

Commonwealth Exploration Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan

| | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| PROJECT/FACILITY | Drilling and Completions |
| REVIEW INTERVAL (MONTHS) | 60 Months |
| SAFETY CRITICAL DOCUMENT | NO |

| Rev | Owner | Reviewer/s <i>Managerial/Technical/Site</i> | Approver |
|-----|--|---|--|
| 1 | Drilling Superintendent  10/2/21 | HSE Team Lead – Offshore Drilling and Completions  10/02/21 | Manager – Offshore Drilling and Completions  10/2/21 |

Any hard copy of this document, other than those identified above, are uncontrolled. Please refer to the Santos Business Document Management System for the latest revision.

| Rev | Rev Date | Author/Editor | Amendment |
|-----|----------|---------------|---|
| A | 30/10/20 | Advisian | Issued to Santos for internal review |
| B | 27/11/20 | Advisian | Issued to Santos for final review |
| 0 | 11/12/20 | Advisian | Issued to Santos for NOPSEMA submission |
| 0A | 10/02/21 | Advisian | Updated to respond to NOPSEMA RFFWI comments and issued to Santos for internal review |
| 1 | 11/02/21 | Santos | Submission to NOPSEMA |

Contents

| | |
|---|------------|
| 1. Introduction | 12 |
| 1.1 EP summary | 12 |
| 1.2 Activity overview | 13 |
| 1.3 Purpose of the Environment Plan | 15 |
| 1.4 Environment Plan validity | 15 |
| 1.5 Operator and Titleholder details | 15 |
| 1.6 Environmental management framework | 17 |
| 2. Activity description | 19 |
| 2.1 Activity overview | 19 |
| 2.2 Location and extent | 21 |
| 2.3 Timing and duration | 21 |
| 2.4 Vessels | 21 |
| 2.5 Geophysical surveys | 22 |
| 2.6 Hydrographic surveys | 23 |
| 2.7 Geotechnical surveys (seabed sampling) | 23 |
| 2.8 Other surveys | 24 |
| 2.9 Environmental surveys | 25 |
| 2.10 Chemicals | 26 |
| 3. Description of the environment | 30 |
| 3.1 Environment that may be affected | 30 |
| 3.2 Environmental values and sensitivities | 37 |
| 4. Stakeholder consultation | 102 |
| 4.1 Summary | 102 |
| 4.2 Stakeholder identification | 103 |
| 4.3 Stakeholder consultation | 108 |
| 4.4 Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims | 108 |
| 4.5 Ongoing consultation | 135 |
| 4.6 Quarterly consultation update | 135 |
| 4.7 Addressing consultation feedback | 135 |
| 4.8 Stakeholder-related control measures, performance outcomes and standards | 136 |
| 5. Impact and risk assessment methodology | 137 |
| 5.1 Impact and risk assessment methodology | 137 |
| 5.2 Summary of the environmental impact and risk assessment approach | 139 |
| 5.3 Describe the environmental performance outcomes and control measures | 141 |
| 5.4 Determine the impact consequence level and risk rankings (on the basis that all control measures have been implemented) | 142 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 5.5 | Evaluating if impacts and risks are as low as reasonably practicable | 144 |
| 5.6 | Evaluating impact and risk acceptability | 144 |
| 6. | Planned activities risk and impact assessment | 145 |
| 6.1 | Acoustic disturbance to marine fauna..... | 146 |
| 6.2 | Light emissions | 171 |
| 6.3 | Atmospheric emissions..... | 184 |
| 6.4 | Seabed and benthic habitat disturbance..... | 189 |
| 6.5 | Interaction with other marine users | 196 |
| 6.6 | Operational discharges | 201 |
| 6.7 | Spill response operations | 212 |
| 7. | Unplanned activities risk assessment..... | 224 |
| 7.1 | Release of solid objects | 225 |
| 7.2 | Introduction of invasive marine species..... | 231 |
| 7.3 | Marine fauna interaction..... | 236 |
| 7.4 | Hazardous liquid releases | 243 |
| 7.5 | Overview of unplanned release of hydrocarbons..... | 249 |
| 7.6 | Release of hydrocarbons | 275 |
| 8. | Implementation strategy | 308 |
| 8.1 | Environmental management system | 308 |
| 8.2 | Environment, Health and Safety Policy | 309 |
| 8.3 | Hazard identification, risk and impact assessment and controls..... | 309 |
| 8.4 | Environmental performance | 309 |
| 8.5 | Leadership, accountability and responsibility | 316 |
| 8.6 | Workforce training and competency..... | 318 |
| 8.7 | Emergency preparedness and response | 319 |
| 8.8 | Incident reporting, investigation and follow-up | 320 |
| 8.9 | Reporting and notifications | 321 |
| 8.10 | Document management | 331 |
| 8.11 | Audits and inspections | 333 |
| 9. | References | 335 |

Appendices

Appendix A - Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy

Appendix B - Regulatory Framework of Relevant Legislation

Appendix C - Description of the Existing Environment

Appendix D - Stakeholder Consultation

Tables

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 1-1: Titleholder details for vessel-based activities | 16 |
| Table 2-1: Summary of survey equipment that may be used and typical deployment methods | 20 |
| Table 2-2: Permit areas and water depths in operational area | 21 |
| Table 2-3: Initial OCNS grouping..... | 27 |
| Table 2-4: Aquatic species toxicity grouping | 28 |
| Table 3-1: Hydrocarbon spill modelling locations | 31 |
| Table 3-2: EMBA hydrocarbon exposure values..... | 32 |
| Table 3-3: IMCRA 4.0 provincial bioregions relevant to the activity | 37 |
| Table 3-4: Habitats within the EMBA listed according to presence within the operational areas and IMCRA Provincial Bioregions of Australia | 44 |
| Table 3-5: Distance from respective operational area boundaries to protected areas, key ecological features and threatened ecological communities within the EMBA | 45 |
| Table 3-6: Management zones for the Australian and State Marine Parks found within the EMBA and the associated objectives..... | 47 |
| Table 3-7: Prescriptions/Conditions from the North-West MPNMP 2018 and Associated Class Approval – Mining Operations and Greenhouse Gas Activities Relevant to the Activities in this EP..... | 48 |
| Table 3-8: Conditions in the Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow islands marine conservation reserves 2007-2017 relevant to the activity | 49 |
| Table 3-9: Summary of the LTS and LMS identified by the PMST | 54 |
| Table 3-10: Environmental values and sensitivities within the operational areas and EMBA – threatened and migratory marine fauna..... | 55 |
| Table 3-11: BIAs Identified in operational areas A, B and C and their respective EMBA | 67 |
| Table 3-12: Threats and strategies from Recovery Plans, Conservation Advice and Management Plans relevant to the activity | 77 |
| Table 3-13: Summary of socio-economic activities that may occur within the operational area | 83 |
| Table 3-14: State and Commonwealth fisheries in the vicinity of the operational areas and EMBA..... | 91 |
| Table 3-15: Windows of sensitivity in the vicinity of the EMBA..... | 100 |
| Table 4-1: Assessment of relevance of identified stakeholders for the proposed activity | 104 |
| Table 4-2: Consultation summary for activity | 110 |
| Table 5-1: Impact and risk assessment terms and definitions | 138 |
| Table 5-2: Summary environmental consequence descriptors..... | 143 |
| Table 5-3: Likelihood description | 143 |
| Table 5-4: Santos risk matrix | 144 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 6-1: Summary of the consequence level rankings for hazards associated with planned events | 145 |
| Table 6-2: Summary of the overlapping BIAs for each operational area | 149 |
| Table 6-3: Continuous noise: acoustic effects of continuous noise on marine mammals: unweighted SPL and SEL _{24h} thresholds..... | 151 |
| Table 6-4: Impulsive noise: unweighted SPL, SEL _{24h} , and PK thresholds for acoustic effects on marine mammals | 151 |
| Table 6-5: Estimated distances to behavioural and physiological thresholds (as listed in Table 6-3) for marine mammals from vessels | 152 |
| Table 6-6: Continuous noise: criteria for vessel noise exposure for turtles, adapted from Popper <i>et al.</i> (2014) | 154 |
| Table 6-7: Impulsive noise: criteria for impulsive noise exposure for turtles, adapted from Popper <i>et al.</i> (2014) | 154 |
| Table 6-8: Continuous noise: criteria for noise exposure for fish, adapted from Popper <i>et al.</i> (2014) | 156 |
| Table 6-9: Impulsive noise: criteria for noise exposure for fish, adapted from Popper <i>et al.</i> (2014) | 156 |
| Table 6-10: Impulsive noise: sound levels relevant to invertebrates..... | 158 |
| Table 6-11: CMs evaluation for noise emissions | 160 |
| Table 6-12: Control measures evaluation for light emissions | 176 |
| Table 6-13: Control measures evaluation for atmospheric emissions | 185 |
| Table 6-14: Control measures evaluation for seabed and benthic habitat disturbance..... | 191 |
| Table 6-15: Control measures evaluation for interaction with other marine users | 197 |
| Table 6-16: Control measures evaluation for operational discharges | 206 |
| Table 6-17: Nature and scale of environmental impacts and risks for activities – spill response operations | 213 |
| Table 6-18: Control measures evaluation for reducing potential impacts from spill response operations . | 216 |
| Table 7-1: Summary of the environmental risks associated with unplanned events for all operational areas | 224 |
| Table 7-2: Control measures evaluation for release of solid objects | 226 |
| Table 7-3: Control measures evaluation for introduction of IMS | 232 |
| Table 7-4: Control measures evaluation for marine fauna interaction..... | 238 |
| Table 7-5: Control measures evaluation for hazardous liquid releases | 244 |
| Table 7-6: Summary of modelling locations..... | 250 |
| Table 7-7: Summary of diesel characteristics..... | 251 |
| Table 7-8: Floating hydrocarbons exposure values..... | 254 |
| Table 7-9: Shoreline hydrocarbon accumulation exposure values | 255 |
| Table 7-10: Dissolved aromatic hydrocarbon exposure values..... | 256 |
| Table 7-11: Entrained hydrocarbon exposure values..... | 257 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 7-12: Physical and chemical pathways for hydrocarbon exposure and potential impacts to receptors | 262 |
| Table 7-13: Nature and scale of hydrocarbon spills on environment and socio-economic receptors within the EMBA..... | 268 |
| Table 7-14: Spill Modelling results for surface release of hydrocarbons from a vessel collision at all modelling locations | 286 |
| Table 7-15: Control measures evaluation for release of hydrocarbons..... | 295 |
| Table 7-16: Identified high environmental value hotspot receptors in each EMBA..... | 299 |
| Table 7-17: Hotspot consequence assessment results from worst case vessel collision spill of 329 m ³ of diesel | 300 |
| Table 8-1: Environmental performance outcomes | 309 |
| Table 8-2: Control measures and environmental performance standards for the proposed activity | 311 |
| Table 8-3: Chain of command, key leadership roles and responsibilities | 316 |
| Table 8-4: Activity notification and reporting requirements | 322 |
| Table 8-5: Monitoring methods for emissions and discharges | 330 |

Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1-1: Locations of vessel-based activities | 14 |
| Figure 2-1: Indicative vessel – the Mermaid Searcher | 22 |
| Figure 3-1: Overall EMBA for each operational area | 33 |
| Figure 3-2: EMBA from three modelling locations within operational area A and the overall EMBA for area A | 34 |
| Figure 3-3: EMBA from two modelling locations within operational area B and the overall EMBA for area B | 35 |
| Figure 3-4: EMBA from two modelling locations within operational area C and the overall EMBA for area C | 36 |
| Figure 3-5: IMCRA 4.0 provincial bioregions within the EMBA and operational areas | 38 |
| Figure 3-6: Benthic habitat within operational area A and EMBA | 42 |
| Figure 3-7: Benthic habitat within operational areas B and C and EMBA | 43 |
| Figure 3-8: Protected areas within and near the EMBA and operational area A..... | 51 |
| Figure 3-9: Protected areas within and near the EMBA and operational areas B and C | 52 |
| Figure 3-10: Key ecological features within and near the EMBA and operational areas | 53 |
| Figure 3-11: BIAs for EPBC Protected whale sharks within the vicinity of the EMBA and operational areas | 69 |
| Figure 3-12: BIAs for EPBC Protected whale species within the vicinity of the EMBA and operational areas | 70 |
| Figure 3-13: BIAs for EPBC Protected dugongs within the vicinity of the EMBA and operational areas..... | 71 |

Figure 3-14: BIAs for EPBC Protected turtles within the vicinity of the EMBA and operational area A 72

Figure 3-15: BIAs for EPBC Protected turtles within the vicinity of the EMBA and operational areas B and C 73

Figure 3-16: BIAs for EPBC Protected seabird species within the vicinity of the EMBA and operational area A 74

Figure 3-17: BIAs for EPBC Protected seabird species within the vicinity of the EMBA and operational areas B and C 75

Figure 3-18: Commonwealth Commercial Fishing Zones within the EMBA and operational areas 88

Figure 3-19: State Commercial Fishing Zones within the EMBA and operational area A 89

Figure 3-20: State Commercial Fishing Zones within the EMBA and operational areas B and C 90

Figure 3-21: Existing petroleum infrastructure and defence areas within the EMBA 96

Figure 3-22: AMSA ship locations and shipping routes within and close to the EMBA (October 2020) 98

Figure 5-1: Hazard identification and assessment guideline..... 140

Figure 5-2: Hierarchy of controls 142

Figure 7-1: Simulated weathering of the SINTEF marine diesel (IKU) hydrocarbon for constant wind speeds of 1 m/s (top), 5 m/s (middle) and 10 m/s (bottom) (GHD, 2020) 252

Figure 7-2: HEVs within the EMBA 260

Figure 8-1: Environment management of change process (Commonwealth Waters)..... 332

List of Acronyms

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|--|
| AFMA | Australian Fisheries Management Authority |
| AHO | Australian Hydrographic Office |
| AIS | automatic identification system |
| ALARP | as low as reasonably practicable |
| AMOSOC | Australian Marine Oil Spill Centre Pty Ltd |
| AMP | Australian Marine Park |
| AMSA | Australian Maritime Safety Authority |
| APASA | Asia-Pacific Applied Science Associates |
| APPEA | Australian Petroleum Production & Exploration Association |
| ASBTIA | Australian Southern Bluefin Tuna Industry Association |
| AUV | autonomous underwater vehicle |
| BIA | Biologically Important Area |
| CAMBA | China Australia Migratory Bird Agreement |
| CEO | Chief Executive Officer |
| CFA | Commonwealth Fisheries Association |
| CHARM | chemical hazard assessment and risk management |
| CM | control measure |
| CO2 | carbon dioxide |
| CPT | cone penetration test |
| DAH | dissolved aromatic hydrocarbons |
| DAWR | Department of Agriculture and Water Resources |
| DBCA | Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions |
| DoE | (Australian) Department of the Environment (now DoEE) |
| DoEE | (Australian) Department of the Environment and Energy (now DAWE) |
| DAWE | (Australian) Department of Agriculture, Water and Environment |
| DoF | Department of Fisheries |
| DoT | Department of Transport |
| DPaW | Department of Parks and Wildlife (now DBCA) |
| DPIRD | Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development |
| DWER | Department of Water and Environment Regulation |
| EE | existing environment |
| EMBA | environment that may be affected |
| EP | Environment Plan |
| EPA | Western Australian (WA) Environmental Protection Authority |
| EPBC Act | <i>Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> |

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|--|
| EPO | environmental performance outcome/objective |
| EPS | environmental performance standard |
| ESD | ecologically sustainable development |
| GHG | greenhouse gases |
| HEV | high environmental value |
| HOCNF | harmonised offshore chemical notification format |
| HSE | health, safety and environment |
| IAPP | international air pollution prevention |
| IBCs | intermediate bulk containers |
| IMCRA | integrated marine and coastal regionalisation of Australia |
| IMS | invasive marine species |
| IMSMP | Invasive Marine Species Management Plan |
| IMT | incident management team |
| ISPP | international sewage pollution prevention |
| JAMBA | Japan Australia Migratory Bird Agreement |
| JRCC | Joint Rescue Coordination Centre |
| KEF | key ecological feature |
| LMS | listed migratory species |
| LTS | listed threatened species |
| MBES | multi-beam echo sounding |
| MDO | marine diesel oil |
| MFO | marine fauna observer |
| MMO | marine mammal observer |
| MNES | matters of national environmental significance |
| MoC | management of change |
| MODU | mobile offshore drilling unit |
| MOU | Memorandum of Understanding |
| MP | Marine Park |
| MTWA | Marine Tourism Western Australia |
| NEBA | net environmental benefit analysis |
| N2O | nitrous oxide |
| NOPSEMA | National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environment Management Authority |
| NWS | Western Australia's North West Shelf |
| OCNS | Offshore Chemical Notification Scheme (United Kingdom) |
| ODS | ozone depleting substances |
| OPEP | Oil Pollution Emergency Plan |
| OPGGs(E)(R) | Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2009 |

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|---|
| OWM | oil weathering model |
| PAM | passive acoustic monitoring |
| PLONOR | pose little or no risk to the environment |
| PMST | Protected Matters Search Tool |
| PPA | Pearl Producers Association |
| PSZ | Petroleum Safety Zone |
| PTS | permanent threshold shift |
| SBES | single beam echosounder |
| SBP | sub-bottom profiling |
| SDS | Safety Data Sheet |
| SEL | sound exposure level |
| SMPEP | Shipboard Marine Pollution Emergency Plan |
| SOLAS | safety of life at sea |
| SOPEP | Shipboard Oil Pollution Emergency Plan |
| SSS | side-scan sonar |
| TSS | temporary threshold shift |
| UNCLOS | United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea |
| VBA | vessel-based activities |
| VI | Varanus Island |
| WAFIC | Western Australian Fishing Industry Council |
| WAOWRP | WA Oiled Wildlife Response Plan |
| WHP | wellhead platform |
| WQ | water quality |

1. Introduction

1.1 EP summary

| OPGGs(E)R 2009 Requirements |
|--|
| Regulation 11(3) |
| Within 10 days after receiving notice that the Regulator has accepted an environment plan (whether in full, in part or subject to limitations or conditions), the titleholder must submit a summary of the accepted plan to the Regulator for public disclosure. |
| Regulation 11(4) |
| <p>The summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) must include the following material from the environment plan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) the location of the activity; (ii) a description of the receiving environment; (iii) a description of the activity; (iv) details of environmental impacts and risks; (v) a summary of the control measures (CM) for the activity; (vi) a summary of the arrangements for ongoing monitoring of the titleholder’s environmental performance; (vii) a summary of the response arrangements in the oil pollution emergency plan; (viii) details of consultation already undertaken, and plans for ongoing consultation; and (ix) details of the titleholder’s nominated liaison person for the activity. (b) must be to the satisfaction of the Regulator. |

| Environment Plan (EP) Summary material requirement | Relevant section of EP containing EP Summary material |
|--|---|
| The location of the activity | Section 2.2 |
| A description of the receiving environment | Section 3 and Appendix B |
| A description of the activity | Section 2 |
| Details of the environmental impacts and risks | Sections 6 and 7 |
| The CMs for the activity | Sections 6 and 7 and Table 8-2 |
| The arrangements for ongoing monitoring of the titleholder’s environmental performance | Section 8 |
| The response arrangements in the oil pollution emergency plan (OPEP) | Section 6.7 and OPEP |
| Details of consultation already undertaken and plans for ongoing consultation | Section 4 |
| Details of the titleholder’s nominated liaison person for the activity | Section 1.5 |

1.2 Activity overview

Santos proposes to conduct vessel-based surveys in a number of permit areas located in Commonwealth waters (from here on referred to as Areas A, B and C). These survey activities will help to inform future drilling activities (subject to separate EPs) and will involve geophysical and geotechnical survey techniques including:

- + geophysical surveys
- + hydrographic surveys
- + geotechnical surveys
- + remotely operated vehicle (ROV) surveys
- + metrology surveys
- + metocean surveys
- + environmental surveys.

These activities are collectively referred to as vessel-based activities (VBA) throughout this EP which will be undertaken within the three operational areas as shown in **Figure 1-1**. This EP allows for up to 12 VBA campaigns to be undertaken on an annual basis.




Figure 1-1: Locations of vessel-based activities

1.3 Purpose of the Environment Plan

This EP has been prepared to address the environmental requirements of activities undertaken in accordance with *Commonwealth Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2009* (OPGGs(E)R), for acceptance by the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA).

In accordance with the OPGGS(E)R, this EP details the environmental impacts and risks associated with the activity and demonstrates how these will be reduced to as low as reasonably practicable (ALARP) and to an acceptable level. The EP provides an implementation strategy that will be used to measure and report on environmental performance during planned activities and unplanned events to ensure impacts and risks are continuously reduced to ALARP and are at an acceptable level. The environmental management of the activity described in the EP complies with the Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy (**Appendix A**) and with all relevant legislation (**Appendix B**). This EP documents and considers all relevant stakeholder consultation performed during the development of the EP.

1.4 Environment Plan validity

In accordance with Regulation 19, this EP remains valid from NOPSEMA acceptance for a period of five years, or until NOPSEMA has accepted an end-of-activity notification under Regulation 25A, or until Santos revises this EP in the event a significant change to the activity or level of impact or risk occurs as required under Sub-regulation 17(10), 17(5), 17(6) and 17(7).

Santos may revise the EP, using the Management of Change (MoC) Process described in **Section 8.10**. Any changes made under this process will not affect the validity of this EP.

1.5 Operator and Titleholder details

| OPGGs(E)R 2009 Requirements |
|---|
| Regulation 15(1) |
| <p>The environment plan must include the following details for the titleholder:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) name; (b) business address; (c) telephone number (if any); (d) fax number (if any); (e) email address (if any); (f) if the titleholder is a body corporate that has an ACN (within the meaning of the <i>Corporations Act 2001</i>)—ACN. |
| Regulation 15(2) |
| <p>The environment plan must also include the following details for the titleholder’s nominated liaison person:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) name; (b) business address; (c) telephone number (if any); (d) fax number (if any); (e) email address (if any). |

The titleholder details are provided in **Table 1-1**, with the operator shown in bold. The contact details for all titleholders are:

Business Address: Level 7, 100 St Georges Terrace, Perth, Western Australia, 6000
 Telephone number: (08) 6218 7100
 Fax number: (08) 6218 7200
 Email address: offshore.environment.admin@santos.com

Table 1-1: Titleholder details for vessel-based activities

| Title | Titleholder (Operators in bold) | ABN | Interest (%) |
|----------|---|-------------|--------------|
| WA-437-P | Santos WA Northwest Pty Ltd (Operator) | 009 140 854 | 60% |
| | Santos WA Southwest Pty Limited | 050 611 688 | 20% |
| | Carnarvon Petroleum Limited | 002 688 851 | 20% |
| WA-438-P | Santos WA Northwest Pty Ltd (Operator) | 009 140 854 | 40% |
| | Santos WA Southwest Pty Limited | 050 611 688 | 30% |
| | Carnarvon Petroleum Limited | 002 688 851 | 30% |
| WA-541-P | Santos WA Northwest Pty Ltd (Operator) | 009 140 854 | 50% |
| | BP Developments Australia Pty Ltd | 081 102 856 | 50% |
| WA-1-P | Santos WA Northwest Pty Ltd (Operator) | 009 140 854 | 55% |
| WA-510-P | Santos Offshore Pty Ltd | 005 475 589 | 45% |
| WA-209-P | Santos WA Northwest Pty Ltd (Operator) | 009 140 854 | 55% |
| WA-41-L | Santos (BOL) Pty Ltd | 000 670 575 | 45% |
| WA-33-R | Santos WA Southwest Pty Limited (Operator) | 050 611 688 | 55% |
| | Santos (BOL) Pty Ltd | 000 670 575 | 45% |

1.5.1 Details of nominated liaison person

Details for Santos' nominated liaison person for the activity are as follows:

Name: Jason J. Young (Manager – Offshore Drilling and Completions)
 Business address: Level 7, 100 St Georges Terrace, Perth, WA 6000
 Telephone number: (08) 6218 7100
 Email address: offshore.environment.admin@santos.com

1.5.2 Notification procedure in the event of changed details

In the event there is a change in the nominated operator, the operator's nominated liaison person, or a change in the contact details for the operator or liaison person, Santos will notify NOPSEMA and provide the updated details.

1.6 Environmental management framework

| OPGGs(E)R 2009 Requirements |
|---|
| Regulation 13. Environmental assessment |
| <p>Description of the activity</p> <p>13(4) The environment plan must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) describe the requirements, including legislative requirements, that apply to the activity and are relevant to the environmental management of the activity; and (b) demonstrate how those requirements will be met. |
| Regulation 16(a). Other information in the environment plan |
| <p>The environment plan must contain the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) a statement of the titleholder's corporate environmental policy. |

1.6.1 Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy

The activity will be conducted in accordance with the Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy presented in **Appendix A** and relevant legislative requirements presented in **Appendix B**, inclusive of the relevant EP sections where the legislation may prescribe or control how an activity is undertaken.

Sections 6, 7 and 8 of this EP reflect the Environment, Health and Safety Policy, detailing and evaluating impacts and risks from planned and unplanned events and providing CMs with set performance outcomes, standards, and measurement criteria to ensure environmental performance is achieved.

1.6.2 Relevant environmental legislation

Australia is a signatory to numerous international conventions and agreements that obligate the Commonwealth government to prevent pollution and protect specified habitats, flora and fauna. Those that are relevant to the VBA are detailed in **Appendix B**.

1.6.2.1 Activities with Australian Marine Parks

Operational area C overlaps the Montebello Australian Marine Park (AMP) multiple use (IUCN VI) zone, which is managed under the North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan 2018 (Director of National Parks, 2018). The management plan allows for mining (which includes offshore petroleum activities and oil spill response) authorisation to be given through a class approval for the Multiple Use Zone of the Montebello Marine Park. The class approval requires the activity to be conducted in accordance with:

- + an accepted EP under the OPGGS(E)R 2009 (this document)
- + the EPBC Act (as referred to in this EP)
- + the EPBC Regulations (as referred to in this EP)
- + the North-west Network Management Plan (as referred to in this EP)
- + any prohibitions, restrictions or determinations made under the EPBC Regulations by the Director of National Park (none provided in consultation, **Section 4**)
- + all other applicable Commonwealth and state laws (to the extent those laws are capable of operating concurrently with the laws and instruments described above (refer **Appendix B**).

Further detail is provided in Section 3.2.2 on how the EP meets the objectives of the management plan and class approval. Through compliance with this accepted EP, Santos will be compliant with the above conditions and consultation with the DNP regarding this is further detailed in **Section 4**. This activity is covered by the

endorsed NOPSEMA program and does not require additional assessment by the Director because the endorsed program takes account of impacts and risks to marine park values in a manner that satisfies the Director. Therefore, no further conditions of approval apply to this activity.

2. Activity description

| OPGGs(E)R 2009 Requirements |
|---|
| Regulation 13 (1) |
| <p>The environment plan must contain a comprehensive description of the activity including the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the location or locations of the activity; (b) general details of the construction and layout of any facility; (c) an outline of the operational details of the activity (for example, seismic surveys, exploration drilling or production) and proposed timetables; and (d) any additional information relevant to consideration of environmental impacts and risks of the activity. <p>Note: An environment plan will not be capable of being accepted by the Regulator if an activity or part of the activity, other than arrangements for environmental monitoring or for responding to an emergency, will be undertaken in any part of a declared World Heritage property – see Regulation 10A.</p> |

2.1 Activity overview

To support Santos’ offshore petroleum exploration, development and operational programs, VBA will be undertaken using various survey techniques to support future petroleum activities (for example, drilling).

VBA may involve any of the following activities:

- + Geophysical and hydrographic surveys such as:
 - Acquisition of multi-beam echo sounding (MBES) and side-scan sonar (SSS) data to define the bathymetry/seafloor morphology (for example, depth, bedform character) and confirm the absence of debris or other anomalous seabed features.
 - Identification of any hazards that may impact the location of a mobile offshore drilling unit (MODU) or equipment through sub-bottom profiling (SBP).
- + Geotechnical surveys such as seabed sampling/coring for ground-truthing the surficial geophysics and to support MODU spud-can penetration assessments.
- + Autonomous underwater vehicle (AUV) and ROV surveys with various equipment attached, to provide information about buried objects and the existing environment (water and seabed parameters).
- + Metrology surveys to take and provide feedback measurements between subsea structures.
- + Metocean surveys to understand environmental conditions (for example, currents, tidal range).
- + Environmental surveys to establish baseline conditions or describe the existing environment including sampling for example, water, plankton, fauna.

The range of survey equipment and deployment methods described is summarised in **Table 2-1**.

Table 2-1: Summary of survey equipment that may be used and typical deployment methods

| Activity | Equipment Used | Typical Deployment Method |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Electromagnetic survey | Magnetometer | Towed behind a vessel or mounted on a AUV or mounted on vessel hull |
| SSS survey | Side scan transducer | Mounted on a AUV or towed behind a vessel using a towfish |
| SBP survey | Chirps and pingers | Mounted on a vessel, AUV mounted on a surface or deep towfish |
| | Boomer and sparker | Mounted on surface tow or deep tow system depending on water depth |
| | Small streamer/hydrophone | Small streamer/ hydrophone towed behind vessel on surface or 1 m below surface |
| AUV survey | AUV with, boomer, side scan sonar, sparker, chirp, pinger, MBES, video payloads | Deployed from a vessel using a crane or an A-frame, recovered using a winch |
| MBES surveys | MBES | Vessel-mounted or mounted on an AUV |
| SBES surveys | Single beam echosounder (SBES) | Vessel-mounted or mounted on an AUV |
| Subsea geotechnical drilling | Sea floor mounted drill rig using either hydraulic piston tube (HPT) or rotary coring methods (in other words, Portable Remotely Operated Drill system) | Dynamically positioned vessel using an A-frame and winch |
| Vibrocoring | Vibrocoring unit | Vessel or rig with a suitable A-frame or tower |
| Coring | Piston corer | Vessel winch |
| | Drop corer | Vessel winch |
| Cone penetration test (CPT) | CPT | Deployed from a vessel using an A-frame or knuckle boom crane and hydraulic winch can be fitted to tripods on seafloor |
| Inspection survey | Observation class ROV | Deployed from vessel |
| Site survey | Observation class ROV | |
| Maintenance survey | Work class ROV | |
| Subsea positioning | Laser, sonar (in other words, long baseline or ultra-short baseline [USBL], tape measure, inclinometer, taut lines | Deployed from a vessel using lifting equipment such as a crane or lifting basket |
| Deployment of metocean equipment | Wave buoys, current profilers, metocean buoys | Deep water connected to anchor clump weights and acoustic release systems. Equipment deployed from A-frame, hiab or crane. Drifting buoy |
| Towed video camera survey | Camera | Mounted on tow frame controlled by hydraulic winch or capstan |
| Water sampling | Niskin or Van Dorn water sampler | Hand, hydraulic winch or capstan |
| Water profiling | Profiler | Hand, hydraulic winch or capstan |
| Diving | Managed as per safety requirements | Deployment from dive support vessel |

2.2 Location and extent

The activity will be conducted entirely within Commonwealth waters in Santos permit areas listed in **Table 2-2**. The operational area is shown in **Figure 1-1** split into three areas (Areas A, B and C) within eight permit areas. Water depths in the operational areas range from approximately 30 m to 110 m across the three areas.

Table 2-2: Permit areas and water depths in operational area

| Area | Permit Areas | Approximate Water Depths (m) |
|------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| A | WA-437-P WA-438-P WA-541-P | 35 to 110 |
| B | WA WA-1-P WA-209-P WA-41-L | 50 to 65 |
| C | WA-33-R WA-510-P | 30 to 80 |

2.3 Timing and duration

The activity will take place any time within five years from acceptance of EP (2021 to 2026). To take advantage of a vessel hire/mobilisation, an offshore VBA campaign may extend several weeks consisting of multiple individual VBAs at different locations. It is possible that multiple VBAs will also occur at a single site, the duration of an individual VBA may vary between two and 14 consecutive days. The exception is metocean surveys (**Section 2.8.4**) where equipment (as opposed to vessels) may be deployed in the field for several weeks and/or months for collecting baseline data. Unless otherwise stated in this EP, VBA will be performed during all seasons and will include 24-hour operations.

Up to 12 VBA campaigns may be conducted on an annual basis under this EP.

2.4 Vessels

Typically, a single vessel will be used to undertake each activity. The actual vessel will be determined in later planning stages; however up to two vessels has been allowed for within this EP undertaking VBA simultaneously within the same or different operational areas.

For environmental assessment purposes, the *Mermaid Searcher* has been considered as a proxy, noting that the actual vessel to be used may be smaller; the intent being to assess impacts and risks of the largest typical vessel so that the assessment is conservative and allows for flexibility.

The *Mermaid Searcher* (see **Figure 2-1**) is a 54 m long, 950-tonne multi-purpose support vessel with accommodation for up to 34 people.

Vessel speeds will be approximately four knots during surveying and the vessel will be temporarily stationary when taking seabed samples.



Figure 2-1: Indicative vessel – the Mermaid Searcher

2.4.1 Vessel anchoring

Vessel anchoring may occur depending on the VBA scope and the location.

2.4.2 Vessel refuelling

Vessel-to-vessel refuelling is not normally required for VBA due to the limited duration and scope. Similarly, equipment transfers are rarely required. However, depending on the nature and scale of each VBA, a material or fuel transfer may be needed in rare instances. Therefore, the impacts and risks associated with these activities are included in this EP. Whilst this activity generally refers to transfers between offshore support vessels, a helicopter may also be used to transport materials or personnel to a remote VBA.

2.4.3 Vessel discharges and emissions

Aqueous discharges from the vessel may include treated sewage, greywater, cooling water, oily water (bilge), deck run-off and desalination brine (if reverse osmosis system used). Atmospheric emissions will include exhaust gases from fuel combustion. Other environmental emissions include light emissions from vessel decks, accommodation, navigation and safety systems; and noise emissions from above and below the water (for example, engine noise, survey equipment).

2.5 Geophysical surveys

Geophysical surveys are typically conducted to investigate pre-identified key areas of interest. A geophysical survey may be undertaken to delineate seabed features at locations such as drilling sites (up to 5 km by 5 km), future mooring sites and potential pipeline corridors. The types of surveys that may be undertaken are described below.

2.5.1 Electromagnetic surveys

Electromagnetic surveys are conducted to establish whether there are any buried metallic objects that may pose a risk to operations (e.g. buried infrastructure or cables) and methane hydrate detection. Magnetometers are towed behind a vessel, or are mounted on an AUV or vessel hull, and measure magnetic field strength.

2.5.2 Side-scan sonar

SSS identifies any sea floor debris which may cause damage to MODU support legs or other equipment. SSS involves towing a set of transducers mounted on either side of a 'tow fish' approximately 10 to 20 m above the seabed, producing pulses at high frequencies.

2.5.3 Sub-bottom profiling

SBP allows the near-seabed stratigraphy to be evaluated for hazards (including shallow gas, lateral variability in layer properties, adverse near-seabed stratigraphy, anomalous layers of hard/soft formations, localised/regional outcrops or sub-crops, mobile bedforms) and to confirm it will be providing adequate foundations for supporting a MODU when it is elevated above the water; or anchors and other equipment. SBP utilises an acoustic source typically towed just behind the vessel, with a hydrophone towed approximately 25 m behind the vessel to record the reflected sound waves.

2.6 Hydrographic surveys

Hydrographic surveys are conducted to measure the physical features underwater. Types of surveys that may be conducted are provided below.

2.6.1 Multibeam echo sounder

MBES surveys will enable the collection of bathymetry data and the correlation of depth information. This type of survey uses a sonar system to transmit short pulses of sound energy, analysing the return signal from the seafloor or other objects.

2.6.2 Single beam echo sounder

SBESs provide water depths by measuring the two-way travel time of a high frequency pulse emitted by a transducer. The systems are calibrated to allow for errors introduced by temperature and salinity and other factors that affect sound velocity. The choice of echosounder depends on many factors including accuracy requirements, depth of water and resolution.

2.7 Geotechnical surveys (seabed sampling)

Geotechnical surveys may be required for a range of operational objectives. An example is for gaining a detailed geotechnical evaluation of the foundation soils for field development and production plans for offshore installations. A survey may include a number of sites for sample collection, however, the footprint of disturbance will be localised around each respective sampling location related to the placement of equipment. Examples of the equipment used to undertake sampling are provided below.

2.7.1 Seabed mounted drilling rig

Seabed mounted drilling rigs are lowered from the vessel to the seabed where it remotely undertakes drilling operations.

Placement and elevation of the rig's baseplate on the seabed is monitored and stabilised with the aid of the dynamically positioned vessel. The primary coring methods used for sampling from subsea mounted drilling rigs are either HPT or rotary coring.

HPT sampling is generally undertaken wherever possible in un-cemented sediments. For HPT sampling, sediment coring barrels are loaded from the magazine into the chuck. Sufficient drill rods are then made up behind the barrel until the bottom of the hole is reached, or the system is unable to penetrate any further. The drill string and coring barrels are returned to the magazine prior to recovery.

Rotary coring is generally undertaken in consolidated sediments where the HPT sampling cannot penetrate the substrate. The rotary coring process is much the same as the HPT process with the exception that the mechanical motion is a rotary motion.

2.7.2 Shallow geotechnical site survey

Geotechnical site surveys are conducted to establish the geotechnical properties of the shallow soils to approximately 40 m below seabed. Examples of where this data may be used includes anchor design, pipeline and subsea infrastructure settlement, spud-can penetration assessments and foundation design. A variety of vessel deployed equipment may be used for these surveys. The disturbance footprint is limited to the equipment used.

2.7.2.1 Vibrocoring

Vibrocoring is undertaken where information about the shallow soils is required down to a maximum depth of 6 m. The vibrocoring unit is lowered to the seabed on a lifting line. An umbilical is also lowered with the vibrocore. Once on the seabed electrical power is supplied to the vibrating head through the umbilical. The head then vibrates the core down through the sediment layers.

2.7.2.2 Cone penetration tests

Cone penetration tests (CPTs) are used to provide in-situ detail on the geotechnical characteristics of the soil. CPTs can be deployed as standalone units or used to gather down-hole information during geotechnical drilling.

The unit is lowered to the seabed and then a rod is pushed into the seabed using hydraulically powered turning wheels. The tip of the rod is fitted with a sensitive cone to measure soil resistivity and pore pressure. It can also be fitted to the seafloor using tripods.

2.7.2.3 Geotechnical grab sampling

Sediment/grab samples are used to provide detailed geotechnical data for surface sediments only. A Van Veen system or similar is typically used for shallow water operations. The Van Veen system consists of a clamshell bucket made from stainless steel. The Van Veen is set up on deck prior to being lowered to the seafloor where it is triggered, and a sediment sample collected. It is then recovered to deck where the sample is sub-sampled as appropriate.

Deeper water operations may require a larger grab sample such as a box corer. Large grab samples may be deployed by a hydraulic winch or a crane system, smaller samples may be deployed by hand or by a capstan.

2.8 Other surveys

2.8.1 Autonomous underwater vehicle surveys

AUVs travel underwater on pre-defined 'flight paths' without requiring navigation from an operator and are fitted with various payloads for survey acquisition.

AUVs are battery powered systems capable of hosting several geophysical and inspection payloads. Examples of payloads include sub-bottom profilers, multibeam echosounders, cameras, side scan sonars and conductivity, temperature and depth instruments. The survey speed is often determined by the payload and survey objective but is generally around four knots. The actual size of the AUV is dependent on the size of the payload and duration required for the survey.

2.8.2 ROV surveys

ROVs are linked to the vessel by either a neutrally buoyant tether or often when working in rough conditions or in deeper water a load carrying umbilical cable is used along with a tether management system (TMS).

Equipment such as torque tools and manipulator arms are typically powered by hydraulic though battery powered tools. Most ROVs are equipped with at least a video camera and lights. Additional equipment can include sonars, MBES, magnetometers, a still camera, a manipulator or cutting arm and water samplers. The class and size of the ROV used will be dependent on the operational objectives of the survey.

Examples of ROV application include routine inspections of pipeline bundles, subsea valves, pipeline alignment surveys, subsea infrastructure, and platform substructure inspections. They can also be used for environmental habitat mapping, baseline surveys and site survey validation work.

2.8.3 Metrology surveys

Laser and acoustic surveys are undertaken to take and provide feedback measurements between subsea structures (primarily interconnecting pipelines). These may include laser, sonar, tape measure, inclinometer and taut lines. The equipment can be deployed from a vessel using lifting equipment such as a crane or lifting basket.

Examples of common acoustic survey systems (or subsea positioning systems) include long baseline and ultra-short baseline acoustic positioning systems. These enable sub-metre accuracy when locating equipment such as pre-lay anchors and drilling rigs near sensitive subsea infrastructure. These systems consist of a number of transducers and receivers placed strategically on the seabed, vessels, deployed equipment or ROVs.

2.8.4 Metocean surveys

Metocean surveys usually involve the deployment of small-scale moorings. They are used to understand environmental conditions such as currents, wave height, tidal range and turbidity. This data is also used for engineering design for offshore developments. Metocean survey equipment may be deployed for several weeks and/or months for collecting baseline data. Equipment deployment is generally quick (in other words, within hours). Data can either be assessed remotely via telemetry or return trips will intermittently be required to download data and service equipment.

Examples of metocean survey equipment include wave buoys, current profilers (acoustic wave and current recorders, Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler, Aquadopps) and metocean buoys. The mooring designs depend on water depth and equipment configuration. All equipment is recovered at the completion of the survey.

2.9 Environmental surveys

Environmental surveys are undertaken to establish baseline conditions or to describe existing environmental conditions. They may also be undertaken to demonstrate environmental performance and to assess whether some activities may have an impact on the environment. Surveys may also be conducted in the event of an unplanned discharge to evaluate the potential consequence.

Examples of environmental surveys include:

- + towed video camera survey
- + water sampling
- + water profiling
- + sediment (grab) sampling
- + plankton net tows

- + marine fauna sampling/observations
- + diving.

2.9.1 Towed video camera survey

Towed video camera surveys may be used to survey epibenthic fauna. It comprises an underwater camera system capable of recording video and high definition still images mounted on a tow frame controlled by a hydraulic winch or capstan. Underwater lamps may also be mounted on the frame during low light conditions to illuminate the field of view. Realtime footage will be relayed to the surface via an umbilical. The depth of the camera is constantly monitored on the live feed to avoid seabed impact.

2.9.2 Water sampling

Discrete water samples may be taken from desired water depths using a Niskin or Van Dorn water sampler. The samplers are deployed to the desired depth by hand or using a hydraulic winch or capstan. Once at the desired depth a trigger weight will be sent down the deployment line to trigger the sampler. Additionally, integrated samples may be collected using a small submersible pump with a hose to the surface.

2.9.3 Water profiling

Water profiling can be used to establish water depth, temperature, conductivity, turbidity, pH and dissolved oxygen (DO) level. The profiler is lowered to the sea surface to equilibrate, the profiler is then deployed to the desired depth by a hydraulic winch, capstan or by hand. After the desired depth is reached the profiler will be slowly recovered to the deck.

2.9.4 Grab sampling

See **Section 2.7.2.3** 'Geotechnical grab sampling'. The purpose of grab sampling is to establish and monitor environmental baselines and to ground truth habitat against hydrographic surveys (in other words, aids in establishing what is sensitive habitat).

2.9.5 Plankton net tows

Plankton nets are attached to a fixed line and are deployed in a controlled manner from deck. The vessel then tows the net at approximately 1 knot. Once the set distance has been covered, they are recovered to deck and the sample washed into a collection jar where it is fixed.

2.9.6 Marine fauna surveys

A variety of studies may be considered for observing and/or sampling marine fauna. Sampling activities will require specialised personnel with relevant scientific permits. Observations are used to build knowledge and understanding of species abundance, diversity, migratory behaviours and distribution.

2.9.7 Diving

Diving operations may be required to support any of the described activities. For example, diving may be conducted for environmental surveys (in other words, habitat studies), inspecting deployed metocean survey equipment or recovering or investigating dropped objects.

2.10 Chemicals

On the rare occasion when hard rock is encountered, a lubricant may be used in cutting through the section to be sampled during geotechnical surveys. The chemical assessment procedure is outlined below.

2.10.1 Chemical assessment

A risk-based approach to select chemical products ranked under the Offshore Chemical Notification Scheme (OCNS) is applied for those chemicals used and discharged to the marine environment. This scheme lists and

ranks all chemicals used in the exploration, exploitation, and associated offshore processing of petroleum on the UK Continental Shelf.

Chemicals are ranked according to their calculated Hazard Quotients (HQ) by the CHARM (Chemical Hazard Assessment and Risk Management) mathematical model, which uses aquatic toxicity, biodegradation and bioaccumulation data. The HQ is converted to a colour banding with Gold and Silver colour bands representing the least environmentally hazardous chemicals. Chemicals not amenable to the CHARM model (in other words, inorganic substances, hydraulic fluids or chemicals used only in pipelines) are assigned an OCNS grouping based on the worst-case ecotoxicity data with Group E and D representing the least hazard potential.

The Santos *Operations Chemical Selection, Evaluation and Approval Procedure* (EA-91-II-10001) accepts CHARM ranked Gold/Silver, or non-CHARM ranked E/D chemicals for use and discharge without a detailed environmental risk assessment. The same applies to chemicals that are OSPAR Pose Little or No Risk to the Environment (PLONOR) List. The PLONOR Listed, agreed upon by the OSPAR Convention (Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic), contains a list of substances that will pose little or no risk to the environment in offshore waters. If chemicals are ranked lower than Gold, Silver, E or D (in other words, CHARM ranked purple, orange, blue or white, or non-CHARM A, B or C ranked chemicals) and no alternatives are available, a risk assessment is conducted providing technical justification for their use, and showing that their use and associated risk is acceptable and ALARP.

As described above, investigation of potential alternative chemicals are completed when chemicals are ranked lower than CHARM Gold, Silver, E or D (in other words, CHARM ranked purple, orange, blue or white, or non-CHARM A, B or C ranked chemicals). There is a preference for chemical options that are CHARM ranked Gold/Silver, or non-CHARM ranked E/D chemicals and/or chemical that have a low aquatic toxicity, are readily biodegradable and do not bioaccumulate (discussed below).

Any chemicals that may be discharged to the marine environment and not OCNS CHARM or non-CHARM ranked are risk assessed using the OCNS CHARM or non-CHARM models. The chemical is assigned a pseudo-ranking based on the available aquatic toxicity, biodegradation and bioaccumulation data (discussed below) and assessed for environmental acceptability for discharge to the marine environment.

Ecotoxicity Assessment

Table 2-3 and **Table 2-4** act as guidance in assessing the ecotoxicity of chemicals during the investigation of potential alternatives. **Table 2-3** is used by Cefas to group a chemical based on ecotoxicity results, 'A' representing highest toxicity/risk to environment and 'E' lowest. **Table 2-4** shows classifications/categories of toxicity against aquatic toxicity results.

Table 2-3: Initial OCNS grouping

| Initial grouping | A | B | C | D | E |
|---|-----|---------|------------|---------------|---------|
| Result for aquatic-toxicity data (ppm) | <1 | ≥1-10 | >10-100 | >100-1,000 | >1,000 |
| Result for sediment-toxicity data (ppm) | <10 | ≥10-100 | >100-1,000 | >1,000-10,000 | >10,000 |

Note: Aquatic toxicity refers to the Skeletonema costatum EC₅₀, Acartia tonsa LC₅₀, and Scophthalmus maximus (juvenile turbot) LC₅₀ toxicity tests. Sediment toxicity refers to the Corophium volutator LC₅₀ test.

Source: Cefas Standard Procedure 2019, OCNS 011 NL Protocol PART 1: Core Elements

Table 2-4: Aquatic species toxicity grouping

| Category | Species | LC ₅₀ and EC ₅₀ criteria |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Category Acute 1: Hazard statement – Very toxic to aquatic life | Fish | LC ₅₀ (96 hrs) of ≤1 mg/L |
| | Crustacea | EC ₅₀ (48 hrs) of ≤1 mg/L |
| | Algae/other aquatic plant species | ErC ₅₀ (72 or 96 hrs) of ≤1 mg/L |
| Category Acute 2: Hazard statement – Toxic to aquatic life | Fish | LC ₅₀ (96 hrs) of >1 mg/L to ≤10 mg/L |
| | Crustacea | EC ₅₀ (48 hrs) of >1 mg/L to ≤10 mg/L |
| | Algae/other aquatic plant species | ErC ₅₀ (72 or 96 hrs) of >1 mg/L to ≤10 mg/L |
| Category Acute 3: Hazard statement – Harmful to aquatic life | Fish | LC ₅₀ (96 hrs) of >10 mg/L to ≤100 mg/L |
| | Crustacea | EC ₅₀ (48 hrs) of >10 mg/L to ≤100 mg/L |
| | Algae/other aquatic plant species | ErC ₅₀ (72 or 96 hrs) of >10 mg/L to ≤100 mg/L |

Source: United Nations (2019) Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), Eight Revised Edition

Biodegradation Assessment

The biodegradation of chemicals is assessed using the Cefas biodegradation criteria, which aligns with the categorisation outlined in the United Nations GHS Annex 9 Guidance on Hazards to the Aquatic Environment (2019). The below is used as a guide during the investigation of potential chemical alternatives. Preference is to select readily biodegradable chemicals.

Cefas categorises biodegradation into the following groups:

- + Readily biodegradable: results of >X% biodegradation in 28 days to an OSPAR harmonised offshore chemical notification format (HOCNF) accepted ready biodegradation protocol.
- + Moderately biodegradable: results >20% and <X% to an OSPAR HOCNF accepted ready biodegradation protocol.
- + Poorly biodegradable: results from OSPAR HOCNF accepted ready biodegradation protocol

Where X is equal to:

- + 60% in 28 days in OECD 306, marine biodegradability of insoluble substances or any other acceptable marine protocols, or in the absence of valid results for such tests
- + 60% in 28 days (OECD 301B, 301C, 301D, 301F, Freshwater biodegradability of insoluble substances), OR
- + 70% in 28 days (OECD 301A, 301E).

Bioaccumulation Assessment

The bioaccumulation of chemicals is assessed using the Cefas bioaccumulation criteria, which aligns with the categorisation outlined in the United Nations GHS Annex 9 Guidance on Hazards to the Aquatic Environment (2019). Preference is to select non bioaccumulative chemicals.

The following guidance is used by Cefas:

- + Non-bioaccumulative/non-bioaccumulating: Log P_{ow} <3, or results from a bioaccumulation test (preferably using *Mytilus edulis*) demonstrates a satisfactory rate of uptake and depuration, and the molecular mass is ≥ 700 .
- + Bioaccumulative/Bioaccumulates: Log P_{ow} ≥ 3 , or results from a bioaccumulation test (preferably using *Mytilus edulis*) demonstrates an unsatisfactory rate of uptake and depuration, and the molecular mass is <700.

All operational chemicals will be selected in accordance with the Santos *Operations Chemical Selection, Evaluation and Approval Procedure* (EA-91-II-10001).

3. Description of the environment

| OPGG(E)R 2009 Requirements |
|--|
| Regulation 13(1)(2) |
| <p>The environment plan must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) describe the existing environment that may be affected by the petroleum activity; and (b) include details of the particular relevant values and sensitivities (if any) of that environment. <p>Without limiting paragraph (1)(b), particular relevant values and sensitivities may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the world heritage values of a declared World Heritage property within the meaning of the EPBC Act; (b) the national heritage values of a National Heritage place within the meaning of that Act; (c) the ecological character of a declared Ramsar wetland within the meaning of that Act; (d) threatened; (e) migratory; (f) any values and sensitivities that exist in, or in relation to, part or all of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) a Commonwealth marine area within the meaning of that Act; or (ii) Commonwealth land within the meaning of that Act. |

3.1 Environment that may be affected

This section describes the key physical, biological, socio-economic and cultural characteristics of the existing environment that may be affected by the activity, both from planned and unplanned events associated with the activity. The description of the environment applies to six areas; the three operational areas (the areas within which planned activities will occur), and the three environments that may be affected (EMBA) by unplanned events within operational areas A, B and C. These are shown in **Figure 3-1**.

The potential area impacted by planned activities includes the operational area and an area of up to 2 km from the boundary of each operational area associated with noise emissions from the VBA equipment. No activity will occur within this 2km boundary, it is described purely for environmental impact assessment purposes only.

The EMBA encompasses the full range of environmental receptors that might be contacted by hydrocarbons in the highly unlikely event of a worst-case hydrocarbon spill. Most planned and unplanned events associated with the activity may affect the environment up to a few kilometres from the operational areas; for example, from noise impacts (as identified in **Section 6**). A large unplanned hydrocarbon spill would extend substantially beyond this (**Section 7.6**).

3.1.1 Protected Matters Search Tool reports

Protected Matters Search Tool (PMST) searches were undertaken on the operational area and the EMBA. The PMST searches were completed using the exact coordinates that are utilised to produce the figures throughout **Section 3**, ensuring the EMBA encompasses the full range of environmental receptors that might be contacted by surface and subsurface hydrocarbons at the low exposure level in the highly unlikely event of a worst case oil spill.

On the first page of the PMST report, is a coarse graphic showing the area over which the search has been conducted. However, the granularity of this can make the output look different to the spatial area represented on figures within the EP.

The co-ordinates are also provided within the PMST report to allow for duplication of the search and verification if required. Santos do not have control over the PMST search tool output, but instead have provided the reports and coordinates to ensure transparency.

3.1.2 Determining the environment that may be affected

Stochastic hydrocarbon dispersion and fate modelling, applied to the worst case spill scenario for each of the three operational areas identified as relevant to the activity (**Section 7.6**), was undertaken to inform the EMBA. Stochastic modelling is created by overlaying hundreds of individual hypothetical oil spill simulations from an oil spill into a single map, with each simulation subject to a different set of metocean conditions drawn from historical records. Stochastic modelling is completed to reduce uncertainty in risk assessment and spill response planning.

The modelling considered four key physical or chemical phases of hydrocarbons that pose differing environmental and socioeconomic risks: surface, entrained, dissolved aromatic and shoreline accumulated hydrocarbons. The modelling used defined hydrocarbon exposure values, as relevant, to identifying an area that might be contacted by hydrocarbons, environment risk assessment and oil spill response planning, for the various hydrocarbon phases. Refer to **Table 3-2** for the exposure values used and to **Section 7.6** for further information about the reasons why these exposure values have been selected and how they relate to the risk assessment.

3.1.2.1 Modelling locations

Given the large operational areas provided in this EP, six locations (**Figure 3-1**) were selected to simulate a worst case spill event from, and ensure the worst case potential impacts were captured. Locations that were closest to sensitive receptors were selected, the location and names of these are provided in **Table 3-1**.

Table 3-1: Hydrocarbon spill modelling locations

| Area | Modelling Location | Permit Area | Latitude | Longitude |
|------|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|
| A | Bedout West | WA-541-P | 19°24'08.7" S | 117°55'44.6" E |
| | Bedout North | WA-437-P | 18°46'32.1" S | 118°59'55.9" E |
| | Bedout South | WA-438-P | 19°27'40.9" S | 119°19'42.3" E |
| B | Dancer | WA-1-P | 19°58'19.3" S | 116°20'56.5" E |
| | Reindeer Wellhead Platform (WHP) | WA-41-L | 20° 01' 26.8" S | 116° 18' 34.9" E |
| C | WA-510-P | WA-510-P | 20°25'52.1" S | 115°19'21.1" E |
| | Spartan | WA-33-R | 20°32'4.5" S | 115°14'52.9" E |

To ensure a representative EMBA was correctly assessed in this EP, the EMBA for each of the operational areas were combined to indicate the greatest extent of a potential spill with the area; providing three EMBA in total (**Figure 3-1**). The EMBA are shown as:

- + Area A: three EMBA (**Figure 3-2**)
- + Area B: two EMBA (**Figure 3-3**)
- + Area C: two EMBA (**Figure 3-4**).

3.1.2.2 Hydrocarbon exposure values

The EMBA's are based on stochastic modelling, using the low exposure values (**Table 3-2**). The EMBA's encompass the outer most boundary of the overlaid worst-case spatial extent of the four hydrocarbon phases listed above for the credible spill scenario for each area.

The low exposure values are used as a predictive tool to set the outer boundaries of EMBA's and may not necessarily result in ecologically significant impacts. To inform the evaluation of potential environmental consequences of a hydrocarbon release (impact assessment), modelling is undertaken using higher exposure values (in other words, the concentrations at which environmental consequences may result). The higher exposure values are known as 'moderate' and 'high' are further explained in **Section 7.6**.

A low exposure threshold, which represents a visible oil (rainbow) sheen, has been used to provide an indication of the extent to which stakeholders may visually observe oil on the sea surface. This is considered to provide a conservative extent of potential impacts to visual amenity. Biological impacts are expected to occur within the moderate and high exposure values which represent a subset of the EMBA's. Refer to **Section 7.6** for further information about the spill trajectory modelling thresholds that have been selected.

Table 3-2: EMBA hydrocarbon exposure values

| Hydrocarbon phase | Exposure Value | | |
|--|----------------|----------|-------|
| | Low | Moderate | High |
| Floating (g/m ²) | 1 | 10 | 50 |
| Shoreline accumulation (g/m ²) | 10 | 100 | 1,000 |
| Dissolved aromatics (ppb) | 10 | 50 | 400 |
| Entrained (ppb) | 10 | 100 | - |




Figure 3-1: Overall EMBA for each operational area




Figure 3-2: EMBA from three modelling locations within operational area A and the overall EMBA for area A




Figure 3-3: EMBA from two modelling locations within operational area B and the overall EMBA for area B




Figure 3-4: EMBA from two modelling locations within operational area C and the overall EMBA for area C

3.2 Environmental values and sensitivities

This section summarises environmental values and sensitivities including physical, biological, social, economic and cultural features within the marine and coastal environment that are relevant to operational areas A, B and C and EMBA A, B and C.

A summary of the information derived from the Department of Agriculture, Water and Environment (DAWE) PMST, Bioregional Plans and Fauna Recovery Plans relevant to the operational areas and the EMBA is provided in this section. A detailed and comprehensive description of the environment (in accordance with regulation 13(1)(2) of the OPGGS(E)R is available in **Appendix C**. This draws upon existing knowledge and a comprehensive review of information about the marine environmental values and sensitivities in the region.

Copies of the DAWE PMST outputs for the operational areas and the EMBA are also available in **Appendix C**.

The figures presented in this section of the EP have been zoomed to the extent of the data boundaries present within the EMBA, to show all relevant data layers in a legible manner. Some data layers that sit within the map area but are not present within the EMBA are not displayed.

3.2.1 Physical environment

3.2.1.1 Bioregions

Based on the Integrated Marine and Coastal Regionalisation of Australia (IMCRA) Version 4.0, the bioregions overlapped by operational areas (A, B and C) and EMBA are provided in **Table 3-3** and **Figure 3-5**.

Table 3-3: IMCRA 4.0 provincial bioregions relevant to the activity

| Bioregion | Operational Area A | Operational Area B | Operational Area C | EMBA Area A | EMBA Area B | EMBA Area C |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Northwest Shelf Province | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Northwest Province | | | | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Northwest Transition | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Central Western Transition | | | | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Central Western Shelf Transition | | | | | ✓ | ✓ |




Figure 3-5: IMCRA 4.0 provincial bioregions within the EMBA and operational areas

3.2.1.2 Benthic habitats

The presence of marine, coastal and terrestrial habitats within the operational areas and EMBA are shown in **Figure 3-6** and **Figure 3-7**, listed in **Table 3-4**, and a detailed description of these habitats with reference to the IMCRA provincial bioregions is provided in **Appendix C**. Further detailed description of the benthic habitats within the EMBA is not provided as the potential impacts from a hydrocarbon spill (from vessel collision) are limited to the surface waters only.

3.2.1.2.1 Area A

Operational area A does not contain any shoreline habitat. The nearest landmasses are Eighty Mile Beach and Dampier Archipelago, located approximately 58 km and 146 km from operational area A, respectively.

The operational area is in water depths ranging from 35 m to 110 m; depths which are unlikely to receive significant concentrations of light (Burke *et al.*, 2001) and are therefore unlikely to sustain light-dependent benthic organisms such as hard corals or algae.

An RPS benthic habitat and community survey was conducted in 75 m to 138 m water depths and overlaps some of the operational area. As the RPS survey is located within the operational area this information is relevant to this EP and is considered representative of the benthic habitat in the rest of the operational area, including the portion which intercepts the Key Ecological Feature (KEF). The survey (2019) showed the benthic habitats across the operational area were broadly homogenous and comprised of two main types: silt/sand sediment and low relief hard substrate habitats. Soft sediment habitats were more widespread and often supported by sparse to medium density tube worm communities where the sediments were finer and appeared more stable (not rippled by seabed currents). Areas of coarser bare sand were generally rippled, indicating they are being moved by seabed currents. These more mobile sediments tend to support less well-developed biotic assemblages.

Most of the area of soft sediment is underlain by a hard pavement reef. Where the reef is exposed, it has been colonised by epibiota. The epibiotic assemblages were dominated by filter-feeders.

The operational area overlaps the Ancient Coastline (KEF) along the 125 m contour (**Figure 3-10**) as defined by Santos data. The ancient coastline KEF is defined by the depth range 115 to 135 m in the Northwest Shelf Province and Northwest Shelf Transition provincial bioregions (defined in IMCRA 4.0). Where the ancient submerged coastline provides areas of hard substrate higher biological diversity habitat may be present. Little detailed knowledge is available for this area, but the hard substrate of the escarpment is known to support sponges, corals, crinoids, molluscs, echinoderms (DSEWPaC, 2012).

KEFs have been identified by the Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) on the basis of advice from scientists provided in workshops about the ecological processes and characteristics of the broader region. In order to create a spatial representation of KEFs for Marine Bioregional Planning, some interpretation of the information was required. DAWE state that “every effort to use the best available spatial information and best judgement on how to spatially represent the features based on the scientific advice provided. This does not preclude others from making their own interpretation of available information” (DoE, 2006).

More recent and accurate bathymetry data obtained during the Capreolus seismic survey in 2015 has enabled Santos to define more accurately the spatial extent of the ancient coastline at 125 m KEF in the operational area by using the 115 m and 135 m bathymetry contours to define the ancient coastline. The ancient coastline at 125 m KEF based on the seismic bathymetry data is shown in **Figure 3-10** and this is used to undertake impact assessment for planned events within this EP. Santos continues to undertake further surveys of the KEF and this will be used to undertake risk assessments if VBA occur on the KEF.

3.2.1.2.2 Area B

Operational area B does not contain any shoreline habitat. The nearest landmasses are the Montebello Islands, Dampier Archipelago and Barrow Island, located approximately 77 km, 45 km and 105 km from operational area B, respectively.

The predominant habitat type in the operational area is soft unconsolidated sediments (RPS, 2008). Given that the water depths in the operational area range between approximately 50 and 65 m, benthic primary producer habitat (for example, areas of hard corals, seagrass or macroalgae) is unlikely to be present. Due to insufficient light available at these depths, benthic primary production is limited (RPS, 2008).

A detailed marine survey of the seabed along the pipeline alignment and at the Reindeer Well Head Platform location within the operational area was performed in October 2007 (RPS, 2008). As the survey is located within the operational area this information is relevant to this EP and is considered representative of the benthic habitat in the rest of the operational area. This survey described the benthic communities at the seabed at a number of sites spanning the Reindeer facilities.

The deepest areas investigated, approximately between 60 and 45 m water depth, comprising mainly medium-to-coarse sand and generally supported low-diversity communities, with sparse benthic and epibenthic (living on the surface of sediments) organisms that included sea pens (sometimes quite dense), heart urchins, and very occasional crinoids and bryozoans. The fine-to-medium sand habitats were characterised by a higher level of bioturbation than was evident in the coarser sediments. The epibenthic fauna characteristics of the deep areas suggest the presence of a deep sand layer without pavement close to the surface.

Between 43 and 47 m water depth, the substrate was again dominated by mostly bare medium-to-coarse sands, with limited benthic (living on the seafloor) faunal communities. There were occasional emergent areas of rock pavement. The hard substrates were colonised by a more diverse community, including occasional sea whips, sponges, gorgonians, sea pens and crinoids in low densities. Species diversity and density appeared to relate mainly to sediment stability and seabed profile, with the higher profile features supporting more abundant and diverse communities than the lower pavements and bare sandy areas. Bare sands were bioturbated (mixed) by infauna (living within the sediment), but very few organisms were seen over pavement areas other than the occasional schooling fish and a sea snake.

Further exposed rock pavement, isolated small surface rocks and pavement overlain with thin sand veneers were identified between 51 and 50 km offshore in 41 m water depth. This area was mostly bare rock and sand apart from occasional sponges and fish near the rocks. The rock pavement extended into areas previously described as medium-to-coarse gravelly sands. These areas were characterised by occasional sponges, crinoids, hydroids, sea whips, ascidians, isolated patches of gorgonian fans, very occasional sea stars and bare bioturbated sands.

3.2.1.2.3 Area C

Operational area C does not contain any shoreline habitat. There are no known offshore reefs or islands in or in close proximity (less than 5 km) to the operational area. The nearest landmasses are the Montebello Islands and Barrow Island, located approximately 17.3 km and 8.4 km from operational area C, respectively.

The benthic (at or just below the seabed) habitats in waters in the operational area lie at depths ranging from approximately 30 m to 80 m. The operational area is likely to consist of soft sediment seabed and sandy and muddy substrates, occasionally interspersed with hard substrates covered with sand veneers (DEWHA, 2008a). Non-coral benthic invertebrates are likely to be the dominant community, albeit in low densities. Non-coral benthic invertebrates that occur in the operational area are likely to include sea cucumbers,

urchins, crabs and polychaetes on soft substrate. Hard substrates are likely to contain sessile (fixed in one place) invertebrates, such as sponges and gorgonians (DEWHA, 2008).

The nearest key shoals to the operational area are the Glomar Shoals, located approximately 153 km northeast of the operational area. An understanding of these features has been gained from the Big Bank Shoals study (Heyward *et al.*, 1997) and the PTTEP Australasia surveys initiated in response to the Montara incident (Heyward *et al.*, 2010; Heyward *et al.*, 2012).

3.2.1.3 Islands


No islands or emergent reef systems are located within the operational areas. Several islands and emergent reefs are located within the EMBA that provide intertidal and shoreline habitats for a variety of marine fauna and ecological communities. These islands and reefs are summarised below.

Bedout Island is located 9.4 km south of operational area A and is an A-class nature reserve. The island is a low and undulating, 0.3 km² sandy cay on limestone bedrock, heavily vegetated with *Spinifex longifolius*. Bedout Island supports breeding birds such as masked booby, white-bellied sea eagle, silver gull, crested tern and lesser crested tern (BirdLife International, 2018). Burbidge *et al.* (1987) report numbers of occupied nests of brown booby (approximately 10,000), masked booby (approximately 178) and lesser frigate bird (2,290) surveyed in 1984 on Bedout Island. Bedout Island is fringed by coral reef and provides seabird and turtle foraging habitat.

North Turtle Island is an A-class nature reserve located 44 km south of operational area A. The island is fringed by coral reef and provides turtle and seabird nesting and foraging habitat (BHP, 2011; Davidson and Thomas-Dans, Landscape article, undated).

Bedwell Island on Clerke Reef and **Cunningham Island** on Imperieuse Reef are located 160 km and 118 km respectively from operational area A and consist of unvegetated sand cays about 2 m and 3.7 m high respectively. Bedwell Island is home to one of only two colonies of red-tailed tropicbirds in WA (the other being located at Ashmore Reef and Cartier Island outside of the EMBA), along with several other bird species. Bedwell Island also provides occasional nesting habitat for a small number of hawksbill and green turtles. Both Bedwell Island and Cunningham Island are known resting sites for migratory birds (DoEC, 2007).

Rowley Shoals, located 110 km from operational area A, comprises three distinct reef systems, Imperieuse Reef, Clerke Reef and Mermaid Reef, each located approximately 30 to 40 km apart. The reefs rise vertically to the surface from depths of between 500 and 700 m. Mermaid Reef includes low lying sandy cays which are completely submerged at high tide and therefore fall under Australian Government jurisdiction (Commonwealth waters). The other two reefs, Clerke Reef and Imperieuse Reef are emergent reefs with sandy islets above the high-water mark and are managed as the WA Rowley Shoals MP. The marine reef fauna of the Rowley Shoals is considered to be exceptionally rich and diverse, including species typical of the oceanic coral reef communities of the Indo-West Pacific. As many of these species are not found in the inshore tropical waters of northern Australia, such populations are of regional significance (DSEWPaC, 2012a).



**VESSEL BASED ACTIVITIES - AREA A
BENTHIC HABITATS**

Figure 3-6: Benthic habitat within operational area A and EMBA




Figure 3-7: Benthic habitat within operational areas B and C and EMBA

Table 3-4: Habitats within the EMBA listed according to presence within the operational areas and IMCRA Provincial Bioregions of Australia

| Category | Receptor | Operational Area A presence | Operational Area B presence | Operational Area C presence | EMBA Presence | | | | | Relevant events that may impact on the receptors |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | | | | | Northwest Province | Northwest Shelf Province | Northwest Transition | Central Western Shelf Transition | Central Western Transition | |
| Benthic habitats | Coral reefs | X | X | X | X | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | X | Unplanned: Introduction of Invasive Marine Species (IMS) Release of hydrocarbons |
| | Seagrass | X | X | X | X | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | X | Unplanned: Release of hydrocarbons |
| | Macroalgae | X | X | X | X | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | X | Unplanned: Release of hydrocarbons |
| | Non-coral benthic invertebrates | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Planned: Seabed disturbance Planned operational discharges Unplanned: Introduction of IMS Release of hydrocarbons |
| Shoreline habitats | Mangroves | X | X | X | X | ✓ | X | ✓ | X | Unplanned: Release of hydrocarbons |
| | Intertidal platforms | X | X | X | X | ✓ | X | ✓ | X | |
| | Sandy beaches | X | X | X | X | ✓ | X | ✓ | X | |
| | Rocky shorelines | X | X | X | X | ✓ | X | ✓ | X | |
| | Saline mudflats | X | X | X | X | ✓ | X | X | X | |

3.2.2 Protected/significant areas

Protected/significant areas identified in the operational areas and EMBA are detailed in **Table 3-5**, and shown in **Figure 3-8**, **Figure 3-9**, **Figure 3-10** and **Figure 3-11**. These areas are further discussed in **Appendix C**. The management zones, associated with the AMPs identified in the EMBA, and the relevant objectives are detailed in **Table 3-6**. Distances shown are from the closest point of the operational area to the nearest feature.

Table 3-5: Distance from respective operational area boundaries to protected areas, key ecological features and threatened ecological communities within the EMBA

| Value/sensitivity | Name | Area A | | | Area B | | | Area C | | | Protection classification/zone |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|---|
| | | Within operational area | Within EMBA | Distance to operational area | Within operational area | Within EMBA | Distance to operational area | Within operational area | Within EMBA | Distance to operational area | |
| Australian Marine Parks | Montebello Marine Park | X | X | 214 km SW | X | ✓ | 26 km W | ✓ | ✓ | Intersects | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) General Use Zone |
| | Dampier Marine Park | X | X | 106 km SW | X | X | 50 km SE | X | ✓ | 157 km W | Marine National Park Zone (IUCN I) Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) Special Purpose Zone (IUCN VI) |
| | Gascoyne Marine Park | X | X | 460 km SW | X | ✓ | 270 km SW | X | ✓ | 139 km W | Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) National Park Zone (IUCN II) Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| | Ningaloo Marine Park | X | X | 459 km SW | X | ✓ | 275 km SW | X | ✓ | 142 km SW | Recreational Use Zone (IUCN IV) National Park Zone (IUCN II) |
| | Argo Rowley Terrace Marine Park | X | ✓ | 110 km N | X | X | 240 km N | X | X | 315 km NE | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| | Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park | ✓ | ✓ | Boundaries adjacent | X | X | 277 km | X | X | 381 km ENE | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| State Marine Parks and Marine Management Areas | Montebello Islands Marine Park | X | X | 260 km SW | X | ✓ | 72 km SW | X | ✓ | 8.9 km E | Sanctuary Zone Recreation Zone Special Purpose Zone |
| | Montebello Islands Conservation Park | X | X | 267 km SW | X | ✓ | 77 km SW | X | ✓ | 16 km E | Sanctuary Zone |
| | Barrow Island Marine Management Area | X | X | 274 km SW | X | ✓ | 87 km SW | X | ✓ | 2.7 km SE | - |
| | Barrow Island Marine Park | X | X | 304 km SW | X | ✓ | 117 km SW | X | ✓ | 7.2 km S | Sanctuary Zone |
| | Muiron Islands Marine Management Area | X | X | 427 km SW | X | ✓ | 254 km SW | X | ✓ | 124 km SW | Sanctuary Zone Special Purpose Zone Recreation Zone General Use Zone |
| | Ningaloo Marine Park | X | X | 457 km SW | X | ✓ | 275 km SW | X | ✓ | 143 km SW | Recreational Use Zone (IUCN IV) General Use Zone Special Purpose Zone |
| World Heritage Areas | Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park | X | ✓ | 50 km S | X | X | 320 km E | X | X | 435 km E | Sanctuary Zone Special Purpose Zone Recreation Zone General Use Zone |
| World Heritage Areas | The Ningaloo Coast | X | X | 427 km SW | X | ✓ | 254 km SW | X | ✓ | 124 km SW | - |

| Value/sensitivity | Name | Area A | | | Area B | | | Area C | | | Protection classification/zone |
|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Within operational area | Within EMBA | Distance to operational area | Within operational area | Within EMBA | Distance to operational area | Within operational area | Within EMBA | Distance to operational area | |
| National Heritage Areas | Barrow Island and the Montebello-Barrow Island Marine Conservation Reserves | X | X | 260 km SW | X | ✓ | 72 km SW | X | ✓ | 2.7 km SE | - |
| | Dampier Archipelago (including Burrup Peninsula) | X | X | 146 km SW | X | ✓ | 45 km SE | X | ✓ | 117 km E | - |
| | The Ningaloo Coast | X | X | 427 km SW | X | ✓ | 254 km SW | X | ✓ | 124 km SW | - |
| Key Ecological Features | Ancient Coastline at 125 m Depth Contour | ✓ | ✓ | Intersects | X | ✓ | 37 km NW | X | ✓ | 16 km W | - |
| | Commonwealth Waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef | X | X | 460 km SW | X | ✓ | 275 km SW | X | ✓ | 142 km SW | - |
| | Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities | X | X | 257 km W | X | ✓ | 89 km NW | X | ✓ | 26 km W | - |
| | Canyons linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Cape Range Peninsula | X | X | 412 km SW | X | ✓ | 228 km SW | X | ✓ | 97 km SW | - |
| | Glomar Shoals | X | ✓ | 112 km W | X | ✓ | 28 km NE | X | ✓ | 153 km NE | - |
| | Exmouth Plateau | X | X | 369 km W | X | ✓ | 199 km NE | X | ✓ | 122 km NW | - |
| | Mermaid Reef and Commonwealth waters | X | ✓ | 106 km N | X | X | 350 km NE | X | X | 470 km NE | - |
| Ramsar Wetlands | Eighty Mile Beach | X | ✓ | 55 km SE | X | X | 348 km E | X | X | 464 km E | -- |

Table 3-6: Management zones for the Australian and State Marine Parks found within the EMBA and the associated objectives

| Management zones | Objective |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Australian Marine Parks | |
| Multiple Use (IUCN VI) | Managed to allow ecologically sustainable use while conserving ecosystems, habitats and native species. The zone allows for a range of sustainable uses, including commercial fishing and mining where they are consistent with park values. |
| Recreational Use (IUCN IV) | Managed to allow recreational use while conserving ecosystems, habitats and native species in as natural a state as possible. The zone allows for recreational fishing, but not commercial fishing. |
| Marine National Park Zone (IUCN I) | The objective is to protect natural biodiversity along with its underlying ecological structure and supporting environmental processes, and to promote education and recreation. |
| Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) | The objective is to provide for the conservation of ecosystems, habitats and native species in as natural a state as possible, while allowing activities that do not harm or cause destruction to seafloor habitats. |
| Special Purpose Zone (IUCN VI) | The objective is to protect natural ecosystems and use natural resources sustainably, when conservation and sustainable use can be mutually beneficial. |
| National Park Zone (II) | The objective is to protect natural biodiversity with its underlying ecological structure and supporting environmental processes and to promote education and recreation. |
| State Marine Park | |
| Sanctuary Zone | The primary purpose of sanctuary zones is for the protection and conservation of marine biodiversity. Sanctuary zones are 'no-take' areas managed solely for nature conservation and low impact recreation and tourism. |
| Special Purpose Zone | <i>Special purpose (benthic protection) zone:</i> This zone has the priority purpose of conservation of benthic habitat. <i>Special purpose (shore-based activities) zone:</i> Special purpose zones in marine parks are managed for a priority purpose or use, such as a seasonal event (for example, wildlife breeding, whale watching) or a commercial activity (for example, pearling). |
| Recreation Zone | Recreation zones have the primary purpose of providing opportunities for recreational activities, including fishing, for visitors and for commercial tourism operators, where these activities are compatible with the maintenance of the values of the zone. |
| General Use Zone | Conservation of natural values is still the priority of general use zones, but activities such as sustainable commercial and recreational fishing, aquaculture, pearling and petroleum exploration and production may be permitted provided they do not compromise the ecological values of the marine park. |

Oil and gas operations and associated oil spill response may be conducted in a Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) subject to the class approval and prescriptions in the North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan (North-west MPNMP) (Director of National Parks, 2018). The Class Approval – Mining Operations and Greenhouse Gas Activities for the North-west MPNMP, which is applicable to petroleum-related activities, came into effect on 1 July 2018. Prescriptions/conditions of the North-west MPNMP and Class Approval for the North-west MPNMP that are considered relevant to the scope of this EP are provided in **Table 3-7**. These prescriptions are relevant to activities conducted in operational area A which is adjacent to Eighty Mile beach AMP, and operational area C which is within the Montebello AMP. Oil and gas activities are permitted within these IUCN VI multiple use zones.

Table 3-7: Prescriptions/Conditions from the North-West MPNMP 2018 and Associated Class Approval – Mining Operations and Greenhouse Gas Activities Relevant to the Activities in this EP

| Prescription/ Condition Number | Prescription / Condition | Relevant Section of EP |
|--|---|---|
| North-West MPNMP (DNP, 2018a) | | |
| 4.2.1 | Waste from normal operations of vessels must be compliant with requirements under the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL), the International Maritime Organisation (IMO) convention covering prevention of pollution of the marine environment by ships from operational or accidental causes. | Section 6.6 |
| 4.2.1 | Ballast water discharge and exchange must be compliant with Australian ballast water management requirements administered by the Australian Maritime Safety Authority | Section 7.2 |
| 4.2.9.8 | Notwithstanding Section 4.2.9.1 (of the North-West MPNMP), actions required to respond to oil pollution incidents, including environmental monitoring and remediation, in connection with mining operations authorised under the OPGGS Act, may be conducted in all zones without an authorisation issued by the Director, provided that the actions are taken in accordance with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + an environment plan that has been accepted by NOPSEMA, and + the Director is notified in the event of oil pollution within a marine park, or where an oil spill response action must be taken within a marine park, so far as reasonably practicable, prior to response action being taken. | This EP Section 4 (Stakeholder Consultation), reporting under Section 8.9 and the oil pollution emergency plan (OPEP). |
| Class Approval – Mining Operations and Green House Gas Activities – for North-West MPNMP (DNP, 2018a) | | |
| 1 | Approved action must be conducted in accordance with: | The OPEP (some proposed response activities in the event of an oil pollution incident may be undertaken within the North-west Marine Park Network). |
| | (a) an Environment Plan accepted under the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations (2009); | |
| | (b) the EPBC Act; | Appendix B (Legislation) |
| | (c) the EPBC Regulations; | This EP. |
| | (d) the North-west Network Management Plan; | This table. |

| Prescription/ Condition Number | Prescription / Condition | Relevant Section of EP |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| | (e) Any prohibitions, restrictions or determinations made under the EPBC Regulations by the Director of National Parks; and | Not applicable. |
| | (f) all other applicable Commonwealth and state and territory laws (to the extent those laws are capable of operating concurrently with the laws and instruments described in paragraphs a to e)). | Appendix B (Legislation), and the OPEP. |
| 2 | If requested by the Director of National Parks, an Approved Person must notify the Director prior to conducting Approved Actions within Approved Zones. Note: the timeframe for prior notice will be agreed to by the Director of National Parks and the Approved person. | Section 8.9 (Reporting) and the OPEP. |
| 3 | If requested by the Director of National Parks, an Approved Person must provide the Director with information relating to undertaking the Approved Actions (or gathered while undertaking the Approved Actions), that is relevant to the Director's management of the Approved Zones. Note: the information required, and timeframe within which it is required, will be agreed to by the Director of National Parks and the Approved Person. | Not applicable. |

In addition, activities in Operational area C may be conducted close to the Barrow Island MMA (2.7km away) and Barrow Island Marine Park (7.2km away). The MP and MMA are managed under the Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow islands marine conservation reserves 2007-2017. The plan directs management for a ten year period for three reserves, namely the Montebello Islands Marine Park, Barrow Island Marine Park and Barrow Island Marine Management Area. Specific conditions or prescriptions in the plan that are relevant to the proposed activity are summarised in **Table 3-8**. Consultation with the DBCA (**Section 4**) has been conducted to ensure the activity can meet their management objectives.

Table 3-8: Conditions in the Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow islands marine conservation reserves 2007-2017 relevant to the activity

| Section No. | Prescription / Condition | Relevant Section of EP |
|-------------|--|--|
| 9.1.3 | Ensure a pollutant inputs database for the reserves is maintained | Table 8-4 includes the requirement for reporting any spills that could impact on the reserves |
| 9.1.3 | All waters of the Barrow Island Marine Park and waters within 500 m of the boundary of the marine park are designated 'Zone 1' (no discharge [sewage]) | Section 6.6 – Operational area C is >7 km from the boundary |
| 9.1.3 | All other waters in the Barrow Island MMA are designated 'Zone 3' ([sewage] discharge permitted, except discharge is not permitted within 500 m of land or pearling/aquaculture areas, and waters within 100 m of a stationary vessel or person in the water). | Section 6.6 – Operational area C is >2km from the boundary |

| Section No. | Prescription / Condition | Relevant Section of EP |
|-------------|---|---|
| 9.1.10 | Ensure relevant industry activities are undertaken at times and places that do not conflict with humpback whale migration through the reserves | Section 6 – Outlines the potential impacts to humpback whales, and the distance from the boundaries is greater than the distance to potential impacts. |
| 9.1.10 | Maintain records of the incidence of entanglement, boat collisions and stranding of marine mammals in the reserves | Table 8-4 includes the requirement for reporting any incidences of entanglement |
| 9.1.11 | Ensure that licences for pearling, aquaculture, nature-based tourism and hydrocarbon operations contain conditions to minimise the impacts of lights and flares on turtle hatchlings | Section 6.2 - Assesses the potential impacts from lighting on turtles from activities occurring close to the reserves |
| 9.1.11 | Manage human activities such that mating aggregations and nesting activities of turtles are not significantly disturbed by recreational boating, nature-based tourism, pearling, aquaculture and hydrocarbon operations | Section 6 - Assesses the potential impacts from planned activities on turtles from activities occurring close to the reserves |
| 9.1.12 | Ensure that important seabird and shorebird breeding and feeding areas are not significantly affected by human activities | Section 6 - Assesses the potential impacts from planned activities on seabirds from activities occurring close to the reserves |




Figure 3-8: Protected areas within and near the EMBA and operational area A




Figure 3-9: Protected areas within and near the EMBA and operational areas B and C




Figure 3-10: Key ecological features within and near the EMBA and operational areas

3.2.3 Threatened and migratory fauna

A summary of the Listed Threatened Species (LTS) and Listed Migratory Species (LMS) identified by the PMST for each of the operational areas and EMBA is shown in **Table 3-9** (note: the EMBA species' totals include those of the operational area).

The combined spill trajectory area for the worst-case unplanned diesel release during VBA encompasses offshore islands, however hydrocarbons will be limited to the contact margins of these islands. The PMST for Area A EMBA identified ten terrestrial/subterranean LTS/LMS; Area B EMBA identified 13 terrestrial/subterranean LTS/LMS; and Area C EMBA identified five terrestrial/subterranean LTS/LMS as having the potential to occur within the EMBA; however, these species are not expected to be impacted due to their terrestrial habitat and are therefore not discussed further.

Table 3-9: Summary of the LTS and LMS identified by the PMST

| | Area A | | Area B | | Area C | |
|--------------------------|---------|------|---------|------|---------|------|
| | Op Area | EMBA | Op Area | EMBA | Op Area | EMBA |
| LTS | 19 | 34 | 19 | 22 | 20 | 43 |
| LMS | 38 | 74 | 33 | 44 | 37 | 55 |
| Terrestrial/subterranean | N/A | 10 | N/A | 14 | N/A | 6 |
| Total | 57 | 98 | 52 | 52 | 57 | 92 |

*NOTE: EMBA species' totals *include* those of the operational area

Those listed as threatened or migratory species groups and which have been identified as potentially being present within the operational areas or EMBA, and the relevant planned and unplanned events that may impact them, are listed in **Table 3-10**. Threatened and migratory species within these species' groups are further described in **Appendix C**.

Biologically Important Areas (BIAs) such as an aggregation, breeding, resting, nesting or feeding area or known migratory routes for these species within the operational areas and EMBA are shown in **Figure 3-11** to **Figure 3-17** and are also described in **Appendix C**. The relevant BIAs that occur within the operational areas are identified in **Table 3-11**.

Table 3-10: Environmental values and sensitivities within the operational areas and EMBA – threatened and migratory marine fauna

| Value/sensitivity | | EPBC Act Status | Area A | | | | Area B | | | | Area C | | | | Relevant events |
|--|--|-----------------------|--------------------|---|-------------|---|--------------------|---|-------------|--|--------------------|---|-------------|---|---|
| Common name | Scientific name | | Operational Area A | | EMBA Area A | | Operational Area B | | EMBA Area B | | Operational Area C | | EMBA Area C | | |
| | | | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | |
| Fish and Sharks | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Whale shark | <i>Rhincodon typus</i> | Vulnerable, Migratory | ✓ | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area. Overlap with foraging BIA. | ✓ | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area. Overlap with foraging BIA. | ✓ | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area. Overlap with foraging BIA. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area. Overlap with foraging BIA. | ✓ | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area. Overlap with foraging BIA. | <u>Planned</u> + Acoustic disturbance to marine fauna + Light emissions + Seabed and benthic habitat disturbance + Operational discharges + Spill response operations <u>Unplanned</u> + Release of solid objects + Introduction of invasive marine species (IMS) + Marine fauna interaction + Hazardous Liquid Releases + Release of hydrocarbons |
| Grey nurse shark (west coast population) | <i>Carcharias taurus (west coast population)</i> | Vulnerable | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | |
| Great white shark | <i>Carcharodon carcharias</i> | Vulnerable, Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | |
| Dwarf sawfish | <i>Pristis clavata</i> | Vulnerable, Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | |
| Freshwater sawfish | <i>Pristis pristis</i> | Vulnerable | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | |
| Green sawfish | <i>Pristis zijsron</i> | Vulnerable, Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | |
| Narrow sawfish | <i>Anoxypristis cuspidata</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | |

| Value/sensitivity | | EPBC Act Status | Area A | | | | Area B | | | | Area C | | | | Relevant events |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|-------------|---|--------------------|---|-------------|---|--------------------|---|-------------|---|--|
| Common name | Scientific name | | Operational Area A | | EMBA Area A | | Operational Area B | | EMBA Area B | | Operational Area C | | EMBA Area C | | |
| | | | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | |
| Reef manta ray | <i>Manta alfredi</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | |
| Giant manta ray | <i>Manta birostris</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | |
| Shortfin mako | <i>Isurus oxyrinchus</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | |
| Longfin mako | <i>Isurus paucus</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | |
| Blind gudgeon | <i>Milyeringa veritas</i> | Vulnerable | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | |
| Blind cave eel | <i>Ophisternon candidum</i> | Vulnerable | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | Unplanned + Release of hydrocarbons |
| Porbeagle, mackerel shark | <i>Lamna nasus</i> | Migratory | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | |
| Oceanic whitetip shark | <i>Carcharhinus longimanus</i> | Migratory | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | |

| Value/sensitivity | | EPBC Act Status | Area A | | | | Area B | | | | Area C | | | | Relevant events |
|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------------|--|-------------|--|--------------------|--|-------------|---|--------------------|--|-------------|---|--|
| Common name | Scientific name | | Operational Area A | | EMBA Area A | | Operational Area B | | EMBA Area B | | Operational Area C | | EMBA Area C | | |
| | | | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | |
| Marine Mammals | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Humpback whale | <i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i> | Vulnerable, Migratory | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. Overlap with migration BIA. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. Overlap with migration BIA. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. Overlap with migration BIA. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. Overlap with migration and resting BIA. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. Overlap with migration BIA. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. Overlap with migration BIA. | <u>Planned</u> + Acoustic disturbance to marine fauna + Light emissions + Operational discharges + Spill response operations <u>Unplanned</u> + Marine fauna interaction + Hazardous Liquid Releases + Release of hydrocarbons |
| Blue whale | <i>Balaenoptera musculus</i> | Endangered, Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Migration route known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Migration route known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Migration route known to occur within area. Overlap with BIA for migration. | |
| Bryde's whale | <i>Balaenoptera edeni</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | |
| Orca, killer whale | <i>Orcinus orca</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | |
| Spotted bottlenose dolphin | <i>Tursiops aduncus</i> (Arafura/Timor Sea Populations) | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | |
| Dugong | <i>Dugong dugon</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. Overlap with foraging (high density seagrass beds), breeding, nursing and calving BIA. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | |
| Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin | <i>Sousa chinensis</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | |

| Value/sensitivity | | EPBC Act Status | Area A | | | | Area B | | | | Area C | | | | Relevant events |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---|-------------|--|--------------------|--|-------------|---|--------------------|---|-------------|---|---|
| Common name | Scientific name | | Operational Area A | | EMBA Area A | | Operational Area B | | EMBA Area B | | Operational Area C | | EMBA Area C | | |
| | | | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | |
| Sei whale | <i>Balaenoptera borealis</i> | Vulnerable, Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area. | |
| Fin whale | <i>Balaenoptera physalus</i> | Vulnerable, Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area. | |
| Sperm whale | <i>Physeter macrocephalus</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | |
| Southern right whale | <i>Eubalaena australis</i> | Endangered, Migratory | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | <u>Unplanned</u> |
| Antarctic minke whale | <i>Balaenoptera bonaerensis</i> | Migratory | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | + Release of hydrocarbons |
| Marine Reptiles | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short-nosed seasnake | <i>Aipysurus apraefrontalis</i> | Critically Endangered | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | <u>Planned</u> |
| Loggerhead turtle | <i>Caretta caretta</i> | Endangered, Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. Overlap with interesting buffer and nesting BIA. | ✓ | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | + Acoustic disturbance to marine fauna + Light emissions + Seabed and benthic habitat disturbance + Operational discharges |

| Value/sensitivity | | EPBC Act Status | Area A | | | | Area B | | | | Area C | | | | Relevant events |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--|-------------|--|--------------------|--|-------------|---|--------------------|--|-------------|--|--|
| Common name | Scientific name | | Operational Area A | | EMBA Area A | | Operational Area B | | EMBA Area B | | Operational Area C | | EMBA Area C | | |
| | | | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | |
| Green turtle | <i>Chelonia mydas</i> | Vulnerable, Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. Overlap with nesting, foraging, interesting buffer, mating, basking, interesting and aggregation BIA. | ✓ | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Spill response operations <u>Unplanned</u> + Introduction of IMS + Marine fauna interaction + Hazardous Liquid Releases + Release of hydrocarbons |
| Leatherback turtle | <i>Dermochelys coriacea</i> | Endangered, Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | |
| Hawksbill turtle | <i>Eretmochelys imbricata</i> | Vulnerable, Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. Overlap with interesting buffer, mating, nesting, foraging, migration corridor and interesting BIA. | ✓ | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | |
| Flatback turtle | <i>Natator depressus</i> | Vulnerable, Migratory | ✓ | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area. Overlap with interesting buffer BIA. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | ✓ | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area. Overlap with interesting buffer BIA. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. Overlap with foraging, interesting, mating, aggregation, migration corridor, nesting and interesting buffer BIA. | ✓ | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area. Overlap with interesting buffer BIA. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | |

| Value/sensitivity | | EPBC Act Status | Area A | | | | Area B | | | | Area C | | | | Relevant events |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|---|-------------|---|--------------------|---|-------------|---|--------------------|---|-------------|---|--|
| Common name | Scientific name | | Operational Area A | | EMBA Area A | | Operational Area B | | EMBA Area B | | Operational Area C | | EMBA Area C | | |
| | | | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | |
| Marine Birds | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Roseate tern | <i>Stern dougallii</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Breeding likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. Overlap with breeding BIA. | ✓ | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. Overlap with breeding BIA. | <u>Planned</u> + Light emissions + Atmospheric emissions + Operational discharges + Spill response operations <u>Unplanned</u> + Release of hydrocarbons |
| Curlew sandpiper | <i>Calidris ferruginea</i> | Critically Endangered, Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | |
| Red knot | <i>Calidris canutus</i> | Endangered, Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | |
| Southern giant petrel | <i>Macronectes giganteus</i> | Endangered, Migratory | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | |
| Eastern curlew | <i>Numenius madagascariensis</i> | Critically Endangered, Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | |
| Abbott's booby | <i>Papasula abbotti</i> | Endangered | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | |
| Common noddy | <i>Anous stolidus</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | |
| Streaked shearwater | <i>Calonectris leucomelas</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | |

| Value/sensitivity | | EPBC Act Status | Area A | | | | Area B | | | | Area C | | | | Relevant events |
|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|-------------|---|--------------------|---|-------------|---|--------------------|---|-------------|---|-----------------|
| Common name | Scientific name | | Operational Area A | | EMBA Area A | | Operational Area B | | EMBA Area B | | Operational Area C | | EMBA Area C | | |
| | | | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | |
| Lesser frigatebird | <i>Fregata ariel</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. Overlap with breeding BIA. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | |
| Common sandpiper | <i>Actitis hypoleucos</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | |
| Sharp-tailed sandpiper | <i>Calidris acuminata</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | |
| Pectoral sandpiper | <i>Calidris melanotos</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | |
| Osprey | <i>Pandion haliaetus</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | |
| Australian fairy tern | <i>Sternula nereis</i> | Vulnerable | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | |
| Fork-tailed swift | <i>Apus pacificus</i> | Migratory | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | |
| Greater frigatebird | <i>Fregata minor</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | |

| Value/sensitivity | | EPBC Act Status | Area A | | | | Area B | | | | Area C | | | | Relevant events |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|--|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|---|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|
| Common name | Scientific name | | Operational Area A | | EMBA Area A | | Operational Area B | | EMBA Area B | | Operational Area C | | EMBA Area C | | |
| | | | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | |
| Brown booby | <i>Sula leucogaster</i> | Migratory | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | |
| Bar-tailed godwit (baueri) | <i>Limosa lapponica baueri</i> | Vulnerable | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | <u>Unplanned</u> + Release of hydrocarbons |
| Bar-tailed godwit | <i>Limosa lapponica</i> | Migratory | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | |
| Northern Siberian bar-tailed godwit | <i>Limosa lapponica menzbieri</i> | Critically Endangered | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | |
| White-winged fairy-wren (Barrow Island) | <i>Malurus leucopterus edouardi</i> | Vulnerable | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | |
| Soft-plumaged petrel | <i>Pterodroma mollis</i> | Vulnerable | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area. | |
| Australian painted snipe | <i>Rostratula australis</i> | Endangered | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | |
| Campbell albatross | <i>Thalassarche impavida</i> | Vulnerable, Migratory | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | ✗ | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | |

| Value/sensitivity | | EPBC Act Status | Area A | | | | Area B | | | | Area C | | | | Relevant events |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|---|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Common name | Scientific name | | Operational Area A | | EMBA Area A | | Operational Area B | | EMBA Area B | | Operational Area C | | EMBA Area C | | |
| | | | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | |
| Masked booby | <i>Sula dactylatra</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| White-tailed tropicbird | <i>Phaethon lepturus</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Breeding likely to occur within area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Great knot | <i>Calidris tenuirostris</i> | Critically Endangered, Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Greater sand plover | <i>Charadrius leschenaultii</i> | Vulnerable, Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Lesser sand plover | <i>Charadrius mongolus</i> | Endangered | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Little tern | <i>Sternula albifrons</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Ruddy turnstone | <i>Arenaria interpres</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Sanderling | <i>Calidris alba</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Red-necked stint | <i>Calidris ruficollis</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Swinhoe's snipe | <i>Gallinago megala</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |

| Value/sensitivity | | EPBC Act Status | Area A | | | | Area B | | | | Area C | | | | Relevant events |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|---|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Common name | Scientific name | | Operational Area A | | EMBA Area A | | Operational Area B | | EMBA Area B | | Operational Area C | | EMBA Area C | | |
| | | | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | |
| Pin-tailed snipe | <i>Gallinago stenura</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Broad-billed sandpiper | <i>Limicola falcinellus</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Asian dowitcher | <i>Limnodromus semipalmatus</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Black-tailed godwit | <i>Limosa limosa</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Little curlew | <i>Numenius minutus</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Whimbrel | <i>Numenius phaeopus</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Ruff (reeve) | <i>Philomachus pugnax</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Pacific golden plover | <i>Pluvialis fulva</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Grey plover | <i>Pluvialis squatarola</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Grey-tailed tattler | <i>Tringa brevipes</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |

| Value/sensitivity | | EPBC Act Status | Area A | | | | Area B | | | | Area C | | | | Relevant events |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|---|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|---|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|---|-----------------|
| Common name | Scientific name | | Operational Area A | | EMBA Area A | | Operational Area B | | EMBA Area B | | Operational Area C | | EMBA Area C | | |
| | | | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | |
| Marsh sandpiper | <i>Tringa stagnatilis</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Common redshank | <i>Tringa totanus</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Terek sandpiper | <i>Xenus cinereus</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | |
| Flesh-footed shearwater | <i>Ardenna carneipes</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | X | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | |
| Wedge-tailed shearwater | <i>Ardenna pacifica</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. Overlap with breeding BIA. | X | N/A | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | |
| Caspian tern | <i>Hydroprogne caspia</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | X | N/A | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | X | N/A | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | |
| Bridled tern | <i>Onychoprion anaethetus</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | X | N/A | X | N/A | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | X | N/A | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | |
| Oriental plover | <i>Charadrius veredus</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | X | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | |
| Oriental pratincole | <i>Glareola maldivarum</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Roosting known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | X | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | |

| Value/sensitivity | | EPBC Act Status | Area A | | | | Area B | | | | Area C | | | | Relevant events |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|---|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|---|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|---|-----------------|
| Common name | Scientific name | | Operational Area A | | EMBA Area A | | Operational Area B | | EMBA Area B | | Operational Area C | | EMBA Area C | | |
| | | | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | Presence | Particular values or sensitivities | |
| Crested tern | <i>Thalasseus bergii</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | X | N/A | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within area. | |
| Common greenshank | <i>Tringa nebularia</i> | Migratory | X | N/A | ✓ | Breeding known to occur within this area. | X | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | X | N/A | ✓ | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | |

Table 3-11: BIAs Identified in operational areas A, B and C and their respective EMBA

| Species | BIA Area | Presence in Operational Area A | Presence in Operational Area B | Presence in Operational Area C | Presence in EMBA A | Presence in EMBA B | Presence in EMBA C |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Sharks, Rays and Fish | | | | | | | |
| Whale shark | Foraging | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Marine Mammals | | | | | | | |
| Pygmy blue whale | Foraging | X | X | X | X | ✓ | ✓ |
| | Migration | X | X | X | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| | Distribution | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Humpback whale | Resting | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| | Migration (north and south) | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Dugong | Breeding | X | X | X | X | ✓ | ✓ |
| | Calving | X | X | X | X | ✓ | ✓ |
| | Nursing | X | X | X | X | ✓ | ✓ |
| | Foraging | X | X | X | X | ✓ | ✓ |
| Marine Reptiles | | | | | | | |
| Turtles | Critical habitat | X | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Green turtle | Nesting/interesting | X | X | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Loggerhead turtle | Nesting/interesting | X | X | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Hawksbill turtle | Nesting/interesting | X | X | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Flatback turtle | Nesting/interesting | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Marine Birds | | | | | | | |
| Wedge-tailed shearwater | Breeding/foraging | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Lesser frigatebird | Breeding/foraging | ✓ | X | X | ✓ | ✓ | X |
| Brown booby | Breeding/foraging | ✓ | X | X | ✓ | X | X |
| Roseate tern | Breeding/foraging | ✓ | X | X | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Fairy tern | Breeding/foraging | X | X | ✓ | X | ✓ | ✓ |

| Species | BIA Area | Presence in Operational Area A | Presence in Operational Area B | Presence in Operational Area C | Presence in EMBA A | Presence in EMBA B | Presence in EMBA C |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Lesser crested tern | Breeding/foraging | ✓ | X | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Little tern | Breeding/foraging | X | X | X | ✓ | X | X |
| White tailed tropicbird | Breeding/foraging | X | X | X | ✓ | X | X |

Relevant conservation advices, recovery plans and management plans for marine fauna identified in the PMST are provided in **Section 3.2.3.1**.




Figure 3-11: BIAs for EPBC Protected whale sharks within the vicinity of the EMBA and operational areas




Figure 3-12: BIAs for EPBC Protected whale species within the vicinity of the EMBA and operational areas




Figure 3-13: BIAs for EPBC Protected dugongs within the vicinity of the EMBA and operational areas




Figure 3-14: BIAs for EPBC Protected turtles within the vicinity of the EMBA's and operational area A




Figure 3-15: BIAs for EPBC Protected turtles within the vicinity of the EMBA and operational areas B and C




Figure 3-16: BIAs for EPBC Protected seabird species within the vicinity of the EMBA and operational area A




Figure 3-17: BIAs for EPBC Protected seabird species within the vicinity of the EMBA and operational areas B and C

3.2.3.1 Recovery Plans

Recovery Plans set out the research and management actions necessary to stop the decline of and support the recovery of LTS. **Table 3-12** summarises the actions relevant to the activity with more information about the specific requirements of the relevant plans of management (including Conservation Advices and Conservation Management Plans) that would be applicable to the activity and demonstrates where current management requirements have been considered.

Table 3-12: Threats and strategies from Recovery Plans, Conservation Advice and Management Plans relevant to the activity

| Receptor | Name | Recovery Plan/Conservation Advice/Management Plan | Threats/strategies identified as relevant to the activity | Addressed (where relevant) in EP Section |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| All | All vertebrate fauna | Threat Abatement Plan for Impacts of Marine Debris on Vertebrate wildlife of Australia’s coasts and oceans (DoEE, 2018) | Marine debris | 7.1 and 7.3 |
| Fish and Sharks | Dwarf sawfish | Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan (2015a) | Habitat degradation and modification | 7.1, 7.2, 7.4, 7.6 |
| | Green sawfish | Commonwealth Conservation Advice on <i>Pristis zijsron</i> (green sawfish) (2008) | Habitat degradation and modification | 7.1, 7.2, 7.4, 7.6 |
| | | Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan (2015a) | | |
| | Narrow Sawfish | Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan (2015a) | Habitat degradation and modification | 7.1, 7.2, 7.4, 7.6 |
| | Great white shark | Recovery plan for the White Shark (<i>Carcharodon carcharias</i>) (2013) | Ecosystem effects as a result of habitat modification and climate change | 7.1, 7.2, 7.6 |
| | Grey nurse shark | Recovery Plan for the Grey Nurse Shark (<i>Carcharias taurus</i>) (2014) | Pollution and disease | 7.4, 7.6 |
| | | | Ecosystem effects – habitat modification and climate change | 7.1, 7.2, 7.6 |
| | Whale shark | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Rhincodon typus</i> (whale shark) (2015) | Boat strike from large vessels | 7.3 |
| Habitat disruption from mineral exploration, production and transportation | | | 7.1 to 7.6 | |
| Blind cave eel | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Ophisternon candidum</i> (blind cave eel) (2008) | Habitat degradation and modification associated with sedimentation from mining/construction, canal development, water abstraction, point source pollution from sewage, landfill, dumping and mining; and diffuse pollution from urban development | 7.6 | |

| Receptor | Name | Recovery Plan/Conservation Advice/Management Plan | Threats/strategies identified as relevant to the activity | Addressed (where relevant) in EP Section |
|--|----------------------|---|---|--|
| Mammals | Blue whale | Blue Whale Conservation Management Plan 2015–2025 (2015b) | Noise interference | 6.1 |
| | | | Habitat modification | 7.4, 7.6 |
| | | | Vessel disturbance | 7.3 |
| | Southern right whale | Conservation Management Plan for the Southern Right Whale 2011–2021 (2012) | Habitat modification | 7.6 |
| | Fin whale | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Balaenoptera physalus</i> (fin whale) (2015) | Habitat degradation including pollution (increasing port expansion and coastal development) | 7.1, 7.2, 7.4, 7.6 |
| | | | Pollution (persistent toxic pollutants) | 7.4, 7.6 |
| | | | Noise interference | 6.1 |
| | | | Vessel strike | 7.3 |
| | Sei whale | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Balaenoptera borealis</i> (sei whale) (2015) | Habitat degradation including pollution (increasing port expansion and coastal development) | 7.1, 7.2, 7.4, 7.6 |
| | | | Pollution (persistent toxic pollutants) | 7.4, 7.6 |
| | | | Vessel strike | 7.3 |
| | Humpback whale | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i> (humpback whale) (2015) | Noise interference | 6.1 |
| Habitat degradation including coastal development and port expansion | | | 7.1, 7.2, 7.4, 7.6 | |
| Reptiles | All marine turtles | National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife Including Marine Turtles, Seabirds and Migratory Shorebirds (DoEE, 2020) | Light pollution | 6.2 |
| | Loggerhead turtle | Recovery plan for marine turtles in Australia 2017–2027 (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017) | Marine debris | 7.1 |
| | | | Vessel disturbance | 6.1 and 7.3 |
| | | | Light pollution | 6.2 |

| Receptor | Name | Recovery Plan/Conservation Advice/Management Plan | Threats/strategies identified as relevant to the activity | Addressed (where relevant) in EP Section |
|--------------------|--------------------|---|---|--|
| | Green turtle | Recovery plan for marine turtles in Australia 2017–2027 (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017) | Deteriorating water quality | 6.6, 7.4, 7.6 |
| | | | Marine debris | 7.1 |
| | | | Vessel disturbance | 6.1 and 7.3 |
| | | | Light pollution | 6.2 |
| | Leatherback turtle | Commonwealth Conservation Advice on <i>Dermochelys coriacea</i> (2008) | Boat strike | 7.3 |
| | | | Changes to breeding sites | 7.6 |
| | | Recovery plan for marine turtles in Australia (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017) | Deteriorating water quality | 6.6, 7.4, 7.6 |
| | | | Marine debris | 7.1 |
| | | | Loss of habitat | 7.6 |
| | | | Vessel disturbance | 6.1 and 7.3 |
| | Hawksbill turtle | Recovery plan for marine turtles in Australia 2017–2027 (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017) | Deteriorating water quality | 6.6, 7.4, 7.6 |
| | | | Marine debris | 7.1 |
| | | | Loss of habitat | 7.6 |
| | | | Vessel disturbance | 6.1 and 7.3 |
| | | | Light pollution | 6.2 |
| | Flatback turtle | Recovery plan for marine turtles in Australia 2017–2027 (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017) | Deteriorating water quality | 6.6, 7.4, 7.6 |
| Marine debris | | | 7.1 | |
| Loss of habitat | | | 7.6 | |
| Vessel disturbance | | | 6.1 and 7.3 | |
| Light pollution | | | 6.2 | |

| Receptor | Name | Recovery Plan/Conservation Advice/Management Plan | Threats/strategies identified as relevant to the activity | Addressed (where relevant) in EP Section |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Birds | All seabirds and shorebirds | National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife Including Marine Turtles, Seabirds and Migratory Shorebirds (DoEE, 2020) | Light pollution | 6.2 |
| | Ruddy turnstone | Wildlife Conservation Plan for Migratory Shorebirds (2015) | Pollution and contaminants | 7.4, 7.6 |
| | Sanderling | | Habitat loss and degradation | 7.6 |
| | Red-necked stint | | | |
| | Broad-billed sandpiper | | | |
| | Asian dowitcher | | | |
| | Black-tailed godwit | | | |
| | Whimbrel | | | |
| | Ruff (reeve) | | | |
| | Pacific golden plover | | | |
| Grey plover | | | | |
| Grey-tailed tattler | | | | |
| Common redshank | | | | |
| Terek sandpiper | | | | |
| Australian fairy tern | Commonwealth Conservation Advice on <i>Sternula nereis nereis</i> (Fairy Tern) (2011b) | Oil spills, particularly in Victoria | 7.4, 7.6 | |
| Curlew sandpiper | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Calidris ferruginea</i> (Curlew Sandpiper) (2015c) | Habitat loss and degradation from pollution | 7.4, 7.6 | |
| Eastern curlew | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Numenius madagascariensis</i> (Eastern Curlew) (2015d) | Habitat loss and degradation from pollution | 7.4, 7.6 | |
| Red knot | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Calidris canutus</i> (Red knot) (2016) | Pollution/contamination impacts | 7.4, 7.6 | |
| | | Habitat loss and degradation | 7.4, 7.6 | |
| Great knot | | Pollution/contamination impacts | 7.4, 7.6 | |

| Receptor | Name | Recovery Plan/Conservation Advice/Management Plan | Threats/strategies identified as relevant to the activity | Addressed (where relevant) in EP Section |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Calidris tenuirostris</i> (Great knot) (2016) Wildlife Conservation Plan for Migratory Shorebirds (2015) | Habitat loss and degradation | 7.4, 7.6 |
| | Greater sand plover | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Charadrius leschenaultii</i> (Greater sand plover) (2016) Wildlife Conservation Plan for Migratory Shorebirds (2015) | Pollution/contamination impacts | 7.4, 7.6 |
| | | | Habitat loss and degradation | 7.4, 7.6 |
| | Lesser sand plover | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Charadrius mongolus</i> (Lesser sand plover) (2016) Wildlife Conservation Plan for Migratory Shorebirds (2015) | Pollution/contamination impacts | 7.4, 7.6 |
| | | | Habitat loss and degradation | 7.4, 7.6 |
| | Southern giant-petrel | National recovery plan for threatened albatrosses and giant petrels 2011–2016 (2011a) | Marine pollution | 6.6, 7.1, 7.4, 7.6 |
| | Soft-plumaged petrel | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Pterodroma Mollis</i> (soft-plumaged Petrel) (2015) | Habitat loss disturbance and modifications | 7.4, 7.6 |
| Northern Siberian bar-tailed godwit | Conservation Advice <i>Limosa lapponica menzbieri</i> (Bar-tailed godwit (northern Siberian)) (2016b) | Habitat loss disturbance and modifications | 7.4, 7.6 | |
| Australian painted snipe | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Rostratula australis</i> (Australian Painted Snipe) (2013) | Habitat loss disturbance and modifications | 7.4, 7.6 | |

3.2.4 Socio-economic receptors

Socio-economic activities that may occur within the operational areas and EMBA's include commercial fishing, oil and gas exploration and production, and to a lesser extent, recreational fishing and tourism as summarised in **Table 3-13**.

More detailed descriptions of socio-economic consideration are provided in **Appendix C**.

Table 3-13: Summary of socio-economic activities that may occur within the operational area

| Value/sensitivity | Description | Operational Area A presence | Operational Area B presence | Operational Area C presence | Relevant events within operational area | Relevant events within EMBA |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Commercial fisheries – Commonwealth | <p>Three Commonwealth fisheries overlap the operational areas: the Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery, Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery, and the Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery (Table 3-15).</p> <p>Since 2005, there has been fewer than five vessels active in the Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery, down from 50 active vessels in 2000 (ABARES Fishery Status Reports, 2010).</p> <p>Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery is only active in waters offshore South and South Eastern Australia, confirmed in consultation with the Australia Southern Bluefin Tuna Association for previous company offshore activities (ABARES Fishery Status Reports, 2018).</p> <p>There has been no fishing effort in the Skipjack Tuna Fishery since the 2009 season, and in that season, activity concentrated off South Australia (ABARES Fishery Status Reports, 2018).</p> | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | <p><u>Planned</u></p> <p>Interaction with other marine users (Section 6.5)</p> | <p><u>Unplanned</u></p> <p>Unplanned hydrocarbon spills (Section 7.6)</p> |
| Commercial fisheries – State | <p>State fisheries that intersect all three operational areas are the Pilbara Trap, Trawl and Line Fishery; Pilbara Developmental Crab Managed Fisheries; the Mackerel Managed Fishery Area 2; Western Australian Pearl Oyster Fishery; Marine Aquarium Fish Managed Fishery; Specimen Shell Managed Fishery; South West Coast Salmon Managed Fishery; Western Australian Abalone Managed Fishery; West Coast Deep Sea Crustacean Managed Fishery; and the Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery (Table 3-15). Exmouth Gulf Prawn Managed Fishery overlaps only operational areas B and C.</p> <p>A number of fisheries are open within the operational areas and EMBA, but they do not have activity in this area. These are the Nickol Bay Prawn Managed Fishery, Exmouth Gulf Prawn Managed Fishery, WA Sea Cucumber Fishery and West Coast Rock Lobster Managed Fishery.</p> | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | <p><u>Planned</u></p> <p>Interaction with other marine users (Section 6.5)</p> | <p><u>Unplanned</u></p> <p>Unplanned hydrocarbon spills (Section 7.6)</p> |

| Value/sensitivity | Description | Operational Area A presence | Operational Area B presence | Operational Area C presence | Relevant events within operational area | Relevant events within EMBA |
|----------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Shipping | <p>Operational area A overlaps four shipping fairways which service Port Hedland and Dampier. No shipping fairways overlap operational areas B and C (Figure 3-22).</p> <p>Shipping using North West Shelf (NWS) waters includes iron ore carriers, LNG and oil tankers and other vessels proceeding to or from the ports of Barrow Island, Varanus Island (VI), Dampier, Port Walcott and Port Hedland. Large cargo vessels carrying freight bound or departing from Fremantle also transit along the WA coastline heading north and south in deeper waters.</p> | ✓ | X | X | Planned Interaction with other marine users (Section 6.5) | Unplanned Unplanned hydrocarbon spills (Section 7.6) |
| Recreational fishing | <p>Santos has consulted for all previous activities in operational areas A, B and C.</p> <p>Within the operational areas, there are no known natural seabed features that would aggregate fishes and which are typically targeted by recreational fishers. It is unlikely recreational fishing would occur in the operational areas, but it may occur in around the nearby Montebello Islands.</p> <p>Recreational fishing does occur within the EMBAs, and therefore could be impacted by a spill arising from a vessel collision.</p> | X | X | X | N/A | Unplanned Unplanned hydrocarbon spills (Section 7.6) |
| Defence | <p>In consultation, Department of Defence has advised no concerns with this proposed activity but advised that all activities in the area are conducted at its own risk with respect to unexploded ordnances in operational area C due to overlap with the West Australian Exercise Area (Figure 3-21)</p> | X | X | ✓ | N/A | N/A |
| Shipwrecks | <p>In total, there are 29 historic (>75 years old) shipwrecks across all of the EMBAs, with some shipwrecks overlapped with two or more EMBAs: Five are found within EMBA A, 35 within EMBA B and 20 within EMBA C.</p> <p>No shipwrecks were found to intersect the operational areas.</p> | X | X | X | N/A | Unplanned Unplanned hydrocarbon spills (Section 7.6) |

| Value/sensitivity | Description | Operational Area A presence | Operational Area B presence | Operational Area C presence | Relevant events within operational area | Relevant events within EMBA |
|-------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Oil and gas | <p>The area of the NWS is a major oil and gas hub in Australia, with several companies operating on the NWS.</p> <p>Various petroleum exploration and production activities have been undertaken within the northwest shelf. Vessels servicing oil and gas operations in the region may pass through the area en-route to facilities, which is discussed under 'Shipping' above.</p> <p>The nearest operating facility to operational area A is Woodside's Angel oil field and associated infrastructure. The Reindeer offshore platform and associated infrastructure (operated by Santos) is within operational area B. In operational area C, East Spar pipeline is crossed by four pipelines, two flowlines and two umbilicals owned by Chevron (Figure 3-21).</p> <p>Oil and gas facilities and permits are present within the EMBA, operated by other titleholders. As such, oil and gas activities could be impacted by unplanned events.</p> | X | ✓ | ✓ | Planned Interaction with other marine users (Section 6.5) | Unplanned Unplanned hydrocarbon spills (Section 7.6) |
| Tourism | <p>Santos was advised during consultation for previous activities in the Bedout Basin, operational area A, and within the Keraudren Survey permit, that there is no offshore tourism industry that operates from Port Hedland, unlike other North West towns like Exmouth and Broome. A low level of recreational diving may occur in the waters surrounding Bedout Island.</p> <p>Owing to the water depths of operational area B and C, planned events are not predicted to have an impact on tourism but it is noted that operational area C is within the Montebello AMP and therefore may be an area where tourism occurs.</p> <p>Aquatic recreational activities such as boating, diving and fishing occur near the coast and Montebello Islands. These activities are concentrated in the vicinity of the population centres such as Exmouth, Dampier and Onslow.</p> | X | X | X | N/A | Unplanned Unplanned hydrocarbon spills (Section 7.6) |

| Value/sensitivity | Description | Operational Area A presence | Operational Area B presence | Operational Area C presence | Relevant events within operational area | Relevant events within EMBA |
|-------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| | <p>Planned events are not predicted to have a significant impact on tourism given that the majority of the VBA occur at a greater water depth than aquatic recreational activities. The EMBA overlaps a portion with the Montebello Marine Park and also the Barrow Island Marine Park. As such, eco-tourism based on specific local values (whale sharks, game fish, nearshore reef snorkelling and diving) could be impacted by unplanned events.</p> | | | | | |
| Cultural Heritage | <p>No interactions with traditional fishers have been recorded during previous activities conducted by Santos in adjacent operational areas.</p> <p>No known sites of Aboriginal Heritage significance occur within operational areas A, B or C.</p> <p>There are 89 registered heritage sites that occur within the EMBA. A search of the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage Aboriginal Heritage Inquiry System was undertaken and indicated there are three registered sites recorded, including middens, burial, ceremonial, artefacts, rock shelters, mythological and engraving sites recorded on the Montebello and Legendre Islands.</p> | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

3.2.4.1 Commercial fisheries

Commonwealth and State fisheries overlapping with the operational areas and the EMBA are illustrated in **Figure 3-18** to **Figure 3-21**. **Table 3-14** describes each of these fisheries and indicates which events associated with the activity may impact on these.

Consultation with the Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development has previously identified commercial fishing interests that exist in, or in close proximity to, proposed activities under this EP. This includes commercial fisheries identified within **Table 3-14**. This previous consultation also identified key fish species that may be aggregating/spawning within the EMBA. This information is provided, together with other key periods of sensitivity for socio-economic receptors, in **Section 3.2.5**.




Figure 3-18: Commonwealth Commercial Fishing Zones within the EMBA and operational areas




Figure 3-19: State Commercial Fishing Zones within the EMBA and operational area A




Figure 3-20: State Commercial Fishing Zones within the EMBA and operational areas B and C

Table 3-14: State and Commonwealth fisheries in the vicinity of the operational areas and EMBA

| Value/ sensitivity | Description | Fishery Presence | | | | | | Likelihood of interaction with fishers |
|--|---|----------------------|---|---|------|---|---|--|
| | | Operational Areas | | | EMBA | | | |
| | | A | B | C | A | B | C | |
| Commonwealth Managed Fisheries | | | | | | | | |
| Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery | Extends westward from Cape York Peninsula (142°30' E) off Queensland to 34° S off the WA west coast. It also extends eastward from 34° S off the west coast of WA across the Great Australian Bight to 141° E at the South Australian–Victorian border. No current effort on NWS. | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | No active commercial fishing within the area in the past years. However, fishing vessels could be encountered in low density. |
| Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery | No current effort on NWS. | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery | No current effort on NWS. | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Western Deepwater Trawl Fishery | Demersal trawl seaward of the 200 m isobaths. No recent fishing activity. | X | X | X | X | ✓ | ✓ | |
| North West Slope Trawl | Extends from 114° E to approximately 125° E off the WA coast between the 200 m isobath and the outer limit of the Australian Fishing Zone. | X | X | X | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Historical effort within the EMBA, targeting scampi and prawns. |
| State Managed Fisheries | | | | | | | | |
| Onslow Prawn Limited Entry Fishery | The boundaries are all the Western Australian waters between the Exmouth Prawn Fishery and the Nickol Bay prawn fishery east of 114°39.9' on the landward side of the 200 m depth isobath. | X | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Significant disruption unlikely to occur due to vast area fished. |
| Pilbara Demersal Scalefish Fisheries (includes trap, line and trawl fisheries) | Use a combination of vessels, effort allocations (time), gear limits, plus spatial zones (including extensive trawl closures) as management measures. The Trawl Fishery lands the largest component of the catch of demersal finfish in the Pilbara (and North Coast Bioregion), comprising more than 50 scalefish species. In | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Disruption to fishing activities is possible but not expected. Unplanned events which may occur in the operational area and EMBA could disrupt fishing activities, but the likelihood of these events is low. |

| Value/ sensitivity | Description | Fishery Presence | | | | | | Likelihood of interaction with fishers |
|---|--|----------------------|---|---|-------|---|---|---|
| | | Operational Areas | | | EMBAs | | | |
| | | A | B | C | A | B | C | |
| | comparison, the trap fishery retains a subset of about 45 to 50 scalefish species, and while the Line Fishery catch comprises a similar number, it also includes some deeper offshore species. Line fishing for a variety of demersal scalefish between the 30 m isobath and 200 m isobath. | | | | | | | |
| Northern Demersal Scalefish Managed Fishery | Operates off WA's coast in waters east of 120° E longitude. The permitted means of operation within the fishery include handline, dropline and fish traps, although the fishery has essentially operated as a trap based fishery since 2002. | X | X | X | ✓ | X | X | Unplanned events which may occur in the EMBA could disrupt fishing activities, but the likelihood of these events is low. |
| Nickol Bay Prawn Limited Entry Fishery | Vessels will target species in <45 m water depth. | X | X | X | ✓ | X | X | Unplanned events which may occur in the EMBA could disrupt fishing activities, but the likelihood of these events is low. |
| Pilbara Developmental Crab Fishery | Crabbing activity along the Pilbara coast is centred largely on the inshore waters from Onslow through to Port Hedland, with most commercial and recreational activity occurring in and around Nickol Bay (DoF, 2015). | X | X | X | X | X | X | Disruption to fishing activities unlikely given fisheries operations are typically north of the operational area. Unplanned events which may occur in the EMBA could disrupt fishing activities, but the likelihood of these events is low. |
| Western Australian Pearl Oyster Fishery | Drift diving in depths up to 35 m. | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Disruption to fishing activities unlikely given water depths fisheries operate within. Nine aquaculture licences for pearl oysters occur within the EMBA, with 14 pearl farm leases occurring at the Montebello Islands. Unplanned events which may occur in the EMBA could disrupt fishing activities or aquaculture, but the likelihood of these events is low. |

| Value/ sensitivity | Description | Fishery Presence | | | | | | Likelihood of interaction with fishers |
|--|--|----------------------|---|---|------|---|---|--|
| | | Operational Areas | | | EMBA | | | |
| | | A | B | C | A | B | C | |
| Exmouth Gulf Prawn Managed Fishery | Low opening otter trawls occurring in the sheltered waters of Exmouth Gulf, west to the Muiron Islands and north to Serrurier Island. | X | X | X | X | ✓ | ✓ | Disruption to fishing activities unlikely given boundaries that fisheries operate within. Unplanned events which may occur in the EMBA could disrupt fishing activities, but the likelihood of these events is low. |
| West Coast Rock Lobster Managed Fishery | Baited traps, pots and diving (recreationally). | X | X | X | X | ✓ | ✓ | Disruption to fishing activities unlikely given water depths fisheries operate within. Unplanned events which may occur in the EMBA could disrupt fishing activities, but the likelihood of these events is low. |
| Gascoyne Demersal Scalefish Fishery | Handline and drop line for west coast inshore and offshore demersal species. | X | X | X | X | ✓ | X | Unplanned events which may occur in the EMBA could disrupt fishing activities, but the likelihood of these events is low. |
| Shark Bay Prawn Limited Entry Fishery | The seasonal operations of the prawn fishery depend on the strength and timing of recruitment which, in turn, affects the opening and closing of the fishing season. These dates vary each year depending on environmental conditions, moon phase and the results of fishery-independent surveys to estimate recruitment strength. Nets are used to catch prawns. | X | X | X | X | ✓ | X | |
| Shark Bay Scallop Fishery | The opening date of the scallop fishing season is based on a compromise between maintaining breeding stock levels and the seasonal decline in meat condition associated with spawning. | X | X | X | X | ✓ | X | |

| Value/ sensitivity | Description | Fishery Presence | | | | | | Likelihood of interaction with fishers |
|--|---|----------------------|---|---|-------|---|---|--|
| | | Operational Areas | | | EMBAs | | | |
| | | A | B | C | A | B | C | |
| State Managed Fisheries (Whole of State) | | | | | | | | |
| Marine Aquarium Fish Fishery | All year. Effort within the operational areas and EMBAs is unknown, but is unlikely due to the depth and the dive-based method of collection. | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Disruption to fishing activities unlikely, given water depths fisheries operate within. Unplanned events which may occur in the EMBA could disrupt fishing activities, but the likelihood of these events is low. |
| Specimen Shell Managed Fishery | All year. Effort within the operational areas and EMBAs is unknown, but it is unlikely due to the depth and the dive-based method of collection. Unlikely to occur. | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Mackerel Managed Fishery | Trolling or handline. Near-surface trolling gear from vessels in coastal areas around reefs, shoals and headlands. | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | The majority of the catch is taken in the Kimberley Area and north of Port Headland, therefore disruption is unlikely. |
| South West Coast Salmon Managed Fishery | Beach Seine nets. Fishing on coastal beaches. | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | No fishing boats operate north of the Perth metropolitan area, so disruption is unlikely. |
| West Coast Deep Sea Crustacean Managed Fishery | Baited pots on vessels in a longline formation on shelf edge waters that are greater than 150 m deep. | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |

3.2.4.2 Recreational fisheries

Operational area A and its EMBA are located within the North Coast Bioregion, which is a focal point for winter recreational fishing and is a key component of many tourist visits. The Dampier Archipelago, Lowendal Islands and Montebello Islands are popular offshore recreational fishing locations.

Within operational area B, there are no known natural seabed features that would aggregate fishes and that are typically targeted by recreational fishers. Given the water depths and distance from the nearest mainland, it is unlikely recreational fishing would occur in the vicinity.

Operational area C occurs in the Gascoyne Coast Bioregion, which is a focal point for winter recreational fishing and is a key component of many tourist visits. Angling activities include beach and cliff fishing (for example, Steep Point and Quobba), embayment and shallow-water boat angling (for example, Shark Bay, Exmouth Gulf and Ningaloo lagoons), and offshore boat angling for demersal and larger pelagic species (for example, off Ningaloo, which may include the operational area).

The predominant target species include the tropical species such as tropical emperors, mangrove jack, trevallies, sooty grunter, threadfin, cods and catfish, and invertebrate species including blue swimmer crabs, mud crabs and squid. The offshore islands, coral reefs and continental shelf waters contain other species such as tropical snappers, cod, mackerel, sharks and tunas for recreational fishing opportunities (WAFIC, 2016). The operational areas do not overlap any of these mentioned fishing locations.

Recreational fishing does occur within the EMBA and therefore could be impacted by a loss of hydrocarbons.

3.2.4.3 Petroleum industry

The area of the NWS is a major oil and gas hub in Australia, with several companies operating on the NWS. Operational area A occurs in a particularly isolated area of the NWS with respect to the main oil and gas operational and exploratory field. There are currently no existing facilities in operational area A.

Various petroleum exploration and production activities have been undertaken within the NWS; the Santos-operated Reindeer WHP and associated infrastructure is within operational area B and the Pluto gas pipeline runs close to the southwest corner. Vessels servicing oil and gas operations in the region may pass through the area en-route to facilities; however, vessel transit is not classes as a petroleum activity.

There are several exploration and production permits and leases through the Western Australian and Commonwealth waters in operational area C and the EMBA, as shown in **Figure 3-21**. There are domestic gas plants on Varanus Island in the NWS, Devil Creek Gas Plant onshore and Macedon Gas Plant in the Pilbara region, and an oil facility near Dongara called Cliff Head.

In the EMBA, there are several exploration and production permits and leases throughout the Western Australian and Commonwealth waters, which include current exploration and production activities including platforms, floating, production, storage and offloading vessels (FPSOs), pipelines, drilling and potentially seismic activities. There are also onshore production facilities on VI and Barrow Island.

3.2.4.4 Defence

Defence operates two restricted areas on the NWS within the vicinity of the operational areas. As shown in **Figure 3-21**, operational area C overlaps with the West Australian Exercise Area. Any activities carried out in this area are conducted at its own risk with respect to unexploded ordnances.




Figure 3-21: Existing petroleum infrastructure and defence areas within the EMBA

3.2.4.5 Shipping

Operational area A overlaps four designated shipping routes (AMSA, 2020) with two north-south orientated lanes servicing Port Hedland and one north-south lane servicing Port Walcott (**Figure 3-22**). These shipping fairways service Port Hedland, and another services Dampier. Therefore, it is likely shipping vessels will move through operational area A.

Operational area B and C does not overlap any major shipping lanes (more than 20 km away), although vessel traffic may be encountered throughout the operational area as commercial vessels transit around the Montebello Islands and support vessels conduct operations with the offshore infrastructure.

The Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) has established a network of shipping fairways off the north-west coast of Australia to manage traffic patterns (AMSA 2020). AMSA shipping routes within and close to the operational area and EMBA are shown in **Figure 3-22**.

Commercial shipping using NWS waters includes iron ore carriers, oil and LNG tankers and other vessels proceeding to or from the ports of Dampier, Port Walcott, Port Hedland, Barrow Island and VI, and Onslow. Large cargo vessels carrying freight bound or departing from Fremantle also transit along the WA coastline heading north and south in deeper water.

Large commercial vessels mostly associated with the oil and gas industry and Western Australian major ports move through all three EMBA in transit.




Figure 3-22: AMSA ship locations and shipping routes within and close to the EMBA (October 2020)

3.2.4.6 Tourism

Tourism activities occur within the EMBA in areas such as Ningaloo Marine Park, North West Cape, Montebello Islands and the Dampier Archipelago. Popular water-based activities that may occur within the EMBA include fishing, swimming, snorkelling/diving, surfing/windsurfing/kiting and boating.

Seasonal nature-based tourism such as humpback whale watching, whale shark encounters and tours of turtle hatching mainly occurring around Ningaloo Reef and Cape Range National Park. Seasonal aggregations of whale sharks, manta rays, sea turtles and whales, as well as the annual mass spawning of coral, attract large numbers of visitors to Ningaloo each year (CALM, 2005).

The nearest area where recreation is likely to occur is the Montebello Marine Park, which is located 8.9 km from operational area C. Given the water depths of the operational areas and the lack of notable seabed features, there is unlikely to be any tourism-based activities in the surrounding waters of the operational areas, however there could be seasonal tourism such as whale watching and fishing charters.

3.2.5 Windows of sensitivity

Timing of peak activity for threatened species and other relevant, significant sensitivities is given in **Table 3-15**.

Table 3-15: Windows of sensitivity in the vicinity of the EMBA

| Receptors (critical life cycle stages) | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC |
|---|--|----------|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| All shoreline habitats | [Grey shaded] | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Coral (spawning periods) | | | [Blue] | [Blue] | | | | | | [Yellow] | [Yellow] | |
| Macroalgae | growing | | | shedding fronds | | | growing | | | | | |
| Other benthic and terrestrial habitats | [Grey shaded] | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fish/Sharks and fisheries species | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Whale sharks | | | Aggregations at Ningaloo Coast | | | | | | | | | |
| Fisheries species spawning/aggregation times ¹ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marine Mammals | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dugong (breeding) | breeding | | | [Yellow] | | | | | breeding | | | |
| Humpback whale (migration) | | | | | | northern | | [Yellow] | southern | | | |
| Blue whale (migration) | | | | northern | | | | | | | southern | |
| Marine Reptiles | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hawksbill turtle's resident adult and juveniles ² | Widespread throughout NWS waters, highest density of adults and juveniles over hard bottom habitat (coral reef, rocky reef, pipelines, etc.) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hawksbill turtle (mating aggregations ²) | | | | | | | | [Yellow] | [Blue] | [Blue] | [Yellow] | |
| Hawksbill turtle (nesting and internesting ²) | [Yellow] | | | | | | | | [Yellow] | [Blue] | | |
| Hawksbill turtle (hatching ¹) | [Blue] | [Yellow] | | | | | | | | | [Yellow] | [Blue] |
| Flatback turtles (resident adult and juveniles ²) | Widespread throughout NWS waters, increased density over soft bottom habitat 10 to 60 m deep, post hatching age classes and juveniles spread across shelf waters | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Flatback turtle (mating aggregations ²) | [Yellow] | | | | | | | | | [Yellow] | [Blue] | |
| Flatback turtle (nesting and internesting ²) | [Blue] | [Yellow] | [Yellow] | | | | | | | | [Yellow] | [Blue] |
| Flatback turtle (hatching ²) | [Blue] | [Blue] | [Yellow] | [Yellow] | | | | | | | | [Yellow] |
| Flatback turtle (nesting ²) | [Blue] | [Yellow] | | | | | | | | [Yellow] | [Yellow] | [Blue] |
| Green turtles (resident adult and juveniles ²) | Widespread throughout the NWS waters, highest density associated with seagrass beds and macro algae communities, high density juveniles in shallow waters off beaches, among mangroves and in creeks | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Green turtle (mating aggregations ²) | [Yellow] | | | | | | | | [Yellow] | [Blue] | | |
| Green turtle nesting and internesting ²) | [Blue] | [Blue] | [Yellow] | [Yellow] | | | | | | [Yellow] | [Blue] | |
| Green turtle (hatching ²) | [Blue] | [Blue] | [Blue] | [Blue] | [Yellow] | | | | | | | [Yellow] |

| Receptors (critical life cycle stages) | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC |
|---|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Loggerhead turtles (resident adult and juveniles ²) | Widespread throughout the NWS waters, increased density associated with soft bottom habitat supporting their bivalve food source, juveniles associated with nearshore reef habitat | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Loggerhead turtle (mating aggregations ²) | Yellow | | | | | | | | Yellow | Blue | Blue | Blue |
| Loggerhead turtle (nesting and internesting ²) | Blue | Yellow | Yellow | Yellow | Yellow | | | | | Yellow | Blue | Blue |
| Loggerhead turtle (hatching ²) | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Blue | Yellow | | | | | | Yellow |
| Leatherback turtles | Can occur at low density across the NWS year-round | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short-nosed seasnake | Can occur at low density across the NWS year-round | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Seabirds | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Terns, shearwaters, petrels (nesting) | Blue | Yellow | | | | | | | Yellow | Blue | Blue | Blue |
| Commercial Managed Fisheries | Grey | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oil and gas | Grey | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shipping | Grey | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tourism/recreational | Yellow | Yellow | Yellow | Yellow | Yellow | Blue | Blue | Blue | Yellow | Yellow | Yellow | Yellow |
| KEY/NOTES | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Peak activity, presence reliable and predictable | | | | | | ¹ Information provided from Department of Fisheries (DoF) consultation | | | | | | |
| Lower level of abundance/activity/presence | | | | | | ² Information provided by K. Pendoley (2011) | | | | | | |
| Very low activity/presence | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Activity can occur throughout year | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed timing of activity | | | | | | | | | | | | |

4. Stakeholder consultation

| OPGG(E)R 2009 Requirements |
|--|
| Regulation 9AB |
| <p>If the Regulator’s provisional decision under Regulation 9AA is that the environment plan includes material apparently addressing all the provisions of Division 2.3 (Contents of an environment plan), the Regulator must publish on the Regulator’s website as soon as practicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the plan with the sensitive information part removed; and (b) the name of the titleholder who submitted the plan; and (c) a description of the activity or stage of the activity to which the plan relates; and (d) the location of the activity; and (e) a link or other reference to the place where the accepted offshore project proposal (if any) is published; and (f) details of the titleholder’s nominated liaison person for the activity. |
| Regulation 14(9) |
| <p>The implementation strategy must provide for appropriate consultation with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) relevant authorities of the Commonwealth, a State or Territory; and (b) other relevant interested persons or organisations. |
| Regulation 16 |
| <p>The environment plan must contain the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (b) report on all consultations between the operator and any relevant person, for Regulation 11A, that contains: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) a summary of each response made by a relevant person; and (ii) an assessment of the merits of any objection or claim about the adverse impact of each activity to which the environment plan relates; and (iii) a statement of the operator’s response, or proposed response, if any, to each objection or claim; and (iv) a copy of the full text of any response by a relevant person. |

4.1 Summary

Santos’ Vessel Based Activity (VBA) Environment Plan (EP) provides for a range of survey activities in three separate areas in commonwealth waters on the North West Shelf:

- + Area A: WA-437-P; WA-438-P; WA-541-P
- + Area B: WA WA-1-P; WA-209-P; WA-41-L
- + Area C: WA-33-R; WA-510-P.

Santos has been active across these areas for many years including the Bedout Sub-basin (near Area A) since exploration drilling activities commenced in 2014, the Reindeer gas field and associated wellhead platform (in Area B) since the Devil Creek Gas Plant operations commenced in 2011, and the Varanus Island Gas Plant (near Area C) since activities commenced in the late 1980s.

With this history, Santos is familiar with local community stakeholders and other users of the marine environment in these areas.

Stakeholders (**Table 4-1**) were informed of activities covered in this EP via several channels of engagement commencing in October 2020, including:

- + Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package distributed to identified stakeholders in October 2020
- + Vessel Based Activity Commercial Fisher Consultation package distributed to identified fishing licence holders in October 2020
- + Vessel Based Activity Consultation Package follow-up communication in November 2020
- + Vessel Based Activity Consultation Package (Update) distributed to identified stakeholders in December 2020.

Based on Santos' experience with previous EPs, and from subsequent stakeholder feedback and regulator discussions, the primary stakeholder issue of concern for this activity is:

- + interaction with other marine users and commercial fishers (addressed in **Section 6.5**).

Santos has considered all stakeholder responses and assessed the merits of all comments about the potential impact of the proposed vessel based activities. A summary of Santos' response statements to the comments is provided in **Table 4-2**.

Santos considers that consultation with relevant stakeholders has been adequate to inform the development of this EP. Notwithstanding this, Santos recognises the importance of ongoing stakeholder consultation and notification and these are described in **Table 8-4**.

4.2 Stakeholder identification

Santos understands retaining a broad licence to operate depends on the development and maintenance of positive and constructive relationships with a comprehensive group of stakeholders in the community, government, non-government, other business sectors and other users of the marine environment. Fostering effective consultation between Santos and relevant stakeholders is an important part of this process.

Santos began the stakeholder identification process for this EP with a review of its stakeholder database, including stakeholders consulted for other recent activities in the three areas described in this EP. The list of stakeholders was then reviewed and refined based on the three defined operational areas (refer to **Section 2.2**) and the relevance of the stakeholder according to Regulation 11A of the OPGGS (E) Regulations and NOPSEMA Bulletin #2 Clarifying statutory requirements and good practice consultation (November, 2019). More specifically, stakeholders for this EP were identified through:

- + regular review of legislation applicable to petroleum and marine activities
- + identification of marine user groups and interest groups active in the area (for example, commercial fisheries, other oil and gas producers, merchant shipping, etc.)
- + a review of the most recent Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) FishCube data (**Section 3.2.4.2**)
- + updated fishing licence holder contact details, from these identified fisheries, as provided by DPIRD
- + utilisation of the WAFIC Oil and Gas consultation services to advise on 'relevant' commercial fisheries and fishers, and to review and distribute fishery-specific consultation material
- + discussions with identified stakeholders to identify other potentially impacted persons
- + active participation in industry bodies and collaborations (for example, APPEA, AMOSC, NERA)
- + records from previous consultation activities in the three areas.

Currently identified stakeholders and an assessment of their relevance under the OPGGS (E) Regulations for the purposes of consultation for this activity are listed in **Table 4-1**.

Table 4-1: Assessment of relevance of identified stakeholders for the proposed activity

| Stakeholder | Relevant to Activity | Relevance/ Reason for Engagement |
|--|---|--|
| Commonwealth government departments/agencies | | |
| Australian Hydrographic Office (AHO) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (a) | <p>The AHO is the part of the Commonwealth DoD responsible for maintaining and disseminating nautical charts, including the distribution of Notice to Mariners.</p> <p>The operational areas are in commonwealth waters.</p> |
| Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (a) | <p>AMSA is the statutory and control agency for maritime safety and vessel emergencies in Commonwealth Waters. AMSA is a relevant agency when proposed offshore activities may impact on the safe navigation of commercial shipping in Australian waters.</p> <p>The operational areas are in commonwealth waters.</p> |
| Department of Defence (Defence) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (a) | <p>Defence is a relevant agency where the proposed activity may impact operational requirements; encroach on known training areas and/or restricted airspace, or when nautical products or other maritime safety information is required to be updated.</p> <p>The operational areas are in commonwealth waters.</p> |
| Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (a) | <p>AFMA is responsible for managing Commonwealth fisheries and is a relevant agency where the activity has the potential to impact on fisheries resources in AFMA managed fisheries.</p> <p>The operational area intersects with commonwealth managed fisheries.</p> |
| Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) – Biosecurity (marine pests) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (a) | <p>The DAWE (marine pests) has primary policy and regulatory responsibility for managing biosecurity for incoming goods and conveyances, including biosecurity for marine pests.</p> <p>The Department is the relevant agency where an offshore activity has the potential to transfer marine pests between installations and mainland Australia.</p> <p>The operational areas are in commonwealth waters.</p> |
| Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) – Fisheries | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (a) | <p>DAWE (fisheries) has primary policy responsibility for promoting the biological, economic and social sustainability of Australian fisheries. The Department is the relevant agency where the activity has the potential to negatively impact fishing operations and/or fishing habitats in Commonwealth waters.</p> <p>The operational areas intersect with commonwealth managed fisheries.</p> |

| Stakeholder | Relevant to Activity | Relevance/ Reason for Engagement |
|---|---|--|
| Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) – Biosecurity (vessels, aircraft and personnel) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (a) | <p>DAWE (vessels and aircraft) has inspection and reporting requirements to ensure that all conveyances (vessels, installations and aircraft) arriving in Australian territory comply with international health regulations and that any biosecurity risk is managed. The department is the relevant agency where the titleholder’s activity involves:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + the movement of aircraft or vessels between Australia and offshore petroleum activities either inside or outside Australian territory + the exposure of an aircraft or vessel (which leaves Australian territory not subject to biosecurity control) to offshore petroleum activities. |
| Director of National Parks (DNP) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (a) | <p>The DNP is the statutory authority responsible for administration, management and control of Commonwealth marine reserves. The Director of National Parks is a relevant person for consultation where:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + the activity or part of the activity is within the boundaries of a proclaimed Commonwealth marine reserve + activities proposed to occur outside a reserve may impact on the values within a Commonwealth marine reserve, and/or + an environmental incident occurs in Commonwealth waters surrounding a Commonwealth marine reserve and may impact on the values within the reserve. <p>The operational area C overlaps with an Australian Marine Park.</p> |
| Australian Marine Oil Spill Centre (AMOSC) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (a) | AMOSC operates the Australian oil industry’s major oil spill response facility. |
| State government departments/agencies | | |
| Department of Transport (DoT) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (b) | DoT is the control agency for marine pollution emergencies in State waters. |
| Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (b) | <p>DPIRD is responsible for managed West Australian State fisheries.</p> <p>The operational area intersects with state managed fisheries.</p> |
| Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (b) | <p>DBCA is a relevant State agency responsible for the management of State marine parks and reserves and protected marine fauna and flora.</p> <p>The operational area is adjacent to state marine reserves.</p> |

| Stakeholder | Relevant to Activity | Relevance/ Reason for Engagement |
|--|---|--|
| Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DMIRS) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (c) | Department responsible for the management of offshore petroleum in the adjacent State waters. |
| Pilbara Port Authority | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (e) | Pilbara Ports Authority manages port land at Dampier, Port Hedland, Ashburton and Cape Preston East, and facilitates the development of land and leases to support port-related industries. |
| Industry Bodies | | |
| Western Australian Fishing Industry Council (WAFIC) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (e) | WAFIC is the peak industry body representing the interests of the WA commercial fishing, pearling and aquaculture sector. The operational area intersects with several State-managed fisheries. |
| Commonwealth Fisheries Association (CFA) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (e) | The CFA was engaged as a representative body for Commonwealth fisheries. The operational areas intersect with several Commonwealth-managed fisheries. The CFA is also listed on the AFMA website as a contact for petroleum operators to use when consultation with fishing operators is required. |
| Marine Tourism WA (MTWA) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (e) | MTWA represents the charter sector in WA. As charter fishing may occur within the proposed areas of activity the MTWA was identified as being able to assist in reaching its membership to inform them of survey timing should this be requested. |
| Pearl Producers Association (PPA) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (e) | The PPA is the peak representative organisation of The Australian South Sea Pearling Industry. PPA membership includes all <i>Pinctada maxima</i> pearl oyster licensees that operate within the Australian North-west Bioregion. Via WAFIC, the PPA has requested engagement on all EPs. |
| Recfishwest | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (e) | Recfishwest is the peak body representing recreational fishers in WA. Recfishwest is identified as being able to assist in reaching its membership to inform of survey timing should this be requested. |
| Australian Southern Bluefin Tuna Industry Association (ASBTIA) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (e) | ASBTIA represents the Australian southern bluefin tuna industry. ASBTIA is also listed on the AFMA website as a contact for petroleum operators to use when consultation with Commonwealth fishing operators is required. |
| Commercial Fisheries | | |
| Mackerel Managed Fishery (Area 2) | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (d) | Based on a review of DPIRD fishery information (Section 3.2.4.1) and consultation with WAFIC, the Mackerel Managed Fishery (Area 2) boundary overlaps the proposed operational areas A, B and C and the licence holders in this fishery should be consulted. |

| Stakeholder | Relevant to Activity | Relevance/ Reason for Engagement |
|--|---|---|
| Pilbara Line Fishery | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (d) | Based on a review of DPIRD fishery information (Section 3.2.4.1) and consultation with WAFIC, the Pilbara Line Fishery boundary overlaps the proposed operational areas A, B and C and the licence holders in this fishery should be consulted. |
| Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (d) | Based on a review of DPIRD fishery information (Section 3.2.4.1) and consultation with WAFIC, the Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery boundary overlaps the proposed operational areas A, B and C and the licence holders in this fishery should be consulted. |
| Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (d) | Based on a review of DPIRD fishery information (Section 3.2.4.1) and consultation with WAFIC, the Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery boundary overlaps the proposed operational areas A and B and the licence holders in this fishery should be consulted. |
| Pearl Oyster Managed Fishery | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (d) | Based on a review of DPIRD fishery information (Section 3.2.4.1) and consultation with WAFIC, the Pearl Oyster Managed Fishery boundary overlaps the proposed operational areas A, B and C. |
| Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (d) | Based on a review of DPIRD fishery information (Section 3.2.4.1) and consultation with WAFIC, the Pilbara Crab Fishery boundary overlaps the proposed operational areas A, B and C and the relevant licence holders in this fishery should be consulted. |
| Onslow Prawn Managed Fishery | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (d) | Based on a review of DPIRD fishery information (Section 3.2.4.1) and consultation with WAFIC, the Onslow Prawn Fishery boundary overlaps the proposed operational areas B and C and the licence holders in this fishery should be consulted. |
| Nickol Bay Prawn Fishery | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (d) | Based on a review of DPIRD fishery information (Section 3.2.4.1) and consultation with WAFIC, the Nickol Bay Prawn Fishery boundary overlaps the proposed operational area A and the licence holders in this fishery should be consulted. |
| Developmental Octopus Fishery | Considered relevant persons under Regulation 11A(1) (d) | Based on a review of DPIRD fishery information (Section 3.2.4.1) and consultation with WAFIC, the Development Octopus Fishery boundary overlaps the proposed operational areas A, B and C and the licence holders in this fishery should be consulted. |

4.3 Stakeholder consultation

The approach to stakeholder consultation for this EP follows the process adopted by Santos for all its EPs. Some modifications to this approach have been made based on feedback from WAFIC, commercial fishers and NOPSEMA. These include:

- + providing more detailed information to commercial fishers, targeted to their fishery, in the initial consultation packs
- + engaging WAFIC to assist in the review and distribution of commercial fisher consultation material
- + refining the stakeholder identification process to clearly identify and maintain current lists of 'relevant' persons
- + clearly documenting and tracking notification commitments to relevant persons.

Key stakeholders were contacted by phone or meeting prior to providing the Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation Package to increase activity awareness and to encourage two-way communication. Stakeholders, wherever possible, were provided personal emails with information tailored to their functions, interests and activities, including outlining why they have been identified as a relevant stakeholder.

The consultation package contains details such as an activity summary, location map, coordinates, water depth, distance to key regional features, and estimated timing and duration. The consultation package also outlined potential risks and impacts together with a summary of proposed management control measures. Stakeholders were encouraged to provide feedback on the proposed activity.

Individual fishing licence holders, as identified through DPIRD data and in consultation with WAFIC, were provided the Vessel Based Activity Commercial Fisher Consultation Package by email (and one by post).

Commercial fishers were provided additional information which included:

- + maps and information relevant to a specific fishery
- + information about the nature of the surveys
- + information about operational area access and concurrent operations.

The intent of providing this level of information early in the consultation process was to facilitate each party proceeding with their business in a safe and efficient manner, and to minimise the extent of interruption by the activity on commercial fishing operators to the lowest practicable level.

Stakeholders were afforded at least six weeks to review consultation packs, although Santos accepted stakeholder feedback after this period.

4.4 Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims

A summary of the stakeholder consultation undertaken for this EP, including Santos' assessment of all stakeholder comments received, is outlined in **Table 4-2**.

Full transcripts between Santos and stakeholders are provided in the *Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan Sensitive Stakeholder Information Report* (SO-91-BI-20011.01) as a confidential submission to NOPSEMA.

Santos adopted the following process to address objections and claims received during the consultation process:

- + Santos acknowledged receipt of all comments made by stakeholders.
- + Santos assessed the merits of all objections and claims made by stakeholders. This included assessing all reasonably available options for resolving or mitigating the degree to which a stakeholder's functions,

interests or activities may be affected. Control measures were proposed and adopted where reasonably practicable.

- + Santos responded to all stakeholder objections and claims, and advised the stakeholder how each of their objections and claims would be addressed in the EP.
- + Santos invited the stakeholder to provide additional feedback and comment.

A similar process was applied to information provided and requests made by stakeholders not deemed to be an objection or claim.

Santos recognises the importance of ensuring a high degree of transparency in how a titleholder manages ongoing stakeholder consultation during the life of a five-year EP. As such, should additional stakeholder comments be received to those described in **Table 4-2** then Santos will assess the comments using the above process and update the EP to document the assessment of additional objections or claims.

In relation to stakeholder consultation, Santos is of the opinion that Regulation 10A of the OPGGS(E) Regulations has been met.

Table 4-2: Consultation summary for activity

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|------------------------|----------------------|
| Commonwealth departments/agencies | | | | | | |
| Australian Hydrographic Office (AHO) | <p>AHO was provided the Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>AHO acknowledged receipt of the consultation information about 20 October 2020.</p> <p>AHO was provided an updated Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 1 December 2020.</p> <p>AHO acknowledged receipt of the updated consultation information about 2 December 2020.</p> <p>AHO notification requirements, as requested by AMSA and DoD (refer to below), are addressed in Table 8-4.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | <table border="1"> <tr> <th style="background-color: #0070c0; color: white;">Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</th> <th style="background-color: #0070c0; color: white;">Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</th> </tr> <tr> <td>No assessment required</td> <td>No response required</td> </tr> </table> | Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests | Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests | No assessment required | No response required |
| Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests | Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests | | | | | |
| No assessment required | No response required | | | | | |
| Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) | <p>AMSA was provided the Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>AMSA responded on 30 October 2020 advising Santos should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Contact the Australian Hydrographic Office no less than four weeks before operations, with details relevant to the operations. The AHO will promulgate the appropriate Notice to Mariners, which will ensure other vessels receive information of your activities. [REQUEST 001] + Notify AMSA’s Joint Rescue Coordination Centre (JRCC) by e-mail for promulgation of radio-navigation warnings at least 24 to 48 hours before operations commence. AMSA’s JRCC will require the vessel details (including name, callsign and Maritime Mobile Service Identity), satellite communications details (including INMARSAT-C and satellite telephone numbers), area of operation, requested clearance from other vessels and any other information that may contribute to safety at sea. JRCC will also need to be advised when operations start and end. [REQUEST 002] + Plan to provide updates to both the Australian Hydrographic Office and the JRCC on progress and, importantly, any changes to the intended operations. [REQUEST 003] + Remind vessels of their obligation to comply with the International Rules for Preventing Collisions at Sea (COLREGs), in particular, the use of appropriate lights and shapes to reflect the nature of your operations. Vessels should also ensure their navigation status is set correctly in the ship’s AIS unit. [REQUEST 004] | | | | | |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|-------------|--|---|
| | <p>Santos responded to AMSA on 26 November 2020 and addressed the matters raised in their correspondence of 30 October 2020 (refer assessment of stakeholder objections, claims, information and requests below).</p> <p>AMSA was provided an updated Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 1 December 2020.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | |
| | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> | <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> |
| | <p>[REQUEST 001] Santos will notify the AHO no less than four working weeks before operations commence.</p> <p>Notification requirements are addressed in Table 8-4.</p> | <p>Santos responded to AMSA confirming the notifications requirements would be addressed in the EP.</p> |
| | <p>[REQUEST 002] Santos will notify AMSA’s JRCC at least 24 to 48 hours before operations commence for each survey and advise when operations start and end.</p> <p>Notification requirements are addressed in Table 8-4.</p> | <p>Santos responded to AMSA confirming the notifications requirements would be addressed in the EP.</p> |
| | <p>[REQUEST 003] Santos will notify AMSA’s JRCC and AHO on any changes to intended operations.</p> <p>Notification requirements are addressed in Table 8-4.</p> | <p>Santos responded to AMSA acknowledging their notifications requirements.</p> |
| | <p>[REQUEST 004] Santos understands the obligation for vessels to comply with the International Rules for Preventing Collisions at Sea (COLREGs), in particular, the use of appropriate lights and shapes to reflect the nature of operations and this requirement is addressed in the EP (Sections 6.2 and 6.5). Santos note the AMSA comment on navigation status being set correctly in the ship’s AIS unit.</p> | <p>Santos responded to AMSA acknowledging their requirements.</p> |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Department of Defence (Defence)</p> | <p>Defence was provided the Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 29 October 2020. Santos sent a follow-up email on 26 November 2020 inviting comment. Defence was provided an updated Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 1 December 2020. Defence responded on 7 December 2020 and provided the following comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Please be advised that some of the survey areas are within the North West Exercise Area and restricted airspace. [REQUEST 001] + Santos is further advised that unexploded ordnance (UXO) may be present on and in the sea floor within the North West Exercise Area. Santos must, therefore, inform itself as to the risks associated with conducting activities in the area (for example, the detonation of UXO). [REQUEST 001] + Additionally, Santos is advised that all activities in the area are conducted at its own risk; and the Commonwealth of Australia, represented by the Department of Defence, takes no responsibility for: [REQUEST 001] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – reporting the location and type of UXO that may be in the areas – identifying or removing any UXO from these areas – any loss or damage suffered or incurred by Santos or any third party arising out of, or directly related to, UXO in the area. + In order to ensure Santos activities do not conflict with Defence training, Defence requires a minimum of five weeks notification prior to the commencement of activities. Notification will need to be provided to Offshore.Petroleum@defence.gov.au. [REQUEST 002] + Please ensure that any activities undertaken within Restricted Airspace comply with the relevant Notice to Airmen (NOTAM) restrictions. [REQUEST 003] + Please ensure continued liaison with the Australian Hydrographic Service (AHS), in particular ensure that the AHS is notified three weeks prior to the actual commencement of activities. [REQUEST 004] <p>Santos responded to Defence on 8 December 2020 and addressed the matters raised in their correspondence of 7 December 2020 (refer assessment of stakeholder objections, claims, information and requests below).</p> <p>Santos contacted Defence by telephone on 28 January 2021 to clarify the location of the North West Exercise Area (NWEA) in relation to the proposed operational areas [REQUEST 001]. From that discussion, Santos was able to confirm that it is only one operational area (Area C) that partially overlaps the NWEA (refer assessment of stakeholder objections, claims, information and requests below).</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | |
| | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> | <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|---|---|--|
| | <p>[REQUEST 001] Santos acknowledges the advice provided by Defence and has made note of it in Section 6.5.</p> | <p>Santos responded Defence and acknowledged their advice.</p> |
| | <p>[REQUEST 002] Santos will, subject to agreement with Defence, provide Defence a minimum of four weeks notification (rather than five) prior to the commencement of activities. Santos' preference is to align the required notifications to Defence with required notifications to other stakeholders, where it is feasible to do so. However, should this not meet with Defence requirements, Santos will revert to the requested five weeks notification. Notification commitments will be reflected in Section 8.</p> | <p>Santos responded to Defence and outlined notification requirements.</p> |
| | <p>[REQUEST 003] Santos notes the request to ensure any activities undertaken within Restricted Airspace comply with the relevant Notice to Airmen (NOTAM). The proposed activities under the Vessel Based Activity EP are for vessel based (on water) activities only.</p> | <p>Santos responded to Defence and acknowledged the information provided.</p> |
| | <p>[REQUEST 004] Santos will, subject to agreement with Defence will ensure the Australian Hydrographic Service (AHS) is notified four weeks (not three) prior to the commencement of activities. Santos' preference is to align the required notifications to AHO with required notifications to other stakeholders, where it is feasible to do so. Notification commitments will be reflected in Table 8-4.</p> | <p>Santos responded to Defence and outlined notification requirements.</p> |
| <p>Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA)</p> | <p>AFMA was provided the Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>AFMA responded on 25 November 2020 and advised it was important to consult with all fishers who have entitlements to fish within the proposed area. This can be done through the relevant fishing industry associations or directly with fishers who hold entitlements in the area. AFMA provided guidance on where to find this information. [REQUEST 001]</p> <p>Santos responded to AFMA on 26 November 2020 and addressed the matters raised in their correspondence of 25 November 2020 (refer assessment of stakeholder objections, claims, information and requests below).</p> <p>AFMA was provided an updated Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 1 December 2020.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|--|--|--|
| | <p>[REQUEST 001] Santos has consulted directly with relevant fishers and fishing industry associations as outlined in Table 4-1 and Table 4-2. Santos has assessed the impact to fish and commercial fisheries in Section 6.5.</p> | <p>Santos responded to AFMA and confirmed it had consulted directly with relevant fishers and fishing industry associations.</p> |
| <p>Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) – Biosecurity (vessels, aircraft and personnel)</p> | <p>The department was provided the Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>The department responded on 11 November 2020 provided advice on the Australian Government's biosecurity requirements. In summary, the department advised: Your intended operating practices may expose domestic conveyances (support vessels and aircraft) to interactions with your project vessel which may pose an unacceptable level of biosecurity risk. Where domestic conveyances become exposed through interactions with persons, goods or conveyances outside Australian territory they automatically become subject to biosecurity control upon their return.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + You must report to the department for each project, using the required template. + The department will then assess whether the project, and the level of biosecurity risk associated with the survey vessel/platform, is low, within the meaning of the Biosecurity (Exposed Conveyances – Exceptions from Biosecurity Control) Determination 2016 (the Determination), an exposed conveyance may be eligible for an exception from biosecurity control. In order for exposed conveyances to be assessed as low risk, the offshore installation must demonstrate that it meets the requirements set out in the Determination. + To have risk status assessed, offshore installation projects must apply to the department at least one month prior to project commencement. The department will work with installation representatives to assess the biosecurity risk of the installation and associated support conveyances (vessels and aircraft). + Please review the department's <i>Offshore Installations webpage</i> and associated <i>Offshore Installations Biosecurity Guide</i> which provides specific biosecurity information for operators of offshore installations and notify the department where your project which may have conveyance interactions with Australian territory, or to discuss a biosecurity assessment. + Also review Australian ballast water and biofouling requirements and pre-arrival reporting using MARS. The project's support vessels will need to be registered and managed using MARS, where they are travelling between the drill site and Australian ports for resupply/refuelling/waste management. Support aircraft will need to be arranged in compliance with aircraft biosecurity reporting requirements. + This reporting is in addition to reporting that your company provides to other agencies such as NOPSEMA. While the department will review your NOPSEMA application, you are required to report to the department as part of Australia's management of the biosecurity risk. The <i>Biosecurity Act 2015</i> saw existing offshore operations continue as usual; however, new reporting requirements are in place. [REQUEST 001] <p>Santos responded to the department on 4 December 2020 and addressed the matters raised in their correspondence of 11 November 2020 (refer assessment of stakeholder objections, claims, information and requests below).</p> <p>The department was provided an updated Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 1 December 2020.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|--|--|--|
| | Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests | Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests |
| | <p>[REQUEST 001] Santos will apply to the Department, using the required form, at least one month prior to the commencement of the activity, for the vessel biosecurity risk to be assessed as low (or as applicable to vessel and location) and undertake pre-arrival approval for the vessels (where applicable) (refer Table 8-4).</p> | <p>Santos responded to the Department and acknowledged their biosecurity requirements.</p> |
| Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) – Biosecurity (marine pests) | <p>The department was provided the Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>Santos sent a follow-up email on 26 November 2020 inviting comment.</p> <p>The department was provided an updated Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 1 December 2020.</p> <p>No response received to date.</p> <p>Management of invasive marine pest species is addressed in Section 7.2.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | |
| | Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests | Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests |
| | No assessment required. | No response required. |
| Department of Agriculture and Water Resources (DAWR) – Fisheries | <p>The department was provided the Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>Santos sent a follow-up email on 26 November 2020 inviting comment.</p> <p>The department was provided an updated Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 1 December 2020.</p> <p>No response received to date.</p> <p>Santos has assessed the impact to fish and commercial fisheries in Section 6.5.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | |
| | Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests | Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|-------------|---|---|
| | No assessment required. | No response required. |

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>Director of National Parks (DNP)</p> | <p>The Director of National Parks (DNP) was provided the Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 29 October 2020. DNP responded on 5 November 2020 noting that the proposed activity is located in Montebello Marine Park (Area C), which forms part of the North-west Network of Marine Parks. The DNP also noted that additional activity is located 50 km from Dampier and Montebello Marine Parks (Area B) and directly adjacent to the Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park (Area C).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + In accordance with the North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan 2018, mining operations (excluding the construction and operation of pipelines) are not allowed in Habitat Protection Zones, Recreational Use Zones, National Park Zones or Sanctuary Zones. Mining operations are defined in the Management Plan (aligning with Section 355 [2] of the <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i>, being: [INFORMATION 001] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – operations or activities connected with, or incidental to, the mining or recovery of minerals or the production of materials from minerals, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ prospecting and exploring for minerals ○ milling, refining, treatment and processing of minerals ○ storage and disposal of minerals and materials produced from minerals. – the construction and use of towns, camps, dams, pipelines power lines or other structures for the purposes of operations or activities described in paragraph a) – the performance of any other work for the purposes of operations or activities described in paragraph a). + The North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan 2018 came into effect on 1 July 2018 and provides further information about values for the marine parks mentioned above. [INFORMATION 002] + The management plan allows for mining authorisation to be given through a class approval for the Multiple Use Zone of the Montebello Marine Park. The class approval requires an accepted Environment Plan (EP) under the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2009. You need to be aware of your obligations under the class approval (including conditions). Please note, NOPSEMA is the assessor of environmental management arrangements for activities authorised by the class approval. [INFORMATION 003] + To assist in the preparation of an EP for petroleum activities in an Australian marine park, NOPSEMA has worked closely with Parks Australia to develop and publish a guidance note that outlines what titleholders need to consider and evaluate. In preparing the EP, you should consider all activities associated with the operation of the program. To take into account Australian marine parks, titleholders are expected to consider the impacts and risks of activities in the context of the North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan 2018 objectives and values. This includes the representativeness of the relevant values and the activity footprint on the representative area of the Australian marine park. You should ensure the EP: [INFORMATION 004] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – identifies and manages all impacts and risks on Australian marine park values (including ecosystem values) to an acceptable level and has considered all options to avoid or reduce them to as low as reasonably practicable – clearly demonstrates that the activity will not be inconsistent with the management plan. |
|---|---|

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|-------------|--|--|
| | <p>+ Australian marine park values are broadly defined into four categories: natural, cultural, heritage and socio-economic. Specific values for the Great Australian Bight Marine Park include (but are not limited to): [INFORMATION 005]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - seafloor features such as slopes, canyons, terrace, shelves and Anna’s Pimple – a feature likely to support diverse and unique benthic fauna - biologically important areas such as globally important seasonal calving habitat for the threatened southern right whale; and important foraging areas for the threatened Australian sea lion, threatened white shark, migratory pygmy blue and sperm whales, and seabirds - examples of the western ecosystems of the GAB Shelf Transition and easternmost ecosystems of the Southern Province, and - three key ecological features: the ancient coastline between 90 and 120 m depth that supports benthic biodiversity and productivity where the ancient coastline forms a prominent escarpment (such as in the western GAB where the sea floor is dominated by sponge communities of significant biodiversity and structural complexity), benthic invertebrate communities of the eastern GAB that are among the world’s most diverse soft-sediment ecosystems, and areas important for small pelagic fish, a species group with an important ecological role. <p>+ The seasonal Southern Right Whale calving habitat is globally important and the EP should look at options to avoid key dates. [SEE INFORMATION 005]</p> <p>+ Further information about the values for the marine parks are located in the North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan 2018 and the Australian Marine Parks Science Atlas. [SEE INFORMATION 005]</p> <p>+ The DNP should be made aware of oil/gas pollution incidences which occur within a marine park or are likely to impact on a marine park as soon as possible. Notification should be provided to the 24-hour Marine Compliance Duty Officer. Note that the DNP may request daily or weekly Situation Reports, depending on the scale and severity of the pollution incident. [REQUEST 001]</p> <p>+ The DNP requests notification to marineparks@awe.gov.au if the EP is approved by NOPSEMA. If the EP is approved, the DNP also requests notification at least 10 days prior to all activities occurring within the marine park (excluding transiting) and at the conclusion of that activity. Notification information should be consistent with the guidance note. [REQUEST 002]</p> <p>Santos responded to DNP on 26 November 2020 and addressed the matters raised in their correspondence of 5 November 2020 (refer assessment of stakeholder objections, claims, information and requests below).</p> <p>DNP was provided an updated Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 1 December 2020.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any additional comments from the DNP should they arise in the future.</p> | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|-------------|---|---|
| | <p>[INFORMATION 001] Santos notes the prohibition of mining operations within certain areas of the marine park, however the proposed activity is wholly within the multiple use zone (IUCN VI) in Commonwealth waters, and therefore is compliant with the North West Marine Parks Network Management Plan. This is further outlined in Section 1.6.2.1.</p> | <p>Santos responded to DNP and addressed the comments made.</p> |
| | <p>[INFORMATION 002] The values of the Australian Marine Parks that may be affected by the proposed activities have been described within the EP (within Appendix C).</p> | <p>Santos responded to DNP and addressed the comments made.</p> |
| | <p>[INFORMATION 003] Santos is aware of its obligations under the class approval for the North-West Network of Marine Parks. The activity will be conducted in accordance with an accepted EP (submitted to NOPSEMA), the EPBC Act, the EPBC Regulations and all other applicable Commonwealth and state laws. These are described within the EP and includes the management of ballast water and waste in accordance with Australian ballast water requirements and MARPOL and are not considered to require further approval from the DNP.</p> | <p>Santos responded to DNP and addressed the comments made.</p> |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|-------------|--|---|
| | <p>[INFORMATION 004] Santos has considered the relevant values of the Montebello, Dampier and Eighty Mile Beach Australian Marine Parks when preparing the EP, in particular the presence of habitat important to marine turtles, seabirds, migrating humpback whales and foraging whale sharks as well as the presence of the Ancient Coastline at 125 m depth contour Key Ecological Feature (KEF). Control measures adopted to manage interactions with fauna and the KEF are described in Section 8 and include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Vessels comply with Santos Protected Marine Fauna Interaction and Sighting Procedure (EA-91-11-00003), which ensures compliance with Part 8 of the EPBC Regulations 2000, and includes controls for minimising the risk of collision with marine fauna. + Lighting will be used as required for safe work conditions and navigational purposes. + Personnel are prohibited from recreational fishing activities on the vessel. + Compliance with relevant marine orders for the discharge of sewage, oily mixtures, deck cleaning products and garbage management. + Implementation of the management controls in the Santos Invasive Marine Species Management Plan to reduce risk of IMS from vessels and immersible equipment, and the management of ballast water. + Pre-start requirements for geophysical equipment in-water which includes observations for marine fauna before and during the survey, soft start procedures and delayed startups if marine mammals, turtles or whale sharks are observed within 500 m of the equipment. + No seabed sampling on the Ancient Coastline at 125 m depth contour KEF. | <p>Santos responded to DNP and addressed the comments made.</p> |
| | <p>[INFORMATION 005] The planned activity is not within the Great Australian Bight (GAB) marine park but is within the Montebello Islands Australian Marine Park (AMP). The values of the Montebello Islands AMP have been fully described within the EP with reference to the North West Marine Parks Network Management Plan 2018, the AMP Science Atlas, and the relevant receptors have been considered in the environmental impact assessment within the EP.</p> | <p>Santos responded to DNP and addressed the comments made.</p> |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|--|--|---|
| | <p>[REQUEST 001] Santos notes this requirement and the details of the notification, in the event of an incident, have been included in the EP for the activity (Table 8-4).</p> | <p>Santos responded to DNP and confirmed notification requirements had been addressed in the EP.</p> |
| | <p>[REQUEST 002] Santos notes this requirement and the details of the notification, for activities occurring within an AMP, have been included in the EP for the activity (Table 8-4).</p> | <p>Santos responded to DNP and confirmed notification requirements had been addressed in the EP.</p> |
| <p>State Government Departments</p> | | |
| <p>Department of Transport (DoT)</p> | <p>DoT was provided the Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>DoT responded on 12 November 2020 advising:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + if there is a risk of a spill impacting State waters from the activity, please ensure that the department is consulted as outlined in the Department of Transport Offshore Petroleum Industry Guidance Note – Marine Oil Pollution: Response and Consultation Arrangements (July 2020). [REQUEST 001] <p>Santos responded to DOT on 13 November 2020 and addressed each of the matters raised in their correspondence of 29 October 2020 (refer assessment of stakeholder objections, claims, information and requests below).</p> <p>DoT was provided an updated Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 1 December 2020.</p> <p>DoT responded on 3 December 2020 and acknowledged the update.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | |
| | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> | <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> |
| | <p>[REQUEST 001] Santos will provide DOT a copy of the Vessel Based Activity OPEP, and a copy of the Vessel Based Activity OPEP DoT Consultation Package. DoT notification requirements in the event of a spill are also provided in Table 8-4.</p> | <p>Santos responded to DOT and acknowledged their request.</p> |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|---|--|--|
| Department of Primary Industries & Regional Development (DPIRD) | <p>DPIRD was provided the Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>Santos sent a follow-up email on 26 November 2020 inviting comment.</p> <p>DPIRD was provided an updated Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 1 December 2020.</p> <p>No response received to date.</p> <p>Santos has consulted directly with relevant fishers and fishing industry associations as outlined in Table 4-1 and Table 4-2. Santos has assessed the impact to fish and commercial fisheries in Section 6.5.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | |
| | Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests | Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests |
| | No assessment required. | No response required. |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|--|--|--|
| Department of Biodiversity and Conservation Attractions (DBCA) | <p>DBCA was provided the Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>Santos sent a follow-up email on 26 November 2020 inviting comment.</p> <p>DBCA responded on 27 November 2020 and provided the following comments and recommendations in relation to its <i>Conservation and Land Management Act 1984</i> and <i>Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016</i> related responsibilities.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + The Barrow Island Marine Park (M 10) and Barrow Island Marine Management Area (M 11) are identified by Santos as a key regional feature adjacent to the Area C operations area. DBCA has management responsibilities within those areas, and the proposed 500-metre Petroleum Safety Zone (PSZ) exclusion area around Santos' vessels may impinge on DBCA vessel activities, including emergency response activities. It is therefore recommended that the Environment Plan (EP) includes a commitment that Santos activities will not restrict DBCA operational activities within these marine reserves. [REQUEST 001] + Consideration should also be given to whether there will be a need to anchor or establish temporary moorings within the Montebello Island Marine Park (M 9), Barrow Island Marine Park or Barrow Island Marine Management Area to facilitate the various operations (as Santos has required previously). It is therefore recommended that details of any anchor/temporary mooring requirements be included within the EP and that contact is made with DBCA with sufficient lead time (weeks/months) to allow the necessary, appropriate assessments to take place to secure the necessary approvals (in other words, lawful authorities). [REQUEST 002] + While DBCA is unable to determine the exact timing of vessel-based activities based on the information provided, it is recommended that consideration is given to avoidance of key ecological windows wherever practicable (for example, marine turtle breeding season, humpback whale migration, etc.) and that this information is provided in the EP. [REQUEST 003] <p>Santos responded to DBCA on 1 December 2020 and addressed each of the matters raised in their correspondence of 27 November 2020 (refer assessment of stakeholder objections, claims, information and requests below).</p> <p>DBCA was provided an updated Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 1 December 2020.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|-------------|--|---|
| | <p>[REQUEST 001] Santos advises that whilst operational area C is adjacent to The Barrow Island Marine Park (M 10) and Barrow Island Marine Management Area (M 11) located in State waters, its activities remain fully within Commonwealth waters and will not occur within M10 or M11. As such, any vessel navigation that may occur within M10 and M11, (for example, for transit to and from the operational area) will be required to comply with the maritime laws of the sea, avoiding restriction of DBCA vessels operating in M10 or M11.</p> <p>Santos also notes that the reference to a 500 m PSZ around vessels within the Operational Area is erroneous as this does not apply to vessels subject to the proposed Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan.</p> | <p>Santos responded to DBCA and addressed the issues raised. Santos also advised other relevant stakeholders that a 500 m PSZ around vessels within the operational area is erroneous as this does not apply to vessels subject to the proposed Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan.</p> |
| | <p>[REQUEST 002] Santos notes that M10 and M11 are not within operational area C, and as such, no anchoring will be undertaken within M10 or M11 as part of the EP.</p> | <p>Santos responded to DBCA and addressed the issues raised.</p> |
| | <p>[REQUEST 003] The values of the Montebello Island Marine Park (M9), The Barrow Island Marine Park (M10) and Barrow Island Marine Management Area (M11) within State waters, have been fully described, with an assessment of potential impacts on receptors during key ecological windows (for example, marine turtle breeding season, humpback whale migration, etc.) included in the EP.</p> | <p>Santos responded to DBCA and addressed the issues raised.</p> |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|---|---|--|
| Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety (DMIRS) | <p>DMIRS was provided the Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>Santos sent a follow-up email on 26 November 2020 inviting comment.</p> <p>DMIRS responded on 27 November 2020 and provided the following comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + DMIRS acknowledges Santos propose to undertake vessel based activities in three areas in Commonwealth Waters and the activities will be managed under an Environment Plan to be submitted to NOPSEMA in accordance with the requirements of the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2009. [INFORMATION 001] + The consultation package has been reviewed and no further information is required. [INFORMATION 002] + DMIRS does note part of the south eastern portion of Area C is directly adjacent to the Barrow Island Marine Park, a sanctuary zone where petroleum activities are not permitted. Santos should ensure there are no adverse effects on the environmental values within the Barrow Island Marine Park should vessel based activities be undertaken in the adjacent area. [REQUEST 001] <p>Santos responded to DMIRS on 30 November 2020 and addressed each of the matters raised in their correspondence of 29 October 2020 (refer assessment of stakeholder objections, claims, information and requests below).</p> <p>DMIRS responded on 30 November 2020 acknowledging the additional information provided by Santos and confirmed no further information was required.</p> <p>DMIRS was provided an updated Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 1 December 2020.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any additional comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | |
| | Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests | Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests |
| | [INFORMATION 001] Noted by Santos. | Santos acknowledged DMIRS response. |
| | [INFORMATION 002] Noted by Santos. | Santos acknowledged DMIRS response. |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | <p>[REQUEST 001] Santos notes the prohibition of mining operations within certain areas of the Barrow Island Marine Park, a sanctuary zone where petroleum activities are not permitted, however the proposed activity is wholly within the multiple use zone (IUCN VI) in Commonwealth waters, and therefore is compliant with the NW Marine Parks Network Management Plan. The values of the Australian Marine Parks that may be affected by the proposed activities in adjacent zones, have been described within the EP (Sections 6, 7 and Appendix C) which will be published in full on NOPSEMA’s website following submission.</p> | <p>Santos responded to DMIRS and addressed the comment made.</p> | | | | |
| <p>Pilbara Ports Authority</p> | <p>The Pilbara Ports Authority was provided the Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 29 October 2020. Santos sent a follow-up email on 26 November 2020 inviting comment.</p> <p>Pilbara Ports Authority was provided an updated Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 1 December 2020.</p> <p>No response received to date.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</th> <th>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> <p>No assessment required.</p> </td> <td> <p>No response required.</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests | Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests | <p>No assessment required.</p> | <p>No response required.</p> |
| Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests | Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests | | | | | |
| <p>No assessment required.</p> | <p>No response required.</p> | | | | | |
| <p>Fishing bodies</p> | | | | | | |
| <p>Western Australian Fishing Industry Council (WAFIC)</p> | <p><u>WAFIC Consultation</u></p> <p>WAFIC was provided the Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>WAFIC responded on 29 October 2020 and provided the following feedback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Many thanks for the commercial fishing specific information regarding the Santos Vessel Based Activity Drilling EP. [INFORMATION 001] + Note this covers multiple small/short duration vessel based activities over a five year period across three areas. [INFORMATION 002] <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Thank you for working one EP to cover multiple activities. – Understand no more than 12 activities in one year – spread across all three areas (not per area). – Understand this is a five-year EP, best anticipated start is 2021 to 2026. | | | | | |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|-------------|--|---|
| | <p>+ We appreciate Santos support for the following: [INFORMATION 003]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Usual 500 m PSZ in place, only in place for the duration of each (short) activity. - We appreciate access to the operational area (as long as it is safe to do so). - Thank you for advocating support vessel communication with commercial fishers and where possible, avoidance of commercial fishing activities. - Thank you for ensuring all points agreed to in this EP are communicated to staff, contractors, subcontractors, etc. - Thank you for confirming there will be no recreational fishing from any commercial fishing boats. - Thank you for confirming relevant commercial fishers will be advised of start/finish notifications. <p>+ Suggest when the EP is approved and the activities commence that Santos, where possible, combines activity start/finish notifications to keep the email traffic to a minimum (reducing stakeholder fatigue) without diluting the need to provide activity information and to meet your EP obligations. [REQUEST 001]</p> <p>Santos responded to WAFIC on 12 November 2020 and addressed each of the matters raised in their correspondence of 29 October 2020 (refer assessment of stakeholder objections, claims, information and requests below).</p> <p>WAFIC was provided an updated Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 1 December 2020.</p> <p>WAFIC responded on 1 December 2020 and acknowledged the update.</p> <p>All fisheries are described in Section 3.2.4.1, and potential impacts to fisheries, fish habitat and commercial fishers are discussed in Section 6.5.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any additional comments from WAFIC should they arise in the future.</p> <p><u>WAFIC Fee for Service</u></p> <p>On 21 September 2020 Santos requested WAFIC Fee for Service to assist with consultation with commercial fishers for the Vessel Based Activity. Draft consultation material was provided for WAFIC review.</p> <p>WAFIC sent the agreed consultation material to relevant fishers on behalf of Santos on 29 October 2020.</p> | |
| | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> | <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> |
| | <p>[INFORMATION 001] Santos accepts WAFIC’s acknowledgement of consultation material specific to commercial fishers.</p> | <p>Santos responded to WAFIC noting this information.</p> |
| | <p>[INFORMATION 002] Santos accepts WAFICs understanding of the EP.</p> | <p>Santos responded to WAFIC noting this information.</p> |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|---|---|--|
| | <p>[INFORMATION 003] Santos re-confirmed its commitments to commercial fishers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Relevant commercial fishing stakeholders will be notified prior to commencement and on cessation of the activity (Table 8-4). + Santos will not restrict commercial fishing access to the operational area, and is committed to concurrent operations where safety of either vessel is not compromised (Section 6.5, CM-10). + A visual and radar watch will be maintained on the vessel bridge (Section 6.5.6, CM-02). + Support vessel personnel will be prohibited from any recreational fishing activities in the operational area (Section 6.5.3, CM-11). + Santos commits to reduce impacts on other marine users through the provision of information to relevant stakeholders such that they are able to plan for their activities and avoid unexpected interference (Section 4, Section 6.5.3, CM-10). + Santos inductions for support vessels will include a topic to reinforce the importance of marine communications regarding any potential interactions with active commercial fishing (Section 8.6.1). | <p>Santos responded to WAFIC and confirmed its commitments to commercial fishers.</p> <p>Santos provided an updated Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 1 December 2020 that noted the 500 m PSZ around vessels within the Operational Area is erroneous as this does not apply to vessels subject to the proposed Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan.</p> |
| | <p>[REQUEST 001] Santos accepts WAFIC’s advice and in acceptance of the EP, will liaise with WAFIC on the required notifications to commercial fishers (Table 8-4).</p> | <p>Santos responded to WAFIC and confirmed Santos would liaise with WAFIC on the required notifications to relevant fishers.</p> |
| <p>Commonwealth Fisheries Association (CFA)</p> | <p>The CFA was provided the Vessel Based Activity Consultation Package for Commercial Fishers via WAFIC on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>The CFA was sent a follow-up email on 26 November 2020 inviting comment.</p> <p>No response received to date.</p> <p>All fisheries are described in Section 3.2.4.1, and potential impact to fisheries, fish habitat and commercial fishers are discussed in Sections 6.4 and 6.5.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|--|--|--|
| | No assessment required. | No response required. |
| Pearl Producers Association (PPA) | <p>The PPA was provided the Vessel Based Activity Consultation Package for Commercial Fishers and maps specific to the Peral Oyster Managed Fishery via WAFIC on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>The PPA was sent a follow-up email on 26 November 2020 inviting comment.</p> <p>No response has been received to date.</p> <p>All fisheries (include pearl oysters) are described in Section 3.2.4.1, and potential impact to fisheries, fish habitat and commercial fishers are discussed in Sections 6.4 and 6.5.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> <p>No assessment required.</p> |
| | | <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> <p>No response required.</p> |
| Australian Southern Bluefin Tuna Industry Association (ASBTIA) | <p>ASBTIA was provided the Vessel Based Activity Commercial Fisher Consultation package via WAFIC on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>ASBTIA responded on 30 October 2020 advising:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + this is outside of our fishing area and appears to be inshore of likely larval distribution - as such we do not need to be updated or included as part of this EP consultation. [INFORMATION 001] <p>Santos responded to ASBTIA on 12 November 2020 and addressed the matters raised in their correspondence of 30 October 2020 (refer assessment of stakeholder objections, claims, information and requests below).</p> <p>All listed fisheries are described in Section 3.2.4.1, and potential impact to fisheries, fish habitat and commercial fishers are discussed in Sections 6.4 and 6.5.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> <p>[INFORMATION 001] Santos accepts ASBTIA advice.</p> |
| | | <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> <p>Santos responded to ASBTIA and acknowledged their advice.</p> |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|--------------------------|--|---|
| Recfishwest | <p>Recfishwest was provided the Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>Recfishwest responded on 29 October 2020 advising:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + it is highly unlikely to impact our constituents given the distance from shore. [INFORMATION 001] <p>Santos responded to Recfishwest on 12 November 2020 and addressed the matters raised in their correspondence of 29 October 2020 (refer assessment of stakeholder objections, claims, information and requests below).</p> <p>Recfishwest was provided an updated Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 1 December 2020.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | |
| | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> | <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> |
| | <p>[INFORMATION 001] Santos accepts the advice provided by Recfishwest.</p> | <p>Santos responded to Recfishwest and acknowledged this information.</p> |
| Marine Tourism WA (MTWA) | <p>MTWA was provided the Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>Santos sent a follow-up email on 26 November 2020 inviting comment.</p> <p>MTWA was provided an updated Vessel Based Activity Stakeholder Consultation package via email on 1 December 2020.</p> <p>No response received to date.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | |
| | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> | <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> |
| | <p>No assessment required.</p> | <p>No response required.</p> |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| State managed fisheries | | |
| Mackerel Managed Fishery (Area 2) | <p>These licence holders were provided the Vessel Based Activity Consultation Package for Commercial Fishers and maps specific to the Mackerel Managed Fishery (Area 2)) via WAFIC on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>Refer to WAFIC comments received. No comments received to date from individual fishers in this fishery.</p> <p>All fisheries are described in Section 3.2.4.1, and potential impacts to fisheries, fish habitat and commercial fishers are discussed in Sections 6.4 and 6.5.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> <p>No assessment required.</p> |
| | | <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> <p>No response required.</p> |
| Pilbara Line Fishery | <p>These licence holders were provided the Vessel Based Activity Consultation Package for Commercial Fishers and maps specific to the Pilbara Line Fishery via WAFIC on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>Refer to WAFIC comments received. No comments received to date from individual fishers in this fishery.</p> <p>All fisheries are described in Section 3.2.4.1, and potentials impact to fisheries, fish habitat and commercial fishers are discussed in Sections 6.4 and 6.5.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> <p>No assessment required.</p> |
| | | <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGS(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> <p>No response required.</p> |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|--|--|---|
| Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery | <p>These licence holders were provided the Vessel Based Activity Consultation Package for Commercial Fishers and maps specific to the Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery via WAFIC on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>Refer to WAFIC comments received. No comments received to date from individual fishers in this fishery.</p> <p>All fisheries are described in Section 3.2.4.1, and potentials impact to fisheries, fish habitat and commercial fishers are discussed in Sections 6.4 and 6.5.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | |
| | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> | <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> |
| | <p>No assessment required.</p> | <p>No response required.</p> |
| Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery | <p>These licence holders were provided the Vessel Based Activity Consultation Package for Commercial Fishers and maps specific to the Pilbara Fish Trawl Interim Managed Fishery via WAFIC on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>Refer to WAFIC comments received. No comments received to date from individual fishers in this fishery.</p> <p>All fisheries are described in Section 3.2.4.1, and potentials impact to fisheries, fish habitat and commercial fishers are discussed in Sections 6.4 and 6.5.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | |
| | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> | <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> |
| | <p>No assessment required.</p> | <p>No response required.</p> |
| Pearl Oyster Managed Fishery | <p>Licence holders in this fishery were consulted via the PPA. The PPA was provided the Vessel Based Activity Consultation Package for Commercial Fishers and a map specific to the Pearl Oyster Managed Fishery via WAFIC on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>Refer to WAFIC comments received. No comments received to date from individual fishers in this fishery.</p> <p>All fisheries are described in Section 3.2.4.1, and potentials impact to fisheries, fish habitat and commercial fishers are discussed in Sections 6.4 and 6.5.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| | Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests | Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests |
| | No assessment required. | No response required. |
| Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery | <p>The identified relevant licence holder in this fishery was provided the Vessel Based Activity Consultation Package for Commercial Fishers and maps specific to the Pilbara Crab Managed Fishery via WAFIC on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>Refer to WAFIC comments received. No comments received to date from individual fishers in this fishery.</p> <p>All fisheries are described in Section 3.2.4.1, and potentials impact to fisheries, fish habitat and commercial fishers are discussed in Sections 6.4 and 6.5.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | |
| | Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests | Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests |
| | No assessment required. | No response required. |
| Onslow Prawn Fishery | <p>These licence holders were provided the Vessel Based Activity Consultation Package for Commercial Fishers and maps specific to the Onslow Prawn Fishery via WAFIC on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>Refer to WAFIC comments received. No comments received to date from individual fishers in this fishery.</p> <p>All fisheries are described in Section 3.2.4.1, and potentials impact to fisheries, fish habitat and commercial fishers are discussed in Sections 6.4 and 6.5.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | |
| | Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests | Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests |
| | No assessment required. | No response required. |

| Stakeholder | Summary of stakeholder and titleholder correspondence, and any objections and claims made | Assessment of stakeholder objections and claims |
|----------------------------------|--|---|
| Nickol Bay Prawn Managed Fishery | <p>These licence holders were provided the Vessel Based Activity Consultation Package for Commercial Fishers and maps specific to the Nickol Bay Prawn Managed Fishery via WAFIC on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>Refer to WAFIC comments received. No comments received to date from individual fishers in this fishery.</p> <p>All fisheries are described in Section 3.2.4.1, and potentials impact to fisheries, fish habitat and commercial fishers are discussed in Sections 6.4 and 6.5.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | |
| | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> | <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> |
| | <p>No assessment required.</p> | <p>No response required.</p> |
| Development Octopus | <p>These licence holders were provided the Vessel Based Activity Consultation Package for Commercial Fishers via WAFIC on 29 October 2020.</p> <p>Refer to WAFIC comments received. No comments received to date from individual fishers in this fishery.</p> <p>All fisheries are described in Section 3.2.4.1, and potentials impact to fisheries, fish habitat and commercial fishers are discussed in Sections 6.4 and 6.5.</p> <p>Santos considers the level of consultation to be adequate and will address any comments from this stakeholder should they arise in the future.</p> | |
| | <p>Assessment of the merits of objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(ii)), information and requests</p> | <p>Statement of response, or proposed response, to the objections and claims (OPGGs(E) Regulation 16 (b)(iii)), and information and requests</p> |
| | <p>No assessment required.</p> | <p>No response required.</p> |

4.5 Ongoing consultation

Stakeholder consultation for these activities will be ongoing and Santos will work with stakeholders before, during and after each activity. Should new stakeholders be identified (**Section 4.1**), they will be added to the stakeholder database and included in all future correspondence as required, including activity-specific notifications.

Santos, as a marine user, understands there will be the need to interact and communicate with other marine users to ensure mutual and individual stakeholder goals are met. Santos has identified the need for ongoing engagement with the fishing industry, as committed to in **Section 8.9**.

To this end, Santos commits to the following ongoing stakeholder consultation process:

- + Prior to commencement of each activity, Santos will notify relevant stakeholders as listed, or as revised, in **Table 8-4** in **Section 8.9**. The notification will include information about activity timing, vessel movements and vessel details.
- + Upon completion of the activity, Santos will provide a cessation notification to the relevant stakeholders as listed, or as revised, in **Table 8-4** in **Section 8.9**. The final cessation notification will advise stakeholders that the activity has ended.
- + Santos' Quarterly Consultation Update (see **Section 4.6**) will also contain relevant details of this activity until cessation.
- + Up to date knowledge of stakeholders will be managed as described in **Section 8.10.3**.

Santos will use the WAFIC consultation services to help distribute survey notifications to relevant commercial fishers.

Santos will assess any additional stakeholder objections or claims in accordance with **Section 4.4**.

4.6 Quarterly consultation update

Activities covered under this EP will be included in Santos' Quarterly Consultation Update until they can be listed as a 'completed activity', with updates scheduled for approximately March, June, September and December annually. A sample of this document is provided in **Appendix D**.

The Quarterly Consultation Update is circulated to a broad group of Santos stakeholders, including many of the stakeholders identified in **Table 4-2**.

If stakeholders request additional information or raise concerns on any activity listed in a Quarterly Consultation Update, a dialogue with these stakeholders can continue during or post the preparation of an EP and will be recorded for future reference. Santos commits to respond and address any comments to the satisfaction of both parties and keep any consultation on file during and post acceptance of an EP.

4.7 Addressing consultation feedback

Santos' Consultation Coordinator is available before, during and after the activity to ensure opportunities for stakeholders to provide feedback are available.

Santos will maintain records of all stakeholder consultation related to this EP and activity.

4.8 Stakeholder-related control measures, performance outcomes and standards

CMs and performance outcomes and standards for stakeholder consultation are included in **Section 8.4.1**.

If, in stakeholder consultation, a change to any CM or activity outlined in this EP is required, Santos will undertake an internal assessment using the management of change process (**Section 8.10.2**).

5. Impact and risk assessment methodology

| OPGGs(E)R 2009 Requirements |
|---|
| Regulation 13 Environmental assessment |
| <p>Evaluation of environmental impacts and risks</p> <p>13(5) The environment plan must include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) details of the environmental impacts and risks for the activity; and (b) an evaluation of all the impacts and risks, appropriate to the nature and scale of each impact or risk; and (c) details of the control measures that will be used to reduce the impacts and risks of the activity to as low as reasonably practicable and an acceptable level. <p>13(6) To avoid doubt, the evaluation mentioned in paragraph (5)(b) must evaluate all the environmental impacts and risks arising directly or indirectly from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) all operations of the activity; and (b) potential emergency conditions, whether resulting from accident or any other reason. |

Environmental impact and risk assessment refers to a process whereby planned and unplanned events that will or may occur during an activity are quantitatively and/or qualitatively assessed for their impacts on the environment (physical, biological, and socio-economic) at a defined location and specified period of time. In addition, unplanned events are assessed on the basis of their likelihood of occurrence which contributes to their level of risk.

Santos has undertaken environmental impact and risk assessments for the planned events (including any routine, non-routine and contingency activities) and unplanned events in accordance with the OPGGS(E)R.

Provided in this section of the EP is information relating to the environmental impact and risk assessment approach, specifically:

- + terminology used
- + summary of the approach.

A full description of the process applied in identifying, analysing and evaluating the impacts and risks relating to the planned activity is documented in Santos' *Offshore Division Environmental Hazard Identification and Assessment Guideline* (EA-91-IG-00004_5).

5.1 Impact and risk assessment methodology

Common terms applied during the impact and risk assessment process, and used in this EP, are defined in **Table 5-1**. For a more comprehensive listing of the terms and definitions used in environmental impact and risk assessment, refer to Santos' *Offshore Division Environmental Hazard Identification and Assessment Guideline* (EA-91-IG-00004_5).

Table 5-1: Impact and risk assessment terms and definitions

| Term | Definition |
|---------------------------|--|
| Acceptability | Determined for both impacts and risks. Acceptability of events is in part determined by the consequence of the impact following management controls. Acceptability of unplanned events is in part determined from its risk ranking following management controls. For both impacts and risks, acceptability is also determined from a demonstration of the ALARP principle, consistency with Santos Policies, consistency with all applicable legislation and consideration of relevant stakeholder consultation when determining management controls. |
| Activity | Specific tasks and actions undertaken throughout the life cycle of oil and gas exploration, production and decommissioning. |
| ALARP | As Low As Reasonably Practicable The term refers to reducing risk to a level that is As Low As Reasonably Practicable. In practice, this means showing through reasoned and supported arguments, that there are no other practicable options that could reasonably be adopted to reduce risks further. |
| Authorised Person | Person with authority to make the decision or take the action. Examples are Vessel Master, Field Superintendent, Supervisor, Person-in-charge, Company Authorised Representative, and Project Manager. |
| Control Measure | Means a system, an item of equipment, a person or a procedure, that is used as a basis for managing environmental impacts and risks ¹ . |
| DMIRS | Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety. |
| Environment | Includes the natural and socio-economic values and sensitivities which will or may be affected by the activity. Is defined by NOPSEMA and DMIRS as: (a) ecosystems and their constituent parts, including people and communities (b) natural and physical resources (c) the qualities and characteristics of locations, places and areas (d) the heritage value of places (e) the social, economic and cultural features of the matters mentioned in paragraphs (a), (b), (c) and (d). |
| Environmental consequence | A consequence is the outcome of an event affecting objectives. Note 1 An event can be one or more occurrences and can have several cases. Note 2 An event can consist of something not happening. (Reference ISO 73:2009 Risk Vocabulary) |
| Environmental impact | Defined by NOPSEMA ¹ as any change to the environment, whether adverse or beneficial, wholly or partly resulting from a planned or unplanned event ¹ . Defined by DMIRS ² as any change to the environment, whether adverse or beneficial, that wholly or partly results from a petroleum activity of an operator. |
| ENVID | Environmental hazard identification workshop |
| Environmental risk | Applies to unplanned events. Risk is a function of the likelihood of the unplanned event occurring and the consequence of the environmental impact that arises from that event. |
| Hazard | A situation with the potential to cause harm. |

¹ Defined by the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2009

| Term | Definition |
|---------------------------|---|
| Grossly disproportionate | Where the sacrifice (cost and effort) of implementing a CM to reduce impact or risk, grossly exceeds the environmental benefit to be gained. |
| Impact assessment | The process of determining the consequence of an impact (in terms of the consequence to the environment) arising from a planned or unplanned event over a specified period of time. |
| Likelihood | The chance of an unplanned event occurring. |
| Non-routine planned event | An attribute of the planned activity that may occur or will occur infrequently during the planned activity. A non-routine planned event is intended to occur at the time. |
| Planned activity | A description of the activity to be undertaken including the services, equipment, products, assets, personnel, timing, duration and location and aspect of the activity. |
| Planned event | An event arising from the activity which is done with intent (in other words, not an unplanned event) and has some level of environmental impact. A planned event could be routine (expected to occur consistently throughout the activity) or non-routine (may occur infrequently if at all). Air emissions, bilge water discharge and drill cuttings discharge would be examples of planned events. |
| Receptor | A feature of the environment that may have environmental, social and/ or economic values. |
| Risk | The effect of uncertainty on objectives. |
| Risk assessment | The process of determining the likelihood of an unplanned event and the consequence of the impact (in terms of economic, human safety and health, or ecological effects) arising from the event over a specified period of time. |
| Routine planned event | An attribute of the planned activity that results in some level of environmental impact and will occur continuously or frequently through the duration of the planned activity. |
| Senior Leadership Team | Senior Leadership Team |
| Unplanned event | An event that results in some level of environmental impact and may occur despite preventative safeguards and control measures being in place. An unplanned event is not intended to occur during the activity. |

5.2 Summary of the environmental impact and risk assessment approach

5.2.1 Overview

Santos operates under an overarching Risk Management Policy. The company Risk Procedure (SMS-MS1-ST01) underpins the Risk Management Policy and is consistent with the requirements of AS/NZS ISO 31000:2018, Risk Management – Guidelines (ISO, 2018).

The key steps to risk management are illustrated in **Figure 5-1**. The forum used to undertake the assessment is the environmental hazard workshop, referred to as an ENVID, which is described in Section 4 of *Santos' Offshore Division Environmental Hazard Identification and Assessment Guideline (EA-91-IG-00004_5)*.




Figure 5-1: Hazard identification and assessment guideline

Santos' *Offshore Division Environmental Hazard Identification and Assessment Guideline* (EA-91-IG-00004) includes consideration of key areas in an impact and risk assessment, specifically:

- + description of the activity (including location and timing)
- + description of the environment (potentially affected by both planned and unplanned activities)
- + identification of relevant persons
- + identification of legal requirements ('legislative controls') that apply to the activity
- + Santos' policy and SMS requirements
- + principles of Ecologically Sustainable Development (ESD)
- + Santos acceptable levels of impact and risk.

These factors were considered in an environmental impact and risk assessment workshop held in September 2020 in which environmental hazards were identified and assessed (ENVID workshop). The workshop involved participants from Santos' Health, Safety and Environment (HSE), Projects and Operations departments and specialist environmental consultants.

5.2.2 Describe the activity and hazards (planned and unplanned events)

A description of the activity is required in order to determine the planned events that will take place and the credible unplanned events that may occur. The location, timing and scope of the activity must be described in order to determine the impacts from planned events, and the impacts and risks from unplanned events since these have a bearing upon the environment that may be affected (EMBA) by the activity.

The outcome of this assessment is detailed in the relevant sub-sections of **Sections 6** and **7**.

5.2.3 Identify receptors and determine nature and scale of impacts

A description of the environment (natural and socio-economic) within which hazards from the activity will, or may occur, is required. This constitutes a crucial stage of the risk assessment, as an understanding of the environment that will or may be affected is required to determine the type and consequence of impacts from the activity being assessed. The environment must be understood with respect to the spatial and temporal limits of the activity and key resources at risk that will or could be impacted by planned and unplanned events. Santos has developed a *Values and Sensitivities of the Marine and Coastal Environment* (EA-00-RI-10062, **Appendix C**) reference document which describes the existing environment that may be affected by Santos activities and is reviewed and updated on an annual basis.

Where the existing environment is being reviewed for regulatory approvals, a comparison shall be made against the *Values and Sensitivities of the Marine and Coastal Environment* (EA-00-RI-10062). A new protected matters search is required to ensure a thorough understanding of the existing environment to ensure all risks are assessed.

The extent of actual impacts from each planned activity or risks from each unplanned activity, are assessed using, where required, modelling (for example, hydrocarbon spills) and scientific reports. The duration of the event is also described including the potential duration of any impacts should they occur. Receptors identified as potentially occurring within impacted area(s) are detailed in **Section 3** and **Appendix C**.

5.3 Describe the environmental performance outcomes and control measures

For each planned and unplanned event, a set of Environmental Performance Outcome(s) (EPO), CMs, Environmental Performance Standards (EPS) and Measurement Criteria (MC) are identified. The definitions of the performance outcomes, CMs, standards and measurement criteria must be consistent with the OPGGS(E)R 2009, and the NOPSEMA EP Content Requirements Guidance Note (NOPSEMA, 2019).

For any hazard, additional controls, must also be considered and either accepted for use or rejected based on whether the standard controls reduce impacts and risks to levels that are ALARP and acceptable.

Controls are allocated in order of preference according to **Figure 5-2**.


| Control | Effectiveness | Example |
|----------------|--|---|
| Eliminate |  | <i>Removal of the risk.</i> Refueling of vessels at port eliminates the risks of an offshore refueling. |
| Substitute | | <i>Change the risk for a lower one.</i> The use of low-toxicity chemicals that perform the same task as a more toxic additive. |
| Engineering | | <i>Engineer out the risk.</i> The use of oil-in-water separator to minimise the volume of oil discharged. |
| Isolation | | <i>Isolate people or the environment from the risk.</i> The use of bunding for containment of bulk liquid materials. |
| Administrative | | <i>Provide instructions or training to people to lower the risk.</i> The use of Job Hazard Analysis to assess and minimise the environmental risks of an activity. |
| Protective | | <i>Use of protective equipment.</i> Containment and recovery of spilled hydrocarbons. |

Figure 5-2: Hierarchy of controls

5.4 Determine the impact consequence level and risk rankings (on the basis that all control measures have been implemented)

This step looks at the causal effect between the aspect/hazard and the identified receptor. Impact mechanisms and any thresholds for impacts are determined and described, using scientific literature and modelling where required. Impact thresholds for different critical life stages are also identified where relevant.

The consequence level of the impact is then determined for each planned and unplanned event using the Santos Environment Consequence Descriptors (**Appendix E**).

These detailed environmental consequence descriptions are based on the consequence of the impact to relevant receptors within the categories of:

- + threatened/migratory/local fauna
- + physical environment/habitat
- + threatened ecological communities
- + protected areas
- + socio-economic receptors.

This process determines a consequence level, based on set criteria for each receptor category, and takes into consideration the duration and extent of the impact, receptor recovery time and the effect of the impact at a population, ecosystem or industry level. The level of information required to complete the impact or risk assessment depends on the nature and scale of the impact or risk. This process determines a consequence level based on set criteria for each receptor category and takes into consideration the duration and extent

of the impact, receptor recovery time and the effect of the impact at a population, ecosystem or industry level. Impacts to social and economic values are also considered based on existing knowledge and feedback from stakeholder consultation. As the result of historic consultation with stakeholders, the social and economic values in the region that are of interest are evident.

As planned events are expected to occur during the activity, the likelihood of their occurrence is not considered during the risk assessment, and only a consequence level is assigned.

Table 5-2: Summary environmental consequence descriptors

| Consequence Level | Consequence Level Description |
|-------------------|---|
| I | Negligible - No impact or negligible impact |
| II | Minor - Detectable but insignificant change to local population, industry or ecosystem factors |
| III | Moderate - Significant impact to local population, industry or ecosystem factors |
| IV | Major - Major long-term effect on local population, industry or ecosystem factors |
| V | Severe - Complete loss of local population, industry or ecosystem factors AND/OR extensive regional impacts with slow recovery |
| VI | Critical - Irreversible impact to regional population, industry or ecosystem factors |

For unplanned events, the consequence level of the impact is combined with the likelihood of the impact occurring (**Table 5-3**), to determine a residual risk ranking using the Santos corporate risk matrix (**Table 5-4**). For oil spill events, potential impacts to environmental receptors are assessed where they occur within the EMBA using results from modelling.

Table 5-3: Likelihood description

| No. | Matrix | Description |
|-----|----------------|---|
| f | Almost Certain | Occurs in almost all circumstances OR could occur <i>within days to weeks</i> |
| e | Likely | Occurs in most circumstances OR could occur <i>within weeks to months</i> |
| d | Occasional | Has occurred before in Santos OR could occur <i>within months to years</i> |
| c | Possible | Has occurred before in the industry OR could occur <i>within the next few years</i> |
| b | Unlikely | Has occurred elsewhere OR could occur <i>within decades</i> |
| a | Remote | Requires exceptional circumstances and is unlikely even in the long term |

Table 5-4: Santos risk matrix

| | | Consequence | | | | | |
|------------|---|-------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | I | II | III | IV | V | VI |
| Likelihood | f | Low | Medium | High | Very High | Very High | Very High |
| | e | Low | Medium | High | High | Very High | Very High |
| | d | Low | Low | Medium | High | High | Very High |
| | c | Very Low | Low | Low | Medium | High | Very High |
| | b | Very Low | Very Low | Low | Low | Medium | High |
| | a | Very Low | Very Low | Very Low | Low | Medium | Medium |

5.5 Evaluating if impacts and risks are as low as reasonably practicable

For planned and unplanned events, an ALARP assessment is undertaken to demonstrate that the standard CMs adopted reduce the impact (consequence level) or risk to ALARP. This process relies on demonstrating that further potential CMs would require a disproportionate level of cost/effort in order to reduce the level of impact or risk. If this cannot be demonstrated, then further CMs are adopted. The level of detail included within the ALARP assessment is based upon the nature and scale of the potential impact or risk. For example, more detail is required for a risk ranked as 'Medium' compared to a risk ranked as 'Low'.

5.6 Evaluating impact and risk acceptability

Santos considers an impact or risk associated with the activities to be acceptable if:

- + the consequence of a planned event is ranked as I or II; or a risk of impact from an unplanned event is ranked Very Low to Medium
- + an assessment has been completed to determine whether further information or studies are required to support or validate the consequence assessment
- + assessment and management of risks have addressed the principles of ecologically sustainable development (ESD)
- + that the acceptable levels of impact and risks have been informed by relevant species recovery plans, threat abatement plans and conservation advice can be demonstrated
- + performance standards are consistent with legal and regulatory requirements
- + performance standards are consistent with the Santos' Environment, Health and Safety Policy
- + performance standards are consistent with industry standards and best practice guidance (for example, National Biofouling Management Guidance Guidelines for the Petroleum Production and Exploration Industry (Marine Pest Sectoral Committee, 2018))
- + performance outcomes and standards are consistent with stakeholder expectations
- + performance standards have been demonstrated to reduce the impact or risk to ALARP.

6. Planned activities risk and impact assessment

| OPGGs(E)R 2009 Requirements |
|--|
| Regulation 13(5) |
| The environment plan must include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) details of the environmental impacts and risks for the activity; and (b) an evaluation of all the impacts and risks, appropriate to the nature and scale of each impact or risk; and (c) details of the CMs that will be used to reduce the impacts and risks of the activity to ALARP and an acceptable level. |
| Regulation 13(6) |
| To avoid doubt, the evaluation mentioned in paragraph (5)(b) must evaluate all the environmental impacts and risks arising directly or indirectly from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) all operations of the activity; and (b) potential emergency conditions, whether resulting from accident or any other reason. |
| Regulation 13(7) |
| The environment plan must: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) set environmental performance standards for the CMs identified under paragraph (5)(c); and (b) set out the environmental performance outcomes against which the performance of the titleholder in protecting the environment is to be measured; and (c) include measurement criteria that the titleholder will use to determine whether each environmental performance outcome and environmental performance standard is being met. |

Santos' environment assessment identified seven potential sources of environmental impact associated with the planned activities to be undertaken in the operational areas. The results of the impact assessments are summarised in **Table 6-1**. Given the risk of a planned event occurring is 100% likelihood (in other words, it will occur), the residual risk ranking is not assessed (as explained in **Section 5.2**). The potential impact assessment for each planned event and the subsequent control and management measures proposed by Santos to reduce the extent of the impacts are detailed in the following subsections.

Table 6-1: Summary of the consequence level rankings for hazards associated with planned events

| EP Section | Planned event | Consequence level | | |
|------------|--|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | Op. Area A | Op. Area B | Op. Area C |
| 6.1 | Acoustic disturbance to marine fauna | I - Negligible | I - Negligible | II - Minor |
| 6.2 | Light emissions | I - Negligible | II - Minor | II - Minor |
| 6.3 | Atmospheric emissions | I - Negligible | I - Negligible | I - Negligible |
| 6.4 | Seabed and benthic habitat disturbance | II - Minor | II - Minor | II - Minor |
| 6.5 | Interaction with other marine users | I - Negligible | I - Negligible | I - Negligible |
| 6.6 | Vessel operational discharges | I - Negligible | I - Negligible | I - Negligible |
| 6.7 | Spill response operations | II - Minor | II - Minor | II - Minor |

6.1 Acoustic disturbance to marine fauna

6.1.1 Description of event

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Event | <p>Underwater noise emissions will be generated by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + vessel activities (Section 2.4) + geophysical and geotechnical survey equipment (Sections 2.5 to 2.8) + use of helicopters. <p>Noise originating from environmental surveys (Section 2.9) is considered to be related to vessel noise only and is not assessed further.</p> <p>Noise originating from these sources could potentially have the following effects on marine fauna:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Masking of vocalisations/signals from predators/prey. + Modification of fauna behaviour (avoidance/attraction/disruption of normal behaviour). + Physical injury to fauna from exposure to excessive noise (barotrauma, hearing loss). |
| Extent | <p>Localised: A support vessel using main engines and bow thrusters to maintain position will become inaudible above background noise within thousands of metres.</p> <p>Localised: A conservative estimate for the use of geophysical equipment (SBESs, MBESs and SSSs) is within a 1.5 km radius depending on the activity characteristics.</p> <p>Localised: Helicopter noise will be highly localised as the majority of the noise will not transfer into the water.</p> <p>Localised: ROV, AUV and diving operations adjacent to vessels.</p> |
| Duration | Vessel noise for the duration of the activity, with intermittent survey equipment noise. |

6.1.1.1 Noise generated from vessels

Noise associated with vessel activity that could impact marine fauna includes noise generated by vessel thrusters, engines and propellers, as well as noise emitted onboard which is converted to underwater noise through the hull (for example, from heavy machinery). The main source of vessel noise will be from propellers or DP thrusters.

Noise will be generated during transit, towing of equipment and seafloor sampling. The sound levels from the representative vessel are likely to be less than those from *R/V Ocean Pioneer*, a 62 m long 5600 HP (4,175 kW) vessel. The *R/V Ocean Pioneer* was measured during transit at ten knots and found to have a monopole source level of 166.3 dB re 1 µPa @ 1 m (Chorney *et al.*, 2011). In this study, in the Arctic in 46 m of water, the maximum distance to 120 dB re 1 µPa was found to be 1600 m. A monopole source level is a source level that has been calculated using an acoustic model that accounts for the effect of the sea-surface and seabed on sound propagation, assuming a point-like (monopole) sound source. To place this in context with other studies, McCauley (1998) measured underwater sound levels from the *Pacific Ariki*, a 64 m long support vessel with 8000 HP (6,000 kW) main engines during calm conditions in the Timor Sea in 110 m of water while transiting at 11 knots, and found the distance to 120 dB re 1 µPa to be approximately 1 km.

Noise generated by the 54-m long 3,200 HP (2386 kW) *Mermaid Searcher* representative vessel is likely over-estimated when compared to either the *Ocean Pioneer* or the *Pacific Ariki*, due to the higher speeds and more powerful engines, although the work-rate of the engines, and thus output power and noise, will depend upon speed and sea-state, and the propagation will depend upon the location. Practical spreading loss, $15\log_{10}(\text{Range})$ (Urick, 1983), is a reasonably conservative approach to take in waters on the continental shelf, representing a balance between spherical and cylindrical spreading. If practical spreading loss is applied with the monopole source level of the *Ocean Pioneer* under transit, 166.3 dB re 1 µPa @ 1 m, the distance to 120 dB re 1 µPa (SPL) will be less than 1,200 m.

The thrusters on the *Mermaid Searcher* are significantly smaller than the main engines (only 600 kW total installed thruster power, compared to 2386 kW), therefore the use of the monopole source level derived from the main engines to represent the vessel during position holding is conservative. To place this in context with available information, McCauley (1998) calculated the *Pacific Ariki* to have a monopole source level equivalent to approximately 182 dB re 1 μ Pa @ 1 m while holding position using both main engines and an unspecified bow thruster.

The distance to 120 dB re 1 μ Pa (SPL) estimated using practical spreading loss for the *Ocean Pioneer* under transit is used as a conservative estimate of the representative vessel, the *Mermaid Searcher*, under DP.

Considering the vessel to have a monopole source level of 166.3 dB re 1 μ Pa, and operating in a single location for 24 hours, allows the accumulated sound levels to be estimated through the addition of $10 \cdot \log_{10}$ (Time in seconds) to sound levels. This approach can be used to calculate the unweighted Sound Exposure Level (SEL), which can be used in a conservative comparison against relevant SEL impact assessment thresholds.

6.1.1.2 Noise generated from helicopter

Sound traveling from a source in the air (for example, a helicopter) to a receiver underwater is affected by both in-air and underwater propagation processes, which are further complicated by processes occurring at the air-seawater surface interface (for example, wind and waves). The level of noise received underwater depends on source altitude and lateral distance, receiver depth, water depth and other variables.

Helicopter engine noise is emitted at various frequencies; however, the dominant tones are generally of a low frequency below 500 Hz (Richardson *et al.*, 1995). Sound pressure in the water directly below a helicopter is greatest at the surface and diminishes with increasing receiver depth. Noise also reduces with increasing helicopter altitude, but the duration of audibility often increases with increasing altitude. The noise from the flyover of a Bell 214 helicopter (stated to be one of the noisiest) has been recorded underwater (Richardson *et al.*, 1995). The sound source was 162 dB re 1 μ Pa @ 1 m at its peak and had a frequency of 155 Hz.

6.1.1.3 Underwater acoustic positioning

An acoustic pulse is transmitted by the transceiver and detected by the subsea transponder, which replies with its own acoustic pulse. This return pulse is detected by the shipboard transceiver. The time from the transmission of the initial acoustic pulse until the reply is detected is measured by the USBL system and is converted into a range. To calculate a subsea position, the USBL calculates both a range and an angle from the transceiver to the subsea beacon. Angles are measured by the transceiver, which contains an array of transducers. The transducer will then send sound signals, typically at 19 to 33 kHz to a USBL transponder.

The source level and frequency range of the Sonardyne Ranger USBL from previous field measurements (Warner and McCrodan 2011) were found to be 18-36 kHz and 204 dB re 1 μ Pa @1 m (SPL). The per-pulse SEL source level was 173 dB re 1 μ Pa²s @ 1 m, and the measured maximum PK was approximately 170 dB re 1 μ Pa at 30 m. This source can be considered an impulsive sound source for impact assessment purposes for this activity. Austin *et al.* (2012) calculated the distances to SPL isopleths for the Ranger USBL in open water and found the distance to 160 dB re 1 μ Pa (SPL) to be 36 m. Considering 1000 impulses at 40 m range through summing the received SEL from each impulse results in an unweighted SEL of 144 dB re 1 μ Pa²s, which can be used in a conservative comparison against relevant SEL impact assessment thresholds which require the assessment over the length of the activity or 24 hours.

6.1.1.4 Multibeam echo sounder

The representative MBES considered for the VBA is an R2Sonic 2024, operating at 200 to 400 kHz with a 60° total beam width. This is considered a typical MBES for the types of activities that will be undertaken as part of this EP. The transmit power from this echo sounder is up to 221 dB re 1 μ Pa @1 m (SPL), with a short (15 μ s

to 1 ms) pulse width; however, the operational power level and pulse width influence the potential sound fields. This source can be considered an impulsive sound source for impact assessment purposes for this activity. Measurements for the R2Sonic 2024 were reported in Martin *et al.* (2012), who measured a maximum SPL of 162 dB re 1 μ Pa at 4 m, with the system operating at an average pulse length of 0.11 ms. The accumulated SEL over 363 measured pulses was 121.5 dB re 1 μ Pa²s. Measurements of another similar system, operating at 240 kHz were reported in Chorney *et al.* (2011). These measurements show that at 40 m, the PK levels are approximately 170 dB re 1 μ Pa, and the per-pulse SEL 130 dB re 1 μ Pa²s. Zykov (2013) modelled another similar MBES, and found the sound levels would not exceed an unweighted 171 dB re 1 μ Pa²s more than 2 m from the source while conducting a 2.5 h geophysical survey. Additionally, this sonar generates only high frequency signals, and as such will only be relevant for fauna with sensitivity to signals of approximately 200 kHz or higher, which excludes low-frequency cetaceans, fish and turtles.

6.1.1.5 Side scan sonar

The representative SSS considered for this VBA is the EdgeTech 4200-FS Digital Towfish which outputs signals at 120 and 410 kHz. This is considered a typical SSS for the types of activities that will be undertaken as part of this EP. Measurements of an EdgeTech 4200 were reported in Crocker and Fratantonio (2016) for 100 and 400 kHz modes, with a maximum per-pulse source level of 176 dB re 1 μ Pa²s @ 1 m (SEL), 205 dB re 1 μ Pa @ 1 m (SPL) and 210 dB re 1 μ Pa @ 1 m (PK). Austin *et al.* (2013) also measured the system during an operational program, focusing on the 120 kHz impulses. The authors reported a PK of less than 175 dB re 1 μ Pa and an SPL of less than 170 dB re 1 μ Pa at 39 m, with the distance from in-beam pulses to an SPL of 160 dB re 1 μ Pa calculated to be 130 m. The sonar is highly directional, with distances to sound levels outside the beam significantly less than those in the beam. The EdgeTech 4200-FS Digital Towfish in use for this survey will be towed approximately 10 to 20 metres above the seabed, thus the beam will be restricted to a swath close to the seabed. Additionally, this sonar generates only high frequency signals, and as such will only be relevant for fauna with sensitivity to signals of approximately 110 kHz or higher, as shown in Austin *et al.* (2013), which excludes low-frequency cetaceans, fish and turtles.

6.1.1.6 Boomer sub bottom profiler

The representative boomer SBP considered for this VBA is an Applied Acoustics S-Boom Boomer SBP being used with a CSP-D 2400 Joule power source, but only operating at 300 Joules. The output from boomer SBP systems is highly dependent on the model and operational power levels. A measurement of a very similar SBP, also operating at lower power levels, is the Applied Acoustics AP3000 boomer SBP operating at both 750 and 1,000 J reported in Martin *et al.* (2012). This boomer had a primary frequency range of 100 to 1,000 Hz. During the study, the acoustic data were collected as close as 8 m to the source and directly below it. The data showed that the broadband source level for the system was 203.3 dB 1 μ Pa @ 1 m SPL over 0.2 ms window length and 172.6 dB re 1 μ Pa²s @ 1 m SEL. They found that even with the closest measurement at 8 m, SPL values never exceeded 175 dB re 1 μ Pa, with the distance to 160 dB re 1 μ Pa calculated to be 12 m, and the unweighted accumulated SEL over an entire measurement track (525 impulses) in 28 m of water which passed directly over the recorder while operating at 1,000 J was 161.5 dB re 1 μ Pa²s.

6.1.2 Nature and scale of environmental impacts

Potential Receptors: Threatened/migratory fauna (marine mammals (particularly cetaceans), marine turtles, sharks, rays and fish. The operational areas overlap several BIAs. These are outlined in **Table 6-2**.

Table 6-2: Summary of the overlapping BIAs for each operational area

| Operational Area | BIA | | | | |
|------------------|--|----------------|--------------|--|------------------|
| | Interesting BIA | Migration BIA | Foraging BIA | Breeding BIA | Distribution |
| A | Flatback turtle | Humpback whale | Whale shark | Wedge-tailed shearwater | Pygmy blue whale |
| B | Flatback turtle | Humpback whale | Whale shark | Wedge-tailed shearwater | Pygmy blue whale |
| C | Flatback turtle Hawksbill turtle Green turtle Loggerhead turtle | Humpback whale | Whale shark | Fairy tern Lesser crested tern Wedge-tailed shearwater | Pygmy blue whale |

Marine protected areas that overlap the operational area include the Montebello AMP, which intersects with operational area C and Eighty Mile Beach AMP, which is adjacent to operational area A.

The use of sound in the underwater environment is important for marine animals, particularly cetaceans, to navigate, communicate and forage effectively, along with reptiles, sharks/rays and other fish, for a range of functions such as social interaction, foraging and orientation. Underwater noise may impact on marine fauna through:

- + attraction
- + increased stress levels
- + disruption to underwater acoustic cues
- + localised avoidance
- + disturbance, leading to behavioural changes or displacement from areas
- + masking or interference with other biologically important sounds such as communication or echolocation (used by certain cetaceans for location of prey and other objects)
- + physical injury to hearing or other organs
- + indirectly by inducing behavioural and physiological changes in predator or prey species.

The nature and scale of impacts must be considered in the context of the ambient noise environment. Ambient underwater noise levels are dependent on location, and are often dominated by local wind noise, waves, biological noise and ship traffic. Wind speed and seabed conditions have a clear influence on the ambient noise level. Fish choruses are capable of raising background noise levels to 120 to 130 dB re 1 µPa (McCauley, 2011). Anthropogenic underwater noise sources in the region comprise shipping and small vessel traffic, petroleum-production and exploration-drilling activities and sporadic petroleum seismic surveys.

The survey will involve the vessel, acoustic positioning through USBL, MBES, SSS and a boomer SBP, as detailed in **Section 2.5**. These sound sources are both non-impulsive (vessel) and impulsive (USBL, MBES, SSS and a boomer SBP), and thus require the consideration of different criteria to assess their potential impact.

Marine fauna respond variably when exposed to underwater noise from anthropogenic sources, with effects dependent on a number of factors, including distance from the sound source, water depth and bathymetry, the animal's hearing sensitivity, type and duration of sound exposure and the animal's activity at time of exposure. Broadly, the effects of sound on marine fauna can be categorised as:

- + Acoustic masking – anthropogenic sounds may interfere with, or mask, biological signals, therefore reducing the communication and perceptual space of an individual. Auditory masking impacts may occur when there is a reduction in audibility for one sound (signal) caused by the presence of another sound (noise). For this to occur the noise must be loud enough and have a similar frequency to the signal and both signal and noise must occur at the same time.
- + Behavioural response – behavioural impacts will depend on the audible frequency range of each potential receptor in relation to the frequency of the noise, as marine animals will only respond to acoustic signals they can detect, as well as the intensity of the noise. The intensity of behavioural responses of marine mammals to sound exposure ranges from subtle responses, which may be difficult to observe and have little implications for the affected animal, to obvious responses, such as avoidance or panic reactions. The context in which the sound is received by an animal affects the nature and extent of responses to a stimulus. The threshold for elicitation of behavioural responses depends on received sound level, as well as multiple contextual factors such as the activity state of animals exposed to different sounds, the nature and novelty of a sound, spatial relations between a sound source and receiving animals, and the gender, age, and reproductive status of the receiving animal.
- + Physiological impacts – auditory threshold shift (temporary and permanent hearing loss) – marine fauna exposed to intense sound may experience a loss of hearing sensitivity, or even potentially mortal injury. Hearing loss may be in the form of a temporary threshold shift (TTS) from which an animal recovers within minutes or hours, or a permanent threshold shift (PTS) from which the animal does not recover.

Available threshold criteria associated with behavioural and physiological impacts for sensitive receptors have been derived from a number of sources (NMFS, 2018; NMFS, 2014; Popper *et al.*, 2014). These criteria have been compared with measured and predicted sound levels for different sound sources to assess potential impacts.

6.1.2.1 Marine mammals

No known aggregation, resting, breeding or feeding areas for mammals lie in close proximity to the operational areas, however, the operational areas intersect with the humpback whale migration BIA and the pygmy blue whale's known distribution (**Table 6-2**). Additionally, recovery plans for humpback whales and blue whales list noise interference as a potential threat.

Table 6-3 and **Table 6-4** detail receptor noise impact and behavioural thresholds for continuous noise (vessels) and impulsive noises (survey equipment):

- + low-frequency cetaceans: which consists of baleen whales such as humpback whales
- + mid-frequency cetaceans: which consists of toothed whales except porpoises and river dolphins.

Table 6-3: Continuous noise: acoustic effects of continuous noise on marine mammals: unweighted SPL and SEL_{24h} thresholds

| Hearing Group | NMFS (2014) | NMFS (2018) | |
|---------------|--|--|--|
| | Behaviour | PTS onset thresholds (received level) | TTS onset thresholds (received level) |
| | SPL ($L_{p,i}$; dB re 1 μ Pa) | Weighted SEL _{24h} ($L_{E,24h,i}$; dB re 1 μ Pa ² ·s) | Weighted SEL _{24h} ($L_{E,24h,i}$; dB re 1 μ Pa ² ·s) |
| Low-frequency | 120 | 199 | 179 |
| Mid-frequency | | 198 | 178 |

Table 6-4: Impulsive noise: unweighted SPL, SEL_{24h}, and PK thresholds for acoustic effects on marine mammals

| Hearing Group | NMFS (2014) | NMFS (2018) | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Behaviour | PTS onset thresholds (received level) | | TTS onset thresholds (received level) | |
| | SPL ($L_{p,i}$; dB re 1 μ Pa) | Weighted SEL _{24h} ($L_{E,24h,i}$; dB re 1 μ Pa ² ·s) | PK ($L_{pk,i}$; dB re 1 μ Pa) | Weighted SEL _{24h} ($L_{E,24h,i}$; dB re 1 μ Pa ² ·s) | PK ($L_{pk,i}$; dB re 1 μ Pa) |
| Low-frequency | 160 | 183 | 219 | 168 | 213 |
| Mid-frequency | | 185 | 230 | 170 | 224 |

Potential impacts from vessels

Auditory masking impacts may occur when there is a reduction in audibility for one sound (signal) caused by the presence of another sound (noise). For this to occur the noise must be loud enough and have a similar frequency to the signal and both signal and noise must occur at the same time. Therefore, the closer the whale is to the vessel, and the more overlap there is with their vocalisation frequencies, the higher the probability of masking. The potential for masking and communication impacts is therefore classified as high near the vessel (within tens of metres), moderate within hundreds to low thousands of metres (Clark *et al.*, 2009).

There is a potential for auditory masking impacts to whales due to vessel noise however impacts are considered temporary and localised because the individual and the vessels will be almost constantly moving and therefore no single area will be impacted for any length of time.

The estimated distances to behavioural and physiological thresholds (as listed in **Table 6-3**) for marine mammals from vessels are provided in **Table 6-5**.

Table 6-5: Estimated distances to behavioural and physiological thresholds (as listed in Table 6-3) for marine mammals from vessels

| Potential Marine Fauna Receptor | Estimated Distance | Justification |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| PTS | | |
| Low-Frequency cetaceans | 12 m | Based upon accumulation of unweighted SEL over 24h for a vessel with a source level of 166.3 dB re 1 μ Pa (SPL), and applying practical spreading loss, see Section 6.1.1 |
| Mid-Frequency cetaceans | Not predicted to occur | Not predicted to occur for vessels with a significantly greater power output (McPherson <i>et al.</i> , 2019) |
| TTS | | |
| Low-Frequency cetaceans | 266 m | Based upon accumulation of unweighted SEL over 24h for a vessel with a source level of 166.3 dB re 1 μ Pa (SPL), and applying practical spreading loss, see Section 6.1.1 |
| Mid-Frequency cetaceans | Not predicted to occur | Not predicted to occur for vessels with a significantly greater power output (McPherson <i>et al.</i> , 2019) |
| Behaviour | | |
| Low-Frequency cetaceans | Within 1200 m | Considering a vessel with a source level of 166.3 dB re 1 μ Pa (SPL), and applying practical spreading loss, see (McPherson <i>et al.</i> , 2019) |
| Mid-Frequency cetaceans | | |

Potential impacts from survey equipment and positioning equipment

The sound levels from positioning equipment are described in **Section 6.1.1**. The proposed equipment has sound levels which could reach the threshold for behavioural disturbance (**Table 6-5**) within 36 m. A nominal accumulation scenario for 1000 impulses (**Section 6.1.3**) results in an unweighted accumulated SEL significantly below thresholds for PTS and TTS in marine mammals. The measured PK at 30 m was 170 dB re 1 μ Pa, therefore considering both SEL and PK metrics within the criteria (**Table 6-5**), PTS and TTS are not predicted to occur from the positioning equipment.

The sound levels from MBES are described in **Section 6.1.1**. The measurement study from Martin *et al.*, (2012) indicates the threshold for behavioural disturbance (**Table 6-5**) could be exceeded within less than 10 m. PTS and TTS due to SEL is not predicted to occur, considering that a measurement along a trackline with a closest point of approach of 4 m did not result in accumulated unweighted levels higher than 121.5 dB re 1 μ Pa²s. PTS and TTS considering PK is unlikely to occur, given the measurement of 170 dB re 1 μ Pa PK at 40 m. Therefore, considering both SEL and PK metrics within the criteria (**Table 6-5**), PTS and TTS due to the MBES are not predicted to occur.

The sound levels from SSS are described in **Section 6.1.1**. The measurement study Austin *et al.* (2015) indicates the threshold for behavioural disturbance (**Table 6-5**) could be exceeded within less than 130 m for marine mammals present within the highly directional source output beam pattern. The reported per-pulse sound levels at 40 m are similar to those from the MBES, and as it isn't predicted to exceed either the PTS or TTS criteria considering both SEL and PK metrics (**Table 6-5**), neither is the SSS. Additionally, the per-pulse peak pressure source level of the SSS is below the PK criteria threshold, therefore the criteria cannot be exceeded and PTS and TSS impacts are not predicted to occur.

The sound levels from the boomer SBP are described in **Section 6.1.1**. The modelling results from McPherson and Wood (2017) and Wood and McPherson (2019) indicate the threshold for behavioural disturbance (**Table 6-5**) could be exceeded within less than 145 m for the boomer, the louder of the two SBP systems. PTS due to SEL is not predicted to occur, although the SEL24h threshold for TTS could be exceeded within 10 m of the source. None of the PK metric criteria (**Table 6-5**) are exceeded.

Survey and positioning equipment could cause auditory masking of vocalisations of cetaceans due to the overlap in frequency range between signals and vocalisations. However, due to the limited propagation range of the relevant frequencies (higher frequencies attenuate rapidly), the range at which the impact could occur will be, within hundreds of metres. The masking will apply to MF whales for the positioning equipment, MBES, and SSS, with all signals above 2 kHz. The boomer SBP could potentially mask vocalisations from low frequency whales, as it has a primary frequency range from 100 to 1000 Hz; however, the low source affected distances are expected to be within hundred to low thousands of metres.

Given the transient and mobile nature of the survey, the operating frequencies and noise maxima of the survey equipment (detailed in **Section 2.5**), effects of noise on marine mammals (in other words, humpback whale) is expected to be limited to behavioural responses within up to a few kilometres of the vessel depending on the heading range of the receptors.

6.1.2.2 Marine reptiles

Turtles utilise shallow waters (and the beaches of the Montebello Islands, which intersect with operational area C), for feeding, nesting, breeding and internesting. The internesting BIA for the flatback turtle intersects operational areas A and B and the internesting BIAs for the flatback, loggerhead, green and hawksbill turtles intersect operational area C.

Marine turtles use sounds for navigation, to avoid predators and to find prey (Dow Piniack, 2012). Turtles have been shown to become agitated to impulsive noise sound pressure levels above 175 dB re 1 μ Pa (McCauley *et al.*, 2000). The threshold level of 166 dB re 1 μ Pa is used as a behavioural disturbance response by turtles to impulsive noise (NSF, 2011).

The Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017) notes there is limited information available on the impact of noise on marine turtles and that the impact of noise on turtle stocks may vary depending on whether exposure is short (acute) or long term (chronic). Turtles have been shown to respond to low frequency sound, with indications that they have the highest hearing sensitivity in the frequency range 100 to 700 Hz (Bartol and Musick, 2003).

Turtles have been recorded successfully breeding on VI over the last 20 years with an estimated survival probability of over 94% (Prince and Chaloupka, 2011). This would indicate that the industrial uses on VI, inclusive of the operational noise emissions, have had little to no measurable impact on adult turtles nesting on VI and, to date, have not shown to have led to a long-term decrease in the size of the adult marine turtle nesting population.

No numerical thresholds have been developed for impacts of continuous sources (for example, vessel noise) on marine turtles. However, Popper *et al.* (2014) have developed risk-based criteria, and these are presented in **Table 6-6**. Survey equipment and positioning equipment are considered impulsive sources for this assessment, therefore the criteria from Popper *et al.* (2014) for seismic airguns, an impulsive source, has been adopted (**Table 6-7**).

Sea snakes

There is limited information about the effects of noise on sea snakes. A current research project investigating the impacts of seismic surveys found that hearing sensitivity of sea snakes is similar to species of fish without a swim bladder (discussed below). Therefore, it is considered that there is a moderate risk in the near and

intermediate distances (which extends hundreds of metres) of behavioural impacts to sea snakes, with the impacts being limited to temporary avoidance of the area.

Table 6-6: Continuous noise: criteria for vessel noise exposure for turtles, adapted from Popper *et al.* (2014)

| Potential Marine Fauna Receptor | Masking | Behaviour | TTS | Recoverable injury | Mortality and Potential mortal injury |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Marine Turtle | (N) High (I) High (F) Moderate | (N) High (I) Moderate (F) Low | (N) Moderate (I) Low (F) Low | (N) Low (I) Low (F) Low | (N) Low (I) Low (F) Low |

Note: Relative risk (high, moderate, low) is given for animals at three distances from the source defined in relative terms as near (N) – tens of metres, intermediate (I) - hundreds of metres, and far (F) – thousands of metres.

Table 6-7: Impulsive noise: criteria for impulsive noise exposure for turtles, adapted from Popper *et al.* (2014)

| Potential Marine Fauna Receptor | Masking | Behaviour | TTS | Recoverable injury | Mortality and Potential mortal injury |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Marine Turtle | (N) Low (I) Low (F) Low | (N) High (I) Moderate (F) Low | (N) High (I) Low (F) Low | (N) High (I) Low (F) Low | >210 dB SEL24h or >207 dB PK |

Potential impacts from vessels

Based on the criteria detailed within **Table 6-6** there is a low risk of any injury to marine turtles from vessel noise (**Section 6.1.1**). Behavioural changes, for example, avoidance and diving, are only predicted for individuals in close proximity to the activity vessels (high risk of behavioural impacts within tens of metres of a vessel and moderate risk of behavioural impacts within hundreds of metres of a vessel). There is a high risk of masking within hundreds of metres of the vessel, and a moderate risk of masking within thousands of metres from the vessel. Turtles have not been shown to have a reliance on sound for finding food or avoiding predators. Sounds potentially could be used by turtles in a social manner to synchronise activities during the nesting season (Ferrara *et al.*, 2014); however, this has not been demonstrated for sea turtles. The noises are relatively quiet (Ferrara *et al.*, 2014), and thus would only have a limited range of detection by turtles even in ideal conditions, with masking from natural sounds likely. The impacts from masking are expected to be low.

Potential impacts from survey equipment and positioning equipment

The sound levels of the survey equipment and positioning equipment (**Section 6.1.1**) are below those associated with the PK criteria for injury (**Table 6-7**) beyond a few metres, and are low enough that SEL criteria will not be reached (McPherson and Wood, 2017). Recoverable injury and TTS could occur within tens of metres applying the relative risk criteria from Popper *et al.* (2014) (**Table 6-7**). Behavioural changes, for example, avoidance and diving, are only predicted for individuals in close proximity to the vessels (high risk of behavioural impacts within tens of metres of source and moderate risk of behavioural impacts within hundreds of metres of the source).

Turtles are unlikely to experience masking even at close range to the source from all sources except the boomer SBP. This is in part because the sounds from most survey and positioning equipment (except the boomer SBP) are all outside of the hearing frequency range for turtles, which for green and loggerhead turtles

is approximately 50 to 2000 Hz, with highest sensitivity to sounds between 200 and 400 Hz (Ridgway *et al.*, 1969; Ketten and Bartol, 2005; Bartol and Ketten, 2006; Bartol, 2008; Yudhana *et al.*, 2010; Piniak *et al.*, 2011; Lavender *et al.*, 2012, 2014). The boomer SBP could potentially mask turtle hearing, as it has a primary frequency range from 100 to 1000 Hz; however, the low source levels mean the distances within which masking may occur for turtles will be within hundreds to low thousands of metres.

6.1.2.3 Sharks, fish and rays

The whale shark foraging BIA overlaps all three operational areas and therefore whale sharks are considered likely to occur in the operational areas.

All fish species can detect noise sources, although hearing ranges and sensitivities vary substantially between species (Dale *et al.*, 2015). Sensitivity to sound pressure seems to be functionally correlated in fishes to the presence and absence of gas-filled chambers in the sound transduction system. These enable fishes to detect sound pressure and extend their hearing abilities to lower sound levels and higher frequencies (Ladich and Popper, 2004; Braun and Grande, 2008). Based on their morphology, Popper *et al.* (2014) classified fishes into three animal groups comprising:

- + fishes with swim bladders whose hearing does not involve the swim bladder or other gas volumes
- + fishes whose hearing does involve a swim bladder or other gas volume
- + fishes without a swim bladder that can sink and settle on the substrate when inactive.

Thresholds for PTS and recoverable injury are between 207 dB PK and 213 dB PK (depending on the presence or absence of a swim bladder), and the threshold for TTS is 186 dB SEL_{cum} (Popper *et al.*, 2014). Given there is no exposure criteria for sharks and rays, the same criteria are adopted, though typically sharks and rays do not possess a swim bladder.

Individual demersal fish may be impacted in the vicinity of the activity and tuna and billfish and other mobile pelagic species may transverse the operational area. However, the operational area is not known to be an important spawning or aggregation habitat for commercially caught targeted species. Therefore, no impacts to fish stocks are expected.

The criteria defined in Popper *et al.* (2014) for continuous (**Table 6-8**) and impulsive (**Table 6-9**) noise sources have been adopted.

Table 6-8: Continuous noise: criteria for noise exposure for fish, adapted from Popper *et al.* (2014)

| Potential Marine Fauna Receptor | Mortality and Potential mortal injury | Impairment | | | Behaviour |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | | Recoverable injury | TTS | Masking | |
| Fish: No swim bladder (particle motion detection) | (N) Low (I) Low (F) Low | (N) Low (I) Low (F) Low | (N) Moderate (I) Low (F) Low | (N) High (I) High (F) Moderate | (N) Moderate (I) Moderate (F) Low |
| Fish: Swim bladder not involved in hearing (particle motion detection) | (N) Low (I) Low (F) Low | (N) Low (I) Low (F) Low | (N) Moderate (I) Low (F) Low | (N) High (I) High (F) Moderate | (N) Moderate (I) Moderate (F) Low |
| Fish: Swim bladder involved in hearing (primarily pressure detection) | (N) Low (I) Low (F) Low | 170 dB SPL for 48 h | 158 dB SPL for 12 h | (N) High (I) High (F) High | (N) High (I) Moderate (F) Low |
| Fish eggs and fish larvae | (N) Low (I) Low (F) Low | (N) Low (I) Low (F) Low | (N) Low (I) Low (F) Low | (N) High (I) Moderate (F) Low | (N) Moderate (I) Moderate (F) Low |

Note: Relative risk (high, moderate, low) is given for animals at three distances from the source defined in relative terms as near (N) – tens of metres, intermediate (I) – hundreds of metres, and far (F) – thousands of metres.

Table 6-9: Impulsive noise: criteria for noise exposure for fish, adapted from Popper *et al.* (2014)

| Potential Marine Fauna Receptor | Mortality and Potential mortal injury | Impairment | | | Behaviour |
|--|--|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | Recoverable injury | TTS | Masking | |
| Fish: No swim bladder (particle motion detection) | > 219 dB SEL _{24h} or > 213 dB PK | > 216 dB SEL _{24h} or > 213 dB PK | >> 186 dB SEL _{24h} | (N) Low (I) Low (F) Low | (N) High (I) Moderate (F) Low |
| Fish: Swim bladder not involved in hearing (particle motion detection) | 210 dB SEL _{24h} or > 207 dB PK | 203 dB SEL _{24h} or > 207 dB PK | >> 186 dB SEL _{24h} | (N) Low (I) Low (F) Low | (N) High (I) Moderate (F) Low |
| Fish: Swim bladder involved in hearing (primarily pressure detection) | 207 dB SEL _{24h} or > 207 dB PK | 203 dB SEL _{24h} or > 207 dB PK | 186 dB SEL _{24h} | (N) Low (I) Low (F) Moderate | (N) High (I) High (F) Moderate |
| Fish eggs and fish larvae | > 210 dB SEL _{24h} or > 207 dB PK | (N) Moderate (I) Low (F) Low | (N) Moderate (I) Low (F) Low | (N) Low (I) Low (F) Low | (N) Moderate (I) Low (F) Low |

Note: Relative risk (high, moderate, low) is given for animals at three distances from the source defined in relative terms as near (N) – tens of metres, intermediate (I) – hundreds of metres, and far (F) – thousands of metres.

Potential impacts from vessels

Based on criteria developed by Popper *et al.* (2014) for noise impacts on fish, vessel noise has a low risk of resulting in mortality and a moderate risk of TTS impacts when fish are within tens of metres of a vessel. The most likely impacts to fish from noise will be behavioural responses. Popper *et al.* (2014) identified a moderate risk of behavioural impacts to fish in near (tens of metres) and intermediate distances (hundreds of metres) from the noise source. Masking could occur within thousands of metres under a worst-case scenario of vessel operations, however typically any effect will be limited to within hundreds of metres.

Potential impacts from survey equipment and positioning equipment

Based on available criteria from Popper *et al.* (2014), potential impacts of survey and positioning equipment on fish have been assessed. Impulsive noises from survey equipment could result in physiological impacts to fish located within metres of the sound source considering the results presented in **Section 6.1.1**. The likelihood of fish being close enough to the sound source for physiological impacts to occur is considered remote.

Behavioural impacts to fish from survey equipment noise will be limited to behavioural responses within metres of the noise source. Fish (including sharks and rays) may be temporarily displaced from the vicinity of the noise emissions. The only survey equipment with energy below 1 kHz is the boomer SBP, all other equipment which operates at higher frequencies is unable to be heard by most fish, which further reduces the risk of impact (Ladich and Fay, 2013).

The impact of masking is low at all ranges, apart from fish who specialise in pressure detection, which can be impacted in a moderate way at thousands of metres. However, this is only relevant for the boomer SBP, as all other sources have signals outside the hearing range of most fish in the region, which reduces the risk of impact.

6.1.2.4 Invertebrates

Underwater noise emissions from the activity are not expected to cause a change in behaviour to benthic invertebrates.

Potential impacts from vessels

Benthic invertebrates are unlikely to be negatively impacted from noise generated from vessel operations due to the fact that the activity is intermittent and of short duration with the vessel not sitting in one location for a period of time. Additionally, there is no convincing scientific evidence for any significant effects induced by non-impulsive noise in benthic invertebrates.

Plankton, including fish eggs and larvae, and pelagic invertebrates could drift into close proximity to high-energy noise sources (for example, bow thrusters). However, any negative impacts that could occur would be restricted to within metres of the sound source. At such a localised extent, impacts would be negligible at an ecosystem or population level.

Potential impacts from survey equipment and positioning equipment

For impulsive noise and benthic invertebrates, the source is an important consideration in the assessment. Low frequency sources, such as the boomer SBP, can be considered for the purposes of this assessment in the context of scientific findings relevant to seismic surveys, with no other information available to suggest a more appropriate alternative. Therefore, for the boomer SBP, impulsive noise, the sound levels defined in

Day *et al.* (2016) and Payne *et al.* (2008) are considered appropriate to guide an impact assessment (Table 6-10).

Table 6-10: Impulsive noise: sound levels relevant to invertebrates

| Receptor | Sound levels |
|---|--|
| Invertebrates: effect at the seafloor (Day <i>et al.</i> , 2016) | 186 to 190 dB SEL 192 to 199 dB SEL _{24h} 209 to 212 dB PK-PK |
| Invertebrates: no effect at the seafloor (Payne <i>et al.</i> , 2008) | 202 dB PK-PK |

Site-specific modelling was not conducted against these thresholds for the proposed geophysical activities. However, the Beach Energy Otway Basin Geophysical Survey acoustic modelling, Wood and McPherson (2017), did undertake modelling. This work, as described above, was in similar water depths and geological environment; therefore, the results can be used to conduct a high-level comparative assessment. The site-specific study in the Otway found that none of the sound levels listed in Table 6-10 were exceeded. This result is estimated to be appropriate for geophysical survey activities within the operational area.

The short duration of the survey is expected to reduce the potential for impact on plankton and invertebrates. Any negative impacts that could occur would be restricted to within metres of the sound source. At such a localised extent, impacts would be negligible at an ecosystem or population level.

There are no thresholds or information available for the assessment of the potential impacts from high-frequency sources such as SSS or MBES on either water column or benthic invertebrates. These sources are often used to assess and quantify plankton densities, including within McCauley *et al.* (2017), who used a Simrad EK60 echosounder operating at 120 kHz.

6.1.2.5 Protected and significant areas

Operational area C intersects the Montebello AMP (Multiple Use Zone - IUCN Category VI) and operational area A is adjacent to the Eighty Mile Beach AMP (Multiple Use Zone - IUCN Category VI). No recognised breeding or resting area for marine mammals, cetaceans, shark or fish species are known to occur in any of the operational areas, however they do overlap several internesting buffer BIAs for loggerhead, green, hawksbill and flatback turtles (Table 6-2), foraging whale sharks and both blue and humpback whales (distribution and migration respectively).

Montebello AMP

Operational area C overlaps the Montebello AMP and therefore noise emissions have the potential to impact the values of the AMP, which includes (relevant to noise emissions) internesting, foraging, mating, and nesting habitat for marine turtles, a migratory pathway for humpback whales and foraging habitat for whale sharks. As described above, noise impacts from the activity will potentially result in:

- PTS or TTS to cetaceans within 12m and 266 m from the vessel
- PTS to cetaceans within 10m of the SBP (TTS and PTS are not predicted to occur with the other equipment)
- Behavioural impacts to turtles within tens of metres of the vessel
- Masking of turtles within hundreds to low thousands of metres of the SBP (not predicted with other equipment)

- Masking of fish (including whale sharks) could occur within thousands of metres of the vessel or the SBP.

Eighty Mile Beach AMP

Operational area A is adjacent to the Eighty Mile Beach AMP and therefore noise emissions have the potential to impact the values of the AMP, which includes (relevant to noise emissions) internesting and nesting habitat for marine turtles, foraging, nursing and pupping habitat for sawfish and a migratory pathway for humpback whales. As discussed above, impacts to cetaceans, turtles and fish could occur within tens of metres to low thousands of metres from the vessel and equipment and therefore could potentially impact on these species. Noise impacts at a level that could cause PTS or TTS to species are not predicted at the Eighty Mile Beach State Marine Park.

Barrow Island MMA and MP

The Barrow Island MP is >7km from the operational area and therefore impacts from noise emissions are not expected at levels that could cause TTS or PTS or significant behavioural impacts to values within the marine park. Impacts to values of the MMA could be expected as a temporary behavioural impact during the short duration activities only.

6.1.2.6 Socio-economic

Impacts to fish may result in indirect impacts to fisheries in the operational area (**Section 3.2.4**), with impacts restricted to moderate within hundreds of metres of the vessel as detailed above. With the majority of the noise emissions being of short duration and of limited extent, any impact on commercial or recreational fishing is expected to be minimal. There are expected to be no impacts to other marine users (petroleum industry, shipping or tourism) from the noise emissions associated with the VBA.

6.1.3 Environmental performance outcomes and control measures

The EPO relating to this hazard is:

- + No injury or mortality to EPBC Act 1999 and WA Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 listed fauna during activities (EPO-1).

The CMs considered for this activity are shown in **Table 6-11** with EPSs and measurement criteria for the EPOs described in **Section 8**.

The priority action plan for turtles is set out in the Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017), which states to manage anthropogenic activities to ensure marine turtles are not displaced from identified Critical Habitat. The recovery plan identifies that a precautionary approach should be applied with surveys that have the potential to cause noise interference when undertaken within internesting habitat. The adoption of the controls in Table 6-11 ensure a precautionary approach to the potential impacts on turtles and a behavioural impact is expected for the short duration of the activity but would not result in significant displacement from critical habitat.

The conservation advice for humpback whales identifies threats from anthropogenic noise and sets out management actions (controls) to address the threat. The assessment of noise emissions has determined that the activity may have a behavioural impact from anthropogenic noise during the activity. Therefore, the two relevant controls from the conservation advice for humpback whales that have been assessed are:

- + site-specific acoustic modelling (as per Approved Conservation Advice for *Megaptera novaeangliae* (humpback whale) (2015))
- + noise management plan (as per Approved Conservation Advice for *Megaptera novaeangliae* (humpback whale) (2015)).

Table 6-11: CMs evaluation for noise emissions

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|--------------|---|---|--|--|
| CM-01 | Procedure for interacting with marine fauna | Reduces risk of physical and behavioural impacts to marine fauna from vessel, because if they are sighted, then the vessel can slow down or move away, and helicopters can increase distances from sighted fauna if required. | Operational costs to adhere to marine fauna interaction restrictions, such as vessel and helicopter speed and direction, are based on legislated requirements and must be adopted. | Adopted – Benefits in reducing impacts to marine fauna outweigh the costs incurred by Santos. Control drives compliance with EPBC Regulations (Part 8). |
| CM-02 | Watchkeeping maintained on bridge | Monitoring of surrounding marine environment to identify potential collision risks (and reducing harm) to cetaceans and other marine fauna. | No additional cost – industry practice. | Adopted – industry practice, benefits outweigh cost. Control drives compliance with the EPBC Regulations. |
| CM-23 | Pre-Start Requirements | Potential reduction in impact of noise to some sensitive receptors based on principles of the EPBC Policy Statement 2.1 – Part A. | Impracticable to schedule activities to avoid all listed marine fauna due to variability in timing of environmentally sensitive periods and the constant or unpredictable presence of some species. Short duration activity (in other words, a few days) that is low risk to marine fauna. | Adopted – where practical (in other words, where equipment allows) controls. |
| N/A | Undertake site specific acoustic modelling as per Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i> (humpback whale) (2015)) | Increase the knowledge of potential impacts. However, noise emissions from geophysical surveys are already well documented. | Additional cost to undertake site specific acoustic modelling. | Rejected – Cost is disproportionate to increase in environmental benefit. |

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|--------------|--|--|---|---|
| N/A | Develop a noise management plan as per approved Conservation Advice for <i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i> (humpback whale) (2015)) | Potential reduction in impacts to marine fauna. | Additional cost to develop a noise management plan for a short duration activity (in other words, a few days at a time) that is low risk to marine fauna. | Rejected – Cost is disproportionate to increase in environmental benefit. |
| N/A | Dedicated Marine Mammal Observer (MMO) (as per EPBC Policy Statement 2.1 – Part B.1) | Improved ability to spot and identify marine fauna at risk of impact from vessel and survey noise. | Additional cost of contracting specialist MMO per survey. | Rejected –Potential impacts are low and of short duration for each VBA and therefore the potential for interaction is considered low The use of trained crew to undertake pre-start observations is considered appropriate for the nature and scale of the activity cost of MMOs is disproportionate to environmental benefit. |

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|
| N/A | Schedule activities to avoid coinciding with sensitive periods such as the humpback whale migration periods (June to November) | Potential reduction in impact of noise to some sensitive receptors. | <p>The timing of surveys is subject to vessel availability and weather windows, and there are requirements for some VBA to be conducted within a set timeframe before MODU arrival (MODU activities are subject to separate approvals) and therefore avoidance of activities for this 6 -month period given the low impact can result in the objectives of the survey being unable to be met.</p> <p>Short duration activity (in other words, a few days) that is low risk to marine fauna.</p> | <p>Rejected – The operational areas overlap with the humpback whale migration BIA and the distribution BIA for pygmy blue whales and these species could also be present all year round. However, the potential impacts to cetaceans are predicted to be low and if they occur would be well within 500m of the vessel and equipment and with the controls in place to manage interaction with fauna within 500m of the vessel (CM-01 and CM-23), the potential for impact is significantly reduced. The activity will not restrict the movement of whales within the area as the BIA and the area within which they are distributed in is widespread. Cost is disproportionate to increase in environmental benefit.</p> |

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|--------------|--|---|---|---|
| N/A | Schedule activities to avoid coinciding with sensitive periods such as turtle nesting, internesting and hatching (September to April for multiple species) | Potential reduction in impact of noise to some sensitive receptors. | <p>The timing of surveys is subject to vessel availability and weather windows, and there are requirements for some VBA to be conducted within a set timeframe before MODU arrival (MODU activities are subject to separate approvals) and therefore avoidance of activities for this 8 -month period given the low impact can result in the objectives of the survey being unable to be met.</p> <p>Short duration activity (in other words, a few days) that is low risk to marine fauna.</p> | <p>Rejected – The operational areas overlap with very small portions of the BIAs in place for turtles and these species could be present all year round. However, the potential impacts to turtles if they occur would be well within 500m of the vessel and equipment (behavioural impacts within tens of metres of the vessel) and with the controls in place to manage interaction with fauna within 500m of the vessel (CM-01 and CM-23), the potential for impact is significantly reduced. The activity will not restrict the movement of turtles within the area as the BIA and the area within which they are distributed in is widespread. Cost is disproportionate to increase in environmental benefit.</p> |

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|
| N/A | Pre-survey research would involve sending a dedicated research vessel to the survey area ahead of time. Allows for survey planning around areas of peak migration and aggregation, therefore reducing risks to marine fauna (EPBC Policy Statement 2.1 – Part B.2) | Increase knowledge of marine fauna activity in the area. | Long lead time as a research vessel sent out to the field would need to go one year ahead of the survey at the planned time to collect relevant data, survey areas often not defined >1 year in advance, further risks from vessel collision and emissions; cost of research vessel. | Rejected – Cost is disproportionate to increase in environmental benefit. |
| N/A | No start up or operations at night-time/low visibility (EPBC Policy Statement 2.1 – Part B.2) | Reduce probability of a cetacean occurring within the low power/shutdown zone and not being detected. | Increases time of survey. Increase cost due to increased survey time. Survey objectives would not be met in available timeframe. | Rejected – Cost is disproportionate to increase in environmental benefit. |
| N/A | Spotter planes/ vessels sent to spot fauna ahead of the vessels over whole survey area (EPBC Policy Statement 2.1 – Parts B.2 and B.3). | Increase detection of individuals or groups of marine fauna which may be displaced or disturbed, during night-time operations when visibility is low. | Marine fauna may have moved away from the area by the time the vessel arrives. Cost of specialist aircraft with good downward visibility, or cost of an additional spotter vessel additional marine fauna observers (MFOs) required on board aircraft. Additional risks to environment through use of vessels/airplanes, increased safety risks to personnel on board additional vessels/airplanes. | Rejected – Cost is disproportionate to increase in environmental benefit. |

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|--------------|---|--|---|---|
| N/A | <p>Passive Acoustic Monitoring (PAM) involves the use of hydrophones subsea to detect and monitor the presence of vocalising marine mammals and can assist in the confirmation of the presence of vocalising cetaceans. Additional detection methods reduce the risks to marine fauna in the vicinity by influencing the survey operations (EPBC Policy Statement 2.1 – Part B.5).</p> | <p>Potential to identify toothed cetaceans which do not breach the sea surface (for example, on long dives).</p> | <p>Difficult to detect the distance and direction of cetaceans to enable implementation of precaution zones unless confirmed by visual observations, only applicable to vocalising cetaceans, PAM very dependent on environmental conditions. Minimal costs for basic PAM, however, to enable PAM to be used efficiently, more complex PAM systems would be required with a dedicated vessel, thus increasing cost.</p> | <p>Rejected – Cost is disproportionate to increase in environmental benefit.</p> |
| N/A | <p>Adaptive Management:</p> <p>(a) Terminating the survey for 24 hours if there are three or more humpback whale induced shutdowns/ power downs within the previous 24-hour period.</p> <p>(b) Terminating the survey if there are three consecutive days of no collection of survey data due to the presence of migrating humpback whales.</p> <p>(EPBC Policy Statement 2.1 – Part B.6)</p> | <p>Potential reduction in impacts to humpback whales.</p> | <p>Impracticable to schedule activities to avoid all listed marine fauna due to variability in timing of environmentally sensitive periods and the constant or unpredictable presence of some species. Short duration activity (in other words, a few days for each VBA) that is low risk to marine fauna.</p> | <p>Rejected – Cost is disproportionate to increase in environmental benefit.</p> |

6.1.4 Environmental impact assessment

| Underwater noise emissions | |
|---|---|
| Key receptors | Consequence level |
| Noise from operation of equipment and vessels | |
| Threatened/ migratory fauna | <p>Noise emitted by vessels and the survey activity will be short in duration for each operational area and is likely to be reduced to background levels within a few kilometres. As such, any potential related marine fauna behavioural impacts are expected to be temporary and short ranged and are not expected to lead to long-term changes in individual behaviour (for example, migration) or lead to changes at the population level.</p> <p>Avoidance behaviour is likely to be localised within the area of the activity (due to small spatial extent of elevated noise) and temporary; in other words, for the duration of the activity only.</p> <p>Potential PTS to low-frequency whales (for example, humpback and blue whales) could occur within 12 m of the centre of the vessel (considering a representative vessel that is 54 m long) if the vessel and the cetacean remained in the same place for 24 hours. However, the vessel will never remain in the one position for this long, and as whales are also always moving, the potential for this impact is extremely low. Behavioural impacts may be expected for marine mammals, that is, humpback whales, from the vessels and equipment.</p> <p>Although operational area C overlaps with the loggerhead, green, hawksbill and flatback turtle internesting BIAs, and operational areas A and B overlap the flatback turtle BIA (as per Table 6-2), impacts are not expected on a population level or on turtle habitat. Individuals may be encountered within the operational area but are likely to be internesting adults due to the distance from the closest nesting beaches at Barrow Island (operational area C, >8km) and Eighty Mile Beach (operational area A >50km). Behavioural impacts could occur within the immediate vicinity of the vessel and equipment for a short duration and will likely result in the turtles moving away from the area. As the area within which foraging and distribution of all turtles species is widespread, the minimal disturbance is not expected to significantly impact the critical habitat for turtles, or impact at a population level due to the nature and scale of the activity (temporary, short duration, vessel based activity).</p> <p>Some behavioural response to vessel noise could occur to benthic fish communities within the operational area. The calcareous gravel, sand and silt seabed of the operational area suggests there are unlikely to be any areas of particularly high abundance or diversity of fishes within this area, although it is likely that there will be some attraction of fishes to the subsea infrastructure.</p> <p>It is possible that whale sharks could pass through the operational areas, as the whale shark foraging BIA overlaps all three areas. Whale sharks are most likely to occur in operational area C, and around time of the Ningaloo aggregation (March to May). Whale sharks would be expected to show a behavioural response only, as it is unlikely that this species would swim within close range (within metres) of high-energy sound sources (for example, bow thrusters) or the geophysical survey activities that could result in physiological damage. The slow working speed of vessels within the operational area further reduces the risk of any negative impacts attributable to vessel noise as well as the additional controls to manage interaction with marine fauna (CM-01) and pre-survey requirements (CM-23).</p> <p>The Conservation Advice <i>Rhincodon typus</i> Whale Shark (Threatened Species Scientific Committee, 2015b) identifies habitat disturbance as a risk. The expected noise levels and behavioural response are not considered to result in habitat disturbance, which is consistent with this advice.</p> <p>Seabirds are also unlikely to be directly affected by underwater noise generated during the VBA. Due to the distance of the operational area from any seabird nesting colonies, the potential for airborne noise from VBA to cause disturbance to seabirds is extremely low.</p> |

| Underwater noise emissions | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Key receptors | Consequence level |
| Physical environment/habitat | Not applicable – noise will not impact the physical environment itself, only the species mentioned above utilising it. |
| Threatened ecological communities | Not applicable – no threatened ecological communities identified in the area over which noise emissions are expected. |
| Protected areas | <p>Noise emissions will impact a very small portion of the Montebello Islands AMP in the immediate vicinity of the vessel and equipment at operational area C and Eighty Mile Beach AMP adjacent to operational area A with any impacts expected to be restricted to localised and temporary impacts to marine fauna as they transit through the area and at a behavioural level only. With the additional controls in place to manage interaction with marine fauna (CM-01) and pre-survey requirements (CM-23), the potential impacts will be significantly reduced as fauna is unlikely to be within the vicinity of the vessel during the activities that could potentially impact on their behaviour (operation of equipment), and physiological impacts are highly unlikely due to this and the type of equipment used.</p> <p>Vessel based activities and oil and gas activities are permitted within the AMPs and no controls are proposed within the North-west MPNMP to manage noise impacts, potential impacts from vessel-based activity are acceptable and the controls proposed to manage the operation of survey equipment are also considered acceptable.</p> <p>The overall impact was assessed as not having an adverse effect on the values and sensitivities that the protected areas have been established for, due to the limited duration and the nature of the proposed VBA and the control measures proposed.</p> |
| Socio-economic | Noise levels are not expected to impact on socio-economic receptors due to their low activity level within the vicinity of the operational area. Impacts to fish may result in indirect impacts to fisheries in the area; however, considering the noise emissions are localised, the available catch area for commercial fishermen and the area over which commercial species spawn, impacts to fisheries are considered acceptable. |
| Overall worst case consequence | Operational area A: I - Negligible |
| | Operational area B: I - Negligible |
| | Operational area C: II - Minor; higher consequence level due to proximity to the Montebello Islands Marine Park |

6.1.5 Demonstration of as low as reasonably practicable

The use of the vessels and survey equipment is unavoidable if the planned activity is to proceed. Equipment maintenance will keep the noise levels to within normal operating limits, which will also aid in reducing the likelihood of impacts to sensitive receptors.

The sound levels generated by geophysical surveys are medium to high frequency and decay rapidly with distance travelled from the source, as demonstrated by Zykov (2013), with the furthest distance geophysical noise is expected to travel is less than 1.5 km.

Note that marine fauna affected in varying degrees by acoustic noise (in other words, marine mammals, turtles, sharks and fish) are all expected to avoid the source of noise. This avoidance is likely to be from a small area (due to the small spatial extent of required activities) and to be temporary; in other words, activities are planned for approximately two to seven days at a time, up to 14 days.

The vessel is also expected to produce similar noise emissions to other marine vessels that frequent or transit through the vicinity of the operational area (in other words, oil and gas industry vessels). The vessel will adhere to the EPBC Regulations (Part 8) to ensure that actions are undertaken to avoid marine mammals, turtles and whale sharks within 500 m of a vessel, and all crews will be inducted into these requirements. It is further expected that the vessel will typically emit sufficient noise for sensitive marine fauna to exhibit avoidance behaviour and move away from the activity to avoid physical impact zones.

Any behavioural impact caused by vessel and survey activity noise is likely to be localised and temporary, with marine species expected to resume normal behavioural patterns in the open oceanic waters surrounding the operational area in a short timeframe with no significant impact on their normal behaviour, including during sensitive periods such as migration, nesting or foraging.

The selection of equipment is based on the operational objectives of the activity. The equipment selected is generally tailored to the specific scope and location. Noise from the vessel will be sufficient for sensitive marine fauna to exhibit avoidance behaviour away from the activity to greater than the limited extent that the equipment would cause physiological impacts (within a few metres). The use of equipment is necessary to undertake the survey to inform planned future activities. No viable alternatives exist.

Santos have considered the actions prescribed in various recovery plans and conservation advices such as Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017), Blue Whale Conservation Management Plan 2015–2025 (2015) and Approved Conservation Advice for *Megaptera novaeangliae* (humpback whale) (2015) when developing the controls relevant to potential VBA to minimise noise impacts on marine cetaceans, sharks, fish and marine turtles. Management controls are in place to reduce operating noise including vessel operational protocols, and to adhere to the fauna interaction management stated in Part 8 of the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2000*. As such, noise emitted during the activities is not expected to significantly impact on marine fauna within the receiving environment.

Avoiding periods of higher sensitivity such as migration or nesting periods for whales and turtles (for example) is not considered feasible. The operational areas overlap with a number of BIAs for fauna: humpback and blue whale migration that occurs across the NWS from April to December, and nesting activities for various turtle species from August to April/May (**Table 3-15**), this leaves a very small window of opportunity within which to conduct activities. Coupled with the fact that some of the proposed VBA are required to be conducted all year round (e.g. metocean surveys) to ensure an adequate baseline, or are required within a set timeframe prior to MODU arrival to ensure safe placement of MODU legs. This restricts the timeframe within which surveys must be completed. Given the low potential impacts to individual fauna, there is not expected to be an impact at population level or significant impacts on migratory or nesting behaviours.

Additional controls were identified and considered but rejected, as detailed in **Section 6.1.3**. Therefore, the risks to marine fauna from noise associated with the project activities are considered to be ALARP.

6.1.6 Acceptability evaluation

| | |
|---|---|
| Is the consequence ranked as I or II? | Yes – maximum consequence from underwater noise emissions is I (negligible) for operational area A and B and II (minor) for operational area C. |
| Is further information required in the consequence assessment? | No – potential impacts and risks are well understood through the information available. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with the principles of ESD? | Yes – activity evaluated in accordance with Offshore Division Environmental Hazard Identification and Assessment Guideline which considers principles of ESD. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with relevant legislation, international agreements and conventions, guidelines and codes of practice (including species recovery plans, threat abatement plans, conservation advice and AMP zoning objectives)? | <p>Yes – IUCN principles and strategic objectives of nearby reserves (Montebello AMP, Eighty Mile Beach AMP, Barrow Island MP and MMA) are met. Controls implemented will minimise the potential impacts from the activity to species identified in Recovery Plans as having the potential to be impacted by noise emissions.</p> <p>Relevant species Recovery Plans, Conservation Management Plans and management actions including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (2017) + Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i> (humpback whale) + Conservation Management Plan for the Blue Whale, 2015–2025 (2015b) + Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Balaenoptera physalus</i> (fin whale) (2015) + Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow Islands Marine Conservation Reserves 2007–2017 + North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan (2018) + Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park Management Plan 80 (2014–2024). |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy? | Yes – aligns with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with stakeholder expectations? | Yes – no concerns raised. |
| Are performance standards such that the impact or risk is considered to be ALARP? | Yes – see ALARP above. |

No significant impacts are expected from noise for sensitive receptors in the operational area given the localised and temporary and intermittent nature of the underwater emissions associated with planned activities and the proposed controls.

Minimal behavioural changes are expected from all marine fauna in the operational area, and therefore the negligible impacts expected from these noise sources are considered environmentally acceptable. No long-term harm is expected to result to EPBC listed marine fauna during VBA. Through adherence to Santos' *Protected Marine Fauna Interaction and Sighting Procedure* (EA-91-11-00003), which drives compliance with EPBC Policy Statement Part 8 (reflected in CM-01), and consideration of EPBC Policy Statement 2.1 (reflected in CM-23), the activity is considered acceptable to undertake in the area. In addition, no concerns from stakeholders (including fisheries) have been raised to indicate that the activity will have any unacceptable

impacts to socio-economic receptors, nor did Department of Water and Environmental Regulation (DWER) highlight any concerns with acoustic disturbance from the activity.

6.2 Light emissions

6.2.1 Description of event

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Event | <p>Potential impacts from light emissions may occur in the operational area from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + safety and navigational lighting on the support vessels + spot lighting that may also be used as needed, such as equipment deployment and retrieval. <p>Lighting will typically consist of bright white (in other words, metal halide, halogen, fluorescent) lights typical of lighting used in the offshore petroleum industry and not dissimilar to lighting used for other offshore activities in the region, including shipping and fishing.</p> |
| Extent | <p>Localised: Limited light 'spill' or 'glow' on surface waters surrounding the vessels. Impacts expected to remain within the operational area.</p> |
| Duration | <p>Navigational and task lighting is required 24 hours a day for the duration of the activity.</p> |

6.2.2 Nature and scale of environmental impacts

Potential Receptors: Threatened/migratory fauna (marine mammals, marine reptiles – marine turtles (particularly hatchlings), sharks, rays and fish, and zooplankton and birds (sea).

Continuous lighting emanating from the same location for an extended period of time may result in alterations to fauna behaviour. The combination of colour, intensity, closeness, direction and persistence of a light source are key factors in determining the magnitude of environmental impact (EPA, 2010). Disturbance may include:

- + Seabirds may either be attracted by the light source itself or indirectly due to marine fauna prey (such as fish and invertebrates) attracted to light.
- + Marine turtles and turtle hatchlings may be misoriented and disoriented by lights.
- + Fish and zooplankton may be directly or indirectly attracted to lights.

According to the National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife, a 20 km threshold provides a precautionary limit based on observed effects of sky glow on marine turtle hatchlings demonstrated to occur at 15 to 18 km from the light source and fledgling seabirds grounded in response to artificial light 15 km away. The intensity and extent of light glow, and the potential to result in biological impact, will be dependent upon the light source itself, including the number, intensity, spectral output and position of individual lights at the source. The effect of light glow may occur at distances greater than 20 km for some species and under certain environmental conditions (Commonwealth of Australia, 2020).

Fish and plankton

The response of fish to light emissions varies according to species and habitat. Experiments using light traps have found that some fish and zooplankton species are attracted to light sources (Meekan *et al.*, 2001), with traps drawing catches from up to 90 m away (Milicich *et al.*, 1992). Lindquist *et al.* (2005) concluded from a study that artificial lighting associated with offshore oil and gas activities resulted in an increased abundance of clupeids (herring and sardines) and engraulids (anchovies). These species are known to be highly photopositive: the artificial light serves to focus their marine plankton prey and consequently leads to enhanced foraging success.

Threatened/migratory fauna

Marine mammals

There is no evidence to suggest artificial light sources adversely affect the migratory, feeding or breeding behaviours of marine mammals. Cetaceans predominantly use acoustic senses to monitor their environment

rather than visual sources (Simmonds et al., 2004). Therefore, light from the support vessel night-time activity is not expected to have an impact on marine mammal behaviour.

Marine reptiles

Operational areas A and B intersect the internesting BIA for the flatback turtle, operational area C is located within the flatback, loggerhead, hawksbill and green turtle internesting buffer BIA (**Table 6-2**), and therefore individuals are likely to occur within the operational area. All four species nesting on the Montebello, Barrow and nearby islands are classified as threatened under the EPBC Act 1999 and the *WA Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016*. Flatback turtles also nest at Eighty Mile Beach within the State marine park.

Marine turtles are particularly sensitive to artificial lighting, which is known to disrupt breeding adult turtles, post-emergent hatchlings and hatchlings dispersing in nearshore waters (Limpus, 1971; Salmon & Wyneken, 1992; Limpus, 2007, 2008a, 2008b, 2009a, 2009b; Wilson et al. 2018). However, potential impacts to foraging turtles is limited to local attraction via a secondary response to effects of light on prey distribution (Kebodeaux, 1994). Marine turtles do not feed during the breeding season (Limpus et al., 2013), and light is not a cue to internesting behaviours. Therefore, potential impacts of artificial light to internesting turtles are not considered likely, and not discussed further.

The Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia: 2017-2027 (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017) and the Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow Islands Marine Conservation reserves highlight artificial light as one of several threats to marine turtles. Specifically, the recovery plan indicates that artificial light may reduce the overall reproductive output of a stock, and therefore recovery of the species, by:

- + inhibiting nesting by females
- + disrupting hatchling orientation and sea finding behaviour
- + creating pools of light that attract swimming hatchlings and increase their risk of predation.

This disruption can occur because hatchlings orient themselves to the lowest-elevation light horizon and away from high silhouettes when moving from the nest to the sea. When the direction of the lowest-elevation light horizon is not clear, hatchlings move towards the brightest, lowest horizon (Limpus & Kamrowski, 2013).

Therefore, while onshore lights (in other words, landward side of dunes) are of particular concern, offshore bright lights also have the potential to attract hatchlings, which have been shown to orient towards light sources close to the horizon (Witherington & Martin, 2003).. However, hatchlings may also orient along the beach, depending on the location of the light source relative to the beach. This can lead to fatigue, increase the hatchlings exposure to predators, and reduce the success of hatching turtles entering the ocean. Disruption to adult nesting behaviour is most commonly reported in response to onshore light sources leading to direct light spill on nesting beaches, as opposed to offshore light sources (Salmon, 2003; Hu *et al.*, 2018). Further, disruption to post-nesting seafinding occurs less frequently in nesting females than to emerging hatchlings (Witherington, 1992), suggesting nesting females are less vulnerable to this impact.

Once in the ocean, hatchlings are thought to remain close to the surface, orient by wave fronts and swim into deep offshore waters for several days to escape the more predator-filled shallow inshore waters. An internal compass set while crawling down the beach, together with wave cues, are used to reliably guide hatchlings offshore (Lohmann & Lohmann, 1992, Stapput & Wiltchko, 2005; Wilson et al., submitted). In the absence of wave cues, however, swimming hatchlings have been shown to orientate towards light cues (Lorne & Salmon, 2007, Harewood & Horrocks, 2008) and in some cases, wave cues were overridden by light cues (Thums et al., 2013, 2016; Wilson et al., 2018).

The speed and direction of at-sea dispersal is substantially influenced by currents (Wilson et al., 2018, 2019). However, when light was present this effect was diminished, showing that hatchlings actively swam against currents and towards the light source, which slowed their offshore dispersal (Wilson et al., 2018). Attraction of dispersing hatchlings to artificial light may slow down their in-water dispersal (Witherington & Bjorndal, 1991; Wilson et al., 2018) or increase their dispersion path, potentially depleting yolk reserves. If current speed allows, hatchlings may be able to swim against currents and maintain position in areas of light spill, potentially becoming entrapped in areas of light spill (e.g. Wilson et al. 2018; Thums et al. 2016) increasing predation risk (Salmon et al., 1992).

The National Light Pollution Guidelines states that a 20 km buffer (based on sky glow) to important habitat for turtles should be applied when considering possible impacts (DoEE, 2020). However, the demonstrated impacts on which this buffer is based were in response to light emissions associated with a liquified natural gas (LNG) plant. Although details around the individual light sources of the case study and the light sources on the vessels are unknown, it is expected that light emissions associated with vessels will be notably lower compared to an LNG plant.

The North-west Marine Bioregion supports globally significant breeding populations of green (*Chelonia mydas*), hawksbill (*Eretmochelys imbricata*), loggerhead (*Caretta caretta*) and flatback (*Natator depressus*) turtles (DSEWPaC, 2012). Barrow Island and the Montebello Islands are the closest significant nesting beaches to operational area C. The closest nesting beaches considered habitat critical to the survival of the species are found on Barrow Island and the Montebello Islands, 8.4 km and 17.3 km from operational area C, respectively. The west coast of Barrow Island, the closest point to operational area C, supports significant green turtle rookeries. There are no significant nesting locations nearby operational area B. Operational area A is 58 km from Eighty Mile Beach where flatback turtles nest, and 9 km from Bedout island where foraging habitat for turtles is found.

At 17.3 km, light emissions associated with vessels are not expected to occur at intensities great enough to result in a behavioural response, considering the expected differences in lighting design between an LNG plant and a vessel. However, the potential for light to be visible at 8.4 km from the west coast of Barrow Island cannot be ruled out. Individual activities may occur for up to 14 days, however, vessels are unlikely to remain stationary for more than a few hours or days during the activities. Although operational area C is located in a general offshore direction from Barrow Island, on the scale of individual nesting beaches it is possible that light sources associated with project vessels may be orientated in longshore direction. This may result in disturbance to hatchling sea finding behaviour. However, given the short duration of individual activities, and that the vessel will be stationed in any one location for a few hours, the number of nests that may be exposed to potential impacts will be a small proportion of total nests of any one season at that nesting beach. Further, the potential for impacts from light emissions associated with project vessels is expected to be lower compared to an operating LNG plant. In the LNG case study, 20% of hatchling fans had an offset bearing of $>90^\circ$, indicating severe sea-finding disruption (Kamrowski et al., 2014). This suggests that even in the presence of artificial light, not all hatchlings will show disoriented sea-finding behaviour, further reducing the consequence at the population level. Therefore impacts are unlikely to result at a population or genetic stock level of green turtles at this rookery on the west coast of Barrow Island.

While disruption to hatchling dispersal behaviour (e.g. attraction to or trapping by light) may occur, given the distance between nesting beaches and vessels and the predominant current speed and direction, it is considered unlikely that hatchlings will be able to swim towards the vessel and remain in areas of light spill. It is possible that hatchlings may orientate towards the vessels slowing their offshore dispersal, however this effect will be temporary as following sunrise, any effect of the light sources on dispersing hatchlings will be eliminated allowing normal dispersal behaviour to resume.

The potential impacts of light emissions to flatback, loggerhead, hawksbill and green turtles from the activity at operational area C are expected to be restricted to localised attraction and temporary disorientation with no long-term or residual impact due to the short duration of the activity (up to 14 days). Due to overlap with the BIAs, it is likely that marine turtles will be encountered in operational area C during the nesting and interesting seasons presented.

However, it is acknowledged that marine turtles may face multiple threats simultaneously across their lifecycle, including background noise increases and vessel strike. Light emissions may act as a contributor to stock level decline when considering cumulative impacts of threats, however, behavioural responses are not expected to significantly disturb long-distance movements, reproductive or feeding activities of turtles transiting the operational area, or impact turtles at a population level including those green turtles that nest on the west side of Barrow Island given the nature and scale of the activity, distance from the closest nesting beaches and the level of light emissions on the vessel.

Sharks, fish and rays

The response of fish to light emissions varies according to species and habitat. Experiments using light traps have found that some fish and zooplankton species are attracted to light sources (Meekan *et al.*, 2001), with traps drawing catches from up to 90 m (Milicich *et al.*, 1992). Lindquist *et al.* (2005) concluded from a study that artificial lighting associated with offshore oil and gas activities resulted in an increased abundance of clupeids (herring and sardines) and engraulids (anchovies); these species are known to be highly photopositive. Lighting impacts may increase the risk of predation to these fish species. Shaw *et al.* (2002), in a similar light trap study, noted that juvenile tunas (Scombridae) and jacks (Carangidae), which are highly predatory, may have been preying upon concentrations of zooplankton attracted to the light field of the platforms. This could potentially lead to increased predation rates compared to unlit areas.

However, the low level of light emitted from a vessel is unlikely to lead to large scale changes in species abundance or distribution. Impacts to transient fish will therefore be limited to short-term behavioural effects with no decrease in local population size or area of occupancy of species, nor loss or disruption of critical habitat or disruption to the breeding cycle.

A localised increase in fish activity as a result of vessel lighting is expected to occur as a result of the activity.

Birds (seabirds/shorebirds)

Lighting from the vessels may result in behavioural impacts to seabirds including terns and shearwaters. However, as they will be for a short duration, the consequence is considered negligible.

Studies conducted between 1992 and 2002 in the North Sea confirmed that artificial light was the reason that birds were attracted to and accumulated around illuminated offshore infrastructure (Marquenie *et al.*, 2008) and that lighting can attract birds from large catchment areas (Wiese *et al.*, 2001). Birds may either be attracted by the light source itself or indirectly as structures in deep water environments tend to attract marine life at all trophic levels, creating food sources and shelter for seabirds (Surman, 2002). The light from vessels may also provide enhanced capability for seabirds to forage at night.

Light potentially impacts breeding seabirds in the operational area in much the same way as it does marine turtles, though is species dependent and some seabirds may not be expected to experience any impact at all due to their diurnal behaviour (e.g terns and shearwaters). A study into light impacts upon nocturnally migrating birds on the North Sea found that birds were disoriented and attracted by red and white light (containing visible long-wavelength radiation), whereas they were clearly less disoriented by blue and green light (containing less or no visible long wavelength radiation) (Poot *et al.*, 2008). In addition, disoriented adult birds may not be able to return to their burrows to relieve their mates or feed their young. Fledglings are

particularly vulnerable to light through misorientation and disorientation when departing the colony for the first time.

Operational areas A and B overlap the BIAs for the wedge-tailed shearwater, while operational area C overlaps BIAs for the fairy tern, lesser crested tern and wedge-tailed shearwater. Operational areas A and C are nearby landmasses that may provide seabird roosting or breeding habitat (Bedout Island (Area A); Barrow Island and the Montebello Islands (Area C)). The location of the operational areas should not significantly impact foraging behaviour, given the large distances typically covered by breeding individuals.

Protected Areas

Montebello AMP

Operational area C overlaps the Montebello AMP and therefore light emissions have the potential to impact the values of the AMP, which includes (relevant to light emissions) breeding habitat for seabirds, internesting, foraging, mating, and nesting habitat for marine turtles. As described above, light impacts from the activity will potentially result in impacts to turtles and seabirds due to the overlap with BIAs for multiple species. Potential impacts to internesting turtles are not considered likely and nesting turtles are also considered less vulnerable. Therefore, the potential impacts are limited to hatchlings that have left the nesting beaches >7km away and are within the AMP.

Light emissions could result in changes in fish behaviour within the operational area.

Eighty Mile Beach AMP

Operational area A is adjacent to the Eighty Mile Beach AMP and therefore light emissions have the potential to impact the values of the AMP, which includes (relevant to light emissions) breeding, foraging and resting habitat for seabirds, internesting and nesting habitat for marine turtles. As discussed above, impacts to internesting turtles are considered unlikely to be significant, and operational area A is 58 km from Eighty Mile Beach where flatback turtles nest, and 9 km from Bedout island where foraging habitat for turtles is found so turtle hatchlings are not considered vulnerable to light emissions from this location.

Migrating seabirds that overfly the operational area may be attracted to the light on the vessel, but due to the short duration of the activity it is not considered likely that seabird behaviour would be significantly affected to the point of resulting in impacts at a population level.

Barrow Island MMA and MP

The Barrow Island MP is >7km from the operational area, and the turtle nesting beach that is significant for green turtles is >8km away and therefore impacts from light emissions could be expected but at levels that are unlikely to result in impacts at a population level. Impacts to values of the MMA could be expected as a temporary behavioural impact during the short duration activities only. Additional controls have been considered to reduce the potential impacts to green turtles during hatching periods (see below) to manage the potential impacts further.

6.2.3 Environmental performance outcomes and control measures

The EPO relating to this hazard is:

- + Reduce impacts to marine fauna from lighting on vessels through limiting lighting to that required by safety and navigational lighting requirements (EPO-2).

The CMs for this activity are shown in **Table 6-12** with EPS and measurement criteria for the EPOs described in **Section 8**.

Table 6-12: Control measures evaluation for light emissions

| CM Reference | Control Measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Standard control measures | | | | |
| CM-03 | Lighting will be used as required for safe work conditions and navigational purposes | <p>Light spill from unnecessary lighting reduced, even further lowering likelihood of impacts to the fauna from vessel lighting</p> <p>Lighting is assessed to only provide necessary lighting for safety and navigation during the activity including orientation of lighting to reduce light spill on the water wherever feasible without compromising navigation and safety requirements. Reducing the potential for additional light pollution to the environment, thus reducing the potential impacts to fauna.</p> <p>Conducting a pre-mobilisation lighting review when undertaking activities in operational area C during green turtle hatching season (January-April as per Table 3-15) to confirm only necessary lighting for safety and navigation, including, where feasible, orientation to reduce light spill on the water thus reducing the potential impacts to fauna.</p> | Additional costs associated with implementing control. | Accepted – Cost is considered acceptable for the benefit that may be realised from this control. |

| CM Reference | Control Measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|----------------|--|--|---|---|
| Additional CMs | | | | |
| N/A | Do not use lighting at night time | Reduce risk of impacts from light emissions during environmentally sensitive periods for listed marine fauna (for example, turtle nesting/hatching). | Vessel lighting is required for safe operations; therefore, this control would restrict activity to daylight hours only, causing delays in scheduled activities, which in turn will have time and cost implications. | Rejected – Cost is disproportionate to increase in environmental benefit. |
| N/A | Exclude offshore lighting during key periods for fauna | Reduce risk of impacts from light emissions during environmentally sensitive periods for fauna | Delays in scheduled activities, including future activities that are relying on this survey information, which in turn may have time and cost implications. This would also mean the activity can only be conducted during daylight hours, extending the length of the activity and the potential impacts from other planned aspects. | Rejected – Cost is disproportionate to increase in environmental benefit. |
| N/A | Reduce light intensity and/or frequencies which may attract turtles. | Reduce risk of impacts from the intensity of light emissions for fauna (for example, turtle nesting/hatching, and bird migration). | Delays in scheduled activities and cost involved with changing lighting may have significant implications on future activities. | Rejected – Cost is disproportionate to increase in environmental benefit given the short duration of the activity. |

| CM Reference | Control Measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|
| N/A | Review lighting on vessels to replace with a type (colour) that has less potential to impact | Reduce potential for impacts on certain sensitive receptors from light emissions. | High cost to complete lighting change out vessels. Navigational lighting colours are stipulated by law. Other non-navigational lighting on the vessels could be considered for change-out, but a pre-mobilisation review of lighting will ensure that only essential lighting is used as required. | Rejected – Cost considered disproportionate compared to the incremental environmental benefit and is a legislative requirement. |
| N/A | Limit or exclude night-time operations within 20 km of nesting beaches during turtle nesting seasons. | Reduce potential for impacts on turtles from light emissions during hours of darkness when light sources are more apparent and potential impacts are greatest. | This would increase the time take to conduct activities or potentially delay operational and potentially safety dependent activities. | Rejected – There is minimal risk to nesting turtles given the short-term, temporary nature of activities that may need to be carried out at night time. Control CM-03 also managed the potential impacts from light during green turtle hatchling season. |
| N/a | Use of dark, matt surfaces to reduce sky glow across all activities | Reduce potential for impacts on turtles from light emissions during hours of darkness when light sources are more apparent and potential impacts are greatest. | Additional cost to repaint vessel surfaces | Rejected – Given the distances from the nesting beaches, short duration of the activity and controls in place to limit lighting, the cost is considered disproportionate |

| CM Reference | Control Measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|
| N/a | Avoidance of activities that require additional lights at night (e.g. crew change, vessel transfer activities) within 20 km of turtle nesting beaches | Reduce potential for impacts on turtles from light emissions during hours of darkness when light sources are more apparent and potential impacts are greatest. | Additional time to undertake these activities during daytime | Rejected – The VBA are short term activities that are unlikely to require crew changes and transfers at sea. Given the distances of operational area C (the area with the highest potential impact of lighting on marine fauna) from the mainland, it is more likely the vessel would return to Varanus Island or the mainland if required for these types of activity. For the safety of personnel these activities are also more likely to occur during daytime. If required at nighttime however, the duration will be short and unlikely to result in significant additional lighting emissions |

6.2.4 Environmental impact assessment

| Receptor | Consequence level |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Light emissions | |
| Threatened/migratory fauna | <p>Sensitive receptors that may be impacted by light emissions in the same location for an extended period of time include fish at the surface, marine turtles and seabirds.</p> <p>Light emissions may be visible to turtles transiting or internesting in surrounding areas including those present within the turtle BIAs that intersect the operational areas. The National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife states a 20 km threshold provides a precautionary limit based on observed effects of sky glow on marine turtle hatchlings demonstrated to occur at 15 to 18 km and fledgling seabirds grounded in response to artificial light 15 km away. This is particularly in relation to lights onshore rather than offshore due to the use of visual cues to find the ocean being disrupted. Although the operational area is close to several nesting beaches, the closest being Barrow Island (8.4 km away) the light source will be offshore so it is less likely to disturb the sea finding behaviour of turtles. The activities will also be intermittent and short in duration so impacts will be minor. Additional vessel lighting reviews (CM-03) during green turtle hatchling periods will also reduce the potential impacts to green turtles on Barrow Island which is the closest nesting beach and ensure that the objectives of the Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow islands marine conservation reserves 2007-2017 can be met whereby turtle hatchlings and seabird and shorebird breeding and feeding areas are not significantly affected by the VBA.</p> <p>Cetaceans and marine mammals are not known to be significantly attracted to light sources at sea; therefore, disturbance to behaviour is unlikely. Indirect impacts on food sources or habitats also unlikely (see below).</p> <p>Fish, sharks and birds have been shown to be attracted to artificial light sources; however, the short duration of VBA is unlikely to lead to large-scale changes in species abundance or distribution. Impacts to transient fish, sharks and seabirds will therefore be limited to short-term behavioural effects with no decrease in local population size or area of occupancy of species, loss or disruption of critical habitat, or disruption to the breeding cycle.</p> <p>Due to management controls in place, the artificial lighting associated with the VBA is considered to have a negligible impact on fauna, including the breeding success of seabird and marine turtle populations for operational areas A and B.</p> <p>Due to the proximity of operational area C to nesting and breeding in the AMP, the consequence rating is slightly higher, and as a result there may be a minor consequence to turtle nesting and seabird roosting/breeding.</p> |
| Physical environment/habitat | Not applicable – No impacts to physical environments and/or habitats from light emissions are expected. |
| Threatened ecological communities | Not applicable – No threatened ecological communities identified in the area over which light emissions are expected. |

| Receptor | Consequence level |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Protected areas | Not applicable - Light glow may impact sensitive receptors within the Montebello Commonwealth AMP but will be restricted to localised and temporary impacts mentioned to marine fauna above and not the protected area habitat itself. Potential light emissions affecting the nearby marine parks (Eighty Mile Beach AMP at operational area A and Barrow Island MP at operational area C) were assessed as not having an adverse effect on the on the values and sensitivities that the protected areas have been established for due to the limited duration and the nature of the proposed VBA and the control measures proposed. |
| Socio-economic receptors | Not applicable – lighting is not expected to cause an impact to socio economic receptors other than as a visual cue for avoidance of the area. |
| Overall worst-case consequence level | Operational area A: I - Negligible |
| | Operational area B: I - Negligible |
| | Operational area C: II - Minor; higher consequence level due to proximity to the Montebello Islands Marine Park |

6.2.5 Demonstration of as low as reasonably practicable

With the described controls, the consequence of artificial light on marine fauna and seabirds is considered to be negligible for operational areas A and B with insignificant impacts to ecological function. No population level impacts are expected, and the consequence is considered environmentally acceptable. For operational area A, the flatback turtle internesting BIA at Eighty Mile Beach overlaps the operational area by 2km. As a result there is a very small area in which flatback turtles may be affected from October to March (during nesting). This however is not expected to impact the population or impact individuals over an extended period. The consequence of artificial light is considered slightly higher for operational area C due to its close proximity to the Montebello Islands and Barrow Island and its overlap with several turtle BIAs.

Avoiding periods of higher sensitivity nesting periods for turtles is not considered feasible for all species. The operational areas overlap with a number of BIAs for turtle species that will be nesting from August to April/May (**Table 3-15**), this leaves a very small window of opportunity within which to conduct activities. Coupled with the fact that some of the proposed VBA are required to be conducted all year round (e.g. metocean surveys) to ensure an adequate baseline, or are required within a set timeframe prior to MODU arrival to ensure safe placement of MODU legs. This restricts the timeframe within which surveys must be completed. Given the low potential impacts to individual fauna, there is not expected to be an impact at population level or significant impacts on migratory or nesting behaviours.

Best practice lighting management will be implemented for the activity and will be checked during a pre-mobilisation review (CM-03) to ensure that no unnecessary lighting is on the vessel during the period of green turtle hatching to minimise the potential impacts to the Barrow Island rookery.

The Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017) specifies the following priority actions for the Pilbara genetic stock of flatback turtles and NWS genetic stock of green turtles in relation to light pollution: Artificial light within or adjacent to habitat critical to the survival of marine turtles will be managed such that marine turtles are not displaced from these habitats. Although the operational area A and C overlap internesting BIAs for turtles, lighting from the transient planned activity is not expected to impact aggregating adults or internesting and nesting behaviour and therefore displacement will not occur and the habitat critical to survival of the species will only be affected for a short term duration and not at levels that could result in impacts at a population level.

The increased risks/impacts with potentially larger scale consequences associated with reduced light levels are considered to present a cost that is grossly disproportionate to any environmental benefit. Given that

lighting on the vessels will be consistent with industry standards and will result in negligible/minor consequences, and that no reasonably practicable additional controls or alternatives were identified, it is considered that the environmental impacts of using 24-hour artificial lighting at an intensity to allow work to proceed safely are ALARP. The assessed residual consequence for this impact is Minor (operational area C) and cannot be reduced further. Additional CMs were considered but rejected since the associated cost or effort was grossly disproportionate to any benefit, as detailed in **Section 6.2.3**. It is considered therefore that the impact of the activities conducted are acceptable and ALARP.

6.2.6 Acceptability evaluation

| | |
|---|--|
| Is the consequence ranked as I or II? | Yes – maximum consequence from light emissions is II (Minor) for operational area C and I (Negligible) for operational areas A and B. |
| Is further information required in the consequence assessment? | No – potential impacts and risks are well understood through the information available. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with the principles of ESD? | Yes – activity evaluated in accordance with Offshore Division Environmental Hazard Identification and Assessment Guideline which considers principles of ESD. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with relevant legislation, international agreements and conventions, guidelines and codes of practice (including species recovery plans, threat abatement plans, conservation advice and AMP zoning objectives)? | Yes – management consistent with International Convention of the <i>Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS) 1974 and the Navigation Act 2012</i> . Consistent with relevant species recovery plans, conservation management plans and management actions set out in Table 3-12 , including but not limited to: + National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife Including Marine Turtles, Seabirds and Migratory Shorebirds (DoEE, 2020) + Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (2017) + North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan (2018). |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy? | Yes – aligns with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with stakeholder expectations? | Yes – no stakeholder concerns have been raised. |
| Are performance standards such that the impact or risk is considered to be ALARP? | Yes – see ALARP above. |

Lighting of the vessels is industry standard and required to meet relevant maritime and safety regulations. The potential consequences of the anthropogenic light sources in the operational areas are considered to be insignificant in nature and restricted to short-term behavioural impacts on individual fauna that may be present in the operational area during the activity.

Although the operational areas overlap BIAs for internesting turtles, lighting from the planned VBA is not expected to impact aggregating adults. Significant impacts are not expected on fauna, including nesting turtles or hatchlings and will not cause turtles to be displaced from these habitats. The Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017) specifies the following priority actions for the Pilbara genetic stock of flatback turtles and NWS genetic stock of green turtles in relation to light pollution:

- + Artificial light within or adjacent to habitat critical to the survival of marine turtles will be managed such that marine turtles are not displaced from these habitats (CM-03 ensures lighting is managed

appropriately in operational area C which is ~8km from important turtle nesting beaches on Barrow Island).

- + The potential consequence of light emissions on receptors is assessed as Minor (II). With the CMs in place, including compliance with navigational safety legislation, no significant impacts are expected. Therefore, the impacts of light emissions to the receiving environment are ALARP and considered environmentally acceptable.

6.3 Atmospheric emissions

6.3.1 Description of event

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Event | <p>Potential atmospheric emissions include greenhouse gases (GHG), such as carbon dioxide (CO₂) and nitrous oxide (N₂O), non-GHGs such as sulphur oxides (SOX), oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) and ozone depleting substances (ODS) resulting from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + use of fuel to power vessel engines, generators and equipment + incineration generating point source emissions including CO₂, carbon monoxide (CO), NO_x, sulphur dioxide (SO₂) and particulates + ODS should leaks occur from refrigeration and chiller systems on vessels. |
| Extent | <p>Localised: The quantities of gaseous emissions are relatively small and will, under normal circumstances, quickly dissipate into the surrounding atmosphere.</p> |
| Duration | <p>Intermittent for the duration of the activities.</p> |

6.3.2 Nature and scale of environmental impacts

Potential Receptors: Physical environment (air quality).

The potential impacts from the release of air emissions identified above include:

- + deterioration of local and regional air quality
- + contribution to regional, national and global greenhouse gas emissions.

Physical environment

Hydrocarbon combustion may result in a temporary, localised reduction of air quality in the environment immediately surrounding the discharge point during the activity, which could affect seabirds and humans in the immediate vicinity. The combustion emission of GHGs can lead to a reduction in local air quality and add to the national GHG loading, which could in turn contribute to climate change. Non-GHGs may be toxic, odoriferous or aesthetically displeasing.

Ozone-depleting substances are used in closed refrigeration systems on board vessels. Ozone-depleting substances have the potential to contribute to ozone-layer depletion if accidentally released to the atmosphere. Ozone-depleting substances are not used, generated or discharged by vessel activity other than what is incidentally located and used in closed systems on board vessels. ODS will not be deliberately released during the course the activity. ODS air emissions would only occur in the event of damaged or faulty refrigeration equipment.

Based on the information available, the atmospheric emissions that are a key focus in terms of potential environmental impacts are:

- + GHG (principally CO₂)
- + oxides of nitrogen.

6.3.3 Environmental performance outcomes and control measures

The EPOs relating to this hazard are:

- + Reduce impacts to air and water quality from planned discharges and emissions from the activities (EPO-03).
- + No unplanned objects, emissions or discharges to sea or air (EPO-06).

The CMs for this activity are shown in **Table 6-13** with EPS and measurement criteria for the EPOs described in **Section 8**.

Table 6-13: Control measures evaluation for atmospheric emissions

| CM Reference | Control Measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Standard CMs | | | | |
| CM-04 | Vessel planned maintenance system | Reduces emissions from vessels because equipment operating within its parameters. | Operational costs and labour/access requirements of undertaking vessels maintenance. | Adopted – benefits of operating equipment within operational parameters will help maintain vessel fuel efficiency. |
| CM-05 | Fuel oil management | Reduces emissions through use of low sulphur fuel in accordance with Marine Order 97. | Operational costs of refuelling. | Adopted – environmental benefit outweighs the costs. |
| CM-06 | International Air Pollution Prevention (IAPP) Certificate | Reduces probability of potential impacts to air quality due to ODS emissions, high NOx, SOx and incineration emissions. | Vessel has current IAPP Certificate as per vessel class, during vessel contracting procedure and in pre-mobilisation audits/inspections. | Adopted – under Marine Orders, the vessel must be compliant to operate in Australian waters. |
| CM-07 | Waste incineration management | Reduce potential impacts to air quality due to waste incineration. | Increase in health risk from storage of wastes. Increase in risk due to transfers (increased fuel usage, potential increase in collision risk, disposal on land). | Adopted – environmental benefit outweighs the costs associated with transporting waste to shore for landfill. |
| Additional CMs | | | | |
| N/A | No incineration during vessel-based operations activities | Eliminate the potential for emissions due to waste incineration to impact air quality. | Increase in health risk from storage of wastes. Increase in risk due to transfers (increased fuel usage, potential increase in collision risk, disposal on land). | Rejected – health and safety risks outweigh the benefit given the offshore location. Cost associated with transporting waste to shore for landfill and/or incineration outweighs on-board incineration. |

| CM Reference | Control Measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|--------------|---|--|---|---|
| N/A | Removal of all ODS-containing equipment | Eliminates potential of ODS emissions occurring, impacting on air quality. | Lack of refrigeration systems on-board the vessels would lead to unacceptable workplace conditions. It is noted that ODS is rarely found on vessels. | Rejected – based on unacceptable workplace conditions (health and safety). |
| N/A | Alternative fuel type (non-hydrocarbon based) selected for the vessel | Could reduce level of pollutants released to the environment during fuel combustion. | Practical and reliable alternative fuel types and power sources for the vessel have not been identified. If an alternative was available, vessels have fuel specification for equipment. Change of fuel may require further modifications to equipment. | Rejected – not feasible. |
| N/A | Use incinerators and engines with higher environmental efficiency | Improves air quality by more efficient burning or fuel combustion. | Significant cost in changing unknown vessel equipment. | Rejected – cost grossly disproportionate to low environmental benefit (impact rated negligible). |

6.3.4 Environment impact assessment

| Key receptors | Consequence level |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Atmospheric emissions | |
| Threatened/migratory fauna | Emissions are relatively small and will, under normal circumstances, quickly dissipate into the surrounding atmosphere. Short-term behavioural impacts to seabirds could be expected if they overfly the location; they may avoid the area. No decrease in local population size or area of occupancy of species, loss or disruption of critical habitat, disruption to the breeding cycle or introduction of disease. Therefore, any potential impacts are not expected to result in a decrease in local population size or disruption to the breeding cycle in all operational areas (I - negligible). |
| Physical environment/habitat | The activity may result in the deterioration of local and regional air quality. Gaseous and particulate emissions will, under normal circumstances, quickly dissipate into the surrounding atmosphere. |
| Threatened ecological communities | Not applicable – no threatened ecological communities identified in the area over which air emissions are expected. |
| Protected areas | Operational area C intersects the Montebello Islands Marine Park. Potential impacts to fauna that contribute to marine park values addressed above. No impacts to other sensitive values identified in the Montebello Marine Park Management Plan (DNP, 2018). Potential atmospheric emissions affecting the adjacent marine park (Eighty Mile Beach AMP at operational area A) was assessed as not having an adverse effect on the values and sensitivities that the protected area has been established for due to the limited duration and the nature of the proposed VBA and the control measures proposed. |
| Socio-economic receptors | As the activity occurs in offshore waters, the combustion of fuels in such remote locations will not impact on air quality in coastal towns or large human settlements. The emissions will, under normal circumstances, quickly dissipate into the surrounding atmosphere. The highly dispersive nature of local winds (in other words, strong and consistent) is expected to reduce potentially harmful or ‘noticeable’ gaseous concentrations within a short distance from the vessel and therefore will not impact on other marine users in the vicinity. Atmospheric emissions will add to the global inventory of GHGs; however, they and non-GHGs are not expected to have any local environmental consequences. |
| Overall worst-case consequence level | I – Negligible for all operational areas |

6.3.5 Demonstration of as low as reasonably practicable

Power generation through combustion of fossil fuels is essential to undertaking the VBA either by vessel or power generation. Given the routine maintenance of these systems by suitably qualified personnel, all practicable management measures are considered to have been implemented, and the likelihood of significant impacts occurring have been reduced to ALARP.

Implementation of a zero-incineration policy on the vessels would result in significant costs associated with the transport of waste to shore for disposal. Further transportation of the waste to shore would increase the environmental impacts and risks associated with the VBA through increased vessel movements and generate greater volumes of emissions associated with the vessel movements. Since incineration is a permitted maritime operation in accordance with Marine Order 97 (reflecting MARPOL Annex VI requirements) it is considered ALARP.

Lack of refrigeration systems (in other words, air conditioning) would lead to unacceptable workplace conditions and poor food hygiene standards, limiting the ability to undertake the activities. Therefore, there is no practical alternative to using refrigeration.

The MARPOL standards and AMSA marine orders are considered to be the most appropriate standards for vessels to adhere to in this environment, given the nature and scale of the activities, and they are widely used by the industry. These include regulations controlling the level of NOx and SOx from vessel engines. Compliance with these requirements together with implementation of the controls listed above reduces the environmental impacts associated with air emissions to ALARP.

The assessed residual consequence for this impact is negligible (I) for all operational areas and cannot be reduced further. Additional CMs were considered but rejected since the associated cost/effort was grossly disproportionate to any benefit. It is considered therefore that the impact of the activities conducted is ALARP.

6.3.6 Acceptability evaluation

| | |
|---|---|
| Is the consequence ranked as I or II? | Yes – maximum consequence from atmospheric emissions is I (Negligible) for all operational areas. |
| Is further information required in the consequence assessment? | No – potential impacts and risks are well understood through the information available. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with the principles of ESD? | Yes – activity evaluated in accordance with Offshore Division Environmental Hazard Identification and Assessment Guideline which considers principles of ESD. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with relevant legislation, international agreements and conventions, guidelines and codes of practice (including species recovery plans, threat abatement plans, conservation advice and AMP zoning objectives)? | Yes – management consistent with Convention of the SOLAS 1974, <i>Navigation Act 2012</i> . No plans identified atmospheric emissions like those described above as being a threat to marine fauna or habitats. The activity is compliant with requirements of the North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan (2018). |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy? | Yes – aligns with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with stakeholder expectations? | Yes – no stakeholder concerns have been raised regarding this aspect. |
| Are performance standards such that the impact or risk is considered to be ALARP? | Yes – see ALARP above. |

Atmospheric emissions from vessels are permissible under the *Protection of the Sea (Prevention of Pollution from Ships) Act 1983*, which is enacted in Australian waters by Marine Order 97 (Marine pollution prevention – air pollution) (which also reflects MARPOL Annex VI requirements). This is an internationally accepted standard that is utilised industry wide, and compliance with MARPOL standards is considered to be an appropriate management measure in this case.

The overall impacts to the atmosphere and sensitive receptors are expected to be negligible (I) if the emission management is adhered to and impacts from emissions that are generated by the activity are considered environmentally acceptable.

6.4 Seabed and benthic habitat disturbance

6.4.1 Description of event

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Event | <p>Disturbance to the seabed and benthic habitats could potentially occur as a result of the following activities undertaken at each VBA location (up to 12 campaigns per year):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Geotechnical surveys: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Placement of seabed mounted drilling rig (approximate footprint of 20 to 25 m²). – collection of core samples via seabed mounted drilling rig to an approximate maximum depth of 40 m and small core diameter (approximately 100 mm) it is expected that only minor volumes of sediments and turbidity will be generated (approximately <1 m³ per sampling borehole). Up to five per location may be required. – Shallow cores (vibrocoring, CPTs) to depths of <20 m with small core diameter (approximately 25 to 36 mm). Up to ten sampling boreholes per location may be required. – Collection of grab sediment samples which is expected to disturb an area approximately 1.5 m deep and 1 m² area per sample. Up to eight samples per location may be required. + Metrology surveys: From the placement of transponders which will be weighted onto the seafloor using sand bags, with a total disturbance of approximately 1 m² per transducer and receiver. + ROV/AUV and diver surveys: Turbidity and increased sedimentation due to the use of ROVs (thrusters) AUVs, divers and placement of equipment. + Other surveys: Deployment of equipment (for example, plankton nets, towed equipment) will result in some additional water turbidity. + Deployment of metocean equipment tethered to the seabed. + Vessel anchoring (non-routine). <p>This may result in minor seabed disturbance, sedimentation or water quality impacts (in other words, increased turbidity).</p> |
| Extent | Localised: within each operational area. |
| Duration | For operational life of the activity. |

6.4.2 Nature and scale of environmental impacts

Potential Receptors: Physical environment (water quality and benthic habitats), threatened/migratory fauna (marine reptiles, sharks, fish and rays), protected and significant areas (marine parks).

VBA's described above may cause the following impacts:

- + Direct physical disturbance of benthic and seabed habitat, including benthic fauna by equipment during sediment sampling (grabs, cores, drilling) and the temporary placement of equipment (transponders, seabed mounted drilling rigs, metocean equipment, etc).
- + Geotechnical activities and generation of displaced materials during rotary drilling will produce minor amounts of suspended sediments in the water column, some minor localised increase in turbidity, and may lightly smother localised infauna and epifauna.
- + The extent of accumulated material at seabed will depend on the depth of the borehole and ambient environmental energy (for example, currents, influence of swell and tidal energies). The offshore environment is a high-energy, open water environment and accumulation of materials from geotechnical activities is expected to be limited.

Physical environment

The use of equipment for the survey will directly contact the seafloor and will inevitably result in very localised impact (direct and indirect) to water quality, seabed features and the benthic environment in the operational area. The highest significance VBA resulting in seabed disturbance is geotechnical activities. Environmental impacts would be directly associated with placement of the seabed mounted rig, coring the seabed causing direct loss of benthic habitat in the sampling footprint, and secondary impacts due to deposition of materials at seabed and/ or localised turbidity. Given the diameter of a geotechnical core is expected to be approximately 100 mm in diameter, a surface area of approximately 0.005 m² per core (1 m³ volume), the impacts to seabed are expected to be highly localised and of short duration. CPTs and grab samples would be over much smaller areas.

Temporary or permanent direct loss of benthic habitat and associated biota and degradation of water quality may potentially occur during survey activities.

The benthic biota around the operational areas are very similar to that of the wider region, with a low species abundance and high species richness. No significant seabed features or biota have been found in the immediate region surrounding each operational area.

The scale of potential habitat loss and seabed disturbance from localised vessel survey activities is small in comparison to the vast size of soft substrata habitats spanning the NWS and limestone pavement habitats in the region of operations. The relatively small disturbance area (<1 m³ volume for each activity) from these planned activities will therefore not have a significant impact on benthic biota or habitat. The holes from coring and sediment samples will also infill over time.

Indirect impacts associated with a temporary (several hours) and localised (within tens of metres) decline in water quality due to increased suspended sediments or sedimentation of the seabed are not expected to affect any values and sensitivities of regional importance. It is not considered that localised impacts within the operational area will result in significant indirect impacts (in other words, turbidity) to nearby marine reserves, offshore reefs or islands given their distance from the activity.

UXO may be present in operational area C due to its overlap with the department of defence West Australia Exercise Area, although it should be noted that UXO can be found in most Australian states and territories including areas where Santos and other oil and gas operators have been operating for a number of years without any instances of UXO detonation. The accidental detonation of a UXO that has lain undisturbed for several decades is a rare event. Their exact locations are unknown, therefore the advice from DoD (**Section 4**) is cautionary.

Threatened/migratory fauna

Habitat modification is identified as a potential threat to a number of marine fauna species in relevant Recovery Plans and Conservation Advice (**Table 3-12**). Disturbance of the seabed is not anticipated to significantly affect mobile marine fauna, such as marine mammals, marine reptiles, fish, sharks and rays. The area of seabed to be disturbed within the operational area also represents a negligible portion of the habitat available for these species. No decrease in local population size, area of occupancy of species, loss or disruption of critical habitat or disruption to the breeding cycle of any of these protected matters is expected.

BIAs for marine turtles occur within the operational areas, including the loggerhead turtle, green, flatback and hawksbill turtles (**Table 6-2**). However, interesting activities typically occur within shallower waters. The habitat present within the operational areas is representative of habitats within the broader BIA and the region. Permanent displacement of habitat from seabed disturbance is not expected due to the small scale of the activity.

Fish, sharks and rays may also forage in the soft sediments for marine invertebrates. However, given the small scale of the activity (<1 m³ for each activity) and the regional availability of habitat, seabed and benthic habitat disturbance is not expected to affect these species.

Protected and significant areas

Operational area C intersects the Montebello AMP (Multiple Use Zone – IUCN Category VI) and operational area A is adjacent to the Eighty Mile Beach AMP boundary (Multiple Use Zone - IUCN Category VI). Therefore, seabed and benthic habitat disturbance may occur within or immediately adjacent to the marine parks. The conservation values of the marine parks (as described in **Section 3.2.2**) that may be directly impacted include:

- + foraging areas for marine turtles which are adjacent to important nesting sites
- + seafloor habitats and communities of the NWS.

Impacts to these values from seabed disturbance are discussed above, are very localised and short-lived and not expected to significantly impact the conservation values of the AMPs. Foraging areas for marine turtles are usually seagrass dominated, and around reefs which are not present within the operational areas, the seabed in the operational areas is unlikely to support an abundance of food source for these species.

6.4.3 Environmental performance outcomes and control measures

EPOs relating to this hazard include:

- + Seabed disturbance is limited to the extent required for sampling or anchoring (EPO-04).
- + No unplanned objects, emissions or discharges to sea or air (EPO-06).

The CMs considered for this activity are shown in **Table 6-14**. EPSs and measurement criteria for the EPOs are described in **Section 8**.

Table 6-14: Control measures evaluation for seabed and benthic habitat disturbance

| CM Reference | Control Measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|--------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Standard Control Measure | | | | |
| CM-08 | Anchoring and equipment deployment management | Requires using Santos-approved anchor locations within the operational area, except in the case of an emergency, to prevent further seabed disturbance. | No additional costs to Santos other than negligible personnel costs of reviewing information in an emergency situation. | Adopted - Benefits of using existing moorings prevents further disturbance. |
| CM-25 | Operations chemical selection procedure | Aids in the process of chemical management that reduces the impact of chemical discharges to sea if lubricants are required for geotechnical drilling. Only environmentally acceptable products are used. | Cost associated with implementation of procedure. Range of chemicals reduced with potentially higher costs for alternative products. | Adopted – Environmental benefit of using lower toxicity chemicals outweighs procedural implementation costs. |

| CM Reference | Control Measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|--|
| CM-16 | Dropped object recovery | Requires dropped objects to be recovered (where safe and practicable to do so unless the environmental consequences are negligible). | Additional personnel and vessel costs to plan and undertake if safe and practicable to do so. | Adopted – Benefits of recovering dropped objects where safe and practicable unless the environmental consequences are negligible to do so outweigh the costs. |
| CM-17 | Dropped object prevention procedures | Implementation of a dropped object prevention procedure for equipment deployment helps to minimise impacts and extent of seabed disturbance through standards for lifting equipment inspection and maintenance and procedures for lifting. | No additional costs to Santos other than negligible personnel costs of reviewing information. | Adopted – Helps to minimise impacts and extent of seabed disturbance. |
| Additional CMs | | | | |
| N/A | Take fewer samples | Impacts to the seabed are reduced. | Substantial cost to the quality of survey data obtained. | Rejected – cost outweighs the benefit. |
| CM-27 | Samples are not taken from the Ancient Coastline at 125 m depth contour KEF | Ensures no impacts to the KEF which has been defined within operational area A. | Additional planning around the placement of well locations prior to VBA taking place. | Adopted – Helps to minimise impacts and extent of seabed disturbance. |
| CM-32 | Recover all equipment | Prevents ongoing impact to the seabed due to equipment being left in situ | Minimal additional cost to recover equipment | Adopted – Helps to minimise impacts and extent of seabed disturbance. |

| CM Reference | Control Measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|--------------|--|--|---|--|
| N/a | UXO survey undertaken in Operational area C where the activity could occur within the WAEA (Figure 3-21) | Aids in the detection of ferrous metals which could be a potential UXO. If a UXO is present, any proposed activities that would disturb the UXO and potentially detonate it could be avoided and therefore impacts to personnel, the environment and equipment could be avoided. | Additional cost of ~100K for equipment and vessel hire to undertake a survey (to detect ferrous items) at a proposed future well location. This equipment has limitations in that it cannot differentiate whether a ferrous object is a UXO or something else. If the survey detects an unidentified ferrous object and the planned future well location (to be drilled under a separate EP) has to be moved this would result in additional costs of ~500K for engineering drilling equipment and MODU time to correct the well path (as the well would then be directionally drilled). | Rejected – Additional cost to mobilise equipment which is not able to categorically determine if UXO are present (only detects ferrous items). The cost to undertake a specific UXO detection survey is considered disproportionate to the very low risk. Particularly given the amount of industry and fishing activity that has already occurred, and continues to occur in the area, with no known accidental denotations. Santos has clarified with DoD the relatively small area of overlap with operational area C and the WAEA, acknowledged the risk and considers the risk to be very low with no requirement for additional controls. |

6.4.4 Environmental impact assessment

| Key receptors | Consequence level |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Seabed disturbance | |
| Threatened/migratory fauna | <p>Given the small scale of the activity, minor and short-term nature of indirect impacts and the regional availability of the habitats present, seabed and benthic habitat disturbance is not expected to impact threatened/migratory species. Marine invertebrates may inhabit soft sediments and can contribute to the diet of some fauna. Non-coral benthic invertebrates may be present in the operational area, however there is not expected to be any significant areas of these. Furthermore, the area of soft sediment habitat that is potentially impacted is small compared to the amount of habitat available and therefore the disturbance is not expected to affect prey availability, or protected fauna species.</p> <p>Habitat modification is identified as a potential threat to a number of marine fauna species in relevant Recovery Plans and Conservation Advice (Table 3-12). However, the operational areas have not been identified as a significant habitat that supports any protected species. Impacts will be temporary and the area potentially impacted is small compared to the size of the areas used by these species for foraging. Therefore, no long-term impacts to these species are expected. No decrease in local population size, area of occupancy of species, loss or disruption of critical habitat or disruption to the breeding cycle of any of these protected matters is expected.</p> <p>Given the fact that the activity is proposed in small areas, the activity is short term and the nature of the existing environment is such that there is no benthic habitat providing significant environmental value to threatened or migratory species, the consequence level is considered to be Negligible (I).</p> |
| Physical environment/habitat | <p>Impacts from seabed disturbance are expected to be localised, and indirect impacts may result in short-term increases in turbidity in the immediate vicinity of sediment samples and placement of the equipment. The area of physical environment and habitat that will be impacted during the proposed activities is small compared to the area of similar habitat in the wider environment and is expected to re-establish following disturbance. Given the nature of the habitats within the operational areas that are representative of those within the region, and the localised nature of disturbance, impacts to the physical environment/habitat are assessed as negligible (I).</p> |
| Threatened ecological communities | <p>Not applicable – no threatened ecological communities are identified in the area where seabed disturbance could occur.</p> |
| Protected areas | <p>Operational area C intersects the Montebello Marine Park (Multiple Use Zone - IUCN Category VI). The relevant values of the marine park are not anticipated to be significantly affected by seabed disturbance activities, and therefore the consequence has been assessed as negligible (I).</p> <p>Samples are not to be taken from the Ancient Coastline at 125 m depth contour KEF within operational area A.</p> |
| Socio-economic | <p>Not applicable – disturbance of the seabed and benthic habitat within the operational area will not impact socio-economic receptors such as shipping and tourism. Any minor alteration or modification to habitats is not expected to impact commercial fisheries target species based on the small size of disturbance.</p> <p>No stakeholder concerns have been raised regarding this aspect.</p> |
| Worst case consequence level | I - Negligible for all operational areas |

6.4.5 Demonstration of as low as reasonably practicable

There are no additional practicable alternatives in order to proceed in a successful and safe manner to reduce seabed disturbance associated with the VBA. Management controls and installation procedures are designed to further limit the extent of direct seabed disturbance.

The activities within the operational areas occur in benthic habitats (in other words, primarily soft sediments with little epifauna) that are widely represented at a regional scale on the NWS. Impacts will be localised within the operational areas and in the immediate vicinity of the sediment samples and equipment. The placement of equipment may leave indentations on the seabed and cause a temporary increase in water column turbidity, but this will be limited to the top layer of sediment.

While the possibility of finding small items of UXO in the area cannot be discounted, the risk posed by them is very small. The probability of encountering small arms ammunition, high explosive (HE) naval shells and small bombs is low, even within the WAEA due to the nature of those activities. It is also highly unlikely that any disturbance, other than direct and substantial impact would lead to detonation; even then the probability is that an explosion would not occur. The risk of accidental detonation will become greater if the UXO is either deliberately or accidentally removed from the water and allowed to dry out. Given the nature of the activities proposed and the low likelihood of encountering UXO, no additional controls are considered to be required as the risk is ALARP.

Given the localised nature of activities which may cause seabed and benthic habitat disturbance, and expected rapid recovery time, environmental impacts are expected to be negligible.

The proposed management controls for seabed disturbance are considered appropriate to manage the risk to ALARP.

6.4.6 Acceptability evaluation

| | |
|--|---|
| Is the consequence ranked as I or II? | Yes – maximum consequence to seabed and benthic habitats is I (Negligible) for all operational areas. |
| Is further information required in the consequence assessment? | No – potential impacts and risks well understood through the information available. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with the principles of ESD? | Yes – activity evaluated in accordance with Offshore Division Environmental Hazard Identification and Assessment Guideline which considers principles of ESD. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with relevant legislation, international agreements and conventions, guidelines and codes of practice (including species recovery plans, threat abatement plans, conservation advice and AMP zoning objectives)? | Yes – no plans identified seabed disturbance like those described above as being a threat to marine fauna or habitats. The activity is allowed with the Montebello AMP in accordance the class approval and North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan (2018). |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy? | Yes – aligns with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy. |
| Are performance standards consistent with stakeholder expectations? | Yes – no concerns raised. |
| Are performance standards such that the impact or risk is considered to be ALARP? | Yes – see ALARP above. |

The potential consequence of seabed disturbance on receptors is discussed above and is assessed as negligible (I). With the CMs in place, including compliance with industry standards and legislation, no significant impacts are expected. As such, the risk is considered acceptable.

6.5 Interaction with other marine users

6.5.1 Description of event

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Event | <p>Sources of impact to other marine users may occur as a result of, but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + the vessels moving through the operational area posing collision risk and potential inconvenience + deployed equipment left in situ for longer periods of time to gather data (metocean equipment) + towed equipment. <p>The presence of the activity could potentially inhibit marine user groups, tourism, commercial shipping, fishing and other oil and gas activities.</p> |
| Extent | Each operational area. |
| Duration | Temporary and intermittent interaction with vessels when transiting the operational area. |

6.5.2 Nature and scale of environmental impacts

Potential Receptors: Socio-economic (commercial fishers, tourism, shipping traffic and other oil and gas activities).

Potential impacts to tourism and recreational fisheries include displacement from the area while the vessels are in the operational areas.

Socio-economic

There are three Commonwealth fisheries that overlap with each of the operational areas and are actively fished (**Section 3.2.4**). An analysis of the current fishery closures, depth range of activity, historical fishing effort data, fishing methods and consultation feedback (refer to **Section 4**) has revealed there is a low potential for interaction with commercial fisheries. None of the Commonwealth fisheries identified in **Section 3.2.4** are likely to be active in the operational areas. A number of State commercial fisheries also overlap the operational areas but significant disruption to these fisheries is not expected, given the typical water depths they operate in and the vast areas available to the fisheries.

Operational area A overlaps four shipping fairways which service Port Hedland and Dampier. No shipping fairways overlap operational areas B and C (**Figure 3-22**). Analysis of historical AUSREP shipping data indicates commercial vessels do use each of these general areas; however, this is most likely vessels in the oil and gas industry as activity is mostly located around oil and gas fields with transit to and from ports. Should commercial vessels need to deviate from planned routes to avoid the activity vessel, this may slightly increase transit times and fuel consumption. No concerns have been raised by the shipping industry through consultation or in the past five years relating to disturbance to shipping routes as a result of activities within the region.

Operational area C overlaps the Montebello Australian Marine Park (AMP) multiple use (IUCN VI) zone, which allows for mining (which includes offshore petroleum activities and oil spill response) activities to occur (**Section 1.6.2.1**). Other activities are allowed in this zone and therefore other sea users may be present in the area.

Tourism activities are expected to occur very infrequently in the operational areas. Activities such as snorkelling, diving, surfing and fishing activities are most likely to occur around the Islands nearby operational area C, as is traditional or subsistence fishing. Interaction with tourism and the vessels could occur, potentially resulting in minor deviations from their planned route, which may slightly increase transit times and fuel consumption.

The nearest operating facility to operational area A is Woodside’s Angel oil field and associated infrastructure. The Reindeer offshore platform and associated infrastructure (operated by Santos) is within operational area B. In operational area C, East Spar pipeline is crossed by four pipelines, two flowlines and two umbilicals owned by Chevron (**Figure 3-21**). Interaction with oil and gas related vessels could occur, potentially resulting in minor deviations from their planned route, which may slightly increase transit times and fuel consumption. No concerns have been raised by the shipping industry through consultation.

Some of the survey areas are within the North West Exercise Area and restricted airspace (as advised by DoD during consultation), and unexploded ordnance (UXO) may be present on and in the sea floor within the North West Exercise Area. Notifications are required to DoD to ensure the proposed VBA do not conflict with proposed DoD activities (**Table 8-4**).

AMSA requires a high level of communication during the activities and inclusion of the activity on a notice to mariners, therefore reducing the likelihood of interaction with other sea users. Noting that other users will still be able to access the operational areas during the activity as no exclusions are in place, but usual maritime safe distance to allow concurrent operations will apply.

6.5.3 Environmental performance outcomes and control measures

The EPO relating to this hazard is:

- + Reduce impacts on other marine users through the provision of information to relevant stakeholders such that they are able to plan for their activities and avoid unexpected interference (EPO-05).

The CMs for this activity are shown in **Table 6-15**. EPSs and measurement criteria for the EPOs are described in **Section 8**.

Table 6-15: Control measures evaluation for interaction with other marine users

| Reference No | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Standard control measures | | | | |
| CM-03 | Lighting will be used as required for safe work conditions and navigational purposes | Ensures vessels are seen by other marine users. Reduces risk of environmental impact from vessel collisions due to ensuring safety requirements are fulfilled. Marine Order Part 30: Prevention of Collisions, and with Marine Order Part 21: Safety of Navigation and Emergency Procedures requires vessels to have navigational equipment to avoid collisions. | Negligible costs of operating navigational equipment. Costs associated with vessel fit-out with navigational equipment. | Adopted – The safety benefits (and thus environmental benefits) outweigh the cost. Compliance with Marine Orders are a legislated requirement. |
| CM-09 | Seafarer certification | Requires appropriately trained and competent personnel to navigate vessels to reduce interaction with other marine users. | Costs associated with personnel time in obtaining qualifications. | Adopted – Benefits considered to outweigh costs and is a legislated requirement |

| Reference No | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| CM-02 | Watchkeeping maintained on bridge | Reduce impacts to commercial fisheries by actively avoiding their activities and schooling fish in their vicinity. | Negligible costs. | Adopted – Benefits considered to outweigh costs. |
| CM-10 | Stakeholder consultation | Santos will update relevant stakeholders on a quarterly basis, prior to the activity commencing and upon activity cessation. | Costs associated with personnel time in preparing and distributing information and collating/addressing any feedback provided. | Adopted – Benefits considered to outweigh negligible costs to Santos. |
| CM-11 | No fishing from vessel | Reduce potential impacts to fisheries in the vicinity of the activity. | Negligible costs. | Adopted – Benefits considered to outweigh negligible costs to Santos. |
| Additional control measures | | | | |
| N/A | Eliminate the use of vessels | Would eliminate potential impacts to other marine users. | Not considered feasible as a vessel is the only form of transport that can undertake the activities. | Rejected – Not feasible. |
| N/A | Manage the timing of the survey to avoid peak marine user periods (for example, tourism and recreational fishing) | Would eliminate potential impacts to other marine users. | Not considered feasible as marine users could potentially be in the area all year round. The area that stakeholders are excluded from is small when compared to the area available to other marine users, and there is low fishing activity in the area as evidenced through consultation. | Rejected – Stakeholders in the area all year round. |

6.5.4 Environmental impact assessment

| Key receptors | Consequence Level |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Interaction with other marine users | |
| Threatened/migratory fauna | Not applicable – related to socio-economic receptors only. |
| Physical environment/ habitat | |
| Threatened ecological communities | |
| Protected areas | |
| Socio-economic receptors | Commercial fishing, shipping and tourism in the area is expected to be low. Other marine users currently plan their activities in consideration of other petroleum activities and other marine users (shipping) in the region. AMSA requires a high level of communication during the activity, therefore reducing the likelihood of interaction with other sea users. |
| Overall worst case consequence | I - Negligible for all operational areas |

6.5.5 Demonstration of as low as reasonably practicable

No alternative options to the use of vessels are possible in order to undertake marine based VBA. If the management controls are adhered to, then the risk of interfering with other users of the sea will have been reduced to ALARP.

Stakeholders have been informed of the proposed activities as detailed in **Section 4**. Throughout the duration of EP preparation, details of the activity have been communicated to relevant stakeholders as appropriate. In consultation, stakeholders are made aware of the proposed area from which other marine users may be excluded for the duration of the activity and the potential schedule.

No concerns have been raised by stakeholders regarding the potential exclusion from the proposed operational areas.

The proposed management controls for marine user interaction are considered appropriate to manage the risk to ALARP.

6.5.6 Acceptability evaluation

| | |
|---|---|
| Is the consequence ranked as I or II? | Yes – maximum interaction with other marine users consequence is I (Negligible) for all operational areas. |
| Is further information required in the consequence assessment? | No – potential impacts and risks well understood through the information available. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with the principles of ESD? | Yes – activity evaluated in accordance with Offshore Division Environmental Hazard Identification and Assessment Guideline which considers principles of ESD. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with relevant legislation, international agreements and conventions, guidelines and codes of practice (including species recovery plans, threat abatement plans, conservation advice and AMP zoning objectives)? | Yes – management consistent with the International Convention for the <i>SOLAS 1974</i> and <i>Navigation Act 2012</i> . |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy? | Yes – aligns with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with stakeholder expectations? | Yes – no concerns raised. |
| Are performance standards such that the impact or risk is considered to be ALARP? | Yes – see ALARP above. |

The presence of the vessels is not expected to significantly affect tourism, commercial fishing operations or shipping traffic, given the amount of similar habitat available in the surrounding areas and the various routes that can be taken. If third party operations avoid the operational area, there should be no additional risk of collision, and this risk is therefore acceptable.

The risk level of inhibiting tourism, commercial fishing or shipping operations is therefore considered acceptable in this case, as the vessel will have a collision radar to allow communication between vessels, watchkeeping maintained on bridge and notifications are issued through Australia Hydrographic Office (AHO) and AMSA. In addition, no concerns have been raised by other sea users regarding the proposed activity (**Section 4**).

6.6 Operational discharges

6.6.1 Description of event

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| <p>Event</p> | <p>Planned discharges from vessels to the marine environment include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + deck drainage/run off + sewage and grey water + food wastes + cooling water + bilge water + brine (if a reverse osmosis unit is used for water treatment). <p>Deck drainage/run off</p> <p>Deck drainage from rainfall or wash-down operations would discharge to the marine environment. The deck drainage would contain particulate matter and residual chemicals such as cleaning chemicals, oil and grease.</p> <p>Sewage and greywater</p> <p>The volume of sewage and food waste is directly proportional to the number of persons on-board the vessels. Depending on waste production rates and the specifications of sewage systems available, the total volume of this waste stream generated typically ranges between 0.04 and 0.45 m³ per day per person. Treated sewage/greywater will be disposed in accordance with Marine Order 96.</p> <p>Food waste</p> <p>Putrescible waste is estimated to consist of approximately 1 L of food waste per person per day. The vessel will dispose food waste in accordance with AMSA and Marine Order 95, and MARPOL Annex V.</p> <p>Cooling water</p> <p>Seawater is used as a heat exchange medium for the cooling of machinery engines. Cooling water temperatures vary, dependent upon the vessel's engines' work load and activity.</p> <p>Bilge water</p> <p>While in the operational area, the vessel may discharge oily water after treatment at a concentration of up to 15 ppm through an approved oily water filter system required by Marine Order 91.</p> <p>Brine</p> <p>If a reverse osmosis unit is used for water treatment, waste brine generated will be discharged to the ocean at a salinity of approximately 10% higher than seawater. The volume of the discharge is dependent on the requirement for fresh (or potable) water and demand based on the number of people on-board.</p> |
| <p>Extent</p> | <p>Localised: The small volumes of non-hazardous discharges may cause localised nutrient enrichment, organic and particulate loading, toxic impacts to marine fauna, thermal impacts and increased salinity in waters around discharge points and in the direction of the prevailing current. The environment that may be affected by operational discharges will likely be contained within the operational area, and are predicted to be restricted to within approximately 100 m of the discharge point in the upper 5 m of the water column.</p> |
| <p>Duration</p> | <p>During the period of the activity, localised impacts to water quality will occur, however, water quality conditions will return to normal within minutes to hours of cessation of discharges.</p> |

6.6.2 Nature and scale of environmental impacts

Potential Receptors: Physical environment (water quality, benthic habitats), threatened/migratory fauna (marine mammals, marine turtles, sharks, rays and fish (pelagic) and seabirds).

6.6.2.1 Physical environment

Planned non-hazardous discharges will be small in volume and continuous, with volumes dependent on a range of variables. The discharge of non-hazardous wastes to the marine environment will result in a localised reduction in water quality. This would be expected to be temporary (minutes to hours), localised and limited to surface waters (less than 5 m depth). The discharges are expected to be dispersed and diluted rapidly, with concentrations of wastes significantly dropping with distance from the discharge point. Changes to ambient water quality outside of the operational area are considered unlikely to occur.

Specifics of potential impacts to water quality from vessel discharges are as follows.

Eutrophication impacts from sewage, greywater and putrescible food wastes.

Sewage liquids and grey water discharges to the ocean from the vessel can cause water discolouration, localised nutrient enrichment, increase in water column productivity of phytoplankton and bacteria, or oxygen depletion from increased biological oxygen demand around the discharge. Liquid sewage generally contains more than 99% fresh water with trace contaminants and nutrients such as organic carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus, which could cause toxicity impacts to the marine environment, as well as suspended solids and bacterial organisms which could transmit disease to marine fauna and humans.

Dispersion and dilution of discharges is expected to be rapid in the open ocean environment as the discharges are of low volume and short duration, from a vessel that will be moving for the majority of the activity. The discharges will be subject to biodegradation of organics through bacterial action, oxidation and evaporation.

Salinity increases

The desalination of seawater results in a discharge of brine with a slightly elevated salinity (around 10% higher than seawater). On discharge to the sea, the desalination brine, being of greater density than seawater, will sink and disperse in the currents. On average, seawater has a salt concentration of 35,000 ppm. The volume of the discharge is dependent on the requirement for fresh (or potable) water and the number of people on board.

Changes to seawater salinity can play a significant role in the growth and size of aquatic life and the marine species disturbance, either in a beneficial way (for example, shellfish) or in an adverse way.

According to some studies about the effects of changes in the salinity of sea water on marine organisms, the primary and apparent changes might occur firstly in mobile species such as plankton and fish; the reaction will be highest in those organisms with a plankton stage in their life history (Hiscock *et al.*, 2004, cited in Danoun, 2007). However, impacts differ between different sorts of organism. In some fish, juvenile stages are more vulnerable to salinity changes than the adult generation.

Most marine species are able to tolerate short-term fluctuations in salinity in the order of 20 to 30% (Walker and McComb, 1990), and it is expected that most pelagic species would be able to tolerate short-term exposure to the slight increase in salinity caused by the discharged brine.

Given the relatively low volume, temporary and intermittent nature of brine discharges from the vessels, the impact on water quality in the operational area is expected to be low. There is no relationship between the level of salinity and biological or chemical oxygen demand of the discharged concentrate – over 80% of the minerals that encompass concentrate salinity are sodium and chloride, and they are not food sources or nutrients for aquatic organisms.

Changes in water temperature

Cooling water will be discharged at a temperature above ambient seawater temperature. Upon discharge, it will be subjected to turbulent mixing and transfer of heat to the surrounding waters.

Temperature dispersion modelling shows that the water temperature of discharged water will decrease rapidly as it mixes with the receiving waters, with discharge waters being less than 1°C above background levels within less than 100 m (horizontally) of the discharge point. Vertically, the discharge will be within background levels within 10 m (Woodside, 2008).

Several studies have been performed in order to determine how the distribution and abundance of marine flora and fauna species react to a change in temperature. Temperature can have an influence on the growth and reproduction of marine species. Mobile species such as plankton and fish are the first and most likely sort of marine life to be influenced due to changes in the seawater temperature (Hiscock *et al*, 2004 cited in Danoun, 2007). Temperature increase can have a positive effect on reproduction and growth rate but also lead to a shorter lifespan depending on the species affected and the extent of temperature change.

Cooling water discharge points vary between vessels. However, they all adopt the same discharge design that permits cooling water to be discharged above the water line, in order to facilitate cooling and oxygenation of this wastewater stream before mixing with the surrounding marine environment. Given the relatively low volume of cooling water, the temperature differential and the open ocean water surrounding the vessel, the impact on water quality is expected to be low and short-term.

Contamination from releases of bilge water and deck drainage

Discharges of oily bilge water could result in a localised reduction in water quality with impacts on protected marine fauna and plankton. However, oily water discharged from vessels will be treated to a concentration (<15 ppm) in accordance with Marine Order 91: Marine Pollution Prevention - Oil requirements therefore is unlikely lead to any impacts to the receiving environment. Given the concentration and dosage of exposed receptors within surface waters (for example, plankton, fish) is expected to be very low, impacts to organisms would be on a negligible scale.

Given that oil and grease residues in oily water drainage will be in low concentrations, the potential for impact is low and would be further reduced due to the strong tidal movements experienced in the region and the naturally turbid environment. Dispersion and biodegradation of potentially contaminated oily water drainage is expected to be rapid and highly localised resulting in no long-term or adverse effects on water quality or marine ecology. An initial dilution of 100:1 is expected to occur from within metres to tens of metres from the discharge location.

Toxicity

Discharges from vessel systems may include chemicals within sewage systems, greywater, desalination and residues of those used for cleaning decks.

On discharge to the marine environment, the low volumes of these types of chemicals are expected to rapidly disperse in the offshore marine environment. Hence, any potential impacts would be confined to a localised area immediately surrounding the discharge.

There may be a localised and temporary (hours) reduction in water quality in the immediate vicinity of the release. Toxicity impacts to marine fauna from the release of chemicals are unlikely to eventuate because:

- + Strong ocean currents result in the discharge being further diluted upon release to the marine environment, so the duration of exposure of chemicals to fauna will be minimal.
- + Deck cleaning products planned to be released to sea will meet the criteria for not being harmful to the marine environment according to MARPOL Annex V.

- + Potential discharges will be intermittent and temporary within the operational area.

6.6.2.2 Threatened/migratory fauna

As discussed in the sections above, the discharge extent for planned discharges is localised, and rapid dilution is predicted to occur within the open ocean environment. Marine fauna within the operational area are likely to be transient. If contact does occur with any marine fauna, it will be for a short duration due to the rapid dispersion of the plume and the transient nature of fauna movement, such that exposure time may not be of sufficient duration to cause a toxic effect.

Discharges may cause changes to behaviour in marine fauna (in other words, avoidance or attraction). Fishes and oceanic seabirds may be attracted to the discharge of food scraps. However, such discharges would be isolated occurrences and not in any one location, so no prolonged influence on faunal behaviour is expected. Discharges of cooling water and brine may cause avoidance behaviour in marine fauna. Given the nature of the discharges (localised, rapid dilution, intermittent), any behavioural impacts are expected to be short-term and minimal.

6.6.2.3 Protected and significant areas

Montebello AMP

Operational area C intersects the Montebello AMP (Multiple Use Zone - IUCN Category VI). All conservation values of the marine park (as outlined in **Section 3.2.2**) have the potential to be impacted by planned operational discharges through impacts to the physical environment and marine fauna as discussed in the sections above. However, planned operational discharges are not dissimilar to those occurring by multiple other vessels within, adjacent to and outside of the Montebello AMP and further, the nature of such discharges are permitted to occur within the AMP (Director of National Parks 2018) as long as they are in accordance with MARPOL. Thus it is not expected to significantly impact the conservation values of the Montebello AMP due to the scale and duration of the discharges.

Eighty Mile Beach AMP

Operational area A is adjacent to the Eighty Mile Beach AMP (Multiple Use Zone - IUCN Category VI). All conservation values of the marine park (as outlined in **Section 3.2.2**) have the potential to be impacted by planned operational discharges through impacts to the physical environment and marine fauna as discussed in the sections above in a very small proportion of the AMP. However, planned operational discharges are not dissimilar to those occurring by multiple other vessels within, adjacent to and outside of the AMP and further, the nature of such discharges are permitted to occur within the AMP (Director of National Parks 2018) as long as they are in accordance with MARPOL. Thus it is not expected to significantly impact the conservation values of the AMP due to the scale and duration of the discharges.

Barrow Island MMA and MP

The Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow islands marine conservation reserves 2007-2017 requires that sewage is not discharged within 500m of the boundary of the Barrow Island marine park and discharges within the Barrow Island MMA are permitted in accordance with MARPOL. Operational area C is 7.2km from the boundary of the MP and 2.7km from the boundary of the MMA and is therefore compliant with the management plan. Any other operational discharges that occur are unlikely to reach the boundaries of the MMA and MP as they will be dispersed within a few hundred metres.

6.6.3 Environmental performance outcomes and control measures

EPOs relating to this hazard include:

- + Reduce impacts to air and water quality from planned discharges and emissions from the activities (EPO-03).
- + No unplanned objects, emissions or discharges to sea or air (EPO-06).

The CMs considered for this activity are shown in **Table 6-16**. EPSs and measurement criteria for the EPOs are described in **Section 8**.

Table 6-16: Control measures evaluation for operational discharges

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Standard control measures | | | | |
| CM-12 | Vessel sewage system | Reduces potential impacts of inappropriate discharge of sewage. Provides compliance with Marine Order 96, Marine Pollution Prevention – Sewage. | Personnel cost in ensuring vessel certificates are in place during vessel contracting and in premobilisation audits and inspections and in reporting discharge levels. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring vessels are compliant with marine orders, the Management Plan for Montebello/Barrow Islands Marine Conservation Reserves 2007-2017 and the North-west MPNMP outweigh minimal costs of personnel time, and it is a legislated requirement. |
| CM-13 | Vessel oily mixtures system | Reduces potential impacts of planned discharge of oily water to the environment. Provides compliance with Marine Order 91, Marine Pollution Prevention – Oil. | Time and personnel costs in maintaining oil record book. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring vessels are compliant outweigh the minimal costs of personnel time, and it is a legislated requirement. |
| CM-14 | Waste (garbage) management plan | Reduces probability of garbage being discharged to sea, reducing potential impacts to marine fauna. Stipulates putrescible (food) waste disposal conditions and limitations and AMSA Placards displayed on vessels to provide a visual message to personnel about what wastes can be discharged where and improves waste awareness. Provides compliance with Marine Order 95, Marine Pollution Prevention – Garbage. | Personnel cost of premobilisation audits and inspections and of reporting discharge levels. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring vessels are compliant outweigh the minimal costs of personnel time, and it is a legislated requirement. |

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| CM-15 | Deck cleaning product selection procedure | Improves water quality discharge (reduces toxicity) to the marine environment. Those deck cleaning products planned to be released to sea meet the criteria for not being harmful to the marine environment according to MARPOL Annex V. | Personnel costs of implementing. Potential additional cost and delays of deck cleaning product substitution. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring vessels are compliant and that those deck cleaning products planned to be released to sea meet MARPOL criteria outweigh the cost. |
| Additional control measures | | | | |
| N/A | Conduct planned discharges outside of Commonwealth AMPs | Would eliminate potential impacts to sensitive receptors within Commonwealth Marine Parks from sewage and oily water/bilge water. | Increased cost due to additional time to transit out of the vicinity of the Marine Park, when discharges are of a permissible nature. | Rejected Both the Montebello AMP and Eighty Mile Beach AMP are zoned as Multiple Use (VI) therefore discharges are permissible where they comply with marine order requirements. Discharges are also small in volume and minor in nature and therefore of minimal risk, marine fauna will also be expected to occur throughout the NWS and not just within the AMP, and therefore conducting the discharges away from these areas would not significantly reduce the potential impacts on fauna. |
| N/A | Scupper plugs on vessels are continuously in place to prevent deck drainage | Would eliminate potential impacts of contaminants being discharged to sea in rain water. | Increased health and safety risks from wet deck not draining. Large amounts of water on a vessel's deck can also cause stability issues (free-surface effect). | Rejected – Safety considerations outweigh the benefit given small volumes of contaminants. |

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|--------------|--|---|--|--|
| N/A | Mandatory closed drain system on vessels to prevent deck drainage discharged overboard | | Increased cost due to treatment system required, modifications to vessels, storage space required for containment of drained liquids, increase in transfers to vessels resulting in increased potential impacts and risks. Increased transfers result in increased fuel usage, increased safety risks to personnel during transfer (for example, crushing between skips), increase in crane movements. | Rejected – Cost outweighs the benefit given the low impact expected from planned discharges and high potential impacts from risk transfer. |
| N/A | Storage of some wastes on-board vessel (for example, oily water, food waste and sewage) for disposal onshore | Would eliminate discharge to sea, reducing potential impacts to the marine environment. | Storage space required for containment of waste, increase in transfers to vessels resulting in increased potential impacts and risks. Increased transfers results in increased fuel usage, increased safety risks to personnel during transfer (for example, crushing between skips), increase in crane movements. | Rejected – Cost outweighs the benefit given the low impact expected from planned discharges and high potential impacts from risk transfer. Noting that vessels will adhere to Marine Order 95 to ensure waste disposal is undertaken correctly in State/Commonwealth waters. |

6.6.4 Environmental impact assessment

| Key receptors | Consequence level |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Planned operational discharges | |
| Physical environment/habitat | As the activity is located in an open oceanic environment where tides and currents would quickly dilute and disperse the planned discharges, and the activity is short-term (days) |

| Key receptors | Consequence level |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Threatened/migratory fauna | <p>and transient, it is not expected that impacts to the physical environment or fauna will occur.</p> <p>Impacts to water quality will be experienced in the discharge mixing zone which will be localised and will occur only as long as the discharges occur (in other words, no sustained impacts). Therefore, recovery will be measured in hours to days. Changes to water quality may result in an alteration to marine fauna behaviour. Sensitive receptors that may be impacted include fish at surface, marine turtles and mammals, and seabirds. Any effects on water quality are expected to be within the surface waters only and have no effect on seabed receptors.</p> <p>As such, only short-term behavioural impacts are expected with no decrease in local population size or area of occupancy of species, nor loss or disruption of critical habitat, disruption to the breeding cycle or introduction of disease.</p> |
| Threatened ecological communities | Not applicable – no threatened ecological communities are identified in the area where operational discharges are expected to disperse. |
| Protected areas | <p>Operational area C intersects the Montebello Marine Park (Multiple Use Zone - IUCN Category VI). The relevant values of the marine park are not anticipated to be significantly affected by vessel discharges and discharges will occur in accordance with MARPOL (as required by the management plan (DNP, 2018), and therefore the consequence has been assessed as negligible (I).</p> <p>Potential operational discharges affecting the adjacent Eighty Mile Beach AMP at operational area A were assessed as not having an adverse effect on the values and sensitivities that the protected areas have been established for due to the limited duration and the nature of the proposed VBA and the control measures proposed. The relevant values of the marine park are not anticipated to be significantly affected by vessel discharges and discharges will occur in accordance with MARPOL (as required by the management plan (DNP, 2018), and therefore the consequence has been assessed as negligible (I).</p> |
| Socio-economic | <p>Not applicable – no planned operational discharges will occur within areas known to be utilised by third party operators or for tourism and recreation.</p> <p>No impacts to fish stocks are expected to occur. Therefore, there is no conceivable impact to commercial, traditional or recreational fisheries.</p> |
| Overall worst case consequence | I - Negligible for all operational areas |

6.6.5 Demonstration of as low as reasonably practicable

Vessels are required to undertake VBA. The alternative to discharging these small amounts of liquid wastes to the marine environment is to store and transport the wastes to land, where they would be disposed of in line with industry best practice. However, this would result in an increase in environmental impacts through increased fuel consumption and increased atmospheric emissions, both by the vessel (or transport vessel) having to return to port a number of times to unload the wastes and by land transport to the nearest disposal facility. Increased energy consumption and atmospheric emissions would also result from the disposal (for example, incineration, treatment, etc.) of the additional wastes. This method would also result in an increased risk of vessel to platform or vessel-to-vessel collision, which could lead to a marine diesel spill. Therefore, this option would be of no net environmental benefit and would increase the risk associated with the activity, so it has not been adopted.

Therefore, to reduce the impacts and risks associated with discharging liquid wastes, these wastes will be treated in line with industry best practice. Discharge of sewage and other liquid wastes from vessels in

Australian waters is permissible under the *Protection of the Sea (Prevention of Pollution from Ships) Act 1983*, which reflects requirements of MARPOL 73/78 Annexes IV, V and I and AMSA Marine Orders 95 and 96. As discharges will occur in accordance with MARPOL, the activity will be compliant with the North west network marine parks management plan (DNP, 2018) when conducting activities adjacent to the Eighty Mile Beach AMP (operational area A) and within the Montebello AMP (operational area C).

On-board treatment of most wastes and their subsequent discharge to the marine environment is considered to be the most environmentally sound method of disposal, considering that the waste streams will either be treated to a level unlikely to cause significant environmental harm or will be of a nature not considered to pose significant risk to the receiving environment. The proposed management controls for planned operational discharges are considered appropriate to manage the risk to ALARP. Additional controls considered but rejected are in **Section 6.6.3**.

6.6.6 Acceptability evaluation

| | |
|---|---|
| Is the consequence ranked as I or II? | Yes – maximum planned operational discharge consequence is rated I (negligible) for all operational areas. |
| Is further information required in the consequence assessment? | No – potential impacts and risks well understood through the information available. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with the principles of ESD? | Yes – activity evaluated in accordance with Offshore Division Environmental Hazard Identification and Assessment Guideline which considers principles of ESD. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with relevant legislation, international agreements and conventions, guidelines and codes of practice (including species recovery plans, threat abatement plans, conservation advice and AMP zoning objectives)? | Yes – IUCN principles and strategic objectives of nearby reserves (Montebello AMP, Eighty Mile Beach AMP and the marine parks North-west MPNMP) are met. Consistent with relevant species recovery plans, conservation management plans and management actions set out in Table 3-12 , including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (2017) + Recovery Plan for Threatened Albatrosses and Giant Petrels (DSEWPac, 2011a) + Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow Islands Marine Conservation Reserves 2007–2017 + North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan 2018 + Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park Management Plan 80 (2014–2024) |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy? | Yes – aligns with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with stakeholder expectations? | Yes – no concerns raised. |
| Are performance standards such that the impact or risk is considered to be ALARP? | Yes – see ALARP above. |

Release of non-hazardous discharges into the sea from vessels in Australian waters is permissible under the *Protection of the Sea (Prevention of Pollution from Ships) Act 1983*, which reflects MARPOL Annex IV, V and I requirements respectively and is enacted by:

- + Marine Order 91: Marine Pollution Prevention – Oil
- + Marine Order 96: Marine Pollution Prevention – Sewage
- + Marine Order 95: Marine Pollution Prevention – Garbage.

The operational discharges are not expected to significantly impact the receiving environment with management controls proposed, including compliance with all MARPOL requirements. The MARPOL standard is considered to be the most appropriate standard given the nature and scale of the activities. These standards are internationally accepted and utilised industry wide. Therefore, compliance with the relevant and appropriate MARPOL requirements and standards is expected to reduce the potential for environmental impacts to a level which is considered environmentally acceptable.

Deteriorating water quality is identified as a potential threat to turtles in the marine turtle recovery plan and some bird and shark species. However, the operational discharges are not expected to significantly impact the receiving environment with management controls proposed. Therefore, the activities will be conducted in a manner that is considered acceptable.

6.7 Spill response operations

The spill response strategies that may be adopted in the event of a hydrocarbon spill that has been identified in **Section 7.4** are summarised below. Potential impacts arising from the implementation of the following spill response operations/actions have been assessed as planned events in this section.

6.7.1 Description of event

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Event | <p>In the event of a hydrocarbon spill, response strategies will be implemented to reduce environmental impacts to ALARP. The selection of strategies will be undertaken through the net environmental benefit analysis (NEBA) process, outlined in the OPEP. Spill response will be under the direction of the relevant Control Agency, as defined within the OPEP (section 2.2), which may be Santos or another agency or both. In all instances, Santos will undertake a ‘first-strike’ spill response and will act as the Control Agency until the designated Control Agency assumes control. The response strategies selected as appropriate for the worst-case oil spill scenarios identified for the event are detailed in table 3-5 of the OPEP and comprise:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + source control + monitoring and evaluation + mechanical dispersion + shoreline protection and deflection + shoreline clean-up + oiled wildlife response + scientific monitoring + waste management. <p>While response strategies are intended to reduce the environmental consequences of a hydrocarbon spill, poorly planned and coordinated response activities can result in a lack of or inadequate information being available, which can lead to poor decisions being made, thereby exacerbating or causing further environmental harm. An inadequate level of training and guidance during the implementation of spill response strategies can also result in environmental harm over and above that already caused by the spill.</p> <p>The greatest potential for impacts additional to those described for routine operations is from shoreline clean-up and oiled wildlife response operations where coastal and shoreline habitat damage and fauna disturbance may occur.</p> |
| Extent | Extent of spill. |
| Duration | Until termination criteria are met. |

6.7.2 Nature and scale of environmental impacts

Potential Receptors: Physical environment, threatened/migratory fauna, protected areas (Marine Parks, Commonwealth Heritage Place) and socio-economic receptors.

Given spill response operations will be within offshore waters and shorelines, primarily using vessels, the types of impact are consistent with operations described elsewhere within this EP for routine operations. Details of these environmental impacts and risks for spill response operations are outlined in **Table 6-17**.

Table 6-17: Nature and scale of environmental impacts and risks for activities – spill response operations

| Light emissions: | |
|---|---|
| <p>Spill response activities will involve the use of vessels which are required at a minimum, to display navigational lighting. Vessels may operate in close proximity to shoreline areas during spill response activities.</p> <p>Spill response activities will also involve onshore operations including the use of vehicles and temporary camps which may require lighting.</p> | |
| Potential receptors: | Threatened/Migratory Fauna Protected Areas Socio-Economic |
| <p>Lighting may cause behavioural changes to fish, birds and marine turtles which can have a heightened consequence during key life-cycle activities, for example turtle nesting and hatching. Turtles and birds, which includes threatened and migratory fauna, have been identified as key fauna susceptible to lighting impacts; Section 6.2 provides further detail on the nature of impacts to fish, birds and marine turtles.</p> <p>Spill response activities that require lighting may take place in protected areas important to turtles. For example, shoreline locations of the Montebello Islands, Barrow Island and Ningaloo area are seasonally important for turtles. During nesting and hatching season (primarily over summer months) lighting may cause behavioural impacts to turtles, including aborted nesting attempts and disorientation of newly hatched turtles, which may increase mortality rates.</p> <p>Spill response activities may also occur on shorelines used by nesting and feeding birds, including seabirds and shorebirds. Lighting can cause disorientation in flying birds, disrupt nesting and breeding behaviours and impact on the ability of birds to forage. Disturbance to feeding migratory shorebirds may reduce their ability to replenish energy reserves and alter the timing and success of migratory flights.</p> <p>As a consequence of impacts to fauna, lighting has the potential to directly impact supported industries, such as tourism, and indirectly impact the values of protected areas.</p> | |
| Acoustic Disturbance: | |
| <p>Spill response activities will involve the use of aircraft and vessels which will generate noise both offshore and in proximity to sensitive receptors in coastal areas.</p> <p>Spill response activities will also involve the use of equipment on coastal areas during clean-up of shorelines (for example, pumps and vehicles), for accessing shoreline areas (for example, vehicles) and for supporting temporary camps (for example, diesel generators).</p> | |
| Potential receptors: | Threatened/Migratory Fauna Protected Areas Socio-Economic |
| <p>Underwater noise from the use of vessels may impact marine fauna, such as fish (including commercial species), marine reptiles and marine mammals in the worst instance causing physical injury to hearing organs, but more likely causing short term behavioural changes, for example, temporary avoidance of the area, which may impact key life-cycle process (for example, spawning, breeding, calving). Underwater noise can also mask communication or echolocation used by cetaceans. Section 6.1 provides further detail on these impacts from vessels.</p> <p>Whales have been identified as the key concern for vessel noise within the EMBA. The humpback migration BIA and the pygmy blue whale known distribution is within the EMBA for all three operational areas. Spill response activities using vessels have the potential to impact fauna in protected areas, this includes the offshore Ningaloo in the case of operational area C.</p> <p>Noise and vibration from terrestrial activities on shorelines within the EMBA's have the potential to cause behavioural disturbance to coastal fauna including protected seabirds and turtles. Shoreline activities involving the use of noise generating equipment may take place in important nesting areas for turtles and/or roosting/feeding areas for shorebirds.</p> | |

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>As a consequence of impacts to fauna (including shorebirds, marine mammals and fish), noise has the potential to impact supported industries such as tourism and commercial fishing.</p> <p>Noise from aircraft used for surveillance purposes is not expected to cause disturbance to fauna as the aircraft will remain airborne; however, there may be a resulting loss of amenity value through the presence of and noise from aircraft.</p> | |
| <p>Atmospheric emissions:</p> | |
| <p>The use of fuels to power vessel and aircraft engines, generators and mobile equipment used during spill response activities will result in emissions of GHG such as carbon dioxide (CO₂) and nitrous oxide (N₂O), along with non-GHG such as sulphur oxides (SO_x) and nitrous oxides (NO_x). Emissions will result in localised decrease in air quality.</p> | |
| <p>Potential receptors:</p> | <p>Physical Environment/Habitat</p> <p>Threatened/Migratory Fauna</p> <p>Protected Areas</p> |
| <p>Atmospheric emissions from spill response equipment will be localised (apart from aircraft emissions which will rapidly dissipate) and while there is potential for fauna and flora impacts, the use of mobile equipment, vessels and vehicles is not considered to create emissions on a scale where noticeable impacts would be predicted. Emissions may occur in protected areas in the case of operational area C; however, the scale of the impact relative to potential oil spill impacts is not considered great.</p> | |
| <p>Operational discharges and waste:</p> | |
| <p>Operational discharges include those routine discharges from vessels used during spill response which may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + bilge water + deck drainage + putrescible waste and sewage + cooling water from operation of engines + brine. <p>In addition, there are specific spill response discharges and waste creation that may occur, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + cleaning of oily equipment/vessels and vehicles + flushing water for the cleaning of shoreline habitats + sewage/putrescible and municipal waste at camp areas + creation, storage and transport of oily waste and contaminated organics. | |
| <p>Potential receptors:</p> | <p>Threatened/Migratory Fauna</p> <p>Physical Environment/Habitat</p> <p>Protected Areas</p> <p>Socio-Economic</p> |
| <p>Operational discharges from vessels, may create a localised and temporary reduction in marine water quality. Effects include nutrient enrichment, toxicity, turbidity, temperature and salinity increases, as detailed in Section 6.6. These may impact a different set of receptors than previously described in that section given vessel use may occur in shallower coastal waters during spill response activities. Discharge could potentially occur adjacent to marine habitats such as corals, seagrass, macroalgae, and in protected areas (in the case of operational areas B and C) (in other words, receptors anywhere within the EMBA), which support a more diverse faunal community; however, discharges will be very localised and temporary.</p> <p>Cleaning of oil contaminated equipment, vehicles and vessels, has the potential to spread oil from contaminated areas to those areas not impacted by a spill, potentially spreading the impact area and moving oil into a more sensitive environment.</p> <p>Flushing of oil from shoreline habitats is a clean-up technique designed to remove oil from the receptor that has been oiled and remobilise back into the marine environment and result in further dispersion of the oil. The process</p> | |

of flushing has the potential to physically damage shoreline receptors such as mangroves and rocky shoreline communities, increase levels of erosion, and create an additional, and potentially higher, level of impact than if the habitat was left to bio-remediate.

Sewage, putrescible and municipal waste will be generated from onshore activities at temporary camps which may include toilet and washing facilities. These wastes have the potential to attract fauna, impact habitats, flora and fauna and reduce the aesthetic value of the environment, which may be within protected areas. The creation, storage and transport of oily waste and contaminated organics has the potential to spread impacts of oil to areas, habitats and fauna not previously contaminated.

Physical presence and disturbance:

The movement and operation of vessels, aircraft, vehicles, personnel and equipment, undertaking of clean-up activities and the set-up of temporary camp areas during spill response activities has the potential to disturb the physical environment and marine/coastal habitats and fauna, which may include those habitats and fauna within protected areas. Disturbance may also impact cultural and amenity values of an area. The movement of vessels could potentially introduce IMS attached as biofouling to nearshore areas, while vehicle and equipment movement could spread non-indigenous flora and fauna.

Oiled wildlife response activities may involve deliberate disturbance (hazing), capture, handling, cleaning, rehabilitation and release of wildlife which could lead to additional impacts to wildlife.

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| Potential receptors: | Threatened/Migratory Fauna Physical Environment/habitat Protected Areas Socio-Economic |
|----------------------|---|

The use of vessels may disturb benthic habitats in coastal waters including corals, seagrass, macroalgae and mangroves. Impacts to habitats from vessels include damage through the deployment of anchor/chain, nearshore booms and grounding. Vessel use in shallow coastal waters also increases the chance of contact or physical disturbance with marine megafauna such as turtles and dugongs. Booms create a physical barrier on the surface waters that has the potential to injure or entangle passing marine fauna that are either surface breathing or feeding.

The presence of and noise from surveillance aircraft may result in a temporary loss of amenity value.

Vehicles, equipment, personnel presence and cleaning activities during shoreline response activities have the potential to damage coastal habitats such as dune vegetation, mangroves and habitats important to threatened and migratory fauna including nests of turtles and birds and bird roosting/feeding areas. Shoreline clean-up may involve the physical removal of substrates that could cause impact to habitats and coastal hydrodynamics and alter erosion/accretion rates. As with vessel use, an assessment of appropriate vehicles and equipment to reduce habitat damage, along with the establishment of access routes/demarcation zones, and operational restrictions on equipment/vehicles use will limit sensitive habitat damage and damage to important fauna areas.

The presence of camp areas, although relatively short-term, may disrupt normal behaviour of coastal species such as shorebirds and turtles, and could potentially interfere with nesting and feeding behaviours. Temporary camp areas will be established under the direction of DoT and DBCA, with suitable advice sought if access is needed to culturally significant areas.

Oiled wildlife response may include the hazing, capture, handling, transportation, cleaning and release of wildlife susceptible to oiling such as birds and marine turtles. While oiled wildlife response is aimed at having a net benefit, poor responses can potentially create additional stress and exacerbate impacts from oiling, interfering with life-cycle processes, hampering recovery and in the worst instance increasing levels of mortality.

Impacts from IMS released from vessel biofouling include out-competition, predation and interference with other ecosystem processes. The ability for a non-native species to establish is generally mitigated in deeper offshore waters where the depth, temperature, light availability and habitat diversity is not generally conducive to supporting reproduction and persistence of the invasive species. However, in shallow coastal areas, such as areas where vessel-based spill response activities may take place, conditions are likely to be more favourable.

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>Impacts from invasive terrestrial species are similar in that the invasive species can out-compete local species (for example, weeds) and interfere with ecosystem processes. Non-native species may be transported attached to equipment, vehicles and clothing. Such an introduction would be especially detrimental to wilderness areas or protected terrestrial reserves which may have a relatively undisturbed flora and fauna community.</p> <p>The disturbance to marine and coastal natural habitat, as well as the potential for disruption to culturally sensitive areas, which may occur in specially protected areas, may have flow on impacts to socio-economic values and industry (for example, tourism, fisheries).</p> | |
| <p>Disruption to other users of marine and coastal areas and townships:</p> | |
| <p>Spill response activities may involve the use of vessels, aircraft, equipment and vehicles, and the establishment of temporary camps, in areas used by the general public or industry. The mobilisation of spill response personnel into an affected area may also place increased demands on local accommodation and other businesses.</p> | |
| <p>Potential receptors:</p> | <p>Socio-Economic Receptors (commercial, recreational and traditional fishing, tourism and recreation, other oil and gas operators)</p> |
| <p>The use of vessels in the nearshore and offshore environment and the undertaking of spill response activities at shoreline locations may exclude the general public and industry use of the affected environment. As well as impacting leisure activities of the general public, this may impact on revenue with respect to industries such as tourism and commercial fishing. The mobilisation of personnel to small communities has the potential to affect the local community through demands on local accommodation and business, reducing the availability of services to members of the public.</p> | |

6.7.3 Environmental performance outcomes and control measures – spill response operations

EPOs, CMs, EPSs and measurement criteria for oil spill preparedness and response activities are outlined in the relevant strategy sections of the OPEP. CMs relevant to reducing the potential impacts from spill response operations are shown in **Table 6-18**.

Table 6-18: Control measures evaluation for reducing potential impacts from spill response operations

| Control Measure | Environmental Benefit | Potential Cost/Issues | Evaluation |
|--|--|---|---|
| Competent Incident Management Team (IMT) and oil spill responder personnel | Ensures that spill response strategy selection and operational activities consider the potential for additional environmental impacts. | Personnel and operational costs associated with maintaining competent IMT team and responder personnel. | Adopted – Considered a standard spill response control. |
| Use of competent vessel crew and personnel | Reduces potential for environmental impacts from vessel usage. | Personnel and operational costs associated with maintaining contracts with competent vessel crew and personnel. | Adopted – Considered a standard spill response control. |
| Acoustic Disturbance | | | |
| Vessels and aircraft compliant with Santos’ Protected Marine Fauna Interaction and Sighting Procedure (EA-91-11-00003) | Reduces potential for behavioural disturbance to cetaceans. | No cost/issue associated with this CM. | Adopted – Ensures compliance with Part 8 of the EPBC Regulations 2000, which is considered a standard spill response control (regulatory requirement). |

| Control Measure | Environmental Benefit | Potential Cost/Issues | Evaluation |
|--|---|--|---|
| Light Emissions | | | |
| Select temporary base camps in consultation with DoT and DBCA | Reduce coastal habitat and fauna disturbance. | No cost/issue associated with this CM. | Adopted – Considered a standard control to be adopted by the relevant Control Agency. |
| Atmospheric Emissions | | | |
| Where required under MARPOL, vessels will maintain a current IAPP Certificate | Reduces level of air quality impacts. | Personnel and operational costs associated with maintaining Air Pollution Certificate. | Adopted – Considered a standard spill response control (regulatory requirement). |
| Disruption to Other Marine Users | | | |
| Stakeholder consultation | Promotes awareness and reduces potential impacts from response to socio-economic activities. | Minimal cost in relation to overall effort/costs in managing incident. | Adopted – Considered a standard control for incident management. |
| Operational Discharges and waste | | | |
| Vessel sewage system | Reduces potential for water quality impacts. | No cost/issue associated with this CM. | Adopted – Considered a standard spill response control (regulatory requirement). |
| Oily mixtures system | Reduces potential for water quality impacts. | No cost/issue associated with this CM. | Adopted – Considered a standard spill response control (regulatory requirement). |
| Compliance with controlled waste, unauthorised discharge and landfill regulations | Ensures correct handling and disposal of oily wastes. | No cost/issue associated with this CM. | Adopted – Considered a standard spill response control (regulatory requirement). |
| Physical presence and disturbance | | | |
| Spill response activities selected on basis of a NEBA | Provides a systematic and repeatable process for evaluating strategies with net least environmental impact. | No cost/issue associated with this CM. | Adopted – Considered a standard spill response control. |
| Vessels and aircraft compliant with Santos' Protected Marine Fauna Interaction and Sighting Procedure (EA-91-11-00003) | Reduces potential for behavioural disturbance to cetaceans. | No cost/issue associated with this CM. | Adopted – Ensures compliance with Part 8 of the EPBC Regulations 2000, which is considered a standard spill response control (regulatory requirement). |

| Control Measure | Environmental Benefit | Potential Cost/Issues | Evaluation |
|---|---|---|--|
| Use of shallow draft vessels for shoreline and nearshore operations | Reduce seabed and shoreline disturbance. | Operational costs associated with operating shallow draft vessels for shoreline and nearshore operations. | Adopted – Considered a standard control. |
| Oil Spill Response Team Leader assesses and selects vehicles appropriate to shoreline conditions | Reduce coastal habitat and fauna disturbance. | No cost/issue associated with this CM. | Adopted – Considered a standard control. |
| Conduct shoreline, nearshore habitat, bathymetry assessment | Reduce shoreline habitat disturbance. | Operational costs associated with conducting shoreline nearshore habitat assessment. | Adopted – Considered a standard control. |
| Establish demarcation zones for vehicle and personnel movement considering sensitive vegetation, bird nesting and roosting areas and turtle nesting habitat | Reduce coastal habitat and fauna disturbance. | No cost/issue associated with this CM. | Adopted – Considered a standard control. |
| Operational restriction of vehicle and personnel movement to limit erosion and compaction | Reduce coastal habitat erosion and compaction. | No cost/issue associated with this CM. | Adopted – Considered a standard control. |
| Prioritise use of existing roads and tracks | Reduce coastal habitat and fauna disturbance. | No cost/issue associated with this CM. | Adopted – Considered a standard control. |
| Soil profile assessment prior to earthworks | Reduce habitat disruption and erosion. | Operational costs associated with soil profile assessment. | Adopted – Considered a standard control. |
| Use of Heritage Advisor if spill response activities overlap with potential areas of cultural significance | Reduce disturbance to culturally significant sites. | No cost/issue associated with this CM. | Adopted – Considered a standard control to be adopted by the relevant Control Agency. |
| Pre-cleaning and inspection of equipment (quarantine) | Reduces potential for invasive species to offshore islands. | Cost/effort in inspecting equipment. | Adopted – Considered a standard control. |

6.7.4 Environmental impact assessment

| Key receptors | Consequence Level |
|--|--|
| Spill Response Operations – Light Emissions | |
| Threatened, migratory, or local fauna | <p>The receptors considered most sensitive to lighting from vessel and shoreline operations are seabirds, shorebirds and marine turtles, particularly over summer months with respect to marine turtles where emerging hatchlings are sensitive to light spill onto beaches.</p> <p>Temporary camps will be positioned at the direction of DoT or DBCA; therefore, the consequence of shoreline lighting is considered <i>Negligible</i>.</p> <p>These species are likely to be values of the protected area they occur in (for example, EMBA for operational areas B and C; the Montebello Islands, Ningaloo and Eighty Mile Beach in Area A EMBA), and the impact to the protected area from light is also considered <i>Minor (II)</i>.</p> <p>As a consequence of impacts to fauna, lighting has the potential to impact supported industries, such as tourism; however, as impacts to fauna are considered negligible, any indirect impacts on tourism will also be <i>Negligible</i>.</p> |
| Physical environment or habitat | |
| Threatened ecological communities | |
| Protected areas | |
| Socio-economic receptors | |
| Overall worst-case consequence level | II - Minor for all three operational areas |
| Spill Response Operations – Acoustic Disturbance | |
| Threatened, migratory, or local fauna | <p>The receptor considered most sensitive to vessel noise disturbance is the humpback whale during migration season, when these whales come close to the Montebello Islands and Barrow Island during their peak migration (July to October), as well as populations of marine turtles, whale sharks and pygmy blue whales. However, following the adoption of CMs to limit close interaction with protected fauna (in other words, Protected Marine Fauna Interaction and Sighting Procedure (EA-91-II-00003)), a temporary behavioural disturbance is expected only with a consequence of <i>Negligible</i>.</p> <p>With respect to noise from onshore operations (mobile equipment and vehicles), nesting, roosting or feeding birds are considered to be the most sensitive to noise, in particular shorebirds that may be aggregating at Montebello Islands, Barrow Island and the Ningaloo coast (within the EMBA for operational areas B and C) and Eighty Mile Beach (EMBA A). The equipment used is not considered to have excessive sound levels and, following direction by DoT and DBCA on the location of temporary camp areas, the consequence to birds from noise is expected to be <i>Negligible</i>.</p> <p>Shorebirds may be official values of the protected area they occur in, and the impact to the protected area from noise is also considered <i>Negligible</i>.</p> |
| Physical environment or habitat | |
| Threatened ecological communities | |
| Protected areas | |
| Socio-economic receptors | |
| Overall worst-case consequence level | I - Negligible for all three operational areas |
| Spill Response Operations – Atmospheric Emissions | |
| Threatened, migratory, or local fauna | <p>Atmospheric emissions from spill response equipment will be localised; and impacts to even the most sensitive fauna, such as birds, are expected to be <i>Negligible</i>. Because of the emissions will be localised and low level, impacts to protected area values, physical environment and socio-economic receptors are predicted to be <i>Negligible</i>.</p> |
| Physical environment or habitat | |
| Threatened ecological communities | |
| Protected areas | |
| Socio-economic receptors | |

| Key receptors | Consequence Level |
|--|--|
| Overall worst-case consequence level | I - Negligible for all three operational areas |
| Spill Response Operations – Operational Discharges and Waste | |
| Threatened, migratory, or local fauna | <p>Operational discharges from vessels may create a localised and temporary reduction in marine water quality, which has the potential to impact shallow coastal habitats in particular; however, following the adoption of regulatory requirements for vessel discharges, which prevent discharges close to shorelines, discharges will have a <i>Negligible</i> impact to habitats, fauna or protected area values. Furthermore, washing of vessels and equipment will take place only in defined offshore hot zones preventing impacts to shallow coastal habitats.</p> <p>As a consequence of impacts to fauna, operational discharges from vessels has the potential to impact supported industries, such as tourism and commercial fishing; however, as impacts to fauna are considered <i>Negligible</i>, any indirect impacts on socio-economic receptors will also be <i>Negligible</i>.</p> <p>Onshore, the use of flushing water has the potential to damage sensitive shoreline and intertidal habitats, such as mangroves; however, low-pressure flushing only will be used, preventing further damage to habitats or erosion of sediments. For sensitive habitats, the deployment of booms will be considered to retain flushed hydrocarbons, if this presents a net benefit. Following these CMs, the use of flushing to clean shorelines and intertidal habitats is seen to have a <i>Negligible</i> additional impact to habitats, fauna or protected area values.</p> <p>The cleaning of contaminated vehicles and equipment onshore has the potential to spread oily waste and damage habitats if not contained. Decontamination units will be in used during the spill response, thus containing waste and preventing any secondary contamination. The consequence of cleaning discharges is therefore ranked as <i>Negligible</i> in terms of impacts to habitats, fauna or protected area values.</p> <p>Sewage, putrescible waste and municipal waste generated onshore will be stored and disposed of at approved locations. The storage, transport and disposal of hydrocarbon-contaminated waste arising from spill response operation actions, such as containment and recovery and shoreline clean up, will be managed by Santos' appointed waste management contractor; and dedicated waste containment areas will prevent the spreading or leaching of hydrocarbon contamination. The consequence of sewage discharges is therefore ranked as <i>Negligible</i> in terms of impacts to habitats, fauna or protected area values.</p> |
| Physical environment or habitat | |
| Threatened ecological communities | |
| Protected areas | |
| Socio-economic receptors | |
| Overall worst-case consequence level | I - Negligible for all three operational areas |
| Spill Response Operations – Physical Presence and Disturbance | |
| Threatened, migratory, or local fauna | <p>The use of vessels and nearshore booms has the potential to disturb benthic habitats, including sensitive habitats in coastal waters, such as corals, seagrass, macroalgae and mangroves. A review of shoreline and shallow water habitats and of bathymetry and the establishment of demarcated areas for access and anchoring will reduce the level of impact to <i>Negligible</i>.</p> <p>The use and movement of vehicles, equipment and personnel during shoreline response activities has the potential to disturb coastal habitats, such as dune vegetation, samphire and mangroves, and important habitats of threatened and migratory fauna, including nests of turtles and birds and bird roosting areas. Furthermore, clean-up can involve physical removal of substrates that could impact habitats and fauna and alter coastal hydrodynamics. As with vessel use, an assessment of appropriate vehicles and equipment to reduce habitat damage, along with the establishment of access routes, demarcation zones, and operational restrictions on equipment and vehicle use, will limit sensitive habitat damage and damage to important fauna areas. The establishment of temporary camp areas will be done under</p> |
| Physical environment or habitat | |
| Threatened ecological communities | |
| Protected areas | |
| Socio-economic receptors | |

| Key receptors | Consequence Level |
|--|---|
| | <p>direction of DoT and DBCA with suitable advice sought if access is needed to culturally significant areas. Following these and other CMs, the resultant consequence to the physical environment and habitat is assessed as <i>Minor</i>, indicating there may be a detectable reduction in habitat area from response activities (as separate from spill impacts), but recovery will be relatively rapid once spill response activities cease. As with all spill response activities, this disturbance will only occur if there is a net benefit to accessing and cleaning shoreline areas.</p> <p>The main direct disturbance to fauna would be the hazing, capture, handling, transportation, cleaning and release of wildlife susceptible to oiling impacts, such as birds and marine turtles. This would only be done if this intervention were to deliver a net benefit to the species, but it may result in a <i>Minor</i> consequence.</p> <p>These habitats or environments are likely to be values of the protected area they occur in, and the impact to the protected areas from physical disturbance is therefore also considered <i>Minor</i>.</p> <p>The disturbance to marine and coastal natural habitat, as well as the potential for disruption to culturally sensitive areas, which may occur in specially protected areas, may have flow-on impacts to socio-economic values and industry (for example, tourism, fisheries). This impact is considered <i>Minor</i>.</p> |
| Overall worst-case consequence level | II - Minor for all three operational areas |
| Spill Response Operations – Disruption to Other Users of Marine and Coastal Areas and Townships | |
| Threatened, migratory, or local fauna | <p>The use of vessels in the nearshore and offshore environment and spill response activities at shoreline locations and within townships may exclude general public and industry use. Note that this is distinct from the socio-economic impact of a spill itself, which would have a far greater detrimental impact to industry and recreation. Following the application of CMs, it is considered that the additional impact of spill response activities on affected industries would be <i>Minor</i>.</p> |
| Physical environment or habitat | |
| Threatened ecological communities | |
| Protected areas | |
| Socio-economic receptors | |
| Overall worst-case consequence level | II - Minor for all three operational areas |

6.7.5 Demonstration of as low as reasonably practicable

A NEBA is the primary tool used during spill response to evaluate response strategies with the goal of selecting strategies that results in the least net impact to key environmental sensitivities. The NEBA process will identify and compare net environmental benefits of alternative spill response options. The NEBA will effectively determine whether an environmental benefit will be achieved through implementing a response strategy compared to undertaking no response. NEBA will be undertaken by the relevant Controlling Agency for the activity. For those activities under the control of Santos, the Incident Management Team (IMT) Environmental Team Leader will be responsible for reviewing the priority receptors and selected response strategies identified within the OPEP and coordinating the NEBA for each operational period. This will mean that at the strategy level, the response operations reduce additional environmental impacts to ALARP.

Spill response activities will be conducted in offshore and coastal waters using vessels and aircraft. The greatest potential for additional impacts from implementing spill response is considered to be to wildlife in offshore waters from oiled wildlife response activities, and to shoreline habitats and fauna receptors within shallow waters or on shorelines from nearshore booming and shoreline clean-up activities.

Given the types of activities considered appropriate to responding to a worse-case spill and the scale of operations, standard CMs adopted by Santos for spill response to reduce the level of additional impacts are considered to reduce these impacts to ALARP. This includes working with the relevant Controlling Agency for spill response and applying the process and standards, for example, for oiled wildlife response as included within the WA Oiled Wildlife Response Plan (WAOWRP) and Pilbara Regional Oiled Wildlife Response Plan.

Santos considers the actions prescribed in the Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017) and Approved Conservation Advice for other threatened fauna (**Table 3-12**) relevant to spill responses for the activities to minimise noise and light impacts on marine cetaceans, fish and marine turtles. The proposed activity will not result in significant impacts on these species and implementation of identified CMs is in line with the relevant Conservation Advice and Recovery Plans. Pollution events (such as hydrocarbon spills) could impact on fauna (as described in **Section 7.6**), and the use of vessels and equipment during the spill response could result in potential impacts as described within this EP. CMs in place for vessel and helicopter use as provided in **Section 6.7.3** will reduce potential impacts to marine fauna and these are consistent with current conservation advice. The assessed residual consequence for this impact is minor (II) and cannot be reduced further without disproportionate costs. It is considered therefore that the impact of the activities conducted are acceptable and ALARP.

The North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan states that actions required to respond to oil pollution incidents, including environmental monitoring and remediation, in connection with mining operations authorised under the OPGGS Act may be conducted in all zones of the marine parks identified with the EMBA (DNP, 2018) without an authorisation issued by the Director, provided that the actions are taken in accordance with an EP that has been accepted by NOPSEMA, and the Director is notified in the event of oil pollution within a marine park, or where an oil spill response action must be taken within a marine park, so far as reasonably practicable, prior to response action being taken.

6.7.6 Acceptability evaluation

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Is the consequence ranked as I or II? Is the risk ranked between Low to Medium?</p> | <p>Yes – maximum consequence is a II (Minor) for all operational areas.</p> |
| <p>Is further information required in the consequence assessment?</p> | <p>No – potential impacts and risks well understood through the information available.</p> |
| <p>Are risks and impacts consistent with the principles of ESD?</p> | <p>Yes – activity evaluated in accordance with Offshore Division Environmental Hazard Identification and Assessment Guideline which considers principles of ESD.</p> |
| <p>Are risks and impacts consistent with relevant legislation, international agreements and conventions, guidelines and codes of practice (including species recovery plans, threat abatement plans, conservation advice and AMP zoning objectives)?</p> | <p>Yes – IUCN principles and strategic objectives of nearby reserves (Montebello AMP, Eighty Mile Beach AMP and the North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan) are met. CMs implemented will minimise the potential impacts from spill response activities to protected areas and their values and to species identified in recovery plans and conservation advice as having the potential to be impacted.</p> <p>Consistent with relevant species recovery plans, conservation management plans and management actions set out in Table 3-12. Management consistent with EPBC Act Regulations (Part 8), Marine Orders (91, 96 and 97) and Australian Ballast Water Requirements.</p> |
| <p>Are risks and impacts consistent with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy?</p> | <p>Yes – aligns with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy.</p> |
| <p>Are risks and impacts consistent with stakeholder expectations?</p> | <p>Yes – no concerns raised.</p> <p>During any spill response, a close working relationship with relevant regulatory bodies (for example, DoT, DBCA, AMSA) will occur and thus there will be ongoing consultation with relevant stakeholders on the acceptability of response operations.</p> <p>Wildlife response will be conducted in accordance with the WA Oiled Wildlife Response Plan and Pilbara Regional Oiled Wildlife Response Plan.</p> |
| <p>Are performance standards such that the impact or risk is considered to be ALARP?</p> | <p>Yes – see ALARP above.</p> |

The implementation of response activities to reduce the potential impacts from a spill are required by legislation. The spill response options selected have been demonstrated to show a net environmental benefit, are standard industry practice, and are consistent with relevant standards and guidelines, including the National Plan for Maritime Environmental Emergencies (AMSA, 2019). No concerns from stakeholders have been raised regarding response activities, and the controls proposed reduce the consequences of the potential impacts to Minor (II) and ALARP. The controls used during spill response activities are therefore considered to reduce additional impacts and risks to an acceptable level.

7. Unplanned activities risk assessment

| OPGGs(E)R 2009 Requirements |
|--|
| Regulation 13(5) |
| The environment plan must include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) details of the environmental impacts and risks for the activity; and (b) an evaluation of all the impacts and risks, appropriate to the nature and scale of each impact or risk; and (c) details of the CMs that will be used to reduce the impacts and risks of the activity to ALARP and an acceptable level. |
| Regulation 13(6) |
| To avoid doubt, the evaluation mentioned in paragraph (5)(b) must evaluate all the environmental impacts and risks arising directly or indirectly from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) all operations of the activity; and (b) potential emergency conditions, whether resulting from accident or any other reason. |
| Regulation (13)(7) |
| The environment plan must: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) set environmental performance standards for the CMs identified under paragraph (5)(c); and (b) set out the environmental performance outcomes against which the performance of the titleholder in protecting the environment is to be measured; and (c) include measurement criteria that the titleholder will use to determine whether each environmental performance outcome and environmental performance standard is being met. |

Santos’ environmental assessment identified four potential sources of environmental risks associated with the unplanned events for this activity. The results of the environmental assessment were found to be identical for each operational area, and are summarised in **Table 7-1**. A comprehensive risk and impact assessment for each of the unplanned events, and subsequent CMs proposed by Santos to reduce the risk and impacts to ALARP, are detailed in the following sub-sections. Note that the following subsections are applicable to all operational areas, unless otherwise stated.

Table 7-1: Summary of the environmental risks associated with unplanned events for all operational areas

| EP Section | Unplanned event | Operational Areas A, B and C | | |
|------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| | | Likelihood | Consequence | Residual risk level |
| 7.1 | Release of solid objects | d - Occasional | I – Negligible | Low |
| 7.2 | Introduction of IMS | a - Remote | III – Moderate | Very Low |
| 7.3 | Marine fauna interaction | b - Unlikely | III – Moderate | Low |
| 7.4 | Hazardous liquid releases | b - Unlikely | I – Negligible | Very Low |
| 7.6 | Release of hydrocarbons | b - Unlikely | III – Moderate | Low |

7.1 Release of solid objects

7.1.1 Description of event

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Event | <p>Solid objects such as those listed below can be accidentally released to the marine environment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + non-hazardous solid wastes, such as paper, plastics and packaging + hazardous solid wastes, such as batteries, fluorescent tubes, medical wastes, and aerosol cans + equipment and materials, such as hard hats, tools or infrastructure parts. |
| Extent | <p>The event will only occur within the operational area, and all non-buoyant waste material or dropped objects are expected to remain within the operational area. Buoyant objects could potentially move beyond the operational area.</p> |
| Duration | <p>An unplanned release of solids may occur during VBA.</p> |

7.1.2 Nature and scale of environmental impacts

Potential Receptors: Physical environment (water quality and benthic habitats), threatened/migratory fauna (marine reptiles, sharks, fish and rays), socio-economic receptors (fisheries, tourism and recreation).

Solids such as plastics have the potential to affect benthic environments and to harm marine fauna through entanglement or ingestion. Marine turtles and seabirds are particularly at risk from entanglement. Marine turtles may mistake plastics for food; once ingested, plastics can damage internal tissues and inhibit physiological processes, which can both potentially result in fauna fatality. Floating, non-biodegradable marine debris has been highlighted as a threat to marine turtles, whales, whale sharks, and albatrosses and giant petrels in the relevant recovery plans and approved conservation advice (refer to **Table 3-12**). The recovery plans and approved conservation advice, as well as the Threat Abatement Plan for the Impacts of Marine Debris on the Vertebrate Wildlife of Australia’s Coasts and Oceans (DoEE, 2018), have specified a number of recovery actions to help combat this threat. Of relevance to this event is the legislation for the prevention of garbage disposal from vessels. As VBAs are of short duration, the risk of unplanned release of plastics is low.

Release of hazardous solids (for example, wastes such as batteries) may result in the pollution of the immediate receiving environment, leading to detrimental health impacts to marine flora and fauna. Physiological damage can occur through ingestion; or absorption may occur in individual fish and sharks, marine mammals, marine reptiles or seabirds.

The Recovery Plans and Approved Conservation Advices have specified a number of recovery actions to help combat this threat. Of relevance to this activity is the legislation for the prevention of garbage disposal from vessels, which Santos implements through adherence to MARPOL.

The AUVs and ROVs typically used for offshore surveys present limited capacity for seabed impact due to the equipment being tethered, or (in the case of AUVs) utilise acoustic doppler measurements to detect and prevent seafloor contact; and in the event of low power, they are designed to float to the surface and transmit their position for recovery. Therefore, it is unlikely this equipment would impact on the seabed during VBA; however, equipment dropped over the side of the vessel could impact on the seabed for example, accidentally dropped and not tethered.

The area of potential seabed disturbance due to release of a heavier non-hydrocarbon solid would be restricted to the operational area (for example, equipment). The habitat type in the operational areas is widely distributed and well represented in the NWS region.

While soft sediment benthic habits will not be destroyed, disturbance of the communities on and within them (in other words, the epifauna and infauna) will occur in the event of a dropped object; and depressions may remain on the seabed for some time after removal of the dropped object as they gradually infill over time.

The seafloor of this bioregion is strongly affected by cyclonic storms, long-period swells and large internal tides, which can resuspend sediments within the water column and move sediment across the seafloor. In this context, any potential sediment movement caused by the event is likely to have minimal impacts.

Impacts to socioeconomic receptors could occur should debris interfere with other marine users or their equipment (for example, fishing nets).

The area of potential disturbance due to a non-buoyant dropped object would be restricted to the operational areas. The seabed within the operational areas varies, but is generally made up of silts, sands and some low relief hard substrates and limited benthic faunal communities. Operational area A overlaps the Ancient Coastline at 125 m KEF; however, it is only a very small proportion of the KEF and is at the upper limit of the water depth within which Santos would likely conduct VBA. Damage to hard substrates within the operational areas or the KEF, and associated fauna may occur, however such impact is expected to be restricted to the size of the dropped object, and since the vessels will operate over a very short period of time, overall impacts will be negligible.

7.1.3 Environmental performance outcomes and control measures

The EPO relating to this hazard is:

- + No unplanned objects, emissions or discharges to sea or air (EPO-06).

The CMs for this activity are shown in **Table 7-3**. EPSs and measurement criteria for the EPOs are described in **Section 8**.

Table 7-2: Control measures evaluation for release of solid objects

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Standard Control Measures | | | | |
| CM-14 | Waste (garbage) management plan | Reduces probability of garbage (waste) being accidentally discharged to sea, reducing potential impacts to marine fauna. Complies with Marine Order 95, Marine Pollution Prevention – Garbage. | Personnel cost of vessel audits and inspections, and in reporting discharge levels. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring vessel is compliant outweigh the minimal costs of personnel time, and it is a legislated requirement. |
| CM-16 | Dropped object recovery | Requires dropped objects to be recovered (where safe and practicable to do so unless the environmental consequences are negligible). | Additional personnel and vessel costs to plan and undertake if safe and practicable to do so. | Adopted – Benefits of recovering dropped objects where safe and practicable to do so (unless the environmental consequences are negligible) outweigh the costs. |

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| CM-17 | Dropped object prevention procedure | Impacts to environment are reduced by preventing dropped objects. | Personnel costs involved in implementing procedures and in incident reporting. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring procedures are followed and measures implemented outweigh the costs of personnel time. |
| CM-04 | Vessel planned maintenance system | Requires that lifting equipment is maintained and certified, and that lifting procedures are followed, reducing probability of dropped objects occurring. | Additional personnel costs of ensuring equipment is maintained and certified as appropriate and that procedures are in place and followed. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring procedures are followed and equipment is compliant outweigh the minimal costs of personnel time. |
| Additional Control Measures | | | | |
| N/A | Eliminate lifting in field | Reduces the risk of releasing solid objects to the marine environment due to dropped object. | Eliminating lifting would require support vessels storing more equipment and supplies on board, and/or additional trips to shore. Support vessels will not have enough deck space to store all required equipment, materials, and supplies needed for the duration of the activity, without incurring safety risks. | Rejected – Not feasible to eliminate lifting in the field. |

7.1.4 Environmental impact assessment

| Release of solid objects | |
|---|--|
| Receptors | Physical environment (benthic habitats), threatened/migratory fauna (marine mammals, marine reptiles, sharks, fish and rays), socio-economic receptors (fisheries, tourism and recreation) |
| Consequence | I - Negligible |
| <p><u>Physical environment – Seabed disturbance</u></p> <p>In the event of a dropped object, there will be localised and short-term damage to the seabed. The extent of the impact is limited to the size of the dropped object; given the size of the equipment used, any impact is expected to be very small.</p> <p>Previous surveys indicate the seabed is likely to comprise soft sediments with epifauna and areas of hard substrate (in operational area C) (Section 3.2.1.2). Consequently, any impacts are predicted to be short term in nature.</p> <p>Any impact to the seabed through dropped objects would result in a <i>Negligible</i> reduction in habitat area or function impacted.</p> | |
| <p><u>Marine fauna – Cetaceans, marine turtles, seabirds, fish and sharks</u></p> <p>In the event of loss of a solid object, the quantities would be limited by type of activities planned. The release could cause localised impacts to water quality and the benthic environment. If the solid object can be ingested by marine fauna, impacts would be restricted to a small number of individuals, if any.</p> <p>Relevant recovery plans and conservation advice (Table 3-12) have identified marine debris as a potential threat. There is a Threat Abatement Plan for the Impacts of Marine Debris on the Vertebrate Wildlife of Australia’s Coasts and Oceans (DoEE, 2018).</p> <p>The limited quantities associated with this event indicate that, even in a worst-case release of solid waste, impacts to fauna would be limited to individuals and are not expected to result in a decrease of the local population size. The consequence level is therefore <i>Negligible</i>.</p> | |
| Likelihood | D - Occasional |
| | A set of CMs and checks have been proposed to ensure that the risks of dropped objects, lost equipment or release of hazardous/ non-hazardous solid waste to the environment has been minimised. The likelihood of dropped objects in the operational areas is limited and given the controls in place, the likelihood of releasing hazardous and non-hazardous solids to the environment resulting in a negligible consequence is considered to be occasional given the company experience. |
| Residual Risk | The residual risk associated with this hazard is Low for all operational areas |

7.1.5 Demonstration of as low as reasonably practicable

Wastes generated and equipment used during the activity and managed through the proposed CMs. The CMs proposed are considered sufficient to reduce the risk of dropped objects to a level that is ALARP. No further feasible CMs were identified. If an object is dropped, the incident will be responded to in accordance with the implementation strategy for incident response. With the above controls in place, Santos considers the residual risk arising from a dropped object is ALARP

7.1.6 Acceptability evaluation

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Is the risk ranked between Very Low to Medium?</p> | <p>Yes – residual risk is ranked Low for all operational areas.</p> |
| <p>Is further information required in the consequence assessment?</p> | <p>No – potential impacts and risks well understood through the information available.</p> |
| <p>Are risks and impacts consistent with the principles of ESD?</p> | <p>Yes – activity evaluated in accordance with Santos’ Environmental Hazard Identification and Assessment Procedure which considers principles of ESD.</p> |
| <p>Are risks and impacts consistent with relevant legislation, international agreements and conventions, guidelines and codes of practice (including species recovery plans, threat abatement plans, conservation advice and AMP zoning objectives)?</p> | <p>Yes – management consistent with MARPOL Annex III. CMs implemented will minimise the potential impacts from the activity to species identified in recovery plans and approved conservation advice as well as the Threat Abatement Plan for the Impacts of Marine Debris on the Vertebrate Wildlife of Australia’s Coasts and Oceans (DoEE, 2018) as having the potential to be impacted by non-hydrocarbon surface releases of solid objects.</p> <p>Consistent with relevant species recovery plans, conservation management plans and management actions set out in Table 3-12. Relevant species Recovery Plans, Conservation Management Plans and management actions including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Threat Abatement Plan for Impacts of Marine Debris on Vertebrate wildlife of Australia’s coasts and oceans (DoEE, 2018) + Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (2017) + Recovery Plan for Threatened Albatrosses and Giant Petrels (DSEWPaC, 2011a) + Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i> (humpback whale) (2015a) + Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Rhincodon typus</i> (whale shark) (2015b) + Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Balaenoptera physalus</i> (fin whale) (2015c) + Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Balaenoptera borealis</i> (sei whale) (2015d) + Recovery Plan for the Grey Nurse Shark (<i>Carcharias taurus</i>) (2014) + Recovery Plan for the White Shark (<i>Carcharodon carcharias</i>) (2013) + Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan (2015a) + Commonwealth Conservation Advice on <i>Pristis zijsron</i> (green sawfish) (2008). |

| | |
|---|---|
| Are risks and impacts consistent with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy? | Yes – aligns with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with stakeholder expectations? | Yes – no concerns raised. |
| Are performance standards such that the impact or risk is considered to be ALARP? | Yes – see ALARP above. |

With the controls in place to prevent accidental release of hazardous/non-hazardous solid waste or a dropped object, and the negligible impacts predicted, the risk to the marine environment is considered low and reduced to a level that is considered acceptable. The activity, undertaken with the controls, will be conducted in a manner that is acceptable under the relevant Recovery Plans and Approved Conservation Advice to prevent accidental release of hazardous/non-hazardous solid (marine debris) (**Table 3-12**).

7.2 Introduction of invasive marine species

7.2.1 Description of event

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Event | <p>Introduction of IMS may occur due to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + biofouling on vessels and external/internal niches (such as sea chests, seawater systems, etc) + biofouling on equipment that is routinely submerged in water (such as survey equipment) + discharge of high-risk ballast water + cross-contamination between vessels. <p>Once established, IMS have the potential to out-compete indigenous species and affect overall native ecosystem function.</p> |
| Extent | Localised (seabed and water column within the operational areas) to widespread if successfully translocated to new areas via ocean currents or project equipment transit. |
| Duration | Temporary to long-term (in the event of successful translocation). |

7.2.2 Nature and scale of environmental impacts

Potential receptors: Marine ecosystem as a whole and commercial or recreational users of the marine environment.

IMS are marine flora and fauna that have been introduced into a region that is beyond their natural range but have the ability to survive, and possibly thrive (DAFF, 2011). The majority of climatically compatible IMS to the NWS are found in south-east Asian countries.

Some IMS pose a significant risk to environmental values, biodiversity, ecosystem health, human health, fisheries, aquaculture, shipping, ports and tourism (DAFF, 2011; Wells *et al.*, 2009). When IMS achieve pest status, they are commonly referred to as introduced marine pests or IMPs. IMPs can cause a variety of adverse effects in a receiving environment, including:

- + over-predation of native flora and fauna
- + out-competing of native flora and fauna for food
- + human illness through released toxins
- + depletion of viable fishing areas and aquaculture stock
- + reduction of coastal aesthetics
- + damage to marine and industrial equipment and infrastructure.

The above impacts can result in flow on detrimental effects to marine parks, tourism and recreation.

Species of concern are those that are not native to the region, are likely to survive and establish in the region, and are able to spread by human-mediated or natural means. Species of concern vary from one region to another depending on various environmental factors, such as water temperature, salinity, nutrient levels and habitat type. These factors dictate their survival and invasive capabilities.

It is recognised that artificial, disturbed and polluted habitats in tropical regions are susceptible to introductions, which is why ports are often areas of higher IMS risk (Neil *et al.*, 2005). However, in Australia there are limited records of detrimental impact from IMS compared to other tropical regions (such as the Caribbean).

Following their establishment, eradication of IMS populations is difficult, limiting management options to ongoing control or impact minimisation. However, this depends on the environmental conditions and

species. For this reason, increased management requirements have been implemented in recent years by Commonwealth and State regulatory agencies.

Potential sources for the introduction of marine species into the operational areas include biofouling on the vessels, including external niches (such as propulsion units, steering gear and thruster tunnels) and internal niches (such as sea chests, strainers, seawater pipe work, anchor cable lockers and bilge spaces).

Equipment that is submerged in water for periods of time (such as AUVs and ROVs) may acquire marine pest species, which can be spread if the equipment is not cleaned prior to use in pest-free areas.

Vessels based in local ports, such as Dampier or Onslow, do not carry the same quarantine risks as international vessels or out of State vessels, as they supply the same waters as those the operational area resides in. Given the depths at the operational areas, establishment is considered unlikely to occur on the seabed.

7.2.3 Environmental performance outcomes and control measures

The EPO relating to this hazard is:

- + No introduction of marine pest species (EPO-07).

The CMs for this activity are shown in **Table 7-3**. EPSs and measurement criteria for the EPOs are described in **Section 8**.

Table 7-3: Control measures evaluation for introduction of IMS

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Standard control measures | | | | |
| CM-18 | Implementation of the management controls in the Santos Invasive Marine Species Management Plan | The risk of introducing IMS is reduced due to assessment procedure and management of ballast water. | Personnel costs involved in risk assessing vessels in accordance with the Invasive Marine Species Management Plan. Costs associating with reducing the vessel risk to 'low' (for example, dry docking, hull cleaning or additional costs due to inspections). Could lead to potential delays and therefore costs in vessel contracting process due to unavailability of vessels. | Adopted – Minimal personnel costs and potential delays or costs to project are considered outweighed by the benefits of reducing the risk of IMS. |
| CM-19 | Anti-foulant system | The risk of introducing IMS is reduced due to anti-foulant systems. | Could lead to potential delays and therefore costs, in vessel contracting process due to availability of vessels with appropriate anti-foulant systems. | Adopted – minimal potential delays or costs to project are considered outweighed by the benefits of reducing the risk of IMS. |

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Additional Control measures | | | | |
| N/A | Heat treatment of ballast water to eliminate IMS | Would reduce potential for IMS to establish by eliminating individuals present in ballast water. | High cost compared to existing risk; introduction of water at much higher temperature than surrounding marine environment would likely result in death of native marine species. | Rejected – Based on increased risk to marine environment compared to base case risk. |
| N/A | Restrict vessel operations to using vessels and equipment that have operated in local, State or National waters to reduce potential for IMS | Reduce potential for IMS to be transported into area since vessels would not have originated elsewhere. | Vessels and equipment suitable for the activity may not be available in State/National waters therefore work could not be completed. | Rejected – not feasible without significant impact on survey objectives/schedule. |
| N/A | Mandatory dry docking of vessels prior to entering field to clean vessel and/or equipment and remove biofouling | Demonstrates that no IMS were present on vessel or associated equipment. | Significant cost (grossly disproportionate to the risk) would lead to scheduling delays. | Rejected – Costs disproportionately high compared to environmental benefit given other controls in place already reduce the risk. Given other controls in place already reducing the risk, cost outweighs benefit. |
| N/A | Use an alternative ballast system to avoid uptake or discharge of water | Eliminate need for ballast water exchange, therefore decreasing risk of introducing IMS through ballast water. | Vessels suitable for the activity may not have options for alternative ballast system, therefore would require modification at significant cost. | Rejected – Costs disproportionately high compared to environment benefit. |
| N/A | Zero discharge of ballast water | Would reduce the potential for IMS by implementing a no ballast water exchange policy on support vessels. | Ballast water exchange required on the support vessels for stability. | Rejected – On the basis that ballast water exchange is a safety-critical activity for marine operations. |

7.2.4 Environmental impact assessment

| Description – Invasive Marine Species | |
|--|--|
| Receptors | Introduction of IMS – disruptions to other marine users Impact to marine primary producers – reduced access to fishing grounds Socio-economic impact |
| Consequence | III - Moderate |
| <p>Ballast water is responsible for 20 to 30% of all marine pest incursions into Australian waters; however, research indicates biofouling (the accumulation of aquatic micro-organisms, algae, plants and animals on vessel hulls and submerged surfaces) has been responsible for more foreign marine introductions than ballast water (DAFF, 2011). IMS, if successfully established, can outcompete native species for food or space, prey on native species or change the nature of the environment and can subsequently impact on fisheries or aquaculture.</p> <p>If an IMS is introduced, the species has been known to colonise areas outside of the areas to which it is introduced. In the event that an invasive marine species is introduced into the operational area, given the lack of diversity and extensiveness of similar benthic habitat in the region, there would only be a minor reduction in the physical environment. No threatened ecological communities are present in the area that could be affected. The overall consequence level was assessed as <i>Moderate</i>, this also takes into consideration the proximity of the activity to protected areas (Montebello Islands AMP, Barrow Island MP and Eighty Mile Beach AMP) and the requirements of the North-west MPNMP which requires that vessel ballast water exchange is completed in accordance with the Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements .</p> | |
| Likelihood | a - Remote |
| <p>The pathways for IMS introduction are well known; consequently, standard preventive measures are proposed. The ability for invasive marine species to colonise a habitat depends on a number of environmental conditions. It has been found that highly disturbed environments (such as marinas) are more susceptible to colonisation than are open water environments where the number of dilutions and the degree of dispersal are high (Paulay <i>et al.</i>, 2002). IMS are more likely to populate shallower areas with favourable substrates. Given that the depth of all the operational areas is greater than 30 m, this creates an unfavourable habitat for colonisation (in other words, light limiting and low habitat biodiversity with sparse epibiota) and it is distant from shallow coastal habitats, there is a very low likelihood that v would be able to survive translocation and subsequently establish and colonise. With CMS in place to reduce the risk of introduction of IMS, the likelihood of introducing an IMS is considered <i>Remote</i>.</p> | |
| Residual Risk | The residual risk associated with this event is <i>Very Low</i> for all operational areas. |

7.2.5 Demonstration of as low as reasonably practicable

Vessels and submersible equipment are required for the activity and no alternatives to vessels are feasible.

Ballast water exchange will be managed through Ballast Water Management actions consistent with the Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements (DAWR), and a vessel biosecurity risk assessment in accordance with the IMSMP (EA-00-RI-10172) will be undertaken to demonstrate vessels are low risk so IMS are not introduced. As discharges will occur in accordance with the ballast water management requirements, the activity will be compliant with the North-West Marine Parks Network Management Plan (2018) (DNP, 2018) when conducting activities in the Montebello AMP in operational area C.

Santos has adopted a risk-based approach to managing biofouling given it is not practicable or reasonable to inspect and/or clean every vessel before each voyage. Such an approach is consistent with other petroleum operators on the NWS and is beyond that enforced on the majority of commercial and recreation vessels that regularly transit the same bioregion. International vessels are given the highest priority to prevent the introduction of IMS into Australian waters. However, domestic vessels (interstate and locally sourced) are also risk-assessed to reduce the likelihood of spreading marine pest species already established in Australian waters. The biofouling risk assessment approach adopted by Santos will ensure the *Aquatic Resources*

Management Act 2016 (as amended) and associated regulations prohibiting the introduction of non-endemic fish species will be met.

Typically, domestic vessels will be sourced for the proposed VBA, with international vessels more likely for geotechnical surveys. However, with the controls in place, vessel risk will be managed to ALARP regardless of the vessel source location.

No other controls were identified to reduce the risk of introducing IMS. Therefore, with the above CMs in place, the risk of introducing IMS has been reduced to ALARP.

7.2.6 Acceptability evaluation

| | |
|---|--|
| Is the risk ranked between Very Low to Medium? | Yes – introduction of IMS residual risk ranking is Very Low for all operational areas. |
| Is further information required in the consequence assessment? | No – potential impacts and risks well understood through the information available. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with the principles of ESD? | Yes – activity evaluated in accordance with Santos’ Environmental Hazard Identification and Assessment Procedure which considers principles of ESD. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with relevant legislation, international agreements and conventions, guidelines and codes of practice (including species recovery plans, threat abatement plans, conservation advice and AMP zoning objectives)? | Yes – management consistent with <i>Biosecurity Act 2015</i> and <i>National Biofouling Management Guidance for the Petroleum Production and Exploration Industry</i> (Marine Pest Sectoral Committee, 2018). Also consistent with the <i>Fish Resources Management Act 1994</i> (expected to be replaced by the <i>Aquatic Resources Management Act 2016</i>). |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy? | Yes – aligns with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with stakeholder expectations? | Yes – no concerns raised. |
| Are performance standards such that the impact or risk is considered to be ALARP? | Yes – see ALARP above. |

The mobilisation of vessels and equipment to undertake offshore petroleum activities is industry standard practice, and the IMS risks are well understood and subject to regulation. The vessels and equipment that are internationally mobilised will meet Australian biosecurity requirements, and proposed management is consistent with *National Biofouling Management Guidance for the Petroleum Production and Exploration Industry* (Marine Pest Sectoral Committee, 2018).

Application of the proposed CMs and adherence to legislation and regulations reduce the likelihood of introducing IMS into the operational area, and the dispersive offshore location in the operational area reduces the probability of successful establishment in the unlikely event of introduction.

No stakeholder concerns have been raised regarding this aspect, and the proposed controls will reduce the residual level of risk to medium and ALARP. Therefore, the residual risk associated with IMS is considered by Santos to be environmentally acceptable.

7.3 Marine fauna interaction

7.3.1 Description of event

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Event | There is the potential for vessels or equipment (for example, ROV, AUV) involved in VBA to interact with marine fauna, including potential strike or collision, potentially resulting in severe injury or mortality. |
| Extent | Within the operational areas, in the immediate vicinity of the vessels or subsea equipment. |
| Duration | During the Activity. |

7.3.2 Nature and scale of environmental impacts

Potential receptors: Threatened/migratory fauna (marine mammals, marine turtles, whale sharks, seabirds).

7.3.2.1 Vessels and equipment

Cetaceans are naturally inquisitive marine mammals that are often attracted to vessels underway; for example, dolphins commonly ‘bow ride’ with vessels.

Marine fauna in surface waters that are most at risk from vessel collision include marine mammals, marine turtles and whale sharks. As summarised in **Table 6-2**, the operational areas overlap with a number of BIAs including breeding BIAs for seabird species, internesting BIAs for turtle species, a migration BIA for the humpback whale and a distribution BIA for the pygmy blue whale. Approved Conservation Advice for *Megaptera novaeangliae* (humpback whale) indicates that humpback whales are one of the most frequently reported whale species involved in vessel strikes worldwide (Laist *et al.*, 2001; Jensen & Silber, 2003). The increase in vessel numbers (Silber & Bettridge, 2012) is not only a threat to humpback whales in relation to vessel strikes but also in disturbance and displacement from key habitats. Similarly, boat strike is also recognised by the Approved Conservation Advice for *Rhincodon typus* (whale shark) as one of the threats to the recovery of whale sharks.

The worst potential impact from vessel collision would be mortality or serious injury of an individual. Collisions between vessels and cetaceans are most frequent on continental shelf areas where high vessel traffic and cetacean habitat occur simultaneously (WDCS, 2004). There have been recorded instances of cetacean deaths as a result of vessel collisions in Australian waters (for example, a Bryde’s whale in Bass Strait in 1992) (WDCS, 2004), though the data indicate this is likely to be associated with container ships and fast ferries. Whale and Dolphin Conservation Society (WDCS) (2004) also indicates some cetacean species, such as humpback whales, can detect and change course to avoid a vessel.

The most commonly sighted whale in continental shelf waters of the region is the humpback whale. The humpback whale migrates between calving grounds in the Kimberley region of WA to feeding grounds in Antarctica; with the northbound migration from early June to early August (BHP, 2005), and the peak of the northbound migration between Exmouth Gulf and the Dampier Archipelago occurring around July, concentrated inshore of the 200 m depth contour (Jenner *et al.*, 2001). The southern migration, which peaks around early September, with pods travelling in shallower waters, typically at 30 to 100 m and passing to the west of Barrow Island and north of the Montebello islands. Higher numbers may be encountered in the operational areas during humpback whale southern migration, however significant numbers are not expected.

The reaction of whales to the approach of a ship is quite variable. Some species remain motionless when in the vicinity of a ship while others are known to be curious and often approach ships that have stopped or are slow moving, although they generally do not approach, and sometimes avoid, faster moving ships (Richardson *et al.*, 1995).

Turtle/vessel interactions arising from increased vessel traffic is also recognised as one of a number of key impacts to marine turtles in the Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (DoEE, 2017). In the recovery plan, vessel disturbance is identified as a risk to flatback turtles. Marine turtles are highly mobile and, given the low speeds of vessels used for operations, are likely to be able to move from an area where there is vessel activity. Marine turtles make extensive migrations through the region; and it is possible that individual turtles of any of the species known from the region may be encountered in the operational area, particularly given the proximity to the designated turtle BIAs associated with the Montebello Islands and Barrow Island nesting locations. It is likely individual loggerhead, green, hawksbill and flatback turtles may be encountered in the operational area, particularly for operational area C.

Marine turtle mortality due to boat strike has been identified as an issue in Queensland waters in the Marine Turtle Recovery Plan (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017). However, turtles appear to be more vulnerable to boat strike in areas of high urban population where incidents of pleasure crafts are higher. WA turtle populations have not been highlighted as those most affected by boat strike, possibly due to the relatively low human population density of the NWS coastline.

Whale sharks, other pelagic fish and demersal fish are likely to exhibit a short-term avoidance to vessels, divers or AUV/ROVs. This is likely to be initiated through the vibrations and underwater noise emitted from these activities (**Section 6.1**) rather than the physical presence. Such avoidance is likely to be temporary.

The operation of vessels, ROVs, and divers is highly unlikely to impact on the migration routes of whales (in particular the humpback whale, which passes close to Barrow and Montebello islands between June and September (**Table 3-15**). The Approved Conservation Advice for *Megaptera novaeangliae* (Humpback Whale) (TSSC, 2015c) identifies vessels as a threat. Although some level of disturbance may occur, this is likely to be primarily caused by underwater noise from vessels and ROVs within the operational area (**Section 6.1**), rather than their physical presence.

7.3.2.2 Helicopters

A number of protected species of marine birds have potential habitats or migratory routes in and around the operational areas (**Table 3-11**). Seabirds may be attracted to the vessels due to increased feeding opportunities on pelagic fish. However, these behavioural changes are unlikely to alter population dynamics or significantly change the habitat use of birds.

Helicopter noise is expected to elicit a behavioural response in birds to avoid collision; and given the relatively low speeds helicopters would be flying at during take-off or landing, the risk of helicopter strike is not high.

7.3.3 Environmental performance outcomes and control measures

The EPO relating to this hazard is:

- + No injury or mortality to EPBC Act 1999 and WA Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 listed marine fauna during activities (EPO-01).

The CMs for this activity are shown in **Table 7-4**. EPSs and measurement criteria for the EPOs are described in **Section 8**.

Table 7-4: Control measures evaluation for marine fauna interaction

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Standard Control measures | | | | |
| CM-01 | Procedure for interacting with marine fauna | Reduces risk of physical and behavioural impacts to marine fauna from vessels because if they are sighted, then vessels can slow down, or move away. | Potential delay in vessel movement, increasing activity duration and costs to Santos. Personnel costs involved in reporting sightings to authorities. | Adopted – Benefits of reducing risk of impacts to marine fauna outweigh the costs. Implementing relevant EPBC Act procedures for interacting with EPBC Act-listed marine fauna complies with the EPBC Regulations 2000. |
| CM-02 | Watchkeeping maintained on bridge | Monitoring of surrounding marine environment to identify potential collision risks (and reducing harm) to cetaceans and other marine fauna. | No additional cost; industry practice and regulated by AMSA. | Adopted – Industry practice, benefits outweigh cost. |
| Additional Control Measures | | | | |
| N/A | Adopt further measures to those outlined in 'EPBC Regulations 2000 — Part 8 Division 8.1 during peak periods of ecological sensitivity, for example, additional management considerations for vessels outlined in the Australian National Guidelines for Whale and Dolphin Watching (2017) | Potentially provide an additional level of protection of marine fauna. | Administrative costs to update existing procedure. Operational costs through interruption to activities through implementation of controls developed for an industry trying to get close to marine fauna, when Santos activities aim to avoid fauna. | Rejected – The existing control ' <i>procedure for interacting with marine fauna</i> ' has been written in accordance with the EPBC Act and other relevant guidelines. A review of this procedure against the Australian National Guidelines for Whale and Dolphin watching found that there are no additional relevant controls in the Australian National Guidelines for Whale and Dolphin watching and therefore adopting this control is not ALARP. |

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|--------------|--|---|--|--|
| N/A | Restrict the timing of activities to operate outside of sensitive periods only | Reduce risk of collisions (causing harm) during environmentally sensitive periods for listed marine fauna. | Protected Marine Fauna species are present year-round, meaning there are no non-sensitive periods to operate in. | Rejected – Grossly disproportionate to the environmental benefit and would severely limit operations which are required to occur 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. |
| N/A | Dedicated MMO on vessels (EPBC Policy Statement 2.1 Part B) | Improved ability to spot and identify marine fauna at risk of collision (that may cause harm). | Additional cost of contracting MMO. | Rejected – Risk of animals being encountered is too low to justify additional cost of MMO; in other words, cost is disproportionate to environmental benefit. |
| N/A | Activities will only occur during daylight hours | Potential for a vessel-fauna collision occurring is decreased due to vessel being stationary when visibility is lower at night. | Lengthens the time of the activity – approximately double. Increased cost due to increased operation time (more than double the cost and therefore grossly disproportionate). | Rejected – Substantial additional cost due to doubling of operation duration. |
| N/A | Spotter planes/vessels sent ahead to planned night-time operational area | Eliminate/reduce likelihood and consequence of impact to marina fauna. | Marine fauna may have moved away from the area by the time the vessel arrives. Cost of specialist aircraft with good downward visibility, or cost of an additional spotter vessel additional MFOs required on board aircraft. Additional risks to environment through use of vessels/airplanes, increased safety risks to personnel on board additional vessels/airplanes. | Rejected – Cost is disproportionate to increase in environmental benefit |

7.3.4 Environmental impact assessment

| Marine Fauna Interaction | |
|---|--|
| Key Receptors | Threatened/migratory fauna (marine mammals, marine reptiles, sharks and seabirds). |
| Consequence | III - Moderate |
| <p>In the event of a collision with marine fauna, there is the potential for injury or death to an individual. The number of receptors present at the operational areas is expected to be limited to a small number of transient individuals. There are multiple BIAs that occur in the operational area; such as interesting areas for marine turtles, migration paths for the humpback whale and breeding locations for a number of seabirds. The proximity of the activity to designated protected (for example, Montebello Islands AMP, Barrow Island MP and Eighty Mile Beach AMP) also leads to the assumption that protected fauna will be present in the operational areas.</p> <p>Boat strike and vessel disturbance are identified as potential threats to a number of marine fauna species in relevant recovery plans and conservation advice. The above information demonstrates that, with CMs in place, the activity will be conducted in a manner that reduces potential impacts to ALARP and an acceptable level.</p> <p>As such there is the potential for death or injury of EPBC listed individual species, however, as they would represent a small proportion of the local population it is not expected that it would result in a decreased population size over what would usually occur due to natural variation, at a local or regional scale. It is expected that the loss of an individual would be a minor consequence.</p> | |
| Likelihood | b - Unlikely |
| <p>Marine fauna interaction is considered very unlikely given the small operational area for each VBA and short timeframe, slow vessel speeds (typically <5 knots), open ocean environment and the tendency for fauna to move away.</p> <p>The International Whaling Commission has compiled a database of the worldwide occurrence of vessel strikes to cetaceans, within which Australia constitutes approximately 7% (35 reports) of the reported worldwide (approximately 471 reports) vessel strike records involving large whales (Peel <i>et al.</i>, 2018).</p> <p>No known aggregation areas occur within the operational area and therefore concentrations of milling individuals are unlikely.</p> <p>Vessels will be moving very slowly while inside the operational area, posing a low risk of collision with marine fauna. In addition, the noise generated from vessel operations may locally deter marine fauna from coming in close proximity to vessels.</p> <p>Consequently, the likelihood of a collision with marine fauna resulting in a minor consequence is considered to be unlikely.</p> | |
| Residual Risk | The residual risk associated with this hazard is Low for all operational areas |

7.3.5 Demonstration of as low as reasonably practicable

No alternative options to the use of vessels are possible in order to undertake the activity. Any impact caused by the physical presence of vessels is likely to be localised and temporary, with marine species expected to resume normal behavioural patterns in the open oceanic waters surrounding the operational area in a short time frame following completion of the VBA.

In the event that vessels come in close proximity to EPBC Act-listed marine fauna, such as whales and whale sharks, EPS (**Table 8-2**) have been implemented for limiting vessel operations, as well as for ensuring that the crew are aware through inductions of the risk posed by conducting the activity, in order to reduce the likelihood of a marine fauna collision to ALARP. Inductions for the crew of support vessels will include information about how to interact with cetaceans and whale sharks in accordance with the EPBC Regulations.

The inherent likelihood of encountering fauna in the operational area is limited by the short duration of the activities and the separation from areas of high surface-fauna density. With low vessel speeds and compliance with fauna interaction procedures, including Regulation 8 of the EPBC Regulations 2000, which

aim to prevent adverse interactions of vessels with marine megafauna, a fauna collision is considered very unlikely. With the controls adopted, the assessed residual risk for this impact is ALARP.

7.3.6 Acceptability evaluation

| | |
|---|---|
| Is the risk ranked between Very Low to Medium? | Yes – maximum marine fauna interaction residual risk ranking is Low for all operational areas. |
| Is further information required in the consequence assessment? | No – potential impacts and risks well understood through the information available. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with the principles of ESD? | Yes – activity evaluated in accordance with Santos’ Environmental Hazard Identification and Assessment Procedure which considers principles of ESD. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with relevant legislation, international agreements and conventions, guidelines and codes of practice (including species recovery plans, threat abatement plans, conservation advice and AMP zoning objectives)? | <p>Yes – management consistent with Part 8 of the EPBC Regulations. CMs implemented will minimise the potential risks and impacts from vessel strike from the activity to relevant species identified in recovery plans and conservation advice (Table 3-12).</p> <p>Relevant species Recovery Plans, Conservation Management Plans and management actions including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Threat Abatement Plan for Impacts of Marine Debris on Vertebrate wildlife of Australia’s coasts and oceans (DoEE, 2018) + Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (2017) + Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i> (humpback whale) (2015a) + Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Rhincodon typus</i> (whale shark) (2015b) + Conservation Management Plan for the Blue Whale, 2015–2025 (2015b) + Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Balaenoptera borealis</i> (sei whale) (2015)(2015d) + Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Balaenoptera physalus</i> (fin whale) (2015c) + Recovery Plan for the Grey Nurse Shark (<i>Carcharias taurus</i>) (2014) + Recovery Plan for the White Shark (<i>Carcharodon carcharias</i>) (2013) + Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan (2015a). |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy? | Yes – aligns with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with stakeholder expectations? | Yes – no concerns raised. |
| Are performance standards such that the impact or risk is considered to be ALARP? | Yes – see ALARP above. |

Application of the proposed management and adherence to applicable regulations in line with relevant actions prescribed in the Recovery Plans and Approved Conservation Advices, reduces the likelihood of vessel interactions with marine fauna. While the potential exists for a collision to occur, it is considered a rare scenario. Vessels will be travelling at low speeds within the operational area, also reducing the likelihood of fauna strike. In the unlikely event an impact did occur, it would be highly probable that only a single individual would be contacted. It is thought that owing to the rare likelihood of a collision occurring, coupled with the potential impact being limited to a single individual, the risk is deemed acceptable.

7.4 Hazardous liquid releases

7.4.1 Description of event

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| <p>Event</p> | <p>Causes for accidental liquid releases (other than diesel) include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + hydraulic fluids, lubricant oils and stored waste oils from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – ROV failure (including oil seal, hydraulic system hose and quick-disconnect system failures) (approximately 0.05 m³ (50 L)) – stern tube oil (non-hydrocarbon-based lube oil) from the vessel thruster/propeller stern tube (approximately <1 m³) – loss of primary containment (drums, tanks, IBCs, etc.) due to handling, storage and dropped objects (such as swinging load during lifting activities) – vessel pipework failure or rupture, hydraulic hose failure and inadequate bunding. + chemicals, including corrosion inhibitor, cleaning and cooling agents, recovered solvents, stored or spent chemicals, leftover paint materials and used greases, through: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – spills or leaking machinery accidentally discharged overboard in deck drainage water – overflow of the open and closed drainage systems – loss of primary containment (drums, tanks, IBCs, etc.) due to handling, storage and dropped objects (such as swinging load during lifting activities). + oily water from vessels includes bilge water and deck drainage water. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – in the event the oil discharge monitoring equipment fails, water containing hydrocarbons at more than 15 ppm could be accidentally discharged overboard. <p>The vessel main engines and equipment, such as pumps, cranes, winches, power packs and generators, require diesel for fuel and a variety of hydraulic fluids and lubricating oils for efficient operation and maintenance of moving parts. These products are present within the equipment and also held in storage containers and tanks on vessels. Small hydrocarbon leaks could occur from loss of primary containment due to handling, storage and dropped objects (during lifting activities). Impacts associated with hydrocarbons are provided in Sections 7.5 and 7.6.</p> |
| <p>Extent</p> | <p>Volumes are likely to be small and limited to the volume of individual containers (such as IBCs, 44-gallon drums) stored on the deck of supply vessels. The credible spill for this scenario is considered to be the loss of an intermediate bulk container (1 m³).</p> <p>The relative low volumes are expected to rapidly disperse into the marine environment. Concentrations below toxic or harmful thresholds are expected to occur at short distances from the release point. Should a spill occur, potential impacts beyond the operational area are not expected in the event of a worst-case spill.</p> |
| <p>Duration</p> | <p>Potentially toxic or harmful threshold concentrations limited to a very short period immediately following an instantaneous release.</p> |

7.4.2 Nature and scale of impacts

Potential receptors: Fish and sharks, marine mammals, marine reptiles and seabirds.

Hydraulic fluids and lubricating fluids behave similarly to marine diesel when spilt in the marine environment. Hydraulic fluids are oils of light to moderate viscosity and have a relatively rapid spreading rate. Like diesel, they will dissipate quickly, particularly in high sea states, although lubricating oils are more viscous and so the spreading rate of a spill of these oils would be slightly slower.

Impacts associated with the unplanned discharge of hazardous liquids to the marine environment depend on the nature of the liquid released, the volume and its behaviour in the marine environment (in other words, whether it sinks, floats, disperses, etc.). In the event of a spill to the marine environment, these liquids would

be subjected to rapid dispersion and dilution by the open ocean water conditions and prevailing currents and would remain within the surface waters.

Potential impacts include a temporary and highly localised decline in water quality. This would have limited potential for toxicity to marine fauna, due to the likely short duration of exposure and rapid dilution of the released hazardous liquids in the marine environment. Impacts are likely to be limited to the immediate vicinity of the spill and would not affect population viability of contacted species or ecosystem function. The greatest potential for impact would likely be for passive or low mobility fauna such as plankton, pelagic invertebrates and small pelagic fishes which may be exposed for the greatest periods of time and likely have a permanent presence within the operational area. Large, more mobile fauna are likely to be transient within the operational area and toxic impacts are unlikely to occur to these species in the event of a small liquid hazardous release.

7.4.3 Environmental performance and control measures

The EPO relating to this event is:

- + No unplanned objects, emissions or discharges to sea or air [EPO-06].

The CMs considered for this activity are shown in **Table 7-5**, with EPSs and measurement criteria for the EPOs described in **Table 8-2**.

Table 7-5: Control measures evaluation for hazardous liquid releases

| CM Reference | Control Measure | Environmental Benefit | Potential Cost/Issues | Evaluation |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Standard Controls | | | | |
| CM-04 | Vessel planned maintenance system | Requires that equipment is maintained and certified, reducing probability of leaks of hydraulic fluid from the equipment. | Additional personnel costs of ensuring equipment is maintained and certified as appropriate and that procedures are in place and followed. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring procedures are followed and equipment is compliant outweigh the minimal costs of personnel time. |
| CM-13 | Vessel oily mixtures system | Reduces potential impacts of discharge of oily water to the environment. Provides compliance with Marine Order 91, Marine Pollution Prevention – Oil. | Time and personnel costs in maintaining oil record book. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring vessels are compliant outweigh the minimal costs of personnel time, and it is a legislated requirement. |

| CM Reference | Control Measure | Environmental Benefit | Potential Cost/Issues | Evaluation |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|
| CM-15 | Deck cleaning product selection procedure | Improves water quality discharge (reduces toxicity) to the marine environment. Those deck cleaning products planned to be released to sea meet the criteria for not being harmful to the marine environment according to MARPOL Annex V. | Personnel costs of implementing. Potential additional cost and delays of deck cleaning product substitution. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring vessels are compliant and that those deck cleaning products planned to be released to sea meet MARPOL criteria outweigh the cost. |
| CM-20 | Vessel spill response plans (SOPEP/SMPEP) | Implements response plans to deal with an unplanned release quickly and efficiently in order to reduce impacts to the marine environment. | Administrative costs of preparing documents and large costs of implementing response strategies. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring procedures are followed and measures implemented and that the vessel is compliant outweighs the costs. |
| CM-25 | Operations chemical selection procedure | Aids in the process of chemical management that reduces the potential impact of unplanned chemical discharges to sea. | Cost associated with implementation of procedure. Range of chemicals reduced with potentially higher costs for alternative products. | Adopted – Environmental benefit of using lower toxicity chemicals outweigh procedural implementation costs. |
| CM-28 | Remotely operated vehicle inspection and maintenance procedures | Maintenance and pre-deployment inspection on ROV completed as scheduled to reduce the risk of hydraulic fluid releases to the marine environment. | Additional personnel costs of ensuring procedures in place and followed. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring procedures are followed outweigh costs. |
| CM-29 | General Chemical Management Procedure | Potential impacts to the environment are reduced through following correct procedures for the safe handling and storage of chemicals. | Personnel costs associated with ensuring procedures are in place and implemented during handling and storage of chemicals. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring procedures are followed and measures implemented outweigh the costs. |

| CM Reference | Control Measure | Environmental Benefit | Potential Cost/Issues | Evaluation |
|--------------|---|---|---|--|
| CM-30 | Hazardous Chemical Management Procedure | Reduces the risk of spills and leaks (discharges) to sea by controlling the storage, handling and clean-up. | Personnel cost associated with implementation of procedures and permanent or temporary storage areas. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring procedures are followed and measures implemented outweigh costs. |
| | | | | |

7.4.4 Environmental impact assessment

| Description – Hazardous Liquid Releases | |
|---|--|
| Receptors | Threatened, migratory, or local fauna. Physical environment or habitats |
| Consequence | I - Negligible |
| <p><u>Threatened, migratory and local fauna</u></p> <p>In the event of a minor hazardous liquid, the quantities would be very small (worst case identified to be limited to approximately 1 m³ for the loss of the contents of an intermediate bulk container or 50 L for ROV hydraulic fluid). The small volumes and dilution and dispersion from natural weathering processes such as ocean currents are such that spills will be limited in area and duration. The number of receptors present at the activity location are expected to be limited to a small number of transient individuals.</p> <p>Habitat degradation, deteriorating water quality and marine pollution are identified as potential threats to a number of marine fauna species, including turtles and some bird and shark species, in relevant recovery plans and conservation advice.</p> <p>However, the potential releases of hazardous liquids are not expected to significantly impact the receiving environment, given the CMs proposed to prevent releases; therefore, the activity will be conducted in a manner that is considered acceptable.</p> <p>Toxic impacts are not expected to the benthic community due to the water depths.</p> <p>The susceptibility of marine fauna to hazardous materials is dependent on material, volume, type and exposure duration. However, given that exposures would be limited in extent and duration due to the small volumes the impacts to receptors is not significant.</p> <p>Whales may transit through the operational areas and a humpback BIA for migration overlaps the operational area. For marine mammals that may be exposed to the more toxic aromatic components of the minor chemical spills, toxic effects are considered unlikely since these species are mobile and therefore will not be constantly exposed for extended durations that would be required to cause any major toxic effects.</p> <p>Although humpback whales may be exposed and BIAs occur over the operational areas, an unplanned discharge of hazardous liquids is not expected to interfere with their migration activity. Any impact is expected to be at individual behavioural level only.</p> <p>It is possible that individual turtles may come into contact with the release, however considering the water depths of the operational areas compared to observed water depths of internesting turtles, large numbers of the species are not expected and significant impacts to population will not occur. Impacts may occur small proportion (individuals) of a local population with no consequences for conservation status or reproductive success.</p> <p>Toxic impacts are not expected to the benthic community due to the water depths.</p> <p>Deteriorating water quality is identified as a potential threat to turtles in the marine turtle recovery plan and to some bird and shark species (Table 3-12). However, the potential minor chemical releases are not expected to</p> | |

significantly impact the receiving environment, given the CMs proposed to prevent releases. Therefore, the activity will be conducted in a manner that is considered acceptable.

Given that a small hazardous liquid spill would not result in a decreased population size at a local or regional scale, it is expected that a spill of this nature would result in a *Negligible* consequence.

Physical Environment and Habitats

The small volumes and dilution and dispersion from natural weathering processes such as ocean currents are such that spills will be limited in area and duration. Releases of hazardous liquids to the marine environment will impact local water quality for a short period of time whilst the release disperses. Impact to water quality will be *Negligible (I)*.

Protected Areas

Operational area C intersects the Montebello Marine Park (Multiple Use Zone - IUCN Category VI). Operational area A is adjacent to Eighty Mile Beach AMP. The relevant values of the marine parks (**Table 3-12**) are not anticipated to be significantly affected by unplanned releases due to the quantity and nature of the potential releases and the rapid dissipation in the offshore marine environment. As a result, the consequence has been assessed as *Negligible (I)*.

| | |
|---|--|
| Likelihood | 2 - Very unlikely |
| CMs proposed ensure that the risk of or release hazardous materials to the environment has been minimised. The likelihood of transient marine fauna occurring in the operational areas coincident with a release is limited and given the CMs in place, the likelihood of releasing hazardous liquids to the environment resulting in a negligible consequence is considered very unlikely. | |
| Residual Risk | The residual risk associated with this event is <i>Low</i> |

7.4.5 Demonstration of as low as reasonably practicable

Storage and use of hydraulic and lubricating oils or fluids for equipment and machinery, including for ROV operations, are required to undertake the activity, so their removal from the activity is not viable.

Only volumes of hazardous materials as required for maintaining vessel capabilities will be stored or handled on-board the vessels. The vessels will implement safeguards, as per relevant AMSA Marine Orders/MARPOL requirements. Such safeguards may include (but not limited to) designated storage and handling areas, correct stowage, accurate labelling and marking, Safety Data Sheet (SDS) information, spill clean-up equipment and containment.

In addition, administrative controls, such as all vessels being required to have a Garbage Management Plan that describes the on-board controls for preventing unplanned discharges, will minimise the risk of the hazardous liquid being accidentally discharged through mishandling or poor storage.

Other management controls that have been implemented include vessel maintenance systems, chemical management procedures, spill clean-up equipment and SMPEP/OPEPs not only to minimise the risk of an accidental release, but also to reduce the impact in the event that a release does occur.

Containment of small spills from bunding, inherent in the design of vessels and from spill containment kits onboard these vessels (detailed in the SMPEP) provides a barrier to any spills reaching the marine environment. The inspection and maintenance of bunding and drainage systems and of spill response kits provides assurance that these are available to contain spills in the event of a small leak. It is considered that barriers in place to contain spills would prevent spills from reaching the marine environment and thus it is considered that there are no further controls that would offer a further benefit to the environment.

A thorough set of CMs has been proposed to ensure the risks of minor hazardous liquid spills and leaks occurring and subsequent impacts are minimised. The resulting impacts to marine fauna that could potentially result from a spill of this size would be minor, with impacts restricted to a small number of individuals within a localised area.

The CMs proposed are in line with applicable actions described in relevant recovery plans and conservation advice to reduce the risk of habitat degradation and deteriorating water quality (for example, from pollution) to a level considered to be ALARP by Santos. The assessed residual risk for this impact is low and cannot be reduced further. It is considered therefore that the impact of the activities conducted is ALARP.

7.4.6 Acceptability evaluation

| | |
|---|--|
| Is the risk ranked between Very Low to Medium? | Yes – maximum minor hydrocarbon spill residual risk is ranked Low. |
| Is further information required in the consequence assessment? | No – potential impacts and risks well understood through the information available. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with the principles of ESD? | Yes – activity evaluated in accordance with Santos’ Environmental Hazard Identification and Assessment Procedure which considers principles of ESD. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with relevant legislation, international agreements and conventions, guidelines and codes of practice (including species recovery plans, threat abatement plans, conservation advice and AMP zoning objectives)? | <p>Yes – management consistent with International Convention of the SOLAS 1974 and <i>Navigation Act 2012</i>, MARPOL Annex I – Oil. Consistent with relevant species recovery plans, conservation management plans and management actions set out in (Table 3-12).</p> <p>Relevant species Recovery Plans, Conservation Management Plans and management actions, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (2017) + Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i> (humpback whale)(2015a) + Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Rhincodon typus</i> (whale shark) (2015b) + Conservation Management Plan for the Blue Whale, 2015–2025 (2015b) + Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Balaenoptera borealis</i> (sei whale) (2015d) + Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Balaenoptera physalus</i> (fin whale) (2015c) + Recovery Plan for the Grey Nurse Shark (<i>Carcharias taurus</i>) (2014) + Recovery Plan for the White Shark (<i>Carcharodon carcharias</i>) (2013) + Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan (2015a). |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy? | Yes – aligns with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with stakeholder expectations? | Yes – no concerns raised. |
| Are performance standards such that the impact or risk is considered to be ALARP? | Yes (see ALARP above). |

With the CMs in place to prevent an accidental release of hazardous liquids and the negligible impacts predicted from unplanned spills, the risk to the marine environment is considered low. Potential risks are unlikely to be greater than those caused by other commercial marine vessels or offshore petroleum activities in deep water.

Hazardous liquids will be managed in accordance with relevant legislation and industry standards and Santos procedures. The small volume negates the need for any further contingencies to be in place that are included for some of the larger spill scenarios associated with the activity.

With the CMs in place to prevent accidental spills and the negligible impacts predicted from a spill of this size, the environmental risk of using and handling the required chemicals is considered acceptable.

7.5 Overview of unplanned release of hydrocarbons

There is the potential for loss of containment of marine diesel as a result of a vessel collision event or refuelling occurring during the activity. Diesel spill trajectory modelling was utilised to predict the potential extent of a worst case spill event. New spill modelling was commissioned for six locations (**Figure 1-1**, GHD, 2020). In addition, spill modelling was undertaken for a loss of diesel at the Reindeer WHP in 2018/2019 to support previous EP submissions (RPS, 2019). In 2019 the spill modelling results were reprocessed to reflect revised exposure values (summarised in **Section 7.5.4**), and the revised results have been included in this EP.

7.5.1 Spill scenario selection

7.5.1.1 Refuelling

A minor spill (approximately 37.5 m³) of diesel could occur during vessel refuelling resulting in a loss of hydrocarbons to the marine environment at sea surface. Spills during refuelling can occur through several pathways, including fuel hose breaks, coupling failure or tank overfilling.

Spills resulting from overfilling will be contained within the vessel drains and slops tank system. In the event that the refuelling hose is ruptured, the fuel bunkering activity will cease by turning off the pump; the fuel remaining in the transfer line will escape to the environment as well as fuel released prior to the transfer operation being stopped. The AMSA (2015) Technical Guidelines for Preparing Contingency Plans for Marine and Coastal Facilities provides guidance for calculating a maximum credible spill volume for a refuelling spill. The guidance provided by AMSA (2015) for a refuelling spill under continuous supervision is considered appropriate given refuelling will be constantly supervised. The maximum credible spill volume during refuelling is calculated as: transfer rate (150 m³/ hr) x 15 minutes of flow. The detection time of 15 minutes is seen as conservative but applicable following failure of multiple barriers, followed by manual detection and isolation of the fuel supply.

7.5.1.2 Vessel collision

It is considered credible that a release of diesel to the marine environment could occur from a collision between the activity vessels and a third party vessel. Such events could have sufficient impact to result in the rupture of a diesel tank (loss of integrity). This is considered credible given the diesel tanks may not be protected or double-hulled, and fuel tank ruptures resulting in a hydrocarbon release have occurred before.

The AMSA (2015) Technical Guidelines for Preparing Contingency Plans for Marine and Coastal Facilities recommend that the spill scenario for modelling and impact assessment should be based on the largest single fuel tank volume. The specific vessel to undertake the VBA is yet to be confirmed; a review of available vessels indicated that the largest single fuel tank is likely to be up to 250 m³ in capacity. Although the likely vessel's largest fuel tank will be smaller, a conservative modelled spill volume of 329 m³ has been used for this EP.

7.5.2 Spill modelling overview

To determine the spatial extent of impacts from a potential hydrocarbon spills from the proposed VBA at all locations modelling was completed for the vessel collision scenario (GHD, 2020). A surface spill of diesel during refuelling is considered relatively small in comparison to a surface spill of diesel during a vessel collision. It is therefore assumed that the extent of a hydrocarbon spill during refuelling would remain within

the extent of the worst case spill trajectory of diesel from a vessel collision; therefore, modelling of a smaller spill was not conducted.

Far-field spill modelling was performed with OSCAR. The model was configured in stochastic mode to simulate a range of environmental conditions. The start dates for the stochastic simulations were staggered approximately fortnightly across five years of hydrodynamic and wind data. A total of 150 individual 'realisations' made up the full stochastic simulation set for each of the spill scenarios. In total, seven locations were selected to simulate a potential spill site; those closest to sensitive receptors were chosen.

Table 7-6: Summary of modelling locations

| Area | Modelling Location Name | Reasoning for location selection – closest sensitive receptors |
|------|----------------------------|---|
| A* | Bedout West | Closest point to southern AMPs for example, Dampier |
| | Bedout North | Closest point to Rowley Shoals MP and Argo-Rowley Terrace AMP |
| | Bedout South | Closest point to Eighty Mile Beach, Eighty Mile Beach AMP and Bedout Island |
| B | Dancer | Closest point to land (and proposed Dancer well location) |
| | Reindeer Wellhead Platform | Previously modelled location within the permit area |
| C | WA-510-P | Closest point to Montebello Islands |
| | Spartan | Closest point to Barrow Island |

* Note that locations in area A were also selected to cover the extent of the potential survey area.

For each set of 150 stochastic realisations, OSCAR spatially tracked the surface oil, total submerged oil in the water column, dissolved oil and oil on shorelines. The 'total submerged oil' is comprised of dissolved oil and entrained oil (or droplets), and therefore provides a conservative (over) representation of the NOPSEMA (2019) thresholds for entrained oil.

The outputs of this modelling showed a number of different possible spill outcomes of a spill, which were then analysed to determine the concentrations of hydrocarbon at each grid cell of the model, providing information about the probability of contact and concentration at contact of hydrocarbons across each of the EMBAAs (**Figure 3-1**).

Deterministic modelling was also performed to understand the potential area of influence that could be expected from a single spill event. The stochastic realisation with the highest accumulated shoreline loading was selected to run in deterministic mode.

7.5.3 Hydrocarbon characteristics

International Tanker Owners Pollution Federation (2011) and the Australian Marine Oil Spill Centre (AMOSC, 2011) categorise diesel as a light 'group II' hydrocarbon. In the marine environment, a 5% residual of the total quantity of diesel spilt will remain after the volatilisation and solubilisation processes associated with weathering.

In the marine environment, diesel is expected to behave as follows:

- + Diesel will spread rapidly in the direction of the prevailing wind and waves.
- + Evaporation will be the dominant process contributing to the fate of spilled diesel from the sea surface and will account for 60 to 80% reduction of the net hydrocarbon balance.
- + The evaporation rate of diesel will increase in warmer air and sea temperatures.
- + Diesel residues usually consist of heavy compounds that may persist longer and will tend to disperse as oil droplets into the upper layers of the water column.

A surface release of 329 m³ of diesel was modelled from the vessel. Upon release, the diesel is forecast to spread rapidly out to a thin film on the sea surface, and evaporation is forecast to remove approximately 50% of the released volume within several days of release. The diesel will also become increasingly subject to entrainment into the water column as the density increases after losing the lighter components through evaporation (APASA, 2013).

A summary of the representative characteristics of diesel, as assessed in this EP, is provided in **Table 7-7**.

Table 7-7: Summary of diesel characteristics

| Oil Name | Initial density (g/cm ³) (25°C) | Viscosity (cP) (25°C) | Component | Volatiles (%) | Semi-volatiles (%) | Low Volatility (%) | Residual (%) | Aromatics (%) |
|----------|---|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | Boiling Points (°C) | <180 C4 to C10 | 180 to 265 C11 to C15 | 265 to 380 C16 to C20 | >380 > C20 | Of whole oil < 380 °C BP |
| | | | | NON-PERSISTENT | | | | PERSISTENT |
| Diesel | 0.8368 @15°C | 3.9 @20°C | % of total | 6 | 34.6 | 54.4 | <5 | 3.0 |

Source: APASA (2014a)

7.5.3.1 Marine diesel weathering

A preliminary analysis of hydrocarbon weathering for marine diesel was undertaken with the SINTEF Oil Weathering Model (OWM) (GHD, 2020). The OWM predicts the fate of spilled hydrocarbons under steady-state met-ocean conditions. OWM simulations were run for sustained wind speeds of 1 m/s (low winds), 5 m/s (moderate winds) and 10 m/s (high winds). The OWM simulations are based on 100 m³ of hydrocarbon released instantaneously onto the sea surface.

The results of the weathering analyses are presented in **Figure 7-1**. Marine diesel is a moderate weight and moderately persistent oil in the marine environment. Under low winds (1 m/s), 60% of the surface slick is predicted to remain after 120 hours (five days). Under moderate winds (5 m/s), 40% of the initial surface slick is predicted to remain after 24 hours, decreasing further to approximately 10% after 48 hours and approximately 1% after 72 hours. With high winds (10 m/s), the surface slick is predicted to almost entirely evaporate (approximately 20 to 25%) and disperse (approximately 75 to 80%) after 12 hours. Marine diesel has a very low tendency for emulsion formation with only approximately 1% water content entrained into the surface slick after 120 hours across the three constant wind assessment conditions.




Figure 7-1: Simulated weathering of the SINTEF marine diesel (IKU) hydrocarbon for constant wind speeds of 1 m/s (top), 5 m/s (middle) and 10 m/s (bottom) (GHD, 2020)

7.5.4 Hydrocarbon exposure values

To inform the impact assessment it is important to understand the profile of the concentrations of hydrocarbons after a spill. To do this NOPSEMA recommends identifying hydrocarbon exposure values that broadly reflect the range of consequences that could occur at certain concentrations (NOPSEMA, 2019). The exposure values that have been applied to this EP are described below.

The EMBA's shown in **Figure 3-1** were identified using low exposure values. These low exposure values are not considered to be representative of a biological impact, but they are adequate for identifying the full range of environmental receptors that might be contacted by surface and/or subsurface hydrocarbons (NOPSEMA, 2019) and a visible sheen.

To inform impact assessment, exposure values that may be representative of biological impact have also been identified. These are called 'moderate exposure values' and 'high exposure values'. Moderate and high exposure values are modelled for each fate of hydrocarbon to identify what contact is predicted for surface (floating oil), subsurface (entrained oil and dissolved aromatic hydrocarbons), and shoreline accumulation of hydrocarbon at sensitivities.

Determining exposure values that may be representative of biological impact is complex since the degree of impact will depend on the sensitivity of the receptors contacted, the duration of the exposure and the toxicity of the hydrocarbon type making the contact. The toxicity of a hydrocarbon will also change over time, due to weathering processes altering the composition of the hydrocarbon. To identify appropriate exposure values Santos has considered the advice provided by NOPSEMA Bulletin #1 Oil Spill Modelling (April 2019) and scientific literature. The selected hydrocarbon exposure values are discussed in **Table 7-8**, **Table 7-9**, **Table 7-10** and **Table 7-11**; these tables explain how the exposure value is relevant to the risk evaluation and provides context on how that exposure value is used to inform response planning (which is addressed further in the OPEP).

Table 7-8: Floating hydrocarbons exposure values

| Surface Oil Concentration (g/m ²) | Exposure Value | Description |
|---|----------------|---|
| 1 | Low | <p>Risk Evaluation</p> <p>It is recognised that a lower floating oil concentration of 1 g/m² (equivalent to a thickness of 0.001 mm or 1 ml of oil per m²) is visible as a rainbow sheen on the sea surface. Although this is lower than the exposure value for ecological impacts, it may be relevant to socio-economic receptors and has been used as the exposure value to define the spatial extent of the environment that might be contacted (EMBA) from floating oil.</p> <p>Response Planning</p> <p>Contact at 1 g/m² (as predicted by oil spill trajectory modelling) is used as a conservative trigger for activating scientific monitoring plans as detailed in the OPEP.</p> |
| 10 | Moderate | <p>Risk Evaluation</p> <p>There is a paucity of data on floating oil concentrations with respect to impacts to marine organisms. Hydrocarbon concentrations for registering biological impacts resulting from contact of surface slicks have been estimated by different researchers at about 10 to 25 g/m² (French <i>et al.</i>, 1999; Koops <i>et al.</i>, 2004; NOAA, 1996). The impact of floating oil on birds is better understood than on other receptors. A conservative exposure value of 10 g/m² has been applied to impacts from surface hydrocarbons (floating oil) in this EP. Although based on birds, this hydrocarbon exposure value is also considered appropriate for turtles, sea snakes and marine mammals (NRDAMCME, 1997).</p> <p>Response Planning</p> <p>Contact at 10 g/m² is not specifically used for spill response planning.</p> |
| 50 | High | <p>Risk Evaluation</p> <p>At greater thicknesses the potential for impact of surface oil to wildlife increases. All other things being equal, contact to wildlife by surface oil at 50 g/m² is expected to result in a greater impact.</p> <p>Response Planning</p> <p>Containment and recovery effectiveness drops significantly with reduced oil thickness (McKinney <i>et al.</i>, 2017; NOAA, 2014). McKinney <i>et al.</i> (2017) tested the effectiveness of various oil skimmers at various oil thicknesses. Their results showed that the oil recovery rate of skimmers dropped significantly when oil thickness was less than 50 g/m² (less than Bonn Agreement Code 4). Hence, 50 g/m² has been set as a guide for planning effective containment and recovery operations.</p> <p>Similarly, surface oil >50 g/m² (Bonn Agreement Code 4/5 and equivalent to oil observed as discontinuous or continuous true colour) is considered to be a lower limit for effective dispersant operations and is therefore considered for planning.</p> <p>It is noted that the modelling undertaken for Reindeer location within Area B used a lower exposure level of 25 g/m² and is therefore more conservative.</p> |

Table 7-9: Shoreline hydrocarbon accumulation exposure values

| Shoreline Accumulation (g/m ²) | Exposure Value | Description |
|--|----------------|---|
| 10 | Low | <p>Risk Evaluation</p> <p>An accumulated concentration of oil above 10 g/m² on shorelines is considered to represent a level of socio-economic effect (NOPSEMA, 2019). For example, reduction in visual amenity of shorelines. This value has been used in previous studies to represent a low contact value for interpreting shoreline accumulation modelling results (French-McCay, 2005, 2006).</p> <p>Response Planning</p> <p>Not specifically used for response planning because below the limit that can be effectively cleaned.</p> |
| 100 | Moderate | <p>Risk Evaluation</p> <p>The impact exposure value for exposure to hydrocarbons stranded on shorelines is derived from levels likely to cause adverse impacts to marine or coastal fauna and habitats. These habitats and marine fauna known to use shorelines are most at risk of exposure to shoreline accumulations of oil, due to smothering of intertidal habitats (such as mangroves and emergent coral reefs) and coating of marine fauna. Environmental risk assessment studies (French-McCay, 2009) report that an oil thickness of 0.1 mm (100 g/m²) on shorelines is assumed as the lethal exposure value for invertebrates on hard substrates (rocky, artificial or man-made) and sediments (mud, silt, sand or gravel) in intertidal habitats. Therefore, a conservative exposure value for impacts of 100 g/m² has been applied to impacts from shoreline accumulation of hydrocarbons.</p> <p>Response Planning</p> <p>A shoreline concentration of 100 g/m², or above, is likely to be representative of the minimum limit that the oil can be effectively cleaned according (AMSA, 2015; NOPSEMA, 2019) and is therefore used as a guide for shoreline clean-up planning. This exposure value equates to approximately ½ a cup of oil per square metre of shoreline contacted.</p> |
| 1,000 | High | <p>Risk Evaluation</p> <p>At greater thicknesses, the potential for impact of accumulated oil to shoreline receptors increases. All other things being equal, accumulation of oil above 1000 g/m² is expected to result in a greater impact.</p> <p>Response Planning</p> <p>As oil increases in thickness the effectiveness of oil recovery techniques increases. This value can therefore be used to prioritise oil recovery efforts, assuming oil recovery is deemed to have an environmental benefit.</p> |

Table 7-10: Dissolved aromatic hydrocarbon exposure values

| Dissolved hydrocarbons (ppb) | Exposure Value | Description |
|------------------------------|----------------|---|
| 6 | Low | <p>Risk Evaluation</p> <p>Dissolved Aromatic Hydrocarbons (DAH) include the monoaromatic hydrocarbons (MAHs) (compounds with a single benzene ring such as BTEX [benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and xylenes]) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons [PAHs] (compounds with multiple benzene rings such as naphthalenes and phenanthrenes). These compounds have a greater bioavailability than other components of oil and are considered to be main contributors to oil toxicity. The toxicity of DAHs is a function of the concentration and the duration of exposure by sensitive receptors with greater concentration and exposure time causing more severe impacts. Typically tests of toxicity done under laboratory conditions measure toxicity as proportion of test organisms affected (for example, 50% mortality or LC50) at the end of a set time period, often 48 or 96 hours.</p> <p>French-McCay (2002) in a review of literature, reported LC50 for dissolved PAHs with 96 h exposure, range between 30 ppb for sensitive species (2.5th-percentile species) and 2,260 ppb for insensitive species (97.5th-percentile species), with an average of about 250 ppb. The range of LC50s for PAHs obtained under turbulent conditions (this includes fine oil droplets) was 6 ppb to 410 ppb with an average of 50 ppb (French-McCay, 2002).</p> <p>The dissolved hydrocarbon 10 ppb exposure value has been used to inform the EMBA within Section 7.6. An exposure value of 10 ppb is appropriate as it is concentration that could have some potential negative effect. <i>It is noted that the modelling undertaken for Reindeer location within area B used a lower exposure level of 6 ppb and is therefore more conservative.</i></p> <p>Response Planning</p> <p>Contact at 10 ppb (as predicted by oil spill trajectory modelling) is used as a trigger for activating scientific monitoring plans as detailed in the OPEP. Establishes planning area for scientific monitoring based on potential for exceedance of water quality triggers (NOPSEMA, 2019).</p> |
| 50 | Moderate | <p>Risk Evaluation</p> <p>Approximates potential toxic effects, particularly sublethal effects to sensitive species (refer to above text). Consistent with NOPSEMA (2019).</p> <p>Response Planning</p> <p>Encompassed by response to 10 ppb. There is nothing different for higher exposure values.</p> |
| 400 | High | <p>Risk Evaluation</p> <p>Approximates toxic effects including lethal effects to sensitive species (NOPSEMA, 2019).</p> <p>Response Planning</p> <p>Encompassed by response to 10 ppb. There is nothing different for higher exposure values.</p> |

Table 7-11: Entrained hydrocarbon exposure values

| Entrained hydrocarbons (ppb) | Exposure Value | Description |
|------------------------------|----------------|---|
| 10 | Low | <p>Risk Evaluation</p> <p>Entrained hydrocarbons, as opposed to DAHs, are oil droplets suspended in the water column and insoluble. Entrained hydrocarbons are not as bioavailable to marine organisms compared to DAHs and on that basis are considered to be a less toxic, especially over shorter exposure time frames. Entrained hydrocarbons still have potential effects on marine organisms through direct contact with exposed tissues and ingestion (NRC, 2005); however, the level of exposure causing effects is considered to be considerably higher than for DAHs.</p> <p>Much of the published scientific literature does not provide sufficient information to determine if toxicity is caused by entrained hydrocarbons, but rather the toxicity of total oils which includes both dissolved and entrained components. Variations in the methodology of the total water accommodated fraction (TWAF [entrained and dissolved]) may account for much of the observed wide variation in reported exposure values, which also depend on the test organism types, duration of exposure, oil type and the initial oil concentration. Total oil toxicity acute effects of total oil as LC50 for molluscs range from 500 to 2000 ppb (Clark <i>et al.</i>, 2001; Long and Holdway, 2002). A wider range of LC50 values have been reported for species of crustacea and fish from 100 to 258,000,000 ppb (Gulec <i>et al.</i>, 1997; Gulec and Holdway, 2000; Clark <i>et al.</i>, 2001) and 45 to 465,000,000 ppb (Gulec and Holdway, 2000; Barron <i>et al.</i>, 2004), respectively.</p> <p>The 10 ppb exposure value represents the very lowest concentration and corresponds generally with the lowest trigger levels for chronic exposure for entrained hydrocarbons in the ANZECC (2019) water quality guidelines. This is consistent with NOPSEMA (2019) guidance.</p> <p>Response Planning</p> <p>Contact at 10 ppb (as predicted by oil spill trajectory modelling) is used as a trigger for activating scientific monitoring plans as detailed in the OPEP. Establishes planning area for scientific monitoring based on potential for exceedance of water quality triggers (NOPSEMA, 2019).</p> |
| 100 | Moderate | <p>Risk Evaluation</p> <p>The 100 ppb exposure value is considered to be more representative of sub-lethal impacts to most species and lethal impacts to sensitive species based on toxicity testing as described above. This is considered conservative as toxicity to marine organisms from oil is likely to be driven by the more bioavailable dissolved aromatic fraction, which is typically not differentiated from entrained oil in toxicity tests using water accommodated fractions (WAFs). Given entrained oil is expected to have lower toxicity than dissolved aromatics, especially over time periods where these soluble fractions have dissolved from entrained oil, the higher Moderate exposure value for entrained oil over DAH (100 versus 50 ppb) is considered appropriate.</p> <p>Response Planning</p> <p>Encompassed by response to 10 ppb. There is nothing different for higher exposure values.</p> |

Hydrocarbon exposure values for surface oil, entrained oil, DAH and hydrocarbons ashore have been used to define the spatial extent of the EMBA (see also **Section 3.1**), as shown in **Figure 3-1**.

7.5.5 Spill risk assessment approach

The spill risk assessment approach adopted is based on Santos' Oil Spill Risk Assessment and Response Planning Procedure (QE-91-II-20003).

A consistent risk assessment approach is applied to the unplanned hydrocarbon release scenario. The spill risk assessment approach is based on Santos' Oil Spill Risk Assessment and Response Planning Procedure (QE-91-II-20003). The procedure describes the spill risk assessment process as follows:

1. Identify the spatial extent of the EMBA This has been completed for this EP as part of the assessment of the existing environment and receptors that are known to occur or may occur within the EMBA are described in **Section 3** and **Appendix C**.
2. Identify areas of high environmental value (HEV) within the EMBA (HEVs are described in **Section 7.5.5.2**).
3. Identify and then risk assess hotspots. Hotspots are effectively a subset of HEVs, and their determination is described in **Section 7.5.5.3**.
4. Identify priorities for protection (for consideration of spill response strategies in the OPEP).

7.5.5.1 Spill environment that may be affected

Defining the EMBA by an oil spill is the first step in oil spill risk assessment. For activities where there is the potential for multiple spill scenarios, the spill scenario, or combination of spill scenarios, resulting in the greatest spatial extent of impacts is used to define the overall EMBA for the activity. The EMBA is further described in **Section 3.1**.

7.5.5.2 Areas of high environmental value

Santos has predetermined areas of HEV (**Figure 7-2**) along the Western Australian coastline by ranking these areas based on:

- + Protected area status – This is used as an indicator of the biodiversity values contained within that area, where a World Heritage Area, Ramsar Wetland and Marine Protected Area will score higher than areas with no protection assigned.
- + BIAs of LTS – These are spatially defined areas where aggregations of individuals of a species are known to display biologically important behaviour, such as breeding, feeding, resting or migration. Each one of these within the predefined areas contributes to the score.

Further input to determine areas of HEV included:

- + sensitivity of habitats to impact from hydrocarbons in accordance with the guidance document Sensitivity Mapping for Oil Spill Response produced by IPIECA, the International Maritime Organisation and International Association of Oil and Gas Producers
- + sensitivities of receptors with respect to hydrocarbon-impact pathways
- + status of zones within protected areas (in other words, IUCN (1a) and sanctuary zones compared to IUCN (VI) and multiple use zones)
- + listed species status and predominant habitat (surface versus subsurface)
- + social values; in other words, socio-economic and heritage features (such as commercial fishing, recreational fishing, amenities, aquaculture).

Tallied scores for each predefined area along the Western Australian coastline were then ranked from 1 to 5, with an assignment of 1 representing areas of the highest environmental value and those with 5 representing the areas of the lowest environmental value.

7.5.5.3 Hotspots

While the entire EMBA will be considered during risk assessment and spill response planning, it is best practice to concentrate greatest effort and level of detail on those parts of the EMBA that have the:

- + greatest intrinsic environmental value – in other words, HEV areas ranked 1 to 3
- + highest probability of contact by oil (either floating, entrained or dissolved aromatic)
- + greatest potential concentration or volume of oil arriving at the area.

These areas are termed ‘hotspots’. Defining hotspots is typically the first step in undertaking detailed spill risk assessment and spill response planning. Hotspots are a subset of HEV areas that:

- + have the highest probability of contact (at least higher than 5%) above the impact assessment exposure value for surface hydrocarbons and shoreline accumulation based on modelling results
- + receive the greatest concentration or volume of oil, either floating or stranded oil, entrained oil or DAH above contact exposure values described in **Section 7.5.4**.

7.5.5.4 Priorities for protection

For the purposes of a spill response preparedness strategy, it is not necessary for all hotspots to have detailed planning. For example, wholly submerged hotspots may only be contacted by entrained oil, and the response would be largely to implement scientific monitoring to determine impact and recovery. Hotspots with features that are not wholly submerged (in other words, emergent features) should have specific spill response planning conducted. This final determination of ‘Priority for Protection’ sites, for the oil spill response strategy, is based on the worst-case estimate of floating oil concentration, shoreline loading and minimum contact time at exposure value concentrations. An assessment of each protection priority will be undertaken to determine the most appropriate spill response strategies based on the type of oil and the values of the protection priority area. This can be done through a strategic NEBA approach.




Figure 7-2: HEVs within the EMBA

7.5.5.5 Potential hydrocarbon impact pathways

To help inform the hydrocarbon spill risk assessment receptors within the EMBA and potential impact pathways have been defined (**Table 7-12**). The potential impact pathways consider physical and chemical pathways. Physical pathways include contact from floating oil, accumulated shoreline oil, or entrained oil droplets. Chemical pathways include ingestion, inhalation or contact from any hydrocarbon phase. These are summarised in **Table 7-12** and the information is drawn upon within the hydrocarbon risk assessment for the spill scenario. **Table 7-13** further describes the nature and scale of the hydrocarbon spills for this activity on marine fauna and socio-economic receptors found within the EMBA and moderate exposure value.

Table 7-12: Physical and chemical pathways for hydrocarbon exposure and potential impacts to receptors

| Receptor | Physical pathway | Potential impacts | Chemical pathway | Potential impacts |
|----------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Rocky shorelines | Shoreline loading and attachment may result in thin and sporadic coating of hydrocarbon residues. Degree of oil coating is dependent upon the energy of the shoreline area, the type of the rock formation and continual biodegradation of the oil. | Impacts to flora (mangroves) and fauna further described below. | Chemical pathway to fauna and flora via adsorption through cellular membranes and soft tissue, ingestion, irritation/burning on contact and inhalation. | Impacts to flora (mangroves) and fauna further described below. |
| Sandy beaches | Shoreline loading and water movement may allow hydrocarbon residue to filter down into sediments, continue to biodegrade on the surface or remobilise into surf zone. Degree of loading is dependent upon the energy and tidal reach of the shoreline, the type of the sandy shore and continual weathering of the oil. | Indirect impacts to nesting and foraging habitats for birds and turtles. Direct impacts to infauna. | Chemical pathway to fauna and flora via adsorption through cellular membranes and soft tissue, ingestion, irritation/burning on contact and inhalation. | Indirect impacts to nesting and foraging habitats for birds and turtles. Direct impacts (mortality) to infauna through toxic effects and smothering. |
| Intertidal platforms | Shoreline loading and water movement may allow hydrocarbon residue to filter down into sediments or continue to biodegrade on the surface or remobilise into surf zone. Degree of loading is dependent upon the energy and tidal reach of the shoreline, the type of the substrate and continual weathering of the oil. | Indirect impacts to foraging habitats for birds and turtles. Direct impacts to infauna. | Chemical pathway to fauna and flora via adsorption through cellular membranes and soft tissue, ingestion, irritation/burning on contact and inhalation. | Indirect impacts to foraging habitats for birds. Direct impacts (mortality) to infauna through toxic effects and smothering. |

| Receptor | Physical pathway | Potential impacts | Chemical pathway | Potential impacts |
|----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Shallow sub-tidal soft sediments | Hydrocarbon residue in the shallow waters adjacent to shorelines may settle to filter down into sediments. Degree of loading is dependent upon the energy and tidal reach of the shoreline, the type of the substrate and continual weathering of the oil. | Indirect impacts to foraging habitats for turtles and fish. Direct impacts to infauna. | Adsorption via cellular membranes and soft tissue, ingestion, irritation/burning on contact and inhalation. | Indirect impacts to foraging habitats for turtles and fish. Direct impacts (mortality) to infauna through toxic effects and smothering. |
| Mangroves | Coating of root system reducing air and salt exchange. Degree of coating is dependent upon the energy and tidal reach of the shoreline, the type of the substrate and continual weathering of the oil. | Yellowing of leaves. Defoliation. Increased sensitivity to stressors. Tree death. Reduced growth. Reduced reproductive output. Reduced seed viability. | External contact by oil and adsorption across cellular membranes. | Yellowing of leaves. Defoliation. Increased sensitivity to stressors. Tree death. Reduced growth. Reduced reproductive output. Reduced seed viability. Growth abnormalities. |
| Seagrasses and macroalgae | Coating of leaves/thalli reducing light availability and gas exchange. Degree of coating depends upon the energy and tidal reach of the shoreline, the type of the receptor and continual weathering of the oil. | Bleaching or blackening of leaves. Defoliation. Reduced growth. | External contact by oil and adsorption across cellular membranes. | Mortality. Bleaching or blackening of leaves. Defoliation. Disease. Reduced growth. Reduced reproductive output. Reduced seed/propagule viability. |

| Receptor | Physical pathway | Potential impacts | Chemical pathway | Potential impacts |
|---------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Hard corals (coral reefs) | Coating of polyps, shading resulting in reduction on light availability. Degree of coating is dependent upon the metocean conditions, dilution, if corals are emergent at all and continual weathering of the oil. | Bleaching. Increased mucous production. Reduced growth. | External contact by oil and adsorption across cellular membranes. | Mortality. Cell damage. Reduced metabolic capacity. Reduced immune response. Disease. Reduced growth. Reduced reproductive output. Reduced egg/larval success. Growth abnormalities. |
| Non-coral benthic invertebrates | Coating of adults, eggs and larvae. Degree of coating is dependent upon the energy and tidal reach of the shoreline, the type of the receptor and continual weathering of the oil. | Mortality. Behavioural disruption. Impaired growth. | Ingestion and inhalation. External contact and adsorption across exposed skin and cellular membranes. Uptake of DAH across cellular membranes. Reduced mobility and capacity for oxygen exchange. | Mortality. Cell damage. Reduced metabolic capacity. Reduced immune response. Disease. Reduced growth. Reduced reproductive output. Reduced egg/larval success. Growth abnormalities. Behavioural disruption. |

| Receptor | Physical pathway | Potential impacts | Chemical pathway | Potential impacts |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Sharks, rays and fish | Coating of adults but primarily eggs and larvae – reduced mobility and capacity for oxygen exchange. | Mortality. Oxygen debt. Starvation. Dehydration. Increased predation. Behavioural disruption. | Ingestion. External contact and adsorption across exposed skin and cellular membranes. Uptake of DAH across cellular membranes (for example, gills). | Mortality. Cell damage. Flesh taint. Reduced metabolic capacity. Reduced immune response. Disease. Reduced growth. Reduced reproductive output. Reduced egg/larval success. Growth abnormalities. Behavioural disruption. |
| Birds (seabirds and shorebirds) | Degree of coating is dependent upon the energy and tidal reach of the shoreline, the type of the receptor and continual weathering of the oil. | Feather and skin irritation and damage. | Ingestion (during feeding or preening). External contact and adsorption across exposed skin and membranes. | Mortality. Cell damage, lesions. Secondary infections. Reduced metabolic capacity. Reduced immune response. Disease. Reduced growth. Reduced reproductive output. Growth abnormalities. Behavioural disruption. |

| Receptor | Physical pathway | Potential impacts | Chemical pathway | Potential impacts |
|-----------------|--|--|---|--|
| Marine reptiles | Degree of coating is dependent upon the energy and tidal reach of the shoreline, the type of the receptor and continual weathering of the oil. | Behavioural disruption particularly during turtle nesting periods. | Inhalation. Ingestion. External contact and adsorption across exposed skin and membranes. | Mortality. Cell damage, lesions. Secondary infections. Reduced metabolic capacity. Reduced immune response. Disease. Reduced growth. Reduced hatchling success. Reduced reproductive output. Growth abnormalities. Behavioural disruption. |
| Marine mammals | Fur damage and matting, reduced mobility and buoyancy (for applicable species). Coating of feeding apparatus in some species (in other words, baleen whales). | Behavioural disruption such as deviation from migration pathways and commonly frequented feeding grounds. For smooth skinned marine mammals more susceptible to chemical pathways than physical pathways. | Inhalation. Ingestion. External contact and adsorption across exposed skin and membranes. | Mortality. Cell damage, lesions. Secondary infections. Reduced metabolic capacity. Reduced immune response. Disease. Reduced growth. Reduced reproductive output. Growth abnormalities. Behavioural disruption. |
| Plankton | Coating of feeding apparatus. Reduced mobility and capacity for oxygen exchange. | Mortality. Behavioural disruption (for example, reduced mobility). | Inhalation. Ingestion. External contact. | Mortality. Impairment of biological activities (for example, feeding, respiration). Reduced mobility. |

| Receptor | Physical pathway | Potential impacts | Chemical pathway | Potential impacts |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Water quality and sediment quality | <p>Presence of hydrocarbon residue in the water, which may filter down to sediments or continue to biodegrade on the surface.</p> <p>Degree of loading in the water column is dependent upon the influence of wave energy and tidal range.</p> | <p>Impacts to flora and fauna, as discussed in rows above.</p> | <p>Adsorption via cellular membranes and soft tissue, ingestion, irritation/burning on contact and inhalation.</p> <p>Impacts to flora and fauna, as discussed in rows above.</p> | <p>Impacts to flora and fauna, as discussed in rows above.</p> |
| Protected areas | <p>Coating of benthic habitats, shoreline habitats and marine fauna/flora within protected areas as discussed in rows above.</p> | <p>Mortality, injury or behavioural disruption to marine fauna.</p> <p>Death or impairment of habitats within protected areas.</p> <p>Reduction in the quality of the marine environment within protected areas.</p> <p>Environmental value of protected areas is degraded.</p> | <p>Impacts to flora and fauna, as discussed in rows above.</p> | <p>Mortality, injury or behavioural disruption to marine fauna.</p> <p>Death or impairment of habitats within protected areas.</p> <p>Reduced growth of benthic habitats.</p> <p>Reduction in the quality of the marine environment within protected areas.</p> <p>Environmental value of protected areas is degraded.</p> |
| Socio-economic environment (fisheries, tourism, shipping, defence, shipwrecks, Indigenous users, oil and gas) | <p>Presence of hydrocarbon residue in the water, which may filter down to sediments or continue to biodegrade on the surface.</p> <p>Coating of benthic habitats, shoreline habitats and marine fauna/flora within protected areas as discussed in rows above.</p> | <p>Degradation of cultural or maritime heritage sites.</p> <p>Disruption to tourism, recreation or shipping activities.</p> <p>Reduction in resource available for commercial and recreational fisheries.</p> | <p>Impacts to flora, fauna and the physical environment as discussed in rows above.</p> <p>Commercial/recreational fish species – refer to ‘fish’ as discussed above.</p> | <p>Degradation of cultural or maritime heritage sites.</p> <p>Disruption to tourism, recreation or shipping activities.</p> <p>Reduction in resource available for commercial and recreational fisheries.</p> |

Table 7-13: Nature and scale of hydrocarbon spills on environment and socio-economic receptors within the EMBA

| Receptor | Impacts of hydrocarbon spills | |
|---|--|---|
| | Entrained and dissolved aromatic hydrocarbons in the water column | Surface hydrocarbons |
| Threatened/Migratory fauna | | |
| Plankton (including zooplankton; fish and coral larvae) | There is potential for localised mortality of plankton due to reduced water quality and toxicity. Also, through physical contact of small oil droplets, plankton mobility, feeding and/or respiration may be impaired. Plankton could include the eggs and larvae of marine invertebrates and fish and therefore entrained oil could impact on recruitment of invertebrate/fish species. Effects will be greatest in the upper 10 m of the water column and areas close to the spill source where hydrocarbon concentrations are likely to be highest. | Plankton utilising the sea surface layer could be impacted by floating oil. |
| | Plankton could include the eggs and larvae of marine invertebrates and fish and therefore impact on recruitment of invertebrate/fish species. The operational areas have the potential to overlap with spawning of some fish species given the year round spawning of some species. In the unlikely event of a spill occurring, fish larvae may be impacted by hydrocarbons entrained in the water column. Following a hydrocarbon release a portion of the slick will rapidly evaporate and disperse in the offshore environment, reducing the concentration and toxicity of the spill. Maximum entrained oil concentrations were predicted at eighty mile beach AMP (from area A), Montebello Islands and AMP, Lowendal Islands, Barrow Island and Barrow-Montebello Surrounds for areas B and C. Plankton utilising the sea surface layer, as well as pelagic invertebrates, could be impacted from floating oil. Exposure to entrained oils and DAHs may result in lethal or sub-lethal impacts to plankton or pelagic invertebrates through a direct contact pathway. Such contact could impair the mobility, feeding and respiration of these fauna and exchange of chemicals could occur. | |
| Marine mammals | Lethal or sub-lethal physical and toxic effects such as irritation of eyes/mouth and potential illness. | At risk of direct contact with surface hydrocarbons due to chance of surfacing within slick. Effects include irritation of eyes/mouth and potential illness. Surface respiration could lead to accidental ingestion of hydrocarbons or result in the coating of sensitive epidermal surfaces. Potential impact to feeding apparatus of some species; in other words, baleen whales. |
| | Twelve migratory marine mammal species were identified by the PMST as occurring within any one of the EMBA (noting they overlap with each other). Of these, five are listed as endangered (blue whale and southern right whale) and three as vulnerable (humpback whale, fin whale and sei whale). The operational area and EMBA overlap with blue whale, humpback whale and dugong BIA (Figure 3-12). For further information about environmental impacts to marine mammals from hydrocarbon exposure and increased toxicity, refer to Table 7-12. Other migratory marine mammals may encounter either surface or water column hydrocarbons in the EMBA. Dugongs may be particularly susceptible to surface slicks, a reduction of seagrass habitat for foraging and/or ingestion of seagrass coated with oil. Dugongs occur throughout the shallow waters | |

| Receptor | Impacts of hydrocarbon spills | |
|-----------------|--|---|
| | Entrained and dissolved aromatic hydrocarbons in the water column | Surface hydrocarbons |
| | <p>between the Pilbara offshore islands and the mainland and have been observed in the shallow waters along the east coast of Barrow Island and over the Lowendal Shelf. The closest BIA (foraging and nursing) is at Exmouth Gulf and the Ningaloo Coast located approximately 130 km from operational area C (the closest operational area). Aerial surveys of dugong distribution have found that the animals occur around Barrow Island, Airlie Island, Lowendal Islands and the Montebello Islands further offshore (Prince, 2001).</p> | |
| Marine reptiles | <p>Lethal or sub-lethal physical and toxic effects such as irritation of eyes/mouth and potential illness.</p> <p>The Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia: 2017–2027 (Commonwealth of Australia, 2017) highlights acute chemical discharge as one of several threats to marine turtles.</p> | <p>At risk of direct contact with surface hydrocarbons due to chance of surfacing within slick. Effects include irritation of eyes/mouth and potential illness. Surface respiration could lead to accidental ingestion of hydrocarbons or result in the coating of sensitive epidermal surfaces.</p> <p>Contact with hydrocarbons that have accumulated on shorelines particularly at nesting beaches. Oiling of eggs/hatchlings may occur. Shoreline hydrocarbons are expected to be less toxic than fresh oils due to weathering processes such as photo oxidation and biodegradation reducing the levels of lighter chain hydrocarbons which are generally more toxic.</p> |
| | <p>Six species of threatened marine reptile were identified as possibly being impacted by a spill. Short-nosed seasnake, flatback, hawksbill, leatherback, green and loggerhead turtles are widely dispersed across the NWS and in the unlikely event of a hydrocarbon spill occurring, individuals traversing open water may come into contact with water column or surface hydrocarbons. The EMBA's overlap with BIAs and critical habitat for four turtle species (flatback, green, hawksbill and loggerhead) as shown in Figure 3-14 and Figure 3-15.</p> <p>Significant green turtle and flatback turtle rookeries are located on the western side of Barrow Island and on the Montebello Islands respectively. Nesting green turtles have also been observed on VI. Hawksbill turtles are known to nest in greater numbers of the eastern beaches of VI. Critical habitat including important nesting beaches for other turtle species are present within the EMBA, including locations where spill modelling indicated the accumulation of hydrocarbons on shorelines. The highest shoreline accumulations, above the 100 g/m² exposure value, were predicted at the Montebello Islands and Barrow Island. In the event of a spill, the presence of hydrocarbons on beaches would disrupt behaviour and potentially threaten turtle populations. For further detailed environmental impacts to marine reptiles from hydrocarbon exposure and increased toxicity, refer to Table 7-12.</p> | |

| Receptor | Impacts of hydrocarbon spills | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | Entrained and dissolved aromatic hydrocarbons in the water column | Surface hydrocarbons |
| Birds (seabirds and shorebirds) | <p>Lethal or sub-lethal physical and toxic effects such as irritation of eyes/mouth and potential illness.</p> <p>May encounter entrained hydrocarbons while diving and foraging.</p> | <p>Particularly vulnerable to surface slicks. As most fish survive beneath floating slicks, they will continue to attract foraging seabirds, which typically do not exhibit avoidance behaviour. Smothering can lead to reduced water proofing of feathers and ingestion while preening. In addition, direct contact with hydrocarbons can erode feathers causing chemical damage to the feather structure that subsequently affects ability to thermoregulate and maintain buoyancy on water.</p> <p>Shorebirds may be impacted by the presence of hydrocarbons accumulated on shorelines which may result in exposure to eggs and ingestion by foraging individuals. Shoreline hydrocarbons are expected to be less toxic than fresh oils due to weathering processes such as photo oxidation and biodegradation reducing the levels of lighter chain hydrocarbons which are generally more toxic.</p> |
| | <p>Fifty-five threatened or migratory species of seabirds and shorebirds were identified within the EMBA by the PMST (Table 3-10). Of these, only 17 species were identified within the operational areas. The Australian fairy tern (vulnerable status) has foraging habitat intersecting the operational area and a BIA for breeding within the EMBA. Migratory seabird BIAs for breeding and overlap with the operational areas and EMBA (Figure 3-16) therefore, species may be impacted by surface and entrained hydrocarbons while foraging (dive and skim feeding) with higher numbers expected during the breeding periods.</p> <p>Birds (seabirds and shorebirds) are highly susceptible to hydrocarbon spills, with impacts primarily attributed to oiling of birds at the sea surface from slicks and oil on shorelines. Given the worst-case slick (diesel spill) could extend up to 300 km from area A, 225 km from area B and 400 km from Area C at the 1 g/m² exposure value, impacts to birds may include coating by oil when floating in open water, diving into open and coastal waters to feed on fish, wading and foraging on shallow intertidal mud/sand flats or roosting on oil affected sandy beaches. Other impacts could include behavioural impacts whereby birds avoid important nesting and migratory stop-over areas or reduced food availability if important foraging areas are impacted. For further information about environmental impacts to seabirds/shorebirds through hydrocarbon exposure and toxicity effects, refer to Table 7-12.</p> | |

| Receptor | Impacts of hydrocarbon spills | |
|--|--|---|
| | Entrained and dissolved aromatic hydrocarbons in the water column | Surface hydrocarbons |
| Sharks, Rays and Fish | <p>Hydrocarbon droplets can physically affect fish, sharks and rays exposed for an extended duration (weeks to months). Smothering through coating of gills can lead to the lethal and sub-lethal effects of reduced oxygen exchange, and coating of body surfaces may lead to increased incidence of irritation and infection. Fish may also ingest hydrocarbon droplets or contaminated food leading to reduced growth.</p> <p>There is potential for localised mortality of fish eggs and larva due to reduced water quality and toxicity. Effects will be greatest in the upper 10 m of the water column and areas close to the spill source where hydrocarbon concentrations are likely to be highest and therefore demersal fish communities (including those associated with the Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities KEF located approximately 89 km from Area B and 26 km from Area C (no contact from Area A EMBA)) may be exposed. For further information about environmental impacts to fish/sharks/rays from hydrocarbon exposure and toxicity effects, refer to Table 7-12.</p> | <p>While fish, sharks and rays do not generally break the sea surface, individuals may feed at the surface. For condensate/diesel spills where a slick is expected to quickly disperse and evaporate, prolonged exposure to surface hydrocarbons by fish, shark and ray species is unlikely. However, for diesel the surface slick may extend 150 to 400 km from the release location at the 1 g/m² exposure value and will weather at the sea surface over time with little entrainment into the water column.</p> <p>Due to the filter-feeding nature of whale sharks they may be susceptible to ingesting surface hydrocarbons, both fresh and weathered (tar balls) if feeding at the sea surface particularly from diesel spills.</p> |
| | <p>The NWS supports a diverse assemblage of fish, including 456 species of finfish, particularly in shallower water near the mainland and islands. Threatened species identified by the PMST include the white shark, whale shark, grey nurse shark, sawfishes (freshwater, dwarf, green, narrow), giant manta ray and reef manta ray, mako sharks, blind gudgeons and cave eel, porbeagle and oceanic white tip sharks which may be present in the EMBA. However, given the absence of critical habitat for most of these species, significant numbers are not expected to be exposed to hydrocarbons in the event of a spill. These threatened and migratory fish and sharks could be present at low densities all year round within the operational area and EMBA; however, the absence of any known feeding, resting or breeding areas means significant numbers are unlikely to be impacted if an unplanned release were to occur.</p> <p>The whale shark foraging BIA is presented in Figure 3-11 and the main whale shark aggregation location (Ningaloo Marine Park) is 142 km southwest of Area C (the closest location to the AMP). The EPBC Act-listed whale shark may occur in EMBA, particularly off the Ningaloo coastline between March and June and is known to feed in surface waters. There is, therefore, the potential for this species to ingest oil from surface slicks with resultant damage to gills, other tissues and organs. For further information about environmental impacts to fish/sharks/rays from hydrocarbon exposure and toxicity effects, refer to Table 7-12.</p> | |
| Socio-economic | | |
| Commercial, Recreational and Traditional Fisheries | Hydrocarbons in the water column can have toxic effects on fish (as outlined above) potentially reducing catch rates and rendering fish unsafe for human consumption. | In addition to the effects of entrained and DAHs, exclusion zones surrounding a spill can directly impact fisheries by restricting access for fishermen. Weathered diesel slicks may form tar balls which may result in oiling of nets and fishing infrastructure. |

| Receptor | Impacts of hydrocarbon spills | |
|------------------------|---|----------------------|
| | Entrained and dissolved aromatic hydrocarbons in the water column | Surface hydrocarbons |
| | <p>A number of commercial fisheries operate within the EMBA (Section 3.2.4). Impacts to these fisheries from a spill may range from disruption of fishing activities caused by the physical presence of the slick, loss of (or loss of function of) coastal intertidal habitat (for example, seagrass meadows, mangrove communities, intertidal mudflats) which may provide nursery habitat for fishery species (for example, fish and crustaceans) and contact of surface and entrained hydrocarbons with the eggs and larvae of commercially important species. Exposure to entrained and DAHs could result in the accumulation of oil in fish tissues to the extent that could result in hydrocarbon taint of fish flesh. Connell and Miller (1981) compiled a summary of studies listing the exposure value concentrations at which tainting occurred for hydrocarbons. The results contained in their review indicate that tainting of fish occurs when fish are exposed to ambient concentrations of 4–300 ppm (4,000-300,000 ppb) of hydrocarbons in the water, for durations of 24 hours or more, with response to phenols and naphthenic acids being the strongest. Given that entrained hydrocarbons are predicted to exceed the moderate threshold at some locations in the EMBA, hydrocarbon taint is possible in fish flesh although it is difficult to assess how long fish might be exposed for; small, less mobile fishes would be more susceptible. It is possible that impacts could be detected to fisheries on a stock level although it is more likely that natural variation in fish abundance would be on a greater scale than any impacts attributable to a hydrocarbon spill. This would most likely be the case for fisheries species that utilise shallow waters around the Barrow and Montebello Islands and could occur through direct impacts to fish or to fish habitats (for example, seagrass, coral reef, mangrove habitats).</p> <p>The same negative impacts could also occur to important recreational fish species and the recreational fisheries they support although impacts to commercial fisheries could result in the additional impact of loss of income for commercial fishers.</p> <p>Entrained oil >100 ppb could reach pearl farming activities at the Montebello Islands. Pearl oysters are filter feeders, therefore entrained oil droplets could create negative impacts through ingestion and accumulation of hydrocarbon compounds in oyster tissues or interference with respiratory structures. Ecotox (2009) reported no observable effect concentration levels of a comparable oyster species from weathered condensates ranged from approximately 9,000 to 28,000 ppm. Such impacts could lead to sub-lethal (for example, reduced oyster growth rates, reduced reproductive success) or at worst lethal impacts. Given that dissolved hydrocarbons could reach acutely toxic levels, mortality could occur. Significant impacts on aquaculture would be unlikely as pearling leases identified in the region are currently inactive and no stakeholder concerns have been raised. However, if these leases were to become active within the life of this EP, then some loss of value to the local industry could occur in the event of a vessel collision resulting in a spill.</p> | |
| Recreation and Tourism | <p>A number of tourism destinations occur within the EMBA, including Ningaloo Reef (which is within a World Heritage Area, National Heritage Place and a Commonwealth Heritage Place) and offshore islands such as the Montebello Islands. A number of areas with high diversity or which have unique ecological values are protected within AMPs. As well as reducing the visual amenity of these areas, a surface slick could impact the habitats and marine fauna of these areas thereby impacting the environmental values of these tourism areas. Depending upon the extent of impact, loss of revenue to coastal towns and communities could also occur.</p> | |

| Receptor | Impacts of hydrocarbon spills | |
|--|---|--|
| | Entrained and dissolved aromatic hydrocarbons in the water column | Surface hydrocarbons |
| Shipping | Multiple shipping fairways intersect the EMBA (Table 3-13). Hydrocarbons in the water column will have no effect on shipping. | Exclusion zones surrounding a spill will reduce access for shipping vessels for the duration of the response undertaken for spill clean-up (if applicable); vessel may have to take large detours leading to potential delays and increased costs. |
| Defence | The level of defence activities performed in the vicinity of operational area is low, though it does overlap some of the North West Exercise Area. Interference of defence activities due to a hydrocarbon spill is expected to be minimal. | |
| Shipwrecks | As described in Section 3.2.4 , there are 29 historic (>75 years old) shipwrecks across all of the EMBA's. Shipwrecks may be of important heritage value and/or act as dive sites. Surface hydrocarbons will have no impact on shipwrecks. Hydrocarbons in the water column either as entrained oil or DAHs may extend hundreds of kilometres from the release location. The potential for in-water hydrocarbons to impact on shipwrecks is poorly documented however it has been proposed that exposure to oil may alter bacterial community composition (biofilms) inhabiting shipwrecks possibly altering corrosion potential (Salerno <i>et al.</i> , 2016). | |
| Indigenous users | Marine resource use by Indigenous people is generally restricted to coastal waters. Fishing, hunting and the maintenance of maritime cultures and heritage through ritual, stories and traditional knowledge continue as important uses of the nearshore region and adjacent areas. The level of activities undertaken by indigenous users is expected to be low given that no native title claims, ethnographic or archaeological sites or records of aboriginal occupation are listed for the Montebello Islands or the surrounding marine waters of the nearby islands (Section 3). Therefore, interference due to a hydrocarbon spill are expected to be minimal. | |
| Existing oil and gas activity | A number of oil and gas operators operate within the EMBA with existing projects and infrastructure in place as well as continuing drilling and exploration programs. A surface slick has the potential to disrupt activity potentially halting production or exploration with associated economic impact. Exclusion zones surrounding spills will reduce access potentially resulting in delays to work schedules with possible subsequent financial implications. Chevron's Gorgon and WA Oil operations on Barrow Island, and Santos' other activities on the NWS around Varanus Island and the Reindeer WHP may be impacted in the event of an unplanned spill event through exclusion or access restrictions in the event of spill response/clean-up activities (if applicable). | |
| Protected Areas | | |
| Marine Parks and Commonwealth Heritage Areas | Protected areas are described in Section 3.1 . These areas provide key habitats that support an array of marine flora and fauna along with unique natural phenomena. | |
| | These protected areas support all the habitats and faunal groups described above and support unique/protected habitats/marine fauna or ecological features. Impacts to the habitat/fauna receptors described above therefore have an impact on the values of these reserves which could have flow-on effects to tourism revenue for coastal communities that provide access to these marine reserves. The protected areas may also support nursery/feeding/aggregation areas for fisheries species and therefore may assist in maintaining healthy fish stocks and commercial/recreational fisheries. | |

| Receptor | Impacts of hydrocarbon spills | |
|----------|--|----------------------|
| | Entrained and dissolved aromatic hydrocarbons in the water column | Surface hydrocarbons |
| KEFs | KEFs overlapping the EMBA are described in Section 3 : | |
| | While the features associated with the KEFs are subtidal and will not be directly contacted by a surface slick, they all may support increased productivity or abundance of marine fauna that use surface waters above the features (including plankton, pelagic invertebrates and fish, marine mammals, marine reptiles and seabirds) which may be impacted by floating oil. Impacts to these marine fauna are described above. In the case of Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities, the planktonic eggs and larvae of these demersal fish communities may be impacted by a spill. | |

7.6 Release of hydrocarbons

7.6.1 Description of event

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Event | <p>A minor spill (approximately 37.5 m³) of diesel could occur during vessel refuelling resulting in a loss of hydrocarbons to the marine environment at sea surface. Spills during refuelling can occur through several pathways, including fuel hose breaks, coupling failure or tank overfilling.</p> <p>The specific vessel to undertake the VBA is yet to be confirmed; a review of available vessels indicated that the largest single fuel tank is likely to be up to 250 m³ in capacity. Although the likely vessel's largest fuel tank will be smaller, a conservative modelled spill volume of 329 m³ has been used for this EP.</p> |
| Extent | <p>Diesel spill trajectory modelling (GHD, 2020) indicated that there was some probability of a 329 m³ marine diesel oil (MDO) spill extending as follows (using the moderate exposure thresholds):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Area A (summary from all modelling locations) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Shoreline loading was predicted to occur within 225 km. – Surface oil was predicted to occur within approximately 200 km. – Total submerged oil was predicted to occur within approximately 200 km. – Dissolved hydrocarbons were predicted to occur within approximately 150 km. + Area B (summary from all modelling locations) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Shoreline loading was predicted to occur within 200 km. – Surface oil was predicted to occur within approximately 225 km. – Total submerged oil was predicted to occur within approximately 300 km. – Dissolved hydrocarbons were predicted to occur within approximately 200 km. + Area C (summary from all modelling locations) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Shoreline loading was predicted to occur within 200 km. – Surface oil was predicted to occur within approximately 175 km. – Total submerged oil was predicted to occur within approximately 125 km. – Dissolved hydrocarbons were predicted to occur within approximately 125 km. |
| Duration | <p>A 329 m³ release of diesel was modelled for a release over 0.5 hour, replicating the potential duration of a spill arising from a significant collision.</p> |

7.6.2 Nature and scale of environmental impacts

Hydrocarbon spills will cause a decline in water quality and may cause chemical (for example, toxic) and physical (for example, coating of emergent habitats, oiling of wildlife at sea surface) impacts to marine species. The severity of the impact of a hydrocarbon spill depends on the magnitude of the spill (in other words, extent, duration) and sensitivity of the receptor. The nature and scale of a hydrocarbon spill is described throughout this chapter for a vessel collision scenario, given smaller hydrocarbon spills (from refuelling) will impact a smaller area than a vessel collision.

Potential receptors: Plankton (including zooplankton and fish and coral larvae), Marine mammals, Marine reptiles, Seabirds and shorebirds, Shallow benthic, intertidal and shoreline habitats, Fish and sharks, Fisheries, Tourism, Protected areas, Shipping, Defence, Shipwrecks, Indigenous, Existing oil and gas activity and KEFs.

A surface release of MDO to the marine environment would result in a localised reduction in water quality in the upper surface waters of the water column near the location of the spill. To account for a diesel release that may occur anywhere within the operational areas in Commonwealth waters and closer to sensitive

receptors, potential impact pathways (physical and chemical) of hydrocarbon exposure for receptors are summarised in and potential impacts to receptors found within the EMBA are further described in **Table 7-12**.

Table 7-13 summarises the potential impacts of hydrocarbon spills to sensitive receptors and values within the EMBA.

7.6.3 Spill modelling results

The modelling results (GHD, 2020; APASA, 2019) are presented for the fate of hydrocarbon from a vessel collision at the exposure values defined in **Section 7.5.4**, and have been provided for the purposes of risk evaluation, displaying in **Table 7-14** the parameters of:

- + minimum time to contact from moderate and high exposure value
- + maximum hydrocarbon concentration from high exposure value
- + maximum oil loading on shoreline from moderate and high exposure value
- + length of shoreline oiled.

Further parameters required to inform spill response strategies are described further in the OPEP. A summary of the modelling results is provided for each of the modelling locations below. Note that the results for Area B – Reindeer WHP (APASA, 2019) are presented slightly differently in **Section 7.6.3.5** and **Table 7-14** as a different model was used for this scenario than for the other six (GHD, 2020).

7.6.3.1 Area A – Bedout West

Shoreline Accumulation – Bedout West

Shoreline accumulation at the low threshold was predicted to occur for 1.3% of the realisations (2 realisations out of 150). Both realisations had well below one tonne (0.015 and 0.04 tonnes) of accumulated shoreline loading. Specific details of shoreline accumulation are provided below in the context of the low, moderate and high exposure values.

Low

Shoreline loading above the low threshold ($>10 \text{ g/m}^2$) was predicted to only occur at Clerke Reef approximately 300 km northeast.

Moderate and High

No shoreline accumulation at either the moderate (100 g/m^2) or high (1000 g/m^2) thresholds were predicted to occur.

Floating Oil – Bedout West

Low

Surface oil above the low threshold (1 g/m^2) was predicted to extend up to approximately 250 km to the west and east, and approximately 175 km to the north of the release location.

Moderate and High

At the moderate threshold (10 g/m^2), surface oiling was reduced in spatial extent to within approximately 200 km to the west and approximately 150 km to the east and approximately 100 km to the north. Exceedances of the high threshold (50 g/m^2) were limited in spatial extent to within approximately 100 km of the release site.

Surface oiling above the moderate threshold (10 g/m^2) did not occur at any receptors.

Total Submerged Oil (entrained plus dissolved oil) – Bedout West

Low

Total submerged oil at the low threshold (10 ppb) was predicted to primarily occur within approximately 300 km west and approximately 175 km east/northeast of the spill site.

Moderate

At the moderate threshold (100 ppb), predicted contact was reduced in spatial extent primarily within approximately 125 km of the spill site.

Total submerged oil above the moderate threshold (10 g/m²) did not occur at any receptors.

Dissolved Oil – Bedout West

Low

Dissolved hydrocarbons at the low threshold (10 ppb) were predicted to extend a maximum distance of approximately 200 km to the west, approximately 100 km to the north and approximately 150 km to the east.

Moderate and High

At the moderate threshold (50 ppb), the spatial extent was within approximately 150 km, approximately 75 km to the north and approximately 125 km to the east. Exceedance of the high threshold (400 ppb) was limited to within 50 km of the release site.

Dissolved oil above the moderate threshold (50 ppb) did not occur at any receptors.

7.6.3.2 Area A – Bedout North

Shoreline Accumulation – Bedout North

Shoreline accumulation at the low threshold was predicted to occur for only one realisation of the 150, which exceeded one tonne of accumulated shoreline loading (2.4 tonnes). Specific details of shoreline accumulation are provided below in the context of the low, moderate and high exposure values.

Low

Shoreline loading above the low threshold (>10 g/m²) was predicted to only occur at Imperieuse Reef approximately 150 km northeast.

Moderate and High

Shoreline loading above the moderate threshold (>100 g/m²) was predicted to only occur at Imperieuse Reef approximately 150 km northeast. No shoreline loading was predicted to exceed the high threshold (1,000 g/m²).

Shoreline accumulation above the moderate threshold (100 g/m²) at the Imperieuse Reef MP was predicted at a very low probability (<1%) with a maximum accumulated load of 1.6 tonnes.

Floating Oil – Bedout North

Low

Surface oil above the low threshold (1 g/m²) was predicted to extend up to approximately 300 km to the west approximately 200 km to the east and approximately 125 km to the north of the release location.

Moderate and High

At the moderate threshold (10 g/m²), surface oiling was reduced in spatial extent to within approximately 200 km to the west, approximately 150 km to the east and approximately 100 km to the north. Exceedances

of the high threshold (50 g/m²) were limited in spatial extent to within approximately 100 km of the release site.

Receptors predicted to be contacted by surface oiling above the moderate threshold (10 g/m²) included Eighty Mile Beach AMP and Bedout Island both with <1% probability.

Total Submerged Oil (entrained plus dissolved oil) – Bedout North

Low

Total submerged oil at the low threshold (10 ppb) was predicted to primarily occur within approximately 325 km west, 200 km to the east and approximately 150 km north of the spill site.

Moderate

At the moderate threshold (100 ppb), predicted contact was reduced in spatial extent primarily within approximately 100 km of the spill site.

No receptors were predicted to be contacted by total submerged oil at the moderate threshold (100 ppb).

Dissolved Oil – Bedout North

Low

Dissolved hydrocarbons at the low threshold (10 ppb) were predicted to extend a maximum distance of approximately 225 km to the west, approximately 150 km to the east and approximately 100 km to the north.

Moderate and High

At the moderate threshold (50 ppb), the spatial extent was within approximately 125 km of the release location. Exceedance of the high threshold (400 ppb) was limited to within 50 km of the release site.

No receptors were predicted to be contacted by dissolved hydrocarbons at the moderate threshold (50 ppb).

7.6.3.3 Area A – Bedout South

Shoreline Accumulation – Bedout South

Shoreline accumulation at the low threshold was predicted to occur for 21% of the realisations (32 realisations out of 150). Among these, three realisations predicted >50 tonnes of accumulated shoreline loading, primarily on Bedout Island. These large loading events onto Bedout Island tend to occur from January to April. Specific details of shoreline accumulation are provided below in the context of the low, moderate and high exposure values.

Low

Shoreline loading above the low threshold (>10 g/m²) was predicted to occur up to approximately 225 km from the release site at the geographic receptor of Eighty Mile Beach.

Moderate and High

Shoreline loading above the moderate threshold (>100 g/m²) was predicted to occur up to approximately 225 km from the release site at the geographic receptor of Eighty Mile Beach. Shoreline loading above the high threshold (>1,000 g/m²) occurred only at Bedout Island approximately 40 km to the south-west of the release site.

Shoreline accumulation above the moderate threshold (100 g/m²) at the geographic receptors of Bedout Island, Eighty Mile Beach and Port Hedland-Eighty Mile Beach were predicted at a low probabilities of 6.7%, 2% and 1.3%, respectively.

Floating Oil – Bedout South

Low

Surface oil above the low threshold (1 g/m²) was predicted to extend up to approximately 175 km to the west, approximately 150 km north and approximately 175 km to the east of the release location.

Moderate and High

At the moderate threshold (10 g/m²), surface oiling was reduced in spatial extent to within approximately 125 km to the west, approximately 150 km to the east and approximately 100 km to the north. Exceedances of the high threshold (50 g/m²) were limited in spatial extent to within approximately 100 km of the release site.

Receptors predicted to be contacted by surface oiling above the moderate threshold (10 g/m²) included Eighty Mile Beach AMP (approximately 52% probability), Bedout Island (approximately 9% probability), Port Hedland-Eighty Mile Beach (approximately 3% probability) and Eighty Mile Beach (approximately 1% probability).

Total Submerged Oil (entrained plus dissolved oil) – Bedout South

Low

Total submerged oil at the low threshold (10 ppb) was predicted to primarily occur within approximately 175 km of the spill site.

Moderate

At the moderate threshold (100 ppb), predicted contact was reduced in spatial extent primarily within approximately 125 km of the spill site.

Three receptors were predicted to be contacted by total submerged oil at the moderate threshold (100 ppb), namely Eighty Mile Beach AMP (approximately 52% probability), Bedout Island (approximately 11% probability) and Port Hedland-Eighty Mile Beach (approximately 1.3% probability).

Dissolved Oil – Bedout South

Low

Dissolved hydrocarbons at the low threshold (10 ppb) were predicted to extend a maximum distance of approximately 150 km of the release location.

Moderate and High

At the moderate threshold (50 ppb), the spatial extent was within approximately 100 km of the release location. Exceedance of the high threshold (400 ppb) was limited to within 50 km of the release site.

Four receptors were predicted to be contacted by dissolved oil at the moderate threshold (50 ppb), namely Eighty Mile Beach AMP (approximately 52% probability), Bedout Island (approximately 10% probability), Port Hedland-Eighty Mile Beach (approximately 1.3% probability), and Eighty Mile Beach (approximately 0.7% probability).

7.6.3.4 Area B – Dancer

Shoreline Accumulation – Dancer

Shoreline accumulation at the low threshold was predicted to occur for 8% of the realisations (12 realisations out of 150). Among these, only one realisation (<1%) exceeded one tonne of accumulated shoreline loading. Specific details of shoreline accumulation are provided below in the context of the low, moderate and high exposure values.

Low

Shoreline loading above the low threshold ($>10 \text{ g/m}^2$) was predicted to occur within 75 to 150 km of the release site at Barrow Island, Montebello Islands, Dampier Archipelago and Northern Islands Coast, as well as at the Southern Islands Coast approximately 250 km southwest.

Moderate and High

At the moderate threshold (100 g/m^2) shoreline accumulation was predicted at $<1\%$ probability only at the Montebello Islands and Dampier Archipelago, while only the Montebello Islands were predicted to exceed the high threshold ($1,000 \text{ g/m}^2$).

Floating Oil – Dancer

Low

Surface oil above the low threshold (1 g/m^2) was predicted to extend up to approximately 225 km to the west and north, and approximately 175 km to the east of the release location.

Moderate and High

At the moderate threshold (10 g/m^2), surface oiling was reduced in spatial extent to within approximately 200 km to the west and approximately 125 km to the east and north, with the highest probability of approximately 15% at the Montebello AMP. Exceedances of the high threshold (50 g/m^2) were limited in spatial extent to within approximately 125 km to the west, approximately 50 km to the north and approximately 100 km to the east.

Total Submerged Oil (entrained plus dissolved oil) – Dancer

Low

Total submerged oil at the low threshold (10 ppb) was predicted to primarily occur within approximately 250 km west and approximately 150 km east/northeast of the spill site.

Moderate

At the moderate threshold (100 ppb), predicted contact was reduced in spatial extent primarily within approximately 200 km to the east and approximately 100 km to the north and east of the spill site.

Two receptors were predicted to be contacted by total submerged oil at the moderate threshold (100 ppb), namely Montebello AMP and Glomar Shoals with low contact probabilities of 18% and 2.7%.

Dissolved Oil – Dancer

Low

Dissolved hydrocarbons at the low threshold (10 ppb) were predicted to extend a maximum distance of approximately 200 km to the west and approximately 150 km to the east/northeast.

Moderate and High

At the moderate threshold (50 ppb), the spatial extent was within approximately 150 km to the west and approximately 100 km to the east/northeast. Exceedance of the high threshold (400 ppb) was limited to within 50 km of the release site.

The receptors predicted to be contacted by dissolved hydrocarbons at the moderate threshold (50 ppb) were the Glomar Shoals and Montebello AMP with very low ($<1\%$) and moderately low (approximately 15%) contact probabilities.

7.6.3.5 Area B – Reindeer WHP

Shoreline Accumulation – Reindeer WHP

Shoreline accumulation is expected to occur at a number of receptors within the EMBA but at very low volumes with the maximum volume being less than 1 m³. Specific details of shoreline accumulation are provided below in the context of the low, moderate and high exposure values.

Low

Stochastic modelling shows that there is a 1% probability of shoreline accumulation above 10 g/m² at the Montebello Islands. This is approximately 50 km from the spill site.

Moderate and High

Stochastic modelling shows that there is less than 1% probability of shoreline accumulation at moderate and high exposure values at any HEV.

Floating Oil – Reindeer WHP

Low

Stochastic modelling determined that floating oil at low threshold has a greater than 1% probability of reaching Montebello AMP (approximately 50 km from the spill site) and Barrow Island (approximately 100 km from the spill site).

Moderate and High

Stochastic modelling determined that floating oil and the moderate and high exposure value is expected to remain localised around the spill site and there is no probability greater than 1% of floating hydrocarbons at these concentrations to reach any HEVs.

Dissolved Oil – Reindeer WHP

Low

Stochastic modelling determined that dissolved oil at the low exposure value may have a greater than 1% probability of reaching Barrow-Montebello Surrounds (approximately 50 km from the spill site), Montebello AMP (approximately 50 km from the spill site) and offshore Ningaloo (approximately 250 km from the spill site).

Moderate and High

Stochastic modelling determined that floating oil and the moderate and high exposure value is expected to remain localised around the spill site and there is no probability greater than 1% of floating hydrocarbons at these concentrations to reach any HEVs.

Entrained Oil – Reindeer WHP

Low

Entrained oil at the low exposure value may reach up to nine HEVs: Barrow Island; Montebello Island; Southern Islands Coast; Barrow-Montebello Surrounds; Outer North West Ningaloo; Montebello AMP; Offshore Ningaloo; Rankin Bank and Glomar Shoals.

Moderate

Entrained oil at the moderate exposure value may reach up to 10 HEVs: Barrow Island; Lowendal Islands; Montebello Islands; Southern Islands Coast; Montebello AMP; Offshore Ningaloo; Rankin Bank and Glomar Shoals.

7.6.3.6 Area C – WA-510-P

Shoreline Accumulation – WA-510-P

Shoreline accumulation at the low threshold was predicted to occur for 35% of the realisations (53 realisations out of 150). Among these, seventeen realisations were predicted to have greater than 20 tonnes of accumulated shoreline loading, primarily on the Montebello Islands and Barrow Island. No clear seasonal pattern of these large loading events is evident. Specific details of shoreline accumulation are provided below in the context of the low, moderate and high exposure values.

Low

Shoreline loading above the low threshold ($>10 \text{ g/m}^2$) was predicted to occur up to approximately 200 km from the release site at the geographic receptor of Ningaloo Coast North.

Moderate and High

Shoreline loading above the moderate threshold ($>100 \text{ g/m}^2$) was predicted to occur up to approximately 200 km from the release site at the geographic receptor of Ningaloo Coast North. At the high threshold ($>1,000 \text{ g/m}^2$) shoreline loading occurred up to 75 km from the release site at Barrow Island.

Shoreline accumulation above the moderate threshold (100 g/m^2) at the geographic receptors of Montebello Islands, Barrow Island and Lowendal Islands were predicted at low probabilities of approximately 9%, approximately 5% and $<1\%$, respectively.

Floating Oil – WA-510-P

Low

Surface oil above the low threshold (1 g/m^2) was predicted to extend up to approximately 400 km to the west, approximately 300 km north and approximately 175 km to the east of the release location.

Moderate and High

At the moderate threshold (10 g/m^2), surface oiling was reduced in spatial extent to within approximately 175 km to the west, approximately 125 km to the east and approximately 100 km to the north. Exceedances of the high threshold (50 g/m^2) were limited in spatial extent to within approximately 100 km of the release site.

Receptors predicted to be contacted by surface oiling above the moderate threshold (10 g/m^2) included Montebello AMP (approximately 99% probability), Barrow-Montebello Surrounds (approximately 25% probability), Offshore Ningaloo (approximately 21% probability), Montebello Islands (approximately 11% probability), and Barrow Island Lowendal Islands and Rankin Bank at $<5\%$ probability.

Total Submerged Oil (entrained plus dissolved oil) – WA-510-P

Low

Total submerged oil at the low threshold (10 ppb) was predicted to primarily occur within approximately 250 km of the spill site except for an isolated event approximately 350 to 400 km to the west (likely due to entrainment of oil from the surface slick).

Moderate

At the moderate threshold (100 ppb), predicted contact was reduced primarily to a spatial extent primarily within approximately 125 km of the spill site.

Receptors predicted to be contacted by total submerged oil at the moderate threshold (100 ppb) included Montebello AMP (approximately 98% probability), Barrow-Montebello Surrounds (approximately 25%

probability), Offshore Ningaloo (approximately 13% probability), Montebello Islands (approximately 10% probability), Barrow Island (approximately 6% probability) and Lowendal Islands (<1% probability).

Dissolved Oil – WA-510-P

Low

Dissolved hydrocarbons at the low threshold (10 ppb) were predicted to extend a maximum distance of approximately 200 km of the release location with the exception of one isolated event at a distance of approximately 350 km to the west that was related to increased entrainment (and resultant dissolution) of oil from the surface slick.

Moderate and High

At the moderate threshold (50 ppb), the spatial extent was within approximately 125 km of the release location. Exceedance of the high threshold (400 ppb) was limited to within 75 km of the release site.

Receptors predicted to be contacted by dissolved oil at the moderate threshold (50 ppb) included Montebello AMP (approximately 99% probability), Barrow-Montebello Surrounds (approximately 25% probability), Offshore Ningaloo (approximately 12% probability), Montebello Islands (approximately 11% probability), Barrow Island (approximately 5% probability) and Lowendal Islands (<1% probability).

7.6.3.7 Area C – Spartan

Shoreline Accumulation – Spartan

Shoreline accumulation at the low threshold was predicted to occur for 33% of the realisations (49 realisations out of 150). Among these, 21 realisations were predicted to have greater than ten tonnes of accumulated shoreline loading, primarily on the Montebello Islands and Barrow Island. Typically, these elevated loading events occurred from January to April. Specific details of shoreline accumulation are provided below in the context of the low, moderate and high exposure values.

Low

Shoreline loading above the low threshold (>10 g/m²) was predicted to occur up to approximately 300 km southwest and approximately 200 km east of the release site.

Moderate and High

Shoreline loading above the moderate threshold (>100 g/m²) was predicted to occur up to approximately 300 km southwest and approximately 200 km east of the release site. At the high threshold (>1,000 g/m²) shoreline loading was primarily limited to within 75 km of the spill site with the exception of one model cell on the Ningaloo Coast approximately 250 km to the southwest.

Shoreline accumulation above the moderate threshold (100 g/m²) at the geographic receptors of Montebello Islands and Barrow Island were predicted at low probabilities of approximately 11% and approximately 5%. Whilst Dampier Archipelago, Southern Islands Coast and Ningaloo Coast North were predicted at <1%.

Floating Oil – Spartan

Low

Surface oil above the low threshold (1 g/m²) was predicted to extend up to approximately 350 km to the west, approximately 150 km north and approximately 200 km to the east of the release location.

Moderate and High

At the moderate threshold (10 g/m²), surface oiling was reduced in spatial extent to within approximately 225 km to the west, approximately 125 km to the east and approximately 100 km to the north. Exceedances

of the high threshold (50 g/m²) were limited in spatial extent to within approximately 150 km of the release location.

Receptors predicted to be contacted by surface oiling above the moderate threshold (10 g/m²) included Montebello AMP (approximately 68% probability), Barrow-Montebello Surrounds (approximately 23% probability), Offshore Ningaloo (approximately 24% probability), Montebello Islands (approximately 15% probability), Barrow Island (approximately 5% probability) and Lowendal Islands (approximately 2% probability).

Total Submerged Oil (entrained plus dissolved oil) – Spartan

Low

Total submerged oil at the low threshold (10 ppb) was predicted to primarily occur within approximately 250 km of the spill site except for on isolated event approximately 350 km to the west, likely due to entrainment of oil from the surface slick.

Moderate

At the moderate threshold (100 ppb), predicted contact was reduced primarily to a spatial extent primarily within approximately 225 km of the spill site.

Receptors predicted to be contacted by total submerged oil at the moderate threshold (100 ppb) included Montebello AMP (approximately 68% probability), Barrow-Montebello Surrounds (approximately 24% probability), Offshore Ningaloo (approximately 19% probability), Montebello Islands (approximately 15% probability), Barrow Island (approximately 5% probability) and Lowendal Islands (approximately 2% probability).

Dissolved Oil – Spartan

Low

Dissolved hydrocarbons at the low threshold (10 ppb) were predicted to primarily occur within approximately 175 km of the spill site except for on isolated event approximately 250 km to the west.

Moderate and High

At the moderate threshold (100 ppb), predicted contact was reduced primarily to a spatial extent primarily within approximately 125 km of the spill site except for the isolated event at approximately 225 km to the west. At the high threshold (400 ppb), predicted contact was limited to within approximately 75 km of the release site.

Receptors predicted to be contacted by dissolved oil at the moderate threshold (50 ppb) included Montebello AMP (approximately 69% probability), Barrow-Montebello Surrounds (approximately 24% probability), Offshore Ningaloo (approximately 17% probability), Montebello Islands (approximately 13% probability), Barrow Island (approximately 5% probability) and Lowendal Islands (approximately 2% probability).

7.6.3.8 Deterministic modelling

Area A – Bedout South Scenario #129 (GHD, 2020)

Realisation 129 of the Bedout South scenario resulted in the highest accumulated shoreline load above 100 g/m² of approximately 53 tonnes at Bedout Island. The surface slick was transported to the south-west and then east-north-easterly by prevailing winds and surface currents and arrived at the Bedout Island shoreline from 2.6 days and reached a peak loading of approximately 50 tonnes shortly thereafter. By the end of five weeks (35 days), natural weathering processes were predicted to reduce the total shoreline load to approximately 25 tonnes.

The large shoreline loading event of approximately 50 tonnes represents approximately 20% of the released oil mass, and therefore results in a reduction in the surface oil proportion from approximately 80% down to approximately 60% during day two to three, occurring simultaneously with an increase in shoreline oil from 0 to 20%. By the end of the simulation (35 days), the shoreline oil represents approximately 10% of the total oil mass.

The evaporation rate varies throughout the simulation, with a period of high winds occurring around 24 days that results in increased evaporation along with increased entrainment of oil from the surface slick into the water column. By approximately 25 days, nearly all of the surface oil is evaporated or entrained. The entrained component is subject to high rates of decay and is almost entirely disappeared by day 30.

Area B – Dancer Scenario #129 (GHD, 2020)

Realisation 129 of the Dancer-1 scenario resulted in a highest accumulated shoreline load above 100 g/m² of 153 tonnes at the Montebello Islands. The surface slick was transported in a south-westerly direction by the prevailing winds and surface currents and arrived at the shorelines of the Montebello Islands from day 6.6 and reached a peak loading of approximately 150 tonnes by 7.3 days. A negligible amount of oil (below the low threshold) was also predicted to arrive at Barrow Island, Southern Island Coast and the Muiron Islands. By the end of five weeks (35 days), natural weathering processes were predicted to reduce the total shoreline load to approximately 85 tonnes.

The large shoreline loading event of approximately 150 tonnes represents approximately 50% of the released oil mass, and therefore results in a reduction in the surface oil proportion from approximately 70% down to approximately 20% during day seven, occurring simultaneously with an increase in shoreline oil from 0 to 50%. By the end of the simulation (35 days), the shoreline oil represents approximately 30% of the total oil mass.

The evaporation rate varies throughout the simulation, with a period of high winds occurring around 24 days that results in increased evaporation along with increased entrainment of oil from the surface slick into the water column. By approximately 27 days, nearly all of the surface oil is disappeared with a small proportion (approximately 5%) remaining as entrained droplets and the rest evaporated (approximately 40%), stranded ashore (approximately 33%) and decayed (approximately 22%).

Area C – WA-510-P Scenario #126 (GHD, 2020)

Realisation 126 of the WA-510-P scenario resulted in the highest accumulated shoreline load above 100 g/m² of approximately 200 tonnes. During this realisation, the surface slick was transported easterly by prevailing winds and surface currents and arrived at the Montebello Islands shoreline from approximately day one and reached a peak loading of approximately 196 tonnes by 1.7 days. No loading events occurred at other receptors. By the end of five weeks (35 days), natural weathering processes were predicted to reduce the total shoreline load from approximately 200 tonnes to approximately 100 tonnes.

The large shoreline loading event of approximately 200 tonnes represents approximately 70% of the released oil mass, and therefore results in a reduction in the surface oil proportion from approximately 80% down to approximately 10% during days one to two, occurring simultaneously with an increase in shoreline oil from 0 to 70%. By the end of the simulation (35 days), the shoreline oil represents approximately 35% of the total oil mass.

A minor entrainment event occurs at day three, though the proportion of entrained oil is negligible relative to the released mass.

Table 7-14: Spill Modelling results for surface release of hydrocarbons from a vessel collision at all modelling locations

| Receptor | Receptor Type | Minimum time to contact (days) | | | | | | Maximum Hydrocarbon Concentration | | | | | | Maximum oil ashore (tonnes) | Maximum length of oiled | | |
|--|---------------|--|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | | Moderate exposure values | | | High exposure values | | | Moderate exposure values | | | High Exposure Values | | | | | | |
| | | Shoreline accumulation 100 g/m ² | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (50 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (100 gm ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (50 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (>100 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (>100 g/m ²) |
| Area A – Bedout West Release | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No contact at moderate or high exposure values from this release scenario. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area A – Bedout North Release | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Imperieuse Reef MP | Emergent | 9.4 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 299 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 1.6 | 2.8 |
| Eighty Mile Beach AMP | Submerged | NC | 2.7 | NC | NC | NC | NC | 2.7 | NC | 38.4 | NC | NC | NC | NC | 54.1 | NC | NC |
| Rowley Shoals Surrounds | Submerged | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |
| Bedout Island | Emergent | NC | 3.6 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 36.3 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |

| Receptor | Receptor Type | Minimum time to contact (days) | | | | | | Maximum Hydrocarbon Concentration | | | | | | Maximum oil ashore (tonnes) | Maximum length of oiled | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | | Moderate exposure values | | | High exposure values | | | Moderate exposure values | | | High Exposure Values | | | | | | |
| | | Shoreline accumulation 100 g/m ² | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (50 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (100 gm ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (50 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (>100 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (>100 g/m ²) |
| Area A – Bedout South Release | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Port Hedland-Eighty Mile Beach | Emergent | 2.6 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | NC | NC | 5.6 | 333.1 | 55.3 | 115.6 | 295.9 | NC | NC | 55.3 | 1.6 | 2.8 |
| Eighty Mile Beach | Emergent | 6.4 | 2.7 | 2.7 | NC | NC | NC | NC | 217.8 | 15.4 | 54 | NC | NC | NC | NC | 1.4 | 4.2 |
| Eighty Mile Beach AMP | Submerged | NC | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.3 | NC | 0.1 | NC | 276.3 | 523.6 | 1170.9 | 523.6 | NC | 276.3 | NC | NC |
| Bedout Island | Emergent | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | NC | 2.3 | 0.5 | 18675.4 | 214.2 | 271.3 | 800.2 | NC | 18675.4 | 214.2 | 52.8 | 1.4 |
| Area B – Dancer Release | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dampier Archipelago | Emergent | 2.5 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 223.2 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 0.6 | 1.4 |

| Receptor | Receptor Type | Minimum time to contact (days) | | | | | | | Maximum Hydrocarbon Concentration | | | | | | | Maximum oil ashore (tonnes) | Maximum length of oiled |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | | Moderate exposure values | | | | High exposure values | | | Moderate exposure values | | | | High Exposure Values | | | | |
| | | Shoreline accumulation 100 g/m ² | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (50 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (100 gm ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (50 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (>100 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (>100 g/m ²) |
| Montebello Islands | Emergent | 6.6 | 5.7 | NC | NC | NC | 6.6 | 5.7 | 11531.3 | 37.8 | 328.1 | NC | NC | 11531.3 | 54.1 | 152.9 | 25.5 |
| Barrow Island | Emergent | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |
| Glomar Shoals | Submerged | NC | 2.3 | 3.9 | 2.5 | NC | NC | 3.9 | NC | 37.5 | 74 | 206.2 | NC | NC | 51.9 | NC | NC |
| Barrow-Montebello Surrounds | Intertidal | NC | 5.2 | NC | NC | NC | NC | 5.2 | NC | 66.6 | NC | NC | NC | NC | 66.6 | NC | NC |
| Montebello AMP | Submerged | NC | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | NC | NC | 1.2 | NC | 180.4 | NC | 633.6 | NC | NC | 180.4 | NC | NC |
| Offshore Ningaloo | Submerged | NC | 4.2 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |

| Receptor | Receptor Type | Minimum time to contact (days) | | | | | | | Maximum Hydrocarbon Concentration | | | | | | | Maximum oil ashore (tonnes) | Maximum length of oiled |
|----------------------|---------------|--|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | | Moderate exposure values | | | | High exposure values | | | Moderate exposure values | | | | High Exposure Values | | | | |
| | | Shoreline accumulation 100 g/m ² | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (50 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (100 gm ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (50 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (>100 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (>100 g/m ²) |
| Area C – WA-510-P | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Montebello Islands | Emergent | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 35082.5 | 285.2 | 344.4 | 536.2 | 655.7 | 35082.5 | 272.5 | 197.4 | 9.9 |
| Lowendal Islands | Emergent | 7.0 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 | NC | NC | 2.6 | 507.5 | 126.5 | 133.8 | 148.1 | NC | NC | 168.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Barrow Island | Emergent | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 19201 | 187 | 307.6 | 720.2 | 409.6 | 19201 | 187 | 188.1 | 19.8 |
| Muiron Islands | Emergent | 4.8 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 141.3 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 1.4 | 5.7 |
| Ningaloo Coast North | Emergent | 4.5 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 112.4 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 0.6 | 2.8 |
| Rankin Bank | Submerged | NC | 6.1 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 27.9 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |

| Receptor | Receptor Type | Minimum time to contact (days) | | | | | | | Maximum Hydrocarbon Concentration | | | | | | | Maximum oil ashore (tonnes) | Maximum length of oiled |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Moderate exposure values | | | | High exposure values | | | Moderate exposure values | | | | High Exposure Values | | | | |
| | | Shoreline accumulation 100 g/m ² | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (50 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (100 gm ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (50 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (>100 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (>100 g/m ²) |
| Barrow-Montebello Surrounds | Intertidal | NC | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | NC | NC | 0.3 | NC | 243.1 | 342.2 | 832.2 | NC | NC | 243.1 | NC | NC |
| Montebello AMP | Submerged | NC | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | NC | 0.1 | NC | 283.4 | 494.1 | 1471 | 515.2 | NC | 283.4 | NC | NC |
| Offshore Ningaloo | Submerged | NC | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | NC | NC | 1.2 | NC | 163.1 | 247.4 | 606.9 | NC | NC | 163.1 | NC | NC |
| Area C – Spartan | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dampier Archipelago | Emergent | 14.2 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 417.1 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 2.5 | 5.7 |
| Montebello Islands | Emergent | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | NC | 0.8 | 0.7 | 30737.7 | 201.8 | 361.3 | 826.3 | NC | 30737.7 | 201.8 | 176.9 | 9.9 |
| Lowendal Islands | Emergent | NC | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | NC | NC | 1.1 | NC | 175.5 | 252.9 | 506.6 | NC | NC | 175.5 | NC | NC |

| Receptor | Receptor Type | Minimum time to contact (days) | | | | | | | Maximum Hydrocarbon Concentration | | | | | | | Maximum oil ashore (tonnes) | Maximum length of oiled |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | | Moderate exposure values | | | | High exposure values | | | Moderate exposure values | | | | High Exposure Values | | | | |
| | | Shoreline accumulation 100 g/m ² | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (50 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (100 gm ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (50 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (>100 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (>100 g/m ²) |
| Barrow Islands | Emergent | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 18,824 | 214.4 | 545.4 | 831.9 | 545.4 | 18824 | 214.4 | 144.6 | 9.9 |
| Southern Islands Coast | Emergent | 2.5 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 126.9 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 0.6 | 2.8 |
| Ningaloo Coast North | Emergent | 9.9 | NC | NC | NC | NC | 10.2 | NC | 1497.9 | C | NC | NC | NC | 1497.9 | NC | 18.2 | 21.2 |
| Barrow-Montebello Surrounds | Intertidal | NC | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | NC | NC | 0.6 | NC | 231.1 | 259 | 598.1 | NC | NC | 231.1 | NC | NC |
| Montebello AMP | Submerged | NC | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | NC | 0.1 | NC | 556.6 | 668.7 | 1888.4 | 584 | NC | 556.6 | NC | NC |
| Offshore Ningaloo | Submerged | NC | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | NC | NC | 1.1 | NC | 185.8 | 168.2 | 491.2 | NC | NC | 185.8 | NC | NC |

| Receptor | Receptor Type | Minimum time to contact (hours) | | | | | | | Maximum Hydrocarbon Concentration | | | | | | | Maximum oil ashore (m ³) | Maximum length of oiled shoreline (km) |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | Moderate exposure values | | | | High exposure values | | | Moderate exposure values | | | | High Exposure Values | | | | |
| | | Shoreline accumulation 100 g/m ² | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (25 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (100 gm ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (25 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (>100 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation |
| Area B – Reindeer WHP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Montebello AMP | Submergent | NC | 33 | NC | 24 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NA | NC | 1190 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |
| Montebello Islands | Emergent | 59 | NC | NC | 51 | NC | 69 | NC | 6 | NC | NC | 1420 | NC | NC | NC | NC | 37 |
| Lowendal Islands | Emergent | 80 | NC | NC | 46 | NC | NC | NC | 23 | NC | NC | 1448 | NC | NC | NC | NC | 10 |
| Barrow Island | Emergent | 80 | NC | NC | 55 | NC | NC | NC | 12 | NC | NC | 1293 | NC | NC | NC | NC | 31 |
| Southern Islands Coast | Emergent | NC | NC | NC | 162 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 143 | NC | NC | NC | NC | 2 |
| Barrow-Montebello Surrounds | Submergent | NC | NC | NC | 36 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 1289 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |

| Receptor | Receptor Type | Minimum time to contact (hours) | | | | | | | Maximum Hydrocarbon Concentration | | | | | | | Maximum oil ashore (m ³) | Maximum length of oiled shoreline (km) |
|----------------------------|---------------|---|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | Moderate exposure values | | | | High exposure values | | | Moderate exposure values | | | | High Exposure Values | | | | |
| | | Shoreline accumulation 100 g/m ² | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (25 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (100 gm ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (25 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (>100 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation |
| Offshore Ningaloo | Submergent | NC | NC | NC | 108 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 681 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |
| Rankin Bank | Submergent | NC | NC | NC | 55 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 220 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |
| Glomar Shoals | Submergent | NC | NC | NC | 126 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 390 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |
| Outer North West Ningaloo | Submergent | NC | NC | NC | 278 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 300 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |
| Outer Ningaloo Coast North | Submergent | NC | NC | NC | 274 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 128 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |
| Dampier Archipelago | Submergent | 45 | NC | NC | 38 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 748 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |
| Thevenard Islands | Emergent | NC | NC | NC | 181 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 117 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |

| Receptor | Receptor Type | Minimum time to contact (hours) | | | | | | | Maximum Hydrocarbon Concentration | | | | | | | Maximum oil ashore (m ³) | Maximum length of oiled shoreline (km) |
|------------------------|---------------|--|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | Moderate exposure values | | | | High exposure values | | | Moderate exposure values | | | | High Exposure Values | | | | |
| | | Shoreline accumulation 100 g/m ² | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (25 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (100 gm ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (10 g/m ²) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (50 ppb) | Entrained Hydrocarbons (100 ppb) | Dissolved hydrocarbons (400 ppb) | Shoreline accumulation (1000 g/m ²) | Surface hydrocarbons (25 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation (>100 g/m ²) | Shoreline accumulation |
| Karratha Port Headland | Emergent | NC | NC | NC | 81 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 155 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |
| Ningaloo Coast North | Submergent | NC | NC | NC | 289 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 125 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |
| Dampier AMP | Submergent | NC | NC | NC | 56 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 429 | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC |

7.6.4 Environmental performance outcomes and control measures

The EPO relating to this hazard is:

- + No loss of containment of hydrocarbon to the marine environment (EPO-8).

CMs applied to prevent a hydrocarbon spill from refuelling and vessel collision are shown in **Table 7-15** and corresponding EPSs and measurement criteria are described in **Section 8.4**.

Selection of oil spill response strategies and associated performance outcomes, CMs and performance standards, including those required to maintain preparedness and for response, are detailed within the OPEP. The OPEP contains an evaluation of oil spill preparedness arrangements to demonstrate that oil spills will be mitigated to ALARP.

Table 7-15: Control measures evaluation for release of hydrocarbons

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Standard Control measures | | | | |
| CM-02 | Watchkeeping maintained on bridge | Minimises risk of collision through visual identification and avoidance of other vessels. | Negligible costs | Adopted – Benefits considered to outweigh costs. |
| CM-03 | Lighting will be used as required for safe work conditions and navigational purposes | Ensures vessels meet minimum safety standards therefore reducing potential for vessel collision events with associated diesel spill to the environment. Marine Order Part 30: Prevention of Collisions, and with Marine Order Part 21: Safety of Navigation and Emergency Procedures requires vessels to have navigational equipment to avoid collisions. Requirement of the <i>Navigation Act 2012</i> . | Costs associated with personnel time in checking vessel certifications are in place. Negligible costs of operating navigational equipment. | Adopted – Benefits considered to outweigh costs. |
| CM-04 | Vessel planned maintenance system | Requires that equipment is maintained and certified, reducing probability of leaks of hydrocarbons during transfers. | Additional personnel costs of ensuring equipment is maintained and certified as appropriate and that procedures are in place and followed. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring procedures are followed and equipment is compliant outweigh the minimal costs of personnel time. |

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|--------------|--|---|---|---|
| CM-05 | Fuel oil management | Use of diesel reduces the potential impacts to marine environment in the event of unplanned hydrocarbon spills or leaks during bunkering. | Additional personnel costs of ensuring vessels are using the required fuel. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring procedures are followed outweighs the minimal costs of personnel time. |
| CM-09 | Seafarer Competency and Certification | Requires appropriately trained and competent personnel, in accordance with Marine Order 70, to navigate vessels to reduce interaction with other marine users. Requires appropriately trained and competent personnel to navigate vessels. | Costs associated with personnel time in obtaining qualifications. | Adopted – Benefits considered to outweigh costs. |
| CM-20 | Vessel spill response plans (SOPEP/ SMPEP) | Implements response plans on board vessels to deal with unplanned hydrocarbon releases and spills quickly and efficiently in order to reduce impacts to the marine environment. | Administrative costs of preparing documents. Generally undertaken by vessel contractor so time for Santos personal to confirm and check SOPEP/SMPEP in place. | Adopted – Benefits considered to outweigh costs. |
| CM-21 | Accepted Oil pollution emergency plan (OPEP) | Implements response plans to deal with an unplanned hydrocarbon release quickly and efficiently in order to reduce impacts to the marine environment. | Administrative costs of preparing documents and large costs of preparing for and implementing response strategies. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring procedures are followed and measures implemented and that the vessels are compliant, outweighs the costs. Regulatory requirement must be adopted. |

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| CM-22 | Marine assurance standard | Ensures vessels meet Marine assurance standards to reduce the likelihood of unplanned discharges. | Costs associated with personnel time in checking vessel. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring procedures are followed and measures implemented and that the vessels are compliant, outweighs the costs. Regulatory requirement must be adopted. |
| CM-24 | Pre-Activity commencement assurance check | Ensures consideration of worst case hydrocarbon spill scenario for the proposed activity based on actual vessel and activity details | Administrative costs to undertake assurance check and risk assessments for each VBA. | Adopted – Benefits considered to outweigh costs. |
| CM-31 | Refuelling and chemical transfer procedure | Minimises risk of pollution to ALARP during hydrocarbon transfers between vessels. Refuelling will occur outside of AMPs minimising potential for impacts on values of the AMPs | Personnel costs associated with ensuring procedures are in place and implemented during refuelling and chemical transfers. | Adopted – Benefits of ensuring procedures are followed and measures implemented outweigh the costs. |
| Additional control measures | | | | |
| N/A | Schedule activities to avoid coinciding with sensitive periods for marine fauna present in the operational area | Potential reduction in risk of a hydrocarbon spill to some sensitive receptors. | Impractical to schedule activities to avoid all listed marine fauna due to variability in timing of environmentally sensitive periods and the constant or unpredictable presence of some species. Short duration activity (in other words, a few days) that is low risk to marine fauna. | Rejected – Cost is disproportionate to increase in environmental benefit. |

| CM Reference | Control measure | Environmental benefit | Potential cost/issues | Evaluation |
|--------------|--|--|---|--|
| N/A | Require all support vessels involved in the activity to be double hulled | Reduces the likelihood of a loss of hydrocarbon inventory in the highly unlikely event of a vessel collision, minimising potential environmental impact. | Vessels are subject to availability and are required to meet Santos' standards during activities; requirement of a double hull on vessels would limit the number available to Santos; requiring vessels to be refitted to ensure double hulls would also be of high cost. | Rejected – Large costs associated with vessel selection and by having an activity schedule determined by vessel availability considered grossly disproportionate compared to low risk of a vessel collision and low risk of a large diesel spill. |
| N/A | No bunkering in the operational area | Eliminates the probability of a hydrocarbon spill or leak occurring during bunkering in the operational area. | Cost associated with vessel transits and risk transfer to Health and Safety issues with additional trips to port instead. Would significantly increase the schedule to include multiple trips. | Not Adopted – Cost outweighs the environmental benefit. |

7.6.5 Environmental impact assessment

The below environmental impact assessment follows the risk assessment approach detailed in **Section 5**.

7.6.5.1 Identification of hotspots for consequence analysis

As described in **Section 7.5.5**, all HEVs within the EMBA (low exposure value) are listed in **Table 7-16**. The values and sensitivities associated with these HEVs have been described in **Appendix C**. Further to this, **Table 7-16** filters the HEVs to identify the hotspots where they meet the criteria in **Section 7.5.5**. This assessment has found that there are three hotspots.

Table 7-16: Identified high environmental value hotspot receptors in each EMBA

| Receptor | HEV Value | Exposure Value* | | | Hotspot |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| | | Low | Moderate* | High* | |
| Outer Ningaloo Coast North | 1 | C | | | |
| Eighty Mile Beach | 2 | A | | | |
| Muiron Islands | 2 | C | | | |
| Ningaloo Coast North | 2 | C | | | |
| Dampier Archipelago | 3 | B, C | | | |
| Montebello Islands | 3 | B, C | B, C | C | ✓ |
| Lowendal Islands | 3 | C | | | |
| Barrow Island | 3 | B, C | B, C | C | ✓ |
| Barrow-Montebello Surrounds | 3 | B, C | B, C | C | ✓ |
| Clerke Reef MP | 3 | C | | | |
| Imperieuse Reef MP | 3 | A | | | |
| Dampier AMP | 3 | No contact but adjacent to EMBA | | | |
| Montebello AMP | 4 | B, C | B, C | B, C | |
| Offshore Ningaloo | 4 | B, C | B, C | C | |
| Eighty Mile Beach AMP | 4 | A | A | A | |
| Rowley Shoals surrounds | 4 | A | | | |
| Port Hedland – Eighty Mile Beach | 4 | A | | | |
| Glomar Shoals | 5 | A, B | | | |
| Bedout Island | 5 | A | A | A | |
| Rankin Bank | 5 | B, C | | | |
| Northern Islands Coast | 5 | B | | | |
| Thevenard Island | 5 | C | | | |
| Southern Islands Coast | 5 | B, C | | | |

*greater than 5% probability of contact,

Table 7-17 provides a summary of the consequence assessment results for each of the Hotspot areas. The consequence assessment was based on predicted contact and concentration of floating oil, accumulated oil, entrained oil and dissolved oil. For each hotspot area, the consequence to the key values were assessed using the methodology described in **Section 5**.

Table 7-17: Hotspot consequence assessment results from worst case vessel collision spill of 329 m³ of diesel

| Receptor (Hotspot) Name | HEV Ranking | Values | Oil Spill Modelling Parameter NC = No Contact, NA = Not Applicable NM = Not Modelled | | Area A | | | Area B | | Area C | | Consequence Category | Consequence Ranking | Final |
|-------------------------|-------------|---|--|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------------------------|---------------------|-------|
| | | | | | Bedout W | Bedout N | Bedout S | Dancer | Reindeer | WA-510-P | Spartan | | | |
| Montebello Islands | 3 | <u>Habitats</u> Reefs – coral spawning: Mar & Oct Algae (40%) Mangroves (globally unique as offshore) Fish habitat Intertidal sand flat communities Mangroves are considered globally unique <u>Turtles</u> Loggerhead and green (significant rookery), hawksbill, flatback turtles – Loggerhead turtle nesting: Dec to Jan, Green turtle nesting: Nov to Apr. Peak period from Jan to Feb, Flatback turtle nesting: Dec to Jan, Hawksbill turtle nesting: Oct to Jan Northwest and Eastern Trimouille Islands (hawksbill) Western Reef and Southern Bay at Northwest Island (green) <u>Seabirds</u> Migratory and threatened seabirds – 14 species Significant nesting (Sept to Feb), foraging and resting areas <u>Whales</u> Humpback (Jun to Jul)/Pygmy blue (Apr to Aug) whale migration <u>Socio-Economic</u> Pearlring (inactive/pearling zones) Very significant for recreational fishing and charter boat tourism Social amenities and other tourism Nominated place (National heritage) | Probability of contact by floating oil at 10 g/m ² | (%) | NC | NC | NC | 0.7 | <1 | 11.3 | 15.3 | Threatened/Migratory Fauna | III | III |
| | | | Minimum time to contact by floating oil 10 g/m ² | Time (days) | NC | NC | NC | 5.7 | NC | 0.8 | 0.7 | Physical Environment/Habitat | II | |
| | | | Maximum accumulated oil ashore >10 g/m ² | tonnes | NC | NC | NC | 153.4 | <1 | 197.5 | 176.9 | Protected Areas | III | |
| | | | Maximum accumulated concentration >100 g/m ² | g/m ² | NC | NC | NC | 11,531.3 | NM | 35,082.5 | 30,737.7 | Socio-Economic Receptors | II | |
| | | | Maximum length of shoreline oiled (>100 g/m ²) | (km) | NC | NC | NC | 25.5 | 8 | 9.9 | 9.9 | | | |
| | | | Maximum concentration of total submerged oil >100 ppb | (ppb) | NC | NC | NC | NC | 328 | 536.2 | 826.3 | | | |
| | | | Maximum concentration of dissolved hydrocarbon >10 ppb | (ppb) | NC | NC | NC | NC | 1.6 | 241.9 | 361.3 | | | |
| Barrow Island | 3 | <u>Habitats</u> Bandicoot Bay - conservation area Fisheries Act (benthic fauna/seabird protection), mudflats, rock platforms, mangroves, clay pans Mangroves are in Bandicoot Bay (considered globally unique) Coral reefs (eastern side) - Biggada Reef (Coral spawning: Mar & Oct) Biggada Creek <u>Turtles</u> Regionally and nationally significant green turtle (western side) and flatback turtle (eastern side) nesting beaches Turtle Bay north beach | Probability of contact by floating oil at 10 g/m ² | (%) | NC | NC | NC | NC | <1 | 4.7 | 5.3 | Threatened/Migratory Fauna | III | III |
| | | | Minimum time to contact by floating oil 10 g/m ² | Time (days) | NC | NC | NC | NC | NC | 0.3 | 0.8 | Physical Environment/Habitat | II | |
| | | | Maximum oil loading on shorelines >10 g/m ² | tonnes | NC | NC | NC | 0.1 | <1 | 188.1 | 144.7 | Protected Areas | III | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Socio-Economic Receptors | II | |

| Receptor (Hotspot) Name | HEV Ranking | Values | Oil Spill Modelling Parameter NC = No Contact, NA = Not Applicable NM = Not Modelled | | Area A | | | Area B | | Area C | | Consequence Category | Consequence Ranking | Final | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|--|--|------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------|----------|----------|---------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------|------------------|----|-----------------|----|--------------------------|----|
| | | | | | Bedout W | Bedout N | Bedout S | Dancer | Reindeer | WA-510-P | Spartan | | | | | | | | | |
| | | <p>North and west coasts – John Wayne Beach also has loggerhead and hawksbill turtles</p> <p>Peak turtle nesting periods – Loggerhead turtle nesting: Dec to Jan, Green turtle nesting: Nov to Apr. Peak period from Jan to Feb, Flatback turtle nesting: Dec to Jan, Hawksbill turtle nesting: Oct to Jan</p> <p><u>Seabirds</u></p> <p>Migratory birds (important habitat) (important bird area) 10th of top 147 bird sites</p> <p>Highest population of migratory birds in Barrow Island Nature reserve (south-south east island)</p> <p>Double island important bird nesting (shearwaters, sea eagles)</p> <p><u>Whales</u></p> <p>Pygmy blue whale northern migration (Apr to Aug)</p> <p><u>Cultural Heritage</u></p> <p>Important Aboriginal cultural 13 listed sites incl. pearling camps</p> <p><u>Socio-Economic</u></p> <p>Significant for recreational fishing and charter boat tourism</p> <p>Nominated place (National heritage)</p> | Maximum accumulated concentration >100 g/m ² | m ² | NC | NC | NC | NC | NM | 19,201 | 18,824 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Maximum length of shoreline oiled (>100 g/m ²) | (km) | NC | NC | NC | NC | 31 | 19.8 | 9.9 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Maximum concentration of total submerged oil >100 ppb | (ppb) | NC | NC | NC | NC | 580 | 720.2 | 831.9 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Maximum concentration of dissolved hydrocarbon >10 ppb | (ppb) | NC | NC | NC | NC | 5.4 | 295.1 | 545.4 | | | | | | | | | |
| Barrow – Montebello Surrounds ² | 3 | <p><u>Habitats</u></p> <p>Coral reefs habitat</p> <p><u>Seabirds</u></p> <p>Migratory birds</p> <p><u>Whales</u></p> <p>Humpback/ pygmy blue whale migration</p> <p><u>Socio-economic</u></p> <p>Significant for recreational fishing and charter boat tourism</p> | Probability of contact by floating oil at 10 g/m ² | (%) | NC | NC | NC | 0.7 | <1 | 24.7 | 22.7 | Threatened/Migratory Fauna | II | II | | | | | | |
| | | | Minimum time to contact by floating oil 10 g/m ² | Time (days) | NC | NC | NC | 5.2 | NC | 0.3 | 0.6 | | | | Physical Habitat | II | | | | |
| | | | Maximum oil loading on shorelines >10 g/m ² | tonnes | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | | | | | | Protected Areas | II | | |
| | | | Maximum accumulated concentration >100 g/m ² | g/m ² | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | | | | | | | | Socio-economic Receptors | II |
| | | | Maximum length of shoreline oiled (>100 g/m ²) | (km) | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Maximum concentration of total submerged oil >100 ppb | (ppb) | NC | NC | NC | NC | 591 | 832.2 | 598.1 | | | | | | | | | |

² Barrow Island Shoals, within the Barrow-Montebello Surrounds is only emergent at lowest astronomical tide. Therefore, this receptor is considered a submerged feature. Therefore, shoreline loading and shoreline oiling parameters are denoted as NA (not applicable) rather than no contact (NC)

| Receptor (Hotspot) Name | HEV Ranking | Values | Oil Spill Modelling Parameter NC = No Contact, NA = Not Applicable NM = Not Modelled | | Area A | | | Area B | | Area C | | Consequence Category | Consequence Ranking | Final |
|-------------------------|-------------|--------|--|-------|----------|----------|----------|--------|----------|----------|---------|----------------------|---------------------|-------|
| | | | | | Bedout W | Bedout N | Bedout S | Dancer | Reindeer | WA-510-P | Spartan | | | |
| | | | Maximum concentration of dissolved hydrocarbon >10 ppb | (ppb) | NC | NC | NC | NC | 6.2 | 342.2 | 210.6 | | | |

| Description | |
|--|---|
| Key Receptors | Physical environment – water quality, shallow benthic, intertidal and shoreline habitats Threatened/migratory fauna – plankton, invertebrates, marine mammals, marine reptiles, sharks, rays and fish, birds (seabirds and shorebirds) Protected Areas – KEFs, Marine Parks and Commonwealth Heritage Areas Socio-economic – commercial, recreational and traditional fisheries, recreation and tourism, oil and gas industry) |
| Consequence | III - Moderate (refer Table 7-17) |
| <p>A summary of the consequence assessment for each receptor category is presented below. Potential impact pathways (physical and chemical) of hydrocarbon exposure for receptors are summarised in Table 7-12, and potential impacts to receptors found within the EMBA are further described in Table 7-13.</p> <p>Threatened/migratory fauna</p> <p>A surface release of MDO to the marine environment would result in a localised reduction in water quality in the upper surface waters of the water column. As a light hydrocarbon, MDO undergoes rapid spreading and evaporative loss in warm waters, indicating that a surface slick will be temporary. Under moderate winds (5 m/s), 40% of the initial surface slick is predicted to remain as surface oil after 24 hours, decreasing further to approximately 10% after 48 hours and approximately 1% after 72 hours (GHD, 2020). The high rate of evaporation means that little MDO will become entrained and few aromatic hydrocarbons are predicted to become dissolved reducing impact to marine fauna. Surface oil, and entrained hydrocarbon in the sea surface layer, could have the physical effect of coating fauna interacting within and under the surface, including plankton, pelagic invertebrates and fishes, marine reptiles, marine mammals and seabirds, and may also affect some species through ingestion of oiled fish (as described in Table 7-13).</p> <p>The EMBA overlap breeding/foraging BIAs for a number of seabirds. An unplanned release of MDO is not expected to interfere with their breeding activity, but could cause slight secondary effects through ingestion after preening or ingestion of oiled fish (as described in Table 7-12 and Table 7-13).</p> <p>The humpback whale (migration) and pygmy blue whale (distribution, migration and foraging) BIAs and whale shark foraging BIA overlap the EMBA. An unplanned release of MDO is not expected to interfere with their migration activity. There is the potential for behavioural disruption to the local population as individuals traverse the area affected with potential for coating of baleen (in whales) and ingestion of oiled prey (plankton/fish) as described in Table 7-12 and Table 7-13.</p> <p>The EMBA overlap nesting/interesting and critical habitat BIAs for a number of turtles and therefore turtle behaviour could be disrupted with the potential to threaten turtle populations (as described in Table 7-13), particularly those at significant rookeries on Barrow Island and Montebello Islands.</p> <p>Deteriorating water quality/chemical and terrestrial discharge is identified as a potential threat to turtles in the marine turtle recovery plan, and some bird and shark species (Table 3-12). Habitat modification, degradation and disruption, pollution and/or loss of habitat are also identified as threats to sharks, birds, cetaceans and turtles in conservation management and recovery plans. Given the location of the release, and volume of potential hydrocarbon release there is the potential for modification to or a decrease in the availability of quality habitat (shorelines/subsurface), particularly given the volumes of accumulated hydrocarbons at nesting locations such as Barrow Island and Montebello Islands, and the location of Area A adjacent to the Eighty Mile Beach AMP and Area C overlapping the Montebello AMP which are established to protect birds, fish and turtle habitats. Shoreline accumulation may present a major disruption to shoreline individuals (as described in Table 7-13). Volumes of accumulated hydrocarbon may result in a significant reduction in area available for seabirds and/or turtle species. The quality of habitat (shorelines/subsurface) may be reduced for a period, with recovery over the medium term (decades).</p> <p>The Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow Islands Marine Conservation Reserves states DPaw should ‘ensure that important seabird and shorebird breeding and feeding areas are not significantly affected by human activities’. The potential impacts of a hydrocarbon release on seabird breeding and feeding areas are discussed in Table 7-13. Impacts in relation to human activities from responding to a spill are described in Section 6.7.</p> | |

Description

The consequence assessment undertaken at selected Hotspot areas (refer **Section 7.6.5.1**) revealed that the worst-case consequence to the physical environment and habitats from a vessel collision resulting in a worst-case unplanned hydrocarbon release was ranked as a III - Moderate based on the modelling results and the behaviour of diesel.

Physical environment and habitats

In the event of MDO release, hydrocarbons that reach nearshore environments have the potential to impact benthic coral reefs and mangrove areas which may result in a decrease in ecological values given toxicity impacts associated with hydrocarbon exposure. The quality of habitat may be reduced for a significant period with recovery over the medium term (two to ten years). As described above, accumulated hydrocarbons on shorelines could impact marine fauna that use beaches such as shorebirds and turtles, dependent upon the timing of a spill. Beaches on the Ningaloo Coast, Barrow Island and Montebello Islands are important for green turtles, and to a lesser extent hawksbills turtles, while Muiron Islands has a regionally important nesting site for loggerhead turtles. Impacts to turtles could occur from surface hydrocarbons if MDO accumulates on nesting beaches. Entrained hydrocarbon could also contact sandy beaches at high tide. Such impacts would be most likely to nesting females as they move up and down beaches, or to turtle hatchlings as they emerge from nests six to eight weeks after nesting. The quality of habitat available to the turtles will be reduced, with recovery over the medium term.

The consequence assessment undertaken at selected Hotspot areas (refer **Section 7.6.5**) revealed the worst-case consequence to the physical environment and habitats from a vessel collision resulting in a worst-case unplanned hydrocarbon release was ranked as a II - Minor based on the modelling results and the behaviour of diesel.

Protected areas

The EMBA intersects several Marine Parks, AMPs, Commonwealth Heritage Areas and marine management areas (**Section 3.1**). Combined, these areas support all the habitats and faunal groups described above. Impacts to the habitat/fauna receptors described above therefore have an impact on the values of these reserves which could have flow-on effects to tourism revenue of coastal communities that provide access to these marine reserves.

The consequence assessment undertaken at selected Hotspot areas (refer **Section 7.6.5**) revealed that the worst-case consequence to protected areas from a vessel collision resulting in a worst-case unplanned hydrocarbon release was ranked as a III - Moderate.

Socio-economic receptors

There is the potential for hydrocarbons to temporarily disrupt fishing activities if the surface or entrained hydrocarbon moves through fishing areas. However, the high rate of evaporation means that little MDO will become entrained and few aromatic hydrocarbons are predicted to become dissolved (**Table 3-14**).

It is possible that there could be accumulation of oil in fish tissues to the extent that could result in hydrocarbon tainting of fish flesh. Connell and Miller (1981) compiled a summary of studies listing the exposure value concentrations at which tainting occurred for hydrocarbons. The results contained in their review indicate that tainting of fish occurs when fish are exposed to ambient concentrations of 4 to 300 ppm (4,000 to 300,000 ppb) of hydrocarbons in the water, for durations of 24 hours or more, with response to phenols and naphthenic acids being the strongest.

Given the volume of oil that could potentially be released, it is possible impacts could be detected to fisheries on a stock level, although it is more likely natural variation in fish abundance would be on a greater scale than any impacts attributable to a hydrocarbon spill. This would most likely be the case for fisheries species that utilise shallow waters around the Lowendal, Barrow and Montebello Islands and could occur through direct impacts to fish or to fish habitats (for example, seagrass, coral reef, mangrove habitats).

Entrained and surface oil could impact pearl farming activities at the Montebello Islands. Given that pearl oysters are filter feeders, entrained oil droplets could create negative impacts through ingestion and accumulation of hydrocarbon compounds in oyster tissues or interference with respiratory structures. Such impacts could lead to sub-lethal (for example, reduced oyster growth rates, reduced reproductive success) or at worst lethal impacts. Given that dissolved hydrocarbons could reach acutely toxic levels, mortality could occur.

| Description | |
|---|--|
| <p>A number of oil and gas operators operate within the EMBA with existing projects and infrastructure in place as well as continuing drilling and exploration programs (Table 3-13). An unplanned hydrocarbon release has the potential to disrupt these activities, with associated economic impact, albeit on a temporary basis.</p> <p>Tourism could also be affected by a spill, either from reduced water quality/shoreline oiling preventing recreational activities or reducing aesthetic appeal or from impacts to habitats and marine fauna as described in Table 7-12 and Table 7-13.</p> <p>The consequence assessment undertaken at selected Hotspot areas (refer Section 7.6.5.1) revealed that the worst-case consequence to socio-economic receptors from a vessel collision resulting in a worst-case unplanned hydrocarbon release, was ranked as a II - Minor.</p> | |
| Likelihood | b - Unlikely |
| <p>A worst-case hydrocarbon release resulting from a vessel collision could result in major disruption and long-term effects on the receiving environment. Impacts could decrease local populations and result in loss of critical habitats; however, recovery would be expected within decades. With the proposed CMs in place to prevent releases, any decline in local populations or degradation of habitats is considered unlikely and therefore the activity will be conducted in a manner that is considered acceptable.</p> <p>The likelihood of a hydrocarbon release occurring due to a vessel collision/bunkering is limited given the set of mitigation and management controls in place. Subsequently the likelihood of a vessel collision releasing hydrocarbons to the environment resulting in a major consequence is considered to be Unlikely (b).</p> | |
| Residual Risk | The residual risk associated with this hazard is Low for all operational areas |

7.6.6 Demonstration of as low as reasonably practicable

The use of vessels is integral to activity and therefore vessels and associated risks of unplanned hydrocarbon releases, cannot be completely eliminated.

Offshore refuelling is standard industry practice and oil pollution legislation (*Protection of the Sea (Prevention of Pollution from Ships) Act 1983* and MARPOL Annex I) has been developed to safeguard against the risk of a hydrocarbon spill occurring during refuelling. Other hydrocarbon types such as heavy fuel oil and intermediate fuel oil have specifically not been selected for this Activity (only diesel will be used in the operational area) to ensure potential environmental impacts are reduced to ALARP.

The combination of the standard prevention CMs (**Section 7.6.4**) (which reduce the likelihood of the event happening), and the spill response strategies (which may reduce the consequence) together reduce the overall hydrocarbon spill risk.

No additional controls have been identified and given the controls in place detailed above, the assessed residual risk for this impact is Low and cannot be reduced further. It is considered therefore that the impact of the activities conducted is reduced to ALARP.

In terms of spill response activities, Santos will implement oil spill response as specified within the OPEP. A detailed ALARP assessment on the adequacy of arrangements available to support spill response strategies and CMs is presented in the OPEP (SO-91-RI-20058.02).

The North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan states that actions required to respond to oil pollution incidents, including environmental monitoring and remediation, in connection with mining operations authorised under the OPGGS Act may be conducted in all zones of the marine parks identified with the EMBA (DNP, 2018) without an authorisation issued by the Director, provided that the actions are taken in accordance with an EP that has been accepted by NOPSEMA, and the Director is notified in the event of oil pollution within a marine park, or where an oil spill response action must be taken within a marine park, so far as reasonably practicable, prior to response action being taken.

7.6.7 Acceptability evaluation

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Is the risk ranked between Very Low to Medium?</p> | <p>Yes – residual risk is ranked as Low.</p> |
| <p>Is further information required in the consequence assessment?</p> | <p>No – potential impacts and risks are well understood through the information available.</p> |
| <p>Are the activities and their risks and impacts consistent with the principles of ESD?</p> | <p>Yes – aligns with the principles of ESD where these natural resources are used in a sustainable manner with environmental and economic considerations factored into decision making.</p> |
| <p>Are performance standards consistent with industry standards, legal and regulatory requirements, including protected matters?</p> | <p>Yes – management consistent with the OPGGS(E)R and with International Convention of the SOLAS) 1974 and Navigation Act 2012, MARPOL Annex I – Prevention of Pollution from Ships, and relevant recovery plans. Santos has considered the values and sensitivities of the receiving environment including, but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + IUCN principles and strategic objectives of nearby reserves (Montebello AMP, Eighty Mile Beach AMP and the North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan) are met + Relevant Species Recovery Plans, Conservation Management Plans and management actions, including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Threat Abatement Plan for Impacts of Marine Debris on Vertebrate wildlife of Australia’s coasts and oceans (DoEE, 2018) – Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (2017) – Recovery Plan for Threatened Albatrosses and Giant Petrels (DSEWPaC, 2011a) – Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i> (humpback whale) (2015a) – Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Rhincodon typus</i> (whale shark) (2015b) – Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Balaenoptera physalus</i> (fin whale) (2015c) – Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Balaenoptera borealis</i> (sei whale) (2015d) – Recovery Plan for the Grey Nurse Shark (<i>Carcharias taurus</i>) (2014b) – Recovery Plan for the White Shark (<i>Carcharodon carcharias</i>) (2013a) – Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan (2015a) – Commonwealth Conservation Advice on <i>Pristis zijsron</i> (green sawfish) (2008) – Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Ophisternon candidum</i> (blind cave eel) (2008) – Blue Whale Conservation Management Plan 2015–2025 (2015b) – Conservation Management Plan for the Southern Right Whale 2011–2021 (2012) |

| | |
|---|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Wildlife Conservation Plan for Migratory Shorebirds (2015) – Conservation advices for various seabird species. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy? | Yes – aligns with Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy. |
| Are risks and impacts consistent with stakeholder expectations? | Yes – no concerns raised. |
| Are performance standards such that the impact or risk is considered to be ALARP? | Yes – see ALARP assessment above. |

Given the CMs in place to prevent a vessel on vessel collision and refuelling incidents and the low frequency of significant volume diesel spills that occur in the industry, the likelihood of a loss of containment event during the activity is low. The risks from diesel spills are well understood and the activities will be managed in accordance with relevant legislation and standards. The CMs proposed are consistent with applicable actions described in the relevant Recovery Plans and Approved Conservation Advice and no stakeholder concerns have been raised regarding this aspect.

With the implementation of industry standard and activity-specific CMs to reduce the chance of a diesel spill event (and minimise impacts), the residual risk is assessed to be Low and ALARP. CMs will reduce the risk of impact from MDO spill to a level that is acceptable.

8. Implementation strategy

| |
|---|
| OPGG(E)R 2009 Requirements |
| Regulation 14(1) |
| The environment plan must contain an implementation strategy for the activity in accordance with this regulation. |
| Regulation 14(10) |
| The implementation strategy must comply with the Act, the regulations and any other environmental legislation applying to the activity. |

The specific measures and arrangements that will be implemented in the event of an oil pollution emergency are detailed within the OPEP.

Stakeholder engagement is assessed separately for the requirements of the activity. Ongoing stakeholder management strategies are discussed in **Section 4**.

8.1 Environmental management system

| |
|--|
| OPGG(E)R 2009 Requirements |
| Regulation 14(3) |
| The implementation strategy must contain a description of the environmental management system for the activity, including specific measures to be used to ensure that, for the duration of the activity: |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the environmental impacts and risks of the activity continue to be identified and reduced to a level that is ALARP; and (b) CMs detailed in the environment plan are effective in reducing the environmental impacts and risks of the activity to ALARP and an acceptable level; and (c) environmental performance outcomes and standards set out in the environment plan are being met. |

Santos' Management System exists to support its moral, professional and legal obligations to undertake work in a manner that does not cause harm to people or the environment. The framework of policies, standards, processes, procedures, tools and CMs that, when used together by a properly resourced and competent organisation, result in:

- + A common HSE approach is followed across the organisation.
- + HSE is proactively managed and maintained.
- + The mandatory requirements of HSE management are implemented and are auditable.
- + HSE management performance is measured and corrective actions are taken.
- + Opportunities for improvement are recognised and implemented.
- + Workforce commitments are understood and demonstrated.

The structure of this implementation strategy aligns with the HSE Management System structure and is designed to require that:

- + Environmental impacts and risks continue to be identified for the duration of the activity and reduced to ALARP.
- + CMs are effective in reducing environmental impacts and risks to ALARP and acceptable levels.
- + Environmental performance outcomes and standards set out in this EP are met.
- + Stakeholder consultation is maintained throughout the activity as appropriate.

8.2 Environment, Health and Safety Policy

Santos' Environment, Health and Safety Policy (**Appendix A**) clearly sets out Santos' strategic environmental objectives and the commitment of the management team to continuous environmental performance improvement. This EP has been prepared in accordance with the fundamentals of this policy. By accepting employment with Santos, each employee and contractor is made aware during the recruitment process that he or she is responsible for the application of this policy.

8.3 Hazard identification, risk and impact assessment and controls

Hazards and associated environmental risks and impacts for the proposed activities have been systematically identified and assessed in this EP (refer to **Sections 6** and **7**). The CMs and EPS that will be implemented to manage the identified risks and impacts, and the EPOs that will be achieved, are detailed in **Section 8.4**.

To ensure that environmental risks and impacts remain acceptable and ALARP during the activity and for the duration of this EP, hazards will continue to be identified, assessed and controlled as described in **Section 8.10** (Document Management) and **Section 8.11** (Audits and Inspections).

Any new, or proposed amendment to a CM, EPS or EPO will be managed in accordance with the MoC procedure (**Section 8.10.2**).

Oil spill response CMs and EPSs and EPOs are listed in the OPEP.

8.4 Environmental performance

To ensure environmental risks and impacts will be of an acceptable level, EPOs have been defined and are listed in

Table 8-1 for planned activities, those relating to oil spill response are listed in the OPEP.

Table 8-1: Environmental performance outcomes

| Reference | Environmental Performance Outcomes |
|-----------|---|
| EPO-01 | No injury or mortality to EPBC Act 1999 and WA <i>Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016</i> listed fauna during activities |
| EPO-02 | Reduce impacts to marine fauna from lighting on vessels through limiting lighting to that required by safety and navigational lighting requirements |
| EPO-03 | Reduce impacts to air and water quality from planned discharges and emissions from the activities |
| EPO-04 | Seabed disturbance is limited to the extent required for sampling or anchoring |
| EPO-05 | Reduce impacts on other marine users through the provision of information to relevant stakeholders such that they are able to plan for their activities and avoid unexpected interference |
| EPO-06 | No unplanned objects, emissions or discharges to sea or air |
| EPO-07 | No introduction of marine pest species |
| EPO-08 | No loss of containment of hydrocarbon to the marine environment |

8.4.1 Control measures and environmental performance

| |
|---|
| OPGGs(E)R 2009 Requirements |
| Regulation 13(7) |
| <p>The environment plan must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) set environmental performance standards for the CMs identified under paragraph (5)(c); and (b) set out the environmental performance outcomes against which the performance of the titleholder in protecting the environment is to be measured; and (c) include measurement criteria that the titleholder will use to determine whether each environmental performance outcome and environmental performance standard is being met. |

The CMs that will be used to manage identified environmental impacts and risks and the associated statements of performance required of the CM (in other words, EPSs) are listed in **Table 8-2**. Measurement criteria outlining how compliance with the CM and the expected environmental performance could be evidenced are also listed.

All CMs and EPS and associated measurement criteria relating to preparedness and response operations are contained within the VBA OPEP (SO-91-BI-20011.02).

Table 8-2: Control measures and environmental performance standards for the proposed activity

| Control Measures | CM Reference | Environmental Performance Standard | EPS Reference No. | Measurement Criteria | Performance Objective Reference | Section |
|---|--------------|--|-------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Procedure for interacting with marine fauna | CM-01 | Vessels comply with Santos' Protected Marine Fauna Interaction and Sighting Procedure (EA-91-11-00003), which ensures compliance with Part 8 of the EPBC Regulations 2000, which includes controls for minimising the risk of collision with marine fauna. | CM-01-EPS-01 | Completed vessel statement of conformance. | EPO-01 | Section 6.1 Section 7.3 |
| | | Helicopter contractor procedures comply with Santos' Protected Marine Fauna Interaction and Sighting Procedure (EA-91-11-00003), which ensures compliance with Part 8 of the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2000, which includes controls for minimising interaction with marine fauna. | CM-01-EPS-02 | Helicopter contractor procedures align with Santos' Protected Marine Fauna Interaction and Sighting Procedure (EA-91-11-00003). | | |
| Watchkeeping maintained on bridge | CM-02 | Monitoring of surrounding marine environment is undertaken from vessel bridge. | CM-02-EPS-01 | Records of 24-hour bridge watch. | EPO-01 EPO-05 | Section 6.1 Section 6.5 Section 7.3 |
| Lighting will be used as required for safe work conditions and navigational purposes. | CM-03 | Vessel navigation lighting and equipment is compliant with COLREGS/Marine Order Part 30: Prevention of Collisions, and with Marine Order Part 21: Safety of Navigation and Emergency Procedures to minimise collision risk. | CM-03-EPS-01 | Vessel certification confirms compliance with applicable regulations. | EPO-02 | Section 6.2 Section 6.3 Section 6.5 Section 7.6 |
| | | Premobilisation review of lighting on vessel is undertaken prior to activities commencing in Operational Area C during peak green turtle hatching season (January-April as per Table 3-15) to confirm only necessary lighting for safety and navigation, including, where feasible, orientation to reduce light spill on the water | CM-03-EPS-02 | Documented premobilisation review prior to activities commencing in Operational Area C during peak green turtle hatching season (January-April) confirms lighting restricted to that necessary for safety and navigation. | | |
| Vessel planned maintenance system | CM-04 | Documented maintenance program is in place for equipment on vessels that provides a status on the maintenance of equipment. | CM-04-EPS-01 | Planned maintenance system records. | EPO-03 | Section 6.3 |
| Fuel oil management | CM-05 | MARPOL-compliant (Marine Order 97) fuel oil (diesel) will be used during the activity. | CM-05-EPS-01 | Fuel bunkering records and/or relevant purchase records. | EPO-03 | Section 6.3 |
| | | Intermediate fuel oil or heavy fuel oil will not be used during the activity. | CM-05-EPS-02 | Fuel bunkering records and/or relevant purchase records. | EPO-03 | |
| International Air Pollution Prevention Certificate | CM-06 | Pursuant to Marine Order 97, the vessel will maintain a current IAPP Certificate, which certifies that measures to prevent ozone-depleting substance (ODS) emissions, and reduce NOx, SOx, and incineration emissions during the activity are in place. | CM-06-EPS-01 | Current International Air Pollution Prevention Certificate. | EPO-03 | Section 6.3 |
| Waste incineration management | CM-07 | Waste incineration on the vessel is managed in accordance with Marine Order 97. | CM-07-EPS-01 | Completed waste record book or recording system. | EPO-03 | Section 6.3 Section 7.6 |
| Anchoring and equipment deployment management | CM-08 | If anchoring or placement of equipment is required, vessels will anchor or place equipment on seabed only at Santos pre-approved locations within the operational areas. | CM-08-EPS-01 | Incident database records show no anchoring or placement of equipment occurred at non-approved locations. | EPO-04 | Section 6.1 Section 7.3 |
| Seafarer certification | CM-09 | Vessel crew are trained and competent, in accordance with Flag State regulations, to navigate vessels and reduce interaction with other marine users. | CM-09-EPS-01 | Training records. | EPO-05 | Section 6.5 |

| Control Measures | CM Reference | Environmental Performance Standard | EPS Reference No. | Measurement Criteria | Performance Objective Reference | Section |
|---|--------------|---|-------------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Stakeholder consultation | CM-10 | Santos provided a <i>Quarterly Consultation Update</i> to relevant stakeholders and all stakeholder correspondence recorded in stakeholder database. | CM-10-EPS-01 | Records of transmittal. Stakeholder communications database. | EPO-05 | Section 6.5 |
| | | Santos notifies AHO and AMSA's JRCC prior to commencement of the activity. | CM-10-EPS-02 | Transmittal records. | EPO-05 | |
| | | Santos will notify all relevant stakeholders listed, or as revised, in Table 4-1 of relevant activity details prior to commencement, including activity timing, vessel movements, proposed cessation date and vessel details. | CM-10-EPS-03 | Transmittal records. | EPO-05 | |
| | | Santos will not restrict commercial fishing access to the operational area, and is committed to concurrent operations where safety of either vessel is not compromised. | CM-10-EPS-04 | Nil incidents reported of commercial fishing access to the operational area being restricted where safety was not compromised. | EPO-05 | |
| No fishing from vessel | CM-11 | Personnel are prohibited from recreational fishing activities on the vessel. | CM-11-EPS-01 | Induction records. | EPO-05 | Section 6.5 |
| Vessel sewage system | CM-12 | Pursuant to Marine Order 96, the vessels will have a current International Sewage Pollution Prevention (ISPP) Certificate which certifies that required measures to reduce impacts from sewage disposal are in place. | CM-12-EPS-01 | Current ISPP Certificate. | EPO-03 | Section 6.6 |
| | | Preventive maintenance on sewage treatment equipment is completed as scheduled. | CM-12-EPS-02 | Maintenance records. | | |
| | | Sewage from vessels is discharged or retained, in accordance with Marine Order 96. | CM-12-EPS-03 | Records demonstrate that sewage was appropriately discharged or retained. | | |
| Vessel oily mixtures system | CM-13 | Oily mixtures (bilge water) only discharged to sea in accordance with Marine Order 91. | CM-13-EPS-01 | Oil record book. | EPO-03 | Section 6.6 |
| | | Preventive maintenance on oil filtering equipment completed as scheduled. | CM-13-EPS-02 | Maintenance records. | | |
| | | Pursuant to Marine Order 91, (vessels larger than 400 t) will have an International Oil Pollution Prevention Certificate, which certifies that required measures to reduce impacts of planned oil discharges are in place. | CM-13-EPS-03 | Current IOPP Certificate. | | |
| Waste (garbage) management plan. | CM-14 | Garbage management plan implemented to reduce the risk of waste released to sea, in accordance with Marine Order 95. The plan includes detail for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + bin types + lids and covers + waste segregation + bin storage + food waste. | CM-14-EPS-01 | Garbage record book. Audit records. Inspection records. | EPO-06 | Section 6.6 Section 7.1 |
| | | Pursuant to Marine Order 95, placards displayed to notify personnel of waste disposal restrictions. | CM-14-EPS-02 | Audit records. Inspection records. | | |
| Deck cleaning product selection procedure | CM-15 | Deck cleaning products planned to be released to sea meet the criteria for not being harmful to the marine environment according to Marine Order 94 to minimise potential impacts to water quality. | CM-15-EPS-01 | Safety Data Sheet (SDS) and product supplier supplementary data as required. | EPO-03 | Section 6.6 |
| Dropped object recovery | CM-16 | Objects dropped overboard are recovered to mitigate the environmental consequences from objects remaining in the marine environment, unless the environmental consequences are negligible, or safety risks are disproportionate to the environmental consequences. | CM-16-EPS-01 | Fate of dropped objects detailed in incident documents. | EPO-06 | Section 7.1 |
| Dropped object prevention procedures. | CM-17 | Vessel lifting procedures include the following CMs to reduce the risk of objects entering the marine environment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + lifting equipment certification and inspection + lifting crew competencies + heavy lift procedures + preventative maintenance on cranes. | CM-17-EPS-01 | Lifting equipment register. Permit to work records. Training records. | EPO-06 | |

| Control Measures | CM Reference | Environmental Performance Standard | EPS Reference No. | Measurement Criteria | Performance Objective Reference | Section |
|---|--------------|--|-------------------|--|--|------------------------|
| Implementation of the management controls in the Santos Invasive Marine Species Management Plan | CM-18 | Vessels are managed to low risk in accordance with the Santos IMSMP (EA-00-RI-10172) prior to movement or transit into or within the invasive marine species management zone, which requires: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + assessment of applicable vessels using the IMSMP risk assessment + the management of immersible equipment to low risk. | CM-18-EPS-01 | Completed risk assessment demonstrating vessel and equipment is low risk. | EPO-07 | Section 7.2 |
| | | Pursuant to the Biosecurity Act 2015 and Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements 2017, support vessels carrying ballast water and engaged in international voyages shall manage ballast water so that marine pest species are not introduced. | CM-18-EPS-02 | Records show Ballast Water Management is implemented. Completed ballast water record book or log is maintained. | EPO-07 | Section 7.2 |
| Anti-foulant system | CM-19 | Vessel anti-foulant system maintained in compliance with <i>International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-fouling Systems on Ships</i> | CM-19-EPS-01 | Current International Anti-Fouling System Certificate. | EPO-07 | Section 7.2 |
| Vessel spill response plans (SOPEP/SMPEP) | CM-20 | Support vessels have a shipboard oil pollution emergency plan (SOPEP) or shipboard marine pollution emergency plan (SMPEP), which outlines steps taken to combat spills. | CM-20-EPS-01 | Audit records. Inspection records. | EPO-08 | Section 7.6 |
| Accepted Oil pollution emergency plan (OPEP) | CM-21 | In the event of a hydrocarbon spill to sea, the Santos OPEP requirements are implemented to mitigate environmental impacts. | CM-21-EPS-01 | Completed incident documentation. | EPO-08 | Section 7.6 |
| Marine assurance standard | CM-22 | Vessels selected and on-boarded in accordance with the <i>Offshore Marine Assurance Procedure</i> (SO-91-ZH-10001) to ensure contracted vessels are operated, maintained and manned in accordance with industry standards (for example, Marine Orders) and regulatory requirements (this EP) and the relevant Santos procedures mentioned in this EP | CM-22-EPS-01 | Completed inspection checklist and premobilisation documentation. | EPO-06 EPO-08 | Section 7.6 |
| Pre-start requirements | CM-23 | Prior to commencing start-up of geophysical survey equipment in-water, the following will be completed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + A trained crew member (refer Section 8.6.2) observing for marine mammals, whale sharks or turtles within 500 m of the vessel during daylight for 15 minutes prior to start-up (if no sightings, survey can commence). + If marine mammals, whale sharks or turtles are sighted within 500 m of the geophysical equipment prior to commencement of survey equipment, the operation will be delayed until the animal has moved at least 500 m away or 10 minutes has passed since the last sighting. + Soft-start procedures enacted over 30 minutes (if equipment allows). + Night operations can commence if there were no more than three delays due to marine fauna in the preceding 24 hour period. | CM-23-EPS-01 | Geophysical survey checklist completed prior to survey equipment commencement. | EPO-01 | Section 6.1 |
| Pre-Activity commencement assurance check | CM-24 | Prior to activity commencement, an assurance check will be undertaken in accordance with Santos Environment Management of Change Procedure (EA-91-IQ-10001). This involves a documented review of the EP to ensure: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + the activity details are current + changes in legislation are identified + stakeholder consultation has been completed and stakeholder concerns addressed + potential impacts and risks are still relevant + oil spill scenario is appropriate + EPOs and EPSs are appropriate + activity is acceptable and ALARP in accordance with the EP. | CM-24-EPS-01 | Completed Assurance Check form. | EPO-01 EPO-02 EPO-03 EPO-04 EPO-05 EPO-06 EPO-07 EPO-08 | Section 6 and 7 |

| Control Measures | CM Reference | Environmental Performance Standard | EPS Reference No. | Measurement Criteria | Performance Objective Reference | Section |
|---|--------------|---|-------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| Operations chemical selection procedure | CM-25 | Geotechnical drilling chemicals potentially discharged to sea are CHARM Gold/Silver or non-CHARM D/ E rated through OCNS, or PLONOR substances listed by OSPAR, or have a completed risk assessment as per Santos Operations Chemical Selection, Evaluation and Approval Procedure (EA-91-II-10001) so only environmentally acceptable products are used. The selection criteria for chemical preference through the risk assessment process as outlined Santos Operations Chemical Selection, Evaluation and Approval Procedure (EA-91-II-10001) is low aquatic toxicity (for example, EC50/LC50 > 100 mg/L), low bioaccumulation potential (for example, Log Pow <3) and readily biodegradable (for example, >60 in 28 days OECD 306). | CM-25-EPS-01 | Completed Santos risk assessment. | EPO-03 | Section 6.6 |
| Samples are not taken from the Ancient Coastline at 125 m depth contour KEF | CM-27 | Samples are not taken from the Ancient Coastline at 125 m depth contour KEF as defined by the Capreolus survey data unless evidence is provided that the area of seabed is not significant. | CM-27-EPS-01 | Vessel records. | EPO-04 | Section 6.4 |
| Remotely operated vehicle inspection and maintenance procedures | CM-28 | Preventive maintenance on ROV completed as scheduled to reduce the risk of hydraulic fluid releases to sea. | CM-28-EPS-01 | Maintenance records. | EPO-06 | Section 7.4 |
| | | ROV pre-deployment inspection completed to reduce the risk of hydraulic fluid releases to sea. | CM-28-EPS-02 | Completed pre-deployment inspection of hose integrity. | | |
| General chemical management procedures | CM-29 | SDS available for all chemicals to aid in the process of hazard identification and chemical management. | CM-29-EPS-01 | Safety data sheet. | EPO-06 | Section 7.4 |
| | | Chemicals managed in accordance with the SDS in relation to safe handling and storage, spill-response and emergency procedures, and disposal considerations. | CM-29-EPS-02 | Audit records. Inspection records. | | |
| | | Dangerous goods managed in accordance with the International Maritime Dangerous Goods Code to reduce the risk of an environmental incident, such as an accidental release to sea or unintended chemical reaction. | CM-29-EPS-03 | Site records. | | |
| Hazardous chemical management procedures | CM-30 | For hazardous chemicals, including hydrocarbons, the following standards apply to reduce the risk of an accidental release to sea: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Storage containers closed when the product is not being used. + Storage containers managed in a manner that provides for secondary containment in the event of a spill or leak. + Storage containers labelled with the technical product name as per the safety data sheet. + Spills and leaks to deck, excluding storage bunds and drip trays, immediately cleaned up. + Storage bunds and drip trays do not contain free-flowing volumes of liquid. + Spill response equipment readily available. | CM-30-EPS-01 | Audit Records. Inspection Records. | EPO-06 | Section 7.4 |

| Control Measures | CM Reference | Environmental Performance Standard | EPS Reference No. | Measurement Criteria | Performance Objective Reference | Section |
|--|--------------|--|-------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|
| Refuelling and chemical transfer procedure | CM-31 | <p>All vessels that are involved in at sea bunkering or chemical transfer will have appropriate procedure in place to reduce risk of spill to sea which will include requirements such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + hose integrity: certified hoses inspected prior to use + hose floatation: bulk hoses in the water fitted with floatation collars + hose connections: hoses used for hydrocarbons fitted with self-sealing (dry-break) connections and self-sealing break-away connections when two or more hoses are joined together + valve alignment: a vessel supervisor checks that all valves are lined up correctly + tank venting: air vents for hydrocarbon storage tanks banded if there is a risk of spill to deck + supervision: dedicated hose watch person while pumping bulk fuel + communications: constant radio communications between two vessels + inventory control: a vessel supervisor monitors tank fill levels + emergency shutdown: vessel emergency pumping stop tested before each transfer operation + bunkering drill requirements + Refuelling is not conducted within AMPs. + Commencing refuelling in daylight hours only. | CM-31-EPS-01 | <p>Audit Records. Inspection Records. Refuelling procedure.</p> | EPO-06 | <p>Section 7.4 Section 7.6</p> |
| Recovery of all deployed equipment | CM-32 | All equipment deployed during any VBA will be recovered at the end of each VBA campaign. | CM-32-EPS-01 | Survey records | EPO-04 EPO-06 | Section 6.4 |

8.5 Leadership, accountability and responsibility

| OPGGs(E)R 2009 Requirements |
|---|
| Regulation 14(4) |
| The implementation strategy must establish a clear chain of command, setting out the roles and responsibilities of personnel in relation to the implementation, management and review of the environment plan, including during emergencies or potential emergencies. |

While Santos' Chief Executive Officer (CEO) has the overall accountability for the implementation of the Santos Management System and Environment, Health and Safety Policy, Santos' Manager – Offshore Drilling and Completions, is accountable for ensuring implementation, management and review of this EP.

The effective implementation of this EP requires collaboration and cooperation among Santos and its contractors. The chain of command and accountabilities of personnel in relation to the implementation, management and review of the EP is outlined in **Table 8-3**. It is also outlined in the OPEP for oil spill response.

Table 8-3: Chain of command, key leadership roles and responsibilities

| Role | Responsibilities |
|---|---|
| Manager – Offshore Drilling & Completions | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Ensures Santos' policies and standards are adhered to and communicated to all employees and contractors. + Promotes HSE as a core value integral with how Santos does its business. + Empowers personnel to 'stop-the-job' due to HSE concerns. + Provides resources for HSE management. + Ensures a high level of HSE performance and drives improvement opportunities. + Ensures emergency response plans are in place. + Maintains communication with company personnel, government agencies and the media. + Approves MoC documents, if acceptable and ALARP. + Ensures the annual HSE improvement plan is completed. |
| Company Site Representative | <p>Has responsibility for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + implementing EP commitments + ensuring personnel competency + ensuring compliance with procedures and work instructions + being site focal point for onshore/offshore communications + reporting all incidents and potential hazards + leading site-based incident response + implementing corrective actions from environmental incidents and audits. |

| Role | Responsibilities |
|---|--|
| Vessel Master | <p>Has overall responsibility for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + implementation and compliance with relevant environmental legislative requirements, EP commitments and operational procedures on the vessel + maintaining clear communication with personnel on board + communicating hazards and risks to the workforce + monitoring daily activities on the vessel to ensure that the relevant environmental legislative requirements, EP commitments and operational procedures are being followed + maintaining vessels to all regulatory and class requirements + maintaining their vessel in a state of preparedness for emergency response + reporting environmental incidents to PIC and ensuring follow-up actions are performed. |
| Santos HSE Manager | <p>Has overall responsibility for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + ensuring incident preparedness and response arrangements meet Santos and regulatory requirements + approving the OPEP + providing ongoing resources to maintain compliance with the OPEP and other Santos incident response requirements. |
| Santos HSE Coordinator(s) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Ensures the EP is managed and reviewed: monitors conformance with EPOs and EPSs, and the implementation strategy in the EP. + Prepares, maintains and distributes the environmental compliance register. + Completes regular HSE reports, inspections and audits. + Completes HSE inductions and promotes general awareness. + Collates HSE data and records. + Contributes to HSE incident management and investigations. + Provides operational HSE oversight and advice. + Facilitates the development and implementation of MoC documents. + Provides incident reports, compliance reports and notifications to NOPSEMA. + Ensures stakeholder consultation and communication requirements have been fulfilled. + Ensures subcontractors are communicated the EP requirements. |
| HSE Team Lead – Security and Emergency Response | <p>Has overall responsibility for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + overarching incident and crisis management responsibility + managing the Crisis Management Team and IMT personnel training program + reviewing and assessing competencies for Crisis Management Team, IMT, and field-based Incident Response Team members + managing the Duty roster system for Crisis Management Team and IMT personnel + managing the maintenance and readiness of incident response resources and equipment. |

| Role | Responsibilities |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Senior Oil Spill Response Advisor | Has overall responsibility for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + providing upfront and ongoing guidance, framework, and direction on preparation of this OPEP + developing and maintaining arrangements and contracts for incident response support from third-parties + developing and defining objectives, strategies and tactical plans for response preparedness defined in this OPEP and IRP + undertaking assurance activities on arrangements outlined within the OPEP. |

8.6 Workforce training and competency

| OPGGs(E)R 2009 Requirements |
|---|
| Regulation 14(5) |
| The implementation strategy must include measures to ensure that each employee or contractor working on, or in connection with, the activity is aware of his or her responsibilities in relation to the environment plan, including during emergencies or potential emergencies, and has the appropriate competencies and training. |

This section describes the mechanisms that will be in place, so each employee and contractor is aware of his or her responsibilities in relation to the EP and has appropriate training and competencies.

8.6.1 Inductions

All personnel on vessels will complete an induction which will include a component addressing their EP responsibilities. Induction attendance records for all personnel will be maintained. Inductions will include information about:

- + Environment, Health and Safety Policy
- + regulatory regime (NOPSEMA regulations)
- + EPBC Act Policy Statement 2.1 and how it applies to the activity; in other words, CMs CM-01 and CM-23
- + operating environment (for example, nearby protected marine areas)
- + activities with highest risk
- + EP commitments (for example, **Table 8-2**)
- + incident reporting and notifications
- + regulatory compliance reporting
- + importance of marine communications regarding any potential interactions with active commercial fishing (as requested by WAFIC during consultation **Table 4-2**)
- + MoC process for changes to EP activities
- + oil pollution emergency response (for example, OPEP requirements).

8.6.2 Training and competency

All members of the workforce on the vessels will complete relevant training and/or hold relevant qualifications and certificates for their roles.

Trained Crew undertaking marine fauna observations prior to survey commencement must have proven experience in whale observation, distance estimation and reporting (as per Part A2 of the EPBC Act Policy

Statement 2.1, noting that the policy statement allows for a trained crew member to undertake this role, as opposed to a marine mammal observer).

Santos and its contractors are individually responsible for ensuring that their personnel are qualified and trained. The systems, procedures and responsible persons will vary and will be managed through the use of online databases, staff on-boarding process and training departments, etc.

Personnel qualification and training records will be sampled before and/or during an activity. Such checks will be performed during the procurement process, facility acceptance testing, inductions, crew change, and operational inspections and audits.

8.6.3 Workforce involvement and communication

Daily operational meetings will be held at which HSE will be a standing agenda item. It is a requirement that supervisors attend daily operational meetings and that all personnel attend daily toolbox or pre-shift meetings. Toolbox or pre-shift meetings will be held to plan jobs and discuss work tasks, including HSE risks and their controls.

HSE performance will be monitored and reported during the activity, and performance metrics (such as the number of environmental incidents) will be regularly communicated to the workforce. Workforce involvement and environmental awareness will also be promoted by encouraging offshore personnel to report marine fauna sightings and marine pollution (for example, oil on water, dropped objects).

8.7 Emergency preparedness and response

| |
|--|
| OPGGs(E)R 2009 Requirements |
| Regulation 14(8) |
| The implementation strategy must contain an oil pollution emergency plan and provide for the updating of the plan. |

Vessels are required to have and implement incident response plans, such as an emergency response plan and SMPEP or SOPEP. Regular incident response drills and exercises (for example, as defined in an emergency response plan, SMPEP or SOPEP) are performed to refresh the crew in using equipment and implementing incident response procedures.

Santos will implement the activity OPEP (SO-91-RI-20058.02) in the event of a hydrocarbon spill. The OPEP details how Santos will prepare and respond to a spill event and meets the requirement of the OPGGS(E)R 2009.

8.8 Incident reporting, investigation and follow-up

| OPGGs(E)R 2009 Requirements |
|--|
| Regulation 14(2) |
| <p>The implementation strategy must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) state when the titleholder will report to the Regulator in relation to the titleholder’s environmental performance for the activity; and (b) provide that the interval between reports will not be more than 1 year. <p>Note: Regulation 26C requires a titleholder to report on environmental performance in accordance with the timetable set out in the environment plan.</p> |
| Regulation 14(7) |
| <p>The implementation strategy must provide for sufficient monitoring of, and maintaining a quantitative record of, emissions and discharges (whether occurring during normal operations or otherwise), such that the record can be used to assess whether the environmental performance outcomes and standards in the environment plan are being met.</p> |

All personnel will be informed through inductions and daily operational meetings of their duty to report HSE incidents and hazards. Reported HSE incidents and hazards will be shared during daily operational meetings and will be documented in the incident management systems as appropriate. HSE incidents will be investigated in accordance with the Santos Incident Reporting and Investigation Procedure (QE-91-IF-00002) or vessel contractor procedures.

Environmental recordable and reportable incidents will be reported to NOPSEMA as required, in accordance with **Section 8.9**. The incident reporting requirements will be provided to all crew on board the facilities and support vessels with special attention to the reporting time frames to provide for accurate and timely reporting.

For the purposes of this activity, in accordance with OPGGS(E) Regulations:

- + a recordable incident, for an activity, means a breach of an EPO or EPS, in the EP that applies to the activity, that is not a reportable incident
- + a reportable incident, for an activity, means an incident relating to the activity that has caused, or has the potential to cause, moderate to significant environmental damage.

For the purposes of this EP, a reportable incident is an incident that is assessed to have an environmental consequence of moderate or higher in accordance with the Santos environmental impact and risk assessment process outlined in **Section 5**.

8.9 Reporting and notifications

| OPGGSR 2009 Requirements |
|---|
| <p>Regulation 14(2)</p> <p>The implementation strategy must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) state when the titleholder will report to the Regulator in relation to the titleholder’s environmental performance for the activity; and (b) provide that the interval between reports will not be more than 1 year. |
| <p>Regulation 14(7)</p> <p>The implementation strategy must provide for sufficient monitoring of, and maintaining a quantitative record of, emissions and discharges (whether occurring during normal operations or otherwise), such that the record can be used to assess whether the environmental performance outcomes and standards in the environment plan are being met.</p> |

8.9.1 Notifications and compliance reporting

Regulatory, other notification and compliance reporting requirements are summarised in **Table 8-4**.

Table 8-4: Activity notification and reporting requirements

| Initiation | Required Information | Timing | Type | Recipient |
|--|--|---|---------|-------------|
| Before the activity | | | | |
| Consultation with DNP | Notification to marineparks@awe.gov.au if the EP is approved by NOPSEMA. | Once EP approved. | Written | DNP |
| | Notification of activities occurring within the marine park (excluding transiting). Notification in accordance with NOPSEMA Guidance Note: Petroleum activities and AMPs (N-04750-GN1785). | At least ten days prior. | Written | DNP |
| Consultation with AMSA (refer Table 4-2) | Notification of proposed start and end dates and any other relevant information for the Notice to Mariners to be issued. AMSA's JRCC requires the: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + vessel details (including name, callsign and Maritime Mobile Service Identity) + satellite communications details (including INMARSAT-C and satellite telephone numbers) + area of operation + requested clearance from other vessels + any other information that may contribute to safety at sea + when operations start and end. | At least 24 to 48 hours before operations commence. | Written | AMSA's JRCC |
| | | No less than four working weeks before operations. | Written | AHO |
| Consultation with DoD (refer Table 4-2) | Notification of proposed start and end dates for each VBA. | No less than four working weeks before operations. | Written | DoD AHS |
| WAFIC | Prior to commencement of the activity, Santos will liaise with WAFIC on the required notifications to relevant commercial fishers. | At least one week prior. | Written | WAFIC |

| Initiation | Required Information | Timing | Type | Recipient |
|---|--|---|---------|--|
| Consultation | Prior to commencement of the activity, Santos will notify stakeholders listed in Table 8-4 of information about activity timing and location, vessel movements and vessel details. | At least one week prior. | Written | All relevant stakeholders listed, or as revised, in Table 4-1 |
| Consultation | The activity will be included in the Quarterly Consultation Update until the activity has ended. | Quarterly | Written | The Quarterly Consultation Update is circulated to a broad group of Santos stakeholders, including many of the stakeholders identified in Section 4 |
| Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) – Biosecurity (vessels, aircraft and personnel) (refer Table 4-2) | <p>In addition to completing an IMS Risk Assessment in accordance with CM- 18, Santos will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + pursuant to the <i>Biosecurity Act 2015</i> and the <i>Biosecurity (Exposed Conveyances – Exceptions from Biosecurity Control) Determination 2016</i>, undertake a vessel biosecurity risk and be assessed as ‘low’ by the Commonwealth Department of Agriculture prior to interacting with domestic support vessels and aircraft + undertake pre-arrival approval for the vessels (where applicable) using the Maritime Arrivals Reporting System (MARS) to meet the DAWE biosecurity reporting obligations. | <p>At least one month prior to activity commencement.</p> <p>MARS reporting at least 12 hours prior to arrival.</p> | Written | DAWE Biosecurity (vessels, aircraft and personnel) |

| Initiation | Required Information | Timing | Type | Recipient |
|---|--|--|----------------|--------------------------|
| <p><u>OPGGS(E) Regulation 29 & 30 – Notifications</u></p> <p>NOPSEMA must be notified that the activity is to commence</p> | <p>Complete NOPSEMA’s Regulation 29 Start or End of Activity Notification form prior to each VBA campaign.</p> | <p>At least ten days before the activity commences.</p> | <p>Written</p> | <p>NOPSEMA</p> |
| <p>During the activity</p> | | | | |
| <p><u>OPGGS(E) Regulation 26B – Recordable Incidents</u></p> <p>NOPSEMA must be notified of a breach of an EPO or EPS, in the environment plan that applies to the activity that is not a reportable incident</p> | <p>Complete NOPSEMA’s Recordable Environmental Incident Monthly Report form.</p> | <p>The report must be submitted as soon as practicable after the end of the calendar month, and in any case, not later than 15 days after the end of the calendar month.</p> | <p>Written</p> | <p>NOPSEMA</p> |
| <p><u>OPGGS(E) Regulation 16(c), 26 & 26A – Reportable Incident</u></p> <p>NOPSEMA must be notified of any reportable incidents</p> <p>For the purposes of Regulation 16(c), a reportable incident is defined as:</p> <p>+ an incident relating to the activity that has caused, or has the</p> | <p>The oral notification must contain:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + all material facts and circumstances concerning the reportable incident known or by reasonable search or enquiry could be found out + any action taken to avoid or mitigate any adverse environmental impacts of the reportable incident + the corrective action that has been taken, or is proposed to be taken, to stop, control or remedy the reportable incident. | <p>As soon as practicable, and in any case not later than two hours after the first occurrence of a reportable incident, <u>or</u> if the incident was not detected at the time of the first occurrence, at the time of becoming aware of the reportable incident.</p> | <p>Oral</p> | <p>NOPSEMA</p> |
| | <p>A written record of the oral notification must be submitted. The written record is not required to include anything that was not included in the oral notification.</p> | <p>As soon as practicable after the oral notification.</p> | <p>Written</p> | <p>NOPSEMA NOPTA</p> |

| Initiation | Required Information | Timing | Type | Recipient |
|--|--|--|---------|------------------|
| potential to cause, moderate to significant environmental damage | <p>A written report must contain:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + all material facts and circumstances concerning the reportable incident known or by reasonable search or enquiry could be found out + any action taken to avoid or mitigate any adverse environmental impacts of the reportable incident + the corrective action that has been taken, or is proposed to be taken, to stop, control or remedy the reportable incident + the action that has been taken, or is proposed to be taken, to prevent a similar incident occurring in the future. <p>Consider reporting using NOPSEMA's Report of an Accident, Dangerous Occurrence or Environmental Incident form.</p> | <p>Must be submitted as soon as practicable, and in any case not later than three days after the first occurrence of the reportable incident unless NOPSEMA specifies otherwise.</p> <p>Same report to be submitted to NOPTA and DMIRS within seven days after giving the written report to NOPSEMA.</p> | Written | NOPSEMA NOPTA |
| <p><u>AMSA Reporting</u> Under the Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) between Santos and AMSA</p> | Titleholder agrees to notify AMSA of any marine pollution incident ³ . | Within 2 hours of incident. | Oral | AMSA |
| | POLREP and SITREP available online (refer OPEP). | <p>POLREP as requested by AMSA following verbal notification.</p> <p>SITREP as requested by AMSA within 24 hours of request.</p> | Written | AMSA |

³ For clarity and consistency across Santos regulatory reporting requirements Santos will meet the requirement of reporting marine oil pollution by reporting oil spills assessed to have an environmental consequence of moderate or higher in accordance with Santos's environmental impact and risk assessment process outlined in **Section 5**.

| Initiation | Required Information | Timing | Type | Recipient |
|---|--|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <p><u>Director of National Parks Reporting</u></p> <p>Notification of the event of oil pollution within a marine park or where an oil spill response action must be taken within a marine park (requested through consultation)</p> | <p>The DNP should be made aware of oil/gas pollution incidences which occur within a marine park or are likely to impact on a marine park as soon as possible. Notification should be provided to the 24-hour Marine Compliance Duty Officer on 0419 293 465. The notification should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + titleholder details + time and location of the incident (including name of marine park likely to be affected) + proposed response arrangements as per the OPEP (such as dispersant, containment, etc.) + confirmation of providing access to relevant monitoring and evaluation reports when available + contact details for the response coordinator. <p>Note that the DNP may request daily or weekly Situation Reports, depending on the scale and severity of the pollution incident.</p> | <p>So far as reasonably practicable prior to response action being written.</p> | <p>Oral and written</p> | <p>Director of National Parks</p> |
| <p><u>DPIRD Reporting</u></p> <p>If marine pests or disease are suspected this must be reported to DPIRD</p> | <p>Notification of any suspected marine pests or diseases including any organism listed in the Western Australian Prevention List for Introduced Marine Pests and any other non-endemic organism that demonstrates invasive characteristics.</p> | <p>Within 24 hours.</p> | <p>Oral</p> | <p>DPIRD FishWatch</p> |
| <p><u>DAWE Reporting</u></p> <p>Any harm or mortality to EPBC Act- listed threatened marine fauna</p> <p>Marine Fauna Sighting Data</p> | <p>Notification of any harm or mortality to an EPBC listed species of marine fauna whether attributable to the activity or not.</p> | <p>Within seven days to EPBC.permits@environment.gov.au.</p> | <p>Written</p> | <p>DAWE</p> |
| | <p>Marine fauna sighting data recorded in the marine fauna sighting database.</p> | <p>As soon as practicable, in any case no later than three months after the end of each campaign.</p> | <p>Written</p> | <p>DAWE</p> |
| <p>Any harm or mortality to fauna listed as threatened under the <i>WA Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016</i></p> | <p>Notification of any harm or mortality to fauna listed as a threatened species under the <i>WA Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016</i> as a result of Santos activities.</p> | <p>A fauna report will be submitted to DBCA</p> <p>Within seven days to fauna@dbca.wa.gov.au.</p> | <p>Written</p> | <p>DBCA</p> |

| Initiation | Required Information | Timing | Type | Recipient |
|--|--|--|---------|--------------------|
| <u>Australian Marine Mammal Centre Reporting</u> Any ship strike incident with cetaceans will also be reported to the National Ship Strike database | Ship strike report provided to the Australian Marine Mammal Centre: https://data.marinemammals.gov.au/report/shipstrike . | As soon as practicable. | Written | DAWE |
| <u>DBCA Reporting</u> Impacts to marine mammals or turtles in reserves | Notification of any incidence of entanglement, boat collisions and stranding of marine mammals in the reserves and any incident of turtle mortality and incidents of entanglement in the reserves as detailed in the Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow Islands Marine Conservation Reserves. | Within 48 hours. | Written | DBCA |
| <u>Department of Transport Reporting</u> All actual or impending MOP incidents that are in, or may impact, State waters resulting from an offshore petroleum activity | Notification of actual or impending spillage, release or escape of oil or an oily mixture that is capable of causing loss of life, injury to a person or damage to the health of a person, property or the environment. | Within two hours. | Oral | DoT |
| | WA DoT POLREP and SITREP available online (refer OPEP). | As requested by DoT following verbal notification. | Written | DoT |
| Consultation with AMSA (refer Table 4-2) | Notification of updates to both the AHO and the JRCC on progress and, importantly, any changes to the intended operations. | As soon as possible. | Written | AMSA's JRCC AHO |
| End of each campaign | | | | |
| <u>OPGGs(E) Regulation 26C – Environmental Performance</u> NOPSEMA must be notified of the environmental performance at the intervals provided for in the EP | Report must contain sufficient information to determine whether or not EPO and EPS in the EP have been met. | An environmental performance report will be submitted within three months of completion of a campaign (which may occur in multiple operational areas). | Written | NOPSEMA |

| Initiation | Required Information | Timing | Type | Recipient |
|---|--|--|---------|---|
| <p><u>OPGGs(E) Regulation 29 – Notifications</u></p> <p>NOPSEMA must be notified that the activity is completed</p> | Complete NOPSEMA's Regulation 29 Start or End of Activity Notification form. | Within ten days after finishing each campaign. | Written | NOPSEMA |
| <p><u>OPGGs(E) Regulation 25A</u></p> <p>EP ends when titleholder notifies completion and the Regulator accepts the notification</p> <p>NOPSEMA must be notified that the activity has ended and all EP obligations have been completed</p> | Notification advising NOPSEMA of end of all activities to which the EP relates and that all obligations have been completed. | Within six months of the final Regulation 29 (2) notification. | Written | NOPSEMA |
| DNP Consultation | Notification of activities completed within the marine park (excluding transiting). Notification in accordance with NOPSEMA Guidance Note: Petroleum activities and AMPs (N-04750-GN1785). | Within ten days of each campaign cessation (that occurred within the marine park). | Written | DNP |
| AMSA (JRCC) Consultation | Notification that activity has completed. | Within ten days of each campaign cessation. | Written | JRCC |
| AHO | Notification that activity has completed | Within ten days of each campaign cessation. | Written | AHO |
| Defence (DoD) | Notification that activity has completed, at end of each VBA. | Within ten days of each campaign cessation | Written | Defence |
| WAFIC | Upon completion of the activity, Santos will liaise with WAFIC on the required notifications to commercial fishers. | Within ten days of each campaign cessation | Written | WAFIC |
| Consultation requirement | Upon completion of the activity, Santos will provide a cessation notification to the relevant stakeholders listed, or as revised, in Table 8-4. | Within ten days of each campaign cessation. | Written | Relevant stakeholders listed, or as revised, in Table 8-4 |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|-----------|---------|--|
| Consultation requirements | Santos will include the activity in Quarterly Consultation Update until activity ends. | Quarterly | Written | The Quarterly Consultation Update is circulated to a broad group of Santos stakeholders, including many of the stakeholders identified in Section 4 |
|---------------------------|--|-----------|---------|--|

8.9.2 Monitoring and recording emissions and discharges

| |
|---|
| OPGGs(E)R 2009 Requirements |
| Regulation 10A(e) |
| Includes an appropriate implementation strategy and monitoring, recording and reporting arrangements; |
| Regulation 14 (7) |
| The implementation strategy must provide for sufficient monitoring of, and maintaining a quantitative record of, emissions and discharges (whether occurring during normal operations or otherwise), such that the record can be used to assess whether the environmental performance outcomes and standards in the environment plan are being met. |

Vessel-based discharges to the marine environment, associated with this activity will be recorded and controlled in accordance with requirements under relevant marine orders.

Santos and support vessel contractors will maintain records so that emissions and discharges can be determined or estimated. Such records will be maintained for a period of five years. Contractors are required to make these records available upon request. Santos records discharges or emissions (where practicable), to the environment as described in **Table 8-5**.

Table 8-5: Monitoring methods for emissions and discharges

| Discharge/emission | Parameter | Quantitative Record | Recording frequency |
|---|---------------------|--|--|
| Chemicals (discharged to marine environment as per Section 6.6) | Volume | Chemical Risk Assessment. Volumes used will be estimated based on known inventories | For every chemical use with a fate to the marine environment |
| Oily water | Volume and location | Oil Record Book* or equivalent report | For every discharge |
| Garbage (including food scraps) | Volume and location | Garbage Record Book* | For every discharge |
| Sewage | Volume and location | Sewage Record Book* | For every discharge |
| Ballast Water | Volume and location | Ballast water record book or log** | For every discharge |
| Unplanned discharge of solid objects | Volume | Incident report | For every discharge |
| Unplanned discharge of hazardous liquids | Volume | Incident report | For every discharge |
| Unplanned hydrocarbon release | Volume | Incident report | For every discharge |

*Maintained as per vessel class in accordance with relevant Marine Orders

** Maintained as per Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements 2017

8.10 Document management

8.10.1 Information management and document control

This EP and the associated OPEP, as well as any approved MoC documents, are controlled documents and current versions will be available on the Santos intranet. Vessel contractors are also required to maintain current versions of these documents.

EPOs and EPSs will be measured based on the measurement criteria listed in **Table 8-3**. Such records will be maintained for a period of five years. Contractors are required to make these records available upon request.

8.10.2 Management of change

Proposed changes to this EP and OPEP will be managed in accordance with the Santos Environment Management of Change Procedure (EA-91-IQ-10001). The MoC process provides a systematic approach to initiate, assess, document, approve, communicate and implement changes to EPs and OPEPs.

The MoC process considers Regulations 7, 8 and 17 of the OPGGS(E)R 2009 and determines if a proposed change can proceed and the manner in which it can proceed. The MoC procedure will determine whether a revision of the EP is required and whether that revision is to be submitted to NOPSEMA. For a change to proceed, the associated environmental impacts and risks must be demonstrated to be acceptable and ALARP. Additional stakeholder consultation may be required, depending on the nature and scale of the change. Additional information about the MoC process is provided in **Figure 8-1**.

The MoC procedure also allows for the assessment of new information that may become available after EP acceptance, such as new management plans for AMPs, new recovery plans or conservation advice for species, and changes to the EPBC Protected Matters Search results. If a review identifies new information, this is treated as a “Change that has an impact on EP”, and the MoC process is followed accordingly.

The MoC procedure also includes an assurance check process which applies the MoC process to long term (usually five year multi-activity EPs) EPs that may have lengthy periods of time between use or acceptance and activity commencement. Applying this Assurance Check to this EP (refer to CM-24) helps Santos determine whether the activity will still comply with the EP and is still acceptable, or, if there are any changes to what is covered by the relevant EP. Where there is an identified change from the accepted EP content, a check is done to test the ‘significance’ of the change, to determine whether it can be accommodated which may then result in an MoC as described above.

Accepted MoCs become part of the in force EP or OPEP, are tracked on a register and are made available on Santos’ intranet. Where appropriate, the EP compliance register will be updated so that CM or EPS changes are communicated to the workforce and implemented. Any MoC will be distributed to the management people identified in **Table 8-3** (excluding the CEO and Directors); and the most relevant management position will ensure the MoC is communicated and implemented, which may include crew meetings, briefings or communications as appropriate for the change.




Figure 8-1: Environment management of change process (Commonwealth Waters)

8.10.3 Reviews

This EP includes an assessment of impacts and risks across the entire operational area, during any time of the year for planned and unplanned events given the nature of the 24/7 operations.

It is recognised that the following may change over the validity of the EP:

- + legislation
- + businesses conditions, activities, systems, processes and people
- + industry practices
- + science and technology
- + societal and stakeholder expectations.

To ensure Santos maintains up to date knowledge of the industry, legislation and conservation advice, the following tasks are undertaken:

- + Maintaining membership of APPEA (Australian Petroleum Production & Exploration Association), which provides a mechanism for communicating potential changes in legislation, industry practice and other issues that may affect EP implementation to relevant personnel in Santos.
- + Undertaking annual spill response exercises to check spill response arrangements and capability are adequate.
- + Identifying stakeholders prior to the activity commencing under this EP via the mechanisms outlined in **Section 4**.
- + Reviewing the Values and Sensitivities within the EMBA which includes completing a new EPBC Protected Matters Search, reviewing **Appendix C** against relevant legislation to capture and review any relevant updates and incorporate as required, and reviewing any recently known published relevant scientific papers.
- + Subscribing to various regulator updates.
- + Having regular liaison meetings with Regulators.

Through maintenance of up to date knowledge, these changes are identified. If the changes have an impact on the activity or risks described and assessed in this EP, the EP will be reviewed and any changes required documented in accordance with Santos' MoC procedure (**Section 8.10.2**).

8.11 Audits and inspections

| |
|---|
| OPGGs(E)R 2009 Requirements |
| Regulation 14(6) |
| The implementation strategy must provide for sufficient monitoring, recording, audit, management of nonconformance and review of the titleholder's environmental performance and the implementation strategy to ensure that the environmental performance outcomes and standards in the environment plan are being met. |

8.11.1 Audits

Santos audit plans and schedules are reviewed and updated at the beginning of each calendar year and cover all Santos facilities and activities. Santos' audit schedule may be amended to accommodate operational priorities, activity risk, personnel availability or high audit demand during certain periods (for example, regulatory audits, contractor audits). Santos will determine if a vessel audit is required following contract award and vessel confirmation.

Audits will be undertaken in a manner consistent with Santos' Assurance Standard (QE-91-ZF-100073).

Audit scope typically includes a selection of CMs and EPSs and EPOs. However, audits may also include other parts of the EP.

Audits findings may include opportunities for improvement and non-conformances. Audit non-conformances are managed as described in **Section 8.11.3**.

8.11.2 Inspections

During an activity, HSE inspections (desktop or vessel based) will be conducted at least once during the activity to identify hazards, incidents and EP non-conformances. These inspections will also check compliance against all the EPOs and EPSs of this EP (**Table 8-3**) and inform end of activity reporting (**Table 8-4**). Any in-field opportunities for improvement or corrective actions will be discussed during the inspection with the Vessel Master.

8.11.3 Non-conformance management

EP non-conformances will be addressed and resolved by a systematic corrective action process as outlined in Santos' Assurance Standard (QE-91-ZF-10007). Non-conformances arising from audits and inspections will be entered into Santos' incident and action tracking management system (in other words, 'Enablon'). Once entered, corrective actions, time frames and responsible persons (including action owners and event validators) will be assigned. Corrective action 'close out' will be monitored using a management escalation process.

8.11.4 Continuous improvement

For this EP, continuous improvement will be driven by the list below and may result in a review of the EP, with changes applied in accordance with **Section 8.10.2**:

- + Improvements identified from the review of business-level HSE key performance indicators.
- + Actions arising from Santos and departmental HSE improvement plans.
- + Corrective actions and feedback from HSE audits and inspections, incident investigations and after action reviews.
- + Opportunities for improvement and changes identified during pre-activity reviews and MoC documents.
- + Actions taken to address concerns and issues raised during the ongoing stakeholder management process (**Section 4**).

Identified continuous improvement opportunities will be assessed in accordance with the MoC process (**Section 8.10.2**) to ensure any potential changes to this EP or the OPEP are managed in accordance with the OPGGS(E)R and in a controlled manner.

9. References

- ABARES (Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Sciences) (2010). Fisheries Status Reports 2010. Australian Government.
- ABARES (2018). Fisheries Status Reports 2018. Australian Government. Available from: <http://www.agriculture.gov.au/SiteCollectionDocuments/abares/publications/fsr2018.pdf>.
- AMOSC (2011). Oil pollution emergency plan: guidelines for the Australian marine petroleum exploration and production industry. Prepared by the Australian Marine Oil Spill Centre, November 2011.
- AMSA (2020). Shipping fairways network. Data provided through consultation.
- AMSA (2015). Technical guidelines for preparing contingency plans for Marine and Coastal Facilities. Available from: <https://www.amsa.gov.au/sites/default/files/2015-04-np-gui012-contingency-planning.pdf>.
- APASA (2013). Oil Spill Risk Assessment for VI Hub. Prepared for Apache Energy Ltd by Asia-Pacific Applied Science Associates, Perth, Western Australia, August 2013.
- APASA (2019). Reindeer – Devil Creek Quantitative Oil Spill Risk Assessment. Report prepared by Asia-Pacific Applied Science Associates (APASA) for AEL. J0280. Reprocessed results, delivered 2019 for Santos.
- Austin, M., A. McCrodan, and J. Wladichuk (2013). Underwater Sound Measurements. In Reider, H.J., L.N. Bisson, M. Austin, A. McCrodan, J. Wladichuk, C.M. Reiser, K.B. Matthews, J.R. Brandon, K. Leonard, *et al.* (eds.). *Marine mammal monitoring and mitigation during Shell's activities in the Chukchi Sea, July–September 2013: 90-Day Report*. Report Number P1272D–2. Technical report by LGL Alaska Research Associates Inc., Anchorage, AK, USA and JASCO Applied Sciences, Victoria, BC, Canada for Shell Gulf of Mexico, Houston, TX, USA, National Marine Fisheries Service, and US Fish and Wildlife Services. 198 pp, plus appendices. http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/permits/shell_chukchi_openwater_90dayreport.pdf.
- Austin, M.E., G.A. Warner, and A. McCrodan (2012). *Underwater Sound Propagation Acoustics Technical Report: Maersk Oil Kalaallit Nunaat A/S 2012 3D Seismic Program Block 9 (Tooq)*. Version 2.0. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for Golder Associates A/S and Golder Associates Ltd. <http://naalakkersuisut.gl/approximately/media/Nanoq/Files/Hearings/2012/Offentliggorelse%202011%2015/Answers/Bilag/M%C3%A6rsk%20EIA%20ENG%20Appendix%20D%201.pdf>.
- Baker, C, Potter, A, Tran, M & Heap, AD (2008). Sedimentology and Geomorphology of the North West Marine Region of Australia, Geoscience Australia Record 2008/07, Geoscience Australia, Canberra.
- Bartol, M.S. and Musick, J.A. (2003). Sensory biology of sea turtles. In: Lutz, P.L., Musick, J.A., Wyneken, J. (eds) *Biology of sea turtles*, Vol II. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, p. 79-102.
- Bartol, S.M. and D.R. Ketten (2006). *Turtle and tuna hearing*. In: Swimmer, Y. and R. Brill. Volume December 2006. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-PIFSC-7. 98-103 p. http://www.sefsc.noaa.gov/turtles/TM_NMFS_PIFSC_7_Swimmer_Brill.pdf#page=108.
- Bartol, S.M. (2008). A review of auditory function of sea turtles. *Bioacoustics* 17: 57-59. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09524622.2008.9753763>.
- BHPB (2005). Pyrenees Development: Draft EIS. BHP Billiton, Perth, Western Australia.
- CALM, MPRA (2005). Management Plan for the Ningaloo Marine Park and Muiron Islands Marine Management Area 2005–2015. Management Plan No. 52. Department of Conservation and Land Management and Marine Parks and Reserves Authority, Perth, Western Australia.

Chorney, N.E., G.A. Warner, J.T. MacDonnell, A. McCrodon, T.J. Deveau, C.R. McPherson, C. O'Neill, D.E. Hannay, and B. Riddout (2011). *Underwater Sound Measurements*. In: Reiser, C.M., D.W. Funk, R. Rodrigues, and D.E. Hannay (eds.). Marine mammal monitoring and mitigation during marine geophysical surveys by Shell Offshore Inc. in the Alaskan Chukchi and Beaufort Seas, July-October 2010: 90-day report. LGL Report P1171E-1. Report from LGL Alaska Research Associates Inc. and JASCO Applied Sciences for Shell Offshore Inc., National Marine Fisheries Service (US), and US Fish and Wildlife Service. 240 pp plus appendices. http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/permits/shell_90day_report2010.pdf.

Clark, C.W., W.T. Ellison, B.L. Southall, L.T. Hatch, S.M. Van Parijs, A.S. Frankel, and D.W. Ponirakis (2009). Acoustic masking in marine ecosystems: Intuitions, analysis, and implication. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 395: 201-222. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08402>.

Commonwealth of Australia (2020). National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife Including Marine Turtles, Seabirds and Migratory Shorebirds, Commonwealth of Australia 2020.

Connell, D.W. and Miller, G.J. (1981). Petroleum hydrocarbons in aquatic ecosystems – behaviour and effects of sub lethal concentrations. CRC report Critical reviews in environmental controls.

Crocker, SE and Fratantonio FD (2016). Characteristics of Sounds Emitted During High-Resolution Marine Geophysical Surveys. -NPT Technical Report 12,203. Available online: <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/ae89/c4d56f2484a0f107af7119ed0c12c350bd70.pdf?ga=2.226163610.1037607845.1588735571-1802879418.1588735571>

DAFF (2011). Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements – Version 5. Viewed 26 march 2012, http://www.daff.gov.au/aqis/avm/vessels/quarantine_concerns/ballast/australian-ballast-water-management-requirements.l.

Day, RD, McCauley, RD, Fitzgibbon, QP, Hartmann, K and Semmens, JM. (2016). Assessing the Impact of Marine Seismic Surveys on Southeast Australian Scallop and Lobster Fisheries. Impacts of Marine Seismic Surveys on Scallop and Lobster Fisheries. University of Tasmania, Hobart, Fisheries Research & Development Corporation. FRDC Project No 2012/008: 159.

DEC (2007). Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow Islands Marine Conservation Reserves 2007–2017: Management Plan No. 55. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth, Western Australia.

DEC, MPRA (2007). Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow Islands Marine Conservation Reserves 2007–2017. Management Plan No. 55. Department of Environment and Conservation and Marine Parks and Reserves Authority, Perth, Western Australia.

DEWHA (2008a). The North-west Marine Bioregional Plan: Bioregional profile: A Description of the Ecosystems, Conservation Values and Uses of the North-West Marine Region. Department of the Environment Water, Heritage and the Arts, Canberra, ACT.

DEWHA (2008b). Approved Conservation Advice for Green Sawfish. Canberra: Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/68442-conservation-advice.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 07-Mar-2008.

Director of National Parks (2018). North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan 2018, Director of National Parks, Canberra.

DoE (2012). Conservation Management Plan for the Southern Right Whale - A Recovery Plan under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* 2011–2021, Commonwealth of Australia, 2012.

DoE (2013). Recovery Plan for the White Shark (*Carcharodon carcharias*) 2013. Commonwealth of Australia.

- DoE (2014). Recovery Plan for the Grey Nurse Shark (*Carcharias taurus*) 2014. Commonwealth of Australia.
- DoE (2015a). Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan. DoEE, Canberra.
- DoE (2015b). Conservation Management Plan for the Blue Whale—A Recovery Plan under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. Commonwealth of Australia.
- DoE (2015c). Conservation Advice *Calidris ferruginea* curlew sandpiper. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: [<http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/856-conservation-advice.pdf>]. In effect under the EPBC Act from 26-May-2015.
- DoE (2015d). Conservation Advice *Numenius madagascariensis* eastern curlew. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: [<http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/847-conservation-advice.pdf>]. In effect under the EPBC Act from 26-May-2015.
- Commonwealth of Australia (2017). Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia 2017–2027.
- DoF (Department of Fisheries) (2012). Advice to Mr Coutts of Biofouling Solutions on “Marine Pest *Didemnum perlucidum* in Western Australian Waters”. Unpublished letter dated 23 July 2012.
- Dow Piniak W.E. (2012). Acoustic Ecology of Sea Turtles: Implications for Conservation. PhD thesis, Marine Science and Conservation Duke University. pp 136. Accessed online on 07/06/2019 at: https://dukespace.lib.duke.edu/dspace/bitstream/handle/10161/6159/Piniak_duke_0066D_11691.pdf?sequence=1.
- DSEWPaC (2012). Marine bioregional plan for the North-West marine region. Available online at: <https://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/pages/1670366b-988b-4201-94a1-1f29175a4d65/files/north-west-marine-plan.pdf> [Accessed 16/10/20].
- Director of National Parks (DNP) (2018). North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan 2018, Director of National Parks, Canberra. Available online: <https://parksaustralia.gov.au/marine/pub/plans/north-west-management-plan-2018.pdf>.
- DSEWPaC (Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities) (2011a). National recovery plan for threatened albatrosses and giant petrels 2011-2016. Commonwealth of Australia, Hobart.
- DSEWPaC (2011b). Approved Conservation Advice for *Sternula nereis nereis* (Fairy Tern). Canberra, ACT: Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities. Available from: [<http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/82950-conservation-advice.pdf>]. In effect under the EPBC Act from 03-Mar-2011.
- DSEWPaC (2013). Approved Conservation Advice for *Rostratula australis* (Australian painted snipe). Canberra: Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/77037-conservation-advice.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 15-May-2013.
- Ecotox Services Australia (2009). Toxicity Assessment of Weathered and Un-Weathered Brecknock-2, Calliance-1 and Torosa-4 Condensate Samples. Test Report for Woodside Energy Ltd. June 2009.
- EPA (2010). Environmental Assessment Guideline for Protecting Marine Turtles from Light Impacts. Environmental Assessment Guideline No. 5. Environmental Protection Authority Western Australia. November 2010.
- Ferrara, C.R., R.C. Vogt, R.S. Sousa-Lima, B.M.R. Tardio, and V.C.D. Bernardes. (2014). Sound communication and social behaviour in an Amazonian river turtle (*Podocnemis expansa*). *Herpetologica* 70(2): 149-156. <https://doi.org/10.1655/HERPETOLOGICA-D-13-00050R2>.

French-McCay, D.P. (2002). Development and Application of an Oil Spill Toxicity and Exposure Model, OilToxEx. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 21(10): 2080-2094.

French-McCay, D. (2009). *State-of-the-art and research needs for oil spill impact assessment modeling*, in: Proceedings of the 32nd AMOP Technical Seminar on Environmental Contamination and Response. Presented at the 32nd AMOP Technical Seminar on Environmental Contamination and Response, Environment Canada, Ottawa, pp. 601–653.

Fugro (2016). Noble Tom Prosser Geophysical Site Surveys, Roc-1 Field Report. Report No. GP1512_FR03. Issued to Quadrant Energy 08/07/15.

GHD (2020). Dancer-1 and Bedout Basin Diesel Spill Modelling Report. Report prepared for Santos Energy Limited. November 2020.

Gordon, J., Gillespie, D., Potter, J., Frantzis, A., Simmonds, M.P., Swift, R. and Thomson, D. (2004). A Review of the Effects of Seismic Surveys on Marine Mammals. *Marine Technology Society Journal* 37: 16–34.

Harewood, A. & Horrocks, J.A. (2008) Impacts of coastal development on hawksbill hatchling survival and swimming success during the initial offshore migration. *Biological Conservation*, 141, 394-401.

Heyward A, Pinceratto E, Smith L (1997) Big bank shoals of the Timor Sea: an environmental resource atlas. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Melbourne. Higgins PJ & Davies SJJF eds (1996). Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds. Volume Three - Snipe to Pigeons. Melbourne, Victoria: Oxford University Press.

Heyward, A., Jones, R., Meeuwig, J., Burns, K., Radford, B., Colquhoun, J., Cappo, M., Case, M., O’Leary, R., Fisher, R., Meekan, M., Stowar, M. (2012). Montara: 2011 offshore banks assessment survey (Monitoring Study No. S5 Banks & Shoals). Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville, Queensland.

Hu, Z., H. Hu, & Huang, Y. (2018) Association between nighttime artificial light pollution and sea turtle nest density along Florida coast: A geospatial study using VIIRS remote sensing data. *Environmental Pollution*, 239: 30-42.

ITOPF (2011). ITOPF Members Handbook 2011/12. Prepared by the International Tanker Owners Pollution Federation Ltd. <http://www.itopf.com/news-and-events/documents/itopfhandbook2011.pdf> (Accessed: 2 December 2011).

Jenner, K.C.S., Jenner, M-N.M. and McCabe, K.A. (2001). Geographical and temporal movements of humpback whales in Western Australian waters. *APPEA Journal* 41: 749-765.

Jensen, A.S. and Silber, G.K. (2003). Large whale ship strike database. U.S. Department of Commerce. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Technical Memorandum NMFS-OPR-25. pp.37.

Kamrowski R.L., Limpus C., Pendoley K. & Hamann M. (2014) Influence of industrial light pollution on the sea-finding behaviour of flatback turtle hatchlings. *Wildlife Research* 41: 421-434.

Kebodeaux, T.R. (1994) Increased sea turtle sightings present no cause for concern. *Underwater Magazine*

Ketten, D.R. and S.M. Bartol (2005). *Functional measures of sea turtle hearing*. ONR project final report. Document Number ONR Award Number N00014-02-1-0510. Office of Naval Research (US).

Koops, W., Jak, R.G. and van der Veen, D.P. (2004). Use of dispersants in oil spill response to minimise environmental damage to birds and aquatic organisms. Trondheim, Norway: Interspill 2004.

Ladich, F. and R.R. Fay (2013). Auditory evoked potential audiometry in fish. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 23(3): 317-364. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-012-9297-z>.

- Laist, D.W., Knowlton, A.R., Mead, J.G., Collet, A.S. and Podesta, M. (2001). Collisions between ships and whales. *Marine Mammal Science* 17(1): 35–75. Last, P.R. and Stevens, J.D. (2009). *Sharks and Rays of Australia* (Second Edition). Collingwood, Victoria: CSIRO Publishing.
- Lavender, A.L., S.M. Bartol, and I.K. Bartol (2012). Hearing capabilities of loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) throughout ontogeny. In Popper, A.N. and A.D. Hawkins (eds.). *The Effects of Noise on Aquatic Life*. Volume 730. Springer. pp. 89-92. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-7311-5_19.
- Lavender, A.L., S.M. Bartol, and I.K. Bartol (2014). Ontogenetic investigation of underwater hearing capabilities in loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) using a dual testing approach. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 217(14): 2580-2589. <https://jeb.biologists.org/content/217/14/2580>.
- Lindquist, D.C., Shaw, R.F. and Hernandez Jr, F.J. (2005). Distribution patterns of larval and juvenile fishes at off shore petroleum platforms in the north central Gulf of Mexico. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 62: 655-665.
- Limpus, C.J. (1971). Sea turtle ocean finding behaviour. *Search*, vol. 2, pp. 385–387.
- Limpus, C.J. (2007). A biological review of Australian marine turtle species. 5. Flatback turtle, *Natator depressus* (Garman). The State of Queensland. Environmental Protection Agency.
- Limpus, C.J. (2008a). A biological review of Australian marine turtle species. 1. Loggerhead turtle, *Caretta caretta* (Linnaeus). The State of Queensland. Environmental Protection Agency, Australia.
- Limpus, C.J. (2008b). A biological review of Australian marine Turtles 2. Green Turtle *Chelonia mydas* (Linnaeus). The State of Queensland, Environmental Protection Agency, Australia.
- Limpus, C.J. (2009a). A biological review of Australian marine turtle species. 3. Hawksbill turtle, *Eretmochelys imbricata*. The State of Queensland. Environmental Protection Agency, Australia.
- Limpus, C.J. (2009b). A biological review of Australian marine turtle species. 6. Leatherback turtle, *Dermochelys coriacea* (Vandelli). The State of Queensland. Environmental Protection Agency, Australia.
- Limpus, C. and Kamrowski, R.L. (2013). Ocean-finding in marine turtles: the importance of the low horizon elevation as an orientation cue. *Behaviour*, Vol. 150, issue 8.
- Limpus, C.J., Parmenter, C.J. & Chaloupka, M. (2013) Monitoring of coastal sea turtles: Gap analysis 5. Flatback turtles, *Natator depressus*, in the Port Curtis and Port Alma region. Report produced for the Ecosystem Research and Monitoring Program Advisory Panel as part of Gladstone Ports Corporation's Ecosystem Research and Monitoring Program.
- Lohmann, C.M.F. & Lohmann, K.J. (1992) Geomagnetic orientation by sea turtle hatchlings. In: Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation (eds. J.I. Richardson & T.H. Richardson), Jekyll Island.
- Lorne, J.K. & Salmon, M. (2007) Effects of Exposure to Artificial Lighting on Orientation of Hatchling Sea Turtles on the Beach and in the Ocean. *Endangered Species Research*, 3: 23-30.
- Martin, B., J.T. MacDonnell, N.E. Chorney, and D.G. Zeddies (2012). Appendix A: Sound Source Verification of Fugro Geotechnical Sources. In *ESS Group, Inc. Renewal Application for Incidental Harassment Authorization for the Non-Lethal Taking of Marine Mammals Resulting from Pre-Construction High Resolution Geophysical Survey*. For Cape Wind Associates, LLC. http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/permits/capewind_iha_application_renewal.pdf.
- Marquenie, J., Donners, M., Poot, H., Steckel, W. and de Wit, B. (2008). Adapting the spectral composition of artificial lighting to safeguard the environment. pp 1-6.

McCauley, R. (1998). Radiated underwater noise measured from the drilling rig Ocean General, rig tenders Pacific Ariki and Pacific Frontier, fishing vessel Reef Venture and natural sources in the Timor Sea, Northern Australia. (Report No. C98-20). Centre for Marine Science and Technology, Curtin University of Technology, Perth, Western Australia.

McCauley, R.D., Fewtrell, J., Duncan, A.J., Jenner, C., Jenner, M-N., Penrose, J.D., Prince, R.I.T., Adhitya, A., Murdoch, J., and McCabe, K. (2000). Marine Seismic Surveys- A Study of Environmental Implications, APPEA Journal, pp. 692-708.

McCauley, R.D. (2011). Woodside Kimberley sea noise logger program, Sept-2006 to June-2009: Whales, fish and man-made noise. Report produced for Woodside Energy Ltd, 86 pp.

McCauley, R.D., R.D. Day, K.M. Swadling, Q.P. Fitzgibbon, R.A. Watson, and J.M. Semmens (2017). Widely used marine seismic survey air gun operations negatively impact zooplankton. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 1(7): 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0195>.

McPherson, C.R., J.E. Quijano, M.J. Weirathmueller, K.R. Hiltz, and K. Lucke (2019). *Browse to North-West Shelf Noise Modelling Study: Assessing Marine Fauna Sound Exposures*. Document Number 01824, Version 2.0. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for Jacobs. https://www.epa.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/PER_documentation2/Appendix%20D%203.pdf.

McPherson, C. R. and M. A. Wood (2017). Otway Basin Geophysical Operations Acoustic Modelling: Acoustic Modelling for Assessing Marine Fauna Sound Exposures, Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for Lattice Energy. Appendix C of Beach Energy Environment Plan, Otway Geophysical and Geotechnical Seabed Assessment.

Meekan, M.G., Wilson, S.G., Halford, A. and Retzel, A. (2001). A comparison of catches of fishes and invertebrates by two light trap designs, in tropical NW Australia. *Marine Biology* 139: 373–381.

Milicich, M.J., Meekan, M.G. and Doherty, P.J. (1992). Larval supply: a good predictor of recruitment in three species of reef fish (Pomacentridae). *Mar Ecol Prog Ser.* 86: 153-166.

Neil, km, Hilliard, RW, Clark, P, Russell, B, Clark, R and Polglaze, J (2005) Situation and Gaps Analysis of Introduced Marine Species, Vectors, Nodes and Management Arrangements for the Northern Planning Area, Report published by the National Oceans Office (Marine Division, Department of Environment and Heritage), Canberra.

NRDAMCME (1997). The CERCLA Type A Natural Resource Damage Assessment Model for Coastal and Marine Environments (NRDAMCME) Technical Documentation Vol 4, 14 -42. <http://www.doi.gov/oepc/oepcbb.html>.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service) (2014). *Marine Mammals: Interim Sound Threshold Guidance* (webpage). National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce. http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/protected_species/marine_mammals/threshold_guidance.html.

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service) (2018). 2018 Revision to: Technical Guidance for Assessing the Effects of Anthropogenic Sound on Marine Mammal Hearing (Version 2.0): Underwater Thresholds for Onset of Permanent and Temporary Threshold Shifts. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-OPR-59.

NRC (2003). Ocean Noise and Marine Mammals. Summary Review for the National Academies National Research Council by the National Research Council. The National Academies Press, Washington D.C, United States.

- Payne, J.F., Andrews, C.D., Fancey, L.L., Guiney, J., Cook, A and Christian, J.R. (2008). "Are seismic surveys an important risk factor for fish and shellfish?" *Bioacoustics* 17(1-3): 262-265.
- Peel, D.S., Joshua N., Childerhouse S. (2018). Vessel Strike of Whales in Australia: The Challenges of Analysis of Historical Incident Data. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, Vol. 5 69pp. Available online: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmars.2018.00069/full>.
- Pendoley Environmental (2011). Varanus Island Marine Turtle Tagging Programme 2010–2011 Nesting Season. Report to Apache Energy by Pendoley Environmental Pty Ltd.
- Piniak, W.E., D.A. Mann, S.A. Eckert, and C.A. Harms (2011). Amphibious hearing in sea turtles. *In*: Hawkins, T. and A.N. Popper (eds.). *2nd International Conference on the Effects of Noise on Aquatic Life*. 15-20 Aug 2010. Springer-Verlag, Cork, Ireland.
- Poot, H., Ens, B. J., de Vries, H., Donners, M. A. H., Wernand, M. R. and Marquenie, J. M. (2008). Green light for nocturnally migrating birds. *Ecology and Society* 13(2): 47.
- Prince, R.I.T. (2001). Aerial survey of the distribution and abundance of dugongs and associated macroinvertebrate fauna- Pilbara Coastal and Offshore Region, W.A. Report to Environment Australia.
- Prince, R.I.T. and Chaloupka, M. (2011). Estimating demographic parameters for a critically endangered marine species with frequent reproductive omission: hawksbill turtles nesting at Varanus Island, Western Australia. *Marine Biology* 159(2): 355-363.
- Richardson, W.J., Greene, C.R., Malme, C.I. and Thomson, D.H. (1995). *Marine Mammals and Noise* Academic Press, San Diego, California.
- Ridgway, S.H., FOR EXAMPLE, Wever, J.G. McCormick, J. Palin, and J.H. Anderson (1969). Hearing in the giant sea turtle, *Chelonia mydas*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 64(3): 884-890. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC223317/pdf/pnas00113-0080.pdf>.
- Ripley, J.L. (2006). Effects of Environmental Factors on the Paternal Brood Pouch and Sound Production in Two Sympatric Pipefish Species from the Chincoteague Bay, Virginia. Dissertation submitted to the Eberly College of Arts and Sciences at West Virginia University. Department of Biology, Morgantown, West Virginia.
- RPS (2008). Marine Baseline Studies - Apache Devil Creek Development Project, report prepared for Apache Energy Limited.
- RPS (2019b). Draft Dorado Benthic Habitat Survey Report. Study commissioned by Santos WA.
- Salerno, J., Little, B., Lee, J., Ray, R., and Hamdan, L.J. (2016). Conserving archaeological sites as biological and historical resources in the Gulf of Mexico: the effects of crude oil and dispersant on the biodiversity and corrosion potential of shipwreck bacterial biofilms. American Geophysical Union's Ocean Sciences Meeting. New Orleans, February 22, 2016.
- Salmon, M. and Wyneken, J. (1994). Orientation by hatchling sea turtles: mechanisms and implications. *Herpetological Natural History*, vol. 2, pp. 13–24.
- Salmon, M., & Witherington, B. (1995) Artificial lighting and seafinding by loggerhead hatchlings: Evidence for lunar modulation. *Copeia*, 1995: 931 – 938.
- Salmon, M., (2003) Artificial night lighting and sea turtles. *Biologist*, 50: 163-168.
- Shaw, R.F., Lindquist, D.C., Benfield, M.C., Farooqi, T. and Plunket, J.T. (2002). Offshore petroleum platforms: functional significance for larval fish across longitudinal and latitudinal gradients. Prepared by the Coastal Fisheries Institute, Louisiana State University. U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region, New Orleans, LA. OCS Study MMS 2002-077, p. 107.

Silber, K.G., & S. Bettridge (2012). An assessment of the final rule to implement vessel speed restrictions to reduce the threat of vessel collisions with North Atlantic Right Whales. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-OPR-48. February 2012.

Simmonds, M.P., Dolman, S.J. and Weilgart, L. (eds). (2004). Oceans of Noise [Online]. http://www.wdcs.org/submissions_bin/OceansofNoise.pdf. AWDCS Science Report Published by the Whale and Dolphin Conservation Society.

Southall, B.L., Bowles, A.E., Ellison, W.T., Finneran, J.J., Gentry, R.L., Greene Jr., C.R., Kastak, D., Ketten, D.R., Miller, J.H., Nachtigall, P.E., Richardson, W.J., Thomas, J.A. and Tyack, P.L. (2007). Marine mammal noise exposure criteria: Initial scientific recommendations. *Aquatic Mammals* 33(4):1–121.

Stapput, K. & Wiltshcko, W. (2005). The sea-finding behaviour of hatchling olive ridley sea turtles, *Lepidochelys olivacea*, at the beach of San Miguel (Costa Rica). *Naturwissenschaften*, 92(5): 250-253.

Surman, C. (2002). Survey of the marine avifauna at the Laverda-2 appraisal well (WA-271-P) Enfield Area Development and surrounding waters. Report prepared for Woodside Energy Ltd., Perth.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2015a). Conservation Advice *Megaptera novaeangliae* humpback whale. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/38-conservation-advice-10102015.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 01-Oct-2015.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2015b). Conservation Advice *Rhincodon typus* whale shark. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/66680-conservation-advice-01102015.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 01-Oct-2015.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2015c). Conservation Advice *Balaenoptera physalus* fin whale. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/37-conservation-advice-01102015.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 01-Oct-2015.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2015d). Conservation Advice *Balaenoptera borealis* sei whale. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/34-conservation-advice-01102015.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 01-Oct-2015.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2015e). Conservation Advice *Pterodroma Mollis* soft-plumaged petrel. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/1036-conservation-advice-01102015.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 01-Oct-2015.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2016a). Conservation Advice *Calidris canutus* Red knot. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/855-conservation-advice-05052016.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 05-May-2016.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2016b). Conservation Advice *Limosa lapponica menzibieri* Bar-tailed godwit (northern Siberian). Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/86432-conservation-advice-05052016.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 05-May-2016.

- Thums, M., Whiting, S.D., Reisser, J.W., Pendoley, K.L., Pattiaratchi C.B., Harcourt, R.G., McMahon, C.R. & Meekan, M.G. (2013) Tracking sea turtle hatchlings—A pilot study using acoustic telemetry. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 440: 156-163.
- Thums, M., Whiting, S.D., Reisser, J., Pendoley, K.L., Pattiaratchi, C.B., Proietti, M., Meekan, M.G. (2016) Artificial light on water attracts turtle hatchlings during their near shore transit. *Royal Society Open Science*, 3(5), 160142.
- Urlick, R.J. (1983). *Principles of Underwater Sound*. 3rd edition. McGraw-Hill, New York, London. 423 p.
- Walker, D.I and McComb, A.J. (1990). Salinity response of the seagrass *Amphibolus antarctica*: an experimental validation of field results. *Aquatic Botany* 36: 359-366.
- Warner, G.A. and A. McCrodan (2011). Underwater Sound Measurements. (Chapter 3) In Hartin, K.G., L.N. Bisson, S.A. Case, D.S. Ireland, and D.E. Hannay (eds.). *Marine mammal monitoring and mitigation during site clearance and geotechnical surveys by Statoil USA E&P Inc. in the Chukchi Sea, August-October 2011: 90-day report. LGL Rep. P1193*. Report by LGL Alaska Research Associates, Inc. and JASCO Research Ltd. for Statoil USA E&P Inc., NMFS, and USFWS. p. 202 + appendices. ftp://wkst189.oar.noaa.gov/noaa_documents.lib/NMFS/Auke%20Bay/AukeBayScans/Removable%20Disk/p1192.pdf.
- WDCS (2004). Oceans of Noise. Whale and Dolphin Conservation Society (Online). Available from: <http://www.wdcs.org/stop/pollution/index.php>.
- Wells, F.E., McDonald, J.I. and Huisman, J.M. (2009). Introduced marine species in Western Australia. Published by the Department of Fisheries, Perth, WA.
- Wiese, F.K., Montevecchi, W.A., Davoren G.K., Huettmann F., Diamond A.W. and Linke, J. (2001). Seabirds at Risk around Offshore Oil Platforms in the North-west Atlantic. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* Vol. 42, No. 12, pp. 1285-1290.
- Wilson, P., Thums, M., Pattiaratchi, C., Meekan, M., Pendoley, K., Fisher, R. & Whiting, S. (2018) Artificial light disrupts the nearshore dispersal of neonate flatback turtles *Natator depressus*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 600, 179-192. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12649>
- Wilson, .P Thums, M., Pattiaratchia, C., Whiting, S., Meekan, M., Pendoley, K. (submitted) Nearshore wave characteristics as cues for swimming orientation in flatback turtle hatchlings.
- Wilson, P., Thums, M., Pattiaratchi, C., Whiting, S., Pendoley, K., Ferreira, L. C. & Meekan, M. (2019) High predation of marine turtle hatchlings near a coastal jetty. *Biological Conservation*, 236: 571-579.
- Witherington, B.E. (1992). Behavioral responses of nesting sea turtles to artificial lighting. *Herpetologica*, 48: 31–39.
- Witherington, B.E. & K.A. Bjorndal (1991) Influences of artificial lighting on the seaward orientation of hatchling loggerhead turtles *Caretta caretta*. *Biological Conservation* 55(2): 139-149.
- Witherington, B.E. and Martin, R.E. (2003). Understanding, assessing and resolving light-pollution problems on sea turtle nesting beaches. Florida Marine Research Institute Technical Report TR-2 3rd Edition Revised, Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Tequesta, Florida, United States of America.
- Wood, M. A. and C. R. McPherson (2019). Supplemental modelling results for Otway Basin Geophysical Operations Acoustic Modelling: Acoustic Modelling for Assessing Marine Fauna Sound Exposures, Technical note by JASCO Applied Sciences for Lattice Energy. Appendix D of Beach Energy Environment Plan, Otway Geophysical and Geotechnical Seabed Assessment.
- Woodside (2008). Torosa South-1 Pilot Appraisal Well Environment Plan. Woodside Energy, Perth.

Yudhana, A., J.D. Sunardi, S. Abdullah, and R.B.R. Hassan (2010). Turtle hearing capability based on ABR signal assessment. *Telkomnika* 8: 187-194.

Zykov, M.M. (2013). *Underwater Sound Modeling of Low Energy Geophysical Equipment Operations*. Document Number 00600, Version 2.0. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for CSA Ocean Sciences. <https://www.slc.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/AppG.pdf>.

Appendix A - Santos Environment, Health and Safety Policy

Environment, Health & Safety



Policy

Our Commitment

Santos is committed to being the safest gas company wherever we have a presence and preventing harm to people and the environment

Our Actions

We will:

1. Integrate environment, health and safety management requirements into the way we work
2. Comply with all relevant environmental, health and safety laws and continuously improve our management systems
3. Include environmental, health and safety considerations in business planning, decision making and asset management processes
4. Identify, control and monitor risks that have the potential for harm to people and the environment, so far as is reasonably practicable
5. Report, investigate and learn from our incidents
6. Consult and communicate with, and promote the participation of all workers to maintain a strong environment, health and safety culture
7. Empower our people, regardless of position, to "Stop the Job" when they feel it necessary to prevent harm to themselves, others or the environment
8. Work proactively and collaboratively with our stakeholders and the communities in which we operate
9. Set, measure, review and monitor objectives and targets to demonstrate proactive processes are in place to reduce the risk of harm to people and the environment
10. Report publicly on our environmental, health and safety performance

Governance

The Environment Health Safety and Sustainability Committee is responsible for reviewing the effectiveness of this policy.

This policy will be reviewed at appropriate intervals and revised when necessary to keep it current.

Kevin Gallagher

Managing Director & CEO

Status: APPROVED

| | | | |
|-----------------|---|----------|---|
| Document Owner: | Jodie Hatherly, General Counsel and VP Legal, Risk and Governance | | |
| Approved by: | The Board | Version: | 3 |

20 August 2019

Page 1 of 1

Appendix B - Regulatory Framework of Relevant Legislation

| Commonwealth Legislation | Summary | Relevant to activity? | Administering Authority | Relevant aspects of the activity | EP Section |
|---|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| <i>Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Protection Act 1984</i> | This Act provides for the preservation and protection from injury or desecration areas and objects that are of significance to Aboriginal people, under which the Minister may make a declaration to protect such areas and objects. The Act also requires the discovery of Aboriginal remains to be reported to the Minister. | No | Commonwealth – Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment | There are no known sites of Aboriginal Heritage Significance within the operational area or EMBA. | N/A |
| <i>Australian Ballast Water Requirements, Version 7</i> | Australian Ballast Water Management Requirements outline the mandatory ballast water management requirements to reduce the risk of introducing harmful aquatic organisms into Australia’s marine environment through ballast water from international vessels. These requirements are enforceable under the <i>Biosecurity Act 2015</i> . | Yes | Commonwealth – DAWR | Potential internationally sourced vessel operating in Australian Waters which could have the potential for introduction of Invasive Marine Species and potential ballast water exchange. | Section 7.1 – Introduction of IMS |
| <i>Australian Heritage Council Act 2003</i> | This Act identifies areas of heritage value listed on the Register of the National Estate and sets up the Australian Heritage Council and its functions. | Yes | Australian Heritage Council | There is one national heritage places found on the National Heritage List, within the EMBA, as identified by the Act. | Section 7.6 – Release of hydrocarbons |

| Commonwealth Legislation | Summary | Relevant to activity? | Administering Authority | Relevant aspects of the activity | EP Section |
|--|--|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| <p><i>Australian Maritime Safety Authority Act 1990 (AMSA Act)</i></p> | <p>This Act specifies that the AMSA’s role includes protection of the marine environment from pollution from ships and other environmental damage caused by shipping. AMSA is responsible for administering the Marine Orders in Commonwealth waters. AMSA is the spill control agency for shipping sourced spill in Commonwealth waters.</p> <p>Facilitates international cooperation and mutual assistance in preparing and responding to a major oil spill incident and encourages countries to develop and maintain an adequate capability to deal with oil pollution emergencies. Requirements are given effect through AMSA.</p> <p>AMSA is the lead agency for responding to oil spills in the marine environment and is responsible for the Australian National Plan for Maritime Environmental Emergencies.</p> | Yes | AMSA | This Act applies to the use of any vessel associated with operations and is relevant to the activity in regards to the unplanned pollution from vessels. | Section 7.6 – Release of hydrocarbons |
| <p><i>Marine Orders</i></p> | <p>Marine Orders (MO) are subordinate rules made pursuant to the <i>Navigation Act 2012</i> and <i>Protection of the Sea (Prevention of Pollution from Ships) Act 1983</i> affecting the maritime industry. They are a means of implementing Australia’s international maritime obligations by giving effect to international conventions in Australian law.</p> | Yes | AMSA | Vessel movements, safety, discharges and emissions | Section 6 – Planned activities Section 7 – Unplanned activities |
| <p><i>Aquatic Resources Management Act 2016</i></p> | <p>This Act will be the primary legislation used to manage fishing, aquaculture, pearling and aquatic resources in Western Australia.</p> <p>The Act was scheduled for commencement on 1 January 2019, however, this has been deferred while an amendment to the Act is progressed.</p> | Yes | Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development | Vessel movements have the potential to introduce IMS. | Section 7.1 – Introduction of IMS |

| Commonwealth Legislation | Summary | Relevant to activity? | Administering Authority | Relevant aspects of the activity | EP Section |
|---|--|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| <i>Maritime Powers Act 2013</i> | <p>Protects the heritage values of shipwrecks and relics for shipwrecks over 75 years. It is an offence to interfere with a shipwreck covered by this Act.</p> <p>Available historic shipwreck locations covered by international conventions enacted by this legislation have been identified and assessed (as applicable) within this EP.</p> | No | The Department of Immigration and Border Protection | <p>This Act applies to the shipwrecks (over 75 years old) within the EMBA.</p> <p>There is no planned interaction or interference with shipwrecks, and any unplanned impacts is only expected to affect the surface waters.</p> | N/A |
| <p><i>Biosecurity Act 2015</i></p> <p><i>Biosecurity Regulations 2016</i></p> | <p>This Act provides the Commonwealth with powers to take measures of quarantine, and implement related programs as are necessary, to prevent the introduction of any plant, animal, organism or matter that could contain anything that could threaten Australia’s native flora and fauna or natural environment. The Commonwealth’s powers include powers of entry, seizure, detention and disposal.</p> <p>This Act includes mandatory controls on the use of seawater as ballast in ships and the declaration of sea vessels voyaging out of and into Commonwealth waters. The Regulations stipulate that all information regarding the voyage of the vessel and the ballast water is declared correctly to the quarantine officers.</p> | Yes | Commonwealth – DAWR | Potential internationally-sourced vessel operating in Australian Waters which could have the potential for introduction of Invasive Marine Species and potential ballast water exchange. | Section 7.1 – Introduction of IMS |

| Commonwealth Legislation | Summary | Relevant to activity? | Administering Authority | Relevant aspects of the activity | EP Section |
|---|--|-----------------------|---|---|---|
| <p><i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i></p> <p><i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Amendment Regulations 2006</i></p> | <p>The Act aims to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + protect MNES + provide for Commonwealth environmental assessment and approval processes + provide an integrated system for biodiversity conservation and management of protected areas. | Yes | Commonwealth – Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment | The activity involves potential impacts to MNES which are threatened and migratory species; | <p>Section 6.2 – Light emissions</p> <p>Section 6.1 – Acoustic disturbance to marine fauna</p> <p>Section 6.6 – operational discharges</p> <p>Section 7.6 - Release of hydrocarbons</p> |
| <p><i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 - Proclamation – Ningaloo Marine Park (Commonwealth Waters)</i></p> | The Declaration of Ningaloo Marine Park in Commonwealth Waters. | Yes | Commonwealth – Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment | Unplanned hydrocarbon/chemical release | Section 7.6 – Release of hydrocarbons |
| <p><i>Historic Shipwrecks Act 1976</i></p> <p><i>Historic Shipwrecks Regulations 2018</i></p> | <p>This Act protects shipwrecks that have lain in territorial waters for 75 years or more. It is an offence to interfere with any shipwreck covered by the Act.</p> <p>Note: Act and Regulations planned to be repealed on commencement of <i>Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018</i>.</p> | No | Commonwealth – Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment | <p>This Act applies to the shipwrecks (over 75 years old) within the EMBA.</p> <p>There is no planned interaction or interference with shipwrecks, and any unplanned impacts is only expected to affect the surface waters.</p> | N/A |

| Commonwealth Legislation | Summary | Relevant to activity? | Administering Authority | Relevant aspects of the activity | EP Section |
|--|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| <i>Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018</i> | This Act extends protection provided under the <i>Historic Shipwrecks Act 1976</i> to other wrecks such as submerged aircraft and human remains. It also increases penalties applicable to damaged sites. Commencement date of Act to be proclaimed, but will commence at latest on 24 August 2019. | No | Commonwealth – Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment | This Act applies to the shipwrecks (more than 75 years old) within the EMBA. There is no planned interaction or interference with shipwrecks, and any unplanned impacts is only expected to affect the surface waters. | N/A |
| <i>National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act 2007</i> | Introduces a single national reporting framework for the reporting and dissemination of information about greenhouse gas emissions, greenhouse gas projects and energy use and production of corporations. | Yes | Commonwealth – Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment; and Climate Change Authority | This Act applies to the atmospheric emissions through combustion engine use to operate the vessels associated with the activity. Implementation of the Act will reduce the impact of GHG emissions associated with vessel use for the installation and commissioning activity, through compliance with MARPOL Annex VI (Marine Order Part 97: Marine Pollution Prevention – Air Pollution), and require the use of low sulphur fuel. | Section 6.3 – Atmospheric emissions |

| Commonwealth Legislation | Summary | Relevant to activity? | Administering Authority | Relevant aspects of the activity | EP Section |
|---|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| <i>Maritime Legislation Amendment (Prevention of Air Pollution from Ships) Act 2007</i> | This Act implements the requirements of MARPOL 73/78 Annex VI for shipping in Commonwealth waters. | Yes | Commonwealth, Department of Infrastructure, Regional Development and Cities | Implementation of this Act reduces the impact of GHG emissions associated with vessel use for the installation and commissioning activity, through compliance with MARPOL Annex VI (Marine Order Part 97: Marine Pollution Prevention – Air Pollution), and require the use of low sulphur fuel. | Section 6.3 – Atmospheric emissions |
| <i>Navigation Act 2012</i> | An act regulating navigation and shipping including Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS). A number of Marine Orders enacted under this Act apply directly to offshore petroleum exploration and production activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Marine Orders - Part 17: Liquefied gas carriers and chemical tankers + Marine Orders - Part 21: Safety of navigation and emergency procedures + Marine Orders - Part 30: Prevention of collisions + Marine Orders - Part 47: Mobile Offshore Drilling Units + Marine Orders - Part 50: Special purpose ships + Marine Orders - Part 57: Helicopter Operations + Marine Orders - Part 59: Off-shore industry vessel operations + Marine Orders - Part 60: Floating Offshore facilities. | Yes | Commonwealth, Department of Infrastructure, Regional Development and Cities | All vessel movements associated with the activity will be governed by marine safety regulations and marine orders under the Act. | Section 7.6 – Release of hydrocarbons |

| Commonwealth Legislation | Summary | Relevant to activity? | Administering Authority | Relevant aspects of the activity | EP Section |
|---|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| <p><i>Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage Act 2006</i></p> <p><i>Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2009</i></p> | <p>Petroleum exploration and development activities in Australia's offshore areas are subject to the environmental requirements specified in the OPGGS Act and associated Regulations. The OPGGS Act contains a broad requirement for titleholders to operate in accordance with "good oil-field practice".</p> <p>The OPGGS Environment Regulations provide an objective based regime for the management of environmental performance for Australian offshore petroleum exploration and production activities in areas of Commonwealth jurisdiction.</p> | Yes | NOPSEMA | <p>Environmental impacts and environmental risks of the activity due to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + noise emissions + artificial light + atmospheric emissions + seabed and benthic habitat disturbance + interaction with other marine users + vessel discharges + spill response operations + dropped objects + introduction of invasive marine species + marine fauna interaction + release of hydrocarbons. | N/A |
| <p><i>Ozone Protection and Synthetic Greenhouse Gas Management Act 1989</i></p> | <p>Regulates the manufacture, importation and use of ozone depleting substances (typically used in fire-fighting equipment and refrigerants). Applicable to the handling of any ODS.</p> | Yes | Commonwealth - Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment | <p>The activity does not include import, export or manufacture activities of ODS.</p> <p>This Act applies where ODS is found on vessel refrigeration systems; however, this is a rare occurrence.</p> | Section 6.3 – Atmospheric emissions |

| Commonwealth Legislation | Summary | Relevant to activity? | Administering Authority | Relevant aspects of the activity | EP Section |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|---|
| <p><i>Protection of the Sea (Powers of Intervention) Act 1981</i></p> <p><i>Protection of the Sea (Powers of Intervention) Regulations 1983</i></p> | <p>The Act authorises the Commonwealth to take measures for the purpose of protecting the sea from pollution by oil and other noxious substances discharged from ships and provides legal immunity for persons acting under an AMSA direction.</p> | <p>Yes</p> | <p>Commonwealth, Department of Infrastructure, Regional Development and Cities</p> | <p>Potential impacts to commonwealth waters in the event of an unplanned hydrocarbon spill.</p> | <p>Section 7.6 – Release of hydrocarbons</p> |
| <p><i>Protection of the Sea (Prevention of Pollution from Ships) Act 1983</i></p> <p><i>Protection of the Sea (Prevention of Pollution from Ships) (Orders) Regulations 1994</i></p> <p><i>Protection of the Sea (Prevention of Pollution from Ships) Act 1983</i></p> | <p>This Act relates to the protection of the sea from pollution by oil and other harmful substances discharged from ships. This Act disallows any harmful discharge of sewage, oil and noxious substances into the sea and sets the requirements for a shipboard waste management plan. The following Marine Orders relating to marine pollution prevention have been put in place to give effect to relevant regulations of Annexes I, II, III, IV, V and VI of MARPOL 73/78:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Marine Orders - Part 91: Marine Pollution Prevention - Oil + Marine Orders - Part 93: Marine Pollution Prevention - Noxious Liquid Substances + Marine Orders - Part 94: Marine Pollution Prevention - Harmful Substances in Packaged Forms + Marine Orders - Part 95: Marine Pollution Prevention – Garbage + Marine Orders - Part 96: Marine Pollution Prevention – Sewage | <p>Yes</p> | <p>Commonwealth, Department of Infrastructure, Regional Development and Cities</p> | <p>The Act is relevant to the extent that Santos will comply with MARPOL through the following relevant Marine Orders relating to marine pollution prevention have been put in place to give effect to relevant regulations of Annexes I, II, III, IV, V and VI of MARPOL 73/78:</p> | <p>Section 6.6 – Operational discharges</p> <p>Section 7 – Unplanned activities risk assessment</p> |

| Commonwealth Legislation | Summary | Relevant to activity? | Administering Authority | Relevant aspects of the activity | EP Section |
|--|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Marine Orders - Part 97: Marine Pollution Prevention – Air Pollution + Marine Orders - Part 98: Marine Pollution – Anti-fouling Systems. | | | | |
| <i>Protection of the Sea (Civil Liability of Bunker Oil Pollution Damage) Act 2008</i> | This Act implements the requirements for the International Convention on Civil Liability for Bunker Oil Pollution Damage. | Yes | Commonwealth, Department of Infrastructure, Regional Development and Cities | This Act applies to diesel refuelling which will be undertaken at sea as part of the activity. Compliance with the Act reduces the risk of bunker oil pollution. | Section 7.6 – Release of hydrocarbons |
| <i>Protection of the Sea (Harmful Antifouling Systems) Act 2006</i> | This Act relates to the protection of the sea from the effects of harmful anti-fouling systems. It prohibits the use of harmful organotins in ant-fouling paints used on ships. | Yes | Commonwealth, Department of Infrastructure, Regional Development and Cities | This Act applies to vessel movements in Australian Waters associated with the activity. Vessels are required to have biofouling systems in place to prevent introduction of IMS/harmful impact on Australian biodiversity. | Section 7.1 – Introduction of IMS |

International agreements and conventions

| International agreements and conventions | Summary | Relevant to activity? | Relevant aspects | EP section |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| <i>1996 Protocol To The Convention On The Prevention Of Marine Pollution By Dumping Of Wastes And Other Matter, 1972</i> | Implemented in <i>WA Marine (Sea Dumping) Act and Environmental Protection (Sea Dumping) Act 1981</i> . | No | Planned operational discharges occur as part of operations. | Section 6.6 – Operational discharges |
| <i>Agreement Between the Government of Australia and the Government of Japan for the Protection of Migratory Birds in Danger of Extinction and Their Environment 1974 (commonly referred to as the Japan Australia Migratory Bird Agreement or JAMBA)</i> | This agreement recognises the special international concern for the protection of migratory birds and birds in danger of extinction that migrate between Australia and Japan. Implemented in EPBC Act 1999. | Yes | Only relevant in so far as the credible spill scenario may result in impact to migratory seabirds foraging or nesting in area. | Section 7.6 – Release of hydrocarbons |
| <i>Agreement Between the Government of Australia and the Government of the People’s Republic of China for the Protection of Migratory Birds and Their Environment 1986 (commonly referred to as the China Australia Migratory Bird Agreement or CAMBA)</i> | This agreement recognises the special international concern for the protection of migratory birds and birds in danger of extinction that migrate between Australia and China. Implemented in EPBC Act 1999. | Yes | Only relevant in so far as the credible spill scenario may result in impact to migratory seabirds foraging or nesting in area. | Section 7.6 – Release of hydrocarbons |
| <i>Convention for the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal 1989 (Basel Convention)</i> | This convention deals with the transboundary movement of hazardous wastes, particularly by sea. Implemented in <i>Hazardous Waste (Regulation of Exports and Imports) Act 1989</i> . | No | Activity does not involve transboundary movement of hazardous wastes. | N/A |

| International agreements and conventions | Summary | Relevant to activity? | Relevant aspects | EP section |
|--|--|-----------------------|---|---|
| United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity -1992 | An international treaty to sustain life on earth. | Yes | Relevant only insofar as the activity may interact with MNES (threatened and migratory species) protected under the EPBC Act. | Section 6.1 – Acoustic disturbance to marine fauna Section 7.1 – Introduction of IMS Section 7.6 – Release of hydrocarbons |
| <i>Convention on Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response and Co-operation 1990 (OPRC 90)</i> | This convention comprises national arrangements for responding to oil pollution incidents from ships, offshore oil facilities, sea ports and oil handling. The convention recognises that in the event of pollution incident, prompt and effective action is essential. | Yes | In the event that worse-case credible spill scenarios may enact a national arrangement for response. | Section 7.6 – Release of hydrocarbons |
| <i>Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals 1979 (Bonn Convention)</i> | The Bonn Convention aims to improve the status of all threatened migratory species through national action and international agreements between range states of particular groups of species. | Yes | Only relevant in so far as the credible spill scenario may result in impact to MNES protected migratory species. | Section 7.6 – Release of hydrocarbons |
| <i>International Convention for the Establishment of an International Fund for Compensation for Oil Pollution Damage (Fund 92)</i> | This convention ensures compensation is provided for damage caused by oil pollution. | No | Relevant to oil tankers, not supply or support vessels. | N/A |
| <i>International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships 1973/1978 (MARPOL 73/78)</i> | This Convention and Protocol (together known as MARPOL 73/78) build on earlier conventions in the same area. MARPOL is concerned with operational discharges of pollutants from ships. It contains five Annexes, dealing respectively with oil, noxious liquid substances, harmful packaged substances, sewage and | Yes | Already dealt with through the <i>Protection of the Sea (Prevention of Pollution from Ships) Act 1983</i> – refer to legislation table above. | N/A |

| International agreements and conventions | Summary | Relevant to activity? | Relevant aspects | EP section |
|---|---|-----------------------|--|---|
| | <p>garbage. Detailed rules are laid out as to the extent to which (if at all) such substances can be released in different sea areas. The legislation giving effect to MARPOL in Australia is the <i>Protection of the Sea (Prevention of Pollution from Ships) Act 1983</i>, the <i>Navigation Act 1912</i> and several Parts of Marine Orders made under this legislation.</p> | | | |
| <p><i>International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea 1974</i></p> | <p>This convention is generally regarded as the most important of all international treaties concerning the safety of merchant ships Implemented in the <i>Air Navigation Act 1920</i>.</p> | <p>Yes</p> | <p>Only relevant in so far as SOLAS relates to safety aspects of the activity, such as navigation aids which reduce potential for vessel collision and hydrocarbon release to the environment.</p> | <p>Section 7.6 – Release of hydrocarbons</p> |
| <p><i>International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage (1969)</i></p> | <p>This convention provides a mechanism for ensuring the payment of compensation for oil pollution damage.</p> | <p>No</p> | <p>Relevant to oil tankers.</p> | <p>N/A</p> |
| <p><i>International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships’ Ballast Water and Sediments (Ballast Water Convention) 2004</i></p> | <p>The IMO has been addressing the problem of IMS in ships’ ballast water since the 1980s. Ballast water and sediments guidelines were adopted in 1991 and the ballast water convention was adopted in 2004. Recent accession by Finland has triggered the final entry into force of these international requirements. As a result, the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships’ Ballast Water and Sediment will enter into force on 8th September 2017 (IMO Briefing 22 2016). It aims to prevent the spread of harmful</p> | <p>Yes</p> | <p>Potential internationally sourced vessel operating in Australian Waters which could have the potential for introduction of Invasive Marine Species and potential ballast water exchange.</p> | <p>Section 7.1 – Introduction of IMS</p> |

| International agreements and conventions | Summary | Relevant to activity? | Relevant aspects | EP section |
|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| | <p>aquatic organisms from one region to another, by establishing standards and procedures for the management and control of ships' ballast water and sediments. Ballast Water Management systems must be approved by the Administration in accordance with this IMO Guidelines.</p> | | | |
| <p><i>United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) (1982)</i></p> | <p>Part XII of the convention sets up a general legal framework for marine environment protection. The convention imposes obligations on State Parties to prevent, reduce and control marine pollution from the various major pollution sources, including pollution from land, from the atmosphere, from vessels and from dumping (Articles 207 to 212). Subsequent articles provide a regime for the enforcement of national marine pollution laws in the many different situations that can arise. Australia signed the agreement relating to the implementation of Part XI of the Convention in 1982, and UNCLOS in 1994.</p> | <p>Yes</p> | <p>Only relevant to the extent that Santos will comply with MARPOL through the following relevant Marine Orders relating to marine pollution prevention have been put in place to give effect to relevant regulations of Annexes I, II, III, IV, V and VI of MARPOL 73/78:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Marine Orders - Part 91: Marine Pollution Prevention - Oil + Marine Orders - Part 93: Marine Pollution Prevention - Noxious Liquid Substances + Marine Orders - Part 95: Marine Pollution Prevention – Garbage + Marine Orders - Part 96: Marine Pollution Prevention – Sewage + Marine Orders - Part 97: Marine Pollution Prevention - Air Pollution + Marine Orders - Part 98: Marine Pollution - Anti-fouling Systems | <p>Section 6.6 – Operational discharges Section 7.1 – Introduction of IMS Section 7.6 – Release of hydrocarbons</p> |

| International agreements and conventions | Summary | Relevant to activity? | Relevant aspects | EP section |
|---|--|-----------------------|---|--|
| <i>United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (1992)</i> | The objective of the convention is to stabilise greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous interference with the climate system. Australia ratified the convention in December 1992 and it came into force on 21 December 1993. | Yes | Only relevant in to the extent that to reduce impact of GHG emissions associated with vessel use, Santos will comply with MARPOL Annex VI (Marine Orders Part 97: Marine Pollution Prevention – Air Pollution) And require the use of low sulphur fuel. | Section 6.3 – Atmospheric emissions |

Standards and Codes of Practice

| Standards and Codes of Practice | Summary | Relevant to activity? | Relevant aspects | EP section |
|--|---|-----------------------|---|---|
| <i>Australian Standard/New Zealand Standard International Standards Organization 31000:2019 Risk Management – Guidelines (ISO, 2018)</i> | Provides guidelines on managing risk in a way that can be customised to any organisation and context. | Yes | Santos’ Risk Management Framework (QE-91-IF-10051) underpins the Risk Management Policy and is consistent with the requirements of Australian Standard/New Zealand Standard International Standards Organization 31000:2019 Risk Management – Guidelines (ISO, 2018). | Section 5.2 – Summary of the environmental impact and risk assessment approach |

Appendix C - Description of the Existing Environment

Appendix C1 Description of the Existing Environment

Appendix C2 PMST Searches

It is noted that there are six PMST Searches: one for each operational area and one for each EMBA

The searches are completed using the exact coordinates that are utilised to produce the figures throughout **Section 3** of the EP, ensuring that the EMBA encompasses the full range of environmental receptors that might be contacted by surface and subsurface hydrocarbons at the low exposure level in the highly unlikely event of a worst case oil spill.

On the first page of each PMST report, is a coarse graphic showing the area over which the search has been conducted. However, the granularity of this can make the output look different to the spatial area represented on figures within the EP.

The co-ordinates are also provided within the PMST report to allow for duplication of the search and verification if required.

Santos do not have control over the PMST search tool output, but instead have provided the reports and coordinates to ensure transparency.

Values and Sensitivities of the Marine and Coastal Environment

| | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| PROJECT / FACILITY | All |
| REVIEW INTERVAL (MONTHS) | 12 Months |
| SAFETY CRITICAL DOCUMENT | NO |

| Rev | Owner | Reviewer/s <i>Managerial/Technical/Site</i> | Approver |
|-----|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| | Environmental Approvals Coordinator | Environmental Approvals Coordinator | Team Leader- Regulatory Approvals |
| 7 | Joanna Edwards | Annette McGovern | Daniel Thompson |
| | | | |

Any hard copy of this document, other than those identified above, are uncontrolled. Please refer to the Santos Offshore Business Document Management System for the latest revision.

| Rev | Rev Date | Author / Editor | Amendment |
|------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| A | 13/0520/14 | Oceanica | Technical review |
| B | 13/05/2014 | Oceanica | Editorial review |
| 0 | 30/0720/14 | EG/GG | Final |
| 1 | 30/12/2014 | GG | Updated |
| 2 | 28/07/2016 | Jacobs | Updated |
| 3 | 28/11/2017 | Jacobs | Updated |
| 3.1 | 11/12/2018 | Jacobs | Issued for technical review |
| 4 | 17/12/2018 | Jacobs | Issued for use |
| 4.1 | 09/01/2019 | Jacobs | Issued for technical review |
| 5 | 14/02/2019 | Santos | Issued for use |
| 5.1 | 15/01/2020 | CDM Smith | Issued for technical review |
| 6 | 19/03/2020 | CDM Smith | Issued for use |
| 6A | 15/11/2020 | Astron | Issued Technical review |
| 7 | 30/11/2020 | Astron | Issued for use |

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1. Introduction | 15 |
| 1.1 Geographical Extent | 15 |
| 2. Physical Environment | 18 |
| 2.1 Geomorphology | 18 |
| 2.1.1 Formation History | 18 |
| 2.1.2 Present Day Geological Features | 18 |
| 2.1.3 Southwest Shelf Province | 18 |
| 2.1.4 Southwest Shelf Transition..... | 19 |
| 2.1.5 Southwest Transition | 19 |
| 2.1.6 Southern Province | 19 |
| 2.1.7 Sediments..... | 19 |
| 2.2 Climate | 23 |
| 2.3 Oceanography | 24 |
| 3. Benthic and Pelagic Habitats | 26 |
| 3.1 Coral Reefs | 26 |
| 3.1.1 Southwest Shelf Transition..... | 26 |
| 3.1.2 Central Western Shelf Province | 27 |
| 3.1.3 Central Western Shelf Transition | 27 |
| 3.1.4 Northwest Transition..... | 27 |
| 3.1.5 Northwest Shelf Province | 28 |
| 3.1.6 Timor Province | 28 |
| 3.1.7 Northwest Shelf Transition | 29 |
| 3.1.8 International Waters | 30 |
| 3.2 Seagrasses | 30 |
| 3.2.1 Southwest Shelf Province | 31 |
| 3.2.2 Southwest Shelf Transition..... | 31 |
| 3.2.3 Central Western Shelf Province | 32 |
| 3.2.4 Central Western Shelf Transition | 32 |
| 3.2.5 Northwest Transition..... | 32 |
| 3.2.6 Northwest Shelf Province | 32 |
| 3.2.7 Timor Province | 33 |
| 3.2.8 Northwest Shelf Transition | 33 |
| 3.2.9 International Waters | 33 |
| 3.3 Macroalgae | 34 |
| 3.3.1 Southwest Shelf Province | 34 |

| | | |
|------------|--|-----------|
| 3.3.2 | Southwest Shelf Transition..... | 34 |
| 3.3.3 | Central Western Shelf Province | 35 |
| 3.3.4 | Central Western Shelf Transition | 35 |
| 3.3.5 | Northwest Transition..... | 35 |
| 3.3.6 | Northwest Shelf Province | 35 |
| 3.3.7 | Timor Province | 36 |
| 3.3.8 | Northwest Shelf Transition | 36 |
| 3.3.9 | International Waters | 36 |
| 3.4 | Non-Coral Benthic Invertebrates | 37 |
| 3.4.1 | Southwest Transition | 37 |
| 3.4.2 | Southern Province | 37 |
| 3.4.3 | Central Western Province | 37 |
| 3.4.4 | Western Shelf Province | 37 |
| 3.4.5 | Central Western Transition..... | 37 |
| 3.4.6 | Central Western Shelf Transition | 38 |
| 3.4.7 | Northwest Province | 38 |
| 3.4.8 | Northwest Transition..... | 38 |
| 3.4.9 | Northwest Shelf Province | 38 |
| 3.4.10 | Timor Province | 39 |
| 3.4.11 | Northwest Shelf Transition | 39 |
| 3.4.12 | International Waters | 40 |
| 3.5 | Plankton | 40 |
| 4. | Shoreline Habitats..... | 42 |
| 4.1 | Mangroves..... | 42 |
| 4.1.1 | Central Western Shelf Province | 43 |
| 4.1.2 | Central Western Shelf Transition | 43 |
| 4.1.3 | Northwest Shelf Province | 43 |
| 4.1.4 | Northwest Shelf Transition | 44 |
| 4.1.5 | Timor Province | 44 |
| 4.1.6 | International Waters | 44 |
| 4.2 | Intertidal Mud/Sand Flats..... | 45 |
| 4.2.1 | Central Western Shelf Province | 45 |
| 4.2.2 | Northwest Shelf Province | 45 |
| 4.2.3 | Northwest Shelf Transition | 45 |
| 4.2.4 | Timor Province | 46 |
| 4.2.5 | International Waters | 46 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 4.3 Intertidal Platforms | 46 |
| 4.3.1 Southwest Shelf Province and Southwest Shelf Transition | 46 |
| 4.3.2 Central Western Shelf Province and Transition | 47 |
| 4.3.3 Northwest Shelf Province and Northwest Shelf Transition..... | 47 |
| 4.3.4 International Waters | 47 |
| 4.4 Sandy Beaches | 47 |
| 4.4.1 Southwest Shelf Province | 47 |
| 4.4.2 Southwest Shelf Transition..... | 48 |
| 4.4.3 Northwest Shelf Province | 48 |
| 4.4.4 Northwest Shelf Transition | 48 |
| 4.4.5 International Waters | 48 |
| 4.5 Rocky Shorelines | 48 |
| 4.5.1 International Waters | 48 |
| 5. Fish and Sharks | 50 |
| 5.1 Regional Surveys | 52 |
| 5.1.1 Southwest Shelf Province | 52 |
| 5.1.2 Southwest Shelf Transition..... | 52 |
| 5.1.3 Central Western Province | 53 |
| 5.1.5 Central Western Shelf Transition | 53 |
| 5.1.6 Central Western Transition..... | 54 |
| 5.1.7 Northwest Shelf Province and Northwest Province..... | 54 |
| 5.1.8 Northwest Shelf Transition | 55 |
| 5.1.10 Timor Province | 55 |
| 5.2 Fish Species | 57 |
| 5.2.1 Blind Gudgeon, Balston’s Pygmy Perch and Blind Cave Eel..... | 57 |
| 5.2.2 Black-stripe minnow | 57 |
| 5.2.3 Syngnathids..... | 57 |
| 5.3 Sharks, Rays and Sawfishes | 57 |
| 5.3.1 Grey Nurse Shark..... | 58 |
| 5.3.2 Great White Shark | 58 |
| 5.3.3 Northern River Shark..... | 60 |
| 5.3.4 Whale Shark | 60 |
| 5.3.5 Dwarf Sawfish..... | 63 |
| 5.3.6 Freshwater and Green Sawfish..... | 63 |
| 5.3.7 Narrow Sawfish | 65 |
| 5.3.8 Giant Manta Ray / Reef Manta Ray | 65 |

| | | |
|------------|--|-----------|
| 5.3.9 | Oceanic Whitetip Shark..... | 65 |
| 5.3.10 | Shortfin Mako and Longfin Mako Sharks | 65 |
| 5.3.11 | Porbeagle (Mackerel Shark)..... | 66 |
| 5.4 | Biologically Important Areas / Critical Habitat – Fish..... | 66 |
| 6. | Marine Reptiles | 68 |
| 6.1 | Marine Turtles | 69 |
| 6.1.1 | Loggerhead Turtle | 71 |
| 6.1.2 | Green Turtle | 73 |
| 6.1.3 | Hawksbill Turtle | 75 |
| 6.1.4 | Flatback Turtle..... | 77 |
| 6.1.5 | Leatherback Turtle..... | 80 |
| 6.1.6 | Olive Ridley Turtles | 80 |
| 6.2 | Seasnakes | 80 |
| 6.2.1 | Short-nosed Seasnake | 80 |
| 6.2.2 | Leaf-scaled Seasnake | 81 |
| 6.3 | Crocodiles | 81 |
| 6.4 | Biologically Important Areas/Habitat Critical – Marine Reptiles | 81 |
| 7. | Marine Mammals..... | 86 |
| 7.1 | Threatened and Migratory Species..... | 89 |
| 7.1.1 | Sei Whale | 89 |
| 7.1.2 | Blue Whale | 89 |
| 7.1.3 | Fin Whale | 93 |
| 7.1.4 | Southern Right Whale | 93 |
| 7.1.5 | Humpback Whale | 93 |
| 7.1.6 | Sperm Whale..... | 94 |
| 7.1.7 | Antarctic Minke Whale..... | 94 |
| 7.1.8 | Bryde’s Whale | 94 |
| 7.1.9 | Pygmy Right Whale | 94 |
| 7.1.10 | Killer Whale | 94 |
| 7.1.11 | Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphin | 95 |
| 7.1.12 | Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin) | 95 |
| 7.1.13 | Irrawaddy Dolphin (Australian Snubfin Dolphin) | 95 |
| 7.1.14 | Dusky Dolphin | 95 |
| 7.1.15 | Australian Sea Lion | 97 |
| 7.1.16 | Dugongs | 99 |
| 7.1.17 | New Zealand fur-seal | 99 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 7.2 Biologically Important Areas / Critical Habitat – Marine Mammals | 101 |
| 8. Birds | 105 |
| 8.1 Regional Surveys | 105 |
| 8.1.1 Abrolhos Islands | 105 |
| 8.1.2 North West Cape | 106 |
| 8.1.3 Muiron Islands and Exmouth Gulf Islands | 106 |
| 8.1.4 Dampier Archipelago/Cape Preston Region | 106 |
| 8.1.5 Barrow Island Group | 106 |
| 8.1.6 Lowendal Island Group and Airlie and Serrurier Islands | 106 |
| 8.2 Threatened Species | 107 |
| 8.2.1 Shorebirds | 111 |
| 8.2.2 Seabirds | 112 |
| 8.3 Migratory Species | 118 |
| 8.4 Biologically Important Areas / Critical Habitat– Birds | 125 |
| 9. Protected Areas | 128 |
| 9.1 World Heritage Areas | 129 |
| 9.1.1 Shark Bay | 130 |
| 9.1.2 The Ningaloo Coast | 130 |
| 9.2 Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar) | 131 |
| 9.2.1 Eighty Mile Beach | 131 |
| 9.2.2 Roebuck Bay | 132 |
| 9.2.3 Ashmore Reef National Nature Reserve | 132 |
| 9.2.4 Becher Point | 133 |
| 9.2.5 Peel-Yalgorup System | 133 |
| 9.2.6 Vasse-Wonnerup System | 133 |
| 9.2.7 Hosnies Spring | 133 |
| 9.2.8 The Dales | 134 |
| 9.3 Wetlands of National Importance | 134 |
| 9.3.1 Ashmore Reef | 134 |
| 9.3.2 Mermaid Reef | 134 |
| 9.3.3 Vasse-Wonnerup Wetland System | 134 |
| 9.3.4 “The Dales”, Christmas Island | 134 |
| 9.3.5 Eighty Mile Beach System | 134 |
| 9.3.6 Exmouth Gulf East | 134 |
| 9.3.7 Hosnies Spring, Christmas Island | 134 |
| 9.3.8 Hutt Lagoon System | 135 |

| | | |
|------------|--|------------|
| 9.3.9 | Lake Macleod | 135 |
| 9.3.10 | Lake Thetis | 135 |
| 9.3.11 | Learmonth Air Weapons Range – Saline Coastal Flats | 135 |
| 9.3.12 | Leslie (Port Hedland) Saltfields System | 135 |
| 9.3.13 | Prince Regent River System | 135 |
| 9.3.14 | Roebuck Bay | 136 |
| 9.3.15 | Rottnest Island Lakes | 136 |
| 9.3.16 | Shark Bay East | 136 |
| 9.3.17 | Cape Leeuwin System | 136 |
| 9.3.18 | Doggerup Creek System | 136 |
| 9.3.19 | Cape Range Subterranean Waterways | 136 |
| 9.3.20 | Yalgorup System | 136 |
| 9.4 | National Heritage Places | 137 |
| 9.4.1 | HMAS Sydney II and HSK Kormoran Shipwreck Sites | 137 |
| 9.4.2 | Batavia Shipwreck site and Survivor Camps Area 1629 - Houtman Abrolhos | 137 |
| 9.4.3 | The West Kimberley | 137 |
| 9.4.4 | The Ningaloo Coast | 137 |
| 9.4.5 | Shark Bay | 137 |
| 9.4.6 | Dirk Hartog Landing Site 1616 - Cape Inscription Area | 137 |
| 9.4.7 | Dampier Archipelago (including Burrup Peninsula) | 137 |
| 9.4.8 | Fitzgerald River National Park | 138 |
| 9.4.9 | Lesueur National Park | 138 |
| 9.5 | Commonwealth Heritage Places | 138 |
| 9.5.1 | Scott Reef and Surrounds – Commonwealth Area | 138 |
| 9.5.2 | Mermaid Reef – Rowley Shoals | 139 |
| 9.5.3 | Ningaloo Marine Area – Commonwealth Waters | 139 |
| 9.5.4 | Ashmore Reef National Nature Reserve | 139 |
| 9.5.5 | Garden Island | 139 |
| 9.5.6 | Christmas Island Natural Areas | 139 |
| 9.5.7 | Yampi Defence Area | 139 |
| 9.5.8 | Learmonth Air Weapons Range Facility | 140 |
| 9.5.9 | Lancelin Defence Training Area | 140 |
| 9.6 | Coastal Terrestrial Conservations Reserves – bound by marine waters | 140 |
| 9.6.1 | Coastal National Parks | 141 |
| 9.6.2 | Coastal Nature Reserves and Conservation Parks | 142 |
| 9.7 | Threatened Ecological Communities | 147 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|------------|
| 9.7.1 | Monsoon Vine Thicket on the Ridge on the Coastal Sand Dunes of Dampier | 147 |
| 9.7.2 | Roebuck Bay Mudflats..... | 147 |
| 9.7.3 | Subtropical and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh | 147 |
| 9.7.4 | Thrombolite (microbialite) Community of a Coastal Brackish Lake (Lake Clifton)..... | 148 |
| 9.8 | International Protected Areas | 155 |
| 10. | Key Ecological Features..... | 156 |
| 10.1 | Introduction..... | 156 |
| 10.1.1 | Commonwealth Marine Environment Surrounding the Houtman Abrolhos Islands (and Adjacent Shelf Break)..... | 159 |
| 10.1.2 | Perth Canyon and Adjacent Shelf Break, and other West-Coast Canyons | 159 |
| 10.1.3 | Commonwealth Marine Environment within and adjacent to the West-Coast Inshore Lagoons | 159 |
| 10.1.4 | Commonwealth Marine Environment within and Adjacent to Geographe Bay | 159 |
| 10.1.5 | Cape Mentelle Upwelling..... | 160 |
| 10.1.6 | Naturaliste Plateau | 160 |
| 10.1.7 | Western Demersal Slope and associated Fish Communities | 160 |
| 10.1.8 | Western Rock Lobster..... | 160 |
| 10.1.9 | Wallaby Saddle..... | 160 |
| 10.1.10 | Commonwealth Waters Adjacent to Ningaloo Reef..... | 161 |
| 10.1.11 | Canyons Linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain with the Cape Range Peninsula..... | 161 |
| 10.1.12 | Exmouth Plateau | 161 |
| 10.1.13 | Mermaid Reef and Commonwealth Waters surrounding Rowley Shoals | 162 |
| 10.1.14 | Glomar Shoals..... | 162 |
| 10.1.15 | Ancient Coastline at 125 m Depth Contour..... | 162 |
| 10.1.16 | Ancient Coastline at 90-120 m Depth..... | 163 |
| 10.1.17 | Canyons Linking the Argo Abyssal Plain with Scott Plateau..... | 163 |
| 10.1.18 | Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities..... | 163 |
| 10.1.19 | Seringapatam Reef and Commonwealth Waters in the Scott Reef Complex..... | 164 |
| 10.1.20 | Ashmore Reef and Cartier Island and Surrounding Commonwealth Waters..... | 164 |
| 10.1.21 | Carbonate Bank and Terrace System of the Sahul Shelf | 165 |
| 10.1.22 | Pinnacles of the Bonaparte Basin | 165 |
| 10.1.23 | Diamantina Fracture Zone..... | 165 |
| 10.1.24 | Albany Canyons Group and Adjacent Shelf Break | 166 |
| 11. | State Marine Conservation Reserves | 167 |
| 11.1 | Introduction..... | 167 |
| 11.1.1 | Ngari Capes Marine Park | 167 |
| 11.1.2 | Jurien Bay Marine Park | 168 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|------------|
| 11.1.3 | Shark Bay Marine Park and Hamelin Pool Marine Nature Reserve | 168 |
| 11.1.4 | Ningaloo Marine Park | 169 |
| 11.1.5 | Muiron Islands Marine Management Area | 169 |
| 11.1.6 | Barrow Island Marine Park | 170 |
| 11.1.7 | Barrow Island Marine Management Area | 170 |
| 11.1.8 | Montebello Islands Marine Park | 170 |
| 11.1.9 | Rowley Shoals Marine Park | 171 |
| 11.1.10 | Lalang-garram/Camden Sound Marine Parks | 171 |
| 11.1.11 | Marmion Marine Park | 171 |
| 11.1.12 | Swan Estuary Marine Park | 172 |
| 11.1.13 | Shoalwater Islands Marine Park | 172 |
| 11.1.14 | Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park | 172 |
| 11.1.15 | Lalang-garram/ Horizontal Falls and North Lalang-garram Marine Parks | 173 |
| 11.1.16 | North Kimberley Marine Park | 173 |
| 11.1.17 | Yawuru Nagulagun/ Roebuck Bay Marine Park | 174 |
| 12. | Australian Marine Parks | 175 |
| 12.1 | Introduction | 175 |
| 12.2 | South-West Marine Parks Network | 176 |
| 12.2.1 | Abrolhos Marine Park | 177 |
| 12.2.2 | Jurien Marine Park | 177 |
| 12.2.3 | Two Rocks Marine Park | 178 |
| 12.2.4 | Perth Canyon Marine Park | 178 |
| 12.2.5 | Geographe Marine Park | 179 |
| 12.2.6 | South-west Corner Marine Park | 179 |
| 12.2.7 | Bremer Marine Park | 180 |
| 12.3 | North-West Marine Park Network | 180 |
| 12.3.1 | Carnarvon Canyon Marine Park | 180 |
| 12.3.2 | Shark Bay Marine Park | 181 |
| 12.3.3 | Gascoyne Marine Park | 181 |
| 12.3.4 | Ningaloo Marine Park | 182 |
| 12.3.5 | Montebello Marine Park | 182 |
| 12.3.6 | Dampier Marine Park | 183 |
| 12.3.7 | Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park | 183 |
| 12.3.8 | Argo-Rowley Terrace Marine Park | 184 |
| 12.3.9 | Mermaid Reef Marine Park | 184 |
| 12.3.10 | Roebuck Marine Park | 185 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|------------|
| 12.3.11 | Kimberley Marine Park | 185 |
| 12.3.12 | Ashmore Reef Marine Park | 186 |
| 12.3.13 | Cartier Island Marine Park..... | 187 |
| 12.4 | North Marine Park Network | 188 |
| 12.4.1 | Oceanic Shoals Marine Park..... | 188 |
| 13. | Conservation Management Plans..... | 191 |
| 13.1 | Conservation Advice..... | 191 |
| 13.2 | Recovery Plans..... | 191 |
| 14. | Social, Economic and Cultural Features..... | 214 |
| 14.1 | Industry | 214 |
| 14.2 | Other Infrastructure..... | 214 |
| 14.3 | Shipping | 218 |
| 14.4 | Defence Activities..... | 220 |
| 14.5 | Tourism | 222 |
| 14.6 | Cultural Heritage..... | 222 |
| 14.6.1 | Indigenous Heritage | 222 |
| 14.6.2 | Maritime Heritage | 222 |
| 14.7 | Commercial Fisheries | 228 |
| 14.7.1 | State Fisheries..... | 228 |
| 14.7.2 | Commonwealth Fisheries..... | 230 |
| 14.7.3 | Indonesian Commercial and Subsistence Fishing | 231 |
| 14.8 | Aquaculture..... | 231 |
| 14.8.1 | North Coast Bioregion | 231 |
| 14.8.2 | Gascoyne Coast Bioregion..... | 232 |
| 14.8.3 | West Coast Bioregion..... | 232 |
| 14.8.4 | South West Bioregion..... | 232 |
| 14.8.5 | Indonesian Aquaculture..... | 233 |
| 14.9 | Recreational Fisheries | 233 |
| 14.9.1 | North Coast Bioregion | 233 |
| 14.9.2 | Gascoyne Coast Bioregion..... | 233 |
| 14.9.3 | West Coast Bioregion..... | 233 |
| 14.9.4 | South West Bioregion..... | 234 |
| 15. | Document review..... | 252 |
| 16. | References | 253 |
| 16.1 | Physical Environment..... | 253 |
| 16.2 | Benthic and Pelagic Habitats | 254 |
| 16.3 | Shoreline Habitats | 259 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 16.4 Intertidal Habitats | 261 |
| 16.5 Fish and Sharks..... | 262 |
| 16.6 Marine Reptiles | 267 |
| 16.7 Marine Mammals..... | 269 |
| 16.8 Birds | 272 |
| 16.9 Protected Areas | 274 |
| 16.10 Key Ecological Features..... | 279 |
| 16.11 State Marine Parks | 282 |
| 16.12 Australian Marine Parks | 284 |
| 16.13 Conservation Management Plans..... | 284 |
| 16.14 Commercial and Recreational Fisheries..... | 287 |
| 16.15 Social, Economic and Cultural Features | 288 |

Figures

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 1-1: EMBA within IMCRA 4.0 Provincial Bioregions | 17 |
| Figure 2-1: Geomorphic/seafloor features of Northern WA | 20 |
| Figure 2-2: Geomorphic/seafloor features of Southern WA | 21 |
| Figure 2-3: Bathymetry of the EMBA..... | 22 |
| Figure 2-4: Seasonally averaged winds at 10 m above mean sea level..... | 23 |
| Figure 2-5: Surface currents in WA | 25 |
| Figure 3-1: Benthic habitats from Coral Bay to Dampier | 41 |
| Figure 5-1: Biologically important area – great white shark | 59 |
| Figure 5-2: Biologically important area – whale shark | 62 |
| Figure 5-3: Biologically important areas – sawfish | 64 |
| Figure 6-1: Biologically Important Areas and Habitat Critical – Loggerhead Turtle | 72 |
| Figure 6-2: Biologically Important Areas and Habitat Critical – Green Turtle..... | 74 |
| Figure 6-3: Biologically Important Areas and Habitat Critical – Hawksbill and Olive Ridley Turtle | 76 |
| Figure 6-4: Biologically Important Areas and Habitat Critical – Flatback Turtle | 79 |
| Figure 7-1: Biologically important areas – whales – Southern WA..... | 91 |
| Figure 7-2: Biologically important areas – whales – Northern WA | 92 |
| Figure 7-3: Biologically important areas – dolphins..... | 96 |
| Figure 7-4: Biologically important areas – Australian sea lion..... | 98 |
| Figure 7-5: Biologically important areas – dugongs | 100 |
| Figure 8-1: Biological important areas – birds – Northern WA..... | 115 |
| Figure 8-2: Biologically important areas – birds – Southern WA | 116 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 9-1: Protected areas in Northern WA..... | 149 |
| Figure 9-2: Protected areas in North-West WA | 150 |
| Figure 9-3: Protected areas in Southern WA | 151 |
| Figure 9-4: Protected Lands (CALM Act 1984) – terrestrial conservation reserves bounding marine waters in northern WA | 152 |
| Figure 9-5: Protected Lands (CALM Act 1984) – terrestrial conservation reserves bounding marine waters in North-West WA..... | 153 |
| Figure 9-6: Protected Lands (CALM Act 1984) – terrestrial conservation reserves bounding marine waters in Southern WA | 154 |
| Figure 10-1: Key ecological features of Northern WA | 157 |
| Figure 10-2: Key ecological features of Southern WA | 158 |
| Figure 14-1: Existing petroleum infrastructure, permits and licences – Northern WA..... | 215 |
| Figure 14-2: Existing petroleum infrastructure, permits and licences – Northern Western Australia | 216 |
| Figure 14-3: Existing petroleum infrastructure, permits and licences –Southern WA | 217 |
| Figure 14-4: AMSA ship locations and shipping routes..... | 219 |
| Figure 14-5: Defence activities in WA | 221 |
| Figure 14-6: Shipwrecks – South West WA | 224 |
| Figure 14-7: Shipwrecks – Perth – Shark Bay | 225 |
| Figure 14-8: Shipwrecks – Shark Bay – Dampier..... | 226 |
| Figure 14-9: Shipwrecks – Northern WA..... | 227 |
| Figure 14-10: State commercial fishing zones | 235 |
| Figure 14-11: Commonwealth commercial fishing zones | 236 |

Tables

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 5-5-1: EPBC listed fish and shark species in the EMBA | 50 |
| Table 5-2: Spawning and aggregation times of key commercially caught fish species within the North West Shelf | 56 |
| Table 5-3: Biologically important areas - fish..... | 66 |
| Table 6-1: EPBC listed marine reptile species in the EMBA | 68 |
| Table 6-2: Summary of habitat types for the life stages of the six marine turtle species in the EMBA (DSEWPac, 2012b)..... | 70 |
| Table 6-3: Biologically important areas/critical habitats and geographic locations - reptiles. | 82 |
| Table 7-1: Marine mammals listed as threatened or migratory under the EPBC Act..... | 87 |
| Table 7-2: Summary of information for marine mammals listed as threatened under the EPBC Act | 101 |
| Table 7-3: Biologically important areas – marine mammals..... | 101 |
| Table 8-1: Birds listed as threatened under the EPBC Act | 108 |
| Table 8-2: Summary of information for birds listed as threatened under the EPBC Act that may be in the EMBA | 117 |
| Table 8-3: Summary of migratory birds that may occur within the EMBA | 118 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Table 8-4: Feeding guilds based on prey choice and foraging method (Rogers 1999) adapted from DEC (2003) and Bennelongia (2008) | 120 |
| Table 8-5: Birds subject to the Wildlife Conservation Plan for Migratory Shorebirds 2015... 121 | 121 |
| Table 8-6: Biologically important areas - birds | 125 |
| Table 9-1: Summary of protected areas in waters within the EMBA..... | 128 |
| Table 9-2: Coastal National Parks – coastal boundary in relation to inter-tidal zone | 141 |
| Table 9-3: Nature Reserves (NR) and Conservation Parks (CP) in EMBA..... | 143 |
| Table 9-4: Relevant TEC in the marine EMBA | 147 |
| Table 12-1 Summary of marine network values, pressures, management programs and actions applicable to the EMBA..... | 189 |
| Table 13-1: Summary of EPBC Act recovery plans applicable to the EMBA | 192 |
| Table 14-1: Shipwrecks..... | 223 |
| Table 14-2: Commercial fisheries with permits to operate within the EMBA..... | 237 |

Appendices

- Appendix A: EPBC Act Protected Matters Report**
- Appendix B: MNES Review Register**

1. Introduction

Santos WA Energy Limited (Santos) is the titleholder of multiple petroleum titles for exploration, development and operational activities located in marine waters off north-western Western Australia. This document describes the existing environment that may be affected (EMBA) by these petroleum activities and includes details of the relevant values and sensitivities of that environment as required by the Commonwealth *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2009* and State *Western Australian Petroleum (Submerged Lands) (Environment) Regulations 2012*.

The EMBA represents the largest possible spatial extent that could be contacted by the worst-case spill event modelled for Santos activities to date (loss of well control event from drilling an exploration well at Phoenix South). The EMBA encompasses the full range of environmental receptors that might be contacted by surface and subsurface hydrocarbons in the highly unlikely event of a worst case oil spill from Santos's activities. The low hydrocarbon exposure values as defined in NOPSEMA's '*Environmental Bulletin – Oil Spill Modelling*' (April 2019), are used as a predictive tool to set the outer boundaries of the EMBA.

This document describes the values and sensitivities of the marine environment based on the modelling results for the low hydrocarbon exposure values for the surface hydrocarbons and the entrained hydrocarbons from a loss of well control event at Phoenix South 2, as loss of control from this well has the largest spatial spill extent of all Santos' activities.

This document is informed by a search of the protected matters search tool (PMST) provided by the Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) (previously the Department of the Environment and Energy (DoEE) (dated 10/11/2020 and provided in **Appendix A**), as well as published scientific literature and studies where applicable. Descriptions of all fauna are provided, with a focus on protected species that are threatened and migratory. The PMST is performed annually and any changes from this updated search are detailed in a change register (**Appendix B**). This document is then reviewed annually and updated accordingly.

1.1 Geographical Extent

The EMBA, includes the coastal waters and shoreline habitats of Western Australia (WA), encompassing the south of WA and the Northern Territory (NT) border in the north (**Appendix A**). This area largely approximates the Commonwealth North-West Marine Region (NWMR), the South-West Marine Region (SWMR) and the North Marine Region (NMR). Based on the Integrated Marine and Coastal Regionalisation of Australia (IMCRA) Version 4.0, there are 14 bioregions that occur within the EMBA. These bioregions are based on fish, benthic habitat and oceanographic data (IMCRA v. 4.0). Where relevant, the physical, biological and social environments within the EMBA are discussed with reference to the IMCRA Provincial Bioregions. The provinces of most relevance (**Figure 1-1**) are:

North-west Marine Region

- + Northwest Shelf Transition;
- + Timor Province;
- + Northwest Transition;
- + Northwest Province;
- + Northwest Shelf Province;
- + Central Western Transition;
- + Central Western Shelf Transition; and
- + Central Western Shelf Province.

South-west Marine Region

- + Central Western Province;
- + Southwest Shelf Transition;
- + Southwest Transition; and
- + Southwest Shelf Province; and
- + Southern Province,

North Marine Region

- + Northwest Shelf Transition (as above).

Other IMCRA 4.0 bioregions of interest include: Christmas Island Province.

The international waters of south west Indonesia and Timor-Leste (in part) are also included in the EMBA and described where relevant throughout this document.




Figure 1-1: EMBA within IMCRA 4.0 Provincial Bioregions

2. Physical Environment

2.1 Geomorphology

2.1.1 Formation History

Approximately 550–160 million years ago, northern and western parts of Australia formed part of the northern margin of Gondwana. About 300 million years ago, crustal stretching, rifting and breakup initiated development of an extensive basin that became the site for deposition of sediments (Baker *et al.* 2008 in Department of the Environment, Heritage, Water and the Arts (DEWHA) 2008a). Approximately 135 million years ago the continent broke up resulting in the separation of greater India and Australia. Ocean spreading associated with the continental break-up resulted in the creation of the Argo and Cuvier abyssal plains. Subsidence of the rifted margin resulted in the formation of the Exmouth and Scott plateaux and the Rowley Terrace. The narrow shelf south of North West Cape was formed approximately 130 million years ago as a result of the separation of India and seafloor spreading (Baker *et al.* 2008 in DEWHA 2008a).

The South-west region has been relatively stable throughout its recent geological past. This has shaped a continental shelf that has high wave exposure and is punctuated with coastal features such as island groups and fringing coastal reefs providing sheltered habitats for marine communities (2008a).

2.1.2 Present Day Geological Features

The EMBA consists of five major landform features: continental shelf, continental slope, continental rise, Exmouth plateau and abyssal plain. The majority of the area consists of either continental shelf or continental slope (DEWHA 2008a).

Limited surveys have shown that the continental slope in the EMBA comprises diverse geological features such as canyons, plateaux, terraces, ridges, reefs, banks and shoals (DEWHA (2008)) (**Figure 2-1** and **Figure 2-2**). These features are significant in that over half of the total area of banks and shoals across Australia's entire marine jurisdiction occurs in the Commonwealth waters from the South Australian border to the Northern Territory border, as well as 39% of terraces and 56% of deeps, holes and valleys (DEWHA 2008a).

An important characteristic of the EMBA is the significant narrowing of the continental shelf around North West Cape from the broad continental shelf in the north (**Figure 2-3**). For example, in the Joseph Bonaparte Gulf (at the NT boundary), the continental shelf is around 400 km wide, whereas at North West Cape the shelf is only 7 km wide – the narrowest of anywhere on the Australian continental margin (DEWHA 2008a). Shelf width affects oceanography with flow on effects to productivity and ecosystem functioning.

The continental shelf north of Cape Leveque is characterised by a rimmed ramp where the waters over the outer margins of the shelf (approximately 50 to 100 m waters depth) are shallower than the middle portions (up to 150 m water depth). The rim at its outer edge is the site of a number of coral reefs including Ashmore, Cartier, Scott and Seringapatam (DEWHA 2008a).

The Indonesian archipelago lies between the Pacific and Indian oceans, and bridges the continents of Asia and Australia. The archipelago is divided into several shallow shelves and deep-sea basins.

2.1.3 Southwest Shelf Province

The Southwest Shelf Province consists of an area of narrow continental shelf from Rottnest to Point Dempster. For the purposes of this document (EMBA), the northern and western limits of the bioregion are the main focus because it is this portion that falls within the EMBA, which are an extension of the seafloor described in the Southwest Shelf Transition (below). It includes features such as limestone ridges, depressions defining an inshore lagoon and a relatively smooth inner shelf plain that meets the South Bank Ridge on the outer shelf, and islands providing important habitat, such as Rottnest Island. The shelf progressively broadens to form the relatively sheltered waters of Geographe Bay before narrowing once again at Cape Mentelle.

2.1.4 Southwest Shelf Transition

The Southwest Shelf Transition is a nearshore bioregion that covers the area of continental shelf from Perth to Busselton, and extends out to the edge of the shelf. This bioregion consists of a narrow continental shelf, ranging from approximately 40–80 km wide. It includes a series of complex nearshore ridges and depressions that form inshore lagoons, a smooth inner shelf plain, a series of offshore ridges and a steep, narrow outer shelf. The near-shore ridges are formed by eroded limestone reefs and pinnacles that stand 10–20 m above the seafloor. The edge of the inner shelf plain is marked by a series of broken offshore ridges that extend north to the northern limits of the bioregion, where they emerge to support the tropical carbonate reef growth of the Houtman Abrolhos Islands.

2.1.5 Southwest Transition

The Southwest Transition is an offshore deep-water bioregion with a submerged continental fragment as its dominant seafloor feature – the Naturaliste Plateau. The Plateau extends across an area of 90,000 km² of which only 29,825 km² is within Commonwealth waters. It is located west of Cape Leeuwin and Cape Naturaliste in water depths ranging from 2,000–5,000 m. It is relatively flat with a slight northward dip, and has steep southern and western sides and a more gently sloping northern side. The Plateau is separated from the Australian continent by the Naturaliste Trough and two offshore terraces on the continental slope (average depth 780 m). Submarine canyons incise the northern parts of the slope and parts of the Naturaliste Plateau.

2.1.6 Southern Province

The Southern Province is the largest bioregion within Australia's waters stretching from the shelf break south of Kangaroo Island to the southern edge of the Naturaliste Plateau. The bioregion includes the deepest ocean areas within the Australian Exclusive Economic Zone (approximately 5,900 m maximum water depth) and consists of a long continental slope incised by numerous well-developed submarine canyons. Several key ecological features are present within the EMBA and include the Albany Canyons Group, the Ceduna and Eyre Terraces (covering approximately 147,150 km²) and the Diamantina Fracture Zone.

2.1.7 Sediments

Terrestrial environments are not a major source of sediment in the area and terrigenous sediments tend to be confined to the inner shelf (generally less than 100 m water depth), particularly in areas adjacent to rivers. Sediments in the area generally become finer with increasing water depth, ranging from sand and gravels on the shelf to mud on the slope and abyssal plain. Joseph Bonaparte Gulf is an exception to this pattern, as sediments with high mud content extend across the inner and mid shelf within the Gulf, graduating to sands and gravels in the Bonaparte Depression.

The distribution and resuspension of sediments on the inner shelf is strongly influenced by the strength of tides across the continental shelf as well as episodic events such as cyclones. Further offshore, on the mid to outer shelf and on the slope itself, sediment movement is primarily influenced by ocean currents and internal tides. Internal tides describe the tidal movement across a slope of water stratified by marked differences in density. Internal tides cause resuspension and net down-slope deposition of sediments on the North West Shelf (DEWHA 2008a).

Surveys conducted over the North West Shelf indicate that similar sediments occur extensively over this geographic region, but with spatial variation in the grain size and origin of the surface sediments.

The ecology of the southwest is also greatly influenced by the lack of river discharge into the Region. The few significant rivers adjacent to the Region flow intermittently and their overall discharge is low. The low discharge of rivers and the generally low rate of biological productivity also results in low turbidity (suspended sediments), making the waters of the Region relatively clear (McLoughlin & Young 1985). Surface sediments in the area are predominantly composed of skeletal remains of marine fauna, with lenses of weathered sands (McLoughlin & Young 1985).

Several geomorphic formations have been associated with Key Ecological Features (DEWHA 2008a) and these are discussed in **Section 10**.




Figure 2-1: Geomorphic/seafloor features of Northern WA




Figure 2-2: Geomorphic/seafloor features of Southern WA





Figure 2-3: Bathymetry of the EMBA

2.2 Climate

Waters in northern Western Australia predominantly lie in the arid tropics, experiencing high summer temperatures and periodic tropical cyclones in summer. Rainfall in the region is low, although intense rainfall may occur during the passage of summer tropical cyclones and thunderstorms (Condie *et al.* 2006). Mean air temperatures range from a minimum of 11°C in winter to a maximum of 36°C in summer (Condie *et al.* 2006). Due to the arid climate, daytime visibility in the area is generally greater than 5 nautical miles (SSE 1991).

The summer and winter seasons fall into the periods September–March and May–July, respectively. Winters are characterised by clear skies, fine weather, predominantly strong east to southeast winds and infrequent rain (calculated from NCEP-NCAR dataset measured from 1982 to 1999; Condie *et al.* 2006; **Figure 2-4**).

Summer winds are more variable, with strong south-westerlies dominating. Transitional wind periods, during which either pattern may predominate, can be experienced in April–May and September of each year.



Calculated from NCEP-NCAR dataset measured from 1982 to 1999. Source: Condie *et al.* (2006)

Figure 2-4: Seasonally averaged winds at 10 m above mean sea level

Tropical cyclones generate the most significant storm conditions in the area (SSE 1993). These clockwise-spiralling storms have generated wind speeds 50–120 knots (SSE 1991). Tropical cyclones develop in the eastern Indian Ocean, and the Timor and Arafura Seas during the summer months. Three to four cyclones per year are typical, with the official cyclone season being November through to April (Bureau of Meteorology

(BoM) 2013). In Indonesia, the main variable in climate is not temperature or pressure, but rainfall, which varies greatly by month and place, ranging from 997 millimetres (mm) to 4,927 mm.

Waters in the southwest and southern Western Australia experience a Mediterranean style climate that is characterised by cool, wet winters and hot, dry summers. In winter, wind patterns are characterised by a prevailing westerly wind stream. This enables winter cold fronts and strong westerly winds to regularly penetrate the south-west, with cold fronts crossing the coast every week or so. Apart from the passage of storms, typically lasting one day or less, the weather is otherwise mild in winter with winds variable and relatively weak. In summer, cold fronts rarely penetrate into the south of the state with any strength and hot easterly winds prevail.

2.3 Oceanography

Major drivers of marine ecosystems include ocean currents, tides, waves, temperature and salinity. The dominant offshore sea surface current is the Leeuwin Current (**Figure 2-5**), which carries warm tropical water south along the edge of Western Australia's continental shelf, reaching its peak strength in winter and becoming weaker and more variable in summer (Condie *et al.* 2006). The current is typically located seaward of the shelf break (200 m isobath) and is a narrow, surface current, extending to a depth of 150 m (BHPB 2005, Woodside 2005) and a width of 50–100 km (DEWHA 2008a). The formation of meanders and eddies are also a feature of the Leeuwin Current and a number of eddies occur south of Shark Bay (DEWHA 2008a). The strength of the Leeuwin Current is influenced by seasonal variability in the pressure gradient (DEWHA 2008a). The Holloway Current is the prevailing seasonal current, travelling south-west along the north West Australian coast in winter and north-east in summer (Brewer *et al.* 2007).

The Indonesian Throughflow is the other important current influencing the upper 200 m of the outer North West Shelf (Woodside 2005). This current brings warm and relatively fresh water to the region from the western Pacific via the Indonesian Archipelago (**Figure 2-5**). Modelling undertaken by Woodside and Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) Marine and Atmospheric Research indicates that significant east–west flows occur across the North West Shelf to the north of the North West Cape, possibly linking water masses in the area (Woodside 2005, Condie *et al.* 2006).

Currents in the coastal zone and over the inner to mid-shelf are largely driven by tides and winds, whereas offshore, over the continental shelf, slope and rise are influenced by large scale regional circulation (DEWHA 2008a).

The nearshore Ningaloo Current flows northwards opposite to the Leeuwin Current, along the outside of the Ningaloo Reef and across the inner shelf from September to mid-April (BHPB 2005, Woodside 2005). The nearshore Capes Current, which is to the south of the Ningaloo Current, is a seasonal current that appears strongest between Cape Leeuwin and Cape Naturaliste, in the southwest of Western Australia (Pearce and Pattiaratchi 1999). Strong northwards winds between November and March slow the Leeuwin Current and increase the strength of the Capes Current. Localised upwelling is also known to occur in the area (Pearce and Pattiaratchi 1999).

Tides increase in amplitude from south to north, corresponding with the increasing width of the shelf (Holloway 1983). Tides in the area are generally semi-diurnal (i.e. two high tides and two low tides per day) with a spring/neap cycle. The northern area experiences some of the largest tides in the world. In the Kimberley, the daily tidal range is up to 10 m during spring tides and less than 3 m during some neap tides. Mid-shelf tidal currents are predicted to have average speeds of approximately 0.25 knots during neap tides and up to 0.5 knots during spring tides (NSR 1995, WNI 1995).

The wave climate in the northwest is composed of locally-generated wind waves (seas) and swells that are propagated from distant areas (WNI 1995). In summer the seas typically approach from the west and southwest, while in winter the seas typically approach from the south and east. Mean sea wave heights are typically less than 1 m and peak heights of less than 2 m are experienced in all months of the year (WNI 1995).

Indonesian waters, especially the eastern part of the archipelago, play an important role in the global water mass transport system, in which warm water at the surface conveys heat to the deeper cold water in what is known as the great ocean conveyor belt (refer **Figure 2-5**). The eastern archipelago is the only place in the

Pacific Ocean that connects with the Indian Ocean at lower latitudes. The water mass transport from the Pacific to the Indian Ocean through various channels in Indonesia is called Arindo (Arus Lintas Indonesia), also known as the Indonesian Throughflow (ADB 2014). Surface currents in Indonesian waters are more strongly influenced by circulation from the Pacific Ocean than from the Indian Ocean. The currents are also greatly influenced by the winds of the prevailing monsoon.

Average swell heights are low, around 0.4–0.6 m in all months. The greatest exposure to swells is from the west (SSE 1993). Tropical cyclones have generated significant swell heights of up to 5 m in this area, although the predicted frequency of swells exceeding 2 m is less than 5% (WNI 1996). In the open ocean, sustained winds result in wind-forced currents of approximately 3% of the wind speed (Holloway & Nye 1985).

Tides in the South West Capes area are mixed (i.e. diurnal and semi-diurnal) and generally less than one metre, with a typical daily range of about 0.7 m during spring tides and about 0.5 m during neap tides. Tides of this magnitude produce weak currents compared to wind and wave driven flows (Hill & Ryan 2002 cited in Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) 2013).

Waters on the continental shelf are usually thermally-stratified, with a marked change in water density at approximately 20 m (SSE 1993). Surface temperatures vary annually, being warmest in March (32°C) and coolest in August (19°C). Vertical gradients are related to the seasonality of sea surface temperatures, and are greatest during the warm-water season (SSE 1991). Near-bottom water temperature on the North West Shelf is approximately 23°C, with no discernible seasonal variation.

Salinity is relatively uniform at 34–35 ppt throughout the water column and across the North West Shelf. Due to the low rainfall there is little freshwater run-off from the adjacent mainland (Blaber *et al.* 1985).

Pronounced shifts in water column characteristics can occur following the passage of tropical cyclones (McKinnon *et al.* 2003). Changes in water temperature and salinity characteristics can result from changes in local heating and evaporation following the southward movement of warmer water due to southward-moving cyclones, and can have flow-on effects to primary and secondary productivity (McKinnon *et al.* 2003).




Figure 2-5: Surface currents in WA

Source: DEWHA (2008b)

3. Benthic and Pelagic Habitats

Benthic habitats are defined as those subtidal habitats lying below the lowest astronomical tide (LAT). The benthic habitats within waters in the EMBA lie at depths ranging from LAT down to more than 6,000 m at Argo and Cuvier abyssal plains (DEWHA 2008a, 2008b).

Benthic habitats are partially driven by light availability. Primary producers (photosynthetic corals, seagrasses and macroalgae) are limited to the photic zone, whereas benthic invertebrates including filter feeding communities may be found in deeper waters. The depth of the photic zone varies spatially and temporally and is predominantly dependent on the volumes of suspended material in the water column. The photic zone in the offshore Pilbara is approximately 70 m whereas in oceanic waters in the northwest and coastal waters of the southwest the photic zone may extend to 120 m (DEWHA 2008b).

The following section broadly categorises benthic habitats as four biological communities; coral, seagrasses, macroalgae and non-coral benthic invertebrates. These communities are discussed in terms of the 14 IMCRA v. 4.0 bioregions. Some broad scale benthic habitat mapping exists for the Northwest and Central Western Shelf Provinces and this is shown in **Figure 3-1**.

3.1 Coral Reefs

Corals are both primary producers and filter feeders and thus play a role in the provision of food to marine fauna and in nutrient recycling to support ecosystem functioning (Conservation and Land Management (CALM) & Marine Parks and Reserves Authority (MPRA) 2005a).

Corals create settlement substrate and shelter for marine flora and fauna. Studies have shown that declines in the abundance, or even marked changes in species composition of corals, has a marked impact on the biodiversity and productivity of coral reef habitats (Pratchett *et al.* 2008). As part of the reef building process, scleractinian corals are also important for protection of coastlines through accumulation and cementation of sediments and dissipation of wave energy (CALM & MPRA 2005a).

The waters in the EMBA contain extensive coral communities. Coral reefs in the area fall into two general groups: the fringing reefs around coastal islands and the mainland shore; and large platform reefs, banks and shelf-edge atolls offshore (Woodside 2011). The distribution of corals in area is governed by the availability of hard substrate for attachment and light availability.

Coral reefs are dynamic environments that regularly undergo cycles of disturbance and recovery. Depending on how frequent and severe the disturbances are, recovery can take a few years or more than a decade. Disturbances can include bleaching, cyclones and disease outbreaks (Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS) 2011).

Corals in the northwest and central provinces have experienced bleaching events and subsequent recovery. Bleaching is the process where symbiotic algae are expelled from the coral tissue, often leading to the death of the colony. Causes of bleaching include high temperatures (Scott Reef; 1998), anoxic conditions (Bill's Bay; 2008) or smothering (Waples & Hollander 2008, Gilmour *et al.* 2013). Coral susceptibility to bleaching and their ability to recover is an important consideration in the context of potential anthropogenic impacts.

Four bioregions (Northwest Province, Northwest Transition, Central Western Province and Central Western Shelf Transition) lie in deep waters below the photic zone. Two bioregions (Southwest Transition and Southwest Shelf Province) occur in waters that are too cold to support tropical coral reefs species. Photosynthetic corals are not present in either of these locations and hence these bioregions are not discussed further.

3.1.1 Southwest Shelf Transition

The coral reefs of the Houtman Abrolhos Islands are the most southern extensive coral community along the west coast. Smaller localised pockets do occur as far south as Rottneest Island and even extend to Cape Naturaliste in the Southwest Shelf Province. The reefs around the Abrolhos Islands comprise 211 known species of corals and all but two of the coral species are tropical (Department of Fisheries (DoF) 2012). The greatest diversity and density of corals is found on the reef slopes, shallow reef perimeters and lagoon patch

reefs in the more sheltered northern and eastern sides of each of the three limestone platforms that support the island groups (DoF 2012).

3.1.2 Central Western Shelf Province

The Central Western Shelf Province occurs on the continental shelf between Coral Bay and Busselton and is generally flat with depths ranging from 0–100 m. The province includes Shark Bay and Bernier, Dorre and Dirk Hartog Islands.

Studies at Shark Bay recorded 80 species of coral (Marsh 1990). The study determined that salinity and seasonal temperature gradients restrict the distribution of corals to areas that have normal salinity in the western half of the Bay, a few species occur in the metahaline waters but none in the hyper saline areas (Marsh 1990). The eastern shores of Bernier, Dorre and Dirk Hartog Islands provide the most favourable habitats for coral growth due to shelter, and water with relatively small salinity and temperature fluctuations. Some sections of these islands support prolific coral growth (up to 100% cover) both in the sheltered leeward and exposed areas. This bioregion is a transitional zone between the predominantly tropical flora and fauna of the north and temperate flora and fauna further south (CALM & NPNCA 1996).

3.1.3 Central Western Shelf Transition

A significant proportion of this bioregion is covered by the Ningaloo Reef. The Ningaloo Reef is unique in that it is the largest fringing reef in Australia and is the only large reef found on the western side of a continent in the southern hemisphere.

A 300 km section of the coast, from Red Bluff to North West Cape and extending to Bundegi in Exmouth Gulf, is included in the Ningaloo Marine Park. Ningaloo Reef supports variable lagoonal, intertidal and subtidal coral communities along its length. Ningaloo Reef is characterised by a high diversity of hard corals with at least 217 species representing 54 genera of hermatypic (reef building) corals recorded to date (Veron & Marsh 1988). The most diverse coral communities are found in the shallow relatively clear water, high energy environment of the fringing barrier reef and low energy lagoonal areas to the west of North West Cape (CALM & MPRA 2005a).

Coral diversity reduces with increasing depth, and corals are uncommon at depths greater than 40 m (Waples & Hollander 2008). At depths between 20 and 30 m hard corals have been found to be more dominant in the northern areas of the Ningaloo Marine Park, whereas in southern areas other sessile invertebrates such as sponges, are more prevalent (Waples & Hollander 2008).

3.1.4 Northwest Transition

This bioregion lies mostly over the continental slope and the abyssal plain in deep waters that preclude photosynthetic coral growth (DEWHA 2008a). However, in contrast with the surrounding area, the Rowley Shoals are three distinct reef systems (Mermaid, Clerke and Imperieuse Reefs) approximately 30–40 km apart that rise vertically to the surface from depths of between 500 and 700 m. The marine reef fauna of the Rowley Shoals is considered to be exceptionally rich and diverse, including species typical of the oceanic coral reef communities of the Indo-West Pacific. As many of these species are not found in the inshore tropical waters of northern Australia, such populations are of regional significance (DEWHA 2008a).

A 1993 survey at Mermaid Reef recorded 214 species of scleractinian corals (Done *et al.* 1994). Since 1997, mean coral cover has increased through periods of impact and recovery from cyclones, reaching the highest (71%) on record in 2017 (Gilmour *et al.* 2019). The survey found that coral assemblages of the Rowley Shoals are broadly comparable to those found on the reefs of the outer Great Barrier Reef and in the Coral Sea. While the coral fauna is similar to Scott Reef, it differs considerably from that of north-western Australia (Veron 1986). Veron (1986) notes that the clear water of the Rowley Shoals allows coral communities to exist over a great range of depths, while the strong wave action on the outer coral slopes and the wide tidal range result in distinct patterns of zonation.

3.1.5 Northwest Shelf Province

This province contains numerous small coastal islands in addition to larger archipelago and offshore island groups. Many of these features are surrounded by shallow waters with small barrier and fringing reefs that support coral communities. Key areas recognised for coral communities in this bioregion are discussed below.

The Dampier Archipelago supports coral reefs in shallow waters near islands and submerged pinnacles. The most significant coral reefs have formed along the seaward slopes of Delambre Island, Hamersley Shoal, Sailfish Reef, Kendrew Island and north-west Enderby Island (CALM & MPRA 2005). Field trips in the Dampier Archipelago between 1972 and 1998 recorded 229 species of corals from 57 genera (Griffith 2004). Surveys of the Dampier Port and inner Mermaid Sound recorded approximately 120 coral species from 43 genera (Blakeway & Radford 2005) with coral reefs dominated by acroporids and pocilloporids. The greatest coral cover (up to 70%) was recorded in the eastern half of the archipelago (Wells *et al.* 2003).

The Montebello, Lowendal and Barrow Islands include 315 islands associated with extensive coral reefs, the most significant of which occur in the sheltered waters on the eastern side of the islands. Examples of these significant reefs include Dugong Reef, Batman Reef and reefs along the Lowendal Shelf (DEC & MPRA 2007a). Dominant corals include acroporids and poritids, with greater than 70% cover recorded for some areas (Chevron 2010). Subtidal coral reef communities around the islands are highly diverse, with at least 150 species of hard corals recorded from fringing and patch coral reef areas (DEC & MPRA 2007a).

Coral distribution near the mainland is restricted by lack of light due to natural turbidity. Corals may exist as sparse coral colonies in some locations, rather than extensive coral communities. Within Exmouth Gulf, coral communities are less common but are present on fringing reefs surrounding islands, as solitary corals distributed across areas of hard substrate, or on larger isolated patch reefs.

An epibenthic dredge survey of nearshore areas north of Broome identified 14 species of hard corals from six families (Keesing *et al.* 2011). Limited coral surveys conducted at Broome (15 species) and the Lacepede Islands (ten species) (Veron & Marsh 1988) suggest the species diversity in this locality may be low. However, low species diversity observed during the dredge survey may reflect the limited sampling frequency, limited depth range (11–23 m) or inadequate sampling in habitats considered favourable for the proliferation of hard corals (hard substrate). In contrast, other surveys of nearshore locations in the region have recorded much higher levels of species diversity. Veron and Marsh (1988) stated that 102 species of hard corals have been recorded from the Kimberley coast and nearshore reefs and Cairns (1998) recorded 87 species of azooxanthellate hard coral species from north-western Australian waters.

3.1.6 Timor Province

Although water depths in this province are generally deep (200 m to almost 6,000 m) there are several reefs and islands that are regarded as biodiversity hotspots (DEWHA 2008a).

Ashmore Reef, Cartier Island, Hibernia, Scott and Seringapatam Reefs are areas of enhanced local biological productivity, within an area of relatively unproductive waters. Ashmore Reef National Nature Reserve supports one of the greatest number of coral species of any reef off the West Australian coast, with 255 species of reef-building corals in 56 genera (Veron 1993). Taxonomic revisions and additional surveys have resulted in a net increase in species numbers to 275 (Griffith 1997, Ceccarelli *et al.* 2011). Species are typical of the Indo-pacific region and none are unique or considered endemic. However, 41 species (15% of the total hard coral species at the site) are listed as vulnerable on the IUCN Red List (IUCN 2019). In 1998, hard coral covered an area of around 717 ha at Ashmore Reef. The majority of hard corals occur in the deep lagoon (265 ha) and shallow reef top (315 ha) with small areas in the shallow lagoons, and reef edge/slope habitats (Skewes *et al.* 1999a). The soft, non-reef building corals are less well studied at Ashmore Reef than the hard corals (Hale & Butcher 2013). In 1986, 39 soft coral taxa were recorded within the Ashmore Reef, including the vulnerable blue coral (*Heliopora coerulea*) which was moderately common on the reef flats (Marsh 1993). In 1998, the total cover of soft coral at Ashmore Reef was 323 ha and *Sarcophyton* spp. was the dominant taxa covering around 19 ha in total (Skewes *et al.* 1999b, Hale & Butcher 2013).

The species composition of all the hard coral reefs in the bioregion is very similar and reflects strong links with Indo-West Pacific fauna, largely as a result of the dispersal of coral spawn via regional currents. The reefs and

islands in this bioregion are thought to be important biological stepping-stones between centres of biodiversity in the Indo-Pacific and reef ecosystems further south (DEWHA 2008a).

Seringapatam Reef is a regionally important scleractinian coral reef as it has a high biodiversity, which is comparable to Ningaloo Reef. Results from the Western Australian Museum (WAM) survey in 2006 noted 159 species of scleractinian corals with a hard coral cover of approximately 16% (WAM 2009). The dominant benthic habitats of the reef were observed to include hard and soft corals (Heyward *et al.* 2013 cited in ConocoPhillips 2018).

Scott Reef consists of two reefs, North Scott Reef and South Scott Reef, which are separated by a deep (400–700 m) channel. North Scott Reef is an annular reef which encloses a lagoon that is connected to the ocean. South Scott Reef is a crescent-shaped reef which forms an arc and partially encloses another lagoon. Light penetration at Scott reef is high due to low turbidity. Light penetration depths to the deeper part of South Reef Lagoon are in excess of 50m with corals able to survive at depths of up to 70 m (Woodside Energy Limited *et al.* 2010).

Hibernia Reef consists of an approximately oval-shaped reef, with large areas of the reef becoming exposed at low tide. Hibernia Reef is also characterised by a deep central lagoon and drying sand flats.

There are a number of shoals and banks in the NMR and NWMR. Relatively few studies have been undertaken of these features with the majority of the understanding derived from the Big Bank Shoals study (Heyward *et al.* 1997), PTTEP surveys initiated in response to the Montara incident (Heyward *et al.* 2010; Heyward *et al.* 2011) and ConocoPhillips baseline surveys undertaken to support the Barossa Area Development (Heyward *et al.* 2017). The PTTEP surveys completed at Ashmore, Cartier and Seringapatam Reefs were undertaken during a coral bleaching disturbance likely to be attributed to regional thermal stress indicated by both *in situ* and satellite based data for the region. The condition of the reefs communities was consistent with previous surveys within the area and did not indicate any disturbance from the Montara incident (Heyward *et al.* 2010; Heyward *et al.* 2012).

In general, the submerged features are characterised by abrupt bathymetry, rising steeply from the surrounding outer continental shelf at depths of 100 m–200 m. The shoals and banks tend to flatten at depths of 40-50 m, with horizontal plateau areas of several square kilometres generally present at 20-30 m depths (Heyward *et al.* 2010). The shoals and banks support a diverse and varied range of benthic communities, including algae, reef-building soft corals, hard corals and filter-feeders (Heyward *et al.* 1997, Heyward *et al.* 2012). The plateau areas were dominated by benthic primary producer habitat, with interspersed areas of sand and rubble patches (Heyward *et al.* 2012).

3.1.7 Northwest Shelf Transition

Coral communities of the Northwest Shelf Transition have historically not been well studied. However, based on the scale of reef development and the diversity of coral species recorded through limited surveys, it is highly likely that further surveys will demonstrate that the Kimberley contains a coral reef province of global significance (Masini *et al.* 2009).

Coral reefs in the province include fringing reefs around coastal islands and some mainland shores. Development of coral communities in inshore areas is limited due to persistent high turbidity. Known examples of coral reefs in the bioregion are given below, however further mapping is required.

Benthic habitat surveys at Adele and Long Islands in 2009 and 2010 revealed extensive development of hard and soft coral communities (Richards *et al.* 2013). Scleractinian coral communities at Adele Island were diverse, supporting 176 species in intertidal and subtidal areas up to 14 m depth. At Long Island approximately 200 species of scleractinian corals were recorded in intertidal and subtidal areas. These surveys also identified two significant and unique habitats; a zone of mixed corallith and rhodolith habitat at Adele Island and an Organ Pipe Coral habitat zone with unusually high benthic cover at Long Island (Richards *et al.* 2013).

Studies by DBCA and the LNG industry indicate that fringing and emergent coral reefs are well developed in the Heyward island group, around islands in the Bonaparte Archipelago, and off mainland shores of Cape Voltaire and Cape Bougainville. Surveys by INPEX of Maret, Bethier and Montalivet islands, which were largely

restricted to the intertidal zone, have recorded 280 species of coral from at least 55 genera, making the Kimberley Bioregion the most coral-diverse area in WA (INPEX 2008).

Montgomery Reef has been identified as a key feature in the area. Montgomery Reef is a huge submerged rock platform covering approximately 400 km². Corals occur in the subtidal area around Montgomery Reef, and in the many rock pools on the platform where there is shaded from the sun by algae or rock ledges (DEWHA 2008a). A survey of benthic habitats at Montgomery Reef was conducted in 2009 by AIMS but a literature search found no published results from this survey (AIMS 2014).

Browse Island is surrounded by a minor fringing coral reef. Assemblages at Browse Island are characteristic of coral platform reefs throughout the Indo-West Pacific region, particularly Cartier Island. Coral diversity was greatest on the reef faces and shallow lagoons but these areas were of very limited extent (URS 2010a).

Hard corals have been recorded at Echuca Shoals but the community was low in both species richness and abundance (URS 2010a). The presence of occasional large outcrops suggests that larger coral structures have occurred previously and may still occur elsewhere on the shoal (RPS Environmental 2008).

3.1.8 International Waters

Important areas outside of the IMCRA bioregions include:

Christmas Island

Fringing coral reefs around Christmas Island are relatively simple with 88 coral species previously identified which are identified to support and over 600 fish species (Director of National Parks 2012).

Indonesia (west)

Indonesia has an estimated 75,000 km² coral reef ecosystem distributed throughout the archipelago (Tomascik et al. 1997 cited in Hutumo & Moosa 2005). Fringing reefs are the most common reef types with scleractinian corals as being the most dominant and important group. 452 species of hermatypic scleractinian coral were collected from Indonesian waters by Tomascik et al. (1997 cited in Hutumo & Moosa 2005), a study presented by Suharsono (2004 cited in Hutumo & Moosa 2005), indicated that 590 species of scleractinian corals exist in Indonesian waters. *Acropora*, *Montipora* and *Porites* are the most important reef building corals in Indonesia.

The Lesser Sunda Ecoregion encompasses the chain of islands and surrounding waters from Bali, Indonesia to Timor-Leste. This region contains suitable habitat for corals on shallow water substrates formed by limestone and lava flows and is thought to contain more than 500 species of scleractinian reef-building corals (DeVantier *et al.* 2008). Coral species composition is influenced by regional and local scale seasonal upwellings that typically occur from April to May each year on the southern side of the islands. The ecoregion is considered important for coral endemism, particularly the areas of Bali-Lombok, Komodo and East Flores. Fringing coral reefs tend to be less developed on the southern, more exposed shorelines (Wilson *et al.* 2011).

Timor-Leste

See **Section 3.1.6** for a description of habitat typical of shoals and banks in the Timor Sea.

3.2 Seagrasses

Seagrasses are biologically important for four reasons:

1. As sources of primary production;
2. As habitat for juvenile and adult fauna such as invertebrates and fish;
3. As a food resource; and
4. For their ability to attenuate water movement and trap sediment (Masini *et al.* 2009).

Twenty-five species of seagrass have been recorded in WA, the highest diversity in the world (Masini *et al.* 2009). Waters extending from Busselton to the NT border support predominantly tropical species although temperate species are also found, particularly between Busselton and Exmouth (Walker 1987). One species, *Cymodocea angustata*, is endemic to WA (Department of Parks and Wildlife (DPAW) 2013).

The main seagrasses of the region are small, ephemeral species that grow on soft sediments and have a seed bank in the surficial sediments that allows them to recover quickly from disturbance (Walker 1989). Small, ephemeral species of seagrass tend to form mixed associations with macroalgae (CALM & MPRA 2005, DEC & MPRA 2007a, BHPBIO 2011) and usually covers less than 5% of the substrate (BHPBIO 2011, van Keulen & Langdon 2011).

Areas occupied by seagrass vary markedly both seasonally and interannually and it is not clear why some areas of suitable substrate will support seagrass in one year but not the next. It appears that recruitment to what may otherwise be suitable substrate is haphazard, lending weight to the descriptions of these seagrass communities as ephemeral (CALM & MPRA 2005, DEC & MPRA 2007a).

Two bioregions (Northwest Province and Central Western Transition) lie entirely in deep waters below the photic zone. Seagrasses are not present hence these bioregions are not discussed further.

3.2.1 Southwest Shelf Province

Geographe Bay is a large relatively sheltered area with that supports extensive beds of tropical and temperate seagrass that have a high diversity of species and endemism (DEWHA 2008a). They are thought to account for about 80% of benthic primary production in the area. These seagrass beds provide important nursery habitat for many shelf species that use the shallow seagrass habitat as nursery grounds for several years before moving out over the shelf to their adult feeding grounds along the shelf break.

The Geographe Bay seagrass meadows are among the most extensive temperate seagrass communities on the west coast (MPRSWG 1994 cited in DEC 2013), and include 10 species from five genera (*Amphibolis*, *Posidonia*, *Halophila*, *Heterozostera* and *Thalassodendron*). Geographe Bay is dominated by stands of the narrowleaf tape-weed (*Posidonia sinuosa*) that covers approximately 70% of Geographe Bay. It has smaller areas of *Posidonia angustifolia*, *Amphibolis griffithii*, *A. antarctica* and minor species, which have irregular distributions both spatially and temporally (Lord 1995 cited in DEC 2013). *Thalassodendron pachyrhizum*, *Posidonia* spp. and *Amphibolis* spp. are also found in depths of between 27 and 45 m (Walker *et al.* 1994 cited in DEC 2013).

3.2.2 Southwest Shelf Transition

Species diversity of seagrasses in this bioregion is the highest in the world, with 14 species occurring (DEWHA 2008a). In total, 10 seagrass species have been recorded at the Abrolhos ranging from small, delicate species to larger, more robust types that grow in large meadows (DoF 2012). Small paddle-weeds grow in protected lagoon areas or deep waters between the islands, such as Goss Passage and the larger species may be found growing on reef as well as in sandy areas (DoF 2012). *Thalassodendron pachyrhizum*, which is encountered growing on the exposed reef crest area, has been recorded at a number of the island groups. There are also two species of wire-weed (*Amphibolis* species), endemic to southern Australia, found at the Abrolhos (DoF 2012). The most abundant seagrass is *Amphibolis antarctica*, while *Amphibolis griffithii* appears to be restricted to bays such as Turtle Bay in the Wallabi Group.

The larger ribbon-weeds (*Posidonia* species) grow in sheltered bays and lagoons where the sand cover is deeper and more stable (e.g. Turtle Bay, the Gap, East Wallabi Island, the lagoon on the west side of West Wallabi Islands and around North Island) (DoF 2012).

Nine species of seagrass are found in the Perth region, including at Rottnest Island where *Amphibolis* thrives in clear waters overlying limestone rock (Amalfi 2006). Seagrasses are a major component of the ecosystem on the Rottnest Shelf, thriving in waters ranging in depth from intertidal to 45m (Amalfi 2006). All of the seagrass species identified with the exception of *Syringodium isoetifolium* and *H. ovalis* are endemic to temperate areas of southern Australia (Amalfi 2006). At Rocky Bay, on the north side of the island where it is protected from big swells and strong south to south-westerly winds, a mix of dense seagrass meadow consisting of *Amphibolis* and *Posidonia* thrive. The meadows around Rottnest Island serve as nurseries for juveniles of many fish species, and are home to species such as the cobbler and long-headed flathead (Amalfi 2006).

3.2.3 Central Western Shelf Province

Shark Bay contains the largest reported seagrass meadows in the world (approximately 4,000 km²), as well as some of the most species-rich seagrass assemblages (Walker *et al.* 1989). Twelve species of seagrass are found in the Bay with the dominant species being *Amphibolis antarctica*. Seagrass is a fundamental component of biological processes in Shark Bay; it has modified the physical, chemical and biological characteristics of the Bay and provides food, habitat and nursery grounds for many species (CALM & National Parks and Nature Conservation Authority (NPNCA) 1996).

An inshore survey of benthic habitats near Busselton recorded dense coverage of *Amphibolis* spp. on limestone pavement. *Halophila* spp., *Heterozostera* spp. and *Syringodium isoetifolium* were recorded on sandy substrates (DoF 2007).

3.2.4 Central Western Shelf Transition

Nine species of seagrasses have been found throughout Ningaloo Reef (van Keulen & Langdon 2011). Some delineation of temperate and tropical species exists; however, several species were found throughout the Ningaloo Reef. *Halophila ovalis* was the most commonly found seagrass at Ningaloo and was generally found growing in sandy patches between coral bombores. *Amphibolis antarctica* is a large meadow forming species that has been found growing in large clumps in Bateman Bay, north of Coral Bay (van Keulen & Langdon 2011).

3.2.5 Northwest Transition

The Rowley Shoals provide the only suitable shallow substrate for seagrasses in this predominantly deep bioregion. Sparse seagrass is found within subtidal coral reef communities of the Rowley Shoals but is not a major habitat type. Two species of seagrass, *Thalassia hemprichii* and *Halophila ovalis*, have been recorded at Mermaid Reef (Huisman *et al.* 2009). Earlier studies at Mermaid and Imperieuse Reef recorded the above two species and a third species; *Thalassodendron ciliatum* (Walker & Prince 1987).

3.2.6 Northwest Shelf Province

In the Northwest Shelf Province, seagrasses are present but sparsely distributed to depths of approximately 30 m (LEC & Astron 1993, URS 2009, CALM 2005a). The abundance and distribution of tropical (and subtropical) seagrass species can vary greatly due to seasonal changes in water quality (turbidity, light penetration) and conditions (wave action, temperature), with biomass tending to peak in summer (Lanyon & March 1995).

Studies between Quondong and Coulomb Points north of Broome identified seagrass communities of *Halophila* spp. patchily distributed across large areas, from the lower intertidal and out to a depth of approximately 20 m (DEC 2008, Fry *et al.* 2008). Similarly, *Halophila decipiens* was the only seagrass collected from epibenthic dredge studies at five localities near Broome from Gourdon Bay to Packer Island (Keesing *et al.* 2011).

Roebuck Bay is located south of Broome and includes large areas of intertidal mudflats. Extensive seagrass meadows occur in the northern regions of Roebuck Bay and are dominated by *Halophila ovalis* and *Halodule uninervis*. *Halophila minor* and *Halodule pinifolia* have also been reported at this location (Prince 1986, Walker & Prince 1987, Seagrass-Watch 2019).

In the Dampier Archipelago seagrass occurs in the larger bays and sheltered flats of the area (CALM & MPRA 2005). Six species of seagrass, including three *Halophila* species, have been recorded on the subtidal soft sediment habitats (CALM & MPRA 2005). Seagrasses do not form extensive meadows within the proposed reserves, but rather form interspersed seagrass/macroalgal beds. The largest areas of seagrass are found between Keast and Legendre islands, and between West Intercourse Island and Cape Preston (CALM & MPRA 2005).

Surveys near Onslow found that *Halophila* spp. were the most widespread of the seagrasses in that region. Seagrasses were found to be generally sparsely distributed (<10% cover), occurring in small patches within larger areas of suitable substrate. Small areas of higher (>50%) seagrass cover occurred in shallow clear water areas but were not common (URS 2009, URS 2010b, Chevron 2010).

Similarly, in the Montebello/Barrow Islands Marine Conservation Reserves, seagrasses appear not to form extensive meadows but are sparsely interspersed between macroalgae. Seven seagrass species have been recorded in the Reserves (DEC & MPRA 2007a) with *Halophila* spp. the most common seagrass species on shallow soft substrates and sand veneers. Distributions of these species extend from the intertidal zone to approximately 15m water depth (DEC & MPRA 2007a). Surveys to the northwest and southeast of Barrow Island from 2002 to 2004 did not identify any significant seagrass meadows but confirmed the presence of sparse coverage of *Halophila* and *Halodule* spp. in shallow areas east of Barrow Island (RPS BBG 2005).

A significant meadow of large seagrasses at Mary Anne Reef east of Onslow was identified almost 30 years ago and its presence today is unconfirmed. The meadow was several hundred hectares of *Cymodocea angustata* at 30–50% cover, occurring primarily at a depth of 2–3 m (Walker & Prince 1987).

3.2.7 Timor Province

Seagrass has been reported on the reef flats of offshore reefs of this bioregion (Whiting 1999, Hale & Butcher 2013). Five species of seagrass were reported at Ashmore Reef with *Thalassia hemprichii* being the dominant species (Pike & Leach 1997, Skewes *et al.* 1999b, Brown & Skewes 2005). The total area of seagrass at Ashmore Reef in 1999 was estimated to be 470 ha (Skewes *et al.* 1999b). However, much of this was very sparse cover and there were only 220 ha of seagrass with a greater than 10% cover (Brown & Skewes 2005). Seagrass grew in a sparse, patchy distribution across the sand flats, but had a higher coverage on the reef flat area, where it extended to within 100 m of the reef crest. The area of greatest cover and diversity was in the west and south-west areas of the reef on the inner reef flat (Brown & Skewes 2005). These seagrass meadows support a small but significant population of dugongs estimated at around 100 individuals comprising all age classes from calves to adults (Hale & Butcher 2005).

Similarly, Scott Reef supports five species of seagrass (URS 2006), with *Thalassia hemprichii* most abundant (Skewes *et al.* 1999a, URS 2006). The area of seagrass at Scott Reef is significantly less than that recorded for Ashmore Reef (approximately 100 ha) (Woodside 2011). The highly energetic environment and significant tidal exposure of Scott Reef restricts the area of habitats potentially suitable for seagrass establishment to a small proportion of the total area, resulting in low abundance (Skewes *et al.* 1999a, URS 2006).

Seringapatam Reef was found to have a seagrass cover of 2 ha out of 5,519 ha (0.04%) composed of *Thalassia hemprichii* and *Halophila ovalis* in approximately equal quantities (Skewes *et al.* 1999a). This finding contrasts with a more recent survey where only one species of seagrass (*Halophila decipiens*) was recorded at Seringapatam (Huisman *et al.* 2009).

Skewes *et al.* (1999a) did not observe any seagrass communities at Hibernia Reef.

3.2.8 Northwest Shelf Transition

Extensive and diverse intertidal seagrass meadows are known from islands in the southern Kimberley, particularly in the Sunday Island One Arm Point area (Walker 1995, Walker & Prince 1987). Ten species of seagrasses have been recorded at One Arm Point, with the majority of meadows low to moderate in abundance and dominated by *Thalassia hemprichii* with *Halophila ovalis*, *Halodule uninervis* and *Enhalus acoroides* (Seagrass-Watch 2019).

While some seagrasses have been collected from intertidal sites in the central and north Kimberley (Walker *et al.* 1996, Walker 1997), these areas were not found to be species rich and did not support extensive seagrass meadows like those found in the southern Kimberley.

Subtidal seagrass meadows in the Northwest Shelf Transition are not well mapped, although dugongs are known to feed on seagrass communities in coastal waters of the Joseph Bonaparte Gulf (DEWHA 2008a).

3.2.9 International Waters

Important areas outside of the IMCRA bioregions include:

Indonesia (west)

Within Indonesian waters, the lower intertidal and upper subtidal zones are considered important areas for the growth of seagrass (Hutumo and Moosa 2005). Pioneering vegetation in the intertidal zone is dominated by

Halophila ovalis and *Halodule pinifolia* while *Thalassodendron ciliatum* dominate the lower subtidal zones. Wide areas of the Indonesian coastal waters are covered by dense beds of seagrass.

Seagrass habitats are widely distributed across the Lesser Sunda Ecoregion. Preliminary data from the United Nations Environment Program's (UNEP) World Conservation Monitoring Centre (WCMC) has identified the following areas as potential areas of importance for seagrass, many of which are outside the EMBA (DeVantier *et al.* 2008):

- + North-west Bali;
- + South-west and west Lombok;
- + North-east Sumbawa;
- + Komodo Islands;
- + Savu; and
- + South coast of Timor-Leste.

The Kepulauan Seribu National Park is also known for its rich diversity of seagrasses (refer to **Section 9.8**).

3.3 Macroalgae

Macroalgae are important contributors to primary production and nutrient cycling in the region, providing food and habitat for vertebrate and invertebrate fauna. Macroalgae are also recognised for their role in spatial subsidies; the movement of nutrients or energy between neighbouring habitats. Spatial subsidies involving macroalgae include the movement of wrack from macroalgal beds to bare substrates and shorelines (Orr 2004).

Macroalgae are primarily associated with hard substrates. They occur in moderate to high cover on exposed hard substrates, but typically have lower cover on hard substrates that are covered with a veneer of sediment (SKM 2009, BHPBIO 2011). Macroalgae exhibit very high seasonal and interannual variation in biomass (Heyward *et al.* 2006) and distribution, abundance and biodiversity (Rio Tinto 2009, BHPBIO 2011). The distribution of hard substrates therefore indicates areas that may support macroalgal communities, although abundance and diversity may fluctuate annually.

Macroalgae are susceptible to disturbance from factors such as sedimentation, scouring and turbidity but the marked seasonality in biomass, abundance, diversity and distribution suggests macroalgae are likely to be resilient to acute, short-term disturbance acting at local scales. Macroalgae may be more susceptible to impacts acting over longer time scales (years) and at certain times of the year, where recruitment at a regional scale could be affected. Indirect impacts affecting the numbers, distribution and community structure of herbivorous fish can also be expected to have impacts (either positive or negative) on macroalgal habitats (Vergès *et al.* 2011).

Two bioregions (Northwest Province and Central Western Transition) lie entirely in deep waters below the photic zone. Benthic macroalgae are not present hence these bioregions are not discussed further.

3.3.1 Southwest Shelf Province

Species diversity of macroalgae is very high. The south coast of the bioregion is characterised by a relatively higher diversity of temperate macro-algal species compared with the Southwest Shelf Transition. These colonise the exposed rocky shorelines and rocky reefs (DEWHA 2008a).

3.3.2 Southwest Shelf Transition

The Houtman Abrolhos have known species of benthic algae with macroalgae communities considered important in supporting a diversity of marine life.

More than 340 species of macroalgae (including 54 species of green algae, 71 species of brown algae, and 222 species of red algae) have been recorded from rock platforms around Rottneest Island (Amalfi 2006).

3.3.3 Central Western Shelf Province

Although seagrasses are the most visually dominant organisms found in Shark Bay (Walker *et al.* 1989) macroalgae are also a significant component within the system, with 161 taxa of benthic macroalgae reported from the location (Kendrick *et al.* 1990). The seagrass meadows host a large number of epiphytic algal species (Harlin *et al.* 1985, Kendrick *et al.* 1990), which numerically dominate the algal flora of the area. Eighty algal species were epiphytic on the seagrass *Amphibolis antarctica*, and of these, over half have been reported both as epiphytes and benthic algae. Benthic macroalgae can be found growing on occasional subtidal rock (limestone–sandstone) platforms and extensive sand flats that occur throughout Shark Bay, and as drift within seagrass meadows (Kendrick *et al.* 1990).

The benthic algae of Shark Bay are not predominantly temperate as is the case with the seagrasses (Walker *et al.* 1989) and seagrass epiphytes (Kendrick *et al.* 1990). The majority of taxa are either of tropical or cosmopolitan distribution. Their local distribution within Shark Bay is correlated with salinity, with benthic algal species richness lower in areas of high salinity (Kendrick *et al.* 1990).

Limestone platforms occur along the bioregion's coastline and high energy environments are likely to be dominated by large brown algae including *Ecklonia radiata* and *Sargassum* spp. with articulated coralline algae making up the understory. More diverse algae assemblages may be observed in sheltered locations such as potholes and ledges (DoF 2007).

3.3.4 Central Western Shelf Transition

Macroalgal beds along the Ningaloo coastline are generally found on the shallow limestone lagoonal platforms and occupy about 2,200 ha of the Ningaloo Marine Park and Muiron Islands Marine Management Area (CALM & MPRA 2005a). Macroalgal communities within the area have been broadly described (Bancroft & Davidson 2000). The dominant genera are the brown algae *Sargassum*, *Padina*, *Dictyota* and *Hydroclathrus* spp. (McCook *et al.* 1995).

3.3.5 Northwest Transition

Although macroalgae is present at the Rowley Shoals, it is not recognised as a key habitat component in the Mermaid Reef Marine National Nature Reserve Plan of Management (EA 2000) or the Rowley Shoals Marine Park Management Plan (DEC & MPRA 2007b).

There is nothing to suggest that the algal flora of the Rowley Shoals is unique within the Indo-Pacific (Huisman *et al.* 2009). A study of macroalgae at 16 locations at Mermaid Reef recorded over 100 species (Huisman *et al.* 2009). The algal flora recorded at the Rowley Shoals represents a small portion of the highly diverse Indo-Pacific flora. The majority of species that were recorded at Mermaid Reef had been previously recorded from mainland north-western Australia or from Indonesia (Huisman *et al.* 2009).

3.3.6 Northwest Shelf Province

Macroalgae are diverse and widespread throughout the Northwest Shelf Province. They are restricted to depths where sufficient light penetrates to the substrate and therefore tend to be most common in shallow subtidal waters down to approximately 20 m depth.

In the nearshore regions of the Pilbara, macroalgae are often a dominant component of the mosaic of benthic organisms found on hard substrates in shallow water. In these shallow waters, regular disturbance to reef habitats from seasonal changes in sedimentation/ erosion patterns and the less frequent impacts of cyclones and storms through sedimentation and scouring may substantially alter the distribution and composition of the benthic communities associated with reefs, including macroalgal habitats (BHPBIO 2011).

Macroalgae dominate shallow (<10 m) submerged limestone reefs and also grow on stable rubble and boulder surfaces in the Dampier Archipelago (CALM & MPRA 2005). Huisman and Borowitzka (2003) reported approximately 200 species of macroalgae from the Dampier Archipelago. Low relief limestone reefs that are dominated by macroalgae, account for 17% (approximately 35,460 ha) of the marine habitats within the proposed Marine Management Area (CALM 2005a).

Epibenthic dredge surveys along the coastline north of Broome identified 43 species of algae from 22 families (Keesing *et al.* 2011). The lower species diversity collected by this study is attributed to the method of collection and limited depth range (11–23 m) (Keesing *et al.* 2011).

Macroalgae occur around the numerous small offshore islands within this bioregion (including Thevenard Island, Airlie Island and Serrurier Island) associated with limestone pavement and protected areas of soft sediments. Dominant species are consistent with those described for the Dampier Archipelago (Woodside 2011).

In the shallow offshore waters of the Pilbara region, macroalgae are the dominant benthic habitat on hard substrates in both the Montebello and Barrow Islands Marine Parks and are the main primary producers (DEC & MPRA 2007a, Chevron 2010). Shallow water habitats outside these marine parks are also likely to support substantial areas of macroalgal habitat wherever conditions are suitable.

Macroalgae occupy approximately 40% of the benthic habitat area in the Montebello/ Lowendal/ Barrow Island region (CALM 2005b). At least 132 macroalgal taxa occur around Barrow Island, with most thought to be widely distributed in the tropical Indo-Pacific region (Chevron 2005).

Macroalgae monitoring around the Lowendal and Montebello Islands since 1996 (The Ecology Lab 1997, IRCE 2002 2003 2004 2006 2007, URS 2009) has found macroalgal cover and biomass to be naturally spatially and temporally variable. *Sargassum* spp. represented 70% of the macroalgal assemblage in 2009, compared to 96% in 2002 (URS 2009). *Sargassum* spp. cover as a percentage of total macroalgae cover was significantly lower in 2009 than in previous years, primarily due to an increase in filamentous algae at a number of sites (URS 2009).

3.3.7 Timor Province

Macroalgae at Ashmore Reef are estimated to cover over 2,000 ha, mostly on the reef slope and crest areas (Hale & Butcher 2013). The algal community is dominated by turf and coralline algae, with fleshy macroalgae comprising typically less than 10% of total algal cover (Skewes *et al.* 1999b).

Surveys at Scott and Seringapatam Reefs recorded over 100 species of marine algae (Huisman *et al.* 2009). The marine algal community was similar between reefs and also similar to the Rowley Shoals. Algae found at these offshore atolls forms a small subset of the Indo-Pacific algal flora, with virtually all of the species identified thus far having been previously collected from north-western Australia or from localities further north. Although further research is necessary, at present there is nothing to suggest that the macroalgae communities of these offshore atolls are unique within the Indo-Pacific (Huisman *et al.* 2009).

3.3.8 Northwest Shelf Transition

There is a lack of information regarding the marine benthic flora of north-west Western Australia and no comprehensive marine flora list exists for the region (Huisman 2004). However, about 70 algae species were collected during a survey of intertidal reefs on the central Kimberley coast in 1997 (Walker 1997).

Tropical macroalgae species are typically associated with areas of hard substrate and various types of macroalgae occur on rock platforms intermingled with coral and sponge. Abundance and biomass typically exhibit strong seasonal trends (Heyward *et al.* 2006).

The diversity and abundance of algae in the Kimberley is probably linked to the region's extreme tidal exposure and highly turbid waters, reducing light penetration and resulting in deposition of fine sediments (Walker 1997). However, the role of algae appears crucial to the growth of reefs in the highly turbid waters of the Kimberley coast and islands (Brooke 1997). *Sargassum* spp. and coralline algae may be dominant (DPAW 2013).

3.3.9 International Waters

No information on macroalgae in international waters has been identified other than for Timor-Leste waters.

Timor-Leste

See **Section 3.1.6** for a description of habitat typical of shoals and banks in the Timor Sea.

3.4 Non-Coral Benthic Invertebrates

The offshore marine environment from Busselton to the Northern Territory border is overwhelmingly dominated by soft sediment seabeds; sandy and muddy substrates, occasionally interspersed with hard substrates covered with sand veneers, and rarely, exposed hard substrate. In shallow waters, non-coral benthic invertebrates may form part of the mosaic of benthic organisms found on hard substrates, alongside macrophytes and coral colonies. As light reduces with water depth, non-coral benthic invertebrates are the dominant community, albeit at low densities.

Non coral benthic invertebrates feed by filtering small particles from seawater, typically by passing the water over a specialised filtering structure. Examples of filter feeders are sponges, soft and whip corals and sea squirts.

3.4.1 Southwest Transition

There is little available information on benthic biological communities of this bioregion however deep sea crabs, such as the champagne crab and crystal crab are known to inhabit the seafloor of the slope (DEWHA 2008b).

3.4.2 Southern Province

There is little information available on the benthic biological communities within the bioregion, however it is described as a unique region of deep-sea habitats that includes the Diamantina Fracture Zone Key Ecological Feature. The Diamantina Fracture Zone is described as structurally complex deep water environment of seamounts and numerous closely spaced troughs and ridges, which represents a unique region of deep-sea habitats including 26 endemic species of demersal fish (DSEWPaC 2012b).

3.4.3 Central Western Province

The understanding of marine life in this bioregion is mostly confined to the demersal fish on the continental slope. The exception to this is the Perth Canyon which, although poorly understood, is known to have unique seafloor features with ecological properties of regional significance.

3.4.4 Western Shelf Province

The Central Western Shelf Province occurs on the continental shelf in water depths from 0 to 100 m. Biological communities of the shelf are likely to include a sparse invertebrate assemblage of sea cucumbers, urchins, crabs and polychaetes on sand substrates. Hard substrates are likely to contain sessile invertebrates such as sponges and gorgonians. The biological communities of this bioregion share many similarities with the adjoining temperate region (DEWHA 2008a).

Stromatolites occur in Shark Bay. Although they are a microbial colony (prokaryote), and not an invertebrate (eukaryote), they are described here as a unique benthic biological community. Stromatolites are rock-like structures built by cyanobacteria. Shark Bay's stromatolites are 2,000 to 3,000 years old and are similar to life forms found on Earth up to 3.5 billion years ago. Until about 500 million years ago, stromatolites were the only macroscopic evidence of life on the planet; hence they provide a unique insight into early life forms and evolution. The stromatolites are located in the hypersaline environment of Hamelin Pool and are one of the reasons for the area's World Heritage Listing (DPAW 2009).

3.4.5 Central Western Transition

The Central Western Transition extends from the shelf break to the continental slope with some parts of the bioregion occurring on the abyssal plain. Water depths range from 80 m to almost 6,000 m. Sediments are dominated by muds and sands that decrease in grain size with increasing depth. The present level of understanding of the marine environment in this bioregion is generally poor. The harder substrate of the slope in waters of 200–2,000 m deep is likely to support populations of epibenthic fauna including bryozoans and sponges. These support larger infauna and benthic animals such as crabs, cephalopods, echinoderms and other filter feeding epibenthic organisms. In the deeper waters of the abyss, the benthic communities are likely to be sparse (DEWHA 2008a).

3.4.6 Central Western Shelf Transition

The Central Western Shelf Transition is located entirely on the continental shelf and is comprised mainly of sandy sediments in depths between 0 and 80 m (DEWHA 2008a).

Some sponge species and filter-feeding communities found in deeper waters offshore from the Ningaloo Reef appear to be significantly different to those of the Dampier Archipelago and Abrolhos Islands, indicating that the Commonwealth waters have some areas of potentially high and unique sponge biodiversity (Rees *et al.* 2004).

3.4.7 Northwest Province

The Northwest Province is located entirely on the continental slope in water depths of predominantly between 1,000–3,000 m and is comprised of muddy sediments. Despite the present poor knowledge of the benthic communities on the Exmouth Plateau, information on sediments in the bioregion indicates that benthic communities are likely to include filter feeders and epifauna. Soft-bottom environments are likely to support patchy distributions of mobile epibenthos, such as sea cucumbers, ophiuroids, echinoderms, polychaetes and sea pens.

3.4.8 Northwest Transition

The Northwest Transition is located from the shelf break (200 m water depth) over the continental slope to depths of more than 1,000 m at the Argo Abyssal Plain. Benthic habitat mapping surveys and epibenthic sampling conducted by CSIRO at the continental slope (approximately 400 m water depth) showed that all survey sites predominantly comprised soft muddy sediment, which was often riffled. Gravel, boulders and small outcrops were occasionally recorded. Epifaunal abundance was similar all sites, with epifauna limited to sparsely distributed isolated individuals. Epifauna included isolated scattered sessile crinoids, anemones, glass sponges and seapens. Occasional non-sessile fauna included urchins, prawns and other decapods, holothurians and sea stars. Modelling indicated a 1 km long beam trawl across the continental shelf (approximately 400 m water depth) would be expected to yield sparse (<20 individuals) and low diversity (<10 species) of epibenthic fauna (≥ 1 cm body size) (Williams *et al.* 2010). Deeper on the continental slope at approximately 700 m and approximately 1,000 m, habitats were similar to those observed at 400 m (Williams *et al.* 2010).

Although soft sediment habitat may appear monotonous and featureless, there is likely to be some marked differences in terms of ecological functioning and faunal composition between shelf and deep-sea areas, with the 200 m isobath widely believed to represent a key boundary (Wilson 2013, Brewer *et al.* 2007, Gage & Tyler 1992). Beyond the 200 m isobath, deep-sea benthic communities rely exclusively on the settling of organic detritus from the overlying water column as a food source. The spatial and temporal distribution of benthic fauna depends on factors such as sediment characteristics, depth and season (Wilson 2013).

Due to contrasting depths, the Rowley Shoals supports a diverse marine invertebrate community including a number of endemic species. Invertebrate species (excluding corals) at the Rowley Shoals include sponges, cnidarians (jellyfish, anemones), worms, bryozoans (sea mosses), crustaceans (crabs, lobsters, etc.), molluscs (cuttlefish, baler shells, giant clams, etc.), echinoderms (starfish, sea urchins) and sea squirts (DEC & MPRA 2007b).

3.4.9 Northwest Shelf Province

This bioregion is located primarily on the continental shelf in water depths from 0 to 200 m (DEWHA 2008a). The sandy substrates on the shelf within this bioregion are thought to support low density benthic communities of bryozoans, molluscs and echinoids (DEWHA 2008a). Sponge communities are also sparsely distributed on the shelf, but are found only in areas of hard substrate. The region between Dampier and Port Hedland has been described as a hotspot for sponge biodiversity (Hooper & Ekins 2004).

Epibenthic dredge surveys in nearshore areas around Broome covered 1,350 m² of seabed in depths between 11 and 23 m. The survey recorded 357 taxa comprising 52 sponges, 30 ascidians, 10 hydroids, 52 cnidarians (not including scleractinian corals), 69 crustaceans, 73 molluscs and 71 echinoderms. The most important species on soft bottom habitats in terms of biomass was the heart urchin (*Breyntia desorii*), whilst sponges

were the dominant fauna by biomass on hard bottom habitats. The biomass of other filter feeders, especially ascidians, soft corals, gorgonians was also high, indicating the importance of these groups in characterising hard bottom habitats.

In 2007, CSIRO conducted extensive benthic habitat mapping surveys and epibenthic fauna (living on the surface and ≥ 1 cm body size) sampling in deep waters (100–1,000 m) spanning thirteen sites between Barrow Island and Ashmore Reef running along the continental shelf and across the continental slope of the North West Shelf (Williams *et al.* 2010). At the continental shelf margin (approximately 100 m water depth) Williams *et al.* (2010) reported that similar benthic habitats occurred at each survey site across the breadth of the North West Shelf. Benthic habitats at this depth comprised a mix of riffled muddy sand (sometimes as a veneer over rocky subcrops) together with gravel to pebble-sized rubble, cobbles, boulders and some rock outcrops. Typical epifauna found at these depths included scattered isolated hydroids, sea fans and soft corals and often small sponges. Other fauna observed at some of the sites included scattered isolated sea whips, crinoids, sea pens, urchins and anemones. Epibenthic fauna along the continental shelf margin were quantified as sparse and low diversity (Williams *et al.* 2010). Modelling indicated that a trawl sample of 1 km length would generally be expected to yield approximately 80 individuals represented by 15 species (Williams *et al.* 2010) in 100 m depth waters.

At the shelf edge (approximately 200 m water depth), two sites were surveyed. Both sites were similar to the continental shelf margin, except the northern site mainly comprised coarse material. Epifauna observed at the northern site was similar at 200 m as at 100 m. At the southern site, epifauna included sparse and scattered individual soft corals, anemones, glass sponges and stalked crinoids (Williams *et al.* 2010). Modelling indicated epibenthic fauna were sparse and had low diversity, numbering approximately 20–40 individuals in a 1 km long trawl sample represented by approximately 5–10 species (Williams *et al.* 2010).

Baseline studies undertaken in nearshore areas of the Pilbara (SKM 2009, Rio Tinto 2009, BHPBIO 2011) and offshore areas around Barrow Island (Chevron 2010) have shown that filter feeder communities are a dominant component of benthic habitats in depths >10 m where reduced light appears to inhibit extensive development of hard corals and macroalgae. The pavement habitats between Barrow Island and the mainland are covered by a sediment veneer that appears to periodically move, exposing areas of pavement reef. Sessile benthic organisms that require hard substrates for attachment, such as gorgonians, are frequently seen emerging through a shallow veneer of sand. This type of substrate (sediment veneer) with sparse filter feeder communities is common throughout this area (SKM 2009, Rio Tinto 2009, BHPBIO 2011).

3.4.10 Timor Province

The Timor Province is located on the continental slope and abyssal plain and water depths range from 200 m to almost 6,000 m. Benthic studies in this bioregion are scarce, however data from the North West Slope Trawl Fishery suggests that muddy sediments in the Timor Province support significant populations of crustaceans (Brewer *et al.* 2007). Additionally, research into the demersal fish communities of the continental slope has identified the Timor Province as an important bioregion. This is due to the presence of a number of endemic fish species, and two distinct demersal community types associated with the upper slope (water depths of 225–500 m) and mid-slope (water depths of 750–1,000 m) (Last *et al.* 2005). The current understanding of the relationship between demersal fish communities and benthic environments on the continental slope is rudimentary (DEWHA 2008a).

Over 130 species of sponges have been recorded at the Ashmore Reef National Nature Reserve (Russell & Hanley 1993).

Studies of Seringapatam Reef have observed the dominant benthic habitats to include filter feeders, such as sponges, gorgonians, hydroids and seapens (Heyward *et al.* 2013 cited in ConocoPhillips 2018).

3.4.11 Northwest Shelf Transition

The Northwest Shelf Transition is located on the continental shelf with a small area extending onto the continental slope, with water depths ranging from 0–330 m. Nearshore areas may support significant filter feeding communities but these have not yet been described (Masini *et al.* 2009).

Pipeline route surveys north of the Kimberley in water depths from 10–250 m recorded a seabed largely devoid of hard substrate, with only sparse epibenthic fauna noted on the predominantly sandy substrate. Occasional epibenthic fauna (featherstars, gorgonians, bryozoans, sea urchins, hydroids and sponges) were recorded in areas where rocky substrate or outcrops were present (URS 2010a).

In contrast, benthic surveys at Echuca Shoals identified broad areas of hard substrate with substantial epibenthic fauna. The shallow shoal areas were dominated by a flat ‘reef’ platform with crinoids, sea whips, soft corals and low densities of hard corals. With increasing depth (25–80 m) soft corals and sponges became increasingly dominant. At greater depths (80–100 m) the density of epibenthic fauna decreased substantially with sea whips and sea fans became dominant (URS 2010a).

3.4.12 International Waters

No information on non-coral benthic invertebrates in international waters has been identified other than for Timor-Leste waters.

Timor-Leste

See **Section 3.1.6** for a description of habitat typical of shoals and banks in the Timor Sea.

3.5 Plankton

Plankton abundance and distribution is patchy, dynamic and strongly linked to localised and seasonal productivity (Evans *et al.* 2016). Fluctuations in abundance and distribution occur both vertically and horizontally in response to tidal cycles, seasonal variation (light, water temperature and chemistry, currents and nutrients) and cyclonic events. As a key indicator for ecosystem health and change, Plankton distribution and abundance has been measured for over a century in Australia (Richardson *et al.* 2015). The compilation of this data has been made publicly available through the Australian Ocean Data Network (Australian Ocean Data Network 2017) and has been used in the Australia State of the Environment 2016 report (Jackson *et al.* 2017) to nationally assess marine ecosystem health. According to their findings, warming ocean temperatures has extended the distribution of tropical phytoplankton species (which have a lower productivity), further south resulting in a decline in primary productivity in oceanic waters north of 35°C, especially the North West Shelf (Evans *et al.* 2016). Trends of primary productivity across Australia are however variable with the South West of Australia experiencing an increase in productivity and northern Australia experiencing no change between 2002-2016 (Evans *et al.* 2016).

Within the EMBA, peak primary productivity varies on a local and regional scale. For example, peak phytoplankton biomass in waters surrounding Broome has been observed in May with a high variability recorded in August, whereas recorded phytoplankton biomass in waters surrounding Geographe Bay has been found to peak during winter and is localised close to the coast (Bloundeau-Patissier *et al.* 2011). In general, these peaks are linked to mass coral spawning events, peaks in zooplankton and fish larvae abundance and periodic upwelling. Regional upwelling is most common close to the coast and where surface waters diverge. Despite the suppression of major upwelling along the WA coast by the Leeuwin Current, known key upwelling regions include the Ningaloo region (Hanson & McKinnon 2009) and Cape Mentelle (Pattiaratchi 2007). It is also expected that a high abundance of plankton will occur within areas of localised upwelling in the EMBA where the seabed disrupts the current flow.

In waters surrounding Indonesia, seasonal peaks in phytoplankton biomass is linked to monsoon related changes in wind. When the winds reverse direction (offshore vs. onshore), nutrient concentrations decrease/increase because of the suppression/enhancement of upwelling (National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) 2017). Annual variability of phytoplankton productivity in waters surrounding Indonesia is heavily influenced by the El Niño-Southern Oscillation climate pattern (NASA 2017). For example, phytoplankton productivity around Indonesia increases during El Niño events.




Figure 3-1: Benthic habitats from Coral Bay to Dampier

4. Shoreline Habitats

Shoreline habitats are defined as those habitats that are adjacent to the water along the mainland and of islands that occur above the LAT and most often in the intertidal zone.

The following section broadly categorises shoreline habitats as the following biological communities; mangroves, intertidal mud/sand banks, beaches, and rocky shores. These communities are discussed in **Sections 4.1- 4.5**, in terms of the 14 IMCRA v. 4.0 bioregions where relevant and where information is available.

Figure 3-1 broadly illustrate these habitats within the Northwest Shelf Province and Central Western Shelf Transition.

4.1 Mangroves

Mangroves commonly occur in sheltered coastal areas in tropical and sub-tropical latitudes (Kathiresan and Bingham 2001). Up to eight species of mangroves are found further north in the Central Western Shelf Transition region, but at most locations the dominant mangrove (in terms of area of intertidal zone occupied) is *Avicennia marina*, with the stilt rooted mangrove *Rhizophora stylosa* often occurring as thin zones of dense thickets within the broad zone of *A. marina*. Mangroves are found wherever suitable conditions are present including wave dominated settings of deltas, beach/dune coasts, limestone barrier islands and ria/archipelago shores (Semeniuk 1993). Mangrove plants have evolved to adapt to fluctuating salinity, tidal inundation and fine, anaerobic, hydrogen sulfide rich sediment (Duke *et al.* 1998).

Mangroves are important primary producers and have a number of ecological and economic values. For example, they play a key role in reducing coastal erosion by stabilising sediment with their complex root systems (Kathiresan and Bingham 2001). They are also recognised for their capacity to help protect coastal areas from the damaging effects of erosion during storms and storm surge. Mangroves are also important in the filtration of run-off from the land which helps maintain water clarity for coral reefs which are often found offshore in tropical locations (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) 2010). The intricate matrix of fine roots within the soil also binds sediments together.

Mangroves play an important role in connecting the terrestrial and marine environments (Alongi 2009). Numerous studies (e.g. Nagelkerken *et al.* 2000, Alongi 2002, Alongi 2009, Kathiresan and Bingham 2001) have shown mangroves to be highly productive and an important breeding and nursery areas for juvenile fish and crustaceans, including commercially important species (Kenyon *et al.* 2004). They also provide habitat for many juvenile reef fish species.

Mangroves also play an important ecosystem role in nutrient cycling and carbon fixing (NOAA 2010). The trees absorb carbon dioxide from the atmosphere and the organic matter such as fallen leaves forms nutrient rich sediments creating a peat layer that stores organic carbon (Alongi 2009, Ayukai 1998).

The muddy sediments that occur in mangrove forests are home to a variety of epibenthic, infaunal and meiofaunal invertebrates (Kathiresan and Bingham 2001). Crustaceans known to inhabit the mud in mangrove systems include fiddler crabs, mud crabs, shrimps and barnacles. Within the water channels of the estuary, various finfish are found from the smaller fish such as gobies and mudskippers (which are restricted to life in the mangroves) through to larger fish such as barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*) and the mangrove jack (*Lutjanus argentimaculatus*). Mangroves and their associated invertebrate-rich mudflats are also an important habitat for migratory shorebirds from the northern hemisphere, as well as some avifauna that are restricted to mangroves as their sole habitat (Garnet and Crowley 2000).

The two key State regulatory documents relevant to the protection and management of mangroves in WA are:

- + EPA (2001) Guidance Statement for Protection of Tropical Arid Zone Mangroves along the Pilbara Coastline. Guidance Statement No. 1; and
- + EPA (2016) Technical Guidance – Protection of Benthic Communities and Habitats.

4.1.1 Central Western Shelf Province

Shark Bay (in the Central Western Shelf Province) supports the southern-most area of substantial mangrove habitat in Western Australia (Rule *et al.* 2012). The mangroves of Shark Bay comprise only one species, the white mangrove *Avicennia marina*, and these trees occur around the coastline in widely dispersed and often isolated stands of varying size.

4.1.2 Central Western Shelf Transition

The regional mangroves from Exmouth to Broome (within the Central Western Shelf Transition and southern part of the Northwest Shelf Province) represent Australia's only 'tropical-arid' mangroves. The most significant stand of mangroves in the Central Western Shelf Transition is Mangrove Bay on the western side of the Cape Range Peninsula in the Ningaloo Marine Park. This small area of mangrove (37 ha) represents the largest area of mangrove habitat within the Ningaloo Marine Park and is considered extremely important from a biodiversity conservation perspective (CALM 2005).

4.1.3 Northwest Shelf Province

In the Pilbara region, the coast is a complex of deltas, limestone barrier islands and lagoons, with a variable suite of substrates. As a result, mangroves in this region form relatively diverse fringing stands, albeit often stunted in stature but at times quite extensive in area. The mangroves along the Pilbara coastline are the largest single unit of relatively undisturbed tropical arid zone habitats in the world. The area has nine mangrove taxa and a total of 632 km² mangroves (MangroveWatch 2014). As with most arid zone mangroves, Pilbara mangroves are characterised by open woodlands and shrublands that are of relatively lower productivity than the mangrove communities of the wet tropics because of the extreme water and salinity stresses that affect the intertidal zone in the Pilbara (EPA 2001). Significant stands of mangroves in the Pilbara include:

- + Exmouth Gulf: mangrove assemblages within the Bay of Rest on the western shore of the Gulf and the extensive mangrove system on the eastern shore of the Gulf that extends as a series of tidal flats and creek channels from Giralia Bay to Yanrey Flats (Astron 2014). These areas of mangrove are also designated as 'regionally significant' by the EPA (2001). The importance of these mangroves to the Exmouth Prawn Fishery is discussed in Kangas *et al.* (2006);
- + Mainland coast and nearshore islands: mangrove assemblages at Ashburton River Delta, Coolgra Point, Robe River Delta, Yardie Landing, Yammadery Island and the Mangrove Islands are all designated as 'regionally significant' by the WA EPA (2001) and the EPA will give these mangrove formations the highest degree of protection with respect to geographical distribution, biodiversity, productivity and ecological function; and
- + Montebello, Barrow and Lowendal Islands: mangrove assemblages all lay within designated reserves. The mangrove communities of the Montebello Islands are considered globally unique as they occur in lagoons of offshore islands (DEC 2007). Mangrove stands identified on Varanus Island occur on the west coast in discrete patches within the tidal and supratidal zones, at South Mangrove Beach and a small embayment (Astron 2016). Mangrove stands on Varanus Island have been identified as healthy, with similar stands also identified as present on Bridled Island to the north of Varanus Island (Astron 2016).

The mangroves of the Kimberley are particularly diverse and relatively untouched. They occupy a variety of coastal settings including rocky shores, beaches and tidal flats (Cresswell and Semeniuk 2011). They belong to the Indo-Malaysian group of Old World Mangroves centred in the Indian-Pacific area (Cresswell and Semeniuk 2011). Of the eighteen species of mangrove plants known to Australia all are represented in the Kimberley including *Avicennia marina*, *Aegialitis annulata*, *Aegiceras corniculatum*, *Rhizophora stylosa*, *Ceriops tagal*, *Osbornia octodonta*, *Bruguiera exaristata*, *Camptostemon schultzei*, *Excoecaria agallocha*, *Sonneratia alba*, and *Xylocarpus australasicus* (Pendretti and Paling, 2001; Waples, 2007). Of these, ten occur only in the Kimberley (Waples 2007). *Rhizophora stylosa* and *Avicennia marina* are the most common mangrove species along the WA Coast.

Mangroves line much of the coastal area within the western Kimberley (and within the proposed Horizontal Falls Marine Park area). They are known to line the shore in the upper reaches of Talbot Bay and to fringe

many of the islands of the Buccaneer Archipelago. There are large stands in the southern section of Dugong Bay. Kingfisher Islands has been noted to exhibit extensive mangroves where 10 species of mangrove have been recorded (Wilson 2013). Mangroves line the shores of the southern coast of Collier Bay and large tracts are found in Walcott Inlet and Secure Bay (Duke *et al.* 2010). The mangroves on the eastern side of the inlet extend about 30 km inland (Gueho 2007, Pendretti and Paling 2001, Zell 2007). Further along the coast mangroves have been identified lining much of the shores of Doubtful Bay. Mangroves are also known to line the shores of the Sale River and have been identified in George Water. For detailed maps of mangrove distribution refer to Pendretti and Paling (2001).

4.1.4 Northwest Shelf Transition

Mangroves are also a prominent feature of the North Kimberley. Fringing mangroves have developed around the edge of Prince Frederick Harbour and to the east of Cape Voltaire extending along the shores of Walmesly Bay and Port Warrender (Zell 2007). This region is humid and *Xylocarpus granatum* is localised here (Cresswell and Semeniuk 2011). The rocky coastline between Cape Pond and Cape Voltaire does not lend itself to mangrove development; instead coastal woodland grows on the shores above high water mark. Mangroves are interspersed with rocky outcrops and beaches around much of the Admiralty Gulf, Vansittart Bay and Napier Broome Bay (with extensive stands around the Drysdale estuary). Cape Londonderry marks the westerly limit of *Scyphiphora hydrophyllacea* (Duke *et al.* 2010).

Between Cape Londonderry and Cape Dussejour mangrove communities are sparse, and limited to a few small stands in the bays as this part of the coastline is dominated by high relief rocky shores which are exposed to the prevailing easterly winds (Wilson 1994). Extensive mangroves do however line the shores of the islands and rivers in the Cambridge Gulf, where 12 mangrove species have been recorded (Wilson 2013). The mangroves of the Ord River are notable in terms of their structural complexity and diversity. Fourteen species of mangrove have been recorded in the boundaries (Pedretti and Paling 2001). The mangroves of the Cambridge Gulf are important for saltwater crocodiles and mangrove bird communities. A unique type of flycatcher which is an intermediate between *Microeca flavigater* and *Microeca tormenti* has been identified in the mangroves of the Cambridge Gulf (Johnstone 1984). Additionally, the area is important for maintaining stocks of the commercially exploited species of the Red-Legged Banana Prawns (*Penaeus indicus*) (Kenyon *et al.* 2004).

4.1.5 Timor Province

Details on habitats in the Timor Province is provided in **Section 12.3.12**.

4.1.6 International Waters

Subawa's south coast in Indonesia is thought to contain the most significant stand of mangroves in the Lesser Sunda Ecoregion (DeVantier 2008). Other significant stands have been mapped at the following locations (DeVantier 2008):

- + North-west and south east Bali;
- + North coast of Nusa Lembongan;
- + North-east and east Sumba;
- + South-west, north-west, north and east Flores and Maumere;
- + Komodo Island, and nearby islands; and
- + South west, south, central and north Timor-Leste.

Several Indonesian National Parks, including Karimunjawa National Park, Kepulauan Seribu National Park, Meru Betiri National Park, Bali Barat National Park and Komodo National Park contain mangrove forest (refer to **Section 9.8**).

4.2 Intertidal Mud/Sand Flats

Intertidal mudflats form when fine sediment carried by rivers and the ocean is deposited in a low energy environment. Tidal mudflats are highly productive components of shelf ecosystems responsible for recycling organic matter and nutrients through microbial activity. This microbial activity helps stabilise organic fluxes by reducing seasonal variation in primary productivity which ensures a more constant food supply (Robertson 1988). Intertidal sand and mudflats support a wide range of benthic infauna and epifauna which graze on microscopic algae and microbenthos, such as bivalves, molluscs, polychaete worms and crustaceans (Zell 2007).

The high abundance of invertebrates found in intertidal sand and mudflats provides an important food source for finfish and shellfish which swim over the area at high tide. Mudflats have also been shown to be significant nursery areas for flatfish. During low tide, these intertidal areas are also important foraging areas for indigenous and migratory shorebirds. Mudflats also play a vital role in protecting shorelines from erosion (Wade and Hickey 2008).

4.2.1 Central Western Shelf Province

Shark Bay in the Central Western Shelf Province has a protected intertidal ecological community 'Subtropical and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh', as listed under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act). It is the northerly limit for this community and there is a transition zone for many saltmarsh species (CALM 1996). The EPBC 'Listed Advice' (DSEWPaC 2013a) reports that sediments associated with these communities generally consist of poorly-sorted anoxic sandy silts and clays, and may have salinity levels that are much higher than seawater due to evaporation. The drainage characteristics of coastal soils, along with tidal patterns and elevation, can strongly influence the distribution of flora and fauna within the Coastal Saltmarsh ecological community (DSEWPaC 2013a).

4.2.2 Northwest Shelf Province

Within Northwest Shelf Province both Roebuck Bay and Eighty Mile beach are areas with significant intertidal mudflats that are used by birds in spring and summer including species listed as threatened under the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act) or EPBC Act, or listed on the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (IUCN 2019). Intertidal mudflats are also an important feature of the Kimberley coast forming in many bays and inlets of the region (Waples 2007). The sediments that dominate these flats are generally of terrigenous origin (Wilson 2013).

The mudflats of the Kimberley coast have been shown to be important for migratory birds of the East Asian-Australasian Flyway, which is estimated to support more than five million migratory shorebirds (Barter 2002, Bennelongia Pty Ltd 2010, Wade and Hickey 2008). The migratory birds visit the mudflats of the Kimberley coast to feed on benthic organisms prior to embarking on a 10,000–15,000 km migration to their breeding grounds in the Arctic (Wade and Hickey 2008).

4.2.3 Northwest Shelf Transition

Extensive mud flats are located in Collier Bay, where the highest tidal range in Australia is found. (Wilson 2013, Zell 2007). A study by (Duke *et al.* 2010, Masini *et al.* 2009) also identified fringing mudflats around Walcott Inlet, and Doubtful Bay. The tidal mudflats of Walcott Inlet are up to 5 km wide and support a rich intertidal invertebrate community (Gibson and Wellbelove 2010). These invertebrate communities in turn also support large numbers of waterbirds (Wilson 1994).

Extensive intertidal mudflats occur in Prince Frederick Harbour and are generally backed by mangroves. The mudskipper is known to feed on these mudflats at low tide. Intertidal flats are also a feature of the estuary of the Mitchell River. The mudflats of Port Warrender are known to support 20 shorebird species and tern species and it is likely the other mudflats in the region also support high numbers of birds. The ecological significance of the wetlands of the Mitchell River has been recognised in *A Directory of Important Wetlands in Australia*. Mud and sand flats are also known to surround much of Deep Bay and Napier Broome Bay.

Intertidal sand and mudflats are a common feature of the East Kimberley. Large sand bars are present on the river mouths of the King George River, Berkeley River and Lyne River and intertidal mudflats are extensive

along the edges of the Cambridge Gulf. The estuary is wide and very shallow in some sections, and the silt and clay is continually picked up and redeposited by strong tidal currents (Robson *et al.* 2008). The tidal flats of the Ord River in the Cambridge Gulf have been listed as a wetland of international importance for the conservation of waterbirds under the Ramsar convention. The area supports a variety of fauna including shorebirds and mudskippers. Tidal mudflats are also extensive along the coast between the Cambridge Gulf and the WA-NT Border.

4.2.4 Timor Province

Details on habitats in the Timor Province is provided in **Section 12.3.12**.

4.2.5 International Waters

Although no specific areas of intertidal mud or sand flats have been identified for international waters, the southern coasts of the islands that make up the Lesser Sunda Ecoregion of Indonesia and Timor-Leste do contain numerous estuarine habitats. These estuaries are likely to contain intertidal and tidal sand and mud flats that support a range of benthic invertebrate species that in turn attract other species such as birds and fish. Such estuaries in the Lesser Sunda Ecoregion are typically mangrove lined. Within the Lesser Sunda Ecoregion, the following areas are recognised as containing estuarine habitat (Wilson *et al.* 2011):

- + Lombok;
- + Sumba;
- + Central south and central north coasts of Sumbawa;
- + North-east coast of Flores; and
- + South-west coast of Timor-Leste.

The Irebere Estuary, located on the south-eastern coast, Tilomar located on the southern coast and Nino Konis Santana located on the eastern coast of Timor-Leste has been recognised as an Important Bird Area (Birdlife International 2018).

Several National Parks in the Ecoregion also contain estuarine habitats (likely to include intertidal sand and mud flats), including Karimunjawa National Park (refer to **Section 9.8**).

4.3 Intertidal Platforms

Intertidal platforms are areas of hard bedrock and/or limestone with or without a sediment veneer of varying thickness. These platforms can vary from low to high relief and provide a habitat for a diverse range of intertidal organisms (Morton and Britton in Jones 2004, SKM 2009, 2011, Hanley and Morrison 2012) and some species of shore birds (Garnet and Crowley 2000). They are common within each of the coastal bioregions within the EMBA.

4.3.1 Southwest Shelf Province and Southwest Shelf Transition

Intertidal platforms within the Northwest and Southwest bioregions support a mosaic of fauna and flora that typically exhibits strong variability in percent cover, community composition, abundance and diversity both between and within reefs at varying spatial and temporal scales (SKM 2009, 2011). Reef platforms typically exhibit zonation of fauna and flora from upper to lower levels on the intertidal zone, with increasing diversity, abundance and biomass lower in the intertidal (Morton and Britton in Jones 2004, SKM 2009, 2010, 2011, Hanley and Morrison 2012).

On the south coast of the Southwest Shelf Province, the coastal geomorphology changes from the predominant limestone reefs to eroded Precambrian rocks. Intertidal platforms are also common along the Southwest Shelf Transition. Shark Bay in the Central Western Shelf Province has a high diversity of intertidal marine habitats as a result of the diversity of benthic substrate, salinity and the broad geographical features which influence depth, water movement and turbidity (CALM 1996, DSEWPaC 2013b). This includes extensive, limestone platforms (as well as sand flats, mud flats, salt marsh and mangroves and beaches (CALM 1996).

4.3.2 Central Western Shelf Province and Transition

Limestone pavements extend out from the beach into subtidal zones, e.g. along the Ningaloo Coast and North West Cape; and higher relief platforms (>0.5 m off high water mark) are also present at a number of headlands along the North West Cape.

4.3.3 Northwest Shelf Province and Northwest Shelf Transition

Large tidal regimes are likely to be the defining environmental factor influencing the distribution of intertidal flora and fauna in the Northwest Shelf Province and Northwest Shelf Transition. The intertidal area of the Kimberley has an extreme tidal range (hypertidal) which creates unique environmental conditions and habitats not seen else anywhere else in the world. As a remote area many of the habitats are untouched and they are recognised as having significant conservation value (DPaW 2013). DPaW (2013) reports that as a result of the monsoonal influxes of freshwater and land-derived nutrients distinctive tropical marine ecosystems have occurred.

4.3.4 International Waters

While no significant areas of intertidal platforms have been identified in international waters, the high energy southern coastlines of the islands of the Lesser Sunda Ecoregion of Indonesia (and also including Timor-Leste) are likely to have areas of exposed pavements consisting of limestone and remnant lava flows (Wilson *et al.* 2011).

4.4 Sandy Beaches

Sandy beaches are those areas within the intertidal zone where unconsolidated sediment has been deposited (and eroded) by wave and tidal action. Sandy beaches can vary from low to high energy zones; the energy experienced influences the beach profile due to varying rates of erosion and accretion. Sandy beaches are found across the EMBA and vary in length, width and gradient. They are interspersed among areas of hard substrate (e.g. sandstone) that form intertidal platforms and rocky outcrops. There is a wide range of variation in sediment type, composition, and grain size along the EMBA.

Sandy beaches provide habitat to a variety of burrowing invertebrates and subsequently provide foraging grounds for shorebirds (Garnet and Crowley 2000). The number of species and densities of benthic macroinvertebrates that occur in the sand are typically inversely correlated with sediment grain-size and exposure to wave action, and positively correlated with sedimentary organic content and the amount of detached and attached macrophytes (Wildsmith *et al.* 2005). However, the distributions of these faunas among habitats will also reflect differences in the suite of environmental variables that characterize those habitats (Wildsmith *et al.* 2005).

Sandy habitats are important for both resident and migratory seabirds and shorebirds (refer **Section 8**). While sand flats and beaches generally support fewer species and numbers of birds than mudflats of similar size; some species such as the beach thick knee (*Esacus giganteus*) a crab eater, are commonly associated with sandy beaches (Garnet and Crowley 2000). Sandy beaches can also provide an important habitat for turtle nesting and breeding (see marine turtles **Section 6.1**).

Sandy beaches also provide important nesting habitat for the six species of marine turtles that nest within WA (refer **Section 6.1**).

4.4.1 Southwest Shelf Province

The hooded plover (*Thinornis rubricollis*) is a shorebird found on several beaches within the South West capes. Hooded plovers live on sandy surf beaches and prefer beaches backed by dunes rather than cliffs (DEC 2013). In addition to this, beaches in the South West province provide a variety of socio-economic values including tourism, commercial and recreational fishing, and support other recreational activities.

4.4.2 Southwest Shelf Transition

Sandy beaches throughout the Arolhos host breeding populations of the Australian sea lion. The Arolhos represent the northernmost breeding population of Australian sea lions. The current population at the Arolhos is estimated to be approximately 90 individuals (DoF 2012).

In addition to this, beaches in the South West province provide a variety of socio-economic values including tourism, commercial and recreational fishing, and support of other recreational activities.

4.4.3 Northwest Shelf Province

Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park is one of the Australia's largest uninterrupted sandy beaches (stretching 220 km) and is an important feeding grounds for small wading birds that migrate to the area each summer, travelling from countries thousands of kilometres away (DEC 2012a). It is also a listed Ramsar wetland (see **Section 9** on Protected Areas).

4.4.4 Northwest Shelf Transition

Sand habitat within the Camden Marine Park is mainly associated with shorelines and inlets on both mainland and island shores. Some beach deposits on islands in the Kimberley are composed of skeletal carbonate sand, while they may also consist of sediments from inland areas carried to the sea by rivers and gullies (DPaW 2013). The sediment coarseness of the sand may vary, and may also be littered with dead shell, rock and/or coral material. Sea cucumbers that ingest sand and filter out microscopic food are often common in this habitat (DPaW 2013).

Generally, in this region, sand habitat is adjacent to either dense mangrove stands or rocky cliffs (DPaW 2013). Beaches can be highly influenced by tide and weather conditions. Those that overlie rock are likely to shift and be ephemeral in nature.

4.4.5 International Waters

No significant areas of sandy beaches in international waters have been identified. However, the southern coastlines of the islands of the Lesser Sunda Ecoregion of Indonesia and Timor-Leste are known to contain sandy beaches consisting of soft black sand, formed by volcanic activity. Within this region, a number of National Parks are considered important sites for turtle nesting beaches, including the Meru Betiri National Park (refer to **Section 9.8**).

4.5 Rocky Shorelines

Rocky shorelines are found across the EMBA and are often indicative of high energy areas (wave action) where sand deposition is limited or restricted (perhaps seasonally or during a cyclone). They are formed from limestone pavement extending out from the beach into subtidal zones, for example along the Ningaloo Coast and North West Cape; higher relief platforms (>0.5 m off high water mark) are also present at a number of headlands along the North West Cape. This habitat is also widespread heading south towards Perth.

Rocky shores can include pebble/ cobble, boulders, and rocky limestone cliffs (often at the landward edge of reef platforms). Rocky outcrops typically consist of hard bedrock, but some of the coastline has characteristic limestone karsted cliffs with an undercut notch. Rocky shorelines can vary from habitats where there is bedrock protruding from soft sediments to cliff like structures that form headlands. Rocky shorelines are an important foraging area for seabirds and habitat for invertebrates found in the intertidal splash zone (Morton and Britton cited in Jones 2004). For example, oyster catchers and ruddy turnstones feed along beaches and rocky shorelines (see seabirds in **Section 8.2.2**).

4.5.1 International Waters

The Lesser Sunda Ecoregion contains numerous rocky shores, particularly on the exposed southern coastlines of the islands that make up the ecoregion. Areas of rocky shores include the following (DeVantier 2008):

- + The Bukit Peninsula and Nusa Penida areas of Bali;
- + South Lombok;

- + South-east Sumbawa;
- + Nusa Tenggara;
- + Sumba; and
- + Timor-Leste, including Roti Island, Fatu and Atapupu.

5. Fish and Sharks

Fish distributions in the EMBA are discussed with respect to the IMCRA Provincial Bioregions which were defined using CSIRO’s 1996 regionalisation of demersal fish on the continental shelf to the shelf break, and their 2005 regionalisation of demersal fish on the continental slope to approximately 1,200 m depth (DEH 2006). The EPBC species listed as threatened and migratory found in the EMBA, according to the Protected Matters search (**Appendix A**), are shown in **Table 5-5-1** along with their WA conservation listing (as applicable) and discussed in **Section 5.2** below.

The following WA conservation codes apply to WA conservation significant fauna:

- + Threatened species (listed under BC Act):
 - o Critically endangered
 - o Endangered
 - o Vulnerable
- + Specially protected species (listed under BC Act):
 - o Migratory
 - o Species of special conservation interest (conservation dependant fauna)
 - o Other specially protected species
- + Priority species (non-statutory state based administrative process):
 - o Priority 1, 2 and 3: poorly-known species – possible threatened species that do not meet survey criteria or are otherwise data deficient. Ranked in order of priority. In urgent need of further survey.
 - o Priority 4: species that are adequately known, are either: rare but not threatened; meet criteria for near threatened; or delisted as threatened species within last five years for reasons other than taxonomy. Requiring regular monitoring.

A detailed account of commercial and recreational fisheries that operate in the region is provided in in the Commercial Fisheries **Section 14.7** and detailed in *The State of the Fisheries Report 2017/2018* (Gaughan *et al.*, 2019).

Table 5-5-1: EPBC listed fish and shark species in the EMBA

| Species | Conservation Status | | | Likelihood of occurrence in EMBA | BIA in EMBA |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | EPBC Act 1999 | BC Act 2016 ¹ | Other WA Conservation Code | | |
| Blind gudgeon (<i>Milyeringa veritas</i>) | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | - | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | None - No BIA defined |
| Balstons pygmy perch (<i>Nannatherina balstoni</i>) | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | - | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | None - No BIA defined |

¹ The Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018 has been transitioned under regulations 170, 171 and 172 of the Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2018 to be the lists of threatened, extinct and specially protected species under Part 2 of the BC Act.

| Species | Conservation Status | | | Likelihood of occurrence in EMBA | BIA in EMBA |
|--|------------------------|---|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| | EPBC Act 1999 | BC Act 2016 ¹ | Other WA Conservation Code | | |
| Blind cave eel (<i>Ophisternon candidum</i>) | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | - | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | None - No BIA defined |
| Black-stripe minnow (<i>Galaxiella nigrostriata</i>) | Endangered | Endangered | - | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | None - No BIA defined |
| Grey nurse shark (<i>Carcharias taurus</i>) | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | - | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | None - BIA not found in EMBA |
| Great white shark (<i>Carcharodon carcharias</i>) | Vulnerable & Migratory | Vulnerable | - | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area. | Yes – Refer to Table 5-3 |
| Whale shark (<i>Rhincodon typus</i>) | Vulnerable & Migratory | Specially protected (species otherwise in need of special protection) | - | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area. | Yes – Refer to Table 5-3 |
| Northern river shark (<i>Glyphis garricki</i>) | Endangered | - | Priority 1 | Breeding likely to occur within the area. | None - BIA not found in EMBA |
| Dwarf sawfish (<i>Pristis clavata</i>) | Vulnerable & Migratory | - | Priority 1 | Breeding known to occur within area. | Yes – Refer to Table 5-3 |
| Freshwater sawfish (<i>Pristis pristis</i>) | Vulnerable & Migratory | - | Priority 3 | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | Yes – Refer to Table 5-3 |
| Narrow sawfish (<i>Anoxypristis cuspidate</i>) | Migratory | - | - | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | None - No BIA defined |
| Green sawfish (<i>Pristis zijsron</i>) | Vulnerable & Migratory | Vulnerable | - | Breeding known to occur within area. | Yes – Refer to Table 5-3 |
| Oceanic whitetip shark (<i>Carcharhinus longimanus</i>) | Migratory | - | - | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | None - BIA not found in EMBA |
| Shortfin mako (<i>Isurus oxyrinchus</i>) | Migratory | - | - | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area . | None - No BIA defined |

| Species | Conservation Status | | | Likelihood of occurrence in EMBA | BIA in EMBA |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | EPBC Act 1999 | BC Act 2016 ¹ | Other WA Conservation Code | | |
| Longfin mako (<i>Isurus paucus</i>) | Migratory | - | - | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area. | None - No BIA defined |
| Reef manta ray (<i>Manta alfredi</i>) | Migratory | - | - | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | None - No BIA defined |
| Giant manta ray (<i>Manta birostris</i>) | Migratory | - | - | Species or species habitat known to occur within area. | None - No BIA defined |
| Porbeagle (<i>Lamna nasus</i>) | Migratory | - | - | Species or species habitat may occur within area. | None - No BIA defined |

In addition a review of conservation dependent species² identified five species of fish / sharks that may occur in the EMBA:

- + Orange roughy (*Hoplostethus atlanticus*);
- + Southern blue fin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*);
- + Southern dogfish (*Centrophorus zeehaani*);
- + School shark (*Galeorhinus galeus*); and
- + Scalloped hammerhead (*Sphyrna lewini*).

5.1 Regional Surveys

Within the EMBA a number of important geographical areas for fish exist, including Ningaloo Marine Park, Montebello/Barrow Island Marine Park, Abrolhos Marine Park and the Rowley Shoals.

5.1.1 Southwest Shelf Province

At least 150 species have been identified within the capes region as being reef-associated (Hutchins 1994 cited in DEC 2013). Of these, 77% are warm temperate species, 18% are subtropical species and 5% are tropical (DEC 2013).

The most abundant finfish species across the region identified during surveys were the Maori wrasse (*Ophthalmolepis lineolatus*), red banded wrasse (*Pseudolabrus biserialis*), McCulloch scalyfin (*Parma mccullochi*), and western king wrasse (*Coris auricularis*). The yellow headed hulafish (*Trachinops noarlungae*), black headed puller (*Chromis klunzingeri*), rough bullseye and common bullseye (*Pempheris multiradiata* and *P. klunzingeri*) were also common at Eagle Bay and Geographe Bay (Westera *et al.* 2007 cited in DEC 2013).

5.1.2 Southwest Shelf Transition

A total of 389 finfish species have been recorded at the Abrolhos (DoF 2012). The Abrolhos and their surrounding coral and limestone reef systems consist of a combination of abundant temperate macroalgae with coral reefs, supporting substantial populations of large species such as baldchin groper and coral trout. Some of the species occurring in the Abrolhos are dependent on larvae carried southward by the Leeuwin

² Conservation dependent species are listed species under the EPBC Act and are considered as part of the Commonwealth marine area.

Current from areas further north, such as Shark Bay or Ningaloo Reef. Similarly, populations of some of the species occurring at Rottneest Island are dependent on larvae generated from breeding populations at the Abrolhos (DoF 2012).

More than 20 species of sharks have been identified at the Abrolhos (DoF 2012). These sharks include:

- + Port Jackson sharks (*Heterodontus portusjacksoni*);
- + Tiger shark (*Galeocerdo cuvier*);
- + Whaler sharks (*Carcharhinus brachyurus*); and
- + Wobbegongs (*Orectolobus maculatus*).

Abrolhos waters are considered to be an important food source for sharks, due to the resident fish populations. Various species of rays have been recorded at the Abrolhos. These include the manta ray and the white spotted eagle ray (DoF 2012).

5.1.3 Central Western Province

The Perth Canyon appears to be an important ecological feature attracting krill and fish aggregations that in turn attract larger species such as predatory fish and pygmy blue whales (DSEWPaC 2012). Demersal slope fish assemblages in this bioregion are characterised by high species diversity. Scientists have described 480 species of demersal fish that inhabit the slope of this bioregion and 31 of these are considered endemic to the bioregion. Demersal fish on the slope in this bioregion in particular have high species diversity compared with other more intensively sampled oceanic regions of the world. Below 400 m water depth demersal fish communities are characterised by a diverse assemblage where relatively small, benthic species (grenadiers, dogfish and cucumber fish) dominate.

5.1.4 Central Western Shelf Province

The Central Western Shelf Province is located near Shark Bay and is the northern limit of a transition region between temperate and tropical marine fauna. Of the 323 fish species recorded from Shark Bay, 83% are tropical species with 11% warm temperate and 6% cool temperate species (CALM 1996).

5.1.5 Central Western Shelf Transition

Ningaloo is the largest fringing coral reef in Australia, forming a discontinuous barrier that encloses a lagoon that provides habitat for many fish species. Gaps that regularly intercept the main reef line provide channels for water exchange with deeper, cooler waters (CALM 2005). Ningaloo Reef is a well known biodiversity hotspot, supported by the direct link between the reef and the ancient reef systems found closer to the equator by the Leeuwin Current (Kemps 2010). Approximately 500 species of fish have been reported to inhabit the reef (Kemps 2010). The Piercam project from inception in 2005 to 2013, identified 165 fish species from 50 families at the Point Murat Navy Pier alone, located within the Ningaloo Marine Park (Whisson & Hoschke 2013).

Seasonal aggregations of whale sharks occur at Ningaloo each year (CALM 2005). There is limited data available on species diversity and distribution of sharks in the Ningaloo area as chondrichthyan biodiversity for the area has not been specifically recorded. Despite this, it is possible that the Ningaloo Reef Marine Park contains the largest and most diverse collection of sharks on the Australian coastline (Stevens *et al.* 2009). It was estimated in 2009 by Last and Stevens (cited in Stevens *et al.* 2009), that there are likely to be 118 species of chondrichthyan fishes occurring in the park. Of these species, 59 are shark species predicted to be found at depths of less than 200 m (Stevens *et al.* 2009).

The lagoon at Ningaloo Reef appears to provide a juvenile habitat and nursery area for shark species such as the grey nurse shark (*C. taurus*), black-tipped reef shark (*Carcharhinus melanopterus*) and other reef sharks (Carcharhinidae) (Stevens *et al.* 2009). A study conducted on the distribution and abundance of elasmobranches in the Ningaloo Marine Park, in 2009, tracked the movements of six key shark species. Species such as *Galeocerdo cuvier* (tiger shark) and *Sphyrna mokarran* (great hammerhead) were found to remain for brief time periods in the park, in contrast to other species found to re-visit the Ningaloo area (Stevens

et al. 2009). Several species of sharks within Ningaloo have been identified as key indicator species for the health of the system (Stevens *et al.* 2009).

Barrow Island includes Biggada Reef, an ecologically significant fringing reef, and the Montebello Islands comprise over 100 islands, the majority of which are rocky outcrops; providing fish habitat (DEC 2007a). Within the Barrow/Montebello region, at least 380 fish species have been recorded (de Lestang & Jankowski 2017). Most species exhibit wide distributions, with local species composition closely resembling that of the Dampier Archipelago. Coral habitats support the most diverse fish community in this region, comprising, among others, many species of damselfish (Pomacentridae), parrotfish (Scaridae), snappers (Lutjanidae) and groupers (Serranidae) (de Lestang & Jankowski 2017). The region's macroalgal habitats are considered important nursery areas for a diverse range of fish species, such as emperor (Lethrinidae), threadfin bream (Nemipteridae), tuskfish (Labridae) and trevally (Carangidae) (de Lestang & Jankowski 2017).

Ramsar wetlands within the area (e.g. Eighty Mile Beach and Ashmore Reef National Nature Reserve) can also provide important habitat for fish (see **Section 9.2**).

5.1.6 Central Western Transition

The biological communities of the Central Western Transition are thought to be distinctive owing to the proximity of deep oceans areas to the continental slope and shelf, resulting in close interaction between pelagic species of the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and those of the slope and shelf (DEWHA 2008a).

The present level of understanding of the marine environment in this bioregion is generally poor. The diversity of fish and cephalopod species changes with depth, generally decreasing species numbers with increasing depth. The demersal slope fish bioregionalisation identified some endemism in communities in this bioregion (Last *et al.* 2005), however, it is lower than other areas of the North-west Marine Region (DEWHA 2008a).

Benthic-pelagic fish, such as deep-water snappers (e.g. *Paracaesio* spp. and *Eletis* spp.), hatchetfish (*Argyroteleus* spp.), dragonfish (*Melacosteus* spp.), viperfish (*Chauliodus* spp.) and a number of eels species migrate between the benthic and pelagic systems, forming an important link between these systems (DEWHA 2008a).

Transient fish species through the Central Western Transition bioregion include southern bluefin tuna (migrating to and from spawning grounds), broadbill swordfish (*Xiphius gladius*), bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*), yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) and striped marlin (*Tetrapturus audax*). Pelagic sharks also range across the bioregion following schools of pelagic fish (DEWHA 2008a).

5.1.7 Northwest Shelf Province and Northwest Province

The demersal zone of the North West Shelf (which includes the Northwest Province and Northwest Shelf Province) hosts a diverse assemblage of fish of tropical Indo-west Pacific affinity, with up to 1,400 species known to occur, with a great proportion of these occurring in shallow coastal waters (Allen *et al.* 1988). Last *et al.* (2005) and Fox and Beckley (2005) described the North-west Province as being characterised by a high level of endemism and species diversity. Certain areas of increased biological activity (e.g. Glomar Shoals) attract demersal fish species such as Rankin cod, red emperor, crimson snapper and spangled emperor that are exploited by commercial trawl and trap fisheries (Sainsbury *et al.* 1992, Fletcher and Santoro 2013).

The shallow waters (<30 m) of the Dampier Archipelago, in the Northwest Shelf Province, support a characteristic and rich fish fauna of 650 species from a variety of habitats including coral and rocky reefs, mangroves, sand and silty bottoms and sponge gardens (Hutchins 2003 & 2004). The majority of these species are found over hard substrate, but significant numbers are also found from soft bottom and mangrove areas. The outer islands of the Archipelago are inhabited predominantly by coral reef fishes whereas inner areas close to the mainland are occupied by mangrove and silty-bottom dwellers. The inter-island passages have a relatively rich soft bottom fauna. EPBC Act protected fish species within the Dampier Archipelago include the dwarf sawfish (*Pristis clavata*), freshwater sawfish (*Pristis pristis*) and narrow sawfish (*Anoxypristis cuspidate*).

The fish fauna of the archipelago is less diverse than the islands of the West Pilbara to the south, but are closely related to the fauna at the offshore Montebello Islands (Hutchins 2004). The fish fauna of Barrow/ Lowendal/ Montebello Islands are widespread throughout the Indo-west Pacific region.

Within the southern portion of the Northwest and Northwest Shelf Province, small pelagic fish (e.g. lantern fishes) comprise a third of the total fish biomass (Bulman 2006) and inhabit a range of marine environments, including inshore and continental shelf waters. These small pelagic fish play an important ecological role, not only for this particular area but for the entire NWMR. They feed on pelagic phytoplankton and zooplankton and provide a food source for a wide variety of predators such as marine mammals, sharks, large pelagic fish and seabirds, thus providing a vital link between many of the region's trophic systems (Mackie *et al.* 2007).

Pelagic fish in the Northwest and Northwest Shelf Province include tuna, mackerel, herring, pilchard and sardine, and game fish such as marlin and sailfish (BBG 1994, Brewer *et al.* 2007), some of which are targeted by both commercial and recreational fishers. In particular, adult and juvenile southern bluefin tuna are thought to migrate through the North West Shelf on their way to and from spawning grounds in the north-eastern Indian Ocean. However, the timing of these migrations and the use of regional currents to assist their migration is still unclear. The oceanic waters of the North West Shelf are also believed to provide important spawning and nursery grounds for a number of large pelagic fish species. **Table 5-2** provides a summary of the key fish species and likely timing of their spawning in the region (DoF correspondence).

5.1.8 Northwest Shelf Transition

Creek systems, mangroves and rivers, and ocean beaches within this region provide habitat for a variety of species including barramundi, tropical emperors, mangrove jack, trevallies, sooty grunter, threadfin and cods (Fletcher and Santoro 2013). The offshore atolls and the continental shelf waters in the Northwest Shelf Transition are also geographically important for fish species. They support species of recreational and commercial interest, including saddle-tail snapper and red emperor, cods, coral and coronation trout, sharks, trevally, tuskfish, tunas, mackerels and billfish (Gaughan *et al.* 2019).

The Rowley Shoals within the Northwest Shelf Transition comprise three oceanic reef systems approximately 30–40 km apart, namely Mermaid Reef, Clerke Reef and Imperieuse Reef. The Shoals are thought to provide a source of invertebrate and fish recruits for reefs further south and as such are regionally significant (DEC 2007b). See **Section 11** on State Marine Parks and Nature Reserves for further details on important geographical areas for fish.

5.1.9 Northwest Transition

The Northwest Transition bioregion may support sparse populations of benthic-pelagic fish and cephalopods in low densities. Pelagic fish species likely to be present include grenadiers and hatchetfish (*Argyropelecus* spp.) as well as transient populations of highly mobile pelagic fish. Adult and juvenile southern bluefin tuna are thought to migrate through this bioregion on their way to and from spawning grounds in the north-eastern Indian Ocean (DEWHA 2008a).

The slope habitat of this bioregion is associated with important populations of demersal fish species and supports the second richest demersal fish assemblage nationally (Last *et al.* 2005). Over 508 fish species have been identified on the slope in this area and 64 of these species are endemic. The high diversity and endemism of the demersal fish fauna indicates important interactions between physical processes and trophic structures in this bioregion. For more information on the slope habitat for fish and sharks, refer to **Section 10.1.18**.

The Rowley Shoals within the Northwest Transition comprise three oceanic reef systems approximately 30–40 km apart, namely Mermaid Reef, Clerke Reef and Imperieuse Reef. The Shoals are thought to provide a source of invertebrate and fish recruits for reefs further south and as such are regionally significant (DEC 2007b).

5.1.10 Timor Province

The diversity of demersal fish assemblages on the continental slope in the Timor Province (as well as the Northwest Transition and the Northwest Province) is high compared to elsewhere along the Australian continental slope (DSEWPaC 2012). Elements of the Timor Province are not well known, due to limited survey data in the northern limits of the region. The province is geographically extensive and includes 418 fish species, 64 of which are endemic to the region (Last *et al.* 2009). Key indicator species include *Bembrops nelsoni*, *Bythaelurus* sp., *Halicmetus* sp., *Malthopsis* spp, *Neobythites australiensis*, *Nobythites bimaculatus*, *Neobythites macrops*, *Neobythites soelae*, *Parapterygotrigla* sp., *Physiculus roseus* (Last *et al.* 2005).

Scott and Seringapatam Reefs are regionally important for the diversity of their fauna, including 558 fish species (Department of the Environment (DoE) 2014). Scott Reef has enormous habitat diversity and is considered a hot spot for fish, with five endemic species (DoE 2014). Scott Reef has biogeographic significance due to the presence of species which are at or close to the limits of their geographic ranges, including fish known previously only from Indonesian waters such as cardinalfish, azure damselfish (*Chrysoptera hemicyanea*), comb-tooth blenny (*Escnius schroederi*) and several Gobiids (DoE 2014).

The diversity of fish at Ashmore Reef is also higher than other comparable reefs in the bioregion with over 760 species recorded (Russell *et al.* 2005, Kospartov *et al.* 2006). The majority of fish species are shallow water, benthic taxa that typically inhabit depths down to 100 m and are widely distributed throughout the Indo-West Pacific (Russell *et al.* 2005). The most species rich groups are gobies (Gobiidae), damselfishes (Pomacentridae), wrasses (Labridae), cardinal fishes (Apogonidae), moray eels (Muraenidae), butterflyfishes (Chaetodontidae), and rockcods and groupers (Serranidae) (Allen 1989, Russell *et al.* 2005).

5.1.11 Christmas Island Province

The Christmas Island Province is in deep, offshore waters (2,200 m – 6,000 m depth range). These waters provide habitat for pelagic finfish species including tuna (*Thunnus sp.*) and wahoo (*Acanthocybium solandri*), and some demersal species such as ruby snapper (*Etelis carbunculus*).

Table 5-2: Spawning and aggregation times of key commercially caught fish species within the North West Shelf

| Species | | Month | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Species Common Name | Species Latin Name | J | F | M | A | M | J | J | A | S | O | N | D |
| Blacktip shark | <i>Carcharhinus tilstoni</i> and <i>C. limbatus</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Goldband snapper | <i>Pristipomoides multidens</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rankin cod | <i>Epinephelus multinotatus</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Red emperor | <i>Lutjanus sebae</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sandbar shark | <i>Carcharhinus plumbeus</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spanish mackerel | <i>Scomberomorus commerson</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pink snapper | <i>Pagrus auratus</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baldchin groper | <i>Choerodon rubescens</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Crystal (snow) crab | <i>Chaceon spp.</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| King George whiting | <i>Sillaginodes punctate</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spangled emperor | <i>Lethrinus nebulosus</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pearl oyster | <i>Pinctada maxima</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Blue-spotted emperor | <i>Charaxes cithaeron</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dusky whaler | <i>Carcharhinus obscurus</i> | May occur throughout the year | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Whiskery shark | <i>Furgaleus macki</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gummy shark | <i>Mustelus antarcticus</i> | Peak pupping periods unknown | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fish | other species | Timing of spawning activity varies between species | | | | | | | | | | | |

5.2 Fish Species

Four species of fish listed as Threatened under the EPBC Act (**Table 5-5-1**) were identified in the Protected Matters search (**Appendix A**):

- + Balston's pygmy perch (*Nannatherina balstoni*);
- + Black-stripe minnow (*Galaxiella nigrostriata*);
- + Blind gudgeon (*Milyeringa veritas*); and
- + Blind cave eel (*Ophisternon candidum*).

In addition the Barrow cave gudgeon (*Milyeringa justitia*) has been identified as relevant threatened species under the BC Act. This species is not listed under the EPBC Act.

5.2.1 Blind Gudgeon, Balston's Pygmy Perch and Blind Cave Eel

Both the blind gudgeon (*Milyeringa veritas*) and blind cave eel (*Ophisternon candidum*) are known to occur on the Cape Range Peninsula (in the Central Western Shelf Transition) (Humphreys and Feinberg 1995), and a related species of the genus *Milyeringa*, the Barrow cave gudgeon (*Milyeringa justitia*) has also been noted at Barrow Island (Humphreys 1999). The Barrow cave gudgeon is listed as Vulnerable under the WA BC Act. They have been recorded in waters ranging from fresh to seawater at depths of up to 33 m in caves and 50 m in wells and bores. Both species are restricted to either caves or groundwater (Humphreys and Blyth 1994) and are the only two vertebrate animals known from Australia for this (DoE 2014a).

The Balston's pygmy perch distribution ranges from Moore River (75 km north of Perth) at the northern extent to Two Peoples Bay near Albany. This freshwater species is typically associated with shallow waters near riparian vegetation and is considered to have low salinity tolerance, making it unlikely to occur in estuarine conditions (DoEE, 2016).

5.2.2 Black-stripe minnow

The black-stripe minnow inhabits coastal wetlands of south-west WA between Augusta and Albany. During summer when ephemeral pools dry out, individuals burrow into the moist soil below to aestivate until the rains return in autumn (Bray and Gomon 2017). The Conservation Advice for black-striped minnow in Australia (2018) updated the species listing to endangered status. The species is not expected to occur in significant numbers in marine and coastal environments in the EMBA due to their freshwater distribution, but they may be vulnerable to inflows from permanent rivers and streams (DoE 2018).

5.2.3 Syngnathids

The EPBC Protected Matters search also identified 72 'listed marine species of fish which are largely from the family Syngnathidae (**Appendix A**). Syngnathids are a group of bony fishes that include seahorses, pipefishes, pipehorses and sea dragons, although taxonomic uncertainty still surrounds a number of these (DEWHA 2012a). Knowledge about the distribution, abundance and ecology of syngnathids is limited, although no species is currently listed as threatened or migratory.

5.3 Sharks, Rays and Sawfishes

The diversity of marine environments in the waters within the NWMR has led to a rich fauna of cartilaginous fish (sharks and rays). Of the approximately 500 shark species found worldwide, 19% (94) are found in the region (DEWHA 2008a). The EPBC Act Protected Matters search (**Appendix A**) identified four species of shark, and three species of sawfishes listed as threatened within the search area between south west WA and NT border (**Table 5-5-1**), including:

- + Grey nurse shark (*Carcharias taurus*);
- + Great white shark (*Carcharodon carcharias*);
- + Northern river shark (*Glyphis garricki*);

- + Whale shark (*Rhincodon typus*);
- + Dwarf sawfish (*Pristis clavata*);
- + Freshwater sawfish (*Pristis pristis*); and
- + Green sawfish (*Pristis zijsron*).

In addition, the oceanic whitetip shark (*Carcharhinus longimanus*), the narrow sawfish (*Anoxypristis cuspidate*), two species of ray, the reef manta ray (*Manta alfredi*) and giant manta ray (*Manta birostris*), the porbeagle (*Lamna nasus*) and the longfin (*Isurus paucus*) and shortfin (*Isurus oxyrinchus*) mako sharks are listed as migratory within the search area (**Table 5-5-1**).

The Biologically Important Areas (BIAs) for relevant species detailed above are illustrated in **Figure 5-1**, **Figure 5-2** and **Figure 5-3**.

5.3.1 Grey Nurse Shark

The grey nurse shark (*Carcharias taurus*) is listed as vulnerable under the EPBC Act and the BC Act, and may be found within the EMBA. In Australia, the grey nurse shark is now restricted to two populations, one on the east coast from southern Queensland to southern NSW and the other is predominantly found around the southwest coast of WA, but has been recorded on the North West Shelf (DEWHA 2012b, Pogonoski *et al.* 2002). It is believed that the east and west coast populations do not interact and ongoing research will probably confirm that the populations are genetically different (Last and Stevens 2009).

While it is thought that grey nurse sharks have a high degree of site fidelity, some studies (McCauley 2004) suggest that grey nurse sharks move between different habitats and localities, exhibiting some migratory characteristics. In certain areas grey nurse sharks are vulnerable to localised pressure due to high endemism. The status of the west coast population is poorly understood although they are reported to remain widely distributed along the WA coast and are still regularly encountered, albeit with low and indeterminate frequency (Chidlow *et al.* 2006).

Grey nurse sharks are often observed hovering motionless just above the seabed, in or near deep sandy-bottomed gutters or rocky caves, and in the vicinity of inshore rocky reefs and islands (Pollard *et al.* 1996). The species has been recorded at varying depths, but is generally found between 15–40 m (Otway & Parker 2000). Grey nurse sharks have also been recorded in the surf zone, around coral reefs, and to depths of around 200 m on the continental shelf (Pollard *et al.* 1996). Grey nurse sharks feed primarily on a variety of teleost and elasmobranch fishes and some cephalopods (Gelsleichter *et al.* 1999, Smale 2005).

No grey nurse shark BIAs were identified in the EMBA.

5.3.2 Great White Shark

The great white shark (*Carcharodon carcharias*) is listed as vulnerable and migratory under the EPBC Act and is listed as vulnerable under the BC Act. In Australia, great white sharks have been recorded from central Queensland around the south coast to northwest WA, but may occur further north on both coasts (Last and Stevens 2009). There are no known aggregation sites for white sharks in the North-west marine region, but the species has been recorded in North West Shelf waters during humpback migrations (DEWHA 2012b). They are widely but not evenly distributed in Australian waters and are considered uncommon to rare compared to most other large sharks (CITES 2004).

Study into great white shark populations is difficult (Cailliet 1996) given the uncertainty about their movements, emigration, immigration and difficulty in estimating the rates of natural or fishing mortality.

Great white sharks can be found from close inshore around rocky reefs, surf beaches and shallow coastal bays to outer continental shelf and slope areas (Pogonoski *et al.* 2002). They also make open ocean excursions and can cross ocean basins (for instance from South Africa to the western coast of Australia and from the eastern coast of Australia to New Zealand). Great white sharks are often found in regions with high prey density, such as pinniped colonies (DEWHA 2009). The relevant great white shark BIAs in the EMBA are detailed in **Table 5-3** and is shown on **Figure 5-1** (DoEE 2019b).




Figure 5-1: Biologically important area – great white shark

5.3.3 Northern River Shark

The northern river shark (*Glyphis garricki*) is listed as endangered under the EPBC Act and is one of the rarest species of shark in the world. Adults only recorded in marine habitats, whereas neonates, juveniles and subadults recorded in freshwater, estuarine and marine environments. It is also listed as a Priority 1 conservation species in WA.

The associated recovery plan (Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan, Commonwealth of Australia 2015) identifies adults and juveniles are being known in WA marine waters north of Derby. Pupping and juvenile sharks are identified as known to occur in Cambridge Gulf and pupping is also identified as likely to occur in King Sound. Under the associated recovery plan all areas where aggregations of individuals have been recorded displaying biologically important behaviours such as breeding, foraging, resting or migrating are considered critical to the survival of the species unless population data suggests otherwise.

5.3.4 Whale Shark

The whale shark (*Rhincodon typus*) is listed as vulnerable and migratory under the EPBC Act and is also listed as a specially protected species under the BC Act as a species of special conservation interest (conservation dependent fauna). The species is also classified as vulnerable on the World Conservation Union's Red List of Threatened Species (Norman 2005) and are protected under the WA *Conservation and Land Management Act 1984* and WA *Fish Resources Management Act 1994*.

The whale shark is the largest of all fish (>18 m; Borrell *et al.* 2011; Chen *et al.* 1997, Compagno 2001) and is a migratory species with worldwide geographical ranges between 30° N and 35° S (Last and Stevens 2009). There is a general lack of knowledge on many aspects of whale shark biology, including definitive migration patterns. The species is oceanic but often forms aggregations in coastal waters at sites throughout the tropics. Typically, these aggregations are seasonal and often coincide with specific productivity events that are a focus of feeding for the animals. For example, whale sharks aggregate to feed on dense swarms of copepods in Baja California (Clark and Nelson 1997), fish spawn off Belize (Heyman *et al.* 2001) and red crab larvae at Christmas Island (Meekan *et al.* 2009).

One of the best known aggregation sites for whale sharks occurs along the central and NW coast of Western Australia from March to July and is focused at Ningaloo Reef, within the Exmouth region. The small size and general absence of female whale sharks from Ningaloo Reef suggests that the region may be important for feeding rather than breeding (Norman and Stevens 2007). The timing of this aggregation coincides with a pulse in seasonal productivity that results in large abundances of tropical krill on which these filter feeding sharks feed (Meekan *et al.* 2006, Jarman and Wilson 2004). At Ningaloo Reef, whale sharks are often found swimming close to the reef front, within a few kilometres of the shore and in water of less than 50 m deep. A tourist industry based on snorkelling with the sharks in this area has developed over the last 15 years and is now estimated to be worth over \$4 million annually to the local economy of the Ningaloo region.

Estimates of the size of the population participating in the Ningaloo aggregation are between 300 and 500 individuals (Meekan *et al.* 2006), but research indicates that the Ningaloo population of whale sharks is declining (Bradshaw *et al.* 2007).

Whale sharks are known to be highly migratory with migrations of 13,000 km being recorded (Eckert and Stewart 2001). Research on the migration patterns of whale sharks in the western Indian Ocean, and isolated and infrequent observations of individuals, indicate that a small number of the Western Australian population migrate through the North West Shelf. Wilson *et al.* (2006) tagged 19 whale sharks in 2003 and 2004, with long term movements patterns successfully recorded from six individuals. All travelled northeast into the Indian Ocean after departing Ningaloo Reef, with one tracked to Ashmore Reef and another to Scott Reef. Whale sharks are occasionally observed from Santos' offshore oil and gas facilities on the North West Shelf (Harriet Alpha and Stag platforms). In general, migration along the northern WA coastline broadly follows the 200 m isobath and typically occurs between July and November (DoE 2015).

A biologically important area for whale sharks is located in northern WA, offshore of the Pilbara and Kimberley coastline, and broadly follows the 200 m isobath. The relevant whale shark BIAs in the EMBA are detailed in **Table 5-3** and is shown on **Figure 5-2**.

DBCA has a wildlife management program to manage whale shark interactions in reserves - *Whale shark management with particular reference to Ningaloo Marine Park, Wildlife Management Program no. 57 (2013)*.




Figure 5-2: Biologically important area – whale shark

5.3.5 Dwarf Sawfish

The dwarf sawfish (*Pristis clavata*) is listed as vulnerable under the EPBC Act and thought to be restricted to Australia (DoE 2014b). It is also listed as a Priority 1 conservation species in WA. The Australian distribution of the dwarf sawfish is considered to extend across northern Australia and along the Kimberley and Pilbara coasts (Last and Stevens 2009, Stevens *et al.* 2005). However, the majority of records of dwarf sawfish in WA have come from shallow estuarine waters of the Kimberley region which are believed to be nursery (pupping) areas, with immature juveniles remaining in these areas up until three years of age (Thorburn *et al.* 2004). Adults are known to seasonally migrate back into inshore waters (Peeverell 2007); although it is unclear how far offshore the adults travel as captures in offshore surveys are very uncommon. The species' range is restricted to brackish and salt water (Thorburn *et al.* 2007).

The recovery plan identifies pupping as known to occur in the King Sound, the Cambridge Gulf and 80 Mile Beach, with pupping likely to occur identified at a number of locations along the Pilbara and Kimberly Plan (Commonwealth of Australia, 2015). Under the associated recovery plan all areas where aggregations of individuals have been recorded displaying biologically important behaviours such as breeding, foraging, resting or migrating are considered critical to the survival of the species unless population data suggests otherwise.

The relevant sawfish BIAs in the EMBA are detailed in **Table 5-3** and are shown on **Figure 5-3**.

5.3.6 Freshwater and Green Sawfish

The freshwater sawfish (*Pristis pristis*) and green sawfish (*Pristis zijsron*) are both listed as vulnerable under the EPBC Act. The freshwater sawfish is listed as a Priority 3 conservation species in WA, while the green sawfish is listed as Vulnerable under the BC Act.

Both species are wider-ranging than the dwarf sawfish and are also found in the Indo-west Pacific (DoE 2014c, DoE 2014d). Important areas for sawfishes include King Sound, and the Fitzroy, Durack, Robinson and Ord rivers for the freshwater sawfish; and Cape Keraudren for the green sawfish (Stevens *et al.* 2008, Thorburn *et al.* 2007, 2008).

Sawfishes generally inhabit inshore coastal, estuarine and riverine environments. The freshwater sawfish has been recorded in north-west Australia from rivers (including isolated water holes), estuaries and marine environments (Stevens *et al.* 2005). Newborns and juveniles primarily occur in the freshwater reaches of rivers and in estuaries, while most adult freshwater sawfish have been recorded in marine and estuarine environments (Peeverell 2005, Thorburn *et al.* 2007). It is believed that mature freshwater sawfish enter less saline waters during the wet season to give birth (Peeverell 2005) and freshwater river reaches play an important role as nursery areas (DoE 2014c).

The green sawfish has predominantly been recorded in inshore coastal areas, including estuaries and river mouths with a soft substrate, although there have been records of sawfish offshore in depths up to 70 m (Stevens *et al.* 2005). This species does not occupy freshwater habitats (DoE 2014d).

Short-term tracking has shown that green sawfish appear to have limited movements that are tidally influenced, and they are likely to occupy a restricted range of only a few square kilometres within the coastal fringe, with a strong association with mangroves and adjacent mudflats (Stevens *et al.* 2008). Sawfishes feed close to the benthos on a variety of teleost fishes and benthic invertebrates, including cephalopods, crustaceans and molluscs (Compagno & Last 1999, Last & Stevens 2009, Pogonoski *et al.* 2002, Thorburn *et al.* 2007, 2008).

Baseline surveys undertaken for Chevron's Wheatstone project identified green sawfish habitat and nursery area for juveniles within the north-eastern lagoon of the Ashburton Delta and in Hooley Creek near Onslow. Distribution of sawfish in these creeks is spatially and seasonally variable due to changing tidal and environmental conditions. However, they typically return to inshore waters to breed and pup during the wet season (i.e. January) (Chevron 2011).

The relevant sawfish BIAs in the EMBA are detailed in **Table 5-3** and are shown on **Figure 5-3**.




Figure 5-3: Biologically important areas – sawfish

5.3.7 Narrow Sawfish

The narrow sawfish (*Anoxypristis cuspidata*) is listed as migratory under the EPBC Act. It is a marine or marginal (brackish water) species found from inshore waters to a depth of 40 m (Compagno *et al.* 2006). Though details of its ecology are not precisely known, it probably spends most of its time on or near the bottom in shallow coastal waters and estuaries. A study showed the narrow sawfish to be the most abundant amongst the sawfish sampled in the Gulf of Carpentaria (Peverell, 2005) which holds some consistency with the offshore distribution of the species as shown by a study of Northern Prawn Fishery by-catch. Peverell (2005) also used catch data of offshore surface net fisheries to conclude that narrow sawfish also inhabit the mid-water column and can thus be described as a benthopelagic animal. The narrow sawfish is known to form aggregations of mature females during the months of October to November. Its Australian distribution is unclear though it is most common in the Gulf of Carpentaria with southward ranges extending to Broad Sound in Queensland and the Pilbara Coast (circa 116°E), Western Australia (Last & Stevens 2009).

5.3.8 Giant Manta Ray / Reef Manta Ray

The giant manta ray appears to be a seasonal visitor to coastal or offshore sites. Giant manta rays are often seen aggregating in large numbers to feed, mate, or clean. Sightings of these giant rays are often seasonal or sporadic but in a few locations their presence is a more common occurrence. This species is not regularly encountered in large numbers and, unlike some other rays do not often appear in large schools (>30 individuals) when feeding. Overall, they are encountered with far less frequency than the smaller manta species, despite having a larger distribution across the globe (IUCN 2019).

The giant manta ray (*Mobula birostris*) occurs in tropical, sub-tropical and temperate waters of the Atlantic, Pacific and Indian Oceans. They are commonly sighted along productive coastlines with regular upwelling, oceanic island groups and particularly offshore pinnacles and seamounts. The giant manta ray is commonly encountered on shallow reefs while being cleaned or is sighted feeding at the surface inshore and offshore. It is also occasionally observed in sandy bottom areas and seagrass beds (IUCN 2019).

The reef manta ray (*Mobula birostris*) has a circumtropical and sub-tropical distribution, existing in the Pacific, Atlantic and Indian Oceans. Within this broad range, however, actual populations appear to be sparsely distributed and highly fragmented. This is likely due to the specific resource and habitat needs of this species.

Overall population size is unknown, but subpopulations appear, in most cases, to be small (about 100–2,000 individuals). A proportion of the individuals in some populations undertake significant coastal migrations (IUCN 2019). Since the species is migratory it is possible that individuals may be encountered in the operational area, however, given that they generally do not aggregate in large groups, high numbers are not expected to be encountered during the activities.

5.3.9 Oceanic Whitetip Shark

The oceanic whitetip shark (*Carcharhinus longimanus*) is listed as migratory under the EPBC Act. The oceanic whitetip shark is widespread throughout tropical and subtropical waters of the world (30° N to 35° S) (IUCN 2020). They are an oceanic and pelagic species that regularly occurs in waters of 18 to 28°C, usually >20°C (IUCN 2020). Within Australian waters, they are found from Cape Leeuwin (Western Australia) through parts of the Northern Territory, down the east coast of Queensland and New South Wales to Sydney (Last and Stevens 2009). They are usually found in surface waters, though can reach depths of >180 m (Castro *et al.* 1999). They have occasionally been recorded inshore but are more typically found offshore or around oceanic islands and areas with narrow continental shelves (Fourmanoir 1961, Last and Stevens 1994).

5.3.10 Shortfin Mako and Longfin Mako Sharks

The shortfin mako and longfin mako sharks are listed as migratory under the EPBC Act. The longfin mako is widely distributed but rarely encountered oceanic shark that ranges from Geraldton around the

north coast to at least Port Stephens in New South Wales (DSEWPaC 2012). The shortfin mako is an oceanic and pelagic species, although they are occasionally seen inshore. They are found throughout temperate seas but are rarely found in waters colder than 16°C.

5.3.11 Porbeagle (Mackerel Shark)

The porbeagle (mackerel shark) (*Lamna nasus*) is listed as migratory under the EPBC Act. The porbeagle is wide-ranging, typically occurring in oceanic waters off the continental shelf, although they occasionally enter coastal waters (Francis *et al.* 2002 cited in DoE 2014e). The porbeagle is known to undertake seasonal migrations, although the timing and details of these migratory movements are not well understood (Saunders *et al.* 2011 cited in DoE 2014e).

5.4 Biologically Important Areas / Critical Habitat – Fish

BIAs are spatially defined areas where aggregations of individuals of a species are known to display biologically important behaviour such as breeding, foraging, resting or migration. BIAs are identified by DAWE, however, they have no legal status, but are designed to assist decision making under the EPBC Act. They are not designed to identify protected areas, but may inform such processes. **Table 5-3** below provides an overview of BIAs in the EMBA for fish.

The DAWE may make recovery plans for threatened fauna listed under the EPBC Act. The EPBC Act requires that ‘habitat critical to the survival of the listed threatened species’ is identified in recovery plans, and summary of relevant recovery plans is listed in **Section 13.2**. BIAs may overlap these sites, but may be identified for other purposes. DAWE state that the criteria used to identify ‘habitat critical to the survival of the species’ are more complex than those used to identify BIA. Specifically, the Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan (DoEE 2015) cites that “*all areas where aggregations of individuals have been recorded displaying biologically important behaviour such as breeding, foraging, resting or migrating, are considered critical to the survival of the species unless population survey data suggests otherwise*”.

In addition, both the EPBC Act and WA BC Act and associated regulations (2018) provide for the listing of critical habitat - habitat ‘critical to the survival of the threatened species’. To date no critical habitat in WA has been listed under either Act.

Table 5-3: Biologically important areas - fish

| Species | Scientific name | Aggregation area and use | Specific geographic locations for species |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Great white shark | <i>Carcharodon carcharias</i> | Foraging – associated with pinniped colonies in the mid-west and south west and waters off Bremer Bay | Waters off pinniped colonies throughout the South-west Marine Region Waters off Bremer Bay |
| Whale shark | <i>Rhincodon typus</i> | Foraging (high density prey) – Ningaloo Reef Foraging – Wider Ningaloo Region | Ningaloo Marine Park and adjacent Commonwealth waters Northward from Ningaloo along 200 m isobath |
| Dwarf sawfish | <i>Pristis clavata</i> | Foraging – Eighty Mile Beach, King Sound, Camden Sound Nursing - Eighty Mile Beach, King Sound, Fitzroy River and May Robinson River Pupping – Eighty Mile Beach, King Sound, Fitzroy River and May Robinson River | Eighty Mile Beach Camden Sound - eastern shore Fitzroy River Mouth, May and Robinson River - tidal tributaries King Sound (inshore waters) |

| Species | Scientific name | Aggregation area and use | Specific geographic locations for species |
|--------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| | | Juvenile – King Sound, Fitzroy River and May Robinson River | |
| Freshwater sawfish | <i>Pristis pristis</i> | Nursing – King Sound Foraging – King Sound, Roebuck Bay, Eighty Mile Beach Pupping – Roebuck Bay, Eighty Mile Beach Juvenile – Roebuck Bay | Eighty Mile Beach King Sound - tidal tributaries Roebuck Bay |
| Green sawfish | <i>Pristis zijsron</i> | Pupping – Cape Keraudren, Eighty Mile Beach, Roebuck Bay, Willie Creek, Cape Leveque Foraging - Cape Keraudren, Roebuck Bay, Cape Leveque, Camden Sound Nursing - Cape Keraudren, Eighty Mile Beach, Ashburton River and Hooley Creek near Onslow | Eighty Mile Beach Camden Sound Cape Keraudren Cape Leveque Roebuck Bay Willie Creek Ashburton River Hooley Creek |

6. Marine Reptiles

Thirty-three species of listed marine reptiles under the Commonwealth EPBC Act are known to occur in Australian waters in the EMBA, according to the Protected Matters search (**Appendix A**). An examination of the species profile and threats database (DoEE 2019) showed that some listed reptile species are not expected to occur in significant numbers in the marine and coastal environments in the EMBA due to their terrestrial distributions. Hence, these species are not discussed further.

Of the remaining reptile species identified in the Protected Matters search (**Appendix A**), eight are listed as threatened and seven are listed as migratory. These species are shown in **Table 6-1** along with their WA conservation listing (as applicable)³. BIAs within the EMBA area discussed in **Table 6-3**.

Table 6-1: EPBC listed marine reptile species in the EMBA

| Species | Conservation Status | | | Likelihood of occurrence in EMBA | BIA in EMBA |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| | EPBC Act 1999 | BC Act 2016 | Other WA Conservation Code | | |
| Green turtle (<i>Chelonia mydas</i>) | Vulnerable Migratory | Vulnerable | - | Breeding known to occur within area | Yes – refer to Table 6-3 |
| Flatback turtle (<i>Natator depressus</i>) | Vulnerable Migratory | Vulnerable | - | Breeding known to occur within area | Yes – refer to Table 6-3 |
| Hawksbill turtle (<i>Eretmochelys imbricata</i>) | Vulnerable Migratory | Vulnerable | - | Breeding known to occur within area | Yes – refer to Table 6-3 |
| Loggerhead turtle (<i>Caretta caretta</i>) | Endangered Migratory | Endangered | - | Breeding known to occur within area | Yes – refer to Table 6-3 |
| Olive ridley turtle (<i>Lepidochelys olivacea</i>) | Endangered Migratory | Endangered | - | Foraging feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area | Yes – refer to Table 6-3 |
| Leatherback turtle (<i>Dermodochelys coriacea</i>) | Endangered Migratory | Vulnerable | - | Foraging feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area | Yes – refer to Table 6-3 |
| Short-nosed seasnake (<i>Aipysurus apraefrontalis</i>) | Critically Endangered | Critically Endangered | - | Species or species habitat known to | None - No BIA defined |

³ An overview of WA fauna conservation codes is provided in **Section 5** (fish and sharks).

| Species | Conservation Status | | | Likelihood of occurrence in EMBA | BIA in EMBA |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | EPBC Act 1999 | BC Act 2016 | Other WA Conservation Code | | |
| | | | | occur within area | |
| Leaf-scaled seasnake (<i>Aipysurus foliosquama</i>) | Critically Endangered | Critically Endangered | - | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |

6.1 Marine Turtles

Six species of marine turtle occur in, use the waters, and nest on sandy beaches, in WA. These are the green turtle (*Chelonia mydas*), flatback turtle (*Natator depressus*), hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*), loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*), olive ridley turtle (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) and leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*) (**Table 6-1**).

These six species are listed on the EPBC Act List of Threatened Species as either 'endangered' or 'vulnerable' and all six species are also listed as 'migratory'. They are also listed as threatened species under the BC Act.

A summary of the different habitat types used during the various life stages of marine turtle species identified in the EMBA is given in **Table 6-2**.

Table 6-2: Summary of habitat types for the life stages of the six marine turtle species in the EMBA (DSEWPaC, 2012b)

| Life Stage | | Green turtle | Flatback turtle | Hawksbill turtle | Loggerhead turtle | Olive ridley turtle | Leatherback turtle |
|----------------|--------------|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| Post-hatchling | | Open ocean pelagic habitats (poorly studied for Australian populations) | Coastal waters (poorly studied for Australian populations) | Open ocean pelagic habitats (poorly studied for Australian populations) | Pelagic (poorly studied for Australian populations) | Pelagic (poorly studied for Australian populations) | Pelagic (no data for Australian populations) |
| Adult | Mating | Offshore from nesting beaches. | Currently unknown for North West Shelf region. | Offshore from nesting beaches. | Little is known for North West Shelf region but expected to occur either en-route or adjacent to nesting beaches. | Not recorded within North West Shelf region. | Not recorded within North West Shelf region. |
| | Nesting | Typically, high energy, steeply sloped beaches with deep sand and deep water approach. | Typically, low-energy beaches that are narrow with a low to moderate slope. Beach approach obstructed by broad intertidal mud or limestone platforms. | Typically beaches close to nearshore coral reefs and sediment comprised of coarse sand and coral rubble. | Poorly studied for North West Shelf region by generally prefer high energy, relatively narrow, steeply sloped, coarse-grained beaches. | Not recorded within North West Shelf region. | Not recorded within North West Shelf region. |
| | Internesting | Shallow coastal waters within several kms of nesting beach. Inter-nesting buffers of 20 km identified around all nesting habitats. | Shallow nearshore waters within 5-60 km of nesting beach. Inter-nesting buffers of 40-60 km identified around all nesting habitats. | Shallow coastal waters within several kilometres of nesting beach. Inter-nesting buffers of 20 km identified around all nesting habitats. | Shallow coastal waters within several kilometres of nesting beach. Inter-nesting buffers of 20 km identified around all nesting habitats. | Not recorded within North West Shelf region. Inter-nesting buffers of 20 km identified around all nesting habitats. | Not recorded within North West Shelf region. |
| | Foraging | Neritic habitats associated with seagrass and algae, and mangrove habitats. | Turbid, shallow inshore waters, subtidal, soft-bottomed habitats of the continental shelf. | Subtidal and intertidal coral and rocky reef habitats of the continental shelf. | Subtidal and intertidal coral and rocky reefs, seagrass and deeper soft-bottomed habitats of the continental shelf. | Many feed within continental shelf waters, however it is not known if others are pelagic, as with the east Pacific population. | Mostly pelagic but will forage close to shore and over continental shelf in temperate waters. |

6.1.1 Loggerhead Turtle

The loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*) has a worldwide distribution, living and breeding in subtropical to tropical locations (Limpus 2008b). Breeding aggregations in Australia occur on both the east coast (Queensland and NSW) and the west. The annual nesting population in Western Australia is thought to be 3,000 females annually (Baldwin *et al.* 2003), and this is considered to support the third largest population in the world (Limpus 2008b). Loggerhead turtles have one genetic breeding stock within Western Australia (Commonwealth of Australia 2017a).

The WA distribution of sandy beach nesting areas extends from Shark Bay to the southern area of the North West Shelf, with occasional late summer nesting crawls recorded as far north as Barrow and Varanus Islands and the Lowendal and Rosemary Islands (DSEWPaC 2012d). Major nesting locations include the Muiron Islands, the Ningaloo Coast south to Carnarvon and the islands around Shark Bay, which includes Dirk Hartog Island, one of the principal nesting and interbreeding sites in WA (Limpus 2008). The Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (2017) identifies the Muiron Islands (as a principal rookery), and all waters within a 20 km radius as habitat critical to the survival of loggerhead turtles (Commonwealth of Australia 2017a).

Estimates of up to 5,000 female loggerhead turtles have been predicted within the Ningaloo Marine Park and Muiron Islands Marine Management Area (Waayers 2010). Earlier surveys found higher proportions of nesting loggerheads in the southern areas of the reserves (CALM 2005a). Aerial surveys conducted in 2000 and 2001 in the Exmouth region recorded only 12 sightings in Commonwealth waters and these turtles were most likely loggerheads (BHP 2005). In a survey commissioned by Santos around the islands in the Exmouth Region, loggerhead turtles were recorded nesting on Flat Island north of the Exmouth Gulf which was the first time they had been recorded in that location (Astron 2014). Loggerhead nesting and breeding occurs from November to March, with a peak in late December/early January (Limpus 2008b).

Foraging areas are widespread for loggerhead turtle populations and migrations from nesting to feeding grounds can stretch thousands of kilometres, including feeding grounds as far north as the Java Sea of Indonesia for the WA population (Limpus 2008b). Shark Bay has been identified as an important foraging habitat for loggerhead turtles (Commonwealth of Australia 2017a). Loggerhead turtles are carnivorous and feed primarily on benthic invertebrates from depths of up to approximately 50 m to near shore tidal areas including areas of rocky and coral reef, muddy bays, sand flats, estuaries and seagrass meadows (Limpus 2008b).

Figure 6-1 illustrates the BIAs and habitat critical (draft) for loggerhead turtles (as defined in the Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (Commonwealth of Australia 2017a).




Figure 6-1: Biologically Important Areas and Habitat Critical – Loggerhead Turtle

6.1.2 Green Turtle

Australian population of green turtles is estimated to be approximately 70,000 and is divided into seven genetically distinct breeding aggregations. The species is widespread and abundant in WA waters with an estimated 20,000 individuals occurring, arguably the largest population in the Indian Ocean (Limpus 2008a). There are three distinct breeding stocks in WA waters which include: the North west Shelf stock, the Scott-Browse stock and the Ashmore Stock (Commonwealth of Australia 2017a).

The North west Shelf population is one of the largest in the world and the most significant rookery is the western side of Barrow Island (Prince 1994, Limpus 2008a). Other principal rookeries include the Lacepede Islands, Montebello Islands, Dampier Archipelago, Browse Island and North West Cape (Prince 1994, Limpus 2008a, DSEWPac 2012b). See **Table 6-3** for a complete list.

Surveys by Waayers (2010) within the Ningaloo Marine Park and Muiron Islands Marine Management Area estimated up to 7,500 female green turtles used these areas. In 2014, Santos commissioned a survey of the islands in the Exmouth Region which found that North and South Muiron Islands were significant nesting sites for green turtles with over 100 green turtles nesting overnight on one beach at North Muiron Island (Astron 2014). The green turtle is also known to breed in large numbers in the dunes above the extensive beaches found on Serrurier Island, with counts indicating the island supports the second largest rookery in the Pilbara (Oliver 1990).

Lower density green turtle nesting has also been recorded on Jurabi coast, Thevenard Island, Lowendal Islands and in Exmouth Gulf (Limpus 2008a). Only low numbers of green turtles have been observed nesting on Varanus Island, as well as Airlie Island (Pendoley Environmental 2011). From monitoring undertaken in 2016/17 by Santos on Varanus Island; three green turtles were observed to nest over a four week tagging effort (Astron 2017).

Green turtle nesting abundance and timing fluctuates significantly from year to year depending on environmental variables, locality and food availability (Pendoley Environmental 2011). Nesting of green turtles has been recorded from August to March on Serrurier Island (Woodside 2002), from December to March along coast adjacent to Ningaloo (CALM 2005a) and from October to February on Varanus Island (Pendoley Environmental 2011). On Barrow Island, mating aggregations may commence from October with peak nesting from December to January, with hatchlings emerging through summer and early autumn. However, nesting on Barrow Island has been recorded all year round (Chevron 2005 and 2008, Pendoley 2005). Nesting on the Scott Reef-Sandy Islet and Browse Island has been observed all year round with peaks between December and January (Commonwealth of Australia 2017a). The re-nesting period for female green turtles is approximately five years (Hamann *et al.* 2002).

Green turtles spend the first five to ten years of their life drifting on ocean currents, before moving to reside in shallower benthic habitats, including tropical coral and rocky reefs and seagrass beds. Green turtles have been known to migrate more than 2,600 km between feeding and breeding grounds (Limpus 2008a).

Green turtles are omnivores, mainly feeding in shallow benthic habitats on seagrass and/ or algae, but are also known to feed on sponges, jellyfish and mangroves (Limpus 2008a). Green turtles are unlikely to forage or dwell within deeper offshore waters due to the water depths; however, they may occasionally migrate through it.

Figure 6-2 illustrates the BIAs and habitat critical (draft) for green turtles (as defined in the Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (Commonwealth of Australia 2017a).




Figure 6-2: Biologically Important Areas and Habitat Critical – Green Turtle

6.1.3 Hawksbill Turtle

Hawksbill turtles (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) have a global distribution throughout tropical and sub-tropical marine waters. The Western Australian stock is concentrated on the North West Shelf (Dampier Archipelago) (Limpus 2009a), and is considered to be one of the largest hawksbill populations remaining in the world. The estimated number of nesting hawksbill turtles in WA waters is between 2,000 and 4,500 individuals (Morris 2004).

In WA, their nesting range is relatively small and extends from the Muiron Islands to the Dampier Archipelago, a distance of approximately 400 km. The most significant breeding areas, that support hundreds of nesting females annually, are around sandy beaches within the Dampier Archipelago, Montebello Islands, Lowendal Islands and Barrow Island (Pendoley 2005, Limpus, 2009a).

The largest known nesting area for the North West Shelf population is the sandy shoreline of Rosemary Island, within the Dampier Archipelago, particularly on the north-western side of the Island. It is believed that the Rosemary Island rookery may support up to 1,000 nesting females annually (Limpus 2009). Low density nesting is also known from Barrow Island, Airlie Island, Muiron Islands and North West Cape/ Ningaloo coast (Cape Range) (Limpus 2009a). Nesting hawksbills have also been found on NE Regnard Island and SW Regnard Island, confirming the Regnard Islands as hawksbill rookeries (Pendoley Environmental 2009).

The hawksbill turtle nesting population within the Exmouth region is also considered important as the populations in Western Australia represent the largest remaining population in the Indian Ocean (CALM 2005). The best estimate of numbers within the Ningaloo Marine Park and Muiron Islands Marine Management Area is between 20–700 individuals (Waayers 2010).

A snapshot survey of Varanus Island and the Lowendal Islands conducted for Santos during October 2012 found the five most frequented beaches by hawksbills, based on the track counts, were Beacon Island ($n=43$), Parakeelya ($n=41$), Kaia ($n=40$), Rose ($n=30$) and Pipeline ($n=28$). Results of the October 2012 three-day track census program showed that Beacon Island also hosted the highest daily number of overnight emergences by hawksbills and is therefore an important nesting beach for hawksbill turtles (Pendoley Environmental 2013).

On Varanus Island, hawksbill turtle nesting activity is predominantly distributed on the island's east coast, including Pipeline, Harriet, and Andersons beaches (Pendoley Environmental 2019). Individual hawksbill turtles appear to show a strong fidelity to these beaches, often returning to the same beach to nest within the season (Pendoley Environmental 2019). Between 1986 and 2019, a total of 571 individual hawksbill turtles were tagged on Varanus Island.

Nesting is reported to occur between October and February in WA (Commonwealth of Australia 2017a). Hawksbill turtles have been observed breeding on the North West Shelf between July and March with peak nesting activity around the Lowendal Islands between October and December (Limpus 2009a).

Female hawksbills skip annual breeding opportunities (Kendall & Bjorkland 2001), presumably due to high energy demands of breeding (Chaloupka & Prince 2012).

Individuals may migrate up to 2,400 km between their nesting and foraging grounds (DSWEPaC 2012a). Satellite tracking of nesting turtles on Varanus Island (32 km) and Rosemary Island has shown adult turtles to feed between 50 and 450 km from their nesting beaches (DSWEPaC 2012a).

Adults tend to forage in tropical tidal and sub-tidal coral and rocky reef habitat where they feed on an omnivorous diet of sponges, algae, jelly fish and cephalopods (DSWEPaC 2012a). Hawksbill turtles are unlikely to spend significant time within offshore waters as it is too deep to act as a feeding ground. However, it is likely they may migrate through those areas.

Figure 6-3 illustrates the BIAs and habitat critical (draft) for hawksbill and olive ridley turtles (as defined in the Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (Commonwealth of Australia 2017a).




Figure 6-3: Biologically Important Areas and Habitat Critical – Hawksbill and Olive Ridley Turtle

6.1.4 Flatback Turtle

The flatback turtle (*Natator depressus*) has an Australasian distribution, with all recorded nesting beaches occurring within tropical to sub-tropical Australian waters. One third of the total breeding for the species occurs in Western Australia (WA) (Limpus, 2007). The management of the flatback turtle in Australia is broken up into five stocks currently described around Australia; eastern Queensland, Arafura Sea, Cape Domett, South-west Kimberley and Pilbara stocks (Commonwealth of Australia 2017). The Pilbara stock nests throughout the North West Shelf and is characterised by summer nesting (October to March), and the northern stock at Cape Domett breeds mainly in winter (July to September) (Commonwealth of Australia 2017a). The South-west Kimberley stock is also characterised by summer nesting.

The southern WA nesting population of flatback turtles occurs from Exmouth to the Lacepede Islands off the Kimberley coast (DSEWPaC 2012c). On the North West Shelf, significant rookeries are centred on Barrow Island especially the east coast beaches (DSEWPaC 2012b).

Montebello Islands, Thevenard Island, Varanus Island, the Lowendal Islands, King Sound and Dampier Archipelago are also significant rookeries (Pendoley 2005, Limpus 2007, Pendoley Environmental 2011). Nesting is also widespread along the mainland beaches from Mundabullangana on the Pilbara coast north, including Cemetery Beach near Port Hedland, Eighty Mile Beach and to Broome (Limpus 2007, DSEWPaC 2012b).

Long term monitoring of flatback turtles nesting in the Port Hedland area, specifically at Cemetery Beach and Pretty Pool Beach, was undertaken between 2004 and 2014. Monitoring results indicated the main nesting season of flatback turtles in the area was between mid-October and January, which is consistent with other rookeries in the Pilbara region including Barrow Island, Mundabullangana, Karratha and Onslow (Waayers and Stubbs 2016). The onset of the nesting season appears to be relatively consistent each year and is thought to be associated with the southern movement of warmer sea surface temperatures along the northern WA coast.

There have been occasional records of nesting by flatback turtles on the Jurabi Coast and Muiron Islands (CALM 2005). During turtle surveys for Santos, WA flatback turtle nesting was recorded on Bessieres Islands (Astron 2014), Serrurier, Flat, Table and Round Island in previous surveys (Pendoley Environmental 2009). Flatback turtle tracks have been seen on Forty Mile beach and evidence of flatback nesting was recorded on the same beach the next day (Pendoley Environmental 2009). Previously the status of the flatback population(s) was undetermined and although not well quantified, it was estimated to be many thousands of females (Limpus 2007). However, Pendoley *et al.* (2014) reported both Barrow Island and Mundabullangana flatback turtles as substantial reproductive populations with 4,000 and 3,500 turtles tagged at each location between 2006/2006 and 2010/2011. Cemetery beach at Port Hedland had approximately 350 turtles were tagged over two seasons of monitoring (2009/2010 and 2011/12).

Satellite tracking of adult (female) flatback turtles shows they use a variety of inshore and offshore marine areas off the east and west coasts of Barrow Island. Females inter-nest close to their nesting beaches, typically in 0–10 m of water (Chevron 2008). However, flatback turtles also travel approximately 70 km and inter-nest in shallow nearshore water off the adjacent mainland coast, before returning to Barrow Island to lay another clutch of eggs. The average inter-nesting period is 13–16 days.

From long-term tagging studies on Varanus Island and Pendoley's observations, it appears that the nesting season for flatback turtles peaks in December and January with subsequent peak hatchling emergence in February and March. Flatbacks have been observed to nest on Varanus Island between November and February (Chevron 2008, Pendoley Environmental 2011 & 2013). Population monitoring of flatback turtles on Varanus Island, calculated from 16 seasons, indicates a mean population estimate of 226 (+/- 97). Modelled flatback turtle populations have shown a slight decline from 2008/09 to 2016/17, which is considered to be part of fluctuations in the natural cycle (Astron 2017). Flatback turtles tend to nest on all beaches on Varanus Island (Astron 2017). Flatback hatching and emergence success is noted as higher compared to that reported for other Western Australian rookeries (Pendoley *et al.* 2014; cited Astron 2017).

Unlike other sea turtles, the flatback turtle lacks a wide oceanic dispersal phase and adults tend to be found in soft sediment habitats within the continental shelf of northern Australia (DSEWPaC 2012b). Little information is known on the diets of flatback turtles (DSEWPaC 2012b), however, they are believed to forage on primarily soft-bodied invertebrates (Commonwealth of Australia 2017a).

Figure 6-4 illustrates the BIAs and habitat critical (draft) for flatback turtles (as defined in the Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (Commonwealth of Australia 2017a).




Figure 6-4: Biologically Important Areas and Habitat Critical – Flatback Turtle

6.1.5 Leatherback Turtle

The leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*) has the widest distribution of any marine turtle, and can be found from tropical to temperate waters throughout the world (Márquez 1990). There are no major leatherback turtle centres of nesting activity that have been recorded in Australia, although scattered isolated nesting (one to three nests per annum) occurs in southern Queensland and the Northern Territory (Limpus and McLachlin 1994).

There have been several records of leatherback turtles off the coast of WA, but no confirmed nesting sites (Limpus 2009c). Turtle observations have mainly occurred south of the North West Shelf area and in open waters (>200 m deep) (Limpus 2009c). Due to the lack of nesting sites around Australian coastal waters, it is presumed that leatherback turtles observed in Australian waters are migrating from neighbouring countries to utilise feeding grounds in Australia (Limpus 2009c).

The leatherback turtle will feed at all levels of the water column and is carnivorous feeding mainly on pelagic, soft-bodied marine organisms such as jellyfish, which occur in greatest concentrations in areas of upwelling or convergence (DSEWPaC 2012d). The leatherback turtle is a highly pelagic species with adults only going ashore to breed.

No leatherback turtle BIAs or habitat critical (draft) are found within the EMBA.

6.1.6 Olive Ridley Turtles

Olive ridley turtles (*Lepidochelys olivacea*) are the least common turtle species encountered with critical nesting habitat occurring near Vulcan Island, Darcy Island, Prior Point and Llanggi and Cape Leveque (Commonwealth of Australia 2017). This species forages within the shallow benthic habitats of northern Western Australia and is thought to feed primarily on gastropods and small crabs within the benthic, soft-bottomed communities of the continental shelf (Limpus 2009). Olive Ridley turtles forage as far south as the Dampier Archipelago-Montebello Islands.

BIAs for this endangered species are known to occur in the vicinity of Joseph Bonaparte Depression (DSEWPaC 2012b, Commonwealth of Australia 2017a). See **Figure 6-3** for identified olive ridley turtle BIAs and critical habitats (draft) within the EMBA (as defined in the Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (Commonwealth of Australia 2017a).

6.2 Seasnakes

Storr *et al.* (1986) estimate nine genera and 22 species of sea snakes occur in WA waters, with 25 listed marine seasnake species being recorded in the search area (**Appendix A**). Little is known of the distribution of individual species, population sizes or aspects of their ecology. Seasnakes are essentially tropical in distribution, and habitats reflect influences of factors such as water depth, nature of seabed, turbidity and season (Heatwole and Cogger 1993). Seasnakes are widespread throughout waters of the North West Shelf in offshore and nearshore habitats. They can be highly mobile and cover large distances or they may be restricted to relatively shallow waters and some species must return to land to eat and rest. In the north-west region of Western Australia, no BIAs have been designated for seasnakes. However, both Ashmore Reef and Cartier Island are characterised for both a high density and high diversity of seasnakes (DSEWPaC 2012b).

Two species of seasnakes listed as threatened under the EPBC Act were identified in the Protected Matters search within the EMBA (**Appendix A**):

- + Short-nosed seasnake (*Aipysurus apraefrontalis*); and
- + Leaf-scaled seasnake (*Aipysurus foliosquama*).

6.2.1 Short-nosed Seasnake

The short-nosed seasnake (*Aipysurus apraefrontalis*) is listed as critically endangered under the EPBC Act and the BC Act. It is a fully aquatic, small snake and is endemic to WA. It has been recorded from Exmouth Gulf, WA to the reefs of the Sahul Shelf, in the eastern Indian Ocean. This species is believed to show strong

site fidelity to shallow coral reef habitats in less than 10 m of water, with most specimens having been collected from Ashmore and Hibernia reefs (Minton & Heatwole 1975, Guinea and Whiting 2005).

The species prefers the reef flats or shallow waters along the outer reef edge in water depths to 10 m (McCosker 1975, Cogger 2000). The species has been observed during daylight hours, resting beneath small coral overhangs or coral heads in 1–2 m of water (McCosker 1975). Guinea and Whiting (2005) reported that very few short-nosed seasnakes moved even as far as 50 m away from the reef flat and are therefore unlikely to be expected in high numbers in offshore, deeper waters.

6.2.2 Leaf-scaled Seasnake

The leaf-scaled seasnake (*Aipysurus foliosquama*) is listed as critically endangered under the EPBC Act and the BC Act. It occurs in shallow water (less than 10 m in depth), in the protected parts of the reef flat, adjacent to living coral and on coral substrates (DoE 2014). The species is found only on the reefs of the Sahul Shelf in WA, especially on Ashmore and Hibernia Reefs (Minton and Heatwole 1975). The leaf-scaled seasnake forages by searching in fish burrows on the reef flat (DoE 2014).

6.3 Crocodiles

The salt-water crocodile (*Crocodylus porosus*) is a migratory species under the EPBC Act and is also listed as a specially protected species (other specially protected fauna) under the BC Act. In WA, the species is found in most major river systems of the Kimberley, including the Ord, Patrick, Forrest, Durack, King, Pentecost, Prince Regent, Lawley, Mitchell, Hunter, Roe and Glenelg Rivers. The largest populations occur in the rivers draining into the Cambridge Gulf and the Prince Regent River and Roe River systems. There have also been isolated records in rivers of the Pilbara region, around Derby near Broome and as far south as Carnarvon on the mid-west coast (DEC 2009a).

6.4 Biologically Important Areas/Habitat Critical – Marine Reptiles

Table 6-3 provides an overview of BIAs in the EMBA for marine reptiles, as identified by the DAWE (Commonwealth) and critical habitats identified in associated recovery plans. The DAWE may make recovery plans for threatened fauna listed under the EPBC Act. The EPBC Act requires that ‘habitat critical to the survival of the listed threatened species’ is identified in recovery plans, relevant recovery plans are listed in **Section 13.2**⁴.

In addition, both the EPBC Act and WA BC Act and associated regulations (2018) provide for the listing of habitat critical - habitat ‘critical to the survival of the threatened species. To date no habitat critical in WA has been listed under either Act.

⁴ Further background information on BIA and identification of critical habitat in recovery plans is provided in **Section 5.4**.

Table 6-3: Biologically important areas/critical habitats and geographic locations - reptiles

| Species | Scientific name | Aggregation area and use | BIAs within EMBA | Habitat Critical within EMBA |
|-------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|
| Loggerhead turtle | <i>Caretta caretta</i> | Nesting, migration, foraging and internesting – Islands and coastline of the Kimberley region and islands of the North West Shelf, Ningaloo coast and Jurabi coast | Cohen Island De Grey River to Bedout Island Dirk Hartog Island Gnarloo Bay James Price Point Lowendal Island Montebello Island Muiron Island Ningaloo Coast and Jurabi coast Rosemary Island Western Joseph Bonaparte Depression | Exmouth and Ningaloo coast Gnaraloo Bay and beaches Shark bay, all coastal and island beaches out the to the northern tip of Dirk Hartog Island |
| Green turtle | <i>Chelonia mydas</i> | Nesting, migration foraging, aggregation, mating, basking and internesting – Offshore islands in the Browse Basin, North West Shelf and Kimberley/Pilbara coastlines Mating/nesting – Dampier Archipelago Basking – Middle Island | Ashmore Reef Barrow Island Browse Island Cartier Island Cassini Island Coral reef habitat west of the Montebello group. Extends the entire length of Montebellos Dampier Archipelago (islands to the west of the Burrup Peninsula) De Grey River area to Bedout Island Delambre Island Dixon Island Greens - inshore tidal and shallow subtidal areas around Barrow Island Hawksbills - shallow water coral reef and artificial reef (pipeline) habitat James Price Point Joseph Bonaparte Gulf Lacepede Island Legendre Island, Huay Island Middle Is. West Coast Barrow Island West Coast and North Coast Montebello Island - Hermite Island, NW Island, Trimouille Island Montebello Islands Montgomery Reef | Mainland east of Mary island to mainland adjacent to Murrara Island including all offshore islands Ashmore Reef and Cartier Reef Browse Island Scott Reef Adele Island Lacepede Island Dampier Archipelago Barrow Island Montebello Islands Serrier Island and Thevenard Island Exmouth Gulf and Ningaloo Coast |

| Species | Scientific name | Aggregation area and use | BIAs within EMBA | Habitat Critical within EMBA |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | <p>North and South Muiron Island</p> <p>North Turtle Island</p> <p>North West Cape</p> <p>Scott Reef</p> <p>Scott Reef - Sandy Islet</p> <p>Seringapatam Reef</p> <p>String of islands between Cape Preston and Onslow, inshore of Barrow Is</p> | |
| Hawksbill turtle | <i>Eretmochelys imbricata</i> | <p>Nesting, migration, mating, foraging and interesting – Offshore islands in the Browse Basin, North West Shelf and Kimberley/Pilbara coastlines</p> <p>Mating/ nesting/ interesting – Lowendal group, Montebello Islands</p> | <p>Ah Chong and South East Island</p> <p>Ashmore Reef</p> <p>Barrow Island</p> <p>Cartier Island</p> <p>Dampier Archipelago (islands to the west of the Burrup Peninsula)</p> <p>De Grey River area to Bedout Is</p> <p>Delambre Island</p> <p>Delambre Island (and other Dampier Archipelago Islands)</p> <p>Dixon Island</p> <p>Greens - inshore tidal and shallow subtidal areas around Barrow Island</p> <p>Hawksbills - shallow water coral reef and artificial reef (pipeline) habitat</p> <p>Lowendal Island Group</p> <p>Montebello Island - Hermite Island, NW Island, Trimouille Island</p> <p>Montebello Island, Trimouille and NW islands</p> <p>Ningaloo coast and Jurabi coast</p> <p>Rosemary Island</p> <p>Scott Reef</p> <p>String of islands between Cape Preston and Onslow, inshore of Barrow Island</p> <p>Thevenard Island</p> <p>Varanus Island</p> | <p>Cape Preston to mouth of Exmouth Gulf (including Montebello Islands and Lowendal Islands)</p> <p>Dampier Archipelago (including Delambre Island and Rosemary Island)</p> |
| Flatback turtle | <i>Natator depressus</i> | <p>Nesting, migration, mating, aggregation, foraging, interesting – Islands of the North West</p> | <p>Eighty Mile beach</p> <p>Barrow Island</p> <p>Cape Domett</p> | <p>Cape Domett and Lacrosse Island</p> <p>Lacepede Islands</p> |

| Species | Scientific name | Aggregation area and use | BIAs within EMBA | Habitat Critical within EMBA |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | Shelf and the Pilbara/Kimberley coastlines Mating, nesting – Barrow Island | Cape Thouin/ Mundabullangana/ Cowrie Beach Coral reef habitat west of the Montebello group. Extends the entire length of Montebellos Dampier Archipelago (islands to the west of the Burrup Peninsula) De Grey River area to Bedout Island Delambre Island Dixon Island Holothuria Zone (Northern Kimberley, Holothuria Banks) Intercourse Island James Price Point Lacedpede Island Legendre Island, Huay Is Montebello Island - Hermite Island, NW Island, Trimouille Island North Turtle Island Port Hedland, Cemetery Beach Port Hedland, Paradise Beach Port Hedland, Pretty Pool String of islands between Cape Preston and Onslow, inshore of Barrow Is The main nesting beach at Cape Domett is a 1.9-km-long north-west-facing sandy beach on the east of the Cambridge Gulf, East Kimberley, Western Australia (14 48.10S, 128 24.50E), located approximately 80 km north-north-east of the nearest town, Wyndham. Thevenard Island - South coast West of Cape Lambert Western Joseph Bonaparte Depression | Eighty Mile beach Cemetery beach Eco Beach Mundabullangana Beach Dampier Archipelago Barrow Island, Montebello Island, coastal islands from Cape Preston to Locker Island |
| Leatherback turtle | <i>Dermochelys coriacea</i> | None within EMBA | None within EMBA | None within EMBA |

| Species | Scientific name | Aggregation area and use | BIAs within EMBA | Habitat Critical within EMBA |
|---------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| Olive ridley turtle | <i>Lepidochelys olivacea</i> | Foraging, migration – Joseph Bonaparte Gulf – Kimberley region | Western Joseph Bonaparte Depression | Cape Leveque Prior Point and Llanggi Darcy Island Vulcan Island |

7. Marine Mammals

Forty-four species of listed marine mammals are known to occur in Australian waters in the EMBA, according to the Protected Matters search (**Appendix A**). An examination of the species profile and threats database (DAWE 2020a) showed that some listed mammal species are not expected to occur in significant numbers in the marine and coastal environments in the EMBA due to their terrestrial distributions. Hence, these species are not discussed further.

Of the remaining listed species, five are listed as threatened and migratory, one is listed as threatened and ten are listed as migratory under the Commonwealth EPBC Act (BIAs for marine mammals are discussed in **Table 7-3**). These species are shown in **Table 7-1** along with their conservation listing under the WA BC Act (as applicable).

The section below gives further details on marine mammal species listed as threatened and migratory and a summary is presented in **Table 7-2**. Identified BIAs are presented in **Table 7-3**.

Table 7-1: Marine mammals listed as threatened or migratory under the EPBC Act

| Species | Conservation Status | | | Likelihood of occurrence in EMBA | BIA in EMBA |
|--|-------------------------|---|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| | EPBC Act 1999 (Cwth) | BC Act 2016 (WA) | Other WA Conservation Code | | |
| Sei whale (<i>Balaenoptera borealis</i>) | Vulnerable Migratory | Endangered | - | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Blue whale (<i>Balaenoptera musculus</i>) | Endangered Migratory | Endangered | - | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area | Yes – Refer to Table 7-3 |
| Fin whale (<i>Balaenoptera physalus</i>) | Vulnerable Migratory | Endangered | - | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Southern right whale (<i>Eubalaena australis</i>) | Endangered Migratory | Vulnerable | - | Breeding known to occur within area | Yes – Refer to Table 7-3 |
| Humpback whale (<i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i>) | Vulnerable Migratory | Specially protected (special conservation interest) | - | Breeding known to occur within area | Yes – Refer to Table 7-3 |
| Sperm whale (<i>Physeter macrocephalus</i>) | Migratory | Vulnerable | - | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area | Yes – Refer to Table 7-3 |
| Antarctic minke whale (<i>Balaenoptera bonaerensis</i>) | Migratory | - | - | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Bryde's whale (<i>Balaenoptera edeni</i>) | Migratory | - | - | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Pygmy right whale (<i>Caperea marginate</i>) | Migratory | - | - | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Killer whale (<i>Orcinus orca</i>) | Migratory | - | - | Species or species habitat may occur within area | None - No BIA defined |

| Species | Conservation Status | | | Likelihood of occurrence in EMBA | BIA in EMBA |
|--|----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| | EPBC Act 1999 (Cwth) | BC Act 2016 (WA) | Other WA Conservation Code | | |
| Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin (<i>Sousa chinensis</i>) | Migratory | - | - | Breeding known to occur within area | Yes – Refer to Table 7-3 |
| Spotted bottlenose dolphin (Arafura/ Timor Sea Populations) (<i>Tursiops aduncus</i>) | Migratory | - | - | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area | Yes – Refer to Table 7-3 |
| Irrawaddy dolphin (Australian snubfin dolphin) (<i>Orcaella heinsohni</i>) | Migratory | - | P4 | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | Yes – Refer to Table 7-3 |
| Dusky dolphin (<i>Lagenorhynchus obscurus</i>) | Migratory | - | - | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Australian sea lion (<i>Neophoca cinerea</i>) | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | - | Breeding known to occur within area | Yes – Refer to Table 7-3 |
| Dugong (<i>Dugong dugon</i>) | Migratory | Specially protected (species otherwise in need of special protection) | - | Breeding known to occur within area | Yes – Refer to Table 7-3 |

In addition, the New Zealand fur-seal (*Arctocephalus forsteri*), has been identified as a species of relevance to the EMBA. The New Zealand fur seal is listed as a protected species under WA BC Act (other specially protected), but not listed as threatened under the EPBC Act.

7.1 Threatened and Migratory Species

7.1.1 Sei Whale

Sei whales have a worldwide, oceanic distribution, ranging from polar to tropical waters. Sei whales tend to be found further offshore than other species of large whales (Bannister *et al.* 1996).

Sei whales move between Australian waters and Antarctic feeding areas; however, they are only infrequently recorded in Australian waters (Bannister *et al.* 1996) and their movements and distribution in Australian waters is not well known (DAWE 2020a). There are no known mating or calving areas in Australian waters (Parker 1978 in DAWE 2020a). The National Conservation Values Atlas currently record no BIAs for this species (DAWE 2020b). Surveys of the Bonney Upwelling (outside of the EMBA) between 2000 and 2003 recorded sightings of sei whales feeding during summer and autumn, indicating that this is potentially an important feeding ground (DAWE 2020b).

7.1.2 Blue Whale

Two sub-species of blue whale are recorded in Australian waters: the southern (or true) blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus intermedia*) and the pygmy blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda*). Southern blue whales are believed to occur in waters south of 60°S and pygmy blue whales occur in waters north of 55°S (i.e. not in the Antarctic) (DEWHA 2008a). By this definition all blue whales in waters from Busselton to the NT border are assumed to be pygmy blue whales and are discussed below.

Pygmy blue whales have a southern hemisphere distribution, migrating from tropical water breeding grounds in winter to temperate and polar water feeding grounds in summer (Bannister *et al.* 1996, Double *et al.* 2014). The WA migration path takes pygmy blue whales down the WA coast to coastal upwelling areas along southern Australia (Gill 2002) and south at least as far as the Antarctic convergence zone (Gedamke *et al.* 2007).

Tagging surveys have shown pygmy blue whales migrating northward relatively near to the Australian coastline (100 km) until reaching North West Cape after which they travelled offshore (240 km) to Indonesia. Passive acoustic data documented pygmy blue whales migrating along the Western Australian shelf break (Woodside 2012). Tagging data collected by Gales *et al.* (2010) has provided the first definitive link between the blue whales that feed off the Perth Canyon and those that occur around Indonesia. This movement is concordant with the proposed 'Tasmania to Indonesia' population described by Branch *et al.* (2007).

The northern migration passes the Perth Canyon from January to May and north bound animals have been detected off Exmouth and the Montebello Islands between April and August (Double *et al.* 2012a, McCauley & Jenner 2010). During the southern migration, pygmy blue whales pass south of the Montebello Islands and Exmouth from October to the end of January, peaking in late November to early December (Double *et al.* 2012b). Generally, they appear to travel as individuals or in small groups based on acoustic data. For example, analysis of pygmy blue whale calls from noise loggers deployed around Scott Reef (2006 to 2009) for the Woodside Browse project showed that 78% of the calls were from lone whales, 18% were from two whales and 4% were from three or more whales (McCauley 2011; Woodside 2014).

Pygmy blue whales appear to feed regularly along their migration route (i.e. at least once per week or more frequently) and are likely to have multiple food caches along their migratory route (e.g. Rowley Shoals and Ningaloo Reef) (ConocoPhillips 2018).

Recognised feeding areas of significance to this species, located within the EMBA include Ningaloo Reef and the Perth Canyon (DoE 2015a). The Ningaloo Reef area has the capacity to offer feeding opportunities to pygmy blue whales through unique biophysical conditions able to support large

biomasses of marine species (Double *et al.* 2014). Surface lunge feeding of pygmy blue whales has been observed at North West Cape and Ningaloo Reef in June (C. Jenner & M-N Jenner, unpublished data, 2001 in Double *et al.* 2014). Outside of the recognised feeding areas, possible foraging areas for pygmy blue whales include the greater region around the Perth Canyon, off Exmouth and Scott Reef in WA (DoE 2015a). These steep gradient features tend to stimulate upwelling and, therefore increased productivity (seasonally variable) (ConocoPhillips 2018). Hence, they provide a favourable foraging area.

Breeding areas have not yet been identified; however, it is likely that pygmy blue whales calve in tropical areas of high localised production such as deep offshore waters of the Banda and Molucca Seas in Indonesia (Double *et al.* 2014, DAWE 2020a). There are no known breeding areas of significance to blue whales in waters from Busselton to the NT border.

The BIAs for blue whale and pygmy blue whale are detailed in **Table 7-3** and depicted in **Figure 7-1** and **Figure 7-2**.




Figure 7-1: Biologically important areas – whales – Southern WA




Figure 7-2: Biologically important areas – whales – Northern WA

7.1.3 Fin Whale

Fin whales have a worldwide distribution generally in deeper waters, with oceanic migrations between warm water breeding grounds and cold water feeding grounds.

The fin whale distribution in Australia is not clear due to the sparsity of sightings. Information is known primarily from stranding events and whaling records. According to the Species Profile and Threats database (DAWE 2020a); fin whales are thought to be present from Exmouth, along the southern coastline, to southern Queensland.

Migration paths are uncertain but are not thought to follow Australian coastlines (Bannister *et al.* 1996). There is insufficient data to prescribe migration times for fin whales. During summer and autumn this species has been recorded acoustically at the Rottnest Trench.

There are no known mating or calving areas in Australian waters (DoEE 2019a) and no BIAs for the fin whale are currently identified by the National Conservation Values Atlas (DAWE 2020b).

7.1.4 Southern Right Whale

The southern right whale is present in the southern hemisphere between approximately 30° and 60°S. The species feeds in the Southern Ocean in summer, moving close to shore in winter.

In Australian waters, southern right whales range from Perth, along the southern coastline, to Sydney. Sightings have been recorded as far north as Exmouth although these are rare (Bannister *et al.* 1996).

BIAs including calving and aggregation areas are recorded for this species along the southern coastline of Australia (DAWE 2020b). Details on the BIA for southern right whale are provided in **Table 7-3** and depicted in **Figure 7-1**.

7.1.5 Humpback Whale

Humpback whales have a worldwide distribution, migrating along coastal waters from polar feeding grounds to subtropical breeding grounds. Geographic populations are distinct and at least six southern hemisphere populations are thought to exist based on Antarctic feeding distribution and the location of breeding grounds on either side of each continent (Bannister *et al.* 1996). The population of humpback whales migrating along the WA coastline was recently estimated to be greater than 33,000 whales and likely increasing at exceptionally high growth rates between 10–12% (Hedley *et al.* 2011, Salgado Kent *et al.* 2012).

The west coast Australian humpback whale population migrates from Southern Polar Ocean 'summer' feeding grounds to their northern tropical 'winter' calving/ breeding grounds in coastal waters of the Kimberley. The northern migration tends to follow deeper waters of the continental shelf, whilst the southward migration concentrates whales closer to the mainland (Jenner *et al.* 2001). Recent satellite tagging of southbound humpback whales indicate that whales generally migrated close to the coastline, within a few tens of kilometres of shore and in a corridor frequently less than 100 km (Double *et al.* 2010). Aerial surveys and noise logger recordings undertaken for Chevron's Wheatstone Project indicated that the main distribution of humpback whales was sighted at an average distance of 50 km from the mainland during the northern migration and 35 km during the southbound migration (RPS 2010a).

The precise timing of the migration varies between years by up to six weeks, influenced by water temperature, sea ice distribution, predation risk, prey abundance and the location of feeding grounds (DEWR 2007).

Peak northward migration across the North West Shelf is identified as from late July to early August, and peak southward migration from late August to early September (DoEE 2015c). Data collected between 1995 and 1997 by the Centre for Whale Research indicates that the period for peak northern migration into the calving grounds in the Kimberley is mid to late July. The peak for southern migration is in the first half of September (Jenner *et al.* 2001). Actual timing of annual migration may vary by as much as three weeks from year to year due to food availability in the Antarctic (DMP 2003).

Satellite tagging data collected for migrating northbound humpback whales identified a consistent narrow inshore distribution, unlike the southward migration. There was little evidence that the whales tended to venture further from shore and into deeper water at any point on their northward migration. Whales were seen with calves off the North West Cape outside the 'calving grounds; of Lacepede Islands to Camden Sound. This indicates some potential for this area being used as a 'calving site' as well as a migratory corridor. Consequently, the region from the Lacepede Islands to Camden Sound should not be seen as the exclusive 'calving ground' for this population (Double *et al.* 2012b).

Details on the BIA for humpback whales are provided in **Table 7-3** and depicted in **Figure 7-1** and **Figure 7-2**.

7.1.6 Sperm Whale

Sperm whales typically occur in WA along the southern coastline between Cape Leeuwin and Esperance (Bannister *et al.* 1996). Sperm whales are distributed worldwide in deep waters (greater than 200 m) off continental shelves and sometimes near shelf edges, averaging 20 to 30 nautical miles offshore (Bannister *et al.* 1996). The sperm whale is known to migrate northwards in winter and southwards in summer, however, detailed information on the distribution of sperm whales is not available for the timing of migrations. Sperm whales have been recorded in deep water off the North West Cape on the west coast of Western Australia (RPS 2010b) and appear to occasionally venture into shallower waters in other areas (RPS 2010b). Details on the BIA for sperm whales are provided in **Table 7-3** and are shown in **Figure 7-1**.

7.1.7 Antarctic Minke Whale

The Antarctic minke whale is distributed throughout the Southern Hemisphere from 55°S to the Antarctic ice edge during the austral summer and has been recorded in all Australian States (Bannister *et al.* 1996; Perrin & Brownell 2002). Detailed information on timing and location of migrations and breeding grounds on the west coast of Australia is largely unknown. However, it is believed that the Antarctic minke whale migrates up the WA coast to approximately 20°S during Australian winter to feed and possibly breed (Bannister *et al.* 1996).

7.1.8 Bryde's Whale

The Bryde's whale is found all year round in tropic and temperate waters (Kato 2002). Two forms are recognised: inshore and offshore Bryde's whales. It appears that the inshore form is restricted to the 200 m depth isobar whilst the offshore form is found in deeper waters of 500-1,000 m (DoEE 2019c). Both forms are expected to be found in zones of upwelling where they feed on shrimp like crustaceans (Bannister *et al.* 1996). Little is known about the population abundance of Bryde's whale, the location of exact breeding and calving grounds and large-scale migration patterns (DoEE 2019c). It is however, suggested that the offshore form migrates seasonally, heading towards warmer tropical waters during the winter.

7.1.9 Pygmy Right Whale

The pygmy right whale is considered the most elusive baleen whale and as a result very little is known about the whale's distribution in Australian waters. Records of the pygmy right whale in Australian waters are distributed between 32°S and 47°S and are restricted in the west by the Leeuwin current (Kemper 2002). It is possible that the pygmy right whale will be encountered in the southern extent of the EMBA, particularly in coastal areas of upwelling (Kemper 2002).

7.1.10 Killer Whale

The killer whale has a widespread global distribution and has been recorded in waters of all Australian states/territories (Bannister *et al.* 1996). Whilst more commonly found in cold, deeper waters, killer whales have been observed along the continental slope, shelf and shallow coastal areas of WA. Killer whales are known to make seasonal movements and are most likely to follow the migratory routes of their prey.

7.1.11 Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphin

The Indo-pacific humpback dolphin is typically found in water less than 20 m deep but has been recorded in waters up to 40 m deep. This species is generally found in association with river mouths, mangroves, tidal channels and inshore reefs (DoEE 2016a). This species of dolphin is known to have resident groups that forage, feed, breed and calve in the state waters of Roebuck Bay, Dampier Peninsula, King Sound north, Talbot Bay, Anjo Peninsula, Vansittart Bay, Napier Broome Bay and Deception Bay (DoEE 2016a).

The Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin BIA in the EMBA is detailed in **Table 7-3** and shown on **Figure 7-3**.

7.1.12 Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphin)

The spotted bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops aduncus*) (Arafura/ Timor Sea populations) is generally considered to be a warm water subspecies of the spotted bottlenose dolphin, occurring in shallow (often <10 m deep) inshore waters (Bannister et al., 1996; Hale et al., 2000). The known distribution of the spotted bottlenose dolphin extends from Shark Bay north to the western edge of the Gulf of Carpentaria in Australia (DoEE 2016b). The spotted bottlenose dolphin BIA in the EMBA is detailed in **Table 7-3** and shown on **Figure 7-3**.

7.1.13 Irrawaddy Dolphin (Australian Snubfin Dolphin)

The Irrawaddy dolphin, also known as the snubfin dolphin (*Orcaella heinsohni*) is known to occur within the waters off northern Australia, extending north from Broome in Western Australia to the Brisbane River in Queensland (DoEE 2016c). Surveys have indicated that the species is typically found in protected shallow nearshore waters, generally less than 20 m deep, adjacent to river and creek mouths close to seagrass beds (DoEE 2016c). The snubfin dolphin was not recorded during any of the aerial surveys undertaken along the Dampier Peninsula coastline in the vicinity of James Price Point but were observed in Roebuck Bay from vessels on several occasions (RPS, 2010b). Based on the extensive survey effort and amenable conditions within the James Price Point coastal area during the survey, it is concluded that this species is seldom found outside of shallow and sheltered bays and inlets (DSD 2010). The Irrawaddy dolphin BIA in the EMBA is detailed in **Table 7-3** and shown on **Figure 7-3**.

7.1.14 Dusky Dolphin

The dusky dolphin's distribution is strongly linked to colder waters. In Australia, the dusky dolphin has been sighted in southern Australia from WA to Tasmania. It is presumed to be primarily an inshore species but has been known to move further offshore, possibly due to its desire for colder waters (Gill et al. 2000). Dusky dolphins are expected to be limited in their distribution along the WA coastline due to the presence of the southward-flowing warm water of the Leeuwin Current.




Figure 7-3: Biologically important areas – dolphins

7.1.15 Australian Sea Lion

The Australian sea lion is endemic to Australia. Breeding colonies are found only in South Australian and Western Australian waters. There are currently 76 known Australian sea lion pupping locations along the coast and offshore islands between the Houtman Abrolhos Islands in Western Australia to the Pages Islands in South Australia (DSEWPaC 2013c). The species has also been recorded at Shark Bay (DoE 2014a).

BIAs for foraging, haul-out and breeding sites identified by the National Conservation Values Atlas are located south of the waters from Busselton to the NT border (DAWE 2020b). Male Australian sea lions have been recorded foraging in areas up to 60 km away from their birth colonies, with potentially larger dispersal ranges up to 180 km (Hamer *et al.* 2011). However, female Australian sea lions have restricted home ranges, with high rates of natal site fidelity and limited gene flow with other regions (Campbell 2005). The Australian sea lion BIA in the EMBA is outlined in **Table 7-3** and is depicted in **Figure 7-4**.




Figure 7-4: Biologically important areas – Australian sea lion

7.1.16 Dugongs

Dugongs (*Dugong dugon*) are large herbivorous marine mammals (up to 3 m) that feed off seagrass and generally inhabit coastal areas. Key populations along the WA coast are principally located at: Shark Bay (the largest resident population in Australia), Ningaloo Marine Park and Exmouth Gulf, the Pilbara coast and offshore areas including Montebello/ Barrow/ Lowendal Islands, and further north at Eighty Mile Beach and off the Kimberley Coast, particularly Roebuck Bay and Dampier Peninsula (Marsh *et al.* 2002; DSEWPaC 2012). Populations are also present at Ashmore Reef. Dugong distribution and movement is based on the abundance, size and species of seagrass meadow. Dugongs can migrate hundreds of kilometres between seagrass habitats. The dugong BIAs in the EMBA are detailed in **Table 7-3** and shown in **Figure 7-5**.

7.1.17 New Zealand fur-seal

The New Zealand fur-seal (also known as the long-nosed fur seal) (*Arctocephalus forsteri*) is a specially protected species (other specially protected) under the BC Act. The New Zealand fur seal is found in Ngari Capes Marine Park (two colonies) and along other parts of Australia's southern coast.⁵

⁵ Identified as a relevant species through review of *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* listed species for marine species without an EBPC Act listing.



Figure 7-5: Biologically important areas – dugongs

Table 7-2: Summary of information for marine mammals listed as threatened under the EPBC Act

| Aspect | Sei whale | Blue and pygmy blue whales | Fin whale | Southern right whale | Humpback whale | Australian sea lion |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| Species expected in area | Unknown | Yes | Unknown | Unlikely, southern distribution | Yes | Unlikely, southern distribution |
| Migration depth (m) | Unknown, prefers offshore waters | 500-1,000 | Unknown | n/a | Up to 100 | n/a |
| Migration seasonality | Unknown | Apr to Aug (north), Oct to Jan (south) | Unknown | n/a | Jun to Nov | n/a |

7.2 Biologically Important Areas / Critical Habitat – Marine Mammals

Table 7-3 below provides an overview of BIAs in the EMBA for marine mammals

The DAWE may also make recovery plans for threatened fauna listed under the EPBC Act. The EPBC Act requires that ‘habitat critical to the survival of the listed threatened species’ is identified in recovery plans, relevant recovery plans are listed in **Section 13.2**⁶.

In addition, both the EPBC Act and WA BC Act and associated regulations (2018) provide for the listing of critical habitat - habitat ‘critical to the survival of the threatened species’. To date no critical habitat in WA has been listed under either Act.

Table 7-3: Biologically important areas – marine mammals

| Species | Scientific name | Aggregation area and use | BIAs within EMBA |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| Blue and pygmy blue whales | <i>Balaenoptera musculus</i> | Migration – along the continental shelf edge off the WA coastline, extending offshore near Scott Reef and into Indonesian waters Foraging – along Ningaloo reef, around Scott Reef, around the Perth canyon | Blue and pygmy blue whale - Head of the Perth Canyon Outer continental shelf from Cape Naturaliste to south of Jurien Bay Outer Perth Canyon Head of the Perth Canyon Pygmy blue whale - Augusta to Derby. Tend to pass along the shelf edge at depths of 500 m to 1000 m; appear close to coast in the Exmouth-Montebello Islands area on southern migration. From Mandurah to south of Cape Naturaliste, seaward to the 50 m depth contour Indonesia- Banda Sea Ningaloo Perth canyon Scott Reef |

⁶ Further background information on BIA and identification of critical habitat in recovery plans is provided in **Section 5.4**.

| Species | Scientific name | Aggregation area and use | BIAs within EMBA |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Southern right whale | <i>Eubalaena australis</i> | Breeding/calving – along the south west and southern coastline of WA/SA | Bunbury area, WA Camac Island/Fremantle, WA Coast Cape Naturaliste to Cape Leeuwin Coast Perth region to Cape Naturaliste Geographe Bay, WA Perth to Kangaroo Island |
| Humpback whale | <i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i> | Breeding/calving/nursing/resting – Kimberley/Coastal North Lacepede Island, Campden Sound, Exmouth Gulf, Shark Bay Migration - northern migration deeper waters of the continental shelf, southward migration – along the WA mainland | Cape Leeuwin to Houtman Abrolhos Cape Naturaliste Cape Naturaliste to Cape Leeuwin Exmouth Gulf Flinders Bay Geographe Bay Houtman Abrolhos Islands Kimberley/Coastal North Lacepede Island, Camden Sound North of Houtman Abrolhos Shark Bay The migration corridor extends from the coast to out to approximately 100 km offshore in the Kimberley region extending south to North West Cape. From North West Cape to south of shark Bay the migration corridor is reduced to approximately 50 km. West coast - Lancelin to Kalbarri West coast- Bunbury to Lancelin including Rottneest Island |
| Sperm whale | <i>Physeter macrocephalus</i> | Foraging - west end of Perth Canyon and Albany Canyons | Western end of Perth canyon Albany Canyons - Immediately south of the continental shelf edge extending over the continental slope |
| Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin | <i>Sousa chinensis</i> | Breeding, calving, foraging – Kimberley coastal waters and islands Significant habitat – unknown behavior – Admiralty Gulf & Parry Harbour and Bougainville Peninsula Significant habitat - Vansittart Bay, Anjo Peninsula | Admiralty Gulf & Parry Harbour Bougainville Peninsula Camden Sound Area - Walcott Inlet, Doubtful Bay, Deception Bay, Augustus Island (Kuri Bay) Carnot & Beagle bay King Sound North and Yampi Sound and Talbot Bay Fjord area near Horizontal Falls King Sound Southern Sector Maret and Biggee Island Pender bay Port Nelson, York Sound, Prince Frederick Harbour Prince Regent River Roebuck Bay Vansittart Bay, Anjo Peninsula Willie Creek |

| Species | Scientific name | Aggregation area and use | BIAs within EMBA |
|--|--------------------------|---|--|
| Indo-Pacific/spotted bottlenose dolphin | <i>Tursiops aduncus</i> | Breeding, calving, foraging – Kimberley coastal waters and islands Migration – Pender Bay | Camden Sound Area - Walcott Inlet, Doubtful Bay, Deception Bay, Augustus Island (Kuri Bay) King Sound North and Yampi Sound and Talbot Bay Fjord area near Horizontal Falls King Sound Southern Sector Pender bay Roebuck Bay |
| Irrawaddy dolphin (Australian snubfin dolphin) | <i>Orcella heinsohni</i> | Breeding, calving, foraging, resting– Kimberley coastal waters and islands | Admiralty Gulf and Parry Harbour Bougainville Peninsula Camden Sound Area - Walcott Inlet, Doubtful Bay, Deception Bay, Augustus Island (Kuri Bay) Cape Londonderry and King George River Carnot and Beagle bay King Sound North and Yampi Sound and Talbot Bay Fjord area near Horizontal Falls King Sound Southern Sector Maret and Biggee Island Ord River Pender bay Port Nelson, York Sound, Prince Frederick Harbour Prince Regent River Roebuck Bay Vansittart Bay, Anjo Peninsula Willie Creek |
| Australian sea lion | <i>Neophoca cinerea</i> | Foraging – male and female – Houtman Abrolhos Island, mid-west coast (more restricted spatial extent than males) Foraging – males Houtman Abrolhos Island, mid-west coast down to Perth Breeding – Buller Island, North Fisherman Island, Beagle Island, Albrohos Island Haul Out Sites – North Cervantes Island, Sandland Island, Albrohos Island | Houtman Abrolhos Islands Mid-west coast, includes Beagle Island, Fisherman Island, Jurien Bay, Cervantes and Buller Colonies From Recherche Archipelago to Doubtful Islands – Key colonies, Kimberly island, Glenny and Wickham Island. Haul-Off rock |
| Dugong | <i>Dugong dugon</i> | Foraging –Dampier Peninsula, Roebuck Bay, Shark Bay, Exmouth and Ningaloo coastline Migration – Roebuck Bay and North East Peron Peninsula, Shark Bay Breeding/calving/nursing – Exmouth and the Ningaloo coastline | Ashmore Reef - Far West Ashmore Reef - South (located on sea reef side only, not interior) Between Peron Peninsula and Faure Island, Shark Bay Dirk Hartog Island, Shark Bay East of Faure Island, Shark Bay Exmouth Gulf |

| Species | Scientific name | Aggregation area and use | BIAs within EMBA |
|---------|-----------------|--------------------------|---|
| | | | Kimberley coast, Dampier Peninsula Middle Island, Kimberley coast North East Peron Peninsula, Shark Bay North of Faure Island, Shark Bay Pilbara and Kimberley coast near Dampier Peninsula Pilbara and Kimberley coast near James Price Point Roebuck Bay, Broome South Passage, Shark Bay Useless Loop, Shark Bay |

8. Birds

Marine waters and coastal habitats in the EMBA contain key habitats that are important to birds, including offshore islands, sandy beaches, tidal flats, mangroves and coastal and pelagic waters. These habitats support a variety of birds which utilise the area in different ways and at different times of the year (DSEWPaC 2012a). Birds can be broadly grouped according to their preferred foraging habitat as coastal/ terrestrial birds, seabirds and shorebirds.

Coastal or terrestrial species inhabit the offshore islands and coastal areas of the mainland throughout the year. These species are either primarily terrestrial, or they may forage in coastal waters. Resident coastal and terrestrial species include osprey (*Pandion cristatus*), white-bellied sea eagle (*Haliaeetus leucogaster*), silver gull (*Larus novaehollandiae*) and eastern reef egret (*Egretta sacra*) (DEWHA 2008a).

Seabirds include those species whose primary habitat and food source is derived from pelagic waters. These species spend the majority of their lives at sea, ranging over large distances to forage over the open ocean. Seabirds present in the area include terns, noddies, petrels, shearwaters, tropicbirds, frigatebirds boobies and albatrosses (DEWHA 2008a).

Shorebirds, including waders, inhabit the intertidal zone and adjacent areas. Some shorebird species, including oystercatchers are resident (Surman & Nicholson 2013). Other shorebirds are migratory and include species that utilise the East Asian–Australasian Flyway, a migratory pathway for millions of migratory shorebirds that travel from Northern Hemisphere breeding grounds to Southern Hemisphere resting and foraging areas. Shorebirds that regularly migrate through the area include the Scolopacidae (curlews, sandpipers etc.) and Charadriidae (plovers and lapwings) families.

Surveys in the area by Santos and other agencies have built a picture of diverse avifauna. A summary of research is discussed below, followed by information on threatened and migratory birds. Wetlands of international importance are discussed in **Section 9.2**.

8.1 Regional Surveys

8.1.1 Abrolhos Islands

The Abrolhos Islands are one of the most significant seabird nesting areas in the eastern Indian Ocean with over two million birds breed on the islands and small rocky atolls in the Abrolhos (DoF 2012). The mixture of species is unique, as subtropical and tropical species, and littoral and oceanic foragers, share the breeding islands. A total of 95 bird species have been recorded as residents or visitors to the Abrolhos Islands. Of these 35 species are known to breed at the Abrolhos (DoF, 2012):

- + Common noddy (rookery – Pelsaert Island): The Abrolhos supports 80% of the Australian breeding population of the common noddy (*Anous stolidus*) with up to 250,000 common noddies breed at Pelsaert Island. These birds lay their eggs in spring, but the actual month can vary, depending on their food supply and the weather conditions existing in offshore waters (DoF 2012);
- + Caspian tern (rookeries – Leo Island, West Wallabi Island and Pelsaert Island): Unlike other more social terns, Caspian terns (*Hydroprogne caspia*) are usually solitary nesters. There are less than 150 of these breeding at the Abrolhos, across 22 islands (DoF 2012);
- + Wedge-tailed shearwaters (rookeries): The Abrolhos are the most important breeding sites in Australia for the wedge tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*), with between 500,000 and 1,000,000 of these birds breeding there every year, predominantly on West Wallabi Island. The wedge-tailed shearwater breeding colonies at the Abrolhos are the largest in Australia (DoF 2012);
- + Bridled tern (rookeries – Gun Island, Leo Island, Pelsaert Island, Little North Island, Fisherman Islands, Beagle Islands and Penguin Island): Bridled terns (*Onychoprion anaethetus*) breed on 90 islands throughout the Abrolhos. These birds fly north for the winter, through Indonesia to waters around the Phillipines. There are approximately 4,000 bridled terns who return to the Abrolhos around October every

year to lay their eggs. Bridled terns nest on more islands in the Abrolhos than any other bird species (DoF, 2012);

- + Osprey (nesting area – Pelseart Island): Up to 100 eastern ospreys (*Pandion cristatus*) nest at a number of sites throughout all three island groups at the Abrolhos, including nesting platforms made from converted rock lobster pots and stacked fishing equipment on jetties (DoF 2012);
- + White-bellied sea eagle (nesting area – West Wallabi Island): At the Abrolhos, there are up to 50 breeding white-bellied sea eagles (*Haliaeetus leucogaster*), spread across all three island groups (DoF 2012);
- + Australian lesser noddy (feeding area and rookeries Morley Island, Wooded Island and Pelseart Island): In Australia the Australian lesser noddy is only known to breed in this area and is known to forage between the islands and the continental shelf edge; and
- + Other areas rookeries identified for both the wedge-tailed shearwater and bridled tern within the south west area include Lancelin Island, Rottnest Island and Safety Bay.

8.1.2 North West Cape

Avifauna surveys of the North West Cape have recorded 144 bird species, one third of which are seabirds and shorebirds (resident and migratory) (May *et al.* 1983). Approximately 33 species of seabirds and shorebirds are found in the Ningaloo Marine Park with the main breeding areas at Mangrove Bay, Mangrove Point, Point Maud, the Mildura wreck site and Fraser Island (CALM & MPRA 2005a).

8.1.3 Muiron Islands and Exmouth Gulf Islands

Muiron Islands and Exmouth Gulf Islands are generally lacking in published bird observations data. Early indications from surveys commissioned by Santos in 2013/14 indicate that South and North Muiron Islands are regionally significant in terms of wedge-tailed shearwater (*Ardenna pacifica*) nesting, whilst Bessiers and Fly islands are also significant (Surman pers comm. 2013). Nine coastal/terrestrial species and 21 shorebirds were identified on the Muiron and Exmouth Gulf Islands during the first of these surveys and seven bird species were recorded nesting (Surman 2013).

8.1.4 Dampier Archipelago/Cape Preston Region

The Dampier Archipelago/Cape Preston region is a nesting area for at least 16 species of seabirds. Many of the islands and rocks in the area are known breeding grounds for birds, including wedge-tailed shearwaters (*Ardenna pacifica*), Caspian terns (*Sterna caspia*), bridled terns (*Onychoprion anaethetus*) and roseate terns (*Sterna dougallii*). Small islands and islets such as Goodwyn Island, Keast Island and Nelson Rocks provide important undisturbed nesting and refuge sites, and Keast Island provides one of the few nesting sites for pelicans in WA (CALM & MPRA 2005).

8.1.5 Barrow Island Group

Barrow Island and surrounding islands have a diverse avifauna comprising at least 110 species, including 11 resident land birds, eight resident seabirds, 17 seabirds, 22 species of migratory waders, six resident shorebirds and 43 irregular visitors (Surman 2003). The avifauna of Barrow Island is thus poor in terms of land birds and waterfowl compared to mainland areas of the Pilbara, but rich in migratory waders and seabirds. Compared to other nearby offshore islands, Barrow Island has substantially more migratory waders but fewer breeding seabirds (Surman 2003).

8.1.6 Lowendal Island Group and Airlie and Serrurier Islands

The Lowendal Island Group has a diverse avifauna comprising 89 recorded species (Dinara Pty Ltd. 1991, Burbidge *et al.* 2000). Six species of resident land birds and six species of raptors have been recorded at the Lowendal Islands (Surman & Nicholson 2012). Up to fourteen seabird species have been observed at any one time during annual surveys of the Lowendal Islands between 2004 and 2012. Surveys at the Montebello Islands have recorded 70 bird species. This includes 12 species of seabirds and 14 species of migratory shorebirds (Burbidge *et al.* 2000).

Wedge-tailed shearwaters have been identified to nest on Varanus, Airlie, Serrurier and Bridled Islands (Astron 2017a). Breeding participation on the islands appears to be largely influenced by pre-breeding oceanographic conditions (Astron 2017a). Monitoring in 2016/17 was undertaken by Santos and demonstrated the colony sizes for wedge-tailed shearwaters to be within or above previously reported ranges (Astron 2017a). This is informed through monitoring that has been undertaken under the Integrated Shearwater Monitoring Program (ISMP), established in 1994.

In 2016/17, areas of potential wedge-tailed shearwater nesting habitat were recorded on Varanus Island (5.53 ha) and Airlie Island (12.47 ha) and surrounding islands of Bridled (2.94 ha), Serrurier (130.89 ha), Abutilon (2.02 ha) and Parakeelya (1.66 ha) (Astron 2017a). The number of wedge-tailed shearwater breeding pairs was also estimated for each of Varanus (1,492 +/- 702), Airlie (600 +/- 124), Bridled (1,039 +/- 342), Serrurier (23,240 +/- 4,341), Abutilon (317 +/- 210) and Parakeelya (172 +/- 138) islands (Astron 2017a).

Other seabird species utilising Abutilon, Beacon, Bridled and Parakeelya islands for nesting include bridled terns, silver gulls, crested terns and lesser crested terns. Monitoring for these seabirds in 2016/17 was also completed by Santos, with monitoring results concluded to support previous trends for all species. Bridled terns mainly utilise Abutilon, Bridled and Parakeelya islands for breeding, with smaller numbers noted on Beacon and Varanus Islands. The bridled terns have not been recorded on Airlie Island and only in very small numbers on Varanus Island (Astron 2017b).

Silver gull numbers appear to be growing across the region (2010/2011). However, reasons for this are unknown but considered possibly to be due to greater prey availability or immigration from the mainland (Astron 2017b). Silver gulls have been found to utilise Bridled, Parakeelya, Abutilon and Beacon islands longer term for breeding. Silver gulls have not been identified to nest on Varanus island and were only recorded nesting on Airlie island for the first time in 2016/17 since monitoring commencement in 2004/05 (Astron 2017b).

The crested tern and lesser crested tern are noted as nomadic breeders that appear to use a consistent subset of islands for breeding. In 2016/17, Beacon Island was the favourable nesting site for the crested tern and lesser crested tern (Astron 2017b). Surveys in the vicinity of Port Hedland (Bennelongia 2011) recorded 23 species of migratory shorebird between 2002 and 2011. Terrestrial/coastal and seabird species were not targeted. A total of 4,248 migratory shorebirds of 18 species were observed during the field survey in April 2011.

8.2 Threatened Species

A Protected Matters search of the EMBA identified 55 bird species (**Appendix A**) listed as threatened under the EPBC Act.

An examination of the Species Profile and Threats database (DAWE 2020a) and The Action Plan for Australian Birds (Garnet 2011) showed that some listed bird species are not expected to occur in significant numbers in the marine and coastal environments in the EMBA due to their terrestrial or southern distributions. Hence, these species are not discussed further.

EPBC Act threatened species expected to occur in the area are listed in **Table 8-1** along with their WA conservation status (as applicable), and discussed below. There are an additional 44 migratory species listed under the EPBC Act, with these detailed in **Section 8.3 (Table 8-3)**. BIAs for birds are detailed in **Table 8-6** and depicted in **Figure 8-1** and **Figure 8-2**.

Table 8-1: Birds listed as threatened under the EPBC Act

| Species | Conservation Status | | | Likelihood of occurrence in EMBA | BIAs in EMBA |
|--|---|---|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| | EPBC Act 1999 | BC Act 2016 | Other WA Conservation Code | | |
| Shorebirds | | | | | |
| Red knot (<i>Calidris canutus</i>) | Endangered, Migratory | Endangered | - | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Curlew sandpiper (<i>Calidris ferruginea</i>) | Critically endangered, Migratory | Critically endangered | - | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Great knot (<i>Calidris tenuirostris</i>) | Critically endangered, Migratory | Critically endangered | - | Roosting known to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Greater sand plover (<i>Charadrius leschenaultii</i>) | Vulnerable, Migratory | Vulnerable | - | Roosting known to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Lesser sand plover (<i>Charadrius mongolus</i>) | Endangered, Migratory | Endangered | - | Roosting known to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Western Alaskan bar-tailed godwit (<i>Limosa lapponica baueri</i>) | Vulnerable, Migratory ⁷ | Vulnerable, Specially protected (migratory) ⁷ | - | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Northern Siberian bar-tailed godwit (<i>Limosa lapponica menzbieri</i>) | Critically endangered, Migratory ⁷ | Critically endangered, Specially protected (migratory) ⁷ | - | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Eastern curlew (<i>Numenius madagascariensis</i>) | Critically endangered, Migratory | Critically endangered | - | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Australasian bittern (<i>Botaurus poiciloptilus</i>) | Endangered | Endangered | - | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | Yes – refer to Table 8-6 |
| Australian painted snipe (<i>Rostratula australis</i>) | Endangered | Endangered | - | Species or species habitat may occur within area | None - No BIA defined |

⁷ Listed as migratory at species level

| Species | Conservation Status | | | Likelihood of occurrence in EMBA | BIAs in EMBA |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| | EPBC Act 1999 | BC Act 2016 | Other WA Conservation Code | | |
| Seabirds | | | | | |
| Australian lesser noddy (<i>Anous tenuirostris melanops</i>) | Vulnerable | Endangered | - | Breeding known to occur within area | Yes – refer to Table 8-6 |
| Fairy prion (southern) (<i>Pachyptila tutur subantarctica</i>) | Vulnerable | - | - | Species or species habitat known to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Southern royal albatross (<i>Diomedea epomophora</i>) | Vulnerable, Migratory | Vulnerable | - | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Northern royal albatross (<i>Diomedea sanfordi</i>) | Endangered, Migratory | Endangered | - | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Amsterdam albatross (<i>Diomedea amsterdamensis</i>) | Endangered, Migratory | Critically endangered | - | Species or species habitat may occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Antipodean albatross (<i>Diomedea antipodensis</i>) | Vulnerable | - | - | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Sooty Albatross (<i>Phoebastria fusca</i>) | Vulnerable, Migratory | Endangered | - | Species or species habitat may occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Tristan albatross (<i>Diomedea dabbernea</i>) | Endangered, Migratory | Critically endangered | - | Species or species habitat may occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Wandering albatross (<i>Diomedea exulans</i>) | Vulnerable, Migratory | Vulnerable | - | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area | None - BIA not found in EMBA |
| Christmas island frigatebird (<i>Fregata andrewsi</i>) | Endangered, Migratory | Specially protected (migratory) | - | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area | Yes – refer to Table 8-6 |

| Species | Conservation Status | | | Likelihood of occurrence in EMBA | BIAs in EMBA |
|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| | EPBC Act 1999 | BC Act 2016 | Other WA Conservation Code | | |
| Southern giant petrel (<i>Macronectes giganteus</i>) | Endangered, Migratory | Specially protected (migratory) | - | Species or species habitat may occur within area | None - BIA not found in EMBA |
| Northern giant petrel (<i>Macronectes halli</i>) | Vulnerable, Migratory | Specially protected (migratory) | - | Species or species habitat may occur within area | None - BIA not found in EMBA |
| Abbott's booby (<i>Papasula abbotti</i>) | Endangered | - | - | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area | Yes – refer to Table 8-6 |
| Soft-plumaged petrel (<i>Pterodroma mollis</i>) | Vulnerable | - | - | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area | Yes – refer to Table 8-6 |
| Blue petrel (<i>Halobaena caerulea</i>) | Vulnerable | - | - | Species or species habitat may occur within area | None - No BIA defined |
| Australian fairy tern (<i>Sternula nereis nereis</i>) | Vulnerable | Vulnerable | - | Breeding known to occur within area | Yes – refer to Table 8-6 |
| Indian yellow-nosed albatross (<i>Thalassarche carteri</i>) | Vulnerable, Migratory | Endangered | - | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour may occur within area | Yes – refer to Table 8-6 |
| Shy albatross (<i>Thalassarche cauta</i>) | Endangered, Migratory | Vulnerable | - | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area | None - BIA not found in EMBA |
| White-capped albatross (<i>Thalassarche steadi</i>) | Vulnerable, Migratory | Vulnerable | - | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area | None - BIA not found in EMBA |
| Black-browed albatross (<i>Thalassarche melanophris</i>) | Vulnerable, Vulnerable | Endangered | - | Species or species habitat may occur within area | None - BIA not found in EMBA |
| Campbell albatross (<i>Thalassarche impavida</i>) | Vulnerable, Migratory | Vulnerable | - | Species or species habitat may occur within area | None - BIA not found in EMBA |

| Species | Conservation Status | | | Likelihood of occurrence in EMBA | BIAs in EMBA |
|---|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | EPBC Act 1999 | BC Act 2016 | Other WA Conservation Code | | |
| Christmas Island white-tailed tropicbird (<i>Phaethon lepturus fulvus</i>) | Endangered | - | - | Species or species habitat may occur within area | None - No BIA defined |

8.2.1 Shorebirds

Red Knot (New Siberian Islands and north-eastern Siberia)

The red knot is a migratory shorebird, and the species includes five subspecies, including two found in Australia, *Calidris canutus piersmai* and *Calidris canutus rogersi*. The red knot breeds in Siberia and spends the non-breeding season in Australia and New Zealand. During the non-breeding season, the species spends the majority of its time on tidal mudflats or sandflats where they feed on intertidal invertebrates, especially shellfish (Garnet *et al.* 2011).

Curlew Sandpiper

This species is a migratory shorebird that breeds in north Siberia and spends the non-breeding season from western Africa to Australia (Bamford *et al.* 2008). The curlew sandpiper occurs around coastal Australia and preferred habitats include coastal brackish lagoons, tidal mud and sand flats, estuaries, saltmarshes and less often inland. Their diet is mainly comprised of polychaete worms, molluscs and crustaceans (Higgins & Davies 1996 in Garnet *et al.* 2011).

Great Knot

The great knot is a migratory shorebird with a global distribution, breeding in north-east Siberia and spending the non-breeding season along coasts from Arabia to Australia. Non-breeding birds migrate to inlets, bays, harbours, estuaries and lagoons with large intertidal mud and sand flats where they feed on bivalves, gastropods, crustaceans and other invertebrates (Higgins & Davies 1996 in Garnet *et al.* 2011).

Greater Sand Plover and Lesser Sand Plover

The greater sand plover and lesser sand plover are congeners that breed in China, Mongolia and Russia. The greater sand plover spends the non-breeding season along coasts from Japan through southeast Asia to Australasia, while the lesser sand plover spends the non-breeding season along coasts from Taiwan to Australasia (Banford *et al.* 2008). Non-breeding birds occur along all Australian coasts, especially in the north for the greater sand plover and in the east for the lesser sand plover (DAWE 2020a).

Non-breeding birds forage on beaches, salt-marshes, coastal bays and estuaries, and feed on marine invertebrates including molluscs, worms, crustaceans and insects (Marchant & Higgins 1993 in Garnet *et al.* 2011).

Bar-tailed Godwit (Western Alaskan and Northern Siberian Subspecies)

Two subspecies of the bar-tailed godwit exist, as determined by their breeding locations in Siberia and Alaska (Bamford *et al.* 2008). Non-breeding birds migrate to the coasts of Australia. The western Alaskan subspecies occurs especially on the north and east coasts of Australia whilst the northern Siberian subspecies occurs especially along the coasts of north Western Australia (DAWE 2020a).

Non-breeding birds are found on muddy coastlines, estuaries, inlets, mangrove-fringed lagoons and sheltered bays, feeding on annelids, bivalves and crustaceans (Higgins and Davies 1996 in Garnet *et al.* 2011).

Eastern Curlew

The eastern curlew is a migratory shorebird that breeds in Siberia, Kamchatka and Mongolia and migrates to coastal East Asia and Australia. The South Korean Yellow Sea is an important staging post for this species. Non-breeding birds occur around coastal Australia, are more common in the north and have disappeared or become much rarer at many sites along the south coast (Garnet 2011).

Non-breeding birds are present at estuaries, mangroves, saltmarshes and intertidal flats, particularly those with extensive seagrass (Zosteraceae), where they feed on marine invertebrates, especially crabs and small molluscs (Higgins & Davies 1996 in Garnet 2011).

Australian Painted Snipe

The Australian painted snipe has been recorded at wetlands in all states of Australia (DoE 2014g). The Australian painted snipe generally inhabits shallow terrestrial freshwater (occasionally brackish) wetlands, including temporary and permanent lakes, swamps and claypans. They also use inundated or waterlogged grassland or saltmarsh, dams, rice crops, sewage farms and bore drains. Typical sites include those with rank emergent tussocks of grass, sedges, rushes or reeds, or samphire; often with scattered clumps of lignum *Muehlenbeckia* or canegrass or sometimes tea-tree (*Melaleuca*). The Australian painted snipe sometimes utilises areas that are lined with trees, or that have some scattered fallen or washed-up timber (DoE 2014g).

Australasian Bittern

The Australasian bittern is found in coastal and sub-coastal areas of south-eastern and south-western mainland Australia and the eastern marshes of Tasmania (Birdlife Australia 2017). The Australasian Bittern occurs mainly in freshwater wetlands and, rarely, in estuaries or tidal wetlands (Marchant & Higgins 1990). It favours wetlands with tall dense vegetation, where it forages in still, shallow water up to 0.3 m deep, often at the edges of pools or waterways, or from platforms or mats of vegetation over deep water. It favours permanent and seasonal freshwater habitats, particularly those dominated by sedges, rushes and reeds (e.g. *Phragmites*, *Cyperus*, *Eleocharis*, *Juncus*, *Typha*, *Baumea*, *Bolboschoenus*) or cutting grass (*Gahnia*) growing over a muddy or peaty substrate (Marchant & Higgins 1990). The diet of the Australasian Bittern includes aquatic animals such as small fish, frogs, freshwater crayfish, spiders, insects and small reptiles at night. Breeding occurs during summer from October to January.

All remaining natural habitat (including constructed wetlands) is considered critical habitat for this species. This species is known to occur on the western coastal plain between Lancelin and Busselton and the southern coastal region from Augusta to east of Albany within the EMBA (Table 8-6).

8.2.2 Seabirds

Australian Lesser Noddy

This species is usually found only around its breeding islands in the Houtman Abrolhos Islands in Western Australia (Storr *et al.* 1986). The Australian lesser noddy occupies coral-limestone islands that are densely fringed with white mangrove *Avicennia marina*, and it occasionally occurs on shingle or sandy beaches (Higgins & Davies 1996 in DAWE 2020a). This species is thought to be sedentary or resident, staying near to its breeding islands in the non-breeding season. It may leave nesting islands for short periods during the non-breeding season, and probably forages widely (Higgins & Davies 1996 in DAWE 2020a).

Breeding apparently occurs only on Morley, Wooded and Pelsaert Islands at the Houtman Abrolhos Islands (Higgins and Davies 1996 in DoE 2014b). Mangrove stands support approximately 68,000 breeding pairs spread over the three islands (Surman & Nicholson 2006). Breeding may also occur on Ashmore Reef (Stokes & Hinchey 1990). The breeding season extends from mid-August to early April (Higgins & Davies 1996 in DoE 2014b).

The National Conservation Values Atlas identifies BIAs for this species in the area of the Houtman Abrolhos islands (Table 8-6). The Species Group Report Card – Seabirds (DSEWPac 2012b) states that the entire Australian population of this species breeds in the South-west Marine Region, south of Busselton.

Albatrosses

A Protected Matters search of the waters in the EMBA (Appendix A) identified several albatross species that may occur in the area, comprising of the southern royal albatross, northern royal albatross, Amsterdam

albatross, Antipodean albatross, Tristan albatross, sooty albatross, wandering albatross, Indian yellow-nosed albatross, shy albatross, white-capped albatross, black-browed albatross and Campbell albatross. All these species predominantly occur in subantarctic to subtropical waters and breed on islands in the southern oceans (DAWE 2020a).

The National Conservation Values Atlas (DAWE 2020b) and the National Recovery Plan for Threatened Albatrosses and Giant Petrels 2011-2016 (DSEWPaC 2011) do not identify any BIAs for these species in the area from Busselton to the NT border. However, a BIA for the Indian yellow-nosed albatross is identified for foraging north to Shark bay and extending east into Bass Strait.

Christmas Island Frigatebird

The Christmas Island frigatebird is a very large seabird. Breeding colonies of the Christmas Island frigatebird is currently confined to Christmas Island in the Indian Ocean (Birdlife International 2019) but forages and roosts widely in south-east Asia and Indian Ocean. No breeding colonies have ever been found away from Christmas Island. The Christmas Island Frigatebird predominantly nests in forests on shore terraces that are protected from prevailing south-east trade winds (TSSC 2020a). All forest containing nesting and roosting sites, including currently known nesting and roosting colonies and any other smaller groups of nests and roosts on Christmas Island is considered critical habitat (TSSC 2020a).

Southern Giant Petrel

The southern giant petrel is a highly migratory bird with a large natural range. This species occurs from Antarctic to subtropical waters and breeds on the Antarctic continent, peninsular and islands and on subantarctic islands and South America. Breeding occurs annually between August and March (DAWE 2020a).

The National Conservation Values Atlas (DAWE 2020b) and the National Recovery Plan for Threatened Albatrosses and Giant Petrels 2011-2016 (DSEWPaC 2011) do not identify any BIAs for this species in the area from Busselton to the NT border.

Northern Giant Petrel

The northern giant petrel occupies the Antarctic Polar Front. In summer, it occurs predominantly in sub-Antarctic to Antarctic waters, usually between 40 and 64°. The northern giant-petrel breeds on sub-Antarctic islands. Its breeding range extends into the Antarctic zone at South Georgia. It nests in coastal areas where vegetation or broken terrain offers shelter, on sea-facing slopes, headlands, in the lee of banks, under or against vegetation clumps, below cliffs or overhanging rocks, or in hollows. On Campbell Island, it nests on the edge of the coastal plateau. Tussock-grass is widespread at many breeding sites. Its nests are built in secluded, coastal sites, sheltered by heavy vegetation. On Antipodes Island, it nests under *Senecio antipoda* (DoE 2014d).

The National Conservation Values Atlas (DAWE 2020b) does not identify any BIAs for this species in the area spanning SW WA to the NT border.

Soft-Plumaged Petrel

The soft-plumaged petrel is generally found over temperate and subantarctic waters in the South Atlantic, Southern Indian and western South Pacific Oceans. The species breeds colonially on islands in the southern oceans. Breeding occurs from August to May (Marchant & Higgins 1990 in DAWE 2020a).

A BIA for this species is identified for foraging in seas north to 21°30'S off WA.

Blue Petrel

The blue petrel is marine species of the Sub Antarctic and Antarctic seas. In summer, it occurs mainly over waters of -2 to 2° C in surface temperature, but it also ranges south to the edge of the pack-ice and north to approximately 30° south, or further north over cool currents (DoE 2014e). In the Antarctic, it generally avoids the pack-ice, and only occasionally approaches the edge of the ice. Given the location of the EMBA, this species is unlikely to occur.

The National Conservation Values Atlas (DAWE 2020b) does not identify any BIAs for this species in the area spanning SW WA to the NT border.

Abbott's Booby

Currently, Abbott's booby is only known to breed on Christmas Island and to forage in the waters surrounding the island and south-east Asia (TSSC 2020b). Within Christmas Island, most nests are found in the tall plateau forest on the central and western areas of the island, and in the upper terrace forest of the northern coast.

The National Conservation Values Atlas (DoEE 2019b) does not identify any BIAs for this species in the area spanning SW WA to the NT border. Critical habitat is considered all known nesting trees and all forest vegetation within a 200m radius of known nesting trees on Christmas Island (TSSC 2020).

Australian Fairy Tern

The Australian fairy tern is distributed in a large geographic range between Australia, New Zealand and New Caledonia. Three subspecies have been identified, one of which is found in Australia. The Australian fairy tern occurs along the coasts of Victoria, Tasmania, South Australia and WA; occurring as far north as the Dampier Archipelago (DAWE 2020a). The subspecies has been found in embayments of a variety of habitats including offshore, estuarine or lacustrine islands, wetlands and mainland coastline (Higgins & Davies 1996 in DoE 2014b, Lindsey 1986).

Australian fairy terns nest on sheltered sandy beaches, spits and banks above the high tide line and below vegetation. The Australian fairy tern breeds from August to February depending on the location of the breeding colony (Higgins & Davies 1996 in DAWE 2020a). They generally nest in small colonies of up to 100 birds, although larger colonies of more than 1400 pairs have been reported in Western Australia (Hill *et al.* 1988).

The National Conservation Values Atlas (DAWE 2020b) identifies the vicinity of the lower north-west coast (north to Dampier Archipelago) and west coast (south to Peel inlet) as BIAs for foraging. Biologically important breeding areas were also identified scattered along the coast between Shark Bay and the Pilbara (**Table 8-6**).

Christmas Island White-tailed Tropicbird

The Christmas Island white-tailed tropicbird is endemic to Christmas Island and leaves the island to forage in the warm waters of the Indian Ocean (Garnett 2011). The white-tailed tropicbird roots at sea; only incubating or brooding adults remain on nests on the island at night (Stokes 1988).

The National Conservation Values Atlas (DAWE 2020b) does not identify any BIAs for this species within the EMBA.

Fairy Prion (southern)

The fairy prion is distributed off the cold-water coasts of Antarctica and southern Australia and New Zealand. The southern subspecies is known to breed on Macquarie Island, Langdon Point, Davis Point and Bishop and Clerk islands (Garnett & Crowley 2000). It is estimated that the population of the fairy prion (southern) is a little over 50 pairs (Brothers 1984).

The National Conservation Values Atlas (DAWE 2020b) does not identify any BIAs for this species within the EMBA.




Figure 8-1: Biological important areas – birds – Northern WA




Figure 8-2: Biologically important areas – birds – Southern WA

Table 8-2: Summary of information for birds listed as threatened under the EPBC Act that may be in the EMBA

| Species | Species Expected in EMBA | Breeding in the Area /Seasonality | Foraging |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Shorebirds | | | |
| Red knot | Yes | No | Intertidal invertebrates |
| Curlew sandpiper | Yes | No | Polychaete worms, molluscs and crustaceans taken from shorelines |
| Great knot | Yes | No | Bivalves, gastropods, crustaceans and other invertebrates taken from shorelines |
| Greater sand plover/lesser sand plover | Yes | No | Marine invertebrates taken from shorelines |
| Bar-tailed godwit | Yes | No | Annelids, bivalves and crustaceans taken from shorelines |
| Eastern curlew | Yes | No | Marine invertebrates associated with seagrass |
| Australasian bittern | Yes | No | Other small animals, insects, snails and spiders |
| Australian painted snipe | Yes | No | Seeds and small invertebrates |
| Western Alaskan bar-tailed godwit | Yes | No | Worms, molluscs, crustaceans, insects |
| Northern Siberian bar-tailed godwit | Yes | No | Worms, molluscs, crustaceans, insects and some plant material |
| Seabirds | | | |
| Australian lesser noddy | May forage from Kalbarri to Shark Bay | No | Small fish taken from marine and coastal waters (DoE 2014b) |
| Amsterdam albatross | Low densities | No | Cephalopods, fish and crustaceans taken from marine and coastal waters. |
| Antipodean albatross | Low densities | No | Cephalopods, fish and crustaceans taken from marine and coastal waters. |
| Black-browed albatross | Low densities | No | Cephalopods, fish and crustaceans taken from marine and coastal waters. |
| Campbell albatross | Low densities | No | Cephalopods, fish, salps, jellyfish and crustaceans taken from marine and coastal waters. |
| Indian yellow-nosed albatross | Low densities | No | Cephalopods, and fish taken from marine and coastal waters. |
| Northern royal albatross | Low densities | No | Cephalopods, fish, salps and crustaceans taken from marine and coastal waters. |
| Shy albatross | Low densities | No | Cephalopods, fish and crustaceans taken from marine and coastal waters. |
| Sooty Albatross | Low densities | No | Cephalopods, fish, crustaceans, siphonophores and penguin carrion taken from marine waters. |

| Species | Species Expected in EMBA | Breeding in the Area /Seasonality | Foraging |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Southern royal albatross | Low densities | No | Cephalopods, and fish taken from marine and coastal waters. |
| Tristan albatross | Low densities | No | Cephalopods, fish and crustaceans taken from marine waters. |
| Wandering albatross | Low densities | No | Cephalopods, fish and crustaceans taken from marine and coastal waters. |
| White-capped albatross | Low densities | No | Cephalopods and fish taken from marine and coastal waters. |
| Southern & Northern giant petrel | Low densities | No | Scavenges penguin, seal and whale carcasses. Hunts live birds, penguin chicks' cephalopods and krill. Marine and coastal waters (DoE 2014b) |
| Soft-plumaged petrel | Low densities | No | Cephalopods, fish and crustaceans taken from marine and coastal waters (DoE 2014b) |
| Australian fairy tern | Yes | Yes Aug to Feb | Bait fish taken from coastal waters |
| Fairy prion (southern) | Very low densities | No | Small pelagic crustaceans, small fish and squid |
| Christmas Island frigatebird | Low densities | No | Planktonic crustaceans, fish and squid |
| Abbott's booby | Low densities | No | Fish and squid |
| Blue petrel | Low densities | No | Crustaceans, small fish and squid |
| Christmas Island white-tailed tropicbird | Very low densities | No | Squid and flying fish |

8.3 Migratory Species

The EPBC PMST search identified an additional 44 species listed as migratory under the EPBC Act that may occur within the EMBA. These species are listed in **Table 8-3**. All of these species are also listed as migratory under the BC Act, with the exception of the flesh-footed shearwater, which is listed as vulnerable under the BC Act. Those species that are listed as both migratory and threatened under either the EPBC Act and/or BC Act are outlined in **Table 8-1** and are not repeated within **Table 8-3**.

Table 8-3: Summary of migratory birds that may occur within the EMBA

| Species | Common Name | Likelihood of occurrence in EMBA |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| <i>Limnodromus semipalmatus</i> | Asian dowitcher | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Limosa lapponica</i> | Bar-tailed godwit | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| <i>Limosa limosa</i> | Black-tailed godwit | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Onychoprion anaethetus</i> | Bridled tern | Breeding known to occur within area |
| <i>Limicola falcinellus</i> | Broad-billed sandpiper | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Sula leucogaster</i> | Brown booby | Breeding known to occur within area |
| <i>Hydroprogne caspia</i> | Caspian tern | Breeding known to occur within area |

| Species | Common Name | Likelihood of occurrence in EMBA |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| <i>Tringa nebularia</i> | Common greenshank | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| <i>Anous stolidus</i> | Common noddy | Breeding known to occur within area |
| <i>Tringa totanus</i> | Common redshank | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Actitis hypoleucos</i> | Common sandpiper | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| <i>Thalasseus bergii</i> | Crested tern | Breeding known to occur within area |
| <i>Charadrius bicinctus</i> | Double-banded plover | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Ardenna carneipes</i> | Flesh-footed shearwater | Breeding known to occur within area |
| <i>Apus pacificus</i> | Fork-tailed swift | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| <i>Fregata minor</i> | Greater frigatebird | Breeding known to occur within area |
| <i>Pluvialis squatarola</i> | Grey plover | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Tringa brevipes</i> | Grey-tailed tattler | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Fregata ariel</i> | Lesser frigatebird | Breeding known to occur within area |
| <i>Tringa stagnatilis</i> | Little greenshank | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Sternula albifrons</i> | Little tern | Breeding known to occur within area |
| <i>Calidris subminuta</i> | Long-toed stint | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| <i>Sula dactylatra</i> | Masked booby | Breeding known to occur within area |
| <i>Charadrius veredus</i> | Oriental plover | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Glareola maldivarum</i> | Oriental pratincole | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Pandion haliaetus</i> | Osprey | Breeding known to occur within area |
| <i>Pluvialis fulva</i> | Pacific golden plover | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Calidris melanotos</i> | Pectoral sandpiper | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| <i>Sula sula</i> | Red-footed booby | Breeding known to occur within area |
| <i>Phalaropus lobatus</i> | Red-necked phalarope | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Calidris ruficollis</i> | Red-necked stint | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Phaethon rubricauda</i> | Red-tailed tropicbird | Breeding known to occur within area |
| <i>Sterna dougallii</i> | Roseate tern | Breeding known to occur within area |
| <i>Arenaria interpres</i> | Ruddy turnstone | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Philomachus pugnax</i> | Ruff (reeve) | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Calidris alba</i> | Sanderling | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Calidris acuminata</i> | Sharp-tailed sandpiper | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Ardenna grisea</i> | Sooty shearwater | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| <i>Calonectris leucomelas</i> | Streaked shearwater | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| <i>Xenus cinereus</i> | Terek sandpiper | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Ardenna pacifica</i> | Wedge-tailed shearwater | Breeding known to occur within area |
| <i>Numenius phaeopus</i> | Whimbrel | Roosting known to occur within area |
| <i>Phaethon lepturus</i> | White-tailed tropicbird | Breeding known to occur within area |

| Species | Common Name | Likelihood of occurrence in EMBA |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| <i>Tringa glareola</i> | Wood sandpiper | Roosting known to occur within area |

Australia is signatory to three international treaties with China, Japan and the Republic of Korea to safeguard migratory bird species, predominantly shorebirds. To facilitate observance of the three agreements, 36 species of migratory shorebirds have been listed as specially protected under both the Commonwealth EPBC Act and the WA BC Act.

Three internationally recognised areas that support shorebird migrations are protected as wetlands of international importance; Ashmore Reef, Eighty-mile Beach and Roebuck Bay. These wetlands are discussed further in **Section 9.2**.

The EPBC Act Policy Statement 3.21 sets out criteria for determining the significance of sites to migratory shorebirds based on the number of migratory species and the proportion of a species population that is supported by the site (Commonwealth of Australia 2017b). Site significance can be difficult to assess, particularly for ephemeral inland wetlands. These areas may be used rarely, depending weather conditions, but still provide important habitat for migratory shorebird species.

Migratory shorebirds require a particular conservation approach due to their migration patterns that take them across international boundaries (Bamford *et al.* 2008). These species and their habitats are sensitive to threats due to their high site fidelity, tendency to aggregate, high energy demands and the need for habitat networks containing both roosting and foraging sites (Commonwealth of Australia 2017b). Migratory shorebirds are known to use networks of connected sites (also known as site complexes). They move within these networks depending on the time of day, availability of resources and environmental conditions at the site (Commonwealth of Australia 2017b).

The types of habitat used by migratory shorebirds in Australia vary across the species identified in the PMST search. Migratory shorebirds use both coastal and inland habitats that most commonly include:

- + Coastal habitats: coastal wetlands, estuaries, mudflats, rocky inlets, reefs and sandy beaches, sometimes supporting mangroves; and
- + Inland habitats: inland wetlands, floodplains and grassland areas, often with ephemeral water sources (Commonwealth of Australia 2017b).

Feeding guilds provide an explanation for much of the shorebird distribution pattern in the north Western Australia. For example, Rogers (1999) classified shorebirds (and others) in Roebuck Bay as belonging to seven guilds on the basis of prey choice and foraging method. In order of abundance, these are summarised in **Table 8-4**.

Table 8-4: Feeding guilds based on prey choice and foraging method (Rogers 1999) adapted from DEC (2003) and Bennelongia (2008)

| Feeding habitat | Feeding guild | Species |
|--|--|---|
| Sea edge | Tactile hunters of macrobenthos | Great knot, red knot, bar-tailed godwit, black-tailed godwit, Asian dowitcher |
| Along sandy sea edges or near tidal creeks | Tactile hunters of microbenthos | Curlew sandpiper, red-necked stint, broad-billed sandpiper, marsh sandpiper, sharp-tailed sandpiper |
| Reefs or mangrove fringes | Visual hunters of slow surface-dwelling prey | Common sandpiper, sooty oystercatcher, pied oystercatcher, silver gull, ruddy turnstone |
| Sandier western parts of Roebuck Bay, often near-shore | Visual hunters of small fast prey | Grey plover, red-capped plover, greater sand plover, lesser sand plover, grey-tailed tattler, terek sandpiper |

| Feeding habitat | Feeding guild | Species |
|---|---|--|
| Soft mudflats in north-east Roebuck Bay | Visual hunters of fast large prey | Eastern curlew, whimbrel, greenshank, striated heron and black-necked stork |
| Soft mudflats in north-east Roebuck Bay | Kleptoparasites | Gull-billed tern (robs large crabs from whimbrels) |
| Creek-lines in eastern Roebuck Bay | Pelagic hunters of nekton (animals of the pelagic zone) and neuston (animals that live on the surface film) | Black-winged stilt, red-necked avocet, reef egret, little egret, great white egret, white-faced heron, royal spoonbill |

The Wildlife Conservation Plan for Migratory Shorebirds (DoE 2015) provides a framework to guide the conservation of migratory shorebirds and their habitat in Australia and, in recognition of their migratory habits, outlines national activities to support their appreciation and conservation throughout the East Asian-Australasian Flyway.

The following migratory shorebird species are subject to the Wildlife Conservation Plan for Migratory Shorebirds 2015 (DoE 2015).

Table 8-5: Birds subject to the Wildlife Conservation Plan for Migratory Shorebirds 2015

| Migratory species | DoEE SPRAT information on distribution within the area of interest |
|------------------------|---|
| Asian dowitcher | The Asian dowitcher is a regular visitor to the north-west between Port Hedland and Broome. Elsewhere they are sporadic and rare. In the NT, the Asian dowitcher is found in Darwin and Arnhem Land. In WA, the species has been recorded at Albany, Lake McLarty, Lake McLeod, north-east Pilbara and the south-west Kimberley division. It has also been recorded at the Port Hedland Saltworks, Roebuck Bay, Ashmore Reed and Eighty Mile Beach. |
| Bar-tailed godwit | The bar-tailed godwit has been recorded in the coastal areas of all Australian states. In WA, it is widespread around the coast, from Eyre to Derby, with a few scattered records elsewhere in the Kimberley. |
| Black-tailed godwit | The black-tailed godwit is found in all states and territories of Australia; however, it prefers coastal regions and the largest populations are found on the north coast between Darwin and Weipa. The population that inhabits Roebuck Bay is approximately 7,374 (>1% of the species total population). |
| Broad-billed sandpiper | In WA, few records occur in the south-west, but the broad-billed sandpiper may be regular in small numbers at scattered locations, from Warden Lake Nature Reserve and Coramup Creek to Guraga Lake Nature Reserve and Hurstview Lake. Individuals mostly occur on the coasts of the Pilbara and Kimberley between Onslow and Broome but are also recorded north to the mouth of Lawley River, and inland at Lake Daley. |
| Common greenshank | The common greenshank occurs around most of the coast from Cape Arid in the south to Carnarvon in the north-west. In the Kimberley region, it is recorded in the south-west and the north-east, with isolated records from the Bonaparte Archipelago. WA has three sites of international importance for the common greenshank which include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Eighty Mile Beach (2,240 individuals); + Wilson Inlet (568 individuals); and + Roebuck Bay (560 individuals). |
| Common redshank | In Western Australia (WA), the species is vagrant to the south-west with records at Peel Inlet, Coodanup, the Gascoyne region, Coral Bay and Carnarvon. |
| Common sandpiper | WA distribution includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Roebuck Bay; and + Nuytsland Nature Reserve. |
| Double-banded plover | The double-banded plover can be found in both coastal and inland areas. There are no nationally significant sites within WA. |

| Migratory species | DoEE SPRAT information on distribution within the area of interest |
|---------------------|--|
| Fork-tailed swift | <p>In WA, there are sparsely scattered records of the fork-tailed swift along the south coast, ranging from near the Eyre Bird Observatory and west to Denmark. They are widespread in coastal and subcoastal areas between Augusta and Carnarvon, including some on nearshore and offshore islands. They are scattered along the coast from south-west Pilbara to the north and east Kimberley region, near Wyndham. There are sparsely scattered inland records, especially in the Wheatbelt, from Lake Annean and Wittenoom. They are found in the north and north-west Gascoyne Region, north through much of the Pilbara Region, and the south and east Kimberley (Higgins 1999).</p> |
| Great knot | <p>The great knot has been recorded around the entirety of the Australian coast, with a few scattered records inland. The greatest numbers are found in northern Australia; where the species is common on the coasts of the Pilbara and Kimberley, from the Dampier Archipelago to the Northern Territory border.</p> <p>Important sites for great knot in Western Australia include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Eighty Mile Beach (169,044 individuals); and + Roebuck Bay (22,600 individuals). |
| Greater sand plover | <p>In Australia, the greater sand plover occurs in coastal areas in all states, though the greatest numbers occur in northern Australia, especially the north-west. In northern Australia, the species is especially widespread between North West Cape and Roebuck Bay in Western Australia and are sparsely scattered records from the largely inaccessible area between Roebuck Bay and Darwin.</p> <p>Internationally important sites within Western Australia include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Eighty Mile Beach (64,548 individuals); + Roebuck Bay (26,900 individuals); and + Ashmore Reef (1,196 individuals). |
| Grey plover | <p>In Australia, the grey plover has been recorded in all states, where it is found along the coasts and are recorded frequently between Albany and the northern Kimberley coast. Internationally important sites include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Eighty Mile Beach (1,650 individuals); + Roebuck Bay (1,300 individuals); + Peel Inlet (600 individuals); and + Nuytsland Nature Reserve (409 individuals). |
| Grey-tailed tattler | <p>There are a few scattered records for the species along the south coast near the Eyre Bird Observatory, Point Malcolm, Rossiter Bay, Shark Lake Nature Reserve and surrounding swampland. It is found in the south-west between Augusta and Cervantes. The grey-tailed tattler is widespread from Houtman Abrolhos and the mainland adjacent to the Kimberley Division. It has also been recorded inland at Lake Argyle and on islands off the coast.</p> |
| Lesser sand plover | <p>Within Australia, the lesser sand-plover is widespread in coastal regions and has been recorded in all states. It mainly occurs in northern and eastern Australia, in south-eastern parts of the Gulf of Carpentaria, western Cape York Peninsula and islands in Torres Strait, and along the entire east coast, though it occasionally also occurs inland. In Western Australia, the following are important sites:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Eighty Mile Beach (1,575 individuals); + Roebuck Bay (1,057 individuals); + Broome (745 individuals); and + Port Hedland Saltworks (668 individuals). |
| Little greenshank | <p>The marsh sandpiper is found on coastal and inland wetlands throughout Australia found mainly on the coast in Western Australia.</p> <p>National sites of importance within Western Australia include:</p> |

| Migratory species | DoEE SPRAT information on distribution within the area of interest |
|-----------------------|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Port Hedland Saltworks (500 individuals); + Peel inlet (276 individuals); and + Eighty Mile Beach (140 individuals). |
| Long-toed stint | <p>In Western Australia, the species is found mainly along the coast, with a few scattered inland records. On the south coast the Long-toed Stint is found from Esperance to Albany and inland to Lake Cassencarry and Dumbleyung. On the south-west coast the species is known from the Vasse River estuary, Guraga Lake and the Namming Nature Reserve. The species has occasionally been recorded in the Gascoyne Region, around Lake Wooleen, Meeberrie Station and McNeill Claypan. It is widespread around the Pilbara region and the Kimberley Division between Karratha and Wyndham-Kununurra. Inland records include Lake Brown, Hannan Lake, Lake Biolet, Newman Sewage Farm and Lake Gregory.</p> |
| Oriental plover | <p>Internationally important marine sites:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Eighty Mile Beach (approximately 60,000 birds); and + Roebuck Bay (Approximately 8,500 birds). |
| Oriental pratincole | <p>Internationally important site:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Eighty Mile Beach (2.88 million birds). <p>The species occurs at numerous and widespread sites in northern Australia, especially near the Pilbara and Kimberley coasts of northern WA.</p> |
| Pacific golden plover | <p>In Western Australia, the species is seldom recorded along the southern or south-western coasts but is more widespread along the Pilbara and Kimberley coasts between North-West Cape.</p> <p>Internationally important sites include Eighty Mile Beach with 440 individuals.</p> |
| Pectoral sandpiper | <p>In Australasia, the pectoral sandpiper prefers shallow fresh to saline wetlands. The species is found at coastal lagoons, estuaries, bays, swamps, lakes, inundated grasslands, saltmarshes, river pools, creeks, floodplains and artificial wetlands.</p> <p>The species is usually found in coastal or near coastal habitat but occasionally found further inland. It prefers wetlands that have open fringing mudflats and low, emergent or fringing vegetation, such as grass or samphire.</p> |
| Red knot | <p>The red knot large numbers are regularly recorded in north-west Australia, with 80 Mile Beach and Roebuck Bay being particular strongholds.</p> |
| Red-necked phalarope | <p>The red-necked phalarope is a regular at the Port Hedland Saltworks and Rottneest Island, Western Australia. The species is also found at the ICI Saltworks in South Australia.</p> |
| Red-necked stint | <p>The red-necked stint has been recorded in all coastal regions and found inland in all states when conditions are suitable. The red-necked stint probably travels in flocks and has been observed to feed in dense flocks. The Australian population was estimated at 353,000.</p> <p>Internationally important sites include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Eighty Mile Beach (60,000 individuals); + Port Hedland Salt Works (23,000 individuals); + Roebuck Bay (19,800 individuals); + Wilson Inlet (15,252 individuals) + Alfred Cove Nature Reserve (10,000 individuals); + Lake Macleod (8,312 individuals); and + Peel Inlet (8,063 individuals). |
| Ruddy turnstone | <p>The ruddy turnstone is widespread within Australia during its non-breeding period of the year. Australian sites of international importance include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Eighty Mile Beach (3,480 individuals); + Ashmore Reef (2,230 individuals); + Roebuck Bay (2,060 individuals); |

| Migratory species | DoEE SPRAT information on distribution within the area of interest |
|------------------------|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Barrow Island (1,733 individuals); and + Lacepede Islands (1,050 individuals). |
| Ruff (reeve) | <p>In Western Australia, the species has been recorded at the lower King River and it is mostly found in the south-west region of the state. It has been sighted at the Vasse River estuary, north to Namming Lake and Lake McLarty. It has been periodically recorded at Port Hedland, Kununurra and the Argyle Diamond Mine. There are unconfirmed reports at Curlewis Camp, Millstream Chichester, Broome and Roebuck Bay.</p> |
| Sanderling | <p>They occur on most of the coast from Eyre to Derby, and also around Wyndham. They are more often recorded on the south and southwest coasts, north to around southern Shark Bay, with more sparsely scattered records further north in Gascoyne and Pilbara Regions and the Kimberley Division.</p> <p>Important sites include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Eighty Mile Beach (2,230 individuals); + Ashmore Reef (1,132 individuals); and + Roebuck Bay (1,510 individuals). |
| Sharp-tailed sandpiper | <p>They are widespread from Cape Arid to Carnarvon, around coastal and subcoastal plains of Pilbara Region to south-west and east Kimberley Division (Higgins & Davies 1996).</p> |
| Streaked shearwater | <p>Exmouth Gulf to the north.</p> |
| Terek sandpiper | <p>In Western Australia (WA), the terek sandpiper is rarely seen on the south coast: occasionally around Eyre and several records around Albany. On Swan River plain, it has been recorded between Bunbury and the mouth of the Moore River. The species is widespread in the Pilbara region and Kimberley Division, from Dampier to Wyndham, with occasional records around Shark Bay.</p> <p>Internationally important sites include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Eighty Mile Beach (8,000 individuals); and + Roebuck Bay (1,840 individuals). |
| Whimbrel | <p>It is common and widespread from Carnarvon to the north-east Kimberley Division, Western Australia. It is occasionally seen on the south coast of Western Australia and has occasionally been recorded in south-west Western Australia and further north to Shark Bay.</p> |
| Wood sandpiper | <p>The wood sandpiper has its largest numbers recorded in north-west Australia, with all areas of national importance located in Western-Australia:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Parry Floodplain (Wyndham) (355 individuals) + Camballin (185 individuals) + Lake Argyle (90 individuals) + Shark Bay area, (80 individuals) + Vasse-Wonnerup estuary (61 individuals) + Lake McLarty (64 individuals) + Kogolup Lakes (60 Individuals) |

Shorebird migration patterns are seasonal and vary according to species (DSEWPac 2012). Generally, shorebirds migrate to northern Australia in August to November. Many birds remain in northern Australia but others disperse southwards (Bennelongia 2011). Migratory shorebird numbers on northern beaches peak in November then again in March as the majority of birds begin their return to the northern hemisphere between March and May. Most migratory shorebirds do not breed in Australia and juvenile birds may spend several years in Australia before reaching maturity and returning north to breed (DEWHA 2009).

8.4 Biologically Important Areas / Critical Habitat– Birds

Table 8-6 below provides an overview of BIAs in the EMBA for birds. The DAWE may make recovery plans for threatened fauna listed under the EPBC Act. The EPBC Act requires that ‘habitat critical to the survival of the listed threatened species’ is identified in recovery plans, relevant recovery plans are listed in **Section 13.2**⁸.

In addition, both the EPBC Act and WA BC Act and associated regulations (2018) provide for the listing of critical habitat - habitat ‘critical to the survival of the threatened species’.

Table 8-6: Critical habitat/ biologically important areas - birds

| Species | Scientific name | Aggregation area and use | Specific geographic locations for species |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| Abbott's booby | <i>Papsula abbotti</i> | All known nesting trees and all forest vegetation within a 200m radius of known nesting trees for Abbott's booby | Christmas Island |
| Australasian bittern | <i>Botaurus poiciloptilus</i> | All natural habitat (including constructed wetlands with suitable habitat) | Western coastal plain between Lancelin and Busselton Southern coastal region from Augusta to east of Albany |
| Australian fairy tern | <i>Sternula nereis</i> | Foraging – Kimberley, Pilbara and Gascoyne coasts and islands | Found in the vicinity of lower north-west coast (north to Dampier Archipelago), west coast (south to Peel Inlet) and south coast (from Flinders Bay east to Israelite Bay), including islands (as far offshore as Trimouille Island and Houtman Abrolhos). Pilbara and Gascoyne coasts and islands |
| Australian lesser noddy | <i>Anous tenuirostris melanops</i> | Foraging - Houtman Abrolhos Islands | Houtman Abrolhos Islands |
| Bridled tern | <i>Onychoprion anaethetus</i> | Foraging - West coast of Western Australia and around to Recherche Archipelago | West coast of WA and around to Recherche Archipelago including offshore waters |
| Brown Booby | <i>Sula leucogaster</i> | Breeding, foraging - Kimberley and northern Pilbara coasts and islands also Ashmore Reef. | Kimberley and northern Pilbara coasts and islands also Ashmore Reef. |
| Caspian tern | <i>Sterna caspia</i> | Foraging - mainly islands (as far offshore as Adele, Bedout, Trimouille and the Houtman Abrolhos) | In WA found on most coasts, mainly islands (as far offshore as Adele, Bedout, Trimouille and the Houtman Abrolhos) and at Lake Argyle, Lake Gregory and Lake MacLeod; accidental elsewhere in the interior. |
| Common noddy | <i>Anous stolidus</i> | Foraging | Around Houtman Abrolhos Around Lancelin Island |
| Flesh footed shearwater | <i>Ardenna carneipes</i> | Foraging, aggregation (pre-migration) - Kimberley, Pilbara and Gascoyne coasts and islands including Ashmore Reef | Foraging from Cape Naturaliste to Eyre, 1-150 km offshore. Pre-departure zone in some years from Rottnest Island to Bunbury. |

⁸ Further background information on BIA and identification of critical habitat in recovery plans is provided in Section 5.4.

| Species | Scientific name | Aggregation area and use | Specific geographic locations for species |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| Christmas Island frigatebird | <i>Fregata andrewsii</i> | All forest containing nesting and roosting sites, including currently known nesting and roosting colonies and any other smaller groups of nests and roosts | Christmas Island |
| Greater frigatebird | <i>Fregata minor</i> | Breeding, foraging - Kimberley and Ashmore Reef | Kimberley and Ashmore Reef |
| Great-winged petrel | <i>Pterodroma macroptera</i> | Foraging - Offshore south of Shark Bay | Offshore south of Shark Bay, extending around south-west corner of WA and east past Kangaroo Island |
| Indian Yellow-nosed Albatross | <i>Thalassarche carteri</i> | Foraging - south-west marine region, north to Shark Bay and extending east into Bass Strait | Throughout offshore waters of south-west marine region, north to Shark Bay and extending east into Bass Strait |
| Lesser crested tern | <i>Sterna bengalensis</i> | Breeding, foraging - Kimberley, Pilbara and Gascoyne coasts and islands including Ashmore Reef | Kimberley, Pilbara and Gascoyne coasts and islands including Ashmore Reef |
| Lesser frigatebird | <i>Fregata ariel</i> | Breeding, foraging – Kimberley and Pilbara coasts and islands also Ashmore Reef. | Kimberley and Pilbara coasts and islands also Ashmore Reef. |
| Little penguin | <i>Eudyptula minor</i> | Foraging - Perth to Bunbury | Perth to Bunbury |
| Little shearwater | <i>Puffinus assimilis</i> | Foraging - From Kalbarri to Eucla | From Kalbarri to Eucla including offshore waters |
| Little tern | <i>Sternula albifrons</i> | Breeding, foraging, resting - Kimberley, Pilbara and Gascoyne coasts and islands including Ashmore Reef Resting - Roebuck Bay | Kimberley, Pilbara and Gascoyne coasts and islands including Ashmore Reef Roebuck Bay Ramsar site |
| Pacific gull | <i>Larus pacificus</i> | Foraging –west coast and islands | West coast and islands from Point Quobba (24°30'S) south to Wedge Island (formerly south to Warnbro Sound and at Cape Naturaliste); casual further north (Point Cloates and Lake MacLeod). |
| Red-footed Booby | <i>Sula sula</i> | Breeding, foraging - north west Kimberley and Ashmore reef | North west Kimberley and Ashmore reef |
| Roseate tern | <i>Sterna dougallii</i> | Breeding, foraging – Islands and coastline in the Kimberley, Pilbara and Gascoyne regions Resting – Eighty Mile Beach | Eighty Mile Beach (northern end) Kimberley, Pilbara and Gascoyne coasts and islands including Ashmore Reef Low Rocks and Stern Island in Admiralty Gulf North-east and North-west Twin Islets near the mouth of King sound North-western and west coasts and islands from Sir Graham Moore Is (13°50'S), south to Mandurah (32°32'S) and as far offshore as |

| Species | Scientific name | Aggregation area and use | Specific geographic locations for species |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| | | | Ashmore Reef, Bedout Island and the Houtman Abrolhos. |
| Soft plumage petrel | <i>Pterodroma mollis</i> | Foraging - seas north to 21°30'S | In WA found in seas north to 21°30'S. |
| Sooty tern | <i>Sterna fuscata</i> | Foraging – Timor sea | Timor Sea S to 14°30, off northwest coast from Lacepede I SW to 117°E including Abrolhos, Fisherman & Lancelin Is, accidental on lower west coast to Hamelin Bay. Breeding visitor (late Aug - early May) Abrolhos & Lancelin Is; casual winter (Nov - Apr) to Fisherman |
| Wedge-tailed shearwater | <i>Ardenna pacifica</i> | Breeding, foraging – west coast from Ashmore Reef to Carnac I. Kimberley, Pilbara, Gascoyne coasts, Ashmore reef | Breeding (in hundreds of thousands) off west coast from Ashmore Reef (12°15'S) to Carnac Island (32°07'S), and ranging in western seas between 12°00'S and 33°20'S. Kimberley, Pilbara and Gascoyne coasts and islands including Ashmore Reef |
| White-faced storm petrel | <i>Pelagodroma marina</i> | Foraging (in high numbers) - Offshore areas of the south-west marine region and into the adjacent south-east marine region and the north-west marine region to north of Shark Bay | Offshore areas of the south-west marine region and into the adjacent south-east marine region and the north-west marine region to north of Shark Bay |
| White-tailed tropic bird | <i>Phaethon lepturus</i> | Breeding, foraging - Kimberley, Pilbara and Gascoyne coasts and islands including Ashmore Reef | Kimberley, Pilbara and Gascoyne coasts and islands including Ashmore Reef |

9. Protected Areas

A number of areas in the EMBA are protected under state and federal legislation. Protected areas include World Heritage Areas, Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar), Wetlands of National Importance, National and Commonwealth Heritage Places, and terrestrial conservation reserves (National Parks, Nature Reserves and Conservation Parks) that bound marine waters. These areas are listed in **Table 9-1**, and shown in **Figure 9-1**, **Figure 9-2** and **Figure 9-3**, and discussed below. Other protected areas include Key Ecological Features (discussed in **Section 10**) and State and Commonwealth Marine Parks/Reserves (discussed in **Section 11** and **Section 12**). A Protected Matters search of the EMBA (**Appendix A**) identified several protected areas which were deemed to be irrelevant to Santos' petroleum activities due to their terrestrial location (e.g. Forrestdale and Thomsons Lakes – Ramsar wetland).

The Register of the National Estate (RNE) provides a listing of more than 13,000 natural, historic and indigenous sites of significance. However, in 2012 all references to the RNE were removed from the EPBC Act and the *Australian Heritage Council Act 2003*. The RNE is now maintained on a non-statutory basis as a publicly available archive and educational resource. A protected matters search of the area from the South Australian border to the NT border listed 197 places on the RNE, although it is recognised that not all indigenous sites may be listed (**Appendix A**). The RNE places are not discussed further here but are listed in **Appendix A**.

Table 9-1: Summary of protected areas in waters within the EMBA

| Area type | Title |
|--|--|
| World Heritage Area | Shark Bay |
| | The Ningaloo Coast |
| Wetland of International Importance (Ramsar) | Eighty Mile Beach |
| | Roebuck Bay |
| | Ashmore Reef National Nature Reserve |
| | Becher Point wetlands |
| | Peel-Yalgorup System |
| | Vasse-Wonnerup System |
| | Hosnies Spring |
| | The Dales |
| Wetlands of National Importance | Ashmore Reef |
| | Mermaid Reef |
| | Vasse-Wonnerup Wetland System |
| | "The Dales", Christmas Island |
| | Eighty Mile Beach System |
| | Exmouth Gulf East |
| | Hosnies Spring, Christmas Island |
| | Hutt Lagoon System |
| | Lake Macleod |
| | Lake Thetis |
| | Learmonth Air Weapons Range – Saline Coastal Flats |
| | Leslie (Port Hedland) Saltfields System |
| | Prince Regent River System |

| Area type | Title |
|---|---|
| | Roebuck Bay |
| | Rottneest Island Lakes |
| | Shark Bay East |
| | Cape Leeuwin System |
| | Doggerup Creek System |
| | Cape Range Subterranean Waterways |
| | Yalgorup System |
| National Heritage Place | HMAS Sydney II and HSK Kormoran Shipwreck Sites (Historic) |
| | Batavia Shipwreck Site and Survivor Camps Area 1629- Houtman Abrolhos (Historic) |
| | Dirk Hartog Landing Site 1616 - Cape Inscription Area (Historic) |
| | Dampier Archipelago (including Burrup Peninsula) (Indigenous) |
| | The West Kimberley (Natural) |
| | The Ningaloo Coast (Natural) |
| | Shark Bay (Natural) |
| | Fitzgerald River National Park (Natural) |
| | Lesueur National Park (Natural) |
| Commonwealth Heritage Place | Scott Reef and Surrounds – Commonwealth Area |
| | Ningaloo Marine Area - Commonwealth Waters |
| | Mermaid Reef - Rowley Shoals |
| | Ashmore Reef National Nature Reserve |
| | Garden Island |
| | Christmas Island Natural Areas |
| | Yampi Defence Area |
| | Learnmonth Air Weapons Range Facility |
| | Lancelin Defence Training Area |
| Threatened Ecological Communities | Monsoon Vine Thickets on the Ridge on the Coastal Sand Dunes of Dampier Peninsula |
| | Roebuck Bay mudflats |
| | Subtropical and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh |
| | Trombolite (microbialite) Community of a Coastal Brackish Lake (Lake Clifton) |
| Terrestrial Conservation Reserves e.g. national parks, nature reserves, and conservation parks. | Numerous bounding marine waters – refer to Section 9.6 . |

9.1 World Heritage Areas

There are two World Heritage Areas located in marine waters of WA, both of which occur in the waters from the South Australian border to the NT border: the Ningaloo Coast and Shark Bay (DEC 2012).

9.1.1 Shark Bay

Shark Bay was included on the World Heritage List in 1991 and is one of the few properties inscribed for all four outstanding natural universal values:

- + An outstanding example representing the major stages in the earth's evolutionary history;
- + An outstanding example representing significant ongoing ecological and biological processes;
- + An example of superlative natural phenomena; and
- + Containing important and significant habitats for in situ conservation of biological diversity.

Since 1997, an agreement established the joint management of the Shark Bay WHA by the Australian Commonwealth government and the Western Australian state government, with the operational responsibility by the Western Australian agencies (DEWHA 2008a). This agreement also created a Community Consultative Committee and a Scientific Advisory Committee, both of which provide advice as required. The entire WHA encompasses islands and peninsulas, with an area of approximately 2.2 million hectares (70% of which is marine waters), and includes the following areas (UNESCO 2020):

- + Hamelin Pool Marine Nature Reserve;
- + Francois Peron National Park;
- + Shell Beach Conservation Park;
- + Monkey Mia Reserve;
- + Monkey Mia Conservation Park;
- + Zuytdorp Nature Reserve;
- + Bernier, Dorre and Koks Islands Nature Reserves;
- + Dirk Hartog Island National Park; and
- + Various pastoral leases.

The marine environment of the Shark Bay World Heritage Area is protected as a State Marine Reserve and is discussed further in **Section 11.1.3**.

9.1.2 The Ningaloo Coast

The Ningaloo Coast was included on the World Heritage List in 2011 and was inscribed for outstanding natural universal values as follows:

- + An example of superlative natural phenomena and areas of exceptional natural beauty and aesthetic importance;
- + outstanding examples representing major stages of Earth's history, including the record of life, significant on-going geological processes in the development of landforms, or significant geomorphic or physiographic features; and
- + the most important and significant natural habitats for in situ conservation of biological diversity, including those containing threatened species of outstanding universal value from the point of view of science or conservation.

The Ningaloo Coast WHA includes (DEWHA 2010b):

- + Ningaloo Marine Park (Commonwealth waters);
- + Ningaloo Marine Park (Western Australia state waters);
- + Muiron Island Marine Management Area (including the Muiron Islands);

- + Jurabi Coastal Park;
- + Bundegi Coastal Park;
- + Cape Range National Park; and
- + Learmonth Air Weapons Range.

The Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Area (including the Muiron Islands) is managed under a plan that is consistent with the World Heritage Convention and Australia's World Heritage management principles. World Heritage Management principles are set out in regulations and cover matters relevant to the preparation of management plans, the environmental assessment of actions that may affect the property and community consultation processes.

The Australian World Heritage management principles are outlined under Schedule 5 of the EPBC regulations (2000). The objective is to ensure that any likely impact of an action on the World Heritage values of the property should be considered. Any action should be consistent with the protection, conservation, presentation or transmission to future generations of the World Heritage values of the property.

The marine environment of the Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Area is protected as a State Marine Park, a Commonwealth Marine Park, and is discussed further in **Section 11.1.4** and **Section 12.3.4**, respectively.

9.2 Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar)

There are nine wetlands of international importance (Ramsar wetlands) in waters from the South Australian border to the NT border; all were listed in 1990 with the exception of Becher Point which was listed in 2001 and The Dales which was listed in 2002. The Ashmore Reef National Nature Reserve (listed in 2002) is also a Commonwealth Marine Park and is discussed further in **Section 12.3.12**.

9.2.1 Eighty Mile Beach

The Eighty Mile Beach Ramsar site comprises a 220 km beach between Port Hedland and Broome with extensive intertidal mudflats and Mandora Salt Marsh, located 40 km east (Hale & Butcher 2009) totalling 175,487 ha. Eighty Mile Beach is characterised by extensive mudflats supporting an abundance of macroinvertebrates which provide food for large numbers of shorebirds.

Eighty Mile Beach is one of the most important sites for migratory shorebirds in the East Asian Australasian Flyway, with 42 migratory shorebird species recorded at this location. It is estimated that 500,000 shorebirds use Eighty Mile Beach as a migration terminus annually (Hale and Butcher 2009), and more than 472,000 migratory waders have been counted on the mudflats during the September to November period. The location of Eighty Mile Beach makes it a primary staging area for many migratory shorebirds on their way to and from Alaska and eastern Siberia (Hale & Butcher 2009). Although many birds move further on their journey, others remain at the site for the non-breeding period.

Eighty-mile Beach supports more than one per cent of the flyway population (or one per cent of the Australian population for resident species) of 21 waterbirds, including 17 migratory species and four Australian residents. It is one of the most important sites in the world for the migration of Great Knot.

Eighty Mile Beach also supports a high diversity and abundance of wetland birds. A total of 97 wetland bird species have been recorded within the beach portion of the Ramsar site (Hale & Butcher 2009). This includes 42 species that are listed under international migratory agreements CAMBA (38), JAMBA (38) and ROKAMBA (32) as well as an additional 22 Australian species that are listed under the EPBC Act. In addition, there is a single record for Nordmann's Greenshank (*Tringa guttifer*) from the beach, which is listed as endangered under the IUCN Red List (IUCN 2019).

The Mandora Salt Marsh area contains an important and rare group of wetlands (Lake Walyarta and East Lake), including raised peat bogs, a series of small permanent mound springs and the most inland occurrence of mangroves in WA (Hale & Butcher 2009). A small number of tidal creeks dissect the beach, including Salt Creek which is fed partly from groundwater and has permanent surface water. The Mandora Salt Marsh lakes fill predominantly from rainfall and runoff in the wet season then dry back to clay beds. The mound springs

likely come from water deep within the Broome sandstone aquifer rising through fractures in the rock, and resulting in permanent mostly freshwater surface water. Flatback turtles (*Natator depressus*), listed as vulnerable under the EPBC Act, regularly nest at scattered locations along Eighty Mile Beach.

Eighty Mile Beach is used for beach based recreation, including four-wheel driving, motorcycling, fishing and shell collecting. Mandora Salt Marsh is mainly used for cattle grazing. The site is traditionally part of Karajarri Country in the north, Nyangumarta Country in the south and Ngarla Country in the southern end of Eighty Mile Beach. The site has artefacts such as middens, pinka (large baler shells used to scoop and carry water for drinking), wilura (used for sharpening spear heads), axes, and flakes, and kurtanyanu and jungari (grinding stones).

9.2.2 Roebuck Bay

The Roebuck Bay Ramsar site is located at Roebuck Bay near Broome in northern WA totalling 34,119 ha. Roebuck Bay has a large tidal range which exposes around 160 km² of mudflat, covering most of the Ramsar site (DoE 2014c). Waters more than 6 m deep at low tide are excluded from the site (Bennelongia 2009). The eastern edge of the site is made up of microscale linear tidal creeks (DoE 2014c).

The intertidal mud and sand flats support a high abundance of bottom dwelling invertebrates (between 300—500 benthic invertebrate species), which are a key food source for waterbirds (Bennelongia 2009). The site is one of the most important migration stop-over areas for shorebirds in Australia and globally. For many shorebirds, Roebuck Bay is the first Australian landfall they reach on the East Asian Australasian Flyway. The total numbers of waders using the site each year is estimated at over 300,000 (DoE 2014c). The northern beaches and Bush Point provide important high tide roost sites.

The site receives tidal seawater as well as fresh surface and groundwater, and the balance between the two influences the residual groundwater salinity and the distribution of plants and animals (DoE 2014c). Mangrove swamps line the eastern and southern edges of the site and extend up into the linear tidal creeks (DoE 2014c). They are important nursery areas for marine fishes and crustaceans, particularly prawns.

Extensive seagrass beds occur in the bay, providing an important feeding ground for dugongs and loggerhead and green turtles (Bennelongia 2009). Flatback turtles nest in small numbers, while marine fish (including sawfish) regularly breed in the tidal creeks and mangroves. Dolphins also regularly use the site (DoE 2014c).

The site is used for recreational or tourism activities such as fishing, crabbing, sightseeing and bird watching. Broome Bird Observatory, a small reserve at the northern end of the site, engages in shorebird research and public education.

Roebuck Bay lies in the traditional estate of Indigenous people belonging to both Jukun and Yawuru groups. The site was an important area for seasonal meetings, exchanging gifts, arranging marriages and settling disputes. Numerous shellfish middens, marking former camping places, can still be seen along coastal cliffs and dunes. Indigenous people continue to make extensive use of Roebuck Bay's natural resources for activities such as gathering shellfish, fishing and hunting.

9.2.3 Ashmore Reef National Nature Reserve

In addition to being listed as a National Nature Reserve, Ashmore Reef has been designated a Ramsar Wetland of International Importance due to the importance of the islands in providing a resting place for migratory shorebirds and supporting large breeding colonies of seabirds (Hale and Butcher, 2013). The reserve provides a staging point for many migratory wading birds from October to November and March to April as part of the migration between Australia and the northern hemisphere (Commonwealth of Australia, 2002). Migratory shorebirds use the reserve's islands and sand cays as feeding and resting areas during their migration.

Ashmore is the largest of the atolls in the Timor Province bioregion. The three islands within the site are also the only vegetated islands in the bioregion. Each of the wetland types present are in near natural condition and the site has the largest seagrass coverage in the bioregion. The reserve supports 64 species of internationally and nationally threatened species. This includes 41 species of hard reef forming coral, eight fish, six reptiles (including endangered and critically endangered sea turtles and seasnakes), five sea cucumbers, two giant clams, one soft coral and the dugong.

Ashmore Reef plays a primary role in the maintenance of biodiversity in reef systems in the region. The Reserve supports 275 species of reef building coral, 13 species of sea cucumbers, and high numbers of mollusc species. There are over 760 fish species, 13 species of sea snake, 99 species of decapod crustacean and 47 species of waterbird listed as migratory under international treaties. It supports breeding of 20 species of waterbirds including the brown booby, lesser frigatebird, crested tern, bridled tern, sooty tern and common noddy. The Ramsar site is also important for feeding for green turtles, hawksbill turtle and loggerhead turtle and critical nesting and inter-nesting habitats for green and hawksbill turtles.

Ashmore Reef regularly supports more than 20,000 waterbirds and has been known to support more than 65,000 waterbirds. The Ramsar site regularly supports more than one per cent of at least six species of waterbird including the sooty tern, bar-tailed godwit, grey-tailed tattler, ruddy turnstone, sanderling and greater sand plover.

9.2.4 Becher Point

The Becher Point Wetlands Ramsar site is a system of about sixty small wetlands located near Rockingham in south-west Western Australia and covers 677 ha. The wetlands are made up of chains of small, linear ovoid or irregular shaped basins arranged in five groups, each roughly parallel to the coast and separated by sand ridges (DoE 2014l). The wetlands are an example of shrub swamps and seasonal marshes that have formed in an extensive sequence of inter-dunal depressions that have arisen from seaward advancement of the coastline over recent millennia.

The wetlands in the site are shallow and fill seasonally. Rainfall in winter and spring recharges the groundwater, which rise up to waterlog the wetland basins. The wetlands then dry out again for summer to autumn. When flooded the wetlands are mainly freshwater (DoE 2014l).

The wetlands support sedgelands, herblands, grasslands, open-shrublands and low open-forests. The sedgelands that occur within the linear wetland depressions of the Ramsar site are a nationally listed threatened ecological community. At least four species of amphibians and 21 species of reptiles have been recorded within the wetlands, as well as the Southern Brown Bandicoot (DoE 2014l).

9.2.5 Peel-Yalgorup System

The Peel-Yalgorup System located adjacent to the city of Mandurah in Western Australia, is a large and diverse system of shallow estuaries, coastal saline lakes and freshwater marshes. The site includes the Peel Inlet, Harvey Estuary, Lake McLarty, Lake Mealup and ten Yalgorup National Park wetlands and covers an area of 26, 530 ha (DoE 2014m). Lake Clifton, which is part of the wetlands is one of the few locations in the world where thrombolites occur in inland, hyposaline waters. Thrombolites are underwater rock-like structures that are formed by the activities of microbial communities.

The Peel-Yalgorup System Ramsar site is the most important area for waterbirds in south-western Australia, supporting in excess of 20,000 waterbirds annually (DoE 2014m). It also supports a wide variety of invertebrates and estuarine and marine fish.

9.2.6 Vasse-Wonnerup System

The Vasse-Wonnerup System Ramsar wetland is situated in the Perth Basin, south-western Western Australia and covers an area of 1,115 ha. It is an extensive, shallow, nutrient-enriched wetland system of highly varied salinities. The site is located on a narrow, flat plain separated from the ocean by a narrow system of low dunes. The system is comprised of two former estuaries – the Vasse and Wonnerup lagoons (DoE 2014n).

The system supports tens of thousands of resident and migrant waterbirds of a wide variety of species. More than 33,000 waterbirds have been counted at the Vasse-Wonnerup System and more than 80 species have been recorded in the System including Red-necked Avocets and Black-winged Stilts, Wood Sandpiper, Sharp tailed Sandpiper, Long-toed Stint, Curlew Sandpiper and Common Greenshank (DoE 2014n).

9.2.7 Hosnies Spring

The Hosnies Spring Ramsar site is located on Christmas Island and is a small area of shallow freshwater streams and seepages, 20–45 metres above sea-level on the shore terrace of the east coast of the island

covering an area of approximately 199 ha. The site includes surrounding terrestrial areas with rainforest grading to coastal scrub and includes an area of shoreline and coral reef (DoEE 2019).

The Hosnies Spring Ramsar site supports a unique wetland of Christmas Island with the mangrove forest present at the site unique within the bioregion and possibly worldwide. The two species of mangroves that make up the stand, which normally grow intertidally, grow to a height of 24–37 m above sea level that have been estimated to have persisted for 120,000 years. Additionally, the site is important to blue crabs which rely on the freshwater provided by the spring and as a likely migratory route for the endemic red crab during breeding migrations (DoEE 2019).

9.2.8 The Dales

The Dales Ramsar site is located on Christmas Island and is comprised of a near-pristine system of seven watercourses collectively known as The Dales and covers an area of 585 ha. The Dales includes permanent and perennial streams, permanent springs, and include the majority of surface water on the Island. Most rainfall on Christmas Island filters down through the soil and limestone, and surface runoff only occurs after heavy rain. The Dales contain numerous wetland types including surface and karst features, and inland and coastal wetlands (DoEE 2019a).

The Dales support a number of unique ecological and geomorphic features including anchialine cave communities, surface karst including the unique stepped tufa deposits at Hugh's waterfall, a stand of Tahitian chestnuts, a large number of endemic terrestrial species and a significant number of seabirds including Abbott's booby, red-footed booby and the brown booby, all of which breed at the site, and provide essential habitat for the Christmas Island frigatebird (DoEE 2019a).

9.3 Wetlands of National Importance

9.3.1 Ashmore Reef

See the Ashmore Reef National Nature Reserve (**Section 9.2.3**) and Ashmore Reef Marine Park (**Section 12.3.12**).

9.3.2 Mermaid Reef

See the Mermaid Reef Marine Park (**Section 12.3.9**).

9.3.3 Vasse-Wonnerup Wetland System

See the Vasse-Wonnerup Wetland System (**Section 9.2.6**).

9.3.4 "The Dales", Christmas Island

See The Dales Ramsar site (**Section 9.2.8**).

9.3.5 Eighty Mile Beach System

See Eighty Mile Beach Ramsar site (**Section 9.2.1**).

9.3.6 Exmouth Gulf East

The Exmouth Gulf East wetlands are located in the eastern section of Exmouth Gulf from Giralia Bay to Urala Creek Locker Point. The wetland comprises of numerous tidal creeks, indentations and islands of dry land, mudflats, saline coastal flats and extensive mangroves (DAWE 2020a).

The site is one of the major population centres for dugongs in WA and its seagrass beds and extensive mangroves provide nursery and feeding areas for marine fishes and crustaceans in the Gulf. In addition, there are at least 29 species of birds which utilise the wetland, including 16 migratory shorebirds and several terns (DAWE 2020a).

9.3.7 Hosnies Spring, Christmas Island

See Hosnie's Spring Ramsar site (**Section 9.2.7**).

9.3.8 Hutt Lagoon System

The Hutt Lagoon System wetlands (3,000 ha) are located within the Geraldton Sandplains and comprises of Hutt Lagoon and the lakes and marshes immediately north-west and south-east of the lagoon, notably Utcha Swamp. The system is a coastal brine lake which runs parallel to the coast (DAWE 2020b).

Hutt Lagoon is a migratory stop-over for migratory waders, however numbers using the area vary greatly between years and are likely to be lower when northern and inland waterbodies are extensively flooded. Breeding shorebirds include the Australasian grebe (*Tachybaptus novaehollandiae*), grey teal (*Anas gibberifrons*) and eurasian coot (*Fulica atra*) at Utcha Swamp (DAWE 2020b).

9.3.9 Lake Macleod

The Lake Macleod wetland (150,000 ha) is located in the Carnarvon bioregion and includes distinct "inner wetlands" (sinkholes, channels, lakes, marshes) in the west and "floodout marshes" at river mouths in the north-east. The wetland also includes a lakebed that is infrequently inundated. The lake lies parallel to the Indian Ocean, north of the Gascoyne River and located 30 km away from Shark Bay East wetland (DAWE 2020c).

The Lake Macleod is a major migration stop-over and drought refuge area for shorebirds; it is one of the most important non-tidal stop-over sites in Australia. It also supports Australia's largest inland community of mangroves and associated fauna. Fifty-eight species have been identified within the wetland with 29 being shorebirds and eight gulls and terns, with seven species found breeding (DAWE 2020c).

9.3.10 Lake Thetis

The Lake Thetis wetland (7 ha) is located in the Swan bioregion and comprises of seasonal marshes that form in interdunal areas to the south of the lake. Lake Thetis is distinguished by the presence of both a variety of benthic microbial communities (mats) and stromatolites. No threatened species or migratory species have been observed to utilise this wetland (DAWE 2020d).

9.3.11 Learmonth Air Weapons Range – Saline Coastal Flats

The Learmonth Air Weapons Range – Saline Coastal Flats wetland (300 ha) represents typical saline coastal flats subject to inundation and ponding. The vegetation typically has a low species richness, but its floristic composition and structure is highly distinctive and supports habitat specific fauna (DAWE 2020e).

Species composition of the wetland has little information however it is likely to possess a relatively diverse community (DAWE 2020e).

9.3.12 Leslie (Port Hedland) Saltfields System

The Leslie (Port Hedland) Saltfields System (13,000 ha) comprises a large saltfield, fringing coastal flats, tidal creeks and mudflats between the saltfields and the Indian Ocean.

The wetland is likely a major migration stop-over area for shorebirds in the East Asia-Australasia Flyway. It is possibly the most important stop-over site in the Flyway for the broad-billed sandpiper (*Limicola falcinellus*) and an important site for oriental plover (*Charadrius veredus*). It is also likely to be the most important site in Australia for Asian dowitcher (*Limnodromus semipalmatus*) and red-necked phalarope (*Phalaropus lobatus*) (DAWE 2020f).

9.3.13 Prince Regent River System

The site comprises of the entire Prince Regent River system and large areas of mangrove on either side of the river mouth in Saint George Basin (14,300 ha). The site is a tropical estuary and river system incised in a plateau and is characterised by mangrove-fringed embayments (DAWE 2020g).

The site comprises of a diverse assemblage of flora and fauna, and includes mangroves, riverine vegetation, waterbirds, frogs, reptiles and fish. The site includes some of the most suitable and extensive breeding habitat for the saltwater crocodile in WA, well developed river banks with thick stands of reed and grasses (DAWE 2020g).

9.3.14 Roebuck Bay

See Roebuck Bay Ramsar site (**Section 9.2.2**).

9.3.15 Rottnest Island Lakes

The Rottnest Island Lakes wetland site comprises of a cluster of 18 lakes and swamps on the north-east part of Rottnest Island (180 ha). The site is a breeding area for Australian shelduck (*Tadorna tadornoides*) and major breeding area for Australian fairy tern (*Sterna nereis nereis*). The lakes are also a major migration stop-over area for shorebirds in south-western Australia and provide a significant drought refuge area for shorebirds, notably the banded stilt (*Cladorhynchus leucocephalus*) (DAWE 2020h).

9.3.16 Shark Bay East

The Shark Bay East wetland site extends along 250 km of coastline in the east arm of Shark Bay, from the mouth of the Gascoyne River (Carnarvon) south to latitude 26 S. The site comprises tidal wetlands and marine waters that are less than 6 m deep at low tide (up to approximately 10 km from shore). The wetland is a large, shallow marine embayment that support extensive seagrass beds and substantial areas of intertidal mud/sand-flats and mangrove swamp (DAWE 2020i).

The mangroves, algae and seagrasses present at the site are important for both dugongs and green turtles. A total of 69 species have been identified within the wetland including the threatened little tern (*Sterna albifrons*) and 33 shorebirds. A total of six species have been identified to be breeding within the wetland (Australian pelican, great egret, little egret, unidentified cormorants and striated herons). The site is also a stop-over for 24 species of migratory shorebirds (DAWE 2020i).

9.3.17 Cape Leeuwin System

The Cape Leeuwin System site is a small coastal valley, approximately 20 ha in size. Seepage from a series of freshwater springs feed an elongate swamp on the floor of the valley and moistens areas of the limestone and granite coastline to the west (DAWE 2020j). The site has been identified as the habitat for the largest known population of the rare aquatic gastropod mollusc; the Cape Leeuwin freshwater snail (*Austroassiminea lethra* (Sr)) (DAWE 2020j).

9.3.18 Doggerup Creek System

The Doggerup Creek System site (2,500 ha) supports extensive flats subject to inundation in the north and east of its catchment. The site includes lakes (e.g. Doggerup, Samuel and Florence Lakes) and many small unnamed swamps. The site is an example of an 'acid peat flat' with small permanent lakes and river (DAWE 2020k).

The wetland plant communities include 32 species at Doggerup Lake, 19 at Lake Samuel and 35 at Lake Florence. The site is a major habitat for two aestivating inland fishes, *Galaxiella nigrostriata* and *Lepidogalaxias salamandroides*, that are endemic to the far south coast of WA. No threatened species have been identified within the site and it is not considered to be an important wetland for migratory shorebirds (DAWE 2020k).

9.3.19 Cape Range Subterranean Waterways

The Cape Range Subterranean Waterways wetland site comprises of the subterranean waterways, sinkholes, general groundwater and artificial wells of the coastal plain and foothills of Cape Range north of a line between Norwegian Bay, at the foot of the peninsula on the west coast, and the Bay of Rest in Exmouth Gulf (DAWE 2020l).

The site is one of the only examples of subterranean karst wetland system (apart from Barrow Island) in arid north-western Australia. Two threatened species have been identified within the wetland and include the blind cave eel and the blind gudgeon (DAWE 2020l).

9.3.20 Yalgorup System

See Peel-Yalgorup System Ramsar site (**Section 9.2.5**).

9.4 National Heritage Places

Natural, historic and indigenous places that are of outstanding heritage value to the Australian nation are recorded as National Heritage Places. Eleven National Heritage Places are found in waters from the South Australian border to the NT border, with nine of these occurring within the EMBA. Shark Bay and The Ningaloo Coast are listed as both World Heritage Areas and National Heritage Places, and are discussed in **Section 9.1**.

9.4.1 HMAS Sydney II and HSK Kormoran Shipwreck Sites

The naval battle fought in 1941 between the Australian warship HMAS Sydney II and the German commerce raider HSK Kormoran off the Western Australian coast during World War II was a defining event in Australia's cultural history. The loss of HMAS Sydney II, along with its entire crew of 645 following the battle with HSK Kormoran, remains Australia's worst naval disaster (DoE 2014d).

The shipwreck sites are comprised of two areas located approximately 290 km west-southwest of Carnarvon. The shipwrecks of the HMAS Sydney II and HSK Kormoran are located on the seabed approximately 22 km apart (DoE 2014d).

9.4.2 Batavia Shipwreck site and Survivor Camps Area 1629 - Houtman Abrolhos

The Batavia was included on the National Heritage List in 2006. This shipwreck is the oldest of the known Verenigde Oost-Indische Compagnie (VOC) wrecks on the WA coast and has a unique place in Australian shipwrecks. Because of its relatively undisturbed nature the archaeological investigation of the wreck itself has revealed a range of objects of considerable value to the artefact specialist and historian. The recovered sections of the hull of the Batavia that have been reconstructed in the Western Australian Maritime Museum and provides information on 17th century Dutch ship building techniques, while the remains of the cargo carried by the vessel have provided economic, and social evidence of the operation of the Dutch port at Batavia (now Jakarta) in the early 17th century (DoE 2014d).

9.4.3 The West Kimberley

The West Kimberley was included on the National Heritage List in 2011 and has numerous values which contribute to the significance of the property, including indigenous, historic, aesthetic, cultural and natural heritage values (DoE 2014d). Of these values, the most relevant to the marine environment is Roebuck Bay as a migratory hub for shorebirds. These values are discussed in **Section 9.2.2**. The area is characterised by a diversity of landscapes and biological richness found in its cliffs, headlands, sandy beaches, rivers, waterfalls and islands.

9.4.4 The Ningaloo Coast

See the Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Area (**Section 9.1.2**).

9.4.5 Shark Bay

See Shark Bay World Heritage Area (**Section 9.1.1**).

9.4.6 Dirk Hartog Landing Site 1616 - Cape Inscription Area

Cape Inscription is the site of the oldest known landings of Europeans on the Western Australian coastline (from Dirk Hartog of the Dutch East India Company's ship the Eendracht in October 1616), and is associated with a series of landings and surveys by notable explorers over a 250-year period (DoEE 2019b). The landing site forms part of the Dirk Hartog Island and is about 1,110 ha located 100 km south west of Carnarvon (DoEE 2019b).

9.4.7 Dampier Archipelago (including Burrup Peninsula)

The Dampier Archipelago (including the Burrup Peninsula) contains one of the densest concentrations of rock engravings in Australia, with some sites containing thousands or tens of thousands of images. At a national level it has an exceptionally diverse and dynamic range of schematised human figures and provides an unusual

and outstanding visual record of the Aboriginal responses to the rise of sea levels at the end of the last Ice Age (DoEE 2019c).

The site is about 36,860 ha at Dampier and comprises of nine distinct areas of the Burrup Peninsula Areas and part of the following surrounding islands: West Intercourse Island, West Mid Intercourse Island, Enderby Island, Goodwin Island, West Lewis Island and East Lewis Island, Rosemary Island, Brigadier Island, Miller Rocks, Lady Nora Island and Elphick Nob, Malus Islands, Angel Island, Gidley Island, Cohen Island, Keast Island and Collier Rocks, Tozer Island, Dolphin Island, and Unnamed Island (DoEE 2019c).

9.4.8 Fitzgerald River National Park

The Fitzgerald River National Park contains an exceptional concentration of plant species richness and endemism. At an international level it is recognised as a biodiversity hotspot of south western Australia and at a national level it has an exceptional endemism and diversity for plant species. The diversity is considered high due to a wide range of landforms, geology and soil types that supports a diverse community of shrublands and heath, often dominated by eucalypt mallee species (DoEE 2019d).

The national park is approximately 297,244 ha located between Bremer Bay and Hopetoun in the south west of Western Australia. The park contains extensive marine plain sediments deeply incised by several rivers, creating valleys and tablelands. The park's coastline is diverse, consisting of long beaches, quartzite cliffs, extensive sand drifts and inlets. Along the Hamersley and Fitzgerald River valleys are spongolite cliffs that were formed more than 36 million years ago (Eocene period) and consist of sea sponge fossils (DoEE 2019d).

9.4.9 Lesueur National Park

The Lesueur National Park contains an exceptional concentration of plant species richness and endemism. At an international level it is recognised as a biodiversity hotspot of south western Australia and at a national level it has an exceptional endemism and diversity for plant species. The diversity is considered high due to a wide range of landforms, geology and soil types that supports a diverse community of shrublands and heath (DoEE 2019e).

The national park is approximately 27,235 ha located near the towns of Green Head and Jurien Bay. Coastal areas consist of recent (Holocene) sand deposits and mobile dunes extending inland for approximately two kilometres. The dunes are bordered by a series of mainly saline lakes with some freshwater springs and swamps on the eastern margins. Further inland are older (Quaternary) dune systems that have been compacted in places to form limestone. The park supports approximately 122 birds, including a diverse range of honeyeaters, fairy wrens and thornbills (DoEE 2019e).

9.5 Commonwealth Heritage Places

The Commonwealth Heritage Places List comprises natural, indigenous and historic heritage places which are either entirely within a Commonwealth area, or outside the Australian jurisdiction and owned or leased by the Commonwealth or a Commonwealth Authority. Nine natural Commonwealth Heritage Places are found in or adjacent to the EMBA. Three of these places (Ashmore Reef, Mermaid Reef and the Ningaloo Marine Area – Commonwealth Waters) are found in Marine Parks and are discussed further in **Section 12**. The HMAS Sydney II and HSK Kormoran Shipwreck Sites is listed under both National and Commonwealth Heritage Lists and discussed in **Section 9.4.1**.

9.5.1 Scott Reef and Surrounds – Commonwealth Area

Scott Reef is a large, emergent shelf atoll located on the edge of the broad continental shelf, about 300 km from mainland north-western Australia. The listing comprises the areas of Scott Reef that are within Commonwealth waters to the 50 m BSL bathymetric contour. This includes North Reef, an annular reef, 16.3 km long and 14.4 km wide and parts of the lagoon of South Reef, a crescent shaped reef 17 km across (DoE 2014d).

The place is regionally significant both because of its high representation of species not found in coastal waters off Western Australia and for the unusual nature of its fauna which has affinities with the oceanic reef habitats of the Indo-West Pacific as well as the reefs of the Indonesian region (DoE 2014d).

9.5.2 Mermaid Reef – Rowley Shoals

See the Mermaid Reef Marine Park (**Section 12.3.9**).

9.5.3 Ningaloo Marine Area – Commonwealth Waters

See the Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Area (**Section 9.1.2**).

9.5.4 Ashmore Reef National Nature Reserve

See the Ashmore Reef Marine Park (**Section 12.3.12**).

9.5.5 Garden Island

Garden Island is located to the south of Perth, 5 km northwest of Rockingham. It was registered in 2004 based on various fauna, geological, European and Aboriginal heritage and vegetation values. It was the original first site occupied by Governors Stirling's Party in 1829, with prior use by Aborigines and the French (being called Ile de Buache by the French in 1801). The island is virtually free from widespread feral animal colonisation, providing important habitat for various species that have reduced on the mainland. The island provides breeding habitat for bridled tern (*Onychoprion anaethetus*), rainbow bee-eaters (*Merops ornatus*) and osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*), which nest on the rocks surrounding the island. Important feeding habitat for the Sanderling (*Calidris alba*) is provided by sandy beaches on the west coast of the island.

The island provides nesting habitat on beaches for the breeding migrant fairy tern (*Sterna nereis*), which requires undisturbed nesting periods. The mature relatively undisturbed heath, scrub and low forest communities unburnt since the 1920's in the northern section of the island are especially important as a reference site for natural history. The least disturbed examples of calcareous reef structures dune and tamate landscapes in the metropolitan region are present on the western side of the island (DoEE 2016b).

9.5.6 Christmas Island Natural Areas

Christmas Island is located is approximately 1,500 km from Exmouth and is approximately 2,200 ha above Low Water and 3,600 ha below Low Water in the Indian Ocean. The island is an uplifted coral atoll with its characteristic steep series of rainforest-covered terraces and sheer limestone cliffs. It was registered in 2004 based on various fauna, vegetation, geological and cultural heritage values. The evolutionary significance of Christmas Island is demonstrated both by its high level of endemism and by its unique assemblage of plant and animal species. The island hosts seventeen endemic plant species and rich endemic fauna includes three mammal species, ten bird species, five reptile species, one crab species, two insects, three marine fish species and several marine sponge species (DoEE 2019f).

The rainforests of Christmas Island are biogeographically significant; species have evolved from being either shoreline forest or early rainforest succession species to those that fill a tall climax rainforest role. The Island contains unique plant communities of high conservation and scientific interest including a variety of elevated and relict cycad and back-mangrove communities of international significance (DoEE 2019f).

The island is also one of the world's most significant seabird islands, both for the variety and numbers of sea-birds, with over 100 species of bird having been recorded, including eight species that breed on the island. The island rainforest provides significant habitat for two endemics the nationally endangered Abbott's booby and the nationally vulnerable Christmas Island frigate bird (DoEE 2019f).

The fringing simple reefs and adjacent waters of Christmas Island support provides habitat for two nationally vulnerable species of turtle, the green and hawksbill which nest on two of the Island's beaches and two nationally vulnerable shark species (DoEE 2019f).

9.5.7 Yampi Defence Area

The Yampi Defence Area is located at the confluence of the Dampierland, Central and Northern Kimberley biogeographic regions and has a diverse range of ecosystems of landforms, soils and vegetation representative of the transition from the sandstone plateaux of the wetter north-west Kimberley, to the broad plains and pindan scrub of the drier south-west Kimberley (DoEE 2019g).

The diversity of landforms in the place and the resultant high concentration of small refugial habitats support a regionally rich vertebrate fauna. The bird fauna is significant as it represents a suite of species which are at or near the southern edge of their range in the semi-humid zone of the Kimberley. The place is also an important zone of overlap between many northern and southern species and sub-species. The vertebrate fauna shows its closest similarity to those recorded from the wetter areas of the west Kimberley that lie further to the north. The place supports several fauna and flora species that are listed as specially protected, threatened or having priority status in Western Australia in addition to four fauna species that are nationally vulnerable and one nationally endangered (DoEE 2019g).

9.5.8 Learmonth Air Weapons Range Facility

The Learmonth Air Weapons Range Facility is located 30 km south west of Learmonth within Cape Range and Adjacent Coastal Plain, which is listed on the Register of the National Estate. As the Learmonth Air Weapons Range Facility is located within Cape Range it is of considerable importance of showing the sea level and landform changes for the past 1.8 million years (DoEE 2019h).

The area is important to a number of cave fauna of Cape Range and is considered of exceptional biogeographical importance. It hosts a high number of endemic aquatic stygofauna with ecosystems found within this area are considered rare within Western Australia and are considered to be of considerable scientific interest. The area also supports several species of terrestrial fauna that are isolated populations, populations at the extent of their range and a number of fauna and flora species that are endemic to southern WA and restricted to sandy coastal habitats along the western coast (DoEE 2019h).

9.5.9 Lancelin Defence Training Area

The Lancelin Defence Training Area is located approximately 11 km north of Lancelin township situated on the Swan Coastal Plain and consists of three main land systems that include Quindalup and Spearwood Dune Systems (together making up the Coastal Belt), and the Bassendean Dunes (DoEE 2019i).

The area supports a high diversity of vegetation types, flora species, fauna habitat types and a high diversity of terrestrial fauna.

9.6 Coastal Terrestrial Conservations Reserves – bound by marine waters

Conservation reserves are created under the Land Administration Act 1997, and once reserved and set aside for conservation purposes are regulated under the *Conservation and Land Management Act (CALM) 1984*. Most conservation reserves in WA are vested in (owned) by the WA Conservation and Parks Commission, an independent statutory body established by the CALM Act 1984, and most are managed by the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions – Parks and Wildlife Service.

In WA there are three main types of terrestrial conservation reserves with legislative protection:

- + Nature reserves – established for wildlife and landscape conservation; scientific study; and preservation of features of archaeological, historic or scientific interest;
- + National parks – as above but also to be used for enjoyment by the public. Have national or international significance; and
- + Conservation parks – as above but have local or regional significance.

Nature reserves can have an extra classification applied to them and become ‘A class’ reserves, which generally require an Act of Parliament to alter.

There are numerous terrestrial conservation reserves located adjacent to the coast in the EMBA. The oceanward boundary of the reserves varies. In some cases, the reserves extend to the low water mark, i.e. including the inter-tidal zone (particularly applicable to older gazetted reserves and terrestrial reserves not surrounded by a marine reserve). While in other cases, the terrestrial reserves extend to the high-water mark e.g. Lowendal Islands Nature Reserve (particularly applicable to terrestrial reserves adjacent to more recently gazetted marine parks). In other cases, the seaward boundary of the reserves is not defined. Management

plans also contain the caveat for further consideration of the most appropriate tenure for intertidal areas and management arrangements.

Further information on coastal terrestrial reserves is provided below in **Section 9.6.1** (national parks) and **Section 9.6.2** (nature reserves and conservations parks).

9.6.1 Coastal National Parks

Protected coastal national parks managed under the CALM Act 1984 in the EMBA are listed in **Table 9-2**. The table also includes: any applicable management plan; whether the park includes the inter-tidal area; and the name of any adjacent state marine reserve. All National Parks are WA Class A reserves and IUCN Class 2.

Table 9-2: Coastal National Parks – coastal boundary in relation to inter-tidal zone

| National Park | IBRA bioregion ⁹ | Management plan | Includes inter-tidal zone | Adjacent Marine Management Park (see Section 11) |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Reserves of Northern WA (see Figure 9-4) | | | | |
| Lawley River | Northern Kimberley | - | No ¹⁰ | Kimberley Marine Park |
| Mitchell River | | - | | |
| Prince Regent | | - | | |
| Reserves of North-West WA (see Figure 9-5) | | | | |
| Murujuga | Pilbara | Murujuga National Park management plan 78 (DEC 2013) | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Cape Range | Carnarvon | Cape Range National Park Management Plan (DEC 2010a) | No | Ningaloo Marine Park |
| Reserves of Southern WA – (see Figure 9-6) | | | | |
| Francois Peron | Carnarvon | Shark Bay Terrestrial Reserves and Proposed Reserve Additions Management Plan (2012) | No | Shark Bay Marine Park and Hamelin Pool Marine Nature Reserve |
| Dirk Hartog | Yalgoo | | Yes – intertidal zone on western side of Dirk Hartog is included (as no marine park on western side of island) | |
| Houtman Abrolhos Islands | Geraldton Sandplains | - | No - extends to the high water mark only. | Abrolhos Commonwealth Marine Park |
| Kalbarri | Geraldton Sandplains | Kalbarri National Park Management Plan (DPAW 2015) | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Namburg | Geraldton Sandplains | Namburg National Park Management Plan (1998) | Yes | - |
| Yalgorup | Swan Coastal Plain | Yalgorup National Park Management Plan (CALM 1995) | Yes ¹¹ | - |

⁹ IBRA classifies Australia's landscapes into large geographically distinct bioregions based on common climate, geology, landform, native vegetation and species information (DoEE 2012).

| National Park | IBRA bioregion ⁹ | Management plan | Includes inter-tidal zone | Adjacent Marine Management Park (see Section 11) |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|
| Leeuwin - Naturaliste | Warren | Leeuwin-Naturaliste Capes Area Parks and Reserves Management Plan (DPAW 2015) | No | Ngari Capes Marine Park |
| Torndirrup | Warren | Albany coast draft management plan 2016 (DPaW 2016b) | Yes ¹¹ | |
| Walpole-Nornalup | Warren | Walpole Wilderness and Adjacent Parks and Reserves Management Plan (DEC 2008) Walpole and Nornalup Inlets Marine Park Management Plan No 62 (DEC 2009b) | Yes ¹¹ | Walpole and Nornalup Inlets Marine Park |
| Waychinicup | Southern Jarrah Forest and Fitzgerald | Albany coast draft management plan 2016 (DPAW 2016) | Yes ¹¹ | |
| West Cape Howe | Warren | Albany coast draft management plan 2016 (DPaW 2016) | Yes ¹¹ | |
| D'Entrecasteaux | Warren | Shannon and D'Entrecasteaux National Parks Management Plan No. 71 (DEC 2012b) | Yes ¹¹ | |
| Fitzgerald River | Fitzgerald | Fitzgerald River National Park Management Plan 1991 – 2001 No. 15 (CALM 1991) | Yes ¹¹ | |

9.6.2 Coastal Nature Reserves and Conservation Parks

Protected coastal nature reserves and conservation parks managed under the CALM Act 1984 in the EMBA are listed in **Table 9-3** and shown in **Figure 9-4**, **Figure 9-5** and **Figure 9-6** for the north, north-west and south of WA respectively. The table also includes reserve class; IUCN classification; any applicable management plan; whether the reserve includes the inter-tidal area; and the name of any adjacent state marine reserve (may also describe inter-tidal areas values).

The CALM Act does not require management plans to be in place for conservation reserves at all time, instead they are required to be made as is reasonably practicable regarding resources. This means some conservation reserves do not have a management plan, or do not have a recent management plan.

Table 9-3: Nature Reserves (NR) and Conservation Parks (CP) in EMBA

| Reserve name and type | Reserve class (WA) | IUCN | Management Plan | Includes inter-tidal zone | Adjacent Marine Park (see Section 11) |
|---|--------------------|-------|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Reserves of Northern WA (see Figure 9-4) | | | | | |
| Ord River NR | - | 1a | - | No ¹⁰ | North Kimberley Marine Park |
| Pelican Island NR | - | 1a | | | |
| Lesueur Island NR | A | 1a | | | |
| Low Rocks NR | A | 1a | | | |
| Browse Island NR | A | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Scott Reef NR | - | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Adele Island NR | A | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Tanner Island NR | A | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Lacepede Islands NR | | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Coulomb Point NR | A | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Yawaru Birragun CP; Yawuru Northern Intertidal Area | - & A | 2 & 6 | Yawaru Birragun Conservation Park Management Plan (DPAW 2016). <i>Yawuru Intertidal Area management plan is not yet available.</i> | Yes | - |
| Jinmarnkur CP | C | - | Parks and reserves of the south-west Kimberley and north-west Pilbara Draft Management Plan (DPAW 2016). <i>Covers 80 Mile Beach coastal reserves.</i> | No | Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park |
| Jinmarnkur Kulja NR | A | - | | | |
| Kujungurru Warrarn NR | A | 1a | | | |
| Kujungurru Warrarn CP | C | - | | | |
| Unnamed | A | - | | | |
| Jarrkumpungu NR | A | | | | |
| Bedout Island NR | A | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| North Turtle Island NR | A | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Reserves of North-West WA (see Figure 9-5) | | | | | |
| Unnamed (Dampier Archipelago) NR | A | 1a | Dampier Archipelago Management Plan (CALM 1990). <i>Covers 25 of the islands</i> | Yes | - |
| Swan Island NR | A | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | Kimberly Marine Park |
| Unnamed NR | | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| North Sandy Island NR | A | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |

¹⁰ Inferred as adjacent marine park boundary is the high water mark and dual tenure cannot exist.

¹¹ Conservatively inferred as no adjacent Marine Park.

| Reserve name and type | Reserve class (WA) | IUCN | Management Plan | Includes inter-tidal zone | Adjacent Marine Park (see Section 11) |
|--|--------------------|------|---|---------------------------|---|
| Montebello Islands CP | A | 2 | - | Partially ¹² | Montebello Islands Marine Park |
| Lowendal Island NR | | 1a | - | No | Barrow Island Marine Management Area and Marine Park. Lowendal Island NR only partially bounded |
| Barrow Island NR | A | 1a | Barrow Island Group Nature Reserves (DPAW 2015) | Yes | |
| Boodie, Double and Middle Islands NR | - | 1a | | Yes | |
| Great Sandy Island NR | B | 1a | - | Yes | Barrow Island Marine Management Area |
| Weld Island NR | - | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Little Rocky Island NR | A | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Airlie Island NR | - | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Thevenard Island Nature | - | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Bessieres Island NR | A | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Serrurier Island NR | - | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Round Island NR | - | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Locker Island NR | A | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Rocky Island NR | - | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Gndaroo Island NR | A | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Victor Island NR | - | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Y Island NR | - | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Tent Island NR | - | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Burnside and Simpson Island NR | - | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Whalebone Island NR | | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Whitmore, Roberts, Doole Islands & Sandalwood Landing NR | - | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Muiron Islands NR | - | 1a | Jarabi and Bundegi Coastal Parks and Muiron Islands (CALM 1999) | No ¹⁰ | Muiron Islands Marine Management Area |
| OneTree Point NR | A | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | |
| Reserves of Southern WA – (see Figure 9-6) | | | | | |
| Koks Island NR | A | 1a | Shark Bay Terrestrial Reserves and Proposed Reserve Additions | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Bernier and Dorre Islands NR | A | 4 | | | |

¹² Reserve R42197 includes the inter-tidal zone and reserve R42196 does not.

| Reserve name and type | Reserve class (WA) | IUCN | Management Plan | Includes inter-tidal zone | Adjacent Marine Park (see Section 11) |
|---|--------------------|------|--|---------------------------|--|
| Shell Beach CP | - | 3 | Management Plan (DPAW 2012) | No | Shark Bay Marine Park |
| Freycinet, Double Islands etc NR | A | 1a | | | Shark Bay Marine Park |
| Zuytdorp NR | - | 1a | | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Beekeepers NR | - | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Beagle Islands NR | A | 1a | Turquoise Coast Nature Reserve Management Plan (CALM 2004). <i>Covers chain of approximately 40 protected islands lying between Lancelin and Dongara.</i> | Yes | - |
| Lipfert, Milligan, etc Islands NR | A | 1a | | | - |
| Fisherman Islands NR | A | 1a | | | Jurien Bay Marine Park: extends from Greenhead south to Wedge Island |
| Sandland Islands NR | A | 1a | | | |
| Boullanger, Whitlock, Favourite, Tern and Osprey Islands NR | A | 1a | | | |
| Escape Island NR | A | 1a | | | |
| Essex Rocks NR | A | 1a | | | |
| Outer Rocks NR | A | 1a | | | |
| Ronsard Rocks NR | A | 1a | | | |
| Cervantes Islands NR | A | 1a | | | |
| Buller, Whittell and Green Islands NR | A | 1a | | | |
| Wedge Island NR | A | 1a | | | |
| Lancelin and Edwards Islands NR | A | 1a | | | - |
| Southern Beekeepers NR | - | 1a | | | Nambung National Park Management Plan (CALM 1998) |
| Wanagarren NR | - | 1a | Yes | | |
| Nilgen NR | - | 1a | Yes | | |
| Unnamed CP (R 49994) west of Wilbinga | - | 2 | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Unnamed CR (R 42469) at Woodman Point | - | - | Woodman Park Regional Park Management Plan (DEC 2010b) | No | - |
| Unnamed CP at Woodman Point (R 49220) | - | 2 | | No | - |
| Carnac Island NR | A | 1a | Carnac Island Nature Reserve Management Plan (CALM 2003) | Yes | - |
| Penguin Island CP | A | 3 | Shoalwater Islands Management Plan (CALM 2002) | No | Shoalwater Islands Marine Park |
| Shoalwater Islands NR | A | 1a | | Yes | |

| Reserve name and type | Reserve class (WA) | IUCN | Management Plan | Includes inter-tidal zone | Adjacent Marine Park (see Section 11) |
|------------------------------|--------------------|------|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Port Kennedy Scientific Park | A | 1a | Rockingham Lakes Regional Park (DEC 2015) | No | - |
| Leschenault Peninsula CP | A | 2 | Leschenault Peninsula Management Plan (CALM 1998) | Yes | - |
| Sugar Loaf Rock NR | A | 1a | Leeuwin-Naturaliste Capes Area Parks and Reserves Management Plan (DPAW 2015) | Yes | Ngari Capes Marine Park |
| Hamelin Island NR | A | 1a | | Yes | |
| Seal Island NR | A | 1a | | Yes | |
| St Alouarn Island NR | A | 1a | | Yes | |
| Flinders Bay NR | A | 1a | | Yes | |
| Quagering NR | A | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Doubtful Islands NR | A | 1a | - | Yes | Bremer Marine Park |
| Quarram NR | A | 1a | - | Yes | South-west corner Marine Park |
| Chatham Island NR | A | 1a | - | Yes | |
| Two Peoples Bay NR | A | 4 | Albany coast draft management plan 2016 (DPAW 2016b) | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Breaksea Island NR | A | 1a | | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Bald Island NR | A | 1a | | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Eclipse Island NR | A | 1a | | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Michaelmas Island NR | A | 1a | | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Glasse Island NR | A | 1a | - | Yes ¹¹ | - |
| Arpenteur NR | - | 1a | - | No | - |

Further information is provided below in relation to Varanus Island and Airlie Island Nature Reserves. Santos' Varanus Island Processing Hub and Airlie Island (operations ceased) co-exist with the reserves.

Lowendal Islands Nature Reserve - Varanus Island

Varanus Island is part of the Lowendal Islands group, a Nature Reserve (Class C). The Lowendal Islands comprise more than 40 limestone islands, islets and rocky stacks. There is not currently a DBCA Management Plan covering the Lowendal Islands Nature Reserve. Varanus Island is the largest island in the Lowendal Islands and is approximately 2.5 km long and 600m wide at its widest point. Its highest point is approximately 30m above sea level.

Described ecological conservation values of marine relevance include: Wedge-tailed Shearwater nesting (see **Section 8.1.6**); Loggerhead and Hawksbill Turtle nesting (see **Section 6.1.1** and **Section 6.1.3**), Flatback Turtle nesting (Section 6.1.4). The Lowendal Islands are described as particularly important for tern breeding (DEC 2002), further information on terns is provided in **Section 8.2.1**.

Airlie Island Nature Reserve

Airlie Island Nature Reserve is an ungazetted 'C' class nature (Reserve identifier: 40323, Crown Lease 1901/100) located on Airlie Island. Airlie Island is a small sand cay (26 Ha) located 35 km NNE of Onslow. It is part of the Pilbara Inshore Islands chain. A management plan for the nature reserves of the Pilbara Inshore Islands is currently under development (DBCA 2019) i.e. there is not currently a DBCA Management Plan covering Airlie Island Nature Reserve.

Described ecological conservation values of marine relevance include: a wedge-tailed shearwater nesting (see **Section 8.1.6**); silver gull nesting (see **Section 8.1.6**) and low levels of green turtle and hawksbill turtle nesting (see **Section 6.1.2** and **6.1.3**).

9.7 Threatened Ecological Communities

An ecological community is a naturally occurring group of plants, animals and other organisms interacting in a unique habitat. Ecological communities are listed under the EPBC Act as threatened if the community is at risk of extinction.

Similarly, ecological communities can be listed under the WA BC Act as threatened if facing a risk of becoming a collapsed ecological community. To date no ecological communities are listed as threatened under the WA Act, however several ecological communities are currently endorsed by the WA Minister of Environment as Threatened Ecological Communities (TECs) through the previous non-statutory process.

TECs of relevance (likely to exist in marine water inter-tidal areas) in the EMBA are listed in **Table 9-1** and further described below.

Table 9-4: Relevant TEC in the marine EMBA

| Species | Conservation Status | | |
|--|----------------------|------------------|---|
| | EPBC Act 1999 (Cwth) | BC Act 2016 (WA) | Otherwise endorsed by the WA Minister for Environment |
| Monsoon Vine Thicket on the Ridge on the Coastal Sand Dunes of Dampier | Endangered | - | Vulnerable |
| Roebuck Bay mudflats | - | - | Vulnerable |
| Subtropical and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh | Vulnerable | - | - |

9.7.1 Monsoon Vine Thicket on the Ridge on the Coastal Sand Dunes of Dampier

Monsoon vine thicket occurs as semi - deciduous and evergreen vine thicket communities on and behind landward slopes of coastal sand dunes on the Dampier Peninsula in the Kimberley Region. This community is closely associated with coastal dunes elsewhere on the Dampier Peninsula and is listed as Endangered under the EPBC Act (Government of Western Australia 2010; DoEE 2016b). The community is also endorsed by the WA Minister for Environment as a threatened ecological community (non-statutory process).

9.7.2 Roebuck Bay Mudflats

Roebuck Bay mudflats (Kimberley region) have been endorsed by the WA Minister for Environment as a threatened ecological community (non-statutory process). The TEC is not listed under the EPBC Act.

Roebuck Bay mudflats (Kimberley region) are described as a 'species rich faunal community of the intertidal mudflats of Roebuck Bay' in the Kimberley region. Classed as Vulnerable (B). Roebuck Bay is a tropical marine embayment with extensive, biologically diverse, intertidal mudflats.

Roebuck Bay is protected as a designated Ramsar Wetland of International Importance (**Section 9.2.2**) and Marine Park (see **Sections 11.1.17** and **12.3.10**).

9.7.3 Subtropical and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh

Subtropical and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh occurs within the subtropical and temperate climatic zones and is present in coastal areas under regular or intermittent tidal influences and occurs over six State jurisdictions (Queensland, New South Wales, Victoria, Tasmania and WA). In WA it occurs from the south coast up to the southern part of Shark Bay. The community is made up of mainly salt tolerant vegetation which include halophytes as well as a number of non-vascular plant species. The community is listed as vulnerable under the EPBC Act (DoE 2014k).

9.7.4 Thrombolite (microbialite) Community of a Coastal Brackish Lake (Lake Clifton)

The Lake Clifton thrombolite community is restricted to Lake Clifton, which occurs on the Swan Coastal Plain region of WA. Lake Clifton is situated within the Yalgorup National Park and is the northernmost lake in the Peel-Yalgorup Lakes System, which consists of several hypersaline and brackish lakes (Moore 1990). The Lake Clifton thrombolite community occurs on a relict foredune plain of Holocene age sands. The main known occurrence of the ecological community is a stretch, approximately 15 km long and up to 15 m wide, along the north-eastern shoreline of Lake Clifton. There are other small clusters of thrombolites within the Lake, also at the northern end. The thrombolites cover a total area of approximately four square kilometres (Moore 1990). This structure is the largest known example of a living, non-marine microbialite reef in the southern hemisphere.

The Thrombolite (microbialite) Community of a Coastal Brackish Lake (Lake Clifton) is listed as critically endangered under the EPBC Act because it has a very restricted distribution and recent investigations indicate that *Scytonema*, a key cyanobacterium for thrombolite formation has gone from being a dominant species to no longer being found in Lake Clifton thrombolites.




Figure 9-1: Protected areas in Northern WA




Figure 9-2: Protected areas in North-West WA




Figure 9-3: Protected areas in Southern WA




Figure 9-4: Protected Lands (CALM Act 1984) – terrestrial conservation reserves bounding marine waters in northern WA¹³

¹³ Yawaru Minyirr Buru Conservation Reserve (adjacent to Roebuck Bay) not shown as exact spatial extent unavailable, however the adjacent inter-tidal waters are managed under adjacent Roebuck Bay Marine Park (described in Section 11.1.17).




Figure 9-5: Protected Lands (CALM Act 1984) – terrestrial conservation reserves bounding marine waters in North-West WA




Figure 9-6: Protected Lands (CALM Act 1984) – terrestrial conservation reserves bounding marine waters in Southern WA¹⁴

¹⁴ Rottnest Islands Conservation Park Conservation Park is not shown (managed under Rottnest Island Authority Act 1987).

9.8 International Protected Areas

There are 53 National Parks in Indonesia, six are World Heritage Sites, nine are part of the World Network of Biosphere Reserves and five are wetlands of international importance under the Ramsar convention. A total of nine parks are largely marine (ADB 2014). Of these protected areas only the Laut Sawu Marine National Park (including the Tirosa Batek Marine Area and the Sumba Strait Marine Area) intersects with the EMBA.

The Laut Sawu Marine National Park located within the Lesser Sunda Ecoregion in the Savu Sea and covers a reported 35,211 km² (Protected Planet 2017). It was established in 2009 and has an IUCN Category II status (Protected Planet 2017). The marine park area is a known migration route for several cetacean species, including the blue whale and sperm whale. Other cetacean species such as pygmy killer whales, melon-head whale, short-finned pilot whales and numerous dolphin species (including Risso's dolphin, Fraser's dolphin, common dolphin, bottlenose dolphin and spinner dolphin) are known to frequent the marine park area. Several species of marine turtle, including the green turtle, hawksbill turtle and leatherback turtle have also been recorded in the marine park area.

The marine park area covers a range of habitats and species diversity, including:

- + 532 corals species which include 11 endemic and sub endemic species;
- + 350 reef fish species;
- + fifteen mangrove species are recorded that represented 9 families of mangrove;
- + ten seagrass species;
- + deep-water habitats such as seamounts, deep-water canyons, straits (migratory corridors);
- + large persistent pelagic habitats;
- + main migratory corridors and habitats for 14 whale species, seven dolphin's species, and dugong; and
- + habitats for five sea turtle species (green, leatherback, olive ridley, loggerhead, and flatback) as well as for large marine fauna such as sharks, napoleon, parrotfish and groupers (Savu Sea National Marine Conservation Area undated).

10. Key Ecological Features

10.1 Introduction

Key ecological features (KEFs) are elements of the Commonwealth marine environment that are considered to be of regional importance for either a region's biodiversity or its ecosystem function and integrity. KEFs meet one or more of the following criteria (DSEWPaC 2012a):

- + A species, group of species or a community with a regionally important ecological role;
- + A species, group of species or a community that is nationally or regionally important for biodiversity;
- + An area or habitat that is nationally or regionally important for:
 - o Enhanced or high biological productivity;
 - o Aggregations of marine life; or
 - o Biodiversity and/or endemism
- + A unique seafloor feature with ecological properties of regional significance.

Twenty four key ecological features of the Commonwealth waters in the EMBA (covering the NMR, the NWMR and the SWMR) have been identified in the protected matters search (**Figure 10-1** and **Figure 10-2**) and are discussed in this section.




Figure 10-1: Key ecological features of Northern WA




Figure 10-2: Key ecological features of Southern WA

10.1.1 Commonwealth Marine Environment Surrounding the Houtman Abrolhos Islands (and Adjacent Shelf Break)

The Commonwealth marine environment surrounding the Houtman Abrolhos Islands (and adjacent shelf break) is defined as a KEF for its high levels of biodiversity and endemism in benthic and pelagic habitats. The Houtman Abrolhos Islands and surrounding reefs support a unique mix of temperate and tropical species, resulting from the southward transport of species by the Leeuwin Current over thousands of years. The reefs are composed of 184 known species of corals that support about 400 known species of demersal fish, 492 known species of molluscs, 110 known species of sponges, 172 known species of echinoderms and 234 known species of benthic algae (DEWHA 2008b). The Houtman Abrolhos Islands are the largest seabird breeding station in the eastern Indian Ocean (DSEWPaC 2012a). They support more than one million pairs of breeding seabirds. The Houtman Abrolhos Islands and surround waters are also BIAs for Australian sea lions for foraging and breeding (DEWHA 2010b).

10.1.2 Perth Canyon and Adjacent Shelf Break, and other West-Coast Canyons

The Perth Canyon is defined as a KEF for its high biological productivity and aggregations of marine life and unique seafloor features with ecological properties of regional significance. The Perth Canyon is the largest known undersea canyon in Australian waters. In the Perth Canyon, interactions between the Leeuwin Current and the Canyon topography induce clockwise-rotating eddies that transport nutrients upwards in the water column from greater depths (DoEE 2019a). Due to the Canyon's depth and Leeuwin Current's barrier effect, this remains a subsurface upwelling which supports ecological complexity that is typically absent from canyon systems in other areas (Pattiaratchi 2007). This nutrient-rich cold-water habitat attracts feeding aggregations of deep-diving mammals, such as pygmy blue whales and large predatory fish that feed on aggregations of small fish, krill and squid (DSEWPaC 2012a). The Perth Canyon also marks the southern boundary for numerous tropical species groups on the shelf, including sponges, corals, decapods and xanthid crabs (DoEE 2017a).

10.1.3 Commonwealth Marine Environment within and adjacent to the West-Coast Inshore Lagoons

This key ecological feature is composed by a chain of inshore lagoons of limestone reef (as deep as 30 m) extending along the Western Australian coast from south of Mandurah to Kalbarri. The mix of sheltered and exposed seabeds form a complex mosaic of habitats. The lagoons are dominated by seagrass and epiphytic algae (Dambacher et al. 2009). Although macroalgae (principally *Ecklonia* spp.) and seagrass appear to be the primary source of production, scientists suggest that groundwater enrichment may supplement the supply of nutrients to the lagoons. The lagoons are associated with high biodiversity and endemism, containing a mix of tropical, subtropical and temperate flora and fauna.

The inshore lagoons are important areas for the recruitment of the commercially and recreationally important western rock lobster, dhufish, pink snapper, breaksea cod, baldchin and blue groper, abalone and many other reef species. The area includes breeding and nursery aggregations for many temperate and tropical marine species (Goldberg & Collings 2006 in McClatchie et al. 2006). Extensive schools of migratory fish visit the area annually, including herring, garfish, tailor and Australian salmon.

10.1.4 Commonwealth Marine Environment within and Adjacent to Geographe Bay

The Commonwealth marine environment within and adjacent to Geographe Bay is defined as a KEF for its high productivity and aggregations of marine life and high levels of biodiversity and endemism. Geographe Bay is known for its extensive beds of tropical and temperate seagrass that account for about 80 % of benthic primary production in the area (DEH 2006). This habitat supports a diversity of species, many of them not found anywhere else (DSEWPaC 2012a). The bay provides important nursery habitat for many species, including juvenile dusky whaler sharks. It is also an important resting area for migrating humpback whales (McCauley *et al.* 2000).

10.1.5 Cape Mentelle Upwelling

The Cape Mentelle upwelling is defined as a KEF for its high productivity and aggregation soft marine life. The Cape Mentelle upwelling draws relatively nutrient-rich water from the base of the Leeuwin Current, up the continental slope and onto the inner continental shelf, where it results in phytoplankton blooms at the surface. The phytoplankton blooms provide the basis for an extended food chain characterised by feeding aggregations of small pelagic fish, larger predatory fish, seabirds, dolphins and sharks (DSEWPaC 2012a). The Cape Mentelle upwelling has a disproportionate influence on the overall-nutrient poor nature of the region's water.

10.1.6 Naturaliste Plateau

The Naturaliste Plateau is defined as a KEF for its unique seafloor feature with ecological properties of regional significance. The Naturaliste Plateau is Australia's deepest temperate marginal plateau and occurs an area where numerous water bodies and currents converge. It is also the only seafloor feature in the region that interacts with the subtropical convergence front (DoEE 2019b). Although there is very little known about the marine life of the plateau, it is speculated that the combination of its structural complexity, mixed water dynamics and relative isolation indicate that it supports deep-water communities with high species diversity and endemism (DEWHA 2008b; DSEWPaC 2012a). The Plateau acts as an underwater 'biogeographical island' on the edge of the abyssal plain, providing habitat for fauna unique to these depths (Richardson et al. 2005). The Plateau is also within a deep eddy field that is thought to be associated with high productivity and aggregations of marine life (Pattiaratchi 2007). Proximity to the nearby subtropical convergence front is thought to have a significant influence on the biodiversity of the Plateau (DEWHA 2008b).

10.1.7 Western Demersal Slope and associated Fish Communities

The Western Demersal Slope and associated Fish Communities, also known as the Demersal Slope and associated Fish Communities of the Central Western Province, is defined as a key ecological community for its high levels of biodiversity and endemism. It is located on the edge of the shelf to the limit of the exclusive economic zone from Perth to the northern boundary of the SWMR. The western demersal slope provides important habitat for demersal fish communities, with a high level of diversity and endemism. A diverse assemblage of demersal fish species below a depth of 400 m is dominated by relatively small benthic species such as grenadiers, dogfish and cucumber fish. Unlike other slope fish communities in Australia, many of these species display unique physical adaptations to feed on the sea floor (such as a mouth position adapted to bottom feeding), and many do not appear to migrate vertically in their daily feeding habits (DSEWPaC 2012a, Williams *et al.* 2001). A total of 480 fish species have been described that inhabit the slope of this bioregion with 31 considered to be endemic to the bioregion (DoEE 2019a). Demersal fish communities within the area have recorded higher diversity when compared to other oceanic regions which have been more intensively sampled. The increased diversity within the area has been attributed to the overlap of ancient and extensive Indo-west Pacific and temperate Australasian fauna (Williams et al. 2001).

10.1.8 Western Rock Lobster

The Western Rock Lobster KEF is defined due to its presumed ecological role on the West Coast Continental Shelf. This species is the dominant large benthic invertebrate in the region. The lobster plays an important trophic role in many of the inshore ecosystems of the South-west Marine Region. Western rock lobsters are an important part of the food web on the inner shelf, particularly as juveniles as they are preyed upon by octopus, cuttlefish, baldchin groper, dhufish, pink snapper, wirrah cod and breaksea cod (DEWHA 2008b, DSEWPaC 2012a). The high biomass of western rock lobsters and their vulnerability to predation suggest that they are an important trophic pathway for a range of inshore species that prey upon juvenile lobsters (DEWHA 2008b).

10.1.9 Wallaby Saddle

The Wallaby Saddle is defined as a KEF for its high productivity and aggregations of marine life. The Wallaby Saddle is an abyssal geomorphic feature located on the upper continental slope at a depth of 4,000–4,700 m (DSEWPaC 2012a). The feature connects the north-west margin of the Wallaby Plateau with the margin of the Carnarvon Terrace (Falkner *et al.* 2009 in DSEWPaC 2012a). The Wallaby Saddle is situated within the Indian Ocean water mass and is thus differentiated from systems to the north that are dominated by transitional fronts

or the Indonesian Throughflow (DSEWPaC 2012a). Little is known about the Wallaby Saddle; however, the area is considered one of enhanced productivity and low habitat diversity (Brewer *et al.* 2007). The Wallaby Saddle is associated with historical aggregations of sperm whales (DEWHA 2008c).

10.1.10 Commonwealth Waters Adjacent to Ningaloo Reef

The Commonwealth Waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef KEF is defined for high productivity and aggregations of marine life. The Ningaloo Reef extends almost 300 km along the Cape Range Peninsula to the Red Bluff and is globally significant as the only extensive coral reef in the world that fringes the west coast of a continent. Commonwealth waters adjacent to the reef are thought to support the rich aggregations of marine species at Ningaloo Reef through upwellings associated with canyons on the adjacent continental slope and interactions between the Ningaloo and Leeuwin currents (Brewer *et al.* 2007, DEWHA 2008d, DSEWPaC 2012a). The narrow continental shelf (10 km at its narrowest) means that the nutrients channelled to the surface via canyons are immediately available to reef species. Terrestrial nutrient input is low, hence this deep-water source is a major source of nutrients for Ningaloo Reef and therefore very important in maintaining this system (DEWHA 2008c).

The reef is known to support an extremely abundant array of marine species including over 200 species of coral and more than 460 species of reef fish, as well as molluscs, crustaceans and other reef plants and animals (DEWHA 2008c). Marine turtles, dugongs and dolphins frequently visit the reef lagoon. The Commonwealth waters around Ningaloo include areas of potentially high and unique sponge biodiversity (DEWHA 2008c). Upwellings on the seaward side support aggregations such as whale sharks and manta rays (these waters are the main known aggregation area for whale sharks in Australian waters). Humpback whales are seasonal visitors to the outer reef edge and seasnakes, sharks, large predatory fish and seabirds also utilise the reef and surrounding waters.

The Ningaloo Marine Park includes this Key Ecological Feature and is discussed in **Section 12.3.4**.

10.1.11 Canyons Linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain with the Cape Range Peninsula

The Canyons linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Cape Range Peninsula are defined as a KEF as they are unique seafloor features with ecological properties of regional significance.

Cape Range Peninsula and the Cuvier Abyssal Plain are linked by canyons, the largest of which are the Cape Range Canyon and Cloates Canyon. These two canyons are located along the southerly edge of Exmouth Plateau adjacent to Ningaloo Reef and are unique due to their close proximity to the North West Cape (DSEWPaC 2012a). The Leeuwin Current interacts with the heads of the canyons to produce eddies resulting in delivery of higher nutrient, cool waters from the Antarctic intermediate water mass to the shelf (Brewer *et al.* 2007). Strong internal tides also create upwelling at the canyon heads (Brewer *et al.* 2007). Thus the canyons, the Exmouth Plateau and the Commonwealth waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef interact to create the conditions for enhanced productivity seen in this region (Sleeman *et al.* 2007 in DSEWPaC 2012a). The canyons are also repositories for particulate matter deposited from the shelf and sides of the canyons and serve as conduits for organic matter between the surface, shelf and abyssal plains (DSEWPaC 2012a).

The soft bottom habitats within the canyons themselves are likely to support important assemblages of epibenthic species. Biological productivity at the head of Cape Range Canyon in particular, is known to support species aggregations, including whale sharks, manta rays, humpback whales, sea snakes, sharks, large predatory fish and seabirds. The canyons are thought to be significant contributors to the biodiversity of the adjacent Ningaloo Reef, as they channel deep water nutrients up to the reef, stimulating primary productivity (DEWHA 2008c).

10.1.12 Exmouth Plateau

The Exmouth Plateau is defined as a KEF as it is a unique seafloor feature with ecological properties of regional significance. The Exmouth Plateau covers an area of 49,310 km² and is located approximately 150 km northwest of Exmouth. The plateau ranges in water depths from 800 to 4,000 m (Heap & Harris 2008 in DSEWPaC 2012a). The plateau's surface is rough and undulating at 800–1,000 m depth. The northern margin is steep and intersected by large canyons (e.g. Montebello and Swan canyons) with relief greater than 50 m.

The western margin is moderately steep and smooth and the southern margin is gently sloping and virtually free of canyons (Falkner *et al.* 2009 in DSEWPaC 2012a).

The Exmouth Plateau is a regionally and nationally unique tropical deep sea plateau. It that may serve an important ecological role by acting as a topographic obstacle that modifies the flow of deep waters that generate internal tides, causing upwelling of deeper water nutrients closer to the surface (Brewer *et al.* 2007). Sediments on the plateau suggest that biological communities include scavengers, benthic filter feeders and epifauna. Whaling records from the 19th century suggest that the Exmouth Plateau may have supported large populations of sperm whales (Bannister *et al.* 2007). Fauna in the pelagic waters above the plateau are likely to include small pelagic species and nekton (Brewer *et al.* 2007).

10.1.13 Mermaid Reef and Commonwealth Waters surrounding Rowley Shoals

Mermaid Reef and Commonwealth waters surrounding Rowley Shoals is defined as a KEF for its enhanced productivity and high species richness. The Rowley Shoals are a group of three atoll reefs—Clerke, Imperieuse and Mermaid reefs—located about 300 km north-west of Broome. Mermaid Reef lies 29 km north of Clerke and Imperieuse reefs and is totally submerged at high tide. Mermaid Reef and Commonwealth Waters surrounding Rowley Shoals are regionally important in supporting high species richness, higher productivity and aggregations of marine life associated with the adjoining reefs themselves (Done *et al.* 1994). Rowley shoals contain 214 coral species and approximately 530 species of fishes (Gilmour *et al.* 2007), 264 species of molluscs and 82 species of echinoderms (Done *et al.* 1994; Gilmour *et al.* 2007). Both coral communities and fish assemblages differ from similar habitats in eastern Australia (Done *et al.* 1994).

Mermaid Reef falls under Commonwealth jurisdiction and forms the Mermaid Reef Commonwealth Marine Park. Clerke and Imperieuse reefs constitute the Rowley Shoals Marine Park, which falls under Western Australian Government jurisdiction (EA 2000). The Rowley Shoals are discussed with the Commonwealth and State Marine Park (**Sections 11.1.9 and 12.3.9**).

10.1.14 Glomar Shoals

The Glomar Shoals are a submerged feature situated at a depth of 33–77 m, approximately 150 km north of Dampier on the Rowley Shelf (Falkner *et al.* 2009 in DSEWPaC 2012a). They consist of a high percentage of marine-derived sediments with high carbonate content and gravels of weathered coralline algae and shells (McLoughlin & Young 1985 in DSEWPaC 2012a). The area's higher concentrations of coarse material compared to surrounding areas are indicative of a high energy environment subject to strong seafloor currents (Falkner *et al.* 2009 in DSEWPaC 2012a).

Biological communities found at the Glomar Shoals have not been comprehensively studied, however the shoals are known to be an important area for a number of commercial and recreational fish species such as rankin cod, brown striped snapper, red emperor, crimson snapper, bream and yellow-spotted triggerfish. Catch rates at the Glomar Shoals are high, indicating that the area is a region of high productivity (Falkner *et al.* 2009, Fletcher & Santoro 2009 in DSEWPaC 2012a). It is unclear if the removal of non-target species due to the commercial fishing over the shoals is having an impact on its value (DSEWPaC 2012a).

The Glomar Shoals are regionally important for their potentially high biological diversity and localised productivity. Biological data specific to the Glomar Shoals is limited, however the fish of the shoals are probably a subset of reef-dependent species and anecdotal evidence suggests they are particularly abundant (DSEWPaC 2012a).

10.1.15 Ancient Coastline at 125 m Depth Contour

The shelf of the North-west Marine Region contains several terraces and steps which reflect changes in sea level that occurred over the last 100,000 years. The most prominent of these features occurs at a depth of 125m as an escarpment along the North West Shelf and Sahul Shelf (DSEWPaC 2012a). Where the ancient submerged coastline provides areas of hard substrate it may contribute to higher biological diversity. Little detailed knowledge is available, but the hard substrate of the escarpment is likely to support sponges, crinoids, molluscs, echinoderms (DSEWPaC 2012a). It is understood that changes in topography at these depths are critical points for the generation of internal waves (Holloway *et al.* 2001 cited in DEWHA 2008c), playing a minor role in aiding localised upwelling or at least regional mixing associated with the seasonal changes in

currents and winds. It is also believed that this prominent floor feature could be important as a migratory pathway for cetaceans and pelagic species such as the whale shark and humpback whale, as they move north and south between feeding and breeding grounds (DEWHA 2008c).

Parts of the ancient coastline are thought to provide biologically important habitats in areas otherwise dominated by soft sediments. The topographic complexity of these escarpments may also facilitate vertical mixing of the water column providing a relatively nutrient-rich environment for species present on the escarpment (DSEWPaC 2012a). This enhanced productivity could potentially be attracting baitfish, which in turn provide food for the migratory species. The pressures of potential concern on the biodiversity value of this feature generally include ocean acidification as a result of climate change (DoEE 2019a).

10.1.16 Ancient Coastline at 90-120 m Depth

This coastline is found in the South-west Marine Region and contains several terraces and steps reflecting a gradual increase in sea level across the shelf that occurred during the Holocene. Some of these features create escarpments of distinct elevation, creating topographic complexity through the exposure of rocky substrates. The most prominent of these occurs close to the middle of the continental shelf off the Great Australian Bight at a depth of 90-120 m, which provides a complex habitat for a number of species (DSEWPaC 2012c). The area has important conservation value due to its potential for high productivity, biodiversity and aggregations of marine life. Benthic biodiversity and productivity occur where the ancient coastline forms a prominent escarpment of exposed hard substrates, where it is dominated by sponge communities of significant biodiversity and structural complexity (DSEWPaC 2012c). These sponge communities have been recorded to contain sponges up to one metre across, which implies that some of the sponges in this region are likely to be many decades old (DSEWPC 2012c). It has been suggested that in certain places, the area may support some demersal fish species, travelling to the upper continental slope from across the continental shelf. The transportation of fine grained sediments off shelf occurs as a physical process down to depths of approximately 120 m, and influence the benthic invertebrate communities of the Great Australian Bight (DSEWPaC 2012c). Both species richness and biomass in the area, has been associated as declining with increasing depth and percentage of fines in sediment (Ward *et al.* 2006 cited in DSEWPaC 2012c).

10.1.17 Canyons Linking the Argo Abyssal Plain with Scott Plateau

The Scott Plateau connects with the Argo Abyssal Plain via a series of canyons, the largest of which are the Bowers and Oates canyons (DSEWPaC 2012a). The canyons are believed to be up to 50 million years old and excavated during the evolution of the region through sediment and water movements (DEWHA 2008d). The canyons cut deeply into the south-west margin of the Scott Plateau and act as conduits for transport of sediments from an approximate depth of 2,000–3,000 m to depths of more than 5,500 m (DSEWPaC 2012a). The water masses at these depths are deep Indian Ocean water on the Scott Plateau and Antarctic bottom water on the Argo Abyssal Plain. Both water masses are cold, dense and nutrient-rich (Lyne *et al.* 2006 in DSEWPaC 2012a). The high productivity of the region is believed to be led by topographically induced water movements through the canyons and the action of internal waves in these canyons as well as around islands and reefs. The canyons are therefore thought to be linked to small and periodic upwellings that enhance this biological productivity (DEWHA 2008d).

The Canyons linking the Argo Abyssal Plain and Scott Plateau are likely to be important features due to their historical association with sperm whale aggregations (DSEWPaC 2012a). Historical records of whaling in the Timor region indicate that the number of sperm whales was high in the region in the past. Though current numbers are unknown, it is possible that they congregate around the canyon heads adjacent to the Scott Plateau, encouraged by the high biological productivity, supporting stocks of their prey (DEWHA 2008d). There is anecdotal evidence that supports the idea that the Scott Plateau itself may be a breeding ground for sperm and beaked whales. It is also likely that important demersal communities occur in the canyons, as they do in the Scott Plateau supported by the localised upwelling, which in turn attract larger predatory fish, sharks and cetaceans (DEWHA 2008d).

10.1.18 Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities

The Australian Continental Slope provides important habitat for demersal fish communities, characterised by high endemism and species diversity. Specifically, the continental slope between North West Cape and the

Montebello Trough is the most diverse slope bioregion in Australia with more than 500 fish species, 76 of which are endemic (Last *et al.* 2005 in DSEWPaC 2012).

The Continental Slope consists of two distinct community types, associated with the upper and mid slope, 225 – 500 m and 750 – 1000 m respectively. The Timor Province and Northwest Transition bioregions are the second-richest areas for demersal fish across the entire continental slope (DSEWPaC 2012). The bacteria and fauna that is present in the system on the Continental Slope are the basis for the food web for demersal fish and higher order consumers in the system. Further information of this system has been poorly researched, though it has been suggested that it is a detritus-based system, where infauna and epifauna become prey for a range of teleost fish, molluscs and crustaceans (Brewer *et al.* 2007). The higher order consumers supported by this system are likely to be carnivorous fish, deep water sharks, large squid and toothed whales (Brewer *et al.* 2007). The pelagic production is known to be phytoplankton based, with hotspots located around oceanic reefs and islands (Brewer *et al.* 2007).

It is believed that the loss of the benthic habitat along this continental shelf region would likely lead to a decline in the species diversity and endemism that this feature is associated with (DoEE 2019a). The endemism of the region is not supported by large data sets and is scarce. It is consequently not well understood what interactions exist between the physical processes and trophic structures that lead to this high diversity of fish and the suggested presence of endemic species in the region (DoEE 2019a).

10.1.19 Seringapatam Reef and Commonwealth Waters in the Scott Reef Complex

Scott and Seringapatam reefs are part of a series of submerged reef platforms that rise steeply from the sea floor between the 300–700 m contours on the north-west continental slope and lie in the Timor Province (Falkner *et al.* 2009). Scott Reef consists of two separate reef formations, North Reef and South Reef. The total area of the key ecological feature is approximately 2,418 km². As two of the few offshore reefs in the north-west, they provide an important biophysical environment in the region.

Scott and Seringapatam reefs and the waters surrounding them attract aggregations of marine life including humpback whales on their northerly migration, Bryde's whales, pygmy blue whales, Antarctic minke whales, dwarf minke whales, minke whales, dwarf sperm whales and spinner dolphins (Jenner *et al.* 2008; Woodside 2009). Whale sharks and several species of sea snakes have also been recorded in this area (Donovan *et al.* 2008). Green and hawksbill turtles nest during the summer months on Sandy Islet on South Scott Reef. These species also internest and forage in the surrounding waters (Guinea 2006). Scott Reef is a particularly biologically diverse system and includes more than 300 species of reef-building corals, approximately 400 mollusc species, 118 crustacean species, 117 echinoderm species and around 720 fish species (Woodside 2009). Corals and fish at Scott Reef have higher species diversity than the Rowley Shoals (Done *et al.* 1994).

Scott Reef is listed as Commonwealth Heritage Places and is discussed in **Section 9.5.1**.

10.1.20 Ashmore Reef and Cartier Island and Surrounding Commonwealth Waters

Ashmore Reef and Cartier Island are situated on the shallow upper slope of the Sahul Shelf, north of Scott and Seringapatam reefs. Rising from a depth of more than 100 m, the reef platform is at the edge of the North West Shelf and covers an area of 239 km². Ashmore Reef Commonwealth Marine Reserve encloses an area of about 583 km² of seabed (EA 2002). Cartier Island lays about 350 km off Australia's Kimberley coast, 115 km south of the Indonesian island of Roti and 45 km south-east of Ashmore Reef Commonwealth Marine Reserve. Cartier Island Commonwealth Marine Reserve covers 167 km² (EA 2002). Species at Ashmore Reef and Cartier Island include more than 225 reef-building corals, 433 molluscs, 286 crustaceans, 192 echinoderms, and the most diverse variety of fish of any region in Western Australia with 709 species (EA 2002).

Sandy beaches provide important habitat for nesting green and hawksbill turtles throughout the year. Seagrass present at Ashmore Reef provides critical breeding (April–May) and foraging (throughout the year) habitat for a genetically distinct population of dugong with their range probably extending to other submerged shoals within the area (Brown & Skewes 2005; Whiting 1999). The emergent habitat at Ashmore also provides important nesting sites for seabirds, many of which are migratory. Ashmore's islands are regarded as supporting some of the most important seabird rookeries on the North West Shelf seasonally supporting up to 50,000 seabirds (26 species) and up to 2,000 waders (30 species, representing almost 70% of wader species

that regularly migrate to Australia) (Milton 2005). Large colonies of sooty terns, crested terns, bridled terns and common noddies breed on the east and middle islands. Smaller breeding colonies of little egrets, eastern reef egrets, black noddies and possibly lesser noddies also occur. Migratory wading birds include eastern curlews, ruddy turnstones, whimbrels, bar-tailed godwits, common sandpipers, Mongolian plovers, red-necked stints and tattlers, during October–November and March–April as part of the migration between Australia and the Northern Hemisphere (Milton 2005).

10.1.21 Carbonate Bank and Terrace System of the Sahul Shelf

The Carbonate Banks and Terrace System of the Sahul Shelf are located in the western Joseph Bonaparte Gulf and to the north of Cape Bougainville and Cape Londonderry. The banks consist of a hard substrate and flat tops at depths of 150–300 m. Each bank occupies an area generally less than 10 km² and is separated from the next bank by narrow sinuous channels with depths up to 150 m. The origin of the banks is uncertain, though the area contains predictably high levels of productivity, in comparison to the generally low productivity of the region (DSEWPaC 2012).

The banks are foraging areas for loggerhead, olive ridley and flatback turtles and provide habitat for humpback whales, and green and freshwater sawfish (Donovan *et al.* 2008 in DSEWPaC 2012). The hard substrate of the banks is thought to support diverse organisms including sessile benthic invertebrates such as sponges, soft and hard corals, gorgonians, bryozoans, ascidians and associated reef fish and elasmobranchs (Brewer *et al.* 2007). Cetaceans, green and fresh sawfish are also likely to occur in the area, as well as possibly the Australian snubfin dolphin, a migratory species occurring mostly on the northern extent of the Sahul Shelf (DSEWPaC 2012).

According to DSEWPaC (2012) the carbonate banks and terrace system of the Sahul Shelf are regionally important because of their role in enhancing productivity relative to their surrounds. Little is known about the banks, terraces and associated channels but they are believed to be areas of enhanced productivity and biodiversity due to the upwellings of cold nutrient-rich water at the heads of the channels and the availability of hard substrate (Brewer *et al.* 2007).

10.1.22 Pinnacles of the Bonaparte Basin

The limestone Pinnacles of the Bonaparte Basin are located in the mid-outer shelf of the western Joseph Bonaparte Gulf and comprise of 61% of the limestone pinnacles in the Northwest Marine Region and 8% of the total limestone pinnacles found within the Australian Exclusive Economic Zone (Baker *et al.* 2008). The pinnacles range from water depths of 30 to 80 m providing hard substrate in a relatively sparse soft sediment habitat for sessile species. The pinnacles are thought to be remnants of the calcareous shelf and coastal features from previous low sea level stands, and have been recorded to be up to 50 m in height and range from 50 to 100 km long (Baker *et al.* 2008, Heyward *et al.* 1997).

Diverse communities of sessile benthic invertebrates including hard and soft corals, sponges, whips, fans, bryozoans and aggregations of demersal fish species such as snappers, emperors and groupers have been recorded (Brewer *et al.* 2007, Nichol *et al.* 2013). Foraging and general use has been recorded within the pinnacles by marine turtles and the area has also been suggested to be used by freshwater and green sawfish as well as humpback whales (Donovan *et al.* 2008). The pinnacles have been recognised as a sponge biodiversity hotspot which has recorded greater diversity and communities than that of the surrounding seafloor (NERP MBH 2014).

According to DSEWPaC (2012) the Pinnacles of the Bonaparte Basin are regionally important because of its biodiversity values (unique sea-floor feature with ecological properties of regional significance), which apply to both the benthic and pelagic habitats. The hard substrate of the pinnacles are likely to support a high number of species, although a better understanding of the species richness and diversity associated with these structures is required.

10.1.23 Diamantina Fracture Zone

The Diamantina Fracture Zone is located south of the Naturaliste Plateau covering a range of more than 100,000 km² in water depths greater than 3,000 m. The ridge, troughs and seamounts that form the fracture zone have been recorded to have a relief up to 4,000 m which has resulted in highly variable environmental

conditions (Stow 2006, Richardson *et al.* 2005). The Diamantina Fracture Zone encompasses the deepest known points in Australia's exclusive economic zone, reaching depths of more than 6,000 metres.

Limited information is available for the Diamantina Fracture Zone, however it is likely that due to the highly variable environmental conditions within the distinctive community structures and unique habitats have the potential to form. The presence of seamounts and ridges has the potential to increase local primary and secondary productivity, which may in turn promote phytoplankton growth. Increased phytoplankton has been recorded to increase the diversity and abundance of marine life (e.g. whales, dolphins, fish and benthic species) (Rowden *et al.* 2010). The area is expected to sustain similar habitats to that of and around the Tasmanian Seamounts due to similar depths in the South-east Marine Region (Richardson *et al.* 2005).

According to DSEWPaC (2012) the Diamantina Fracture Zone is regionally important because of to enhance productivity and assist with dispersal and migration of species across the region and wider abyssal plain (Wilson & Kaufman 1987, in Richardson *et al.* 2005). While research on the Diamantina Fracture Zone is limited, its size, physical complexity and isolation indicate that it is likely to support deepwater communities characterised by high species diversity and endemism.

10.1.24 Albany Canyons Group and Adjacent Shelf Break

The Albany Canyons group and adjacent shelf break is located along a 700 km extent ranging from Cape Leeuwin to the east of Esperance and consists of 32 deep canyons which cut into the continental slope. Sonar surveys have indicated that individual canyons can extent up to 90 km long at water depths of 2,000 m. The canyons can start at the uppermost continental slope and reach the lowermost slope and extend onto the abyssal plain (Exon *et al.* 2005).

Due to close spacing of the numerous canyons, a wide range of depth dependent benthic habitats are connected increasing the habitat heterogeneity along the south western Australian continental margin. Offshore transport increases the sediment load and organic material is received from productive shelf waters. The closely spaced canyons have the potential to allow increased amounts of organic matter to reach the abyssal plain which may increase biodiversity in comparison to other areas within the south west Marine Region. (Richardson *et al.* 2005).

According to DSEWPaC (2012), the Albany Canyons group and adjacent shelf break is regionally important and recognised as a key ecological feature for its high productivity, aggregations of marine life, and as a unique seafloor feature with ecological properties of regional significance (Pattiaratchi 2007). Both benthic and demersal habitats within the feature are of conservation value. The canyons are known to be a feeding area for the sperm whale (Bannister *et al.* 1996) and sites of orange roughy aggregations (Caton & McLoughlin 2004).

11. State Marine Conservation Reserves

11.1 Introduction

Marine parks and reserves have been progressively established in Western Australia since 1987. The Conservation and Parks Commission (CPC) is the vesting authority for marine parks and reserves under the provisions of the *Conservation and Land Management Act 1984*. Parks and Wildlife, within the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA), is responsible for day to day management of the parks.

There are three categories of state marine conservation reserves: marine parks; marine management areas; and marine nature reserves.

Marine parks are created to protect natural features and aesthetic values while allowing recreational and commercial uses that do not compromise conservation values. There are currently 18 marine parks within the EMBA (refer **Figure 9-1**, **Figure 9-2** and **Figure 9-3**).

Marine parks are multiple-use reserves that cater for a wide range of activities. Within marine parks there may be four types of management zones: recreation zones; general use zones; no-take areas known as sanctuary zones; and special purpose zones.

Each marine park has a 'management plan' that contains strategies to protect the high value assets in the park, as well as permitted activities tables. These tables provide explicit regulatory management.

Sanctuary zones are 'no-take' areas created primarily for conservation and scientific research and are designed to protect a particular significant ecosystem or habitat. Low-impact tourism may be permitted, but no recreational or commercial fishing, aquaculture, pearling, petroleum drilling or production is allowed.

Marine management areas provide an integrated management structure over areas that have high conservation value and intensive multiple-use. There are two marine management areas within the EMBA (described below).

There is currently only one state marine nature reserve: Hamelin Pool Nature Reserve part of the Shark Bay World Heritage Area (**Section 9.1.1**)

11.1.1 Ngari Capes Marine Park

The Ngari Capes Marine Park is gazetted as a Class A Marine Park. The park is located off the southwest coast of Western Australia, approximately 250 km south of Perth, covering approximately 123,790 ha. The seaward boundary of the marine park is congruent with the seaward limit of Western Australian waters (three nautical miles from the territorial baseline). The north-eastern boundary in Geographe Bay is located near the intersection of the Shire of Busselton boundary with the coastline. The Shire of Busselton–Shire of Capel boundary is approximately 30 m north-east of the marine park boundary, while the south-eastern boundary in Flinders Bay is located at 115° 17'00" E. The marine park consists of four areas that are representative of the Leeuwin–Naturaliste marine bioregion: Geographe Bay; Cape Naturaliste to Cape Mentelle coast; the Cape Mentelle to Cape Leeuwin coast; and Flinders Bay. These areas show distinct differences in geomorphology, oceanography, habitats and flora and fauna.

The Ngari Capes Marine Park was identified as one of the most diverse temperate marine environments in Australia. Warm, tropical waters of the Leeuwin Current mix with the cool waters of the Capes Current, resulting in high finfish diversity, including tropical and temperate species (see fish in **Section 5.1.1**) and internationally significant seagrass diversity with seagrasses occurring at depths greater than 40 m (see seagrasses in **Section 3.2**). The marine park also surrounds a number of islands that are important seabird nesting habitat and pinniped haul-outs (places where seals and sea lions leave the water and come onto land), including Hamelin Island, Sugarloaf Rock and the Saint Alouarn Islands which include Flinders Island, Seal Island and Square Rock (DEC 2013). These islands are vested with the Conservation Commission as nature reserve and are managed by DBCA for the purpose of conservation. The marine park is also adjacent to the Leeuwin Naturaliste National Park which extends to the high water mark (DEC 2013).

The Ngari Capes marine park was also created for its high social values. The unique geographical location of this region exposes it to large, uninterrupted ocean swells and results in the South West capes area being recognised as one of the world's premier surfing regions. Many activities occurring in the region are marine based, including commercial and recreational fishing, swimming, surfing, diving, snorkelling, boating, and marine nature-based tourism.

11.1.2 Jurien Bay Marine Park

The Jurien Bay Marine Park is a Class A marine park located on the central west coast of Western Australia about 200 km north of Perth and covers an area of 82,375 ha (CALM 2005b). Its western boundary is the seaward limit of Western Australian coastal waters. Its northern boundary is the northern point of Dynamite Bay at Green Head (30° 4' 7.9" South), and its southern boundary is located just south of Wedge (30° 50' 20" South) and is contiguous with the southern boundary of the Wanagarren Nature Reserve.

Jurien Bay Marine Park is considered to be broadly representative of the Central West Coast limestone reef system, which is a major marine ecosystem within this bioregion. The marine biota of the area consists of an unusual mix of tropical and temperate species as well as many endemic species (Larkum & Hartog, 1989). The Marine Park is dominated by five major marine habitat types: seagrass meadows; bare or sparsely vegetated mobile sand; shoreline and offshore intertidal reef platforms; subtidal limestone reefs; and reef pavement (CALM 2005b). Marine wildlife includes 14 species of cetaceans, a variety of sea and shorebirds which nest on the islands and the Australian sea lion (North Fisherman Island to the north of Jurien Bay is one of the main breeding sites for sea lions in the Central West Coast region and it is believed this breeding population is genetically distinct from the southern coast population – Gales et al. 1992). Commercial fishing for western rock lobster as well commercial wetlining, abalone, shark netting, beach seining for mullet and collecting of specimen shells and aquarium fish are carried out within the marine park.

11.1.3 Shark Bay Marine Park and Hamelin Pool Marine Nature Reserve

The Shark Bay Marine Reserves comprise the Shark Bay Marine Park and the Hamelin Pool Marine Nature Reserve. The Shark Bay Marine Park was gazetted on 30 November 1990 as A Class Marine Park Reserve No. 7 and vested in the National Park and Nature Conservation Authority (NPNCA) under the CALM Act. The marine park encompasses an area of 748,725 ha (CALM 1996).

The Bay is located near the northern limit of a transition region between temperate and tropical marine fauna. Of the 323 fish species recorded from Shark Bay, 83% are tropical species with 11% warm temperate and 6% cool temperate species. Similarly, of the 218 species of bivalves recorded in Shark Bay, 75% have a tropical range and 10% a southern Australian range, with 15% being endemic to the west coast (CALM 1996).

Key features of Shark Bay Marine Park include (CALM 1996, DSEWPaC 2013b):

- + 12 species of seagrass making it one of the most diverse seagrass assemblages in the world;
- + Seagrass that covers over 4,000 km² of the bay. The 1,030 km² Wooramel Seagrass Bank is the largest structure of its type in the world;
- + An estimated population of about 11,000 dugongs, one of the largest populations in the world;
- + Humpback and southern right whales use the bay as a migratory staging post;
- + Bottlenose dolphins occur in the bay, and green turtle and loggerhead turtle nest on the beaches;
- + Large numbers of sharks including whaler, tiger shark and hammerhead are present as well as an abundant population of rays, including the manta ray;
- + Hamelin Pool in Shark Bay contains the most diverse and abundant examples of stromatolite forms in the world, representative of life-forms which lived some 3,500 million years ago; and
- + Shark Bay Marine Park does not cover Bernier and Dorre Islands and only coastal waters inshore of Dirk Hartog Island (east of eastern shoreline).

Shark Bay was included on the World Heritage List in 1991 primarily on the basis of three natural features: vast seagrass beds; dugong population; and stromatolites (microbial colonies that form hard, dome-shaped deposits and are among the oldest forms of life on Earth) (DSEWPaC 2013b; see **Section 9.1**).

There is no zoning within the Hamelin Pool Marine Nature Reserve. This area is a 'look but don't take' area managed solely for the conservation of globally outstanding marine life. Hamelin Pool is one of only two known places in the world with living examples of marine stromatolites (DEC 2010). The shores of Hamelin Pool are also important for the formation of extensive marine algal mats formed by microbial algae. If damaged, the mats and stromatolites can take many hundreds of years to recover (DEC 2010).

11.1.4 Ningaloo Marine Park

The Ningaloo Marine Park was declared in May 1987 under the National Parks and Wildlife Conservation Act 1975 (Commonwealth). The Ningaloo Coast, incorporating both key marine and terrestrial values was later granted World Heritage Status in June 2011. In November 2012, the Ningaloo Marine Park (Commonwealth Waters) was renamed to be incorporated in the North-west Commonwealth Marine Reserves Network. The park covers an area of 263,343 km², including both State and Commonwealth waters, extending 25 km offshore.

The park protects a large portion of Ningaloo Reef, which stretches over 300 km from North West Cape south to Red Bluff. It is the largest fringing coral reef in Australia, forming a discontinuous barrier that encloses a lagoon that varies in width from 200 m to 7 km. Gaps that regularly intercept the main reef line provide channels for water exchange with deeper, cooler waters (CALM 2005). The Ningaloo Marine Park forms the backbone of the nature-based tourism industry, and recreational activities in the Exmouth region. Seasonal aggregations of whale sharks, manta rays, sea turtles and whales, as well as the annual mass spawning of coral attract large numbers of visitors to Ningaloo each year (CALM 2005).

The reef is composed of partially dissected basement platform of Pleistocene marine or Aeolian sediments or tertiary limestone, covered by a thin layer of living or dead coral or macroalgae. Key features that characterise the Ningaloo Reef include (CALM 2005):

- + Over 217 species of coral (representing 54 genera);
- + Over 600 species of mollusc (clams, oysters, octopus, cuttlefish, snails);
- + Over 460 species of fish;
- + Ninety-seven species of echinoderms (sea stars, sea urchins, sea cucumbers);
- + Habitat for numerous threatened species, including whales, dugong, whale sharks and turtles; and
- + Habitat for over 25 species of migratory wading birds listed in CAMBA and JAMBA.

11.1.5 Muiron Islands Marine Management Area

The Ningaloo Marine Park Management Plan (CALM 2005) created a MMA for the Muiron Islands, immediately adjacent to the northern end of the Park. This is managed as an integrated area together with the Ningaloo Marine Park, but its status as a MMA means that some activities, including oil and gas exploration, are still permitted under a strict environmental assessment process involving DMIRS.

The Muiron Islands, located 15 km northeast of the North West Cape, comprise the North and South Muiron Islands and cover an area of 1,400 ha (AHC 2006). They are low limestone islands (maximum height of 18 m above sea level (ASL)) with some areas of sandy beaches, macroalgae and seagrass beds in the shallow waters (particularly on the eastern sides) and coral reef up to depths of 5m, which surrounds both sides of South Muiron Island and the eastern side of North Muiron Island. The Muiron Islands MMA was WA's first MMA, gazetted in November 2004. It covers an area of 28,616 ha and occurs entirely within state waters (CALM 2005).

11.1.6 Barrow Island Marine Park

The Barrow Island Marine Park covers 4,169 ha, all of which is zoned as sanctuary zone (the Western Barrow Island Sanctuary Zone) (DEC 2007). It includes Biggada Reef, an ecologically significant fringing reef, and Turtle Bay, an important turtle aggregation and breeding area (DEC 2007). Representative areas of seagrass, macroalgal and deep water habitat are also represented within the marine park (DEC 2007). Passive recreational activities (such as snorkelling, diving and boating) are permitted but extractive activities such as fishing and hunting are not.

11.1.7 Barrow Island Marine Management Area

The Barrow Island Marine Management Area (MMA) is the largest reserve within the Montebello/ Barrow Islands marine conservation reserves, covering 114,693 ha (DEC 2007). The MMA includes most of the waters around Barrow Island, the Lowendal Islands and the Barrow Island Marine Park, with the exclusion of the port areas of Barrow Island and Varanus Island.

The MMA is not zoned apart from one specific management zone: the Bandicoot Bay Conservation Area. This conservation area is on the southern coast of Barrow Island and has been created to protect benthic fauna and seabirds. It includes the largest intertidal sand/mudflat community in the reserves, is known to be high in invertebrate diversity and is an important feeding area for migratory birds.

As for the other reserves in the Montebello/Barrow Islands marine conservation reserves, the Barrow Island MMA includes significant breeding and nesting areas for marine turtles and the waters support a diversity of tropical marine fauna, important coral reefs and unique mangrove communities (DEC 2007). Green, hawksbill and flatback turtles regularly use the island's beaches for breeding, and loggerhead turtles are also occasionally sighted.

11.1.8 Montebello Islands Marine Park

Montebello/ Barrow/ Lowendal Islands are part of a shallow submarine ridge, which extends north from the mainland near Onslow. The ridge contains extensive areas of intertidal and shallow subtidal limestone pavement surrounding the numerous, mostly small islands which are found in the region. The seabed is generally less than 5 m deep and consists of sand veneered limestone pavement with patches of fringing coral reef (DEC 2007).

The island chain lies entirely within WA State waters, with the State-Commonwealth boundary extending out to encompass the islands and waters 3 nm west of Barrow Island and north of the Montebello Islands. These islands are protected within as marine conservation reserves: Montebello Islands Marine Park, Barrow Islands Marine Park and Barrow Island Marine Management Area.

The Montebello Islands Marine Park (58,331 ha) consists of two sanctuary zones, two recreation zones, one special purpose zone for benthic protection, eleven special purpose zones for pearling and general use zones.

The Montebello Islands comprise over 100 islands, the majority of which are rocky outcrops; rocky shore accounts for 81% of shoreline habitat (DEC 2007a).

The ecological and conservation values of the Montebello and Barrow Islands Marine Conservation Reserve (MCR) include important habitats including corals reefs and bommies, mangroves, seagrass and macroalgae meadows, rocky shorelines and hard substrate, intertidal sand and mudflat communities. These habitats provide protection, food and habitat for a large diversity of species, including dugongs, turtles, whales, other protected cetaceans and birds as well as sea snakes and fish. The area is considered to have a high biodiversity. The islands also provide feeding and resting areas for migrating shorebirds and seabird nesting areas.

Socio-economic values of the Montebello and Barrow Islands MCR include hydrocarbon exploration and production, pearling, nature-based tourism, commercial and recreational fishing, water sports, European history and maritime heritage and scientific research (DEC 2007)

Special purpose zones for pearling are established for the existing leaseholder to allow pearling to be the priority use of these areas (DEC 2007a). Commercial fishing includes a trap fishery for reef fishes, mainly in

water depths of 30–100 m, and wet lining for reef fish and mackerel. Fish trawling also occurs in the waters near to the Montebello Islands. A tourist houseboat operates out of Claret Bay, at the southern end of Hermite Island, during the winter months. The Montebello Islands are becoming more frequently used by recreational boaters for camping, fishing and diving activities.

11.1.9 Rowley Shoals Marine Park

The Rowley Shoals (including the Commonwealth-managed Mermaid Reef Marine National Nature Reserve) are located approximately 300 km west-northwest of Broome, lying between 17°07'S, 119°36'E and 17°35'S, 118°56'E and encompassing approximately 87,674 ha (DEC 2007b).

The Rowley Shoals is ecologically significant in that the reefs form part of a series of important ecological “stepping stones” for a range of reef biota originating in Indonesian/west Pacific waters. Their position off the north-west Australian coast, an area of few offshore reef systems, provides an important upstream source for recruitment to reefs further south (DEC 2007b). Marine wildlife includes 184 species of corals, primarily Indo-West Pacific species, indicating the strong affinity of the Rowley Shoals communities with Indonesia. In terms of other species, at least 264 species of molluscs, 82 species of echinoderms and 389 species of finfish were also identified (DEC 2007b). The faunal assemblages of the Rowley Shoals Marine Park are regionally significant as they contain large numbers of species not found in the more turbid coastal environments of tropical Western Australia (DEC 2007b). There is a relatively low level of recreational and commercial activity, mostly attributed to the remoteness of the Shoals with access difficult from both Indonesia and mainland Australia (DEC 2007b).

11.1.10 Lalang-garram/Camden Sound Marine Parks

The Lalang-garram/Camden Sound Marine Park was created on 19 June 2012 under Section 13 of the Conservation and Land Management Act 1984 (CALM Act). It is a multiple zone marine park that includes; Sanctuary, Special Purpose, and General Use zones (DPaW 2013). The marine park falls within the west Kimberley, which was recently added to the Australian National Heritage List because of its natural, indigenous and historic values to the nation.

The marine park is located about 150 km north of Derby (or 300 km north of Broome) and lies within the traditional country of three Aboriginal native title groups. The Dambimangari people’s determination overlies the majority of the marine park. A section of the Wunambal Gaambera people’s Uunguu determination includes a small portion of St George Basin, while a small section of the Mayala people’s claim (native title not determined at the time of writing of Management Plan) overlies the southwest corner of the marine park (DPaW 2013).

The marine park covers an area of approximately 705,000 ha. It recognises and provides special management arrangements for this area of the Kimberley, which is a principal calving habitat of the humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) population that migrates annually along Western Australia’s coast. The marine park also conserves a range of species listed as having special conservation status including marine turtles, snubfin and Indo-Pacific humpback dolphins, dugong, saltwater crocodiles, and several species of sawfish. The park also includes a wide range of marine habitats and associated marine life, such as coral reef communities, rocky shoals, and the extensive mangrove forests and marine life of the St George Basin and Prince Regent River (DPaW 2013).

11.1.11 Marmion Marine Park

Marmion Marine Park was Western Australia’s first marine park, declared in 1987 and is a multi-use reserve (CALM 2002). Marmion Marine Park is located offshore from Perth’s northern suburbs, between Trigg Island and Burns Beach.

Habitats in the area include intertidal reef platforms, coastal sand beaches, a high limestone reef about 1 km from shore, Little Island and the Three Mile Reef system. Of note are complex assemblages of sea floor communities, including seagrass meadows, algal limestone pavement communities and crevice animal associations (CALM 2002).

The marine park provides an important habitat for marine mammals, such as sea lions, dolphins and whales. The island nature reserves within Marmion Marine Park provide an important habitat for several species of seabirds and haul-out areas for Australian sea lions, especially at Little Island and Burns Rocks (CALM 2002).

11.1.12 Swan Estuary Marine Park

The Swan Estuary Marine Park (A Class marine reserve number 4) was gazetted on 25 May 1990. The Swan Estuary Marine Park and Adjacent Nature Reserves Management Plan 1999-2009 was gazetted 7 April 2000 (CALM 1999).

The Swan Estuary Marine Park encompasses Alfred Cove, 200 ha adjacent to the suburbs of Attadale and Applecross; Pelican Point, a 45 ha area in Crawley; and Milyu, 95 ha adjacent to the Como foreshore (CALM 1999). All three localities are within 20 minutes of the Perth CBD.

These areas encompass mudflats, seagrass beds and intertidal vegetation such as sedges and saltmarsh, which provide many different habitats for a host of animals. The most important of these, due to their international significance, are the migratory wading birds. They come from as far afield as Asia, Mongolia and Siberia. About 33 of these species are protected, including the red-necked stint (CALM 1999).

11.1.13 Shoalwater Islands Marine Park

The Shoalwater Islands Marine Park is located within the Perth metropolitan area, adjacent to the city of Rockingham and was gazetted in 1990 (DEC 2007). There are three sanctuary zones, two special purpose zones and a large general use zone in the park.

The Shoalwater Island region is dominated by beach and rocky shore shoreline habitats. The many jagged edged islands and rocky islets of the marine park provide important roosting and nesting areas for numerous bird species. The marine park has some of the healthiest seagrass meadows in the Perth metropolitan area, consisting of long lived species such as *Posidonia* spp. and *Amphibolis* spp. Seagrass meadows provide an important habitat and nursery area for a large number of marine species such as fish, rock lobsters, worms, shellfish, crustaceans, fish sharks and rays (DEC 2007).

The habitats of the marine park are important for the feeding, resting and breeding of little penguins and other sea and shore birds. Penguin Island which is found within the marine park has the largest breeding colony of little penguin on the west coast of Australia (DEC 2007). The bottlenose dolphin is the most common marine mammal, and Australian sea lions are commonly seen throughout the park.

11.1.14 Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park

The Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park, located between Port Hedland and Broome, was gazetted on 29 January 2013. It covers an area of approximately 200,000 ha stretching for some 220 km from Cape Missiessy to Cape Keraudren, and includes sanctuary, recreation, general use and special purpose zones. The park is managed under the Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park Management Plan 2014-20124 (DPaW, 2014).

The listed ecological values of the Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park include the high sediment and water quality, the juxtaposition of the beach, coastal topography and seabed and the diverse and ecologically important habitats and marine/coastal flora and fauna. The listed habitat values of the marine park are as follows:

- + The intertidal sand and mudflat communities supporting a high abundance and diversity of invertebrate life and providing a valuable food source for shorebirds (including migratory species) and other fauna;
- + The diverse subtidal filter-feeding communities;
- + Macroalgal and seagrass communities providing habitat and feeding opportunities for fish, invertebrates and dugongs;
- + High diversity intertidal and subtidal coral reef communities; and
- + Mangrove communities and adjacent saltmarshes provide nutrients to the surrounding waters and habitat for fish and invertebrates.

The listed marine and coastal fauna values are as follows:

- + A high diversity and abundance of nationally and internationally important shorebirds and waders (including migratory species) are found in the marine park;
- + Flatback turtles are endemic to northern Australia and nest at Eighty Mile Beach;
- + Dugongs and several whale and dolphin species inhabit or migrate through the marine park;
- + A highly diverse marine invertebrate fauna provides an important food source for a variety of animals, including birds, fish and turtles, along with recreational and commercial fishing opportunities;
- + A diversity of fish species provides recreational and commercial fishing opportunities; and
- + A diversity of sharks and rays, including several protected species, are found in the park.

In addition to these natural values, the marine park contains land and sea important to traditional Indigenous owners through identity and place, family networks, spiritual practice and resource gathering. The marine park also has a history of European activity including exploration, pastoralism and commercial fishing (e.g. the pearl oyster fishery). The park contains a historical WWII plane wreck (*Dornier Do-24 X-36*) and shipwrecks (two pearl luggers). The marine park provides tourism opportunity and recreational value through its remoteness, diversity and abundance of habitats and marine fauna and the pristine nature of the marine and coastal environment.

The marine park contains vast intertidal sand and mudflats that extend up to 4 km wide at low tide and provide a rich source of food for many species. Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park is one of the world's most important feeding grounds for small wading birds that migrate to the area each summer, travelling from countries thousands of kilometres away (DPaW 2014) (see **Section 9.2.1**).

11.1.15 Lalang-garram/ Horizontal Falls and North Lalang-garram Marine Parks

The Lalang-garram/ Horizontal Falls and North Lalang-garram Marine Parks were established in 2016 under the State Government's *Kimberley Science and Conservation Strategy* and are jointly managed by Dambimangari Traditional Owners and the Department of Parks and Wildlife (DPaW 2016). The marine parks fall within the west Kimberly region, included in the Australian National Heritage List for its nationally significant natural, indigenous and historic values (DoEE 2019c).

The Lalang-garram/ Horizontal Falls Marine Park extends from Talbot Bay (*Ganbadba*) in the west to Walcott Inlet (*Iledda*) and Glenelg River (*Molor Moloyn*) in the east and covers approximately 353,000 ha (DPaW 2016). The marine park protects the internationally recognised Horizontal Falls and is important for the region's tourism. The North Lalang-garram Marine Park lies between the Lalang-garram / Camden Sound and North Kimberley Marine Parks and covers approximately 110,000 ha (DPaW 2016).

The area's large tidal range results in extensive intertidal areas with diverse ecosystems such as fringing coral reefs, mangroves and mudflat communities. Subtidal habitats and communities common to the marine parks include filter feeding communities of sponges and hard and soft corals. These intertidal and subtidal habitats provide critical foraging and nursery areas for dugong, marine turtles, estuarine crocodiles, snubfin and Indo-Pacific humpback dolphins, several species of sawfish and migratory seabirds. The marine parks are also a principal calving habitat for humpback whales (DPaW 2016).

11.1.16 North Kimberley Marine Park

The North Kimberley Marine Park was established in December 2016 as a Class A marine park under the CPC (DPaW 2016a). The marine park comprises four separate management areas including, Unguu, Balangarra, Miriuwung Gajerrong, and Wilinggin. It is a multiple zone marine park that includes: eight sanctuary zones, nine special purpose zones (recreation and conservation), two special use zone (cultural heritage), and general use areas (DPaW 2016a). The marine park is managed in accordance with the provisions of the CALM Act with joint management between the Department of Parks and Wildlife and Traditional Owners of the area.

The area within the marine park is recognised for its Aboriginal cultural and heritage values, natural values including coral reefs, marine turtle species, dugongs, seagrass and macroalgal communities, mangroves and

saltmarshes, finfish, and water and sediment quality, as well as for its social values (i.e. recreation, tourism and community values) and commercial values and resource use (e.g. commercial fishing). The marine park lies within the Indian Ocean and Timor Sea of Western Australia's Kimberley region, covering an area of approximately 1,845,000 hectares (DPaW 2016a). The south-western boundary is approximately 270 km northeast of Derby.

11.1.17 Yawuru Nagulagun/ Roebuck Bay Marine Park

The Yawuru Nagulagun/Roebuck Bay Marine Park was approved by the State Minister for Environment in October 2016 and declared as a Class A reserve over the subtidal and intertidal areas of Roebuck Bay (excluding the Kimberley Ports Authority waters), (DBCA, 2017a). The Marine Park is managed with a joint management framework between Parks and Wildlife and Yawuru Registered Native Title Body Corporation (RNTBC). The intent is to manage the areas from the offshore waters around Roebuck and Broome, collectively referred to as the Yawuru conservation estate, as one ecological system (DPaW 2016b). The development of the joint management plan is in accordance with the Conservation and Land Management Act 1984 (Yawuru Organisation 2017) as well as contributes to the State Governments commitment under the Kimberly Science and Conservation Strategy, released in June 2011.

The Yawuru people have lived along the foreshores of Roebuck Bay for thousands of years, the Bay is part of the Yawuru traditional estate (DPaW 2016b). Roebuck Bay is an internationally significant Ramsar wetland, declared in 1990, and an important feeding ground for many species of migratory shorebirds. It hosts possibly the greatest diversity of shorebird species at any site across the globe (DBCA 2017b). The Bay has some of the most productive tropical intertidal flats in the world, and is consequently an important ground for Yawuru fishing, hunting and gathering of sea food. The Bay hosts communities of seagrass and macroalgae, providing food for protected species such as the dugong and flatback turtle. Marine mammals also pass through the waters of the Bay such as the Australian snubfin dolphin and the humpback dolphin, the humpback whale can also be found during annual migration (DPaW 2016b).

12. Australian Marine Parks

12.1 Introduction

In agreement with the States and NT governments, the Australian Commonwealth government was committed to establish Commonwealth marine parks as a component of the National Representative System of Marine Protected Areas (DoE 2014) (See **Figure 9-1**, **Figure 9-2** and **Figure 9-3**). In November 2012, the Commonwealth Marine Reserves Network was proclaimed with the purpose of protecting the biological diversity and sustainable use of the marine environment (Director of National Parks 2012a). Commonwealth Marine Reserves were renamed as Australian Marine Parks in October 2017. Six marine regions are included in the Australian Marine Parks Network, including the Coral Sea, the South-west, the Temperate East, the South-east, the North and the North-west. The South-east network 10-year Management Plan came into effect on 1 July 2013. The remaining networks 10-year Management Plans were approved and came into effect on 1 July 2018.

The new management plans establish the management and zoning of the designated marine parks. The marine park networks pertinent to the EMBA include:

- + The South-West Marine Parks Network;
- + The North-West Marine Parks Network; and
- + The North Marine Parks Network.

The South-West Marine Parks Network comprises 14 marine parks. Seven of these occur in West Australian waters in the EMBA, including:

- + Abrolhos Commonwealth Marine Park;
- + Jurien Marine Park;
- + Two Rocks Marine Park;
- + Perth Canyon Marine Park;
- + Geographe Marine Park;
- + South-west Corner Marine Park; and
- + Bremer Marine Park

The North-West Marine Parks Network comprises 13 marine parks which all occur in West Australian waters pertinent to the EMBA:

- + Carnarvon Canyon Marine Park;
- + Shark Bay Marine Park;
- + Gascoyne Marine Park;
- + Ningaloo Marine Park;
- + Montebello Marine Park;
- + Dampier Marine Park;
- + Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park;
- + Argo-Rowley Terrace Marine Park;
- + Mermaid Reef Marine Park;
- + Roebuck Marine Park;

- + Kimberley Marine Park;
- + Ashmore Reef Marine Park; and
- + Cartier Island Marine Park.

The Northern Marine Parks Network comprises eight marine parks. However, only the Oceanic Shoals Marine Park extends across the boundary with the North-West Marine Parks Network, into the EMBA.

The sizes of these marine parks range from 300—152,000 km², and the water depths within the marine parks vary from approximately 15—1,500 m deep. The EPBC Act requires that each management plan assign an International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) category to each marine park. Additionally, the Act also allows for the management plan to divide a marine park into zones and to assign a category to each zone, which may differ from the overall category of the marine park. Zoning considers the purposes for which the marine parks were declared, the objectives of the relevant management plans, the values of the marine park and requirements of the EPBC Act and EPBC Regulations.

Five types of zone are represented within the North Marine Parks Network. However, it is only the Multiple Use Zone (IUCN Category VI) of the Oceanic Shoals Marine Park which extends into the EMBA.

The North-West Marine Parks Network includes six different types of zoning:

- + Sanctuary Zone (IUCN Category Ia);
- + National Park Zone (IUCN Category II);
- + Recreational Use Zone (IUCN Category IV);
- + Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN Category IV);
- + Multiple Use Zone (IUCN Category VI); and
- + Special Purpose Zone (Trawl) (VI).

The South-west Marine Parks Network includes six different types of zoning:

- + National Park Zone (IUCN Category II);
- + Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN Category IV);
- + Multiple Use Zone (IUCN Category VI);
- + Special Purpose Zone (Mining Exclusion) (IUCN Category VI);
- + Special Purpose Zone (IUCN Category VI); and
- + Special Purpose Zone (Trawl) (IUCN Category VI).

A summary of the South-West and North-West Marine Parks Networks is provided in **Table 12-1**.

12.2 South-West Marine Parks Network

The South-West Commonwealth Marine Parks Network is aligned to the South-West Marine Region. The network covers 508,371 km² and includes 14 marine parks (Director of National Parks, 2018a). Broad values of the South-west Australian Marine Parks include:

- + Natural values;
- + Cultural values;
- + Heritage values; and
- + Socio-economic values.

Further detail on each of the relevant marine parks those that fall within the EMBA is provided below.

12.2.1 Abrolhos Marine Park

The Abrolhos Marine Park (including zones within the EMBA: Marine National Park Zone – IUCN Category II-2,548 km²; Habitat Protection Zone – IUCN Category VI-23,239 km²; Multiple Use Zone – IUCN Category VI-56,545 km²; Special Purpose Zone – IUCN Category VI-5,729 km²) covers an area of approximately 88,060 km² and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks, 2018a):

- + Important foraging areas for the:
 - Threatened Australian lesser noddy;
 - Northernmost breeding colony of the threatened Australian sea lion;
 - Great white sharks; and
 - Migratory common noddy, wedge-tailed shearwater, bridled tern, Caspian tern and roseate tern.
- + Important migration habitat for the protected humpback whale and pygmy blue whales;
- + The second largest canyon on the west coast, the Houtman Canyon;
- + Examples of the northernmost ecosystems of the Central Western Province and South-west Shelf Transition (including the Central West Coast meso-scale bioregion);
- + Examples of the deeper ecosystems of the Abrolhos Islands meso-scale bioregion;
- + Examples of the shallower, southernmost ecosystems of the Central Western Shelf Province provincial bioregion including the Zuytdorp meso-scale bioregion;
- + Examples of the deeper ecosystems of the Central Western Transition provincial bioregion;
- + Examples of diversity of seafloor features including: southern most banks and shoals of the North-west region; deep holes and valleys; slope habitats; terrace and shelf environments; and
- + Seven KEFs.

The Abrolhos Marine Park is adjacent to the Shark Bay World Heritage Property. The marine park does not contain any Commonwealth or National Heritage listings (Director of National Parks 2018a). The marine park contains 11 known shipwrecks listed under the *Underwater Culture Heritage Act 2018*. Commercial tourism, fishing, recreation and mining are important supported socio-economic activities in the park.

12.2.2 Jurien Marine Park

The Jurien Marine Park (including zones within the EMBA): Marine National Park Zone -IUCN Category II – 31 km² Special Purpose Zone -IUCN Category VI – 1,820 km²) covers an area of approximately 1,851 km² and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018a):

- + Important foraging areas for the:
 - Threatened soft-plumaged petrel;
 - Threatened Australian sea lion;
 - Threatened white shark; and
 - Migratory roseate tern, bridled tern, wedge-tailed shearwater, and common noddy.
- + Important migration habitat for the protected humpback whale;
- + Examples of the ecosystems of two provincial bioregions: the central part of the South-west Shelf Transition (which includes the Central West Coast meso-scale bioregion) and small parts of the Central Western Province;
- + Three KEFs; and
- + Heritage values represented by the SS Cambewarra and Oleander historic shipwreck.

The Jurien Marine Park does not contain any international, Commonwealth or National Heritage listings (Director of National Parks 2018a). Commercial tourism, fishing, recreation and mining are important supported socio-economic activities in the park.

12.2.3 Two Rocks Marine Park

The Two Rocks Marine Park (including zones within the EMBA): Multiple Use Zone - IUCN Category VI – 867 km²; Marine National Park Zone - IUCN Category II – 15 km²) covers an area of approximately 882 km² and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018a):

- + Important foraging areas for the:
 - Threatened soft-plumaged petrel;
 - Threatened Australian sea lion; and
 - Migratory roseate tern, bridled tern, Caspian tern, wedge-tailed shearwater, and common noddy.
- + Important migratory areas for protected humpback whales and pygmy blue whales;
- + Seasonal calving habitat for the threatened southern right whale;
- + Examples of the ecosystem of the southernmost parts of the South-west Shelf Transition (including the Central West Coast meso-scale bioregion); and
- + Three KEFs.

The Two Rocks Marine Park does not contain any international, Commonwealth or National Heritage listings (Director of National Parks 2018a). Commercial tourism, fishing, recreation and scientific research are important supported socio-economic activities in the park.

12.2.4 Perth Canyon Marine Park

Perth Canyon Marine Park (including zones within the EMBA): Marine National Park Zone – IUCN Category II – 1,241 km²; Habitat Protection Zone – IUCN Category IV – 4,352 km²; Multiple Use Zone – IUCN Category VI – 1,816 km²) covers an area of approximately 7,409 km² and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018a):

- + Globally important seasonal feeding aggregation for the threatened blue whale;
- + Important foraging areas for the:
 - Threatened soft-plumaged petrel;
 - Migratory sperm whale; and
 - Migratory wedge-tailed shearwater.
- + Important migratory areas for protected humpback whales and blue whales;
- + Seasonal calving habitat for the threatened southern right whale;
- + Examples of the ecosystems of the southernmost parts of the Central Western Province and South-west Shelf Transition (including the Central West Coast meso-scale bioregion), and the northernmost parts of the South-west Transition and Southwest Shelf Province (including the Leeuwin-Naturaliste meso-scale bioregion); and
- + Four KEFs.

The Perth Canyon Marine Park does not contain any international, Commonwealth or National Heritage listings (Director of National Parks 2018a). Commercial tourism, fishing, shipping, recreation and defence training are important supported socio-economic activities in the park.

12.2.5 Geographe Marine Park

Geographe Marine Park (including zones within the EMBA): Marine National Park Zone - IUCN Category II – 15 km²; Special Purpose Zone - IUCN VI – 650 km²; Multiple Use Zone - IUCN Category VI – 291 km²; Habitat Protection Zone (IV) 21 km²) covers an area of approximately 977 km² and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018a):

- + Important foraging areas for the:
 - Threatened soft-plumaged petrel; and
 - Migratory wedge-tailed shearwater.
- + Important pre-migration aggregation area for the migratory flesh-footed shearwater;
- + Important migratory habitat for the protected humpback whale and blue whale;
- + Seasonal calving habitat for the threatened southern right whale.
- + Seasonal calving habitat for the threatened southern right whale.
- + Representation of the South-west Shelf Province on the continental shelf as well as the Leeuwin-Naturaliste meso-scale bioregion;
- + Two KEFs; and
- + Representation of the seagrass habitats of the Geographe Bay key ecological feature, which in this location extend the furthest into Commonwealth waters.

The Geographe Marine Park does not contain any international, Commonwealth or National Heritage listings (Director of National Parks 2018a). The marine park contains eight known shipwrecks listed under the *Underwater Culture Heritage Act 2018*. Commercial tourism, fishing and recreation are important supported socio-economic activities in the park.

12.2.6 South-west Corner Marine Park

The South-west Corner Marine Park (including zones within the EMBA: Marine National Park Zone - IUCN II – 54,841 km²; Multiple Use Zone - IUCN VI – 106,602 km²; Special Purpose Zone (Mining exclusion) - IUCN VI – 9,550 km², Special Purpose Zone – IUCN VI – 5753 km²; Habitat Protection Zone - IUCN IV – 95,088 km²) covers an area of approximately 271,833 km² within the EMBA and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018a):

- + Important migratory area for protected humpback whales and blue whales;
- + Important foraging areas for the:
 - Threatened white shark;
 - Threatened Australian sea lion;
 - Threatened Indian yellow-nosed albatross and soft-plumaged petrel;
 - Sperm whale;
 - Migratory flesh-footed shearwater, short-tailed shearwater and Caspian tern; and
 - Seasonal calving habitat for the threatened southern right whale.
- + Representation of three provincial bioregions (the South-west Transition and Southern Province in the off-shelf area, and the South-west Shelf Province on the continental shelf) and two meso-scale bioregions (southern end of the Leeuwin-Naturaliste meso-scale bioregion and western and central parts of the Western Australia South Coast meso-scale bioregion);
- + Representation of the Donnelly Banks, east of Augusta, characterised by higher productivity and including nursery habitats; and

- + Six KEFs.

The South-west Corner Marine Park does not contain any international, Commonwealth or National Heritage listings (Director of National Parks 2018a). The marine park contains ten known shipwrecks listed under the *Underwater Culture Heritage Act 2018*. Commercial tourism, fishing, shipping and recreation are important supported socio-economic activities in the park.

12.2.7 Bremer Marine Park

The Bremer Marine Park: National Park Zone – IUCN II – 3,172 km²; Special Purpose Zone (Mining exclusion) - IUCN VI – 1,300 km², which covers an area of approximately 4,472 km² and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018a):

- + Contains habitats, species and ecological communities associated with two bioregions: Southern Province and South-west Shelf Province;
- + Two key ecological features (Albany Canyon group and adjacent shelf break and ancient coastline between 90 m and 120 m depth);
- + Important foraging areas for:
 - + Threatened white shark;
 - + Threatened Australian sea lion;
 - + Threatened Indian yellow-nosed albatross, Australian fairy tern and soft-plumaged petrel; and
 - + Migratory flesh-footed shearwater, short-tailed shearwater, bridled tern and Caspian tern.
- + Important migratory pathway for humpback whales;
- + Significant calving habitat for the threatened southern right whale; and
- + Important aggregation area for killer whales

The marine park does not contain any international, Commonwealth or National Heritage listings (Director of National Parks 2018a). Commercial tourism, fishing, shipping and recreation are important supported socio-economic activities in the park.

12.3 North-West Marine Park Network

The North-West Marine Parks Network is aligned to the North-west Marine Region. The network covers 335,341 km² and includes 13 marine parks (Director of National Parks, 2018b). Broad values of the North-west Commonwealth Marine Reserves Network include:

- + Natural values;
- + Cultural values;
- + Heritage values; and
- + Socio-economic values.

Further detail on each of the relevant marine parks within the EMBA is provided below.

12.3.1 Carnarvon Canyon Marine Park

The Carnarvon Canyon Marine Park (Habitat Protection Zone – IUCN Category IV) covers an area of approximately 6,177 km² and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018b):

- + The Carnarvon Canyon a single channel canyon with seabed features that include slope, continental rise and deep holes and valleys;

- + The Carnarvon Canyon ranges in depth from 1500 m to over 5,000 m, thereby providing habitat diversity for benthic and demersal species; and
- + Central Western Transition provincial bioregion ecosystem examples are found here, which are characteristic of the biogeographic faunal transition between tropical and temperate species.

There is limited information about species' use of this Marine Park (Director of National Parks 2018b). The marine park does not contain any international, Commonwealth or National Heritage listings (Director of National Parks 2018b). Commercial fishing, tourism, shipping and mining are important supported socio-economic activities in the marine park.

12.3.2 Shark Bay Marine Park

The Shark Bay Marine Park (Multiple Use Zone – IUCN Category VI) covers an area of approximately 7,443 km² and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018b):

- + Foraging areas adjacent to important breeding areas for several species of migratory seabirds;
- + Part of the migratory pathway of protected humpback whales;
- + Interesting habitat for marine turtles;
- + Waters that are adjacent to the largest nesting area for loggerhead turtles in Australia;
- + Marine park and adjacent coastal areas important for shallow-water snapper;
- + Protection to shelf and slope habitats as well as a terrace feature;
- + Examples of the shallower ecosystems of the Central Western Shelf Province and Central Western Transition provincial bioregions including the Zuytdorp meso-scale bioregion; and
- + Connectivity between the inshore waters of the Shark Bay World Heritage Area and the deeper waters of the area.

Whilst no listed international, Commonwealth or National Heritage places are within the marine park, the park is adjacent to Shark Bay World Heritage Area (Director of National Parks 2018b). Commercial tourism, fishing, mining and recreation are important socio-economic values of the park.

12.3.3 Gascoyne Marine Park

The Gascoyne Marine Park (Multiple Use Zone – IUCN Category VI-33,652 km²; Habitat Protection Zone – IUCN Category IV-38,982 km²; Marine National Park Zone – IUCN Category II-9,132 km²) covers an area of approximately 81,766 km² and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018a):

- + Important foraging areas for: migratory seabirds threatened and migratory hawksbills and flatback turtles; and vulnerable and migratory whale shark;
- + A continuous connectivity corridor from shallow depths around 15 m out to deep offshore waters on the abyssal plain at over 5,000 m in depth;
- + Seafloor features including canyon, terrace, ridge, knolls, deep hole/valley and continental rise. It also provides protection for sponge gardens in the south of the reserve adjacent to Western Australian coastal waters;
- + Ecosystems examples from the Central Western Shelf Transition, the Central Western Transition and the Northwest province provincial bioregions as well as the Ningaloo meso-scale bioregion;
- + Four KEFs for the region:
 - Canyons on the slope between the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Cape Range Peninsula (enhanced productivity, aggregations of marine life and unique sea-floor feature);
 - Exmouth Plateau (unique sea-floor feature associated with internal wave generation);

- Continental slope demersal fish communities (high species diversity and endemism – the most diverse slope bioregion in Australia with over 500 species found with over 64 of those species occurring nowhere else); and
- Commonwealth waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef.
- + The canyons in this reserve are believed to be associated with the movement of nutrients from deep water over the Cuvier Abyssal Plain onto the slope where mixing with overlying water layers occurs at the canyon heads. These canyon heads, including that of Cloates Canyon, are sites of species aggregation and are thought to play a significant role in maintaining the ecosystems and biodiversity associated with the adjacent Ningaloo Reef; and
- + The reserve therefore provides connectivity between the inshore waters of the existing Ningaloo Commonwealth marine park and the deeper waters of the area.

The park is also adjacent to World Heritage listings associated with the Ningaloo Coast. Commercial tourism, commercial fishing, mining and recreation are important socio-economic values of the park (Director of National Parks 2018b).

12.3.4 Ningaloo Marine Park

Ningaloo Marine Park stretches approximately 300 km along the west coast of the Cape Range Peninsula and is adjacent to the Western Australian Ningaloo Marine Park and Gascoyne Marine Park (Director of National Parks, 2018b). Ningaloo Reef is the longest fringing barrier reef in Australia forming a discontinuous barrier that encloses a lagoon that varies in width from 200 m to 7 km. Gaps that regularly intercept the main reef line provide channels for water exchange with deeper, cooler waters (CALM 2005). It is the only example in the world of extensive fringing coral reef on the west coast of a continent.

The Ningaloo Marine Park (Recreational Use Zone – IUCN Category II) covers an area of approximately 2,435 km² and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018a):

- + Important habitat (foraging areas) for vulnerable and migratory whale sharks;
- + Areas used for foraging by marine turtles adjacent to important interesting sites;
- + Part of the migratory pathway of the protected humpback whale;
- + Foraging and migratory pathway for pygmy blue whales;
- + Breeding, calving, foraging and nursing habitat for dugong;
- + Shallow shelf environments which provides protection for shelf and slope habitats, as well as pinnacle and terrace seafloor features;
- + Seafloor habitats and communities of the Central Western Shelf Transition;
- + Three KEFs; and
- + The Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Property, the Ningaloo Coast National Heritage listing and Ningaloo Marine Area Commonwealth Heritage Listing.

Commercial tourism and recreation are important socio-economic values of the marine park (Director of National Parks 2018b).

12.3.5 Montebello Marine Park

The Montebello Marine Park is located offshore of Barrow Island and 80 km west of Dampier extending from the Western Australian state water boundary and is adjacent to the Western Australian Barrow Island and Montebello Islands Marine Parks. The Montebello Marine Park (Multiple Use Zone – IUCN Category VI) covers an area of approximately 3,413 km² and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018b):

- + Foraging areas for migratory seabirds that are adjacent to important breeding areas;

- + Areas used by vulnerable and migratory whale sharks for foraging;
- + Foraging areas marine turtles which are adjacent to important nesting sites;
- + Section of the north and south bound migratory pathway of the humpback whale;
- + Shallow shelf environments with depths ranging from 15–150 m which provides protection for shelf and slope habitats, as well as pinnacle and terrace seafloor features;
- + Seafloor habitats and communities of the Northwest Shelf Province provincial bioregions as well as the Pilbara (offshore) meso-scale bioregion; and
- + One KEF for the region is the ancient Coastline (a unique seafloor feature that provides areas of enhanced biological productivity).

Commercial tourism, commercial fishing, mining and recreation are important socio-economic values for the park.

12.3.6 Dampier Marine Park

The Dampier Marine Park (Marine National Park Zone – IUCN Category I-73 km²; Habitat Protection Zone – IUCN Category IV-104 km²; Multiple Purpose Zone – IUCN Category VI-1,074 km²) covers an area of approximately 1,252 km² and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018b):

- + Foraging areas for migratory seabirds that are adjacent to important breeding grounds;
- + Important foraging areas for marine turtles adjacent to significant nesting sites;
- + Part of the migratory pathway of the protected humpback whale;
- + Protection for offshore shelf habitats and shallow shelf habitats adjacent to the Dampier Archipelago; and
- + Communities and seafloor habitats of the Northwest Shelf Province provincial bioregion as well as the Pilbara (nearshore) and Pilbara (offshore) meso-scale bioregions are included.

Port activities, commercial fishing and recreation are important activities in the marine park (Director of National Parks 2018b). No heritage listings apply to the marine park.

12.3.7 Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park

The Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park (Multiple Use Zone – IUCN Category VI) is adjacent to the Western Australia Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park, 74 km north-east of Port Hedland and covers an area of approximately 10,785 km² and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018b):

- + Breeding, foraging and resting habitat for seabirds (one of the world's most important feeding grounds for migratory shorebirds and waders and is listed under the Ramsar Convention);
- + Internesting and nesting habitat for marine turtles (it supports a significant nesting population of flatback turtles, which are endemic to northern Australia);
- + Foraging, nursing and pupping habitat for sawfish;
- + Migratory pathway for humpback whales;
- + Coastal waters provide critical habitat for several shark and ray species at varying life stages;
- + The Nyangumarta, Karajarri and Ngarla people's sea country extends into Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park. Access to sea country by families is important for cultural traditions, livelihoods and future socio-economic development opportunities; and
- + Three known shipwrecks listed under the *Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018*: Lorna Doone (wrecked in 1923), Nellie (wrecked in 1908), and Tifera (wrecked in 1923).

Tourism, commercial fishing, pearling and recreation are important activities in the Marine Park (Director of National Parks 2018b).

12.3.8 Argo-Rowley Terrace Marine Park

The Argo-Rowley Marine Park is located approximately 270 km north-west of Broome, Western Australia, and extends to the limit of Australia's exclusive economic zone. The Marine Park (Multiple Use Zone – IUCN Category VI-108,812 km²; Marine National Park Zone – IUCN Category II-36,050 km²; Special Purpose Zone – IUCN Category VI-1,141 km²) covers an area of approximately 146,003 km² and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018b):

- + Foraging areas that are important for migratory seabirds as well as the endangered loggerhead turtle;
- + Important habitat and foraging for sharks;
- + Migratory pathway for pygmy blue whales (Director of National Parks 2018b);
- + Protection for communities and habitats of the deeper offshore waters (220 m to over 5,000 m) of the region;
- + Seafloor features including aprons and fans, canyons, continental rise, knolls/abyssal hills and the terrace and continental slope;
- + Communities and seafloor habitats of the Northwest Transition and Timor Province provincial bioregions;
- + Connectivity between the existing Mermaid Reef Marine National Nature Reserve and reefs of the Western Australian Rowley Shoals Marine Park and the deeper waters of the region;
- + Two KEFs in the reserve include:
 - The canyons linking the Argo Abyssal Plain with the Scott Plateau (unique seafloor feature with enhanced productivity and feeding aggregations of species); and
 - Mermaid Reef and the Commonwealth waters surrounding Rowley Shoals (an area of high biodiversity with enhanced productivity and feeding and breeding aggregations).

No heritage listings apply to this marine park (Director of National Parks 2018b). Commercial fishing, mining and recreation are important socio-economic values for the park.

12.3.9 Mermaid Reef Marine Park

The Mermaid Reef Marine Park (Multiple Use Zone – IUCN Category VI) lays approximately 280 km north-west of Broome, Western Australia, adjacent to the Argo–Rowley Terrace Marine Park and approximately 13 km from the Western Australian Rowley Shoals Marine Park. It covers an area of 540 km² and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018b):

- + Mermaid Reef and Commonwealth waters surrounding Rowley Shoals are valued for its high productivity, aggregations of marine life and high species richness;
- + Mermaid Reef, Clerke Reef and Imperieuse Reef are biodiversity hotspot and key topographic feature of the Argo Abyssal Plain;
- + Rowley Shoals present some of the best geological examples of shelf atolls in Australian waters, and are ecologically significant in that they are considered ecological steppingstones for reef species originating in Indonesian/Western Pacific waters, are one of a few offshore reef systems on the north-west shelf, and may also provide an upstream source for recruitment to reefs further south;
- + Breeding habitat for seabirds;
- + Migratory pathway for the pygmy blue whale; and
- + One known shipwreck listed under the *Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018*: Lively (wrecked in 1810).

Tourism, recreation, and scientific research are important activities in the Marine Park (Director of National Parks 2018b).

12.3.10 Roebuck Marine Park

The Roebuck Marine Park (Multiple Use Zone – IUCN Category VI) covers an area of approximately 304 km² and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018b):

- + Foraging habitat area for migratory seabirds adjacent to important breeding areas;
- + Foraging area adjacent to important nesting sites for flatback turtles;
- + Parts of the migratory pathway of the protected humpback whale;
- + Habitat adjacent to important foraging, nursing and pupping areas for freshwater, green and dwarf sawfish;
- + Foraging and calving areas for Australian snubfin, Indo-Pacific humpback and Indo-Pacific bottlenose dolphins;
- + Foraging habitat for dugong;
- + Protection for shallow shelf habitats ranging in depth from 15–70 m;
- + Ecosystems example of the Northwest Shelf Province provincial bioregion and the Canning meso-scale bioregion; and
- + Sea country valued for indigenous cultural identity, health and well-being for the Yawuru people (Director of National Parks 2018b).

No heritage listings apply to the marine park. Commercial tourism, fishing, pearling and recreation are important socio-economic values of the marine park (Director of National Parks 2018b).

12.3.11 Kimberley Marine Park

The Kimberley Marine Park (Multiple Use Zone – IUCN Category VI) is located approximately 100 km north of Broome, Western Australia, and extends from the Western Australian state water boundary north from the Lacepede Islands to the Holothuria Banks offshore from Cape Bougainville. It is adjacent to the Western Australian Lalangarram / Camden Sound Marine Park and the North Kimberley Marine Park. It covers an area of 74,469 km², and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018b):

- + Northwest Shelf Province;
 - Diverse benthic and pelagic fish communities
 - Ancient coastline thought to be an important seafloor feature
 - Migratory pathway for humpback whales
- + Northwest Shelf Transition;
 - High levels of species diversity
 - Endemism occur among demersal fish communities on the continental slope
- + Timor Province;
 - Reefs and islands of the bioregion are regarded as biodiversity hotspots
 - Endemism in demersal fish communities of the continental slope is high (two distinct communities have been identified on the upper and mid slopes)
 - Ancient coastline at the 125 m depth contour where rocky escarpments are thought to provide biologically important habitats in areas otherwise dominated by soft sediments;
 - Continental slope demersal fish communities characterised by high diversity of demersal fish assemblages;
 - breeding and foraging habitat for seabirds;

- Internesting and nesting habitat for marine turtles;
- Breeding, calving and foraging habitat for inshore dolphins;
- Calving, migratory pathway and nursing habitat for humpback whales;
- Migratory pathway for pygmy blue whales;
- Foraging habitat for dugong and whale sharks;
- The Wunambal Gaambera, Dambimangari, Mayala, Bardi Jawi and the Nyul Nyul people's sea country extends into the Kimberley Marine Park. Access to sea country by families is important for cultural traditions, livelihoods and future socio-economic development opportunities; and
- More than 40 known shipwrecks listed under the *Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018*.

Tourism, commercial fishing, mining, recreation, including fishing, and traditional use are important activities in the Marine Park (Director of National Parks 2018b).

12.3.12 Ashmore Reef Marine Park

The Ashmore Reef Marine Park (Sanctuary Zone – IUCN Category Ia; Recreational Use Zone – IUCN Category II) covers an area of approximately 583 km² (Director of National Parks 2018b). It forms part of the North-west Park Network. As the only oceanic reef in the north-east Indian Ocean with vegetated islands (East, Middle and West Islands), Ashmore is also the largest of three emergent, oceanic reefs in the region (DSEWPaC 2012). Both the Ashmore and Cartier Islands fall under the legal memorandum of understanding between Indonesia and Australia, as both areas are located within Australia's external territory (DSEWPaC 2012).

Ashmore Reef Marine Park is located on Australia's North West Shelf in the Indian Ocean, about 450 nautical miles (840 km) west of Darwin and 330 nautical miles (610 km) north of Broome. The reserve covers 583 km² and includes two extensive lagoons, shifting sand flats and cays, seagrass meadows, a large reef flat covering an area of 239 km². Within the reserve are three small islands known as East, Middle and West Islands (DoE, 2002).

Ashmore was designated a Ramsar Wetland of International Importance in 2003 due to the importance of its islands providing a resting place for migratory shorebirds and supporting large seabird breeding colonies.

The proclaimed marine park will protect the following conservation values (DoE 2014):

- + Ecosystems, habitats and communities associated with; the North West Shelf; Timor Province; and emergent oceanic reefs;
- + The island and reef habitats:
 - Contains critical nesting and internesting habitat for green turtles (including one of three genetically distinct breeding populations in the North-west Marine Region). Low level nesting activity by loggerhead turtles has also been recorded;
 - Large and significant feeding populations of green, hawksbill and loggerhead turtles occur around the reefs (it is estimated that approximately 11,000 marine turtles feed in the area throughout the year);
 - Supports a small dugong population of less than 50 individuals that breed and feed around the reef. This population is thought to be genetically distinct from other Australian populations;
 - Migratory pathway for pygmy blue whales (Director of National Parks 2018b);
 - Support some of the most important seabird rookeries on the North West Shelf including colonies of bridled terns, common noddies, brown boobies, eastern reef egrets, frigatebirds, tropicbirds, red-footed boobies, roseate terns, crested terns and lesser crested terns;
 - Is an important staging points/feeding areas for many migratory seabirds; and
 - Is internationally significant for its abundance and diversity of sea snakes.

- + Two KEFs:
- + Ashmore Reef and Cartier Island and surrounding Commonwealth waters; and
- + Continental slope demersal fish communities (Director of National Parks 2018b);
- + Cultural and heritage sites, including;
 - o Ashmore lagoon as a rest/staging area for traditional Indonesian fishers
 - o Indonesian artefacts; and
 - o Grave sites.
 - o Commonwealth heritage listing – Ashmore Reef

Ashmore Reef and nearby islands and reefs are associated with benthic communities consisting predominantly of sand and coral rubble, with noteworthy hard coral, soft coral, algae and seagrasses (Heyward *et al.* 2012; Skewes *et al.*, 1999a, 1999b). The reefs host similar benthic communities, with areas of relatively high live coral cover, although episodes of coral bleaching have been recorded (Heyward *et al.* 2012). Benthic organisms that depend on photosynthesis such as seagrasses, macroalgae and zooxanthellate corals are typically restricted to shallower waters around the reefs, although in the clear tropical waters may be found at considerable depths. Given the shallowest sampling location is greater than 60 m, and that most sampling locations are greater than 100 m deep, diverse benthic communities driven by primary producers such as seagrasses, algae and zooxanthellate corals are not expected to occur at the sampling locations. Data collected in the vicinity of Ashmore Reef indicates that corals are likely to spawn during March and April (Heyward *et al.* 2010).

Soft sediments are widespread in the region, with sediment infauna communities in the region dominated by polychaetes and crustaceans. These taxa accounted for over 80% of benthic infauna sampled, both in terms of numbers of species and individual organisms (Smith *et al.* 1997).

Commercial tourism, recreation and scientific research are important socio-economic values of the marine park (Director of National Parks 2018b).

12.3.13 Cartier Island Marine Park

The Cartier Island Marine Park (Sanctuary Zone – IUCN Category Ia) is located approximately 45 km south-east of Ashmore Reef Marine Park and 610 km north of Broome, Western Australia. Both Marine Parks are in Australia's External Territory of Ashmore and Cartier Islands and are also within an area subject to a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) between Indonesia and Australia, known as the MoU Box. The Marine Park covers an area of 172 km² and protects the following conservation values (Director of National Parks 2018b):

- + Ashmore Reef and Cartier Island and surrounding Commonwealth waters;
- + Areas of enhanced productivity in an otherwise low-nutrient environment;
- + Regional importance for feeding and breeding aggregations of birds and marine life;
- + Continental slope demersal fish communities;
- + Area of high diversity in demersal fish assemblages;
- + Area of high diversity and abundance of hard and soft corals, gorgonians (sea fans), sponges and a range of encrusting organisms;
- + Breeding and foraging habitat for seabirds;
- + Interesting, nesting and foraging habitat for marine turtles;
- + Foraging habitat for whale sharks;
- + Internationally significant for its abundance and diversity of sea snakes;

- + One known shipwreck listed under the *Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018*: the Ann Millicent (wrecked in 1888).

Scientific research is an important activity in the Marine Park (Director of National Parks 2018b).

12.4 North Marine Park Network

The North Park Network is aligned to the North Marine Region. The network covers 157,480 km² (Director of National Parks 2018c). Broad values of the North Network include:

- + Natural values;
- + Cultural values;
- + Heritage values; and
- + Socio-economic values.

Further detail on the applicable Oceanic Shoals Marine Park is provided below.

12.4.1 Oceanic Shoals Marine Park

The Oceanic Shoals Marine Park (zones within EMBA: Multiple Use Zone - IUCN Category VI- 32,488 km²; Special Purpose Zone – IUCN VI-24,443 km²) covers an area of approximately 56,931 km² within the EMBA.

The marine park protects the following conservation values (DoE 2014):

- + Important resting area for turtles between egg laying (internesting area) for the threatened flatback turtle and olive ridley turtle;
- + Important foraging area for the threatened loggerhead turtle and olive ridley turtle;
- + Examples of the ecosystems of two provincial bioregions: the Northwest Shelf Transition Province (which includes the Bonaparte, Oceanic Shoals, and Tiwi meso-scale bioregions) and the Timor Transition Province;
- + KEFs represented in the park are (Director of National Parks 2018c):
 - Carbonate bank and terrace system of the Van Diemen Rise (unique sea-floor feature);
 - Carbonate banks and terrace system of the Sahul Shelf (unique sea-floor feature);
 - Pinnacles of the Bonaparte Basin (enhanced productivity, unique sea-floor feature); and
 - Shelf break and slope of the Arafura Shelf (unique sea-floor feature).

No heritage listings apply to the marine park. Commercial fishing and mining are important socio-economic values for the park (Director of National Parks 2018c).

A spatial predictive benthic habitat model of the Oceanic Shoals Marine Park has been developed by AIMS, as part of the Australian National Environmental Science Programme, to determine the spatial heterogeneity of the benthic environment and key classes of organisms within the reserve. The benthic habitat model maps the 10 broad classes of benthic organisms; alcyons, gorgonians, soft corals, hard corals, halimeda, macroalgae, seagrass, filterers (e.g. sponges), burrowers (e.g. sea urchins) and no biota detected (Radford and Puotinen 2016).

Table 12-1 Summary of marine network values, pressures, management programs and actions applicable to the EMBA

| Marine network | Values | Pressures | Management programs and actions |
|-------------------|--|---|--|
| SOUTH WEST | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Nine bioregions + Key ecological features + EPBC listed species + Biologically important areas + Sea country indigenous values + Historic shipwrecks + Adjacent to Shark Bay World Heritage Area + Shipping and port activities + Commercial fishing + Marine tourism | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Climate change + Hydrological changes from coastal development and agriculture (increase sediment loads and pollutants) + Illegal/unregulated/unreported fishing + Bycatch of non-target species + Habitat modification from mining + Human presence + Invasive species + Marine pollution | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Communication, education and awareness programs + Promote suitable tourism experience + Facilitate partnerships between tourism operators and Indigenous operators + Indigenous engagement program + Marine monitoring programs + Park management via assessments / authorisation program for marine park activities + Marine park management and development of suitable infrastructure + Compliance planning and surveillance |

| Marine network | Values | Pressures | Management programs and actions |
|-------------------|--|---|--|
| NORTH WEST | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Eight bioregions + Key ecological features + EPBC listed species + Biologically important areas + Sea country indigenous values + Native title determinations + Traditional Indonesian fishers + World Heritage Properties (Ningaloo Coast, Shark Bay) + Ashmore Reef Marine Park and Eighty-Mile Beach Ramsar sites + Shipping and port activities + Commercial fishing, pearling, aquaculture + Marine tourism + Scientific research | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Climate change + Hydrological changes from coastal development and agriculture (increase sediment loads and pollutants) + Illegal/unregulated/unreported fishing + Bycatch of non-target species + Habitat modification from mining + Human presence + Invasive species + Marine pollution | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Communication, education and awareness programs + Promote suitable tourism experience + Facilitate partnerships between tourism operators and Indigenous operators + Indigenous engagement program + Marine monitoring programs + Park management via assessments / authorisation program for marine park activities + Marine park management and development of suitable infrastructure + Compliance planning and surveillance |
| NORTH | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + One bioregion + Key ecological features + EPBC listed species + Biologically important areas + Historic shipwrecks | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Climate change + Hydrological changes reliance upon the large number of estuaries and waterways that feed into the Gulf of Carpentaria and the waters adjacent to the Northern Territory coastline + Illegal/unregulated/unreported fishing + Bycatch of non-target species + Physical Habitat modification + Marine pollution | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Communication, education and awareness programs + Promote suitable tourism experience + Facilitate partnerships between tourism operators and Indigenous operators + Indigenous engagement program + Marine monitoring programs + Park management via assessments / authorisation program for marine park activities + Marine park management and development of suitable infrastructure + Compliance planning and surveillance |

13. Conservation Management Plans

In order to protect, maintain and enhance recovery of certain threatened species and ecological communities the DAWE may prepare conservation management plans in the form of Conservation Advice or Recovery Plans.

13.1 Conservation Advice

When a native species or ecological community is listed as threatened under the EPBC Act, conservation advice is developed to assist its recovery. Conservation advice provides guidance on immediate recovery and threat abatement activities that can be undertaken to ensure the conservation of a newly listed species or ecological community.

13.2 Recovery Plans

The Australian Government Minister for the Environment may make or adopt and implement recovery plans for threatened fauna, threatened flora (other than conservation dependent species) and threatened ecological communities listed under the Commonwealth EPBC Act. Recovery plans set out the research and management actions necessary to stop the decline of, and support the recovery of, listed threatened species or threatened ecological communities. The aim of a recovery plan is to maximise the long-term survival in the wild of a threatened species or ecological community.

Table 13-1: Summary of EPBC Act recovery plans applicable to the EMBA

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Bird | Australian lesser noddy | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Anous tenuirostris melanops</i> (Australian lesser noddy) (2015) | Habitat modification by pied cormorants (Houtman Abrolhos) |
| | | | Catastrophic destruction of habitat by cyclones |
| | Migratory species within the EMBA: | Wildlife Conservation Plan for Migratory Shorebirds (2015) | Habitat loss and degradation |
| | | | Pollution and Contaminants |
| | + Asian dowitcher; | | Invasive species |
| | + Bar-tailed godwit; | | Anthropogenic disturbance |
| | + Black-tailed godwit; | | Climate change and variability |
| | + Broad-billed sandpiper; | | Overharvesting of shorebird prey |
| | + Common greenshank; | | Fisheries bycatch |
| | + Common redshank; | | Direct mortality (hunting) |
| | + Common sandpiper; | | |
| | + Double-banded plover; | | |
| | + Fork-tailed swift; | | |
| | + Grey plover; | | |
| | + Grey-tailed tattler; | | |
| + Long-toed stint; | | | |
| + Little greenshank | | | |
| + Oriental plover; | | | |
| + Oriental pratincole; | | | |
| + Pacific golden plover; | | | |
| + Pectoral sandpiper; | | | |
| + Red-necked phalarope; | | | |
| + Red-necked stint; | | | |
| + Ruddy turnstone; | | | |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|------|---|--|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> + Ruff (reeve); + Sanderling; + Sharp-tailed sandpiper; + Streaked shearwater; + Terek sandpiper; + Whimbrel; and + Wood sandpiper. | | |
| | Christmas Island frigatebird | <p>Conservation Advice for the Christmas Island frigatebird <i>Fregata andrewsi</i> (2020a)</p> <p>Recovery Plan for the Christmas Island Frigatebird (<i>Fregeta andrewsi</i>) (2004)</p> | <p>Introduction of a new disease</p> <p>Disturbance of habitat</p> <p>Fisheries – prey depletion</p> <p>Illegal killing and hunting in south-east Asia</p> <p>Invasive weeds</p> <p>Fisheries - bycatch</p> <p>Drowning in artificial water bodies</p> <p>Heavy metal contamination</p> <p>Marine debris - plastics</p> |
| | Australasian bittern | Conservation Advice for <i>Botaurus poiciloptilus</i> (Australasian Bittern) (2019) | <p>habitat loss through water reductions and transition from ponded rice to other farming systems</p> <p>habitat degradation through increased salinity, siltation and pollution; grazing by livestock and feral animals and changes in abundance of plant species</p> <p>Climate change through changes in water availability; changes in fire regimes and salinisation of coastal wetlands</p> <p>Infrastructure through urban development</p> <p>Predation by introduced vertebrate pests such as foxes and cats</p> |
| | Red knot | | Habitat loss and habitat degradation |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---|---|
| | | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Calidris canutus</i> (Red knot) (2016) Wildlife Conservation Plan for Migratory Shorebirds (2015) | Over-exploitation of shellfish |
| | | | Pollution/contamination impacts |
| | | | Disturbance |
| | | | Direct mortality (hunting) |
| | | | Diseases |
| | | | Extreme weather events |
| | | | Climate change impacts |
| | Curlew sandpiper | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Calidris ferruginea</i> (Curlew Sandpiper) (2015) | Ongoing human disturbance |
| | | | Habitat loss and degradation from pollution |
| | | | Changes to the water regime |
| | | | Invasive plants |
| | Great knot | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Calidris tenuirostris</i> (Great knot) (2016) Wildlife Conservation Plan for Migratory Shorebirds (2015). | Habitat loss and habitat degradation |
| | | | Pollution/contaminants |
| | | | Disturbance |
| | | | Diseases |
| | | | Direct mortality (hunting) |
| | Greater sand plover | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Charadrius leschenaultii</i> (Greater sand plover) (2016) Wildlife Conservation Plan for Migratory Shorebirds (2015) | Climate change impacts |
| | | | Habitat loss and habitat degradation |
| | | | Pollution/contamination impacts |
| | | | Disturbance |
| Direct mortality (hunting) | | | |
| Diseases | | | |
| Climate change impacts | | | |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|----------------|---|---|--|
| | Lesser sand plover | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Charadrius mongolus</i> (Lesser sand plover) (2016) Wildlife Conservation Plan for Migratory Shorebirds (2015) | Habitat loss and habitat degradation |
| | | | Pollution/contamination impacts |
| | | | Disturbance |
| | | | Direct mortality (hunting) |
| | | | Diseases |
| | | | Climate change impacts |
| | Antipodean albatross | National recovery plan for threatened albatrosses and giant petrels 2011-2016 (2011) | Incidental catch resulting from fishing operations |
| | | | Competition with fisheries for marine resources |
| | | | Dependence on discards |
| | | | Marine pollution |
| | | | Climate change |
| | | | Intentional shooting/killing |
| | | | Feral pest species |
| | | | Human disturbance at the nest |
| | | | Parasites and diseases |
| | | | Loss of nesting habitat |
| | | | Competition for nest space |
| | | | Amsterdam albatross |
| | Competition with fisheries for marine resources | | |
| | Dependence on discards | | |
| | Marine pollution | | |
| Climate change | | | |
| | | | Intentional shooting/killing |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|------|--------------------------|--|--|
| | | | Feral pest species |
| | | | Human disturbance at the nest |
| | | | Parasites and diseases |
| | | | Loss of nesting habitat |
| | | | Competition for nest space |
| | Tristan albatross | National recovery plan for threatened albatrosses and giant petrels 2011-2016 (2011) | Incidental catch resulting from fishing operations |
| | | | Competition with fisheries for marine resources |
| | | | Dependence on discards |
| | | | Marine pollution |
| | | | Climate change |
| | | | Intentional shooting/killing |
| | | | Feral pest species |
| | | | Human disturbance at the nest |
| | | | Parasites and diseases |
| | | | Loss of nesting habitat |
| | | | Competition for nest space |
| | Southern royal albatross | National recovery plan for threatened albatrosses and giant petrels 2011-2016 (2011) | Incidental catch resulting from fishing operations |
| | | | Competition with fisheries for marine resources |
| | | | Dependence on discards |
| | | | Marine pollution |
| | | | Climate change |
| | | Intentional shooting/killing | |
| | | Feral pest species | |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | Human disturbance at the nest |
| | | | Parasites and diseases |
| | | | Loss of nesting habitat |
| | | | Competition for nest space |
| | Wandering albatross | National recovery plan for threatened albatrosses and giant petrels 2011-2016 (2011) | Incidental catch resulting from fishing operations |
| | | | Competition with fisheries for marine resources |
| | | | Dependence on discards |
| | | | Marine pollution |
| | | | Climate change |
| | | | Intentional shooting/killing |
| | | | Feral pest species |
| | | | Human disturbance at the nest |
| | | | Parasites and diseases |
| | | | Loss of nesting habitat |
| | | | Competition for nest space |
| | | | Northern royal albatross |
| | Competition with fisheries for marine resources | | |
| | Dependence on discards | | |
| | Marine pollution | | |
| | Climate change | | |
| Intentional shooting/killing | | | |
| Feral pest species | | | |
| Human disturbance at the nest | | | |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | Parasites and diseases |
| | | | Loss of nesting habitat |
| | | | Competition for nest space |
| | Blue petrel | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Halobaena caerulea</i> (blue petrel) (2015) | Habitat loss, disturbance and modification |
| | | | Predation |
| | Western Alaskan bar-tailed godwit | Wildlife Conservation Plan for Migratory Shorebirds (2015) Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Limosa lapponica baueri</i> (Bar-tailed godwit (western Alaskan)) (2016) | Habitat loss and habitat degradation |
| | | | Over-exploitation of shellfish |
| | | | Pollution/contamination impacts |
| | | | Disturbance |
| | | | Direct mortality (hunting) |
| | | | Diseases |
| | | | Extreme weather events |
| | | | Climate change impacts |
| | | | Northern Siberian bar-tailed godwit |
| | Over-exploitation of shellfish | | |
| | Pollution/contamination impacts | | |
| | Disturbance | | |
| | Direct mortality (hunting) | | |
| | Diseases | | |
| | Extreme weather events | | |
| Southern giant petrel | | Incidental catch resulting from fishing operations | |
| | | Competition with fisheries for marine resources | |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|---|---|--|---|
| | | National recovery plan for threatened albatrosses and giant petrels 2011-2016 (2011) | Dependence on discards |
| | Marine pollution | | |
| | Climate change | | |
| | Intentional shooting/killing | | |
| | Feral pest species | | |
| | Human disturbance at the nest | | |
| | Parasites and diseases | | |
| | Loss of nesting habitat | | |
| | Competition for nest space | | |
| | Northern giant petrel | National recovery plan for threatened albatrosses and giant petrels 2011-2016 (2011) | Incidental catch resulting from fishing operations |
| | Competition with fisheries for marine resources | | |
| | Dependence on discards | | |
| | Marine pollution | | |
| | Climate change | | |
| | Intentional shooting/killing | | |
| | Feral pest species | | |
| | Human disturbance at the nest | | |
| | Parasites and diseases | | |
| | Loss of nesting habitat | | |
| | Competition for nest space | | |
| | Eastern curlew | | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Numenius madagascariensis</i> (eastern curlew) (2015) |
| Habitat loss and degradation from pollution | | | |
| Changes to the water regime | | | |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | Fairy prion (southern) | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Pachyptila turtur subantarctica</i> (fairy prion (southern)) (2015) | Invasive plants |
| | | | Competition with blue petrels |
| | | | Soil erosion |
| | Abbott's booby | Conservation Advice for the Abbott's booby <i>Papasula abbotti</i> (2020b) | Fire |
| | | | Vegetation clearing – edge effects from previous clearing and new vegetation clearing |
| | | | Climate change – severe storm events and prey depletion |
| | | | Introduction of a new disease |
| | | | Invasive weeds |
| | | | Yellow crazy ants – habitat modification |
| | | | Fisheries – prey depletion |
| | Christmas Island white-tailed tropicbird | Conservation Advice for <i>Phaethon lepturus fulvus</i> white-tailed tropicbird (Christmas Island) (2014) | Marine debris - plastics |
| | | | Introduced predators on Christmas Island |
| | Sooty albatross | National recovery plan for threatened albatrosses and giant petrels 2011-2016 (2011) | Crazy ants |
| | | | Incidental catch resulting from fishing operations |
| | | | Competition with fisheries for marine resources |
| | | | Dependence on discards |
| | | | Marine pollution |
| | | | Climate change |
| Intentional shooting/killing | | | |
| Feral pest species | | | |
| Human disturbance at the nest | | | |
| Parasites and diseases | | | |
| Loss of nesting habitat | | | |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | Competition for nest space |
| | Soft-plumaged petrel | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Pterodroma mollis</i> (soft-plumaged petrel) (2015) | Accidental introduction of predators (relevant only to Maatsuyker Island, located offshore of Tasmania) |
| | Australian painted snipe | Commonwealth Conservation Advice on <i>Rostratula australis</i> (Australian painted snipe) (2013) | Loss and degradation of wetlands, through drainage and the diversion of water for agriculture and reservoirs |
| | | | Grazing and associated trampling of wetland vegetation/nests, nutrient enrichment and disturbance to substrate by livestock |
| | | | Climate change |
| | | | Predation by feral animals |
| | | | Introduction of weeds |
| | Australian fairy tern | Commonwealth Conservation Advice on <i>Sternula nereis nereis</i> (fairy tern) (2011) | Predation by introduced mammals and native birds |
| | | | Disturbance by humans, dogs and vehicles |
| | | | Increasing salinity in waters adjacent to Fairy Tern colonies |
| | | | Irregular water management |
| | | | Weed encroachment |
| | | | Oil spills, particularly in Victoria (potential threat) |
| | Indian yellow-nosed albatross | National recovery plan for threatened albatrosses and giant petrels 2011-2016 (2011) | Incidental catch resulting from fishing operations |
| | | | Competition with fisheries for marine resources |
| | | | Dependence on discards |
| | | | Marine pollution |
| | | | Climate change |
| | | | Intentional shooting/killing |
| | | | Feral pest species |
| | | | Human disturbance at the nest |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|--------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | Parasites and diseases |
| | | | Loss of nesting habitat |
| | | | Competition for nest space |
| | Shy albatross | Conservation Advice <i>Thalassarche cauta</i> Shy Albatross (2020c) National recovery plan for threatened albatrosses and giant petrels 2011-2016 (2011) | Fisheries bycatch |
| | | | Disease |
| | | | Competition for nesting habitat |
| | | | Marine plastics |
| | | | Human disturbance |
| | | | Previous harvesting for feathers and eggs |
| | | | Climate change |
| | | | White-capped albatross |
| | Competition with fisheries for marine resources | | |
| | Dependence on discards | | |
| | Marine pollution | | |
| | Climate change | | |
| | Intentional shooting/killing | | |
| | Feral pest species | | |
| | Human disturbance at the nest | | |
| | Parasites and diseases | | |
| | Loss of nesting habitat | | |
| Campbell albatross | | Incidental catch resulting from fishing operations | |
| | | Competition with fisheries for marine resources | |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|---------|------------------------|--|---|
| | | National recovery plan for threatened albatrosses and giant petrels 2011-2016 (2011) | Dependence on discards |
| | | | Marine pollution |
| | | | Climate change |
| | | | Intentional shooting/killing |
| | | | Feral pest species |
| | | | Human disturbance at the nest |
| | | | Parasites and diseases |
| | | | Loss of nesting habitat |
| | | | Competition for nest space |
| | Black-browed albatross | National recovery plan for threatened albatrosses and giant petrels 2011-2016 (2011) | Incidental catch resulting from fishing operations |
| | | | Competition with fisheries for marine resources |
| | | | Dependence on discards |
| | | | Marine pollution |
| | | | Climate change |
| | | | Intentional shooting/killing |
| | | | Feral pest species |
| | | | Human disturbance at the nest |
| | | | Parasites and diseases |
| | | | Loss of nesting habitat |
| Mammals | Sei whale | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Balaenoptera borealis</i> (sei whale) (2015) | Climate and oceanographic variability and change |
| | | | Anthropogenic noise and acoustic disturbance |
| | | | Habitat degradation including pollution (increasing port expansion and coastal development) |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|
| | | | Pollution (persistent toxic pollutants) |
| | | | Vessel strike |
| | | | Prey depletion due to fisheries (potential threat) |
| | | | Resumption of commercial whaling (potential threat) |
| | Blue whale | Blue Whale Conservation Management Plan 2015 - 2025 (2015) | Whaling |
| | | | Climate Variability and Change |
| | | | Noise Interference |
| | | | Habitat Modification |
| | | | Vessel Disturbance |
| | | | Overharvesting of prey |
| | Fin whale | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Balaenoptera physalus</i> (fin whale) (2015) | Climate and oceanographic variability and change |
| | | | Anthropogenic noise and acoustic disturbance |
| | | | Habitat degradation including coastal development, port expansion and aquaculture |
| | | | Pollution (persistent toxic pollutants) |
| | | | Fisheries catch, entanglement and bycatch |
| | | | Vessel strike |
| | | | Resource depletion due to fisheries (potential threat) |
| | | | Resumption of commercial whaling (potential threat) |
| | Southern right whale | Conservation Management Plan for the Southern Right Whale 2011 – 2021 (2012) | Entanglement |
| | | | Vessel disturbance |
| Whaling | | | |
| Climate variability and change | | | |
| Noise interference | | | |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| | | | Habitat modification |
| | | | Overharvesting of prey |
| | Humpback whale | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i> (humpback whale) (2015) | Whaling |
| | | | Climate and Oceanographic Variability and Change |
| | | | Overharvesting of Prey |
| | | | Noise Interference |
| | | | Habitat degradation including coastal development and port expansion |
| | | | Entanglement |
| | | | Vessel disturbance and strike |
| | | | |
| | Australian sea-lion | Recovery Plan for the Australian Sea Lion (<i>Neophoca cinerea</i>) (2013) | Fishery bycatch (primary threat) |
| | | | Entanglement in marine debris (primary threat) |
| | | | Marine aquaculture |
| | | | Habitat degradation |
| | | | Human disturbance |
| | | | Direct killing (primary threat) |
| | | | Disease |
| | | | Pollution and oil spills |
| | | | |
| Noise | | | |
| Competition and prey depletion | | | |
| Climate change | | | |
| Reptiles | Short-nosed seasnake | | Degradation of reef habitat, primarily as a result of coral bleaching (primary threat) |
| | | | Oil and gas exploration |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|------|----------------------|--|---|
| | | Approved Conservation Advice on <i>Aipysurus apraefrontalis</i> (Short-nosed seasnake) (2011) | Incidental catch and death in commercial prawn trawling fisheries |
| | Leaf-scaled seasnake | Approved Conservation Advice on <i>Aipysurus foliosquama</i> (Leaf-scaled seasnake) (2011) | Degradation of reef habitat, primarily as a result of coral bleaching (primary threat) |
| | | | Oil and gas exploration |
| | | | Incidental catch and death in commercial prawn trawling fisheries (north-west marine area) |
| | | | Unsustainable and illegal fishing practices (currently the most significant threat in the Ashmore region) |
| | Loggerhead turtle | Recovery plan for marine turtles in Australia 2017 – 2027 (2017) Loggerhead turtle – WA genetic stock | Fisheries bycatch – international (moderate), domestic (high) |
| | | | Indigenous take (moderate) |
| | | | Terrestrial predation (moderate) |
| | | | Habitat modification – infrastructure/coastal development (moderate), dredging/trawling (moderate) |
| | | | Chemical and terrestrial discharge – acute (high), chronic (low) |
| | | | Marine debris – entanglement and ingestion (moderate; unknown) |
| | | | Climate change and variability (high) |
| | | | International take – outside Australia’s jurisdiction (moderate), within Australia’s jurisdiction (low) |
| | | | Light pollution (moderate) |
| | | | Vessel disturbance (moderate) |
| | | | Noise interference – acute (moderate), chronic (moderate; unknown) |
| | | | Recreational activities (low) |
| | | | Diseases and pathogens (low; unknown) |
| | | | Fisheries bycatch – international (moderate), domestic (high) |
| | | | Cumulative impacts of threats |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|------|--------------------|---|--|
| | Green turtle | Recovery plan for marine turtles in Australia 2017 – 2027 (2017) Green turtle – NWS genetic stock (NWS), Scott-Browse genetic stock (ScBr), Ashmore genetic stock (AR) | Fisheries bycatch – international (moderate), domestic (moderate) Indigenous take (moderate) Terrestrial predation NWS – moderate, AR –high; unknown, ScBr – moderate; unknown) Habitat modification – infrastructure/coastal development (NWS – moderate, AR – low, ScBr – high), dredging/trawling (NWS – moderate, AR – low, ScBr – low) Chemical and terrestrial discharge – acute (NWS, AR, ScBr –high), chronic (NWS – moderate, AR – high, ScBr – high) Marine debris – entanglement (NWS – moderate, AR – very high, ScBr – moderate; unknown) and ingestion (NWS – low; unknown, AR – moderate, ScBr – moderate) Climate change and variability (NWS – moderate, AR – very high, ScBr – high) International take – outside Australia’s jurisdiction (moderate; unknown for NWS and ScBr), within Australia’s jurisdiction (moderate; unknown for NWS and ScBr) Light pollution (NWS – high, AR – moderate, ScBr – moderate) Vessel disturbance (moderate) Noise interference – acute (NWS – moderate; unknown, AR – low, ScBr – moderate), chronic (NWS – moderate; unknown, AR – low, ScBr – moderate; unknown) Recreational activities Diseases and pathogens (low; unknown for AR and ScBr) Cumulative impacts of threats |
| | Leatherback turtle | Approved Conservation Advice on <i>Dermodochelys coriacea</i> (2008) | Incidental capture in commercial fisheries Harvest of eggs and meat Ingestion of marine debris Boat strike Predation on eggs by wild dogs, pigs and monitor lizards Degradation of foraging areas |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | Changes to breeding sites |
| | | Recovery plan for marine turtles in Australia 2017 – 2027 (2017) | Fisheries bycatch – international (high), domestic (high) |
| | | | Indigenous take (low) |
| | | | Terrestrial predation (moderate; unknown) |
| | | | Habitat modification – infrastructure/coastal development (moderate), dredging/trawling (low) |
| | | | Chemical and terrestrial discharge – acute (low), chronic (low; unknown) |
| | | | Marine debris – entanglement (moderate) and ingestion (high) |
| | | | Climate change and variability (high) |
| | | | International take – outside Australia’s jurisdiction (high), within Australia’s jurisdiction (low) |
| | | | Light pollution (low) |
| | | | Vessel disturbance (moderate) |
| | | | Noise interference – acute (low; unknown), chronic (low; unknown) |
| | | | Recreational activities (low) |
| | | | Diseases and pathogens (low; unknown) |
| | | | Fisheries bycatch – international (high), domestic (high) |
| | Cumulative impacts of threats | | |
| | Hawksbill turtle | Recovery plan for marine turtles in Australia 2017 – 2027 (2017) Hawksbill turtle – WA genetic stock | Fisheries bycatch – international (moderate), domestic (moderate) |
| | | | Indigenous take (moderate) |
| | | | Terrestrial predation (moderate) |
| | | | Habitat modification – infrastructure/coastal development (moderate), dredging/trawling (moderate) |
| | | Chemical and terrestrial discharge – acute (moderate), chronic (moderate) | |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|------|---------------------|---|---|
| | | | <p>Marine debris – entanglement (moderate) and ingestion (low; unknown)</p> <p>Climate change and variability (high)</p> <p>International take – outside Australia’s jurisdiction (very high), within Australia’s jurisdiction (moderate)</p> <p>Light pollution (high)</p> <p>Vessel disturbance (moderate)</p> <p>Noise interference – acute (moderate), chronic (moderate; unknown)</p> <p>Recreational activities (low)</p> <p>Diseases and pathogens (low; unknown)</p> <p>Cumulative impacts of threats</p> |
| | Olive ridley turtle | <p>Recovery plan for marine turtles in Australia 2017 – 2027 (2017)</p> <p>Olive ridley turtle – Northern Territory genetic stock</p> | <p>Fisheries bycatch – international (moderate), domestic (high)</p> <p>Indigenous take (moderate)</p> <p>Terrestrial predation (moderate; unknown)</p> <p>Habitat modification – infrastructure/coastal development (low), dredging/trawling (low)</p> <p>Chemical and terrestrial discharge – acute (high), chronic (moderate)</p> <p>Marine debris – entanglement (very high) and ingestion (moderate; unknown)</p> <p>Climate change and variability (very high)</p> <p>International take – outside Australia’s jurisdiction (moderate), within Australia’s jurisdiction (moderate)</p> <p>Light pollution (moderate)</p> <p>Vessel disturbance (moderate)</p> <p>Noise interference – acute (low), chronic (low; unknown)</p> <p>Recreational activities (low)</p> <p>Diseases and pathogens (low; unknown)</p> |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| | Flatback turtle | Recovery plan for marine turtles in Australia 2017 – 2027 (2017) Flatback turtle – Pilbara coast genetic stock (Pil), South-west Kimberley coast genetic stock (swKim) and Cape Domett (CD) | Cumulative impacts of threats |
| | | | Fisheries bycatch – international (low), domestic (moderate) |
| | | | Indigenous take (moderate) |
| | | | Terrestrial predation (moderate) |
| | | | Habitat modification – infrastructure/coastal development (Pil – high, swKim – moderate), dredging/trawling (moderate) |
| | | | Chemical and terrestrial discharge – acute (high), chronic (moderate) |
| | | | Marine debris – entanglement (moderate) and ingestion (low) |
| | | | Climate change and variability (Pil – high, swKim – moderate) |
| | | | International take – outside Australia’s jurisdiction (low), within Australia’s jurisdiction (low) |
| | | | Light pollution (Pil – high, swKim – moderate) |
| | | | Vessel disturbance (moderate) |
| | | | Noise interference – acute (moderate), chronic (moderate; unknown) |
| | | | Recreational activities (Pil – low, swKim – moderate) |
| | | | Diseases and pathogens (low; unknown) |
| | | | Cumulative impacts of threats |
| Sharks and fish | Grey nurse shark | Recovery Plan for the Grey Nurse Shark (<i>Carcharias taurus</i>) (2014) | Mortality due to incidental capture by commercial and recreational fisheries |
| | | | Mortality die to shark control programs |
| | | | Ecotourism |
| | | | Public aquarium trade |
| | | | Pollution and disease |
| | | | Ecosystem effects - habitat modification and climate change |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|--------------------|---|--|--|
| | Great white shark | Recovery plan for the White Shark (<i>Carcharodon carcharias</i>) (2013) | Mortality related to being caught accidentally (bycatch) or illegally (targeted) by commercial and recreational fisheries, including issues of post release mortality |
| | | | Mortality related to shark control activities such as beach meshing or drumlining (east coast population) |
| | | | Illegal trade in white shark products |
| | | | Ecosystem effects as a result of habitat modification and climate change |
| | | | Ecotourism |
| | Northern river shark | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Glyphis garricki</i> (northern river shark) (2014) | Commercial fishing activities |
| | | | Recreational fishing |
| | | | Indigenous fishing |
| | | | Illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing |
| | | | Habitat degradation and modification |
| | | | Marine debris |
| | | | Collection of animals for display in public aquaria (no known occurrences to date) |
| | | Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan (2015) | Fishing activities including: being caught as by-catch in the commercial and recreational sectors; through indigenous fishing; and illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing |
| | Dwarf sawfish | Approved Conservation Advice on <i>Pristis clavata</i> (dwarf sawfish) (2009) | Being caught as bycatch in commercial and recreational net fishing |
| | | | Illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing |
| | | | Habitat degradation due to increasing human development |
| | | Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan (2015) | Fishing activities including: being caught as by-catch in the commercial and recreational sectors; through indigenous fishing; and illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing |
| | | | Habitat degradation and modification |
| Freshwater sawfish | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Pristis pristis</i> (largetooth sawfish) (2014) | Commercial fishing activities | |
| | | Recreational fishing | |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats | |
|---------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | Indigenous fishing | |
| | | | Illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing | |
| | | | Habitat degradation and modification | |
| | | | Marine debris | |
| | | | Collection of animals for display in public aquaria | |
| | | Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan (2015) | | Fishing activities including: being caught as by-catch in the commercial and recreational sectors; through indigenous fishing; and illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing |
| | | | | Habitat degradation and modification |
| | Green sawfish | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Pristis zijsron</i> (green sawfish) (2008) | | Capture as bycatch and byproduct in gillnet and trawl fisheries |
| | | | | Illegal capture for fins and rostra |
| | | Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan (2015) | | Fishing activities including: being caught as by-catch in the commercial and recreational sectors; through indigenous fishing; and illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing |
| | | | | Habitat degradation and modification |
| | Whale shark | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Rhincodon typus</i> (whale shark) (2015) | | Intentional and unintentional mortality from fishing outside of Australian waters |
| | | | | Boat strike from large vessels |
| | | | | Habitat disruption from mineral exploration, production and transportation |
| | | | | Disturbance from domestic tourism operations |
| | | | | Marine debris |
| | | | | Climate change |
| Blind gudgeon | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Milyeringa veritas</i> (blind gudgeon) (2008) | | Habitat degradation and modification associated with sedimentation from mining/construction, canal development, water abstraction, point source pollution from sewage, landfill, dumping and mining; and diffuse pollution from urban development/petroleum infrastructure | |

| Taxa | Common name | Recovery Plan / Conservation Advice | Threats |
|------|-----------------------|--|---|
| | Blind cave eel | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Ophisternon candidum</i> (blind cave eel) (2008) | Habitat degradation and modification associated with sedimentation from mining/construction, canal development, water abstraction, point source pollution from sewage, landfill, dumping and mining; and diffuse pollution from urban development |
| | Balston's pygmy perch | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Nannatherina balstoni</i> (Balston's pygmy perch) (2008) | Habitat degradation and modification associated with flow and increased salinisation, siltation and eutrophication that occur through changes to flow regimes (regulation and abstraction), road maintenance, mineral sand exploration and mining, ground water extraction and agricultural and forestry practices in the uppermost catchment |
| | Black-stripe minnow | Approved Conservation Advice for <i>Galaxiella nigrostriatal</i> (Black-striped minnow) (2018) | <p>Climate change – increased air and water temperatures, decreased rainfall, increased evaporation, lowering groundwater table.</p> <p>Invasive species (<i>Gambusia holbrooki</i>), aggressive interactions and competition</p> |

14. Social, Economic and Cultural Features

14.1 Industry

In 2018/19, Western Australia's petroleum industry was worth \$38.4 billion per annum. The petroleum sector accounted for 26% of the total value of WA's mineral and petroleum sales in 2018/19, with 20 per cent of all mineral and petroleum sales coming from Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG). Currently Western Australia has four operating LNG projects; the North West Shelf, Gorgon, Pluto and Wheatstone. There are also a number of Floating Production and Storage Offtake (FPSO) facilities in the Timor Sea and North West Shelf, as denoted on **Figure 14-1** to **Figure 14-3**. Offshore development is focussed in the Carnarvon Basin, Browse Basin and on the North West Shelf (DMP 2014). There are also domestic gas plants on Varanus Island in the North West Shelf, Devil Creek Onshore Gas Plant and Macedon Gas Plant in the Pilbara region and an oil facility near Dongara called Cliff Head. There are several exploration and production permits and leases throughout WA and Commonwealth waters in the EMBA. Existing petroleum infrastructure, permits and licences are shown in **Figure 14-1** to **Figure 14-3**.

14.2 Other Infrastructure

The Jasurau submarine communication cable links Australia with Indonesia. The cable was installed as a link from Australia to provide telephone services connection to the world in 1995-1996. Travelling north out of Port Hedland for approximately 210 km the cable then heads north-west toward Jakarta, Indonesia. The cable runs up through Permit Areas WA-435-P and WA437-P. Its capacity and major role was overtaken in 2000 by other subsea cables out of Australia. However, Telstra continues to manage the cable as it remains an emergency backup link out of Australia. The cable includes two submerged repeaters in the wider region.




Figure 14-1: Existing petroleum infrastructure, permits and licences – Northern WA




Figure 14-2: Existing petroleum infrastructure, permits and licences – Northern Western Australia




Figure 14-3: Existing petroleum infrastructure, permits and licences –Southern WA

14.3 Shipping

The Western Australian coastline supports twelve ports including the major ports of Dampier, Port Hedland and Broome which are operated by their respective port authorities. Large cargo vessels move through the region to and from Fremantle, transiting along coastline. Commercial shipping also moves to and from marine terminals associated with the oil and gas industry (see **Section 14.1**). Other large ports include Geraldton, Busselton, Albany and Esperance. Closer proximity shipping also includes construction vessels/barges/dredges, domestic support vessels, and offshore survey vessels.

The Australian Maritime Safety Authority (AMSA) has established a network of shipping fairways off the north-west coast of Australia to manage traffic patterns (AMSA 2013). The Shipping Fairways are designed to keep shipping traffic away from offshore infrastructure and aims to reduce the risk of collision (AMSA 2013).

Use of the fairways is strongly recommended but not mandatory. The International Regulations for *Preventing Collisions at Sea 1972* apply to all vessels navigating within or outside the shipping fairways. The use of these fairways does not give vessels any special right of way (AMSA 2012).

Under the *Commonwealth Navigation Act 2012*, certain vessels operating in Australian waters are required to report their location on a daily basis to the Rescue Coordination Centre (RCC) in Canberra. This Australian Ship Reporting System (AUSREP) is an integral part of the Australian Maritime Search and Rescue system and is operated by AMSA through the RCC. Vessels recorded in waters in the EMBA through the AUSREP system in 2019 are shown in **Figure 14-4**.




Figure 14-4: AMSA ship locations and shipping routes

14.4 Defence Activities

Key defence bases and facilities are illustrated in **Figure 14-5**.

The Naval Communication Station Harold E. Holt is located on the northwest coast of Australia, 6 km north of Exmouth. The town of Exmouth was built at the same time as the communications station to provide support to the base and to house dependent families of US Navy personnel (Shire of Exmouth 2018, DoE 2014).

The station provides very low frequency radio transmission to US Navy and Royal Australian Navy ships and submarines in the western Pacific Ocean and eastern Indian Ocean. With a transmission power of 1 megawatt, it is the most powerful transmission station in the southern hemisphere (Shire of Exmouth 2018, DoE 2014).

Two Royal Australian Airforce (RAAF) bases are located in the northwest of WA; Learmonth RAAF Base, near Exmouth and Curtin RAAF Base near Derby (RAAF 2014).

Designated military exercise areas occur over waters and airspace of the north west of WA and may be activated following the required notifications.

Additional defence activities that occur within the EMBA include:

- + Broome training depot;
- + Exmouth admin and high frequency transmitting;
- + Exmouth Very Low Frequency transmitting station;
- + Geraldton training depot "A" Company 16th Battalion;
- + HMAS Stirling-Rockingham;
- + HMAS Stirling-Garden Island;
- + Karratha training depot;
- + Learmonth – air weapons range;
- + Learmonth radar site – Vlaming Head Exmouth; and
- + Yampi Sound training area.




Figure 14-5: Defence activities in WA

14.5 Tourism

The Kimberley, Pilbara and Gascoyne regions are popular visitor destination for Australian and international tourists. Tourism is concentrated in the vicinity of population centres including Broome, Dampier, Exmouth, Coral Bay and Shark Bay.

Marine and coastal use is also clustered around major population centres along the WA coastline including Perth, Bunbury, Geraldton, Margaret River, Jurien Bay, August and Albany.

Tourism contributes to local economies in terms of both income and employment and tourists include local, interstate and international visitors. Popular water-based activities include fishing, swimming, snorkelling/diving, surfing/windsurfing/kiting and boating, while popular land based activities include bushwalking, camping, bird watching and four-wheel driving.

Seasonal nature-based tourism such as humpback whale watching, whale shark encounters and tours of turtle hatching mainly occurring around Ningaloo Reef, Cape Range National Park, Broome and Perth (Tourism Western Australia 2014). Seasonal aggregations of whale sharks, manta rays, sea turtles and whales, as well as the annual mass spawning of coral attract large numbers of visitors to Ningaloo each year (CALM 2005).

14.6 Cultural Heritage

Four places of cultural significance are protected as National Heritage Places in the waters from Busselton to the NT border. The Dampier Archipelago (including Burrup Peninsula), Batavia Shipwreck Site and Survivor Camps Area 1629 – Houtman Abrolhos, Dirk Hartog Landing Site 1616 – Cape Inscription area and the HMAS Sydney II and HSK Kormoran Shipwreck Site are discussed in **Section 9**. Additional Commonwealth Heritage Places denoted for their historic value in the EMBA are listed in **Appendix A**.

14.6.1 Indigenous Heritage

Indigenous people have a strong ongoing association with the area that extends from the beginning of human settlement in Australia some 50,000 years ago. The close, long standing relationship between Aboriginal peoples and the coastal and marine environments of the area is evident in indigenous culture today, in addition to archaeological sites such as the Burrup Peninsula. The Indigenous peoples of the northwest continue to rely on coastal and marine environments and resources for their cultural identity, health and wellbeing, as well as their domestic and commercial economies (DEWHA 2008a). With the EMBA, Barrow Island, Montebello Islands, Exmouth, Ningaloo Reef, Kimberly Coast, Eighty Mile Beach, Roebuck Bay, Dampier Peninsula and the South West and the adjacent foreshores have a long history of occupancy by Indigenous communities. Areas that are covered by registered native title claims are likely to practice indigenous fishing techniques at various sections of the WA coastline, most notably in the Kimberley coastal region and islands.

Marine resource use by Indigenous people is generally restricted to coastal waters. Fishing, hunting and the maintenance of maritime cultures and heritage through ritual, stories and traditional knowledge continue as important uses of the nearshore region and adjacent areas. However, while direct use by Aboriginal people deeper offshore waters is limited, many groups continue to have a direct cultural interest in decisions affecting the management of these waters. The cultural connections Aboriginal people maintain with the sea may be affected, for example, by offshore fisheries and industries. In addition, some Indigenous people are involved in commercial activities such as fishing and marine tourism, so have an interest in how these industries are managed in offshore waters with respect to their cultural heritage and commercial interests (DEWHA 2008a).

14.6.2 Maritime Heritage

Details of recorded shipwreck sites are available on the Australian National Shipwreck Database are managed by the DAWE although precise locations of the wrecks are sometimes unknown. A search of the Australian National Shipwreck Database in the EMBA identified 942 shipwrecks. Key shipwrecks in the North West Marine Region are listed in **Table 14-1** and shown in **Figure 14-6** to **Figure 14-9**, in addition to the Ann Millicent (DEWHA 2008a). Under the Commonwealth *Underwater Culture Heritage Act 2018* all shipwrecks older than 75 years are protected, while those dated pre-1900 are protected by WA law under the *Maritime Archaeology Act 1973*. Within the EMBA, there are 697 shipwrecks in excess of 75 years old.

Table 14-1: Shipwrecks

| Name | Description | Location |
|--|--|---|
| Ann Millicent | Iron hulled barque, wrecked c. 1888 | Cartier Island |
| Batavia | Wood sailing vessel, wrecked 1629 | Morning Reef, Houtman Abrolhos Islands |
| Crown of England | 1,847 t sailing ship, wrecked c. 1912 | Wreck Point, Depuch Island |
| Eddystone | 2,040 t brigantine rigged iron steamship | Cossack Roads, Depuch Island Passage |
| Perentie | Barge | Barrow Island |
| Fin | Early iron whaler | Frazer Island, Point Cloates |
| Karrakatta | 1,271 t, schooner rigged, coastal steamship | King Sound, 140 km north-northwest of Derby |
| Manfred | 587 t barque | 3 km north west of West Island in the Lacepede Islands |
| Perth | 499 t, iron coastal steamship | Ningaloo Reef |
| Rowley Shoals unconfirmed wreck | Armed whaler of 200–250 t, possibly the Lively, wrecked c 1800 | Mermaid Reef |
| Zvir | Iron steamer | Frazer Island, Point Cloates |
| Browse Island (East) unconfirmed wreck | Late nineteenth century iron sailing vessel of approximately 1,000 t | Browse Island |
| Fairy Queen | 115 t Singapore built brigantine | Point Murat, North West Cape |
| Gudrun | Iron frames and fastenings | Cape Peron Flats in Shark Bay |
| SS Sunbeam | Iron hulled, single screw steamer | Middle Osborne Island, Admiralty Gulf |
| Trial | English East Indiaman of about 500 t, wrecked c 1622 | Trial (or Tryal) Rocks, 20 km northwest of the Montebello Islands |
| Zuytdorp | Seventeenth century Dutch East Indiaman | Zuytdorp Cliffs, 75 km north of Kalbarri |




Figure 14-6: Shipwrecks – South West WA




Figure 14-7: Shipwrecks – Perth – Shark Bay




Figure 14-8: Shipwrecks – Shark Bay – Dampier




Figure 14-9: Shipwrecks – Northern WA

14.7 Commercial Fisheries

A valuable and diverse commercial fishing industry is supported by both the offshore and coastal waters in the North Coast, Gascoyne, West Coast and South Coast Bioregions between the WA and NT and South Australian borders. The major fisheries in this area target tropical finfish, large pelagic fish species, crustaceans (prawns and scampi), Western Rock Lobster and pearl oysters (Fletcher and Santoro 2013). A number of smaller fisheries also exist in this area including the octopus and beche-de-mer fisheries.

14.7.1 State Fisheries

State fisheries are managed by the WA Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) (formerly Department of Fisheries (DoF)) with specific management plans, regulations and a variety of subsidiary regulatory instruments under the *Fish Resources Management Act 1994* (WA). The information on State managed fisheries has been derived from 'The State of the Fisheries' Report 2018/2019 (Gaughan *et al.* 2020) and direct consultation with DPIRD. Santos consults regularly with State fisheries relevant to activity operational areas, mainly by distribution of an Annual Consultation Update by post.

State commercial fisheries that exist between Kalbarri (WA) and the NT border are shown in **Figure 14-10**. A summary of all commercial fisheries in the area is also summarised **Table 14-2**. These are:

North Coast Bioregion

- + Onslow Prawn Managed Fishery (OPMF);
- + Nickol Bay Prawn Managed Fishery (NBPMF) – referred to as Nickol Bay Prawn Limited Entry Fishery in **Figure 14-10**;
- + Broome Prawn Managed Fishery (BPMF);
- + Kimberley Prawn Managed Fishery (KPMF);
- + Kimberley Gillnet & Barramundi Managed Fishery (KGBF);
- + Kimberley Developing Mud Crab Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + Northern Demersal Scalefish Managed Fishery (NDSF);
- + North Coast Traditional Trochus Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + Pilbara Demersal Scalefish Fisheries – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + Pilbara Developing Crab Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + Pilbara Fish Trawl (Interim) Managed Fishery (PFTIMF);
- + Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery (PTMF);
- + Pilbara Line Fishery;
- + Western Australian Sea Cucumber Fishery (referred to as Beche-de-mer Fishery in **Figure 14-10**);
- + Mackerel Managed Fishery (Area 1 – Kimberley and Area 2 – Pilbara);
- + Western Australian Pearl Oyster Fishery – referred to as Pearl Oyster Managed Fishery in **Figure 14-10**;
- + Northern Shark Fisheries (closed, not shown in **Figure 14-10**) including:
 - o Western Australian North Coast Shark Fishery - not shown in **Figure 14-10**; and
 - o Joint Authority Northern Shark Fishery - not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
 - o North Coast Trochus Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**; and
 - o Pilbara Developing Crab Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**.

Gascoyne Bioregion

- + Exmouth Gulf Prawn Managed Fishery;
- + Gascoyne Demersal Scalefish Managed Fishery;
- + Shark Bay Scallop Managed Fishery – referred to as Shark Bay Scallop Limited Entry Fishery on **Figure 14-10**;
- + Shark Bay Prawn Managed Fishery – referred to as Shark Bay Prawn Limited Entry Fishery on **Figure 14-10**;
- + Shark Bay Beach Seine and Mesh Net Managed Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + Shark Bay Crab Interim Managed Fishery; and
- + Mackerel Fishery (Area 3 – Gascoyne/West Coast).

West Coast Bioregion

- + Roe's Abalone – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + Abrolhos Islands and Mid-West Trawl Managed Fishery (AIMWRMF) (Closed) – referred to as Abrolhos Islands and Mid-West Trawl Limited Entry Fishery in **Figure 14-10**;
- + West Coast Demersal Scalefish Interim Managed Fishery (WCDSIMF);
- + South West Trawl Managed Fishery – referred to as South West Trawl Limited Entry Fishery in **Figure 14-10**;
- + Mandurah to Bunbury Developing Crab Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + Cockburn Sound Crab Managed Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + Cockburn Sound Line and Pot Managed Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + Cockburn Sound Mussel Managed Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + Warnbro Sound Crab Managed Fishery (closed) – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + West Coast Nearshore and Estuarine Finfish Fisheries, including:
 - + Cockburn Sound Fish Net Managed Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
 - + West Coast Beach Baited Managed Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
 - + South West Beach Seine Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**; and
 - + West Coast Estuarine Managed Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + Temperate Demersal Gillnet and Demersal Longline Fisheries, including:
 - o West Coast Demersal Gillnet and Demersal Longline (Interim) Managed Fishery (West Coast Bioregion) – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + West Coast Deep Sea Crab (Interim) Managed Fishery – referred to as West Coast Deep Sea Crustacean Managed Fishery in **Figure 14-10**;
- + West Coast Nearshore Net Managed Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + Octopus Interim Managed Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + West Coast Rock Lobster Managed Fishery; and
- + West Coast Purse Seine Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**.

South Coast Bioregion

- + Greenlip/Brownlip Abalone Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;

- + South Coast Crustacean Managed Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + South Coast Deep-Sea Crab Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + South Coast Estuarine Managed Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + South Coast Open Access Netting Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**; and
- + South West Coast Beach Net – not shown in **Figure 14-10**.
- + South Coast Salmon Managed Fishery;
- + South West Coast Salmon Managed Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**;
- + Temperate Demersal Gillnet and Demersal Longline Fisheries including:
 - o Joint Authority Southern Demersal Gillnet and Demersal Longline Managed Fishery (South Coast Bioregion)
 - o South West Trawl Managed Fishery (SWTMF) – referred to as South West Trawl Limited Entry Fishery in **Figure 14-10**; and
 - o Windy Harbour/Augusta Rock Lobster Managed Fishery – not shown in **Figure 14-10**.

Whole of State Fisheries

- + Marine Aquarium Fish Managed Fishery (MAFMF);
- + Specimen Shell Managed Fishery; and
- + Hermit Crab Fishery (HCF) – not shown in **Figure 14-10**.

Some of the fisheries listed above will be more susceptible to impacts than others, particularly fisheries without the ability to escape impacts. For example, above average water temperatures over the last three years will have had an impact on prawn fisheries in Exmouth and scallops and blue swimmer crabs in Shark Bay which have been significantly affected by the initial heat wave event of 2010/11 (Caputi *et al.* 2014).

14.7.2 Commonwealth Fisheries

Commonwealth fisheries are those within the 200 nautical mile Australian Fishing Zone (AFZ) managed by Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA) and are, on the high seas, and, in some cases, by agreement with the States and Territory, to the low water mark. Information on Commonwealth managed fisheries has been derived from 'Fishery Status' Report 2019 (Department of Agriculture 2019)

Commonwealth fisheries who have permits to operate in the EMBA include:

- + North West Slope Trawl (NWST);
- + Northern Prawn Fishery (NPF);
- + Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery (SBFTF);
- + Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery (WTBF) (including Southern Tuna and Billfish Fishery shown in **Figure 14-11**);
- + Small Pelagic Fishery (SPF);
- + Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery (SESSF) – not shown in **Figure 14-11**;
- + Skipjack Tuna Fishery (STF) (referred to as Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery in **Figure 14-11**); and
- + Western Deepwater Trawl (WDTF) (referred to as Western Deepwater Trawl Fishery in **Figure 14-11**).

Commonwealth commercial fisheries between Kalbarri (WA) and the NT Border are shown in **Figure 14-11** and summarised in **Table 14-2**.

14.7.3 Indonesian Commercial and Subsistence Fishing

Within the northern and north-western extent of the EMBA is a defined area where a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) exists between the Australian and Indonesian Governments. The Agreement between the Government of Australia and the Government of the Republic of Indonesia Relating to Cooperation in Fisheries (1992 Fisheries Cooperation Agreement) provides the framework for fisheries and marine cooperation between Australia and Indonesia, and facilitates information exchange on research, management and technological developments, complementary management of shared stocks, training and technical exchanges, aquaculture development, trade promotion and cooperation to deter illegal fishing.

Cooperation under the Agreement today takes place under the auspices of the Working Group on Marine Affairs and Fisheries. Established in 2001, the Working Group on Marine Affairs and Fisheries is the primary bilateral forum to enhance collaboration across the spectrum of marine and fisheries issues relevant to the areas of the Arafura and Timor seas. The Working Group brings together the fisheries, environment and scientific research portfolios and agencies from both countries.

The MoU Box (shown on **Figure 14-10** and **Figure 14-11**) is an area of Australian water in the Timor Sea where Indonesian traditional fishers, using traditional fishing methods only, are permitted to operate. Officially it is known as the Australia-Indonesia Memorandum of Understanding regarding the Operations of Indonesian Traditional Fishermen in Areas of the Australian Fishing Zone and Continental Shelf – 1974.

As part of negotiations to delineate seabed boundaries, Australia and Indonesia entered into the MoU which recognises the rights of access for traditional Indonesian fishers in shared waters to the north of Australia. This access was granted in recognition of the long history of traditional Indonesian fishing in the area. The MoU provides Australia with a tool to manage access to its waters while for Indonesia, it enables Indonesian traditional fishers to continue their customary practices and target species such as trepang, trochus, abalone and sponges. Guidelines under the MoU were agreed in 1989 in order to clarify access boundaries for traditional fishers and take into account the declaration of the 200 nautical mile fishing zones. Because of its approximate shape the MoU area became known as the MoU Box.

Between 2006 and 2008, a series of surveys were undertaken to understand the traditional practice of Indonesian fishers that journey to Scott Reef within the MoU boundary (ERM 2008, 2009). The majority of perahu (vessels) that travel to Scott Reef originate from the islands of Rote (near West Timor) and Tonduk and Raas (in East Java). Some crew from the Rote perahus are recruited from the region of Alor (one of the Lesser Sundas chain, located north of East Timor and east of Bali). In 2007, an estimated 800 fishers (approximately 80 vessels) travelled from these home islands to Scott Reef, mainly to collect trepang. Similar vessel numbers sailed to Scott Reef in 2008.

Journeys to Scott Reef are generally restricted to drier months when wind speeds and directions are more desirable. Most Indonesian fishers travel to Scott Reef during July to October, although a few Rotenese make the journey to Scott Reef in the early season between April and June. Other fishers plan to go after Aidil Fitri, a religious holiday widely celebrated on Tonduk Island that celebrates the end of Ramadan.

The fishers focus their activities in and around the shallow water lagoons of Scott Reef primarily targeting trepang; and opportunistically gather trochus shells. They also catch fish largely for subsistence purposes although the average fish catch per lete-lete (traditional Indonesian fishing vessel) in 2008 increased to commercial volumes. Although deeper waters are more plentiful in trepang, deep diving is generally not undertaken by the fishers due to the MoU stipulation on the exclusive use of traditional equipment only (Woodside Energy Limited 2011).

14.8 Aquaculture

14.8.1 North Coast Bioregion

Aquaculture development in this region is dominated by the production of pearls from the species *Pinctada maxima*. A large number of pearl oysters for seeding is obtained from wild stocks and supplemented by

hatchery-produced oysters with major hatcheries operating at Broome and the Dampier Peninsular. Pearl farm sites are located mainly along the Kimberley coast, particularly in the Buccaneer Archipelago, in Roebuck Bay and at the Montebello Islands. Developing marine aquaculture initiatives in this region include growing trochus and barramundi.

The Pearl Oyster Fishery of Western Australia operates in shallow coastal waters (DoF 2006). All the leases are within the 35m diving depth. Through consultation the Pearl Producer's Association (PPA) have raised concern that spawning stock is found to the 100 m depth contour. However, this is not supported in the study by Condie *et al* (2006) who modelled oyster larva transport in the Eighty Mile Beach region and found that while some larvae travelled more than 60 km, most were transported less than 30 km. The model results suggest that spawning in the Eighty Mile Beach region is concentrated around the 8 to 15m depth range, with potential smaller contributions from the northeast. These spawning events are likely to lead to successful recruitment locally and alongshore to the southwest.

They also feed larvae into neighbouring shallow coastal environments (through tidal oscillations) and deeper waters to the west (>20 m). However, spat abundances seem to be low in these areas, suggesting that recruitment is strongly limited by habitat availability and possibly high mortality rates in shallow water. High local abundances of broodstock and spat observed occasionally in deeper water (<30 m) seem to be supported by intermittent larval transport from inshore populations. Spawning in this area seems to contribute little to recruitment in the inshore populations.

Further aquaculture in this region mainly focuses on barramundi farming within Cone Bay, with two aquaculture licences granted in this area located about 200 km north-east of Broome (Gaughan and Santoro 2020).

Further aquaculture operations have expanded in the region with the establishment of the Kimberley Aquaculture Development zone, which encompasses almost 2,000 ha of coastal waters within Cone Bay supporting the production of up to 20,000 t of finfish annually (Gaughan and Santoro 2020).

14.8.2 Gascoyne Coast Bioregion

Hatchery production of oysters is the core of the pearling industry in the Gascoyne region. Hatcheries in Carnarvon and Exmouth supply spat to pearl farms in the north-west and several hatcheries supply juveniles to the black-lip pearl oyster to developing black pearl farms in the region. Pearl production is carried out on a small scale in Shark Bay and Exmouth Gulf. The local aquaculture sector is also focussing on the production of aquarium species.

14.8.3 West Coast Bioregion

The principal aquaculture development activities in this region are the production of blue mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) and marine algae (*Dunaliella salina*) and the emerging black pearl industry based on the production of *Pinctada margaritifera* at the Abrolhos Islands. The main mussel farming area is in southern Cockburn Sound, where conditions are sheltered and the nutrient and planktonic food levels are sufficient to promote good growth rates fishing (Fletcher and Santoro 2015).

Further aquaculture operations are expected following the establishment of the Mid-West Aquaculture Development Zone by DPIRD, which aims to provide a platform to stimulate aquaculture investment and development in the bioregion (Gaughan and Santoro 2020).

14.8.4 South West Bioregion

The predominant aquaculture activity undertaken in this region is the production of mussels and oysters from Oyster Harbour at Albany. This activity is restricted to this area where there are sufficient nutrient levels related to terrestrial run-off to provide the planktonic food necessary to promote growth of filter-feeding bivalves fishing (Fletcher and Santoro 2015). The high-energy environment and limited protected deep waters limits other forms of aquaculture such as sea cage farming.

Further invertebrate aquaculture operations are expected after recent funding to establish a South Coast Aquaculture Development Zone by DPIRD. An initial south coast aquaculture project aims to identify suitable areas for artificial farm structures to be constructed supporting shellfish production including abalone and edible oysters (Gaughan and Santoro 2020).

14.8.5 Indonesian Aquaculture

An analysis by WorldFish has indicated that aquaculture will overtake capture fisheries as the major source of fish in Indonesia before 2030 (Phillips *et al.* 2015). By volume, Indonesian aquatic production is dominated by seaweeds, but by value, domestically consumed species such as tilapia and milkfish, together with export-orientated commodities such as shrimp and tuna, are of greater importance (Phillips *et al.* 2015).

Carrageenan seaweed farming based primarily on the cultivation of *Kappaphycus* and *Eucheuma* species has grown significantly in Indonesia. Due to the simple farming techniques required, low requirements of capital and material inputs, and short production cycles it has become a favourable livelihood for smallholder farmers and fishers (Valderrama *et al.* 2013). Indonesia's coastline provides ideal conditions for fish farming in "brackish waters". Aquaculture in Indonesia is predominantly used for seaweed production, whilst offshore fish cultivation remains relatively undeveloped (Global Business Guide 2014).

14.9 Recreational Fisheries

14.9.1 North Coast Bioregion

The North Coast Bioregion (Pilbara/Kimberley) runs from the Ashburton River to the Western Australia/Northern Territory border (WAFIC 2016). The oceanography of this region includes waters of Pacific Ocean origin that enter through the Indonesian archipelago bringing warm, low salinity waters polewards via the Indonesian throughflow and Holloway currents which flow seasonally and interact with Indian ocean waters. Recreational fishing is experiencing a significant growth in this region, with a distinct seasonal peak in winter when the local population increases by significant numbers of metropolitan and inter-state tourists. This has been added to by the increased recreational fishing by those involved in the construction or operation of major developments in this region. Owing to the high tidal range, much of the angling activity is boat-based with beach fishing limited to periods of flood tides and high water. Numerous creek systems, mangroves, rivers and ocean beaches provide shore and small boat fishing for a variety of species including barramundi, tropical emperors, mangrove jack, trevallies, sooty grunter, threadfin, mud crabs and cods. Offshore islands, coral reef systems and continental shelf waters provide species of major recreational interest including saddletail snapper and red emperor, cods, coral and coronation trout, sharks, trevally, tuskfish, mackerels and billfish (WAFIC 2016).

14.9.2 Gascoyne Coast Bioregion

The Gascoyne Coast Bioregion extends from just north of Kalbarri to the Ashburton River, south of Onslow. The marine environment of this region represents a transition between the fully tropical waters of the north-west shelf of the north coast region and the temperate waters of the west coast region. This region has been identified as one of the 18 world 'hotspots' in terms of tropical reef endemism and the second most diverse marine environment in the world in terms of tropical reef species. This region is a focal point for winter recreational fishing and is a key component of many tourist visits. Angling activities include beach and cliff fishing (e.g. Steep Point and Quobba), embayment and shallow-water boat angling (e.g. Shark Bay, Exmouth Gulf and Ningaloo lagoons), and offshore boat angling for demersal and larger pelagic species (e.g. off Ningaloo). The predominant target species include the tropical species such as emperors, tropical snappers, groupers, mackerels, trevallies and other game fish. Temperate species at the northern end of their ranges such as pink snapper, tailor and whiting also provide significant catches, particularly in Shark Bay (WAFIC 2016).

14.9.3 West Coast Bioregion

The marine environment of the West Coast Bioregion which lies between Kalbarri and Augusta is predominantly a temperate oceanic zone, but it is heavily influenced by the Leeuwin current, which transports warm tropical water southward along the edge of the continental shelf. This region contains the state's major population centres and is the most heavily used bioregion for recreational fishing (Fletcher and Santoro 2015). The range of recreational fishing opportunities includes estuarine fishing, beach fishing and boat fishing either in embayments or offshore for demersal and pelagic game species often around the islands and out to the continental shelf (WAFIC 2016).

14.9.4 South West Bioregion

The South West Bioregion includes the water from Augusta to Eucla on the Western Australia/South Australia border. The continental shelf waters of this region are generally temperate but low in nutrients due to the seasonal presence of the tail of the tropical Leeuwin current and limited terrestrial run-off. As much of the south coast is remote or difficult to access, recreational beach and boat fishing tends to be concentrated around the main population and holiday centres. The major target species for beach and rock anglers are salmon, herring, whiting and trevally, while boat anglers target pink snapper, queen snapper, Bight redfish, a number of shark species, salmon fish and King George whiting. Another component of the recreational fishery is dinghy and shoreline fishing off estuaries and rivers where the main angling targets are black bream and whiting. Recreational netting primarily targeting mullet also occurs in these estuaries (WAFIC 2016).




Figure 14-10: State commercial fishing zones




Figure 14-11: Commonwealth commercial fishing zones

Table 14-2: Commercial fisheries with permits to operate within the EMBA

| Fishery | Target Species | Catch ¹ | Fishing Method | Area Description |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| State Managed Fisheries | | | | |
| Abrolhos Islands and Mid-West Trawl Managed Fishery (AIMWTMF) | Saucer scallops (<i>Ylistrum balloti</i>), with a small component targeting the western king prawn (<i>Penaeus latisulcatus</i>) | 2017/2018: 651 tonnes | Operates using low opening otter trawl systems. | All the waters of the Indian Ocean adjacent to Western Australia between 27°51' south latitude and 29°03' south latitude on the landward side of the 200 m isobath'. |
| Broome Prawn Managed Fishery (BPMF) | Western king prawns (<i>Penaeus latisulcatus</i>) and coral prawns (a combined category of small penaeid species). | Extremely low fishing effort occurred as only a single boat undertook trial fishing to investigate whether catch rates were sufficient for commercial fishing. This resulted in negligible landings of western king prawns with no byproduct recorded. | Otter trawl | The BPMF operates in a designated trawl zone off Broome. The boundaries of the BPMF are 'all Western Australian waters of the Indian Ocean lying east of 120° east longitude and west of 123°45' east longitude on the landward side of the 200 m isobath'. The actual trawl area is contained within a delineated small area north west of Broome. |
| Cockburn Sound Mussel Managed Fishery | Blue mussels (<i>Mytilus edulis</i>) | 2015: Unspecified | Agriculture | Main mussel farming occurs in southern Cockburn Sound. |
| Cockburn Sound Crab Managed Fishery | Blue Swimmer (<i>Portunus armatus</i>) Blue swimmer crab (<i>Portunus armatus</i>) | 2017/2018: 5: closed to commercial and recreational fishing since April 2014 | Drop nets, scoop nets, diving | Encompasses the inner waters of Cockburn Sound, from South Mole at Fremantle to Stragglers Rocks, through Mewstone to Carnac Island and Garden Island, along the eastern shore of Garden Island and back to John Point on the mainland. |
| Cockburn Sound Line and Pot Managed Fishery | Southern garfish (<i>Hyporhamphus melanochir</i>), Australian herring (<i>Arripis geogianus</i>) | 2017/2018: 257 tonnes | Line (fish) Shelter and trigger pots (octopus) | Encompasses the inner waters of Cockburn Sound, from South Mole at Fremantle to Stragglers Rocks, through Mewstone to Carnac Island and Garden Island, along the eastern shore of Garden Island and back to John Point on the mainland. |

| Fishery | Target Species | Catch ¹ | Fishing Method | Area Description |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Exmouth Gulf Prawn Managed Fishery | Western king prawns (<i>Penaeus latisulcatus</i>), brown tiger prawns (<i>Penaeus esculentus</i>), endeavour prawns (<i>Metapenaeus</i> spp.) and banana prawns (<i>Penaeus merguensis</i>). | 2017/2018: 713 tonnes | Low opening otter trawls. | Sheltered waters of Exmouth Gulf Essentially the western half of the Exmouth Gulf (eastern part is a nursery ground). The Muiron Islands and Point Murat provide the western boundary; Serrurier Island provides the northern limit |
| Gascoyne Demersal Scalefish Managed Fishery (GDSMF) | Targets pink snapper (<i>Pagrus auratus</i>) and goldband snapper (<i>Pristipomoides multidentis</i>). Other demersal species caught include the rosy snapper (<i>P. filamentosus</i>), ruby snapper (<i>Etelis carbunculus</i>), red emperor (<i>Lutjanus sebae</i>), emperors (Lethrinidae, including spangled emperor, <i>Lethrinus nebulosus</i> , and redthroat emperor, <i>L. miniatus</i>), cods (Epinephelidae, including Rankin cod, <i>Epinephelus multinotatus</i> and goldspotted rockcod, <i>E. coioides</i>), pearl perch (<i>Glaucosoma burgeri</i>), mulloway (<i>Argyrosomus japonicas</i>), amberjack (<i>Seriola dumerili</i>) and trevallies (Carangidae). | 2017/2018: Snapper: 133 tonnes Other demersals: 144 tonnes | Mechanised handlines | The GDSF operates in the waters of the Indian Ocean and Shark Bay between latitudes 23°07'30"S and 26°30'S. Vessels are not permitted to fish in inner Shark Bay. |
| Abalone Managed Fishery | Greenlip abalone (<i>Haliotis laevigata</i>) Brownlip abalone (<i>H. conicopora</i>) | 2017/2018: 98 tonnes | Dive fishery The principal harvest method is a diver working off 'hookah' (surface supplied breathing apparatus) or SCUBA using an abalone 'iron' to prise the shellfish off rocks – both commercial and recreational divers employ this method. | Shallow coastal waters off the south-west and south coasts of Western Australia Covers all Western Australian coastal waters, which are divided into eight management areas. Commercial fishing for greenlip/brownlip abalone is managed in three separate areas. |

| Fishery | Target Species | Catch ¹ | Fishing Method | Area Description |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| Hermit Crab Fishery (HCF) | Australian land hermit crab (<i>Coenobita variabilis</i>) | 2017/2018: 58,643 (lowest reported in the last 10 years (2008-2017; catch range 58,643-118,203). | Land based hand collection typically using four-wheel drives to access remote beaches | Operates in Western Australian waters north of the Exmouth Gulf (22°30'S) |
| Kimberley Developing Mud Crab Managed Fishery | Mud crab (<i>Scylla serrata</i>) | 2017/2018: 60 tonnes (also includes catch data from Pilbara Developmental crab fishery) | Mud Crab traps | <p>This fishery operates between Broome and Cambridge Gulf.</p> <p>Three commercial operators are permitted to fish from King Sound to the Northern Territory border, with closed areas around communities and fishing camps. One Aboriginal Corporation is permitted to fish in King Sound, with the other Aboriginal Corporation permitted to fish in a small area on the western side of the Dampier peninsula, north of Broome.</p> <p>Notices issued under the <i>Fish Resources Management Act 1994</i> prohibit all commercial fishing for mud crabs in Roebuck Bay and an area of King Sound near Derby.</p> |
| Kimberley Gillnet and Barramundi Managed Fishery (KGBF) | Barramundi (<i>Lates calcarifer</i>), King threadfin (<i>Polydactylus macrochir</i>), Blue threadfin (<i>Eleutheronema tetradactylum</i>) | 2017/2018: 79.9 tonnes | Gill net in inshore waters | <p>Nearshore and estuarine zones of the North Coast Bioregion from the WA/NT border (129°E) to the top end of Eighty Mile Beach, south of Broome (19°S).</p> <p>The waters of the KGBF are defined as 'all Western Australian waters north of 19° south latitude and west of 129° east longitude and within three nautical miles of the high water mark of the mainland of Western Australia and the waters of King Sound south of 16°21.47' south latitude.</p> |
| Kimberley Prawn Managed Fishery (KPMF) | Banana prawns (<i>Penaeus merguensis</i>) Tiger prawns (<i>Penaeus esculentus</i>) | 2017/2018: 269 tonnes | Otter trawl | The KPMF operates off the north of the state between Koolan Island and Cape Londonderry. |

| Fishery | Target Species | Catch ¹ | Fishing Method | Area Description |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| | Endeavour prawns (<i>Metapenaeus endeavouri</i>) Western king prawns (<i>Penaeus latisulcatus</i>) | | | The boundaries of the KPMF are 'all Western Australian waters of the Indian Ocean lying east of 123°45' east longitude and west of 126°58' east longitude'. It abuts the western boundary of the Commonwealth Northern Prawn Fishery (NPF). |
| Mandurah to Bunbury Developing Crab Fishery | Blue swimmer crab (<i>Portunus armatus</i>) | 2017/2018: 5.2 tonnes | Drop nets, scoop nets, diving | Fishery extends from south of the Shoalwater Islands Marine Park (32°22'40"S) to Point McKenna near Bunbury (33°16'S) and offshore to 115°30'E. The fishery is divided into two zones with crab fishing historically being permitted within Area 1, Comet Bay between 32°22'40"S and 32°30'S, and Area 2, Cape Bouvard to the southern boundary of the fishery. In 2015 crab fishing within Area 2 ceased. |
| Marine Aquarium Fish Managed Fishery (MAFMF) | Over 250 target species of finfish. (228 species caught in 2012). Fishermen can also take coral, live rock, algae, seagrass and invertebrates. The main fish species landed in 2012 were scribbled angelfish (<i>Chaetodontoplus duboulayi</i>) and green chromis (<i>Chromis cinerascens</i>) The main coral species landed in 2012 were the coral like anemones of the Corallimorpharia. | 2017/2018: Total catch of 150,544 fishes, 21.9 t of coral, live rock & living sand and 322 L of marine plants. | Hand harvest while diving or wading. Hand held nets | Dive based fishery operating all year throughout WA waters, but restricted by diving depths. The MAFMF is able to operate in all State waters (between the Northern Territory border and South Australian border). The fishery is typically more active in waters south of Broome with higher levels of effort around the Capes region, Perth, Geraldton, Exmouth and Dampier. Operators in the MAFMF are also permitted to take coral, live rock, algae, seagrass and invertebrates under the Prohibition on Fishing (Coral, 'Live Rock' and Algae) Order 2007 and by way of Ministerial Exemption (Gaughan & Santoro, 2018). |
| Nickol Bay Prawn Managed Fishery (NBPMF) | Primarily targets banana prawns (<i>Penaeus merguensis</i>) | 2017/2018: 227 tonnes | Otter trawl | Operates along the western part of the North-West Shelf in coastal shallow waters |

| Fishery | Target Species | Catch ¹ | Fishing Method | Area Description |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | The boundaries of the NBPMF are 'all the waters of the Indian Ocean and Nickol Bay between 116°45' east longitude and 120° east longitude on the landward side of the 200 m isobath'. The NBPMF incorporates the Nickol Bay, Extended Nickol Bay, Depuch and De Grey size managed fish grounds (State of the Fisheries 2014-15). |
| North Coast Trochus Fishery | Trochus (<i>Tectus niloticus</i>) | 2017/2018: Unspecified | Harvested by with handheld levers or chisels | Indigenous fishery operating within King Sound |
| Northern Demersal Scalefish Managed Fishery (NDSF) | Red emperor (<i>Lutjanus sebae</i>) Goldband snapper (<i>Pristipomoides multidentis</i>) | 2017/2018:1317 tonnes (total) Goldband snapper (not including other jobfish): 473 tonnes Red emperor: 34 – 47 tonnes | The permitted means of operation within the fishery include handline, dropline and fish traps, but since 2002 it has essentially been a trap-based fishery which uses gear time access and spatial zones as the primary management measures (State of the Fisheries 2014-15). | The Northern Demersal Scalefish Managed Fishery (NDSF) operates off the northwest coast of Western Australia in the waters east of 120° E longitude. These waters extend out to the edge of the Australian Fishing Zone (200 nautical miles). The Fishery consists of three zones; Zone A is an inshore area, Zone B comprises the area with most historical fishing activity and Zone C is an offshore deep slope developmental area. The fishery is further divided into two fishing areas; an inshore sector and an offshore sector. The inshore waters in the vicinity of Broome are closed to commercial fishing. |
| WA North Coast Shark Fisheries | Sandbar (<i>Carcharhinus plumbeus</i>), hammer head (<i>Sphyrnidae</i>), blacktip (<i>Carcharhinus melanopterus</i>) and lemmon sharks (<i>Negaprion brevirostris</i>). | 2017/2018: closed since 2008/2009 | Gill net, longline | Comprised of the State-managed WA North Coast Shark Fishery in the Pilbara and western Kimberley, and the Joint Authority Northern Shark Fishery in the eastern Kimberley. |
| Octopus Interim Managed Fishery | <i>Octopus cf. tetricus</i> , with occasional bycatch of <i>O. ornatus</i> and <i>O. cyanea</i> in the northern parts of the fishery, | 2017/2018: Commercial: 257 tonnes Recreational: 1 tonne | Line and pots Trawl and trap (land Octopus as byproduct) | Fishery in development phase. Four main categories in WA waters. Octopus are primarily caught in the Developing Octopus Interim Managed Fishery (largest fishery) are |

| Fishery | Target Species | Catch ¹ | Fishing Method | Area Description |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| | and <i>O.maorum</i> in the southern and deeper sectors. | | | <p>limited to the boundaries of the developmental fishery, which is an area bounded by the Kalbarri Cliffs (26°30'S) in the north and Esperance in the south.</p> <p>Passive and by-product harvests of octopus occur in both the Cockburn Sound (Line and Pot) Managed Fishery and the West Coast Rock Lobster Managed Fishery.</p> |
| Onslow Prawn Managed Fishery (OPMF) | Western king prawns (<i>Penaeus latisulcatus</i>), brown tiger prawns (<i>Penaeus esculentus</i>), endeavour prawns (<i>Metapenaeus</i> spp.) | 2017/2018: Negligible (Minimal fishing occurred in 2017) | Otter trawl | <p>Operates along the western part of the North-West Shelf with most prawning activities concentrated in the shallower water off the mainland.</p> <p>The boundaries of the OPMF are 'all the Western Australian waters between the Exmouth Prawn Fishery and the Nickol Bay prawn fishery east of 114°39.9' on the landward side of the 200 m depth isobath'.</p> |
| Pilbara Developmental Crab Fishery | Blue Swimmer (<i>Portunus armatus</i>) Mud Crab (<i>Scylla</i> spp) | 2017/2018: 60 tonnes (total number includes Kimberley Developing Mud Crab Fishery) | <p>Variety of gear but mostly commercial crab pots (Hourglass traps used in inshore waters from Onslow through to Port Hedland with most commercial and activity occurring in and around Nickol Bay)</p> <p>Recreational fishers use drop nets or scoop nets, with diving for crabs becoming increasingly popular</p> | The majority of the commercially and recreationally-fished stocks are concentrated in the coastal embayments and estuaries between Geographe Bay in the south west and Nickol Bay in the north. Crabbing activity along the Pilbara coast is centred largely on the inshore waters from Onslow through to Port Hedland, with most commercial and recreational activity occurring in and around Nickol Bay. |
| Pilbara Fish Trawl (Interim) Managed Fishery (PFTIMF) | Variety of demersal scalefish including goldband snapper (<i>Pristipomoides multidentis</i>), red emperor (<i>Lutjanus sebae</i>), bluespotted emperor | 2017/2018: 1,780 tonnes | Demersal trawl | The Pilbara Fish Trawl (Interim) Managed Fishery is situated in the Pilbara region in the north west of Australia. It occupies the waters north of latitude 21°35'S and between |

| Fishery | Target Species | Catch ¹ | Fishing Method | Area Description |
|--|--|----------------------------------|--|--|
| | <p>(<i>Lethrinus punctulatus</i>), crimson snapper (<i>Lutjanus erythropterus</i>), saddletail snapper (<i>Lutjanus malabaricus</i>), Rankin cod (<i>Epinephelus multinotatus</i>), brownstripe snapper (<i>Lutjanus vitta</i>), rosy threadfin bream (<i>Nemipterus furcosus</i>), spangled emperor (<i>Lethrinus nebulosus</i>) and frypan Moses' snapper (<i>Argyrops Lutjanusspinifer russelli</i>).</p> | | | <p>longitudes 114°9'36"E and 120°E. The Fishery is seaward of the 50 m isobath and landward of the 200 m isobath.</p> <p>The Fishery consists of two zones; Zone 1 in the south west of the Fishery (which is closed to trawling) and Zone 2 in the North, which consists of six management areas.</p> |
| <p>Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery (PTMF)</p> | <p>Blue-spot emperor (<i>Lethrinus hutchinsi</i>), Red snapper (<i>Lutjanus erythropterus</i>), Goldband snapper (<i>Pristipomoides multidentis</i>), Scarlet perch (<i>Lutjanus malabaricus</i>), Red emperor (<i>Lutjanus sebae</i>), Spangled emperor (<i>Lethrinus nebulosus</i>), Rankin cod (<i>Epinephelus multinotatus</i>)</p> | <p>2017/2018: 400–600 tonnes</p> | <p>Use of rectangular traps with single opening and 50 mm x 70 mm rectangular mesh panels. Trap fishing normally targets areas around rocky outcrops and reefs</p> | <p>Permitted to operate within waters bounded by a line commencing at the intersection of 21°56' S latitude and the high water mark on the western side of the North West Cape.</p> |
| <p>Pilbara Line Managed Fishery</p> | <p>Variety of demersal scalefish including goldband snapper (<i>Pristipomoides multidentis</i>), red emperor (<i>Lutjanus sebae</i>), bluespotted emperor (<i>Lethrinus punctulatus</i>), crimson snapper (<i>Lutjanus erythropterus</i>), saddletail snapper (<i>Lutjanus malabaricus</i>), Rankin cod (<i>Epinephelus multinotatus</i>), brownstripe snapper (<i>Lutjanus vitta</i>), rosy threadfin bream (<i>Nemipterus furcosus</i>), spangled emperor (<i>Lethrinus nebulosus</i>) and frypan snapper (<i>Argyrops spinifer</i>), Ruby</p> | <p>2017/2018: 50–115 tonnes</p> | <p>Line</p> | <p>The Pilbara Trap Managed Fishery lies north of latitude 21°44' S and between longitudes 114°9'36" E and 120° E on the landward side of a boundary approximating the 200 m isobath and seaward of a line generally following the 30 m isobath.</p> |

| Fishery | Target Species | Catch ¹ | Fishing Method | Area Description |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| | snapper (<i>Etelis carbunculus</i>) and eightbar grouper (<i>Hyporthodus octofasciatus</i>) | | | |
| Roe's Abalone | Western Australian Roe's abalone (<i>Haliotis roei</i>) | 2017/2018: Commercial: 49 tonnes Recreational: 23 tonnes | Dive and wade fishery. The commercial fishery harvest method is a single diver working off a 'hookah' (surface-supplied breathing apparatus) using an abalone 'iron' to prise the shellfish off rocks. Abalone divers operate from small fishery vessels (generally less than 9 metres in length). | Operating in shallow coastal waters along WA's western and southern coasts from Shark Bay to the SA border. Divided into 8 management areas. Commercial fishing for Roe's abalone is managed in 6 separate regions from the South Australian border to Busselton Jetty – Areas 1, 2, 5, 6, 7 and 8. Area 8 of the fishery was not fished in 2013. |
| Shark Bay Crab Interim Managed Fishery | Blue swimmer crab (<i>Portunus armatus</i>) | 2017/2018: 443 tonnes total Crab: 153 tonnes | Trawl and trap | Waters of Shark Bay north of Cape Inscription, to Bernier and Dorre Islands and Quobba Point. In addition, two fishers with long-standing histories of trapping crabs in Shark Bay are permitted to fish in the waters of Shark Bay south of Cape Inscription. |
| Shark Bay Prawn Managed Fishery | Western king prawn (<i>Penaeus latisulcatus</i>), brown tiger prawn (<i>Penaeus esculentus</i>), Variety of smaller prawn species including endeavour prawns (<i>Metapenaeus</i> spp.) and coral prawns (various species). | 2017/2018: 1,608 tonnes | Low opening otter trawls | The boundaries of the Shark Bay Prawn Managed Fishery are located in and near the waters of Shark Bay |
| Shark Bay Scallop Managed Fishery | Saucer Scallop (<i>Ylistrum balloti</i>) | 2017/2018: 1,632 tonnes | Low opening otter trawls | The boundaries of the Shark Bay Scallop Managed Fishery are located in and near the waters of Shark Bay |

| Fishery | Target Species | Catch ¹ | Fishing Method | Area Description |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| South Coast Open Access Netting Fishery | Insufficient information | Insufficient information | Insufficient information | Bunbury to the South Australian Border |
| Specimen Shell Managed Fishery (SSF) | Shells (cowries, cones) The Specimen Shell Managed Fishery (SSF) is based on the collection of individual shells for the purposes of display, collection, cataloguing, classification and sale. Just under 200 (196) different Specimen Shell species were collected in 2012, using a variety of methods. | 2017/2018: 7,806 shells | Hand harvest while diving or wading along coastal beaches below the high water mark An exemption method being employed by the fishery is using a remote controlled underwater vehicle at depths between 60 and 300 m. | Dive based fishery operating all year throughout WA waters, but restricted by diving depths. The fishing area includes all Western Australian waters between the high water mark and the 200 m isobath. While the fishery covers the entire WA coastline, there is some concentration of effort in areas adjacent to population centres such as Broome, Karratha, Exmouth, Shark Bay, metropolitan Perth, Mandurah, the Capes area and Albany. |
| South Coast Salmon Managed Fishery | WA salmon (<i>Arripis truttaceus</i>) | 2017: 50 tonnes | Beach seine net, rod and line | Licensees operate from 18 designated beaches within the South Coast Bioregion, many of which have huts that are referred to as salmon camps. |
| South West Coast Salmon Managed Fishery | WA salmon (<i>Arripis truttaceus</i>) | Insufficient information | Insufficient information | Insufficient information |
| South West Coast Beach Net | Insufficient information | Insufficient information | Insufficient information | Insufficient information |
| South West Trawl Managed Fishery (SWTMF) | Saucer scallops (<i>Ylistrum balloti</i>) | 2017/2018: 460 t meat weight (2,301 t whole weight) | Otter trawls | Waters between 31°34'27"S and 115°8'8"E where it intersects with the high water mark at Cape Leeuwin and on the landward side of the 200 m isobath. |
| Temperate Demersal Gillnet and Demersal | Gummy shark (<i>Mustelus antarcticus</i>), dusky shark (<i>Carcharhinus obscurus</i>), whiskery shark (<i>Furgaleus macki</i>) and | 2017/2018: 2016-17Sharks and rays: 936 tonnes Scalefish: 133 tonnes | Demersal gillnets and power-hauled reels (to target sharks) Demersal longline | The Temperate Demersal Gillnet and Demersal Longline fisheries consists of Zone 1 of the Joint Authority Southern Demersal Gillnet and Demersal Longline Managed Fishery and the West Coast Demersal Gillnet |

| Fishery | Target Species | Catch ¹ | Fishing Method | Area Description |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Longline Fisheries (TDGDLF) | sandbar shark (<i>Carcharhinus plumbeus</i>). | | | <p>and Demersal Longline (Interim) Managed Fishery.</p> <p>The Joint Authority Southern Demersal Gillnet and Demersal Longline Managed Fishery (JASDGLDF) spans the waters from 33° S latitude to the WA/SA border and comprises three management zones Zone 1 extends southwards from 33° S to 116° 30' E longitude off the south coast. Zone 2 extends from 116°30' E to the WA/SA border (129° E). A small number of Zone 3 units permit fishing throughout Zone 1 and eastwards to 116° 55'40" E.</p> <p>The West Coast Demersal Gillnet and Demersal Longline (Interim) Managed Fishery (WCDGLDF) technically extends northwards from 33° S latitude to 26° S longitude. However, the use of shark fishing gear has been prohibited north of 26° 30' S (Steep Point) since 1993. Demersal gillnet and longline fishing inside the 250 metre depth contour has been prohibited off the Metropolitan coast (between latitudes 31° S and 33° S) since November 2007.</p> |
| Warnbro Sound Crab Managed Fishery | Blue Swimmer (<i>Portunus armatus</i>) Blue swimmer crab (<i>Portunus armatus</i>) | 2017/2018: closed to commercial and recreational fishing | Drop nets, scoop nets, diving | Includes Warnbro sound and adjacent water, extending from Becher Point to John Point. |
| West Coast Deep Sea Crustacean (Interim) Managed Fishery | Crystal (Snow) crabs (<i>Chaceon albus</i>), Giant (King) crabs (<i>Pseudocarcinus gigas</i>) and Champagne (Spiny) crabs (<i>Hypothalassia acerba</i>). | 2017/2018: 164.4 tonnes | Baited pots operated in a longline formation in the shelf edge waters (>150 m) | North of latitude 34° 24' S (Cape Leeuwin) and west of the Northern Territory border on the seaward side of the 150 m isobath out to the extent of the AFZ, mostly in 500 to 800 m of water. |
| West Coast Demersal Scaleshell | West Coast Inshore Demersals: | 2017/2018: 248 tonnes | Handline and drop line | The WCDSIMF encompasses the waters of the Indian Ocean just south of Shark Bay (at |

| Fishery | Target Species | Catch ¹ | Fishing Method | Area Description |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| (Interim) Managed Fishery | West Australian Dhufish (<i>Glaucosoma hebraicum</i>), Pink snapper (<i>Pagrus auratus</i>) with other species captured including Redthroat Emperor (<i>Lethrinus miniatus</i>), Bight Redfish (<i>Centroberyx gerrardi</i>) and Baldchin Groper (<i>Choerodon rubescens</i>). West Coast Offshore Demersals: Eightbar Grouper <i>Hyporthodus octofasciatus</i> , Hapuku <i>Polyprion oxygeneios</i> , Blue-eye Trevalla <i>Hyperoglyphe antarctica</i> and Ruby Snapper <i>Etelis carbunculus</i> . | | | 26°30'S) to just east of Augusta (at 115°30'E) and extends seaward to the 200 nm boundary of the Australian Fishing Zone (AFZ). The commercial fishery is divided into five management areas comprising four inshore areas and one offshore area. The inshore areas, i.e. Kalbarri, Mid-West, Metropolitan and South-West, extend outwards to the 250 m depth contour, while the Offshore Area extends the entire length of the fishery from the 250 m depth contour to the boundary of the AFZ. |
| West Coast Estuarine Managed Fishery | Blue swimmer crab (<i>Portunus armatus</i>) | 2017/2018: 353 tonnes (blue swimmer crab) commercial and 58-77 tonnes recreational | Drop nets, scoop nets, diving (crabs) | Includes the waters of the Swan and Canning Rivers (Area 1), the waters of the Peel Inlet and Harvey Estuary, together with the Murray Serpentine, Harvey and Dandalup Rivers (Area 2) and waters of the Hardy Inlet (Area 3). Of these areas only Areas 1-2 are permitted for crab fishing. |
| West Coast Nearshore and Estuarine Finfish Fisheries | <u>Nearshore:</u> whitebait (<i>Hyperlophus vittatus</i>), western Australian salmon (<i>Arripis truttaceus</i>), Australian herring (<i>Arripis georgianus</i>), southern school whiting (<i>Sillago bassensis</i>), yellowfin whiting (<i>Sillago schomburgkii</i>), yelloweye mullet (<i>Aldrichetta forsteri</i>), tailor (<i>Pomatomus saltarix</i>), southern garfish (<i>Hyporhamphus melanochir</i>), silver trevally (<i>Pseudocaranx georgianus</i>) and King George whiting (<i>Sillaginodes punctate</i>). <u>Estuarine:</u> sea mullet (<i>Mugil cephalus</i>), estuary cobbler | 2017/2018: 353 tonnes | Haul, beach seine and gill netting (commercial). Line fishing (recreational) | Five commercial fisheries target nearshore and/or estuarine finfish in the West Coast Bioregion. <u>Nearshore:</u> Cockburn Sound Fish Net Managed Fishery operating within in Cockburn sound, South West Coast Salmon Managed Fishery operating on various beaches south of the Perth Metropolitan area, West Coast Beach Bait Managed Fishery operating on beaches spanning from Moore River to Tim's Thicket and the South West Beach Seine Fishery operating on |

| Fishery | Target Species | Catch ¹ | Fishing Method | Area Description |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| | (<i>Cnidoglanis macrocephalus</i>) and black bream (<i>Acanthopagrus butcheri</i>). | | | various beaches from Tim's Thicket southwards to Port Geographe Bay Marina. <u>Estuarine</u> : West Coast Estuarine Managed Fishery operating in the Swan/Canning and Peel Harvey estuaries, and in the Hardy Inlet |
| West Coast Nearshore Net Managed Fishery | Southern garfish (<i>Hyporhamphus melanochir</i>), Australian herring (<i>Arripis georgianus</i>), | Insufficient information | Insufficient information | Insufficient information |
| West Coast Purse Seine Fishery | Scaly mackerel (<i>Sardinella lemuru</i>), pilchard (<i>S. sagax</i>), Australian anchovy (<i>Engraulis australis</i>), yellowtail scad (<i>Trachurus novaezelandiae</i>) and maray (<i>Etrumeus teres</i>). | 2017/2018: 1,095 tonnes | Purse seine gear | Waters between Ningaloo and Cape Leeuwin including three separate zones: Northern Development (22°00'S to 31°00'S), Perth Metropolitan (31°00'S to 33°00'S) and Southern Development Zone (33°00'S to Cape Leeuwin). |
| West Coast Rock Lobster Managed Fishery (WCRLMF) | Western rock lobster (<i>Panulirus cygnus</i>) | 2016: 272 – 400 tonnes (346-481 tonnes based on updated average weight) | Baited traps (pots). Pots and diving (recreational catch) | The fishery is situated along the west coast of Australia between Latitudes 21°44' to 34°24' S. The fishery is managed in three zones: Zone A – Abrolhos Islands, north of latitude 30° S excluding the Abrolhos Islands (Zone B) and south of latitude 30° S (Zone C). |
| West Coast Demersal Gillnet and Demersal Longline (WCDGDLF)* | Gummy shark (<i>Mustelus antarcticus</i>), dusky shark (<i>Carcharhinus obscurus</i>), whiskery shark (<i>Furgaleus macki</i>) and sandbar shark (<i>C. plumbeus</i>) | 2016/2018: 936 tonnes of sharks and rays | Demersal gillnets and demersal longline (not widely used) | Operates between 26° and 33° S. |
| Mackerel Fishery | Spanish mackerel (<i>Scomberomorus commerson</i>), grey mackerel (<i>S.semifasciatus</i>), with other species from the genera <i>Scomberomorus</i> , <i>Grammatorcynus</i> and <i>Acanthocybium</i> also contributing to commercial catches. | 2016: Commercial: The commercial catch of spanish mackerel was 276 tonnes in 2016 (Gaughan & Santoro, 2018) | Trolling or handline Near-surface trolling gear from vessels in coastal areas around reefs, shoals and headlands. Jig fishing is also used to capture grey mackerel (<i>S.semifasciatus</i>) | The Fishery extends from the West Coast Bioregion to the WA/NT border, to the 200 nautical mile AFZ with most effort and catches recorded north of Geraldton, especially from the Kimberley and Pilbara coasts of the Northern Bioregion. Restricted to coastal and shallower waters. |

| Fishery | Target Species | Catch ¹ | Fishing Method | Area Description |
|--|--|----------------------|--|---|
| | | | | <p>Catches are reported separately for three Areas:</p> <p>Area 1 - Kimberley (121° E to WA/NT border);</p> <p>Area 2 -Pilbara (114° E to 121° E);</p> <p>Area 3 - Gascoyne (27° S to 114° E) and West Coast (Cape Leeuwin to 27° S).</p> |
| Western Australian Pearl Oyster Managed Fishery | Indo- Pacific silver-lipped pearl oyster (<i>Pinctada maxima</i>). | 2018: 468,573 shells | Drift diving restricted to shallow diveable depths. The collection of pearl oysters for the Pearl Oyster Managed Fishery is restricted to shallow diving depths below 35 m. Divers are attached to large outrigger booms on a vessel and towed slowly over the pearl oyster beds, harvesting legalised oysters by hand as they are seen. | <p>The fishery is separated into four zones:</p> <p>Pearl Oyster Zone 1: NW Cape (including Exmouth Gulf) to longitude 119°30'E. There are five licensees in this zone. No fishing in this zone since 2008</p> <p>Pearl Oyster Zone 2: East of Cape Thouin (118°20' E) and south of latitude 18°14' S. The 9 licensees in this zone also have full access to Zone 3. This zone is the mainstay of the fishery.</p> <p>Pearl Oyster Zone 3: West of longitude 125°20' E and north of latitude 18°14' S. The 2 licensees in this zone also have partial access to Zone 2.</p> <p>Pearl Oyster Zone 4: East of longitude 125°20' E to the Western Australia/Northern Territory border. Although all licensees have access to this zone, exploratory fishing has shown that stocks in this area are not economically viable. However, pearl farming does occur.</p> |
| Western Australian Sea Cucumber Fishery (formerly known as Beche-de-mer) | Sandfish (<i>Holothuria scabra</i>) and deepwater redfish (<i>Actinopyga echinites</i>). | 2016: 93 tonnes | Hand-harvest fishery, with animals caught principally by diving, and a smaller amount by wading. | The Western Australian Sea Cucumber Fishery is permitted to operate throughout WA waters with the exception of a number of specific closures around the Dampier Archipelago, Cape Keraudren, Cape Preston |

| Fishery | Target Species | Catch ¹ | Fishing Method | Area Description |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | <p>and Cape Lambert, the Rowley Shoals and the Abrolhos Islands.</p> <p>The fishery is primarily based in the northern half of the State, from Exmouth Gulf to the Northern Territory border.</p> |
| Commonwealth Managed Fisheries | | | | |
| North West Slope Trawl | <p>Scampi (crayfish): velvet scampi (<i>Metanephrops velutinus</i>) and boschmai scampi (<i>Metanephrops boschmai</i>).</p> <p>Deepwater prawns (penaeid and carid): pink prawn (<i>Parapenaeus longirostris</i>), red prawn (<i>Aristaeomorpha foliacea</i>), striped prawn (<i>Aristeus virilis</i>), giant scarlet prawn (<i>Aristaeopsis edwardsiana</i>), red carid prawn (<i>Heterocarpus woodmasoni</i>) and white carid prawn (<i>Heterocarpus sibogae</i>).</p> <p>Snapper.</p> | 2017-18: 79.7 total tonnes. | Demersal crustacean trawl seaward of the 200 m isobath. | Extends from 114° E to approximately 125° E off the WA coast between the 200 m isobath and the outer limit of the Australian Fishing Zone (AFZ). |
| Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery | Skipjack tuna (<i>Katsuwonus pelamis</i>) | 2017-18: None in either zones | Purse seine | <p>The Skipjack Tuna Fishery is split into two sectors; east and west. The Western Skipjack Tuna Fishery is located in all Australia waters west of 142° 30' 00"E, out to 200 nm from the coast.</p> <p>There has been no fishing effort in the Skipjack Tuna Fishery since the 2008-09 season, and in that season activity concentrated off South Australia (Department of Agriculture 2019).</p> |
| Small Pelagic Fishery | Australian sardine (<i>Sardinops sagax</i>), blue mackerel (<i>Scomber australasicus</i>), jack mackerel | 2018-19: 9,424 tonnes | Purse-seine and midwater trawling | Extends from Queensland to southern Western Australia. |

| Fishery | Target Species | Catch ¹ | Fishing Method | Area Description |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|--|
| | <i>(Trachurus declivis)</i> and redbait <i>(Emmelichthys nitidus)</i> . | | | |
| Southern Bluefin Tuna Fishery | Southern bluefin tuna (<i>Thunnus maccoyii</i>). | 2017-18: 6,159 tonnes | Purse seine vessels primarily in Great Australian Bight all year round and longline off southern NSW in winter. Around 98% of Australia's SBT quota is taken by 5–10 purse seine vessels fishing for 13–25 kg southern bluefin tuna. | Fishery includes all waters of Australia, out to 200 nm from the coast. No current effort on the North West Shelf, fishing activity is concentrated in the Great Australian Bight and off South-east Australia (Department of Agriculture 2019). |
| Western Deepwater Trawl Fishery | A diverse range of species are caught, ranging from tropical and ruby snappers on the shelf edge to orange roughy (<i>Hoplostethus atlanticus</i>), oreo dories and bugs (<i>Ibacus</i> spp.) in the deeper temperate waters. | 2017-18: 101.9 tonnes | Demersal fish trawl seaward of the 200 m isobath. | Its northernmost point is from the boundary of the AFZ to longitude 114° E, and its southernmost point is from the boundary of the AFZ to longitude 115°08' E. Deep water off WA, from the 200 m isobath to the edge of the AFZ. |
| Western Tuna and Billfish Fishery | Broadbill swordfish (<i>Xiphias gladius</i>), albacore tuna (<i>Thunnus alalunga</i>), striped marlin (<i>Kajikia audax</i>), bigeye tuna (<i>T. obesus</i>) and yellowfin tuna (<i>T. albacares</i>). | 2018: 278 tonnes | Pelagic, longline, minor line and purse seine. | Extends westward from Cape York Peninsula (142°30' E) off Queensland to 34° S off the WA west coast. It also extends eastward from 34° S off the west coast of WA across the Great Australian Bight to 141° E at the South Australian–Victorian border. In recent years, fishing effort has concentrated off south-west Western Australia and South Australia with no current effort on the North West Shelf (Department of Agriculture 2019). |

Source: Apache (2008); Australian Fisheries Management Authority (2011); Department of Fisheries (2013), Stakeholder consultation.

¹Sources for catch data: Department of Agriculture 2019; Gaughan *et al*, 2019; DPIRD 2018.

15. Document review

This document is to be reviewed annually at a minimum. The review and revision will consider any changes to the spatial scope of the document, i.e. the Environment that May be Affected (EMBA), as well as any changes to EPBC Act Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES) from one review year to the next, regardless of any changes to the spatial extent of the EMBA. A review of changes to MNES shall consider at a minimum any changes to EPBC Act species lists, species management/recovery plans and MNES spatial layers. Changes are to be recorded within the MNES review register (**Appendix B**).

16. References

16.1 Physical Environment

Asian Development Bank (ADB) 2014. State of the Coral Triangle: Indonesia. Mandaluyong City, Philippines 2014.

BHPB 2005. Pyrenees Development. Draft EIS. BHP Billiton Petroleum. Perth

Blaber SJM and Young JW and Dunning, MC 1985. Community structure and zoogeographic affinities of the coastal fishes of the Dampier region of north-western Australia. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 36(2): 247–266

BoM (Bureau of Meteorology) 2013. Climatology of Tropical Cyclones in Western Australia. Bureau of Meteorology, Canberra, ACT. Available at <http://www.bom.gov.au/cyclone/climatology/wa.shtml> [Accessed 31 July 2013]

Condie, S, Andrewartha, J, Mansbridge, J and Waring, J 2006. Modelling circulation and connectivity on Australia's North West Shelf. North West Shelf Joint Environmental Management Study: Technical Report No. 6. CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research, Hobart, Tasmania

DEC 2013. Ngari Capes Marine Park management plan 2013 Shelf, Western Australian Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth

DEWHA 2008a. The North-west Marine Bioregional Plan: Bioregional profile: A Description of the Ecosystems, Conservation Values and Uses of the North-West Marine Region. Department of the Environment Water, Heritage and the Arts, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory

DEWHA 2008b. The South-west Marine Bioregional Plan: Bioregional profile: A Description of the Ecosystems, Conservation Values and Uses of the South-West Marine Region. Department of the Environment Water, Heritage and the Arts, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory

Heyward, A, Revill, A and Sherwood, C 2006. Review of research and data relevant to marine environmental management of Australia's North West Shelf North West Shelf Joint Environmental Management Study: Technical Report No. 1. CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research, Hobart, Tasmania

Holloway, PE 1983. Tides on the Australian north west shelf. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research*, 34(1): 213–230

Holloway, PE and Nye, HC 1985 Leeuwin current and wind distributions on the southern part of the Australian North West Shelf between January 1982 and July 1983. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 36(2): 123–137

McKinnon, AD, Meekan, MG, Carleton, JH, Furnas, MJ, Duggan, S and Skiring, W 2003 Rapid changes in shelf water and pelagic communities on the southern Northwest Shelf, Australia, following a tropical cyclone. *Continental Shelf Research* 23: 93–111

McLoughlin, RJ and Young, PC. 1985. Sedimentary provinces of the fishing grounds of the North-West Shelf of Australia: Grain-Size frequency analysis of surficial sediments. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 36: 671–81

NSR 1995. Wandoo full field development. Public Environmental Report for Ampoex Ltd, NSR Environmental Consultants Pty Ltd. November 1995

Pearce, A and Pattiaratchi, C. 1999. The Capes Current: a summer countercurrent flowing past Cape Leeuwin and Cape Naturaliste, Western Australia. *Continental Shelf Research* 19: 401-420

SSE 1991. Normal and extreme environmental design criteria. Campbell and Sinbad locations, and Varanus Island to Mainland Pipeline. Volume 1. Prepared for Hadson Energy Limited by Steedman Science and Engineering. Report E486. March 1991

SSE 1993. Review of oceanography of North West Shelf and Timor Sea regions pertaining to the environmental impact of the offshore oil and gas industry. Vol I prepared for Woodside Offshore Petroleum and the APPEA Review Project of Environmental Consequences of Development Related to the Petroleum Production in the Marine Environment: Review of Scientific Research, Report E1379, October 1993

WNI 1995. Preliminary report on ambient and non-cyclonic design criteria for the Stag location. WNI Science & Engineering. December 1995

WNI 1996. Metocean Conditions on the North West Shelf of Australia, Cape Lambert to the North West Cape Relating to Jack-up Drilling Operation. (DR-50-ED-001). July 1996

Woodside 2005. The Vincent Development. Draft EIS. EPBC Referral 2005/2110. Woodside Energy, Perth

16.2 Benthic and Pelagic Habitats

AIMS 2014. Benthic habitat characterisation of Montgomery Reef, Kimberley region, Western Australia. Available at <http://data.aims.gov.au/metadataviewer/uuid/b4175af1-e213-4ac7-a7e8-baa121f709b2> [Accessed April 2014]

Amalfi C 2006. Flowers of the Ocean: WA's Expansive Seagrass Meadows; Western Fisheries Nov 2006, pg. 6-9

Australian Ocean Data Network 2017, Australian Phytoplankton Database, Integrated Marine Observing System. Available from: <https://portal.aodn.org.au/> [Accessed: 20/11/2017]

Bancroft KP & JA Davidson 2000. Bibliography of marine scientific research relevant to the conservation of Ningaloo Marine Park and adjacent waters. Marine Conservation Branch, Department of Conservation and Land Management, Perth, Western Australia

BHPBIO 2011. Proposed Outer Harbour Development, Port Hedland Public Environmental Review/Draft Environmental Impact Statement. BHP Billiton Iron Ore, Perth, Western Australia

Blakeway D & Radford BTM 2004. Scleractinian corals of the Dampier Port and inner Mermaid Sound: species list, community composition and distributional data. Corals of the Dampier Harbour: Their survival and reproduction during the dredging programs of 2004, 1–8

Brooke BP 1997. Geomorphology of the islands and reefs of the central western Kimberley coast In: Marine Biological Survey of the Central Kimberley Coast, Western Australia, Ed DI Walker, University of Western Australia, Western Australia

Brewer DT, Lyne V, Skewes TD and Rothlisberg P 2007. Trophic Systems of the North West Marine Region Prepared for the Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts by CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research, Cleveland, Queensland

Brown K & Skewes T 2005. A preliminary assessment of the ecology of seagrasses at Ashmore Reef. In: Understanding the Cultural and Natural Heritage Values and Management Challenges of the Ashmore Region, Proceedings of a Symposium organised by the Australian Marine Sciences Association and the Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory, Darwin, 4-6 April 2001. Edited by B Russell, H Larson, CJ Glasby, RC Willan, and J Martin. Museum and Art Galleries of the Northern Territory & Australian Marine Sciences Association, Darwin, Northern Territory. pp. 143–152

CALM, NPNCA 1996. Shark Bay Marine Reserves Management Plan 1996–2006. Management Plan No. 34. Department of Conservation and Land Management and National Parks and Nature Conservation Authority, Perth, Western Australia

CALM, MPRA 2005a. Management Plan for the Ningaloo Marine Park and Muiron Islands Marine Management Area 2005–2015. Management Plan No. 52. Department of Conservation and Land Management and Marine Parks and Reserves Authority, Perth, Western Australia

CALM, MPRA 2005b. Indicative Management Plan for the Proposed Dampier Archipelago Marine Park and Cape Preston Marine Management Area. Department of Conservation and Land Management and Marine Parks and Reserves Authority, Perth, Western Australia

Ceccarelli DM, Richards ZT, Pratchett MS, and Cvitanovic C (2011) Rapid increase in coral cover on an isolated coral reef, the Ashmore Reef National Nature Reserve, north-western Australia. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 62(10): 1214

Chevron 2010. Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Review and Management Programme for the Proposed Wheatstone Project Volume 1 (Chapters 1 to 6), 6.0 Overview of Existing Environment. Chevron Australia Pty Ltd, Perth, Western Australia

ConocoPhillips 2018. Barossa Area Development Offshore Project Proposal. ConocoPhillips, Perth, Western Australia

DEC 2008. Preliminary reconnaissance survey of benthic habitats in the Anjo Peninsula area, Kimberley Bioregion, Western Australia. Prepared for Northern Development Taskforce, Department of Industry and Resources by Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth, Western Australia, October 2008

DEC 2013. Ngari Capes Marine Park management plan 2013. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth

DEWHA 2008a. The North-west Marine Bioregional Plan Bioregional profile: A Description of the Ecosystems, Conservation Values and Uses of the North-west Marine Region. Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory

DeVantier, L., Turak, E., Allen, G. 2008. Lesser Sunda Ecoregional Planning Coral Reef Stratification: Reef- and Seascapes of the Lesser Sunda Ecoregion. Report to the Nature Conservancy. Bali, Indonesia. 72 pp.

Director of National Parks 2012. Christmas Island National Park – Draft management Plan 2012-2022 Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory

DoF 2007. Plan of Management for the Kalbarri Blue Holes Fish Habitat Protection Area. Department of Fisheries, Fisheries Management Paper No. 188, Perth, Western Australia

DoF 2012. Exploring the Houtman Abrolhos Islands. Published by Department of Fisheries, Perth, Western Australia. Publication No. 105, June 2012.

Done TJ Williams D Mc B, Speare P, Turak E, Davidson J, DeVantier LM, Newman SJ & Hutchins JB 1994. Surveys of Coral and Fish Communities at Scott Reef and Rowley Shoals. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville, Queensland

DPAW 2009. Shark Bay World Heritage Area. Department of Parks and Wildlife, Perth, Western Australia. Available at <http://www.sharkbay.org/Stromatolitesfactsheet.aspx> [Accessed April 2014]

DPAW 2013. Lalang-garram/ Camden Sound Marine Park Management Plan 73 2013–2023. Department of Parks and Wildlife, Perth, Western Australia

EA 2000. Mermaid Reef Marine National Nature Reserve Plan of Management 2000-2007. Environment Australia, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory

Evans K, Bax NJ & Smith DC 2016, Marine environment: State and trends of indicators of marine ecosystem health: Physical, biogeochemical and biological processes. In: Australia State of the Environment 2016, Australian Government Department of the Environment and Energy, Canberra.

Fry G, Heyward A, Wassenberg T, Taranto T, Stiegliz T and Colquhoun J 2008. Benthic habitat surveys of potential LNG hub locations in the Kimberley region. A CSIRO and AIMS Joint Preliminary Report for the Western Australian Marine Science Institution, Perth, Western Australia, 18 July 2008

Gage JD, Tyler PK 1992. Deep-sea Biology: A Natural History of Organisms at the Deep Sea Floor. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK

Gilmour, J, Smith, L, Cook, K and Pincock, S 2013. Discovering Scott Reef: 20 years of exploration and research. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Perth, Western Australia.

Gilmour JP, Cook KL, Ryan NM, Puotinen ML, Green RH, Shedrawi G, Hobbs J-PA, Thomson DP, Babcock RC, Buckee J, Foster T, Richards ZT, Wilson SK, Barnes PB, Coutts TB, Radford BT, Piggott CH, Depczynski

M, Evans SN, Schoepf V, Evans RD, Halford AR, Nutt CD, Bancroft KP, Heyward AJ, Oades D 2019. The state of Western Australia's coral reefs. *Coral Reefs*, vol. 38, pp. 651-667

Griffith JK 1997. The Corals Collected During September/October at Ashmore Reef, Timor Sea. Parks Australia

Griffith JK 2004. Scleractinian corals collected during 1998 from the Dampier Archipelago, Western Australia. *Records of the Western Australian Museum Supplement No. 66*: 101–120

Hale J, Butcher R 2013. Ashmore Reef Commonwealth Marine Reserve Ramsar Site Ecological Character Description. A report to the Department of the Environment, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory

Hanson C.E. & McKinnon A.D 2009, Pelagic ecology of the Ningaloo region, Western Australia: influence of the Leeuwin Current, *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia*, vol. 92, pp. 129-137

Heyward, A, Reville, A and Sherwood, C 2006. Review of research and data relevant to marine environmental management of Australia's North West Shelf North West Shelf Joint Environmental Management Study: Technical Report No. 1. CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research, Hobart, Tasmania

Heyward, A.J., Pincerato, E.J., and Smith, L. (eds). 1997. Big Bank Shoals of the Timor Sea: An Environmental Resource Atlas. BHP Petroleum, Melbourne, Victoria

Heyward, A., Radford, B., Burns, K., Colquhoun, J., Moore, C. 2010. Montara Surveys: Final report on Benthic Surveys at Ashmore, Cartier and Seringapatam Reefs. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Crawley Western Australia

Heyward, A., Jones, R., Travers, M., Burns, K., Suosaari, G., Colquhoun, J., Case, M., Redford, B., Meekan, M., Markey, K., Schenk, T., O'Leary, R.A., Brooks, K., Tinkler, P., Cooper, T., Emslie, M. 2012. Montara: 2011 shallow reef surveys at Ashmore, Cartier and Seringapatam reefs (Monitoring Study No. S6B Coral Reefs). Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville

Heyward, A., Radford, B., Cappel, M., Wakeford, M., Fisher, R., Colquhoun, J., Case, M., Stowar, M. and Miller K. 2017. Barossa Environmental Baseline Study, Regional Shoals and Shelf Assessment 2015 Final Report. A report for ConocoPhillips Australia Exploration Pty Ltd by the Australian Institute of Marine Science, Perth 2017

Hooper J, Ekins M 2004. Collation and Validation of Museum Collection Databases related to the Distribution of Marine Sponges in Northern Australia. (Contract National Oceans Office C2004/020), Unpublished Report to the National Oceans Office, Brisbane: Queensland Museum

Huisman J 2004. Marine benthic flora of the Dampier Archipelago, Western Australia. pages 61–68 In: D.S. Jones (ed.) *Marine Biodiversity of the Dampier Archipelago, Western Australia 1998–2002*, Report of the Western Australian Museum, 2004, 401 pp., Western Australian Museum, Perth

Huisman JM, Leliaert F, Verbruggen H, Townsend RA 2009. Marine Benthic Plants of Western Australia's Shelf Edge Atolls. *Records of the Western Australian Museum Supplement No. 77*: 50–87

Hutumo M and Moosa MK 2005. Indonesian marine and coastal biodiversity: present status. *Indian Journal of Marine Sciences*. 34: 88-97

INPEX 2008. Presentation at the Northern Development Taskforce Site Evaluation Workshop. Broome, WA, 24 July 2008

IRCE 2002. Victoria, Little Sandy and Pedrika wells environmental monitoring programme. Prepared for Apache Energy Ltd by IRC Environment, Perth, Western Australia

IRCE (2003) Environmental monitoring of drilling discharges in shallow water habitats. Prepared for Apache Energy Ltd by IRC Environment, Perth, Western Australia

IRCE (2004) Biannual Coral Monitoring Survey 2004. Prepared for Apache Energy Ltd by IRC Environment, Perth, Western Australia

IRCE (2006) Biannual Macroalgae Monitoring Survey 2005. Prepared for Apache Energy Ltd by IRC Environment, Perth, Western Australia

IRCE 2007. Annual Marine Monitoring 2007: Lowendal and Montebello Islands Macroalgal Survey. Prepared for Apache Energy Ltd by IRC Environment, Perth, Western Australia

Jackson WJ, Argent RM, Bax NJ, Clark GF, Coleman S, Cresswell ID, Emmerson KM, Evans K, Hibberd MF, Johnston EL, Keywood MD, Klekociuk A, Mackay R, Metcalfe D, Murphy H, Rankin A, Smith DC & Wienecke B (2017). Australia state of the environment 2016: overview, independent report to the Australian Government Minister for the Environment and Energy, Australian Government Department of the Environment and Energy, Canberra.

Keesing JK, Irvine TR, Alderslade P, Clapin G, Fromont J, Hosie AM, Huisman JM, Philips JC, Naughton KM, Marsh LM, Slack-Smith SM, Thomson DP, Watson JE (2011). Marine benthic flora and fauna of Gourdon Bay and the Dampier Peninsula in the Kimberley region of north-western Australia. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* 94, no. 2 (2011): 285-301

Kendrick GA, Huisman JM and Walker DI (1990). Benthic Macroalgae of Shark Bay, Western Australia. *Botanica Marina* 33: 47–54

Lanyon JM & Marsh H 1995. Temporal changes in the abundance of some tropical intertidal seagrasses in North Queensland. *Aquatic Botany* 49:217–237

Last P, Lyne V, Yearsley G, Gledhill D, Gomon M, Rees T & White W, (2005) Validation of National Demersal Fish Datasets for the Regionalisation of the Australian Continental Slope and Outer Shelf (>40 m depth), Department of Environment and Heritage and CSIRO Marine

LEC, Astron 1993. Griffin Gas Pipeline Development Consultative Environmental Review. Prepared for BHP Petroleum and Doral Resources by LeProvost Environmental Consultants and Astron Engineering, Perth, Western Australia

Marsh LM 1990. Hermatypic corals of Shark Bay, Western Australia. In: *Research in Shark Bay – Report of the France-Australe Bicentenary Expedition Committee*, eds PF Berry, SD Bradshaw, BR Wilson, Western Australian Museum, Perth, pp 115–128

Masini R, Sim C, Simpson C 2009. Protecting the Kimberley: a synthesis of scientific knowledge to support conservation management in the Kimberley region of Western Australia, Part A. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth, Western Australia

McCook L J, Klumpp DW, McKinnon AD 1995. Seagrass communities in Exmouth Gulf, Western Australia. A preliminary survey. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* 78: 81–87

NASA 2017, Global Patterns and Cycles, Earth Observatory. Available from: <https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/Features/Phytoplankton/page4.php> [Accessed 24/11/2017].

Orr M, Zimmer M, Jelinski DE, & Mews M 2005. Wrack deposition on different beach types: spatial and temporal variation in the pattern of subsidy. *Ecology* 86(6), 2005, pp. 1496–1507

Pattiaratchi C. 2007, Understanding areas of high productivity within the South-West Marine Region, Prepared for the Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts.

Pike G & Leach GJ 1997. Handbook of Vascular Plants of Ashmore and Cartier Islands. Parks and Wildlife Commission of the Northern Territory and Parks Australia, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory

Pratchett MS, Munday P, Wilson SK, Graham NA, Cinner JE, Bellwood DR, Jones GP, Polunin & McClanahan TR 2008. Effects of climate-induced coral bleaching on coral-reef fishes. *Ecological and economic consequences. Oceanography and Marine Biology: Annual Review* 46: 251-296

Prince RIT 1986. Dugong in northern waters of Western Australia 1984. Technical Report No7, Department of Conservation and Land Management, WA

Radform, B. and Puotinen, M. 2016. Spatial Benthic Model for the Oceanic Shoals Commonwealth Marine Reserve. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Perth, Western Australia. Available at: <https://northwestatlas.org/node/1710> [accessed 10/12/2019]

Rees M, Heyward A, Cappo M, Speare P, Smith L 2004. Ningaloo Marine Park – Initial Survey of Seabed Biodiversity in Intermediate and Deeper Waters. Prepared for Australian Government Department of the Environment and Heritage by Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville, Queensland

Richards ZT, Bryce M, Bryce C (2013) New records of atypical coral reef habitat in the Kimberley, Australia. *Journal of Marine Biology* 2013, 363894

RPS Environmental 2008. INPEX environmental impact assessment studies – Technical appendix: Marine Ecology. Prepared for INPEX Browse LTD by RPS Environmental, Perth, Western Australia

RPS BBG 2005. Gorgon Development of Barrow Island Technical Report Marine Benthic Habitats. Report No. R03207. Prepared for ChevronTexaco Australia Pty Ltd by RPS Bowman Bishaw Gorham, Perth, Western Australia, April 2005

Russell BC, Hanley JR 1993. History and Development. In: Survey of the Marine Biological and Heritage Resources of Cartier and Hibernia Reefs, Timor Sea. Northern Territory Museum of Arts and Sciences, Darwin

Seagrass-Watch 2019. Kimberley Region. Available at <http://www.seagrasswatch.org/WA.html> [Accessed December 2019]

Skewes, T., Dennis, D., Jacobs, D., Gordon, S., Taranto, T., Haywood, M., Pitcher, C., Smith, G., Milton, D., Poiner, I., 1999a. Survey and Stock Size Estimates of the Shallow Reef (0-15 M Deep) and Shoal Area (15-50 M Deep) Marine Resources and Habitat Mapping Within the Timor Sea MOU74 Box. Volume 1: Stock Estimates and Stock Status. CSIRO Marine Research, Hobart

Skewes, T., Gordon, S., McLeod, I., Taranto, T., Dennis, D., Jacobs, D., Pitcher, C., Haywood, M., Smith, G., Poiner, I., Milton, D., Griffin, D., Hunter, C., 1999b. Survey and Stock Size Estimates of the Shallow Reef (0-15 m Deep) and Shoal Area (15-50 m Deep) Marine Resources and Habitat Mapping within the Timor Sea MOU74 Box. Volume 2: Habitat Mapping and Coral Dieback. CSIRO Marine Research, Hobart.

Smith, L., Humphrey, C., Hortle, R., Heyward, A., Wilson, D., 1997. Biological Environment, in: Heyward, A., Pinceratto, E., Smith, L. (Eds.), Big Bank Shoals of the Timor Sea: An Environmental Resources Atlas. BHP Petroleum & Australian Institute of Marine Science, Melbourne, pp. 15–94

SKM 2009b. Browse Kimberley LNG DFS#10 – Intertidal Survey. Prepared for Woodside Energy Limited by Sinclair Knight Merz Pty Ltd, Perth, Western Australia

The Ecology Lab 1997. Macroalgal Habitats of the Lowendal/Montebello Island Region. Prepared for Apache Energy Ltd by The Ecology Lab, September 1997

URS 2006. Report on Environmental Surveys Undertaken at Scott Reef in February 2006. Prepared for Woodside Energy Limited by URS Australia Pty Ltd, Perth, Western Australia

URS 2009. Report Annual Marine Monitoring – Macroalgae. Prepared for Apache Energy Ltd by URS Australia Pty Ltd, Perth, Western Australia, August 2009

URS 2010a. Ichthys Gas Field Development Project Studies of the Offshore Marine Environment. Prepared for INPEX Browse Ltd, Perth Western Australia, INPEX Document No. C036-AH-REP-0023

URS 2010b. Benthic Primary Producer (Seagrass and Macroalgae) Habitats of the Wheatstone Project Area. Report R1442. Prepared for Chevron Australia Pty Ltd by URS Australia Pty Ltd, Perth, Western Australia

van Keulen M, Langdon MW 2011. Ningaloo Collaboration Cluster: Biodiversity and ecology of the Ningaloo Reef lagoon. Ningaloo Collaboration Cluster Final Report No. 1c

Vergès A., Vanderklift M. Doropoulos C. and Hyndes G. 2011. Spatial Patterns in Herbivory on a Coral Reef Are Influenced by Structural Complexity but not by Algal Traits. *PloS one*. 6. e17115. 10.1371/journal.pone.0017115.

Veron JEN 1986. Reef building corals. In: Berry, P.F. (ed.). Faunal surveys of the Rowley Shoals, Scott Reef and Seringapatam Reef, north-western Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum, Supplement No. 25:25–35

- Veron JEN 1993. Hermatypic corals of Ashmore Reef and Cartier Island. In: Marine Faunal Surveys of Ashmore Reef and Cartier Island, North-western Australia, ed. P.F. Berry. Western Australian Museum, Perth
- Veron JEN, Marsh LM 1988. Hermatypic corals of Western Australia; Records and Annotated Species List. Records of the Western Australian Museum, Supplement No. 29. Western Australian Museum, Perth, Western Australia
- Walker DI 1989. Seagrass in Shark Bay – the foundations of an ecosystem. In: Seagrasses: A Treatise on the Biology of Seagrass with Special Reference to the Australian Region, eds A W D Larkum, A J McComb, S A Shepherd, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp.182-210
- Walker DI 1995. Seagrasses and macroalgae. In FE Wells, R Hanley and DI Walker (Eds) Marine Biological Survey of the Southern Kimberley, Western Australia. Western Australian Museum, Perth, Western Australia
- Walker DI 1997. Marine Biological survey of the central Kimberley coast, Western Australia. University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia
- Walker DI, Wells FE & Hanley R 1996. Survey of the marine biota of the eastern Kimberley, Western Australia. University of Western Australia, Western Australian Museum and the Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory
- Walker DI & Prince RIT 1987. Distribution and biogeography of seagrass species on the northwest coast of Australia. *Aquatic Botany* 29:19–32
- Waples K & Hollander E 2008. Ningaloo Research Progress Report: Discovering Ningaloo – latest findings and their implications for management. Ningaloo Research Coordinating Committee, Department of Environment and Conservation, WA
- Western Australian Museum (WAM). 2009. A Marine Biological Survey of Mermaid Reef (Rowley Shoals), Scott and Seringapatam Reefs, Western Australia 2006. Edited by C Bryce. Records of the Western Australian Museum Supplement 77.
- Wells FE, Walker DI & Jones DS (eds) 2003. The marine flora and fauna of Dampier, Western Australia. Western Australian Museum, Perth, Western Australia
- Whiting S 1999. Use of the remote Sahul Banks, North-western Australia, by dugongs, including breeding females. *Marine Mammal Science* 15: 609–615
- Williams A, Dunstan P, Althaus F, Barker B, McEnnulty F, Gowlett-Holmes K & Keith G (2010) Characterising the seabed biodiversity and habitats of the deep continental shelf and upper slope off the Kimberley coast, NW Australia. Report produced for Woodside Energy Ltd. CSIRO, pp. 95
- Wilson J, Darmawan A, Subijanto J, Green A and Sheppard S. 2011. Scientific Design of a Resilient Network of Marine Protected Areas. Lesser Sunda Ecoregion, Coral Triangle. The Nature Conservancy. Asia Pacific Marine Program Report No. 2/11. March 2011
- Wilson B 2013. The Biogeography of the Australian North West Shelf: Environmental Change and Life's Response. Elsevier. Western Australian Museum, Perth, Western Australia
- Woodside 2011. Browse LNG Development Draft Upstream Environmental Impact Statement. EPBC Referral 2008/4111. Woodside Energy Ltd, Perth, Western Australia, November 2011
- Woodside Energy Limited, Australian Institute of Marine Science, Western Australian Museum 2010. Scott Reef Status Report 2010.

16.3 Shoreline Habitats

- Alongi DM 2002. Present state and future of the world's mangrove forests. *Environmental Conservation* 29, 331–349. doi:10.1017/S0376892902000231
- Alongi DM (2009). *The Energetics of Mangrove Forests*. Springer.

Ayukai T (1998) Introduction: carbon fixation and storage in mangroves and their relevance to the global climate change – a case study in Hinchinbrook Channel in North-eastern Australia. Mangroves and Salt Marshes V2 No 4, Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Astron (2014) Apache OSMP - Desktop Mangrove Assessment. Prepared for Apache Energy Ltd by Astron Environmental Services, Perth, Western Australia, November 2013. Report reference 564-13-1MSR-1Rev0-140225

Astron (2016) Quadrant Environmental Monitoring Program Varanus Island Mangrove Monitoring Annual Report 2016. Prepared for Quadrant Energy Australia Ltd by Astron Environmental Services, Perth, Western Australia, February 2016. Report reference EA-60-RI-10155

CALM (2005) Management Plan for the Ningaloo Marine Park and Muiron Islands Marine Management Area 2005–2015 Management Plan No. 52. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.

CALM, MPRA (2005) Indicative Management Plan for the Proposed Dampier Archipelago Marine Park and Cape Preston Marine Management Area

Cresswell I, Semeniuk V, (2011) Mangroves of the Kimberley coast: ecological patterns in a tropical ria coast setting. Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia 94, 213–237.

DEC (2007) Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow Islands Marine Conservation Reserves 2007-2017. Management Plan Number 55. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.

DEC (2013) Ngari Capes Marine Park management plan 2013– 2023, Management plan number 74. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth.

DPAW 2013. Lalang-garram/ Camden Sound Marine Park Management Plan 73 2013–2023. Department of Parks and Wildlife, Perth, Western Australia

DoF (2012) Exploring the Houtman Abrolhos Islands. Published by Department of Fisheries, Perth, Western Australia. Publication No. 105, June 2012.

Duke N, Wood A, Hunnam K, Mackenzie J, Haller A, Christiansen N, Zahmel K, Green T (2010) Shoreline ecological assessment aerial and ground surveys 7-19 November 2009.

Duke NC, Ball MC, Ellison JC (1998) Factors influencing biodiversity and distributional gradients in mangroves. Global Ecology and Biogeography Letters 7, 27–47.

EPA (2001) Guidance Statement for Protection of Tropical Arid Zone Mangroves Along the Pilbara Coastline. Guidance Statement No. 1. Environmental Protection Authority Western Australia Perth

Garnet S.T. and Crowley, G.M. (2000) The action plan for Australian birds 2000. Environment Australia, Canberra.

Gueho, R (2007) Rhythms of the Kimberley: a seasonal journey through Australia's north. Fremantle Press, Australia.

IUCN 2019. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2019-3. <http://www.iucnredlist.org>. Downloaded on 16 December 2019.

Johnstone R (1984) Intergradation between Lemon-breasted Flycatcher *Microeca flavigaster* Gould and Brown-tailed Flycatcher *Microeca tormenti* Mathews in Cambridge Gulf, Western Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum 11, 291–295.

Kangas M, McCrea J, Fletcher W, Sporer E and Weir V (2006) Exmouth Gulf Prawn Fishery ESD Report Series No.1 Department of Fisheries Western Australia.

Kathiresan, K., Bingham, B.L., 2001. Biology of mangroves and mangrove ecosystems. Advances in marine biology 40, 81–251.

Kenyon R, Loneragan N, Manson F, Vance D, Venables W (2004). Allopatric distribution of juvenile red-legged banana prawns (*Penaeus indicus* H. Milne Edwards, 1837) and juvenile white banana prawns (*Penaeus*

merguiensis De Man, 1888), and inferred extensive migration, in the Joseph Bonaparte Gulf, northwest Australia. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 309, 79–108.

Mangrove Watch Australia (2014) Pilbara Mangroves, MangroveWatch, Australia. Available at http://www.mangrovetwatch.org.au/index.php?option=com_content&view=category&layout=blog&id=84&Itemid=300201 [Accessed February 2020]

Nagelkerken I, van der Velde G, Gorissen MW, Meijer GJ, Van't Hof T, den Hartog C, 2000. Importance of Mangroves, Seagrass Beds and the Shallow Coral Reef as a Nursery for Important Coral Reef Fishes, Using a Visual Census Technique. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 51, 31–44. doi:10.1006/ecss.2000.0617

NOAA (2010) Oil Spills in Mangroves, Planning and Response. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. US Department of Commerce, Office of Response and Restoration.

Pendretti YM, Paling EI (2001) WA Mangrove Assessment Project 1999-2000. Marine and Freshwater Research Laboratory, Murdoch University, Perth, Western Australia.

Rule M, Kendrick A, Huisman J (2012) Mangroves of the Shark Bay Marine Park. Information Sheet 46/2012 Science Division. Department of Environment and Conservation.

Semeniuk V (1993) The mangrove systems of Western Australia: 1993 Presidential Address. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* 76:99-122.

Waples K (2007) Kimberley Biodiversity Review. WAMSI. Western Australia.

Wilson B, 1994. A representative Marine Reserve System for Western Australia.

Wilson B (2013) *The Biogeography of the Australian North West Shelf: Environmental Change and Life's Response*. Elsevier.

Zell L (2007) Kimberley Coast. *Wild Discovery*.

16.4 Intertidal Habitats

Barter M (2002) *Shorebirds of the Yellow Sea: importance, threats and conservation status*. Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra, Australia.

Bennelongia Pty Ltd (2010) Analysis of possible change in ecological character of the Roebuck Bay and Eighty Mile Beach Ramsar sites.

BirdLife International (2018) Important Bird Areas Data Zone [Online]. Available from: <http://www.birdlife.org> [Accessed December 2018]

CALM (1996) Shark Bay Marine Reserves. Management Plan. 1996-2006. Marine Conservation Branch, Management Plan No. 34. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.

DEC (2012) Indicative Management Plan for the Proposed Eight Mile Beach Marine Park. Department of Environment and Conservation, Western Australia.

DEC (2013) Ngari Capes Marine Park management plan 2013– 2023, Management plan number 74. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth.

DPaW 2013. Lalang-garram / Camden Sound Marine Park management plan no. 73 2013–2023, Department of Parks and Wildlife, Perth, Western Australia.

Devantier, L. (2008). Reef- and Seascapes of the Lesser Sunda Ecoregion. 10.13140/RG.2.1.1956.8800.

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (2013a) *Conservation Advice for Subtropical and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh*. Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities.

DSEWPac (2013b) World Heritage Places – Shark Bay, Western Australia. Available at: <https://www.environment.gov.au/heritage/places/world/shark-bay> [Accessed 17 July 2013]

DoF (2012) Exploring the Houtman Abrolhos Islands. Published by Department of Fisheries, Perth, Western Australia. Publication No. 105, June 2012.

Duke N, Wood A, Hunnam K, Mackenzie J, Haller A, Christiansen N, Zahmel K, Green T (2010) Shoreline ecological assessment aerial and ground surveys 7-19 November 2009.

Garnet ST and Crowley GM (2000) The action plan for Australian birds 2000. Environment Australia Canberra.

Gibson, L. and Wellbelove, A (2010) Protecting critical marine habitats: The key to conserving our threatened marine species: a Humane Society International and WWF-Australia Report.

Hanley JR and Morrison PF (2012) A Guide to the intertidal flora and fauna of the Point Samson Fish Reserve. Sinclair Knight Merz and Rio Tinto Australia Pty Ltd.

IUCN 2019. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2019-3. <http://www.iucnredlist.org>. Downloaded on 16 December 2019.

Jones DS (2004) Marine biodiversity of the Dampier Archipelago Western Australia 1998-2002.

Masini R, Sim C, Simpson C (2009) Protecting the Kimberley: A synthesis of scientific knowledge to support conservation management in the Kimberley region of Western Australia.

Sinclair Knight Merz (2009) Baseline Intertidal Report. Cape Lambert Port B Development. Rio Tinto Australia Pty Ltd.

Sinclair Knight Merz (2010) Browse Kimberley LNG DFS10 – Intertidal Survey. James Price Point Intertidal Survey.

Sinclair Knight Merz (2011) Port Hedland Outer Harbour Development. Marine Coastal Intertidal Benthic Habitats Impact Assessment. Prepared for BHPBIO Pty Ltd.

Robertson, A.I., 1988. Decomposition of mangrove leaf litter in tropical Australia. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 116, 235–247. doi:10.1016/0022-0981(88)90029-9

Robson BJ, Burford M, Gehrke P, Revill A, Webster I, Palmer D (2008) Response of the lower Ord River and estuary to changes in flow and sediment and nutrient loads (Water for a Healthy Country Flagship Report). CSIRO.

Wade S, Hickey R, (2008). Mapping Migratory Wading Bird Feeding Habitats using Satellite Imagery and Field Data, Eighty-Mile Beach, Western Australia. *Journal of Coastal Research* 243, 759–770. doi:10.2112/05-0453.1

Wildsmith MD, Potter IC, Valesini FJ, Platell ME (2005) Do the assemblages of benthic Macroinvertebrates in nearshore waters of Western Australia vary among habitat types, zones and seasons? *Journal of Marine Biology* 85: 217-232.

Wilson B, 1994. A representative Marine Reserve System for Western Australia.

Wilson B (2013) *The Biogeography of the Australian North West Shelf: Environmental Change and Life's Response*. Elsevier.

Zell L (2007) Kimberley Coast. *Wild Discovery*.

16.5 Fish and Sharks

Allen, GR. (1989). Fishes. In *Survey of the Marine Fauna of Cocos (Keeling) Islands, Indian Ocean*. (Ed. P.F. Berry). (Western Australian Museum: Perth, Western Australia).

Allen, GR. and Smith-Vaniz, W.F. (1994). Fishes of the Cocos (Keeling) Islands. In *Ecology and Geomorphology of the Cocos (Keeling) Islands*. *Atoll Research Bulletin*, 399–414, Chapter 140.

BBG (1994) Dampier Port Authority, Environmental Management Plan. Report prepared by Bowman Bishaw Gorham Perth, for the Dampier Port Authority, Dampier.

- Borrell A, Aguilar A, Gazo M, Kumarran RP, Cardona L 2011. Stable isotope profiles in whale shark (*Rhincodon typus*) suggest segregation and dissimilarities in the diet depending on sex and size. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 92: 559-567.
- Bradshaw CJA, Mollet HF, Meekan MG 2007. Inferring population trends for the world's largest fish from mark-recapture estimates of survival. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 76: 480-489
- Bray, D.J. & Gomon, M.F. 2017. *Galaxiella nigrostriata* in *Fishes of Australia*. Available at: <http://fishesofaustralia.net.au/home/species/2130> [accessed 27/11/2019]
- Brewer DT, Lyne V, Skewes TD and Rothlisberg P 2007. Trophic Systems of the North West Marine Region. Prepared for the Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts by CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research, Cleveland, Australia. Cailliet, G.M. 1996. An Evaluation of Methodologies to Study the Population Biology of White Sharks. In: Klimley, A.P. & D.G. Ainley, (eds.) *Great White Sharks The biology of Carcharodon carcharias*. Page(s) 415-416. United States of America: Academic Press Limited.
- Bulman C (2006) Trophic Webs and Modelling of Australia's North West Shelf. North West Shelf Joint Environmental Management Study: Technical Report No. 9. CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research, Hobart, Tasmania, CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research.
- CALM (1996) Shark Bay Marine Reserves. Management Plan. 1996-2006. Marine Conservation Branch, Management Plan No. 34. Department of Conservation and Land Management.
- CALM (2005) Management Plan for the Ningaloo Marine Park and Muiron Islands Marine Management Area 2005 – 2015 Management Plan No. 52. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Perth, Western Australia.
- Cailliet, G.M. (1996). An Evaluation of Methodologies to Study the Population Biology of White Sharks. In: Klimley, A.P. & D.G. Ainley, eds. *Great White Sharks The biology of Carcharodon carcharias*. Page(s) 415-416. United States of America: Academic Press Limited.
- Chen C-T, Liu K-M, Joung S-J (1997) Preliminary report on Taiwan's whale shark fishery. *Traffic Bulletin*, 17: 53-57.
- Chevron 2011. Technical Appendix 06 Draft Marine Fauna Management Plan. Appendix D: Sawfish Management Summary Report. Document No. WS0-0000-HES-PLN-CVX-000-00037-000. Rev E
- Chidlow J, Gaughan D and McAuley RB (2006) Identification of Western Australian Grey Nurse Shark aggregation sites. Final report to the Australian Government, Department of the Environment and Heritage. Fisheries research report No. 155. Department of Fisheries, Western Australia, 48p.
- CITES (2004). Convention of International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora - Appendix II Listing of the White Shark (revision 1). Available from: <https://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/2a4abfb5-236c-43bf-ad9d-b6d29c507f04/files/great-white-cites-appendix2-english.pdf> [accessed February 2020]. Clark, E and Nelson, D. (1997). Young whale sharks, *Rhincodon typus*, feeding on a copepod bloom near La Paz, Mexico. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*. 50. 63-73. 10.1023/A:1007312310127.
- Commonwealth of Australia, 2015. Sawfish and River Sharks Multispecies Recovery Plan. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/062794ac-ef99-4fc8-8c18-6c3cd5f6fca2/files/sawfish-river-sharks-multispecies-recovery-plan.pdf>. [Accessed February 24 2020].
- Compagno, L J (2001) *Sharks of the World: An Annotated and Illustrated Catalogue of Shark Species Known to Date*. Vol. 2, Bullhead, Mackerel and Carpet Sharks (Heterodontiformes, Lamniformes and Orectolobiformes) (Vol. 2, No. 1). Food & Agriculture Org.
- Compagno, LJV & Last, PR 1999. Order Pristiformes. Pristidae: sawfishes, in KE Carpenter & VH Niem (eds), *FAO species identification guide for fishery purposes – the living marine resources of the western central Pacific*, vol. 3, Batoid fishes, chimaeras and bony fishes, part 1 (*Elopidae* to *Linophyroidae*), FAO, Rome, pp. 1410–1417.

de Lestang P & Jankowski A (2017). A Guide to the Common Marine Fishes of Barrow Island. Chevron. Available from: <https://australia.chevron.com/-/media/australia/publications/documents/nature-book-fish.pdf> [Accessed 26/02/20].

DEC (2007a) Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow Islands Marine Conservation Reserves 2007–2017: Management Plan No. 55. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth, Western Australia.

DEC (2007b) Management Plan for the Rowley Shoals Marine Park 2007–2017: Management Plan No. 56. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth, Western Australia

DEC (2013) Ngari Capes Marine Park management plan 2013– 2023, Management plan number 74. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth.

DEH (2006) A Guide to the Integrated Marine and Coastal Regionalisation of Australia Version 4.0. Department of the Environment and Heritage, Canberra, Australia.

DEWHA (2008a) The north-west marine region bioregional profile: a description of the ecosystems, conservation values and uses of the north-west marine region, Australian Government Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (DEWHA), Canberra.

DEWHA (2009) DEWHA Fact Sheet – Three sharks listed as migratory species under the EPBC Act. Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts, Canberra, Australia.

DEWHA (2012a) Species group report card – bony fishes. Supporting the marine bioregional plan for the North-west Marine Region. Australian Government Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (DEWHA), Canberra.

DEWHA (2012b) Species group report card – sharks and saw fishes. Supporting the marine bioregional plan for the North-west Marine Region. Australian Government Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (DEWHA), Canberra.

DoE (2014a) *Ophisternon candidum* in Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of the Environment, Canberra. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/sprat>. Accessed 21 Mar 2014

DoE (2014b) *Pristis clavata* in Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of the Environment, Canberra. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/sprat>. Accessed 18 Mar 2014

DoE (2014c) *Pristis pristis* in Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of the Environment, Canberra. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/sprat>. Accessed 25 Mar 2014

DoE (2014c) *Pristis zijsron* in Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of the Environment, Canberra. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/sprat>. Accessed 25 Mar 2014

DoE (2015) Approved Conservation Advice *Rhincodon typus* (whale shark). Threatened Species Scientific Committee, Department of the Environment, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory

DoEE (2016a). *Nannatherina balstoni* in Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of the Environment, Canberra. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/sprat>. Accessed 2 Aug 2016

DoF (2012) Exploring the Houtman Abrolhos Islands. Published by Department of Fisheries, Perth, Western Australia. Publication No. 105, June 2012.

DSEWPaC (2012) Marine Bioregional Plan for the North-west Marine Region. Prepared under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory

Eckert, S.A, and Stewart, B. S. (2001) Telemetry and satellite tracking of whale sharks, *Rhincodon typus*, in the sea of Cortez, Mexico, and the north Pacific Ocean. Environmental Biology of Fishes 60: 299-308.

Fletcher, WJ. and Santoro, K. (2013). Status Reports of the Fisheries and Aquatic Resources of Western Australia 2012/13(eds). The State of the Fisheries. Department of Fisheries, Western Australia.

Fox, NJ and Beckley, LE (2005). Priority areas for conservation of Western Australian coastal fishes: A comparison of hotspot, biogeographical and complementarity approaches. *Biological Conservation*, 125: 399-410.

Gaughan, D.J., Molony, B. and Santoro, K. (eds) 2019. Status Reports of the Fisheries and Aquatic Resources of Western Australia 2017/18: The State of the Fisheries. Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia.

Gelsleichter J, Musick JA & Nichols S (1999). Food habits of the smooth dogfish, *Mustelus canis*, dusky shark, *Carcharhinus obscurus*, Atlantic sharpnose shark, *Rhizoprionodon terraenovae*, and the sand tiger, *Carcharias taurus*, from the northwest Atlantic Ocean, *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, vol. 54, pp. 205–217.

Humphreys B & J Blyth (1994) Subterranean Secrets. *Landscape - WA's Conservation, Forests and Wildlife Magazine*. 9, No. 3:22-27.

Humphreys WF & MN Feinberg (1995) Food of the blind cave fishes of North-western Australia. *Records of the Western Australian Museum*. 17:29-33.

Humphreys WF (1999) The distribution of Australian cave fishes. *Records of the Western Australian Museum*. 19:469-472.

Hutchins JB (2003). Checklist of marine fishes of the Dampier Archipelago, Western Australia. Pp. 453-478. In: Wells, F.E., Walker D.I. & Jones D.S. (eds). *The Marine Flora and Fauna of Dampier, Western Australia*. Western Australian Museum, Perth.

Hutchins JB (2004) Fishes of the Dampier Archipelago, Western Australia pp. 343-398. In: Jones D.S. (ed). Report on the results of the Western Australia Museum/Woodside Energy Ltd. Partnership to explore the Marine Biodiversity of the Dampier Archipelago. Western Australia 1998-2002. *Records of the Western Australian Museum Supplement No. 66*: 343-398.

IUCN 2019. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2019-3. <http://www.iucnredlist.org>. Accessed 16 December 2019.

Jarman SN, Wilson SG (2004) DNA-based species identification of krill consumed by whale sharks. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 65: 586-591

Kemps, H (2010) Ningaloo: Australia's Untamed Reef. Quinns Rocks: MIRG Australia

Kospartov, M., Beger, M., Ceccarelli, D., and Richards, Z. (2006). An assessment of the distribution and abundance of sea cucumbers, trochus, giant clams, coral, fish and invasive marine species at Ashmore Reef National Nature Reserve and Cartier Island Marine Reserve: 2005. Report prepared by UniQuest Pty Ltd for the Department of the Environment and Heritage, Canberra, ACT.

Last P, Lyne V, Yearsley G, Gledhill D, Gomon M, Rees T and White, W (2005) Validation of national demersal fish datasets for the regionalisation of the Australian continental slope and outer shelf (>40 m depth). Department of Environment and Heritage and CSIRO Marine Research, Australia. 99pp

Last PR & Stevens JD (2009) *Sharks and rays of Australia*, 2nd edn, CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood.

Mackie M, Nardi A, Lewis P and Newman S (2007) Small Pelagic Fishes of the North-west Marine Region, Prepared for the Department of the Environment and Water Resources by Department of Fisheries, Perth, Western Australia.

McAuley, R. 2004. Western Australian Grey Nurse Shark Pop Up Archival Tag Project. Final Report to Department of Environment and Heritage. Page(s) 55.

Meekan MG, Bradshaw CJA, Press M, McLean C, Richards A, Quasnichka S, Taylor JA (2006) Population size and structure of whale sharks (*Rhincodon typus*) at Ningaloo Reef, Western Australia. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 319: 275-285

Meekan MG, Jarman SN, McLean C, Schultz MB (2009) DNA evidence of whale sharks (*Rhincodon typus*) feeding on red crab (*Gecarcoidea natalis*) larvae at Christmas Island, Australia. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 60: 607-609

Norman, B (2005) *Rhincodon typus*. In: IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. <www.iucnredlist.org>. Accessed 31 May 2013.

Norman, B.M. and Stevens, JD (2007) Size and maturity status of the whale shark (*Rhincodon typus*) at Ningaloo Reef in Western Australia. *Fisheries Research*, 84: 81-86.

Otway NM, & PC Parker (2000) The Biology, Ecology, Distribution, Abundance and Identification of Marine Protected Areas for the Conservation of Threatened Grey Nurse Sharks in South-east Australian Waters. NSW Fisheries Office of Conservation.

Peeverell SC (2005) Distribution of sawfishes (Pristidae) in the Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria, Australia, with notes on sawfish ecology, *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, vol. 73, pp. 391–402.

Pogonoski JJ, DA Pollard & JR Paxton (2002) Conservation Overview and Action Plan for Australian Threatened and Potentially Threatened Marine and Estuarine Fishes. [Online]. Canberra, ACT: Environment Australia. Available from: <https://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/ca415225-5626-461c-a929-84744e80ee36/files/marine-fish.pdf> [Accessed February 2020].

Pollard, DA MP Lincoln-Smith & A.K. Smith (1996) The biology and conservation of the grey nurse shark (*Carcharias taurus* Rafinesque 1810) in New South Wales, Australia. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*. 6.

Russell, B., Larson, H., Hutchins, J., and Allen, G.R. (2005). Reef Fishes of the Sahul Shelf. In *Understanding the Cultural and Natural Heritage Values and Management Challenges of the Ashmore Region*, Proceedings of a Symposium organised by the Australian Marine Sciences Association and the Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory, Darwin, 4-6 April 2001. Edited by B. Russell, H. Larson, C.J. Glasby, R.C. Willan, and J. Martin. Museum and Art Galleries of the Northern Territory & Australian Marine Sciences Association, Darwin, Northern Territory. pp. 83–105.

Sainsbury KJ, Campbell RA and Whitlaw AW (1992) Effects of trawling on the marine habitat on the North West Shelf of Australia and implications for sustainable fisheries management. In: Hancock D. A. (Editor). *Sustainable Fisheries through Sustaining Fish Habitat*. Canberra Australia. Australian Government Publishing Service, 1993, 137–145. Aust Soc. for Fish. Biol. Workshop, Victor Harbour, SA, 12–13 August 1992.

Smale MJ (2005) The diet of the ragged-tooth shark *Carcharias taurus* Rafinesque 1810 in the Eastern Cape, South Africa, *African Journal of Marine Science*, vol. 27, pp. 331–335.

Stevens JD, McAuley RB, Simpfendorfer CA & Pillans RD (2008) Spatial distribution and habitat utilisation of sawfish (*Pristis* spp) in relation to fishing in northern Australia, report to the Australian Government Department of Environment and Heritage, Canberra.

Stevens JD, Pillans, RD and Salini J (2005) Conservation Assessment of *Glyphis* sp. A (Speartooth Shark), *Glyphis* sp. C (Northern River Shark), *Pristis microdon* (Freshwater Sawfish) and *Pristis zijsron* (Green Sawfish). [Online]. Hobart, Tasmania: CSIRO Marine Research. Available from: <https://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/d1696b5b-6a2e-4920-a3e2-16e5a272349a/files/assessment-glyphis.pdf> [Accessed February 2020].

Thorburn DC, DL Morgan, AJ Rowland & HS Gill (2007) Freshwater sawfish *Pristis microdon* Latham, 1794 (Chondrichthyes: Pristidae) in the Kimberley region of Western Australia. *Zootaxa*. 1471:27-41.

Thorburn, DC, Morgan, DL, Rowland, AJ & Gill HS (2004) The northern river shark (*Glyphis* sp.C) in Western Australia, Report to the National Trust

Thorburn, DC, Morgan, DL, Rowland, AJ, Gill, HS & Paling, E (2008) Life history notes of the critically endangered dwarf sawfish, *Pristis clavata*, Garman 1906 from the Kimberley region of Western Australia', *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, vol. 83, pp. 139–145

Whisson, G & Hoshke, A (2013). *In situ* video monitoring of finfish diversity at Ningaloo Reef, Western Australia. *Galaxea, Journal of Coral Reef Studies*. The Japanese Coral Reef Society. Vol. 15, pp 72-28

Wilson, S Polovina, J Stewart, B & Meekan, M (2006) Movements of whale sharks (*Rhincodon typus*) tagged at Ningaloo Reef. *Marine Biology*, vol. 147, pp. 1157-1166.

16.6 Marine Reptiles

Astron Environmental Services (2013a) Exmouth Islands Turtle Monitoring Program – Desktop Review and Gap Analysis. Rev B, 26 September 2013, unpublished report for Apache Energy Ltd, Perth.

Astron Environmental Services (2014) Exmouth Islands Turtle Monitoring Program – January 2014 Field Survey. Rev A, 11 February 2014, unpublished report for Apache Energy Ltd, Perth.

Astron (2017) Quadrant Environmental Monitoring Program Varanus and Airlie Islands Turtle Monitoring Annual Report 2016/17, Prepared for Quadrant Energy Australia Ltd by Astron Environmental Services, Perth, Western Australia, June 2017. Report reference EA-60-RI-10173.

BHPB (2005) Pyrenees Development: Draft Environmental Impact Statement. BHP Billiton, Perth, Western Australia.

Baldwin R, Hughes GR and Prince RIT (2003) Loggerhead turtles in the Indian Ocean. In: AB Bolten and BE Witherington (eds) Loggerhead Sea Turtles, Smithsonian Books, Washington.

DEC (2009a) Management Plan for the Commercial Harvest and Farming of Crocodiles in Western Australia 1 January 2009-31 December 2013.

CALM (2005a) Management Plan for the Ningaloo Marine Park and Muiron Islands Marine Management Area 2005 – 2015 Management Plan No. 52. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Perth, Western Australia.

Chaloupka M and Prince RIT (2012) Estimating demographic parameters for a critically endangered marine species with frequent reproductive omission: Hawksbill turtles nesting at Varanus Island, Western Australia. *Marine Biology* 159(2): 355-363.

Chevron (2005) Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Review and Management Programme for the proposed Gorgon Development. Chevron Australia Pty Ltd, Perth, Western Australia.

Chevron (2008) Gorgon Gas Development Revised and Expanded Proposal Public Environmental Review Operated by Chevron Australia in joint venture with Gorgon Project. EPBC Referral 2008/4178 Assessment No. 1727. Chevron Australia Pty Ltd, Perth, Western Australia, September 2008.

Commonwealth of Australia (2017a), Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia 2017 – 2027.

DEWHA (2008a) The North-west Marine Bioregional Plan: Bioregional profile: A Description of the Ecosystems, Conservation Values and Uses of the North-West Marine Region. Department of the Environment Water, Heritage and the Arts, Canberra, ACT.

DSEWPaC (2012a) *Eretmochelys imbricata* – Hawksbill Turtle. Available from: http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=1766. Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities.

DSEWPaC (2012b) Marine bioregional plans. Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Canberra, ACT. Available at <http://www.environment.gov.au/marine/marine-bioregional-plans/about>

DSEWPaC (2012c) *Natator depressus* – Flatback Turtle. Available from: http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=59257. Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities.

DSEWPaC (2012d) Species Group Report Card – Reptiles. Supporting the draft marine bioregional plan for the North-west Marine Region. Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Populations and Communities, Canberra, Australia.

DoE (2014) *Aipysurus foliosquama* in Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of the Environment, Canberra. Available from: http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=1118. Accessed 23 July 2014

DoEE (2019) Species Profile and Threats Database [Online] Department of Environment and Energy Canberra, Commonwealth of Australia Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/sprat.pl>

Hamann, M, Jessop, T, Limpus, C. and Whittier, J.M. (2002). Interactions among endocrinology, seasonal reproductive cycles and the nesting biology of the female green sea turtle. *Marine Biology*. 140. 823-830. 10.1007/s00227-001-0755-8.

Kendall WL and Bjorkland R (2001) Using open robust design models to estimate temporary emigration from capture - recapture data. *Biometrics*: 57,1113 – 1122.

Limpus CJ (2007) A biological review of Australian marine turtle species. 5. Flatback turtle, *Natator depressus* (Garman). The State of Queensland. Environmental Protection Agency, Brisbane, Queensland.

Limpus CJ (2008a) A biological review of Australian marine turtle species. 2. Green turtle, *Chelonia mydas* (Linnaeus). The State of Queensland. Environmental Protection Agency, Brisbane, Queensland.

Limpus CJ (2008b) A biological review of Australian marine turtle species. 1. Loggerhead turtle, *Caretta caretta* (Linnaeus). The State of Queensland. Environmental Protection Agency, Brisbane, Queensland.

Limpus CJ 2009a. A biological review of Australian marine turtle species.3. Hawksbill turtle, *Eretmochelys imbricata* (Linnaeus). The State of Queensland. Environmental Protection Agency, Brisbane, Queensland.

Limpus CJ (2009b) *A Biological Review of Australian Marine Turtles*, Queensland Environmental Protection Agency, Queensland.

Limpus CJ (2009c) A biological review of Australian marine turtle species. 6. Leatherback turtle, (*Dermochelys coriacea*). The State of Queensland. Environmental Protection Agency, Brisbane, Queensland.

Limpus C.J and McLachlin N (1994) The conservation status of the Leatherback Turtle, *Dermochelys coriacea*, in Australia. In: James R (ed.) Proceedings of the Australian Marine Turtle Conservation Workshop, Gold Coast 14-17 November 1990. pp. 63-67. Queensland Department of Environment and Heritage. Canberra: ANCA.

Minton SA & Heatwole H (1975) Sea snakes from three reefs of the Sahul Shelf. In: Dunson, W. A., ed. The Biology of Sea Snakes. Page(s) 141-144. Baltimore: University Park Press.

Morris K (2004) Regional significance of marine turtle rookeries on the Lowendal Islands. Unpublished information provided to Apache Energy Ltd.

Oliver GA (1990) Interim Guidelines for Operations – Serrurier Island Nature Reserve. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Perth, Western Australia.

Pendoley KL (2005) Sea Turtles and the Environmental Management of Industrial Activities in North West Western Australia, PhD Thesis, Murdoch University, Australia. 310pp.

Pendoley Environmental (2009) Marine Turtle Beach Survey: Forty Mile Beach Area, North East and South West Regnard Island. Report to Apache Energy Ltd.

Pendoley Environmental (2011) Varanus Island Marine Turtle Tagging Programme 2009 - 2010. Report to Apache Energy Ltd.

Pendoley Environmental (2013) Varanus Island Marine Turtle Tagging Program 2012 – 2013 Season. Report to Apache Energy Ltd.

Pendoley, KL, Schofield, G., Whittock, P. A., Ierodiaconou, D., & Hays, G. C. (2014). Protected species use of a coastal marine migratory corridor connecting marine protected areas. *Marine Biology*, 1-12.

Pendoley Environmental (2019) Varanus Island Turtle Monitoring Report: Annual Report 2018/19. Unpublished report for Santos Ltd.

Prince RIT (1994) Status of the Western Australian Marine Turtle Populations: The Western Australian Marine Turtle Project 1986–1990. Report prepared for the Queensland Department of Environment and Heritage and Australian Nature Conservation Agency.

- Waayers D (2010) A Holistic Approach to Planning for Wildlife Tourism: A Case Study of Marine Turtle Tourism and Conservation in the Ningaloo Region, Western Australia. PhD Thesis, Murdoch University, Perth.
- Waayers, D and Stubbs, J. (2016) A Decade of Monitoring Flatback Turtles in Port Hedland, Western Australia, 2004/05 – 2013/14. Prepared for Care for Hedland Environmental Association, Port Hedland, Western Australia.
- Woodside (2002) WA-271-P Field Development: Environmental Impact Statement. Woodside Energy Ltd., Perth.
- Cogger HG (2000) Reptiles and Amphibians of Australia - 6th edition. Sydney, NSW: Reed New Holland
- Heatwole H and Cogger HG (1993). Family Hydrophiidae, in: Glasby CG, Ross GJB and Beesley PL (eds) Fauna of Australia Volume 2A: Amphibia and Reptilia. AGPS Canberra. 439pp
- Guinea ML & SD Whiting (2005) Insights into the distribution and abundance of sea snakes at Ashmore Reef. The Beagle (Supplement 1). Page(s) 199-206
- McCosker JE (1975). Feeding behaviour of Indo-Australian Hydrophiidae. In: Dunson W A (eds.) The Biology of Sea Snakes. Page(s) 217-232. Baltimore: University Park Press
- Minton S and H Heatwole (1975) Sea snakes from three reefs of the Sahul Shelf. Chapter 5 (pp. 141-144) In: Dunson W A (eds.) The Biology of Sea Snakes, University Park Press, Baltimore, 530 pp.
- Storr GM, Smith LA and Johnstone RE (1986) Snakes of Western Australia. First edition. Perth: Western Australian Museum.

16.7 Marine Mammals

- Bannister, J.L., C.M. Kemper & R.M. Warneke (1996). *The Action Plan for Australian Cetaceans*. Canberra: Australian Nature Conservation Agency. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/resource/action-plan-australian-cetaceans>.
- Branch TA, Stafford KM, Palacios DM, Allison C, Bannister JL, Burton CLK, Cabrera E, Carlson CA, Galletti vernazzani B, Gill PC, Hucke-gaete R, Jenner KC, Jenner M-N, Matsuoka K, Mikhalev YA, Miyashita MG, Morrice S, Nishiwaki VJ, Sturrock D, Tormosov RC, Anderson AN, Baker PB, Best P, Borsa T, Brownell Jr. RL, Childerhouse SK, Findlay P, Gerrodette, T, Ilangakoon, AD, Joergensen, M, Kahn, B, Ljungblad, DK, Maughan, B, Mccauley, RD, Mckay, S, Norris, TF, Oman whale and Dolphin research group, Rankin, S, Samaran, F, Thiele, D, Van Waerebeek K & Warneke RM (2007) Past and present distribution, densities and movements of blue whales *Balaenoptera musculus* in the Southern Hemisphere and Northern Indian Ocean. Mammal Rev. 37(2):116–175
- Campbell R (2005) Historical distribution and abundance of the Australian sea lion (*Neophoca cinerea*) on the west coast of Western Australia. Fisheries Research Report no. 148. Department of Fisheries, Perth, Western Australia
- ConocoPhillips 2018. Barossa Area Development Offshore Project Proposal. ConocoPhillips, Perth, Western Australia
- DAWE (2020) National Conservation Values Atlas [Online] Department of Environment and Energy Canberra, Commonwealth of Australia Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/webgis-framework/apps/ncva/ncva.jsf>
- DEWHA (Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts) (2010a) Blue, Fin and Sei Whale Recovery Plan 2005 - 2010. [Online] Department of the Environment and Heritage Canberra, Commonwealth of Australia Available from: <https://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/7dc702c7-80c8-4df5-84b6-cfcbc1da5561/files/cetaceans-assessment.pdf>
- DEWHA (Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts) (2008) The South-West Marine Bioregional Plan: Bioregional Profile: A Description of the Ecosystems, Conservation Values and Uses of the South-West Marine Region. [Online] Canberra: DEWHA Available from:

<https://parksaustralia.gov.au/marine/pub/scientific-publications/archive/south-west-marine-bioregional-plan.pdf>

DEWR (Department of Environment and Water Resources) (2007) Whales and dolphins identification guide. Department of Environment and Water Resources, Canberra. <http://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/9c058c02-afd1-4e5d-abff-11cac2ebc486/files/blue-whale-conservation-management-plan.pdf>.

Department of the Environment (DoE) (2015) Conservation Management Plan for the Blue Whale. A Recovery Plan under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*. Department of the Environment. Canberra.

DoEE (2016a). *Sousa sahulensis*— Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphin. Species Profile and Threats Database. Available at: http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=50 [Accessed on 3 August 2016]

DoEE (2016b). *Tursiops aduncus* — Indian Ocean Bottlenose Dolphin, Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin. Species Profile and Threats Database. Available at: http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=68418 [Accessed on 3 August 2016]

DoEE (2016c) *Orcaella heinsohni* — Australian Snubfin Dolphin. Species Profile and Threats Database. Available at: http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=81322 [Accessed on 3 August 2016]

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) (2020a) Species Profile and Threats Database [Online]. Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment. Canberra, Commonwealth of Australia. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/sprat.pl>

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) (2020b) National Conservation Values Atlas [Online]. Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment. Canberra, Commonwealth of Australia. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/webgis-framework/apps/ncva/ncva.jsf>

Department of State Development (DSD) 2010. Browse Liquefied Natural Gas Precinct – Strategic Assessment Report. Part 3 – Environmental Assessment - Marine Impacts. December 2010

Double MC, Andrews-Goff V, Jenner KCS, Jenner M-N, Laverick SM, Branch TA & Gales N (2014) Migratory movements of pygmy blue whales (*Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda*) between Australia and Indonesia as revealed by satellite telemetry. PLOS one, April 2014 9(4)

Double MC, Gales N, Jenner KCS & Jenner M-N (2010) Satellite tracking of south-bound female humpback whales in the Kimberley region of Western Australia. Final report to the Australian Marine Mammal Centre, Tasmania, September 2010

Double MC, Jenner KCS, Jenner M-N, Ball I, Laverick S, Gales N (2012a) Satellite tracking of northbound humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) off Western Australia. Final report to the Australian Marine Mammal Centre, Tasmania May 2012.

Double MC, Jenner KCS, Jenner M-N, Ball I, Laverick S, Gales N (2012b) Satellite tracking of pygmy blue whales (*Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda*) off Western Australia. Final report to the Australian Marine Mammal Centre, Tasmania, May 2012

DSEWPac (Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities) (2012) Conservation Management Plan for the Southern Right Whale. [Online] Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities Canberra, Commonwealth of Australia Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/recovery-plans>

DSEWPac (2013c) Recovery Plan for the Australian Sea Lion (*Neophoca cinerea*). [Online] Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities Canberra, Commonwealth of Australia Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/1eb9233c-8474-40bb-8566-0ea02bbaa5b3/files/neophoca-cinerea-recovery-plan.pdf>

- Gales N, Double MC, Robinson S, Jenner C, Jenner M, King E, Gedamke J, Childerhouse S & Paton D (2010) Satellite tracking of Australian humpback (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) and pygmy blue whales (*Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda*). Report number SC/62/SH21 presented to the Scientific Committee of the International Whaling Commission, June 2010, Morocco
- Gedamke J, Gales N, Hildebrand J & Wiggins S (2007) Seasonal occurrence of low frequency whale vocalisations across eastern Antarctic and southern Australian waters, February 2004 to February 2007. IWC SC/59/SH5
- Gill, P.C., G.J.B. Ross, W.H. Dawbin & H. Wapstra (2000). Confirmed sightings of dusky dolphins (*Lagenorhynchus obscurus*) in southern Australian waters. *Marine Mammal Science*. 16:452-459
- Gill PC (2002) A blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus*) feeding ground in a southern Australian coastal upwelling zone. *J. Cetacean Res. Manage.* 4(2):179—184
- Hale, P.T., Barreto, A.S., Ross, G.J.B. (2000) Comparative morphology and distribution of the aduncus and truncatus forms of bottlenose dolphin *Tursiops* in the Indian and Western Pacific Oceans. *Aquatic Mammals* 26, 101–110.
- Hamer, DJ, Ward, TM, Shaughnessy, PD & Clark, SR 2001 Assessing the effectiveness of the Great Australian Bight Marine Park in protecting the endangered Australian sea lion *Neophoca cinerea* from bycatch mortality in shark gillnets. *End. Species Res.* 14: 203—216
- Hedley, SL, Bannister, JL & Dunlop, RA 2011 Abundance estimates of Southern Hemisphere Breeding Stock 'D' Humpback Whales from aerial and land-based surveys off Shark Bay, Western Australia, 2008. *J. Cetacean Res. Manage.* (special issue 3): 209—221
- Jenner, KCS, Jenner, M-N & McCabe, KA, 2001 Geographical and temporal movements of humpback whales in Western Australian waters. *APPEA Journal Vol 41(2001)*, pp 749—765
- Kato, H. (2002). Bryde's Whales *Balaenoptera edeni* and *B. brydei*. In: Perrin W.F., B. Würsig & H.G.M. Thewissen, eds. *Encyclopedia of Marine Mammals*. Page(s) 171-177. Academic Press.
- Kemper, C.A. (2002). Distribution of the pygmy right whale, *Caperea marginata*, in the Australasian region. *Marine Mammal Science*. 18(1):99-111.
- Marsh, H, Eros, C, Penrose, H & Hugues, J 2002, Dugong - Status Report and Action Plans for countries and territories, UNEP Early Warning and Assessment Report Series 1.
- McCauley RD (2011) Woodside Kimberley sea noise logger program, Sept-2006 to June-2009: Whales, fish and man-made noise. Report prepared for Woodside Energy Ltd., Perth, Western Australia.
- McCauley RD & Jenner C (2010) Migratory patterns and estimated population size of pygmy blue whales (*Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda*) traversing the Western Australian coast based on passive acoustics. SC/62/SH26 in Proceedings of the 62nd IWC Annual Meeting, Agadir, Morocco (June 21–25). Available as SC-62-SH26.pdf in archive at https://iwc.int/document_1453 (Accessed February 2020).
- Perrin, W.F. & R.L. Brownell, Jr (2002). Minke Whales *Balaenoptera acutorostrata* and *B. bonaerensis*. In: Perrin W.F., Würsig B. & H.G.M. Thewissen, eds. *Encyclopedia of Marine Mammals*. Page(s) 750-754. Academic Press.
- RPS 2010a. Technical Appendix – Marine Mammals. Wheatstone Project EIS/ERMP. Unpublished report for Chevron Australia Pty Ltd, March 2010
- RPS. 2010b. Marine Megafauna Report Browse MMFS 2009. Prepared for Woodside Energy Ltd.
- Salgado Kent, C, Jenner, C, Jenner, M, Bouchet, P & Rexstad, E. 2012 Southern Hemisphere Breeding Stock D humpback whale population estimates from North West Cape, Western Australia. *J. Cetacean Res. Manage.* 12(1): 29—38
- Woodside (2012) Rosebud 3D Marine Seismic Survey Environment Plan Summary. Available online at: <https://docs.nopsema.gov.au/A251121>

Woodside Energy (2014) Browse FLNG Development Draft Environmental Impact Statement, EPBC Referral 2013/7079, November 2014.

16.8 Birds

Astron (2017a), Quadrant Environmental Monitoring Program Varanus and Airlie Islands Shearwater Monitoring Annual Report 2016/17, Prepared for Quadrant Energy Australia Ltd by Astron Environmental Services, Perth, Western Australia, June 2017. Report reference EA-60-RI-10174

Astron (2017b), Quadrant Environmental Monitoring Program Varanus and Airlie Islands Seabird Monitoring Annual Report 2016/17, Prepared for Quadrant Energy Australia Ltd by Astron Environmental Services, Perth, Western Australia, September 2017. Report reference EA-60-RI-10184

Bamford M, Watkins D, Bancroft W, Tischler G & Wahl J (2008) Migratory Shorebirds of the East Asian - Australasian Flyway; Population Estimates and Internationally Important Sites. Wetlands International – Oceania, Canberra, Australia

Bennelongia (2008) Report on shorebird numbers and shorebird values at Cape Preston. Prepared for Citic Pacific Mining by Bennelongia Environmental Consultants, Report 2008/52

Bennelongia (2011) Port Hedland Migratory shorebird survey report and impact assessment. Prepared for BHP Billiton Iron Ore by Bennelongia Environmental Consultants, Report 2011/124

Birdlife Australia (2017) Australasian Bittern [Online]. Available from: <http://birdlife.org.au/bird-profile/australasian-bittern>. [Accessed November 2017].

Brothers NP (1984) Breeding, distribution and status of burrow-nesting petrels at Macquarie Island. *Australian Wildlife Research* **11**, 113–131.

Burbidge AA, Blyth JD, Fuller PJ, Kendrick PG, Stanley FJ & Smith LA (2000) The Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna of the Montebello Islands, Western Australia. *CALMScience* **3**: 95-107

CALM & MPRA (2005a) Management Plan for the Ningaloo Marine Park and Muiron Islands Marine Management Area 2005–2015. Management Plan No. 52. Department of Conservation and Land Management and Marine Parks and Reserves Authority. Perth, WA

CALM & MPRA (2005b) Indicative Management Plan for the Proposed Dampier Archipelago Marine Park and Cape Preston Marine Management Area. Department of Conservation and Land Management and Marine Parks and Reserves Authority. Perth, WA

Commonwealth of Australia (2017b) EPBC Act Policy Statement 3.21—Industry guidelines for avoiding, assessing and mitigating impacts on EPBC Act listed migratory shorebird species. Commonwealth of Australia.

DEWHA (Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts) (2008a) The North-West Marine Bioregional Plan: Bioregional Profile: A Description of the Ecosystems, Conservation Values and Uses of the South-West Marine Region. [Online]. Canberra: DEWHA. Available from: <https://parksaustralia.gov.au/marine/pub/scientific-publications/archive/north-west-bioregional-plan.pdf>

Dinara Pty Ltd. (1991) Report on results of shearwater monitoring on Varanus Island, Western Australia for the inclusion in the Hadson Energy Triennial report 1991.

DoE (2014c). *Aipysurus foliosquama* in Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of the Environment, Canberra. Available from: http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=1118. Accessed 23 July 2014

DoE (2014d) *Fregata andrewsi* in Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of the Environment, Canberra. Available from: http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=1011. Accessed 23 July 2014

DoE (2014e) *Macroneustes halli* in Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of the Environment, Canberra. Available from: http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=1061. Accessed 23 July 2014

- DoE (2014f) *Halobaena caerulea* in Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of the Environment, Canberra. Available from: http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=1059. Accessed 23 July 2014
- DoE (2014g) *Papasula abbotti* in Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of the Environment, Canberra. Available from: http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=59297. Accessed 23 July 2014
- DoE (2014h) *Rostratula australis* in Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of the Environment, Canberra. Available from: http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=77037. Accessed 23 July 2014
- Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) (2020a) Species Profile and Threats Database [Online]. Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment. Canberra, Commonwealth of Australia. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/sprat.pl>
- Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) (2020b) National Conservation Values Atlas [Online]. Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment. Canberra, Commonwealth of Australia. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/webgis-framework/apps/ncva/ncva.jsf>
- DoF 2012. Exploring the Houtman Abrolhos Islands. Published by Department of Fisheries, Perth, Western Australia. Publication No. 105, June 2012.
- DSEWPaC (Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities) (2012a) Species group report card- seabirds. Supporting the marine bioregional plan for the North-west Marine Region. Commonwealth of Australia, 2012
- DSEWPaC (2012b) Species group report card- seabirds. Supporting the marine bioregional plan for the South-west Marine Region. Commonwealth of Australia, 2012
- DSEWPaC (2011) National recovery plan for threatened albatrosses and giant petrels 2011-2016. Commonwealth of Australia, Hobart
- Garnett, S.T. & G.M. Crowley (2000). The Action Plan for Australian Birds 2000. Canberra, ACT: Environment Australia and Birds Australia. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/publications/action/birds2000/index.html>. [Accessed 21/11/2017]
- Garnett ST, Szabo JK, Dutson G (2011) The Action Plan for Australian Birds 2010. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne
- Higgins PJ & Davies SJJF eds (1996) Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds. Volume Three - Snipe to Pigeons. Melbourne, Victoria: Oxford University Press
- Hill R, Bamford M, Rounsevell D & Vincent J (1988) Little Terns and Fairy Terns in Australia - an RAOU Conservation Statement. RAOU Report Series. 53:1-12
- Lindsey TR (1986) The Seabirds of Australia. North Ryde, NSW: Angus and Robertson
- Marchant S & Higgins PJ eds. (1990) Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds. Volume One - Ratites to Ducks. Melbourne, Victoria: Oxford University Press
- Marchant S & Higgins PJ (Eds) (1993) Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds. Volume Two - Raptors to Lapwings. Oxford University Press, Melbourne
- May RF, Lenanton RCJ & Berry PF (1983) Ningaloo Marine Park. Report and recommendations by the Marine Parks and Reserves Selection Working Group. National Parks Authority, Perth, Western Australia
- Rogers, D. 1999. What determines shorebird feeding distribution in Roebuck Bay? Chapter 9, 145-174. In Pepping, M., Piersma, T., Pearson, G. and Lavaleye, M. (eds) 1999. Intertidal sediments and benthic animals of Roebuck Bay, Western Australia. Netherlands Institute for Sea Research Report 3, Texel, Netherlands, 1-214

Stokes, T. 1988. A review of the birds of Christmas Island, Indian Ocean. Australian National Parks & Wildlife Service Occasional Paper 16.

Stokes T & Hinchey M (1990) Which small Noddies breed at Ashmore Reef in Eastern Indian Ocean? *Emu*. 90:269-271

Storr GM, Johnstone RE & Griffin P (1986). Birds of the Houtman Abrolhos, Western Australia. Records of the Western Australian Museum Supplement. 24

Surman CA (2003) Second Field Survey of the Avifauna of the Barrow Island-Double Island Area, December 2003. Prepared for Apache Energy Ltd

Surman CA (2013) Scientific monitoring program 07 seabirds and shorebirds. Unpublished report to Apache Energy Ltd

Surman CA & Nicholson LW (2006) 'Seabirds,' in S McClatchie, J Middleton, C Pattiaratchi, D Currie & G Kendrick (eds), *The South-west Marine Region: ecosystems and key species groups*, Australian Government Department of the Environment and Water Resources, Hobart

Surman CA & Nicholson LW (2012) Monitoring of annual variation in seabird breeding colonies throughout the Lowendal Group of islands: 2012 Annual Report. Unpublished report prepared for Apache Energy Ltd. by Halfmoon Biosciences. 42pp.

Surman CA & Nicholson LW (2013) Monitoring of annual variation in seabird breeding colonies throughout the Lowendal Group of islands: 2013 Annual Report. Lowendal Island Seabird Monitoring Program (LISMP). Unpublished report prepared for Apache Energy Ltd. by Halfmoon Biosciences. 59pp.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2020a). Conservation Advice for the Christmas Island Frigatebird *Fregata andrewsii*. Canberra: Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/1011-conservation-advice-19102020.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 19-Oct-2020.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2020b). Conservation Advice the Abbott's booby *Papasula abbotti*. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/59297-conservation-advice-19102020.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 19-Oct-2020.

16.9 Protected Areas

Asia Development Bank (ADB) 2014. State of the Coral Triangle: Indonesia. Mandaluyong City, Philippines 2014.

Bennelongia Pty Ltd (2009) Ecological Character Description for Roebuck Bay. Report prepared for the Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth, Western Australia. Available at < https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/conservation-management/wetlands/ramsar/roebuck-bay-ecd_final-with-disclaimer.pdf> [Accessed April 2014]

CALM (Department of Conservation and Land Management) (1990) Dampier Archipelago Nature Reserves Management Plan. https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/decarchive/dampier_archipelago.pdf [Accessed Jan 2019]

CALM (Department of Conservation and Land Management) (1991). Fitzgerald River National Park Management Plan 1991 – 2001 No. 15. https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/decarchive/fitzgerald_river.pdf [Accessed December 2019]

CALM (WA Department of Conservation and Land Management)(1995). Yalgorup National Park Management Plan.

CALM (WA Department of Conservation and Land Management) (1998a). Namburg National Park Management Plan. Available at: <https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/decarchive/namburg.pdf>. [Accessed Jan 2019]

CALM (WA Department of Conservation and Land Management) (1998b). Leschenault Peninsula Management Plan. Available at: <https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/decarchive/leschenault.pdf>. [Accessed Jan 2019]

CALM (WA Department of Conservation and Land Management)(1999). Jarabi and Bundegi Coastal Parks and Muiron Islands Management Plan. Available at: <https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/decarchive/jurabi.pdf> [Accessed Jan 2019]

CALM (WA Department of Conservation and Land Management) (2002). Shoalwater Islands Management Plan. Available at: https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/decarchive/shoalwater_islands.pdf. [Accessed Jan 2019]

CALM (WA Department of Conservation and Land Management) (2003). Carnac Island Nature Reserve Management Plan (2003). Available at: https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/decarchive/2003240-carnac_plan.pdf. [Accessed Jan 2019]

CALM (WA Department of Conservation and Land Management) (2004). Turquoise Coast Nature Reserve Management Plan. Available at: https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/decarchive/turquoise_coast_final.pdf [Accessed Jan 2019]

Commonwealth of Australia, 2002. Ashmore Reef National Nature Reserve and Cartier Island Marine Reserve Management Plans. Environment Australia.

DAWE 2020a. Australian Wetlands Database, Important Wetlands, Exmouth Gulf East Wetland. http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/report.pl?smode=DOIW;doiw_refcodelist=WA007 [Accessed 19 March 2020].

DAWE 2020b. Australian Wetlands Database, Important Wetlands, Hutt Lagoon System. http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/report.pl?smode=DOIW;doiw_refcodelist=WA035 [Accessed 19 March 2020].

DAWE 2020c. Australian Wetlands Database, Important Wetlands, Lake Macleod. http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/report.pl?smode=DOIW;doiw_refcodelist=WA009 [Accessed 19 March 2020].

DAWE 2020d. Australian Wetlands Database, Important Wetlands, Lake Thetis. http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/report.pl?smode=DOIW;doiw_refcodelist=WA084 [Accessed 19 March 2020].

DAWE 2020e. Australian Wetlands Database, Important Wetlands, Learmonth Air Weapons Range – Saline Coastal Flats. http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/report.pl?smode=DOIW;doiw_refcodelist=WA084 [Accessed 19 March 2020].

DAWE 2020f. Australian Wetlands Database, Important Wetlands, Leslie (Port Hedland) Saltfields System. http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/report.pl?smode=DOIW;doiw_refcodelist=WA068 [Accessed 19 March 2020].

DAWE 2020g Australian Wetlands Database, Important Wetlands, Prince Regent River System. http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/report.pl?smode=DOIW;doiw_refcodelist=WA064 [Accessed 19 March 2020].

DAWE 2020h. Australian Wetlands Database, Important Wetlands, Rottneest Island Lakes. http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/report.pl?smode=DOIW;doiw_refcodelist=WA089 [Accessed 19 March 2020].

DAWE 2020i. Australian Wetlands Database, Important Wetlands, Shark Bay East. http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/report.pl?smode=DOIW;doiw_refcodelist=WA011 [Accessed 19 March 2020].

- DAWE 2020j. Australian Wetlands Database, Important Wetlands, Cape Leeuwin System. http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/report.pl?smode=DOIW;doiw_refcodelist=WA103 [Accessed 19 March 2020].
- DAWE 2020k. Australian Wetlands Database, Important Wetlands, Doggerup Creek System. http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/report.pl?smode=DOIW;doiw_refcodelist=WA104 [Accessed 19 March 2020].
- DAWE 2020l. Australian Wetlands Database, Important Wetlands, Cape Range Subterranean Waterways. http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/report.pl?smode=DOIW;doiw_refcodelist=WA006 [Accessed 19 March 2020].
- DBCA (WA Department of Biodiversity, Conservation, and Attractions) (2019). Pilbara Inshore Islands. Frequently Asked Questions.
- DEC (Department of Environment and Conservation) 2002. A Biodiversity Audit of Western Australia's 53 Biogeographic Subregions.
- DEC (WA Department of Environment and Conservation) (2010a). Cape Range National Park Management Plan
- DEC (WA Department of Environment and Conservation) (2010b). Woodman Park Regional Park Management Plan. Available at: https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/decarchive/woodman_pt_mgmt_plan_-_draft_9_web_feb_10.pdf. [Accessed Jan 2019]
- DEC (WA Department of Environment and Conservation) (2013). Murujuga National Park management plan
- DEC (Department of Environment and Conservation) (2011) Interim Recovery Plan 2011-2016 for Sedgeland in Holocene dune swales, Interim Recovery Plan No. 314
- DEC (Department of Environment and Conservation) (2012a) World Heritage Areas. Available at <https://www.environment.gov.au/heritage/about/world-heritage> [Accessed June 2013]
- DEC (WA Department of Environment and Conservation) (2012b). Shannon and D'Entrecasteaux National Parks Management Plan No. 71. https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/decarchive/shannon_and_dentrecasteaux_national_parks_management_plan_71_2012.pdf. [Accessed December 2019]
- DEC (WA Department of Environment and Conservation) (2008). Walpole Wilderness and Adjacent Parks and Reserves Management Plan. https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/decarchive/wwa_mp_070708_nomaps.pdf. [Accessed December 2019]
- DEC (WA Department of Environment and Conservation) (2009). Walpole and Nornalup Inlets Marine Park Management Plan No 62. https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/decarchive/wni_mp2009_2.pdf. [Accessed December 2019]
- DEC (WA Department of Environment and Conservation) (2015). Rockingham Lakes Regional Park. Available at: https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/decarchive/rockingham_lakes_regional_park_management_plan_cover.pdf. [Accessed Jan 2019]
- DEWHA (2008) Shark bay World Heritage Property Strategic Plan 2008-2020. Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts, Canberra, Australia
- DEWHA (2010b) Ningaloo Coast World Heritage Nomination. Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts, Canberra, Australia. Available at < <http://www.environment.gov.au/node/19787> > [Accessed April 2014]
- DoE (Department of Environment) 2012. Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia, Version 7. Available at: <http://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/pages/5b3d2d31-2355-4b60-820c-e370572b2520/files/bioregions-new.pdf> [Accessed January 2019]
- DoE (Department of Environment) (2014a) World Heritage Places - The Ningaloo Coast Western Australia. Available at: <http://www.environment.gov.au/node/19787> [Accessed April 2014]

- DoE (2014b) Shark Bay, Western Australia, World Heritage Values. Available at: <http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage/places/world/shark-bay> [Accessed April 2014]
- DoE (2014c) Australian Ramsar Wetlands Database: Roebuck Bay. Available at <http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/ramsardetails.pl?refcode=33> [Accessed July 2013]
- DoE (2014d) Australian Heritage Database. Available at <http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl> [Accessed April 2014]
- DoE (2014e) Australian Heritage Database. Available at http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl?mode=place_detail;place_id=105967 [Accessed December 2014]
- DoE (2014f) Australian Heritage Database. Available at http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl?mode=place_detail;place_id=105578 [Accessed December 2014]
- DoE (2014g) Australian Heritage Database. Available at http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl?mode=place_detail;place_id=105551 [Accessed December 2014]
- DoE (2014h) Claypans of the Swan Coastal Plain in Community and Species Profile and Threats Database. Available at: <http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicshowcommunity.pl?id=121> [Accessed December 2014]
- DoE (2014i) Aquatic Root Mat Community in Caves of the Swan Coastal Plain in Community Species Profile and Threats Database. Available at: <http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicshowcommunity.pl?id=12> [Accessed December 2014]
- DoE (2014j) Sedgeland in Holocene dune swales of the southern Swan Coastal Plain in Community and Species Profile and Threats Database. Available at:
<http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicshowcommunity.pl?id=19> [Accessed December 2014]
- DoE (2014k) Subtropical and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh in Community and Species Profile and Threats Database. Available at: <http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicshowcommunity.pl?id=118> [Accessed December 2014]
- DoE (2014l) Australian Wetlands Database, Ramsar wetlands, Becher Point. Available at: <http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/ramsardetails.pl?refcode=54> [Accessed December 2014]
- DoE (2014m) Australian Wetlands Database, Ramsar wetlands, Peel-Yalgorup System. Available at: <http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/ramsardetails.pl?refcode=36> [Accessed December 2014]
- DoE (2014n) Australian Wetlands Database, Ramsar wetlands, Vasse-Wonnerup System. Available at: <http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/ramsardetails.pl?refcode=38> [Accessed December 2014]
- DoEE (2019) Australian Wetlands Database, Ramsar wetlands, Hosnies Spring. Available at: <http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/ramsardetails.pl?refcode=40> [Accessed November 2019]
- DoEE (2019a) Australian Wetlands Database, Ramsar wetlands The Dales. Available at: <http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/wetlands/ramsardetails.pl?refcode=61> [Accessed December 2014]
- DoEE (Department of Environment and Energy) (2019b). Australian Heritage Database, Dirk Hartog Landing Site 1616 - Cape Inscription Area, Dirk Hartog Island, WA, Australia. Available at http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl?mode=place_detail;place_id=105808 [Accessed November 2019]
- DoEE (2019c). Australian Heritage Database, Dampier Archipelago (including Burrup Peninsula), Karratha Dampier Rd, Dampier, WA, Australia. Available at http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl?mode=place_detail;place_id=105727 [Accessed November 2019]
- DoEE (2019d). Australian Heritage Database, Fitzgerald River National Park, South Coast Hwy, Ravensthorpe, WA, Australia. Available at http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl?mode=place_detail;place_id=105974 [Accessed November 2019]

- DoEE (2019e). Australian Heritage Database, Lesueur National Park, Coorow Green Head Rd, Green Head, WA, Australia. Available at http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl?mode=place_detail;place_id=105967 [Accessed November 2019]
- DoEE (2019f). Australian Heritage Database, Christmas Island Natural Areas, Settlement, EXT, Australia. Available at http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl?mode=place_detail;search=place_name%3DChristmas%2520Island%2520Natural%2520Areas%3Bkeyword_PD%3Don%3Bkeyword_SS%3Don%3Bkeyword_PH%3Don%3Blatitude_1dir%3DS%3Blongitude_1dir%3DE%3Blongitude_2dir%3DE%3Blatitude_2dir%3DS%3Bin_region%3Dpart;place_id=105187 [Accessed November 2019]
- DoEE (2019g). Australian Heritage Database, Yampi Defence Area, Koolan Island, WA, Australia. Available at http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl?mode=place_detail;search=place_name%3DYampi%2520Defence%2520Area%3Bkeyword_PD%3Don%3Bkeyword_SS%3Don%3Bkeyword_PH%3Don%3Blatitude_1dir%3DS%3Blongitude_1dir%3DE%3Blongitude_2dir%3DE%3Blatitude_2dir%3DS%3Bin_region%3Dpart;place_id=105418 [Accessed November 2019]
- DoEE (2019h). Australian Heritage Database, Learmonth Air Weapons Range Facility, Learmonth, WA, Australia. Available at http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl?mode=place_detail;search=place_name%3DLearmonth%2520Air%2520Weapons%2520Range%2520Facility%3Bkeyword_PD%3Don%3Bkeyword_SS%3Don%3Bkeyword_PH%3Don%3Blatitude_1dir%3DS%3Blongitude_1dir%3DE%3Blongitude_2dir%3DE%3Blatitude_2dir%3DS%3Bin_region%3Dpart;place_id=105551 [Accessed November 2019]
- DoEE (2019i). Australian Heritage Database, Lancelin Defence Training Area, Mimegarra Rd, Lancelin, WA, Australia. Available at http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl?mode=place_detail;search=place_name%3DLancelin%2520Defence%2520Training%2520Area%3Blist_code%3DCHL%3Bkeyword_PD%3Don%3Bkeyword_SS%3Don%3Bkeyword_PH%3Don%3Blatitude_1dir%3DS%3Blongitude_1dir%3DE%3Blongitude_2dir%3DE%3Blatitude_2dir%3DS%3Bin_region%3Dpart;place_id=105578 [Accessed November 2019]
- DoE (2015a) Australian Heritage Database. Available at: http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl?mode=place_detail;place_id=106003 [Accessed January 2015]
- DoE (2015b) Proteaceae Dominated Kwongan Shrublands of the Southeast Coastal Floristic Province of Western Australia in Community and Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of the Environment, Canberra. Available at: <http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicshowcommunity.pl?id=126&status=Endangered> [Accessed January 2015]
- DoEE (2016a) Yampi Defence Area, Koolan Island, WA, Australia. Available at http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl?mode=place_detail;place_id=105418 [Accessed 2 August 2016]
- DoE (2014b) *Pristis clavata* in Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of the Environment, Canberra. Available from: http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicspecies.pl?taxon_id=68447. [Accessed 18 Mar 2014]
- DoEE (2016b) Garden Island, Garden Island, WA, Australia. Available at http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl?mode=place_detail;place_id=105274 [Accessed 2 August 2016]
- DPAW (WA Department of Parks and Wildlife) (2012). Shark Bay Terrestrial Reserves and Proposed Reserve Additions Management Plan. Available at: https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/decarchive/sharkbay_managementplanno75_2012.pdf [Accessed Jan 2019]
- DPAW (WA Department of Parks and Wildlife) (2015). Kalbarri National Park Management Plan. Available from: https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/decarchive/kalbarri_web_mgt_plan.pdf [Accessed February 2020]

DPAW (WA Department of Parks and Wildlife) (2015). Barrow Island Group Nature Reserves Management Plan. https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/decarchive/barrow_group_nature_reserves_management_plan_finalweb.pdf [Accessed Jan 2012]

DPAW (WA Department of Parks and Wildlife) (2015). Leeuwin-Naturaliste Capes Area Parks and Reserves Management Plan. Available at: https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/decarchive/Leeuwin-Naturaliste_management_plan_2015_WEB.pdf. [Accessed Jan 2019]

DPAW (WA Department of Parks and Wildlife) (2016). Parks and reserves of the south-west Kimberley and north-west Pilbara Draft Management Plan (2016). Available at: https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/20160400_swest_kimberley_draft_mp_v7.pdf

DPAW (WA Department of Parks and Wildlife) (2016). Yawaru Birragun Conservation Park Management Plan. Available at https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/ybcp_mangement_plan_web.pdf [Accessed Jan 2019]

DPAW (WA Department of Parks and Wildlife) (2016b). Albany coast draft management plan 2016. https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/parks/management-plans/albany_coast_draft_management_plan.pdf [Accessed December 2019]

Hale J & Butcher R (2009) Ecological Character Description of the Eighty Mile Beach Ramsar Site. Report to the Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth, Western Australia. Available at https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/images/documents/conservation-management/wetlands/ramsar/eighty-mile-beach-ecd_final-with-disclaimer.pdf [Accessed April 2014]

Hale, J., Butcher, R., 2013. Ashmore Reef Commonwealth Marine Reserve Ramsar Site ecological character description (A report to the Department of the Environment). Department of the Environment, Canberra.

Moore L, Knot B and Stanley N (1983) The Stromatolites of Lake Clifton, Western Australia – Living Structures Representing the Origins of Life. Search 14:11-12.

Savu Sea National Marine Conservation Area, Undated. Coral Triangle Atlas – Savu Sea National Marine Conservation Area information requirements for inclusion in CTMPAs Categories 3 or 4. Available at <http://ctatlas.reefbase.org/pdf/monitoring/CTMPAS%20SavuSea%20July%202014.pdf> [Accessed August 2016]

UNESCO (2020) Shark Bay, Western Australia. Available at: <https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/578> [Accessed February 2020]

16.10 Key Ecological Features

Baker C, Potter A, Tran M, Heap AD (2008) Geomorphology and sedimentology of the North-west Marine Region of Australia. Record 2008/07, Geoscience Australia, Canberra

Bannister, J.L., C.M. Kemper & R.M. Warneke (1996). The Action Plan for Australian Cetaceans., Canberra: Australian Nature Conservation Agency. <http://www.environment.gov.au/resource/action-plan-australian-cetaceans>

Bannister, J.L., Josephson, EA, Reeves, RR & Smith, TD, (2007). There she blew! Yankee sperm whaling grounds, 1760-1920. DJ Starkey, P Holm & M Barnard, (Eds). Oceans past: management insights from the history of marine animal populations, Earthscan Research Editions, Oxford.

Blaber SJM, Dichmont CM, Buckworth RC, Badrudin, Sumiono B, Nurhakim, Iskandar B, Fegan B, Ramm DC & Salini JP (2005) Shared stocks of snappers (Lutjanidae) in Australia and Indonesia: integrating biology, population dynamics and socio-economics to examine management scenarios, Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, vol. 15, pp. 111-127

Blaber SJM, Dichmont CM, White W, Buckworth R, Sadiyah L, Iskandar B, Nurhakim S, Pillans R, Andamari R, Dharmadi & Fahmi (2009) Elasmobranchs in southern Indonesian fisheries: the fisheries, the status of the stocks and management options, Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, vol. 19, pp. 367-391

Brewer DT, Lyne V, Skewes TD, Rothlisberg, P (2007) Trophic systems of the North West Marine Region. Report to the Australian Government Department of the Environment and Water Resources, CSIRO, Cleveland

Caton A & McLoughlin, K, (Eds) (2004). Fishery status reports 2004: status of fish stocks managed by the Australian Government., Bureau of Rural Sciences, Canberra.

Dambacher, JM, Rochester, W & Dutra, L, (2009). Addendum to ecological indicators for the exclusive economic zone waters of the South-west Marine Region., report for the Australian Government Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts, Canberra.

DEH (Australian Government Department of the Environment and Heritage), (2006). A Guide to the Integrated Marine and Coastal Regionalisation of Australia Version 4.0., Department of the Environment and Heritage, Canberra, Australia.

DEWHA (2008c) A characterisation of the marine environment of the North-west Marine Region: Perth workshop report. A summary of an expert workshop convened in Perth, Western Australia. 5-6 September 2007, DEWHA, Hobart

DEWHA (2008d) The North-west Marine bioregional plan: bioregional profile. A description of the ecosystems, conservation values and uses of the North-west Marine Bioregion. DEWHA, Canberra

DEWHA (Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts) (2008b). The South-West Marine Bioregional Plan: Bioregional Profile: A Description of the Ecosystems, Conservation Values and Uses of the South-West Marine Region. Canberra: DWHA.

DEWHA, (2010). Recovery Plan for the Australian Sea Lion (*Neophoca cinerea*), Technical Issues Paper., Australian Government, Canberra.

DoEE (2016a) Thrombolite (microbialite) Community of a Coastal Brackish Lake (Lake Clifton) in Community and Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of the Environment, Canberra. Available from: <https://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicshowcommunity.pl?id=96&status=Critically+Endangered>. [Accessed 2016-08-02T13:56:21AEST]

DoEE (2016b) Monsoon vine thickets on the coastal sand dunes of Dampier Peninsula in Community and Species Profile and Threats Database, Department of the Environment, Canberra. Available from: <https://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/sprat/public/publicshowcommunity.pl?id=105>. Accessed 2016-08-02T14:04:23AEST

Done TJ, Williams DMcB, Speare PJ, Davidson J, DeVantier LM, Newman SJ, Hutchins JB (1994) Surveys of coral and fish communities at Scott Reef and Rowley Shoals. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville

Donovan A, Brewer D, van der Velde T, Skewes T (2008) Scientific descriptions of four selected key ecological features in the North-west Bioregion: final report. Report to the Australian Government Department of Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts, CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research, Cleveland

DSEWPaC (Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities) (2012) Commonwealth marine environment report card. Commonwealth of Australia

DSEWPaC (Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities) (2012b) Marine bioregional plan for the South-west Marine Region

DSEWPaC (Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities) (2012c) Commonwealth marine environment report card: supporting the marine bioregional plan for the South-west Marine Region

DSEWPaC (Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities) (2012d) Commonwealth marine environment report card. Commonwealth of Australia

EA 2000. Mermaid Reef Marine National Nature Reserve Plan of Management 2000-2007. Environment Australia, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory

- EA (Environment Australia) (2002) Ashmore Reef National Nature Reserve and Cartier Island Marine Reserve (Commonwealth waters) management plans. EA, Canberra
- Exon, NF, Hill, PJ, Mitchell, C & Post, A (2005). Nature and origin of the submarine Albany canyons off southwest Australia. *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 52: 101-115.
- Falkner I, Whiteway T, Przeslawski R, Heap AD (2009) Review of ten key ecological features in the Northwest Marine Region. Record 2009/13, Geoscience Australia, Canberra
- Fletcher WJ, Santoro K (eds) (2009) State of the fisheries report 2008/09. Department of Fisheries, Western Australia, Perth
- Gilmour, J, Cheal, A, Smith, L, Underwood, J, Meekan, M, Fitzgibbon, B & Rees, M, (2007). Data compilation and analysis for Rowley Shoals: Mermaid, Imperieuse and Clerke reefs., Report to the Department of Environment and Water Resources, Australian Institute of Marine Science, Perth.
- Guinea, M, (2006). Sea turtles, sea snakes and dugongs of Scott Reef, Seringapatam Reef and Browse Island with notes on West Lacepede Island., Report submitted to the Australian Government Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts, Canberra.
- Government of Western Australia (2010). Browse Liquefied Natural Gas Plant Strategic Assessment Report. Part 4 Environmental Assessment – Terrestrial Impacts. December 2010.
- Heap AD, Harris PT (2008) Geomorphology of the Australian margin and adjacent seafloor. *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences* 55:555–585
- Heyward A, Pinceratto E, Smith L (1997) Big bank shoals of the Timor Sea: an environmental resource atlas. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Melbourne
- Hooper JNA, Ekins M (2004) 'Collation and validation of museum collection databases related to the distribution of marine sponges in Northern Australia. Unpublished report to the National Oceans Office, Hobart
- Jenner C, Jenner M, Pirzl R (2008) A study of cetacean distribution and oceanography in the Scott Reef/Browse Basin development areas during the austral winter of 2008. Centre for Whale Research (WA), Perth
- Kemps, H (2010) Ningaloo: Australia's Untamed Reef. Quinns Rocks: MIRG Australia.
- Last P, Lyne V, Yearsley G, Gledhill D, Gomon M, Rees T, White, W (2005) Validation of national demersal fish datasets for the regionalisation of the Australian continental slope and outer shelf (>40 m depth). Australian Government Department of the Environment and Heritage & CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research, Hobart
- Limpus C (2008) A biological review of Australian marine turtles 2. Green turtle *Chelonia mydas* (Linnaeus). Environment Protection Agency, Queensland
- Lyne V, Fuller M, Last P, Butler A, Martin M, Scott R (2006) Ecosystem characterisation of Australia's North West Shelf. North West Shelf Joint Environmental Management Study Technical Report 12, CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research, Hobart
- McCauley, R.D., J. Fewtrell, A.J. Duncan, C. Jenner, N. Jenner M-, J.D. Penrose, R.I.T. Prince, A. Adhitya, J. Murdoch & K. McCabe, (2000). Marine seismic surveys: analysis and propagation of air-gun signals; and effects of exposure on humpback whales, sea turtles, fishes and squid., Prepared for the Australian Petroleum Production & Exploration Association (APPEA) by the Centre for Marine Science and Technology, Curtin University of Technology, R99-15.
- McClatchie, S, Middleton, J, Pattiaratchi, C, Currie, D & Kendrick, G, (Eds), (2006). The South-west Marine Region: ecosystems and key species groups., Australian Government Department of the Environment and Water Resources, Canberra.
- McLoughlin RJ, Young PC (1985) Sedimentary provinces of the fishing grounds of the North West Shelf of Australia: grain-size frequency analysis of surficial sediments. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 36: 671–81

Milton DA (2005) Birds of Ashmore Reef National Nature Reserve: an assessment of its importance for seabirds and waders. The Beagle, Records of the Museums and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory, suppl. 1: 133–141

NERP MBH National Environmental Research Program Marine Biodiversity Hub (2014). Exploring the Oceanic Shoals Commonwealth Marine Reserve., NERP MBH, Hobart.

Pattiaratchi, C, (2007). Understanding areas of high productivity within the South-west Marine Region., Report to the Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts, Canberra.

Richardson, L, Mathews, E & Heap, A, (2005). Geomorphology and sedimentology of the south western planning area of Australia: review and synthesis of relevant literature in support of regional marine planning., Record 2005/17, Geoscience Australia, Canberra.

Rowden, AA, Dower, JF, Schlacher, TA, Consalvey, M, Clark, MR (2010). Paradigms in seamount ecology: fact, fiction and future. Marine Ecology, 31: 226-241.

Salini JP, Ovenden JR, Street R, Pendrey R, Haryanti & Ngurah (2006) Genetic population structure of red snappers (*Lutjanus malabaricus* Bloch & Schneider, 1801 and *Lutjanus erythropterus* Bloch, 1790) in central and eastern Indonesia and Australia, Journal of Fish Biology, vol. 68 (supplement B), pp. 217-234

Sleeman JC, Meekan MG, Wilson SG, Jenner CKS, Jenner MN, Boggs GS, Steinberg CC, Bradshaw CJA (2007) 'Biophysical correlates of relative abundances of marine megafauna at Ningaloo Reef, Western Australia', Marine and Freshwater Research, vol. 58, pp. 608–623

Stambler N (2011) Zooxanthellae: the yellow symbionts inside animals, in Dubinsky Z, Stambler N (eds), Coral reefs: an ecosystem in transition. Springer, London

Stow, DAV (2006). Oceans: an illustrated reference., University of Chicago Press.

Underwood JN (2009) Genetic diversity and divergence among coastal and offshore reefs in a hard coral depend on geographic discontinuity and oceanic currents. Evolutionary Applications 2: 1–11

Underwood JN, Smith LD, van Oppen MJH, Gilmour J (2009) Ecologically relevant dispersal of a brooding and a broadcast spawning coral at isolated reefs: implications for managing community resilience. Ecological Applications 19: 18–29

Whiting S (1999) Use of the remote Sahul Banks, northwestern Australia, by dugongs, including breeding females. Marine Mammal Science 15: 609–615

Williams, A, Koslow, JA & Last, PR (2001). Diversity, density and community structure of the demersal fish fauna of the continental slope off western Australia (20 to 35° S). Marine Ecology Progress Series, 212: 247-63.

Wilson, RR & Kaufman, RS (1987). Seamount biota and biography. B Keating, P Fryer, R Batiza, & G Boehlert, (Eds). Seamounts, islands and atolls. Geophysical Monograph Series, 43: 355-377.

16.11 State Marine Parks

AHC (2006) Cape Range National Park and Surrounds, Exmouth, WA. A WWW publication accessed December 2006 at <http://www.environment.gov.au/>. Australian Heritage Commission, Canberra.

CALM (1996) Shark Bay Marine Reserves. Management Plan. 1996-2006. Marine Conservation Branch, Management Plan No. 34. Department of Conservation and Land Management.

CALM (1999) Swan Estuary Marine Park and Adjacent Nature Reserves Management Plan 1999-2009. Management Plan No. 41. Department of Conservation and Land Management.

CALM (2002) Management Plan for Marmion Marine Park 1992-2002: Management Plan No.23. Department of Conservation and Land Management

CALM (2004) Indicative Management Plan for the Proposed Montebello/Barrow Islands Marine conservation Reserves, 2004. Marine Conservation Branch, Department of Conservation and Land Management.

CALM (2005) Management Plan for the Ningaloo Marine Park and Muiron Islands Marine Management Area 2005 – 2015 Management Plan No. 52. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Perth, Western Australia.

Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, DBCA (2017a). Parks and Wildlife Services: Approved Management Plans. Accessible from: <https://www.dpaw.wa.gov.au/parks/management-plans/approved-management-plans>. [20 Dec 2017]

DEC (2005) Jurien Bay Marine Park Management Plan 2005– 2015, Management plan number 49. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth, Western Australia

DEC (2007a) Management Plan for the Montebello/Barrow Islands Marine Conservation Reserves 2007–2017: Management Plan No. 55. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth, Western Australia.

DEC (2007b) Management Plan for the Rowley Shoals Marine Park 2007–2017: Management Plan No. 56. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth, Western Australia.

DEC (2007c). Management Plan for the Shoalwater Islands Marine Park 2007-2017: Management Plan No. 58. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth, Western Australia.

DEC (2009b) Walpole and Nornalup Inlets Marine Park Management Plan 2009-2019. Management Plan No. 62. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth, Western Australia.

DEC (2010). Shark Bay Marine Park and Hamelin Pool Marine Nature Reserve Recreational Guide. Available at: <https://parks.dpaw.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/downloads/parks/20180017%20WEB%20VERSION%20SHARK%20BAY%20MARINE%20RESERVES.pdf> [Accessed January 2015]

DEC (2013) Ngari Capes Marine Park management plan 2013– 2023, Management plan number 74. Department of Environment and Conservation, Perth.

DPAW 2013. Lalang-garram/ Camden Sound Marine Park Management Plan 73 2013–2023. Department of Parks and Wildlife, Perth, Western Australia

DPAW 2014. Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park Management Plan 80 2014-2024. Department of Parks and Wildlife, Perth, Western Australia

DEWHA (2008) The North-west Marine Bioregional Plan: Bioregional profile: A Description of the Ecosystems, Conservation Values and Uses of the North-West Marine Region. Department of the Environment Water, Heritage and the Arts, Canberra, ACT.

DPaW 2016, Lalang-garram/ Horizontal Falls and North Lalang-garram marine parks joint management plan 2016. Management Plan 88. Department of Parks and Wildlife, Perth.

DoEE (2019c), Australia's National Heritage List. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage/places/national-heritage-list> [Accessed 16 December 2019].

DPaW (2013) Lalang-garram / Camden Sound Marine Park management plan no. 73 2013–2023, Department of Parks and Wildlife, Perth, Western Australia.

DPaW (2013a) New and proposed marine parks and reserves. Online, retrieved 23rd April 2014. Available at: <https://www.dbca.wa.gov.au/parks-and-wildlife-service/plan-for-our-parks>

DPaW (2014) Eighty Mile Beach Marine Park Management Plan 2014-2024. Management Plan No. 80. Department of Parks and Wildlife, Perth, Western Australia.

Department of Parks and Wildlife (2016a). North Kimberley Marine Park Joint management plan 2016 Uunguu, Balanggarra, Miriuwung Gajerrong, and Wilinggin management areas, Number Plan 89 Department of Parks and Wildlife, Perth.

Department of Parks and Wildlife, DPaW (2016b). Yawuru Nagulagun/Roebuck Bay Marine Park: Joint management plan 2016.

DSEWPaC (2013a) Shark Bay, Western Australia, Work Heritage Values. [Online, retrieved 17 July 2013] Available at: <https://www.environment.gov.au/heritage/places/world/shark-bay>

Yawuru Organisation (2017). Environmental Services for Yawuru Protected Areas. Accessible from: <http://www.yawuru.org.au/country/environmental-services/>. [20 Dec 2017]

DBCA (2017b). Explore Parks WA: Yawuru Nagulagun/Roebuck Bay Marine Park. Accessible from: <https://parks.dpaw.wa.gov.au/park/yawuru-nagulagun-roebuck-bay>. [20 Dec 2017]

16.12 Australian Marine Parks

DSEWPaC (2012) Marine bioregional plan for the North-west Marine Region. Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Canberra, ACT. 269 pp.

Director of National Parks (2012a) Concerning the Proposed Proclamation of 40 Commonwealth marine reserves (and the related revocation of seven existing Commonwealth reserves and the revocation of the Coral Sea Conservation Zone); and The amendment of the names of four existing Commonwealth marine reserves. Report to the Director of National Parks under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 Section 351.

Director of National Parks (2018a), South-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan 2018, Director of National Parks, Canberra.

Director of National Parks (2018b), North-west Marine Parks Network Management Plan 2018, Director of National Parks, Canberra.

Director of National Parks (2018c), North Marine Parks Network Management Plan 2018, Director of National Parks, Canberra.

16.13 Conservation Management Plans

Hill, R. and Dunn A. (2004), National Recovery Plan for the Christmas Island Frigatebird *Fregata andrewsi*. Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (2011), National recovery plan for threatened albatrosses and giant petrels 2011-2016, Commonwealth of Australia, Hobart

Commonwealth of Australia (2015), Conservation Management Plan for the Blue Whale—A Recovery Plan under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999, Commonwealth of Australia, 2015.

Commonwealth of Australia (2012), Conservation Management Plan for the Southern Right Whale - A Recovery Plan under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 2011 - 2021, Commonwealth of Australia, 2012.

Commonwealth of Australia (2013), Recovery Plan for the Australian Sea Lion (*Neophoca cinerea*) 2013.

Commonwealth of Australia (2017), Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia 2017 – 2027.

Commonwealth of Australia (2014), Recovery Plan for the Grey Nurse Shark (*Carcharias taurus*) 2014.

Commonwealth of Australia (2013), Recovery Plan for the White Shark (*Carcharodon carcharias*) 2013.

Commonwealth of Australia (2015), Sawfish and River Sharks - Multispecies Recovery Plan 2015.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2015). Conservation Advice *Anous tenuirostris melanops* Australian lesser noddy, Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/26000-conservation-advice-01102015.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 01-Oct-2015.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2020a). Conservation Advice for the Christmas Island Frigatebird *Fregata andrewsii*. Canberra: Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/1011-conservation-advice-19102020.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 19-Oct-2020.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2020b). Conservation Advice the Abbott's booby *Papasula abbotti*. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/59297-conservation-advice-19102020.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 19-Oct-2020.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2020c). Conservation Advice for *Thalassarche cauta* Shy Albatross. Canberra: Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/89224-conservation-advice-03072020.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 03-Jul-2020.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2019). Conservation Advice for *Botaurus poiciloptilus* (Australasian Bittern). Canberra, ACT: Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/1001-conservation-advice-18012019.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 18-Jan-2019.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2016). Conservation Advice *Calidris canutus* Red knot. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/855-conservation-advice-05052016.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 05-May-2016.

Department of the Environment (2015). Conservation Advice *Calidris ferruginea* curlew sandpiper. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/856-conservation-advice.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 26-May-2015.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2016). Conservation Advice *Calidris tenuirostris* Great knot. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/862-conservation-advice-05052016.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 05-May-2016.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2016). Conservation Advice *Charadrius leschenaultii* Greater sand plover. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/877-conservation-advice-05052016.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 05-May-2016.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2016). Conservation Advice *Charadrius mongolus* Lesser sand plover. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/879-conservation-advice-05052016.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 05-May-2016.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2015). Conservation Advice *Halobaena caerulea* blue petrel. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/1059-conservation-advice-01102015.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 01-Oct-2015.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2016). Conservation Advice *Limosa lapponica baueri* Bar-tailed godwit (western Alaskan). Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/86380-conservation-advice-05052016.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 05-May-2016.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2016). Conservation Advice *Limosa lapponica menzbieri* Bar-tailed godwit (northern Siberian). Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/86432-conservation-advice-05052016.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 05-May-2016.

Department of the Environment (2015). Conservation Advice *Numenius madagascariensis* eastern curlew. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/847-conservation-advice.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 26-May-2015.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2015). Conservation Advice *Pachyptila turtur subantarctica* fairy prion (southern). Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/64445-conservation-advice-01102015.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 01-Oct-2015.

Department of the Environment (2014). Conservation Advice *Phaethon lepturus fulvus* white-tailed tropicbird (Christmas Island). Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/26021-conservation-advice.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 06-Nov-2014.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2015). Conservation Advice *Pterodroma Mollis* soft-plumaged petrel. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/1036-conservation-advice-01102015.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 01-Oct-2015.

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (2013). Approved Conservation Advice for *Rostratula australis* (Australian painted snipe). Canberra: Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/77037-conservation-advice.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 15-May-2013.

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (2011). Approved Conservation Advice for *Sternula nereis nereis* (Fairy Tern). Canberra, ACT: Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/82950-conservation-advice.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 03-Mar-2011.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2015). Conservation Advice *Balaenoptera borealis* sei whale. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/34-conservation-advice-01102015.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 01-Oct-2015.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2015). Conservation Advice *Balaenoptera physalus* fin whale. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/37-conservation-advice-01102015.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 01-Oct-2015.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2015). Conservation Advice *Megaptera novaeangliae* humpback whale. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/38-conservation-advice-10102015.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 01-Oct-2015.

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (2011). Approved Conservation Advice for *Aipysurus apraefrontalis* (Short-nosed Sea Snake). Canberra, ACT: Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/1115-conservation-advice.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 15-Feb-2011.

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (2011). Approved Conservation Advice for *Aipysurus foliosquama* (Leaf-scaled Sea Snake). Canberra, ACT: Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/1118-conservation-advice.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 15-Feb-2011.

Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (2008). Approved Conservation Advice for *Dermochelys coriacea* (Leatherback Turtle). Canberra: Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/1768-conservation-advice.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 08-Jan-2009.

Department of the Environment (2014). Approved Conservation Advice for *Glyphis garricki* (northern river shark). Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/82454-conservation-advice.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 11-Apr-2014.

Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (2009). Approved Conservation Advice for *Pristis clavata* (Dwarf Sawfish). Canberra, ACT: Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/68447-conservation-advice.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 20-Oct-2009.

Department of the Environment (2014). Approved Conservation Advice for *Pristis pristis* (largetooth sawfish). Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/60756-conservation-advice.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 11-Apr-2014.

Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (2008). Approved Conservation Advice for Green Sawfish. Canberra: Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/68442-conservation-advice.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 07-Mar-2008.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2015). Conservation Advice *Rhincodon typus* whale shark. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/66680-conservation-advice-01102015.pdf>. In effect under the EPBC Act from 01-Oct-2015.

16.14 Commercial and Recreational Fisheries

Apache (2008) Van Gogh Oil Development Draft Public Environmental Report (EPBC Referral 2007/3213). Apache Energy Ltd, Perth, Western Australia, February 2008.

Caputi, N., Jackson, G. and Pearce, A. (2014). The marine heat wave off Western Australia during the summer of 2010/11 – 2 years on. Fisheries Research Report No. 250. Department of Fisheries, Western Australia. 40pp.

Condie SA, Mansbridge JV, Hart AM and Andrewartha JR (2006) Transport and Recruitment of Silver-lip Pearl Oyster Larvae on Australia's North West Shelf. In Journal of Shellfish Research, Vol. 25, No. 1. pp 179 – 185.

Department of Agriculture (2019) Fishery Status Reports 2019. Department of Agriculture, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory.

DEWHA (2008a). North-West Marine Bioregional Plan: Bioregional Profile: A Description of the Ecosystems, Conservation Values and Uses of the North-West Marine Region. Department of Environment Water Heritage and the Arts, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory.

DPIRD (2018) Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development. Annual Report 2018. Government of Western Australia.

Environmental Resources Management (ERM) 2008, Indonesian Fishers SIA Report (Phase 1) 2007. Report produced for Woodside Energy Limited. 170 pp.

Environmental Resources Management (ERM) 2009, Browse LNG Development: Social Study on Indonesian Fishers (Phase 2) 2008. Report produced for Woodside Energy Limited. 93 pp

Fletcher, W J and Santoro, K. (2013) Status Reports of the Fisheries and Aquatic Resources of Western Australia 2012/13 (eds): The State of the Fisheries. Department of Fisheries, Western Australia.

Fletcher, W.J. and Santoro, K. (eds). (2015). Status Reports of the Fisheries and Aquatic Resources of Western Australia 2014/15: The State of the Fisheries. Department of Fisheries, Western Australia.

Gaughan, D.J., Molony, B. and Santoro, K. (eds). 2019. Status Reports of the Fisheries and Aquatic Resources of Western Australia 2017/18: The State of the Fisheries. Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia.

Gaughan, D.J. and Santoro, K. (eds). 2020. Status Reports of the Fisheries and Aquatic Resources of Western Australia 2018/19: The State of the Fisheries. Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Western Australia.

Phillips M, Henriksson PJG, Tran N, Chan CY, Mohan CV, Rodriguez U-P, Suri S, Hall S and Koeshendrajana S. 2015. Exploring Indonesian aquaculture futures. Penang, Malaysia: WorldFish.Program Report: 2015-39.

Valderrama, D., Cai, J., Hishamunda, N. & Ridler, N., eds. 2013. Social and economic dimensions of carrageenan seaweed farming. Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper No. 580. Rome, FAO. 204 pp.

WAFIC 2016. Western Australia Fishing Industry Council Incorporated. Available at: <http://www.wafic.org.au/region/west-coast/> [Accessed August 2016]

Woodside Energy Limited (Woodside) (2011) Browse LNG Development, Draft Upstream Environmental Impact Statement, EPBC Referral 2008/4111, November 2011.

16.15 Social, Economic and Cultural Features

Global Business Guide (2014). http://www.gbgingonesia.com/en/agriculture/article/2014/indonesia_s_aquaculture_and_fisheries_sector.php

AMSA (Australian Marine Safety Authority) (2012) Marine Notice 15/2012, Shipping Fairways off the north-west coast of Australia. Australian Maritime Safety Authority, Australian Government

AMSA (2013) North West Shipping Management. Australian Maritime Safety Authority. Canberra.

DEWHA (Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts) (2008a) The North-West Marine Bioregional Plan: Bioregional Profile: A Description of the Ecosystems, Conservation Values and Uses of the North-West Marine Region. [Online]. Canberra: DEWHA. Available from: <https://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/2e286b1a-c6e2-4e3d-95cf-c98a8dea60fd/files/bioregional-profile.pdf>

DoE (Department of Environment) (2014) Australian Heritage Database. Available at <http://www.environment.gov.au/cgi-bin/ahdb/search.pl> [Accessed April 2014]

DMP (Department of Mines and Petroleum) (2014) Petroleum in Western Australia. East Perth, Western Australia, April 2014.

Shire of Exmouth (2018) HEH Naval Communication Station. Available at https://www.exmouth.wa.gov.au/Profiles/exmouth/Assets/ClientData/Ningaloo_Coast_World_Heritage_Area_Cultural_History.pdf [Accessed April 2014]

Royal Australian Air Force (RAAF) (2014) Bases Western Australia. Available at <https://www.airforce.gov.au/about-us/bases> [Accessed April 2014]

Tourism Western Australia (2014) Visitor Fact Sheets – Tourism Regional Level. Available at http://www.tourism.wa.gov.au/Research_and_Reports/Regional_Fact_Sheets/Pages/Regional_Fact_Sheets.aspx [Accessed April 2014]

Appendix A: EPBC Act Protected Matters Report



EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected.

Information on the coverage of this report and qualifications on data supporting this report are contained in the caveat at the end of the report.

Information is available about [Environment Assessments](#) and the EPBC Act including significance guidelines, forms and application process details.

Report created: 10/11/20 15:56:19

[Summary](#)

[Details](#)

[Matters of NES](#)

[Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act](#)

[Extra Information](#)

[Caveat](#)


[Acknowledgements](#)



This map may contain data which are
©Commonwealth of Australia
(Geoscience Australia), ©PSMA 2015

[Coordinates](#)

[Buffer: 1.0Km](#)



Summary

Matters of National Environmental Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the [Administrative Guidelines on Significance](#).

| | |
|---|------|
| World Heritage Properties: | 2 |
| National Heritage Places: | 9 |
| Wetlands of International Importance: | 7 |
| Great Barrier Reef Marine Park: | None |
| Commonwealth Marine Area: | 2 |
| Listed Threatened Ecological Communities: | 6 |
| Listed Threatened Species: | 196 |
| Listed Migratory Species: | 109 |

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the environment anywhere.

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at <http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage>

A [permit](#) may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

| | |
|--|------|
| Commonwealth Land: | 9 |
| Commonwealth Heritage Places: | 24 |
| Listed Marine Species: | 216 |
| Whales and Other Cetaceans: | 44 |
| Critical Habitats: | None |
| Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial: | 1 |
| Australian Marine Parks: | 45 |

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have nominated.

| | |
|--|-----|
| State and Territory Reserves: | 140 |
| Regional Forest Agreements: | 1 |
| Invasive Species: | 64 |
| Nationally Important Wetlands: | 19 |
| Key Ecological Features (Marine) | 24 |

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

| World Heritage Properties | | [Resource Information] |
|--|-------|--|
| Name | State | Status |
| Shark Bay, Western Australia | WA | Declared property |
| The Ningaloo Coast | WA | Declared property |

| National Heritage Properties | | [Resource Information] |
|--|-------|--|
| Name | State | Status |
| Natural | | |
| Fitzgerald River National Park | WA | Listed place |
| Lesueur National Park | WA | Listed place |
| Shark Bay, Western Australia | WA | Listed place |
| The Ningaloo Coast | WA | Listed place |
| The West Kimberley | WA | Listed place |
| Indigenous | | |
| Dampier Archipelago (including Burrup Peninsula) | WA | Listed place |
| Historic | | |
| Batavia Shipwreck Site and Survivor Camps Area 1629 - Houtman Abrolhos | WA | Listed place |
| Dirk Hartog Landing Site 1616 - Cape Inscription Area | WA | Listed place |
| HMAS Sydney II and HSK Kormoran Shipwreck Sites | EXT | Listed place |

| Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar) | | [Resource Information] |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Name | Proximity | |
| Ashmore reef national nature reserve | Within Ramsar site | |
| Becher point wetlands | Within 10km of Ramsar | |
| Eighty-mile beach | Within Ramsar site | |
| Hosnies spring | Within Ramsar site | |
| Peel-yalgorup system | Within Ramsar site | |
| Roebuck bay | Within Ramsar site | |
| The dales | Within Ramsar site | |

Commonwealth Marine Area [\[Resource Information \]](#)

Approval is required for a proposed activity that is located within the Commonwealth Marine Area which has, will have, or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment. Approval may be required for a proposed action taken outside the Commonwealth Marine Area but which has, may have or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment in the Commonwealth Marine Area. Generally the Commonwealth Marine Area stretches from three nautical miles to two hundred nautical miles from the coast.

| Name |
|----------------------------|
| EEZ and Territorial Sea |
| Extended Continental Shelf |

Marine Regions [\[Resource Information \]](#)

If you are planning to undertake action in an area in or close to the Commonwealth Marine Area, and a marine bioregional plan has been prepared for the Commonwealth Marine Area in that area, the marine bioregional plan may inform your decision as to whether to refer your proposed action under the EPBC Act.

| Name |
|----------------------------|
| North |
| North-west |
| South-west |

Listed Threatened Ecological Communities [\[Resource Information \]](#)

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are derived from recovery plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|---------------------------------------|
| Banksia Woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain ecological community | Endangered | Community likely to occur within area |
| Monsoon vine thickets on the coastal sand dunes | Endangered | Community likely to |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| of Dampier Peninsula | | occur within area |
| Proteaceae Dominated Kwongan Shrublands of the Southeast Coastal Floristic Province of Western Australia | Endangered | Community likely to occur within area |
| Subtropical and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh | Vulnerable | Community likely to occur within area |
| Thrombolite (microbialite) Community of a Coastal Brackish Lake (Lake Clifton) | Critically Endangered | Community known to occur within area |
| Tuart (Eucalyptus gomphocephala) Woodlands and Forests of the Swan Coastal Plain ecological community | Critically Endangered | Community likely to occur within area |

Listed Threatened Species [[Resource Information](#)]

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Birds | | |
| Accipiter hiogaster natalis Christmas Island Goshawk [82408] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Anous tenuirostris melanops Australian Lesser Noddy [26000] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Atrichornis clamosus Noisy Scrub-bird, Tjimiluk [654] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Botaurus poiciloptilus Australasian Bittern [1001] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris tenuirostris Great Knot [862] | Critically Endangered | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calyptorhynchus banksii naso Forest Red-tailed Black-Cockatoo, Karrak [67034] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calyptorhynchus baudinii Baudin's Cockatoo, Long-billed Black-Cockatoo [769] | Endangered | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Calyptorhynchus latirostris Carnaby's Cockatoo, Short-billed Black-Cockatoo [59523] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Cereopsis novaehollandiae grisea Cape Barren Goose (south-western), Recherche Cape Barren Goose [25978] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Chalcophaps indica natalis Christmas Island Emerald Dove, Emerald Dove (Christmas Island) [67030] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Charadrius leschenaultii Greater Sand Plover, Large Sand Plover [877] | Vulnerable | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Charadrius mongolus Lesser Sand Plover, Mongolian Plover [879] | Endangered | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Dasyornis longirostris Western Bristlebird [515] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Diomedea amsterdamensis Amsterdam Albatross [64405] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence within area |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Diomedea dabbenena Tristan Albatross [66471] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Erythrotriorchis radiatus Red Goshawk [942] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Erythrura gouldiae Gouldian Finch [413] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Falco hypoleucos Grey Falcon [929] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Falcunculus frontatus whitei Crested Shrike-tit (northern), Northern Shrike-tit [26013] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fregata andrewsi Christmas Island Frigatebird, Andrew's Frigatebird [1011] | Endangered | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Geophaps smithii blaauwi Partridge Pigeon (western) [66501] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Halobaena caerulea Blue Petrel [1059] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Leipoa ocellata Malleefowl [934] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Limosa lapponica baueri Bar-tailed Godwit (baueri), Western Alaskan Bar-tailed Godwit [86380] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Limosa lapponica menzbieri Northern Siberian Bar-tailed Godwit, Bar-tailed Godwit (menzbieri) [86432] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Malurus leucopterus edouardi White-winged Fairy-wren (Barrow Island), Barrow Island Black-and-white Fairy-wren [26194] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| Malurus leucopterus leucopterus White-winged Fairy-wren (Dirk Hartog Island), Dirk Hartog Black-and-White Fairy-wren [26004] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Ninox natalis Christmas Island Hawk-Owl, Christmas Boobook [66671] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pachyptila turtur subantarctica Fairy Prion (southern) [64445] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Papasula abbotti Abbott's Booby [59297] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pezoporus flaviventris Western Ground Parrot, Kyloring [84650] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pezoporus occidentalis Night Parrot [59350] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Phaethon lepturus fulvus Christmas Island White-tailed Tropicbird, Golden Bosunbird [26021] | Endangered | Breeding likely to occur within area |
| Phoebetria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Polytelis alexandrae Princess Parrot, Alexandra's Parrot [758] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Psophodes nigrogularis nigrogularis Western Heath Whipbird [64449] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pterodroma mollis Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Rostratula australis Australian Painted Snipe [77037] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Sternula nereis nereis Australian Fairy Tern [82950] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Thalassarche carteri Indian Yellow-nosed Albatross [64464] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour may occur within area |
| Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| | | to occur within area |
| Turdus poliocephalus erythropleurus Christmas Island Thrush [67122] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Turnix varius scintillans Painted Button-quail (Houtman Abrolhos) [82451] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Tyto novaehollandiae kimberli Masked Owl (northern) [26048] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fish | | |
| Galaxiella nigrostriata Blackstriped Dwarf Galaxias, Black-stripe Minnow [88677] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Milyeringa veritas Blind Gudgeon [66676] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Nannatherina balstoni Balston's Pygmy Perch [66698] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Ophisternon candidum Blind Cave Eel [66678] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Insects | | |
| Hesperocolletes douglasi Douglas' Broad-headed Bee, Rottnest Bee [66734] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Trioza barrettae Banksia brownii plant louse [87805] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Mammals | | |
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Bettongia lesueur Barrow and Boodie Islands subspecies Boodie, Burrowing Bettong (Barrow and Boodie Islands) [88021] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Bettongia lesueur lesueur Burrowing Bettong (Shark Bay), Boodie [66659] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Bettongia penicillata ogilbyi Woylie [66844] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Conilurus penicillatus Brush-tailed Rabbit-rat, Brush-tailed Tree-rat, Pakooma [132] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Crocidura trichura Christmas Island Shrew [86568] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|------------|--|
| Dasyurus geoffroii Chuditch, Western Quoll [330] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Dasyurus hallucatus Northern Quoll, Digul [Gogo-Yimidir], Wijingadda [Dambimangari], Wiminji [Martu] [331] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Eubalaena australis Southern Right Whale [40] | Endangered | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Isoodon auratus auratus Golden Bandicoot (mainland) [66665] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Isoodon auratus barrowensis Golden Bandicoot (Barrow Island) [66666] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Lagorchestes conspicillatus conspicillatus Spectacled Hare-wallaby (Barrow Island) [66661] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Lagorchestes hirsutus Central Australian subspecies Mala, Rufous Hare-Wallaby (Central Australia) [88019] | Endangered | Translocated population known to occur within area |
| Lagorchestes hirsutus bernieri Rufous Hare-wallaby (Bernier Island) [66662] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Lagorchestes hirsutus dorrae Rufous Hare-wallaby (Dorre Island) [66663] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Lagostrophus fasciatus fasciatus Banded Hare-wallaby, Merrnine, Marnine, Munning [66664] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Leporillus conditor Wopilkara, Greater Stick-nest Rat [137] | Vulnerable | Translocated population known to occur within area |
| Macroderma gigas Ghost Bat [174] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Macrotis lagotis Greater Bilby [282] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Mesembriomys gouldii gouldii Black-footed Tree-rat (Kimberley and mainland Northern Territory), Djintamoonga, Manbul [87618] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Neophoca cinerea Australian Sea-lion, Australian Sea Lion [22] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Osphranter robustus isabellinus Barrow Island Wallaroo, Barrow Island Euro [89262] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Parantechinus apicalis Dibbler [313] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Perameles bougainville bougainville Western Barred Bandicoot (Shark Bay) [66631] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| Petrogale concinna monastria Nabarlek (Kimberley) [87607] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Petrogale lateralis lateralis Black-flanked Rock-wallaby, Moororong, Black-footed Rock Wallaby [66647] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Phascogale calura Red-tailed Phascogale, Red-tailed Wambenger, Kenngoor [316] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Phascogale tapoatafa kimberleyensis Kimberley brush-tailed phascogale, Brush-tailed Phascogale (Kimberley) [88453] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Pipistrellus murrayi Christmas Island Pipistrelle [64383] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Potorous gilbertii Gilbert's Potoroo, Ngilkat [66642] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pseudocheirus occidentalis Western Ringtail Possum, Ngwayir, Womp, Woder, Ngoor, Ngoolangit [25911] | Critically Endangered | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Pseudomys fieldi Shark Bay Mouse, Djoongari, Alice Springs Mouse [113] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Pseudomys shortridgei Heath Mouse, Dayang, Heath Rat [77] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pteropus natalis Christmas Island Flying-fox, Christmas Island Fruit-bat [87611] | Critically Endangered | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Rhinioncteris aurantia (Pilbara form) Pilbara Leaf-nosed Bat [82790] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Saccolaimus saccolaimus nudicluniatus Bare-rumped Sheath-tailed Bat, Bare-rumped Sheath-tail Bat [66889] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Setonix brachyurus Quokka [229] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Xeromys myoides Water Mouse, False Water Rat, Yirrkoo [66] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Other | | |
| Idiosoma nigrum Shield-backed Trapdoor Spider, Black Rugose Trapdoor Spider [66798] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Kumonga exleyi Cape Range Remipede [86875] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Westralunio carteri Carter's Freshwater Mussel, Freshwater Mussel [86266] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Plants | | |
| Adenanthos dobagii Fitzgerald Woollybush [21253] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Andersonia gracilis Slender Andersonia [14470] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Androcalva bivillosa Straggling Androcalva [87807] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Asplenium listeri Christmas Island Spleenwort [65865] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Banksia brownii Brown's Banksia, Feather-leaved Banksia [8277] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Banksia nivea subsp. uliginosa Swamp Honeypot [82766] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Banksia pseudoplumosa False Plumed-Banksia [82760] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Banksia squarrosa subsp. argillacea Whicher Range Dryandra [82769] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Banksia verticillata Granite Banksia, Albany Banksia, River Banksia [8333] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Beyeria lepidopetala Small-petalled Beyeria, Short-petalled Beyeria [18362] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Boronia clavata Bremer Boronia [5538] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Caladenia barbarella Small Dragon Orchid, Common Dragon Orchid [68686] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Caladenia bryceana subsp. cracens Northern Dwarf Spider-orchid [64556] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Caladenia busselliana Bussell's Spider-orchid [24369] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Caladenia caesarea subsp. maritima Cape Spider-orchid [64856] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Caladenia elegans Elegant Spider-orchid [56775] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Caladenia excelsa Giant Spider-orchid [56717] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Caladenia granitora [65292] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Caladenia hoffmanii Hoffman's Spider-orchid [56719] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| Caladenia huegelii King Spider-orchid, Grand Spider-orchid, Rusty Spider-orchid [7309] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Caladenia lodgeana Lodge's Spider-orchid [68664] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Caladenia procera Carbunup King Spider Orchid [68679] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Caladenia viridescens Dunsborough Spider-orchid [56776] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calectasia cyanea Blue Tinsel Lily [7669] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Chamelaucium sp. S coastal plain (R.D.Royce 4872) Royce's Waxflower [87814] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Chordifex abortivus Manypeaks Rush [64868] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Chorizema varium Limestone Pea [16981] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Conostylis micrantha Small-flowered Conostylis [17635] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Conostylis misera Grass Conostylis [21320] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Diuris drummondii Tall Donkey Orchid [4365] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Diuris micrantha Dwarf Bee-orchid [55082] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Diuris purdiei Purdie's Donkey-orchid [12950] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Drakaea elastica Glossy-leaved Hammer Orchid, Glossy-leaved Hammer Orchid, Warty Hammer Orchid [16753] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Drakaea micrantha Dwarf Hammer-orchid [56755] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Drummondita ericoides Morseby Range Drummondita [9193] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Eucalyptus argutifolia Yanchep Mallee, Wabbling Hill Mallee [24263] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Eucalyptus cuprea Mallee Box [56773] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| Eucalyptus x phylacis Meelup Mallee [87817] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Gastrolobium papilio Butterfly-leaved Gastrolobium [78415] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Grevillea batrachioides Mt Lesueur Grevillea [21735] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Grevillea brachystylis subsp. australis [55525] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Grevillea humifusa Spreading Grevillea [61182] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hemiandra gardneri Red Snakebush [7945] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Isopogon uncinatus Albany Cone Bush, Hook-leaf Isopogon [20871] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Kennedia glabrata Northcliffe Kennedia [16452] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Kennedia lateritia Augusta Kennedia [45985] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Lambertia echinata subsp. occidentalis Western Prickly Honeysuckle [64528] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Lambertia orbifolia Roundleaf Honeysuckle [15725] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Lechenaultia chlorantha Kalbarri Leschenaultia [16763] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Leptomeria dielsiana Diels' Currant Bush [5146] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Leucopogon obtectus Hidden Beard-heath [19614] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Marianthus paralius [83925] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pityrodia augustensis Mt Augustus Foxglove [4962] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Pneumatopteris truncata fern [68812] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Reedia spathacea Reedia [2995] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Seringia exastia Fringed Fire-bush [88920] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Sphenotoma drummondii Mountain Paper-heath [21160] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Stachystemon nematophorus Three-flowered Stachystemon [81447] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Tectaria devexa [14767] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Thelymitra stellata Star Sun-orchid [7060] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Verticordia apecta Hay River Featherflower, Scruffy Verticordia [65545] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Verticordia plumosa var. vassensis Vasse Featherflower [55804] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Wurmbea calcicola Naturaliste Nancy [64691] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Wurmbea tubulosa Long-flowered Nancy [12739] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Reptiles | | |
| Aipysurus apraefrontalis Short-nosed Seasnake [1115] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Aipysurus foliosquama Leaf-scaled Seasnake [1118] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Cryptoblepharus egeriae Christmas Island Blue-tailed Skink, Blue-tailed Snake-eyed Skink [1526] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Ctenotus lancelini Lancelin Island Skink [1482] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Ctenotus zasticus Hamelin Ctenotus [25570] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Cyrtodactylus sadleiri Christmas Island Giant Gecko [86865] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Egernia stokesii badia Western Spiny-tailed Skink, Baudin Island Spiny- | Endangered | Species or species |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| tailed Skink [64483] | | habitat known to occur within area |
| Emoia nativitatis Christmas Island Forest Skink, Christmas Island Whiptail-skink [1400] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Lepidochelys olivacea Olive Ridley Turtle, Pacific Ridley Turtle [1767] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Lepidodactylus listeri Christmas Island Gecko, Lister's Gecko [1711] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Lerista neviniae Nevin's Slider [85296] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Liasis olivaceus barroni Olive Python (Pilbara subspecies) [66699] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Liopholis pulchra longicauda Jurien Bay Skink, Jurien Bay Rock-skink [83162] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Ramphotyphlops exocoeti Christmas Island Blind Snake, Christmas Island Pink Blind Snake [1262] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Sharks | | |
| Carcharias taurus (west coast population) Grey Nurse Shark (west coast population) [68752] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Glyphis garricki Northern River Shark, New Guinea River Shark [82454] | Endangered | Breeding likely to occur within area |
| Pristis clavata Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Pristis pristis Freshwater Sawfish, Largetooth Sawfish, River Sawfish, Leichhardt's Sawfish, Northern Sawfish [60756] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pristis zijsron Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |

Listed Migratory Species [\[Resource Information \]](#)

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|---|------------|-------------------------------------|
| Migratory Marine Birds | | |
| Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678] | | Species or species |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Ardenna carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [82404] | | habitat likely to occur within area |
| Ardenna grisea Sooty Shearwater [82651] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Ardenna pacifica Wedge-tailed Shearwater [84292] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Diomedea amsterdamensis Amsterdam Albatross [64405] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Diomedea dabbenena Tristan Albatross [66471] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Fregata andrewsi Christmas Island Frigatebird, Andrew's Frigatebird [1011] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Hydroprogne caspia Caspian Tern [808] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060] | Endangered | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Onychoprion anaethetus Bridled Tern [82845] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Phaethon lepturus White-tailed Tropicbird [1014] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Phaethon rubricauda Red-tailed Tropicbird [994] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Phoebastria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-------------|--|
| Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sternula albifrons Little Tern [82849] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sula dactylatra Masked Booby [1021] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sula leucogaster Brown Booby [1022] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sula sula Red-footed Booby [1023] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Thalassarche carteri Indian Yellow-nosed Albatross [64464] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour may occur within area |
| Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Migratory Marine Species | | |
| Anoxypristis cuspidata Narrow Sawfish, Knifetooth Sawfish [68448] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Balaena glacialis australis Southern Right Whale [75529] | Endangered* | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera bonaerensis Antarctic Minke Whale, Dark-shoulder Minke Whale [67812] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Caperea marginata Pygmy Right Whale [39] | | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Carcharhinus longimanus Oceanic Whitetip Shark [84108] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|---|
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Crocodylus porosus Salt-water Crocodile, Estuarine Crocodile [1774] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Dugong dugon Dugong [28] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Isurus oxyrinchus Shortfin Mako, Mako Shark [79073] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Isurus paucus Longfin Mako [82947] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Lagenorhynchus obscurus Dusky Dolphin [43] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Lamna nasus Porbeagle, Mackerel Shark [83288] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Lepidochelys olivacea Olive Ridley Turtle, Pacific Ridley Turtle [1767] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Manta alfredi Reef Manta Ray, Coastal Manta Ray, Inshore Manta Ray, Prince Alfred's Ray, Resident Manta Ray [84994] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Manta birostris Giant Manta Ray, Chevron Manta Ray, Pacific Manta Ray, Pelagic Manta Ray, Oceanic Manta Ray [84995] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Orcaella heinsohni Australian Snubfin Dolphin [81322] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Physeter macrocephalus Sperm Whale [59] | | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Pristis clavata Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Pristis pristis Freshwater Sawfish, Largetooth Sawfish, River | Vulnerable | Species or species |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Sawfish, Leichhardt's Sawfish, Northern Sawfish [60756] Pristis zijsron | | habitat known to occur within area |
| Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442] Rhincodon typus | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Whale Shark [66680] Sousa chinensis | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphin [50] Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Migratory Terrestrial Species | | |
| Cecropis daurica Red-rumped Swallow [80610] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Cuculus optatus Oriental Cuckoo, Horsfield's Cuckoo [86651] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Hirundo rustica Barn Swallow [662] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Motacilla cinerea Grey Wagtail [642] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Motacilla flava Yellow Wagtail [644] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Rhipidura rufifrons Rufous Fantail [592] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Migratory Wetlands Species | | |
| Acrocephalus orientalis Oriental Reed-Warbler [59570] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Arenaria interpres Ruddy Turnstone [872] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calidris alba Sanderling [875] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris ruficollis Red-necked Stint [860] | | Roosting known to occur |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence within area |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Calidris subminuta Long-toed Stint [861] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris tenuirostris Great Knot [862] | Critically Endangered | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Charadrius bicinctus Double-banded Plover [895] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Charadrius leschenaultii Greater Sand Plover, Large Sand Plover [877] | Vulnerable | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Charadrius mongolus Lesser Sand Plover, Mongolian Plover [879] | Endangered | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Charadrius veredus Oriental Plover, Oriental Dotterel [882] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Gallinago megala Swinhoe's Snipe [864] | | Roosting likely to occur within area |
| Gallinago stenura Pin-tailed Snipe [841] | | Roosting likely to occur within area |
| Glareola maldivarum Oriental Pratincole [840] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Limicola falcinellus Broad-billed Sandpiper [842] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Limnodromus semipalmatus Asian Dowitcher [843] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Limosa lapponica Bar-tailed Godwit [844] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Limosa limosa Black-tailed Godwit [845] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Numenius minutus Little Curlew, Little Whimbrel [848] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Numenius phaeopus Whimbrel [849] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Phalaropus lobatus Red-necked Phalarope [838] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Philomachus pugnax Ruff (Reeve) [850] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Pluvialis fulva Pacific Golden Plover [25545] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Pluvialis squatarola Grey Plover [865] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Thalasseus bergii Crested Tern [83000] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Tringa brevipes Grey-tailed Tattler [851] | | Roosting known to occur |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence within area |
|--|------------|---|
| Tringa glareola Wood Sandpiper [829] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Tringa nebularia Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Tringa stagnatilis Marsh Sandpiper, Little Greenshank [833] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Tringa totanus Common Redshank, Redshank [835] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Xenus cinereus Terek Sandpiper [59300] | | Roosting known to occur within area |

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

Commonwealth Land [\[Resource Information \]](#)

The Commonwealth area listed below may indicate the presence of Commonwealth land in this vicinity. Due to the unreliability of the data source, all proposals should be checked as to whether it impacts on a Commonwealth area, before making a definitive decision. Contact the State or Territory government land department for further information.

| Name |
|---|
| Commonwealth Land - Commonwealth Land - Christmas Island National Park Defence - EXMOUTH VLF TRANSMITTER STATION Defence - GERALDTON TRAINING DEPOT "A" Company 16th Battalion Defence - GREENOUGH RIFLE RANGE Defence - HMAS STIRLING-ROCKINGHAM ;HMAS STIRLING - GARDEN ISLAND Defence - LANCELIN TRAINING AREA Defence - LEARMONTH - AIR WEAPONS RANGE Defence - YAMPI SOUND TRAINING AREA |

Commonwealth Heritage Places [\[Resource Information \]](#)

| Name | State | Status |
|---|-------|--------------|
| Natural | | |
| Ashmore Reef National Nature Reserve | EXT | Listed place |
| Christmas Island Natural Areas | EXT | Listed place |
| Garden Island | WA | Listed place |
| Lancelin Defence Training Area | WA | Listed place |
| Learmonth Air Weapons Range Facility | WA | Listed place |
| Mermaid Reef - Rowley Shoals | WA | Listed place |
| Ningaloo Marine Area - Commonwealth Waters | WA | Listed place |
| Scott Reef and Surrounds - Commonwealth Area | EXT | Listed place |
| Yampi Defence Area | WA | Listed place |
| Historic | | |
| Administrators House Precinct | EXT | Listed place |
| Bungalow 702 | EXT | Listed place |
| Cape Leeuwin Lighthouse | WA | Listed place |
| Cliff Point Historic Site | WA | Listed place |
| Drumsite Industrial Area | EXT | Listed place |
| Geraldton Drill Hall Complex | WA | Listed place |
| HMAS Sydney II and HSK Kormoran Shipwreck Sites | EXT | Listed place |
| Industrial and Administrative Group | EXT | Listed place |
| J Gun Battery | WA | Listed place |
| Malay Kampong Group | EXT | Listed place |
| Malay Kampong Precinct | EXT | Listed place |
| Phosphate Hill Historic Area | EXT | Listed place |
| Poon Saan Group | EXT | Listed place |
| Settlement Christmas Island | EXT | Listed place |
| South Point Settlement Remains | EXT | Listed place |

Listed Marine Species

[[Resource Information](#)]

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Birds | | |
| Acrocephalus orientalis Oriental Reed-Warbler [59570] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Anous minutus Black Noddy [824] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Anous tenuirostris melanops Australian Lesser Noddy [26000] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Anseranas semipalmata Magpie Goose [978] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Ardea alba Great Egret, White Egret [59541] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Ardea ibis Cattle Egret [59542] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Arenaria interpres Ruddy Turnstone [872] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calidris alba Sanderling [875] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris ruficollis Red-necked Stint [860] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calidris subminuta Long-toed Stint [861] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris tenuirostris Great Knot [862] | Critically Endangered | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Catharacta skua Great Skua [59472] | | Species or species |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Cereopsis novaehollandiae grisea Cape Barren Goose (south-western), Recherche Cape Barren Goose [25978] | Vulnerable | habitat may occur within area Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Charadrius bicinctus Double-banded Plover [895] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Charadrius leschenaultii Greater Sand Plover, Large Sand Plover [877] | Vulnerable | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Charadrius mongolus Lesser Sand Plover, Mongolian Plover [879] | Endangered | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Charadrius ruficapillus Red-capped Plover [881] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Charadrius veredus Oriental Plover, Oriental Dotterel [882] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Chrysococcyx osculans Black-eared Cuckoo [705] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Diomedea amsterdamensis Amsterdam Albatross [64405] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Diomedea dabbenena Tristan Albatross [66471] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Eudyptula minor Little Penguin [1085] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Fregata andrewsi Christmas Island Frigatebird, Andrew's Frigatebird [1011] | Endangered | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Gallinago megala Swinhoe's Snipe [864] | | Roosting likely to occur within area |
| Gallinago stenura Pin-tailed Snipe [841] | | Roosting likely to occur within area |
| Glareola maldivarum Oriental Pratincole [840] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Haliaeetus leucogaster White-bellied Sea-Eagle [943] | | Species or species |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Halobaena caerulea Blue Petrel [1059] | Vulnerable | habitat known to occur within area Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Heteroscelus brevipes Grey-tailed Tattler [59311] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Himantopus himantopus Pied Stilt, Black-winged Stilt [870] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Hirundo daurica Red-rumped Swallow [59480] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Hirundo rustica Barn Swallow [662] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Larus dominicanus Kelp Gull [809] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Larus novaehollandiae Silver Gull [810] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Larus pacificus Pacific Gull [811] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Limicola falcinellus Broad-billed Sandpiper [842] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Limnodromus semipalmatus Asian Dowitcher [843] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Limosa lapponica Bar-tailed Godwit [844] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Limosa limosa Black-tailed Godwit [845] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Merops ornatus Rainbow Bee-eater [670] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Motacilla cinerea Grey Wagtail [642] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Motacilla flava Yellow Wagtail [644] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Numenius minutus Little Curlew, Little Whimbrel [848] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Numenius phaeopus Whimbrel [849] | | Roosting known to occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|---|
| Pachyptila turtur Fairy Prion [1066] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Papasula abbotti Abbott's Booby [59297] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pelagodroma marina White-faced Storm-Petrel [1016] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Phaethon lepturus White-tailed Tropicbird [1014] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Phaethon lepturus fulvus Christmas Island White-tailed Tropicbird, Golden Bosunbird [26021] | Endangered | Breeding likely to occur within area |
| Phaethon rubricauda Red-tailed Tropicbird [994] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Phalacrocorax fuscescens Black-faced Cormorant [59660] | | Breeding likely to occur within area |
| Phalaropus lobatus Red-necked Phalarope [838] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Philomachus pugnax Ruff (Reeve) [850] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Phoebastria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Pluvialis fulva Pacific Golden Plover [25545] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Pluvialis squatarola Grey Plover [865] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Pterodroma macroptera Great-winged Petrel [1035] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Pterodroma mollis Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Puffinus assimilis Little Shearwater [59363] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Puffinus carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [1043] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Puffinus griseus Sooty Shearwater [1024] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Puffinus huttoni Hutton's Shearwater [1025] | | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Puffinus pacificus Wedge-tailed Shearwater [1027] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Recurvirostra novaehollandiae Red-necked Avocet [871] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Rhipidura rufifrons Rufous Fantail [592] | | Species or species habitat known to occur |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence within area |
|--|-------------|--|
| Rostratula benghalensis (sensu lato) Painted Snipe [889] | Endangered* | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Sterna albifrons Little Tern [813] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna anaethetus Bridled Tern [814] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna bengalensis Lesser Crested Tern [815] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna bergii Crested Tern [816] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna caspia Caspian Tern [59467] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna fuscata Sooty Tern [794] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna nereis Fairy Tern [796] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Stiltia isabella Australian Pratincole [818] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Sula dactylatra Masked Booby [1021] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sula leucogaster Brown Booby [1022] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sula sula Red-footed Booby [1023] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Thalassarche carteri Indian Yellow-nosed Albatross [64464] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour may occur within area |
| Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Thinornis rubricollis Hooded Plover [59510] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Tringa glareola Wood Sandpiper [829] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Tringa nebularia Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Tringa stagnatilis Marsh Sandpiper, Little Greenshank [833] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Tringa totanus Common Redshank, Redshank [835] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Xenus cinereus Terek Sandpiper [59300] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Fish | | |
| Acentronura australe Southern Pygmy Pipehorse [66185] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Acentronura larsonae Helen's Pygmy Pipehorse [66186] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Bhanotia fasciolata Corrugated Pipefish, Barbed Pipefish [66188] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Bulbonaricus brauni Braun's Pughead Pipefish, Pug-headed Pipefish [66189] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Campichthys galei Gale's Pipefish [66191] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Campichthys tricarinatus Three-keel Pipefish [66192] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys brachysoma Pacific Short-bodied Pipefish, Short-bodied Pipefish [66194] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys latispinosus Muiron Island Pipefish [66196] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys sculptus Sculptured Pipefish [66197] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys suillus Pig-snouted Pipefish [66198] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Corythoichthys amplexus Fijian Banded Pipefish, Brown-banded Pipefish [66199] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Corythoichthys flavofasciatus Reticulate Pipefish, Yellow-banded Pipefish, Network Pipefish [66200] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Corythoichthys haematopterus Reef-top Pipefish [66201] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Corythoichthys intestinalis Australian Messmate Pipefish, Banded Pipefish [66202] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Corythoichthys schultzi Schultz's Pipefish [66205] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Cosmocampus banneri Roughridge Pipefish [66206] | | Species or species habitat may occur within |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence area |
|--|------------|--|
| Cosmocampus maxweberi Maxweber's Pipefish [66209] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus baldwini Redstripe Pipefish [66718] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus dactyliophorus Banded Pipefish, Ringed Pipefish [66210] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus excisus Bluestripe Pipefish, Indian Blue-stripe Pipefish, Pacific Blue-stripe Pipefish [66211] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus janssi Cleaner Pipefish, Janss' Pipefish [66212] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus multiannulatus Many-banded Pipefish [66717] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus negrosensis Flagtail Pipefish, Masthead Island Pipefish [66213] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Festucalex scalaris Ladder Pipefish [66216] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Filicampus tigris Tiger Pipefish [66217] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus brocki Brock's Pipefish [66219] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus dunckeri Red-hair Pipefish, Duncker's Pipefish [66220] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus grayi Mud Pipefish, Gray's Pipefish [66221] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus macrorhynchus Whiskered Pipefish, Ornate Pipefish [66222] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus mataafae Samoan Pipefish [66223] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus nitidus Glittering Pipefish [66224] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus spirostris Spiny-snout Pipefish [66225] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Haliichthys taeniophorus Ribboned Pipehorse, Ribboned Seadragon [66226] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Heraldia nocturna Upside-down Pipefish, Eastern Upside-down Pipefish, Eastern Upside-down Pipefish [66227] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|---|------------|--|
| Hippichthys cyanospilos Blue-speckled Pipefish, Blue-spotted Pipefish [66228] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippichthys heptagonus Madura Pipefish, Reticulated Freshwater Pipefish [66229] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippichthys penicillus Beady Pipefish, Steep-nosed Pipefish [66231] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippichthys spicifer Belly-barred Pipefish, Banded Freshwater Pipefish [66232] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus angustus Western Spiny Seahorse, Narrow-bellied Seahorse [66234] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus breviceps Short-head Seahorse, Short-snouted Seahorse [66235] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus histrix Spiny Seahorse, Thorny Seahorse [66236] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus kuda Spotted Seahorse, Yellow Seahorse [66237] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus planifrons Flat-face Seahorse [66238] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus spinosissimus Hedgehog Seahorse [66239] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus subelongatus West Australian Seahorse [66722] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus trimaculatus Three-spot Seahorse, Low-crowned Seahorse, Flat-faced Seahorse [66720] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Histiogamphelus cristatus Rhino Pipefish, Macleay's Crested Pipefish, Ring-back Pipefish [66243] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Leptoichthys fistularius Brushtail Pipefish [66248] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Lissocampus caudalis Australian Smooth Pipefish, Smooth Pipefish [66249] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Lissocampus fatiloquus Prophet's Pipefish [66250] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Lissocampus runa Javelin Pipefish [66251] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Maroubra perserrata Sawtooth Pipefish [66252] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|---|------------|--|
| Micrognathus brevirostris thorntail Pipefish, Thorn-tailed Pipefish [66254] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Micrognathus micronotopterus Tidepool Pipefish [66255] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Mitotichthys meraculus Western Crested Pipefish [66259] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Nannocampus subosseus Bonyhead Pipefish, Bony-headed Pipefish [66264] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Notiocampus ruber Red Pipefish [66265] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Phoxocampus belcheri Black Rock Pipefish [66719] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Phycodurus eques Leafy Seadragon [66267] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Phyllopteryx taeniolatus Common Seadragon, Weedy Seadragon [66268] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pugnaso curtirostris Pugnose Pipefish, Pug-nosed Pipefish [66269] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solegnathus hardwickii Pallid Pipehorse, Hardwick's Pipehorse [66272] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solegnathus lettiensis Gunther's Pipehorse, Indonesian Pipefish [66273] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solenostomus cyanopterus Robust Ghostpipefish, Blue-finned Ghost Pipefish, [66183] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Stigmatopora argus Spotted Pipefish, Gulf Pipefish, Peacock Pipefish [66276] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Stigmatopora nigra Widebody Pipefish, Wide-bodied Pipefish, Black Pipefish [66277] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Syngnathoides biaculeatus Double-end Pipehorse, Double-ended Pipehorse, Alligator Pipefish [66279] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Trachyrhamphus bicoarctatus Bentstick Pipefish, Bend Stick Pipefish, Short-tailed Pipefish [66280] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Trachyrhamphus longirostris Straightstick Pipefish, Long-nosed Pipefish, Straight Stick Pipefish [66281] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Urocampus carinirostris Hairy Pipefish [66282] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|---|-----------------------|---|
| Vanacampus margaritifer Mother-of-pearl Pipefish [66283] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Vanacampus phillipi Port Phillip Pipefish [66284] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Vanacampus poecilolaemus Longsnout Pipefish, Australian Long-snout Pipefish, Long-snouted Pipefish [66285] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Mammals | | |
| Arctocephalus forsteri Long-nosed Fur-seal, New Zealand Fur-seal [20] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Dugong dugon Dugong [28] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Neophoca cinerea Australian Sea-lion, Australian Sea Lion [22] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Reptiles | | |
| Acalyptophis peronii Horned Seasnake [1114] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus apraefrontalis Short-nosed Seasnake [1115] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Aipysurus duboisii Dubois' Seasnake [1116] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus eydouxii Spine-tailed Seasnake [1117] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus foliosquama Leaf-scaled Seasnake [1118] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Aipysurus fuscus Dusky Seasnake [1119] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Aipysurus laevis Olive Seasnake [1120] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus pooleorum Shark Bay Seasnake [66061] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus tenuis Brown-lined Seasnake [1121] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Astrotia stokesii Stokes' Seasnake [1122] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Crocodylus johnstoni Freshwater Crocodile, Johnston's Crocodile, Johnston's River Crocodile [1773] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|---|
| Crocodylus porosus Salt-water Crocodile, Estuarine Crocodile [1774] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Disteira kingii Spectacled Seasnake [1123] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Disteira major Olive-headed Seasnake [1124] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Emydocephalus annulatus Turtle-headed Seasnake [1125] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Enhydrina schistosa Beaked Seasnake [1126] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Ephalophis greyi North-western Mangrove Seasnake [1127] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Hydrelaps darwiniensis Black-ringed Seasnake [1100] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis atriceps Black-headed Seasnake [1101] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis coggeri Slender-necked Seasnake [25925] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis czeblukovi Fine-spined Seasnake [59233] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis elegans Elegant Seasnake [1104] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis inornatus Plain Seasnake [1107] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis mcdowellii null [25926] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis ornatus Spotted Seasnake, Ornate Reef Seasnake [1111] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Lapemis hardwickii Spine-bellied Seasnake [1113] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Lepidochelys olivacea Olive Ridley Turtle, Pacific Ridley Turtle [1767] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence within area |
|--|------------|--|
| Pelamis platurus Yellow-bellied Seasnake [1091] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Whales and other Cetaceans | | [Resource Information] |
| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
| Mammals | | |
| Balaenoptera acutorostrata Minke Whale [33] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Balaenoptera bonaerensis Antarctic Minke Whale, Dark-shoulder Minke Whale [67812] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Berardius arnuxii Arnoux's Beaked Whale [70] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Caperea marginata Pygmy Right Whale [39] | | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Delphinus delphis Common Dolphin, Short-beaked Common Dolphin [60] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Eubalaena australis Southern Right Whale [40] | Endangered | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Feresa attenuata Pygmy Killer Whale [61] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Globicephala macrorhynchus Short-finned Pilot Whale [62] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Globicephala melas Long-finned Pilot Whale [59282] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Grampus griseus Risso's Dolphin, Grampus [64] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hyperoodon planifrons Southern Bottlenose Whale [71] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Indopacetus pacificus Longman's Beaked Whale [72] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|------------|---|
| Kogia breviceps Pygmy Sperm Whale [57] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Kogia simus Dwarf Sperm Whale [58] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Lagenodelphis hosei Fraser's Dolphin, Sarawak Dolphin [41] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Lagenorhynchus obscurus Dusky Dolphin [43] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Lissodelphis peronii Southern Right Whale Dolphin [44] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Mesoplodon bowdoini Andrew's Beaked Whale [73] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Mesoplodon densirostris Blainville's Beaked Whale, Dense-beaked Whale [74] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Mesoplodon ginkgodens Ginkgo-toothed Beaked Whale, Ginkgo-toothed Whale, Ginkgo Beaked Whale [59564] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Mesoplodon grayi Gray's Beaked Whale, Scamperdown Whale [75] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Mesoplodon hectori Hector's Beaked Whale [76] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Mesoplodon layardii Strap-toothed Beaked Whale, Strap-toothed Whale, Layard's Beaked Whale [25556] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Mesoplodon mirus True's Beaked Whale [54] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Orcaella brevirostris Irrawaddy Dolphin [45] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Peponocephala electra Melon-headed Whale [47] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Physeter macrocephalus Sperm Whale [59] | | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Pseudorca crassidens False Killer Whale [48] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Sousa chinensis Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphin [50] | | Breeding known to occur |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence within area |
|--|--------|--|
| Stenella attenuata Spotted Dolphin, Pantropical Spotted Dolphin [51] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Stenella coeruleoalba Striped Dolphin, Euphrosyne Dolphin [52] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Stenella longirostris Long-snouted Spinner Dolphin [29] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Steno bredanensis Rough-toothed Dolphin [30] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Tasmacetus shepherdi Shepherd's Beaked Whale, Tasman Beaked Whale [55] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus Indian Ocean Bottlenose Dolphin, Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin [68418] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Tursiops truncatus s. str. Bottlenose Dolphin [68417] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Ziphius cavirostris Cuvier's Beaked Whale, Goose-beaked Whale [56] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

Commonwealth ReservesTerrestrial [Resource Information]

| Name | State | Type |
|------------------|-------|------------------------------|
| Christmas Island | EXT | National Park (Commonwealth) |

Australian Marine Parks [Resource Information]

| Name | Label |
|---------------------|--|
| Abrolhos | Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) |
| Abrolhos | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Abrolhos | National Park Zone (IUCN II) |
| Abrolhos | Special Purpose Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Argo-Rowley Terrace | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Argo-Rowley Terrace | National Park Zone (IUCN II) |
| Argo-Rowley Terrace | Special Purpose Zone (Trawl) (IUCN VI) |
| Ashmore Reef | Recreational Use Zone (IUCN IV) |
| Ashmore Reef | Sanctuary Zone (IUCN Ia) |
| Bremer | National Park Zone (IUCN II) |
| Bremer | Special Purpose Zone (Mining) |
| Carnarvon Canyon | Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) |
| Cartier Island | Sanctuary Zone (IUCN Ia) |
| Dampier | Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) |
| Dampier | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Dampier | National Park Zone (IUCN II) |
| Eighty Mile Beach | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Gascoyne | Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) |
| Gascoyne | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Gascoyne | National Park Zone (IUCN II) |
| Geographe | Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) |
| Geographe | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Geographe | Special Purpose Zone (Mining) |
| Jurien | National Park Zone (IUCN II) |

| Name | Label |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Jurien | Special Purpose Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Kimberley | Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) |
| Kimberley | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Kimberley | National Park Zone (IUCN II) |
| Mermaid Reef | National Park Zone (IUCN II) |
| Montebello | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Ningaloo | National Park Zone (IUCN II) |
| Ningaloo | Recreational Use Zone (IUCN IV) |
| Oceanic Shoals | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Perth Canyon | Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) |
| Perth Canyon | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Perth Canyon | National Park Zone (IUCN II) |
| Roebuck | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Shark Bay | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| South-west Corner | Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) |
| South-west Corner | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| South-west Corner | National Park Zone (IUCN II) |
| South-west Corner | Special Purpose Zone (IUCN VI) |
| South-west Corner | Special Purpose Zone (Mining) |
| Two Rocks | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Two Rocks | National Park Zone (IUCN II) |

Extra Information

| State and Territory Reserves | [Resource Information] |
|--|--------------------------|
| Name | State |
| Adele Island | WA |
| Airlie Island | WA |
| Arpenteur | WA |
| Bald Island | WA |
| Bardi Jawi | WA |
| Barrow Island | WA |
| Bedout Island | WA |
| Beekeepers | WA |
| Bernier And Dorre Islands | WA |
| Bessieres Island | WA |
| Boodie, Double Middle Islands | WA |
| Boullanger, Whitlock, Favourite, Tern And Osprey Islands | WA |
| Breaksea Island | WA |
| Browse Island | WA |
| Burnside And Simpson Island | WA |
| Cape Range | WA |
| Carnac Island | WA |
| Chatham Island | WA |
| Coulomb Point | WA |
| D'Entrecasteaux | WA |
| Dambimangari | WA |
| Dambimangari | WA |
| Dirk Hartog Island | WA |
| Doubtful Islands | WA |
| Eclipse Island | WA |
| Escape Island | WA |
| Fitzgerald River | WA |
| Flinders Bay | WA |
| Freycinet, Double Islands etc | WA |
| Glasse Island | WA |
| Gnandaroo Island | WA |
| Hamelin Island | WA |
| Jarrkunpungu | WA |
| Jinmarnkur | WA |
| Jinmarnkur Kulja | WA |
| Jurabi Coastal Park | WA |
| Kalbarri | WA |
| Karajarri | WA |
| Koks Island | WA |
| Kujungurru Warrarn | WA |

| Name | State |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Lacepede Islands | WA |
| Lancelin And Edwards Islands | WA |
| Leeuwin-Naturaliste | WA |
| Lesueur | WA |
| Little Rocky Island | WA |
| Locker Island | WA |
| Low Rocks | WA |
| Lowendal Islands | WA |
| Michaelmas Island | WA |
| Montebello Islands | WA |
| Mount Manypeaks | WA |
| Muiron Islands | WA |
| Murujuga | WA |
| NTWA Bushland covenant (0005) | WA |
| NTWA Bushland covenant (0013) | WA |
| NTWA Bushland covenant (0090) | WA |
| Nambung | WA |
| Nilgen | WA |
| North Sandy Island | WA |
| North Turtle Island | WA |
| Nyangumarta Warrarn | WA |
| One Tree Point | WA |
| Prince Regent | WA |
| Quagering | WA |
| Quarram | WA |
| Rottnest Island | WA |
| Round Island | WA |
| Scott | WA |
| Seal Island (WA25645) | WA |
| Seal Island (WA32199) | WA |
| Serrurier Island | WA |
| Southern Beekeepers | WA |
| St Alouarn Island | WA |
| Sugar Loaf Rock | WA |
| Swan Island | WA |
| Tamala Pastoral Lease (Part) | WA |
| Tanner Island | WA |
| Tent Island | WA |
| Torndirrup | WA |
| Two Peoples Bay | WA |
| Unnamed WA11883 | WA |
| Unnamed WA11962 | WA |
| Unnamed WA15185 | WA |
| Unnamed WA26400 | WA |
| Unnamed WA28968 | WA |
| Unnamed WA32478 | WA |
| Unnamed WA33799 | WA |
| Unnamed WA34039 | WA |
| Unnamed WA36907 | WA |
| Unnamed WA36909 | WA |
| Unnamed WA36910 | WA |
| Unnamed WA36913 | WA |
| Unnamed WA36915 | WA |
| Unnamed WA37168 | WA |
| Unnamed WA37338 | WA |
| Unnamed WA37383 | WA |
| Unnamed WA37500 | WA |
| Unnamed WA40322 | WA |
| Unnamed WA40828 | WA |
| Unnamed WA40877 | WA |
| Unnamed WA41080 | WA |
| Unnamed WA41775 | WA |
| Unnamed WA42030 | WA |
| Unnamed WA44665 | WA |
| Unnamed WA44667 | WA |
| Unnamed WA44669 | WA |

| Name | State |
|------------------|-------|
| Unnamed WA44672 | WA |
| Unnamed WA44673 | WA |
| Unnamed WA44676 | WA |
| Unnamed WA44682 | WA |
| Unnamed WA44685 | WA |
| Unnamed WA44688 | WA |
| Unnamed WA44690 | WA |
| Unnamed WA44709 | WA |
| Unnamed WA46982 | WA |
| Unnamed WA46983 | WA |
| Unnamed WA46984 | WA |
| Unnamed WA48205 | WA |
| Unnamed WA48858 | WA |
| Unnamed WA48968 | WA |
| Unnamed WA49994 | WA |
| Unnamed WA51105 | WA |
| Unnamed WA51162 | WA |
| Unnamed WA51617 | WA |
| Unnamed WA51932 | WA |
| Unnamed WA53015 | WA |
| Utcha Well | WA |
| Unguu | WA |
| Victor Island | WA |
| Walpole-Nornalup | WA |
| Wanagarren | WA |
| Waychinicup | WA |
| Wedge Island | WA |
| Weld Island | WA |
| West Cape Howe | WA |
| Y Island | WA |
| Yalgorup | WA |
| Yampi | WA |
| Yawuru | WA |
| Zuytdorp | WA |

Regional Forest Agreements [\[Resource Information \]](#)

Note that all areas with completed RFAs have been included.

| Name | State |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| South West WA RFA | Western Australia |

Invasive Species [\[Resource Information \]](#)

Weeds reported here are the 20 species of national significance (WoNS), along with other introduced plants that are considered by the States and Territories to pose a particularly significant threat to biodiversity. The following feral animals are reported: Goat, Red Fox, Cat, Rabbit, Pig, Water Buffalo and Cane Toad. Maps from Landscape Health Project, National Land and Water Resources Audit, 2001.

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|--------|--|
| Birds | | |
| Acridotheres tristis Common Myna, Indian Myna [387] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Anas platyrhynchos Mallard [974] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Carduelis carduelis European Goldfinch [403] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Columba livia Rock Pigeon, Rock Dove, Domestic Pigeon [803] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Gallus gallus Red Junglefowl, Feral Chicken, Domestic Fowl [917] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|--------|--|
| Lonchura oryzivora Java Sparrow [59586] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Meleagris gallopavo Wild Turkey [64380] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Passer domesticus House Sparrow [405] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Passer montanus Eurasian Tree Sparrow [406] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Pavo cristatus Indian Peafowl, Peacock [919] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Phasianus colchicus Common Pheasant [920] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Streptopelia chinensis Spotted Turtle-Dove [780] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Streptopelia senegalensis Laughing Turtle-dove, Laughing Dove [781] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Sturnus vulgaris Common Starling [389] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Turdus merula Common Blackbird, Eurasian Blackbird [596] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Frogs | | |
| Rhinella marina Cane Toad [83218] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Mammals | | |
| Bos taurus Domestic Cattle [16] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Camelus dromedarius Dromedary, Camel [7] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Canis lupus familiaris Domestic Dog [82654] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Capra hircus Goat [2] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Equus asinus Donkey, Ass [4] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Equus caballus Horse [5] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Felis catus Cat, House Cat, Domestic Cat [19] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence within area |
|--|--------|--|
| Feral deer Feral deer species in Australia [85733] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Funambulus pennantii Northern Palm Squirrel, Five-striped Palm Squirrel [129] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Mus musculus House Mouse [120] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Oryctolagus cuniculus Rabbit, European Rabbit [128] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Rattus exulans Pacific Rat, Polynesian Rat [79] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Rattus norvegicus Brown Rat, Norway Rat [83] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Rattus rattus Black Rat, Ship Rat [84] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Sus scrofa Pig [6] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Vulpes vulpes Red Fox, Fox [18] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Plants | | |
| Andropogon gayanus Gamba Grass [66895] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Asparagus aethiopicus Asparagus Fern, Ground Asparagus, Basket Fern, Sprengi's Fern, Bushy Asparagus, Emerald Asparagus [62425] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Asparagus asparagoides Bridal Creeper, Bridal Veil Creeper, Smilax, Florist's Smilax, Smilax Asparagus [22473] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Asparagus declinatus Bridal Veil, Bridal Veil Creeper, Pale Berry Asparagus Fern, Asparagus Fern, South African Creeper [66908] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Asparagus scandens Asparagus Fern, Climbing Asparagus Fern [23255] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Brachiaria mutica Para Grass [5879] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Cenchrus ciliaris Buffel-grass, Black Buffel-grass [20213] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Chrysanthemoides monilifera Bitou Bush, Boneseed [18983] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Chrysanthemoides monilifera subsp. monilifera Boneseed [16905] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|--------|---|
| Cryptostegia grandiflora Rubber Vine, Rubbervine, India Rubber Vine, India Rubbervine, Palay Rubbervine, Purple Allamanda [18913] | | within area |
| Cylindropuntia spp. Prickly Pears [85131] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Dolichandra unguis-cati Cat's Claw Vine, Yellow Trumpet Vine, Cat's Claw Creeper, Funnel Creeper [85119] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Genista linifolia Flax-leaved Broom, Mediterranean Broom, Flax Broom [2800] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Genista monspessulana Montpellier Broom, Cape Broom, Canary Broom, Common Broom, French Broom, Soft Broom [20126] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Genista sp. X Genista monspessulana Broom [67538] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Jatropha gossypifolia Cotton-leaved Physic-Nut, Bellyache Bush, Cotton-leaf Physic Nut, Cotton-leaf Jatropha, Black Physic Nut [7507] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Lantana camara Lantana, Common Lantana, Kamara Lantana, Large- leaf Lantana, Pink Flowered Lantana, Red Flowered Lantana, Red-Flowered Sage, White Sage, Wild Sage [10892] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Lycium ferocissimum African Boxthorn, Boxthorn [19235] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Olea europaea Olive, Common Olive [9160] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Opuntia spp. Prickly Pears [82753] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Parkinsonia aculeata Parkinsonia, Jerusalem Thorn, Jelly Bean Tree, Horse Bean [12301] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Pinus radiata Radiata Pine Monterey Pine, Insignis Pine, Wilding Pine [20780] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Prosopis spp. Mesquite, Algaroba [68407] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Rubus fruticosus aggregate Blackberry, European Blackberry [68406] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Salix spp. except S.babylonica, S.x calodendron & S.x reichardtii Willows except Weeping Willow, Pussy Willow and Sterile Pussy Willow [68497] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Salvinia molesta Salvinia, Giant Salvinia, Aquarium Watermoss, Kariba Weed [13665] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Tamarix aphylla Athel Pine, Athel Tree, Tamarisk, Athel Tamarisk, Athel Tamarix, Desert Tamarisk, Flowering | | Species or species habitat likely to occur |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|--------|---|
| Cypress, Salt Cedar [16018] Ulex europaeus Gorse, Furze [7693] | | within area Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Reptiles | | |
| Hemidactylus frenatus Asian House Gecko [1708] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Lycodon aulicus Wolf Snake, Common Wolf Snake, Asian Wolf Snake [83178] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Lygosoma bowringii Christmas Island Grass-skink [1312] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Ramphotyphlops braminus Flowerpot Blind Snake, Brahminy Blind Snake, Cacing Besi [1258] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

Nationally Important Wetlands [[Resource Information](#)]

| Name | State |
|--|-------|
| "The Dales", Christmas Island | EXT |
| Ashmore Reef | EXT |
| Cape Leeuwin System | WA |
| Cape Range Subterranean Waterways | WA |
| Doggerup Creek System | WA |
| Eighty Mile Beach System | WA |
| Exmouth Gulf East | WA |
| Hosine's Spring, Christmas Island | EXT |
| Hutt Lagoon System | WA |
| Lake MacLeod | WA |
| Lake Thetis | WA |
| Learmonth Air Weapons Range - Saline Coastal Flats | WA |
| Leslie (Port Hedland) Saltfields System | WA |
| Mermaid Reef | EXT |
| Prince Regent River System | WA |
| Roebuck Bay | WA |
| Rottnest Island Lakes | WA |
| Shark Bay East | WA |
| Yalgorup Lakes System | WA |

Key Ecological Features (Marine) [[Resource Information](#)]

Key Ecological Features are the parts of the marine ecosystem that are considered to be important for the biodiversity or ecosystem functioning and integrity of the Commonwealth Marine Area.

| Name | Region |
|--|------------|
| Ancient coastline at 125 m depth contour | North-west |
| Ashmore Reef and Cartier Island and surrounding | North-west |
| Canyons linking the Argo Abyssal Plain with the | North-west |
| Canyons linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the | North-west |
| Carbonate bank and terrace system of the Sahul | North-west |
| Commonwealth waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef | North-west |
| Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities | North-west |
| Exmouth Plateau | North-west |
| Glomar Shoals | North-west |
| Mermaid Reef and Commonwealth waters | North-west |
| Pinnacles of the Bonaparte Basin | North-west |
| Seringapatam Reef and Commonwealth waters in | North-west |
| Wallaby Saddle | North-west |
| Albany Canyons group and adjacent shelf break | South-west |
| Ancient coastline at 90-120m depth | South-west |
| Cape Mentelle upwelling | South-west |
| Commonwealth marine environment surrounding | South-west |

| Name | Region |
|--|------------|
| Commonwealth marine environment within and | South-west |
| Commonwealth marine environment within and | South-west |
| Diamantina Fracture Zone | South-west |
| Naturaliste Plateau | South-west |
| Perth Canyon and adjacent shelf break, and other | South-west |
| Western demersal slope and associated fish | South-west |
| Western rock lobster | South-west |

Caveat

The information presented in this report has been provided by a range of data sources as acknowledged at the end of the report.

This report is designed to assist in identifying the locations of places which may be relevant in determining obligations under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. It holds mapped locations of World and National Heritage properties, Wetlands of International and National Importance, Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves, listed threatened, migratory and marine species and listed threatened ecological communities. Mapping of Commonwealth land is not complete at this stage. Maps have been collated from a range of sources at various resolutions.

Not all species listed under the EPBC Act have been mapped (see below) and therefore a report is a general guide only. Where available data supports mapping, the type of presence that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. People using this information in making a referral may need to consider the qualifications below and may need to seek and consider other information sources.

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are derived from recovery plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been derived through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, maps are derived using either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc) together with point locations and described habitat; or environmental modelling (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using point locations and environmental data layers.

Where very little information is available for species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc). In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More reliable distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions as time permits.

Only selected species covered by the following provisions of the EPBC Act have been mapped:

- migratory and
- marine

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in reports produced from this database:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered as vagrants
- some species and ecological communities that have only recently been listed
- some terrestrial species that overfly the Commonwealth marine area
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in small numbers

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

- non-threatened seabirds which have only been mapped for recorded breeding sites
- seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

Such breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

Coordinates

-9.178 123.297452,-9.727655 123.186807,-10.039286 121.994911,-9.610169 121.577399,-9.773757 121.205608,-9.285968 119.959365,-9.369249 119.171169,-9.547708 118.915377,-9.726168 119.093836,-9.828816 119.685285,-10.463801 120.250849,-9.758885 121.63986,-10.660106 121.526835,-10.612517 121.916472,-10.115162 122.152333,-10.371597 123.091331,-10.788002 122.799847,-10.90995 122.835539,-10.921847 123.106202,-10.461818 123.784349,-10.366639 126.663497,-13.579298 128.763491,-14.253489 128.287479,-13.700264 127.326771,-13.774622 126.737855,-14.479538 125.217974,-15.169581 125.051412,-15.496699 124.4434,-15.829882 124.414906,-16.12434 124.602289,-16.341466 124.209677,-16.1063 123.6265,-16.650796 123.549377,-16.900639 123.86763,-17.14156 123.828964,-17.028535 123.585069,-17.195098 123.644555,-17.563914 123.567223,-16.668642 123.016973,-16.389055 122.990204,-16.549669 122.793898,-16.769769 122.820667,-16.915511 122.481594,-17.153454 122.277908,-17.47171 122.148469,-17.983294 122.202007,-17.986268 122.371544,-18.117139 122.362621,-18.44134 121.952164,-18.453238 121.818319,-18.944002 121.589296,-19.34851 121.33053,-19.610251 121.024174,-19.858977 120.337626,-19.96717 119.780906,-20.071271 119.596497,-19.96717 119.129528,-20.283799 118.7463,-20.350858 118.171795,-20.669111 117.764312,-20.743469 117.410368,-20.701828 117.208113,-20.615573 117.160524,-20.734546 116.901758,-20.669111 116.791708,-20.410344 116.880938,-20.710751 116.663812,-20.871365 116.312841,-20.850545 116.205765,-20.963569 116.170074,-21.079568 115.902384,-21.237207 115.825052,-21.879662 114.635321,-22.10571 114.516348,-22.138152 114.085576,-21.812128 114.189649,-21.793406 114.09102,-21.942122 113.954201,-22.278221 113.835227,-22.560782 113.659742,-23.024777 113.835227,-23.494721 113.772767,-23.628565 113.609179,-24.223431 113.400976,-24.4703 113.40395,-24.752861 113.629999,-25.032447 113.67164,-25.701671 113.326618,-26.614789 113.7341,-26.654997 113.678699,-26.144845 113.433693,-26.141871 113.151132,-26.421458 113.317695,-26.647507 113.567538,-27.054989 113.856048,-27.513035 114.105891,-28.067424 114.163805,-28.497537 114.519322,-29.131069 114.837575,-29.574244 114.968446,-30.567669 115.090393,-31.739553 115.726899,-32.887643 115.628746,-33.655019 115.209366,-33.530097 115.004138,-33.964349 114.974394,-34.26773 115.042804,-34.35696 115.161777,-34.270705 115.140957,-34.306397 115.212341,-34.333166 115.393774,-34.526497 115.717976,-35.011312 116.283098,-35.088644 117.921952,-34.383729 119.471576,-34.258807 119.528088,-34.276653 119.724393,-34.530152 119.736291,-35.393778 118.181763,-35.946499 116.558145,-35.946499 114.174535,-35.048328 110.478213,-26.515697 101.220136,-20.228495 100.943775,-14.976211 104.641889,-9.532837 101.579516,-7.998084 106.619215,-8.309853 111.745828,-8.771169 114.570899,-8.438285 114.816755,-8.521566 114.998189,-8.694077 115.126085,-8.827921 115.584131,-8.408541 115.706079,-8.004033 115.759617,-7.99511 115.991614,-8.753563 116.065972,-8.747615 115.839923,-8.783306 115.822077,-8.875511 115.985665,-9.110482 117.053448,-8.884434 118.439484,-8.741666 119.12358,-8.509668 119.349628,-8.804127 119.858238,-9.178 123.297452

Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

- [-Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales](#)
- [-Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria](#)
- [-Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania](#)
- [-Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia](#)
- [-Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory](#)
- [-Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland](#)
- [-Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia](#)
- [-Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT](#)
- [-Birdlife Australia](#)
- [-Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme](#)
- [-Australian National Wildlife Collection](#)
- [-Natural history museums of Australia](#)
- [-Museum Victoria](#)
- [-Australian Museum](#)
- [-South Australian Museum](#)
- [-Queensland Museum](#)
- [-Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums](#)
- [-Queensland Herbarium](#)
- [-National Herbarium of NSW](#)
- [-Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria](#)
- [-Tasmanian Herbarium](#)
- [-State Herbarium of South Australia](#)
- [-Northern Territory Herbarium](#)
- [-Western Australian Herbarium](#)
- [-Australian National Herbarium, Canberra](#)
- [-University of New England](#)
- [-Ocean Biogeographic Information System](#)
- [-Australian Government, Department of Defence Forestry Corporation, NSW](#)
- [-Geoscience Australia](#)
- [-CSIRO](#)
- [-Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns](#)
- [-eBird Australia](#)
- [-Australian Government – Australian Antarctic Data Centre](#)
- [-Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory](#)
- [-Australian Government National Environmental Science Program](#)
- [-Australian Institute of Marine Science](#)
- [-Reef Life Survey Australia](#)
- [-American Museum of Natural History](#)
- [-Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania](#)
- [-Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania](#)
- [-Other groups and individuals](#)

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the [Contact Us](#) page.

© Commonwealth of Australia

Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment

GPO Box 858

Canberra City ACT 2601 Australia

+61 2 6274 1111

Appendix B: MNES Register

Table B-1: MNES Review Register

| Taxon | 2019 Version (Rev6 19/03/2020) | 2020 Review (Rev 7 30/11/2020) | Reason for Change | Sections Updated within this Document |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Threatened Species | | | | |
| Birds | Not listed | Addition of Grey Falcon (<i>Falco hypoleucos</i>) listed as Vulnerable species | Was newly listed as Vulnerable under EPBC Act 9 July 2020 | No change required as species is not expected to occur in significant numbers in marine and coastal environments in the EMBA due to their terrestrial distribution |
| Birds | Shy Albatross (<i>Thalassarche cauta cauta</i>) | Shy Albatross (<i>Thalassarche cauta</i>) | Upgraded from subspecies to species | Table 8-1, Section 8.2 |
| Birds | White-capped Albatross (<i>Thalassarche cauta steadi</i>) | White-capped Albatross (<i>Thalassarche steadi</i>) | Upgraded from subspecies to species | Table 8-1, Section 8.2 |
| Birds | Shy Albatross (<i>Thalassarche cauta</i>) listed as Vulnerable | Shy Albatross (<i>Thalassarche cauta</i>) now listed as Endangered | Upgraded to Endangered under EPBC Act 3 July 2020 | Table 8-1, Section 8.2 |
| Birds | Conservation advice for Christmas Island Frigatebird (2016) | Updated conservation advice for Christmas Island Frigatebird (2020) | New published conservation advice | Section 8.2, Table 8.6, Table 13.1 |
| Birds | Conservation advice for Australasian Bittern (2011) | Updated conservation advice for Australasian Bittern (2019) | New published conservation advice | Section 8.2, Table 8.6, Table 13.1 |
| Birds | Conservation advice for Abbott's Booby (2015) | Updated conservation advice for Abbott's Booby (2020) | New published conservation advice | Section 8.2, Table 8.6, Table 13.1 |
| Birds | No conservation advice for Shy Albatross | New conservation advice for Shy Albatross (2020) | New published conservation advice | Section 8.2, Table 8.6, Table 13.1 |
| Plants | <i>Darwinia oxylepis</i> | Not listed | Species or species habitat considered not to occur within area | No change required as it is a plant species not expected to occur in marine and coastal environments in the EMBA due to their terrestrial distribution |
| Plants | <i>Darwinia wittwerorum</i> | Not listed | Species or species habitat considered not to occur within area | No change required as it is a plant species not expected to occur in marine and coastal environments in the EMBA due to their terrestrial distribution |

| Taxon | 2019 Version (Rev6 19/03/2020) | 2020 Review (Rev 7 30/11/2020) | Reason for Change | Sections Updated within this Document |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Plants | <i>Daviesia obovata</i> | Not listed | Species or species habitat considered not to occur within area | No change required as it is a plant species not expected to occur in marine and coastal environments in the EMBA due to their terrestrial distribution |
| Plants | <i>Keraudrenia exastia</i> | <i>Seringia exastia</i> | Genus name change | No change required as it is a plant species not expected to occur in marine and coastal environments in the EMBA due to their terrestrial distribution |
| Plants | <i>Lepidosperma rostratum</i> | Not listed | Species or species habitat considered not to occur within area | No change required as it is a plant species not expected to occur in marine and coastal environments in the EMBA due to their terrestrial distribution |
| Migratory Species | | | | |
| Sharks | Not listed | Addition of oceanic whitetip shark (<i>Carcharhinus longimanus</i>) listed as Migratory Marine species | Amendment to list of migratory species under EPBC Act 21 October 2020 | Table 5-5-1, Section 5.3, Section 5.3.9 |
| Other Specially Protected Species under WA Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 | | | | |
| Birds | Greater sand plover (<i>Charadrius leschenaultii</i>) listed as specially protected under BC Act 2016 | Greater sand plover (<i>Charadrius leschenaultii</i>) listed as Vulnerable under BC Act 2016 | Listing upgraded to be consistent with EPBC Act listing | Table 8-1 |
| National Reserves | | | | |
| Coastal National Park | Not included | Addition of Houtman Abrolhos Islands National Park | Houtman Abrolhos Islands National Park was created in July 2019 | Table 9-2 |
| Biologically Important Areas (BIAs) | | | | |
| Various | National Conservation Values Atlas | Spatial data layers were last updated in 2016 | No change | No change |
| Threatened Ecological Communities | | | | |
| TEC | Lake Clifton included in Wetlands of National Importance and Ramsar wetland but the associated TEC was not listed | Addition of Thrombolite (microbialite) Community of a Coastal Brackish Lake (Lake Clifton) | This TEC is associated with the wetland system listed as a Nationally Important Wetland and Ramsar wetland and may be | Section 9.7.4 |

| Taxon | 2019 Version (Rev6 19/03/2020) | 2020 Review (Rev 7 30/11/2020) | Reason for Change | Sections Updated within this Document |
|-------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| | | | influence from inflows from a potential hydrocarbon spill | |



EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected.

Information on the coverage of this report and qualifications on data supporting this report are contained in the caveat at the end of the report.

Information is available about [Environment Assessments](#) and the EPBC Act including significance guidelines, forms and application process details.

Report created: 12/10/20 11:47:35

[Summary](#)

[Details](#)


[Matters of NES](#)

[Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act](#)

[Extra Information](#)

[Caveat](#)


[Acknowledgements](#)



This map may contain data which are ©Commonwealth of Australia (Geoscience Australia), ©PSMA 2015

[Coordinates](#)

Buffer: 2.0Km



Summary

Matters of National Environmental Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the [Administrative Guidelines on Significance](#).

| | |
|---|------|
| World Heritage Properties: | None |
| National Heritage Places: | None |
| Wetlands of International Importance: | None |
| Great Barrier Reef Marine Park: | None |
| Commonwealth Marine Area: | 1 |
| Listed Threatened Ecological Communities: | None |
| Listed Threatened Species: | 19 |
| Listed Migratory Species: | 38 |

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the environment anywhere.

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at <http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage>

A [permit](#) may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

| | |
|--|------|
| Commonwealth Land: | None |
| Commonwealth Heritage Places: | None |
| Listed Marine Species: | 73 |
| Whales and Other Cetaceans: | 26 |
| Critical Habitats: | None |
| Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial: | None |
| Australian Marine Parks: | 1 |

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have nominated.

| | |
|--|------|
| State and Territory Reserves: | None |
| Regional Forest Agreements: | None |
| Invasive Species: | None |
| Nationally Important Wetlands: | None |
| Key Ecological Features (Marine) | 1 |

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

Commonwealth Marine Area

[\[Resource Information \]](#)

Approval is required for a proposed activity that is located within the Commonwealth Marine Area which has, will have, or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment. Approval may be required for a proposed action taken outside the Commonwealth Marine Area but which has, may have or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment in the Commonwealth Marine Area. Generally the Commonwealth Marine Area stretches from three nautical miles to two hundred nautical miles from the coast.

Name

EEZ and Territorial Sea

Marine Regions

[\[Resource Information \]](#)

If you are planning to undertake action in an area in or close to the Commonwealth Marine Area, and a marine bioregional plan has been prepared for the Commonwealth Marine Area in that area, the marine bioregional plan may inform your decision as to whether to refer your proposed action under the EPBC Act.

Name

[North-west](#)

Listed Threatened Species

[\[Resource Information \]](#)

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| Birds | | |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Papasula abbotti Abbott's Booby [59297] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Mammals | | |
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Reptiles | | |
| Aipysurus apraefrontalis Short-nosed Seasnake [1115] | Critically Endangered | Species or species |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | habitat may occur within area Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |

Sharks

| | | |
|--|------------|---|
| Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pristis clavata Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pristis pristis Freshwater Sawfish, Largetooth Sawfish, River Sawfish, Leichhardt's Sawfish, Northern Sawfish [60756] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pristis zijsron Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |

Listed Migratory Species

[[Resource Information](#)]

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Migratory Marine Birds | | |
| Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817] | | Breeding likely to occur within area |
| Sula leucogaster Brown Booby [1022] | | Breeding known to occur within area |

Migratory Marine Species

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Anoxypristis cuspidata Narrow Sawfish, Knifetooth Sawfish [68448] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Dugong dugon Dugong [28] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Isurus oxyrinchus Shortfin Mako, Mako Shark [79073] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Isurus paucus Longfin Mako [82947] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Manta alfredi Reef Manta Ray, Coastal Manta Ray, Inshore Manta Ray, Prince Alfred's Ray, Resident Manta Ray [84994] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Manta birostris Giant Manta Ray, Chevron Manta Ray, Pacific Manta Ray, Pelagic Manta Ray, Oceanic Manta Ray [84995] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |
| Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Physeter macrocephalus Sperm Whale [59] | | Species or species |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Pristis clavata Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447] | Vulnerable | habitat may occur within area Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pristis pristis Freshwater Sawfish, Largetooth Sawfish, River Sawfish, Leichhardt's Sawfish, Northern Sawfish [60756] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pristis zijsron Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Sousa chinensis Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphin [50] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Migratory Wetlands Species | | |
| Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

| Listed Marine Species | | [Resource Information] |
|--|------------|--|
| * Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list. | | |
| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
| Birds | | |
| Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825] | | Species or species |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874] | | habitat may occur within area Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Papasula abbotti Abbott's Booby [59297] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Sterna bengalensis Lesser Crested Tern [815] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817] | | Breeding likely to occur within area |
| Sula leucogaster Brown Booby [1022] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Fish | | |
| Acentronura larsonae Helen's Pygmy Pipehorse [66186] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Bulbonaricus brauni Braun's Pughead Pipefish, Pug-headed Pipefish [66189] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Campichthys tricarinatus Three-keel Pipefish [66192] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys brachysoma Pacific Short-bodied Pipefish, Short-bodied Pipefish [66194] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys latispinosus Muiron Island Pipefish [66196] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Choeroichthys suillus Pig-snouted Pipefish [66198] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Corythoichthys flavofasciatus Reticulate Pipefish, Yellow-banded Pipefish, Network Pipefish [66200] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Cosmocampus banneri Roughridge Pipefish [66206] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus dactyliophorus Banded Pipefish, Ringed Pipefish [66210] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus excisus Bluestripe Pipefish, Indian Blue-stripe Pipefish, Pacific Blue-stripe Pipefish [66211] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus janssi Cleaner Pipefish, Janss' Pipefish [66212] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus multiannulatus Many-banded Pipefish [66717] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus negrosensis Flagtail Pipefish, Masthead Island Pipefish [66213] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Festucalex scalaris Ladder Pipefish [66216] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Filicampus tigris Tiger Pipefish [66217] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus brocki Brock's Pipefish [66219] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus grayi Mud Pipefish, Gray's Pipefish [66221] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus nitidus Glittering Pipefish [66224] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus spinirostris Spiny-snout Pipefish [66225] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Haliichthys taeniophorus Ribbioned Pipehorse, Ribbioned Seadragon [66226] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippichthys penicillus Beady Pipefish, Steep-nosed Pipefish [66231] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus angustus Western Spiny Seahorse, Narrow-bellied Seahorse [66234] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus histrix Spiny Seahorse, Thorny Seahorse [66236] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| Hippocampus kuda Spotted Seahorse, Yellow Seahorse [66237] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus planifrons Flat-face Seahorse [66238] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus spinosissimus Hedgehog Seahorse [66239] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus trimaculatus Three-spot Seahorse, Low-crowned Seahorse, Flat-faced Seahorse [66720] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Micrognathus micronotopterus Tidepool Pipefish [66255] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Phoxocampus belcheri Black Rock Pipefish [66719] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solegnathus hardwickii Pallid Pipehorse, Hardwick's Pipehorse [66272] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solegnathus lettiensis Gunther's Pipehorse, Indonesian Pipefish [66273] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solenostomus cyanopterus Robust Ghostpipefish, Blue-finned Ghost Pipefish, [66183] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Syngnathoides biaculeatus Double-end Pipehorse, Double-ended Pipehorse, Alligator Pipefish [66279] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Trachyrhamphus bicoarctatus Bentstick Pipefish, Bend Stick Pipefish, Short-tailed Pipefish [66280] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Trachyrhamphus longirostris Straightstick Pipefish, Long-nosed Pipefish, Straight Stick Pipefish [66281] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Mammals | | |
| Dugong dugon Dugong [28] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Reptiles | | |
| Acalyptophis peronii Horned Seasnake [1114] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus apraefrontalis Short-nosed Seasnake [1115] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus duboisii Dubois' Seasnake [1116] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus eydouxii Spine-tailed Seasnake [1117] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus laevis Olive Seasnake [1120] | | Species or species habitat may occur within |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence area |
|--|------------|--|
| Aipysurus tenuis Brown-lined Seasnake [1121] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Astrotia stokesii Stokes' Seasnake [1122] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Disteira kingii Spectacled Seasnake [1123] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Disteira major Olive-headed Seasnake [1124] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Emydocephalus annulatus Turtle-headed Seasnake [1125] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Ephalophis greyi North-western Mangrove Seasnake [1127] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Hydrelaps darwiniensis Black-ringed Seasnake [1100] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis czeblukovi Fine-spined Seasnake [59233] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis elegans Elegant Seasnake [1104] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis mcdowellii null [25926] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis ornatus Spotted Seasnake, Ornate Reef Seasnake [1111] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |
| Pelamis platurus Yellow-bellied Seasnake [1091] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

Whales and other Cetaceans

[[Resource Information](#)]

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---------|--------|------------------|
| Mammals | | |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|------------|--|
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Delphinus delphis Common Dolphin, Short-beaked Common Dolphin [60] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Feresa attenuata Pygmy Killer Whale [61] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Globicephala macrorhynchus Short-finned Pilot Whale [62] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Grampus griseus Risso's Dolphin, Grampus [64] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Kogia breviceps Pygmy Sperm Whale [57] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Kogia simus Dwarf Sperm Whale [58] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Lagenodelphis hosei Fraser's Dolphin, Sarawak Dolphin [41] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Mesoplodon densirostris Blainville's Beaked Whale, Dense-beaked Whale [74] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Peponocephala electra Melon-headed Whale [47] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Physeter macrocephalus Sperm Whale [59] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pseudorca crassidens False Killer Whale [48] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Sousa chinensis Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphin [50] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Stenella attenuata Spotted Dolphin, Pantropical Spotted Dolphin [51] | | Species or species |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|--------|---|
| Stenella coeruleoalba Striped Dolphin, Euphrosyne Dolphin [52] | | habitat may occur within area Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Stenella longirostris Long-snouted Spinner Dolphin [29] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Steno bredanensis Rough-toothed Dolphin [30] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus Indian Ocean Bottlenose Dolphin, Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin [68418] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Tursiops truncatus s. str. Bottlenose Dolphin [68417] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Ziphius cavirostris Cuvier's Beaked Whale, Goose-beaked Whale [56] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

Australian Marine Parks [Resource Information]

| Name | Label |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Eighty Mile Beach | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |

Extra Information

Key Ecological Features (Marine) [Resource Information]

Key Ecological Features are the parts of the marine ecosystem that are considered to be important for the biodiversity or ecosystem functioning and integrity of the Commonwealth Marine Area.

| Name | Region |
|--|------------|
| Ancient coastline at 125 m depth contour | North-west |

Caveat

The information presented in this report has been provided by a range of data sources as acknowledged at the end of the report.

This report is designed to assist in identifying the locations of places which may be relevant in determining obligations under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. It holds mapped locations of World and National Heritage properties, Wetlands of International and National Importance, Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves, listed threatened, migratory and marine species and listed threatened ecological communities. Mapping of Commonwealth land is not complete at this stage. Maps have been collated from a range of sources at various resolutions.

Not all species listed under the EPBC Act have been mapped (see below) and therefore a report is a general guide only. Where available data supports mapping, the type of presence that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. People using this information in making a referral may need to consider the qualifications below and may need to seek and consider other information sources.

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are derived from recovery plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been derived through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, maps are derived using either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc) together with point locations and described habitat; or environmental modelling (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using point locations and environmental data layers.

Where very little information is available for species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc). In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More reliable distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions as time permits.

Only selected species covered by the following provisions of the EPBC Act have been mapped:

- migratory and
- marine

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in reports produced from this database:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered as vagrants
- some species and ecological communities that have only recently been listed
- some terrestrial species that overfly the Commonwealth marine area
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in small numbers

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

- non-threatened seabirds which have only been mapped for recorded breeding sites
- seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

Such breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

Coordinates

-18.74864877 119.1212753,-18.824638 119.295078,-18.74864394 119.5965967,-18.748642 120.001297,-18.998642 120.001305,-18.998644 119.834641,-19.165312 119.834641,-19.165314 119.667969,-19.331978 119.667969,-19.33198 119.501305,-19.49865 119.501305,-19.498653 119.001305,-19.415319 119.001305,-19.415329 117.917976,-19.081991 117.917969,-19.08199101 117.9169923,-18.884754 118.42507,-18.74865048 118.9015518,-18.74864877 119.1212753

Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

- [-Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales](#)
- [-Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria](#)
- [-Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania](#)
- [-Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia](#)
- [-Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory](#)
- [-Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland](#)
- [-Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia](#)
- [-Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT](#)
- [-Birdlife Australia](#)
- [-Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme](#)
- [-Australian National Wildlife Collection](#)
- [-Natural history museums of Australia](#)
- [-Museum Victoria](#)
- [-Australian Museum](#)
- [-South Australian Museum](#)
- [-Queensland Museum](#)
- [-Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums](#)
- [-Queensland Herbarium](#)
- [-National Herbarium of NSW](#)
- [-Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria](#)
- [-Tasmanian Herbarium](#)
- [-State Herbarium of South Australia](#)
- [-Northern Territory Herbarium](#)
- [-Western Australian Herbarium](#)
- [-Australian National Herbarium, Canberra](#)
- [-University of New England](#)
- [-Ocean Biogeographic Information System](#)
- [-Australian Government, Department of Defence Forestry Corporation, NSW](#)
- [-Geoscience Australia](#)
- [-CSIRO](#)
- [-Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns](#)
- [-eBird Australia](#)
- [-Australian Government – Australian Antarctic Data Centre](#)
- [-Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory](#)
- [-Australian Government National Environmental Science Program](#)
- [-Australian Institute of Marine Science](#)
- [-Reef Life Survey Australia](#)
- [-American Museum of Natural History](#)
- [-Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania](#)
- [-Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania](#)
- [-Other groups and individuals](#)

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the [Contact Us](#) page.

© Commonwealth of Australia

Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment

GPO Box 858

Canberra City ACT 2601 Australia

+61 2 6274 1111



EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected.

Information on the coverage of this report and qualifications on data supporting this report are contained in the caveat at the end of the report.

Information is available about [Environment Assessments](#) and the EPBC Act including significance guidelines, forms and application process details.

Report created: 28/10/20 18:18:09

[Summary](#)

[Details](#)

[Matters of NES](#)

[Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act](#)

[Extra Information](#)

[Caveat](#)


[Acknowledgements](#)



This map may contain data which are
©Commonwealth of Australia
(Geoscience Australia), ©PSMA 2015

[Coordinates](#)

Buffer: 0.0Km



Summary

Matters of National Environmental Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the [Administrative Guidelines on Significance](#).

| | |
|---|------|
| World Heritage Properties: | None |
| National Heritage Places: | None |
| Wetlands of International Importance: | 1 |
| Great Barrier Reef Marine Park: | None |
| Commonwealth Marine Area: | 1 |
| Listed Threatened Ecological Communities: | None |
| Listed Threatened Species: | 34 |
| Listed Migratory Species: | 74 |

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the environment anywhere.

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at <http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage>

A [permit](#) may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

| | |
|--|------|
| Commonwealth Land: | None |
| Commonwealth Heritage Places: | None |
| Listed Marine Species: | 123 |
| Whales and Other Cetaceans: | 27 |
| Critical Habitats: | None |
| Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial: | None |
| Australian Marine Parks: | 3 |

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have nominated.

| | |
|--|------|
| State and Territory Reserves: | 7 |
| Regional Forest Agreements: | None |
| Invasive Species: | 14 |
| Nationally Important Wetlands: | 1 |
| Key Ecological Features (Marine) | 3 |

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar)

[\[Resource Information \]](#)

Name

[Eighty-mile beach](#)

Proximity

Within Ramsar site

Commonwealth Marine Area

[\[Resource Information \]](#)

Approval is required for a proposed activity that is located within the Commonwealth Marine Area which has, will have, or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment. Approval may be required for a proposed action taken outside the Commonwealth Marine Area but which has, may have or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment in the Commonwealth Marine Area. Generally the Commonwealth Marine Area stretches from three nautical miles to two hundred nautical miles from the coast.

Name

EEZ and Territorial Sea

Marine Regions

[\[Resource Information \]](#)

If you are planning to undertake action in an area in or close to the Commonwealth Marine Area, and a marine bioregional plan has been prepared for the Commonwealth Marine Area in that area, the marine bioregional plan may inform your decision as to whether to refer your proposed action under the EPBC Act.

Name

[North-west](#)

Listed Threatened Species

[\[Resource Information \]](#)

Name

Status

Type of Presence

Birds

[Calidris canutus](#)

Red Knot, Knot [855]

Endangered

Species or species habitat known to occur within area

[Calidris ferruginea](#)

Curlew Sandpiper [856]

Critically Endangered

Species or species habitat known to occur within area

[Calidris tenuirostris](#)

Great Knot [862]

Critically Endangered

Roosting known to occur within area

[Charadrius leschenaultii](#)

Greater Sand Plover, Large Sand Plover [877]

Vulnerable

Roosting known to occur within area

[Charadrius mongolus](#)

Lesser Sand Plover, Mongolian Plover [879]

Endangered

Roosting known to occur within area

[Falco hypoleucos](#)

Grey Falcon [929]

Vulnerable

Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

[Limosa lapponica baueri](#)

Bar-tailed Godwit (baueri), Western Alaskan Bar-tailed Godwit [86380]

Vulnerable

Species or species habitat known to occur within area

[Limosa lapponica menzbieri](#)

Northern Siberian Bar-tailed Godwit, Bar-tailed Godwit (menzbieri) [86432]

Critically Endangered

Species or species habitat known to occur within area

[Macronectes giganteus](#)

Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]

Endangered

Species or species habitat may occur within area

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Papasula abbotti Abbott's Booby [59297] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pezoporus occidentalis Night Parrot [59350] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Rostratula australis Australian Painted Snipe [77037] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Sternula nereis nereis Australian Fairy Tern [82950] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Mammals | | |
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Migration route known to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Dasyurus hallucatus Northern Quoll, Digul [Gogo-Yimidir], Wijingadda [Dambimangari], Wiminji [Martu] [331] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Macroderma gigas Ghost Bat [174] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Macrotis lagotis Greater Bilby [282] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Reptiles | | |
| Aipysurus apraefrontalis Short-nosed Seasnake [1115] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Breeding likely to occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Liasis olivaceus barroni Olive Python (Pilbara subspecies) [66699] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|---|
| Sharks | | |
| Carcharias taurus (west coast population) Grey Nurse Shark (west coast population) [68752] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pristis clavata Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Pristis pristis Freshwater Sawfish, Largetooth Sawfish, River Sawfish, Leichhardt's Sawfish, Northern Sawfish [60756] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pristis zijsron Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |

Listed Migratory Species

[[Resource Information](#)]

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Migratory Marine Birds | | |
| Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydroprogne caspia Caspian Tern [808] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Phaethon lepturus White-tailed Tropicbird [1014] | | Breeding likely to occur within area |
| Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sternula albifrons Little Tern [82849] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sula dactylatra Masked Booby [1021] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sula leucogaster Brown Booby [1022] | | Breeding known to occur within area |

Migratory Marine Species

| | | |
|--|--|--------------------|
| Anoxypristis cuspidata Narrow Sawfish, Knifetooth Sawfish [68448] | | Species or species |
|--|--|--------------------|

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | habitat known to occur within area Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Migration route known to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Carcharhinus longimanus Oceanic Whitetip Shark [84108] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Breeding likely to occur within area |
| Dugong dugon Dugong [28] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Isurus oxyrinchus Shortfin Mako, Mako Shark [79073] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Isurus paucus Longfin Mako [82947] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Manta alfredi Reef Manta Ray, Coastal Manta Ray, Inshore Manta Ray, Prince Alfred's Ray, Resident Manta Ray [84994] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Manta birostris Giant Manta Ray, Chevron Manta Ray, Pacific Manta Ray, Pelagic Manta Ray, Oceanic Manta Ray [84995] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Physeter macrocephalus Sperm Whale [59] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Pristis clavata Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Pristis pristis Freshwater Sawfish, Largetooth Sawfish, River Sawfish, Leichhardt's Sawfish, Northern Sawfish [60756] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pristis zijsron Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Sousa chinensis Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphin [50] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Migratory Terrestrial Species | | |
| Cuculus optatus Oriental Cuckoo, Horsfield's Cuckoo [86651] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hirundo rustica Barn Swallow [662] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Motacilla cinerea Grey Wagtail [642] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Motacilla flava Yellow Wagtail [644] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Migratory Wetlands Species | | |
| Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Arenaria interpres Ruddy Turnstone [872] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calidris alba Sanderling [875] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Calidris ruficollis Red-necked Stint [860] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calidris tenuirostris Great Knot [862] | Critically Endangered | Roosting known to occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Charadrius leschenaultii Greater Sand Plover, Large Sand Plover [877] | Vulnerable | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Charadrius mongolus Lesser Sand Plover, Mongolian Plover [879] | Endangered | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Charadrius veredus Oriental Plover, Oriental Dotterel [882] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Gallinago megala Swinhoe's Snipe [864] | | Roosting likely to occur within area |
| Gallinago stenura Pin-tailed Snipe [841] | | Roosting likely to occur within area |
| Glareola maldivarum Oriental Pratincole [840] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Limicola falcinellus Broad-billed Sandpiper [842] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Limnodromus semipalmatus Asian Dowitcher [843] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Limosa lapponica Bar-tailed Godwit [844] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Limosa limosa Black-tailed Godwit [845] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Numenius minutus Little Curlew, Little Whimbrel [848] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Numenius phaeopus Whimbrel [849] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Philomachus pugnax Ruff (Reeve) [850] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Pluvialis fulva Pacific Golden Plover [25545] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Pluvialis squatarola Grey Plover [865] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Thalasseus bergii Crested Tern [83000] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Tringa brevipes Grey-tailed Tattler [851] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Tringa nebularia Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Tringa stagnatilis Marsh Sandpiper, Little Greenshank [833] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Tringa totanus Common Redshank, Redshank [835] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Xenus cinereus Terek Sandpiper [59300] | | Roosting known to occur |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence within area |
|------|------------|------------------------------|
|------|------------|------------------------------|

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

Listed Marine Species [Resource Information]

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|------|------------|------------------|
|------|------------|------------------|

Birds

| | | |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Ardea alba Great Egret, White Egret [59541] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Ardea ibis Cattle Egret [59542] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Arenaria interpres Ruddy Turnstone [872] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calidris alba Sanderling [875] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Calidris ruficollis Red-necked Stint [860] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calidris tenuirostris Great Knot [862] | Critically Endangered | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Charadrius leschenaultii Greater Sand Plover, Large Sand Plover [877] | Vulnerable | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Charadrius mongolus Lesser Sand Plover, Mongolian Plover [879] | Endangered | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Charadrius ruficapillus Red-capped Plover [881] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Charadrius veredus Oriental Plover, Oriental Dotterel [882] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Chrysococcyx osculans Black-eared Cuckoo [705] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Gallinago megala Swinhoe's Snipe [864] | | Roosting likely to occur within area |
| Gallinago stenura Pin-tailed Snipe [841] | | Roosting likely to occur within area |
| Glareola maldivarum Oriental Pratincole [840] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Haliaeetus leucogaster White-bellied Sea-Eagle [943] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Heteroscelus brevipes Grey-tailed Tattler [59311] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Himantopus himantopus Pied Stilt, Black-winged Stilt [870] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Hirundo rustica Barn Swallow [662] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Larus novaehollandiae Silver Gull [810] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Limicola falcinellus Broad-billed Sandpiper [842] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Limnodromus semipalmatus Asian Dowitcher [843] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Limosa lapponica Bar-tailed Godwit [844] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Limosa limosa Black-tailed Godwit [845] | | Roosting known to occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Merops ornatus Rainbow Bee-eater [670] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Motacilla cinerea Grey Wagtail [642] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Motacilla flava Yellow Wagtail [644] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Numenius minutus Little Curlew, Little Whimbrel [848] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Numenius phaeopus Whimbrel [849] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Papasula abbotti Abbott's Booby [59297] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Phaethon lepturus White-tailed Tropicbird [1014] | | Breeding likely to occur within area |
| Philomachus pugnax Ruff (Reeve) [850] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Pluvialis fulva Pacific Golden Plover [25545] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Pluvialis squatarola Grey Plover [865] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Recurvirostra novaehollandiae Red-necked Avocet [871] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Rostratula benghalensis (sensu lato) Painted Snipe [889] | Endangered* | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Sterna albifrons Little Tern [813] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna bengalensis Lesser Crested Tern [815] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna bergii Crested Tern [816] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna caspia Caspian Tern [59467] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Stiltia isabella Australian Pratincole [818] | | Roosting known to occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|---|
| Sula dactylatra Masked Booby [1021] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sula leucogaster Brown Booby [1022] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Tringa nebularia Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Tringa stagnatilis Marsh Sandpiper, Little Greenshank [833] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Tringa totanus Common Redshank, Redshank [835] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Xenus cinereus Terek Sandpiper [59300] | | Roosting known to occur within area |
| Fish | | |
| Acentronura larsonae Helen's Pygmy Pipehorse [66186] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Bhanotia fasciolata Corrugated Pipefish, Barbed Pipefish [66188] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Bulbonaricus brauni Braun's Pughead Pipefish, Pug-headed Pipefish [66189] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Campichthys tricarinatus Three-keel Pipefish [66192] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys brachysoma Pacific Short-bodied Pipefish, Short-bodied Pipefish [66194] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys latispinosus Muiron Island Pipefish [66196] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys suillus Pig-snouted Pipefish [66198] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Corythoichthys amplexus Fijian Banded Pipefish, Brown-banded Pipefish [66199] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Corythoichthys flavofasciatus Reticulate Pipefish, Yellow-banded Pipefish, Network Pipefish [66200] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Corythoichthys intestinalis Australian Messmate Pipefish, Banded Pipefish [66202] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Corythoichthys schultzi Schultz's Pipefish [66205] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Cosmocampus banneri Roughridge Pipefish [66206] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus dactyliophorus Banded Pipefish, Ringed Pipefish [66210] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Doryrhamphus excisus Bluestripe Pipefish, Indian Blue-stripe Pipefish, Pacific Blue-stripe Pipefish [66211] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus janssi Cleaner Pipefish, Janss' Pipefish [66212] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus multiannulatus Many-banded Pipefish [66717] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus negrosensis Flagtail Pipefish, Masthead Island Pipefish [66213] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Festucalex scalaris Ladder Pipefish [66216] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Filicampus tigris Tiger Pipefish [66217] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus brocki Brock's Pipefish [66219] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus dunckeri Red-hair Pipefish, Duncker's Pipefish [66220] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus grayi Mud Pipefish, Gray's Pipefish [66221] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus nitidus Glittering Pipefish [66224] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus spirostris Spiny-snout Pipefish [66225] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Haliichthys taeniophorus Ribboned Pipehorse, Ribboned Seadragon [66226] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippichthys penicillus Beady Pipefish, Steep-nosed Pipefish [66231] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus angustus Western Spiny Seahorse, Narrow-bellied Seahorse [66234] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus histrix Spiny Seahorse, Thorny Seahorse [66236] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus kuda Spotted Seahorse, Yellow Seahorse [66237] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus planifrons Flat-face Seahorse [66238] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus spinosissimus Hedgehog Seahorse [66239] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|---|-----------------------|---|
| Hippocampus trimaculatus Three-spot Seahorse, Low-crowned Seahorse, Flat-faced Seahorse [66720] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Micrognathus micronotopterus Tidepool Pipefish [66255] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Phoxocampus belcheri Black Rock Pipefish [66719] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solegnathus hardwickii Pallid Pipehorse, Hardwick's Pipehorse [66272] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solegnathus lettiensis Gunther's Pipehorse, Indonesian Pipefish [66273] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solenostomus cyanopterus Robust Ghostpipefish, Blue-finned Ghost Pipefish, [66183] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Syngnathoides biaculeatus Double-end Pipehorse, Double-ended Pipehorse, Alligator Pipefish [66279] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Trachyrhamphus bicoarctatus Bentstick Pipefish, Bend Stick Pipefish, Short-tailed Pipefish [66280] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Trachyrhamphus longirostris Straightstick Pipefish, Long-nosed Pipefish, Straight Stick Pipefish [66281] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Mammals | | |
| Dugong dugon Dugong [28] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Reptiles | | |
| Acalyptophis peronii Horned Seasnake [1114] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus apraefrontalis Short-nosed Seasnake [1115] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Aipysurus duboisii Dubois' Seasnake [1116] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus eydouxii Spine-tailed Seasnake [1117] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus laevis Olive Seasnake [1120] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus tenuis Brown-lined Seasnake [1121] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Astrotia stokesii Stokes' Seasnake [1122] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|---|
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | to occur within area Breeding known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Breeding likely to occur within area |
| Disteira kingii Spectacled Seasnake [1123] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Disteira major Olive-headed Seasnake [1124] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Emydocephalus annulatus Turtle-headed Seasnake [1125] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Ephalophis greyi North-western Mangrove Seasnake [1127] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Hydrelaps darwiniensis Black-ringed Seasnake [1100] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis czeblukovi Fine-spined Seasnake [59233] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis elegans Elegant Seasnake [1104] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis mcdowelli null [25926] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis ornatus Spotted Seasnake, Ornate Reef Seasnake [1111] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Pelamis platurus Yellow-bellied Seasnake [1091] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

Whales and other Cetaceans [\[Resource Information \]](#)

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Mammals | | |
| Balaenoptera acutorostrata Minke Whale [33] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Migration route known to |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|------------|---|
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | occur within area Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Delphinus delphis Common Dolphin, Short-beaked Common Dolphin [60] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Feresa attenuata Pygmy Killer Whale [61] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Globicephala macrorhynchus Short-finned Pilot Whale [62] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Grampus griseus Risso's Dolphin, Grampus [64] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Kogia breviceps Pygmy Sperm Whale [57] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Kogia simus Dwarf Sperm Whale [58] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Lagenodelphis hosei Fraser's Dolphin, Sarawak Dolphin [41] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Mesoplodon densirostris Blainville's Beaked Whale, Dense-beaked Whale [74] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Peponocephala electra Melon-headed Whale [47] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Physeter macrocephalus Sperm Whale [59] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pseudorca crassidens False Killer Whale [48] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Sousa chinensis Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphin [50] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Stenella attenuata Spotted Dolphin, Pantropical Spotted Dolphin [51] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Stenella coeruleoalba Striped Dolphin, Euphrosyne Dolphin [52] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Stenella longirostris Long-snouted Spinner Dolphin [29] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|--------|--|
| Steno bredanensis Rough-toothed Dolphin [30] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus Indian Ocean Bottlenose Dolphin, Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin [68418] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Tursiops truncatus s. str. Bottlenose Dolphin [68417] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Ziphius cavirostris Cuvier's Beaked Whale, Goose-beaked Whale [56] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

Australian Marine Parks [Resource Information]

| Name | Label |
|---------------------|--|
| Argo-Rowley Terrace | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Argo-Rowley Terrace | Special Purpose Zone (Trawl) (IUCN VI) |
| Eighty Mile Beach | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |

Extra Information

State and Territory Reserves [Resource Information]

| Name | State |
|---------------------|-------|
| Bedout Island | WA |
| Kujungurru Warrarn | WA |
| Kujungurru Warrarn | WA |
| North Turtle Island | WA |
| Nyangumarta Warrarn | WA |
| Unnamed WA44672 | WA |
| Unnamed WA53015 | WA |

Invasive Species [Resource Information]

Weeds reported here are the 20 species of national significance (WoNS), along with other introduced plants that are considered by the States and Territories to pose a particularly significant threat to biodiversity. The following feral animals are reported: Goat, Red Fox, Cat, Rabbit, Pig, Water Buffalo and Cane Toad. Maps from Landscape Health Project, National Land and Water Resources Audit, 2001.

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|--------|--|
| Birds | | |
| <i>Passer montanus</i> Eurasian Tree Sparrow [406] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Mammals | | |
| <i>Camelus dromedarius</i> Dromedary, Camel [7] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| <i>Canis lupus familiaris</i> Domestic Dog [82654] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| <i>Equus asinus</i> Donkey, Ass [4] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|--------|--|
| Equus caballus Horse [5] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Felis catus Cat, House Cat, Domestic Cat [19] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Mus musculus House Mouse [120] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Sus scrofa Pig [6] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Vulpes vulpes Red Fox, Fox [18] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

| Plants | | |
|---|--|--|
| Cenchrus ciliaris Buffel-grass, Black Buffel-grass [20213] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Parkinsonia aculeata Parkinsonia, Jerusalem Thorn, Jelly Bean Tree, Horse Bean [12301] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Prosopis spp. Mesquite, Algaroba [68407] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

| Reptiles | | |
|--|--|--|
| Hemidactylus frenatus Asian House Gecko [1708] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Ramphotyphlops braminus Flowerpot Blind Snake, Brahminy Blind Snake, Cacing Besi [1258] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

| Nationally Important Wetlands | | [Resource Information] |
|--|--|--------------------------|
| Name | | State |
| Eighty Mile Beach System | | WA |

Key Ecological Features (Marine) [Resource Information]

Key Ecological Features are the parts of the marine ecosystem that are considered to be important for the biodiversity or ecosystem functioning and integrity of the Commonwealth Marine Area.

| Name | Region |
|--|------------|
| Ancient coastline at 125 m depth contour | North-west |
| Glomar Shoals | North-west |
| Mermaid Reef and Commonwealth waters | North-west |

Caveat

The information presented in this report has been provided by a range of data sources as acknowledged at the end of the report.

This report is designed to assist in identifying the locations of places which may be relevant in determining obligations under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. It holds mapped locations of World and National Heritage properties, Wetlands of International and National Importance, Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves, listed threatened, migratory and marine species and listed threatened ecological communities. Mapping of Commonwealth land is not complete at this stage. Maps have been collated from a range of sources at various resolutions.

Not all species listed under the EPBC Act have been mapped (see below) and therefore a report is a general guide only. Where available data supports mapping, the type of presence that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. People using this information in making a referral may need to consider the qualifications below and may need to seek and consider other information sources.

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are derived from recovery plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been derived through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, maps are derived using either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc) together with point locations and described habitat; or environmental modelling (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using point locations and environmental data layers.

Where very little information is available for species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc). In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More reliable distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions as time permits.

Only selected species covered by the following provisions of the EPBC Act have been mapped:

- migratory and
- marine

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in reports produced from this database:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered as vagrants
- some species and ecological communities that have only recently been listed
- some terrestrial species that overfly the Commonwealth marine area
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in small numbers

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

- non-threatened seabirds which have only been mapped for recorded breeding sites
- seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

Such breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

Coordinates

-19.626667 115.711174,-18.530575 116.170788,-17.173235 116.567778,-16.846654 117.722857,-17.360023 118.752272,-17.335277 119.384693,-17.887819 120.012347,-18.023865 120.120196,-18.372218 120.611317,-18.448361 120.837841,-18.699632 120.645581,-19.382133 120.892648,-19.562228 120.90053,-19.617551 120.945145,-19.583691 121.018965,-19.408563 121.215984,-19.410942 121.260718,-19.426646 121.260242,-19.446158 121.240731,-19.601894 121.035859,-19.6475 120.978316,-19.647619 120.9153,-19.631796 120.772651,-19.656364 120.732676,-19.695625 120.554217,-19.832444 120.512576,-19.858931 120.396855,-19.857979 120.374964,-19.924842 120.161526,-19.924128 120.137256,-19.867259 119.936905,-19.929006 119.70467,-19.906029 119.278449,-19.891039 119.043834,-19.983838 119.115218,-20.016674 119.112362,-20.016674 119.083809,-19.97664 119.035089,-19.888838 118.855202,-19.883038 118.580339,-20.208429 118.138949,-20.272675 118.005699,-20.267916 117.748717,-19.99634 116.704927,-19.626667 115.711174

Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

- [-Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales](#)
- [-Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria](#)
- [-Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania](#)
- [-Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia](#)
- [-Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory](#)
- [-Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland](#)
- [-Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia](#)
- [-Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT](#)
- [-Birdlife Australia](#)
- [-Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme](#)
- [-Australian National Wildlife Collection](#)
- [-Natural history museums of Australia](#)
- [-Museum Victoria](#)
- [-Australian Museum](#)
- [-South Australian Museum](#)
- [-Queensland Museum](#)
- [-Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums](#)
- [-Queensland Herbarium](#)
- [-National Herbarium of NSW](#)
- [-Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria](#)
- [-Tasmanian Herbarium](#)
- [-State Herbarium of South Australia](#)
- [-Northern Territory Herbarium](#)
- [-Western Australian Herbarium](#)
- [-Australian National Herbarium, Canberra](#)
- [-University of New England](#)
- [-Ocean Biogeographic Information System](#)
- [-Australian Government, Department of Defence Forestry Corporation, NSW](#)
- [-Geoscience Australia](#)
- [-CSIRO](#)
- [-Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns](#)
- [-eBird Australia](#)
- [-Australian Government – Australian Antarctic Data Centre](#)
- [-Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory](#)
- [-Australian Government National Environmental Science Program](#)
- [-Australian Institute of Marine Science](#)
- [-Reef Life Survey Australia](#)
- [-American Museum of Natural History](#)
- [-Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania](#)
- [-Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania](#)
- [-Other groups and individuals](#)

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the [Contact Us](#) page.

© Commonwealth of Australia

Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment

GPO Box 858

Canberra City ACT 2601 Australia

+61 2 6274 1111



EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected.

Information on the coverage of this report and qualifications on data supporting this report are contained in the caveat at the end of the report.

Information is available about [Environment Assessments](#) and the EPBC Act including significance guidelines, forms and application process details.

Report created: 12/10/20 11:48:50

[Summary](#)

[Details](#)


[Matters of NES](#)

[Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act](#)

[Extra Information](#)

[Caveat](#)


[Acknowledgements](#)



This map may contain data which are
©Commonwealth of Australia
(Geoscience Australia), ©PSMA 2015

[Coordinates](#)

Buffer: 2.0Km



Summary

Matters of National Environmental Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the [Administrative Guidelines on Significance](#).

| | |
|---|------|
| World Heritage Properties: | None |
| National Heritage Places: | None |
| Wetlands of International Importance: | None |
| Great Barrier Reef Marine Park: | None |
| Commonwealth Marine Area: | 1 |
| Listed Threatened Ecological Communities: | None |
| Listed Threatened Species: | 19 |
| Listed Migratory Species: | 33 |

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the environment anywhere.

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at <http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage>

A [permit](#) may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

| | |
|--|------|
| Commonwealth Land: | None |
| Commonwealth Heritage Places: | None |
| Listed Marine Species: | 67 |
| Whales and Other Cetaceans: | 14 |
| Critical Habitats: | None |
| Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial: | None |
| Australian Marine Parks: | None |

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have nominated.

| | |
|--|------|
| State and Territory Reserves: | None |
| Regional Forest Agreements: | None |
| Invasive Species: | None |
| Nationally Important Wetlands: | None |
| Key Ecological Features (Marine) | None |

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

Commonwealth Marine Area

[\[Resource Information \]](#)

Approval is required for a proposed activity that is located within the Commonwealth Marine Area which has, will have, or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment. Approval may be required for a proposed action taken outside the Commonwealth Marine Area but which has, may have or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment in the Commonwealth Marine Area. Generally the Commonwealth Marine Area stretches from three nautical miles to two hundred nautical miles from the coast.

Name

EEZ and Territorial Sea

Marine Regions

[\[Resource Information \]](#)

If you are planning to undertake action in an area in or close to the Commonwealth Marine Area, and a marine bioregional plan has been prepared for the Commonwealth Marine Area in that area, the marine bioregional plan may inform your decision as to whether to refer your proposed action under the EPBC Act.

Name

[North-west](#)

Listed Threatened Species

[\[Resource Information \]](#)

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Birds | | |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Sternula nereis nereis Australian Fairy Tern [82950] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Mammals | | |
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence within area |
|---|------------|---|
| Reptiles | | |
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |
| Sharks | | |
| Carcharias taurus (west coast population) Grey Nurse Shark (west coast population) [68752] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pristis clavata Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pristis zijsron Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Listed Migratory Species | | [Resource Information] |
| * Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list. | | |
| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
| Migratory Marine Birds | | |
| Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Migratory Marine Species | | |
| Anoxypristis cuspidata Narrow Sawfish, Knifetooth Sawfish [68448] | | Species or species habitat may occur within |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence area |
|--|------------|--|
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Isurus oxyrinchus Shortfin Mako, Mako Shark [79073] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Isurus paucus Longfin Mako [82947] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Manta alfredi Reef Manta Ray, Coastal Manta Ray, Inshore Manta Ray, Prince Alfred's Ray, Resident Manta Ray [84994] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Manta birostris Giant Manta Ray, Chevron Manta Ray, Pacific Manta Ray, Pelagic Manta Ray, Oceanic Manta Ray [84995] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |
| Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pristis clavata Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pristis zijsron Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Migratory Wetlands Species | | |
| Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

| Listed Marine Species | | [Resource Information] |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| * Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list. | | |
| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
| Birds | | |
| Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Fish | | |
| Acentronura larsonae Helen's Pygmy Pipehorse [66186] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Bulbonaricus brauni Braun's Pughead Pipefish, Pug-headed Pipefish [66189] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Campichthys tricarinatus Three-keel Pipefish [66192] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys brachysoma Pacific Short-bodied Pipefish, Short-bodied Pipefish [66194] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys latispinosus Muiron Island Pipefish [66196] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys suillus Pig-snouted Pipefish [66198] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Corythoichthys flavofasciatus Reticulate Pipefish, Yellow-banded Pipefish, Network Pipefish [66200] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Cosmocampus banneri Roughridge Pipefish [66206] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus dactyliophorus Banded Pipefish, Ringed Pipefish [66210] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus excisus Bluestripe Pipefish, Indian Blue-stripe Pipefish, Pacific Blue-stripe Pipefish [66211] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus janssi Cleaner Pipefish, Janss' Pipefish [66212] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus multiannulatus Many-banded Pipefish [66717] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Doryrhamphus negrosensis Flagtail Pipefish, Masthead Island Pipefish [66213] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Festucalex scalaris Ladder Pipefish [66216] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Filicampus tigris Tiger Pipefish [66217] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus brocki Brock's Pipefish [66219] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus grayi Mud Pipefish, Gray's Pipefish [66221] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus nitidus Glittering Pipefish [66224] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus spinirostris Spiny-snout Pipefish [66225] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Haliichthys taeniophorus Ribbioned Pipehorse, Ribbioned Seadragon [66226] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippichthys penicillus Beady Pipefish, Steep-nosed Pipefish [66231] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus angustus Western Spiny Seahorse, Narrow-bellied Seahorse [66234] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus histrix Spiny Seahorse, Thorny Seahorse [66236] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus kuda Spotted Seahorse, Yellow Seahorse [66237] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus planifrons Flat-face Seahorse [66238] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus spinosissimus Hedgehog Seahorse [66239] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus trimaculatus Three-spot Seahorse, Low-crowned Seahorse, Flat-faced Seahorse [66720] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Micrognathus micronotopterus Tidepool Pipefish [66255] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Phoxocampus belcheri Black Rock Pipefish [66719] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solegnathus hardwickii Pallid Pipehorse, Hardwick's Pipehorse [66272] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|---|------------|--|
| Solegnathus lettiensis Gunther's Pipehorse, Indonesian Pipefish [66273] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solenostomus cyanopterus Robust Ghostpipefish, Blue-finned Ghost Pipefish, [66183] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Syngnathoides biaculeatus Double-end Pipehorse, Double-ended Pipehorse, Alligator Pipefish [66279] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Trachyrhamphus bicoarctatus Bentstick Pipefish, Bend Stick Pipefish, Short-tailed Pipefish [66280] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Trachyrhamphus longirostris Straightstick Pipefish, Long-nosed Pipefish, Straight Stick Pipefish [66281] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Reptiles | | |
| Acalyptophis peronii Horned Seasnake [1114] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus duboisii Dubois' Seasnake [1116] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus eydouxii Spine-tailed Seasnake [1117] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus laevis Olive Seasnake [1120] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus tenuis Brown-lined Seasnake [1121] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Astrotia stokesii Stokes' Seasnake [1122] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Disteira kingii Spectacled Seasnake [1123] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Disteira major Olive-headed Seasnake [1124] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Ephalophis greyi North-western Mangrove Seasnake [1127] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Hydrelaps darwiniensis Black-ringed Seasnake [1100] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis czeblukovi Fine-spined Seasnake [59233] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis elegans Elegant Seasnake [1104] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis mcdowellii null [25926] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis ornatus Spotted Seasnake, Ornate Reef Seasnake [1111] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |
| Pelamis platurus Yellow-bellied Seasnake [1091] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

Whales and other Cetaceans

[[Resource Information](#)]

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|------------|--|
| Mammals | | |
| Balaenoptera acutorostrata Minke Whale [33] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Delphinus delphis Common Dolphin, Short-beaked Common Dolphin [60] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Grampus griseus Risso's Dolphin, Grampus [64] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pseudorca crassidens False Killer Whale [48] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Stenella attenuata Spotted Dolphin, Pantropical Spotted Dolphin [51] | | Species or species |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|--------|--|
| Tursiops aduncus Indian Ocean Bottlenose Dolphin, Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin [68418] | | habitat may occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Tursiops truncatus s. str. Bottlenose Dolphin [68417] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Tursiops truncatus s. str. Bottlenose Dolphin [68417] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

Extra Information

Caveat

The information presented in this report has been provided by a range of data sources as acknowledged at the end of the report.

This report is designed to assist in identifying the locations of places which may be relevant in determining obligations under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. It holds mapped locations of World and National Heritage properties, Wetlands of International and National Importance, Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves, listed threatened, migratory and marine species and listed threatened ecological communities. Mapping of Commonwealth land is not complete at this stage. Maps have been collated from a range of sources at various resolutions.

Not all species listed under the EPBC Act have been mapped (see below) and therefore a report is a general guide only. Where available data supports mapping, the type of presence that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. People using this information in making a referral may need to consider the qualifications below and may need to seek and consider other information sources.

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are derived from recovery plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been derived through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, maps are derived using either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc) together with point locations and described habitat; or environmental modelling (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using point locations and environmental data layers.

Where very little information is available for species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc). In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More reliable distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions as time permits.

Only selected species covered by the following provisions of the EPBC Act have been mapped:

- migratory and
- marine

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in reports produced from this database:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered as vagrants
- some species and ecological communities that have only recently been listed
- some terrestrial species that overfly the Commonwealth marine area
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in small numbers

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

- non-threatened seabirds which have only been mapped for recorded breeding sites
- seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

Such breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

Coordinates

-20.08201 116.25132,-19.998678 116.25132,-19.998676 116.334656,-19.915344 116.334656,-19.915344 116.417984,-20.082008 116.417984,-20.08201 116.25132

Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

- [-Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales](#)
- [-Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria](#)
- [-Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania](#)
- [-Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia](#)
- [-Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory](#)
- [-Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland](#)
- [-Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia](#)
- [-Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT](#)
- [-Birdlife Australia](#)
- [-Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme](#)
- [-Australian National Wildlife Collection](#)
- [-Natural history museums of Australia](#)
- [-Museum Victoria](#)
- [-Australian Museum](#)
- [-South Australian Museum](#)
- [-Queensland Museum](#)
- [-Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums](#)
- [-Queensland Herbarium](#)
- [-National Herbarium of NSW](#)
- [-Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria](#)
- [-Tasmanian Herbarium](#)
- [-State Herbarium of South Australia](#)
- [-Northern Territory Herbarium](#)
- [-Western Australian Herbarium](#)
- [-Australian National Herbarium, Canberra](#)
- [-University of New England](#)
- [-Ocean Biogeographic Information System](#)
- [-Australian Government, Department of Defence Forestry Corporation, NSW](#)
- [-Geoscience Australia](#)
- [-CSIRO](#)
- [-Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns](#)
- [-eBird Australia](#)
- [-Australian Government – Australian Antarctic Data Centre](#)
- [-Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory](#)
- [-Australian Government National Environmental Science Program](#)
- [-Australian Institute of Marine Science](#)
- [-Reef Life Survey Australia](#)
- [-American Museum of Natural History](#)
- [-Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania](#)
- [-Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania](#)
- [-Other groups and individuals](#)

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the [Contact Us](#) page.

© Commonwealth of Australia

Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment

GPO Box 858

Canberra City ACT 2601 Australia

+61 2 6274 1111



EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected.

Information on the coverage of this report and qualifications on data supporting this report are contained in the caveat at the end of the report.

Information is available about [Environment Assessments](#) and the EPBC Act including significance guidelines, forms and application process details.

Report created: 15/10/20 18:28:38

[Summary](#)

[Details](#)


[Matters of NES](#)

[Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act](#)

[Extra Information](#)

[Caveat](#)


[Acknowledgements](#)



This map may contain data which are
©Commonwealth of Australia
(Geoscience Australia), ©PSMA 2015

[Coordinates](#)

[Buffer: 0.0Km](#)



Summary

Matters of National Environmental Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the [Administrative Guidelines on Significance](#).

| | |
|---|------|
| World Heritage Properties: | 1 |
| National Heritage Places: | 2 |
| Wetlands of International Importance: | None |
| Great Barrier Reef Marine Park: | None |
| Commonwealth Marine Area: | 1 |
| Listed Threatened Ecological Communities: | None |
| Listed Threatened Species: | 41 |
| Listed Migratory Species: | 54 |

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the environment anywhere.

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at <http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage>

A [permit](#) may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

| | |
|--|------|
| Commonwealth Land: | 1 |
| Commonwealth Heritage Places: | 2 |
| Listed Marine Species: | 100 |
| Whales and Other Cetaceans: | 29 |
| Critical Habitats: | None |
| Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial: | None |
| Australian Marine Parks: | 5 |

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have nominated.

| | |
|--|------|
| State and Territory Reserves: | 15 |
| Regional Forest Agreements: | None |
| Invasive Species: | 12 |
| Nationally Important Wetlands: | None |
| Key Ecological Features (Marine) | 6 |

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

World Heritage Properties [\[Resource Information \]](#)

| Name | State | Status |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------------------|
| The Ningaloo Coast | WA | Declared property |

National Heritage Properties [\[Resource Information \]](#)

| Name | State | Status |
|--|-------|--------------|
| Natural | | |
| The Ningaloo Coast | WA | Listed place |
| Indigenous | | |
| Dampier Archipelago (including Burrup Peninsula) | WA | Listed place |

Commonwealth Marine Area [\[Resource Information \]](#)

Approval is required for a proposed activity that is located within the Commonwealth Marine Area which has, will have, or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment. Approval may be required for a proposed action taken outside the Commonwealth Marine Area but which has, may have or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment in the Commonwealth Marine Area. Generally the Commonwealth Marine Area stretches from three nautical miles to two hundred nautical miles from the coast.

| Name |
|-------------------------|
| EEZ and Territorial Sea |

Marine Regions [\[Resource Information \]](#)

If you are planning to undertake action in an area in or close to the Commonwealth Marine Area, and a marine bioregional plan has been prepared for the Commonwealth Marine Area in that area, the marine bioregional plan may inform your decision as to whether to refer your proposed action under the EPBC Act.

| Name |
|----------------------------|
| North-west |

Listed Threatened Species [\[Resource Information \]](#)

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|-----------------------|---|
| Birds | | |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Falco hypoleucos Grey Falcon [929] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Limosa lapponica baueri Bar-tailed Godwit (baueri), Western Alaskan Bar-tailed Godwit [86380] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Limosa lapponica menzbieri Northern Siberian Bar-tailed Godwit, Bar-tailed Godwit (menzbieri) [86432] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Malurus leucopterus edouardi White-winged Fairy-wren (Barrow Island), Barrow Island Black-and-white Fairy-wren [26194] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence within area |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pezoporus occidentalis Night Parrot [59350] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pterodroma mollis Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Rostratula australis Australian Painted Snipe [77037] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Sternula nereis nereis Australian Fairy Tern [82950] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Fish | | |
| Milyeringa veritas Blind Gudgeon [66676] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Mammals | | |
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Migration route known to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Bettongia lesueur Barrow and Boodie Islands subspecies Boodie, Burrowing Bettong (Barrow and Boodie Islands) [88021] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Dasyurus hallucatus Northern Quoll, Digul [Gogo-Yimidir], Wijingadda [Dambimangari], Wiminji [Martu] [331] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Eubalaena australis Southern Right Whale [40] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Isoodon auratus barrowensis Golden Bandicoot (Barrow Island) [66666] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Lagorchestes conspicillatus conspicillatus Spectacled Hare-wallaby (Barrow Island) [66661] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Lagorchestes hirsutus Central Australian subspecies Mala, Rufous Hare-Wallaby (Central Australia) [88019] | Endangered | Translocated population known to occur within area |
| Macroderma gigas Ghost Bat [174] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|-----------------------|---|
| Osphranter robustus isabellinus Barrow Island Wallaroo, Barrow Island Euro [89262] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Petrogale lateralis lateralis Black-flanked Rock-wallaby, Moororong, Black-footed Rock Wallaby [66647] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Rhinonictis aurantia (Pilbara form) Pilbara Leaf-nosed Bat [82790] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Reptiles | | |
| Aipysurus apraefrontalis Short-nosed Seasnake [1115] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Ctenotus zasticus Hamelin Ctenotus [25570] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Liasis olivaceus barroni Olive Python (Pilbara subspecies) [66699] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sharks | | |
| Carcharias taurus (west coast population) Grey Nurse Shark (west coast population) [68752] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pristis clavata Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pristis zijsron Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Listed Migratory Species | | [Resource Information] |
| * Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list. | | |
| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
| Migratory Marine Birds | | |
| Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-------------|--|
| Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Ardenna carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [82404] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Ardenna pacifica Wedge-tailed Shearwater [84292] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydroprogne caspia Caspian Tern [808] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Onychoprion anaethetus Bridled Tern [82845] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Migratory Marine Species | | |
| Anoxypristis cuspidata Narrow Sawfish, Knifetooth Sawfish [68448] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Balaena glacialis australis Southern Right Whale [75529] | Endangered* | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera bonaerensis Antarctic Minke Whale, Dark-shoulder Minke Whale [67812] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Migration route known to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|---|
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Dugong dugon Dugong [28] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Isurus oxyrinchus Shortfin Mako, Mako Shark [79073] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Isurus paucus Longfin Mako [82947] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Lamna nasus Porbeagle, Mackerel Shark [83288] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Manta alfredi Reef Manta Ray, Coastal Manta Ray, Inshore Manta Ray, Prince Alfred's Ray, Resident Manta Ray [84994] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Manta birostris Giant Manta Ray, Chevron Manta Ray, Pacific Manta Ray, Pelagic Manta Ray, Oceanic Manta Ray [84995] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Physeter macrocephalus Sperm Whale [59] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pristis clavata Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pristis zijsron Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Sousa chinensis Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphin [50] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Migratory Terrestrial Species | | |
| Hirundo rustica Barn Swallow [662] | | Species or species |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|---|-----------------------|---|
| Motacilla cinerea Grey Wagtail [642] | | habitat may occur within area Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Motacilla flava Yellow Wagtail [644] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Migratory Wetlands Species | | |
| Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Charadrius veredus Oriental Plover, Oriental Dotterel [882] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Glareola maldivarum Oriental Pratincole [840] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Limosa lapponica Bar-tailed Godwit [844] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Thalasseus bergii Crested Tern [83000] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Tringa nebularia Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

Commonwealth Land

[[Resource Information](#)]

The Commonwealth area listed below may indicate the presence of Commonwealth land in this vicinity. Due to the unreliability of the data source, all proposals should be checked as to whether it impacts on a Commonwealth area, before making a definitive decision. Contact the State or Territory government land department for further information.

Name

Commonwealth Land -

Commonwealth Heritage Places

[[Resource Information](#)]

| Name | State | Status |
|--|-------|--------------|
| Natural | | |
| Learmonth Air Weapons Range Facility | WA | Listed place |
| Ningaloo Marine Area - Commonwealth Waters | WA | Listed place |

Listed Marine Species

[[Resource Information](#)]

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Birds | | |
| Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Ardea alba Great Egret, White Egret [59541] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Ardea ibis Cattle Egret [59542] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Charadrius veredus Oriental Plover, Oriental Dotterel [882] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Chrysococcyx osculans Black-eared Cuckoo [705] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Glareola maldivarum Oriental Pratincole [840] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Haliaeetus leucogaster White-bellied Sea-Eagle [943] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Hirundo rustica Barn Swallow [662] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Larus novaehollandiae Silver Gull [810] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Limosa lapponica Bar-tailed Godwit [844] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Merops ornatus Rainbow Bee-eater [670] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Motacilla cinerea Grey Wagtail [642] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Motacilla flava Yellow Wagtail [644] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Pterodroma mollis Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Puffinus carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [1043] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Puffinus pacificus Wedge-tailed Shearwater [1027] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Rostratula benghalensis (sensu lato) Painted Snipe [889] | Endangered* | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Sterna anaethetus Bridled Tern [814] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna bengalensis Lesser Crested Tern [815] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna bergii Crested Tern [816] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna caspia Caspian Tern [59467] | | Breeding known to occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna fuscata Sooty Tern [794] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna nereis Fairy Tern [796] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Tringa nebularia Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fish | | |
| Acentronura larsonae Helen's Pygmy Pipehorse [66186] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Bulbonaricus brauni Braun's Pughead Pipefish, Pug-headed Pipefish [66189] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Campichthys galei Gale's Pipefish [66191] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Campichthys tricarinatus Three-keel Pipefish [66192] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys brachysoma Pacific Short-bodied Pipefish, Short-bodied Pipefish [66194] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys latispinosus Muiron Island Pipefish [66196] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys suillus Pig-snouted Pipefish [66198] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Corythoichthys flavofasciatus Reticulate Pipefish, Yellow-banded Pipefish, Network Pipefish [66200] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Cosmocampus banneri Roughridge Pipefish [66206] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus dactyliophorus Banded Pipefish, Ringed Pipefish [66210] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus excisus Bluestripe Pipefish, Indian Blue-stripe Pipefish, Pacific Blue-stripe Pipefish [66211] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus janssi Cleaner Pipefish, Janss' Pipefish [66212] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus multiannulatus Many-banded Pipefish [66717] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus negrosensis Flagtail Pipefish, Masthead Island Pipefish [66213] | | Species or species habitat may occur within |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence area |
|--|------------|--|
| Festucalex scalaris Ladder Pipefish [66216] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Filicampus tigris Tiger Pipefish [66217] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus brocki Brock's Pipefish [66219] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus grayi Mud Pipefish, Gray's Pipefish [66221] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus nitidus Glittering Pipefish [66224] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus spirostris Spiny-snout Pipefish [66225] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Haliichthys taeniophorus Ribbioned Pipehorse, Ribbioned Seadragon [66226] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippichthys penicillus Beady Pipefish, Steep-nosed Pipefish [66231] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus angustus Western Spiny Seahorse, Narrow-bellied Seahorse [66234] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus histrix Spiny Seahorse, Thorny Seahorse [66236] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus kuda Spotted Seahorse, Yellow Seahorse [66237] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus planifrons Flat-face Seahorse [66238] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus spinosissimus Hedgehog Seahorse [66239] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus trimaculatus Three-spot Seahorse, Low-crowned Seahorse, Flat-faced Seahorse [66720] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Lissocampus fatiloquus Prophet's Pipefish [66250] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Micrognathus micronotopterus Tidepool Pipefish [66255] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Nannocampus subosseus Bonyhead Pipefish, Bony-headed Pipefish [66264] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Phoxocampus belcheri Black Rock Pipefish [66719] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|---|-----------------------|---|
| Solegnathus hardwickii Pallid Pipehorse, Hardwick's Pipehorse [66272] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solegnathus lettiensis Gunther's Pipehorse, Indonesian Pipefish [66273] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solenostomus cyanopterus Robust Ghostpipefish, Blue-finned Ghost Pipefish, [66183] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Stigmatopora argus Spotted Pipefish, Gulf Pipefish, Peacock Pipefish [66276] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Syngnathoides biaculeatus Double-end Pipehorse, Double-ended Pipehorse, Alligator Pipefish [66279] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Trachyrhamphus bicoarctatus Bentstick Pipefish, Bend Stick Pipefish, Short-tailed Pipefish [66280] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Trachyrhamphus longirostris Straightstick Pipefish, Long-nosed Pipefish, Straight Stick Pipefish [66281] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Mammals | | |
| Dugong dugon Dugong [28] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Reptiles | | |
| Acalyptophis peronii Horned Seasnake [1114] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus apraefrontalis Short-nosed Seasnake [1115] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Aipysurus duboisii Dubois' Seasnake [1116] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus eydouxii Spine-tailed Seasnake [1117] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus laevis Olive Seasnake [1120] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus tenuis Brown-lined Seasnake [1121] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Astrotia stokesii Stokes' Seasnake [1122] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Disteira kingii Spectacled Seasnake [1123] | | Species or species |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|---|
| Disteira major Olive-headed Seasnake [1124] | | habitat may occur within area Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Emydocephalus annulatus Turtle-headed Seasnake [1125] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Ephalophis greyi North-western Mangrove Seasnake [1127] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Hydrelaps darwiniensis Black-ringed Seasnake [1100] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis czeblukovi Fine-spined Seasnake [59233] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis elegans Elegant Seasnake [1104] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis mcdowellii null [25926] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis ornatus Spotted Seasnake, Ornate Reef Seasnake [1111] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Pelamis platurus Yellow-bellied Seasnake [1091] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

Whales and other Cetaceans [Resource Information]

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Mammals | | |
| Balaenoptera acutorostrata Minke Whale [33] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Balaenoptera bonaerensis Antarctic Minke Whale, Dark-shoulder Minke Whale [67812] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Migration route known to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Delphinus delphis Common Dolphin, Short-beaked Common | | Species or species |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|------------|--|
| Dolphin [60] | | habitat may occur within area |
| Eubalaena australis Southern Right Whale [40] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Feresa attenuata Pygmy Killer Whale [61] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Globicephala macrorhynchus Short-finned Pilot Whale [62] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Grampus griseus Risso's Dolphin, Grampus [64] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Kogia breviceps Pygmy Sperm Whale [57] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Kogia simus Dwarf Sperm Whale [58] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Lagenodelphis hosei Fraser's Dolphin, Sarawak Dolphin [41] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Mesoplodon densirostris Blainville's Beaked Whale, Dense-beaked Whale [74] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Peponocephala electra Melon-headed Whale [47] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Physeter macrocephalus Sperm Whale [59] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pseudorca crassidens False Killer Whale [48] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Sousa chinensis Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphin [50] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Stenella attenuata Spotted Dolphin, Pantropical Spotted Dolphin [51] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Stenella coeruleoalba Striped Dolphin, Euphrosyne Dolphin [52] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Stenella longirostris Long-snouted Spinner Dolphin [29] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Steno bredanensis Rough-toothed Dolphin [30] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|--------|--|
| Tursiops aduncus Indian Ocean Bottlenose Dolphin, Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin [68418] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Tursiops truncatus s. str. Bottlenose Dolphin [68417] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Ziphius cavirostris Cuvier's Beaked Whale, Goose-beaked Whale [56] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

Australian Marine Parks [Resource Information]

| Name | Label |
|------------|-----------------------------------|
| Gascoyne | Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) |
| Gascoyne | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Montebello | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Ningaloo | National Park Zone (IUCN II) |
| Ningaloo | Recreational Use Zone (IUCN IV) |

Extra Information

State and Territory Reserves [Resource Information]

| Name | State |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Airlie Island | WA |
| Barrow Island | WA |
| Bessieres Island | WA |
| Boodie, Double Middle Islands | WA |
| Locker Island | WA |
| Lowendal Islands | WA |
| Montebello Islands | WA |
| Muiron Islands | WA |
| Round Island | WA |
| Serrurier Island | WA |
| Unnamed WA36915 | WA |
| Unnamed WA40322 | WA |
| Unnamed WA40828 | WA |
| Unnamed WA41080 | WA |
| Unnamed WA44665 | WA |

Invasive Species [Resource Information]

Weeds reported here are the 20 species of national significance (WoNS), along with other introduced plants that are considered by the States and Territories to pose a particularly significant threat to biodiversity. The following feral animals are reported: Goat, Red Fox, Cat, Rabbit, Pig, Water Buffalo and Cane Toad. Maps from Landscape Health Project, National Land and Water Resources Audit, 2001.

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|--------|--|
| Birds | | |
| Columba livia Rock Pigeon, Rock Dove, Domestic Pigeon [803] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Mammals | | |
| Canis lupus familiaris Domestic Dog [82654] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|--------|--|
| Capra hircus Goat [2] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Equus caballus Horse [5] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Felis catus Cat, House Cat, Domestic Cat [19] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Mus musculus House Mouse [120] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Oryctolagus cuniculus Rabbit, European Rabbit [128] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Rattus rattus Black Rat, Ship Rat [84] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Vulpes vulpes Red Fox, Fox [18] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

Plants

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Cenchrus ciliaris Buffel-grass, Black Buffel-grass [20213] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Jatropha gossypifolia Cotton-leaved Physic-Nut, Bellyache Bush, Cotton-leaf Physic Nut, Cotton-leaf Jatropha, Black Physic Nut [7507] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

Reptiles

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Hemidactylus frenatus Asian House Gecko [1708] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
|---|--|--|

Key Ecological Features (Marine)

[[Resource Information](#)]

Key Ecological Features are the parts of the marine ecosystem that are considered to be important for the biodiversity or ecosystem functioning and integrity of the Commonwealth Marine Area.

| Name | Region |
|--|------------|
| Ancient coastline at 125 m depth contour | North-west |
| Canyons linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Commonwealth waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef | North-west |
| Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities | North-west |
| Exmouth Plateau | North-west |
| Glomar Shoals | North-west |

Caveat

The information presented in this report has been provided by a range of data sources as acknowledged at the end of the report.

This report is designed to assist in identifying the locations of places which may be relevant in determining obligations under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. It holds mapped locations of World and National Heritage properties, Wetlands of International and National Importance, Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves, listed threatened, migratory and marine species and listed threatened ecological communities. Mapping of Commonwealth land is not complete at this stage. Maps have been collated from a range of sources at various resolutions.

Not all species listed under the EPBC Act have been mapped (see below) and therefore a report is a general guide only. Where available data supports mapping, the type of presence that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. People using this information in making a referral may need to consider the qualifications below and may need to seek and consider other information sources.

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are derived from recovery plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been derived through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, maps are derived using either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc) together with point locations and described habitat; or environmental modelling (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using point locations and environmental data layers.

Where very little information is available for species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc). In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More reliable distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions as time permits.

Only selected species covered by the following provisions of the EPBC Act have been mapped:

- migratory and
- marine

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in reports produced from this database:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered as vagrants
- some species and ecological communities that have only recently been listed
- some terrestrial species that overfly the Commonwealth marine area
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in small numbers

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

- non-threatened seabirds which have only been mapped for recorded breeding sites
- seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

Such breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

Coordinates

-18.319604 115.095382,-18.418291 115.84831,-18.329746 116.029722,-18.349991 116.42045,-18.435786 116.552404,-18.521469 116.635505,-18.542215 116.793782,-18.947773 117.270548,-19.138996 117.852908,-19.719999 118.412001,-19.837915 118.303701,-20.014345 117.949965,-20.186529 117.543798,-20.252979 117.326605,-20.287298 117.117117,-20.279272 116.773268,-20.360197 116.59821,-20.474818 116.491245,-20.601852 116.549451,-20.613155 116.547666,-20.615534 116.523277,-20.604827 116.492344,-20.506761 116.281159,-20.551973 116.183356,-20.951812 115.9042,-20.960378 115.884213,-20.721002 115.724629,-21.168483 115.423962,-21.701719 114.821798,-21.810255 114.495981,-21.818043 114.379995,-21.672199 114.343173,-21.696108 114.073191,-21.952314 113.903109,-22.311512 113.809374,-22.567446 113.653532,-23.019493 113.649229,-23.49872 113.478563,-23.635824 113.370443,-23.756872 113.096497,-22.57439 113.204973,-21.207016 113.308797,-20.41001 113.127855,-19.224897 114.654212,-18.319604 115.095382

Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

- [-Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales](#)
- [-Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria](#)
- [-Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania](#)
- [-Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia](#)
- [-Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory](#)
- [-Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland](#)
- [-Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia](#)
- [-Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT](#)
- [-Birdlife Australia](#)
- [-Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme](#)
- [-Australian National Wildlife Collection](#)
- [-Natural history museums of Australia](#)
- [-Museum Victoria](#)
- [-Australian Museum](#)
- [-South Australian Museum](#)
- [-Queensland Museum](#)
- [-Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums](#)
- [-Queensland Herbarium](#)
- [-National Herbarium of NSW](#)
- [-Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria](#)
- [-Tasmanian Herbarium](#)
- [-State Herbarium of South Australia](#)
- [-Northern Territory Herbarium](#)
- [-Western Australian Herbarium](#)
- [-Australian National Herbarium, Canberra](#)
- [-University of New England](#)
- [-Ocean Biogeographic Information System](#)
- [-Australian Government, Department of Defence Forestry Corporation, NSW](#)
- [-Geoscience Australia](#)
- [-CSIRO](#)
- [-Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns](#)
- [-eBird Australia](#)
- [-Australian Government – Australian Antarctic Data Centre](#)
- [-Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory](#)
- [-Australian Government National Environmental Science Program](#)
- [-Australian Institute of Marine Science](#)
- [-Reef Life Survey Australia](#)
- [-American Museum of Natural History](#)
- [-Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania](#)
- [-Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania](#)
- [-Other groups and individuals](#)

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the [Contact Us](#) page.

© Commonwealth of Australia

Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment

GPO Box 858

Canberra City ACT 2601 Australia

+61 2 6274 1111



EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected.

Information on the coverage of this report and qualifications on data supporting this report are contained in the caveat at the end of the report.

Information is available about [Environment Assessments](#) and the EPBC Act including significance guidelines, forms and application process details.

Report created: 05/02/21 16:42:32

[Summary](#)

[Details](#)


[Matters of NES](#)

[Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act](#)

[Extra Information](#)

[Caveat](#)


[Acknowledgements](#)



This map may contain data which are
©Commonwealth of Australia
(Geoscience Australia), ©PSMA 2015

[Coordinates](#)

Buffer: 2.0Km



Summary

Matters of National Environmental Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the [Administrative Guidelines on Significance](#).

| | |
|---|------|
| World Heritage Properties: | None |
| National Heritage Places: | None |
| Wetlands of International Importance: | None |
| Great Barrier Reef Marine Park: | None |
| Commonwealth Marine Area: | 1 |
| Listed Threatened Ecological Communities: | None |
| Listed Threatened Species: | 20 |
| Listed Migratory Species: | 37 |

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the environment anywhere.

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at <http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage>

A [permit](#) may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

| | |
|--|------|
| Commonwealth Land: | None |
| Commonwealth Heritage Places: | None |
| Listed Marine Species: | 65 |
| Whales and Other Cetaceans: | 15 |
| Critical Habitats: | None |
| Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial: | None |
| Australian Marine Parks: | 1 |

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have nominated.

| | |
|--|------|
| State and Territory Reserves: | None |
| Regional Forest Agreements: | None |
| Invasive Species: | None |
| Nationally Important Wetlands: | None |
| Key Ecological Features (Marine) | None |

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

Commonwealth Marine Area

[\[Resource Information \]](#)

Approval is required for a proposed activity that is located within the Commonwealth Marine Area which has, will have, or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment. Approval may be required for a proposed action taken outside the Commonwealth Marine Area but which has, may have or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment in the Commonwealth Marine Area. Generally the Commonwealth Marine Area stretches from three nautical miles to two hundred nautical miles from the coast.

Name

EEZ and Territorial Sea

Marine Regions

[\[Resource Information \]](#)

If you are planning to undertake action in an area in or close to the Commonwealth Marine Area, and a marine bioregional plan has been prepared for the Commonwealth Marine Area in that area, the marine bioregional plan may inform your decision as to whether to refer your proposed action under the EPBC Act.

Name

[North-west](#)

Listed Threatened Species

[\[Resource Information \]](#)

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Birds | | |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Sternula nereis nereis Australian Fairy Tern [82950] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Mammals | | |
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|-----------------------|---|
| Reptiles | | |
| Aipysurus apraefrontalis Short-nosed Seasnake [1115] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |
| Sharks | | |
| Carcharias taurus (west coast population) Grey Nurse Shark (west coast population) [68752] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pristis clavata Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pristis zijsron Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Listed Migratory Species | | [Resource Information] |
| * Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list. | | |
| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
| Migratory Marine Birds | | |
| Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Sterna dougalli Roseate Tern [817] | | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Migratory Marine Species | | |
| Anoxypristis cuspidata Narrow Sawfish, Knifetooth Sawfish [68448] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Carcharhinus longimanus Oceanic Whitetip Shark [84108] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Dugong dugon Dugong [28] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |
| Isurus oxyrinchus Shortfin Mako, Mako Shark [79073] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Isurus paucus Longfin Mako [82947] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Manta alfredi Reef Manta Ray, Coastal Manta Ray, Inshore Manta Ray, Prince Alfred's Ray, Resident Manta Ray [84994] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Manta birostris Giant Manta Ray, Chevron Manta Ray, Pacific Manta Ray, Pelagic Manta Ray, Oceanic Manta Ray [84995] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |
| Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pristis clavata Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pristis zijsron Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |
| Sousa chinensis Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphin [50] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Migratory Wetlands Species | | |
| Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

Listed Marine Species [[Resource Information](#)]

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Birds | | |
| Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Sterna bengalensis Lesser Crested Tern [815] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817] | | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Fish | | |
| Acentronura larsonae Helen's Pygmy Pipehorse [66186] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Bulbonaricus brauni Braun's Pughead Pipefish, Pug-headed Pipefish [66189] | | Species or species habitat may occur within |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence area |
|--|------------|--|
| Campichthys tricarinatus Three-keel Pipefish [66192] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys brachysoma Pacific Short-bodied Pipefish, Short-bodied Pipefish [66194] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys latispinosus Muiron Island Pipefish [66196] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys suillus Pig-snouted Pipefish [66198] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus dactyliophorus Banded Pipefish, Ringed Pipefish [66210] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus janssi Cleaner Pipefish, Janss' Pipefish [66212] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus multiannulatus Many-banded Pipefish [66717] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus negrosensis Flagtail Pipefish, Masthead Island Pipefish [66213] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Festucalex scalaris Ladder Pipefish [66216] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Filicampus tigris Tiger Pipefish [66217] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus brocki Brock's Pipefish [66219] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus grayi Mud Pipefish, Gray's Pipefish [66221] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus nitidus Glittering Pipefish [66224] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus spinirostris Spiny-snout Pipefish [66225] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Haliichthys taeniophorus Ribbioned Pipehorse, Ribbioned Seadragon [66226] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippichthys penicillus Beady Pipefish, Steep-nosed Pipefish [66231] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus angustus Western Spiny Seahorse, Narrow-bellied Seahorse [66234] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus histrix Spiny Seahorse, Thorny Seahorse [66236] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| Hippocampus kuda Spotted Seahorse, Yellow Seahorse [66237] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus planifrons Flat-face Seahorse [66238] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus trimaculatus Three-spot Seahorse, Low-crowned Seahorse, Flat-faced Seahorse [66720] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Micrognathus micronotopterus Tidepool Pipefish [66255] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Phoxocampus belcheri Black Rock Pipefish [66719] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solegnathus hardwickii Pallid Pipehorse, Hardwick's Pipehorse [66272] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solegnathus lettiensis Gunther's Pipehorse, Indonesian Pipefish [66273] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solenostomus cyanopterus Robust Ghostpipefish, Blue-finned Ghost Pipefish, [66183] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Syngnathoides biaculeatus Double-end Pipehorse, Double-ended Pipehorse, Alligator Pipefish [66279] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Trachyrhamphus bicoarctatus Bentstick Pipefish, Bend Stick Pipefish, Short-tailed Pipefish [66280] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Trachyrhamphus longirostris Straightstick Pipefish, Long-nosed Pipefish, Straight Stick Pipefish [66281] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Mammals | | |
| Dugong dugon Dugong [28] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Reptiles | | |
| Acalyptophis peronii Horned Seasnake [1114] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus apraefrontalis Short-nosed Seasnake [1115] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Aipysurus duboisii Dubois' Seasnake [1116] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus eydouxii Spine-tailed Seasnake [1117] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus laevis Olive Seasnake [1120] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Astrotia stokesii Stokes' Seasnake [1122] | | Species or species habitat may occur within |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence area |
|--|------------|--|
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Disteira kingii Spectacled Seasnake [1123] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Disteira major Olive-headed Seasnake [1124] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Emydocephalus annulatus Turtle-headed Seasnake [1125] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Ephalophis greyi North-western Mangrove Seasnake [1127] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |
| Hydrophis czeb lukovi Fine-spined Seasnake [59233] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis elegans Elegant Seasnake [1104] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis ornatus Spotted Seasnake, Ornate Reef Seasnake [1111] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Congregation or aggregation known to occur within area |
| Pelamis platurus Yellow-bellied Seasnake [1091] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

Whales and other Cetaceans [Resource Information]

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Mammals | | |
| Balaenoptera acutorostrata Minke Whale [33] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Delphinus delphis Common Dolphin, Short-beaked Common Dolphin [60] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Grampus griseus Risso's Dolphin, Grampus [64] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pseudorca crassidens False Killer Whale [48] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Sousa chinensis Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphin [50] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Stenella attenuata Spotted Dolphin, Pantropical Spotted Dolphin [51] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus Indian Ocean Bottlenose Dolphin, Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin [68418] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Tursiops truncatus s. str. Bottlenose Dolphin [68417] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

Australian Marine Parks

[[Resource Information](#)]

| Name | Label |
|------------|-----------------------------|
| Montebello | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |

Extra Information

Caveat

The information presented in this report has been provided by a range of data sources as acknowledged at the end of the report.

This report is designed to assist in identifying the locations of places which may be relevant in determining obligations under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. It holds mapped locations of World and National Heritage properties, Wetlands of International and National Importance, Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves, listed threatened, migratory and marine species and listed threatened ecological communities. Mapping of Commonwealth land is not complete at this stage. Maps have been collated from a range of sources at various resolutions.

Not all species listed under the EPBC Act have been mapped (see below) and therefore a report is a general guide only. Where available data supports mapping, the type of presence that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. People using this information in making a referral may need to consider the qualifications below and may need to seek and consider other information sources.

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are derived from recovery plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been derived through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, maps are derived using either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc) together with point locations and described habitat; or environmental modelling (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using point locations and environmental data layers.

Where very little information is available for species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc). In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More reliable distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions as time permits.

Only selected species covered by the following provisions of the EPBC Act have been mapped:

- migratory and
- marine

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in reports produced from this database:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered as vagrants
- some species and ecological communities that have only recently been listed
- some terrestrial species that overfly the Commonwealth marine area
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in small numbers

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

- non-threatened seabirds which have only been mapped for recorded breeding sites
- seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

Such breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

Coordinates

-20.665354 115.334663,-20.665359 115.084663,-20.498692 115.084663,-20.49869 115.251328,-20.415358 115.251328,-20.415356 115.334663,-20.665354 115.334663

Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

- [-Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales](#)
- [-Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria](#)
- [-Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania](#)
- [-Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia](#)
- [-Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory](#)
- [-Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland](#)
- [-Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia](#)
- [-Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT](#)
- [-Birdlife Australia](#)
- [-Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme](#)
- [-Australian National Wildlife Collection](#)
- [-Natural history museums of Australia](#)
- [-Museum Victoria](#)
- [-Australian Museum](#)
- [-South Australian Museum](#)
- [-Queensland Museum](#)
- [-Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums](#)
- [-Queensland Herbarium](#)
- [-National Herbarium of NSW](#)
- [-Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria](#)
- [-Tasmanian Herbarium](#)
- [-State Herbarium of South Australia](#)
- [-Northern Territory Herbarium](#)
- [-Western Australian Herbarium](#)
- [-Australian National Herbarium, Canberra](#)
- [-University of New England](#)
- [-Ocean Biogeographic Information System](#)
- [-Australian Government, Department of Defence Forestry Corporation, NSW](#)
- [-Geoscience Australia](#)
- [-CSIRO](#)
- [-Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns](#)
- [-eBird Australia](#)
- [-Australian Government – Australian Antarctic Data Centre](#)
- [-Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory](#)
- [-Australian Government National Environmental Science Program](#)
- [-Australian Institute of Marine Science](#)
- [-Reef Life Survey Australia](#)
- [-American Museum of Natural History](#)
- [-Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania](#)
- [-Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania](#)
- [-Other groups and individuals](#)

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the [Contact Us](#) page.

© Commonwealth of Australia

Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment

GPO Box 858

Canberra City ACT 2601 Australia

+61 2 6274 1111



EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected.

Information on the coverage of this report and qualifications on data supporting this report are contained in the caveat at the end of the report.

Information is available about [Environment Assessments](#) and the EPBC Act including significance guidelines, forms and application process details.

Report created: 28/10/20 18:18:39

[Summary](#)

[Details](#)


[Matters of NES](#)

[Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act](#)

[Extra Information](#)

[Caveat](#)


[Acknowledgements](#)



This map may contain data which are
©Commonwealth of Australia
(Geoscience Australia), ©PSMA 2015

[Coordinates](#)

[Buffer: 0.0Km](#)



Summary

Matters of National Environmental Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the [Administrative Guidelines on Significance](#).

| | |
|---|------|
| World Heritage Properties: | 1 |
| National Heritage Places: | 2 |
| Wetlands of International Importance: | None |
| Great Barrier Reef Marine Park: | None |
| Commonwealth Marine Area: | 2 |
| Listed Threatened Ecological Communities: | None |
| Listed Threatened Species: | 43 |
| Listed Migratory Species: | 55 |

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the environment anywhere.

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at <http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage>

A [permit](#) may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

| | |
|--|------|
| Commonwealth Land: | 2 |
| Commonwealth Heritage Places: | 2 |
| Listed Marine Species: | 97 |
| Whales and Other Cetaceans: | 29 |
| Critical Habitats: | None |
| Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial: | None |
| Australian Marine Parks: | 5 |

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have nominated.

| | |
|--|------|
| State and Territory Reserves: | 14 |
| Regional Forest Agreements: | None |
| Invasive Species: | 12 |
| Nationally Important Wetlands: | 1 |
| Key Ecological Features (Marine) | 6 |

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

| World Heritage Properties | | [Resource Information] |
|------------------------------------|-------|--|
| Name | State | Status |
| The Ningaloo Coast | WA | Declared property |

| National Heritage Properties | | [Resource Information] |
|--|-------|--|
| Name | State | Status |
| Natural | | |
| The Ningaloo Coast | WA | Listed place |
| Indigenous | | |
| Dampier Archipelago (including Burrup Peninsula) | WA | Listed place |

| Commonwealth Marine Area | [Resource Information] |
|---|--|
| Approval is required for a proposed activity that is located within the Commonwealth Marine Area which has, will have, or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment. Approval may be required for a proposed action taken outside the Commonwealth Marine Area but which has, may have or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment in the Commonwealth Marine Area. Generally the Commonwealth Marine Area stretches from three nautical miles to two hundred nautical miles from the coast. | |

| Name |
|----------------------------|
| EEZ and Territorial Sea |
| Extended Continental Shelf |

| Marine Regions | [Resource Information] |
|---|--|
| If you are planning to undertake action in an area in or close to the Commonwealth Marine Area, and a marine bioregional plan has been prepared for the Commonwealth Marine Area in that area, the marine bioregional plan may inform your decision as to whether to refer your proposed action under the EPBC Act. | |

| Name |
|----------------------------|
| North-west |

| Listed Threatened Species | | [Resource Information] |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
| Birds | | |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Falco hypoleucos Grey Falcon [929] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Limosa lapponica baueri Bar-tailed Godwit (baueri), Western Alaskan Bar-tailed Godwit [86380] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Limosa lapponica menzbieri Northern Siberian Bar-tailed Godwit, Bar-tailed Godwit (menzbieri) [86432] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Malurus leucopterus edouardi White-winged Fairy-wren (Barrow Island), Barrow | Vulnerable | Species or species |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Island Black-and-white Fairy-wren [26194] | | habitat likely to occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis | | |
| Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Papasula abbotti | | |
| Abbott's Booby [59297] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pezoporus occidentalis | | |
| Night Parrot [59350] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pterodroma mollis | | |
| Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Rostratula australis | | |
| Australian Painted Snipe [77037] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Sternula nereis nereis | | |
| Australian Fairy Tern [82950] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Thalassarche impavida | | |
| Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Fish | | |
| Milyeringa veritas | | |
| Blind Gudgeon [66676] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Ophisternon candidum | | |
| Blind Cave Eel [66678] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Mammals | | |
| Balaenoptera borealis | | |
| Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus | | |
| Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Migration route known to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus | | |
| Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Bettongia lesueur Barrow and Boodie Islands subspecies | | |
| Boodie, Burrowing Bettong (Barrow and Boodie Islands) [88021] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Dasyurus hallucatus | | |
| Northern Quoll, Digul [Gogo-Yimidir], Wijingadda [Dambimangari], Wiminji [Martu] [331] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Eubalaena australis | | |
| Southern Right Whale [40] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Isodon auratus barrowensis | | |
| Golden Bandicoot (Barrow Island) [66666] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Lagorchestes conspicillatus conspicillatus | | |
| Spectacled Hare-wallaby (Barrow Island) [66661] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Lagorchestes hirsutus Central Australian subspecies | | |
| Mala, Rufous Hare-Wallaby (Central Australia) [88019] | Endangered | Translocated population known to occur within |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence area |
|---|-----------------------|---|
| Macroderma gigas Ghost Bat [174] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Osphranter robustus isabellinus Barrow Island Wallaroo, Barrow Island Euro [89262] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Petrogale lateralis lateralis Black-flanked Rock-wallaby, Moororong, Black-footed Rock Wallaby [66647] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Rhinonictes aurantia (Pilbara form) Pilbara Leaf-nosed Bat [82790] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Reptiles | | |
| Aipysurus apraefrontalis Short-nosed Seasnake [1115] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Ctenotus zasticus Hamelin Ctenotus [25570] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Liasis olivaceus barroni Olive Python (Pilbara subspecies) [66699] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sharks | | |
| Carcharias taurus (west coast population) Grey Nurse Shark (west coast population) [68752] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pristis clavata Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pristis zijsron Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area |

Listed Migratory Species

[[Resource Information](#)]

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-------------|--|
| Migratory Marine Birds | | |
| Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Ardenna carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [82404] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Ardenna pacifica Wedge-tailed Shearwater [84292] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydroprogne caspia Caspian Tern [808] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Onychoprion anaethetus Bridled Tern [82845] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Migratory Marine Species | | |
| Anoxypristis cuspidata Narrow Sawfish, Knifetooth Sawfish [68448] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Balaena glacialis australis Southern Right Whale [75529] | Endangered* | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera bonaerensis Antarctic Minke Whale, Dark-shoulder Minke Whale [67812] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Migration route known to occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Carcharhinus longimanus Oceanic Whitetip Shark [84108] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Dugong dugon Dugong [28] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Isurus oxyrinchus Shortfin Mako, Mako Shark [79073] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Isurus paucus Longfin Mako [82947] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Lamna nasus Porbeagle, Mackerel Shark [83288] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Manta alfredi Reef Manta Ray, Coastal Manta Ray, Inshore Manta Ray, Prince Alfred's Ray, Resident Manta Ray [84994] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Manta birostris Giant Manta Ray, Chevron Manta Ray, Pacific Manta Ray, Pelagic Manta Ray, Oceanic Manta Ray [84995] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Physeter macrocephalus Sperm Whale [59] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pristis clavata Dwarf Sawfish, Queensland Sawfish [68447] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pristis zijsron Green Sawfish, Dindagubba, Narrowsnout Sawfish [68442] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Rhincodon typus Whale Shark [66680] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Sousa chinensis Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphin [50] | | to occur within area Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Migratory Terrestrial Species | | |
| Hirundo rustica Barn Swallow [662] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Motacilla cinerea Grey Wagtail [642] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Motacilla flava Yellow Wagtail [644] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Migratory Wetlands Species | | |
| Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Charadrius veredus Oriental Plover, Oriental Dotterel [882] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Glareola maldivarum Oriental Pratincole [840] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Limosa lapponica Bar-tailed Godwit [844] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Thalasseus bergii Crested Tern [83000] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Tringa nebularia Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

Commonwealth Land

[\[Resource Information \]](#)

The Commonwealth area listed below may indicate the presence of Commonwealth land in this vicinity. Due to the unreliability of the data source, all proposals should be checked as to whether it impacts on a Commonwealth area, before making a definitive decision. Contact the State or Territory government land department for further information.

Name

Commonwealth Land -
Defence - LEARMONTH - AIR WEAPONS RANGE

Commonwealth Heritage Places

[\[Resource Information \]](#)

| Name | State | Status |
|--|-------|--------------|
| Natural | | |
| Learmonth Air Weapons Range Facility | WA | Listed place |
| Ningaloo Marine Area - Commonwealth Waters | WA | Listed place |

Listed Marine Species

[\[Resource Information \]](#)

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Birds | | |
| Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Ardea alba Great Egret, White Egret [59541] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Ardea ibis Cattle Egret [59542] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Calonectris leucomelas Streaked Shearwater [1077] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Charadrius veredus Oriental Plover, Oriental Dotterel [882] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Chrysococcyx osculans Black-eared Cuckoo [705] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Fregata ariel Lesser Frigatebird, Least Frigatebird [1012] | | Species or species habitat known to occur |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence within area |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Fregata minor Great Frigatebird, Greater Frigatebird [1013] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Glareola maldivarum Oriental Pratincole [840] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Haliaeetus leucogaster White-bellied Sea-Eagle [943] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Hirundo rustica Barn Swallow [662] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Larus novaehollandiae Silver Gull [810] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Limosa lapponica Bar-tailed Godwit [844] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Merops ornatus Rainbow Bee-eater [670] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Motacilla cinerea Grey Wagtail [642] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Motacilla flava Yellow Wagtail [644] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Papasula abbotti Abbott's Booby [59297] | Endangered | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pterodroma mollis Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Puffinus carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [1043] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Puffinus pacificus Wedge-tailed Shearwater [1027] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Rostratula benghalensis (sensu lato) Painted Snipe [889] | Endangered* | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Sterna anaethetus Bridled Tern [814] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna bengalensis Lesser Crested Tern [815] | | Breeding known to occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Sterna bergii Crested Tern [816] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna caspia Caspian Tern [59467] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna dougallii Roseate Tern [817] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna fuscata Sooty Tern [794] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Sterna nereis Fairy Tern [796] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459] | Vulnerable | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Tringa nebularia Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Fish | | |
| Acentronura larsonae Helen's Pygmy Pipehorse [66186] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Bulbonaricus brauni Braun's Pughead Pipefish, Pug-headed Pipefish [66189] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Campichthys tricarinatus Three-keel Pipefish [66192] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys brachysoma Pacific Short-bodied Pipefish, Short-bodied Pipefish [66194] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys latispinosus Muiron Island Pipefish [66196] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Choeroichthys suillus Pig-snouted Pipefish [66198] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Corythoichthys flavofasciatus Reticulate Pipefish, Yellow-banded Pipefish, Network Pipefish [66200] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Cosmocampus banneri Roughridge Pipefish [66206] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus dactyliophorus Banded Pipefish, Ringed Pipefish [66210] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus excisus Bluestripe Pipefish, Indian Blue-stripe Pipefish, Pacific Blue-stripe Pipefish [66211] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus janssi Cleaner Pipefish, Janss' Pipefish [66212] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Doryrhamphus multiannulatus Many-banded Pipefish [66717] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Doryrhamphus negrosensis Flagtail Pipefish, Masthead Island Pipefish [66213] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Festucalex scalaris Ladder Pipefish [66216] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Filicampus tigris Tiger Pipefish [66217] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus brocki Brock's Pipefish [66219] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus grayi Mud Pipefish, Gray's Pipefish [66221] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus nitidus Glittering Pipefish [66224] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Halicampus spinirostris Spiny-snout Pipefish [66225] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Haliichthys taeniophorus Ribbioned Pipehorse, Ribbioned Seadragon [66226] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippichthys penicillus Beady Pipefish, Steep-nosed Pipefish [66231] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus angustus Western Spiny Seahorse, Narrow-bellied Seahorse [66234] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus histrix Spiny Seahorse, Thorny Seahorse [66236] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus kuda Spotted Seahorse, Yellow Seahorse [66237] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus planifrons Flat-face Seahorse [66238] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus spinosissimus Hedgehog Seahorse [66239] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hippocampus trimaculatus Three-spot Seahorse, Low-crowned Seahorse, Flat-faced Seahorse [66720] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Micrognathus micronotopterus Tidepool Pipefish [66255] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Phoxocampus belcheri Black Rock Pipefish [66719] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solegnathus hardwickii Pallid Pipehorse, Hardwick's Pipehorse [66272] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|---|-----------------------|---|
| Solegnathus lettiensis Gunther's Pipehorse, Indonesian Pipefish [66273] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Solenostomus cyanopterus Robust Ghostpipefish, Blue-finned Ghost Pipefish, [66183] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Syngnathoides biaculeatus Double-end Pipehorse, Double-ended Pipehorse, Alligator Pipefish [66279] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Trachyrhamphus bicoarctatus Bentstick Pipefish, Bend Stick Pipefish, Short-tailed Pipefish [66280] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Trachyrhamphus longirostris Straightstick Pipefish, Long-nosed Pipefish, Straight Stick Pipefish [66281] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Mammals | | |
| Dugong dugon Dugong [28] | | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Reptiles | | |
| Acalyptophis peronii Horned Seasnake [1114] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus apraefrontalis Short-nosed Seasnake [1115] | Critically Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Aipysurus duboisii Dubois' Seasnake [1116] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus eydouxii Spine-tailed Seasnake [1117] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus laevis Olive Seasnake [1120] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Aipysurus tenuis Brown-lined Seasnake [1121] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Astrotia stokesii Stokes' Seasnake [1122] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763] | Endangered | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768] | Endangered | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Disteira kingii Spectacled Seasnake [1123] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Disteira major Olive-headed Seasnake [1124] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Emydocephalus annulatus Turtle-headed Seasnake [1125] | | Species or species |

| Name | Threatened | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|---|
| Ephalophis greyi North-western Mangrove Seasnake [1127] | | habitat may occur within area Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Eretmochelys imbricata Hawksbill Turtle [1766] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Hydrelaps darwiniensis Black-ringed Seasnake [1100] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis czeblukovi Fine-spined Seasnake [59233] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis elegans Elegant Seasnake [1104] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis mcdowellii null [25926] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Hydrophis ornatus Spotted Seasnake, Ornate Reef Seasnake [1111] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Natator depressus Flatback Turtle [59257] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Pelamis platurus Yellow-bellied Seasnake [1091] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

Whales and other Cetaceans [Resource Information]

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|--|
| Mammals | | |
| Balaenoptera acutorostrata Minke Whale [33] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Balaenoptera bonaerensis Antarctic Minke Whale, Dark-shoulder Minke Whale [67812] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36] | Endangered | Migration route known to occur within area |
| Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37] | Vulnerable | Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area |
| Delphinus delphis Common Dolphin, Short-beaked Common Dolphin [60] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Eubalaena australis Southern Right Whale [40] | Endangered | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Feresa attenuata Pygmy Killer Whale [61] | | Species or species |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|------------|---|
| Globicephala macrorhynchus Short-finned Pilot Whale [62] | | habitat may occur within area Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Grampus griseus Risso's Dolphin, Grampus [64] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Kogia breviceps Pygmy Sperm Whale [57] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Kogia simus Dwarf Sperm Whale [58] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Lagenodelphis hosei Fraser's Dolphin, Sarawak Dolphin [41] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38] | Vulnerable | Breeding known to occur within area |
| Mesoplodon densirostris Blainville's Beaked Whale, Dense-beaked Whale [74] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Peponocephala electra Melon-headed Whale [47] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Physeter macrocephalus Sperm Whale [59] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Pseudorca crassidens False Killer Whale [48] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Sousa chinensis Indo-Pacific Humpback Dolphin [50] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |
| Stenella attenuata Spotted Dolphin, Pantropical Spotted Dolphin [51] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Stenella coeruleoalba Striped Dolphin, Euphrosyne Dolphin [52] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Stenella longirostris Long-snouted Spinner Dolphin [29] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Steno bredanensis Rough-toothed Dolphin [30] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus Indian Ocean Bottlenose Dolphin, Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin [68418] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Tursiops aduncus (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin (Arafura/Timor Sea populations) [78900] | | Species or species habitat known to occur within area |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|---|--------|--|
| Tursiops truncatus s. str. Bottlenose Dolphin [68417] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |
| Ziphius cavirostris Cuvier's Beaked Whale, Goose-beaked Whale [56] | | Species or species habitat may occur within area |

Australian Marine Parks [Resource Information]

| Name | Label |
|------------|-----------------------------------|
| Dampier | Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) |
| Gascoyne | Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV) |
| Gascoyne | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Montebello | Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI) |
| Ningaloo | Recreational Use Zone (IUCN IV) |

Extra Information

State and Territory Reserves [Resource Information]

| Name | State |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Barrow Island | WA |
| Bessieres Island | WA |
| Boodie, Double Middle Islands | WA |
| Cape Range | WA |
| Jurabi Coastal Park | WA |
| Lowendal Islands | WA |
| Montebello Islands | WA |
| Muiron Islands | WA |
| Serrurier Island | WA |
| Unnamed WA36915 | WA |
| Unnamed WA40828 | WA |
| Unnamed WA40877 | WA |
| Unnamed WA41080 | WA |
| Unnamed WA44665 | WA |

Invasive Species [Resource Information]

Weeds reported here are the 20 species of national significance (WoNS), along with other introduced plants that are considered by the States and Territories to pose a particularly significant threat to biodiversity. The following feral animals are reported: Goat, Red Fox, Cat, Rabbit, Pig, Water Buffalo and Cane Toad. Maps from Landscape Health Project, National Land and Water Resources Audit, 2001.

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|--------|--|
| Birds | | |
| Columba livia Rock Pigeon, Rock Dove, Domestic Pigeon [803] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Mammals | | |
| Canis lupus familiaris Domestic Dog [82654] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Capra hircus Goat [2] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Equus caballus Horse [5] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Felis catus Cat, House Cat, Domestic Cat [19] | | Species or species |

| Name | Status | Type of Presence |
|--|--------|---|
| Mus musculus House Mouse [120] | | habitat likely to occur within area Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Oryctolagus cuniculus Rabbit, European Rabbit [128] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Rattus rattus Black Rat, Ship Rat [84] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Vulpes vulpes Red Fox, Fox [18] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

| Plants | | |
|--|--|--|
| Cenchrus ciliaris Buffel-grass, Black Buffel-grass [20213] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |
| Jatropha gossypifolia Cotton-leaved Physic-Nut, Bellyache Bush, Cotton-leaf Physic Nut, Cotton-leaf Jatropha, Black Physic Nut [7507] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

| Reptiles | | |
|---|--|--|
| Hemidactylus frenatus Asian House Gecko [1708] | | Species or species habitat likely to occur within area |

| Nationally Important Wetlands | | [Resource Information] |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| Name | | State |
| Cape Range Subterranean Waterways | | WA |

Key Ecological Features (Marine) [Resource Information]

Key Ecological Features are the parts of the marine ecosystem that are considered to be important for the biodiversity or ecosystem functioning and integrity of the Commonwealth Marine Area.

| Name | Region |
|--|------------|
| Ancient coastline at 125 m depth contour | North-west |
| Canyons linking the Cuvier Abyssal Plain and the Commonwealth waters adjacent to Ningaloo Reef | North-west |
| Continental Slope Demersal Fish Communities | North-west |
| Exmouth Plateau | North-west |
| Glomar Shoals | North-west |

Caveat

The information presented in this report has been provided by a range of data sources as acknowledged at the end of the report.

This report is designed to assist in identifying the locations of places which may be relevant in determining obligations under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. It holds mapped locations of World and National Heritage properties, Wetlands of International and National Importance, Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves, listed threatened, migratory and marine species and listed threatened ecological communities. Mapping of Commonwealth land is not complete at this stage. Maps have been collated from a range of sources at various resolutions.

Not all species listed under the EPBC Act have been mapped (see below) and therefore a report is a general guide only. Where available data supports mapping, the type of presence that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. People using this information in making a referral may need to consider the qualifications below and may need to seek and consider other information sources.

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are derived from recovery plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been derived through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, maps are derived using either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc) together with point locations and described habitat; or environmental modelling (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using point locations and environmental data layers.

Where very little information is available for species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc). In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More reliable distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions as time permits.

Only selected species covered by the following provisions of the EPBC Act have been mapped:

- migratory and
- marine

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in reports produced from this database:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered as vagrants
- some species and ecological communities that have only recently been listed
- some terrestrial species that overfly the Commonwealth marine area
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in small numbers

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

- non-threatened seabirds which have only been mapped for recorded breeding sites
- seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

Such breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

Coordinates

-22.055996 112.979699,-21.128006 112.230169,-20.904931 112.13499,-20.576962 112.110601,-19.484976 111.391855,-19.492114 111.973633,-17.203268 114.312192,-17.545911 116.222007,-19.037793 117.154597,-20.060585 116.722764,-20.379528 116.877453,-20.378338 116.834028,-20.458149 116.620511,-20.623759 116.519622,-20.621856 116.462515,-20.63413 116.13287,-20.95615 115.613394,-20.990058 115.563426,-21.120333 115.395674,-21.162539 115.390944,-21.292457 115.258171,-21.303879 115.229617,-21.339571 115.041164,-21.455212 114.98527,-21.480911 114.842859,-21.530594 114.77401,-21.55772 114.736533,-21.63303 114.695131,-21.655159 114.503822,-21.715359 114.300925,-21.727922 114.03138,-21.890678 114.017103,-21.929879 113.996997,-21.957481 113.967491,-22.027913 113.93989,-22.105959 113.917999,-22.194475 113.87612,-22.327725 113.835193,-22.399555 113.771454,-22.327219 113.460696,-22.055996 112.979699

Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

- [-Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales](#)
- [-Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria](#)
- [-Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania](#)
- [-Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia](#)
- [-Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory](#)
- [-Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland](#)
- [-Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia](#)
- [-Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT](#)
- [-Birdlife Australia](#)
- [-Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme](#)
- [-Australian National Wildlife Collection](#)
- [-Natural history museums of Australia](#)
- [-Museum Victoria](#)
- [-Australian Museum](#)
- [-South Australian Museum](#)
- [-Queensland Museum](#)
- [-Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums](#)
- [-Queensland Herbarium](#)
- [-National Herbarium of NSW](#)
- [-Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria](#)
- [-Tasmanian Herbarium](#)
- [-State Herbarium of South Australia](#)
- [-Northern Territory Herbarium](#)
- [-Western Australian Herbarium](#)
- [-Australian National Herbarium, Canberra](#)
- [-University of New England](#)
- [-Ocean Biogeographic Information System](#)
- [-Australian Government, Department of Defence Forestry Corporation, NSW](#)
- [-Geoscience Australia](#)
- [-CSIRO](#)
- [-Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns](#)
- [-eBird Australia](#)
- [-Australian Government – Australian Antarctic Data Centre](#)
- [-Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory](#)
- [-Australian Government National Environmental Science Program](#)
- [-Australian Institute of Marine Science](#)
- [-Reef Life Survey Australia](#)
- [-American Museum of Natural History](#)
- [-Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania](#)
- [-Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania](#)
- [-Other groups and individuals](#)

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the [Contact Us](#) page.

© Commonwealth of Australia

Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment

GPO Box 858

Canberra City ACT 2601 Australia

+61 2 6274 1111

Appendix D - Stakeholder Consultation

STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

Vessel Based Activity
Environment Plan

STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

Consultation Correspondence

From:

[Consultation](#), [REDACTED]

Subject:

Santos Consultation | Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan

Date:

Thursday, 29 October 2020 11:21:47 AM

Attachments:

[image001.jpg](#)

[image003.jpg](#)

[image005.jpg](#)

[image007.jpg](#)

[Santos Consultation Vessel Based Activity EP.pdf](#)

Good Morning,

Santos is preparing a five-year Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan (EP) for a range of survey activities in three separate areas in commonwealth waters on the North West Shelf:

- Area A: WA-437-P; WA-438-P; WA-541-P
- Area B: WA WA-1-P; WA-209-P; WA-41-L
- Area C: WA-33-R; WA-510-P

The proposed vessel-based activities that may be undertaken under this EP include: Geophysical surveys, Hydrographic surveys, Geotechnical surveys, Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) surveys, Metrology surveys, Metocean surveys and Environmental surveys.

The information attached provides more detail on the planned activities, including a location map, proposed timing and a summary of potential risks, impacts and management measures.

Santos is preparing an Environment Plan (EP) in accordance with the Commonwealth Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2009 (OPGGS(E)R). EP consultation prior to submission to NOPSEMA provides for increased transparency and an opportunity for stakeholders to provide input to the environmental management of the proposed activities.

If you wish to comment on Santos' proposed Vessel Based Activity EP, or if you require additional information, please contact Santos on the contact details below. Santos will endeavour to address all feedback prior to the EP being submitted for assessment. Your feedback by 7 December 2020 would be welcomed.

Kind regards



[REDACTED]

Santos Limited, Level 7 100 St Georges Tce, Perth WA
6000

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]



<https://www.santos.com/>

EXAMPLE OF EMAIL SENT TO RELEVANT COMMERCIAL FISHERS


Sent: [REDACTED] October 2020 2:32 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: [REDACTED] [EXT]: 2020 Oct 29 - Santos Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan engagement - [REDACTED]
Attachments: Santos Consultation Vessel Based Activity EP - Commercial Fishers.pdf; SCMa5990_VBA_AreaA_loc_map.pdf; SCMa5992_VBA_AreaC_loc_map.pdf; SCMa5991_VBA_AreaB_loc_map.pdf; FISa6001_VBA_PTrap_fishery.pdf

Good afternoon [REDACTED]

Santos is preparing a five-year Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan (EP) for a range of survey activities (non-seismic) in three separate areas in commonwealth waters on the North West Shelf:

| | | | |
|---------|------------------------------|-------|------------------------|
| Area A: | WA-437-P; WA-438-P; WA-541-P | Depth | 40 metres – 110 metres |
| Area B: | WA WA-1-P; WA-209-P; WA-41-L | Depth | 60 metres |
| Area C: | WA-33-R; WA-510-P | Depth | 60 metres |

The survey areas are shown in below in **yellow**.



The vessel-based activities that may be undertaken under the proposed EP may include:

- Geophysical surveys
- Hydrographic surveys
- Geotechnical surveys
- Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) surveys
- Metrology surveys
- Metocean surveys
- Environmental surveys

WAFIC is sending this information out (via a blind email) on a fee-for-service basis on behalf of Santos to ensure you receive this in a timely manner via an accurate list. All feedback / input etc is to go directly to [REDACTED] at Santos (see below).

Please find attached a commercial fishing fact sheet with further information on the proposed vessel based activity and a site map including bathy lines. Santos has endeavoured to identify potential issues and concerns to the commercial fishing sector, these have been highlighted and addressed. Also attached is a Pilbara Trap fishery / site overlay map plus detailed maps of Areas A, B and C, I hope this assists.

Location: Location details (coordinates) and detailed map in the attached consultation material.

Water Depth: Ranges from 40 metres to 110 metres across the three areas.

Schedule: The approved activities to take place at any time within five years of acceptance of the EP (expected to be 2021 to 2026) pending regulatory and business approvals and vessel availability.

Duration: The duration of an individual vessel based activity may vary between two (2) and fourteen (14) consecutive days. Unless otherwise stated in the EP, these activities take place during all seasons and will allow for 24-hour operations.

Frequency: Up to twelve (12) vessel based activity campaigns (individual activities and or concurrent activities) each year, across Areas A, B and C (not per Area).

Petroleum Safety Zone (PSZ):
500 metres around the vessel at all times for the duration of each activity.

Vessels: A single survey vessel will typically be used for each activity, although to err on the side of caution, this EP allows for up to two vessels.

Operational Area:
Santos will not restrict commercial fishing access to the operational area and is committed to concurrent operations where safety of either vessel is not compromised.

Feedback: If you have any issues or concerns with this proposed activity, please respond directly to Santos: [REDACTED] by **7 December 2020** via [REDACTED].

Santos has noted that you please be aware that your feedback will be communicated to NOPSEMA, as is required under legislation.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



[REDACTED]

L1, 56 Marine Tce. Fremantle WA 6160
PO Box 1605. Fremantle WA 6959

[REDACTED]

wafic.org.au
wamsc.com.au

WESTERN AUSTRALIAN
FISHING INDUSTRY
COUNCIL INC

COVID-19 information for the commercial fishing industry – Health, State and Federal Government assistance and advice available via the WAFIC website <https://www.wafic.org.au/covid-19-information/>



116°0'0"E

118°0'0"E

120°0'0"E



| | Closest City/Town | Closest Mainland |
|--------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Area A | 99 km (Port Hedland) | 50 km (Poissonnier Point) |
| Area B | 71 km (Dampier) | 64 km (Burrup Peninsula) |
| Area C | 98 km (Onslow) | 73 km (Robe Point) |



Legend

- VBA Areas
- Oil Pipeline
- Gas Pipeline
- Control Line
- State/Commonwealth Waters Bdy

State Marine Parks

- Marine Park
- Marine Management Area
- Conservation Park
- National Park
- Section 5(1)(h) Reserve

Australian Marine Parks

- Australian Marine Parks

Zoning

- National Park Zone (IUCN II)
- Habitat Protection Zone (IUCN IV)
- Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI)

22/10/2020 SCMa5989

From:



Subject: FW: Santos Consultation | Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan

Date: Thursday, 26 November 2020 10:13:12 AM

Attachments: [Santos Consultation Vessel Based Activity EP.pdf](#)

Good morning,

I am following up on an email sent to you on 29 October 2020 (copy below) containing consultation material on Santos' proposed Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan.

Santos is preparing an Environment Plan for this activity in accordance with the Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2009 (Cth).

Should you require additional information or have a comment to make about the proposed activity, please be in touch via the contact details below.

Kind regards



<https://www.santos.com/>

From: Consultation, 

Sent: Thursday, 29 October 2020 11:22 AM

Subject: Santos Consultation | Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan

Good Morning,

Santos is preparing a five-year Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan (EP) for a range of survey activities in three separate areas in commonwealth waters on the North West Shelf:

- Area A: WA-437-P; WA-438-P; WA-541-P
- Area B: WA WA-1-P; WA-209-P; WA-41-L
- Area C: WA-33-R; WA-510-P

The proposed vessel-based activities that may be undertaken under this EP include: Geophysical surveys, Hydrographic surveys, Geotechnical surveys, Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) surveys, Metrology surveys, Metocean surveys and Environmental surveys.

The information attached provides more detail on the planned activities, including a location map, proposed timing and a summary of potential risks, impacts and

management measures.

Santos is preparing an Environment Plan (EP) in accordance with the Commonwealth Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2009 (OPGGG(E)R). EP consultation prior to submission to NOPSEMA provides for increased transparency and an opportunity for stakeholders to provide input to the environmental management of the proposed activities.

If you wish to comment on Santos' proposed Vessel Based Activity EP, or if you require additional information, please contact Santos on the contact details below. Santos will endeavour to address all feedback prior to the EP being submitted for assessment. Your feedback by 7 December 2020 would be welcomed.

Kind regards

The Santos logo is displayed in a blue, sans-serif font.

<https://www.santos.com/>

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, 1 December 2020 4:37 PM
Subject: UPDATE: Santos Consultation | Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan
Attachments: Santos Consultation Vessel Based Activity EP (Update).pdf

Good afternoon,


I refer to my email to you of 29 October 2020 in relation to Santos' proposed Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan.

In the consultation material sent to you, reference was made to a 500m PSZ around vessels within the Operational Area. This is erroneous and does not apply to vessels subject to the proposed Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan.

An updated copy of the Consultation material is attached for your reference.

Kind regards

Santos



From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 29 October 2020 11:22 AM
Subject: Santos Consultation | Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan

Good Morning,

Santos is preparing a five-year Vessel Based Activity Environment Plan (EP) for a range of survey activities in three separate areas in commonwealth waters on the North West Shelf:

- + Area A: WA-437-P; WA-438-P; WA-541-P
- + Area B: WA WA-1-P; WA-209-P; WA-41-L
- + Area C: WA-33-R; WA-510-P

The proposed vessel-based activities that may be undertaken under this EP include: Geophysical surveys, Hydrographic surveys, Geotechnical surveys, Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) surveys, Metrology surveys, Metocean surveys and Environmental surveys.

The information attached provides more detail on the planned activities, including a location map, proposed timing and a summary of potential risks, impacts and management measures.

Santos is preparing an Environment Plan (EP) in accordance with the Commonwealth Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2009 (OPGGS(E)R). EP consultation prior to submission to NOPSEMA provides for increased transparency and an opportunity for stakeholders to provide input to the environmental management of the proposed activities.

If you wish to comment on Santos' proposed Vessel Based Activity EP, or if you require additional information, please contact Santos on the contact details below. Santos will endeavour to address all feedback prior to the EP being submitted for assessment. Your feedback by 7 December 2020 would be welcomed.

Kind regards

Santos

STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

Consultation Material

Vessel Based Activity

Environment Plan

Overview

Santos is preparing a five-year Vessel Based Activity (VBA) Environment Plan (EP) for a range of survey activities in three separate areas in commonwealth waters on the North West Shelf:

- + Area A: WA-437-P; WA-438-P; WA-541-P
- + Area B: WA WA-1-P; WA-209-P; WA-41-L
- + Area C: WA-33-R; WA-510-P

The survey areas A, B and C are shown in yellow in **Figure 1**.

Data acquired from these surveys will be used for a variety of purposes such as: to determine seabed properties, provide information on seabed morphology, or to generate site survey reports to inform engineering feasibility studies for Santos' proposed activities in these areas.

Before Santos can undertake these activities, the company must prepare and have an accepted EP in place. The EP will be developed and implemented in accordance with the Commonwealth Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2009 (OPGGs(E)R) for acceptance by the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA).

All environment plans submitted to NOPSEMA are published on their website. Santos anticipates that this EP will be made publicly available on the NOPSEMA website in December 2020.

EP consultation prior to submission to NOPSEMA provides for increased transparency and an opportunity for stakeholders to provide input to the environmental management of the proposed vessel based activities.

Please advise if you have any issues or if you require any additional information about the proposed activity. Santos will address these issues prior to the EP being submitted for assessment.

Activity Description

The vessel-based activities that may be undertaken under this EP may include:

- + Geophysical surveys.
- + Hydrographic surveys.
- + Geotechnical surveys.
- + Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) surveys.
- + Metrology surveys.
- + Metocean surveys.
- + Environmental surveys.

To support the above activities, the following may also be required:

- + Materials transfer and handling.
- + Diving activities.
- + Vessel anchoring activities.
- + Helicopter support.

A summary of the range of vessel based activities proposed, equipment and deployment methods are provided in **Attachment A**.

The proposed EP will provide for:

- + the approved activities to take place at any location within the eight identified permit areas located in Areas A, B or C;
- + the approved activities to take place at any time within five years of acceptance of the EP (expected to be 2021 to 2026); and
- + up to 12 VBA campaigns to be conducted each year, across Areas A, B and C - not per Area.

The duration of an individual VBA may vary between two (2) and fourteen (14) consecutive days. The exception is metocean surveys where equipment (as opposed to vessels) may be deployed in the field for an extended period for collecting baseline data. However, an offshore VBA campaign may extend several weeks and consist of multiple individual VBAs at different locations. It is possible that multiple VBAs will also occur at a single site.

Unless otherwise stated in the EP, VBA will be carried out during all seasons and will allow for 24-hour operations.

A single survey vessel will typically be utilised to undertake each activity, although this EP allows for up to two vessels for each activity. The actual vessel used will be determined in later planning stages. However, for environmental assessment purposes, Santos has based the assessment of impacts and risks on the largest typical vessel (a 54-m long, 950-tonne multi-purpose support vessel) so that the assessment is conservative and allows for flexibility.

Vessel speeds will be approximately 4 knots during geophysical surveying and the vessel will be temporarily stationary when taking seabed samples for geotechnical activities. No anchoring will take place unless in an emergency (e.g. loss of power).

Santos will provide the required activity start and cessation notifications to all relevant stakeholders.

Further activity details are summarised in **Table 1**, and potential environmental risks, impacts and management measures are outlined in **Table 2**.

Figure 1: Vessel Based Activity Location Map




Table 1: Vessel Based Activity details

| ACTIVITY INFORMATION | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------------------|
| Location | For location coordinates please refer Attachment B. Area A – including permit areas WA-437-P, WA-438-P and WA-541-P Area B – including permit areas WA-1-P; WA-209-P; and WA-41-L Area C – including permit areas WA-33-R and WA-510-P | | |
| Approximate Water depths | Water depth in the operational areas range from approximately 40 m to 110 m. Area A: 40 m – 110 m Area B: 60 m Area C: 60 m | | |
| Equipment | A summary of survey equipment used, and deployment methods is provided in Attachment A. | | |
| Description of natural environment | The activities overlap the Northwest Shelf Provincial Bioregion (based on the Integrated Marine and Coastal Regionalisation of Australia (IMCRA) Version 4.0). | | |
| Timing and duration | The vessel based activities may take place anytime within 5 years of acceptance of the EP (expected to be 2021 to 2026). | | |
| Proximity to nearest key regional features | Area | Regional Feature | Operational Area |
| | Area A WA-437-P; WA-438-P; WA-541-P | Barrow Island | 292 km |
| | | Varanus Island | 280 km |
| | | Dampier | 187 km |
| | | Port Hedland | 99 km |
| | | Bedout Island (closest island) | 9.4 km |
| | | Closest Mainland (Poissonnier Point) | 50 km |
| | | Eighty Mile Beach Australian Marine Park | 50 km |
| | | Rowley Shoals Marine Park | 115 km |
| | | Argo- Rowley Terrace Australian Marine Park | 110 km |
| | | Mermaid Reef Australian Marine Park | 170 km |
| | Area B WA-1-P; WA-209-P; WA-41-L | Dampier Archipelago | 45 km |
| | | Montebello Islands | 78 km |
| | | Dampier | 71 km |
| | | Cape Preston | 83 km |
| | | Burrup Peninsula | 64 km |
| | | Lowendal Islands | 91 km |
| | | Barrow Island | 105 km |
| | Area C WA-33-R; WA-510-P | Montebello Australian Marine Park | Overlaps |
| | | Montebello Marine Park | 9 km |
| | | Barrow Marine Management Area | Adjacent boundaries |
| | | Barrow Marine Park | Adjacent boundaries |
| | | Barrow Island | 7 km |
| | | Varanus Island | 24 km |
| | | Closest mainland (Robe Point) | 73 km |
| | Onslow | 98 km | |
| Worst case hydrocarbon spill scenario | 329m ³ diesel (fuel oil) from a vessel collision. | | |
| Response tier required | In the event of an oil spill, a Level 2/3 response would be implemented as defined in the activity-specific Oil Pollution Emergency Plan. | | |

Table 2: Assessment of potential environmental risks and impacts from the proposed vessel based activity

| POTENTIAL RISKS AND/OR IMPACTS | MANAGEMENT MEASURES |
|---|--|
| Interaction with other marine users and Commercial Fishers | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relevant stakeholders will be notified prior to the commencement and on cessation of each activity. Relevant maritime notices issued. A visual and radar watch will be maintained on the vessel bridge. Santos will not restrict commercial fishing access to the operational area and is committed to concurrent operations, where safety of either vessel is not compromised. Survey vessel will be prohibited from recreational fishing within the operational area. Santos commits to reducing impacts on commercial fishers through the provision of timely activity information to enable advance planning and avoidance of unexpected interference. Santos inductions for support vessels will include a topic to reinforce the importance of marine communications regarding any potential interactions with active commercial fishing |
| Fauna interactions (acoustic and collision) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring of the surrounding environment for marine fauna is undertaken from the survey vessel/s bridge. Survey vessels will comply with Santos WA's Protected Marine Fauna Interaction and Sighting Procedure as per EPBC Regulations (Part 8) for interacting with cetaceans, which includes the following controls: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vessel will not travel at greater than 6 knots within 300 m of a whale. Vessel will not approach within 100 m of a whale. |
| Light emissions | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimum lighting that is required for safe navigation and operations will be maintained in compliance with International Association of Marine Aids to Navigation and Lighthouse Authorities (IALA) and SOLAS/AMSA Marine Orders. |
| Atmospheric emissions | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survey vessels use marine diesel (fuel oil) sulphur content is compliant with MARPOL/AMSA Marine Order. Survey vessels have Air Pollution Prevention Certification in accordance with Marine Order 97. |
| Seabed disturbance | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vessels will either be moving or will use dynamic positioning to maintain location, unless anchoring is required for safety reasons. Objects dropped overboard are recovered (where possible) to mitigate the environmental consequences from objects remaining in the marine environment. |
| Operational vessel discharges | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Routine vessel discharges (sewage, bilge water, food waste) associated with daily functioning of vessels will meet Australian requirements. Deck cleaning products will not be harmful to the marine environment. |
| Biosecurity risk management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survey vessels and submersible equipment are managed in accordance with the Santos Invasive Marine Species Management Plan. |
| Spill response operations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the event of a hydrocarbon spill, the Santos WA OPEP requirements are implemented to mitigate environmental impacts. |

Consultation

If you wish to comment on Santos' proposed Vessel Based Activity EP, or if you require additional information, please contact Santos on the contact details below. Santos would appreciate your feedback by **7 December 2020**.







Attachment A. Proposed vessel-based activities

| ACTIVITY | DESCRIPTION |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Geophysical surveys | Electromagnetic survey Electromagnetic surveys are conducted to establish whether there are any buried metallic objects that may pose a risk to operations (i.e. includes identification of unexploded ordnance (UXO) or buried infrastructure or cables) and methane hydrate detection. Electromagnetic surveys are conducted using magnetometers either in a single array or in a gradiometer array with multiple magnetometers towed in parallel. Magnetometers are towed behind a vessel or are mounted on an Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV) or vessel hull, and measure magnetic field strength. |
| | Sidescan sonar (SSS) survey SSS identifies any sea floor debris which may cause damage to the jack up Mobile Offshore Drilling Unit (MODU) support legs. SSS involves towing a set of transducers mounted on either side of a 'tow fish' approximately 10-20 m above the seabed, producing pulses at high frequencies. |
| | Sub-bottom profiling (SBP) survey SBP allows the near-seabed stratigraphy to be evaluated for hazards and to confirm it will be providing adequate foundations for supporting the MODU when it is elevated above the water. SBP utilises an acoustic source typically towed just behind the vessel, with a hydrophone towed approximately 25 m behind the vessel to record the reflected sound waves. |
| | Autonomous underwater vehicles (AUV) survey AUVs travel underwater on pre-defined 'flight paths' without requiring navigation from an operator and are fitted with various payloads for survey acquisition. The AUV is typically deployed from a vessel using a crane or an A-frame and can be recovered using a winch or net. AUV's are battery powered systems capable of hosting a number of geophysical and inspection payloads. Examples of payloads include sub-bottom profilers, multibeam echosounders, cameras, side scan sonars and conductivity, temperature, and depth (CTD) instruments. The survey speed is often determined by the payload and survey objective but is generally around 4 knots. The actual size of the AUV is dependent on the size of the payload and duration required for the survey. |
| Hydrographic surveys | Multibeam echo sounder (MBES) surveys MBES surveys will enable the collection of bathymetry data and the correlation of depth information. This type of survey uses a sonar system to transmit short pulses of sound energy, analysing the return signal from the seafloor or other objects. |
| | Single beam echo sounder (SBES) surveys Single beam echosounders (SBES) provide water depths by measuring the two-way travel time of a high frequency pulse emitted by a transducer. The systems are calibrated to allow for errors introduced by temperature and salinity and other factors that affect sound velocity. The choice of echosounder depends on many factors including accuracy requirements, depth of water and resolution. |
| Geotechnical surveys | Subsea & Topside geotechnical drilling Geotechnical site surveys are conducted to establish the geotechnical properties of the shallow sediments to approximately 30 m below seabed to help inform subsea infrastructure and foundation design. A variety of vessel deployed equipment may be used for these surveys. Examples include core penetration tests (CPTs), vibrocoring, piston coring, drop coring and grab samplers. The disturbance footprint is limited to the equipment used. For subsea geotechnical drilling - typical equipment used is a sea mounted drill rig using either HPT or rotary coring methods (i.e. Portable Remotely Operated Drill system) deployed from a dynamically positioned vessel using an A-frame and winch. For topside geotechnical drilling - typical equipment used is a Jack-up drilling rig using either push coring or rotary coring methods. Jack-up legs towed to site and mechanically lowered into place by a hydraulic system |
| | Vibrocoring Vibrocoring is undertaken where information on the shallow soils is required down to a depth of approximately 6 m. Vibrocoring units may be deployed from either a vessel or jack-up rig with a suitable A-frame or crane for deployment and recovery. The vibrocoring unit is lowered to the seabed on a lifting line. An umbilical is also lowered with the vibrocore on a constant tension winch to ensure the cables do not twist on descent. Once on the seabed electrical power is supplied to the vibrating head through the umbilical. The head then vibrates the core down through the sediment layers. On recovery the system is lifted from the vibrating head, recovering the core first then the system to the vessel or rig deck for processing. |
| | Coring Seabed mounted drilling rigs are typically deployed from a dynamically positioned vessel typically via an A-frame and winch. The rig is lowered from the vessel to the seabed where it remotely undertakes coring operations. The primary coring methods used for sampling from subsea mounted drilling rigs are either Hydraulic Piston Tube (HPT) or rotary coring. HPT sampling is generally undertaken wherever possible in un-cemented sediments, as this methodology generally provides the best quality 'least disturbed' samples. Rotary coring is generally undertaken in consolidated sediments where the HPT sampling cannot penetrate the substrate. |
| | Cone penetration tests (CPTs) Cone penetration tests (CPTs) are used to provide in-situ detail on the geotechnical characteristics of the soil. CPTs can be deployed as standalone units or used to gather down-hole information during geotechnical drilling. |

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) surveys | Inspection, site and maintenance surveys | ROVs are usually deployed using an A-frame or winch from a dedicated vessel. ROVs are linked to the vessel by either a neutrally buoyant tether or often when working in rough conditions or in deeper water a load carrying umbilical cable is used along with a tether management system (TMS). Power to equipment such as torque tools and manipulator arms are typically hydraulic though battery powered tools are possible. Most ROVs are equipped with at least a video camera and lights. Additional equipment is commonly added to expand the vehicle's capabilities. Examples of equipment include sonars, MBES, magnetometers, a still camera, a manipulator or cutting arm and water samplers. The class and size of the ROV used will be dependent on the operational objectives of the survey. |
| Metrology surveys | Subsea positioning | Laser and acoustic surveys are undertaken to take measurements between subsea structures. Usually deployed from a vessel using lifting equipment such as a crane or lifting basket |
| Metocean surveys | Deployment of metocean equipment | Metocean surveys usually involve the deployment of small-scale moorings. They are used to understand environmental conditions such as currents, wave height, tidal range and turbidity. This data is also used for engineering design for offshore developments. Metocean survey equipment may be deployed for several weeks and/or months for collecting baseline data. Equipment deployment is generally quick (i.e. within hours). Data can either be assessed remotely via telemetry, or return trips will intermittently be required to download data and service equipment. Examples of metocean survey equipment include wave buoys, current profilers (acoustic wave and current recorders [AWACs], Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler [ADCP's], Aquadopps) and metocean buoys. The mooring designs are dependent on water depth and equipment configuration. The current profilers use a very high working frequency (i.e. from 38 kHz to several megahertz) to measure the shift of particles within the water column. For deep water deployments acoustic releases are usually connected to the anchor/clump weights. All equipment is recovered at the completion of the survey. |
| Environmental surveys | Towed video camera survey | Towed video camera survey may be used to survey epibenthic fauna. It comprises an underwater camera system capable of recording video and high definition still images mounted on a tow frame controlled by a hydraulic winch or capstan. Underwater lamps may also be mounted on the frame during low light conditions to illuminate the field of view. Realtime footage will be relayed to the surface via an umbilical. The depth of the camera is constantly monitored on the live feed to avoid seabed impact. |
| | Water sampling | Discrete water samples will be taken from desired water depths using water sampling equipment. The samplers are deployed to the desired depth by hand or using a hydraulic winch or capstan. Once samples are taken the sampler will be retrieved to deck and sub-sampled as appropriate. Additional integrated samples may be collected using a small submersible pump with a hose to the surface. |
| | Water profiling | Water profiling can be used to establish water depth, temperature, conductivity, turbidity, pH and dissolved oxygen (DO) level. The profiler will be lowered to the sea surface and is allowed to equilibrate for one minute. The profiler will then be deployed to the desired depth by a hydraulic winch, capstan or by hand. After the desired depth is reached the profiler will be slowly recovered to the deck. |
| | Diving | Diving operations have been included within this EP in the remote chance that diving is required to support any of the described activities. For example, diving may be conducted for environmental surveys (i.e. habitat studies), inspecting deployed metocean survey equipment or recovering or investigating dropped objects. Any diving activity would be managed as per safety requirements and deployed from a DSV. |
| Material transfers and handling | Personnel and material transfers and handling | Material transfers and handling may be needed at short notice for some petroleum activities. Examples of material transfers include waste, fresh food, fuel and chemicals and equipment etc. Whilst this activity generally refers to transfers between offshore support vessels, a helicopter may also be used to transport materials or personnel to a remote VBA. |
| | Helicopter support | Helicopters may be required at short notice to provide support to VBA. These are typically used for personnel and materials transfers. Helicopter size is limited to the vessel's helicopter reception facilities. There are no helicopter refueling capabilities on board the vessels provided in this EP. |

Attachment B: Location details

| LOCATION | LAT DMS | LON DMS | LAT DD | LONG DD |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Area A | 19° 19' 55.128" S | 119° 30' 4.698" E | -19.33198 | 119.501305 |
| Including permit areas: | 19° 29' 55.140" S | 119° 30' 4.698" E | -19.49865 | 119.501305 |
| WA-437-P | 19° 29' 55.151" S | 119° 0' 4.698" E | -19.498653 | 119.001305 |
| WA-438-P | 19° 24' 55.148" S | 119° 0' 4.698" E | -19.415319 | 119.001305 |
| WA-541-P | 19° 24' 55.184" S | 117° 55' 4.714" E | -19.415329 | 117.917976 |
| | 19° 4' 55.168" S | 117° 55' 4.688" E | -19.081991 | 117.917969 |
| | 19° 4' 55.168" S | 117° 55' 1.172" E | -19.08199101 | 117.9169923 |
| | 18° 53' 5.114" S | 118° 25' 30.252" E | -18.884754 | 118.42507 |
| | 18° 44' 55.142" S | 118° 54' 5.587" E | -18.74865048 | 118.9015518 |
| | 18° 44' 55.136" S | 119° 7' 16.591" E | -18.74864877 | 119.1212753 |
| Area B | 20° 4' 55.236" S | 116° 15' 4.752" E | -20.08201 | 116.25132 |
| Including permit areas: | 19° 59' 55.241" S | 116° 15' 4.752" E | -19.998678 | 116.25132 |
| WA-1-P | 19° 59' 55.234" S | 116° 20' 4.762" E | -19.998676 | 116.334656 |
| WA-209-P | 19° 54' 55.238" S | 116° 20' 4.762" E | -19.915344 | 116.334656 |
| WA-41-L | 19° 54' 55.238" S | 116° 25' 4.742" E | -19.915344 | 116.417984 |
| | 20° 4' 55.229" S | 116° 25' 4.742" E | -20.082008 | 116.417984 |
| | 20° 4' 55.236" S | 116° 15' 4.752" E | -20.08201 | 116.25132 |
| Area C | 20° 44' 55.291" S | 115° 17' 16.177" E | -20.748692 | 115.287827 |
| Including permit areas: | 20° 44' 55.295" S | 115° 5' 4.787" E | -20.748693 | 115.084663 |
| WA-33-R | 20° 29' 55.291" S | 115° 5' 4.787" E | -20.498692 | 115.084663 |
| WA-510-P | 20° 29' 55.284" S | 115° 15' 4.781" E | -20.49869 | 115.251328 |
| | 20° 24' 55.289" S | 115° 15' 4.781" E | -20.415358 | 115.251328 |
| | 20° 24' 55.282" S | 115° 20' 4.787" E | -20.415356 | 115.334663 |
| | 20° 41' 39.700" S | 115° 20' 4.787" E | -20.694361 | 115.334663 |
| | 20° 41' 40.531" S | 115° 20' 2.483" E | -20.694592 | 115.334023 |
| | 20° 41' 47.882" S | 115° 19' 45.451" E | -20.696634 | 115.329292 |
| | 20° 41' 51.000" S | 115° 19' 39.079" E | -20.6975 | 115.327522 |
| | 20° 41' 57.894" S | 115° 19' 26.774" E | -20.699415 | 115.324104 |
| | 20° 42' 5.641" S | 115° 19' 15.020" E | -20.701567 | 115.320839 |
| | 20° 42' 13.590" S | 115° 19' 4.472" E | -20.703775 | 115.317909 |
| | 20° 42' 21.596" S | 115° 18' 55.080" E | -20.705999 | 115.3153 |
| | 20° 42' 28.868" S | 115° 18' 43.297" E | -20.708019 | 115.312027 |
| | 20° 42' 36.760" S | 115° 18' 32.227" E | -20.710211 | 115.308952 |
| | 20° 42' 45.227" S | 115° 18' 21.928" E | -20.712563 | 115.306091 |
| | 20° 42' 53.993" S | 115° 18' 12.506" E | -20.714998 | 115.303474 |
| | 20° 43' 3.353" S | 115° 18' 3.748" E | -20.717598 | 115.301041 |
| | 20° 43' 8.242" S | 115° 17' 59.626" E | -20.718956 | 115.299896 |
| | 20° 43' 18.577" S | 115° 17' 51.799" E | -20.721827 | 115.297722 |
| | 20° 43' 24.020" S | 115° 17' 48.091" E | -20.723339 | 115.296692 |
| | 20° 43' 35.260" S | 115° 17' 41.280" E | -20.726461 | 115.2948 |
| | 20° 43' 46.934" S | 115° 17' 35.372" E | -20.729704 | 115.293159 |
| | 20° 43' 58.969" S | 115° 17' 30.376" E | -20.733047 | 115.291771 |
| | 20° 44' 11.317" S | 115° 17' 26.282" E | -20.736477 | 115.290634 |
| | 20° 44' 23.910" S | 115° 17' 23.125" E | -20.739975 | 115.289757 |
| | 20° 44' 36.593" S | 115° 17' 20.515" E | -20.743498 | 115.289032 |
| | 20° 44' 45.780" S | 115° 17' 18.100" E | -20.74605 | 115.288361 |
| | 20° 44' 55.291" S | 115° 17' 16.177" E | -20.748692 | 115.287827 |

Vessel Based Activity

Environment Plan

Overview

Santos is preparing a five-year Vessel Based Activity (VBA) Environment Plan (EP) for a range of survey activities in three separate areas in commonwealth waters on the North West Shelf:

- + Area A: WA-437-P; WA-438-P; WA-541-P
- + Area B: WA WA-1-P; WA-209-P; WA-41-L
- + Area C: WA-33-R; WA-510-P

The survey areas A, B and C are shown in yellow in **Figure 1**.

Data acquired from these surveys will be used for a variety of purposes such as: to determine seabed properties, provide information on seabed morphology, or to generate site survey reports to inform engineering feasibility studies for Santos' proposed activities in these areas.

Before Santos can undertake these activities, the company must prepare and have an accepted EP in place. The EP will be developed and implemented in accordance with the Commonwealth Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2009 (OPGGs(E)R) for acceptance by the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA).

All environment plans submitted to NOPSEMA are published on their website. Santos anticipates that this EP will be made publicly available on the NOPSEMA website in December 2020.

EP consultation prior to submission to NOPSEMA provides for increased transparency and an opportunity for stakeholders to provide input to the environmental management of the proposed vessel based activities.

Please advise if you have any issues or if you require any additional information about the proposed activity. Santos will address these issues prior to the EP being submitted for assessment.

Activity Description

The vessel-based activities that may be undertaken under this EP may include:

- + Geophysical surveys.
- + Hydrographic surveys.
- + Geotechnical surveys.
- + Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) surveys.
- + Metrology surveys.
- + Metocean surveys.
- + Environmental surveys.

To support the above activities, the following may also be required:

- + Materials transfer and handling.
- + Diving activities.
- + Vessel anchoring activities.
- + Helicopter support.

A summary of the range of vessel based activities proposed, equipment and deployment methods are provided in **Attachment A**.

The proposed EP will provide for:

- + the approved activities to take place at any location within the eight identified permit areas located in Areas A, B or C;
- + the approved activities to take place at any time within five years of acceptance of the EP (expected to be 2021 to 2026); and
- + up to 12 VBA campaigns to be conducted each year, across Areas A, B and C - not per Area.

The duration of an individual VBA may vary between two (2) and fourteen (14) consecutive days. The exception is metocean surveys where equipment (as opposed to vessels) may be deployed in the field for an extended period for collecting baseline data. However, an offshore VBA campaign may extend several weeks and consist of multiple individual VBAs at different locations. It is possible that multiple VBAs will also occur at a single site.

Unless otherwise stated in the EP, VBA will be carried out during all seasons and will allow for 24-hour operations.

A single survey vessel will typically be utilised to undertake each activity, although this EP allows for up to two vessels for each activity. The actual vessel used will be determined in later planning stages. However, for environmental assessment purposes, Santos has based the assessment of impacts and risks on the largest typical vessel (a 54-m long, 950-tonne multi-purpose support vessel) so that the assessment is conservative and allows for flexibility.

Vessel speeds will be approximately 4 knots during geophysical surveying and the vessel will be temporarily stationary when taking seabed samples for geotechnical activities. No anchoring will take place unless in an emergency (e.g. loss of power).

Santos will provide the required activity start and cessation notifications to all relevant stakeholders.

Further activity details are summarised in **Table 1**, and potential environmental risks, impacts and management measures are outlined in **Table 2**.

Figure 1: Vessel Based Activity Location Map




Table 1: Vessel Based Activity details



| ACTIVITY INFORMATION | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------------------|
| Location | For location coordinates please refer Attachment B. Area A – including permit areas WA-437-P, WA-438-P and WA-541-P Area B – including permit areas WA-1-P; WA-209-P; and WA-41-L Area C – including permit areas WA-33-R and WA-510-P | | |
| Approximate Water depths | Water depth in the operational areas range from approximately 40 m to 110 m. Area A: 40 m – 110 m Area B: 60 m Area C: 60 m | | |
| Petroleum Safety Zone (PSZ) zone | A 500 m PSZ (exclusion zone) around the survey vessel at all times for the duration of each individual or concurrent activity(s). | | |
| Equipment | A summary of survey equipment used, and deployment methods is provided in Attachment A. | | |
| Description of natural environment | The activities overlap the Northwest Shelf Provincial Bioregion (based on the Integrated Marine and Coastal Regionalisation of Australia (IMCRA) Version 4.0). | | |
| Timing and duration | The vessel based activities may take place anytime within 5 years of acceptance of the EP (expected to be 2021 to 2026). | | |
| Proximity to nearest key regional features | Area | Regional Feature | Operational Area |
| | Area A WA-437-P; WA-438-P; WA-541-P | Barrow Island | 292 km |
| | | Varanus Island | 280 km |
| | | Dampier | 187 km |
| | | Port Hedland | 99 km |
| | | Bedout Island (closest island) | 9.4 km |
| | | Closest Mainland (Poissonnier Point) | 50 km |
| | | Eighty Mile Beach Australian Marine Park | 50 km |
| | | Rowley Shoals Marine Park | 115 km |
| | | Argo- Rowley Terrace Australian Marine Park | 110 km |
| | | Mermaid Reef Australian Marine Park | 170 km |
| | Area B WA-1-P; WA-209-P; WA-41-L | Dampier Archipelago | 45 km |
| | | Montebello Islands | 78 km |
| | | Dampier | 71 km |
| | | Cape Preston | 83 km |
| | | Burrup Peninsula | 64 km |
| | | Lowendal Islands | 91 km |
| Barrow Island | | 105 km | |
| Area C WA-33-R; WA-510-P | Montebello Australian Marine Park | Overlaps | |
| | Montebello Marine Park | 9 km | |
| | Barrow Marine Management Area | Adjacent boundaries | |
| | Barrow Marine Park | Adjacent boundaries | |
| | Barrow Island | 7 km | |
| | Varanus Island | 24 km | |
| | Closest mainland (Robe Point) | 73 km | |
| | Onslow | 98 km | |
| Worst case hydrocarbon spill scenario | 329m ³ diesel (fuel oil) from a vessel collision. | | |
| Response tier required | In the event of an oil spill, a Level 2/3 response would be implemented as defined in the activity-specific Oil Pollution Emergency Plan. | | |

Table 2: Assessment of potential environmental risks and impacts from the proposed vessel based activity

| POTENTIAL RISKS AND/OR IMPACTS | MANAGEMENT MEASURES |
|---|--|
| Interaction with other marine users and Commercial Fishers | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relevant stakeholders will be notified prior to the commencement and on cessation of each activity. Relevant maritime notices issued. A visual and radar watch will be maintained on the vessel bridge. Santos will not restrict commercial fishing access to the operational area and is committed to concurrent operations, where safety of either vessel is not compromised. Survey vessel will be prohibited from recreational fishing within the operational area. Santos commits to reducing impacts on commercial fishers through the provision of timely activity information to enable advance planning and avoidance of unexpected interference. Santos inductions for support vessels will include a topic to reinforce the importance of marine communications regarding any potential interactions with active commercial fishing |
| Fauna interactions (acoustic and collision) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring of the surrounding environment for marine fauna is undertaken from the survey vessel/s bridge. Survey vessels will comply with Santos WA's Protected Marine Fauna Interaction and Sighting Procedure as per EPBC Regulations (Part 8) for interacting with cetaceans, which includes the following controls: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vessel will not travel at greater than 6 knots within 300 m of a whale. Vessel will not approach within 100 m of a whale. |
| Light emissions | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimum lighting that is required for safe navigation and operations will be maintained in compliance with International Association of Marine Aids to Navigation and Lighthouse Authorities (IALA) and SOLAS/AMSA Marine Orders. |
| Atmospheric emissions | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survey vessels use marine diesel (fuel oil) sulphur content is compliant with MARPOL/AMSA Marine Order. Survey vessels have Air Pollution Prevention Certification in accordance with Marine Order 97. |
| Seabed disturbance | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vessels will either be moving or will use dynamic positioning to maintain location, unless anchoring is required for safety reasons. Objects dropped overboard are recovered (where possible) to mitigate the environmental consequences from objects remaining in the marine environment. |
| Operational vessel discharges | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Routine vessel discharges (sewage, bilge water, food waste) associated with daily functioning of vessels will meet Australian requirements. Deck cleaning products will not be harmful to the marine environment. |
| Biosecurity risk management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survey vessels and submersible equipment are managed in accordance with the Santos Invasive Marine Species Management Plan. |
| Spill response operations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the event of a hydrocarbon spill, the Santos WA OPEP requirements are implemented to mitigate environmental impacts. |

Consultation

If you wish to comment on Santos' proposed Vessel Based Activity EP, or if you require additional information, please contact Santos on the contact details below. Santos would appreciate your feedback by **7 December 2020**.


 Santos
 PO Box 5624, Perth, 6831
 Ph: 

Attachment A. Proposed vessel-based activities

| ACTIVITY | DESCRIPTION |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Geophysical surveys | Electromagnetic survey Electromagnetic surveys are conducted to establish whether there are any buried metallic objects that may pose a risk to operations (i.e. includes identification of unexploded ordnance (UXO) or buried infrastructure or cables) and methane hydrate detection. Electromagnetic surveys are conducted using magnetometers either in a single array or in a gradiometer array with multiple magnetometers towed in parallel. Magnetometers are towed behind a vessel or are mounted on an Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV) or vessel hull, and measure magnetic field strength. |
| | Sidescan sonar (SSS) survey SSS identifies any sea floor debris which may cause damage to the jack up Mobile Offshore Drilling Unit (MODU) support legs. SSS involves towing a set of transducers mounted on either side of a 'tow fish' approximately 10-20 m above the seabed, producing pulses at high frequencies. |
| | Sub-bottom profiling (SBP) survey SBP allows the near-seabed stratigraphy to be evaluated for hazards and to confirm it will be providing adequate foundations for supporting the MODU when it is elevated above the water. SBP utilises an acoustic source typically towed just behind the vessel, with a hydrophone towed approximately 25 m behind the vessel to record the reflected sound waves. |
| | Autonomous underwater vehicles (AUV) survey AUVs travel underwater on pre-defined 'flight paths' without requiring navigation from an operator and are fitted with various payloads for survey acquisition. The AUV is typically deployed from a vessel using a crane or an A-frame and can be recovered using a winch or net. AUV's are battery powered systems capable of hosting a number of geophysical and inspection payloads. Examples of payloads include sub-bottom profilers, multibeam echosounders, cameras, side scan sonars and conductivity, temperature, and depth (CTD) instruments. The survey speed is often determined by the payload and survey objective but is generally around 4 knots. The actual size of the AUV is dependent on the size of the payload and duration required for the survey. |
| Hydrographic surveys | Multibeam echo sounder (MBES) surveys MBES surveys will enable the collection of bathymetry data and the correlation of depth information. This type of survey uses a sonar system to transmit short pulses of sound energy, analysing the return signal from the seafloor or other objects. |
| | Single beam echo sounder (SBES) surveys Single beam echosounders (SBES) provide water depths by measuring the two-way travel time of a high frequency pulse emitted by a transducer. The systems are calibrated to allow for errors introduced by temperature and salinity and other factors that affect sound velocity. The choice of echosounder depends on many factors including accuracy requirements, depth of water and resolution. |
| Geotechnical surveys | Subsea & Topside geotechnical drilling Geotechnical site surveys are conducted to establish the geotechnical properties of the shallow sediments to approximately 30 m below seabed to help inform subsea infrastructure and foundation design. A variety of vessel deployed equipment may be used for these surveys. Examples include core penetration tests (CPTs), vibrocoring, piston coring, drop coring and grab samplers. The disturbance footprint is limited to the equipment used. For subsea geotechnical drilling - typical equipment used is a sea mounted drill rig using either HPT or rotary coring methods (i.e. Portable Remotely Operated Drill system) deployed from a dynamically positioned vessel using an A-frame and winch. For topside geotechnical drilling – typical equipment used is a Jack-up drilling rig using either push coring or rotary coring methods. Jack-up legs towed to site and mechanically lowered into place by a hydraulic system |
| | Vibrocoring Vibrocoring is undertaken where information on the shallow soils is required down to a depth of approximately 6 m. Vibrocoring units may be deployed from either a vessel or jack-up rig with a suitable A-frame or crane for deployment and recovery. The vibrocoring unit is lowered to the seabed on a lifting line. An umbilical is also lowered with the vibrocore on a constant tension winch to ensure the cables do not twist on descent. Once on the seabed electrical power is supplied to the vibrating head through the umbilical. The head then vibrates the core down through the sediment layers. On recovery the system is lifted from the vibrating head, recovering the core first then the system to the vessel or rig deck for processing. |
| | Coring Seabed mounted drilling rigs are typically deployed from a dynamically positioned vessel typically via an A-frame and winch. The rig is lowered from the vessel to the seabed where it remotely undertakes coring operations. The primary coring methods used for sampling from subsea mounted drilling rigs are either Hydraulic Piston Tube (HPT) or rotary coring. HPT sampling is generally undertaken wherever possible in un-cemented sediments, as this methodology generally provides the best quality 'least disturbed' samples. Rotary coring is generally undertaken in consolidated sediments where the HPT sampling cannot penetrate the substrate. |
| | Cone penetration tests (CPTs) Cone penetration tests (CPTs) are used to provide in-situ detail on the geotechnical characteristics of the soil. CPTs can be deployed as standalone units or used to gather down-hole information during geotechnical drilling. |

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) surveys | Inspection, site and maintenance surveys | ROVs are usually deployed using an A-frame or winch from a dedicated vessel. ROVs are linked to the vessel by either a neutrally buoyant tether or often when working in rough conditions or in deeper water a load carrying umbilical cable is used along with a tether management system (TMS). Power to equipment such as torque tools and manipulator arms are typically hydraulic though battery powered tools are possible. Most ROVs are equipped with at least a video camera and lights. Additional equipment is commonly added to expand the vehicle's capabilities. Examples of equipment include sonars, MBES, magnetometers, a still camera, a manipulator or cutting arm and water samplers. The class and size of the ROV used will be dependent on the operational objectives of the survey. |
| Metrology surveys | Subsea positioning | Laser and acoustic surveys are undertaken to take measurements between subsea structures. Usually deployed from a vessel using lifting equipment such as a crane or lifting basket |
| Metocean surveys | Deployment of metocean equipment | Metocean surveys usually involve the deployment of small-scale moorings. They are used to understand environmental conditions such as currents, wave height, tidal range and turbidity. This data is also used for engineering design for offshore developments. Metocean survey equipment may be deployed for several weeks and/or months for collecting baseline data. Equipment deployment is generally quick (i.e. within hours). Data can either be assessed remotely via telemetry, or return trips will intermittently be required to download data and service equipment. Examples of metocean survey equipment include wave buoys, current profilers (acoustic wave and current recorders [AWACs], Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler [ADCP's], Aquadopps) and metocean buoys. The mooring designs are dependent on water depth and equipment configuration. The current profilers use a very high working frequency (i.e. from 38 kHz to several megahertz) to measure the shift of particles within the water column. For deep water deployments acoustic releases are usually connected to the anchor/clump weights. All equipment is recovered at the completion of the survey. |
| Environmental surveys | Towed video camera survey | Towed video camera survey may be used to survey epibenthic fauna. It comprises an underwater camera system capable of recording video and high definition still images mounted on a tow frame controlled by a hydraulic winch or capstan. Underwater lamps may also be mounted on the frame during low light conditions to illuminate the field of view. Realtime footage will be relayed to the surface via an umbilical. The depth of the camera is constantly monitored on the live feed to avoid seabed impact. |
| | Water sampling | Discrete water samples will be taken from desired water depths using water sampling equipment. The samplers are deployed to the desired depth by hand or using a hydraulic winch or capstan. Once samples are taken the sampler will be retrieved to deck and sub-sampled as appropriate. Additional integrated samples may be collected using a small submersible pump with a hose to the surface. |
| | Water profiling | Water profiling can be used to establish water depth, temperature, conductivity, turbidity, pH and dissolved oxygen (DO) level. The profiler will be lowered to the sea surface and is allowed to equilibrate for one minute. The profiler will then be deployed to the desired depth by a hydraulic winch, capstan or by hand. After the desired depth is reached the profiler will be slowly recovered to the deck. |
| | Diving | Diving operations have been included within this EP in the remote chance that diving is required to support any of the described activities. For example, diving may be conducted for environmental surveys (i.e. habitat studies), inspecting deployed metocean survey equipment or recovering or investigating dropped objects. Any diving activity would be managed as per safety requirements and deployed from a DSV. |
| Material transfers and handling | Personnel and material transfers and handling | Material transfers and handling may be needed at short notice for some petroleum activities. Examples of material transfers include waste, fresh food, fuel and chemicals and equipment etc. Whilst this activity generally refers to transfers between offshore support vessels, a helicopter may also be used to transport materials or personnel to a remote VBA. |
| | Helicopter support | Helicopters may be required at short notice to provide support to VBA. These are typically used for personnel and materials transfers. Helicopter size is limited to the vessel's helicopter reception facilities. There are no helicopter refueling capabilities on board the vessels provided in this EP. |

Attachment B: Location details

| LOCATION | LAT DMS | LON DMS | LAT DD | LONG DD |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Area A | 19° 19' 55.128" S | 119° 30' 4.698" E | -19.33198 | 119.501305 |
| Including permit areas: | 19° 29' 55.140" S | 119° 30' 4.698" E | -19.49865 | 119.501305 |
| WA-437-P | 19° 29' 55.151" S | 119° 0' 4.698" E | -19.498653 | 119.001305 |
| WA-438-P | 19° 24' 55.148" S | 119° 0' 4.698" E | -19.415319 | 119.001305 |
| WA-541-P | 19° 24' 55.184" S | 117° 55' 4.714" E | -19.415329 | 117.917976 |
| | 19° 4' 55.168" S | 117° 55' 4.688" E | -19.081991 | 117.917969 |
| | 19° 4' 55.168" S | 117° 55' 1.172" E | -19.08199101 | 117.9169923 |
| | 18° 53' 5.114" S | 118° 25' 30.252" E | -18.884754 | 118.42507 |
| | 18° 44' 55.142" S | 118° 54' 5.587" E | -18.74865048 | 118.9015518 |
| | 18° 44' 55.136" S | 119° 7' 16.591" E | -18.74864877 | 119.1212753 |
| Area B | 20° 4' 55.236" S | 116° 15' 4.752" E | -20.08201 | 116.25132 |
| Including permit areas: | 19° 59' 55.241" S | 116° 15' 4.752" E | -19.998678 | 116.25132 |
| WA-1-P | 19° 59' 55.234" S | 116° 20' 4.762" E | -19.998676 | 116.334656 |
| WA-209-P | 19° 54' 55.238" S | 116° 20' 4.762" E | -19.915344 | 116.334656 |
| WA-41-L | 19° 54' 55.238" S | 116° 25' 4.742" E | -19.915344 | 116.417984 |
| | 20° 4' 55.229" S | 116° 25' 4.742" E | -20.082008 | 116.417984 |
| | 20° 4' 55.236" S | 116° 15' 4.752" E | -20.08201 | 116.25132 |
| Area C | 20° 44' 55.291" S | 115° 17' 16.177" E | -20.748692 | 115.287827 |
| Including permit areas: | 20° 44' 55.295" S | 115° 5' 4.787" E | -20.748693 | 115.084663 |
| WA-33-R | 20° 29' 55.291" S | 115° 5' 4.787" E | -20.498692 | 115.084663 |
| WA-510-P | 20° 29' 55.284" S | 115° 15' 4.781" E | -20.49869 | 115.251328 |
| | 20° 24' 55.289" S | 115° 15' 4.781" E | -20.415358 | 115.251328 |
| | 20° 24' 55.282" S | 115° 20' 4.787" E | -20.415356 | 115.334663 |
| | 20° 41' 39.700" S | 115° 20' 4.787" E | -20.694361 | 115.334663 |
| | 20° 41' 40.531" S | 115° 20' 2.483" E | -20.694592 | 115.334023 |
| | 20° 41' 47.882" S | 115° 19' 45.451" E | -20.696634 | 115.329292 |
| | 20° 41' 51.000" S | 115° 19' 39.079" E | -20.6975 | 115.327522 |
| | 20° 41' 57.894" S | 115° 19' 26.774" E | -20.699415 | 115.324104 |
| | 20° 42' 5.641" S | 115° 19' 15.020" E | -20.701567 | 115.320839 |
| | 20° 42' 13.590" S | 115° 19' 4.472" E | -20.703775 | 115.317909 |
| | 20° 42' 21.596" S | 115° 18' 55.080" E | -20.705999 | 115.3153 |
| | 20° 42' 28.868" S | 115° 18' 43.297" E | -20.708019 | 115.312027 |
| | 20° 42' 36.760" S | 115° 18' 32.227" E | -20.710211 | 115.308952 |
| | 20° 42' 45.227" S | 115° 18' 21.928" E | -20.712563 | 115.306091 |
| | 20° 42' 53.993" S | 115° 18' 12.506" E | -20.714998 | 115.303474 |
| | 20° 43' 3.353" S | 115° 18' 3.748" E | -20.717598 | 115.301041 |
| | 20° 43' 8.242" S | 115° 17' 59.626" E | -20.718956 | 115.299896 |
| | 20° 43' 18.577" S | 115° 17' 51.799" E | -20.721827 | 115.297722 |
| | 20° 43' 24.020" S | 115° 17' 48.091" E | -20.723339 | 115.296692 |
| | 20° 43' 35.260" S | 115° 17' 41.280" E | -20.726461 | 115.2948 |
| | 20° 43' 46.934" S | 115° 17' 35.372" E | -20.729704 | 115.293159 |
| | 20° 43' 58.969" S | 115° 17' 30.376" E | -20.733047 | 115.291771 |
| | 20° 44' 11.317" S | 115° 17' 26.282" E | -20.736477 | 115.290634 |
| | 20° 44' 23.910" S | 115° 17' 23.125" E | -20.739975 | 115.289757 |
| | 20° 44' 36.593" S | 115° 17' 20.515" E | -20.743498 | 115.289032 |
| | 20° 44' 45.780" S | 115° 17' 18.100" E | -20.74605 | 115.288361 |
| | 20° 44' 55.291" S | 115° 17' 16.177" E | -20.748692 | 115.287827 |

Vessel Based Activity

Environment Plan

Overview

Santos is preparing a five-year Vessel Based Activity (VBA) Environment Plan (EP) for a range of survey activities in three separate areas in commonwealth waters on the North West Shelf:

- + Area A: WA-437-P; WA-438-P; WA-541-P
- + Area B: WA WA-1-P; WA-209-P; WA-41-L
- + Area C: WA-33-R; WA-510-P

The survey areas A, B and C are shown in yellow in **Figure 1**.

Data acquired from these surveys will be used for a variety of purposes such as: to determine seabed properties, provide information on seabed morphology, or to generate site survey reports to inform engineering feasibility studies for Santos' proposed activities in these areas.

Before Santos can undertake these activities, the company must prepare and have an accepted EP in place. The EP will be developed and implemented in accordance with the Commonwealth Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Environment) Regulations 2009 (OPGGs(E)R) for acceptance by the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA).

All environment plans submitted to NOPSEMA are published on their website. Santos anticipates that this EP will be made publicly available on the NOPSEMA website in December 2020.

EP consultation prior to submission to NOPSEMA provides for increased transparency and an opportunity for commercial fishers to provide input to the environmental management of the proposed vessel based activities.

Please advise if you have any issues or if you require any additional information about the proposed activity. Santos will address these issues prior to the EP being submitted for assessment.

Activity Description

The vessel-based activities that may be undertaken under this EP may include:

- + Geophysical surveys.
- + Hydrographic surveys.
- + Geotechnical surveys.
- + Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) surveys.
- + Metrology surveys.
- + Metocean surveys.
- + Environmental surveys.

To support the above activities, the following may also be required:

- + Materials transfer and handling.
- + Diving activities.
- + Vessel anchoring activities.
- + Helicopter support.

A summary of the range of vessel based activities proposed, equipment and deployment methods are provided in **Attachment A**.

The proposed EP will provide for:

- + the approved activities to take place at any location within the eight identified permit areas located in Areas A, B or C;
- + the approved activities to take place at any time within five years of acceptance of the EP (expected to be 2021 to 2026); and
- + up to 12 VBA campaigns to be conducted each year, across Areas A, B and C - not per Area.

The duration of an individual VBA may vary between two (2) and fourteen (14) consecutive days. The exception is metocean surveys where equipment (as opposed to vessels) may be deployed in the field for an extended period for collecting baseline data. However, an offshore VBA campaign may extend several weeks and consist of multiple individual VBAs at different locations. It is possible that multiple VBAs will also occur at a single site.

Unless otherwise stated in the EP, VBA will be carried out during all seasons and will allow for 24-hour operations.

A single survey vessel will typically be utilised to undertake each activity, although this EP allows for up to two vessels for each activity. The actual vessel used will be determined in later planning stages. However, for environmental assessment purposes, Santos has based the assessment of impacts and risks on the largest typical vessel (a 54-m long, 950-tonne multi-purpose support vessel) so that the assessment is conservative and allows for flexibility.

Vessel speeds will be approximately 4 knots during geophysical surveying and the vessel will be temporarily stationary when taking seabed samples for geotechnical activities. No anchoring will take place unless in an emergency (e.g. loss of power).

Santos will provide the required activity start and cessation notifications to all relevant commercial fishing stakeholders.

Further activity details are summarised in **Table 1**, and potential environmental risks, impacts and management measures, including interaction with commercial fishers, are outlined in **Table 2**.

Figure 1: Vessel Based Activity Location Map




Table 1: Vessel Based Activity details

| ACTIVITY INFORMATION | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------------------|
| Location | For location coordinates please refer Attachment B. Area A – including permit areas WA-437-P; WA-438-P and WA-541-P Area B – including permit areas WA-1-P; WA-209-P; and WA-41-L Area C – including permit areas WA-33-R and WA-510-P | | |
| Approximate Water depths | Water depth in the operational areas range from approximately 40 m to 110 m. Area A: 40 m – 110 m Area B: 60 m Area C: 60 m | | |
| Petroleum Safety Zone (PSZ) zone | A 500 m PSZ (exclusion zone) around the survey vessel at all times for the duration of each individual or concurrent activity(s). | | |
| Equipment | A summary of survey equipment used, and deployment methods is provided in Attachment A. | | |
| Description of natural environment | The activities overlap the Northwest Shelf Provincial Bioregion (based on the Integrated Marine and Coastal Regionalisation of Australia (IMCRA) Version 4.0). | | |
| Timing and duration | The vessel based activities may take place anytime within 5 years of acceptance of the EP (expected to be 2021 to 2026). | | |
| Proximity to nearest key regional features | Area | Regional Feature | Operational Area |
| | Area A WA-437-P; WA-438-P; WA-541-P | Barrow Island | 292 km |
| | | Varanus Island | 280 km |
| | | Dampier | 187 km |
| | | Port Hedland | 99 km |
| | | Bedout Island (closest island) | 9.4 km |
| | | Closest Mainland (Poissonnier Point) | 50 km |
| | | Eighty Mile Beach Australian Marine Park | 50 km |
| | | Rowley Shoals Marine Park | 115 km |
| | | Argo- Rowley Terrace Australian Marine Park | 110 km |
| | | Mermaid Reef Australian Marine Park | 170 km |
| | Area B WA-1-P; WA-209-P; WA-41-L | Dampier Archipelago | 45 km |
| | | Montebello Islands | 78 km |
| | | Dampier | 71 km |
| | | Cape Preston | 83 km |
| | | Burrup Peninsula | 64 km |
| | | Lowendal Islands | 91 km |
| Barrow Island | | 105 km | |
| Area C WA-33-R; WA-510-P | Montebello Australian Marine Park | Overlaps | |
| | Montebello Marine Park | 9 km | |
| | Barrow Marine Management Area | Adjacent boundaries | |
| | Barrow Marine Park | Adjacent boundaries | |
| | Barrow Island | 7 km | |
| | Varanus Island | 24 km | |
| | Closest mainland (Robe Point) | 73 km | |
| | Onslow | 98 km | |
| Worst case hydrocarbon spill scenario | 329m ³ diesel (fuel oil) from a vessel collision. | | |
| Response tier required | In the event of an oil spill, a Level 2/3 response would be implemented as defined in the activity-specific Oil Pollution Emergency Plan. | | |

Table 2: Assessment of potential environmental risks and impacts from the proposed vessel based activity

| POTENTIAL RISKS AND/OR IMPACTS | MANAGEMENT MEASURES |
|---|--|
| Interaction with other marine users and Commercial Fishers | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relevant commercial fishers will be notified prior to the commencement and on cessation of each activity. Relevant maritime notices issued. A visual and radar watch will be maintained on the vessel bridge. Santos will not restrict commercial fishing access to the operational area and is committed to concurrent operations, where safety of either vessel is not compromised. Survey vessel will be prohibited from recreational fishing within the operational area. Santos commits to reducing impacts on commercial fishers through the provision of timely activity information to enable advance planning and avoidance of unexpected interference. Santos inductions for support vessels will include a topic to reinforce the importance of marine communications regarding any potential interactions with active commercial fishing |
| Fauna interactions (acoustic and collision) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitoring of the surrounding environment for marine fauna is undertaken from the survey vessel/s bridge. Survey vessels will comply with Santos WA's Protected Marine Fauna Interaction and Sighting Procedure as per EPBC Regulations (Part 8) for interacting with cetaceans, which includes the following controls: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vessel will not travel at greater than 6 knots within 300 m of a whale. Vessel will not approach within 100 m of a whale. |
| Light emissions | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimum lighting that is required for safe navigation and operations will be maintained in compliance with International Association of Marine Aids to Navigation and Lighthouse Authorities (IALA) and SOLAS/AMSA Marine Orders. |
| Atmospheric emissions | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survey vessels use marine diesel (fuel oil) sulphur content is compliant with MARPOL/AMSA Marine Order. Survey vessels have Air Pollution Prevention Certification in accordance with Marine Order 97. |
| Seabed disturbance | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vessels will either be moving or will use dynamic positioning to maintain location, unless anchoring is required for safety reasons. Objects dropped overboard are recovered (where possible) to mitigate the environmental consequences from objects remaining in the marine environment. |
| Operational vessel discharges | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Routine vessel discharges (sewage, bilge water, food waste) associated with daily functioning of vessels will meet Australian requirements. Deck cleaning products will not be harmful to the marine environment. |
| Biosecurity risk management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survey vessels and submersible equipment are managed in accordance with the Santos Invasive Marine Species Management Plan. |
| Spill response operations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the event of a hydrocarbon spill, the Santos WA OPEP requirements are implemented to mitigate environmental impacts. |

Consultation

If you wish to comment on Santos' proposed Vessel Based Activity EP, or if you require additional information, please contact Santos on the contact details below. Santos would appreciate your feedback by **7 December 2020**.

[REDACTED]
 Santos
 PO Box 5624, Perth, 6831
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]

Attachment A. Proposed vessel-based activities

| ACTIVITY | DESCRIPTION |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Geophysical surveys | Electromagnetic survey Electromagnetic surveys are conducted to establish whether there are any buried metallic objects that may pose a risk to operations (i.e. includes identification of unexploded ordnance (UXO) or buried infrastructure or cables) and methane hydrate detection. Electromagnetic surveys are conducted using magnetometers either in a single array or in a gradiometer array with multiple magnetometers towed in parallel. Magnetometers are towed behind a vessel or are mounted on an Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV) or vessel hull, and measure magnetic field strength. |
| | Sidescan sonar (SSS) survey SSS identifies any sea floor debris which may cause damage to the jack up Mobile Offshore Drilling Unit (MODU) support legs. SSS involves towing a set of transducers mounted on either side of a 'tow fish' approximately 10-20 m above the seabed, producing pulses at high frequencies. |
| | Sub-bottom profiling (SBP) survey SBP allows the near-seabed stratigraphy to be evaluated for hazards and to confirm it will be providing adequate foundations for supporting the MODU when it is elevated above the water. SBP utilises an acoustic source typically towed just behind the vessel, with a hydrophone towed approximately 25 m behind the vessel to record the reflected sound waves. |
| | Autonomous underwater vehicles (AUV) survey AUVs travel underwater on pre-defined 'flight paths' without requiring navigation from an operator and are fitted with various payloads for survey acquisition. The AUV is typically deployed from a vessel using a crane or an A-frame and can be recovered using a winch or net. AUV's are battery powered systems capable of hosting a number of geophysical and inspection payloads. Examples of payloads include sub-bottom profilers, multibeam echosounders, cameras, side scan sonars and conductivity, temperature, and depth (CTD) instruments. The survey speed is often determined by the payload and survey objective but is generally around 4 knots. The actual size of the AUV is dependent on the size of the payload and duration required for the survey. |
| Hydrographic surveys | Multibeam echo sounder (MBES) surveys MBES surveys will enable the collection of bathymetry data and the correlation of depth information. This type of survey uses a sonar system to transmit short pulses of sound energy, analysing the return signal from the seafloor or other objects. |
| | Single beam echo sounder (SBES) surveys Single beam echosounders (SBES) provide water depths by measuring the two-way travel time of a high frequency pulse emitted by a transducer. The systems are calibrated to allow for errors introduced by temperature and salinity and other factors that affect sound velocity. The choice of echosounder depends on many factors including accuracy requirements, depth of water and resolution. |
| Geotechnical surveys | Subsea & Topside geotechnical drilling Geotechnical site surveys are conducted to establish the geotechnical properties of the shallow sediments to approximately 30 m below seabed to help inform subsea infrastructure and foundation design. A variety of vessel deployed equipment may be used for these surveys. Examples include core penetration tests (CPTs), vibrocoring, piston coring, drop coring and grab samplers. The disturbance footprint is limited to the equipment used. For subsea geotechnical drilling - typical equipment used is a sea mounted drill rig using either HPT or rotary coring methods (i.e. Portable Remotely Operated Drill system) deployed from a dynamically positioned vessel using an A-frame and winch. For topside geotechnical drilling - typical equipment used is a Jack-up drilling rig using either push coring or rotary coring methods. Jack-up legs towed to site and mechanically lowered into place by a hydraulic system |
| | Vibrocoring Vibrocoring is undertaken where information on the shallow soils is required down to a depth of approximately 6 m. Vibrocoring units may be deployed from either a vessel or jack-up rig with a suitable A-frame or crane for deployment and recovery. The vibrocoring unit is lowered to the seabed on a lifting line. An umbilical is also lowered with the vibrocore on a constant tension winch to ensure the cables do not twist on descent. Once on the seabed electrical power is supplied to the vibrating head through the umbilical. The head then vibrates the core down through the sediment layers. On recovery the system is lifted from the vibrating head, recovering the core first then the system to the vessel or rig deck for processing. |
| | Coring Seabed mounted drilling rigs are typically deployed from a dynamically positioned vessel typically via an A-frame and winch. The rig is lowered from the vessel to the seabed where it remotely undertakes coring operations. The primary coring methods used for sampling from subsea mounted drilling rigs are either Hydraulic Piston Tube (HPT) or rotary coring. HPT sampling is generally undertaken wherever possible in un-cemented sediments, as this methodology generally provides the best quality 'least disturbed' samples. Rotary coring is generally undertaken in consolidated sediments where the HPT sampling cannot penetrate the substrate. |
| | Cone penetration tests (CPTs) Cone penetration tests (CPTs) are used to provide in-situ detail on the geotechnical characteristics of the soil. CPTs can be deployed as standalone units or used to gather down-hole information during geotechnical drilling. |

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) surveys | Inspection, site and maintenance surveys | ROVs are usually deployed using an A-frame or winch from a dedicated vessel. ROVs are linked to the vessel by either a neutrally buoyant tether or often when working in rough conditions or in deeper water a load carrying umbilical cable is used along with a tether management system (TMS). Power to equipment such as torque tools and manipulator arms are typically hydraulic though battery powered tools are possible. Most ROVs are equipped with at least a video camera and lights. Additional equipment is commonly added to expand the vehicle's capabilities. Examples of equipment include sonars, MBES, magnetometers, a still camera, a manipulator or cutting arm and water samplers. The class and size of the ROV used will be dependent on the operational objectives of the survey. |
| Metrology surveys | Subsea positioning | Laser and acoustic surveys are undertaken to take measurements between subsea structures. Usually deployed from a vessel using lifting equipment such as a crane or lifting basket |
| Metocean surveys | Deployment of metocean equipment | Metocean surveys usually involve the deployment of small-scale moorings. They are used to understand environmental conditions such as currents, wave height, tidal range and turbidity. This data is also used for engineering design for offshore developments. Metocean survey equipment may be deployed for several weeks and/or months for collecting baseline data. Equipment deployment is generally quick (i.e. within hours). Data can either be assessed remotely via telemetry, or return trips will intermittently be required to download data and service equipment. Examples of metocean survey equipment include wave buoys, current profilers (acoustic wave and current recorders [AWACs], Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler [ADCP's], Aquadopps) and metocean buoys. The mooring designs are dependent on water depth and equipment configuration. The current profilers use a very high working frequency (i.e. from 38 kHz to several megahertz) to measure the shift of particles within the water column. For deep water deployments acoustic releases are usually connected to the anchor/clump weights. All equipment is recovered at the completion of the survey. |
| Environmental surveys | Towed video camera survey | Towed video camera survey may be used to survey epibenthic fauna. It comprises an underwater camera system capable of recording video and high definition still images mounted on a tow frame controlled by a hydraulic winch or capstan. Underwater lamps may also be mounted on the frame during low light conditions to illuminate the field of view. Realtime footage will be relayed to the surface via an umbilical. The depth of the camera is constantly monitored on the live feed to avoid seabed impact. |
| | Water sampling | Discrete water samples will be taken from desired water depths using water sampling equipment. The samplers are deployed to the desired depth by hand or using a hydraulic winch or capstan. Once samples are taken the sampler will be retrieved to deck and sub-sampled as appropriate. Additional integrated samples may be collected using a small submersible pump with a hose to the surface. |
| | Water profiling | Water profiling can be used to establish water depth, temperature, conductivity, turbidity, pH and dissolved oxygen (DO) level. The profiler will be lowered to the sea surface and is allowed to equilibrate for one minute. The profiler will then be deployed to the desired depth by a hydraulic winch, capstan or by hand. After the desired depth is reached the profiler will be slowly recovered to the deck. |
| | Diving | Diving operations have been included within this EP in the remote chance that diving is required to support any of the described activities. For example, diving may be conducted for environmental surveys (i.e. habitat studies), inspecting deployed metocean survey equipment or recovering or investigating dropped objects. Any diving activity would be managed as per safety requirements and deployed from a DSV. |
| Material transfers and handling | Personnel and material transfers and handling | Material transfers and handling may be needed at short notice for some petroleum activities. Examples of material transfers include waste, fresh food, fuel and chemicals and equipment etc. Whilst this activity generally refers to transfers between offshore support vessels, a helicopter may also be used to transport materials or personnel to a remote VBA. |
| | Helicopter support | Helicopters may be required at short notice to provide support to VBA. These are typically used for personnel and materials transfers. Helicopter size is limited to the vessel's helicopter reception facilities. There are no helicopter refueling capabilities on board the vessels provided in this EP. |

Attachment B: Location details

| LOCATION | LAT DMS | LON DMS | LAT DD | LONG DD |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Area A | 19° 19' 55.128" S | 119° 30' 4.698" E | -19.33198 | 119.501305 |
| Including permit areas: | 19° 29' 55.140" S | 119° 30' 4.698" E | -19.49865 | 119.501305 |
| WA-437-P | 19° 29' 55.151" S | 119° 0' 4.698" E | -19.498653 | 119.001305 |
| WA-438-P | 19° 24' 55.148" S | 119° 0' 4.698" E | -19.415319 | 119.001305 |
| WA-541-P | 19° 24' 55.184" S | 117° 55' 4.714" E | -19.415329 | 117.917976 |
| | 19° 4' 55.168" S | 117° 55' 4.688" E | -19.081991 | 117.917969 |
| | 19° 4' 55.168" S | 117° 55' 1.172" E | -19.08199101 | 117.9169923 |
| | 18° 53' 5.114" S | 118° 25' 30.252" E | -18.884754 | 118.42507 |
| | 18° 44' 55.142" S | 118° 54' 5.587" E | -18.74865048 | 118.9015518 |
| | 18° 44' 55.136" S | 119° 7' 16.591" E | -18.74864877 | 119.1212753 |
| Area B | 20° 4' 55.236" S | 116° 15' 4.752" E | -20.08201 | 116.25132 |
| Including permit areas: | 19° 59' 55.241" S | 116° 15' 4.752" E | -19.998678 | 116.25132 |
| WA-1-P | 19° 59' 55.234" S | 116° 20' 4.762" E | -19.998676 | 116.334656 |
| WA-209-P | 19° 54' 55.238" S | 116° 20' 4.762" E | -19.915344 | 116.334656 |
| WA-41-L | 19° 54' 55.238" S | 116° 25' 4.742" E | -19.915344 | 116.417984 |
| | 20° 4' 55.229" S | 116° 25' 4.742" E | -20.082008 | 116.417984 |
| | 20° 4' 55.236" S | 116° 15' 4.752" E | -20.08201 | 116.25132 |
| Area C | 20° 44' 55.291" S | 115° 17' 16.177" E | -20.748692 | 115.287827 |
| Including permit areas: | 20° 44' 55.295" S | 115° 5' 4.787" E | -20.748693 | 115.084663 |
| WA-33-R | 20° 29' 55.291" S | 115° 5' 4.787" E | -20.498692 | 115.084663 |
| WA-510-P | 20° 29' 55.284" S | 115° 15' 4.781" E | -20.49869 | 115.251328 |
| | 20° 24' 55.289" S | 115° 15' 4.781" E | -20.415358 | 115.251328 |
| | 20° 24' 55.282" S | 115° 20' 4.787" E | -20.415356 | 115.334663 |
| | 20° 41' 39.700" S | 115° 20' 4.787" E | -20.694361 | 115.334663 |
| | 20° 41' 40.531" S | 115° 20' 2.483" E | -20.694592 | 115.334023 |
| | 20° 41' 47.882" S | 115° 19' 45.451" E | -20.696634 | 115.329292 |
| | 20° 41' 51.000" S | 115° 19' 39.079" E | -20.6975 | 115.327522 |
| | 20° 41' 57.894" S | 115° 19' 26.774" E | -20.699415 | 115.324104 |
| | 20° 42' 5.641" S | 115° 19' 15.020" E | -20.701567 | 115.320839 |
| | 20° 42' 13.590" S | 115° 19' 4.472" E | -20.703775 | 115.317909 |
| | 20° 42' 21.596" S | 115° 18' 55.080" E | -20.705999 | 115.3153 |
| | 20° 42' 28.868" S | 115° 18' 43.297" E | -20.708019 | 115.312027 |
| | 20° 42' 36.760" S | 115° 18' 32.227" E | -20.710211 | 115.308952 |
| | 20° 42' 45.227" S | 115° 18' 21.928" E | -20.712563 | 115.306091 |
| | 20° 42' 53.993" S | 115° 18' 12.506" E | -20.714998 | 115.303474 |
| | 20° 43' 3.353" S | 115° 18' 3.748" E | -20.717598 | 115.301041 |
| | 20° 43' 8.242" S | 115° 17' 59.626" E | -20.718956 | 115.299896 |
| | 20° 43' 18.577" S | 115° 17' 51.799" E | -20.721827 | 115.297722 |
| | 20° 43' 24.020" S | 115° 17' 48.091" E | -20.723339 | 115.296692 |
| | 20° 43' 35.260" S | 115° 17' 41.280" E | -20.726461 | 115.2948 |
| | 20° 43' 46.934" S | 115° 17' 35.372" E | -20.729704 | 115.293159 |
| | 20° 43' 58.969" S | 115° 17' 30.376" E | -20.733047 | 115.291771 |
| | 20° 44' 11.317" S | 115° 17' 26.282" E | -20.736477 | 115.290634 |
| | 20° 44' 23.910" S | 115° 17' 23.125" E | -20.739975 | 115.289757 |
| | 20° 44' 36.593" S | 115° 17' 20.515" E | -20.743498 | 115.289032 |
| | 20° 44' 45.780" S | 115° 17' 18.100" E | -20.74605 | 115.288361 |
| | 20° 44' 55.291" S | 115° 17' 16.177" E | -20.748692 | 115.287827 |


STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

Consultation Maps


116°0'0"E

118°0'0"E


120°0'0"E





| | Closest City/Town | Closest Mainland |
|--------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Area A | 99 km (Port Hedland) | 50 km (Poissonnier Point) |
| Area B | 71 km (Dampier) | 64 km (Burrup Peninsula) |
| Area C | 98 km (Onslow) | 73 km (Robe Point) |



22/10/2020 SCMa5989







Appendix E - Environment Consequence Descriptors

Excerpt from *Offshore Division Environmental Hazard Identification and Assessment Guideline*
(EA-91-IG-00004), Revision 5 (Issued October 2020).

| Consequence Level | | I | II | III | IV | V | VI |
|-------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| Acceptability | | Acceptable | Acceptable | Unacceptable | Unacceptable | Unacceptable | Unacceptable |
| Severity Description | | Negligible <i>No impact or negligible impact</i> | Minor <i>Detectable but insignificant change to local population, industry or ecosystem factors. Localised effect</i> | Moderate <i>Significant impact to local population, industry or ecosystem factors</i> | Major <i>Major long-term effect on local population, industry or ecosystem factors</i> | Severe <i>Complete loss of local population, industry or ecosystem factors AND/ OR extensive regional impacts with slow recovery</i> | Critical <i>Irreversible impact to regional population, industry or ecosystem factors</i> |
| Environmental Receptors | Fauna In particular, EPBC Act listed threatened/migratory fauna or WA Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 specially protected fauna | Short term behavioural impacts only to small proportion of local population and not during critical lifecycle activity; No decrease in local population size; No reduction in area of occupancy of species; No loss/disruption of habitat critical to survival of a species; No disruption to the breeding cycle of any individual; No introduction of disease likely to cause a detectable population decline. | Detectable but insignificant decrease in local population size; Insignificant reduction in area of occupancy of species; Insignificant loss/disruption of habitat critical to survival of a species; Insignificant disruption to the breeding cycle of local population. | Significant decrease in local population size but no threat to overall population viability; Significant behavioural disruption to local population; Significant disruption to the breeding cycle of a local population; Significant reduction in area of occupancy of species; Significant loss of habitat critical to survival of a species; Modify, destroy, remove, isolate or decrease availability of quality of habitat to the extent that a significant decline in local population is likely; Introduce disease likely to cause a significant population decline. | Long term decrease in local population size and threat to local population viability; Major disruption to the breeding cycle of local population; Major reduction in area of occupancy of species; Fragmentation of existing population; Major loss of habitat critical to survival of a species; Modify, destroy, remove, isolate or decrease availability of quality of habitat to the extent that a long term decline in local population is likely; Introduce disease likely to cause a long term population decline. | Complete loss of local population; Complete loss of habitat critical to survival of local population; Wide spread (regional) decline in population size or habitat critical to regional population. | Complete loss of regional population; Complete loss of habitat critical to survival of regional population. |
| | Physical Environment/Habitat Includes: air quality; water quality; benthic habitat (biotic/abiotic), particularly habitats that are rare or unique; habitat that represents a Key Ecological Feature ⁴ ; habitat within a protected area; habitats that include benthic primary producers ⁵ and/or epi-fauna ⁶ | No or negligible reduction in physical environment/habitat area/function. | Detectable but localised and insignificant loss of area/function of physical environment/habitat. Rapid recovery evident within approximately two years (two season recovery). | Significant loss of area and/or function of local physical environment/habitat. Recovery over medium term (2–10 years) | Major, large-scale loss of area and/or function of physical environment/local habitat. Slow recovery over decades. | Extensive destruction of local physical environment/habitat with no recovery; Long term (decades) and wide spread loss of area or function of primary producers on a regional scale. | Complete destruction of regional physical environment/habitat with no recovery. Complete loss of area or function of primary producers on a regional scale. |
| | Threatened ecological communities (EPBC Act listed ecological communities) | No decline in threatened ecological community population size, diversity or function; No reduction in area of threatened ecological community; No introduction of disease likely to cause decline in threatened ecological community population size, diversity or function. | Detectable but insignificant decline in threatened ecological community population size, diversity or function; Insignificant reduction in area of threatened ecological community. | Significant decline in threatened ecological community population size, diversity or function; Significant reduction in area of threatened ecological community; Introduction of disease likely to cause significant decline in threatened ecological community population size, diversity or function. | Major, long term decline in threatened ecological community population size, diversity or function; Major reduction in area of threatened ecological community; Fragmentation of threatened ecological community; Introduce disease likely to cause long term decline in threatened ecological community population size, diversity or function. | Extensive, long term decline in threatened ecological community population size, diversity or function; Complete loss of threatened ecological community. | Complete loss of threatened ecological community with no recovery. |

⁴ As defined by the Department of Agriculture, Water and Environment (DaWE)

⁵ Benthic photosynthetic organisms such as seagrass, algae, hard corals and mangroves

⁶ Fauna attached to the substrate including sponges, soft corals and crinoids.

| Consequence Level | | I | II | III | IV | V | VI |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| Acceptability | | Acceptable | Acceptable | Unacceptable | Unacceptable | Unacceptable | Unacceptable |
| Severity Description | | Negligible <i>No impact or negligible impact</i> | Minor <i>Detectable but insignificant change to local population, industry or ecosystem factors. Localised effect</i> | Moderate <i>Significant impact to local population, industry or ecosystem factors</i> | Major <i>Major long-term effect on local population, industry or ecosystem factors</i> | Severe <i>Complete loss of local population, industry or ecosystem factors AND/ OR extensive regional impacts with slow recovery</i> | Critical <i>Irreversible impact to regional population, industry or ecosystem factors</i> |
| Protected Areas Includes: World Heritage Properties; Ramsar wetlands; Commonwealth/ National Heritage Areas; Land/ Marine Conservation Reserves. | No or negligible impact on protected area values; No decline in species population within protected area; No or negligible alteration, modification, obscuring or diminishing of protected area values.* | Detectable but insignificant impact on one of more of protected area's values. Detectable but insignificant decline in species population within protected area. Detectable but insignificant alteration, modification, obscuring or diminishing of protected area values.* | Significant impact on one of more of protected area's values; Significant decrease in population within protected area; Significant alteration, modification, obscuring or diminishing of protected area values. | Major long-term effect on one of more of protected area's values; Long-term decrease in species population contained within protected area and threat to that population's viability; Major alteration, modification, obscuring or diminishing of protected area values. | Extensive loss of one or more of protected area's values; Extensive loss of species population contained within protected area. | Complete loss of one or more of protected area's values with no recovery; Complete loss of species population contained within protected area with no recovery. | |
| | Socio-economic receptors Includes: fisheries (commercial and recreational); tourism; oil and gas; defence; commercial shipping. | No or negligible loss of value of the local industry; No or negligible reduction in key natural features or populations supporting the activity. | Detectable but insignificant short-term loss of value of the local industry. Detectable but insignificant reduction in key natural features or population supporting the local activity. | Significant loss of value of the local industry; Significant medium term reduction of key natural features or populations supporting the local activity. | Major long-term loss of value of the local industry and threat to viability; Major reduction of key natural features or populations supporting the local activity. | Shutdown of local industry or widespread major damage to regional industry; Extensive loss of key natural features or populations supporting the local industry. | Permanent shutdown of local or regional industry; Permanent loss of key natural features or populations supporting the local or regional industry. |