Appendices

Title	
1	Assessment of the activity against the aims of marine park management plans
2	Assessment of the activity against the aims of threatened species' management plans
3	Stakeholder consultation flyer
4	Stakeholder communications (provided to NOPSEMA separately as sensitive information under regulation 9(8) of the OPGGS(E))
5	EPBC Act Protected Matters Search Tool (PMST) results
6	Otway Basin Environmental Survey
7	Sound Transmission Loss Modelling (STLM) Report

Appendix 1

Assessment of the activity against the management aims of protected area management plans

Assessment of the activity against the aims of protected area management plans	
COMMONWEALTH	
1a	South-east Commonwealth Marine Reserves Network
1b	The National Light Pollution Guidelines for Wildlife
SOUTH AUSTRALIA	
1c	Lower South East Marine Park
VICTORIAN RESERVES (west to east)	
1d	Discovery Bay Coastal Park
1e	Belfast Coastal Reserve
1f	Port Campbell National Park
1g	Twelve Apostles MNP and The Arches Marine Sanctuary
1h	Great Otway National Park
1i	Marengo Reef Marine Sanctuary
1j	Point Addis Marine Sanctuary
1k	Point Danger Marine Sanctuary
1l	Eagle Rock Marine Sanctuary
1m	Mushroom Reef Marine Sanctuary
1n	Port Phillip Bay MNP
1o	Mornington Peninsula National Park
1p	Phillip Island Nature Park
1q	Bunurong-Kilcunda-Harmers Parks
1r	Cape Liptrap Coastal Park
1s	Wilsons Promontory (three marine) Parks

Assessment of the activity against the stated management strategies and actions of the South-east Commonwealth Marine Reserves Network Management Plan 2013-2023 (DNP, 2013)

The table below provides an assessment of the routine and non-routine operations against the IUCN objectives outlined in the Australian IUCN Reserve Management Principles for Commonwealth Marine Protected Areas (Environment Australia, 2002).

Zonation of each relevant AMP based on IUCN categories

	IUCN Ia	IUCN Ib	IUCN II	IUCN III	IUCN IV	IUCN V	IUCN VI
Apollo	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Beagle	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Note: Only Category IUCN II and VI AMPs are relevant to the activity. As such, only the Category IUCN VI management principles are assessed.

<table>
<tr><th>Category</th><th>IUCN 1994 category description</th><th>IUCN 1994 primary objective</th><th>Australian IUCN reserve management principles (Schedule 8 of the EPBC Regulations 2000)</th><th>Predicted consequences from routine activities or a worst-case hydrocarbon spill</th></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="4">IUCN VI
Managed Resource Protected Area: Protected Area managed mainly for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems</td><td rowspan="4">Area containing predominantly unmodified natural systems, managed to ensure long term protection and maintenance of biological diversity, while providing at the same time a sustainable flow of natural products and services to meet community needs.</td><td rowspan="4">To protect natural ecosystems and use natural resources sustainably, when conservation and sustainable use can be mutually beneficial.</td><td>The reserve or zone should be managed mainly for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems based on the following principles:</td><td rowspan="2">Routine discharges from the CSV will not impact on the AMPs.
The AMPs may only be impacted in the event of a Level 3 hydrocarbon spill. Response strategies outlined in the OPEP aim to protect the AMPs from the risks of hydrocarbons.
An assessment of the risk of a hydrocarbon spill on sensitivities in the region is presented in the EP.</td></tr>
<tr><td>The biological diversity and other natural values of the reserve or zone should be protected and maintained in the long term.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Management practices should be applied to ensure ecologically sustainable use of the reserve or zone.</td><td>Routine discharges from the CSV will not impact on the management practices of the AMPs.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Management of the reserve or zone should contribute to regional and national development to the extent that this is consistent with these principles.</td><td>Routine discharges from the CSV will have no influence on management of the zones within the AMP.</td></tr>
</table>

The table on the following page provides an assessment of the activity against the stated management strategies and actions of the South-east Commonwealth Marine Reserves Network Management Plan 2013-2023.

Management Strategy	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Improve knowledge and understanding of the conservation values of the Marine Reserves Network and of the pressures on those values		
As part of a national-scale program for Commonwealth marine reserves, develop and implement a South-east Commonwealth Marine Reserves Network Research and Monitoring strategy that contribute to increased understanding of the values of the reserves and provides for ongoing reporting of their condition	No impacts.	No impacts.
Develop and implement a framework for the long-term scientific monitoring of changes in key conservation values protected by the Commonwealth marine reserves and on the pressures on those values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Adopt standards and protocols for managing biophysical and ecological data collected within Commonwealth Marine Reserves.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Collaborate, including through developing partnerships, with national research facilities, science and academic institutions and, as appropriate, marine reserve users, to deliver on strategic information needs and to inform research programs and government and industry investment in marine research.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise impacts of activities through effective assessment of proposals, decision-making and management of reserve-specific issues		
Establish in consultation with relevant stakeholders, efficient, effective and transparent processes for assessment, decision-making and authorisation of activities, and implement within the marine reserves network.	No impacts.	No impacts.
When the interests of a person or group are likely to be affected by a decision under this Management Plan, the Director will: a) as far as practicable consult them in a timely and appropriate way; b) provide an opportunity to comment on the proposed decision and associated actions; c) take any comments into account; d) give reasonable notice before decisions are taken or implemented (except in cases of emergency); and e) provide reasons for decisions.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Comply with Division 14.3 of the EPBC Regulations in relation to reconsideration of decisions about permits.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Reconsider a decision about a class approval when requested by a person whose interests are affected by the decision. A request for reconsideration must be made and considered in the same manner as provided by Divison14.3 of the EPBC Regulations. Subject to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal Act 1975, a person who has requested a reconsideration may apply to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal for review of the reconsideration.	No impacts.	No impact.

Management Strategy	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Consider further use of class approvals where there is a sound case for effectively assessing and efficiently approving users that carry out a class of activities in a uniform way.	No impacts.	No impact.
Identify reserve specific issues and develop, implement and evaluate management responses where appropriate.	No impacts.	No impact.
Protect the conservation values of the Marine Reserves Network through management of environmental incidents		
Establish systems for timely reporting of, and assisting with responses to, environmental incidents.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Collaborate with responsible agencies and assist with responding to environmental incidents that threaten the values of the marine reserves network.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to the open ocean and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil on the sea surface.
Maintain effective liaison and partnerships with relevant environmental incident response agencies and organisations.	No impacts.	No impact.
Identify and assess potential incidents that may threaten conservation values of the Reserves and implement if feasible approaches to reduce the likelihood or consequence of such incidents.	No impacts.	No impact.
Facilitate compliance with this Management Plan through education and enforcement		
Implement reliable methods for monitoring compliance with this Plan.	No impacts.	No impact.
Develop, maintain and disseminate appropriate information to assist users of the marine reserves network to comply with the provisions of this Plan.	No impacts.	No impact.
Consult with users of the network to identify opportunities to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of compliance measures.	No impacts.	No impact.
Implement a risk-based annual compliance plan.	No impacts.	No impact.
Establish a reporting system that supports users and visitors of the marine reserves network to report suspected non-compliant activity.	No impacts.	No impact.
Build effective working partnerships and agreements with Commonwealth and state government agencies for the delivery of compliance services.	No impacts.	No impact.
Investigate and monitor suspected non-compliant activity and, where appropriate, take enforcement action.	No impacts.	No impact.

Management Strategy	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Support initiatives and programs which promote best practice standards that guide use, and minimise impacts on the marine environment	No impacts.	No impact.
Promote community understanding of, and stakeholder participation in, the management of the Marine Reserves Network		
Develop and implement a communication and education plan that increases community understanding of the importance of the marine reserves network and meets reserve-specific needs for communication about the values protected and management arrangements and requirements.	No impacts.	No impact.
Maintain effective working relationships with user groups to facilitate the exchange of knowledge, understanding and participation in the management of the marine reserves network.	No impacts.	No impact.
Within the first 12 months of the Plan's operation, establish consultative structures (e.g., committees) to guide and participate in the management of the marine reserves network.	No impacts.	No impact.
Support involvement of Indigenous people in management of Commonwealth Marine Reserves		
Drawing on the significant body of knowledge built as part of sea country planning and similar initiatives across Australia, and in consultation with relevant representative organisations, consolidate and communicate information about cultural values protected in the South-east Commonwealth Marine Reserves Network.	No impacts.	No impact.
Identify, and where feasible support, opportunities for Indigenous people to engage in the management of sea country in Commonwealth marine reserves, for example through the delivery of critical management services, such as monitoring surveillance, compliance and research.	No impacts.	No impact.
Build effective partnerships with Indigenous communities and organisations that have an interest in the marine reserves network.	No impacts.	No impact.
Comply with the requirements of the Native Title Act 1993.	No impacts.	No impact.
Evaluate and report on the effectiveness of this Management Plan through monitoring and review		
Within the first twelve months of the Plan's operation, design and initiate a program to measure and monitor progress on Actions and outcomes.	No impacts.	No impact.
Report annually on the South-east Commonwealth Marine Reserves Network in the Director of National Parks annual report.	No impacts.	No impact.
Evaluate and report on the implementation of the Management Plan before its expiry. The report will consider: a. An assessment of the existing measures to protect the South-east Commonwealth Marine Reserves Network; b. Progress of the strategies and actions towards achieving the stated outcomes; c. options for improving management of the marine reserves network.	No impacts.	No impact.

Assessment of the activity against the stated management actions of National Light Pollution Guidelines (DoEE, 2020)

The table on the following pages provide an assessment of the activity against the stated management actions of the Guidelines.

Note: impacts to turtles are not assessed because there are only vagrant individuals and no nesting beaches present in the Otway region. Similarly, impacts to shorebirds are not assessed given that the nearest part of the activity area is located over 45 km from the nearest shoreline.

Management Actions	Achievable?	Assessment of the activity against stated management actions
Implement management actions during the breeding season.	Yes	Achievable management actions are identified throughout this table.
Maintain a dark zone between the rookery and the light sources.	Yes	The nearest potential rookery location is 47 km away on the Otway coast. As such, there is a large dark zone between the rookery and the activity area.
Turn off lights during fledgling season.	N/A	The activity is conducted 24-hours a day and light is necessary for personnel safety. Most seabirds in the region are migratory with breeding occurring internationally, so fledglings are not an important consideration in this area.
Use curfews to manage lighting.	N/A	The activity is conducted 24-hours a day and deck lighting is necessary for personnel safety. Lighting maintained in accordance with legislation and for human safety overrides environmental considerations.
Aim lights downwards and direct them away from nesting areas.	Yes	Where practicable, lights will be directed towards working areas for the safety of personnel. The nearest potential rookery location is 47 km away on the Otway coast.
Use flashing/intermittent lights instead of fixed beam.	No	The activity is conducted 24-hours a day and deck lighting is necessary for personnel safety. Vessel lighting is installed and maintained in accordance with the *Navigation Act* 2012. Lighting for human safety overrides environmental considerations.
Use motion sensors to turn lights on only when needed.	No	The activity is conducted 24-hours a day and lighting of all areas is necessary for personnel safety. Lighting for human safety overrides environmental considerations.
Prevent indoor lighting reaching outdoor environment.	Yes	Blinds will be lowered on portholes and windows at night where this does not interfere with safe work practices.
Manage artificial light on jetties, wharves, marinas, etc.	N/A	Not applicable to this activity.
Reduce unnecessary outdoor, deck lighting on all vessels and permanent and floating oil and gas installations in known seabird foraging areas at sea.	No	The activity is conducted 24-hours a day and deck lighting is necessary for personnel safety. Lighting for human safety overrides environmental considerations.

Management Actions	Achievable?	Assessment of the activity against stated management actions
Night fishing should only occur with minimum deck lighting.	N/A	Not applicable - fishing is not permitted from the CSV.
Avoid shining light directly onto fishing gear in the water.	N/A	Not applicable - fishing is not permitted from the CSV.
Ensure lighting enables recording of any incidental catch, including by electronic monitoring systems.	N/A	Not applicable - fishing is not permitted from the CSV.
Avoid shining light directly onto longlines and/or illuminating baits in the water.	N/A	Not applicable - fishing is not permitted from the CSV.
Vessels working in seabird foraging areas during breeding season should implement a seabird management plan to prevent seabird landings on the ship, manage birds appropriately and report the interaction.	N/A	The CSV is equipped with lighting required under legislation to identify itself to other vessels, reduce the risk of at-sea collision and provide for the safety of its crew. Most seabirds in the region are migratory with breeding occurring internationally, with no breeding areas (i.e., islands) within 45 km of the activty area.
Use luminaires with spectral content appropriate for the species present.	No	The CSV is equipped with lighting required under legislation to identify itself to other vessels, reduce the risk of at-sea collision and provide for the safety of its crew. Most seabirds in the region are migratory with breeding occurring internationally, with no breeding areas (i.e., islands) within 45 km of the activity area.
Avoid high intensity light of any colour.	No	
Shield gas flares and locate inland and away from seabird rookeries.	N/A	Not applicable – no flaring undertaken during this activity.
Minimise flaring on offshore oil and gas production facilities.	N/A	Not applicable – no flaring undertaken during this activity.
In facilities requiring intermittent night-time inspections, turn on lights only during the time operators are moving around the facility.	N/A	The CSV is equipped with lighting required under legislation to identify itself to other vessels, reduce the risk of at-sea collision and provide for the safety of its crew.
Ensure industrial site/plant operators use head torches.	No	The activity is conducted 24-hours a day and lighting of all areas is necessary for personnel safety. As such, the use of head torches is not necessary.
Supplement facility perimeter security lighting with computer monitored infrared detection systems.	N/A	Not applicable to this activity.
Tourism operations around seabird colonies should manage torch usage so birds are not disturbed.	N/A	Not applicable to this activity.

Management Actions	Achievable?	Assessment of the activity against stated management actions
Design and implement a rescue program for grounded birds.	No	Due to the distance between the activity area and seabird rookeries, grounding of birds is unlikely to occur and thus a rescue program is not necessary.

Assessment of the activity against the stated goals of the Belfast Coastal Reserve Management Plan (Parks Victoria, 2018)

The table on the following pages provide an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management goals of the park.

Management Goals	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management goals	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against management goals
Geological features and functioning dune systems are maintained and protected from avoidable damage.	No impact.	No impact.
The cultural landscape of Belfast Coastal Reserve is recognised and landscape features and values, including Traditional Owner and local community connections, are recognised, respected, protected and celebrated.	No impact.	No impact.
Historic heritage and connections are recognised and understanding of heritage values and places is enhanced.	No impact.	No impact.
Contemporary Traditional Owner and local community connections are recognised as an integral part of heritage management.	No impact.	No impact.
Partnerships with Traditional Owners protects and conserves Aboriginal features, places and objects of cultural significance.	No impact.	No impact.
Eastern Maar cultural traditions and knowledge is practiced and shared at the Reserve.	No impact.	No impact.
The condition of Coastal Dune Scrub and Swamp Scrub/Aquatic Herbland Mosaic communities and wetlands is maintained and enhanced to support dependent flora and fauna species.	No impact.	No impact.
The impact of predation is reduced to maintain and increase native fauna populations.	No impact.	No impact.
The impact of visitors and uses at key locations is reduced to allow for an increase in the extent and richness of vulnerable fauna, and the occupation of most of their potential habitat.	No impact.	No impact.
The diversity and productivity of the marine habitats is maintained and impacts from new pests and other threats are reduced.	The EP contains control measures aimed to minimise the risk of introducing marine pests to Victorian waters.	No impact.

Management Goals	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management goals	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against management goals
Water levels in the Lower Merri are managed to maintain resilience and aquatic values in the wetlands and estuary.	No impact.	No impact.
The risk of bushfire into and from the Reserve is minimised and ecologically appropriate fire regimes are maintained to enhance Reserve ecosystems.	No impact.	No impact.
Management activities build resilience of coastal systems, ecosystems, species and dependent species to climate change risks and minimise impacts on Reserve facilities.	No impact.	No impact.

<u>Assessment of activity against the stated aims of the Discovery Bay Parks Management Plan (Parks Victoria, 2006)</u>

The table on the following page provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the park.

Management Aims	**Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims**	**Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives**
4.1 Geological and landform features		
Protect significant and sensitive geological and geomorphological features and land-forming processes.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise impacts of visitors and other activities on the significant geological and geomorphological features.	No impact.	No impact.
Improve understanding of the nature, origin and dynamics of the landform and geological features of the planning area.	No impact.	No impact.
Interpret geological and geomorphological features of the planning area.	No impact.	No impact.
4.2 Rivers and Wetlands		
Maintain and manage the wetlands for natural and cultural values conservation and appreciation.	No impact.	No impact.
Maintain access to the deeper lakes for approved recreation and to assist in minimising visitor impacts.	No impact.	No impact.
4.3 Vegetation		
Manage ecosystems to ensure the preservation and protection of indigenous flora, particularly threatened communities and species.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
In the short-term, conserve native plant communities in their present condition as far as practicable, and conserve biodiversity.	No impact.	No impact.
Improve knowledge of vegetation community dynamics and the ecology of threatened plant species.	No impact.	No impact.
Adopt, in the longer term, improved vegetation management practices to achieve outcomes identified in vegetation studies.	No impact.	No impact.
Respect Indigenous peoples' tradition and practices related to indigenous flora.	No impact.	No impact.
4.4 Fauna		
Protect native fauna from unnecessary disturbance by visitors and management activities.	No impact.	
Maintain or enhance fauna habitat diversity and integrity.	No impact.	No impact.
Increase knowledge of the distribution and management requirements of rare or threatened species.	No impact.	No impact.
Respect Indigenous tradition and practices related to endemic fauna.	No impact.	No impact.
4.5 Landscape		
Protect and preserve the landscape values of the planning area, particularly the places of special significance to the Gournditch-Mara and areas of high scenic quality and viewer interest.	No impact.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to the open ocean and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil on the sea surface.
Minimise visual impacts on the natural landscape of the planning area, especially from major viewing points.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Rehabilitate, remove or ameliorate undesirable visual intrusions in the planning area.	No impact.	No impact.
Ensure that impacts of developments within the planning area on views from within and outside the area are adequately mitigated.	No impact.	No impact.
4.6 Fire Management		
Protect human life, property and planning area values from injury by fire.	No impact.	No impact.
Manage fire and undertake fire protection works as appropriate for the protection of life, property and planning area values, and the conservation of natural values.	No impact.	No impact.
4.7 Pest Plants and Animals		
Control, and where possible eradicate, pest plants and animals in the parks.	The EP contains control measures aimed to minimise the risk of introducing marine pests to Victorian waters.	No impact.
Minimise the introduction and spread of new pest plant infestations and pathogens.		No impact.
Minimise the impact of control programs on native flora and fauna.	No impact.	No impact.
Restore native vegetation to areas previously infested by introduced plants.	No impact.	No impact.
4.8 Soil Conservation		
Stabilise selected coastal headland areas to protect cultural values, particularly middens.	No impact.	No impact.
Allow natural dune movement processes to continue without management intervention, except where clearly identified assets are threatened.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Manage visitor and management activities to prevent erosion, especially in sensitive or significant areas.	No impact.	No impact.
Restore erosion damage directly attributable to recent visitor use or where cultural or environmental values are threatened.	No impact.	No impact.
Educate visitors, users and neighbours to respect the natural and cultural values of the dune environment and its assets.	No impact.	No impact.
5.1 Indigenous Heritage		
Protect Aboriginal cultural heritage from damage by natural processes and inappropriate human activities.	No impact.	No impact.
Encourage research of Aboriginal heritage, including tradition and practices, relating to the planning area.	No impact.	No impact.
5.2 Post-settlement Cultural Heritage		
Protect archaeological and cultural places and relics from damaging natural processes and inappropriate recreational and other activities.	No impact.	No impact.
Ensure that post-settlement historical perspectives are included in the planning and implementation of programs.	No impact.	No impact.
6.1 Information, Interpretation and Education		
Provide appropriate pre-visit motivational and tour-planning information to visitors.	No impact.	No impact.
Orientate visitors to the planning area and its features.	No impact.	No impact.
Facilitate visitors’ enjoyment, appreciation and understanding of the planning area by influencing their behaviour.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Enhance the community's knowledge of the values of the planning area and its contributions to employment and the State's economy, and promote a positive image of the planning area.	No impact.	No impact.
Inform visitors of appropriate behaviour during their visit to the planning area.	No impact.	No impact.
6.2 Vehicle Access		
Maintain existing public access in the planning area to standards appropriate to the use and protection of particular sites.	No impact.	No impact.
Identify opportunities for reducing vehicular impacts on the planning area.	No impact.	No impact.
Facilitate responsible four-wheel-drive vehicle use in appropriate areas.	No impact.	No impact.
Protect park values from illegal off-road driving including sensitive dune areas.	No impact.	No impact.
Ensure that appropriate materials are used for road construction and maintenance.	No impact.	No impact.
6.3 Day Visitor Activities		
Provide day visitor facilities that enhance visitors' enjoyment of the planning area while protecting park values.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide and maintain safe visitor facilities of an adequate standard for visitors.	No impact.	No impact.
6.4 Walking		
Provide for a variety of walking experiences, including long-distance walking experiences for independent and guided walkers.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Maintain tracks to standards appropriate to their settings while minimising the impacts on park values.	No impact.	No impact.
6.5 Fishing		
Provide ongoing, sustainable opportunities for recreational fishing and bait collection.	No impact.	No impact.
Work in partnership with angling clubs and interest groups to maintain appropriate access to fishing locations, while minimising impacts on the planning area's values and minimising the risks to visitors.	No impact.	No impact.
6.6 Camping		
Maintain and improve existing opportunities for vehicle-based camping.	No impact.	No impact.
Maintain remote campsites in sections of the Great South West Walk in the planning area.	No impact.	No impact.
6.7 Water-based recreation activities		
Provide appropriate opportunities for a range of water based recreational activities, including motorised activities.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise impacts of water-based recreational activities on park conservation values.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise risks and loss of amenity for visitors and neighbours from motorised uses of the planning area.	No impact.	No impact.
6.8 Horse riding		
Provide ongoing, sustainable opportunities for commercial and recreational horse riding activities, consistent with protecting natural and cultural values, and avoiding conflicts with other park	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
visitors.		
6.9 Dogs		
Continue to permit dogs on leashes in designated beach areas.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise conflict between dogs and visitors.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise impacts from dogs and dog walking on natural and cultural values, particularly shore-nesting birds.	No impact.	No impact.
6.10 Commercial tourism services		
Provide opportunities for, and encourage the provision of commercial tourism services and events that achieve outcomes consistent with the aims for the planning area and contribute to tourism opportunities.	No impact.	No impact.
6.11 Public safety		
Inform visitors and staff of risks to their safety.	No impact.	No impact.
Promote and observe safe practices, and co-operate with emergency services.	No impact.	No impact.
Avoid, and identify and minimise or remove, risks associated with developments, access and use.	No impact.	No impact.

Assessment of activity against the stated aims of the Port Campbell National Park and Bay of Islands Coastal Park Management Plan (Parks Victoria, 1998)

The table on the following page provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the park.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
4.1 Geological and landform features		
Protect significant and sensitive geological and geomorphological features and land-forming processes.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise impacts of visitors and other activities on the significant geological and geomorphological features.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide opportunities for visitors to observe and experience the features and processes of the Parks.	No impact.	No impact.
Improve understanding of the nature, origin and dynamics of the landform and geological features of the Parks.	No impact.	No impact.
4.2 Vegetation		
Conserve native plant communities in their natural condition and maintain and enhance habitat diversity while allowing natural environmental processes to continue.	No impact.	No impact.
Maintain genetic diversity.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide special protection for significant plant species and communities, and sites of botanical significance.	No impact.	No impact.
4.3 Fauna		

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Protect native fauna and maintain genetic diversity.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide special protection for significant fauna.	No impact.	No impact.
Maintain fauna habitat diversity and integrity.	No impact.	No impact.
4.4 Landscape		
Minimise visual impacts on the natural landscape, especially as seen from the Great Ocean Road and other access routes and viewing points.	No impact.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to the open ocean and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil on the sea surface.
Rehabilitate, remove or ameliorate undesirable visual intrusions, including inappropriate car parks.	No impact.	No impact.
4.5 Cultural Heritage		
Protect archaeological and historic sites.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide access to, and interpret, selected archaeological and historic sites, consistent with the protection of the historical values and safety of visitors.	No impact.	No impact.
Develop the tourism potential of the Glenample Homestead complex, consistent with protecting historical values and complementing the development of tourism along the Great Ocean Road.	No impact.	No impact.
4.6 Conservation Management		
Develop and apply systems that ensure change is managed to protect and enhance the natural environment.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
5.1 Fire Management		
Protect human life, property and park values from injury by fire.	No impact.	No impact.
Maintain fire regimes appropriate to the conservation of native flora and fauna.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise the adverse effects of all fires and fire suppression methods on park values.	No impact.	No impact.
5.2 Pest Plants and Animals, and Diseases		
Control, and where possible eradicate, pest plants and animals in the parks.	The EP contains control measures aimed to minimise the risk of introducing marine pests to Victorian waters.	No impact.
Protect the Parks from other threats and diseases, in particular Cinnamon Fungus and new infestations of non-indigenous species	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise the impact of control programs on native flora and fauna.	No impact.	No impact.
Control, and where possible eradicate, non-indigenous plants and animals.	No impact.	No impact.
Restore native vegetation to areas previously infested by introduced plants.	No impact.	No impact.
5.3 Soil Conservation		
Minimise direct disturbance to soils.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Minimise damage to vegetation and maintain vegetation cover.	No impact.	No impact.
6.1 The Park Visitor		
Provide facilities and services that cater for visitors in accordance with the above overview of future management for visitors.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide a hierarchy of orientation, interpretation and tourist facilities across the Parks.	No impact.	No impact.
Monitor and investigate growing pressures on the Parks and develop strategies to contain these pressures so that natural values are protected and visitor experiences are enhanced.	No impact.	No impact.
Co-operate with other Government agencies and stakeholders in the Parks to conserve the local environment and facilitate development of the local economy.	No impact.	No impact.
6.2 Marketing		
Ensure that PCNP, BICP, Glenample Homestead and the Tourism Centre (when established at Port Campbell) are marketed as one of Victoria's key tourist destinations.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide appropriate motivational and tour planning information to visitors before they undertake their Park visit.	No impact.	No impact.
6.3 Visitor Recreation		
To orient the independent car-based traveller to the Parks in relation to park features.	No impact.	No impact.
To inform visitors of appropriate codes of behaviour before and during their Park visit.	No impact.	No impact.
Enhance visitor understanding and appreciation of the Parks through provision of interpretative information.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Provide varying levels of park educational information to meet a variety of visitor demands.	No impact.	No impact.
Cater to the expectations of both domestic and international independent travellers and coach visitors.	No impact.	No impact.
Establish and maintain day visitor facilities that enhance visitor enjoyment of the Parks and are consistent with protecting park values.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide facilities suitable for visitors with limited mobility.	No impact.	No impact.
Develop a world class Tourist Centre attraction highly attractive to all visitor segments, especially international tourists.	No impact.	No impact.
Ensure that the Tourist Centre augments and stimulates visitors' experience of the Parks.	No impact.	No impact.
Given the increasing visitor pressures on park attractions, develop improved facilities to reduce environmental impacts and to enhance the visitor experience.	No impact.	No impact.
To ensure that environmental values are not compromised, introduce maximum visitor caps at key sites in the medium-and longer term, if necessary.	No impact.	No impact.
Maintain existing opportunities for camping with minimum impacts on park values.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide and maintain appropriate roads and tracks for visitor use and management purposes.	No impact.	No impact.
Increase awareness of the Parks' identity by improving the roadside directional signage.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise the impact of vehicle use on the Parks' values.	No impact.	No impact.
Continue the development of the Great Ocean Walk.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Provide a range of opportunities for walking within the Parks.	No impact.	No impact.
Maintain an appropriate network of coast access tracks.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide opportunities for both commercial and recreational horse riding, consistent with maintaining park values.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise environmental impacts caused by horse riding.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise conflict between horse riders and other park users.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide opportunities for cycling while minimising environmental damage and conflicts with other recreation activities.	No impact.	No impact.
Permit dogs in the BICP consistent with protecting park values.	No impact.	No impact.
Continue to prohibit dogs in the PCNP.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide opportunities for boating in the Parks while minimising environmental impacts and conflicts with other Park visitors.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide opportunities for fishing and diving in the Parks where it is consistent with the protection of park values.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide access for swimming and surfing in the Parks consistent with protecting park values.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide an opportunity for hang gliding in the BICP while minimising environmental impact and conflict with other Park visitors.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide opportunities for commercial tourism operations, where consistent with protecting park values and improving the quality and range of recreational experiences in the Parks.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Ensure that private users are not disadvantaged, by establishing a balance between private and commercial use of the Parks.	No impact.	No impact.
Promote public safety in the use of the Parks.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise exposure of visitors to the hazards associated with cliff failure or land subsidence.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise the possibility of visitors becoming isolated at the cliff base as a result of rising tides or increase in wave action.	No impact.	No impact.
Ensure that natural hazards are not increased by built or other artificial structures.	No impact.	No impact.
7.1 Friends and Volunteers		
Actively encourage volunteer involvement in managing the Parks.	No impact.	No impact.
7.2 Community Awareness and Park Neighbours		
Increase public awareness of management activities undertaken in the Parks.	No impact.	No impact.
Encourage conservation and sound land management practices on private land adjoining the Parks.	No impact.	No impact.
Promote a positive image of the Parks that enhances appreciation of their contribution to the community.	No impact.	No impact.
Maintain the long-term integrity of the Parks by expanding their effective widths in strategically important areas.	No impact.	No impact.
Promote co-operation and good working relations with Park neighbours.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
7.3 Schools and other Education		
Increase use of the Parks as an educational resource for schools and other groups.	No impact.	No impact.
Increase use of the Parks’ existing facilities by educational groups.	No impact.	No impact.
8.1 Authorised Uses		
Minimise the impact of public utilities on park values.	No impact.	No impact.
Ensure appropriate use and licensing of all existing and future public utilities.	No impact.	No impact.
Ensure appropriate use and licensing of facilities occupying parts of the Parks.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise the impacts of these occupations on park values.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise use of the Parks for private access.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise impacts of the proposed development of the Minerva gas field on park values.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise the impact of other uses on park values.	No impact.	No impact.
8.2 Boundaries and Adjacent Users		
Minimise the impacts of the Great Ocean Road on the Parks.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Ensure that future realignment and relocation of the Great Ocean Road are compatible with park objectives.	No impact.	No impact.
Manage Park boundaries to protect park values.	No impact.	No impact.
Give due consideration to opportunities that arise to improve the integrity of the Parks and their boundaries through acquisition and addition of land to the Parks, and Park boundary adjustments.	No impact.	No impact.
Seek the excision of areas within or adjacent to Port Campbell Township which have little or no natural or cultural value and are for a use that is more appropriately managed under legislation other than the National Parks Act.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise conflicts between park values and surrounding land use.	No impact.	No impact.
Co-operate with landholders adjacent to the Parks in the protection of both private property and public land from fire, pests, visual threats, erosion and other hazards.	No impact.	No impact.
Encourage, and assist as appropriate, land owners to develop and protect natural and cultural values in the vicinity of Park boundaries.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise the noise and visual impacts of aircraft on visitors and wildlife.	No impact.	No impact.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Twelve Apostles Marine National Park and the Arches Marine Sanctuary Management Plan (Parks Victoria, 2006)

The table on the following pages provide an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the park.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Protect significant and fragile geological and seabed features in the park and sanctuary.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise the impact of threatening processes derived from the catchment, estuaries and other watercourses.	No impact.	No impact.
Maintain water quality in the park and sanctuary.	No impact.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to protected areas and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of hydrocarbons.
Prevent and minimise the impact of pollution on park and sanctuary values.	No impact.	
Minimise impacts on park and sanctuary values from human-induced changes to local hydrodynamic processes.	No impact.	No impact.
Protect natural habitats, ecological communities and indigenous flora and fauna in the park and sanctuary.	No impact.	No impact.
Improve knowledge of the park and sanctuary, including habitats, indigenous species and threatening processes.	No impact.	No impact.
Protect landscape and seascape values associated with the park and sanctuary.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise the visual impact of signs, infrastructure and management activities associated with the park and sanctuary.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise the risk of introduction by human activity, and subsequent establishment, of marine pests in the park and sanctuary.	The EP contains control measures aimed to minimise the risk of introducing marine pests to Victorian waters.	No impact.
Establish arrangements for the detection of new incursions within the park and sanctuary in support of Victorian marine pest management arrangements.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Implement national or Victoria-wide control arrangements as they relate to the park and sanctuary.	No impact.	No impact.
Protect Indigenous places and objects from interference or damage.	No impact.	No impact.
Nurture Indigenous cultural lore and customs relating to the park and sanctuary.	No impact.	No impact.
Conserve places of cultural significance.	No impact.	No impact.
Encourage learning and understanding about the historic heritage of the park and sanctuary.	No impact.	No impact.
Promote and encourage visitors to discover, enjoy and appreciate the natural and cultural values of the park and sanctuary in a safe and appropriate manner through information, interpretation and education.	No impact.	No impact.
Encourage public support for parks and park management practices.	No impact.	No impact.
Support and manage the provision of appropriate and safe access to the park and sanctuary.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide for boating activities in the park and sanctuary consistent with management objectives.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide opportunities for diving and snorkelling that are consistent with the protection of park and sanctuary values.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide opportunities for appropriate shore-based recreation activities that are consistent with the protection of park and sanctuary values.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise the impact of dogs and horses on the park and sanctuary.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Minimise the impact of aircraft on wildlife and visitor experiences in the park and sanctuary.	No impact.	No impact.
Encourage the provision by external providers of tourism services that accord with the provisions of the National Parks Act.	No impact.	No impact.
Promote visitor safety and awareness of safety issues and risks in the sanctuary associated with access and use.	No impact.	No impact.
Promote and observe safe practices, and cooperate with emergency response agencies.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise the impact on park/sanctuary values of authorised uses.	No impact.	No impact.
Manage authorised uses consistent with legislation.	No impact.	No impact.
Effectively communicate the location of park and sanctuary boundaries.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise impacts on park values from adjacent developments.	No impact.	No impact.
Increase knowledge of the relationships between the park and sanctuary and industry.	No impact.	No impact.
Increase the community's awareness and understanding of the park's and sanctuary's values, and management activities in them.	No impact.	No impact.
Build a sense of shared ownership and custodianship for the park and sanctuary among community groups and individuals.	No impact.	No impact.
Support and encourage community groups and volunteers to actively assist in the park and sanctuary management by participating and contributing their knowledge and skills.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Inform, enrich and strengthen the park and sanctuary management with the community's cultural aspirations and customs, especially relevant Indigenous cultural lore.	No impact.	No impact.
Enhance management of the park and sanctuary by collaborating with other agencies to ensure that they give appropriate consideration to park and sanctuary values in planning and implementing activities that relate to the planning area.	No impact.	No impact.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Great Otway National Park Management Plan (Parks Victoria, 2007)

The table on the following pages provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the park.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
4.1 Climate change and resilience planning		
Increase park manager and community understanding of climate change, its consequences and resilience planning.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Develop and implement management strategies to build ecosystem and species resilience to climate change.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.2 Landscape		
Protect, enhance and restore landscape values in the parks and minimise impacts of management or visitor activities on landscape values.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to the open ocean and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil on the sea surface.
Encourage neighbouring developments and activities to have minimal adverse impact on landscape values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.3 Geological and geomorphological features		
Protect significant and fragile geological and geomorphological values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.4 Rivers, catchments, groundwater and coasts		
Protect, enhance and restore natural, social and resource values associated with rivers, catchments, groundwater and coasts.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to the open ocean and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil towards the shoreline.
Improve the condition of high-value streams that are not in good condition.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.5 Vegetation		
Protect, enhance and restore indigenous flora species and communities.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Where possible, allow natural processes that shape floral biodiversity to continue with minimal interference.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Increase knowledge of flora species and communities, and threatening processes to improve management effectiveness.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.6 Fauna		
Protect indigenous fauna and habitats from threatening processes where possible.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Where possible, allow natural processes that shape faunal biodiversity to continue with minimal interference.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Increase knowledge of fauna and threatening processes to improve management effectiveness.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.7 Fire Management		
Protect human life, property and public assets as far as practicable from the deleterious consequences of wildlife.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Investigate, evaluate and where appropriate implement fire regimes and strategies to reduce the potential for the development of landscape scale fires and also maintain the environmental integrity of the landscape.	No impacts.	No impacts.
In partnership with other agencies and the community, undertake effective fire prevention, preparedness, response and recovery activities.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.8 Pest Plants and Animals, and Diseases		
Eradicate or prevent the establishment of new or emerging pest plants, animals and diseases.	The EP contains control measures aimed to minimise the risk of introducing marine pests to Victorian waters.	No impacts.
Control and where possible eradicate pest plants, animals and diseases from the parks, giving priority to areas with priority species and communities or areas in good condition.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Improve the effectiveness of pest and disease management by increasing the knowledge of pest species and treatment methods through research, record-keeping and monitoring.	No impacts.	No impacts.
5.1 Aboriginal and cultural heritage		

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Recognise and respect the cultural connections that Traditional Owners and other Aboriginal people have with Country within the parks.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Provide and maintain opportunities for Aboriginal cultural connections and practices within the parks.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Work together with the Traditional Owners to protect and enhance Aboriginal cultural heritage.	No impacts.	No impacts.
5.2 Historic heritage		
Protect, conserve and present places with significant historic (non-indigenous) cultural heritage values in accordance with applicable legislation, strategies and charters.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Increase visitor and local community involvement, understanding and appreciation of Otway historic heritage, including sustainable provision of access, presentation, interpretation and promotion of selected sites.	No impacts.	No impacts.
5.3 Social values		
Understand the social values of the parks, and enhance and protect places, landscapes, features and character that contribute to social values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.1 Tourism and recreation directions		
Provide and enhance a sustainable range of tourism and recreation opportunities and products within the parks. Contribute to the region’s tourism and recreation opportunities and profile.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Provide high quality, memorable, authentic and educational experiences for visitors that capitalise on the Otways unique attributes, to generate an understanding and appreciation of park values, and meet or exceed visitor expectations.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Increase opportunities for participation of commercial and community partners in the provision of tourism and recreation experiences, particularly the Aboriginal community.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Ensure that tourism and recreation activities and infrastructure are conducted and managed in a way that respects natural settings, conservation requirements, and cultural sensitivities.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.2 Information, interpretation and education		
Promote and encourage visitors’ safe and sustainable discovery, enjoyment, understanding and appreciation of the parks natural and cultural values.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
6.3 Motor vehicle access		
Provide and maintain a sustainable network of roads for a variety of uses, including general access for recreation, tourism and transit, and access for park management activities, fire suppression and authorised resource extraction.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Provide opportunities for people to enjoy car and motorcycle touring, four-wheel driving and trail bike riding experiences within the parks, where this is sustainable and compatible with the protection of other park values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise impacts of the road network on natural, cultural and resource values of the parks.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Encourage responsible vehicle use to minimise damage to the road network and the environment, and minimise conflict between park users and with neighbours.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.4 Visitor sites and services		
Provide a system of designated visitor sites and services for sustainable recreation, education and enjoyment of experiences in the parks, and as nodes for access to park features and recreation areas.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise conflicts between parks users and impact on park values from visitor facilities.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.5 Bushwalking		
Provide opportunities for visitors (including disabled and low mobility visitors) to enjoy a diverse range of bushwalking experiences in the parks by accessing a sustainable network of walking tracks of various lengths, standards, and degrees of challenge.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise impacts of the track network and bushwalking activities on park values and on other park users, and minimise excessive safety risks. Encourage responsible bushwalking behaviour.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.6 Camping		
Provide a sustainable range of opportunities for people to enjoy camping experiences in the parks, and utilise camping areas as a base for recreation activities.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise impacts on park values and conflicts between park users from camping.		

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
6.7 Cycling		
Provide opportunities for people to enjoy cycling experiences in the parks, including mountain biking and bicycle touring, where this is sustainable and compatible with the protection of other park values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise conflicts with other park users and impacts on park values from cycling activities.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.8 Companion dogs		
Provide opportunities for people to enjoy experiences with dogs in the parks where this is sustainable and compatible with the protection of other park values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise impacts on park values and conflicts with other park users from dogs.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.9 Horse riding		
Provide opportunities for enjoyable and diverse nature-based horse riding experiences in the parks, including trail riding and camping with horses, where this is sustainable and compatible with the protection of other park values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise impacts on park values and conflicts with other park users from horse riding activities.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.10 Recreational fishing		
Provide high quality opportunities for recreational fishing in and adjacent to the parks, where this is sustainable and compatible with the protection of park values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Maintain recreational fishing access while protecting environmental and cultural values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise conflicts with other park users and impacts on park values from fishing.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.11 Recreational hunting		

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Provide opportunities for enjoyable recreational hunting experiences in Otway Forest Park, where compatible with the protection of other park values and visitor safety.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise conflicts with other parks users and impacts on park values from recreational hunting.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.12 Fossicking and prospecting		
Provide opportunities for gemstone fossicking at Wreck Beach in Great Otway National Park, and fossicking and prospecting in all areas of Otway Forest Park.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.13 Boating and other water sports		
Provide opportunities for enjoyable water sports including boating, swimming and surfing in and adjacent to the parks, where this is sustainable and compatible with the protection of park values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise conflicts with other park users and impacts on park values from boating, swimming and other water sports.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.14 Recreational aircraft		
Permit opportunities for hang-gliding and paragliding activities in the parks, where this is sustainable and compatible with the protection of park values and does not significantly impact on the enjoyment of other park visitors.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Provide appropriate access by powered aircraft for scenic over-flights of the parks, where this is sustainable and compatible with the protection of park values and does not significantly impact on the enjoyment of other park visitors.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise conflicts with other park users and impacts on park values from air sports and aircraft.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.15 Events and commercial activities		
Allow and manage appropriate events and functions and minimise impacts on park values.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Provide for appropriate commercial businesses to operate within the parks.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Ensure commercial operators are licensed to conduct their business within the parks.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.16 Public safety		
Promote awareness of recreation risks, responsibility for considering risks, and adherence to safe practices to park users.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Identify public safety risks and implement risk management strategies.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Plan for and respond appropriately to public safety incidents and emergencies.	No impacts.	No impacts.
7.1 Firewood harvesting		
Allow firewood harvesting for commercial and personal use from the Otway Forest Park in accordance with relevant legislation, codes of practice, procedures and prescriptions.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise the impacts of harvesting firewood on the natural, cultural and recreational values of the Otway Forest Park.	No impacts.	No impacts.
7.2 Minor forest produce harvesting		
Allow minor forest produce harvesting in Otway Forest Park in alignment with relevant legislation, codes of practice, procedures and prescriptions.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise the impacts of minor forest produce harvesting on the natural, cultural and recreational values of Otway Forest Park.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.1 Public utilities infrastructure		

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Manage authorised public utilities infrastructure within the parks through formal consents, leases, licences, permits and agreements in accordance with relevant legislation, and to minimise impacts on park values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.2 Private occupancies		
Manage authorised occupancies to allow for specified uses while minimising their impacts on park values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Resolve unauthorised occupancies by removal or authorisation.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.3 Cape Otway Lightstation		
Provide for the ongoing commercial operation of the Cape Otway Lightstation Tourist and Heritage precinct.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Provide for the ongoing operation of marine navigation and weather recording instruments.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.4 Designated and Special Water Supply Catchment Areas		
Minimise impacts on water quality and yield in water supply catchment areas from fire, recreation, extraction and management activities.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Manage Designated Water Supply Catchments as closed catchments.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Protect the public health of communities that depend on water supply catchments, through minimising threats to water quality and yield within water supply catchment areas.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.5 Grazing		
Permit low-intensity grazing in cleared areas of Otway Forest Park where it is pre-existing and consistent with conservation and recreation objectives.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Phase out grazing in Great Otway National Park.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.6 Apiculture		
Provide for apiculture in Otway Forest Park while minimising impacts on other park values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Do not allow apiculture in Great Otway National Park.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.7 Commercial fishing		
Provide for existing commercial eel fishing entitlements in Great Otway National Park.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.8 Earth resources		
Ensure that earth resources activities are conducted in accordance with the relevant legislation and that park values are adequately protected.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.9 Occasional uses		
Allow authorised occasional uses and minimise their impacts on park values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.10 Park boundaries and adjacent uses		
Coordinate management activities with those of park neighbours where these are complementary to the protection of park values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Work with park neighbours to address issues of pest plant and animal control.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Provide access through the parks to neighbouring properties for authorized uses such as timber carting where that access does not impact on park values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Provide reasonable rights of access to freehold land abutting or surrounded by the Great Otway National Park and minimise the impacts on park values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
9.1 Community awareness		
Increase the community's awareness and understanding of the parks' values and management activities.	No impacts.	No impacts.
9.2 Traditional Owner partnerships		
Build collaborative relationships to engage Traditional Owners in the parks' planning and management.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Improve opportunities for Aboriginal participation in the parks' management.	No impacts.	No impacts.
9.3 Community participation		
Build a sense of shared ownership and custodianship for the parks among community groups and individuals.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Support and encourage people to actively assist in implementing the plan and managing the parks.	No impacts.	No impacts.
9.4 Agency partnerships		
Enhance park management by collaborating with other agencies to ensure they consider park values in planning and implementing activities that relate to the parks.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Contribute to cooperative programs and activities undertaken by other agencies where these complement management of the parks.	No impacts.	No impacts.

<u>Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Marengo Reefs Marine Sanctuary Management Plan (Parks Victoria, 2007)</u>

The table on the following pages provide an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the park.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
4.1 Geological and landform features		
Protect significant and fragile geological and seabed features in the park and sanctuaries.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.2 Catchment and water quality		
Prevent where practicable, and minimise the impact of pollution and litter on sanctuary values.	The EP contains control measures aimed to minimise the risk of pollution and litter to Victorian waters.	No impacts.
4.3 Hydrodynamics		
Minimise impacts on sanctuary values from human-induced changes to local hydrodynamics.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.4 Habitats and communities		
Protect marine ecological communities and indigenous flora and fauna, and allow natural processes to continue.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to the open ocean and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil on the sea surface.
Improve knowledge of marine ecological communities, flora and fauna and threatening processes to improve management, protection and appreciation.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.5 Landscape and seascape		
Protect landscape and seascape values.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to the open ocean and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil on the sea surface.
Minimise the visual impact of signs, infrastructure and management activities associated with the sanctuary.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
4.6 Marine pests		
Minimise the risk of introduction of marine pests by human activities, and their subsequent establishment in the sanctuary.	The EP contains control measures aimed to minimise the risk of introducing marine pests to Victorian waters.	No impacts.
Establish arrangements for the detection of new incursions within the planning area in support of Victorian marine pest management arrangements.		No impacts.
Implement national or Victoria-wide control arrangements as they relate to the sanctuary.		No impacts.
5.1 Indigenous cultural heritage		
Protect Indigenous places and objects from interference or damaging activities.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Support the views of the Traditional Owners in managing the sanctuary.	No impacts.	No impacts.
5.2 Maritime and other cultural heritage		
Conserve and protect places of historical significance.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Encourage learning and understanding about the historical heritage of the sanctuary.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.1 Information, interpretation and education		
Promote and encourage visitors' discovery, enjoyment and appreciation of the park and sanctuaries' natural and cultural values in a safe and appropriate manner through information, interpretation and education.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Encourage public support for marine national parks and marine sanctuaries and management practices.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.2 Access		
Support and manage the provision of appropriate and safe access to the sanctuary.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.3 Recreational boating and surface water sports		
Provide for boating activities in the sanctuary consistent with management objectives.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.4 Diving and snorkelling		

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Provide opportunities for diving and snorkelling that are consistent with the protection of sanctuary values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.5 Tourism services		
Provide opportunities for and encourage provision of external tourism services while minimising impacts on natural and cultural values of the sanctuary.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.6 Public safety		
Promote awareness of safety issues and risks, and safe practices, in use of the sanctuary.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Cooperate with emergency services.	No impacts.	No impacts.
7.1 Authorised uses		
Minimise the impact on sanctuary values of authorised uses.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Manage authorised uses in accordance with legislation.	No impacts.	No impacts.
7.2 Boundaries and adjacent uses		
Effectively communicate the location of the sanctuary boundaries.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise impact on sanctuary values from adjacent developments.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.1 Community awareness		
Increase community awareness and understanding of the sanctuary's values and management activities.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Build a common vision and sense of shared custodianship for the sanctuary in community groups and individuals.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.2 Community participation		

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Support and encourage the whole community, including community groups and volunteers to contribute their knowledge, skills and enthusiasm to the sanctuary's management.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Inform and strengthen management with cultural lore of the Traditional owners.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.3 Agency partnerships		
Enhance sanctuary management by collaborating with other agencies to ensure appropriate consideration to sanctuary values in planning and implementing activities that relate to the sanctuary.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Point Addis Marine National Park, Point Danger Marine Sanctuary and Eagle Rock Sanctuary Management Plan
(Parks Victoria, 2005)

The table on the following pages provide an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the parks.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
4.1 Geological and geomorphological features		
Protect significant and fragile geological and seabed features in the park and sanctuaries.	No impact.	No impact.
4.2 Catchment and water quality		
Minimise the impact of threatening processes derived from the catchment, estuaries and other watercourses.	No impact.	No impact.
Maintain water quality in the park and sanctuaries.	No impact.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to protected areas and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of hydrocarbons.
Prevent and minimise the impact of pollution on park and sanctuary values.	No impact.	
4.3 Hydrodynamics		
Minimise impacts on park and sanctuary values from human-induced changes to local hydrodynamics.	No impact.	No impact.
4.4 Habitats and communities		
Protect natural habitats, ecological communities and indigenous flora and fauna in the park and sanctuaries.	No impact.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to protected areas and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of hydrocarbons.
Improve knowledge of the park and sanctuaries, including habitats, indigenous species and threatening processes.	No impact.	No impact.
4.5 Landscape and seascape		
Protect landscape and seascape values.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise the visual impact of signs, infrastructure and management activities associated with the parks and sanctuaries.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
4.6 Marine pests		
Minimise the risk of introduction by human activities, and subsequent establishment of, marine pests in the park and sanctuaries.	The EP contains control measures aimed to minimise the risk of introducing marine pests to Victorian waters.	No impact.
Establish arrangements for the detection of new incursions within the planning area in support of Victorian marine pest management arrangements.	No impact.	No impact.
Implement national or Victoria-wide control arrangements as they relate to the planning area.	No impact.	No impact.
5.1 Indigenous cultural heritage		
Protect Indigenous cultural values from interference or damaging activities.	No impact.	No impact.
Nurture Indigenous cultural lore relating to the park and sanctuaries.	No impact.	No impact.
5.2 Maritime and other cultural heritage		
Protect significant maritime and other cultural places, objects and places associated with the park and sanctuaries.	No impact.	No impact.
Increase awareness of the maritime and other cultural values of the park and sanctuaries.	No impact.	No impact.
6.1 Information, interpretation and education		
Promote and encourage visitors' discovery, enjoyment and appreciation of the park and sanctuaries' natural and cultural values in a safe and appropriate manner through information, interpretation and education.	No impact.	No impact.
Encourage public support for parks and park management practices.	No impact.	No impact.
Promote an awareness of Indigenous culture.	No impact.	No impact.
6.2 Access		
Support and manage the provision of appropriate and safe access to the park and sanctuaries.	No impact.	No impact.
6.3 Recreational boating and surface water sports		
Provide for boating activities in the park and sanctuaries consistent with management objectives.	No impact.	No impact.
6.4 Diving and snorkelling		

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Provide opportunities for diving and snorkelling that are consistent with the protection of the values of the park and sanctuaries.	No impact.	No impact.
6.5 Swimming and shore-based activities		
Provide opportunities for appropriate shore-based recreation activities that are consistent with the protection of park and sanctuary values.	No impact.	No impact.
6.6 Dogs and horses		
Provide opportunities for walking dogs where compatible with the protection of natural values.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise conflicts with other visitors and impacts on park and sanctuary values from dogs and horses.	No impact.	No impact.
6.7 Surfing		
Provide opportunities for surfing that are consistent with the protection of park and sanctuary values.	No impact.	No impact.
6.8 Hang-gliding, para-gliding and other aircraft		
Provide for landing of hang-gliders and para-gliders where safe and appropriate.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise the disturbing effects of aircraft on park and sanctuary visitors.	No impact.	No impact.
6.9 Events		
Manage surfing and other events in accordance with the National Parks Act and regulations and minimise their impact on park and sanctuary values.	No impact.	No impact.
6.10 Tourism services		
Encourage the provision of appropriate commercial visitor services while minimising impacts on natural and cultural values.	No impact.	No impact.
6.11 Public safety		
Promote visitor safety and awareness of safety issues and risks within the park and sanctuaries associated with access and use.	No impact.	No impact.
Promote and observe safe practices, and cooperate with emergency services.	No impact.	No impact.
7.1 Authorised uses		

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Manage authorised uses and permitted activities in accordance with the National Parks Act.	No impact.	No impact.
Minimise the impact of authorised uses and permitted activities on park and sanctuary values.	No impact.	No impact.
7.2 Boundaries and adjacent uses		
Effectively communicate the location of the park and sanctuary boundaries.	No impact.	No impact.
Participate in planning processes that could affect park and sanctuary values.	No impact.	No impact.
8.1 Community awareness		
Increase community awareness and understanding of park and sanctuary values and management activities.	No impact.	No impact.
Build a sense of shared ownership and custodianship for the park and sanctuaries among community groups and individuals.	No impact.	No impact.
8.2 Community participation		
Support and encourage community groups and volunteers to actively assist in the management of the park and sanctuaries.	No impact.	No impact.
Inform and strengthen management with cultural lore of the Traditional owners.	No impact.	No impact.
8.3 Agency partnerships		
Enhance park and sanctuary management by collaborating with other agencies to ensure they give appropriate consideration to park values in planning and implementing activities that relate to the park and sanctuaries.	No impact.	No impact.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Mushroom Reef Marine Sanctuary Management Plan (Parks Victoria, 2007)

The table on the following pages provide an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the park.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
4.1 Geological and geomorphological features		
Protect the geological and geomorphological features of the sanctuary from the impacts of human activity.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Increase knowledge of the geological and geomorphological significance of the sanctuary.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.2 Catchment and water quality		
Protect and maintain water quality within the sanctuary to ensure that sanctuary values are protected.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to the open ocean and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil on the sea surface.
Minimise the impact of threatening processes from catchment-derived activities.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.3 Hydrodynamics		
Minimise the impacts on sanctuary values from human-induced changes to local hydrodynamic processes.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Increase knowledge of the way in which local hydrodynamic processes, especially wave refraction, influence the intertidal structures within the sanctuary.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.4 Habitats and communities		
Protect marine ecological communities and indigenous flora and fauna, and allow natural processes to continue.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to the open ocean and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil on the sea surface.
Improve knowledge of marine ecological communities, flora and fauna and threatening processes to improve management, protection and appreciation.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.5 Landscape and seascape		

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Protect landscape and seascape values within the sanctuary, including the natural beauty and character.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to the open ocean and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil on the sea surface.
Minimise visual impacts on the seascape and landscape of management activities and any future developments.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.6 Marine pests		
Minimise the risk of introduction of marine pests by human activities, and their subsequent establishment in the park.	The EP contains control measures aimed to minimise the risk of introducing marine pests to Victorian waters.	No impacts.
Establish arrangements for the detection of new incursions within the sanctuary in support of Victorian marine pest management arrangements.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Implement national or Victoria-wide control arrangements as they relate to the sanctuary.	No impacts.	No impacts.
5.1 Indigenous cultural heritage		
Protect Indigenous cultural heritage from interference or damaging activities.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Support the views of the Traditional Owners in managing the sanctuary.	No impacts.	No impacts.
5.2 Maritime and other cultural heritage		
Conserve places of historic significance.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Encourage learning and understanding about historic heritage of the sanctuary.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.1 Information, interpretation and education		
Promote and encourage visitors to discover, enjoy and appreciate the sanctuary's natural and cultural values in a safe and appropriate manner through information, interpretation and education.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Encourage public support for the sanctuary and the sanctuary's management practices.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
6.2 Access		
Facilitate access to the sanctuary while minimising the impact on natural and cultural values of the sanctuary and abutting natural areas.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.3 Intertidal activities		
Encourage the exploration and enjoyment of intertidal platform habitats within the sanctuary while minimising impacts on natural and cultural values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.4 Diving and snorkelling		
Encourage snorkelling and diving activities that are for enjoyment and understanding of the sanctuary and have minimal impact on natural or cultural values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.5 Dog walking		
Protect natural and cultural values, and visitor enjoyment from the impacts of dogs.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.6 Other activities		
Permit activities, including the landing of hang gliders and paragliders in the sanctuary that have minimal impact on natural or cultural values and the enjoyment of other visitors.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.7 Tourism services		
Encourage the promotion and interpretation of the sanctuary and its values by licensed tour operators in a manner consistent with the aims for the sanctuary and visitor safety.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.8 Public Safety		
Promote visitor safety and awareness of safety issues and risks within the sanctuary associated with access and use.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Promote and observe safe practices, and cooperate with emergency services.	No impacts.	No impacts.
7.1 Authorised uses		
Manage authorised uses in accordance with the National Parks Act and minimise their impact on sanctuary values.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
7.2 Boundaries and adjacent uses		
Minimise impacts on sanctuary values from adjacent uses and developments.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.1 Community awareness		
Increase the community's awareness and understanding of the sanctuary's values and management activities.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Build a sense of shared ownership and custodianship for the sanctuary in community groups and individuals.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.2 Community participation		
Encourage and support the whole community, particularly Traditional Owners, in undertaking projects that contribute to or complement sanctuary programs.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Inform, enrich and strengthen the sanctuary's management with the community's tradition, knowledge, experience, skills and enthusiasm, particularly that of the Traditional Owners.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.3 Agency partnerships		
Enhance sanctuary management by collaborating with other agencies to ensure they give appropriate consideration to sanctuary values in planning and implementing activities that relate to the sanctuary but for which they are responsible.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Port Phillip Bay Environmental Management Plan (DELWP, 2017)

The table on the following pages provide an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the park.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Work with Aboriginal groups to improve understanding of Aboriginal cultural values and interests in the Bay and support connections to Country	No impacts.	No impacts.
Develop and deliver programs to inspire greater appreciation of the Bay's values	No impacts.	No impacts.
Build understanding of management responsibilities and programs for the Bay and its catchment	No impacts.	No impacts.
Build capacity and knowledge within community and industry networks	No impacts.	No impacts.
Empower the broader community to get more actively involved in caring for the Bay	No impacts.	No impacts.
Support stronger partnerships across community, industry and government to ensure aims and outcomes are aligned	No impacts.	No impacts.
Effectively maintain existing stormwater infrastructure and programs to mitigate loads to the Bay, or secure via equivalent means	No impacts.	No impacts.
Prevent increases in nutrients loads from wastewater systems and, where practicable, reduce loads of other pollutants	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to protected areas and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of hydrocarbons.
Ensure all urban and rural land use effectively controls impacts from stormwater and runoff, and that controls are in place to manage increases in loads	No impacts.	No impacts.
Establish a baseline estimate of the volume of litter entering the Bay, and support clean up activities	No impacts.	No impacts.
Support capability and capacity building programs that target litter prevention, including reduction of microplastics	No impacts.	No impacts.
Identify and prioritise litter sources and pathways, and take actions to prevent litter entering the Bay	Control measures to mitigate marine debris are contained in the EP.	No impacts.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Improve understanding of links between pathogen concentrations and human health risks for swimming and consumption of shellfish	No impacts.	No impacts.
Adopt a risk-based approach to mitigate sources of pathogens found in the Bay	No impacts.	No impacts.
Improve monitoring and reporting to better detect and communicate human health risks from pathogens	No impacts.	No impacts.
Monitor indicator species and key habitats at priority locations	No impacts.	No impacts.
Improve understanding of ecological processes, threats and pressures	No impacts.	No impacts.
Improve overall extent and condition of the Bay's natural ecosystems	No impacts.	No impacts.
Prevent introduction and dispersal of marine pests	The EP contains control measures aimed to minimise the risk of introducing marine pests to Victorian waters.	No impacts.
Monitor priority locations for early detection of marine pest introductions	No impacts.	No impacts.
Respond rapidly to new introductions of marine pests	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Bunurong Marine National Park, Bunurong Marine Park and Kilcunda-Harmers Haven Coastal Reserve Management Plan
(Parks Victoria, 2006)

The table on the following pages provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the parks.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
4.1 Landscape and seascape		
Preserve and protect the landscape and seascape values of the planning area, particularly the natural character and places of high scenic quality and areas of significance to the indigenous community.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise the impact of developments and management activities on the planning area's landscape values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.2 Geological and geomorphological features		
Protect geological and geomorphological features of the planning area and minimise impacts from management activities and visitor use.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Allow natural geological and geomorphological processes to continue with minimal human interference.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Provide opportunities for appropriate research into, appreciation of, and education about the geological and geomorphological features of the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.3 Catchment and water quality		
Ensure the integration of future planning and management between the planning area and adjacent catchment.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Maintain a high quality of water within the planning area and surrounding waters to ensure that natural biological and physical processes can occur.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to the open ocean and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil on the sea surface.
Minimise impacts of threatening processes from catchment-sourced activities.	No impacts.	No impact.
4.4 Hydrodynamics		
Allow natural hydrodynamic processes to continue without human interference.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise impacts on planning area values from human-induced changes to local hydrodynamic processes.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.5 Marine habitats and communities		

Protect marine ecological communities and indigenous flora and fauna, particularly threatened species.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to the open ocean and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil on the sea surface.
Increase knowledge of marine ecological communities, flora and fauna to aid management, protection and appreciation.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Increase knowledge of key threatening processes to marine ecological communities, flora and fauna, to limit impacts.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.6 Marine pests		
Minimise the risk of introduction of marine pests by human activities, and their subsequent establishment in the planning area.	The EP contains control measures aimed to minimise the risk of introducing marine pests to Victorian waters.	No impacts.
Establish arrangements for the detection of new incursions within the planning area in support of Victorian marine pest management arrangements.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Implement national or Victoria-wide control arrangements as they relate to the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.7 Terrestrial flora		
Maintain the floristic structure and diversity of vegetation communities, and protect them from threatening processes.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Increase knowledge of the planning area's vegetation communities and species, particularly its threatened species, to aid management, protection and appreciation.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.8 Terrestrial fauna		
Protect and preserve indigenous fauna and faunal habitats from visitor use and management activities, and maintain genetic diversity.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Increase knowledge of the planning area's fauna species and habitats, particularly threatened species, to aid management, protection and appreciation.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.9 Terrestrial pests		
Control, and where possible eradicate, non-indigenous plants, animals and diseases.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise the potential for the introduction and spread of pest plants and animals and diseases.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise the impact of control programs on native flora and fauna species.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Restore native vegetation in areas where weeds have been controlled or eradicated.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.10 Soil conservation		
Prevent and control soil degradation, and rehabilitate areas affected by soil degradation caused by visitor and management activities.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.11 Fire management		
Protect planning area values from the deleterious effects of wildfire or inappropriate fire regimes.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Cooperate with relevant agencies and land managers in the protection of human life, neighbouring properties and assets.	No impacts.	No impacts.
5.1 Indigenous cultural heritage		
Protect Indigenous cultural heritage, including places and objects, from interference or damaging activities.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Nurture Indigenous cultural lore relating to the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
5.2 Maritime and other cultural heritage		
Conserve places and values of historic and cultural significance within the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Increase learning about and appreciation of the historic heritage of the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.1 Information, interpretation and education		
Promote and encourage visitors' discovery, enjoyment and appreciation of the planning area's natural and cultural values in a safe and appropriate manner through information, interpretation and education.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Encourage public support for parks and management practices.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Provide opportunities to learn about and understand the cultural and spiritual significance of the planning area to the Indigenous community.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.2 Access		
Provide and maintain appropriate access to the planning area for visitor use and management purposes.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise the impact of access on natural and cultural values of the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.3 Visitor site activities		

Establish and maintain visitor facilities that enhance visitor enjoyment and are consistent with the protection of planning area values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.4 Recreational boating and associated facilities		
Provide opportunities for recreational boating and appropriate surface water sports while protecting natural and cultural values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Promote safe boating and water safety within the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.5 Diving and snorkelling		
Provide opportunities for diving and snorkelling in the planning area while protecting natural and cultural values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.6 Swimming, surfing and shore-based activities		
Provide opportunities for appropriate shore-based recreation within the planning area, while minimising impacts on the natural and cultural values.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to the shoreline and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil towards the shoreline.
6.7 Dog walking		
Provide opportunities for dog walking in appropriate areas of the planning area, while protecting park and reserve values and the experience of visitors.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.8 Horse riding		
Minimise conflicts with recreational activities, threats to visitor safety and natural values within the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.9 Hang gliding		
Protect visitors and values in the planning area from impacts of hang gliding and paragliding within the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.10 Recreational fishing		
Provide opportunities for sustainable recreational fishing while minimising impacts to natural and cultural values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.11 Tourism services		
Provide opportunities for and encourage provision of external tourism services while minimising impacts on natural and cultural values of the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.12 Public Safety		

Promote visitor safety and awareness of safety issues and risks within the planning area associated with access and use.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Promote and observe safe practices and cooperate with emergency services.	No impacts.	No impacts.
7.1 Authorised uses		
Manage authorised uses in accordance with relevant legislation, and minimise their impact on the planning area's values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
7.2 Occasional uses		
Manage uses and permitted activities in accordance with relevant legislation, and minimise their impacts on the planning area's values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
7.3 Boundaries and adjacent uses		
Minimise impacts on planning area values from adjacent uses and developments.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Ensure the integration of management with adjoining land and waters in accordance with principles for ecologically sustainable development.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Effectively communicate the location of Marine National Park and other planning area boundaries.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.1 Community awareness		
Build a shared sense of ownership and custodianship for the planning area among community groups and individuals.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Increase community awareness and understanding of the values and management activities of the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.2 Community participation		
Support and encourage community groups and volunteers to assist actively in the area's management by participating and by contributing their knowledge and skills.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Encourage tertiary students to undertake volunteer work experience and research that is consistent with aims for the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Inform, enrich and strengthen the planning area's management with the community's traditions and customs, especially Traditional Owner's cultural lore.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.3 Agency partnerships		
Enhance management by collaborating with other agencies to ensure that they give appropriate consideration to natural and cultural values in planning and implementing activities that relate to the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Cape Liptrap Coastal Park Management Plan (Parks Victoria, 2003)

The table on the following pages provide an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the park.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
4.1 Geological and landform features		
Manage sites of geological and geomorphological significance to allow public access and interpretation.	No impact.	No impact.
4.2 Rivers and Catchments		
Maintain water quality in the park's catchments.	No impact.	No impact.
4.3 Vegetation		
Manage ecosystems to ensure the protection of indigenous flora species and vegetation communities, particularly significant species and communities.	No impact.	No impact.
Improve knowledge about the conservation of natural values with minimal disturbance to the environment.	No impact.	No impact.
4.4 Fauna		
Ensure the preservation and protection of indigenous fauna.	No impact.	No impact.
Manage park ecosystems to provide for the long-term protection and preservation of significant communities, habitats and species.	No impact.	No impact.
Improve knowledge about the conservation of fauna and their habitat requirements.	No impact.	No impact.
4.5 Landscape		
Minimise the visual intrusions on natural landscape within the park, especially from major viewing points.	No impact.	No impact.
Where possible, remove or shield undesirable visual intrusions.	No impact.	No impact.
4.6 Fire Management		
Protect life, property and park values from damage by fire.	No impact.	No impact.
Suppress wildfires in a manner appropriate to seasonal conditions, with the objective of minimising impacts on park values.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Sustain the vigour, diversity and successional development of the park's plant and animal communities by ecological burning on the basis of current and future knowledge.	No impact.	No impact.
4.7 Pest plants and animals		
Eradicate or control pest plant and animal species using methods that minimise disturbance to natural systems and park values.	No impact.	No impact.
Restore native vegetation to areas where weeds have been removed.	No impact.	No impact.
4.8 Soil Conservation		
Prevent and control soil degradation caused by visitor and management activities	No impact.	No impact.
Rehabilitate sites where unnatural soil degradation has occurred.	No impact.	No impact.
Protect important economic, cultural and natural assets from soil erosion.	No impact.	No impact.
4.9 Aboriginal Cultural Heritage		
Preserve and protect features of Aboriginal cultural and archaeological significance.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide opportunities for people to learn about and understand the park's Aboriginal cultural values.	No impact.	No impact.
4.10 Post-settlement Cultural Heritage		
Preserve and protect features of cultural, archaeological and historical significance.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide opportunities for people to learn about and understand the park's historic and cultural values.	No impact.	No impact.
5.1 Information, interpretation and education		
Encourage visitors' discovery, enjoyment and appreciation of the park's natural and cultural values.	No impact.	No impact.
Orientate visitors in relation to park features.	No impact.	No impact.
Inform visitors of appropriate behaviour during their park visit.	No impact.	No impact.
Provide high-quality interpretive and educational opportunities to promote an understanding and appreciation of the park's values.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
5.2 Access		
Maintain roads and tracks to standards consistent with management aims.	No impact.	No impact.
5.3 Day Visits		
Establish and maintain day visitor facilities that enhance visitor enjoyment of the park and are consistent with protecting park values.	No impact.	No impact.
Improve visitor facilities and raise the profile of the park as a day visitor destination.	No impact.	No impact.
5.4 Camping		
Provide opportunities for a range of camping experiences while minimising impacts on park values.	No impact.	No impact.
5.5 Boating		
Support the Walkerville Foreshore Committee of Management in providing basic boat launching facilities at Walkerville North.	No impact.	No impact.
5.6 Fishing		
Provide opportunities for recreational fishing while minimising the impacts on park values.	No impact.	No impact.
5.7 Bushwalking		
Provide a variety of high-quality walking opportunities within the park, while minimising impacts on park values.	No impact.	No impact.
5.8 Horse Riding		
Provide opportunities for horse riding while minimising this activity's adverse environmental effects and conflicts with other users.	No impact.	No impact.
5.9 Cycling		

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Provide access for cycling, and at the same time minimise the environmental impact of cycling and the conflict with other recreational activities.	No impact.	No impact.
5.10 Dogs		
Provide for dogs in certain areas of the park, consistent with protecting park values and the experience of visitors.	No impact.	No impact.
5.11 Hang-gliding and Paragliding		
Provide opportunities for hang-gliding and paragliding while minimising the impact on park values and other uses.	No impact.	No impact.
5.12 Fossicking		
Provide an opportunity for gemstone collecting in the park, while ensuring that the impact on environmental values and other visitors is minimised.	No impact.	No impact.
5.13 Commercial Services		
Provide opportunities for commercial tourism and the touring public while minimising environmental impacts and effects on other visitors.	No impact.	No impact.
5.14 Public Safety		
Promote safe visitor use of the park.	No impact.	No impact.
Ensure that park management has adequate capacity to respond to emergency situations.	No impact.	No impact.
6.1 Friends and Volunteers		
Provide opportunities for and encourage the participation of groups and volunteers in protection, conservation and maintenance projects to enhance the management of the park.	No impact.	No impact.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Provide opportunities for and encourage tertiary students to undertake volunteer work experience and research consistent with park management aims.	No impact.	No impact.
6.2 Community Awareness and Park Neighbours		
Increase community awareness of park management activities, including prescribed burning, pest plant and animal control and visitor management activities.	No impact.	No impact.
Encourage conservation and sound land management practices on private land adjacent to the park.	No impact.	No impact.
7.1 Authorised Uses		
Manage public utilities and authorised uses in accordance with the National Parks Act, to minimise their impacts on the parks natural and scenic values.	No impact.	No impact.
Protect water quality in the park and provide for appropriate use of water resources.	No impact.	No impact.
7.2 Boundaries and Adjacent Uses		
Accurately define park boundaries on the ground.	No impact.	No impact.
Ensure adequate planning controls for adjoining land developments are in place.	No impact.	No impact.
Co-operate with adjacent landowners to protect both private and park areas from fire, pests and other hazards.	No impact.	No impact.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Wilsons Promontory Marine National Park, Marine Park and Marine Reserve Management Plan (Parks Victoria, 2006).

The table on the following pages provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the parks.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
4.1 Geological and geomorphological features		
Identify geological and geomorphological features of the planning area and protect them from potentially damaging human activities	No impacts.	No impacts.
Allow natural geological and geomorphological processes to continue without human interference.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Provide opportunities for appropriate research into, appreciation of, and education about geological and geomorphological features.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.2 Catchment and water quality		
Ensure the integration of future planning and management for the planning area and adjacent catchment.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Maintain a high quality of water within the planning area and surrounding waters to ensure that natural biological and physical processes can occur.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to the open ocean and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil on the sea surface.
Minimise the impacts on water quality within the planning area from activities within the catchment.	No impacts.	
4.3 Hydrodynamics		
Allow natural hydrodynamic processes to continue without human interference.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise impacts on planning area values from human-induced changes to local hydrodynamic processes.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.4 Habitats and communities		
Protect marine ecological communities and indigenous flora and fauna, particularly threatened species.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to the open ocean and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil on the sea surface.
Increase knowledge of marine ecological communities, flora and fauna to aid management, protection and appreciation.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Increase knowledge of key threatening processes to marine ecological communities, flora and fauna, to limit impacts.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.5 Landscape and seascape		
Preserve and protect the landscape and seascape values of the park, including the natural character, aesthetic qualities and values of significance to Indigenous communities.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise the visual impact of developments and management activities, including those adjacent to the park.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4.6 Marine pests		
Minimise the risk of introduction of marine pasts by human activities, and their subsequent establishment in the planning area.	The EP contains control measures aimed to minimise the risk of introducing marine pests to Victorian waters	No impacts.
Establish arrangements for the detection of new incursions within the planning area in support of Victorian marine pest management arrangements.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Implement national or Victoria-wide control arrangements as they relate to the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
5.1 Indigenous cultural heritage		
Protect Indigenous cultural heritage from interference or damaging activities.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Nurture Indigenous cultural lore relating to the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
5.2 Maritime and other cultural heritage		
Conserve and protect places and values of historic significance associated with maritime exploration, commercial exploitation, coastal trading and navigation	No impacts.	No impacts.
Encourage learning and understanding about the historic heritage of the planning area, particularly as they relate to the historic theme 'Shipping along the Coast'.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.1 Information, interpretation and education		
Promote and encourage visitors' discovery, enjoyment and appreciation of the natural and cultural values of the planning area in a safe and appropriate manner through information, education and interpretation.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Encourage public support for the planning area and management practices.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Provide opportunities to learn about and understand the cultural and spiritual significance of the planning area to the Traditional Owners.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Promote an awareness of past European cultural activities in the park.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.2 Access		
Provide for the use and enjoyment of the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise the impact of access on natural and cultural values of the planning area	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.3 Recreational boating and surface water sports		
Provide opportunities for recreational boating and appropriate surface water sports while protecting natural and cultural values	No impacts.	No impacts.
Promote safe boating and water safety within the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Provide opportunities for marine mammal observation while ensuring their long-term protection.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.4 Diving and snorkelling		
Provide opportunities for diving and snorkelling in the planning area while protecting natural and cultural values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.5 Swimming and shore-based activities		
Provide for appropriate shore-based activities while protecting natural and cultural values.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into accounts risks to the shoreline and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil towards the shoreline.
6.6 Recreational fishing		
Provide opportunities for sustainable recreational fishing while minimising impacts on the marine park and marine reserve.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.7 Tourism services		
Encourage the provision of appropriate tourism services to improve the quality and range of recreational experiences available to visitors.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Ensure that licensed tour operators recognise and respect the natural and cultural values of the planning area, including Indigenous cultural heritage values.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
6.8 Aircraft		
Monitor and minimise the impact of fixed wing aircraft and helicopters on the natural values of the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6.9 Public Safety		
Promote visitor safety and awareness of safety issues and risks within the planning area associated with access and use.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Promote and observe safe practices, and cooperate with emergency services.	No impacts.	No impacts.
7.1 Authorised uses		
Manage authorised uses and permitted activities in accordance with the National Parks Act, and minimise their impact on park values.	No impacts.	No impacts.
7.2 Boundaries and adjacent uses		
Ensure the integration of management of the planning area with adjoining land and waters in accordance with principles for ecologically sustainable development.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Ensure that necessary boundaries are clearly identifiable.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise confusion by simplifying land tenure in the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.1 Community awareness		
Build a shared sense of ownership and custodianship for the planning area in community groups and individuals.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Increase the community's awareness and understanding of the planning area's values, management activities and catchment impacts.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.2 Community participation		
Support and encourage the active participation of community groups and volunteers in protection, conservation and monitoring projects to enhance management of the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Provide opportunities for, and encourage, tertiary students to undertake volunteer work experience and research consistent with aims for the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Inform, enrich and strengthen the planning area's management with the community's tradition and customs, especially the Traditional Owner's cultural lore.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8.3 Agency partnerships		

Management Aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Enhance management of the planning area by collaborating with other agencies to ensure that they give appropriate consideration to park values in planning and implementing activities that relate to the planning area.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Appendix 2

Assessment of the activity against the management aims of threatened species' management plans

Assessment of the activity against the aims of threatened species' management plans	
BIRDS	
2a	Albatross and petrels
2b	Soft-plumaged petrel
2c	Blue petrel
2d	Gould's petrel
2e	Australian painted snipe
2f	Bar-tailed godwit
2g	Curlew sandpiper
2h	Eastern curlew
2i	Fairy prion
2j	Australian fairy tern
2k	Hooded plover
2l	Orange-bellied parrot
2m	Red knot
2n	Swift parrot
2o	Australasian bittern
MAMMALS	
2p	Blue whale
2q	Humpback whale
2r	Southern right whale
2s	Fin whale
2t	Sei whale
2u	Australian sea-lion
FISH	
2v	Australian grayling
2w	Dwarf galaxias
2x	Great white shark
REPTILES	
2y	Marine turtles

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the National Recovery Plan for Threatened Albatrosses and Giant Petrels 2011-2016 (DSEWPC, 2011)

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the plan.

Criteria to measure performance of the Plan against the objective	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Specific Objectives		
Research and monitoring of the biology, ecology and population dynamics of albatrosses and giant petrels breeding within Australian jurisdiction is sufficient to understand conservation status and to implement effective and efficient conservation measures.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Land-based threats to the survival and breeding success of albatrosses and giant petrels breeding within areas under Australian jurisdiction are quantified and reduced.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Marine-based threats to the survival and breeding success of albatrosses and giant petrels foraging in waters under Australian jurisdiction are quantified and reduced.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into account risks to marine bird species and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil on the sea surface.
Fishers are educated and public awareness is raised on the threats to albatrosses and giant petrels.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Substantial involvement in the promotion and development of improved and, ultimately, favourable conservation status of albatrosses and giant petrels globally in international conservation and fishing fora is maintained.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Actions to achieve specific objectives		
Research and monitoring of the biology, ecology and population dynamics of albatrosses and giant petrels breeding within Australian jurisdiction is sufficient to understand conservation status and to implement effective and efficient conservation measures.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Quantify and reduce land-based threats to the survival and breeding parameters of albatrosses and giant petrels breeding within areas under Australian jurisdiction.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Quantify and reduce marine-based threats to the survival and breeding parameters of albatrosses and giant petrels foraging in waters under Australian jurisdiction.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into account risks to marine bird species and prioritises actions to reduce the spread and extent of oil on the sea surface.
Educate fishers and promote public awareness of the threats to albatrosses and giant-petrels.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Achieve substantial progress towards global conservation of albatrosses and giant petrels in international conservation and fishing fora.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Approved Conservation Advice for the Soft-plumaged petrel (*Pterodroma mollis*) (TSSC, 2015)

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the plan.

Stated management aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Conservation and Management Actions		
Continue to manage Maatsuyker and Macquarie Island in such a way that human disturbance is minimised.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Continue strict quarantine management practices for Maatsuyker and Macquarie Island to reduce the risk of any invasive species (re)establishing on the islands.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Survey and Monitoring Priorities		
Continue to monitor population numbers on Maatsuyker Island.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Include monitoring for soft-plumaged petrels in monitoring programs occurring on Macquarie Island to detect any breeding occurrences.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Approved Conservation Advice for the Blue Petrel (*Halobaena caerulea*). (TSSC, 2015)

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the plan.

Stated management aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Conservation and Management Actions		
Continue to manage Macquarie Island and its surrounds in such a way that human disturbance is minimised.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Continue strict quarantine management practices for Macquarie Island to reduce the risk of any invasive species (re)establishing on the island.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Survey and Monitoring Priorities		
Continue monitoring the species, and if decreases become evident in the population, identify potential causes and adapt management actions as required.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Include monitoring for blue petrels in monitoring programs occurring on Macquarie Island to detect any future breeding occurrences	No impacts.	No impacts.
Information and Research Priorities		
Monitor breeding population size and success on Macquarie Island offshore rock stacks.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Gould's Petrel (*Pterodroma leucoptera leucoptera)* Recovery Plan (DEC, 2006)

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the plan.

Stated objectives of the recovery plan	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
To identify and manage the threats operating at sites where the subspecies occur.	No impacts.	No impacts.
To establish and maintain a translocated second colony at Boondelbah Island.	No impacts.	No impacts.
To raise awareness of the subspecies with the local community and involve volunteers in the recovery program.	No impacts.	No impacts.
To promote research and continue monitoring that will assist with the management of the subspecies.	No impacts.	No impacts.
To co-ordinate recovery actions through a recovery team and annual reporting on Recovery Plan implementation.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Conservation Advice for the Australian painted-snipe (*Rostratula australis*) (DSEWPC, 2013)

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the conservation advice.

Regional Priority Actions	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Habitat Loss, Disturbance and Modification		
Develop management guidelines for breeding and non-breeding habitat.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Monitor the progress of recovery, including the effectiveness of management actions and the need to adapt them if necessary.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Ensure there is no disturbance in areas where the species is known to breed, excluding necessary actions to manage the conservation of the species.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into account beaches of importance to coastal bird species and prioritises those for protection and where necessary, beach clean-up and oiled wildlife response.
Control access routes to suitably constrain public access to existing and future breeding sites on public land.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Suitably control and manage access on private land and other land tenure.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise adverse impacts from land use at known sites.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Manage any changes to hydrology that may result in changes to water table levels, run-off, salinity, algal blooms, sedimentation or pollution.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Manage any disruptions to water flows.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Investigate formal conservation arrangements, management agreements and covenants on private land, and for crown and private land investigate/secure inclusion in reserve tenure if possible.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Manage any other known, potential or emerging threats including inappropriate fire regimes and coastal port/infrastructure development.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Invasive Weeds		

Regional Priority Actions	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Implement the Parkinsonia (Parkinsonia aculeata) Strategic Plan (Commonwealth of Australia, 2000) for the control of this species within the range of the Australian painted snipe.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Identify and remove weeds in wetland areas that could become a threat to the Australian painted snipe, using appropriate methods.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Ensure chemicals or other mechanisms used to eradicate weeds do not have a significant adverse impact on the Australian painted snipe	No impacts.	No impacts.
Trampling, Browsing or Grazing		
Develop and implement a stock management plan for roadside verges and travelling stock routes which include swamps, marshes or wetlands.	No impacts.	No impacts.
If livestock grazing occurs in known Australian painted snips habitats, ensure land owners/managers use an appropriate management regime and density that does not detrimentally affect Australian painted snipe nesting.	No impacts.	No impacts.
If appropriate, manage total grazing pressure at important breeding sites through exclusion fencing or other barriers.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Animal Predation or Competition		
Implement the national threat abatement plans for the European red fox (DEWHA, 2008a) and feral cats (DEWHA, 2008b) to control the adverse impacts of foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) and cats (*Felis catus*) in the species' range.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Continue baiting to control population numbers of feral animals.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Fire		
Develop and implement a suitable fire management strategy for the habitat of the Australian painted snipe.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Conservation Information		
Raise awareness of the Australian painted snipe within the local community and the importance of reporting observations to BirdLife Australia, using fact sheets and/or brochures.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Advertise and encourage use of Australian painted snipe survey techniques and survey forms (Birds Australia, 2012).	No impacts.	No impacts.

Regional Priority Actions	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Organise field days with industry and interest groups to raise awareness and share information on the species. These groups may include natural resource management groups, catchment management authorities, Indigenous groups, conservation organisations, local and state governments, and private landholders.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Engage with private landholders and land managers responsible for the land on which populations occur and encourage these key stakeholders to contribute to the implementation of conservation management actions.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Raise awareness of banded individuals (see BirdLife Australia, 2012) to increase the likelihood of re-sighting and reporting.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Facilitate the exchange of information between interested parties, including sightings, research and management approaches.	No impacts.	No impacts.

<u>Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Conservation Advice for the Bar-tailed Godwit (western Alaskan) (*Limosa lapponica baueri*) (DoE, 2016)</u>

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of this conservation advice.

Conservation Actions	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Conservation and Management Actions		
Work with governments along the East Asian – Australasian Flyway to prevent destruction of key breeding and migratory staging sites.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Support initiatives to improve habitat management at key sites.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Advocate for the creation and restoration of foraging and roosting sites.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Manage important sites to identify, control and reduce the spread of invasive species.	The EP puts in place control measures to reduce the risk of biofouling and introduction of invasive marine species.	No impacts.
Protect important habitat in Australia.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into account beaches of importance to coastal bird species and prioritises those for protection and where necessary, beach clean-up and oiled wildlife response.
Maintain and improve protection of roosting and feeding sites in Australia.	No impacts.	
Incorporate requirements for bar-tailed godwit (western Alaskan) into coastal planning and management.	No impacts.	
Manage disturbance at important sites which are subject to anthropogenic disturbance when bar-tailed godwit (western Alaskan) are present – e.g. discourage or prohibit vehicle access, horse riding and dogs on beaches, implement temporary site closures.	No impacts.	
Survey and Monitoring Priorities		
Enhance existing migratory shorebird population monitoring programmes, particularly to improve coverage across northern Australia.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Monitor the progress of recovery, including the effectiveness of management actions and the need to adapt them if necessary.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Conservation Actions	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Information and Research Priorities		
Undertake work to more precisely assess bar-tailed godwit (western Alaskan) life history, population size, distribution and ecological requirements particularly across northern Australia.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Improve knowledge about dependence of bar-tailed godwit (western Alaskan) on key migratory staging sites, and non-breeding sites to the in south-east Asia.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Improve knowledge about threatening processes including the impacts of disturbance and hunting.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Conservation Advice for the Curlew sandpiper (*Calidris ferruginea*) (DoE, 2016)

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of this conservation advice.

Conservation Actions	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
International Objectives		
Achieve a stable or increasing population.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Maintain and enhance important habitat.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Disturbance at key roosting and feeding sites reduced.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into account beaches of importance to coastal bird species and prioritises action to control the spread and extent of hydrocarbons.
Australian Objectives		
Achieve a stable or increasing population.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Maintain and enhance important habitat.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Disturbance at key roosting and feeding sites reduced.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into account beaches of importance to coastal bird species and prioritises action to control the spread and extent of hydrocarbons.
Raise awareness of curlew sandpiper within the local community.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Conservation and Management Actions		
Work with governments along the East Asian – Australasian Flyway to prevent destruction of key migratory staging sites.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Support initiatives to protect and manage key staging sites of curlew sandpiper.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Manage important sites to identify, control and reduce the spread of invasive species.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Monitor the progress of recovery, including the effectiveness of management actions and the need to adapt them if necessary.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Conservation Actions	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Maintain and improve protection of roosting and feeding sites in Australia.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into account beaches of importance to coastal bird species and prioritises action to control the spread and extent of hydrocarbons.
Incorporate requirements for curlew sandpiper into coastal planning and management.	No impacts.	
Manage disturbance at important sites when curlew sandpipers are present – e.g. discourage or prohibit vehicle access, horse riding and dogs on beaches, implement temporary beach closures.	No impacts.	
Monitoring Priorities		
Enhance existing migratory shorebird population monitoring programmes, particularly to improve coverage across northern Australia.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Information and Research Priorities		
More precisely assess curlew sandpiper population size, distribution and ecological requirements particularly across northern Australia.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Improve knowledge about dependence of curlew sandpiper on key migratory staging sites, and wintering sites to the north of Australia.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Improve knowledge about threatening processes including the impacts of disturbance.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Conservation Advice for the Eastern curlew (*Numenius madagascariensis*) (DoE, 2015)

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the primary conservation objectives of the advice.

Primary Conservation Objectives	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
International Objectives		
Achieve a stable or increasing population.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Maintain and enhance important habitat.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Reduce disturbance at key roosting and feeding sites.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Australian Objectives		
Achieve a stable or increasing population.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into account beaches of importance to coastal bird species and prioritises action to control the spread and extent of hydrocarbons.
Maintain and enhance important habitat.	No impacts.	
Reduce disturbance at key roosting and feeding sites.	No impacts.	
Raise awareness of eastern curlew within the local community.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Conservation and Management Actions		
Work with governments along the East Asian – Australasian Flyway to prevent destruction of key migratory staging sites.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Develop and implement an International Single Species Action Plan for eastern curlew with all range states.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Support initiatives to improve habitat management at key sites.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Maintain and improve protection of roosting and feeding sites in Australia.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into account beaches of importance to coastal bird species and prioritises action to control the spread and extent of hydrocarbons.
Incorporate requirements for eastern curlews into coastal planning and management.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Manage important sites to identify, control and reduce the spread of invasive species.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Primary Conservation Objectives	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Manage disturbance at important sites when eastern curlews are present – e.g. discourage or prohibit vehicle access, horse riding and dogs on beaches, implement temporary site closures.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Monitor the progress of recovery, including the effectiveness of management actions and the need to adapt them if necessary.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Monitoring Priorities		
Enhance existing migratory shorebird population monitoring programmes, particularly to improve coverage across northern Australia	No impacts.	No impacts.
Information and Research Priorities		
More precisely assess eastern curlew life history, population size, distribution and ecological requirements particularly across northern Australia.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Improve knowledge about dependence of eastern curlew on key migratory staging sites, and wintering sites to the north of Australia.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Improve knowledge about threatening processes including the impacts of disturbance and hunting.	No impacts.	No impacts.

<u>Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Conservation Advice for the southern fairy prion (*Pachyptila tutur subantarctica*) (TSSC, 2015)</u>

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of this conservation advice.

Conservations Actions	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Conservation and Management Actions		
Continue to manage Macquarie Island and its surrounds in such a way that human disturbance is minimised.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Continue strict quarantine management practices for Macquarie Island and surrounding rock stacks to reduce the risk of any invasive species (re)establishing on the island.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Survey and Monitoring Priorities		
Continue to monitor the species, and if decreases become evident in the population, identify potential causes and adapt management actions as required.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Information and Research Priorities		
Continue to monitor breeding population size and success on Macquarie Island offshore rock stacks, including Bishop and Clerk Islands.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Conservation Advice for the Australian fairy tern (*Sternula nereis nereis*) (DSEWPC, 2011)

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the conservation advice.

Primary Conservation Objectives	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Regional Priority Actions		
Habitat Loss, Disturbance and Modification		
Monitor the progress of recovery (using a variety of methods such as survey and banding programs, video surveillance of breeding colonies and maintaining a central breeding and sightings database), including the effectiveness of management actions and the need to adapt them if necessary.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Identify populations of high conservation priority.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Manage any changes to hydrology that may result in changes to tide levels, increase salinity or pollution.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Manage any disruptions to water flows in wetland areas such as the Coorong in South Australia.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Introduce recreational codes of conduct and license commercial tourism operations utilising the subspecies' habitat.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Animal Predation or Competition		
Develop and implement a management plan for the control or eradication of foxes, dogs, cats and Black Rats where the species is found.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Establish programs to discourage gulls (such as Silver Gulls) competing with Fairy Terns. Examples of activities could include: education programs to raise awareness of the problems of feeding gulls and; minimising night time lighting from oil and gas rigs near the subspecies' habitat to reduce night time feeding opportunities for Silver Gulls.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Local Priority Actions		
Habitat Loss, Disturbance and Modification		
Use nest protection measures to safeguard nests from extreme weather/tides, including sandbagging and nest relocation.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Control access routes to suitably constrain public access to known sites on public and private land.	No impacts.	

Primary Conservation Objectives	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Reduce disturbance during the breeding season from human recreation such as the use of off road vehicles and predation by domestic dogs, using signage and/ or fencing where appropriate. The use of signage can restrict access to the site as well as raise awareness of the sites ecological importance.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into account beaches of importance to coastal bird species and prioritises action to control the spread and extent of hydrocarbons.
Ensure appropriate oil-spill contingency plans are in place for the subspecies' breeding sites which are vulnerable to oil spills, such as the breeding colonies in Victoria.	No impacts.	
Weed Control		
Remove weeds which could become a threat to the Fairy Tern, using appropriate methods outside the breeding season.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Manage sites to prevent introduction of invasive weeds, which could become a threat to the Fairy Tern, using appropriate methods.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Animal Predation		
Control introduced pests such as foxes, dogs, cats and Black Rats, using a variety of methods such as trapping and 1080 baiting.	No impacts.	No impacts.

<u>Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Conservation Advice for the Hooded Plover (*Thinornis rubricollis rubricollis)* (DoE, 2014)</u>

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the recovery and impact avoidance guidance of this conservation advice.

Recovery and Impact avoidance guidance	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Primary Conservation Objectives		
1. Achieve stable numbers of adults in the population, and maintain a stable number of occupied and active breeding territories.	No impacts.	No impacts.
2. Improve breeding success, namely increase fledgling rates (which is a combination of improving egg and chick survival rates), via: a. reducing the destruction of nests and chicks, and the disturbance of breeding pairs, by human and human-related activities. b. reducing predation by feral animals and overabundant native predators.	No impacts.	No impacts.
3. Maintain, enhance and restore habitat, and integrate the subspecies' needs into coastal planning.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Information and Research Priorities		
1. Determine demographic trends including population size, breeding success, and status and trends in breeding populations.	No impacts.	No impacts.
2. Determine levels of nest predation and breeding success, in areas with and without predator and stock control programs.	No impacts.	No impacts.
3. Identify the causes of chick mortality, and factors which may mediate chick survival rates.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4. Identify habitat availability and risk of habitat loss due to weed invasion, rising sea levels and dune morphology changes, via: a) incorporating coastal weed mapping data into a single data set. b) utilising SmartLine for all population assessments; this maps coastal geomorphology and can indicate areas of coasts which are vulnerable to erosion and other weather/climate impacts. c) integrating coastal weed, geomorphology and hooded plover (eastern) nesting territory data, in order to provide an assessment of threats from invasive weeds and erosion.	No impacts.	No impacts.
5. For each breeding site/beach, assess the relative impacts of different threats and the likelihood of threat management measures being successful, so that beaches can be prioritised for management.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into account beaches of importance to coastal bird species and

Recovery and Impact avoidance guidance	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
		prioritises action to control the spread and extent of hydrocarbons.
6. Monitor the breeding and abundance of hooded plovers on an ongoing basis, ensuring that survey methods and data reporting are standardised as much as possible.	No impacts.	No impacts.
7. Undertake a population viability analysis to set breeding success targets for recovery programs.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Management Actions Required		
1. Manage the use of (and access to) key beaches for recreation when plovers are breeding – e.g. discourage or prohibit vehicle access, horse riding and dogs from beaches; implement temporary beach closures; erect fencing to prevent people entering.	No impacts.	No impacts.
2. Adequately police beaches to ensure compliance with regulations, especially those relating to dog walking, and undertake a review of existing regulations to assess whether there is room for improvement.	No impacts.	No impacts.
3. Educate the public in research, monitoring, management and advocacy efforts.	No impacts.	No impacts.
4. Incorporate requirements for the hooded plover into coastal planning and management, and erosion control activities, including: a) limiting levels of urban development within the coastal zone. b) adopting evidence-based best practice. c) consulting with relevant state and local government departments, research organisations, and community organisations.	No impacts.	No impacts.
5. Construct fencing to prevent livestock entering beaches.	No impacts.	No impacts.
6. Implement predator control programs for invasive species where necessary.	No impacts.	No impacts.
7. Evaluate the efficacy of management techniques such as the use of chick shelters, predator controls, mechanisms to alter human behaviour on beaches, habitat restoration and maintenance, and identify areas for improvement.	No impacts.	No impacts.
8. Further develop methods for reducing or controlling rates of colonisation by invasive plants and rehabilitating dunes colonised by invasive plants, and establish trials to recover habitat degraded by marram grass (*Ammophila arenaria*).	No impacts.	No impacts.

Recovery and Impact avoidance guidance	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
9. Prepare oil spill response plans to ensure effective rehabilitation of oiled birds.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into account beaches of importance to coastal bird species and prioritises action to control the spread and extent of hydrocarbons.
10. Reduce in-shore marine debris, including educating fishers and the public to properly dispose of fishing lines.	No impacts.	No impacts.
11. As a last resort, investigate control options for native predators such as ravens, magpies, currawongs and silver gulls, if their impacts are threatening a population and human activities cannot be sufficiently reduced to mitigate their impacts.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the National Recovery Plan for the Orange-bellied Parrot (*Neophema chrysogaster*) (DELWP, 2016)

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the primary conservation objectives of the plan.

Primary Conservation Objectives	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
To achieve a stable or increasing population in the wild within five years.		
Increase breeding output in the wild.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Increase survival in the wild.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Maintain wild behaviours.	No impacts.	No impacts.
To increase the capacity of the captive population, both to support future releases of captive-bred birds to the wild and to provide a secure long-term insurance population.		
Increase the size of the captive population as quickly as possible.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Manage genetics of the captive population.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Manage the wild and captive populations as a metapopulation.	No impacts.	No impacts.
To protect and enhance habitat to maintain, and support growth of, the wild population.		
Maintain the extent of habitat throughout the breeding and non-breeding range.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Increase the extent of high quality of habitat throughout the breeding and nonbreeding range.	No impacts.	No impacts.
To ensure effective adaptive implementation of the plan.		
Obtain and analyse key information required to measure and improve implementation to achieve the primary objectives.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Employ sound procedures for managing, reviewing and reporting on progress to ensure effective adaptive management.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Secure delivery partners and sufficient funding to ensure very high and high priority actions are implemented.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Foster and maintain relationships with key individuals, organisations and the broader community.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Conservation Advice for the Red Knot (*Calidris canutus*) (TSSC, 2016)

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the conservation actions of the conservation advice.

Conservation Actions	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Conservation and Management Actions		
Work with governments along the East Asian – Australasian Flyway to prevent destruction of key migratory staging sites.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Protect important habitat in Australia.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Support initiatives to improve habitat management at key sites.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Maintain and improve protection of roosting and feeding sites in Australia.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into account beaches of importance to coastal bird species and prioritises action to control the spread and extent of hydrocarbons.
Incorporate requirements for red knot into coastal planning and management.	No impacts.	
Advocate for the creation and restoration of foraging and roosting sites in Australia.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Manage important sites to identify, control and reduce the spread of invasive species.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Manage disturbance at important sites which are subject to anthropogenic disturbance when red knot are present – e.g. discourage or prohibit vehicle access, horse riding and dogs on beaches, implement temporary site closures.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Survey and Monitoring Priorities		
Enhance existing migratory shorebird population monitoring programmes, particularly to improve coverage across northern Australia	No impacts.	No impacts.
Monitor the progress of recovery, including the effectiveness of management actions and the need to adapt them if necessary.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Information and Research Priorities		

Conservation Actions	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Undertake work to more precisely assess red knot life history, population size, distribution and ecological requirements.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Improve knowledge about dependence of red knot on key migratory staging sites, and nonbreeding sites in south-east Asia.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Improve knowledge about threatening processes including the impacts of disturbance and hunting.	No impacts.	No impacts.

<u>Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Conservation Advice for the Swift Parrot (*Lathamus discolor*) (TSSC, 2016)</u>

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the conservation objectives of the conservation advice.

Primary Conservation Objectives	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Conservation and Management Priorities		
Review and update management prescriptions for swift parrots for use in the Forest Practices System and Local Government land use planning and approvals processes across the breeding and non-breeding range of swift parrots.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Revise and update forestry prescriptions to reflect the most recent habitat information available in Victoria and New South Wales.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Develop and implement strategies to reduce predation from sugar gliders when circumstances require.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Consider installing nesting boxes suitable for swift parrots in areas of low sugar glider predation to enhance swift parrot breeding success	No impacts.	No impacts.
Continue to raise public awareness of the risks of collisions and how these can be minimised, targeting known high risk areas such as the greater Hobart, Melbourne and Western Sydney areas, and the central coast region of New South Wales (Wyong, Gosford, Lake Macquarie and Penrith Local Government areas).	No impacts.	No impacts.
Encourage and support the protection, conservation management and restoration of swift parrot nesting and foraging habitat through agreements with landowners, incentive programs and community projects.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Develop and implement a Disease Risk Assessment for swift parrots.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Survey and Monitoring Priorities		
Develop an effective population monitoring program.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Undertake monitoring of breeding locations on an annual basis to develop a better understanding of breeding success; the extent and number of important breeding areas; and the relative importance of non-aggregated breeding behaviour.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Establish a process for the coordination of volunteer surveys throughout breeding habitats to complement the existing mainland monitoring program.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Maintain coordination of the existing long-term volunteer monitoring throughout mainland habitats.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Primary Conservation Objectives	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Information and Research Priorities		
Prioritise conservation actions across the species range.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Identify and map movement patterns and foraging and nesting habitat throughout the breeding range.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Establish habitat phenology data collection in existing research and monitoring studies, analyse findings and incorporate into the recovery program.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Establish and maintain a database for all reported injuries and deaths.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Monitor the incidence of competition from aggressive honeyeaters, as well as introduced birds and invertebrates, for nesting and foraging resources.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Undertake research on breeding success, survival and mortality, as well as genetic structure, to provide insight into currently unknown population regulation parameters.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Update the PVA using data obtained from the above research to provide a greater understanding of the dynamics and long-term viability of the population.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Investigate the potential impact of climate change on the swift parrot and its habitat.	No impacts.	No impacts.

<u>Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Approved Conservation Advice for the Australasian Bittern (*Botaurus poiciloptilus*) (TSSC, 2019)</u>

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the plan.

Stated management aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Conservation and Management Priorities		
Collate all recent location data to establish a list of priority sites for monitoring and for protection and management. Such a list should be updated as new sites are created or found and as knowledge is improved.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Work with key water managers (e.g., Australian, state and local government, water corporations, irrigators) to ensure adequate water flows into known Australasian Bittern habitat, both natural and artificial (e.g., rice paddies, urban ponds etc).	No impacts.	No impacts.
Ensure environmental water allocations are targeted to sustain Australasian bittern habitat and known populations.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Prevent further vegetation clearance in wetlands, ponds and associated marshy areas known to support Australasian Bitterns	No impacts.	No impacts.
Where appropriate, develop new wetlands with suitable habitats for Australasian Bitterns.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Where possible, create suitable habitats for Australasian Bitterns in existing wetlands.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Where appropriate, develop incentives for rice growers to manage crops with a sufficient period of inundation to facilitate successful breeding before harvest.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Consideration given to strategic land purchases to aid in the protection and better management of Australasian Bittern habitat.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Monitor and manage agricultural and urban runoff into wetlands known to support Australasian Bitterns in order to maintain water quality.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Fence wetlands to exclude grazing animals.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Develop and implement a management strategy for wetlands where Australasian Bitterns occur, with a focus on ensuring appropriate diversity and density of reeds and rushes. Management strategy may include measures such as controlled burns, slashing when the wetland is dry and/or flooding to limit reed re-growth. Management strategy should be informed by research targeted at better understanding optimal habitat conditions.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Stated management aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Ensure adequate water volume and quality at urban and peri-urban wetlands where Australasian Bitterns have been detected.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Investigate opportunities to encourage state and local government and private landholders to undertake conservation of wetlands on their properties for the benefit of Australasian Bitterns.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Survey and Monitoring Priorities		
Agree on standard monitoring protocols that can be applied across the Australasian Bitterns' range.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Undertake regular and systematic monitoring at identified priority sites on an annual basis.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Using information from monitoring program, identify population trends across the Australasian Bitterns' range.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Investigate the use of predictive modelling to improve estimates of the number of mature individuals and to predict population trends and distribution	No impacts.	No impacts.
Information and Research Priorities		
Research to determine critical habitat values being targeted by Australasian Bitterns, with differentiation of needs during different parts of the breeding cycle. Factors such as water quality, salinity, vegetation composition and fire history should be investigated.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Determine prey availability in Australasian Bitterns habitat and identify methods for improving prey availability in order to improve the species breeding success.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Undertake genetic analyses to determine Australasian Bittern population structure. If population structuring occurs, this information should be used to inform management strategies.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Assess the relative importance for Australasian Bitterns occupancy and breeding success of: - introduced predators, - mortality associated with fixed structures, such as fence lines and towers, - grazing by introduced herbivores, - fire regimes.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Ensure processes to allow outcomes of research to influence ongoing management and monitoring programs, and to influence the development of new actions where required.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Stakeholder Engagement and Governance		

Stated management aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Develop broad promotional material to raise awareness about the Australasian Bittern, its status and the importance of protecting vegetated freshwater wetlands, and share this material with conservation groups and the general public.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Develop targeted fact sheets for landholders to increase awareness of the Australasian Bittern, including advice regarding improved wetland management for the species, and provide an avenue for reporting sightings.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Engage with private landholders, agricultural producers and public land managers responsible for land on which Australasian Bittern populations occur, and encourage them to contribute to the implementation of conservation management actions.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Promote the important ecosystem functions of wetlands, and their aesthetic and recreational values, to increase the interest of conservation groups and general public in their protection and restoration.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Conservation Management Plan for the Blue Whale (*Balaenoptera musculus*) 2015-2025 (DSEWPC, 2011)

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the conservation objectives of the plan.

Primary Conservation Objectives	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Interim Recovery Objectives		
The conservation status of blue whale populations is assessed using cost effective and robust methodology.	No impacts.	No impacts.
The spatial and temporal distribution, identification of biologically important areas, and population structure of blue whales in Australian waters is described.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Current levels of legal and management protection for blue whales are maintained or improved and an appropriate adaptive management regime is in place.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Anthropogenic threats are demonstrably minimised.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Assess and Address Threats		
Maintain and improve existing legal and management protection.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Assess and addressing anthropogenic noise.	Mitigation measures are presented in Chapter 7 of the EP.	No impacts.
Understand impacts of climate variability and change.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimise vessel collisions.	Vessel collision guidelines are implemented.	Vessel collision guidelines will be implemented.
Enable and Measure Recovery		
Measure and monitor population recovery.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Investigate population structure.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Describe spatial and temporal distribution and define biologically important habitat.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Conservation Advice for the Humpback Whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) (TSSC, 2015)

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the conservation and management actions of the conservation advice.

Conservation and Management Actions	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Maintain and improve existing legal and management protection		
Continue or improve existing legislative management actions under the EPBC Act, including the Australian Whale Sanctuary provisions.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Australia should maintain its position on promoting high levels of protection for humpback whales in all relevant international agreements including the IWC, Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES), Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS), fisheries related agreements, and the Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meetings (ATCM).	No impacts.	No impacts.
Understanding impacts of climate variability and change		
Continue to meet Australia's international commitments to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and regulate the krill fishery in Antarctica.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Assessing and addressing anthropogenic noise; shipping, industrial and seismic surveys		
All seismic surveys must be undertaken consistently with the EPBC Act Policy Statement 2.1 – Interaction between offshore seismic exploration and whales. Should a survey be undertaken in or near a calving, resting, foraging area, or a confined migratory pathway then Part B. Additional Management Procedures must also be applied.	No impacts.	No impacts.
For actions involving acoustic impacts (example pile driving, explosives) on humpback whale calving, resting, feeding areas, or confined migratory pathways site specific acoustic modelling should be undertaken (including cumulative noise impacts).	No impacts.	No impacts.
Should acoustic impacts on humpback calving, resting, foraging areas, or confined migratory pathways be identified a noise management plan should be developed.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Addressing infrastructure and coastal development impacts		
Environmental assessment processes must ensure that existing information about coastal habitat requirements of humpback whales, environmental suitability of coastal locations, historic high use and emerging areas are taken into consideration.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Conservation and Management Actions	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Environmental assessment and approval processes must ensure that the impacts of coastal development on humpback whales are addressed and minimised. Mitigation and management measures for the construction stage and the ongoing operational impacts are to be included in any plans of management. Significant residual impacts must be offset.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Reducing commercial fishing entanglements		
Commonwealth and state governments with the pot and set net fishing industries to develop and implement codes of conduct to minimise interactions between commercial fishers and humpback whales.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Investigate alternative fishing techniques and technologies to reduce the risk of entanglement.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Minimising vessel collisions		
Develop a national vessel strike strategy that investigates the risk of vessel strikes on humpback whales and also identifies potential mitigation measures to reduce the risk of collision.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Maximise the likelihood that all vessel strike incidents are reported in the National Ship Strike Database. All cetaceans are protected in Commonwealth waters and, the EPBC Act requires that all collisions with whales in Commonwealth waters are reported. Vessel collisions can be submitted to the National Ship Strike Database at https://data.marinemammals.gov.au/report/shipstrike	No impacts.	No impacts.
Ensure the risk of vessel strike on humpback whales is considered when assessing actions that increase vessel traffic in areas where humpback whales occur and, if required appropriate mitigation measures are implemented to reduce the risk of vessel strike.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Enhance education programs to inform vessel operators of best practice behaviours and regulations for interacting with humpback whales.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Measuring and monitoring population recovery		
Continue long-term monitoring of east and west coast populations at appropriate multi-annual intervals to quantify rates of population increase, abundance, migratory interchange and population structure	No impacts.	No impacts.
Information and research priorities		
Assess impacts of increasing anthropogenic threats and undertake a risk assessment to determine the increased exposure of these expanding populations to entanglement, ship strike and acoustic noise.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Conservation and Management Actions	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Expand genetic analyses to better define population structure and extent of interchange between subpopulations. In particular the genetic structure of the east coast population and interchange with Pacific humpback whale populations.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Assess the impact of whale watching on humpback whales detailing the benefits and negatives of human interactions and the potential for cumulative impacts on the species as they migrate along the coast.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Conservation Management Plan for the Southern Right Whale (*Eubalaena australis*) 2011-2021 (DSEWPC, 2012)

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the primary conservation objectives of the plan.

Primary Conservation Objectives	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Interim Recovery Objectives		
Demonstrate that the number of southern right whales occurring off south-west Australia (nominally south-west Australian population) is increasing at or near the maximum biological rate.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Demonstrate that the number of southern right whales occurring off south-east Australia (nominally south-east Australian population) is showing signs of increase.	No impacts.	No impacts.
The nature and degree of difference between the south-eastern and south-western Australian populations of southern right whales is clearly understood.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Current levels of legal and management protection for southern right whales are maintained or improved and an appropriate adaptive management regime is in place.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Anthropogenic threats are demonstrably minimised.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Assess and Address Threats		
Maintain and improve existing legal and management protection.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Assess and address anthropogenic noise (shipping, industrial and seismic).	Mitigation measures are presented in Chapter 7 of the EP.	No impacts.
Reduce commercial fishing entanglements.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Impacts of climate variability and change.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Address vessel collisions.	Vessel collision guidelines are implemented.	Vessel collision guidelines will be implemented.
Address infrastructure and coastal development impacts.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Measure Recovery		

Primary Conservation Objectives	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Measure and monitor population recovery	No impacts.	No impacts.
Investigate the two-population model	No impacts.	No impacts.
Understand offshore distribution and migration	No impacts.	No impacts.
Characterise behaviour and movements	No impacts.	No impacts.

<u>Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Approved Conservation Advice for the Fin Whale (*Balaenoptera physalus*) (TSSC, 2015)</u>

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the plan.

Stated management aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Conservation and Management Actions		
Continue or improve existing legislative management actions under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Act 1999, including the Australian Whale Sanctuary provisions.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Australia should maintain its position on promoting high levels of protection for Fin whales in all relevant international agreements including the International Whaling Commission (IWC), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES), Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS), fisheries related agreements, and the Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meetings (ATCM).	No impacts.	No impacts.
Continue to meet Australia's international commitments to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and regulate the krill fishery in Antarctica.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Once the spatial and temporal distribution (including biologically important areas) of fin whales is further defined an assessment of the impacts of increasing anthropogenic noise (including from seismic surveys, port expansion, and coastal development) should be undertaken on this species.	Mitigation measures are presented in Chapter 7 of the EP.	No impacts.
If required, additional management measures should be developed and implemented to ensure the ongoing recovery of Fin whales.	Mitigation measures are presented in Chapter 7 of the EP.	No impacts.
Develop a national vessel strike strategy that investigates the risk of vessel strikes on Fin Whales and also identifies potential mitigation measures.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Ensure all vessel strike incidents are reported in the National Vessel Strike Database.	Vessel collision guidelines are implemented.	Vessel collision guidelines are implemented.
Information and Research Priorities		
Determine population abundance, trends and population structure for Fin whales, and establish a long-term monitoring program in Australian waters.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Describe the spatial and temporal distribution of Fin Whales and further define biologically important areas (feeding and breeding), and migratory routes within Australian and Antarctic waters.	No impacts.	No impacts.

<u>Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Approved Conservation Advice for the Sei Whale (*Balaenoptera borealis*) (TSSC, 2015)</u>

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the plan.

Management aims	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Conservation and Management Actions		
Continue or improve existing legislative management actions under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Act 1999, including the Australian Whale Sanctuary provisions.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Australia should maintain its position on promoting high levels of protection for sei whales in all relevant international agreements including the International Whaling Commission (IWC), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES), Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS), fisheries related agreements, and the Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meetings (ATCM).	No impacts.	No impacts.
Continue to meet Australia's international commitments to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and regulate the krill fishery in Antarctica.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Once the spatial and temporal distribution (including biologically important areas) of sei whales is further defined an assessment of the impacts of increasing anthropogenic noise (including from seismic surveys, port expansion, and coastal development) should be undertaken on this species.	Mitigation measures are presented in Chapter 7 of the EP.	No impacts.
If required, additional management measures should be developed and implemented to ensure the ongoing recovery of sei whales.	Mitigation measures are presented in Chapter 7 of the EP.	No impacts.
Develop a national vessel strike strategy that investigates the risk of vessel strikes on Sei Whales and also identifies potential mitigation measures.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Ensure all vessel strike incidents are reported in the National Vessel Strike Database.	Vessel collision guidelines are implemented.	Vessel collision guidelines are implemented.
Information and Research Priorities		
Determine population abundance, trends and population structure for sei whales, and establish a long-term monitoring program in Australian waters.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Describe the spatial and temporal distribution of Sei Whales and further define biologically important areas (feeding and breeding), and migratory routes within Australian and Antarctic waters.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Recovery Plan for the Australian Sea-lion (*Neophoca cinerea*) (TSSC, 2016)

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the conservation actions of the conservation advice.

Conservation Actions	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Implement appropriate management measures (monitoring, management response, compliance and review), such that incidental bycatch in the gillnet sector of the following commercial fisheries does not threaten any colony or sub-population of Australian sea lion: • The Gillnet, Hook and Trap sector of the SESSF. • The South Australian Marine Scalefish Fishery. • The West Coast Demersal Gillnet and Demersal Longline (interim) Managed Fishery. • The Joint Authority Southern Demersal Gillnet and Demersal Longline Managed Fishery.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Implement appropriate management measures (monitoring, management response, compliance and review) in the South Australian Rock Lobster Fishery and Western Australian Rock Lobster Fishery such that incidental bycatch does not threaten any colony or sub-population of Australian sea lion.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Implement management controls in other fisheries (commercial, recreational and Indigenous) that have impacts on Australian sea lions by: • Identifying any impacting fisheries. • Implementing mitigation strategies for impacts on Australian sea lions in those fisheries where necessary.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Monitor the cumulative impact of fisheries on Australian sea lions including: • bycatch • prey depletion • restriction in habitat availability • entanglement in active (not discarded) fishing gear.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Identify the sources of marine debris having an impact on Australian sea lion populations	No impacts.	No impacts.

Conservation Actions	**Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims**	**Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives**
Assess the impacts of marine debris on Australian sea lion populations	The EP contains control measures aimed to minimise the risk of pollution and litter to waters.	No impacts.
Develop and implement measures to mitigate the impacts of marine debris on Australian sea lion populations, noting the linkages with the Threat Abatement Plan for the Impact of Marine Debris on Vertebrate Marine Life.		No impacts.
Investigate the nature, extent and consequence of interactions between Australian sea lions and aquaculture activities and mitigate any impacts (e.g. restrictions in habitat availability).	No impacts.	No impacts.
Improve the understanding of—and where necessary mitigate—the threat posed to Australian sea lion populations by illegal killings, vessel strike, pollution and oil spills. Actions to include: • Develop protocols for collection of biological samples and ensure that a portion of each sample (including those already collected) is centrally archived. • Collect data on direct killings and confirmed vessel strikes. • Implement jurisdictional oil spill response strategies as required.	No impacts.	The OPEP takes into account beaches of importance to coastal bird species and prioritises action to control the spread and extent of hydrocarbons.
Improve understanding of the threat and importance of health related factors to Australian sea lion populations by: • developing protocols for collection of biological samples and ensuring that a portion of each sample (including those already collected) is centrally archived • undertaking research to better understand pup mortality due to disease and the variance between seasons and colonies • undertaking research on the effect of providing a broad spectrum treatment to kill parasites and whether this affects pup mortality • analysing the impacts of the bioaccumulation of toxins on the health of Australian sea lions.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Develop and implement measures to mitigate the impact of any significant factors affecting the health of Australian sea lion populations.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Monitor and mitigate cumulative impacts of human interactions on Australian sea lion colonies.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Conservation Actions	**Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims**	**Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives**
Develop and provide information for tourists and tourism operators to promote an understanding of Australian sea lion conservation issues and to emphasise the importance of minimising disturbance of Australian sea lion colonies during visits.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Develop and apply a quantitative framework to assess the population status and potential recovery of the Australian sea lion across its range. • Ensure sufficient and effective abundance and distribution monitoring is in place to adequately understand population size and trends at representative sites across the range of the Australian sea lion, including at the fringes of the species' range.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Assess and facilitate the continuation of population demographic surveys at Seal Bay in South Australia.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Improve the information base on behavioural ecology, trophic interactions and foraging ecology — particularly in areas important to the survival of the species — and at scales relevant to human activities that can be managed. Actions include: • improve knowledge of foraging range at a colony level to help determine the spatial overlap with commercial fisheries • better determine the key ecological characteristics of preferred foraging sites • determine the drivers for variance in pup production and mortality across seasons (including apparent seasonal cycles) • undertake dive and tracking studies in Western Australia to help determine specific foraging patterns and requirements.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Improve the information base on population structures of the Australian sea lion. This should include finer scale structuring, utilising genetic techniques and morphological studies, where data of such scale might improve practical management options. Actions include: • opportunistically undertaking further research on population structure. Using genetic techniques on current and opportunistically gathered biological material to determine the extent of male and female dispersal • using genetic and morphological data to determine any sub-speciation of Australian sea lion populations throughout their range.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Conservation Actions	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Improve understanding of juvenile dispersal and foraging behaviours by: • undertaking research on juvenile (2–4 year olds) dispersal and foraging patterns • assessing dive depths of juveniles, with a focus on assessing the need to include Australian sea lion exclusion spikes on pots in deep water (> 20 m).	No impacts.	No impacts.
Assess the indirect impacts of fishing on Australian sea lion populations by conducting research. Research should include: • determining the impact of fishing on prey species of Australian sea lions • assessing the impact of fishing gear on preferred habitat of Australian sea lions.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Provide advice, education and support to fishers, community members, local governments and regional natural resource management organisations by measures including: • ensuring that the Recovery Plan for the Australian Sea Lion is publicly available in electronic format • ensuring online information regarding the recovery plan is relevant and up-to-date • promoting the recovery plan to target groups, such as commercial and recreational fishers and tour group operators • conducting presentations and workshops, where appropriate • involving community groups and tour operators in research and monitoring programs, where practical.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Consult relevant Indigenous organisations within the species' range regarding the implementation of the Recovery Plan for the Australian Sea Lion.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated management actions of the National Recovery Plan for the Australian Grayling (*Prototroctes maraena*) (TSSC, 2015)

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the conservation actions of the plan.

Management Action	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Identify important populations of Australian grayling.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Protect and restore habitat for Australian grayling.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Investigate important life history attributes and acquire targeted information for management.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Investigate and manage threats to populations and habitats.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Increase awareness of Australian grayling conservation with resource managers and the public.	No impacts.	No impacts.

<u>Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the National Recovery Plan for the Dwarf Galaxias (*Galaxiella pusilla*) (DSE, 2010)</u>

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management aims of the plan.

Primary conservation objectives of the National Recovery Plan	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Determine the distribution and abundance of the Dwarf Galaxias.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Determine the genetic and taxonomic status of Dwarf Galaxias populations.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Determine Dwarf Galaxias habitat characteristics and requirements.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Identify and manage potentially threatening processes impacting on Dwarf galaxias conservation.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Protect key populations across the range of the Dwarf galaxias.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Determine population trends at key sights.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Investigate key aspects of biology and ecology of the Dwarf galaxias.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Establish a captive breeding population of Dwarf galaxias.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Establish new populations of Dwarf galaxias.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Increase awareness and involvement.	No impacts.	No impacts.

<u>Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the National Recovery Plan for the White Shark (*Carcharodon carcharias*) (DSEWPC, 2013)</u>

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the primary conservation objectives of the plan.

Conservation and Management Objectives	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Develop and apply quantitative measures to assess population trends and any recovery of the white shark in Australian waters and monitor population trends.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Quantify and minimise the impact of commercial fishing, including aquaculture, on the white shark through incidental (illegal and/or accidental) take, throughout its range in Australian waters.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Quantify and minimise the impact of recreational fishing on the white shark through incidental (illegal and/or accidental) take, throughout its range in Australian waters.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Where practicable, minimise the impact of shark control activities on the white shark.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Investigate and manage (and where necessary reduce) the impact of tourism on the white shark.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Quantify and minimise the impact of international trade in white shark products through implementation of CITES provisions.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Continue to identify and protect habitat critical to the survival of the white shark and minimise the impact of threatening processes within these areas.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Continue to develop and implement relevant research programs to support the conservation of the white shark.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Promote community education and awareness in relation to white shark conservation and management.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Encourage the development of regional partnerships to enhance the conservation and management of the white shark across national and international jurisdictions.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Assessment of the activity against the stated aims of the Recovery Plan for Marine Turtles in Australia (DoEE, 2017).

The following table provides an assessment of routine and non-routine operations against the management targets of the plan.

Conservation management targets	Assessment of impacts of routine activities against management aims	Assessment of impacts of Level 2 or 3 hydrocarbon spill against objectives
Domestic and international legislation and other agreements that support the recovery of Australian marine turtles are maintained, and, where possible, strengthened.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Robust scientific information is available and used to support decision making.	No impacts.	No impacts.
The sustainable management of marine turtles by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities and ranger groups to maintain long-term cultural, spiritual and economic associations with marine turtles is supported.	No impacts.	No impacts.
The capacity of programs throughout northern Australia to conduct effective monitoring, management and research of marine turtles at nesting beaches and feeding grounds is maintained and increased.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Robust and adaptive management regimes that lead to a reduction in anthropogenic threats to marine turtles and their habitats are in place.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Threat mitigation strategies are supported by high quality information.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Effective monitoring programs are implemented and maintained at index beaches and foraging areas for each of the six species.	No impacts.	No impacts.
Measures of success identified for each stock are achieved within the life of the plan.	No impacts.	No impacts.

Appendix 3

Stakeholder consultation flyer

Otway Offshore Project

2021 – 2023 Summary

Beach Energy is continuing development of the Otway offshore basin natural gas reserves to ensure ongoing production at the Otway Gas Plant, which supplies natural gas to Victoria.

Key Activities

- Seabed assessments to determine the suitability of the seabed for drilling and infrastructure
- Inspections and modifications to existing seabed infrastructure to prepare for the new wells
- Drilling of offshore exploration, appraisal and production wells, 8 wells planned, further wells proposed
- Tie-ins to connect new production wells to the existing platform and pipeline
- Discontinuing some wells in the Geographe and Thylacine field, and any unsuccessful wells
- Establishing Petroleum Safety Zones (PSZ) for new wells and infrastructure

Completed Seabed Assessments

- Assessed seabed and subsea for anchoring and rig placement for drilling new wells
- Inspected existing infrastructure and surveyed well and flowline locations

October 2019 to February 2020

Further Seabed Assessments

- Assessment of seabed and subsea within T/30P permit
- 75km from Port Campbell
- Approximately 4 weeks
- Timming to be confirmed

February to June 2021

Drilling and Infrastructure

- 8 wells to be drilled
- Seabed infrastructure to tie-in wells
- 32 to 80 km from Port Campbell
- 18 to 24 month campaign

Starting mid-February 2021

Regulatory Approvals

Activities must be undertaken in accordance with existing approvals under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act)* and new approvals under the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage Act 2006 (OPGGS Act)*. Each new separate activity such as seabed assessments or drilling programs must be undertaken in accordance with Environment Plans (EP) accepted by the *National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA)*.

Current Otway Offshore Project

- Otway Gas Development was approved under the EPBC Act, ref no. 2002/621
- Includes Otway Gas Plant, Thylacine offshore platform, current Thylacine and Geographe fields and producing wells
- Further Thylacine and Geographe wells to be drilled after drilling Environment Plan is accepted by NOPSEMA

New Offshore Project Proposal

- An Offshore Project Proposal (OPP) is being developed by Beach for new fields and will be submitted to NOPSEMA for approval
- OPP will cover Artisan and La Bella gas fields and associated infrastructure
- Once OPP is approved, Environment Plans must be developed for separate activities

Planned and Proposed Locations



This map shows proposed locations which may be subject to change. Location of T30/P well to be confirmed.

GDA2020

- Existing wells
- Planned wells
- Proposed well
- Hot tap tee
- Thylacine platform
- Beach permits
- Existing gas pipeline
- Proposed umbilicals
- Proposed flow lines
- Planned PSZ
- Existing PSZ
- Gas fields
- Coastal waters (3nm limit)

Contact us

1800 797 011

community@beachenergy.com.au

beachenergy.com.au

Appendix 4

Stakeholder communications

(provided to NOPSEMA separately as sensitive information under Regulation 9(8) of the OPGGS(E))

Appendix 5

EPBC Act Protected Matters Search Tool (PMST) results

Australian Government
Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment

EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected.

Information on the coverage of this report and qualifications on data supporting this report are contained in the caveat at the end of the report.

Information is available about Environment Assessments and the EPBC Act including significance guidelines, forms and application process details.

Report created: 12/01/21 12:25:32



Coordinates
Buffer: 1.0Km



Summary

Matters of National Environmental Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the Administrative Guidelines on Significance.

World Heritage Properties:	None
National Heritage Places:	None
Wetlands of International Importance:	None
Great Barrier Reef Marine Park:	None
Commonwealth Marine Area:	1
Listed Threatened Ecological Communities:	None
Listed Threatened Species:	32
Listed Migratory Species:	36

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the environment anywhere.

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage

A permit may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

Commonwealth Land:	None
Commonwealth Heritage Places:	None
Listed Marine Species:	58
Whales and Other Cetaceans:	13
Critical Habitats:	None
Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial:	None
Australian Marine Parks:	None

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have nominated.

State and Territory Reserves:	None
Regional Forest Agreements:	None
Invasive Species:	None
Nationally Important Wetlands:	None
Key Ecological Features (Marine)	None

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

Commonwealth Marine Area [Resource Information]

Approval is required for a proposed activity that is located within the Commonwealth Marine Area which has, will have, or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment. Approval may be required for a proposed action taken outside the Commonwealth Marine Area but which has, may have or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment in the Commonwealth Marine Area. Generally the Commonwealth Marine Area stretches from three nautical miles to two hundred nautical miles from the coast.

Name
EEZ and Territorial Sea

Marine Regions [Resource Information]

If you are planning to undertake action in an area in or close to the Commonwealth Marine Area, and a marine bioregional plan has been prepared for the Commonwealth Marine Area in that area, the marine bioregional plan may inform your decision as to whether to refer your proposed action under the EPBC Act.

Name
South-east

Listed Threatened Species [Resource Information]

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Birds		
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Halobaena caerulea Blue Petrel [1059]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within

Name	Status	Type of Presence
		area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pachyptila turtur subantarctica Fairy Prion (southern) [64445]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phoebetria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pterodroma leucoptera leucoptera Gould's Petrel, Australian Gould's Petrel [26033]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pterodroma mollis Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Sternula nereis nereis Australian Fairy Tern [82950]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [64460]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri platei Northern Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [82273]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche chrysostoma Grey-headed Albatross [66491]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche salvini Salvin's Albatross [64463]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Mammals		
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Eubalaena australis Southern Right Whale [40]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur

Name	Status	Type of Presence
		within area
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Reptiles		
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Sharks		
Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area

Listed Migratory Species

[Resource Information]

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Migratory Marine Birds		
Ardenna carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [82404]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Ardenna grisea Sooty Shearwater [82651]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phoebetria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [64460]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Thalassarche chrysostoma Grey-headed Albatross [66491]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche salvini Salvin's Albatross [64463]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Migratory Marine Species		
Balaena glacialis australis Southern Right Whale [75529]	Endangered*	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Caperea marginata Pygmy Right Whale [39]		Foraging, feeding or related behaviour may occur within area
Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Isurus oxyrinchus Shortfin Mako, Mako Shark [79073]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Lagenorhynchus obscurus Dusky Dolphin [43]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lamna nasus Porbeagle, Mackerel Shark [83288]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Migratory Wetlands Species		
Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

Listed Marine Species [Resource Information]

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Birds		
Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Catharacta skua Great Skua [59472]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Halobaena caerulea Blue Petrel [1059]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pachyptila turtur Fairy Prion [1066]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phoebetria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pterodroma mollis Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Puffinus carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [1043]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Puffinus griseus Sooty Shearwater [1024]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [64460]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche chrysostoma Grey-headed Albatross [66491]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche salvini Salvin's Albatross [64463]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche sp. nov. Pacific Albatross [66511]	Vulnerable*	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Fish		
Heraldia nocturna Upside-down Pipefish, Eastern Upside-down Pipefish, Eastern Upside-down Pipefish [66227]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus abdominalis Big-belly Seahorse, Eastern Potbelly Seahorse, New Zealand Potbelly Seahorse [66233]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus breviceps Short-head Seahorse, Short-snouted Seahorse [66235]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Histiogamphelus briggsii Crested Pipefish, Briggs' Crested Pipefish, Briggs' Pipefish [66242]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Histiogamphelus cristatus Rhino Pipefish, Macleay's Crested Pipefish, Ring-back Pipefish [66243]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hypselognathus rostratus Knifesnout Pipefish, Knife-snouted Pipefish [66245]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Kaupus costatus Deepbody Pipefish, Deep-bodied Pipefish [66246]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Leptoichthys fistularius Brushtail Pipefish [66248]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lissocampus caudalis Australian Smooth Pipefish, Smooth Pipefish [66249]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lissocampus runa Javelin Pipefish [66251]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Maroubra perserrata Sawtooth Pipefish [66252]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mitotichthys semistriatus Halfbanded Pipefish [66261]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mitotichthys tuckeri Tucker's Pipefish [66262]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Notiocampus ruber Red Pipefish [66265]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phycodurus eques Leafy Seadragon [66267]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phyllopteryx taeniolatus Common Seadragon, Weedy Seadragon [66268]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pugnaso curtirostris Pugnose Pipefish, Pug-nosed Pipefish [66269]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Solegnathus robustus Robust Pipehorse, Robust Spiny Pipehorse [66274]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Solegnathus spinosissimus Spiny Pipehorse, Australian Spiny Pipehorse [66275]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Stigmatopora argus Spotted Pipefish, Gulf Pipefish, Peacock Pipefish [66276]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Stigmatopora nigra Widebody Pipefish, Wide-bodied Pipefish, Black Pipefish [66277]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Stipecampus cristatus Ringback Pipefish, Ring-backed Pipefish [66278]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Urocampus carinirostris Hairy Pipefish [66282]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Vanacampus margaritifer Mother-of-pearl Pipefish [66283]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Vanacampus phillipi Port Phillip Pipefish [66284]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Vanacampus poecilolaemus Longsnout Pipefish, Australian Long-snout Pipefish, Long-snouted Pipefish [66285]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mammals		
Arctocephalus forsteri Long-nosed Fur-seal, New Zealand Fur-seal [20]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Arctocephalus pusillus Australian Fur-seal, Australo-African Fur-seal [21]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Reptiles		
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Whales and other Cetaceans [Resource Information]

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Mammals		
Balaenoptera acutorostrata Minke Whale [33]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known

Name	Status	Type of Presence
		to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Caperea marginata Pygmy Right Whale [39]		Foraging, feeding or related behaviour may occur within area
Delphinus delphis Common Dophin, Short-beaked Common Dolphin [60]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Eubalaena australis Southern Right Whale [40]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Grampus griseus Risso's Dolphin, Grampus [64]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lagenorhynchus obscurus Dusky Dolphin [43]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pseudorca crassidens False Killer Whale [48]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Tursiops truncatus s. str. Bottlenose Dolphin [68417]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Extra Information

Caveat

The information presented in this report has been provided by a range of data sources as acknowledged at the end of the report.

This report is designed to assist in identifying the locations of places which may be relevant in determining obligations under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. It holds mapped locations of World and National Heritage properties, Wetlands of International and National Importance, Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves, listed threatened, migratory and marine species and listed threatened ecological communities. Mapping of Commonwealth land is not complete at this stage. Maps have been collated from a range of sources at various resolutions.

Not all species listed under the EPBC Act have been mapped (see below) and therefore a report is a general guide only. Where available data supports mapping, the type of presence that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. People using this information in making a referral may need to consider the qualifications below and may need to seek and consider other information sources.

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are derived from recovery plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been derived through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, maps are derived using either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc) together with point locations and described habitat; or environmental modelling (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using point locations and environmental data layers.

Where very little information is available for species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc). In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More reliable distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions as time permits.

Only selected species covered by the following provisions of the EPBC Act have been mapped:

- migratory and
- marine

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in reports produced from this database:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered as vagrants
- some species and ecological communities that have only recently been listed
- some terrestrial species that overfly the Commonwealth marine area
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in small numbers

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

- non-threatened seabirds which have only been mapped for recorded breeding sites
- seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

Such breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

Coordinates

-39.10285 142.95659

Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

-Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales
-Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria
-Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania
-Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia
-Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory
-Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland
-Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia
-Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT
-Birdlife Australia
-Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme
-Australian National Wildlife Collection
-Natural history museums of Australia
-Museum Victoria
-Australian Museum
-South Australian Museum
-Queensland Museum
-Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums
-Queensland Herbarium
-National Herbarium of NSW
-Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria
-Tasmanian Herbarium
-State Herbarium of South Australia
-Northern Territory Herbarium
-Western Australian Herbarium
-Australian National Herbarium, Canberra
-University of New England
-Ocean Biogeographic Information System
-Australian Government, Department of Defence
Forestry Corporation, NSW
-Geoscience Australia
-CSIRO
-Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns
-eBird Australia
-Australian Government – Australian Antarctic Data Centre
-Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory
-Australian Government National Environmental Science Program
-Australian Institute of Marine Science
-Reef Life Survey Australia
-American Museum of Natural History
-Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania
-Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania
-Other groups and individuals

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the Contact Us page.

Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment
GPO Box 858
Canberra City ACT 2601 Australia
+61 2 6274 1111

EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected.

Information on the coverage of this report and qualifications on data supporting this report are contained in the caveat at the end of the report.

Information is available about Environment Assessments and the EPBC Act including significance guidelines, forms and application process details.

Report created: 27/01/21 10:52:33



Coordinates
Buffer: 3.0Km



Summary

Matters of National Environmental Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the Administrative Guidelines on Significance.

World Heritage Properties:	None
National Heritage Places:	None
Wetlands of International Importance:	None
Great Barrier Reef Marine Park:	None
Commonwealth Marine Area:	1
Listed Threatened Ecological Communities:	None
Listed Threatened Species:	32
Listed Migratory Species:	36

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the environment anywhere.

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage

A permit may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

Commonwealth Land:	None
Commonwealth Heritage Places:	None
Listed Marine Species:	58
Whales and Other Cetaceans:	13
Critical Habitats:	None
Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial:	None
Australian Marine Parks:	None

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have nominated.

State and Territory Reserves:	None
Regional Forest Agreements:	None
Invasive Species:	None
Nationally Important Wetlands:	None
Key Ecological Features (Marine)	None

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

Commonwealth Marine Area [Resource Information]

Approval is required for a proposed activity that is located within the Commonwealth Marine Area which has, will have, or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment. Approval may be required for a proposed action taken outside the Commonwealth Marine Area but which has, may have or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment in the Commonwealth Marine Area. Generally the Commonwealth Marine Area stretches from three nautical miles to two hundred nautical miles from the coast.

Name
EEZ and Territorial Sea

Marine Regions [Resource Information]

If you are planning to undertake action in an area in or close to the Commonwealth Marine Area, and a marine bioregional plan has been prepared for the Commonwealth Marine Area in that area, the marine bioregional plan may inform your decision as to whether to refer your proposed action under the EPBC Act.

Name
South-east

Listed Threatened Species [Resource Information]

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Birds		
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Halobaena caerulea Blue Petrel [1059]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within

Name	Status	Type of Presence
		area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pachyptila turtur subantarctica Fairy Prion (southern) [64445]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phoebetria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pterodroma leucoptera leucoptera Gould's Petrel, Australian Gould's Petrel [26033]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pterodroma mollis Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Sternula nereis nereis Australian Fairy Tern [82950]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [64460]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri platei Northern Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [82273]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche chrysostoma Grey-headed Albatross [66491]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche salvini Salvin's Albatross [64463]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Mammals		
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Eubalaena australis Southern Right Whale [40]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur

Name	Status	Type of Presence
		within area
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Reptiles		
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Sharks		
Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area

Listed Migratory Species [Resource Information]

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Migratory Marine Birds		
Ardenna carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [82404]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Ardenna grisea Sooty Shearwater [82651]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phoebetria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [64460]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Thalassarche chrysostoma Grey-headed Albatross [66491]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche salvini Salvin's Albatross [64463]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Migratory Marine Species		
Balaena glacialis australis Southern Right Whale [75529]	Endangered*	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Caperea marginata Pygmy Right Whale [39]		Foraging, feeding or related behaviour may occur within area
Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Isurus oxyrinchus Shortfin Mako, Mako Shark [79073]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Lagenorhynchus obscurus Dusky Dolphin [43]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lamna nasus Porbeagle, Mackerel Shark [83288]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Migratory Wetlands Species		
Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

Listed Marine Species [Resource Information]

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Birds		
Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Catharacta skua Great Skua [59472]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Halobaena caerulea Blue Petrel [1059]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pachyptila turtur Fairy Prion [1066]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phoebetria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pterodroma mollis Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Puffinus carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [1043]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Puffinus griseus Sooty Shearwater [1024]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [64460]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche chrysostoma Grey-headed Albatross [66491]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche salvini Salvin's Albatross [64463]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche sp. nov. Pacific Albatross [66511]	Vulnerable*	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Fish		
Heraldia nocturna Upside-down Pipefish, Eastern Upside-down Pipefish, Eastern Upside-down Pipefish [66227]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus abdominalis Big-belly Seahorse, Eastern Potbelly Seahorse, New Zealand Potbelly Seahorse [66233]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus breviceps Short-head Seahorse, Short-snouted Seahorse [66235]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Histiogamphelus briggsii Crested Pipefish, Briggs' Crested Pipefish, Briggs' Pipefish [66242]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Histiogamphelus cristatus Rhino Pipefish, Macleay's Crested Pipefish, Ring-back Pipefish [66243]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hypselognathus rostratus Knifesnout Pipefish, Knife-snouted Pipefish [66245]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Kaupus costatus Deepbody Pipefish, Deep-bodied Pipefish [66246]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Leptoichthys fistularius Brushtail Pipefish [66248]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lissocampus caudalis Australian Smooth Pipefish, Smooth Pipefish [66249]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lissocampus runa Javelin Pipefish [66251]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Maroubra perserrata Sawtooth Pipefish [66252]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mitotichthys semistriatus Halfbanded Pipefish [66261]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mitotichthys tuckeri Tucker's Pipefish [66262]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Notiocampus ruber Red Pipefish [66265]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phycodurus eques Leafy Seadragon [66267]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phyllopteryx taeniolatus Common Seadragon, Weedy Seadragon [66268]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pugnaso curtirostris Pugnose Pipefish, Pug-nosed Pipefish [66269]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Solegnathus robustus Robust Pipehorse, Robust Spiny Pipehorse [66274]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Solegnathus spinosissimus Spiny Pipehorse, Australian Spiny Pipehorse [66275]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Stigmatopora argus Spotted Pipefish, Gulf Pipefish, Peacock Pipefish [66276]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Stigmatopora nigra Widebody Pipefish, Wide-bodied Pipefish, Black Pipefish [66277]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Stipecampus cristatus Ringback Pipefish, Ring-backed Pipefish [66278]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Urocampus carinirostris Hairy Pipefish [66282]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Vanacampus margaritifer Mother-of-pearl Pipefish [66283]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Vanacampus phillipi Port Phillip Pipefish [66284]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Vanacampus poecilolaemus Longsnout Pipefish, Australian Long-snout Pipefish, Long-snouted Pipefish [66285]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mammals		
Arctocephalus forsteri Long-nosed Fur-seal, New Zealand Fur-seal [20]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Arctocephalus pusillus Australian Fur-seal, Australo-African Fur-seal [21]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Reptiles		
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Whales and other Cetaceans [Resource Information]

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Mammals		
Balaenoptera acutorostrata Minke Whale [33]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known

Name	Status	Type of Presence
		to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Caperea marginata Pygmy Right Whale [39]		Foraging, feeding or related behaviour may occur within area
Delphinus delphis Common Dophin, Short-beaked Common Dolphin [60]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Eubalaena australis Southern Right Whale [40]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Grampus griseus Risso's Dolphin, Grampus [64]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lagenorhynchus obscurus Dusky Dolphin [43]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pseudorca crassidens False Killer Whale [48]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Tursiops truncatus s. str. Bottlenose Dolphin [68417]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Extra Information

Caveat

The information presented in this report has been provided by a range of data sources as acknowledged at the end of the report.

This report is designed to assist in identifying the locations of places which may be relevant in determining obligations under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. It holds mapped locations of World and National Heritage properties, Wetlands of International and National Importance, Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves, listed threatened, migratory and marine species and listed threatened ecological communities. Mapping of Commonwealth land is not complete at this stage. Maps have been collated from a range of sources at various resolutions.

Not all species listed under the EPBC Act have been mapped (see below) and therefore a report is a general guide only. Where available data supports mapping, the type of presence that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. People using this information in making a referral may need to consider the qualifications below and may need to seek and consider other information sources.

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are derived from recovery plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been derived through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, maps are derived using either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc) together with point locations and described habitat; or environmental modelling (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using point locations and environmental data layers.

Where very little information is available for species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc). In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More reliable distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions as time permits.

Only selected species covered by the following provisions of the EPBC Act have been mapped:

- migratory and
- marine

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in reports produced from this database:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered as vagrants
- some species and ecological communities that have only recently been listed
- some terrestrial species that overfly the Commonwealth marine area
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in small numbers

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

- non-threatened seabirds which have only been mapped for recorded breeding sites
- seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

Such breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

Coordinates

-39.10826 142.95147

Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

- -Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales
- -Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria
- -Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania
- -Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia
- -Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory
- -Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland
- -Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia
- -Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT
- -Birdlife Australia
- -Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme
- -Australian National Wildlife Collection
- -Natural history museums of Australia
- -Museum Victoria
- -Australian Museum
- -South Australian Museum
- -Queensland Museum
- -Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums
- -Queensland Herbarium
- -National Herbarium of NSW
- -Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria
- -Tasmanian Herbarium
- -State Herbarium of South Australia
- -Northern Territory Herbarium
- -Western Australian Herbarium
- -Australian National Herbarium, Canberra
- -University of New England
- -Ocean Biogeographic Information System
- -Australian Government, Department of Defence
- Forestry Corporation, NSW
- -Geoscience Australia
- -CSIRO
- -Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns
- -eBird Australia
- -Australian Government – Australian Antarctic Data Centre
- -Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory
- -Australian Government National Environmental Science Program
- -Australian Institute of Marine Science
- -Reef Life Survey Australia
- -American Museum of Natural History
- -Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania
- -Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania
- -Other groups and individuals

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the Contact Us page.

Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment
GPO Box 858
Canberra City ACT 2601 Australia
+61 2 6274 1111

Australian Government

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment

EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected.

Information on the coverage of this report and qualifications on data supporting this report are contained in the caveat at the end of the report.

Information is available about Environment Assessments and the EPBC Act including significance guidelines, forms and application process details.

Report created: 27/01/21 10:59:48



Coordinates
Buffer: 4.5Km



Summary

Matters of National Environmental Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the Administrative Guidelines on Significance.

World Heritage Properties:	None
National Heritage Places:	None
Wetlands of International Importance:	None
Great Barrier Reef Marine Park:	None
Commonwealth Marine Area:	1
Listed Threatened Ecological Communities:	None
Listed Threatened Species:	32
Listed Migratory Species:	36

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the environment anywhere.

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage

A permit may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

Commonwealth Land:	None
Commonwealth Heritage Places:	None
Listed Marine Species:	58
Whales and Other Cetaceans:	13
Critical Habitats:	None
Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial:	None
Australian Marine Parks:	None

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have nominated.

State and Territory Reserves:	None
Regional Forest Agreements:	None
Invasive Species:	None
Nationally Important Wetlands:	None
Key Ecological Features (Marine)	None

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

Commonwealth Marine Area [Resource Information]

Approval is required for a proposed activity that is located within the Commonwealth Marine Area which has, will have, or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment. Approval may be required for a proposed action taken outside the Commonwealth Marine Area but which has, may have or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment in the Commonwealth Marine Area. Generally the Commonwealth Marine Area stretches from three nautical miles to two hundred nautical miles from the coast.

Name
EEZ and Territorial Sea

Marine Regions [Resource Information]

If you are planning to undertake action in an area in or close to the Commonwealth Marine Area, and a marine bioregional plan has been prepared for the Commonwealth Marine Area in that area, the marine bioregional plan may inform your decision as to whether to refer your proposed action under the EPBC Act.

Name
South-east

Listed Threatened Species [Resource Information]

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Birds		
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Halobaena caerulea Blue Petrel [1059]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within

Name	Status	Type of Presence
		area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pachyptila turtur subantarctica Fairy Prion (southern) [64445]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phoebetria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pterodroma leucoptera leucoptera Gould's Petrel, Australian Gould's Petrel [26033]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pterodroma mollis Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Sternula nereis nereis Australian Fairy Tern [82950]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [64460]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri platei Northern Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [82273]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche chrysostoma Grey-headed Albatross [66491]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche salvini Salvin's Albatross [64463]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Mammals		
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Eubalaena australis Southern Right Whale [40]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur

Name	Status	Type of Presence
		within area
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Reptiles		
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Sharks		
Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area

Listed Migratory Species

[Resource Information]

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Migratory Marine Birds		
Ardenna carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [82404]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Ardenna grisea Sooty Shearwater [82651]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phoebetria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [64460]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Thalassarche chrysostoma Grey-headed Albatross [66491]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche salvini Salvin's Albatross [64463]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Migratory Marine Species		
Balaena glacialis australis Southern Right Whale [75529]	Endangered*	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Caperea marginata Pygmy Right Whale [39]		Foraging, feeding or related behaviour may occur within area
Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Isurus oxyrinchus Shortfin Mako, Mako Shark [79073]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Lagenorhynchus obscurus Dusky Dolphin [43]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lamna nasus Porbeagle, Mackerel Shark [83288]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Migratory Wetlands Species		
Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

Listed Marine Species [Resource Information]

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Birds		
Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Catharacta skua Great Skua [59472]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Halobaena caerulea Blue Petrel [1059]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pachyptila turtur Fairy Prion [1066]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phoebetria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pterodroma mollis Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Puffinus carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [1043]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Puffinus griseus Sooty Shearwater [1024]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [64460]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche chrysostoma Grey-headed Albatross [66491]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche salvini Salvin's Albatross [64463]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche sp. nov. Pacific Albatross [66511]	Vulnerable*	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Fish		
Heraldia nocturna Upside-down Pipefish, Eastern Upside-down Pipefish, Eastern Upside-down Pipefish [66227]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus abdominalis Big-belly Seahorse, Eastern Potbelly Seahorse, New Zealand Potbelly Seahorse [66233]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus breviceps Short-head Seahorse, Short-snouted Seahorse [66235]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Histiogamphelus briggsii Crested Pipefish, Briggs' Crested Pipefish, Briggs' Pipefish [66242]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Histiogamphelus cristatus Rhino Pipefish, Macleay's Crested Pipefish, Ring-back Pipefish [66243]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hypselognathus rostratus Knifesnout Pipefish, Knife-snouted Pipefish [66245]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Kaupus costatus Deepbody Pipefish, Deep-bodied Pipefish [66246]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Leptoichthys fistularius Brushtail Pipefish [66248]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lissocampus caudalis Australian Smooth Pipefish, Smooth Pipefish [66249]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lissocampus runa Javelin Pipefish [66251]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Maroubra perserrata Sawtooth Pipefish [66252]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mitotichthys semistriatus Halfbanded Pipefish [66261]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mitotichthys tuckeri Tucker's Pipefish [66262]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Notiocampus ruber Red Pipefish [66265]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phycodurus eques Leafy Seadragon [66267]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phyllopteryx taeniolatus Common Seadragon, Weedy Seadragon [66268]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pugnaso curtirostris Pugnose Pipefish, Pug-nosed Pipefish [66269]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Solegnathus robustus Robust Pipehorse, Robust Spiny Pipehorse [66274]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Solegnathus spinosissimus Spiny Pipehorse, Australian Spiny Pipehorse [66275]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Stigmatopora argus Spotted Pipefish, Gulf Pipefish, Peacock Pipefish [66276]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Stigmatopora nigra Widebody Pipefish, Wide-bodied Pipefish, Black Pipefish [66277]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Stipecampus cristatus Ringback Pipefish, Ring-backed Pipefish [66278]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Urocampus carinirostris Hairy Pipefish [66282]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Vanacampus margaritifer Mother-of-pearl Pipefish [66283]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Vanacampus phillipi Port Phillip Pipefish [66284]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Vanacampus poecilolaemus Longsnout Pipefish, Australian Long-snout Pipefish, Long-snouted Pipefish [66285]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mammals		
Arctocephalus forsteri Long-nosed Fur-seal, New Zealand Fur-seal [20]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Arctocephalus pusillus Australian Fur-seal, Australo-African Fur-seal [21]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Reptiles		
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Whales and other Cetaceans [Resource Information]

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Mammals		
Balaenoptera acutorostrata Minke Whale [33]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known

Name	Status	Type of Presence
		to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Caperea marginata Pygmy Right Whale [39]		Foraging, feeding or related behaviour may occur within area
Delphinus delphis Common Dophin, Short-beaked Common Dolphin [60]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Eubalaena australis Southern Right Whale [40]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Grampus griseus Risso's Dolphin, Grampus [64]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lagenorhynchus obscurus Dusky Dolphin [43]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pseudorca crassidens False Killer Whale [48]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Tursiops truncatus s. str. Bottlenose Dolphin [68417]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Extra Information

Caveat

The information presented in this report has been provided by a range of data sources as acknowledged at the end of the report.

This report is designed to assist in identifying the locations of places which may be relevant in determining obligations under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. It holds mapped locations of World and National Heritage properties, Wetlands of International and National Importance, Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves, listed threatened, migratory and marine species and listed threatened ecological communities. Mapping of Commonwealth land is not complete at this stage. Maps have been collated from a range of sources at various resolutions.

Not all species listed under the EPBC Act have been mapped (see below) and therefore a report is a general guide only. Where available data supports mapping, the type of presence that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. People using this information in making a referral may need to consider the qualifications below and may need to seek and consider other information sources.

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are derived from recovery plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been derived through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, maps are derived using either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc) together with point locations and described habitat; or environmental modelling (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using point locations and environmental data layers.

Where very little information is available for species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc). In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More reliable distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions as time permits.

Only selected species covered by the following provisions of the EPBC Act have been mapped:

- migratory and
- marine

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in reports produced from this database:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered as vagrants
- some species and ecological communities that have only recently been listed
- some terrestrial species that overfly the Commonwealth marine area
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in small numbers

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

- non-threatened seabirds which have only been mapped for recorded breeding sites
- seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

Such breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

Coordinates

-39.10821 142.9516

Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

-Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales
-Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria
-Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania
-Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia
-Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory
-Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland
-Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia
-Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT
-Birdlife Australia
-Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme
-Australian National Wildlife Collection
-Natural history museums of Australia
-Museum Victoria
-Australian Museum
-South Australian Museum
-Queensland Museum
-Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums
-Queensland Herbarium
-National Herbarium of NSW
-Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria
-Tasmanian Herbarium
-State Herbarium of South Australia
-Northern Territory Herbarium
-Western Australian Herbarium
-Australian National Herbarium, Canberra
-University of New England
-Ocean Biogeographic Information System
-Australian Government, Department of Defence
Forestry Corporation, NSW
-Geoscience Australia
-CSIRO
-Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns
-eBird Australia
-Australian Government – Australian Antarctic Data Centre
-Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory
-Australian Government National Environmental Science Program
-Australian Institute of Marine Science
-Reef Life Survey Australia
-American Museum of Natural History
-Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania
-Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania
-Other groups and individuals

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the Contact Us page.

Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment
GPO Box 858
Canberra City ACT 2601 Australia
+61 2 6274 1111

Australian Government

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment

EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected.

Information on the coverage of this report and qualifications on data supporting this report are contained in the caveat at the end of the report.

Information is available about Environment Assessments and the EPBC Act including significance guidelines, forms and application process details.

Report created: 27/01/21 12:23:19



Coordinates

Buffer: 17.0Km



Summary

Matters of National Environmental Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the Administrative Guidelines on Significance.

World Heritage Properties:	None
National Heritage Places:	None
Wetlands of International Importance:	None
Great Barrier Reef Marine Park:	None
Commonwealth Marine Area:	1
Listed Threatened Ecological Communities:	None
Listed Threatened Species:	32
Listed Migratory Species:	37

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the environment anywhere.

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage

A permit may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

Commonwealth Land:	None
Commonwealth Heritage Places:	None
Listed Marine Species:	58
Whales and Other Cetaceans:	26
Critical Habitats:	None
Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial:	None
Australian Marine Parks:	None

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have nominated.

State and Territory Reserves:	None
Regional Forest Agreements:	None
Invasive Species:	None
Nationally Important Wetlands:	None
Key Ecological Features (Marine)	None

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

Commonwealth Marine Area [Resource Information]

Approval is required for a proposed activity that is located within the Commonwealth Marine Area which has, will have, or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment. Approval may be required for a proposed action taken outside the Commonwealth Marine Area but which has, may have or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment in the Commonwealth Marine Area. Generally the Commonwealth Marine Area stretches from three nautical miles to two hundred nautical miles from the coast.

Name
EEZ and Territorial Sea

Marine Regions [Resource Information]

If you are planning to undertake action in an area in or close to the Commonwealth Marine Area, and a marine bioregional plan has been prepared for the Commonwealth Marine Area in that area, the marine bioregional plan may inform your decision as to whether to refer your proposed action under the EPBC Act.

Name
South-east

Listed Threatened Species [Resource Information]

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Birds		
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Halobaena caerulea Blue Petrel [1059]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within

Name	Status	Type of Presence
		area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pachyptila turtur subantarctica Fairy Prion (southern) [64445]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phoebetria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pterodroma leucoptera leucoptera Gould's Petrel, Australian Gould's Petrel [26033]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pterodroma mollis Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Sternula nereis nereis Australian Fairy Tern [82950]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [64460]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri platei Northern Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [82273]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche chrysostoma Grey-headed Albatross [66491]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche salvini Salvin's Albatross [64463]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Mammals		
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Eubalaena australis Southern Right Whale [40]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur

Name	Status	Type of Presence
		within area
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Reptiles		
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Sharks		
Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area

Listed Migratory Species

[Resource Information]

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Migratory Marine Birds		
Ardenna carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [82404]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Ardenna grisea Sooty Shearwater [82651]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phoebetria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [64460]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Thalassarche chrysostoma Grey-headed Albatross [66491]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche salvini Salvin's Albatross [64463]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Migratory Marine Species		
Balaena glacialis australis Southern Right Whale [75529]	Endangered*	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Caperea marginata Pygmy Right Whale [39]		Foraging, feeding or related behaviour may occur within area
Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Isurus oxyrinchus Shortfin Mako, Mako Shark [79073]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Lagenorhynchus obscurus Dusky Dolphin [43]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lamna nasus Porbeagle, Mackerel Shark [83288]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Physeter macrocephalus Sperm Whale [59]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Migratory Wetlands Species		
Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

Listed Marine Species [Resource Information]

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Birds		
Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Catharacta skua Great Skua [59472]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Halobaena caerulea Blue Petrel [1059]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pachyptila turtur Fairy Prion [1066]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phoebetria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pterodroma mollis Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Puffinus carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [1043]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Puffinus griseus Sooty Shearwater [1024]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [64460]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche chrysostoma Grey-headed Albatross [66491]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche salvini Salvin's Albatross [64463]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Thalassarche sp. nov. Pacific Albatross [66511]	Vulnerable*	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Fish		
Heraldia nocturna Upside-down Pipefish, Eastern Upside-down Pipefish, Eastern Upside-down Pipefish [66227]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus abdominalis Big-belly Seahorse, Eastern Potbelly Seahorse, New Zealand Potbelly Seahorse [66233]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus breviceps Short-head Seahorse, Short-snouted Seahorse [66235]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Histiogamphelus briggsii Crested Pipefish, Briggs' Crested Pipefish, Briggs' Pipefish [66242]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Histiogamphelus cristatus Rhino Pipefish, Macleay's Crested Pipefish, Ring-back Pipefish [66243]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hypselognathus rostratus Knifesnout Pipefish, Knife-snouted Pipefish [66245]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Kaupus costatus Deepbody Pipefish, Deep-bodied Pipefish [66246]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Leptoichthys fistularius Brushtail Pipefish [66248]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lissocampus caudalis Australian Smooth Pipefish, Smooth Pipefish [66249]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lissocampus runa Javelin Pipefish [66251]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Maroubra perserrata Sawtooth Pipefish [66252]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mitotichthys semistriatus Halfbanded Pipefish [66261]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mitotichthys tuckeri Tucker's Pipefish [66262]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Notiocampus ruber Red Pipefish [66265]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phycodurus eques Leafy Seadragon [66267]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phyllopteryx taeniolatus Common Seadragon, Weedy Seadragon [66268]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Pugnaso curtirostris Pugnose Pipefish, Pug-nosed Pipefish [66269]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Solegnathus robustus Robust Pipehorse, Robust Spiny Pipehorse [66274]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Solegnathus spinosissimus Spiny Pipehorse, Australian Spiny Pipehorse [66275]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Stigmatopora argus Spotted Pipefish, Gulf Pipefish, Peacock Pipefish [66276]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Stigmatopora nigra Widebody Pipefish, Wide-bodied Pipefish, Black Pipefish [66277]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Stipecampus cristatus Ringback Pipefish, Ring-backed Pipefish [66278]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Urocampus carinirostris Hairy Pipefish [66282]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Vanacampus margaritifer Mother-of-pearl Pipefish [66283]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Vanacampus phillipi Port Phillip Pipefish [66284]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Vanacampus poecilolaemus Longsnout Pipefish, Australian Long-snout Pipefish, Long-snouted Pipefish [66285]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mammals		
Arctocephalus forsteri Long-nosed Fur-seal, New Zealand Fur-seal [20]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Arctocephalus pusillus Australian Fur-seal, Australo-African Fur-seal [21]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Reptiles		
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Whales and other Cetaceans [Resource Information]

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Mammals		
Balaenoptera acutorostrata Minke Whale [33]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely

Name	Status	Type of Presence
		to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Berardius arnuxii Arnoux's Beaked Whale [70]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Caperea marginata Pygmy Right Whale [39]		Foraging, feeding or related behaviour may occur within area
Delphinus delphis Common Dophin, Short-beaked Common Dolphin [60]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Eubalaena australis Southern Right Whale [40]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Globicephala macrorhynchus Short-finned Pilot Whale [62]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Globicephala melas Long-finned Pilot Whale [59282]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Grampus griseus Risso's Dolphin, Grampus [64]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Kogia breviceps Pygmy Sperm Whale [57]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Kogia simus Dwarf Sperm Whale [58]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lagenorhynchus obscurus Dusky Dolphin [43]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lissodelphis peronii Southern Right Whale Dolphin [44]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Mesoplodon bowdoini Andrew's Beaked Whale [73]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mesoplodon densirostris Blainville's Beaked Whale, Dense-beaked Whale [74]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mesoplodon hectori Hector's Beaked Whale [76]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mesoplodon layardii Strap-toothed Beaked Whale, Strap-toothed Whale, Layard's Beaked Whale [25556]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Mesoplodon mirus True's Beaked Whale [54]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Physeter macrocephalus Sperm Whale [59]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pseudorca crassidens False Killer Whale [48]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Tursiops truncatus s. str. Bottlenose Dolphin [68417]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Ziphius cavirostris Cuvier's Beaked Whale, Goose-beaked Whale [56]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Extra Information

Caveat

The information presented in this report has been provided by a range of data sources as acknowledged at the end of the report.

This report is designed to assist in identifying the locations of places which may be relevant in determining obligations under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. It holds mapped locations of World and National Heritage properties, Wetlands of International and National Importance, Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves, listed threatened, migratory and marine species and listed threatened ecological communities. Mapping of Commonwealth land is not complete at this stage. Maps have been collated from a range of sources at various resolutions.

Not all species listed under the EPBC Act have been mapped (see below) and therefore a report is a general guide only. Where available data supports mapping, the type of presence that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. People using this information in making a referral may need to consider the qualifications below and may need to seek and consider other information sources.

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are derived from recovery plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been derived through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, maps are derived using either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc) together with point locations and described habitat; or environmental modelling (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using point locations and environmental data layers.

Where very little information is available for species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc). In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More reliable distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions as time permits.

Only selected species covered by the following provisions of the EPBC Act have been mapped:

- migratory and
- marine

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in reports produced from this database:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered as vagrants
- some species and ecological communities that have only recently been listed
- some terrestrial species that overfly the Commonwealth marine area
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in small numbers

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

- non-threatened seabirds which have only been mapped for recorded breeding sites
- seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

Such breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

Coordinates

-39.10819 142.95155

Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

- -Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales
- -Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria
- -Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania
- -Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia
- -Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory
- -Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland
- -Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia
- -Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT
- -Birdlife Australia
- -Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme
- -Australian National Wildlife Collection
- -Natural history museums of Australia
- -Museum Victoria
- -Australian Museum
- -South Australian Museum
- -Queensland Museum
- -Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums
- -Queensland Herbarium
- -National Herbarium of NSW
- -Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria
- -Tasmanian Herbarium
- -State Herbarium of South Australia
- -Northern Territory Herbarium
- -Western Australian Herbarium
- -Australian National Herbarium, Canberra
- -University of New England
- -Ocean Biogeographic Information System
- -Australian Government, Department of Defence
- Forestry Corporation, NSW
- -Geoscience Australia
- -CSIRO
- -Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns
- -eBird Australia
- -Australian Government – Australian Antarctic Data Centre
- -Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory
- -Australian Government National Environmental Science Program
- -Australian Institute of Marine Science
- -Reef Life Survey Australia
- -American Museum of Natural History
- -Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania
- -Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania
- -Other groups and individuals

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the Contact Us page.

Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment
GPO Box 858
Canberra City ACT 2601 Australia
+61 2 6274 1111

Australian Government

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment

EPBC Act Protected Matters Report

This report provides general guidance on matters of national environmental significance and other matters protected by the EPBC Act in the area you have selected.

Information on the coverage of this report and qualifications on data supporting this report are contained in the caveat at the end of the report.

Information is available about Environment Assessments and the EPBC Act including significance guidelines, forms and application process details.

Report created: 14/01/21 12:07:39

Coordinates

Buffer: 0.0Km



Summary

Matters of National Environmental Significance

This part of the report summarises the matters of national environmental significance that may occur in, or may relate to, the area you nominated. Further information is available in the detail part of the report, which can be accessed by scrolling or following the links below. If you are proposing to undertake an activity that may have a significant impact on one or more matters of national environmental significance then you should consider the Administrative Guidelines on Significance.

World Heritage Properties:	None
National Heritage Places:	2
Wetlands of International Importance:	4
Great Barrier Reef Marine Park:	None
Commonwealth Marine Area:	1
Listed Threatened Ecological Communities:	6
Listed Threatened Species:	74
Listed Migratory Species:	56

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

This part of the report summarises other matters protected under the Act that may relate to the area you nominated. Approval may be required for a proposed activity that significantly affects the environment on Commonwealth land, when the action is outside the Commonwealth land, or the environment anywhere when the action is taken on Commonwealth land. Approval may also be required for the Commonwealth or Commonwealth agencies proposing to take an action that is likely to have a significant impact on the environment anywhere.

The EPBC Act protects the environment on Commonwealth land, the environment from the actions taken on Commonwealth land, and the environment from actions taken by Commonwealth agencies. As heritage values of a place are part of the 'environment', these aspects of the EPBC Act protect the Commonwealth Heritage values of a Commonwealth Heritage place. Information on the new heritage laws can be found at http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage

A permit may be required for activities in or on a Commonwealth area that may affect a member of a listed threatened species or ecological community, a member of a listed migratory species, whales and other cetaceans, or a member of a listed marine species.

Commonwealth Land:	2
Commonwealth Heritage Places:	None
Listed Marine Species:	97
Whales and Other Cetaceans:	30
Critical Habitats:	None
Commonwealth Reserves Terrestrial:	None
Australian Marine Parks:	2

Extra Information

This part of the report provides information that may also be relevant to the area you have nominated.

State and Territory Reserves:	9
Regional Forest Agreements:	2
Invasive Species:	45
Nationally Important Wetlands:	1
Key Ecological Features (Marine)	1

Details

Matters of National Environmental Significance

National Heritage Properties [Resource Information]

Name	State	Status
Historic		
Point Nepean Defence Sites and Quarantine Station Area	VIC	Listed place
Quarantine Station and Surrounds	VIC	Within listed place

Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar) [Resource Information]

Name	Proximity
Corner inlet	Within 10km of Ramsar
Glenelg estuary and discovery bay wetlands	Within 10km of Ramsar
Port phillip bay (western shoreline) and bellarine peninsula	Within 10km of Ramsar
Western port	Within 10km of Ramsar

Commonwealth Marine Area [Resource Information]

Approval is required for a proposed activity that is located within the Commonwealth Marine Area which has, will have, or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment. Approval may be required for a proposed action taken outside the Commonwealth Marine Area but which has, may have or is likely to have a significant impact on the environment in the Commonwealth Marine Area. Generally the Commonwealth Marine Area stretches from three nautical miles to two hundred nautical miles from the coast.

Name
EEZ and Territorial Sea

Marine Regions [Resource Information]

If you are planning to undertake action in an area in or close to the Commonwealth Marine Area, and a marine bioregional plan has been prepared for the Commonwealth Marine Area in that area, the marine bioregional plan may inform your decision as to whether to refer your proposed action under the EPBC Act.

Name
South-east

Listed Threatened Ecological Communities [Resource Information]

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are derived from recovery plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Giant Kelp Marine Forests of South East Australia	Endangered	Community may occur within area
Grassy Eucalypt Woodland of the Victorian Volcanic Plain	Critically Endangered	Community may occur within area
Natural Damp Grassland of the Victorian Coastal Plains	Critically Endangered	Community may occur within area
Natural Temperate Grassland of the Victorian Volcanic Plain	Critically Endangered	Community may occur within area
Tasmanian Forests and Woodlands dominated by black gum or Brookers gum (Eucalyptus ovata / E. brookeriana)	Critically Endangered	Community may occur within area
White Box-Yellow Box-Blakely's Red Gum Grassy Woodland and Derived Native Grassland	Critically Endangered	Community may occur within area

Listed Threatened Species [Resource Information]

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Birds		
Anthochaera phrygia		
Regent Honeyeater [82338]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Botaurus poiciloptilus		
Australasian Bittern [1001]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur

Name	Status	Type of Presence
		within area
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea antipodensis gibsoni Gibson's Albatross [82270]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Falco hypoleucos Grey Falcon [929]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Fregetta grallaria grallaria White-bellied Storm-Petrel (Tasman Sea), White-bellied Storm-Petrel (Australasian) [64438]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Grantiella picta Painted Honeyeater [470]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Halobaena caerulea Blue Petrel [1059]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hirundapus caudacutus White-throated Needletail [682]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Lathamus discolor Swift Parrot [744]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Limosa lapponica baueri Bar-tailed Godwit (baueri), Western Alaskan Bar-tailed Godwit [86380]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Neophema chrysogaster Orange-bellied Parrot [747]	Critically Endangered	Migration route likely to occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Pachyptila turtur subantarctica Fairy Prion (southern) [64445]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Pedionomus torquatus Plains-wanderer [906]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Phoebetria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pterodroma leucoptera leucoptera Gould's Petrel, Australian Gould's Petrel [26033]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pterodroma mollis Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Rostratula australis Australian Painted Snipe [77037]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Sternula nereis nereis Australian Fairy Tern [82950]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [64460]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri platei Northern Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [82273]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche chrysostoma Grey-headed Albatross [66491]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche salvini Salvin's Albatross [64463]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thinornis cucullatus cucullatus Hooded Plover (eastern), Eastern Hooded Plover [90381]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Crustaceans		
Euastacus bispinosus Glenelg Spiny Freshwater Crayfish, Pricklyback [81552]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Fish		
Galaxiella pusilla Eastern Dwarf Galaxias, Dwarf Galaxias [56790]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur

Name	Status	Type of Presence
		within area
Prototroctes maraena		
Australian Grayling [26179]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Frogs		
Litoria raniformis		
Growling Grass Frog, Southern Bell Frog, Green and Golden Frog, Warty Swamp Frog, Golden Bell Frog [1828]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Mammals		
Antechinus minimus maritimus		
Swamp Antechinus (mainland) [83086]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera borealis		
Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus		
Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus		
Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Dasyurus maculatus maculatus (SE mainland population)		
Spot-tailed Quoll, Spotted-tail Quoll, Tiger Quoll (southeastern mainland population) [75184]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Eubalaena australis		
Southern Right Whale [40]	Endangered	Breeding known to occur within area
Isoodon obesulus obesulus		
Southern Brown Bandicoot (eastern), Southern Brown Bandicoot (south-eastern) [68050]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Mastacomys fuscus mordicus		
Broad-toothed Rat (mainland), Tooarrana [87617]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Megaptera novaeangliae		
Humpback Whale [38]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Miniopterus orianae bassanii		
Southern Bent-wing Bat [87645]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Neophoca cinerea		
Australian Sea-lion, Australian Sea Lion [22]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Potorous tridactylus tridactylus		
Long-nosed Potoroo (SE Mainland) [66645]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pseudomys novaehollandiae		
New Holland Mouse, Pookila [96]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pseudomys shortridgei		
Heath Mouse, Dayang, Heath Rat [77]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pteropus poliocephalus		
Grey-headed Flying-fox [186]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Plants		

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Amphibromus fluitans River Swamp Wallaby-grass, Floating Swamp Wallaby-grass [19215]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Caladenia hastata Melblom's Spider-orchid [16118]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Caladenia orientalis Eastern Spider Orchid [83410]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Caladenia tessellata Thick-lipped Spider-orchid, Daddy Long-legs [2119]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Dianella amoena Matted Flax-lily [64886]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Glycine latrobeana Clover Glycine, Purple Clover [13910]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Ixodia achillaeoides subsp. arenicola Sand Ixodia, Ixodia [21474]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Lachnagrostis adamsonii Adamson's Blown-grass, Adamson's Blowngrass [76211]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pimelea spinescens subsp. spinescens Plains Rice-flower, Spiny Rice-flower, Prickly Pimelea [21980]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Prasophyllum frenchii Maroon Leek-orchid, Slaty Leek-orchid, Stout Leek-orchid, French's Leek-orchid, Swamp Leek-orchid [9704]	Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Prasophyllum spicatum Dense Leek-orchid [55146]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pterostylis chlorogramma Green-striped Greenhood [56510]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pterostylis cucullata Leafy Greenhood [15459]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Senecio psilocarpus Swamp Fireweed, Smooth-fruited Groundsel [64976]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Xerochrysum palustre Swamp Everlasting, Swamp Paper Daisy [76215]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Reptiles		
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Sharks		
Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area

Listed Migratory Species [Resource Information]

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Migratory Marine Birds		
Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Ardenna carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [82404]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Ardenna grisea Sooty Shearwater [82651]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Ardenna tenuirostris Short-tailed Shearwater [82652]		Breeding known to occur within area
Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phoebetria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Sternula albifrons Little Tern [82849]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [64460]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche chrysostoma Grey-headed Albatross [66491]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche salvini Salvin's Albatross [64463]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Migratory Marine Species		
Balaena glacialis australis Southern Right Whale [75529]	Endangered*	Breeding known to occur within area
Balaenoptera bonaerensis Antarctic Minke Whale, Dark-shoulder Minke Whale [67812]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Balaenoptera musculus Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Caperea marginata Pygmy Right Whale [39]		Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Carcharodon carcharias White Shark, Great White Shark [64470]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Isurus oxyrinchus Shortfin Mako, Mako Shark [79073]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Lagenorhynchus obscurus Dusky Dolphin [43]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Lamna nasus Porbeagle, Mackerel Shark [83288]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Megaptera novaeangliae Humpback Whale [38]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Physeter macrocephalus Sperm Whale [59]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Migratory Terrestrial Species		
Hirundapus caudacutus White-throated Needletail [682]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Monarcha melanopsis Black-faced Monarch [609]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Motacilla flava Yellow Wagtail [644]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Myiagra cyanoleuca Satin Flycatcher [612]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Rhipidura rufifrons Rufous Fantail [592]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Migratory Wetlands Species		
Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Gallinago hardwickii Latham's Snipe, Japanese Snipe [863]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Gallinago megala Swinhoe's Snipe [864]		Roosting likely to occur within area
Gallinago stenura Pin-tailed Snipe [841]		Roosting likely to occur within area
Limosa lapponica Bar-tailed Godwit [844]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Numenius minutus Little Curlew, Little Whimbrel [848]		Roosting likely to occur within area
Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Thalasseus bergii Crested Tern [83000]		Breeding known to occur within area
Tringa nebularia Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Other Matters Protected by the EPBC Act

Commonwealth Land [Resource Information]

The Commonwealth area listed below may indicate the presence of Commonwealth land in this vicinity. Due to the unreliability of the data source, all proposals should be checked as to whether it impacts on a Commonwealth area, before making a definitive decision. Contact the State or Territory government land department for further information.

Name
Commonwealth Land - Defence - TRAINING CENTRE (Norris Barracks) - Portsea

Listed Marine Species [Resource Information]

* Species is listed under a different scientific name on the EPBC Act - Threatened Species list.

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Birds		
Actitis hypoleucos Common Sandpiper [59309]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Anous stolidus Common Noddy [825]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Anseranas semipalmata Magpie Goose [978]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Apus pacificus Fork-tailed Swift [678]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Ardea alba Great Egret, White Egret [59541]		Breeding known to occur within area
Ardea ibis Cattle Egret [59542]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Calidris acuminata Sharp-tailed Sandpiper [874]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Calidris canutus Red Knot, Knot [855]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Calidris ferruginea Curlew Sandpiper [856]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
		area
Calidris melanotos Pectoral Sandpiper [858]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Catharacta skua Great Skua [59472]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Chrysococcyx osculans Black-eared Cuckoo [705]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Diomedea antipodensis Antipodean Albatross [64458]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea epomophora Southern Royal Albatross [89221]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea exulans Wandering Albatross [89223]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea gibsoni Gibson's Albatross [64466]	Vulnerable*	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Diomedea sanfordi Northern Royal Albatross [64456]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Eudyptula minor Little Penguin [1085]		Breeding known to occur within area
Gallinago hardwickii Latham's Snipe, Japanese Snipe [863]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Gallinago megala Swinhoe's Snipe [864]		Roosting likely to occur within area
Gallinago stenura Pin-tailed Snipe [841]		Roosting likely to occur within area
Haliaeetus leucogaster White-bellied Sea-Eagle [943]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Halobaena caerulea Blue Petrel [1059]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hirundapus caudacutus White-throated Needletail [682]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Larus dominicanus Kelp Gull [809]		Breeding known to occur within area
Larus novaehollandiae Silver Gull [810]		Breeding known to occur within area
Larus pacificus Pacific Gull [811]		Breeding known to occur within area
Lathamus discolor Swift Parrot [744]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Limosa lapponica Bar-tailed Godwit [844]		Species or species

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
		habitat known to occur within area
Macronectes giganteus Southern Giant-Petrel, Southern Giant Petrel [1060]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Macronectes halli Northern Giant Petrel [1061]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Merops ornatus Rainbow Bee-eater [670]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Monarcha melanopsis Black-faced Monarch [609]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Motacilla flava Yellow Wagtail [644]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Myiagra cyanoleuca Satin Flycatcher [612]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Neophema chrysogaster Orange-bellied Parrot [747]	Critically Endangered	Migration route likely to occur within area
Numenius madagascariensis Eastern Curlew, Far Eastern Curlew [847]	Critically Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Numenius minutus Little Curlew, Little Whimbrel [848]		Roosting likely to occur within area
Pachyptila turtur Fairy Prion [1066]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Pandion haliaetus Osprey [952]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Pelecanoides urinatrix Common Diving-Petrel [1018]		Breeding known to occur within area
Phalacrocorax fuscescens Black-faced Cormorant [59660]		Breeding known to occur within area
Phoebetria fusca Sooty Albatross [1075]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pterodroma mollis Soft-plumaged Petrel [1036]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Puffinus carneipes Flesh-footed Shearwater, Fleshy-footed Shearwater [1043]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Puffinus griseus Sooty Shearwater [1024]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Puffinus tenuirostris Short-tailed Shearwater [1029]		Breeding known to occur within area
Rhipidura rufifrons Rufous Fantail [592]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Rostratula benghalensis (sensu lato) Painted Snipe [889]	Endangered*	Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Sterna albifrons Little Tern [813]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Sterna bergii Crested Tern [816]		Breeding known to occur within area
Thalassarche bulleri Buller's Albatross, Pacific Albatross [64460]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche cauta Shy Albatross [89224]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche chrysostoma Grey-headed Albatross [66491]	Endangered	Species or species habitat may occur within area
Thalassarche impavida Campbell Albatross, Campbell Black-browed Albatross [64459]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche melanophris Black-browed Albatross [66472]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche salvini Salvin's Albatross [64463]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche sp. nov. Pacific Albatross [66511]	Vulnerable*	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thalassarche steadi White-capped Albatross [64462]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Thinornis rubricollis Hooded Plover [59510]		Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Thinornis rubricollis rubricollis Hooded Plover (eastern) [66726]	Vulnerable*	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Tringa nebularia Common Greenshank, Greenshank [832]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Fish		
Heraldia nocturna Upside-down Pipefish, Eastern Upside-down Pipefish, Eastern Upside-down Pipefish [66227]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus abdominalis Big-belly Seahorse, Eastern Potbelly Seahorse, New Zealand Potbelly Seahorse [66233]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus breviceps Short-head Seahorse, Short-snouted Seahorse [66235]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hippocampus minotaur Bullneck Seahorse [66705]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Histiogamphelus briggsii Crested Pipefish, Briggs' Crested Pipefish, Briggs' Pipefish [66242]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Histiogamphelus cristatus Rhino Pipefish, Macleay's Crested Pipefish, Ring-back Pipefish [66243]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Hypselognathus rostratus Knifesnout Pipefish, Knife-snouted Pipefish [66245]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Kaupus costatus Deepbody Pipefish, Deep-bodied Pipefish [66246]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Kimblaeus bassensis Trawl Pipefish, Bass Strait Pipefish [66247]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Leptoichthys fistularius Brushtail Pipefish [66248]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lissocampus caudalis Australian Smooth Pipefish, Smooth Pipefish [66249]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lissocampus runa Javelin Pipefish [66251]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Maroubra perserrata Sawtooth Pipefish [66252]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mitotichthys mollisoni Mollison's Pipefish [66260]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mitotichthys semistriatus Halfbanded Pipefish [66261]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mitotichthys tuckeri Tucker's Pipefish [66262]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Notiocampus ruber Red Pipefish [66265]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phycodurus eques Leafy Seadragon [66267]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Phyllopteryx taeniolatus Common Seadragon, Weedy Seadragon [66268]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pugnaso curtirostris Pugnose Pipefish, Pug-nosed Pipefish [66269]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Solegnathus robustus Robust Pipehorse, Robust Spiny Pipehorse [66274]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Solegnathus spinosissimus Spiny Pipehorse, Australian Spiny Pipehorse [66275]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Name	Threatened	Type of Presence
Stigmatopora argus Spotted Pipefish, Gulf Pipefish, Peacock Pipefish [66276]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Stigmatopora nigra Widebody Pipefish, Wide-bodied Pipefish, Black Pipefish [66277]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Stipecampus cristatus Ringback Pipefish, Ring-backed Pipefish [66278]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Urocampus carinirostris Hairy Pipefish [66282]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Vanacampus margaritifer Mother-of-pearl Pipefish [66283]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Vanacampus phillipi Port Phillip Pipefish [66284]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Vanacampus poecilolaemus Longsnout Pipefish, Australian Long-snout Pipefish, Long-snouted Pipefish [66285]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mammals		
Arctocephalus forsteri Long-nosed Fur-seal, New Zealand Fur-seal [20]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Arctocephalus pusillus Australian Fur-seal, Australo-African Fur-seal [21]		Breeding known to occur within area
Neophoca cinerea Australian Sea-lion, Australian Sea Lion [22]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Reptiles		
Caretta caretta Loggerhead Turtle [1763]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Chelonia mydas Green Turtle [1765]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Dermochelys coriacea Leatherback Turtle, Leathery Turtle, Luth [1768]	Endangered	Species or species habitat known to occur within area

Whales and other Cetaceans [Resource Information]

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Mammals		
Balaenoptera acutorostrata Minke Whale [33]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Balaenoptera bonaerensis Antarctic Minke Whale, Dark-shoulder Minke Whale [67812]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Balaenoptera borealis Sei Whale [34]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Balaenoptera edeni Bryde's Whale [35]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Balaenoptera musculus		
Blue Whale [36]	Endangered	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Balaenoptera physalus		
Fin Whale [37]	Vulnerable	Foraging, feeding or related behaviour known to occur within area
Berardius arnuxii		
Arnoux's Beaked Whale [70]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Caperea marginata		
Pygmy Right Whale [39]		Foraging, feeding or related behaviour likely to occur within area
Delphinus delphis		
Common Dophin, Short-beaked Common Dolphin [60]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Eubalaena australis		
Southern Right Whale [40]	Endangered	Breeding known to occur within area
Globicephala macrorhynchus		
Short-finned Pilot Whale [62]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Globicephala melas		
Long-finned Pilot Whale [59282]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Grampus griseus		
Risso's Dolphin, Grampus [64]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Kogia breviceps		
Pygmy Sperm Whale [57]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Kogia simus		
Dwarf Sperm Whale [58]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Lagenorhynchus obscurus		
Dusky Dolphin [43]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Lissodelphis peronii		
Southern Right Whale Dolphin [44]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Megaptera novaeangliae		
Humpback Whale [38]	Vulnerable	Species or species habitat known to occur within area
Mesoplodon bowdoini		
Andrew's Beaked Whale [73]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mesoplodon densirostris		
Blainville's Beaked Whale, Dense-beaked Whale [74]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mesoplodon grayi		
Gray's Beaked Whale, Scamperdown Whale [75]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mesoplodon hectori		
Hector's Beaked Whale [76]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Mesoplodon layardii		
Strap-toothed Beaked Whale, Strap-toothed		Species or species

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Whale, Layard's Beaked Whale [25556]		habitat may occur within area
Mesoplodon mirus True's Beaked Whale [54]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Orcinus orca Killer Whale, Orca [46]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Physeter macrocephalus Sperm Whale [59]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Pseudorca crassidens False Killer Whale [48]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Tursiops aduncus Indian Ocean Bottlenose Dolphin, Spotted Bottlenose Dolphin [68418]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Tursiops truncatus s. str. Bottlenose Dolphin [68417]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Ziphius cavirostris Cuvier's Beaked Whale, Goose-beaked Whale [56]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Australian Marine Parks [Resource Information]

Name	Label
Apollo	Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI)
Beagle	Multiple Use Zone (IUCN VI)

Extra Information

State and Territory Reserves [Resource Information]

Name	State
Anser Island	VIC
Cape Nelson	VIC
Discovery Bay Coastal Park	VIC
Lady Julia Percy Island W.R.	VIC
Phillip Island Nature Park	VIC
Point Nepean	VIC
Rodondo Island	TAS
Wilsons Promontory	VIC
Wilsons Promontory Islands	VIC

Regional Forest Agreements [Resource Information]

Note that all areas with completed RFAs have been included.

Name	State
Gippsland RFA	Victoria
West Victoria RFA	Victoria

Invasive Species [Resource Information]

Weeds reported here are the 20 species of national significance (WoNS), along with other introduced plants that are considered by the States and Territories to pose a particularly significant threat to biodiversity. The following feral animals are reported: Goat, Red Fox, Cat, Rabbit, Pig, Water Buffalo and Cane Toad. Maps from Landscape Health Project, National Land and Water Resouces Audit, 2001.

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Birds		

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Acridotheres tristis Common Myna, Indian Myna [387]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Alauda arvensis Skylark [656]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Anas platyrhynchos Mallard [974]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Carduelis carduelis European Goldfinch [403]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Carduelis chloris European Greenfinch [404]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Columba livia Rock Pigeon, Rock Dove, Domestic Pigeon [803]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Passer domesticus House Sparrow [405]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Passer montanus Eurasian Tree Sparrow [406]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pycnonotus jocosus Red-whiskered Bulbul [631]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Streptopelia chinensis Spotted Turtle-Dove [780]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Sturnus vulgaris Common Starling [389]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Turdus merula Common Blackbird, Eurasian Blackbird [596]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Turdus philomelos Song Thrush [597]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Mammals		
Bos taurus Domestic Cattle [16]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Canis lupus familiaris Domestic Dog [82654]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Capra hircus Goat [2]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Felis catus Cat, House Cat, Domestic Cat [19]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Feral deer Feral deer species in Australia [85733]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Lepus capensis Brown Hare [127]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Mus musculus House Mouse [120]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Oryctolagus cuniculus Rabbit, European Rabbit [128]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Rattus norvegicus Brown Rat, Norway Rat [83]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Rattus rattus Black Rat, Ship Rat [84]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Sus scrofa Pig [6]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Vulpes vulpes Red Fox, Fox [18]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Plants		
Alternanthera philoxeroides Alligator Weed [11620]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Asparagus asparagoides Bridal Creeper, Bridal Veil Creeper, Smilax, Florist's Smilax, Smilax Asparagus [22473]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Asparagus scandens Asparagus Fern, Climbing Asparagus Fern [23255]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Carrichtera annua Ward's Weed [9511]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Chrysanthemoides monilifera Bitou Bush, Boneseed [18983]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Chrysanthemoides monilifera subsp. monilifera Boneseed [16905]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Chrysanthemoides monilifera subsp. rotundata Bitou Bush [16332]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Cytisus scoparius Broom, English Broom, Scotch Broom, Common Broom, Scottish Broom, Spanish Broom [5934]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Genista linifolia Flax-leaved Broom, Mediterranean Broom, Flax Broom [2800]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Genista monspessulana Montpellier Broom, Cape Broom, Canary Broom, Common Broom, French Broom, Soft Broom [20126]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Genista sp. X Genista monspessulana Broom [67538]		Species or species habitat may occur within area

Name	Status	Type of Presence
Lycium ferocissimum African Boxthorn, Boxthorn [19235]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Nassella neesiana Chilean Needle grass [67699]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Nassella trichotoma Serrated Tussock, Yass River Tussock, Yass Tussock, Nassella Tussock (NZ) [18884]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Olea europaea Olive, Common Olive [9160]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Opuntia spp. Prickly Pears [82753]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Pinus radiata Radiata Pine Monterey Pine, Insignis Pine, Wilding Pine [20780]		Species or species habitat may occur within area
Rubus fruticosus aggregate Blackberry, European Blackberry [68406]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Salix spp. except S.babylonica, S.x calodendron & S.x reichardtii Willows except Weeping Willow, Pussy Willow and Sterile Pussy Willow [68497]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area
Ulex europaeus Gorse, Furze [7693]		Species or species habitat likely to occur within area

Nationally Important Wetlands [Resource Information]

Name	State
Western Port	VIC

Key Ecological Features (Marine) [Resource Information]

Key Ecological Features are the parts of the marine ecosystem that are considered to be important for the biodiversity or ecosystem functioning and integrity of the Commonwealth Marine Area.

Name	Region
Bonney Coast Upwelling	South-east

Caveat

The information presented in this report has been provided by a range of data sources as acknowledged at the end of the report.

This report is designed to assist in identifying the locations of places which may be relevant in determining obligations under the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. It holds mapped locations of World and National Heritage properties, Wetlands of International and National Importance, Commonwealth and State/Territory reserves, listed threatened, migratory and marine species and listed threatened ecological communities. Mapping of Commonwealth land is not complete at this stage. Maps have been collated from a range of sources at various resolutions.

Not all species listed under the EPBC Act have been mapped (see below) and therefore a report is a general guide only. Where available data supports mapping, the type of presence that can be determined from the data is indicated in general terms. People using this information in making a referral may need to consider the qualifications below and may need to seek and consider other information sources.

For threatened ecological communities where the distribution is well known, maps are derived from recovery plans, State vegetation maps, remote sensing imagery and other sources. Where threatened ecological community distributions are less well known, existing vegetation maps and point location data are used to produce indicative distribution maps.

Threatened, migratory and marine species distributions have been derived through a variety of methods. Where distributions are well known and if time permits, maps are derived using either thematic spatial data (i.e. vegetation, soils, geology, elevation, aspect, terrain, etc) together with point locations and described habitat; or environmental modelling (MAXENT or BIOCLIM habitat modelling) using point locations and environmental data layers.

Where very little information is available for species or large number of maps are required in a short time-frame, maps are derived either from 0.04 or 0.02 decimal degree cells; by an automated process using polygon capture techniques (static two kilometre grid cells, alpha-hull and convex hull); or captured manually or by using topographic features (national park boundaries, islands, etc). In the early stages of the distribution mapping process (1999-early 2000s) distributions were defined by degree blocks, 100K or 250K map sheets to rapidly create distribution maps. More reliable distribution mapping methods are used to update these distributions as time permits.

Only selected species covered by the following provisions of the EPBC Act have been mapped:

- migratory and
- marine

The following species and ecological communities have not been mapped and do not appear in reports produced from this database:

- threatened species listed as extinct or considered as vagrants
- some species and ecological communities that have only recently been listed
- some terrestrial species that overfly the Commonwealth marine area
- migratory species that are very widespread, vagrant, or only occur in small numbers

The following groups have been mapped, but may not cover the complete distribution of the species:

- non-threatened seabirds which have only been mapped for recorded breeding sites
- seals which have only been mapped for breeding sites near the Australian continent

Such breeding sites may be important for the protection of the Commonwealth Marine environment.

Coordinates

-37.636247 139.854841,-38.009409 140.492048,-38.078626 140.63487,-38.104565 140.810652,-38.11321 141.041365,-38.190964 141.217146,-38.251382 141.316023,-38.303129 141.348982,-38.415121 141.348982,-38.406513 141.436872,-38.432336 141.590681,-38.397903 141.733503,-38.346224 141.788435,-38.372068 141.986189,-38.423729 142.205915,-38.380681 142.337751,-38.423729 142.502546,-38.501151 142.634382,-38.569901 142.766218,-38.638585 142.90904,-38.647166 143.007917,-38.732919 143.15074,-38.784321 143.205671,-38.784321 143.337507,-38.818569 143.425398,-38.895565 143.535261,-38.792884 143.700056,-38.68148 143.908796,-38.509748 144.073591,-38.32037 144.425154,-38.268635 144.699812,-38.346224 144.677839,-38.483953 144.85362,-38.52694 144.919538,-38.440941 145.161238,-38.52694 145.106306,-38.561311 145.139265,-38.544128 145.282087,-38.595665 145.479841,-38.647166 145.545759,-38.69863 145.589704,-38.707204 145.710554,-38.715777 145.809431,-38.844243 145.886335,-38.929759 145.908308,-38.878462 146.182966,-39.006636 146.25987,-39.109008 146.314802,-39.177173 146.391706,-39.117532 146.633406,-39.262287 146.413679,-39.304806 145.677595,-39.423719 144.633894,-39.398255 144.040632,-39.296304 142.985945,-38.929759 141.667585,-38.655746 140.810652,-38.173692 140.140486,-37.827402 139.788923,-37.644946 139.755964,-37.636247 139.854841,-37.636247 139.854841

Acknowledgements

This database has been compiled from a range of data sources. The department acknowledges the following custodians who have contributed valuable data and advice:

-Office of Environment and Heritage, New South Wales
-Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria
-Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment, Tasmania
-Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia
-Department of Land and Resource Management, Northern Territory
-Department of Environmental and Heritage Protection, Queensland
-Department of Parks and Wildlife, Western Australia
-Environment and Planning Directorate, ACT
-Birdlife Australia
-Australian Bird and Bat Banding Scheme
-Australian National Wildlife Collection
-Natural history museums of Australia
-Museum Victoria
-Australian Museum
-South Australian Museum
-Queensland Museum
-Online Zoological Collections of Australian Museums
-Queensland Herbarium
-National Herbarium of NSW
-Royal Botanic Gardens and National Herbarium of Victoria
-Tasmanian Herbarium
-State Herbarium of South Australia
-Northern Territory Herbarium
-Western Australian Herbarium
-Australian National Herbarium, Canberra
-University of New England
-Ocean Biogeographic Information System
-Australian Government, Department of Defence
Forestry Corporation, NSW
-Geoscience Australia
-CSIRO
-Australian Tropical Herbarium, Cairns
-eBird Australia
-Australian Government – Australian Antarctic Data Centre
-Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory
-Australian Government National Environmental Science Program
-Australian Institute of Marine Science
-Reef Life Survey Australia
-American Museum of Natural History
-Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, Inveresk, Tasmania
-Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery, Hobart, Tasmania
-Other groups and individuals

The Department is extremely grateful to the many organisations and individuals who provided expert advice and information on numerous draft distributions.

Please feel free to provide feedback via the Contact Us page.

Department of Agriculture Water and the Environment
GPO Box 858
Canberra City ACT 2601 Australia
+61 2 6274 1111

Appendix 6

Otway Basin Environmental Survey

Intended for
Fugro Australia Pty Ltd

Document type
Report

Date
March 2020

ENVIRONMENTAL SURVEY
OTWAY BASIN



Bright ideas. Sustainable change.

ENVIRONMENTAL SURVEY
OTWAY BASIN

Project name	**Beach Energy Otway Basin Survey**
Project no.	**318000803**
Recipient	**Chris Henderson**
Document type	**Report**
Version	**Rev B**
Date	**17/03/2020**
Prepared by	**Emily Jones**
Checked by	**Dan McClary**
Approved by	**John Miragliotta**
Description	**Results of the environmental survey at Otway Basin for Beach Energy**

Ramboll
41 St Georges Terrace
Perth, WA 6000
Australia

T +61 8 9225 5199
F +61 2 9954 8150
https://ramboll.com

CONTENTS

TABLE OF FIGURES

TABLE OF TABLES

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

This report presents the results of the environmental survey of offshore gas fields in Otway Basin for Beach Energy. Beach Energy is planning further development of the Otway offshore natural gas reserves within existing Commonwealth offshore exploration permits and production licenses. The offshore Otway Basin gas exploration and development program may include drilling up to nine wells using a contracted semi-submersible drill rig, over a 12- to 18-month period. Additional seabed infrastructure would also be installed to tie-in new wells after the drilling phase.

As part of this plan, Fugro Australia Marine Pty Ltd (Fugro) carried out offshore geophysical and geotechnical surveys and Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd (Ramboll) were contracted by Fugro to carry out the environmental survey. These activities were in Commonwealth waters approximately 32 to 80 km from Port Campbell and in water depths ranging from 70 to 104 m.

1.2 Objective

The objective of the seabed site assessments was to determine suitable locations for anchoring and rig placement for drilling operations and the installation of infrastructure to connect new production wells to the existing platform or pipeline. Several different investigation techniques were used to examine and describe the seabed, as well as identify possible hazards from man-made, natural and geological features.

1.3 Report Scope

The scope of the environmental survey carried out in Otway Basin included investigations of:

- Water quality;
- Sediment quality;
- Benthic infauna; and
- Benthic epifauna.

Water quality assessments included laboratory analyses for:

- Suspended solids
- Nutrients
- Chlorophyll *a*
- Metals/metalloids
- Hydrocarbons

Sediment quality assessments included laboratory analyses for:

- Sediment particle size
- Total organic carbon
- Nutrients
- Metals/metalloids

Infauna were microscopically examined to determine taxonomic identification to Family level and morpho-species, and abundance was recorded. The composition and percent cover of epifauna was determined from seabed photographs.

2. SURVEY LOCATIONS

These investigations were based around five survey areas including:

- Thylacine;
- Artisan;
- La Bella;
- Geographe; and
- Hercules.

Other survey areas included two Hot Tap sites identified as HTX and HTY, and five routes selected for cone penetration tests (CPT) as part of the geotechnical survey plan identified as ARGE (Artisan to Geographe), ARHTX (Artisan to HTX), ARHTY (artisan to HTY), ARLB (Artisan to La Bella) and LBGE (La Bella to Geographe).

The collection of water and sediment/infauna samples for environmental assessment was cancelled by the client for the La Bella, Geographe and Hercules survey areas. Therefore, the collection of water and sediment/infauna samples for environmental assessment occurred only at the Thylacine and Artisan survey areas. Seabed photographs were taken as planned for all survey areas and routes. It is also noted that all survey areas were largely composed of outcropping rock with or without patches of uncemented sediments. Sampling of uncemented sediments was only possible with the grab sampler (as opposed to other devices) and of limited recovery because of the limited thickness of the surficial uncemented sediments.

The survey extent within Otway Basin, including these survey areas, hot taps and survey routes, is shown Figure 1. Environmental sampling sites were located in proximity to the proposed drilling rig mooring locations. The proposed anchor points for the drilling rig are listed in Table 1. The depth at each proposed mooring location was measure at the intersection of the anchor lines (Table 1). Sampling locations are listed in Section 3 for the relevant sampling methods.

Table 1 Location of proposed anchor points (GDA94 UTM 54 S) and water depth for drilling rig sites.

Survey Area	Anchor Point	Depth at Intersection (m LAT)	Easting	Northing
Thylacine	Thylacine 1	99	661398	5657534
	Thylacine 2		662879	5658389
	Thylacine 3		662361	5659286
	Thylacine 4		660880	5658431
	Thylacine 5	104	658235	5656067
	Thylacine 6		659717	5656923
	Thylacine 7		659198	5657820
	Thylacine 8		657717	5656965
Artisan	Artisan 1	70	662783	5692700
	Artisan 2		664261	5693554
	Artisan 3		663741	5694456
	Artisan 4		662262	5693602
Geographe	Geographe 1	83	668221	5668522
	Geographe 2		669699	5669374
	Geographe 3		669179	5670278
	Geographe 4		667700	5669424
La Bella	La Bella 1	93	647914	5681579
	La Bella 2		645915	5681579
	La Bella 3		647319	5682496
	La Bella 4		646437	5680702
Hercules	Hercules 1	73	664065	5688642
	Hercules 2		662065	5688638
	Hercules 3		663547	5689516
	Hercules 4		662596	5687757



Figure 1 Locations of environmental survey site extents in Otway Basin. Provided by Fugro, April 2020.

3. METHOD

3.1 Survey Operations

The environmental survey was undertaken during several deployments from November 2019 to January 2020. The survey was carried out from the 60 m offshore supply ship *VOS SHINE*. The vessel mobilised from Portland, Victoria.

3.2 Water Quality

3.2.1 Sample Collection

Water quality samples were collected using a 2.2 L Van Dorn Beta water sampler. This sampler was used to obtain water samples from selected water depths. The sampler consisted of an open-ended, clear plastic cylinder with a rubber cap attached at each end. Before deployment, the end caps were held open, under tension, by triggers on the side of the cylinder. The sampler was attached to a rope and lowered by hand over the side of the vessel to the desired depth. A messenger weight attached to the rope was then released to trigger the end caps to close as the messenger contacted the sampler, sealing the water sample inside the cylinder. The sampler was then raised to the surface where the water sample was processed and stored for laboratory analysis.

On retrieval at the surface, the water sampler was inspected against the following sample acceptability criteria:

1. The sample bottle was full; and
2. Both end caps are fully closed; and
3. There was no obvious contamination (e.g. grease or paint chips on, or inside, the sampler).

Any sample that did not comply with these criteria was discarded and another sample was collected at the same site. All samples were recorded on the Environmental Sampling Log (Appendix 1) as per 135846-V01-01-PLA-001 Infauna Lab Testing & Reporting Plan.

Water samples were collected at two of the survey areas – at Artisan and Thylacine on 22 November 2019. Three replicate water samples were collected at each of the survey areas. The locations for water sample collection are listed in Table 2 and shown in Figure 2. Note that there is only one sampling site indicated for the Thylacine field as all samples were collected in close proximity (Figure 2 left). The process described above was carried out at each site and water samples were collected from a depth equal to half of the total water depth at that site.

Table 2 Location (GDA94 UTM 54 S) and depth of water sample collection sites.

Survey Area	Location	Replicate Sample Name	Easting	Northing	Water Depth (m)	Sample Depth (m)	Met Acceptability Criteria
Thylacine	1	1	660119	5657621	104	52	Yes
	1	2	660121	5657619	104	52	Yes
	1	3	660122	5657619	105	52.5	Yes
Artisan	1	1	662936	5692724	66	33	No
	1	2	662782	5692683	66	33	Yes
	2	1	664317	5693523	66	33	Yes
	5	1	662563	5694337	66	33	Yes



FIGURE 2 | Water sampling locations for Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

3.2.2 Sample Processing and Analysis

Once a sample was confirmed to be acceptable for analysis, the subsamples were extracted from the water sampler and stored in pre-labelled sample jars provided by the analytical laboratory, Eurofins. The analytical laboratory was NATA accredited and accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing.

The water samples were subsampled as follows:

- 1 x 500 mL plastic bottle with no preservative
- 1 x 200 mL glass bottle with no preservative
- 1 x 60 mL plastic bottle with sulphuric acid
- 1 x 60 mL plastic bottle with nitric acid
- 2 x 40 mL glass vials with hydrogen chloride

All samples were stored in a cool, dark location prior to transfer to the laboratory.

One litre of the remaining water sample was then processed for chlorophyll analysis. A simple filtering system was set up which included a Büchner funnel with a rubber seal placed in the mouth of a conical flask and a rubber hose and vacuum hand pump attached to the side arm of the flask. Filter paper (11 µm particle retention at 98% efficiency) was used placed in the funnel and the 1L subsample was suctioned through the filtering system. The filter paper was carefully removed from the funnel using forceps, wrapped in aluminium foil, stored in a labelled sealable plastic bag and frozen prior to transfer to the laboratory.

The following measurements were then taken using a YSI EcoSense handheld meter from the remaining water sample:

- pH
- Dissolved oxygen (DO)
- Oxidation-reduction potential (ORP)
- Temperature (˚C)

Sample information was recorded on the Environmental Sample Log (Appendix 1). All sample collection and processing equipment was then rinsed in sterile demineralised water before the next sample was collected.

All water quality subsamples were recorded on the Ramboll Chain of Custody (COC) form. These subsamples were then transferred to the laboratory on the vessel's return to shore. The water quality samples were delivered to the Eurofins laboratory in Melbourne on 26 November 2019.

The water samples were analysed for the presence and concentration of these analytes:

- Total suspended solids (TSS);
- Nutrients including total nitrogen (N), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), nitrogen oxides (NOx), nitrate (NO^{-}_{3}), ammonia (NH_3), total phosphorus (TP), and total reactive phosphorus (TRP);
- Chlorophyll *a*;
- Metals/metalloids including arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), cobolt (Co), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), mercury (Hg), nickel (Ni), lead (Pb), and zinc (Zn); and
- Hydrocarbons including total recoverable hydrogens (TRH), benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene compounds (BTEX), and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH).

The analytical methods for these analytes are included in the laboratory reports in Appendix 2.

3.3 Sediment Quality

3.3.1 Sample Collection

Seabed sediment samples were collected using a Double Van Veen grab sampler. The Double Van Veen grab is designed for sampling the top layer of consolidated sediment consisting of silt and/or sand. The capacity of each grab bucket is ~12 L. The double grab allows for comparable sampling where samples for sediment and biological analysis are required from the same location.

Prior to deployment, the jaws of both grabs were opened and fixed into position using a tension-based catch. The grab sampler was then winched over the stern of the vessel and lowered at a slow, steady rate to prevent the catch from being released too early. When the jaws made contact with the bottom, the release of tension caused the catch to be tripped, allowing the jaws to quickly close to capture the surface sediment. The quantity and quality of the sample was related to the compactness of the sediment whereby the grab sampler returned less sample content from more compacted sediments.

On retrieval at the surface, the grab sampler was inspected against the following sample acceptability criteria:

1. The jaws of the grab are closed; and
2. The surface of the sediment sample covers at least 70% of the grab; and
3. The surface of the sediment sample is undisturbed; and
4. There is no evidence of the sample being washed out; and
5. The sample is at least 20cm deep.

Samples that did not comply with these criteria were typically discarded and another sample was collected at the same site. However, some exceptions to these criteria were allowed on agreement with the client in order to obtain samples for analysis, given the difficulty of obtaining grab samples from the hard seabed substrate. Such instances are noted in the description of results in Section 4. At some sample locations a composite sample was made from several grab drops (up to three drops) to provide enough material for one sample. In these instances, the samples did not achieve a depth of 20 cm. The first sample replicate collected from the Thylacine survey area (Thylacine_1_1) was 15 cm deep and therefore did not meet the acceptance criteria; however, given the difficulty in obtaining suitable samples (owing to the hard seabed), this sample was retained for analysis as all other criteria were met and it was considered to be a useful sample by the field personnel. All samples were recorded on the Environmental Sampling Log (Appendix 1) as per 135846-V01-01-PLA-001 Infauna Lab Testing & Reporting Plan.

Sediment samples were collected at two of the survey areas – at Artisan and Thylacine on 22 November 2019. Three replicate sediment samples were to be collected at each of the survey areas, however, this was not always possible because of the compacted substrate. The resulting samples included four replicate samples from Thylacine and two replicate samples from Artisan. The locations for successful sediment sample collection are listed in Table 3 and shown in Figure 3. Note that there is only one sampling site indicated for the Thylacine field as all samples were collected in close proximity (Figure 3 left). Grab sample positions were provided by Fugro from the marine survey using Ultra Short Base Line positioning systems.



FIGURE 3 | Grab sample locations for sediment and infauna for Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

Table 3 Location (GDA94 UTM 54 S) and depth of sediment sample collection sites.

Survey Area	Location	Sample Replicate Name	Easting	Northing	Water Depth (m)	Met Acceptability Criteria
Thylacine	1	0	660119	5657621	104	Sample was 15 cm deep, therefore not within acceptance criteria but considered suitable by field personnel. Incorrectly recorded in lab report as Location 2.
	1	1	660121	5657619	104	Yes
	1	2	660122	5657619	105	Yes
	1	3	660120	5657622	104	Yes
Artisan	1	1	663155	5693762	72	This sample was a composite of replicate samples 1, 3, 4 and 6 taken at the same location. Listed as Artisan_GS_A in lab report.
	1	2	663155	5693762	72	No
	1	3	663155	5693762	72	Composite as above.
	1	4	663155	5693762	72	Composite as above.
	1	5	663155	5693762	72	No
	1	6	663155	5693762	72	Composite as above.
	3	1	662264	5693604	75	No
	3	2	662264	5693604	72	No
	3	3	662265	5693604	73	Yes. Listed as Artisan_GS3 in lab report.
	3	4	662265	5693605	74	No sediment sample, infauna sample only.

3.3.2 Sample Processing and Analysis

Once a sample was confirmed to be acceptable for analysis, the sample was photographed, visual observations were recorded, and subsamples were extracted from the sample and stored in pre-labelled sample jars provided by the analytical laboratory.

All sediment grab samples were photographed with a sample identity plate. Notes of the uniformity of the surface, Munsell colour and odour were then recorded. The redox (reduction–oxidation reaction) potential depth (RPD) was measured using a YSI EcoSense handheld meter and probe. Redox potential is a measure of the tendency of a chemical species to acquire electrons from or lose electrons to an electrode and thereby be reduced or oxidised, respectively. Redox potential is measured in millivolts (mV). The redox potential of the sample was measured from the surface and at 10 mm increments to a depth of up to 110 mm, or until resistance was encountered when inserting the probe. The probe was rinsed in fresh water between each sample. Sample information was recorded on the Environmental Sample Log (Appendix 1).

Sediment was then extracted from one grab bucket for sediment quality sampling (with the contents of the other grab bucket being used for infauna sampling). Subsamples were collected by releasing the sample into a collection bin below the sampler. The entire sample was homogenised using a plastic scoop.

Two subsamples were stored in pre-labelled 250 mL glass sample jars for the analysis of contaminants and particle size distribution. All samples were stored in a cool, dark location prior to transfer to the laboratory. All sample collection and processing equipment was then rinsed in fresh water before the next sample was collected.

All sediment quality subsamples were recorded on the Ramboll COC form. These subsamples were then transferred to the laboratory on the vessel's return to shore. The sediment quality samples were delivered to the Eurofins laboratory in Melbourne on 26 November 2019.

The sediment samples were analysed for the presence and concentration of these analytes:
- Sediment particle size as clay-size fraction, silt and sand;
- Total organic carbon (TOC);
- Nutrients including nitrate and nitrite, TKN, total nitrogen, phosphorus, and silicon;
- Metals/metalloids including cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), lead (Pb), mercury (Hg), nickel (Ni), tin (Sn), and zinc (Zn).
- Hydrocarbons including Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) , total polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes, PCBs.

The analytical methods for these analytes are included in the laboratory reports in Appendix 3.

3.4 Infauna Ecology

3.4.1 Sample Collection

Seabed sediment samples for infauna were collected using a Double Van Veen grab sampler, as described in Section 3.2.1 and at the locations presented in Table 4 and Figure 3. The critiera for accepting grab samples for infauna analysis were as described in Section 3.2.1. All samples were recorded on the Environmental Sampling Log (Appendix 1) as per 135846-V01-01-PLA-001 Infauna Lab Testing & Reporting Plan.

3.4.2 Sample Processing and Analysis

Once a sample was confirmed to be acceptable for analysis, the sample was photographed with a sample identity plate. Sediment was then extracted from one grab bucket for infauna sampling (with the contents of the other grab bucket being used for sediment quality sampling). The entire sample was released into a collection bin below the sampler and then transferred to a sample washing system where the sample was placed in a perforated bin to be mixed and rinsed with seawater. The liquified sample was then passed through a series of sieves of 1mm mesh size (top) and 500 µm mesh size (bottom). The remaining infauna and debris were then rinsed into a labelled container and preserved in ethanol at a dilution factor of 2:1 to sample volume. Where a full grab sample was collected, the contents were subsampled to a 6L sample volume to limit the time required for infauna sample processing in the laboratory.

All samples were stored in a chemical locker and were recorded on the Ramboll COC form. These samples were then transferred to the taxonomic analyst on the vessel's return to shore. The laboratory in Gladstone, Queensland received the infauna samples in December 2019.

Infauna organisms present in the samples were identified and counted to Family morpho-species or genus level where possible. Descriptive statistics (e.g., species richness, organism abundance, diversity indices) were used to summarise the seabed biota present. This information is assessed and discussed in the context of the known communities present in the wider Otway Basin, noting the presence of any habitats/species of relevance to the EPBC Act. Multivariate measures were not used in the assessment because of the small dataset and paucity of organisms found in the samples.

Table 4 Location (GDA94 UTM 54 S) and depth of infauna sample collection sites.

Survey Area	Location	Sample Replicate Name	Easting	Northing	Water Depth (m)	Met Acceptability Criteria
Thylacine	1*	0	660119	5657621	104	Sample was 15 cm deep, therefore not within acceptance criteria but considered suitable by field personnel. Incorrectly recorded in lab report as Location 2.
	1	1	660121	5657619	104	Yes
	1	2	660122	5657619	105	Yes
	1	3	660120	5657622	104	Yes
Artisan	1	1	663155	5693762	72	No
	1	2	663155	5693762	72	No
	1	3	663155	5693762	72	No
	1	4	663155	5693762	72	Yes
	1	5	663155	5693762	72	No
	1	6	663155	5693762	72	No
	3	1	662264	5693604	75	No
	3	2	662264	5693604	72	No
	3	3	662265	5693604	73	Yes
	3	4	662265	5693605	74	Sample was 7 cm deep, therefore not within acceptance criteria but considered suitable by field personnel.

3.5 Epibenthic Ecology

3.5.1 Sample Collection

The composition and percent coverage of epifauna was assessed from photographs of the seafloor taken with the Fugro drop camera system. The drop camera system was fitted with a 14.7 megapixel (MP) Canon PowerShot G10 digital camera and a low latency, live video recorder. The system was equipped with twin lasers aimed within the camera field of view to enable calibration of the image size. The lasers were calibrated to a distance of 15 cm. The camera housing was an aluminium enclosure for use in water depths up to 300 m. A mini beacon was attached to the drop camera to accurately track locations during deployment.

The drop camera was deployed via a winch over the stern of the vessel. All data was transferred directly to the surface unit and saved into a dedicated Fugro server. A real-time video feed to the surface enabled preliminary observations of benthic fauna and substrate type to be made during operation.

At each sampling site the camera was lowered and then to three locations approximately 1-2 m apart to obtain a collection of representative samples. At least five photographs were taken at each location to provide a selection of photographs for analysis. Drop camera sites are listed in Appendix 4. Drop camera photographs were taken at all anchor points, hot tap sites and along CPT routes as shown in Figure 4. The average area of seabed in each photograph was 0.5 m^2.

3.5.2 Sample Processing and Analysis

All seafloor photographs were examined to determine their suitability for analysis, with photographs being excluded for the assessment based on the following reasons:

- Poor resolution or blurred image;
- Sediment blow out obscuring the image;
- More than a quarter of the image was in shadow or had poor lighting;
- Images were overlapping (in which case the best quality image was chosen); or
- Images were taken at oblique angles.

For each photograph, the percent coverage of epifauna was estimated and individual, mobile organisms were counted. Photographs were examined to provide a qualitative description of the epifauna communities. Sediment type and percent coverage was also estimated for each photograph.



FIGURE 4 | Drop camera locations for all survey areas.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Water Quality

Measurements made *insitu* for water samples collected from the Thylacine and Artisan survey areas are presented in Table 5. Dissolved oxygen (DO) and pH were assessed against the default trigger values for physical and chemical stressors for south-east Australia for slightly disturbed ecosystems set out in the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (ANZECC, 2000). Trigger values are used to assess risk of adverse effects due to nutrients, biodegradable organic matter and pH in various ecosystem types.

Dissolved oxygen was between the lower and upper limits of 90 and 110% saturation for marine waters in all samples. Likewise, pH was between the lower and upper limits of 8.0 and 8.4 for all samples. The range of ORP measurements indicated a well oxygenated, ecologically healthy environment.

Table 5 Measurements made *insitu* for water samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

Sample Name	pH	DO (% saturation)	ORP (mV)
Thylacine_1_1	8.19	94.3	215.0
Thylacine_1_2	8.24	95.2	211.4
Thylacine_1_3	8.33	95.2	98.1
Artisan_1_2	8.16	94.0	172.7
Artisan_2_1	8.08	93.1	211.4
Artisan_5_1	8.34	93.8	164.5

The results of laboratory analyses for water samples from the Thylacine and Artisan survey areas are presented in Tables 6 to 11.

The analytes were compared to the relevant ANZECC (2000) – the default trigger values for physical and chemical stressors for nutrient analytes and the trigger values for toxicants at alternative levels of protection for all other analytes.

The concentration of ammonia, nitrite and reactive phosphorus was at or below LOR for all samples. Only one sample contained a concentration of nitrate-nitrite, NO^{-}_{3}, TKN and TN above the LOR. This was replicate Thylacine_1_3; however, none of the measurements exceeded ANZECC trigger values. Concentrations of TP were recorded in all samples, but all measurements were well below ANZECC trigger values. TSS was typically within the range expected for unmodified[1] marine ecosystems.

The concentrations of Cd, Cr, Co, Pb, Hg, and Ni were at or below LOR in all samples. The concentration of Cu was below, at or very close to the LOR for all samples.

The concentration of Zn against ANZECC protection level (or trigger values) is shown in Figure 5. All concentrations were below the 90% protection level but concentrations variously exceeded 95 or 99% protection levels. This result is consistent with a slightly disturbed marine system which is described in (ANZECC 2000) as an ecosystem in which biodiversity may have been affected to a

[1] Unmodified is a descriptive term used in reference to the quality of the environment and is used in the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (ANZECC 2000). Effectively unmodified ecosystems, typically (but not always) occur in remote and/or inaccessible locations. While there are no aquatic ecosystems in Australia that are entirely without some human influence, the ecological integrity of unmodified ecosystems is regarded as intact.

small degree by human activity. Therefore, this result is likely reflective of the human activities occurring within and around the study area and the levels of environmental Zn are with a reasonable level of species protection for such an environment.



Figure 5 Concentration of Zn in water samples from Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

BTEXs and PAHs were below the detection limit in all water samples. Very low traces of TRHs were detected in the Thylacine_1_2 water sample but were at levels of no concern. TRHs were below detection limits in all other samples. The level of chlorophyll *a* in filtered samples was below the detection level.

Table 6 Nutrients in water samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

Sample Name	mg/L								
	NH_3	Nitrate-Nitrite	NO^-_3	Nitrite	TP	RP	TKN	TN	TSS
Thylacine_1_1	< 0.01	< 0.05	0.03	< 0.02	0.03	< 0.01	< 0.2	< 0.2	3.4
Thylacine_1_2	< 0.01	< 0.05	0.02	< 0.02	0.02	< 0.01	< 0.2	< 0.2	9.7
Thylacine_1_3	< 0.01	0.10	0.10	< 0.02	0.02	< 0.01	2.4	2.5	2.4
Artisan_1_2	< 0.01	< 0.05	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.02	< 0.01	< 0.2	< 0.2	5.9
Artisan_2_1	< 0.01	< 0.05	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.01	0.01	< 0.2	< 0.2	4.6
Artisan_5_1	< 0.01	< 0.05	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.2	< 0.2	5.2

Table 7 Metals and metalloids in water samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

Sample Name	mg/L								
	Ar	Cd	Cr	Co	Cu	Pb	Hg	Ni	Zn
Thylacine_1_1	0.001	< 0.0002	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.0001	< 0.001	0.011
Thylacine_1_2	0.004	< 0.0002	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.0001	< 0.001	0.012
Thylacine_1_3	0.002	< 0.0002	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.002	< 0.001	< 0.0001	0.001	0.022
Artisan_1_2	0.003	< 0.0002	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.001	< 0.001	< 0.0001	< 0.001	0.018
Artisan_2_1	0.005	< 0.0002	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.001	< 0.001	< 0.0001	< 0.001	0.01
Artisan_5_1	0.010	< 0.0002	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.001	< 0.001	< 0.0001	< 0.001	0.014

Table 8 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) in water samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

Sample Name	mg/L					
	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benz(a)anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene
Thylacine_1_1	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Thylacine_1_2	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Thylacine_1_3	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Artisan_1_2	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Artisan_2_1	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Artisan_5_1	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001

Sample Name	mg/L					
	Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	Fluoranthene	Fluorene
Thylacine_1_1	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Thylacine_1_2	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Thylacine_1_3	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Artisan_1_2	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Artisan_2_1	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Artisan_5_1	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001

Sample Name	mg/L					p-Terphenyl-d14 (%)	2-Fluorobiphenyl (%)
	Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	Naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene	Total PAH		
Thylacine_1_1	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	134	111
Thylacine_1_2	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	145	107
Thylacine_1_3	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	138	109
Artisan_1_2	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	93	109
Artisan_2_1	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	102	114
Artisan_5_1	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	101	117

Table 9 Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons (1999 NEPM Fractions) in water samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

Sample Name	mg/L				
	TRH C10-C14	TRH C10-C36 (Total)	TRH C15-C28	TRH C29-C36	TRH C6-C9
Thylacine_1_1	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.02
Thylacine_1_2	0.05	0.15	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.02
Thylacine_1_3	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.02
Artisan_1_2	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.02
Artisan_2_1	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.02
Artisan_5_1	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.02

Table 10 Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons (2013 NEPM Fractions) in water samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

Sample Name	mg/L							
	Naphthalene	TRH >C10-C16	TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2)	TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	TRH >C16-C34	TRH >C34-C40	TRH C6-C10	TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1)
Thylacine_1_1	< 0.01	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.02	< 0.02
Thylacine_1_2	< 0.01	0.07	0.07	0.17	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.02	< 0.02
Thylacine_1_3	< 0.01	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.02	< 0.02
Artisan_1_2	< 0.01	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.02	< 0.02
Artisan_2_1	< 0.01	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.02	< 0.02
Artisan_5_1	< 0.01	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.02	< 0.02

Table 11 BTEX in water samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

Sample Name	mg/L						4-Bromofluoro-benzene (%)
	Benzene	Ethylbenzene	m&p-Xylenes	o-Xylene	Toluene	Xylenes - Total	
Thylacine_1_1	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	106
Thylacine_1_2	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	94
Thylacine_1_3	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	107
Artisan_1_2	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	94
Artisan_2_1	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	102
Artisan_5_1	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.002	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.003	100

4.2 Sediment Quality

The particle size distribution of marine sediments in each sample is shown in Figure 6 with data recorded in Appendix 3. The particle size is <2 µm for the clay-size fraction, 2-20 µm for the silt fraction and 20-2000 µm for the sand fraction. Note that the sample for Artisan 1_1 was a composite of up to three drops of the grab sampler. The sediment within all samples and, therefore at both survey areas, was predominantly sand with a range of 95-97% as a proportion of each sample. There was very little silt and a maximum of 4.7% for the clay-size fraction. There were no discernible trends based on the location of sample collection. The Munsell colour of all samples as 10YR 8/4.



Figure 6 Particle size distribution (%) in sediment samples collected at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

The ORP (oxidation-reduction potential) or redox potential of sediments within the samples was measured and the results are presented in Table 12. Note that the measurement probe was inserted into the sediment until resistance prevented further insertion. Given that the substrate was predominantly sand, the probe was typically only inserted to 1-2 cm and no more than 3 cm into the sediment sample. The anoxic layer with low ORP was not detected in any of the sediments analysed and the range of measurements indicated that these sediments maintain a well oxygenated, unmodifed environment.

Table 12 Measurement of oxidation reduction potential in sediment samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

Sample Name	ORP Measurement Depth (mV)		
	1 cm	2 cm	3 cm
Thylacine_1_0	211	211	No further penetration
Thylacine_1_1	252.7	No further penetration	-
Thylacine_1_2	242.7	No further penetration	-
Thylacine_1_3	225.5	223	216.7
Artisan_1_1	Composite sample; measurement not possible		
Artisan_3_3	242.1	217.3	No further penetration

The results of nutrient analyses are shown in Table 13, Figure 7 and Figure 8. Nitrate-nitrite was not detected in any samples. There was a notable degree of variability in the samples collected in the Thylacine field, however the small number of samples means that a trend or pattern is not discernible. TOC and detectable nitrogen concentrations were slightly higher in the Artisan samples compared to the Thylacine samples. Generally, the concentrations of nutrients in the marine sediments were to be expected for this environment and type of sediment.

Table 13 Nutrients in sediment samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

Sample Name	mg/kg					Total Organic Carbon (%)
	Phosphorus	Silicon	Nitrate-Nitrite	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	Total Nitrogen	
Thylacine_1_0	750	850	< 5	230	230	1.3
Thylacine_1_1	620	1000	< 5	190	190	0.9
Thylacine_1_2	400	950	< 5	130	130	0.5
Thylacine_1_3	< 200	460	< 5	180	180	< 0.1
Average (± S.D.)	467.5 (± 284)	815 (± 245)	NA	183 (± 41)	183 (± 41)	1.0 (± 0.5)
Artisan_1_1	620	570	< 5	310	310	1.6
Artisan_3_3	530	810	< 5	270	270	2.4
Average (± S.D.)	575 (± 64)	690 (± 170)	NA	290 (± 28)	290 (± 28)	2.0 (± 1.0)

Level of Reporting (LOR): phosphorus 200 mg/kg; silicon 5 mg/kg; nitrate-nitrite 5 mg/kg; TKN 10 mg/kg; TN 10 mg/kg; TOC 0.1%.
S.D. = standard deviation. Note that average (± S.D.) calculations are made with half LOR where the sample result was < LOR.



Figure 7 Total organic content (%) in sediment samples collected at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.



Figure 8 Nutrient concentrations (mg/kg) in sediment samples collected at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas, including phosphorus (top left), silicon (top right), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (bottom left) and total nitrogen (bottom right).

Table 14 presents the results of the analysis for metal compounds in the sediment samples. Of the inorganic compounds tested, Cd, Cu, Pb, Hg, Ni and Sn were below the detection limits (LOR) in all sediment samples. The concentration of Cr in sediments was low, and well below the Interim Sediment Quality Guidelines (ISQG) low trigger value of 80 mg/kg from the recommended sediment quality guidelines set out in ANZECC (2000). The concentration of Cr was slightly higher in the samples from Artisan than those from Thylacine. Zn was detected in two of the six samples (one sample from each field) and was well below the ISQC-Low trigger value of 200 mg/kg.

Table 14 Metals in sediment samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

Sample Name	mg/kg							
	Cd	Cr	Cu	Pb	Hg	Ni	Sn	Zn
Thylacine_1_0	< 0.4	6.2	< 5	< 5	< 0.1	< 5	< 10	7.2
Thylacine_1_1	< 0.4	6.6	< 5	< 5	< 0.1	< 5	< 10	< 5
Thylacine_1_2	< 0.4	6.4	< 5	< 5	< 0.1	< 5	< 10	< 5
Thylacine_1_3	< 0.4	< 5.0	< 5	< 5	< 0.1	< 5	< 10	< 5
Artisan_1_1	< 0.4	11	< 5	< 5	< 0.1	< 5	< 10	9.4
Artisan_3_3	< 0.4	8.1	< 5	< 5	< 0.1	< 5	< 10	< 5

Level of Reporting (LOR): Cd 0.4 mg/kg; Cr 5 mg/kg; Cu 5 mg/kg; Pb 5 mg/kg; Hg 0.1 mg/kg; Ni 5 mg/kg; Sn 10 mg/kg; Zn 5 mg/kg.

The results of laboratory analyses for hydrocarbons in sediment samples from the Thylacine and Artisan survey areas are presented in Tables 15 to 19. BTEXs, PAHs, PCBs and TRHs were either below the LOR or at levels of no concern.

Table 15 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) in sediment samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

Sample Name	mg/kg						
	Acenaphthene	Acenaphthylene	Anthracene	Benz(a)anthracene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (lower bound)	Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (medium bound)
Thylacine_1_0	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.6
Thylacine_1_1	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.6
Thylacine_1_2	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.6
Thylacine_1_3	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.6
Artisan_1_1	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.6
Artisan_3_3	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.6

Sample Name	mg/kg						
	Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound)	Benzo(b&j) fluoranthene	Benzo(g.h.i) perylene	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Chrysene	Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	Fluoranthene
Thylacine_1_0	1.2	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Thylacine_1_1	1.2	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Thylacine_1_2	1.2	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Thylacine_1_3	1.2	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Artisan_1_1	1.2	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Artisan_3_3	1.2	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5

Sample Name	mg/kg						p-Terphenyl-d14 (%)	2-Fluorobiphenyl (%)
	Fluorene	Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	Naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene	Total PAH*		
Thylacine_1_0	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	83	79
Thylacine_1_1	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	121	92
Thylacine_1_2	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	137	87
Thylacine_1_3	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	118	97
Artisan_1_1	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	59	60
Artisan_3_3	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	147	58

Table 16 Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons (1999 NEPM Fractions) in sediment samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

Sample Name	mg/kg				
	TRH C10-C14	TRH C10-C36 (Total)	TRH C15-C28	TRH C29-C36	TRH C6-C9
Thylacine_1_0	< 20	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 20
Thylacine_1_1	< 20	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 20
Thylacine_1_2	< 20	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 20
Thylacine_1_3	< 20	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 20
Artisan_1_1	< 20	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 20
Artisan_3_3	< 20	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 20

Table 17 Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons (2013 NEPM Fractions) in sediment samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

Sample Name	mg/kg							
	Naphthalene	TRH >C10-C16	TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2)	TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	TRH >C16-C34	TRH >C34-C40	TRH C6-C10	TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1)
Thylacine_1_0	< 0.5	< 50	< 50	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 20	< 20
Thylacine_1_1	< 0.5	< 50	< 50	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 20	< 20
Thylacine_1_2	< 0.5	< 50	< 50	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 20	< 20
Thylacine_1_3	< 0.5	< 50	< 50	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 20	< 20
Artisan_1_1	< 0.5	< 50	< 50	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 20	< 20
Artisan_3_3	< 0.5	< 50	< 50	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 20	< 20

Table 18 BTEX in sediment samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

Sample Name	mg/kg						4-Bromofluoro-benzene (%)
	Benzene	Ethylbenzene	m&p-Xylenes	o-Xylene	Toluene	Xylenes - Total	
Thylacine_1_0	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.2	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.3	55
Thylacine_1_1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.2	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.3	104
Thylacine_1_2	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.2	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.3	110
Thylacine_1_3	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.2	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.3	106
Artisan_1_1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.2	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.3	62
Artisan_3_3	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.2	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.3	106

Table 19 Polychlorinated Biphenyls in sediment samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas

Sample Name	mg/kg								Dibutylchlorendate (%)	Tetrachloro-m-xylene (%)
	Aroclor-1016	Aroclor-1221	Aroclor-1232	Aroclor-1242	Aroclor-1248	Aroclor-1254	Aroclor-1260	Total PCB*		
Thylacine_1_0	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	105	86
Thylacine_1_1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	132	77
Thylacine_1_2	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	139	80
Thylacine_1_3	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	78	77
Artisan_1_1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	73	64
Artisan_3_3	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	115	54

4.3 Infauna Ecology

The benthic infauna recorded from the grab samples are presented in Table 20. The benthic infauna identified and counted from samples collected at the Thylacine and Artisan sites were relatively depauperate in both abundance and diversity. A total of 22 morpho-species were identified, from a total of 45 organisms collected from the grab samples. The samples Thylacine_1_1 and Artisan_1_4 had the greatest infauna abundance with nine organisms in each sample (Figure 9). The samples Artisan_1_4 and Artisan_3_4 had the greatest diversity with eight morpho-species) (Figure 10), most of which were polychaete worms or crustaceans (Figure 11).



Figure 9 Abundance of benthic infauna in grab samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.



Figure 10 Diversity of benthic infauna in grab samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.



Figure 11 Abundance of benthic infauna by taxonomic group in grab samples at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

These results are reflective of the sedimentary environment at the Thylacine and Artisan survey areas, as described in Section 4.2. All sites were dominated by sand, which typically have a lower abundance and diversity of infauna given that this abrasive type of substrate tends to be more easily subjected to hydrodynamic conditions that move the sediment more dynamically than muddy substrates. The consequence of this is a physical environment that is not favourable for filter feeding and burrowing infauna species to inhabit. The observed species typically have a higher tolerance for dynamic environments.

There were no discernible spatial trends in the distribution of sediment particle size. Likewise, there were no clear trends in the abundance, diversity or composition of benthic infauna.

Table 20 Benthic infauna present in sediment samples collected at Thylacine and Artisan survey areas.

Phylum	Class / Order	Family	Morpho-species	Thylacine				Artisan		
				1_0	1_1	1_2	1_3	1_4	3_3	3_4
Annelida	Polychaeta	Glyceridae	Glyceridae sp.	1			1	1	1	
		Goniadidae	Goniadidae sp.							1
		Pisionidae	Pisionidae sp.					1		
		Spionidae	Spionidae sp.						1	
		Syllidae	Syllidae sp.						1	
Crustacea	Amphipoda	Ampeliscidae	Ampeliscidae sp.		2	1				
		Ischyroceridae	Ischyroceridae sp.					1		1
		Lysianassidae	Lysianassidae sp.	2						
		Oedicerotidae	Oedicerotidae sp.		2					
		Phoxocephalidae	Phoxocephalidae sp.	1			1			
		Platyischnopidae	Platyischnopidae sp.	1		1				1
		Podoceridae	Podoceridae sp.					1		
Crustacea	Caridea	Pasiphaeidae	Pasiphaeidae sp.					1		
	Copepoda	Copepoda	Copepoda sp.					1		
	Cumacea	Bodotriidae	Bodotriidae sp.				1	2		
	Ostracoda	Ostracoda	Ostracoda sp.	1	2					
	Tanaidacea	Tanidae	Tanidae sp.		1					1
Echinodermata	Ophiuroidea	Ophiuroidea	Ophiuroidea sp.	1				1		1
Ectoprocta	Bryozoa	Bryozoa	Branching-sp.2							1
Mollusca	Gastropoda	Rissoidae	Rissoidae sp.		1					
Nematoda	Nematoda	Nematoda	Nematoda		1	1	1		2	1
Porifera	Porifera	Porifera	Solitary-Fan							1

4.4 Epibenthic Ecology

A total of 821 photographs were taken of the seafloor with the survey areas in Otway Basin. A total of 442 photographs used in this assessment (Appendix 5), with the remaining images excluded for the reasons as listed in Section 3.5.2. An average of 56 photographs were taken per survey area, 17 photographs per Hot Tap location and 15 photographs per umbilical route. Table 21 provides a summary of the number of photograph replicate samples used for the visual assessment, average (± standard deviation) for percent cover of epifauna, and total abundance of individual (and often mobile) epifauna organisms. Two example images from each survey area, Hot Tap and umbilical route are included in Appendix 6.

Figure 12 shows the average (± S.D.) percent cover of epifauna at each of the drop camera locations. Percent cover ranged from 0 to 80% of the sample photograph for all samples but on average the percent cover was typically no more than 37% cover. The seabed at Hot Tap X had the greatest average coverage of epibiota while the lowest coverage of epibiota was recorded along the CPT route between Artisan and Hot Tap Y (ARHTY) (Figure 12). Artisan and Hercules survey areas had a slighted greater coverage of epifauna, while the CPT routes between survey areas and Hot Tap Y had the least coverage of epifauna.



Figure 12 Percent cover of epifauna at drop camera location in Otway Basin.

Figure 13 provides information of the percent cover of epifauna at each drop camera site within these locations and shows the high variability of smaller-scale variability between drop camera sites. For example, the coverage of epifauna at most Thylacine drop camera sites was no more than 16% while at Thylacine 1 the percent cover was up 43% on average.

Of the individual epibenthic organisms, Gastropoda sp. 2 (a cone shell) and crionids (featherstars) were the most abundant (Table 21). Figure 14 shows an example of the seabed at Thylacine 1 (TH1) with a high percent cover of epifauna and a relatively high abundance of crinoids. Further examples are included in Appendix 6.



Figure 13 Percent cover of epifauna at drop camera sites in Otway Basin.



Figure 14 Example of the typical seabed epifauna with high percent cover at Thylacine 1 (TH1).

Table 21 Percent cover and total abundance of epibiota at drop camera sites.

Location	*n*	Percent cover of epifauna (%)		Total abundance of individual organisms								
				Crinoidea	Gastropoda spp.					Nudibranchia	Polychaeta	Teleostei
		Average	S.D.		Sp. 1	Sp. 2	Sp. 3	Sp. 4	Sp. 5			
AR1	4	26	15			4						
AR2	4	30	11			1						
AR3	9	18	11			1						
AR4	13	21	13			14						
GE1	9	19	21		2	2						
GE2	9	6	8		1							
GE3	9	19	14			1						
GE4	11	8	13			1						
HE1	14	21	15					2				
HE2	15	33	24		1	1		1				
HE3	14	26	18	1		2	1					
HE4	16	16	12		1							
LB1	9	16	10			1						
LB2	18	10	10									
LB3	15	4	2			4						
LB4	17	14	15			2		1				
TH1	16	43	14	40						1		
TH2	15	2	3		1	1						
TH3	21	11	7	8		7			2			
TH4	18	16	8	24								

Location	*n*	Percent cover of epifauna (%)		Total abundance of individual organisms								
				Crinoidea	Gastropoda spp.					Nudibranchia	Polychaeta	Teleostei
		Average	S.D.		Sp. 1	Sp. 2	Sp. 3	Sp. 4	Sp. 5			
TH5	1	5	-									
TH6	5	7	4									
TH7	8	2	3			1						
TH8	11	5	2			1						
HTX1	9	37	14		2	1		1				
HTY1	18	20	8			7		1	1			
ARGE3	12	9	8			6	1				1	
ARGE6	20	3	3			1						1
ARGE7	18	18	10			3		1				1
ARHTY	21	5	11	1	1	1				1		1
ARLB2	17	15	9			5	1					
ARLB6	15	1	2			7		1				
LBGE3	16	12	17			4						
LBGE6	14	1	2			1		1				

A composite, qualitative sample of epifauna from the Artisan field as examined and identified by the Benthic Australia invertebrate laboratory, with the results presented in Table 22. This epifauna was collected from grab samples at Artisan 1. This analysis shows that much of the epifauna is comprised of branching bryozoans, feather-like gorgonian cnidarians and sponges. This complex of encrusting/branching fauna provides refuge for macrofauna such as amphipods, isopods, polychaete worms and molluscs.

Table 22 Epifauna present in grab samples collected at the Artisan field.

Phylum	Class / Order	Family	Morpho-species	Artisan_1_Epifauna
Annelida	Polychaeta	Amphinomidae	Hermodice spp.	1
		Eunicidae	Eunice spp.	1
		Phyllodocidae	Phyllodocidae sp.	1
		Syllidae	Syllidae sp.	2
		Terebellidae	Terebellidae sp.	1
Cnidaria	Alcyonacea	Alcyonacea	Gorgonian-Feather sp.	1
Crustacea	Amphipoda	Dexaminidae	Dexaminidae sp.	10
		Eusiridae	Eusiridae sp.	2
		Ischyroceridae	Ischyroceridae sp.	2
		Maeridae	Maeridae sp.1	3
			Maeridae sp.2	3
		Stegocephalidae	Stegocephalidae sp.	2
Crustacea	Isopoda	Valvifera	Valvifera sp.	1
Echinodermata	Ophiuroidea	Ophiuroidea	Ophiuroidea sp.	4
Ectoprocta	Bryozoa	Bryozoa	Branching-sp.1	7
			Branching-sp.2	2
Mollusca	Bivalvia	Glycymerididae	Glycymerididae sp.	1
	Gastropoda	c.f.Olividae	c.f.Olividae sp.	1
Porifera	Porifera	Porifera	Conglomerate-Branching sp.	3
			Conglomerate-Bulbous sp.1	4
			Conglomerate-Bulbous sp.2	2
			Solitary-Fan	4

5. DISCUSSION

The survey was conducted over in the Otway Basin covering five survey areas, two hot taps and five routes between those locations. The survey areas were located in offshore Commonwealth waters at 32 to 80 km from Port Campbell. Water depth ranged from 70 to 104 m.

The water quality at the Thylacine and Artisan survey areas indicated an undisturbed mid-depth environment, based on the six samples collected during the survey. There were low or undetectable levels of nutrients, metals/metalloids, BTEXs, PAHs and TRHs in the seawater samples. Metal and metalloids measurements were generally below ANZECC trigger values and within the range expected for unmodified, marine waters. The range of ORP measurements indicated a well oxygenated, ecologically healthy environment.

The sandy substrates described for Thylacine and Artisan survey areas are consistent with the reported description for the area of unconsolidated seabed sediments made up of carbonate sands (Barton et al., 2012; Murray-Wallace and Woodroffe, 2014). The sediment quality results were also consistent with Jones and Davies (1983) who described the grain size distribution as sand and gravel covering the entire shelf except for areas of silty sand in central Bass Strait and other locations more remote from the survey area. The authors noted a regional trend of ‘reverse grading’ whereby sediment tended to become coarser with distance from shore. Fine sand was reported to be the predominant sediment type along the inner shelf of Victoria and off much of Tasmania, grading seawards into medium-grain sand, and locally into coarse sand at the edge of the shelf (Jones and Davies, 1983). While the gravel fraction was not assessed, it is likely that some gravel occurs within the sediment as shown by some larger shell fragments observed in seabed photographs. Sediments had a high ORP and low or undetectable levels of toxicants indicating an unmodified seabed environment.

The Otway Basin is part of the Southeast Marine Bioregion which extends from the far south coast of New South Wales to Kangaroo Island (Commonwealth of Australia, 2015). Significant variation in seafloor features and water depth contribute to the high level of species diversity in the Region and the shelf habitats are reported to support a diverse range of species from a broad range of taxonomic groups (Commonwealth of Australia, 2015). However, there is no readily-available literature describing the seabed fauna of Otway Basin, meaning it is not possible to make a comparison of infauna and epifauna communities detected to prior studies. Most descriptions of the ecological values of the Basin or the Bioregion are at a broad scale and focus of key features such as cetaceans, birds, fisheries and macroalgae habitats (Commonwealth of Australia, 2015).

Based on the assessment of epifauna using seabed photographs, the general impression of the seafloor is of a unmodifed marine environment that supports a patchy complex of branching epibiota (i.e., bryozoans, gorgonian cnidarians and sponges). This complex was highly patchy, covering 0.25 m^2 on average but could be found in patches of at least 0.4 m^2.

A microscopic examination of a qualitative sample of this epibiota indicated that this complex of fauna provide microhabitat for a range of macrofauna such as amphipods, isopods, polychaete worms and molluscs. Such epifaunal habitats are known to provide refuge and other resources for benthic species (Jones, 2006). By comparison, there was a low abundance and diversity of infauna living within the sediment which reflects the coarse nature of the substrate. This type of substrate is highly mobile making it difficult for filter feeders and soft bodies invertebrates to survive and establish significant populations.

In summary, the epibiota on the seabed in the vicinity of the Thylacine and Artisan survey areas is representative of what is expected at depths around 70-100 m. The infauna was of relatively low abundance and diversity as expected for coarse sand substrates. No species or ecological communities listed as threatened under the Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (the EPBC Act) were observed.

6. REFERENCES

Barton, J.; Pope, A.; Howe S. (2012) Marine Natural Values Study Vol 2: Marine Protected Areas of the Otway Bioregion. Parks Victoria Technical series No. 75. Parks Victoria, Melbourne.

Commonwealth of Australia (2015) South-east marine region profile: A description of the ecosystems, conservation values and uses of the South-east Marine Region. 87 p. https://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/7a110303-f9c7-44e4-b337-00cb2e4b9fbf/files/south-east-marine-region-profile.pdf [Accessed February 2020].

Jones, E.J. (2006) Bryozoan thickets on Otago shelf, New Zealand: a quantitative assessment of the epibenthos using underwater photography. MSc thesis. University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand. 213 p.

Jones, H.A.; Davies, P.J. (1983) Superficial sediments of the Tasmanian continental shelf and part of Bass Strait. Bureau of Mineral Resources, Geology and Geophysics bulletin no. 218. Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service, 25 p.

Murray-Wallace, C.V.; Woodroffe, C.D. (2014) Quaternary sea-level changes: a global perspective. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 484 p.

APPENDIX 1
ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE LOGS

SAMPLE MANAGEMENT ROUTINES

Project Code: 318000803	Project Name: Otway Offshore Development	
Vessel: Vos Shine Location: Artisan and Thylacine, Otway Basin	Sampling Team: Irene Middleton Sampling Gear: Van Dorn 2.4L and Van Veen Double benthic grab sampler	Date: 22/11/2019

☑	All samples are stored on board as required for the analysis	
☒	Once ashore samples are transported by air with the sampling team to Perth	Not required, samples sent directly from port to lab.
☑	All Chain of Costody (COC) forms are copied and saved to cloud storage prior to sample dispatch	
☑	Samples for contaminants analyses (metals, metalloids, hydrocarbons) are shipped by courier to EUROFINS in Melbourne with COC documentation	
☑	Samples for infaunal analysis are shipped via courier to Benthic Australia, Gladstone, QLD with COC documentation	
☑	Image data is saved in its entireity to two separate storage drives, each transported by a different team member to Ramboll's office (holding a relevant COC)	Only one team member transported storage drives as only one enviro team member on board at one time. Additional image data sent to Ramboll by Fugro via sercure file transfer.
☑	Image data is saved in its entireity to Ramboll's secure servers once back in the office (noted on COC when complete)	

Comments:

SAMPLING LOG

Project Code: 318000803			**Project Name: Otway Offshore Development**
Vessel: VOS Shine	**Sampling Team: Irene Middleton**	**Sky/Wind: 20 knots**	**Date: 22/11/2019**
Location: Artisan	**Sampling Gear: Van Dorn 2.4L water sampler**	**Sea State: 2 m swell**	**Shift: 04:00-20:00**

Site No.	Local Time	Sample No.	Replicate No.	Image ID	Sample Acceptable?	pH	ORP (mV)	Temperature (°C)	Dissolved oxygen (%/ppb)	Conductivity (uS/cm)	Visual Contamination
AR 2	6:21	2	1	N/A	YES, Sampler A	8.08	172.1	13.6	93.1/7.78	497679	None
AR 1a	6:49	1	1	N/A	NO, sample rejected	-	-	-	-	-	-
AR 1b	7:11	1	2	N/A	YES, Sampler A	8.16	172.7	13.9	93.8/7.89	50112	None
AR 5	7:26	1	1	N/A	YES, Sampler A	8.34	164.5	13.4	93.8/7.89	50502	None

Comments: Sampler B was contaminated by a greasy hand print so all samples came from Sampler A. Blank samples were collected from Sampler A (labelled Blank A) and Sampler B (labelled Blank B).

SAMPLING LOG

Project Code: 318000803		Project Name: Otway Offshore Development	
Vessel: VOS Shine	Sampling Team: Irene Middleton	Sky / Wind: 20 knots	Date: 22/11/2019
Location: Artisan	Sampling Gear: Van Veen Double benthic grab sampler	Sea State: 2 m swell	Shift: 04:00-20:00

Site No.	Local Time	Sample No.	Replicate No.	Image ID	Sample Acceptable?	Munsell Colour	ORP (mV)	Texture / Surface or Vertical Structure	Odour (describe)	Visual Contamination	Organic Fragments / Bioturbation / other Fauna
AR_GS-1	8:36	1	1	1-5	NO, not enough material	7.5YR 8/4	-	Sand and epibenthos/sponges	None	None	Sponges, bryozoans, ascidians
AR_GS-1	9:12	1	2	-	NO, grab not triggered	-	-	-	-	-	-
AR_GS-1	9:40	1	3	6-10	YES, small sample used for composite sample	10YR 8/4	Not able to be measured for small sample	Sand, some sponge	None	None	Sponge, coral fragments and tubeworms
AR_GS-1	10:05	1	4	11-13	YES, small sample (3 cm deep) used for composite sample	10YR 8/4	176.4 at 2 cm	Sand	None	None	No sponges, just shell
AR_GS-1	10:39	1	5	14-15	NO	-	-	Only some epifauna retained for examination	None	None	Sponges and bryozoans
AR_GS-1	10:56	1	6	16-19	YES, small sample used for composite sediment sample, no infauna sampled	10YR 8/4	176.3 at 1 cm	Sand	None	None	Bryozoans and corals
AR4_GS-3_1	12:25	3	1	-	NO, grab not triggered	-	-	-	-	-	-
AR4_GS-3_2	12:45	3	2	20-21	NO, small sample (3 cm deep) for sediment only. Infauna grab not triggered	10YR 8/4	217.3 at 2 cm	Shelly sand	None	None	-

AR4_GS-3_3	13:20	3	3	22-24	YES, good sample	10YR 8/4	241.2 at 1 cm	Shelly sand	None	None	-
AR4_GS-3_4	13:30	3	4	25-26	YES, infauna only, 7 cm deep	10YR 8/4	202.3 at 1 cm	Shell coarse hash	None	None	None

Comments: Sample quality was variable and did not always meet the acceptability criteria but allowances were made to get some material for processing.

SAMPLING LOG

Project Code: 318000803		**Project Name: Otway Offshore Development**	
Vessel: VOS Shine	**Sampling Team: Irene Middleton**	**Sky/Wind: 20 knots**	**Date: 22/11/2019**
Location: Thylacine	**Sampling Gear: Van Veen Double benthic grab sampler**	**Sea State: 2 m swell**	**Shift: 04:00-20:00**

Site No.	Local Time	Sample No.	Replicate No.	Image ID	Sample Acceptable?	Munsell Colour	ORP (mV)	Texture / Surface or Vertical Structure	Odour (describe)	Visual Contamination	Organic Fragments /Bioturbation /other Fauna
TH_GS1	17:12	1	0	27-30	YES, 15 cm deep	10YR 8/4	216.7 at 3 cm	Shelly and	None	None	Shell coarse, sand
TH_GS1_1	17:42	1	1	31-33	YES	10YR 8/4	211.0 at 2 cm	Shelly sand	None	None	Shell coarse, sand
TH_GS1_2	18:04	1	2	34-36	YES	10YR 8/4	252.7 at 1 cm	Shelly sand	None	None	Shell coarse, sand
TH_GS1_3	18:26	1	3	37-40	YES	10YR 8/4	242.7 at 1cm	Shelly sand	None	None	Shell coarse, sand

Comments:

SAMPLING LOG

Project Code: 318000803	**Project Name: Otway Offshore Development**		
Vessel: VOS Shine	**Sampling Team: Irene Middleton**	**Sky/Wind: 20 knots**	**Date: 22/11/2019**
Location: Artisan and Thylacine	**Sampling Gear: Van Dorn 2.4L water sampler**	**Sea State: 2 m swell**	**Shift: 04:00-20:00**

Site No.	Local Time	Sample No.	Replicate No.	Image ID	Sample Acceptable?	pH	ORP (mV)	Temperature (°C)	Dissolved oxygen (%/ppb)	Conductivity (uS/cm)	Visual Contamination
TH_GS1	19:13	1	1	N/A	YES, Sampler A	8.19	215	13.4	94.3/8.07	No clear/steady reading	None
TH_GS1	19:30	1	2	N/A	YES, Sampler A	8.24	211.4	13.2	95.2/8.33	No clear/steady reading	None
TH_GS1	19:40	1	3	N/A	YES, Sampler A	8.33	198.1	13.2	95.2/8.16	No clear/steady reading	None

Comments:

SAMPLING LOG _REDOX MEASUREMENTS

Project Code: 318000803			Project Name: Otway Offshore Development
Recorder: Irene Middleton	Sample Acceptable: Only acceptable samples used	Date: 22/11/2019	Time (local): 0400-2000

			ORP Reading Depth (mm)																		
Site No.	Sample No.	Replicate No.	Surface	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130	140	150	160	170	180
Artisan GS	1	4	No surface measurements as hard sand surface gave indeterminate readings	176.2	176.4	No further penetration															
Artisan GS	1	6		176.3	No further penetration																
Artisan GS 3	2	1	As above	242.1	217.3	No further penetration															
Artisan GS 3	2	2	As above	241.2	No further penetration																
Artisan GS 3	2	3	As above	202.3	No further penetration																
Thylacine GS 2	1	1	As above	225.5	223.0	216.7	No further penetration														
Thylacine GS 1	1	1	As above	211.0	211.0	No further penetration															
Thylacine GS 1	1	1	As above	252.7	No further penetration																
Thylacine GS 1	1	1	As above	242.7	No further penetration																

Comments:

APPENDIX 2
WATER QUALITY LABORATORY REPORT

Certificate of Analysis

Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd
Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace
East Perth
WA 6004

NATA Accredited
Accreditation Number 1261
Site Number 1254

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing
The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards.

Attention:	**Dan McClary**
Report	**690395-W**
Project name	OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS
Project ID	318000803
Received Date	Dec 04, 2019

Client Sample ID			**THYLACINE_GS1_1**	**THYLACINE_GS1_2**	**THYLACINE_G1_3**	**ARTISON_1**
Sample Matrix			**Water**	**Water**	**Water**	**Water**
Eurofins Sample No.			**M19-No38322**	**M19-No38323**	**M19-No38324**	**M19-No38325**
Date Sampled			**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions						
TRH C6-C9	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH C10-C14	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH C15-C28	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH C29-C36	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	0.15	< 0.1	< 0.1
BTEX						
Benzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Toluene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Ethylbenzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
m&p-Xylenes	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
o-Xylene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Xylenes - Total	0.003	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	106	94	107	94
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions						
Naphthalene[N02]	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
TRH C6-C10	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1)[N04]	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH >C10-C16	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	0.07	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2)[N01]	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	0.07	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH >C16-C34	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH >C34-C40	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	0.17	< 0.1	< 0.1
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Acenaphthene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Acenaphthylene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Anthracene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Benz(a)anthracene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene[N07]	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Chrysene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Fluoranthene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Fluorene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001

Client Sample ID			THYLACINE_GS1_1	THYLACINE_GS1_2	THYLACINE_G1_3	ARTISON_1
Sample Matrix			Water	Water	Water	Water
Eurofins Sample No.			M19-No38322	M19-No38323	M19-No38324	M19-No38325
Date Sampled			Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Naphthalene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Phenanthrene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Pyrene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Total PAH*	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	111	107	109	109
p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.)	1	%	134	145	138	93
Ammonia (as N)	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Chlorophyll a	5	ug/L	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.10	< 0.05
Nitrate (as N)	0.02	mg/L	0.03	0.02	0.10	< 0.02
Nitrite (as N)	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
Phosphate total (as P)	0.01	mg/L	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02
Phosphorus reactive (as P)	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	0.2	mg/L	< 0.2	< 0.2	2.4	< 0.2
Total Nitrogen (as N)*	0.2	mg/L	< 0.2	< 0.2	2.5	< 0.2
Total Suspended Solids Dried at 103–105°C	1	mg/L	3.4	9.7	2.4	5.9
Heavy Metals						
Arsenic	0.001	mg/L	0.001	0.004	0.002	0.003
Cadmium	0.0002	mg/L	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002
Chromium	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Cobalt	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Copper	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.002	0.001
Lead	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Mercury	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Nickel	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.001	< 0.001
Zinc	0.005	mg/L	0.011	0.012	0.022	0.018

Client Sample ID			ARTISON_2	ARTISON_5	BLANK A	BLANK B
Sample Matrix			Water	Water	Water	Water
Eurofins Sample No.			M19-No38326	M19-No38327	M19-No38328	M19-No38329
Date Sampled			Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions						
TRH C6-C9	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.03	< 0.02
TRH C10-C14	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH C15-C28	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH C29-C36	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
BTEX						
Benzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Toluene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.003	< 0.001
Ethylbenzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
m&p-Xylenes	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
o-Xylene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Xylenes - Total	0.003	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	102	100	96	92

Client Sample ID			ARTISON_2	ARTISON_5	BLANK A	BLANK B
Sample Matrix			Water	Water	Water	Water
Eurofins Sample No.			M19-No38326	M19-No38327	M19-No38328	M19-No38329
Date Sampled			Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions						
Naphthalene[N02]	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
TRH C6-C10	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.03	< 0.02
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1)[N04]	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.03	< 0.02
TRH >C10-C16	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2)[N01]	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH >C16-C34	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH >C34-C40	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Acenaphthene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Acenaphthylene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Anthracene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Benz(a)anthracene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene[N07]	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Chrysene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Fluoranthene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Fluorene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Naphthalene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Phenanthrene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Pyrene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Total PAH*	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	114	117	97	56
p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.)	1	%	102	101	52	67
Ammonia (as N)	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.03	< 0.01
Chlorophyll a	5	ug/L	< 10	< 10	-	-
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Nitrate (as N)	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
Nitrite (as N)	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
Phosphate total (as P)	0.01	mg/L	0.01	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Phosphorus reactive (as P)	0.01	mg/L	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	0.2	mg/L	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
Total Nitrogen (as N)*	0.2	mg/L	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
Total Suspended Solids Dried at 103–105°C	1	mg/L	4.6	5.2	< 1	3.1
Heavy Metals						
Arsenic	0.001	mg/L	0.005	0.010	0.001	0.001
Cadmium	0.0002	mg/L	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002
Chromium	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Cobalt	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Copper	0.001	mg/L	0.001	0.001	< 0.001	0.040
Lead	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Mercury	0.0001	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Nickel	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Zinc	0.005	mg/L	0.010	0.014	0.021	0.032

Sample History

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction and analysis is reported.
A recent review of our LIMS has resulted in the correction or clarification of some method identifications. Due to this, some of the method reference information on reports has changed. However, no substantive change has been made to our laboratory methods, and as such there is no change in the validity of current or previous results.

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

Description	Testing Site	Extracted	Holding Time
Eurofins | mgt Suite B4			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions	Melbourne	Dec 09, 2019	7 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
BTEX	Melbourne	Dec 06, 2019	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions	Melbourne	Dec 06, 2019	7 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions	Melbourne	Dec 09, 2019	
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Melbourne	Dec 09, 2019	7 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2130 PAH and Phenols in Soil and Water			
Eurofins | mgt Suite B19E: Total N, TKN, NOx, NO2, NO3, NH3, Total P, Reactive P			
Ammonia (as N)	Melbourne	Dec 09, 2019	28 Days
- Method: LTM-INO-4200 Ammonia by Discrete Analyser			
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	Melbourne	Dec 09, 2019	28 Days
- Method: LTM-INO-4120 Analysis of NOx NO2 NH3 by FIA			
Nitrate (as N)	Melbourne	Dec 09, 2019	28 Days
- Method: LTM-INO-4120 Analysis of NOx NO2 NH3 by FIA			
Nitrite (as N)	Melbourne	Dec 09, 2019	2 Days
- Method: LTM-INO-4120 Analysis of NOx NO2 NH3 by FIA			
Phosphate total (as P)	Melbourne	Dec 09, 2019	28 Days
- Method: APHA 4500-P E. Phosphorus			
Phosphorus reactive (as P)	Melbourne	Dec 09, 2019	2 Days
- Method: APHA 4500-P			
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	Melbourne	Dec 09, 2019	7 Days
- Method: LTM-INO-4310 TKN in Waters & Soils by FIA			
Chlorophyll a	Melbourne	Dec 06, 2019	2 Days
- Method: LTM-INO-4340 Chlorophyll a in Waters			
Total Suspended Solids Dried at 103–105°C	Melbourne	Dec 09, 2019	7 Days
- Method: LTM-INO-4070 Analysis of Suspended Solids in Water by Gravimetry			
Heavy Metals	Sydney	Dec 11, 2019	180 Days
- Method: LTM-MET-3040 Metals in Waters, Soils & Sediments by ICP-MS			

Company Name: Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd
Address: Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace
East Perth
WA 6004

Project Name: OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS
Project ID: 318000803

Order No.:
Report #: 690395
Phone: 08 9225 5199
Fax:

Received: Dec 4, 2019 10:56 AM
Due: Dec 11, 2019
Priority: 5 Day
Contact Name: ALL INVOICES

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Robert Johnston

Sample Detail						Arsenic	Cadmium	Chlorophyll a	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Pheophytin*	Total Suspended Solids Dried at 103–105°C	Zinc	Eurofins | mgt Suite B4	Eurofins | mgt Suite B19E: Total N, TKN, NOx, NO2, NO3, NH3, Total P, Reactive P
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271								X							X	X		X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217 & 14271						X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X			X		
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794 & 14271																			
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736 & 14271																			
External Laboratory																			
No	**Sample ID**	**Sample Date**	**Sampling Time**	**Matrix**	**LAB ID**														
1	THYLACINE_GS1_1	Nov 22, 2019		Water	M19-No38322	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
2	THYLACINE_GS1_2	Nov 22, 2019		Water	M19-No38323	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
3	THYLACINE_G1_3	Nov 22, 2019		Water	M19-No38324	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
4	ARTISON_1	Nov 22, 2019		Water	M19-No38325	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
5	ARTISON_2	Nov 22, 2019		Water	M19-No38326	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
6	ARTISON_5	Nov 22, 2019		Water	M19-No38327	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
7	BLANK A	Nov 22, 2019		Water	M19-No38328	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X
8	BLANK B	Nov 22, 2019		Water	M19-No38329	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X

Company Name: Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd
Address: Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace
East Perth
WA 6004

Order No.:
Report #: 690395
Phone: 08 9225 5199
Fax:

Received: Dec 4, 2019 10:56 AM
Due: Dec 11, 2019
Priority: 5 Day
Contact Name: ALL INVOICES

Project Name: OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS
Project ID: 318000803

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Robert Johnston

Sample Detail	Arsenic	Cadmium	Chlorophyll a	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Pheophytin*	Total Suspended Solids Dried at 103–105°C	Zinc	Eurofins | mgt Suite B4	Eurofins | mgt Suite B19E: Total N, TKN, NOx, NO2, NO3, NH3, Total P, Reactive P
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271			X							X	X		X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217 & 14271	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X			X		
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794 & 14271														
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736 & 14271														
Test Counts	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	8	8	8	8

eurofins | Environment Testing

Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary

General

1. Laboratory QC results for Method Blanks, Duplicates, Matrix Spikes, and Laboratory Control Samples follows guidelines delineated in the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999, as amended May 2013 and are included in this QC report where applicable. Additional QC data may be available on request.
2. All soil/sediment/solid results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
3. All biota/food results are reported on a wet weight basis on the edible portion, unless otherwise stated.
4. Actual LORs are matrix dependant. Quoted LORs may be raised where sample extracts are diluted due to interferences.
5. Results are uncorrected for matrix spikes or surrogate recoveries except for PFAS compounds.
6. SVOC analysis on waters are performed on homogenised, unfiltered samples, unless noted otherwise.
7. Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
8. Information identified on this report with blue colour, indicates data provided by customer, that may have an impact on the results.
9. This report replaces any interim results previously issued.

Holding Times

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the SRA.

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

For VOCs containing vinyl chloride, styrene and 2-chloroethyl vinyl ether the holding time is 7 days however for all other VOCs such as BTEX or C6-10 TRH then the holding time is 14 days.

****NOTE:** pH duplicates are reported as a range NOT as RPD

Units

mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram	**mg/L:** milligrams per litre	**ug/L:** micrograms per litre
ppm: Parts per million	**ppb:** Parts per billion	**%:** Percentage
org/100mL: Organisms per 100 millilitres	**NTU:** Nephelometric Turbidity Units	**MPN/100mL:** Most Probable Number of organisms per 100 millilitres

Terms

Dry	Where a moisture has been determined on a solid sample the result is expressed on a dry basis.
LOR	Limit of Reporting.
SPIKE	Addition of the analyte to the sample and reported as percentage recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference between two Duplicate pieces of analysis.
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample - reported as percent recovery.
CRM	Certified Reference Material - reported as percent recovery.
Method Blank	In the case of solid samples these are performed on laboratory certified clean sands and in the case of water samples these are performed on de-ionised water.
Surr - Surrogate	The addition of a like compound to the analyte target and reported as percentage recovery.
Duplicate	A second piece of analysis from the same sample and reported in the same units as the result to show comparison.
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
APHA	American Public Health Association
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
COC	Chain of Custody
SRA	Sample Receipt Advice
QSM	US Department of Defense Quality Systems Manual Version 5.3
CP	Client Parent - QC was performed on samples pertaining to this report
NCP	Non-Client Parent - QC performed on samples not pertaining to this report, QC is representative of the sequence or batch that client samples were analysed within.
TEQ	Toxic Equivalency Quotient

QC - Acceptance Criteria

RPD Duplicates: Global RPD Duplicates Acceptance Criteria is 30% however the following acceptance guidelines are equally applicable:

Results <10 times the LOR : No Limit

Results between 10-20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-50%

Results >20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-30%

Surrogate Recoveries: Recoveries must lie between 20-130% Phenols & 50-150% PFASs

PFAS field samples that contain surrogate recoveries in excess of the QC limit designated in QSM 5.3 where no positive PFAS results have been reported have been reviewed and no data was affected.

WA DWER (n=10): PFBA, PFPeA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFOS, 6:2 FTSA, 8:2 FTSA

QC Data General Comments

1. Where a result is reported as a less than (<), higher than the nominated LOR, this is due to either matrix interference, extract dilution required due to interferences or contaminant levels within the sample, high moisture content or insufficient sample provided.
2. Duplicate data shown within this report that states the word "BATCH" is a Batch Duplicate from outside of your sample batch, but within the laboratory sample batch at a 1:10 ratio. The Parent and Duplicate data shown is not data from your samples.
3. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting LCS data, Toxaphene & Chlordane are not added to the LCS.
4. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting Spike data, Toxaphene is not added to the Spike.
5. Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - where reporting Spike & LCS data, a single spike of commercial Hydrocarbon products in the range of C12-C30 is added and it's Total Recovery is reported in the C10-C14 cell of the Report.
6. pH and Free Chlorine analysed in the laboratory - Analysis on this test must begin within 30 minutes of sampling.Therefore laboratory analysis is unlikely to be completed within holding time. Analysis will begin as soon as possible after sample receipt.
7. Recovery Data (Spikes & Surrogates) - where chromatographic interference does not allow the determination of Recovery the term "INT" appears against that analyte.
8. Polychlorinated Biphenyls are spiked only using Aroclor 1260 in Matrix Spikes and LCS.
9. For Matrix Spikes and LCS results a dash " -" in the report means that the specific analyte was not added to the QC sample.
10. Duplicate RPDs are calculated from raw analytical data thus it is possible to have two sets of data.

Quality Control Results

Test	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Method Blank							
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions							
TRH C6-C9	mg/L	< 0.02			0.02	Pass	
TRH C10-C14	mg/L	< 0.05			0.05	Pass	
TRH C15-C28	mg/L	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
TRH C29-C36	mg/L	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
Method Blank							
BTEX							
Benzene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Toluene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	mg/L	< 0.002			0.002	Pass	
o-Xylene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	mg/L	< 0.003			0.003	Pass	
Method Blank							
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions							
Naphthalene	mg/L	< 0.01			0.01	Pass	
TRH C6-C10	mg/L	< 0.02			0.02	Pass	
TRH >C10-C16	mg/L	< 0.05			0.05	Pass	
TRH >C16-C34	mg/L	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
TRH >C34-C40	mg/L	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
Method Blank							
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons							
Acenaphthene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Anthracene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Chrysene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Fluoranthene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Fluorene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Naphthalene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Phenanthrene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Pyrene	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Method Blank							
Ammonia (as N)	mg/L	< 0.01			0.01	Pass	
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	< 0.05			0.05	Pass	
Nitrate (as N)	mg/L	< 0.02			0.02	Pass	
Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	< 0.02			0.02	Pass	
Phosphate total (as P)	mg/L	< 0.01			0.01	Pass	
Phosphorus reactive (as P)	mg/L	< 0.01			0.01	Pass	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	mg/L	< 0.2			0.2	Pass	
Total Suspended Solids Dried at 103–105°C	mg/L	< 1			1	Pass	
Method Blank							
Heavy Metals							
Arsenic	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Cadmium	mg/L	< 0.0002			0.0002	Pass	

Test	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Chromium	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Cobalt	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Copper	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Lead	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Mercury	mg/L	< 0.0001			0.0001	Pass	
Nickel	mg/L	< 0.001			0.001	Pass	
Zinc	mg/L	< 0.005			0.005	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery							
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions							
TRH C6-C9	%	94			70-130	Pass	
TRH C10-C14	%	115			70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery							
BTEX							
Benzene	%	92			70-130	Pass	
Toluene	%	79			70-130	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	%	83			70-130	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	%	76			70-130	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	%	78			70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery							
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions							
Naphthalene	%	77			70-130	Pass	
TRH C6-C10	%	94			70-130	Pass	
TRH >C10-C16	%	107			70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery							
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons							
Acenaphthene	%	87			70-130	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	%	85			70-130	Pass	
Anthracene	%	72			70-130	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	%	99			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	%	72			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	%	72			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	%	75			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	%	98			70-130	Pass	
Chrysene	%	99			70-130	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	%	80			70-130	Pass	
Fluoranthene	%	85			70-130	Pass	
Fluorene	%	100			70-130	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	%	98			70-130	Pass	
Naphthalene	%	86			70-130	Pass	
Phenanthrene	%	95			70-130	Pass	
Pyrene	%	86			70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery							
Ammonia (as N)	%	100			70-130	Pass	
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	%	101			70-130	Pass	
Nitrate (as N)	%	101			70-130	Pass	
Nitrite (as N)	%	106			70-130	Pass	
Phosphate total (as P)	%	95			70-130	Pass	
Phosphorus reactive (as P)	%	95			70-130	Pass	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	%	84			70-130	Pass	
Total Suspended Solids Dried at 103–105°C	%	98			70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery							
Heavy Metals							
Arsenic	%	90			70-130	Pass	
Cadmium	%	92			70-130	Pass	

Test			Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Chromium			%	98			70-130	Pass	
Cobalt			%	100			70-130	Pass	
Copper			%	100			70-130	Pass	
Lead			%	101			70-130	Pass	
Mercury			%	96			70-130	Pass	
Nickel			%	99			70-130	Pass	
Zinc			%	98			70-130	Pass	
Test	**Lab Sample ID**	**QA Source**	**Units**	**Result 1**			**Acceptance Limits**	**Pass Limits**	**Qualifying Code**
Spike - % Recovery									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions				Result 1					
TRH C10-C14	M19-De05914	NCP	%	111			70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions				Result 1					
TRH >C10-C16	M19-De05914	NCP	%	104			70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery									
				Result 1					
Ammonia (as N)	M19-De03315	NCP	%	97			70-130	Pass	
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	M19-De03315	NCP	%	97			70-130	Pass	
Nitrate (as N)	M19-De03315	NCP	%	97			70-130	Pass	
Nitrite (as N)	B19-De03253	NCP	%	106			70-130	Pass	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	N19-De04634	NCP	%	91			70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery									
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons				Result 1					
Acenaphthene	M19-No38324	CP	%	84			70-130	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	M19-No38324	CP	%	85			70-130	Pass	
Anthracene	M19-No38324	CP	%	74			70-130	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	M19-No38324	CP	%	72			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	M19-No38324	CP	%	82			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	M19-No38324	CP	%	79			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	M19-No38324	CP	%	89			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	M19-No38324	CP	%	113			70-130	Pass	
Chrysene	M19-No38324	CP	%	106			70-130	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	M19-No38324	CP	%	83			70-130	Pass	
Fluoranthene	M19-No38324	CP	%	89			70-130	Pass	
Fluorene	M19-No38324	CP	%	101			70-130	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	M19-No38324	CP	%	82			70-130	Pass	
Naphthalene	M19-No38324	CP	%	81			70-130	Pass	
Phenanthrene	M19-No38324	CP	%	93			70-130	Pass	
Pyrene	M19-No38324	CP	%	94			70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery									
				Result 1					
Phosphate total (as P)	M19-No38324	CP	%	92			70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery									
Heavy Metals				Result 1					
Arsenic	M19-No38329	CP	%	95			70-130	Pass	
Cadmium	M19-No38329	CP	%	94			70-130	Pass	
Chromium	M19-No38329	CP	%	87			70-130	Pass	
Cobalt	M19-No38329	CP	%	88			70-130	Pass	
Copper	M19-No38329	CP	%	84			70-130	Pass	
Lead	M19-No38329	CP	%	90			70-130	Pass	
Mercury	M19-No38329	CP	%	80			70-130	Pass	
Nickel	M19-No38329	CP	%	85			70-130	Pass	
Zinc	M19-No38329	CP	%	88			70-130	Pass	

Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Duplicate									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
TRH C6-C9	B19-De02116	NCP	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C10-C14	M19-De05913	NCP	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C15-C28	M19-De05913	NCP	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C29-C36	M19-De05913	NCP	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
BTEX				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Benzene	B19-De02116	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Toluene	B19-De02116	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	B19-De02116	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	B19-De02116	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
o-Xylene	B19-De02116	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	B19-De02116	NCP	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Naphthalene	B19-De02116	NCP	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C6-C10	B19-De02116	NCP	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH >C10-C16	M19-De05913	NCP	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH >C16-C34	M19-De05913	NCP	mg/L	< 0.1		<1	30%	Pass	
TRH >C34-C40	M19-De05913	NCP	mg/L	< 0.1		<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Ammonia (as N)	B19-De03253	NCP	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	<1	30%	Pass	
Chlorophyll a	M19-De06051	NCP	ug/L	28	34	21	30%	Pass	
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	B19-De03253	NCP	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass	
Nitrate (as N)	B19-De03253	NCP	mg/L	0.04	0.05	34	30%	Fail	Q15
Nitrite (as N)	B19-De03253	NCP	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	<1	30%	Pass	
Phosphate total (as P)	M19-De05566	NCP	mg/L	0.91	0.88	4.0	30%	Pass	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	M19-De03633	NCP	mg/L	79	77	2.8	30%	Pass	
Total Suspended Solids Dried at 103–105°C	M19-De06128	NCP	mg/L	230	230	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Heavy Metals				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Arsenic	M19-No38322	CP	mg/L	0.001	0.001	2.0	30%	Pass	
Cadmium	M19-No38322	CP	mg/L	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	<1	30%	Pass	
Chromium	M19-No38322	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Cobalt	M19-No38322	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Copper	M19-No38322	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Lead	M19-No38322	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Mercury	M19-No38322	CP	mg/L	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	<1	30%	Pass	
Nickel	M19-No38322	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Zinc	M19-No38322	CP	mg/L	0.011	0.012	9.0	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Acenaphthene	M19-No38323	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	M19-No38323	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Anthracene	M19-No38323	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	M19-No38323	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	M19-No38323	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	M19-No38323	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	M19-No38323	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	M19-No38323	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Chrysene	M19-No38323	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	M19-No38323	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	

Duplicate									
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Fluoranthene	M19-No38323	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Fluorene	M19-No38323	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	M19-No38323	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Naphthalene	M19-No38323	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Phenanthrene	M19-No38323	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Pyrene	M19-No38323	CP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	

Comments

Sample Integrity

Custody Seals Intact (if used)	N/A
Attempt to Chill was evident	Yes
Sample correctly preserved	Yes
Appropriate sample containers have been used	Yes
Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace	Yes
Samples received within HoldingTime	Yes
Some samples have been subcontracted	No

Qualifier Codes/Comments

Code	Description
N01	F2 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "naphthalene" value from the ">C10-C16" value. The naphthalene value used in this calculation is obtained from volatiles (Purge & Trap analysis).
N02	Where we have reported both volatile (P&T GCMS) and semivolatile (GCMS) naphthalene data, results may not be identical. Provided correct sample handling protocols have been followed, any observed differences in results are likely to be due to procedural differences within each methodology. Results determined by both techniques have passed all QAQC acceptance criteria, and are entirely technically valid.
N04	F1 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "Total BTEX" value from the "C6-C10" value. The "Total BTEX" value is obtained by summing the concentrations of BTEX analytes. The "C6-C10" value is obtained by quantitating against a standard of mixed aromatic/aliphatic analytes.
N07	Please note:- These two PAH isomers closely co-elute using the most contemporary analytical methods and both the reported concentration (and the TEQ) apply specifically to the total of the two co-eluting PAHs
Q15	The RPD reported passes Eurofins Environment Testing's QC - Acceptance Criteria as defined in the Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary page of this report.

Authorised By

Robert Johnston	Analytical Services Manager
Gabriele Cordero	Senior Analyst-Metal (NSW)
Harry Bacalis	Senior Analyst-Volatile (VIC)
Joseph Edouard	Senior Analyst-Organic (VIC)
Julie Kay	Senior Analyst-Inorganic (VIC)

Glenn Jackson
General Manager

Final report - this Report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested

* Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please click here.

Environment Testing

NATA Accredited
Accreditation Number 1261
Site Number 1254

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing
The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards.

Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd
Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace
East Perth
WA 6004

Attention: **Dan McClary**

Report	**690387-A**
Project name	OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS
Project ID	318000803
Received Date	Dec 04, 2019

Client Sample ID			**ARTISON-1**	**ARTISON-5**	**ARTISON-2**	**THYLACINE GS1_3**
Sample Matrix			**Filter paper**	**Filter paper**	**Filter paper**	**Filter paper**
Eurofins Sample No.			**M19-No38257**	**M19-No38258**	**M19-No38259**	**M19-No38260**
Date Sampled			**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Chlorophyll a	10	ug/L	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10

Client Sample ID			**THYLACINE GS1_1**	**THYLACINE GS1_2**
Sample Matrix			**Filter paper**	**Filter paper**
Eurofins Sample No.			**M19-No38261**	**M19-No38262**
Date Sampled			**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit		
Chlorophyll a	10	ug/L	< 10	< 10

Sample History

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction and analysis is reported.
A recent review of our LIMS has resulted in the correction or clarification of some method identifications. Due to this, some of the method reference information on reports has changed. However, no substantive change has been made to our laboratory methods, and as such there is no change in the validity of current or previous results.

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

Description	Testing Site	Extracted	Holding Time
Chlorophyll a	Melbourne	Nov 27, 2019	2 Days
- Method:			

Company Name:	Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd	**Order No.:**		**Received:**	Dec 4, 2019 1:54 PM
Address:	Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace	**Report #:**	690387	**Due:**	Dec 5, 2019
	East Perth	**Phone:**	08 9225 5199	**Priority:**	7 Day
	WA 6004	**Fax:**		**Contact Name:**	ALL INVOICES
Project Name:	OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS				
Project ID:	318000803				

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Swati Shahaney

Sample Detail						% Clay	% Sand	% Silt	Cadmium	Chlorophyll a	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Silicon (Aqua regia extractable)	Tin	Total Organic Carbon	Zinc	Moisture Set	Eurofins | mgt Suite B19A: Total N (TKN, NOx), Total P
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271									X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217																					
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794						X	X	X													
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736																					
External Laboratory																					
No	**Sample ID**	**Sample Date**	**Sampling Time**	**Matrix**	**LAB ID**																
1	THYLACINE_GS1_3_MET1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38233	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
2	THYLACINE_GS1_3_MET2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38234	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
3	THYLACINE_GS1_3_PSD1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38235	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
4	THYLACINE_GS1_MET2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38236	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
5	THYLACINE_GS-1_MET1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38237	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
6	THYLACINE_	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38238	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

eurofins Environment Testing

ABN – 50 005 085 521
e.mail : EnviroSales@eurofins.com
web : www.eurofins.com.au

Melbourne
6 Monterey Road
Dandenong South VIC 3175
Phone : +61 3 8564 5000
NATA # 1261
Site # 1254 & 14271

Sydney
Unit F3, Building F
16 Mars Road
Lane Cove West NSW 2066
Phone : +61 2 9900 8400
NATA # 1261 Site # 18217

Brisbane
1/21 Smallwood Place
Murarrie QLD 4172
Phone : +61 7 3902 4600
NATA # 1261 Site # 20794

Perth
2/91 Leach Highway
Kewdale WA 6105
Phone : +61 8 9251 9600
NATA # 1261
Site # 23736

Company Name:	Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd	**Order No.:**		**Received:**	Dec 4, 2019 1:54 PM
Address:	Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace	**Report #:**	690387	**Due:**	Dec 5, 2019
	East Perth	**Phone:**	08 9225 5199	**Priority:**	7 Day
	WA 6004	**Fax:**		**Contact Name:**	ALL INVOICES
Project Name:	OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS				
Project ID:	318000803				

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Swati Shahaney

Sample Detail						% Clay	% Sand	% Silt	Cadmium	Chlorophyll a	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Silicon (Aqua regia extractable)	Tin	Total Organic Carbon	Zinc	Moisture Set	Eurofins | mgt Suite B19A: Total N (TKN, NOx), Total P
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271									X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217																					
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794						X	X	X													
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736																					
	GS-1_PSD1																				
7	THYLACINE_ GS1-2_PSD1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38239	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
8	THYLACINE_ GS1-2_MET1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38240	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
9	THYLACINE_ GS1-2_MET2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38241	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
10	THYLACINE_ GS2_PSD1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38242	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
11	THYLACINE_ GS2_MET1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38243	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
12	THYLACINE_ GS2_MET2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38244	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
13	ARTISON-	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38245	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Company Name: Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd
Address: Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace
East Perth
WA 6004

Order No.:
Report #: 690387
Phone: 08 9225 5199
Fax:

Received: Dec 4, 2019 1:54 PM
Due: Dec 5, 2019
Priority: 7 Day
Contact Name: ALL INVOICES

Project Name: OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS
Project ID: 318000803

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Swati Shahaney

Sample Detail						% Clay	% Sand	% Silt	Cadmium	Chlorophyll a	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Silicon (Aqua regia extractable)	Tin	Total Organic Carbon	Zinc	Moisture Set	Eurofins | mgt Suite B19A: Total N (TKN, NOx), Total P
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271									X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217																					
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794						X	X	X													
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736																					
	GS_A_PAR 4																				
14	ARTISON-GS_A_PAR 3	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38246	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
15	ARTISON-GSA_MET1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38247	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
16	ARTISON-GSA_PAR1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38248	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
17	ARTISON-GSA_MET2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38249	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
18	ARTISON-GSA_PAR2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38250	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
19	ARTISON-GS3_PAR1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38251	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
20	ARTISON-	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38252	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

eurofins Environment Testing

ABN – 50 005 085 521
e.mail : EnviroSales@eurofins.com
web : www.eurofins.com.au

Melbourne
6 Monterey Road
Dandenong South VIC 3175
Phone : +61 3 8564 5000
NATA # 1261
Site # 1254 & 14271

Sydney
Unit F3, Building F
16 Mars Road
Lane Cove West NSW 2066
Phone : +61 2 9900 8400
NATA # 1261 Site # 18217

Brisbane
1/21 Smallwood Place
Murarrie QLD 4172
Phone : +61 7 3902 4600
NATA # 1261 Site # 20794

Perth
2/91 Leach Highway
Kewdale WA 6105
Phone : +61 8 9251 9600
NATA # 1261
Site # 23736

Company Name:	Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd	**Order No.:**		**Received:**	Dec 4, 2019 1:54 PM
Address:	Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace	**Report #:**	690387	**Due:**	Dec 5, 2019
	East Perth	**Phone:**	08 9225 5199	**Priority:**	7 Day
	WA 6004	**Fax:**		**Contact Name:**	ALL INVOICES
Project Name:	OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS				
Project ID:	318000803				

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Swati Shahaney

Sample Detail						% Clay	% Sand	% Silt	Cadmium	Chlorophyll a	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Silicon (Aqua regia extractable)	Tin	Total Organic Carbon	Zinc	Moisture Set	Eurofins | mgt Suite B19A: Total N (TKN, NOx), Total P
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271									X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217																					
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794						X	X	X													
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736																					
	GS3_MET1																				
21	ARTISON-GS3_PAR 4	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38253	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
22	ARTISON-GS3_PAR 2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38254	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
23	ARTISON-GS3_MET 2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38255	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
24	ARTISON-GS3_PAR 3	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38256	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
25	ARTISON-1	Nov 22, 2019		Filter paper	M19-No38257					X											
26	ARTISON-5	Nov 22, 2019		Filter paper	M19-No38258					X											
27	ARTISON-2	Nov 22, 2019		Filter paper	M19-No38259					X											
28	THYLACINE GS1_3	Nov 22, 2019		Filter paper	M19-No38260					X											

eurofins Environment Testing

ABN – 50 005 085 521
e.mail : EnviroSales@eurofins.com
web : www.eurofins.com.au

Melbourne
6 Monterey Road
Dandenong South VIC 3175
Phone : +61 3 8564 5000
NATA # 1261
Site # 1254 & 14271

Sydney
Unit F3, Building F
16 Mars Road
Lane Cove West NSW 2066
Phone : +61 2 9900 8400
NATA # 1261 Site # 18217

Brisbane
1/21 Smallwood Place
Murarrie QLD 4172
Phone : +61 7 3902 4600
NATA # 1261 Site # 20794

Perth
2/91 Leach Highway
Kewdale WA 6105
Phone : +61 8 9251 9600
NATA # 1261
Site # 23736

Company Name:	Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd	**Order No.:**		**Received:**	Dec 4, 2019 1:54 PM
Address:	Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace	**Report #:**	690387	**Due:**	Dec 5, 2019
	East Perth	**Phone:**	08 9225 5199	**Priority:**	7 Day
	WA 6004	**Fax:**		**Contact Name:**	ALL INVOICES
Project Name:	OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS				
Project ID:	318000803				

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Swati Shahaney

Sample Detail						% Clay	% Sand	% Silt	Cadmium	Chlorophyll a	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Silicon (Aqua regia extractable)	Tin	Total Organic Carbon	Zinc	Moisture Set	Eurofins | mgt Suite B19A: Total N (TKN, NOx), Total P
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271									X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217																					
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794						X	X	X													
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736																					
29	THYLACINE GS1_1	Nov 22, 2019		Filter paper	M19-No38261					X											
30	THYLACINE GS1_2	Nov 22, 2019		Filter paper	M19-No38262					X											
Test Counts						24	24	24	24	6	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24

Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary

General

1. Laboratory QC results for Method Blanks, Duplicates, Matrix Spikes, and Laboratory Control Samples follows guidelines delineated in the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999, as amended May 2013 and are included in this QC report where applicable. Additional QC data may be available on request.
2. All soil/sediment/solid results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
3. All biota/food results are reported on a wet weight basis on the edible portion, unless otherwise stated.
4. Actual LORs are matrix dependant. Quoted LORs may be raised where sample extracts are diluted due to interferences.
5. Results are uncorrected for matrix spikes or surrogate recoveries except for PFAS compounds.
6. SVOC analysis on waters are performed on homogenised, unfiltered samples, unless noted otherwise.
7. Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
8. Information identified on this report with blue colour, indicates data provided by customer, that may have an impact on the results.
9. This report replaces any interim results previously issued.

Holding Times

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the SRA.

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

For VOCs containing vinyl chloride, styrene and 2-chloroethyl vinyl ether the holding time is 7 days however for all other VOCs such as BTEX or C6-10 TRH then the holding time is 14 days.

****NOTE:** pH duplicates are reported as a range NOT as RPD

Units

mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram	**mg/L:** milligrams per litre	**ug/L:** micrograms per litre
ppm: Parts per million	**ppb:** Parts per billion	**%:** Percentage
org/100mL: Organisms per 100 millilitres	**NTU:** Nephelometric Turbidity Units	**MPN/100mL:** Most Probable Number of organisms per 100 millilitres

Terms

Dry	Where a moisture has been determined on a solid sample the result is expressed on a dry basis.
LOR	Limit of Reporting.
SPIKE	Addition of the analyte to the sample and reported as percentage recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference between two Duplicate pieces of analysis.
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample - reported as percent recovery.
CRM	Certified Reference Material - reported as percent recovery.
Method Blank	In the case of solid samples these are performed on laboratory certified clean sands and in the case of water samples these are performed on de-ionised water.
Surr - Surrogate	The addition of a like compound to the analyte target and reported as percentage recovery.
Duplicate	A second piece of analysis from the same sample and reported in the same units as the result to show comparison.
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
APHA	American Public Health Association
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
COC	Chain of Custody
SRA	Sample Receipt Advice
QSM	US Department of Defense Quality Systems Manual Version 5.3
CP	Client Parent - QC was performed on samples pertaining to this report
NCP	Non-Client Parent - QC performed on samples not pertaining to this report, QC is representative of the sequence or batch that client samples were analysed within.
TEQ	Toxic Equivalency Quotient

QC - Acceptance Criteria

RPD Duplicates: Global RPD Duplicates Acceptance Criteria is 30% however the following acceptance guidelines are equally applicable:

Results <10 times the LOR : No Limit

Results between 10-20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-50%

Results >20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-30%

Surrogate Recoveries: Recoveries must lie between 20-130% Phenols & 50-150% PFASs

PFAS field samples that contain surrogate recoveries in excess of the QC limit designated in QSM 5.3 where no positive PFAS results have been reported have been reviewed and no data was affected.

WA DWER (n=10): PFBA, PFPeA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFOS, 6:2 FTSA, 8:2 FTSA

QC Data General Comments

1. Where a result is reported as a less than (<), higher than the nominated LOR, this is due to either matrix interference, extract dilution required due to interferences or contaminant levels within the sample, high moisture content or insufficient sample provided.
2. Duplicate data shown within this report that states the word "BATCH" is a Batch Duplicate from outside of your sample batch, but within the laboratory sample batch at a 1:10 ratio. The Parent and Duplicate data shown is not data from your samples.
3. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting LCS data, Toxaphene & Chlordane are not added to the LCS.
4. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting Spike data, Toxaphene is not added to the Spike.
5. Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - where reporting Spike & LCS data, a single spike of commercial Hydrocarbon products in the range of C12-C30 is added and it's Total Recovery is reported in the C10-C14 cell of the Report.
6. pH and Free Chlorine analysed in the laboratory - Analysis on this test must begin within 30 minutes of sampling.Therefore laboratory analysis is unlikely to be completed within holding time. Analysis will begin as soon as possible after sample receipt.
7. Recovery Data (Spikes & Surrogates) - where chromatographic interference does not allow the determination of Recovery the term "INT" appears against that analyte.
8. Polychlorinated Biphenyls are spiked only using Aroclor 1260 in Matrix Spikes and LCS.
9. For Matrix Spikes and LCS results a dash " -" in the report means that the specific analyte was not added to the QC sample.
10. Duplicate RPDs are calculated from raw analytical data thus it is possible to have two sets of data.

Environment Testing

Comments

Sample Integrity

Custody Seals Intact (if used)	N/A
Attempt to Chill was evident	Yes
Sample correctly preserved	Yes
Appropriate sample containers have been used	Yes
Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace	Yes
Samples received within HoldingTime	Yes
Some samples have been subcontracted	No

Authorised By

Robert Johnston	Analytical Services Manager
Julie Kay	Senior Analyst-Inorganic (VIC)
Scott Beddoes	Senior Analyst-Inorganic (VIC)

Glenn Jackson
General Manager

Final report - this Report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested

* Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please click here.

APPENDIX 3
SEDIMENT QUALITY LABORATORY REPORT

eurofins | Environment Testing

Certificate of Analysis

NATA Accredited
Accreditation Number 1261
Site Number 1254

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing
The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards.

Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd
Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace
East Perth
WA 6004

Attention: **Dan McClary**

Report **690387-S**
Project name OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS
Project ID 318000803
Received Date Dec 04, 2019

Client Sample ID			THYLACINE_GS1_3_MET1	THYLACINE_GS1_3_MET2	THYLACINE_GS1_3_PSD1	THYLACINE_GS1_MET2
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			M19-No38233	M19-No38234	M19-No38235	M19-No38236
Date Sampled			Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
% Clay	1	%	4.7	3.1	3.3	3.7
% Sand		%	95	95	97	96
% Silt		%	< 1	1.6	< 1	< 1
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	10	mg/kg	130	71	110	160
Total Nitrogen (as N)*	10	mg/kg	130	71	110	160
Total Organic Carbon	0.1	%	0.5	1.8	2.7	4.8
Phosphorus	5	mg/kg	400	660	740	610
Silicon (Aqua regia extractable)	5	mg/kg	950	750	630	970
% Moisture	1	%	37	34	37	36
Heavy Metals						
Cadmium	0.4	mg/kg	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4
Chromium	5	mg/kg	6.4	5.7	5.6	6.7
Copper	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Lead	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Mercury	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Nickel	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Tin	10	mg/kg	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Zinc	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	7.8	< 5

Client Sample ID			THYLACINE_GS-1_MET1	THYLACINE_GS-1_PSD1	THYLACINE_GS1-2_PSD1	THYLACINE_GS1-2_MET1
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			M19-No38237	M19-No38238	M19-No38239	M19-No38240
Date Sampled			Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
% Clay	1	%	2.8	1.7	4.4	3.1
% Sand		%	96	98	96	95
% Silt		%	1.4	< 1	< 1	1.5
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	10	mg/kg	230	210	310	190
Total Nitrogen (as N)*	10	mg/kg	230	210	310	190
Total Organic Carbon	0.1	%	1.3	0.4	1.9	0.9

Client Sample ID			THYLACINE_GS-1_MET1	THYLACINE_GS-1_PSD1	THYLACINE_GS1-2_PSD1	THYLACINE_GS1-2_MET1
Sample Matrix			**Soil**	**Soil**	**Soil**	**Soil**
Eurofins Sample No.			**M19-No38237**	**M19-No38238**	**M19-No38239**	**M19-No38240**
Date Sampled			**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Phosphorus	5	mg/kg	750	870	550	620
Silicon (Aqua regia extractable)	5	mg/kg	850	940	890	1000
% Moisture	1	%	34	35	37	38
Heavy Metals						
Cadmium	0.4	mg/kg	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4
Chromium	5	mg/kg	6.2	5.7	5.2	6.6
Copper	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Lead	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Mercury	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Nickel	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Tin	10	mg/kg	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Zinc	5	mg/kg	7.2	< 5	< 5	< 5

Client Sample ID			THYLACINE_GS1-2_MET2	THYLACINE_GS2_PSD1	THYLACINE_GS2_MET1	THYLACINE_GS2_MET2
Sample Matrix			**Soil**	**Soil**	**Soil**	**Soil**
Eurofins Sample No.			**M19-No38241**	**M19-No38242**	**M19-No38243**	**M19-No38244**
Date Sampled			**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
% Clay	1	%	3.9	2.5	3.3	2.9
% Sand		%	96	98	97	97
% Silt		%	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	10	mg/kg	260	290	180	220
Total Nitrogen (as N)*	10	mg/kg	260	290	180	220
Total Organic Carbon	0.1	%	1.4	1.7	< 0.1	0.5
Phosphorus	5	mg/kg	630	830	< 200	500
Silicon (Aqua regia extractable)	5	mg/kg	980	700	460	600
% Moisture	1	%	38	39	35	38
Heavy Metals						
Cadmium	0.4	mg/kg	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4
Chromium	5	mg/kg	5.1	5.7	< 5	6.3
Copper	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Lead	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Mercury	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Nickel	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Tin	10	mg/kg	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Zinc	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5

Client Sample ID			ARTISON-GS_A_PAR 4	ARTISON-GS_A_PAR 3	ARTISON-GSA_MET1	ARTISON-GSA_PAR1
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			M19-No38245	M19-No38246	M19-No38247	M19-No38248
Date Sampled			Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
% Clay	1	%	< 1	< 1	3.6	3.1
% Sand		%	100	97	96	95
% Silt		%	< 1	2.9	< 1	1.5
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	10	mg/kg	340	370	310	250
Total Nitrogen (as N)*	10	mg/kg	340	370	310	250
Total Organic Carbon	0.1	%	< 0.1	< 0.1	1.6	0.4
Phosphorus	5	mg/kg	< 200	860	620	440
Silicon (Aqua regia extractable)	5	mg/kg	490	630	570	580
% Moisture	1	%	34	34	37	29
Heavy Metals						
Cadmium	0.4	mg/kg	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4
Chromium	5	mg/kg	8.0	7.4	11	6.9
Copper	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Lead	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Mercury	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Nickel	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Tin	10	mg/kg	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Zinc	5	mg/kg	5.2	9.0	9.4	< 5

Client Sample ID			ARTISON-GSA_MET2	ARTISON-GSA_PAR2	ARTISON-GS3_PAR1	ARTISON-GS3_MET1
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			M19-No38249	M19-No38250	M19-No38251	M19-No38252
Date Sampled			Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
% Clay	1	%	3.7	3.0	3.9	4.1
% Sand		%	96	97	96	96
% Silt		%	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	10	mg/kg	370	340	440	270
Total Nitrogen (as N)*	10	mg/kg	370	340	440	270
Total Organic Carbon	0.1	%	< 0.1	1.1	< 0.1	2.4
Phosphorus	5	mg/kg	460	< 200	730	530
Silicon (Aqua regia extractable)	5	mg/kg	600	520	770	810
% Moisture	1	%	34	34	36	35
Heavy Metals						
Cadmium	0.4	mg/kg	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4
Chromium	5	mg/kg	6.0	6.4	6.6	8.1
Copper	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Lead	5	mg/kg	6.9	< 5	< 5	< 5
Mercury	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Nickel	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Tin	10	mg/kg	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Zinc	5	mg/kg	25	5.4	< 5	< 5

Client Sample ID			ARTISON-GS3_PAR 4	ARTISON-GS3_PAR 2	ARTISON-GS3_MET 2	ARTISON-GS3_PAR 3
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			M19-No38253	M19-No38254	M19-No38255	M19-No38256
Date Sampled			Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
% Clay	1	%	4.8	3.5	3.6	4.0
% Sand		%	95	95	96	96
% Silt		%	< 1	1.8	< 1	< 1
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	10	mg/kg	310	270	150	310
Total Nitrogen (as N)*	10	mg/kg	310	270	150	310
Total Organic Carbon	0.1	%	0.6	4.9	1.6	1.8
Phosphorus	5	mg/kg	570	400	390	480
Silicon (Aqua regia extractable)	5	mg/kg	830	520	650	640
% Moisture	1	%	36	35	34	34
Heavy Metals						
Cadmium	0.4	mg/kg	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.4
Chromium	5	mg/kg	9.0	8.1	9.5	8.0
Copper	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Lead	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Mercury	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Nickel	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Tin	10	mg/kg	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Zinc	5	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5

Sample History

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction and analysis is reported.
A recent review of our LIMS has resulted in the correction or clarification of some method identifications. Due to this, some of the method reference information on reports has changed. However, no substantive change has been made to our laboratory methods, and as such there is no change in the validity of current or previous results.

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

Description	Testing Site	Extracted	Holding Time
% Clay	Brisbane	Dec 13, 2019	0 Days
- Method: LTM-GEN-7040			
% Sand	Brisbane	Dec 09, 2019	0 Days
- Method: LTM-GEN-7040			
% Silt	Brisbane	Dec 09, 2019	0 Days
- Method: LTM-GEN-7040			
Total Organic Carbon	Melbourne	Dec 16, 2019	28 Days
- Method: LTM-INO-4060 Total Organic Carbon in water and soil			
Silicon (Aqua regia extractable)	Melbourne	Dec 06, 2019	180 Days
- Method: LTM-MET-3010 Alkali Metals Sulfur Silicon and Phosphorus by ICP-AES			
Heavy Metals	Melbourne	Dec 06, 2019	180 Days
- Method: LTM-MET-3040 Metals in Waters, Soils & Sediments by ICP-MS			
Total Nitrogen Set (as N)			
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	Melbourne	Dec 06, 2019	28 Days
- Method: LTM-INO-4120 Analysis of NOx NO2 NH3 by FIA			
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	Melbourne	Dec 06, 2019	28 Days
- Method: LTM-INO-4310 TKN in Waters & Soils by FIA			
Eurofins | mgt Suite B19A: Total N (TKN, NOx), Total P			
Phosphorus	Melbourne	Dec 06, 2019	180 Days
- Method: LTM-MET-3010 Alkali Metals Sulfur Silicon and Phosphorus by ICP-AES			
% Moisture	Melbourne	Nov 27, 2019	14 Days
- Method: LTM-GEN-7080 Moisture			

Company Name:	Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd	**Order No.:**		**Received:**	Dec 4, 2019 1:54 PM
Address:	Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace East Perth WA 6004	**Report #:**	690387	**Due:**	Dec 5, 2019
		Phone:	08 9225 5199	**Priority:**	7 Day
		Fax:		**Contact Name:**	ALL INVOICES
Project Name:	OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS				
Project ID:	318000803				

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Swati Shahaney

Sample Detail						% Clay	% Sand	% Silt	Cadmium	Chlorophyll a	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Silicon (Aqua regia extractable)	Tin	Total Organic Carbon	Zinc	Moisture Set	Eurofins | mgt Suite B19A: Total N (TKN, NOx), Total P
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271									X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217																					
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794						X	X	X													
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736																					
External Laboratory																					
No	**Sample ID**	**Sample Date**	**Sampling Time**	**Matrix**	**LAB ID**																
1	THYLACINE_ GS1_3_MET1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38233	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
2	THYLACINE_ GS1_3_MET2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38234	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
3	THYLACINE_ GS1_3_PSD1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38235	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
4	THYLACINE_ GS1_MET2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38236	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
5	THYLACINE_ GS-1_MET1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38237	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
6	THYLACINE_	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38238	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

ABN – 50 005 085 521
e.mail : EnviroSales@eurofins.com
web : www.eurofins.com.au

Melbourne
6 Monterey Road
Dandenong South VIC 3175
Phone : +61 3 8564 5000
NATA # 1261
Site # 1254 & 14271

Sydney
Unit F3, Building F
16 Mars Road
Lane Cove West NSW 2066
Phone : +61 2 9900 8400
NATA # 1261 Site # 18217

Brisbane
1/21 Smallwood Place
Murarrie QLD 4172
Phone : +61 7 3902 4600
NATA # 1261 Site # 20794

Perth
2/91 Leach Highway
Kewdale WA 6105
Phone : +61 8 9251 9600
NATA # 1261
Site # 23736

Company Name:	Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd	**Order No.:**		**Received:**	Dec 4, 2019 1:54 PM
Address:	Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace East Perth WA 6004	**Report #:**	690387	**Due:**	Dec 5, 2019
		Phone:	08 9225 5199	**Priority:**	7 Day
		Fax:		**Contact Name:**	ALL INVOICES
Project Name:	OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS				
Project ID:	318000803				

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Swati Shahaney

Sample Detail						% Clay	% Sand	% Silt	Cadmium	Chlorophyll a	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Silicon (Aqua regia extractable)	Tin	Total Organic Carbon	Zinc	Moisture Set	Eurofins | mgt Suite B19A: Total N (TKN, NOx), Total P
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271									X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217																					
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794						X	X	X													
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736																					
	GS-1_PSD1																				
7	THYLACINE_GS1-2_PSD1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38239	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
8	THYLACINE_GS1-2_MET1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38240	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
9	THYLACINE_GS1-2_MET2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38241	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
10	THYLACINE_GS2_PSD1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38242	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
11	THYLACINE_GS2_MET1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38243	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
12	THYLACINE_GS2_MET2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38244	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
13	ARTISON-	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38245	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Company Name:	Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd	**Order No.:**	
Address:	Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace East Perth WA 6004	**Report #:**	690387
		Phone:	08 9225 5199
		Fax:	
Project Name:	OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS	**Received:**	Dec 4, 2019 1:54 PM
Project ID:	318000803	**Due:**	Dec 5, 2019
		Priority:	7 Day
		Contact Name:	ALL INVOICES

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Swati Shahaney

Sample Detail						% Clay	% Sand	% Silt	Cadmium	Chlorophyll a	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Silicon (Aqua regia extractable)	Tin	Total Organic Carbon	Zinc	Moisture Set	Eurofins | mgt Suite B19A: Total N (TKN, NOx), Total P
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271									X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217																					
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794						X	X	X													
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736																					
	GS_A_PAR 4																				
14	ARTISON-GS_A_PAR 3	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38246	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
15	ARTISON-GSA_MET1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38247	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
16	ARTISON-GSA_PAR1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38248	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
17	ARTISON-GSA_MET2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38249	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
18	ARTISON-GSA_PAR2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38250	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
19	ARTISON-GS3_PAR1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38251	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
20	ARTISON-	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38252	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

eurofins Environment Testing

ABN – 50 005 085 521
e.mail : EnviroSales@eurofins.com
web : www.eurofins.com.au

Melbourne
6 Monterey Road
Dandenong South VIC 3175
Phone : +61 3 8564 5000
NATA # 1261
Site # 1254 & 14271

Sydney
Unit F3, Building F
16 Mars Road
Lane Cove West NSW 2066
Phone : +61 2 9900 8400
NATA # 1261 Site # 18217

Brisbane
1/21 Smallwood Place
Murarrie QLD 4172
Phone : +61 7 3902 4600
NATA # 1261 Site # 20794

Perth
2/91 Leach Highway
Kewdale WA 6105
Phone : +61 8 9251 9600
NATA # 1261
Site # 23736

Company Name:	Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd	**Order No.:**		**Received:**	Dec 4, 2019 1:54 PM
Address:	Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace East Perth WA 6004	**Report #:**	690387	**Due:**	Dec 5, 2019
		Phone:	08 9225 5199	**Priority:**	7 Day
		Fax:		**Contact Name:**	ALL INVOICES
Project Name:	OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS				
Project ID:	318000803				

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Swati Shahaney

Sample Detail						% Clay	% Sand	% Silt	Cadmium	Chlorophyll a	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Silicon (Aqua regia extractable)	Tin	Total Organic Carbon	Zinc	Moisture Set	Eurofins | mgt Suite B19A: Total N (TKN, NOx), Total P
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271									X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217																					
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794						X	X	X													
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736																					
	GS3_MET1																				
21	ARTISON-GS3_PAR 4	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38253	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
22	ARTISON-GS3_PAR 2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38254	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
23	ARTISON-GS3_MET 2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38255	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
24	ARTISON-GS3_PAR 3	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M19-No38256	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
25	ARTISON-1	Nov 22, 2019		Filter paper	M19-No38257					X											
26	ARTISON-5	Nov 22, 2019		Filter paper	M19-No38258					X											
27	ARTISON-2	Nov 22, 2019		Filter paper	M19-No38259					X											
28	THYLACINE GS1_3	Nov 22, 2019		Filter paper	M19-No38260					X											

Company Name:	Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd	**Order No.:**	
Address:	Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace East Perth WA 6004	**Report #:**	690387
		Phone:	08 9225 5199
		Fax:	
Project Name:	OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS	**Received:**	Dec 4, 2019 1:54 PM
Project ID:	318000803	**Due:**	Dec 5, 2019
		Priority:	7 Day
		Contact Name:	ALL INVOICES

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Swati Shahaney

Sample Detail						% Clay	% Sand	% Silt	Cadmium	Chlorophyll a	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Silicon (Aqua regia extractable)	Tin	Total Organic Carbon	Zinc	Moisture Set	Eurofins | mgt Suite B19A: Total N (TKN, NOx), Total P
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271									X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217																					
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794						X	X	X													
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736																					
29	THYLACINE GS1_1	Nov 22, 2019		Filter paper	M19-No38261					X											
30	THYLACINE GS1_2	Nov 22, 2019		Filter paper	M19-No38262					X											
Test Counts						24	24	24	24	6	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24

Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary

General

1. Laboratory QC results for Method Blanks, Duplicates, Matrix Spikes, and Laboratory Control Samples follows guidelines delineated in the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999, as amended May 2013 and are included in this QC report where applicable. Additional QC data may be available on request.
2. All soil/sediment/solid results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
3. All biota/food results are reported on a wet weight basis on the edible portion, unless otherwise stated.
4. Actual LORs are matrix dependant. Quoted LORs may be raised where sample extracts are diluted due to interferences.
5. Results are uncorrected for matrix spikes or surrogate recoveries except for PFAS compounds.
6. SVOC analysis on waters are performed on homogenised, unfiltered samples, unless noted otherwise.
7. Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
8. Information identified on this report with blue colour, indicates data provided by customer, that may have an impact on the results.
9. This report replaces any interim results previously issued.

Holding Times

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the SRA.

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

For VOCs containing vinyl chloride, styrene and 2-chloroethyl vinyl ether the holding time is 7 days however for all other VOCs such as BTEX or C6-10 TRH then the holding time is 14 days.

****NOTE:** pH duplicates are reported as a range NOT as RPD

Units

mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram	**mg/L:** milligrams per litre	**ug/L:** micrograms per litre
ppm: Parts per million	**ppb:** Parts per billion	**%:** Percentage
org/100mL: Organisms per 100 millilitres	**NTU:** Nephelometric Turbidity Units	**MPN/100mL:** Most Probable Number of organisms per 100 millilitres

Terms

Dry	Where a moisture has been determined on a solid sample the result is expressed on a dry basis.
LOR	Limit of Reporting.
SPIKE	Addition of the analyte to the sample and reported as percentage recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference between two Duplicate pieces of analysis.
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample - reported as percent recovery.
CRM	Certified Reference Material - reported as percent recovery.
Method Blank	In the case of solid samples these are performed on laboratory certified clean sands and in the case of water samples these are performed on de-ionised water.
Surr - Surrogate	The addition of a like compound to the analyte target and reported as percentage recovery.
Duplicate	A second piece of analysis from the same sample and reported in the same units as the result to show comparison.
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
APHA	American Public Health Association
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
COC	Chain of Custody
SRA	Sample Receipt Advice
QSM	US Department of Defense Quality Systems Manual Version 5.3
CP	Client Parent - QC was performed on samples pertaining to this report
NCP	Non-Client Parent - QC performed on samples not pertaining to this report, QC is representative of the sequence or batch that client samples were analysed within.
TEQ	Toxic Equivalency Quotient

QC - Acceptance Criteria

RPD Duplicates: Global RPD Duplicates Acceptance Criteria is 30% however the following acceptance guidelines are equally applicable:

Results <10 times the LOR : No Limit

Results between 10-20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-50%

Results >20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-30%

Surrogate Recoveries: Recoveries must lie between 20-130% Phenols & 50-150% PFASs

PFAS field samples that contain surrogate recoveries in excess of the QC limit designated in QSM 5.3 where no positive PFAS results have been reported have been reviewed and no data was affected.

WA DWER (n=10): PFBA, PFPeA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFOS, 6:2 FTSA, 8:2 FTSA

QC Data General Comments

1. Where a result is reported as a less than (<), higher than the nominated LOR, this is due to either matrix interference, extract dilution required due to interferences or contaminant levels within the sample, high moisture content or insufficient sample provided.
2. Duplicate data shown within this report that states the word "BATCH" is a Batch Duplicate from outside of your sample batch, but within the laboratory sample batch at a 1:10 ratio. The Parent and Duplicate data shown is not data from your samples.
3. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting LCS data, Toxaphene & Chlordane are not added to the LCS.
4. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting Spike data, Toxaphene is not added to the Spike.
5. Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - where reporting Spike & LCS data, a single spike of commercial Hydrocarbon products in the range of C12-C30 is added and it's Total Recovery is reported in the C10-C14 cell of the Report.
6. pH and Free Chlorine analysed in the laboratory - Analysis on this test must begin within 30 minutes of sampling.Therefore laboratory analysis is unlikely to be completed within holding time. Analysis will begin as soon as possible after sample receipt.
7. Recovery Data (Spikes & Surrogates) - where chromatographic interference does not allow the determination of Recovery the term "INT" appears against that analyte.
8. Polychlorinated Biphenyls are spiked only using Aroclor 1260 in Matrix Spikes and LCS.
9. For Matrix Spikes and LCS results a dash " -" in the report means that the specific analyte was not added to the QC sample.
10. Duplicate RPDs are calculated from raw analytical data thus it is possible to have two sets of data.

Quality Control Results

Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Method Blank									
% Clay			%	< 1			1	Pass	
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)			mg/kg	< 5			5	Pass	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)			mg/kg	< 10			10	Pass	
Total Organic Carbon			%	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
Method Blank									
Heavy Metals									
Cadmium			mg/kg	< 0.4			0.4	Pass	
Chromium			mg/kg	< 5			5	Pass	
Copper			mg/kg	< 5			5	Pass	
Lead			mg/kg	< 5			5	Pass	
Mercury			mg/kg	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
Nickel			mg/kg	< 5			5	Pass	
Tin			mg/kg	< 10			10	Pass	
Zinc			mg/kg	< 5			5	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery									
% Clay			%	93			70-130	Pass	
Total Organic Carbon			%	107			70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery									
Heavy Metals									
Cadmium			%	101			80-120	Pass	
Chromium			%	117			80-120	Pass	
Copper			%	118			80-120	Pass	
Lead			%	114			80-120	Pass	
Mercury			%	112			75-125	Pass	
Nickel			%	114			80-120	Pass	
Tin			%	112			80-120	Pass	
Zinc			%	116			80-120	Pass	
Test	**Lab Sample ID**	**QA Source**	**Units**	**Result 1**			**Acceptance Limits**	**Pass Limits**	**Qualifying Code**
Spike - % Recovery									
Heavy Metals				Result 1					
Cadmium	M19-No38239	CP	%	94			75-125	Pass	
Chromium	M19-No38239	CP	%	83			75-125	Pass	
Copper	M19-No38239	CP	%	84			75-125	Pass	
Lead	M19-No38239	CP	%	87			75-125	Pass	
Mercury	M19-No38239	CP	%	101			70-130	Pass	
Nickel	M19-No38239	CP	%	85			75-125	Pass	
Tin	M19-No38239	CP	%	87			75-125	Pass	
Zinc	M19-No38239	CP	%	83			75-125	Pass	
Test	**Lab Sample ID**	**QA Source**	**Units**	**Result 1**			**Acceptance Limits**	**Pass Limits**	**Qualifying Code**
Duplicate									
				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
% Moisture	M19-De07683	NCP	%	3.0	3.0	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
% Clay	M19-Oc40940	NCP	%	5.0	6.3	22	30%	Pass	
% Sand	M19-Oc40940	NCP	%	91	90	1.0	30%	Pass	
% Silt	M19-Oc40940	NCP	%	3.8	3.8	<1	30%	Pass	
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	M19-No38234	CP	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	<1	30%	Pass	

Duplicate									
Heavy Metals				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Cadmium	M19-No38238	CP	mg/kg	< 0.4	< 0.4	<1	30%	Pass	
Chromium	M19-No38238	CP	mg/kg	5.7	5.8	1.0	30%	Pass	
Copper	M19-No38238	CP	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	<1	30%	Pass	
Lead	M19-No38238	CP	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	<1	30%	Pass	
Mercury	M19-No38238	CP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Nickel	M19-No38238	CP	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	<1	30%	Pass	
Tin	M19-No38238	CP	mg/kg	< 10	< 10	<1	30%	Pass	
Zinc	M19-No38238	CP	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Heavy Metals				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Cadmium	M19-No38239	CP	mg/kg	< 0.4	< 0.4	<1	30%	Pass	
Chromium	M19-No38239	CP	mg/kg	5.2	5.5	6.0	30%	Pass	
Copper	M19-No38239	CP	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	<1	30%	Pass	
Lead	M19-No38239	CP	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	<1	30%	Pass	
Mercury	M19-No38239	CP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Nickel	M19-No38239	CP	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	<1	30%	Pass	
Tin	M19-No38239	CP	mg/kg	< 10	< 10	<1	30%	Pass	
Zinc	M19-No38239	CP	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Heavy Metals				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Cadmium	M19-No38248	CP	mg/kg	< 0.4	< 0.4	<1	30%	Pass	
Chromium	M19-No38248	CP	mg/kg	6.9	6.8	1.0	30%	Pass	
Copper	M19-No38248	CP	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	<1	30%	Pass	
Lead	M19-No38248	CP	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	<1	30%	Pass	
Mercury	M19-No38248	CP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Nickel	M19-No38248	CP	mg/kg	< 5	< 5	<1	30%	Pass	
Tin	M19-No38248	CP	mg/kg	< 10	< 10	<1	30%	Pass	
Zinc	M19-No38248	CP	mg/kg	< 5	6.3	54	30%	Fail	Q15
Duplicate									
				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Total Organic Carbon	M19-No38249	CP	%	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	

Comments

Sample Integrity

Custody Seals Intact (if used)	N/A
Attempt to Chill was evident	Yes
Sample correctly preserved	Yes
Appropriate sample containers have been used	Yes
Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace	Yes
Samples received within HoldingTime	Yes
Some samples have been subcontracted	No

Qualifier Codes/Comments

Code	Description
Q15	The RPD reported passes Eurofins Environment Testing's QC - Acceptance Criteria as defined in the Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary page of this report.

Authorised By

Robert Johnston	Analytical Services Manager
Emily Rosenberg	Senior Analyst-Metal (VIC)
Jonathon Angell	Senior Analyst-Inorganic (QLD)
Julie Kay	Senior Analyst-Inorganic (VIC)
Scott Beddoes	Senior Analyst-Inorganic (VIC)

Glenn Jackson

General Manager

Final report - this Report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested

* Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please click here.

NATA Accredited
Accreditation Number 1261
Site Number 1254

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing
The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards.

Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd
Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace
East Perth
WA 6004

Attention: **Serena Orr**

Report	**700321-S**
Project name	OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS
Project ID	318000803
Received Date	Feb 05, 2020

Client Sample ID			**THYLACINE_GS1_3_MET1**	**THYLACINE_GS1_3_MET2**	**THYLACINE_GS1_MET2**	**THYLACINE_GS-1_MET1**
Sample Matrix			**Soil**	**Soil**	**Soil**	**Soil**
Eurofins Sample No.			**M20-Fe05003**	**M20-Fe05004**	**M20-Fe05005**	**M20-Fe05006**
Date Sampled			**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions						
TRH C6-C9	20	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20
TRH C10-C14	20	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20
TRH C15-C28	50	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50
TRH C29-C36	50	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	50	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50
BTEX						
Benzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Toluene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Ethylbenzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
m&p-Xylenes	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
o-Xylene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Xylenes - Total	0.3	mg/kg	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	106	86	112	104
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions						
Naphthalene[N02]	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
TRH C6-C10	20	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1)[N04]	20	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20
TRH >C10-C16	50	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2)[N01]	50	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50
TRH >C16-C34	100	mg/kg	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
TRH >C34-C40	100	mg/kg	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	100	mg/kg	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (lower bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (medium bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Acenaphthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Acenaphthylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benz(a)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene[N07]	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Chrysene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5

Client Sample ID			THYLACINE_G S1_3_MET1	THYLACINE_G S1_3_MET2	THYLACINE_G S1_MET2	THYLACINE_G S-1_MET1
Sample Matrix			**Soil**	**Soil**	**Soil**	**Soil**
Eurofins Sample No.			**M20-Fe05003**	**M20-Fe05004**	**M20-Fe05005**	**M20-Fe05006**
Date Sampled			**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Fluorene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Naphthalene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Phenanthrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Total PAH*	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	97	54	83	92
p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.)	1	%	118	81	103	121
Polychlorinated Biphenyls						
Aroclor-1016	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1221	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1232	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1242	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1248	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1254	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1260	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Total PCB*	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Dibutylchlorendate (surr.)	1	%	78	99	78	132
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	77	51	55	77
% Moisture	1	%	33	35	36	32

Client Sample ID			THYLACINE_G S1-2_MET1	THYLACINE_G S1-2_MET2	THYLACINE_G S2_MET1	THYLACINE_G S2_MET2
Sample Matrix			**Soil**	**Soil**	**Soil**	**Soil**
Eurofins Sample No.			**M20-Fe05007**	**M20-Fe05008**	**M20-Fe05009**	**M20-Fe05010**
Date Sampled			**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions						
TRH C6-C9	20	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20
TRH C10-C14	20	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20
TRH C15-C28	50	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50
TRH C29-C36	50	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	50	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50
BTEX						
Benzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Toluene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Ethylbenzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
m&p-Xylenes	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
o-Xylene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Xylenes - Total	0.3	mg/kg	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	110	62	55	61

Client Sample ID			THYLACINE_G S1-2_MET1	THYLACINE_G S1-2_MET2	THYLACINE_G S2_MET1	THYLACINE_G S2_MET2
Sample Matrix			**Soil**	**Soil**	**Soil**	**Soil**
Eurofins Sample No.			**M20-Fe05007**	**M20-Fe05008**	**M20-Fe05009**	**M20-Fe05010**
Date Sampled			**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions						
Naphthalene[N02]	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
TRH C6-C10	20	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1)[N04]	20	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20
TRH >C10-C16	50	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2)[N01]	50	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50
TRH >C16-C34	100	mg/kg	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
TRH >C34-C40	100	mg/kg	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	100	mg/kg	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (lower bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (medium bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Acenaphthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Acenaphthylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benz(a)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene[N07]	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Chrysene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Fluorene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Naphthalene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Phenanthrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Total PAH*	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	87	75	79	91
p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.)	1	%	137	88	83	57
Polychlorinated Biphenyls						
Aroclor-1016	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1221	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1232	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1242	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1248	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1254	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1260	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Total PCB*	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Dibutylchlorendate (surr.)	1	%	139	112	105	64
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	80	90	86	75
% Moisture	1	%	37	35	33	35

Client Sample ID			ARTISON-GSA_MET1	ARTISON-GSA_MET2	ARTISON-GS3_MET1	ARTISON-GS3_MET 2
Sample Matrix			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
Eurofins Sample No.			M20-Fe05011	M20-Fe05012	M20-Fe05013	M20-Fe05014
Date Sampled			Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019	Nov 22, 2019
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions						
TRH C6-C9	20	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20
TRH C10-C14	20	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20
TRH C15-C28	50	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50
TRH C29-C36	50	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	50	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50
BTEX						
Benzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Toluene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Ethylbenzene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
m&p-Xylenes	0.2	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
o-Xylene	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Xylenes - Total	0.3	mg/kg	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	62	57	106	55
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions						
Naphthalene[N02]	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
TRH C6-C10	20	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1)[N04]	20	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20
TRH >C10-C16	50	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2)[N01]	50	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50
TRH >C16-C34	100	mg/kg	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
TRH >C34-C40	100	mg/kg	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	100	mg/kg	< 100	< 100	< 100	< 100
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons						
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (lower bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (medium bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Benzo(a)pyrene TEQ (upper bound) *	0.5	mg/kg	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Acenaphthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Acenaphthylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benz(a)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene[N07]	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Chrysene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Fluoranthene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Fluorene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Naphthalene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Phenanthrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Pyrene	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Total PAH*	0.5	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
2-Fluorobiphenyl (surr.)	1	%	60	77	58	67
p-Terphenyl-d14 (surr.)	1	%	59	125	147	56

eurofins | Environment Testing

Client Sample ID			ARTISON-GSA_MET1	ARTISON-GSA_MET2	ARTISON-GS3_MET1	ARTISON-GS3_MET 2
Sample Matrix			**Soil**	**Soil**	**Soil**	**Soil**
Eurofins Sample No.			**M20-Fe05011**	**M20-Fe05012**	**M20-Fe05013**	**M20-Fe05014**
Date Sampled			**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**	**Nov 22, 2019**
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Polychlorinated Biphenyls						
Aroclor-1016	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1221	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1232	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1242	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1248	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1254	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Aroclor-1260	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Total PCB*	0.1	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Dibutylchlorendate (surr.)	1	%	73	89	115	110
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surr.)	1	%	64	88	54	72
% Moisture	1	%	33	30	34	34

Sample History

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction and analysis is reported.
A recent review of our LIMS has resulted in the correction or clarification of some method identifications. Due to this, some of the method reference information on reports has changed. However, no substantive change has been made to our laboratory methods, and as such there is no change in the validity of current or previous results.

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

Description	Testing Site	Extracted	Holding Time
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions	Melbourne	Feb 05, 2020	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions	Melbourne	Feb 05, 2020	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions	Melbourne	Feb 05, 2020	
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
BTEX	Melbourne	Feb 05, 2020	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40			
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Melbourne	Feb 05, 2020	14 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2130 PAH and Phenols in Soil and Water			
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	Melbourne	Feb 05, 2020	28 Days
- Method: LTM-ORG-2220 OCP & PCB in Soil and Water (USEPA 8082)			
% Moisture	Melbourne	Feb 05, 2020	14 Days
- Method: LTM-GEN-7080 Moisture			

Australia

Melbourne
6 Monterey Road
Dandenong South VIC 3175
Phone : +61 3 8564 5000
NATA # 1261
Site # 1254 & 14271

Sydney
Unit F3, Building F
16 Mars Road
Lane Cove West NSW 2066
Phone : +61 2 9900 8400
NATA # 1261 Site # 18217

Brisbane
1/21 Smallwood Place
Murarrie QLD 4172
Phone : +61 7 3902 4600
NATA # 1261 Site # 20794

Perth
2/91 Leach Highway
Kewdale WA 6105
Phone : +61 8 9251 9600
NATA # 1261
Site # 23736

New Zealand

Auckland
35 O'Rorke Road
Penrose, Auckland 1061
Phone : +64 9 526 45 51
IANZ # 1327

Christchurch
43 Detroit Drive
Rolleston, Christchurch 7675
Phone : 0800 856 450
IANZ # 1290

Company Name:	Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd	**Order No.:**		**Received:**	Feb 5, 2020 3:36 AM
Address:	Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace East Perth WA 6004	**Report #:**	700321	**Due:**	Feb 12, 2020
		Phone:	08 9225 5199	**Priority:**	5 Day
		Fax:		**Contact Name:**	Serena Orr
Project Name:	OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS				
Project ID:	318000803				

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Robert Johnston

Sample Detail						Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Polychlorinated Biphenyls	BTEX	Moisture Set	Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271						X	X	X	X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217										
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794										
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736										
External Laboratory										
No	**Sample ID**	**Sample Date**	**Sampling Time**	**Matrix**	**LAB ID**					
1	THYLACINE_GS1_3_MET1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M20-Fe05003	X	X	X	X	X
2	THYLACINE_GS1_3_MET2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M20-Fe05004	X	X	X	X	X
3	THYLACINE_GS1_MET2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M20-Fe05005	X	X	X	X	X
4	THYLACINE_GS-1_MET1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M20-Fe05006	X	X	X	X	X
5	THYLACINE_GS1-2_MET1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M20-Fe05007	X	X	X	X	X
6	THYLACINE_GS1-2_MET2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M20-Fe05008	X	X	X	X	X

eurofins Environment Testing

ABN – 50 005 085 521 web : www.eurofins.com.au e.mail : EnviroSales@eurofins.com

Australia

Melbourne
6 Monterey Road
Dandenong South VIC 3175
Phone : +61 3 8564 5000
NATA # 1261
Site # 1254 & 14271

Sydney
Unit F3, Building F
16 Mars Road
Lane Cove West NSW 2066
Phone : +61 2 9900 8400
NATA # 1261 Site # 18217

Brisbane
1/21 Smallwood Place
Murarrie QLD 4172
Phone : +61 7 3902 4600
NATA # 1261 Site # 20794

Perth
2/91 Leach Highway
Kewdale WA 6105
Phone : +61 8 9251 9600
NATA # 1261
Site # 23736

New Zealand

Auckland
35 O'Rorke Road
Penrose, Auckland 1061
Phone : +64 9 526 45 51
IANZ # 1327

Christchurch
43 Detroit Drive
Rolleston, Christchurch 7675
Phone : 0800 856 450
IANZ # 1290

Company Name:	Ramboll Australia Pty Ltd	**Order No.:**		**Received:**	Feb 5, 2020 3:36 AM
Address:	Suite 3, Level 2, 200 Adelaide Terrace East Perth WA 6004	**Report #:**	700321	**Due:**	Feb 12, 2020
		Phone:	08 9225 5199	**Priority:**	5 Day
		Fax:		**Contact Name:**	Serena Orr
Project Name:	OTWAY OFFSHORE EBS				
Project ID:	318000803				

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Robert Johnston

Sample Detail						Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Polychlorinated Biphenyls	BTEX	Moisture Set	Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271						X	X	X	X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217										
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794										
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736										
7	THYLACINE_GS2_MET1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M20-Fe05009	X	X	X	X	X
8	THYLACINE_GS2_MET2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M20-Fe05010	X	X	X	X	X
9	ARTISON-GSA_MET1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M20-Fe05011	X	X	X	X	X
10	ARTISON-GSA_MET2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M20-Fe05012	X	X	X	X	X
11	ARTISON-GS3_MET1	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M20-Fe05013	X	X	X	X	X
12	ARTISON-GS3_MET 2	Nov 22, 2019		Soil	M20-Fe05014	X	X	X	X	X
Test Counts						12	12	12	12	12

Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary

General

1. Laboratory QC results for Method Blanks, Duplicates, Matrix Spikes, and Laboratory Control Samples follows guidelines delineated in the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999, as amended May 2013 and are included in this QC report where applicable. Additional QC data may be available on request.
2. All soil/sediment/solid results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
3. All biota/food results are reported on a wet weight basis on the edible portion, unless otherwise stated.
4. Actual LORs are matrix dependant. Quoted LORs may be raised where sample extracts are diluted due to interferences.
5. Results are uncorrected for matrix spikes or surrogate recoveries except for PFAS compounds.
6. SVOC analysis on waters are performed on homogenised, unfiltered samples, unless noted otherwise.
7. Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
8. Information identified on this report with blue colour, indicates data provided by customer, that may have an impact on the results.
9. This report replaces any interim results previously issued.

Holding Times

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the SRA.

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

For VOCs containing vinyl chloride, styrene and 2-chloroethyl vinyl ether the holding time is 7 days however for all other VOCs such as BTEX or C6-10 TRH then the holding time is 14 days.

****NOTE:** pH duplicates are reported as a range NOT as RPD

Units

mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram	**mg/L:** milligrams per litre	**ug/L:** micrograms per litre
ppm: Parts per million	**ppb:** Parts per billion	**%:** Percentage
org/100mL: Organisms per 100 millilitres	**NTU:** Nephelometric Turbidity Units	**MPN/100mL:** Most Probable Number of organisms per 100 millilitres

Terms

Dry	Where a moisture has been determined on a solid sample the result is expressed on a dry basis.
LOR	Limit of Reporting.
SPIKE	Addition of the analyte to the sample and reported as percentage recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference between two Duplicate pieces of analysis.
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample - reported as percent recovery.
CRM	Certified Reference Material - reported as percent recovery.
Method Blank	In the case of solid samples these are performed on laboratory certified clean sands and in the case of water samples these are performed on de-ionised water.
Surr - Surrogate	The addition of a like compound to the analyte target and reported as percentage recovery.
Duplicate	A second piece of analysis from the same sample and reported in the same units as the result to show comparison.
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
APHA	American Public Health Association
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
COC	Chain of Custody
SRA	Sample Receipt Advice
QSM	US Department of Defense Quality Systems Manual Version 5.3
CP	Client Parent - QC was performed on samples pertaining to this report
NCP	Non-Client Parent - QC performed on samples not pertaining to this report, QC is representative of the sequence or batch that client samples were analysed within.
TEQ	Toxic Equivalency Quotient

QC - Acceptance Criteria

RPD Duplicates: Global RPD Duplicates Acceptance Criteria is 30% however the following acceptance guidelines are equally applicable:

Results <10 times the LOR : No Limit

Results between 10-20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-50%

Results >20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-30%

Surrogate Recoveries: Recoveries must lie between 20-130% Phenols & 50-150% PFASs

PFAS field samples that contain surrogate recoveries in excess of the QC limit designated in QSM 5.3 where no positive PFAS results have been reported have been reviewed and no data was affected.

WA DWER (n=10): PFBA, PFPeA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFOS, 6:2 FTSA, 8:2 FTSA

QC Data General Comments

1. Where a result is reported as a less than (<), higher than the nominated LOR, this is due to either matrix interference, extract dilution required due to interferences or contaminant levels within the sample, high moisture content or insufficient sample provided.
2. Duplicate data shown within this report that states the word "BATCH" is a Batch Duplicate from outside of your sample batch, but within the laboratory sample batch at a 1:10 ratio. The Parent and Duplicate data shown is not data from your samples.
3. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting LCS data, Toxaphene & Chlordane are not added to the LCS.
4. Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting Spike data, Toxaphene is not added to the Spike.
5. Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - where reporting Spike & LCS data, a single spike of commercial Hydrocarbon products in the range of C12-C30 is added and it's Total Recovery is reported in the C10-C14 cell of the Report.
6. pH and Free Chlorine analysed in the laboratory - Analysis on this test must begin within 30 minutes of sampling.Therefore laboratory analysis is unlikely to be completed within holding time. Analysis will begin as soon as possible after sample receipt.
7. Recovery Data (Spikes & Surrogates) - where chromatographic interference does not allow the determination of Recovery the term "INT" appears against that analyte.
8. Polychlorinated Biphenyls are spiked only using Aroclor 1260 in Matrix Spikes and LCS.
9. For Matrix Spikes and LCS results a dash " -" in the report means that the specific analyte was not added to the QC sample.
10. Duplicate RPDs are calculated from raw analytical data thus it is possible to have two sets of data.

eurofins Environment Testing

Quality Control Results

Test	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Method Blank							
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions							
TRH C6-C9	mg/kg	< 20			20	Pass	
TRH C10-C14	mg/kg	< 20			20	Pass	
TRH C15-C28	mg/kg	< 50			50	Pass	
TRH C29-C36	mg/kg	< 50			50	Pass	
Method Blank							
BTEX							
Benzene	mg/kg	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
Toluene	mg/kg	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	mg/kg	< 0.2			0.2	Pass	
o-Xylene	mg/kg	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	mg/kg	< 0.3			0.3	Pass	
Method Blank							
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions							
Naphthalene	mg/kg	< 0.5			0.5	Pass	
TRH C6-C10	mg/kg	< 20			20	Pass	
TRH >C10-C16	mg/kg	< 50			50	Pass	
TRH >C16-C34	mg/kg	< 100			100	Pass	
TRH >C34-C40	mg/kg	< 100			100	Pass	
Method Blank							
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons							
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	< 0.5			0.5	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	< 0.5			0.5	Pass	
Anthracene	mg/kg	< 0.5			0.5	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	mg/kg	< 0.5			0.5	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	< 0.5			0.5	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	mg/kg	< 0.5			0.5	Pass	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	mg/kg	< 0.5			0.5	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	< 0.5			0.5	Pass	
Chrysene	mg/kg	< 0.5			0.5	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	mg/kg	< 0.5			0.5	Pass	
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	< 0.5			0.5	Pass	
Fluorene	mg/kg	< 0.5			0.5	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	< 0.5			0.5	Pass	
Naphthalene	mg/kg	< 0.5			0.5	Pass	
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	< 0.5			0.5	Pass	
Pyrene	mg/kg	< 0.5			0.5	Pass	
Method Blank							
Polychlorinated Biphenyls							
Aroclor-1016	mg/kg	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
Aroclor-1221	mg/kg	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
Aroclor-1232	mg/kg	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
Aroclor-1242	mg/kg	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
Aroclor-1248	mg/kg	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
Aroclor-1254	mg/kg	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
Aroclor-1260	mg/kg	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
Total PCB*	mg/kg	< 0.1			0.1	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery							
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions							
TRH C6-C9	%	96			70-130	Pass	

Test	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
TRH C10-C14	%	85			70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery							
BTEX							
Benzene	%	100			70-130	Pass	
Toluene	%	98			70-130	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	%	91			70-130	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	%	93			70-130	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	%	94			70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery							
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions							
Naphthalene	%	120			70-130	Pass	
TRH C6-C10	%	91			70-130	Pass	
TRH >C10-C16	%	81			70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery							
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons							
Acenaphthene	%	109			70-130	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	%	117			70-130	Pass	
Anthracene	%	124			70-130	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	%	120			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	%	96			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	%	108			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	%	90			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	%	86			70-130	Pass	
Chrysene	%	95			70-130	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	%	103			70-130	Pass	
Fluoranthene	%	120			70-130	Pass	
Fluorene	%	119			70-130	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	%	99			70-130	Pass	
Naphthalene	%	107			70-130	Pass	
Phenanthrene	%	110			70-130	Pass	
Pyrene	%	120			70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery							
Polychlorinated Biphenyls							
Aroclor-1260	%	105			70-130	Pass	

Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Spike - % Recovery									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions				Result 1					
TRH C6-C9	N20-Fe00759	NCP	%	89			70-130	Pass	
TRH C10-C14	N20-Fe03039	NCP	%	79			70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery									
BTEX				Result 1					
Benzene	N20-Fe00759	NCP	%	93			70-130	Pass	
Toluene	N20-Fe00759	NCP	%	93			70-130	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	N20-Fe00759	NCP	%	84			70-130	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	N20-Fe00759	NCP	%	86			70-130	Pass	
o-Xylene	N20-Fe00759	NCP	%	91			70-130	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	N20-Fe00759	NCP	%	88			70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions				Result 1					
Naphthalene	N20-Fe00759	NCP	%	100			70-130	Pass	
TRH C6-C10	N20-Fe00759	NCP	%	87			70-130	Pass	
TRH >C10-C16	N20-Fe03039	NCP	%	77			70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery									
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons				Result 1					

eurofins Environment Testing

Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Acenaphthene	S20-Ja29582	NCP	%	87			70-130	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	S20-Ja29582	NCP	%	91			70-130	Pass	
Anthracene	S20-Ja29582	NCP	%	94			70-130	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	S20-Ja29582	NCP	%	87			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	S20-Ja29582	NCP	%	113			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	S20-Ja29582	NCP	%	102			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	S20-Ja29582	NCP	%	101			70-130	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	S20-Ja29582	NCP	%	84			70-130	Pass	
Chrysene	S20-Ja29582	NCP	%	95			70-130	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	S20-Ja29582	NCP	%	105			70-130	Pass	
Fluoranthene	S20-Ja29582	NCP	%	90			70-130	Pass	
Fluorene	S20-Ja29582	NCP	%	95			70-130	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	S20-Ja29582	NCP	%	112			70-130	Pass	
Naphthalene	S20-Ja29582	NCP	%	128			70-130	Pass	
Phenanthrene	S20-Ja29582	NCP	%	85			70-130	Pass	
Pyrene	S20-Ja29582	NCP	%	86			70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery									
Polychlorinated Biphenyls				Result 1					
Aroclor-1016	M20-Ja30810	NCP	%	88			70-130	Pass	
Aroclor-1260	M20-Ja30810	NCP	%	90			70-130	Pass	
Test	**Lab Sample ID**	**QA Source**	**Units**	**Result 1**			**Acceptance Limits**	**Pass Limits**	**Qualifying Code**
Duplicate									
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Acenaphthene	M20-Fe03903	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass	
Acenaphthylene	M20-Fe03903	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass	
Anthracene	M20-Fe03903	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass	
Benz(a)anthracene	M20-Fe03903	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(a)pyrene	M20-Fe03903	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(b&j)fluoranthene	M20-Fe03903	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	M20-Fe03903	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	M20-Fe03903	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass	
Chrysene	M20-Fe03903	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass	
Dibenz(a.h)anthracene	M20-Fe03903	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass	
Fluoranthene	M20-Fe03903	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass	
Fluorene	M20-Fe03903	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass	
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	M20-Fe03903	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass	
Naphthalene	M20-Fe03903	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass	
Phenanthrene	M20-Fe03903	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass	
Pyrene	M20-Fe03903	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
% Moisture	M20-Fe05006	CP	%	32	32	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Polychlorinated Biphenyls				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Aroclor-1016	S20-Fe01881	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Aroclor-1221	S20-Fe01881	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Aroclor-1232	S20-Fe01881	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Aroclor-1242	S20-Fe01881	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Aroclor-1248	S20-Fe01881	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Aroclor-1254	S20-Fe01881	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Aroclor-1260	S20-Fe01881	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Total PCB*	S20-Fe01881	NCP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
TRH C6-C9	M20-Fe05012	CP	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	<1	30%	Pass	

Duplicate									
BTEX				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Benzene	M20-Fe05012	CP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Toluene	M20-Fe05012	CP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	M20-Fe05012	CP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	M20-Fe05012	CP	mg/kg	< 0.2	< 0.2	<1	30%	Pass	
o-Xylene	M20-Fe05012	CP	mg/kg	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	M20-Fe05012	CP	mg/kg	< 0.3	< 0.3	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Naphthalene	M20-Fe05012	CP	mg/kg	< 0.5	< 0.5	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C6-C10	M20-Fe05012	CP	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
TRH C10-C14	M20-Fe05014	CP	mg/kg	< 20	< 20	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C15-C28	M20-Fe05014	CP	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C29-C36	M20-Fe05014	CP	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
TRH >C10-C16	M20-Fe05014	CP	mg/kg	< 50	< 50	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH >C16-C34	M20-Fe05014	CP	mg/kg	< 100	< 100	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH >C34-C40	M20-Fe05014	CP	mg/kg	< 100	< 100	<1	30%	Pass	

Comments

Sample Integrity

Custody Seals Intact (if used)	N/A
Attempt to Chill was evident	Yes
Sample correctly preserved	Yes
Appropriate sample containers have been used	Yes
Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace	Yes
Samples received within HoldingTime	No
Some samples have been subcontracted	No

Qualifier Codes/Comments

Code	Description
N01	F2 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "naphthalene" value from the ">C10-C16" value. The naphthalene value used in this calculation is obtained from volatiles (Purge & Trap analysis).
N02	Where we have reported both volatile (P&T GCMS) and semivolatile (GCMS) naphthalene data, results may not be identical. Provided correct sample handling protocols have been followed, any observed differences in results are likely to be due to procedural differences within each methodology. Results determined by both techniques have passed all QAQC acceptance criteria, and are entirely technically valid.
N04	F1 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "Total BTEX" value from the "C6-C10" value. The "Total BTEX" value is obtained by summing the concentrations of BTEX analytes. The "C6-C10" value is obtained by quantitating against a standard of mixed aromatic/aliphatic analytes.
N07	Please note:- These two PAH isomers closely co-elute using the most contemporary analytical methods and both the reported concentration (and the TEQ) apply specifically to the total of the two co-eluting PAHs

Authorised By

Robert Johnston	Analytical Services Manager
Harry Bacalis	Senior Analyst-Volatile (VIC)
Joseph Edouard	Senior Analyst-Organic (VIC)

Glenn Jackson
General Manager

Final report - this Report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested

* Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please click here.

APPENDIX 4
DROP CAMERA SITES (GDA94 UTM 54 S)

Date	Site	Easting	Northing	Depth (m LAT)
31/10/2019	DC_AR2	664260	5693556	69.5
	DC_AR3	663741	5694457	69.6
	DC_AR4	662262	5693605	70.8
	DC_AR1	662782	5692701	70.9
20/11/2019	DC_TH5	658145	5656139	107.1
21/11/2019	DC_TH8	657791	5656967	104.9
	DC_TH8_4m	657796	5656969	104.9
	DC_TH8_8m	657800	5656972	104.9
	DC_TH6	659801	5656919	101.9
	DC_TH6_4m	659810	5656925	101.9
	DC_TH6_8m	659810	5656923	101.9
	DC_TH7	659211	5657774	103.5
	DC_TH7_4m	659213	5657774	103.5
9/12/2019	DC_TH4	660880	5658431	98.9
	DC_TH4_2m	660880	5658428	98.9
	DC_TH4_5m	660881	5658432	98.9
	DC_TH1	661398	5657534	96.8
	DC_TH1_2m	661397	5657532	96.8
	DC_TH1_5m	661397	5657539	96.8
	DC_TH2	662970	5658384	96.9
	DC_TH2_2m	662972	5658383	96.9
	DC_TH2_5m	662975	5658387	96.9
	DC_TH3	662409	5659275	98.2
	DC_TH3_2m	662412	5659274	98.2
	DC_TH3_5m	662406	5659277	98.2
25/12/2019	DC_GE1	668217	5668519	85.6
	DC_GE2	669700	5669375	85.0
	DC_GE2_2m	669703	5669375	85.0
	DC_GE2_5m	669704	5669377	85.0
	DC_GE3	669179	5670280	82.3
	DC_GE3_2m	669180	5670279	82.3
	DC_GE3_5m	669184	5670277	82.3
	DC_GE4	667699	5669424	83.4
	DC_GE4_2m	667700	5669424	83.4
	DC_GE4_5m	667704	5669422	83.4
28/12/2019	DC_LB1	647832	5681521	92.5
	DC_LB1_2m	647831	5681519	92.5
	DC_LB1_5m	647831	5681516	92.5
	DC_LB4	646558	5680703	97.8
	DC_LB4_2m	646560	5680702	97.8

Date	Site	Easting	Northing	Depth (m LAT)
	DC_LB4_5m	646560	5680700	97.8
	DC_LB4_Extra	646438	5680699	97.8
21/01/2020	DC_LB2R	645891	5681544	93.1
	DC_LB2R_2m	645889	5681543	93.1
	DC_LB2R_5m	645891	5681541	93.1
	DC_LB3R	647415	5682484	93.6
	DC_LB3R_2m	647415	5682479	93.6
	DC_LB3R_5m	647418	5682479	93.6
	DC_HE4R	662560	5687719	74.3
	DC_HE4R_1m	662560	5687719	74.3
	DC_HE4R_3m	662557	5687717	74.3
	DC_HE2	662068	5688635	74.3
	DC_HE2_1m	662066	5688636	74.3
	DC_HE2_3m	662064	5688637	74.3
	DC_HE1	664068	5688640	73.4
	DC_HE1_1m	664068	5688643	73.4
	DC_HE1_3m	664066	5688641	73.4
	DC_HE3	663548	5689514	73.8
	DC_HE3_1m	663548	5689515	73.8
	DC_HE3_3m	663544	5689514	73.8
	DC_HTX1R	669286	5688662	72.9
	DC_HTX1R_1m	669286	5688661	72.9
	DC_HTX1R_2m	669290	5688661	72.9
22/01/2020	DC_ARHTX1R	665451	5691790	70.5
	DC_ARHTX1R_2m	665452	5691788	70.5
	DC_ARHTX1R_5m	665452	5691788	70.5
29/01/2020	DC_ARHTY1R	665896	5694722	69.3
	DC_ARHTY1R_B	665895	5694725	69.3
	DC_ARHTY1R_C	665899	5694726	69.3
	DC_HTY1R_A	670385	5696817	67.9
	DC_HTY1R_B	670382	5696816	67.9
	DC_HTY1R_C	670384	5696816	67.9
	DC_ARGE3R_A	665383	5684033	76.4
	DC_ARGE3R_B	665383	5684033	76.8
	DC_ARGE3R_C	665382	5684030	76.7
	DC_ARGE3R_D	665381	5684028	76.2
	DC_ARGE6R_A	667106	5676840	76.9
	DC_ARGE6R_B	667108	5676837	74.7
	DC_ARGE6R_C	667109	5676835	77.6
	DC_ARGE7R_A	667735	5673842	79.4

Date	Site	Easting	Northing	Depth (m LAT)
30/01/2020	DC_ARGE7R_B	667735	5673845	79.4
	DC_ARGE7R_C	667736	5673849	79.4
	DC_ARLB2R_A	659391	5690760	73.6
	DC_ARLB2R_B	659390	5690760	73.6
	DC_ARLB2R_C	659391	5690757	73.6
	DC_ARLB6R_A	651030	5684616	87.1
	DC_ARLB6R_B	651030	5684615	87.1
	DC_ARLB6R_C	651031	5684613	87.1
	DC_LBGE3R_A	653038	5677641	98.5
	DC_LBGE3R_B	653039	5677640	98.5
	DC_LBGE3R_C	653040	5677638	98.5
	DC_LBGE6R_A	659466	5673506	88.2
	DC_LBGE6R_B	659467	5673504	88.2
	DC_LBGE6R_C	659468	5673503	88.2

APPENDIX 5
SEABED PHOTOGRAPH ASSESSMENT DATA

Location	Image Name	Percnet coverage of epifauna (%)	Gastropoda sp. 1	Gastropoda sp. 2	Gastropoda sp. 3	Gastropoda sp. 4	Gastropoda sp. 5	Crinoidea	Polychaeta	Nudibranchia	Teleostei
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE3R_A_00001	20									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE3R_A_00002	10							1		
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE3R_A_00005	15		5	1						
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE3R_A_00006	25									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE3R_A_00007	5		1							
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE3R_B_00005	15									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE3R_B_00006	5									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE3R_B_00007	5									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE3R_C_00001	0									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE3R_C_00003	5									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE3R_C_00004	0									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE3R_C_00005	5									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_A_00001	0									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_A_00002	0									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_A_00003	5									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_A_00004	0									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_A_00005	0									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_A_00006	0									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_A_00007	5									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_B_00001	0									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_B_00002	5									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_B_00003	5									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_B_00005	5									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_B_00006	5									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_B_00007	5									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_B_00008	0									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_B_00009	5									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_C_00001	5									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_C_00002	0									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_C_00003	5									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_C_00004	0									1
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE6R_C_00005	0		1							
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_A_00001	5									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_A_00002	15									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_A_00004	10									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_A_00005	25		1							
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_B_00004	5									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_B_00005	10									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_B_00006	20									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_B_00007	15									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_B_00008	20									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_B_00009	20									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_B_00011	25		1							
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_B_00012	15				1					

Location	Image Name	Percnet coverage of epifauna (%)	Gastropoda sp. 1	Gastropoda sp. 2	Gastropoda sp. 3	Gastropoda sp. 4	Gastropoda sp. 5	Crinoidea	Polychaeta	Nudibranchia	Teleostei
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_B_00015	25									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_C_00001	35									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_C_00002	10									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_C_00004	35									
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_C_00005	5									1
ARGE	Routes_ARGE_ARGE7R_C_00006	30		1							
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_A_00001	0									
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_A_00002	0									
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_A_00003	20									
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_A_00004	25									
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_A_00005	0									
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_A_00006	0									
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_A_00008	0									1
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_A_00009	0						1			
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_B_00001	0									
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_B_00003	0									
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_B_00004	0									
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_B_00005	0									
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_B_00006	0									
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_B_00008	0									
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_C_00001	40	1								
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_C_00002	0									
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_C_00004	20									
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_C_00006	5									
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_C_00007	0		1							
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_C_00008	0									
ARHTY	Routes_ARHTY_ARHTYR1_C_00009	0								1	
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB2R_A_00001	20									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB2R_A_00005	20									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB2R_A_00006	20									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB2R_A_00007	30									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB2R_A_00008	15		1							
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB2R_A_00009	20									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB2R_A_00010	20									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB2R_B_00001	5									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB2R_B_00002	20									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB2R_B_00003	20		2	1						
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB2R_B_00004	20									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB2R_B_00005	20									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB2R_C_00001	5		1							
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB2R_C_00003	5									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB2R_C_00004	0									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB2R_C_00005	5									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB2R_C_00006	5		1							

Location	Image Name	Percnet coverage of epifauna (%)	Gastropoda sp. 1	Gastropoda sp. 2	Gastropoda sp. 3	Gastropoda sp. 4	Gastropoda sp. 5	Crinoidea	Polychaeta	Nudibranchia	Teleostei
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB6R_A_00002	0									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB6R_A_00003	5				1					
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB6R_A_00004	0									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB6R_A_00005	5		1							
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB6R_B_00001	0									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB6R_B_00002	0									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB6R_B_00004	0									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB6R_B_00005	0									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB6R_B_00006	0		3							
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB6R_C_00001	0									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB6R_C_00002	0									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB6R_C_00003	0									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB6R_C_00004	0									
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB6R_C_00005	0		1							
ARLB	Routes_ARLB_ARLB6R_C_00007	5		2							
Artisan	Artisan_AR1_00015	30									
Artisan	Artisan_AR1_00017	5									
Artisan	Artisan_AR1_00029	40		3							
Artisan	Artisan_AR1_00035	30		1							
Artisan	Artisan_AR2_00007	35									
Artisan	Artisan_AR2_00008	15									
Artisan	Artisan_AR2_00011	40									
Artisan	Artisan_AR2_00012	30		1							
Artisan	Artisan_AR3_00004	20									
Artisan	Artisan_AR3_00006	15									
Artisan	Artisan_AR3_00008	5									
Artisan	Artisan_AR3_00015	40									
Artisan	Artisan_AR3_00017	25									
Artisan	Artisan_AR3_00018	20		1							
Artisan	Artisan_AR3_00019	10									
Artisan	Artisan_AR3_00022	5									
Artisan	Artisan_AR3_00023	25									
Artisan	Artisan_AR4_00004	30		3							
Artisan	Artisan_AR4_00005	5									
Artisan	Artisan_AR4_00007	20		2							
Artisan	Artisan_AR4_00009	10									
Artisan	Artisan_AR4_00012	45									
Artisan	Artisan_AR4_00013	30									
Artisan	Artisan_AR4_00016	10		1							
Artisan	Artisan_AR4_00017	30		1							
Artisan	Artisan_AR4_00018	20		1							
Artisan	Artisan_AR4_00019	5		1							
Artisan	Artisan_AR4_00025	15		2							
Artisan	Artisan_AR4_00031	15		3							

Location	Image Name	Percnet coverage of epifauna (%)	Gastropoda sp. 1	Gastropoda sp. 2	Gastropoda sp. 3	Gastropoda sp. 4	Gastropoda sp. 5	Crinoidea	Polychaeta	Nudibranchia	Teleostei
Artisan	Artisan_AR4_00034	40									
Geographe	Geographe_GE1_A_00004	55									
Geographe	Geographe_GE1_A_00007	35									
Geographe	Geographe_GE1_A_00008	45		2							
Geographe	Geographe_GE1_B_00001	0									
Geographe	Geographe_GE1_B_00002	0	1								
Geographe	Geographe_GE1_B_00004	5									
Geographe	Geographe_GE1_B_00005	5									
Geographe	Geographe_GE1_C_00001	5									
Geographe	Geographe_GE1_C_00005	25	1								
Geographe	Geographe_GE2_A_00001	5									
Geographe	Geographe_GE2_A_00002	5									
Geographe	Geographe_GE2_A_00003	10	1								
Geographe	Geographe_GE2_A_00005	25									
Geographe	Geographe_GE2_B_00002	5									
Geographe	Geographe_GE2_B_00003	5									
Geographe	Geographe_GE2_C_00002	0									
Geographe	Geographe_GE2_C_00004	0									
Geographe	Geographe_GE2_C_00005	0									
Geographe	Geographe_GE3_A_00001	5									
Geographe	Geographe_GE3_A_00003	5									
Geographe	Geographe_GE3_A_00005	25									
Geographe	Geographe_GE3_B_00001	5									
Geographe	Geographe_GE3_B_00003	20									
Geographe	Geographe_GE3_B_00005	30									
Geographe	Geographe_GE3_C_00002	35									
Geographe	Geographe_GE3_C_00005	40		1							
Geographe	Geographe_GE3_C_00006	10									
Geographe	Geographe_GE4_A_00002	35									
Geographe	Geographe_GE4_A_00004	5									
Geographe	Geographe_GE4_A_00005	30									
Geographe	Geographe_GE4_A_00006	0		1							
Geographe	Geographe_GE4_B_00002	5									
Geographe	Geographe_GE4_B_00003	5									
Geographe	Geographe_GE4_B_00005	0									
Geographe	Geographe_GE4_C_00001	5									
Geographe	Geographe_GE4_C_00002	0									
Geographe	Geographe_GE4_C_00003	0									
Geographe	Geographe_GE4_C_00005	0									
Hercules	Hercules_HE1_A_00002	20									
Hercules	Hercules_HE1_A_00003	0									
Hercules	Hercules_HE1_A_00004	35									
Hercules	Hercules_HE1_A_00005	0									
Hercules	Hercules_HE1_A_00006	35									

Location	Image Name	Percnet coverage of epifauna (%)	Gastropoda sp. 1	Gastropoda sp. 2	Gastropoda sp. 3	Gastropoda sp. 4	Gastropoda sp. 5	Crinoidea	Polychaeta	Nudibranchia	Teleostei
Hercules	Hercules_HE1_B_00001	45									
Hercules	Hercules_HE1_B_00002	35									
Hercules	Hercules_HE1_B_00004	15									
Hercules	Hercules_HE1_B_00005	5									
Hercules	Hercules_HE1_C_00001	5									
Hercules	Hercules_HE1_C_00002	20									
Hercules	Hercules_HE1_C_00005	25									
Hercules	Hercules_HE1_C_00006	30				1					
Hercules	Hercules_HE1_C_00007	30				1					
Hercules	Hercules_HE2_A_00001	30				1					
Hercules	Hercules_HE2_A_00002	5									
Hercules	Hercules_HE2_A_00003	30	1								
Hercules	Hercules_HE2_A_00004	5									
Hercules	Hercules_HE2_A_00005	60									
Hercules	Hercules_HE2_A_00006	25									
Hercules	Hercules_HE2_B_00002	60									
Hercules	Hercules_HE2_B_00004	80									
Hercules	Hercules_HE2_B_00005	25		1							
Hercules	Hercules_HE2_B_00006	75									
Hercules	Hercules_HE2_C_00002	5									
Hercules	Hercules_HE2_C_00003	25									
Hercules	Hercules_HE2_C_00004	20									
Hercules	Hercules_HE2_C_00007	20									
Hercules	Hercules_HE2_C_00009	25									
Hercules	Hercules_HE3_A_00001	50									
Hercules	Hercules_HE3_A_00003	45									
Hercules	Hercules_HE3_A_00005	40		1							
Hercules	Hercules_HE3_B_00001	30									
Hercules	Hercules_HE3_B_00002	40									
Hercules	Hercules_HE3_B_00004	15									
Hercules	Hercules_HE3_B_00005	25									
Hercules	Hercules_HE3_B_00006	30		1							
Hercules	Hercules_HE3_C_00001	5									
Hercules	Hercules_HE3_C_00002	40									
Hercules	Hercules_HE3_C_00003	0						1			
Hercules	Hercules_HE3_C_00005	35			1						
Hercules	Hercules_HE3_C_00007	0									
Hercules	Hercules_HE3_C_00008	5									
Hercules	Hercules_HE4_A_00001	5									
Hercules	Hercules_HE4_A_00002	25									
Hercules	Hercules_HE4_A_00004	15									
Hercules	Hercules_HE4_A_00005	0									
Hercules	Hercules_HE4_B_00001	30									
Hercules	Hercules_HE4_B_00003	15									

Location	Image Name	Percnet coverage of epifauna (%)	Gastropoda sp. 1	Gastropoda sp. 2	Gastropoda sp. 3	Gastropoda sp. 4	Gastropoda sp. 5	Crinoidea	Polychaeta	Nudibranchia	Teleostei
Hercules	Hercules_HE4_B_00004	40									
Hercules	Hercules_HE4_B_00005	15									
Hercules	Hercules_HE4_B_00008	15									
Hercules	Hercules_HE4_B_00009	20									
Hercules	Hercules_HE4_B_00010	25									
Hercules	Hercules_HE4_C_00001	30									
Hercules	Hercules_HE4_C_00002	0									
Hercules	Hercules_HE4_C_00003	0	1								
Hercules	Hercules_HE4_C_00004	20									
Hercules	Hercules_HE4_C_00005	5									
Hot Tap X	HotTap_HTX_HTX1R_A_00006	40									
Hot Tap X	HotTap_HTX_HTX1R_B_00004	25		1							
Hot Tap X	HotTap_HTX_HTX1R_B_00005	15									
Hot Tap X	HotTap_HTX_HTX1R_B_00007	50	1								
Hot Tap X	HotTap_HTX_HTX1R_C_00002	30	1								
Hot Tap X	HotTap_HTX_HTX1R_C_00003	45									
Hot Tap X	HotTap_HTX_HTX1R_C_00005	55									
Hot Tap X	HotTap_HTX_HTX1R_C_00006	50				1					
Hot Tap X	HotTap_HTX_HTX1R_C_00007	25									
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_A_00001	25				1					
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_A_00004	20									
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_A_00005	25									
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_A_00007	40					1				
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_A_00009	15									
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_A_00010	15									
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_A_00013	20									
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_A_00014	35									
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_B_00001	25		1							
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_B_00004	20									
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_B_00006	10		1							
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_B_00008	10		5							
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_B_00009	15									
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_C_00001	25									
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_C_00002	15									
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_C_00004	15									
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_C_00005	20									
Hot Tap Y	HotTap_HTY_HTY1R_C_00006	10									
La Bella	LaBella_LB1_A_00001	30		1							
La Bella	LaBella_LB1_A_00004	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB1_A_00006	30									
La Bella	LaBella_LB1_B_00008	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB1_B_00009	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB1_B_00013	15									
La Bella	LaBella_LB1_C_00001	15									

Location	Image Name	Percnet coverage of epifauna (%)	Gastropoda sp. 1	Gastropoda sp. 2	Gastropoda sp. 3	Gastropoda sp. 4	Gastropoda sp. 5	Crinoidea	Polychaeta	Nudibranchia	Teleostei
La Bella	LaBella_LB1_C_00003	15									
La Bella	LaBella_LB1_C_00004	20									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_A_00014	15									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_A_00017	10									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_A_00021	0									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_A_00024	10									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_A_00026	0									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_A_00028	0									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_B_00029	35									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_B_00030	20									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_B_00033	20									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_B_00035	15									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_B_00036	25									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_B_00040	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_B_00041	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_C_00043	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_C_00044	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_C_00045	0									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_C_00047	0									
La Bella	LaBella_LB2_C_00048	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB3_A_00001	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB3_A_00003	0		1							
La Bella	LaBella_LB3_A_00005	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB3_A_00007	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB3_A_00009	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB3_A_00010	0									
La Bella	LaBella_LB3_B_00002	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB3_B_00004	5		1							
La Bella	LaBella_LB3_B_00006	5		1							
La Bella	LaBella_LB3_B_00007	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB3_B_00009	0									
La Bella	LaBella_LB3_C_00002	5		1							
La Bella	LaBella_LB3_C_00003	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB3_C_00005	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB3_C_00007	0									
La Bella	LaBella_LB4_A_00001	0									
La Bella	LaBella_LB4_A_00003	0				1					
La Bella	LaBella_LB4_A_00005	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB4_B_00004	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB4_B_00005	0									
La Bella	LaBella_LB4_C_00001	0		1							
La Bella	LaBella_LB4_C_00004	0									
La Bella	LaBella_LB4_C_00005	5									
La Bella	LaBella_LB4_C_00006	0									

Location	Image Name	Percnet coverage of epifauna (%)	Gastropoda sp. 1	Gastropoda sp. 2	Gastropoda sp. 3	Gastropoda sp. 4	Gastropoda sp. 5	Crinoidea	Polychaeta	Nudibranchia	Teleostei
La Bella	LaBella_LB4_D_00001	35									
La Bella	LaBella_LB4_D_00002	25									
La Bella	LaBella_LB4_D_00003	30									
La Bella	LaBella_LB4_D_00004	15									
La Bella	LaBella_LB4_D_00005	20									
La Bella	LaBella_LB4_D_00006	25									
La Bella	LaBella_LB4_D_00007	35									
La Bella	LaBella_LB4_D_00008	40		1							
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE3R_A_00001	40									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE3R_A_00002	45		2							
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE3R_A_00004	5									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE3R_A_00005	5									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE3R_A_00006	15									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE3R_A_00008	45		1							
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE3R_B_00001	15									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE3R_B_00002	5									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE3R_B_00003	0									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE3R_B_00004	0									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE3R_B_00005	10		1							
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE3R_C_00001	0									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE3R_C_00002	0									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE3R_C_00003	0									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE3R_C_00004	0									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE3R_C_00005	0									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE6R_A_00002	0									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE6R_A_00003	5									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE6R_A_00004	0									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE6R_A_00005	5				1					
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE6R_A_00006	0									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE6R_B_00001	0									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE6R_B_00003	5		1							
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE6R_B_00004	5									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE6R_B_00005	0									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE6R_C_00001	0									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE6R_C_00002	0									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE6R_C_00003	0									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE6R_C_00004	0									
LBGE	Routes_LBGE_LBGE6R_C_00005	0									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH1_A_00002	65									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH1_A_00003	55						9			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH1_A_00006	25									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH1_A_00007	20						2		1	
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH1_A_00008	30						6			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH1_A_00009	30						3			

Location	Image Name	Percnet coverage of epifauna (%)	Gastropoda sp. 1	Gastropoda sp. 2	Gastropoda sp. 3	Gastropoda sp. 4	Gastropoda sp. 5	Crinoidea	Polychaeta	Nudibranchia	Teleostei
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH1_B_00015	45						3			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH1_B_00016	55						3			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH1_B_00017	60						1			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH1_B_00018	60						5			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH1_B_00021	55						2			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH1_B_00023	45									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH1_C_00028	40									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH1_C_00029	45						3			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH1_C_00031	25						1			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH1_C_00033	40						2			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH2_A_00001	0									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH2_A_00003	0									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH2_A_00005	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH2_A_00009	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH2_B_00010	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH2_B_00011	0									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH2_B_00012	0									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH2_B_00013	5	1								
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH2_B_00014	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH2_C_00015	0									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH2_C_00016	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH2_C_00017	0									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH2_C_00018	5		1							
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH2_C_00019	0									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH2_C_00021	0									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_A_00001	15		2							
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_A_00003	10									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_A_00004	30						4			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_A_00005	25									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_A_00007	20		1				1			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_A_00009	20		1							
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_A_00010	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_A_00011	5		2							
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_A_00014	10		1							
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_B_00015	10									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_B_00018	10									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_B_00020	10						1			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_B_00022	10									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_C_00023	15						2			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_C_00024	5					1				
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_C_00025	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_C_00026	10					1				
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_C_00027	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_C_00030	5									

Location	Image Name	Percnet coverage of epifauna (%)	Gastropoda sp. 1	Gastropoda sp. 2	Gastropoda sp. 3	Gastropoda sp. 4	Gastropoda sp. 5	Crinoidea	Polychaeta	Nudibranchia	Teleostei
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_C_00031	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH3_C_00033	10									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_A_00005	15						3			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_A_00007	15						2			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_A_00008	10						1			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_A_00009	10						1			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_A_00010	15									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_A_00011	15						4			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_B_00016	30						5			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_B_00018	25									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_B_00019	30						3			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_B_00020	20						2			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_B_00022	10									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_C_00023	10									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_C_00024	25						1			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_C_00025	15									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_C_00028	20						2			
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_C_00029	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_C_00030	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH4_C_00033	10									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH5_00014	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH6_00003	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH6_00005	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH6_00006	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH6_00011	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH6_00016	15									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH7_00009	0									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH7_00012(2)	5		1							
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH7_00013(2)	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH7_00014(2)	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH7_00015	0									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH7_00017	0									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH7_00018	0									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH7_00022	0									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH8_00009	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH8_00011	5		1							
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH8_00013	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH8_00015	10									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH8_00019	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH8_00021	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH8_00022	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH8_00025	0									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH8_00027	5									
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH8_00028	5									

Location	Image Name	Percnet coverage of epifauna (%)	Gastropoda sp. 1	Gastropoda sp. 2	Gastropoda sp. 3	Gastropoda sp. 4	Gastropoda sp. 5	Crinoidea	Polychaeta	Nudibranchia	Teleostei
Thylacine	Thylacine_TH8_00030	5									

APPENDIX 6
EXAMPLE SEABED PHOTOGRAPHS


Artisan – AR4


Artisan – AR4


Geographe – GE2


Geographe – GE4


Hercules – HE1


Hercules – HE3


La Bella – LB2


La Bella – LB4 Extra DC


Thylacine – TH2


Thylacine – TH4


Thylacine – TH6


Thylacine – TH8


Hot Tap – HTX – HTX1R


Hot Tap – HTX – HTX1R


Hot Tap – HTY – HTY1R


Hot Tap – HTY – HTY1R


Routes – ARGE – ARGE3R


Routes – ARGE – ARGE6R


Routes – ARGE – ARGE7R


Routes – ARHTX – ARHTX1R


Routes – ARHTX – ARHTX1R


Routes – ARHTY – ARHTY1R


Routes – ARHTY – ARHTY1R


Routes – ARLB – ARLB2R


Routes – ARLB – ARLB6R


Routes – LBGE – LBGE3R


Routes – LBGE – LBGE6R

Appendix 7

Sound Transmission Loss Modelling Report

Otway Offshore Project – Construction Program

Assessing Marine Fauna Sound Exposures

Submitted to:

Phil Wemyss
Beach Energy Limited
Contract: BE00028888

Authors:

Marie-Noël R. Matthews
Matthew W. Koessler
Craig R. McPherson

16 November 2020

P001359-001
Document 02112
Version 2.0

JASCO Applied Sciences (Australia) Pty Ltd
Unit 1, 14 Hook Street
Capalaba, Queensland, 4157
Tel: +61 7 3823 2620
www.jasco.com

Suggested citation:

Matthews, M.-N. R., M.W. Koessler, and C.R. McPherson. 2020. *Otway Offshore Project – Construction Program: Assessing Marine Fauna Sound Exposures*. Document 02112, Version 2.0. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for Beach Energy Limited.

Disclaimer:

The results presented herein are relevant within the specific context described in this report. They could be misinterpreted if not considered in the light of all the information contained in this report. Accordingly, if information from this report is used in documents released to the public or to regulatory bodies, such documents must clearly cite the original report, which shall be made readily available to the recipients in integral and unedited form.

Contents

Figures

Tables

Executive Summary

JASCO Applied Sciences (JASCO) performed modelling studies of underwater sound levels associated with the Beach Energy Otway Development, considering both Development Drilling activities (Koessler et al. 2020) and construction operations (this study). This study considered representative vessel activities associated with construction at two representative well locations, Artisan-1 and Thylacine North-1. These two well locations were selected for consideration as they represent the two different seabed types and different depths within the region of the Development.

The study considered a representative construction vessel holding station and undertaking pipe laying activities, and specifically assessed distances from these activities where underwater sound levels reached thresholds corresponding to various levels of potential effect to marine fauna. The animals considered here included marine mammals, turtles, and fish (including fish eggs and larvae). Due to the variety of species considered, there are several thresholds for evaluating effects, including: mortality, injury, temporary reduction in hearing sensitivity, and behavioural disturbance.

The modelling methodology considered source levels for a pipelaying vessel and range-dependent environmental properties. Estimated underwater acoustic levels are presented as sound pressure levels (SPL, L_p), and as accumulated sound exposure levels (SEL, L_E) as appropriate for non-impulsive (continuous) noise sources.

In this study, we estimated the maximum distance to the 120 dB re 1 µPa (SPL) behavioural criteria for operating of a representative large pipelay and installation vessel to be between 11.2 and 17.0 km at Thylacine North-1, and between 15.5 and 20.4 km at Artisan-1, depending on the percentage of Maximum Continuous Rating (MCR) used. Since the SPL metric does not depend on the duration of the operation, these estimates are valid for both, stationary and non-stationary pipe laying scenarios. Distances to PTS and TTS criteria, which are based on SEL accumulated over a period of 24 h, are longer for scenarios where the pipelay vessel is stationary than making headway (i.e. during pipe laying operation). Distances to PTS criteria are estimated to be the longest for high-frequency cetaceans (≤ 220 m while stationary, ≤ 30 m while making headway), while distances to TTS criteria are longest for low-frequency cetaceans (≤ 5 km while stationary, ≤ 4.5 km while making headway).

1. Introduction

JASCO Applied Sciences (JASCO) performed a modelling study of underwater sound levels associated with the Beach Energy Otway Development (Figure 1). The modelling study considered specific components of the program at two representative locations, Artisan-1 well and Thylacine North-1 well. The study considered the installation and pipe laying activities of a large pipelay and installation vessel under dynamic positioning (DP).
The modelling study specifically assessed distances from operations where underwater sound levels were predicted to reach thresholds corresponding to various levels of effect on marine fauna. The animals considered here included marine mammals (cetaceans and pinnipeds), turtles, and fish (including fish eggs and larvae). Due to the variety of species considered, there are several different thresholds for evaluating effects, including: mortality, injury, temporary reduction in hearing sensitivity, and behavioural disturbance.

The modelling methodology considered source levels for a pipelay vessel and range-dependent environmental properties. Estimated underwater acoustic levels are presented as sound pressure levels (SPL, L_p) and accumulated sound exposure levels (SEL, L_E), as appropriate for non-impulsive (continuous) sound sources.

Section 2 explains the metrics used to represent underwater acoustic fields and the effect criteria considered. Section 3 details the methodology for predicting the source levels and modelling the sound propagation, including the specifications of the vessel and all environmental parameters the propagation models required. Section 4 presents the results, which are then discussed and summarised in Section 5.



Figure 1. Otway Offshore Development infrastructure locations

1.1. Acoustic Modelling Scenario Details

The two considered locations, Artisan-1 and Thylacine North-1 wells were selected to estimate sound levels that would be representative of all locations within the Development infrastructure (at wells and along pipeline and umbilical routes). Based on water depth, proximity to the continental slope, and the seabed type, two environmental regimes were defined; we modelled the sound fields at one location within each regime.

The Development infrastructure sites where the water depth is greater than 80 m are located relatively close to the continental slope. At those sites, the seabed is characterised by well-cemented carbonate caprock (calcarenite), overlying semi-cemented carbonate rock (calcarenite). The Thylacine North-1 well is located within this environmental regime, where the water depth is approximately the average depth within the regime. The results are not expected to change significantly for similar activities occurring at Thylacine, Geographe and along routes within this regime (Figure 1). The seabed at Development infrastructure sites where water depth is less than 80 m is characterised by thin veneer of coarse sand/gravel overlying semi-cemented carbonate rock. The Artisan-1 well is located within this second environmental regime, where the water depth is approximately the average depth within the regime. Results for similar activities occurring at the Hot Tap Tee locations and along routes within this regime are expected to be similar those modelled at Artisan-1 (Figure 1). Distances to sound level thresholds for similar activities occurring close to shore, were the water depth is significantly less and decreases rapidly, are expected to be shorter than those modelled at Artisan-1. This is in part because of the increased losses due to the increased number of surface and seafloor interactions the sound field experiences in shallow water, but also due to the lower frequencies where the sources are louder being less supported in significantly shallow water.

The study considers four scenarios at each of the two well locations, Artisan-1 and Thylacine North-1, Figure 2, for 8 scenarios in total. The scenarios represent a large pipelay/installation vessel operating under DP at two different Maximum Continuous Ratings (MCR; 20% and 40%):

1. Stationary for a period of 24 h for the installation of subsea infrastructure,
2. Making headway at a rate of 6 km per day while laying pipelines (or flowlines) (a conservative average rate for larger, deep water capable pipelay vessel; (Offshore Fleet 2017, Nord Stream 2 2018, Nord Stream 2020, RIGZONE 2020, ScienceDirect 2020)).

The scenarios are listed in Table 1, with the modelled sites coordinates and descriptions provided in Table 2.



Figure 2. Overview of the modelled area and local features within the South East Marine Region (SEMR).

Table 1. Description of modelled scenarios. MCR: Maximum Continuous Rating.

Well	Scenario number	Description	Associated modelled sites
Thylacine North-1	1	Vessel stationary, operating at 20% MCR	1
	2	Vessel stationary, operating at 40% MCR	
	3	Vessel laying pipe, operating at 20% MCR	
	4	Vessel laying pipe, operating at 40% MCR	
Artisan-1	5	Vessel stationary, operating at 20% MCR	2
	6	Vessel stationary, operating at 40% MCR	
	7	Vessel laying pipe, operating at 20% MCR	
	8	Vessel laying pipe, operating at 40% MCR	

Table 2. Location details for the modelled sites.

Well	Site	Latitude (S)	Longitude (E)	MGA Zone 54 (GDA94)		Water depth (m)
				X (m)	*Y* (m)	
Thylacine North-1	1	39° 12' 30.6000"	142° 52' 29.7600"	661882	5658411	99.1
Artisan-1	2	38° 53' 27.4106"	142° 52' 58.4450"	663300	5693640	71.5

2. Noise Effect Criteria

To assess the potential effects of a sound-producing activity, it is necessary to first establish exposure criteria (thresholds) for which sound levels may be expected to have a negative effect on fauna. Whether acoustic exposure levels might injure or disturb marine fauna is an active research topic. Since 2007, several expert groups have developed SEL-based assessment approaches for evaluating auditory injury, with key works including Southall et al. (2007), Finneran and Jenkins (2012), Popper et al. (2014), United States National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS 2018b) and Southall et al. (2019). The number of studies that investigate the level of behavioural disturbance to marine fauna by anthropogenic sound has also increased substantially.

Several sound level metrics, such as PK, SPL, and SEL, are commonly used to evaluate noise and its effects on marine life (Appendix A). In this report, the duration of the SEL accumulation is defined as integrated over a 24 h time period.

Appropriate subscripts indicate any applied frequency weighting applied (Appendix A.4). The acoustic metrics in this report reflect the updated ANSI and ISO standards for acoustic terminology, ANSI S1.1 (2013) and ISO 18405 (2017).

The following thresholds and guidelines for this study were chosen because they represent the best available science:

1. Frequency-weighted accumulated sound exposure levels (SEL; $L_{E,24h}$) from the US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Technical Guidance (NMFS 2018b) for the onset of permanent threshold shift (PTS) and temporary threshold shift (TTS) in marine mammals for non-impulsive sources.
2. Marine mammal behavioural threshold based on the current interim NOAA (2019) criterion for marine mammals of 120 dB re 1 µPa (SPL; L_p) for non-impulsive sound sources.
3. Sound exposure guidelines for fish, fish eggs, and larvae (Popper et al. 2014).
4. Frequency-weighted accumulated sound exposure levels (SEL; $L_{E,24h}$) from Finneran et al. (2017) for the onset of permanent threshold shift (PTS) and temporary threshold shift (TTS) in turtles for non-impulsive sources.

The following sections (Sections 2.1–2.2, along with Appendix A.3), expand on the thresholds, guidelines and sound levels for marine mammals, fish, fish eggs, fish larvae, and sea turtles.

2.1. Marine Mammals

The criteria applied in this study to assess possible effects of vessel noise on marine mammals are summarised in Table 3 and detailed in Sections 2.1.1 and 2.1.2, with frequency weighting explained in Appendix A.4.

Table 3. Criteria for effects of continuous noise exposure, including vessel noise, for marine mammals: Unweighted SPL and SEL_{24h} thresholds.

Hearing group	NOAA (2019)	NMFS (2018b)	
	Behaviour	PTS onset thresholds (received level)	TTS onset thresholds (received level)
	SPL (L_p; dB re 1 µPa)	Weighted SEL_{24h} ($L_{E,24h}$; dB re 1 µPa^2·s)	Weighted SEL_{24h} ($L_{E,24h}$; dB re 1 µPa^2·s)
Low-Frequency (LF) cetaceans	120	199	179
Mid-frequency (MF) cetaceans		198	178
High-frequency (HF) cetaceans		173	153
Phocid seals		201	181
Otariid seals		219	199

L_p denotes sound pressure level period and has a reference value of 1 µPa.
L_E denotes cumulative sound exposure over a 24 h period and has a reference value of 1 µPa^2·s.

2.1.1. Behavioural Response

The NMFS non-pulsed noise criterion was selected for this assessment because it represents the most commonly applied behavioural response criterion by regulators. The distances at which behavioural responses could occur were therefore determined to occur in areas ensonified above an unweighted SPL of 120 dB re 1 µPa (NMFS 2014, NOAA 2019). Appendix A.3 provides more information about the development of this criteria.

To provide context for the sound levels at which foraging blue whales may exhibit responses while foraging, an expert opinion from Brandon Southall (Southall 2020) discussed that deep-feeding blue whales show responses of moderate severity commencing on average at a received cumulative SEL of 148 dB re 1 µPa^2·s at ranges of up to 1 km, with response probability at greater ranges sequentially decreasing as a function of received level. They found that while some individuals responded at quite low received levels and some failed to respond at relatively high received levels, it was possible to derive probabilistic functions for their response. These functions suggested that for the most sensitive behavioural state they considered (deep-feeding blue whales) the average SPL values at response change points, and accounting for exposures where no responses were detected, were in the 130-140 dB SPL range. Therefore, an unweighted SPL of 130 dB re 1 µPa can be used as a threshold for this project to assess the potential responses for foraging pygmy blue whales.

2.1.2. Injury and Hearing Sensitivity Changes

There are two categories of auditory threshold shifts or hearing loss: permanent threshold shift (PTS), a physical injury to an animal's hearing organs; and temporary threshold shift (TTS), a temporary reduction in an animal's hearing sensitivity as the result of receptor hair cells in the cochlea becoming fatigued.

To assist in assessing the potential for effect on marine mammals, this report applies the criteria recommended by NMFS (2018b), considering both PTS and TTS (see Table 3). Appendix A.3 provides more information about the NMFS (2018b) criteria.

2.2. Fish, Turtles, Fish Eggs, and Fish Larvae

In 2006, the Working Group on the Effects of Sound on Fish and Turtles was formed to continue developing noise exposure criteria for fish and turtles, work begun by a NOAA panel two years earlier. The Working Group developed guidelines with specific thresholds for different levels of effects for several species groups (Popper et al. 2014). The guidelines define quantitative thresholds for three types of immediate effects:

- Mortality, including injury leading to death,
- Recoverable injury, including injuries unlikely to result in mortality, such as hair cell damage and minor haematoma, and
- TTS.

Masking and behavioural effects can be assessed qualitatively, by assessing relative risk rather than by specific sound level thresholds. However, as these depend upon activity-based subjective ranges, these effects are not addressed in this report and are included in Table 4 for completeness only. Because the presence or absence of a swim bladder has a role in hearing, fish's susceptibility to noise exposure depends on the species and the presence and possible role of a swim bladder in hearing. Thus, different thresholds were proposed for fish without a swim bladder (also appropriate for sharks and applied to whale sharks in the absence of other information), fish with a swim bladder not used for hearing, and fish that use their swim bladders for hearing. Turtles, fish eggs, and fish larvae are considered separately.

Table 4 lists the relevant effects guidelines from Popper et al. (2014) for shipping and continuous noise. Some evidence suggests that fish sensitive to acoustic pressure show a recoverable loss in hearing sensitivity, or injury when exposed to high levels of noise (Scholik and Yan 2002, Amoser and Ladich 2003, Smith et al. 2006); this is reflected in the SPL thresholds for fish with a swim bladder involved in hearing.

Finneran et al. (2017) presented revised thresholds for turtle non-impulsive PTS and TTS, considering frequency weighted SEL, which have been applied in this study for vessels (Table 5).

Table 4. Guidelines for vessel noise exposure for fish and turtles, adapted from Popper et al. (2014). Relative risk (high, moderate, low) is given for animals at three distances from the source defined in relative terms as near (N), intermediate (I), and far (F).

Type of animal	Mortality and Potential mortal injury	Impairment			Behaviour
		Recoverable injury	TTS	Masking	
Fish: No swim bladder (particle motion detection)	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	(N) Moderate (I) Low (F) Low	(N) High (I) High (F) Moderate	(N) Moderate (I) Moderate (F) Low
Fish: Swim bladder not involved in hearing (particle motion detection)	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	(N) Moderate (I) Low (F) Low	(N) High (I) High (F) Moderate	(N) Moderate (I) Moderate (F) Low
Fish: Swim bladder involved in hearing (primarily pressure detection)	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	170 dB SPL for 48 h	158 dB SPL for 12 h	(N) High (I) High (F) High	(N) High (I) Moderate (F) Low
Turtles	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	(N) Moderate (I) Low (F) Low	(N) High (I) High (F) Moderate	(N) High (I) Moderate (F) Low
Fish eggs and fish larvae	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	(N) Low (I) Low (F) Low	(N) High (I) Moderate (F) Low	(N) Moderate (I) Moderate (F) Low

SPL: Sound pressure level dB re 1 µPa.

Table 5. Acoustic effects of continuous noise on turtles, weighted SEL_{24h}, Finneran et al. (2017).

PTS onset thresholds* (received level)	TTS onset thresholds* (received level)
220	200

L_E denotes cumulative sound exposure over a 24 h period and has a reference value of 1 µPa^{2}s.

3. Methods and Parameters

The operations considered in this study will take place within the Beach Energy Otway Development project area, at 70–99 m depth (see Appendix B.1.1). Activities could take place at any time in the year. The most conservative water sound speed profile (i.e., the profile leading to the longest acoustic propagation) was therefore selected for modelling (see Appendix B.1.2). While both wells are located on the continental shelf, the deeper area at Thylacine North-1 has a seabed characterised by well-cemented carbonate caprock (calcarenite), overlying semi-cemented carbonate rock (calcarenite). This contrasts with the shallower area at Artisan-1, which is characterised by a thin veneer of coarse sand/gravel overlying semi-cemented carbonate rock. See Appendix B.1.3 for details on the associated geoacoustic properties used in this modelling study.

This section described the methods used to characterise the vessels sound fields, including the acoustic propagation models, the frequency ranges, and the accumulation periods considered.

3.1. Geometry and Modelled Regions

JASCO's Marine Operations Noise Model (MONM-BELLHOP; see Appendix B.3.2) was used to predict the underwater acoustic propagation loss at the modelled sites (Table 2), at frequencies of 10 Hz to 25 kHz. This model considers the environmental variations along the propagation path. The final acoustic fields combine the pipelay and installation vessel source levels (see Section 3.3) with the site-specific propagation loss fields.

To assess sound levels with MONM-BELLHOP, the sound field modelling calculated propagation losses up to distances of 75 km from the source in each cardinal direction, with a horizontal separation of 10 m between receiver points along the modelled radials. The sound fields were modelled with a horizontal angular resolution of $\Delta\theta$ = 2.5° for a total of N = 144 radial planes. Receiver depths were chosen to span the entire water column over the modelled areas, from 1 m to a maximum of 4250 m, with step sizes that increased with depth. To supplement the MONM results, high-frequency results for propagation loss were modelled using BELLHOP (Porter and Liu 1994) for frequencies from 2.5 to 25 kHz. The MONM and BELLHOP results were combined to produce results for the full frequency range of interest.

To produce the maps of received sound level distributions, isopleths, and calculate distances to specified sound level thresholds, the maximum-over-depth level was calculated at each sampling point within the modelled region. The radial grids of maximum-over-depth levels for resampled (by linear triangulation) to produce a regular Cartesian grid. The sound field grids from all sources were summed (see Equation A-5) to produce the cumulative sound field grid with cell sizes of 25 m. The contours and threshold ranges were calculated from these flat Cartesian projections of the modelled acoustic fields.

3.2. Accumulated SEL

Vessels, under DP and in transit, continuously produce sound. The reported source levels are usually in terms of sound pressure levels (SPL), representing the average instantaneous acoustic level of the pipelay and installation vessel during specific operation. The evaluation of the cumulative sound field (i.e., in terms of SEL over 24 h) depends on the number of seconds of operation during the accumulation period.

In this study, the pipelay and installation vessel was considered to be continuously operating under DP mode and stationary during installation activities (Scenarios 1, 2, 5, and 6), or making slow headway (0.14 knots (0.07 m/s)) during pipelay operations. For the stationary scenarios, the 1-s SEL, equivalent to SPL, were increased by $10*\log_{10}(T)$, where T is 86,400 (the number of seconds in 24 h).

For the pipelay operations, the accumulated sound field was calculated by transposing the 1-s SEL sound footprint, centred on the modelled site, to several locations along the pipe route. The accumulated SEL at the new locations were integrated every 24 minutes (see Equation A-5) based on transit speed of 6 km per day (0.14 knots). The 1-s SEL at those locations were then increased by

$10*\log_{10}(T)$, where T is 1440 (the number of seconds in 24 h, the time spent at each modelled location along the pipe route, to simulate motion; see Appendix B.4).

3.3. Acoustic Sources

Underwater sound that radiates from vessels is produced mainly by propeller and thruster cavitation, with a smaller fraction of noise produced by sound transmitted through the hull, such as by engines, gearing, and other mechanical systems. Sound levels tend to be the highest when thrusters are used to position the vessel and when the vessel is transiting at high speeds. A vessel's sound signature depends on the vessel's size, power output, propulsion system (e.g., conventional propellers vs. Voith Schneider propulsion), and the design characteristics of the given system (e.g., blade shape and size). A vessel produces broadband acoustic energy with most of the energy emitted below a few kilohertz. Sound from onboard machinery, particularly sound below 200 Hz, dominates the sound spectrum before cavitation begins (Spence et al. 2007).

The Beach Energy Otway Development may utilise a rigid reel lay vessel, operating under an approved NOPSEMA Vessel Safety Case (VSC). Potential vessels, along with the total installed power of their propulsion systems include the *Seven Vega* (18,800 kW), *Seven Oceans* (15,850 kW), *Deep Energy* (21,500 kW), or *Deep Blue* (25,600 kW). The TechnipFMC pipelay vessel *Deep Blue* (Figure 3, left) was considered for the modelling as it has the greatest installed total propulsion power. In the absence of vessel specific operational data, and no clear trend in vessel operations being available, it is assumed that all thrusters, including retractable azimuth and tunnel thrusters, are operational during DP. While in operation, the *Deep Blue* would use DP to maintain position at installation locations and along the pipe route. Most of the underwater sound is expected to originate cavitation at the eight thrusters along the hull.

The estimates of the energy source levels (ESL) for the pipelay and installation vessel were based on the specifications of the *Deep Blue* and ESL derived from recordings of the TechnipFMC flexible lay and construction vessel *Deep Orient* (Figure 5, right; Quijano and McPherson 2018). The specifications of the two vessels are listed in Table 6. The pipelay and installation vessel is referred to as a 'Pipelay Vessel' (PLV) for simplicity in the tables and figures of the report.

Figure 3. Photos of *Deep Blue* (Technip 2012) and *Deep Orient* (Technip 2013), the pipelay and construction vessels used as proxy for the Project pipelay and installation vessel.

Table 6. Proxy vessels specifications.

Vessel name	Length (m)	Width (m)	Operational draft (m)	Propulsion system	Total propulsion power (kW)
Deep Blue	206.5	32.0	9.0	2 × 5500 kW KaMeWa type UUC 7001 non-retracting azimuth thrusters, aft 1 × 3000 kW KaMeWa type UL 4001 retractable azimuth thruster, aft 3 × 3000 KaMeWa type UL 4001 retractable azimuth thruster, forward 2 × 1300 KaMeWa type TT2200-BMS-CP tunnel thrusters, bow	25,600
Deep Orient	135.7	27.0	6.9	2 × 1,500 kW tunnel thrusters, forward 1 × 1,500 kW retractable azimuth thruster, forward 2 × 3,500 kW Azipull thrusters, aft	11,500

The ESL spectra for the *Deep Orient* was scaled based on the propulsion power for the *Deep Blue* for a range in percentage of MCR at which the vessel is expected to be operating during each scenario, i.e., 20% and 40%, using:

$$\mathrm{ESL} = \mathrm{ESL}_{\mathrm{ref}} + 10\log_{10}\left(\frac{P}{P_{\mathrm{ref}}}\right) . \qquad (1)$$

Here the modelled ESL was estimated from the ESL of the proxy source ($\mathrm{ESL}_{\mathrm{ref}}$) and the propulsion powers of the modelled source (P) and proxy source (P_{ref}).

All thrusters, forward and aft, are used to maintain position during DP. It is unclear if the stated operational draft of the *Deep Blue* includes the lowest point of the retractable thrusters used under DP. Since sound from the deepest vessel sound source tends to propagate the farthest, and cavitation noise is usually centred on the upper portion of the propeller (Leggat et al. 1981), the source of the pipelay and installation vessel was modelled at the conservative depth of 9 m.

The ESL spectra for the modelled pipelay and installation vessel at 20% and 40% of MCR are shown in Figure 4, along with the ESL derived from the recordings of the *Deep Blue*.



Figure 4. Decidecade energy source level (ESL) spectra of the modelled pipelay and installation vessel (PLV) at 20% and 40% of Maximum Continuous Rating (MCR), and the ESL spectrum derived from recordings of the *Deep Orient*. The broadband ESL (10 Hz to 25 kHz) of each spectrum is indicated in the legend.

4. Results

The maximum-over-depth sound fields for the eight modelled scenarios (described in Section 1.1) are presented below in two formats: as tables of distances to sound levels and, where the distances are long enough, as contour maps showing the directivity and distance to various sound levels. Tables 7 and 8 present the maximum and 95% distances (defined in Appendix B.4) to SPL thresholds for the Thylacine North-1 and Artisan-1 well locations, respectively. The ensonified areas for the marine mammal behavioural response criteria are provided in Table 9. Tables 10 and 11 represent the distances to frequency-weighted SEL_{24h} threshold, as well as the total ensonified area.

Since the SPL metric does not depend on the duration of the operation, these estimates are valid for both, stationary and non-stationary (pipelay) scenarios. Figures 5, 6, 11 and 12 present the sound fields in terms of SPL, highlighting the 120 dB re 1 µPa threshold for marine mammal behavioural response to continuous noise (NOAA 2019), and the 158 dB re 1 µPa 12-h threshold for TTS for fish with a swim bladder involved in hearing (Popper et al. 2014). Figures 7 to 10 and 13 to 16 present the results in terms of SEL_{24h} and isopleths for marine mammals thresholds.

4.1. Tabulated Results

Table 7. *Thylacine North-1*: Maximum (R_{max}) and 95% ($R_{95\%}$) horizontal distances (in km) to sound pressure level (SPL) from the centre point of the pipelay vessel (PLV). A dash indicates the threshold is not reached within the limits of the modelled resolution (25 m).

SPL (L_p; dB re 1 **µPa**)	PLV at 20% MCR (Scenarios 1, 3)		PLV at 40% MCR (Scenarios 2, 4)	
	R_{max} (km)	$R_{95\%}$ (km)	R_{max} (km)	$R_{95\%}$ (km)
180	-	-	-	-
170*	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03
160	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.05
158†	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06
150	0.15	0.13	0.29	0.25
140	0.97	0.81	1.44	1.33
130#	4.57	4.05	5.25	4.62
120‡	11.18	10.01	17.03	14.18
110	33.06	28.69	47.24	40.26
100	>75.00	>75.00	>75.00	>75.00

* 48 h threshold for recoverable injury for fish with a swim bladder involved in hearing (Popper et al. 2014).
† 12 h threshold for TTS for fish with a swim bladder involved in hearing (Popper et al. 2014).
‡ Threshold for marine mammal behavioural response to continuous noise (NOAA 2019).
# Threshold for potential responses by foraging pygmy blue whales (Southall 2020).

Table 8. *Artisan-1*: Maximum (R_{max}) and 95% ($R_{95\%}$) horizontal distances (in km) to sound pressure level (SPL) from the centre point of the pipelay vessel (PLV). A dash indicates the threshold is not reached within the limits of the modelled resolution (25 m).

SPL (L_p; dB re 1 **µPa)**	PLV at 20% MCR (Scenarios 5, 7)		PLV at 40% MCR (Scenarios 6, 8)	
	R_{max} (km)	$R_{95\%}$ (km)	R_{max} (km)	$R_{95\%}$ (km)
180	-	-	-	-
170*	-	-	<0.03	<0.03
160	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05
158†	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.07
150	0.22	0.19	0.33	0.32
140	1.17	1.13	2.36	2.23
130#	4.97	4.49	7.05	6.32
120‡	15.48	12.95	20.42	17.64
110	46.25	37.53	60.27	50.99
100	>75.0	>75.00	>75.0	>75.00

* 48 h guideline for recoverable injury for fish with a swim bladder involved in hearing (Popper et al. 2014).
† 12 h guideline for TTS for fish with a swim bladder involved in hearing (Popper et al. 2014).
‡ Threshold for marine mammal behavioural response to continuous noise (NOAA 2019).
# Threshold for potential responses by foraging pygmy blue whales (Southall 2020).

Table 9. *SPL*: Areas (km^2) within isopleths corresponding to the threshold for marine mammal behavioural response to continuous noise (NOAA 2019).

Well	SPL (L_p; dB re 1 **µPa)**	PLV at 20% MCR	PLV at 40% MCR
Thylacine North-1	120*	322	628
Artisan-1	120*	542	1008

* Threshold for marine mammal behavioural response to continuous noise (NOAA 2019).

Table 10. Thylacine North-1: Maximum (R_{max}) horizontal distances (in km) to frequency-weighted SEL_{24h} PTS and TTS thresholds based on NMFS (2018) and Finneran et al. (2017) from the most appropriate location for considered sources per scenario, and ensonified area (km^2). A dash indicates the level was not reached within the limits of the modelled resolution (25 m).

Hearing group	Frequency-weighted SEL_{24h} threshold ($L_{E,24h}$; dB re 1 µPa^2·s)	PLV stationary, at 20% MCR (Scenario 1)		PLV stationary, at 40% MCR (Scenario 2)		PLV laying pipe, at 20% MCR (Scenario 3)		PLV laying pipe, at 40% MCR (Scenario 4)	
		R_{max} (km)	Area (km^2)	R_{max} (km)	Area (km^2)	R_{max} (km)	Area (km^2)	R_{max} (km)	Area (km^2)
PTS									
LF cetaceans	199	0.08	0.02	0.13	0.06	<0.03	0.04	<0.03	0.04
MF cetaceans	198	-	-	<0.03	<0.03	-	-	-	-
HF cetaceans	173	0.13	0.05	0.22	0.13	<0.03	0.04	0.03	0.08
Phocid seals	201	<0.03	<0.01	<0.03	<0.01	-	-	-	-
Otariid seals	219	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Turtles	220	<0.03	<0.01	<0.03	<0.01	-	-	-	-
TTS									
LF cetaceans	179	2.66	15.21	4.56	40.24	1.77	20.57	3.27	42.89
MF cetaceans	178	0.09	0.02	0.16	0.07	<0.03	0.04	<0.03	0.04
HF cetaceans	153	1.67	6.95	2.40	14.40	1.09	13.32	1.70	20.70
Phocid seals	181	0.36	0.30	0.52	0.75	0.05	0.27	0.07	0.74
Otariid seals	199	<0.03	<0.01	0.03	<0.01	-	-	-	-
Turtles	200	0.12	0.04	0.19	0.10	<0.03	0.04	<0.03	0.08

Table 11. *Artisan-1*: Maximum (R_{max}) horizontal distances (in km) to frequency-weighted SEL_{24h} PTS and TTS thresholds based on NMFS (2018) and Finneran et al. (2017) from the most appropriate location for considered sources per scenario, and ensonified area (km^2). A dash indicates the level was not reached within the limits of the modelled resolution (25 m).

Hearing group	Frequency-weighted SEL_{24h} threshold ($L_{E,24h}$; dB re 1 µPa²·s)	PLV stationary, at 20% MCR (scenario 4)		PLV stationary, at 40% MCR (scenario 5)		PLV laying pipe, at 20% MCR (scenario 6)		PLV laying pipe, at 40% MCR (scenario 7)	
		R_{max} (km)	Area (km^2)	R_{max} (km)	Area (km^2)	R_{max} (km)	Area (km2)	R_{max} (km)	Area (km^2)
PTS									
LF cetaceans	199	0.09	0.03	0.19	0.10	-	-	<0.03	0.04
MF cetaceans	198	-	-	<0.03	<0.01	-	-	-	-
HF cetaceans	173	0.12	0.05	0.22	0.12	<0.03	0.08	<0.03	0.08
Phocid seals	201	<0.03	<0.01	<0.03	<0.01	-	-	-	-
Otariid seals	219	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Turtles	220	-	-	<0.03	<0.031	-	-	-	-
TTS									
LF cetaceans	179	3.31	29.22	4.96	65.15	2.62	35.45	4.45	73.99
MF cetaceans	178	0.09	0.02	0.15	0.07	<0.03	0.04	<0.03	0.08
HF cetaceans	153	1.66	6.96	2.44	14.78	1.08	13.19	1.70	20.57
Phocid seals	181	0.37	0.41	0.67	1.13	0.04	0.28	0.11	1.07
Otariid seals	199	<0.03	0.01	0.04	<0.01	-	-	-	-
Turtles	200	0.13	0.06	0.29	0.26	<0.03	0.01	<0.03	0.08

4.2. Sound Field Maps

Maps of the estimated sound fields, threshold contours, and isopleths of interest for SPL and SEL_{24h} sound fields are presented for the eight pipelay and installation vessel scenarios (see Table 1) in Figures 5–16.

4.2.1. Thylacine North-1 Well Scenarios



Figure 5. *Thylacine North-1, pipelay and installation vessel operating at 20% MCR, SPL*: Sound level contour map, showing unweighted maximum-over-depth SPL results. These results represent Scenarios 1 and 3.



Figure 6. *Thylacine North-1, pipelay vessel operating at 40% MCR (Scenarios 2 and 4), SPL*: Sound level contour map, showing unweighted maximum-over-depth SPL results. These results represent Scenarios 2 and 4.



Figure 7. *Thylacine North-1, pipelay vessel stationary, operating at 20% MCR (Scenario 1), SEL_{24h}*: Sound level contour map showing unweighted maximum-over-depth SEL_{24h} results, along with isopleths for PTS and TTS thresholds. Thresholds for some PTS and TTS were either not reached or were too short to be displayed on a map. Refer to the radii tables in Section 4.1 for distances.



Figure 8. *Thylacine North-1, pipelay vessel stationary, operating at 40% MCR (Scenario 2), SEL_{24h}*: Sound level contour map showing unweighted maximum-over-depth SEL_{24h} results, along with isopleths for PTS and TTS thresholds. Thresholds for some PTS and TTS were either not reached or were too short to be displayed on a map. Refer to the radii tables in Section 4.1 for distances.



Figure 9. *Thylacine North-1, pipelay vessel laying pipe, operating at 20% MCR (Scenario 3), SEL_{24h}*: Sound level contour map showing unweighted maximum-over-depth SEL_{24h} results, along with isopleths for PTS and TTS thresholds. Thresholds for some PTS and TTS were either not reached or were too short to be displayed on a map. Refer to the radii tables in Section 4.1 for distances.



Figure 10. *Thylacine North-1, pipelay vessel laying pipe, operating at 40% MCR (Scenario 4), SEL_{24h}*: Sound level contour map showing unweighted maximum-over-depth SEL_{24h} results, along with isopleths for PTS and TTS thresholds. Thresholds for some PTS and TTS were either not reached or were too short to be displayed on a map. Refer to the radii tables in Section 4.1 for distances.

4.2.2. Artisan-1 Well Scenarios



Figure 11. *Artisan-1, pipelay vessel operating at 20% MCR, SPL*: Sound level contour map, showing unweighted maximum-over-depth SPL results. These results represent Scenarios 5 and 7.



Figure 12. *Artisan-1, pipelay vessel operating at 40% MCR (Scenarios 6 and 8), SPL*: Sound level contour map, showing unweighted maximum-over-depth SPL results. These results represent Scenarios 6 and 8.



Figure 13. *Artisan-1, pipelay vessel stationary, operating at 20% MCR (Scenario 5), SEL24h*: Sound level contour map showing unweighted maximum-over-depth SEL24h results, along with isopleths for PTS and TTS thresholds. Thresholds for some PTS and TTS were either not reached or were too short to be displayed on a map. Refer to the radii tables in Section 4.1 for distances.



Figure 14. *Artisan-1, pipelay vessel stationary, operating at 40% MCR (Scenario 6), SEL24h*: Sound level contour map showing unweighted maximum-over-depth SEL24h results, along with isopleths for PTS and TTS thresholds. Thresholds for some PTS and TTS were either not reached or were too short to be displayed on a map. Refer to the radii tables in Section 4.1 for distances.



Figure 15. *Artisan-1, pipelay vessel laying pipe, operating at 20% MCR (Scenario 7), SEL*$_{24h}$: Sound level contour map showing unweighted maximum-over-depth SEL$_{24h}$ results, along with isopleths for PTS and TTS thresholds. Thresholds for some PTS and TTS were either not reached or were too short to be displayed on a map. Refer to the radii tables in Section 4.1 for distances.



Figure 16. *Artisan-1, pipelay vessel laying pipe, operating at 40% MCR (Scenario 8), SEL*$_{24h}$: Sound level contour map showing unweighted maximum-over-depth SEL$_{24h}$ results, along with isopleths for PTS and TTS thresholds. Thresholds for some PTS and TTS were either not reached or were too short to be displayed on a map. Refer to the radii tables in Section 4.1 for distances.

5. Discussion

In this study, we modelled sound fields associated with a representative large pipelay and installation vessel to estimate distances to behavioural, injury and hearing impairment noise effect criteria. We estimated the maximum distance to the 120 dB re 1 µPa (SPL) behavioural criteria to be between 11.2 and 17.0 km at Thylacine North-1, and between 15.5 and 20.4 km at Artisan-1, depending on the percentage of MCR used. Since the SPL metric does not depend on the duration of the operation, these estimates are valid for both, stationary and non-stationary (pipelay) scenarios.

Distances to PTS and TTS criteria, however, are based on the SEL_{24h} metric and depend on the vessel's position within the period of integration (i.e. 24 h for the assessed criteria). As a result, the distances are longer for scenarios where the pipelay vessel is stationary than making headway (i.e. during pipelay operation). Distances to PTS criteria are estimated to be the longest for high-frequency cetaceans (≤ 220 m), while distances to TTS criteria are longest for low-frequency cetaceans (≤ 5 km), although this approach doesn't consider the potential movement of fauna

Sound speed profiles (Appendix B.1.2) representative of June were chosen based on an analysis of the temperature, salinity and sound speed in each modelled area. The final profile combines the typical features associated with both, shallow and deep-water regimes. This profile is primarily downward refracting apart from a slight upward refracting layer in the top 40 m. This layer has the potential to trap high frequency sound (above 741 Hz based on its thickness) that would otherwise attenuate more rapidly in range due to absorption and seabed interactions (Jensen et al. 2011).

The modelled results at the two selected sites are considered representative of all other Development infrastructure locations within the same environmental regime. The symmetry in the sound level isopleths in Figures 5 to 16 confirms that the bathymetry has no significant influence on the sound field footprints; the composition of the seabed used for modelling has a greater influence. Therefore, although the water depth may different between the Development sites and the modelled sites, similarity in seabed composition will results in similar sound field footprint for the same operation. The presence of a thin veneer of un-consolidated gravel overlying semi-cemented carbonate rock led to a marginally more reflective seabed at Artisan-1 than Thylacine North-1, and likely led to longer distances for low level criteria. This includes the criteria for marine mammal behavioural response (120 dB re 1 µPa (SPL)), and a threshold considered for this project to assess the potential responses for foraging pygmy blue whales based upon expert advice (Southall 2020), an unweighted SPL of 130 dB re 1 µPa.

Glossary

1/3-octave
One third of an octave. Note: A one-third octave is approximately equal to one decidecade (1/3 oct ≈ 1.003 ddec; ISO 2017).

1/3-octave-band
Frequency band whose bandwidth is one one-third octave. Note: The bandwidth of a one-third octave-band increases with increasing centre frequency.

absorption
The reduction of acoustic pressure amplitude due to acoustic particle motion energy converting to heat in the propagation medium.

acoustic impedance
The ratio of the sound pressure in a medium to the rate of alternating flow of the medium through a specified surface due to the sound wave.

ambient noise
All-encompassing sound at a given place, usually a composite of sound from many sources near and far (ANSI S1.1-1994 R2004), e.g., shipping vessels, seismic activity, precipitation, sea ice movement, wave action, and biological activity.

attenuation
The gradual loss of acoustic energy from absorption and scattering as sound propagates through a medium.

Auditory frequency weighting (auditory weighting function, frequency-weighting function)
The process of band-pass filtering sounds to reduce the importance of inaudible or less-audible frequencies for individual species or groups of species of aquatic mammals (ISO 2017). One example is M-weighting introduced by Southall et al. (2007) to describe “Generalized frequency weightings for various functional hearing groups of marine mammals, allowing for their functional bandwidths and appropriate in characterizing auditory effects of strong sounds”.

azimuth
A horizontal angle relative to a reference direction, which is often magnetic north or the direction of travel. In navigation it is also called bearing.

bandwidth
The range of frequencies over which a sound occurs. Broadband refers to a source that produces sound over a broad range of frequencies (e.g., seismic airguns, vessels) whereas narrowband sources produce sounds over a narrow frequency range (e.g., sonar) (ANSI/ASA S1.13-2005 R2010).

bar
Unit of pressure equal to 100 kPa, which is approximately equal to the atmospheric pressure on Earth at sea level. 1 bar is equal to 10^5 Pa or 10^{11} µPa.

broadband sound level
The total sound pressure level measured over a specified frequency range. If the frequency range is unspecified, it refers to the entire measured frequency range.

broadside direction
Perpendicular to the travel direction of a source. Compare with endfire direction.

cavitation
A rapid formation and collapse of vapor cavities (i.e., bubbles or voids) in water, most often caused by a rapid change in pressure. Fast-spinning vessel propellers typically cause cavitation, which creates a lot of noise.

cetacean
Any animal in the order Cetacea. These are aquatic, mostly marine mammals and include whales, dolphins, and porpoises.

compressional wave
A mechanical vibration wave in which the direction of particle motion is parallel to the direction of propagation. Also called primary wave or P-wave.

continuous sound
A sound whose sound pressure level remains above ambient sound during the observation period (ANSI/ASA S1.13-2005 R2010). A sound that gradually varies in intensity with time, for example, sound from a marine vessel.

decade
Logarithmic frequency interval whose upper bound is ten times larger than its lower bound (ISO 2006).

decidecade
One tenth of a decade (ISO 2017). Note: An alternative name for decidecade (symbol ddec) is “one-tenth decade”. A decidecade is approximately equal to one third of an octave (1 ddec ≈ 0.3322 oct) and for this reason is sometimes referred to as a “one-third octave”.

decidecade band
Frequency band whose bandwidth is one decidecade. Note: The bandwidth of a decidecade band increases with increasing centre frequency.

decibel (dB)
One-tenth of a bel. Unit of level when the base of the logarithm is the tenth root of ten, and the quantities concerned are proportional to power (ANSI S1.1-1994 R2004).

endfire direction
Parallel to the travel direction of a source. See also broadside direction.

ensonified
Exposed to sound.

far-field
The zone where, to an observer, sound originating from an array of sources (or a spatially distributed source) appears to radiate from a single point. The distance to the acoustic far-field increases with frequency.

fast-average sound pressure level
The time-averaged sound pressure levels calculated over the duration of a pulse (e.g., 90%-energy time window), using the leaky time integrator from Plomp and Bouman (1959) and a time constant of 125 ms. Typically used only for pulsed sounds.

fast Fourier transform (FFT)
A computationally efficiently algorithm for computing the discrete Fourier transform.

frequency
The rate of oscillation of a periodic function measured in cycles-per-unit-time. The reciprocal of the period. Unit: hertz (Hz). Symbol: *f*. 1 Hz is equal to 1 cycle per second.

hearing group
Groups of marine mammal species with similar hearing ranges. Commonly defined functional hearing groups include low-, mid-, and high-frequency cetaceans, pinnipeds in water, and pinnipeds in air.

geoacoustic
Relating to the acoustic properties of the seabed.

hearing threshold
The sound pressure level for any frequency of the hearing group that is barely audible for a given individual in the absence of significant background noise during a specific percentage of experimental trials.

hertz (Hz)
A unit of frequency defined as one cycle per second.

high-frequency (HF) cetacean
The functional cetacean hearing group that represents those odontocetes (toothed whales) specialized for hearing high frequencies.

intermittent sound
A level of sound that abruptly drops to the background noise level several times during the observation period.

impulsive sound
Sound that is typically brief and intermittent with rapid (within a few seconds) rise time and decay back to ambient levels (NOAA 2013, ANSI S12.7-1986 R2006). For example, seismic airguns and impact pile driving.

low-frequency (LF) cetacean
The functional cetacean hearing group that represents mysticetes (baleen whales) specialised for hearing low frequencies.

masking
Obscuring of sounds of interest by sounds at similar frequencies.

median
The 50th percentile of a statistical distribution.

mid-frequency (MF) cetacean
The functional cetacean hearing group that represents those odontocetes (toothed whales) specialized for mid-frequency hearing.

Monte Carlo simulation
The method of investigating the distribution of a non-linear multi-variate function by random sampling of all of its input variable distributions.

mysticete
Mysticeti, a suborder of cetaceans, use their baleen plates, rather than teeth, to filter food from water. They are not known to echolocate, but they use sound for communication. Members of this group include rorquals (Balaenopteridae), right whales (Balaenidae), and grey whales (*Eschrichtius robustus*).

non-impulsive sound
Sound that is broadband, narrowband or tonal, brief or prolonged, continuous or intermittent, and typically does not have a high peak pressure with rapid rise time (typically only small fluctuations in decibel level) that impulsive signals have (ANSI/ASA S3.20-1995 R2008). For example, marine vessels, aircraft, machinery, construction, and vibratory pile driving (NIOSH 1998, NOAA 2015).

octave
The interval between a sound and another sound with double or half the frequency. For example, one octave above 200 Hz is 400 Hz, and one octave below 200 Hz is 100 Hz.

odontocete
The presence of teeth, rather than baleen, characterizes these whales. Members of the Odontoceti are a suborder of cetaceans, a group comprised of whales, dolphins, and porpoises. The skulls of toothed whales are mostly asymmetric, an adaptation for their echolocation. This group includes sperm whales, killer whales, belugas, narwhals, dolphins, and porpoises.

otariid
A common term used to describe members of the Otariidae, eared seals, commonly called sea lions and fur seals. Otariids are adapted to a semi-aquatic life; they use their large fore flippers for propulsion. Their ears distinguish them from phocids. Otariids are one of the three main groups in the superfamily Pinnipedia; the other two groups are phocids and walrus.

parabolic equation method
A computationally efficient solution to the acoustic wave equation that is used to model propagation loss. The parabolic equation approximation omits effects of back-scattered sound, simplifying the computation of propagation loss. The effect of back-scattered sound is negligible for most ocean-acoustic propagation problems.

particle velocity
The physical speed of a particle in a material moving back and forth in the direction of the pressure wave. Unit: metre per second (m/s). Symbol: v.

peak pressure level (PK)
The maximum instantaneous sound pressure level, in a stated frequency band, within a stated period. Also called zero-to-peak pressure level. Unit: decibel (dB).

peak-to-peak pressure level (PK-PK)
The difference between the maximum and minimum instantaneous pressure levels. Unit: decibel (dB).

percentile level, exceedance
The sound level exceeded n% of the time during a measurement.

permanent threshold shift (PTS)
A permanent loss of hearing sensitivity caused by excessive noise exposure. PTS is considered auditory injury.

phocid
A common term used to describe all members of the family Phocidae. These true/earless seals are more adapted to in-water life than are otariids, which have more terrestrial adaptations. Phocids use their hind flippers to propel themselves. Phocids are one of the three main groups in the superfamily Pinnipedia; the other two groups are otariids and walrus.

phocid pinnipeds in water (PPW)
The functional pinniped hearing group that represents true/earless seals under water.

pinniped
A common term used to describe all three groups that form the superfamily Pinnipedia: phocids (true seals or earless seals), otariids (eared seals or fur seals and sea lions), and walrus.

point source
A source that radiates sound as if from a single point (ANSI S1.1-1994 R2004).

pressure, acoustic
The deviation from the ambient hydrostatic pressure caused by a sound wave. Also called overpressure. Unit: pascal (Pa). Symbol: p.

pressure, hydrostatic
The pressure at any given depth in a static liquid that is the result of the weight of the liquid acting on a unit area at that depth, plus any pressure acting on the surface of the liquid. Unit: pascal (Pa).

propagation loss (PL)
The decibel reduction in sound level between two stated points that results from sound spreading away from an acoustic source subject to the influence of the surrounding environment. Also referred to as propagation loss.

received level (RL)
The sound level measured (or that would be measured) at a defined location.

rms
root-mean-square.

signature
Pressure signal generated by a source.

sound
A time-varying pressure disturbance generated by mechanical vibration waves travelling through a fluid medium such as air or water.

sound exposure
Time integral of squared, instantaneous frequency-weighted sound pressure over a stated time interval or event. Unit: pascal-squared second ($Pa^2 \cdot s$) (ANSI S1.1-1994 R2004).

sound exposure level (SEL)
A cumulative measure related to the sound energy. Unit: dB re 1 $\mu Pa^2 \cdot s$. SEL is expressed over the summation period (e.g., per-second SEL [for vessels], per-pulse SEL [for airguns], single-strike SEL [for pile drivers], 24-hour SEL).

sound exposure spectral density
Distribution as a function of frequency of the time-integrated squared sound pressure per unit bandwidth of a sound having a continuous spectrum (ANSI S1.1-1994 R2004). Unit: $\mu Pa^2 \cdot s/Hz$.

sound field
Region containing sound waves (ANSI S1.1-1994 R2004).

sound intensity
Sound energy flowing through a unit area perpendicular to the direction of propagation per unit time.

sound pressure level (SPL)
The decibel ratio of the time-mean-square sound pressure, in a stated frequency band, to the square of the reference sound pressure (ANSI S1.1-1994 R2004).

For sound in water, the reference sound pressure is one micropascal (p_0 = 1 μPa) and the unit for SPL is dB re 1 μPa^2:

$$L_p = 10\log_{10}(p^2/p_0^2) = 20\log_{10}(p/p_0)$$

Unless otherwise stated, SPL refers to the root-mean-square (rms) pressure level. See also 90% sound pressure level and fast-average sound pressure level. Non-rectangular time window functions may be applied during calculation of the rms value, in which case the SPL unit should identify the window type.

sound speed profile
The speed of sound in the water column as a function of depth below the water surface.

source level (SL)
The sound level measured in the far-field and scaled back to a standard reference distance of 1 metre from the acoustic centre of the source. Unit: dB re 1 μPa·m (pressure level) or dB re 1 $\mu Pa^2 \cdot s \cdot m$ (exposure level).

spectrogram
A visual representation of acoustic amplitude compared with time and frequency.

spectrum
An acoustic signal represented in terms of its power, energy, mean-square sound pressure, or sound exposure distribution with frequency.

temporary threshold shift (TTS)
Temporary loss of hearing sensitivity caused by excessive noise exposure.

wavelength
Distance over which a wave completes one cycle of oscillation. Unit: metre (m). Symbol: λ.

Literature Cited

[HESS] High Energy Seismic Survey. 1999. *High Energy Seismic Survey Review Process and Interim Operational Guidelines for Marine Surveys Offshore Southern California*. Prepared for the California State Lands Commission and the United States Minerals Management Service Pacific Outer Continental Shelf Region by the High Energy Seismic Survey Team, Camarillo, CA, USA. 98 p. https://ntrl.ntis.gov/NTRL/dashboard/searchResults/titleDetail/PB2001100103.xhtml.

[ISO] International Organization for Standardization. 2006. *ISO 80000-3:2006. Quantities and Units – Part 3: Space and time*. https://www.iso.org/standard/31888.html.

[ISO] International Organization for Standardization. 2017. *ISO 18405:2017. Underwater acoustics – Terminology*. Geneva. https://www.iso.org/standard/62406.html.

[NIOSH] National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. 1998. *Criteria for a recommended standard: Occupational noise exposure. Revised Criteria*. Document Number 98-126. US Department of Health and Human Services, NIOSH, Cincinnati, OH, USA. 122 p. https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docs/98-126/pdfs/98-126.pdf.

[NMFS] National Marine Fisheries Service. 2014. *Marine Mammals: Interim Sound Threshold Guidance* (webpage). National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce. http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/protected_species/marine_mammals/threshold_guidance.html.

[NMFS] National Marine Fisheries Service (US). 1998. *Acoustic Criteria Workshop*. Dr. Roger Gentry and Dr. Jeanette Thomas Co-Chairs.

[NMFS] National Marine Fisheries Service (US). 2016. *Technical Guidance for Assessing the Effects of Anthropogenic Sound on Marine Mammal Hearing: Underwater Acoustic Thresholds for Onset of Permanent and Temporary Threshold Shifts*. US Department of Commerce, NOAA. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-OPR-55. 178 p.

[NMFS] National Marine Fisheries Service (US). 2018a. Takes of Marine Mammals Incidental to Specified Activities; Taking Marine Mammals Incidental to Marine Site Characterization Surveys off of Delaware. *Federal Register* 83(65): 14417-14443. https://www.federalregister.gov/d/2018-12225.

[NMFS] National Marine Fisheries Service (US). 2018b. *2018 Revision to: Technical Guidance for Assessing the Effects of Anthropogenic Sound on Marine Mammal Hearing (Version 2.0): Underwater Thresholds for Onset of Permanent and Temporary Threshold Shifts*. US Department of Commerce, NOAA. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-OPR-59. 167 p. https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/webdam/download/75962998.

[NOAA] National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (US). 2013. *Draft guidance for assessing the effects of anthropogenic sound on marine mammals: Acoustic threshold levels for onset of permanent and temporary threshold shifts*. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, US Department of Commerce, and NMFS Office of Protected Resources, Silver Spring, MD, USA. 76 p.

[NOAA] National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (US). 2015. *Draft guidance for assessing the effects of anthropogenic sound on marine mammal hearing: Underwater acoustic threshold levels for onset of permanent and temporary threshold shifts*. NMFS Office of Protected Resources, Silver Spring, MD, USA. 180 p.

[NOAA] National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (US). 2016. *Document Containing Proposed Changes to the NOAA Draft Guidance for Assessing the Effects of Anthropogenic Sound on Marine Mammal Hearing: Underwater Acoustic Threshold Levels for Onset of*

Permanent and Temporary Threshold Shifts. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and US Department of Commerce. 24 p.

[NOAA] National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (US). 2019. *ESA Section 7 Consultation Tools for Marine Mammals on the West Coast* (webpage), 27 Sep 2019. https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/west-coast/endangered-species-conservation/esa-section-7-consultation-tools-marine-mammals-west. (Accessed 10 Mar 2020).

[ONR] Office of Naval Research. 1998. *ONR Workshop on the Effect of Anthropogenic Noise in the Marine Environment*. Dr. R. Gisiner Chair.

Aerts, L.A.M., M. Blees, S.B. Blackwell, C.R. Greene, Jr., K.H. Kim, D.E. Hannay, and M.E. Austin. 2008. *Marine mammal monitoring and mitigation during BP Liberty OBC seismic survey in Foggy Island Bay, Beaufort Sea, July-August 2008: 90-day report*. Document Number P1011-1. Report by LGL Alaska Research Associates Inc., LGL Ltd., Greeneridge Sciences Inc., and JASCO Applied Sciences for BP Exploration Alaska. 199 p. ftp://ftp.library.noaa.gov/noaa_documents.lib/NMFS/Auke%20Bay/AukeBayScans/Removable%20Disk/P1011-1.pdf.

Amoser, S. and F. Ladich. 2003. Diversity in noise-induced temporary hearing loss in otophysine fishes. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 113(4): 2170-2179. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.1557212.

ANSI S12.7-1986. R2006. *American National Standard Methods for Measurements of Impulsive Noise*. American National Standards Institute, NY, USA.

ANSI S1.1-1994. R2004. *American National Standard Acoustical Terminology*. American National Standards Institute, NY, USA.

ANSI S1.1-2013. 2013. *American National Standard Acoustical Terminology*. American National Standards Institute, NY, USA.

ANSI/ASA S1.13-2005. R2010. *American National Standard Measurement of Sound Pressure Levels in Air*. American National Standards Institute and Acoustical Society of America, NY, USA.

ANSI/ASA S3.20-1995. R2008. *American National Standard Bioacoustical Terminology*. American National Standards Institute and Acoustical Society of America, NY, USA.

Austin, M.E. and G.A. Warner. 2012. *Sound Source Acoustic Measurements for Apache's 2012 Cook Inlet Seismic Survey*. Version 2.0. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for Fairweather LLC and Apache Corporation.

Austin, M.E. and L. Bailey. 2013. *Sound Source Verification: TGS Chukchi Sea Seismic Survey Program 2013*. Document Number 00706, Version 1.0. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for TGS-NOPEC Geophysical Company.

Austin, M.E., A. McCrodan, C. O'Neill, Z. Li, and A.O. MacGillivray. 2013. *Marine mammal monitoring and mitigation during exploratory drilling by Shell in the Alaskan Chukchi and Beaufort Seas, July–November 2012: 90-Day Report*. *In*: Funk, D.W., C.M. Reiser, and W.R. Koski (eds.). Underwater Sound Measurements. LGL Rep. P1272D–1. Report from LGL Alaska Research Associates Inc. and JASCO Applied Sciences, for Shell Offshore Inc., National Marine Fisheries Service (US), and US Fish and Wildlife Service. 266 pp plus appendices.

Austin, M.E. 2014. Underwater noise emissions from drillships in the Arctic. *In*: Papadakis, J.S. and L. Bjørnø (eds.). *UA2014 - 2nd International Conference and Exhibition on Underwater Acoustics*. 22-27 Jun 2014, Rhodes, Greece. pp. 257-263.

Austin, M.E., H. Yurk, and R. Mills. 2015. *Acoustic Measurements and Animal Exclusion Zone Distance Verification for Furie's 2015 Kitchen Light Pile Driving Operations in Cook Inlet*. Version 2.0. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for Jacobs LLC and Furie Alaska.

Austin, M.E. and Z. Li. 2016. *Marine Mammal Monitoring and Mitigation During Exploratory Drilling by Shell in the Alaskan Chukchi Sea, July–October 2015: Draft 90-day report*. *In*: Ireland, D.S. and L.N. Bisson (eds.). Underwater Sound Measurements. LGL Rep. P1363D. Report from LGL Alaska Research Associates Inc., LGL Ltd., and JASCO Applied Sciences Ltd. For Shell Gulf of Mexico Inc, National Marine Fisheries Service, and US Fish and Wildlife Service. 188 pp + appendices.

Carnes, M.R. 2009. *Description and Evaluation of GDEM-V 3.0*. US Naval Research Laboratory, Stennis Space Center, MS. NRL Memorandum Report 7330-09-9165. 21 p. https://apps.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a494306.pdf.

Collins, M.D. 1993. A split-step Pad solution for the parabolic equation method. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 93(4): 1736-1742. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.406739.

Collins, M.D., R.J. Cederberg, D.B. King, and S. Chin-Bing. 1996. Comparison of algorithms for solving parabolic wave equations. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 100(1): 178-182. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.415921.

Coppens, A.B. 1981. Simple equations for the speed of sound in Neptunian waters. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 69(3): 862-863. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.382038.

Dunlop, R.A., M.J. Noad, R.D. McCauley, L. Scott-Hayward, E. Kniest, R. Slade, D. Paton, and D.H. Cato. 2017. Determining the behavioural dose–response relationship of marine mammals to air gun noise and source proximity. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 220(16): 2878-2886. https://jeb.biologists.org/content/220/16/2878.

Dunlop, R.A., M.J. Noad, R.D. McCauley, E. Kniest, R. Slade, D. Paton, and D.H. Cato. 2018. A behavioural dose-response model for migrating humpback whales and seismic air gun noise. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 133: 506-516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.06.009.

Ellison, W.T. and P.J. Stein. 1999. *SURTASS LFA High Frequency Marine Mammal Monitoring (HF/M3) Sonar: Sustem Description and Test & Evaluation*. Under US Navy Contract N66604-98-D-5725. http://www.surtass-lfa-eis.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/HF-M3-Ellison-Report-2-4a.pdf.

Ellison, W.T. and A.S. Frankel. 2012. A common sense approach to source metrics. *In* Popper, A.N. and A.D. Hawkins (eds.). *The Effects of Noise on Aquatic Life*. Volume 730. Springer, New York. pp. 433-438. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-7311-5_98.

Finneran, J.J. and C.E. Schlundt. 2010. Frequency-dependent and longitudinal changes in noise-induced hearing loss in a bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*). *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 128(2): 567-570. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.3458814.

Finneran, J.J. and A.K. Jenkins. 2012. *Criteria and thresholds for U.S. Navy acoustic and explosive effects analysis*. SPAWAR Systems Center Pacific, San Diego, CA, USA. 64 p.

Finneran, J.J. 2015. *Auditory weighting functions and TTS/PTS exposure functions for cetaceans and marine carnivores*. Technical report by SSC Pacific, San Diego, CA, USA.

Finneran, J.J. 2016. *Auditory weighting functions and TTS/PTS exposure functions for marine mammals exposed to underwater noise*. Technical Report for Space and Naval Warfare Systems Center Pacific, San Diego, CA, USA. 49 p. http://www.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/1026445.pdf.

Finneran, J.J., E. Henderson, D.S. Houser, K. Jenkins, S. Kotecki, and J. Mulsow. 2017. *Criteria and Thresholds for U.S. Navy Acoustic and Explosive Effects Analysis (Phase III)*. Technical report by Space and Naval Warfare Systems Center Pacific (SSC Pacific). 183 p.

Funk, D., D.E. Hannay, D.S. Ireland, R. Rodrigues, and W.R. Koski (eds.). 2008. *Marine mammal monitoring and mitigation during open water seismic exploration by Shell Offshore Inc. in the*

Chukchi and Beaufort Seas, July–November 2007: 90-day report. LGL Report P969-1. Prepared by LGL Alaska Research Associates Inc., LGL Ltd., and JASCO Research Ltd. for Shell Offshore Inc., National Marine Fisheries Service (US), and US Fish and Wildlife Service. 218 p.

Hannay, D.E. and R.G. Racca. 2005. *Acoustic Model Validation*. Document Number 0000-S-90-04-T-7006-00-E, Revision 02. Technical report by JASCO Research Ltd. for Sakhalin Energy Investment Company Ltd. 34 p.

Ireland, D.S., R. Rodrigues, D. Funk, W.R. Koski, and D.E. Hannay. 2009. *Marine mammal monitoring and mitigation during open water seismic exploration by Shell Offshore Inc. in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas, July–October 2008: 90-Day Report*. Document Number P1049-1. 277 p.

James, N.P. and Y. Bone. 2011. *Neritic carbonate sediments in a temperate realm: Southern Australia*. Springer Science & Business Media. https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9789048192885.

Jensen, F.B., W.A. Kuperman, M.B. Porter, and H. Schmidt. 2011. *Computational Ocean Acoustics*. 2nd edition. AIP Series in Modern Acourics and Signal Processing. AIP Press - Springer, New York. 794 p.

Koessler, M.W., M.-N.R. Matthews, and C.R. McPherson. 2020. *Otway Offshore Project – Drilling Program: Assessing Marine Fauna Sound Exposures*. Document Number 02033, Version 1.0. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for Beach Energy Limited.

Leggat, L.J., H.M. Merklinger, and J.L. Kennedy. 1981. *LNG Carrier Underwater Noise Study for Baffin Bay*. Defence Research Establishment Atlantic, Dartmouth, NS, Canada. 32 p.

Lucke, K., U. Siebert, P. Lepper, A., and M.-A. Blanchet. 2009. Temporary shift in masked hearing thresholds in a harbor porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*) after exposure to seismic airgun stimuli. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 125(6): 4060-4070. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.3117443.

MacGillivray, A.O. 2018. Underwater noise from pile driving of conductor casing at a deep-water oil platform. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 143(1): 450-459. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.5021554.

Malme, C.I., P.R. Miles, C.W. Clark, P. Tyak, and J.E. Bird. 1983. *Investigations of the Potential Effects of Underwater Noise from Petroleum Industry Activities on Migrating Gray Whale Behavior*. Report Number 5366. http://www.boem.gov/BOEM-Newsroom/Library/Publications/1983/rpt5366.aspx.

Malme, C.I., P.R. Miles, C.W. Clark, P.L. Tyack, and J.E. Bird. 1984. *Investigations of the Potential Effects of Underwater Noise from Petroleum Industry Activities on Migrating Gray Whale Behavior. Phase II: January 1984 migration*. Report Number 5586. Report prepared by Bolt, Beranek and Newman Inc. for the US Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service, Cambridge, MA, USA. 357 p. https://www.boem.gov/BOEM-Newsroom/Library/Publications/1983/rpt5586.aspx.

Malme, C.I., B. Würsig, J.E. Bird, and P.L. Tyack. 1986. *Behavioral responses of gray whales to industrial noise: Feeding observations and predictive modeling*. Document Number 56. Final Reports of Principal Investigators. 393-600 p.

Martin, B., K. Bröker, M.-N.R. Matthews, J.T. MacDonnell, and L. Bailey. 2015. Comparison of measured and modeled air-gun array sound levels in Baffin Bay, West Greenland. *OceanNoise 2015*. 11-15 May 2015, Barcelona, Spain.

Martin, B., J.T. MacDonnell, and K. Bröker. 2017a. Cumulative sound exposure levels—Insights from seismic survey measurements. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 141(5): 3603-3603. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.4987709.

Martin, S.B. and A.N. Popper. 2016. Short- and long-term monitoring of underwater sound levels in the Hudson River (New York, USA). *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 139(4): 1886-1897. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.4944876.

Martin, S.B., M.-N.R. Matthews, J.T. MacDonnell, and K. Bröker. 2017b. Characteristics of seismic survey pulses and the ambient soundscape in Baffin Bay and Melville Bay, West Greenland. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 142(6): 3331-3346. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.5014049.

Matthews, M.-N.R. and A.O. MacGillivray. 2013. Comparing modeled and measured sound levels from a seismic survey in the Canadian Beaufort Sea. *Proceedings of Meetings on Acoustics* 19(1): 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.4800553

McCrodan, A., C.R. McPherson, and D.E. Hannay. 2011. *Sound Source Characterization (SSC) Measurements for Apache's 2011 Cook Inlet 2D Technology Test*. Version 3.0. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for Fairweather LLC and Apache Corporation. 51 p.

McPherson, C.R. and G.A. Warner. 2012. *Sound Sources Characterization for the 2012 Simpson Lagoon OBC Seismic Survey 90-Day Report*. Document Number 00443, Version 2.0. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for BP Exploration (Alaska) Inc. http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/pdfs/permits/bp_openwater_90dayreport_appendices.pdf.

McPherson, C.R., M.-N.R. Matthews, I. Gaboury, M.A. Wood, and C. O'Neill. 2016. *Crowes Foot 3-D Marine Seismic Survey: Assessing Sound Exposures*. Document Number 01286, Version 1.0. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for ERM.

McPherson, C.R., K. Lucke, B.J. Gaudet, B.S. Martin, and C.J. Whitt. 2018. *Pelican 3-D Seismic Survey Sound Source Characterisation*. Document Number 001583. Version 1.0. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for RPS Energy Services Pty Ltd.

McPherson, C.R. and B. Martin. 2018. *Characterisation of Polarcus 2380 in^3 Airgun Array*. Document Number 001599, Version 1.0. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for Polarcus Asia Pacific Pte Ltd.

Nedwell, J.R. and A.W. Turnpenny. 1998. The use of a generic frequency weighting scale in estimating environmental effect. *Workshop on Seismics and Marine Mammals*. 23–25 Jun 1998, London, UK.

Nedwell, J.R., A.W. Turnpenny, J. Lovell, S.J. Parvin, R. Workman, J.A.L. Spinks, and D. Howell. 2007. *A validation of the dB_{ht} as a measure of the behavioural and auditory effects of underwater noise*. Document Number 534R1231 Report prepared by Subacoustech Ltd. for the UK Department of Business, Enterprise and Regulatory Reform under Project No. RDCZ/011/0004. 74 p. https://tethys.pnnl.gov/sites/default/files/publications/Nedwell-et-al-2007.pdf.

Nord Stream 2. 2018. *Pipeline Construction* (pamphlet). https://www.nord-stream2.com/media/documents/pdf/en/2018/09/nsp2-construction-brochure-en.pdf.

Nord Stream. 2020. *Pipe Laying Vessel - Solitaire* (webpage). © Werner Sölken. www.wermac.org/nordstream/nordstream_part7.html. (Accessed 3 Jul 2020).

O'Neill, C., D. Leary, and A. McCrodan. 2010. Sound Source Verification. (Chapter 3) *In* Blees, M.K., K.G. Hartin, D.S. Ireland, and D.E. Hannay (eds.). *Marine mammal monitoring and mitigation during open water seismic exploration by Statoil USA E&P Inc. in the Chukchi Sea, August-October 2010: 90-day report*. LGL Report P1119. Prepared by LGL Alaska Research Associates Inc., LGL Ltd., and JASCO Applied Sciences Ltd. for Statoil USA E&P Inc., National Marine Fisheries Service (US), and US Fish and Wildlife Service. pp. 1-34.

Offshore Fleet. 2017. *Pipelaying Vessel* (webpage). http://offshore-fleet.com/data/pipelay-vessel.htm. (Accessed 3 Jul 2020).

Payne, R. and D. Webb. 1971. Orientation by means of long range acoustic signaling in baleen whales. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 188: 110-141. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1971.tb13093.x.

Plomp, R. and M.A. Bouman. 1959. Relation between Hearing Threshold and Duration for Tone Pulses. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 31(6): 749-758. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.1907781.

Popper, A.N., A.D. Hawkins, R.R. Fay, D.A. Mann, S. Bartol, T.J. Carlson, S. Coombs, W.T. Ellison, R.L. Gentry, et al. 2014. *Sound Exposure Guidelines for Fishes and Sea Turtles: A Technical Report prepared by ANSI-Accredited Standards Committee S3/SC1 and registered with ANSI*. ASA S3/SC1.4 TR-2014. SpringerBriefs in Oceanography. ASA Press and Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-06659-2.

Porter, M.B. and Y.-C. Liu. 1994. Finite-element ray tracing. *In*: Lee, D. and M.H. Schultz (eds.). *International Conference on Theoretical and Computational Acoustics*. Volume 2. World Scientific Publishing Co. pp. 947-956.

Quijano, J.E. and C.R. McPherson. 2018. *Acoustic Characterisation of the Technip Deep Orient: Measuring and Modelling Operational Sound Levels*. Document Number 01647, Version 1.0. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for Woodside Energy Limited.

Racca, R.G., A.N. Rutenko, K. Bröker, and M.E. Austin. 2012a. A line in the water - design and enactment of a closed loop, model based sound level boundary estimation strategy for mitigation of behavioural impacts from a seismic survey. *11th European Conference on Underwater Acoustics*. Volume 34(3), Edinburgh, UK.

Racca, R.G., A.N. Rutenko, K. Bröker, and G. Gailey. 2012b. Model based sound level estimation and in-field adjustment for real-time mitigation of behavioural impacts from a seismic survey and post-event evaluation of sound exposure for individual whales. *In*: McMinn, T. (ed.). *Acoustics 2012*. Fremantle, Australia. http://www.acoustics.asn.au/conference_proceedings/AAS2012/papers/p92.pdf.

Racca, R.G., M.E. Austin, A.N. Rutenko, and K. Bröker. 2015. Monitoring the gray whale sound exposure mitigation zone and estimating acoustic transmission during a 4-D seismic survey, Sakhalin Island, Russia. *Endangered Species Research* 29(2): 131-146. https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00703.

RIGZONE. 2020. *How Does Offshore Pipeline Installation Work?* (webpage). https://www.rigzone.com/training/insight.asp?insight_id=311&c_id=. (Accessed 3 Jul 2020).

Scholik, A.R. and H.Y. Yan. 2002. Effects of boat engine noise on the auditory sensitivity of the fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas*. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 63(2): 203-209. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1014266531390.

ScienceDirect. 2020. *Pipeline Installation* (webpage). © Elsevier B.V. https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/engineering/pipeline-installation. (Accessed 3 Jul 2020).

Smith, M.E., A.B. Coffin, D.L. Miller, and A.N. Popper. 2006. Anatomical and functional recovery of the goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) ear following noise exposure. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 209(21): 4193-4202. http://jeb.biologists.org/content/209/21/4193.abstract.

Southall, B.L., A.E. Bowles, W.T. Ellison, J.J. Finneran, R.L. Gentry, C.R. Greene, Jr., D. Kastak, D.R. Ketten, J.H. Miller, et al. 2007. Marine Mammal Noise Exposure Criteria: Initial Scientific Recommendations. *Aquatic Mammals* 33(4): 411-521. https://doi.org/10.1080/09524622.2008.9753846.

Southall, B.L., D.P. Nowaceck, P.J.O. Miller, and P.L. Tyack. 2016. Experimental field studies to measure behavioral responses of cetaceans to sonar. *Endangered Species Research* 31: 293-315. https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00764.

Southall, B.L., J.J. Finneran, C. Reichmuth, P.E. Nachtigall, D.R. Ketten, A.E. Bowles, W.T. Ellison, D.P. Nowacek, and P.L. Tyack. 2019. Marine Mammal Noise Exposure Criteria: Updated Scientific Recommendations for Residual Hearing Effects. *Aquatic Mammals* 45(2): 125-232. https://doi.org/10.1578/AM.45.2.2019.125.

Southall, B.L. 2020. *Expert Advice: Blue Whale Behavioural Response Assessment,*.

Spence, J.H., R. Fischer, M.A. Bahtiarian, L. Boroditsky, N. Jones, and R. Dempsey. 2007. *Review of Existing and Future Potential Treatments for Reducing Underwater Sound from Oil and Gas Industry Activities*. Report Number NCE 07-001. Report by Noise Control Engineering, Inc. for the Joint Industry Programme on E&P Sound and Marine Life. 185 p.

Teague, W.J., M.J. Carron, and P.J. Hogan. 1990. A comparison between the Generalized Digital Environmental Model and Levitus climatologies. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 95(C5): 7167-7183. https://doi.org/10.1029/JC095iC05p07167.

Technip. 2012. *Our Fleet: Depp Blue* (pamphlet). Technip. https://www.technipfmc.com/en/what-we-do/fleet

Technip. 2013. *Our Fleet: Deep Orient* (pamphlet). Technip. https://www.technipfmc.com/en/what-we-do/fleet

Tougaard, J., A.J. Wright, and P.T. Madsen. 2015. Cetacean noise criteria revisited in the light of proposed exposure limits for harbour porpoises. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 90(1-2): 196-208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.10.051.

Warner, G.A., C. Erbe, and D.E. Hannay. 2010. Underwater Sound Measurements. (Chapter 3) *In* Reiser, C.M., D. Funk, R. Rodrigues, and D.E. Hannay (eds.). *Marine Mammal Monitoring and Mitigation during Open Water Shallow Hazards and Site Clearance Surveys by Shell Offshore Inc. in the Alaskan Chukchi Sea, July-October 2009: 90-Day Report*. LGL Report P1112-1. Report by LGL Alaska Research Associates Inc. and JASCO Applied Sciences for Shell Offshore Inc., National Marine Fisheries Service (US), and Fish and Wildlife Service (US). pp. 1-54.

Warner, G.A., M.E. Austin, and A.O. MacGillivray. 2017. Hydroacoustic measurements and modeling of pile driving operations in Ketchikan, Alaska [Abstract]. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 141(5): 3992. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.4989141.

Whiteway, T. 2009. *Australian Bathymetry and Topography Grid, June 2009*. GeoScience Australia, Canberra. http://pid.geoscience.gov.au/dataset/ga/67703.

Wood, J., B.L. Southall, and D.J. Tollit. 2012. *PG&E offshore 3-D Seismic Survey Project Environmental Impact Report–Marine Mammal Technical Draft Report*. SMRU Ltd. 121 p. https://www.coastal.ca.gov/energy/seismic/mm-technical-report-EIR.pdf.

Wood, M.A. and C.R. McPherson. 2018. *VSP Acoustic Modelling: Enterprise 1 Drilling Program - Otway Basin*. Document Number 01670, Version 1.1. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for Beach Energy Limited.

Zhang, Z.Y. and C.T. Tindle. 1995. Improved equivalent fluid approximations for a low shear speed ocean bottom. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* 98(6): 3391-3396. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.413789.

Zykov, M.M. and J.T. MacDonnell. 2013. *Sound Source Characterizations for the Collaborative Baseline Survey Offshore Massachusetts Final Report: Side Scan Sonar, Sub-Bottom Profiler, and the R/V Small Research Vessel experimental*. Document Number 00413, Version 2.0. Technical report by JASCO Applied Sciences for Fugro GeoServices, Inc. and the (US) Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.

Appendix A. Acoustic Metrics

A.1. Pressure Related Acoustic Metrics

Underwater sound pressure amplitude is measured in decibels (dB) relative to a fixed reference pressure of p_0 = 1 μPa. Because the perceived loudness of sound, especially impulsive noise such as from seismic airguns, pile driving, and sonar, is not generally proportional to the instantaneous acoustic pressure, several sound level metrics are commonly used to evaluate noise and its effects on marine life. We provide specific definitions of relevant metrics used in the accompanying report. Where possible we follow the ANSI and ISO standard definitions and symbols for sound metrics, but these standards are not always consistent.

The zero-to-peak sound pressure level (PK; L_{pk}; $L_{p,pk}$; dB re 1 μPa), is the maximum instantaneous sound pressure level in a stated frequency band attained by an acoustic pressure signal, $p(t)$:

$$L_{p,pk} = 20\log_{10}\left[\frac{\max(|p(t)|)}{p_0}\right] \tag{A-1}$$

PK is often included as a criterion for assessing whether a sound is potentially injurious; however, because it does not account for the duration of a noise event, it is generally a poor indicator of perceived loudness.

The peak-to-peak sound pressure level (PK-PK; $L_{pk\text{-pk}}$; $L_{p,\text{pk-pk}}$; dB re 1 μPa) is the difference between the maximum and minimum instantaneous sound pressure levels in a stated frequency band attained by an impulsive sound, $p(t)$:

$$L_{p,pk-pk} = 10\log_{10}\left\{\frac{\left[\max\left(p(t)\right) - \min\left(p(t)\right)\right]^2}{p_0^2}\right\} \tag{A-2}$$

The sound pressure level (SPL; L_p; dB re 1 μPa) is the rms pressure level in a stated frequency band over a specified time window (T, s) containing the acoustic event of interest. It is important to note that SPL always refers to a rms pressure level and therefore not instantaneous pressure:

$$L_p = 10\log_{10}\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_T p^2(t)dt \Big/ p_0^2\right) \tag{A-3}$$

The SPL represents a nominal effective continuous sound over the duration of an acoustic event, such as the emission of one acoustic pulse, a marine mammal vocalization, the passage of a vessel, or over a fixed duration. Because the window length, T, is the divisor, events with similar sound exposure level (SEL) but more spread out in time have a lower SPL. A fixed window length of 0.125 s (critical duration defined by Tougaard et al. (2015)) is used in this study for impulsive sounds.

The sound exposure level (SEL; L_E; $L_{E,p}$; dB re 1 μPa²·s) is a measure related to the acoustic energy contained in one or more acoustic events (N). The SEL for a single event is computed from the time-integral of the squared pressure over the full event duration (T):

$$L_E = 10\log_{10}\left(\int_T p^2(t)dt \Big/ T_0 p_0^2\right) \tag{A-4}$$

where T_0 is a reference time interval of 1 s. The SEL continues to increase with time when non-zero pressure signals are present. It therefore can be construed as a dose-type measurement, so the integration time used must be carefully considered in terms of relevance for effect to the exposed recipients.

SEL can be calculated over periods with multiple acoustic events or over a fixed duration. For a fixed duration, the square pressure is integrated over the duration of interest. For multiple events, SEL can be computed by summing (in linear units) SEL of the *N* individual events:

$$L_{E,N} = 10\log_{10}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} 10^{\frac{L_{E,i}}{10}}\right). \tag{A-5}$$

If applied, the frequency weighting of an acoustic event should be specified, as in the case of weighted SEL (e.g., $L_{E,LFC,24h}$; Appendix 0). The use of fast, slow, or impulse exponential-time-averaging or other time-related characteristics should else be specified.

A.2. Decidecade Band Analysis

The distribution of a sound's power with frequency is described by the sound's spectrum. The sound spectrum can be split into a series of adjacent frequency bands. Splitting a spectrum into 1 Hz wide bands, called passbands, yields the power spectral density of the sound. This splitting of the spectrum into passbands of a constant width of 1 Hz, however, does not represent how animals perceive sound.

Because animals perceive exponential increases in frequency rather than linear increases, analysing a sound spectrum with passbands that increase exponentially in size better approximates real-world scenarios. In underwater acoustics, a spectrum is commonly split into decidecade bands, which are approximately one-third of an octave (base 2) wide and often referred to as 1/3-octave-bands. Each octave represents a doubling in sound frequency. The centre frequency of the ith band, $f_c(i)$, is defined as:

$$f_c(i) = 10^{\frac{i}{10}} \tag{A-6}$$

and the low (f_{lo}) and high (f_{hi}) frequency limits of the ith band are defined as:

$$f_{lo,i} = 10^{\frac{-1}{20}} f_c(i) \quad \text{and} \quad f_{hi,i} = 10^{\frac{1}{20}} f_c(i) \tag{A-7}$$

The decidecade bands become wider with increasing frequency, and on a logarithmic scale the bands appear equally spaced (Figure A-1). The acoustic modelling spans from band 10 ($f_c(10)$ = 10 Hz) to band 44 ($f_c(44)$ = 25 kHz).



Figure A-1. Decidecade frequency bands (vertical lines) shown on a linear frequency scale and a logarithmic scale.

The sound pressure level in the ith band ($L_{p,i}$) is computed from the spectrum $S(f)$ between $f_{lo,i}$ and $f_{hi,i}$:

$$L_{p,i} = 10\log_{10} \int_{f_{lo,i}}^{f_{hi,i}} S(f)\,df \tag{A-8}$$

Summing the sound pressure level of all the bands yields the broadband sound pressure level:

$$\text{Broadband SPL} = 10 \log_{10} \sum_i 10^{\frac{L_{p,i}}{10}} \tag{A-9}$$

Figure A-2 shows an example of how the decidecade band sound pressure levels compare to the sound pressure spectral density levels of an ambient noise signal. Because the decidecade bands are wider with increasing frequency, the decidecade band SPL is higher than the spectral levels, especially at higher frequencies. Acoustic modelling of decidecade bands requires less computation time than 1 Hz bands and still resolves the frequency-dependence of the sound source and the propagation environment.



Figure A-2. Sound pressure spectral density levels and the corresponding decidecade band sound pressure levels of example ambient noise shown on a logarithmic frequency scale.

A.3. Marine Mammal Noise Effect Criteria

It has been long recognised that marine mammals can be adversely affected by underwater anthropogenic noise. For example, Payne and Webb (1971) suggested that communication distances of fin whales are reduced by shipping sounds. Subsequently, similar concerns arose regarding effects of other underwater noise sources and the possibility that impulsive sources—primarily airguns used in seismic surveys—could cause auditory injury. This led to a series of workshops held in the late 1990s, conducted to address acoustic mitigation requirements for seismic surveys and other underwater noise sources (NMFS 1998, ONR 1998, Nedwell and Turnpenny 1998, HESS 1999, Ellison and Stein 1999). In the years since these early workshops, a variety of thresholds have been proposed for injury, impairment and disturbance. The following sections summarise the recent development of thresholds; however, this field remains an active research topic.

A.3.1. Injury and Hearing Sensitivity Changes

In recognition of shortcomings of the SPL-only based injury criteria, in 2005 NMFS sponsored the Noise Criteria Group to review literature on marine mammal hearing to propose new noise exposure criteria. Some members of this expert group published a landmark paper (Southall et al. 2007) that suggested assessment methods similar to those applied for humans. The resulting recommendations introduced dual acoustic criteria for impulsive sounds that included peak pressure level thresholds and SEL_{24h} thresholds, where the subscripted 24h refers to the accumulation period for calculating SEL. The peak pressure level criterion is not frequency weighted whereas SEL_{24h} is frequency weighted according to one of four marine mammal species hearing groups: low-, mid- and high-frequency cetaceans (LF, MF, and HF cetaceans, respectively) and Pinnipeds in Water (PINN). These weighting functions are referred to as M-weighting filters (analogous to the A-weighting filter for human; Appendix A.4). The SEL_{24h} thresholds were obtained by extrapolating measurements of onset levels of Temporary Threshold Shift (TTS) in belugas by the amount of TTS required to produce Permanent Threshold Shift (PTS) in chinchillas. The Southall et al. (2007) recommendations do not specify an exchange rate, which suggests that the thresholds are the same regardless of the duration of exposure (i.e., it implies a 3 dB exchange rate).

Wood et al. (2012) refined Southall et al.'s (2007) thresholds, suggesting lower PTS and TTS values for LF and HF cetaceans while retaining the filter shapes. Their revised thresholds were based on TTS-onset levels in harbour porpoises from Lucke et al. (2009), which led to a revised impulsive sound PTS threshold for HF cetaceans of 179 dB re 1 $\mu Pa^2 \cdot s$. Because there were no data available for baleen whales, Wood et al. (2012) based their recommendations for LF cetaceans on results obtained from MF cetacean studies. In particular they referenced Finneran and Schlundt (2010) research, which found mid-frequency cetaceans are more sensitive to non-impulsive sound exposure than Southall et al. (2007) assumed. Wood et al. (2012) thus recommended a more conservative TTS-onset level for LF cetaceans of 192 dB re 1 $\mu Pa^2 \cdot s$.

As of 2017, an optimal approach is not apparent. There is consensus in the research community that an SEL-based method is preferable either separately or in addition to an SPL-based approach to assess the potential for injuries. In August 2016, after substantial public and expert input into three draft versions and based largely on the above-mentioned literature (NOAA 2013, 2015, 2016), NMFS finalised technical guidance for assessing the effect of anthropogenic sound on marine mammal hearing (NMFS 2016). The guidance describes the criteria with new thresholds and frequency weighting functions for the five hearing groups described by Finneran and Jenkins (2012). The latest revision to this work was published in 2018 (NMFS 2018b). Southall et al. (2019) revisited the interim criteria published in 2007; all noise exposure criteria in NMFS (2018b) and Southall et al. (2019) are identical (for impulsive and non-impulsive sounds), however the mid-frequency cetaceans from NMFS (2018b) are classified as high-frequency cetaceans in Southall et al. (2019), and high-frequency cetaceans from NMFS (2018b) are classified as very-high-frequency cetaceans in Southall et al. (2019).

A.3.2. Behavioural Response

Numerous studies on marine mammal behavioural responses to sound exposure have not resulted in consensus in the scientific community regarding the appropriate metric for assessing behavioural reactions. However, it is recognised that the context in which the sound is received affects the nature and extent of responses to a stimulus (Southall et al. 2007, Ellison and Frankel 2012, Southall et al. 2016).

NMFS currently uses step function (all-or-none) threshold of 120 dB re 1 µPa SPL (unweighted) for non-impulsive sounds to assess and regulate noise-induced behavioural impacts on marine mammals (NOAA 2019). The 120 dB re 1 µPa threshold is associated with continuous sources and was derived based on studies examining behavioural responses to drilling and dredging (NOAA 2018a), referring to Malme et al. (1983), Malme et al. (1984), and Malme et al. (1986), which were considered in Southall et al. (2007). Malme et al. (1986) found that playback of drillship noise did not produce clear evidence of disturbance or avoidance for levels below 110 dB re 1 µPa (SPL), possible avoidance occurred for exposure levels approaching 119 dB re 1 µPa. Malme et al. (1984) determined that measurable reactions usually consisted of rather subtle short-term changes in speed and/or heading of the whale(s) under observation. It has been shown that both received level and proximity of the sound source is a contributing factor in eliciting behavioural reactions in humpback whales (Dunlop et al. 2017, Dunlop et al. 2018).

A.4. Marine Mammal Frequency Weighting

The potential for noise to affect animals depends on how well the animals can hear it. Noises are less likely to disturb or injure an animal if they are at frequencies that the animal cannot hear well. An exception occurs when the sound pressure is so high that it can physically injure an animal by non-auditory means (i.e., barotrauma). For sound levels below such extremes, the importance of sound components at particular frequencies can be scaled by frequency weighting relevant to an animal's sensitivity to those frequencies (Nedwell and Turnpenny 1998, Nedwell et al. 2007).

A.4.1. Marine Mammal Frequency Weighting Functions

In 2015, a U.S. Navy technical report by Finneran (2015) recommended new auditory weighting functions. The overall shape of the auditory weighting functions is similar to human A-weighting functions, which follows the sensitivity of the human ear at low sound levels. The new frequency-weighting function is expressed as:

$$G(f) = K + 10\log_{10}\left[\left(\frac{(f/f_{lo})^{2a}}{\left[1+(f/f_{lo})^2\right]^a\left[1+(f/f_{hi})^2\right]^b}\right)\right] \tag{A-10}$$

Finneran (2015) proposed five functional hearing groups for marine mammals in water: low-, mid-, and high-frequency cetaceans, phocid pinnipeds, and otariid pinnipeds. The parameters for these frequency-weighting functions were further modified the following year (Finneran 2016) and were adopted in NOAA's technical guidance that assesses noise impacts on marine mammals (NMFS 2016, NMFS 2018b). Table A-1 lists the frequency-weighting parameters for each hearing group; Figure A-3 shows the resulting frequency-weighting curves.

Table A-1. Parameters for the auditory weighting functions used in this project as recommended by NMFS (2018b).

Hearing group	a	b	f_{lo} (Hz)	f_{hi} (kHz)	K (dB)
Low-frequency cetaceans (baleen whales)	1.0	2	200	19,000	0.13
Mid-frequency cetaceans (dolphins, plus toothed, beaked, and bottlenose whales)	1.6	2	8,800	110,000	1.20
High-frequency cetaceans (true porpoises, *Kogia*, river dolphins, cephalorhynchid, *Lagenorhynchus cruciger* and *L. australis*)	1.8	2	12,000	140,000	1.36
Phocid seals in water	1.0	2	1,900	30,000	0.75
Otariid seals in water	2.0	2	940	25,000	0.64



Figure A-3. Auditory weighting functions for functional marine mammal hearing groups as recommended by NMFS (2018b).

Appendix B. Methods and Parameters

B.1. Environmental Parameters

B.1.1. Bathymetry

Water depths throughout the modelled areas were extracted from the Australian Bathymetry and Topography Grid, a 9 arc-second grid rendered for Australian waters (Whiteway 2009). Bathymetry data were re-gridded onto a Map Grid of Australia (MGA) coordinate projection (Zone 54) with a regular grid spacing of 100 × 100 m.



Figure B-1. Bathymetry in the modelled area.

B.1.2. Sound Speed Profile

The sound speed profile in the area was derived from temperature and salinity profiles from the U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office's *Generalized Digital Environmental Model V 3.0* (GDEM; Teague et al. 1990, Carnes 2009). GDEM provides an ocean climatology of temperature and salinity for the world's oceans on a latitude-longitude grid with 0.25° resolution, with a temporal resolution of one month, based on global historical observations from the U.S. Navy's Master Oceanographic Observational Data Set (MOODS). The climatology profiles include 78 fixed depth points to a maximum depth of 6800 m (where the ocean is that deep). The GDEM temperature-salinity profiles were converted to sound speed profiles according to Coppens (1981).

Mean monthly sound speed profiles were derived from the GDEM profiles at distances less than 76 km around the modelled site. The June sound speed profile is expected to be most favourable to longer-range sound propagation across the entire year. As such, June was selected for sound propagation modelling to ensure precautionary estimates of distances to received sound level thresholds. Figure B-2 shows the resulting profile, which was used as input to the sound propagation modelling.



Figure B-2. The modelling sound speed profile corresponding to June: Top 250 m (left) and full profile (right) Profiles are calculated from temperature and salinity profiles from *Generalized Digital Environmental Model* V 3.0 (GDEM; Teague et al. 1990, Carnes 2009).

B.1.3. Geoacoustics

The propagation model used in this study consider a single geoacoustic profile for each scenario area. These profiles determine how sound is reflected from the seabed, as well as how it is transmitted, reflected, and absorbed into the sediment layers. As in previous acoustic studies in the area, the modelled area was divided into two seabed types (Wood and McPherson 2018). Both areas are located on the continental shelf; however, the seabed in the Thylacine North-1 area is closer to the continental slope in deeper waters and was characterised by well-cemented carbonate caprock (calcarenite), overlying semi-cemented carbonate rock (calcarenite). The seabed in the Artisan-1, located in shallower waters, was characterised by a thin veneer of coarse sand/gravel overlying semi-cemented carbonate rock. This contrast in seabed environment is consistent with larger scale geological data and interpretations of the Australian continental shelf environment (James and Bone 2011). Tables B-1 and B-2 present the geoacoustic profiles used to modelled sites in each respective development area.

Table B-1. *Thylacine North-1*: Geoacoustic profile. Each parameter varies linearly within the stated range.

Depth below seafloor (m)	Predicted lithology	Density (g/cm³)	Compressional wave		Shear wave	
			Speed (m/s)	Attenuation (dB/λ)	Speed (m/s)	Attenuation (dB/λ)
0–0.5	Well-cemented carbonate caprock	2.7	2600	0.50		
0.5–20		2.2	2000	0.30		
20–40		2.3	2120	0.34		
40–60	Increasingly cemented calcarenite	2.4	2240	0.38	500	0.4
60–80		2.5	2360	0.42		
80–100		2.6	2480	0.46		
>100	Well-cemented calcarenite	2.7	2600	0.50		

Table B-2. *Artisan-1*: Geoacoustic profile. Each parameter varies linearly within the stated range.

Depth below seafloor (m)	Predicted lithology	Density (g/cm³)	Compressional wave		Shear wave	
			Speed (m/s)	Attenuation (dB/λ)	Speed (m/s)	Attenuation (dB/λ)
0–1	Coarse carbonate sand	2.03	1800	0.85		
1–20		2.2	2000	0.30		
20–40		2.3	2120	0.34		
40–60	Increasingly cemented calcarenite	2.4	2240	0.38	300	3.68
60–80		2.5	2360	0.42		
80–100		2.6	2480	0.46		
>100	Well-cemented calcarenite	2.7	2600	0.50		

B.2. Vessel Sound

Underwater sound that radiates from vessels is produced mainly by propeller and thruster cavitation, with a smaller fraction of sound produced by sound transmitted through the hull, such as by engines, gearing, and other mechanical systems. Sound levels tend to be the highest when thrusters are used to position the vessel and when the vessel is transiting at high speeds. A vessel's sound signature depends on the vessel's size, power output, propulsion system, and the design characteristics of the given system (e.g., blade shape and size). A vessel produces broadband acoustic energy with most of the energy emitted below a few kilohertz. Sound from onboard machinery, particularly sound below 200 Hz, dominates the sound spectrum before cavitation begins—normally around 8–12 knots on many commercial vessels (Spence et al. 2007). Under higher speeds and higher propulsion system load, the acoustic output from the cavitation processes on the propeller blades dominates other sources of sound on the vessel such as machinery or hull vibration (Leggat et al. 1981).

The energy sound level spectra for the vessel under DP were adjusted from that derived from recordings of a pipelay vessel, the *Deep Orient* (Technip 2013), based on the vessels power ratios, following Equation B-1, where SL is the source level, *P* is the power, the subscript *i* indicates the modelled vessel and the subscript *ref* represents the proxy (i.e., the pipelay vessel *Deep Orient*).

$$\mathrm{SL}_i = \mathrm{SL}_{ref} + 10\log(P_i/P_{ref}) \tag{B-1}$$

B.3. Sound Propagation

B.3.1. Propagation Loss

The propagation of sound through the environment was modelled by predicting the acoustic propagation loss—a measure, in decibels, of the decrease in sound level between a source and a receiver some distance away. Geometric spreading of acoustic waves is the predominant way by which propagation loss occurs. Propagation loss also happens when the sound is absorbed and scattered by the seawater, and absorbed scattered, and reflected at the water surface and within the seabed. Propagation loss depends on the acoustic properties of the ocean and seabed; its value changes with frequency.

If the acoustic energy source level (ESL), expressed in dB re 1 μPa^2·s m^2, and propagation loss (PL), in units of dB, at a given frequency are known, then the received level (RL) at a receiver location can be calculated in dB re 1 μPa^2·s by:

$$\mathrm{RL} = \mathrm{SL} - \mathrm{PL}\,. \tag{B-2}$$

B.3.2. MONM-BELLHOP

Long-range sound fields were computed using JASCO's Marine Operations Noise Model (MONM). While other models may be more accurate for steep-angle propagation in high-shear environment, MONM is well suited for effective longer-range estimation. This model computes sound propagation at frequencies of 10 Hz to 1.6 kHz via a wide-angle parabolic equation solution to the acoustic wave equation (Collins 1993) based on a version of the U.S. Naval Research Laboratory's Range-dependent Acoustic Model (RAM), which has been modified to account for a solid seabed (Zhang and Tindle 1995). MONM's approximation breaks down for seafloor shear speeds greater than approximately 600 m/s and higher shear wave speeds usually found in cemented and semi-cemented carbonate rock. A similar profile was used in a similar study for Origin in the Otway Basin (McPherson et al. 2016) the results of which support the use of MONM for this model environment. MONM computes sound propagation at frequencies > 1.6 kHz via the BELLHOP Gaussian beam acoustic ray-trace model (Porter and Liu 1994).

The parabolic equation method has been extensively benchmarked and is widely employed in the underwater acoustics community (Collins et al. 1996). MONM accounts for the additional reflection loss at the seabed, which results from partial conversion of incident compressional waves to shear waves at the seabed and sub-bottom interfaces, and it includes wave attenuations in all layers. MONM incorporates the following site-specific environmental properties: a bathymetric grid of the modelled area, underwater sound speed as a function of depth, and a geoacoustic profile based on the overall stratified composition of the seafloor.

MONM computes acoustic fields in three dimensions by modelling propagation loss within two-dimensional (2-D) vertical planes aligned along radials covering a 360° swath from the source, an approach commonly referred to as N×2-D. These vertical radial planes are separated by an angular step size of $\Delta\theta$, yielding N = 360°/$\Delta\theta$ number of planes (Figure B-3).



Figure B-3. The N×2-D and maximum-over-depth modelling approach used by MONM.

MONM treats frequency dependence by computing acoustic propagation loss at the centre frequencies of decidecade bands. Sufficiently many decidecade frequency-bands, starting at 10 Hz, are modelled to include most of the acoustic energy emitted by the source. At each centre frequency, the propagation loss is modelled within each of the N vertical planes as a function of depth and range from the source. The decidecade received per-second SEL are computed by subtracting the band propagation loss values from the directional source level in that frequency band. Composite broadband received per-second SEL are then computed by summing the received decidecade levels.

The received 1-s SEL sound field within each vertical radial plane is sampled at various ranges from the source, generally with a fixed radial step size. At each sampling range along the surface, the sound field is sampled at various depths, with the step size between samples increasing with depth below the surface. The step sizes are chosen to provide increased coverage near the depth of the source and at depths of interest in terms of the sound speed profile. For areas with deep water, sampling is not performed at depths beyond those reachable by marine mammals. The received per-pulse or per-second SEL at a surface sampling location is taken as the maximum value that occurs over all samples within the water column, i.e., the maximum-over-depth received per-second SEL. These maximum-over-depth per-second SEL are presented as colour contours around the source.

B.4. Estimating Sound Field from Moving Vessels

During vessel transit, new sound energy is constantly being introduced to the environment. The noise footprint for the transiting vessels considered in this report were estimated by modelling the 1-s SEL for the vessel at one location, and by translating and summing these footprints along the vessel transit routes. The vessel locations along the routes were spaced uniformly, with a 100 m step.

The SEL sound field at any given point along the path is dependent upon the duration of exposure, which with a fixed footprint spacing depends upon the speed of the vessel during each segment of the transit. The 1-s SEL footprint at each vessel location (i) were therefore scales based on the speed of the vessel following:

$$\mathrm{SEL}_i = \mathrm{SEL}_{1s} + 10\log_{10}\left(\frac{25}{v}\right). \tag{B-3}$$

where v represents the vessel speed in m/s.

The present method acceptably reflects large-scale sound propagation features, primarily dependent on water depth, which dominate the cumulative field and is thus considered to provide a meaningful estimate of the SEL_{24h} field.

B.5. Estimating Range to Thresholds Levels

Sound level contours were calculated based on the underwater sound fields predicted by the propagation models, sampled by taking the maximum value over all modelled depths above the sea floor for each location in the modelled region. The predicted distances to specific levels were computed from these contours. Two distances relative to the source are reported for each sound level: 1) R_{max}, the maximum range to the given sound level over all azimuths, and 2) $R_{95\%}$, the range to the given sound level after the 5% farthest points were excluded (see examples in Figure B-4).

The $R_{95\%}$ is used because sound field footprints are often irregular in shape. In some cases, a sound level contour might have small protrusions or anomalous isolated fringes. This is demonstrated in the image in Figure B-4(a). In cases such as this, where relatively few points are excluded in any given direction, R_{max} can misrepresent the area of the region exposed to such effects, and $R_{95\%}$ is considered more representative. In strongly asymmetric cases such as shown in Figure B-4(b), on the other hand, $R_{95\%}$ neglects to account for significant protrusions in the footprint. In such cases R_{max} might better represent the region of effect in specific directions. Cases such as this are usually associated with bathymetric features affecting propagation. The difference between R_{max} and $R_{95\%}$ depends on the source directivity and the non-uniformity of the acoustic environment.



Figure B-4. Sample areas ensonified to an arbitrary sound level with R_{max} and $R_{95\%}$ ranges shown for two different scenarios. (a) Largely symmetric sound level contour with small protrusions. (b) Strongly asymmetric sound level contour with long protrusions. Light blue indicates the ensonified areas bounded by $R_{95\%}$; darker blue indicates the areas outside this boundary which determine R_{max}.

B.6. Model Validation Information

Predictions from JASCO's propagation models (MONM, FWRAM, and VSTACK) have been validated against experimental data from a number of underwater acoustic measurement programs conducted by JASCO globally, including the United States and Canadian Artic, Canadian and southern United States waters, Greenland, Russia and Australia (Hannay and Racca 2005, Aerts et al. 2008, Funk et al. 2008, Ireland et al. 2009, O'Neill et al. 2010, Warner et al. 2010, Racca et al. 2012a, Racca et al. 2012b, Matthews and MacGillivray 2013, Martin et al. 2015, Racca et al. 2015, Martin et al. 2017a, Martin et al. 2017b, Warner et al. 2017, MacGillivray 2018, McPherson et al. 2018, McPherson and Martin 2018).

In addition, JASCO has conducted measurement programs associated with a significant number of anthropogenic activities which have included internal validation of the modelling (including McCrodan et al. 2011, Austin and Warner 2012, McPherson and Warner 2012, Austin and Bailey 2013, Austin et al. 2013, Zykov and MacDonnell 2013, Austin 2014, Austin et al. 2015, Austin and Li 2016, Martin and Popper 2016).