted by oil at a thickness greater than 10 g/m^2, hence protection and deflection may result in an environmental benefit for turtle nesting sites, migratory birds, shorebirds, mangroves, marshland, mudflats, sandy beaches and rocky shores.	Where the EMBA indicates impact to shoreline sensitivities, protection and deflection will be assessed as a response strategy. OSTM for this scenario show that there is a probability sensitive marine resources will be impacted by oil at a thickness greater than 10 g/m^2, hence protection and deflection may result in an environmental benefit for turtle nesting sites, migratory birds, shorebirds, mangroves, marshland, mudflats, sandy beaches and rocky shores.

Response consideration	Marine diesel spill	Loss of well control
Shoreline cleanup		
Identified as suitable?	No.	Yes.
SIMA considerations	OSTM suggests the maximum volume of oil ashore from a single spill simulation is 23 m^3, however, the average across all spill scenarios is 1 m^3. Shoreline cleanup activities have the potential to cause more harm than good and as such require careful planning and execution. An increase in environmental benefit can generally be achieved when cleanup activities are undertaken on sandy beaches and areas where there are turtle nesting sites, migratory birds and shorebirds.	Shoreline cleanup activities will be assessed as a response where impact is predicted to occur in areas of highest sensitivity. The exploration drilling OSTM suggests a minimum time to shore of approximately 78 hours for a accumulation threshold of 100 g/m^2 (the actionable cleanup threshold). Dispersant application modelled in the B16 well OSTM suggests a reduction of shoreline accumulation of approximately 45%. Shoreline cleanup activities have the potential to cause more harm than good and as such require careful planning and execution. An increase in environmental benefit can generally be achieved when cleanup activities are undertaken on sandy beaches and areas where there are turtle nesting sites, migratory birds and shorebirds.
Oiled wildlife response		
Identified as suitable?	Yes.	Yes.
SIMA considerations	Surveillance for oiled wildlife will be conducted as per the VOGA Operational and Scientific OSM BIP. Oiled Wildlife Response (OWR) will be undertaken for all categories if required.	
In-situ burning		
Identified as suitable?	No.	No.
SIMA considerations	Diesel evaporates rapidly and is not suitable for in-situ burning.	Wandoo crude is not amenable to in-situ burning (flash point of 144°C), and the required equipment, technology, approved accelerant, and training is not readily available in Australia.

7.2 Source Control

Where practical and safe to do so, the emergency response plans to isolate and control the source of spills are implemented as a parallel activity alongside response activities. The aim of source control is to control or stop the flow of oil so that the amount of oil escaping in the environment is minimised. Control of the spill source is considered to be a primary spill response and only undertaken when safe to do so.

Source control actions for all spill categories will be instructed by the responsible PIC of either the vessel, MODU or facility where the incident occurs. Control actions will be undertaken in

compliance with the spill response plans listed in Section 1.5 and will be appropriate to size of the spill. Source control activities proposed for each spill category is summarised in Table 7-2.

Table 7-2: Source control options

Spill category	Spill type	Credible upper spill volume	Product type	Source control activities
A	Vessel collision	300 m^3	Marine diesel oil	Contain diesel onboard or transfer fuel to another tank if possible. Isolating affected tank or tank lightening. Vessel separation.
B	Loss of well control	26,678 m^3	Wandoo crude	Close Blowout Preventer (BOP), drill relief well as per Source Control Contingency Plan.

7.2.1 Loss of Well Control

A Source Control Contingency Plan details options available to regain control of a well after a well blowout. It provides campaign-specific details relating to reservoir conditions, blowout taskforce team structure, and logistics. The objective of the document is to have as much planning as possible conducted upfront to minimise the response time of remedial measures. The Source Control Contingency Plan is managed by the VOGA Well Construction Manager.

Real-time phases associated with responding to an uncontrolled hydrocarbon release include:

- Phase 1: Initial response, convene well construction ICT and gather information
- Phase 2: Determine most efficient well kill method
- Phase 3: Detailed planning of the well kill operation
- Phase 4: Execute well kill operation
- Phase 5: Cleanup operation
- Phase 6: Incident investigation.

If a loss of well control cannot be controlled via secondary well control procedures (e.g. closing a BOP), well fluids may continue to be released until a relief well is drilled or the well is capped and the flow intersected.

VOGA will mobilise an appropriate Mobile Offshore Drilling Unit (MODU) from local or international sources that has the required specifications to drill a relief well and regain control over the well that is blowing out. Plans for drilling a relief well will begin in parallel to progressing other well intervention options (to stop the flow of hydrocarbon or to permanently secure the primary well) (Phase 1).

The relief well will intersect the uncontrolled zones from the primary well and specialised plugging fluids will be pumped into the well to overcome the reservoir pressure. Well kill modelling will be conducted to confirm the fluid rates, pump rates and volumes that will be required to maintain integrity of the primary bore.

VOGA has a contract in place with Wild Well Control who will assist in providing these engineered solutions to regain control after a well blowout.

The process associated with planning and drilling of a relief well, if required, is estimated to take 78 days. Table 7-3 estimates the timing for each high level phase.

Table 7-3: Relief well schedule

Task activities	Duration (in days)
Event reported – begin mobilisation of rig for relief well drilling	1
The following three tasks being completed simultaneously: • Well design and engineering completed – 30 days • Relief well rig onsite (via NWS or SE Asia) – 35 days • Regulatory submissions and approvals – 50 days.	50
Spud and drill relief well to intersect wellbore and bottom kill well to control source	27
Total duration	**78 days**

7.3 Monitor and Evaluate

7.3.1 Description of Monitor and Evaluate Response Strategy

All spills will be monitored and evaluated to assess the natural biodegradation of the hydrocarbons and ensure situational awareness of the spill is maintained by VOGA emergency response teams.

Monitor and evaluate is a response strategy that can take on two functions:

- To monitor natural recovery (no intervention with physical or chemical response strategies)
- Monitoring which involves maintaining situational awareness and an assessment of response effectiveness.

Specific items to be monitored are; trajectory of spill, oil characteristics, extent of slick, resources that may be impacted, and effectiveness of other response strategies.

The ongoing monitoring and evaluation of the oil spill is a key strategy that allows managers of the response to maintain situational awareness, an up-to-date understanding of the success of other response options, and to monitor the natural weathering of the oil. It is by ongoing monitoring and evaluation of the situation that the response may be tailored day-to-day, adjusting and pre-empting the consequences of the oil spill and mitigating the worst of these consequences.

Monitoring and evaluation is undertaken in order to obtain information which will assist in the planning and execution of oil spill response strategies. The nature of the monitoring program implemented will depend on the needs of the incident, and may involve:

- Aerial surveillance
- Remote (satellite) sensing
- Satellite tracking buoys

- Oil spill trajectory modelling
- Oil sampling
- Shoreline assessment
- Oiled fauna surveys
- Water quality sampling
- Sediment sampling.

Operational monitoring provides data in a usable timeframe to provide the ICT with an appreciation of the situation. Scientific monitoring is undertaken in order to obtain information which will provide indicative or quantitative data for short-term and longer-term environmental effects assessment. This OPEP refers to the OSM BIP [WAN-2000-0001.04] for oil spill monitoring services.

7.3.1.1 Shoreline Assessment

The precursor to shoreline clean-up activities is the Shoreline Cleanup Assessment Technique (SCAT) surveys. The role of SCAT teams is to systematically survey the area affected by the spill to provide rapid accurate geo-referenced documentation of shoreline oiling conditions. A SCAT program includes field assessment surveys, data management and data application components as part of the spill management organisation. Specific and standard terminology is used to describe and define shoreline oiling conditions and is recorded on a pro-forma. SCAT surveys provide a geographic or spatial description and documentation of the shoreline or oiling conditions.

Frequently, SCAT teams are asked to provide recommendations regarding appropriate cleanup methods and to define constraints or limitations on the application of cleanup techniques, so that the treatment operations do not result in additional damage to the shoreline.

The number of SCAT teams required depends on the size of the affected area and complexity of the habitats to be surveyed. The required turnaround time for the information can also influence the number of SCAT teams deployed. For example, if the shoreline response/coordination centre requires data for an area to prepare the assignments for the next day, then all available teams may be deployed to that location. The UK SCAT Manual (2004) suggests that for a small-scale operation, where a spill that affects less than 50 km of coast, it could be surveyed in one to two days with one or two teams.

A spill in a larger area or one that would require a longer coastal survey probably would involve more field teams and office-based data management support. It is important to remember that some sections of shoreline may need to be resurveyed if oiling conditions change on a daily basis.

Shoreline waste generation can be reduced by identifying shorelines likely to be impacted and pre-cleaning the shore of debris and vegetation before oil strands, thus reducing the total amount of oily waste to dispose of. Shoreline waste generation can range from 3 to over 10 times the amount of oil stranded. In-situ cleanup techniques are ideal for minimising the generation of waste and are particularly suited to remote area response where the logistical requirement associated with waste management and transfer can be a limiting factor. The

Guidelines and Strategies for Oil Spill Waste Management in Arctic Regions (Polaris, 2009) reported that *"the key factor in waste generation is neither the amount of oil spilled nor the amount of shoreline that is oiled. The volume of waste generated during a response operation is a function of the nature of the spill (type and volume of oil, natural weathering processes) and location and length of oiled shoreline, combined with the decisions made by spill managers who select the treatment and clean-up methods and the level of effort (treatment end points)."*

This information, although from a different geographical region, is considered relevant to shoreline response teams working in remote locations in northwest WA because of the same logistical constraints posed by working in remote locations. Consideration will be made in the VOGA IAP process to ensure that response techniques and waste management are aligned so that the overall generation of waste is minimised.

OSRL provides shoreline assessment teams via the OSM Supplementary Service through Operational Monitoring Plan 6.

7.3.2 Operational Constraints for Monitor and Evaluate

Operational constraints for monitoring and evaluation include, but are not limited to:

- Remote location of vessel and drilling activities and potentially affected surrounding areas
- Ability for aircraft to undertaken aerial surveillance (limitations relating to suitable aircraft being available, night-time operations, distance from mainland)
- Availability of trained aerial observers
- Communication of data collected back to the ICT and clarity around units in which information is recorded (e.g. nm/km; datum in which coordinates have been recorded etc.)
- Verification of information being collected.

7.4 Chemical Dispersant

7.4.1 Description of Chemical Dispersant Application

The purpose of dispersant use is to decrease the amount of oil that may strand on shorelines or reduce its presence on the sea surface to prevent wildlife and habitat impacts. Oil that is dispersed into the water column reduces the volume of oil on the ocean surface and reduces the potential for impact on emergent receptors. This is achieved by breaking the oil down into small droplets, which are rapidly dispersed into the water column and away from the ocean surface. Through dispersant use, the process of natural dispersion and biodegradation is accelerated as more oil droplets are formed, increasing the surface area of the oil which increases the reaction rate of the biodegradation.

As some of the oil will not be able to be effectively sprayed using aerial operations, VOGA will also implement marine dispersant application operations. These operations will be directed (as part of the IAP) to operate in areas likely to result in the greatest effectiveness of the dispersant; and in such a manner as to allow for other oil spill marine operations.

Dispersant application will only be carried out while the spill response impact assessment, SIMA, demonstrates that the application is providing a net environmental benefit and that the

application will minimise the overall ecological, socio-economic and cultural impacts and promote rapid recovery.

This will be determined through the assessment of dispersant efficacy testing results, daily SIMA, metocean forecast modelling and operational monitoring outcomes. Laboratory based efficacy testing of the dispersants available to VOGA on Wandoo crude has been assessed and described in Section 4.1.3.

7.4.2 Dispersant Application

7.4.2.1 Dispersant Application Zone

Dispersant application may occur within the Australian Exclusive Economic Zone shown in Figure 7-1 and with the following exclusions:

- Waters shallower than 20 m
- 4 km from Coastal Waters maritime boundary
- 10 km from Australian Marine Parks (excluding multiple use zones)
- 10 km from State Marine Protected Areas
- 10 km from World National and Commonwealth Heritage List areas
- 10 km from sensitive receptors (e.g. Glomar Shoals and Rankin Bank).

Initial application of dispersant will be focused on the area surrounding source of the continuous spill to target the freshest crude oil and maximise the potential benefits of dispersant application. Additional application will be based on the trajectory of the oil, the SIMA and location within the dispersant application zone.

Figure 7-1 Dispersant application zone



7.4.2.2 Decision Making Process

During a spill response, the decision to apply dispersant to oil will be made via the IAP process. The IAP is supported by a SIMA utilising real-time operational information, such as metocean conditions, spill location and dispersant application, combined with trajectory modelling. This decision will be based on the potential impact of dispersant application, considering quantity, exposure and sensitivity, against potential reduction in the environmental impact. This will be done by assessing the effectiveness of dispersant, including the following parameters:

- **Dispersability**: For this operational activity, the effectiveness of the dispersant will be continuously monitored during application, with results fed back to the IAP and NEBA processes.
- **Sensitive receptors**: the following will be considered when undertaking the decision-making process:
  - Minimum time to oil contact on sensitive receptors: If dispersant application can increase the contact time this would potentially allow for protection or shoreline strategies to be implemented to further reduce the impact.
  - Average and maximum volume of oil on sensitive receptors: If dispersant application reduces the scale of impact, this not only reduces the immediate impact but may also reduce the size of response activities within that area – potentially resulting in reduced disturbance of environmental sensitivities in shoreline or shallow water.
  - Average and maximum length of shoreline contacted by oil: If dispersant application reduces the scale of impact, this not only reduces the immediate impact but may also reduce the size of response activities within that area – potentially resulting in reduced disturbance of environmental sensitivities in shoreline or shallow water.
  - Probability of oil contact on sensitive receptors: A reduction in the probability of oil contact to sensitive receptors reduces the potential risk level of the event.
  - Change in proportion of surface and entrained oil: The application of dispersant may result in an increase in the extent of potential zones of entrained hydrocarbons. This is compared to the potential reduction in volume of oil to shoreline and maximum length of shoreline contacted by the oil, to see if there is a net benefit to the application of dispersant.
- **SIMA**: This will assist in assessing the exchange of one impact for another. This process will be continually re-evaluated and updated during an incident and will balance the trade-offs between benefits and impacts. Chemical dispersants will only be applied if there is net benefit to the priority sensitive receptors from its application.
- **Forecast modelling**: This will be completed every day during the oil spill incident to ascertain if the movement of oil as a result of current, weather and sea conditions will result in a net benefit to priority sensitive receptors. If the modelling indicates that the application of dispersant under current environmental conditions will lead to increased deposition of hydrocarbons on priority sensitive receptors, dispersant will not be applied.
- **Marine water quality monitoring**: As part of operational monitoring activities, water quality monitoring will be conducted in conjunction with dispersant operations and samples will be analysed for dispersant and hydrocarbons (dissolved and entrained). Real-time results from these operational monitoring studies will inform the SIMA.

- **Response performance objectives**: These will be evaluated for compliance of measurement criteria. Any non-compliance will be identified as part of the IAP process and could result in the termination of chemical dispersant application.

7.4.2.3 Quantity of Dispersant

ITOPF (2014c) Technical Information Paper 4 (TIP 4) Dispersant use provides a method for determining the volume and application rate of dispersant. The two parts to the calculation are:

- Determining the volume of oil to be treated
- Determining the volume of dispersant required for that volume of oil.

Calculating the Volume of Oil to be Treated

ITOPF suggests that although there are variations in the thickness of oil within a slick, most fresh crude oil spills spread within a few hours so that the average thickness is 0.1 mm (also referred to as 10^{-4} m) and says *"this thickness is often used as the basis upon which to plan operations"* which means that the volume of oil in one hectare (10^4 m^2; 10,000 m^2) is calculated as:

Step 1. Calculating the volume of oil in one hectare

- For planning purposes we will use 1 m^3/hectare for spills with an average thickness of 100 g/m^2 (0.1 mm). This is based on the following:
  - Thickness of oil x area = volume of oil
  - Assuming density 1 kg/m^3 and even spreading 100 g/m^2 has thickness of 10^{-4} m.
  - 10^{-4}m (thickness of oil) x $10^4$$m^2$ (area of oil) = 1 m^3 or 1,000 litre of oil.

Calculating the Volume of Dispersant Required

The dispersant-to-oil ratio (DOR) can range from 1:10 through to 1:50 or even less depending on the oil, dispersant types and the method of dispersant (aerial or vessel). For aerial planning purposes, a DOR of 1:20 is used because it is an accepted ratio to start with and may be adjusted depending on effectiveness. A DOR of 1:20 is also used in OSTM studies that have been used in this OPEP for evaluating the impact of dispersant use. ITOPF TIP 4 says the calculation to determine dispersant quantity is using a DOR of 1 part dispersant to 20 parts oil (1:20) (ITOPF, 2014c).

Step 2. Calculate the volume of dispersant required

The dispersant quantity required per hectare is 50 litres. This is based on:

- Dispersant quantity = litres of oil/20
- Using the calculation in Step 1, dispersant quantity per hectare = 1,000 litres of oil in 1 hectare/ 20 = 50 litres per hectare.

VOGA has assumed that FWADC is capable of delivering 1.8 m^3 of dispersant per sortie (although some aircraft may be capable of more) (Table 7-4). Four Fixed Wing Aerial Dispersant Capability (FWADC) aircraft flying five sorties each and delivering 36 m^3 of dispersant in total will cover approximately 720 hectares per day. VOGA has assumed that the OSRL aircraft is capable of 8.2 m^3 per sortie, with a possible five sorties per day.

Table 7-4: Potential area covered and volume of dispersant that could be applied per day via aerial dispersion

No. of aircraft	Potential area (hectares) that could be covered at a rate of 50 litres per hectare				Potential volume that could be applied (m^3)			
	No. of sorties				No. of sorties			
	1	5	10	20	1	5	10	20
1	36	180	360	720	1.8	9	18	36
2	72	360	720	1,440	3.6	18	36	72
3	108	540	1,080	2,160	5.4	27	54	108
4	144	720	1,440	2,880	7.2	36	72	144

Calculating the Volume of Dispersant Required

The significant variation in oil thickness within a slick means that in practice it is impossible to evaluate the optimum dosage precisely. ITOPF (2014c) recommends that the practical and most efficient solution is to target the thickest parts of the oil. Therefore, to accommodate for the uncertainty in the total area to be treated with dispersant (i.e. due to wind rows, dispersant evaporation, and variability in slick thickness), 50% of the spill area has been used as the target area to calculate dispersant required, from which real time planning will then be done.

For the purposes of this OPEP, a continuous spill due to loss of well control during well exploration was evaluated with a scenario of a 26,678 m^3 surface release of Wandoo crude over 35 days following a loss of well control from the exploration wells which is approximately 762 m^3/day of oil released, assuming a consistent flow rate. A DOR of 1:20 would require approximately 38 m^3/day of dispersant to be applied.

These continuous spill scenarios assume the oil to be located in the dispersant application zone and for the oil to be an appropriate thickness for dispersant efficacy (100 g/m^2). An area 18 km x 18 km (32,400 hectares) closest to the surface release point will be prioritised for dispersant application activities and application areas outside of this zone will be determined based on the trajectory of the oil. This priority zone is within the pre-approved zone of dispersant application (Figure 7-1).

Four aircraft conducting five sorties each per day, with an additional sortie for one aircraft, could achieve the required daily dispersant application target of 38 m^3 covering an area of 720 hectares.

Within the OSR Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009], a dispersant budget analysis was undertaken considering dispersant stockpile volumes, locations, mobilisation and transport times and methods, customs and consumption rates. In the event of an OSR, the 'live' Dispersant Spreadsheet can be utilised to update stockpile status and optimise the logistics arrangements in real time.

Marine Vessel Application Rates

The ICT Planning Chief will work with the Logistics Chief to ensure that the optimum combination of aerial and vessel based dispersant application is used to achieve efficient dispersant stockpile use.

Calculating the volume of oil to be treated for marine vessel operations is the same as for aerial dispersion. However, a DOR of 50:1 is used for vessel dispersant planning as the dispersant droplets do not need to be as a higher concentration as aerial application. In addition, dispersant applied using the Afedo spray system is diluted before application, thus reducing the volume of dispersant required.

VOGA determined that a vessel travelling at 4 knots for 10 hours a day continuous spraying, can cover approximately 75 hectares.

7.4.3 Operational Constraints for Dispersant Application

In addition to 'no go' zones, constraints may also include:

- Metocean conditions
- Availability of suitable vessels, aircraft and personnel for dispersant activities
- Mobilisation times
- Thickness of the slick
- Weather conditions and available daylight.

Seasonal environmental conditions and sensitivities will dictate spray runs and areas. An analysis to determine these specific sectors will be undertaken at the time by the Planning Team and implemented by the Aviation and Marine Units.

7.5 Mechanical Dispersion

7.5.1 Description of Mechanical Dispersion Operations

Mechanical dispersion is the use of fire monitors, engine wash, or other means to mechanically/ physically disperse oils into the water column, thereby increasing the speed with which weathering and biodegradation occurs. This strategy is a secondary strategy that may be considered for Wandoo crude spills that result from activities within the Wandoo Field.

Mechanical dispersion has the benefits of:

- Assisting natural dispersion and biodegradation of oil
- Requiring less response personnel as it does not require booms or skimmers like in the containment and recovery strategy
- Eliminating waste storage and disposal issue
- Being able to treat areas that aerial and vessel dispersant operations may not be able to access or are lower priority areas for chemical dispersant application.

Mechanical dispersion operations may be activated for the loss of well control scenario described in this OPEP, but not for diesel spills, if the information collected through monitoring and evaluation suggests:

- The slick is moving toward a sensitive receptor
- The weathered oil is amenable to mechanical dispersion

- A safe operating environment for responders.

7.5.2 Operational Constraints for Mechanical Dispersion

A sea state that is not suitable for containment and recovery operations assists the mechanical dispersion response strategy. Vessels deployed to undertake this operation must be capable of working in the sea-state conditions that are current and forecast.

Mechanical dispersion will not be undertaken in areas of less than 20 m depth water.

7.6 Containment and Recovery

7.6.1 Description of Containment and Recovery

Booms and skimming equipment can be used to create physical barriers on the water surface to contain and recover the oil spill where information and predictive spill fate modelling indicate a likely threat to environmental, social and cultural sensitivities. This strategy is often used in the offshore environment in close proximity to the hydrocarbon source. Once contained, an attempt to recover the hydrocarbons from the surface waters can be undertaken.

Containment and recovery, subject to amenable weather conditions and equipment limitations, will be one of the strategies in an oil spill, to corral and physically remove spilt oil from the ocean, using different types of vessels and booming configurations.

Priority of the implementation of tasks to support this strategy will be focused on containing and recovering oil that has not been successfully chemically dispersed, and to remove as much oil as feasible from the marine environment to prevent it from potentially impacting the shorelines of the Dampier Archipelago and the Barrow, Montebello, Great Sandy and Lowendal Islands as well as the mainland of the WA coastline.

The aim of this strategy will be to have on-water ‘containment and recovery’ strike teams. This will require regional and state resources, and will be directed (as part of the IAP) to operate in areas where oiling of environmental sensitivities will result in most harm to those sensitivities. This analysis will be undertaken at the time by the Planning Chief and implemented by the Marine Unit within Operations.

If this option is considered suitable through assessments and situation awareness (SIMA, trajectory to sensitivities, weather, seas state, oil type), significant logistical support will be required that will include suitable vessels, experienced crew, booms and skimmers, pumps, on-board storage for recovered oil and aircraft to direct the vessel to the areas with the thickest surface oils.

7.6.1.1 The Boom Encounter Rate

The Boom Encounter Rate (BER) is a planning tool to assess the effectiveness of booming strategies. It provides planners with an indication of the amount of oil that could potentially be contained in booming activities, which leads to an understanding of recovery and waste requirements.

The BER can be calculated from the AMSA contingency planning guidelines (AMSA, 2015):

- The length of boom employed (referred to as LB) and the consequent "swathe" of the boom array, i.e. the opening of boom array. For planning purposes, the swathe is 30% of the total boom length being deployed.
- The speed (velocity, V) at which the boom array can be effectively operated. For planning purposes, this is assumed to be 1 knot (1,852 m/h).
- The average thickness (T) of the oil.
- The "percentage cover" of the oil or chemical on the sea surface. For planning purposes, this is considered to be 100% during the initial stages of the response operation.

The BER is therefore calculated as follows: BER = (LB x 0.3) x V x T.

The AMSA guideline recommends that oil thickness be determined through modelling. Table 7-5 provides indicative slick thickness and estimate BER and assumes a standard boom length of 300 m. This indicates that the BER appears to reduce by an order of magnitude over 24 hours, and so is likely to be 10% as effective on day two of a response as day one. At-sea containment and recovery of oil may not be efficient or effective depending on the sea state and how far the slick has spread. These activities will depend on the conditions of the day.

Table 7-5: Indicative BER (planning targets) (Table 14 of AMSA OPEP guidelines)

	Time (h)	1	2	3	4	6	12	24
Group II Oil	Thickness (mm)	0.217	0.128	0.094	0.076	0.056	0.034	0.020
	BER (m^3/h)	36.2	21.3	15.7	12.7	9.3	5.7	3.3
Group II or III Oil	Thickness (mm)	0.474	0.283	0.207	0.167	0.123	0.074	0.044
	BER (m^3/h)	79.0	47.2	34.5	27.8	20.5	12.3	7.3

The BER information in Table 7-5 is based on the spreading coefficient and assumes no wind or current; once these are factored into the calculation, the BER will change and it also assumes the spill is instantaneous (i.e. not loss of well control). They are used as a basis from which to begin planning, continuous flow of oil to the surface from loss of well control may present a situation where the BER is greater than what is planned for.

Wandoo crude is described by APASA as a Group IV oil, however, that is based on the residual component at a boiling point of >380°C. Wandoo crude for response planning purposes can be considered a Group III oil because it floats, the pour point is not less than -30°C, and the viscosity is less than 1500 cP (pers comm 6 September 2013, Paul Irving, Scientific Officer, AMSA). Therefore, for the purposes of estimating the BER, a thickness of 0.044 mm is used.

The following assumptions were used:

- Large weir skimmers such as the GT185 and the Walosep weir skimmer have recovery capacities up to about 65 t/h (~65 m^3/h).
- Assuming an operational rate of recovery of one-third of that capacity (due to sea-state and oil type), then skimming could potentially yield approximately 20 m^3/h.
- Using an operational rate of recovery over 4 hours of operation per day, a potential volume of 80 m^3/day could be recovered.

- At sea recovery is heavily dependent on the viscosity of the oil, wind speed and wave height.
- If three marine vessel teams could be deployed with the large weir skimmers and boom to contain and recover oil from the thickest part of the leading edge of the slick and if they recovered 20 m^3/h over 4 hours each then a total of 240 m^3/day could be recovered by skimmers (20 m^3 x 4 hours x 3 teams). However, this would be limited on the amount of oil that could actually be corralled by the booms (as calculated above in the BER assumptions).
- The percentage of oil (from the oil and water mix) that is able to be recovered by large weir skimmers ranges from 50% to 90%.
- Assume in these scenarios that the skimmers achieve an efficiency of 50%, then for every 10 m^3 of liquid recovered, 5 m^3 would be oil.

Containment and recovery can be used to recover oil to prevent it impacting on environmental, social and cultural sensitivities. Containment and recovery may be effective on Wandoo crude as it is a persistent crude oil with a high specific gravity and viscosity. Depending on metocean conditions, containment and recovery is expected to have a removal rate of 10% to 15% (ITOPF, 2014b). Containment and recovery will be used if metocean conditions are suitable and if oil is of suitable thickness.

Containment and recovery operations are likely to be activated if the information collected through monitoring and evaluation suggests:

- The slick is moving toward a sensitive receptor
- Sea-state and weather conditions allow effective boom and skimmer deployment
- The weathered oil is able to be recovered with skimmers
- A safe operating environment for responders.

At-sea containment and recovery is planned for an initial 20 days with further planning of marine operations evolving as the response to the incident progresses. A 20-day containment and recovery campaign with 10 operational days that contains 240 m^3 of surface oil per day could potentially reduce the surface oil by 2,400 m^3 and possibly prevent shoreline oiling. Consideration of the recovery rate of available skimmers and the likely percentage of oil recovered would be made as part of the SIMA and risk assessment process.

7.6.2 Operational Constraints for Containment and Recovery

Containment of fresh, volatile oil should not be attempted due to its low flash point. No attempt should be made until the safety of the area has been established. Containment of lighter oils such as marine diesels is often not viable because it evaporates and dissipates quickly.

The limiting factor for the effectiveness of at-sea containment and recovery is the BER and the efficiency of skimmers – all of which are heavily dependent on sea-state and weather conditions.

The efficiency of skimmers offshore and in near-shore environments are limited primarily by the encounter rate (amount of oil that can be corralled and skimmed); sea state; viscosity of the oil and weather conditions. Minimum thickness of oil considered viable to contain and recovery is

10 g/m^2. The BER, skimmer recovery rate and percentage of oil recovered have all been considered in planning for this strategy.

Booms fail (release oil) due to entrainment, drainage failure, splash over, critical accumulation, submergence, planning or equipment failure (breakage) (Fingas, 2013). The OSRL field guide for containment and recovery describes deployment techniques and strategies to minimise boom failure. Thickness of the slick is one of the main limiting factors for containment and recovery operations.

In addition to the oil properties, sea-state and wind conditions directly affect how effective containment and recovery techniques will be. Suitable metocean conditions are detailed in OPP 2.

7.7 Protection and Deflection

7.7.1 Description of Protection and Deflection Operations

Protection and deflection, subject to amenable weather and sea conditions as well as equipment operational limits, is the use of physical barriers to separate oil from environmental sensitivities, or to deflect to other areas where it may be naturally collected, or deflect it to other areas where the oil will do less harm.

Near-shore resources can be protected by the impact of oil through the use of booming configurations that either redirect oil or prevent impact by exclusion. Oil may be directed onto a shoreline to be recovered and in that case a shoreline cleanup operation is undertaken.

Booms can be used to create physical barriers on the water surface to protect sensitive receptors. This strategy involves a combination of near-shore booming using vessel-based operations (‘near-shore operations’) while the spill remains on a predicted shoreline impact trajectory, and the placement of shoreline boom around areas to:

- Protect sensitive shorelines
- Deflect the oil back to ocean or to easier locations for shoreline cleanup
- Reduce the volume of oil impacting sensitive shoreline habitats to ALARP
- Align the response strategy with NEBA.

Protection and deflection operations are likely to be activated if the information collected through monitoring and evaluation suggests:

- Sensitive receptors are predicted to be impacted by oil
- The slick is greater than 10 g/m^2 thick
- Sea state and weather permit boom deployment
- A safe operating environment for responders.

The EMBA includes a number of coastal and island shorelines, with a probability of between 78% and 90% impact on the Montebello Islands and Barrow Island at a threshold of >10 g/m^2 over a shoreline length of 13–49 km. On these islands, there are a number of priority sensitivities that

could be protected through the use of protection and deflection strategies, including mangrove areas, turtle nesting beaches and significant bird habitats (seasonal dependant).

Shoreline impacts may occur about 69 hours (RPS, 2024a). During this time the protection and deflection sites will be identified and prioritised. The implementation of this strategy in these areas may result in a better outcome than allowing the oil to strand.

7.7.2 Operational Constraints for Protection and Deflection

Booms require suitable sea state and weather as per the containment and recovery strategy. Metocean limitations for boom deployment are generally:

- Maximum sea state (Beaufort Scale) of 3–4
- Maximum current (knots) of 1–2
- Maximum wind speed (knots) of 14–22.

7.8 Shoreline Cleanup

7.8.1 Description of Shoreline Cleanup

Shoreline cleanup involves removing or monitoring oil with the objectives to:

- Minimise exposure hazards to human health
- Speed recovery of impacted areas, if possible
- Reduce the threat of additional or prolonged natural resource impacts.

Generally oil that is stain, film or sheen is less than 1 mm thick and is extremely difficult to remove from substrate and is usually left to recover naturally with wave action, or if it is a man-made structure that is oiled, response options such as pressure cleaning may be utilised. A SIMA will need to be carried out before shoreline cleanup operations commence, to ensure that attempts to remove weathered oil do not result in more of a negative impact than leaving the oil there.

Shoreline cleanup will implement a three-stage methodology using strategies identified in Table 7-6:

- Emergency phase – collection of oil floating close to the shore and pooled bulk oil removal
- Project phase – removal of stranded oil and oiled shoreline material that cannot be cleaned in-situ
- Polishing phase – final cleanup of light oil contamination and removal of oil stains, where the incident NEBA demonstrates this is necessary.

Personnel requirements for these response strategies will vary. Worst case estimates for manual cleanup have been provided in OPP 2 based on OSTM outputs for the worst replicate simulation for a loss of well control scenario.

Table 7-6: Shoreline cleanup methods

Method	Description
Natural recovery	Natural recovery allows the shoreline to recover without intervention; this minimises environmental impact caused by cleanup activities by allowing nature to degrade and remove oil from the shoreline.
Physical cleaning – removal/disposal	
Manual cleanup	Manual cleanup and recovery using shovels, rakes, hand scrapers and sieves. This is the preferred option for cleaning inaccessible shorelines of those where mechanical cleanup is undesirable.
Mechanical cleanup	Mechanical cleanup is undertaken using bobcats, front-end loaders, graders, scrapers, beach sieving machines and beach cleaning machines. These can be used to rework beach sediment or to push such sediment into the shoreline for cleaning by the waves.
Vacuum systems	Vacuum systems may be portable hand-operated systems or vacuum trucks. Vacuum systems tend to pick up large volumes of water with the oil and so it is preferable to use them on oil pooled on the sediment surface or to remove oil from containers or dams in which the water has been decanted. One method to minimise the amount of water removed from the beach is to use light, portable vacuum systems to deposit oil-water into temporary storage containers on the beach, allow settling time and to decant the water. Large units can then be used to collect the oil from these containers and transport oil to storage sites. Vacuum systems can also be used in association with deflection booms to recover oil from the sea surface. It is advisable in this case to fit the hose with a broad Manta Ray head.
Use of sorbents	Two types of sorbent materials can be used: (1) loose, powdered or granular sorbents, or (2) solid, pads, rolls or sheets. Each of these may be either of synthetic or natural fibre. As a general rule, loose sorbent materials are not used because they are difficult to recover. However, there are occasions when this is not considered to be a problem, such as in high-energy areas where oily sorbent materials can be expected to be washed off of surfaces and dissipated to sea. Of course, oil too is likely to be washed off such shorelines, to dissipate. Solid sorbents may be used in the form of sorbent booms to recover light oil films or as pads or rolls to absorb free oil from the surface of sediments in cases where vacuum systems cannot gain access or where oil is too fluid for manual recovery.
Physical cleaning – washing	
Low-pressure flushing	Low pressure flushing can be used, with care, to remove surface oils from most beach-type surfaces. The water can be applied at ambient temperature or heated depending on the oil's viscosity and/or degree of weathering and on ecological constraints. It is important that re-floated oil is collected in booms or other containment devices and recovered using skimmers or sorbents.
High-pressure flushing	High-pressure washing is to be used only on solid man-made surfaces such as wharves, jetties, etc. This method tends to emulsify oil and consequently the use of sorbents to collect re-floated oil is not recommended. Oil, which is removed from surfaces, can be collected within light in-shore booms or onshore using Shore Guardian or a similar boom. Oil can be recovered using vacuum systems or skimmers.
Steam cleaning	Steam cleaning uses a moderate jet of steam that will remove oil from almost any surface. In addition to the physical forces of the steam jet, it raises the temperature of the adhered oil, thereby lowering its viscosity and allowing it to flow off a surface. This is not recommended for surfaces that support living plants or animals.

Method	Description
Sand blasting	Sand is applied to the structure at high velocity using sand blasting equipment. The oil is removed from the substrate by the abrasive action of the sand. It is used to remove thin accumulations of weathered oil residues from rock surfaces, man-made structures, or other impermeable surfaces with relatively few or no living organisms attached where the other techniques are not applicable or feasible.
Physical cleaning – in situ	
Surf washing/ sediment reworking	Sediments are relocated to the surf zone to permit the natural cleansing action of waves to remove oil which has penetrated into beach sediments (mostly fine gravel and cobble) and which is not removed by normal wave action from the surface. The sediments are returned to the upper portions of the beach within a relatively short period through natural wave and tidal action.
Treatment	
Bioremediation	Bioremediation is the term to describe a range of processes that can be used to accelerate the natural biodegradation of oil into simple compounds such as carbon dioxide, water and biomass. Bio-stimulation is the application of nutrients and bio-augmentation or seeding is the addition of microbes specially selected to degrade the oil.

Shoreline waste generation can be reduced by identifying shorelines likely to be impacted and pre-cleaning the shore of debris and vegetation before oil strands, thus reducing the total amount of oily waste to dispose of. Shoreline waste generation can range from three to over 10 times the amount of oil stranded. In-situ cleanup techniques are ideal for minimising the generation of waste and are particularly suited to remote area response where the logistical requirement associated with waste management and transfer can be a limiting factor.

The Guidelines and Strategies for Oil Spill Waste Management in Arctic Regions (Polaris, 2009) reported that *"the key factor in waste generation is neither the amount of oil spilled nor the amount of shoreline that is oiled. The volume of waste generated during a response operation is a function of the nature of the spill (type and volume of oil, natural weathering processes) and location and length of oiled shoreline, combined with the decisions made by spill managers who select the treatment and clean-up methods and the level of effort (treatment end points)."*

This information, although from a different geographical region, is considered relevant to shoreline response teams working in remote locations in northwest WA because of the same logistical constraints posed by working in remote locations. Consideration will be made in the VOGA IAP process to ensure that response techniques and waste management as described in Section 14 are aligned so that the overall generation of waste is minimised.

Shoreline cleanup operations are likely to be activated if the information collected through monitoring and evaluation suggests:

- Shorelines are predicted to be impacted by oil at a thickness greater than 100 g/m^2
- A safe operating environment for responders.

Modelling outputs for the loss of well control scenario show that shoreline impacts are forecast in both unmitigated and mitigated scenarios for both spill scenarios in the B16 well OSTM. Dispersant application was not modelled in the Wandoo Exploration OSTM, however, the mitigated B16 well OSTM scenario provides a suitable proxy for response planning involving dispersant application.

For the Wandoo Exploration OSTM scenario (RPS, 2024a) of 26,678 m^3 over 35 days, the probability of any shoreline contact of an unmitigated spill at or above the low threshold of 10 g/m^2 is 100% during the winter season.

In the B16 OSTM (RPS, 2024b) scenario, the greatest volume of oil predicted to come ashore from an umitigated spill was 4,550 m^3 during summmer conditions. Dispersant application is assumed to reduce the volume ashore by approximately 45% as per the B16 well OSTM outputs (RPS, 2024b).

The minimum time to contact for unmitigated spills was approximately three days. This timeframe is significant for the mobilisation and implementation of operational and scientific monitoring plans particularly shoreline assessment as well as protection and deflection and shoreline cleanup activities.

7.8.2 Determining Worst Case Shoreline Cleanup Scenarios

VOGA has utilised the Wandoo Exploration OSTM data (RPS, 2024a) to identify the worst case shoreline cleanup scenarios and capability required. These simulations do not consider the impact dispersant use would have as a mitigation measure. Dispersant in other Wandoo crude OST simulations is known to have a positive impact on shoreline contact.

The shoreline oiling analysis of OSTM results encompass all segments that are contacted by oil. Ongoing identification of shoreline segments using real time data will inform ongoing shoreline cleanup response efforts during a response to an incident.

Not all shorelines presented in the OSTM output will be contacted by oil at the same time. The stochastic model ran 100 simulations for each season (summer, transition and winter) using the same spill information (release location, spill volume, duration and oil type) but varied the start time. Once the simulations were complete, the results were overlaid. This approach ensures that the predicted transport and weathering of an oil slick is subjected to a range of current and wind conditions. The stochastic model output does not represent the extent of any one spill trajectory (which would be significantly smaller) but rather provides a summary of all trajectories run for each scenario.

7.8.2.1 Priority Coastline Sections

Coastline sections for the OSTM assessment were defined by dividing the coastline into polygons aligned with the shoreline cells from the WA Marine Oil Pollution Risk Assessment Protection Priorities (https://www.transport.wa.gov.au/imarine/preparedness-response-resources.asp) and Western Australia Marine Oil Pollution Risk Assessment (WAMOPRA).

OSTM shoreline contact data was sorted and arranged according to the parameters:

- Minimum time to contact
- Probability of shoreline contact
- Maximum volume of oil ashore.

The result from this process was the identification of nine priority coastline sections (highlighted red in Figure 7-2) covering:

- WA11.East(316) – West Intercourse Island – Dolphin Island N point (F)
- WA11.East(317) – West Intercourse Island – Dolphin Island N point (G)
- WA11.West(318) – Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A)
- WA11.West(319) – Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (B)
- WA11.West(320) – Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (C)
- WA11.West(321) – Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (D)
- WA11.West(325) – Coolgra Point W – Yardie Landing (C)
- WA11.West(326) – Baresand Point – Entrance Point E
- WA11.West(329) – Locker Point – Baresand Point.

Figure 7-2 Pilbara shoreline cells and sub-zones (DoT, 2018)



7.8.2.2 Priority Coastline Sections within Marine Reserves or Marine Parks

Within the nine priority coastline sections identified, there are three Commonwealth Marine Reserves and two WA Marine Parks:

- Montebello Commonwealth Marine Reserve
- Montebello Islands Marine Park (WA Marine Park)
- Barrow Island Marine Park (WA Marine Park) and Class A Nature Reserve
- Dampier Commonwealth Marine Reserve.

Refer to the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP for a description of these areas. VOGA identified this worst-case scenario to recognise the environmental values associated with these reserves and parks. It is also important to note that the Barrow Island Marine Management Area is adjacent to the Montebello Island Marine Park.

7.8.3 Shoreline Cleanup Personnel and Equipment

7.8.3.1 Shoreline Cleanup Personnel Capability and Productivity

When determining shoreline team numbers, VOGA will take into account guidance from shipping and oil and gas industry references including IPIECA (2015) and ITOPF (2014d):

"The potential performance of the workforce is difficult to judge until work has commenced and has been underway for some time. For this reason, deciding on how many workers are required on a shoreline is best achieved by establishing a small-scale operation on a representative section of the shoreline and then replicating this approach with the appropriate level of manpower in other areas of the shoreline, once working practices have been optimised. The number of people required will be determined by the demands of the clean-up technique employed and the amount of material that can be reasonably handled within a day" (ITOPF, 2014d).

"In general it is more efficient to start with a smaller number of teams, properly set up worksite with logistics support in place, and monitor the progress of the deployed teams. A reassessment of what further work is required can then be made and a decision taken on whether changes in the number of personnel are merited, either up or down" (IPIECA, 2015).

VOGA plans to deploy shoreline cleanup teams made up of 10 workers supervised by one team leader. This provides a span of control of 10:1 as per IPIECA (2015) guidance:

"As shoreline operations progress and the tasks become more routine, the number of workers each team leader can manage effectively may increase to a worker: team leader ratio higher than the initial planning levels of 10:1" (IPIECA, 2015).

Not all sections of the coastline will be accessible or amenable to manual shoreline cleanup activities – for example, cleanup teams would not be able to access mudflats or mangroves. A re-assessment of the number of personnel required and productivity of cleanup teams will be made once shoreline cleanup activities have taken place and real-time data regarding shoreline oiling has been obtained by SCAT teams.

Shoreline cleanup personnel capability required and estimated time to complete bulk removal of oily waste is presented in Table 7-7.

Table 7-7: Shoreline cleanup potential waste and personnel requirements

Scenario	Potential waste generated in bulk oil removal [1]	No. of people required for bulk oil removal [2]	Estimated time to complete bulk removal of oily waste [3]
Maximum accumulated volume (m^3) along this shoreline with concentrations >100 g/m^2 in the worst replicate simulation (winter). WA11.West (318) Barrow Island and Montebello islands within 4.5 days.	2,565 m^3 Bulking factor of 10 Potential bulk oil waste removal 25,650 m^3	45 teams = 450 people plus 45 team leaders Total 495 people scaled up from 10 teams by day 3 to 45 teams by day 5	Collecting 450 m^3 per day = 57 days cleanup

1. Bulking factor of 10 is used to estimate waste volumes within the bulk oil removal stage (emergency and project phases) of shoreline cleanup activities.
2. Ten people per team, each estimated to collect 1 m^3 of oily waste per day. Team leaders are not factored into the productivity estimates.
3. Based on 10 m^3 collected per team per day.

Bulk oil removal refers to oil collected during the emergency phase (collection of oil floating close to the shore and pooled bulk oil removal) and the project phase (removal of stranded oil and oiled shoreline material that cannot be cleaned in-situ). During cleanup, a mix of oil and oily waste is collected. For planning purposes, potential waste generation is based on a bulking factor of 10 as per IPIECA (2015).

VOGA is using the guidance provided by IPIECA (2015) that one person can collect 1 m^3 of oily waste per day. Based on this guidance, one team of 10 workers can collect 10 m^3 of oily waste per day. Waste volumes would be altered if mechanical removal or in-situ cleaning activities such as surf washing or flushing were applied.

Time to complete bulk oil removal (emergency and project phase) is based on the ability of each team collecting 10 m^3 of oily waste per day. The estimated time to complete bulk oil removal is described as:

$$\#\ days = \frac{volume\ (m3)}{\text{productivity (m3)}} \text{ where } productivity = \#\ teams\ x\ 10\ m3.$$

The polishing phase of shoreline cleanup will be under the guidance of a SIMA and SCAT survey recommendations. VOGA recognised that although effective manual cleanup activities are generally limited to oil with a minimum thickness of 100 g/m^2, there may be instances depending on the location and vulnerability of sensitivities within that location which necessitate additional cleaning activities be undertaken. An example of this is if a turtle nesting beach has been contacted by oil during turtle nesting season. Additional cleanup activities in the form of a polishing phase may be recommended through the SIMA process to minimise oil contact with nesting turtles or hatchlings. Alternatively, high value recreational or commercial areas may need additional cleaning through high-pressure cleaning of seawalls or jetty structures so that amenity can be restored.

VOGA's SIMA of polishing phase cleanup activities will take into account five broad questions, as suggested in IPIECA (2015):

- Is the remaining oil a potential source of harm to environmentally sensitive receptors?
- Would further cleaning do more harm than good?

- Does the oil interfere with the aesthetic appeal or recreational use of the shoreline?
- Does the residual level of contamination adversely affect economic resources or disrupt economic activities?
- Does the effort involved in further cleaning outweigh environmental or economic benefits that could be achieved?

Resources to support the polishing phase would be sourced through the same arrangements in place for the emergency and project phase of shoreline cleanup.

7.8.3.2 Shoreline Cleanup Team Leaders

Trained team leaders will lead the cleanup teams to ensure activities are carried out according to instructions provided by the ICT and aligned with the Performance Standards described in the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP. Shoreline cleanup team leaders will have completed shoreline cleanup training and will be responsible for supervising the activities within their team. Within Australia, these team leaders can be sourced from the AMOSC core group, AMOSC mutual aid, AMSA National Response Team, or the WA DoT State Response Team. Internationally, team leaders can be sourced from OSRL.

7.8.3.3 Key Arrangements in Place to Support Shoreline Cleanup Activities

VOGA is planning to initially equip and maintain 100 people over the first 10 days (based on shoreline contact at day 3) scaling up to 450 people during day 20 to day 57.

Appendix 2 of the OSR Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009] details the shoreline cleanup kits and personnel protective equipment (PPE) available for immediate use in Dampier. Additional kits and PPE can be sourced through Perth-based suppliers.

VOGA has contracts in place for supply base and logistical services in the event of an oil spill. These arrangements include the provision of transport and drivers, supply base areas and equipment, waste disposal equipment and laydown areas, mechanical equipment, and emergency accommodation camps. Where camping on islands is not possible, vessels or floatels may be used to accommodate teams working offshore. Accommodation can be sourced onshore through camp providers that supply accommodation and catering; and transport of personnel to offshore and onshore locations can be provided by local bus and vessel operators as listed in the VOGA Emergency Response Logistics Plan [VOG-7000-0008].

VOGA, in consultation with DoT as HMA for MOP, has access to local emergency management personnel through the City of Karratha Local Emergency Management Committee and the wider Pilbara District Emergency Management Committee who can undertake or facilitate support roles as per the WA emergency management arrangements.

Shoreline cleanup workers can be sourced from labour hire providers identified in the VOGA Emergency Response Logistics Plan [VOG-7000-0008]. The Dampier Port Marine Oil Pollution Group can support response efforts and provide local knowledge to facilitate access to islands for shoreline cleanup. Access and operations on islands will be guided by the findings of the SCAT surveys in relation to suitable landing locations within the vicinity of the operations area to be set up. These locations can be pre-empted in the Tactical Response Plans for shoreline cleanup and activated, if required.

Hire or event management providers will be used to provide amenities such as toilets, shade, tables and chairs. This equipment can be transported via shallow draft vessels and barges operated by AMS and other vessel providers. Cleanup equipment such as sorbents, near shore booms and skimmers can also be sourced from the AMOSC, AMSA and AMS stockpiles and transported by vessel.

VOGA has arrangements in place for temporary waste storage including skips, intermediate bulk containers (IBCs) and containers as well as long term waste disposal solutions. These will be deployed via barges to islands and via truck on the mainland for remote waste collection. Refer to Section 14.1 for further details on oil spill waste management.

Mechanical equipment, such as all-terrain vehicles, four-wheel drives and buses, may be hired or purchased through local providers or transported from Perth. Earthmoving equipment may be suitable for specific shoreline types, such as front-end loaders, graders, bobcats and skid-steers. This equipment can be hired locally through local providers or ex Perth.

Minimum resources for establishing shoreline cleanup operations have been listed in Table 11-16. Mechanical support has been estimated based on the number of work zones to be set up, some may support a number of shoreline teams in each zone by providing bulk removal of waste. This is a minimum requirement for planning purposes that will be re-assessed in an actual spill response.

7.8.4 Operational Constraints for Shoreline Cleanup

The major constraint for shoreline cleanup is the thickness of the oil on the shore. Oil needs to be at least 100 g/m^2 thick to enable effective manual cleanup. The National Plan Environment and Scientific Working Group developed Foreshore Assessment and Termination Guidelines (2007) that suggest shoreline oiling of a thickness of <0.01 cm (100 g/m^2) which can be seen as a stain, should be left to self-clean through wave action only.

Other constraints include:

- Amenability of shoreline habitats to manual cleanup activities
- Access to remote islands and mainland beaches
- Biosecurity issues associated with moving people and equipment between remote islands and the mainland
- Access to sites (habitat, terrain, distance from the mainland, landing/mooring sites for vessels)
- Transport of equipment to remote sites
- Weather and sea state
- Hazardous wildlife.

7.9 Oiled Wildlife Response

7.9.1 Description of Oiled Wildlife Response

VOGA Oiled Wildlife Response (OWR) efforts are guided by the WA Oiled Wildlife Response Plan (WAOWRP) and the Pilbara Region Oiled Wildlife Response Plan (POWRP) developed by AMOSC and DBCA.

VOGA's role in OSR will be to facilitate response actions that will provide coordinated, immediate and effective protection, rescue and rehabilitation of wildlife during marine pollution incidents.

VOGA's primary objectives in relation to oiled wildlife are to:

- Maximise the best achievable and practicable protection measures to wildlife and their habitats during marine pollution incidents
- Minimise the risk of impacts to oiled wildlife and wildlife threatened by oil
- Minimise injuries to wildlife threatened or impacted by other operational activities associated with the response (e.g. containment and cleanup, dispersant application, aviation etc.)
- Provide achievable care for wildlife in line with best practices
- Return as many rescued wildlife back to the wild
- Document any impacts observed from the marine pollution incident or associated operational activities
- Prevent injuries to responders and the general public from wildlife associated with the incident and OWR actions search and rescue of oiled wildlife.

OWR may be implemented for any spill event and is dependent on the surveillance conducted as part of operational monitoring. If wildlife are present within the spill area or have the potential to be oiled as a result of oil stranding on shorelines, then OWR will be activated.

7.9.2 Operational Constraints for Oiled Wildlife Response

Operational constraints that may arise during OWR include:

- Access to remote islands and mainland beaches
- Biosecurity issues associated with moving people and equipment between remote islands and the mainland
- Access to sites (habitat, terrain, distance from the mainland, landing/mooring sites for vessels)
- Weather and sea state
- Available daylight
- Hazardous wildlife.

7.9.3 Response Structure

7.9.3.1 Oiled Wildlife Response in Commonwealth Waters

For oil spills emanating from offshore oil and gas operations within Commonwealth waters, the designated control agency is VOGA, and NOPSEMA is the jurisdictional authority. In the event that wildlife is affected, the jurisdictional authority for wildlife is DBCA, whilst the DoT is the designated control agency.

7.9.3.2 Oiled Wildlife Response in WA State Waters

Under the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016*and Regulations, DBCA is the jurisdictional authority responsible for ensuring a minimum standard of treatment, protection and destruction of oil-affected wildlife. In this instance, DBCA, the DoT and VOGA will work together to coordinate the OWR.

In any event where DBCA is not leading the response, an Oiled Wildlife Advisor (OWA) from DBCA will be placed in the VOGA ICT to ensure standards are being adhered to and licences for pre-emptive capture and deterrence activities can be granted and administered promptly, when deemed necessary.

Figure 7-4 presents the WAOWRP (2014) expanded structure for an OWR. An industry Oiled Wildlife Adviser and Wildlife Division Coordinator is appointed in consultation with DBCA.

Figure 7-3: Wildlife response structure with DoT as the control agency (WAOWRP 2014)



7.9.4 Approach to Response Planning

OWR planning was conducted according to the response planning process outlined in Section 3. This process aligns with the concepts presented in the IPIECA Good Practice Guide 516 Wildlife Response Preparedness (IPIECA, 2104).

Analysis of OSTM data for a loss of well control with no dispersant applied and across all seasons was undertaken to identify the initial protection priorities for wildlife (RPS, 2024a). Shoreline cells identified in the DoT WAOPMRA were used in the OSTM and the following parameters were analysed to determine the priority receptors for OWR:

- Probability of oiling at a threshold of 10 g/m^2
- Minimum time to contact at a threshold of 10 g/m^2
- Maximum length of shoreline impacted (km).

The shoreline cells were filtered further to identify those that are known to be turtle nesting sites and China-Australia Migratory Bird Agreement (CAMBA)/Japan-Australia Migratory Bird Agreement (JAMBA) sites. By applying these response planning parameters to the OSTM analysis, VOGA has an understanding of the scale and extent of the operational area including a timeframe for scaling up oiled wildlife capabilities. First strike priority areas, subsequent operational areas and the timeframe in which to deliver oiled wildlife capabilities required to these areas has been identified from this sensitivity analysis. OWR planning has been framed around the first strike priority shoreline segments identified for:

- Montebello Islands
- Dampier Archipelago
- Barrow Island
- Legendre Island
- Delambre Island.

These shoreline sectors align with the POWRP Operational Sectors 9, 10 and 12 and are the focus for VOGA response activities in the first 10 days of response activities. In addition to the on-ground work during this time, scaling up and activation of resources for staging areas and additional oiled wildlife facilities to be available beyond day 15 will take place (PWORP Operational Sectors 13 and 14).

Table 7-8: Alignment of priority shoreline segments with POWRP operational sectors

OSTM shoreline segment	POWRP operational sector	Staging area and temporary holding sites	First strike equipment	Preventative measures
Montebello Islands	12	DBCA research hut at Hermite Island. Barrow Island. On water station.	Nearest first strike equipment is in Karratha.	Pre-emptive capture of turtles (particularly juvenile life stages). Various hazing techniques may also be useful for moving wildlife out of risk areas.

OSTM shoreline segment	POWRP operational sector	Staging area and temporary holding sites	First strike equipment	Preventative measures
Barrow Island	10	Coastal access points, DBCA office, Barrow Island Camp, on water station.	Must be sent as freight by air or sea and comply with Barrow Island quarantine requirements. Nearest first strike equipment is in Karratha.	Pre-emptive capture of turtles may not be possible so hazing is the preferred option given access constraints.
Dampier Archipelago	9	Dampier Port. Dampier Peninsula Palms.	Nearest first strike equipment is in Karratha.	Pre-emptive capture of turtles (particularly juvenile life stages) and fairy terns should be considered. Various hazing techniques may also be useful for moving wildlife out of risk areas.
Legendre Island – Searipple Passage				
Delambre Island				

7.9.5 Response Actions

VOGA will coordinate the initiation of OWR activities and assist ongoing OWR operations through facilitating access to assets such as aircraft and marine vessels, staging areas, transport, waste management and personnel as identified in the OSR Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009]. Once activated, the WAOWRP has eight stages, with stages one to three being undertaken in the Oiled Wildlife Emergency Response Plan (OWERP) and the remainder initiated as part of the IAP process for the incident.

Species-specific response strategies have been described in the WAOWRP. A summary of these in relation to the risk assessment (Astron, 2012) is provided in Table 7-10. VOGA's response capability has been developed taking into consideration the eight stages of OWR and the species-specific response strategies that may be implemented.

All response strategies will be developed by the Wildlife Planning Officer in collaboration with the Wildlife Operations Officer with advice from the Wildlife Division Coordinator and the industry Oiled Wildlife Advisor. These form the basis of the IAP oiled wildlife sub-plan which includes:

- Wildlife priorities for protection
- Exposure modification measures
- Recovery and treatment of oiled wildlife
- Resourcing of equipment
- Personnel requirements.

The Incident Commander approves the oiled wildlife sub-plan as part of the IAP process managed by the Planning Chief.

Table 7-9: Stages of OWR (WAOWRP, 2014) applied to a loss of well control scenario

Response phase	Stage of OWR	Description
OWERP	Stage 1 – Wildlife first response	The first strike OWR activities provide the initial response of the wildlife division, the OWA has already been stood up at this stage. Activate the WAOWRP and the POWRP. Rapidly assess the situation using the SITREPS generated by Planning. Provide advice to the IMT in relation to the wildlife assets at risk. The Wildlife Division Coordinator and OWA will activate and mobilise the first strike OWR equipment in anticipation of an OWR to ensure timely availability of equipment: 6 x OWR first strike kits to be deployed from Karratha to the staging areas of POWRP operational sectors 9, 10 and 12 (Montebello Island, Dampier Archipelago, Barrow Island, Legendre Island and Delambre Island.
OWERP and IAP	Stage 2 – Mobilisation of resources	Supplement provision of first strike kits from Karratha with kits held in Exmouth and Fremantle. Mobilise a Wildlife Operations Officer to Dampier to lead the mobilisation of operational resources at the scene when oiled wildlife have been observed. Further personnel mobilisation along with equipment, and facility acquisition needs to occur ahead of need if wildlife impact is anticipated. Mobilise 2 x OWR containers to Dampier oiled wildlife facility location. Activate provision of additional OWR containers to be deployed to Port Hedland. Activation of the OWL personnel selection, training and induction programs.
OWERP and IAP	Stage 3 – Wildlife reconnaissance	Real-time wildlife reconnaissance is necessary to ground-truth information contained in the regional OWR plans due to seasonal and inter-annual variation in abundance and distribution of wildlife. The Wildlife Division Coordinator will request access to reconnaissance through the IMT Operations Officer. The Wildlife Planning Officer should commence a plot of all known wildlife communities in the local area that may be affected; the hazing and encounter/capture can then be determined from this plot. Note: Reconnaissance and capture of wildlife should be performed by people with specific expertise to ensure that uniformly reliable information is fed back to the planning section to enable informed decisions. Wildlife reconnaissance conducted in conjunction with OSR Shoreline Cleanup Assessment Teams will provide efficient use of resources. There may, however, be circumstances where separate operations are desirable. Aerial observation has its limitations in wildlife reconnaissance, these include altitude, visibility and risk of disturbance to wildlife.

Response phase	Stage of OWR	Description
IAP	Stage 4 – IAP wildlife sub-plan development	The IAP wildlife sub-plan will be developed by the Wildlife Planning Officer in collaboration with the Wildlife Division Coordinator. Information gathered from the regional OWR plan and real time wildlife reconnaissance will inform the development of the sub-plan. The sub-plan will include the appropriate response options for oiled wildlife, including: • Wildlife priorities for protection from oiling • Deterrence measures • Recovery and treatment of oiled wildlife (provided by Wildlife Planning Officer & Field Coordinator); resourcing of equipment and personnel. The Wildlife Division Coordinator and OWA will discuss activation and mobilisation of OWR resources in anticipation of OWR to ensure timely availability of personnel and equipment. The OWA will then discuss recommendations with the Incident Commander for approval of the sub-plan and mobilisation of OWR resources and personnel.
IAP	Stage 5 – Wildlife rescue and staging	Refer to species-group specific response strategies described in Table 7-9. **Exposure modification (prevention of oiling through wildlife deterrence or pre-emptive capture)** Where wildlife is at risk of becoming impacted by oil, strategies to prevent this should be explored. Strategies directly involving wildlife comprise pre-emptive capture and hazing. Pre-emptive capture requires that safe means of capturing a significant proportion of wildlife at risk be available, together with the capacity to care effectively for them in captivity, and a workable plan for re-release when risk has been eliminated. Hazing (the scaring of unoiled wildlife away from oiled habitats/areas) requires an effective deterrent system, and satisfactory alternative sites for animals deterred from at-risk sites. There are many commonly used methods and devices to haze animals including aircraft, vessels, cracker shells, gas cannons, predator recordings, and predator effigies. Careful consideration of the particular circumstances must be given as significant deleterious effects may ensue from poorly planned or executed hazing operations. Any deterrence/hazing/pre-emptive capture activities will require licensing authority from DBCA through the OWA and operational approval from the Incident Controller. The DBCA OWA enable rapid access to department licences to undertake approved activities. OWR rescue operations should determine the best combination of pre-emptive capture, hazing and the collection and management of oiled animals based on resources available. **Wildlife rescue, transport and staging/holding** Wildlife search operations to enable wildlife field collection are planned using input from the IAP considering triage principles, in addition to geographical areas expected to have been impacted by the incident.

Response phase	Stage of OWR	Description
		Differentiation of the functions: a) Finding oiled wildlife b) Capturing and holding wildlife c) Transporting wildlife to oiled wildlife facilities should be made. All three functions may be performed by a single team or functions could be split into separate teams. A staging site will provide a logistics base for search and capture teams, and shelter and quiet for animals waiting to be transported to a primary care oiled wildlife facility. Stabilisation may be initiated at the staging site if prolonged transport is anticipated. Wildlife can be held in transport cartons if suitable ventilation and protection from weather is provided. A field collection team should comprise at least three individuals for safety and effectiveness, however, teams larger than this may be more suitable depending on transport and communication requirements, the length of coastline affected, and how dispersed casualties are. Where individual animals have not become immobilised, specialised species-specific capture strategies may require large teams. Field teams return captured wildlife to the staging site, from where the Wildlife Rescue and Staging/Holding unit leaders direct their efforts and liaise with the transport unit. A field staging site would permit stabilisation and staging for transport if staffed by a wildlife intake unit comprising a vet, veterinary technician, and a scribe. Public information announcements should be arranged on local television and radio to discourage participation by untrained members of the public in attempts to capture and collect wildlife and direct volunteers to an induction training location.
IAP	Stage 6 – OWR facility	Activate pre-identified OWR facilities in Dampier (Section 5.1.3 of the POWRP) and in Port Hedland (Section 5.1.5 of the POWRP). The Dampier facility will be required to be operational by day 3. Port Hedland facility will be required to be operational from day 15. Access to a site for the purposes of an oiled wildlife facility will be confirmed by the Wildlife Division Coordinator following the acknowledgement of an escalation of the response by the Incident Commander and the approval of resource mobilisation. Priorities for establishing the Oiled Wildlife Facility during the First Strike Response period is to rapidly provide for the intake and holding capacity of oiled wildlife, with other functions added on in additional phases. **Note:** An overall space requirement of approximately 2,500 m^2, a water flow capacity reaching 60,000 litres per day and an electrical load of 200A are conservative estimates for a centre dealing with 100–500 wildlife casualties at the facility at any one time. A temporary facility management team of up to six contractors would take 1 to 3 days to develop facilities to hold, assess, and initiate cleaning in a suitable site on-scene. Development of post-

Response phase	Stage of OWR	Description
		cleaning rehabilitation facilities in the temporary centre would be completed over the ensuing week.
IAP	Stage 7 – wildlife rehabilitation	Requirements for a rehabilitation centre are dependent on the region and the wildlife likely be admitted. Ideally, a facility will be planned with a team including trained OWR personnel, a wildlife veterinarian, a local authority representative and an experienced builder. Longer term rehabilitation requirements may defer to more permanent facilities that can cope with reduced numbers of wildlife. The requirements for housing and rehabilitation of wildlife will vary greatly depending on the size and circumstances of a spill. Short-term requirements and small numbers of wildlife may capitalise on existing wildlife care facilities for the post-washing and intensive care period of rehabilitation. For larger numbers of wildlife and longer-term incidents, on site construction of facilities may be necessary. Expert advice should be obtained in the design and setup of temporary and longer-term rehabilitation housing of wildlife. The Perth Zoo is recognised as a leading State agency in wildlife housing and can provide advice if required. Record keeping is a critical part of the management of captured wildlife whether pre-emptive or following oiling. Records must be kept from the point of capture and travel with each individual animal. On arrival at the rehabilitation centre, the wildlife should be tracked through the system on the treatment record. An Australian OWR record keeping system known as the National Plan OWR Database (NPOWRD) has been developed and can be implemented for tracking wildlife during a spill event. Important components of the system are an access database, fauna datasheets, and a database manual. Wildlife cannot be released until their habitats are remediated and deemed clear from further risk of oiling. Where pre-emptive capture is undertaken animals can be released once the habitat is clear of oil pollution. While it is preferable to get unoiled wildlife back to their natural habitat as soon as possible, in some cases the release of animals a long distance from the spill allowing them to find their own way back may be acceptable. However, advice should be sought before proceeding.
IAP	Stage 8 – OWR termination	Once the decision has been made to terminate oiled wildlife operations, the Incident Controller will stand down functions through the Wildlife Division Coordinator when the appropriate agencies agree that the incident/emergency has been satisfactorily controlled and the particular wildlife functions are no longer required. This is likely to involve a progressive stand down of different functions from the wildlife capture through to rehabilitation functions as appropriate. Ongoing resourcing may be required, beyond the termination of cleanup operations, to maintain rehabilitation of some affected animals and to conduct monitoring programs after their release. Demobilisation of the wildlife response will be guided by parameters established by the Wildlife Division Coordinator at the beginning of

Response phase	Stage of OWR	Description
		operations and incorporated into the IAP in consultation with the Incident Controller. Demobilisation of the wildlife response will be undertaken in accordance with parameters established in the IAP (termination sub-plan) and when the Wildlife Division Coordinator considers that all wildlife affected by the spill have been satisfactorily dealt with. The decision will be made in consultation with the Incident Controller, the Wildlife Advisor, and the Wildlife Division Coordinator. Demobilisation of personnel, equipment and facilities used for the wildlife response will generally lag behind that of the wider spill response because cleaning, treatment and rehabilitation of wildlife can take longer than the spill response.

Table 7-10: OWR strategy considerations and options (excerpt from WAOWRP, 2014)

Species group	Strategy considerations	Strategy options
Seabirds – sea foragers that utilise islands and coasts such as terns, gulls, boobies gannets, noddies, shearwaters	Seabirds will dive through oil on the surface if fish or other prey can be seen. This should be considered as possible for light crude, diesel and condensate spills. Seabird numbers can be highly variable seasonally and from year to year as they follow food resource abundance (affected by El Nino, etc.) and can forage long distances from nesting and roosting sites. Pre-oiling surveys are critical to ascertain current status. Birds oiled during feeding may not be able to fly back to shore, so in-water patrols of feeding areas and shorelines for capture should be considered. Seabirds often show a preference for sandy points, spits and low rocky bars near the ocean. Birds lightly oiled or coated with light oils oiled may be able to fly back to roosts where monitoring should occur and shore-based capture should be considered. Seabirds nest on islands or the mainland coast either on the surface (e.g. terns), rock crevices, in vegetation (e.g. cormorants) and in burrows (e.g. shearwaters). Nestlings and eggs are at risk of oiling from body contact with adults.	Searches for and collection of birds required in areas where oil is located, and at roosts (can be up to 50 km from oiling). Oiled birds can be captured in water and on land using hand nets, cleaned and rehabilitated. Free-flying birds cannot be readily captured in marine environments. Adults and nestlings should be monitored for oiling impacts. Oiled adults and nestlings should be captured by hand nets or other available means. Pre-emptive capture of chicks and hand raising should be considered for high conservation species.
Seabirds Cormorants and darters all species	Are predisposed to oiling as they will readily swim through heavy oils. May travel large distances from roosting sites but feed close to shore. Cormorants saturate their feathers to hunt and will look wet (indicator of light oils) after foraging when drying wings for flight. Roosting sites may vary according to wind conditions and food availability. Cormorants prefer to roost on elevated coastal headlands or trees to assist take off.	Oiled birds can be captured on land or in water. Strong birds will dive to escape capture. Nestlings should be monitored and only captured for cleaning rehabilitation if abandoned or parent birds are oiled.

Species group	Strategy considerations	Strategy options
	Nest on elevated coastal headlands and vegetation or in vegetation in freshwater swamps.	
Migratory and resident shorebirds	Habitat and survey maps and pre oiling surveys important to determine species and densities present in an area. Preferred foraging areas are extensive intertidal flats. Foraging times are tide-dependent feeding on molluscs and worms exposed in intertidal zones during mid to low tides. Birds tend to spread out over suitable feeding habitat. Feeding response to different types of oil is unknown. Birds oiled during feeding may not be able to fly back to roosts when tide rises, so in-water patrols of feeding areas and shorelines for capture should considered. At high tide periods, birds roost at favoured sites until the falling tide allows for foraging at intertidal habitats. Favoured roost site locations are critical information for OWR. Capture of unoiled birds is likely to be difficult and capture of all birds quickly is unlikely.	Oiled birds can be captured using hand nets, cleaned and rehabilitated. For small areas of oiling, hazing can be attempted with ongoing monitoring of success. Pre-emptive capture of unoiled birds foraging for food is largely impractical due to individuals dispersing to feed. Pre-emptive capture and transport or holding of birds in nesting areas under threat may be possible. Capture techniques for unoiled birds include noose mats (preferred) and cannon nets.
Resident shorebirds	Resident shorebirds nest on coastal beaches, wetland fringes and islands. Nest above the high water mark. Nestlings and eggs can be oiled by contact from adults. Hatchlings are precocial and can forage by themselves after hatching but stay in family groups for some time. Surveys need to be undertaken to determine nest locations adjacent to and along impacted shorelines. Priority should be on capture and rehabilitation of adult birds.	Oiled birds can be captured using hand nets, cleaned and rehabilitated. Nest building birds can be hazed or disturbed from projected oil impact zones.
Waterbirds	Herons and egrets tend to forage amongst mangroves and on intertidal flats or shallow pools near roosting sites. Pelicans prefer shallow protected waters for feeding. Pelicans can travel very large distances from roost or breeding sites to foraging areas. Can be found in freshwater brackish and coastal habitats. Herons and egrets common in suitable coastal and offshore island and mangrove habitats. Herons and egrets nest sparsely in coastal vegetation. Pelicans nest in colonies on inland lake and coastal islands.	Oiled birds can be captured on land or in water. Nestlings should be monitored and only captured for cleaning and rehabilitation if abandoned or parent birds are oiled.
Marine birds of prey	Ospreys often plunge into water to hunt fish, while WB Sea Eagle plucks fish from water on the wing. Brahminy Kite commonly takes crabs. All of these birds are likely to hunt mammals on Barrow Island at times. Elevated perch with view of ocean are preferred. Some perches offering protection may be used in some conditions.	Monitoring of local raptors should be undertaken, focusing on known nest sites and perches. Only specialist or experienced personnel should capture or handle these species for safety.

Species group	Strategy considerations	Strategy options
	Make large nests comprised of sticks on tall structures (trees, mangrove, manmade) or rocky headlands.	
Green turtles	In-water oiling via ingestion, inhalation and contact risks. Note that even light hydrocarbons such as diesel and condensate cause burns to turtles even though oiling may not be apparent. Sea turtles do not appear to display any avoidance behaviour on encountering a slick. Males and females at risk. All animals relatively widely dispersed through foraging habitats in near shore coastal bays. Adult males and females at risk while milling in coastal shallows. Distinct aggregation areas preferred in mating season and are priority areas for oiling protection. Greens nest on deep sandy beaches usually on exposed coasts. Adult females primarily at risk while milling in shallows and during beach egress. In-water and beach oiling via ingestion, inhalation and contact. Females at risk of oiling from oil that has landed on beaches. Barrow Island data shows an inter-nesting cycle of five years. Three nests per season with a 12 day intra-nesting interval. While nests are usually located above the high tide mark, spills can occur as a result of an extreme weather event which can cause oiling of nest areas. The zone above the nest is important for gas exchange and coating with oil can prevent this process occurring resulting in suffocation of eggs/hatchlings. It would also create a barrier for hatchlings to swim to the surface. Tests have shown that eggs impacted by fresh oil early during incubation show deformities and eggs oiled in the last half or quarter have a significant decrease in survival. The effect of oil that has naturally weathered for a few weeks prior to impact to shorelines may have little effect on nest or eggs. Use of heavy machinery or vehicles will compact nests and compromise hatchlings. Relocation of eggs needs to occur within 12 hours of laying or after 14 days (Limpus) as embryo development membranes are vulnerable to rupture. Hatchlings are at much greater risk of oiling as they spend more time near the surface, being stuck in heavier or weathered oils. They tend to be found detected in tidal current convergence zones. Hatchlings are suspected to quickly move offshore.	Adults are a large powerful turtle, and so in-water capture is generally unviable except in expanses of shallow water. Captures of compromised adults on the surface would be possible with walley nets or large hoop nets. Capture of adult females on shore can be done by hand and using turtle stretchers for transport. Juveniles >40 cm appear in coastal waters and could possibly be rodeo-ed or captured with long handle nets. Any captures need to be removed from the area and duration of oiling. At very low tides in mating season, adult males and females can be captured on large expanses of flats such as Bandicoot Bay at Barrow Island. Any captures need to be removed from the area and duration of oiling. Nesting females can be captured on shore using standard tagging techniques. Where a beach is threatened, pre-emptive capture of all animals should be considered. Any captures need to be removed from the area for the duration of oiling. There are two options: one, transport and holding of captured animals in enclosures; or two, transports away from field of oiling as far as required to prevent oiling. In both cases, a minimum of five individuals or 5% of managed animals should be tracked to gather data on strategy efficiency. Remove surface oiling manually from nests where it occurs.

Species group	Strategy considerations	Strategy options
	Hatchlings may be compromised if captured and held for long periods. Funnel fencing and pit traps techniques could be used to capture emerging hatchlings providing nesting females did not compromise trapping or were placed at risk of entanglement.	Removal of eggs for incubation probably impractical on a large scale. Research programs on effects are important. Aims and methodology should be determined prior to spill event.
Flatback turtle	In-water oiling via ingestion, inhalation and contact risks. Males and females at risk. Relatively widely dispersed through foraging habitats of turbid coastal and continental shelf environments. In-water oiling via ingestion, inhalation and contact risks. Adult males and females at risk. Distinct aggregation areas preferred. In-water capture probably unviable, may be possible to capture some females resting on beaches. At Barrow Island, the flatback turtle mating and nesting aggregation is centred around the proposed LNG tanker jetty area. Flatback turtles nest on medium to shallow sandy beaches usually on protected beaches. In many areas of the Pilbara, they are restricted to nesting during mid to high tides. Adult females primarily at risk while milling in shallows and during beach egress. In-water and beach oiling via ingestion, inhalation and contact. Females at risk of oiling from oil that has landed on beaches. Inter-nesting intervals of 1.7 to 3.0 years, three nests per season intra-nesting period of 16 days years. While nests are usually located above the high tide mark, spills can occur as a result of an extreme weather event which can cause oiling of nest areas. The zone above the nest is important for gas exchange and coating with oil can prevent this process occurring resulting in suffocation of eggs/hatchlings. It would also create a barrier for hatchlings to swim to the surface. Tests have shown that eggs impacted by fresh oil early during incubation show deformities and eggs oiled in the last half or quarter have a significant decrease in survival. The effect of oil that has naturally weathered for a few weeks prior to impact to shorelines may have little effect on nest or eggs. Use of heavy machinery or vehicles will compact nests and compromise hatchlings. In-water and beach oiling via ingestion, inhalation and contact risks.	Low drift fencing and pit traps techniques could be used to capture hatchlings remotely providing nesting females did not compromise trapping or were placed at risk of entanglement. If females are still nesting, then hand capture of hatchlings should be attempted; this would require stationing of personnel every 1–200 m along a beach patrolling through the evening and early morning to detect and collect hatchlings. Hatchlings would need very fast transport to a suitable release site. Air transport to release site within 12 hours is essential.

Species group	Strategy considerations	Strategy options
	Hatchlings are large and vigorous (Pendoley pers. com. from tracking trials hatchlings) are suspected to move to inter-island or coastal habitats. Hatchlings are suspected to quickly move offshore. Hatchlings may be compromised if captured and held for long periods.	
Hawksbill turtle	Found around reefs coastal areas and lagoons. Feeds on sponges, anemones and crustaceans. Very high protection priority as is endangered in an international context. Inter-nesting period is 3–5 years. Hatchlings are quite small compared to green turtles and flatback turtles.	
Loggerhead turtle	Found on shallow continental shelf and coastal bays. Feeds on molluscs and crustaceans. A high protection priority.	
Leatherback turtle	Pelagic ocean species. Sightings have occurred from WA coastal and offshore waters. Their massive size makes in-water capture extremely difficult.	
Dugong	Dugongs are relatively common in protected coastal waters that less than 10 m in depth. Population data is limited. Exmouth Gulf has been identified from aerial surveys to hold a significant population with most sightings at the southern and eastern end of the gulf adjacent to mangrove areas. At Barrow Island, surveys show significant numbers in Bandicoot Bay and near the Southern Barrow Shoals, however, is sighted all around the island. It is likely that similar habitats in the Pilbara will hold similar numbers of dugongs.	Dugongs can be captured by in water methods from vessels in certain conditions. They have been known to succumb to stress from temporary holding. Pre-emptive capture and transport is not considered viable. Hazing/herding away from oil slicks is a possible strategy but may only be viable in spills of small to moderate size. Aerial spotting with an aircraft and herding using vessels should be attempted if Dugongs are at risk of oiling.
Cetaceans Dolphins	Aerial surveys indicate dolphin species can be found widely from inshore coastal to offshore areas. Bottlenose and Indo Pacific Humpback dolphins are commonly seen inshore.	Acoustic hazing using towed seismic arrays under soft start protocols may be viable (consult with SME). Difficult to capture in water and are fast swimming.
Sea snakes	Most sea snakes are venomous but are not generally considered aggressive (except when covered in oil). Sea snakes appear to be susceptible to oiling from spills.	Capture and cleaning of oiled animals is possible. They should be cleaned in a similar process to birds.

7.10 In-situ Burning

VOGA does not consider in-situ burning as a suitable response option because the flash point of Wandoo crude (144°C) means that the oil is not amenable to being ignited in-situ.

7.11 Scientific Monitoring

7.11.1 Description of Scientific Monitoring

Direction of information flow is primarily from operational monitoring to scientific monitoring. Operational monitoring inform the scientific monitoring receptor studies in terms of their initiation criteria, and through provision of essential information to guide their mobilisation and establishment. Key information is the location and extent of hydrocarbons, and location and extent of impacted receptors.

Scientific monitoring is used to quantify the impact of associated response strategies, such as the use of chemical dispersants and shoreline cleanup. This information will also be provided by the oil spill monitoring response Monitoring Coordinator to the VOGA Planning Chief.

This OPEP contains the VOGA Oil Spill Monitoring Bridging Implementation Plan [WAN-2000-0001.04] as part of the supporting documents and plans. This document is the link between the Joint Industry Operational and Scientific Monitoring Framework, the Joint Industry Operational and Scientific Monitoring Supplementary Agreement and Vermilion's OPEP.

7.11.2 Operational Constraints for Scientific Monitoring

Operational constraints that may arise during scientific monitoring include:

- Access to remote islands and mainland beaches
- Biosecurity issues associated with moving people and equipment between remote islands and the mainland
- Access to sites (habitat, terrain, distance from the mainland, landing/mooring sites for vessels)
- Transport of equipment to remote sites
- Weather and sea state
- Available daylight
- Hazardous wildlife.

PART 3: Performance Management

Section 8 – Assurance and Capability Management

8.1 Overview

VOGA manages oil pollution response capability and assurance requirements through:

- Training and competency of key response personnel and contractors
- Assessing capability against response requirements
- Assurance tasks such as exercises and third-party inspections.

8.2 Training and Competency

Element 5 of VOGA's Health, Safety and Environment Management System (HSE MS) is training and competency and within that element, the Personnel Selection, Placement and Competency Assurance Manual [VOG-1000-MN-0004] outlines how VOGA manages the training of personnel. On-site Emergency Response personnel are trained in emergency control and leadership to ensure they are suitability prepared for decision making in an emergency situation.

Training requirements are identified for On-site Command and Incident Command teams to ensure rotate testing of scenarios and equipment. Records are kept to track the completed training of personnel.

Each member of VOGA's ICT will have completed incident management training as outlined in Table 8-1, giving them basic competencies and therefore requisite skills to undertake their required incident response roles. At a minimum, this means a course in ICT management for ICT members, with planning, operations, logistics, finance chief roles, and Incident Commanders having completed a training course in ICT command and control.

Table 8-1: VOGA ICT OSR training

	Incident Commander	Planning Chief	Operations Chief	Logistics Chief	Finance Chief	ICT support roles	WNB Field Superintendent
Introduction to oil spills – familiarisation session	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Manage Emergency Incidents (PMAOHS511A)	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓
PMAOMIR320 or IMO Level 2 in OSR	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	-
IMO Level 3 in OSR or PMAOMIR418	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-

The Planning Chief is supported by an Environmental unit, with the minimum competency requirement for the Environment Unit Team Leader role being a tertiary qualification in marine

or environmental science (or equivalent) and a mimimum three years in an environmental role in the oil and gas and/or marine industry. Specialised skill-sets such as those required for monitoring (e.g. fluorometry, shoreline surveys), aerial observation, OWR and specialised equipment operations will be sourced from the resources available within the AMOSC Core Group, AMSA National Response Team and ESC Network, the DoT State Response Team or service providers.

8.3 Capability

8.3.1 Determining Requirements

VOGA's capability for OSR are the arrangements, contracts, MOUs, directories and agreements in place with service providers who may be involved in response efforts for an oil spill incident, assessed against worst-case scenario and documented under the OSR Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009].

Determining capability for OSR requires an understanding of the strategies to be implemented and the associated resources. Resources required to support the implementation of each response strategy are identified in this OPEP. VOGA has chosen to identify capability required for Category E and F spills in the Wandoo Field Oil Pollution Emergency Plan [WAN-2000-RD-0001.02] with the assumption that a capability which applies to worst-case spill scenarios should be sufficient in terms of resource preparedness for the spill scenarios in this Exploration OPEP.

8.3.2 Assessment of Capability

Capability assessment is a step in the response planning process described in Figure 3-1. Once a capability is defined, arrangements are then tested to ensure it can be achieved and that risks are being managed to ALARP. If testing suggests the capability cannot be achieved, then an assessment of the response strategy and specified capability is undertaken.

The following questions are considered to determine capability requirements to support management of risk to ALARP:

- Are there additional tasks that can be undertaken for this strategy?
- When and where the resource would be required, based on the results of consequence modelling (e.g., time to impact, thresholds and probability)?
- How long would the resources be required for use?
- Where and what are the size of stockpiles available to VOGA?
- What are the mobilisation times for equipment from these stockpiles and contractor resources?
- What are the logistical requirements for safe deployment of materials and equipment?
- Is there any benefit of either increasing resource and/or reducing mobilisation times?
- What are the costs of either increasing resource and/or reducing mobilisation times?
- Is there any benefit in increasing the amount of resources?
- Is there a better way to undertake activities associated with this strategy?

8.3.3 Worst Case Requirements

The loss of well control scenario based on a 26,678 m^3 surface release assumes a 35 day uncontrolled release of hydrocarbons which by the nature and scale of the spill event would require resources for the duration of the spill event and post-source control. This scenario can be considered the worst case in terms of the quantity and duration resources are required for this activity.

8.3.4 Maintaining Capability for the Duration of a Response

Capability for resources are maintained for the duration of a response through the IAP process. The IAP process provides the ICT with the ability to forecast resource requirements based on real time incident data. Capability assurance will be monitored and adjusted if required to suit to the particular situation with resources for response operations beyond day 20 being identified and provided for.

The Resources Unit within the Planning Section of the ICT monitors response capability by:

- Completing a daily stocktake of resources available, enroute, deployed and no longer serviceable
- Requesting capability statements from service providers in an incident
- Comparing resource requirements of the strategies to be undertaken in the IAP with resources available.

If the capability cannot be achieved then response strategies will be reviewed as part of the IAP process to identify alternative response strategies that reduce risks to ALARP.

Resource requirements for response operations beyond 20 days are difficult to quantify, however, the scale of resources required for loss of well control incidents can be estimated by using the OSTM outputs as a guide to the geographic extent to which a response may evolve. Additional staging areas may need to be established to accommodate the geographical range of operations such as for OWR activities or shoreline cleanup. This will require access to wharf space, laydown areas for equipment, decontamination areas, waste storage and logistical support for additional personnel.

Dispersant stocks required beyond 20 days will require the support of the OSRL Global Dispersant Stockpile or just in time manufacture. The logistical planning required for activating manufacturing will require an analysis of ongoing requirements as soon as the scale of the incident is known. Time allowance will need to be made for sourcing seed ingredients, manufacture, packing and transport. As such, it is essential that if dispersant is an ongoing strategy that a member of the ICT is tasked with determining required volumes. The IPIECA Oil Spoil Response Joint Industry Project (JIP) Dispersant Logistics and Supply Planning will assist in this task. Supporting studies for dispersant volumes are provided in the OSR Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009].

8.3.5 Monitoring of Capability

Capability can be demonstrated by the creation and testing of mechanisms to access and activate resources during a spill response. These include the testing of contracts, agreements, MOUs, and directories to demonstrate VOGA's capability to implement the response strategies.

Audits and monitoring are a key aspect of this OPEP. Table 8-3 provides VOGA with the opportunity to ensure that the capability put in place in place during the planning phase is maintained over the duration of activities within the Wandoo Field and are heightened during times of higher risk activities (e.g. well construction).

8.4 Assurance Activities

8.4.1 Overview

The two key performance and assurance activities are:

- Exercises
- Inspections and audits.

These activities can either be conducted internally or by a third party, including another titleholder, equipment/resource provider or OSR agency.

Exercises enable the IAP processes, team interfaces and equipment deployment to be tested to enable continuous improvement of response planning or third-party expertise. Exercises can either be desktop, simulated events or full-scale equipment deployment.

Inspections and audits are conducted to test and provide assurance to assumptions and commitments made within this plan and capability assessment.

8.4.2 Exercises

The VOGA Wandoo ERP [VOG-2000-RD-0017] ensures that strategies are in place in to manage emergencies. The VOGA Emergency Response Schedule [VOG-1100-YH-0001] addresses the scope and requirements for conducting exercises for the on-site and incident command teams.

Exercises are part of the training standards identified in the VOGA Emergency Response Schedule include:

- Onsite command exercises (Level 1): An exercise that involves the on-site command team scenario of any severity (may be conducted as a desktop exercise).
- ICT exercises (Level 2): Exercise of any severity, must involve exercising the ICT with an IAP being generated (may be conducted as a desktop exercise) and may involve one or more organisations or external agencies.
- Crisis Management (Level 3): An exercise of any severity that involves Corporate Command Team whose focus is on business continuity and media/investor relations. For these exercises, corporate communications and business continuity plans may be prepared.

VOGA uses exercises to demonstrate the ability to fulfil its roles and responsibilities in terms of emergency response to all incidents, including oil spill incidents. The overall aim of exercises is to drive continual improvement through:

- Providing situational experience for ICT personnel and enabling them to be aware of their assigned roles and responsibilities during a response
- Assessing the effectiveness, achievability and timeliness of incident action planning for the duration of expected response
- Testing interfaces between teams and deployment of equipment and resources.

The Australian Disaster Resilience Handbook Managing Exercises (AIDR, 2023) and the accompanying exercise templates are used to assist in the planning, preparation, delivery and evaluation of internally run exercises. A copy of the templates is held in Appendix C.

The VOGA Emergency Response Schedule [VOG-1100-YH-0001] provides details regarding the reporting of recommendations arising out of exercises including changes of procedure, corrective actions and new guidelines. Table 8-2 presents the exercise schedule.

Table 8-2: Testing schedule for well exploration activities

Objectives that VOGA will test	Evidence and supporting documentation required	Within 3 months before well exploration activity starts	Within 3 months after a significant change to spill profile
Aim 1: Provide situational experience for command team personnel and enabling them to be aware of their assigned roles and responsibilities during a response			
To provide an oil spill event to test the on-site or incident command team roles specifically listed in Table 8-1.	VOGA ICT exercise scenario description and attendance list.	✓	-
Aim 2: Assess the effectiveness, achievability and timeliness of incident action planning for the duration of expected response			
Test the incident response cycle.	VOGA ICT exercise scenario description, IAP and decision/event log and post scenario lessons learned.	✓	✓
Aim 3: Testing interfaces and deployment of equipment and resources			
To assess that the ICT are aware of notification protocols in place to contact other agencies, regulatory authorities and OSRAs and OSROs.	VOGA ICT exercise scenario description, IAPs, decision/event log and stakeholder/notification contact list.	✓	✓
Test the mobilisation ability and logistic assumptions around equipment and personnel movement, timings and capability.	Updates to OSR Capability Review as per Table 9-1, based on lessons learned provided to or sourced by VOGA.	✓	✓

8.4.3 Inspections and Audits

8.4.3.1 Overview

VOGA's auditing schedule includes all elements of VOGA's HSE MS, including environment performance. The auditing schedule includes three types of auditing processes:

- Internal inspections of VOGA's response capabilities and commitments
- External inspections of VOGA's response capabilities and commitments
- Inspections of third-party providers.

8.4.3.2 Inspections of VOGA Commitments

HSE MS compliance audits are conducted regularly to review management standards relating to Management, Leadership and Policy, Risk Assessments and Case to Operate, Management of Change, Training and Competency, Emergency Preparedness (including OPEPs), Management and Response, and Incident Management.

VOGA's auditing schedule includes all elements of VOGA's HSE MS, including environment performance. The auditing schedule includes three types of auditing processes:

- Internal audits are conducted by relevant VOGA stakeholders such as the Managing Director, Operations Manager and/or HSES Advisor and are focused on VOGA systems, processes and resources
- External audits are conducted by a third-party provider and are considered a "deep dive" auditing process that reviews the system design completeness and adequacy, implementation adequacy and effectiveness
- Contractor audits are focused on the activities managed by the contracting party.

8.4.3.3 Inspections of Third-Party Providers

The inspection of third-party providers is focused on confirming that systems and processes are in place to meet response expectations within some or all of the following areas:

- Equipment: maintenance management, logistics, training, readiness for activation/deployment.
- People: training and competency management, quantity and availability.
- Activation process: notification processes, activation and mobilisation of people and equipment, exercises and testing.
- Documentation: contracts, agreements, specialist services, authorisation lists, capturing of learning and input into training materials.
- Management and organisation: organisational management of change process, lessons learnt, contracts and liability.

The frequency of the inspection is dependent on VOGA's activity, requirements of mutual aid partners and size/capability of the organisation and is provided in Table 8-3.

Actions for third parties are provided in a report and progress followed at a liaison meeting or the next inspection.

Table 8-3: Inspection frequency and scope

Item	Third party OSR providers				
	OSR organisations	Key OSMP provider	OWR agency	Local/Regional oil spill equipment provider	Global dispersant
Frequency	3-yearly	3-yearly	3-yearly	2-yearly	3-yearly
Equipment: Maintenance management, logistics, training, readiness for activation/deployment	Included	Included	Included	Included	Included
People: Training and competency management, quantity and availability	Included	Included	Included	Included	-
Activation process: Notification processes, activation and mobilisation of people and equipment, exercises and testing	Included	Included	Included	Included	Included
Documentation: Contracts, agreements, specialist services, authorisation lists, capturing of learning and input into training materials	Included	Included	Included	-	Included
Management and organisation: Organisational management of change process, lessons learnt, contracts and liability	Included	-	-	-	-

8.5 Action Management

Recommendations arising out of OPEP reviews, capability reviews, inspections and audits are reviewed and divided into the following categories:

- Those that need to be addressed immediately
- Those that can be actioned and addressed in the cycle of document review and amendment, or
- Those that are not considered appropriate to be incorporated or not relevant to the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP and are not actioned.

Where recommendations are to address an increase spill response, risk exposure identifies interim measures to manage the risk to ALARP, whilst any agreed action is being completed.

Section 9 – Continuous Improvement

The OPEP may require reviews:

- Following a significant change to a spill risk profile
- Following significant changes to OSR capability or performance standards
- Post-significant event (i.e. incident, change to risk profile, change to activities, change to preparedness/capability both within VOGA and external service providers).

Reviews will take into account any issues arising from events or exercises, any changes in legislation, and also incorporate findings and recommendations from industry incidents locally and globally (if and when information is made public).

Recommendations arising out of OPEP reviews, capability reviews, inspections, audits are reviewed, assessed and considered for implementation if it is consistent with the ALARP principles outlined in the VOGA EPs. A schedule of reviews is provided in Table 9-1.

Table 9-1: Review schedule for well exploration activites

Objective	Activity type	Within 3 months before activity starts	During activity	Annually
To ensure that all contacts in the OPEP are regularly checked and updated	Contacts list check	✓	-	✓
To ensure that all service providers retain capability	Review OSR Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009]	✓	-	✓
	Contractor readiness reports	-	✓	-
To ensure that response strategies are consistent with oil spill mitigation requirements	OPEP review	-	-	✓

PART 4: Activation of Oil Pollution Emergency Plan – what to do if an oil spill occurs

WHAT TO DO IF AN OIL SPILL OCCURS

OIL SPILL RESPONSE ACTIONS



OIL SPILL RESPONSE PRIORITIES

Consistent with the NatPlan, the priorities for VOGA in responding to an oil spill will be:

- Human health and safety
- Habitat and cultural resources
- Rate and/or endangered flora and fauna
- Commercial resources
- Amenities.

SPILL TYPE, OIL POLLUTION PLAN NUMBER AND CORRESPONDING QUICK GUIDE

Spill type	Oil Pollution Plan #	Credible upper spill volume	Product type	VOGA ERP incident level	National Plan incident level
Vessel collision	OPP 1	300 m^3	Diesel	Level 1	Level 1
Loss of well control	OPP 2	762 m^3/day (26,678 m^3)	Wandoo crude	Level 3	Level 3

FLOWCHART 1: OIL SPILL RESPONSE INITIAL ACTIONS AND ASSESSMENT



FLOWCHART 2: OIL SPILL RESPONSE REPORTING



* WNB Field Superintendent responsible for NOPSEMA Environment notifications for all petroleum activities within Permit Area WA-14L.
MODU Operator is responsible for all safety notifications to NOPSEMA for incidents resulting from activities under the control of the MODU operator.

PART 5: Oil Pollution Plans

Section 10 – Oil Pollution Plan 1 (Diesel Spill)

10.1 Instructions

- Complete the initial actions and notifications in Part 4 for activating the OPEP.
- Work through the initial incident action plan Table 10-1.
- Check off tasks that have been undertaken using Table 10-2.
- Generate a SIMA utilising the VOGA oil response tools.
- Check which OSMPs are required to be activated.
- Transition into incident IAP process.

10.2 Initial Incident Action Plan

Table 10-1: OPP Initial IAP

Incident Action Plan objectives:	Ascertain extent of spill
	Prevent impact to sensitive resources
Protection priorities	**Oil spill response strategies** (Means of accomplishing objectives)
	1. Monitor and evaluate
	2. Wildlife response

Strategies	**Tactics** (What is planned to be done?)	**Tasks** (See Table 10-3 and Table 10-5 for guidance)
Monitor and evaluate	Visual observation from vessel	Provide initial situational awareness to the PIC
		Ongoing situational awareness
	Oil spill trajectory modelling	Activate RPS modelling contract
		Manual trajectory model
	Aerial observation	Activate assets to fly as soon as possible in daylight hours only
		Analysis of aerial observation
	Situational awareness	Collection and analysis of real-time data – weather, tides, oil characteristics, presence of wildlife etc.
	Operational and scientific monitoring	Collection and analysis of OSM data.
Oiled wildlife response	Wildlife first strike response and reconnaissance	Aerial reconnaissance
		Marine reconnaissance
	Mobilisation of wildlife resources	Shoreline reconnaissance – SCAT surveys

Table 10-2: Task checklist for diesel spills

OPP 1 DIESEL SPILL – TASK CHECKLIST (first 24 hours)			
	Timeframe	**Who**	**Completed**
Tasking checklist vessel			
Start and maintain personal log.	Immediately on spill detection	Vessel Master	
Inititate vessel SOPEP for diesel spills	Immediately on spill detection	Vessel Master	
Verify that relevant notifications have been made (i.e. NOPSEMA, DoT if the potential for a State response).	Within 2 hours of spill detection	Vessel Master	
Tasking checklist VOGA Emergency Management Response – Perth ICT (timeframe is on notification of spill)			
Visual observation from aircraft (in daylight hours only) has been arranged.	Within 2 hours	Logistics Chief Perth ICT	
Convene planning meeting to confirm and document: • Incident response aim • Priorities and objectives • Strategies • Priority resources required to be requested.	Within 3 hours	Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Commission RPS to undertake real-time modelling to determine trajectory and fate of oil.	Within 3 hours	Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Obtain available data re: • Weather • Tides/currents • Topography and shoreline • Environmental sensitivity data • Spill trajectory (observed or by modelling) • Oil data (character and behaviour) • Community issues • Action taken to date.	Within 3 hours	Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Activate operational and scientific monitoring service providers through OSRL call off form.	Within 4 hours	IC in conjunction with Planning Chief and Environment Unit Leader (EUL)	
Complete Preliminary SIMA to identify indicative response options and protection priorities (based on Strategic SIMA).	Within 6 hours	EUL and Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Activate priority resources (labour, equipment, transport and other support) based on outcomes of planning meeting and the initial IAP.	Within 6 hours	Logistics Chief in consultation with Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Identify relevant Tactical Response Plans for protection priorities and request from DoT and Titleholder (based on Preliminary SIMA).	Within 6 hours	EUL and Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Activate Oiled Wildlife Response (OWR) Emergency Response Plans (WAOWRP and POWRP), including: • VOGA Oiled Wildlife Commander (Wildlife Division Coordinator [WDC]).	Within 6 hours	Planning Chief	

OPP 1 DIESEL SPILL – TASK CHECKLIST (first 24 hours)			
	Timeframe	**Who**	**Completed**
Monitor the response by scheduling and undertaking regular briefings/debriefings of ICT using the SMEACS format.	Every 6 hours or as necessary	IC in conjunction with Planning Chief ICT	
Issue regular SITREPS (include DoT if spill has the potential to enter State waters).	Every 6 hours or as necessary	Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Monitor OH&S performance through Section 17 of Part 6.	Ongoing	Safety Officer	
Transition to IAP cycle as per Section 6.	Within 24 hours	IC Perth ICT	
Determine OWR response level as per Section 7.9	Within 12 hours	Planning Chief	
Activate OWR first strike response kits to be delivered to the most appropriate staging areas for POWRP operational sectors 6-14. Locations will be confirmed based on OSTM at the time of the spill and the initial SIMA.	Within 24 hours	Logistics Chief	
Mobilise 2 x OWR containers to be delivered to Dampier.	Within 24 hours	Logistics Chief	
Request aerial, marine and shoreline wildlife surveillance.	Within 24 hours	WDC through Logistics Chief	
Mobilise OWR personnel.	Within 24 hours	WDC through Logistics Chief	

10.2.1 Monitoring and Evaluation Response Plan Strategy

Table 10-3: Monitor and evaluate

Task	Guidance
Visual observation from vessel	
Provide an initial situational awareness to the PIC	To initiate this strategy, the PIC of a vessel where the spill has occurred will (if safe to do so) organise for an observer to monitor the spill and communicate information regarding the appearance of the oil, area covered and if the spill has ceased. This process is depicted in Flowchart 1. Observer on scene to record and report to PIC on vessel (who then provides information to Planning Chief) the following. • Estimate the percentage cover by colour; silver, rainbow, black/dark brown, or brown/orange. • Is there wildlife in or near the spill? • Are there other vessels or activities occurring within or near the spill? • Is it possible to confirm if the spill is continuous?
Ongoing situational awareness	As directed by Planning Chief, provide updates on what the spill looks like, area covered, presence of wildlife or other activities.
Operational and scientific monitoring	
Activate OSRL OSM Supplementary Agreement	Log onto OSRL OSM Document Management Portal and download call off form to activate OSM Service. Utilise OSTM in OPEP and then real time OSTM to identify monitoring locations and OMs and SMs to be activated.

Task	Guidance
Oil spill trajectory modelling	
Activate RPS contract	OSTM is an essential tool used by the Environment Unit in the Planning Team to determine resources at risk and protection priorities. Planning Chief in liaison with Logistics Chief activates the RPS contract for real time trajectory modelling: 1) Complete the modelling request form with as much detail as possible to allow for generation of modelling results and outputs. 2) Call the RPS on 0408 477 196 to advise the RPS Duty Officers that they are now activated and a trajectory modelling request will be sent to them via email. Please note that the call to the RPS Duty Officers must be made as the email account is not monitored 24/7. 3) Send completed request form to RPS Duty Officers via email at rpsresponse@rpsconsulting.com 4) Follow up the email with a phone call to the RPS Duty Officers to confirm email receipt and contents of the email (i.e. the modelling request form) are correct. In the event the email was not received a secondary/backup email address can be used (rpsresponse@rpsconsulting.com). 5) The RPS Duty Officers will undertake the modelling as per the modelling request form provided. Should any of the incident details change, as further information becomes available, please call the RPS Duty Officers to inform them of the change. Follow this call up with an email confirming the change in details for the modelling. 6) Model outputs will be forwarded from the RPS Duty Officers to the requesting client officer as quickly as possible. The results will be transmitted by email to the requesting client officer and copied to the designated parties as identified by the client officer. The results may be passed on via a number of means including email attachment and/or FTP site. 7) Once the modelling results have been received from RPS, call or email the RPS Duty Officer to inform them that the results have been received. 8) If extra advice is sought in regards to interpreting the trajectory modelling output, please follow up with a call to the RPS Duty Officers for further clarification. RPS will require details collected through the situational awareness task such as real time weather, sea state, and oil type spilled.
Manual trajectory model	While waiting for the RPS output use a navigation chart to manually plot the anticipated trajectory of the spill. Trajectory = 3% of the wind vector plus the current vector. Procedure: for each hour add the current velocity vector (in m or km) to 3% of the wind vector.
Aerial observation	
Activate assets to fly as soon as possible in daylight hours only	Upon notification of a spill the Planning Chief requests the Logistics Chief to activate contracts with CHC and Karratha Flying Services. Fixed wing aircraft (preferably over wing configuration) or helicopters to provide personnel with the means to observe and record details of oil on water. Request flight as soon as possible. Pilots or observers be provided with information on the anticipated location of the slick (e.g. from OSTM output). If possible, use aircraft already in the area to provide situational awareness.

Task	Guidance
Secure observers	If trained observers are not available within the timeframe for initial reconnaissance flight, use untrained aerial observers for initial situational awareness. Secure trained aerial observers to quantify amount of oil on water and geographical spread.
Data to be collected – conduct flight as soon as possible in daylight hours only	Aerial observation template forms are to be provided to observers along with a digital camera for video and photos. Observer is to obtain location details (coordinates) from pilot and note these for images and extent of slick. Information is to be provided back to the Planning Chief as soon as possible after the flight has landed. This could be done initially via verbal briefing from the observer and followed up by email or fax of completed observation template.
Ongoing surveillance	Logistics Chief secure appropriate aircraft to undertaken aerial observation activities twice a day – morning and afternoon until advised otherwise by Planning Chief.
Situational awareness	
Collect real-time and predicted data to enter on status boards in ICT; ongoing updates.	Status boards in ICT require the following information (sourced and entered by situation unit leader): • Real-time and predicted weather and sea-state conditions – source from BoM • Real-time and predicted tidal and current movements – source from BoM, websites • Oil characteristics – properties of the oil spilled and predicted behaviour after weathering • Predicted trajectory of oil based on modelling conducted for planning and verified by real time modelling • Resources at risk of being oiled sourced from OPEP • Navigation charts to plot location of vessel.
Incident action planning	At the completion of the monitoring and evaluation tasks, the Planning Chief will review information gathered provide a recommendation to the Incident Commander for future monitoring and evaluation tasks.
Effectiveness guidance for response strategy	Information is available for the ICT: • Quality of information • Consistent reporting • Regular up-to-date information • Methodology and frequency may be altered to increase effectiveness.
Decide on which shorelines will be surveyed for wildlife reconnaissance	SCAT teams led by trained SCAT team leaders from OSRL identify shoreline oiling and provide advice back to the Planning Chief on recommended response strategies as per Operational Monitoring Plan 6 through the OSRL Operational and Scientific Monitoring Supplemental Agreement. Planning Chief is to brief Operations Chief on information that is required to be collected by the SCAT teams which sit within the Shoreline Operations Unit. Operational cleanup teams follow the SCAT teams to implement the recommendations of the SCAT teams (AMOSC, AMSA NRT, WA DoT SRT, OSRL, labour providers). They will require cleanup equipment, waste instructions, logistics and admin support.

Task	Guidance
	Scientific Monitoring teams are deployed to gather pre and post spill environmental data for utilisation in longer term environmental impact studies.
Ongoing shoreline assessment	OMP6 – Shoreline assessment. Shorelines are assessed as to their level of hydrocarbon stranding, and priority for cleanup on a daily basis if possible using the SCAT template. SCAT teams are to be deployed to provide situational awareness back to the Planning Chief via the shoreline oiling templates. Planning to provide the templates to be completed, the segmented shorelines on a map or aerial photo, camera, GPS unit and logbook to SCAT teams. The number of SCAT teams required depends on the size of the affected area and complexity of the habitats to be surveyed. The required turnaround time for the information can also influence the number of SCAT teams deployed. For example, if shoreline response/coordination centre requires data for an area to prepare the assignments for the next day, then all available teams may be deployed to that location. The UK SCAT Manual (Moore, 2004) suggests that for a small-scale operation, where a spill that affects less than 50 km of coast, it could be surveyed in one to two days with one or two teams. A spill in a larger area or one that would require a longer coastal survey probably would involve more field teams and office-based data management support. It is important to remember that some sections of shoreline may need to be resurveyed if oiling conditions change on a daily basis.
Analysis of resources required	For planning purposes in this OPEP, it is assumed that SCAT teams will be made up of three team members and that they can cover approximately 10 km per day (based on similar numbers in The UK Scat Manual; Moore, 2004). This will depend on accessibility and environmental conditions, however, it provides a basis for resource planning. Based on these figures and OSTM outputs, some degree of shoreline survey activity within the first two days. This may be able to be carried out by one to two teams with resurvey on a daily basis if required. SCAT will be led by OSRL and supported by the VOGA ICT. Ground surveys can be guided and supplemented by aerial observation surveys. The cumulative number of teams and personnel required will need scaling according to the complexity and nature of the shoreline oiling. It is difficult to estimate the required resources for this type of response strategy without having real time spill data. For planning purposes, the worst case shoreline oiling results from the OSTM studies have been used to provide some direction as to the maximum resourcing that may potentially be required. Capability determination details are documented in the OSR Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009]. Refine numbers by segment shoreline to work out where to send teams and then work out number of people required. Relies on OSRA and DoT environmental sensitivity data. A specialist Shoreline Division Commander will be used to: • Coordinate basic training to cleanup contractors • Oversee the cleanup process to ensure appropriate procedures are used to minimise the impact on the environment • Provide advice on practical precautions to minimise contact with flora and fauna • Assist with the SIMA process when selecting spill response strategies and to evaluate the impact of strategies • The number of staff and teams required will vary according to the sensitivities being protected.

Table 10-4: Monitor and evaluate minimum resource requirement

Means/task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days
Visual observation – from vessel	Identify extent and direction of oil, visual characteristics. Ground truth OSTM.	1 x Observer	Immediate	1 x Observer
Visual observation – from chartered vessels	Identify extent and direction of oil, visual characteristics. Ground truth OSTM.	1 x Vessel 1 x Observer	Mobilise immediately	1 x Vessel 1 x Observer
Visual observation – from aircraft	Identify extent and direction of oil, visual characteristics. Ground truth OSTM.	1 x Observer 1 x Aircraft 1 x Aerial support base	Daylight only, 2 hours	1 x Observer 1 x Aircraft 1 x Aerial support base
Determination of surface and dispersed oil trajectory and fate	Identify the likely trajectory and fate of the spill and dispersed oil, timeframes for the oil (surface or dispersed) to interact with environmental sensitivities.	Contract with technical provider, or in-house provision of OSTM	Requested within 3 hours	Updated OSTM at 48 hours
Shoreline assessment (SCAT teams)	Shorelines are assessed as to their level of hydrocarbon stranding, and priority for cleanup.	3 x SCAT teams	48 hours on site	10 x SCAT teams

10.2.2 Oiled Wildlife Response Strategy

10.2.2.1 Wildlife First Strike Response

Table 10-5: Wildlife first strike response

Task	Guidance
Activate WAOWRP and POWRP	Call the DBCA State Duty Officer on telephone **(08) 9219 9108.** The DBCA State Duty Officer will notify an OWA. Appoint a Wildlife Division Coordinator. First strike response activities may be undertaken within the Environment Unit of the Planning section until a Wildlife Division Coordinator is actually in the ICC.
Rapidly assess the situation	Review OSTM – both the model used in response planning and the real time when available. SITREP – reports of wildlife both oiled and active within the response area.
Provide advice to the IMT in relation to the wildlife assets at risk	Wildlife Division Coordinator to undertake. Use POWRP to identify wildlife assets at risk, cross reference with wildlife information contained in SIMA.
Determine the response level	Refer to the WAOWRP and liaise with DBCA to determine response level
Liaise with Oiled Wildlife Advisor	Wildlife Division Coordinator to liaise with OWA.
Gather information from POWRP	Wildlife specific for POWRP operational sectors 7–12 initially then most appropriate operational sectors between days 10 and 20.
Activate first strike response kits	Wildlife Division Coordinator and OWA discuss get approval from IC.

Task	Guidance
	First strike kits are portable and contain equipment to allow stabilisation of wildlife before triage and possible treatment at an oiled wildlife facility. Refer to Table 3 of the POWRP for kit location and access details.

10.2.2.2 Mobilisation of Resources

Table 10-6: Mobilisation of resources

Task	Guidance
Personnel	Activate and mobilise a Wildlife Operations Coordinator to Dampier.
	Activate the AMOSC OWR Industry Team.
	DBCA volunteer database – access through DBCA Duty Officer.
	Labour hire – source personnel with the following skill-sets/abilities: • Work away from home • Work with animals • Work in remote locations • Medically fit. Source unskilled personnel (OWR skill level 1), mobilise to Dampier and conduct induction process and basic training developed by DBCA.
Equipment	Containers for OWR facilities –Dampier to be set up first to service POWRP operational sectors 7 to 12. Planning to be undertaken for staging sites in various locations in addition to holding centres and/or oiled wildlife facilities (small, medium or large) for Exmouth, Onslow or Port Hedland between days 10 and 20. To be confirmed by OSTM and the SIMA process at the time of the spill.
	Support mobilisation of first strike response kits to priority shoreline staging areas – see Table 7-7.

10.2.2.3 Wildlife Reconnaissance

Table 10-7: Wildlife reconnaissance

Task	Guidance
Aerial reconnaissance	• Aerial reconnaissance will be highly beneficial to identify concentrations of wildlife that can then be targeted by foot or boat. • Where possible, combine the aerial surveillance activities undertaken in monitor and evaluate to gain situational awareness of wildlife that has been oiled or is likely to be oiled. • Need to emphasise data flows – make sure the information gathered is shared within the ICT for the IAP and OSMP activities. • Oiled wildlife specific reconnaissance of known habitats and of shoreline that is predicted to be impacted to identify potential for pre-emptive action.
Marine reconnaissance	• Vessel based reconnaissance will be required for islands and mangroves in POWRP operational sectors 6 to 14.
Shoreline reconnaissance	• For stretches of sandy beach, reconnaissance can be conducted by all-terrain vehicle or four-wheel drive. In areas where beach access is not possible via vehicle (i.e. cliffs), reconnaissance by foot will be required. • All coastal access by vehicles on Barrow Island is forbidden unless approval is granted by DBCA.

Task	Guidance
	• See notes regarding access of personnel to Barrow Island – quarantine and induction requirements.

Table 10-8: OPP OWR minimum resources

Means/task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days
Wildlife first strike response	WAOWRP and the POWRP are activated.	N/A – activation task only	Once OPP is activated.	N/A – activation task only
	OWA and Wildlife Division Coordinator are activated and assigned to the ICT.	1 x OWA. 1 x OWR Division Coordinator.	Once WAOWRP is activated.	N/A – activation task only
Mobilisation of resources	First strike response kits are mobilised to staging areas within the POWRP operational sectors 7 to 12.	Vehicles/small trucks to deliver 6 x first strike response kits to operational sectors. Vessels/aircraft to take kits to islands. 1 x OWA. 1 x OWR Division Coordinator.	Within 24 hours.	Mobilisation for Dampier. 1 x OWA. 1 x OWR Division Coordinator.
	Two OWR containers are mobilised to an OWR facility location in Dampier.	2 x OWR containers from Dampier (AMSA) and Fremantle (AMOSC).	Within 24 hours.	2 x OWR containers deployed to Dampier OWR facility.
Wildlife reconnaissance across POWRP operational sectors 7 to 12	Information contained in POWRP and SIMA is ground truthed. Situational awareness regarding wildlife that has been oiled and wildlife present within the path of the spill trajectory is gained.	1 x aerial observation over extent of spill combined with Monitor and Evaluate tasks. 1 x aerial observation over extent of predicted trajectory requires 1 x aircraft. Aerial survey: 1 x observer; 1 x aircraft; 1 x aerial support base for the task. Utilise aerial spill surveillance aircraft and personnel if none available specifically for oiled wildlife reconnaissance.	Concurrently with monitor and evaluate activities. Wildlife-specific reconnaissance within 24 hours.	Aerial survey: 2 x observer; 1 x aircraft (fixed wing or helicopter); 1 x aerial support base for task. Boat based survey: 1 x small vessel (<12 m length) 1 x boat driver; 2 x crew. Shoreline survey: 2 x Quad motorbike or 4WD vehicle; 4 x survey crew. 8 x additional team members.
IAP wildlife sub-plan development	Future OWR activities arrangement developed based on the spill scenario.	1 x OWR Advisor; 1 x OWR Planning officer; 1 x OWR Division Coordinator.	Within 48 hours.	12 x personnel.

Means/task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days
Wildlife rescue and staging	OWR rescue operations should determine the best combination of pre-emptive capture, hazing and the collection and management of oiled wildlife based on resources available. Begin establishing staging site as a logistic base for search and capture teams. Staging areas to be set up in POWRP operational sectors 7 to 12. Then in most appropriate operational sectors as per the OSTM and SIMA analsyis.	Boat based collection/hazing: 1 x small vessel (<12m length); 1 x boat driver; 2 x crew; 2 x capture nets; 10 x cages (depending on vessel deck space and type of oiled wildlife encountered – seabirds are most likely in this timeframe).	Within 72 hours.	Boat based collection/hazing: 1 x vessel (<12 m length); 1 x boat driver; 4 x crew; 4 x capture nets; 50 x cages. Staging site: 1 x OWR kits (AMSA/ AMOSC). 25 x personnel.
Establishment of an oiled wildlife facility	Establish and manage OWR facility in Dampier, Montebello Islands and/or Barrow Island	1 x suitable area for facility pre-identified/ analysed for suitability, i.e. Dampier Sharks Football Club (Pilbara OWRP) or Windy Ridge Oval and Facilities; 2 x OWR containers; existing built facilities or temporarily erected/ installed structures, i.e. marquees (at least 4 m x 4 m), mobile site offices; shower and toilet facilities; laundry facilities or contactor to outsource laundering; resources and equipment as listed in the WAOWRP.	Within 3–4 days for Dampier.	Mobilise resources for oiled wildlife facility. 18 x personnel.

Means/task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days
Wildlife rehabilitation	OWA and OWR Planning officer to gather information on the spill to gauge potential wildlife impacts and therefore long-term rehabilitation requirements. Incorporates OSMP scientific monitoring tasks specific to oiled wildlife.	1 x OWR Planning officer; 1 x OWR Division Coordinator. Activation of OSMP oiled wildlife contractor.	By day 5.	1 x OWR Planning officer; 1 x OWR Division Coordinator. 56 x personnel. Maintenance of OSMP oiled wildlife contractor.
Oiled wildlife termination	Oiled wildlife rescue and rehabilitation of animals is completed. Establish a demobilisation team to ensure all records are forwarded to the logistics section for processing, all facilities are decontaminated, stocks replenished, all waste is removed and all wildlife still in care is transferred to a suitable wildlife rehabilitation facility, i.e. Perth Zoo.	N/A	By day 10.	N/A

Section 11 – Oil Pollution Plan 2 (Loss of Well Control)

11.1 Instructions

- Complete the initial actions and notifications in Part 4 for activating the OPEP.
- Work through the initial incident action plan Table 11-1.
- Check off tasks that have been undertaken using Table 11-2.
- Generate a SIMA utilising the VOGA oil response tools.
- Check which OSMPs are required to be activated.
- Transition into incident IAP process.

11.2 Initial Incident Action Plan

Table 11-1: OPP2 Wandoo Crude loss of well control initial IAP

Incident Action Plan objectives:	Ascertain extent of spill
	Prevent impact to sensitive resources
Protection priorities	**Oil spill response strategies** (Means of accomplishing objectives)
The focus for VOGA pre-planning of response activities for first strike response and initial resource mobilisation is the Pilbara coast and offshore islands between Ashburton River Mouth and De Grey River Mouth. This area is the most likely to be impacted first, most significantly and contains several sensitive locations. Dampier is the site most likely to be required for a forward base. For indicative planning, OSTM analysis indicates that several sensitive locations along the coast from North West Cape to Broome may be impacted to varying levels and require a response between Days 9 and 20. Priorities will be verified in a response with real time trajectory data and analysis of seasonal vulnerabilities through the SIMA process.	1. Monitor and evaluate
	2. Chemical dispersion
	3. Mechanical dispersion
	4. Containment and recovery
	5. Protection and deflection
	6. Shoreline clean-up.
	7. Wildlife Response

Strategies	Tactics (What is planned to be done?)	Tasks
Monitor and evaluate	Visual observation from vessel	Provide initial situational awareness to the PIC
		Ongoing situational awareness
	Deploy satellite tracking buoy	Deploy unit – PIC
		Access real-time data
		Interpret data
	Oil spill trajectory modelling	Activate RPS modelling contract
		Manual trajectory model
	Aerial observation	Activate assets to fly as soon as possible in daylight hours only
		Secure observers
		Data to be collected – conduct flight as soon as possible in daylight hours only
		Ongoing surveillance
	Situational awareness	Collect real-time and predicted data to enter on status boards in ICT
		Obtain satellite imagery
		Preliminary SIMA and incident action planning for guidance on response strategies and protection prioririte s.
		Effectiveness guidance for response strategy
	Shoreline Assessment OM6	Activate OSRL and provide analysis of trajectory modelling
		Analysis of aerial observation and current situational awareness
		Consider constraints
		Decide on which shorelines will be surveyed
		Analysis of resources and logistics required
Chemical dispersion	Aerial dispersant operations	Activate oil spill monitoring arrangements through the OSM-BIP
		Activate aircraft and mobilise dispersant to Karratha Airport within 6 hours of the spill
		Set up operating post at Karratha Airport
		Monitoring dispersant effectiveness of test spray runs using visual observation (refer to OMP4a)
		Arrange for a spotter plane to accompany air tractor
		Arrange for trained Aerial Attack Coordinator (AAC) to be available for test spray run
		Pre-flight briefing
		Test spray run by air tractor
		Monitoring dispersant effectiveness of test spray runs using visual observation (refer to OMP4a)
		Planning Chief to undertake a SIMA of chemical dispersion operations – operational activities

Strategies	Tactics (What is planned to be done?)	Tasks
		Secure trained personnel to run dispersant operation
		Pre-flight briefing
		Ongoing dispersant operations
		Volume of dispersant and number of aircraft required
		Monitoring dispersant effectiveness – ongoing operations (refer to OMP4a)
		Debriefing
		Stockpile management
		Incident action planning
		Effectiveness guidance for response strategy
	Marine dispersant operations	Identify marine operating base
		Source vessel
		Dispersant stocks
		Dispersant spray system
		Arrange for a spotter plane to accompany marine vessel
		Planning Chief to undertake a SIMA of chemical dispersion operations – test run activities
		Test run by marine vessel
		Monitoring dispersant effectiveness (refer to OMP4a)
		Planning Chief to undertake a SIMA of chemical dispersion operations – operational activities
		Ongoing dispersant operations
		Debriefing
		Stockpile management
		Incident action planning
		Effectiveness guidance for response strategy
Mechanical dispersion	Mechanical dispersion operations	Planning Chief to undertake a SIMA of mechanical dispersion operations
		Secure offshore work vessel
		Secure spotter aircraft
		Deploy vessels
		Incident action planning
		Effectiveness guidance for response strategy
Containment and recovery	Offshore and near shore containment and recovery	Planning Chief to undertake a SIMA of containment and recovery operations
		Do weather conditions and sea state permit safe and effective deployment of booms and skimmers?
		Does containment and recovery appear feasible?
		If the decision is made in the ICT to proceed with containment and recovery (based on Planning Chief's recommendation) the following tasks are to be completed.

Strategies	Tactics (What is planned to be done?)	Tasks
		Mobilise vessels suitable for either offshore or near shore operations
		Mobilise booms and skimmers
		Mobilise trained equipment operators
		Spotter plane to direct operations
		Establish a forward operating base for temporary storage of equipment and waste
		Deploy booms, skimmers and temporary waste storage
		Develop waste storage and transport plan
		Incident action planning
		Effectiveness guidance for response strategy
Protection and deflection	Near shore protection and deflection operations	Analysis of trajectory modelling (refer to OMP1) and baseline monitoring data
		Analysis of aerial observation and current situational awareness (refer to OMP1)
		Understanding of real time currents and tides
		Planning Chief undertakes a SIMA for protection and deflection operations
		Determine and source resources required and booming configuration (identify and access relevant Tactical Response Plans for guidance)
		Induction
		Marine vessel transport of people and equipment
		Aerial surveillance and/or transport
		Consider constraints
		Incident action planning
		Effectiveness guidance for response strategy
Shoreline cleanup	Shoreline cleanup operations	Analysis of trajectory modelling (refer to OMP1)
		Analysis of aerial observation and current situational awareness (refer to OMP1)
		Planning Chief undertakes a SIMA for shoreline cleanup operations
		Consider constraints
		Decide on which shorelines will be cleaned and monitored based on SCAT
		Analysis of resources required
		Logistics
		Induction
		Marine vessel transport of people and equipment
		Aerial surveillance and/or transport
		Equipment
		Ongoing shoreline assessment

Strategies	Tactics (What is planned to be done?)	Tasks
		Ongoing cleanup operations
		Waste collection and transport
		Incident action planning
		Effectiveness guidance for response strategy
Oiled wildlife response	Wildlife first strike response	Activate WAOWRP and POWRP
		Rapidly assess the situation
		Provide advice to the ICT in relation to the wildlife assets at risk
		Determine the response level
		Liaise with Oiled Wildlife Advisor
		Gather information from POWRP
		Activate first strike response kits
	Mobilisation of wildlife resources	Personnel
		Equipment
	Wildlife reconnaissance.	Aerial reconnaissance
		Marine reconnaissance
		Shoreline reconnaissance

Table 11-2: Task checklist for loss of well control Wandoo crude

OPP 2 WANDOO CRUDE SPILL – TASK CHECKLIST (first 24 hours)			
	Timeframe	**Who**	**Completed**
Tasking checklist facility/on site			
Start and maintain personal log.	Immediately on spill detection	Vessel Master/ PIC MODU	
Undertake visual observation from off-take vessel, platform and/or vessels of opportunity immediately.	Immediately on spill detection	Observer on site	
Activate and deploy satellite tracking buoy.	Within 30 minutes of spill detection	PIC MODU	
Verify that relevant notifications have been made (i.e. NOPSEMA, DoT if the potential for a State response).	Within 2 hours of spill detection	PIC MODU	
Tasking checklist VOGA Emergency Management Response – Perth ICT (Timeframe is on notification of spill)			
Satellite imagery of the spill to be initiated.	Within 2 hours of a spill	Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Visual observation from aircraft (in daylight hours only) has been arranged.	Within 2 hours	Logistics Chief Perth ICT	
Convene planning meeting to confirm and document: • Incident response aim • Priorities and objectives • Strategies • Priority resources required to be requested.	Within 3 hours	Planning Chief Perth ICT	

OPP 2 WANDOO CRUDE SPILL – TASK CHECKLIST (first 24 hours)			
	Timeframe	**Who**	**Completed**
Commission RPS to undertake real-time modelling to determine trajectory and fate of oil.	Within 3 hours	Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Obtain available data re: • Weather • Tides/currents • Topography and shoreline • Environmental sensitivity data • Spill trajectory (observed or by modelling) • Oil data (character and behaviour) • Community issues • Action taken to date.	Within 3 hours	Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Activate operational and scientific monitoring service providers through the OSRL oil spill monitoring call off form.	Within 4 hours	IC in conjunction with Planning Chief ICT and Environment Unit Leader (EUL)	
Complete Preliminary SIMA to identify indicative response options and protection priorities (based on Strategic SIMA).	Within 6 hours	EUL and Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Activate vessel-based dispersant operations to conduct test spray run and ongoing dispersant operations	Within 6 hours	IC in consultation with Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Activate FWADC via AMSA to conduct test spray run.	Within 6 hours	IC in consultation with Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Mobilise dispersant.	Within six hours	Logistics Chief in consultation with Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Undertake operational SIMA to determine if dispersant strategy will be implemented.	Within 2 hours of completion of test run	EUL and Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Activate priority resources (labour, equipment, transport and other support) based on outcomes of planning meeting and the initial IAP.	Within 6 hours	Logistics Chief in consultation with Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Identify relevant Tactical Response Plans for protection priorities and request from DoT and Titleholder (based on Preliminary SIMA)	Within 6 hours	EUL and Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Activate Oiled Wildlife Response (OWR) Emergency Response Plans (WAOWRP and POWRP) including: • VOGA Oiled Wildlife Commander (Wildlife Division Coordinator [WDC])	Within 6 hours	Planning Chief	

OPP 2 WANDOO CRUDE SPILL – TASK CHECKLIST (first 24 hours)			
	Timeframe	**Who**	**Completed**
Monitor the response by scheduling and undertaking regular briefings/debriefings of ICT using the SMEACS format.	Every 6 hours or as necessary	IC in conjunction with Planning Chief ICT	
Issue regular SITREPS (include DoT if spill has the potential to enter State waters).	Every 6 hours or as necessary	Planning Chief Perth ICT	
Monitor waste volumes and management as per Section 5. If necessary arrange for the development of a Waste Management Plan.	Ongoing	Planning and Operations Chiefs Perth ICT	
Monitor OH&S performance through Section 17 of Part 6.	Ongoing	Safety Officer	
Transition to IAP cycle as per Section 6.	Within 24 hours	IC Perth ICT	
Determine OWR response level	Within 12 hours	Planning Chief	
Activate OWR first strike response kits to be delivered to the most appropriate staging areas for POWRP operational sectors 6 to 14. Locations will be confirmed based on OSTM at the time of the spill and the initial SIMA.	Within 24 hours	Logistics Chief	
Mobilise 2 x OWR containers to be delivered to Dampier	Within 24 hours	Logistics Chief	
Request aerial, marine and shoreline wildife surveillance	Within 24 hours	WDC through Logistics Chief	
Mobilise OWR personnel	Within 24 hours	WDC through Logistics Chief	

11.2.1 Monitoring and Evaluation Response Plan Strategy

Table 11-3: Monitor and evaluate

Task	**Guidance**
Visual observation from vessel or facility	
Provide an initial situational awareness to the PIC	To initiate this strategy, the PIC of a vessel or the Wandoo Facility where the spill has occurred will (if safe to do so) organises for an observer to monitor the spill and communicate information regarding the appearance of the oil, area covered and if the spill has ceased. This process is depicted in Flowchart 1. Observer on scene to record and report to PIC on facility or vessel (who then provides information to Planning Chief) the following: Estimate the percentage cover by colour; silver, rainbow, black/dark brown, or brown/orange. Is there wildlife in or near the spill? Are there other vessels or activities occurring within or near the spill? Is it possible to confirm if the spill is continuous?
Ongoing situational awareness	As directed by Planning Chief, provide updates on what the spill looks like, area covered, presence of wildlife or other activities.

Task	Guidance
Deploy satellite tracking buoy	
Deploy unit – PIC	It is important to deploy a satellite tracking buoy from the Facility as soon as possible after the spill has occurred, so that real-time data can be collected to verify pre-spill trajectory modelling and also be inputted into real-time modelling. PIC on Wandoo B (or delegate) deploys tracking buoy by removing from storage on Wandoo B, turning it on and releasing as close to the spill as possible.Planning Chief to check that this has been done. Additional units deployed every 3 days.
Access real-time data	Planning Chief accesses data from: …….. Access details: Username: …….. Password: ……
Interpret data	Planning Chief uses real-time data and knowledge of sensitivities to estimate spill trajectory and resources that could be impacted. Real time data is also provided to RPS to validate OSTM.
Oil spill trajectory modelling	
Activate RPS contract	OSTM is an essential tool used by the Environment Unit in the Planning Team to determine resources at risk and protection priorities. Planning Chief in liaison with Logistics Chief activates the RPS contract for real time trajectory modelling: Complete the modelling request form with as much detail as possible to allow for generation of modelling results and outputs. Call the RPS on 0408 477 196 to advise the RPS Duty Officers that they are now activated and a trajectory modelling request will be sent to them via email. Please note that the call to the RPS Duty Officers must be made as the email account is not monitored 24/7. Send completed request form to RPS Duty Officers via email at rpsresponse@rpsconsulting.com Follow up the email with a phone call to the RPS Duty Officers to confirm email receipt and contents of the email (i.e. the modelling request form) are correct. In the event the email was not received, a secondary/backup email address can be used (rpsresponse@rpsconsulting). The RPS Duty Officers will undertake the modelling as per the modelling request form provided. Should any of the incident details change, as further information becomes available, please call the RPS Duty Officers to inform them of the change. Follow this call up with an email confirming the change in details for the modelling. Model outputs will be forwarded from the RPS Duty Officers to the requesting client officer as quickly as possible. The results will be transmitted by email to the requesting client officer and copied to the designated parties as identified by the client officer. The results may be passed on via a number of means including email attachment and/or FTP site. Once the modelling results have been received from RPS, call or email the RPS Duty Officer to inform them that the results have been received. If extra advice is sought in regards to interpreting the trajectory modelling output, please follow up with a call to the RPS Duty Officers for further clarification. RPS will require details collected through the situational awareness task such as real time weather, sea state, and oil type spilled.
Manual trajectory model	While waiting for the RPS output use a navigation chart to manually plot the anticipated trajectory of the spill. Trajectory = 3% of the wind vector plus the current vector.

Task	Guidance
	Procedure: for each hour, add the current velocity vector (in m or km) to 3% of the wind vector.
Aerial observation	
Activate assets to fly as soon as possible in daylight hours only	Upon notification of a spill the Planning Chief requests the Logistics Chief to activate contracts with CHC and Karratha Flying Services. Fixed wing aircraft (preferably over wing configuration) or helicopters to provide personnel with the means to observe and record details of oil on water. Request flight as soon as possible. Pilots or observers be provided with information on the anticipated location of the slick (e.g. from OSTM output). If possible use aircraft already in the area to provide situational awareness. Flight time to the Wandoo B platform is 20 minutes (48 nm) based on S76 helicopter (@140 knots).
Secure observers	If trained observers are not available within the timeframe for initial reconnaissance flight use untrained aerial observers for initial situational awareness. Secure trained aerial observers to quantify amount of oil on water and geographical spread.
Data to be collected – conduct flight as soon as possible in daylight hours only	Aerial observation template forms are to be provided to observers along with a digital camera for video and photos. Observer is to obtain location details (coordinates) from pilot and note these for images and extent of slick. Information is to be provided back to the Planning Chief as soon as possible after the flight has landed. This could be done initially via verbal briefing from the observer and followed up by email or fax of completed observation template.
Ongoing surveillance	Logistics Chief secure appropriate aircraft to undertaken aerial observation activities twice a day – morning and afternoon until advised otherwise by Planning Chief.
Situational awareness	
Collect real-time and predicted data to enter on status boards in ICT. Ongoing updates	Status boards in ICT require the following information (sourced and entered by situation unit leader): Real-time and predicted weather and sea-state conditions – source from BoM Real-time and predicted tidal and current movements – source from BoM, websites Oil characteristics – properties of the oil spilled and predicted behaviour after weathering Predicted trajectory of oil based on modelling conducted for planning and verified by real time modelling Resources at risk of being oiled sourced from OPEP Navigation charts to plot location of vessel/MODU/facility.
Obtain satellite imagery	Satellite imagery may be used to assist in ascertaining the extent of the spill. This imagery will be used within the Planning Section to assist in determining resources at risk and protection priorities. High fidelity photographs using different spectrums to identify the trajectory of the oil, ground truth the OSTM, sourced from Landgate or via OSRL. Time to acquire images depends on availability of satellites over the spill site. Landgate to be activated by the VOGA User Representative Contacts (URCs) only.
Incident action planning	At the completion of the monitoring and evaluation tasks, the Planning Chief will review information gathered provide a recommendation to the Incident Commander for future monitoring and evaluation tasks.

Task	Guidance
Effectiveness guidance for response strategy	Information is available for the ICT: • Quality of information • Consistent reporting • Regular up-to-date information • Methodology (satellite tracking buoy, visual observation) and frequency may be altered to increase effectiveness.
Decide on which shorelines will be surveyed	Utilise the OSTM outputs in Section 4 to guide initial decision making on shorelines to be surveyed and cross check this with the real time OSTM sourced based on the actual spill scenario. Provide this information to the SCAT teams led by trained SCAT team leaders from OSRL, AMOSC, DoT and AMSA to identify shoreline oiling and provide advice back to the Planning Chief on recommended response strategies. OSRL will lead SCAT activities as per the Oil Spill Monitoring Bridging Implementation Plan. Planning Chief is to brief Operations Chief on information that is required to be collected by the SCAT teams which sit within the Shoreline Operations Unit. Operational cleanup teams follow the SCAT teams to implement the recommendations of the SCAT teams (AMOSC, AMSA NRT, WA DoT SRT, OSRL, labour providers). They will require cleanup equipment, waste instructions, logistics and admin support. Scientific Monitoring teams are deployed to gather pre and post spill environmental data for utilisation in longer term environmental impact studies. This is led by OSRL upon action of the Oil Spill Monitoring Bridging Implementation Plan.
Ongoing shoreline assessment	OMP6 – Shoreline assessment led by OSRL. Shorelines are assessed as to their level of hydrocarbon stranding, and priority for clean-up on a daily basis if possible using the SCAT template. SCAT teams are to be deployed to provide situational awareness back to the Planning Chief via the shoreline oiling templates. Planning to provide the templates to be completed, the segmented shorelines on a map or aerial photo, camera, GPS unit and logbook to SCAT teams. The number of SCAT teams required depends on the size of the affected area and complexity of the habitats to be surveyed. The required turn-around time for the information can also influence the number of SCAT teams deployed. For example, if shoreline response/coordination centre requires data for an area to prepare the assignments for the next day, then all available teams may be deployed to that location. The UK SCAT Manual (Moore, 2004) suggests that for a small-scale operation, where a spill that affects less than 50 km of coast, it could be surveyed in one to two days with one or two teams. A spill in a larger area or one that would require a longer coastal survey probably would involve more field teams and office-based data management support. It is important to remember that some sections of shoreline may need to be resurveyed if oiling conditions change on a daily basis.
Analysis of resources required	For planning purposes in this OPEP, it is assumed that SCAT teams will be made up of three team members and that they can cover approximately 10 km per day (based on similar numbers in The UK Scat Manual; Moore, 2004). This will depend on accessibility and environmental conditions, however, it provides a basis for resource planning. Based on these figures and OSTM outputs, all spill categories will require some degree of shoreline survey activity within the first four days. This may be able to be carried out by one to two teams with resurvey on a daily basis if required. Ground surveys can be guided and supplemented by aerial observation surveys. The cumulative number of teams and personnel required will need scaling according to the complexity and nature of the shoreline oiling. It is difficult to estimate the required resources for this type of response strategy without having real time spill data.

Task	Guidance
	For planning purposes, the worst case shoreline oiling results from the OSTM studies have been used to provide some direction as to the maximum resourcing that may potentially be required. Capability determination details are documented in the OSR Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009]. Refine numbers by segment shoreline to work out where to send teams and then work out number of people required. Relies on OSRA and DoT environmental sensitivity data. A specialist Shoreline Division Commander will be used to: • Coordinate basic training to cleanup contractors • Oversee the cleanup process to ensure appropriate procedures are used to minimise the impact on the environment • Provide advice on practical precautions to minimise contact with flora and fauna • Assist with the SIMA process when selecting spill response strategies and to evaluate the impact of strategies • The number of staff and teams required will vary according to the sensitivities being protected.

Table 11-4: Monitor and evaluate minimum resource requirements

Means/task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
Visual observation – from platform	Identify extent and direction of oil, visual characteristics. Ground truth OSTM.	1 x Observer.	Immediate	1 x Observer.	1 x Observer (Category E and F).	2 x Observers.
Visual observation – from chartered vessels	Identify extent and direction of oil, visual characteristics. Ground truth OSTM.	1 x Vessel. 1 x Observer.	Mobilise immediately	1 x Vessel. 1 x Observer.	1 x Vessel. 1 x Observer.	1 x Vessel. 1 x Observer.
Visual observation – from aircraft	Identify extent and direction of oil, visual characteristics. Ground truth OSTM.	1 x On-site Incident Commander with oil spill assessment training.	Daylight only, 2 hours	1 x Observer. 1 x Aircraft. 1 x Aerial support base.	1 x Observer. 1 x Aircraft. 1 x Aerial support base.	2 x Observers. 1 x Aircraft. 1 x Aerial support base.
Determination of surface and dispersed oil trajectory and fate	Identify the likely trajectory and fate of the spill and dispersed oil, timeframes for the oil (surface or dispersed) to interact with environmental sensitivities.	OSTM requested through RPS.	Requested within 3 hours	1 x On-site Incident Commander with oil spill assessment training. OSTM requested through RPS	1 x On-site Incident Commander with oil spill assessment training. OSTM requested through RPS	2 x On-site Incident Commanders with oil spill assessment training. OSTM requested through RPS

Means/task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
Satellite imagery	High fidelity photographs using different spectrums to identify the trajectory of the oil, ground truth the OSTM.	Contract with technical provider or access to AMSA technical provider.	Requested within 2 hours	Contract with technical provider or access to AMSA technical provider.	Contract with technical provider or access to AMSA technical provider.	Contract with technical provider or access to AMSA technical provider.
Satellite tracking buoys	Identification of the leading edge/rear edge of the spill.	At least two operational on the facility or vessels within the field. Data site 'back end' to GIS system. Current contract with satellite provider.	Deployed within 30 minutes	At least 4 operational on vessels within the field Data site 'back end' to GIS system. Current contract with satellite provider.	At least 6 operational on vessels within the field. Data site 'back end' to GIS system. Current contract with satellite provider.	At least 8 operational on vessels within the field. Data site 'back end' to GIS system. Current contract with satellite provider.
Shoreline assessment (SCAT teams)	Shorelines are assessed as to their level of hydrocarbon stranding, and priority for cleanup.	18 x OSRL SCAT specialists plus additional team members trained in week 1 via SCAT E-learning training course.	72 hours on site	10 teams of 3 people	10–30 teams of 3 people each	45 teams (of 2 people per team)

11.2.2 Chemical Dispersant Application

11.2.2.1 Aerial Dispersant Operations

Table 11-5: Aerial dispersant application

Task	Guidance
Activate aircraft within 6 hours of the spill	Planning Chief to advise Logistics Chief to advise AMOSC to activate FWADC by calling AMSA RCC on **1800 641 792**. Request four air tractors Mobilise to Karratha Airport. The ICT will consider mobilising the OSRL aircraft to support air tractor operations once situational awareness has been obtained. If it is activated, it will fly into Port Hedland for immigration and customs clearance before proceeding to Karratha to take part in dispersant application operations. The OSRL aircraft will be able to deliver half of the daily dispersant application required in five spray runs, complemented by the activities of four air tractors completing five sorties each. Complete the AMSA/AMOSC Joint Standard Operating Procedure document – the FWADC aircraft won't be tasked for operations until this document is completed.

Task	Guidance
Mobilise dispersant to Karratha Airport within 6 hours of the spill	Planning Chief to confirm with Logistics Chief the volume of dispersant to be mobilised to Karratha Airport. Mobilise an initial minimum of 90 m^3 from available stockpiles to allow provide for at least 2 days dispersant application at a daily rate of 38 m^3 per day. Mobilise a dispersant transfer pump to be able to transfer dispersant from IBCs to aircraft.
Set up operating post at Karratha Airport	Logistics Chief to liaise with Karratha Airport to set up a staging area for dispersant stockpile and transferring dispersant to aircraft. Managed by the Aerotech Liaison Officer (provided by the FWADC contractor).
Complete SIMA to justify test run	Planning Chief completes SIMA pro-forma with what is known about the spill at the time to record justification for testing dispersant. See Appendix E.
Arrange for a spotter plane to accompany air tractor	Logistics Chief to secure a helicopter to or alternative aircraft to provide aerial dispersant spotter duties. Aircraft will be required to fly above the air tractor and advise pilot when to turn spray on and off. Requires communication plan between the two aircraft. Depending on the scale of the application area, additional spotter aircraft may be required to direct air tractors operating in separate areas. If one area of the slick is being treated by the four air tractors, then one spotter helicopter or plane will be used to direct spraying activities.
Arrange for trained AAC to be available for test spray run	AAC to communicate with pilot of air tractor to direct spray operations over the oil slick and to complete the Aerial Dispersant Monitoring Log (OSRL Handbook). Will need AACs for each area of operation if more than one spotter plane is being used.
Pre-flight briefing	Flight planning forms and manifests to be lodged prior to sorties departure. Communications will be agreed upon during the pre-operational briefings taking into account all aircraft utilised onsite at time for operations. This is most likely to comprise: • Two aircraft VHF channels air to air with local Airfield CTAF also used/monitored • Aircraft also have to have Marine Radios which can also be utilised.
Test spray run by air tractor	Loading and fuelling of the aircraft will be under the supervision of the Loading Supervisor, and to the satisfaction of the pilot. Dispersant application rate is to be set at 50 litres per hectare with a swath width of 22 m [dependent on Aircraft]. The spray area will be determined by the movement of oil and as directed by the AAC in [insert spotter platform call sign]. When tasked, the spotter platform with AAC [insert helicopter or plane call sign, most likely to be CHC] will proceed to the target area and identify the target site. It will then call in [insert aircraft call sign(s) or aircraft type/Operator] and direct the dispersant attack. Dispersant will be applied within the dispersant application zone (Section 7.4.2.1) Seasonal environmental conditions and sensitivities will dictate spray runs and areas. An analysis to determine these specific sectors will be undertaken at the time by the Planning Chief and implemented by the Aviation and Marine Units in Operations. Test application runs of approximately 100m in length will be made and several passes may be required to determine dispersant effectiveness. The AAC will direct the air tractor to make another pass if required. The AAC will observe the effectiveness of the dispersant on the oil slick and will report if dispersant is having a mixing effect on the oil and complete the Dispersant Monitoring Application Log (OSRL Handbook). Photographs will be taken by the AAC to provide to the Planning Chief and Environment Unit. The pilot of the air tractor will complete a Dispersant Application Log and provide this to the Operations Chief upon completion of the mission. The Operations Chief provides this detail to the Planning Chief.

Task	Guidance
	VFR shall be observed at all times, along with standard radio protocols and monitoring. Pilots will maintain separation.
Monitoring dispersant effectiveness (OMP4a)	The spray run may be run several times to determine the most appropriate dispersant to oil ratio. Full dispersant operations will commence once this test run has been reported achieving some dispersion, which will be determined visually by monitoring service providers during (refer to OSM BIP [WAN-2000-RD-0001.04]) the test run in the field. It is extremely difficult to quantify the percentage of oil dispersed so visual observation of effectiveness will assess if the dispersant is having a positive effective of dispersing oil into the water column or if it is not working as intended. The AAC will brief the Operations Chief of the dispersant operations and observed effectiveness based on the Aerial Dispersant Monitoring Log and observations made of dispersant and oil mixing within the water column and the resultant colour of the oil mix. Use the OSRL Dispersant Application Monitoring Handbook to determine visually if the dispersant is having an effect. Visual indications that dispersant is effective: • Yellow/coffee/grey colour plume present in the water (the exact colour will vary with the original colour of the oil). • Oil spill surface area reduced. • Oil rapidly disappearing from surface. • Oil in some areas being dispersed to leave only sheen on the surface. This colour change may not been seen immediately; time should be given to permit the dispersion process to take place. This is particularly important for more viscous oils. A milky white plume indicates dispersant is ineffective and will be present if: • Too much dispersant is applied (overdosing) • There is poor targeting of spill area • If the spilt oil is heavy or emulsified the dispersant may not penetrate the oil, running off into un oiled water • Dispersant is washed off the black oil as white, watery solution leaving oil on the surface • Quantity of oil on the sea surface is not altered by dispersant.
Complete SIMA to justify ongoing dispersant use	Planning Chief completes SIMA pro-forma with what is known about the spill at the time to record justification for ongoing dispersant use based on the results of the test runs.
Secure trained personnel to run dispersant operation	Dispersant application equipment and trained personnel are available from the AMOSC stockpile and Core Group; the AMSA National Plan stockpiles and NRT and the OSRL stockpiles and responders. Resourcing requirements for this strategy are outlined in VOGA Emergency Response Logistics Management Plan [VOG-7000-RH-0008] .
Pre-flight briefing	Flight planning forms and manifests to be lodged prior to sorties departure. Communications will be agreed upon during the pre-operational briefings taking into account all aircraft utilised onsite at time for operations. This is most likely to comprise: • Two aircraft VHF channels air to air with local Airfield CTAF also used/monitored • Aircraft also have to have Marine Radios which can also be utilised. As the owner of the FWADC, overall control will be via AMSA. Similarly, OSRL will be in overall control of their aircraft. Daily operations will be directed by the Operations Chief in consultation with AMSA, OSRL (if involved) and AMOSC. The Incident Commander remains in control of all incident response activities. Communications will be in accordance with the agreed communications plan.

Task	Guidance
	A JHA will be completed prior to each activity and will be signed by all personnel involved. All aircraft and aircrew involved with the operation are to be certified fit to conduct the task in accordance with CASA regulations. The Aerotech Liaison Officer is to confirm the serviceability and sign off aircraft sea survival equipment. This will be audited by AMSA before the first flight. Individuals will supply their own PPE relevant to the task. Fuel and dispersant handling PPE requirements will be specified in relevant SDS'. As a minimum, all other activities PPE requirement will be full cover, steel caps, high visibility and sun protection. Additional controls will be implemented as necessary. In case of an emergency on the airstrip or field, the muster area will be at the standard Karratha Airport muster location(s).
Ongoing dispersant operations	Aerial dispersant operations will be directed, as part of the IAP, to operate in situations where the greatest effectiveness of the dispersant is likely to result; and operations can be conducted in such a manner as to allow for other oil spill marine operations. Loading and fuelling of the aircraft will be under the supervision of the Loading Supervisor, and to the satisfaction of the pilot. Dispersant application rate is to be set at 50 litres per hectare with a swath width of 22 m unless otherwise determined by test spray runs. The spray area will be determined by the movement of oil and as directed by the AAC in [insert spotter platform call sign]. When tasked, the spotter platform [insert helicopter or plane call sign, most likely to be CHC] will proceed to the target area and identify the target site. It will then call in [insert aircraft call sign(s) or aircraft type/Operator] and direct the dispersant attack. After spray is exhausted or endurance of aircraft is reached [insert Aircraft call sign(s)] will return for resupply. The AAC will complete the Aerial Dispersant Monitoring Log and provide this information to the Operations Chief who then provides this to the Planning Chief to incorporate into the IAP process. The pilot of the air tractor will complete an Aerial Dispersant Application Log and provide this to the Operations Chief, who then passes this information onto the Planning Chief. Final number of spray runs shall be determined by consultation between AMSA, VOGA, AMOSC and Aerotech. VFR shall be observed at all times, along with standard radio protocols and monitoring. Pilots will maintain separation. Personnel lists will be finalised at the time of the spill. All personnel will be logged on and off site, and all personnel in aircraft will be noted before departure. Typical functions required in FWADC operations are: • Air base manager • Dispersant loading supervisor and crew • Pilots • Aerial spotter to direct application of dispersant. During the operational phase, only personnel with an operational need will be allowed on the airfield unless authorised by Aerotech Liaison Officer.
Volume of dispersant and number of aircraft required	The volume of dispersant required for an operation depends on the application rate which is the ratio of dispersant to oil required for effective dispersion (which is dependent on average slick thickness) and the size of the target area to be sprayed. A trial application of 1:20 is used as a starting point in which to determine the most appropriate application rate.

Task	Guidance
	Continuous spills however present an area of fresh oil that can be treated with dispersant on a daily basis until the spill is contained. In recognising that oil spreads at variable rates and thickness is not consistent across the slick, ITOPF (2014c) suggest that the most practical and efficient solution is to target the thickest parts of the slick. For planning purposes, application target volumes have been conservatively estimated based on oil spill modelling results and ITOPF Technical Information Paper 4. The number of days for the operation is based on the length of time that the oil remains dispersable. A maximum required dispersant volume of 38 m^3 per day is required, after 24 hours. Capability determination assessments are documented in the OSR Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009].
Monitoring dispersant effectiveness (OMP4a)	It is extremely difficult to quantify the percentage of oil dispersed so visual observation of effectiveness will assess if the dispersant is having a positive effective of dispersing oil into the water column or if it is not working as intended. The AAC will brief the Operations Chief of the dispersant operations and observed effectiveness based on the Aerial Dispersant Monitoring Log and observations made of dispersant and oil mixing within the water column and the resultant colour of the oil mix. Use the OSRL Dispersant Application Monitoring Handbook to determine visually if the dispersant is having an effect. OMP4a will be inititated for implementation by the OSRL OSM monitoring service providers.
Debriefing	A debrief of the operation is to be conducted with the Operations Chief to confirm appropriate actions were undertaken and to identify issues/concerns/improvements to operations. This will occur on a daily basis. Findings from the debrief and completed Aerial Dispersant Application Logs must be reported back to the Planning Chief so that situational awareness can be maintained for incident action planning. On completion of air operations respective maintenance procedures are to be conducted by individual organisations if necessary. Any serviceability issues are to be reported to the Area Staging Manager at Karratha Airport.
Stockpile management	At the end of each day the Planning Chief (via the Resources Unit in the Planning section) compiles the records of dispersant use and determines the amount of dispersant on hand and what is required for the next mission. Delivery of extra stocks is organised by the Logistics Chief. WA stockpiles will be accessed first while the need for interstate and international stockpiles is evaluated in the IAP process. All dispersants have been shown to be effective on Wandoo crude. Stockpiles will need to be accessed for dispersant operations longer than five days or with more than one air tractor or if the OSRL aircraft is mobilised. Additional National Plan stockpiles may be accessed through AMSA and international stocks of dispersant may be accessed through OSRL. Manufacturing of dispersant in Australia is currently being investigated by AMOSC as a potential source of supplies for prolonged dispersant operations. Current lists of stockpile volumes and locations are available on the OSRL, AMSA and AMOSC websites. Application rates may be varied if considered appropriate to ensure longevity of dispersant stockpiles.
Incident action planning	At the completion of the aerial missions, the Planning Chief will review the aerial dispersant operations and provide a recommendation to the Incident Commander for future aerial dispersant operations.

Task	Guidance
Effectiveness guidance for response strategy	Visual observation of the colour of the dispersed oil plume is a reliable indicator of effective dispersant application via aerial and vessel observers (using tools such as the OSRL field guide for dispersant use and monitoring) (OMP4a). Fluorometry using 'effective' and 'non-effective' thresholds (OMP4a) The application method (aerial and vessel) and dose rate of dispersant tool, may increase effectiveness of dispersant. Monitoring and modelling of dispersed oil within the water column (OMP4s). Planning Chief will use outputs from OMP1 and OMP4a to consider if dispersant operations affect the following: • Time to shoreline impact is increased. • Average and maximum volume of oil ashore is reduced. • Average and maximum length of shoreline contacted is reduced. • Probability of oil contact to shorelines is reduced. • The impacts and accumulation of entrained oil is compared to the reduction and impacts of surface oil (OMP2).

Table 11-6: Chemical dispersant minimum resource requirements aerial operations

Means/task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
Air base support	Aircraft refuelling and dispersant loading facilities. Briefing facility for aviation operations teams.	Commercial air base close to Wandoo Field, preferably Karratha Airport. Logistical support to sustain/ maintain aerial operations.	24 hours.	Commercial air base close to Wandoo Field, preferably Karratha Airport. Logistical support to sustain/ maintain aerial operations.	Commercial air base close to Wandoo Field, preferably Karratha Airport. Logistical support to sustain/ maintain aerial operations.	Commercial air base close to Wandoo Field, preferably Karratha Airport. Logistical support to sustain/ maintain aerial operations.
Dispersant stocks	Dispersant available at the air base for loading into the aircraft when needed over the period of the spill.	90 m^3 within 36 hours.	38 m^3 sprayed in 30 hours; 90 m^3 delivered on-site within 36 hours.	Up to 38 m^3 per day delivered by air tractor and/or OSRL aircraft.	Up to 38 m^3 per day delivered by air tractor and/or OSRL aircraft.	Up to 38 m^3 per day delivered by air tractor and/or OSRL aircraft.
Spotter aircraft	For each sortie, a helicopter or fixed wing aircraft is able to accurately direct the air tractor pilot when apply dispersant.	1 x Trained spotter. 1 x Aerial platform.	24 hours.	2 x Trained spotters. 2 x Aerial platforms.	2 x Trained spotters. 2 x Aerial platforms.	4 x Trained spotters. 2 x Aerial platforms.

Means/task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
Aerial application means	Dispersant rapidly applied to the thickest part of the slick at the rate of 1:20 (dispersant oil ratio).	2 x Air tractors (1.9 m^3 capacity) – 2 sorties each within 30 hours. 3 x Air tractors (1.9 m^3 capacity) – 5 sorties each within 48 hours. Pilots for the same	2 x Air tractors (1.9 m^3 capacity) – 2 sorties each within 30 hours. 3 x Air tractors (1.9 m^3 capacity) – 5 sorties each within 48 hours. Pilots for the same	4 x Air tractors – 5 sorties per day (minimum 1.9 m^3 dispersant capacity). Pilots for the same.	4 x Air tractors – 5 sorties per day (minimum 1.9 m^3 dispersant capacity). Pilots for the same.	4 x Air tractors – 5 sorties per day (minimum 1.9 m^3 dispersant capacity). Pilots for the same.
	Availability of OSRL aircraft.		1 x Hercules – (8.2 m^3 capacity) available from 48 hours.	1 x Hercules – 5 sorties per day (8.2 m^3 capacity).	1 x Hercules – 5 sorties per day (8.2 m^3 capacity).	1 x Hercules – 5 sorties per day (8.2 m^3 capacity).
Safety aircraft/ rescue vessels	For each sortie, a helicopter is available to be used for search and rescue.	Helicopter. Responding vessels.	30 hours.	Helicopter. Responding vessels.	Helicopter. Responding vessels.	Helicopter. Responding vessels.

11.2.2.2 Marine Dispersant Operations

Table 11-7: Marine dispersant application

Task	Guidance
Marine delivery of dispersant will take place if aerial application is not possible or if there are parts of the slick that are better targeted by a vessel. Marine dispersant operations will be used to treat oil that has 'built-up' over preceding days in continuous spill events. The objective of the marine dispersant operations will be to disperse oil that has formed windrows and through trajectory modelling may imminently impact environmental sensitivities, in particular the Dampier Archipelago and the other shorelines. The output will be to have vessels continuously 'chasing' and spraying dispersant onto the oil. The Planning and Operations Chiefs will decide according to the situational awareness gained if marine based dispersant use is activated.	
Identify marine operating base	Logistics Chief to identify marine operating base that can accommodate vessel and crews is close to the response site – most likely to be Toll (refer to ER Logistics Management Plan for contractor details).
Source vessel	Logistics Chief to source offshore vessel that either has dispersant spray equipment already fitted; or a vessel that is able to secure an afedo dispersant spray system to the vessel (refer to ER Logistics Management Plan for contractor details).
Dispersant stocks	Planning Chief to confirm with Logistics Chief the volume of dispersant to be mobilised to marine operating base. Move dispersant and mobilise a dispersant transfer pump to be able to transfer dispersant from IBCs to vessel storage.

Task	Guidance
	Consult the OSR Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009] for additional dispersant calculation and stockpile information.
Dispersant spray system	Logistics Chief to source an afedo dispersant spray system (refer to ER Logistics Management Plan for contractor details).
Arrange for a spotter plane to accompany marine vessel	Logistics Chief to secure a helicopter to or alternative aircraft to provide aerial dispersant spotter duties. Aircraft will be required to fly above the marine vessel and to advise pilot when to turn spray on and off. Requires communication plan between the aircraft and vessel.
Complete SIMA to justify test run	Planning Chief completes SIMA pro-forma with what is known about the spill at the time to record justification for testing dispersant. SIMA template available in Appendix E.
Test run by marine vessel	Dispersant will be applied within the dispersant application zone (Section 7.4.2.1). Seasonal environmental conditions and sensitivities will dictate application of dispersant from marine vessels. An analysis to determine these specific sectors will be undertaken at the time by the Planning Chief and implemented by the Aviation and Marine Units in Operations. Test application runs of approximately 100 m in length will be made and several passes may be required to determine dispersant effectiveness. Vessel personnel will observe the effectiveness of the dispersant on the oil slick and will report if dispersant is having a mixing effect on the oil and complete the Dispersant Monitoring Application Log (OSRL Handbook). Photographs will be taken by vessel personnel to provide to the Planning Chief and Environment Unit. The master of the marine vessel will complete a Dispersant Application Log and provide this to the Operations Chief upon completion of the mission. The Operations Chief provides this detail to the Planning Chief.
Monitoring dispersant effectiveness (OMP4a)	Vessel personnel will brief the Operations Chief of the dispersant operations and observed effectiveness based on the Aerial Dispersant Monitoring Log and observations made of dispersant and oil mixing within the water column and the resultant colour of the oil mix. Use the OSRL Dispersant Application Monitoring Handbook to determine visually if the dispersant is having an effect. OMP4a will be initiated for dispersant efficiacy monitoring.
Operational SIMA	To determine if ongoing dispersant application should continue.
Ongoing dispersant operations	Marine dispersant operations will be directed, as part of the IAP, to operate in situations where the greatest effectiveness of the dispersant is likely to result; and operations can be conducted in such a manner as to allow for other oil spill marine operations.
Debriefing	A debrief of the operation is to be conducted with the Operations Chief to confirm appropriate actions were undertaken and to identify issues/concerns/improvements to operations. This will occur on a daily basis. Findings from the debrief and completed Dispersant Application Logs must be reported back to the Planning Chief so that situational awareness can be maintained for incident action planning. On completion of air and marine operations respective maintenance procedures are to be conducted by individual organisations if necessary.
Stockpile management	At the end of each day the Planning Chief (via the Resources Unit in the Planning section) compiles the records of dispersant use and determines the amount of dispersant on hand and what is required for the next mission. Delivery of extra stocks is organised by the Logistics Chief.
Incident action planning	At the completion of the dispersant operations, the Planning Chief will review the operations based on a briefing from the Operations Chief and provide a recommendation to the Incident Commander for future dispersant operations.

Task	Guidance
Effectiveness guidance for response strategy	Visual observation of the colour of the dispersed oil plume is a reliable indicator of effective dispersant application via aerial and vessel observers (using tools such as the OSRL field guide for dispersant use and monitoring) (OMP4a). Fluorometry using 'effective' and 'non-effective' thresholds (OMP4a). The application method (aerial and vessel) and dose rate of dispersant tool, may increase effectiveness of dispersant. Monitoring and modelling of dispersed oil within the water column (OMP4a). Planning Chief will use outputs from OMP1 and OMP4 to consider if dispersant operations affect the following: • Time to shoreline impact is increased • Average and maximum volume of oil ashore is reduced • Average and maximum length of shoreline contacted is reduced • Probability of oil contact to shorelines is reduced. The impacts and accumulation of entrained oil is compared to the reduction and impacts of surface oil OMP2 – Water quality assessment; and SMP7 – Marine fish and elasmobranch assemblages assessment.

Table 11-8: Chemical dispersant minimum resource requirements marine operations

Means/Task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
Marine operating base	Marine operating base that can accommodate vessel and crews is close to the response site.	Wharf space. Loading areas. Forward operating area.	24 hours.	Wharf space. Loading areas. Forward operating area.	Wharf space. Loading areas. Forward operating area.	Wharf space. Loading areas. Forward operating area.
Dispersant stocks	Dispersant available at the marine base for loading when needed	10 m^3 per vessel.	10 m^3 available in 24 hours and 20 m^3 within 48 hours.	10 m^3 per day per vessel.	10 m^3 per day per vessel.	10 m^3 per day per vessel.
Marine delivery	Logistics to locate dispersant vessel and the dispersant spray system to mount a response for up to 5 days at sea.	2 x Work vessels suitable for the NWS. Crew and master for same.	1 x work vessel deployed to spill site 24 hours 2 x within 48 hours	Available vessels suitable for the NWS. Crew and master for the same.	Available vessels suitable for NWS. Crew and master for the same.	Available vessels suitable for NWS. Crew and master for the same.
Dispersant spray system	A system that can effectively and efficiently apply dispersant from IBCs on deck.	2 x Afedo spray sets and ancillaries. 2 PAX to operate the same.	1 x Afedo set within 24 hours on site. 2 x within 48 hours.	1 x Afedo spray sets and ancillaries per vessel. 2 PAX to operate the same.	1 x Afedo spray sets and ancillaries per vessel. 2 PAX to operate the same.	1 x Afedo spray sets and ancillaries per vessel. 2 PAX to operate the same.

Means/Task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
Spotter aircraft	A helicopter or fixed wing is able to accurately direct the vessel operator where the oil is.	2 x Trained spotters. 2 x Aerial platforms. Pilots for same.	1 x within 24 hours on site. 2 x within 48 hours.	2 x Trained spotters. 2 x Aerial platforms. Pilots for same.	2 x Trained spotters. 2 x Aerial platforms. Pilots for same.	2 x Trained spotters. 2 x Aerial platforms. Pilots for same.

11.2.3 Mechanical Dispersion Strategy

Table 11-9: Mechanical dispersion operations

Task	Guidance
The Planning Chief will recommend this strategy be implemented based on information collected through monitoring and evaluation. If chemical dispersant is working mechanical dispersion may not be required.	
Conduct SIMA	The Environment Unit within the Planning Team of the ICT will use the outputs from monitoring and evaluation to determine if a protection priority is likely to be impacted by oil. Mechanical dispersion activities may be directed to areas of oil that could potentially impact a receptor which is unable to be treated by other response strategies. Mechanical dispersion activities will only be conducted in water deeper than 20 m.
Secure offshore work vessel	Logistics Chief to secure vessels through current contracts or vessels of opportunity to: • Prop wash the spilled products (if permitted by vessel master and owner) • Agitate using the fire monitor or alternative spray system. Enhancement of weathering process such as natural dispersion and dilution of oil into the water column.
Secure spotter aircraft	Logistics Chief to secure helicopter or fixed wing aircraft to direct vessels into areas of the slick that require manual dispersion. Spotter aircraft pilot to be able to communicate with marine vessel. Operations Chief to brief pilot on what parts of the slick should be targeted.
Deploy vessels	Vessels will be deployed from Dampier. Masters of vessels being used for this operation will have communication with aerial surveillance so that the leading edge of a slick can be targeted.
Develop waste management plan	Planning Chief to develop waste management plan that prevents translocation of oil from hot zones to warm and cold zones. The Planning Team will be cognisant of the potential for transferring oily waste when the vessel returns to Dampier, and will ensure that provisions have been made in the waste management plan to manage the risk of secondary contamination. It is possible that the resources for this response strategy may be combined with that of monitoring and evaluation or transportation for shoreline cleanup so that maximum resource efficiencies can be achieved.
Incident action planning	At the completion of mechanical dispersion operations, the Planning Chief will review the operations based on a briefing from the Operations Chief and provide a recommendation to the Incident Commander for future mechanical dispersion activities.

Task	Guidance
Effectiveness guidance for response strategy	OMP2 – Water quality assessment. SMP7 – Marine fish and elasmobranch assemblages assessment. Visual observation to determine whether oil is dispersing into the water column from the vessel and aerial observations: • Oil is mixing within the water column • Surface oil is reduced.

Table 11-10: Mechanical dispersion minimum resource requirements

Means/Task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
Vessel	Prop wash the spilled hydrocarbons. Enhancement of weathering process such as natural dispersion and dilution of oil into the water column.	Opportunistic offshore support vessel.	N/A	Opportunistic offshore support vessel/s.	Opportunistic offshore support vessel/s.	Opportunistic offshore support vessel/s.
Fire hose	Agitate using the fire monitor or alternative spray system. Enhancement of weathering process such as natural dispersion and dilution of oil into the water column.	Working fire monitor/spray system. Crew to operate.	N/A.	Working fire monitor/ spray system. Crew to operate.	Working fire monitor/ spray system. Crew to operate.	Working fire monitor/ spray system. Crew to operate.

11.2.4 Containment and Recovery Strategy

Table 11-11: Containment and recovery operations

<table>
<tr><th>Task</th><th>Guidance</th></tr>
<tr><td>Planning Chief to undertake a SIMA of containment and recovery operations and consider the following:</td><td>• Is the slick is moving toward a sensitive receptor – consider time to impact, volume and probability?
• Are the sea-state and weather conditions amenable for effective boom and skimmer deployment?
• Is the weathered oil able to be recovered with skimmers?
• Is there a safe operating environment for responders?</td></tr>
<tr><td>Do weather conditions and sea state permit safe and effective deployment of booms and skimmers?</td><td>Metocean conditions required for safe and effective boom and skimmer deployment:
<table>
<tr><th>Equipment</th><th>Maximum sea state (Beaufort scale)</th><th>Maximum current (knots)</th><th>Winds (knots)</th></tr>
<tr><td>Booms</td><td>3-4</td><td>1</td><td>14-22</td></tr>
<tr><td>Weir skimmer</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>7</td></tr>
<tr><td>Disc skimmer</td><td>2-3</td><td>1</td><td>11-14</td></tr>
<tr><td>Vacuum skimmer</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>7</td></tr>
</table></td></tr>
</table>

Task	Guidance
Does containment and recovery appear feasible?	BER is a limiting factor of effective containment and recovery operations. An estimation of the resources required and potential volume of oil able to be recovered for Category A and C spills is provided in the OSR Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009]. Considerations to take into account: • Is the oil thick enough for effective recovery? • Will containment and recovery treat a notable portion of the spill volume?
If the decision is made in the ICT to proceed with containment and recovery (based on Planning Chief's recommendation), the following tasks are to be completed.	
Mobilise vessels suitable for either offshore or near shore operations.	Work vessels that can carry and deploy offshore booms and skimmers are required for this strategy along with a mechanism for storing and transporting waste. Logistics Chief to secure two offshore work vessels or a vessel from AMSNOR based in Dampier. Ideally, vessels would have the ability to carry, deploy and retrieve booms and skimmers up to the size of ro-boom and the GT-185 weir skimmers (i.e. GT-185 and Desmi 250), as well as temporary waste storage. Deployment of ro-boom, large skimmers and at-sea waste storage equipment requires vessels that can maintain the correct configuration of the towed booms at very low speeds through the water. The OSRL Containment and Recovery Field Guide provides some guidance on the ideal vessel specifications required for this type of operation. The operational time of the vessels on the water conducting this response activity will be dictated by the available waste collection capacity; once waste tanks are full the vessels will demobilise from the oil site to unload collected waste. To maintain longer operational periods, the Planning Chief may consider an application to AMSA (Commonwealth waters) or DoT (State waters) to decant oily water from waste collection tanks back into the oil plume collected behind the boom. The total amount of oily waste water returned to shore may be reduced by at-sea decanting (allowing oil to settle on the surface of the waste storage container and decanting water from the bottom). The IPIECA Oil Spill JIP report 'The Use of Decanting during Offshore Oil Spill Recovery Operations', provides some guidance on this practice.
Mobilise booms and skimmers	Logistics Chief to mobilise booms, skimmers and temporary waste storage equipment from AMSNOR, the AMOSC stockpiles in Broome and Exmouth, as well as the AMSA National Plan stockpiles in Dampier and Fremantle. Ongoing response efforts may require the mobilisation of equipment from interstate stockpiles. Specifications regarding the type of booms, skimmers and waste storage required are described in the OSR Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009].
Mobilise trained equipment operators	Logistics Chief to source people with experience and training operating equipment from marine vessels from: • AMSNOR • AMOSC core group members • AMSA NRT • WA DoT State Response Team. Logistics Chief to ensure that personnel forms and information is completed and forwarded to the Finance Chief for cost tracking. This equipment will only be deployed and retrieved by trained personnel such as those available through AMSNOR, the AMOSC Core Group, AMSA NRT, DoT State Response Team or OSRL. Standard Operating Procedures are available in the AMSA OSR OH&S Manual.

Task	Guidance
Spotter plane to direct operations	Logistics Chief to activate a helicopter or fixed wing aircraft to direct vessels to thickest part of slick to contain and recover oil. CHC or Karratha Flying Service Aircraft will need ability to communicate with marine vessels and a communication plan as well as observation logs to report back to the Operations Chief.
Establish a forward operating base for temporary storage of equipment and waste	Logistics Chief to activate a Forward Operating Post at Toll in Dampier where VOGA has personnel who can manage the receipt and deployment of equipment. It is in this yard where equipment can be stored and readied for deployment. Toll will manage the transport of equipment that VOGA requires in Dampier.
Secure trained personnel	This equipment will only be deployed and retrieved by trained personnel such as those available through AMSNOR, the AMOSC Core Group, AMSA NRT DoT State Response Team or OSRL. Standard Operating Procedures are available in the AMSA OSR OH&S Manual.
Deploy booms, skimmers and temporary waste storage	Deployment of ro-boom, large skimmers and at-sea waste storage equipment requires vessels that can maintain the correct configuration of the towed booms at very low speeds through the water. The OSRL Containment and Recovery Field Guide provides some guidance on the ideal vessel specifications required for this type of operation.
Develop waste storage and transport plan	Logistics Chief in consultation with Planning Chief activates temporary waste storage capacity held by Toll (IBCs through ToxFree); evaluate the feasibility of securing the Caltex 2 x 16KT tankers on charter and rotate between Dampier and Singapore; and activate towable storage barges such as lancer barges held by AMSA in Dampier and Fremantle. Consideration will be made in the waste management plan for how to best manage contaminated equipment when it returns from operations to Dampier. A hot, warm and cold zone will be established in the laydown area along with a decontamination station and plan to manage the risk of secondary contamination. The operational time of the vessels on the water conducting this response activity will be dictated by the available waste collection capacity; once waste tanks are full the vessels will demobilise from the oil site to unload collected waste. To maintain longer operational periods, an application will be made by the ICT to AMSA (Commonwealth waters) or DoT (State waters) to decant oily water from waste collection tanks back into the oil plume collected behind the boom. The total amount of oily waste water returned to shore may be reduced by at-sea decanting (allowing oil to settle on the surface of the waste storage container and decanting water from the bottom). The IPIECA Oil Spill JIP report 'The Use of Decanting during Offshore Oil Spill Recovery Operations' provides some guidance on this practice.
Incident action planning	At the completion of the containment and recovery operations, the Planning Chief will review the operations based on a briefing from the Operations Chief and provide a recommendation to the Incident Commander for future containment and recovery operations.
Effectiveness guidance for response strategy	Visual observation to determine whether booming operations are effective, more specifically is there no evidence of undercutting (losing hydrocarbon beneath the skirt of the boom), splash over (hydrocarbon splashing over the top of the boom due to wave energy) and entrainment issues (recovery is too slow resulting in too much hydrocarbon collecting in the apex of the boom). Boom type, towing speed, weather, containment configuration and currents can all affect the effectiveness of the above.

Task	Guidance
	Visual observation to determine whether recovery operations are effective, more specifically is hydrocarbon being recovered. Is the type of recovery system appropriate for the hydrocarbon product and its fate? What is the ratio of hydrocarbon to water? Are the temporary storage operations sufficient to maintain recovery? Recovery system type, recovery methodology (skimming while vessels are moving) and timing can be altered to increase effectiveness. The Planning Chief will consider: • The potential to contain oil contained booms. • The potential for oil recovery – weir skimmers recovering > 10% oil; oleophilic skimmers recovering > 50% oil. • Availability of waste storage of required capacity.

Table 11-12: Containment and recovery minimum resource requirements

Means/Task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
Two vessel booming tasking (U sweep or V sweep) and/or NOFI current buster						
Marine operating base	Marine operating base that can accommodate vessel and crews is close to the response site.	Wharf space. Loading areas. Forward operating area.	24 hours.	Wharf space. Loading areas. Forward operating area.	Wharf space. Loading areas. Forward operating area.	Wharf space. Loading areas. Forward operating area.
Booming systems	A system that can effectively and efficiently corral oil offshore.	6 x 400 m ro-booms (or similar). NOFI current buster	48 hours to marine operating base.	2,400 m NOFI current buster	2,400 m NOFI current buster	2,400 m NOFI current buster
Recovery systems	High-capacity skimmers that can recover both fresh and weathered crudes.	6 x Active weir recovery systems or similar.	48 hours to marine operating base.	6	6	6
Waste storage	500 m^3 of on-board or towable storage	Varying capacities of IBCs, totalling 500 m^3, or other suitable combined storage, e.g. towable storage barges.	48 hours to marine operating base.	2,500 m^3	10,000 m^3	20,000 m^3

Means/Task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
Spotter aircraft	A fixed wing or helo is able to accurately direct the vessel operator where the oil is.	1 x Trained spotter. 1 x Aerial platform. Pilots for the same.	48 hours	1 x Trained spotter. 1 x Aerial platform. Pilots for the same.	1 x Trained spotter. 1 x Aerial platform. Pilots for the same.	2 x Trained spotters. 1 x Aerial platform. Pilots for the same.
Marine delivery	Vessels that can store up to 500 m^3 of oil/water waste, skimmer system and 4 tonnes bollard pull. Vessel to lead the boom operation, 4 tonnes bollard pull.	12 x Work vessels suitable for the NWS. Crew (7 for boom deployment and recovery, oil storage and transfer management) and master for the same.	48 hours on site.	Available vessels suitable for the NWS. Crew (7 for boom deployment and recovery, oil storage and transfer management) and master for the same.	Available vessels suitable for the NWS. Crew (7 for boom deployment and recovery, oil storage and transfer management) and master for the same.	Available vessels suitable for the NWS. Crew (7 for boom deployment and recovery, oil storage and transfer management) and master for the same.
Single vessel side sweep operation and/or NOFI current buster						
Marine operating base	Marine operating base that can accommodate vessel and crews is close to the response site.	Wharf space. Loading areas. Forward operating area.	24 hours.	Wharf space. Loading areas. Forward operating area.	Wharf space. Loading areas. Forward operating area.	Wharf space. Loading areas. Forward operating area.
Booming systems	A system that can effectively and efficiently corral oil offshore.	Side sweep boom such as Troilboom solid buoyancy sweeping boom with outrigger and collection point. NOFI current buster. 5 x Crew to operate the system.	48 hours to marine operating base.	Side sweep boom such as Troilboom solid buoyancy sweeping boom with outrigger and collection point. NOFI current buster. 5 x Crew to operate the system.	Side sweep boom such as Troilboom solid buoyancy sweeping boom with outrigger and collection point. NOFI current buster. 5 x Crew to operate the system.	Side sweep boom such as Troilboom solid buoyancy sweeping boom with outrigger and collection point. NOFI current buster. 5 x Crew to operate the system.
Recovery systems	High-capacity skimmers that can recover both fresh and weathered crudes.	1 x Active weir skimmer recovery system or similar, e.g. GT1852 x Crew to operate the system.	48 hours to marine operating base.	1 x Active weir skimmer recovery system or similar, e.g. GT1852 x Crew to operate the system.	1 x Active weir skimmer recovery system or similar, e.g. GT1852 x Crew to operate the system.	1 x Active weir skimmer recovery system or similar, e.g. GT1852 x Crew to operate the system.

Means/Task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
Waste collection, storage and transport	500 m^3 of on-board or towable storage.	100 m^3 IBCs, or on-board storage tanks, or towable storage barges. Total 500 m^3.	48 hours to marine operating base.	100 m^3 IBCs, or on-board storage tanks, or towable storage barges. Total 500 m^3.	100 m^3 IBCs, or on-board storage tanks, or towable storage barges. Total 500 m^3.	100 m^3 IBCs, or on-board storage tanks, or towable storage barges. Total 500 m^3.
Spotter aircraft	A fixed wing or helo is able to accurately direct the vessel operator where the oil is.	1 x Trained spotter. 1 x Aerial platform. Pilots for the same.	48 hours on site.	1 x Trained spotter. 1 x Aerial platform. Pilots for the same.	1 x Trained spotter. 1 x Aerial platform. Pilots for the same.	1 x Trained spotter. 1 x Aerial platform. Pilots for the same.
Marine delivery	Vessel that can carry 100 m^3 of oil/water waste, skimmer system, and effectively 4 tonnes bollard pull.	1 x Large work vessel and one tender or smaller work vessel to assist with recovery operations. Crew and master for same.	48 hours on site.	2 x Large work vessels and one tender or smaller work vessel to assist with recovery operations. Crew and master for same.	2 x Large work vessels and one tender or smaller work vessel to assist with recovery operations. Crew and master for same.	2 x Large work vessels and one tender or smaller work vessel to assist with recovery operations. Crew and master for same.

11.2.5 Protection and Deflection Strategy

Table 11-13: Protection and deflection operations

Task	Guidance
Analysis of trajectory modelling and SIMA	Planning Chief and Environment Unit Leader analyse trajectory models (pre-event modelling and real-time modelling) to predict which shorelines may be impacted by oil, time to impact, probability and quantity of oil to shore. Priority resource protection areas are compared with the shorelines that are predicted to be oiled and operational/tactical plans are activated. Outputs from the monitoring and evaluation strategy will confirm protection priorities that require action to prevent oiling. Priority of the implementation of tasks to support this strategy will be focused on protecting the highest shoreline and near-shore environmental sensitivities. Oil that has not been successfully chemically or physically dispersed or contained and recovered at sea may come ashore and strand. Using deterministic modelling during a spill, combined with situational awareness gained through ongoing monitoring and evaluation, VOGA will implement this strategy in these areas to protect sensitive shorelines.
Analysis of aerial observation and current situational awareness	Planning Chief and Environment Unit Leader to use aerial surveillance data, information gathered by the Situation Unit and the protection priorities identified in pre-spill planning as a starting point for deployment of protection and deflection operations.
Understanding of real time currents and tides	Booming configuration will depend on the tidal movements and speed of currents in the location in which booms are to be deployed.

Task	Guidance
	Booms will fail when the forces of water movement push oil over or under the boom, or when there is failure of anchoring systems. This can be in currents of as little as 1 knot, however, there are ways in which booms can be set up (e.g. chevron booming, staggered booming) so that oil is directed with the current onto another boom or into a collection area.
SIMA	Priority of the implementation protection and deflection is to oiling of shorelines with the highest environmental sensitivities. Planning Chief and Environment Unit leader in consultation with DoT to determine where the optimum mitigation outcomeswill be achieved through protection and deflection activities. Shoreline protection priorities are mangrove environments and identified turtle nesting beaches during nesting and hatching season. Where trajectory modelling indicates likely multiple stranding of oil, and a SIMA indicates no likely worse outcome, shores may be left to allow oil to collect utilising areas of natural containment. Identification and request of relevant Tactical Response Plans from other titleholders for priority shorelines
Determine and source resources required and booming configuration	Planning Chief to liaise with Operations Chief to determine type of booms (including ancillaries such as anchors and power packs for land sea booms) required and a booming configuration that can effectively and efficiently direct oil away from a resource, or prevent contact by oil. Refer to OSRL handbook for Shoreline Operations for recommended booming configurations. Use of available Tactical Response Plans as guidance. Logistics Chief to source booms and skimmers (if being used to recover oil) from AMOSC stockpiles and the AMSA National Plan stockpiles. Logistics Chief to secure vessels (including crew) and equipment operators (AMOSC core group or AMSA NRT) to deploy booms and vessels to assist in shallow areas. Booms can be deployed in various configurations to either exclude oil from a sensitivity or deflect the oil away from it. Trained operators will be required for this task and are available from the AMOSC Core Group, AMSA NRT or the DoT State Response Team. Protection and deflection strike teams will establish exact equipment and resource requirements for specific shoreline protection and deflection according to the specific incident. However, each team will have a minimum resource make up according to the response quick guides in each spill category as detailed in Table 10-6, with further resources being brought in dependent on the scale and nature of the incident. Capability determination details are documented in the OSR Capability Review (VOG-7000-RH-0009). Daily inspection and maintenance of deployed booms to be undertaken by response personnel.
Induction	Operations Chief to ensure that teams are informed of how to minimise damage to flora and avoid encounters with fauna. Induction and training of onshore teams accessing to uninhabited islands to include that spill response teams should avoid disruption of environment and take practical tactical precautions to avoid contact with flora and fauna. The number of staff and teams required will vary according to the sensitivities being protected.
Marine vessel transport of people and equipment	Logistics Chief to secure marine vessel(s) capable of carrying crew and spill equipment to remote islands.
Aerial surveillance and/or transport	Logistics Chief to secure aircraft to enable ongoing aerial surveillance of shorelines and/or transport of people and equipment.

Task	Guidance
Consider constraints	The major constraint for protection and deflection, especially in areas of northwest WA is the tidal range of and current speed that may be experienced. It may not physically be possible to deploy protection and deflection booming systems if the tide and current are not favourable. Other constraints include: • Access to remote islands and mainland beaches • Biosecurity issues associated with moving people and equipment between remote islands and the mainland • Access to sites (habitat, terrain, distance from the mainland, landing/mooring sites for vessels) • Transport of equipment to remote sites • Weather and sea state • Hazardous wildlife.
Incident action planning	At the completion of the containment and recovery operations, the Planning Chief will review the operations based on a briefing from the Operations Chief and provide a recommendation to the Incident Commander for future protection and deflection operations.
Effectiveness guidance for response strategy	Visual observation to determine whether a booming operation is 'protecting' and/or 'deflecting' the impact of hydrocarbon towards sensitivity. Boom type, deployment angle, anchoring, quantity and variation in materials can all be altered to increase effectiveness.

Table 11-14: Protection and deflection minimum resource requirements

Means/ Task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
Landside (based on one team, resources will need to be scaled up for additional teams)						
Marine vessels	Marine vessel(s) capable of carrying crew and spill equipment to remote islands. Capable of logistics support/accommodation for 10 POB, crew, accessing remote islands.	Aluminium catamarans and/or flat bottom boats.	48 hours on site.	4 x Aluminium catamarans and/or flat bottom boats.	8 x Aluminium catamarans and/or flat bottom boats.	16 x Aluminium catamarans and/or flat bottom boats.
Crew	Crew capable of securing booms.	1 x Trained operator/Team Leader. 4 x Labourers.	48 hours on site.	5 x Trained operators/ Team Leaders. 20 x Labourers.	10 x Trained operators/ Team Leaders. 40 x Labourers.	20 x Trained operators/ Team Leaders. 80 x Labourers.
Booming systems	A system that can effectively and efficiently direct or prevent the movement of oil.	Various lengths of land/sea boom, shoreline protection booms, sorbent booms.	48 hours on site.	Various lengths of land/sea boom, shoreline protection booms, sorbent booms.	Various lengths of land/sea boom, shoreline protection booms, sorbent booms.	Various lengths of land/sea boom, shoreline protection booms, sorbent booms.

Means/ Task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
Marine side (based on one team, resources will need to be scaled up for additional teams)						
Vessel	Vessel capable of assisting land-side crews to secure booms in waterways and in the shallow seas.	1 x Shallow draft work boat. Operational crew for same.	48 hours on site.	4 x Shallow draft work boat. Operational crew for same.	8 x Shallow draft work boats. Operational crew for same.	16 x Shallow draft work boats. Operational crew for same.
Crew	Crew capable of securing booms.	1 x Trained Operator/Team Leader. 2 x Labourers on-board.	48 hours on site.	2 x Trained Operators/ Team Leaders. 4 x Labourers on-board.	16 x Trained Operators/ Team Leaders. 32 x Labourers on-board.	32 x Trained Operators/ Team Leaders. 64 x Labourers on-board.
Booming systems	A system that can effectively and efficiently direct or prevent the movement of oil.	Various lengths of land/sea boom, shoreline protection booms, sorbent booms. Crew to operate the system.	48 hours on site.	Various lengths of land/sea boom, shoreline protection booms, sorbent booms. Crew to operate the system.	Various lengths of land/sea boom, shoreline protection booms, sorbent booms. Crew to operate the system.	Various lengths of land/sea boom, shoreline protection booms, sorbent booms. Crew to operate the system.

11.2.6 Shoreline Cleanup Strategy

Table 11-15: Shoreline cleanup operations

Task	Guidance
Shoreline cleanup, subject to amenable weather conditions and access, is the use of a variety of cleanup methods on shorelines to remove stranded hydrocarbons, and to minimise the potential ongoing environmental damage caused by those hydrocarbons.	
Analysis of trajectory modelling and hydrocarbon properties and weathering at sea (OMP1)	Planning Chief and Environment Unit Leader analyse trajectory models (pre-event modelling and real-time modelling) to predict which shorelines may be impacted by oil, time to impact, probability and quantity of oil to shore. Priority coastline sections are compared with the shorelines that are predicted to be oiled and available tactical plans are activated.
Analysis of aerial observation and current situational awareness	Planning Chief and Environment Unit Leader to use aerial surveillance data, information gathered by the Situation Unit and the protection priorities identified in pre-spill planning as a starting point for shoreline surveys and cleanup activities.
SIMA	Priority of the implementation of tasks to support this strategy will be focused on cleaning oil from shorelines with the highest environmental sensitivities. Planning Chief and Environment Unit leader to determine where the optimal mitigation outcomes will be achieved through shoreline cleanup activities. This analysis will require information gathered by shoreline assessment field teams, and consulting with WA DoT representatives to confirm protection priorities.

Task	Guidance
	Shorelines will be assessed for the extent of the oiling, with this information reported back to the VOGA ICT to determine which shoreline(s) is/are the priority for cleanup. This determination will be made based on the preparatory SIMA, and the SIMA that will be undertaken at the time by the Planning Unit within the ICT. Shoreline cleanup will follow a three-stage methodology (refer to ITOPF Technical Information Paper No. 7): 1. Emergency phase – collection of oil floating close to the shore and pooled bulk oil removal. 2. Project phase – removal of stranded oil and oiled shoreline material that cannot be cleaned in-situ. 3. Polishing phase – final cleanup of light oil contamination and removal of oil stains, where the incident SIMA demonstrates this is necessary. Actual cleanup tasks for each of the three stages will be selected based on an assessment of suitability for the cleanup task for the oil character and shoreline type. Where trajectory modelling indicates likely multiple strandings of oil, and a SIMA indicates no likely worse outcome, shores may be left to recover without intervention. In undertaking this three-step process, VOGA contractors, employees and support agencies will work to effectively and efficiently clean shorelines where possible. A number of technical guidance notes exist for shoreline assessment and cleanup operations. These include the Environment Canada SCAT Guidelines (2007), the POSOW Shoreline Cleanup Guidelines, the UK SCAT Manual (Moore, 2004), and the WA DoT Oiled Shoreline Field Book.
Deploy shoreline cleanup teams	Deploy 8 shoreline clean up teams by Day 4 to priority coastline sections verified by the SCAT survey, SIMA and OSTM analyses. One trained shoreline team leader and ten shoreline clean up workers per team. By day 20 have a total of 100 shoreline cleanup teams ready for deployment.
Logistics	Logistics Chief activate resources in Logistics Management Plan [VOG-7000-RH-0008]. Finance Chief to ensure that personnel records are completed.
Induction and training	Operations Chief to ensure that shoreline teams are informed of how to minimise damage to flora and avoid encounters with fauna. Induction and training of onshore teams accessing to uninhabited islands to include that spill response teams should avoid disruption of environment and take practical tactical precautions to avoid contact with flora and fauna. The number of staff and teams required will vary according to the sensitivities being protected. Operations Chief to also ensure the waste management plan prepared by Planning and Logistics is implemented on site.
Marine vessel transport of people and equipment	Logistics Chief to secure marine vessel(s) capable of carrying crew and spill equipment to remote islands.
Aerial surveillance and/or transport	Logistics Chief to secure aircraft to enable ongoing aerial surveillance of shorelines and/or transport of people and equipment.
Equipment	Cleaning equipment, decontamination set. The type and amount of equipment required for shoreline cleanup will depend on the technique used) and operational constraints such as access to the shoreline and weather conditions. Equipment held in the State stockpiles (DoT) is suitable for shoreline cleanup activities as well as the equipment held in AMOSC and AMSA stockpiles. Additional resources can be accessed from OSRL.

Task	Guidance
Ongoing cleanup operations	Planning Chief and Operations Chief decide in each IAP cycle which shorelines are to be cleaned and the cleanup method to be used. The decision to use particular cleanup methods will be based on the information provided by the SCAT teams and operational teams working the shorelines. Shoreline cleanup, subject to amenable weather conditions and access, is the use of a variety of cleanup methods on shorelines to remove stranded hydrocarbons, and to minimise the potential ongoing environmental damage caused by those hydrocarbons. Priority of the implementation of tasks to support this strategy will be focused on cleaning oil from shorelines with the highest environmental sensitivities. Shoreline cleanup teams will be directed (as part of the IAP) to mount operations in areas where the optimum mitigation outcomes will be achieved. This analysis will be undertaken at the time by the Planning Team, using shoreline assessment field teams, and consulting with WA DoT representatives to confirm protection priorities. The WA DoT will be consulted in the SIMA process and response strategy selection for OSR that impacts State waters. Sorbents will not be used for shoreline cleanup on high energy shorelines. Mechanical removal and high pressure flushing will not be undertaken in mangrove areas. Water from high pressure flushing will not be directed in between rocks and onto sediment.
Waste collection and transport	Where shoreline cleanup is occurring, VOGA will implement the establishment of hot, warm and cold zones, to minimise secondary contamination. Local sites will be used for the temporary storage of soiled material, liquid waste and solid waste/oil mixes, to enable appropriate final waste solution to be effectively implemented. Shoreline waste generation can be reduced by identifying shorelines likely to be impacted and pre-cleaning the shore of debris and vegetation before oil strands, thus reducing the total amount of oily waste to dispose of. Shoreline waste generation can range from three to over 10 times the amount of oil stranded. Sorbent materials will be stored in a contained storage area prior to transport and disposal to prevent any further contamination of habitats.
Incident action planning	At the completion of shoreline cleanup operations, the Planning Chief will review the operations based on a briefing from the Operations Chief and provide a recommendation to the Incident Commander for future shoreline cleanup activities.
Effectiveness guidance for response strategy	OMP6 – Shoreline assessment. OMP1 – Hydrocarbon properties and weathering behaviour at sea. Operational data collection: • Shoreline surveys undertaken • Information collected in surveys used to inform cleanup activities • Shoreline cleanup activities do not do further damage than oil alone • Waste stored and removed offsite • Shoreline cleanup endpoints agreed to and closed out by stakeholder representatives.

Table 11-16: Shoreline cleanup minimum resource requirements

Means/ Task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
Induction	Shoreline teams are informed of how to minimise damage to flora and avoid encounters with fauna.	1 x Trainer.	72 hours on site.	1 x Trainer.		3 x Trainers.
OMP6 SCAT	Shoreline cleanup assessement technique surveys undertaken and used to inform shoreline cleanup priorities.	10 Teams.	18 OSRL SCAT specialists, plus additional team members trained in week 1 via SCAT E-learning training course being administered via the OSM Supplementary SA.	60+ AMOSC Industry Core Group. 12 AMOSC staff trained in SCAT.		90 people (45 teams if resourced at 2 personnel per team; 30 teams if resourced at 3 personnel per team).
Manual shoreline cleanup activities	Floating oil close to shore collected and pooled bulk oil removed. Stranded oil removed. Cleanup of light oil contamination (polishing phase).	8 team leaders and 80 cleanup workers (88 people) sourced and mobilised to forward operating base.	3 shoreline cleanup teams (30 workers and 3 team leaders) mobilised and on site for induction within 72 hours.	10 shoreline cleanup teams on site (110 people – 1 trained team leader, 10 workers per team).		45 team leaders and 450 workers sourced and ready to deploy to forward operating base.
Logistics	Crews are safe, fed, in contact with other parts of the response and watered.	Mobilisation of PPE, food, water, shelter, communications network.	72 hours on site.	PPE, food, water, shelter, communications network. Amenities for 110 pax.		PPE, food, water, shelter, communications network. Amenities for 495 pax.
Marine vessels	Marine vessel(s) capable of carrying crew and spill equipment to remote islands.	Marine vessels.	72 hours on site.	8 x Marine vessels to support transport of personnel, equipment and ammenities. 3 x marine vessels capable of accomodating shoreline cleanup teams working at priority island locations.		20 x Marine vessels to support transport of personnel, equipment and ammenities. Marine vessels and/or floatel capable of accomodating shoreline cleanup team members working on islands.

Means/ Task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
Equipment	Cleaning equipment and decontamination set selected according to suitablity for cleanup task and shoreline type.	20 x shoreline cleanup kits made up of shovels, plastic bags, rakes, buckets, wheelbarrows. Decon kit.	72 hours on site.	80 x shoreline cleanup kits made up of shovels, plastic bags (20 kg capacity), rakes, buckets, wheelbarrows and PPE. 2 x decontamination stations (1 per operational zone) Mechanical equipment: 2 x front-end loaders for work on mainland locations. 2 x graders for work on mainland locations. 4 x skid steers. 8 x 4WD vehicles (1 per team leader).		450 x shoreline cleanup kits made up of shovels, plastic bags (20 kg capacity), rakes, buckets, wheelbarrows and PPE. 20 x decontamination stations (based on 5 operational zones and to be determined with real time data). Mechanical equipment: 10 x front-end loaders for work on mainland locations. 10 x graders for work on mainland locations. 20 x skid steers. 50 x 4WD vehicles (mainland only).
Booming systems	A system that can effectively and efficiently direct or prevent the movement of oil.	Various lengths of land/sea boom, shoreline protection booms, sorbent booms. Crew to operate the system.	48 hours on site.	Various lengths of land/sea boom, shoreline protection booms, sorbent booms. Crew to operate the system.		Various lengths of land/sea boom, shoreline protection booms, sorbent booms. Crew to operate the system.
Waste collection, storage and transport	Short term waste collection. Long term oily waste collection based on total oil ashore for a single worst case single trajectory (Category E) 18,214 m^3 with a bulking factor of 10.	Temporary waste storage capability activated.	48 hours to marine operating base.	Temporary waste storage on site to cleanup locations for 450 m^3 oily waste per day (4,500 m^3 over 10 days). Waste transferred to intermediate storage and potentially final waste disposal.		Temporary waste storage on site to cleanup locations for 25,650 m^3 of waste collected over 157 days. Waste transferred to intermediate storage and potentially final waste disposal.

11.2.7 Oiled Wildlife Response Strategy

11.2.7.1 Wildlife First Strike Response

Table 11-17: Wildlife first strike response

Task	Guidance
Activate WAOWRP and POWRP	Call the DBCA State Duty Officer on telephone **(08) 9219 9108.** The DBCA State Duty Officer will notify an OWA. Request that local DBCA Officers are also notified. Appoint a Wildlife Division Coordinator. First strike response activities may be undertaken within the Environment Unit of the Planning section until a Wildlife Division Coordinator is actually in the ICC.
Rapidly assess the situation	Review OSTM – both the model used in response planning and the real time when available. SITREP – reports of wildlife both oiled and active within the response area.
Provide advice to the IMT in relation to the wildlife assets at risk	Wildlife Division Coordinator to undertake. Use POWRP to identify wildlife assets at risk, cross reference with wildlife information contained in SIMA.
Determine the response level	Likley to be OWR Level 6.
Liaise with Oiled Wildlife Advisor	Wildlife Division Coordinator to liaise with OWA.
Gather information from POWRP	Wildlife specific for POWRP operational sectors 7 to 12 initially, then most appropriate operational sectors between days 10 and 20.
Activate first strike response kits	Wildlife Division Coordinator and OWA discuss get approval from IC. First strike kits are portable and contain equipment to allow stabilisation of wildlife before triage and possible treatment at an oiled wildlife facility. Refer to Table 3 of the POWRP for kit location and access details.

11.2.7.2 Mobilisation of Resources

Table 11-18: Mobilisation of resources

Task	Guidance
Personnel	Activate and mobilise a Wildlife Operations Coordinator to Dampier.
	Activate the AMOSC OWR Industry Team
	DBCA volunteer database – access through DBCA Duty Officer.
	Labour Hire – source personnel with the following skill sets/abilities: • Work away from home. • Work with animals. • Work in remote locations. • Medically fit. Source unskilled personnel (OWR skill level 1), mobilise to Dampier and conduct induction process and basic training developed by DBCA.
Equipment	Containers for OWR facilities – Dampier to be set up first to service POWRP operational sectors 7 to 12. Planning to be undertaken for staging sites in various locations in addition to holding centres and/or oiled wildlife facilities (small, medium or large) for Exmouth, Onslow or Port Hedland between days 10 and 20 and Broome beyond day 20. To be confirmed by OSTM and the SIMA process at the time of the spill.
	Support mobilisation of first strike response kits to priority shoreline staging areas (Table 7-7).

11.2.7.3 Wildlife Reconnaissance

Table 11-19: Wildlife reconnaissance

Task	Guidance
Reconnaissance across priority shorelines between Ashburton and De Grey River Mouth prior to Day 10, with specific locations determined by OSTM and the initial SIMA at the time of the spill. Resources are required to identify and record location of oiled wildlife as well as determining the presence of wildlife in areas predicted to be impacted by oil. Real time wildlife reconnaissance is necessary to ground truth information contained in the POWRP due to seasonal and inter-annual variation in abundance and distribution of wildlife.	
Aerial reconnaissance	• Aerial reconnaissance will be highly beneficial to identify concentrations of wildlife that can then be targeted by foot or boat. • Where possible, combine the aerial surveillance activities undertaken in monitor and evaluate to gain situational awareness of wildlife that has been oiled or is likely to be oiled. • Need to emphasise data flows – make sure the information gathered is shared within the ICT for the IAP and OSMP activities. • Oiled wildlife specific reconnaissance of known habitats and of shoreline that is predicted to be impacted to identify potential for pre-emptive action.
Marine reconnaissance	• Vessel-based reconnaissance will be required for islands and mangroves in POWRP Operational Sectors 6 to 14.
Shoreline reconnaissance	• For stretches of sandy beach, reconnaissance can be conducted by ATV or 4WD. In areas where beach access is not possible via vehicle (i.e. cliffs), reconnaissance by foot will be required. • All coastal access by vehicles on Barrow Island is forbidden unless approval is granted by DBCA. • See notes regarding access of personnel to Barrow Island – quarantine and induction requirements.

Table 11-20: OWR minimum resources

Means/task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
First strike response, mobilisation of resources and wildlife reconnaissance (OWR Stages 1-3)						
Wildlife first strike response	WAOWRP and the POWRP are activated.	N/A – activation task only.	Once OPP1 or OPP2 is activated.	N/A – activation task only.	N/A – activation task only.	N/A – activation task only.
	OWA and Wildlife Division Coordinator are activated and assigned to the ICT.	1 x OWA. 1 x OWR Division Coordinator.	Once WAOWRP is activated.	N/A – activation task only.	N/A – activation task only.	N/A – activation task only.
OMP5 Marine fauna assessment	Rapid assessment of presence and distribution of marine fauna; evaluate impact of spill and response activities on fauna.	1 x Aerial team	Once OPP1 or OPP2 is activated.	1 x aerial team minimum .		

Means/task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
Mobilisation of resources	First strike response kits are mobilised to staging areas within the POWRP operational sectors 7 to 12.	Vehicles/small trucks to deliver 6 x first strike response kits to operational sectors. Vessels/aircraft to take kits to islands. 1 x OWA. 1 x OWR Division Coordinator.	Within 24 hours.	Mobilisation for Dampier. 1 x OWA. 1 x OWR Division Coordinator.	Mobilisation for between North West Cape and Eighty Mile Beach. 1 x OWA. 1 x OWR Division Coordinator.	Mobilisation for Eighty Mile Beach to Broome area if applicable - Activation of Kimberley OWRP if required (sectors 1 and 2). 1 x OWA. 1 x OWR Division Coordinator.
	Two OWR containers are mobilised to an OWR facility location in Dampier.	2 x OWR containers from Dampier (AMSA) and Fremantle (AMOSC).	Within 24 hours.	2 x OWR containers deployed to Dampier OWR facility.	N/A – containers deployed.	N/A – containers deployed.
Wildlife reconnaissance across POWRP Operational Sectors 7 – 12.	Information contained in POWRP and SIMA is ground truthed. Situational awareness regarding wildlife that has been oiled and wildlife present within the path of the spill trajectory is gained.	1 x aerial observation over extent of spill combined with Monitor and Evaluate tasks. 1 x aerial observation over extent of predicted trajectory requires 1 x aircraft. Aerial survey: 1 x observer; 1 x aircraft; 1 x aerial support base for the task. Utilise aerial spill surveillance aircraft and personnel if none available specifically for oiled wildlife reconnaissance.	Concurrently with monitor and evaluate activities. Wildlife specific reconnaissance within 24 hours.	Aerial survey: 2 x observer; 1 x aircraft (fixed wing or helicopter); 1 x aerial support base for task. Boat based survey: 1 x small vessel (<12 m length) 1 x boat driver; 2 x crew Shoreline survey: 2 x Quad motorbike or 4WD vehicle; 4 x survey crew. 8 x additional team members.	Aerial survey: 2 x observer; 1 x aircraft (fixed wing or helicopter); 1 x aerial support base for task. Boat based survey: 1 x small vessel (<12 m length); 1 x boat driver; 2 x crew. Shoreline survey: 2 x Quad motorbike or 4WD vehicle; 4 x survey crew. 8 x additional team members.	Aerial survey: 2 x observer; 1 x aircraft (fixed wing or helicopter); 1 x aerial support base for task. Boat based survey: 1 x small vessel (<12 m length); 1 x boat driver; 2 x crew. Shoreline survey: 2 x Quad motorbike or 4WD vehicle; 4 x survey crew. 8 x additional team members.
First strike response, mobilisation of resources and wildlife reconnaissance (OWR Stages 4-8)						
IAP wildlife sub-plan development	Future OWR activities arrangement developed based on the spill scenario.	1 x OWR Advisor; 1 x OWR Planning officer; 1 x OWR Division Coordinator.	Within 48 hours.	12 x personnel.	12 x personnel.	12 x personnel.

Means/task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
Wildlife rescue and staging	OWR rescue operations should determine the best combination of pre-emptive capture, hazing and the collection and management of oiled wildlife based on resources available. Begin establishing staging site as a logistic base for search and capture teams. Staging areas to be set up in POWRP operational sectors 7 to 12. Then in most appropriate operational sectors between North West cape and Eighty Mile Beach in Day 10–15.	Boat based collection/hazing: 1 x small vessel (<12 m length); 1 x boat driver; 2 x crew; 2 x capture nets; 10 x cages (depending on vessel deck space and type of oiled wildlife encountered – seabirds are most likely in this timeframe).	Within 72 hours.	Boat based collection/ hazing: 1 x vessel (<12 m length); 1 x boat driver; 4 x crew; 4 x capture nets; 50 x cages. Staging site: 1 x OWR Kits (AMSA/ AMOSC). 25 x personnel.	Boat based collection/ hazing: 1 x small vessel (<12 m length); 1 x boat driver; 2 x crew; 2 x capture nets; 10 x cages. Staging site(s): 2 x OWR kits (AMSA/ AMOSC) 25 x personnel.	Boat based collection/ hazing: 1 x small vessel (<12 m length); 1 x boat driver; 2 x crew; 2 x capture nets; 10 x cages. Staging site(s): 2 x OWR kits (AMSA/ AMOSC). 25 x personnel.
Establishment of an oiled wildlife facility	Establish and manage OWR Facility in Dampier and Exmouth or Port Hedland.	1 x Suitable area for facility pre-identified/analysed for suitability, i.e. Dampier Sharks Football Club (Pilbara OWRP) or Windy Ridge Oval and Facilities; 2 x OWR containers; existing built facilities or temporarily erected/installed structures, i.e. marquees (at least 4 m x 4 m), mobile site offices; shower and toilet facilities; laundry facilities or contactor to outsource laundering; resources and equipment as listed in the WAOWRP.	Within 3–4 days for Dampier, Montebello Islands or Barrow Island.	Mobilise resources for oiled wildlife facility. 18 x personnel.	Oiled wildlife facility set up by Day 10. 18 x personnel.	Dampier and additional OWR facility operating resources. 18 x personnel.

Means/task	Outcomes	Minimum resources required for first 48 hours	Timeframe (on spill notification)	5 days	10 days	20 days
Wildlife rehabilitation	OWA and OWR Planning officer to gather information on the spill to gauge potential wildlife impacts and therefore long-term rehabilitation requirements. Incorporates OSMP scientific monitoring tasks specific to oiled wildlife.	1 x OWR Planning officer; 1 x OWR Division Coordinator. Activation of OSMP oiled wildlife contractor.	By Day 5.	1 x OWR Planning officer; 1 x OWR Division Coordinator. 56 x personnel. Maintenance of OSMP oiled wildlife contractor.	1 x OWR Planning officer; 1 x OWR Division Coordinator. 56 x personnel. Maintenance of OSMP oiled wildlife contractor.	1 x OWR Planning officer; 1 x OWR Division Coordinator. 56 x personnel. Maintenance of OSMP oiled wildlife contractor.
Oiled wildlife termination	Oiled wildlife rescue and rehabilitation of animals is completed. Establish a demob team to ensure all records are forwarded to the logistics section for processing, all facilities are decontaminated, stocks replenished, all waste is removed and all wildlife still in care is transferred to a suitable wildlife rehabilitation facility, i.e. Perth Zoo.	N/A	By Day 10.	N/A	4 x personnel for the demob team plus labour if required.	4 x personnel for the demob team plus labour if required.

Section 12 – Termination and Recovery

12.1 Response Strategies Termination Criteria

Consistent with the National Plan, VOGA's priority in a response to an oil spill incident is human health and safety, meaning that response activities will cease if operations cannot be carried out in a manner that do not present unmanageable risks to human safety.

Termination criteria will aid the decision to terminate OSR activities for each strategy. Note that these termination criteria only apply if the strategy is able to be operationally implemented (e.g. the weather conditions allow marine/aerial operations to take place).

Response strategies are monitored throughout implementation and the following considerations are taken into account (in addition to safety issues) when determining if a response strategy is lowering impacts to ALARP:

- Measures are no longer effective
- Further cleanup is likely to cause greater environmental damage (SIMA)
- The level of response is out of proportion to the amount of oil on the water
- When the costs of the response are exceeding the likely benefits, i.e. the point of diminishing returns is reached in terms of monetary costs.

As per the spill response planning process outlined in Figure 3-1, the operational SIMA provides guidance to the identification of termination criteria, by assessing if the:

- Response strategy reduces the probability of impact of to the sensitive receptors
- Response strategy increases the number of days before impact to the sensitive receptors
- Response strategy reduces the average and/or total amount of oil to impact the sensitive receptors
- Response operation has more of a negative impact than untreated oil
- Controls that are put in place to mitigate impacts associated with the proposed response strategy.

If risks associated with the response strategy are aligned with the Well Exploration EP, then KPIs (effectiveness measures which inform termination criteria) are developed, response strategies implemented and assessed. If termination criteria are met then the response strategy ceases. Table 12-1 presents termination criteria that can be used in the spill response planning process (IAP process). Shoreline cleanup termination criteria are explained in more detail separately because of the complexity that maybe present in a shoreline response (Table 12-2).

Table 12-1: Termination criteria

OSR strategy	Termination criteria for each OSR strategy
Monitor and evaluate	The spill is no longer visible to human observers. Specifically, a 'silvery/grey' sheen, as defined by the Bonn Agreement Oil Appearance Code (BAOAC), is no longer observable. Modelling will continue until response modelling predicts oil concentrations in the environment due to the spill are below contact threshold concentrations of 10 g/m^2 surface oil, 100 ppb entrained oil and 50 ppb dissolved aromatic hydrocarbons. When operational monitoring undertaken to evaluate effectiveness of response strategies is no longer required.
Chemical dispersion	Chemical dispersant activities will cease if the SIMA output demonstrates that the response strategy: • Does not reduce the probability of oil impacting sensitive receptors • Does not increase the number of days to impact sensitive receptors • Does not decrease the volume of oil to impact sensitive receptors • Has more of a negative impact on sensitive receptors than the untreated oil (e.g. impact of entrained oil), or • Hazard controls put in place are not achievable.
Containment and recover	Weir skimmers are recovering <10% hydrocarbon by volume, oleophilic skimmers are recovering <50% hydrocarbon by volume, entrapment in booms is no longer effective, or the observed trajectory of the oil indicates that it is heading away from sensitive receptors.
Mechanical dispersion	Oil is not observed to be effectively dispersed into the water column. The spill is no longer visible to human observers. Specifically, a 'silvery/grey' sheen, as defined by the BAOAC, is no longer observable.
Protect and deflect	Booms are unable to be deployed because of safety concerns or sea state and weather prevents effective deployment of equipment. The spill is no longer visible to human observers. Specifically, a 'silvery/grey' sheen, as defined by the BAOAC, is no longer observable.
Shoreline cleanup	Termination criteria for shoreline cleanup activities is site dependant and will be based on scientific advice that suggests further cleanup activities are unlikely to materially decrease lasting hydrocarbon impacts on environmental sensitivities. Termination points are likely to be selected based on the general cleanup objectives, which are to: • minimise exposure hazards for human health • speed recovery of impacted areas if possible • reduce the threat of additional or prolonged natural resource impacts. Table 12-1 provides some examples of how shoreline clean-up termination points can be described. WA shorelines are within the jurisdiction of the WA State Government, the decision to cease shoreline cleanup will be made with advice from the WA DoT to take into account the State ESC position. Shoreline cleanup activities will cease if a safe working environment is not present for responders.
Oiled wildlife	Termination criteria for OWR is dependent on the incident and will be based on the termination criteria descirbed in Stage 8 of the WAOWRP.

12.1.1 Shoreline Termination Criteria

Due to the site and spill-specific nature of shoreline cleanup, termination points are developed for the specific incident, however, there are some guiding principles that VOGA will consider when working with the State ESC and stakeholders to identify termination points.

Termination points, also known as end points for shoreline cleanup, are likely to be selected based on the general cleanup objectives, which are to:

- Minimise exposure hazards for human health
- Speed recovery of impacted areas if possible
- Reduce the threat of additional or prolonged natural resource impacts.

These objectives lead to developing cleanup strategies and end points that do not cause more harm to the environment than good (Oil Spill Response, 2011).

Ideally, cleanup efforts will return the resource to its baseline condition without suffering further impact or affecting other resources. Aggressive and inappropriate cleanup techniques can make matters worse. Less intrusive methods or natural recovery are often preferable. The best cleanup strategy is often not the one that removes the most oil; rather, it is the strategy that removes oil that poses a greater risk of injury than would result from cleanup, and allows remaining oil to be removed by natural processes.

The Environment Unit within the Planning Section will provide the following in regards to shoreline cleanup:

- Guide the Operations Section in conducting specific cleanup methods to minimise adverse environmental impact (best management practices).
- Provide the Operations Section with environmental and safety constraints on conducting cleanup activities in specific habitats.
- Develop spill-specific cleanup objectives, guidelines and termination points. This will require input from the State ESC because the DoT is the Control Agency and jurisdictional authority for OSR in State waters. Examples for shoreline cleanup that may be used as the basis for developing the spill-specific cleanup termination points with the State ESC are described in Table 12-2.
- Identify time-critical and degree-of-use issues to be combined with cleanup priorities and end points.
- Identify sensitive resources that may be adversely affected by the proposed treatment methods (e.g. rich intertidal biota on rocky shores where low pressure ambient water flushing will be used).

Table 12-2: Shoreline clean-up termination points

No oil observed: not detectable by sight, smell, feel	• This end point is often used for sand beaches where oil removal can be effective without delaying resource recovery. • Visual inspections are preferred over chemical analysis of samples because of: difficulty of sampling areas with high variability; time and costs to complete sampling and analysis; and lack of guidelines on what levels are safe.
Visible oil but no more than background	• This termination point is often applied where there is a significant background rate of tar ball deposition on the shoreline.
No longer generates sheens that will affect sensitive areas, wildlife, or human health	• This termination point is used where sheening persists after cleanup efforts become ineffective, or on sensitive habitats where further cleanup efforts will cause more harm than natural removal. Residual sheening should persist over a relatively short time period. • Sheen is an oil film ranging from barely visible to dull colours. Sorbents effectiveness is usually limited in recovery of sheens. Consider the amount and duration of sheening, and the distance to sensitive resources, to determine if sheening poses a significant threat. • Consider the degree of exposure: high wave/tidal exposure speeds removal and breaks up sheens; sheltered areas will sheen longer and sheens will be more persistent. • Consider the degree and timing of use: sheening may be tolerated in areas or during periods of low use; even minor sheens may not be tolerated in areas of high use, such as swimming beaches.
No longer rubs off on contact	• This termination point is usually defined as oil removal to a stain or coat, or weathering to the point that it is no longer sticky. It is applied to hard substrates (rocky shores, seawalls, riprap, and gravel) and vegetation (marshes, mangroves). • The objective is to prevent oiling of fur, feathers, and feet of wildlife, and oiling of people and property during contact with oiled surfaces. • Consider the degree and timing of use: high-use areas often require higher cleanliness, whereas natural removal is allowed in low-use areas where further cleanup efforts will be disruptive.
Oil removal to allow recovery/re-colonisation without causing more harm than natural removal of oil residues	• This termination point is used where further oil removal will result in excessive habitat disruption (e.g. trampling of soft sediments and plant roots, mixing oil deeper, extensive sediment removal, vegetation cutting) or high biota mortality (e.g. from high-pressure, hot-water washing of intertidal communities). • It is also used for areas with difficult access, which limits the type of cleanup that can be conducted along that shoreline segment. • Consider the potential for erosion from excessive sediment removal, particularly where erosion/deposition patterns of the beach cycle will rework and clean sediments within an acceptable timeframe.

12.2 Relevant Person Engagement in Termination

Community and relevant person understandings and expectations will play a role in both the decision to terminate a response and the acceptability of the decision. Consultation with these groups will be undertaken by VOGA prior to any termination decisions being implemented.

12.3 Post-response Recovery

Following termination of the incident response, VOGA will undertake an investigation to identify any ongoing impacts to the environment or communities, and provide a coordinated plan for addressing these impacts. A debriefing and post-incident analysis will be undertaken with responders and stakeholders, to identify any improvements to this plan as appropriate.

Section 13 – Incident Command Team (ICT) Arrangements

13.1 ICT Personnel

Arrangements and plan for full-scale activation of ICT resources is provided in Table 13-1. Activation plan is based on OPP2 requirements. During the early stages of a spill response the plan should be validated to ensure appropriate ICT support is provided to in-field operations. For activation of OPP1, the Planning Chief is responsible for reviewing and adjusting the activation plan in Table 13-1.

Key assumptions of the activation plan are:

- The ICT will run for 24 hours a day for up to 10 days before transitioning to a sustainable model as defined by the planning team.
- The ICT shifts will be staggered with Operations and Logistics functions operating 0500 to 1700 and the Planning, Incident Command, and Finance functions operating 0800 to 2000.
- A skeleton crew of 3 will staff the ICT overnight, between 2000 and 0500, in Perth. Night shift consists of Incident commander, administrator and situation unit.
- Vermilion's international associated companies providing ICT staffing remotely overnight.
- The ICT team size should be fit-for-purpose considering:
  - that the span of control should not exceed more than 7 direct reports within the ICT itself
  - that the workload can be spread across other individuals on an as needs basis because the training standards in critical roles are the same
  - the Incident Commander and Section Chief roles are identified as critical to a successful response and must be filled within 12 hours of activation
  - the Incident Commander must have current competence training in PMAOMIR418 and oil spill response to undertake their role
  - the Planning/Logistics/Operations Sections Chiefs must have current competence training in PMAOMIR322 and oil spill response to undertake their role.
- A time-on/time-off roster should be established no earlier than day 7 for continuity of response and no later than day 10 to manage fatigue. Table 13-1 assumes roster change occurs on day 5.
- The response is expected to reach a 'steady state' by day 20, meaning that detailed plans for day 20 to spill termination should be in place by day 20.

The competence and quantity of staff required to successfully respond to a hydrocarbon spill has leveraged off the detailed planning in OPP1 and OPP2, the initial IAP in place for these events. Further, the VOGA response systems, other response plans (e.g. the Logistics Plan and

the OSM BIP) are in place to assist all ICT personnel, particularly in the early stages of a response.

VOGA has the capability to staff an ICT with appropriately trained and competent personnel, to meet the basic resource requirements of an ICT within an hour or full activation within 48 hours. VOGA maintains trained onshore personnel for ICT roles with each core role being assigned between 2 and 5 personnel who can fill the role or support it in an extended ICT. Personnel from the Wandoo facilities (off rostered, and then on-site personnel if conditions enable this) would be available to provide personnel to the IMT within between 48 and 96 hours.

ICT roles can be staffed from any of the following sources:

- VOGA local staff and contractors. Personnel who are required to be available within 12 hours are 'on roster'
- Vermilion International staff (some roles can be filled remotely)
- Consultants (usually with Master Service Arrangements in place)
- Semi-skilled contractors (temporary contract hires)
- AMOSC Staff: Under the AMOSC Service Agreement, AMOSC can provide Industry Liaison Officer
- AMOSC Staff and Core Group: Under the AMOSC Service Agreement and Response Plan, Vermilion is able to scope specific response activities to AMOSC and as such AMOSC are able provide resources as outlined in Table 13-1 through their response structure; this includes support from WA DoT.

Table 13-2 provides a minimum resource and competency requirements by source to enable the resource plan in Table 13-1 to be achieved. VOGA manages our business and capability arrangements to ensure we have sufficient contingency, through training majority of office staff and managing leave; ensuring we have sufficient capacity to maintain access to VOGA numbers as outlined Table 13-2.

In addition, under the National Plan, a National Response Team (NRT), comprising experienced personnel from operator to senior response manager level from Commonwealth/State/NT agencies, industry and other organisations, has been developed. The services of the NRT will be obtained through the Environment Protection Group and AMSA for the release of designated personnel for OSR activities. Personnel resources from these sources have not been included in the estimates of personnel available because they are likely to be deployed by AMSA/WA DoT to support the government response.

Further highly trained staff from OSRL and the Global Response Network can be called upon to staff ICT roles either remotely or later in the response. Personnel resources from these sources have not been included in the estimates of personnel available to ensure surge capability is available.

VOGA has prepared an induction package to enable third party ICT members to gain adequate knowledge of the specific VOGA oil spill arrangements.

13.2 Response Facilities

VOGA's ICT utilise VOGA's Perth office as the primary Incident Command Centre (ICC) for OSR monitoring or incident management activities.

This facility contains information communication technology infrastructure to communicate effectively with the range of parties required in a significant response, private and nearby break out areas, along with sufficient access controls and logistical support for the ICT to operate over a number of weeks or months. In the event a unified command ICT is established with the DoT, a co-located ICC will be established at mutually agreed location.

VOGA also has access to an alternate ICC should a business continuity event, civil unrest, security or capacity issue impede VOGA's capability to fully exercise incident control from the primary facility.

For spills requiring significant field logistical support, a forward operating command area will be located as close as possible to the spill site, most likely within the Port of Dampier (Pilbara Ports Authority), with this team operating from the Port of Dampier administration building, MoF Road Dampier and the port area.

In addition, depending on spill size a forward operating post may be established. The most likely location will be at the supply base near the Port of Dampier. VOGA has arrangements in place with a logistics company to provide forward base and logistical services in Dampier in the event of an oil spill.

13.2.1 ICT Resources and Activation Plan

Table 13-1: ICT resources and activation plan

ICT	Role	Task/Function	Competence		Response arrangement		Total ICT resource need over time				
							Day 1	Day 2	Day 5	Day 10	Day 20
			Skill and attribute assessment	Additional training or experience or qualification required	Source	Immediate need/timeliness of arrangement	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)
CCT	CC Operations Chief	Provides the interface between the ICT and CCT. Provides updates to the CCT regarding IAPs and communicates any needs for support if required. Responsible for ensuring VOGA's corporate objectives are communicated to the ICT and are also reflected in the IAP.	Experience to attain role is sufficient to perform role	Nil	VOGA Local	Within 12 hours	1	1	2	2	2
IC	Incident Commander	Maintain control responsibilities for the incident response. Provide professional OSR command to the IMT. Approve IAP and, where required, engage State Maritime Environmental Emergency Coordinator/DoT Incident Controller for agreement/endorsement of plan for activities within, or potentially impact, WA waters.	Oil spill competence gap between day-to-day role and response role	PMAOMIR418 + OSR Training or IMO Level 3	VOGA Local	Within 1 hour of activation	1	2	4	4	4
IC	Safety Officer	Assesses unsafe situations and develops measures for assuring personnel safety. Confirms safety regulatory authorities and applicable departments have been notified. Ensures implementation of safety measures and monitoring and recording of personnel exposures to hazardous products. Supports accident investigations, recommends corrective action, and prepares accident report.	Oil spill competence gap between day-to-day role and response role	PMAOMIR322 + OSR Training	VOGA Local	Within 24 hours	1	1	2	2	2
IC	Stakeholder Liaison Officer	Coordinates investigation of reportable events. Responsible for managing regulatory engagement and coordinating any regulatory approvals required to implement response strategies. Coordinates engagement of relevant persons who are impacted from the spill or response activities. Acts as the functional interface between these various parties. Implements VOGA Communications Plan, providing media information support and serving as the dissemination point for all VOGA media releases.	Oil spill competence gap between day-to-day role and response role	PMAOMIR322 + OSR Training	VOGA Local	Within 24 hours	1	1	2	2	2

ICT	Role	Task/Function	Competence		Response arrangement		Total ICT resource need over time				
							Day 1	Day 2	Day 5	Day 10	Day 20
			Skill and attribute assessment	Additional training or experience or qualification required	Source	Immediate need/timeliness of arrangement	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)
IC	Public Information Officer	Represent VOGA and provide timely information of the incident and the incident response to government stakeholders.	Full competence overlaps between day-to-day role and response role	Nil	Contractor	Within 24 hours	1	1	2	2	2
IC	Liaison Officer (Industry)	Identifies the assisting and cooperating companies and agencies, including communications link and location; provides list to the CCT. Functions as “point of contact” for assisting and cooperating agency representatives. Responsible for ensuring that parties who have agreed to undertake specific functions under the OPEP are undertaking the functions consistent with the OSR strategies, performance standards and objectives of the VOGA Wandoo Field OPEP.	Full competence overlaps between day-to-day role and response role	PMAOMIR322 + OSR Training	AMOSC Staff	Within 24 hours	1	1	2	2	2
IC	Administration Unit	Record response data in the Incident Log.	Full competence overlaps between day-to-day role and response role	Nil	VOGA Local, Contractors	Within 24 hours	1	2	4	4	4
IC	Administration Unit	Record response data in the Incident Log.	Full competence overlaps between day-to-day role and response role	Nil	Labour Hire	Day 5	0	0	20	40	60
Planning	Planning Chief	Supervises the VOGA ICT and leads the IAP process. Records and displays data for information, planning and programming, allocation and justification. Documents and maintain records of all Wandoo Offshore Installation and VOGA ICT actions. Manages critical information requirements. Coordinate and document the response Incident Action Plan (IAP) including Interfaces with State Maritime Environmental Emergency Coordinator or State Environmental and Scientific Coordinator (ESC) for input into IAP for activities impacting state waters.	Oil spill competence gap between day-to-day role and response role	PMAOMIR322 + OSR Training	VOGA Local	Within 1 hour of activation	1	1	2	2	2
Planning	Consultation Unit	Control the release of the IAP to appropriate stakeholders.	Full competence overlaps between day-to-day role and response role	Nil	Contractor	Within 48 hours	0	1	2	2	2

ICT	Role	Task/Function	Competence		Response arrangement		Total ICT resource need over time				
							Day 1	Day 2	Day 5	Day 10	Day 20
			Skill and attribute assessment	Additional training or experience or qualification required	Source	Immediate need/timeliness of arrangement	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)
Planning	Situation Unit (Day)	Collect information from the field on the incident response status and other in-field observations. Develop maps of oil spill source area, oil spill response areas, and maps of location of response assets for inclusion in IAPs and for communication with response stakeholders (Common Operating Pictures).	Oil spill competence gap between day-to-day role and response role	PMAOMIR322 + OSR Training	VOGA Local	Within 12 hours	1	2	2	2	2
Planning	Situation Unit (Night)	Collect information from the field on the incident response status and other in-field observations. Develop maps of oil spill source area, oil spill response areas, and maps of location of response assets for inclusion in IAPs and for communication with response stakeholders (Common Operating Pictures).	Oil spill competence gap between day-to-day role and response role.	PMAOMIR322 + OSR Training or IMO 2	OSRL or AMOSC	Within 24 hours	0	2	2	2	2
Planning	Environment Unit (Lead)	Manage scientific monitoring activities and any required oiled wildlife response. Conducts net environmental benefit/impact assessments. Responsible for the collection and collation of environment data/advice, e.g. obtains environmental data from OSRA and scientific monitoring (DoT ESC and local sources) with support from Environment Unit Lead (EUL) role. Deploy and control scientific monitoring activities	EUL – potential gap	EUL – bachelor's degree in environmental management/ science; >5 years' experience in environmental management; PMAOMIR322 or IMO 2; Unit – nil (as per contract)	EUL – VOGA local or consultant	EUL - within 24 hours	1	1	2	2	2
Planning	Environment Unit	Manage scientific monitoring activities and any required oiled wildlife response. Conducts net environmental benefit/impact assessments. Responsible for the collection and collation of environment data/advice, e.g. obtains environmental data from OSRA and scientific monitoring (DoT ESC and local sources) with support from EUL role. Deploy and control scientific monitoring activities	Contracted in expertise	Bachelor's degree in environmental management/ science; >5 years' experience in environmental management	Contractor/ Consultants	Within 48 hours	0	3	8	13	16
Planning	Monitoring Coordination Team	Final approval of monitoring scopes of work. Coordinates the oil spill standby and response services. Determine when initiation and termination criteria are met.	Contracted in expertise	Nil – as per contract	Consultants	Within 48 hours	0	2	4	4	4

ICT	Role	Task/Function	Competence		Response arrangement		Total ICT resource need over time				
							Day 1	Day 2	Day 5	Day 10	Day 20
			Skill and attribute assessment	Additional training or experience or qualification required	Source	Immediate need/timeliness of arrangement	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)
Logistics	Logistics Chief	Activate and deploy PT assets and resources to the response. Activate PT supply contracts for the response. Liaison with combat agencies; industry, including adjacent operators and contractors. Responsible for establishing any Simultaneous Operations (SIMOPS) Plan to manage the risk generated by multiple activities. Develops logistics plan to support operations and provides overall resource support to emergency incident sites.	Oil spill competence gap between day-to-day role and response role	PMAOMIR322 + OSR Training	VOGA Local	Within 1 hour of activation	1	1	2	2	2
Logistics	Communications Unit	Provide input into the acquisition, installation and maintenance of communications equipment. Assist the Planning Section to produce the Communications Plan for the effective use of incident communications equipment and facilities; installation and testing of communications equipment; supervision of the Incident Communications Centre; distribution of communications equipment to incident personnel; and the maintenance of communications equipment.	Full competence overlaps between day-to-day role and response role	Nil	Contractor	Within 48 hours	0	1	2	2	2
Logistics	Procurement Unit	The Procurement Unit acquires and distributes equipment and materials for infrastructure support. Ensures supplies are appropriately stored and maintained. Obtain extra resources (people, vehicles, equipment etc.) as required. Includes supporting the incident with the provision of food and drinks to personnel involved in the incident across the ICC and all on-ground sites across different mealtimes and duty shifts (considering specific dietary requirements).	Full competence overlaps between day-to-day role and response role	Nil	VOGA International	Within 48 hours	0	1	6	6	6

ICT	Role	Task/Function	Competence		Response arrangement		Total ICT resource need over time				
							Day 1	Day 2	Day 5	Day 10	Day 20
			Skill and attribute assessment	Additional training or experience or qualification required	Source	Immediate need/timeliness of arrangement	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)
Logistics	Services Unit	Obtains and manages the necessary facilities and accommodation to support operations and incident control and maintains them in working order. Responsible for the setup, maintenance and demobilisation of incident facilities, e.g. base, ICC and staging areas, as well as security services required to support incident operations. Provides sleeping and sanitation facilities for incident personnel and manages Base operations. Each facility is assigned a manager who reports to the facilities unit leader and is responsible for managing the operation of the facility.	Full competence overlaps between day-to-day role and response role	Nil	VOGA International	Within 48 hours	0	1	4	4	4
Logistics	Transport Unit	Responsible for providing transport for personnel, equipment, supplies and food, together with fuelling, mechanical maintenance and security of all equipment and vehicles at the incident. Develop and implement a Traffic Management Plan for in and around the incident.	Full competence overlaps between day-to-day role and response role	Nil	VOGA International	Within 48 hours	0	1	4	4	4
Logistics	Resource Unit	This function gathers, maintains and presents information on incident resources and contributes to the plans for demobilisation. The Resource Unit is responsible for maintaining the status of all assigned tactical resources and personnel at an incident.	Full competence overlaps between day-to-day role and response role	Nil	VOGA International	Within 48 hours	0	1	4	4	4
Operations	Operations Chief	Implement appropriate OSR strategies. Provide communication link in the IMT Incident Command Centre (ICC) with the field response. Control the field-based response activities in collaboration with WA DoT as required.	Oil spill competence gap between day-to-day role and response role	PMAOMIR322 + OSR Training	VOGA Local	Within 3 hours of activation	1	1	2	2	2
Operations	Marine Unit	Provide IMT management of marine activities.	Full competence overlaps between day-to-day role and response role	Nil	AMOSC Core Group	Within 48 hours	0	2	4	8	12
Operations	Shoreline Unit	Provide IMT management of shoreline activities.	Full competence overlaps between day-to-day role and response role	Nil	AMOSC Core Group	Within 48 hours	0	1	2	2	2
Operations	Aviation Unit	Provide IMT management of aviation activities.	Full competence overlaps between day-to-day role and response role	Nil	AMOSC Core Group	Within 48 hours	0	2	2	4	4

ICT	Role	Task/Function	Competence		Response arrangement		Total ICT resource need over time				
							Day 1	Day 2	Day 5	Day 10	Day 20
			Skill and attribute assessment	Additional training or experience or qualification required	Source	Immediate need/timeliness of arrangement	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)	Required/ Need (FTE)
Operations	Waste Management Unit	Work with State Control Agency to support oil spill waste management (DoT and DER).	Full competence overlaps between day-to-day role and response role	Nil	AMOSC Core Group	Within 48 hours	0	2	4	4	4
Operations	Wildlife Unit	Work with State Control Agency to support oil wildlife response (DBCA).	Full competence overlaps between day-to-day role and response role	Nil	AMOSC Staff	Within 48 hours	0	1	2	2	2
Finance	Finance Chief	Provides monetary, insurance, legal, risk and human resources, related administrative functions to support emergency operations and to preserve vital records documenting work performed and associated costs in the event of disaster or major emergency.	Full competence overlaps between day-to-day role and response role	Nil	VOGA Local/ International	Within 24 hours	1	1	2	2	2
Finance	Finance Unit	Monitor and record the ongoing costs of the response and access PT funds to pay for the response.	Full competence overlaps between day-to-day role and response role	Nil	VOGA International	Within 24 hours	1	1	2	6	6
WA DOT Support	As directed by DOT	Mandated resources to support the response activities in State waters.		PMAOMIR322 + OSR Training	VOGA Local	Within 24 hours	3	3	3	3	3
WA DOT Support	As directed by DOT	Mandated resources to support the response activities in State waters.	AMOSC Core Group IMT capable persons have IMO 2 competence	Nil	AMOSC Core Group	Within 48 hours	0	4	8	8	8

Table 13-2: Minimum ICT resource and OSR training needs over time (by source)

Source and role	OSR training: arrangements during response																			
	No specific training					Core group course					PMAOMIR322 + OSR Training (or IMO 2)					PMAOMIR418 + OSR (or IMO 3)				
	Day 1	Day 2	Day 5	Day 10	Day 20	Day 1	Day 2	Day 5	Day 10	Day 20	Day 1	Day 2	Day 5	Day 10	Day 20	Day 1	Day 2	Day 5	Day 10	Day 20
AMOSC Core Group (total)						**0**	**8**	**8**	**8**	**8**	**0**	**1**	**8**	**14**	**18**					
As directed by DOT						0	8	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-					
Aviation Unit						-	-	-	-	-	0	0	2	4	4					
Marine Unit						-	-	-	-	-	0	0	4	8	12					
Shoreline Unit						-	-	-	-	-	0	1	2	2	2					
AMOSC Staff (total)	**1**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**2**						**1**	**1**	**2**	**2**	**2**					
Liaison Officer (Industry)	1	1	2	2	2						1	1	2	2	2					
Wildlife Unit	0	1	2	2	2															
Consultants (total)	**0**	**5**	**12**	**17**	**20**															
Environment Unit	0	3	8	13	16															
Monitoring Coordination Team	0	2	4	4	4															

Source and role	OSR training: arrangements during response																			
	No specific training					Core group course					PMAOMIR322 + OSR Training (or IMO 2)					PMAOMIR418 + OSR (or IMO 3)				
	Day 1	Day 2	Day 5	Day 10	Day 20	Day 1	Day 2	Day 5	Day 10	Day 20	Day 1	Day 2	Day 5	Day 10	Day 20	Day 1	Day 2	Day 5	Day 10	Day 20
Contractor (total)	**0**	**3**	**10**	**10**	**10**															
Communications Unit	0	1	2	2	2															
Consultation Unit	0	1	2	2	2															
Public Information Officer	0	1	2	2	2															
Waste Management Unit	0	0	4	4	4															
Labour Hire (total)	**0**	**0**	**20**	**40**	**60**															
Administration Unit	0	0	20	40	60															
VOGA Local (total)											**7**	**11**	**17**	**17**	**17**	**1**	**2**	**4**	**4**	**4**
As directed by DOT											0	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
Environment Unit Lead											1	1	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
Incident Commander											-	-	-	-	-	1	2	4	4	4
Logistics Chief											1	1	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
Operations Chief											1	1	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
Planning Chief											1	1	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
Safety Officer											1	1	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
Stakeholder Liaison Officer											1	1	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
Situation Unit (Day)											1	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
VOGA Local, Contractors (total)	**1**	**7**	**24**	**24**	**24**															
Administration Unit	1	2	4	4	4															
Communications Unit	0	1	2	2	2															
Procurement Unit	0	1	6	6	6															
Resource Unit	0	1	4	4	4															
Services Unit	0	1	4	4	4															
Transport Unit	0	1	4	4	4															
VOGA Local, VOGA International (total)	**2**	**2**	**4**	**8**	**8**															
Finance Chief	1	1	2	2	2															
Finance Unit	1	1	2	6	6															
OSRL or AMOSC- Situation Unit (Night)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	**0**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**2**	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Total	**12**	**16**	**70**	**99**	**122**	**0**	**8**	**8**	**8**	**8**	**8**	**15**	**29**	**35**	**39**	**1**	**2**	**4**	**4**	**4**

PART 6: Support Plans

Section 14 – Waste Management

14.1 Waste Management Strategy

The purpose of the strategy is to ensure during an OSR, VOGA:

- Engage government agencies to obtain the appropriate waste management approvals necessary for the collection and transportation of waste
- Cater for credible recovered waste during a response
- Activate the key logistic contractors for the storage, transportation and disposal of collected waste
- Ensure the collect segregation practices of waste are undertaken
- Terminate the waste management program on completion of the response.

VOGA waste management guidance for Logistics personnel is contained in VOGA's Emergency Response Logistics Management Plan [VOG-7000-RH-0008].

14.2 Waste Management Activation

Activation of the waste management plan assignments is an action step in the IAP and is the responsibility of the ICT Planning Chief for identification and ICT Logistic Chief for resource assessment and ICT Operations Chief for implementation.

Not all oil spill events will trigger a waste management activation. Once oily waste is planned to be contained or collected, then the waste management plan would be activated.

Key aspects to be acted on are:

- Assessment and decision making – determine the likely volume and types of waste likely to be collected
- Regulatory approvals – apply for DER licence to operate temporary waste storage facility and access DoT OPEP (2015) to commence the recording and waste management approval processes
- Collection/recovery/transportation/storage (intermediate and final) – activation of the Logistic Plan for activation of containment and transportation methods
- Final disposal – monitor the final disposal methods
- Termination.

14.3 Waste Management Basis

To develop a feasible waste management strategy for implementation during a response, VOGA has considered:

- DoT's OPEP (2015) Waste Management Sub-Plan
- VOGA waste contractor's capability – assessed within the OSR Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009]
- WA OPEP Marine Oil Pollution Waste Management Guidelines – provides information regarding the transportation of waste and temporary storages sites including an OSRA output of potential temporary storage sites
- Decanting wastewater at sea JIP
- OSTM outputs for shoreline oiling greater than 100 g/m^2
- Environmental risks and the controls associated with waste management
- Upper credible recovery rate for spill strategies (refer Section 7.6)
- ITOPF technical papers to guide likely waste to hydrocarbon quantities ('bulking rates') associated with these strategies (refer to ITOPF Technical Information Paper 7 and 9) (ITOPF, 20144; 2014e)
- AMSA management and disposal of oily waste and debris information.

14.4 Regulatory Approvals

The waste management plan will require the support of logistics to source storage and transport options and to obtain the necessary approvals required for contaminated waste transportation and disposal.

As the temporary waste storage and treatment facilities will trigger the Category 61 thresholds within the *Environment Protection Act 1986*, a licence to operate will be required from the DER for any storage or treatment of wastes. As Works Approvals and licences can only be prescribed to specific premises, and suitable premises may not be determined until a spill has eventuated, obtaining these formal approvals is not possible in advance.

Specific regulatory approvals required or potentially required are listed in Table 14-1.

Table 14-1: Regulatory approvals for waste management activities

Approval required	Authority	Process
S75 Emergency approval for temporary waste storage	DER	1. Identification of suitable land for operations. 2. Preparation of indicative site plan and operational flowchart. 3. VOGA to request emergency approval for waste storage of DER CEO. 4. Approval granted for 14 days. 5. Further approval requested for extra 14 days if required.
Approval of temporary laydown area	DER	1. Design of temporary laydown area prepared for consultation with DER, DoT and DBCA. 2. VOGA apply for temporary licence at time of incident. 3. Required works commence. 4. Application received, and advertised in prescribed manner. 5. DER issue operating licence for lay-down area.

During offshore recovery operations, it may be beneficial as a waste reduction strategy to discharge low concentrations of oily water recovered back into the boomed area to reduce the bulking factor volume of oily water recovered.

Offshore discharges of oil in WA State waters also fall under WA *Pollution of Waters by Oil and Noxious Substances Act 1987*. If discharge of oily water becomes necessary, approval from:

- AMSA (Commonwealth waters), or
- DoT (State waters) must be obtained through submission of the MARPOL Exception Form for discharges of oily water.

14.5 Waste Practices

Waste will generally be associated from two cleanup locations:

- At-sea response operations
- Shorelines.

Table 14-2 presents a summary of the type of waste generated from these two activities:

Table 14-2: Summary of waste generated

Cleanup location	Type of waste generated
At-sea response operations	• Non contaminated organic materials (pre-impact) • Recovered oil • Contaminated water/oil in water • Contaminated containment and recovery equipment • Containment/storage equipment • Vessel hull • Contaminated PPE, sorbent • Organic and non-organic flotsam and jetsam • Animal carcasses.

Cleanup location	Type of waste generated
Shorelines	• Recovered oil • Water in oil • Contaminated substrata sand, pebbles, rocks • Organic and non-organic flotsam and jetsam • Contaminated organic material seaweed etc. • Animal carcasses • Contaminated recovery and storage equipment • Containment – 20 kg bags, drums, plastic sheeting etc. • PPE • Responders waste for habitation.

Shoreline cleanup and containment and recovery are likely to develop significant volumes of waste. However, particularly for shoreline cleanup, the amount generated will be significantly less given prudent work practices to minimise the amount of hard waste generated, and the likely impact being only a portion of the slick stranding, rather than the mass of volume assumed in shoreline cleanup waste calculations.

VOGA will leverage the waste hierarchy principles of waste reduction, reuse, recycling and disposal to minimise the amount of ultimate waste produced, thus reducing environmental and economic costs.

To reduce and manage the waste volumes during an incident, VOGA will follow, where appropriate and feasible, the work practices contained in Table 14-3.

Table 14-3: Waste minimisation work practices

Oil spill strategy	Waste minimisation work practice
Minimisation	Responder Induction to raise awareness of minimising collection or low/partial contaminated materials minimising collection or low/partial contaminated materials. At-sea operations – Decant waste water at sea as per the OSR JIP-17 and the WA Marine Oil Spill Waste Management Guidelines: • http://oilspillresponseproject.org/sites/default/files/uploads/JIP-17-Decanting.pdf • http://www.transport.wa.gov.au/mediaFiles/marine/MAC-MOP-WasteMgmtGuide.pdf Offshore and onshore – where practical access pre-impact and remove all flatsom and jetsam, miscellaneous material from water and between low-high water mark. Sort and classify waste into appropriate waste streams ASAP at source. Ensure a control on operations to comply with minimisation strategy. Consideration or washable PPE in lieu of disposals where appropriate. Early establishment of Hot and Cold areas to avoid cross contamination. Temporary storage areas are adequately contained plastic sheeting/bunds to avoid secondary contamination.

Oil spill strategy	Waste minimisation work practice
Segregation	Responders Induction on need for waste segregation on work sites to manage waste collection and temporary storage. Segregation to consider the final treatment and disposal options. Sorting waste at source. Use of multiple containers to aid segregation of waste aligned with disposal/treatment practices.
At-sea containment and recovery	Waste reduction – oil/water decanted back into the pocket of the boom to be re-skimmed and concentrated on-board. Oil-in-water concentration increases from 10% to at least 50%, thus reducing the bulking factor. Waste reduction – skimmers will be changed out to maximise the amount oil vs. water captured during the process (i.e. brush/disk skimmers over weir skimmers). The use of brush/disk skimmers can provide 90% oil concentration. Waste storage on-vessel – vessels will use a combination of IBCs and tanks to store larger volumes of oil/water. Temporary waste storage at marine terminal – robust logistics chain to enable efficient vessel/terminal unloading of product with 24/7 operations moving waste to final waste solution. This practice can help even out surges in generation/collection of waste. Final waste movement – 24/7 operations from temporary storage waste to final waste solution.
Shoreline cleanup	Pre-clean shorelines (where appropriate) of debris before oil strands to reduce solid waste. Waste reduction – manual over mechanical recovery. By applying this practice the bulking factor can be halved. Waste reduction – single bulk cleanup of shorelines rather than multiple cleanups. By applying this practice the bulking factor can be halved, however this practice can only be applied where SIMA process supports this. Waste storage on-site – utilising areas of natural containment. This practice can help even out surges in generation/collection of waste. Waste storage on-site – allowing product to be temporary stored in bunds and bins. Final waste movement – 24/7 operations from temporary storage waste to final waste solution.

14.6 DoT Waste Reporting Forms and Processes

Due to DoT's jurisdiction over marine oil pollution response activities in State waters, VOGA will align its process with the DoT OPEP (2015) to streamline the information gathering and recording keeping between VOGA and DoT and use these forms and processes for both Commonwealth and State water impacts.

The following DoT templates and forms may be adopted:

- Appendix C –Temporary Storage Site Suitability Assessment
- Appendix D – Site Waste Management Pro-Forma
- Appendix E – Waste Tracking Form

- Appendix G – Waste Management Sub-Plan Template.

14.7 Key Waste Streams

VOGA's Emergency Response Logistics Management Plan [VOG-7000-RH-0008] appendices present a detailed list of waste streams and likely containment requirements for a typical remote shoreline impact and a vessel offshore booming and recovery operation.

14.7.1 Non-oiled Waste

Prior to impact, recovery of flotsam and jetsam that may be impacted by a spill will greatly reduce the type and volume of oiled waste generated. Pre-impact removal of organic and non-organic waste will be undertaken where time and logistic support is available.

14.7.2 Offshore Oily Waters

Assuming favourable conditions, vessels operating offshore will collect floating oil via trawling booms and skimmers.

Offshore discharges of oily water are specified through MARPOL regulations, which are in turn regulated by AMSA for Commonwealth waters, and the DoT within State waters.

In the event that approval for discharge of the water phase is not obtained through AMSA and/or the DoT, the complete collected fluids will remain in the collection tanks and all will be treated as a collected waste. In this event, the duration of containment and recovery operations will be reduced due to restricted available ullage.

14.7.3 Onshore Oily Waters

It is intended that shoreline storage of liquids will be of short duration, with third-party contractors removing waste as soon as is practicable. All temporary storage of liquids will be performed within bunded areas and as per regulatory requirements.

14.7.4 Solid Wastes

While oil-contaminated sand, rocks and debris from mechanical and manual cleanup operations will have considerable oil-contents (2–10%), recovery of these oils and cleaning of absorbed debris is difficult. At present, there are no readily available treatment options for these materials to reduce waste volumes from the operations, and disposal via landfill or incineration are the only options available.

Oily sands will be collected along the affected coastlines, skip bins will be distributed by telehandlers and readily accessible by clean-up crews. Wastes will then be either collected by operating mobile plant such as excavators, or through manual waste removal (bagged waste), and deposited into these bins.

In areas that are inaccessible by vehicles, barges may be used for the initial transfer operations, and transported to the marine operational base for pickup.

Oil-contaminated sands and soils recovered during the operations will be deemed requiring Class III or Class IV landfills for disposal. In the event that oils are collected in such a form as to be too contaminated for landfill disposal, yet not liquid enough to be incorporated into the waste oil stream, then these solids will be segregated and despatched for incineration.

14.7.5 Oily Organics

In conjunction with oil-contaminated sand, rocks and debris from mechanical and manual cleanup operations, it is anticipated that approximately 5% of the total solids stream will be organic in nature, consisting predominantly of seaweed, seagrasses and animal carcasses. In line with the waste hierarchy, it is desired to segregate these wastes and dispose to a composting facility to be turned into compost suitable for reuse.

Animal carcasses may be collected in plastic bags and stored in refrigerated containers were appropriate for later pathology testing or as directed by DBCA. Authorised third parties will transport the waste to a commercial composter.

14.7.6 Remote Location/Islands

Methods used for cleaning up shorelines on Islands or remote areas of coastline will be similar to those for mainland shorelines. However, unlike on the mainland, the options of large mechanical waste collectors (bulldozers), easily accessible accommodation and immediate waste transfer via trucks is not available. These logistical challenges are overcome through the use of vessels capable of shoreline landings, smaller machinery and helicopters to deliver equipment and personnel and remove collected waste.

Access and all cleanup activities will be conducted via vessels or helicopters and require the establishment of hot/cold/warn areas to mitigate contamination waste collection will generally be undertaken manually with waste collected in 20 kg bags to mitigate manual handling risks. As the response develops, tactical plans will establish if small mechanical equipment can be delivered to remote locations.

14.7.7 Oil Spill Equipment Cleanup

During and after response activities, all oil contaminated PPE and disposable equipment/items will be placed in separate plastic bags and transported in skips to a waste facility for final disposal. Reusable equipment will be placed in skips and transported to the boom maintenance area for cleaning.

14.8 Waste Assessment

An assessment of waste estimates for containment and recovery and shoreline cleanup assumptions have guided VOGA to establish upper maximum waste volumes which have been planned for within this OPEP. Total volume of oil ashore from a single worst case spill trajectory is basis from which oil estimates are calculated using a bulking factor of 10.

The best configuration of waste storage options will be chosen at the time of a spill to ensure the most appropriate size storage is allocated to land based and offshore waste collection.

Waste recovery from open water and onshore will take a few days to ramp up, which will provide time for shore-handling capacity to build sustainable storage and transport capacity.

Sufficient capacity at recovery sites both offshore and onshore to contain above quantities on a daily turnaround basis is required. Transfer points from offshore to onshore require capacity to offload liquid to tankers or vacuum trucks for transport to processing or temporary storage, or swap out of IBCs where these are being used will also be required.

Section 15 – Relevant Person Engagement

15.1 Relevant Persons

Relevant Persons engagement is an important part of emergency management response, whether assisting with coordination of control and mitigation measures, liaison with regulatory bodies or responding to potential impacts on surrounding communities and businesses.

This section outlines the strategy to engage stakeholders during an OSR. The process for engaging relevant stakeholders pre-environmental plan approval or pre-campaign is addressed in the EP.

The stakeholders covered under this section of the plan include:

- Key stakeholders for regulatory approval purposes
- Influencers
- Interested parties (including communities, indigenous landowners and businesses) who are or may potentially be impacted by the oil spill or the associated response activities.

Key response/resource agencies are engaged through other sections of the ICT.

15.2 Relevant Persons Engagement Strategy

15.2.1 Overview

In the very unlikely event of a significant event occurring, VOGA's primary responsibility is to the health and safety of all personnel impacted by the spill or the spill response. The relevant person engagement process will at all times reflect and support this responsibility.

The purpose of the strategy is to ensure during an OSR, VOGA:

- Engage government agencies to obtain the appropriate approvals and address regulatory requirements during an OSR
- Manage/mitigate the impact to surrounding communities, commercial operations including fishing and other petroleum operators
- Keep stakeholders informed as required.

The strategy to engage relevant persons during an OSR is provided in the following section. The engagement can be broken down into five distinct phases:

1. Pre-activity.
2. Activity.
3. Post-spill/pre-exposure.
4. Post-spill/post-exposure.
5. Termination.

The nature and frequency of further and ongoing stakeholder engagement will depend on the scale, duration, impact and other specifics of each incident.

15.2.2 Pre-activity

During this stage of the project, the objective is to ensure that:

- Stakeholders have been defined, classified and consulted as appropriate
- Regulatory requirements are being met
- The socio-economic activities that may be impacted by a potential spill or the response are identified
- Stakeholder contacts list has been checked and updated, if required
- Capability to provide response has been confirmed and outlined in a plan to implement the strategy available.

15.2.3 Activity

During this stage of the project, the focus is to maintain contact details and ensure information on the project and associated EP is accessible. A dedicated email address will be available at all times to interested parties wishing to contact the company.

15.2.4 Post-spill/Pre-exposure

The purpose during this stage is to manage the potential impact that spill and response activities may have on stakeholders. This process is initiated as soon as a spill has occurred and the ICT is activated. During this stage, VOGA manages/mitigates the impact to stakeholders by:

- Continually identifying specific stakeholders who may potentially be impacted by the spill and response strategy
- Where possible, contacting relevant interested parties prior to impact and keeping these stakeholders regularly informed and engaged
- Providing regulatory notifications and updates
- Providing relevant safety information on the event and potential hazards and precautions associated with the spill and response activities
- Confirming the process to engage with stakeholders regarding potential socio-economic impacts the spill and associated response may have and recording stakeholder input and responses
- Providing information to media and engage influencers as required.

15.2.5 Post-spill/Post-exposure

The purpose of this stage is to manage the direct impact that the spill and response activities have on stakeholders. During this stage, VOGA manages and attempts to limit the impact to stakeholders by:

- Continuing to identify specific stakeholders who are being impacted by the spill and response strategy
- Providing relevant safety information on the event and potential hazards and precautions associated with the spill and response activities
- Engaging with relevant interested parties and keeping them regularly informed
- Implementing a process to monitor, report and record socio-economic impacts (positive and negative) as a direct result of a spill and spill response
- Where possible, implementing measures to manage or limit the direct socio-economic impact of the spill and spill response (e.g. counselling, establishing community and recreational centres, providing financial support)
- Providing regulatory notifications and updates
- Providing information to media and engage influencers as required.

15.2.6 Termination of Oil Spill Response

Community and stakeholder understandings and expectations will play a role in both the decision to terminate a response and the acceptability of that decision. Consultation with these groups would be undertaken by VOGA prior to any termination decisions being implemented.

It should be noted that although the OSR may be terminated, there will be a continued and ongoing consultation with stakeholders impacted by the oil spill until a resolution is achieved.

15.2.7 Roles and Responsibilities

The initial high-level division of engagement responsibilities can be summarised as follows:

- Stakeholder Liaison Officer – regulators, VOGA employees and VOGA contractors (not spill responders), VOGA Head Office.
- Logistics Officer – combat agencies; industry, including adjacent operators and contractors (spill responders).
- Public Information Officer:
  - Community Liaison – local communities and interested parties, business, non-governmental organisations
  - Media Liaison – local, national and international media
  - Public Information Controller – manage and coordinate all external communications.

The above three positions are located with the ICT and are involved in the regular debriefs and issuing of the IAP. These positions all report to the Corporate Command Operations Chief either directly or through the Stakeholder Liaison Officer.

15.2.8 Documentation and Record Keeping

All external communications occurring through the ICT, including with government, industry and community stakeholders, are documented in the ICT spill log or each officer's personal log. The Public Information Officer also records and manages all media inquiries and responses.

VOGA maintains comprehensive information on all identified stakeholders, including telephone, email and personnel details, and has access to an external email communications system in the event of impact to its own system.

Section 16 – Operational and Scientific Monitoring

The Wandoo Field OSMP [WAN-2000-RD-0001.04] (Appendix B) has been designed as part of an integrated package of the environmental management documentation including the EP and the OPEP. The OSM BIP is informed by the EP through the identification of the sensitive receptors in the Wandoo Field operating environment that could be impacted during an oil spill. The monitoring activities detailed in the OSM-BIP may also provide a basis for:

- Determining if (and/or when) the goals set for environmental protection are achieved
- 'Testing' the efficacy of predictions of impact presented in the EP
- 'Testing' the effectiveness of the oil spill response strategies within the OPEP.

Figure 16-1: Relationship between OSMP, OPEP and EP



The Wandoo Field OSMP [WAN-2000-RD-0001.04] (Appendix B) will be activated at the same time as the OPEP. The following details regarding the activation and undertaking of the OSMP include:

- Values and receptors to be monitored
- Integration of operational and scientific monitoring
- Operational monitoring plans
- Scientific monitoring plans
- Data governance
- Roles and responsibilities
- Resourcing and capabilities.

Section 17 – Health and Safety

VOGA is committed to the health and safety of all personnel involved in OSR. VOGA's company policies and procedures in regards to safe working practices will be maintained during all OSRs.

Key resources providing OSR support are provided with OH&S information (including Safety Datasheets) as part of the briefing pack.

AMSA has a specific health and safety guideline for marine oil spill operations which includes a risk assessment for OSR operations as well as Standard Operating Procedures for National Plan equipment. This information will be considered in the development of task-specific instructions.

All operational activities will include a process such as a Job Hazard Analysis (JHA) to identify hazards, the risk rating associated with hazards and mitigation measures to ensure a safe work environment.

Section 18 – Logistics Management

The VOGA Emergency Response Logistics Management Plan [VOG-7000-RH-0008] contains outputs from the identification of resources required and the scope of works/services required to deliver those resources. It is maintained as live document based on the resources identified and the Contractor Scope of Works in the OSR Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009]. The plan provides details of the logistics support available to support the implementation of this.

On activation of the ICT for OSR, incident specific logistic plans will be developed to support effective logistics management and deployment. Depending on the size of logistics activities, SIMOPS plans may be developed to manage the hazards associated with multiple logistics interfaces within a confined area. The Logistics Officer is responsible for creating the logistics and SIMOPS plans.

Figure 18-1: Structure of VOGA logistics planning



Table 18-1 provides approximate travel times by air and sea as well as distance in nautical miles between the Wandoo facilities and neighbouring locations. This information can be used to inform the aerial surveillance and aerial dispersant operations of travel times, distances and be used to estimate endurance out on site. Figure 18-2 depicts these travel times and distance on an image of a navigation chart.

Table 18-1: Travelling time between Wandoo facilities and neighbouring locations

Location	Approximate flying time to	Approximate sailing time	Distance (nm)
Wandoo Tanker/CALM Buoy	5 minutes	10 minutes	1.5
Karratha	20 minutes	n/a	48
Dampier	n/a	3.5 hours	35
North Rankin A	15 minutes	3.5 hours	38
Barrow Island	35 minutes	6.5 hours	65
Port Hedland	1 hour 15 minutes	12.5 hours	125
Onslow	1 hour 10 minutes	11.5 hours	115
Perth	2 hours 20 minutes	n/a	n/a

Note: Flying time based on S76 helicopter (@ 140 knots).

Figure 18-2: Distance and travel time to Wandoo facilities from Dampier



Section 19 – Tactical Response Plans

Oil Spill Tactical Response Plans (TRPs) identify site-specific response actions for locations predicted to be contacted by oil in a spill event. Development of these plans reduces the response time and improves the effectiveness of a response.

TRPs include photographs, maps, environmental sensitivity information, and detailed response information of use to responders such as booming locations.

The tactical planning process identifies how an oil spill IAP will be implemented at a specific location. In contrast to the broader OPEP documents, TRPs provide a response perspective with specific short-term actions and details that allow responders to best access, assess, and quickly respond to spills.

VOGA has access to shoreline tactical plans for priority shorelines, either previously obtained or available on request from the relevant titleholders. Priority was identified based primarily on locations with shoreline contact within 7 days and sensitivity rated very high or high, as per marine oil pollution risk assessment and protection priorities for Pilbara region released by WA DoT (reference DOT307215, date October 2017), with consideration of extent and likelihood of shoreline contact.

A gap analysis of available information from titleholders and agencies against priority areas has been undertaken with assistance from DoT and potential cooperative arrangements investigated (Table 19-1). Each listed titleholder has indicated agreement to provision of the relevant TRPs upon request by VOGA at the time of an incident.

Table 19-1 TRP availability

Priority location	Titleholder/Organisation with TRP
Dampier Archipelago	Pilbara Port Authority (PPA), Woodside, Santos, VOGA (Delambre Island)
Montebello Islands	Woodside, Santos
Barrow Island	Chevron
Legendre Island	Woodside, Santos, PPA
Lowendal Island Group	Woodside
Murion Islands	Woodside
Serrurier Island Group	Chevron
North West Cape	Woodside, Santos

References

Australian Fire and Emergency Service Authorities Council Limited (AFESACL) (2013). Australasian Inter-Service Incident Management System (AIIMS) version 4. AFAC East Melbourne, Australia.

Australian Institute for Disaster Resilience (AIDR) (2023). Managing Exercises and Managing Exercises Companion Templates. Australian Government National Emergency Management Agency.

Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) (2020). National Plan for Maritime Environmental Emergencies (National Plan). Available at: https://www.amsa.gov.au/marine-environment/national-plan-maritime-environmental-emergencies/national-plan-maritime

Australian Marine Oil Spill Centre (AMOSC) (2021). Australian Marine Oil Spill Plan (AMOS Plan), Australian Industry Cooperative Oil Spill Response Arrangements. Available at: https://amosc.com.au/amosplan/

ChemCentre of WA (2015). Analysis of Wandoo Crude Weathering Trials and Dispersant Efficacy. Report 14B0368 for Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia.

Department of Transport (DoT) (2015). Oil Spill Contingency Plan. Department of Transport, State Government of Western Australia. Available at: https://www.transport.wa.gov.au/mediaFiles/marine/MAC-P-OSCP-OilSpillContingencyPlan2015.pdf

Department of Transport (DoT) (2023). Western Australian Marine Oil Pollution Emergency Management Plan (WestPlan-MOP). Department of Transport, State Government of Western Australia. Available at: https://www.transport.wa.gov.au/mediaFiles/marine/MAR_P_WA_Incident_Management_Plan.pdf

International Maritime Organisation (IMO) (1973). International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, 1973. Available at: https://www.imo.org/en/about/Conventions/Pages/International-Convention-for-the-Prevention-of-Pollution-from-Ships-(MARPOL).aspx

International Maritime Organisation (IMO) (1990). International Convention on Oil Pollution Preparedness Response and Cooperation 1990 (OPRC). International Maritime Organisation.

IPIECA (2015). A Guide to Oiled Shoreline Clean-up Techniques: Good practice guidelines for incident management and emergency response personnel.

International Tanker Owners Pollution Fund (ITOPF) (2014a). Fate of marine oil spills technical information paper 02. Avilable at: https://www.itopf.org/knowledge-resources/documents-guides/technical-information-papers/

International Tanker Owners Pollution Fund (ITOPF) (2014b). Use of booms in oil spill response technical information paper 03. Avilable at: https://www.itopf.org/knowledge-resources/documents-guides/technical-information-papers/

International Tanker Owners Pollution Fund (ITOPF) (2014c). Use of dispersants to treat oil spills technical information paper 04. Avilable at: https://www.itopf.org/knowledge-resources/documents-guides/technical-information-papers/

International Tanker Owners Pollution Fund (ITOPF) (2014d). Clean up of oil on shorelines technical information paper 7. Avilable at: https://www.itopf.org/knowledge-resources/documents-guides/technical-information-papers/

International Tanker Owners Pollution Fund (ITOPF) (2014e). Disposal of oil and debris technical information paper 09. Avilable at: https://www.itopf.org/knowledge-resources/documents-guides/technical-information-papers/

Moore, J. (2007). The Arctic SCAT Manual: A Field Guide to the Documentation of Oiled Shorelines in Arctic Environments. Environment Canada, Edmonton, AB, Canada. By Jon Moore Coastal Assessment, Liaison & Monitoring for UK Maritime & Coastguard Agency.

NOPSEMA (2024). Oil Pollution Risk Management Guidance Note, N-04750-GN1488 A382148.

Oil Spill Response (2011). Shoreline Operations Field Guide: A guide to operational and monitoring requirements for shoreline clean-up operations. Series number 8, December 2011.

Polaris Applied Science Inc. (2009). Guidelines and strategies for oil spill waste management in Arctic regions. Report prepared for Joint Secretariat Inuvialuit Renewable Resources Committees.

RPS (2024a). Vermilion Kullingal Oil Spill Modelling Report GOC367176. November 2024.

RPS (2024b). Vermilion B16 Oil Spill Modelling Report MAQ0852J. July 2024.

Appendices

Appendix A
Wandoo Crude Weathering Trials and Dispersant Efficacy Testing Report

Our ref: 14B0368
Contact: Leif Cooper
Phone: 9422 9933
Email: lcooper@chemcentre.wa.gov.au

Merome Wright
Vermilion Oil & Gas
Level 5, 30 The Esplanade,
Perth, WA, 6000

ANALYSIS OF WANDOO CRUDE: WEATHERING TRIALS AND DISPERSANT EFFICACY

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ChemCentre were requested by Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia (VOGA) to conduct an oil spill study of Wandoo crude oil in a Mackay Chamber. Spills of Wandoo crude were simulated under both summer and winter weather conditions, and the summer weathering conditions were used to test both fresh and weathered oils for their dispersibility with five different dispersants (Ardrox, Corexit 9500A, Dasic Slickgone EW, Dasic Slickgone NS, and Finasol OSR 52).

Over half of the oil spilled on the water evaporates after 10 days of weathering in summer conditions, while ten days of winter weathering results in over a third of the oil evaporating. Dispersant trials were conducted under summer weathering conditions. All the dispersants tested were effective at dispersing a large percentage of the oil on the water up to three days after the spill.

INTRODUCTION

VOGA requested ChemCentre to conduct a study on Wandoo crude oil, and estimate a 'window-of-opportunity' for dispersant use in case of a spill. The study was broken up into several tasks.

- Task 1: Mackay Chamber weathering trials on Wandoo Crude using summer and winter conditions
- Task 2: Artificially create large volumes of weathered Wandoo Crude
- Task 3: Dispersant trials on fresh Wandoo Crude in the Mackay Chamber
- Task 4: Dispersant trials on Wandoo Crude at different weathering states in the Mackay Chamber
- Task 5: Compile report (including video footage of dispersant trials)

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION

A sample of Wandoo crude was received from VOGA. The following dispersants were also received:

- Ardrox
- Corexit 9500A
- Dasic Slickgone EW
- Dasic Slickgone NS
- Finasol OSR 52

MACKAY CHAMBER

The Mackay Chamber (also called the Mackay-Nadeau-Steelman test apparatus or MNS Chamber) is recognised internationally as the method of choice for simulating the behaviour of oil at sea. While no laboratory test can precisely reproduce the conditions at sea of an actual spill, the Mackay Chamber controls the major parameters that affect the weathering of oil – sea temperature, air temperature, and wind speed (which determines wave energy).

The Mackay Chamber is pictured in Figure 1. The test sea water sits in the inner tank. The inner tank sits within the outer tank which acts as a temperature-controlled water bath, which indirectly heats (or cools) the sea water in the inner tank to the appropriate sea temperature. The air inlet and outlet pipes create a circular wind pattern inside the inner tank. A valve on the air inlet line is calibrated to simulate the appropriate wind speed, and the inlet line runs through a second temperature controlled water bath to reach the appropriate air temperature.

Figure 1



There are two main applications of the Mackay Chamber: (1) simulation of a spill over several days to observe the changes to an oil as it weathers, and (2) testing dispersants on an oil spill to determine their efficacy (both on fresh and weathered oils).

SCOPE OF WORK

ChemCentre were requested by VOGA to undertake the following tests.

Weathering

Two separate Mackay Chamber trials were requested, with weathering to take place over typical summer conditions (to represent a 'reasonable-worst-case' scenario), and typical winter conditions (to represent a 'reasonable-best-case scenario). The sea state conditions were provided by VOGA, and are listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Climate conditions

Season	Summer	Winter
Sea temperature	26°C	26°C
Air temperature	28°C	22°C
Wind Speed	15 knots	10 knots
Sea salinity	36‰	36‰

ChemCentre were asked to take samples of weathered oil after 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 10 days, and the weathering loss of the oil at these times was to be calculated. If the weathering loss of the oil had not plateaued after 10 days, an additional sample was to be taken at 21 days.

Heat Assisted Volume Reduction

To accommodate dispersant trials, a larger volume of weathered oil is required. ChemCentre were requested to make up larger volumes of artificially weathered oil to replicate oil weathered under summer conditions after 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 10 days.

Dispersant trials

Five separate dispersants were sent to ChemCentre for testing: Ardrox, Corexit 9500A, Finasol OSR 52, Slickgone EW, and Slickgone NS. ChemCentre were requested to initially test all five dispersants on fresh oil and the HAVR oils simulating 1 and 2 days of summer weathering. If the dispersibility of Wandoo crude was greater than a critical dispersibility threshold (set at 15% by Vermilion Oil & Gas), then further dispersibility trials would be done successively on 3, 4, 5, and 10 day weathered oils. If the dispersibility of any oil dropped to below the 15% threshold, testing on further weathered oils with that dispersant would cease.

METHODS

Weathering trial

Oil (10 mL) is placed in the Mackay Chamber with 10 L of sea water under the appropriate environmental conditions (sea temperature, air temperature, wind speed, and salinity).

Samples of the oil are taken from the Mackay Chamber at several time points (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, and 21 days). The samples are then analysed by gas chromatography with flame ionisation detection (GC-FID). The area of the whole oil chromatogram is then compared to the area of some late eluting 'biomarker' peaks. These peaks can be seen in the chromatogram eluting between 19 and 22 minutes, and are resistant to weathering. An increase in biomarker concentration is observed as the oil weathers, and this increase is used to calculate the weathering loss of the oil.

Heat Assisted Volume Reduction (HAVR)

The small samples taken during the weathering trial are insufficient to use in a dispersant trial, but the data collected from the loss calculations can be used to artificially create larger volumes of weathered oil. Heat is gently applied to a pre-weighed sub-sample of fresh crude. The volatile components of the crude oil evaporate until the appropriate weight loss is achieved.

Dispersant trial

Oil (10 mL) is placed in the oil containment ring of the Mackay Chamber with 10 L of sea water under the summer conditions previously used in the weathering trial. Dispersant (500 µL) is added dropwise and allowed to soak into the oil for one minute before the containment ring is released and the oil-dispersant mixture allowed to spread over the waves in the chamber. After ten minutes of wave action a sample of the water is taken (called '10A') and the 'wind' is turned off to allow the water to come to rest. After five minutes of quiescence another water sample was taken (called '5Q').

The 10A and 5Q water samples are extracted with an organic solvent and analysed by GC-FID together with standards of Wandoo crude (fresh or weathered, as appropriate). The total peak area under the chromatogram is proportional to the concentration of oil in the extract. In this way, the total mass of oil dispersed in the water column can be calculated. The 10A result represents the total amount of oil dispersed through the water column, and is most relevant to most ocean conditions. During the five minutes of quiescence that occurs between taking the two samples, larger droplets of oil will rise to the surface, rather than being held under by the constant agitation of wave energy. The 5Q result therefore represents oil that is chemically entrained in the water column, and not the oil that is physically dispersed.

The 10A value is considered to be the best measure of dispersibility, and throughout this report, 'efficacy' of a dispersant refers to this result.

RESULTS

Weathering trial

The results of the weathering trials are shown in Table 2. GC chromatograms showing the loss of volatile components of the Wandoo Crude appear in Appendix A.

Table 2

Time	Summer conditions Loss (w/w%)	Winter conditions Loss (w/w%)
1 day	19%	20%
2 days	29%	23%
3 days	36%	23%
4 days	43%	28%
5 days	48%	29%
10 days	53%	34%
21 days	55%	38%

Heat Assisted Volume Reduction (HAVR)

The reductions in Table 3 were applied to sub-samples of Wandoo Crude. These losses were chosen to replicate the Wandoo Crude after various time points used in the summer weathering trial.

Table 3

HAVR	A	B	C	D	E	F
Loss (w/w%)	19%	29%	36%	43%	48%	53%

Dispersant trials

The results of the dispersion trials appear in Table 4. For each dispersant, '10A' represents the percentage of the weathered oil that has dispersed through the water column after 10 minutes of agitation. The percentage of oil that remains dispersed after a further 5 minutes of settling is reported under '5Q'.

Table 4

Dispersant	Ardrox		Corexit 9500A		Finasol OSR 52		Slickgone EW		Slickgone NS	
Oil	10A	5Q	10A	5Q	10A	5Q	10A	5Q	10A	5Q
Fresh	86%	44%	100%	96%	100%	78%	100%	59%	100%	53%
A – 1 day weathered	72%	39%	79%	53%	78%	58%	100%	72%	100%	93%
B – 2 days weathered	82%	47%	84%	64%	63%	17%	100%	85%	100%	91%
C – 3 days weathered	89%	37%	100%	86%	100%	56%	100%	46%	100%	61%
D – 4 days weathered	49%	29%	66%	39%	78%	68%	100%	35%	93%	24%
E – 5 days weathered	25%	0%	54%	3%	98%	56%	39%	8%	5%	0%
F – 10 days weathered	13%	3%	35%	7%	31%	21%	23%	7%	-	-

Additionally, a trial was also conducted testing the natural dispersibility of Wandoo Crude (i.e. 10 mL of crude oil with no dispersant). This test was conducted under the same summer conditions as the dispersant trials. No natural dispersion was observed (efficacy = 0%). Note that AMSA accepts a dispersant on to the Oil Spill Control Register if it has a dispersant efficacy of 70%.

DISCUSSION

Note: In ChemCentre's experience, the following descriptions are appropriate for different dispersibility levels of Wandoo Crude:
Efficacy > 90% *Excellent*
Efficacy 60-90% *Good*
Efficacy 40-60% *Moderate*
Efficacy 10-40% *Poor*
Efficacy < 10% *Very poor*

The weathering trial showed that both summer and winter conditions initially gave similar weathering, but after the first day the summer conditions volatilised more of the oil than the winter conditions, with only 45% of the oil remaining on the water after 3 weeks of summer, compared with 62% of oil remaining on the water after three weeks of winter.

The dispersant trials gave particularly good results for all dispersants if used in the first three days. Of note was the increase in efficacy observed in most samples on a 3-day weathered sample over a 2-day weathered sample. While some variability in results might be expected, three of the dispersants had increased efficacy after three days, while the remaining two (Slickgone NS and Slickgone EW) were already giving 100% dispersant efficacy. While this result might suggest that spill responders dealing with a 2-day old slick might choose to wait a day to improve their response, this is not recommended due to the difficulty in ensuring that lab trials match the exact weathering conditions of a real spill.

The apparent increase in efficacy was checked by repeating several of the trials (Corexit 2- and 3-day, and Finasol 3-, 4-, and 5-day). The results of the repeat trials were mostly consistent with the original trials (see Table 5).

Table 5

Trial	Original results		Repeat trial results	
	10A	5Q	10A	5Q
Corexit 9500A 2-day	84%	64%	66%	43%
Corexit 9500A 3-day	100%	86%	100%	88%
Finasol OSR 52 3-day	100%	56%	100%	69%
Finasol OSR 52 4-day	78%	68%	68%	45%
Finasol OSR 52 5-day	98%	56%	95%	23%

Ardrox shows good efficacy in the first 3 days, but shows moderate to poor dispersibility beyond that.

Corexit 9500A shows good to excellent efficacy in the first 3 days, but a decrease in dispersibility beyond that.

Finasol OSR52 gave quite erratic results, even after checking several of the results with repeat tests. Despite this, good to excellent efficacy is shown on oils up to 5 days weathered, but poor dispersibility on 10-day weathered oil.

Slickgone NS shows excellent dispersibility oils up to 4 days weathered, but a dramatic drop in dispersibility on 5-day weathered oil. Due to the failure of this dispersant to reach a critical dispersibility of 15%, the remaining 10-day weathered dispersant trial was not conducted, as it is expected to give very poor dispersibility. The dramatic cut-off from very high dispersibility to virtually no dispersibility is also cause for caution – if the exact weathering of a real spill differs slightly from the lab trial, then this dramatic cut-off may occur earlier. Caution must therefore be used in using Slickgone NS after two days.

Slickgone EW shows excellent dispersibility oils up to 4 days weathered, but poor dispersibility on 5- and 10-day weathered oil. There is also a sudden drop from excellent dispersion at day 4 to poor dispersion at day 5. Caution is advised if using Slickgone EW after three days.

These dispersibility results are only applicable given the summer conditions set for the Mackay Chamber. Dispersibility under winter conditions may change, although without testing, it is impossible to know what that change may be. In general, colder conditions lead to more viscous oil, which is harder to disperse, leading to lower dispersant efficacies. On the other hand, the lower degree of weathering observed indicates that the window-of-opportunity for dispersant use may be longer, as increased weathering in summer also leads to lower dispersant efficacies.

Leif Cooper
Senior Chemist
12 May 2015

APPENDIX A

GC chromatograms of fresh and weathered oil

Wandoo crude, fresh



Wandoo crude, summer, 1 day weathering



Wandoo crude, summer, 2 days weathering



Wandoo crude, summer, 3 days weathering



Wandoo crude, summer, 4 days weathering



Wandoo crude, summer, 5 days weathering



Wandoo crude, summer, 10 days weathering



Wandoo crude, summer, 21 days weathering



Fresh Wandoo crude



Wandoo crude, winter, 1 day weathering



Wandoo crude, winter, 2 days weathering



Wandoo crude, winter, 3 days weathering



Wandoo crude, winter, 4 days weathering



Wandoo crude, winter, 5 days weathering



Wandoo crude, winter, 10 days weathering



Wandoo crude, winter, 21 days weathering



Appendix B
WAN-2000-RD-0001.04 Wandoo Field Operational and Scientific Monitoring Bridging Implementation Plan

VERMILION
Oil & Gas
Australia Pty. Ltd.

VERMILION OIL & GAS AUSTRALIA

Operational and Scientific Monitoring Bridging Implementation Plan

VOG-1100-RG-0002

(formerly titled Wandoo Field Operational and Scientific Monitoring Plan [WAN-2000-RD-0001.03])

Revision	Date	Originator	Checker	Approver
0	28/02/2025	Mandy Walker	Sally Shepheard	Noel Bennett
		Oil Spill Response Coordinator	Environment Advisor	Operations Manager

Revision control sheet

KEEP THIS SHEET IN THE FRONT OF THE MANUAL

Revision	Date	Description	Originator	Checker	Approver
A	13/12/2024	Issued for Review	MW	SS	NB
0	28/02/2025	Issued for Use	MW	SS	NB

Document 'holds'

Items that have been recognised as requiring further development. These items are listed in the table below and will be addressed in the next revision of this document.

Section and heading	Page number

Distribution list

No.	Location	Title (if applicable)
1	VOGA Perth Office Document Control – Electronic Master	
2	Wandoo B Platform – Electronic Copy	
3	VOGA Intranet – Electronic Copy	
4	Wandoo B Platform – HSE MS Library	

Table of contents

References **7**

Overview **8**

Part A Preparedness **9**

Section 1 Introduction **10**
1.1 Scope 12

Section 2 EMBA and identification of locations for baseline review **14**
2.1 Consolidated EMBA 14
2.2 Locations requiring a baseline review 14

Section 3 Baseline information **18**
3.1 Data.gov.au 18
3.2 Australian Ocean Data Network 18
3.3 Western Australian Oil Spill Response Atlas 18
3.4 The Atlas of Living Australia 18
3.5 Index of Marine Surveys Assessment 18
3.6 Other sources 19

Section 4 Baseline data review **20**

Section 5 OSM organisational structure **26**

Section 6 OSM roles and responsibilities **29**

Section 7 Mobilisation and timing of OMP and SMP implementation **30**

Section 8 Resourcing requirements **36**

Section 9 Capability demonstration **43**
9.1 Personnel competencies 43
9.2 Equipment 44
9.3 Exercises 45

Section 10 Capability assessment **46**

Section 11 Review of plan **51**

Part B Implementation **52**

Section 12 Mobilisation and activation **53**

Section 13 Monitoring priorities **55**

Section 14 Protected Matters requirements **57**

Section 15 Finalising monitoring design 58

Section 16 Mobilisation 59

Section 17 Permits and access requirements 61

Section 18 Use of data in response decision-making 63
18.1 Operational monitoring to inform response activities 63
18.2 Impacts from response activities 66
18.3 Operational monitoring of effectiveness of control measures and to ensure EPS are met 66

Section 19 Data management 68

Section 20 Quality assurance and quality control 69

Section 21 Communication protocols 70
21.1 OSM Service Provider 70
21.2 External stakeholders 71

Section 22 Stand down process 72

Section 23 References 73

Appendices 74
Appendix A : Background information for key sensitivities 74
References 95
Appendix B: OSM baseline data sources 101
Appendix C: OSM services provider call out order form 131

List of figures

Figure 1-1 Environment that May Be Affected 12
Figure 4-1: Summary of the process for identifying first-strike monitoring priorities 22
Figure 5-1: Vermilion ICT structure 27
Figure 5-2: Vermilion ICT structure with OSM team 28

List of tables

Table 1-1: Key documents in Vermilion's environmental management framework 10
Table 2-1: Vermilion worst-case spill scenarios used to determine the planning area for operational and scientific monitoring 16
Table 2-2: Locations in the EMBA requiring a baseline review (all locations predicted to be contacted within 7 days at the low thresholds and a probability >5% from all worst-case scenarios presented in Table 2-1) 17
Table 4-1: Key parameters and key methodology from the Joint Industry SMPs 23
Table 4-2: Assessment criteria for baseline data review 24
Table 4-3: Recommended priority monitoring locations versus SMPs 25
Table 6-1: Roles and responsibilities for OSM 29
Table 7-1: Indicative OMP and SMP implementation schedule for OSM activities if initiation criteria are met 31
Table 8-1: Deterministic modelling results based on a 25,555 m^3 surface release of Wandoo Crude over 43 days 36
Table 8-2: Resources required for key OSM coordination roles 38
Table 8-3: Resources required for implementing operational monitoring plans 38
Table 8-4: Resources required for implementing scientific monitoring plans 39
Table 9-1: OSM services provider preparedness and activation / monitoring services 43
Table 9-2: OSM equipment 44
Table 9-3: Exercise types 45
Table 10-1: OSM capability 47
Table 12-1: OSM mobilisation and activation process 53
Table 13-1: Checklist for determining monitoring priorities 55
Table 14-1: Checklist for inclusion of protected matters into monitoring designs 57
Table 15-1: Checklist for finalising monitoring design 58
Table 16-1: Checklist for mobilisation of monitoring teams 59
Table 17-1: Permits required in EMBA 61
Table 18-1: Checklist for utilising OMP data to inform ICT decision-making 63
Table 18-2: Data generated from each OMP and how this may be used by ICT in decision-making 64
Table 18-3: Relevant OPEP Environmental Performance Standards related to operational monitoring 66
Table 22-1: Checklist for terminating monitoring components 72

References

Document Number	Title
WPA-7000-YH-0007	Wandoo Facility Environment Plan
WPA-7000-YH-0001	Wandoo Well Construction EP
WAN-2000-RD-0001.01	Wandoo Field Oil Spill Contingency Plan – Planning and Preparedness
WAN-2000-RD-0001.02	Wandoo Field Oil Spill Contingency Plan Document 2 – Oil Pollution Emergency Plan
WAN-2000-RD-0001.03	VOGA Operational and Scientific Monitoring Plan
VOG-7000-RH-0009	VOGA Oil Spill Response Capability Review
VOG-7000-RH-0008	VOGA Emergency Response Logistics Management Plan

Overview

This Operational and Scientific Monitoring Bridging Implementation Plan is presented in two parts:

- Part A: Preparedness outlines the relationship between Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (Vermilion) environmental management document framework and the Joint Industry Operational and Scientific Monitoring (OSM) Framework (APPEA, 2021).
- Part B Implementation provides operationally focussed guidance for Vermilion personnel and OSM Service Providers and/or sub-contracted Monitoring Service Providers to coordinate the implementation of monitoring plans.

Part A Preparedness

Preparedness outlines the relationship between Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (Vermilion) environmental management document framework and the Joint Industry Operational and Scientific Monitoring (OSM) Framework (APPEA, 2021).

Section 1 Introduction

OSM is a key component of the environmental management document framework supporting offshore petroleum activities, alongside Environment Plans (EP) and Oil Pollution Emergency Plans (OPEP). Vermilion has elected to use the Joint Industry OSM Framework and supporting operational monitoring plans (OMPs) and scientific monitoring plans (SMPs) as the foundation of its OSM approach. The Joint Industry OSM Framework is available on the Australian Energy Producers (AEP) Environment Publications Webpage.

The framework contains two primary monitoring components; operational monitoring (OM) and scientific monitoring (SM). OM aims to provide situational awareness of a hydrocarbon spill, enabling Incident Management Teams (ICT) to arrange timely and effective spill response and continually monitor the effectiveness of the response. SM is implemented to determine the extent, severity and persistence of environmental impacts from a hydrocarbon spill as well as inform potential remediation activities.

Use of the Joint Industry OSM Framework requires each Titleholder to develop a Bridging Implementation Plan (BIP) (this Plan) which fully describes how the Framework interfaces with the Titleholder's own activities, spill risks, OSM capability and internal management systems. To support transition to the Joint Industry OSM Framework. The National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) issued a Regulatory Advice Statement (RAS) to guide adoption of the Framework in August 2021.

This Plan describes Vermilion's activities, spill risks, and internal management systems and determines no reduction in performance standards or capability to manage risk in adoption of the Joint Industry OSM Framework.

Table 1-1 describes key documents that form Vermilion's environmental management framework. Note that this is not an exhaustive list and additional documents are listed in the activity specific Vermilion OPEPs.

Mobilisation of OSM should follow the process listed in Section 12 Mobilisation and activation .

Table 1-1: Key documents in Vermilion's environmental management framework

VOGA documents	Description
Activity specific Environment Plan (EP)	Each activity-specific EP describes the activity, location, the environment, the risks to the environment as a result of the activity and the associated management controls. Of particular relevance to this BIP, it identifies sensitive receptors, credible spill scenarios, potential impacts from hydrocarbon spills and the environment that may be affected (EMBA).
Activity specific Oil Pollution Emergency Plan (OPEP)	Each activity-specific OPEP provides the activation and response process for the credible spill scenarios, including incident management, and detailed implementation guidance for individual response strategies.
Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Oil Spill Response Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009]	The purpose of this document is to understand the required and current capability for VOGA's oil spill response preparedness. Capability is used in the context of this document as the arrangements, contracts, Memoranda of Understanding (MoU), directories and agreements in place with service providers and personnel who may be involved in response efforts for an oil spill incident.
Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Emergency Response Logistics	This document contains details of contractors and service providers engaged to undertake oil spill response activities and supporting services such as transport and accommodation.

VOGA documents	Description
Management Plan [VOG-7000-RH-0008]	
Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Wandoo Emergency Response Plan (ERP) [VOG-2000-RD-0017]	Outlines the emergency response procedures for Vermilion operations, including marine maintenance, and drilling and completions activities conducted in the Wandoo Field. It provides guidance on the initial response process, responder checklists, reference guides and Incident Command Team forms.
Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Emergency Contact List [VOG-2000-RD-0050]	Contains all relevant contact and communications information to enable effective communication amongst the response personnel and external stakeholders, including relevant OSM contacts.

1.1 Scope

This Operational and Scientific Monitoring – Bridging Implementation Plan (OSM-BIP) addresses the requirements of the Offshore Petroleum Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023 (OPGGS (E) Regulations) for all Vermilion activities within the Wandoo Facility Environment Plan [WPA-7000-YH-0007] (Figure 1-1) and the Well Construction Environment Plan [WPA-7000-YH-0001] (Figure 1-1). This BIP applies to all Vermilion activities which have an EP accepted by Commonwealth and State regulators and supersedes Vermilion's Wandoo Field Operational and Scientific Monitoring Plan [WAN-2000-RD-0001.03].



Figure 1-1 Environment that May Be Affected

A Field Change Management: Management of Change (MoC) Screening Checklist (No. 6642) has been compiled to document Vermilion's transition to, and adoption of, the Joint Industry OSM Framework via this OSM-BIP. This MoC also describes the process for replacing accepted SM and OM components within existing Vermilion OPEPs. It is accompanied by technical note Joint Industry OSM Gap Analysis [VOG-1000-RH-0037] , that contains a comparison of the OMPs and SMPs in the existing Operational and Scientific Monitoring Plan [WAN-2000-RD-0001.03] and the Joint Industry OSM Framework to ensure that performance and capability is not diminished by adoption of the framework.

For all new activities, there are three main steps for assessing whether this OSM-BIP adequately covers the OSM requirements for each new activity, these include the following:

- Determine if the new activity Environment that May be Affected (EMBA) fits within the OSM-BIP Combined EMBA, as outlined in Section 2.1.
- Determine the locations requiring a baseline review (as described in Section 2.2) and whether these locations are currently included in Table 2-2.
- Determine whether the capability requirements and monitoring arrangements of the new activity exceed or are met by the capability requirements outlined in Section 8 and capability arrangements described in Section 10 and Section 11.

Prior to submission for regulatory approval, each new/revised OPEP shall document whether the OSM-BIP adequately covers the OSM requirements as per the three elements described above. If additional operational and/or scientific monitoring capability is required for a new activity above the OSM capability described in Section 10, prior to submission the Environment/Project Team will follow Vermilion's EP MOC and risk assessment process, and the OSM-BIP will be updated with the new capability requirements before the activity commences

Vermilion will implement OSM, as applicable, for oil spills across both State and Commonwealth waters. In the event that control of scientific monitoring in State waters is taken over by the Western Australian Department of Transport (WA DoT) under advice from the State Environmental Scientific Coordinator (ESC), Vermilion will follow the direction of WA DoT as Control Agency and provide all necessary resources (monitoring personnel, equipment and planning) to assist as a supporting agency.

Section 2 EMBA and identification of locations for baseline review

2.1 Consolidated EMBA

This OSM-BIP provides monitoring guidance and arrangements for all activities referred to in Table 2-1. Therefore, a single consolidated EMBA has been prepared to represent all of these activities and the resultant geographical extent of this OSM-BIP. The consolidated EMBA corresponds to the low exposure values using stochastic modelling results applying the following thresholds:

- 1 g/m^2 floating oil thickness, which is considered to be below levels which would cause environmental harm and is more indicative of the areas perceived to be affected due to its visibility on the sea surface
- 10 g/m^2 for accumulated (shoreline) oil, which represents the area visibly contacted by the spill
- 10 ppb for dissolved hydrocarbons corresponds generally with potential for exceedance of water quality triggers
- 100 ppb entrained hydrocarbons represents the low exposure zone and corresponds generally with potential for exceedance of water quality triggers.

The Consolidated EMBA has been determined based on the modelling results for the activities and worst-case credible spill scenarios outlined in Table 2-1. These spill scenarios are considered representative of Vermilion's worst-case credible scenarios given the extent of their EMBAs, hydrocarbon type, proximity to receptors, minimum time to contact and their representation of Vermilion's operational locations.

For a description of the environment within each EMBA, refer to the activity-specific EPs. The activity-specific EPs include the following pertinent information: protected matters and any associated recovery plans/conservation advice, key ecological features (KEFs), protected areas, significant socio-economic industries, and culturally significant places.

2.2 Locations requiring a baseline review

Baseline monitoring provides information on the condition of ecological receptors prior to, or spatially independent (e.g. if used in control chart analyses) of, a spill event and is used for comparison with post-impact scientific monitoring, where required.

NOPSEMA OSMP Information Paper N-04750-IP1349 A343826 provides guidance on what is considered to be adequate environmental baseline. "*…an environmental baseline dataset may be considered adequate if it would allow the titleholder to confidently detect spill effects in view of natural background spatial and temporal variability, and determine the extent, severity and persistence of oil spill impacts on environmental values and sensitivities relevant to the area of interest*."

Locations requiring a baseline data review have been drawn from the worst-case spill scenarios listed in Table 2-1 and based on the stochastic modelling results of each activity. Locations and associated receptors requiring a baseline data review were identified as those sensitive receptors contacted by hydrocarbons at the low threshold for entrained (≤10 ppb), dissolved (≤10 ppb), floating (≤1 g/m^2), and shoreline contact (≤10 g/m^2), within 7 days (7 days was used to delineate the first-strike monitoring response) at a probability >5%. Table 2-2 provides a cumulative list of all the locations identified and Appendix A lists the key receptors/sensitivities associated with each of these locations.

Monitoring priorities are subsequently identified as those locations and associated receptors predicted to be contacted within 7 days at a probability >5%, and where baseline data is either not available or not sufficient (as depicted in Table 4-3 and outlined in Section 4).

Table 2-1: Vermilion worst-case spill scenarios used to determine the planning area for operational and scientific monitoring

Environment Plan / OPEP	Hydrocarbon Type	Scenario	Release Duration	Volume (m^3)
Wandoo Facility Environment Plan [WPA-7000-YH-0007] and Wandoo Field Oil Spill Contingency Plan [WAN-2000-RD-0001.02]	Wandoo Crude	Liquid hydrocarbon release from wells	68 days	4,364
	Wandoo Crude	Liquid hydrocarbon release from export equipment, submarine hose, floating hose or export flow lines	Instantaneous	10,000
	Wandoo Crude	Crude oil spill from the concrete gravity structure (CGS)	24 hours	39,750
	Heavy Fuel Oil	Tanker fuel spill	Instantaneous	1,300
	Marine Diesel Oil (MDO)	Vessel collision	Instantaneous	700
	Wandoo Crude	Loss of crude from export system	Instantaneous	300
Wandoo Well Construction Environment Plan [WPA-7000-YH-0001]	Wandoo Crude	Loss of well control (LOWC)	43 days	25,555

Table 2-2: Locations in the EMBA requiring a baseline review (all locations predicted to be contacted within 7 days at the low thresholds and a probability >5% from all worst-case scenarios presented in Table 2-1)

Grouping	
Australian Marine Parks	
Dampier MP	
Gascoyne MP	
Montebello MP	
Shorelines	
Barrow Island Group	Boodie Island
	Middle Island
Bedout Island	
Dampier Archipelago (Includes RPS oil spill modelling default receptor locations of Cape Bruguieres, Cohen Island, Dolphin Island, Eaglehawk Island, Enderby Island, Gidley Island, Goodwyn Island, Keast Island, Kendrew Island, Legendre Island, Malus Island, Rosemary Island and West Lewis Island)	
Karratha (Includes RPS oil spill modelling default receptor locations of Middle Pilbara – Islands and Shoreline, and Northern Pilbara – Islands and Shoreline)	
Lowendal Islands	
Pilbara Coast Islands Group	Angel Island
	Passage Island
Montebello Islands	
Regnard Island	
State Marine Parks	
Barrow Island MMA	
Barrow Island MP (State)	
Montebello Island MP	
Nature Reserves	
Great Sandy Island NR	
Reefs, Shoals and Banks (RSB)	
Brewis Reef*	
Fortescue Reef*	
Golmar Shoals*	
Madeleine Shoals*	
O'Grady Shoal*	
Rankin Bank*	
Ripple Shoals*	
Tryal Rocks*	

**Submerged receptor*

Section 3 Baseline information

Vermilion has access to a number of different baseline data sources that are relevant to the high-value receptors in the EMBA. These include the Vermilion protected matters data (including habitat/fauna information) and the following external data sources:

3.1 Data.gov.au

Data.gov.au is the central source of Australian open government data published by federal, state and local government agencies. In addition, it includes publicly-funded research data and datasets from private institutions that are in the public interest.

3.2 Australian Ocean Data Network

The Australian Ocean Data Network (AODN) is the primary access point for search, discovery, access and download of data collected by the Australian marine community. Data is presented as a regional view of all the data available from the AODN. Primary datasets are contributed to by Commonwealth Government agencies, State Government agencies, Universities, the Integrated Marine Observing System (IMOS – an Australian Government Research Infrastructure project), and the Western Australian Marine Science Institution (WAMSI).

3.3 Western Australian Oil Spill Response Atlas

The Western Australian Oil Spill Response Atlas (OSRA) is a spatial database of environmental, logistical and oil spill response data. Using a geographical information system (GIS) platform, OSRA displays datasets collated from a range of custodians allowing decision makers to visualise environmental sensitivities and response considerations in a selected location. Oil spill trajectory modelling (OSTM) can be overlaid to assist in determining protection priorities, establishing suitable response strategies and identifying available resources for both contingency and incident planning. OSRA is managed by the Oil Spill Response Coordination unit within the WA DoT Marine Safety and is part funded through the National Plan for Maritime Environmental Emergencies and the Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA). Vermilion ICT members can log in to the OSRA here.

3.4 The Atlas of Living Australia

The Atlas of Living Australia (ALA) is a collaborative, online, open resource that contains information on all the known species in Australia aggregated from a wide range of data providers. It provides a searchable database when considering species within the EMBA. The ALA receives support from the Australian Government through the National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy and is hosted by the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO).

3.5 Index of Marine Surveys Assessment

The Index of Marine Surveys for Assessments (IMSA) is an online portal to information about marine-based environmental surveys in Western Australia. IMSA is a project of the WA Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) for the systematic capture and sharing of marine data created as part of an environmental impact assessment.

3.6 Other sources

Other sources include:

- The Protected Matters Search Tool Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW)
- the WA Department of Biodiversity and Attractions (DBCA) Biodiversity and Conservation Science Annual Reports;
- Australian Institute for Marine Science (AIMS) Research Data Platform;
- WA State of Fisheries Report;
- eAtlas.org.au;
- North West Atlas;
- Western Australian Marine Science Institution;
- Geosciences Australia data and publications;
- Australian Marine Parks Science Atlas; and
- Birdlife Data Zone.

Reports and peer reviewed journal articles were also accessed via research and journal databases such as PubMed and Google Scholar, as well as unpublished monitoring reports. A list of baseline data sources is provided in Appendix B: OSM baseline data sources.

Section 4 Baseline data review

During a spill event, the first-strike monitoring capability will be prioritised to those receptors with insufficient baseline data (deemed first-strike monitoring priorities) to collect baseline data post-spill pre-impact. An overview of the process used to identify first-strike monitoring priorities is outlined in **Error! R eference source not found.**. Where post-spill pre-impact monitoring is not feasible due to short contact times, understanding which receptors have insufficient baseline data will help quickly guide the finalisation of each SMP design and the need to include alternative designs (e.g., the Gradient Approach versus Before-After Control-Impact (BACI) design).

The baseline data assessment includes the following steps:

1. **Identification of locations requiring a baseline review:** Receptor locations predicted to be contacted within 7 days, at a probability greater than 5%, are identified and aligned with OMPs and SMPs.

2. **Collection of baseline data:** Environmental baseline monitoring data relevant to the locations and receptors is located (as per sources outlined in Section 3).

3. **Assessment of baseline data:** The relevance of each data source is assessed:

    a. For each data source obtained, a meta-analysis is performed to determine if the parameters and methods align with the key parameters and methods outlined in the Joint Industry SMPs (Table 4-1), the spatial extent of the data, the sampling effort/duration, and the temporal relevance is also noted. Table 4-2 outlines the overall assessment criteria used for each data source.

4. **Assessment of baseline data:** Regular evaluation of the adequacy (in terms of the likely ability to detect changes between pre-impact and post-impact conditions) of the collective baseline data for each location and associated receptors is undertaken. This evaluation takes into consideration the following:

    a. Background historical information on the presence, distribution, seasonality, and if applicable, the reproductive state of the receptor (as outlined in Appendix A) is compared with the data available from monitoring within the last 5 years. Depending on the receptor and associated Joint Industry SMP, the following is considered:

    b. Does the data collectively cover the required spatial extent of the receptor within a location (taking into consideration any background historical information on the distribution of the receptor)?

    c. Does the data collectively cover all the species/biological communities required for the relevant Joint Industry SMP and that may be present at the location?

5. **Assessment outcome:** Each location and associated receptor is then categorised as either ‘First-Strike Monitoring Priority’ or ‘Lower Priority for First-Strike Monitoring’, as outlined below, and summarised in Table 4-3:

a. First-Strike Monitoring Priority – current baseline data is not in place, not suitable or not sufficient; and post-spill pre-impact baseline data collection should be prioritised.

b. Lower Priority for First-Strike Monitoring – collectively there is substantial baseline data or on-going monitoring from within the last 5 years. This data aligns with the key parameters and methodologies of the relevant Joint Industry SMP, encompasses the required species/biological communities, and covers the required spatial extent of the location. The current baseline data is therefore considered sufficient and could likely be used to detect a level of change in the event of a significant impact. Hence this receptor is considered a lower priority for post-spill, pre-impact data collection.

During a spill, the monitoring priorities will vary according to the spill event and it should be noted that the monitoring priorities provided in Table 4-3 are listed for planning and guidance purposes only (note: the first-strike monitoring priorities listed are a cumulative list based on all the worst-case spill scenarios outlined in Table 2-1).

Further prioritisation of monitoring should focus on locations most at risk of consequences, such as in shallow waters, in sensitive habitats, and in areas with protected species. Consequently, shorelines and adjacent nearshore areas will generally take priority over reefs, shoals and banks, unless they are the main locations impacted by the spill event. In the event of a spill Vermilion will work with its OSM Service Provider, sub-contracted Monitoring Service Providers, and key stakeholders in the initial stages of the spill to identify priority monitoring receptors. This will assist in the finalisation of the monitoring design, ensuring that resources are allocated appropriately and according to the greatest risk of impact. This process is outlined in Section 13.

It is noted that it is difficult to obtain absolute statistical proof of oil spill impacts, due to the variability (spatially and temporally) of the natural environment, the lack of experimental control due to the nature of spills and the availability of suitable baseline data (Kirby, *et al*. 2018).

Alternative approaches exist for detecting impacts where post-spill, pre-impact monitoring may not be feasible. These include impact versus control design approaches and/or a gradient approach. The Joint Industry OSM Framework provides guidance and considerations for survey designs to enable the acquisition of sufficiently powerful data during SMP implementation.

Once SMP monitoring reports are drafted (post-spill) they will be peer reviewed by an expert panel as per Section 10.10 of the Joint Industry OSM Framework.



Figure 4-1: Summary of the process for identifying first-strike monitoring priorities

Table 4-1: Key parameters and key methodology from the Joint Industry SMPs

SMP	Key parameter	Key methodology
SMP1 Water quality impact assessment	At least one key parameter: • Total recoverable hydrocarbons (TRH); • Total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH); • Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes and naphthalene (BTEXN); or • Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH)	In situ UV fluorometer and/or samples analysed at National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA) accredited lab using NATA accredited method
SMP2 Sediment quality impact assessment	At least one key parameter: TRH, TPH, BTEXN, PAH, heavy metals	Sediment collected by corer/grab and samples analysed at NATA accredited lab using NATA accredited method
SMP3 Intertidal and coastal habitat assessment	At least one key parameter: presence, diversity, distribution	Any of the following, as appropriate to the parameters: • Ground and vessel-based intertidal surveys (e.g. quadrats, transects, including video and still photography) • Remote sensing • Infauna sampling
SMP4 Seabirds and shorebirds	At least one key parameter: species present, abundance / counts, behaviour (resting, roosting, foraging, nesting)	Ground surveys and standardised methodology for counting birds
SMP5 Marine megafauna - reptile	At least one key parameter: species identification, abundance / counts, key behaviour (foraging, mating, nesting, internesting)	As appropriate to the species and behaviour / life stage: • Nesting turtles: ground surveys • In water turtles: vessel and aerial surveys • Sea snakes: manta board and snorkel surveys Estuarine crocodiles: vessel-based spotlight surveys at night
SMP5 Marine megafauna- whale sharks, dugong and cetaceans	At least one key parameter: species identification, abundance / counts, key behaviour	Aerial or vessel surveys, acoustic monitoring
SMP6 Benthic habitat assessment	At least one key parameter: presence, diversity, distribution	Any of the following, as appropriate to the parameters: • Transects • Towed camera • Drop camera • Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) camera • Diver-based camera surveys

SMP	Key parameter	Key methodology
		• Remote sensing (coral & seagrass broad scale survey) • Sediment grab for infauna
SMP7 Marine fish and elasmobranch assemblages assessment	At least one key parameter: species identification, abundance, habitat type	Any of the following, as appropriate to the parameters: • Baited remote underwater video stations (BRUVS) • Stereo Baited Remote Underwater Video Stations (SBRUVS) • ROV • Towed video survey
SMP8 Fisheries impact assessment	At least one key parameter: Abundance, catch-rate, stock structure, size structure	Catch and effort for stock assessment

Table 4-2: Assessment criteria for baseline data review

Year of most recent data capture	Duration of monitoring program	Frequency of data capture	Similarity of methods to Joint Industry SMP	Similarity of parameters to Joint Industry SMP
High = 2019–2024	High = >4 years	High = 4+ sampling trips per year	High	High
Medium = 2013–2018	Medium = 2–4 years	Medium = 2–3 sampling trips per year	-	-
Low = <2012	Low = <2 years	Low = one-off sampling trip	Low	Low

Table 4-3: Recommended priority monitoring locations versus SMPs

Grouping / Location	SMP									
	Water quality impact assessment	Sediment quality impact assessment	Intertidal and coastal habitat assessment	Seabirds and shorebirds	Marine megafauna assessment – reptiles	Marine megafauna assessment – whale sharks, dugong and cetaceans	Benthic habitat assessment	Marine fish and elasmobranch assemblages assessment	Fisheries impact assessment	Heritage and social impact assessment
Barrow Islands Group					Flatback turtle					
Bedout Island										
Dampier Archipelago			Mangroves							
Karratha					Flatback turtle					
Lowendal Islands				Shearwater	Turtles at Varanus Island					
Pilbara Coast Islands Group										
Montebello Islands										
Regnard Island										
RSB			NA							
Key										
	First-strike monitoring priority									
	Lower priority for first-strike monitoring									

Section 5 OSM organisational structure

Vermilion's Incident Command Team (ICT) runs an incident control system analogous to the Australasian Inter-Service Incident Management System (AIIMS) to which the National Plan is also aligned, as described in the activity EPs and/or OPEPs. The ICT will be responsible for coordinating OSM activities, which will be implemented by the Planning Section within the ICT, with support from each ICT Section, in particular the Operations Section.

The Vermilion ICT structure is shown in **Error! Reference source not found.**. The ICT Incident Commander is u ltimately accountable for managing the response operation, which includes this plan. Depending on the scale of the event, individual people may perform multiple roles; similarly, multiple people may share the same role.

In the event that a spill crosses into state waters where the WA DoT is the Control Agency, the ICT will be managed through coordinated command and Vermilion will continue monitoring activities in State waters, with oversight from the WA DoT.



Figure 5-1: Vermilion ICT structure



Figure 5-2: Vermilion ICT structure with OSM team

Section 6 OSM roles and responsibilities

OSM roles and responsibilities are listed in Section 10.13.2 of the Joint Industry OSM Framework. Table 6-1 outlines the OSM roles held by Vermilion and the OSM Service Provider.

During the post-response phase the Environment Unit Lead and the OSM Service Provider OSM Implementation Lead will continue to be responsible for the coordination and delivery of monitoring plans.

Table 6-1: Roles and responsibilities for OSM

Role	Held by
Environment Unit Lead	Vermilion (ICT)
OSM Implementation Lead	OSM Service Provider
Operational Monitoring Coordinator and/or Scientific Monitoring Coordinator	OSM Service Provider
OM and/or SM Group Supervisors and Managers	Vermilion / OSM Service Provider
OSM Field Teams	OSM Service Provider

Section 7 Mobilisation and timing of OMP and SMP implementation

Table 7-1 provides an indicative implementation schedule for OMPs and SMPs in the BIP Consolidated EMBA and adjacent waters. 'Implementation' of an OMP/SMP is defined as being ready, at the point of staging or departure, to mobilise for monitoring. If the monitoring plan is desktop-based, implementation is defined as commencing the work (e.g. computer model inputs).

Refer to activity specific EPs for an indication of worst-case minimum contact times based on stochastic modelling (stochastic modelling represents all possible outcomes that could potentially occur). Due to short contact times, there may be instances where post-spill pre-impact monitoring is not feasible. For these locations, and where baseline data does not exist, or may not be recent and applicable, the application of a BACI design may not be possible. The finalisation of each SMP design will consider this and may need to include alternative designs (e.g. data from an expected BACI design may need to be analysed as a Gradient Approach).

Table 7-1: Indicative OMP and SMP implementation schedule for OSM activities if initiation criteria are met

Proximity to spill source	Monitoring type	0–48 hours from OSM activation	Within 72 hours of OSM activation	~5-7 days from OSM activation	1-2 weeks from OSM activation	Ongoing
Spill site and surrounding waters	OM	Activation of OM Team Leads. Finalise OMPs. Commence activation and mobilisation of OM personnel.	• OMP1: Hydrocarbon Properties And Weathering Behaviour, where resources are available (e.g. Supply Vessel with onboard sampling equipment). • OMP2: Water Quality Assessment • OMP3: Sediment Quality Assessment • OMP5: Marine Fauna Assessment • OMP4a: Surface Chemical Dispersant Effectiveness (commencing with Tier 1 SMART Protocol) • Continue to finalise OMPs. • Continue to activate and mobilise OM personnel.	Continued (as per on-going arrangements)	Continued (as per on-going arrangements)	As results from implemented OMPs are available, data are provided to relevant personnel in ICT (e.g. Planning) and used in the Incident Action Planning process for the next operational period. OMP is redesigned or reallocated according to the specifics of the actual spill.
	SM	Commence activation and mobilisation process.	• Continue to activate and mobilise personnel. • Work on finalising SMPs.	• SMP1: Water quality impact assessment • SMP2: Sediment quality impact assessment	Continued.	Continue SMP monitoring until termination criteria are met

Proximity to spill source	Monitoring type	0–48 hours from OSM activation	Within 72 hours of OSM activation	~5-7 days from OSM activation	1-2 weeks from OSM activation	Ongoing
		Activation of SMP Team Leads.		• SMP6: Benthic Habitat Assessment • SMP7: Marine fish and elasmobranch assemblages assessment		
Sensitive receptors (including shorelines, reefs, banks and shoals) predicted to be contacted within 7 days	OM	Activation of OMP Team Leads. Finalise OMPs. Commence activation and mobilisation of OM personnel.	• OMP1: Hydrocarbon properties and weathering behaviour at sea • OMP2: Water quality assessment • OMP3: Sediment quality assessment • OMP6: Shoreline clean-up assessment • OMP5: Marine fauna assessment • Continue to finalise OMPs. • Continue to activate and mobilise OM personnel.	Continued (as per on-going arrangements)	Continued (as per on-going arrangements)	As results from implemented OMPs are available, data are provided to relevant personnel in ICT (i.e. Planning) and used in the Incident Action Planning process for the next operational period. OMP is redesigned or reallocated according to the specifics of the actual spill until termination criteria are met
	SM	Activation of SMP Team Leads and finalisation of SMPs	Continue to activate and mobilise personnel. Work on finalising SMPs.	• SMP1: Water Quality Impact Assessment • SMP2: Sediment Quality Impact Assessment • SMP6: Benthic Habitat Assessment	Continued.	Continue SMP implementation until termination criteria are met.

Proximity to spill source	Monitoring type	0–48 hours from OSM activation	Within 72 hours of OSM activation	~5-7 days from OSM activation	1-2 weeks from OSM activation	Ongoing
				• SMP3: Intertidal and Coastal Habitat Assessment • SMP4: Seabirds and Shorebirds • SMP5: Marine Mega-fauna Assessment-Reptiles • SMP5: Marine Mega-fauna Assessment-Cetaceans, Whale Sharks, Dugong • SMP7: Marine Fish and Elasmobranch Assemblages assessment • SMP8: Commercial and recreational fisheries impact assessment • SMP9: Heritage Assessment • SMP10: Social Impact Assessment		
Sensitive receptors (including shorelines, reefs, banks and shoals) predicted to be	OM	-	-	• Additional Activation of OM Team Leads. • Commence activation and mobilisation of additional OM personnel.	• Continue to finalise OMPs. • Continue to activate and mobilise OM personnel. • OMP: Hydrocarbon properties and	As results from implemented OMPs are available, data are provided to relevant personnel in ICT (i.e. Planning) and used in the Incident Action

Proximity to spill source	Monitoring type	0–48 hours from OSM activation	Within 72 hours of OSM activation	~5-7 days from OSM activation	1-2 weeks from OSM activation	Ongoing
contacted week 1-2					weathering behaviour at sea • OMP2: Water quality assessment • OMP3: Sediment quality assessment • OMP6: Shoreline clean-up assessment • OMP5: Marine fauna assessment	Planning process for the next operational period. OMP is redesigned or reallocated according to the specifics of the actual spill until termination criteria are met
	SM	-	-	• Additional Activation of SM Team Leads. • Commence activation and mobilisation of additional SM personnel.	• SMP1: Water quality impact assessment • SMP2: Sediment quality impact assessment • SMP5: Marine Mega-fauna assessment - reptiles • SMP7: Marine fish and elasmobranch assemblages assessment • SMP3: Intertidal and coastal habitat assessment • SMP4: Seabirds and shorebirds • SMP6: Benthic habitat assessment	Continue SMP monitoring until termination criteria are met

Proximity to spill source	Monitoring type	0–48 hours from OSM activation	Within 72 hours of OSM activation	~5-7 days from OSM activation	1-2 weeks from OSM activation	Ongoing
					• SMP8: Commercial and recreational fisheries impact assessment • SMP9: Heritage Assessment • SMP10: Social Impact Assessment	

Section 8 Resourcing requirements

To guide resourcing requirements, the spill scenario most likely to require the greatest first-strike and on-going capability was selected from those informing the EMBA. Selection was based on stochastic modelling results (refer to Table 2-1), focussing on the scenario with the greatest predicted number of locations contacted at the low thresholds (Section 2.1) within 7 days; followed by the greatest number of locations contacted within 7-14 days; and at the highest contact probabilities.

Other factors influencing the selection of the scenario with the highest resource requirements were location of the spill, proximity to receptors, and hydrocarbon properties. The LOWC scenario of a 25,555 m^3 release of Wandoo Crude over 43 days was determined to be Vermilion's worst-case spill scenario requiring the greatest OSM resources. To further guide first-strike resource requirements for OSM, deterministic modelling was undertaken and the run with the most receptors was selected where shoreline accumulation exceeded 10 g/m^2 within 7 days. Run 34 of Vermilion's LOWC deterministic modelling met these parameters as well as the contact to additional receptors within 7–14 days (Table 8-1).

Table 8-1: Deterministic modelling results based on a 25,555 m^3 surface release of Wandoo Crude over 43 days

Receptors	Minimum arrival time (days) for deterministic realisation No. 34			
	Shoreline accumulation ≥10 g/m^2	Floating hydrocarbons ≥1 g/m^2	Entrained hydrocarbons ≥10 ppb	Dissolved hydrocarbons ≥10 ppb
Kendrew Island#	5.00	4.79	4.50	NC
Goodwyn Island#	5.17	4.92	5.00	NC
Enderby Island#	5.00	4.96	5.00	NC
Rosemary Island#	5.04	4.96	4.92	NC
Karratha	5.38	5.04	5.54	NC
Passage Islands^	4.96	5.04	4.54	NC
O'Grady Shoal*	NA	5.04	6.13	NC
South East Reef*	NA	5.04	6.42	NC
Eaglehawk Island#	6.04	5.17	5.00	NC
Malus Island#	6.00	5.58	6.38	NC
Fortescue Reef*	NA	5.67	5.63	NC
West Lewis Island#	6.04	5.96	6.17	NC
Legendre Island#	6.50	6.42	6.42	13.92
Cohen Island#	6.50	6.50	6.42	13.42
Madeline Shoals*	NA	6.5	6.46	NC
Dampier AMP*	NA	6.5	6.25	NC
Cape Bruguieres#	9.08	6.63	6.46	NC
Gidley Island#	9.21	6.75	6.54	NC
Keast Island#	7.04	6.79	6.46	NC
Dolphin Island #	9.13	7.71	7.0	NC
Angel Island^	9.42	9.33	8.58	NC
Conzinc Island#	10.29	10.13	9.17	NC

Receptors	Minimum arrival time (days) for deterministic realisation No. 34			
	Shoreline accumulation ≥10 g/m²	Floating hydrocarbons ≥1 g/m²	Entrained hydrocarbons ≥10 ppb	Dissolved hydrocarbons ≥10 ppb
Eliassen Rocks*	NA	10.96	7.54	NC
East Lewis Island#	6.42	11.17	9.17	NC
Glomar Shoal*	NA	16.5	5.42	NC
Montebello AMP*	NA	16.63	1.58	23.33
Delambre Island#	11.58	19.58	18	NC
Haury Island#	14.88	21	11.88	NC
Rankin Bank*	NA	31.21	23.42	NC
Gascoyne AMP*	NA	48.88	34.96	52.58
Ningaloo AMP*	NA	49.46	35.42	52.75

** Submerged receptor that has no features above the sea surface. Modelling indicates 'contact' with these receptors when the hydrocarbons pass over the receptor on the sea surface.*

 # Part of the Dampier Archipelago

^ Part of the Pilbara Coast Islands Group

NC: No contact to receptor predicted for specified threshold

NA: Not applicable

The resources required to assist the ICT in the coordination and management of OSM are outlined in Table 8-2. Whilst the resources required to commence operational and scientific monitoring components during weeks 1–2 are presented in Table 8-3 and Table 8-4 respectively.

Table 8-3 and Table 8-4 are based on the requirement for baseline review provided in Section 2.2, the implementation schedule outlined in Table 7-1, and the worst-case deterministic trajectory (LOWC Run 34) outlined in Table 8-1.

If required, additional resources will be mobilised from weeks 2-3 onwards via the OSM Service Provider Contract, which includes provision of scale-up resources.

Note: Each new activity will be assessed, as outlined in Section 1, to determine whether spill scenario(s) exceed the resourcing requirements of Run 34 - Loss of Well Control scenario of 25,555 m^3 of Wandoo Crude over 43 days.

Table 8-2: Resources required for key OSM coordination roles

Role	Resources required	Arrangement
OSM Implementation Lead (OSM Monitoring Provider/s)	1 x OSM Implementation Lead	Oil Spill Response Limited (OSRL) OSM Supplementary Service Agreement
Operational Monitoring Coordinator and Scientific Monitoring Coordinator (OSM Service Provider/s)	1 x Operational Monitoring Coordinator 1 x Scientific Monitoring Coordinator	
OSM Field Operations Manager (OSM Service Provider/s)	1 x OSM Field Operations Manager	

Table 8-3: Resources required for implementing operational monitoring plans

OMP	Week 1 (total)	Week 2 (total)	Arrangement
OMP1 Hydrocarbon properties and weathering behaviour at sea)*	1 team (spill site and surrounds) 1 team per site (e.g. for worst-case estimating as per Table 8-1 this amounts to 4 sites [Dampier Archipelago, Karratha, Pilbara Coast Islands Group, and associated RSB in the vicinity]) Total 5 teams Note: can initially be performed by the same team as OMP: Water quality assessment. This SMP may replace OMP: Water quality assessment if the OMPs termination criteria are triggered	1 team (spill site and surrounds) 1 team per site (e.g. for worst-case estimating as per Table 8-1 this amounts to 5 sites [Dampier Archipelago (x2), Karratha, Pilbara Coast Islands Group and associated RSB in the vicinity]) Total 6 teams Note: can initially be performed by the same team as OMP: Water quality assessment. This SMP may replace OMP: Water quality assessment if the OMPs termination criteria are triggered	OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement Marine contractors Laboratory arrangement
OMP6 Shoreline clean-up assessment	Detail on resources required for SCAT are presented in the activity-specific OPEP and Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Oil Spill Response Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009].		AMOSC Master Services Agreement (MSA) and/or OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement Marine contractors

OMP	Week 1 (total)	Week 2 (total)	Arrangement
			State/Territory Response Teams and AMSA National Response Team
OMP4a Surface chemical dispersant effectiveness and fate	1 team for visual observations, which may be performed by trained aerial observers used during monitor and evaluate if trained in observation and verification of chemical dispersant effectiveness For water quality observations, refer to OMP: Water quality assessment		OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement AMOSC MSA Marine contractors
OMP2 Water quality assessment*	Refer to OMP: Hydrocarbon properties and weathering behaviour at sea resourcing* (all sites)		OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement Marine contractors
OMP3 Sediment quality assessment*	Refer to OMP: Hydrocarbon properties and weathering behaviour at sea resourcing* (all sites)		OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement Marine contractors
OMP5 Rapid marine fauna assessment	1 team to conduct initial aerial surveys for all sites (2 observers per aircraft) Note: these resources may not be required if relevant scientific monitoring components initiation criteria have been triggered.		OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement Marine contractors Aviation contractors

** Initial co-mobilisation between OMP: Hydrocarbon properties and weathering behaviour at sea, OMP: Surface chemical dispersant effectiveness and fate, OMP: Water quality assessment and OMP: Sediment quality assessment*

Table 8-4: Resources required for implementing scientific monitoring plans

SMP	Week 1 (total)	Week 2 (total)	Arrangement
SMP1 Water quality impact assessment	1 team (spill site and surrounds) 1 team per site (e.g. for worst-case estimating as per Table 8-1 this amounts to 4 sites [Dampier Archipelago, Karratha, Pilbara Coast Islands Group, and associated RSB in the vicinity]) Total 5 teams	1 team (spill site and surrounds) 1 team per site (e.g. for worst-case estimating as per Table 8-1 this amounts to 6 sites [Dampier Archipelago (x2 teams), Karratha, Pilbara Coast Islands Group, and associated RSB in the vicinity]) Total 6 teams	OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement Marine contractors Laboratory arrangement

SMP	Week 1 (total)	Week 2 (total)	Arrangement
	Note: can initially be performed by the same team as OMP: Water quality assessment. This SMP may replace OMP: Water quality assessment if the OMPs termination criteria are triggered	Note: can initially be performed by the same team as OMP: Water quality assessment. This SMP may replace OMP: Water quality assessment if the OMPs termination criteria are triggered	
SMP2 Sediment quality impact assessment	Refer to SMP: Water quality impact assessment* (all sites)		OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement Marine contractors Laboratory arrangement
SMP3 Intertidal and coastal habitat assessment	1 team per site (e.g. for worst-case estimating as per Table 8-1 this amounts to 3 sites [Dampier Archipelago, Karratha, Pilbara Coast Islands Group]) Total 3 teams	1 team per site (e.g. for worst-case estimating as per Table 8-1 this amounts to 4 sites [Dampier Archipelago (x2 teams), Karratha, Pilbara Coast Islands Group]) Total 4 teams	OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement Marine contractors Laboratory arrangement
SMP4 Seabirds and shorebirds	Based on worst-case estimating as per Table 8-1: 1 team to conduct initial aerial surveys for Dampier Archipelago and Karratha 1 team to conduct initial aerial surveys for Pilbara Coast Islands Group Total 2 aerial teams Note: can initially be performed by the same team as OMP: Marine fauna assessment – seabirds and shorebirds. This SMP may replace OMP: Marine fauna assessment –	Based on worst-case estimating as per Table 8-1: 1 team to conduct aerial surveys for Dampier Archipelago and Karratha 1 team to conduct aerial surveys for Pilbara Coast Islands Group (Can initially be performed by the same aerial team as OMP: Marine fauna assessment) Total 2 aerial teams 1 team to conduct vessel-based surveys per site (Dampier Archipelago (x2 teams), Karratha, Pilbara Coast Islands Group and associated RSB in the vicinity (surveys	OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement Marine contractors Laboratory arrangement

SMP	Week 1 (total)	Week 2 (total)	Arrangement
	seabirds and shorebirds if the OMPs termination criteria are triggered	would include all fauna [birds, reptiles, cetaceans, dugong and whale shark]) Total 5 vessel-based teams 1 team to conduct ground-based surveys per site (Dampier Archipelago (x2), Karratha, Pilbara Coast Islands Group)* (1 experienced ornithologists per team) Total 4 ground-based teams	
SMP5 Marine megafauna assessment (whale shark, dugong and cetaceans)	Aerial surveys refer to SMP: Seabirds and shorebirds This SMP may replace the relevant OMP: Marine fauna assessment if the OMPs termination criteria are triggered	Aerial surveys refer to SMP: Seabirds and shorebirds Vessel surveys refer to SMP: Seabird and shorebirds	OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement Marine contractors Laboratory arrangement
SMP5 Marine mega-fauna assessment (reptiles)	Aerial surveys refer to SMP: Seabirds and shorebirds This SMP may replace the relevant OMP: Marine fauna assessment if the OMPs termination criteria are triggered	Aerial surveys refer to SMP: Seabirds and shorebirds Vessel surveys refer to SMP: Seabird and shorebirds Ground based survey refer to SMP: Seabird and shorebirds (including 1 member experienced with ground turtle surveys)	OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement Marine contractors Laboratory arrangement
SMP6 Benthic habitat assessment	1 team (spill site and surrounds) 1 team per site (e.g. for worst-case estimating as per Table 8-1 this	1 team (spill site and surrounds) 1 team per site (e.g. for worst-case estimating as per Table 8-1 this	OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement Marine contractors

SMP	Week 1 (total)	Week 2 (total)	Arrangement
	amounts to 4 sites [Dampier Archipelago, Karratha, Pilbara Coast Islands Group, and associated RSB in the vicinity]) Total 5 teams	amounts to 5 sites [Dampier Archipelago (x2 teams), Karratha, Pilbara Coast Islands Group, and associated RSB in the vicinity]) Total 6 teams	Laboratory arrangement
SMP7 Marine fish and elasmobranch assemblages assessment	1 team (spill site and surrounds) 1 team per site (e.g. for worst-case estimating as per Table 8-1 this amounts to 4 sites [Dampier Archipelago, Karratha, Pilbara Coast Islands Group, and associated RSB in the vicinity]) Total 5 teams	1 team (spill site and surrounds) 1 team per site (e.g. for worst-case estimating as per Table 8-1 this amounts to 5 sites [Dampier Archipelago (x2 teams), Karratha, Pilbara Coast Islands Group, and associated RSB in the vicinity]) Total 6 teams	OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement Marine contractors Laboratory arrangement
SMP8 Fisheries impact assessment	Total 2 teams to cover all relevant Commonwealth and State fisheries		OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement Marine contractors Laboratory arrangement
SMP9 Heritage features assessment	1 team	1 team	OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement Marine contractors Laboratory arrangement
SMP10 Social impact assessment	1 team	1 team	OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement Marine contractors Laboratory arrangement

** Initial co-mobilisation between OMP: Hydrocarbon properties and weathering behaviour at sea, OMP: Water quality assessment and OMP: Sediment quality assessment*

 # During capability assessment, available personnel were allocated to one monitoring team only

Section 9 Capability demonstration

Vermilion is a Member of the OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement (SA), which provides shared OSM Annual Services and Response Services to members who have subscribed to this supplementary service. This OSM Supplementary SA includes access to the OSRL (referred to in this document as OSM Service Provider) sub-contracted Monitoring Service Providers within Australia and internationally (who will report through the OSM Service Provider) to deliver monitoring capability.

The OSM Service Provider prepares a monthly Capability Register which is available to all Members. The Capability Register details available personnel and competencies in support of OM/SM services which may be activated by Members. Details of OSM services available through the OSM Supplementary SA are provided in Table 9-1 below.

Table 9-1: OSM service provider preparedness and activation / monitoring services

OSM Services Provided During Preparedness and Activation / Monitoring Phases
Preparedness[1]
24/7 Duty Manager accessed through 24 hour Hotline
Provision of suitably trained operational monitoring personnel
Monthly reports on personnel and equipment availability
Access to OSM Service Provider's subcontracted Monitoring Service Providers
Access to OSM Service Provider's network of laboratories and equipment providers
Activation / Monitoring[2]
Provision of an OSM Services Lead and OSM Implementation Lead to the Vermilion ICT within 12 hours of notification
Provision of a first-strike monitoring team within 72 hours of notification, ready to deploy from a nominated port(s) or staging location (e.g. Forward Operating Base [FOB])
Finalisation of monitoring plans
Provision of scientific monitoring personnel within 5-7 days of notification
Access to OSM Service Provider laboratories and equipment

9.1 Personnel competencies

The training and competencies held by key OSM personnel via the OSM Supplementary SA are consistent with the specified training and competencies stated in Table 11-1 of the Joint Industry OSM Framework (APPEA, 2021). Demonstration of competency is provided through the Service Providers OSM Document Management System.

If required, Vermilion will engage additional subject matter experts in the initial stages of the monitoring program to assist in activation and mobilisation of monitoring teams and support the OSM Service Provider in the preparation of monitoring designs.

[1] Defined as Annual OSM Services in OSM Supplementary Service Agreement

[2] Defined as Response Services in OSM Supplementary Service Agreement

9.2 Equipment

Equipment requirements for each OMP and SMP are listed by each OMPs and SMPs within the OSM Framework (APPEA, 2021). Vermilion will remain responsible for support and field logistics, including monitoring platforms (e.g. vessels, vehicles and aircraft), flights, accommodation, and transportation/couriers for samples to be sent back to laboratories.

Availability of key equipment is listed in the OSM Service Provider's Equipment Register, available via the OSM Service Providers MSP Capability Register. A generalised breakdown of Vermilion's equipment and the source is listed in provided in Table 9-2.

Table 9-2: Vermilion OSM equipment

Equipment type	Source
Vermilion acquired equipment	
Desktop equipment (e.g. Oil Spill Response Atlas)	Coordinated through ICT
Water quality rapid response kit: • 1 x oil spill sampling kit • 2 x oil spill sampling nets • Extendable sampling pole • 1 x Niskin bottle • Deionised water • Wash bottles • 100 amber hydrocarbon jars • 100 m dyneema rope • General items – bubble wrap, tape, Chain of Custody forms, brushes for decontamination etc	Vermilion owned, located at the Toll Dampier Supply Base
Logistical equipment (e.g. in-field accommodation, vessels, aircraft)	Refer to list of external support agencies and contracts held by Vermilion as listed in the VOGA Emergency Response Logistics Management Plan [VOG-7000-RH-0008].
Dispersant shake test kits (initial shake jar test only)	2 x test kits in Fremantle; 2 x test kits in Karratha. Available through the National Plan.

9.3 Exercises

The OSM Service Provider is contracted conduct OSM Services Annual Assurance Programs. As part of this program, the OSM Service Provider conducts numerous exercises, which are outlined in Table 9-3 below. The purpose of this testing is to confirm that response arrangements and planned capability is in place , available when needed, and function as intended.

Following the Notification and Tabletop exercises listed in Table 9-3, the OSM Service Provider will prepare exercise reports and track any action items to complete. The reports will be available to all Members. In addition, Vermilion will conduct an annual notification test of the OSM Service Provider, outlined in the Vermilion Emergency Response Schedule [VOG-1100-YH-0001].

Table 9-3: Exercise types

Exercise Type	Description	Frequency
Assurance Program Workshop	The outputs from the annual OSM Services and Assurance Program Workshop will form the basis of the OSM Annual Services and Assurance Program for the coming Contract Year.	Annually
Notification exercise	Test procedures to notify and activate the OSM Services, including subcontracted Monitoring Service Providers.	Annually
Tabletop exercise	A discussion-based exercise that involves no physical deployment of personnel or equipment. The exercise will simulate all actions to validate the enactment of plans, procedures, protocols, roles and tasks during a simulated incident.	Annually
Desktop review	A desktop review of capability for any OMP and/or SMP not tested during the annual table-top exercise. The review can also be based on the outcomes/findings of the OMPs and/or SMPs that were tested.	Annually

Section 10 Capability assessment

Table 10-1 provides a comparison of Vermilion's worst-case OSM resource requirements (as outlined in Table 8-3 and Table 8-4) with the OSRL OSM Supplementary Service Agreement capability to implement each OMP and SMP. Where there are synergies between OMPs and SMPs, the same personnel may implement multiple OMPs/SMPs simultaneously, as identified in Table 10-1. For example, personnel assigned to the OMP for Hydrocarbon Properties and Weathering Behaviour at Sea can also carry out the OMPs for Water Quality Assessment and Sediment Quality Assessment concurrently. During the capability assessment, available personnel were allocated to one monitoring team only to ensure capability is met despite synergies between OMP and SMP personnel.

This information has been transcribed across to the VOGA Oil Spill Response Capability Review [VOG-7000-RH-0009].

Table 10-1: OSM capability

Component	Total personnel required (Weeks 1–2) [3]	Personnel available via OSM Service Provider	Personnel available via OSROs	Vermilion	Total personnel available
OSM Personnel embedded in IMT	1 OSM Implementation Lead 1 OM Coordinator 1 SM Coordinator 1 OSM Field Operations Manager	1 OSM Implementation Lead 1 OM Coordinator 1 SM Coordinator 1 OSM Field Operations Manager	-	1 OSM Implementation Lead (initial)	1 OSM Implementation Leads 1 OM Coordinator 1 SM Coordinator 1 OSM Field Operations Manager
OMPs					
OMP1 Hydrocarbon properties and weathering behaviour at sea*	6 teams	7 teams#	-	Initial sampling kits (Toll Dampier Supply Base) and procedures for untrained personnel to obtain samples	7 teams
OMP6 Shoreline clean-up assessment	10 teams	18 OSRL SCAT specialists, plus additional team members trained in week 1 via SCAT E-learning training course being administered via the OSM Supplementary SA	60+ AMOSC Industry Core Group 12 AMOSC staff trained in SCAT		90 people (45 teams if resourced at 2 personnel per team; 30 teams if resourced at 3 personnel per team)

** Initial co-mobilisation between OMP: Hydrocarbon properties and weathering behaviour at sea, OMP: Water quality assessment and OMP: Sediment quality assessment*

 # During capability assessment, available personnel were allocated to one monitoring team only

[3] If additional resources are required for week 3 onwards then this will be identified early in the monitoring process and Vermilion will activate additional contracted resources through its OSM Services Provider to increase capacity

Component	Total personnel required (Weeks 1–2) [3]	Personnel available via OSM Service Provider	Personnel available via OSROs	Vermilion	Total personnel available
OMP4a Surface chemical dispersant effectiveness and fate	Visual observations: 1 team Water quality assessment – refer to OMP: Water quality assessment	1 visual observation team[#] Refer to OMP: Water quality assessment	4 AMOSC Staff 2 AMOSC Core Group trained personnel	-	Visual observations: 1 team (OSM Service Provider) 4 AMOSC Staff 2 AMOSC Core Group trained personnel
OMP2 Water quality assessment*	Refer to OMP: Hydrocarbon properties and weathering behaviour at sea				
OMP3 Sediment quality assessment*	Refer to OMP: Hydrocarbon properties and weathering behaviour at sea				
OMP5 Marine fauna assessment	1 aerial team	1 team[#]	N/A	N/A	1 team
SMPs					
SMP1 Water quality impact assessment	6 teams Note: can initially be performed by the same team as OMP: Water quality assessment. This SMP may replace OMP: Water quality assessment if the OMPs termination criteria are triggered	7 teams[#]	-	-	7 teams
SMP2 Sediment quality impact assessment	Refer to SMP: Water quality impact assessment* (all sites)				
SMP3 Intertidal and coastal habitat assessment	4 teams	6 teams[#]	-	-	6 teams

Component	Total personnel required (Weeks 1–2) [3]	Personnel available via OSM Service Provider	Personnel available via OSROs	Vermilion	Total personnel available
SMP4 Seabirds and shorebirds	2 aerial teams 2 observers per aircraft Note: can initially be performed by the same team as OMP: Marine fauna assessment – seabirds and shorebirds. This SMP may replace OMP: Marine fauna assessment – seabirds and shorebirds if the OMPs termination criteria are triggered 5 vessel teams (surveys would include all fauna [birds, reptiles, cetaceans, dugong and whale shark]) 4 ground-based teams	2 aerial teams[#] 6 vessel teams[#] 6 ground based teams (plus 1 team member per team experienced with ground turtle surveys – see Marine mega-fauna assessment [reptiles]) [#]	-	-	2 aerial teams 6 vessel teams 6 ground based teams (plus 1 team member per team experienced with ground turtle surveys – see Marine mega-fauna assessment [reptiles])
SMP5 Marine mega-fauna assessment (whale shark, dugong and cetaceans)	Refer to SMP: seabirds and shorebirds				
SMP5 Marine mega-fauna assessment (reptiles)	Aerial and vessel - Refer to SMP: seabirds and shorebirds Ground surveys - Refer to SMP: seabirds and shorebirds (plus 1 team member per team	Refer to SMP: seabirds and shorebirds			

Component	Total personnel required (Weeks 1–2) [3]	Personnel available via OSM Service Provider	Personnel available via OSROs	Vermilion	Total personnel available
	experienced with ground turtle surveys)				
SMP6 Benthic habitat assessment	6 teams	7 teams#	-	-	7 teams
SMP7 Marine fish and elasmobranch assemblages assessment	6 teams	7 teams#	-	-	7 teams
SMP8 Fisheries impact assessment	2 teams	2 teams#	-	-	2 teams
SMP9 Heritage features assessment	1 team	1 team#	-	-	1 team
SMP10 Social impact assessment	1 team	1 team#	-	-	1 team

** Initial co-mobilisation between OMP: Hydrocarbon properties and weathering behaviour at sea, OMP: Water quality assessment and OMP: Sediment quality assessment*

 # During capability assessment, available personnel were allocated to one monitoring team only

[3] If additional resources are required for week 3 onwards then this will be identified early in the monitoring process and Vermilion will activate additional contracted resources through its OSM Services Provider to increase capacity

Section 11 Review of plan

As part of Vermilion's annual oil spill capability review, this document will be reviewed and revised as required, in accordance with Section 8.3.1 *Determining requirements* of the Wandoo Field Oil Spill Contingency Plan – Planning and Preparedness [WAN-2000-RD-0001.01]. This could include changes required in response to one or more of the following:

- When major changes have occurred which affect Operational and/or Scientific Monitoring coordination or capabilities (e.g. change of services provider);
- Changes to the activity that affect Operational and/or Scientific Monitoring coordination or capabilities (e.g. a significant increase in spill risk);
- Changes to legislative context related to Operational and/or Scientific Monitoring (e.g. *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* [EPBC Act] protected maters requirements);
- Following routine testing of the OSM if improvements or corrections are identified; or
- After a Level 2/3 spill incident.

The extent of changes made to this OSM BIP and resultant requirements for regulatory resubmission will be informed by the relevant Commonwealth regulations, i.e. OPGGS (E) Regulations.

Part B Implementation

Control Agencies and Jurisdictional Authorities

Vermilion's EPs provide detailed information on Control Agency responsibilities, and should be referred to when planning operational and scientific monitoring activities, particularly in WA State Waters and along WA shorelines. Where the WA DoT is the Control Agency, OMP: Shoreline Clean-up Assessment will be implemented under their direction, with resources provided by Vermilion.

In addition, Part 4 of the Wandoo Field OSCP Document 2 Oil Pollution Emergency Plan [WAN-2000-RD-0001.02] titled activation of oil pollution emergency plan: activation and notification flowcharts ,provide regulatory and stakeholder notification and reporting requirements. Whilst all notification and reporting will be performed by Vermilion's ICT personnel, monitoring personnel should be aware of these requirements, and confirm all relevant notifications and reporting have been completed prior to undertaking monitoring activities.

Section 12 Mobilisation and activation

The Vermilion ICT Environment Unit Lead is responsible for initiating the activation of OSM components, subject to authorisation from the Incident Commander. Table 12-1 outlines the OSM Supplementary SA activation process to be followed by Vermilion and the OSM service providers.

Table 12-1: OSM mobilisation and activation process

Responsibility	Task	Timeframe	Complete
Environment Unit Lead (Vermilion)	Review initiation criteria of OMPs and SMPs (provided in Table 9-1 (OMPs) and Table 9-2 (SMPs) of the Joint Industry Operational and Scientific Monitoring Framework) during the preparation of the initial Incident Action Plan (IAPs) and subsequent IAPs; and if any criteria are met, activate relevant OMPs and SMPs	Within 4 hours of spill notification	☐
	Obtain authorisation from Incident Commander to activate OSM Service Provider	Within 4 hours of spill notification	☐
	Contact OSM Service Provider and verbally notify their Duty Manager of the incident, requesting provision of OSM Implementation Lead (if required by Vermilion) to the ICT. Complete Call Off Order Form (Appendix C) and submit to OSM Services Provider[4] to confirm activation of OSM Services	Within 4 hours of spill notification	☐
	Provide monitor and evaluate data (e.g. aerial surveillance, fate and weathering modelling, tracking buoy data, current IAPs) to OSM Services Provider	Within 1 hour of data being received by ICT	☐
	Liaise with Vermilion's Logistics Section Chief to identify potential staging and departure location/s for monitoring activities. Provide this information to OSM Services Provider	Within 4– 6 hours of spill notification	☐
	Record tasks in Personal Log	At time of completion of task	☐
Logistics Section Chief (Vermilion)	Commence arrangements for vessels, accommodation and transport to mobilise monitoring teams	Within 24 hours of spill notification	☐
OSM Service Provider	Duty Manager to activate relevant Monitoring Service Providers	Within 30 minutes of Call Off Order Form being received by OSM Services Provider	☐
	OSM personnel (OSM Implementation Lead and OM/SM Coordinators) requested by Titleholder (via Call Off Order Form) to be sent to Titleholder's ICT	Within 12 hours of notification being made to	☐

[4] A copy of the Call Off Order Form is provided in Appendix C; however, the OSRL Duty Manager will send an updated version upon verbal notification.

Responsibility	Task	Timeframe	Complete
		OSM Services Provider	
	Liaise directly with the Environment Unit Lead to confirm which OMPs and SMPs are to be fully activated	Within 4 hours of monitor and evaluate data being received from ICT	☐
	Confirm availability of initial personnel and equipment resources	Within 5 hours of monitor and evaluate data being received from ICT	☐

Section 13 Monitoring priorities

As described in Section 2 and Section 4 of this Plan, the available stochastic spill modelling has been analysed to understand the likely first-strike monitoring priorities. Table 4-3 provides a summary of available baseline data for receptors, to assist in identifying where post-spill, pre-impact monitoring should be prioritised.

The monitoring priorities provided in Section 2 and Table 4-3 are to be used for guidance when confirming monitoring priorities in consultation with key stakeholders and sub-contracted Monitoring Service Providers (including subject matter experts, where available) in the event of a spill. Table 13-1 provides a checklist to assist in the confirmation of monitoring priorities for individual spills.

Table 13-1: Checklist for determining monitoring priorities

Responsibility	Task	Timeframe	Complete
Vermilion Environment Unit Lead	Evaluate monitoring priorities in consultation with key stakeholders, including the appointed State / Territory Environmental Scientific Coordinator	Within 12 hours of monitor and evaluate data being received from ICT	☐
Vermilion Environment Unit Lead with input from OSM Services Provider	Confirm monitoring locations for activated OMPs and SMPs based on: • Current monitor and evaluate data (i.e. situational awareness data, including predicted time to receptor impact, aerial/vessel surveillance observations, tracking buoy data, satellite data); • Nature of hydrocarbon spill (i.e. subsea blow out, surface release, hydrocarbon characteristics, volume, expected duration of release); • Seasonality and presence of receptors impacted or at risk of being impacted; • Current information on transient and broadscale receptors (surface and subsea); • Current operational considerations (e.g. weather, logistics); • Nature of hydrocarbon spill (i.e. subsea blow out, surface release, hydrocarbon characteristics, volume, expected duration of release); • Monitoring priorities identified in Section 2; and • Existing literature, baseline data, and monitoring programs.	Within 12 hours of monitor and evaluate data being received from ICT	☐
	Using the results of the baseline data analysis in Table 4-3 and the information above, determine priority locations for post-spill, pre-impact monitoring	Within 12 hours of monitor and evaluate data being received from ICT	☐
	Confirm the need for any additional reactive baseline monitoring data for SMPs and determine suitable locations, noting that suitable control or reference sites may be outside of the EMBA	Within 12 hours of monitor and evaluate data being received from ICT	☐

Responsibility	Task	Timeframe	Complete
	Continually re-evaluate monitoring priorities in consultation with Environment Unit Lead and relevant key stakeholders throughout spill response	Ongoing	☐

Section 14 Protected Matters requirements

Table 14-1 provides a checklist to ensure monitoring personnel consider protected matters requirements in the finalisation of OMPs and SMPs.

Vermilion's activity-specific EPs outline the management plans, recovery plans and conservation advice statements relevant for the protected matters within the EMBA that are likely to be relevant to the final design of the OMPs and SMPs. The activity-specific EPs and Appendix A also include relevant locations where these receptors are known to occur in order to expedite consideration of relevant information into finalised monitoring designs.

Table 14-1: Checklist for inclusion of protected matters into monitoring designs

Responsibility	Task	Complete
Vermilion Environment Unit Lead with input from OSM Services Provider	Review Monitoring, Evaluation and Surveillance data and available OMP data to determine likely presence and encounter of protected species in predicted trajectory of the spill	☐
	Review the relevant recovery plan/conservation advice/management plan in the activity-specific EP and online protected matters search tool and determine if there have been any updates to the relevant conservation threats/actions. Integrate relevant considerations into the final monitoring design for affected OMPs and SMPs	☐
	Review restrictions on marine mammal buffer distances in SMP: Marine mega-fauna and ensure this is included in all relevant response and monitoring IAPs (e.g. Shoreline Protection Plan, Shoreline Clean-up Plan, OSM Plan), so that response and monitoring field teams maintain required buffer distances from fauna during operations	☐

Section 15 Finalising monitoring design

The methods presented in the Joint Industry OMPs and SMPs are designed to allow the OSM Service Provider and their sub-contracted Monitoring Service Providers the flexibility to modify the standard operating procedures, so that the latest research, technologies, equipment, sampling methods and variables may be used. Monitoring designs may also be varied in-situ, according to the factors presented in Section 10.6 (e.g. weather, logistical restraints) of the Joint Industry OSM Framework.

Vermilion's checklist for finalising monitoring designs post-spill is provided in Table 15-1. The Environment Unit Lead and OSM Implementation Lead provided by a Monitoring Service Provider, will be responsible for approving the finalised monitoring design used in the OMPs and SMPs.

Table 15-1: Checklist for finalising monitoring design

Responsibility	Task	Timeframe	Complete
Vermilion Environment Unit Lead and OSM Implementation Lead with input from OSM Services Provider	Confirm survey objectives, sampling technique, for each initiated OMP and SMP	Within 48 hours of initial monitoring priorities being confirmed by ICT	☐
	Determine suitable sampling frequency	Within 48 hours of initial monitoring priorities being confirmed by ICT	☐
	Finalise standard operating procedures	Within 48 hours of initial monitoring priorities being confirmed by ICT	☐
	Review Table 10-4 of the Joint Industry OSM Framework to ensure potential impacts from response activities are considered and incorporated into relevant OMP/SMP designs	Prior to the finalisation of monitoring designs	☐
	Liaise with the Vermilion Environment Unit Lead to review the Environmental Performance Standards listed in the activity-specific OPEP and integrate checks into the monitoring design that will help determine if relevant Environmental Performance Standards are being met	Prior to the finalisation of monitoring designs	☐
	Scientific monitoring: • Establish benchmarks and guidelines to be used • Confirm indicator species • Confirm parameters and metrics	Within 96 hours of initial monitoring priorities being confirmed by ICT	☐

Section 16 Mobilisation

When the monitoring design has been finalised for each OMP and SMP, the OSM Service Provider shall work in conjunction with Vermilion to develop and execute a monitoring mobilisation plan, which will be incorporated into the Incident Action Planning process.

The OSM Service Provider will be required to coordinate the availability of personnel and equipment for all monitoring programs. Vermilion will be responsible for flights, accommodation, and provisions for field personnel. Vermilion will also be required to procure all vessels, aerial platforms, and vehicles for OMP and SMP implementation.

A checklist for mobilising monitoring teams is provided in Table 16-1.

Table 16-1: Checklist for mobilisation of monitoring teams

Responsibility	Task	Complete
OSM Services Provider with input from Vermilion Environment Unit Lead	Confirm availability of all monitoring personnel (noting required competencies in Section 9.1 and individual OMPs/SMPs)	☐
	Allocate number of teams, personnel, equipment and supporting resource requirements	☐
	Undertake HAZIDs as required and consolidate/review field documentation including safety plans, emergency response plans, and daily field reports	☐
	Develop site-specific health and safety plans which is compliant with health safety and environment systems (including call in timing and procedures)	☐
	Conduct pre-mobilisation meeting with monitoring team/s on survey objectives, logistics, safety issues, reporting requirements and data management collection requirements	☐
	Determine data management delivery needs of the ICT and process requirements, including data transfer approach and frequency/timing	☐
	Confirm data formats and metadata requirements with personnel receiving data	☐
	Logistics	
	Confirm Vermilion Logistics Section have arranged flights, accommodation, and car hire	☐
	Develop field survey schedules, detailing staff rotation	☐
	Equipment	
	Confirm Vermilion Logistics Section have arranged survey platforms (vessel, vehicle, aircraft) as required to survey or access survey sites and ensure they are equipped with appropriate fridge and freezer space for transportation of samples (and carcasses if collecting)	☐
	Confirm Vermilion Logistics Section have arranged vessels with correct fit-out specifications (e.g. winches, Geographic Positioning System [GPS], satellite, deck crane, sufficient deck space, water supplies (fresh and/or salt), accommodation)	☐
	Confirm consumables (including personal protective equipment) have been purchased and will be delivered to required location	☐
	Liaise with NATA-accredited laboratories to confirm availability, limits of detection, sampling holding times, transportation, obtain sample analysis quotes and arrange provision of appropriate sample containers, Chain of Custody (CoC)	☐

Responsibility	Task	Complete
	forms and suitable storage options for all samples. Make arrangements for couriers (if necessary)	
	Confirm specialist equipment requirements and availability (including redundancy)	☐
	Check GPS units and digital cameras are working and that sufficient spare batteries and memory cards are available	☐
	Confirm sufficient equipment to allow integration of survey software and navigational systems (e.g. GPS, additional equipment and adaptors), and additional GPS units prepared	☐
	Confirm GPS survey positions (where available) have been Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) checked and pre-loaded into navigation software/positioning system	☐
	Check field laptops, ensuring they have batteries (including spares), power cable, and are functional	☐
	Check if a first aid kit or specialist personal protective equipment (PPE) is required	☐
	Confirm arrangements for freight to mobilisation port is in place	☐

Section 17 Permits and access requirements

Permit and access requirements apply to Marine Parks, Marine Protected Areas, restricted heritage areas, operational areas of industrial sites, defence locations, fauna and managed fisheries, as listed in **Error! Not a valid bookmark self-reference.**. For a list of all relevant locations and fisheries refer to the activity-specific EPs.

The OSM Service Provider will work alongside Vermilion to request access and submit permit applications to all relevant Jurisdictional Authorities in order to conduct monitoring for OMPs and SMPs.

Table 17-1: Permits required in EMBA

Receptor	Jurisdictional Authority	Relevant information on permits
Permits for monitoring fauna	DCCEEW DBCA	Any interactions involving nationally listed threatened fauna may require approval from DCCEEW (http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/permits) WA- appropriate permits can be found at: https://www.dbca.wa.gov.au/licences-and-permits/fauna
State Marine Protected Area	DBCA	No specific permitting requirements exist for monitoring in WA marine protected areas, but additional information is available at: https://www.dbca.wa.gov.au/management/marine-planning
Ramsar wetland	DCCEEW	Additional information on Ramsar wetlands and how they are protected as a matter of national environmental significance under the EPBC Act is available at: https://www.environment.gov.au/epbc/what-is-protected/wetlands
Australian (Commonwealth) Marine Parks	Director of National Parks Parks Australia	Permit and licence application information for Marine Protected Areas (including monitoring) can be found at: https://onlineservices.environment.gov.au/parks/australian-marine-parks and https://onlineservices.environment.gov.au/parks/australian-marine-parks/permits Additional information on permitting requirements in Australian Marine Parks can be obtained through Parks Australia via email marineparks@environment.gov.au or phone 1800 069 352 Information on permits to access biological resources in Commonwealth areas can be found at: http://www.environment.gov.au/topics/science-and-research/australias-biological-resources/access-biological-resources-commonwealth
State Managed Fisheries	Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD)	No specific permitting requirements exist for WA Fisheries, but additional information is available at – https://www.fish.wa.gov.au/Fishing-and-Aquaculture/Pages/default.aspx

Receptor	Jurisdictional Authority	Relevant information on permits
Commonwealth Managed Fisheries	Australian Fishing Management Authority	Commonwealth Managed Fisheries (scientific permit for research/monitoring in an Australian Fishing Zone) https://www.afma.gov.au/fisheries-services/fishing-rights-permits
Indigenous Cultural Heritage	Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH)	Entry access permits to Aboriginal Lands in WA: https://www.wa.gov.au/service/aboriginal-affairs/aboriginal-heritage-conservation/apply-permit-access-or-travel-through-aboriginal-land Aboriginal heritage sites in WA: https://www.wa.gov.au/service/aboriginal-affairs/aboriginal-cultural-heritage/search-aboriginal-sites-or-heritage-places
Defence/restricted military area	Department of Defence	Unexploded Ordnances (mapping information): https://www.defence.gov.au/UXO/default.asp Maritime military firing practice and exercise areas: https://www.hydro.gov.au/n2m/2010/annual/n2m/9.pdf
Industry (e.g. operational zone of offshore oil or gas platform)	Operating company	Safety zones (up to 500 m from outer edge of well or equipment) – https://www.nopsema.gov.au/safety/safety-zones/
Shipwrecks	DCCEEW	Refer to the Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018 (Commonwealth): https://www.dcceew.gov.au/parks-heritage/heritage/underwater-heritage/underwater-cultural-heritage-act

Section 18 Use of data in response decision-making

18.1 Operational monitoring to inform response activities

The OSM Implementation Lead, provided by the Monitoring Service Provider, is responsible for the interpretation and analysis of data. OMP data should be analysed rapidly so that it may be used to inform response planning and decisions in the current and/or next operating period. SMP data is designed to be more scientifically robust and long-term in nature and is not relied upon by the ICT for decision-making. Therefore, SMP data will be analysed more thoroughly by the OSM Implementation Lead.

As ultimately responsible for the IAPs, the Vermilion Planning Section Chief will be required to utilise the OMP data to aid in decision making and determine if the response strategies can be commenced, continued, escalated, terminated, or if controls need to be put in place to manage impacts of the response activities. These decisions will be communicated to the broader ICT during regular situation debriefs.

Responsibilities for key personnel and a task checklist is provided in Table 18-1. Data generated from each OMP is provided in Table 18-2.

Table 18-1: Checklist for utilising OMP data to inform ICT decision-making

Responsibility	Task	Timeframe	Complete
OSM Services Provider – Field Team Lead	Data collected whilst implementing OMPs and SMPs is QA/QC checked that it aligns with the requirements listed in the finalised OMPs and SMPs (where applicable)	Ongoing	☐
	Communicate data back the Vermilion ICT via field reporting forms, debriefs and reports	Ongoing	☐
OSM Implementation Lead (Monitoring Service Provider)	Interpret and analyse OM data	Ongoing	☐
	Distribute the data from each monitoring component to the relevant ICT Section	Ongoing	☐
OSM Service Provider	Oversee the collection of data by sub-contracted Monitoring Service Provider field teams, including QA/QC assessment in accordance with the requirements listed in the finalised OMPs and SMPs (where applicable).	Ongoing	☐
	Provide OMP data to the ICT Situation Unit Lead	Daily and ongoing	☐
Vermilion Operations Section (Shoreline Unit)	Reports from OMP: Shoreline Clean-up Assessment will be provided to the ICT daily, detailing the assessed areas to maximise effective utilisation of resources	Daily reporting	☐
Vermilion Planning Chief/Situation Unit Lead	Incorporate OMP data into Common Operating Picture	Daily and ongoing	☐
Vermilion Environment Unit Lead	Incorporate OMP data into operational NEBA and IAP for the next operating period	Each operational period	☐

Table 18-2: Data generated from each OMP and how this may be used by ICT in decision-making

OMP	Data generated[5]	ICT Section requiring data	How data may be used by ICT
OMP1 Hydrocarbon properties and weathering behaviour at sea	Hydrocarbon physical characteristics (e.g. viscosity, asphaltene content, fingerprinting, weathering ratios of hydrocarbon chains)	Planning Section to aid in response option selection / modification	Changes to the hydrocarbon properties will affect the window of opportunity for particular responses and the associated logistical requirements of these responses, such as use of chemical dispersants, recovery and pumping equipment suitability, hydrocarbon storage and hydrocarbon disposal requirements
OMP6 Shoreline clean-up assessment	Assessment of shoreline character; assessment of shoreline oiling; recommendations for response activities; post-treatment surveys	Planning Section to aid in IAP development and response option selection / modification	• Confirmation of shoreline character, habitats and fauna present which may influence selection of response tactics (e.g. no mechanical recovery if turtles are known to be nesting); • Oil deposition and/or removal rate for a shoreline sector will help determine effectiveness of relevant tactics (e.g. shoreline protection and/or clean-up operations); • Assessment teams provide ground truthing of sites that are not possible via satellite imagery, therefore the ICT can rely on the recommendations of Assessment Teams (e.g. flagging access issues, suitable tactics, likely resourcing needs).
OMP4a Surface chemical dispersant effectiveness and fate	Visual observations of dispersant efficacy; Fluorometric readings in water column (see also water quality assessment);	Environment Unit for use in operational NEBA; Planning Section to aid in IAP development; Operations Section to confirm dispersant effectiveness for decision-making purposes in current operations period.	Determine the effectiveness of dispersant in removing oil from sea surface and how dispersed oil is being distributed through the water column. This information can be used in NEBA to help decide if dispersants are being effective at minimising oil reaching sensitive receptors (NEBA to evaluate any trade-offs between receptors)
OMP2 Water quality assessment	Distribution of oil in water column and change in hydrocarbon concentrations (e.g. total recoverable hydrocarbons, BETEXN, PAH), physio-chemical	Situation Unit Lead to validate surveillance and modelling data; Planning Section for use in IAP	Confirm spatial extent of spill within the water column and verify spill modelling and surveillance data; extent of spill can in turn influence location of other OMP and SMP monitoring components and sites. Data can also influence ongoing use of dispersant through ongoing operational NEBA.

[5] Summary only. For additional detail, please refer to individual OMPs. Also note data outputs will be reliant on finalised monitoring design.

OMP	Data generated[5]	ICT Section requiring data	How data may be used by ICT
	parameters and dispersant detection		
OMP3 Sediment quality assessment	Distribution of oil in sediment and change in hydrocarbon concentrations (e.g. Total recoverable hydrocarbons, BETEXN, PAH)	Situation Unit Lead to validate surveillance and modelling data; Planning Section for use in IAP	Confirm spatial extent of spill; extent of spill can in turn influence location of other OMP and SMP monitoring components and sites
OMP5 Marine fauna assessment	Rapid assessment of presence and distribution of marine fauna; evaluate impact of spill and response activities on fauna	Planning Section for use in IAP; Oiled Wildlife Unit/Division to help in developing Wildlife Response Sub-plan	Understanding of species, populations and geographical locations at greatest risk from spill impacts. ICT can use this information to help qualify locations with highest level of protection priority (e.g. dugong nursery area is at risk of high contact therefore dispersant use closest to spill source may be a preferred option); understanding the impacts of spill response activities can help ICT to modify or terminate activities if they are assessed as creating more harm than the oil alone (e.g. large shoreline clean-up teams and staging areas may disturb shorebird nesting resulting in adults abandoning chicks)

18.2 Impacts from response activities

Table 10-4 of the Joint Industry OSM Framework (APPEA, 2021) outlines the potential impacts from response activities and the relevant OMP/SMP for monitoring impacts. For example, if shoreline clean-up was being considered as a response option, then possible impacts resulting from that activity could include physical presence, ground disturbance, water/sediment quality decline and lighting/noise impacts to fauna.

When finalising monitoring designs, the OSM Implementation Lead, provided by the Monitoring Service Provider, shall review Table 10-4 of the Joint Industry OSM Framework and the relevant activity EP to ensure potential impacts from response activities are considered and incorporated into relevant OMP/SMP designs.

18.3 Operational monitoring of effectiveness of control measures and to ensure EPS are met

As stated in Table 15-1, when finalising monitoring designs, the OSM Implementation Lead and Vermilion Environment Unit Lead shall review the Environmental Performance Standards (EPSs) listed in the activity-specific OPEP and integrate checks into the monitoring design that will help determine if relevant EPSs are being met.

Table 18-3 provides relevant EPSs listed in Vermilion's activity-specific OPEPs and how operational monitoring may be able to confirm it is being met.

Table 18-3: Relevant OPEP Environmental Performance Standards related to operational monitoring

Environmental Performance Standard		Confirmation that EPS is being met
EP Risk	**Shoreline protection and deflection**	
EP-OP-R03	Performance criteria, as per WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-02; WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-03, WNAB-CP-ER-01-05 and WNAB-CP-ER-01-06.	Assurance activities as per WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-02; WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-03, WNAB-CP-ER-01-05 and WNAB-CP-ER-01-06.
EP-OP-R04	Performance criteria, as per WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-03-01 - Response strategy - Monitor and evaluate.	Assurance activities as per WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-03.
EP Risk	**Shoreline clean-up**	
EP-OP-R03	Performance criteria, as per WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-02; WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-03, WNAB-CP-ER-01-05 and WNAB-CP-ER-01-06.	Assurance activities as per WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-02; WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-03, WNAB-CP-ER-01-05 and WNAB-CP-ER-01-06.
EP-OP-R04	Performance criteria, as per WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-02; WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-03, WNAB-CP-ER-01-05 and WNAB-CP-ER-01-06.	Assurance activities as per WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-02; WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-03, WNAB-CP-ER-01-05 and WNAB-CP-ER-01-06.
EP-OP-R04	Performance criteria, as per WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-03-06 - Response strategy - Shoreline clean-up.	Assurance activities as per WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-03-06.
EP Risk	**Dispersant application**	
EP-OP-R03	Performance criteria, as per WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-02; WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-03, WNAB-CP-ER-01-05 and WNAB-CP-ER-01-06.	Assurance activities as per WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-02; WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-03, WNAB-CP-ER-01-05 and WNAB-CP-ER-01-06.

Environmental Performance Standard		Confirmation that EPS is being met
EP-OP-R04	Performance criteria, as per WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-03-02 - Response Strategy - Chemical dispersant application.	Assurance activities as per WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-03-02.
EP-OP-R04	Performance criteria, as per WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-03-03 - Response strategy - Mechanical dispersant application.	Assurance activities as per WAN-WNAB-CP-ER-03-03.

Section 19 Data management

Minimum standards for data management are provided in Section 10.11 of the Joint Industry OSM Framework (APPEA, 2021) and will be adopted by Vermilion and the OSM Service Provider.

Section 20 Quality assurance and quality control

Refer to Section 10.11 of the Joint Industry OSM Framework (APPEA, 2021) for QA/QC minimum standards which will be adopted by Vermilion and the OSM Service Provider.

Section 21 Communication protocols

21.1 OSM Service Provider

Communication protocols between Vermilion and its OSM Service Provider with respect to delivery of the OMPs and SMPs (during both preparedness and implementation) are intentionally defined to ensure clear and consistent information is provided in both directions.

The following communication protocols must be observed:

- Communication between Vermilion and its OSM Service Provider during the preparedness phase (pre-spill) will be between the nominated Industry Member Technical Advisory Group representative and the OSM Service Provider.
- Communication between Vermilion and its OSM Service Provider during activation will be between the Environment Unit Lead and the OSM Service Provider representative.
- During implementation (post deployment), primary communication occurs via two pathways:
  - Environment Unit Lead and the OSM Service Provider Duty Manager for contractual, management, scientific and general direction matters; and
  - Vermilion Division Commander / On-Scene Commander and the OSM Field Team Leaders for on-site matters.
- All key OSM decisions should be logged in an ICS 214 Log Form maintained by the OSM Implementation Lead. All key OSM tasks, actions and requirements should be documented in an IAP during the response phase of the spill.
- The Vermilion Environment Unit Lead will keep the Operations Section Chief, Logistics Section Chief and Planning Section Chief briefed of the OSM status as required.
- All correspondence (copies of emails and records of phone calls) between Vermilion and the OSM Services Provider during a response should be recorded and kept on file.
- All communication received by OSM Service Provider not in line with these protocols should be reported to the Environment Unit Lead who will seek guidance on the accuracy of the information received.
- Unless related to safety (e.g. evacuation), any direction or instruction received by the OSM Service Provider outside of these protocols should be confirmed via the Vermilion Environment Unit Lead or On-Scene Commander prior to implementation.

During the post-response phase all communications shall be between the Vermilion Environment Advisor and the OSM Service Provider.

21.2 External stakeholders

Results of OMPs and SMPs will be discussed with relevant stakeholders through Vermilions ICT Public Information Officer. Information will be shared with regulatory agencies/authorities as required and inputs received from stakeholders will be evaluated and where practicable, will be used to refine the ongoing spill response and/or ongoing operational and/or scientific monitoring.

Stakeholder communications post-response will be managed by the Vermilion Stakeholder Liaison team.

Section 22 Stand down process

Monitoring for each component will continue until termination criteria for individual components are reached. Typically, OMPs will terminate when agreement has been reached with the Jurisdictional Authorities relevant to the spill to terminate the response or a relevant SMP has been activated. SMPs will continue after the spill response has been terminated and until such time as their termination criteria are also reached. A list of criteria is provided in the OSM Framework.

After OMPs are terminated, the OMP monitoring teams will be advised to stand down. Following this stage, Vermilion is responsible for coordinating a lessons-learnt meeting between the OSM Service Provider, sub-contracted Monitoring Service Providers and other relevant stakeholders.

It is the responsibility of Vermilion to ensure that lessons learnt are communicated to the relevant stakeholder groups. The lessons discussed should include both positive actions to be reinforced and lessons for actions that could be improved in future standby or response campaigns. Table 22-1 provides a checklist to assist in terminating the OMPs and SMPs and the monitoring effort.

Table 22-1: Checklist for terminating monitoring components

Responsibility	Task	Complete
Vermilion Environment Unit Lead / Environment Advisor with input from OSM Services Provider	Review termination criteria of OMPs and SMPs (provided in Table 9-1 (OMPs) and Table 9-2 (SMPs) of the Joint Industry Operational and Scientific Monitoring Framework) to ensure OMPs and SMPs are terminated in accordance with these criteria	☐
	Ensure all SMP monitoring reports are peer reviewed by an expert panel (refer to Section 10.10 of the Joint Industry OSM Framework)	☐
	Conduct lessons-learnt meeting	☐

Section 23 References

APPEA (2021) Joint Industry Operational and Scientific Monitoring Plan Framework. Rev D. Report prepared by BlueSands Environmental for APPEA Marine and Environmental Science Working Group.

Kirby MF, Brant J, Moore J, Lincoln S (eds) (2018) PREMIAM – Pollution Response in Emergencies – Marine Impact Assessment and Monitoring: Post-incident monitoring guidelines. Second Edition. Science Series Technical Report. Cefas, Lowestoft.

APPEA (2021a) Operational and Scientific Monitoring Bridging Implementation Plan Template. Rev A.

NOPSEMA (2021) Regulatory Advice Statement on APPEA's Joint Industry Operational and Scientific Monitoring Framework.

NOPSEMA (2024) Operational and Scientific Monitoring Programs N-04750-IP1349 A343826.

Appendices

Appendix A : Background information for key sensitivities

Table C-1: Background information for key sensitivities predicted to be contacted within 7 days, at a probability >5%, and requiring a baseline review

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
Barrow Island	Birds	Important feeding and resting area for migratory shorebirds. Under the Ramsar Convention, an area is recognised as an internationally significant littoral avifauna site if it supports >1% of a species' population. Barrow Island meets this Ramsar criterion for six trans-equatorial migratory species: grey-tailed tattler (*Tringa brevipes*), ruddy turnstone (*Arenaria interpres*), red-necked stint (*Caladrius ruficollis*), sanderling (*Calidris alba*), greater sand plover (*Charadrius leschenaultia*) and lesser sand plover (*Charadrius mongolus*). It is also significant for two non-migratory birds: fairy tern (*Sterna nereis*) and the northern race of the sooty oystercatcher (*Haematopus fuliginosus opthalmicus*) (DEC 2006). Nesting area for seabirds.	The highest abundances of shorebirds are associated with the extensive tidal mudflats of the south-eastern and southern coasts, such as Bandicoot Bay.	Migratory shorebird abundances increase on the island as the birds arrive from the north during September to December. The abundances of some migratory shorebirds continue to increase in January and February, suggesting local movements of birds from the mainland to Barrow Island. Abundances decrease as the migratory species leave the region to return north at the end of summer.
	Turtles	Green (*Chelonia mydas*), flatback (*Natator depressus*), hawksbill (*Eretmochelys imbricata*), loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*) and leatherback (*Dermochelys coriacea*) turtles (DEC 2006).	Flatbacks nest on sandy beaches on the mid-eastern coast (DEC 2006). Green turtles predominantly use exposed sandy beaches on the west coast (DEC 2006). Substantial mating populations of green turtles are found in the waters of north-western Barrow Island (DEC 2006). Green turtle can be found year-round feeding on algae-covered rocky intertidal and subtidal platforms off the west coast (DEC 2006).	Flatback: breeding/nesting season October – January. Hatching season: February – March. Green turtle: mating aggregations may commence from October with peak nesting from December to January, however, nesting does occur year-round (Moro and MacAulay 2010). Hawksbill: The peak season for nesting is between October and November, with less frequent nesting

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
			Feeding grounds for hawksbill turtles have been identified to the south of the Barrow Shoals (DEC 2006).	during December and January round (Moro and MacAulay 2010).
	Cetaceans	Whale species that may occasionally visit include the humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*), short-finned pilot whale (*Globicephala macrorhynchus*), false killer whale (*Pseudorca crassidens*), killer whale (*Orcinus orca*), minke whale (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*), Bryde's whale (*Balaenoptera edeni*), sei whale (*Balaenoptera borealis*), pygmy blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda*), fin whale (*Balaenoptera physalus*), melon-headed whale (*Peponocephala electra*), sperm whale (*Physeter macrocephalus*) and the blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus musculus*). Of these, only the humpback whale is a regular visitor to the area (DEC 2006). Bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) and humpback dolphins (*Sousa sahulensis*) have resident populations within the shallow waters of the inner Rowley Shelf, including the Barrow Island area (DEC 2006). Spinner dolphins (*Stenella longirostris*), common dolphins (*Delphinius delphis*), and striped dolphins (*Stenella caeruleoalba*) are abundant in the waters around Barrow Island (DEC 2006).	Spinner dolphins, common dolphins, and striped dolphins are generally oceanic species and are likely to be most abundant on the west coast of the island (DEC 2006).	-
	Dugong	Dugong (*Dugong dugon*) significant sightings (Bancroft et al. 2000)	-	-
	Mangroves	Restricted areas of stunted *Avicennia marina* occurring in narrow fringing strips in embayments (DEC 2006).	Mattress Point, south of the Chevron camp, near the airstrip, at Stokes Point and near Pelican Island on the western side of Bandicoot Bay (DEC 2006).	-
Bedout Island	Turtle	Flatback nesting (Fossette et al. 2021)	-	-
	Birds	Listed as an Important Bird and Biodiversity Area (Birdlife International 2019).		

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
		Seabird breading including Lesser Frigatebird (*Fregata ariel*), Masked Booby (*Sula dactylatra*) and Brown Boobies (*Sula leucogaster*) (Lavers et al. 2020).		
Broome – Roebuck, Broome North Coast	Cetaceans	Roebuck Bay has the largest known Australian snubfin dolphin (*Orcaella heinsohni*) population in the world, with over 150 individuals recorded (DBCA 2024). Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphin (*Sousa chinensis*) – nearshore species, regularly observed in Roebuck Bay (DPIRD, date unknown). Indo-Pacific Bottlenose Dolphin (*Tursiops aduncus*) – nearshore species observed in mangrove and seagrass bed habitat in Roebuck Bay (DPIRD, date unknown). Humpback Whales (*Megaptera novaeangilae*) – dry season migratory route in Roebuck Bay to calving grounds along Kimberly Coast (DPIRD, date unknown).	Roebuck Bay	Humpback migration occurring in dry season from April to October.
	Turtles	Green Turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) – most commonly observed turtle species in Roebuck bay. Found in seagrass bed and reef habitat. Utilises Roebuck Bay as seasonal feeding area and transit area on migration (Roebuck Bay Working Group Inc. 2017a) (Roebuck Bay Working Group Inc. 2017b). Flatback Turtle (*Natator depressus*) nests in small numbers around Cape Villaret during summer months (Roebuck Bay Working Group Inc. 2017a) (Roebuck Bay Working Group Inc. 2017b). Hawksbill Turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) observed in limited numbers within Roebuck Bay. No major nesting sites within Roebuck Bay, but are known to nest along the Broome North Coast, particularly the Cape Leveque area. (Roebuck Bay Working Group Inc. 2017a). Loggerhead Turtle (*Caretta caretta*) commonly observed within Roebuck Bay, although population numbers are not well documented. No major nesting sites within Roebuck Bay, but are known to nest along the Broome North Coast, particularly the Cape Leveque area. Utilises Roebuck Bay as seasonal feeding area and transit area on migration (Roebuck	Roebuck Bay Broome North Coast Cape Villaret Cape Leveque	Flatback turtle nesting around Cape Villaret in Summer months.

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
		Bay Working Group Inc. 2017a) (Roebuck Bay Working Group Inc. 2017b). Olive Ridley Turtle (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) observed in limited numbers within Roebuck Bay (Roebuck Bay Working Group Inc. 2017a). Closest major nesting point further along Kimberly Coast.		
	Dugong	Dugong (*Dugong dugon*) – Roebuck Marine Park, located 12 km offshore from Broome, is active with dugongs and considered a key area for the species. Broome North Coast provides critical foraging area through seagrass bed habitat. Roebuck houses one of the largest dugong populations in Western Australia, with over 1,000 estimated individuals in the bay and adjacent coastal waters (Seamap Australia, date unknown). Roebuck population is considered to be of national significance (Australian Marine Parks, date unknown).	Roebuck Bay and Roebuck Marine Park	-
	Birds	The extensive tidal flats and beaches of Eighty Mile Beach and Roebuck Bay), are of great importance to migratory shorebirds. No other region in Australia supports such large and diverse nonbreeding populations (Bamford et al. 2008, Hansen et al. 2016). Between them Eighty Mile Beach and Roebuck Bay support 21 shorebird species in internationally significant numbers (i.e. >1% of the entire population of the East Asian Australasian Flyway), that almost 3.5 million shorebirds in total occur on these sites, and they include ~580,000 shorebirds that forage on tidal flats (Rogers et al. 2011).	At Roebuck Bay, different roosts are used on daytime and night-time high tides (Roger et al. 2020). Shorebirds roost at the closest acceptable roost to their preferred foraging grounds; in species in which the location of preferred feeding areas is not static over time, roost location also varies over time (Roger et al. 2020). Wet season rains and spring create temporary supratidal wetlands which are very difficult for humans to access; many coastal shorebirds roost in these sites when they are available and are therefore overlooked when shorebird surveys are restricted to easily accessed beach roosts (Roger et al. 2020).	Migratory shorebirds arrive at Roebuck Bay from late August onwards. Mid-March to mid-May is the peak departure period.

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
Dampier Region (Northern Pilbara to Karratha) and Dampier Archipelago)	Cetaceans	Humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*): Biologically Important Area Migration for humpback whales. Females occasionally give birth in the waters of the Dampier Archipelago, although the main calving area is further north (CALM 2005)	Adult humpback whales and their young frequent the Archipelago on their southern migrations in early spring, and the Mermaid Sound (area of water between the western coastline of the Burrup Peninsula to the east of the Dampier Port, and Dampier Archipelago to the west) is a significant resting area for females with calves (MMPATF 2021; CALM 2005; CALM 1990).	Humpback whale northern migration past Pilbara occurs June and July while southern migration occurs in early spring.
		Humpback dolphins (*Sousa sahulensis*): The Australian humpback dolphin exhibit relatively small home ranges (<300 km^2) and high site fidelity (Hanf et al. 2016).	Humpback dolphins inhabit shallow, coastal waters; typically, within 20 km of land and in water depths of less than 20 m (Parra and Jedensjö 2013; Hanf et al. 2015; Hanf et al. 2021; Hunt et al. 2017). In the Pilbara, they have been recorded up to 50 km from the mainland, but possibly associated with offshore islands (Hanf et al. 2015; Hanf et al. 2021).	Humpback dolphins may be present throughout the year.
		Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops aduncus*) have been recorded throughout nearshore waters of the region (Hanf et al 2016; Allen et al. 2012; Hanf et al. 2021).	-	Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphins may be present throughout the year.
	Dugong	Current knowledge on the size of the population of the Dampier Archipelago/ Cape Preston area for dugongs (*Dugong dugon*) is limited (MMPATF 2021).	Small numbers of dugongs have been sighted in shallow, warm waters in bays and between islands, including at East Lewis Island, Cape Preston, Regnard Bay, Nickol Bay and west of Keast Island (MMPATF 2021; CALM 2005). Dugongs have a strong association with seagrass habitat. Seagrass beds are found throughout Nickol Bay and around many of the islands (Worley Parsons 2009).	May be present throughout the year.

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
	Birds	Many of the islands are important seabird nesting sites. The Dampier Archipelago has been recognised to have BIAs based on breeding for the wedge-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*), roseate tern (*Sterna dougallii*) and Australian fairy tern (*Sternula nereis*). Important feeding and resting area for migratory shorebirds, utilising many beaches and mud flats (CALM 1990).	Angel Island: shorebird sightings: Bar-tailed godwit (*Limosa lapponica*), Ruddy turnstone (*Arenaria interpres*), Whimbrel (*Numenius phaeopus*). Brigadier Island: Shorebird sightings: Whimbrel (*Numenius phaeopus*). Cohen Island: Shorebird sightings: Ruddy turnstone (*Arenaria interpres*), Grey-tailed tattler (*Tringa brevipes*). Seabird nesting: Wedge-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*), Caspian tern .(*Hydroprogne caspia*) Collier Rocks: Seabird nesting: Wedge-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*). Conzinc Island: shorebird sightings: Grey-tailed tattler (*Tringa brevipes*) Seabird nesting: Wedge-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*), Caspian tern (*Hydroprogne caspia*) Delambre Island: Seabird nesting: Wedge-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*) Dolphin Island: shorebird sightings: Red-necked stint (*Calidris ruficollis*), Grey plover (*Pluvialis squatarola*), Grey-tailed tattler (*Tringa brevipes*) Elphick Nob: Seabird nesting: Australian fairy tern (*Sternula nereis*), Wedge-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*). Egret Island: Seabird nesting: Caspian tern (*Hydroprogne caspia*) Enderby Island: shorebird sightings: Sharp-tailed sandpiper (*Calidris*	Australian fairy tern breeding: August-November (CALM 1990) Wedge-tailed shearwater breeding: October – April (CALM 1990; Nicholson 2002) Caspian tern .(breeding: July – October (CALM 1990) Roseate tern breeding: August – December (Higgins and Davies 1996

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
			acuminata), Oriental plover (*Charadrius veredus*), Whimbrel (*Numenius phaeopus*), Grey-tailed tattler (*Tringa brevipes*). Seabird nesting: Caspian tern. (*Hydroprogne caspia*) Gidley Island: Shorebird sightings: Whimbrel (*Numenius phaeopus*). Goodwyn Island: Shorebird sightings: Grey-tailed tattler (*Tringa brevipes*) Seabird nesting: Australian fairy tern (*Sternula nereis*), Wedge-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*), Roseate tern (*Sterna dougallii*). Hauy Island: Seabird nesting: Wedge-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*) Keast Island: Seabird nesting: Caspian tern .(*Hydroprogne caspia*), Australian Peican (*Pelecanus conspicillatus*) Kendrew Island: Seabird nesting: Australian fairy tern (*Sternula nereis*), Wedge-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*) Lady Nora Island: Shorebird sightings: Oriental plover (*Charadrius veredus*), Whimbrel (*Numenius phaeopus*) Seabird nesting: Wedge-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*), Caspian tern (*Hydroprogne caspia*) Legendre Island: Whimbrel (*Numenius phaeopus*), Grey-tailed tattler (*Tringa brevipes*) Seabird nesting: Wedge-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*)	

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
			Malus Island: Shorebird sightings: Grey-tailed tattler (*Tringa brevipes*) Seabird nesting: Wedge-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*) Nelson Rocks: Shorebird sightings: Whimbrel (*Numenius phaeopus*) Roly Rocks: Seabird nesting: Wedge-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*) Rosemary Island: Shorebird sightings: Red-necked stint (*Calidris ruficollis*) Seabird nesting: Caspian tern (*Hydroprogne caspia*) (CALM 2005; Higgins and Davies 1996)	
	Turtles	The waters of the Dampier Archipelago are used for breeding while the sandy beaches are regularly used for nesting by green (*Chelonia mydas*), hawksbill (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) and flatback turtles (*Natator depressus*), and occasionally by loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) (CALM 2005). Leather back turtles have been recorded in waters of the Dampier Archipelago, however, do not nest in this area.	Flatback turtle: There are significant rookeries centred on Dampier Archipelago (DoEE 2017; Limpus 2007). Delambre Island, Enderby Island, Hauy Island, Keast Island and Legendre Island have records of moderate nesting (Pendoley 2019). Delmbre Island has been recognised as the largest flatback turtle rookery in Australia with an estimated 3500 nesting females per year (Pendoley 2019). Green turtle: some the nesting sites have been identified as principal near-coastal rookeries for the species (DoEE 2017; Waayers et al. 2014). Angel Island, Cohen Island, Delambre Island, Dolphin Island, Eaglehawk Island, Enderby Island, Goodwyn Island, Hauy Island, Keast Island, Lady Nora Island, Legendre Island, Malus	The flatback turtle nesting during the summer months (October to March) with peak nesting in November to January (DoEE 2017; CALM 2005; CALM 1990). The green turtle nesting during the summer months (November – March) with peak nesting between December to February (DoEE 2017; CALM 2005; CALM 1990). The hawksbill turtle nesting during the summer months (October – February) with peak nesting in October to January, however, are known to nest all year round in the region (DoEE 2017; DSEWPC 2012b; CALM 2005; Prince 1993; CALM 1990).

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
			Island, Rosemary Island, and West Lewis Island have records nesting for this species (Pendoley 2019). Hawksbill nesting in WA is centred on the Pilbara (Dampier Archipelago) (Whiting et al. 2018; Waayers et al. 2014; Limpus 2002). Rosemary Island is considered a significant breeding area, supporting the most significant hawksbill turtle rookery in the Western Australian region and one of the largest in the Indian Ocean; tens to hundreds of animals nest on the island annually, more than any other Western Australian rookery, with approximately 1000 nesting females nesting per year (Pendoley Environmental 2019; DoEE 2017; DSWEPC 2012d). Angel Island, Delambre Island, Dolphin Island, Eaglehawk Island, Enderby Island, Goodwyn Island, Malus Island and Rosemary Island have records of moderate nesting (Pendoley 2019).	
	Coral	Live coral cover can vary greatly from reef to reef, as indicated by contrasting covers of 10–60% on Sailfish Reef and Hamersley Shoal, respectively (CALM 2005).	High coral diversity is found on the seaward slopes of Delambre Island, Hamersley Shoal, Sailfish Reef, Kendrew Island and north-west Enderby Island (CALM 2005).	-
	Mangroves	Six species of mangrove are found within the Dampier Archipelago/Cape Preston region: the white mangrove (*Avicennia marina*), red mangrove (Rhizophora stylosa), club mangrove (*Aegialitis annulata*), ribbed-fruit orange mangrove (*Brugiera exaristrata*), yellow- leaf spurred mangrove (*Ceriops tagal*) and river mangrove (*Aegiceras cornculatum*) (CALM 2005).	Most mangals occur along the mainland coast on the tidal flats at Regnard Bay, the Maitland River mouth, King Bay and Nickol Bay. Well-developed communities also occur in some of the sheltered bays on the islands, for example at West	-

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
			Intercourse Island, in Searipple Passage and the southern shores of West Lewis and East Lewis islands (CALM 2005). The mangrove communities at the Fortescue River delta, Cape Preston area, West Intercourse Island, Enderby Island, Searipple Passage/Conzinc Bay and Dixon Island have been assessed by Semeniuk (1997) as having international significance from a biodiversity and ecological basis (CALM 2005).	
	Seagrass	Seagrasses occur sparsely, in low diversity and low abundance, on shallow, unconsolidated sediments of sand and muddy sand (Jones 2004).	The most significant areas of seagrass are found between Keast and Legendre islands and between West Intercourse Island and Cape Preston (CALM 2005).	-
Eighty mile beach	Mangroves	The Eighty Mile Beach is almost devoid of mangroves. The exceptions are two small tidal creeks on Mandora Station (Johnstone et al. 2013).	Two small tidal creeks on Mandora Station (Johnstone et al. 2013).	-
	Birds	The extensive tidal flats and beaches of Eighty Mile Beach and Roebuck Bay), are of great importance to migratory shorebirds. No other region in Australia, or indeed anywhere else in the East Asian Flyway, supports such large and diverse nonbreeding populations (Bamford et al. 2008, Hansen et al. 2016). Between them Eighty Mile Beach and Roebuck Bay support 21 shorebird species in internationally significant numbers (i.e. >1% of the entire population of the East Asian Australasian Flyway), that almost 3.5 million shorebirds in total occur on these sites, and they include ~580,000 shorebirds that forage on tidal flats (Rogers et al. 2011).	Shorebird roosting distribution in north-western Australia is limited by their intolerance of hot microclimates; by day most species need to roost on wet substrates to avoid heat stress (Roger et al. 2020). Shorebirds prefer open roost settings and avoid sites where the tide pushes them close to tall features (e.g. mangroves, sand dunes) that can be used as cover by hunting birds of prey (Roger et al. 2020). At Eighty Mile Beach density of shorebirds on tidal flats at low tide is	Around September the first migratory shorebirds arrive and by October many disperse further across Australia, using Eighty Mile Beach as an important staging area (Commonwealth of Australia 2016).

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
			strongly correlated with high tide counts on the adjacent beaches, suggesting shorebirds there typically roost on beaches close to their preferred foraging sites (Roger et al. 2020).	
Exmouth Gulf	Salt flats-extensive and significant	-	Flats extend ~1,026 km^2 from Locker Point to Sandalwood Peninsula, and range from the 4.5–13 km wide (Brunskill et al. 2001; D.C. Blandford and Associates Pty Ltd and Oceanica Consulting Pty Ltd 2005).	-
	Blue-green algal. Mats	-	Extensive blue-green algal mats (cyanobacterial mats) occupy the high intertidal zone along the eastern (~85 km^2) and southern margins (~20 km^2) of Exmouth Gulf (Sutton and Shaw 2021).	-
	Salt marshes	-	Saltmarshes (namely samphire) occur extensively along the eastern intertidal margin of Exmouth Gulf, and also along the southern and western margins (Fitzpatrick et al. 2019). They also often line tidal creeks along with mangroves (Oceanica 2006).	-
	Mangroves	-	Mangroves are extensive from Bay of Rest and Gales Bay to all along the eastern margin of Exmouth Gulf (Humphreys et al. 2005; Lyne et al. 2006; Oceanica 2006; EPA 2008; Fitzpatrick et al. 2019).	-
	Reef flats and oyster beds	-	Low relief subtidal reef is extensive around Bundegi and North West Cape across to Muiron Islands (Bancroft	-

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
			and Sheridan 2000; Beckley and Lombard 2012; van Keulen and Langdon 2011). It is likely that subtidal reef flats are found around many of the islands, such as Eva and Fly Islands, which have shallow reef flats off the northern edges (Dee et al. 2020). Oyster beds are present on intertidal pavements around Heron Point (Fitzpatrick et al. 2019).	
	Macroalgae and turf algae	-	Macroalgae beds are a common vegetated habitat across Exmouth Gulf, occurring along the central, eastern, southern, and western margins, as well as around many of the islands to the north of Exmouth Gulf (Cassata and Collins 2004; Lyne et al. 2006; Cassata and Collins 2008; van Keulen and Langdon 2011; McLean et al. 2016; BMT 2020).	-
	Seagrass	-	Seagrass meadows have been known to occur along the eastern, southern and western margins of Exmouth Gulf, and around islands such as Muiron Islands, Burnside Island and Tent Island (Hutchins et al. 1996; RPS Bowman Bishaw Gorham 2004; Lyne et al. 2006; Oceanica 2006; Vanderklift et al. 2016). Coverage estimates for seagrasses are variable across Exmouth Gulf, noting that the extent and abundance of seagrass meadows across the whole Gulf has not been comprehensively mapped (Sutton and Shaw 2021).	-

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
	Corals	-	Soft and hard coral communities are spread around the coastal margins of Exmouth Gulf, as well as around islands inside and outside Exmouth Gulf (Lyne et al. 2006; Babcock et al. 2008b; Twiggs and Collins 2010; 360 Environmental 2017). Mainly distributed along the southern and eastern margins of Exmouth Gulf (Irvine and Salgado Kent 2019).	-
	Turtles	-	Mainly distributed along the southern and eastern margins of Exmouth Gulf (Irvine and Salgado Kent 2019).	Observed within the gulf year-round
	Marine mammals	Exmouth Gulf is included in the Ningaloo Reef to Montebello Islands Important Marine Mammal Area, assigned by the IUCN Marine Mammal Protected Areas Task Force (IUCN-MMPATF 2021). The qualifying species include the dugong (*Dugong dugon*), Australian humpback dolphin (*Sousa sahulensis*) and humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*). Humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) resting and nursing area		Humpback whale: June through to the end of October
	Dugong	Strong evidence of population connectivity between Shark Bay and Exmouth Gulf (Gales et al. 2004).	Mainly observed in shallow waters (<100 m) in Exmouth Gulf and around the North West Cape (Jenner and Jenner 2005, Sleeman et al. 2007; RPS 2010)	Dugongs were reported to be more frequent in Exmouth Gulf in August (RPS 2010).
	Birds	Identified as an internationally important shorebird area (Weller et al. 2020).	Exmouth Gulf Mangroves is an Important Bird Area (IBA) and a Key Biodiversity Area (Dutson et al. 2009; Key Biodiversity Areas Partnership 2020). It extends 70 km from Giralia Bay to Turbridgi Point. The three bird species triggering the KBA criteria include the dusky gerygone (Gerygone tenebrosa), pied	Juvenile shorebirds can be found year-round. Adults usually between August and April.

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
			oystercatcher (Haematopus longirostris) and grey-tailed tattler (Tringa brevipes) (Key Biodiversity Areas Partnership 2020). The entire Exmouth Gulf coastline, islands (in particular Sunday Island and Muiron Islands), and the coastline from North West Cape to Point Billie are identified as an internationally important shorebird area (Weller et al. 2020). Exmouth Gulf and islands meet the 'species criteria' for International Significance (supporting >1% of the flyway population) for grey-tailed tattler, eastern curlew (*Numenius madagascariensis*) and ruddy turnstone (*Arenaria interpres*) (Onton et al. 2013; Weller et al. 2020).	
Karratha to Port Hedland	Birds		The Port Hedland Saltworks is a regular nonbreeding destination for both northern hemisphere and a limited range of local Australian shorebirds (Johnstone et al. 2013).	Migrating shorebirds arrive in northern Australia between late August and early November.
	Turtle	Flatback turtles (*Natator depressus*) found at Cemetery Beach and Mundabullangana are a part of the same genetic management unit as flatbacks found at Thevenard Island and Barrow Island (Whittock et al. 2014)	Flatback (*Natator depressus*) rookeries at Mundabullangana and Cemetery beach (Whittock et al. 2014)	Flatback turtle nesting season for this area is from November to January and hatchling season is from December to March. Migratory shorebird numbers on northern Australian beaches peak in November and again in March as the majority of birds begin their return to the northern hemisphere (Bennelongia Pty Ltd 2011).

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
	Cetaceans	This area is within the know distribution of humpback dolphins (*Sousa chinensis*) (Parra et al. 2017) and Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops aduncus*) (Braulik et al 2019).	-	-
Lowendal Islands	Birds	Nesting area for seabirds.	Abutilon, Beacon, Bridled, Parakeelya, and Varanus islands	Seabird nesting all year, peak Oct – Jan. Pied cormorant (*Phalacrocorax varius*) nests in winter (Nicholson 2002). Wedge-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*) and Bridled tern (*Onychoprion anaethetus*) nest in Summer (Nicholson 2002). Silver gull (*Larus novaehollandiae*) nests in summer and Autumn (Nicholson 2002). Crested tern (*Thalasseus bergii*), Lesser crested tern (*Thalasseus bengalensis*) and Roseate tern (*Sterna dougallii*) nest in Autumn (Nicholson 2002).
	Turtles	Green (*Chelonia mydas*), flatback (*Natator depressus*), hawksbill (*Eretmochelys imbricata*), loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*) and leatherback (*Dermochelys coriacea*) turtles (DEC 2006).	All beaches on Beacon, Bridled, Varanus, Abutilon, Parakeelya Islands Significant hawksbill nesting on Varanus Island (DSEWPC 2012a). Hawksbill foraging around the Lowendal Island group (DSEWPC 2012a).	Hawksbill nesting in spring and early summer (peak October) with a 20 km internesting buffer. Flatback nesting peak late December – early January with a 20 km internesting buffer (DSEWPC 2012a).
	Cetaceans	Whale species that may occasionally visit include the humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*), short-finned pilot whale (*Globicephala macrorhynchus*), false killer whale (*Pseudorca crassidens*), killer whale (*Orcinus orca*), minke whale (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*), Bryde's whale (Balaenoptera edeni), sei whale (*Balaenoptera borealis*), pygmy blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda*), fin whale (*Balaenoptera physalus*), melon-headed whale	-	-

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
		(*Peponocephala electra*), sperm whale (*Physeter macrocephalus*) and the blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus musculus*). Of these, only the humpback whale is a regular visitor to the area (DEC 2006).		
	Dugong	The seagrass beds around the Lowendal Islands are thought to provide a valuable food source for dugong (*Dugong dugon*) (DEC 2006).	-	-
	Mangroves	Mangroves occupy less than 0.1% of the coastline (DEC 2006).	-	-
Montebello Islands	Birds	Twenty-six species of seabirds and waders, including migratory waders, are known in the Montebello Islands Marine Area. Migratory and threatened seabirds – Significant nesting, foraging and resting areas (Burbidge et al. 2000). At least 61 islands in the Montebello group are used by nesting seabirds (DEC 2006). Waterbirds- Historically moderately common: pied cormorant (*Phalacrocarax varius*), Australian pelican (*Pelecanus conspicillatus*), Historically common: eastern reef egret (*Egretta sacra*), osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*) Shorebirds- Historically moderately common: whimbrel (*Numenius phaeopus*), greenshank (*Tringa nebularia*), common sandpiper (*Actitis hypoleucos*), ruddy turnstone (*Arenaria interpres*), red-necked stint (*Calidris ruficollis*) Historically common: bar-tailed godwit (*Limosa lappanica*), grey-tailed tattler (*Heteroscelus brevipes*), beach stone-curlew (*Esacus neglectus*), pied oystercatcher (*Haematopus ostralegus*), sooty oystercatcher (*Haematos fuliginosus*) Burbidge et al. 2000).	Wedge-tailed shearwater (*Puffinus pacificus*) significant breeding historically reported on Ah Chong, Gossypium, Brooke, Flag, Gardenia and South East Islands. Silver gull (*Larus novaehollandiae*) breeding historically reported on Brooke and South East. Caspian tern (*Sterna caspia*) common breeding resident historically on Ah Chong, Alpha, Bluebell, Dandelion, Flag, Foxglove, Islet to south of Hermite, Ivy, Kunzea, Marri Islands, Primrose, Renewal and Trimouille. Roseate tern (*Sterna dougallii*) significant historical breeding historically reported on Dahlia, Dandelion, Pimelia, Myoporum, Gannet, Fig Islands and Bloodwood. Fairy tern (*Sterna nereis*) historical breeding on Fairy Tern Island and Hibbertia. Crested tern (*Sterna bergii*) significant historical breeding on Daisy, Epsilon and Flag Burbidge et al. 2000)..	Wedge-tailed shearwater and bridled tern nest in summer (Nicholson 2002). Silver gull nest in summer and Autumn (Nicholson 2002). Caspian tern nest in autumn and winter (Nicholson 2002). Crested tern, lesser crested tern, roseate tern and sooty tern nest in Autumn (Nicholson 2002). Fairy tern nest in winter and spring (Nicholson 2002).

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
	Turtle	Loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*) and green (*Chelonia mydas*) (*significant rookeries*); hawksbill (*Eretmochelys imbracata*), flatback (*Natator depressus*) turtles (Burbidge et al. 2000) Flatback are common in the waters surrounding the Montebello Islands (Burbidge et al. 2000) and nesting occurs for the following species (Commonwealth of Australia 2017): • Green turtle • Flatback • Hawksbill	Hawksbill- Ah Chong Island, South East Island, Trimouille and elsewhere.	Green turtle- major nesting Nov – Mar (peak: Dec-May) on locations with sandy beaches (recovery plan) Flatback- minor nesting occurs Oct-Mar (peak: Nov-Jan) Hawksbill- major nesting occurs all year (peak Oct-Jan)
	Cetaceans	Whale species that may occasionally visit include the humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*), short-finned pilot whale (*Globicephala macrorhynchus*), false killer whale (*Pseudorca crassidens*), killer whale (*Orcinus orca*), minke whale (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*), Bryde's whale (*Balaenoptera edeni*), sei whale (*Balaenoptera borealis*), pygmy blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda*), fin whale (*Balaenoptera physalus*), melon-headed whale (*Peponocephala electra*), sperm whale (*Physeter macrocephalus*) and the blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus musculus*). (DEC 2006). Pygmy blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda*) and humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) migration area Humpback dolphins (*Sousa sahulensis*) thought to be present year-round in the area (Raudino et al. 2018)	An area of sheltered water to the west of Trimouille Island is used as a resting area for female humpback whales and their young calves during their southerly migration (DEC 2006).	-
	Dugong	Dugong (*Dugong dugon*) significant sightings (Bancroft et al. 2000)	-	-
Muiron Islands	Birds	Nesting area for seabirds Wedge-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*) nesting colony, birds forage at sea in large aggregations. Crested tern (*Thalasseus bergii*) nesting colony (Department of Parks and Wildlife 2014) Identified as an internationally important shorebird area (Weller et al. 2020).	-	Wedge-tailed shearwater are believed to stay in the area year-round, but undertake significant flights away from the islands around May. Returning around June, they nest in burrows on both islands spending several months preparing and re-excavating the burrows. At about 1 m long and not very deep,

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
				the burrows are subject to collapse by foot traffic. A single egg is laid around October and the chicks hatch in January (DPaW 2015).
	Turtle	Major loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*) nesting site, significant green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) nesting site, low density hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) nesting site, occasional flatback turtle (*Natator depressus*) presence	-	Loggerhead turtle peak nesting: November to January (Waayers 2010). Green turtle peak nesting December to January (Waayers 2010).
Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Area	Mangroves	Mangroves are not extensive.	On the east side of the Cape Range peninsula, a fringing mangal of Avicennia marina occurs to the south of Cape Murat, between Bundegi Reef and Exmouth. On the west side of the Peninsula, mangals occur at Mangrove Bay (*A. marina, Rhizophora stylosa and Bruguiera exaristata*), Low Point (*Avicennia marina*) and Yardie Creek (*A. marina and R. stylosa*)	-
	Manta rays	-	-	Ningaloo Reef is considered an important area for Manta Rays in autumn and winter (Preen et al. 1997).
	Whale sharks	Whale Sharks aggregate in the waters of the Ningaloo Marine Park, frequently close to the Ningaloo Reef front. The aggregations coincides with the period when the Leeuwin Current is strongest. (Sleeman et al. 2010). The whale sharks that visit Ningaloo are mostly immature males (Sequerira et al. 2016).	-	Peak visibility April to July (noting that whale sharks may be present throughout the year)
	Turtle	Four species of turtle nest in Ningaloo: Green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*), Flatback turtle (*Natator depressus*), Hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*), Loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*)	The most concentrated area of green turtle nesting is along the northern beaches and Muiron Islands, while loggerhead nesting is concentrated along beaches further south	Main nesting: Hawksbill July-Mar Green Sept-Mar Flatback Sept-Mar

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
			(Bungelup, Jane's Bay, Gnaraloo) and on South Muiron Island (Whiting 2016)	Loggerhead Sept-Mar
	Marine mammals	Two species of dolphins are resident at Ningaloo, the Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops aduncus*) and the Australian humpback dolphin (*Sousa sahulensis*) (Allen et al. 2012, Jefferson and Rosenbaum 2014). Humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) and pygmy blue whales (*Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda*) migrate past Ningaloo each year on their way to breeding grounds further north, and back again (Jenner et al. 2001; Double et al. 2014). (Note: an increasing number of humpback calves are being born at or near Ningaloo each year (Irvine et al. 2018). The waters off Ningaloo are a possible foraging BIA for pygmy blue whales (Thums et al. 2022). Killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) prey on humpback whale calves and are regularly present during the southern migration of humpback whales each year (Pitman et al. 2014).	Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphins have been found to be primarily associated with the 20 m contour and the Muiron Islands (Hanf 2015). A relatively dense population of have been observed around the North West Cape, suggesting that this region is of high importance to this species (Haughey et al. 2020) Humpback dolphins tend to be associated with intertidal and shallow coastal waters, as well as offshore islands (Hanf 2015). Dugong mostly inhabit the shallow 90-5 m) waters fringing the coast and offshore islands, occurring in close conjunction with the seagrass and algae beds on which they feed.	Humpback whales: June through to the end of October Pygmy blue whales: April to June
	Birds	Identified as an internationally important shorebird area (Weller et al. 2020). Approximately 30 bird species listed under (JAMBA), China–Australia Migratory Bird Agreement (CAMBA) and/or Republic of Korea- Australia Migratory Bird Agreement (ROKAMBA) have been recorded in the Cape Range National Park (DEC 2010). Habitats including the shallow sandy intertidal beaches and rocky shorelines of the Ningaloo coast are important for seabirds and waders to breed, rest and feed (Shire of Exmouth et al. 1999).	Significant seabird rookeries include Cape Farquhar, Pelican Point, Point Maud and Winderabandi Point (Shore of Exmouth et al. 1999).	Juvenile shorebirds can be found year-round. Adults shorebirds usually between August and April.
Southern Islands Coast	Sediment	The Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) investigated background contaminants in Sediments of the	-	-

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
(Southern Pilbara shoreline, Onslow area coastline)		Pilbara in 2005 (DEC 2006). Sediment samples were collected from coastal waters at Port Hedland, Dampier Archipelago, Onslow, Ashburton River Mouth and Exmouth Gulf. Samples were analysed for TBT, PAHs, TPH, BTEXN, organochlorin pesticides, PCBs, total metals and metalloids. Background sediment quality was found to be high. Total arsenic were found in high concentrations in one site off Onslow (considered natural and likely to be related to geology of the region.		
	Mangroves	Mangroves in the area form small but sometimes complex communities in embayments and on the sheltered shores of many offshore islands.	Juvenile green turtles are known to forage on mangroves and have been recorded in both Urala Creek North and Urala Creek South (AECOM 2022).	-
	Turtle	Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia 2017-2027 (Commonwealth of Australia 2017) has listed critical nesting habitat in this area for Green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*), Flatback turtle (*Natator depressus*), Hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) and Loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*). Flatback BIA for nesting and internesting (DCCEEW 2023). Internesting BIA for green and loggerhead turtle (DCCEEW 2023).	Thevenard Island is an important nesting area (Commonwealth of Australia 2017).	Nesting and hatching takes place between October and April. Flatback turtle nesting in the Ashburton area occurs between October and February, with peak nesting activity in December (Imbricata 2013).
	Marine mammals	Key species (O2 Marine 2021) : humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*), dugong (*Dugong dugon*), Australian humpback dolphin (*Sousa sahulensis*), Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops aduncus*). Dugongs are resident in coastal waters of the Pilbara coast and are sighted year-round, having a strong association with seagrass habitat. BIAs (DCCEEW 2023): Humpback whale: migration and resting. Pygmy blue whale: distribution.	-	-

Location	Receptor	Background	Key locations	Seasonality
	Birds	Key species (O2 Marine 2021): Australian fairy tern (*Sternula nereis*), bar-tailed godwit- critically endangered (*Limosa lapponica menzbieri*), curlew sandpiper – critically endangered (*Calidris ferruginea*), eastern curlew- critically endangered (*Numenius madagascariensis*) Breeding and foraging BIA of Wedge-tailed shearwater (DCCEEW 2023).	-	Juvenile shorebirds can be found year-round. Adults shorebirds usually between August and April.

References

360 Environmental (2017). Learmonth Habitat Surveys. Report prepared for Subsea 7. 31pp

AECOM (2022) Marine Fauna Impact Assessment Ashburton Salt Project. Doc No. 60597242_3

Allen, S.J., Cagnazzi, D.D., Hodgson, A.J., Loneragan, N.R. and Bejder, L. 2012. Tropical inshore dolphins of north-western Australia: Unknown populations in a rapidly changing region. Pacific Conservation Biology 18, 56–63.

Australian Marine Parks (date unknown). Roebuck Marine Park. Australian Marine Parks. https://parksaustralia.gov.au/marine/parks/north-west/roebuck/

Babcock, R., Haywood, M., Vanderklift, M., Murphy, N., Clapin, G., Limbourn, A., Kozak, D., Thomson, D., McCleod, I., Milton, D., Skewes, T., Dennis, D., Depczynski, M., Heyward, A., Radford, B., Moore, J., Fitzpatrick, B., Rees, M., Colquhoun, J., and Gagliano, M. (2008b). Lagoon Invertebrates Final Report and Monitoring Recommendations. CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research and Australian Institute of Marine Science. 39pp.

Bamford M, Watkins D, Bancroft W, Tischler G, Wahl J (2008). Migratory shorebirds of the East Asian-Australasian Flyway; Population Estimates and Internationally Important Sites. Wetlands International – Oceania. Canberra, Australia.

Bancroft K.P., Davidson J.A., and Looker O. (2000). Marine wildlife distribution in the proposed Montebello/Barrow Islands and Dampier Archipelago/Cape Preston marine conservation reserves. Report: MRI/PI/MBI&DAR-44/2000. August 2000. (Marine Conservation Branch, Department of Conservation and Land Management, 47 Henry St., Fremantle, Western Australia, 6160). Unpublished report.

Bennelongia Pty Ltd (2011) Port Hedland migratory shorebird survey report and impact assessment. Prepared for BHP Billiton

BMT (2020). Learmonth Benthic Habitat Survey. Report to MBS Environmental for Subsea 7 Learmonth Pipeline Fabrication Facility. 8pp.

Braulik,G, Natoli A, Kiszka J, Parra G, Plön S, Smith BD (2019) *Tursiops aduncus*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2019: e.T41714A50381127. https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2019-3.RLTS.T41714A50381127.en. Accessed on 29 May 2024.

Brunskill, G. J., Orpin, A. R., Zagorskis, I., Woolfe, K. J., and Ellison, J. (2001). Geochemistry and particle size of surface sediments of Exmouth Gulf, Northwest Shelf, Australia. Continental Shelf Research 21, 157–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0278-4343(00)00076-5.

Burbidge A, Blyth JD, Fuller, PJ, Kendrick, PG, Stanley, FJ, Smith, LA. (2000). The terrestrial vertebrate fauna of the Montebello Islands, Western Australia. CALM Science 3(2): 95-107.

Cassata, L., and Collins, L. (2004). Coral reef communities, habitats and substrates in and near sanctuary zones of Ningaloo Marine Park. Final Report: MMS/NIN/NMP- 78/2004. Marine Conservation Branch Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.

Cassata, L., and Collins, L. B. (2008). Coral reef communities, habitats, and substrates in and near sanctuary zones of Ningaloo Marine Park. Journal of Coastal Research 24, 139–151. https://doi.org/10.2112/05-0623.1.

Commonwealth of Australia (2016) Wetlands and migratory shorebirds fact sheet. https://www.dcceew.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/factsheet-wetlands-migratory-shorebirds.pdf

Commonwealth of Australia (2017) Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia 2017-2027

Cummins, G. H. 2010. Humpback whale distribution and habitat use within Nickol Bay, Western Australia, a potential resting area. Bachelor of Science, Marine Science, Honours, University of Western Australia.

D.C. Blandford and Associates Pty Ltd and Oceanica Consulting Pty Ltd (2005). Physical Environment of the Yannarie Salt Project Area. Report prepared for Straits Salt Pty Ltd.

Department of Biodiversity Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) (2024) FinBook Yawuru Nagulagun – An identification catalogue for dolphins in Roebuck Bay. 2nd edition. https://www.riverguardians.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/2023_644_FinBook_RoebuckBay_snubfinWEB.pdf

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2023). National Conservation Values Atlas. Accessed January 5 2024.

Department of Conservation and Land Management (CALM) (1990). Dampier Archipelago Nature Reserves. Management Plan 1990-2000. Management Plan No 18—Department of Conservation and Land Management.

Department of Conservation and Land Management (CALM). (2005). Indicative Management Plan for the proposed Dampier Archipelago Marine Park and Cape Preston Marine Management Area.

Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) (2006) Background quality of the marine sediments of the Pilbara coast. DEC, Marine Technical Report Series, No. MTR 1.

Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) (2006). Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow Islands Marine Conservation Reserves, 2007–2017. Management Plan No. 55. Department of Conservation and Environment, Perth, Western Australia.

Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) (2010). Cape Range National Park Management Plan No 65 2010. No. 65. Department of Environment and Conservation, Western Australia. Available at: www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/ management-plans/decarchive/cape-range-2010-print- and-web.pdf

Department of Parks and Wildlife (DPaW) (2014). Pilbara Region Oiled Wildlife Response Plan

Department of Parks and Wildlife (DPaW) (2015) Ningaloo Coast Spring News. Available at: https://library.dbca.wa.gov.au/static/Journals/080954/080954-2015.09.pdf

Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) (date unknown), Fact Sheet: Roebuck Bay. DPIRD. https://marinewaters.fish.wa.gov.au/resource/fact-sheet-roebuck-bay/?pdf_export=1

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (DSEWPC) (2012a) Marine bioregional plan for the North-west Marine Region.

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (DSEWPC). (2012b). Species group report card – marine reptiles. Supporting the marine bioregional plan for the North-west Marine Region. Prepared under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. Commonwealth of Australia.

Department of the Environment and Energy (DoEE). (2017). Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia 2017- 2027. Commonwealth of Australia 2017.

Double, M.C., Andrews-Goff, V., Jenner, K.C.S., Jenner, M.N., Laverick, S.M., Branch, T.A. and Gales, N.J. 2014. Migratory movements of pygmy blue whales (Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda) between Australia and Indonesia as revealed by satellite telemetry. PLOS ONE 9.

Dutson, G., Garnett, S., and Gole, C. (2009). Australia's important bird areas. Conservation Statement No. 15. Birds Australia. Available at: https://birdlife.org.au/ documents/OTHPUB-IBA-supp.pdf

EPA (2008). Yannarie Solar Salt – East Coast of Exmouth Gulf. Report and recommendations of the EPA. Environmental Protection Authority, Western Australia. Available at: www.epa.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/ EPA_Report/YannarieSolarProjectEPAreport1295.pdf

Fitzpatrick, B., Davenport, A., Penrose, H., Hart, C., Gardner, S., Morgan, A., Twiggs, E., Gillis, R., Fennell, B., D'Anastasi, B., Willems, A., Dickie, J., Taylor, M., Armstrong, A., Wueringer, B., and Langlois, T. (2019). Exmouth Gulf, north Western Australia: A review of environmental and economic values and baseline scientific survey of the south western region. Report to Jock Clough Marine Foundation. 192pp.

Fossette S, Loewenthal G, Peel LR, Vitenbergs A, Hamel MA, Douglas C, Tucker AD, Mayer F, Whiting SD (2021) Using Aerial Photogrammetry to Assess Stock-Wide Marine Turtle Nesting Distribution, Abundance and Cumulative Exposure to Industrial Activity. Remote Sens, 13, 1116.

Gales, N., McCauley, R. D., Lanyon, J., and Holley, D. (2004). Change in abundance of dugongs in Shark Bay, Ningaloo and Exmouth Gulf, Western Australia: evidence for large-scale migration. Wildlife Research 31(3), 283-290. https://doi.org/10.1071/wr02073.

Hanf, D. (2015). Species distribution modelling of western Pilbara inshore dolphins. Masters Thesis. Murdoch University. 130pp.

Hanf, D., Hodgson, A.J., Kobryn, H., Bejder, L and Smith, J.N. (2021). Dolphin Distribution and Habitat Suitability in North Western Australia: Applications and Implications of a Broad-Scale, Non-targeted Dataset. Front. Mar. Sci. 8:733841. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.733841.

Hanf, D.M., Hunt, T and Parra, G.J. (2016). Humpback Dolphins of Western Australia: A review of Current knowledge and Recommendations for future management. Advances in Marine Biology, Volume 73. ISSN 00656-2881. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/bs.amb.2015.07.004.

Hansen BD, Clemens RS, Gallo-Cajiao E, Jackson MV, Kingsford RT, Maguire GS, Maurer G, Milton DA, Rogers DI, Weller DR, Weston MA, Woehler EJ, Fuller RA (2018) Shorebird monitoring in Australia: a successful long-term collaboration among citizen scientists, governments and researchers. Chapter 11 in Legge S, Lindenmayer D, Robinson N, Scheele B, Southwell D, Wintle B. (Eds). Monitoring threatened species and ecological communities. CSIRO Publishing, Clayton.

Haughey, R., Hunt, T., Hanf, D., Rankin, R. W., and Parra, G. J. (2020). Photographic capture-recapture analysis reveals a large population of Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops aduncus) with low site fidelity off the North West Cape, Western Australia. Frontiers in Marine Science 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00781.

Higgins PJ and Davies SJJF, EDS (1996). Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds. Volume Three – Snipe to Pigeons. Melbourne, Victoria: Oxford University Press.

Human, B.A. and McDonald, J.I. 2009. Knowledge review and gap analysis: Resource condition monitoring in the Pilbara and Kimberley regions of Western Australia. Coastal and Marine Resource Condition Monitoring – Scoping Project. Final NRM Report, Project 073007 – Part 1. Department of Fisheries, Government of Western Australia. 192pp.

Humphreys, G., Paling, E. I., Craig, M., Kobryn, H., Sawers, P., and Eynon, H. (2005). Yannarie Salt Project mangrove and coastal ecosystem study. Prepared for Straits Salt Pty Ltd by Biota Environmental Sciences Pty Ltd.

Hunt, T., Bejder, L., Allen, S. A., Rankin, R. W., Hanf, D., Parra, G. J. (2017). Demographic characteristics of Australian humpback dolphins reveal important habitat toward the southwestern limit of their range. Endangered Species Research. 32: 71-88.

Hutchins, J. B., Slacksmith, S. M., Bryce, C. W., Morrison, S. M., and Hewitt, M. A. (1996). Marine biological survey of the Muiron Islands and the eastern shore of Exmouth Gulf, Western Australia. Prepared for the Ocean Rescue 2000 Program by the Western Australian Museum.

Imbricata (2013). Wheatstone Project Technical Baseline Report: Marine Turtle Baseline Studies and Lighting Assessment in the Ashburton Area, Western Australia (2013). Unpublished report prepared for Chevron Australia.

Irvine, L.G., Thums, M., Hanson, C.E., McMahon, C.R. and Hindell, M.A. 2018. Evidence for a widely expanded humpback whale calving range along the Western Australian coast. Marine Mammal Science 34,294–310.

Jefferson, T.A. and Rosenbaum, H.C. 2014. Taxonomic revision of the humpback dolphins (Sousa spp.), and description of a new species from Australia. Marine Mammal Science 30, 1494–1541.

Jenner, C., and Jenner, M. (2005). Distribution and abundance of humpback whales and other mega-fauna in Exmouth Gulf, Western Australia, during 2004/2005. Centre for Whale Research (Western Australia) Inc., Prepared for Straits Salt Pty Ltd.

Jenner, K.C., Jenner, M.M. and McCabe, K.A. 2001. Geographical and temporal movements of humpback whalesin Western Australian waters. The APPEA Journal 41, 749–765.

Johnstone RE, Burbidge AH, Darnell JC (2013) Birds of the Pilbara region, including seas and offshore islands, Western Australia: distribution, status and historical changes. Records of the Western Australian Museum, Supplement 78: 343-441.

Jones DS. (2004). The Burrup Peninsula and Dampier Archipelago, Western Australia: an introduction to the history of its discovery and study, marine habitats and their flora and fauna. Records of the Western Australian Museum Supplment N0 66: 27-49.

Lavers JL, Humphreys-Williams E, Crameri NJ, Bond AL (2020) Trace ekement concentrations feathers from three seabird species breeding in the Timor Sea. Marine Pollution Bulletin 151. 110876

Limpus, C.J. (2002). Western Australian Marine Turtle Review. Queensland Environmental Protection Agency. Department of Conservation and Land Management.

Limpus, C.J. (2007). A biological review of Australian marine turtles. 5. Flatback Turtle Natator depressus (Garman). Freshwater and Marine Sciences Unit Environmental Sciences Division. Queensland Government Environmental Protection Agency.

Lyne, V., Fuller, M., Last, P., Butler, A., Martin, M., and Scott, R. (2006). Ecosystem characterisation of Australia's North West Shelf. CSIRO.

Marine Mammal Protected Areas Task Force (MMPATF). (2021). Dampier Archipelago IMMA. Dampier Archipelago IMMA – Marine Mammal Protected Areas Task Force (marinemammalhabitat.org). Accessed on the 2nd of January 2024.

McLean, D. L., Langlois, T. J., Newman, S. J., Holmes, T. H., Birt, M. J., Bornt, K. R., Bond, T., Collins, D. L., Evans, S. N., and Travers, M. J. (2016). Distribution, abundance, diversity and habitat associations of fishes across a bioregion experiencing rapid coastal development. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 178, 36-47. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2016.05.026.

Moro D and MacAuley (2010). A guide to the reptiles of Barrow Island. Chevron Australia. ISBN: 9780987112026.

Nicholson LW (2002). Breeding strategies and community structure in an assemblage of tropical seabirds on the Lowendal Islands, Western Australia. Unpublished PhD Thesis, Murdoch University, Perth, Western Australia.

O2 Marine (2021). Marine fauna desktop study: Desktop study for Mineral Resources: https://www.epa.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/Referral_Documentation/CMS18094%20-%20Appendix%20K%20-%20Marine~%20Project%20-%20Onslow%20Iron%20Pty%20Ltd.PDF

Oceanica (2006). Yannarie Salt Project Marine and coastal environment of the eastern Exmouth Gulf. Volume I: Report. Prepared for: Straits Salt Pty Ltd Prepared by Oceanica Consulting Pty Ltd.

Onton, K., Maurer, G., and Weller, D. (2013). Northwest Cape welcomes shorebirds. Western Australian Bird Notes December 2013 No. 148. Birdlife Western Australia. Available at: http://birdswa.iinet.net.au/WABN/ WABN%20%23148%202013%20Dec.pdf

Parra G, Cagnazzi D, Perrin W, Braulik GT (2017) *Sousa sahulensis*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2017: e.T82031667A82031671. https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-3.RLTS.T82031667A82031671.en. Accessed on 29 May 2024.

Parra, G.J., and M. Jedensjö. (2013). Stomach contents of Australian snubfin (*Orcaella heinsohni*) and Indo-Pacific humpback dolphins (Sousa chinensis). Marine Mammal Science. 30:1184-1198.

Pendoley Environmental. (2019). Perdaman Urea project: Marine Fauna Desktop Assessment. Prepared for Cardni Inc.

Pitman, R., Totterdell, J., Fearnbach, H., Ballance, L., Durban, J.W. and Kemps, H. 2014. Whale killers: Prevalence and ecological implications of killer whale predation on humpback whale calves off Western Australia. Marine Mammal Science 31, 629–657.

Prince, R.I.T. (1993). Western Australian Marine Turtle Conservation Project: An Outline of Scope and an Invitation to Participate. Marine Turtle Newsletter 60:8-14.

Raudino, H.C., Hunt, T.N. and Waples, K.A. Records of Australian humpback dolphins (Sousa sahulensis) from an offshore island group in Western Australia. Mar Biodivers Rec 11, 14 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41200-018-0147-0

Roebuck Bay Working Group Inc. (2017a). Dolphins Dugongs Turtles. Roebuck Bay Working Group Inc. https://www.roebuckbay.org.au/our-bay/turtles-and-large-animals/

Roebuck Bay Working Group Inc. (2017b). Ramsar Listing. Roebuck Bay Working Group Inc. https://www.roebuckbay.org.au/our-bay/roebuck-bay-ramsar-listing/

Roger DI, Scroggie MP, Hassell CJ (2020) Review of long-term shorebird monitoring in north Western Australia. Arthur Rylah Institute for Environmental Research. Technical Report Series No. 313. Prepared for DBCA

RPS (2010). Dugong aerial survey report: Wheatstone Project. Prepared for Chevron Australia Pty Ltd.

RPS Bowman Bishaw Gorham (2004). The marine and intertidal environments of Exmouth Gulf, with reference to human usage. Prepared for Straits Resources Ltd.

Seamap Australia (date unknown). Report, North-west – Roebuck. Seamap Australia. https://seamapaustralia.org/region-reports/north-west-roebuck/

Semeniuk, V. (1997) Selection of Mangrove Stands for Conservation in the Pilbara Region of Western Australia – A Discussion 30th June 1997 (updated 28th July 1997). Unpublished report to the Department of Resources Development. V & C Semeniuk Research Group, Perth.

Sequeira, A.M.M., Mellin, C., Lozano-Montes, H.M., Vanderklift, M.A., Babcock, R.C., Haywood, M.D.E., Meeuwig, J.J. and Caley, M.J. 2016. Transferability of predictive models of coral reef fish species richness. Journal of Applied Ecology 53, 64–72.

Shire of Exmouth, Department of Conservation and Land Management, and National Parks and Nature Conservation Authority (1999). Jurabi and Bundegi Coastal Parks and Muiron Islands Management Plan 1999-2009. Perth W.A.

Sleeman, J. C., Meekan, M. G., Wilson, S. G., Jenner, C.K., Jenner, M. N., Boggs, G. S., Steinberg, C. C., and Bradshaw, C. J. (2007). Biophysical correlates of relative abundances of marine megafauna at Ningaloo Reef, Western Australia. Marine and Freshwater Research 58(7), 608-623. https://doi.org/10.1071/mf06213.

Sleeman, J.C., Meekan, M.G., Fitzpatrick, B.J., Steinberg, C.R., Ancel, R. and Bradshaw, C.J.A. 2010. Oceanographic and atmospheric phenomena influence the abundance of whale sharks at Ningaloo Reef, Western Australia. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 382, 77–81.

Sutton A.L. and Shaw J.L. (2021) Cumulative Pressures on the Distinctive Values of Exmouth Gulf. First draft report to the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation by the Western Australian Marine Science Institution, Perth, Western Australia. 272 pages.

Thomson, C. and Stevens, J. (1994). A whale of a shark. Landscope, 10(2): 28-34.

Thums, M., C. Ferreira, L., Jenner, C., Jenner, M., Harris, D., Davenport, A., Andrews-Goff, V., Double, M., Möller, L., Attard, C. R., Bilgmann, K., G. Thomson, P., and McCauley, R. (2022). Pygmy blue whale movement, distribution and important areas in the Eastern Indian Ocean. Global Ecology and Conservation, 35, e02054. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2022.e02054

Twiggs, E. J., and Collins, L. B. (2010). Development and demise of a fringing coral reef during Holocene environmental change, eastern Ningaloo Reef, Western Australia. Marine Geology 275, 20–36. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.margeo.2010.04.004.

van Keulen, M., and Langdon, M. W. (2011). Ningaloo Collaboration Cluster: Biodiversity and ecology of the Ningaloo Reef lagoon. Ningaloo Collaboration Cluster Final Report No. 1c. CSIRO.

Vanderklift, M., Bearham, D., Haywood, M., Lozano-Montes, H., McCallum, R., McLaughlin, J., McMahon, K., Mortimer, N., and Lavery, P. (2016). Natural Dynamics: understanding natural dynamics of seagrasses in north-western Australia. Report of Theme 5 – Project 5.3 prepared for the Western Australian Marine Science Institution Dredging Science Node.

Waayers D (2010). A holistic approach to planning for wildlife tourism: a case study of marine turtle tourism and conservation in the Ningaloo Region, Western Australia. Unpublished PhD Thesis, Murdoch University, Perth, Western Australia.

Waayers, D., Mau, R., Mueller, A., Smith, J and Pet-Soede, L. (2014). A review of the spatial distribution of marine turtle nesting and foraging areas in Western Australia. Proceedings of the Second Australian and Second Australian Marine Turtle Symposia. Perth 25-27.

Weller, D., Kidd, L., Lee, C., Klose, S., Jaensch, R. and Driessen, J. (2020). Directory of important habitat for migratory shorebirds in Australia. Prepared for Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment by BirdLife Australia, Melbourne.

Whiting, A. 2016. Estimating abundance and detecting trends for green, loggerhead and hawksbill turtles nesting within the Ningaloo region: 2013–14 to 2015–16 seasons. Report to the Department of Parks and Wildlife, Exmouth WA. Bentley.

Whiting, S., Tucker, T., Pendoley, K., Mitchell, N., Bentley, B., Berry, O., FitzSimmons, N. (2018). Final Report of Project 1.2.2 prepared for the Kimberley Marine Research Program, Western Australian Marine Science Institution, Perth, Western Australia, 146 pp.

Whittock PA, Pendoley KL, Hamann M (2014) Inter-nesting distribution of flatback turtles *Natator depressus* and industrial development in Western Australia. Endangered Species Research 26: 25-38.

Worley Parsons. (2009). Dampier Marine Services Facility. Preliminary site investigation sampling and analysis plan implementation report. Prepared for Dampier Port Authority. Perth, WA.

Appendix B: OSM baseline data sources

Table D-1: Baseline data sources

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
Water quality	Chevron (2019) Wheatstone Effluent Quality Validation Report, Rev 0- 20200909 (ABU200900381)	Chevron	Onslow area
	Chevron (2022) MEQMP Compliance report and data (ABU221200858)	Chevron	Barrow Island
	Chevron (2022) Wheatstone Platform Environmental Monitoring Program – draft report. 60672341 Wheatstone 5 Yearly Monitoring Technical Report- Rev A	Chevron	Wheatstone Platform
	Chevron (2018) Wheatstone Platform Waste Water Discharges Model Verification Report (ABU190601699)	Chevron	Wheatstone Platform
	Chevron (2022) Gorgon Backfill Fields Benthic Survey 2022 (ABU230100068)	Chevron	Gorgon Backfill Fields
	Pilbara Ports Authority (2019) Marine Environmental Quality Program	Pilbara Ports Authority	Dampier Dampier Archipelago Port Hedland
	O2 Marine (2020) Mardie Project- Marine Water Quality. Prepared for Mardie Minerals Pty Ltd. Report Number R190056	O2 Marine	Mardie
	Port of Broome- Ongoing Marine Monitoring Program. By O2 Marine for Kimberley Ports Authority	Kimberley Ports Authority	Broome Kimberley
	Proposed Browse to North West Shelf Project, Appendix D.1: Browse to NWS Project Trunkline Route Surveys (2019) Environmental Survey Report. Neptune Document J11200-1-RR-006	Advisian/Neptune	Kimberley Marine Park Continental Slope Demersal Fish KEF Agro-Rowley Terrace Marine Park Ancient Coastline at 125 m Depth Contour KEF

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
Sediment quality	Chevron (2019) Wheatstone LNG Project Mangrove Monitoring Program 2019 (ABU200800053)	Chevron	Onslow
	Chevron (2022) MEQMP 2022 Compliance report and data (ABU221200858)	Chevron	Barrow Island
	Chevron (2022) Wheatstone Platform Environmental Monitoring Program – DRAFT REPORT 60672341, Wheatstone Platform 5 Yearly Monitoring Technical Report-Rev A	Chevron	Wheatstone Platform
	Chevron (2022) Gorgon Backfill Fields Benthic Survey 2022 (ABU230100068)	Chevron	Gorgon Backfill Fields
	Pilbara Ports Authority (2019) Marine Environmental Quality Program	Pilbara Ports Authority	Dampier Dampier Archipelago Port Hedland
	O2 Marine (2019). Mardie project- Sediment Sampling and Analysis Plan Results. Prepared for Mardie Minerals Pty Ltd. Report Number R190033	O2 Marine	Mardie
	O2 Marine and Teal Solutions (2019). Port Hedland Spoilbank Marina Sediment Sampling and Analysis Plan Implementation Report. Prepared for the Department of Transport. Report Number R190209	O2 Marine	Port Hedland
	Jones R, Wakeford M, Currey-Randall L, Miller K, Tonin H (2021) Drill cuttings and drilling fluids (muds) transport, fate and effects near a coral reef mesophotic zone. Marine Pollution Bulletin 172, 112717	AIMS	Glomar Shoal Rankin Bank
	O2 Marine (2021) Ashburton Infrastructure Project Sediment Sampling and Analysis Plan, Fremantle, WA. Prepared for Mineral Resource Limited	O2 Marine	Ashburton Onslow area
	Advisian (2019) Scarborough Sediment Sampling and Analysis Plan Implementation Report. Prepared for Woodside	Woodside	Dampier
	Woodside (ongoing unpublished data) Chemical and Ecological Monitoring of Mermaid Sound	Woodside	Burrup Peninsula Dampier
	Port of Broome- Ongoing Marine Monitoring Program. By O2 Marine for Kimberley Ports Authority	Kimberley Ports Authority	Broome Kimberley
	Proposed Browse to North West Shelf Project, Appendix D.1: Browse to NWS Project Trunkline Route Surveys (2019) Environmental Survey Report. Neptune Document J11200-1-RR-006	Advisian/Neptune	Kimberley Marine Park Continental Slope Demersal Fish KEF

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
			Agro-Rowley Terrace Marine Park Ancient Coastline at 125 m Depth Contour KEF
Intertidal and coastal habitats	Chevron (2019) Wheatstone LNG Project Mangrove Monitoring Program 2019 (ABU200800053)	Chevron	Onslow
	DBCA (long-term monitoring) Ningaloo Reef Program	DBCA	Ningaloo
	360 Environmental (2017) Learmonth Habitat Surveys. Prepared for Subsea 7	Subsea 7	Exmouth Gulf
	Woodside (ongoing unpublished data) Chemical and Ecological Monitoring of Mermaid Sound	Woodside	Burrup Peninsula Dampier
	AECOM (2022) Assessment of Benthic Communities and Habitats Ashburton Salt Project. Prepared for K + S Australian Pty Ltd. Doc No. 60692048_4.	K + S Australian Pty Ltd	Ashburton Onslow area
	Reef R and Lovelock C (2019). Characteristics of landward expansion of mangrove forests with sea level rise. Geophysical Research Abstracts 21(1), 1.	Monash University	Exmouth Gulf
	DBCA (2019) Ecological monitoring in the Shark Bay marine reserves, DBCA, Perth.	DBCA	Shark Bay
	Sutton AL and Shaw LL (2020) A snapshot of Marine Research in Shark Bay (Gathaagudu): Literature Review and Metadata Collection (1949-2020). West Australian Marine Science Institution, 180.	WAMSI	Shark Bay
	Sutton AL and Shaw JL (2021) Cumulative Pressures on the Distinctive Values of Exmouth Gulf. First draft report to the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation by the Western Australian Marine Science Institution, Perth, Western Australia. 272 pages.	WAMSI	Exmouth Gulf
	DBCA (2023) DBCA Annual Report 2022–23, Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth. Primary productivity and energy transfer between marine ecosystems (SP 2020-002)	DBCA	Dampier Archipelago
	Lincoln G, Mathews D, Oades D with the Balanggarra, Bardi Jawi, Dambimangari, Karajarri, Mayala, Nyangumarta, Nyul Nyul, Wunambal Gaambera and Yawuru ISWAG members (2021) The Kimberley Indigenous Turtle and Dugong Initiative 2021-2031. Prepared by Mosaic Environmental for the Kimberley Indigenous Saltwater Advisory Group (ISWAG) Broome 2021	Coordinated by the Kimberley Indigenous Saltwater Advisory Group, implemented by Kimberley	Kimberley

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
		Saltwater Communities, supported by Western Science Partners	
	Astron Environmental Services (2021) Varanus and Bridled Islands Mangrove Monitoring – Annual Report 2020, unpublished report to Santos WA Energy Limited	Santos	Varanus Island Bridled Island
	Ground-truthing satellite imagery that is utilised to monitor mangrove extent/density at Montebello Islands	DBCA	Montebello Islands
	Port of Broome- Ongoing Marine Monitoring Program. By O2 Marine for Kimberley Ports Authority	Kimberley Ports Authority	Broome Kimberley
	WAMSI- Mardie Off Set Plan	WAMSI	Pilbara Coast Gnoorea Yammadery Onslow Giralia Bay
Benthic habitat	Chevron (2019) Jansz-Io Subsea Compression Benthic Video Footage Review (G7-NT-REPX0000239)	Chevron	Jansz-Io Field
	Chevron (2022) WHS Platform Environmental Monitoring Program – DRAFT REPORT 60672341, Wheatstone Platform 5 Yearly Monitoring Technical Report-Rev A	Chevron	Wheatstone Platform
	Chevron (2022) Gorgon Backfill Fields Benthic Survey (ABU230100068)	Chevron	Gorgon Backfill Fields
	Chevron (2023) Thevenard Island Retirement Project Heavy Lift Vessel Anchor Spread Benthic Habitat Mapping-Survey Report	Chevron	Thevenard Island
	DBCA (long-term monitoring) Ningaloo Reef Program	DBCA	Ningaloo
	Wahab MA, Radford B, Cappo M, Colquhoun J, Stowar M, Depczynski M, Miller K, Heyward A (2018) Biodiversity and spatial patterns of benthic habitat and associated demersal fish communities at two tropical submerged reef ecosystems. Coral Reefs, 37, 327-343, 10.1007/s00338-017-1655-9	AIMS	Glomar Shoal Rankin Bank
	O2 Marine (2019). Mardie project- Sediment Sampling and Analysis Plan Results. Prepared for Mardie Minerals Pty Ltd. Report Number R190033	O_2 Marine	Mardie

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
	O2 Marine (2019). Mardie Project – Subtidal Benthic Communities and Habitat Baseline Assessment. Prepared for Mardie Minerals Pty Ltd. Report Number R190045.	O_2 Marine	Mardie
	Jones R, Wakeford M, Currey-Randall L, Miller K, Tonin H (2021) Drill cuttings and drilling fluids (muds) transport, fate and effects near a coral reef mesophotic zone. Marine Pollution Bulletin 172, 112717	AIMS	Glomar Shoal Rankin Bank
	O2 Marine (2021) Benthic Communities and Habitat Ashburton Infrastructure Project, Fremantle, WA. Prepared for Mineral Resources Limited	O_2 Marine	Ashburton Onslow area
	O2 Marine (2021). Onslow Seawater Desalination Plant. Benthic Communities and Habitat. Report No. R200065. Prepared for the Water Corporation.	O_2 Marine	Onslow area
	360 Environmental (2017) Learmonth Habitat Surveys. Prepared for Subsea 7	Subsea 7	Exmouth Gulf
	Advisian (2019) Dampier Archipelago Commonwealth Waters Marine Benthic Habitat Survey. Prepared for Woodside Energy Ltd	Woodside	Dampier Archipelago
	MScience (2019) Scarborough Trunkline Marine Environmental Studies- Pre-dredging Coral Habitat Assessment. Report to Advisian	Advisian	Dampier Archipelago Dampier Angle Island Burrup Peninsula Conzinc Island Gidley Island Intercourse Island Malus Island Middle Island
	Woodside (ongoing unpublished data) Chemical and Ecological Monitoring of Mermaid Sound	Woodside	Burrup Peninsula Dampier
	AECOM (2022) Assessment of Benthic Communities and Habitats Ashburton Salt Project. Prepared for K + S Australian Pty Ltd. Doc No. 60692048_4.	K + S Australian Pty Ltd	Ashburton Onslow area
	O2 Marine and Teal Solutions (2019) Port Hedland Spoilbank Marina Sediment Sampling and Analysis Plan Implementation Report. Prepared for the Department of Transport. Report Number R190209	O_2 Marine	Port Hedland
	BMT (2020) Technical Note. Learmonth Benthic Habitat Survey. Prepared for MBS Environmental	BMT	Exmouth Gulf

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
	Advisian (2019) Scarborough Offshore Benthic Marine Habitat Assessment. Prepared for Woodside	Woodside	Scarborough permit area WA-1-R
	Advisian (2019) Montebello Marine Park Benthic Habitat Survey ROV Analysis of the Scarborough Pipeline Route. Prepared for Woodside	Woodside	Montebello Australian Marine Park
	Moustaka M, Mohring M, Holmes T, Evans R , Thomson D, Nutt C, Stoddart J, Wilson S (2019) Cross-shelf Heterogeneity of Coral Assemblages in Northwest Australia, Diversity, vol. 11, 15pp.	DBCA Marine Science	Dampier Archipelago Regnard Island Eaglehawk Island Dockrell Reef Enderby Island Goodwyn Island Malus Island Conzinc Island Gidley Island Hammersley Shoal Legendre Island Delambre Island
	Thompson DP, Babcock RC, Evans RD, Feng M, Moustaka M, Orr M, Slawinski D, Wilson S, Hoey A (2021) Coral larval recruitment in north-western Australia predicted by regional and local conditions. Marine Environmental Research 168: 105318	CSIRO	Dampier Archipelago Regnard Island Eaglehawk Island Dockrell Reef Enderby Island Goodwyn Island Malus Island Conzinc Island Gidley Island

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
			Hammersley Shoal Legendre Island Delambre Island
	Adam A., Thomas L, Underwood J, Gilmour J, Richards Z (2022) Population connectivity and genetic offset in the spawning coral *Acropora digitifera* in Western Australia. Molecular Ecology.	Curtin University	Ashmore Reef Lalang-garram Marine Park Reefs Beagle Reef Adele Island Clerke Reef Mermaid Reef Imperieuse Reef Ningaloo Station Gnaraloo Quobba
	Doropoulos C, Gomez-Lemos LA, Salee K, McLaughlin MJ, Tebben J, Van Koningsveld M, Feng M, Babock R (2021). Limitations to coral recovery along an environmental stress gradient. Ecological Applications. 2022;32:e2558.	CSIRO	Exmouth Gulf Exmouth Ningaloo Coral Bay
	Edgeloe JM, Severn-Ellis AA, Bayer PE, Mehravi S, Breed MF, Krauss SL, Batley J, Kendrick GA, Sinclair EA. 2022. Extensive polyploid clonality was a successful strategy for seagrass to expand into a newly submerged environment. Proc. R. Soc. B20220538.https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2022.0538	UWA	Shark Bay
	McLean D and Birt M. (2021) Enhanced ROV survey of tropical fish and benthic communities associated with shallow oil and gas platforms. Research Square	AIMS	Varanus Island
	Sutton AL and Shaw LL (2020) A snapshot of Marine Research in Shark Bay (Gathaagudu): Literature Review and Metadata Collection (1949-2020). West Australian Marine Science Institution, 180.	WAMSI	Shark Bay
	Sutton AL and Shaw JL (2021) Cumulative Pressures on the Distinctive Values of Exmouth Gulf. First draft report to the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation by the Western Australian Marine Science Institution, Perth, Western Australia. 272 pages.	WAMSI	Exmouth Gulf

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
	DBCA (2023), Biodiversity and Conservation Science Annual Report 2022–23, DBCA, Perth. Primary productivity and energy transfer between marine ecosystems (SP 2020-002)	DBCA	Dampier Archipelago
	DBCA (2023), Biodiversity and Conservation Science Annual Report 2022–23, DBCA, Perth. Understanding the key ecosystem services provided by the seagrass meadows of Western Australia (SP 2018-136)	DBCA	Shark Bay
	National Reef Monitoring Network	The IMOS National Reef Monitoring Network sub-Facility	Houtman Abrolhos Islands Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Area Exmouth Gulf Dampier Archipelago Island Group Barrow Island Montebello Islands Group Ashmore Reef Cartier Island Darwin Harbour Arafura Arnhem Marmion Rottnest Island Geographe Bay
	Ningaloo Outlook	CSIRO	Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Area
	Gilmour JP, Cook KL, Ryan NM, Puotinen ML, Green, RH, Shedrawi G, Hobbs J-P A, Thompson, DP, Badcock, R, Buckee J, Foster T, Richards ZT, Wilson SK, Barnes PB, Coutts TB, Radford BT, Piggott CH, Depczynski M, Evans SN, Schoepf V, Evans RD, Halford AR, Nutt CD, Bancroft KP, Heyward AJ, Oades D (2019) The state of Western Australia's coral reefs. Coral Reefs https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-019-01795-8	AIMS	Western Australia Cocos Keeling Islands Ashmore Reef Scott Reef

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
			Rowley Shoals Montebello Islands Group Barrow Island Ningaloo Reef Shark Bay
	Lincoln G, Mathews D, Oades D with the Balanggarra, Bardi Jawi, Dambimangari, Karajarri, Mayala, Nyangumarta, Nyul Nyul, Wunambal Gaambera and Yawuru ISWAG members (2021) The Kimberley Indigenous Turtle and Dugong Initiative 2021-2031. Prepared by Mosaic Environmental for the Kimberley Indigenous Saltwater Advisory Group (ISWAG) Broome 2021	Coordinated by the Kimberley Indigenous Saltwater Advisory Group, implemented by Kimberley Saltwater Communities, supported by Western Science Partners	Kimberley
	Heyward A, Miller K, Fromont J, Keesing J, Parnum I (EDS.) (2018). Kimberley Benthic Biodiversity Synthesis Report of Project 1.1.1 prepared for the Kimberley Marine Research Program, Western Australian Marine Science Institution, Perth, Western Australia, 57pp.	WAMSI AIMS	Kimberley Camden Sound Bonaparte Archipelago Eclipse Archipelago Lalang-garram Marine Park Reefs
	Port of Broome- Ongoing Marine Monitoring Program. By O2 Marine for Kimberley Ports Authority	Kimberley Ports Authority	Broome Kimberley
	Biota Environmental Sciences (2019) Asian Renewable Energy Hub Environmental Review Document, Assessment Number 2140, Appendix 2 Benthic Communities and Habitat Survey. Prepared by BMT	BMT for Asian Renewable Hub (NW	Eighty Mile Beach

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
		Interconnected Power)	
	Proposed Browse to North West Shelf Project, Appendix D.1: Browse to NWS Project Trunkline Route Surveys (2019) Environmental Survey Report. Neptune Document J11200-1-RR-006	Advisian/Neptune	Kimberley Marine Park Continental Slope Demersal Fish KEF Agro-Rowley Terrace Marine Park Ancient Coastline at 125 m Depth Contour KEF
	O2 Marine (2020). Kimberley Marine Offloading Facility – Benthic Infauna Survey. O2 Marine Report Number T200073. Perth, Western Australia	O2 Marine	Broome Roebuck Bay
Marine fish and elasmobranchs	Chevron (2019) Jansz-Io Subsea Compression Benthic Video Footage Review (G7-NT-REPX0000239)	Chevron	Jansz-lo field
	Chevron (2021) Wheatstone Sawfish Progress Report	Chevron	Onslow area
	Chevron (2022) Gorgon Backfill Fields Benthic Survey 2022 (ABU230100068)	Chevron	Gorgon Backfill Fields
	DBCA (long-term monitoring) Ningaloo Reef Program	DBCA	Ningaloo
	Wahab MAA, Radford B, Cappo M, Colquhoun J, Stowar M, Depczynski M, Miller K, Heyward A (2018) Biodiversity and spatial patterns of benthic habitat and associated demersal fish communities at two tropical submerged reef ecosystems Coral Reefs, 37, 327-343, 10.1007/s00338-017-1655-9	AIMS	Glomar Shoal Rankin Bank
	Jones R, Wakeford M, Currey-Randall L, Miller K, Tonin H (2021) Drill cuttings and drilling fluids (muds) transport, fate and effects near a coral reef mesophotic zone. Marine Pollution Bulletin 172, 112717	AIMS	Glomar Shoal Rankin Bank
	Morgan D, Lear K, Norman B (2020) Sawfish surveys Urala Creek, Exmouth Gulf, February 2019. Report to AECOM. Centre for Sustainable Aquatic Ecosystems, Harry Butler Institute, Murdoch University, Perth, Western Australia	Murdoch University	Ashburton Exmouth Gulf

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
	Schramm KD, Marnane MJ, Elsdon TS, Jones CM, Saunders BJ, Newman SJ, Harvey ES (2021) Fish associations with shallow water subsea pipelines compared to surrounding reef and soft sediment habitats. Sci Rep 11, 6238 . https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-85396-y	Curtin University	Thevenard Island
	Galaiduk R, Radford B, Case M, Bond T, Taylor M, Cooper T, Smith L and McLean D (2022) Regional patterns in demersal fish assemblages among subsea pipelines and natural habitats across north-west Australia. Front. Mar. Sci. 9:979987. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2022.979987	AIMS	Rankin Bank Glomar Shoal Thevenard Island
	Currey-Randall LM, Galaiduk R, Stowar M, Vaughan BI, Miller KJ (2021) Mesophotic fish communities of the ancient coastline in Western Australia. PLoS ONE 16(4): e0250427. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0250427	AIMS	Locations associated with the ancient coastline KEF at depths greater than 125 m
	McLean D and Birt M. (2021) Enhanced ROV survey of tropical fish and benthic communities associated with shallow oil and gas platforms. Research Square	AIMS	Varanus Island
	McLean DL, Vaughan BI, Malseed BE, Taylor MD (2020) Fish-habitat associations on a subsea pipeline within an Australian Marine Park, Marine Environmental Research 123, 104813	AIMS	Montebello Australian Marine Park
	Sutton AL and Shaw LL (2020) A snapshot of Marine Research in Shark Bay (Gathaagudu): Literature Review and Metadata Collection (1949-2020). West Australian Marine Science Institution, 180.	WAMSI	Shark Bay
	Sutton AL and Shaw JL (2021) Cumulative Pressures on the Distinctive Values of Exmouth Gulf. First draft report to the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation by the Western Australian Marine Science Institution, Perth, Western Australia. 272 pages.	WAMSI	Exmouth Gulf
	DBCA (2023), Biodiversity and Conservation Science Annual Report 2022–23, Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth. Benefits of marine parks for marine fishes in a changing climate (SP 2021-040)	DBCA	WA State Marine Parks
	DBCA (2023), Biodiversity and Conservation Science Annual Report 2022–23, Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth. Do marine reserves adequately represent high diversity cryptobenthic fish assemblages in a changing climate? (SP 2019-031)	DBCA	Ningaloo
	National Reef Monitoring Network	The IMOS National Reef Monitoring	Houtman Abrolhos Islands

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
		Network sub-Facility	Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Area Exmouth Gulf Dampier Archipelago Island Group Barrow Island Montebello Islands Group Ashmore Reef Cartier Island Darwin Harbour Arafura Arnhem Marmion Rottnest Island Geographe Bay
	Lear KO, Ebner BC, Fazeldean T, Bateman RL, Morgan DL (2024) Effects of coastal development on sawfish movements and the need for marine animal crossing solutions. Conservation Biology, e14263. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.14263	Murdoch University	Onslow area
	Feutry P, Laird A, Davies CL, Devloo-Delva F, Fry G, Johnson G, Gunasekara RM, Marthick J, Kyne PM (2021) Population structure of Narrow Sawfish *Anoxypristis cuspidata* across northern Australia. Report to the National Environmental Science Program Marine Biodiversity Hub. CSIRO, Charles Darwin University, and NPF Industry Pty Ltd.	CSIRO	Kimberley Northern Territory coastline
	Heupel M, Simpfendorfer C, Chin A, Appleyard S, Barton D, Green M, Johnson G, McAuley R and White W (2020) Examination of connectivity of hammerhead sharks in northern Australia. Report to the National Environmental Science Program, Marine Biodiversity Hub. Australian Institute of Marine Science.	AIMS	Exmouth Gulf Broome
	Morgan DL, Lear KO, Dobinson E, Gleiss AC, Fazeldean T, Pillans RD, Beatty SJ and Whitty JM (2021) Seasonal use of a macrotidal estuary by the endangered dwarf sawfish, *Pristis clavata*. Aquatic Conservation Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 31(8):2164–2177. doi: 10.1002/aqc.3578	CSIRO	Kimberley Fitzroy River King Sound

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
	Port of Broome- Ongoing Marine Monitoring Program. By O2 Marine for Kimberley Ports Authority	Kimberley Ports Authority	Broome Kimberley
	West K, Travers MJ, Stat M, Harvey ES, Richards ZT, DiBattista JD, Newman SJ, Harry A, Skepper CL, Heydenrych M, Bunce M (2021) Large-scale eDNA metabarcoding survey reveals marine biogeographic break and transitions over tropical north-western Australia. Divers Distrib. 27: 1942–1957. https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.13228	Trace and Environmental DNA (TrDNA) Laboratory, Curtin University	Kimberley
Fisheries	Sutton AL and Shaw JL (2021) Cumulative Pressures on the Distinctive Values of Exmouth Gulf. First draft report to the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation by the Western Australian Marine Science Institution, Perth, Western Australia. 272 pages.	WAMSI	Exmouth Gulf
	DBCA (2023), Biodiversity and Conservation Science Annual Report 2022–23, Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth. Benefits of marine parks for marine fishes in a changing climate (SP 2021-040)	DBCA	WA State Marine Parks
	DBCA (2023), Biodiversity and Conservation Science Annual Report 2022–23, Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth. Do marine reserves adequately represent high diversity cryptobenthic fish assemblages in a changing climate? (SP 2019-031)	DBCA	Ningaloo
	National Reef Monitoring Network	The IMOS National Reef Monitoring Network sub-Facility	Houtman Abrolhos Islands Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Area Exmouth Gulf Dampier Archipelago Island Group Barrow Island Montebello Islands Group Ashmore Reef Cartier Island Darwin Harbour Arafura Arnhem

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
			Marmion Rottnest Island Geographe Bay
	State of the Fisheries Report (Western Australia)	DPIRD	WA's major commercial and recreational fisheries
	DPIRD (2020). Western Australian Marine Stewardship Council Report Series No. 16: Ecological Risk Assessment of the Shark Bay Invertebrate Fisheries. DPIRD, Western Australia.	DPIRD	Shark Bay
	Bartes S and Braccini JM (2021) Potential expansion in the spatial distribution of subtropical and temperate west Australian sharks. Journal of Fish Biology. doi:10.1111/jfb.14822	DPIRD	Fisheries included: Bigeye sixgill (*Hexanchus nakamurai*) Tiger shark (*Galeocerdo cuvier*) Spinner shark (*Carcarhinus brevipinna*) Scalloped hammerhead (*Sphyrna lewini*) Broadnose sevengill sharks (*Notorhyncus cepedianus*) Southern sawsharks (*Pristiophorus nudipinnis*)

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
	Langlois TJ, Wakefield CB, Harvey ES, Boddington DK and Newman SJ (2021). Does the benthic biota or fish assemblage within a large targeted fisheries closure differ to surrounding areas after 12 years of protection in tropical north-western Australia? Marine Environmental Research 170: 105403.	DPIRD	Fishery: Pilbara demersal scalefish fisheries
	Yeoh D, Johnston D and Harris D (2021) Squid and cuttlefish resources of Western Australia. Fisheries Research Report No. 314 Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia. 101pp	DPIRD	Squid and cuttlefish
	DPIRD (2020) Western Australian Marine Stewardship Council Report Series No. 17: Ecological Risk Assessment of the Exmouth Gulf Prawn Managed Fishery. DPIRD, Western Australia.	DPIRD	Exmouth Gulf
	Ryan KL, Lai EKM, Smallwood CB (2022) Boat-based recreational fishing in Western Australia 2020/21. Fisheries Research Report No. 327 Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia. 221pp.	DPIRD	
	Sutton AL and Shaw LL (2020) A snapshot of Marine Research in Shark Bay (Gathaagudu): Literature Review and Metadata Collection (1949-2020). West Australian Marine Science Institution, 180.	WAMSI	Shark Bay
	Meteyard, B (2024) Northern Prawn Fishery Data Summary 2023. NPF Industry Pty Ltd, Australia	Northern Prawn Fishery PTY Ltd	Kimberley Northern Territory
	Lynch TP, Smallwood CB, Ochwada-Doyle FA, Lyle J, Williams J, Ryan KL, Devine C, Gibson B, Jordan A (2020) A cross continental scale comparison of Australian offshore recreational fisheries research and its applications to Marine Park and fisheries management. – ICES Journal of Marine Science, 77 (3): 1190–1205.	CSIRO	Australia wide
Reptiles	Chevron (2022) Gorgon Gas Development – Marine Turtle Monitoring Program 2021/22: Barrow Island and Mundabullangana ABU220800133	Chevron	Barrow Island Mundabullangana
	Wilson P, Thums M, Pattiaratchi C, Whiting S, Pendoley K, Ferreira L, Meekan M (2019) High predation of marine turtle hatchlings near a coastal jetty. Biological Conservation, 236	UWA/DBCA	Thevenard Island
	Rob D, Barnes P, Whiting S, Fossette S, Tucker T and Mongan T (2019) Turtle activity and nesting on the Muiron Islands and Ningaloo Coast: Final Report 2018, Ningaloo Turtle Program. Report prepared for Woodside Energy Limited. Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Exmouth, pp.51.	DBCA	Cape Range National Park North West Cape Muiron Islands North Muiron Island South Muiron Island Sunday Island

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
			Bungelup
	Tucker T, Whiting S, Fossette S, Rob D, Barnes P (2020). Inter-nesting and migrations by marine turtles of the Muiron Islands and Ningaloo Coast. Final Report. Prepared for Woodside Energy Limited. Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth. pp. 1-93	DBCA	Muiron Islands North Muiron Island South Muiron Island North West Cape Cape Range National Park Bungelup
	Ferreira LC, Thums M, Fossette S, Wilson P, Shimada T, Tucker A, Pendoley K, Waayers D. Guinea ML, Loewenthal G, King J, Speirs M, Rob D, Whiting SD (2020) Multiple satellite tracking datasets inform green turtle conservation at a regional scale. Diversity and Distribution 27: 249-266	AIMS	Rosemary Island Legendre Island Middle Passage Island Barrow Island Muiron Islands Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Area Montebello Islands Group Lacepede Islands Maret Island Scott Reef
	Fossette S, Loewenthal G, Peel LR, Vitenbergs A, Hamel MA, Douglas C, Tucker AD, Mayer F, Whiting SD (2021) Using Aerial Photogrammetry to Assess Stock-Wide Marine Turtle Nesting Distribution, Abundance and Cumulative Exposure to Industrial Activity. Remote Sens, 13, 1116.	DBCA	Y Island Locker Island Onslow Area Mainland Coast Ashburton Island Thevenard Island Barrow Island Long Island

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
			Dampier Mainland Coast Rosemary Island West Mid Intercourse Island East Lewis Island Legendre Island Hauy Island Delambre Island Karratha Downes Island Bedout Island Port Hedland Mainland Coast Mundabullangana Cape Lambert Exmouth Gulf
	Pendoley Environmental (2018). Marine turtle survey of Mardie Salt Project Area – December 2017. January 2018. Prepared for Phoenix Environmental	Pendoley Environmental	Mardie
	Pendoley Environmental (2019). Mardie Salt Project: Marine turtle monitoring program 2018/2019. April 2019. Prepared for BCI Minerals Ltd.	Pendoley Environmental	Mardie Angle Island Long Island Middle Island Round Island Sholl Island
	Ningaloo Turtle Program	DBCA	North West Cape Cape Range National Park Bungelup
	Rosemary Island Turtle Monitoring Program	DBCA	Rosemary Island
	West Pilbara Turtle Program	DBCA	Karratha

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
			Cleaverville Wickham
	North West Shelf Flatback Turtle Monitoring Program	DBCA	Thevenard Island Delambre Island Karratha Port Hedland Mainland Coast Eighty Mile Beach Echo Beach Cable Beach Cape Domett
	Care for Headland Turtle Program	Care for Hedland	Port Hedland area
	Dirk Hartog Island Loggerhead Monitoring	DBCA	Dirk Hartog Island
	AECOM (2022) Marine Fauna Impact Assessment Ashburton Salt Project. Doc No. 60597242_3	AECOM	Ashburton Locker Island
	Keesing, J.K. (Ed.) (2019). Benthic habitats and biodiversity of the Dampier and Montebello Australian Marine Parks. Report for the Director of National Parks. CSIRO, Australia	CSIRO	Dampier Marine Park Montebello Australian Marine Park
	Gammon M, Whiting S, Fossette S (2023) Vulnerability of sea turtle nesting sites to erosion and inundation: A decision support framework to maximize conservation. Ecosphere, 14(6), e4529. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.4529	UWA/DBCA	Y Island Locker Island Onslow Area Mainland Coast Ashburton Island Thevenard Island Barrow Island Long Island Dampier Mainland Coast

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
			Rosemary Island West Mid Intercourse Island East Lewis Island Legendre Island Hauy Island Delambre Island Karratha Downes Island Bedout Island Port Hedland Mainland Coast Mundabullangana Cape Lambert
	FitzSimmons N N, Pittard SD, McIntyre N, Jensen MP, Guinea M, Hamann M, Kennett R, et al. (2020). Phylogeography, Genetic Stocks, and Conservation Implications for an Australian Endemic Marine Turtle. Aquatic Conservation 30 (3): 440–60. https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.3270.	Griffith University/DBCA	Barrow Island Delambre Island Mundabullangana Port Hedland Mainland Coast Eighty Mile Beach Echo Beach Cape Domett
	Thums M, Udyawer V, Galaiduk R, Ferreira L, Streten C, Radford B (2021) Using Marine Turtles to Identify Habitat and Assess Connectivity of the North and North-West Marine Park Networks and Sea Country: Exploration Study of Data and Partnerships. Report prepared for Parks Australia. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Perth. 48pp.	AIMS	Miaboolya Beach Quobba Shark Bay Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Area Muiron Islands Barrow Island

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
			Great Sandy Island Eighty Mile Beach Scott Reef Kimberley Roebuck Bay Joseph Bonaparte Gulf Lalang-garram Marine Park Reefs Oceanic Shoals Thevenard Island Echo Beach Montebello Islands Group Camden Sound Horizontal Falls
	Sutton AL and Shaw LL (2020) A snapshot of Marine Research in Shark Bay (Gathaagudu): Literature Review and Metadata Collection (1949-2020). West Australian Marine Science Institution, 180.	WAMSI	Shark Bay
	Sutton AL and Shaw JL (2021) Cumulative Pressures on the Distinctive Values of Exmouth Gulf. First draft report to the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation by the Western Australian Marine Science Institution, Perth, Western Australia. 272 pages.	WAMSI	Exmouth Gulf
	Fossette S, Ferreira L C, Whiting SD, King J, Pendoley K, Shimada T, Speirs M, Tucker A D, Wilson P, Thums M (2021) Movements and distribution of hawksbill turtles in the Eastern Indian Ocean. Global Ecology and Conservation, 29, e01713. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2021.e01713	DBCA	Beacon Island Delambre Island Rosemary Island Varanus Island Montebello Islands Group
	Pillans RD, Whiting S, Tucker T, Vanderklift MA (2022) Fine-scale movement and habitat use of juvenile, subadult, and adult green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) in a foraging ground at Ningaloo Reef, Australia. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 32 1323-1340	CSIRO	Ningaloo

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
	Gammon M, Whiting S, Fossette S (2023) Vulnerability of sea turtle nesting sites to erosion and inundation: a decision support framework to maximize conservation. Ecosphere 14: e4529	UWA/DBCA	Pilbara southern islands Pilbara northern islands Onslow area Thevenard Island Barrow Island Montebello Islands Dampier Archipelago Karratha Mundabullangana Cemetery Beach
	Ferreira LC, Thums M, Whiting S, Meekan M, Andrews-Goff V, Attard CRM, Bilgmann K, Davenport A, Double M, Falchi F, Guinea M, Hickey SM, Jenner C, Jenner M, Loewenthal G, McFarlane G, Möller LM, Norman B, Peel L, Pendoley K, Radford B, Reynolds S, Rossendell J, Tucker A, Waayers D, Whittock P, Wilson P and Fossette S (2023) Exposure of marine megafauna to cumulative anthropogenic threats in north-west Australia. Front. Ecol. Evol. 11:1229803. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2023.1229803	AIMS	Pilbara coast Kimberley Northern Territory coastline
	Ningaloo Outlook	CSIRO	Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Area
	Lambourne RN (2019) Classifying the diving behaviour of flatback turtles (*Natator depressus*) from multi-sensor tags. Honours thesis, Murdoch University	Murdoch University	Thevenard Island
	Udyawer V, D'Anastasi B, McAuley R, Heupel M (2016) Exploring the status of Western Australia's sea snakes. National Environmental Science Programme	AIMS	Shark Bay Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Area Port Hedland Rowley Shoals Oceanic Shoals

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
	Lincoln G, Mathews D, Oades D with the Balanggarra, Bardi Jawi, Dambimangari, Karajarri, Mayala, Nyangumarta, Nyul Nyul, Wunambal Gaambera and Yawuru ISWAG members (2021) The Kimberley Indigenous Turtle and Dugong Initiative 2021-2031. Prepared by Mosaic Environmental for the Kimberley Indigenous Saltwater Advisory Group (ISWAG) Broome 2021	Coordinated by the Kimberley Indigenous Saltwater Advisory Group, implemented by Kimberley Saltwater Communities, supported by Western Science Partners	Kimberley
	Tucker AD, Pendoley KL, Murray K, Loewenthal G, Barber C, Denda J, Lincoln G, Mathews D, Oades D, Whiting SD et al. (2021) Regional Ranking of Marine Turtle Nesting in Remote Western Australia by Integrating Traditional Ecological Knowledge and Remote Sensing. Remote Sensing. 13(22):4696. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13224696	DBCA WAMSI	Kimberley
	Santos Varanus Island Turtle Monitoring Program	Santos	Varanus Island
	Bayliss P, Raudino H, Hutton M, Murray K, Waples K and Strydom S (2019) Modelling the spatial relationship between dugon (Dugong dugon) and their seagrass habitat in Shark Bay Marine Park before and after the marine heatwave of 2010/11. Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment Final Report 2.	CSIRO DBCA	Shark Bay Ningaloo Reef Exmouth Gulf
	Hounslow JL, Fossette S, Chong W, Bali R, Tucker AD, Whiting SD and Gleiss AC (2023) Behaviour-specific spatiotemporal patterns of habitat use by sea turtles revealed using biologging and supervised machine learning, Journal of Applied Ecology, 60(9):1828-1840. doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.14438	Murdoch University	Roebuck Bay
	West KM, Heydenrych M, Lines R, Tucker T, Fossette S, Whiting S and Bunce M (2023) Development of a 16S metabarcoding assay for the environmental DNA (eDNA) detection of aquatic reptiles across northern Australia, Marine and Freshwater Research 74(5):432-440. doi: 10.1071/MF20288	Curtin University	Roebuck Bay
	Whiting S, Tucker T, Pendoley K, Mitchell N, Bentley B, Berry O and FitzSimmons N (2018) Final Report of Proposal 1.2.2 prepared for the Kimberley Marine Research Program, Western Australian Marine Science Institution, Perth, Western Australia, 146 pp	DBCA WAMSI	Kimberley
	Thums Michele, Rossendell Jason, Fisher Rebecca, Guinea Michael L. (2020) Nesting ecology of flatback sea turtles *Natator depressus* from Delambre Island, Western Australia. Marine and Freshwater Research 71, 443-451.	AIMS	Delambre Island
	Schneider L, Tucker AD, Vincent K, Fossette S, Young EJ and Whiting SD (2022) First Assessment of Mercury (Hg) Concentrations in Skin and Carapace of Flatback Turtles (*Natator depressus*) (Garman) From Western Australia. Front. Environ. Sci. 10:843855. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2022.843855	DBCA	Thevenard Island Eighty Mile Beach

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
Marine megafauna (whale shark, dugong and cetaceans)	Chevron (2019) Soundscape monitoring at JIC site (G1-NT-REPX0000361)	Chevron	Barrow Island
	Chevron (2023) Soundscape Monitoring at the JIC Site 2021-2023	Chevron	Barrow Island
	Raudino HC, Hunt TN, Waples KA (2018) Records of Australian humpback dolphins (*Sousa sahulensis*) from an offshore island group in Western Australia. Marine Biodiversity Records 11:14	DBCA	Montebello Islands
	Raudino HC, Douglas CR, Waples KA (2018) How many dolphins live near a coastal development? Regional Studies in Marine Science 19: 25-32	DBCA	Onslow Area Thevenard Island
	Sprogis K and Parra G (2022) Coastal dolphin and marine megafauna in Exmouth Gulf, Western Australia: informing conservation management actions in an area under increasing human pressure. Wildlife Research, 50(6): 435-450	UWA	Exmouth Gulf
	Wild S, Krutzen M, Rankin M, Hoppitt W, Gerber L, Allen S (2019) Long-term decline in survival and reproduction of dolphins following a marine heatwave. Current Biology 29, R225-R240	University of Leeds	Shark Bay
	Thums M, Ferreira LC, Jenner C, Jenner M, Harris D, Davenport A, Andrews-Goff V, Double M, Moller L, Attard CRM, Bilgmann K, Thomson PG, McCauley R (2022) Pygmy blue whale movement, distribution and important areas in the Eastern Indian Ocean. Global Ecology and Conservation 35 e02054	AIMS	Western Australia
	ECOCEAN Whale Shark Photo-Identification Library	Ecocean	Ningaloo
	AIMS (2021) Individual haplotyping of whale sharks from seawater environmental DNA.	AIMS	Ningaloo
	Lester E, Meekan MG, Barnes P, Raudino H, Rob D, Waples K, Speed CW (2020) Multi-year patterns in scarring, survival and residency of whale sharks in Ningaloo Marine Park, Western Australia. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 634:115-125.	UWA	Ningaloo
	Irvine L and Salgado Kent C (2018) The distribution and relative abundance of marine mega-fauna, with a focus on humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*), in Exmouth Gulf, Western Australia.	Oceans Blueprint	Exmouth Gulf
	NESP MaC Project 3.10 – A partnership approach to filling key knowledge gaps on dugongs in northern Australia using novel technologies, 2023–2026 (JCU, CDU, DBCA)	AIMS	Exmouth Gulf Ningaloo Shark Bay
	AIMS research on whale sharks	AIMS	Ningaloo
	Sprogis KR, Sutton AL, Jenner MN, McCauley RD, Jenner KCS (2022) Occurrence of cetaceans and seabirds along the Indian Ocean 110 E meridian from temperate to tropical waters. Deep-Sea Research II 205. 105184	Centre for Whale Research/UWA	Indian Ocean 110 E meridian from temperate to tropical waters

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
	Haughey R, Hunt TN, Hanf D, Passadore C, Baring R and Parra GJ (2021) Distribution and Habitat Preferences of Indo-Pacific Bottlenose Dolphins (*Tursiops aduncus*) Inhabiting Coastal Waters With Mixed Levels of Protection. Front. Mar. Sci. 8:617518. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.617518	Flinders University	North West Cape Exmouth Gulf Ningaloo
	Cleguer C, Kelly N, Tyne J, Wieser M, Peel D and Hodgson A (2021) A Novel Method for Using Small Unoccupied Aerial Vehicles to Survey Wildlife Species and Model Their Density Distribution. Front. Mar. Sci. 8:640338. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.640338	Murdoch University	Exmouth Gulf
	Sutton AL and Shaw LL (2020) A snapshot of Marine Research in Shark Bay (Gathaagudu): Literature Review and Metadata Collection (1949-2020). West Australian Marine Science Institution, 180.	WAMSI	Shark Bay
	Sutton AL and Shaw JL (2021) Cumulative Pressures on the Distinctive Values of Exmouth Gulf. First draft report to the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation by the Western Australian Marine Science Institution, Perth, Western Australia. 272 pages.	WAMSI	Exmouth Gulf
	Raudino HC, Bouchet PJ, Douglas C, Douglas R, Waples K (2023) Aerial abundance estimates for two sympatric dolphin species at a regional scale using distance sampling and density surface modelling. Front. Ecol. Evol. 10:1086686. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2022.1086686	DBCA	Exmouth Gulf Onslow Area Ashburton Dampier Area Dampier Archipelago Karratha Porth Hedland Area Eighty Mile Beach Southern Pilbara Islands Northern Pilbara Islands Great Sandy Island
	D'Cruz A, Salgado Kent C, Waples K, Brown AM, Marley SA, Thiele D, Yawuru PBC and Raudino HC (2022) Ranging Patterns and Site Fidelity of Snubfin Dolphins in Yawuru Nagulagun/Roebuck Bay, Western Australia. Front. Mar. Sci. 8:758435. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.758435	Edith Cowan University	Broome Roebuck Bay
	DBCA (2023), Biodiversity and Conservation Science Annual Report 2022–23, Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, Perth.	DBCA	Roebuck Bay

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
	Lester E, Canon T, Arujo G (2023) Whale sharks (*Rhincodon typus*) feed on baitfish with other predators at Ningaloo Reef. Pacific Conservation Biology 29 86-87	DBCA	Coral Bay Ningaloo
	Palmer C, Martien KK, Raudino H, Robertson KM, Withers A, Withers E, Risk R, Cooper D, D'Cruz E, Jungine E, Barrow D, Cuff N, Lane A, Keynes D, Waples K, Malpartida A and Banks S (2023) Evidence of resident coastal population(s) of false killer whales (*Pseudorca crassidens*) in northern Australian waters. Front. Mar. Sci. 9:1067660. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2022.1067660	Charles Darwin University	Exmouth Gulf Pilbara Coast Islands Southern Pilbara Islands and Coast Eighty Mile Beach Broome Lalang-garram Marine Park Reefs Darwin Harbour Tiwi Islands Groote Archipelago
	Ferreira LC, Thums M, Whiting S, Meekan M, Andrews-Goff V, Attard CRM, Bilgmann K, Davenport A, Double M, Falchi F, Guinea M, Hickey SM, Jenner C, Jenner M, Loewenthal G, McFarlane G, Möller LM, Norman B, Peel L, Pendoley K, Radford B, Reynolds S, Rossendell J, Tucker A, Waayers D, Whittock P, Wilson P and Fossette S (2023) Exposure of marine megafauna to cumulative anthropogenic threats in north-west Australia. Front. Ecol. Evol. 11:1229803. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2023.1229803	AIMS	Shark Bay Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Area Kimberley
	Ningaloo Outlook	CSIRO	Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Area
	Bouchet PJ, Thiele D, Marley SA, Waples K, Weisenberger F, Balanggarra Rangers, Bardi Jawi Rangers, Dambimangari Rangers, Nyamba Buru Yawuru Rangers, Nyul Nyul Rangers, Uunguu rangers, Raudino H (2021) Regional Assessment of the Conservation Status of Snubfin Dolphins (*Orcaella heinsohni*) in the Kimberley Region , Western Australia, Frontiers in Marine Science, 7(January), pp. 1–20.	Universtiy of St Andrews DBCA	Kimberley Roebuck Bay Cygnet Bay Prince Regent River Cambridge Gulf
	Brown AM, Bejder L, Pollock KH, Allen SJ (2016) Site-specific assessments of the abundance of three inshore dolphin species to inform conservation and management, Frontiers in Marine Science, 3(FEB), pp. 1–18.	Murdoch University	Kimberley

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
			Roebuck Bay Beagle Bay Cygnet Bay Cone Bay Cambridge Gulf Buccaneer Archipelago
	Brown AM, Smith J, Salgado Kent C, Marley S, Allen SJ, Thiele D, Bejder L, Erbe C, Chabanne D (2017) Relative abundance, population genetic structure and acoustic monitoring of Australian snubfin and humpback dolphins in regions within the Kimberley, Report of Project 1.2.4 for the Kimberley Marine Research Program. Western Australian Marine Science Institute, Perth.	Murdoch University	Kimberley Roebuck Bay Cygnet Bay Yampi Sound Prince Regent River Cambridge Gulf Buccaneer Archipelago
	Jarolimek CV, King J J, Apte SC., Hall J, Gautam A, Gillmore M, Doyle C (2023) A review of inorganic contaminants in Australian marine mammals, birds and turtles. Environmental Chemistry 20, 147-170. https://doi.org/10.1071/EN23057	CSIRO	Australia wide
	Lincoln G, Mathews D, Oades D with the Balanggarra, Bardi Jawi, Dambimangari, Karajarri, Mayala, Nyangumarta, Nyul Nyul, Wunambal Gaambera and Yawuru ISWAG members (2021) The Kimberley Indigenous Turtle and Dugong Initiative 2021-2031. Prepared by Mosaic Environmental for the Kimberley Indigenous Saltwater Advisory Group (ISWAG) Broome 2021	Coordinated by the Kimberley Indigenous Saltwater Advisory Group, implemented by Kimberley Saltwater Communities, supported by Western Science Partners	Kimberley
	Bayliss P, Hutton M (2017). Integrating Indigenous knowledge and survey techniques to develop a baseline for dugong (*Dugong dugon*) management in the Kimberley: Final Report of project 1.2.5 of the Kimberley Marine	CSIRO	Kimberley

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
	Research Program Node of the Western Australian Marine Science Institution, WAMSI, Perth, Western Australia, 98 pp.		
	Bayliss P, Raudino H, Hutton M, Murray K, Waples K and Strydom S (2019) Modelling the spatial relationship between dugong (*Dugong dugon*) and their seagrass habitat in Shark Bay Marine Park before and after the marine heatwave of 2010/11. Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment Final Report 2.	CSIRO DBCA	Shark Bay Ningaloo Reef Exmouth Gulf
	Raudino H, D'Cruz E, Waples K, Menzies J, Murdoch J, Quartermaine T and Mathews D (2020) Dry season dreaming Snubfin census on Yawuru sea country. Landscope 36, 41-44	DBCA	Roebuck Bay
	Thums M, Jenner C, Waples K, Salgado Kent C and Meekan M (2018) Humpback whale use of the Kimberley; understanding and monitoring spatial distribution. Report of Proposal 1.2.1 prepared for the Kimberley Marine Research Program, Western Australian Marine Science Institution, Perth, Western Australia, 78pp. Tourism WA. Shire of Broome visitor factsheet. Three-year average 2015/2016/2017. Produced by Tourism WA – Strategy and Research.	AIMS WAMSI	Kimberley
Seabirds and shorebirds	Chevron Env-Gor-Seabird Monitoring Report 2021/22 J01209 (ABU220500068)	Chevron	Ah Chong Island (Montebello group) Double Island North Double Island South Parakeelya Island Barrow Island Group
	Dunlop JN. and Greenwell C (2021) Seasonal movements and metapopulation structure of the Australian fairy tern in Western Australia. Pacific Conservation Biology, 27, 47-60	Conservation Council of Western Australia	Stewart Island Fortescue Island Mardie Island Regnard Island Scholl Island Shark Bay Exmouth Gulf Somerville Island Tent Island Hope Point

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
			Houtman Abrolhos Islands Ningaloo Coast
	Weller D, Kidd L, Lee C, Klose S, Jaensch R, Driessen J (2020) Directory of Important Habitat for Migratory Shorebirds in Australia. Prepared for Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment by BirdLife Australia, Melbourne	Birdlife Australia	Barrow Island Carnarvon Coral Bay Exmouth Gulf Houtman Abrolhos Islands Karratha Ningaloo Onslow Area Port Hedland Adele Island Lacepede Islands Dampier Peninsula
	Australia's National Shorebird Monitoring Program https://awsg.org.au/about-us/shorebirds-2020/	Birdlife Australia	Dampier Port Hedland Shark Bay Eighty Mile Beach Barrow Island Exmouth Gulf Ningaloo Reef Ningaloo Roebuck Bay
	Birdata: https://birdata.birdlife.org.au/	Birdlife Australia	Western Australia
	eBird: https://ebird.org/hotspots?hs=L5713406&yr=all&m=	eBird	Western Australia
	Astron (2020) Thevenard Island Retirement Project Terrestrial Ecological Monitoring Report June 2020. Prepared for Chevron	Chevron	Thevenard Island

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
	Biota (2022) Ashburton Salt Project Migratory Shorebird Assessment. Prepared for K + S Salt Australia	for K + S Salt Australia	Ashburton Exmouth Gulf
	Cannell B, Hamilton S, Driessen J (2019) Wedge- tailed shearwater foraging behaviour in the Exmouth region. Report for Woodside Energy Ltd. University of Western Australia and Birdlife Australia.	UWA	Muiron Islands
	Sutton AL and Shaw LL (2020) A snapshot of Marine Research in Shark Bay (Gathaagudu): Literature Review and Metadata Collection (1949-2020). West Australian Marine Science Institution, 180.	WAMSI	Shark Bay
	Sutton AL and Shaw JL (2021) Cumulative Pressures on the Distinctive Values of Exmouth Gulf. First draft report to the Department of Water and Environmental Regulation by the Western Australian Marine Science Institution, Perth, Western Australia. 272 pages.	WAMSI	Exmouth Gulf
	Woodside Case Study: Ningaloo Region Migratory Shorebirds of Exmouth Gulf (Birdlife)	Woodside Birdlife Australia	Exmouth Gulf Giralia Station
	DBCA shorebird surveys of Montebello Islands and Bedout Island in 2017 and 2018 mentioned in: Australian National Report to the 19th JAMBA, 13th CAMBA and 6th ROKAMBA Consultative Meetings, Commonwealth of Australia 2018	DBCA	Bedout Island Montebello Islands
	Roger DI, Scroggie MP, Hassell CJ (2020) Review of long-term shorebird monitoring in north Western Australia. Arthur Rylah Institute for Environmental Research. Technical Report Series No. 313. Prepared for DBCA	Arthur Rylah Institute DBCA	Roebuck Bay Eighty Mile Beach Bush Point
	Pendoley Environmental (2021) Varanus and Airlie Islands Shearwater Monitoring Annual Report 2020	Santos	Lowendal Islands Group Airlie Island Serrurier Island
	Bancroft W and Bamford M (2018) ANSIA Stage 2 Fauna Assessment	MJ and AR Bamford Consulting Ecologists	Pilbara
	Phoenix Environmental Sciences (2023) Long-term migratory shorebird monitoring program for the Optimised Mardie Project. Prepared for Mardie Minerals Pty Ltd	Phoenix Consultants	Mardie
	Lavers JL, Humphreys-Williams E, Crameri NJ, Bond AL (2020) Trace element concentrations feathers from three seabird species breeding in the Timor Sea. Marine Pollution Bulletin 151. 110876	University of Tasmania	Bedout Island

Receptor	Existing baseline monitoring	Source / Data Custodian	Spatial extent
	Biota Environmental Sciences (2019) Asian Renewable Energy Hub Environmental Review Document, Assessment Number 2140, Appendix 8, Asian Renewable Energy Hub Migratory Shorebirds and Waterbirds Survey. Prepared by Biotat Environmental Sciences, Nov 2018	Biota Environmental Sciences for Asian Renewable Hub (NW Interconnected Power)	Eighty Mile Beach
	Chan YC, Chan DTC, Tibbitts TL, Hassell CJ, Piersma T (2023) Site fidelity of migratory shorebirds facing habitat deterioration: insights from satellite tracking and mark-resighting. Mov Ecol 11, 79 https://doi.org/10.1186/s40462-023-00443-9	Department of Coastal Systems, NIOZ Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research Global Flyway Network Australasian Wader Studies Group	Roebuck Bay Eighty Mile Beach

Appendix C: OSM services provider call out order form

Operational and Scientific Monitoring (OSM) Services Call-Off Order Form

Please do not hesitate in contacting the Duty Manager at the earliest opportunity in the event of an incident or potential incident. Please ensure you telephone the Duty Manager before e-mailing or faxing this completed form

Oil Spill Response Limited's safety policy requires us to work closely with the mobilising party to ensure all aspects of safety and security are addressed for our personnel.

To	Duty Manager
OSRL Base	Southampton, UK Loyang, Singapore Fort Lauderdale, USA
Telephone	+65 6266 1566
Emergency Fax	+65 6266 2312
Email	dutymanagers@oilspillresponse.com, osm@oilspillresponse.com

Details of Authorised Contact				
Mobilising Company				
Name of Person Authorising OSRL				
Position of Authorising Representative				
Direct Phone Number	Country Code	+	Number	
Email Address				

Operational Monitoring service to be activated (X)		**Scientific Monitoring service to be activated (X)**	
OM1 Hydrocarbon Properties and Weathering Behaviour at Sea		**SM1** Water Quality Impact Assessment	
OM2 Water Quality Assessment		**SM2** Sediment Quality Impact Assessment	
OM3 Sediment Quality Assessment		**SM3** Intertidal and Coastal Habitat Assessment	
OM4a Surface Chemical Dispersant Effectiveness and Fate Assessment		**SM4** Seabirds and Shorebirds	
OM4b Subsea Dispersant Injection Monitoring		**SM5** Marine Mega-fauna Assessment	
OM5 Marine Fauna Surveillance		**SM6** Benthic Habitat Assessment	
OM6 Shoreline Clean-up Assessment		**SM7** Marine Fish and Elasmobranch Assemblages Assessment	
		SM8 Fisheries Impact Assessment	
		SM9 Heritage Features Assessment	
		SM10 Social Impact Assessment	

Location of Port of Staging/ Departure – Port (X)		Additional Information
Ashburton		
Barrow Island		
Broome		
Cape Preston		
Dampier		
Darwin		
Derby		
Exmouth		
Onslow		
Port Hedland		
Port Walcott		
Varanus Island		
Wyndham		
Yampi Sound		
Others (*To be Agreed)		

Location of Port of Staging/ Departure – Airport (X)		Additional Information
Barrow Island		
Broome		
Cape Preston		
Darwin		
Derby		
Karratha		
Learmonth		
Lombardina		
Onslow		
Pardoo		
Perth		
Port Hedland		
Roebourne		
Wallal Downs		
Others (*To be Agreed)		

Request for OSM position to IMT/EMT (X)		IMT/EMT Address
OSM Implementation Lead		
OSM Field Operations Manager		
SM Coordinator		
OM Coordinator		

Invoice Address if available	
Purchase Order Number	

I, the above-named Authorising Representative for the Mobilising Company, approve activation of Oil Spill Response Limited and its resources for OSM Services under the terms of the SUPPLEMENTARY SERVICE AGREEMENT FOR OPERATIONAL AND SCIENTIFIC MONITORING (OSM) SERVICES Agreement in place between the above stated Company and Oil Spill Response PTY Limited.

Signature:		Date / Time (UTC+8):		

Please telephone the Duty Manager to confirm receipt the completed form after sending this completed form.

Appendix C
Exercise Documentation

Australian Institute for Disaster Resilience

COMPANION DOCUMENT

AUSTRALIAN DISASTER RESILIENCE HANDBOOK COLLECTION

Managing Exercises Companion Templates

Companion to Managing Exercises (AIDR 2023)

APPENDIX 1 – CONCEPT DEVELOPMENT MEETING TEMPLATE

Agenda

Aim:

To discuss, agree on and further develop the broad exercise concept and key parameters including aim, objectives, evaluation concept, core dates, exercise localities, resources, and participants.

Inputs:

Higher level guidance; risk assessments and forecasts; recommendations from lessons, reviews, research and inquiries; handbooks and guides, regulatory requirements, outcomes from any prior scoping activities and inputs from stakeholder engagement.

Outcomes:

General agreement and sufficient guidance to develop the exercise concept document. Meeting minutes and briefings as required.

Agenda:

1. Governance Arrangements
2. Need and Purpose of the exercise
3. Aim of the exercise
4. Objectives for the exercise
5. Level and method of community engagement
6. Participating organisations and level of participation
7. Location, planning milestones, key events, and related activities
8. Planning limitations and risk assessment including consideration of local issues, concerns and sensitivities
9. Evaluation approach
10. Resource requirements and preliminary budget
11. Action plan (plan of actions required to develop and finalise the exercise concept document)

APPENDIX 2 – INITIAL PLANNING MEETING TEMPLATE

Agenda

Aim:

To agree on and refine the exercise parameters as presented in the exercise concept document.

Inputs:

Exercise concept document, stakeholder engagement.

Outcomes:

Broad agreement on the exercise parameters and sufficient guidance to refine the exercise plan before final endorsement.

Agenda:

1. Business arising from the concept development meeting
2. Review exercise context
3. Review exercise concept
4. Refine objectives and identify standards/measures
5. Select exercise style and technologies
6. Determine dates, duration and location(s) of exercise-related activities
7. Determine aim and objectives for each activity
8. Determine management arrangements for each activity
9. For each exercise-related activity, determine participation to prepare relevant invitations to attend
10. Determine EXCON arrangements and determine staffing requirements for:
    a. exercise control team (EXCON)
11. Determine logistical requirements and identify team members for:
    a. logistics team
    b. administration and support
12. Determine public relations and media requirements and identify team members for:
    a. public relations and media team
13. Determine exercise evaluation requirements and identify team members for: a. exercise evaluation team
14. Determine exercise writing requirements and identify team members for: a. exercise writing team(s)
15. Determine actions and allocate responsibilities

(Agenda may need to be tailored to suit multiple activity and single exercise programs)

APPENDIX 3 – MID PLANNING MEETING TEMPLATE

Agenda

Aim:

Refine exercise management arrangements and review the status of exercise planning including major issues.

At the conclusion of the mid planning meeting those appointed to the various exercise management teams should have sufficient detail to initiate their respective activities.

Inputs:

- Endorsed exercise concept document
- Exercise plan
- Activities list
- Activity summary sheet(s)
- Draft activity (or exercise) instructions
- Draft scenario documents

Outcomes:

Broad agreement on exercise management plans and sufficient guidance to refine the exercise instruction(s) before endorsement.

Confirmation of exercise scenario and agreed master schedule of events.

Agenda:

1. Business arising from the initial planning meeting
2. Review any further development to the exercise concept
3. Confirm exercise objectives and standards/measures
4. Confirmation of scenario detail and documentation requirements
5. Review EXCON arrangements, including:
    a. EXCON structure and appointments
    b. facilitators
    c. public relations and media
    d. visitor and observer arrangements
6. Further develop logistical and administration requirements
7. Determine actions to be achieved before the final planning meeting and allocate responsibilities
8. Action plan (plan of actions required to develop and finalise the exercise concept document)

(Agenda may need to be tailored to suit multiple activity and single exercise programs)

APPENDIX 4 – FINAL PLANNING MEETING TEMPLATE

Agenda

Aim:

Review all exercise planning and confirm that planning is complete.

Inputs:

- Activity (and/or Exercise) Instructions
- Scenario documents

Outcomes:

Identify and resolve any outstanding issues.

Agenda:

1. Confirm key exercise management arrangements, including revised or additional matters
2. Confirm timing of remaining key milestones and/or activities
3. Validate the exercise scenario and associated documentation
4. Identify and resolve outstanding issues

(Agenda may need to be tailored to suit multiple activity and single exercise programs)

APPENDIX 5 – POST EXERCISE MEETING TEMPLATE

Agenda

Aim:

Finalise the exercise program and related reports.

Inputs:

- Facilitator(s) checklists and exercise notes
- Exercise debrief notes
- Draft exercise report

Outcomes:

- Finalised exercise report
- Recommendations for future exercise programs
- Identified arrangements for implementing recommendations

Agenda:

1. Review exercise planning:
    a. concept development
    b. detailed planning
    c. conduct
    d. post-exercise
2. Review exercise report
3. Process for dissemination of exercise report
4. Recommendations for future activities
5. Arrangements for implementation of recommendations

(Agenda may need to be tailored to suit multiple activity and single exercise programs)

APPENDIX 6 – EXERCISE CONCEPT DOCUMENT TEMPLATE

Exercise Insert Name Concept document

Need

Summary of the need to conduct this exercise.

Overview

Short paragraph, what this exercise is to achieve. What is the target audience?

Aim

One sentence.

Exercise objectives

Exercise scope

What is included; what is excluded?

Exercise outline

Type, styles, phases etc. No need for scenario at this point. Could include theme that is proposed to use to meet the objectives

Governance and management structure

Exercise director(s)

Organisation chart and appointments for planning phase and outline for conduct phase.

Participating organisations

Public information

Strategic direction and responsibility for real and pseudo media What is the public message, if any?

Evaluation

Focus areas/approach?

Budget

Timeline

Point of contact

Approval / by / date

APPENDIX 7 – EXERCISE PLAN TEMPLATE

The purpose of the exercise plan is to outline the method (with the exercise planning team's agreement) by which the exercise will be designed, conducted and evaluated. Suggested content for the exercise plan includes:

SECTION	HEADINGS
Introduction	Background Aim of the exercise Objectives Standards / measures Scope References Participants Roles and responsibilities
Exercise Format	Exercise name Exercise type Scenario (outline only)
Governance	Exercise planning team organisational structure
Program of Activities	Activities (including meetings, briefings, workshops, training etc.) Timings Locations
Exercise Control	Exercise control (EXCON) staff (appointments and responsibilities) Briefings Documentation Communication Safety and security Media and visitors Exercise termination (and exit strategy)
Exercise Evaluation	Purpose of evaluation Process of evaluation Exercise debriefs Exercise reporting
Administration	Costs/budget Logistical requirements Travel and accommodation Catering
Attachments	Exercise outputs Exercise program or timetable EXCON staff (responsibilities) Exercise briefings (rationale and content) Exercise facilities (diagrams and equipment details) Roles and responsibilities (checklist)

APPENDIX 8 – PARTICIPANT HANDBOOK TEMPLATE

Exercise Insert Name

Introduction

- Handling instructions (security classification)

Background information

- Overview
- Aim
- Exercise objectives
- Exercise format
- Exercise context
- Exercise assumptions
    - In-exercise/out-of-exercise areas
    - No-go zones
- Participant guidance
- Cultural and sensitive issues

Situation

- General idea
- Technical briefs/detail
- Maps

Command and control

- EXCON
    - Facilitators
    - Evaluators
    - Points of contact
- Participant command, control and coordination
    - What levels are playing
    - How to interact with EXCON
    - Refer to exercise contact directory

Administration and logistics

- Exercise dates and locations
- Travel arrangements
- Accommodation
- Climate conditions
- Dress code
- Entry and security procedures
- Catering
- Communication
- Points of contact
- Expenses
    - Incurring/approval of expenditure
    - Allowances and claims
- Safety instructions
    - Risk
- Psychological safety

Participating organisations

Pre-exercise activity

- Pre-exercise training
- Briefings
- Activity schedule

Post-exercise activity

- Debriefings
- Evaluation

Attachment – if required

- Communication instructions
- Timeline
- Contact directory
- Risk assessment or summary if applicable
- EXCON

APPENDIX 9 – EXERCISE CONTROL INSTRUCTION TEMPLATE

Exercise Insert Name

Exercise control

- EXCON team members (appointments and responsibilities)
- EXCON facilities
- Exercise briefings
- Time zones
- Communication
- Media, observers and visitors
- Exercise termination (and exit strategy)
- Exercise facilities (diagrams and equipment details)

APPENDIX 10 – MASTER SCHEULDE OF EVENTS TEMPLATE

The master schedule of events provides a detailed list of all activities and actions that need to occur for the exercise objectives to be achieved and key performance indicators met. Two examples of detail that could be included in the schedule are provided.

Exercise inputs, Day #					
Serial	From	To	Time	Input, action or activity	Comment

APPENDIX 11 – MASTER SCHEDULE OF EVENTS TEMPLATE

EXERCISE (Insert Name)

Serial	Day	Date	Time	Location	Event	Live or Notional	Desired Outcome	Control Docs	Resources	Responsible	Completed
ADMINISTRATION AND LOGISTICS											
EXERCISE PHASE											
					Commencement of Exercise		Exercise Start				
					ENDEX		ENDEX				
ADMINISTRATION AND LOGISTICS											

APPENDIX 12 – EXERCISE INPUT TEMPLATE

Exercise Insert Name

INCIDENT/INFORMATION INPUT

Message number:

Date/time of inject:

Time injected:

Injection means:

Phone/fax/email/other from:

To:

Message:

Insert enough detail to allow the person inputting the message to field any immediate enquiries that may come from the input

Attachments:

Insert details of attachments that accompany this input

Instructions:

Insert enough detail for helping an exercise controller, facilitator, or evaluator to follow-up on this input, if required

APPENDIX 13 – CONTROL DOCUMENT TEMPLATE

CONTROL DOCUMENT #1

Exercise Insert Name

EXERCISE MATERIAL ONLY

EXERCISE MATERIAL ENDS

APPENDIX 14 – EXERCISE EVALUATION PLAN TEMPLATE

Exercise evaluation plan Insert Exercise Name

Introduction
Include a discussion (if relevant) of the:

- background to the exercise to be evaluated (including name and dates of the proposed activity and areas of the activity to be evaluated)
- the consequent need for evaluation (i.e., the problem(s) or issue(s) that require resolution or a decision)
- what has led to the evaluation being conducted (e.g. identified gap, previous exercise outcomes etc.)
- what areas of the activity will be evaluated?
- brief overview of what the evaluation report will cover.
- other relevant background information as appropriate.

Use of the evaluation
How will the information produced from the evaluation be used? What decisions will be influenced by the evaluation and who will make those decisions? OR What issues will be clarified?

Management of the evaluation
Responsible body: The body which is approving the activity being evaluated and will receive the

report. Identify responsible body and outline role and responsibilities. This will normally be the exercise management or planning team.

Evaluation coordinator: The person who plans the evaluation and manages the evaluation on a day-to-day basis. Identify evaluation coordinator and outline role and responsibilities.

Evaluators: The other people who will be conducting the evaluation, under the direction of the evaluation coordinator. Identify evaluators (if possible) and outline roles and responsibilities.

Aim of the evaluation
What is the overall aim or intent of the evaluation (as opposed to the aim of the exercise – may be the same or different)? What information will be collected and what will be learned?

Parameters of the evaluation
The following matters are IN scope:

The following matters are OUT of scope:

Key question(s)
Note: in the evaluation of an exercise the key questions will generally be the exercise objectives, rephrased as questions.

What question(s) is the evaluation is trying to answer? May require more than one question, but there should be no more than three to five key questions.

Answers to the key question(s) will form the body of the evaluation report.

Sub-questions
Only include if necessary – may not be required in the evaluation of minor activities.

For each key evaluation question, identify sub-questions that will generate information to describe or measure specific aspects of the key question. Taken together, answers to the sub-questions should answer that key question.

Note: in the evaluation of an exercise these will closely reflect sub-objectives but will be phrased as questions.

Methods
This section is used to identify the methods (data collection and analysis) that will be used to answer the sub-questions.

8.a Data collection
Outline the methods and arrangements for collecting data.

Often useful to attach a matrix showing which methods will be applied to answer each sub-question.

Where necessary, include arrangements and timetable for the following:

- distribution of material (questionnaires/surveys, data collection templates etc.)
- appointments for interviews
- equipment requirements
- validation processes.

8.b Analysis

Outline the methods and arrangements for analysing the data. Where necessary, include the following:

- who will conduct the analysis (including possible use of external people if applicable)
- how the analysis will be conducted (e.g. collating and reviewing evaluator reports to identify capability gaps)
- resource requirements.

Quality control

Outline the process for overall quality control. Possible mechanisms include:

- regular debriefs with users during data collection and analysis.
- workshops/conferences to review data and its interpretation.
- agreed criteria for terms such as 'timely', 'appropriate', 'efficient' or 'successful'.
- cross-checking evidence, findings, and recommendations.

Note: what quality control mechanisms are appropriate will depend on what is feasible and sufficient.

Security, safety, and ethics

Outline of the arrangements for managing security, safety and ethical issues that relate to the evaluation.

Key risks/mitigation strategy

At a minimum, briefly discuss what could go wrong (in the evaluation not the exercise) and what steps will be taken to mitigate. Only include a detailed risk assessment (including risk matrix) if necessary.

Communication strategy

Outline a communication strategy for the evaluation. Include details of any briefings or workshops to develop recommendations.

Evaluation preparation

Training requirements

Briefing requirements

Awareness of jurisdiction or organisation legislation, arrangements, policy, plans, SOPs

Report

Identify a distribution list for both the draft and final reports.

Resources

Budget/administrative

Guidance (e.g. policy and templates) Evaluators

Timeframe

- Evaluation plan by
- Fieldwork between
- Analysis between
- Draft report by
- Final report by

Attachment [*] – Evaluator Requirements

Attachment [*] – Key contacts for evaluators and mentors

Attachment [*] – Evaluator aide memoire

Attachment [*] – Exercise evaluator briefing - content Appendix *: Evaluator data collection plan

Appendix *: Evaluator report template

APPENDIX 15 – EVALUATOR AIDE MEMOIRE TEMPLATE

Attachment [*] to evaluation plan Evaluator aide memoire

The following evaluation checklist describes the evaluator's responsibilities before, during and after the exercise.

Before the exercise:

- Review the exercise plan, scenario, master schedule of events, evaluation sub-plan, safety/

risk instructions and other exercise documents, with special emphasis on the objectives, standards and key issues identified to facilitate data collection.

- Complete evaluator training/briefing requirements.
- Familiarise yourself with the legislation, plans, policies, procedures, and processes applicable to your assigned location/jurisdiction/organisation/capability.
- Familiarise yourself with the exercise communications and IT systems and tools.
- Identify and review the templates you may be required to fill out.
- Attend the EXCON and evaluator briefing at your assigned location.

On arrival at start of shift:

- Check in with the evaluation coordinator.
- Receive a shift change brief from the outgoing evaluator if applicable.
- Check in with exercise participants to advise you are on site (incident controller or similar).

During the exercise:

- Observe the exercise and record your observations.
- Identify schedule for and attend evaluator briefings/ conferences/teleconferences.
- Identify schedule for and attend key operational briefings/conferences/ teleconferences and other key events as per your collection plan.
- You may need to interview participants to clarify events and gain insight into decisions and actions.
- Collect supplementary data, which may include the following:
  - situation reports, intelligence summaries, briefings, debriefings
  - logs/running sheets (e.g. communications log, daily log)
  - requests for Information (RFI) and RFI logs
  - media releases
  - technical data products (e.g. GIS products, maps, plume model results)
  - incident action plans and other planning documents
  - quantitative data (times, numbers, equipment, resources).

Be sure to note the date and time of each piece of supplementary information along with your location (reference points) so it can be related back to the relevant part of the exercise.

- Collect participant feedback forms, where used, for those personnel whose exercise involvement is completed.

During downtime, after your shift or after ENDEX:

- Progress the completion of the applicable templates.

At the end of shift:

- Conduct a shift change brief with your replacement.
- Contact the evaluation coordinator at EXCON to advise your status.

After ENDEX:

- Attend and document relevant debriefs.
- Participate in the EXCON debriefs.
- Collect any remaining participant feedback forms.
- Complete exercise report.
- Forward your completed report to the evaluation coordinator by the agreed date

APPENDIX 15 – EVALUATOR AIDE MEMOIRE TEMPLATE

Attachment [*] to evaluation plan Exercise evaluator briefings

PART A

Evaluator specific briefing(s)

1. General overview of exercise
2. Exercise aim
3. Exercise objectives
    a. What is the jurisdiction/organisation trying to get out of the exercise?
    b. What are the key focus areas (strategic/ operational/tactical)
    c. What should evaluators be focusing on or not?
    d. What is being tested?
    e. Last-minute changes
4. Scope of exercise
5. Exercise parameters
    a. Organisations participating and their role.
    b. Organisations not participating.
    c. Notional organisation involvement
    d. Notional elements/exercise management artificiality
    e. Relevant exercise history/exercise manager's rationale for why particular aspects/activities are included (or not included)
    f. Any previously identified issues/lessons learned that are being revisited
6. Jurisdiction/organisation arrangements/current issues
    a. Environment: organisational, jurisdictional, political
7. Scenario
    a. Master schedule
    b. Critical scenario developments/key timings
    c. Key events relevant to evaluators
8. Exercise management structure
    a. Key timings
    b. Briefings/meetings
    c. Evaluator brief/debrief times.
9. Evaluator administration
    a. General instructions
    b. Administration details
    c. Meals
    d. Accommodation
    e. Security/access
    f. Transport/hire cars
    g. Identification/tabards
    h. Questions

PART B

Organisation/capability-specific briefing/discussions

1. Evaluators to visit/meet with the relevant organisation/ capability area to discuss specific details
2. Detail of organisation/capability objectives
3. Critical scenario developments/key timings for that organisation/capability
4. Focus areas
5. Discuss/agree evaluator role

PART C

General exercise briefings that evaluators need to attend

1. Safety
2. Risk assessment/management
3. Communications
4. Logistics
5. Media
6. Site visits
7. Other relevant meetings/briefings
8. Role player briefings
9. Debrief(s)

APPENDIX 17 – EVALUATOR DATA COLLECTION TEMPLATE

Evaluator data collection plan templates

Option A:

(Exercise name) evaluator data collection plan

Name of organisation/capability or function: (organisation/capability name)

Date and time	MSE line item number	Objective	Relevant measure/ standard	Observation location

Option 8:

(Exercise name) evaluator data collection plan

Name of organisation/capability or function: (organisation/capability name)

Objective 1:				
Measures and standards:	MSE item	Time	Location	Description
Objective 2:				
Measures and standards:	MSE item	Time	Location	Description
Objective 3:				
Measures and standards:	MSE item	Time	Location	Description

APPENDIX 18 – EVALUATOR REPORT TEMPLATE

(ORGANISATION/CAPABILITY) evaluator report

Exercise Insert Name

Author(s): include title, given and surnames, post-nominals, organisation and position within organisation

Executive summary

A maximum of one page that covers the following key things:

1. A short paragraph introducing the exercise in terms of:
   - time, date, place, participants, exercise type and budget
   - aim of exercise
2. What was being evaluated
3. A comment on the overall success or not of the capability(ies) as part of the exercise
4. List issues identified and treatment options (or summarise if there are numerous)

Note: The executive summary should only be written once you have completed your analysis and summary of evidence.

Summary of evidence

For each objective, put your analysed data into these tables against each relevant objective.

Objective 1:	Write each objective into one of these boxes
OBSERVATIONS	
What worked well and why? What went well? What worked? Why did it go well/work? What was good about it?	
What didn't work well and why?(categorise each point by P^2OST^2E) What didn't work? Why didn't these things work? What effect did this have?	
ISSUE(S)	
What are the issues arising from your analysis of your observations?	
TREATMENT OPTION(S)	
What are the potential treatment options (if any) for the issues you have identified?	
Was the objective achieved: yes no partially? Was the objective achieved overall? – may be any of these three options.	
Why/why no? A summary of why you have concluded that the objective was/was not/was partially achieved…	

Any other comments

Discuss any other important issues that were observed during the exercise and how they affected the achievement of exercise objectives.

<<Signature block>>

APPENDIX 19 – P2OST2E ELEMENTS OF CAPABILITY TEMPLATE

Each of these elements is present in all capabilities and breaking down issues into their basic P2OST2E elements can help to identify root causes for problems, as well as viable treatment options. This is an important aspect of the resolution stage of any activity because the causes of problems (rather than the observable symptoms) must be addressed so that these problems may be remedied for future activities.

People	Roles, responsibilities, accountabilities, skills
Process	Plans, policies, procedures, processes
Organisation	Structure, jurisdiction
Support	Infrastructure, facilities, maintenance
Technology	Equipment, systems, standards, security, inter-operability
Training	Capability qualifications/skill levels, identification of required courses
Exercise management	Exercise development, structure, management, conduct

APPENDIX 20 – EXAMPLE EVALUATION QUESTIONS TEMPLATE

There are two types of questions drawn from previous activities shown here:

1. Exercise management evaluation questions
2. Performance evaluation questions

1. Exercise management evaluation

For the evaluation of a multi-organisation exercise, the following questions and sub questions have been used.

a. Does the exercise format allow the achievement of objectives?

   a1. Does the format of the exercise provide value given the resources allocated to it?

   a2. Did the level of involvement from organisations support the focus of the exercise?

   a3. Did the pre-deployment discussion exercise contribute to achieving the exercise objectives? (If applicable)

b. Assess the planning process for this exercise.
   b1. Was the length of planning time appropriate?

   b2. Were the number, sequence, and nature of meetings appropriate?

   b3. Was the governance structure appropriate?

   b4. Were appropriate tools and systems in place to support the planning process?

   b5. Was there consistent situational awareness across all exercise functions?

   b6. Was there a process for monitoring ongoing changes that affected the exercise?

   b7. Was the objective-setting process appropriate and did they contribute to the focus areas?

c. Evaluate the reporting process for this exercise.

   c1. Was the reporting timeline appropriate and was it adhered to?

   c2. Did the reporting process facilitate the analysis of organisation reports and their contribution to the objectives?

Exercise management evaluations may also include the evaluation of exercise control, and the following types of questions are commonly used.

a. Evaluate exercise control command, management and coordination functions, with a focus on structure, EXCON team members and shift requirements.
b. Evaluate the information and communications technology required to support exercise control operations – include use of technology (i.e., computers, phones, data projectors, display boards) and the display of key information (e.g. master log)
c. Evaluate the physical facilities required to support exercise control – space for main control room and additional meeting/briefing rooms, layout, support, catering, security etc
d. Evaluate the requirements to manage exercise control forward – numbers & locations, command/control/ communication requirements (e.g. management from EXCON and within the Forward area, coordination of exercise play against the Master Schedule).

2. Performance evaluation questions

Below are sample questions used in the evaluation of capabilities during previous exercises. Some of the more complex evaluation questions have a series of sub questions associated with them.

a. Evaluate the effectiveness of the establishment of first responder command, control and coordination arrangements.

b. Examine the Country Fire Authority (CFA)'s capability to deploy hazmat resources and combat a hazmat incident on a large scale.

   b1. Was the logistical management of hazmat resources appropriate?

   b2. What is the ability of the CFA to maintain a long-term commitment to a large-scale hazmat event?

   b3. Was the inter-service deployment of hazmat and chemical, biological and radiological (CBR) resources appropriate?

   b4. Was the interstate deployment of hazmat and CBR resources appropriate?

   b5. Is there interoperability in the fire service technical hazmat equipment?

c. Was procedural guidance for the management and operation of the State Operations Centre (SOC) and Regional Operations Centre (ROC) capabilities provided?

   c1. Did participants ensure that the incident was managed in accordance with the Australian Interagency Incident Management System (AIIMS)?

   c2. Did participants ensure that the appropriate incident descriptor was implemented?

   c3. Did participants ensure that the SOC maintained an overview of operations resources and response capability?

   c4. Did participants ensure that the SOC was activated and manned in a timely manner?

   c5. Did participants ensure the activation of the ROC for immediate operation in support of any type of emergency (ref: ROC, pg. 14)?

   c6. Did participants ensure that operational preparedness levels were reviewed?

d. What is the CFA's ability and capacity to apply Victoria's emergency management arrangements, with a particular focus on command and control?

   d1. What is the CFA's role in Victorian State Emergency Management Plan?

   d2. Were command and control arrangements implemented and adhered to?

e. Assess the command, control and coordination of emergency organisations at a building collapse incident.

   e1. Did the Forward Command Post personnel demonstrate a good knowledge of the roles and responsibilities of the organisations and work together in a cooperative and collaborative manner?

   e2. Was there effective information flow between the Forward Command Post and the Taskforce Leader?

   e3. Were detailed briefings provided by the Taskforce Leader to the Taskforce on the objectives, strategies and tactics?

APPENDIX 21 – EXERCISE REPORT TEMPLATE

Suggested list of contents:

SECTION	HEADINGS
INTRODUCTION	(Introduces the exercise in the form of an executive summary)
BACKGROUND	• Background to the exercise • Exercise management • Exercise aim • Expected exercise objectives • Exercise scope • Participating organisations
EVALUATION REPORT	• Structure of the report • Commentary (for each outcome) – Objective – Rationale for objective – Observations – Recommendations
CONCLUSIONS	(Summary of the key findings and evaluator's comments)
ATTACHMENTS	• Consolidated list of recommendations • Glossary of terminology and acronyms • Exercise diagrams

Australian Institute for
Disaster Resilience

www.aidr.org.au

Australian Institute for Disaster Resilience
Knowledge Hub

www.knowledge.aidr.org.au

Appendix D
Oil Spill Trajectory Modelling Summary

MAQ0852J VERMILION WANDOO B16 OIL SPILL MODELLING (REV 3; JULY 2024)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (VOGA) is the operator of the Wandoo production platform, located approximately 70 km northwest from Dampier, Western Australia in a water depth of approximately 50 m.

As part of preparing the oil pollution emergency plan (OPEP) for the B16 well drilling campaign, a detailed oil spill modelling study was commissioned. The study assessed the exposure from a 25,555 m^3 (or 160,735 bbl) surface release of Wandoo crude over 43 days, to represent an unrestricted loss of well control (unmitigated case).

In addition, the study examined the potential benefit of applying surface dispersant as a mitigation measure (referred to as the mitigated case).

The potential risk of exposure to the surrounding waters and contact to shorelines was assessed for three distinct seasons; (i) summer (October to February), (ii) the transitional periods (March, August and September) and (iii) winter (April to July). This approach assists with identifying the environmental values and sensitivities that would be at risk of exposure on a seasonal basis.

Methodology

The modelling study was carried out in several stages. Firstly, a ten-year hind-cast current dataset (2009 to 2018) that included the combined influence of large-scale ocean circulation and tidal currents was developed. Secondly, the currents, spatial winds and then detailed hydrocarbon properties were used as inputs in the three-dimensional oil spill model (SIMAP) to simulate the movement, spreading, entrainment, weathering and fate of the spilled hydrocarbons over time.

As spills can occur during any set of wind and current conditions, the modelling was conducted using a stochastic (or probabilistic) approach, which involved running 100 spill simulations per season (summer, transitional and winter) using the same release information (i.e. spill volume, duration and oil composition), though different start times. This ensured that each simulation was exposed to different wind and current conditions and, in turn, movement and weathering of the oil. Once all 100 simulations (per season) were run, the model combined the results to determine the risk and potential exposure/contact to the surrounding waters and shorelines and specific sensitive resources over each seasonal period.

The 100 simulations per season were remodelled under identical conditions, with surface dispersant applied to oil within a 40 km by 40 km zone centred on the release location. A dispersant to oil ratio of 1:20 and effectiveness of 50% was assumed for 10 hours during daylight, starting from 48 hours after the initial release.

In addition to the stochastic modelling, the "worst case" deterministic runs were identified for the scenario based on the following criteria for spill response (a to d) and Operational and Scientific Monitoring (OSM) Bridging Implementation Plan (BIP, e) capability requirements;

a. largest volume of oil ashore;

b. longest length of shoreline contacted above 100 g/m^2 (actionable shoreline oil);

c. minimum time before shoreline contact above 10 g/m^2;

d. largest swept area of oil on the sea surface above 10 g/m^2 (actionable sea surface oil); and

e. maximum number of receptors with shoreline contact above 10 g/m^2 in 7 days for the unmitigated case.

The deterministic simulations (a–d) are presented as a side by side with and without surface dispersant.

Oil Properties

Wandoo Crude (API 19.4) was used for this oil spill modelling study. The unweathered mixture has a density of 937.7 g/cm^3 (at 16°C), a dynamic viscosity of 161 cP and a pour point of -24°C, which ensures that this crude will remain in a liquid state over the annual temperature range observed on the North West Shelf.

Wandoo Crude is composed of approximately 1.7% (by mass) of volatile hydrocarbons that will evaporate within the first 12 hours. A further 10.2% of the oil is characterised as the semi-volatile hydrocarbon compounds and will evaporate within the first 24 hours while an additional 33.1% represent the low volatiles and will typically evaporate over several days. A relatively high proportion (55%) of hydrocarbon compounds is persistent which are unlikely to evaporate and will decay over time.

Key Findings

The key findings of the study are listed below.

Area of surface oil:

The use of surface dispersant demonstrated a noticeable reduction of the sea surface exposure at, or above the moderate threshold, hence resulting in a lesser number of environmental receptors potentially exposed to surface hydrocarbons.

- The maximum distances from the release location to the moderate (10–25 g/m^2) and high (> 25 g/m^2) exposure thresholds was 902 km west and 369 km west-southwest, respectively, for the unmitigated case and 685 km north (summer) and 358 km west-southwest (transitional), respectively, for the mitigated case

Length of shoreline contact:

- For all seasonal conditions assessed, the modelling demonstrated a reduction in the length of shoreline contact (above 10 g/m^2), when the surface dispersant was applied.

- For the unmitigated case, the greatest length of shoreline contact at, or above, the low threshold (10 g/m^2) during the summer, transitional and winter seasons was 898 km, 227 km and 233 km, respectively, compared to 691 km, 160 km, 190 km for the mitigated case, or a reduction of 30%, 42% and 22%, respectively.

Volume of oil on shore:

- The greatest volume of oil on shore from a single spill trajectory was predicted to reduce from 5,606 m^3, to 2,737 m^3 when the mitigation option was considered. This represented a reduction of 45%.

Dissolved hydrocarbon exposure:

Overall there was an increase for the dissolved hydrocarbon exposure within the 0-10 m and 10-20 m depth layers following the application of surface dispersant. However, it is worth noting that none of the receptors assessed were predicted to be exposed at, or above the high (≥ 400 ppb) threshold.

- In the surface (0-10 m) depth layer, the Pilbara (offshore) IMCRA recorded the greatest probability of instantaneous dissolved hydrocarbon exposure at low threshold during all three seasons for the unmitigated (89 – 94%) and mitigated (100%) cases.

- In the 10-20 m depth layer, the Pilbara (offshore) IMCRA was predicted to be exposed to instantaneous dissolved hydrocarbons (above the low threshold) with probabilities ranging from 79% (transitional) to 92% (winter) for the unmitigated case and 92% (transitional) to 100% (winter) for the mitigated case.

Entrained hydrocarbon exposure

There was an increase in the extent of entrained hydrocarbon exposure within the 0-10 m depth layer following the application of surface dispersant.

The modelling showed during winter conditions demonstrated a broader range of sensitive receptors were exposed compared to summer and transitional months.

GOC367176 VERMILION KULLINGAL OIL SPILL MODELLING (FINAL; DECEMBER 2024)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (VOGA) operates the Wandoo field, located approximately 70 km northwest of Dampier, Western Australia, in waters approximately 50 m deep.

To support the preparation of the Environment Plan (EP) and Oil Pollution Emergency Plan (OPEP) for the Kullingal well exploration drilling activity, a detailed oil spill modelling study was commissioned. This study assessed the following two hypothetical scenarios:

- **Scenario 1:** A 167,800 bbl (26,678 m^3) surface release of Wandoo crude over 35 days following a loss of well control (LOWC) at Kullingal; and
- **Scenario 2:** A 300 m^3 surface release of marine diesel oil (MDO) over 6 hours following a vessel collision at Kullingal.

The potential exposure of surrounding waters and shorelines was assessed and presented for the distinct seasons, summer (October to February), winter (April to July) and transitional (March, August and September). The modelling does not take into consideration any of the spill prevention, mitigation and response capabilities that would be implemented in response to the spill.

Methodology

The modelling study was carried out in stages. Firstly, a 10-year wind and current dataset (2010–2019) that includes the combined influence of large-scale ocean and tidal currents was prepared. Secondly, the currents, local winds and detailed hydrocarbon characteristics were used as inputs in the three-dimensional oil spill model (SIMAP) to simulate the drift, spread, weathering and fate of the spilled oil.

Modelling was conducted using a stochastic (or probabilistic) approach, which involved running 100 spill simulations per season and each simulation had the same spill information (spill volume, duration and composition of hydrocarbons) but randomly selected start times to ensure a range of wind and current conditions were assessed. Once all 100 simulations per season were run, the results were combined to determine the potential exposure to the surrounding waters, shorelines and sensitive receptors based on the thresholds outlined in the NOPSEMA Oil Spill Modelling Bulletin (NOPSEMA, 2019).

Oil Properties

Wandoo Crude (API 19.4) was used for this oil spill modelling study. The unweathered mixture has a density of 937.7 g/cm^3 (at 16°C), a dynamic viscosity of 161 cP and a pour point of -24°C, which ensures that this crude will remain in a liquid state over the annual temperature range observed.

Wandoo Crude is composed of approximately 1.7% (by mass) of volatile hydrocarbons that will evaporate within the first 12 hours. A further 10.2% of the oil is characterised as the semi-volatile compounds that will likely evaporate within the first 24 hours when on the surface and the additional 33.1% represent the low volatiles which typically evaporate over several weeks. A relatively high proportion (55%) of hydrocarbon compounds is persistent, which are unlikely to evaporate and will decay over time. It is categorised as a Group IV (or persistent) oil according to both oil classifications for AMSA (2023).

The MDO has a density of 890.0 kg/m^3 at 15ºC (API of 27.5) and a low pour point of -9.0°C. The low viscosity (14.0 cP at 25ºC) indicates that this oil will spread quickly when released and will form a thin to low thickness film on the sea surface, increasing the rate of evaporation. Generally, about 4% of the MDO mass should evaporate within the first 12 hours (Boiling point (BP) < 180°C); a further 32.0% should evaporate within the first 24 hours (180°C < BP < 265°C); and an additional 54.0% should evaporate over several days (265°C < BP < 380°C). Approximately 10% (by mass) of MDO will not evaporate, though will decay slowly over time. It is categorised as a Group II oil (light-persistent) according to the AMSA (2023) classifications.

Summary of Modelling Results

Summary of key results

Scenario description		Scenario 1 – Surface LOWC	Scenario 2 - Vessel collision
Spill volume		167,800 bbl (26,678 m^3)	300 m^3
Oil type		Wandoo crude	MDO
Release depth		0 m (surface)	0 m (surface)
Release duration		35 days	6 hours
Simulation length		56 days	30 days
Floating Oil Exposure	Maximum distances from the release location to floating oil exposure thresholds	Floating oil concentrations ≥ 1 g/m^2 could extend up to 998 km from the release location. The maximum distances reduced to 473 km and 25 km as the threshold increases to ≥ 10 g/m^2 and ≥ 50 g/m^2, respectively.	Floating oil concentrations ≥ 1 g/m^2 could extend up to 31 km from the release location. The maximum distances reduced to 18 km and 6 km as the threshold increases to ≥ 10 g/m^2 and ≥ 50 g/m^2, respectively.
	Highest probability of floating oil exposure to a receptor at, or above, 1 g/m^2	Mermaid Reef AMP, 97% during winter conditions	NC
	Quickest time before exposure to a receptor at, or above, 1 g/m^2	Montebello AMP, 37 hours during transitional conditions	NC
Shoreline Oil Accumulation	Probability of oil accumulation on **any** shoreline at, or above, 10 g/m^2	100% during winter conditions	24% during winter conditions
	Absolute minimum time for oil to accumulate on shoreline cells at ,or above, 10 g/m^2	WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), 69 hours during winter conditions	WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), 91 hours during winter conditions
	Maximum volume of oil ashore from a single spill simulation at, or above, 10 g/m^2	4,550.0 m^3 during summer conditions	23.2 m^3 during winter conditions
	Highest probability of oil accumulation for a specific shoreline cell at, or above, 10 g/m^2	WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), 92% during winter conditions	WA11.West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), 15% during winter conditions

Scenario description		Scenario 1 – Surface LOWC	Scenario 2 - Vessel collision
	Maximum volume of oil ashore from a single spill simulation for a specific shoreline cell at, or above, 10 g/m^2	2,570 m^3, WA11,West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), during transitional conditions	23.2 m^3, WA11,West (318) - Barrow Island and Montebello Islands (A), during winter conditions
Dissolved Hydrocarbons	Maximum distances from the release location to dissolved hydrocarbon exposure thresholds	Concentrations ≥ 10 ppb threshold may extend up to 790 km from the release location. As the threshold increases to 50 ppb, the maximum distance decreases to 425 km. No exposure was predicted above 400 ppb.	Concentrations ≥ 10 ppb threshold may extend up to 169 km from the release location. As the threshold increases to 50 ppb, the maximum distance decreases to 58 km. No exposure was predicted above 400 ppb.
	Highest probability of dissolved hydrocarbon exposure to a receptor at, or above, 10 ppb	Montebello AMP, 89% during winter conditions	Montebello AMP, 7% during winter conditions
	Quickest time before exposure to a receptor at, or above, 10 ppb	Montebello AMP, 26 hours during summer conditions	Montebello AMP, 27 hours during transitional conditions
Entrained Hydrocarbons	Maximum distances from the release location to entrained hydrocarbons exposure thresholds	Concentrations ≥ 10 ppb threshold may extend up to 1,302 km from the release location. As the threshold increases to ≥ 100 ppb, the maximum distance decreases to 1,037 km.	Concentrations ≥ 10 ppb threshold may extend up to 494 km from the release location. As the threshold increases to ≥ 100 ppb, the maximum distance decreases to 237 km.
	Highest probability of entrained hydrocarbon exposure to a receptor at, or above, 10 ppb	Montebello AMP, 99% during winter conditions	Montebello AMP, 54% during winter conditions
	Quickest time before exposure to a receptor at, or above, 10 ppb	Montebello AMP, 21 hours during summer and winter conditions	Montebello AMP, 22 hours during winter conditions

NC: No contact to receptor predicted for specified threshold.

Appendix E
Spill Impact Mitigation Assessment

1. The SIMA process

The Spill Impact Mitigation Assessment (SIMA) process provides a means to determine the environmental gain/reduction from implementing each response strategy by considering the potential impacts on each identified protection priority, and will enable informed decisions to be made.

2. Responsibility to complete the SIMA

A SIMA is most likely to be undertaken by the Environment Unit team leader with assistance from the Planning Chief. Advice from the Operations Chief regarding the execution of response strategies (i.e. limitations, constraints, advantages of strategy); and the Resource Unit team leader or Logistics Chief regarding resource availability will also be sought.

3. Information requirements for the SIMA process

- A copy of the OPP for the spill category.
- Current situation report (SITREP from) that includes details about the spill, weather, currents and tides, action taken to date, forecast situation.
- Outputs from Operational Monitoring such as:
  - oil spill trajectory model outputs from previous studies
  - forecast oil spill trajectory model outputs based on real time spill and metocean conditions
  - preferred response options from the OPEP
  - sensitive resources at risk from oiling
  - laboratory data such as dispersant efficacy, oil weathering characteristics
  - outputs from response strategy monitoring and evaluation (e.g., aerial surveillance).
- Knowledge of response strategy impacts, advantages, constraints and limitations as outlined in the EPs.

4. How data is used in the SIMA process

Once oil type, quantity, real-time weather information and a trajectory pathway are known, the sensitivities within the EMBA and Hydrocarbon Area need to be identified. Review the protection priority ranking that has been provided in the OPPs and consider:

- Outputs from OSTM analysis:
  - the probability of impact – will the response strategy reduce the probability of impact sensitive receptor?
  - minimum time to impact (days) – will the response strategy increase the number of days before impact sensitive receptor?

  - severity of impact (quantity of oil) – will the response strategy reduce the average and/or total amount of oil to impact sensitive receptor?

- Impacts associated with the proposed response strategy – will the response operation have more of a negative impact than untreated oil?
- The recovery time of the sensitive receptor after exposure to hydrocarbons – is recovery time likely to be short or long term?

5. Recommended response strategies and controls

Response strategy recommendations are made at the conclusion of the SIMA process and controls identified to minimise the impacts associated with response operations. Development of response strategy controls is the last step of the SIMA process to ensure that the operation does not have an more of a negative impact than the spill alone. Controls are defined according to:

- risk, impacts and benefits associated with each strategy and whether it is consistent with the EP
- environmental sensitivities and their priority (environmental significance, severity of impact and recovery time) as per Table C-1 and Table C-
- seasonal and migratory patterns as per Table C-2
- fish and coral spawning times whale aggregation periods
- State (WA) jurisdictional requirements and approvals.

Consideration of the environmental benefit for each strategy has also been considered when preparing the Oil Pollution Plans in the OPEP and are represented in Table C-2. This information is used in the SIMA when assessing proposed response strategies and attention should be paid to the notes that accompany the headings in this table.

For each sensitive receptor, independently assess each response strategy for suitability by determining whether its use will result in an increase or decrease in environmental benefit. If there are conflicting outcomes for a particular response option then the sensitive receptor with the higher priority becomes the preferred response option.

A check of the decision then needs to be made to ensure that the risks and impacts associated with the response options are consistent with those identified in the EPs. If the risks and impacts are not consistent with those identified in the EPs then the following will occur:

- response strategy controls are identified and assessed
- an alternative response strategy is assessed, or
- an application for approval to implement the response strategy will be made.

6. Protection priorities

A decision must be made as to which sensitive receptors have the highest protection and/or clean-up priority and which response strategy/strategies will result in an overall net environmental benefit. Priority shorelines and habitats are mangroves, turtle nesting beaches during nesting and hatching season and significant bird breeding/nesting sites. Table C-1 is a

graphic representation of protection priorities for habitats or shoreline considering the recovery time and potential impact from oil.

Table C-2: Protection priority matrix (obtained from AMOSC training material)

		RECOVERY TIME RAPID ⟷ SLOW			
		<1 year	2-5 years	5-10 years	>10 years
Potential Impact Rank	Slight	Low	Low	Low	Medium
	Minor	Low	Medium	Medium	High
	Major	Low	Medium	High	High
	Severe	Medium	High	High	High

7. SIMA frequency

The SIMA will be completed on the following timeline:

- within 6 hours – a preliminary SIMA to identify indicative protection priorities and response options
- within 24 hours of the spill as part of the OPEP
- every 24 hours as part of the IAP cycle
- as required if the situation changes beyond what is planned for and response strategies require evaluation
- until termination criteria are met for response strategies and ultimately the incident.

8. Decision making toolbox

A number of tools exist that can aid the Environment Unit leader and Planning Chief in completing a SIMA for an oil spill response are available in the ICT Toolbox. Specific sections within the Exploration and Survey Operations OPEP and associated EP are identified.

Table C-3 SIMA Matrix

Sensitivity	Protection Priority[1] **(based on likelihood of impact, severity of impact and recovery time)**	Seasonal presence in EMBA												Response Strategy **(↑ Increase in environmental benefit; ↓ Decrease in environmental benefit; X not applicable)**					
		J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	Monitor and evaluate[2]	Chemical dispersant [3]	Mechanical dispersion[4]	Contain and recover[5]	Protect and deflect[6]	Shoreline clean-up[7]
Ecological																			
Whales (resting/calving)	High (T,M)							✓	✓	✓	✓			↑	↓	↑	↑	X	X
Dugongs (foraging)	High (M)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↓	↑	↑	X	X
Dolphins	High (M)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↓	↑	↑	X	X
Sharks	High (T,M)			✓	✓	✓	✓							↑	↓	↑	↑	X	X
Turtle nesting	High (T,M)	✓	✓	✓						✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Migratory birds	High (T,M)	✓	✓	✓	✓					✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Sea birds	Medium	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↑	↑	↑	X	X
Shore birds	Medium	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Coral spawning	Medium	✓	✓	✓	✓					✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↓	↑	↑	X	X
Habitat/Ecosystem																			
Mangroves	High	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↓
Intertidal rocky reef	Medium	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↓	↓	↑	X	X
Coral reef	Medium	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↓	↓	↑	X	X
Seagrasses	Medium	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↓	↓	↑	X	X
Marshland	Medium	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↓
Mudflats	Medium	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↓
Subtidal rocky reef	Low	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↓	↓	↑	X	X
Sandy beaches	Low	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑
Rocky shore	Low	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	X
Open waters	Low	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↓	↑	↑	X	X

Sensitivity	Protection Priority[1] **(based on likelihood of impact, severity of impact and recovery time)**	Seasonal presence in EMBA												Response Strategy **(↑ Increase in environmental benefit; ↓ Decrease in environmental benefit; X not applicable)**					
		J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	Monitor and evaluate[2]	Chemical dispersant [3]	Mechanical dispersion[4]	Contain and recover[5]	Protect and deflect[6]	Shoreline clean-up[7]
Socioeconomic																			
Protected shipwrecks	Low	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↓	↓	↑	↑	X
Fisheries	Low	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↓	↓	↑	↑	X
Petroleum activity	Low	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	↑	↑	↑	↑	↑	X

1 Protection priority: This ranking is based on a combination of factors including the likelihood of impact (time of year), severity of impact (type of exposure to the sensitivity, where the sensitivity is listed as Threatened (T) or Migratory (M) under the EPBC Act) and recovery time after exposure to hydrocarbons. Table 6 1 can be used to assess the risk of sensitivity).

2 Monitor and evaluate: This strategy is important for gathering and maintaining situational awareness throughout a response and will always have a positive benefit.

3 Chemical dispersant: Each sensitivity in the above table must be assessed independently and each strategy must also be assessed independently. Where chemical dispersant has been given a ↓, this indicates that when used alone chemical dispersant will reduce the overall environmental benefit.

The purpose of applying chemical dispersant is to break up the surface oil into small droplets of oil and to suspend the oil through the water column to accelerate the breakdown process through biodegradation. Effective dispersant application is capable of reducing the amount of oil that could potentially reach the shoreline as a surface slick.

For some species/habitats the use of chemical dispersants has been shown to reduce the severity of hydrocarbon impact. Dispersing oil into the water column reduces the quantity of oil on the surface, subsequently reducing the amount of oil that can strand and smother any resource in which it comes into contact with, i.e. mangrove pneumatophores (rhizomes that grow upwards vertically out of the mud – used for respiration and salt balance). Mangroves support a vast ecosystem of organisms below the water surface, as do seagrass beds. Dispersed oil in the water column is likely to have an adverse impact compared with oil remaining on the sea surface.

4 Mechanical dispersion: This strategy will have a positive benefit where it is beneficial to assist with the natural dispersion process, encouraging an oil slick to evaporate and mix becoming suspended within the water column where it can be more easily biodegraded. Mechanical dispersion will be more effective on smaller spills where the expected fate of the hydrocarbon is to evaporate and disperse within 24-72 hours or where it is used to assist with chemical dispersion when sea conditions are calm.

5 Containment and recovery: The containment of an oil slick with boom and recovery using mechanical devices minimises the severity of impact to sensitivities by reducing the quantity of product that could come in to contact with sensitivity. Where it is operationally feasible to use this equipment the result will have a positive environmental benefit.

6 Protection and deflection: The deployment of protection and deflection boom can assist with minimising the potential impact and/or deflecting an oil slick away from a known sensitivity towards an area where collection can be more effective. This strategy is dependent on the right environmental conditions and habitat type, however it has the capacity to have a very positive environmental benefit.

7 Shoreline clean-up: Where shoreline clean-up has been given a ↓, this indicates that the use of equipment, machinery and personnel in that environment is likely to have negative effect, potentially causing more damage and reducing the recovery and environmental benefit to that sensitivity.

NOTE: A SIMA is a decision-making process and will ultimately result in a trade-off of priorities and response strategies. It is possible for a response strategy to be used for one sensitivity – even if it has been identified that this response option may not benefit one or several other sensitivities. The final outcome of the response however should result in an overall net environment benefit.

9. References in the OPEP and EP that can assist in completing the SIMA template

The following sections of the OSCP may assist the Environment Unit leader and Planning Chief to complete the SIMA template:

- response strategies
- Oil Pollution Plans
- termination criteria.

The following sections of the EPs can assist the Planning Chief to complete the SIMA template:

- description of the environment
- key sensitivities and potential impacts
- impact assessment of oil spill scenarios and response strategies (EP Hazard Report Tables)
- OSTM outputs.

10. External References for Environmental Sensitivity Identification

- DoT Regional Protection Priority Assessments (i.e. for Zone 2: Pilbara – Final Report; 16 Oct 2017)
- WA Oiled Wildlife Response Plan (WAOWRP) and the Pilbara Region Oiled Wildlife Response Plan (POWRP).

Appendix F Consultation Records

Table of Contents

Appendix F – Consultation Summary and Report **2**

1.1 Environment that may be affected **2**

1.2 Relevancy Assessment **2**

1.3 Consultation Activities **30**

1.3.1 Consultation correspondence 31

1.3.2 Advertising of the proposed activity 32

1.3.3 Community flyer 34

1.3.4 Consultation with First Nations people and organisations 36

1.3.5 Consultation Material 37

Appendix F – Consultation Summary and Report

1.1 Environment that may be affected

The Environment that May Be Affected (EMBA) is the largest spatial extent where unplanned events could have an environmental consequence on the surrounding environment.

VOGA's identification and assessment of relevant persons is based on the Operational Area and the EMBA.

Figure 1-1: Operational Area and EMBA for this EP



1.2 Relevancy Assessment

VOGA undertook an assessment of identified relevant persons based on the Operational Area and EMBA. The result of this assessment is outlined in Table 1.

Table 1: Assessment of relevant persons

Person or Organisation	Description	Functions, interests or activities	Assessment of Relevance or Rationale	Relevant Person
Section 25(1)(a) of the OPGGS(E)R: Departments or agencies of the Commonwealth to which the activities to be carried out under the environment plan may be relevant				
Commonwealth Government Departments and Agencies				
Australian Border Force (ABF) (Maritime Border Command)	Responsible for coordinating maritime security	Exercises maritime security functions, has an interest in protecting national borders and preventing illegal activities at sea, and undertakes patrols, inspections, and enforcement operations in offshore waters.	ABF's responsibilities, functions and activities may be relevant to the activity as there are proposed vessel activities.	Yes
Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA)	Government agency responsible for managing and sustaining the use of Commonwealth fisheries to ensure the fishing industry are viable.	Manages and regulates commercial fisheries, is interested in sustainable fisheries and compliance with marine regulations, and conducts licensing, monitoring, and enforcement activities across Australian waters.	AFMA's functions may be relevant to the activity as the Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery, Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery, and Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery management areas overlap the Operational Area, however no fishing activity is expected.	Yes
Australian Hydrographic Office (AHO)	Responsible for maritime safety and Notices to Mariners, and provides charting service under the terms of SOLAS and the Navigation Act 2012 (Cth).	produces and maintains nautical charts and hydrographic data, is interested in safe navigation and accurate maritime information, and undertakes surveys, data collection, and	AHO's responsibilities, functions and activities may be relevant to the activity as there are proposed vessel activities.	Yes

		dissemination of navigational products.		
Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) – maritime safety	Statutory agency for vessel safety and navigation, implementation of marine orders, maritime safety information and shipping data.	Application and implementation of marine orders and provision of maritime safety information.	AMSA – Marine Safety's responsibilities, functions and activities may be relevant to the activity as there are proposed vessel activities.	Yes
Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) – marine pollution	Statutory agency to combat pollution in the marine environment and maritime aviation search and rescue. The control agency for marine vessel spills.	Protection of the marine environment and maritime aviation search and rescue.	AMSA – Marine Pollution's responsibilities, functions, and activities may be relevant to the activity as the proposed activity has a hydrocarbon spill risk which may require AMSA response in Commonwealth waters.	Yes
Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF) – Biosecurity (marine pests) (vessels, aircraft and personnel)	Responsible for the management of marine pest risks.	DAFF administers, implements and enforces the Biosecurity Act 2015. The Department requests to be consulted where an activity has the potential to transfer marine pests. DAFF also has inspection and reporting requirements to ensure that all conveyances (vessels, installations and aircraft) arriving in Australian territory comply with international health regulations and that any biosecurity risk is managed. The Department requests to be consulted where an activity involves the movement of aircraft or vessels between Australia and offshore petroleum	DAFF administers the Biosecurity Act 2015 (Cth) which is designed to contain and/or deal with diseases and pests that may cause harm to human, animal or plant health or the environment in Australia. DAFF is a relevant agency for consultation because the activity involves the movement of vessels into Australian territory and/or between Australian ports and offshore petroleum facilities which concerns DAFF's functions.	Yes

		activities either inside or outside Australian territory.		
Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF) – Fisheries	Responsible for implementing Commonwealth policies and programs to support agriculture, fishery, food and forestry industries.	Responsible for fisheries strategies, projects and research.	DAFF Fisheries' responsibilities may be relevant to the activity as the Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery, Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery, and Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery management areas overlap the Operational Area, however no fishing activity is expected.	Yes
Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) – Underwater Cultural Heritage (UCH)	Responsible for implementing Commonwealth policies and programs to support climate change, sustainable energy use, water resources, the environment and our heritage. Administers the Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018 in collaboration with the States, Northern Territory and Norfolk Island, which is responsible for the protection of shipwrecks, sunken aircraft and other types of underwater heritage and their associated artefacts in Commonwealth waters.	Responsible for the regulation of activities to protect underwater cultural heritage, including shipwrecks, aircraft or other underwater cultural heritage.	DCCEEW protects Australia's natural environment and heritage sites, helps Australia respond to climate change and carefully manages water and energy resources. The Underwater Cultural Heritage branch at DCCEEW is responsible for administering the UCH Act. It is a relevant agency where an activity has the potential to directly or indirectly adversely impact protected UCH.	Yes
Department of Defence (DoD)	Responsible for defending Australia and its national interests.	Responsible for the management of Australian defence-based national interests.	DoD's responsibilities may be relevant to the activity because the proposed activity may impact DoD training and operational requirements, in that the EMBA overlaps DoD training areas.	Yes
Department of Industry, Science and Resources (DISR)	Department of the relevant Commonwealth Minister	Responsible for the development and	Required to be consulted under regulation 25(1)(a) of the Environment Regulations.	Yes

		implementation of resource and industry policy. Focus on sustainable development and industry regulation.	DISR is a relevant agency for consultation because its responsibilities include offshore oil and gas development, safety, and GHG storage.	
Director of National Parks (DNP)	Responsible for the management of Commonwealth parks and conservation zones.	Responsible for the management of Commonwealth marine parks and protected areas.	DNP's responsibilities may be relevant to the activity as DNP requires an awareness of activities that occur within AMPs, and an understanding of potential impacts and risks to the values of parks (NOPSEMA guidance note: N-04750- GN1785 A620236, June 2020). Titleholders are required to consult DNP on offshore petroleum and greenhouse gas exploration activities if they occur in, or may impact on the values of marine parks, including where potential spill response activities may occur in the event of a spill (i.e. scientific monitoring). The EMBA overlaps AMPs.	Yes
Section 25(1)(a) and (b) of the OPGGS(E)R: Departments or agencies of Western Australia to which the activities to be carried out under the environment plan may be relevant.				
State Government Departments and Agencies				
Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH)	Responsible for state-level land use planning and management, and oversight of Aboriginal cultural heritage and built heritage matters.	Department responsible for the management of land use, planning and heritage protection, with a focus on sustainable development and cultural heritage preservation, and the undertaking of approvals, assessments and regulatory oversight.	There is a known maritime cultural heritage overlapping the EMBA, which concerns DPLH's functions, activities and interests.	Yes
Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DEMIRS)	Department of relevant State Minister	Department of the Minister responsible for Offshore Petroleum Joint Authority, and is required to be notified of drilling and seismic	Required to be consulted under regulation 25(1)(c) of the Environment Regulations.	Yes

		activities occurring in offshore waters.		
Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) – Fisheries	Responsible for managing State fisheries	Responsible for the management of fisheries resources and aquaculture, including research, licensing and monitoring activities.	The Mackerel Managed Fishery Area 2, Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery, Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery, Pilbara Line Fishery, Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery, and Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery are active in the Operational Area. The Mackerel Managed Fishery, Area 2, Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery, Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery, Pilbara Line Fishery, Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery, and Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery have been active in the EMBA within the last 5 years. DPIRD's functions, interests or activities and responsibilities may be relevant to the activity as the government department responsible for State fisheries.	Yes
Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA)	Responsible for managing WA's parks, forests and reserves to achieve wildlife conservation and provide sustainable recreation and tourism opportunities.	Responsible for the management of conservation areas and marine parks. Undertakes monitoring, planning and enforcement activities.	The DBCA's functions and responsibilities may be relevant to the activity as the EMBA overlaps WA parks, forests or reserves. Activities have the potential to impact marine tourism in the EMBA.	Yes
Department of Transport (DoT) – marine pollution	Legislated responsibility for oil pollution response in State waters	Management of marine oil pollution in Western Australia, and lead communication and consultation in the event of an oil spill.	The proposed activity has a hydrocarbon spill risk, which may require DoT response in State waters.	Yes
Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Advisory Committee (NCWHAC)	Supports the DBCA to manage the Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Area.	The Committee guides World Heritage management and undertakes advisory, consultative, and stakeholder engagement.	The NCWHAC's functions and activities may be relevant to the activity as the EMBA overlaps the Ningaloo Marine Park.	Yes

Pilbara Development Commission (PDC)	Statutory authority of the WA Government that partners with communities, government, business and industry to identify and support projects.	The Commission is responsible for the promotion of regional economic development and the undertaking of planning coordination and stakeholder engagement.	The PDC's functions or interests may be relevant to the activity as the EMBA overlaps with its area of responsibilities.	Yes
Pilbara Ports Authority (PPA)	Encompasses the Ports of Ashburton, Dampier, Port Hedland and Varanus Island. PPA oversees the Shipping and Pilotage Act 1967 (SPA) ports of Barrow Island, Cape Preston, Onslow and Port Walcott.	Management of port operations in the Pilbara region.	The proposed activity has the potential to impact PPA's activities, as the EMBA overlaps the Pilbara Ports Authority's area of responsibility.	Yes
Section 25(1)(d) of the OPGGS(E)R: Persons or organisations whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by the activities to be carried out under the environment plan				
Commonwealth commercial fishing - representative bodies				
Australian Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery Association (ASBITA)	Represents the Australian Southern Bluefin Tuna (SBT) industry and interests of the Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery and the Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery.	Fishing industry association represents their members who may actively fish in or have fish resources (stocks & spawning habitat) within the Operational Area or EMBA. Is interested in sustainable tuna stocks and industry viability.	The Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery and the Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery have been assessed as not relevant to the proposed activity under this EP. As the representative body for the Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery and the Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery, the ASBITA has also been assessed as not relevant as their functions are not affected by the activities to be carried out under this EP. VOGA has assessed ASBITA's interests as not affected by the activities to be carried out under this EP as any impacts to SBT are expected to be short-term, localised, and not harmful to fish populations or ecosystem function. VOGA chose to contact ASBITA at its discretion.	No
Commonwealth Fisheries Association (CFA)	Represents the interests of commercial fishers license	Fishing industry association who represents their members who may actively	There are Commonwealth commercial fisheries with management areas overlapping the Operational Area and EMBA, however the fisheries have not been active in	No

	holders in Commonwealth waters.	fish within the Operational Are or EMBA. Interested in sustianable fisheries and regulatory compliance.	the Operational Area or EMBA within the last 5 years and have been assessed as not relevant. As the representative body for commercial fishers and licence holders in Commonwealth waters, CFA has been assessed as not relevant as their functions are not affected by the activities to be carried out under this EP. VOGA has assessed CFA's interests are not affected by the activities to be carried out under this EP as any impacts to fish are expected to be short-term, localised, and not harmful to fish populations or ecosystem function. CFA was recommended for consultation by the Australian Fisheries Management Authority. VOGA chose to contact CFA at its discretion.	
Pearl Producers Association (PPA)	Represents the Australian South Sea Pearling Industry.	The peak body representing members from WA and the NT pearling industry. Is interested in commercial operations and industry viability.	The Pearl Oyster Managed Fishery has been assessed as not relevant to the proposed activity. As the representative body for the Pearl Oyster Managed Fishery, the PPA has also been assessed as not relevant as their functions, interests or activities are not affected by the activities to be carried out under the environment plan. VOGA chose to contact PPA at its discretion.	No
Tuna Australia	Represents the interests of the Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery.	Fishing industry association represents their members from the Eastern and Western Tuna and Billfish and Western Skipjack fisheries of Australia. Interested in management of fisheries, market access and undertakes industry advocacy and stakeholder consultation.	The Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery has been assessed as not relevant to the proposed activity. As the representative body for the Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery, Tuna Australia has also been assessed as not relevant as their functions, interests or activities are not affected by the activities to be carried out under the environment plan. Tuna Australia was recommended for consultation by the Australian Fisheries Management Authority. VOGA chose to contact Tuna Australia at its discretion. VOGA acknowledges Tuna Australia's Industry Position Statement which states it wishes to be contacted when any proposed activity has the potential to impact vessel navigation, fishing activities, and/or the conservation of	No

			fish resources and where potential effects exist to member interests, there is a need for a service agreement. VOGA determines no potential effects to member interests. Specifically, impacts to fish are expected to be short-term, localised, and not harmful to fish populations or ecosystem function.	
Seafood Industry Australia (SIA)	Represents the interests of Australian seafood industry as a whole.	Industry association represents their members from the wildcatch, aquaculture and post-harvest sectors of the Australian seafood industry. Interested in sustainability and market access and development.	SIA was recommended for consultation by the Australian Fisheries Management Authority. SIA has been assessed as not relevant to the proposed activity as VOGA determines no impact to SIA's functions, interests or activities. VOGA chose to contact SIA at its discretion.	No
Western Rock Lobster Council	Represents the interests of the Western Rock Lobster Managed Fishery	Industry association representing their members from the Western Rock Lobster commercial fishing industry. Interested in sustainabile stocks and fishery management.	The West Coast Rock Lobster Managed Fishery has been assessed as not relevant to the proposed activity. As the representative body for the West Coast Rock Lobster Managed Fishery, the Western Rock Lobster Council has also been assessed as not relevant. VOGA chose to contact the Western Rock Lobster Council at its discretion.	No
Commonwealth commercial fisheries				
Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery	Commonwealth commercial fishery	The Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery operates around Australia and extends to the high seas fishing zone, out to 200 nm from the coast. The fishery targets southern bluefin tuna only. SBT is a highly migratory species with a single known spawning ground in the Indian Ocean,	Fishing activity is focused in southern Australian waters with no activity expected in the Operational Area. No impact to the fishery is expected. Although the fishery overlaps the Operational Area and EMBA, the fishery has not been active in the Operational Area or EMBA within the last 5 years and has therefore been assessed as not relevant	No

		between Java and northern Western Australia.		
Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery	Commonwealth commercial fishery	The Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery extends west from Cape York Peninsula and around Australia to the South Australian/Victorian border, out to the edge of the Australian Fishing Zone.	Little fishing activity has been undertaken in this fishery since 2008. No fishing activity associated with this fishery is expected to occur within the Operational Areas. Although the fishery overlaps the Operational Area and EMBA, the fishery has not been active in the Operational Area or EMBA within the last 5 years and has therefore been assessed as not relevant.	No
Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery	Commonwealth commercial fishery	The Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery management area extends over a large area westward from Cape York Peninsula off Queensland, around the west coast of Western Australia and eastward, across the Great Australian Bight to 141°E at the South Australian/Victorian border. Target species include albacore, bigeye tuna, yellow fin tuna, swordfish and striped marlin.	The fishery has operated at low levels of effort since the early 2000's due to economic conditions. No fishing activity associated with this fishery is expected to occur within the Operational Areas. Although the fishery overlaps the Operational Area and EMBA, the fishery has not been active in the Operational Area or EMBA within the last 5 years and has therefore been assessed as not relevant.	No
State commercial fishing - representative bodies				
Western Australian Fishing Industry Council (WAFIC)	WAFIC represents the interests of the WA commercial fishing, pearling and aquaculture sector.	WAFIC represents their members who may actively fish in the Operational Area. WAFIC is interested in sustainable fisheries, industry development, and regulatory compliance and	There are active State commercial fisheries in the Operational Area. WAFIC's functions may be relevant to the activity as the representative body for State fisheries. VOGA acknowledges WAFIC's consultation guidance and has applied this by consulting, via WAFIC, fisheries that are assessed as having a potential for interaction in the	Yes

		undertakes stakeholder consultation.	Operational Area. As per WAFIC's Commercial Fishing Consultation Framework for the Offshore Oil and Gas Sector and Consultation Approach for unplanned Events, consultation with State fisheries relevant to the EMBA of the proposed activity would be undertaken only in the event of an unplanned emergency scenario. WAFIC issued consultation material on behalf of VOGA to relevant commercial fisheries licence holders having potential for interaction in the Operational Area under a services agreement.	
State commercial fisheries				
Mackerel Managed Fishery - Area 2	State commercial fishery	A commercial fishery targeting Spanish mackerel through near-surface trolling around reefs and shoals in the Pilbara region, operating seasonally from April to September. Interested in sustainable mackerel stocks and seasonal fishing access.	The fishery overlaps the Operational Area and has been active in the Operational Area within the last 5 years. The fishery overlaps the EMBA and has been active in the EMBA within the last 5 years. Under an agreement, WAFIC recommended consultation with this fishery and distributed consultation information on behalf of VOGA.	Yes
Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery	State commercial fishery	A trawl fishery harvesting prawn species along the western part of the North West Shelf, managed under limited entry and seasonal effort controls. Intersted in sustainable prawn stocks and fishing operations.	The fishery overlaps the Operational Area and has been active in the Operational Area within the last 5 years. The fishery overlaps the EMBA and has been active in the EMBA within the last 5 years. Under an agreement, WAFIC recommended consultation with this fishery and distributed consultation information on behalf of VOGA.	Yes
Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery	State commercial fishery	Targets blue swimmer crabs using traps and other gear across coastal embayments between Geographe Bay and Port Hedland, managed to maintain sustainable stocks	The fishery overlaps the Operational Area and has been active in the Operational Area within the last 5 years. The fishery overlaps the EMBA and has been active in the EMBA within the last 5 years. Under an agreement, WAFIC recommended consultation with this fishery and distributed consultation information on behalf of VOGA.	Yes

		and is interested in sustainable crab populations and operations.		
Pilbara Line Fishery (Condition)	State commercial fishery	Comprises nine licensed vessels permitted to commercially fish under exemption conditions for nominated five-month periods each year, primarily targeting demersal and pelagic species and interested in. Is interested in sustainable catch an vessel operations.	The fishery overlaps the Operational Area and has been active in the Operational Area within the last 5 years. The fishery overlaps the EMBA and has been active in the EMBA within the last 5 years. Under an agreement, WAFIC recommended consultation with this fishery and distributed consultation information on behalf of VOGA.	Yes
Pilbara Fish Trawl (Interim) Managed Fishery	State commercial fishery	A demersal trawl fishery operating off the Pilbara coast, targeting a range of finfish species on the continental shelf under controlled effort and spatial management zones. Is interested in sustainable catch an vessel operations.	The fishery overlaps the Operational Area and has been active in the Operational Area within the last 5 years. The fishery overlaps the EMBA and has been active in the EMBA within the last 5 years. Under an agreement, WAFIC recommended consultation with this fishery and distributed consultation information on behalf of VOGA.	Yes
Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery	State commercial fishery	A commercial fishery using baited traps to harvest demersal scalefish species in offshore Pilbara waters, managed through limited entry, gear restrictions and catch controls. Is interested in sustainable catch an vessel operations.	The fishery overlaps the Operational Area and has been active in the Operational Area within the last 5 years. The fishery overlaps the EMBA and has been active in the EMBA within the last 5 years. Under an agreement, WAFIC recommended consultation with this fishery and distributed consultation information on behalf of VOGA.	Yes
Recreational fishers and marine users and peak representative bodies				

WA Game Fishing Association (WAGFA)	Represents the interests of game fishers in WA.	The peak body representing game fishing clubs across Western Australia, is interested in sustainable game fish populations and recreational fishing access, and undertakes club coordination, tournaments, and stakeholder engagement.	Activities have the potential to impact game fishers' functions, interests or activities due to the location offshore and there has been recorded charter effort in the EMBA in the past 5 years.	Yes
Recfishwest	Represents the interests of recreational fishers in WA.	The state's peak recreational fishing organisation, advocating for sustainable fishing access, habitat protection and community access and participation.	Activities have the potential to impact game fishers' functions, interests or activities due to the location offshore and there has been recorded charter effort in the EMBA in the past 5 years.	Yes
Marine Tourism WA	Represents the interests of marine tourism in WA.	Represents the interests of marine-based tourism operators across Western Australia, including those dependent on coastal and offshore environments. Is interested in the use of coastal and offshore environments.	Activities have the potential to impact game fishers' functions, interests or activities due to the location offshore and there has been recorded charter effort in the EMBA in the past 5 years.	Yes
Ashburton Anglers	Represents the interests of recreational fishers in Ashburton	A regional recreational fishing club representing local fishing interests along the Ashburton coast.Is interested in local fish stocks and commuity fishing opportunity.	Activities have the potential to impact game fishers' functions, interests or activities.	Yes

Exmouth Game Fishing Club (EGFC)	Represents the interests of recreational fishers in Exmouth	A regional game fishing organisation based in Exmouth, representing local and visiting recreational fishers.Is interested in sportsfishing and regional fishery access.	Activities have the potential to impact game fishers' functions, interests or activities.	Yes
King Bay Game Fishing Club (KBFC)	Represents the interests of recreational fishers in King Bay	A Pilbara-based game fishing club representing recreational fishing interests in the Dampier and King Bay areas. Interested in fishing access.	Activities have the potential to impact game fishers' functions, interests or activities.	Yes
Nickol Bay Sportsfishing Club (NBSC)	Represents the interests of recreational fishers in Nickol Bay	A Pilbara-based recreational fishing club focused on sportfishing interests in the Nickol Bay area. Interested in sportsfishing access.	Activities have the potential to impact game fishers' functions, interests or activities.	Yes
Pilbara / Kimberley Recreational Marine Users	Pilbara & Kimberley-based dive, tourism and charter operators	Represents the interests of recreational marine users across the Pilbara and Kimberley regions in relation to coastal and offshore access. Interested in access to offshore areas.	Activities have the potential to impact Pilbara/Kimberley-based dive, tourism and charter operator's functions, interests or activities due to the location of activities and there has been recorded charter effort in the EMBA in the past 5 years. Keir Fraser, Willie Creek Pearl Farm Pty Ltd, Gregory James Prouse Trading As One Tide Charters, Super Yachts Perth Pty Ltd, Silverado Charters Pty Ltd, Ross Charles Squire, Bloor Street Investments Pty Ltd, Mathew Joseph Howard, Lugger Enterprises Pty Ltd, Eco-Abrolhos Pty Ltd, Michael H Wunhym| Caterina Wunhym & Shane M Wunhym, C Emery Fishing Pty Ltd, Craig Howson and Chad Avenell Trading As North Star Cruises Australia, Discovery Holiday Parks Pty Limited, Kimberley Marine Pty Ltd, Marine Agents Australia Pty	Yes

			Ltd, Anthony Jenkinson & Peta Wilson Atf Jeanie Resources Family Trust, Kristin Mccarthy, Lloyd Kenneth Holtum, Adam Walter Cave, Mark Jamieson and Kristin Mccarthy, Wayne Atherton and Mark Hammond, Maritime Engineering Services Pty Ltd, Ms Gemma Louise Bray, G. C. Bass Nominees Pty Ltd, Coastway Investments Pty Ltd, Kcc Group Pty Ltd, Cm Ventures Pty Ltd, Lombadina Aboriginal Corporation, Mark James Douglas T/As Looksea Tours, Wayne Curtis, Australian Port and Marine Services Pty Ltd, Hartley Motorcycles Pty Ltd, Humbug Fishing Pty Ltd, Brefjen Nominees Pty Ltd, Melkit Pty Ltd, Kimberly Pearl Tours Pty Ltd, W.A Maritime Investments Pty Ltd, Bill Mills, Mr Christopher S Collett, Blue Juice Tours Pty Ltd, Jeanette M Fleay, Mr Nikolai Tkatchenko, L & S Family Holdings Pty Ltd, Bondall Pty Ltd, Lake Argyle Cruises Pty Ltd, Kw Marine Pty Ltd, Mal Miles Adventures Pty Ltd, Mackerel Islands Pty Ltd, Diversity Charter Company Wa Pty Ltd, Kelly Loseby, Capricorn Mist Pty Ltd, Split Tide Pty Ltd, Broome Tours Pty Ltd, North Star Cruises Australia Pty Ltd, Compagnie Du Ponant Pty Ltd, Leslie Davey, Charter Express Pty Ltd, Brandon James Gouldham and Peter Mcivor, Sea 2 Pty Ltd, M C Mcaullay & M K Mcaullay, Hotel and Resort Investments Pty Ltd, Simon Tocas, Broome Chiropractic Pty Ltd, Maria Mchenry, Robert James Vaughan, Jeffrey Stephen Prunster, Steven Douglas Chambers, G W & J E Harman T/As Ultimate Adventures, Deane Kenneth Spurge, Kingfisher Island Resort Pty Ltd, Rstg Pty Limited, Sealife Charters Pty Ltd, Coral Princess Cruises (Nq) Pty Ltd, Cm Marine Pty Ltd, Monster Sportfishing Adventures Pty Ltd, Ocean Charters Pty Ltd, Lulamanzi Investments Pty Ltd, Millennial Charters Pty Ltd, Chapel Nominees Pty Ltd, Fawesome Expeditions Pty Ltd, Peter Albert Tucker, The Great Escape Charter Company Pty Ltd, Aoa International Pty Ltd, Adrian Matthew Lippi, Kimberley	

			Getaway Cruises Pty Ltd, King Sound Resort Hotel Pty Ltd, Ben A Moore	
Gascoyne Recreational Marine Users	Gascoyne-based dive, tourism and charter operators	Represents recreational marine users in the Gascoyne region, including interests related to access and use of nearshore and offshore waters. Interested in access to offshore areas.	Activities have the potential to impact Gascoyne-based dive, tourism and charter operator's functions, interests or activities due to the location of activities and there has been recorded charter effort in the EMBA in the past 5 years. Silverado Charters Pty Ltd, Mathew Joseph Howard, Eco-Abrolhos Pty Ltd, Michael H Wunhym| Caterina Wunhym & Shane M Wunhym, C Emery Fishing Pty Ltd, Craig Howson And Chad Avenell Trading As North Star Cruises Australia, Anthony Jenkinson & Peta Wilson Atf Jeanie Resources Family Trust, Maritime Engineering Services Pty Ltd, G. C. Bass Nominees Pty Ltd, Brefjen Nominees Pty Ltd, Melkit Pty Ltd, W.A Maritime Investments Pty Ltd, Bill Mills, Blue Juice Tours Pty Ltd, Mr Nikolai Tkatchenko, L & S Family Holdings Pty Ltd, Bondall Pty Ltd, Kw Marine Pty Ltd, North Star Cruises Australia Pty Ltd, Brandon James Gouldham And Peter Mcivor, Monster Sportfishing Adventures Pty Ltd, Lulamanzi Investments Pty Ltd, Millennial Charters Pty Ltd, Chapel Nominees Pty Ltd, Fawesome Expeditions Pty Ltd, The Great Escape Charter Company Pty Ltd, Aoa International Pty Ltd, Adrian Matthew Lippi, Reel Force Charters Pty Ltd, D & N Nominees Pty Ltd, Lyons Family Super Pty Ltd, Adam Cottrell, Seafresh Holdings Pty Ltd, On Strike Charters (Wa) Pty Ltd, Amanda J Dobney, Bruce J Tilka, Bluecity Enterprises Pty Ltd & Alltric Pty Ltd, Makalee Pty Ltd, Mark Andrew Ferguson, Kieran Richard Wardle, Elizabeth Lindsey Clays & Edward James Lawler, Sharkbay Charters Pty Ltd, Stephen Richard Thomas, Austanley Pty Ltd, Aspa Pastrikos, Richard H Thomas & Elizabeth A Thomas ,Jostan Holdings Pty Ltd, Monkey Mia Yacht Charters Pty Ltd, Mr Corry E Wilson, James Alston, Benjamin Knight, Brett Wolf & Simone	Yes

			Wolf, Jonathan Shales Trading As Exmouth Fly Fishing, Km Charters Pty Ltd, Brendon Anthony Hawker, Atgni Super Pty Ltd, Craig John White & Kim Lynette White, Anthony & Narelle Monaghan, Ronald William Simpson, Errol Richard Francis, Bernard Vale & Sandra Lymbery T/As Coral Bay Ocean Game Fishing Charters, Graeme Stanley Carger, Fire Tiger Pty Ltd	
Energy industry titleholders and operators				
Beagle No. 1 Pty Ltd / Longreach Capital Investment	Titleholder or Operator	The titleholder may have a commercial interest in offshore title(s), either for petroleum or GHG activities, within proximity to their permits and the EMBA.	Titleholder or Operator's permit areas overlaps the EMBA.	Yes
BP Developments Australia	Titleholder or Operator	The titleholder may have a commercial interest in offshore title(s), either for petroleum or GHG activities, within proximity to their permits and the EMBA.	Titleholder or Operator's permit areas overlaps the EMBA.	Yes
Chevron Australia	Titleholder or Operator	The titleholder may have a commercial interest in offshore title(s), either for petroleum or GHG activities, within proximity to their permits and the EMBA.	Titleholder or Operator's permit areas overlaps the EMBA.	Yes
Finder No 16 Pty Ltd	Titleholder or Operator	The titleholder may have a commercial interest in offshore title(s), either for petroleum or GHG activities, within proximity to their permits and the EMBA.	Titleholder or Operator's permit areas overlaps the EMBA.	Yes

INPEX Browse E&P Pty Ltd	Titleholder or Operator	The titleholder may have a commercial interest in offshore title(s), either for petroleum or GHG activities, within proximity to their permits and the EMBA.	Titleholder or Operator's permit areas overlaps the EMBA.	Yes
Jadestone Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd	Titleholder or Operator	The titleholder may have a commercial interest in offshore title(s), either for petroleum or GHG activities, within proximity to their permits and the EMBA.	Titleholder or Operator's permit areas overlaps the EMBA.	Yes
Kato Energy (WA) Pty Ltd	Titleholder or Operator	The titleholder may have a commercial interest in offshore title(s), either for petroleum or GHG activities, within proximity to their permits and the EMBA.	Titleholder or Operator's permit areas overlaps the EMBA.	Yes
Kufpec (Perth) Pty Ltd	Titleholder or Operator	The titleholder may have a commercial interest in offshore title(s), either for petroleum or GHG activities, within proximity to their permits and the EMBA.	Titleholder or Operator's permit areas overlaps the EMBA.	Yes
MEO International Pty Ltd	Titleholder or Operator	The titleholder may have a commercial interest in offshore title(s), either for petroleum or GHG activities, within proximity to their permits and the EMBA.	Titleholder or Operator's permit areas overlaps the EMBA.	Yes
Mobil Australia Resources Company Pty Limited	Titleholder or Operator	The titleholder may have a commercial interest in offshore title(s), either for	Titleholder or Operator's permit areas overlaps the EMBA.	Yes

		petroleum or GHG activities, within proximity to their permits and the EMBA.		
Santos Offshore Pty Ltd	Titleholder or Operator	The titleholder may have a commercial interest in offshore title(s), either for petroleum or GHG activities, within proximity to their permits and the EMBA.	Titleholder or Operator's permit areas overlaps the EMBA.	Yes
Shell Australia Pty Ltd	Titleholder or Operator	The titleholder may have a commercial interest in offshore title(s), either for petroleum or GHG activities, within proximity to their permits and the EMBA.	Titleholder or Operator's permit areas overlaps the EMBA.	Yes
Western Gas (474 P) Pty Ltd	Titleholder or Operator	The titleholder may have a commercial interest in offshore title(s), either for petroleum or GHG activities, within proximity to their permits and the EMBA.	Titleholder or Operator's permit areas overlaps the EMBA.	Yes
Woodside Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd	Titleholder or Operator	The titleholder may have a commercial interest in offshore title(s), either for petroleum or GHG activities, within proximity to their permits and the EMBA.	Titleholder or Operator's permit areas overlaps the EMBA.	Yes
Peak industry representative bodies and associations				
Australian Energy Producers (AEP)	Represents the interests of oil and gas explorers and producers in Australia.	The peak national body representing companies involved in Australia's oil, gas and emerging low-carbon	AEP's responsibilities are identified as having an intersect with the EMBA.	Yes

		energy industries, providing policy and advocacy leadership on energy development and regulation.		
Infrastructure operators				
Vocus Communications	Owner and operator of an offshore fibre network	A telecommunications infrastructure provider operating subsea and terrestrial cable networks that support connectivity to offshore and remote resource operations across northern Australia. Is interested in reliable connectivity and service delivery for its network operations.	Vocus is relevant as its offshore fibre network intersects the EMBA.	Yes
Traditional Custodians and First Nations nominated representative corporations				
Buurabalayji Thalanyji Aboriginal Corporation (BTAC)	Representative Aboriginal Corporation	Represents Thalanyji Traditional Owners, and represent their communal interests including, among other things, management and protection of cultural values.	The Thalanyji native title claim does not overlap the EMBA. The claim is coastally adjacent to the EMBA, for which BTAC is the Registered Native Title Body Corporate.	Yes
Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation (KAC)	Representative Aboriginal Corporation	Represents Kariyarra Traditional Owners and its members and represent their communal interests including, among other things, management and protection of cultural values. It is interested in the	The Kariyarra native title claim does not overlap the EMBA. The claim is coastally adjacent to the EMBA, for which the Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation is the Registered Native Title Prescribed Body Corporate.	Yes

		protection of cultural heritage and land and sea country and safeguarding cultural and environmental values.		
Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation (NTGAC), Yinggarda Aboriginal Corporation	Representative Aboriginal Corporation	The NTGAC and YAC are the Registered Native Title Body Corporates holding native title on behalf of the Baiyungu, Thalanyji and Yinggarda people. They represent their communal interests including, among other things, management and protection of cultural values.	The Gnulli, Gnulli #2 and Gnulli #3 - Yinggarda, Baiyungu and Thalanyji Peoples' native title claim overlaps the EMBA. The NTGAC is also party, with the WA State Government, to the Ningaloo Conservation Estate Indigenous Land Use Agreement (ILUA), which overlaps the EMBA. The NTGAC's nominated representative is YMAC and VOGA has consulted with NTGAC via YMAC.	Yes
Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation (NAC)	Representative Aboriginal Corporation	NAC represents the the Ngarluma Traditional Owners and represent their communal interests including, among other things, management and protection of cultural values.	The Ngarluma People native title claim overlaps the EMBA, which the Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation is the Registered Native Title Prescribed Body Corporate.	Yes
Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation (WAC)	Representative Aboriginal Corporation	WAC represents the Ngarla Traditional Owners and represent their communal interests including, among other things, management and protection of cultural values. Wanparta also jointly manages the 80 Mile Beach Marine Park.	The Ngarla and Ngarla #2 (Determination Area 2) determined native title claim does not overlap the EMBA. The determined native title claim is coastally adjacent to the EMBA, which the Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation is the Registered Native Title Prescribed Body Corporate.	Yes
Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation (WAC)	Representative Aboriginal Corporation	WAC represents the Yaburara and Mardudhunera	The Yaburara and Mardudhunera People determined native title claim overlaps the EMBA, which the	Yes

		Traditional Owners and represent their communal interests including, among other things, management and protection of cultural values.	Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation is the which the Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation is the Registered Native Title Prescribed Body Corporate.	
Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation (YAC)	Representative Aboriginal Corporation	YAC represents the e Yindjibarndi Traditional Owners. YAC advised that it has no interest in offshore oil and that Ngarluma manages all interest. VOGA has identified Ngarluma for consultation on this EP.	The Ngarluma/Yindjibarndi People determined native title claim overlaps the EMBA, which the Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation is the Registered Native Title Prescribed Body Corporate.	Yes
Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC)	Representative Aboriginal Corporation	Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) is made up of members from five traditional custodial groups: the Ngarluma, Mardudhunera, Yaburara, Yindjibarndi, and Wong-Goo-Tt-Oo peoples. MAC brings together the five groups and is the approved corporate body for the Burrup and Maitland Industrial Estates Agreement (BMIEA). MAC administers the implementation of contractual obligations under the terms of the BMIEA.	During our engagement with a PBC, we were advised that MAC, while not a PBC, is a representative body responsible for managing cultural heritage, community development, and engagement in the region. On the basis of this advice, we resolved to initiate direct contact with MAC. Based on this guidance, MAC was identified as a relevant person for consultation on this EP, in recognition of its significant role and influence in the region. MAC holds the freehold title to Murujuga National Park which overlaps the EMBA, potentially affecting its functions and interests..	Yes

		MAC owns and co-manages the Murujuga National Park, is responsible for the Dampier Archipelago National Heritage Place and the World Heritage listing of the Murujuga Cultural Landscape. MAC is the cultural authority for Murujuga and is responsible for the management and protection of its cultural values. It is interested in preserving cultural and sacred sites in the region.		
Native Title representative bodies				
Kimberley Land Council (KLC)	Native Title Representative Body	KLC is the Native Title Representative Body for the Kimberley region of Western Australia. As such, they are not a Prescribed or Registered Native Title Body Corporate but exist to assist native title claimants and holders.	For this EP, KLC's functions are not relevant to the proposed activity as KLC's area of interest does not overlap with the EMBA.	No
Yamatji Marlpa Aboriginal Corporation (YMAC)	Native Title Representative Body	YMAC is the Native Title Representative Body for the Yamatji and Pilbara regions of Western Australia. As such, they are not a Prescribed or Registered Native Title Body Corporate	YMAC provides administrative and legal assistance to NTGAC, a group identified as a relevant person for this EP. VOGA has engaged with YMAC in this capacity. VOGA contacted YMAC to seek guidance with respect to the appropriate Traditional Custodian group(s) to engage with respect to the proposed activity where this was not clear. YMAC's functions may be relevant to the proposed activity in relation to its facilitation and coordination	Yes

		but exist to assist native title claimants and holders.	function as a Native Title Representative Body under applicable federal legislation. VOGA sought clarification on whether YMAC considered itself a relevant person for consultation on this EP.	
Historical heritage groups or organisations				
Western Australian Museum (WAM)	Manages 200 shipwreck sites of the 1,500 known to be located off the Western Australian coast.	The State's museum authority responsible for managing and conserving Western Australia's cultural, maritime and natural heritage, including marine collections and heritage sites. Is interested in preserving and interpreting cultural, maritime, and natural assets.	There are known shipwrecks overlapping the EMBA which the Western Australian Museum may be responsible for, potentially affecting its functions and interests.	Yes
Local government and recognised local business and community reference/liaison groups or organisations				
City of Karratha	Local government governed by the Local Government Act 1995 representing the suburbs and localities of Baynton, Baynton West, Bulgarra, Cossack, Dampier, Gap Ridge, Karratha, Karratha Industrial Estate, Jingarri, Madigan, Millars Well, Nickol, Pegs Creek, Point Samson, Roebourne, Whim Creek, and Wickham.	The local government authority for the Karratha region, responsible for land use planning, community infrastructure and coastal management.	The City of Karratha's area of responsibility overlaps the EMBA.	Yes
Town of Port Hedland	Local government governed by the Local Government Act 1995 representing the suburbs and localities of Cooke Point, Port Hedland,	The local government authority for Port Hedland, with responsibilities for community development,	The Town of Port Hedland's area of responsibility overlaps the EMBA.	Yes

	Pretty Pool, Redbank, South Hedland, Wedgefield, and Yandeyarra.	environmental management and local infrastructure.		
Shire of Ashburton	Local government governed by the Local Government Act 1995 representing the suburbs and localities of Onslow, Pannawonica, Paraburdoo, and Tom Price.	The local government authority for the Ashburton region, encompassing coastal and inland areas that support resource and marine industries.	The Shire of Ashburton's area of responsibility overlaps the EMBA.	Yes
Shire of Exmouth	Local government governed by the Local Government Act 1995 representing the suburbs and localities of Exmouth, Learmonth, and North West Cape.	The local government authority for Exmouth, responsible for local planning and management of areas adjacent to the Ningaloo Coast and Exmouth Gulf.	The Shire of Exmouth's area of responsibility overlaps the EMBA.	Yes
Port Hedland Chamber of Commerce and Industry	Independent not-for-profit organisation responsible for promoting the interests of its members in the business community in the town of Port Hedland and surrounding areas	Represents the interests of businesses operating in the Port Hedland area, including those engaged in port, logistics and resource-related activities.	The Port Hedland Chamber of Commerce and Industry's interests have the potential to be impacted by the proposed activities.	Yes
Onslow Chamber of Commerce and Industry	Independent not-for-profit organisation responsible for promoting the interests of its members in the business community in the town of Onslow and surrounding areas	Represents local business interests in the Onslow area, including sectors supporting oil, gas and marine operations.	The Onslow Chamber of Commerce and Industry's interests have the potential to be impacted by the proposed activities.	Yes
Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA)	Independent non-for-profit organisation responsible for promoting the interests of the WA local government sector.	The peak body representing local governments across Western Australia, providing	The WALGA's interests have the potential to be impacted by the proposed activities.	Yes

		advocacy and policy input on state and regional matters.		
Karratha and Districts Chamber of Commerce and Industry (KDCCI)	Independent not-for-profit organisation responsible for promoting the interests of its members in the business community in the city of Karratha and surrounding areas	Represents business and industry interests in Karratha and surrounding districts, including those linked to resource and marine sectors.	The Karratha and Districts Chamber of Commerce and Industry's interests have the potential to be impacted by the proposed activities.	Yes
Regional Development Australia (Pilbara) Karratha WA	Federal Government initiative responsible for supporting local governments and non-for-profits.	A regional development body based in Karratha that promotes economic growth, investment and community development across the Pilbara region.	The Regional Development Australia (Pilbara) Karratha WA's interests have the potential to be impacted by the proposed activities.	Yes
Research institutes				
Curtin University (Centre for Marine Science and Technology)	Marine Research Organisation	The Western Australian University conducts research in marine science, environmental monitoring, and technology development, undertaking field studies, surveys, and collaborative and research initiatives.	There may be research being undertaken by Curtin University that intersects within the EMBA, potentialy affecting its interests and activities.	Yes
University of Western Australia (UWA)	Marine Research Organisation	Undertakes marine science and oceanography research, is interested in understanding and protecting coastal and offshore ecosystems, and undertakes research projects	There may be research being undertaken by University of Western Australia that intersects within the EMBA, potentially affecting its interests and activities.	Yes

		and environmental monitoring.		
Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Reserch Organsiation (CSIRO)	Marine Research Organisation	Conducts scientific research on marine ecosystems and biodiversity, and undertakes research and monitoring.	There may be research being undertaken by the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation that intersects within the EMBA, potentialy affecting its interests and activities..	Yes
Western Australian Marine Science Institution (WAMSI)	Marine Research Organisation	Conducts research on marine ecosystems and biodiversity.	There may be research being undertaken by the Western Australian Marine Science Institution that intersects within the EMBA, potentialy affecting its interests and activities..	Yes
Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS)	Marine Research Organisation	Conducts research on marine ecosystems and biodiversity.	There may be research being undertaken by Australian Institute of Marine Science that intersects within the EMBA, potentialy affecting its interests and activities..	Yes
Australian Marine Sciences Association (WA Branch)	Marine Research Organisation	Represents marine scientists in Western Australia and conducts research in the region.	There may be research being undertaken by Australian Marine Sciences Association that intersects within the EMBA, potentialy affecting its interests and activities..	Yes
Local environment and conservation groups or organisations				
Project Ningaloo	Non-government organisation	Focused on preserving ecological values and sustainable marine use.	Protect Ningaloo's conservation activities have the potential to intersect with the EMBA as the EMBA overlaps North West Cape and Ningaloo Reef, potentialy affecting its interests and activities..	Yes
Australian Conservation Foundation (ACF)	Non-government organisation	National environmental organisation with a focus on biodiversity, ecosystems and environmental outcomes, with a focus on advocacy, research, policy submissions and public education.	Australian Conservation Foundation has a publicly available statement (or purpose), website or social media material that demonstrates that it's functions, interests or activities may be relevant to the potential risks and impacts associated with planned activities in accordance with the intended outcome of consultation.	Yes
The Conservation Council of WA (CCWA)	Non-government organisation	Environmental advocacy body which undertakes	The Conservation Council of WA has a publicly available statement (or purpose), website or social media material that demonstrates that it's functions, interests or	Yes

		policy advocacy and conservation programs.	activities may be relevant to the potential risks and impacts associated with planned activities in accordance with the intended outcome of consultation.	
Care for Hedland	Non-government organisation	Community based environmental group with a focus on community education, conservation activities and environmental advocacy.	Care for Hedland has a publicly available statement (or purpose), website or social media material that demonstrates that it's functions, interests or activities may be relevant to the potential risks and impacts associated with planned activities in accordance with the intended outcome of consultation.	Yes
Individual - Self Identified				
Individual 1	Self-Identified Individual	-	During the course of preparing the EP [Individual 1] self-identified and requested to be contacted as a potentially relevant person. VOGA was unable to get in contact with [Individual 1] after making several attempts.	No

1.3 Consultation Activities

VOGA has been consulting with relevant individuals and other interested parties for this EP since October and November 2024. This included a planned and considered approach to engaging identified relevant persons and interested parties on VOGA's proposed activities. The company undertook a broad consultation process to raise public awareness, encourage feedback and prompt respectful two-way consultation.

VOGA conveyed its planned activities through a variety of media forums that included advertisements in print media such as national, state and local newspapers; as well as social media platforms.

Local community centres were also contacted and asked to print and display information flyers within and adjacent to the Operational Area and EMBA to raise awareness.

VOGA's consultation webpage provided fact sheets and other publicly available materials.

Table 2: Summary of Consultation Activities

Consultation Activity	Purpose	Timing
Initial consultation (9 October 2024 – 30 November 2024)		
Consultation materials Email to identified relevant persons with a link to project information on the VOGA website, a map of the title area, and seeking their preferred method of consultation.	Raise awareness of the proposed activities and existing operations and seek input from relevant persons on their preferred method for engaging in consultation.	9 – 14 October 2024
Print media advertising Advertisement in The West Australian.	Promote awareness of proposed activities and create opportunities for relevant persons to self-identify for consultation.	27 November 2024
Website Website content and resources developed and made available: https://www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities/	Provided: • Information about VOGA's consultation obligations, approach and promoting self-identification. • Information about the proposed activities, including potential impacts and risks. • Information on the consultation process and how to self-identify. • Contact information to enable relevant persons to provide feedback. • Resources such as fact sheets, frequently asked questions and NOPSEMA guidelines.	From 26 November 2024
Consultation period (2 December 2024 – 17 January 2025)		
Consultation materials Consultation communication material included: • An email to identified relevant persons advising of the commencement of consultation.	Advising identified relevant persons of the proposed activities, the consultation period dates, and providing an opportunity for feedback on the EP.	From 2 December 2024

• Letter to identified relevant persons advising of the commencement of consultation. • Community flyers. • Consultation Information Sheets and stakeholder-specific fact sheets for commercial fisheries and First Nations groups. • Additional targeted information about the proposed activities was provided to relevant persons' in respect to their functions interests and activities.		
Consultation meetings Where indicated as the preferred consultation method, VOGA hosted in-person and virtual meetings. This included providing a presentation specific to the relevant person or organisation.	Providing information to relevant persons about the proposed activities, the consultation period dates, and providing an opportunity for feedback on the EP.	From 2 December 2024
Print media advertising Print advertisements in the following publications: • The West Australian • Koori Mail • Mid-West Times • North-West Telegraph • Broome Advertiser, • Pilbara Times.	Promote awareness of the proposed activities, encourage self-identification and seek feedback from relevant persons.	From 27 November 2024
Social media advertising Geo-targeted social media advertising was deployed, aimed at communities within the EMBA.	Promote awareness of the proposed activities, consultation period and how to provide feedback on the EP.	From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025

1.3.1 Consultation correspondence

VOGA used a variety of methods to correspond and consult with identified relevant persons such as email, letters, phone calls, and face-to-face and virtual meetings. Where appropriate, targeted follow-up correspondence was made to relevant persons who had not responded before the close of the feedback period. Consultation activities undertaken with relevant persons are summarised in Table 6 and engagement activities undertaken with persons or organisations VOGA assessed as not relevant but chose to contact are summarised in Table 7.

An information sheet was provided to relevant persons contacted by VOGA. The information sheet was available on VOGA's consultation website since 26 November 2024. It included an overview of the proposed activity, maps, key risks and impacts, management measures, and contact details for relevant persons to provide feedback to VOGA (see Record of Consultation, reference 1.1).

Additional targeted information was provided to relevant marine users in a commercial fishing information sheet. This included maps, key information for the commercial fishing sector regarding the EP activities, coordinates for the operational areas, an activity summary, potential impacts, an

assessment of commercial fishing VOGA's impact assessment, and contact details for relevant persons to provide feedback to VOGA (see Record of Consultation, reference 1.2).

1.3.2 Advertising of the proposed activity

Traditional media advertising

VOGA advertised the planned activities under the proposed EP in national, regional and local newspapers selected because of their coverage area servicing potentially relevant communities and persons across Western Australia (Figure 1-20). Newspaper advertisements were published for the duration of the consultation period between 27 November 2024 and 15 January 2025 with a closing date of 17 January 2025.

Table 3 lists local newspapers used for advertising. These publications do not require subscriptions and are available directly to households within the publication's coverage area. Koori Mail, an Indigenous-focused publication, published the advertisement nationally. All communities within or adjacent to the EMBA had access to this information.

Table 3: Advertisement summary

Publication date	Advertisement type	Coverage
27 November 2024	Quarter-page print ad in The West Australian	State-wide - Western Australia
4 December 2024	Quarter-page print ad in The Koori Mail	National - focused on First Nations
4 December 2024	Quarter-page print ad in The Mid-West Times	Regional - Coral Bay, Geraldton, Meekatharra, Shark Bay, and Carnarvon
4 December 2024	Quarter-page print ad in The North-West Telegraph	Regional - Marble Bar, Newman, Nullagine, Port Hedland, and Wedgefield
10 December 2024	Quarter-page print ad in The West Australian	State-wide - Western Australia
11 December 2024	Quarter-page print ad in The Mid-West Times	Regional - Coral Bay, Geraldton, Meekatharra, Shark Bay, and Carnarvon
12 December 2024	Quarter-page print ad in The Broome Advertiser	Regional - Broome, Derby, Fitzroy Crossing, Karratha, and Kununurra
18 December 2024	Quarter-page print ad in The Koori Mail	National - focused on First Nations
18 December 2024	Quarter-page print ad in The Pilbara Times	Regional - Karratha, Dampier, Wickham, Roebourne, Point Samson, Tom Price, Pannawonica, Paraburdoo and Onslow
18 December 2024	Quarter-page print ad in The North-West Telegraph	Regional - Marble Bar, Newman, Nullagine, Port Hedland, and Wedgefield
9 January 2025	Quarter-page print ad in The Broome Advertiser	Regional - Broome, Derby, Fitzroy Crossing, Karratha, and Kununurra
15 January 2025	Quarter-page print ad in The Pilbara Times	Regional - Karratha, Dampier, Wickham, Roebourne, Point Samson, Tom Price, Pannawonica, Paraburdoo and Onslow

Geotargeted social media campaign

Between 28 November 2024 and 17 January 2025, VOGA conducted a geotargeted social media campaign across Facebook and LinkedIn (Figure 1-17 and Table 4). The campaign focused on locations within or adjacent to the EMBA. Table 4 lists the localities identified for this campaign. The campaign reached 102,951 users on Facebook and 1,915 users on LinkedIn.

The campaign focused on bringing the proposed activity to the attention of persons who may be affected. It directed interested persons or organisations to VOGA's consultation website (Figure 1-16) which displayed further information about the proposed activities and consultation and contact details for VOGA.

Table 4: Localities and geographical reach of targeted social media advertising campaign

Platform	Geotargeted Reach	Campaign Dates	Impact
Facebook	Users located within VOGA's EMBA including: Balla Balla + 40 km, Bernier Island, Broome + 40 km, Cape Range National Park + 40 km, Carnarvon + 40 km, Coburn + 65 km, Coral Bay + 40 km, Dampier Peninsula + 40 km, Denham + 80 km, Dorre Island, Eighty Mile Beach + 40 km, Eurardy + 40 km, Exmouth + 40 km, Geraldton + 40 km, Houtman Abrolhos, Karratha + 62 km, Lagrange + 40 km, Macleod + 50 km, Mardie + 40 km, Minilya + 40 km, Mundabullangana + 40 km, Nerren Nerren + 40 km, Ningaloo, Northampton + 40 km, Onslow + 4km, Pannawonica + 40 km, Pardoo + 40 km, Port Hedland + 58 km, Sherlock + 40 km, Tamala + 40 km, Waterbank + 40 km, Wooramel + 40 km and Zuytdorp + 40 km	28 November 2024 – 17 January 2025	Reach[1]: 102,951 Frequency[2]: 4.76 Impressions[3]: 489,655 Clicks: 3,774 CTR%[4]: 0.77
LinkedIn	Users located within VOGA's EMBA including: Broome, Carnarvon, Coral Bay, Dampier, Denham, Eighty Mile Beach, Eurardy, Exmouth, Geraldton, Karratha, Lagrange, Macleod, Mardie, Minilya, Mundabullangana, Nerren Nerren, Ningaloo, Northampton, Onslow, Pannawonica, Pardoo, Port	28 November – 19 December 2024	Reach: 1,915 Average Frequency: 3.1 Impressions: 5,929 Website visits: 40 Average CTR%: 0.67

[1] The number of unique users who see the advertisement
[2] The average number of times a user sees an advertisement
[3] The number of times the advertisement was seen, including multiple views from individual users
[4] Click-through rate measures the number of clicks an advertisement receives divided by the number of times the advertisement is shown.

	Hedland, Sherlock, Tamala, Waterbank, Wooramel and Zuytdorp.		

1.3.3 Community flyer

To complement the print and social media advertising campaigns, VOGA developed a community flyer (Figure 1-18). The company asked community centres in relevant communities to display the flyer, increasing the visibility of information in regional communities adjacent to the EMBA.

Table 5: The community centres contacted to display VOGA community flyer

Town/Region	Community Centre
Geraldton	Geraldton Library
	Geraldton Regional Aboriginal Medical Service Note also have a location in Carnarvon
	Aboriginal Family Legal Services
	Aboriginal Legal Services of Western Australia
Karratha	Dampier Community Hub
	Frank Butler Community Centre
	Karratha Library
	Dampier Library
	Wickham Library
	Roebourne Library
	Karratha and Districts Chamber of Commerce and Industry
	Mawarnkarra Health Service – located in Roebourne
	Pilbara Community Legal Service
	Aboriginal Legal Services of Western Australia
	Karratha Business Centre
	Pilbara Development Commission
	Karratha Family Centre
	Pam Buchanan Family Centre
	Karratha Leisureplex
	Roebourne Aquatic Centre
	Wickham Recreation Precinct
Northampton	Northampton Library
	Kalbarri Library / Allen Centre
Shark Bay	Shark Bay Community Resource Centre
	Shark Bay Library
	Newman Library

East Pilbara	Marble Bar Library
	Nullagine Library
	The Square
	Newman Putukurnu Aboriginal Medical Service
	Newman Newman Recreation Centre
	Tom Price Nintirri Centre
	Tom Price Community Recreation Centre
	Tom Price Civic Centre
Ashburton	Libraries in Onslow, Pannawonica, Paraburdoo and Tom Price
Port Hedland	Port Hedland Community Centre
	Port Hedland Library
	South Hedland Library
	Port Hedland Chamber of Commerce
Carnarvon	Carnarvon Civic Centre
	Geraldton Regional Aboriginal Medical Service Note same contact details as the Geraldton location
	Carnarvon Medical Service Aboriginal Corporation
	Gwoonwardu Mia Cultural Centre
	Aboriginal Legal Services of Western Australia
	Aboriginal Family Legal Services
	Carnarvon Library
Exmouth	Ningaloo Centre (includes the library)
Broome	Broome Public Library
	Broome Chamber of Commerce and Industry
	Aboriginal Family Legal Services
	Broome Regional Aboriginal Medical Service
	Kimberley Aboriginal Medical Services
	Bidyandanga Aboriginal Community La Grange Inc.
	Nirrumbuk Aboriginal Corporation
	Nirrumbuk Environmental Health and Services Pty Ltd
	Broome Aboriginal Short Stay Accommodation

	Mamabulanjin Aboriginal Corporation
Derby	Derby Public Library
	Derby Shire Council
	Derby Aboriginal Health Service Council
	Winun Ngari Aboriginal Corporation
	Mowanjum Aboriginal Art & Culture Centre
	Derby Civic Centre
Onslow	Onslow Chamber of Commerce and Industry

1.3.4 Consultation with First Nations people and organisations

Additional consultation activities were undertaken with relevant Traditional Custodians and First Nations people and organisations. These activities were specifically developed to encourage effective engagement and ensure information was provided in a form that was accessible and appropriate.

Consultation undertaken specifically with First Nations people and organisations for this EP included:

- Direct correspondence and engagement with nominated representative bodies through the contact list on the ORIC website. To encourage respectful, two-way dialogue VOGA requested advice on how they would like to be engaged. This resulted in meetings with representatives, requests for resourcing to enable consultation, providing information on the proposed activities, and exchanging written correspondence.
- A Summary Information Sheet was developed to ensure the content was appropriate and accessible for the intended recipients and provided to them (Figure 1-19).
- Consultation meetings were held between First Nations groups, VOGA representatives, and advisers with experience in First Nations community engagement. These meetings were held based on the principles of a co-designed approach and that two-way communication was encouraged to ensure information was shared effectively. This included proposing a mutually agreed agenda, visual aids, emphasis on risks and impacts, opportunity for feedback and questions, discussion about ongoing relationship development, and meeting all costs such as sitting fees, travel and other support required.
- VOGA researched relevant First Nations groups and representative bodies to better understand their values and interests. This included desktop research and reviewing precedent engagement by other titleholders and resulted in the development of special interest material that could provide relevant information to the group's functions, interests and activities. This collateral was used during meetings.
- Ongoing efforts were made to engage with First Nations groups to develop relationships via different channels and methods such as email, phone calls, text messages, and by seeking alternative appropriate contacts.
- VOGA also ran a geo-targeted social media campaign (Table 4) and print media advertising campaign (Table 3), reaching communities adjacent to the EMBA of the proposed activities. The campaign was wide-reaching and brought the proposed activities to the attention and was developed with accessible language appropriate for Indigenous audiences. The campaigns directed any interested parties to VOGA's consultation website (Figure 1-16) where further information, contact details and resources were made available.

1.3.5 Consultation Material

Included below are copies of the consultation material deployed during consultation activities and VOGA's public awareness campaign.

Figure 1-2: Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Environment Plan Information Sheet

VERMILION ENERGY

INFORMATION SHEET

Wandoo Field

Geotechnical and Geophysical Environment Plan

December 2024

About Vermilion Energy

Vermilion Energy is an international energy producer with a 30-year track record. It has operations in North America, Europe and Australia.

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (Vermilion) is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on exploring for and developing oil at the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

About Wandoo Field

The Wandoo Field was discovered in 1991 and the extraction of oil started in 1993. Vermilion has been the operator of Wandoo since November 2005 and the sole titleholder since 2007.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m–60m (**Figure 1**).

The Wandoo Facility operates under existing accepted Environmental Plans in place for production and well construction.

Operational Areas

Operational Areas have been defined as a subset of WA-14-L to encompass potential exploration prospects. Geographical co-ordinates of the exploration prospects are listed in **Table 1**, with geotechnical and geophysical survey areas being within a 4x4km square centred on these geographical co-ordinates (see **Figure 2**).

Activity overview

Vermilion currently operates the Wandoo Facility within production licence area WA-14-L. Vermilion is preparing for near field exploration drilling, to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a Mobile Offshore Drilling Unit (MODU).

The duration of the geotechnical and geophysical survey is approximately 15 days. Activities will be undertaken on a continual 24 hour basis. Survey activities are scheduled to commence in the second half of 2025, subject to vessel availability and regulatory approval. The start dates for other potential surveys in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Environment Plan (EP) are yet to be determined.

Exploration drilling activities are described in the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP.

Communications with mariners

Commercial fishers and other marine users are permitted to use the Operational Areas but should take care around operations by adhering to standard navigation rules and remain clear of the survey vessel.

Marine notices will be issued prior to activity commencement to alert vessels which may be operating in waters nearby.



Figure 1. Location of the Wandoo Field

Activity summary

Table 1. Activity summary

Production licence area	WA-14-L
Approximate duration and timing of activities	• 15 days (per survey/campaign). • The first survey is proposed for Q4 2025, pending vessel availability and regulatory approval. • The timing of potential subsequent geotechnical and geophysical surveys has not been finalised. The Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical EP assumes the activities may be undertaken at any time of year over the five-year period following acceptance of the EP.
Approximate water depth	50–60m
Key activities	Geotechnical survey: • box cores/grab samplers • piston/gravity cores and vibrocores • drilling core holes, and/or • piezocone penetrometer tests. Geophysical survey: • multibeam echo sounders, single beam echo sounders • side scan sonar • magnetometers, and/or • sub-bottom profilers. Other survey techniques: • water samplers • sound velocity sensors and multi-parameter conductivity-temperature-depth profilers • ultra-short baseline positioning system • doppler velocity log and inertial navigation systems • underwater cameras, and/or • underwater laser scanners, and Remotely Operated Vehicles (ROV), autonomous underwater vehicles.
Vessel	Multi-purpose survey vessels
Description of the environment	The Operational Area is located within Northwest Shelf provincial bioregion (based on the Integrated Marine and Coastal Regionalisation). Proximity to key features include: *Aboriginal cultural heritage* • There are no registered Aboriginal cultural heritage sites within the Operational Area. *Biologically important areas (BIAs)* The following BIAs intersect the Operational Area: • Wedge-tailed shearwater – Reproduction • Humpback whale – Migration • Flatback turtle – Reproduction • Whale shark – Foraging *Heritage* There are no World Heritage or National Heritage Properties within the Operational Area. The nearest heritage properties are: • Ningaloo Coast (World Heritage) ~250km from the Operational Area. • Dampier Archipelago (National Heritage) ~35km from the Operational Area. *Commercial fishing* The following Commonwealth managed fisheries have a defined management area that overlaps the Operational Area: • Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery • Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery • Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery The following State-managed fisheries have a defined management area that overlaps the Operational Area: • Abalone Managed Fishery • Hermit Crab Fishery

	• Mackerel Managed Fishery • Marine Aquarium Managed Fishery • Nickol Bay Prawn Fishery • Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery • Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery • Pilbara Line Fishery • Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery • Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery • South-west Coast Salmon Fishery • Specimen Shell Managed Fishery • West Coast Deep Sea Crustacean Managed Fishery • Western Australian Sea Cucumber Fishery *Key ecological features (KEFs)* There are no KEFs within the Operational Area. The nearest KEFs are: • Glomar Shoals ~40km to the north-north-east of the Operational Area. • Ancient coastline at 125m depth contour ~56km to the north of the Operational Area. • Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities ~118km north-west of the Operational Area. *Oil and gas operations* Petroleum activities within the vicinity of the Operational Area: • Reindeer platform ~14km from the Operational Area • Stag platform ~13km from the Operational Area • Scarborough export pipeline ~3km from the Operational Area • TL1 and TL2 export pipelines ~500m and ~18km from the Operational Area *Shipping* • The Operational Area is approximately 31km from the northbound shipping fairway from Dampier. *Protected areas* No Australian Marine Parks (AMPs) are within the Operational Area. The nearest AMPs are: • Montebello AMP ~37km to the west of the Operational Area • Dampier AMP ~47km to the south-east of the Operational Area • Montebello Islands Marine Part (State) ~75km to the west-south-west of the Operational Area • Barrow Island Marine Management Area (State) ~89km to the west-south-west of the Operational Area *Tourism, towns and communities* The town of Dampier is located 80km south-south-east of the Operational Area.

Prospect names	Latitude	Longitude
Mottlecah	20° 8' 32.7" S	116° 23' 7.4" E
Jinjulu	20° 10' 44.6" S	116° 21' 21.4" E
Kullingal	20° 10' 16.2" S	116° 23' 3.2" E
North of Wandoo 1	20° 6' 5.2" S	116° 25' 0.6" E
North of Wandoo 2	20° 5" 16.2" S	116° 25' 46.6" E
North Jurassic	20° 5' 57.5" S	116° 23' 53.2" E
North West Jurassic	20° 5' 57.9" S	116° 21' 26.5" E

Environment that may be affected

The environment that may be affected (EMBA) is a mathematically modelled area of the largest possible spatial extent where the activities could potentially have an environmental consequence. The broadest extent of the model takes into consideration planned and unplanned activities.

For the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical EP, the EMBA has been developed using modelling outputs based on scenarios involving a release of hydrocarbons to the environment. These scenarios are extremely unlikely to occur. The most credible modelling scenario that informed the EMBA is based on a hydrocarbon release as a result of a survey vessel collision. The EMBA is shown in **Figure 2.**

The EMBA does not represent the extent of the predicted impact of a release of hydrocarbons. Rather, the EMBA represents the merged area of many possible paths that a hydrocarbon release could travel, depending on factors including the weather and ocean conditions at the time of the release.

This means that in the unlikely event that a hydrocarbon release does occur, the whole EMBA will not be affected. Only a minimal, specific part of the EMBA will be affected and that portion would only be known at the time of the release.

Assessment

Vermilion has undertaken an assessment of the potential impacts and risks to the environment as well as potential risks to relevant persons arising from the planned activities and unplanned events. This assessment considers the timing, duration and location of the activities. A number of mitigation and management measures will be implemented and are summarised for the planned and unplanned activities in **Table 2**. Further details will be provided in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical EP.

In preparing the EP, Vermilion's intent is to minimise environmental, social and cultural risks and impacts associated with the proposed activities. Vermilion seeks your feedback to inform our decision making.



Figure 2. Environment that may be affected

Mitigation and management measures

Vermilion has undertaken an assessment to identify potential impacts and risks to the environment arising from the activity. A number of mitigation and management measures for the proposed activity are outlined in **Table 2.** Further details will be provided in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical EP.

Table 2. Summary of key risks and/or impacts and preliminary management measures for the activity

Potential impact/risk	Source of potential impact/risk	Description of potential impact/risk	Preliminary draft mitigation and/or management measure
Planned activities (routine and non-routine)			
Physical presence: interaction with other marine users	Vessels	Potential displacement of other vessels such as commercial fishing vessels and commercial shipping vessels.	All survey vessels will be equipped with functional communication equipment to communicate with other vessels. The Australian Hydrographic Office (AHO) and Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) will be notified prior to activities so appropriate marine notices can be issued.
Physical presence: disturbance to seabed	Geotechnical survey	Localised increase in turbidity. Potential impact to benthic habitat and communities.	The benthic habitat within the Operational Areas are flat and featureless, therefore no controls have been identified.
Routine acoustic emissions: generation of noise	Vessels Geotechnical and geophysical survey	Potential temporary or permanent injury or behavioural change in marine fauna.	Vessel engines and power equipment will be maintained to optimise smooth running. Vessels operating in the Operational Areas must adhere to Part 8 of Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation (EPBC) Regulation 2000 to minimise exposure of marine fauna to noise impacts.
Routine and non-routine discharges	Vessels	Potential localised eutrophication of the water column and localised adverse effect to marine species.	Discharges such as deck drainage, bilge, garbage, food waste and sewage will be treated in accordance with: • MARPOL 73/78 Annex I and AMSA Marine Order 91 • MARPOL 73/78 Annex V. • MARPOL 73/78 Annex IV and AMSA Marine Order 96. • AMSA Marine Order 95.
Routine light emissions	Vessels	Potential interference with or disturbance of marine fauna.	No controls identified as vessel lighting is specified for safe working practices.
Routine and non-routine atmospheric and greenhouse gas emissions	Vessels	Potential temporary decrease in local air quality.	Vessels and fuels used will comply with Regulation 14 of MARPOL 73/78 Annex IV and AMSA Marine Order 97. Power generation systems, ancillary diesel engines and refrigeration systems will be maintained via preventative maintenance systems.

Unplanned events (accidents/incidents)			
Introduction and establishment of invasive marine species	Vessels	Potential reduction in native species abundance due to competition or predation.	Vessels will comply with: • Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements consistent with the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments (Ballast Water Management Convention). • Annex 1 of the International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-Fouling Systems on Ships. • National Biofouling Guidelines for the Petroleum Production and Exploration Industry and IMO Guidelines for the control and management of a ships' biofouling to minimise the transfer of invasive aquatic species.
Vessel collision or disturbance of fauna	Vessels	Potential injury of marine fauna.	Vessels contracted by Vermilion operating in the Operational Areas must have procedures that adhere to Part 8 of EPBC Regulation 2000 to minimise exposure of marine fauna.
Accidental discharge materials and waste	Vessels	Potential pollution and contamination of the marine environment. Decrease in water quality Injury of marine fauna.	Vessels procedures are compliant with MARPOL Convention Annex V and Marine Order 95. Non-hazardous and hazardous wastes are managed in accordance with contractor's Waste Management Plan.
Loss of containment – marine diesel oil (MDO)	Vessels and ROV	Decrease in water quality. Potential oiling of marine fauna and toxic effects to marine species.	AHO and AMSA will be notified in advance of vessel activities. Vessels will have navigational lights. Vessels will have dynamic positioning capability.
Hydrocarbon spill response activities	Spill response	Potential toxic effects to marine fauna from dispersants, disturbance to benthic habitat, scouring of sediments, and decrease in water quality.	Vermilion maintains contracts with oil spill response organisations, operational and scientific monitoring providers, and logistics operators for support in the event of a hydrocarbon spill. Vermilion also tests response arrangements annually to ensure preparedness for unplanned hydrocarbon spills. Vessels will implement a Shipboard Oil Pollution Emergency Plan (SOPEP) in the event of a spill. Implementation of response strategies will be undertaken as per the NOPSEMA-accepted Oil Pollution Emergency Plan (OPEP) and in consultation with or under direction of the Commonwealth or State Control Agency.

Consultation

Consultation provides Vermilion with an opportunity to receive feedback from authorities, persons and organisations whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed petroleum activities. This feedback helps us to refine or change the management measures we are planning to address potential activity impacts and risks. Vermilions' objective for the proposed activities is to ensure the activity is carried out in a manner that is consistent with the principles of Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD) and reduce environmental impacts and risks to a level that is As Low As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP) and acceptable over the life of the activity.

Consultation also helps us to identify values and sensitivities where information is not publicly available, such as spiritual and cultural connection to land and sea country, as well as first-hand feedback on commercial and recreational fishing, tourism and local community activities and interests.

Feedback

If you consider you may be a relevant person, please contact us as soon as possible if you require any further information or if you think you are not on our consultation list.

We are asking for relevant persons to provide feedback by **17 January 2025.**

Feedback provided by relevant persons will be considered in an addendum to the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical EP and through the life of the activity. Feedback from relevant persons will be included in the EP submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for assessment.

Please let us know if you would like your personal/organisational details or any part of your feedback to remain private and we will ensure this remains confidential to NOPSEMA.

Contact us

Website: www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

Email: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

Phone: (08) 9217 5858

To visit our website, scan the QR code

Figure 1-3: Commercial fisher information sheet

VERMILION ENERGY

COMMERCIAL FISHER INFORMATION SHEET

Wandoo Field

Geotechnical & Geophysical Survey Environment Plan

December 2024

Information for commercial fishers

Information overview

This Commercial Fisher Information Sheet provides supplementary information to the Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (Vermilion) general information sheet about the proposed geotechnical and geophysical surveys in the Wandoo Field.

This Information Sheet provides supplementary information for the needs of commercial fishers who may be active in the Operational Area.

It summarises the ongoing assessment of potential impacts and risks to the commercial fishing industry and provides information for the needs of commercial fishers, who may be active in the Operational Area, about the proposed activities.

Activity overview

Vermilion currently operates the Wandoo Facility within production licence area WA-14-L. Vermilion is preparing for near field exploration drilling, to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit.

The duration of the geotechnical and geophysical survey is approximately 15 days. Activities will be undertaken on a continual 24 hour basis. Survey activities are scheduled to commence in the second half of 2025, subject to vessel availability and regulatory approval. The start dates for other potential surveys in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey Environment Plan (EP) are yet to be determined.

About Vermilion Energy

Vermilion Energy is an international energy producer with a 30-year track record. It has operations in North America, Europe and Australia.

Vermilion is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on exploring for and developing oil at the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

About Wandoo Field

The Wandoo Field was discovered in 1991 and the extraction of oil started in 1993. Vermilion has been the operator of Wandoo Field since November 2005 and the sole titleholder since 2007.

The Wandoo Facility operates under existing accepted EPs in place for production and well construction.

Key information

- **Vessels:** Multi-purpose survey vessels.
- **Location:** The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island (**Figure 1**).
- **Operational Areas:** Commercial fishers and other marine users are permitted to use the Operational Areas but should take care around operations by adhering to standard navigation rules.
- **Timing and duration:** Survey activities are scheduled to commence in the second half of 2025, subject to vessel availability and regulatory approval. Activity start timing for other surveys proposed in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP are yet to be determined. The survey will last up to approximately 30 days. Activities will be undertaken on a continual 24 hour per day basis.
- **Notifications:** Marine notices will be issued prior to activity commencement to alert vessels which may be operating in waters nearby.

Wandoo Field location

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island (**Figure 1**). It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m-60m.



Figure 1. Location of the Wandoo Field

Operational Areas

Operational Areas have been defined as a subset of Permit WA-14-L, including an approximate 4 x 4km boundary surrounding each exploration prospect. Operational Areas are shown in the **Figure 1** inset and co-ordinates provided in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Coordinates of the Wandoo Field Operational Areas

Prospect names / Operational Areas	Corner	Latitude	Longitude
North West Jurassic	A	20° 6' 59.33968" S	116° 20' 26.64720" E
North West Jurassic	B	20° 6' 58.94753" S	116° 22' 45.82891" E
North West Jurassic	C	20° 4' 57.34916" S	116° 22' 45.83320" E
North West Jurassic	D	20° 4' 57.29532" S	116° 20' 27.15801" E
Kullingal	A	20° 11' 21.22383" S	116° 23' 2.89793" E
Kullingal	B	20° 11' 21.96433" S	116° 24' 51.85846" E
Kullingal	C	20° 9' 11.44104" S	116° 24' 50.78617" E
Kullingal	D	20° 9' 11.10559" S	116° 23' 3.40865" E
Mottlecah	A	20° 9' 37.70610" S	116° 23' 7.14156" E
Mottlecah	B	20° 9' 38.17225" S	116° 25' 24.93474" E
Mottlecah	C	20° 7' 28.05266" S	116° 25' 25.41201" E
Mottlecah	D	20° 7' 27.58741" S	116° 23' 7.65052" E
Jinjulu	A	20° 11' 49.62039" S	116° 21' 21.12177" E
Jinjulu	B	20° 11' 50.11044" S	116° 23' 38.94639" E
Jinjulu	C	20° 9' 39.99207" S	116° 23' 39.44902" E
Jinjulu	D	20° 9' 39.50297" S	116° 21' 21.65616" E
North of Wandoo 1	A	20° 7' 10.34410" S	116° 25' 0.37851" E
North of Wandoo 1	B	20° 7' 10.78478" S	116° 27' 18.13657" E
North of Wandoo 1	C	20° 5' 0.66387" S	116° 27' 18.58681" E
North of Wandoo 1	D	20° 5' 0.22405" S	116° 25' 0.86036" E
North of Wandoo 2	A	20° 6' 21.22356" S	116° 25' 46.34433" E
North of Wandoo 2	B	20° 6' 21.65399" S	116° 28' 4.09075" E
North of Wandoo 2	C	20° 4' 57.17177" S	116° 28' 4.37611" E
North of Wandoo 2	D	20° 4' 57.56346" S	116° 25' 47.51389" E
North Jurassic	A	20° 6' 59.39506" S	116° 22' 45.52891" E
North Jurassic	B	20° 6' 59.85576" S	116° 25' 0.50452" E
North Jurassic	C	20° 4' 58.19869" S	116° 25' 0.95531" E
North Jurassic	D	20° 4' 57.60294" S	116° 22' 46.40135" E

Notes: A – southwest corner; B – southeast corner; C – northwest corner; D – northeast corner.

Activity summary

Table 2. Activity summary

Production licence area	WA-14-L
Approximate duration and timing of activities	• 15 days (per survey/campaign). • The first survey is proposed for Q4 2025, pending vessel availability and regulatory approval. • The timing of potential subsequent geotechnical and geophysical surveys has not been finalised. The Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP assumes the activities may be undertaken at any time of year over the five-year period following acceptance of the EP.
Approximate water depth	50–60m
Key activities	Geotechnical survey: • box cores/grab samplers • piston/gravity cores and vibrocores • drilling core holes, and/or • piezocone penetrometer tests. Geophysical survey: • multibeam echo sounders, single beam echo sounders • side scan sonar • magnetometers, and/or • sub-bottom profilers. Other survey techniques: • water samplers • sound velocity sensors and multi-parameter conductivity-temperature-depth profilers • ultra-short baseline positioning system • doppler velocity log and inertial navigation systems • underwater cameras, and/or • underwater laser scanners, and remotely operated vehicles (ROV), autonomous underwater vehicles.
Vessels	Multi-purpose survey vessels
Operational Area and exclusion zones	Commercial fishers and other marine users are permitted to use the Operational Areas but should take care around operations by adhering to standard navigation rules and remain clear of the survey vessel.
Communication with fishers	Marine notices will be issued prior to activity commencement to alert vessels which may be operating in waters nearby. Coordinates will be provided to stakeholders via marine notices.

Assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts

Commonwealth managed fisheries

There are three Commonwealth managed commercial fisheries that have a defined management area overlapping the Operational Areas (**Table 3**).

Table 3. Commonwealth managed fisheries

Commonwealth managed fisheries	Summary of fishery in relation to Operational Areas	Assessment of potential impacts
Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery	The Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery operates around Australia and extends to the high seas fishing zone (out to 200nm from the coast). The fishery targets southern bluefin tuna only. Fishing activity is focused in southern Australian waters with no activity expected across the Operational Areas.	No impact to the fishery expected.
Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery	The Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery extends west from Cape York Peninsula and around Australia to the South Australian/Victorian border, out to the edge of the Australian Fishing Zone. Little fishing activity has been undertaken in this fishery since 2008. No fishing activity associated with this fishery is expected to occur within the Operational Areas.	No impact to the fishery expected.
Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery	The Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery management area extends over a large area westward from Cape York Peninsula off Queensland, around the west coast of Western Australia and eastward, across the Great Australian Bight to 141°E at the South Australian/Victorian border. The fishery has operated at low levels of effort since the early 2000's due to economic conditions. Target species include albacore, bigeye tuna, yellow fin tuna, swordfish and striped marlin. No fishing activity associated with this fishery is expected to occur within the Operational Areas.	No impact to the fishery expected.



Figure 2. Commonwealth managed fisheries

State managed fisheries

Several State managed commercial fisheries have a defined management area overlapping the Operational Areas. Twelve of these fisheries have historical activity intersecting the Operational Area within the last 10 years. These include:

- Hermit Crab Fishery
- Mackerel Managed Fishery
- Marine Aquarium Managed Fishery
- Nickol Bay Prawn Fishery
- Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery
- Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery
- Pilbara Line Fishery
- Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery
- Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery
- Specimen Shell Managed Fishery
- West Coast Deep Sea Crustacean Managed Fishery, and
- Western Australian Sea Cucumber Fishery.

Figure 3 depicts the State managed commercial fisheries that had more than three vessels active within the Operational Areas within the last 10 years.



Figure 3. State managed fisheries

Vermilion impact assessment

Vermilion has undertaken an assessment to identify potential impacts and risks to commercial fishers from the activity. A number of mitigation and management measures for the proposed activity are outlined in **Table 4.** Further details will be provided in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP.

Table 4. Potential interactions with commercial fishing

Potential impact	Description of potential impact/risk	Proposed control measures
Interference with commercial fishing activities	Potential displacement of commercial fishing activities.	• Survey vessels will be equipped with functional communication equipment to communicate with commercial fishing vessels. • The Australian Hydrographic Office (AHO) and Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) will be notified prior to activities so appropriate marine notices can be issued.
Introduction and establishment of invasive marine species	Potential reduction in commercial species abundance due to competition or predation.	Survey vessels will comply with: • Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements consistent with the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments (Ballast Water Management Convention). • Annex 1 of the International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-Fouling Systems on Ships. • National Biofouling Guidelines for the Petroleum Production and Exploration Industry and IMO Guidelines for the control and management of a ships' biofouling to minimise the transfer of invasive aquatic species.
Vessel collision	Decrease in water quality. Potential toxic effects to commercial species.	• AHO and AMSA will be notified in advance of vessel activities. • Survey vessels will have navigational lights. • Survey vessels will have dynamic positioning capability. • Survey vessels will be equipped and crewed in accordance with the *Navigation Act 2012* and Marine Orders. • Survey vessels will have a dedicated Ship Oil Pollution Prevention Plan (SOPEP). • An Oil Pollution Emergency Plan (OPEP) will be prepared and implemented as required.

Consultation

Consultation provides Vermilion with an opportunity to receive feedback from authorities, persons and organisations whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed petroleum activities. This feedback helps us to refine or change the management measures we are planning to address potential activity impacts and risks. Vermilion's objective for the proposed activities is to ensure the activity is carried out in a manner that is consistent with the principles of Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD) and reduce environmental impacts and risks to a level that is As Low As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP) and acceptable over the life of the activity.

Consultation also helps us to identify values and sensitivities where information is not publicly available, such as spiritual and cultural connection to land and sea country, as well as first-hand feedback on commercial and recreational fishing, tourism and local community activities and interests.

Feedback

Vermilion is committed to working together with the commercial fishing industry so that we can all proceed with business in a safe and efficient manner.

If you consider you may be a relevant person, please contact us as soon as possible if you require any further information or if you think you are not on our consultation list.

We are asking for relevant persons to provide feedback by **17 January 2025.**

Feedback provided by relevant persons will be considered in an addendum to the Wandoo FieldGeotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP and through the life of the activity. Feedback from relevant persons will be included in the EP submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for assessment.

Please let us know if you would like your personal/organisational details or any part of your feedback to remain private and we will ensure this remains confidential to NOPSEMA.

Contact Us

Website: www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

Email: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

Phone: (08) 9217 5858

To visit our website, scan the QR code

Figure 1-4: The West Australian – 27 November 2024

PUBLIC NOTICES

Government of **Western Australia**
Public Transport Authority

EXTRACT FROM ORDERS FOR TAKING LAND

***LAND ADMINISTRATION ACT 1997* section 177(5)(a)**

The land/interests in land in the schedule below has/have been taken by order(s) of the Minister for Transport in accordance with sections 177 and 178 of the *Land Administration Act 1997*. The order(s) has/have been registered by the Registrar of Titles on 20 November 2024 , with registration number(s) stated in the schedule. Extract(s) of the order(s) follows:

Location of Land	Designation	Land Description	Reg. No. of Order	Job No. Reference
City of Cockburn	Public Transport Facilities	Lot 5004 on Deposited Plan 428098	Q219625	PTA0188/12

A copy of the order can be obtained from Landgate, 1 Midland Square, Midland, WA or www.landgate.wa.gov.au.

Further details can be obtained from Kim Walliss, Land Integration Manager, Office of Major Transport Infrastructure Delivery, 34 Stirling Street Perth, WA, 6000 or by telephoning (08) 9326 5870.

Dated this 21st Day of November 2024

Hon Rita Saffioti MLA

MINISTER FOR TRANSPORT

PTA_24238

VERMILION ENERGY

Wandoo Field
Environment Plans Consultation
SEEKING RELEVANT PERSONS

Vermilion is seeking to consult with relevant persons whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on exploring for and developing oil and gas from the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m - 60m.

Our Activities

Vermilion wishes to continue developing and producing from its existing exploration permit WA-14-L. The Wandoo Field currently operates under existing accepted Environmental Plans for both production and well construction.

However, Vermilion is preparing two Environment Plans for submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA).

Relevant Person Information

Learn more about who is a relevant person to be consulted, the environment that may be affected by the proposed activities, and the proposed control measures on our website.

Project Location

Your Feedback

If you think you may be a relevant person, please contact us to discuss consultation or provide feedback at the below channels.

We are asking relevant persons to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

For More Information

Email: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

To visit our website, scan the QR code

www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

Inspire the artist in you, read the
Arts Directory
Concerts Art Co
Jazz Galleries Choirs
Concerts Artists
Courses Jazz Galle
in the
The West Australian

CALL NOW!

Whether you want to buy it or sell it, we can help you.

It's easy. Call now!

The West Classifieds 13 22 80

PHOTOREQUIRED

GENERAL

CORONERS ACT 1996

An inquest into the death of Owen Duker Nugget Weazel whose death was reported to have occurred on 10 August 2023 will commence at the Coroner's Court, Court 85, 8th floor Central Law Courts, 501 Hay Street, Perth on 15-16 January 2025 at 10.00am.

MANAGER LISTINGS
OFFICE OF THE
STATE CORONER

NOTICE to Creditors and Claimants of **JAMES OLIVER SCOTT** late of **Esperance Aged Care Facility, 17 Eyre Street, Esperance, Western Australia** formerly of **8 Lemontree Grove, Esperance, Western Australia** Retired Plant Operator.
Creditors and other persons having claims (to which Section 63 of the Trustees Act 1962 relates) in respect of the estate of the deceased who died on 7 July 2024 are required by the Trustee a company Executor Trustees Limited ACN 007 869 794 of GPO Box 546, Adelaide SA 5001 to send particulars of their claim to them by 7 January 2025 after which date the Trustee may convey or distribute the assets having regard only to the claims of which it then has notice.

ROAD TRAFFIC ACT 1974

SECTIONS 80G (3)(E) AND 80G(4) (B)

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MAKE APPLICATION TO A COURT FOR AN ORDER TO IMPOUND/CONFISCATE A VEHICLE

Pursuant to the Road Traffic Act 1974, the Western Australia Police hereby advise the below mentioned vehicles are subject to pending applications to have them impounded or confiscated.

W.A. Registration: 1HZQ264
Vehicle: Ford Falcon
Magistrates Court: Fremantle

W.A. Registration: 1HNM322
Vehicle: Ford Falcon
Magistrates Court: Armadale

Any person with an interest in any of these vehicles who wishes to make a submission to the Court regarding the application to impound or confiscate the vehicles is advised to contact the relevant Magistrates Court Registry.

Col BLANCH
Commissioner of Police

TRANSFER OF LAND ACT 1893

APPLICATION P418052

Take notice that BRIAN ARTHUR HANSEN, as Executor of the Will of Valda Joy Hansen who died on 09.09.2021 has made application to be registered under the Act as proprietor of an estate in fee simple in possession in the Land situated at 31 Macartney Street York in all of that Land being Part York Town Lot 207 & Part York Town Lot 208 on Deposited Plan 223263 containing 1821 square metres more or less ("the Land") being the whole of the Land comprised in Memorial Book XXVII No.310.

ALL PERSONS other than the applicant claiming any estate right title or interest in the above Land and desiring to object to the application are required to lodge with Landgate on or before 24 December 2024 a caveat forbidding the Land being brought under the operation of the Act.

BRUCE ROBERTS

REGISTRAR OF TITLES
27 November 2024

DECEASED ESTATES

DECEASED ESTATE Michael Brendon John Boswell, late of 34 Stevens Road, High Wycombe, aged care worker.

Creditors and other persons having claims (to which section 63 of the Trustees Act 1962 relates) in respect of the estate of the deceased, who died on 8 August 2023 are required by the executor, Brendon James Butcher of C/- Hall and Wilcox, Level 19, 108 St Georges Terrace, Perth 6000 to send particulars of their claims to him by no later than one month from the date of this advertisement after which date the executor may convey or distribute the assets, having regard only to the claims of which he then has notice.

TRUSTEES ACT 1962
DECEASED ESTATES
Notice to Creditors and Claimants

DORIS MAY HILL, late of 67 Mermaid Avenue, Emu Point, Western Australia, deceased.
Creditors and other persons having claims (to which Section 63 of the Trustees Act 1962 relates) in respect of the estate of the said deceased person who died on 24 April 2024 are required by the Executor of the deceased's estate being **PETER DAVID JOHN HILL** care of Bechelet & Co, 1/34 The Crescent, Midland, Western Australia, to send particulars of their claims to him within one month of publication of this advertisement, after which date the Executor may convey or distribute the assets having regard only to the claims of which he then has notice.

TRUSTEES ACT 1962
DECEASED ESTATES
Notice to Creditors and Claimants

Johannes Cornelis Affourtit, late of 24 Red Poll Court Lower Chittering Western Australia, Boilermaker/Welder deceased.
Creditors and other persons having claims (to which Section 63 of the Trustees Act 1962 relates) in respect of the estate of the deceased, who died on 28th March 2024, are required by the Executor of the estate, Sonia Affourtit of 3b Boyce Road Balcatta to send particulars of their claims to her within 1 month of publication of this Notice after which date the Executor may convey or distribute the assets having regard only to the claims of which she then has notice.

REAL ESTATE

Accommodation General

BOARD & RESIDENCE

LANGFORD Rm $170pw f/furn quiet, retirees welcome, WiFi. Foxtel cxn **Ph 0432 639 242**

ROOMS AND FLATS

BURSWOOD
Room for Rent. Furnished. $250 p/w **0416 868 876**

ROOMS TO LET North Perth
Fr $180pw + $100 key deposit. **Charles Hotel 9444 1051**

FOR SALE

Caravans and Camping

MOTOR HOMES

ROSA 2010 Auto Camper. Drive on car licence. Looks/drives As New! Morley $43,000 0448 914 411

Pets and Livestock

PETS

SPOODLES FOR SALE
Male and female golden and apricot/white Spoodles, available from mid Jan. **$3500**
Contact Liz 0449 220 544
in Spearwood

The Meeting Place

INTRODUCTIONS

WANTED LADY 60+ must be fit & energetic to accompany gentleman on tour of the NW of WA, outback camping, driver to act as co pilot. **Phone 0437 427 099**

Personal

GENERAL

AAAAAA ASIAN BEAUTIES Quality Asian Girls 18+ In/Out ♥ Jane ♥ Frem 0450 889 568

AAAAAA Delightful Massage ♥ Gorgeous Asian ♥ Priv Tuart Hill ★ 0435 291 978

AAAAAA JAP New 2 Armadale Hot 23y Sexy Bikini Perfect Toy Great F/S **0405 919 311**

● AAAAAA New 2 DIANELLA ●
● HOT PRIV F/S ★ No Text ●
● $50 LUCY ★ 0452 388 960 ●

AAAAAA NEW to Ballajura 25yo Pretty Lovely Japan Gd Full Serve **0432 585 328**

AAAAAA NEW TO HILLARYS Sweet Busty Pretty Friendly Gd Serv + Mass **0460 969 427**

AAAAAA ★ Quality Girls ★ 18+ Luxury Rooms ★ Best Service **BELMONT 0430 089 289**

AAAAAA ★ SEXY KOREAN STUNNING DANCER ★ F/S Morley ★ I/O ★ **0452 566 780**

AAAAA KELMSCOTT HONEY Pretty Friendly Sexy Busty Fun Mass + More **0433 178 828**

AAAAA NEW IN MALAGA Hot Sexy Part Time Single Mum Gd ★ Full Serv **0451 207 088**

AAAAA NEW TO APPLECROSS Busty Sexy friendly, Good Fserv + Mass **0414 673 498**

AAAAA NEW TO MORLEY Pretty Busty Sexy Mature Full Svc Mass **0406 621 964**

AAAAA NEW TO QUEENS PK Pretty Lovely Friendly Mature GD Full Serv. **0424 157 355**

AAAAA RIVERVALE BEAUTY Hot Busty Sexy Friendly Sweet Gd Serv + Mass **0467 855 431**

AAAAA ROCKINGHAM BUSTY 40DD Pretty Malaysia/Korea **Gd F/S ★ Mass 0406 565 125**

AAAA BELLA HOT AUSSIE BLONDE 35yrs, Slim, Mo/You **0411 884 713** Innaloo

AAAA DD+ Busty Jap Gd F/S & Kisses. From $70 **ASHFIELD 0404 770 124**

AAAA LATHLAIN BEST MASS Prof. Magic Hands Relaxation Prostate Mass **0484 051 105**

AAA Asian Barbie B2B Massage Gorgeous Bikini Model **Tuart Hill Priv 0432 562 353**

GENERAL

TWINS

AAA Asian Sisters Young 20yo 21yo Slim Busty Long Hair Priv In/Out **0405 411 775** 24 hrs

AAA Busty Mature Sexy $60 FS Lingerie Eftpos **0493 054 999**

AAA New 2 Wanneroo Korean Lady Gentle lovely Greek Sz 6, In/Out **0431 845 527** 24 hrs

AAA Single Mum ★ Financial Crisis ★ Only Work Short Period I/O 0452 573 460

AAA STRAP-ON ★ Dress Up Gorgeous Boobs Perfect Body Toys Osb/Pk Priv **9440 4796**

adarose.com.au
Open Mon–Sat ★ 4pm–Late
PH 0477 793 405

★ A MASSAGE Petite Thai, fully shaven, size 6, nude fun. Noranda **0457 348 171**

A MASSAGE VICTORIA PARK
★★ *OPEN 7 DAYS* ★★
Unit 2, Cnr 915 Albany Hwy
0424 324 124

A 100% Real Photo Top Serv Sexy Asian Girl 21yo No Rush In/Outcall **0426 035 233**

AUNTY CANDY Soft stroke 4 Kind blokes My place **0414 715 210**

BEECHBORO
Sonia 27 Japan HWife C Cup Fm $80 **0468 371 053**

BELMONT *Erika 25yo Greek Queen Sz 8 D* Fm $100 **0456 829 728**

BURSWOOD / VIC PARK
Clara Taiwan 27yo C cup Sz8 Fm $80 **0468 444 650**

CANNING VALE *Achara Thai 21yo, D Cup, Sz 6* Fm $100 **0468 485 371**

HOT SEXY YOUNG 18+ ASIAN $70 Nude body massage F/S Vic Park **0450 545 528**

MALE WAXING
Facials, Clipping & Hair Cuts Joseph 0412 448 160 – 7 days

MASSAGE NUDE AND BUSTY Riverton, No Txt. Call Toya on **0478 141 135 $120**

MASSAGE TO REMEMBER Male 2 Male **Mandurah 0417 907 076**

PHOENIX Massage & Foot Spa ★ Relaxing & Very Gd Massage 211 Bulwer St Prh **0451 434 991**

WestWHEELS

PRIVATE CAR SALES

Sell your car with a colour picture for only $30.50* for 3 insertions!

example only

HOLDEN TRAX - 2013
6 speed auto, 80,000kms, Rear View Camera, Air Cond, power window, Bluetooth.
$25,000 Phone: 0400 000 000

*Conditions: Available to private advertisers only. Offer is for 3 insertions. Additional lines are permitted at $5.50 per line per insertion. Available in all Motoring Classifications.

CALL NOW
13 22 80
Classifieds

Figure 1-5: Koori Mail – 4 December 2024

Join Gudjagang Gulgul

The NSW Department of Education is seeking Expressions of Interest (EOI) from Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander early childhood professionals and community members for the 2025-26 Gudjagang Gulgul committee.

Gudjagang Gulgul provides an opportunity for Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander voices to oversee and guide the work of our key early childhood education initiatives.

The EOI closes 18 December 2024

Apply now!

education.nsw.gov.au/gudjagang-gulgul

NSW

Lifeline

13 11 14

For all your advertising needs

email: advertising@koorimail.com

NOTICE OF PROPOSED GRANT OF EXPLORATION LICENCES

NATIVE TITLE ACT 1993 (CTH) SECTION 29

The Honourable Gerard Maley MLA, the Northern Territory Minister for Mining and Energy, C/- Department of Mining and Energy, GPO Box 4550 DARWIN NT 0801, hereby gives notice in accordance with section 29 of the *Native Title Act 1993* (Commonwealth) of his intent to do an act, namely to grant the following exploration licence applications.

Applications to which this notice applies:

Exploration Licence 34022 sought by AUDAX HOLDINGS PTY LTD, ACN 678 403 864 over an area of 8 Blocks (26 km²) depicted below for a term of 6 years, within the QUARTZ locality.



Not To Scale — NMIG Map Sheet No: 5951

Exploration Licence 34020 sought by BAUDIN RESOURCES PTY LTD, ACN 618 455 593 over an area of 36 Blocks (117 km²) depicted below for a term of 6 years, within the MITCHIEBO locality.



Not To Scale — NMIG Map Sheet No: 6360

Exploration Licence 34021 sought by BAUDIN RESOURCES PTY LTD, ACN 618 455 593 over an area of 85 Blocks (275 km²) depicted below for a term of 6 years, within the LULU locality.



Not To Scale — NMIG Map Sheet No: 6359

Exploration Licence 34023 sought by PATRICK BOSCHMANS GUNDERSEN over an area of 5 Blocks (16 km²) depicted below for a term of 6 years, within the JERVOIS RANGE locality.



Not To Scale — NMIG Map Sheet No: 6152

Exploration Licence 33985 sought by MOONLIGHT RESOURCES PTY LTD, ACN 678 095 273 over an area of 102 Blocks (311 km²) depicted below for a term of 6 years, within the NARWIETOOMA locality.



Not To Scale — NMIG Map Sheet No: 5451

Exploration Licence 34013 sought by TRACHIRE PTY LTD, ACN 629 914 656 over an area of 229 Blocks (644 km²) depicted below for a term of 6 years, within the WATERHOUSE locality.



Not To Scale — NMIG Map Sheet No: 5569

Nature of act(s): The grant of an exploration licence under the *Mineral Titles Act 2010* authorises the holder to conduct activities in connection with exploration for minerals for a term not exceeding 6 years and to seek renewal(s). The term for which it is intended to grant the mineral exploration licences referred to in this notice commences from the date of grant. Further information about the act may be obtained from the Department of Mining and Energy, GPO Box 4550 Darwin NT 0801 or Centrepoint Building 48-50 Smith Street Darwin NT 0800, telephone (08) 8999 5322.

Native Title Parties: Any person who is, or becomes a "native title party" within the meaning of the *Native Title Act 1993* is entitled to the negotiation and/or procedural rights provided in Part 2, Division 3, Subdivision P of the *Native Title Act 1993*. Under section 30 of the *Native Title Act 1993*, persons have until 3 months after the notification day to take certain steps to become native title parties in relation to this notice. Enquiries concerning becoming a native title party should be directed to the National Native Title Tribunal, GPO Box 9973, Brisbane QLD 4001, or telephone (07) 3307 5000.

Expedited Procedure: The Northern Territory Government considers that the acts are acts attracting the expedited procedure as defined in section 237 of the *Native Title Act 1993*. The exploration licences referred to in this notice may be granted unless an objection is made by a native title party to the statement that the act is one which attracts the expedited procedure. Such an objection must be made to the National Native Title Tribunal within 4 months of the notification day.

Notification Day: 4 December 2024

Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment: Invitation to Register Interest

Parramatta Riverside Theatres - Parramatta

On behalf of the trustees of the City of Parramatta Council (the proponent), Curio Projects (heritage consultants) are commencing Aboriginal community consultation for the for the Riverside Theatres Redevelopment Project (the study area, see map below), located at 351-353 Church Street, bordered by Parramatta River to the south, Church Street to the east, Market Street to the north and Marsden Street to the west. The Riverside Theatres sit within the City of Parramatta Council LGA.

The SSDA will seek consent for the design, construction and operation of the redeveloped Riverside Theatres. Specifically, approval will be sought for:

- Site preparation works, including site services and infrastructure works, earthworks and the erection of site protection hoardings and fencing.
- Retention of the existing 760-seat Drama (Riverside) Theatre and demolition of all remaining buildings on the site.
- Construction of new front of house foyer spaces.
- Construction of new theatre spaces.
- Refurbishment of interiors to the 760 seat Drama (Riverside) Theatre.
- Construction of a new loading dock facility with access from Marsden Street.
- Construction of back of house spaces.
- Landscaping and public domain works.

Figure 1: Site boundary for project area. Source: Arup

The purpose of this community consultation with Aboriginal people is to aid in the preparation of an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report (ACHAR) in accordance with the NSW National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974.

Community consultation is being undertaken to assist the assessment of cultural significance of the study area. This notification is being undertaken in accordance with Section 4.1.2 of the Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) and the Aboriginal cultural heritage requirements for proponents 2010.

Curio Projects invite Aboriginal people who hold cultural knowledge relevant to determining the significance of Aboriginal objects(s) and/or places in the area to register an interest in a process of community consultation.

Please note that when you register an interest in this project your details will be forwarded to Heritage NSW and Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council, unless you specify that you would not like your details released.

Please forward registrations to Curio Projects no later than 18 December 2024 via phone, email or mail to:

Daisy Cutcliffe
consultation@curioprojects.com.au
(02) 8014 9800
Curio Projects
Suite 3.01/Level 3 249 Pitt Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Wandoo Field
Environment Plans Consultation
SEEKING RELEVANT PERSONS

VERMILION ENERGY

Vermilion is seeking to consult with relevant persons whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on exploring for and developing oil and gas from the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m - 60m.

Our Activities

Vermilion wishes to continue developing and producing from its existing exploration permit WA-14-L. The Wandoo Field currently operates under existing accepted Environmental Plans for both production and well construction.

However, Vermilion is preparing two Environment Plans for submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA).

Relevant Person Information

Learn more about who is a relevant person to be consulted, the environment that may be affected by the proposed activities, and the proposed control measures on our website.

Project Location

Your Feedback

If you think you may be a relevant person, please contact us to discuss consultation or provide feedback at the below channels.

We are asking relevant persons to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

For More Information

Email: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

To visit our website, scan the QR code

www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

Figure 1-6: Mid-West Times – 4 December 2024

Car chase leads to jail term

MATTHEW PADDICK

A 33-year-old man has been jailed for a year over a two-hour police chase that started in Geraldton and ended half-way to Perth.

Zak Rodney Cleminson appeared in Geraldton Magistrates Court via video link from Greenough Prison on Friday for sentencing, after pleading guilty to five charges last month, including driving a vehicle that failed to comply with a direction to stop, reckless driving in a confiscation zone to escape police pursuit, using an unlicensed vehicle on a road, obscene acts in public and possessing a prohibited drug.

Police said on Wednesday, November 6 about 1.30pm, Cleminson drove a Holden Commodore sedan without displaying number plates, when police in an unmarked car tried to flag him down. He drove through several back roads in Geraldton, up to 60km/h above the speed limit and weaving in and out of traffic.

Cleminson then dropped a passenger off in Wandina, before setting off towards Dongara, reaching 140km/h at times. Police used a tyre deflation device to deflate his front-right tyre. Cleminson made it as far as Badgingarra, about 225km south of Geraldton.

Police say he attempted to inject drugs into his arm when officers approached him.

Cleminson was also sentenced for exposing himself in front of a teenage girl in Midland in April.

In regard to the chase, Cleminson said he was being threatened by the passenger not to pull over, but conceded he was "off my head" on methamphetamine.

Magistrate Kelly Thompson said drugs had an underlying role in Cleminson's mental health condition.

Cleminson was sentenced to 12 months, made eligible for parole, given a $600 fine, and had his licence disqualified for two years.

Heritage buildings boost

MATTHEW PADDICK

A church and a military museum in the Mid West have received funding from the State Government to help future-proof the heritage sites for years to come.

St John the Baptist Anglican Church in Dongara received $50,000 as part of the WA Government's Heritage Grants Program, which aims to protect and conserve heritage sites across the State.

The money will go towards damp remediation, drainage works and structural works.

Agricultural Region MLC Sandra Carr said the contribution was significant for the church.

"St John the Baptist Anglican Church, Dongara, is a significant and valued piece of the Shire of Irwin's history," she said.

"This funding will help preserve important local history so it can be enjoyed by the community now and into the future."

Geraldton's Birdwood House.

Geraldton's Birdwood House was given a grant of $34,844 to help with repairs.

These include masonry repairs, damp remediation and a conservation management plan.

The museum features military artefacts, including weapons, medals and letters.

The building also hosts the Geraldton RSL and Anzac Day services each year.

St John the Baptist church in Dongara. Picture: Churches Australia

VERMILION ENERGY

Wandoo Field
Environment Plans Consultation
SEEKING RELEVANT PERSONS

Vermilion is seeking to consult with relevant persons whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on exploring for and developing oil and gas from the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m - 60m.

Our Activities

Vermilion wishes to continue developing and producing from its existing exploration permit WA-14-L. The Wandoo Field currently operates under existing accepted Environmental Plans for both production and well construction.

However, Vermilion is preparing two Environment Plans for submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA).

Relevant Person Information

Learn more about who is a relevant person to be consulted, the environment that may be affected by the proposed activities, and the proposed control measures on our website.

Project Location

Your Feedback

If you think you may be a relevant person, please contact us to discuss consultation or provide feedback at the below channels.

We are asking relevant persons to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

For More Information
Email: etu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com
To visit our website, scan the QR code

www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

HARRINGTON DRILLING

Groundwater specialists based in Geraldton Midwest and surrounding areas.

Rig capabilities include:

- RAB
- Air-Core
- Mud Rotary
- DHH

Contact us today – 0429 372 906

161 Edward Road, Moonyoonooka, GERALDTON

Figure 1-7: North-West Telegraph – 4 December 2024

HEDLAND well women's CENTRE

DECEMBER

Connecting, empowering and advocating for women in a safe place

We would like to thank Pharmacy 777 for their ongoing support of the Well Women's Centre!

Regular Programs

Mums with Bumps and Bubs – Every Monday morning 9am – 11am
Creative Connections – Every Tuesday morning (during school term) 9.30am – 11.30am
Community Morning Tea – Resuming next year.
Cooking Up a Storm – Resuming next year.
Cancer Peer Support Group – Resuming next year.
Cancer Support Lunch – Resuming next year.

Health Services

NEW Women's GP Telehealth Service
Mental Health Support
Women's Health Clinic
Advocacy Support
Life Coaching
Cancer Support
Women's Cancer Rehab Physio

Appointments are essential and can be made directly on our website https://wellwomens.com.au/ or call us on 9140 1124

Whether you need a listening ear or information, the Well Women's Centre is your Home Away From Home.

Free Legal Clinic

Thursday 5th December

Women's Legal Service WA's dedicated Pilbara team will be at the Well Women's Centre offering free legal assistance and advocacy, as well as social work assistance for women in need of support.

Please call us on 9140 1124 to make an appointment.

9140 1124

2B Leake Street, SOUTH HEDLAND 6722

www.wellwomens.com.au *info@wellwomens.com.au*

HEDLAND well women's CENTRE
"Health and Well-being for all Women"

Media Partner Pharmacy 777

4852926-19

Premier offers $150m in bid to bolster lithium

JESSICA PAGE & ADRIAN RAUSO

Premier Roger Cook has announced a $150 million rescue package for WA lithium miners to ride out the price collapse — but companies will have to prove they can pay back assistance.

"We're not bailing mining companies out, we're providing them with short-term (assistance) to get through a period of being challenged because of the global markets," Mr Cook said.

The struggling industry was given the heads-up that relief would be forthcoming last month after Mineral Resources announced it would mothball its Bald Hill operations in the Goldfields, not long after Rio Tinto's Mt Cattlin operation — also located in the Goldfields — was shuttered.

Lithium miners in a project ramp-up phase will be given relief for electricity and water costs, port fees and mining tenement fees, which will be waived for up to 24 months to the value of $9.4 million. This will benefit Liontown Resources, which recently scaled back expansion plans at its Kathleen Valley mine north-east of Perth.

Struggling miners will also be offered access to a $50 million interest-free loan facility to sustain production. But only for two years or until the spodumene price recovers to $US1100 a tonne.

S&P Global data shows the price of lithium-rich spodumene concentrate — a key ingredient in lithium-ion batteries and other devices — has fallen from $US8000 a tonne to less than $US900 a tonne within the past two years.

Downstream lithium processors, which comprises IGO, Albemarle and China's Tianqi, will have government fees temporarily waived for up to two years, equating to a total value of $90 million. "Our lithium industry is crucial to my Government's plan to diversify the economy, to decarbonise the economy," Mr Cook said.

"Our lithium miners are internationally competitive. They're efficient and they're agile . . . despite the industry's strength, it is struggling at the moment as a result of global market conditions."

Mr Cook said companies will have to pass due diligence to access any Government loans, in the wake of the recent tax evasion scandal to hit Mineral Resources boss Chris Ellison.

"Obviously we need to be convinced that these companies are operating in a manner which gives us the confidence to enter into a financial assistance agreement with them," he said.

"We will make sure we do our due diligence in relation to any financial assistance payment for any company."

Wandoo Field
Environment Plans Consultation
SEEKING RELEVANT PERSONS

VERMILION ENERGY

Vermilion is seeking to consult with relevant persons whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on exploring for and developing oil and gas from the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m - 60m.

Our Activities

Vermilion wishes to continue developing and producing from its existing exploration permit WA-14-L. The Wandoo Field currently operates under existing accepted Environmental Plans for both production and well construction.

However, Vermilion is preparing two Environment Plans for submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA).

Relevant Person Information

Learn more about who is a relevant person to be consulted, the environment that may be affected by the proposed activities, and the proposed control measures on our website.

Project Location

Your Feedback

If you think you may be a relevant person, please contact us to discuss consultation or provide feedback at the below channels.

We are asking relevant persons to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

For More Information

Email: etu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

To visit our website, scan the QR code

www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

Figure 1-8: The West Australian – 10 December 2024

Public Notices

GENERAL

PROPOSAL TO UPGRADE A MOBILE PHONE BASE STATION MONOPOLE AT 173 CANNING ROAD KARRAGULLEN WA 6111 (near intersection of Littelys and Canning Road)

Telstra is proposing to upgrade the existing mobile phone infrastructure at the above address to allow for the introduction of 5G to Telstra's network (and reconfiguration of existing technologies if required).

- The proposal consists of the addition of NR850 (5G technologies). Also included technologies LTE700 (4G)
- Six (6) panel antenna
- Six (6) tower mounted amplifiers (TMA)
- The removal of redundant equipment including six (6) antenna
- Ancillary works may be necessary for the safety and functioning of the existing mobile phone base station and may include antenna mounts, signage, conduits, cables, maintenance and safety equipment.

Telstra regards the proposed installation as a Low-impact Facility under the Telecommunications (Low impact Facilities) Determination 2018 ("The Determination") based on the description above.

In accordance with Section 7 of the C564:2020 Mobile Phone Base Station Deployment Code we invite you to provide feedback about the proposal. Requests should be directed to Petra Patrocinor, on behalf of Telstra, at telco@petrapatrocinor.com; Contact No: 0421 699 780.

Further information can be obtained at www.rfnsa.com.au by entering RFNSA no. 0111003. The closing date for all submissions is the COB 5pm 13 January 2025.

Cyient Australia Pty Ltd ABN 79 601669327 Telstra Limited ACN 086 174 781

GENERAL

ROAD TRAFFIC ACT 1974

SECTIONS 80G (3)(E) AND 80G (4)(B)

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MAKE APPLICATION TO A COURT FOR AN ORDER TO IMPOUND/ CONFISCATE A VEHICLE

Pursuant to the Road Traffic Act 1974, the Western Australia Police hereby advise the below mentioned vehicles are subject to pending applications to have them impounded or confiscated.

W.A. Registration: 1ESB712
Vehicle: Mitsubishi Lancer
Magistrates Court: Fitzroy Crossing

W.A. Registration: 1DWG562
Vehicle: Hyundai I45
Magistrates Court: Armadale

W.A. Registration: 1GAT198
Vehicle: Ford Fiesta
Magistrates Court: Perth

W.A. Registration: 1HKQ082
Vehicle: MG SA523
Magistrates Court: Perth

Any person with an interest in any of these vehicles who wishes to make a submission to the Court regarding the application to impound or confiscate the vehicles is advised to contact the relevant Magistrates Court Registry.

Col BLANCH
Commissioner of Police

THE WEST CLASSIFIEDS
Be it Motors, Real Estate, Employment, For Sale, a Birthday wish, Engagement announcement or whatever. We can help you advertise it. Give yourself the best chance. It's easy
Call 13 22 80

Personal

GENERAL

AAAAAA ASIAN BEAUTIES
Quality Asian Girls 18+ In/Out
★ Yoli ★ From 0450 889 568

AAAAAA Lathiain Lynda
Amazing hands Prostate Mass Perfect body 0451 810 765

★ AAAAAA New 2 DIANELLA ★
★ HOT PRIV F/S + No Text ★
★ $50 LUCY ★ 0452 388 960 ★

AAAAAA NEW to Ballajura
25yo Pretty Lovely Japan Gd Full Serve 0432 585 328

AAAAAA ★ Quality Girls ★ 18+
Luxury Rooms ★ Best Service
BELMONT 0430 089 289

AAAAA NEW IN MALAGA
Hot Sexy Part Time Single Mum
Gd ★ Full Serv 0451 207 088

AAAAAA NEW TO APPLECROSS
Busty Sexy friendly Good F/serv + Mass 0414 673 498

AAAAA NEW TO MORLEY
Pretty Busty Sexy Mature
Full Svc Mass 0406 621 964

AAAAAA NEW TO QUEENS PK
Pretty Lovely Friendly Mature
GD Full Serv. 0424 157 385

AAAAA ROCKINGHAM BUSTY
40DD Pretty Malaysia/Korea
Greek Gd F/S 0406 565 125

AAAA LATHLAIN BEST MASS
Prof. Magic Hands. Relaxation
Prostate Mass 0484 051 105

GENERAL

AAA Asian Barbie B2B Massage
Gorgeous Bikini Model
Tuart Hill Priv 0432 562 353

TWINS
AAA Asian Sisters Young 20yo 21yo Slim Busty Long Hair Priv In/Out 0405 411 775 24 hrs

AAA Sexy Busty
$60 FS Yokine Eftpos
0403 034 990

AAA STRAP-ON ★ Dress Up
Gorgeous Boobs Perfect Body
Toys Ostr/Pk Priv 9440 4799

AA MALE WAXING
Facials, Clipping & Hair Cuts
Como JOSEPH 0412 446 160

★ A MASSAGE Petite Thai,
fully shaven, size 6, nude Tun.
Nonanda 0457 348 171

A 100% Real Photo Top Serv
Sexy Asian Girl 21yo No Rush
In/Outcall 0426 035 233

GOOD MASSAGE $50
Taiwan Model Pretty Sz8
Beckenham 0426 558 200

HOT SEXY YOUNG 18+ ASIAN
$70 Nude body massage
F/S Vic Park 0450 545 528

MALE 2 Male, V/well hung Experienced Adventurous 4U 7 Days Steve 0400 180 755

★ MASSAGE ★ A1 CLASS ★
By Mature English Blonde
Carol 0458 220 815 E.V.Prk

PHOENIX Massage & Foot Spa
★ Relaxing & Very Gd Massage
211 Bulwer St Ph 0451 434 991

VERMILION ENERGY

Wandoo Field
Environment Plans Consultation
SEEKING RELEVANT PERSONS

Vermilion is seeking to consult with relevant persons whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on exploring for and developing oil and gas from the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m - 60m.

Our Activities

Vermilion wishes to continue developing and producing from its existing exploration permit WA-14-L. The Wandoo Field currently operates under existing accepted Environmental Plans for both production and well construction.

However, Vermilion is preparing two Environment Plans for submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA).

Relevant Person Information

Learn more about who is a relevant person to be consulted, the environment that may be affected by the proposed activities, and the proposed control measures on our website.

Project Location

Your Feedback

If you think you may be a relevant person, please contact us to discuss consultation or provide feedback at the below channels.

We are asking relevant persons to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

For More Information
Email: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com
To visit our website, scan the QR code

www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

CALL NOW!



Whether you want to buy it or sell it, we can help you.

The West Classifieds 13 22 80

It's easy. Call now!

Inspire the artist in you, read the
Arts Directory
Art Courses Exhibitions
Galleries Artists Choirs Galleries Jazz
Choirs Art Courses Jazz Concerts Choirs
Exhibitions Art Courses Artists
In the
The West Australian

DEMON ENDS YEAR ON HIGH

LONDON

Alex de Minaur wrapped his landmark year with one last illuminating and lucrative triumph in an all-singing, all-dancing rock 'n' roll version of the sport at the Ultimate Tennis Showdown grand final in London.

Played in front of a noisy full-house at the old Olympic "Copper Box" venue in east London with lights flashing and music blasting, "The Demon" seemed inspired to deliver some of his best stuff as he beat Danish star Holger Rune in the final of the eight-man tournament on Sunday. Played over four eight-minute quarters with only one serve per point and barely any time between points, de Minaur, right, appeared to thrive in this manically abbreviated, unashamedly youth-oriented version of the game as he won all five of his matches over three days.

Swearing that the format, packed with pressure points, was perfect to help him in his preparations for the Australian Open in the new year, he may also have had his head turned by earning a small fortune for his week's work — total prizemoney of $1.2 million.

Over the three days, de Minaur beat Rune, Jan-Lennard Struff, Alexander Bublik, Gael Monfils and then Rune again in the final on his way to the crown.

"It definitely has been the best season yet, but I don't think it's going to be the best season of my career," he said post-match. "I want to keep on improving. I want to keep on getting better."

Kyrgios return an enigma: Rafter

Tennis great Pat Rafter says he won't be surprised if Nick Kyrgios makes the Australian Open semifinals.

Nor will Rafter be shocked if the former Wimbledon finalist flops and crashes out in the first round in his much-anticipated major return at Melbourne Park month.

Australia's former Davis Cup captain believes it's impossible to predict how his ex-charge will fare on his competitive return after more than two years out with serious wrist and knee injuries.

"You'd never write anyone off with the talent that Nick has. It's pretty amazing," Rafter said. "I really don't know what he's done, though. A year and a half off the game . . . so it's going to be interesting. I have no expectations or no understanding about how he is going to go."

Summary

Giannis Antetokounmpo of the Milwaukee Bucks dives for the ball in his team's 118-113 win over the Brooklyn Nets.

Basketball

NBA: Philadelphia 108. Chicago 100; Milwaukee 118, Brooklyn 113; Charlotte 113, Indiana 109; Denver 141, Atlanta 111; Orlando 115, Phoenix 110; Memphis 140, Washington 112; San Antonio 121, New Orleans 116; Golden State 114, Minnesota 106; Sacramento 141, Utah 97; Houston 117, LA. Clippers 106; Miami 122, Cleveland 113; LA Lakers 107, Portland 98.

Boating

Maylands: Club Championship Heat 3: Mirrors: 1. 2Pudd (Doug & Sage). 2. Mama Mia (Matilda & Richard). 3. Storm (Nathan & Orson). Sabres: 1. Kalibo (R Stoegen). 2. Way 2 Go (S Underwood). 3. Gold (S Rose). Lasers: 1. Turbo III (T Gaunt Jnr). 2. Water Taxi (R Hermans). 3. Stuffabout (J Toonen).

Bowls

Albany: Men's Sets Pairs: 1st: C Bahr , D Kempin 2nd: J Vanderven, B Retallack Plate: R Plant, K Battison 2nd: M Heberle, M McGuire

Central Stirling Bowling League: Ladies Pennants Rd 6 at Jerramungup. Gnowangerup (4) M Wellstead 28 def Ongerup (0) R Harris 9 . Jerramungup (4) R Lee 22 def Tambellup (0) P Rosendaal 15 .

Middleton Beach: Wednesday Social 1st K Richardson-Newton, J Brown. C Moir 2+16 2nd J Jarvis, K Pascoe 1 Radys 2+15 3rd R Stanley, G Davison, K Rendell 2+7 Middleton Beach Friday Twilight 1st T North, B Jefferis, J Hill,J Radys 1+10 2nd L Holt, G Davison P Ramsden 1+7 Men's Pennants 1st Div Mid Beach Gold 62 Def Denmark 59 5-1 1st Div Mid Beach Green 58 Def Emu Point Purple 50 5-1 1st Div Mid Beach Black 57 Def Albany Gold 57 3.5-2.5 2nd Div Mid Beach White 58 Def Albany Green 57 5-1 3rd Div Mid Beach Lime 66 lost to Greenrange 76 1-5

Mosman Park: Men's Triples Championship. Winners S. Swanson, D. Adams, J.Adams

Safety Bay: Men's Monday Pairs. 1. B Mouton, J Sime. 2. R Dickens, M Syme. Wed. . I. G Heathcote, B Shackles. 2. S Smith, B Maybury. Ladies Tuesday Bankers. L Cleary. 2. C Bell & A Minotti. Wednesday Pairs. 1. I Arndt, L Gandy. 2. R Clark, D Smith. Wed.. S Smith, P Smith. Thursday Mixed Bankers. 1. J Mulligan, P Harcus, D Haworth, R Dunn. 2. L Hind, M Minotti, H Roberts, M Gillott. Saturday Mixed Bankers. 1. L Hashman, T Martin, J Spalding, J Buchanan. N Cox, W Bramsen, T Spinks, T Angel.

Thornlie: Mens Pairs championship Winners A Payne and G Vaughan, R/Up M Forrester and D Slade. Plate Winners G Winter and R Carruthers R/Up F Radi and W Wendt Ladies Pairs Championship1 Winners J Forrester a G Daines R/Up T Fenwick and J Fielder Plate Winners M Yates and M Carruthers R/Up N Separovich and P Triner

Golf

Augusta: Scroungers S/F P Cunningham 20 points J Eddy 20 C Robertson 20 1 Offer 19 J Italiano 19 Zoran 19 J McGuire 19 L/P 2 J Swarbrick Golf Ball B Reay. Friday B Bowden 18 points M Munday 18 G Foster 17 B Brown17 H Gerritsen 17 N/P 11 Zoran L/P 18 J Ross Golf Ball K Bain Tuesday S/F C Jones 34 net M Russell 35 J McGuire 36 G Ball 37 J Italiano 38 G Foster 38 N/P 2 A Brown L/P S Nixon Golf Ball C Robertson Friday J Ross 20 points M Russell 18 J Butcher 18 J Altman 18 J Italiano 16 N/P J Butcher L/P M Russell Golf Ball M Munday. Saturday 2 Person Ambrose L Shephard &D Kenworthy 66,75 G Ball & R Jones 67 S Williams& B Brown 67.5 G Foster &R Nielson 68 A&K Brown 68.25 S Douglas & C Robertson 68.25. Sunday mixed S/F A Brown 36 points J Fenwick 35 J Eddy 34 Golf Ball L Shephard.

Dongara: Saturday L Mitchell 37pts, V Gatfield 34pts, P Blair 34pts, NTP #11 P Blair. Sunday D Blade 38pts, D Broad 34pts, R Bradley 31pts.- Mens S Hodgman 34pts. D Giltrow 33pts, P Bradley 33pts, I Rose 33 Pts. NTP #3 Best 2nd R Parsons, #5 R Drummond, H Duane, #11 R Parsons, S Hodgman, #14 P Bradley, R Parsons.

Mandurah: Par C Evans +9, D Winkless +5, W Bullard +3, D Griffiths +2, P Price +2, E Pankhurst +1, S Pope +1, G McCarney +1, K Brandis +1, S Roney +1, D Taylor +1, S Muir +1, L Lasek 0, R Lock 0, A Bryant 0, W Chatfield 0, G Lawton 0, M Fyfe 0, G Wood 0, D Litchfield 0, N Renouf 0, J Benbow -1, D Smith -1, R Francis -1, T Rasmussen -1, G Sieniszkiewicz -1, D Macdonald -3

Melville Glades: Tuesday Ladies Par: C Orr +5, V Khau +5, J Dagg +3, C Beaumont +2, R Bianchini +2, J Davies +1, R Cotton (Gosnells Golf Club) +1, L McVey +1, M Corbett 0, A Jackson 0, P McHugh 0, A Hoy 0. 9 Holes: J O'Meara +2, D West +1, D Banfield +1, J Spencer +1, C Robinson +1, E Dutton +1, M Kim 0, S Hitchcock 0, N Toth 0, C Cave 0, S Van Der Brugghen 0. Wednesday Mens AM Stableford: G Crerie 39, M Thomas 39, K Lumsden 37, R Graham 37, M Gillespie 37, S Hird 37, M Bowles 36, M Anderson 36, S Corney 36 Wednesday Mens PM Stableford: J Gilmour 41, J Lopes 40, D Ball 40, N Kennedy 39, S Goodsell 38, B More 37, P Pereira 37, V Ristovichs 37, M Warburton 37, G Ammon 36, M Downes 36, D Hitchcock 36, D Warburton 36 Thursday Ladies Stableford: R Cotton 38, J Davies 36, J Park 36, P Graham 35, L Sinclair 34, A Jackson 34, S Kingston 34. 9 Holes: A Searles 20, G Wown 18, J Watters 18, N Katnic 17, J Maddox 17, S Van Der Brugghen 17, M O'Brien 16, C Robinson 16 Saturday Mens AM Stableford: H Harris 42, C Finnie 40, C Son 40, K Lumsden 39, K Adey 38, J Adams 38, K Bakker 38, Q Hess 38, R Brownbill 37, S Reid 37, K Harper 37, J Ranford 37, E Ee 37, B Thomas 37, J Matthewson 37. I. Wallace 37 Saturday Mens PM Stableford: D Davies 41, P Tan 41, F Davies 41, M Jackson 40, B Woodward 40, A Clark 40, B Catterall 40, S Gill 39, S Morgan 39, R Lasrado 39, S Runkle 38, L Nuttall 38, D Dyer 38, C Spalding 38, M Rossi 37, K Foley 37, R Lusardi 37, G Watson 37, B More 37, P McHugh 37. D Warburton 37, D Owen 37. Saturday Ladies Stableford: C Orr 38, A Lee 36, R Burd 36.

Wembley: Westside GC: Stableford: D Cox 41; Guy Evans 39; E Teune 39; T Waddle 37; A Gilmour 36; T Kennedy 36

Westaviat: Stableford - G Wenn 39; W Cooper 36, T Baker 36, L West 35, S Baker 34, P Marshall 34, R Weppner 34, P Cooper 33, S Fyduniw 32, M Hutch 32, D Adams 32, W Eberhard32, P Bowditch 30.

Gridiron

NFL: Seahawks 26 Jets 21; VIKINGS 23, CARDINALS 22; STEELERS 44, BENGALS 38; CHARGERS 17, FALCONS 13; COLTS 25, PATRIOTS 24; TEXANS 23, JAGUARS 20; COMMANDERS 42, TITANS 19; RAMS 21, SAINTS 14; EAGLES 24, RAVENS 19; BUCCANEERS 26, PANTHERS 23, OT: BILLS 35, 49ERS 10

Tennis

MundoTenis Open, Brazil: Women's Singles Semifinals: Maja Chwalinska (7), Poland, def. Leolia Jeanjean (8), France, 6-0, 6-3. Ylena In-Albon, Switzerland, def. Maria Carle (1), Argentina, 3-6, 7-6 (9), 6-2. **Women's Singles Championship:** Maja Chwalinska (7), Poland, def. Ylena In-Albon, Switzerland, 6-1, 6-2.

Open Angers Arena Loire, France: Women's Singles Championship: Alycia Parks (3), United States, def. Belinda Bencic, Switzerland, 7-6 (4), 3-6, 6-0. **Women's Doubles Championship:** Monica Niculescu and Elena-Gabriela Ruse (1), Romania, def. Belinda Bencic and Celine Naef, Switzerland, 6-3, 6-4.

Figure 1-9: Mid-West Times – 11 December 2024

The answer is in the detail

GARDENING
CHARLIE ALBONE

Sometimes you walk into an outdoor space to find it has all the things you love about a garden: a swimming pool, garden beds and lawn areas. Then there are gardens that have the same features but for some intangible reason it's next level, with extra feeling and atmosphere.

The difference between a nice garden and an outstanding one is that feeling of atmosphere, and this can be achieved without employing a landscape designer.

Start out by setting some boundaries. The parameters of a space set the tone for all that is held in between. When trying to create atmosphere everything inside these boundaries must be in the same theme to create impact. Larger gardens can be split up into "garden rooms". Be confident with your theme in a smaller space.

Hedges and green boundaries make for great backdrops. Clipped hedges suit formal gardens and loose mixtures of planting can be used for tropical relaxed affairs. Hard structures such as stone walls or pergolas will be more arresting on the eye and help focus you around a space.

The boundaries of your garden should also consider the space above your head. Do you want the sky open to the elements, or are you trying to create the feeling of a dining-room experience, where you have a built structure overhead?

Deciduous trees make for an amazing roof and a natural way to bring atmosphere to a space. You can train trees to be like a living pergola over a dining table, adding interest and difference to your garden.

A garden should be about all the senses, not just the visual. Adding fragrant flowers, edible plants, noisy water features and tactile surfaces — all draw you to a certain viewpoint in the garden. By having all of your senses put on alert you'll be more immersed in the garden space and the atmosphere will be heightened.

At night, use lighting to create drama and add an extra layer of atmosphere to the space. This can make the garden feel like a completely new space. When lighting a garden there will always be an element of practicality, such as steps and any trip points. Once this is taken care of, rather than thinking about lighting features, think about creating shadows off them. This will not only make the space look better, but it will make it more comfortable to be in. For entertaining spaces, fairy lights and festoon lighting will supply just enough light to make the space usable but not be too overwhelming.

Candlelight on tables adds a sense of romance and gives your garden a point of difference over other outdoor spaces. Placing a cluster of candles on a table is a lovely way to draw you outside.

If I had to have one trick for creating atmosphere for any size garden it would be an obsession with detail. Consider the scale and proportion of each feature element, how hard materials meet, how fixings are covered , the plant selection and how they grow together. Everything you think of should be cohesive with each and every element in the space. It's this level of detail that will take your garden from average to show-stopping.



A small outdoor area can be made to look stylish. Picture: Zphotography.com.au

Wandoo Field
Environment Plans Consultation
SEEKING RELEVANT PERSONS
VERMILION ENERGY

Vermilion is seeking to consult with relevant persons whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on exploring for and developing oil and gas from the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m - 60m.

Our Activities

Vermilion wishes to continue developing and producing from its existing exploration permit WA-14-L. The Wandoo Field currently operates under existing accepted Environmental Plans for both production and well construction.

However, Vermilion is preparing two Environment Plans for submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA).

Relevant Person Information

Learn more about who is a relevant person to be consulted, the environment that may be affected by the proposed activities, and the proposed control measures on our website.

Project Location

Your Feedback

If you think you may be a relevant person, please contact us to discuss consultation or provide feedback at the below channels.

We are asking relevant persons to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

For More Information
Email: etu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com
To visit our website, scan the QR code

www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

Head-turning Xmas cake

RECIPE

Impress your guests with this showstopping but easy Christmas cake.

Ingredients

3½ cups self-raising flour
1½ cups brown sugar
1 tbsp mixed spice
1 tbsp ground ginger
375g unsalted butter, chopped
6 eggs, beaten
finely grated rind and juice of 2 oranges
200g sour cream
410g jar fruit mince
For the brandy butter cream
375g unsalted butter, softened
1 tbsp vanilla bean paste
4½ cups icing sugar, sifted
½ cup brandy

Method

Preheat oven to 150C. Grease and line the base and sides of three 20cm cake pans. Place flour, sugar, spice, ginger, butter and a pinch of salt in a bowl. Using fingers, rub in butter until mixture resembles breadcrumbs.

In a separate bowl, add eggs, rind, juice, sour cream and fruit mince; mix. Add flour mixture and beat well to combine.

Divide mixture evenly among cake pans. Bake for 45 minutes. Cool cakes in pans.

To make brandy butter cream, beat butter and vanilla in a bowl with an electric mixer until as white as possible. Gradually beat in icing sugar, then brandy until fluffy. To assemble, place one cake on a serving plate and spread with 1 cup of the buttercream. Top with another cake and another cup of buttercream.

Top with remaining cake and generously top the cake with remaining buttercream, spreading to the sides for a smudged effect.

Serves 12

Figure 1-10: Broome Advertiser – 12 December 2024

Minister says meth rise not connected to liquor

Kimberley MLA Divinia D'Anna, Commander Rod Wilde, newly appointed Kimberley Supt John Hutchinson, and Minister for Police Paul Papalia in Broome Pictures: Natasha Clark

NATASHA CLARK

WA Police Minister Paul Papalia rejects links between tough new liquor restrictions across Broome and Derby and a sharp rise in meth use in the towns, labelling them "ridiculous claims".

"Methamphetamine is an issue, but it's nowhere near the sort of issue you get in the city," Mr Papalia said.

"A small amount here can make a big difference."

He made the comments on Saturday in Broome while announcing more detectives from the drug and firearm squad would be working in the Kimberley as part of Operation Regional Shield over the holiday season.

Mr Papalia also announced almost $10 million in funding for the Kimberley Juvenile Justice Strategy for the next two years, which will go towards night space pilot programs in Broome and Fitzroy Crossing.

According to WA Police statistics, alcohol-related offences decreased 21 per cent, and family and domestic violence dropped by 8 per cent, across the Broome-Derby region from August 16 to October 6 this year, compared with the same period in 2023. Mr Papalia said in Derby, 220km north of Broome, "there has been between a 20 to 30 per cent reduction in family and domestic violence offences, and a massive reduction in call-outs for police".

However, Derby shire president and joint chair of the Fitzroy Crossing flood committee Peter McCumstie refuted the minister's dismissal of the notion that meth use was increasing in the Kimberley region.

"We aren't imagining this," Mr McCumstie said.

"This was highlighted clearly at a meeting I attended of over 90 people a fortnight ago in Fitzroy Crossing.

"Members of the community, including police officers and health workers, raised concerns about the increase in the use of meth and other drugs in the community.

"Leaders also reported at the meeting they are seeing more meth-related crime in the region."

To establish a more accurate understanding of the Kimberley meth problem, Mr McCumstie called for drug testing of wastewater across the region.

"That will prove or disprove what is happening, it's as simple as that," he said.

Mr Papalia said the trafficking of methamphetamine and other illicit drugs in the Kimberley was achieved the same way as in the rest of the State.

Police Minister Paul Papalia and Kimberley MLA Divina D'Anna announce Operation Regional Shield.

"It (illicit drugs) mostly comes from overseas," he said.

He cited a "53 per cent reduction in meth consumption" across WA during the COVID-18 lockdown period as evidence supporting his claim about the international nature of the drug trafficking operation.

"Once it reaches Western Australia it is distributed mostly by outlaw motorcycle gangs," he said.

To demonstrate the targeting of drug trafficking in the region, Cdr Rod Wilde announced at Saturday's press conference that police had charged two people with supplying meth overnight.

He didn't disclose the exact amount of methamphetamine but said the drugs had been seized.

Cdr Wilde issued a clear warning to drug dealers in the Kimberley.

"So meth dealers, if you've got meth in your house, watch out," he said.

"Police will come and knock your door down to arrest you."

VERMILION ENERGY

Wandoo Field
Environment Plans Consultation
SEEKING RELEVANT PERSONS

Vermilion is seeking to consult with relevant persons whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on exploring for and developing oil and gas from the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m - 60m.

Our Activities

Vermilion wishes to continue developing and producing from its existing exploration permit WA-14-L. The Wandoo Field currently operates under existing accepted Environmental Plans for both production and well construction.

However, Vermilion is preparing two Environment Plans for submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA).

Relevant Person Information

Learn more about who is a relevant person to be consulted, the environment that may be affected by the proposed activities, and the proposed control measures on our website.

Project Location

Your Feedback

If you think you may be a relevant person, please contact us to discuss consultation or provide feedback at the below channels.

We are asking relevant persons to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

For More Information

Email: etu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

To visit our website, scan the QR code

www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

Centuria BROOME BOULEVARD

Proudly managed by Cygnet West

MORE INFO:

Christmas at Broome Boulevard

December 19th - 23rd 2024

106 Frederick St, Djugun WA 6725

12:00pm - 3:00pm

Free Event!

Figure 1-11: Koori Mail – 18 December 2024

NOTICE TO GRANT MINING TENEMENTS

***NATIVE TITLE ACT 1993 (CTH)* SECTION 29**

The State of Western Australia HEREBY GIVES NOTICE that the Minister for Mines and Petroleum, C/- Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety, 100 Plain Street, East Perth WA 6004 may grant the following tenement applications under the *Mining Act 1978*.

Tenement Type	No.	Applicant	Area*	Locality	Centroid	Shire
Exploration Licence	09/3743	TECHGEN METALS LTD	208L	80.9km S'ly of Parabardoo	Lat: 23° 55' S; Long: 117° 44' E	UPPER GASCOYNE SHIRE
Exploration Licence	09/3744	JUNO GOLD PTY LTD	56BL	78.3km E'ly of Mt Augustus	Lat: 24° 2' S; Long: 117° 37' E	UPPER GASCOYNE SHIRE
Exploration Licence	38/3966	GTT METALS GROUP PTY LTD	18BL	191.1km E'ly of Wiluna	Lat: 26° 41' S; Long: 122° 8' E	WILUNA SHIRE
Exploration Licence	38/3968	GTT METALS GROUP PTY LTD	17BL	195km NE'ly of Leinster	Lat: 26° 47' S; Long: 122° 15' E	LAVERTON SHIRE, WILUNA SHIRE
Exploration Licence	38/3969	GTT METALS GROUP PTY LTD	5BL	186km NE'ly of Leinster	Lat: 26° 54' S; Long: 122° 11' E	LAVERTON SHIRE
Exploration Licence	38/3970	GTT METALS GROUP PTY LTD	2BL	187.9km NE'ly of Leinster	Lat: 26° 56' S; Long: 122° 14' E	LAVERTON SHIRE
Exploration Licence	38/3973	BARACUS PTY LTD	4BL	187.6km NE'ly of Leinster	Lat: 26° 50' S; Long: 122° 9' E	LAVERTON SHIRE, WILUNA SHIRE
Exploration Licence	51/2127	GREAT WESTERN EXPLORATION LIMITED	62BL	87.7km NW'ly of Wiluna	Lat: 26° 11' S; Long: 119° 28' E	MEEKATHARRA SHIRE
Exploration Licence	51/2128	GREAT WESTERN EXPLORATION LIMITED	61BL	86km NW'ly of Wiluna	Lat: 26° 4' S; Long: 119° 34' E	MEEKATHARRA SHIRE
Exploration Licence	51/2251	YERRIDA CO PTY LTD	9BL	84.3km N'ly of Wiluna	Lat: 25° 51' S; Long: 120° 2' E	MEEKATHARRA SHIRE
Exploration Licence	51/2252	YERRIDA CO PTY LTD	19BL	84.3km N'ly of Wiluna	Lat: 25° 52' S; Long: 119° 58' E	MEEKATHARRA SHIRE
Exploration Licence	51/2253	YERRIDA CO PTY LTD	29BL	83.3km SE'ly of Peak Hill	Lat: 25° 59' S; Long: 119° 27' E	MEEKATHARRA SHIRE
Exploration Licence	51/2254	YERRIDA CO PTY LTD	53BL	59km SE'ly of Peak Hill	Lat: 25° 56' S; Long: 119° 12' E	MEEKATHARRA SHIRE
Exploration Licence	52/4404	AMERY HOLDINGS PTY LTD	32BL	81.9km S'ly of Parabardoo	Lat: 23° 55' S; Long: 117° 50' E	MEEKATHARRA SHIRE, UPPER GASCOYNE SHIRE
Exploration Licence	57/1375	AUREUMIN SANDSTONE PTY LTD	15BL	28km SE'ly of Sandstone	Lat: 28° 11' S; Long: 119° 27' E	SANDSTONE SHIRE
Exploration Licence	57/1458	RIO TINTO EXPLORATION PTY LIMITED	20BL	72.9km SE'ly of Sandstone	Lat: 28° 27' S; Long: 119° 49' E	MENZIES SHIRE, SANDSTONE SHIRE
Exploration Licence	70/6661	MID-WEST EXPLORATION PTY LTD	9BL	126.3km E'ly of Kalbarri	Lat: 27° 22' S; Long: 115° 23' E	MURCHISON SHIRE
Exploration Licence	70/6662	MID-WEST EXPLORATION PTY LTD	2BL	119.9km N'ly of Mullewa	Lat: 27° 27' S; Long: 115° 25' E	MURCHISON SHIRE
Exploration Licence	77/3253	BLACK DRAGON ENERGY (AUS) PTY LTD	6BL	33km S'ly of Marvel Loch	Lat: 31° 44' S; Long: 119° 36' E	YILGARN SHIRE
Exploration Licence	77/3254	BLACK DRAGON ENERGY (AUS) PTY LTD	1BL	31.8km S'ly of Marvel Loch	Lat: 31° 44' S; Long: 119° 35' E	YILGARN SHIRE
Prospecting Licence	15/6920	FMG RESOURCES PTY LTD	40.23HA	14.5km SW'ly of Coolgardie	Lat: 31° 2' S; Long: 121° 5' E	COOLGARDIE SHIRE
Prospecting Licence	25/2843	FORTIFY MINING PTY LTD	199.12HA	43.8km E'ly of Kalgoorlie	Lat: 30° 50' S; Long: 121° 54' E	KALGOORLIE-BOULDER CITY
Prospecting Licence	25/2844	MAHONEY, Reece Anthony	196.72HA	28.7km E'ly of Kalgoorlie	Lat: 30° 44' S; Long: 121° 46' E	KALGOORLIE-BOULDER CITY
Prospecting Licence	25/2852	FLEMING, Leo Glenn	198.52HA	30.2km E'ly of Kalgoorlie	Lat: 30° 41' S; Long: 121° 46' E	KALGOORLIE-BOULDER CITY
Prospecting Licence	27/2592	RESOURCE ASSETS PTY LTD	188.08HA	28.3km NE'ly of Kalgoorlie	Lat: 30° 32' S; Long: 121° 38' E	KALGOORLIE-BOULDER CITY
Prospecting Licence	27/2593	RESOURCE ASSETS PTY LTD	149.55HA	29.9km NE'ly of Kalgoorlie	Lat: 30° 31' S; Long: 121° 38' E	KALGOORLIE-BOULDER CITY
Prospecting Licence	27/2594	RESOURCE ASSETS PTY LTD	152.89HA	31.3km E'ly of Broad Arrow	Lat: 30° 30' S; Long: 121° 38' E	KALGOORLIE-BOULDER CITY
Prospecting Licence	27/2595	RESOURCE ASSETS PTY LTD	163.23HA	30.5km E'ly of Broad Arrow	Lat: 30° 30' S; Long: 121° 38' E	KALGOORLIE-BOULDER CITY
Prospecting Licence	27/2596	RESOURCE ASSETS PTY LTD	171.23HA	29.7km E'ly of Broad Arrow	Lat: 30° 30' S; Long: 121° 37' E	KALGOORLIE-BOULDER CITY
Prospecting Licence	36/1960	MANDALAY METALS PTY LTD	51.78HA	24.5km S'ly of Leinster	Lat: 28° 8' S; Long: 120° 58' E	LEONORA SHIRE

Nature of the act: Grant of prospecting licences which authorises the applicant to prospect for minerals for a term of 4 years from date of grant. Grant of exploration licences, which authorises the applicant to explore for minerals for a term of 5 years from the date of grant.

Notification day: 18 December 2024

Native title parties: Under section 30 of the *Native Title Act 1993 (Cth)*, persons have until 3 months after the notification day to take certain steps to become native title parties in relation to applications. The 3 month period closes on **18 March 2025.** Any person who is, or becomes a native title party, is entitled to the negotiation and/or procedural rights provided in Part 2 Division 3 Subdivision P of *Native Title Act 1993 (Cth)*. Enquiries in relation to filing a native title determination application to become a native title party should be directed to the Federal Court of Australia, 1 Victoria Avenue, Perth WA 6000, telephone (08) 9268 7100.

Expedited procedure: The State of Western Australia considers that these acts are acts attracting the expedited procedure. Each licence may be granted unless, within the period of 4 months after the notification day (i.e. **18 April 2025**), a native title party lodges an objection with the National Native Title Tribunal against the inclusion of the statement that the State considers the grant of the licence is an act attracting the expedited procedure. Enquiries in relation to lodging an objection should be directed to the National Native Title Tribunal, Level 5, 1 Victoria Avenue, Perth, or GPO Box 9973, Perth, WA 6848, telephone (08) 9425 1000.

For further information about the acts (including extracts of plans showing the boundaries of the applications), contact the Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety, 100 Plain Street, East Perth WA 6004, or telephone (08) 9222 3518.

* - 1 Graticular Block = 2.8 km²

DMIRS: 34439

Heritage Council of NSW

Heritage Act 1977

Notice of intention to consider listing on the State Heritage Register

The Heritage Council of NSW maintains the State Heritage Register which is a list of places of particular importance to the people of NSW, including Aboriginal and other heritage.

The Heritage Council of NSW is considering whether to recommend the amendment of the State Heritage Register listing for Rose Seidler House (00261) to become the Seidler Family Precinct in acknowledgment of its heritage significance.

Seidler Family Precinct, Wahroonga

Written submissions on this listing amendment are invited from any interested person by 11:59pm 11 March 2025. Enquiries to Meggan Walker on (02) 9873 8500.

The Heritage Council is interested in receiving information in writing, by email, telephone or in person from the Aboriginal community or Aboriginal organisations on the potential Aboriginal significance of this place.

See more details about the nominated place at environment.nsw.gov.au/topics/heritage/request-a-heritage-listing/nominate-an-item-for-listing-on-the-state-heritage-register/comment-on-nominations

Make your submission at:
haveyoursay.nsw.gov.au/seidler

or direct your submission to:
Heritage Council of NSW
Locked Bag 5020
Parramatta NSW 2124

Heritage Council of NSW

Heritage Act 1977

Notice of intention to consider listing on the State Heritage Register

The Heritage Council of NSW maintains the State Heritage Register which is a list of places of particular importance to the people of NSW, including Aboriginal and other heritage.

The Heritage Council is currently considering whether or not to recommend the amendment of a listing of the following place on the State Heritage Register in acknowledgment of its heritage significance.

Lady Gowrie Child Centre, Erskineville

Written submissions on this listing are invited from any interested person by 9 February 2025. Enquiries to Darrienne Wyndham or Judith Coombes on (02) 9873 8500.

The Heritage Council is interested in receiving information in writing, by email, telephone or in person from the Aboriginal community or Aboriginal organisations on the potential Aboriginal significance of this place.

See more details about the nominated place at environment.nsw.gov.au/topics/heritage/request-a-heritage-listing/nominate-an-item-for-listing-on-the-state-heritage-register/comment-on-nominations

Make your submission at:
haveyoursay.nsw.gov.au/erskineville

or direct your submission to:
Heritage Council of NSW
Locked Bag 5020
Parramatta NSW 2124

VERMILION ENERGY

Wandoo Field
Environment Plans Consultation
SEEKING RELEVANT PERSONS

Vermilion is seeking to consult with relevant persons whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on exploring for and developing oil and gas from the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m - 60m.

Our Activities

Vermilion wishes to continue developing and producing from its existing exploration permit WA-14-L. The Wandoo Field currently operates under existing accepted Environmental Plans for both production and well construction.

However, Vermilion is preparing two Environment Plans for submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA).

Relevant Person Information

Learn more about who is a relevant person to be consulted, the environment that may be affected by the proposed activities, and the proposed control measures on our website.

Project Location

Your Feedback

If you think you may be a relevant person, please contact us to discuss consultation or provide feedback at the below channels.

We are asking relevant persons to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

For More Information

Email: obu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

To visit our website, scan the QR code

www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

Figure 1-12: North-West Telegraph – 18 December 2024

Dr Jessica Buck with cancer survivor Tremane Baxter-Edwards. Picture: Justin Benson-Cooper

On a mission to boost kids' chances in cancer fight

HANNAH CROSS

A world-first program led by Perth researchers will investigate why First Nations children with cancer have poorer survival rates than other Australian children, in a bid to transform the way they are treated.

The Kids Research Institute Australia program, launched on Wednesday, aims to identify the main barriers to improved outcomes and why the side effects of cancer treatment are so severe in Aboriginal children.

Led by Kamilaroi woman and paediatric brain cancer researcher Dr Jessica Buck, it is the first research project of its kind to be Aboriginal-led, culturally informed and community focused.

"We really don't know much about First Nations kids with cancer because there's been hardly any studies done," Dr Buck said.

"There's less than 10 research studies that have ever been done ... so the level of knowledge at the moment is very low.

"What we do know so far is that they have worse survival rates, but we don't know why."

This is particularly the case in the Northern Territory, where the five-year survival rate is 38 per cent for First Nations children and 79 per cent for other children.

Australia-wide, the survival rate for First Nations children is as low as 70.6 per cent compared to 83.5 per cent for other Australian children.

"We think it's probably a combination of clinical access, biology and social determinants of health," Dr Buck said.

"What I'm hoping to do is unravel that and work out how we can find better treatments for First Nations kids with cancer."

Dr Buck said the project would first and foremost be guided by what the community wanted out of such a project.

"We're talking to Aboriginal families who've had a child diagnosed with cancer, we're talking with local elders, we're talking with Aboriginal doctors who work in the childhood cancer space, and we're asking them what they need from a new research program," she said.

"What things do they wish that research had the answers to? How could their journey through childhood cancer have been improved when their family was going through it?"

Elizabeth Wilkes and Jessica Buck.

The project will be led by a community advisory group made up of local elders and community members with lived experience of childhood cancer, supported by Noongar woman and Aboriginal community engagement co-ordinator Elizabeth Wilkes.

One of the early recruits has been Menang Goreng elder Averil Williams, whose daughter battled and survived leukaemia.

Ms Williams said access to information to better inform community was crucial to reduce any fear or uncertainty around treatment.

"It's like when my little girl was diagnosed with leukaemia. I said, I want to know what's going to happen. I want to know what's the treatment," she said.

"For our mob to have access to that information, and by this getting out into the community, it will become more receptive than a fearful thing."

Childhood cancer survivor Tremane Baxter-Edwards said the new research project would bring "greater advocacy and greater attention" to Aboriginal kids' cancer experience.

He was diagnosed at age three with Burkitt lymphoma, a rare, fast-growing and aggressive type of blood cancer, so doesn't remember much about his treatment.

"I do recall leaving home for a long period of time ... that was one of the difficult processes, along with chemo," Mr Baxter-Edwards, now 18, said.

He and his three siblings lived more than 3000km away in Wyndham, in the Kimberley region, meaning his single mother had to travel down to Perth with a sick toddler while his grandparents helped raise his siblings.

Dr Buck's research offers a way forward for First Nations children and their families as they navigate cancer treatment, he said. "It is a frightening period of someone's life, doesn't matter if you're Aboriginal or not, it's a very frightening thing for your family and yourself," Mr Baxter-Edwards said.

"Dr Buck, being an Aboriginal woman herself, makes it a lot more inviting in the sense that we're able to have a lead researcher on something that is so near and dear to a lot of people's hearts, including myself."

Dr Buck and her team will also investigate pharmacogenomics, which looks at the way someone's genes affect how they respond to medicines. "Pharmacogenomics has never really been studied in Aboriginal people — adults or children — for cancer or other conditions," she said.

"And so we wonder whether Aboriginal patients might be metabolising or processing the medicines different in their body."

Genetics may also be able to identify why Aboriginal children are more prone to acute myeloid leukaemia, with very little known to date about why this may be.

It's a big undertaking, but Dr Buck is well and truly up for the challenge. It's personal for her, too.

"My nan passed away from breast cancer when I was little, and that's always been one of my motivators to go into science and medical research," she said.

"I've had a lot of scientific training and I've always wanted to use that to be able to give back to community and help improve outcomes for cancer in Aboriginal communities."

Wandoo Field
Environment Plans Consultation
SEEKING RELEVANT PERSONS
VERMILION ENERGY

Vermilion is seeking to consult with relevant persons whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on exploring for and developing oil and gas from the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m - 60m.

Our Activities

Vermilion wishes to continue developing and producing from its existing exploration permit WA-14-L. The Wandoo Field currently operates under existing accepted Environmental Plans for both production and well construction.

However, Vermilion is preparing two Environment Plans for submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA).

Relevant Person Information

Learn more about who is a relevant person to be consulted, the environment that may be affected by the proposed activities, and the proposed control measures on our website.

Project Location

Your Feedback

If you think you may be a relevant person, please contact us to discuss consultation or provide feedback at the below channels.

We are asking relevant persons to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

For More Information

Email: etu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

To visit our website, scan the QR code

www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

Figure 1-13: Pilbara Times – 18 December 2024

Centre has the right recipe

Molly Singline and Bryan Charlie.

Mark Smith and Glenn Higgins.

The. accent is on healthy meals.

Tahlia Lenton, Courtney Talbot and Katarina Jaques in the kitchen. Pictures: Madelin Hayes

MADELIN HAYES

The Yaandina Youth Centre kitchen was humming with busy cooks chopping, crumbing and baking earlier this week for Volunteering WA's final corporate volunteer day of the year.

In 2024, corporate volunteers from a range of companies spent more than 270 hours preparing about 1800 meals for young people visiting the centre through Volunteering WA's program.

This has given youth centre staff greater capacity to play, listen and engage with children who come into the centre, instead of cooking meals.

"The program has been invaluable to us," Yaandina Community Services youth and family services manager Ruth Hansen said.

"Prior to Volunteering WA coming here, we had our youth workers in the kitchen cooking a lot, so it would take up a lot of our time and resources."

The Yaandina Youth Centre runs an after-school program Monday-Friday and a school holiday program every day.

"As we're open so much, it means a lot of food is needed for the kids," Ms Hansen said.

"We might feed them three times during the day, usually breakfast, a late lunch, and then maybe something before they go.

"There are also children who are playing sport, so by the time they get here they're hungry, or for those that don't go to school, they have been by themselves a lot during the day and are hungry.

Kelly Nunn and Ruth Hansen at the Yaandina Youth Centre.

"We like to pump the meals full of veggies and with plenty of nutritional value, because a lot of the time the kids will just reach for junk food when they're not here."

Volunteering WA regional community engagement co-ordinator Kelly Nunn said the program was equally rewarding for volunteers.

"In a corporate setting, you don't often get the opportunity to get out of the office or workplace and have fun with your colleagues while doing something different and contributing to a good cause outside of work," she said.

"A lot of the teams that do corporate volunteering with us in the Pilbara are FIFO crew, so they don't get to see what is in our community.

"We conduct feedback at the end of every session and volunteers often see a benefit to their team…".

According to Volunteering WA, employees of businesses who participate in its corporate volunteering program report the benefits being a sense of wellbeing and happiness (84 per cent), awareness of wider social issues (81 per cent), pride in their company and job (79 per cent), and understanding and empathy (81 per cent).

Wandoo Field
Environment Plans Consultation
SEEKING RELEVANT PERSONS
VERMILION ENERGY

Vermilion is seeking to consult with relevant persons whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on exploring for and developing oil and gas from the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m - 60m.

Our Activities

Vermilion wishes to continue developing and producing from its existing exploration permit WA-14-L. The Wandoo Field currently operates under existing accepted Environmental Plans for both production and well construction.

However, Vermilion is preparing two Environment Plans for submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA).

Relevant Person Information

Learn more about who is a relevant person to be consulted, the environment that may be affected by the proposed activities, and the proposed control measures on our website.

Project Location

Your Feedback

If you think you may be a relevant person, please contact us to discuss consultation or provide feedback at the below channels.

We are asking relevant persons to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

For More Information

Email: ebu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

To visit our website, scan the QR code

www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

Figure 1-14: Broome Advertiser – 9 January 2025

Book showcases kids' art

CAIN ANDREWS

A Kimberley-born charity has marked the success of Saltwater Stories, a high-end coffee-table book featuring art created by children from its Saltwater Academy program.

The book, launched in October, emerged from the charity Saltwater Country's Saltwater Stories initiative — part of the academy's Crossroads Knowledge Highway, which offers creative industry training in film, photography, sculpture and podcasting to youth across the Kimberley.

Saltwater Country director Cara Peek said the participant-centred program offered young people an opportunity to test their creative skills in a culturally safe environment, facilitated by Aboriginal mentors.

"It's about helping young people discover their interests, understand what they're good at, and pursue their passions," she said.

"Unlike other programs, this one produces tangible results — artwork, exhibitions, and a book they can share with family and friends. It gives them a sense of ownership and achievement."

Ms Peek described the book as "a culmination of over a decade of work".

"Because of COVID, the process took a lot longer for this first round than we would anticipate occurring in the future, but it also provided us with a lot of lessons," she said.

"It's empowering and I'm really proud that, despite COVID and the logistics of working with remote communities, we were able to pull it off."

The inaugural A3 black-and-white edition of the book features artwork by children in the program, guided by prominent local artists such as Aboriginal graphic novelist Brenton McKenna, who led workshops in Broome, Derby, Fitzroy Crossing, Warmun, and Frog Hollow.

The Indigiverse Comics founder, artist and writer hailing from Broome said inspiring kids in the remote Kimberley to pursue art was something he had always wanted to do.

"I do comic book workshops in schools around the country, but this was the first time I had the opportunity to go into my own backyard in the East Kimberley and do some workshops out there, which is what I've wanted to do, so I was really chuffed," McKenna said.

Aboriginal comic artist Brenton McKenna at the Saltwater Stories exhibition.

Cara Peek

"Whether it's cartooning or authoring or illustrating, it doesn't matter.

"I just want to ignite a love for telling a story.

"I'm really glad that Saltwater Country let me go and work my magic out there."

As one of just a handful of Aboriginal comic book artists and cartoonists, McKenna said the workshops were also a good way to scout for the next generation of Aboriginal comic talents.

"I'm always looking for the next Aboriginal graphic novelist or cartoonist, and I think it means a little bit more to me if I can find them in the Kimberley," he said.

"It's tying in really well that I get to do workshops and look for that future graphic novelist out there somewhere.

"There's a lot of up-and-coming young people, but we still need more."

The artistic contributions extend beyond the book, including films and sculptures of bulls and horses crafted from reclaimed materials, which debuted at Saltwater Country's rodeo, campdraft and country music event, Rhythm and Ride, in 2022.

The book was successfully launched through online events featuring notable figures, including bull rider Isaac Leclair and Asylum Seekers Resource Centre chief executive Kon Karapanagiotidis.

Saltwater Country operates without ongoing operational funding, relying on donations, sponsorships and sales of the Saltwater Stories book to sustain its programs.

Ms Peek said every dollar invested generated a social return of $2.64, underscoring the program's value to the community.

"We're always seeking donations and volunteers," she said.

"This book is an easy way for people to support Indigenous communities and be part of the solution."

The book is available for purchase online at the Saltwater Country website and at the charity's headquarters on Dampier Terrace.

Ms Peek said Saltwater Country hoped to turn the Saltwater Stories book into an annual tradition, showcasing the progression of creativity in the program.

"With consistent funding, we could deliver these programs year-round and make an even greater impact," she said.

Wandoo Field
Environment Plans Consultation
SEEKING RELEVANT PERSONS
VERMILION ENERGY

Vermilion is seeking to consult with relevant persons whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on exploring for and developing oil and gas from the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m - 60m.

Our Activities

Vermilion wishes to continue developing and producing from its existing exploration permit WA-14-L. The Wandoo Field currently operates under existing accepted Environmental Plans for both production and well construction.

However, Vermilion is preparing two Environment Plans for submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA).

Relevant Person Information

Learn more about who is a relevant person to be consulted, the environment that may be affected by the proposed activities, and the proposed control measures on our website.

Project Location

Your Feedback

If you think you may be a relevant person, please contact us to discuss consultation or provide feedback at the below channels.

We are asking relevant persons to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

For More Information
Email: etu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com
To visit our website, scan the QR code

www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

BROOME GOLF CLUB
Feel the BREEZE from any direction, the COOLEST PLACE in town
OPEN ALL WET SEASON
GOLF COURSE 7 Days per week | 7am – 5pm • RESTAURANT Wed to Sun | 11.30am – 2pm & 5.30pm – 8pm • BAR 7 days per week | 8am – late
484081-1

Figure 1-15: Pilbara Times – 15 January 2025

PM pitches $200m to turbocharge regional WA

Prime Minister Anthony Albanese arrives in Kununurra. Picture: Andrew Ritchie

JESSICA PAGE

Anthony Albanese has vowed to turbocharge regional WA with a $200 million cash splash to boost housing and community infrastructure, as he landed in the State that swept him to power in 2022.

Mr Albanese flew into Kununurra last Wednesday night — his 27th visit to WA as Prime Minister — ahead of announcing the major package to build almost 1400 new homes across the State.

It supports Premier Roger Cook's push for more people to move to regional WA.

"Regional WA is a beautiful place to live, work and visit," Mr Albanese said.

"My Government wants to ensure it has the housing and amenities it needs to continue to grow and thrive."

On Thursday the Federal Government announced $90m for the delivery of water, power and road infrastructure to service 1367 new homes across Karratha, the Wheatbelt and Lockyer in the Great Southern.

Another $100m is being spent on local infrastructure in the State's north, including $1.9m for a Kununurra riverbank recreation project, $15m for a Newman youth centre and childcare, and $13m for new pontoons and landscaping to boost fishing tourism in Wyndham.

"Our WA Labor Government is working with the Albanese Federal Government to build more houses and deliver important investments right across regional WA," Mr Cook said.

He revealed his ambition for Broome, Karratha and Port Hedland to become "big urban centres" in December, warning Perth's population boom was not sustainable.

Modelling predicting Karratha's population could increase by 35 per cent by 2030 has alarmed residents.

The median rent in the region has almost tripled from $400 per week in 2016 to $1100 last year, according to the Real Estate Institute of WA.

That is almost double Perth's median rent of $650 per week.

Port services in Wyndham, Ashburton and Dampier will also be expanded to secure local supply chains and fast-track imports if Labor wins the Federal poll, due before May 17.

Mr Albanese said changes to allow more direct international shipping would make a "huge difference" across the East Kimberley and Pilbara.

The so-called "first port of entry" changes across the trio of ports in WA's north have been hailed a "game changer" by the State Government amid predictions export volumes out of Wyndham could increase five-fold to 1.3 million tonnes.

Federal Infrastructure Minister Catherine King said it would save businesses millions in transport costs and time.

"With the number of vessels to pass through the port of Wyndham each year expected to double by 2033, this decision backs in critical WA businesses and supports communities in the north-east of the State," she said.

Meanwhile, the Port of Ashburton would establish ongoing international shipping services for the first time.

The Pilbara Port Authority has estimated 13 million tonnes of iron ore and rare earths could be shipped out of there by 2030.

Australian Government
National Indigenous Australians Agency
NIAA

Are you an employer in a remote community or want to become one?

You can apply for a grant to create new jobs in your community, through the Remote Jobs and Economic Development (RJED) program.

This is the first stage in replacing the Community Development Program (CDP).

Grants will support eligible employers to employ local people in new jobs by funding wages and equipment.

To apply or find out more –
visit **www.niaa.gov.au/remote-jobs**
call **1800 079 098** or visit your local NIAA office.

XC1000_RJED_NAA_168x129

Wandoo Field
Environment Plans Consultation
SEEKING RELEVANT PERSONS
VERMILION ENERGY

Vermilion is seeking to consult with relevant persons whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on exploring for and developing oil and gas from the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m - 60m.

Our Activities

Vermilion wishes to continue developing and producing from its existing exploration permit WA-14-L. The Wandoo Field currently operates under existing accepted Environmental Plans for both production and well construction.

However, Vermilion is preparing two Environment Plans for submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA).

Relevant Person Information

Learn more about who is a relevant person to be consulted, the environment that may be affected by the proposed activities, and the proposed control measures on our website.

Project Location

Your Feedback

If you think you may be a relevant person, please contact us to discuss consultation or provide feedback at the below channels.

We are asking relevant persons to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

For More Information
Email: aba.consultation@vermilionenergy.com
To visit our website, scan the QR code

www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

Figure 1-16: Consultation website





Environment Plans and Consultation

In preparing our Environment Plans, we consult with relevant stakeholders to gather insights on the local environment, including specific concerns about the potential impacts of offshore petroleum activities at Wandoo.

This feedback is essential for assessing measures to avoid or reduce impacts on communities, heritage values, and ecological and cultural features of the environment. During consultation, your input will inform the control measures we propose in the Environment Plans to mitigate these impacts and risks.

Are you a Relevant Person?

You may be considered a relevant person if you or your organisation have functions, interests, or activities that could be impacted by our proposed activities in the Wandoo field.

As part of our consultation process, Vermilion is proactively reaching out to relevant stakeholders. If you are a community member, Traditional Owner, or business owner who may be affected by our offshore activities and have not yet been contacted, we encourage you to get in touch with us.

Contact abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217-5858 to register your details and receive information about our Environment Plans.

Your Feedback

To provide feedback on our proposed activities, **please contact us** at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217-5858.

We will review all stakeholder responses during our ongoing consultation process and consider their implications for our proposed activities, making revisions to Environment Plans as needed.

Please note that your feedback, along with our response, will be included in the Environment Plans submitted to the NOPSEMA. If your feedback is sensitive, please inform us so we can ensure its confidentiality upon submission.

Fundamental objections, threats, social media comments, spam and petitions would not be considered as feedback as they do not meet the intended outcome of consultation.

Additional Resources

- Our Wandoo Operations
- Frequently Asked Questions - Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation
- NOPSEMA: Environment Plan Content Requirement
- NOPSEMA: Environmental Requirements
- NOPSEMA: Consultation in the Course of Preparing an Environment Plan
- Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations
- NOPSEMA: Environment Plans Assessment Process

Figure 1-17: Social media campaign

Facebook Advertisements





LinkedIn Advertisements

Vermilion Energy
54,686 followers
Promoted

Vermilion Energy is now consulting with relevant persons for our proposed activities in the Wandoo offshore Oil Field.

Wandoo is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island.

Vermilion is seeking to identify and consult with relevant persons whose functions, interests, or activities could be affected by our plans in the Wandoo field.

To learn more, please visit our website. Or,
email: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com. Feedback is open until 17 January 2025.



Vermilion Energy wants to hear from you
vermilionenergy.com
Learn more

5

Vermilion Energy
54,686 followers
Promoted

Vermilion Energy is now consulting with relevant persons for our proposed activities in the Wandoo offshore Oil Field.

Wandoo is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island.

Vermilion is seeking to identify and consult with relevant persons whose functions, interests, or activities could be affected by our plans in the Wandoo field.

To learn more, please visit our website. Or,
email: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com. Feedback is open until 17 January 2025.

Vermilion Energy wants to hear from you
vermilionenergy.com
Learn more

4

Figure 1-18: Community flyer

VERMILION ENERGY

Wandoo Field
Environment Plans Consultation
SEEKING RELEVANT PERSONS

Vermilion Energy is a long-standing international energy producer operating in North America, Europe, and Australia.

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years.

Our Australian operations are focused on exploring for and developing oil and gas from the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

Wandoo Field

Vermilion currently operates the Wandoo Field within its existing exploration permit WA-14-L.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m - 60m.



Seeking Relevant Persons

Vermilion is seeking to identify and consult with relevant persons whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by our proposed activities at the Wandoo Field.

Vermilion wishes to continue developing and producing from the existing exploration permit WA-14-L. The Wandoo Field operates under existing accepted Environmental Plans in place for production and well construction.

Next year, Vermilion plans to submit two Environment Plans for exploration activities in the second half of 2025 that will help us keep producing from the Wandoo Field.

More information is available on our website (below) regarding who is a relevant person to be consulted, the environment that may be affected by the proposed activities, and the proposed control measures.

If you think you may be a relevant person, please contact us to discuss consultation or provide feedback at the below channels.

For More Information

Email: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

To visit our website, scan the QR code

Figure 1-19: Summary information sheet

VERMILION ENERGY

SUMMARY INFORMATION SHEET

Wandoo Field

Geophysical & Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan

December 2024

More information is available in the general Wandoo Field Geophysical & Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan Consultation Information Sheet

Introduction

Vermilion Energy is an international energy producer with 30 years of experience. It operates in North America, Europe and Australia. Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (the Australian branch) has been in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on producing oil from the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

The Wandoo Field was discovered in 1991 and oil extraction started in 1993. Vermilion has managed the Wandoo Field since November 2005 and owned it completely since 2007. The Wandoo Field operates under approved Environment Plans (EPs) for production at the facility and well construction.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters in the Carnarvon Basin, about 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island (**Figure 1**). It operates in water depth of 50 – 60m.

Activity overview

Vermilion is planning to drill in the Wandoo Field to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons. This will help continue production at the Wandoo Field, which Vermilion has operated for almost 20 years.

Vermilion wants to keep developing and producing from its current permit area. So, the company plans to survey the area with a vessel to investigate the seabed and sub-seabed conditions. This will provide data to decide suitability for the location of a drilling rig that could be used in potential exploration drilling.

Activity details

- The expected duration of the geotechnical and geophysical survey is approximately 15 days.
- The work program will be done continuously on a 24-hour basis, starting in the second half of 2025, subject to vessel availability and regulatory approval.
- The start dates for other potential surveys in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical EP are not yet set. Related exploration drilling activities are described in the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP.
- Potential risks and impacts, as detailed in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP Consultation Information Sheet, include noise, the physical presence of a survey vessel, routine and non-routine discharges, atmospheric emissions and waste management.

Figures 2 and 3 show the methods for these activities in more detail.

Location

The activities will take place under Vermilion's current permit area at the Wandoo Field (WA-14-L). The Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP Information Sheet lists the geographical coordinates of the exploration prospects.



Figure 1. Location of the Wandoo Field



Figure 2. Method for a geophysical survey



Figure 3. Method for a geotechnical survey

Environmental impacts and management

This work program includes planned activities but may also result in unplanned activities. Both may potentially impact the environment.

A table showing all planned and unplanned activities, potential impacts, and management measures for each is included in the general Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP Information Sheet. Further details will also be provided in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP.

The objective is to manage the work program to reduce impacts and risks to as low as reasonably possible.

The total area over which unplanned events could have an environmental impact is shown in **Figure 4**. This is referred to as the environment that may be affected (EMBA).

In the highly unlikely event that an unplanned activity, such as a fuel release from a vessel collision, the entire EMBA would not be affected. The part of the EMBA that would be affected would only be known at the time of the event.



Figure 4. Environment that may be affected

Pictured: Wandoo Field, Wandoo A (left) and Wandoo B (right)

Consultation

Consultation provides Vermilion with an opportunity to receive feedback from those whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed activities. This feedback helps us to refine the management measures to address potential activity impacts and risks.

Consultation also helps us to identify values and sensitivities where information is not publicly available.

Feedback

If you consider you may be a relevant person, please get in touch with us as soon as possible if you require any further information or if you think you are not on our consultation list. We are asking for relevant persons to provide feedback by **17 January 2025.**

Feedback provided by relevant persons will be considered in an addendum to the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP and throughout the life of the activity. Feedback from relevant persons will be included in the EP submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for assessment.

Please let us know if you would like your personal/organisational details or any part of your feedback to remain private and we will ensure this remains confidential to NOPSEMA.

Contact us

Website: www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

Email: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

Phone: (08) 9217 5858

Figure 1-20: Newspaper Advertisement

Wandoo Field
Environment Plans Consultation
SEEKING RELEVANT PERSONS

VERMILION ENERGY

Vermilion is seeking to consult with relevant persons whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on exploring for and developing oil and gas from the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m - 60m.

Our Activities

Vermilion wishes to continue developing and producing from its existing exploration permit WA-14-L. The Wandoo Field currently operates under existing accepted Environmental Plans for both production and well construction.

However, Vermilion is preparing two Environment Plans for submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA).

Relevant Person Information

Learn more about who is a relevant person to be consulted, the environment that may be affected by the proposed activities, and the proposed control measures on our website.

Project Location



Your Feedback

If you think you may be a relevant person, please contact us to discuss consultation or provide feedback at the below channels.

We are asking relevant persons to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

For More Information

Email: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

To visit our website, scan the QR code

www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

1.4. Consultation Report

1.4.1. Summary Consultation Report

Consultation activities undertaken with relevant persons or organisations are summarised in Table 6 and engagement activities undertaken with persons or organisations VOGA assessed as not relevant but chose to contact are summarised in Table 7

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.4. Consultation Report 1

1.4.1. Summary Consultation Report 1

Table 6: Consultation Report with Relevant Persons or Organisations 8

Commonwealth Government Departments and Agencies 8

Australian Border Force (ABF) (Maritime Border Command) 8

Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA) 9

Australian Hydrographic Office (AHO) 11

Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) – maritime safety 13

Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) – marine pollution 15

Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF) – Biosecurity (marine pests) (vessels, aircraft and personnel) 16

Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF) – Fisheries 18

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) – Underwater Cultural Heritage (UCH) 20
Department of Defence (DoD) 23
Department of Industry, Science and Resources (DISR) 24
Director of National Parks (DNP) 26
State Government Departments and Agencies 28
Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DEMIRS) 28
Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH) 30
Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) 31
Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) – Fisheries 35
Department of Transport (DoT) – marine pollution 36
Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Advisory Committee (NCWHAC) 38
Pilbara Development Commission (PDC) 39
Pilbara Ports Authority (PPA) 41
State commercial fishing - representative bodies 42
Western Australian Fishing Industry Council (WAFIC) 42
State commercial fisheries 47
Mackerel Managed Fishery - Area 2 47
Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery 48
Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery 50
Pilbara Line Fishery (Condition) 52

Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery 53
Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery 55
Recreational fishers and marine users and peak representative bodies 57
WA Game Fishing Association (WAGFA) 57
Recfishwest 58
Marine Tourism WA 62
Ashburton Anglers 63
Exmouth Game Fishing Club (EGFC) 65
King Bay Game Fishing Club (KBFC) 66
Nickol Bay Sportsfishing Club (NBSC) 67
Pilbara / Kimberley Recreational Marine Users 69
Gascoyne Recreational Marine Users 70
Energy industry titleholders and operators 72
Beagle No. 1 Pty Ltd / Longreach Capital Investment 72
BP Developments Australia 73
Chevron Australia 74
Finder No 16 Pty Ltd 75
INPEX Browse E&P Pty Ltd 77
Jadestone Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd 78
Kato Energy (WA) Pty Ltd 79

Kufpec (Perth) Pty Ltd 80
MEO International Pty Ltd 82
Mobil Australia Resources Company Pty Limited 83
Santos Offshore Pty Ltd 84
Shell Australia Pty Ltd 86
Western Gas (474 P) Pty Ltd 87
Woodside Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd 88
Peak industry representative bodies and associations 90
Australian Energy Producers (AEP) 90
Infrastructure operators 91
Vocus Communications 91
Traditional Custodians and First Nations nominated representative corporations 93
Buurabalayji Thalanyji Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (BTAC) 93
Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (KAC) 97
Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation (NTGAC), Yinggarda Aboriginal Corporation (YAC) 106
Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (NAC) 111
Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) 122
Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) 132
Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation (YAC) 136
Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) 139

Native Title representative bodies 144
Kimberley Land Council (KLC) 144
Yamatji Marlpa Aboriginal Corporation (YMAC) 146
Historical heritage groups or organisations 149
Western Australian Museum (WAM) 149
Local government and recognised local business and community reference/liaison groups or organisations 151
City of Karratha 151
Town of Port Hedland 152
Shire of Ashburton 154
Shire of Exmouth 158
Regional Development Australia (Pilbara) Karratha WA 159
Port Hedland Chamber of Commerce and Industry 160
Onslow Chamber of Commerce and Industry 162
Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) 163
Karratha and Districts Chamber of Commerce and Industry (KDCCI) 164
Research institutes 166
Curtin University (Centre for Marine Science and Technology) 166
University of Western Australia (UWA) 167
Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) 168
Western Australian Marine Science Institution (WAMSI) 170

Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS) 171
Australian Marine Sciences Association (WA Branch) (AMSA) 172
Local environment and conservation groups or organisations 174
Protect Ningaloo 174
Australian Conservation Foundation (ACF) 175
The Conservation Council of WA (CCWA) 176
Care for Hedland 177
Table 7: Consultation Report with Non-Relevant Persons or Organisations 179
Commonwealth commercial fishing - representative bodies 179
Australian Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery Association (ASBITA) 179
Commonwealth Fisheries Association (CFA) 180
Pearl Producers Association (PPA) 182
Seafood Industry Australia (SIA) 183
Tuna Australia (TA) 185
Commonwealth commercial fishing - representative bodies 186
Western Rock Lobster Council (WRLC) 186
Individual - Self identified 188
Individual 1 188

Table 6: Consultation Report with Relevant Persons or Organisations

Section 25(1)(a) of the OPGGS(E)R: Departments or agencies of the Commonwealth to which the activities to be carried out under the environment plan may be relevant

Commonwealth Government Departments and Agencies

Australian Border Force (ABF) (Maritime Border Command)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed ABF advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.1 and 1.1).
  - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
  - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed ABF following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.1 and 1.1).
  - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite a follow-up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that ABF has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address ABF's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with ABF for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to ABF on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (see Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- In accordance with NOPSEMA's guideline for engaging with Commonwealth government departments or agencies, VOGA consulted with ABF via email.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding ABF of the opportunity to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 14 November 2024, VOGA emailed AFMA to introduce its operations and upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field (Record of Consultation, reference 2.20).
    - VOGA sought AFMA's input on the preferred method of consultation with commercial fisheries and aimed to ensure the engagement was tailored to meet their needs.
    - VOGA also provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
- On 14 November 2024, VOGA called AFMA, the call was unanswered, and VOGA left a voicemail requesting a follow up call (SI Report, reference 3.1).
- On 18 November 2024, AFMA called VOGA and outlined the correct contact details for further consultation (SI Report, reference 3.2)
- On 19 November 2024, AFMA emailed VOGA and confirmed it wished to be further consulted and shared its preferred contact email (SI Report, reference 3.3).
    - AFMA advised that the proposed activities have the potential to interact with the Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery.
    - AFMA advised that consultation should be conducted with the Commonwealth Fisheries Association (CFA), Seafood Industry Australia (SIA), and Tuna Australia.
- On 27 November 2024, VOGA emailed AFMA requesting information regarding the consultation requirements for Commonwealth commercial fisheries (SI Report, reference 3.4).
- On 29 November 2024, AFMA emailed VOGA confirming the requirements for Commonwealth commercial fishery consultation (SI Report, reference 3.5).
- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed AFMA advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fisher Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, references 3.3, 1.1 and 1.2).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.

- The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- The Commercial Fisher Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; the location and timing of activities; an outline of the activities to be conducted; a list of relevant state and Commonwealth-managed fisheries and an overview of consultation plans within the Operational Area and the EMBA.
- VOGA acknowledged AFMA's consultation guidance and advised it had consulted with fishing industry associations directly and has applied this by consulting with relevant fishing industry associations for commercial fisheries identified as having potential for interaction in the operational area and EMBA.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
AFMA requested that VOGA consult with relevant fishing stakeholders, including CFA, SIA and Tuna Australia	VOGA addressed AFMA's request to consult with CFA, SIA, and Tuna Australia and advised that it had consulted with the associations directly.	VOGA has consulted relevant fishing industry associations in preparing this EP.	VOGA has assessed the potential for interaction with Commonwealth fisheries and has notified CFA, SIA and Tuna Australia as well as ASBITA and PPA, at its discretion.
AFMA provided requirements for consultation with Commonwealth fisheries	VOGA acknowledged AFMA's consultation guidance.	VOGA has followed AFMA's consultation guidance for Commonwealth fisheries.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.
While feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims on this EP.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that AFMA has no further comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with AFMA for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Initial outreach and consultation information provided to AFMA on 14 November 2024, to inform of upcoming activities based on AFMA's function, interests and activities.
- Consultation information provided to AFMA on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.

Reasonable Period

- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.
- Commercial Fisher Information Sheet has been provided to stakeholders and publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.
- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to AFMA over a two-month period.

Reasonable Opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025, advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- In line with NOPSEMA's guideline for engagement with Commonwealth government departments or agencies, VOGA used email for its consultation with AFMA, to which AFMA responded via email.

Australian Hydrographic Office (AHO)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed the AHO advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.6 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, a shipping lane map (Record of Consultation, reference 3.6.1), directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 3 December 2024, AHO emailed VOGA and reported it had no concerns with the activities associated with the EP (SI Report, reference 7.1).
    - The email requested that further updates be provided to the AHO once activities are due to begin.
- On 17 December 2024, VOGA emailed AHO and thanked it for its response (SI Report, reference 7.2).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
AHO has requested VOGA provide updates on the activities once the proposed activities are due to begin.	VOGA noted and actioned AHO's advice.	VOGA notes that the AHO's notification requirements will be incorporated into the relevant sections of this EP.	VOGA will notify the AHO once activities are due to begin.
AHO advised it has no concerns with the activities associated with this EP. While feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims on this EP.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that AHO has no concerns with the activities associated with this EP.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with AHO for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to AHO on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable Period

- A consultation period was notified in the initial consultation correspondence and consultation material sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to AHO over a one-month period.

Reasonable Opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.

- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- In line with NOPSEMA's guideline for engagement with Commonwealth government departments or agencies, VOGA used email for its consultation with AHO and AHO responded via email.

Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) – maritime safety

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed AMSA (maritime safety) advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.6 and 1.1).
  - The email included an activity summary, shipping lane map (Record of Consultation, reference 3.6.1), directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
  - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 18 December 2024, AMSA emailed VOGA advising of the receipt of the consultation outreach and requested for AHO to be notified of any activities four weeks prior to commencement (SI Report, reference 8.1). The email also included:
  - a vessel traffic plot for the locality surrounding the project (SI Report, reference 8.1.1).
  - details on the requirements of vessels used at the project and the spacial@AMSA portal.
  - a request to contact AMSA's Rescue Centre (ARC) and Joint Rescue Coordination Centre (JRCC) at least 24-48 hours before operations commence.
- On 19 December 2024, VOGA emailed AMSA to confirm the receipt of the response and thanked AMSA for the information (SI Report, reference 8.2).
  - VOGA noted and reviewed the vessel traffic plot and acknowledged presence of vessel traffic in the area during the activities.
  - VOGA confirmed it would notify AMSA before operations commence, ensure vessels comply with COLREG regulations and evaluate and implement adequate anti-collision measures.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
AMSA has requested the AHO be notified of any activities four weeks prior to commencement.	VOGA will notify AMSA in advance of operations commencing and will notify AHO four weeks prior to commencement.	VOGA has addressed AMSA's requests and proposed notification protocols for the ongoing nature of the activities during this EP.	VOGA will notify the AHO of any activities four weeks prior to commencement (Section 5.13, Table 5-54, CM-1.2).
AMSA requested that their Rescue Centre (ARC) and Joint Rescue Coordination Centre (JRCC) be notified 24-48 hours	VOGA will notify ASMA's ARC and JRCC 24-48 hours before operations commence, and follow the advice provided.	VOGA has addressed AMSA's requests and proposed notification protocols for the	VOGA will notify the Rescue Centre (ARC) and Joint Rescue Coordination

before operations commence, as well as provide all of the details for relevant vessels.		ongoing nature of the activities during this EP.	Centre (JRCC) 24-48 hours before operations commence, as well as provide all of the details for relevant vessels (Section 5.13, Table 5-54, CM-1.2).
AMSA requested vessels comply with COLREG regulations, including implementation of adequate anti-collision measures.	VOGA will also ensure all vessels comply with COLREG regulations.	VOGA has addressed AMSA's requests and proposed notification protocols for the ongoing nature of the activities during this EP.	VOGA will ensure vessels comply with COLREG regulations, including implementation of adequate anti-collision measures (Section 5.13, Table 5-54, CM-1.3).
While feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims on this EP.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that AMSA has no further comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with AMSA for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to AMSA on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable Period

- A consultation period was notified in the initial consultation correspondence and consultation material sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to AMSA over a one-month period.

Reasonable Opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- In line with NOPSEMA's guideline for engagement with Commonwealth government departments or agencies, VOGA used email for its consultation with AMSA and AMSA responded via email.

Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) – marine pollution

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed AMSA (marine pollution) advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.6 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, shipping lane map (Record of Consultation, reference 3.6.1), directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 March 2025, VOGA emailed AMSA (marine pollution) following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.17 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that AMSA has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address AMSA's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with AMSA for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to ASMA on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- In accordance with NOPSEMA's guideline for engaging with Commonwealth government departments or agencies, VOGA consulted with AMSA via email.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 March 2025, reminding AMSA of the opportunity to provide feedback by 19 March 2025.

Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF) – Biosecurity (marine pests) (vessels, aircraft and personnel)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed DAFF - Biosecurity advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.13 and 1.1).

- The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
- The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- The email also included VOGA's assessment of biosecurity risks, including:
  - An environmental description and assessment
  - Invasive marine species prevention controls

- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed DAFF following up on the proposed activity and provided information on biosecurity matters and a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.4, 1.1).
  - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.
  - The email also provided VOGA's analysis of biosecurity matters as supplied on 3 December 2024
- On 15 January 2025, DAFF emailed VOGA thanking them for their outreach and confirmed the activities do not affect the conveyance policy team. (SI Report, reference 20.1).
- On 17 January 2025, VOGA emailed DAFF to confirm receipt and thank them for their response (SI Report, reference 20.2).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
DAFF advised the activities do not affect the conveyance policy team. While feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims on this EP.	VOGA notes advice that the proposed activities have no impact on DAFF's functions. VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that DAFF determines the activities do not affect its functions and there are no objections or claims on this EP by DAFF.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with DAFF for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to DAFF on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was notified in the initial consultation correspondence and consultation material sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to DAFF over a two-month period.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- In line with NOPSEMA's guideline for engagement with Commonwealth government departments or agencies, VOGA used email for its consultation with DAFF and DAFF responded by email.

Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF) – Fisheries

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed DAFF - Fisheries advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet and a Commercial Fisher Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.12, 1.1 and 1.2).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The email included specific information for the commercial fishing sector in addition to the information sheet attachment.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
    - The Commercial Fisher Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities; coordinates for operational areas; an activity summary; assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts; and VOGA's impact assessment.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed DAFF following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fishing Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.3, 1.1 and 1.2).
    - The email included an activity summary, information for the commercial fishing sector, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.
    - The email also provided information for the commercial fishing sector as supplied on 3 December 2024.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that DAFF has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address DAFF's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with DAFF for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to DAFF on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.
- Commercial Fisher Information Sheet has been provided to stakeholder and publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- In accordance with NOPSEMA's guideline for engaging with Commonwealth government departments or agencies, VOGA consulted with DAFF via email.

- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding DAFF of the opportunity to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) – Underwater Cultural Heritage (UCH)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed the DCCEEW advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.14 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, VOGA's assessment of underwater cultural heritage, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The email also advised that VOGA had contacted the Western Australian Museum and Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH) as part of consultation for this EP.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
    - The email also included VOGA's assessment of underwater cultural heritage, including an analysis of any cultural heritage artifacts identified within the Operational Area or EMBA.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed DCCEEW following up on the proposed activity and provided VOGA's assessment of underwater cultural heritage, and a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.6 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.
    - The email also provided VOGA's analysis of underwater cultural heritage as supplied on 3 December 2024.
- On 17 January 2025, DCCEEW emailed VOGA thanking them for the opportunity to consult and noted that VOGA was aware of the Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018 (UCH Act) requirements, and that VOGA had notified the relevant groups (SI Report, reference 21.1).
    - The email referenced the Australasian Cultural Heritage Database (AUCHD) as a tool for assessing located UCH sites, and recommended that VOGA consider the tool and develop appropriate mitigation measures if relevant.
    - The email also included a summary of the UCH Act protections, key responsibilities and obligations, and management considerations and recommendations. This included guidelines for any planned assessment activities and ongoing monitoring.
    - The email also advised that the Minister would need to be notified within 21 days of a discovery, and that reporting would need to be done through the notification of discovery functionality in the AUCHD tool.
- On 14 February 2025, VOGA emailed DCCEEW to thank them for their consultation and advice and to thank them for advising on AUCHD to assess located UCH sites (SI Report, reference 21.2).
    - The email acknowledged that potential unlocated UCH may be present in the study areas and that this would be considered when planning for this EP. VOGA acknowledged the legislation and protections as outlined in the *UCH Act 2018*, and outlined the obligations of VOGA including:
        - Of the relevant UCH legislation
        - All actions will be compliant with the relevant legislation
        - That there is no adverse impact to protected UCH without a permit

- That in the event of suspected UCH that VOGA will notify the Minister of the discovery through the notification of discovery functionality in the AUCHD tool within 21 days of discovery.
  - VOGA also provided information regarding the approach to this EP and clarified that it had engaged with Traditional Owners and Prescribed Body Corporates to gather input on environmental and cultural sensitivities. VOGA confirmed that local area consultation notices and advertisements had been undertaken to encourage participation from interested parties.
  - VOGA thanked DCCEEW for their advice regarding seeking expert advice from a qualified and experienced underwater archaeologist, and that VOGA would take geophysical mapping of the seafloor during survey activities and investigate the assessment of these maps by the underwater archaeologist.
- On 17 March 2025, VOGA emailed DCCEEW to clarify known historical shipwrecks in the project EMBA (Record of Consultation, reference 4.18).
  - VOGA corrected the previous statement that there were 31 historical wrecks within 100km of the EMBA, by providing the updated figure of 38 shipwrecks and shipwreck artifacts within the EMBA.
  - VOGA also clarified that there are no shipwrecks or artifacts located within the Operational Area, and clarified the nearest shipwreck.
  - VOGA provided a table in the email, sourced from this EP, which outlines all the historical wrecks within the project EMBA.
  - The email also clarified that DPLH and WAM had been contacted regarding the updated figures.
  - VOGA welcomed any feedback on the shipwreck information.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
DCCEEW provided feedback regarding the use of AUCHD for assessing located UCH sites and to use the tool to assess potential sites.	VOGA has noted DCCEEW's feedback for assessing the potential for unlocated UCH to be present in the study area.	VOGA has addressed DCCEEW's feedback by acknowledging the potential for unlocated UCH to be present in the study area.	The EP outlines the current assessment of UCH sites within the project EMBA and that there are no impacts to the values of any known underwater heritage or shipwrecks as a result of planned activities (Sections 3.6.3 and 5.2.3.1 of the EP). VOGA considers its current controls in accordance with the UCH Act.
DCCEEW provided feedback regarding the assessment of underwater cultural heritage.	VOGA has noted DCCEEW's advice on the legislation and protections as outlined in the UCH Act and the obligations of VOGA.	VOGA has addressed DCCEEW's feedback by acknowledging the legislation and protections as outlined in the UCH Act and the obligations of VOGA.	The EP outlines the current assessment of UCH sites within the project EMBA and that there are no impacts to the values of any known underwater

			heritage or shipwrecks as a result of planned activities (Sections 3.6.3 and 5.2.3.1 of the EP). VOGA considers its current controls in accordance with the UCH Act.
DCCEEW requested that VOGA notify the Minister within 21 days of a discovery through the AUCHD tool.	VOGA has noted the request to notify the Minister within 21 days of a discovery through the AUCHD tool.	VOGA has addressed DCCEEW's feedback by confirming the notification of the Minister within 21 days of a discovery through the AUCHD tool.	VOGA will notify the Minister within 21 days of a discovery through the AUCHD tool (Section 5.13, Table 5-54, CM-2.2).
DCCEEW advised that VOGA may want to seek out advice from an underwater archaeologist and undertake geophysical mapping of the seafloor during activities. While feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims on this EP.	VOGA has noted the request to hire an underwater archaeologist. VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA has addressed DCCEEW's feedback by acknowledging DCCEEW's recommendation to hire an underwater archaeologist.	Not required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with DCCEEW for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to DCCEEW on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable Period

- A consultation period was notified in the initial consultation correspondence and consultation material sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

- VOGA has addressed and responded to DCCEEW over a three-month period.

Reasonable Opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- In line with NOPSEMA's guideline for engagement with Commonwealth government departments or agencies, VOGA used email for its consultation with DCCEEW and DCCEEW responded by email.

Department of Defence (DoD)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed the DoD advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.9 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
    - The email also included VOGA's assessment of impacts or risks to defence for this EP, including:
        - An assessment of defence related uses in the Operational Area and EMBA
        - It concluded there are no unexploded ordnance (UXO) potential in the Operational Area.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed DoD following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.5 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.
    - The email also provided VOGA's analysis of defence-related issues as supplied on 2 December 2024.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision	VOGA accepts that DoD has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address DoD's functions, interests or activities.

	process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).		

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with DoD for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to DoD on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- In accordance with NOPSEMA's guideline for engaging with Commonwealth government departments or agencies, VOGA consulted with DoD via email.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding DoD of the opportunity to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

Department of Industry, Science and Resources (DISR)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed the DISR advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of

Consultation, reference 3.1 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed DISR following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.1 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that DISR has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address DISR's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with DISR for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to DISR on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- In accordance with NOPSEMA's guideline for engaging with Commonwealth government departments or agencies, VOGA consulted with DISR via email.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding DISR of the opportunity to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

Director of National Parks (DNP)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed the DNP advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.7 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
    - The email also included VOGA's assessment of relevant marine parks, including:
        - An analysis of what marine parks may be situated within the Operational Area or nearby
        - An assessment of potential risks to Australian Marine Parks
        - A list of Australian Marine Parks within the project EMBA
        - A NOPSEMA-approved oil spill response plan and a list of parks within the EMBA that will be contacted in the event of a spill following a review of hydrocarbon spill modelling.
- On 12 December 2024, DNP emailed VOGA and clarified that planned activities do not overlap Australian Marine Parks. DNP confirmed that no authorisation is needed from the DNP (SI Report, reference 11.1).
    - The email provided further information on titleholder requirements, the North-west Network Management Plan, and information for potential emergency responses.
    - DNP confirmed that it should be made aware of any oil or gas pollution incidences which occur within a marine park, and provided contact details for the officer to contact, as well as details on what any notification should include.
- On 18 December 2024, VOGA emailed DNP to thank them for their response and the information provided (SI Report, reference 11.2).
    - The email confirmed that VOGA will contact DNP if details regarding the activity change and result in an overlap with or new impact to a marine park, or for emergency responses

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
DNP confirmed that the activity does not overlap any Australian Marine Park.	VOGA noted DNP's confirmation of no activity overlap with Australian Marine Parks.	VOGA confirmed that no activities are set to overlap marine parks.	AMPs are assessed in Section 3.6.4 of the EP.
DNP confirmed that no authorisation is required for this activity.	VOGA noted that there were no requirements from the DNP at this time.	VOGA noted that there were no requirements from the DNP at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.
DNP requested an update should the operational area change.	VOGA noted DNP's request for contact regarding any activity change and will notify the Marine Compliance Duty Officer within 24 hours of any spill.	VOGA confirmed that it will contact the DNP regarding any activity change.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.
DNP requested that the 24 hour Marine Compliance Duty Officer be notified of any spill or pollution. While feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims on this EP.	VOGA noted DNP's request to notify the Marine Compliance Duty Officer within 24 hours of any spill.	VOGA confirmed that it will notify the Marine Compliance Duty Officer within 24 hours of any spill.	VOGA will notify DNP of any spill associated with this EP (Section 5.13, Table 5-54, CM-1.2) (Section 8, Table 8.6).

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with DNP for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to DNP on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was notified in the initial consultation correspondence and consultation material sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to DNP over a one-month period.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- In line with NOPSEMA's guideline for engagement with Commonwealth government departments or agencies, VOGA used email for its consultation with DNP and DNP responded by email.

Section 25(1)(a) and (b) of the OPGGS(E)R: Departments or agencies of Western Australia to which the activities to be carried out under the environment plan may be relevant.

State Government Departments and Agencies

Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DEMIRS)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed DEMIRS advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.1 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed DEMIRS following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.1 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted

No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that DEMIRS has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address DEMIRS's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with DEMIRS for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to DEMIRS on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding DEMIRS of the opportunity to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.
- In line with NOPSEMA's guideline for engagement with State government departments or agencies, VOGA used email for its consultation with DEMIRS.

Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed the DPLH advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.15 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, VOGA's assessment of underwater cultural heritage and historical wrecks, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
    - The email also included VOGA's assessment of underwater cultural heritage, including an analysis of any cultural heritage artifacts identified within the Operational Area or EMBA
    - The email also advised that VOGA had contacted the Western Australian Museum and Department of Climate Change, Energy, The Environment, and Water as part of the consultation outreach.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed DPLH following up on the proposed activity and provided VOGA's assessment of underwater cultural heritage, and a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.7 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.
    - The email also provided VOGA's analysis of underwater cultural heritage as supplied on 3 December 2024.
- On 17 March 2025, VOGA emailed DPLH to clarify known historical shipwrecks in the project EMBA (Record of Consultation, reference 4.19).
    - VOGA corrected the previous statement that there were 31 historical wrecks within 100km of the EMBA, by providing the updated figure of 38 shipwrecks and shipwreck artifacts within the EMBA.
    - VOGA also clarified that there are no shipwrecks or artifacts located within the Operational Area, and clarified the nearest shipwreck.
    - VOGA provided a table in the email, sourced from this EP, which outlines all the historical wrecks within the project EMBA.
    - The email also clarified that WAM and DCCEEW had been contacted regarding the updated figures.
    - VOGA welcomed any feedback on the shipwreck information.
- On 17 March 2025, DPLH emailed an automatic response to VOGA to confirm the receipt of email (SI Report, reference 34.1).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No formal response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision	VOGA accepts that DLPH has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address DLPH's

	process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).		functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with DPLH for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to DPLH on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding DPLH of the opportunity to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.
- In line with NOPSEMA's guideline for engagement with State government departments or agencies, VOGA used email for its consultation with DPLH.

Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed DBCA advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.1 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed DBCA following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of

Consultation, reference 4.1 and 1.1.).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.
- On 17 January 2025, DBCA emailed VOGA thanking them for the consultation information and provided comments for consideration (SI Report, reference 22.1).
    - The email outlined considerations for marine parks and conservation reserves located within the project EMBA, including the importance of values and documentation prior to activities being conducted. DBCA requested:
        - VOGA establish baseline survey data on current contamination and ecological values within the EMBA, as well as a risk assessment and information on threatened and protected fauna.
        - VOGA assess what baseline information may be required and identify suitable methods to identify information to ensure the impacts on ecological values would be monitored and remediated. This includes a before-after, control-impact (BACI) framework in planning and evaluation of VOGA's management response.
        - In the event of a hydrocarbon release, it is requested that VOGA notify DBCA's Pilbara regional office.
    - DBCA referred VOGA to:
        - The DCCEEW *National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife* as a best-practice industry standard for managing potential impacts of light pollution on marine fauna
        - The DoT marine pollution website information and Offshore Petroleum Industry Guidance Note of July 2020 titled *Marine Oil Pollution: Response and Consultation Arrangements* for WA emergency management arrangements for marine oil pollution incidents.
    - The email confirmed contact information for all future notifications and consultations.
- On 14 February 2025, VOGA emailed DBCA thanking them for their advice regarding this EP and for their guidance on ecologically important areas in the EMBA (SI Report, reference 22.2). In the email, VOGA:
    - Confirmed that the ecologically important areas had been assessed as part of this EP, and that VOGA maintains an understanding of areas of ecological importance within and adjacent to the operational areas and EMBA.
    - Outlined an assessment of potential management and mitigation measures had been considered in this EP and all relevant controls had been adopted to reduce the impact to as low as reasonably practical (ALARP).
    - Outlined that in accordance with the OPGGS(E)R 21(1) - (7), VOGA included details of the particular values and sensitivities of the environment within and in proximity to operational areas and the EMBA for impact assessment and risk evaluation.
    - VOGA acknowledged its plans are in accordance with industry best practice standards for managing potential impacts of light pollution on marine fauna and confirmed it referred to the DCCEEW Guidelines
    - VOGA confirmed that as per the Oil Pollution Emergency Plan (OPEP), that in the event of an oil spill where marine wildlife is potentially impacted, VOGA will notify DBCA's Pilbara regional office.
        - VOGA noted that DBCA will not implement an oiled wildlife management response on behalf of a petroleum operator.
        - And advised that it has referred to guidance from the Department of Transport (DoT) and has consulted with the DoT – marine pollution as the jurisdictional authority while developing the OPEP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted

DBCA provided guidance on ecologically important areas within the EMBA, including marine parks in the vicinity of the proposed operations, and requested that baseline values and potentially affected environment areas be documented prior to any operations commencing.	VOGA acknowledged that areas of ecological importance should be assessed and that baseline values should be documented prior to operations.	VOGA confirmed that areas of ecological importance have been assessed as part of this EP's development. VOGA maintains a baseline understanding of areas of ecological importance within and adjacent to the operational areas and EMBA.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.
DBCA requested that VOGA acquire the relevant information to implement a Before-After, Control-Impact (BACI) framework.	VOGA acknowledged that a baseline understanding of ecological importance is important, and that VOGA follows the BACI framework.	VOGA confirmed an assessment of potential management and mitigation measures was considered in this EP to reduce the impact to ALARP.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.
DBCA recommended light pollution guidelines.	VOGA acknowledged that the light pollution claim has merit.	VOGA's plans are in accordance with industry best practice standards for managing light pollution on marine fauna (See Section 5.6.3.1 of the EP).	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.
DBCA requested VOGA contact DBCA's Pilbara regional office in the event of a hydrocarbon release.	VOGA acknowledged the request to contact DBCA's regional office in the event of a hydrocarbon release.	VOGA confirmed that it will contact DBCA's Pilbara regional office in the event of a hydrocarbon release.	VOGA will notify DBCA of any hydrocarbon release associated with this EP (Section 5.13, Table 5-54, CM-1.2) (Section 8, Table 8.6).
DBCA requested VOGA refer to the DoT web content and an offshore industry guidance note for WA marine oil pollution. While feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims on this EP.	VOGA acknowledged the request to refer to the DoT information and industry guidance note. VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA confirmed it has referred to the DoT's web content regarding marine pollution and has consulted with the DoT while developing the OPEP.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with DBCA for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to DBCA on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.
- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence and consultation material sent.

Reasonable period

- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to DBCA over a three-month period.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- In line with NOPSEMA's guideline for engagement with State government departments or agencies, VOGA used email for its consultation with DBCA and DBCA responded by email.

Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) – Fisheries

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed DPIRD advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fisher Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.8, 1.1 and 1.2).
    - The email included an activity summary, information for the commercial fishing sector, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
    - The Commercial Fisher Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities; coordinates for operational areas; an activity summary; assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts; and VOGA's impact assessment.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed DPIRD following up on the proposed activity, provided information for the commercial fishing sector and provided a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fishing Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.8, 1.1 and 1.2).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.
    - The email also provided information for the Commercial Fishing Sector as supplied on 2 December 2024.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that DPIRD has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address DPIRD's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with DPIRD for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

- Consultation information provided to DPIRD on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.
- A Commercial Fisher Information Sheet was provided to DPIRD on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.
- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding DPIRD of the opportunity to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.
- In line with NOPSEMA's guideline for engagement with State government departments or agencies, VOGA used email for its consultation with DPIRD.

Department of Transport (DoT) – marine pollution

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed the DoT advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.1 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 6 December 2024, DoT emailed VOGA requesting consultation if there is a risk of a spill impacting state waters and referenced the guidance note "Marine Oil Pollution: Response and Consultation Arrangements (July 2020)" (SI Report, reference 10.1).
- On 18 December 2024, VOGA emailed DoT, thanking them for their response and noted DoT's preferred approach for consultation. VOGA confirmed it would prepare the information in the requested format (SI Report, reference 10.2).
- On 15 January 2025, VOGA emailed DoT to provide them with the information requested in the Guidance Note. VOGA provided an attachment with the information required (SI Report, reference 10.3, 10.3.1).
    - The attached guidance note outlined the potential damages from spills following the proposed activities. It provided an overview of oil reactants and the opportunity for dispersant efficacy in the event of a spill. The document also provided details on response strategies and incident control arrangements.
- On 24 March 2025, DoT emailed VOGA to thank them for providing the requested information and advised that the Department has no comment on the proposed activity (SI Report, reference 10.4).

○ Dot requested that any finalised submitted plans should be sent to Dot for their record-keeping.			
Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
DoT requested that it be consulted if there is a risk of a potential spill impacting state waters from any proposed activity.	VOGA acknowledged DoT's request to be consulted in the event of any potential spill.	VOGA confirmed it will consult DoT in the event of any potential spill.	VOGA will consult DoT in the event of any potential spill.
DoT referred VOGA to the department's Petroleum Industry Guidance Note.	VOGA acknowledged and adopted DoT's notice of the department's Guidance Note as DoT's preferred method of consultation and information provision.	VOGA provided DoT with the information as requested in Appendix 6 of the Industry Guidance Note.	VOGA has provided DoT with the information as requested.
DoT thanked VOGA for suppling the information and advised that the DoT had no comment on the proposed activities. While feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims on this EP.	VOGA accepts that DoT has no comment on the proposed activities. VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 8.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that DoT has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.
Consultation demonstration statement			
VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with DoT for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically: **Sufficient Information** • Consultation information provided to DoT on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities. • Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024. **Reasonable period**			

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence and consultation material sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA has addressed and responded to DoT over a four-month period.
- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- In line with NOPSEMA's guideline for engagement with State government departments or agencies, VOGA used email for its consultation with DoT and DoT responded by email.

Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Advisory Committee (NCWHAC)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed the NCWHAC advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.1 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed NCWHAC following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.1 and 1.1.).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that NCWHAC has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address NCWHAC's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with NCWHAC for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to NCWHAC on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding NCWHAC of the opportunity to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.
- In line with NOPSEMA's guideline for engagement with State government departments or agencies, VOGA used email for its consultation with NCWHAC.

Pilbara Development Commission (PDC)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed the PDC advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.1 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed PDC following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation,

reference 4.1 and 1.1).

- The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that PDC has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address PDC's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with PDC for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to PDC on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding PDC of the opportunity to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.
- In line with NOPSEMA's guideline for engagement with State government departments or agencies, VOGA used email for its consultation with PDC.

Pilbara Ports Authority (PPA)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed the PPA advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.1 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 6 December 2024, PPA emailed VOGA stating that they had no comment or feedback regarding the proposed scope and environmental management (SI Report, reference 4.1).
    - The email requested that PPA be notified if any unplanned event occurs regarding the operational Port at Varanus Island.
- On 11 December 2024, VOGA emailed PPA confirming the receipt of the December 6 email and confirming that VOGA would provide relevant updates if an unplanned event was to occur (SI Report, reference 4.2).
- On 11 December 2024, PPA emailed VOGA, the email was an automatic reply as the representative of PPA was on leave at the time (SI Report, reference 4.3).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
PPA advised that it had reviewed the materials sent and had no comment on the proposed activities.	VOGA notes that PPA has advised that it has no comment on the proposed activities under this EP.	VOGA accepts that PPA has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address PPA's functions, interests or activities.
PPA requested that it be notified as soon as practicable if an unplanned event occurs. While feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims on this EP.	VOGA accepts PPA's request for notification. VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA confirmed that it will notify PPA of any unplanned events as soon as practicable.	VOGA will notify PPA of any unplanned events. (Section 5.13, Table 5-54, CM1.2) (Section 8, Table 8.6)

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with PPA for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to PPA on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence and consultation material sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to PPA over a one-month period.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- In line with NOPSEMA's guideline for engagement with State government departments or agencies, VOGA used email for its consultation with PPA and PPA responded by email .

Section 25(1)(d) of the OPGGS(E)R: Persons or organisations whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by the activities to be carried out under the environment plan

State commercial fishing - representative bodies

Western Australian Fishing Industry Council (WAFIC)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed WAFIC to introduce their operations and upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field. (Record of Consultation, reference 3.2.2).
    - VOGA sought the WAFIC's input on the preferred method of consultation with commercial fisheries and aimed to ensure the engagement was tailored to meet their needs.
    - VOGA also provided information on confidentiality, information for the commercial fishing sector, and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
- Between 2 December 2024 and 17 January 2025, WAFIC and VOGA exchanged correspondence regarding a fee for service arrangement for

consultation with state commercial fisheries. This is summarised below at "**Record of fee for service arrangement"** section.

- On 17 January 2025, WAFIC emailed VOGA requesting further information about the proposed activities (SI Report, reference 1.10).
  - The number of vessels that would be involved in the surveys, the time of potential subsequent surveys.
  - An acknowledgement of ongoing communication with mariners for activity commencement and exclusion zones.
  - WAFIC is to be included in any vessel operation look-ahead associated with this EP.
  - A request and confirmation that, in the event of an unplanned event, VOGA is to contact WAFIC in keeping with the Oil spill response planning documents and to make contact within 24 hours of the event and for VOGA to retain a current list of WA commercial fisheries that potential scenarios could impact.
  - Confirmation that VOGA will have a suitable Operational and Scientific Monitoring Program (OSMP) to determine impacts and recovery of the marine environment, and for VOGA to view WAFIC's position regarding consultation and unplanned events.
  - The email confirmed that WAFIC has no further comments on the proposed activities.
- On 14 February 2025, VOGA emailed WAFIC (SI Report, reference 1.12).
  - VOGA advised it will provide ongoing communication with mariners on the proposed activities and confirmed that:
    - Two vessels would be used to undertake the survey
    - VOGA would advise WAFIC on the timing of any potential subsequent surveys, and notify WAFIC of any vessel operations.
    - In the event of an unplanned event, as per the Exploration and Survey Oil Pollution Emergency Plan, VOGA would notify WAFIC within 24 hours in the event of a Level 2 oil spill.
    - VOGA would routinely update its EP to ensure all existing information is up to date, which includes a list of state commercial fisheries that may be impacted.
  - VOGA advised that it has prepared and adopted an Oil Spill Monitoring Plan utilising joint industry framework. VOGA is a member of the Oil Spill Response Limited industry arrangement for management.
  - VOGA thanked WAFIC for their position regarding consultation with the relevant fishing industry and that VOGA would utilise the following:
    - Baseline scientific data on aquatic organisms and the marine environment within the OSMP
    - Understanding the *Fish Resources Management Act 1994* and its procedures is part of VOGA's industry and government liaison process.
    - Scientific monitoring for potential fish tainting.
    - Scientific and operational monitoring in the event of an oil spill as the basis of VOGA's OSMP
    - And that if this should occur, VOGA would consider the matter of compensation.

Record of fee for service arrangement:

- On 29 November 2024, VOGA called WAFIC to request information regarding a fee-for-service engagement for consultation with state commercial fisheries (SI Report, reference 1.1).
  - During the call, WAFIC provided the appropriate contact to arrange the agreement and to share consultation information.
- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed WAFIC to request a fee-for-service engagement following a review of WAFIC's consultation framework for Commercial Fisheries in Western Australia (Record of Consultation, reference 3.2.1).
  - The email included attachments of a Consultation Information Sheet and a Commercial Fisher-specific Information Sheet providing relevant details for commercial fisheries (Record of Consultation, reference 1.1 and 1.2).
  - VOGA provided a list of relevant state-managed fisheries based on government fishing effort data. VOGA also provided a list of potential

impacts and information about exclusionary zones and communication.

- On 3 December 2024, WAFIC emailed and thanked VOGA for the information provided, and advised information preferred from VOGA for the consultation with state fisheries via the fee for service (SI Report, reference 1.2). WAFIC advised:
  - VOGA should prepare an accompanying email to distribute to licence holders that summaries planned activity.
  - Licence holders are provided 30 days to respond and that licence holders would provide feedback directly to WAFIC. WAFIC stated that at the end of the feedback period, WAFIC would collate the feedback and provide it to VOGA.
  - WAFIC requested information regarding what fisheries VOGA wished to consult with.
- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed WAFIC regarding the fee-for-service engagement (SI Report, reference 1.3).
  - The email included a draft email to fisheries and a list of state-managed fisheries identified by VOGA as relevant for consultation (SI Report, reference 1.3.1).
  - The email requested that WAFIC provide the licence holders with the attached documents from the 2 December 2024 email.
- On 4 December 2024, WAFIC emailed VOGA regarding the fee-for-service engagement and consultation material (SI Report, reference 1.4).
  - WAFIC confirmed the distribution of attachments during the licence holder outreach.
  - WAFIC recommended that the number of fisheries should be reduced and an operational area map should be included.
    - The recommendation by WAFIC outlined the process for identifying the relevance of fisheries and provided WAFIC's preferred approach to undertaking consultation.
  - WAFIC outlined its preference for licence holders to provide feedback directly to WAFIC and that any feedback will be provided to VOGA at the end of the consultation process. The email included an updated list of recommended fisheries for consultation and changes to the draft email for fisheries (SI Report, reference 1.4.1).
- On 5 December 2024, VOGA emailed WAFIC regarding the fee-for-service engagement, relevant fisheries for consultation and consultation information (SI Report, reference 1.5).
  - The email confirmed the receipt of advice and suggestions and agreed to proceed with the recommendations on the draft email and updated list of relevant fisheries recommended by WAFIC.
  - The email included two additional maps for inclusion in the email and confirmed the adoption of separate strategies for unplanned events. (SI Report, reference 1.5.1).
- On 6 December 2024, WAFIC emailed VOGA regarding the fee-for-service engagement and consultation (SI Report, reference 1.6).
  - The email provided an update on relevant fisheries and outlined a final recommended list of fisheries to be consulted.
  - A follow-up email was received, including an additional relevant fishery, which was missed in the previous email.
- On 9 December 2024, VOGA emailed WAFIC regarding the fee-for-service engagement and consultation (SI Report, reference 1.7).
  - VOGA confirmed and agreed to the recommended fisheries from WAFIC.
- On 9 December 2024, WAFIC emailed VOGA regarding the fee-for-service engagement and consultation (SI Report, reference 1.8).
  - The email confirmed the approved emails and attachments were distributed to the agreed fisheries.
  - The email also stated that WAFIC would provide feedback received by licence holders on the EP after 17 January 2025.
- On 9 December 2024, VOGA emailed WAFIC regarding the fee-for-service engagement and consultation, thanking WAFIC for their assistance (SI Report, reference 1.9).
- On 17 January 2025, WAFIC emailed VOGA confirming no feedback was received from the fishing industry concerning this EP (SI Report, reference 1.10).
- On 21 January 2025, WAFIC emailed VOGA to follow up on the outstanding invoice. The invoice was reattached to the email. (SI Report, reference 1.11

and 1.11.1).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
WAFIC requested to be included in any vessel operation notification associated with this EP.	VOGA accepts WAFIC's request for inclusion in vessel operation notification.	VOGA will notify WAFIC of any vessel operations associated with this EP and advise WAFIC on the timing of subsequent EPs.	VOGA will notify WAFIC of any vessel operations associated with this EP (Section 5, Table 5-54, CM-1.2) (Section 8, Table 8.6)
In the event of a Level 2 oil spill, WAFIC requested to be notified of any unplanned event within 24 hours.	VOGA accepts WAFIC's request for notification in the event of an unplanned event or spill.	VOGA will notify WAFIC within 24 hours in the event of a Level 2 oil spill.	VOGA will notify WAFIC within 24 hours in the event of a Level 2 oil spill. (Section 8, Table 8.6)
WAFIC requested ongoing communication with mariners regarding notices on activity commencement and distances regarding temporary exclusion zones.	VOGA accepts WAFIC's request regarding ongoing communication with mariners.	VOGA initiated a fee-for-service model with WAFIC to communicate with mariners on activity commencement and distances regarding exclusion zones.	VOGA has initiated the engagement to enable ongoing communication with mariners.
WAFIC requested VOGA retain a list of WA commercial fisheries that potential unplanned events may affect.	VOGA accepts WAFIC's request to retain a list of relevant fisheries in the event of potential unplanned events.	WAFIC has provided VOGA with a list of WA commercial fisheries and will communicate on behalf of VOGA as part of the fee-for-service model.	No action required.
WAFIC requested that VOGA have suitable OSMP to determine impacts and recovery of marine environments.	VOGA accepts WAFIC's request for suitable OSMP's.	VOGA has initiated appropriate controls in an OSMP and has initiated procedures as part of the Fish Resources Management Act 1994 as part of VOGA's industry and government liaison process, including scientific monitoring for potential fish tainting and in the event of an oil spill as the basis of VOGA's OSMP.	VOGA has enacted the appropriate controls as outlined.

WAFIC requested VOGA review WAFIC's position regarding consultation and unplanned events. While feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims on this EP.	VOGA accepts WAFIC's position regarding consultation and unplanned events.	VOGA confirmed it had reviewed WAFIC's position regarding ongoing consultation and unplanned events. VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with WAFIC for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to WAFIC on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities, including a Commercial Fisher Information Sheet, which is tailored to the groups interests.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence and consultation material sent.
- Commercial Fisher Information Sheet has been provided to stakeholder and publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to WAFIC over a two-month period.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.

State commercial fisheries			
Mackerel Managed Fishery - Area 2			
Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP			
• In accordance with VOGA's "Fee for Service Agreement" with WAFIC and WAFIC's 'Commercial Fishing Consultation Framework for the Offshore Oil and Gas Sector' WAFIC engaged the licence holders of Mackerel Managed Fishery - Area 2 on behalf of VOGA as their fishing area intersects the operational area and there is expected fishing effort (Record of Consultation, references 3.2.1 – 3.2.2). • On 2 December 2024, VOGA provided consultation information to WAFIC to distribute to licence holders of Mackerel Managed Fishery – Area 2 (Record of Consultation, reference 1.2. SI Report, reference 1.5.1). • On 9 December 2024, WAFIC contacted licence holders of Mackerel Managed Fishery – Area 2 to advise of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fisher Information sheet (SI Report, reference 1.8 and Record of Consultation, reference 1.1 and 1.2). o The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation. o The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information. o The Commercial Fisher information sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities; coordinates for operational areas; an activity summary; assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts; and VOGA's impact assessment. • On 17 January 2025, WAFIC emailed VOGA advising it did not receive any feedback from licence holders on this EP, including from licence holders of Mackerel Managed Fishery – Area 2 (SI Report, reference 1.10).			
Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA accepts that the individual relevant licence holders of the Mackerel Managed Fishery – Area 2 have no comment at this time.	VOGA has consulted DPIRD, WAFIC and individual relevant licence holders via WAFIC. VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA has assessed the relevancy of State fisheries in Section 3.5.1 of this EP. VOGA will notify DPIRD and WAFIC prior to the commencement and at the end of the activity. No additional measures or controls are required.
Consultation demonstration statement			

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Mackerel Managed Fishery - Area 2 for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient information

- Consultation information was provided to the Mackerel Managed Fishery - Area 2 through WAFIC on 9 December 2024, based on their function, interest, and activities, including a Commercial Fisher Information Sheet, which is tailored to the groups interests.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence and consultation material sent.
- Commercial Fisher Information Sheet, developed specifically for fisheries, was provided to the Mackerel Managed Fishery - Area 2 on 9 December 2024.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.

Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- In accordance with VOGA's "Fee for Service Agreement" with WAFIC and WAFIC's 'Commercial Fishing Consultation Framework for the Offshore Oil and Gas Sector' WAFIC engaged the licence holders of Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery on behalf of VOGA as their fishing area intersects the operational area and there is expected fishing effort (Record of Consultation, references 3.2.1 – 3.2.2).
- On 2 December 2024, VOGA provided consultation information to WAFIC to distribute to licence holders of Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery (Record of Consultation, reference 1.2. SI Report, reference 1.5.1).
- On 9 December 2024, WAFIC contacted licence holders of Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery to advise of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fisher Information sheet (SI Report, reference 1.8 and Record of Consultation, reference 1.1 and 1.2).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.

- The Commercial Fisher information sheet included an overview of the proposed activities, key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities, coordinates for operational areas, an activity summary, an assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts, and VOGA's impact assessment.

- On 17 January 2025, WAFIC emailed VOGA advising it did not receive any feedback from licence holders on this EP, including from licence holders of Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery (SI Report, reference 1.10).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA accepts that the individual relevant licence holders of the Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery have no comment at this time.	VOGA has consulted DPIRD, WAFIC and individual relevant licence holders via WAFIC. VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA has assessed the relevancy of State fisheries in Section 3.5.1 of this EP. VOGA will notify DPIRD and WAFIC prior to the commencement and at the end of the activity. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information was provided to the Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery through WAFIC on 9 December 2024, based on their function, interest, and activities, including a Commercial Fisher Information Sheet, which is tailored to the groups interests.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence and consultation material sent.
- Commercial Fisher Information Sheet, developed specifically for fisheries, was provided to the Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery on 9 December 2024.

- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.

Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- In accordance with VOGA's "Fee for Service Agreement" with WAFIC and WAFIC's 'Commercial Fishing Consultation Framework for the Offshore Oil and Gas Sector' WAFIC engaged the licence holders of Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery on behalf of VOGA as their fishing area intersects the operational area and there is expected fishing effort (Record of Consultation, references 3.2.1 – 3.2.2).
- On 2 December 2024, VOGA provided consultation information to WAFIC to distribute to licence holders of Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery (Record of Consultation, reference 1.2. SI Report, reference 1.5.1).
- On 9 December 2024, WAFIC contacted licence holders of Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery to advise of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fisher Information sheet (SI Report, reference 1.8 and Record of Consultation, reference 1.1 and 1.2).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
    - The Commercial Fisher information sheet included an overview of the proposed activities, key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities, coordinates for operational areas, an activity summary, an assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts, and VOGA's impact assessment.
- On 17 January 2025, WAFIC emailed VOGA advising it did not receive any feedback from licence holders on this EP, including from licence holders of Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery (SI Report, reference 1.10).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted

No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA accepts that the individual relevant licence holders of the Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery have no comment at this time.	VOGA has consulted DPIRD, WAFIC and individual relevant licence holders via WAFIC. VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA has assessed the relevancy of State fisheries in Section 3.5.1 of this EP. VOGA will notify DPIRD and WAFIC prior to the commencement and at the end of the activity. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information was provided to the Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery through WAFIC on 9 December 2024, based on their function, interest, and activities, including a Commercial Fisher Information Sheet, which is tailored to the groups interests.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence and consultation material sent.
- Commercial Fisher Information Sheet, developed specifically for fisheries, was provided to the Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery on 9 December 2024.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.

Pilbara Line Fishery (Condition)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- In accordance with VOGA's "Fee for Service Agreement" with WAFIC and WAFIC's 'Commercial Fishing Consultation Framework for the Offshore Oil and Gas Sector' WAFIC engaged the licence holders of Pilbara Line Fishery (Condition) on behalf of VOGA as their fishing area intersects the operational area and there is expected fishing effort (Record of Consultation, references 3.2.1 – 3.2.2).
- On 2 December 2024, VOGA provided consultation information to WAFIC to distribute to licence holders of Pilbara Line Fishery (Condition) (Record of Consultation, reference 1.2. SI Report, reference 1.5.1).
- On 9 December 2024, WAFIC contacted licence holders of Pilbara Line Fishery (Condition) to advise of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fisher Information sheet (SI Report, reference 1.8 and Record of Consultation, reference 1.1 and 1.2).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
    - The Commercial Fisher information sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities; coordinates for operational areas; an activity summary; assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts; and VOGA's impact assessment.
- On 17 January 2025, WAFIC emailed VOGA advising it did not receive any feedback from licence holders on this EP, including from licence holders of Pilbara Line Fishery (Condition) (SI Report, reference 1.10).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA accepts that the individual relevant licence holders of the Pilbara Line Fishery (Condition) have no comment at this time.	VOGA has consulted DPIRD, WAFIC and individual relevant licence holders via WAFIC. VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received VOGA will apply its Management of Change and	VOGA has assessed the relevancy of State fisheries in Section 3.5.1 of this EP. VOGA will notify DPIRD and WAFIC prior to the commencement and at the end of the activity.

		Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Pilbara Line Fishery (Condition) for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information was provided to the Pilbara Line Fishery (Condition) through WAFIC on 9 December 2024, based on their function, interest, and activities, including a Commercial Fisher Information Sheet, which is tailored to the groups interests.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence and consultation material sent.
- Commercial Fisher Information Sheet, developed specifically for fisheries, was provided to the Pilbara Line Fishery (Condition) on 9 December 2024.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.

Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- In accordance with VOGA's "Fee for Service Agreement" with WAFIC and WAFIC's 'Commercial Fishing Consultation Framework for the Offshore Oil and Gas Sector' WAFIC engaged the licence holders of Pilbara Trawl Managed Fishery on behalf of VOGA as their fishing area intersects the operational area and there is expected fishing effort (Record of Consultation, references 3.2.1 – 3.2.2).
- On 2 December 2024, VOGA provided consultation information to WAFIC to distribute to licence holders of Pilbara Trawl Managed Fishery (Record of Consultation, reference 1.2. SI Report, reference 1.5.1).
- On 9 December 2024, WAFIC contacted licence holders of Pilbara Trawl Managed Fishery to advise of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fisher Information sheet (SI Report, reference 1.8 and Record of Consultation, reference 1.1 and

1.2).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
    - The Commercial Fisher information sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities; coordinates for operational areas; an activity summary; assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts; and VOGA's impact assessment.
- On 17 January 2025, WAFIC emailed VOGA advising it did not receive any feedback from licence holders on this EP, including from licence holders of Pilbara Trawl Managed Fishery. (SI Report, reference 1.10).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA accepts that the individual relevant licence holders of the Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery have no comment at this time.	VOGA has consulted DPIRD, WAFIC and individual relevant licence holders via WAFIC. VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA has assessed the relevancy of State fisheries in Section 3.5.1 of this EP. VOGA will notify DPIRD and WAFIC prior to the commencement and at the end of the activity. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information was provided to the Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery through WAFIC on 9 December 2024, based on their function, interest, and activities, including a Commercial Fisher Information Sheet, which is tailored to the groups interests.

- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence and consultation material sent.
- Commercial Fisher Information Sheet, developed specifically for fisheries, was provided to the Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery on 9 December 2024.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.

Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- In accordance with VOGA's "Fee for Service Agreement" with WAFIC and WAFIC's 'Commercial Fishing Consultation Framework for the Offshore Oil and Gas Sector' WAFIC engaged the licence holders of Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery on behalf of VOGA as their fishing area intersects the operational area and there is expected fishing effort (Record of Consultation, references 3.2.1 – 3.2.2).
- On 2 December 2024, VOGA provided consultation information to WAFIC to distribute to licence holders of Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery (Record of Consultation, reference 1.2. SI Report, reference 1.5.1).
- On 9 December 2024, WAFIC contacted licence holders of Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery to advise of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fisher Information sheet (SI Report, reference 1.8 and Record of Consultation, reference 1.1 and 1.2).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
    - The Commercial Fisher information sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities; coordinates for operational areas; an activity summary; assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts; and VOGA's impact assessment.
- On 17 January 2025, WAFIC emailed VOGA advising it did not receive any feedback from licence holders on this EP, including from licence holders of Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery (SI Report, reference 1.10).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted

No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA accepts that the individual relevant licence holders of the Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery have no comment at this time.	VOGA has consulted DPIRD, WAFIC and individual relevant licence holders via WAFIC. VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA has assessed the relevancy of State fisheries in Section 3.5.1 of this EP. VOGA will notify DPIRD and WAFIC prior to the commencement and at the end of the activity. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information was provided to the Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery through WAFIC on 9 December 2024, based on their function, interest, and activities, including a Commercial Fisher Information Sheet, which is tailored to the groups interests.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence and consultation material sent.
- Commercial Fisher Information Sheet, developed specifically for fisheries, was provided to the Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery on 9 December 2024.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 to 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
  From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.

Recreational fishers and marine users and peak representative bodies			
WA Game Fishing Association (WAGFA)			
Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP			

• On 14 November 2024, VOGA emailed WAGFA to introduce their operations and upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field. (Record of Consultation, reference 2.21).
  ○ VOGA sought WAGFA's input on the preferred method of consultation with recreational fishers and aimed to ensure the engagement was tailored to meet their needs.
  ○ VOGA also provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
• On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed WAGFA advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.5 and 1.1).
  ○ The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
  ○ The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
• On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed WAGFA following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.10 and 1.1).
  ○ The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.			

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that WAGFA has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address WAGFA's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with WAGFA for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Initial outreach and consultation information provided to WAGFA on 14 November 2024, to inform of upcoming activities based on WAGFA's function, interests and activities.
- Consultation information provided to WAGFA on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding WAGFA of the opportunity to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

Recfishwest

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 13 November 2024, VOGA emailed Recfishwest to introduce their operations and upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field (Record of Consultation, reference 2.18).
    - VOGA sought Recfishwest's input on the preferred method of consulting recreational fishers and aimed to ensure the engagement was tailored to their needs.
    - VOGA also provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
- On 19 November 2024, VOGA received a response from Recfishwest, providing information regarding the scope of Recfishwest and the organisation's mission, including the development of artificial reefs at offshore facilities to assist in creating productive fish habitats and ecosystem services which benefit recreational fishing. The email also expressed interest in arranging a meeting for Recfishwest to present to VOGA (SI Report, reference 2.1).
    - The email detailed the importance of recreational fishing in the Pilbara region of Western Australia, including its host of offshore islands, coral

reef systems and offshore habitats. It highlighted the importance of recreational fishing on tourism to the region.
    - Recfishwest outlined the area surrounding the Wandoo Facility being commonly accessed for deepwater fishing by the charter sector and fishers in larger boats.
- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed Recfishwest advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.5 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 11 December 2024, VOGA emailed Recfishwest accepting the offer of a meeting and proposed dates for a potential meeting (SI Report, reference 2.2).
- On 20 December 2024, Recfishwest emailed VOGA confirming its availability to meet with VOGA (SI Report, reference 2.3).
- On 9 January 2025, VOGA emailed Recfishwest confirming the meeting and sent a meeting invitation (SI Report, reference 2.4 and 2.5).
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA called Recfishwest to follow up on the meeting request (SI Report, reference 2.6).
    - During the call, Recfishwest confirmed their in-person and remote attendance at VOGA's office. The scope of the meeting was agreed upon, and Recfishwest advised its request to present information and discuss ongoing engagement.
- On 13 January 2025, Recfishwest emailed VOGA confirming their attendance (SI Report, reference 2.7).
- On 14 January 2025, VOGA met with Recfishwest for an initial consultation meeting (SI Report, reference 2.8).
    - The meeting involved a mutually agreed agenda of presentations from both VOGA and Recfishwest.
    - VOGA to provided background on Wandoo, operations, and activities going forward with the project.
    - Recfishwest provided background on the organisation; key information regarding recreational fishing; and provided an overview of its work with artificial reef structures.
    - VOGA's presentation provided an overview of the proposed activities under this EP and VOGA welcomed any feedback from Recfishwest (SI Report, reference 2.8.1).
        - Recfishwest asked about any data collection and research VOGA undertakes during its surveys, to which VOGA responded its not something undertaken during the surveys, however there are other activities undertaken to collect fish data. VOGA offered to share additional information on this.
        - Recfishwest asked a number of questions about VOGA's activities that are not relevant to the activities proposed under this EP. These concerned life of the facility, decommissioning and information sharing about consultation. VOGA provided further information regarding production life and decommissioning and its rigs to reef program.
    - Recfishwest's presentation provided background on the organisation, shared information regarding the recreational fishing sector, and outlined information concerning recreational fishing in the Pilbara and community values.
        - Recfishwest provided an overview of its services and the group's ability to install artificial reef structures.
            - It shared information about alternative operations for decommissioning, timelines for work on artificial reefs, and information on sea dumping permits and the organisation's policy work.
            - Recfishwest evidenced current and proposed locations of artificial reefs.
            - Recfishwest shared information on industry partnerships.

- The meeting concluded with VOGA committing to provide ongoing consultation with Recfishwest through the life of the EP.
- Recfishwest offered to provide input to the recreational fishing section of this EP and VOGA agreed to provide the section for review and input.
- Recfishwest expressed desire for continued information sharing with VOGA to inform its research and VOGA agreed to share information on its rigs to reef program and monitoring programs undertaken.

- On 15 January 2025, Recfishwest emailed VOGA providing feedback that information regarding recreational fishing activities was missing from VOGA's consultation materials, and that some information was outdated on recreational fishing within the existing Wandoo Facility EP (SI Report, reference 2.9).
  - Recfishwest offered to review information on recreational fishing within VOGA's EPs to ensure its up-to-date and accurate.
  - Recfishwest requested to be kept informed regarding progress so relevant information may be communicated to the recreational fishing community.
  - Recfishwest outlined that the Wandoo field is highly accessed for deepwater fishing by the charter sector and fishers in larger boars, and outlined that an acknowledgement for recreational fishers appeared absent in the consultation information sheets, and that outdated information on recreational fishing was present in the existing Wandoo EP.
- On 12 February 2025, VOGA emailed Recfishwest to thank them for their support and offer to review information on recreational fishing within this EP (SI Report, reference 2.10).
  - VOGA provided an updated draft excerpt of the EP with information on recreational fishing and welcomed feedback and input from Recfishwest. VOGA advised it would consider the advice and input for recreational fishing.
  - VOGA offered to provide Recfishwest with notifications for activity updates, start timing, and exclusion zones and thanked Recfishwest for their offer to communicate with recreational fishers as appropriate.
- On 30 April 2025, Recfishwest emailed VOGA apologising for the delay in correspondence, and informed VOGA of a recent trip undertaken by Recfishwest to learn more regarding the rigs-to-reefs program. Recfishwest also advised that they would like to share this information with VOGA. Recfishwest also responded to the proposed text provided to VOGA (SI Report, reference 2.11).
  - Regarding the proposed text, Recfishwest provided additional text to include, and information that as more data is received on recreational fishing, that Recfishwest would provide VOGA with updates for future EP revisions.
- On 6 May 2025, VOGA emailed Recfishwest to confirm that VOGA would welcome a presentation or any lessons learnt during the conference to assist with decommissioning planning. VOGA also thanked Recfishwest for the updated text, and confirmed that the text would be included as part of this EP (SI Report, reference 2.12).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
Recfishwest provided feedback regarding VOGA's coverage of recreational fishing in another EP and offered to provide input to update the information.	VOGA accepts Recfishwest's feedback regarding coverage of recreational fishing in this EP.	VOGA provided updated draft for this EP for Recfishwest to review and provide input. VOGA advised it will consider Recfishwest's advice on recreational fishing and incorporate it into its EP as appropriate.	No additional EP controls required.

Recfishwest provided feedback regarding VOGA's draft text on recreational fishing in this EP.	VOGA noted Recfishwest's feedback regarding the draft recreational fishing information.	VOGA accepts Recfishwest's feedback and has considered Recfishwest's advice regarding recreational fishing.	VOGA has incorporated Recfishwest's feedback into this EP.
Recfishwest requested to be notified about the progress of the EP and project to keep the recreational fishing community informed. While feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims on this EP.	VOGA accepts Recfishwest's request to be notified.	VOGA offered to provide activity updates to Recfishwest on start timing and inclusion zones. VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA has offered to provide notifications to Recfishwest on progress during this EP's activities. VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Recfishwest for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Initial outreach and consultation information provided to Recfishwest on 13 November 2024, to inform of upcoming activities based on Recfishwest's function, interests and activities.
- Consultation information provided to Recfishwest on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to Recfishwest over a seven-month period.

- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA met with Recfishwest on 14 January 2025, during the meeting, information was shared regarding the Recreational Fishing industry.

Marine Tourism WA

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 14 November 2024, VOGA emailed Marine Tourism WA to introduce their operations and upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field. (Record of Consultation, reference 2.19).
    - VOGA sought Marine Tourism WA‘s input on the preferred method of consultation with recreational fishers and aimed to ensure the engagement was tailored to meet their needs.
    - VOGA also provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed Marine Tourism WA advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.5 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA’s website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Marine Tourism WA following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.10 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Marine Tourism WA has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Marine Tourism WA’s functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Marine Tourism WA for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Initial outreach and consultation information provided to Marine Tourism WA on 14 November 2024, to inform of upcoming activities based on Marine Tourism WA's function, interests and activities.
- Consultation information provided to Marine Tourism WA on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Marine Tourism WA of the opportunity to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

Ashburton Anglers

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed Ashburton Anglers advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.5 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May

Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.

- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Ashburton Anglers' following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.10 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Ashburton Anglers has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Ashburton Anglers' functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Ashburton Anglers for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Ashburton Anglers on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.

- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Ashburton Anglers of the opportunity to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

Exmouth Game Fishing Club (EGFC)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed EGFC advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.5 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed EGFC following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.10 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that EGFC has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address EGFC's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with EGFC for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to EGFC on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding EGFC of the opportunity to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

King Bay Game Fishing Club (KBFC)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed KBFC advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.5 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed KBFC following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.10 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that KBFC has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address KBFC's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with KBFC for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to KBFC on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding KBFC of the opportunity to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

Nickol Bay Sportsfishing Club (NBSC)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed NBSC advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.5 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed NBSC following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.10 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that NBSC has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address NBSC's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with NBSC for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to NBSC on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding NBSC of the opportunity to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

Pilbara / Kimberley Recreational Marine Users

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 11 December 2024, VOGA sent a letter to Pilbara & Kimberley Recreational Marine Users advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.20 and 1.1).
    - The letter included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA sent a follow-up letter to Pilbara & Kimberley Recreational Marine Users on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.16 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input to into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Pilbara & Kimberley Recreational Marine Users has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Pilbara & Kimberley Recreational Marine Users' functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Pilbara & Kimberley Recreational Marine Users for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Pilbara & Kimberley Recreational Marine Users on 9 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation letter on 13 January 2025, reminding Pilbara & Kimberley Recreational Marine Users of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Gascoyne Recreational Marine Users

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 11 December 2024, VOGA sent a letter to Gascoyne Recreational Marine Users advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.20 and 1.1).
    - The letter included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA sent a follow-up letter to Gascoyne Recreational Marine Users on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.16 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input to into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that

consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Gascoyne Recreational Marine Users has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Gascoyne Recreational Marine Users' functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Gascoyne Recreational Marine Users for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Gascoyne Recreational Marine Users on 9 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Gascoyne Recreational Marine Users of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Energy industry titleholders and operators

Beagle No. 1 Pty Ltd / Longreach Capital Investment

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed Beagle No. 1 Pty Ltd advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.17 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Beagle No. 1 Pty Ltd following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.11 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Beagle No. 1 Pty Ltd / Longreach Capital Investment has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Beagle No. 1 Pty Ltd / Longreach Capital Investment's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Beagle No. 1 Pty Ltd / Longreach Capital Investment for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Beagle No. 1 Pty Ltd / Longreach Capital Investment on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Beagle No. 1 Pty Ltd / Longreach Capital Investment of the opportunity to provide feedback.

BP Developments Australia

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed BP Developments Australia advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.17 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 11 December 2024, BP emailed VOGA and reported it had no concerns with the activities associated with the EP (SI Report, reference 6.1).
- On 11 December 2024, VOGA emailed BP and thanked it for its response (SI Report, reference 6.2).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
BP Developments Australia reported it had no concerns with the activities associated with the EP. Whilst feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA notes BP Developments Australia has advised it has no comment on the proposed activities under this EP.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation BP Developments Australia for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to BP Developments Australia on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.

Chevron Australia

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed Chevron Australia advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.17 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Chevron Australia following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.11 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted

No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Chevron Australia has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Chevron Australia's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Chevron Australia for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Chevron Australia on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Chevron Australia of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Finder No 16 Pty Ltd

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed Finder No 16 Pty Ltd advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.17 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation

closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 4 December 2024, Finder emailed VOGA and reported it had no concerns with the activities associated with the EP (SI Report, reference 5.1).
- On 11 December 2024, VOGA emailed Finder and thanked it for its response (SI Report, reference 5.2).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
Finder No 16 Pty Ltd reported it had no concerns with the activities associated with the EP. Whilst feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA notes Finder No 16 Pty Ltd has advised it has no comment on the proposed activities under this EP.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation Finder No 16 Pty Ltd for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Finder No 16 Pty Ltd on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.

INPEX Browse E&P Pty Ltd

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed INPEX Browse E&P Pty Ltd advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.17 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed INPEX Browse E&P Pty Ltd following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.11 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that INPEX Browse E&P Pty Ltd has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address INPEX Browse E&P Pty Ltd's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with INPEX Browse E&P Pty Ltd for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to INPEX Browse E&P Pty Ltd on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.

- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding INPEX Browse E&P Pty Ltd of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Jadestone Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed Jadestone Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.17 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Jadestone Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.11 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Jadestone Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Jadestone Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Jadestone Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Jadestone Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Jadestone Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Kato Energy (WA) Pty Ltd

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed Kato Energy (WA) Pty Ltd advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.17 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Kato Energy (WA) Pty Ltd following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.11 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Kato Energy (WA) Pty Ltd has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Kato Energy (WA) Pty Ltd's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Kato Energy (WA) Pty Ltd for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Kato Energy (WA) Pty Ltd on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Kato Energy (WA) Pty Ltd of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Kufpec (Perth) Pty Ltd

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed Kufpec (Perth) Pty Ltd advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.17 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.

- The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.

- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Kufpec (Perth) Pty Ltd following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.11 and 1.1).
  - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Kufpec (Perth) Pty Ltd has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Kufpec (Perth) Pty Ltd's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation Kufpec (Perth) Pty Ltd for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Kufpec (Perth) Pty Ltd on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.

- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Kufpec (Perth) Pty Ltd of the opportunity to provide feedback.

MEO International Pty Ltd

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed MEO International Pty Ltd advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.17 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed MEO International Pty Ltd following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.11 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that MEO International Pty Ltd has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address MEO International Pty Ltd's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with MEO International Pty Ltd for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to MEO International Pty Ltd on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding MEO International Pty Ltd of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Mobil Australia Resources Company Pty Limited

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed Mobil Australia Resources Company Pty Limited advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.17 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Mobil Australia Resources Company Pty Limited following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.11 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its	VOGA accepts that Mobil Australia Resources Company	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Mobil

	Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	Pty Limited has no comment at this time.	Australia Resources Company Pty Limited's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Mobil Australia Resources Company Pty Limited for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Mobil Australia Resources Company Pty Limited on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Mobil Australia Resources Company Pty Limited of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Santos Offshore Pty Ltd

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed Santos Offshore Pty Ltd advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.17 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May

Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.

- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Santos Offshore Pty Ltd following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.11 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Santos Offshore Pty Ltd has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Santos Offshore Pty Ltd's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Santos Offshore Pty Ltd for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Santos Offshore Pty Ltd on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Santos Offshore Pty Ltd of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Shell Australia Pty Ltd

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed Shell Australia Pty Ltd advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.17 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Shell Australia Pty Ltd following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.11 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.
- On 31 January 2025, Shell emailed VOGA to confirm the correct contact for ongoing consultation (SI Report, reference 30.1).
- On 3 February 2025, VOGA emailed Shell to request if Shell has any feedback for the proposed activities in this EP (SI Report, reference 30.2).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
Shell Australia Pty Ltd reported it had no concerns with the activities associated with the EP. Whilst feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA notes Shell Australia Pty Ltd has advised it has no comment on the proposed activities under this EP.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation Shell Australia Pty Ltd for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Shell Australia Pty Ltd on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.

- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to Shell Australia Pty Ltd over an eight-month period.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.

Western Gas (474 P) Pty Ltd

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed Western Gas (474 P) Pty Ltd advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.17 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Western Gas (474 P) Pty Ltd following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.11 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts Western Gas (474 P) Pty Ltd has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Western Gas (474 P) Pty Ltd's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Western Gas (474 P) Pty Ltd for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient information

- Consultation information provided to Western Gas (474 P) Pty Ltd on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Western Gas (474 P) Pty Ltd of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Woodside Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed Woodside Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.17 and 1.1).

- The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
- The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.

- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Woodside Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.11 and 1.1).
  - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Woodside Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Woodside Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Woodside Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Woodside Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.

- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Woodside Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Peak industry representative bodies and associations

Australian Energy Producers (AEP)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed Australian Energy Producers advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.10 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, an overview of planned consultation with energy industry titleholders, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed AEP following up on the proposed activity and provided VOGA's assessment of underwater cultural heritage, and a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.15 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that AEP has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address AEP's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with AEP for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to AEP on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding AEP of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Infrastructure operators

Vocus Communications

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed Vocus advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.30 and 1.1).
  - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
  - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information
- On 18 December 2024, VOGA emailed Vocus, forwarding the initial consultation materials to a new contact following an automatic reply (SI Report, reference 18.1).
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA sent a follow-up email to Vocus on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.12 and 1.1).
  - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input to into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.
- On 14 January 2025, Vocus emailed VOGA to confirm the contact details were correct and advised Vocus had no comment regarding consultation due to the distance from the Highclere Cable (SI Report, reference 18.2).

- On 14 January 2025, VOGA emailed Vocus to thank them for the confirmation and for their review of the materials. VOGA also noted Vocus's advice and comment on proposed activity (SI Report, reference 18.3).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
Vocus Communications reported it had no concerns with the activities associated with the EP. Whilst feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA notes Vocus Communications has advised it has no comment on the proposed activities under this EP.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation Vocus Communications for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Vocus Communications on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to Vocus Communications over an eight-month period.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.

Traditional Custodians and First Nations nominated representative corporations
Buurabalayji Thalanyji Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (BTAC)
Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

• On 14 October 2024, VOGA called BTAC and left a message following no answer (SI Report, reference 16.1).
• On 14 October 2024, VOGA emailed BTAC to introduce their operations and upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA advised it welcomes consultation with BTAC regarding these activities (Record of Consultation, reference 2.2).
  ○ VOGA outlined the purpose of consultation and that it valued the integration of cultural heritage of Native Title Holders and aimed to integrate these values into their planning.
  ○ VOGA requested BTAC's advice on preferred contact and consultation methods and if a formal consultation agreement is needed.
  ○ VOGA proposed a meeting to discuss the planned activities and provide information.
  ○ VOGA emphasized a co-design approach for the consultation process to ensure it meets BTAC's needs.
  ○ VOGA also provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
• On 4 December 2024, VOGA emailed BTAC advising of proposed activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA provided a Summary Information Sheet and a link to a Consultation Information Sheet on its website (Record of Consultation, reference 3.21 and 1.3).
  ○ The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date, and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation.
  ○ VOGA advised it recognised the importance of cultural heritage to Native Title Holders and was committed to integrating these values into their environmental planning.
  ○ VOGA believed BTAC was relevant for consultation and invited them to participate in the process.
  ○ VOGA proposed a meeting in February 2025 to discuss the proposed activities, seek input, and engage in consultation.
  ○ VOGA requested formal consultation agreements before coordinating meetings.
  ○ VOGA provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
• On 5 December 2024, BTAC emailed VOGA to confirm the receipt of the consultation, confirmed that they were a relevant party and requested further consultation (SI Report, reference 16.3).
• On 20 January 2025, VOGA emailed BTAC to request a copy of BTAC's framework for consultation agreement (SI Report, reference 16.4).
• On 21 January 2025, VOGA emailed BTAC to provide copies of previous consultation emails for BTAC's records (SI Report, reference 16.5).
• On 6 March 2025, VOGA called BTAC and was advised that VOGA would need to provide a draft funding agreement, and that BTAC would suggest the date of the next available meeting (SI Report, reference 16.6).
• On 6 March 2025, BTAC emailed VOGA to confirm that proposed cost arrangements would be sent through over the coming days and that a suggested meeting date would be provided at that time (SI Report, reference 16.7).
• On 6 March 2025, VOGA emailed BTAC to confirm if BTAC required VOGA to provide a draft consultation agreement (SI Report, reference 16.8).
• On 7 March 2025, VOGA emailed BTAC to provide detailed maps of the project's operational area and EMBA (SI Report, reference 16.9).
  ○ The email included two attached maps of the native title determination in respect to location of operations and EMBA for this EP (SI Report, reference 16.9.1, 16.9.2).
• On 31 March 2025, VOGA emailed BTAC to follow up on the proposed consultation agreement, and if BTAC still required a meeting with VOGA (SI

Report, reference 16.10).

- On 13 May 2025, VOGA emailed BTAC to follow up on the previous consultation and to request if BTAC still required a meeting with VOGA (SI Report, reference 16.11).
- On 30 June 2025, VOGA emailed BTAC to notify them of the last opportunity to provide feedback for this EP (Record of Consultation, reference 5.1).
    - The email outlined the details of the EP and previous consultation sent on 14 October 2024 and 4 December 2024, including the attached consultation and summary information sheets.
    - VOGA detailed the consultation to date with BTAC, including the receipt of a response from BTAC's legal representatives confirming that BTAC understands that VOGA is planning to submit these EPs and that BTAC considers it is relevant to be consulted in respect of this EP. VOGA also outlined that it is continuing to engage with BTAC to organise a date for a meeting with BTAC, and that VOGA has provided detailed maps of the proposed activities following follow-up communications on 31 March and 13 May 2025.
    - VOGA outlined their intent to submit the EP to NOPSEMA over the coming weeks and to confirm that the formal consultation period concluded on 17 January 2025.
    - VOGA also outlined the details of this EP and outlined other activities not relevant to this EP at the Wandoo facility, including additional upcoming consultation on routine Wandoo development activities.
    - As part of the last opportunity to provide feedback, VOGA prompted BTAC to review the previous information and share final comments or requests. VOGA requested that any feedback be provided by 11 July 2025.
        - VOGA confirmed that any feedback received would be assessed and included in this EP and that if correspondence is not made by this date, it would not be included in this submission to NOPSEMA but would be reviewed, considered, and addressed as part of ongoing consultation.
    - VOGA also confirmed its commitment to maintaining open and ongoing engagement, with a focus on maintaining meaningful and respectful dialogue for this EP and future EPs. VOGA shared information about its ongoing consultation.
    - VOGA confirmed that feedback and responses to this EP will be included in the EP per environmental regulations and requested that if any feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, it be advised so it can be treated as confidential.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**

BTAC has advised that they are relevant for consultation and has requested consultation.	VOGA notes that BTAC is relevant for consultation and has commenced consultation with BTAC.	VOGA accepts that BTAC is relevant for consultation in this EP.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are sufficient. VOGA considers the measures in the EP are appropriate. Although consultation for the purpose of Regulation 25 of the OPGGS(E)R is complete, VOGA will continue to engage with BTAC as part of ongoing consultation (see Section 8.3.4 of this EP) and as requirement by the implementation strategy as set out in Regulation 22(15) of the OPGGS(E)R.
BTAC has advised of its interest in organising a face-to-face meeting and that it requires funding. BTAC has not yet provided cost arrangements.	VOGA seeks to build a relationship and supports ongoing consultation with BTAC on this and other EPs. VOGA will continue to progress a meeting with BTAC upon request to continue consultation on this and other EPs, as outlined in Section 8.3.4 of this EP.	VOGA accepts the interest in a meeting and is currently awaiting further consultation. VOGA is awaiting cost arrangements from BTAC.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.
BTAC has not provided any feedback, objections or claims in relation to this activity in consultation to date and to the information provided in December 2024 and January 2025 to which BTAC confirmed receipt.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that BTAC may not have a comment at this time on the proposed activities under this EP.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with BTAC for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided BTAC on 4 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.
- A Summary Consultation Information Sheet, developed specifically for First Nations groups, was provided to BTAC on 4 December 2024.
- Maps were provided showing the location and EMBA in relation to the BTAC native title determination.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to BTAC over an eight-month period.
- VOGA has provided BTAC with more than eight months to consult ahead of preparing the EP for submission and intends to continue to take feedback in relation to the EP as part of ongoing consultation.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA has sent follow-up consultation emails and phone calls, reminding BTAC of the opportunity to provide feedback.
- VOGA sent an email to BTAC advising of its intent to submit the EP and prompted BTAC to provide any final feedback by 11 July 2025.
- VOGA asked for BTAC's input for how BTAC would like to engage in consultation and has consulted in a way it understands is appropriate for First Nations groups.

Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (KAC)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 9 October 2024, VOGA emailed KAC to introduce its operations and upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA advised it welcomed consultation with KAC regarding these activities (Record of Consultation, reference 2.1).
    - VOGA outlined the purpose of consultation and that it valued the integration of cultural heritage of Native Title Holders and aimed to integrate these values into their planning.
    - VOGA requested KAC's advice on preferred contact and consultation methods and if a formal consultation agreement was needed.
    - VOGA proposed a meeting to discuss the planned activities and provide information.
    - VOGA emphasised a co-design approach for the consultation process to ensure it met KAC's needs.
    - VOGA also provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
- On 14 October 2024, KAC emailed VOGA to confirm the receipt of the consultation and provide information on consultation requirements (SI Report, reference 13.1).
    - The email included a "Consultation Flow Chart" outlining how to proceed with consultation. (SI Report, reference 13.1.1).
- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed KAC advising of proposed activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA provided a Summary Information Sheet and a link to a Consultation Information Sheet on its website (Record of Consultation, reference 3.22 and 1.3).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date, and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation.
    - VOGA advised it recognised the importance of cultural heritage to Native Title Holders and was committed to integrating these values into their environmental planning.
    - VOGA believes KAC is relevant for consultation and invited them to participate in the process.
    - VOGA proposed a meeting in February 2025 to discuss the proposed activities, seek input, and engage in consultation.
    - VOGA requested formal consultation agreements before coordinating meetings.
    - VOGA provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
    - VOGA attached two maps of the native title determination in respect to location of operations and EMBA for this EP (Record of Consultation, reference 3.22.1, 3.22.2).
- On 20 January 2025, VOGA called KAC, the call rang out and no message was able to be left (SI Report, reference 13.2)
- On 20 January 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to follow up on previous emails, and to advise that a voice message was left. (SI Report, reference 13.3).
    - The email also requested a potential time to discuss the email materials and requested to meet with KAC.
- On 21 January 2025, KAC emailed VOGA to thank VOGA for their outreach and to advise on availability for a potential meeting in March (SI Report, reference 13.4).
    - The email confirmed that a potential meeting would be available on the 10th, 11th, 13th or 14th of March and requested VOGA's preference for a meeting date.
- On 21 January 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to confirm the receipt of meeting dates and to confirm that VOGA would confirm a meeting date shortly (SI Report, reference 13.5).
- On 6 March 2025, VOGA called KAC. The call went unanswered, and a voicemail was left requesting a return call (SI Report, reference 13.6).

- On 6 March 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to confirm the left voicemail and to request a return call (SI Report, reference 13.7).
- On 10 March 2025, KAC emailed VOGA to inform that the regular contact at KAC was away, and that KAC had attempted to reach out to VOGA. The email also requested a return email or call. (SI Report, reference 13.8).
- On 13 March 2025, VOGA called KAC in response to the previous consultation email. In the email, KAC advised that VOGA may send an email to assist in arranging a meeting with KAC's negotiation team (SI Report, reference 13.9).
- On 13 March 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to thank them for the call and to request potential meeting dates for consultation (SI Report, reference 13.10).
- On 13 March 2025, KAC emailed VOGA to provide the potential meeting date of 17 March 2025, at either 9:30 am or 2:30 pm (SI Report, reference 13.11).
- On 14 March 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to accept the proposed time of 9:30 am on 17 March 2025 and stated that the attendees would be provided later in the day (SI Report, reference 13.12).
- On 14 March 2025, KAC emailed VOGA to confirm that the time had been sent through and confirmed (SI Report, reference 13.13).
- On 17 March 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to reattach the information provided in previous emails to assist with the meeting (SI Report, reference 13.14).
- On 17 March 2025, KAC emailed VOGA to thank them for the information, and to confirm that the materials had been sent to the board (SI Report, reference 13.15).
- On 17 March 2025, VOGA met with the KAC CEO via Microsoft Teams for an initial consultation meeting (SI Report reference 13.16).
    - VOGA provided a presentation to introduce VOGA and its operations in the Wandoo field, planned activities going forward for the operations and possible next steps for consultation with KAC (SI Report, reference 13.16.1).
    - VOGA's presentation included:
        - Background on VOGA and the Wandoo operations, including the marine environment and fauna monitoring around Wandoo.
        - An overview of this EP, including key information on the proposed activities.
        - Why VOGA seeks to consult with KAC and next steps.
        - VOGA outlined the next steps for the EP.
    - During the meeting, KAC responded and advised on its functions and interests:
        - Consultation requirements are determined by the level of risk to the Kariyarra People's country.
        - KAC accepted the EMBA modelling and noted the activity's distance from their country.
        - KAC outlined that a ranger program for Kariyarra Country is being developed to address the environmental impacts of similar offshore operations.
        - KAC stated that it is interested in working with operators to co-protect the coastline in the event of a spill and has a cultural responsibility to protect its country. However, the KAC board is concerned regarding its capacity to protect the land and is interested in joint management opportunities.
    - KAC shared information about its cultural values and interests:
        - KAC outlined the fragility of significant cultural sites on the coastline and provided details about engravings along the coastline.
        - KAC highlighted that 'Kariyarra Island' is very significant to the group.
            - VOGA requested more details regarding the island and its location in respect to the maps viewed in the meeting.
            - KAC provided further information about the Island. KAC advised the island is inside the native title determination and that it becomes an island due to tidal flooding. KAC explained that the island is in mangrove area, and can be accessed by walking. It was extensively used by Kariyarra's elders, and has cultural significance for KAC.
    - KAC confirmed that it would pass VOGA's presentation to the Board and that the next Board meeting was two to three weeks away. KAC

outlined that it would inform VOGA of any questions or requests from the Board.

- On 18 March 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to thank them for the meeting, and to provide a copy of the presentation shown at the meeting (SI Report, reference 13.17).
- On 31 March 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to follow up if the matter was presented to the board and what the potential outcome was (SI Report, reference 13.18).
- On 31 March 2025, KAC emailed VOGA to confirm that the board meeting would be the following week, and that confirmation would be provided following the board meeting (SI Report, reference 13.19).
- On 31 March 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to thank them for their response (SI Report, reference 13.20).
- On 17 April 2025, KAC emailed VOGA to apologise for the delay and to confirm that they were unable to review VOGA yet. KAC confirmed that they would review the matter at their next meeting on 5 May 2025 (SI Report, reference 13.21).
- On 9 May 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to follow up on whether VOGA had been discussed at the meeting and to request advice on the next steps for consultation (SI Report, reference 13.22).
- On 13 May 2025, KAC emailed VOGA to confirm that VOGA's details were tabled at the recent KAC board meeting on 5 May 2025 (SI Report, reference 13.23).
    - KAC outlined that during the meeting, the directors considered a resolution regarding consultations with offshore oil and gas companies broadly, and that the board will be the nominated representatives for all consultations with offshore oil and gas moving forward.
    - KAC also invited VOGA to attend a KAC board meeting to present the materials and take questions from the directors.
    - KAC also provided an updated contact to confirm dates, times and costs for the potential meeting.
- On 13 May 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to thank them for the advice and to confirm that they would contact the updated contact to arrange a meeting (SI Report, reference 13.24).
- On 13 May 2025, VOGA emailed KAC and contacted the updated representative requesting suitable dates for a meeting with the board, as well as seeking an estimated cost for the meeting (SI Report, reference 13.25).
- On 13 May 2025, KAC emailed VOGA to confirm that the next available date would be 13 June 2025 and to request the duration of the meeting to allow for the estimation of costs (SI Report, reference 13.26).
- On 19 May 2025, KAC emailed VOGA to attach a quote for an upcoming board meeting and to confirm that once approved, the time for the meeting would be sent through. Attached to the email was a breakdown of the costs for the meeting (SI Report, reference 13.27 and 13.27.1).
- On 23 May 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to confirm that the quote for the board meeting was approved (SI Report, reference 13.28).
- On 25 May 2025, KAC emailed VOGA to thank them for the confirmation (SI Report, reference 13.29).
- On 3 June 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to confirm if the meeting was still going ahead and what time the presentation would be hosted. VOGA also detailed some of the attendees to the meeting (SI Report, reference 13.30).
- On 3 June 2025, KAC emailed VOGA to confirm the meeting and to request what time VOGA would be arriving in Port Hedland (SI Report, reference 13.31).
- On 4 June 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to confirm the arrival time and to request a two-hour time slot to allow for the presentation. VOGA also outlined the attendees of the meeting and requested if KAC would require a copy of the presentation in advance (SI Report, reference 13.32).
- On 5 June 2025, KAC emailed VOGA to confirm the meeting time of 10:30 am and the address for the meeting. KAC also confirmed that once VOGA arrived at the location, they should contact the group to formally meet (SI Report, 13.33).
- On 5 June 2025, KAC emailed VOGA to confirm that a series of attached documents would be shared with the KAC board (SI Report, reference 13.34).
    - The documents attached that were shared with the board included a Summary Information Sheet for this EP and another EP, and a copy of

VOGA's presentation slides (SI report, reference 13.34.1, 13.34.2, 13.34.3).

- On 6 June 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to detail that an updated version of the presentation slides would be provided by the end of the day to be circulated to the Board (SI Report, reference 13.35).
- On 6 June 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to provide the updated presentation slides and to explain that a short one-minute video would be shared showing the underwater marine monitoring efforts. VOGA also requested if Teams could be used on the day, and if a meeting link could be shared in advance (SI Report 13.36, 13.36.1).
- On 9 June 2025, KAC emailed VOGA to confirm that the documents had been printed and were ready ahead of the meeting (SI Report, reference 13.37).
- On 13 June 2025, VOGA met with KAC Board of Directors for a second consultation meeting in South Hedland (SI Report, reference 13.38)
    - VOGA provided a presentation with background on Wandoo's operations and activities going forward. The meeting aimed to build relationships, understand values, and discuss co-management of land and sea country (SI Report, reference 13.38.1)
        - VOGA's presentation included an overview of VOGA's operations and an introduction of the meeting's attendees, including representatives from 8 of the 10 KAC families.
        - A detailed overview of the Wandoo Field location, history, and current operations including the production facilities (Wandoo A and B).
        - Information about the marine environment and fauna around Wandoo and the periodic marine monitoring undertaken with UWA.
        - VOGA shared video footage of marine monitoring activities which KAC expressed interest in viewing after the meeting.
    - When KAC questioned the barren appearance of the area, VOGA explained this was due to historic bottom trawling impacts.
    - VOGA discussed UWA study results which found Wandoo as the best reef in the area and its positive contribution to fish populations.
    - VOGA outlined its plans for the Wandoo Field, including drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to extend field life.
    - VOGA presented EMBA modelling outputs for both EPs being consulted, including this EP, to which KAC confirmed it was familiar with the forms of EMBA modelling.
    - When KAC raised concerns about changing currents due to cyclones, VOGA confirmed its studies account for different seasonal periods.
    - KAC asked about the duration of spill modelling, to which VOGA explained it was based on 77 days, though wells would only spill for 20 days in a worst-case scenario.
    - During the presentation, KAC raised several questions:
        - KAC expressed concern about the concrete storage structure's integrity.
            - VOGA explained the structure was re-evaluated for earthquakes and included structural strengthening for a 10,000-year event.
        - KAC inquired about marine mammal protection during operations, specifically relating to whales and if operations would be halted if a whale was present.
            - VOGA confirmed it maintains exclusion zones and stop-work procedures for whale sightings, noting noise is minimal beyond 145 meters.
            - KAC's CEO shared that often sharks can come to stationary boats where food is being left around the boat, but it may not be the same case for survey vessels.
        - KAC asked a series of questions relating to a separate EP, these questions were addressed and focused on the operation of barges and drilling activities.
        - KAC inquired about project scope and timeline.
            - KAC requested a bigger picture understanding of operations for informed decision-making
            - VOGA indicated plans to return in approximately one year for additional work and KAC asked about facility plans if viable

resources were found
    - VOGA confirmed no new facility is planned, would tie back to existing infrastructure
        - Current field life expected until 2030
        - Exploration well could extend production by 10-15 years
        - KAC shared observations about larger oil reservoir exploration
        - VOGA confirmed geoscientists searching for larger 'kitchens' where oil is produced
        - VOGA explained focus on downstream storage locations rather than source 'kitchens'
- KAC raised concerns about water separation process impacts, which is not relevant to this EP
    - VOGA confirmed regular marine monitoring surveys conducted
    - Water testing performed to ensure release standards met and Marine mammal migration considerations were discussed
- KAC inquired about March-April whale migration period planning
    - VOGA explained operations would continue during migration periods, stating that no anticipated impact on species, with adequate vessel strike controls in place, and limited rig availability in Australian waters
    - VOGA confirmed migration and feeding areas considered in environmental planning
- KAC's CEO addressed direct consultation matters and acknowledged VOGA's business and shareholder responsibilities
    - Recognised regulatory requirement driving current consultation
    - Requested transparent communication moving forward and VOGA's response to include:
        - Acknowledgment of evolved expectations regarding onshore impacts
        - Emphasis on gathering KAC insights for planning
        - Focus on understanding KAC priorities
- KAC asked questions regarding emergency preparedness and response presentation including Cyclone preparedness.
    - VOGA explained that the facility experienced multiple category 5 cyclones
    - VOGA outlined the processes in place for emergency preparedness including:
        - One-day preparation process outlined
        - Shutdown and down-manning procedures explained
        - Pipeline de-pressurization process outlining facility's integrity
        - 20-year re-lifing process completed
        - Seismic event preparedness - upgraded
        - Steel structure reinforcement - completed
    - VOGA also explained a series of concrete storage structure safety features including:
        - Designed for inward water flow if compromised
        - Structure confirmed to standard after review outlining Well control measures
        - Wells require pumping to flow
        - Automatic shut-off engineering explained
- KAC inquired about oil spill response strategies.
    - VOGA detailed its three-phase response approach including source control, containment, and dispersant strategies.
    - VOGA explained its coordination with AMSA and the Department of Transport for spill response.
- KAC shared ranger program development plans and identified possible joint opportunities for ongoing engagement including:

        - Training for coastal protection
        - Knowledge transfer programs
        - Response capacity building
        - Cultural area prioritization administrative considerations:
        - KAC noted resource burden
        - Discussion of government role while maintaining Traditional Owner independence
        - Need for coordinated stakeholder approach
    - Following the presentation and discussion, KAC made several requests which will be managed as part of ongoing consultation:
        - KAC requested development of community information package by early September.
        - KAC asked for educational materials to be prepared for their October AGM.
        - KAC expressed interest in developing their marine ranger program in collaboration with VOGA.
        - KAC requested detailed information about prevention and response strategies for community awareness.
        - VOGA agreed to provide leave-behind documentation on prevention and response strategies.
    - Both parties agreed to meet again in September 2025, with VOGA to coordinate with KAC's CEO office for specific arrangements.
- On 17 June 2025, KAC emailed VOGA to present the invoice for the 13 June meeting, and to request an address to which the invoice should be sent (SI Report, reference 13.39).
- Between 22 and 25 June 2025, VOGA and KAC exchanged emails about administration needs for facilitating payment and vendor set up (SI Report, reference 13.40 to 13.43).
- On 2 July 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to thank them for the meeting on 13 June 2025 and discuss a potential second meeting in September (SI Report, reference 13.44).
    - The email also addressed requests and actions from the recent meeting and included an additional copy of the presentation shown at the meeting alongside an additional slide which was shown at the meeting.
        - VOGA highlighted that KAC had requested it develop an information pack by the start of September to which VOGA confirmed it would develop a leave behind document outlining the information on its operations, prevention and response measures. VOGA confirmed that it has taken action to develop the document and provide a draft to KAC ahead of the next meeting as part of ongoing engagement measures on oil spill, prevention and response.
        - VOGA also invited KAC to forward the details of who to contact in the case of an oil spill, including their contact details, so VOGA can include this in the notification section of this EP.
        - VOGA also thanked KAC for their time at the meeting and asked if there were any follow up questions or information requests.
        - VOGA advised that they intend to submit this EP over the following weeks and that separate correspondence would be sent shortly to provide more details on this, to summarise the consultation to date and outline information on the upcoming consultation opportunities and broader engagement.
        - VOGA also asked if KAC could provide details regarding the next consultation meeting planned for September.
- On 2 July 2025, VOGA emailed KAC to notify them of the last opportunity to provide feedback for this EP (Record of Consultation, reference 5.2).

- The email outlined the details of the EP and previous consultation sent on 9 October 2024 and 3 December 2024, including the attached consultation and summary information sheets.
- VOGA detailed the consultation to date with KAC, including an initial meeting on 17 March 2025 to deliver a consultation presentation, the KAC board had reviewed the materials on 5 May, and the meeting between VOGA and the KAC Board on 13 June 2025 in South Hedland to present on this EP. VOGA outlined its understanding for KAC's areas of interest also outlined KAC's areas of interests in spill prevention strategies and intervention measures, as well as response processes. VOGA understood KAC's goal to build community knowledge around spill prevention and response processes in relation to offshore oil operations.
- VOGA outlined their intent to submit the EP to NOPSEMA over the coming weeks and to confirm that the formal consultation period concluded on 17 January 2025.
- VOGA also outlined the details of this EP and outlined other activities not relevant to this EP at the Wandoo facility, including additional upcoming consultation on routine Wandoo development activities.
- As part of the last opportunity to provide feedback, VOGA prompted KAC to review the previous information and share final comments or requests. VOGA requested that any feedback be provided by 11 July 2025.
  - VOGA confirmed that any feedback received would be assessed and included in this EP and that if correspondence is not made by this date, it would not be included in this submission to NOPSEMA but would be reviewed, considered, and addressed as part of ongoing consultation.
- VOGA also confirmed its commitment to maintaining open and ongoing engagement, with a focus on maintaining meaningful and respectful dialogue for this EP and future EPs.
- VOGA confirmed that feedback and responses to this EP will be included in the EP per environmental regulations and requested that if any feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, it be advised so it can be treated as confidential.

- On 6 October 2025, VOGA and KAC had an in person meeting in South Hedland as part of ongoing engagement and consultation on other EPs. KAC was advised that his EP was in review and raised no further feedback on this EP. Note: The evidence of this meeting has not been included in the EP as it is not relevant to this EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
KAC has provided VOGA a consultation flow chart outlining its preferred process for proceeding with meaningful consultation and confirmed receipt of the consultation material. The consultation flow chat included requirements for how information should be presented, KAC's expectations and that	VOGA accepts KAC's flowchart and preferred processes for consultation.	VOGA has commenced consultation with KAC which has resulted in a face-to-face meeting. Sufficient information to allow informed assessment has already been provided including a Summary and Consultation	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are sufficient.

funding was required for KAC's participation.		Information Sheets via email and a presentation which has been provided via email. VOGA supports KAC's consultation flow chart and wants to ensure meaningful consultation with KAC.	
KAC expressed support for consultation on this EP, which resulted in a face-to-face initial consultation meeting with the KAC CEO and a meeting in South Hedland with the KAC Board of Directors and CEO.	VOGA accepts KAC's support for consultation, accompanying consultation meetings, and follow-up meetings.	VOGA has made contact with KAC via email, phone and face to face meetings over an 8-month period considers that a reasonable period of time has been provided. VOGA seeks to build a relationship and supports ongoing consultation with KAC on this and other EPs, as outlined in Section 8.3.4 of this EP.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are sufficient.
During the meeting, the KAC CEO shared some information on cultural values and sensitivities that are within the native title determination area: KAC has a cultural responsibility to protect the coastline of its country. The coastline features numerous significant cultural sites for KAC, which are considered fragile and include engravings. Kariyarra Island' was cited as a place of cultural importance. It was extensively used by KAC's old people. It is an island that is accessed at lower tide.	VOGA accepts KAC's cultural values and sensitivities within the native title area.	VOGA acknowledged KAC's feedback and information sharing about cultural values, features and sensitivities. VOGA adopts relevant controls to ensure these sensitivities are maintained and respected.	VOGA has noted KAC's cultural values, features and interests in Section 3.7.6 of this EP. VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are sufficient.
KAC has confirmed that for the level of consultation required, KAC would determine this based on the level of risk to their country. KAC accepted the EMBA modelling	VOGA accepts that KAC has determined the level of consultation required with VOGA and would like to arrange another meeting.	VOGA accepts KAC's assessment of consultation requirements at this time and has confirmed attendance for a	

and noted that the activity is a distance away from their country. KAC has confirmed that it would like to meet with VOGA.		meeting after the submission of this EP.	
KAC is interested in working with operators to co-protect the coastline in the event of an oil spill; however, the Board was concerned about capacity issues for protecting the coastline. This is a cultural responsibility for KAC. KAC is developing a ranger program for Kariyarra Country.	VOGA acknowledged KAC's interests in coastline protection and concerns regarding the capacity for environmental protection.	VOGA notes KAC's advice about level of risk and notes its concerns about the unlikely event of an oil spill. VOGA's Exploration and Survey Operations Oil Pollution Emergency Plan (OPEP) is at Appendix 5 of this EP. VOGA will continue to engage with KAC on this matter as part of ongoing consultation (see Section 8.3.4 of this EP).	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP to be appropriate.
KAC has not provided any objections or claims in relation to this activity in consultation to date and information provided in December 2024 to which KAC confirmed receipt, and consultation presentations delivered in March 2025 and June 2025.	VOGA accepts that KAC may not have a comment at this time on the proposed activities under this EP.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	Although consultation for the purpose of Regulation 25 of the OPGGS(E)R is complete, VOGA will continue to engage with KAC as part of ongoing consultation (see Section 8.3.4 of this EP) and as requirement by the implementation strategy as set out in Regulation 22(15) of the OPGGS(E)R.
Consultation demonstration statement			
VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with KAC for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically: **Sufficient Information** • Consultation information provided KAC on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities. • Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024. • A Summary Consultation Information Sheet, developed specifically for First Nations groups, was provided to KAC on 3 December 2024.			

- Maps were provided showing the location and EMBA in relation to the KAC native title determination.
- KAC has shown an understanding of the proposed activity during two meetings held with VOGA, the first on 17 March 2025 and the second on 13 June 2025 where VOGA gave a presentation and provided supplementary information.
- VOGA responded to questions at the meetings and KAC indicated to VOGA that they did not have any objections or claims that required addressing.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to KAC over an eight-month period.
- VOGA has provided KAC with more than eight months to consult ahead of preparing the EP for submission and continues to take feedback in relation to the EP.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA has sent follow-up consultation emails and phone calls, reminding KAC of the opportunity to provide feedback.
- VOGA has had a meeting with the KAC CEO and a face to face meeting with the CEO and KAC board of directors.
- VOGA asked for KAC's input into how KAC would like to engage in consultation and has consulted in a way it understands is appropriate for First Nations groups.

Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation (NTGAC), Yinggarda Aboriginal Corporation (YAC)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 October 2024, VOGA called NTGAC, who advised the appropriate contact details for further consultation (SI Report, reference 14.1).
    - On the call, NTGAC advised the process for consultation and that NTGAC was low on time for the remainder of the year.
- On 9 October 2024, VOGA emailed NTGAC to introduce their operations and upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA advised it welcomed consultation with NTGAC regarding these activities (Record of Consultation, reference 2.5).
    - VOGA outlined the purpose of consultation and that it valued the integration of cultural heritage of Native Title Holders and aimed to integrate these values into their planning.
    - VOGA requested NTGAC's advice on preferred contact and consultation methods and if a formal consultation agreement is needed.
    - VOGA proposed a meeting to discuss the planned activities and provide information.
    - VOGA emphasised a co-design approach for the consultation process to ensure it meets NTGAC's needs.

- VOGA also provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
- VOGA attached two maps showing the location of operations and EMBA for this EP regarding NTGAC's native title determination. (Record of Consultation, reference 3.25.1, 3.25.2).

- On 28 October 2024, NTGAC emailed VOGA to confirm the receipt of the consultation and provided information on consultation requirements (SI Report, reference 14.2).
  - The email stated that a Board meeting would be required and proposed 25 February 2025 as the potential date for the next board meeting.
- On 6 November 2024, VOGA emailed NTGAC to thank them for their response and request a proposed meeting date for February (SI Report, reference 14.3).
- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed NTGAC advising of proposed activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA provided a Summary Information Sheet and a link to a Consultation Information Sheet on its website (Record of Consultation, reference 3.25 and 1.3).
  - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date, and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation.
  - VOGA advised it recognises the importance of cultural heritage to Native Title Holders and is committed to integrating these values into their environmental planning.
  - VOGA believes NTGAC is relevant for consultation and invited them to participate in the process.
  - VOGA proposed a meeting in February 2025 to discuss the proposed activities, seek input, and engage in consultation.
  - VOGA requested formal
  - consultation agreements before coordinating meetings.
  - VOGA provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
- On 21 January 2025, VOGA called NTGAC. The call went unanswered, and a message was left requesting a return call (SI Report, reference 14.4).
- On 21 January 2025, VOGA emailed NTGAC confirming VOGA had left a voice message and requested a call back to confirm details of the next NTGAC board meeting (SI Report, reference 14.5).
- On 27 January 2025, NTGAC emailed VOGA to confirm the receipt of the email and advise that they would aim to respond in the afternoon (SI Report, reference 14.6).
- On 5 February 2025, NTGAC called VOGA and provided an update on the upcoming board meeting (SI Report, reference 14.7).
- On 5 February 2025, NTGAC emailed VOGA to confirm a call made by NTGAC to VOGA, and to finalise the agenda for the NTGAC Carnarvon Board meeting on the 17th of February (SI Report, reference 14.8).
  - The email requested confirmation of suitability and potential attendance via Microsoft Teams or in person and confirmed an additional meeting in the first week of April if the date was unsuitable.
  - The email also provided a request for financial contribution in return for attendance at the meeting and an estimated cost. The email also requested confirmation if VOGA was able to attend.
- On 6 February 2025, VOGA emailed NTGAC to confirm their attendance at the Board meeting on 17 February via Microsoft Teams. VOGA also confirmed the meeting contribution and stated the names of attendees would be provided the following week (SI Report, reference 14.9).
- On 7 February 2025, NTGAC emailed VOGA providing a contribution invoice for the 17 February meeting and clarified the contribution is a one off (SI Report, reference 14.10).
  - Attached to the email are the full invoice details (SI Report, reference 14.10.1).
- On 10 February 2025, VOGA emailed NTGAC requesting them to complete and return a vendor questionnaire attached to assist in the processing of payment ahead of the 17 February Board Meeting (SI Report, reference 14.11, 14.12.1).

- On 10 February 2025, NTGAC emailed VOGA to confirm the completion of the attached documents, and an updated bank statement details document was attached (SI Report, reference 14.12, 14.12.1, and 14.12.2).
- On 11 February 2025, NTGAC emailed VOGA to inform VOGA that a Board pack was being compiled ahead of the meeting, and requested if there were any information VOGA would like to provide the Board regarding this EP (SI Report, reference 14.13).
- On 11 February 2025, VOGA emailed NTGAC confirming that information would be provided in the form of a presentation and relevant maps, and that VOGA would provide the information ahead of the 17 February meeting (SI Report, reference 14.14).
- On 12 February 2025, VOGA emailed NTGAC about the returned vendor questionnaire and thanked NTGAC (SI Report, reference 14.15).
- On 13 February 2025, VOGA emailed NTGAC to provide materials before the meeting (SI Report, reference 14.17).
    - These materials included the presentation slides to be shown at the meeting, a series of maps included in the presentation, the Summary Information Sheet, and notice that a video would be shown as part of the marine life presentation around the project. (Record of Consultation, reference 1.3) (SI Report, reference 14.20.1).
- On 14 February 2025, NTGAC emailed VOGA to thank them for the information provided and confirmed that the documents would be printed before the meeting. (SI Report, reference 14.18).
- On 17 February 2025, VOGA met with NTGAC for an initial consultation meeting (SI Report, reference 14.20).
    - VOGA provided a presentation with background on Wandoo, operations, and activities going forward for the operations. VOGA also outlined next steps for consultation with NTGAC (SI Report, reference 14.20.1).
    - VOGA's presentation included:
    - Background on VOGA and the Wandoo operations, including the marine environment and fauna around Wandoo.
    - An overview of this EP including key information on the proposed activities.
    - Why VOGA seeks to consult with NTGAC and next steps.
    - During the meeting, NTGAC advised that they would speak following the meeting and advise if consultation was necessary with VOGA.
    - NTGAC stated its preferred consultation approach was initiating a consultation agreement.
        - During the meeting, NTGAC asked if VOGA had any future plans to construct a new platform, to which VOGA explained that there is no need to build a new platform and no current plan in place to do so.
- On 5 March 2025, NTGAC emailed VOGA to follow up on the invoice and to request a copy of remittance advice if paid (SI Report, reference 14.21).
- On 6 March 2025, VOGA emailed NTGAC to confirm that the invoice had been processed, and would be paid in the next cycle (SI Report, reference 14.22).
- On 6 March 2025, NTGAC emailed VOGA to thank them for the update (SI Report, reference 14.23)
- On 7 March 2025, VOGA emailed NTGAC to request an update on the meeting's outcome (SI Report, reference 14.24).
- On 7 March 2025, NTGAC emailed VOGA to confirm the progress of a consultancy agreement with VOGA, and confirmed that the agreement could be agreed upon without a negotiation meeting (SI Report, reference 14.25).
    - The email confirmed that NTGAC would draft an agreement and circulate over the coming days.
- On 7 March 2025, VOGA emailed NTGAC to thank them for the update and confirm that It would await a consultancy agreement from NTGAC (SI Report, reference 14.26).
- On 31 March 2025, VOGA emailed NTGAC to follow up on the potential draft agreement (SI Report, reference 14.27).
- On 7 April 2025, NTGAC emailed VOGA to apologise for the delay, and that NTGAC was awaiting approval on a statement of works, after which a cost estimate and draft agreement would be sent this week (SI Report, reference 14.28).
- On 9 May 2025, VOGA emailed NTGAC to request a copy of the draft agreement (SI Report, reference 14.29).

- On 23 June 2025, NTGAC emailed VOGA to present the draft consultation protocol for VOGA to consider, and confirmed that as discussed NTGAC requested a quote for the production of the 'Activity Report' which would be shared with VOGA once available (SI Report, reference 14.30)
    - The attached draft Consultation Protocol details the parties to the agreements, the recitals for the activities set to be undertaken, a list of relevant definitions and interpretations, an outline of scope, primary contacts, and other associated details relevant for consultation activities and future meetings (SI Report, reference 14.30.1).
- On 4 July 2025, VOGA emailed NTGAC to notify them of the last opportunity to provide feedback for this EP (Record of Consultation, reference 5.5).
    - The email outlined the details of the EP and previous consultation sent on 9 October 2024 and 3 December 2024, including the attached consultation and summary information sheets.
    - VOGA detailed the consultation to date with NTGAC, including the consultation with YMAC as a conduit for NTGAC, the initial Board meeting on 17 February 2025, where proposed activities and broader operations were discussed. VOGA also detailed the progress of the consultancy agreement between VOGA and NTGAC which is being developed under ongoing consultation.
    - VOGA outlined their intent to submit the EP to NOPSEMA over the coming weeks and to confirm that the formal consultation period concluded on 17 January 2025.
    - VOGA also outlined the details of this EP and outlined other activities not relevant to this EP at the Wandoo facility, including additional upcoming consultation on routine Wandoo development activities.
    - As part of the last opportunity to provide feedback, VOGA prompted NTGAC to review the previous information and share final comments or requests. VOGA requested that any feedback be provided by 11 July 2025.
        - VOGA confirmed that any feedback received would be assessed and included in this EP and that if correspondence is not made by this date, it would not be included in this submission to NOPSEMA but would be reviewed, considered, and addressed as part of ongoing consultation.
    - VOGA also confirmed its commitment to maintaining open and ongoing engagement, with a focus on maintaining meaningful and respectful dialogue for this EP and future EPs.
    - VOGA confirmed that feedback and responses to this EP will be included in the EP per environmental regulations and requested that if any feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, it be advised so it can be treated as confidential.

Consultation with YAC

- On 21 January 2025, VOGA emailed YAC to request a time to speak following discussions with NTGAC. VOGA sought to understand the relationship between YAC and NTGAC as part of the Gnulli, Gnulli #2, and Gnulli #3 determination area. VOGA requested times for a call (SI Report, reference 35.1)
- On 23 May 2025, VOGA called YAC to follow up on the previous consultation information, and confirmed with YAC that they would send an additional follow up email (SI Report, reference 35.2).
- On 23 May 2025, VOGA emailed YAC to thank them for their time, and to provide further information on this EP. VOGA also confirmed that it sought to understand the relationship between YAC and NTGAC as part of the Gnuli, Gnulli #2 and Gnulli #3 determination area (SI Report, reference 35.3).
- On 23 May 2025, YAC emailed VOGA to thank them for the call, and to request an information pack to be presented to the YAC board at their next meeting. YAC confirmed that they would confirm the next steps for consultation shortly (SI Report, reference 35.4).

- On 27 May 2025, VOGA emailed YAC to thank them for their reply and to advise of proposed activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA provided a Summary Information Sheet and a link to a Consultation Information Sheet on its website (SI Report, reference 35.5)(Record of Consultation, Reference 1.3).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date, and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation.
    - VOGA advised it recognised the importance of cultural heritage to Native Title Holders and was committed to integrating these values into their environmental planning.
    - VOGA believes YAC is relevant for consultation and invited them to participate in the process.
    - VOGA proposed a meeting to discuss the proposed activities, seek input, and engage in consultation.
    - VOGA requested formal consultation agreements before coordinating meetings.
    - VOGA provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
    - VOGA attached two maps of the native title determination in respect to location of operations and EMBA for this EP (SI Report, reference 35.5.1 and 35.5.2).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
NTGAC and YAC have expressed support for consultation on this EP, which resulted in a face-to-face initial consultation meeting with YAC and the scheduling of a follow-up meeting.	VOGA accepts NTGAC and YAC's support for consultation, accompanying consultation meetings, and follow-up meetings.	VOGA has made contact with NTGAC and YAC via email and on the phone over an 8-month period and considers that a reasonable period of time has been provided. VOGA seeks to build a relationship and supports ongoing consultation with NTGAC and YAC on this and other EPs, as outlined in Section 8.3.4 of this EP.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are sufficient.
NTGAC and YAC have not provided any objections or claims in relation to this activity in consultation to date and information provided in December 2024 to which NTGAC and YAC confirmed receipt, and consultation presentation delivered in May 2025.	VOGA accepts that NTGAC and YAC may not have a comment at this time on the proposed activities under this EP.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	Although consultation for the purpose of Regulation 25 of the OPGGS(E)R is complete, VOGA will continue to engage with NTGAC and YAC as part of ongoing consultation (see Section 8.3.4 of this EP) and as requirement by the implementation strategy as set out in Regulation 22(15) of the OPGGS(E)R.
Consultation demonstration statement			

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with NTGAC for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided NTGAC on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.
- A Summary Consultation Information Sheet, developed specifically for First Nations groups, was provided to NTGAC on 3 December 2024.
- Maps were provided showing the location and EMBA in relation to the NTGAC native title determination.
- NTGAC has shown an understanding of the proposed activity during a meeting on 17 February 2025.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to NTGAC over an eight-month period.
- VOGA has provided NTGAC with more than eight months to consult ahead of preparing the EP for submission and continues to take feedback in relation to the EP.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA has sent follow-up consultation emails and phone calls, reminding NTGAC of the opportunity to provide feedback.
- VOGA asked for NTGAC's input into how NTGAC would like to engage in consultation and has consulted in a way it understands is appropriate for First Nations groups.

Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (NAC)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 October 2024, VOGA called NAC and was advised the best contact person for ongoing consultation (SI Report, reference 25.1).
- On 9 October 2024, VOGA emailed NAC to introduce their operations and upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA advised it welcomed consultation with NAC regarding these activities (Record of Consultation, reference 2.6).
    - VOGA outlined the purpose of consultation and that it valued the integration of cultural heritage of Native Title Holders and aims to integrate these values into their planning.

- VOGA requested NAC's advice on preferred contact and consultation methods and if a formal consultation agreement was needed.
- VOGA proposed a meeting to discuss the planned activities and provide information.
- VOGA emphasised a co-design approach for the consultation process to ensure it met NAC's needs.
- VOGA also provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed NAC advising of proposed activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA provided a Summary Information Sheet and a link to a Consultation Information Sheet on its website (Record of Consultation, reference 3.26 and 1.3).
  - The email included an activity summary, directions for providing input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date, and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation.
  - VOGA advised it recognised the importance of cultural heritage to Native Title Holders and was committed to integrating these values into their environmental planning.
  - VOGA believes NAC is relevant for consultation and invited them to participate in the process.
  - VOGA proposed a meeting in February 2025 to discuss the proposed activities, seek input, and engage in consultation.
  - VOGA requested formal consultation agreements before coordinating meetings.
  - VOGA provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
  - VOGA attached two maps showing the location of operations and the EMBA for this EP in respect to NAC's native title determination. (Record of Consultation, reference 3.26.1, 3.26.2).
- On 20 January 2025, VOGA called NAC to clarify the contact details for consultation. NAC provided a new contact person for ongoing consultation (SI Report, reference 25.3).
- On 20 January 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to provide background on the Wandoo facility and to follow up on a call made to NAC. In the email, VOGA requested a follow up call to discuss further consultation (SI Record, reference 25.2).
- On 6 March 2025, VOGA called NAC and left a message to receive a callback from the relevant NAC representative (SI Report, reference 25.4).
- On 6 March 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to confirm the voice message left and to request a return call (SI Report, reference 25.5).
- On 31 March 2025, VOGA called NAC and requested to speak to the relevant representative. NAC advised that they were not available. VOGA requested if there was a Future Act Officer or Lawyer in the office. NAC advised that the representative provided was the only relevant representative. VOGA asked if it was best to give a message or email, and was informed that an email is the best course of action (SI Report, reference 25.6).
- On 31 March 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to follow up on the previous emails and to advise of the missed call. VOGA also requested a call back (SI Report, reference 25.7).
- On 9 May 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to follow up on the previous consultation and to request a phone call (SI Report, reference 25.8).
- On 9 July 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to notify them of the last opportunity to provide feedback for this EP (Record of Consultation, reference 5.9).
  - The email outlined the details of the EP and the previous consultation sent on 9 October 2024 and 3 December 2024, including the attached consultation and summary information sheets.
  - VOGA detailed the consultation to date with NAC, including reaching out to NAC on 20 January, 6 March, 31 March, and 9 May 2025 through email and phone calls, and clarified that no response had been received to date.
  - VOGA outlined their intent to submit the EP to NOPSEMA over the coming weeks.
  - VOGA also outlined the details of this EP and outlined other activities not relevant to this EP at the Wandoo facility, including additional upcoming consultation on routine Wandoo development activities.

- As part of the last opportunity to provide feedback, VOGA prompted NAC to review the previous information and share final comments or requests. VOGA requested that any feedback be provided by 16 July 2025.
  - VOGA confirmed that any feedback received would be assessed and included in this EP and that if correspondence is not made by this date, it would not be included in this submission to NOPSEMA but would be reviewed, considered, and addressed as part of ongoing consultation.
- VOGA also confirmed its commitment to maintaining open and ongoing engagement, with a focus on maintaining meaningful and respectful dialogue for this EP and future EPs.
- VOGA confirmed that feedback and responses to this EP will be included in the EP per environmental regulations and requested that if any feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, it be advised so it can be treated as confidential.

- On 10 July 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to thank them for the opportunity to consult on this EP and to highlight that NAC is equipped to undertake these consultations as is required by NOPSEMA. NAC outlined a working group of directors who meet with operators under consultation protocols in order to facilitate consultations. NAC outlined that the Wandoo Facility is the closest offshore facility operating near NAC, roughly twenty kilometres, and that Wandoo is a late life operation. NAC also requested more details on the project and suggested a consultation session to understand the risk plans posed by the operation and the management plans for the facility during operations (SI Report, reference 25.9).
  - NAC asked if VOGA was open to this approach and suggested sharing NAC's standard consultation protocol to cover the meeting process and cost recoveries.
  - NAC also suggested that the NAC team would be in Perth in late July for similar sessions, and indicated that a meeting with VOGA would potentially be arranged during this time, following the emphasis on NAC's final opportunity to provide feedback.
- On 14 July 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to request the Consultation Protocol and to advise a date in late July that NAC would be available for a meeting in Perth (SI Report, reference 25.10).
- On 14 July 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to thank them for their response and to confirm that the Consultation Protocol would be sent through shortly (SI Report, reference 25.11).
  - NAC requested how many consultation meetings VOGA forecast for the next 12 months, and if any additional EPs would be submitted in addition to this EP.
  - NAC confirmed that if it were just the current EP, the protocol would be set as a single meeting plus costs for an internal meeting and NAC Board briefing. NAC suggested that the protocol should be ongoing for 12 months and flagged that if parties seek further consultations or other meetings arise, they would be charged at the same rates as outlined in the protocol.
  - NAC also confirmed that there would be a working group in Perth for meetings on 31 July 2025, and there may be the opportunity for a meeting on 30 July or 1 August, and requested that VOGA advise if either date would be suitable so NAC can book an appropriate time.
- On 14 July 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to confirm that additional meetings for other EPs would be needed within the next 12 months, and that VOGA would be happy for these to be included in the protocol. VOGA also confirmed both dates would be suitable; however, preference was made for 30 July 2025 (SI Report, reference 25.12).
- On 14 July 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to confirm that the Consultation Protocol would be drafted accordingly (SI Report, reference 25.13).
- On 15 July 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to provide the draft Consultation Protocol for review, and to confirm that the protocol is the same as other documents provided to other operators for consultation (SI Report, reference 25.13).
  - NAC highlighted that the 30 July date would be suitable, and suggested a meeting at 11:00 am with NAC representatives, including several

directors, its CEO and legal representatives. NAC also requested an overview of the agenda for the consultation as early as possible.
    - The attached draft Consultation Protocol detailed the parties to the agreements, the recitals for the activities set to be undertaken, a list of relevant definitions and interpretations, an outline of scope, primary contacts, and other associated details relevant for consultation activities and future meetings (SI Report, reference 25.14.1).
- On 15 July 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to request whether the afternoon of 31 July 2025 would be suitable for a meeting with VOGA (SI Report, reference 25.15).
- On 16 July 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to inform NAC that they would confirm if 31 July would be suitable, and that they would provide the confirmation later in the day (SI Report, 25.16).
- On 16 July 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to thank them for their response (SI Report, reference 25.17).
- On 22 July 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to confirm if VOGA wished to meet on either the 30 or 31 July, or if the meeting should be postponed (SI Report, reference 25.18).
- On 22 July 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to confirm that 31 July would be suitable and to request a time for a meeting (SI Report, reference 25.19).
- On 22 July 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to confirm that 31 July would be suitable and to suggest exact timings of the meeting (SI Report, reference 25.20).
    - NAC also highlighted that the representatives from NAC would likely be between seven to eight members, and suggested a specific hotel where most of the group would be staying. NAC requested if they should reserve the meeting room or if VOGA would prefer to book it.
    - NAC also requested if the Consultation Protocol was acceptable, if there were any comments or questions, and if this would be the basis of the meeting.
- On 22 July 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to confirm that the timing and location of the meeting were agreed to and to suggest that the room be booked and paid for by NAC, with an invoice for payment to be provided to VOGA. VOGA also confirmed that they would give an update on the protocol shortly (SI Report, reference 25.21).
- On 22 July 2025, VOGA emailed NAC following the consultation protocol, and suggested amending the protocol to allow for the commitment for one initial meeting, as planned for 31 July, following which the parties could discuss if further meetings were required (SI Report, reference 25.22).
- On 22 July 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to confirm the receipt of the email and to request the amended draft to be shared for review (SI Report, reference 25.23).
- On 23 July 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to request if the Consultation Protocol could be resolved that week, and to ask if VOGA would provide a revised draft with VOGA's requested changes or if VOGA required NAC to amend the draft protocol (SI Report, reference 25.24).
- On 23 July 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to confirm that a meeting room was booked for the 31 July meeting hosted from 12:30pm to 4:00pm with a working lunch. NAC confirmed that the costs would be added to the invoice once the consultation protocol is in place (SI Report, reference 25.25).
    - NAC also requested who at VOGA would be in attendance and if there were any dietary requirements. Additionally, NAC provided an overview of the attendees from NAC, including the group CEO, Project Manager, five NAC board members, including the chairperson, and legal advisers.
    - NAC detailed the rough agenda for the meeting, which included introductions, an overview of the Wandoo asset, an overview of this EP and other non-relevant EPs, as well as potential actions following the meeting. NAC concluded by requesting whether VOGA had any additional agenda items to include.
    - On 23 July 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to confirm that the amended draft Consultation Protocol would be sent through the following day (SI Report, reference 25.26).
- On 28 July 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to apologise for the delay with the Consultation Protocol and attached the amended protocol for NAC's consideration. VOGA outlined that if the protocol could not be settled before the meeting, VOGA could agree to pay the meeting cost if an estimate was

provided. VOGA also detailed the attendees from VOGA at the upcoming meeting, and confirmed that the presentation slides would be provided ahead of time (SI Report, reference 25.27, 25.27.1).
    - The amended consultation protocol included amended changes for consultation meetings and billing details.
- On 28 July 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to request a phone call to discuss further (SI Report, reference 25.28).
- On 28 July 2025, VOGA called NAC following the request from NAC for a call (SI Report, reference 25.29).
    - During the call, NAC questioned the rationale behind proposing a single consultation and the option for parties to agree on further meetings. VOGA explained that the operations do not impact the mainland, so NAC may deem additional meetings unnecessary or suggest written updates instead. NAC had no concerns with the proposal, noting the Protocol allows for more meetings if needed, and expressed no issues with the proposed amendments, pending a legal review.
    - NAC confirmed that the NAC board must approve the amended Protocol which would not be signed prior to the meeting, and confirmed that the meeting would proceed in good faith. NAC also estimated the meeting costs for the half-day session, director attendance and additional costs. NAC noted recent consultation with other operators and anticipated further details on oil spill risks, mitigation, response processes and potential monitoring roles may be raised at the meeting.
    - NAC mentioned it is currently setting up Sea Ranger programs and may request funding or training support for the program. NAC also recommended presenting the worst-case scenario regarding the project EMBA.
- On 29 July 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to confirm the amendments to the Consultation Protocol were acceptable and that NAC would provide an invoice for the meeting as requested. NAC also confirmed that the first meeting would be in good faith and that following the meeting, NAC would provide billing details. NAC requested that VOGA prepare the execution versions for the Consultation Protocol (SI Report, reference 25.30).
- On 29 July 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to confirm the agreement on the estimated meeting cost as discussed on the previous call and noted that the Protocol would be signed in due course. VOGA also included an attachment of the presentation slides ahead of the meeting (SI Report, reference 25.31, 25.31.1).
- On 31 July 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to inform them that a member of VOGA's team was unwell, and requested a Microsoft Teams meeting invitation so they may join the call remotely. VOGA also asked if it was suitable for the presentation to be hosted from their laptop (SI Report, reference 25.32).
- On 31 July 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to confirm the suitability of the team's invite, and to confirm that they would share the link (SI Report, reference 25.33).
- On 31 July 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to thank them for the assistance (SI Report, reference 25.34).
- On 31 July 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to confirm that a link was sent to the VOGA team member (SI Report, reference 25.35).
- On 31 July 2025, VOGA met with NAC for an initial consultation meeting in Rivervale, located in Perth (SI Report, reference 25.36).
    - The meeting began with initial introductions of the meeting attendees, an acknowledgement of the country and VOGA outlining the purpose and aim of the meeting with the key goal of building a relationship, having a mutually agreed agenda, understanding the group's values, preferred engagement style and providing background on the Wandoo facility and next steps for engagement. The meeting was accompanied by a presentation prepared by VOGA (SI Report, reference 25.36.1).
    - NAC began the meeting by detailing the significance of its country and that it had recently met with several operators. VOGA acknowledged the group had been busy and that it had been attempting to contact the group for several months. NAC also thanked VOGA for meeting and outlined that VOGA is the closest operator to NAC's shoreline and sea country.
    - VOGA began the presentation by providing an introduction to VOGA and the Wandoo facility, as well as the facility's current operations and history.
    - VOGA provided an overview of the Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey activities proposed under this EP. This included timing, duration and

potential risks. Future plans for other EPs were discussed, however, these are not reelvant to this EP.

- During the meeting, NAC engaged with the presentation content and asked a series of questions which VOGA engaged with and responded to, including:
  - NAC asked how far off the coast the Wandoo field is. VOGA advised that the field is eighty kilometres offshore, with Wandoo B sitting on a seabed and Wandoo A being the original site.
  - NAC asked if VOGA had an onshore base and if it was in Karratha. VOGA responded that they do not have an onshore base, as nothing comes to shore. VOGA explained that Toll is used for supplies, and crew changes are in Karratha, and that the facility is close to the Reindeer and Stag facilities.
  - NAC asked if the project is in Commonwealth Waters, to which VOGA advised that the project is in Commonwealth Waters and that the State Water boundary is the Coastal Waters line on the map.
  - NAC queried how much oil is produced at Wandoo currently, and where it was located, as the project has been in operation for 33 years. NAC also asked if the oil is stored on the seabed, and what its capacity is. VOGA advised that 4,500 barrels per day were produced and that the oil is stored underneath the platform, which has a capacity of 400,000 barrels, and that the storage is lifted out four to five times a year.
  - NAC asked how long production would continue for and how much would be produced. VOGA stated that the project would be in operation until 2036, and that was the reason for the potential extension of the Wandoo licence and exploration activities.
  - NAC asked if more exploration would be undertaken and how large the exploration area was. VOGA confirmed that more exploration would be conducted and outlined that the exploration area is 10 kilometres from Wandoo.
  - NAC asked if gas is exported from Wandoo. VOGA confirmed that no gas is exported; however, it is used for oil recovery, and some is burnt to power the facility.
  - NAC asked if any water is discharged for production, if the water has any impact on the environment, and if there are water testing programmes in place. VOGA confirmed that 95 per cent of the water is processed on board, as oil is recovered and water is discharged over the side, with the reservoir being recharged from an active place. VOGA detailed that a regular water analysis is in place and that marine surveys are undertaken to sample the water. It was detailed that VOGA aims for 14 parts per million, with a limit of 30 parts per million. Marine environment studies are undertaken every few years, and long-term monitoring is initiated to see if levels are within the background levels, which is reported to NOPSEMA to ensure there is no exceeding of environmental thresholds.
  - NAC asked a series of questions regarding information not relevant to this EP, regarding the drilling and maintenance of wells.
  - NAC also asked if there were artificial reefs at the project. VOGA responded by confirming that there is an artificial reef and that a video will be shown to demonstrate the seabed's appearance.
  - NAC asked if a passport is required to reach the facility and how many people are located there. VOGA confirmed that it is a restricted area, so official paperwork is required. The facility has approximately 46 people during production, with up to 55 people at the facility when not in production.
  - NAC asked a series of questions regarding oil spills, including the heaviness of the oil, what happens in the event of a spill, if there had been any incidents or discharges of oil and what the oil does in seawater. VOGA responded by detailing that it is a sweet heavy crude oil with a roughly 19 API, and that, as it is a persistent crude, it needs to be dealt with quickly. VOGA explained that there had never been a spill, but 10 litres had gone over the side in storm conditions. VOGA detailed that in the event of a spill, a crop-dusting aircraft is utilised to minimise dispersant, which is done by the industry and government within the first 24 hours. Following that, it is recovered while at sea, and in a worst-case scenario, by booms before it arrives at land. VOGA outlined that they ensure the facility is robust and have the plan to fix any leak in the event of a spill.

- NAC asked what the safety of the facility was like in the event of a cyclone and the structural integrity of the facility. VOGA detailed that the facility is designed for beyond a category five, and that over time, the facility has seen a series of category five cyclones. VOGA detailed the process of when a cyclone is expected, the facility is shut down if it is greater than a category two, with fail-safes put in place. VOGA explained that the facility is shut down and down-manned, and the pipelines are de-pressured, as well as provided context on the maintenance, the structure of the facility, and it being reviewed and recertified following cyclones. VOGA also confirmed that no severe damage had been done.
- NAC detailed that, however, there was a low likelihood of a spill, it is still heavy crude oil, and the closest landfall is Ngarluma country and requested to be informed if there is any incident, as there is a risk to the government. NAC explained the importance of the Maitland River.
- NAC outlined that they have Sea Rangers who need to be trained and should be at the sites, and that action needs to be taken to involve ongoing monitoring and working with the NAC CEO as part of the ranger programs. VOGA agreed to consider working with the Sea Ranger program.
- NAC asked what tools are in place to combat spills and how Ngarluma can be involved with training. VOGA agreed that the government is responsible for oiled wildlife, VOGA has two complete programs to deal with oiled wildlife, and that VOGA faced challenges in getting government departments to be involved. VOGA supported the Ranger program, with a focus on turtle nesting, and that training would be suitable.
- NAC stated that Traditional Owner groups want to have input on what VOGA are doing and in the event of an incident they need to be kept in the loop and understand where the response needs to be.
- NAC shared their experience with Chevron regarding protection plans for sensitive sites, including turtles and culturally significant areas, asking if VOGA would adopt a similar approach; VOGA agreed to implement comparable protection measures.
- NAC expressed concerns about spill risks given the facility's age and crude oil operations, noting their country was the closest landfall, and requested access to the incident response plan; VOGA detailed their 48-hour response strategy, emphasising immediate dispersant deployment through Melbourne and Singapore suppliers, with equipment deliverable to Port Hedland within two days.
- NAC inquired about booming equipment location; VOGA explained equipment is stored in Singapore and Geelong with air transport capability, noting Dampier-based crop dusting aircraft and on-site dispersant storage for 24-hour deployment, adding that they conduct exercises with Commonwealth and State authorities every few years.
- NAC questioned whether booming equipment could be relocated closer to Karratha; VOGA explained this wasn't necessary due to its tier 2 classification, emphasised that crop dusters and dispersant deployment are the primary response methods, with additional supplies available via 5-hour flight from Singapore.
- NAC asked about concrete gravity structure removal procedures; VOGA detailed the complexity and risks involved, explaining potential unintended consequences like structure tipping, but confirmed they could flush and clean oil tanks.
- NAC requested marine environment video footage and involvement in future UWA studies; VOGA agreed to provide the video and share ongoing UWA research.
- NAC inquired about the marine biodiversity study's purpose; VOGA explained it was conducted to understand pre-platform conditions and impact assessment, noting historical trawling damage from Chinese/Taiwanese operations, and offered to share available papers and studies.
- NAC asked about travel logistics to Wandoo B; VOGA confirmed 30-50 person staffing capacity with typical 25-person operating crew, flying in/out from Dampier but sourced nationally.
- NAC requested seafloor data and ROV assessments before EP drilling; VOGA agreed to share all available information.

        - NAC emphasised the importance of VOGA understanding their systems and cultural protocols; VOGA acknowledged this importance and agreed to ensure alignment.
        - NAC detailed their native title holdings within the world heritage-listed Dampier Archipelago, including Whim Creek and Delambre Island, emphasising turtle breeding grounds and marine migration significance; VOGA acknowledged the cultural significance of this information.
        - NAC inquired about the two EPs being submitted; VOGA explained the G&G work ensures safe drilling areas and detailed the Exploration Well EP requirements.
        - NAC asked about Geophysical Seismic duration; VOGA confirmed 5-10 days' timeline.
        - NAC sought clarification on well numbers; VOGA explained plans for up to 7 wells, starting with Kullingal, dependent on initial results.
        - NAC requested Kullingal well specifications; VOGA detailed 600m reservoir depth with 850m drilling depth buffer in shallow water area.
        - NAC asked about EP revision frequency; VOGA confirmed 5-year cycles for production operations.
        - NAC emphasised consultation importance given proximity to shore; VOGA committed to ongoing consultation and confirmed OPP requirement for any discoveries.
        - NAC inquired about the Kullingal name origin; VOGA suggested it likely followed the tree-naming convention like Wandoo.
        - NAC requested drilling EP submission timeline; VOGA confirmed August target, approximately four weeks away.
        - NAC asked about G&G start date; VOGA explained it was dependent on EP approval before planning could commence.
        - NAC expressed interest in facility robustness and well depths; VOGA provided technical details about 850m depth and well operations methodology.
    - VOGA outlined its future plans for the Wandoo field and the addition of some future EPs that would be discussed at the next meeting.
    - NAC was eager to maintain relations and further consultation, and that it was aware of the EP timeline and did not want to hold up the submission process.
    - VOGA acknowledged that it was good to meet and establish communication and hear about the Ranger Program, and that it would give NAC time to discuss any topics it would like to hear about.
    - NAC confirmed that it had some elders who would be interested in meeting (as part of ongoing engagement and not for consultation on this EP) and invited VOGA to go on country to see the priority areas and what it means for them, VOGA confirmed they were happy to go on country and agreed cultural protocols and having elders part of consultation is critical.
    - VOGA noted that white mangroves are a protected species and discussed how NAC has cultural governance measures.
    - VOGA confirmed they would send a copy of the video to NAC, provide a link to the studies undertaken and share a ROV assessment of seabed floor if available as well as sight incident response plans.
- On 6 August 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to provide a link to a marine monitoring highlight reel shown during the meeting, which was undertaken by the University of Western Australia around the Wandoo facility (SI Report, reference 25.37).
- On 6 August 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to thank them for the video and to request if there was a map of the actions of the sites filmed (SI Report, reference 25.38).
- On 7 August 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to request that they be directed to VOGA's accounts team to follow up on the invoicing agreed in good faith at the meeting. The email included an attached tax invoice for the meeting (SI Report, reference 25.39, 25.39.1).
- On 7 August 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to thank them for the invoice, and to apologise for not addressing it to date as the initial email that was sent to VOGA was addressed incorrectly. VOGA provided the correct contact for invoicing (SI Report, reference 25.40).
- On 8 August 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to thank them for the update and provide the updated contact (SI Report, reference 25.41).

- On 11 August 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to provide a Vendor Details form, which would need to be filled out to process the payment. The email included the attached Vendor Details form (SI Report, reference 25.41, 25.41.1).
- On 11 August 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to provide the completed Vender Details form and included the attached completed form (SI Report, reference 25.43, 25.43.1).
- On 11 August 2025, VOGA emailed NAC to provide the sites of the UWA study on a map, and to attach various research papers UWA produced from the results of the study (SI Report, reference 25.44, 25.44.1, 25.44.2, 25.44.3, 25.44.4, 25.44.5, 25.44.6).
- On 11 August 2025, NAC emailed VOGA to thank them for sending through the studies and map (SI Report, 25.45).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
NAC has expressed support for consultation on this EP, which resulted in a face-to-face initial consultation meeting with NAC and the agreement to schedule a follow-up meeting.	VOGA accepts NAC's support for consultation, accompanying consultation meetings, and follow-up meetings.	VOGA accepts that NAC has feedback on the proposed activities at this time. Multiple attempts via phone and email have been made to offer NAC the opportunity to provide feedback on this EP through a co-design approach. Sufficient information to allow informed assessment has already been provided including a Summary and Consultation Information Sheets. VOGA has made contact with NAC via email and on the phone over a 5 month period and has demonstrated reasonable efforts to engage in genuine two way dialogue. A face to face meeting with members of the NAC board was held on 31 July 2025. NAC has had a reasonable opportunity to participate in	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are sufficient.

		consultation and a reasonable period of time has been provided. VOGA will continue to progress relationship building with NAC and offer a face-to-face engagement with NAC to engage in consultation on this and other EPs, as outlined in Section 8.3.4 of this EP.	
During the meeting, the NAC Chair emphasised the importance of VOGA understanding their systems and cultural protocols to protect the coastline of its country. The coastline features numerous significant cultural sites for NAC including islands and Maitland river.	VOGA accepts NAC's cultural values and sensitivities within the native title area.	VOGA acknowledged NAC's feedback and information sharing about cultural values, features and sensitivities. VOGA adopts relevant controls to ensure these sensitivities are maintained and respected.	VOGA has noted KAC's cultural values, features and interests in Section 3.7.6 of this EP. VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are sufficient.
During the meeting, NAC requested that VOGA share results from its studies with UWA and the video from its presentation.	VOGA noted NAC's request to share results from its studies with UWA and the video from its presentation.	VOGA provided the UWA studies and video recording with NAC.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are sufficient.
During the meeting, NAC requested that they be notified in the event of an oil spill and that they sight the plan.	VOGA accepts NAC's request to be notified in the event of an oil spill.	VOGA confirmed it would notify NAC in the event of an oil spill and that it would share the plan with NAC.	VOGA will notify NAC of any spill associated with this EP (Section 5.13, Table 5-52, CM-2.1) (Section 8, Table 8.6).
NAC has not provided any objections or claims in relation to this activity in consultation to date and information provided in December 2024, and consultation presentation delivered in July 2025.	VOGA accepts that NAC may not have a comment at this time on the proposed activities under this EP.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	Although consultation for the purpose of Regulation 25 of the OPGGS(E)R is complete, VOGA will continue to engage with NAC as part of ongoing consultation (see Section 8.3.4 of this EP) and as requirement by the implementation strategy as

			set out in Regulation 22(15) of the OPGGS(E)R.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with NAC for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided NAC on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.
- A Summary Consultation Information Sheet, developed specifically for First Nations groups, was provided to NAC on 3 December 2024.
- Maps were provided showing the location and EMBA in relation to the NAC native title determination.
- NAC has shown an understanding of the proposed activity during a meeting on 31 July 2025.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to NAC over an eight-month period.
- VOGA has provided NAC with more than eight months to consult ahead of preparing the EP for submission and continues to take feedback in relation to the EP.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA has sent follow-up consultation emails and phone calls, reminding NAC of the opportunity to provide feedback.
- VOGA asked for NAC's input into how NAC would like to engage in consultation and has consulted in a way it understands is appropriate for First Nations groups.

- VOGA responded to questions at the face to face meeting and NAC indicated to VOGA that they did not have any objections or claims that required addressing.

Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 October 2024, VOGA called WAC, no response was received, and a message was left for a return call (SI Report, reference 15.1).
- On 9 October 2024, VOGA emailed WAC to introduce their operations and upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA advised it welcomes consultation with WAC regarding these activities (Record of Consultation, reference 2.7).
    - VOGA outlined the purpose of consultation and that it valued the integration of cultural heritage of Native Title Holders and aimed to integrate these values into their planning.
    - VOGA requested WAC's advice on preferred contact and consultation methods and if a formal consultation agreement was needed.
    - VOGA proposed a meeting to discuss the planned activities and provide information.
    - VOGA emphasised a co-design approach for the consultation process to ensure it met WAC's needs.
    - VOGA also provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
- On 15 October 2024, WAC called VOGA to provide details regarding an upcoming consultation meeting (SI Report, reference 15.2).
    - The call included details relating to the cost of a meeting and the location and provided the next available meeting date of 13 December 2024.
    - The call also clarified that if no further consultation is required after a meeting, the group will provide a letter.
- On 25 October 2024, WAC emailed VOGA to confirm the receipt of the consultation and provide information on consultation requirements (SI Report, reference 15.3).
    - The email outlined an estimate for a Directors meeting on 13 December 2024 (SI Report, reference 15.3.1).
    - The email also requested a map of the project EMBA.
- On 11 November 2024, WAC emailed VOGA to inform that the proposed meeting date would need to be postponed (SI Report, reference 15.4).
    - The email stated that WAC would advise of the first available meeting date in 2025.
- On 12 November 2024, VOGA emailed WAC to thank them for the meeting estimate and coordinating upcoming discussions. The email requested a potential meeting in February and a proposed date (SI Report, reference 15.5).
    - VOGA also stated that it will provide a map of the EMBA to be circulated.
- On 19 November 2024, WAC emailed VOGA to confirm the receipt of email and to advise that potential meeting dates would be provided (SI Report, reference 15.6).
- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed WAC advising of proposed activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA provided a Summary Information Sheet and a link to a Consultation Information Sheet on its website (Record of Consultation, reference 3.27 and 1.3).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date, and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation.
    - VOGA advised it recognised the importance of cultural heritage to Native Title Holders and is committed to integrating these values into their environmental planning.
    - VOGA believes WAC is relevant for consultation and invited them to participate in the process.
    - VOGA proposed a meeting in February 2025 to discuss the proposed activities, seek input, and engage in consultation.

- VOGA requested formal consultation agreements before coordinating meetings.
- VOGA provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
- VOGA attached two maps showing the location of operations and EMBA for this EP in respect to WAC's native title determination (Record of Consultation, reference 3.27.1, 3.27.2).

- On 5 December 2024, WAC emailed VOGA to thank them for the provided details and to confirm the receipt of the mapped project EMBA. The email also noted that a potential meeting date would be provided shortly (SI Report, reference 15.7).
- On 20 January 2025, VOGA emailed WAC to confirm if a meeting date was available or had been set for February (SI Report, reference 15.8).
- On 6 March 2025, VOGA called WAC to follow up on a potential meeting date, the call went unanswered and a voicemail was left (SI Report, reference 15.9).
- On 6 March 2025, VOGA emailed WAC to inform them of the call, and requested a return call to discuss the next available meeting (SI Report, reference 15.10).
- On 6 March 2025, WAC emailed VOGA to confirm that WAC would send potential meeting dates for early April or early May over the next few days (SI Report, reference 15.11).
- On 6 March 2025, VOGA emailed WAC to thank them for their confirmation (SI Report, reference 15.12).
- On 10 March 2025, WAC emailed VOGA to inform them that due to a proponent of scheduling, the potential meeting dates would be send to VOGA later in the week (SI Report, reference 15.13).
- On 11 March 2025, WAC emailed VOGA to provide potential meeting times for a half day meeting in Perth. The times were for Tuesday, 6 May 2025 (SI Report, reference 15.14).
- On 12 March 2025, VOGA emailed WAC to thank them for the suggested dates, and advised that they would be able to added on 6 May 2025. VOGA also confirmed that a specific time preference would be provided shortly and requested a budget estimate (SI Report, reference 15.15).
- On 12 March 2025, VOGA emailed WAC advising that the 9:30 am time slot was preferred by VOGA (SI Report, reference 15.16).
- On 12 March 2025, WAC emailed VOGA confirming the time slot, and clarified that they would contact VOGA regarding further details and the meeting agenda (SI Report, reference 15.17).
- On 31 March 2025, VOGA emailed WAC to follow up on the potential meeting costs (SI Report, reference 15.18).
- On 2 April 2025, WAC emailed VOGA to confirm that the meeting costs would be sent shortly (SI Report, reference 15.19).
- On 14 April 2025, WAC emailed VOGA to provide the meeting cost estimate and to request the approval of the estimate and a 50% upfront invoice for the estimate (SI Report, reference 15.20).
    - Attached to the email was an invoice for the May 6th meeting, which highlighted the cost for travel, accommodation, meals, venue hire, and other expenses. The invoice also outlined the attendees for the meeting (SI Report, reference 15.20.1).
- On 17 April 2025, VOGA emailed WAC to request if WAC had meetings planned in Port Hedland in the near future, and to request a call (SI report, reference 15.21).
- On 17 April 2025, VOGA emailed WAC to confirm that the cost estimate had been agreed (SI Report, reference 15.22).
- On 22 April 2025, WAC emailed VOGA to attach an onboarding form for the estimate ahead of the meeting on the 6th May 2025 (SI Report, reference 15.23).
    - WAC attached the initial meeting cost estimate to the email (SI Report, reference 15.23.1).
    - Additionally, WAC included the onboarding form requesting company details, account details, bank details, and requested proof of banking details (SI Report, reference 15.23.2).
- On 23 April 2025, VOGA emailed WAC to return the completed onboarding form and to send WAC a Vendor Questionnaire Form (SI Report, reference

15.24, 15.24.1, and 15.24.2).

- On 23 April 2025, WAC emailed VOGA to send through the fifty per cent invoice, completed vendor details questionnaire, and EFT form ahead of the 5 5 May meeting (SI Report, references 15.25, 15.25.1, 15.25.2, and 15.25.3).
- On 6 May 2025, VOGA met with WAC for an initial consultation meeting (SI Report, reference 15.26)
    - VOGA provided a presentation with background on Wandoo's operations and activities going forward. VOGA also outlined the next steps for consultation with WAC (SI Report, reference 15.26.1).
    - VOGA's presentation included:
        - An overview of VOGA's operations and an introduction of the meeting's attendees.
            - VOGA outlined the background of VOGA, its operations globally, and the location of the Wandoo field and shared images of the platform, including a history of the field. VOGA also explained the alternative production facilities in the field.
            - VOGA provided an overview of the project's EMBA and its development, as well as its impact on VOGA's consultation on the project's activities.
        - VOGA shared information on the surrounding marine environment and fauna at Wandoo, as well as the periodic marine monitoring it undertakes with UWA.
            - As part of the marine monitoring, VOGA shared a video and images of the marine fauna in the area around the field.
        - Following initial research undertaken by VOGA, VOGA determined that stingrays may be totemic species to Wanparta, and included a focused overview of Stingrays and other key marine fauna and their cultural sensitivities to the group, including a focused overview of stingrays in the area and advised that there are no expected impacts from VOGA's activities.
        - VOGA outlined its future plans for the Wandoo Field and provided an overview of the Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey activities relating to this EP.
            - These activities included key information on the proposed Geophysical and Geotechnical activity, including timing, duration and potential risks. VOGA also outlined the difference between these surveys and seismic surveys.
            - Future plans for other EPs were discussed. However, these are not relevant to this EP.
    - During the presentation, VOGA engaged with WAC to answer any questions relating to the information shared. These questions included:
        - WAC asked a series of questions not directly relevant to this EP, including requesting information about VOGA's operations. This included where VOGA's staff are based, how long VOGA had been in operation, and why VOGA was only meeting with WAC now.
            - VOGA responded by outlining that its staff are sourced from all over the nation, as it is a fly-in, fly-out arrangement. VOGA also clarified the history of the Wandoo facility and acknowledged that, due to recent changes in First Nations approaches, it was reaching out to WAC.
            - Following this, WAC agreed and recognised that the EMBA project falls within the Ngarla Native Title Area.
            - WAC requested further information regarding oil, pipelines, cyclones, and the potential for environmental damage. These questions included discussions on:
                - If there had even been an oil spill at Wandoo.

- VOGA confirmed that there has never been an oil spill.
- The type of oil.
- VOGA confirmed that it is heavy crude oil, the form of oil that is used in cars.
- The risk of damage from cyclones, and VOGA's procedure during a cyclone.
- VOGA explained that in the event of a category 1 or 2, staff stay on the facility but it is down-manned, and in the event of a category three or above, staff will be stood down and returned to shore. Operations will then be halted.
- If VOGA had any issues with cyclones, particularly with regard to the integrity of pipelines.
- VOGA outlined that several large cyclones have occurred during the facility's life, and that no significant damage has been caused to the production pipes. VOGA did note that a loading hose was damaged once in 2007, during a category five cyclone.
- The regularity of pipeline inspections.
- VOGA confirmed that the pipes at Wandoo are inspected every two years, and as a result of an inspection, the riser at Wandoo was replaced, which will last another 30 years. VOGA also confirmed that the pipeline to the calm buoy and the hoses are replaced every 10 years. Regular maintenance of the pressure lines is undertaken.
- WAC also noted that it was the first time they had engaged with a company producing heavy oil and asked how VOGA would handle cleaning up a potential spill.
- VOGA explained that spill response is a whole industry topic to manage, rather than individual companies. VOGA outlined that it has detailed spill plans, and outlined the role of organisations like AMOSC,
- VOGA explained the key steps that are part of its detailed plans for how oil spills are dealt with. VOGA outlined that there are three key stages in this case. VOGA also outlined how actions can be taken to spray, to break up and disperse the oil, then there is the next stage of containment of the spill, and finally, how it would be dealt with at shore if it made it that far.
- VOGA explained the work it has done with the EPA (previously CALM) for handling oiled wildlife, including:
    - Bought and imported containers from New Zealand following a spill incident there.
    - VOGA supplied them to the industry, as the local government lacked the capacity for this initiative.
    - VOGA noted that generally, the public is concerned about spills following the Montara oil spill incident in 2009.
    - VOGA explained that there have been changes since the Montara incident, both in the regulatory landscape and by industry.
    - Following this, WAC requested if the same procedures would be in place if there were a spill in the water column.
    - VOGA explained that if there were a small leak, it would be dispersed in the water column.

        - WAC requested further information regarding how pipelines would remain undamaged in the event of a cyclone, and asked for clarification on de-pressuring the pipes, as well as how much oil remained in the pipe if de-pressured.
        - VOGA explained that pipes are de-pressurised in the event of a cyclone, and that there is a detailed process and procedure for preparing for a cyclone to prevent any damage or spill. VOGA also explained how de-pressurising pipes work and how they prevent any blowout situations.
        - WAC requested further information on what happens in the event of a spill, and if VOGA can provide more details. They were also asked to give an example of the oil in a container.
    - WAC also requested information regarding oiled wildlife, what VOGA uses for spraying oil in a spill, how long it would take for a major spill to get to the coast, and requested if Ngarla rangers could be involved if there is a need for a crude oil spill clean-up.
    - WAC also requested that Ngarla and Wanparta be notified in the event of an oil spill.
        - VOGA confirmed that they would add Ngarla and Wanparta to the notification list in this EP.
- WAC also requested specific information regarding this EP, including details on potential noise impacts on migrating whales and the actions VOGA undertakes to avoid disrupting turtle and whale migrations.
    - VOGA explained that the noise level is lower with this form of survey compared to other surveys, and that VOGA would return to WAC with further information regarding turtle and whale migrations.
- During the meeting, WAC outlined its connection to the marine environment and fauna, and highlighted its connection to Stingrays as an animal totem, as well as sharks, octopus, fish and birds. WAC also explained that there are two to three islands within the native title area that host a songline for Ngarla, which
- extends all the way out to the island in the native title area, once accessible by foot.
    - VOGA thanked WAC for sharing this information.
- WAC also highlighted that a recent cyclone wiped out a bird species on the island, and asked what the impact might be to these areas in the event of a spill. VOGA responded, acknowledging the significance of particular species and the coastline to WAC.

- Following the presentation and discussion, a series of requests were made from WAC:
    - WAC would like VOGA to share results from its studies with UWA. VOGA confirmed it would send the information.
    - WAC requests that VOGA provide a soft copy of the exploration prospects map.
    - WAC requested it would like training on VOGA's type of crude oil.
    - WAC requested that Ngarla/Wanparta be notified if there is an event of an oil spill.
    - WAC requested VOGA to bring an oil sample in a jar to the next meeting.
    - VOGA to provide more details on the question about activity timing and whale and turtle migration.
    - WAC requested that VOGA share more information about the oiled wildlife containers mentioned at the meeting.
    - WAC requested that VOGA bring an animation next time to show how the Wandoo production facility works.

        - WAC requested that VOGA provide more information about its management procedures during cyclone events (specifically, pipeline integrity) and provide additional details about its spill response and containment practices.
        - WAC requested that VOGA bring some merchandise (hats, etc) to the next meeting.
    - WAC also requested if VOGA would be available to meet in six months, and asked if VOGA would be open to coming to Port Hedland.
- On 23 May 2025, VOGA emailed WAC to thank them for the meeting, and to provide additional information regarding this EP and the requests and questions raised at the meeting (SI Report, reference 15.27).
    - VOGA included an attached meeting presentation, prospect map, and a series of research papers and studies undertaken with UWA at the project (SI Report, reference 15.27.1, 15.27.2, 15.27.3, 15.27.4, 15.27.5, 15.27.6, 15.27.7, and 15.27.8).
    - VOGA addressed a series of requests and actions following the meeting, including:
        - WAC's request to share results from the research studies with UWA. To which VOGA sent a series of research papers.
        - WAC's request to have a soft copy of the prospects map, to which VOGA attached the map in its reply.
        - WAC's request for training on the crude oil type.
            - VOGA responded by outlining that it would discuss an approach on training regarding the form of oil being produced and bring options to the next meeting with WAC.
        - WAC's request to be notified in the event of a spill.
            - VOGA noted WAC's request and noted the request's inclusion in this EP's notification table. VOGA requested if WAC could provide the details of who should be contacted.
        - WAC's request to receive an oil sample at the next meeting.
            - VOGA acknowledged the request, however, noted the difficulty in transporting the sample hydrocarbon via air transportation due to airline regulatory prohibitions. VOGA noted it would try to show a representative sample at the next meeting.
        - WAC's request to be provided with more details on the question of activity timing and whale and turtle migration.
    - VOGA outlined its commitment to minimising environmental impacts and recognised the importance of avoiding sensitive periods for turtle nesting and whale migration.
    - VOGA outlined that the timing of the project activities was influenced by logistical and operational factors, and the limitations may affect the ability to avoid the periods fully.
    - VOGA also provided further details on the impacts and risk assessments that would be of interest to WAC, including potential noise impacts and vessel collision risks with marine fauna.
        - VOGA clarified that due to the limited area of the operation and short duration of activities, the likelihood of collisions with marine fauna was minimal, and explained that VOGA was confident that existing measures can ensure the protection of aquatic fauna.
        - WAC's request for further information on oiled wildlife containers.
            - VOGA outlined that it had updated the meeting presentation to include further information on the oil spill response and clarified that VOGA's oil spill response advisor was available to attend future meetings.
        - WAC's request for an animation of the facility to be shown at the next meeting.

- VOGA noted the request and clarified that it does not have an animated version of the facility, but that it is happy to take further questions on how the facility works to help WAC improve their understanding of the operation.
- And WAC's request for VOGA to share its management programs regarding cyclones and information on spill response.
- VOGA outlined that the meeting presentation had been updated to include information on its Cyclone Management Plan, and clarified that the platforms are shut down and fully demobilised on the approach of a cyclone greater than a Category 2.

- On 3 June 2025, WAC emailed VOGA to provide a correspondence document following the meeting (SI Report, reference 15.28, 15.28.1).
  - The attached correspondence outlined the meeting attendees and the discussion points of the meeting, including:
  - The consultation that was undertaken with regard to this EP and other EPs not relevant to this EP.
  - A background of the WAC lore, culture and customs discussed, including the spiritual connection to sea country. These customs were detailed with regard to the Ngarla People's totem species such as the octopus, stingray, boney bream and kestrel, and outlined the significance of these species.
  - WAC detailed that the protection and management of marine life is significant to the Ngarla people, and that NAC would exercise their native title rights and interests as a contingent feature of a healthy and well managed sea country.
  - The correspondence also confirmed that a Consultation Protocol was discussed and was circulated on 3 June 2025.
  - WAC also outlined that they had requested to be included on VOGA's list of persons to contact in the event of an oil spill, and would look forward to confirmation that this has been actioned.
  - WAC confirmed that during the meeting, WAC discussed VOGA attending a meeting in 6 months' time to progress ongoing consultations, and noted that VOGA will continue to engage in consultations with NAC in their capacity as a relevant person, and that all consultations will be progressed under the Consultation Protocol.
- On 3 June 2025, WAC emailed VOGA to provide the draft Consultation Protocol for review; however, no document was attached (SI Report, reference 15.29).
- On 17 June 2025, WAC emailed VOGA to provide the invoice for the directors' meeting that took place on 6 May 2025. The invoice detailed the overhead costs of the meeting, and was accompanied by a fifty two page expense report detailing all expenses that occurred with receipts attached (SI Report, reference 15.30, 15.30.1).
- On 1 July 2025, WAC emailed VOGA to follow up if VOGA had received the draft Consultation Protocol (SI Report, reference 15.31).
- On 3 July 2025, VOGA emailed WAC to notify them of the last opportunity to provide feedback for this EP (Record of Consultation, reference 5.3).
  - The email outlined the details of the EP and previous consultation sent on 9 October 2024 and 3 December 2024, including the attached consultation and summary information sheets.
  - VOGA detailed the consultation to date with WAC, including consistent consultation to organise an in person meeting between October 2024 and May 2025, resulting in a half-day in-person meeting held in Perth on 6 May 2025. VOGA outlined that at this meeting, VOGA presented its broader operations and provided consultation materials, concluding in a two way discussion and feedback session. VOGA also noted WAC's areas of interest in spill prevention strategies and intervention measures, as well as its interests in emergency preparedness measures,

management procedures, pipeline integrity and activity timing with respect to migration times for whales and turtles. VOGA also detailed WAC's sharing of animal totems and culturally significant areas to WAC.
    - VOGA outlined their intent to submit the EP to NOPSEMA over the coming weeks and to confirm that the formal consultation period concluded on 17 January 2025.
    - VOGA also outlined the details of this EP and outlined other activities not relevant to this EP at the Wandoo facility, including additional upcoming consultation on routine Wandoo development activities.
    - As part of the last opportunity to provide feedback, VOGA prompted WAC to review the previous information and share final comments or requests. VOGA requested that any feedback be provided by 11 July 2025.
        - VOGA confirmed that any feedback received would be assessed and included in this EP and that if correspondence is not made by this date, it would not be included in this submission to NOPSEMA but would be reviewed, considered, and addressed as part of ongoing consultation.
    - VOGA also confirmed its commitment to maintaining open and ongoing engagement, with a focus on maintaining meaningful and respectful dialogue for this EP and future EPs.
    - VOGA confirmed that feedback and responses to this EP will be included in the EP per environmental regulations and requested that if any feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, it be advised so it can be treated as confidential.
- On 3 July 2025, VOGA emailed WAC to confirm they would review the Consultation Protocol (SI Report, reference 15.31).
- On 9 July 2025, VOGA emailed WAC to confirm they had received the correspondence document however had not received the draft Consultation Protocol. VOGA requested that the Consultation Protocol be resent and attached the correspondence document to the email (SI Report, reference 15.33, 15.33.1)
- On 10 July 2025, WAC emailed VOGA to confirm they would forward through the Consultation Protocol separately (SI Report, reference 15.34).
- On 10 July 2025, WAC emailed VOGA to provide the draft Consultation Protocol (SI Report, reference 15.35, 15.35.1).
    - The attached Consultation Protocol detailed the information terms, an agreement to consult, a overview of the consultation teams, a background of consultation meetings, a list of WAC's experts, Indigenous Cultural and Intellectual Property, Goods and Services Tax associated with consultation, and general terms, conditions and confidentiality associated with the Consultation Protocol.
- On 10 July 2025, VOGA emailed WAC to thank them for the draft Consultation Protocol (SI Report, reference 15.36).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
WAC have not provided any objections or claims in relation to this activity in consultation to date in a face-to-face meeting and information provided via email. At the meeting WAC asked questions and made requests for information about	VOGA commenced consultation with WAC which resulted in a face-to-face meeting. VOGA seeks to arrange a follow up meeting with WAC and supports ongoing consultation with WAC on this and other EPs.	VOGA accepts that WAC has no feedback on the proposed activities at this time. VOGA will continue to progress relationship building with WAC and offer a face-to-face	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are sufficient.

VOGA's plans which VOGA has responded to.	VOGA has responded to WAC's questions and no claims or objections were raised..	engagement with WAC to engage in consultation on this and other EPs, as outlined in Section 8.3.4 of this EP.	
During the meeting, WAC requested that VOGA share results from its studies with UWA.	VOGA noted WAC's request to share results from its studies with UWA.	VOGA has sent the UWA studies results to WAC.	Not required
During the meeting, WAC requested that Ngarla and Wanparta be notified in the event of an oil spill.	VOGA accepts WAC's request to be notified in the event of an oil spill.	VOGA confirmed it would notify WAC in the event of an oil spill	VOGA will notify WAC of any spill associated with this EP (Section 5.13, Table 5-54, CM-2.1) (Section 8, Table 8.6).
During the meeting, WAC requested more details on activity timing and in respect to migration times for whales and turtles.	VOGA accepts WAC's interest in this topic and noted the request for details on activity timing.	VOGA provided WAC details on activity timing and the migration of whales and turtles.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP to be sufficient.
During the meeting, WAC requested further information on oiled wildlife containers that were mentioned at the meeting.	VOGA accepts WAC's request for further information on oiled wildlife containers that were mentioned at the meeting.	VOGA confirmed it would provide WAC further information on oiled wildlife containers that were mentioned at the meeting.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP to be sufficient.
During the meeting, WAC requested that VOGA provide more information concerning its managed procedures during cyclone events, specifically around pipeline integrity, and provide additional details surrounding spill response and containment practices.	VOGA accepts WAC's request to provide more information concerning its managed procedures during cyclone events, specifically around pipeline integrity, and provide additional details surrounding spill response and containment practices.	VOGA responded to WAC's questions at the meeting, however confirmed it would provide more information to WAC concerning its managed procedures during cyclone events, specifically around pipeline integrity, and provide additional details surrounding spill response and containment practices. Following the meeting VOGA provided further details to WAC in the form of updated meeting presentation slides.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP to be sufficient.
WAC have expressed support for consultation on this EP, which resulted in a face-to-face consultation meeting.	VOGA accepts WAC's support for consultation, accompanying consultation meetings, and follow-up meetings.	VOGA has made contact with WAC via email and on the phone over an 8-month period and considers that a reasonable	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are sufficient.

		period of time has been provided. VOGA seeks to build a relationship and supports ongoing consultation with WAC on this and other EPs, as outlined in Section 8.3.4 of this EP.	
WAC have not provided any objections or claims in relation to this activity in consultation to date and information provided in December 2024 to which WAC confirmed receipt, and consultation presentation delivered in May 2025.	VOGA accepts that WAC may not have a comment at this time on the proposed activities under this EP.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	Although consultation for the purpose of Regulation 25 of the OPGGS(E)R is complete, VOGA will continue to engage with WAC as part of ongoing consultation (see Section 8.3.4 of this EP) and as requirement by the implementation strategy as set out in Regulation 22(15) of the OPGGS(E)R.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with WAC for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided WAC on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.
- A Summary Consultation Information Sheet, developed specifically for First Nations groups, was provided to WAC on 3 December 2024.
- Maps were provided showing the location and EMBA in relation to the WAC native title determination.
- WAC has shown an understanding of the proposed activity during a meeting on 6 May 2025.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to WAC over an eight-month period.

- VOGA has provided WAC with more than eight months to consult ahead of preparing the EP for submission and continues to take feedback in relation to the EP.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA has sent follow-up consultation emails and phone calls, reminding WAC of the opportunity to provide feedback.
- VOGA asked for WAC's input into how WAC would like to engage in consultation and has consulted in a way it understands is appropriate for First Nations groups.
- VOGA responded to questions at the face to face meeting and WAC indicated to VOGA that they did not have any objections or claims that required addressing.

Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 9 October 2024, VOGA emailed WAC to introduce their operations and upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA advised it welcomes consultation with WAC regarding these activities (Record of Consultation, reference 2.8).
    - VOGA outlined the purpose of consultation and that it valued the integration of cultural heritage of Native Title Holders and aimed to integrate these values into their planning.
    - VOGA requested WAC's advice on preferred contact and consultation methods and if a formal consultation agreement is needed.
    - VOGA proposed a meeting to discuss the planned activities and provide information.
    - VOGA emphasised a co-design approach for the consultation process to ensure it met WAC's needs.
    - VOGA also provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
- On 10 October 2024, WAC emailed VOGA to confirm that they were a relevant organisation for the purpose of consultation (SI Report, reference 17.1).
    - The email requested a project EMBA map with relation to YM native title determination area and confirmed the possibility of meeting with VOGA following a board meeting in November 2024.
- On 6 November 2024, VOGA emailed WAC to confirm that an EMBA map would be provided by the end of the week and requested if a date for the November board meeting could be provided (SI Report, reference 17.2).
- On 2 December 2024, WAC emailed VOGA to confirm that they were able to locate the project EMBA maps and to confirm that they were a relevant organisation to be consulted with (SI Report, reference 17.3).
    - WAC confirmed that no date was set for a December meeting, and that the capacity of WAC as a native title organisation was not the same as a non-native title organisation, and that an extended close down period during December 2024 and January 2025 would apply.

- The email also confirmed WAC's preference for consultation to be formalised under a high-level agreement to confirm mutual expectations, meetings, information sharing and costs.
- WAC provided updated contact details for further consultation.
- The email included attachments of the relevant project EMBA.

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed WAC advising of proposed activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA provided a Summary Information Sheet and a link to a Consultation Information Sheet on its website (Record of Consultation, reference 3.28 and 1.3).
  - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date, and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation.
  - VOGA advised it recognised the importance of cultural heritage to Native Title Holders and was committed to integrating these values into their environmental planning.
  - VOGA believes WAC is relevant for consultation and invited them to participate in the process.
  - VOGA proposed a meeting in February 2025 to discuss the proposed activities, seek input, and engage in consultation.
  - VOGA requested formal consultation agreements before coordinating meetings.
  - VOGA provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
  - VOGA attached two maps showing the location of operations and EMBA for this EP in respect to WAC's native title determination (Record of Consultation, reference 3.28.1, 3.28.2).
- On 6 December 2024, WAC emailed VOGA to inform that the final board meeting for 2024 would be held on the week of 16 December 2024, however stipulated that no date was confirmed, and that the agenda would be full (SI Report, reference 17.4).
  - The email stated that capacity for a meeting with VOGA may be made available, however it would likely be towards the end of January 2025.
  - The email also included an attachment highlighting the fees for a meeting (SI Report, reference 17.4.1).
- On 9 December 2024, VOGA emailed WAC to confirm whether there was availability for 16 December meeting (SI Report, reference 17.5).
- On 10 December 2024, VOGA called WAC to confirm the status of the board meeting availability, to which WAC advised the Board meeting would be rescheduled as no board papers had been provided (SI Report, reference 17.6).
  - WAC also clarified that a new date would be provided shortly and that minor amendments to the framework agreement would be provided.
- On 16 December 2024, VOGA emailed WAC to follow up on whether there was availability for the 16 December meeting (SI Report, reference 17.7).
- On 16 January 2025, VOGA emailed WAC to thank them for the draft consultation framework and provided a new version with minor amendments (SI Report, reference 17.8).
  - The email included an attached clean signed version of the amended document (SI Report, reference 17.8.1).
- On 16 January 2025, WAC emailed VOGA confirming the receipt of the amended document and highlighted that the document would likely be accepted by WAC due to its improvements without impact on substance. (SI Report, reference 17.9).
  - WAC also advised that meeting dates would be provided once confirmation was finalised from WAC.
- On 6 March 2025, VOGA called WAC who advised that the group had their first board meeting the previous week, a 2-day meeting with a full agenda. (SI Report, reference 17.10).
  - The meeting did not complete its agenda.
  - WAC confirmed that the next meeting would likely be at the end of March and that they would confirm if the corporation's acting CEO would be able to sign the funding agreement.
- On 31 March 2025, VOGA emailed WAC to follow up on the potential board meeting (SI Report, reference 17.11).
- On 9 May 2025, VOGA emailed WAC to follow up if they would like for VOGA to present at a board meeting (SI Report, reference 17.12).

- On 3 July 2025, VOGA emailed WAC to notify them of the last opportunity to provide feedback for this EP (Record of Consultation, reference 5.4).
    - The email outlined the details of the EP and previous consultation sent on 9 October 2024 and 3 December 2024, including the attached consultation and summary information sheets.
    - VOGA detailed the consultation to date with WAC, including WAC's consideration as a relevant organisation for the purpose of consultation and the opportunity to present to the board in November 2024. VOGA also detailed the draft framework for consultation received on 6 December 2024 and the updated possibility for a meeting at the end of January 2025. Following this VOGA detailed the follow up correspondence sent and proposed changes to the draft framework. VOGA also clarified the follow ups on 31 March and 9 May regarding the Board meeting that received no response.
    - VOGA outlined their intent to submit the EP to NOPSEMA over the coming weeks and to confirm that the formal consultation period concluded on 17 January 2025.
    - VOGA also outlined the details of this EP and outlined other activities not relevant to this EP at the Wandoo facility, including additional upcoming consultation on routine Wandoo development activities.
    - As part of the last opportunity to provide feedback, VOGA prompted WAC to review the previous information and share final comments or requests. VOGA requested that any feedback be provided by 11 July 2025.
        - VOGA confirmed that any feedback received would be assessed and included in this EP and that if correspondence is not made by this date, it would not be included in this submission to NOPSEMA but would be reviewed, considered, and addressed as part of ongoing consultation.
    - VOGA also confirmed its commitment to maintaining open and ongoing engagement, with a focus on maintaining meaningful and respectful dialogue for this EP and future EPs.
    - VOGA confirmed that feedback and responses to this EP will be included in the EP per environmental regulations and requested that if any feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, it be advised so it can be treated as confidential.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
WAC has confirmed it is a relevant organisation for the purpose of consultation.	VOGA accepts WAC's confirmation as a relevant organisation.	VOGA has commenced consultation with WAC. Sufficient information to allow informed assessment has already been provided including a Summary and Consultation Information Sheets.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are sufficient.

		VOGA has been in contact with WAC for a 5 month period and believes a reasonable period of time has been provided. VOGA will continue to progress a face-to-face engagement with WAC to continue consultation on this and other EPs	
WAC has expressed support for consultation on this EP and has indicated an opportunity to meet face-to-face with VOGA for consultation. A meeting has been advised however not yet occurred. WAC's preference is for consultation to be formalised under a high level agreement that confirms mutual expectations as to meetings, information sharing and costs. WAC has provided a draft framework for consultation and advised funding is required for its participation in consultation.	VOGA accepts WAC's support for consultation.	VOGA has progressed a draft consultation framework agreement with WAC. VOGA seeks to build a relationship and supports ongoing consultation with WAC on this and other EPs as outlined in Section 8.3.4 of this EP.	VOGA will continue to progress the draft framework for consultation, and VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are sufficient at this time.
WAC has not provided feedback, objections to date or claims in response to the information provided in December 2024 to which WAC confirmed receipt.	VOGA notes that WAC has yet to advise whether it has any objections at this time on the proposed activities under this EP.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	Although consultation for the purpose of Regulation 25 of the OPGGS(E)R is complete, VOGA will continue to engage with WAC as part of ongoing consultation (see Section 8.3.4 of this EP) and as requirement by the implementation strategy as set out in Regulation 22(15) of the OPGGS(E)R.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with WAC for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided WAC on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.
- A Summary Consultation Information Sheet, developed specifically for First Nations groups, was provided to WAC on 3 December 2024.
- Maps were provided showing the location and EMBA in relation to the WAC native title determination.

Reasonable period

- VOGA has addressed and responded to WAC over an eight-month period.
- VOGA has provided WAC with more than eight months to consult ahead of preparing the EP for submission and continues to take feedback in relation to the EP.
- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA has sent follow-up consultation emails and phone calls, reminding WAC of the opportunity to provide feedback by 11 July 2025.
- VOGA asked for WAC's input into how WAC would like to engage in consultation and has consulted in a way it understands is appropriate for First Nations groups.

Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation (YAC)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 14 October 2024, VOGA emailed YAC to introduce their operations and upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA advised it welcomed consultation with YAC regarding these activities (Record of Consultation, reference 2.9).
    - VOGA outlined the purpose of consultation and that it valued the integration of cultural heritage of Native Title Holders and aimed to integrate these values into their planning.
    - VOGA requested YAC’s advice on preferred contact and consultation methods and if a formal consultation agreement is needed.

- VOGA proposed a meeting to discuss the planned activities and provide information.
- VOGA emphasised a co-design approach for the consultation process to ensure it met Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation's needs.
- VOGA also provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed YAC advising of proposed activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA provided a Summary Information Sheet and a link to a Consultation Information Sheet on its website (Record of Consultation, reference 3.29 and 1.3).
  - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date, and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation.
  - VOGA advised it recognised the importance of cultural heritage to Native Title Holders and was committed to integrating these values into their environmental planning.
  - VOGA believes YAC is relevant for consultation and invited them to participate in the process.
  - VOGA proposed a meeting in February 2025 to discuss the proposed activities, seek input, and engage in consultation.
  - VOGA requested formal consultation agreements before coordinating meetings.
  - VOGA provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
  - VOGA attached two maps showing the location of operations and EMBA for this EP in respect to YAC's native title determination (Record of Consultation, reference 3.29.1, 3.29.2).
- On 5 December 2024, VOGA called YAC, the call was unanswered and a message was left (SI Report, reference 24.1).
- On 20 January 2025, VOGA called YAC, who provided the correct contact details for consultation discussions (SI Report, reference 24.2).
  - VOGA then called the correct number and provided an introduction to consultation activities and referred to previous emails sent.
  - In the discussion, YAC advised that YAC has no interest in offshore oil and that Ngarluma manages all interests.
  - YAC requested that VOGA send the consultation information so that YAC could advise that consultation is not required.
- On 20 January 2025, VOGA emailed YAC to thank them for an earlier phone call and requested confirmation that YAC would not need to be consulted regarding offshore oil operations and that YAC defer the consultation to Ngarluma (SI Report, reference 24.3).
  - The email also requested that YAC provide the name of an additional traditional owner who should be consulted and contact details.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
YAC advised that it has no interest in offshore oil and that Ngarluma manages all interest.	VOGA acknowledged that YAC has no interest in offshore oil and defers VOGA's consultation on this EP to the Ngarluma.	VOGA notes that YAC has advised it has no comment at this time on the proposed activities under this EP.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP to be appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.
YAC has advised that VOGA should consult with an additional traditional owner.	VOGA accepts YAC's recommendation to consult with an additional group.	VOGA has initiated consultation with the Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation for consultation on this EP.	VOGA has initiated the consultation as advised by YAC.

		VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with YAC for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided YAC on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.
- A Summary Consultation Information Sheet, developed specifically for First Nations groups, was provided to YAC on 3 December 2024.
- Maps were provided showing the location and EMBA in relation to the YAC native title determination.
- Based on the information provided YAC made an assessment and advised that VOGA should consult via Ngarluma.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to YAC over three months.
- VOGA has provided YAC with more than eight months to consult ahead of preparing the EP for submission and continues to take feedback in relation to the EP.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA has sent follow-up consultation emails and phone calls, reminding YAC of the opportunity to provide feedback.

- VOGA asked for YAC's input into how YAC would like to engage in consultation and has consulted in a way it understands is appropriate for First Nations groups and YAC advised VOGA should consult via Ngarluma.

Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 21 February 2025, VOGA emailed MAC following consultation on another EP, at which MAC was recommended as a relevant person to consult with for this EP. In the email, VOGA introduced their operations and upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA advised it welcomed consultation with MAC, regarding these activities (Record of Consultation, reference 3.31).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date, and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on EP consultation.
    - VOGA advised it recognised the importance of cultural heritage to Native Title Holders and First Nations people and was committed to integrating these values into their environmental planning.
    - VOGA believes MAC is relevant for consultation and invited them to participate in the process.
    - VOGA proposed a meeting in March 2025 to discuss the proposed activities, seek input, and engage in consultation.
    - VOGA requested formal consultation agreements before coordinating meetings.
    - VOGA provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
    - VOGA attached two maps showing the location of operations and EMBA for this EP in respect to MAC's area of responsibility and interest (Record of Consultation, reference 3.31.1, 3.31.2).
- On 13 March 2025, VOGA emailed MAC to follow up on the previous consultation, and requested MAC to call VOGA to pursue consultation (SI Report, reference 32.1).
- On 1 April 2025, VOGA emailed MAC to request a call and follow up on previous consultation (SI Report, reference 32.2).
- On 1 April 2025, VOGA entered an online enquiry to MAC through their website, requesting to be contacted regarding this EP (SI Report, reference 32.3).
- On 23 May 2025, VOGA called MAC, the call went unanswered and a message was left requesting a response (SI Report, reference 32.4).
- On 23 May 2025, VOGA emailed MAC to confirm the call and to request a call or an email back to discuss further consultation (SI Report, reference 32.5).
- On 11 June 2025, MAC called VOGA; the call went unanswered, and a voice message was left requesting a follow-up and apologising for missing the consultation to date. MAC left a contact number for a follow-up call (SI Report, reference 32.6).
- On 11 June 2025, VOGA sent a text message to MAC requesting a contact email for further consultation (SI Report, reference 32.7).
- On 13 June 2025, MAC sent a text message to VOGA to apologise for the missed message and to provide a correct contact email (SI Report, reference 32.7).
- On 9 July 2025, VOGA emailed MAC to notify them of the last opportunity to provide feedback for this EP (Record of Consultation, reference 5.8).
    - The email outlined the details of the EP and the previous consultation sent on 21 February 2025, including the attached consultation and summary information sheets.

- VOGA detailed the consultation to date with MAC, including reaching out to MAC on 13 March, 1 April and 23 May 2025, and the following outreach on 16 June 2025 when a voicemail was left.
- VOGA outlined their intent to submit the EP to NOPSEMA over the coming weeks.
- VOGA also outlined the details of this EP and outlined other activities not relevant to this EP at the Wandoo facility, including additional upcoming consultation on routine Wandoo development activities.
- As part of the last opportunity to provide feedback, VOGA prompted MAC to review the previous information and share final comments or requests. VOGA requested that any feedback be provided by 11 July 2025.
    - VOGA confirmed that any feedback received would be assessed and included in this EP and that if correspondence is not made by this date, it would not be included in this submission to NOPSEMA but would be reviewed, considered, and addressed as part of ongoing consultation.
- VOGA also confirmed its commitment to maintaining open and ongoing engagement, with a focus on maintaining meaningful and respectful dialogue for this EP and future EPs.
- VOGA confirmed that feedback and responses to this EP will be included in the EP per environmental regulations and requested that if any feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, it be advised so it can be treated as confidential.

- On 9 July 2025, VOGA emailed MAC to apologise for missing the call, and to request a time to discuss the consultation (SI Report, reference 32.8).
- On 10 July 2025, MAC called VOGA and advised of the recent World Heritage Listing and the impact this has had on the MAC team and their availability. MAC advised that they had emailed their CEO to seek confirmation of a time and confirmed that the MAC team would soon return to Perth. MAC also advised that they would inform VOGA if there were time for a meeting; however, it was likely that the meeting may be pushed back (SI Report, reference 32.9).
- On 10 July 2025, MAC emailed VOGA to confirm they would return from Paris on 17 July 2025, following a busy period with preparations for the World Heritage listing decision in Paris and the associated travel. MAC confirmed that a meeting may be available on 17 or 18 July, and suggested a call on Microsoft Teams in the first week of August if this was unavailable (SI Report, reference 32.10).
- On 14 July 2025, VOGA emailed MAC to congratulate them on the World Heritage status and to confirm that either 17 or 18 July would be suitable for a meeting (SI Report, reference 32.11).
- On 14 July 2025, VOGA emailed MAC to follow up on their previous consultation (SI Report, reference 32.12).
- On 17 July 2025, MAC emailed VOGA to apologise for the delay and to confirm that their CEO was unavailable due to a high volume of media requests that MAC had received following their return. MAC confirmed they would be happy to have an initial meeting on Microsoft Teams and suggested 10:00 am on Wednesday, 23 July (SI Report, reference 32.13).
- On 17 July 2025, VOGA emailed MAC to confirm that they were unavailable on 23 July as there is limited capacity for the team, unless 24 July was suitable. VOGA also requested if MAC had any time available on the week commencing 28 July or on 24 July (SI Report, reference 32.14).
- On 21 July 2025, VOGA emailed MAC to request if 10:00 am 23 July was still available, as VOGA was now able to attend a Microsoft Teams meeting at this time (SI Report, reference 32.15).
- On 22 July 2025, MAC emailed VOGA to confirm that this time was still available (SI Report, reference 32.16).
- On 22 July 2025, VOGA emailed MAC to request if 10:00 am on 23 July could be confirmed for a meeting (SI Report, reference 32.17).
- On 22 July 2025, VOGA emailed MAC to confirm VOGA was able to attend the meeting and to provide a contact email for the Microsoft Teams invite (SI

Report, reference 32.18).

- On 22 July 2025, MAC emailed VOGA to confirm that a placeholder had been sent on Microsoft Teams (SI Report, reference 32.19).
- On 23 July 2025, VOGA met with the MAC CEO for an initial consultation meeting over Microsoft Teams (SI Report, reference 32.20)
    - The meeting began with introductions, an overview of VOGA and its operations, and the Wandoo facility.
    - MAC provided an overview of its recent activities, including the heritage team travelling overseas for the World Heritage Listing. MAC advised that often consultation occurs with the Circle of Elders and suggests that VOGA can consider engagement with the Circle of Elders for heritage matters, as they advise the board.
    - During the discussion of the project, MAC confirmed that Wandoo was a smaller facility, and VOGA explained that the operations have no interface with onshore processing, and that the only onshore interface is support vessels through Karratha.
    - MAC asked what the potential life of the field was, to which VOGA responded, advising that the life of the field was about ten years, but was dependent on ongoing works to extend the field life.
    - During the meeting, VOGA shared plans for near-field exploration where prospects had been identified, and provided the details of this EP and an EP not relevant for this consultation. VOGA detailed the current exploration target and stated that no well testing or flow is expected.
    - MAC asked about the timing of the program. VOGA confirmed that the program would occur next year, and if any development should occur, the company would need to put together a development plan.
    - MAC asked about the chance of success of the prospects, to which VOGA responded roughly 25 to 35 per cent.
    - MAC stated that while the CEO does not speak on behalf of the Board and Circle of Elders, the proposed activities were understood and considered reasonable.
    - MAC outlined that a key consideration would relate to Environmental Management Plans; however, for this proposed activity under this EP, no major concerns were anticipated to be raised by MAC for this EP. MAC offered for this to be confirmed via email by the CEO noting that it would not be on behalf of the circle of Elders. It is VOGA's understanding that the MAC CEO did not intend to take further consultation on this EP to the Circle of Elders as the proposed activities were considered reasonable and not required for engagemet due to the nature and scale of the proposed activities under this EP.
    - Following the consultation discussion for the activities under this EP, the ongoing plan of engagement was:
        - VOGA advised that consultations would begin shortly for an EP not relevant to this EP.
        - Both parties agreed it would be valuable to arrange a time to meet with the Circle of Elders as part of ongoing engagement or to potentially talk through other future Wandoo EPs with larger nature and scale. VOGA anticipated discussions regarding a separate EP would take place in late 2025 and requested MAC's advice at that time.
        - MAC asked VOGA if it was able to travel to Karratha for an in person meeting with the Circle of Elders as part of ongoing engagement.
- On 24 July 2025, VOGA emailed MAC to thank them for their time at the meeting and for their engagement with this EP (SI Report, reference 32.21).
    - VOGA provided in the email a link to the information sheet on VOGA's website and provided a summary of the meeting, including:

- An overview of VOGA's operations at the Wandoo field, the production rate at the facility, the estimated field like and potential extension, plans for near field exploration, upcoming Eps, Advice regarding the Wandoo field and the indication that the proposed activities were understood and considered reasonable, and the key considerations for MAC with regard to this EP.
- The email also detailed the next steps for engagement including the upcoming EP's, the plan to meet with the Circle of Elders to discuss the EPs and upcoming engagement for a meeting and potential to travel to Karratha.
- VOGA requested if a potential time for a meeting and confirmed that consultation materials for other EPs would be shared once available.

- On 8 September 2025, VOGA recieved an email from MAC in response to a meeting request for consultation on other Wandoo EPs with a larger nature and scale than this EP and ongoing engagement. MAC advised that at this stage a meeting was not considered necessary (SI Report, references 33.22 and 33.33).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
MAC has expressed support for consultation on this EP, which resulted in an initial consultation meeting with MAC CEO and the agreement to schedule a follow-up meeting, as part of ongoing engagement and not for consultation on this EP.	VOGA accepts MAC's support for consultation, accompanying consultation meetings, and follow-up meetings.	During a consultation call, it was recommended that MAC might be a relevant person for consultation on VOGA's EPs. VOGA has contacted and followed up with MAC for consultation on this EP and another EP. VOGA acknowledged that MAC has been afforded a shorter period to participate in consultation for this EP. VOGA will continue to progress relationship building with the MAC Circle of Elders and offer a face-to-face engagement with MAC to engage in consultation on other EPs, as outlined in Section 8.3.4 of this EP.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are sufficient. Although consultation for the purpose of regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations is complete, VOGA will continue to engage with MAC as part of ongoing consultation (see Section 8.3.4 of this EP).

		VOGA accepts that MAC has no feedback on the proposed activities at this time. VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with MAC for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided MAC on 21 February 2025 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.
- A Summary Consultation Information Sheet, developed specifically for First Nations groups, was provided to MAC on 21 February 2025.
- Maps were provided showing the location and EMBA in relation to the MAC native title determination.
- MAC has shown an understanding of the proposed activity during a meeting on 23 July 2025.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in February 2025.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to MAC over a five-month period.
- VOGA has provided MAC with more than five months to consult ahead of preparing the EP for submission and continues to take feedback in relation to the EP.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.

- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA has sent follow-up consultation emails and phone calls, reminding MAC of the opportunity to provide feedback.
- VOGA asked for MAC's input into how MAC would like to engage in consultation and has consulted in a way it understands is appropriate for First Nations groups.
- VOGA responded to questions at the meeting and MAC indicated to VOGA that they did not have any objections or claims that required addressing.

Native Title representative bodies

Kimberley Land Council (KLC)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- From 7 October 2024, VOGA has been in two way correspondence with NKAC as a conduit for consultation with NTGAC on this EP (SI Report, reference 11.9).
- On 15 March 2025, VOGA emailed KLC advising of proposed activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA provided a map of the title area, information on the wandoo operations and information on the consultation activities (SI Report, reference 36.1).
    - VOGA sought to confirm the necessity of consultation with KLC and whether KLC considered itself a relevant person for the purpose of providing feedback or input to the environmental planning process, particularly relating to cultural features of the environment.
    - VOGA clarified that KLC had been engaged as a conduit and contact point with relevant PBCs in VOGA's consultation to date and cited NKAC as a group that VOGA had been consulting with where KLC had also been engaged.
    - VOGA stated its assumption that it considers KLC may not consider it appropriate to represent the views of groups and organisations that use their services. However, in some circumstances, KLC may act as a conduit or formal contact point for PBCs and may provide advice on groups that could be relevant for consultation and to gather insights about preferred consultation approaches.
    - VOGA sought advice, confirmation or guidance on this matter from KLC.
- On 1 April 2025, VOGA emailed KLC to follow up on the previous consultation and request a time to discuss (SI Report, reference 36.2).
- On 13 May 2025, VOGA called KLC and left a message requesting a return call (SI Report, reference 36.3).
- On 13 May 2025, VOGA emailed KLC to confirm the receipt of call and to request a return call (SI Report, reference 36.4).
- On 4 July 2025, VOGA emailed KLC to notify them of the last opportunity to provide feedback for this EP (Record of Consultation, reference 5.7).
    - The email outlined the details of the EP and previous consultation sent on 15 March 2025, including the attached consultation and summary information sheets and a request for confirmation regarding the necessity of consultation with KLC.
    - VOGA outlined their intent to submit the EP to NOPSEMA over the coming weeks and to confirm that the formal consultation period concluded on 17 January 2025.
    - VOGA also outlined the details of this EP and outlined other activities not relevant to this EP at the Wandoo facility, including additional upcoming consultation on routine Wandoo development activities.

- As part of the last opportunity to provide feedback, VOGA prompted KLC to review the previous information and share final comments or requests. VOGA requested that any feedback be provided by 11 July 2025.
    - VOGA confirmed that any feedback received would be assessed and included in this EP and that if correspondence is not made by this date, it would not be included in this submission to NOPSEMA but would be reviewed, considered, and addressed as part of ongoing consultation.
- VOGA also confirmed its commitment to maintaining open and ongoing engagement, with a focus on maintaining meaningful and respectful dialogue for this EP and future EPs.
- VOGA outlined that input on this EP can be provided at any stage, and requested specific input on:
    - The EMBA of the proposed activities at Wandoo
    - Any changes or updates to interests, functions or activities that may be relevant
    - Opportunities to strengthen communication and engagement in the future.
- VOGA confirmed that feedback and responses to this EP will be included in the EP per environmental regulations and requested that if any feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, it be advised so it can be treated as confidential.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP. Since the engagement began in October 2024, KLC has not self-identified for consultation on this EP. KLC has not provided feedback, objections or clams in response to the information provided in December 2024.	VOGA understands that KLC provides legal and administration services to PBCs in its capacity as a NTRB. As such, KLC may or may not be relevant for consultation.	VOGA has sought advice, confirmation or guidance from KLC on whether it is a relevant person for consultation on this EP. VOGA will continue to engage with KLC on this matter and as part of ongoing consultation (see Section 8.3.4 of this EP). VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address KLC's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with KLC for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided KLC on 15 March 2025 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.
- Maps were provided showing the location and title area of the project.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to KLC over two months.
- VOGA has provided KLC with more than two months to consult ahead of preparing the EP for submission and continues to take feedback in relation to the EP.
- VOGA has corresponded with KLC on behalf of NKAC for over eight months and has maintained regular communication with KLC as a conduit for discussions with NKAC.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA has sent follow-up consultation emails and phone calls, reminding KLC of the opportunity to provide feedback.
- VOGA asked for KLC's input on how KLC would like to engage in consultation and has consulted in a way it understands is appropriate for Native Title representative bodies.

Yamatji Marlpa Aboriginal Corporation (YMAC)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 10 February 2025, VOGA attended YMAC's offices in Perth for a consultation meeting with a PBC not relevant to this EP. Four representatives from YMAC attended the meeting. At the meeting, YMAC engaged with VOGA's presentation by asking questions about the operations. YMAC shared recommended contacts for VOGA to reach out to for consultation on another EP (SI Report, reference 33.1).

- From 9 October 2024, VOGA has been in two way correspondence with YMAC as a conduit for consultation with NTGAC on this EP (SI Report, reference 14). This resulted in a face-to-face meeting, which was attended by a representative from YMAC on 17 February 2025 (SI Report, reference 33.2).
- On 15 March 2025, VOGA emailed YMAC advising of proposed activities in the Wandoo Field. VOGA provided a map of the title area, information on the wandoo operations and information on the consultation activities (SI Report, reference 33.3).
    - VOGA sought to confirm the necessity of consultation with YMAC and whether YMAC considered itself a relevant person for the purpose of providing feedback or input to the environmental planning process, particularly relating to cultural features of the environment.
    - VOGA clarified that YMAC had been engaged as a conduit and contact point with relevant PBCs in VOGA's consultation to date and cited two groups that VOGA had been consulting with where YMAC had also been engaged on this and another EP.
    - VOGA stated its assumption that it considers YMAC may not consider it appropriate to represent the views of groups and organisations that use their services. However, in some circumstances, YMAC may act as a conduit or formal contact point for PBCs and may provide advice on groups that could be relevant for consultation and to gather insights about preferred consultation approaches.
    - VOGA sought advice, confirmation or guidance on this matter from YMAC.
- On 1 April 2025, VOGA emailed YMAC to follow up on previous consultation, and to confirm if the matter should be directed to someone else at YMAC (SI Report, reference 33.4).
- On 13 May 2025, VOGA called YMAC and left a message with YMAC's reception requesting a return call (SI Report, reference 33.5).
- On 13 May 2025, VOGA emailed YMAC to follow up on the missed call, and to request a follow-up call (SI Report, reference 33.6).
- On 13 May 2025, YMAC emailed VOGA to confirm that they had forwarded the consultation information to the correct representative within YMAC and had included the representative in the email (SI Report, reference 33.7).
- On 13 May 2025, VOGA emailed YMAC to thank them for the update and to request a call with the correct representative (SI Report, reference 33.8).
- On 4 July 2025, VOGA emailed YMAC to notify them of the last opportunity to provide feedback for this EP (Record of Consultation, reference 5.6).
    - The email outlined the details of the EP and previous consultation sent on 15 March 2025, including the attached consultation and summary information sheets and a request for confirmation regarding the necessity of consultation with YMAC.
    - VOGA outlined their intent to submit the EP to NOPSEMA over the coming weeks and to confirm that the formal consultation period concluded on 17 January 2025.
    - VOGA also outlined the details of this EP and outlined other activities not relevant to this EP at the Wandoo facility, including additional upcoming consultation on routine Wandoo development activities.
    - As part of the last opportunity to provide feedback, VOGA prompted YMAC to review the previous information and share final comments or requests. VOGA requested that any feedback be provided by 11 July 2025.
        - VOGA confirmed that any feedback received would be assessed and included in this EP and that if correspondence is not made by this date, it would not be included in this submission to NOPSEMA but would be reviewed, considered, and addressed as part of ongoing consultation.
    - VOGA also confirmed its commitment to maintaining open and ongoing engagement, with a focus on maintaining meaningful and respectful dialogue for this EP and future EPs.
    - VOGA outlined that input on this EP can be provided at any stage, and requested specific input on:

- The EMBA of the proposed activities at Wandoo
- Any changes or updates to interests, functions or activities that may be relevant
- Opportunities to strengthen communication and engagement in the future.

- VOGA confirmed that feedback and responses to this EP will be included in the EP per environmental regulations and requested that if any feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, it be advised so it can be treated as confidential.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP. Since the engagement began in October 2024, YMAC has not self-identified for consultation on this EP. YMAC has not provided feedback, objections or clams in response to the information provided in December 2024.	VOGA understands that YMAC provides legal and administration services to PBCs in its capacity as a NTRB. As such, YMAC may or may not be relevant for consultation.	VOGA has sought advice, confirmation or guidance from YMAC on whether it is a relevant person for consultation on this EP. VOGA will continue to engage with YMAC on this matter and as part of ongoing consultation (see Section 8.3.4 of this EP). VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address YMAC's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with YMAC for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided YMAC on 15 March 2025 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

- Maps were provided showing the location and title area of the project.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.
- VOGA has addressed and responded to YMAC over two months.
- VOGA has provided YMAC with more than two months to consult ahead of preparing the EP for submission and continues to take feedback in relation to the EP.
- VOGA has corresponded with YMAC on behalf of NWAC, NTGAC, and YAC for over eight months and has maintained regular communication with YMAC as a conduit for discussions with NWAC, NTGAC, and YAC.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA has sent follow-up consultation emails and phone calls, reminding KLC of the opportunity to provide feedback.
- VOGA asked for YMAC's input into how YMAC would like to engage in consultation and has consulted in a way it understands is appropriate for Native Title Representative Groups.

Historical heritage groups or organisations

Western Australian Museum (WAM)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed WAM advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.16 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, VOGA's assessment of historical shipwrecks, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation and advised that VOGA had contacted the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH) for consultation.
    - The email also included VOGA's assessment of historic shipwrecks, including:
        - An analysis from VOGA that no historical wrecks are present within the Operational Area or within a 100km buffer of the EMBA.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.

- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed WAM following up on the proposed activity and provided VOGA's assessment of underwater cultural heritage, and a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.9 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.
    - The email also provided VOGA's assessment of underwater heritage as supplied on 3 December 2024
    - VOGA also advised that it had contacted the DPLH as part of consultation activities.
- On 17 March 2025, VOGA emailed WAM to clarify known historical shipwrecks in the project EMBA (Record of Consultation, reference 4.20).
    - VOGA corrected the previous statement that there were 31 historical wrecks within 100km of the EMBA, by providing the updated figure of 38 shipwrecks and shipwreck artifacts within the EMBA.
    - VOGA also clarified that there are no shipwrecks or artifacts located within the Operational Area, and clarified the nearest shipwreck.
    - VOGA also provided a table in the email, sourced from this EP, which outlines all the historical wrecks within the project EMBA.
    - The email also clarified that DPLH and DCCEEW had been contacted regarding the updated figures.
    - VOGA also requested any feedback on the shipwreck information.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that WAM has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address WAM's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with WAM for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to WAM on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding WAM of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Local government and recognised local business and community reference/liaison groups or organisations

City of Karratha

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed City of Karratha advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.18 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 12 December 2024, City of Karratha emailed VOGA confirming the receipt of the consultation and confirmed it has no comment relating to this EP (SI Report, reference 12.1).
- On 18 December 2024, VOGA emailed City of Karratha, thanking them for their response (SI Report, reference 12.2).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
While feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims on this EP.	VOGA notes advice that the proposed activities in this EP have no impact on City of Karratha's functions. VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that City of Karratha determines the activities do not affect its functions and there are no objections or claims on this EP by DAFF.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with City of Karratha for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to City of Karratha on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.

Town of Port Hedland

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed Town of Port Hedland advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.18 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Town of Port Hedland following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.13 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted

No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Town of Port Hedland has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Town of Port Hedland's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Town of Port Hedland for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Town of Port Hedland on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Town of Port Hedland of the opportunity to provide feedback by 17 January 2025.

Shire of Ashburton
Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

• On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed Shire of Ashburton advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.18 and 1.1).
  o The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
  o The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
• On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed the Shire of Ashburton following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.13 and 1.1).
  o The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.
• On 14 January 2025, the Shire of Ashburton emailed VOGA, providing an attached response to the consultation (SI Report, reference 19.1, 19.1.1).
  o The attached document included feedback from the Shire regarding:
  ▪ Environmental management and risks, including maintaining rigorous environmental management systems that meet or exceed regulatory standards and industry best practices, and aligning survey activities to avoid overlapping with key marine fauna cycles.
  ▪ Emergency management and preparedness tasks, including engaging the Shire's Local and District Emergency Management Committees and ensuring coordination with the relevant management authorities. The document also outlined that these tasks should be communicated with potentially affected community members.
  ▪ In the event of decommissioning (not relevant to this EP), VOGA should consider the Shire-operated Pilbara Regional Waste Management Facility (PRWMF) for its decommissioning and waste, align waste strategies with the principles of the waste hierarchy, and comply with relevant regulations.
  ▪ The Shire highlighted ongoing consultation and reporting measures, and requested regular updates on the outcomes of environmental monitoring programs, updates on scheduling and adjustments to mitigate impacts and summaries of any community or stakeholder engagement.
• On 14 February 2025, VOGA emailed the Shire of Ashburton to thank them for their response to the consultation and noted their feedback and comments regarding environmental management and risks (SI Report, reference 19.3).
  o VOGA confirmed the health, safety and environment management systems, and that VOGA would implement control measures that meet or exceed regulatory standards and industry best practices to minimise risks to sensitive marine and coastal ecosystems to as low as reasonably practicable.
  o VOGA also confirmed that its HSE MS plans had been developed in accordance with the following standards:
  ▪ ISO 14001: Environmental Management System
  ▪ OHSAS 18002:2000 Occupational Health & Safety Management Systems (an international standard equivalent to AS/NZS 4801), and
  ▪ API 9100 Model Environmental, Health and Safety Management System.
  o VOGA also noted the concerns regarding avoiding marine fauna cycles and confirmed that VOGA understands the importance of aligning

survey activities to avoid overlapping with key fauna cycles. VOGA also confirmed that VOGA believes existing mitigation and management controls in place are sufficient to reduce any potential impacts on marine fauna.
    - VOGA requested the Shire of Ashburton's guidance if any additional information regarding potential impacts and mitigation and management measures would be required.
- The email also outlined VOGA's plans in the event of an unplanned event, including the Emergency Management Plan, and further consultation with the Shire of Ashburton's emergency management committees and that VOGA would regularly liaise with regulators and government agencies.
- The email outlined ongoing consultation and reporting, and stated that VOGA has undertaken a broad assessment and consultation with community members. VOGA confirmed that all consultation activities have been developed in accordance with relevant regulations, and that VOGA would provide regular updates on the progress of activities and summaries of community and stakeholder engagements to be published in this EP as well as submitted to NOPSEMA.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
The Shire of Ashburton provided feedback and comments regarding environmental management and risk and urged VOGA to maintain rigorous environment management that meet or exceed regulatory standards and industry best practice.	VOGA notes the Shires feedback and advice on environmental management and risk.	VOGA responded to the Shire and: • confirmed it maintains rigorous health, safety and management systems; and • VOGA implements control measures that meet or exceed regulatory standards and best practice; • to minimise risks to sensitive marine and coastal ecosystems to ALARP. • VOGA provided the current standards for developing HSE MS plans. VOGA's Health, Safety and Environment (HSE) Policy is at Appendix A of this EP.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.

The Shire of Ashburton requested that VOGA align survey activities to avoid overlapping with key marine fauna cycles, including turtle nesting and whale migrations.	VOGA noted and responded to the request to align survey activities to avoid overlapping with key fauna cycles.	VOGA information about measures in place to protect marine fauna and responded to the Shire's feedback by advising: • VOGA understands the importance of aligning survey activities to avoid overlapping with key marine fauna cycles. • VOGA's activities are highly dependent on availability of vessels and equipment • VOGA believes its existing mitigation management controls in place are sufficient to reduce the impacts on marine fauna. • VOGA offered to provide more information if the Shire required it.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.
The Shire of Ashburton commented on Emergency Management and Preparedness and requested VOGA engage with the Shire's Local and District Emergency Management Committees	VOGA noted the request for consultation with the Shire's committees.	VOGA noted and responded to the request for engagement and requested the relevant contact information.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.
The Shire of Ashburton commented on Emergency Management and Preparedness and requested if VOGA can ensure Coordination has been established with relevant National, State, and Local emergency management agencies	VOGA noted the Shire's questions regarding emergency management and preparedness.	VOGA noted and responded that it regularly liaises with relevant regulators and agencies.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.
The Shire of Ashburton requested information regarding waste management and decommissioning.	VOGA accepts the Shire's request for information regarding waste management and decommissioning, although	VOGA provided background on the waste management plans for this EP.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No

	decommissioning is not relevant to this EP.		additional measures or controls are required.
The Shire of Ashburton requested VOGA provide regular updates on the progress of the proposed activities including: • Outcomes of environmental monitoring programs • Scheduling updates and adjustments to mitigate environmental or social impacts • Summaries of any community or stakeholder engagements conducted as part of the project	VOGA accepts the Shire's requests regarding updates on the progress on proposed activities relating to environmental monitoring, scheduling updates and community engagement.	Regarding ongoing consultation and updates: • VOGA confirmed that all consultation activities have been developed in accordance with relevant regulations and community members were advised through multiple channels. • VOGA will provide regular updates on the progress of activities and environmental monitoring programs • Summaries of consultation and engagement are published in the EPs and made available on the NOPSEMA website.	VOGA will notify the Shire of Ashburton regarding the progress of activities and environmental monitoring programs.
While feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims on this EP.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA noted the Shire of Ashburton's feedback to this EP and has responded.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.
Consultation demonstration statement			
VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation Shire of Ashburton for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically: **Sufficient Information** • Consultation information provided to Shire of Ashburton on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.			

- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Shire of Ashburton of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Shire of Exmouth

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed Shire of Exmouth advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.18 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Shire of Exmouth following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.13 and 1.1.).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Shire of Exmouth has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Shire of Exmouth's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Shire of Exmouth for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Shire of Exmouth on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Shire of Exmouth of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Regional Development Australia (Pilbara) Karratha WA

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed Regional Development Australia (Pilbara) Karratha WA advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.18 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Regional Development Australia (Pilbara) Karratha WA following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.13 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Regional Development Australia (Pilbara) Karratha WA has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Regional Development Australia (Pilbara) Karratha WA's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Regional Development Australia (Pilbara) Karratha WA for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Regional Development Australia (Pilbara) Karratha WA on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Regional Development Australia (Pilbara) Karratha WA of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Port Hedland Chamber of Commerce and Industry

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed Port Hedland Chamber of Commerce and Industry advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation

Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.18 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Port Hedland Chamber of Commerce and Industry following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.13 and 1.1.).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Port Hedland Chamber of Commerce and Industry has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Port Hedland Chamber of Commerce and Industry's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Port Hedland Chamber of Commerce and Industry for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Port Hedland Chamber of Commerce and Industry on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.

- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Port Hedland Chamber of Commerce and Industry of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Onslow Chamber of Commerce and Industry

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 18 December 2024, VOGA emailed the Onslow Chamber of Commerce and Industry advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.19 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Onslow Chamber of Commerce and Industry following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.13 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Onslow Chamber of Commerce and Industry has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Onslow Chamber of Commerce and Industry's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Onslow Chamber of Commerce and Industry for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Onslow Chamber of Commerce and Industry on 18 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Onslow Chamber of Commerce and Industry of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed WALGA advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.18 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed WALGA following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.13 and 1.1).

- The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that WALGA has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address WALGA's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with WALGA for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to WALGA on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding WALGA of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Karratha and Districts Chamber of Commerce and Industry (KDCCI)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 3 December 2024, VOGA emailed KDCCI advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation,

reference 3.18 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed KDCCI following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.13 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that KDCCI has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address KDCCI's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with KDCCI for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to KDCCI on 3 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.

- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding KDCCI of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Research institutes

Curtin University (Centre for Marine Science and Technology)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed Curtin University advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.11 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, a request for current research activities, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
    - VOGA also sought advice on any research activities being undertaken that could intersect with the proposed activities under the EP.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Curtin University following up on the proposed activity and provided VOGA's assessment of underwater cultural heritage, and a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.14 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, a request for current research activities, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Curtin University has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Curtin University's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Curtin University for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Curtin University on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Curtin University of the opportunity to provide feedback.

University of Western Australia (UWA)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed UWA advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.11 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, a request for current research activities, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
    - VOGA also sought advice on any research activities being undertaken that could intersect with the proposed activities under the EP.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed UWA following up on the proposed activity and provided VOGA's assessment of underwater cultural heritage, and a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.14 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, a request for current research activities, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that UWA has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address UWA's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with UWA for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to UWA on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding UWA of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed the CSIRO advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.11 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, a request for current research activities, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.

- The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- VOGA also sought advice on any research activities being undertaken that could intersect with the proposed activities under the EP.

- On 3 December 2024, CSIRO emailed VOGA to confirm the receipt of consultation information, and informed VOGA that the outreach had been forwarded to the Environment Partnerships and Business Development area (SI Report, reference 23.1).
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed CSIRO following up on the proposed activity and provided VOGA's assessment of underwater cultural heritage, and a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.14 and 1.1).
  - The email included an activity summary, a request for current research activities, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that CSIRO has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address CSIRO's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with CSIRO for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to CSIRO on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding CSIRO of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Western Australian Marine Science Institution (WAMSI)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed WAMSI advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.11 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, a request for current research activities, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
    - VOGA also sought advice on any research activities being undertaken that could intersect with the proposed activities under the EP.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed WAMSI following up on the proposed activity and provided VOGA's assessment of underwater cultural heritage, and a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.14 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, a request for current research activities, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that WAMSI has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address WAMSI's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with WAMSI for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to WAMSI on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding WAMSI of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed AIMS advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.11 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, a request for current research activities, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed AIMS following up on the proposed activity and provided VOGA's assessment of underwater cultural heritage, and a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.14 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, a request for current research activities, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its	VOGA accepts that AIMS has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address AIMS's

	Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).		functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with AIMS for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to AIMS on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding AIMS of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Australian Marine Sciences Association (WA Branch) (AMSA)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed the Australian Marine Sciences Association (WA Branch) advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.11 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, a request for current research activities, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Australian Marine Sciences Association (WA Branch) following up on the proposed activity and provided VOGA's assessment of underwater cultural heritage, and a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.14 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, a request for current research activities, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that AMSA has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address AMSA's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation AMSA for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to AMSA on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.

- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding AMSA of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Local environment and conservation groups or organisations

Protect Ningaloo

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed Project Ningaloo advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.1 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Project Ningaloo following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.1 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Protect Ningaloo has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Protect Ningaloo's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Protect Ningaloo for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Protect Ningaloo on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Protect Ningaloo of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Australian Conservation Foundation (ACF)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed the ACF advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.1 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed ACF following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.1 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that ACF has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address ACF's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with ACF for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to ACF on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding ACF of the opportunity to provide feedback.

The Conservation Council of WA (CCWA)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed the CCWA advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.1 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed CCWA following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.1 and 1.1).

- The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that CCWA has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address CCWA's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with CCWA for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to CCWA on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding CCWA of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Care for Hedland

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed Care for Hedland advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of

Consultation, reference 3.1 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Care for Hedland following up on the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.1 and 1.1).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that Care for Hedland has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Care for Hedland's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with Care for Hedland for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 8 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Consultation information provided to Care for Hedland on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.

- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding Care for Hedland of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Table 7: Consultation Report with Non-Relevant Persons or Organisations

Section 25(1)(d) of the OPGGS(E)R: Persons or organisations whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by the activities to be carried out under the environment plan
Commonwealth commercial fishing - representative bodies
Australian Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery Association (ASBITA)
Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

• On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed ASBITA advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fisher Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, references 3.4, 1.1 and 1.2).
  ○ The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
  ○ The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
  ○ The Commercial Fisher Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; the location and timing of activities; an outline of the activities to be conducted; a list of relevant state and Commonwealth-managed fisheries and an overview of consultation plans within the Operational Area and the EMBA.
• On 13 December 2024, ASBITA emailed VOGA confirming the receipt of the consultation and confirmed it was a relevant person for consultation (SI Report, reference 9.1).
  ○ The email included information on the Southern Bluefin Tuna population, spawning grounds and migration activity, outlined the overlap of the

project EMBA, and welcomed further consultation.

- On 18 December 2024, VOGA emailed ASBITA, thanking them for their response and the information provided (SI Report, reference 9.2). The email acknowledged the information ASBITA shared regarding the Southern Bluefin Tuna fishery and its management and migratory patterns, as well as the cooperative management efforts through the Commission for the Conservation of Southern Bluefin Tuna.
    - The email noted that activities conducted would have a minimal impact on the Southern Bluefin Tuna population. VOGA welcomed further consultation with ASBITA.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted
ASBITA advised of the potential impact on the spawning grounds and migratory activity of Southern Bluefin Tuna due to seismic activity which is not proposed under this EP. While feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims on this EP.	VOGA accepts the potential impact on the spawning grounds of migratory Southern Bluefin Tuna.	VOGA has reviewed the potential impact and ensures that the activities of this EP have minimal impact on the Southern Bluefin Tuna population. VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP are appropriate. No additional measures or controls are required.

Consultation demonstration statement

While ASBITA is not a relevant person under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, VOGA chose to contact them and considers it has still provided sufficient information and a reasonable period outside of regulatory requirements for ASBITA to provide feedback during the consultation process.

Commonwealth Fisheries Association (CFA)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 13 November 2024, VOGA emailed the CFA to introduce their operations and upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field. (Record of Consultation, reference 2.15).
    - VOGA sought CFA's input on the preferred method of consultation with commercial fisheries and aimed to ensure the engagement was tailored to meet their needs.
    - VOGA also provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed CFA advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fishing Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.4, 1.1 and 1.2).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
    - The Commercial Fisher Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities; coordinates for operational areas; an activity summary; assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts; and VOGA's impact assessment.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed CFA following up on the proposed activity and provided information for the commercial fishing sector, a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fishing Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.2, 1.1 and 1.2).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.
    - The email also provided information for the Commercial Fishing Sector as supplied on 2 December 2024.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**

No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA has reviewed the potential impact and ensures that the activities of this EP have minimal impact on the CFA and their activities.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address CFA's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

While CFA is not a relevant person under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, VOGA chose to contact them and considers it has still provided sufficient information and a reasonable period outside of regulatory requirements for CFA to provide feedback during the consultation process.

Pearl Producers Association (PPA)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 13 November 2024, VOGA emailed Pearl Producers Association to introduce their operations and upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field. (Record of Consultation, reference 2.16).
    - VOGA sought Pearl Producers Association's input on the preferred method of consultation with Commercial fisheries and aimed to ensure the engagement was tailored to meet their needs.
    - VOGA also provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed Pearl Producers Association advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.4, 1.1 and 1.2).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation and a Commercial Fishery Information Sheet.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
    - The Commercial Fisher information sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities; coordinates for operational areas; an activity summary; assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts; and VOGA's impact assessment.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed Pearl Producers Association following up on the proposed activity, provided information for the commercial fishing

sector and provided a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fishing Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.2, 1.1 and 1.2.)

- The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.
- The email also provided information for the Commercial Fishing Sector as supplied on 2 December 2024.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA has reviewed the potential impact and ensures that the activities of this EP have minimal impact on the PPA and their activities.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address PPA's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

While PPA is not a relevant person under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, VOGA chose to contact them and considers it has still provided sufficient information and a reasonable period outside of regulatory requirements for PPA to provide feedback during the consultation process.

Seafood Industry Australia (SIA)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed SIA advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fisher Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.4, 1.1 and 1.2).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
    - The Commercial Fisher Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities; coordinates for operational areas; an activity summary; assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts; and VOGA's impact assessment.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed SIA following up on the proposed activity and provided information for the commercial fishing sector, a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fishing Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.2, 1.1 and 1.2).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.
    - The email also provided information for the Commercial Fishing Sector as supplied on 2 December 2024.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA has reviewed the potential impact and ensures that the activities of this EP have minimal impact on the SIA and their activities.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address SIA's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

While SIA is not a relevant person under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, VOGA chose to contact them and considers it has still provided sufficient information and a reasonable period outside of regulatory requirements for SIA to provide feedback during the consultation process.

Tuna Australia (TA)			
Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP			
• On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed Tuna Australia advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fisher Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.4, 1.1 and 1.2). o The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation. o The Consultation Information sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information. o The Commercial Fisher Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; key information for the commercial fishing sector about the activities; coordinates for operational areas; an activity summary; assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts; and VOGA's impact assessment. • On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed TA following up on the proposed activity and provided information for the commercial fishing sector, a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fishing Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.2, 1.1 and 1.2). o The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP. o The email also provided information for the Commercial Fishing Sector as supplied on 2 December 2024. • On 21 January 2025, TA emailed VOGA providing its industry position statement regarding management of consultation with energy companies. TA outlined information about its consultation services agreement to run consultations on the behalf of VOGA with all fishers with fishing rights in the ETBF, WTBF and the Eastern and Western Skipjack fisheries. TA advised it has authority to act on behalf of concession holders. (SI Report, reference 29.1 and 29.1.1). o The email also included an attached consulting services agreement, outlining the scope, terms and services provided by TA as part of their consultation services (SI Report, reference 29.1.2). • On 14 February 2025, VOGA emailed Tuna Australia to thank them for providing the industry position statement (SI Report, reference 29.2). o VOGA noted the advice from Tuna Australia and outlined that it would consider and apply it to any future environment plan where Tuna Australia's members are considered relevant for consultation. o VOGA also thanked Tuna Australia for providing the consultation services agreement and outlined that no fee-for-service agreement would be required for this EP as there is no expected impact to the fisheries represented by Tuna Australia (WTBF or West Australian Skipjack Fishery). ▪ VOGA advised it determined there has not been active fishing from these fisheries in the Operational Area in the past 10 years. o VOGA thanked Tuna Australia for their guidance and any ongoing consultation for future EPs.			
Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**

Tuna Australia provided an industry position statement for consultation with energy companies and advised it has the authority to act on behalf of concession holders. While feedback has been received, there were no objections or claims on this EP.	VOGA accepts Tuna Australia's position statement.	VOGA acknowledged Tuna Australia's consultation advice and would consider and apply this for future EPs where TA concession holders are considered relevant for consultation. VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. VOGA notes that further feedback may be received as part of ongoing consultation and where appropriate, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP that address Tuna Australia's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

While TA is not a relevant person under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, VOGA chose to contact them and considers it has still provided sufficient information and a reasonable period outside of regulatory requirements for TA to provide feedback during the consultation process.

Commonwealth commercial fishing - representative bodies

Western Rock Lobster Council (WRLC)

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 13 November 2024, VOGA emailed the WRLC to introduce their operations and upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field (Record of Consultation, reference 2.17).
    - VOGA sought WRLC's input on the preferred method of consultation with commercial fisheries and aimed to ensure the engagement was tailored to meet their needs.
    - VOGA also provided information on confidentiality and the ability to opt out of the consultation.
- On 2 December 2024, VOGA emailed the WRLC advising of the proposed activity and provided a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fisher Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 3.4, 1.1 and 1.2).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP development through consultation, the consultation closing date and a link to VOGA's website with additional resources on Environment Plan consultation.
    - The Consultation Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; potential impacts, risks and management measures; and the presence, of environmental, social, economic and cultural features and/or values within the Operational Area and the Environment That

May Be Affected (EMBA) based on a review of publicly available information.
    - The Commercial Fisher Information Sheet included an overview of the proposed activities; key information about the commercial fishing sector; coordinates for operational areas; an activity summary; assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts; and VOGA's impact assessment.
- On 13 January 2025, VOGA emailed WRLC following up on the proposed activity and provided information for the commercial fishing sector, a Consultation Information Sheet and Commercial Fishing Information Sheet (Record of Consultation, reference 4.2, 1.1 and 1.2).
    - The email included an activity summary, directions for how to provide input into the EP through consultation, a reminder of the date that consultation is proposed to close and confirmation that VOGA would continue to receive feedback through ongoing consultation through the life of the EP.
    - The email also provided information for the Commercial Fishing Sector as supplied on 2 December 2024.

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	**VOGA's assessment of the merits**	**VOGA's statement of response**	**Details of the measures adopted**
No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received, VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA accepts that WRLC has no comment at this time.	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address WRLC's functions, interests or activities.

Consultation demonstration statement

VOGA has discharged its obligations for consultation under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, and consultation with WRLC for the purpose of regulation 25 is complete. Sufficient information, a reasonable period and a reasonable opportunity have been provided, as described in Section 10 of the EP and regulation 25 (2) and (3). Specifically:

Sufficient Information

- Initial outreach and consultation information provided to WRLC on 13 November 2024, to inform of upcoming activities based on WRLC's function, interests and activities.
- Consultation information provided to WRLC on 2 December 2024 based on their function, interest and activities.
- Consultation Information Sheet has been publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.
- Commercial Fisher Information Sheet has been provided to stakeholder and publicly available on the VOGA website since December 2024.

Reasonable period

- A consultation period was noted in the initial consultation correspondence, and consultation material was sent.
- Consultation for this EP commenced in December 2024.

Reasonable opportunity

- VOGA published advertisements in six national, state, and relevant local newspapers (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities), from 27 November 2024 – 15 January 2025 advising of the proposed activities and requesting consultation from relevant persons.
- From 28 November 2024 to 17 January 2025, VOGA ran two social media advertisement campaigns (See Appendix F, Consultation Activities) targeting potentially relevant persons within the project EMBA.
- VOGA sent a follow-up consultation email on 13 January 2025, reminding WRLC of the opportunity to provide feedback.

Individual - Self identified

Individual 1

Consultation overview and summary of response on this EP

- On 15 January 2025, Individual 1 called the VOGA office and left a voice message referring to an advertisement in the newspaper for the Wandoo Environment Plan, and requested a call back on mobile (SI Report, reference 31.1).
    - In the message, Individual 1 said they were a Traditional Custodian in the area.
    - Individual 1 self-identified as a relevant person for consultation and wanted to speak with someone.
- On 16 January 2025, Individual 1 called the VOGA office and left a voice message referring to the advertisement in the newspaper for the Wandoo Environment Plan Wandoo Environment Plan, and requested a call back on mobile (SI Report, reference 31.2).
- On 23 January 2025, VOGA called Individual 1 and left a voice mail following the call being unanswered. VOGA requested Individual 1 to call back (SI Report, reference 31.3).
- On 24 January 2025, VOGA called Individual 1 and left a voice mail following the call being unanswered. VOGA requested Individual 1 to call back (SI Report, reference 31.4).
- On 28 January 2025, VOGA called Individual 1 and left a voice mail following the call being unanswered. VOGA requested Individual 1 to call back (SI Report, reference 31.5).
- On 3 February 2025, VOGA sent a text message to Individual 1 to clarify that they were calling on behalf of VOGA, and to request a call back or a relevant contact email for further consultation (SI Report, reference 31.6).

Relevant person objections, claims or other feedback	VOGA's assessment of the merits	VOGA's statement of response	Details of the measures adopted

No response was received on this EP despite follow up.	Nil.	VOGA engages in ongoing consultation throughout the life of an EP. Should feedback be received VOGA will apply its Management of Change and Revision process, where appropriate (Section 6.11 of the EP).	VOGA considers the measures and controls in the EP address Individual 1's functions, interests or activities.
Consultation demonstration statement			
While Individual 1 is not a relevant person under regulation 25 of the Environment Regulations, VOGA chose to contact them and considers it has still provided sufficient information and a reasonable period outside of regulatory requirements for Individual 1 to provide feedback during the consultation process.			

RECORD OF CONSULTATION

Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Consultation materials 7
1.1 Consultation Information Sheet 7
1.2 Commercial Fisher Information Sheet 13
1.3 Summary Information Sheet 21
2. Initial Consultation Outreach – October and November 2024 22
2.1 Email sent to Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (KAC) on 9 October 2024 22
2.2 Email sent to Buurabalayji Thalanyji Aboriginal Corporation (BTAC) on 14 October 2024 24
2.3 [Removed] 26
2.4 [Removed] 26
2.5 Email sent to Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation (NTGAC) on 9 October 2024 26
2.6 Email sent to Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (NAC) on 9 October 2024 28
2.7 Email sent to Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) on 9 October 2024 30
2.8 Email sent to Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) on 9 October 2024 32
2.9 Email sent to Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation (YAC) on 14 October 2024 34
2.10 Email sent to Commonwealth Fisheries Association on 13 November 2024 ... 36
2.11 Email sent to Pearl Producers Association on 13 November 2024 37
2.12 Email sent to Western Rock Lobster Council on 13 November 2024 39
2.13 Email sent to Recfishwest on 13 November 2024 40
2.14 Email sent to Marine Tourism WA on 14 November 2024 41
2.15 Email sent to Australian Fisheries Management Authority on 14 November 2024 42
2.16 Email sent to WA Game Fishing Association on 14 November 2024 43
3. Consultation – December 2024 44
3.1 Email sent to Australian Border Force (ABF), Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DEMIRS), Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA), Department of Industry, Science and Resources (DISR), Department of Transport (DoT) – marine pollution, Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Advisory Committee (NCWHAC), Pilbara Development Commission (PDC), Pilbara Ports Authority (PPA), Project Ningaloo, Australian Conservation Foundation (ACF), The Conservation Council of WA (CCWA), Care for Hedland on 2 December 2024 ... 44
3.2 Emails sent to WAFIC on 2 December 2024 46

3.2.1 Re: Vermilion Energy Commercial Fishing Consultation - Fee-for-Service 46

3.2.2 Re: Consultation on Wandoo Field Geophysical Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan & Exploration Drilling Environment Plan 47

3.3 Email sent to Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA) on 2 December 2024 48

3.4 Email sent to Australian Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery Association (ASBITA), Commonwealth Fisheries Association (CFA), Pearl Producers Association (PPA), Seafood Industry Australia, Tuna Australia, Western Rock Lobster Council on 2 December 2024 50

3.5 Email sent to WA Game Fishing Association (WAGFA), Recfishwest, Marine Tourism WA, Ashburton Anglers, Exmouth Game Fishing Club (EGFC), King Bay Game Fishing Club (KBFC), Nickol Bay Sportsfishing Club (NBSC) on 2 December 2024 51

3.6 Email sent to Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) and Australian Hydrographic Office (AHO) on 2 December 2024 53

3.6.1 Shipping lane map sent to Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) and Australian Hydrographic Office (AHO) on 2 December 2024 54

3.7 Email sent to Director of National Parks (DNP) on 2 December 2024 54

3.8 Email sent to Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) on 2 December 2024 56

3.9 Email sent to Department of Defence (DoD) on 2 December 2024 58

3.10 Email sent to Australian Energy Producers (AEP) on 2 December 2024 59

3.11 Email sent to Curtin University (Centre for Marine Science and Technology), University of Western Australia (UWA), Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Reserch Organsiation (CSIRO), Western Australian Marine Science Institution (WAMSI), Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS), Australian Marine Sciences Association (WA Branch) on 2 December 2024..... 61

3.12 Email sent to Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF) - Fisheries on 3 December 2024..... 62

3.13 Email sent to Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF) - Biosecurity on 3 December 2024 64

3.14 Email sent to Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) on 3 December 2024..... 66

3.15 Email sent to Department of Planning Lands and Heritage (DPLH) on 3 December 2024 68

3.16 Email sent to Western Australian Museum on 3 December 2024 70

3.17 Email sent to Beagle No. 1 Pty Ltd / Longreach Capital Investment, BP Developments Australia, Chevron Australia, Finder No 16 Pty Ltd, INPEX Browse E&P Pty Ltd, Jadestone Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd, Kato Energy (WA) Pty Ltd, Kufpec (Perth) Pty Ltd, MEO International Pty Ltd, Mobil Australia Resources Company Pty Limited, Santos Offshore Pty Ltd, Shell Australia Pty Ltd, Western Gas (474 P) Pty Ltd, Woodside Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd on 3 December 2024 71

3.18 Email sent to City of Karratha, Town of Port Hedland, Shire of Ashburton, Shire of Exmouth, Port Hedland Chamber of Commerce and Industry, Western

Australian Local Government Association (WALGA), Karratha and Districts Chamber of Commerce and Industry (KDCCI), Regional Development Australia (Pilbara) Karratha WA on 3 December 2024 72

3.19 Email sent to Onslow Chamber of Commerce and Industry on 18 December 2024 74

3.20 Letter sent to Pilbara Kimberley Recreational Marine Users and Gascoyne Recreational Marine Users on 11 December 2024 75

3.21 Email sent to Buurabalayji Thalanyji Aboriginal Corporation (BTAC) on 4 December 2024 76

3.22 Email sent to Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (KAC) on 3 December 2024 78

3.22.1 Attachment to email sent to Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (KAC) on 3 December 2024 80

3.22.2 Attachment to email sent to Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (KAC) on 3 December 2024 80

3.23 [REMOVED] 81

3.24 [REMOVED] 81

3.25 Email sent to Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation (NTGAC) on 3 December 2024 81

3.25.1 Attachment to email sent to Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation (NTGAC) on 3 December 2024 83

3.25.2 Attachment to email sent to Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation (NTGAC) on 3 December 2024 83

3.26 Email sent to Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (NAC) on 3 December 2024 84

3.26.1 Attachment to email sent to Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (NAC) on 3 December 2024 86

3.26.2 Attachment to email sent to Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (NAC) on 3 December 2024 86

3.27 Email sent to Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) on 3 December 2024 87

3.27.1 Attachment to email sent to Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) on 3 December 2024 88

3.27.2 Attachment to email sent to Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) on 3 December 2024 89

3.28 Email sent to Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) on 3 December 2024 89

3.28.1 Attachment to email sent to Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) on 3 December 2024 91

3.28.2 Attachment to email sent to Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) on 3 December 2024 92

3.29 Email sent to Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation (YAC) on 3 December 2024 92

3.29.1 Attachment to email sent to Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation (YAC) on 3 December 2024 94

3.29.2 Attachment to email sent to Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation (YAC) on 3 December 2024 95

3.30 Email sent to Vocus on 3 December 2024..... 95

3.31 Email sent to Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) on 21 February 2025.. 97

3.31.1 Attachment to email sent to Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) on 21 February 2025 99

3.31.2 Attachment to email sent to Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) on 21 February 2025 99

4. Consultation Follow Up – January 2024..... 100

4.1 Email sent to Australian Border Force (ABF), Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DEMIRS), Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA), Department of Industry, Science and Resources (DISR), Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Advisory Committee (NCWHAC), Pilbara Development Commission (PDC), Project Ningaloo, Australian Conservation Foundation (ACF), The Conservation Council of WA (CCWA), Care for Hedland on 13 January 2025..... 100

4.2 Email sent to Commonwealth Fisheries Association (CFA), Pearl Producers Association (PPA), Seafood Industry Australia, Tuna Australia, Western Rock Lobster Council on 13 January 2025..... 101

4.3 Email sent to Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF) - Fisheries on 13 January 2025 103

4.4 Email sent to Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF) - Biosecurity on 13 January 2025..... 104

4.5 Email sent to Department of Defence (DoD) on 13 January 2025..... 105

4.6 Email sent to Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) on 13 January 2025 107

4.7 Email sent to Department of Planning Lands and Heritage (DPLH) on 13 January 2025..... 109

4.8 Email sent to Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) on 13 January 2025 110

4.9 Email sent to Western Australian Museum on 13 January 2025.....111

4.10 Email sent to WA Game Fishing Association (WAGFA), Marine Tourism WA, Ashburton Anglers, Exmouth Game Fishing Club (EGFC), King Bay Game Fishing Club (KBFC), Nickol Bay Sportsfishing Club (NBSC) on 13 January 2025..... 113

4.11 Email sent to Beagle No. 1 Pty Ltd / Longreach Capital Investment, Chevron Australia, INPEX Browse E&P Pty Ltd, Jadestone Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd, Kato Energy (WA) Pty Ltd, Kufpec (Perth) Pty Ltd, MEO International Pty Ltd, Mobil Australia Resources Company Pty Limited, Santos Offshore Pty Ltd, Shell Australia Pty Ltd, Western Gas (474 P) Pty Ltd, Woodside Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd on 13 January 2025..... 114

4.12 Email sent to Vocus on 13 January 2025 115

4.13 Email sent to Town of Port Hedland, Shire of Ashburton, Shire of Exmouth, Port Hedland Chamber of Commerce and Industry, Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA), Karratha and Districts Chamber of Commerce and Industry (KDCCI), Regional Development Australia (Pilbara) Karratha WA, Onslow Chamber of Commerce and Industry on 13 January 2025..... 116

4.14 Email sent to Curtin University (Centre for Marine Science and Technology), University of Western Australia (UWA), Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Reserch Organsiation (CSIRO), Western Australian Marine Science Institution (WAMSI), Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS), Australian Marine Sciences Association (WA Branch) on 13 January 2025 117

4.15 Email sent to Australian Energy Producers (AEP) on 13 January 2025..... 118

4.16 Letter sent to Pilbara Kimberley Recreational Marine Users and Gascoyne Recreational Marine Users on 14 January 2025..... 119

4.17 Email sent to Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) – marine pollution on 13 March 2025..... 121

5. Notification of intent to submit – July 2025.....122

5.1 Email sent to Buurabalayji Thalanyji Aboriginal Corporation (BTAC) on 30 June 2025..... 122

5.2 Email sent to Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation (KAC) on 2 July 2025 125

5.3 Email sent to Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation (WAC) on 3 July 2025 127

5.4 Email sent to Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation (WAC) on 3 July 2025 131

5.5 Email sent to Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation (NTGAC) on 4 July 2025 133

5.6 Email sent to Yamatji Marlpa Aboriginal Corporation (YMAC) on 4 July 2025136

5.7 Email sent to Kimberley Land Council (KLC) on 4 July 2025 137

5.8 Email sent to Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) on 9 July 2025..... 139

5.9 Email sent to Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation (NAC) on 9 July 2025..... 141

1. Consultation materials

1.1 Consultation Information Sheet

VERMILION ENERGY

INFORMATION SHEET

Wandoo Field

Exploration Drilling Environment Plan

December 2024

About Vermilion Energy

Vermilion Energy is an international energy producer with a 30-year track record. It has operations in North America, Europe and Australia.

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (Vermilion) is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on exploring for and developing oil at the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

About Wandoo Field

The Wandoo Field was discovered in 1991 and the extraction of oil started in 1993. Vermilion has been the operator since November 2005 and the sole titleholder since 2007.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island (**Figure 1**). It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m–60m.

The Wandoo Facility operates under existing accepted Environmental Plans in place for production and well construction.

Operational Areas

Operational Areas have been defined as a subset of WA-14-L, to encompass the exploration prospects. Co-ordinates of the exploration prospects are listed in **Table 2**, with Operational Areas of a 4 x 4km square centred around these geographical co-ordinates (see **Figure 2**).

Activity overview

Vermilion currently operates the Wandoo Facility within the production licence area WA-14-L. Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan (EP).

The activity duration is expected to be between approximately 15 to 20 days of continuous drilling operations for each well drilled. The expected duration is a forecast and is subject to change based on Mobile Offshore Drilling Unit (MODU) availability or adverse weather conditions. A summary of the activity is provided in **Table 1.**

Communications with mariners

A temporary 500m safety exclusion zone will apply around the drilling rig. Commercial fishers and other marine users are permitted to use the Operational Areas but should take care around the operations by adhering to standard navigation rules and remain clear of the safety exclusion zone.

Marine notices will be issued prior to activity commencement to alert vessels which may be operating in waters nearby.



Figure 1. Location of the Wandoo Field

Activity summary

Table 1. Activity summary

Production licence area	WA-14-L
Approximate duration and timing of activities	• 15-20 days (per well/campaign). • The first well is proposed to be drilled in Q4 2025 – Q1/Q2 2026, pending regulatory approval and rig availability. • The timing of subsequent activities has not been finalised. The Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP assumes the activities may be undertaken at any time of year over the five year period following acceptance of the EP.
Approximate water depth	50-60m
Key activities	The approach to exploration drilling is summarised in the following key steps: • Using an approved transit route specialist anchor handling vessels will manoeuvre the drilling rig into place. • The drilling rig will be positioned at sites determined as suitable by the seabed assessments. • Surface hole section will be drilled and cased, and then a riser and Blow-out Preventer (BOP) to prevent release of hydrocarbons installed. • The well would then be drilled to reach the reservoir. • Once the exploration wells have been drilled and evaluated, they will be Plugged and Abandoned (P&A) so hydrocarbons cannot be released, and all equipment removed from the seabed.
Vessels	• One jack-up MODU (drilling rig). • One to four support vessels (typically two for drilling rig support) will be involved in the activity. • Vessel details are not known at this time.
Remotely operated vehicle (ROV)	A light work-class ROV will be available on location to be used to support drilling rig operations. ROV activities may include survey and monitoring operations.
Helicopters	Helicopters will be used for crew changes, critical equipment supply, surveillance and emergency response uses.
Drilling muds and cuttings	Vermilion drilling operations will use only water-based fluids called 'muds' to lubricate and stabilise the wellbores in each section and remove drilling cuttings. Drill cuttings are rock chips from the sedimentary layers that emerge from the drilling process and range from very fine to pebble sized. Water-based muds are recycled as much as possible during the drilling process. The cuttings will be processed on the drilling rig before they are discharged overboard, where they will settle rapidly on the seafloor around the well site. This is standard industry practice in Australia. Marine mammals and fish may transit through these areas but will usually avoid the temporary disturbance. Any exposure to suspended sediment before it settles on the seabed will be highly localised and temporary due to high dilution and fast dispersal in the water column.
Operational area and exclusion zones	A temporary 500m safety exclusion zone will be in place around the drilling rig to manage vessel movements. No restrictions to other vessels within the Operational Area apart from being advised to take care during the drilling activities.
Description of the environment	The Operational Area is located within the Northwest Shelf provincial bioregion (based on the Integrated Marine and Coastal Regionalisation). Proximity to key features include: *Aboriginal cultural heritage* • There are no registered Aboriginal cultural heritage sites within the Operational Area. *Biologically important areas (BIAs)* The following BIAs intersect the Operational Area: • Wedge-tailed shearwater – Reproduction • Humpback whale – Migration • Flatback turtle – Reproduction • Whale shark – Foraging

	Heritage There are no World Heritage or National Heritage Properties within the Operational Area. The nearest heritage properties are: • Ningaloo Coast (World Heritage) ~250km from the Operational Area • Dampier Archipelago (National Heritage) ~35km from the Operational Area *Commercial fishing* The following Commonwealth managed fisheries have a defined management area that overlaps the Operational Area: • Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery • Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery • Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery The following State-managed fisheries have a defined management area that overlaps the Operational Area: • Abalone Managed Fishery • Hermit Crab Fishery • Mackerel Managed Fishery • Marine Aquarium Managed Fishery • Nickol Bay Prawn Fishery • Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery • Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery • Pilbara Line Fishery • Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery • Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery • South-west Coast Salmon Fishery • Specimen Shell Managed Fishery • West Coast Deep Sea Crustacean Managed Fishery • Western Australian Sea Cucumber Fishery *Key ecological features (KEFs)* There are no KEFs within the Operational Area. The nearest KEFs are: • Glomar Shoals ~40km to the north-north-east of the Operational Area. • Ancient coastline at 125m depth contour ~56km to the north of the Operational Area. • Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities ~118km north-west of the Operational Area. *Oil and gas operations* Petroleum activities within the vicinity of the Operational Area: • Reindeer platform ~14km from the Operational Area • Stag platform ~13km from the Operational Area • Scarborough export pipeline ~3km from the Operational Area • TL1 and TL2 export pipelines ~500m and ~18km from the Operational Area *Shipping* • The Operational Area is approximately 31km from the northbound shipping fairway from Dampier. *Protected areas* No Australian Marine Parks (AMPs) are within the Operational Area. The nearest AMPs are: • Montebello AMP ~37km to the west of the Operational Area • Dampier AMP ~47km to the south-east of the Operational Area • Montebello Islands Marine Part (State) ~75km to the west-south-west of the Operational Area • Barrow Island Marine Management Area (State) ~89km to the west-south-west of the Operational Area *Tourism, towns and communities* • The town of Dampier is located 80km south-south-east of the Operational Area.

Table 2. Prospect locations

Prospect names	Latitude	Longitude
Mottlecah	20° 8' 32.7" S	116° 23' 7.4" E
Jinjulu	20° 10' 44.6" S	116° 21' 21.4" E
Kullingal	20° 10' 16.2" S	116° 23' 3.2" E
North of Wandoo 1	20° 6' 5.2" S	116° 25' 0.6" E
North of Wandoo 2	20° 5" 16.2" S	116° 25' 46.6" E
North Jurassic	20° 5' 57.5" S	116° 23' 53.2" E
North West Jurassic	20° 5' 57.9" S	116° 21' 26.5" E

Environment that may be affected

The environment that may be affected (EMBA) is a mathematically modelled area of the largest possible spatial extent where the activities could potentially have an environmental consequence. The broadest extent of the model takes into consideration planned and unplanned activities.

For the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP, the EMBA has been developed combining numerous modelling outputs, based on a release of hydrocarbons to the environment from a loss of well containment. This scenario is extremely unlikely to occur. The EMBA is shown in **Figure 2**.

The EMBA does not represent the extent of the predicted impact of a release of hydrocarbons. Rather, the EMBA represents the merged area of many possible paths that a hydrocarbon release could travel, depending on factors including the weather and ocean conditions at the time of the release.

This means that in the unlikely event that a hydrocarbon release does occur, the whole EMBA will not be affected. Only a minimal, specific part of the EMBA will be affected and that portion would only be known at the time of the release.

Assessment

Vermilion has undertaken an assessment of the potential impacts and risks to the environment as well as potential risks to relevant persons arising from the planned activities and unplanned events. This assessment considers the timing, duration and location of the activities. A number of mitigation and management measures will be implemented and are summarised in **Table 3**. Further details will be provided in the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP.

In preparing the EP, Vermilion's intent is to minimise environmental, social and cultural risks and impacts associated with the proposed activities, and Vermilion seeks your feedback to inform our decision making.



Figure 2. Environment that may be affected

Mitigation and management measures

Vermilion has undertaken an assessment to identify potential impacts and risks to the environment arising from the activity. A number of mitigation and management measures for the activity are outlined in **Table 3.** Further details will be provided in the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP.

Table 3. Summary of key risks and/or impacts and preliminary management measures for the activity

Potential impact/risk	Source of potential impact/risk	Description of potential impact/risk	Preliminary draft mitigation and/or management measure
Planned activities (routine and non-routine)			
Physical presence: interaction with other marine users	Drilling rig and support vessels	Potential displacement of commercial fishing activities and commercial shipping vessels.	Drilling rig and vessel communication equipment will be maintained to allow for communication with commercial and recreational vessels. The Australian Hydrographic Office (AHO) and Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) will be notified prior to activities so appropriate marine notices can be issued. The drilling rig will have a restricted zone of 500m.
Physical presence: disturbance to seabed	Drilling rig, support vessels and ROV	Localised increase in turbidity. Potential impacts to benthic habitat and communities.	A Rig Move Plan will be prepared in accordance with the drilling contractor's Marine Operations Manual.
Routine acoustic emissions: generation of noise	Drilling rig, support vessels and Helicopters Vertical seismic profiling	Potential temporary or permanent injury or behavioural change in marine fauna.	Drilling rig and vessel engines and power equipment will be maintained to optimise smooth running. Vessels operating in the Operational Areas must adhere to Part 8 of Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation (EPBC) Regulation 2000 to minimise exposure of marine fauna to noise impacts.
Routine and non-routine discharges	Drilling rig and support vessels	Potential localised eutrophication of the water column and localised adverse effect to marine species.	Discharges such as deck drainage, bilge, garbage, food waste and sewage will be treated in accordance with: • MARPOL 73/78 Annex I and AMSA Marine Order 91. • MARPOL 73/78 Annex V. • MARPOL 73/78 Annex IV and AMSA Marine Order 96. • AMSA Marine Order 95.
Routine light emissions	Drilling rig, support vessels and ROV	Potential interference with or disturbance of marine fauna.	No controls identified as vessel lighting is specified for safe working practices.
Routine and non-routine discharges of drill cuttings and drilling fluids and cement	Drilling rig and plug and abandon activities	Potential toxic effects to marine species, localised reduction in water quality.	Drilling fluids and cement components will be selected using the chemical assessment process. Drilling fluids will be processed using a solids control system to enable reuse of fluids and minimise the volume of fluids being discharged. Only water-based drilling muds will be used.
Routine and non-routine atmospheric and greenhouse gas emissions	Drilling rig and support vessels	Potential temporary decrease in local air quality.	The drilling rig and vessels, and fuels used will comply with Regulation 14 of MARPOL 73/78 Annex IV and AMSA Marine Order 97. Power generation systems, ancillary diesel engines and refrigeration systems will be maintained via preventative maintenance systems.

Unplanned events (accidents/incidents)			
Introduction and establishment of invasive marine species	Drilling rig and support vessels	Potential reduction in native species abundance due to competition or predation.	Drilling rig and vessels will comply with: • Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements consistent with the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments (Ballast Water Management Convention). • Annex 1 of the International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-Fouling Systems on Ships. • National Biofouling Guidelines for the Petroleum Production and Exploration Industry and IMO Guidelines for the control and management of a ship's biofouling to minimise the transfer of invasive aquatic species.
Vessel collision or disturbance of fauna	Support vessels	Potential injury of marine fauna.	Vessels contracted by Vermilion operating in the Operational Areas must have procedures that adhere to Part 8 of EPBC Regulation 2000 to minimise exposure of marine fauna.
Dropped objects	Drilling rig and support vessels	Decrease in water quality and potential toxic effects to marine species. Potential injury of marine fauna.	Drilling rig work procedures for lifts, bulk transfers and cargo loading will require: • the security of loads to be checked prior to commencing lifts. • loads to be covered if there is a risk of losing loose materials. • lifting operations to consider weather and sea state. Drilling rig inductions will include control measures and training for crew in dropped object prevention.
Accidental discharge materials and waste	Drilling rig and support vessels	Potential pollution and contamination of the marine environment. Decrease in water quality Injury of marine fauna.	Drilling rig and vessels' procedures are compliant with MARPOL Convention Annex V and Marine Order 95.
Minor spills	Drilling rig and support vessels	Decrease in water quality and potential toxic effects to marine species.	Intermediate bulk containers are transferred to/from vessels using a lifting cradle or are containerised. Cranes and lifting equipment are certified.
Loss of containment – marine diesel oil (MDO)	Support vessels	Decrease in water quality. Potential oiling of marine fauna and toxic effects to marine species.	AHO and AMSA will be notified in advance of drilling rig movements. Drilling rig and vessels will have navigational lights. Vessels will have dynamic positioning capability and trials will be performed as required. Dry break coupling on refuelling hose to minimise spill due to vessel loss of position.
Loss of well containment	Drilling rig	Decrease in water quality. Potential oiling of marine fauna and toxic effects to marine species.	Wells are designed and components are manufactured in compliance with the Vermilion Well Construction Standards Manual and relevant API or ISO specifications. Vermilion Drilling Supervisors, Completions Supervisors and Drilling Superintendents are required to hold current Well Control certification. BOPs are tested at regular intervals in accordance with API standard 53.
Hydrocarbon spill response activities	Spill response	Potential toxic effects to marine fauna from dispersants, disturbance	Vermilion maintains contracts with oil spill response organisations, operational and scientific

		to benthic habitat, scouring of sediments, and decrease in water quality.	monitoring providers, and logistics operators for support in the event of a hydrocarbon spill. Vermilion tests response arrangements annually to ensure preparedness for unplanned hydrocarbon spills. Implementation of response strategies will be undertaken as per the NOPSEMA-accepted Oil Pollution Emergency Plan (OPEP) and in consultation with or under direction of the Commonwealth or State Control Agency.

Consultation

Consultation provides Vermilion with an opportunity to receive feedback from authorities, persons and organisations whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed petroleum activities. This feedback helps us to refine or change the management measures we are planning to address potential activity impacts and risks. Vermilion's objective for the proposed activities is to ensure the activity is carried out in a manner that is consistent with the principles of Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD) and reduce environmental impacts and risks to a level that is As Low As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP) and acceptable over the life of the activity.

Consultation also helps us to identify values and sensitivities where information is not publicly available, such as spiritual and cultural connection to land and sea country, as well as first-hand feedback on commercial and recreational fishing, tourism and local community activities and interests.

Feedback

If you consider you may be a relevant person, please contact us as soon as possible if you require any further information or if you think you are not on our consultation list.

We are asking for relevant persons to provide feedback by **17 January 2025.**

Feedback provided by relevant persons will be considered in an addendum to the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP and through the life of the activity. Feedback from relevant persons will be included in the EP submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for assessment.

Please let us know if you would like your personal/organisational details or any part of your feedback to remain private and we will ensure this remains confidential to NOPSEMA.

Contact us

Website: www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

Email: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

Phone: (08) 9217 5858

To visit our website, scan the QR code

1.2 Commercial Fisher Information Sheet

VERMILION ENERGY

COMMERCIAL FISHER INFORMATION SHEET

Wandoo Field

Geotechnical & Geophysical Survey Environment Plan

December 2024

Information for commercial fishers

Information overview

This Commercial Fisher Information Sheet provides supplementary information to the Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (Vermilion) general information sheet about the proposed geotechnical and geophysical surveys in the Wandoo Field.

This Information Sheet provides supplementary information for the needs of commercial fishers who may be active in the Operational Area.

It summarises the ongoing assessment of potential impacts and risks to the commercial fishing industry and provides information for the needs of commercial fishers, who may be active in the Operational Area, about the proposed activities.

Activity overview

Vermilion currently operates the Wandoo Facility within production licence area WA-14-L. Vermilion is preparing for near field exploration drilling, to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit.

The duration of the geotechnical and geophysical survey is approximately 15 days. Activities will be undertaken on a continual 24 hour basis. Survey activities are scheduled to commence in the second half of 2025, subject to vessel availability and regulatory approval. The start dates for other potential surveys in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey Environment Plan (EP) are yet to be determined.

About Vermilion Energy

Vermilion Energy is an international energy producer with a 30-year track record. It has operations in North America, Europe and Australia.

Vermilion is a subsidiary of Vermilion Energy and has operated in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on exploring for and developing oil at the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

About Wandoo Field

The Wandoo Field was discovered in 1991 and the extraction of oil started in 1993. Vermilion has been the operator of Wandoo Field since November 2005 and the sole titleholder since 2007.

The Wandoo Facility operates under existing accepted EPs in place for production and well construction.

Key information

- **Vessels:** Multi-purpose survey vessels.
- **Location:** The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island (**Figure 1**).
- **Operational Areas:** Commercial fishers and other marine users are permitted to use the Operational Areas but should take care around operations by adhering to standard navigation rules.
- **Timing and duration:** Survey activities are scheduled to commence in the second half of 2025, subject to vessel availability and regulatory approval. Activity start timing for other surveys proposed in the Wandoo FieldGeotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP are yet to be determined. The survey will last up to approximately 30 days. Activities will be undertaken on a continual 24 hour per day basis.
- **Notifications:** Marine notices will be issued prior to activity commencement to alert vessels which may be operating in waters nearby.

VERMILION ENERGY

Wandoo Field location

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, approximately 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island (**Figure 1**). It operates at a water depth in the range from 50m-60m.



Figure 1. Location of the Wandoo Field

Operational Areas

Operational Areas have been defined as a subset of Permit WA-14-L, including an approximate 4 x 4km boundary surrounding each exploration prospect. Operational Areas are shown in the **Figure 1** inset and co-ordinates provided in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Coordinates of the Wandoo Field Operational Areas

Prospect names / Operational Areas	Corner	Latitude	Longitude
North West Jurassic	A	20° 6' 59.33968" S	116° 20' 26.64720" E
North West Jurassic	B	20° 6' 58.94753" S	116° 22' 45.82891" E
North West Jurassic	C	20° 4' 57.34916" S	116° 22' 45.83320" E
North West Jurassic	D	20° 4' 57.29532" S	116° 20' 27.15801" E
Kullingal	A	20° 11' 21.22383" S	116° 23' 2.89793" E
Kullingal	B	20° 11' 21.96433" S	116° 24' 51.85846" E
Kullingal	C	20° 9' 11.44104" S	116° 24' 50.78617" E
Kullingal	D	20° 9' 11.10559" S	116° 23' 3.40865" E
Mottlecah	A	20° 9' 37.70610" S	116° 23' 7.14156" E
Mottlecah	B	20° 9' 38.17225" S	116° 25' 24.93474" E
Mottlecah	C	20° 7' 28.05266" S	116° 25' 25.41201" E
Mottlecah	D	20° 7' 27.58741" S	116° 23' 7.65052" E
Jinjulu	A	20° 11' 49.62039" S	116° 21' 21.12177" E
Jinjulu	B	20° 11' 50.11044" S	116° 23' 38.94639" E
Jinjulu	C	20° 9' 39.99207" S	116° 23' 39.44902" E
Jinjulu	D	20° 9' 39.50297" S	116° 21' 21.65616" E
North of Wandoo 1	A	20° 7' 10.34410" S	116° 25' 0.37851" E
North of Wandoo 1	B	20° 7' 10.78478" S	116° 27' 18.13657" E
North of Wandoo 1	C	20° 5' 0.66387" S	116° 27' 18.58681" E
North of Wandoo 1	D	20° 5' 0.22405" S	116° 25' 0.86036" E
North of Wandoo 2	A	20° 6' 21.22356" S	116° 25' 46.34433" E
North of Wandoo 2	B	20° 6' 21.65399" S	116° 28' 4.09075" E
North of Wandoo 2	C	20° 4' 57.17177" S	116° 28' 4.37611" E
North of Wandoo 2	D	20° 4' 57.56346" S	116° 25' 47.51389" E
North Jurassic	A	20° 6' 59.39506" S	116° 22' 45.52891" E
North Jurassic	B	20° 6' 59.85576" S	116° 25' 0.50452" E
North Jurassic	C	20° 4' 58.19869" S	116° 25' 0.95531" E
North Jurassic	D	20° 4' 57.60294" S	116° 22' 46.40135" E

Notes: A – southwest corner; B – southeast corner; C – northwest corner; D – northeast corner.

Activity summary

Table 2. Activity summary

Production licence area	WA-14-L
Approximate duration and timing of activities	• 15 days (per survey/campaign). • The first survey is proposed for Q4 2025, pending vessel availability and regulatory approval. • The timing of potential subsequent geotechnical and geophysical surveys has not been finalised. The Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP assumes the activities may be undertaken at any time of year over the five-year period following acceptance of the EP.
Approximate water depth	50–60m
Key activities	Geotechnical survey: • box cores/grab samplers • piston/gravity cores and vibrocores • drilling core holes, and/or • piezocone penetrometer tests. Geophysical survey: • multibeam echo sounders, single beam echo sounders • side scan sonar • magnetometers, and/or • sub-bottom profilers. Other survey techniques: • water samplers • sound velocity sensors and multi-parameter conductivity-temperature-depth profilers • ultra-short baseline positioning system • doppler velocity log and inertial navigation systems • underwater cameras, and/or • underwater laser scanners, and remotely operated vehicles (ROV), autonomous underwater vehicles.
Vessels	Multi-purpose survey vessels
Operational Area and exclusion zones	Commercial fishers and other marine users are permitted to use the Operational Areas but should take care around operations by adhering to standard navigation rules and remain clear of the survey vessel.
Communication with fishers	Marine notices will be issued prior to activity commencement to alert vessels which may be operating in waters nearby. Coordinates will be provided to stakeholders via marine notices.

Assessment of commercial fishing, potential effects and impacts

Commonwealth managed fisheries

There are three Commonwealth managed commercial fisheries that have a defined management area overlapping the Operational Areas (**Table 3**).

Table 3. Commonwealth managed fisheries

Commonwealth managed fisheries	Summary of fishery in relation to Operational Areas	Assessment of potential impacts
Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery	The Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery operates around Australia and extends to the high seas fishing zone (out to 200nm from the coast). The fishery targets southern bluefin tuna only. Fishing activity is focused in southern Australian waters with no activity expected across the Operational Areas.	No impact to the fishery expected.
Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery	The Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery extends west from Cape York Peninsula and around Australia to the South Australian/Victorian border, out to the edge of the Australian Fishing Zone. Little fishing activity has been undertaken in this fishery since 2008. No fishing activity associated with this fishery is expected to occur within the Operational Areas.	No impact to the fishery expected.
Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery	The Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery management area extends over a large area westward from Cape York Peninsula off Queensland, around the west coast of Western Australia and eastward, across the Great Australian Bight to 141°E at the South Australian/Victorian border. The fishery has operated at low levels of effort since the early 2000's due to economic conditions. Target species include albacore, bigeye tuna, yellow fin tuna, swordfish and striped marlin. No fishing activity associated with this fishery is expected to occur within the Operational Areas.	No impact to the fishery expected.



Figure 2. Commonwealth managed fisheries

State managed fisheries

Several State managed commercial fisheries have a defined management area overlapping the Operational Areas. Twelve of these fisheries have historical activity intersecting the Operational Area within the last 10 years. These include:

- Hermit Crab Fishery
- Mackerel Managed Fishery
- Marine Aquarium Managed Fishery
- Nickol Bay Prawn Fishery
- Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery
- Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery
- Pilbara Line Fishery
- Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery
- Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery
- Specimen Shell Managed Fishery
- West Coast Deep Sea Crustacean Managed Fishery, and
- Western Australian Sea Cucumber Fishery.

Figure 3 depicts the State managed commercial fisheries that had more than three vessels active within the Operational Areas within the last 10 years.



Figure 3. State managed fisheries

Vermilion impact assessment

Vermilion has undertaken an assessment to identify potential impacts and risks to commercial fishers from the activity. A number of mitigation and management measures for the proposed activity are outlined in **Table 4.** Further details will be provided in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP.

Table 4. Potential interactions with commercial fishing

Potential impact	Description of potential impact/risk	Proposed control measures
Interference with commercial fishing activities	Potential displacement of commercial fishing activities.	• Survey vessels will be equipped with functional communication equipment to communicate with commercial fishing vessels. • The Australian Hydrographic Office (AHO) and Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) will be notified prior to activities so appropriate marine notices can be issued.
Introduction and establishment of invasive marine species	Potential reduction in commercial species abundance due to competition or predation.	Survey vessels will comply with: • Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements consistent with the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments (Ballast Water Management Convention). • Annex 1 of the International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-Fouling Systems on Ships. • National Biofouling Guidelines for the Petroleum Production and Exploration Industry and IMO Guidelines for the control and management of a ships' biofouling to minimise the transfer of invasive aquatic species.
Vessel collision	Decrease in water quality. Potential toxic effects to commercial species.	• AHO and AMSA will be notified in advance of vessel activities. • Survey vessels will have navigational lights. • Survey vessels will have dynamic positioning capability. • Survey vessels will be equipped and crewed in accordance with the *Navigation Act 2012* and Marine Orders. • Survey vessels will have a dedicated Ship Oil Pollution Prevention Plan (SOPEP). • An Oil Pollution Emergency Plan (OPEP) will be prepared and implemented as required.

VERMILION ENERGY

Consultation

Consultation provides Vermilion with an opportunity to receive feedback from authorities, persons and organisations whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed petroleum activities. This feedback helps us to refine or change the management measures we are planning to address potential activity impacts and risks. Vermilion's objective for the proposed activities is to ensure the activity is carried out in a manner that is consistent with the principles of Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD) and reduce environmental impacts and risks to a level that is As Low As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP) and acceptable over the life of the activity.

Consultation also helps us to identify values and sensitivities where information is not publicly available, such as spiritual and cultural connection to land and sea country, as well as first-hand feedback on commercial and recreational fishing, tourism and local community activities and interests.

Feedback

Vermilion is committed to working together with the commercial fishing industry so that we can all proceed with business in a safe and efficient manner.

If you consider you may be a relevant person, please contact us as soon as possible if you require any further information or if you think you are not on our consultation list.

We are asking for relevant persons to provide feedback by **17 January 2025.**

Feedback provided by relevant persons will be considered in an addendum to the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP and through the life of the activity. Feedback from relevant persons will be included in the EP submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for assessment.

Please let us know if you would like your personal/organisational details or any part of your feedback to remain private and we will ensure this remains confidential to NOPSEMA.

Contact Us

Website: www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

Email: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

Phone: (08) 9217 5858

To visit our website, scan the QR code

1.3 Summary Information Sheet

VERMILION ENERGY

SUMMARY INFORMATION SHEET

Wandoo Field

Geophysical & Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan

December 2024

More information is available in the general Wandoo Field Geophysical & Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan Consultation Information Sheet

Introduction

Vermilion Energy is an international energy producer with 30 years of experience. It operates in North America, Europe and Australia. Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (the Australian branch) has been in Australia for over 20 years. Our Australian operations focus on producing oil from the Wandoo Field off the shore of Western Australia.

The Wandoo Field was discovered in 1991 and oil extraction started in 1993. Vermilion has managed the Wandoo Field since November 2005 and owned it completely since 2007. The Wandoo Field operates under approved Environment Plans (EPs) for production at the facility and well construction.

The Wandoo Field is located in Commonwealth waters in the Carnarvon Basin, about 80km northwest of the port of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island (**Figure 1**). It operates in water depth of 50 – 60m.

Activity overview

Vermilion is planning to drill in the Wandoo Field to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons. This will help continue production at the Wandoo Field, which Vermilion has operated for almost 20 years.

Vermilion wants to keep developing and producing from its current permit area. So, the company plans to survey the area with a vessel to investigate the seabed and sub-seabed conditions. This will provide data to decide suitability for the location of a drilling rig that could be used in potential exploration drilling.

Activity details

- The expected duration of the geotechnical and geophysical survey is approximately 15 days.
- The work program will be done continuously on a 24-hour basis, starting in the second half of 2025, subject to vessel availability and regulatory approval.
- The start dates for other potential surveys in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical EP are not yet set. Related exploration drilling activities are described in the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling EP.
- Potential risks and impacts, as detailed in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP Consultation Information Sheet, include noise, the physical presence of a survey vessel, routine and non-routine discharges, atmospheric emissions and waste management.

Figures 2 and 3 show the methods for these activities in more detail.

Location

The activities will take place under Vermilion's current permit area at the Wandoo Field (WA-14-L). The Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP Information Sheet lists the geographical coordinates of the exploration prospects.



Figure 1. Location of the Wandoo Field



Figure 2. Method for a geophysical survey



Figure 3. Method for a geotechnical survey

VERMILION ENERGY

Environmental impacts and management

This work program includes planned activities but may also result in unplanned activities. Both may potentially impact the environment.

A table showing all planned and unplanned activities, potential impacts, and management measures for each is included in the general Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP Information Sheet. Further details will also be provided in the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP.

The objective is to manage the work program to reduce impacts and risks to as low as reasonably possible.

The total area over which unplanned events could have an environmental impact is shown in **Figure 4**. This is referred to as the environment that may be affected (EMBA).

In the highly unlikely event that an unplanned activity, such as a fuel release from a vessel collision, the entire EMBA would not be affected. The part of the EMBA that would be affected would only be known at the time of the event.



Figure 4. Environment that may be affected

Pictured: Wandoo Field, Wandoo A (left) and Wandoo B (right)

Consultation

Consultation provides Vermilion with an opportunity to receive feedback from those whose functions, interests or activities may be affected by proposed activities. This feedback helps us to refine the management measures to address potential activity impacts and risks.

Consultation also helps us to identify values and sensitivities where information is not publicly available.

Feedback

If you consider you may be a relevant person, please get in touch with us as soon as possible if you require any further information or if you think you are not on our consultation list. We are asking for relevant persons to provide feedback by **17 January 2025.**

Feedback provided by relevant persons will be considered in an addendum to the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey EP and throughout the life of the activity. Feedback from relevant persons will be included in the EP submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for assessment.

Please let us know if you would like your personal/organisational details or any part of your feedback to remain private and we will ensure this remains confidential to NOPSEMA.

Contact us

Website: www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities

Email: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

Phone: (08) 9217 5858

To visit our website, scan the QR code

2. Initial Consultation Outreach – October and November 2024

2.1 Email sent to Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (KAC) on 9 October 2024

Dear [redacted]

We act for Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (**Vermilion**), who has operations in the Wandoo offshore oil field located approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. Information about the Wandoo operations can be found at: https://www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/ and a map of the title area is included below, shown on the map as 'Wandoo Field WA-14-L' (**Wandoo Offshore Oil Field**).

We are contacting the Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (**KAC**) because Vermilion has upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field.

- The planning area for those activities (particularly the 'environment that may be affected' (**EMBA**)) overlaps the Kariyarra Peoples native title determination (**Native Title Holders**)
- Vermilion believes that Native Title Holders might be relevant for participating in consultation as part of the environmental planning process.

Vermilion recognises the importance of cultural heritage to the Native Title Holders and are committed to ensuring that these values are respected and integrated into their project planning. If Native Title Holders would like to participate in the process, Vermilion is interested in building a relationship and consulting with the KAC as the representatives for the Native Title Holders regarding upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field.

Vermilion requests advice on:

1. How KAC would like to be contacted and engaged for consultation; and
2. If KAC has a requirement for a formalised consultation agreement to be in place, and if KAC can provide this documentation to Vermilion.

Vermilion would like to offer a meeting with the KAC Board, to:

1. provide background on the planned activities;
2. engage in consultation regarding the proposed Environment Plans (**EPs**); and
3. provide general information about Vermilion.

This is Vermilion's first consultation for an EP, and our client welcomes the engagement with KAC.

Seeking your input

Vermilion wants to make sure that the engagement is tailored to meet KAC's needs and proposes to engage with a co-design approach where the agenda for any meeting is mutually agreed and includes input from KAC.

The purpose of this consultation is to give KAC the opportunity to provide input into:

- Vermilion's understanding of the current environment that could be impacted by their proposed activities, including its cultural characteristics;
- how Vermilion's activities might affect the existing environment, including cultural aspect; and
- potential measures and controls to reduce the environmental impact of the proposed activities on KAC's functions, interests, and activities.



Confidentiality and Information Sharing

Information gathered during consultation will be recorded by Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia and documented within any submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (**NOPSEMA**) for assessment. You may request that specific information you provide not be publicly published. In such a case, Vermilion will retain the information securely and in confidence.

If you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond to this email or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.

We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Regards

2.2 Email sent to Buurabalayji Thalanyji Aboriginal Corporation (BTAC) on 14 October 2024

Dear [redacted]

We act for Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (**Vermilion**), who has operations in the Wandoo offshore oil field located approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. Information about the Wandoo operations can be found at: https://www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/ and a map of the title area is included below, shown on the map as 'Wandoo Field WA-14-L' (**Wandoo Offshore Oil Field**).

We are contacting the Buurabalayji Thalanyji Aboriginal Corporation (**BTAC**) because Vermilion has upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field.

- The planning area for those activities (particularly the 'environment that may be affected' (**EMBA**)) overlaps the Thalanyji Peoples' native title determination (**Native Title Holders**)

- Vermilion believes that Native Title Holders might be relevant for participating in consultation as part of the environmental planning process.

Vermilion recognises the importance of cultural heritage to the Native Title Holders and are committed to ensuring that these values are respected and integrated into their project planning. If Native Title Holders would like to participate in the process, Vermilion is interested in building a relationship and consulting with the BTAC as the representatives for the Native Title Holders regarding upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field.

Vermilion requests advice on:

3. How BTAC would like to be contacted and engaged for consultation; and
4. If BTAC has a requirement for a formalised consultation agreement to be in place, and if BTAC can provide this documentation to Vermilion.

Vermilion would like to offer a meeting with the BTAC Board, to:

4. provide background on the planned activities;
5. engage in consultation regarding the proposed Environment Plans (**EP**s); and
6. provide general information about Vermilion.

This is Vermilion's first consultation for an EP, and our client welcomes the engagement with BTAC.

Seeking your input

Vermilion wants to make sure that the engagement is tailored to meet BTAC's needs and proposes to engage with a co-design approach where the agenda for any meeting is mutually agreed and includes input from BTAC.

The purpose of this consultation is to give BTAC the opportunity to provide input into:

- Vermilion's understanding of the current environment that could be impacted by their proposed activities, including its cultural characteristics;
- how Vermilion's activities might affect the existing environment, including cultural aspect; and
- potential measures and controls to reduce the environmental impact of the proposed activities on BTAC's functions, interests, and activities.



Confidentiality and Information Sharing
Information gathered during consultation will be recorded by Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia and documented within any submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (**NOPSEMA**) for assessment. You may request that specific information you provide not be publicly published. In such a case, Vermilion will retain the information securely and in confidence.

If you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond to this email or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.

We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Regards

2.3 [Removed]

2.4 [Removed]

2.5 Email sent to Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation (NTGAC) on 9 October 2024

Dear [redacted]

We act for Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (**Vermilion**), who has operations in the Wandoo offshore oil field located approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. Information about the Wandoo operations can be found at:

https://www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/ and a map of the title area is included below, shown on the map as 'Wandoo Field WA-14-L' (**Wandoo Offshore Oil Field**).

We are contacting the Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation (**NTGAC**) because Vermilion has upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field.

- The planning area for those activities (particularly the 'environment that may be affected' (**EMBA**)) overlaps the Gnulli, Gnulli #2 and Gnulli #3 – Yinggarda, Baiyungu and Thalanyji Peoples native title determination (**Native Title Holders**)
- Vermilion believes that Native Title Holders might be relevant for participating in consultation as part of the environmental planning process.

Vermilion recognises the importance of cultural heritage to the Native Title Holders and are committed to ensuring that these values are respected and integrated into their project planning. If Native Title Holders would like to participate in the process, Vermilion is interested in building a relationship and consulting with the NTGAC as the representatives for the Native Title Holders regarding upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field.

Vermilion requests advice on:

- How NTGAC would like to be contacted and engaged for consultation; and
- If NTGAC has a requirement for a formalised consultation agreement to be in place, and if NTGAC can provide this documentation to Vermilion.

Vermilion would like to offer a meeting with the NTGAC Board, to:

- provide background on the planned activities;
- engage in consultation regarding the proposed Environment Plans (**EPs**); and
- provide general information about Vermilion.

This is Vermilion's first consultation for an EP, and our client welcomes the engagement with NTGAC.

Seeking your input

Vermilion wants to make sure that the engagement is tailored to meet NTGAC's needs and proposes to engage with a co-design approach where the agenda for any meeting is mutually agreed and includes input from NTGAC.

The purpose of this consultation is to give NTGAC the opportunity to provide input into:

- Vermilion's understanding of the current environment that could be impacted by their proposed activities, including its cultural characteristics;
- how Vermilion's activities might affect the existing environment, including cultural aspect; and
- potential measures and controls to reduce the environmental impact of the proposed activities on NTGAC's functions, interests, and activities.



Confidentiality and Information Sharing

Information gathered during consultation will be recorded by Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia and documented within any submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (**NOPSEMA**) for assessment. You may request that specific information you provide not be publicly published. In such a case, Vermilion will retain the information securely and in confidence.

If you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond to this email or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.

We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Regards

2.6 Email sent to Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (NAC) on 9 October 2024

Dear [redacted]

We act for Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (**Vermilion**), who has operations in the Wandoo offshore oil field located approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. Information about the Wandoo operations can be found at: https://www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/ and a map of the title area is included below, shown on the map as 'Wandoo Field WA-14-L' (**Wandoo Offshore Oil Field**).

We are contacting the Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (**NAC**) because Vermilion has upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field.

- The planning area for those activities (particularly the 'environment that may be affected' (**EMBA**)) overlaps the Ngarluma Peoples native title determination (**Native Title Holders**)

- Vermilion believes that Native Title Holders might be relevant for participating in consultation as part of the environmental planning process.

Vermilion recognises the importance of cultural heritage to the Native Title Holders and are committed to ensuring that these values are respected and integrated into their project planning. If Native Title Holders would like to participate in the process, Vermilion is interested in building a relationship and consulting with the NAC as the representatives for the Native Title Holders regarding upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field.

Vermilion requests advice on:

5. How NAC would like to be contacted and engaged for consultation; and
6. If NAC has a requirement for a formalised consultation agreement to be in place, and if NAC can provide this documentation to Vermilion.

Vermilion would like to offer a meeting with the NAC Board, to:

7. provide background on the planned activities;
8. engage in consultation regarding the proposed Environment Plans (**EPs**); and
9. provide general information about Vermilion.

This is Vermilion's first consultation for an EP, and our client welcomes the engagement with NAC.

Seeking your input

Vermilion wants to make sure that the engagement is tailored to meet NAC's needs and proposes to engage with a co-design approach where the agenda for any meeting is mutually agreed and includes input from NAC.

The purpose of this consultation is to give NAC the opportunity to provide input into:

- Vermilion's understanding of the current environment that could be impacted by their proposed activities, including its cultural characteristics;
- how Vermilion's activities might affect the existing environment, including cultural aspect; and
- potential measures and controls to reduce the environmental impact of the proposed activities on NAC's functions, interests, and activities.



Confidentiality and Information Sharing

Information gathered during consultation will be recorded by Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia and documented within any submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (**NOPSEMA**) for assessment. You may request that specific information you provide not be publicly published. In such a case, Vermilion will retain the information securely and in confidence.

If you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond to this email or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.

We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Regards

2.7 Email sent to Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) on 9 October 2024

Dear [redacted]

We act for Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (**Vermilion**), who has operations in the Wandoo offshore oil field located approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. Information about the Wandoo operations can be found at: https://www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/ and a map of the title area is included below, shown on the map as 'Wandoo Field WA-14-L' (**Wandoo Offshore Oil Field**).

We are contacting the Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (**WAC**) because Vermilion has upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field.

- The planning area for those activities (particularly the 'environment that may be affected' (**EMBA**)) overlaps the Ngarla and Ngarla #2 Peoples native title determination (**Native Title Holders**)
- Vermilion believes that Native Title Holders might be relevant for participating in consultation as part of the environmental planning process.

Vermilion recognises the importance of cultural heritage to the Native Title Holders and are committed to ensuring that these values are respected and integrated into their project planning. If Native Title Holders would like to participate in the process, Vermilion is interested in building a relationship and consulting with the WAC as the representatives for the Native Title Holders regarding upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field.

Vermilion requests advice on:

7. How WAC would like to be contacted and engaged for consultation; and
8. If WAC has a requirement for a formalised consultation agreement to be in place, and if WAC can provide this documentation to Vermilion.

Vermilion would like to offer a meeting with the WAC Board, to:

10. provide background on the planned activities;
11. engage in consultation regarding the proposed Environment Plans **(EPs**); and
12. provide general information about Vermilion.

This is Vermilion's first consultation for an EP, and our client welcomes the engagement with WAC.

Seeking your input

Vermilion wants to make sure that the engagement is tailored to meet WAC's needs and proposes to engage with a co-design approach where the agenda for any meeting is mutually agreed and includes input from WAC.

The purpose of this consultation is to give WAC the opportunity to provide input into:

- Vermilion's understanding of the current environment that could be impacted by their proposed activities, including its cultural characteristics;
- how Vermilion's activities might affect the existing environment, including cultural aspect; and
- potential measures and controls to reduce the environmental impact of the proposed activities on WAC's functions, interests, and activities.



Confidentiality and Information Sharing

Information gathered during consultation will be recorded by Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia and documented within any submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (**NOPSEMA**) for assessment. You may request that specific information you provide not be publicly published. In such a case, Vermilion will retain the information securely and in confidence.

If you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond to this email or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.

We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Regards

2.8 Email sent to Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) on 9 October 2024

Dear [redacted]

We act for Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (**Vermilion**), who has operations in the Wandoo offshore oil field located approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. Information about the Wandoo operations can be found at: https://www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/ and a map of the title area is included below, shown on the map as 'Wandoo Field WA-14-L' (**Wandoo Offshore Oil Field**).

We are contacting the Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (**WAC**) because Vermilion has upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field.

- The planning area for those activities (particularly the 'environment that may be affected' (**EMBA**)) overlaps the Yaburara & Mardudhunera Peoples native title determination (**Native Title Holders**)
- Vermilion believes that Native Title Holders might be relevant for participating in consultation as part of the environmental planning process.

Vermilion recognises the importance of cultural heritage to the Native Title Holders and are committed to ensuring that these values are respected and integrated into their project planning. If Native Title Holders would like to participate in the process, Vermilion is interested in building a relationship and consulting with the WAC as the representatives for the Native Title Holders regarding upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo offshore oil field.

Vermilion requests advice on:

9. How WAC would like to be contacted and engaged for consultation; and
10. If WAC has a requirement for a formalised consultation agreement to be in place, and if WAC can provide this documentation to Vermilion.

Vermilion would like to offer a meeting with the WAC Board, to:

13. provide background on the planned activities;
14. engage in consultation regarding the proposed Environment Plans (**EPs**); and
15. provide general information about Vermilion.

This is Vermilion's first consultation for an EP, and our client welcomes the engagement with WAC.

Seeking your input

Vermilion wants to make sure that the engagement is tailored to meet WAC's needs and proposes to engage with a co-design approach where the agenda for any meeting is mutually agreed and includes input from WAC.

The purpose of this consultation is to give WAC the opportunity to provide input into:

- Vermilion's understanding of the current environment that could be impacted by their proposed activities, including its cultural characteristics;
- how Vermilion's activities might affect the existing environment, including cultural aspect; and
- potential measures and controls to reduce the environmental impact of the proposed activities on WAC's functions, interests, and activities.



Confidentiality and Information Sharing

Information gathered during consultation will be recorded by Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia and documented within any submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (**NOPSEMA**) for assessment. You may request that specific information you provide not be publicly published. In such a case, Vermilion will retain the information securely and in confidence.

If you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond to this email or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.

We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Regards

2.9 Email sent to Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation (YAC) on 14 October 2024

Dear [redacted]

We act for Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (**Vermilion**), who has operations in the Wandoo offshore oil field located approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. Information about the Wandoo operations can be found at: https://www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/ and a map of the title area is included below, shown on the map as 'Wandoo Field WA-14-L' (**Wandoo Offshore Oil Field**).

We are contacting the Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation (**YAC**) because Vermilion has upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field.

- The planning area for those activities (particularly the 'environment that may be affected' (**EMBA**)) overlaps the Yindjibarndi Peoples' native title determination (**Native Title Holders**)
- Vermilion believes that Native Title Holders might be relevant for participating in consultation as part of the environmental planning process.

Vermilion recognises the importance of cultural heritage to the Native Title Holders and are committed to ensuring that these values are respected and integrated into their project planning. If Native Title Holders would like to participate in the process, Vermilion is interested in building a relationship and consulting with the YAC as the representatives for the Native Title Holders regarding upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field.

Vermilion requests advice on:

11. How YAC would like to be contacted and engaged for consultation; and
12. If YAC has a requirement for a formalised consultation agreement to be in place, and if YAC can provide this documentation to Vermilion.

Vermilion would like to offer a meeting with the YAC Board, to:

16. provide background on the planned activities;
17. engage in consultation regarding the proposed Environment Plans (**EP**s); and
18. provide general information about Vermilion.

This is Vermilion's first consultation for an EP, and our client welcomes the engagement with YAC.

Seeking your input

Vermilion wants to make sure that the engagement is tailored to meet YAC's needs and proposes to engage with a co-design approach where the agenda for any meeting is mutually agreed and includes input from YAC.

The purpose of this consultation is to give YAC the opportunity to provide input into:

- Vermilion's understanding of the current environment that could be impacted by their proposed activities, including its cultural characteristics;
- how Vermilion's activities might affect the existing environment, including cultural aspect; and
- potential measures and controls to reduce the environmental impact of the proposed activities on YAC's functions, interests, and activities.



Confidentiality and Information Sharing

Information gathered during consultation will be recorded by Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia and documented within any submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (**NOPSEMA**) for assessment. You may request that specific information you provide not be publicly published. In such a case, Vermilion will retain the information securely and in confidence.

If you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond to this email or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.

We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Regards

2.10 Email sent to Commonwealth Fisheries Association on 13 November 2024

Dear Commonwealth Fisheries Association

I am contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (**Vermilion**), which has operations in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field located approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island.

The Wandoo Facility is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin and operates at a water depth of 50-60m. More information about the Wandoo operations can be found at: https://www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/ and a map of the title area is included below, shown on the map as 'Wandoo Field WA-14-L'.



Seeking your input
We are contacting Commonwealth Fisheries Association (CFA) because Vermilion has upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.
The purpose of this email is to seek your input on your preferred method of consultation, where CFA is considered a relevant person for consultation on Vermilion's proposed activities.
Specifically, where Commonwealth commercial fisheries are identified as a relevant person for consultation on Vermilion's proposed activities, is there a preferred method for consultation with respective licence holders?

We want to make sure that the consultation engagement is appropriate and tailored to meet the needs of the commonwealth commercial fisheries participants and provides you with the opportunity to contribute in line with your functions, interests, or activities as we believe this is our first consultation with CFA for our Environment Plans.

Confidentiality and information sharing
Information gathered during consultation will be recorded by Vermilion and documented within any submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for assessment. You may request that specific information you provide not be publicly published. In such a case, Vermilion will retain the information securely and in confidence.
If you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond to this email or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.
We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Regards ,

2.11 Email sent to Pearl Producers Association on 13 November 2024

Dear Pearl Producers Association

I am contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (**Vermilion**), which has operations in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field located approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island.
The Wandoo Facility is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin and operates at a water depth of 50-60m. More information about the Wandoo operations can be found at: https://www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/ and a map of the title area is included below, shown on the map as 'Wandoo Field WA-14-L'.



Seeking your input
We are contacting Pearl Producers Association (PPA) because Vermilion has upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.
The purpose of this email is to seek your input on your preferred method of consultation, where PPA is considered a relevant person for consultation on Vermilion's proposed activities.

Specifically, where Pearl Oyster Managed Fishery in Western Australia is identified as a relevant person for consultation on Vermilion's proposed activities, is there a preferred method for consultation with respective licence holders? We are currently exploring consultation with Pearl Oyster Managed Fishery via the Western Australian Fishing Industry Council (WAFIC).

We want to make sure that the consultation engagement is appropriate and tailored to meet the needs of commercial fisheries participants and provides you with the opportunity to contribute in line with your functions, interests, or activities as we believe this is our first consultation with PPA for our Environment Plans.

Confidentiality and information sharing
Information gathered during consultation will be recorded by Vermilion and documented within any submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for assessment. You may request that specific information you provide not be publicly published. In such a case, Vermilion will retain the information securely and in confidence.
If you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond to this email or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.

We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Regards ,

2.12 Email sent to Western Rock Lobster Council on 13 November 2024

Dear Western Rock Lobster Council

I am contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (**Vermilion**), which has operations in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field located approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island.
The Wandoo Facility is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin and operates at a water depth of 50-60m. More information about the Wandoo operations can be found at: https://www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/ and a map of the title area is included below, shown on the map as 'Wandoo Field WA-14-L'.



Seeking your input
We are contacting Western Rock Lobster Council because Vermilion has upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.
The purpose of this email is to seek your input on your preferred method of consultation, where Western Rock Lobster Council is considered a relevant person for consultation on Vermilion's proposed activities.

Specifically, where West Coast Rock Lobster Managed Fishery in Western Australia is identified as a relevant person for consultation on Vermilion's proposed activities, is there a preferred method for consultation with respective licence holders? We are currently exploring consultation with West Coast Rock Lobster Managed Fishery via the Western Australian Fishing Industry Council (WAFIC).

We want to make sure that the consultation engagement is appropriate and tailored to meet the needs of commercial fisheries participants and provides you with the opportunity to contribute in

line with your functions, interests, or activities as we believe this is our first consultation with Western Rock Lobster Council for our Environment Plans.

Confidentiality and information sharing
Information gathered during consultation will be recorded by Vermilion and documented within any submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for assessment. You may request that specific information you provide not be publicly published. In such a case, Vermilion will retain the information securely and in confidence.
If you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond to this email or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.
We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Regards ,

2.13 Email sent to Recfishwest on 13 November 2024

Dear Recfishwest

I am contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (**Vermilion**), which has operations in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field located approximately 80-km northwest of Dampier and 110-km northeast of Barrow Island.
The Wandoo Facility is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin and operates at a water depth of 50-60m. More information about the Wandoo operations can be found at: https://www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/ and a map of the title area is included below, shown on the map as 'Wandoo Field WA-14-L'.



Seeking your input
We are contacting Recfishwest because Vermilion has upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.
The purpose of this email is to seek your input on your preferred method of consultation, where Recfishwest is considered a relevant person for consultation on Vermilion's proposed activities.

We want to make sure that the consultation engagement is appropriate and tailored to meet the needs of the recreational fishing sector and provides you with the opportunity to contribute in line with your interests, functions or activities as we believe this is our first consultation with Recfishwest for our Environment Plans.

Confidentiality and Information Sharing
Information gathered during consultation will be recorded by Vermilion and documented within any submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for assessment. You may request that specific information you provide not be publicly published. In such a case, Vermilion will retain the information securely and in confidence.
If you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond to this email or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.
We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Regards

2.14 Email sent to Marine Tourism WA on 14 November 2024

Dear Marine Tourism WA

I am contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (**Vermilion**), which has operations in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field located approximately 80-km northwest of Dampier and 110-km northeast of Barrow Island.
The Wandoo Facility is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin and operates at a water depth of 50-60m. More information about the Wandoo operations can be found at: https://www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/ and a map of the title area is included below, shown on the map as 'Wandoo Field WA-14-L'.



Seeking your input
We are contacting Marine Tourism WA because Vermilion has upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.

The purpose of this email is to seek your input on your preferred method of consultation, where Marine Tourism WA is considered a relevant person for consultation on Vermilion's proposed activities.

We want to make sure that the consultation engagement is appropriate and tailored to meet the needs of the charter boating industry and provides you with the opportunity to contribute in line with your interests, functions or activities as we believe this is our first consultation with Marine Tourism WA for our Environment Plans.

Confidentiality and Information Sharing
Information gathered during consultation will be recorded by Vermilion and documented within any submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for assessment. You may request that specific information you provide not be publicly published. In such a case, Vermilion will retain the information securely and in confidence.
If you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond to this email or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.
We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Regards

2.15 Email sent to Australian Fisheries Management Authority on 14 November 2024

Dear Australian Fisheries Management Authority

I am contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (**Vermilion**), which has operations in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field located approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island.
The Wandoo Facility is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin and operates at a water depth of 50-60m. More information about the Wandoo operations can be found at: https://www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/ and a map of the title area is included below, shown on the map as 'Wandoo Field WA-14-L'.



Seeking your input

We are contacting the Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA) because Vermilion has upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.

The purpose of this email is to seek your input on your preferred method of consultation, where AFMA is considered a relevant person for consultation on Vermilion's proposed activities.

Specifically, where Commonwealth commercial fisheries are identified as a relevant person for consultation on Vermilion's proposed activities, is there a preferred method for consultation with respective licence holders?

We want to make sure that the consultation engagement is appropriate and tailored to meet the needs of the commonwealth commercial fisheries participants and provides you with the opportunity to contribute in line with your functions, interests, or activities.

Confidentiality and information sharing

Information gathered during consultation will be recorded by Vermilion and documented within any submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for assessment. You may request that specific information you provide not be publicly published. In such a case, Vermilion will retain the information securely and in confidence.

If you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond to this email or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.

We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Regards ,

2.16 Email sent to WA Game Fishing Association on 14 November 2024

Dear WA Game Fishing Association

I am contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (**Vermilion**), which has operations in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field located approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island.

The Wandoo Facility is located in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin and operates at a water depth of 50-60m. More information about the Wandoo operations can be found at: https://www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/ and a map of the title area is included below, shown on the map as 'Wandoo Field WA-14-L'.



Seeking your input
We are contacting WA Game Fishing Association because Vermilion has upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Field.
The purpose of this email is to seek your input on your preferred method of consultation, where WA Game Fishing Association is considered a relevant person for consultation on Vermilion's proposed activities.

We want to make sure that the consultation engagement is appropriate and tailored to meet the needs of the recreational fishing sector and provides you with the opportunity to contribute in line with your interests, functions or activities as we believe this is our first consultation with WA Game Fishing Association for our Environment Plans.

Confidentiality and Information Sharing
Information gathered during consultation will be recorded by Vermilion and documented within any submission to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for assessment. You may request that specific information you provide not be publicly published. In such a case, Vermilion will retain the information securely and in confidence.
If you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond to this email or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.
We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Regards

3. Consultation – December 2024

3.1 Email sent to Australian Border Force (ABF), Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DEMIRS), Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA), Department of Industry, Science and

Resources (DISR), Department of Transport (DoT) – marine pollution, Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Advisory Committee (NCWHAC), Pilbara Development Commission (PDC), Pilbara Ports Authority (PPA), Project Ningaloo, Australian Conservation Foundation (ACF), The Conservation Council of WA (CCWA), Care for Hedland on 2 December 2024

Dear Stakeholder

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia

3.2 Emails sent to WAFIC on 2 December 2024

3.2.1 Re: Vermilion Energy Commercial Fishing Consultation - Fee-for-Service

Dear [redacted]

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

As the peak industry body representing the interests of the commercial fishing sector, Vermilion would like to enter into a fee-for-service engagement – Option A with WAFIC.

As required, we have attached all the necessary information that is listed in your consultation framework so that we can commence engagement with the relevant fishers, with information sheets attached (generic and commercial fisher specific). Please let me know if you require anything further.

- Fisheries have been identified as relevant to the proposed activity based on fishing licence overlap and assessment of government fishing effort data (including Fishcube and AFMA) from recent years. A summary of the relevant commonwealth and state managed fisheries are included in the commercial fishing information sheet attached.
- We have identified potential impacts to active commercial fishers and the environment, which are also summarised in the commercial fishing information sheet attached. We have endeavoured to reduce these risks to an as low as reasonably practicable level.
- Information about exclusionary zones and communication with fishers and mariners are included in the attached documents.

Can you please also advise if you will invoice us directly?

I look forward to hearing from you.

3.2.2 Re: Consultation on Wandoo Field Geophysical Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan & Exploration Drilling Environment Plan

Dear WAFIC

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Information for the commercial fishing sector

- Fisheries have been identified as relevant to the proposed activity based on fishing licence overlap and assessment of government fishing effort data (including Fishcube and AFMA) from recent years. A summary of the relevant commonwealth and state managed fisheries are included in the commercial fishing information sheet attached.

- We have identified potential impacts to active commercial fishers and the environment, which are also summarised in the commercial fishing information sheet attached. We have endeavoured to reduce these risks to an as low as reasonably practicable level.
- Information about exclusionary zones and communication with fishers and mariners are inlcuded in the attached docments.

Vermilion acknowledges WAFIC's consultation guidance and has applied this by consulting fisheries assessed as having a potential for interaction in the Operational Areas and EMBA via WAFIC.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

3.3 Email sent to Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA) on 2 December 2024

Dear AFMA,

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities
Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Information for the commercial fishing sector

Fisheries have been identified as relevant to the proposed activity based on fishing licence overlap and assessment of government fishing effort data (including Fishcube and AFMA) from recent years. A summary of the relevant commonwealth and state managed fisheries are included in the commercial fishing information sheet attached.

We have identified potential impacts to active commercial fishers and the environment, which are also summarised in the commercial fishing information sheet attached. We have endeavoured to reduce these risks to an as low as reasonably practicable level.

Information about exclusionary zones and communication with fishers and mariners are inlcuded in the attached docments.

Vermilion acknowledges AFMA's consultation guidance to consult with fishing industry associations directly and has applied this by consulting with the relevant fishing industry associations for commercial fisheries identified as having potential for interaction in the operational area and EMBA.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia

3.4 Email sent to Australian Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery Association (ASBITA), Commonwealth Fisheries Association (CFA), Pearl Producers Association (PPA), Seafood Industry Australia, Tuna Australia, Western Rock Lobster Council on 2 December 2024

Dear Commercial Fishing Representative Body

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and

associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Information for the commercial fishing sector

- Fisheries have been identified as relevant to the proposed activity based on fishing licence overlap and assessment of government fishing effort data (including Fishcube and AFMA) from recent years. A summary of the relevant commonwealth and state managed fisheries are included in the commercial fishing information sheet attached.
- We have identified potential impacts to active commercial fishers and the environment, which are also summarised in the commercial fishing information sheet attached. We have endeavoured to reduce these risks to an as low as reasonably practicable level.
- Information about exclusionary zones and communication with fishers and mariners are included in the attached documents.
- Vermilion acknowledges WAFIC's consultation guidance and has applied this by consulting fisheries assessed as having a potential for interaction in the Operational Areas and EMBA via WAFIC. Vermilion has also consulted with AFMA.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

3.5 Email sent to WA Game Fishing Association (WAGFA), Recfishwest, Marine Tourism WA, Ashburton Anglers, Exmouth Game Fishing Club (EGFC), King Bay Game Fishing Club (KBFC), Nickol Bay Sportsfishing Club (NBSC) on 2 December 2024

Dear Stakeholder

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities
Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities
Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on the proposed activities
Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia

3.6 Email sent to Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) and Australian Hydrographic Office (AHO) on 2 December 2024

Dear AMSA and AHO

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities
Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities
Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on the proposed activities
Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here. A shipping lane map is also attached.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia

3.6.1 Shipping lane map sent to Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) and Australian Hydrographic Office (AHO) on 2 December 2024



3.7 Email sent to Director of National Parks (DNP) on 2 December 2024

Dear Director of National Parks,

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-

L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities
Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities
Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Australian Marine Parks (AMPs)

We note Australian Government Guidance on consultation activities and confirm that:

- No Australian Marine Parks (AMPs) are within the Operational Area. The nearest AMPs are:
    - Montebello AMP ~37km to the west of the Operational Area.
    - Dampier AMP ~47km to the south east of the Operational Area.
    - Montebello Islands Marine Part (State) ~ 75km to the west-south west of the Operational Area.
    - Barrow Island Marine Management Area (State) ~89km to the west-south west of the Operational Area.
- We have assessed potential risks to AMPs in the development of the proposed Environment Plans and believe that there are no credible risks as part of planned activities that have potential to impact the values of the AMPs.
- The worst-case credible spill scenario assessed in the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan is the highly unlikely event of a loss of well containment resulting in the release of reservoir hydrocarbons to the marine environment.
- There are four AMPs listed within the EMBA.
- Through review of hydrocarbon spill modelling, and with consideration of a 50 ppb dissolved and 100 ppb entrained hydrocarbon threshold, the following AMPs may be contacted in the event of a spill:
    - Dampier
    - Gascoyne
    - Montebello, and
    - Ningaloo.

A NOPSEMA-approved oil spill response plan will be in place for the duration of the activities, which will include notification to relevant agencies and organisations as to the nature and scale of the event, as soon as practicable following an occurrence. The Director of National Parks will be advised if an environmental incident occurs that may impact on the values of the AMP.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia

3.8 Email sent to Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) on 2 December 2024

Dear DPIRD,

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Information for the commercial fishing sector

- Fisheries have been identified as relevant to the proposed activity based on fishing licence overlap and assessment of government fishing effort data (including Fishcube and AFMA) from recent years. A summary of the relevant commonwealth and state managed fisheries are included in the commercial fishing information sheet attached.
- We have identified potential impacts to active commercial fishers and the environment, which are also summarised in the commercial fishing information sheet attached. We have endeavoured to reduce these risks to an as low as reasonably practicable level.
- Information about exclusionary zones and communication with fishers and mariners are included in the attached documents.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

3.9 Email sent to Department of Defence (DoD) on 2 December 2024

Dear Department of Defence

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Defence

Vermilion has assessed all impacts and risks to Defence:

> **Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan:** There are no Defence related uses within the Operational Area. The EMBA encompasses the military installations near Exmouth, including a naval communication station. The Department of Defence has several offshore training areas including the North West Exercise Area (NWXA) (approximately 95 km southwest of the Operational Area) and Learmonth Air Weapons Range (approximately 275 km west-southwest of the Operational Area) in the EMBA. These areas are used for Defence Force training exercises, including live firing. No unexploded ordnance (UXO) potential has been identified within the Operational Area.
>
> **Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Environment Plan:** There are no Defence related uses within the Operational Area. The EMBA encompasses the military installations

near Exmouth, including a naval communication station. The Department of Defence has several offshore training areas including the North West Exercise Area (NWXA) (approximately 155 km southwest of the Operational Area) and Learmonth Air Weapons Range (approximately 330 km west-southwest of the Operational Area) in the EMBA. These areas are used for Defence Force training exercises, including live firing. No unexploded ordnance (UXO) potential has been identified within the Operational Area.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

3.10 Email sent to Australian Energy Producers (AEP) on 2 December 2024

Dear AEP

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Vermilion is consulting with energy industry titleholders and operators directly.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

3.11 Email sent to Curtin University (Centre for Marine Science and Technology), University of Western Australia (UWA), Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Reserch Organsiation (CSIRO), Western Australian Marine Science Institution (WAMSI), Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS), Australian Marine Sciences Association (WA Branch) on 2 December 2024

Dear Research Institute

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and

risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Vermilion is also seeking your advice regarding any research activities that your instiution/organisation is undertaking that may overlap with our proposed activities.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

3.12 Email sent to Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF) - Fisheries on 3 December 2024

Dear DAFF - Fisheries

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Information for the commercial fishing sector

Fisheries have been identified as relevant to the proposed activity based on fishing licence overlap and assessment of government fishing effort data (including Fishcube and AFMA) from recent years. A summary of the relevant commonwealth and state managed fisheries are included in the commercial fishing information sheet attached.

We have identified potential impacts to active commercial fishers and the environment, which are also summarised in the commercial fishing information sheet attached. We have endeavoured to reduce these risks to an as low as reasonably practicable level.

Information about exclusionary zones and communication with fishers and mariners are included in the attached documents.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Also attached are consultation information sheets specific to the commercial fishing sector. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by 17 January 2025.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023 (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely
Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

3.13 Email sent to Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF) - Biosecurity on 3 December 2024

Dear DAFF - Biosecurity

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by 17 January 2025.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023 (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Biosecurity

With respect to the biosecurity matters, please note the following information below outlines our proposed biosecurity risk management to prevent the introduction of invasive marine species:

Environment Description and Assessment
The Operational Area is located in a deep-water (50-60 m) open-ocean environment that is ~63 km (34 nm) from the nearest shoreline and has a lack of hard substrate. Values and sensitivities of benthic habitats and communities within the Operational Area are limited to soft sediment benthic habitats that are widespread and homogenous in the North West Shelf (NWS). ROV surveys on the NWS, at similar water depths to those in the Operational Area, have indicated the seafloor is comprised of fine silt/sand substrates and benthic communities were generally sparse with low densities of organisms (e.g. crustaceans, molluscs, and polychaetes). Further, no key ecological features, often associated with provided hard substrate habitats are located within the Operational Area. Therefore, it is considered that the Operational Area does not provide a location conducive to marine pest establishment and survival, and that there is little potential for invasive organisms to accumulate and multiply. Displacement of native marine species or a reduction in abundance from predation, competition or interspecies breeding is not expected to occur.
Invasive Marine Species Prevention Controls
Vessels will have an approved ballast water management plan and valid ballast water management certificate, unless an exemption applies or is obtained, as specified in the Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements. Vessels will complete a VOGA Biofouling Risk Assessment, identifying a low risk before mobilisation to the Operational Area: Biofouling risk based on a range of information including presence of a biofouling management plan and record book, last port of call, age of anti-fouling coating etc. If a risk category of moderate, uncertain or high is scored, the process requires an independent IMS expert to be engaged and further risk assessment and/or management measures undertaken. Anti-fouling Systems on vessels are maintained in compliance with International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-Fouling Systems on Ships (IMO, 2001): Prohibits the use of harmful organotins in antifouling paints used on ships and establishes a mechanism to prevent the potential future use of other harmful substances in anti-fouling systems.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia

3.14 Email sent to Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) on 3 December 2024

Dear DCCEEW,

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Underwater cultural heritage

Vermilion has undertaken an assessment of underwater cultural heritage:

Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan	There are no cultural heritage artefacts identified within the Operational Area or EMBA. There are no historical wrecks within the Operational Area or within a 100 km buffer of the EMBA. There are no registered Aboriginal cultural heritage sites within the Operational Area, 52 registered sites are present within the EMBA.
Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan	There are no cultural heritage artefacts identified within the Operational Area. The Australasian Underwater Cultural Heritage Database identified one historic underwater cultural heritage artefact within the EMBA. There are no historical wrecks within the Operational Area. However, there are 31 historical wrecks within a 100 km buffer of the

	EMBA. HMAS *Sydney II* and HSK *Kormoran* Shipwreck Sites are located within the EMBA. There are no registered Aboriginal cultural heritage sites within the Operational Area, 283 registered sites are present within the EMBA.

Vermilion also advises that it has contacted the West Australian Museum and the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH) as part of our consultation.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

3.15 Email sent to Department of Planning Lands and Heritage (DPLH) on 3 December 2024

Dear DPLH,

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Underwater cultural heritage and historical wrecks

Vermilion has undertaken an assessment of underwater cultural heritage and historical wrecks:

Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan	There are no cultural heritage artefacts identified within the Operational Area or EMBA. There are no historical wrecks within the Operational Area or within a 100 km buffer of the EMBA. There are no registered Aboriginal cultural heritage sites within the Operational Area, 52 registered sites are present within the EMBA.
Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan	There are no cultural heritage artefacts identified within the Operational Area. The Australasian Underwater Cultural Heritage Database identified one historic underwater cultural heritage artefact within the EMBA. There are no historical wrecks within the Operational Area. However, there are 31 historical wrecks within a 100 km buffer of the

	EMBA. HMAS *Sydney II* and HSK *Kormoran* Shipwreck Sites are located within the EMBA. There are no registered Aboriginal cultural heritage sites within the Operational Area, 283 registered sites are present within the EMBA.

Vermilion also advises that it has contacted the West Australian Museum and the Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) as part of our consultation.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

3.16 Email sent to Western Australian Museum on 3 December 2024

Dear Western Australian Museum

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities
Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities
Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Underwater cultural heritage – historical shipwrecks
Vermilion has undertaken an assessment of historical shipwrecks:

Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan	There are no historical wrecks within the Operational Area or within a 100 km buffer of the EMBA.
Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan	There are no historical wrecks within the Operational Area. However, there are 31 historical wrecks within a 100 km buffer of the EMBA. HMAS *Sydney II* and HSK *Kormoran* Shipwreck Sites are located within the EMBA.

Vermilion also advises that it has contacted the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH) as part of our consultation.

Feedback on the proposed activities
Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is

the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Seeking your input
If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**
Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).
Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

3.17 Email sent to Beagle No. 1 Pty Ltd / Longreach Capital Investment, BP Developments Australia, Chevron Australia, Finder No 16 Pty Ltd, INPEX Browse E&P Pty Ltd, Jadestone Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd, Kato Energy (WA) Pty Ltd, Kufpec (Perth) Pty Ltd, MEO International Pty Ltd, Mobil Australia Resources Company Pty Limited, Santos Offshore Pty Ltd, Shell Australia Pty Ltd, Western Gas (474 P) Pty Ltd, Woodside Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd on 3 December 2024

Dear Titleholder

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

3.18 Email sent to City of Karratha, Town of Port Hedland, Shire of Ashburton, Shire of Exmouth, Port Hedland Chamber of Commerce and Industry, Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA), Karratha and Districts

Chamber of Commerce and Industry (KDCCI), Regional Development Australia (Pilbara) Karratha WA on 3 December 2024

Dear Stakeholder

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).
Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

3.19 Email sent to Onslow Chamber of Commerce and Industry on 18 December 2024

Dear Onslow Chamber of Commerce and Industry

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

3.20 Letter sent to Pilbara Kimberley Recreational Marine Users and Gascoyne Recreational Marine Users on 11 December 2024

VERMILION
OIL & GAS
AUSTRALIA PTY LTD

LEVEL 5
30 THE ESPLANADE
PERTH
WESTERN AUSTRALIA 6000

TEL: 08 9217 5858
ABN: 29 113 023 591

9 December 2024

Dear Stakeholder

Consultation on Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan & Exploration Drilling Environment Plan

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion) is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L.

Location
The Wandoo Field is situated approximately 80 kilometres northwest of the port Dampier and 110 kilometres northeast of Barrow Island. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres. Operational Areas have been defined as a subset of WA-14-L, to encompass the exploration prospects.

Survey activities
Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities
Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Consultation Information sheets for both of the proposed activities are enclosed, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Feedback
If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by 17 January 2025.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023 (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia

3.21 Email sent to Buurabalayji Thalanyji Aboriginal Corporation (BTAC) on 4 December 2024

Dear [redacted]

Following our previous email on 14 October 2024 (set out below), we act on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (**Vermilion**), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

We are contacting the Buurabalayji Thalanyji Aboriginal Corporation (**BTAC**) to provide further information on proposed activities in the Wandoo Field and providing an opportunity for BTAC to participate in the environmental planning consultation process.

Overview of activities

Vermilion is planning to drill in the Wandoo Field to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons. This will help continue production at the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L, which Vermilion has operated for almost 20 years.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion wants to keep developing and producing from its current permit area. So, the company plans to survey the area with a vessel to investigate the seabed and sub-seabed conditions. This will provide data to decide suitability for the location of a drilling rig that could be used in potential exploration drilling.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has completed seven drilling campaigns in the field's history and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Depending on the results, Vermilion may drill up to four more exploration wells over the next five years within its current production licence area WA-14-L.

Attached are summary information sheets that explain the activities Vermillion plans to undertake.

We have included two maps showing the planning area ('environment that may be affected' (EMBA)) in respect to BTAC native title determination.

Detailed consultation information sheets have been attached and can be found at the links below:

- Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Environment Plan
- Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan

Vermilion's website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion recognises the importance of cultural heritage to the Native Title Holders and First Nations people and are committed to ensuring these values are respected and integrated into our environmental planning.

Vermilion believes that Native Title Holders, like BTAC might be relevant for participating in consultation as part of the environmental planning process.

Vermilion would welcome the opportunity to meet with BTAC and would like to offer a meeting in **February 2025** to discuss the proposed activities, seek your input and engage in consultation.

However, Vermilion would like to ensure the engagement is tailored to meet BTAC's needs. As such, if a formalised consultation agreement is required, we ask you to please provide this documentation before coordinating any meetings.

Please feel free to forward this email and the attached documents to BTAC members or other people who you think may be interested as required.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (**NOPSEMA**) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback on this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Alternatively, if you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.

We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Yours sincerely

3.22 Email sent to Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (KAC) on 3 December 2024

Hi [redacted]

Thank you for your email below and the attaching Consultation Flow Chart.

Following our previous correspondence on 9 October 2024**,** we are contacting you to provide further information on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (**Vermilion**), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

We are also providing further information on proposed activities in the Wandoo Field and providing an opportunity for the Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (**KAC**) to participate in the environmental planning consultation process, noting the process set out in the Consultation Flow Chart.

Overview of activities

Vermilion is planning to drill in the Wandoo Field to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons. This will help continue production at the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L, which Vermilion has operated for almost 20 years.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion wants to keep developing and producing from its current permit area. So, the company plans to survey the area with a vessel to investigate the seabed and sub-seabed conditions. This will provide data to decide suitability for the location of a drilling rig that could be used in potential exploration drilling.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has completed seven drilling campaigns in the field's history and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Depending on the results, Vermilion may drill up to four more exploration wells over the next five years within its current production licence area WA-14-L.

Attached are summary information sheets that explain the activities Vermillion plans to undertake.

We have included two maps showing the planning area ('environment that may be affected' (EMBA)) in respect to KAC's native title determination.

Detailed consultation information sheets have been attached and can be found at the links below:

- Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Environment Plan
- Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan

Vermilion's website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion recognises the importance of cultural heritage to the Native Title Holders and First Nations people and are committed to ensuring these values are respected and integrated into our environmental planning.

Vermilion believes that Native Title Holders, like KAC might be relevant for participating in consultation as part of the environmental planning process.

Vermilion would welcome the opportunity to meet with KAC and would like to offer a meeting in **February 2025** to discuss the proposed activities, seek your input and engage in consultation.

However, Vermilion would like to ensure the engagement is tailored to meet KAC's needs. As such, if a formalised consultation agreement is required, we ask you to please provide this documentation before coordinating any meetings.

Please feel free to forward this email and the attached documents to KAC members or other people who you think may be interested as required.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (**NOPSEMA**) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback on this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Alternatively, if you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.

We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Yours sincerely

3.22.1 Attachment to email sent to Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (KAC) on 3 December 2024



3.22.2 Attachment to email sent to Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (KAC) on 3 December 2024



3.23 [REMOVED]

3.24 [REMOVED]

3.25 Email sent to Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation (NTGAC) on 3 December 2024

Dear [redacted]

Following our previous correspondence on set out below, we are contacting the Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation (**NTGAC**) to provide further information on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (**Vermilion**), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

We are also contacting NTGAC to provide further information on proposed activities in the Wandoo Field and providing an opportunity for NTGAC to participate in the environmental planning consultation process.

Overview of activities

Vermilion is planning to drill in the Wandoo Field to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons. This will help continue production at the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L, which Vermilion has operated for almost 20 years.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion wants to keep developing and producing from its current permit area. So, the company plans to survey the area with a vessel to investigate the seabed and sub-seabed conditions. This will provide data to decide suitability for the location of a drilling rig that could be used in potential exploration drilling.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has completed seven drilling campaigns in the field's history and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Depending on the results, Vermilion may drill up to four more exploration wells over the next five years within its current production licence area WA-14-L.

Attached are summary information sheets that explain the activities Vermillion plans to undertake.

We have included two maps showing the planning area ('environment that may be affected' (EMBA)) in respect to NTGAC native title determination.

Detailed consultation information sheets have been attached and can be found at the links below:

- Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Environment Plan
- Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan

Vermilion's website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion recognises the importance of cultural heritage to the Native Title Holders and First Nations people and are committed to ensuring these values are respected and integrated into our environmental planning.

Vermilion believes that Native Title Holders, like NTGAC might be relevant for participating in consultation as part of the environmental planning process.

Vermilion would welcome the opportunity to meet with NTGAC and would like to offer a meeting in **February 2025** to discuss the proposed activities, seek your input and engage in consultation.

However, Vermilion would like to ensure the engagement is tailored to meet NTGAC's needs. As such, if a formalised consultation agreement is required, we ask you to please provide this documentation before coordinating any meetings.

Please feel free to forward this email and the attached documents to NTGAC members or other people who you think may be interested as required.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (**NOPSEMA**) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback on this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Alternatively, if you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.

We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Yours sincerely

3.25.1 Attachment to email sent to Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation (NTGAC) on 3 December 2024



3.25.2 Attachment to email sent to Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation (NTGAC) on 3 December 2024



3.26 Email sent to Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (NAC) on 3 December 2024

Dear [redacted]

Following our previous correspondence on 9 October 2024 (below), we act on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (**Vermilion**), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

We are contacting the Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (**NAC**) to provide further information on proposed activities in the Wandoo Field and providing an opportunity for **NAC** to participate in the environmental planning consultation process.

Overview of activities

Vermilion is planning to drill in the Wandoo Field to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons. This will help continue production at the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L, which Vermilion has operated for almost 20 years.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion wants to keep developing and producing from its current permit area. So, the company plans to survey the area with a vessel to investigate the seabed and sub-seabed conditions. This will provide data to decide suitability for the location of a drilling rig that could be used in potential exploration drilling.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has completed seven drilling campaigns in the field's history and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Depending on the results, Vermilion may drill up to four more exploration wells over the next five years within its current production licence area WA-14-L.

We have included two maps showing the planning area ('environment that may be affected' (EMBA)) in respect to NAC native title determination.

Detailed consultation information sheets have been attached and can be found at the links below:

- Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Environment Plan
- Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan

Vermilion's website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion recognises the importance of cultural heritage to the Native Title Holders and First Nations people and are committed to ensuring these values are respected and integrated into our environmental planning.

Vermilion believes that Native Title Holders, like NAC might be relevant for participating in consultation as part of the environmental planning process.

Vermilion would welcome the opportunity to meet with NAC and would like to offer a meeting in **February 2025** to discuss the proposed activities, seek your input and engage in consultation.

However, Vermilion would like to ensure the engagement is tailored to meet NAC's needs. As such, if a formalised consultation agreement is required, we ask you to please provide this documentation before coordinating any meetings.

Please feel free to forward this email and the attached documents to NAC's members or other people who you think may be interested as required.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (**NOPSEMA**) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback on this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Alternatively, if you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.

We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Yours sincerely

3.26.1 Attachment to email sent to Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (NAC) on 3 December 2024



3.26.2 Attachment to email sent to Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (NAC) on 3 December 2024



3.27 Email sent to Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) on 3 December 2024

Hi [redacted]

Following our previous correspondence on set out below, we are contacting the Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (**WAC**) to provide further information behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (**Vermilion**), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

We are contacting WAC to provide further information on proposed activities in the Wandoo Field and providing an opportunity for WAC to participate in the environmental planning consultation process. We would be pleased if you could provide us the date of the first WAC meeting in 2025, to discuss the proposed activities, seek your input and engage in consultation.

Overview of activities

Vermilion is planning to drill in the Wandoo Field to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons. This will help continue production at the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L, which Vermilion has operated for almost 20 years.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion wants to keep developing and producing from its current permit area. So, the company plans to survey the area with a vessel to investigate the seabed and sub-seabed conditions. This will provide data to decide suitability for the location of a drilling rig that could be used in potential exploration drilling.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has completed seven drilling campaigns in the field's history and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Depending on the results, Vermilion may drill up to four more exploration wells over the next five years within its current production licence area WA-14-L.

Attached are summary information sheets that explain the activities Vermillion plans to undertake.

We have included two maps showing the planning area ('environment that may be affected' (EMBA)) in respect to WAC native title determination.

Detailed consultation information sheets have been attached and can be found at the links below:

- Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Environment Plan
- Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan

Vermilion's website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion recognises the importance of cultural heritage to the Native Title Holders and First Nations people and are committed to ensuring these values are respected and integrated into our environmental planning.

Vermilion believes that Native Title Holders, like WAC might be relevant for participating in consultation as part of the environmental planning process.

However, Vermilion would like to ensure the engagement is tailored to meet WAC's needs. As such, if a formalised consultation agreement is required, we ask you to please provide this documentation before coordinating any meetings.

Please feel free to forward this email and the attached documents to WAC members or other people who you think may be interested as required.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (**NOPSEMA**) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback on this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Alternatively, if you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.

We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Yours sincerely

3.27.1 Attachment to email sent to Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) on 3 December 2024



3.27.2 Attachment to email sent to Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) on 3 December 2024



3.28 Email sent to Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) on 3 December 2024

Dear [redacted]

Following our previous correspondences set out below, we are contacting the Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (**WAC**) to provide further information on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (**Vermilion**), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

We are also providing further information on Vermilion's proposed activities in the Wandoo Field and providing an opportunity for WAC to participate in the environmental planning consultation process.

Thank you for the advice that the most appropriate way to consult with WAC is via its board, we would be pleased if you could advise us once the date is set for the December meeting, or failing that, the first available meet in 2025.

Overview of activities

Vermilion is planning to drill in the Wandoo Field to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons. This will help continue production at the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L, which Vermilion has operated for almost 20 years.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion wants to keep developing and producing from its current permit area. So, the company plans to survey the area with a vessel to investigate the seabed and sub-seabed conditions. This will provide data to decide suitability for the location of a drilling rig that could be used in potential exploration drilling.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has completed seven drilling campaigns in the field's history and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Depending on the results, Vermilion may drill up to four more exploration wells over the next five years within its current production licence area WA-14-L.

Attached are summary information sheets that explain the activities Vermillion plans to undertake.

We have included two maps showing the planning area ('environment that may be affected' (EMBA)) in respect to WAC native title determination.

Detailed consultation information sheets have been attached and can be found at the links below:

- Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Environment Plan
- Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan

Vermilion's website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion recognises the importance of cultural heritage to the Native Title Holders and First Nations people and are committed to ensuring these values are respected and integrated into our environmental planning.

Vermilion believes that Native Title Holders, like WAC might be relevant for participating in consultation as part of the environmental planning process.

However, Vermilion would like to ensure the engagement is tailored to meet WAC's needs. As such, if a formalised consultation agreement is required, we ask you to please provide this documentation before coordinating any meetings.

Please feel free to forward this email and the attached documents to WAC members or other people who you think may be interested as required.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (**NOPSEMA**) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback on this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Alternatively, if you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.

We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Yours sincerely

3.28.1 Attachment to email sent to Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) on 3 December 2024



3.28.2 Attachment to email sent to Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (WAC) on 3 December 2024



3.29 Email sent to Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation (YAC) on 3 December 2024

Dear [redacted]

Following our previous correspondence on 14 October 2024 (below), we act on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (**Vermilion**), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

We are contacting Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation (**YAC**) to provide further information on proposed activities in the Wandoo Field and providing an opportunity for YAC to participate in the environmental planning consultation process.

Overview of activities

Vermilion is planning to drill in the Wandoo Field to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons. This will help continue production at the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L, which Vermilion has operated for almost 20 years.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion wants to keep developing and producing from its current permit area. So, the company plans to survey the area with a vessel to investigate the seabed and sub-seabed conditions. This will provide data to decide suitability for the location of a drilling rig that could be used in potential exploration drilling.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has completed seven drilling campaigns in the field's history and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Depending on the results, Vermilion may drill up to four more exploration wells over the next five years within its current production licence area WA-14-L.

Attached are summary information sheets that explain the activities Vermillion plans to undertake.

We have included two maps showing the planning area ('environment that may be affected' (EMBA)) in respect to YAC native title determination.

Detailed consultation information sheets have been attached and can be found at the links below:

- Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Environment Plan
- Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan

Vermilion's website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion recognises the importance of cultural heritage to the Native Title Holders and First Nations people and are committed to ensuring these values are respected and integrated into our environmental planning.

Vermilion believes that Native Title Holders, like YAC might be relevant for participating in consultation as part of the environmental planning process.

Vermilion would welcome the opportunity to meet with YAC and would like to offer a meeting in **February 2025** to discuss the proposed activities, seek your input and engage in consultation.

However, Vermilion would like to ensure the engagement is tailored to meet YAC's needs. As such, if a formalised consultation agreement is required, we ask you to please provide this documentation before coordinating any meetings.

Please feel free to forward this email and the attached documents to YAC members or other people who you think may be interested as required.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (**NOPSEMA**) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback on this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Alternatively, if you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.

We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Yours sincerely

3.29.1 Attachment to email sent to Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation (YAC) on 3 December 2024



3.29.2 Attachment to email sent to Yindjibarndi Aboriginal Corporation (YAC) on 3 December 2024



3.30 Email sent to Vocus on 3 December 2024

Dear Stakeholder

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80km northwest of Dampier and 110km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plan to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion is now consulting with relevant persons with functions, interests or activities that may be affected by offshore oil exploration and drilling activities proposed under our Environment Plans for the Wandoo Field. Vermilion's methodology for the assessment of relevant persons is based on (but not limited to) the environment that may be affected (EMBA) assessed for the activity. An EMBA is the largest area where a petroleum activity could potentially have an environmental consequence, either directly or indirectly. The broadest extent of the EMBA considers planned activities and unplanned events and is individual to each proposed activity.

Consultation information sheets for both of the proposed activities are attached, providing additional background on the proposed activities, including summaries of potential key impacts and risks, and associated management measures. These are also available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities. Our website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Seeking your input

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.**

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

3.31 Email sent to Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) on 21 February 2025

Dear [redacted]

We act for Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia (**Vermilion**), who has operations in the Wandoo offshore oil field located approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. Information about the Wandoo operations can be found at: https://www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/ and maps of the title area are attached, shown on the maps as 'Wandoo Field WA-14-L' (**Wandoo Offshore Oil Field**).

We are contacting the Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (**MAC**) because Vermilion has upcoming proposed activities in the Wandoo Offshore Oil Field. During Vermilion's current consultation it was advised to us that MAC is likely to be a relevant person in relation to the planned activities. We want to provide MAC an opportunity to participate in the environment planning consultation process.

Overview of activities

Vermilion is planning to drill in the Wandoo Field to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons. This will help continue production at the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L, which Vermilion has operated for almost 20 years.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin operating at a water depth in the range from 50-60 metres.

Survey activities

Vermilion wants to keep developing and producing from its current permit area. So, the company plans to survey the area with a vessel to investigate the seabed and sub-seabed conditions. This will provide data to decide suitability for the location of a drilling rig that could be used in potential exploration drilling.

Drilling activities

Vermilion has completed seven drilling campaigns in the field's history and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Depending on the results, Vermilion may drill up to four more exploration wells over the next five years within its current production licence area WA-14-L.

Attached are summary information sheets that explain the activities Vermilion plans to undertake.

We have included a map in showing the planning area ('environment that may be affected' (EMBA)) in respect to lands and waters managed by MAC.

Detailed consultation information sheets can be found on Vermillion's website here:

- Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Environment Plan
- Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan

Vermillion's website includes resources for further information including frequently asked questions about Wandoo Field Environment Plan Consultation, which can be accessed here.

Feedback on the proposed activities

Vermilion recognises the importance of cultural heritage to the Native Title Holders and First Nations people and are committed to ensuring these values are respected and integrated into our environmental planning.

Vermilion believes that land and water managers and organisations like MAC might be relevant for participating in consultation as part of the environmental planning process.

Vermilion would welcome the opportunity to meet with MAC and would like to offer a meeting during **March 2025** to discuss the proposed activities, seek your input and engage in consultation.

However, Vermilion would like to ensure the engagement is tailored to meet MAC's needs. As such, if a formalised consultation agreement is required, we ask you to please provide this documentation before coordinating any meetings.

Please feel free to forward this email and the attached documents to MAC's members or other people who you think may be interested as required.

Seeking your input

The purpose of this consultation is to give MAC the opportunity to provide input into:

- Vermilion's understanding of the current environment that could be impacted by their proposed activities, including its cultural characteristics;
- how Vermilion's activities might affect the existing environment, including cultural aspect; and
- potential measures and controls to reduce the environmental impact of the proposed activities on MAC's functions, interests, and activities.

If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **25 March 2025.**

Confidentiality and information sharing

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (**NOPSEMA**) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback on this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Alternatively, if you would prefer to opt out of this consultation or wish to discontinue receiving updates, please respond or email abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com indicating your preference.

We look forward to hearing from you and working together to ensure a collaborative consultation process.

Regards

[redacted]

3.31.1 Attachment to email sent to Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) on 21 February 2025



3.31.2 Attachment to email sent to Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) on 21 February 2025



4. Consultation Follow Up – January 2024

4.1 Email sent to Australian Border Force (ABF), Department of Energy, Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DEMIRS), Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA), Department of Industry, Science and Resources (DISR), Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Advisory Committee (NCWHAC), Pilbara Development Commission (PDC), Project Ningaloo, Australian Conservation Foundation (ACF), The Conservation Council of WA (CCWA), Care for Hedland on 13 January 2025

Dear Stakeholder

This email follows Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion)'s previous correspondence (included below).

We are writing to follow up on any feedback you may have regarding the proposed activities outlined in the consultation information sent to you on 2 December 2024.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth of 50-60 metres.

Survey Activities: Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling Activities: Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on proposed activities: We are consulting with relevant persons who may be affected by these activities. Consultation information sheets are attached and available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Seeking Your Input: If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.** Feedback can also be provided after the consultation period closes, throughout the life of an Environment Plan, as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

Level 5 | 30 The Esplanade | Perth WA 6000

4.2 Email sent to Commonwealth Fisheries Association (CFA), Pearl Producers Association (PPA), Seafood Industry Australia, Tuna Australia, Western Rock Lobster Council on 13 January 2025

Dear Commercial Fishing Representative Body

This email follows Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion)'s previous correspondence (included below).

We are writing to follow up on any feedback you may have regarding the proposed activities outlined in the consultation information sent to you on 2 December 2024.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth of 50-60 metres.

Survey Activities: Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling Activities: Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on proposed activities: We are consulting with relevant persons who may be affected by these activities. Consultation information sheets are attached and available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Information for the commercial fishing sector

- Fisheries have been identified as relevant to the proposed activity based on fishing licence overlap and assessment of government fishing effort data (including Fishcube and AFMA) from recent years. A summary of the relevant commonwealth and state managed fisheries are included in the commercial fishing information sheet attached.
- We have identified potential impacts to active commercial fishers and the environment, which are also summarised in the commercial fishing information sheet attached. We have endeavoured to reduce these risks to an as low as reasonably practicable level.
- Information about exclusionary zones and communication with fishers and mariners are included in the attached documents.
- Vermilion acknowledges WAFIC's consultation guidance and has applied this by consulting fisheries assessed as having a potential for interaction in the Operational Areas and EMBA via WAFIC. Vermilion has also consulted with AFMA.

Seeking Your Input: If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.** Feedback can also be provided after the consultation period closes, throughout the life of an Environment Plan, as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia
E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com
Level 5 | 30 The Esplanade | Perth WA 6000

4.3 Email sent to Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF) - Fisheries on 13 January 2025

Dear DAFF - Fisheries

This email follows Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion)'s previous correspondence (included below).

We are writing to follow up on any feedback you may have regarding the proposed activities outlined in the consultation information sent to you on 2 December 2024.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth of 50-60 metres.

Survey Activities: Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling Activities: Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on proposed activities: We are consulting with relevant persons who may be affected by these activities. Consultation information sheets are attached and available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Information for the commercial fishing sector

- Fisheries have been identified as relevant to the proposed activity based on fishing licence overlap and assessment of government fishing effort data (including Fishcube and AFMA) from recent years. A summary of the relevant commonwealth and state managed fisheries are included in the commercial fishing information sheet attached.
- We have identified potential impacts to active commercial fishers and the environment, which are also summarised in the commercial fishing information sheet attached. We have endeavoured to reduce these risks to an as low as reasonably practicable level.
- Information about exclusionary zones and communication with fishers and mariners are included in the attached documents.
- Vermilion acknowledges WAFIC's consultation guidance and has applied this by consulting fisheries assessed as having a potential for interaction in the Operational Areas and EMBA via WAFIC. Vermilion has also consulted with AFMA.

Seeking Your Input: If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.** Feedback can also be provided after the consultation period closes, throughout the life of an Environment Plan, as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia
E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com
Level 5 | 30 The Esplanade | Perth WA 6000

4.4 Email sent to Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry (DAFF) - Biosecurity on 13 January 2025

Dear DAFF – Biosecurity

This email follows Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion)'s previous correspondence (included below).

We are writing to follow up on any feedback you may have regarding the proposed activities outlined in the consultation information sent to you on 3 December 2024.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth of 50-60 metres.

Survey Activities: Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling Activities: Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on proposed activities: We are consulting with relevant persons who may be affected by these activities. Consultation information sheets are attached and available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Seeking Your Input: If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.** Feedback can also be provided after the consultation period closes, throughout the life of an Environment Plan, as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Biosecurity
With respect to the biosecurity matters, please note the following information below outlines our proposed biosecurity risk management to prevent the introduction of invasive marine species:

Environment Description and Assessment
The Operational Area is located in a deep-water (50-60 m) open-ocean environment that is ~63 km (34 nm) from the nearest shoreline and has a lack of hard substrate. Values and sensitivities of benthic habitats and communities within the Operational Area are limited to soft sediment benthic habitats that are widespread and homogenous in the North West Shelf (NWS). ROV surveys on the NWS, at similar water depths to those in the Operational Area, have indicated the seafloor is comprised of fine silt/sand substrates and benthic communities were generally sparse with low densities of organisms (e.g. crustaceans, molluscs, and polychaetes). Further, no key ecological features, often associated with provided hard substrate habitats are located within the Operational Area. Therefore, it is considered that the Operational Area does not provide a location conducive to marine pest establishment and survival, and that there is little potential for invasive organisms to accumulate and multiply. Displacement of native marine species or a reduction in abundance from predation, competition or interspecies breeding is not expected to occur.
Invasive Marine Species Prevention Controls
• Vessels will have an approved ballast water management plan and valid ballast water management certificate, unless an exemption applies or is obtained, as specified in the Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements. • Vessels will complete a VOGA Biofouling Risk Assessment, identifying a low risk before mobilisation to the Operational Area: ○ Biofouling risk based on a range of information including presence of a biofouling management plan and record book, last port of call, age of anti-fouling coating etc. If a risk category of moderate, uncertain or high is scored, the process requires an independent IMS expert to be engaged and further risk assessment and/or management measures undertaken. • Anti-fouling Systems on vessels are maintained in compliance with International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-Fouling Systems on Ships (IMO, 2001): ○ Prohibits the use of harmful organotins in antifouling paints used on ships and establishes a mechanism to prevent the potential future use of other harmful substances in anti-fouling systems.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia
E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com
Level 5 | 30 The Esplanade | Perth WA 6000

4.5 Email sent to Department of Defence (DoD) on 13 January 2025

Dear Department of Defence

This email follows Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion)'s previous correspondence (included below).

We are writing to follow up on any feedback you may have regarding the proposed activities outlined in the consultation information sent to you on 2 December 2024.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth of 50-60 metres.

Survey Activities: Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling Activities: Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Defence

Vermilion has assessed all impacts and risks to Defence:

> **Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan:** There are no Defence related uses within the Operational Area. The EMBA encompasses the military installations near Exmouth, including a naval communication station. The Department of Defence has several offshore training areas including the North West Exercise Area (NWXA) (approximately 95 km southwest of the Operational Area) and Learmonth Air Weapons Range (approximately 275 km west-southwest of the Operational Area) in the EMBA. These areas are used for Defence Force training exercises, including live firing. No unexploded ordnance (UXO) potential has been identified within the Operational Area.
>
> **Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Environment Plan:** There are no Defence related uses within the Operational Area. The EMBA encompasses the military installations near Exmouth, including a naval communication station. The Department of Defence has several offshore training areas including the North West Exercise Area (NWXA) (approximately 155 km southwest of the Operational Area) and Learmonth Air Weapons Range (approximately 330 km west-southwest of the Operational Area) in the EMBA. These areas are used for Defence Force training exercises, including live firing. No unexploded ordnance (UXO) potential has been identified within the Operational Area.

Feedback on proposed activities: We are consulting with relevant persons who may be affected by these activities. Consultation information sheets are attached and available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Seeking Your Input: If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.** Feedback can also be provided after the consultation period closes, throughout the life of an Environment Plan, as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia
E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com
Level 5 | 30 The Esplanade | Perth WA 6000

4.6 Email sent to Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) on 13 January 2025

Dear DCCEEW,

This email follows Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion)'s previous correspondence (included below).

We are writing to follow up on any feedback you may have regarding the proposed activities outlined in the consultation information sent to you on 3 December 2024.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth of 50-60 metres.

Survey Activities: Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling Activities: Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Underwater cultural heritage
Vermilion has undertaken an assessment of underwater cultural heritage:

Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan	There are no cultural heritage artefacts identified within the Operational Area or EMBA. There are no historical wrecks within the Operational Area or within a 100 km buffer of the EMBA. There are no registered Aboriginal cultural heritage sites within the Operational Area, 52 registered sites are present within the EMBA.
Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan	There are no cultural heritage artefacts identified within the Operational Area. The Australasian Underwater Cultural Heritage Database identified one historic underwater cultural heritage artefact within the EMBA. There are no historical wrecks within the Operational Area. However, there are 31 historical wrecks within a 100 km buffer of the EMBA. HMAS *Sydney II* and HSK *Kormoran* Shipwreck Sites are located within the EMBA. There are no registered Aboriginal cultural heritage sites within the Operational Area, 283 registered sites are present within the EMBA.

Vermilion also advises that it has contacted the West Australian Museum and the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH) as part of our consultation.

Feedback on proposed activities: We are consulting with relevant persons who may be affected by these activities. Consultation information sheets are attached and available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Seeking Your Input: If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.** Feedback can also be provided after the consultation period closes, throughout the life of an Environment Plan, as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia
E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com
Level 5 | 30 The Esplanade | Perth WA 6000

4.7 Email sent to Department of Planning Lands and Heritage (DPLH) on 13 January 2025

Dear DPLH,

This email follows Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion)'s previous correspondence (included below).

We are writing to follow up on any feedback you may have regarding the proposed activities outlined in the consultation information sent to you on 3 December 2024.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth of 50-60 metres.

Survey Activities: Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling Activities: Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Underwater cultural heritage and historical wrecks
Vermilion has undertaken an assessment of underwater cultural heritage and historical wrecks:

Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan	There are no cultural heritage artefacts identified within the Operational Area or EMBA. There are no historical wrecks within the Operational Area or within a 100 km buffer of the EMBA. There are no registered Aboriginal cultural heritage sites within the Operational Area, 52 registered sites are present within the EMBA.
Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan	There are no cultural heritage artefacts identified within the Operational Area. The Australasian Underwater Cultural Heritage Database identified one historic underwater cultural heritage artefact within the EMBA. There are no historical wrecks within the Operational Area. However, there are 31 historical wrecks within a 100 km buffer of the EMBA. HMAS *Sydney II* and HSK *Kormoran* Shipwreck Sites are located within the EMBA. There are no registered Aboriginal cultural heritage sites within the Operational Area, 283 registered sites are present within the EMBA.

Vermilion also advises that it has contacted the West Australian Museum and the Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) as part of our consultation.

Feedback on proposed activities: We are consulting with relevant persons who may be affected by these activities. Consultation information sheets are attached and available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Seeking Your Input: If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.** Feedback can also be provided after the consultation period closes, throughout the life of an Environment Plan, as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia
E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com
Level 5 | 30 The Esplanade | Perth WA 6000

4.8 Email sent to Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) on 13 January 2025

Dear DPIRD
This email follows Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion)'s previous correspondence (included below).

We are writing to follow up on any feedback you may have regarding the proposed activities outlined in the consultation information sent to you on 2 December 2024.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth of 50-60 metres.

Survey Activities: Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling Activities: Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up

to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on proposed activities: We are consulting with relevant persons who may be affected by these activities. Consultation information sheets are attached and available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Information for the commercial fishing sector

- Fisheries have been identified as relevant to the proposed activity based on fishing licence overlap and assessment of government fishing effort data (including Fishcube and AFMA) from recent years. A summary of the relevant commonwealth and state managed fisheries are included in the commercial fishing information sheet attached.
- We have identified potential impacts to active commercial fishers and the environment, which are also summarised in the commercial fishing information sheet attached. We have endeavoured to reduce these risks to an as low as reasonably practicable level.
- Information about exclusionary zones and communication with fishers and mariners are included in the attached documents.

Seeking Your Input: If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.** Feedback can also be provided after the consultation period closes, throughout the life of an Environment Plan, as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia
E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com
Level 5 | 30 The Esplanade | Perth WA 6000

4.9 Email sent to Western Australian Museum on 13 January 2025

Dear Western Australian Museum

This email follows Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion)'s previous correspondence (included below).

We are writing to follow up on any feedback you may have regarding the proposed activities outlined in the consultation information sent to you on 3 December 2024.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-

L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth of 50-60 metres.

Survey Activities: Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling Activities: Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Underwater cultural heritage – historical shipwrecks

Vermilion has undertaken an assessment of historical shipwrecks:

Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan	There are no historical wrecks within the Operational Area or within a 100 km buffer of the EMBA.
Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan	There are no historical wrecks within the Operational Area. However, there are 31 historical wrecks within a 100 km buffer of the EMBA. HMAS *Sydney II* and HSK *Kormoran* Shipwreck Sites are located within the EMBA.

Vermilion also advises that it has contacted the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH) as part of our consultation.

Feedback on proposed activities: We are consulting with relevant persons who may be affected by these activities. Consultation information sheets are attached and available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Seeking Your Input: If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.** Feedback can also be provided after the consultation period closes, throughout the life of an Environment Plan, as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

Level 5 | 30 The Esplanade | Perth WA 6000

4.10 Email sent to WA Game Fishing Association (WAGFA), Marine Tourism WA, Ashburton Anglers, Exmouth Game Fishing Club (EGFC), King Bay Game Fishing Club (KBFC), Nickol Bay Sportsfishing Club (NBSC) on 13 January 2025

Dear Stakeholder

This email follows Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion)'s previous correspondence (included below).

We are writing to follow up on any feedback you may have regarding the proposed activities outlined in the consultation information sent to you on 2 December 2024.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth of 50-60 metres.

Survey Activities: Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling Activities: Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on proposed activities: We are consulting with relevant persons who may be affected by these activities. Consultation information sheets are attached and available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Seeking Your Input: If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.** Feedback can also be provided after the consultation period closes, throughout the life of an Environment Plan, as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia
E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com
Level 5 | 30 The Esplanade | Perth WA 6000

4.11 Email sent to Beagle No. 1 Pty Ltd / Longreach Capital Investment, Chevron Australia, INPEX Browse E&P Pty Ltd, Jadestone Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd, Kato Energy (WA) Pty Ltd, Kufpec (Perth) Pty Ltd, MEO International Pty Ltd, Mobil Australia Resources Company Pty Limited, Santos Offshore Pty Ltd, Shell Australia Pty Ltd, Western Gas (474 P) Pty Ltd, Woodside Energy (Australia) Pty Ltd on 13 January 2025

Dear Titleholder

This email follows Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion)'s previous correspondence (included below).

We are writing to follow up on any feedback you may have regarding the proposed activities outlined in the consultation information sent to you on 3 December 2024.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth of 50-60 metres.

Survey Activities: Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling Activities: Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on proposed activities: We are consulting with relevant persons who may be affected by these activities. Consultation information sheets are attached and available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Seeking Your Input: If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.** Feedback can also be provided after the consultation period closes, throughout the life of an Environment Plan, as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia
E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com
Level 5 | 30 The Esplanade | Perth WA 6000

4.12 Email sent to Vocus on 13 January 2025

Dear Vocus

This email follows Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion)'s previous correspondence (included below).

We are writing to follow up on any feedback you may have regarding the proposed activities outlined in the consultation information sent to you on 3 December 2024.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth of 50-60 metres.

Survey Activities: Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling Activities: Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on proposed activities: We are consulting with relevant persons who may be affected by these activities. Consultation information sheets are attached and available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Seeking Your Input: If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.** Feedback can also be provided after the consultation period closes, throughout the life of an Environment Plan, as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia
E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com
Level 5 | 30 The Esplanade | Perth WA 6000

4.13 Email sent to Town of Port Hedland, Shire of Ashburton, Shire of Exmouth, Port Hedland Chamber of Commerce and Industry, Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA), Karratha and Districts Chamber of Commerce and Industry (KDCCI), Regional Development Australia (Pilbara) Karratha WA, Onslow Chamber of Commerce and Industry on 13 January 2025

Dear Stakeholder

This email follows Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion)'s previous correspondence (included below).

We are writing to follow up on any feedback you may have regarding the proposed activities outlined in the consultation information sent to you on 3 December 2024.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth of 50-60 metres.

Survey Activities: Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling Activities: Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on proposed activities: We are consulting with relevant persons who may be affected by these activities. Consultation information sheets are attached and available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Seeking Your Input: If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback

at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.** Feedback can also be provided after the consultation period closes, throughout the life of an Environment Plan, as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

Level 5 | 30 The Esplanade | Perth WA 6000

4.14 Email sent to Curtin University (Centre for Marine Science and Technology), University of Western Australia (UWA), Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Reserch Organsiation (CSIRO), Western Australian Marine Science Institution (WAMSI), Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS), Australian Marine Sciences Association (WA Branch) on 13 January 2025

Dear Research Institute

This email follows Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion)'s previous correspondence (included below).

We are writing to follow up on any feedback you may have regarding the proposed activities outlined in the consultation information sent to you on 2 December 2024.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth of 50-60 metres.

Survey Activities: Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling Activities: Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on proposed activities: We are consulting with relevant persons who may be affected by these activities. Consultation information sheets are attached and available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Vermilion is also seeking your advice regarding any research activities that your institution/organisation is undertaking that may overlap with our proposed activities.

Seeking Your Input: If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.** Feedback can also be provided after the consultation period closes, throughout the life of an Environment Plan, as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia
E: abuconsultation@vermilionenergy.com

Level 5 | 30 The Esplanade | Perth WA 6000

4.15 Email sent to Australian Energy Producers (AEP) on 13 January 2025

Dear Australian Energy Producers

This email follows Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion)'s previous correspondence (included below).

We are writing to follow up on any feedback you may have regarding the proposed activities outlined in the consultation information sent to you on 2 December 2024.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth of 50-60 metres.

Survey Activities: Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling Activities: Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up

to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on proposed activities: We are consulting with relevant persons who may be affected by these activities. Consultation information sheets are attached and available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Seeking Your Input: If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.** Feedback can also be provided after the consultation period closes, throughout the life of an Environment Plan, as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia
E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com
Level 5 | 30 The Esplanade | Perth WA 6000

4.16 Letter sent to Pilbara Kimberley Recreational Marine Users and Gascoyne Recreational Marine Users on 14 January 2025

VERMILION
OIL & GAS
AUSTRALIA PTY LTD

LEVEL 5
30 THE ESPLANADE
PERTH
WESTERN AUSTRALIA 6000

TEL: 08 9217 5858
ABN: 29 113 023 591

13 January 2025

Dear Stakeholder

Consultation on Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan & Exploration Drilling Environment Plan

This letter follows Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion)'s previous correspondence.

We are writing to follow up on any feedback you may have regarding the proposed activities outlined in the consultation information sent to you on 9 December 2024.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth of 50-60 metres.

Survey Activities: Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling Activities: Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on Proposed Activities: We are consulting with relevant persons who may be affected by these activities. Consultation information sheets are attached and available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Seeking Your Input: If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858 by **17 January 2025.** Feedback can also be provided after the consultation period closes, throughout the life of an Environment Plan, as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia

4.17 Email sent to Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) – marine pollution on 13 March 2025

Dear [redacted]

This email follows Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion)'s previous correspondence (included below).

We are writing to follow up on any feedback you may have regarding the proposed activities outlined in the consultation information sent to you on 2 December 2024.

Vermilion is planning to submit two Environment Plans for offshore survey and exploration drilling activities to support continued production from the Wandoo Field in production licence area WA-14-L. The proposed activities will occur in Commonwealth waters within the Carnarvon Basin, operating at a water depth of 50-60 metres.

Survey Activities: Vermilion is preparing for near-field exploration drilling to identify and confirm viable hydrocarbons to support further development of the Wandoo Field. A geotechnical and geophysical survey is required to evaluate the environment at the proposed drilling locations and confirm suitability for a mobile offshore drilling unit, as per the Wandoo Field Geophysical and Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan.

Drilling Activities: Vermilion has performed seven drilling campaigns over the life of the field and plans to drill one near-field exploration well in late 2025. Pending the results, Vermilion may drill up to four subsequent near-field exploration wells over the next five years within WA-14-L, as per the Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan.

Feedback on proposed activities: We are consulting with relevant persons who may be affected by these activities. Consultation information sheets are attached and available on our website at www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.

Seeking Your Input: If you have feedback specific to the proposed activities described under the proposed Environment Plans, we would welcome your feedback at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858.

Vermilion will be closing feedback for these two EPs soon:

1. Wandoo Field Geophysical Geotechnical Survey Environment Plan on 19 March 2025
2. Wandoo Field Exploration Drilling Environment Plan on 25 April 2025.

Feedback can also be provided after the consultation period closes, throughout the life of an Environment Plan, as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our Environment Plans which will be submitted to the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority (NOPSEMA) for acceptance in accordance with the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023* (Cth).

Please let us know if your feedback for this activity is sensitive and we will make this known to NOPSEMA upon submission of the Environment Plan in order for this information to remain confidential to NOPSEMA.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

Level 5 | 30 The Esplanade | Perth WA 6000

5. Notification of intent to submit – July 2025

5.1 Email sent to Buurabalayji Thalanyji Aboriginal Corporation (BTAC) on 30 June 2025

Dear [redacted] and [redacted]

Re: Last opportunity to provide feedback - Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plan submissions

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

As part of our ongoing engagement with BTAC, we've previously shared consultation information regarding the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plans via email on 14 October and 4 December, including an EP consultation and summary information sheets.

We received a response via your legal representative on 5 December to confirm that BTAC understands that Vermilion is planning to submit these two EPs in January 2025 and that based on the mapping provided the environment that may be affected includes Thalanyji country.

Further, BTAC considers that it is a relevant organisation to be consulted in respect of these EPs. Consultation is usually with yourselves in the first instance and BTAC may also request consultation through its board of directors or broader group of common law holders.

We've continued our engagement through your legal representative, who was going to advise on the next likely date for a meeting with BTAC representatives. We also provided more detailed maps of the proposed activities' planning area. Following our follow-ups on 31 March and 13 May 2025, we haven't received a response regarding a meeting.

We are writing again to inform you that Vermilion intends to submit the **Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plans** (EPs) to the **National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA)** in the coming weeks.

The **formal consultation period regarding these EPs concluded on 17 January 2025,** and we are preparing to finalise the EPs for submission.

Proposed Activities

- **Survey Activity:** A small-scale, short-duration seabed survey to investigate seabed and sub-seabed conditions within WA-14-L. This is a **low impact activity** expected to take about 15 days per survey vessel. This survey is conducted by a vessel and equipment to assess where a drilling rig could be safely and effectively positioned for potential near-field exploration drilling (subject to regulatory approval).
- **Exploration Drilling Activity:** Following the survey, Vermilion plans to drill one near-field exploration well in 2026-2027 within WA-14-L to confirm the presence of viable hydrocarbons, with potential for up to 6 additional exploration wells over the next five years. This is also a **low impact activity** that includes drilling for investigational purposes and not for production.
- **Future Potential:** If the results are positive, any future well development activities to tie back newly drilled wells to the Wandoo facility would be subject to separate future regulatory approvals, which Vermilion would consult with BTAC on separately.

Last Opportunity for Feedback

As part of the formal consultation process under the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023 (Cth), this is your **last opportunity to provide feedback** on these two proposed activities before the EPs are submitted for NOPSEMA assessment. We invite you to:

- Review the information previously provided (attached or access it again here: www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities).
- Share any final comments, concerns, or requests for additional information regarding the potential impacts of the activity on BTAC's functions, interests, or activities.
- Please send any feedback by **11 July 2025** at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858.
- Feedback received by this date will be assessed and taken into account in finalising the EP, in accordance with the Environment Regulations.
- If you are unable to respond by this date but wish to provide input, please reply to this email and let us know.
- Feedback received after 11 July 2025 will not be included in the submission to NOPSEMA but will still be reviewed, considered, and addressed where appropriate as part of ongoing consultation.

Upcoming Consultation

Vermilion will soon commence consultation on the 5-year revision of the current EPs for the Wandoo facility operations and routine Wandoo development well construction activities.

Vermilion has operated the Wandoo field since 2005 and these activities are current and ongoing. These revised EPs will cover the continued operation of the Wandoo production facility for the next five years as well as potential routine well construction using an offshore drilling rig.

The upcoming EP revisions do not represent new projects, but are part of a standard five-year review required for **long-term activities**.

The revised EPs will review the environmental impacts and risks associated with ongoing facility operations and well construction activities over the next five years, and outline how these will continue to be avoided, mitigated, or managed.

Even where no significant changes have occurred, the process provides an opportunity to reassess environmental risks and management measures and invite relevant person feedback and input.

The nature and scale of these activities differ from the shorter-term vessel survey and exploration drilling activities previously consulted on. These are **longer term activities** due to the permanent presence and duration of infrastructure.

We will notify BTAC once consultation materials for these revised EPs are available and welcome your input as part of the review process.

Ongoing Consultation and Engagement

Although the formal consultation period for the survey and exploration drilling EPs closed in January 2025, we are committed to maintaining open and ongoing engagement with you as we move forward with the future plans and consultations.

Building meaningful and respectful dialogue is a key priority for Vermilion in the process, and we welcome continued discussions about both the proposed activities and the broader operations at Wandoo.

Ongoing input can be provided to us at any stage. We particularly invite ongoing input on:

- The current environment that may be affected by the proposed activities at Wandoo.
- Any changes or updates to your interests, functions or activities that may be relevant.
- Opportunities to strengthen communication and engagement in future.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our EPs in accordance with the Environment Regulations. If any part of your feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, please advise us when responding so that we can request it be treated as confidential by NOPSEMA upon submission.

We value your input and thank you for your time and continued engagement.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

5.2 Email sent to Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation (KAC) on 2 July 2025

Dear [redacted]

Re: Last opportunity to provide feedback - Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plan submissions

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

We are writing again to inform you that Vermilion intends to submit the **Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plans** (EPs) to the **National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA)** in the coming weeks.

The **formal consultation period regarding these EPs concluded on 17 January 2025,** and we are preparing to finalise the EPs for submission.

Proposed Activities

- **Survey Activity:** A small-scale, short-duration seabed survey to investigate seabed and sub-seabed conditions within WA-14-L. This is a **low impact activity** expected to take about 15 days per survey vessel. This survey is conducted by a vessel and equipment to assess where a drilling rig could be safely and effectively positioned for potential near-field exploration drilling (subject to regulatory approval).
- **Exploration Drilling Activity:** Following the survey, Vermilion plans to drill one near-field exploration well in 2026-2027 within WA-14-L to confirm the presence of viable hydrocarbons, with potential for up to 6 additional exploration wells over the next five years. This is also a **low impact activity** that includes drilling for investigational purposes and not for production.
- **Future Potential:** If the results are positive, any future well development activities to tie back newly drilled wells to the Wandoo facility would be subject to separate future regulatory approvals, which Vermilion would consult with KAC on separately.

Vermilion and Kariyarra Consultation Summary

As part of our ongoing engagement with Kariyarra Aboriginal Corporation (KAC), we've previously shared consultation information regarding the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plans via email on 9 October and 3 December 2024, including an EP consultation and summary information sheets.

We initially met with you on 17 March 2025 to deliver a consultation presentation on these activities and the broader operations to determine the relevance of consultation for KAC.

On 5 May 2025, the KAC RNTBC Board reviewed materials from Vermilion. During the meeting, the Board resolved to be the designated representatives for all future consultations with offshore oil and gas companies.

Following this decision, Vermilion was invited to attend a Board meeting on 13 June 2025 in person in South Hedland and presented consultation information on these EPs and the broader operations and answered questions and requests for information.

From our discussions to date, we have noted that KAC's areas of interest are especially on understanding spill prevention strategies, intervention measures and response processes as well as the scale of involvement needed to protect Country in the event of a spill.

KAC has also highlighted that it wants to build community knowledge around spill prevention, intervention measures, and response processes related to offshore oil operations, which we aim to develop materials to assist with as part of our ongoing engagement.

We welcome any final comments, concerns or requests for additional information from KAC regarding the potential impacts of these proposed activities on KAC's functions, interests, or activities.

Last Opportunity for Feedback

As part of the formal consultation process under the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023 (Cth), this is your **last opportunity to provide feedback** on these two proposed activities before the EPs are submitted for NOPSEMA assessment. We invite you to:

- Review the information previously provided (attached or access it again here: www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities).
- Share any final comments, concerns, or requests for additional information regarding the potential impacts of the activity on KAC's functions, interests, or activities.
- Please send any feedback by **11 July 2025** at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858.
- Feedback received by this date will be assessed and taken into account in finalising the EP, in accordance with the Environment Regulations.
- If you are unable to respond by this date but wish to provide input, please reply to this email and let us know.
- Feedback received after 11 July 2025 will not be included in the submission to NOPSEMA but will still be reviewed, considered, and addressed where appropriate as part of ongoing consultation.

Upcoming Consultation

Vermilion will soon commence consultation on the 5-year revision of the current EPs for the Wandoo facility operations and routine Wandoo development well construction activities.

Vermilion has operated the Wandoo field since 2005 and these activities are current and ongoing. These revised EPs will cover the continued operation of the Wandoo production facility for the next five years as well as potential routine well construction using an offshore drilling rig.

The upcoming EP revisions do not represent new projects, but are part of a standard five-year review required for **long-term activities**.

The revised EPs will review the environmental impacts and risks associated with ongoing facility operations and well construction activities over the next five years, and outline how these will continue to be avoided, mitigated, or managed.

Even where no significant changes have occurred, the process provides an opportunity to reassess environmental risks and management measures and invite relevant person feedback and input.

The nature and scale of these activities differ from the shorter-term vessel survey and exploration drilling activities previously consulted on. These are **longer term activities** due to the permanent presence and duration of infrastructure.

We will notify KAC once consultation materials for these revised EPs are available and welcome your input as part of the review process.

Ongoing Consultation and Engagement

Although the formal consultation period for the survey and exploration drilling EPs closed in January 2025, we are committed to maintaining open and ongoing engagement with you as we move forward with the future plans and consultations.

Building meaningful and respectful dialogue is a key priority for Vermilion in the process, and we welcome continued discussions about both the proposed activities and the broader operations at Wandoo.

Ongoing input can be provided to us at any stage. We particularly invite ongoing input on:

- The current environment that may be affected by the proposed activities at Wandoo.
- Any changes or updates to your interests, functions or activities that may be relevant.
- Opportunities to strengthen communication and engagement in future.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our EPs in accordance with the Environment Regulations. If any part of your feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, please advise us when responding so that we can request it be treated as confidential by NOPSEMA upon submission.

We value your input and thank you for your time and continued engagement.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

5.3 Email sent to Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation (WAC) on 3 July 2025

Dear [redacted]

Re: Last opportunity to provide feedback - Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plan submissions

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

We are writing again to inform you that Vermilion intends to submit the **Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plans** (EPs) to the **National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA)** in the coming weeks.

The **formal consultation period regarding these EPs concluded on 17 January 2025** and we are preparing to finalise the EPs for submission.

Proposed Activities

- **Survey Activity:** A small-scale, short-duration seabed survey to investigate seabed and sub-seabed conditions within WA-14-L. This is a **low impact activity** expected to take about 15 days per survey vessel. This survey is conducted by a vessel and equipment to assess where a drilling rig could be safely and effectively positioned for potential near-field exploration drilling (subject to regulatory approval).
- **Exploration Drilling Activity:** Following the survey, Vermilion plans to drill one near-field exploration well in 2026-2027 within WA-14-L to confirm the presence of viable hydrocarbons, with potential for up to 6 additional exploration wells over the next five years. This is also a **low impact activity** that includes drilling for investigational purposes and not for production.
- **Future Potential:** If the results are positive, any future well development activities to tie back newly drilled wells to the Wandoo facility would be subject to separate future regulatory approvals, which Vermilion would consult with you on separately.

Vermilion and Wanparta Consultation Summary

As part of our ongoing engagement with Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation (**WAC**), we've previously shared consultation information regarding the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plans via email on 9 October and 3 December 2024, including an EP consultation and summary information sheets.

Between October 2024 and May 2025 we remained in contact to arrange an in person meeting with Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation.

On 6 May 2025, a half-day in-person meeting was held in Perth between Wanparta Aboriginal Corporation Board of Directors and Ngarla Elders and Vermilion. At this meeting, Vermilion presented on its broader operations and the activities proposed under these EPs and provided consultation materials, including a summary information sheet for the EP. Vermilion and Wanparta had two way discussions and Vermilion responded to questions and feedback.

From our discussions to date, we have noted that WAC's areas of interest are especially in spill prevention strategies, intervention measures and response processes. WAC was also interested to know more about emergency preparedness measures, management procedures, pipeline integrity and activity timing in respect to migration times for whales and turtles. This interest is inter-related to areas of cultural importance to WAC and protection of Wanparta Country.

- WAC highlighted the cultural significance of seasonal fishing and offshore islands that could once be accessed by foot. WAC shared that for Ngarla, there is a songline that connects to the offshore island within the native title area.
- WAC has noted that a recent cyclone wiped out the bird species on one of the islands and is interested in possible impacts to these areas if an event like an oil spill occurs.

Vermilion responded to the inquiries at the in person meeting and also followed up with further information via email on 23 May 2025. VOGA has noted this information in the EP and requested that WAC provide details of who to contact in case of an oil spill including their contact details for inclusion in the EP.

As part of our ongoing engagement, WAC shared information about its animal totems— Octopus, Stingray, Fish and Birds —that hold great cultural significance. VOGA provided WAC marine

monitoring results from its studies with UWA. VOGA and WAC will also continue discussions about its ranger program and providing training or information about VOGA's type of crude oil for capacity building.

It was suggested we meet again in six months, with late October or early November 2025 as the expected timeframe.

We welcome any final comments, concerns or requests for additional information from WAC regarding the potential impacts of these proposed activities on WAC's functions, interests, or activities.

Last Opportunity for Feedback

As part of the formal consultation process under the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023 (Cth), this is your **last opportunity to provide feedback** on these two proposed activities before the EPs are submitted for NOPSEMA assessment. We invite you to:

- Review the information previously provided (or access it again here: www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities).
- Share any final comments, concerns, or requests for additional information regarding the potential impacts of the activity on WAC's functions, interests, or activities.
- Please send any feedback by **11 July 2025** at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858.
- Feedback received by this date will be assessed and taken into account in finalising the EP, in accordance with the Environment Regulations.
- If you are unable to respond by this date but wish to provide input, please reply to this email and let us know.
- Feedback received after 11 July 2025 will not be included in the submission to NOPSEMA but will still be reviewed, considered, and addressed where appropriate as part of ongoing consultation.

Upcoming Consultation

Vermilion will soon commence consultation on the 5-year revision of the current EPs for the Wandoo facility operations and routine Wandoo development well construction activities.

Vermilion has operated the Wandoo field since 2005 and these activities are current and ongoing. These revised EPs will cover the continued operation of the Wandoo production facility for the next five years as well as potential routine well construction using an offshore drilling rig.

The upcoming EP revisions do not represent new projects, but are part of a standard five-year review required for **long-term activities**.

The revised EPs will review the environmental impacts and risks associated with ongoing facility operations and well construction activities over the next five years, and outline how these will continue to be avoided, mitigated, or managed.

Even where no significant changes have occurred, the process provides an opportunity to reassess environmental risks and management measures and invite relevant person feedback and input.

The nature and scale of these activities differ from the shorter-term vessel survey and exploration drilling activities previously consulted on. These are **longer term activities** due to the permanent presence and duration of infrastructure.

We will notify WAC once consultation materials for these revised EPs are available and welcome your input as part of the review process.

Ongoing Consultation and Engagement

Although the formal consultation period for the survey and exploration drilling EPs closed in January 2025, we are committed to maintaining open and ongoing engagement with you as we move forward with the future plans and consultations.

Building meaningful and respectful dialogue is a key priority for Vermilion in the process, and we welcome continued discussions about both the proposed activities and the broader operations at Wandoo.

Ongoing input can be provided to us at any stage. We particularly invite ongoing input on:

- The current environment that may be affected by the proposed activities at Wandoo.
- Any changes or updates to your interests, functions or activities that may be relevant.
- Opportunities to strengthen communication and engagement in future.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our EPs in accordance with the Environment Regulations. If any part of your feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, please advise us when responding so that we can request it be treated as confidential by NOPSEMA upon submission.

We value your input and thank you for your time and continued engagement.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

5.4 Email sent to Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation (WAC) on 3 July 2025

Dear Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation

Re: Last opportunity to provide feedback - Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plan submissions

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

We are writing again to inform you that Vermilion intends to submit the **Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plans** (EPs) to the **National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA)** in the coming weeks.

The **formal consultation period regarding these EPs concluded on 17 January 2025** and we are preparing to finalise the EPs for submission.

Proposed Activities

- **Survey Activity:** A small-scale, short-duration seabed survey to investigate seabed and sub-seabed conditions within WA-14-L. This is a **low impact activity** expected to take about 15 days per survey vessel. This survey is conducted by a vessel and equipment to assess where a drilling rig could be safely and effectively positioned for potential near-field exploration drilling (subject to regulatory approval).
- **Exploration Drilling Activity:** Following the survey, Vermilion plans to drill one near-field exploration well in 2026-2027 within WA-14-L to confirm the presence of viable hydrocarbons, with potential for up to 6 additional exploration wells over the next five years. This is also a **low impact activity** that includes drilling for investigational purposes and not for production.
- **Future Potential:** If the results are positive, any future well development activities to tie back newly drilled wells to the Wandoo facility would be subject to separate future regulatory approvals, which Vermilion would consult with WAC on separately.

Vermilion and Wirrawandi Consultation Summary

- As part of our ongoing engagement with Wirrawandi Aboriginal Corporation RNTBC (**WAC**), we contacted you on 9 October 2024 and received a response from your legal representative advising that WAC is likely to consider itself a 'relevant person/organisation' for the purpose of consultation. Further, there may be an opportunity for Vermilion to present at the Board meeting in November.
- On 3 December 2024, at the commencement of the formal consultation periods for these EPs, Vermilion shared consultation information regarding the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plans via email, including EP consultation and summary information sheets.
- We received a response via your legal representative on 6 December 2024 with a draft framework for consultation with WAC and an update on the December Board meeting, signaling that WAC might have capacity for Vermilion to attend and present at the meeting, otherwise the next opportunity would be at the end of January 2025.

- We followed up with you on 9 & 16 December 2024 regarding the Board meeting but received no response.
- On 16 January 2025 we responded with proposed changes to the draft framework for consultation and followed up on the Board meeting. Your legal representative responded advising the changes would likely be acceptable to WAC and that they would advise on the Board meeting.
- We followed up with you on 31 March and 9 May 2025 regarding the Board meeting but received no response.

Last Opportunity for Feedback

As part of the formal consultation process under the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023 (Cth), this is your **last opportunity to provide feedback** on these two proposed activities before the EPs are submitted for NOPSEMA assessment. We invite you to:

- Review the information previously provided (attached or access it again here: www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities).
- Share any final comments, concerns, or requests for additional information regarding the potential impacts of the activity on WAC's functions, interests, or activities.
- Please send any feedback by **11 July 2025** at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858.
- Feedback received by this date will be assessed and taken into account in finalising the EP, in accordance with the Environment Regulations.
- If you are unable to respond by this date but wish to provide input, please reply to this email and let us know.
- Feedback received after 11 July 2025 will not be included in the submission to NOPSEMA but will still be reviewed, considered, and addressed where appropriate as part of ongoing consultation.

Upcoming Consultation

Vermilion will soon commence consultation on the 5-year revision of the current EPs for the Wandoo facility operations and routine Wandoo development well construction activities.

Vermilion has operated the Wandoo field since 2005 and these activities are current and ongoing. These revised EPs will cover the continued operation of the Wandoo production facility for the next five years as well as potential routine well construction using an offshore drilling rig.

The upcoming EP revisions do not represent new projects, but are part of a standard five-year review required for **long-term activities**.

The revised EPs will review the environmental impacts and risks associated with ongoing facility operations and well construction activities over the next five years, and outline how these will continue to be avoided, mitigated, or managed.

Even where no significant changes have occurred, the process provides an opportunity to reassess environmental risks and management measures and invite relevant person feedback and input.

The nature and scale of these activities differ from the shorter-term vessel survey and exploration drilling activities previously consulted on. These are **longer term activities** due to the permanent presence and duration of infrastructure.

We will notify WAC once consultation materials for these revised EPs are available and welcome your input as part of the review process.

Ongoing Consultation and Engagement

Although the formal consultation period for the survey and exploration drilling EPs closed in January 2025, we are committed to maintaining open dialogue and welcome any interest WAC may have in engaging as we move forward with future plans and consultations.

Building meaningful and respectful dialogue is a key priority for Vermilion in the process, and we welcome continued discussions about both the proposed activities and the broader operations at Wandoo.

Ongoing input can be provided to us at any stage. We particularly invite ongoing input on:

- The current environment that may be affected by the proposed activities at Wandoo.
- Any changes or updates to your interests, functions or activities that may be relevant.
- Opportunities to strengthen communication and engagement in future.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our EPs in accordance with the Environment Regulations. If any part of your feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, please advise us when responding so that we can request it be treated as confidential by NOPSEMA upon submission.

We value your input and thank you for your time and continued engagement.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

5.5 Email sent to Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation (NTGAC) on 4 July 2025

Dear Nganhurra Thanardi Garrbu Aboriginal Corporation (**NTGAC**)

Re: Last opportunity to provide feedback - Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plan submissions

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (**Vermilion**), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Thank you for providing the draft consultation protocol. We are currently undertaking a detailed review and will share our comments with you soon to progress the document.

We are writing again to inform you that Vermilion intends to submit the **Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plans** (EPs) to the **National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA)** in the coming weeks.

The **formal consultation period regarding these EPs concluded on 17 January 2025,** and we are preparing to finalise the EPs for submission.

Proposed Activities

- **Survey Activity:** A small-scale, short-duration seabed survey to investigate seabed and sub-seabed conditions within WA-14-L. This is a **low impact activity** expected to take about 15 days per survey vessel. This survey is conducted by a vessel and equipment to assess where a drilling rig could be safely and effectively positioned for potential near-field exploration drilling (subject to regulatory approval).
- **Exploration Drilling Activity:** Following the survey, Vermilion plans to drill one near-field exploration well in 2026-2027 within WA-14-L to confirm the presence of viable hydrocarbons, with potential for up to 6 additional exploration wells over the next five years. This is also a **low impact activity** that includes drilling for investigational purposes and not for production.
- **Future Potential:** If the results are positive, any future well development activities to tie back newly drilled wells to the Wandoo facility would be subject to separate future regulatory approvals, which Vermilion would consult with NTGAC on separately.

Vermilion and NTGAC Consultation Summary

As part of our ongoing engagement with NTGAC, we've previously shared consultation information regarding the Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plans via email on 9 October and 3 December 2024, including an EP consultation and summary information sheets.

Between 28 October 2024 and 17 February 2025 we were in contact via Yamatji Marlpa Aboriginal Corporation to arrange a meeting with the NTGAC Board.

We held an initial meeting with your Board on 17 February 2025 to deliver a consultation presentation on these proposed activities and the broader operations to determine the relevance of consultation for NTGAC. NTGAC advised it would confirm if consultation was necessary after the meeting and that the preferred consultation approach was to initiate a consultation agreement.

We welcome any final comments, concerns or requests for additional information from NTGAC regarding the potential impacts of these proposed activities on NTGAC's functions, interests, or activities.

On 7 March 2025, you contacted us via Yamatji Marlpa Aboriginal Corporation to advise that the Board had resolved it would like to progress a consultancy agreement with Vermilion and that it would be shared soon.

Between 7 March 2025 and 23 June 2025, we have been in correspondence with you via Yamatji Marlpa Aboriginal Corporation to progress the consultation agreement and will continue to develop this as part of our ongoing engagement.

Last Opportunity for Feedback

As part of the formal consultation process under the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023 (Cth), this is your **last opportunity to provide feedback** on these two proposed activities before the EPs are submitted for NOPSEMA assessment. We invite you to:

- Review the information previously provided (or access it again here: www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities).

- Share any final comments, concerns, or requests for additional information regarding the potential impacts of the activity on NTGAC's functions, interests, or activities.
- Please send any feedback by **11 July 2025** at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858.
- Feedback received by this date will be assessed and taken into account in finalising the EP, in accordance with the Environment Regulations.
- If you are unable to respond by this date but wish to provide input, please reply to this email and let us know.
- Feedback received after 11 July 2025 will not be included in the submission to NOPSEMA but will still be reviewed, considered, and addressed where appropriate as part of ongoing consultation.

Upcoming Consultation

Vermilion will soon commence consultation on the 5-year revision of the current EPs for the Wandoo facility operations and routine Wandoo development well construction activities.

Vermilion has operated the Wandoo field since 2005 and these activities are current and ongoing. These revised EPs will cover the continued operation of the Wandoo production facility for the next five years as well as potential routine well construction using an offshore drilling rig.

The upcoming EP revisions do not represent new projects, but are part of a standard five-year review required for **long-term activities**.

The revised EPs will review the environmental impacts and risks associated with ongoing facility operations and well construction activities over the next five years, and outline how these will continue to be avoided, mitigated, or managed.

Even where no significant changes have occurred, the process provides an opportunity to reassess environmental risks and management measures and invite relevant person feedback and input.

The nature and scale of these activities differ from the shorter-term vessel survey and exploration drilling activities previously consulted on. These are **longer term activities** due to the permanent presence and duration of infrastructure.

We will notify NTGAC once consultation materials for these revised EPs are available and welcome your input as part of the review process.

Ongoing Consultation and Engagement

Although the formal consultation period for the survey and exploration drilling EPs closed in January 2025, we are committed to maintaining open and ongoing engagement with you as we move forward with the future plans and consultations.

Building meaningful and respectful dialogue is a key priority for Vermilion in the process, and we welcome continued discussions about both the proposed activities and the broader operations at Wandoo.

Ongoing input can be provided to us at any stage. We particularly invite ongoing input on:

- The current environment that may be affected by the proposed activities at Wandoo.
- Any changes or updates to your interests, functions or activities that may be relevant.
- Opportunities to strengthen communication and engagement in future.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our EPs in accordance with the Environment Regulations. If any part of your feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, please advise us when responding so that we can request it be treated as confidential by NOPSEMA upon submission.

We value your input and thank you for your time and continued engagement.

Yours sincerely

5.6 Email sent to Yamatji Marlpa Aboriginal Corporation (YMAC) on 4 July 2025

Dear [redacted] and [redacted]

Re: Last opportunity to provide feedback - Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plan submissions

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

As you may recall, we previously wrote to YMAC on 15 March 2025 to seek confirmation regarding the necessity of consultation with YMAC for Vermilion's proposed upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field (please see the below correspondence).

We would appreciate your confirmation or guidance on this matter before 11 July 2025, being confirmation that YMAC does or does not need to be consulted.

We are writing again to inform you that Vermilion intends to submit the **Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plans** (EPs) to the **National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA)** in the coming weeks.

The **formal consultation period regarding these EPs concluded on 17 January 2025,** and we are preparing to finalise the EPs for submission.

Proposed Activities

- **Survey Activity:** A small-scale, short-duration seabed survey to investigate seabed and sub-seabed conditions within WA-14-L. This is a **low impact activity** expected to take about 15 days per survey vessel. This survey is conducted by a vessel and equipment to assess where a drilling rig could be safely and effectively positioned for potential near-field exploration drilling (subject to regulatory approval).
- **Exploration Drilling Activity:** Following the survey, Vermilion plans to drill one near-field exploration well in 2026-2027 within WA-14-L to confirm the presence of viable hydrocarbons, with potential for up to 6 additional exploration wells over the next five years. This is also a **low impact activity** that includes drilling for investigational purposes and not for production.
- **Future Potential:** If the results are positive, any future well development activities to tie back newly drilled wells to the Wandoo facility would be subject to separate future regulatory approvals, which Vermilion would consult with relevant persons on separately.

Last Opportunity for Feedback

As part of the formal consultation process under the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023 (Cth), this is your **last opportunity to provide feedback** on these two proposed activities before the EPs are submitted for NOPSEMA assessment.

- Information about the proposed activities can be found here: www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.
- Please send any feedback by **11 July 2025** at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858.
- Feedback received by this date will be assessed and taken into account in finalising the EP, in accordance with the Environment Regulations.
- If you are unable to respond by this date but wish to provide input, please reply to this email and let us know.
- Feedback received after 11 July 2025 will not be included in the submission to NOPSEMA but will still be reviewed, considered, and addressed where appropriate as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our EPs in accordance with the Environment Regulations. If any part of your feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, please advise us when responding so that we can request it be treated as confidential by NOPSEMA upon submission.

We value your input and thank you for your time and continued engagement.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

5.7 Email sent to Kimberley Land Council (KLC) on 4 July 2025

Dear [redacted]

Re: Last opportunity to provide feedback - Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plan submissions

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (Vermilion), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

As you may recall, we previously wrote to the Kimberley Land Council (KLC) on 15 March 2025 to seek confirmation regarding the necessity of consultation with the KLC for Vermilion's proposed upcoming activities in the Wandoo Field (please see the below correspondence).

We would appreciate your confirmation or guidance on this matter before 11 July 2025, being confirmation that the KLC does or does not need to be consulted.

We are writing again to inform you that Vermilion intends to submit the **Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plans** (EPs) to the **National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA)** in the coming weeks.

The **formal consultation period regarding these EPs concluded on 17 January 2025,** and we are preparing to finalise the EPs for submission.

Proposed Activities

- **Survey Activity:** A small-scale, short-duration seabed survey to investigate seabed and sub-seabed conditions within WA-14-L. This is a **low impact activity** expected to take about 15 days per survey vessel. This survey is conducted by a vessel and equipment to assess where a drilling rig could be safely and effectively positioned for potential near-field exploration drilling (subject to regulatory approval).
- **Exploration Drilling Activity:** Following the survey, Vermilion plans to drill one near-field exploration well in 2026-2027 within WA-14-L to confirm the presence of viable hydrocarbons, with potential for up to 6 additional exploration wells over the next five years. This is also a **low impact activity** that includes drilling for investigational purposes and not for production.
- **Future Potential:** If the results are positive, any future well development activities to tie back newly drilled wells to the Wandoo facility would be subject to separate future regulatory approvals, which Vermilion would consult with relevant persons on separately.

Last Opportunity for Feedback

As part of the formal consultation process under the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023 (Cth), this is your **last opportunity to provide feedback** on these two proposed activities before the EPs are submitted for NOPSEMA assessment.

- Information about the proposed activities can be found here: www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities.
- Please send any feedback by **11 July 2025** at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858.
- Feedback received by this date will be assessed and taken into account in finalising the EP, in accordance with the Environment Regulations.
- If you are unable to respond by this date but wish to provide input, please reply to this email and let us know.
- Feedback received after 11 July 2025 will not be included in the submission to NOPSEMA but will still be reviewed, considered, and addressed where appropriate as part of ongoing consultation.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our EPs in accordance with the Environment Regulations. If any part of your feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, please advise us when responding so that we can request it be treated as confidential by NOPSEMA upon submission.

We value your input and thank you for your time and continued engagement.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

5.8 Email sent to Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (MAC) on 9 July 2025

Dear [redacted]

Re: Last opportunity to provide feedback - Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plan submissions

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (**Vermilion**), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

Thank you for contacting us and providing your best email address.

As you may recall, we previously wrote to you on 21 February 2025 and provided consultation material, including consultation information sheets and maps showing the 'environment that may be affected' by the proposed activities in respect to lands and waters managed by Murujuga Aboriginal Corporation (**MAC**).

We followed up with MAC via email and phone on 13 March, 1 April and 23 May 2025 but did not receive a return email or phone call until 11 June 2025. We responded to your voicemail and asked for the best email address, which was sent to us on 13 June 2025.

We are writing again to inform you that Vermilion intends to submit the **Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plans** (EPs) to the **National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA)** in the coming weeks.

The **formal consultation period regarding these EPs concluded on 25 March 2025** and we are preparing to finalise the EPs for submission.

Proposed Activities

- **Survey Activity:** A small-scale, short-duration seabed survey to investigate seabed and sub-seabed conditions within WA-14-L. This is a **low impact activity** expected to take about 15 days per survey vessel. This survey is conducted by a vessel and equipment to assess where a drilling rig could be safely and effectively positioned for potential near-field exploration drilling (subject to regulatory approval).
- **Exploration Drilling Activity:** Following the survey, Vermilion plans to drill one near-field exploration well in 2026-2027 within WA-14-L to confirm the presence of viable hydrocarbons, with potential for up to 6 additional exploration wells over the next five years. This is also a **low impact activity** that includes drilling for investigational purposes and not for production.

- **Future Potential:** If the results are positive, any future well development activities to tie back newly drilled wells to the Wandoo facility would be subject to separate future regulatory approvals, which Vermilion would consult with MAC on separately.

Last Opportunity for Feedback

As part of the formal consultation process under the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023 (Cth), this is your **last opportunity to provide feedback** on these two proposed activities before the EPs are submitted for NOPSEMA assessment. We invite you to:

- Review the information previously provided (attached again, or access it again here: www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities).
- Share any final comments, concerns, or requests for additional information regarding the potential impacts of the activity on MAC's functions, interests, or activities.
- Please send any feedback by **16 July 2025** at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858.
- Feedback received by this date will be assessed and taken into account in finalising the EP, in accordance with the Environment Regulations.
- If you are unable to respond by this date but wish to provide input, please reply to this email and let us know.
- Feedback received after 16 July 2025 will not be included in the submission to NOPSEMA but will still be reviewed, considered, and addressed where appropriate as part of ongoing consultation.

Upcoming Consultation

Vermilion will soon commence consultation on the 5-year revision of the current EPs for the Wandoo facility operations and routine Wandoo development well construction activities.

Vermilion has operated the Wandoo field since 2005 and these activities are current and ongoing. These revised EPs will cover the continued operation of the Wandoo production facility for the next five years as well as potential routine well construction using an offshore drilling rig.

The upcoming EP revisions do not represent new projects, but are part of a standard five-year review required for **long-term activities**.

The revised EPs will review the environmental impacts and risks associated with ongoing facility operations and well construction activities over the next five years, and outline how these will continue to be avoided, mitigated, or managed.

Even where no significant changes have occurred, the process provides an opportunity to reassess environmental risks and management measures and invite relevant person feedback and input.

The nature and scale of these activities differ from the shorter-term vessel survey and exploration drilling activities previously consulted on. These are **longer term activities** due to the permanent presence and duration of infrastructure.

We will notify MAC once consultation materials for these revised EPs are available and welcome your input as part of the review process.

Ongoing Consultation and Engagement

Although the formal consultation period for the survey and exploration drilling EPs closed in March 2025, we are committed to maintaining open and ongoing engagement with you and welcome any interest MAC may have in engaging as we move forward with future plans and consultations.

Building meaningful and respectful dialogue is a key priority for Vermilion in the process, and we welcome continued discussions about both the proposed activities and the broader operations at Wandoo.

Ongoing input can be provided to us at any stage. We particularly invite ongoing input on:

- The current environment that may be affected by the proposed activities at Wandoo.
- Any changes or updates to your interests, functions or activities that may be relevant.
- Opportunities to strengthen communication and engagement in future.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our EPs in accordance with the Environment Regulations. If any part of your feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, please advise us when responding so that we can request it be treated as confidential by NOPSEMA upon submission.

We value your input and thank you for your time and continued engagement.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com

5.9 Email sent to Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation (NAC) on 9 July 2025

Dear Ngarluma Aboriginal Corporation (**NAC**),

Re: Last opportunity to provide feedback - Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plan submissions

We are contacting you on behalf of Vermilion Oil and Gas Australia Pty Ltd (**Vermilion**), which has operations in the Wandoo Field located offshore approximately 80 km northwest of Dampier and 110 km northeast of Barrow Island. More information about the Wandoo Field operations can be found here.

As you may recall, we previously wrote to you on 9 October and 3 December 2024 and provided consultation material including summary consultation information sheets, information sheets and maps showing the 'environment that may be affected' for the proposed activities in respect to the Ngarluma/Yindjibarndi native title determination.

We followed up with you on 20 January, 6 & 31 March and 9 May 2025 via email and phone, but did not receive a return email or phone call.

We are writing again to inform you that Vermilion intends to submit the **Wandoo Field Geotechnical and Geophysical Survey and Exploration Drilling Environment Plans** (EPs) to the **National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA)** in the coming weeks.

The **formal consultation period regarding these EPs concluded on 17 January 2025, and** we are preparing to finalise the EPs for submission.

Proposed Activities

- **Survey Activity:** A small-scale, short-duration seabed survey to investigate seabed and sub-seabed conditions within WA-14-L. This is a **low impact activity** expected to take about 15 days per survey vessel. This survey is conducted by a vessel and equipment to assess where a drilling rig could be safely and effectively positioned for potential near-field exploration drilling (subject to regulatory approval).
- **Exploration Drilling Activity:** Following the survey, Vermilion plans to drill one near-field exploration well in 2026-2027 within WA-14-L to confirm the presence of viable hydrocarbons, with potential for up to 6 additional exploration wells over the next five years. This is also a **low impact activity** that includes drilling for investigational purposes and not for production.
- **Future Potential:** If the results are positive, any future well development activities to tie back newly drilled wells to the Wandoo facility would be subject to separate future regulatory approvals, which Vermilion would consult with NAC on separately.

Last Opportunity for Feedback

As part of the formal consultation process under the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2023 (Cth), this is your **last opportunity to provide feedback** on these two proposed activities before the EPs are submitted for NOPSEMA assessment. We invite you to:

- Review the information previously provided (attached again, or access it again here: www.vermilionenergy.com/our-operations/australia/wandoo-consultation-activities).
- Share any final comments, concerns, or requests for additional information regarding the potential impacts of the activity on NAC's functions, interests, or activities.
- Please send any feedback by **16 July 2025** at abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com or (08) 9217 5858.
- Feedback received by this date will be assessed and taken into account in finalising the EP, in accordance with the Environment Regulations.
- If you are unable to respond by this date but wish to provide input, please reply to this email and let us know.
- Feedback received after 16 July 2025 will not be included in the submission to NOPSEMA but will still be reviewed, considered, and addressed where appropriate as part of ongoing consultation.

Upcoming Consultation

Vermilion will soon commence consultation on the 5-year revision of the current EPs for the Wandoo facility operations and routine Wandoo development well construction activities.

Vermilion has operated the Wandoo field since 2005 and these activities are current and ongoing. These revised EPs will cover the continued operation of the Wandoo production facility for the next five years as well as potential routine well construction using an offshore drilling rig.

The upcoming EP revisions do not represent new projects, but are part of a standard five-year review required for **long-term activities**.

The revised EPs will review the environmental impacts and risks associated with ongoing facility operations and well construction activities over the next five years, and outline how these will continue to be avoided, mitigated, or managed.

Even where no significant changes have occurred, the process provides an opportunity to reassess environmental risks and management measures and invite relevant person feedback and input.

The nature and scale of these activities differ from the shorter-term vessel survey and exploration drilling activities previously consulted on. These are **longer term activities** due to the permanent presence and duration of infrastructure.

We will notify NAC once consultation materials for these revised EPs are available and welcome your input as part of the review process.

Ongoing Consultation and Engagement

Although the formal consultation period for the survey and exploration drilling EPs closed in January 2025, we are committed to maintaining open and ongoing engagement with you and welcome any interest NAC may have in engaging as we move forward with future plans and consultations.

Building meaningful and respectful dialogue is a key priority for Vermilion in the process, and we welcome continued discussions about both the proposed activities and the broader operations at Wandoo.

Ongoing input can be provided to us at any stage. We particularly invite ongoing input on:

- The current environment that may be affected by the proposed activities at Wandoo.
- Any changes or updates to your interests, functions or activities that may be relevant.
- Opportunities to strengthen communication and engagement in future.

Your feedback and our response will be included in our EPs in accordance with the Environment Regulations. If any part of your feedback is commercially or culturally sensitive, please advise us when responding so that we can request it be treated as confidential by NOPSEMA upon submission.

We value your input and thank you for your time and continued engagement.

Yours sincerely

Vermilion Oil & Gas Australia

E: abu.consultation@vermilionenergy.com